

81st GENERAL ASSEMBLY

REGULAR SESSION

June 22, 1979

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KENNETH HALL)

2. The hour of eleven having arrived the Senate will be in
3. Session. Our Chaplain for today will be Reverend Anthony
4. Tzortzis, St. Anthony Hellenic Orthodox Church, Springfield,
5. Illinois. Will our guests in the gallery please rise.
6. Father Tzortzis.

7. REVEREND TZORTZIS:

8. (Prayer by Reverend Tzortzis)

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KENNETH HALL)

10. Reading of the Journal. Senator McLendon.

11. SENATOR McLENDON:

12. Thank you, Mr. President. Mr. President, I move
13. that reading and approval of the Journals of Monday,
14. June 18th, Tuesday, June 19th, Wednesday, June 20th,
15. and Thursday, June 21st in the year 1979 be postponed
16. pending arrival of the printed Journal.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KENNETH HALL)

18. You've heard the motion. All in favor say Aye.
19. Opposed Nay. The motion carries. Committee Reports.

20. SECRETARY:

21. Senator Buzbee, Chairman of Appropriations II Committee,
22. reports out the following House Bills: 1776 with the
23. recommendation Do Pass. 1652, 1657, and 2098 with the recommendation
24. Do Pass as Amended.

25. Senator Carroll, Chairman of Appropriations I Committee,
26. reports out the following House Bills: 1538, 1580, 2282 and
27. 2425 with the recommendation Do Pass. 1168, 1170, 1171,
28. 1172, 1177, 1288, 1531, 1614, 1630, 1635, 1636, 1637, 1638,
29. 1639, 1640, 1641, 1642, 1643, 1646, 1647, 1648, 1649, 1653,
30. 1655, 1656, 1682, 1916, 2004, 2426, 2427, 2486, 2574, 2575,
31. 2577, and 2579 with the recommendation Do Pass as Amended.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KENNETH HALL)

33. A Message from the House.

1. SECRETARY:

2. A Message from the House by Mr. O'Brien, Clerk.

3. Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate
4. that the House of Representatives has refused to concur
5. with the Senate in the adoption of their Senate Amendment
6. 1 to a bill with the following title:

7. House Bill 148.

8. A Message from the House by Mr. O'Brien, Clerk.

9. Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate
10. that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate
11. in the passage of the following Senate Bills together with
12. request for concurrence in House Amendments:

13. Senate Bill 383 with House Amendment No. 3.

14. Senate Bill 407 with House Amendment No. 1.

15. Senate Bill 487 with House Amendments 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6,
16. and 8.

17. Senate Bill 494 with House Amendment 1.

18. Senate Bill 542 with House Amendments 1 and 2.

19. Senate Bill 590 with House Amendments 1 and 2.

20. Senate Bill 883 with House Amendments 1 and 5.

21. Senate Bill 983 with House Amendment No. 2.

22. Senate Bill 1150 with House Amendment 1.

23. Senate Bill 1183 with House Amendment 1.

24. Senate Bill 1217 with House Amendments 1 and 2.

25. Senate Bill 1412 with House Amendment No. 4.

26. PRESIDENT:

27. All right. If you will turn to page 56 on the Calendar,
28. start on the Order of House Bills, 2nd reading where we left
29. off. 1211, Senator Lemke. On the Order of House Bills, 2nd
30. reading, House Bill 1211. On the Order of House Bills,
31. 2nd reading, House Bill 1211. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

32. SECRETARY:

33. House Bill 1211.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

1. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.
2. PRESIDENT:
3. Any amendments from the Floor?
4. SECRETARY:
5. Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Lemke.
6. PRESIDENT:
7. Senator Lemke.
8. SENATOR LEMKE:
9. What this amendment does is calls for an annual reporting
10. to the Commerce Commission on defective rail signals by the
11. railroad. I ask for its adoption.
12. PRESIDENT:
13. Senator Lemke moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1
14. ...Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1211. Is there any discussion?
15. If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed.
16. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Any further
17. amendments?
18. SECRETARY:
19. No further amendments.
20. PRESIDENT:
21. 3rd reading. 1226, Senator McLendon. On the Order of
22. House Bills, 2nd reading, House Bill 1226. Read the bill,
23. Mr. Secretary.
24. SECRETARY:
25. House Bill 1226.
26. (Secretary reads title of bill)
27. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Judiciary I offers
28. one amendment.
29. PRESIDENT:
30. Senator McLendon.
31. SENATOR McLENDON:
32. I move the adoption of the amendment...the bill.
33. PRESIDENT:

1. Senator McLendon...move the adoption of Committee Amendment
2. No. 1 to House Bill 1226. Is there any discussion? If not,
3. all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have
4. it. The amendment is adopted. Any further amendments?
5. SECRETARY:
6. No further committee amendments.
7. PRESIDENT:
8. Any amendments from the Floor?
9. SECRETARY:
10. No Floor amendments.
11. PRESIDENT:
12. 3rd reading. 1255, Senator Egan. On the Order of House
13. Bills, 2nd reading, House Bill 1255. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.
14. SECRETARY:
15. House Bill 1255.
16. (Secretary reads title of bill)
17. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.
18. PRESIDENT:
19. Any amendments from the Floor?
20. SECRETARY:
21. Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Egan.
22. PRESIDENT:
23. Senator Egan.
24. SENATOR EGAN:
25. Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate.
26. Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1255 restores some of the provisions
27. that were passed out of the Senate and I would move for its
28. adoption.
29. PRESIDENT:
30. Senator Egan moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to
31. House Bill 1255. Is there any discussion? If not, all in favor
32. signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment
33. is adopted. Any further amendments?

1. SECRETARY:
2. Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Egan.

3. PRESIDENT:
4. Senator Egan.

5. SECRETARY:
6. One amendment, Senator Egan, was one that you drew up
7. yourself. The other one is an LRB amendment.

8. SENATOR EGAN:
9. Oh, that was the LRB. Oh, no, that was Senator Moore's
10. but that's all right. Would you adopt the amendment? Mine
11. is No. 1 and Senator Moore's, the smaller one, is No. 2.
12. Just change the number. All right. And I...I approve Senator
13. Moore's amendment. It's agreed to, so I would move its
14. adoption as long as he's not on the Floor.

15. PRESIDENT:
16. Senator Egan has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2
17. to House Bill 1255. Is there any discussion? If not, all in
18. favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it.
19. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

20. SECRETARY:
21. No further amendments.

22. PRESIDENT:
23. 3rd reading. 1310, Senator Philip. On the Order of House
24. Bills, 2nd reading, House Bill 1310. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

25. SECRETARY:
26. House Bill 1310.
27. (Secretary reads title of bill)
28. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

29. PRESIDENT:
30. Any amendments from the Floor?

31. SECRETARY:
32. Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Philip.

33. PRESIDENT:
Senator Philip.

1. PRESIDENT:
2. Senator Philip.
3. SENATOR PHILIP:
4. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
5. Senate. The amendment to 1310 simply deletes one or two
6. words out of the title. I'd move the adoption.
7. PRESIDENT:
8. Senator Philip...Senator Philip has moved the adoption
9. of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1310. Is there any discussion?
10. If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed.
11. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Any further
12. amendments?
13. SECRETARY:
14. Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Berning.
15. PRESIDENT:
16. Senator Berning.
17. SENATOR BERNING:
18. Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 2 is a very simple
19. amendment. Provides that all taxes imposed and collected on
20. motor vehicles and motor vehicle equipment shall be deposited
21. in the Road Fund. This will generate about two hundred and
22. thirty-nine million dollars in the Road Fund. I would move for
23. its adoption.
24. PRESIDENT:
25. Senator Berning has moved the adoption of Amendment No.
26. 2 to House Bill 1310. Is there any discussion? Senator Savickas.
27. SENATOR SAVICKAS:
28. Mr. President and members of the Senate. And I would like
29. you to read this amendment, it would add a totally new and
30. unrelated element to the bill and it would require that all
31. sales taxes on motor vehicles and motor vehicle equipment
32. be deposited in the Road Fund rather than the General Revenue
33. Fund. The subject of this bill was tax...sales tax exemption on
insulin to be used for diabetics. So, if I could have your

1. attention and you could realize how Senator Berning is wishing
2. to use a bill that's for those that are physically ill and depend
3. on insulin for their condition and now he's trying to tack on
4. a sales tax on motor vehicles...exemption on this. I would urge
5. its defeat.

6. PRESIDENT:

7. Further discussion? Senator Maragos.

8. SENATOR MARAGOS:

9. I want to ask whether the previous speaker is attacking the
10. germaness of this bill...the amendment to the bill. If not, I will,
11. Mr. President.

12. PRESIDENT:

13. The Chair will rule the amendment is germane. Senator
14. Maragos.

15. SENATOR MARAGOS:

16. Then, I oppose it for other reasons, Mr. President, and
17. I ask for its defeat.

18. PRESIDENT:

19. Is there any further discussion? Senator Mitchler.

20. SENATOR MITCHLER:

21. Mr. President and members of the Senate. I would rise
22. in support of this amendment. What better way to solve the problem
23. of funding the Road Fund in the State of Illinois than to have
24. the sales tax collected on the purchase of motor vehicles, the
25. very things that use the highways, be used for the highways.
26. To me, that makes sense and it was long overdue. If we had
27. been doing that in years past, we would had an adequately
28. funded Road Fund. Now, the motor fuel tax, the seven and a
29. half cents per gallon paid on the gasoline tax, goes into the
30. Motor Fuel Fund where it's diverted. It doesn't go into the
31. Road Fund. And putting this amount of money into the Road Fund
32. directly, will not require an increase in the gas tax and I commend
33. Senator Berning from preparing this amendment, having it ready

1. to be put on this bill, a vehicle bill, so that he can address
2. himself to a problem that is unresolved at this point in time.
3. Now, the automobiles and the other motor vehicles are the ones
4. that use the highways and that's where the funding should
5. come. It's a user type of tax. Now, I know the argument will
6. be yes, but that is two hundred and sixty-seven million dollars
7. less in the...two hundred and thirty-nine million dollars, thank
8. you, Senator Berning, less in the General Revenue Fund where
9. the sales tax normally would go. But, let me tell you, Ladies
10. and Gentlemen of the Senate, you know, you can't spend it if
11. you don't have it. And if that money is laying in the General
12. Revenue...you saw them down here yesterday screaming outside
13. the Governor's door, we want more for this and we want more for
14. that, the lines are long, the lines are many. And if you've
15. got the money in there, you'll spend it on unnecessary, unneeded
16. things in government. This is a needed thing. The Governor,
17. every local municipality, everybody throughout the State is
18. crying for better roads, highways, the economic lifeblood of the
19. State is good highways. And this is a good amendment.
20. And I'm going to ask for a roll call on this amendment. Thank you.

21. PRESIDENT:

22. Further discussion? Senator Knuppel.

23. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

24. Well, I...I just happen to be a diabetic and I don't see
25. what in the hell the sales tax on automobiles has got to do with the
26. sales tax on insulin. So, when I vote No on this amendment,
27. you know, it's not that I disagree with the principle, but why
28. wasn't it introduced as a bill? I would have supported it, but I
29. can't...I can't put my sales tax on my insulin in...in exchange
30. for the other part of it. I just don't think this is where it
31. belongs.

32. PRESIDENT:

33. Further discussion? Senator Buzbee.

1. SENATOR BUZBEE:

2. Thank you, Mr. President. I would like to indicate that
3. according to whose figures you believe, about two hundred and
4. thirty-nine...two hundred and thirty-nine million dollars is all
5. that this amendment costs. It costs public schools, it costs
6. higher education, it costs Department of Mental Health, it costs
7. the Department of Public Aid, the Department of Public Health.
8. I am one who believes that we ought to stop diverting the use
9. of Road Funds into General Revenue Funds. But this is a sales
10. tax which has always gone into the General Revenue Fund, has always
11. been used for the use of the general operation of State government and
12. to try at this moment to say we're going to take two hundred
13. and thirty-nine million dollars out of the State Treasury for the
14. use of the general operation of government, to put it into Road
15. Funds, seems to me beyond the realm of good sense. I don't mean
16. that in a negative way, I mean it's just beyond the realm of
17. good government, let me put it that way. I would like to ask the
18. sponsor a question and that is, I wonder, do you have any kind
19. of positive reaction, Senator Berning, from the Governor's
20. Office on this? Does the Governor favor this?

21. PRESIDENT:

22. Senator Berning.

23. SENATOR BERNING:

24. Senator, for some reason, the lines of communication between
25. my office and the office on the east side of the second floor
26. have been disrupted. I have had no communication about this bill
27. nor any other bill, Senator, including the one that I am advised,
28. is shortly going to be presented to you and to me and the rest
29. of the members of the General Assembly which has greater impact,
30. I repeat, greater impact, in all areas of Federal...State
31. taxation and spending than does this one. Now, I remind you,
32. Senator, that it is our responsibility to enact legislation
33. which we feel is beneficial and desirable but over which the
Governor has a very critical weapon. He could amendatorily veto

1. this down a little, if he felt it was too much in its present
2. form. And I remind you and Senator Savickas and Senator Knuppel,
3. that this in no way impinges on the original bill...

4. PRESIDENT:
5. Senator Berning...

6. SENATOR BERNING:
7. ...has nothing to do with hurting the physical well-being
8. of many of our citizens, but it certainly does have a great deal
9. to do with the fiscal well-being of a great many of our
10. citizens and I submit to you, Ladies and Gentlemen, that
11. sales tax generated by the sale of automotive equipment rightfully
12. belongs...

13. PRESIDENT:
14. Senator Buzbee, for what purpose do you arise? Senator Buzbee.

15. SENATOR BUZBEE:
16. Parliamentary inquiry, Mr. President. Is the Gentleman closing
17. his argument before the jury or is he, in fact, answering my
18. question? He's already used my time and the next three speakers.
19. I'd just like to have a chance to finish up statement.

20. PRESIDENT:
21. Point is well taken. Senator Buzbee..

22. SENATOR BUZBEE:
23. Thank you, Mr. President. I just wanted to, first
24. of all, tell Senator Berning that if he'll come to the Appropriations
25. Committee meeting this afternoon at 6:00 o'clock, I'll be glad to
26. introduce him to Mr. Terry Betgood, who is the Governor's
27. Legislative Liason. He's always there in our committee, in
28. case you haven't met him yet, Senator, be glad to give you that
29. opportunity to meet the Governor so you can reestablish your
30. line of communications. And secondly, I think that we ought to
31. give this amendment a resounding defeat.

32. PRESIDENT:
33. Further discussion? Senator Geo-Karis.

1. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

2. Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.

3. I notice that this amendment on House Bill 1310, amends
4. the Retailers Occupation Tax, Use Tax, Service Occupation Tax
5. and Service Use Tax Acts so I feel that the amendment is...the
6. bill calls for then...the amendment is germane to it. The people
7. of Illinois are tired of increased taxation which seems to be
8. in the offing because of inflation and because of the Road Fund.
9. I think this is a very sensible amendment and as Senator Berning
10. said, it can be amended by the Governor on his amendatory veto
11. process if he should decide to decrease it. We have five
12. agencies siphoning off the Road Fund now. If we don't take some
13. constructive measures to alleviate the pain of more taxation
14. to the people of Illinois who are very, very overburdened
15. with this miserable inflation of nineteen and a half percent
16. a year and do it in a more constructive way as this amendment
17. would like to have it done, 'cause your automobiles and vehicles
18. and equipment do use the roads. We are not really doing
19. our job by the people of Illinois. This will eliminate another
20. tax and I certainly ask for the support of this amendment and
21. I'd like to have a verified roll call on it.

22. PRESIDENT:

23. Is there any further discussion? Senator Savickas.

24. SENATOR SAVICKAS:

25. Yes, Mr. President. I rarely get up for a second time
26. but I think we ought to put this amendment in its proper perspective.
27. Legislation of this nature of itself, not as an amendment,
28. bills have been opposed this Session in part because of the
29. administrative difficulties. Now, we talk about business in
30. Illinois. The retailers involved in selling a variety of goods
31. including automotive goods, we're talking about Penney's and
32. Ward's and Sears and whatnot, they do not isolate gross receipts
33. from these sales when making sales tax returns. Now, the sales
tax on these items, would, in many instances be extremely difficult

1. to identify...to identify them and adapt them for the tax relief.
2. If Senator Berning, other than for what his probable press release
3. for his reelection campaign has put out, realizes this, they
4. should introduce this as a special piece of legislation so that
5. the retailers in our State can identify and isolate these problems
6. and make the bill workable. It's foolish to fool the public,
7. fool the legislators in saying that this is workable at this time
8. and I would urge its defeat.

9. PRESIDENT:

10. Any further discussion? Senator Berning may close the debate.

11. SENATOR BERNING:

12. Thank you, Mr. President. Just one brief comment. This is good
13. government. This is people legislation. Ladies and Gentlemen,
14. I ask for your favorable vote. The citizens back home will honor you.

15. PRESIDENT:

16. Senator Berning has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to
17. House Bill 1310. Those in favor of the amendment will vote Aye.
18. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all
19. voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that
20. question the Ayes are 23, the Nays are 31, none Voting Present.
21. The amendment fails. Further amendments?

22. SECRETARY:

23. No further amendments.

24. PRESIDENT:

25. 3rd reading. We've got a request from the Gentleman from the Sub-
26. urban Trib to take still photographs. Is leave granted? Leave is granted.
27. 1324, Senator Berman. Yes, Senator Bruce, for what purpose
28. do you arise?

29. SENATOR BRUCE:

30. I just wonder in these last two or three days when
31. all these people are asking for still photographs and television
32. coverage whether we could consider or you would consider from
33. time to time putting a time limit. I...I noticed yesterday
that there was permission given, about four hours later we were

HB 1324
2nd reading
6-22-79

1. still recording. It would help some of us if we knew that there
2. were still photographers around for an hour or so and then
3. they were gone. Perhaps it ought to be considered in the last
4. days here as we get looking a little haggared.

5. PRESIDENT:

6. I think the request is reasonable. We will ask the
7. Gentleman to confine himself for the period of one hour.
8. 1324. On the Order of House Bills, 2nd reading, House Bill 1324.
9. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

10. SECRETARY:

11. House Bill 1324.

12. (Secretary reads title of bill)

13. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Insurance and Licensed
14. Activities offers one amendment.

15. PRESIDENT:

16. Senator Berman.

17. SENATOR BERMAN:

18. Thank you, Mr. President. Committee Amendment No. 1 to
19. House Bill 1324 is a clarifying amendment dealing with the
20. definition of companies in dealing with the political contributions.
21. In effect, it exempts the holding companies from the definition
22. of insurance company. I move the adoption of Committee
23. Amendment No. 1.

24. PRESIDENT:

25. Senator Berman has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment
26. No. 1 to House Bill 1324. Is there any discussion? If not, all
27. in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it.
28. The amendment is adopted. Any further amendments?

29. SECRETARY:

30. No further committee amendments.

31. PRESIDENT:

32. Any amendments from the Floor?

33. SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

1. PRESIDENT:

2. 3rd reading. 1357, Senator Maragos. On the Order of
3. House Bills, 2nd reading, House Bill 1357. Read the bill, Mr.
4. Secretary.

5. SECRETARY:

6. House Bill 1357.

7. (Secretary reads title of bill)

8. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

9. PRESIDENT:

10. Any amendments from the Floor?

11. SECRETARY:

12. Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Maragos.

13. PRESIDENT:

14. Senator Maragos.

15. SENATOR MARAGOS:

16. Mr. President and members of the Senate. The Amendment No. 1
17. to House Bill 1357 is a technical amendment to make sure that
18. the language is uniform throughout the bill, especially when it
19. deals with the question of the manifest weight of the evidence.
20. One of the paragraphs still has the wording preponderance of the
21. evidence and we ask this at the request of the staff that this
22. amendment be placed on and I move for its adoption.

23. PRESIDENT:

24. Senator Maragos has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1
25. to House Bill 1357. Is there any discussion? If not, all in favor
26. signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment
27. is adopted. Any further amendments?

28. SECRETARY:

29. No further amendments.

30. PRESIDENT:

31. 3rd reading. 1372, Senator Bruce. On the Order of House
32. Bills, 2nd reading, House Bill 1372. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

33. SECRETARY:

House Bill 1372.

1. (Secretary reads title of bill)

2. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Insurance and Licensed

3. Activities offers one amendment.

4. PRESIDENT:

5. Senator Bruce.

6. SENATOR BRUCE:

7. Well, thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I

8. would move to Table Committee Amendment No. 1 which was added when

9. there was a lot of discussion. We now have another amendment...

10. Senator Rupp has another one to propose. I think this bill is

11. obviously going to go into a Conference Committee and the best

12. thing is to Table. No one seems to be happy with the committee

13. amendment. I think there is no objection to Tabling that one.

14. Move to Table Committee Amendment No. 1.

15. PRESIDENT:

16. Senator Bruce has moved to Table Committee Amendment No. 1

17. to House Bill 1372. Is there any discussion? If not, all in

18. favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it.

19. The amendment is Tabled. Further amendments?

20. SECRETARY:

21. No further committee amendments.

22. PRESIDENT:

23. Any amendments from the Floor?

24. SECRETARY:

25. Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Rupp.

26. PRESIDENT:

27. Senator Rupp.

28. SENATOR RUPP:

29. Mr. President. I would defer to Senator Bruce. He has an

30. amendment and I thought that had been agreed to.

31. PRESIDENT:

32. All right.

33. SECRETARY:

Amendment...Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Bruce.

1. PRESIDENT:

2. Senator Bruce.

3. SENATOR BRUCE:

4. I think...thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate.
5. This bill is the one that regulates the testing requirements within
6. the Department of Insurance to license agents and brokers. It is
7. fair to say that there is a good deal of controversy over the exact
8. wording, although no one is in favor of discriminatory testing.
9. Several years ago, we changed to a testing bureau, the Educational
10. Testing System in...out east and they have...in the first couple of
11. exams we found a great deal of discrimination and at least a large
12. number of people who have failed the examination. We have tried to
13. work out an amendment with ETS, the Department of Insurance, the
14. Insurance Agents Associations and with the industry at large.
15. We have been unsuccessful. I had this bill passed out of the House with
16. the agreement of Representative Epton and other members of
17. the House that it would come back to them with an amendment, they
18. would nonconcur and it would go into Conference Committee. We cannot
19. get all the House members and all of the Senate members to agree
20. on any language and unless we can, we are obviously not going to
21. pass this bill. We have, I think it's fair to say, solved our
22. differences on job related and on the question of adverse impact.
23. The definition that's in this amendment, I think is in Senator
24. Rupp's amendment. The question has boiled down to whether or not the
25. firm who also offers the examination is covered by the legislation
26. or not. Adverse impact and job related have been solved. The only
27. question is whether or not the firm that also gives the examination
28. is subject to the fact that they cannot give a discriminatory
29. examination. Frankly, Gentlemen, I would solicit your support. It
30. has to go back to the House. The House members are going to take
31. a very close look at this and I'm fairly certain it will go into
32. Conference Committee. I would move the adoption of Amendment No. 2.

33. PRESIDENT:

1. Senator Bruce has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to
2. House Bill 1372. Is there any discussion? If not, all in favor
3. signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The
4. amendment is adopted. Further amendments?
5. SECRETARY:
6. Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Rupp.
7. PRESIDENT:
8. Senator Rupp.
9. SENATOR RUPP:
10. Thank you, Mr. President. What this does is really right after
11. the...deletes everything after the enacting clause and does go through
12. and presents a picture similar to that which Senator Bruce has
13. given. However, his approach and the approach of the basic
14. bill as far as the Insurance Department is concerned, they have
15. said that they would be unable, they would have to stop giving
16. examinations for insurance if this bill is passed the way it
17. is and as amended with Senator Bruce's amendment on it.
18. I ask for a passage of this amendment in lieu of the one
19. that was just passed.
20. PRESIDENT:
21. Is there any discussion? Senator Bruce.
22. SENATOR BRUCE:
23. I just rise in opposition to this amendment. What is in the
24. amendment is good. It does not go far enough. It's merely a
25. reporting bill...well, the...Senator Rupp is pointing out, I didn't
26. oppose you. The two amendments are in complete conflict.
27. And what...these two amendments, Senator Rupp, are in opposition.
28. I assumed that we understood that they would...they cannot both
29. be adopted. They are in conflict with one another. And I just
30. don't see how we can...Emil Jones has taken a close look at this bill
31. and as have many House members. I think the best thing, frankly...
32. I don't want to oppose this too strongly, Senator Rupp. Frankly,
33. it does require the reporting, but it doesn't go as far as
they would like to on the definition of adverse impact and job

1. related. It seems to me the best thing to ship it back to the House,
2. this isn't going to satisfy them either, and put it in some
3. sort of Conference Committee.

4. PRESIDENT:

5. Senator Rupp may close the debate.

6. SENATOR RUPP:

7. Thank you, Mr. President. One or two other items as far as
8. the bill is concerned and these amendments. The National
9. Association of Insurance Commissioners right now is examining
10. this same question. This is not unique to the State of Illinois
11. and the same question is up in other states and it will be
12. addressed by the Insurance Commissioners and they are meeting
13. right...within this month. The Insurance Department has indicated
14. they could not give any tests. They would have to stop licensing
15. new agents if this is passed. There also is a lawsuit underway
16. involving those who are pushing this bill and the testing
17. outfit. I think that, too, is another reason why there should be
18. a delay or a change...making this change and I ask that Amendment
19. No. 2...3...No. 3 be given a favorable roll call.

20. PRESIDENT:

21. Senator Rupp has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to
22. House Bill 1372. Those in favor of the amendment will vote Aye.
23. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted
24. who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that
25. question the Ayes are 21, the Nays are 31, none Voting Present.
26. The amendment fails. Further amendments?

27. SECRETARY:

28. No further amendments.

29. PRESIDENT:

30. 3rd reading. 1408, Senator McMillan. 1434, Senator Daley.
31. 1498, Senator Joyce. Middle of page 56. On the Order of House
32. Bills, 2nd reading, House Bill 1498. Read the bill, Mr.
33. Secretary.

HB 1576
2nd reading
6-22-79

1. SECRETARY:

2. House Bill 1498.

3. (Secretary reads title of bill)

4. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Local Government

5. offers one amendment.

6. PRESIDENT:

7. Senator Joyce.

8. SENATOR JEREMIAH JOYCE:

9. Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I move
10. to lay Committee Amendment No. 1 on the Table.

11. PRESIDENT:

12. Senator Joyce has moved to Table Committee Amendment No.

13. 1 to House Bill 1498. Is there any discussion? Senator Bowers.

14. SENATOR BOWERS:

15. I'd just like to inquire why, of the sponsor, that we're
16. Tabling since I was kind of proposing the amendment.

17. PRESIDENT:

18. Senator Joyce.

19. SENATOR JEREMIAH JOYCE:

20. Take it out of the record.

21. PRESIDENT:

22. All right. Take it out of the record, Mr. Secretary.

23. 1576, Senator Bruce. On the Order of House Bills, 2nd

24. reading, House Bill 1576. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

25. SECRETARY:

26. House Bill 1576.

27. (Secretary reads title of bill)

28. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments. No, I'm

29. sorry. Committee Amendment No. 1 offered by Elementary and

30. Secondary Education.

31. PRESIDENT:

32. Senator Bruce.

33. SENATOR BRUCE:

Senator Berman has the amendment which incorporates

1. all of Amendment No. 1. I would...that was my amendment in
2. committee. I would move to Table that and then I think he has
3. Amendment No. 2 which incorporates 1 and adds additional language.

4. PRESIDENT:

5. All right. Senator Bruce has moved to Table Committee
6. Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1576. Is there any discussion? If not
7. all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes
8. have it. The amendment is Tabled. Further amendments?

9. SECRETARY:

10. No further committee amendments.

11. PRESIDENT:

12. Any amendments from the Floor?

13. SECRETARY:

14. Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Berman.

15. PRESIDENT:

16. Senator Berman.

17. SENATOR BERMAN:

18. Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 2 is a...is the
19. ...incorporates everything that was in Amendment No. 1 about
20. dismissal by seniority, but it has an exception which provides
21. that this will not affect districts where there have been
22. collective bargaining agreements or contracts between the
23. board and a professional faculty member's organization
24. that provides...that addresses the subject matter and provides
25. differently. So, I move the adoption of Amendment No. 2.

26. PRESIDENT:

27. Senator Berman has moved the adoption of Amendment No.
28. 2 to House Bill 1576. Is there any discussion? If not, all in
29. favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it.
30. The amendment is adopted. Any further amendments?

31. SECRETARY:

32. No further amendments.

33. PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 1588. 1622, Senator Daley. 1645, Senator Rupp.

1. All right. We'll hold that. 1763, Senator Joyce. On the Order
2. of House Bills, 2nd reading, House Bill 1763. Read the bill, Mr.
3. Secretary.

4. SECRETARY:

5. House Bill 1763.

6. (Secretary reads title of bill)

7. 2nd reading of the bill. The committee on Local Government
8. offers one amendment.

9. PRESIDENT:

10. Senator Joyce.

11. SENATOR JEREMIAH JOYCE:

12. Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. The
13. amendment would change the bill so that the present Act
14. would delete the requirement that police officers complete their
15. firearm training within six months of employment. If there
16. are no objections, I would move its adoption at this time.

17. PRESIDENT:

18. Senator Joyce has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1
19. to House Bill 1763. Is there any discussion? Senator Grotberg.

20. SENATOR GROTBORG:

21. I've been notified by our staff that there may...
22. the amendment may be technically incorrect, Senator
23. Joyce. Is that...would the...somebody rule on that? Doesn't
24. fit the...

25. PRESIDENT:

26. Senator Grotberg.

27. SENATOR GROTBORG:

28. It has to do with the section number and the deletion of
29. Section 1 and 2, with permission we'll point it out to you down
30. there.

31. PRESIDENT:

32. Take it out of the record. 1891, Senator Regner. On the
33. Order of House Bills, 2nd reading, House Bill 1891. Read the bill,
Mr. Secretary.

1. SECRETARY:

2. House Bill 1891.

3. (Secretary reads title of bill)

4. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

5. PRESIDENT:

6. Any amendments from the Floor?

7. SECRETARY:

8. Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Regner.

9. PRESIDENT:

10. Senator Regner.

11. SENATOR REGNER:

12. Yes, Mr. President and members. This is the enterprise
13. zone bill. What this amendment does, does three things, in
14. particular, there were objections that were raised in the
15. committee and I agreed to this amendment being prepared before
16. I move the bill on 2nd. Number one, the amendment deletes all
17. references to units of local government and replaces them with
18. counties and municipalities. This was done to avoid a situation
19. where a small unit of local government such as a library or park
20. district to declare an area as a depressed area. Second, the
21. special taxing provisions on page 3 of the bill are rewritten
22. so then instead of lowering the amount of taxes levied, the
23. amendment phases-in the amount of assessments made by the actual
24. equalized assessed valuation. And four, it totally deletes
25. Section 10 which was the section that was objectionable in
26. committee and I would move for the adoption of Amendment No. 1.

27. PRESIDENT:

28. Senator Regner has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1
29. to House Bill 1891. Is there any discussion? Senator Savickas.
30. All right. Senator Regner has moved the adoption of Amendment
31. No. 1 to House Bill 1891. Is there any discussion? If not, all
32. in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it.
33. The amendment is adopted. Any further amendments?

SECRETARY:

HB 1903
6/22/79
2nd reading

1. No further amendments.

2. PRESIDENT:

3. 3rd reading. On the Order of House Bills, 2nd reading,

4. House Bill 1763. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

5. SECRETARY:

6. House Bill 1763.

7. (Secretary reads title of bill)

8. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Local Government

9. offers one amendment.

10. PRESIDENT:

11. Senator Joyce.

12. SENATOR JEREMIAH JOYCE:

13. Mr. President, to address myself to the question
14. of whether or not the amendment as explained is technically
15. incorrect, I wish the Body to be informed that the Enrolling and
16. Engrossing indicates that it is acceptable as drafted. I move
17. its adoption at this time.

18. PRESIDENT:

19. Senator Joyce has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1
20. to House Bill 1763. Is there any discussion? If not, all in
21. favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it.
22. The amendment is adopted. Any further amendments?

23. SECRETARY:

24. No further committee amendments.

25. PRESIDENT:

26. Any amendments from the Floor?

27. SECRETARY:

28. No Floor amendments.

29. PRESIDENT:

30. 3rd reading. 1903, Senator McLendon. On the Order of House
31. Bills, 2nd reading, House Bill 1903. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

32. SECRETARY:

33. House Bill 1903.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

1. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.
2. PRESIDENT:
3. Any amendments from the Floor?
4. SECRETARY:
5. No Floor amendments.
6. PRESIDENT:
7. 3rd reading. 1911, Senator Rupp. On the Order of House
8. Bills, 2nd reading, House Bill 1911. Read the bill, Mr.
9. Secretary.
10. SECRETARY:
11. House Bill 1911.
12. (Secretary reads title of bill)
13. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Transportation offers
14. one amendment.
15. PRESIDENT:
16. Senator Rupp. Senator Vadalabene has moved the adoption of
17. Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1911. Is there any
18. discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye.
19. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Any
20. further amendments?
21. SECRETARY:
22. No further committee amendments.
23. PRESIDENT:
24. Any amendments from the Floor?
25. SECRETARY:
26. Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Davidson...or 2.
27. PRESIDENT:
28. Senator Davidson.
29. SENATOR DAVIDSON:
30. Mr. President and members of the Senate. This amendment
31. does what was agreed on in committee that three things will happen.
32. One is, one thirty-second will go to the downstate mass transit
33. district or that operating amount whichever is greater.

1. Secondly and most importantly, it will give the bi-State and...
2. the bi-State representative was there, agreed to this amendment,
3. to give them three thirty-seconds this year. It automatically
4. reverts to two thirty-seconds on July 1, 1980 and that the
5. area must put forth a local effort like all the other mass transit
6. districts and if they don't do it, it reverts to one thirty-
7. second like all the rest of it. I move the adoption of the
8. amendment.

9. PRESIDENT:

10. Senator Davidson has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2
11. to House Bill 1911. Is there any discussion? If not, all in
12. favor...Senator Vadalabene.

13. SENATOR VADALABENE:

14. Yes, Mr. President and members of the Senate. This amendment
15. has been worked out thoroughly. It's a very strong amendment.
16. It's sending us a message down in the Metro-East area. We've
17. worked it out with Senator Rupp and with Senator Davidson.
18. I wholeheartedly agree with the amendment, even though we have
19. our work cut out for us in the bi-State area and I also
20. move for its adoption.

21. PRESIDENT:

22. Senator Chew.

23. SENATOR CHEW:

24. Senator Vadalabene, may I have a question, please, on the
25. amendment?

26. PRESIDENT:

27. Indicates he will yield. Senator Chew.

28. SENATOR CHEW:

29. Is this the amendment we discussed in committee on the
30. two thirty-seconds?

31. PRESIDENT:

32. Senator Vadalabene.

33. SENATOR VADALABENE:

Yes, this is the amendment that was worked out in committee.

1. PRESIDENT:
2. Senator Chew.
3. SENATOR CHEW:
4. What is the final reading on it?
5. PRESIDENT:
6. Senator Vadalabene.
7. SENATOR VADALABENE:
8. The final reading was just explained by Senator Davidson
9. that this will add the additional one thirty-second for this
10. year only in Fiscal 1980 and that we have to come up with some
11. type of a matching grant in the Fiscal 1981.
12. PRESIDENT:
13. Senator Chew.
14. SENATOR CHEW:
15. Senator Rupp, is that in keeping with what we designed in the
16. committee?
17. PRESIDENT:
18. Senator Rupp.
19. SENATOR CHEW:
20. Everything is all right?
21. SENATOR RUPP:
22. Yes, Sir, fine. I think it was very fine work with the three...
23. just exactly what it was meant to be.
24. PRESIDENT:
25. Any further discussion? If not, Senator Davidson has moved
26. the adoption of House...Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 1911.
27. All in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have
28. it. The amendment is adopted. Any further amendments?
29. SECRETARY:
30. No further amendments.
31. PRESIDENT:
32. 3rd reading. 1913', Senator Lemke. On the Order of
33. House Bills, 2nd reading, House Bill 1913. Read the bill, Mr.
Secretary.

1. SECRETARY:
2. House Bill 1913.
3. (Secretary reads title of bill)
4. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.
5. PRESIDENT:
6. Any amendments from the Floor?
7. SECRETARY:
8. No Floor amendments.
9. PRESIDENT:
10. 3rd reading. 1914, Senator Lemke. On the Order of House
11. Bills, 2nd reading, House Bill 1914. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.
12. SECRETARY:
13. House Bill 1914.
14. (Secretary reads title of bill)
15. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Elections and
16. Reapportionment offers one amendment.
17. PRESIDENT:
18. Senator Lemke moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No.
19. 1 to House Bill 1914. Is there any discussion? If not, all in
20. favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it.
21. The amendment is adopted. Any further amendments?
22. SECRETARY:
23. No further committee amendments.
24. PRESIDENT:
25. Any amendments from the Floor?
26. SECRETARY:
27. Amendment No. 2 offered by Senators Nimrod, Lemke and Rhoads.
28. PRESIDENT:
29. Senator Nimrod.
30. SENATOR NIMROD:
31. Thank you...thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen
32. of the Senate. This amendment addresses itself to the case of
33. special elections and congressional elections, where, in fact, if
they fall within three months of the period of either a primary or

1. a general election, it gives the Governor the option to
2. move that to either a primary date or a general election date in
3. order to save the cost of having a special election so close to
4. one of those regular election days. And it gives it the option
5. for the Governor when he sets the election. If there are any
6. questions, I'd be happy to answer them. If not, I would move
7. for the adoption of the amendment.

8. PRESIDENT:

9. Senator Nimrod has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to
10. House Bill 1914. Is there any discussion? If not, all in favor
11. signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The
12. amendment is adopted. Any further amendments?

13. SECRETARY:

14. No further amendments.

15. PRESIDENT:

16. 3rd reading. 1922, Senator Nedza. On the Order of House Bills,
17. 2nd reading, House Bill 1922. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

18. SECRETARY:

19. House Bill 1922.

20. (Secretary reads title of bill)

21. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

22. PRESIDENT:

23. Any amendments from the Floor?

24. SECRETARY:

25. Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Nedza.

26. PRESIDENT:

27. Senator Nedza.

28. SENATOR NEDZA:

29. Yes, Mr. President. At the time that the bill was in committee,
30. Senator Davidson and Senator Coffey had amendments to the bill
31. and I asked them to hold the bill until the...the amendment
32. until such-time as that we worked out all the objections to the
33. ...the bill itself. Their objections are incorporated
in this amendment, industry objections are also incorporated

1. in this amendment. It cleans up the definitions, tightens
2. up some of the existing language and provides for some of the
3. record keeping requirements tailored to that specific industry.
4. If there is no discussion, I would move its adoption.

5. PRESIDENT:

6. Senator Nedza moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House
7. Bill 1922. Is there any discussion? If not, all in favor signify
8. by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment
9. is adopted. Any further amendments?

10. SECRETARY:

11. No further amendments.

12. PRESIDENT:

13. 3rd reading. Senator McLendon, 1939. On the Order of
14. House Bills, 2nd reading, House Bill 1939. Read the bill, Mr.
15. Secretary.

16. SECRETARY:

17. House Bill 1939.

18. (Secretary reads title of bill)

19. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

20. PRESIDENT:

21. Any amendments from the Floor?

22. SECRETARY:

23. Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Bowers.

24. PRESIDENT:

25. Senator Bowers.

26. SENATOR BOWERS:

27. Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 1 to House Bill
28. 1939 seeks to solve a problem in DuPage County by permitting
29. retired judges under certain limited circumstances to perform
30. marriage ceremonies. I'd introduced Senate Bill 551 which
31. was objected to by the court administrator. We've met all those
32. objections. I've checked with both sides of the aisle and
33. committee chairman and the minority spokesman and everyone is
in agreement and I would move adoption of the amendment.

HB 2012
2nd reading
6-22-79

1. PRESIDENT:

2. Senator Bowers moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to
3. House Bill 1939. Is there any discussion? If not, all in favor
4. signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The
5. amendment is adopted. Any further amendments?

6. SECRETARY:

7. No further amendments.

8. PRESIDENT:

9. 3rd reading. 1956, Senator Daley. On the Order of House
10. Bills, 2nd reading, House Bill 1956. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

11. SECRETARY:

12. House Bill 1956.

13. (Secretary reads title of bill)

14. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

15. PRESIDENT:

16. Any amendments from the Floor?

17. SECRETARY:

18. No Floor amendments.

19. PRESIDENT:

20. 3rd reading. 2012, Senator Bruce. No, 1997, I beg your
21. pardon. Senator Daley, 1997. 2012, Senator Bruce. Senator
22. Bruce. Bottom of page 57. On the Order of House Bills, 2nd
23. reading, House Bill 2012. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

24. SECRETARY:

25. House Bill 2012.

26. (Secretary reads title of bill)

27. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Pensions and Personnel
28. offers one amendment.

29. PRESIDENT:

30. Senator Bruce.

31. SENATOR BRUCE:

32. Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. This is
33. the amendment adopted in committee which clarifies on this pension
bill which is a pension sheltering proposal, that the pension systems

1. involved, which include all pensions in the State of Illinois, would
2. not be able to institute this new program until such time as
3. they had a favorable opinion from the Internal Revenue Service
4. or a favorable Federal court opinion. It was an agreement by all
5. parties that that is when the program should go into effect and
6. I move the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1.

7. PRESIDENT:

8. Senator Bruce has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment
9. No. 1 to House Bill 2012. Is there any discussion? If not,
10. all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have
11. it. The amendment is adopted. Any further amendments?

12. SECRETARY:

13. No further committee amendments.

14. PRESIDENT:

15. Any amendments from the Floor?

16. SECRETARY:

17. Amendment No. 2 offered by Senators Berning and Mitchler.

18. PRESIDENT:

19. Senator Berning.

20. SENATOR BERNING:

21. Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate.
22. A day or two ago, this Body rejected House Bill 733 which
23. would have authorized investment of our State pension funds in the
24. World Bank, the Asian Bank and the International Development
25. Bank. One of the objections to the bill or one of the reservations
26. about voting for or against the House Bill the other day,
27. was the fact that some time back, the authorization for investment
28. of...in these same banks was granted to the State Board of
29. Investments. Mr. President and members, I said at that time, that
30. I agreed that that was an incongruous situation and I would
31. personally offer the avenue to remove that and that's what this
32. amendment to House Bill 2012 does, simply strikes the authorization
33. for the State Board of Investments to invest our pension
funds in these banks which, in my opinion, is an unwarranted

1. authorization when they are privileged to invest in governments
2. throughout the world, many of whom, I am convinced, are not
3. friendly to this nation.

4. PRESIDENT:

5. Senator Berning has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2
6. to House Bill 2012. Is there any discussion? Senator Bruce.

7. SENATOR BRUCE:

8. Thank you, Mr. President. As so often with Senator Berning,
9. he has an excellent idea, but I don't want it on my bill.
10. This bill has a two hundred and seven page amendment already on it.
11. It affects, I think, eighty-three present pension systems in
12. Illinois already and to get into the battle about the Asian
13. Development Bank and the Reconstruction Bank and all of that
14. in House Bill 2012 is just not a good idea. Karl, I...and I
15. said we'd agreed to let you take a shot at this, but I rise in
16. opposition to it. It seems to me the best thing to do on this
17. amendment is to leave it off a bill which already is heavy
18. and let it be put in next year and we will debate this issue
19. in a separate piece of legislation. It is just not a good idea
20. in a bill that's already had two hundred and seven pages of
21. amendments written into it.

22. PRESIDENT:

23. Further discussion? Senator Egan.

24. SENATOR EGAN:

25. Yes...yes, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I would
26. just like to point out to Senator Berning that...that the United States
27. is a...is a member of this bank and investing in the United
28. States is...investments doesn't seem to me to be unpatriotic.
29. I don't think that your amendment does what you really want to do,
30. Senator. There is no opposition to investing in the Asian Development
31. Bank as a matter of patriotism. The Board of Investments, if they
32. can make money on the Asian Bank to satisfy and build up the Pension
33. Fund, your patriotism, albeit, pure in thought, I don't think is...
is pure in deed and I rise in opposition to the amendment.

1. PRESIDENT:

2. Further discussion? Senator Maragos.

3. SENATOR MARAGOS:

4. Mr. President and members of the Senate. The previous speaker
5. highlighted the points I wished to make and therefore, I vote
6. against this amendment.

7. PRESIDENT:

8. Further discussion? Senator Mitchler.

9. SENATOR MITCHLER:

10. Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate.
11. I think the amendment that Senator Berning offers is very appropos
12. and the reason we have to have it as an amendment is because
13. just, I believe it was yesterday, we did defeat, or it was the
14. day before yesterday, we did defeat Senate Bill...House Bill 733,
15. which would have authorized extension of the investment authorization
16. in the Asian Bank. At that time, it was brought out what the Asian
17. Development Bank consisted of, where it invested its funds. I might
18. point out that in committee I voted Do Pass on House Bill 733
19. because as it was put to me at the time, this was a bank that
20. we could get a greater amount of interest on the investment.
21. I did not know of the background of the Asian Development Bank
22. until between the time of the committee and the time the bill was
23. called for 3rd reading, I had an opportunity to learn where they
24. invest their funds. Now, I want to point out to you that the Veterans
25. of Foreign Wars are now having their convention up in Rosemont or they
26. would be down here knocking at your door of your offices to
27. express their interest. The AMVETS are meeting over in Peoria
28. this week and...or you would be getting communications from them.
29. Now, let me tell you, the people back home don't like to see
30. their pension system in the State of Illinois invested in banks
31. that give you this greater return of interest and then go over and
32. invest in Cambodia and Laos and Vietnam where it's absolutely
33. in turmoil. You find out what those investments are when those
countries change hands, when they go from one to another.

1. Now, it just doesn't make sense for a few paltry percentage
2. points...I don't know what interest those members of the General
3. Assembly have in the Asian Development Bank. I know and I can
4. declare publically, I have no interest, I don't even know very
5. much about the bank itself, but apparently there's a great deal
6. of interest in seeing that this type of legislation goes through
7. to get this authorization for the investment of these funds in that
8. bank. I think we've got enough communities...

9. PRESIDENT:

10. Will you conclude your remarks, Senator.

11. SENATOR MITCHLER:

12. ...in the State of Illinois...I'll sum it up, Gentlemen
13. and Ladies of the Senate. I think we've got enough communities
14. in the State of Illinois and throughout the nation that we have
15. to invest our funds where we can reap the benefits of these
16. investments. I urge you to support Senator Berning's amendment
17. that I'm cosponsoring with him.

18. PRESIDENT:

19. Further discussion? Senator D'Arco.

20. SENATOR D'ARCO:

21. Thank you...thank you, Mr. President. I rise in opposition
22. to this amendment. Under Senator Mitchler's thinking, there
23. wouldn't have been any martial plan because we would have allowed all
24. the countries in western Europe to fend for themselves and the
25. great President Truman, who happened to be a Democrat, had more
26. farsight in this than Senator Mitchler has. And he decided to help
27. the people of western Europe. There wouldn't have been any
28. economic help to the people of Germany after the Second World War.
29. There wouldn't have been any economic help to the people of Japan
30. and it seems that we have to take an approach to try and be
31. a little farsighted here and try to avoid what is called tunnel-
32. vision which Senator Mitchler suffers from on occasion. We have
33. to know where we are going. The investments are very minuscule
anyway. We're not talking about large amounts of money and far be it

1. for me to say whether or not these monies are being
2. used for good and proper uses. So, I rise to oppose this
3. amendment.

4. PRESIDENT:

5. Further discussion? Senator Wooten.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12. End of reel.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

1. SENATOR WOOTEN:

2. Thank you, Mr. President. I note that there's not one
3. bank involved here, but three. I have a question for Senator
4. Bruce. Are we currently investing in any of these or any of
5. the pension systems currently investing in any of these three
6. banks?

7. PRESIDENT:

8. Senator Bruce.

9. SENATOR BRUCE:

10. Senator, this is in the present Act and we are allowed...de-
11. ficient boards to invest in these three banks. I cannot tell
12. you whether they are presently investing and my...my best knowledge
13. is that we have funds in all of these banks, but I wouldn't want
14. to be held how much or if at all, but I am...I am told that we
15. are investing in all of these presently.

16. PRESIDENT:

17. Senator Wooten.

18. SENATOR WOOTEN:

19. Well, I think then for the sake of the headline kind of
20. patriotism, we ought not go jeopardizing the present financial
21. structure of our political system and may I remind you, I said
22. from time to time that I think I'm a better capitolist than many
23. people who profess themselves to be far to the right of me. What's
24. wrong with making money from a communist or socialist nation? The
25. best way to destroy socialism and communism is by creeping
26. capitulism. I think this is...I think the...I personally think
27. that the amendment does a disservice to capitulism. Why are we
28. always so timorous, so frightened that capitulism is so weak. It
29. has to be propped up. It will be knocked over. What's wrong with
30. a little confidence in the system we all purport to support? Let
31. capitulism get in there and work. I think it will do a great job
32. for all of us.

33. PRESIDENT:

1. Any further discussion? Senator Berning may close the
2. debate.

3. SENATOR BERNING:

4. Thank you, Mr. President. This, in no way, has any impact
5. on capitolism. I wholly support the idea of private industry
6. investing in any of the countries that they want, but these are
7. public pension system dollars. If we have more than available
8. for investment then we are presently utilizing let's put them
9. in...the areas of our own nation, our own State, our own City
10. of Chicago where they're short of investment dollars. Now, I
11. say to you, Ladies and Gentlemen, that we have an overriding
12. obligation to the participants in our pension systems. It is
13. up to us to guarantee that those funds are never in jeopardy and
14. I suggest to you that anything invested in any of the communist
15. countries is in jeopardy and if you need any further reminder
16. just remember that only about two days ago in Nicaragua an
17. American was executed. That country is in turmoil and that
18. country is one of those in which the Asian Bank or the Inter-
19. national Development Bank or the other bank of the three are
20. eligible to invest our citizens, our workers...yes, your and my
21. pension fund dollars and I think it is totally inappropriate.
22. There is ample opportunity right here in Illinois to invest all
23. of the pension fund dollars we have. I suggest to you, Ladies and
24. Gentlemen, it's incumbent upon us to take every step necessary
25. to assure that to the extent we can we protect those public funds.
26. I ask for your favorable vote.

27. PRESIDENT:

28. Senator Berning has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to
29. House Bill 2012. Those in favor of the amendment will vote Aye.
30. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted
31. who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that
32. question, the Ayes are 15, the Nays are 35, none Voting Present.
33. The amendment fails. Further amendments?

1. SECRETARY:

2. Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Bruce.

3. PRESIDENT:

4. Senator Bruce.

5. SENATOR BRUCE:

6. Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. Amendment
7. No. 3, is suggested by the fact that the present Act does not
8. reflect or the bill, as drafted, does not reflect the present
9. Act as relates to female employee contributions. In 1974 we
10. made female contributions equal to the male employee contributions
11. on October the 1st, 1974 and additionally, the amendment strikes
12. out Death Benefit Contributions Section, which is really not a
13. pension benefit, but a life insurance benefit and it should not
14. be included for tax sheltering annuity purposes. That is what
15. the effect of Amendment No. 3 would be. It has the approval of
16. the House sponsor and I believe most of the members that have
17. seen it, so I would ask for adoption of Amendment No. 3.

18. PRESIDENT:

19. Senator Bruce has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to
20. House Bill 2012. Is there any discussion? If not, all in favor
21. signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The
22. amendment is adopted. Any further amendments?

23. SECRETARY:

24. Amendment No. 4 offered by Senator Bruce.

25. PRESIDENT:

26. Senator Bruce.

27. SENATOR BRUCE:

28. Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. This
29. just makes clear that the contributions made on behalf of the
30. participants when we shelter income into a pension program are
31. only those contributions made by the employer and picked up by
32. a reduction in the cash salary of the employee or as an offset
33. against the future salary increase or as a combination of a

1. reduction and an offset. It's just an definitionaly problem
2. that was brought up by one of the systems. I think it improves
3. the bill and would move the adoption of Amendment No. 4.

4. PRESIDENT:

5. Senator Bruce has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 4 to
6. House Bill 2012. Is there any discussion? If not, all in favor
7. signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The
8. amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

9. SECRETARY:

10. Amendment No. 5 offered by Senator Bruce.

11. PRESIDENT:

12. Senator Bruce.

13. SENATOR BRUCE:

14. Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. Amendment
15. No. 5 has the...all the other systems moved to an effective date
16. of January 1st, 1980. House Bill 700, which I'm also handling
17. deals with the University Civil Service. This has the other sixty-
18. three or eighty-three systems in the State and so the effective
19. date would be January the 1st, 1980 so we get into one taxing
20. year for withholding purposes for the Internal Revenue Service
21. and would move the adoption of Amendment No. 5.

22. PRESIDENT:

23. Senator Bruce has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 5 to
24. House Bill 2012. Is there any discussion? If not, all in favor
25. signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The
26. amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

27. SECRETARY:

28. Amendment No. 6 offered by Senator Merlo.

29. PRESIDENT:

30. Senator Merlo.

31. SENATOR MERLO:

32. Thank you, Mr. President. This is a clarifying amendment.
33. It clarifies three points. First, if the employer shall be the...

1. the unit that picks up the employees contribution. The second,
2. that the automatic increase contribution shall be picked up by
3. the employer and third, it clarifies the procedure that the
4. employer shall use to pick up the contribution. I don't know
5. of any objection. I move the adoption.

6. PRESIDENT:

7. Senator Merlo has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 6
8. to House Bill 2012. Is there any discussion? If not, all in
9. favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it.
10. The amendment is adopted. Any further amendments?

11. SECRETARY:

12. No further amendments.

13. PRESIDENT:

14. 3rd reading. Senator Graham, for what purpose do you arise?

15. SENATOR GRAHAM:

16. I rise on a point of personal privilege.

17. PRESIDENT:

18. State your point, Sir.

19. SENATOR GRAHAM:

20. We've heard a lot about communist countries and war and
21. so forth today and one of the original sad sacks of the Air
22. Force has had lunch served to him at his desk and some of you
23. fellows are ready to go back into combat. Senator Weaver and
24. I have some field rations and he's going to share half for
25. lunch today and he might want to share them with you, so you
26. can all get in training, so if you want to gather around, he
27. has his cans complete with can opener and toilet paper, in case
28. you need it, so it's a very interesting lunch, Senator Weaver, and
29. I think we all...all join with him.

30. PRESIDENT:

31. Senator Nimrod.

32. SENATOR NIMROD:

33. Yes, Mr. President, on a point of personal privilege. From !

1. Peoria attending a AM-VET convention there, we have the past
2. National Commander and State Commander of AM-VETS with us, Lincoln
3. Tamarisk from Chicago who is a close, life long, personal friend
4. sitting in the balcony. I'd like to ask the Senate President if he
5. might...

6. PRESIDENT:

7. Yes. Would the gentleman please rise and be recognized.
8. Welcome to Springfield. 2168, Senator Daley. 2184, Senator
9. Graham. On the Order of House Bills, 2nd reading, House Bill 2184.
10. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

11. SECRETARY:

12. House Bill 2184.

13. (Secretary reads title of bill)

14. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Higher Education offers
15. one amendment.

16. PRESIDENT:

17. Senator Graham. Senator Davidson.

18. SENATOR DAVIDSON:

19. Yes, Mr. President, I believe we need to Table the committee
20. amendment and then adopt the Floor amendment, which I have up
21. there.

22. PRESIDENT:

23. All right. Senator Davidson moves to Table Committee Amend-
24. ment No. 1 to House Bill 2184. Is there any discussion? If not,
25. all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have
26. it. The amendment is Tabled. Further amendments?

27. SECRETARY:

28. No further committee amendments.

29. PRESIDENT:

30. Any amendments from the Floor?

31. SECRETARY:

32. Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Davidson.

33. PRESIDENT:

1. Senator Davidson.

2. SENATOR DAVIDSON:

3. Mr. President and members of the Senate. This amendment
4. does what was discussed in committee, that if this community
5. college was going to sell off this land that they had to do two
6. things. The proceeds had to sell off the bonds...pay off the
7. bonds and the interest on the purchase of the site. Secondly,
8. the remaining proceeds could...from the sale could be used for
9. the purpose of which bonds are issued or retire other bonds at
10. the same issue. Thirdly, such remaining proceeds may also be
11. used for any other authorized purpose, if such other use is
12. submitted to the voters of the district in an election called
13. in the regular manner and a majority of the votes...voters in
14. that district casts a proposition in favor of it. I move the
15. adoption of Amendment No. 2.

16. PRESIDENT:

17. Senator Davidson has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2
18. to House Bill 2184. Any discussion? Senator Graham.

19. SENATOR GRAHAM:

20. I think maybe Senator Davidson might have got carried away
21. a little bit and went a little farther than we thought he was
22. going to go. Speaking in behalf of the House sponsor and the
23. President of William Rainey Harper College, I think the objections
24. voiced from them to the adoption of this amendment, but I've
25. always been around...I've been around here long enough to know
26. that the rule of the Body always prevails and I'm rising to voice
27. their objections in the adoption of the amendment.

28. PRESIDENT:

29. All right. Senator Davidson has moved the adoption of
30. Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 2184. All in favor signify by
31. saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is
32. adopted. Any further amendments?

33. SECRETARY:

HB 2168
2nd Reading
6-22-79

1. No further amendments.
2. PRESIDENT:
3. 3rd reading. 2168. On the Order of House Bills, 2nd
4. reading, House Bill 2168. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.
5. SECRETARY:
6. House Bill 2168.
7. (Secretary reads title of bill)
8. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Judiciary I offers
9. one amendment.
10. PRESIDENT:
11. Senator Daley.
12. SENATOR DALEY:
13. This is an effective date.
14. PRESIDENT:
15. Senator Daley moves the adoption of Committee Amendment
16. No. 1 to House Bill 2168. Is there any discussion? If not,
17. all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have
18. it. The amendment is adopted. Any further amendments?
19. SECRETARY:
20. No further committee amendments.
21. PRESIDENT:
22. Any amendments from the Floor?
23. SECRETARY:
24. No Floor amendments.
25. PRESIDENT:
26. 3rd reading. 2185, Senator McMillan. On the Order of House
27. Bills, 2nd reading, House Bill 2185. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.
28. SECRETARY:
29. House Bill 2185.
30. (Secretary reads title of bill)
31. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.
32. PRESIDENT:
33. Any amendments from the Floor?

1. SECRETARY:
2. No Floor amendments.

3. PRESIDENT:
4. 3rd reading. 2205, Senator Berman. On the Order of House
5. Bills, 2nd reading, House Bill 2205. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

6. SECRETARY:
7. House Bill 2205.
8. (Secretary reads title of bill)
9. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

10. PRESIDENT:
11. Any amendments from the Floor?

12. SECRETARY:
13. No Floor amendments.

14. PRESIDENT:
15. 3rd reading. 2213, Senator Berman. On the Order of House
16. Bills, 2nd reading, House Bill 2213. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

17. SECRETARY:
18. House Bill 2213.
19. (Secretary reads title of bill)
20. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Elementary and Secondary
21. Education offers one amendment.

22. PRESIDENT:
23. Senator Berman.

24. SENATOR BERMAN:
25. Mr. President, I've discussed this with the Minority Spokesman
26. on Education. The amendment, as adopted in committee, which we
27. thought addressed most of the problems of the people concerned
28. with the Orphanage Act and the placement of children in non-public
29. facilities, we thought it had been agreed upon. Since then the
30. agreement has been questioned. We had a meeting yesterday and
31. everyone is...involved has asked that we send this bill back to
32. committee for further study, so at this time I move to recommit
33. House Bill 2213 back to the Committee on Elementary and Secondary

1. Education.

2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

3. You've heard the motion. Those in favor indicate by saying

4. Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. The bill will be recommitted.

5. House Bill 2280, Senator Knuppel. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

6. SECRETARY:

7. House Bill 2280.

8. (Secretary reads title of bill)

9. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

11. Are there amendments from the Floor?

12. SECRETARY:

13. No Floor amendments.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

15. 3rd reading. House Bill 2283, Senator Berman. Senator

16. Berman. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

17. SECRETARY:

18. House Bill 2283.

19. (Secretary reads title of bill)

20. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Executive offers

21. one amendment.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

23. Senator Berman.

24. SENATOR BERMAN:

25. Thank you. Amendment No. 1 was a clarifying amendment that

26. was adopted in committee. I move the adoption of Committee

27. Amendment No. 1.

28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

29. Is there discussion? The question is, shall Committee

30. Amendment No. 1 of House Bill 2283 be adopted. Those in favor

31. indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it.

32. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Are there further

33. committee amendments?

1. SECRETARY:
2. No further committee amendments.
3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
4. Are there amendments from the Floor?
5. SECRETARY:
6. Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Philip. It's a six
7. line amendment, Senator Philip.
8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
9. Senator Philip.
10. SENATOR PHILIP:
11. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
12. Senate. What this amendment does is provides that the State
13. cannot allocate more money than they raise in the private sector
14. the year before. I move its adoption.
15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
16. Is there discussion? Senator Berman.
17. SENATOR BERMAN:
18. Thank you, Mr. President. I rise in opposition to Amendment
19. No. 2. This is a bill that provides for a system of group of
20. State grants to our public TV stations throughout the State of
21. Illinois. There are five operating stations and there is two
22. types of grants that are provided for in the basic bill. Amendment
23. No. 2 suggested by Senator Philip requires them...the stations to
24. match...or this is the one that...that would not allow the monies
25. to be used for salaries. That's the other one. Okay. This
26. amendment would require a match of...by private contributions of
27. any amounts of money that are received under the grant program.
28. From a practical point of view, the five stations in the...in the
29. State...there's one in Peoria, one at Southern Illinois University,
30. one in...University of Illinois, one at Northern and there's one
31. at...and there's WTTW in Chicago. WTTW would not be hurt by this
32. because they're able, with the resources in the City of Chicago
33. and the metropolitan area they raise a lot of money through their

1. own fundraising, but this amendment would have, I think, a very
2. serious impact upon the downstate public TV stations because of
3. their limited resources and the limited number of people that
4. they have to reach out to as far as raising private contributions
5. and I think that if we're going to be equitable and take care of
6. the downstate public TV stations as well as WTTW, that we ought
7. to resist this amendment and vote No.

8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

9. Is there discussion? Senator Buzbee.

10. SENATOR BUZBEE:

11. Well, I think that Senator Weaver and I are going to say
12. almost the identical things and I will just be very brief and
13. say that I support the argument that Senator Berman has just
14. made, that this amendment would, in fact, have a very negative
15. impact on those public television stations that are currently
16. in operation with cooperations of public universities, that
17. would have no effect on the proposed public television station
18. in Chicago and I just stand in opposition to the...to the
19. amendment and would defer to Senator Weaver's better expertise
20. in this field.

21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

22. Senator Weaver.

23. SENATOR WEAVER:

24. Thank you, Mr. President. Well, much has been or most of all
25. that need be said against this...amendment has been said, but
26. I just want to reiterate the fact that the...downstate public TV
27. stations do have fundraisers, but it's difficult to raise the
28. amount of money necessary to really have a terrific impact on
29. their total operations. Now, as Senator Berman said, the Chicago
30. stations have no problem in really in raising funds and so they
31. would get a much greater match on fifty/fifty basis, but if we're
32. going into a program like this, I think it's only fair to leave
33. the bill as Senator Berman proposes it.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

2. Senator Wooten.

3. SENATOR WOOTEN:

4. No.

5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

6. Senator Philip may close.

7. SENATOR PHILIP:

8. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
9. Senate. You know, this amendment to me makes some kind of a
10. common sense approach of this. Now, they say that it's going
11. to hurt the downstate public TV. Right now, they're getting
12. no money from the State of Illinois. This bill will provide
13. five million dollars for the first shot. Next year it will be
14. seven. A year after it will be twelve and it just goes up, up,
15. up. What this amendment tries to do is force them into...to
16. raising more money in...in the private sector. I happen to
17. think that's where the money for...for public TV should come
18. from, from the private sector and all I say is, the State
19. shouldn't...should match what they raise in the private sector.
20. It's a good amendment. It's some common sense and judgment. I
21. move its adoption.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

23. The question is, shall Amendment No. 2 be adopted. Those
24. in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed Nay. There is
25. a request for a roll call. A roll call will be held. The
26. question is, shall Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 228...83 be
27. adopted. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The
28. voting is open. Have all those voted who wish? Take the record.
29. On that question, the Ayes are 21, the Nays are 33. Amendment
30. No. 2 fails. Are there further amendments?

31. SECRETARY:

32. Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Philip.

33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

1. Senator Philip.

2. SENATOR PHILIP:

3. It's a very simple amendment, Ladies and Gentlemen. All
4. it says is they can't use any public funds for salaries. We know
5. what happens when they get the five million. Everybody's salary
6. is going to go skyrocketing up. You know it and I know it.

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

8. Senator Buzbee.

9. SENATOR BUZBEE:

10. Well, I don't know what you're going to do about the public
11. universities television stations, because those folks, in fact,
12. are faculty members. They are staff members. They are paid out
13. of public funds and if this amendment were to go on, again, it
14. would have no impact whatsoever on...well, I take it back. It
15. would have some impact on Chicago, apparently, because it would
16. limit the amount of money they could spend on...that could be
17. spent on salaries there, as to the amount of money that they
18. would raise through private sources. However, again, they don't
19. have that much problem raising the money through those private
20. sources, but it would have a very negative impact on the down-
21. state educational television stations that are affiliated with
22. universities. As a matter of fact, at the University of
23. Illinois in Champaign and at SIU in Carbondale and the WUSI in
24. Olney, we could just plan on closing those stations down because
25. the amount of money they raise from private sources is extremely
26. limited, so we would have a vast wasteland of no public television
27. outside of the metropolitan Chicago area, if this amendment were
28. to become effective and I rise in opposition.

29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

30. Senator Wooten.

31. SENATOR WOOTEN:

32. Well, thank you, Mr. President. Senator Philip, this is...
33. would have the practical effect of destroying any effect of the

1. bill at all because the procedure...under which you obtain
2. money from the Federal Government is to...a series of matching
3. grants. The CSG's are annual grants. You get particular grants
4. directed at personnel and you have to match that with local and/or
5. State money and the bulk of your expenditure at any station is
6. in salaries and for personnel. Your fixed expenditures, capital
7. expenditures occur the first year and after that your operating
8. costs are almost wholly in personnel, so if this amendment were
9. to go on and the bill were to pass, the amendment would effectively
10. negate the bill. I think the more direct approach would be to
11. vote against the bill and not try to build-in a self-destruct
12. clause.

13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

14. Senator Berman.

15. SENATOR BERMAN:

16. Thank you, Mr. President. I also rise in opposition to
17. this amendment. What we would be doing, in addition to what
18. was already commented on, is getting the State involved in an
19. area that I don't think we should be. There can...the two
20. major expenses of these stations is either salaries or programming
21. expenses and if we're not going to be allowed to be using public
22. money for salaries, that means we're going to get State involvement
23. in programming. I think that's a very dangerous step. I urge a
24. No vote on this amendment.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

26. Senator Philip may close.

27. SENATOR PHILIP:

28. Well, once again, I think it's a step in the right direction.
29. It's a little common sense and good judgment. You know what's
30. going to happen to salaries. I know what's going to happen
31. to salaries and...and you know five years from now it will be
32. just like the...Illinois Arts Council. Start at twenty-five
33. thousand dollars, now it's 2.4 million and the same thing will

1. happen with this appropriation.

2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

3. The question is, shall Amendment No. 3 be adopted. Those

4. in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed Nay. The Nays

5. have it. Amendment No. 3 fails. Are there further amendments?

6. SECRETARY:

7. No further amendments.

8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

9. 3rd reading. House Bill 2308, Senator Jeremiah Joyce.

10. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

11. SECRETARY:

12. House Bill 2308.

13. (Secretary reads title of bill)

14. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Executive offers one

15. amendment.

16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

17. Senator Jeremiah Joyce.

18. SENATOR JEREMIAH JOYCE:

19. Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. Amend-

20. ment No. 1 is primarily technical in nature with the exception

21. that it permits the Governor to transfer excess funds from the

22. Liquor Control Fund to the...General Revenue Fund. There was no...

23. opposition in committee and I would move your adoption at this

24. time.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

26. Is there discussion? The question is, shall Amendment No. 1

27. to House Bill 2308 be adopted. Those in favor indicate by saying

28. Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is

29. adopted. Are there further amendments?

30. SECRETARY:

31. No further committee amendments.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

33. Are there amendments from the Floor?

1. SECRETARY:

2. Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Jeremiah Joyce.

3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

4. Senator Jeremiah Joyce.

5. SENATOR JEREMIAH JOYCE:

6. Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. Amend-
7. ment No. 2 changes in part the fee provision of the bill reducing
8. the proposed increase for distributors, winemakers and retailers
9. from approximately one hundred percent to approximately fifty
10. percent. This amendment was discussed in committee. There were
11. some questions concerning the reasonableness of the fee in
12. relationship to the enforcement costs. There was some discussion
13. with the House sponsor after I'd indicated I could not comply
14. with any amendment without his concurrence. I'm offering the
15. amendment at this time and I would move your adoption.

16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

17. Is there discussion? The question is, shall Amendment No. 2
18. to House Bill 2308 be adopted. Those in favor indicate by saying
19. Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is
20. adopted. Are there further Floor amendments?

21. SECRETARY:

22. No further amendments.

23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

24. 3rd reading. House Bill 2309, Senator Jeremiah Joyce. Read
25. the bill, Mr. Secretary.

26. SECRETARY:

27. House Bill 2309.

28. (Secretary reads title of bill)

29. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Revenue offers one
30. amendment.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

32. Senator Jeremiah Joyce.

33. SENATOR JEREMIAH JOYCE:

1. Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. Amend-
2. ment No. 1 adds the word "may" and deletes the word "shall" making
3. the filing of the information returned discretionary with the
4. department rather than mandatory in bringing the bill in compliance
5. with that which we passed out of here and sent to the House. If
6. there is no objection I would move the adoption at this time.

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

8. Is there discussion? The question is, shall Amendment No. 1
9. to Senate Bill...House Bill 2309 be adopted. Those in favor
10. indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it.
11. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Are there further amendments?

12. SECRETARY:

13. No further committee amendments.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

15. Are there amendments from the Floor?

16. SECRETARY:

17. No Floor amendments.

18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

19. 3rd reading. House Bill 2310, Senator Jeremiah Joyce.
20. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

21. SECRETARY:

22. House Bill 2310.

23. (Secretary reads title of bill)

24. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

26. Senator Jeremiah Joyce.

27. SECRETARY:

28. No committee amendments.

29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

30. Are there amendments from the Floor?

31. SECRETARY:

32. Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Jeremiah Joyce.

33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

1. Senator Jeremiah Joyce.

2. SENATOR JEREMIAH JOYCE:

3. Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate...Amend-
4. ment No. 1 to House Bill 2310 comes at the suggestion of the
5. committee and raises the term of the proposed appointee from one
6. year to two year. If there are no objection I would move its
7. adoption at this time.

8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

9. Is there discussion? The question is, shall Amendment No. 1...
10. Senator Maragos.

11. SENATOR MARAGOS:

12. Mr. President, may...will the sponsor yield to a question?

13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

14. Indicates he will.

15. SENATOR MARAGOS:

16. Senator Joyce, what are the present terms of the members of
17. the commission now?

18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

19. Senator Jeremiah Joyce.

20. SENATOR JEREMIAH JOYCE:

21. Six years.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

23. The answer was six years. Senator Maragos.

24. SENATOR MARAGOS:

25. Well, what will this amendment do to the new additional...
26. appointees of that commission?

27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

28. Senator Jeremiah Joyce.

29. SENATOR JEREMIAH JOYCE:

30. It would...it would make the term of appointment for the
31. student members two years. It would leave all of the other terms
32. in tact.

33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

1. Is there further discussion? The question is, shall Amend-
2. ment No. 1 to House Bill 2310 be adopted. Those in favor indicate
3. by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1
4. is adopted. Are there further amendments?

5. SECRETARY:

6. Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Jeremiah Joyce.

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

8. Senator Jeremiah Joyce.

9. SENATOR JEREMIAH JOYCE:

10. Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. Amend-
11. ment No. 2 to House Bill 2310 provides that no student member,
12. if appointed, would be the recipient of a State scholarship
13. pursuant to the Higher Education Student Assistant Law. If there
14. are no...if there's no opposition I would move its adoption at
15. this time.

16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

17. Is there discussion? The question is, shall Amendment No. 2
18. to House Bill 2310 be adopted. Those in favor indicate by saying
19. Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is
20. adopted. Are there further amendments?

21. SECRETARY:

22. No further amendments.

23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

24. 3rd reading. House Bill 2323, Senator Knuppel. Read the
25. bill, Mr. Secretary.

26. SECRETARY:

27. House Bill 2323.

28. (Secretary reads title of bill)

29. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

31. Are there amendments from the Floor?

32. SECRETARY:

33. No Floor amendments.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
2. Senator Bruce. Wait just a minute.
3. SENATOR BRUCE:
4. ...on this one. Yeah.
5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
6. ...on this bill.
7. SENATOR BRUCE:
8. I just want to call attention to this bill. It was able
9. to slip out of committee in the House on a ten to nothing vote
10. and out of the House on a 135 to 1 vote and out of committee on
11. eight to nothing vote, but I think the National Association of
12. Warfingers is looking at this bill very closely and we ought to
13. watch that Senator Knuppel doesn't slip this by. It didn't get
14. on the Agreed Bill List. I don't know why, but it's a big bill
15. for the Warfingers.
16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
17. Whatever that is. Are there further amendments?
18. SECRETARY:
19. No further amendments.
20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
21. 3rd reading. House Bill 2324, Senator Knuppel. Read the
22. bill, Mr. Secretary.
23. SECRETARY:
24. House Bill 2324.
25. (Secretary reads title of bill)
26. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.
27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
28. Are there amendments from the Floor?
29. SECRETARY:
30. No Floor amendments.
31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
32. 3rd reading. House Bill 2327, Senator Knuppel. Read the bill,
33. Mr. Secretary.

1. SECRETARY:
2. House Bill 2327.
3. (Secretary reads title of bill)
4. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.
5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
6. Are there amendments from the Floor?
7. SECRETARY:
8. No Floor amendments.
9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
10. 3rd reading. House Bill 2422, Senator Knuppel. Read the
11. bill, Mr. Secretary.
12. SECRETARY:
13. House Bill 2422.
14. (Secretary reads title of bill)
15. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.
16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
17. Are there amendments from the Floor?
18. SECRETARY:
19. No Floor amendments.
20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
21. 3rd reading. House Bill 2428, Senator Shapiro. House Bill
22. 2492, Senator Knuppel. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.
23. SECRETARY:
24. House...House Bill 2492.
25. (Secretary reads title of bill)
26. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Judiciary I offers
27. one amendment.
28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
29. Senator Knuppel.
30. SENATOR KNUPPEL:
31. I move the adoption.
32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
33. Is there discussion? The question is, shall Amendment No. 1

14/5/69
11/6/69

1. to House Bill 2492 be adopted. Those in favor indicate by saying
2. Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is
3. adopted. Are there further amendments?

4. SECRETARY:

5. No further committee amendments.

6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

7. Are there amendments from the Floor?

8. SECRETARY:

9. No Floor amendments.

10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

11. 3rd reading. House Bill 2540, Senator D'Arco. Read the
12. bill, Mr. Secretary.

13. SECRETARY:

14. House Bill 2540.

15. (Secretary reads title of bill)

16. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Elections and
17. Reapportionment offers one amendment.

18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

19. Senator D'Arco.

20. SENATOR D'ARCO:

21. Thank you, Mr. President. The amendment repeals...repeals
22. the requirements in present law that at least one instruction
23. card be posted in the voting booth and that at least four of the
24. instruction cards be posted in or about a polling place on election
25. day and I move for adoption of Amendment No. 1.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

27. Is there discussion? The question is, shall Amendment No. 1
28. to House Bill 2540 be adopted. Those in favor indicate by saying
29. Aye. Those opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is
30. adopted. Are there further amendments?

31. SECRETARY:

32. No further committee amendments.

33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

HB 2564
3rd reading
6-22-79

1. Are there amendments from the Floor?

2. SECRETARY:

3. No Floor amendments.

4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

5. 3rd reading. House Bill 2563, Senator Egan. House Bill
6. 2564, Senator Sangmeister. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

7. SECRETARY:

8. House Bill 2564.

9. (Secretary reads title of bill)

10. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Revenue offers one
11. amendment.

12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

13. Senator Sangmeister.

14. SENATOR SANGMEISTER:

15. Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. Amend-
16. ment No. 1 clarifies a lot of language, in particularly four areas.
17. It removes the foods prepared for immediate consumption and alcoholic
18. beverages from the proposed Sales Tax exemption for food. It
19. removes common medicinal remedies. Provides that the phase-out
20. of the State Sales Tax on food will be completed July 1st, 1983
21. rather than September 1st, 1983 and a provision is added to prevent
22. any municipality or county from suffering a loss as a result of
23. the abolition of the taxes. I move for its adoption.

24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

25. Is there discussion? Senator Schaffer.

26. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

27. Senator Sangmeister, I was wondering...I haven't got an
28. amendment drafted, but there's a new Sales Tax being proposed
29. and I was wondering if we might put an amendment on this bill
30. to exclude it, too?

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

32. Is there further discussion? The question is, shall Amend-
33. ment No. 1 to House Bill 2564 be adopted. Those in favor indicate

1. by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1
2. is adopted. Are there further amendments?

3. SECRETARY:

4. No further committee amendments.

5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

6. Are there Floor...just a moment. Senator Maragos, for what
7. purpose do you arise? I can't hardly see you back there...

8. SENATOR MARAGOS:

9. I know.

10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

11. ...you're blocked out.

12. SENATOR MARAGOS:

13. Mr. President...

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

15. Will the members please clear the aisles.

16. SENATOR MARAGOS:

17. Mr. President and members of the Senate. I would like at
18. this time to ask the sponsor if he would have any objection that
19. we move that...have cosponsors, all Democrats in the Senate to
20. be placed on this bill, if this would be the apropos time.

21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

22. They are already there, as I...as their record indicates.
23. Senator Sangmeister.

24. SENATOR SANGMEISTER:

25. Yes, I thought that the Calendar indicated that, also, but
26. the other day it was brought to my attention and I guess that that
27. means all Democratic House members and I...it's my understanding
28. that all the Democratic members of the Senate want to be made
29. cosponsors...

30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

31. Is there leave?

32. SENATOR SANGMEISTER:

33. ...and I would ask leave...

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
2. Leave is granted. Senator Shapiro.
3. SENATOR SHAPIRO:
4. Mr. President, I wonder if I could have leave of the Body
5. to make sure my name is not on the bill?
6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
7. The record will so show. Are there further amendments?
8. SECRETARY:
9. Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Sangmeister.
10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
11. Just a minute. Senator Maragos.
12. SENATOR MARAGOS:
13. I...I'm glad to hear that Senator Shapiro considers himself
14. a Democrat and he may...should have...he's excluded. Thank you.
15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
16. Amendment No. 2. Senator Sangmeister.
17. SENATOR SANGMEISTER:
18. Thank you. Amendment No. 2 is a technical amendment and it
19. makes the same changes in the Income Tax Act that the bill itself
20. makes in the State Revenue Sharing Act and to reflect the increase
21. in the State Revenue Sharing beginning in Fiscal Year '84. I ask
22. for its adoption.
23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
24. Is there discussion? The question is, shall Amendment No. 2
25. to House Bill 2564 be adopted. Those in favor indicate by saying
26. Aye. Those opposed. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. House Bill...
27. Are there further amendments?
28. SECRETARY:
29. No further amendments.
30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
31. 3rd reading. House Bill 2566, Senator Netsch. Read the
32. bill, Mr. Secretary.
33. SECRETARY:

HB 2567
2nd Reading
6-22-79

- 1. House Bill 2566.
- 2. (Secretary reads title of bill)
- 3. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.
- 4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
- 5. Are there amendments from the Floor?
- 6. SECRETARY:
- 7. No Floor amendments.
- 8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
- 9. 3rd reading. House Bill 2567, Senator Maragos. Read the
- 10. bill, Mr. Secretary.
- 11. SECRETARY:
- 12. House Bill 2567.
- 13. (Secretary reads title of bill)
- 14. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.
- 15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
- 16. Are there amendments from the Floor?
- 17. SECRETARY:
- 18. Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Maragos.
- 19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
- 20. Senator Maragos.
- 21. SENATOR MARAGOS:
- 22. Mr. President and members of the Senate. This amendment
- 23. provides that the information asked for by this bill on the
- 24. county...on the real estate tax bills shall be given on the
- 25. second installment rather than the first installment because
- 26. much of this information is not available to the assessor until
- 27. the full extensions are completed and therefore, I ask for its
- 28. adoption.
- 29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
- 30. Is there discussion? The question is, shall Amendment No. 1
- 31. to House Bill 2567 be adopted. Those in favor indicate by saying
- 32. Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is
- 33. adopted. Are there further amendments?

HB 2618
2nd reading
6-22-79

1. SECRETARY:
2. No further amendments.
3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
4. 3rd reading. House Bill 2569, Senator Bruce. House Bill...
5. House Bill 2576, Senator Rupp. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.
6. SECRETARY:
7. House Bill 2576.
8. (Secretary reads title of bill)
9. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.
10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
11. Are there amendments from the Floor?
12. SECRETARY:
13. No Floor amendments.
14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
15. 3rd reading. House Bill 2618, Senator Philip. Senator
16. Philip. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.
17. SECRETARY:
18. House Bill 2618.
19. (Secretary reads title of bill)
20. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.
21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
22. Are there amendments from the Floor?
23. SECRETARY:
24. Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Philip.
25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
26. Senator Philip.
27. SENATOR PHILIP:
28. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the...
29. of the Senate. What this does, is...provides another alternative
30. in regard to selecting your delegates to the National Nominating
31. Convention. I would move its adoption.
32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
33. Is there discussion? Senator Netsch...The question is...

HB 2658
2nd Reading
6-22-79

- 1. Senator Rhoads.
- 2. SENATOR RHOADS:
- 3. Mr. President, I support Senator Philip's amendment at this
- 4. time with reservations and I think we can discuss those concerns
- 5. on 3rd reading.
- 6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
- 7. Is there further discussion? The question is, shall Amend-
- 8. ment No. 1 to House Bill 2618 be adopted. Those in favor indicate
- 9. by saying Aye. Those opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment
- 10. No. 1 is adopted. Are there further amendments?
- 11. SECRETARY:
- 12. No further amendments.
- 13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
- 14. 3rd reading. House Bill 25...2658, Senator Daley. Read...
- 15. read the bill, Mr. Secretary.
- 16. SECRETARY:
- 17. House Bill 2658.
- 18. (Secretary reads title of bill)
- 19. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.
- 20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
- 21. Are there amendments from the Floor?
- 22. SECRETARY:
- 23. Amendment No. 1 offered by Senators Daley and Moore.
- 24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
- 25. Senator Moore.
- 26. SENATOR MOORE:
- 27. Thank you, Mr. President. This is a technical amendment. It
- 28. ...adds the word "or" where it was omitted by the Reference Bureau.
- 29. I'd move for its adoption.
- 30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
- 31. Is there further discussion? The question is, shall Amendment
- 32. No...
- 33. SECRETARY:

1. 1.
2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
3. ...1 to House Bill 2658 be adopted. Those in favor indicate
4. by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1
5. is adopted. Are there further amendments?
6. SECRETARY:
7. No further...amendments.
8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
9. 3rd reading. House Bill 2679, Senator Maragos. Read the
10. bill, Mr. Secretary.
11. SECRETARY:
12. House Bill 2679.
13. (Secretary reads title of bill)
14. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Elections and
15. Reapportionment offers one amendment.
16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
17. Senator Maragos.
18. SENATOR MARAGOS:
19. Mr. President and members of the Senate. I'd move for the
20. adoption of the Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2679.
21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
22. Is there discussion? Senator Rhoads.
23. SENATOR RHOADS:
24. Explanation.
25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
26. There is a request for an explanation, Senator Maragos.
27. Senator Maragos. He's going to get the explanation. Senator
28. Maragos.
29. SENATOR MARAGOS:
30. Mr. President, the...the Amendment No. 1 requires the
31. State...the bill requires the State to bear the cost of a
32. special election to fill a Congressional vacancy. Under the
33. current law the cost of a special election to fill a vacancy is

1. borne on the local level. The amendment does not establish any
2. mechanics for the State to make payment to the local election
3. officials, but does establish the State's obligation to pay, so
4. therefore, this special election to fill Congressional vacancies
5. will continue to be held as necessary even after the election
6. and consolidation goes into effect. House Bill 1678, which was
7. Tabled by the House Elections Committee would have imposed the
8. same requirement on the State and this was offered at that time
9. by Representative Kempiners. And what it does, it clarifies the
10. provisions in these vacancy elections for Congressional elections
11. that even though the...the State is obligated, this gives you
12. the provisions and...further obligation as to...the mechanics
13. and...repayment to the local...areas.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

15. Senator Rhoads.

16. SENATOR RHOADS:

17. Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. Two
18. observations on this amendment. Number one, it appears to be
19. identical to an amendment, which was placed on House Bill 1914.
20. There also may be...it may be technically incorrect because it
21. leaves out a section of the bill regarding downstate registration.
22. Senator Maragos, the...the purpose of your amendment is to have
23. the State pick up all the costs of a special Congressional election.
24. Is that the purpose?

25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

26. Senator Maragos.

27. SENATOR MARAGOS:

28. That is correct.

29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

30. Senator Rhoads.

31. SENATOR RHOADS:

32. Would...do you have a fiscal note or...or any sort of fiscal
33. analysis of what that cost to the State would be?

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

2. Senator Maragos.

3. SENATOR MARAGOS:

4. At the present time it would be difficult to predict a fiscal
5. impact, Senator Rhoads, because it would depend on the time of the
6. year and how long the vacancy would be created and...and...beside
7. on the consolidation there might be different figures to come into
8. play and therefore, it would be difficult to predict at this time.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

10. Senator Rhoads.

11. SENATOR RHOADS:

12. Well, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I would urge
13. opposition to this amendment based on the fact that the money is
14. not in the Governor's budget. This was...started out as in a bill,
15. which was a...a bill that came from the Election Laws Commission
16. or rather the Advisory Committee to the State Board of Elections.
17. The...my estimate that I've seen initially for the Congressional
18. vacancy election coming up in Cook County would cost the State
19. some six hundred thousand dollars and this is something that we
20. just don't need to get into at this time and I...I think people
21. on this side of the aisle ought to be in opposition.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

23. Is there further discussion? Senator Lemke.

24. SENATOR LEMKE:

25. This amendment is...does not just apply to Cook County. It
26. applies to every county in the State of Illinois. If you're going
27. to have a special Congressional election, it's going to cost
28. that county one way or the other in real estate taxes. It's time
29. we either get the money from the Federal Government or from the
30. State if we're forced to have special elections for Congress
31. people and what we're talking...well, there's some counties in
32. the State that are bankrupt now and this would just further
33. bankrupt them if we don't get this money for special elections

1. and everybody is going to be in the same boat and I can't
2. understand Senator Rhoads, if he wants to raise the real
3. estate taxes in Cook County and his people, fine, but I don't
4. think anybody here in their counties want to raise their real
5. estate taxes, especially anyplace downstate and that's where
6. the money is going to come for any special election. If we
7. don't pass this bill now, one of these days another county is
8. going to have another special election and then we're going to
9. all be in the same 'boat again and we'd have to come back here.
10. This is a good bill. I ask for its adoption.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

12. Is there further discussion? Senator Maragos may close the
13. debate.

14. SENATOR MARAGOS:

15. Mr. President and members of the Senate. The uniformity
16. aspects of this amendment make it equal throughout the State
17. and Senator Rhoads, no one can assure me that it's only going
18. to be benefiting Cook County because he is telling me that the
19. Congressman from his district will...or the other districts
20. throughout the State will not live longer than those in Cook
21. County. I think this is a fair amendment. It's another
22. time we mandate things to be done by the local municipalities
23. and districts and I think if we support them and finance it, we
24. should be able to do it in a proper manner because this is a
25. State office. He represents the State of Illinois and I ask for
26. its adoption.

27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

28. Senator Maragos has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1
29. to House Bill 2679. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye.
30. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Has a roll call been requested?
31. A roll call has been requested. Those in favor will vote Aye.
32. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted
33. who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that

1. question, the Ayes are 32, the Nays are 25, none Voting Present.
2. Amendment No. 1 having received the majority is declared adopted.
3. Any further amendments?

4. SECRETARY:

5. No further committee amendments.

6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

7. Any amendments from the Floor?

8. SECRETARY:

9. Amendment No. 2 offered by Senators Nimrod, Maragos and
10. Rhoads.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

12. Senator Nimrod.

13. SENATOR NIMROD:

14. Mr. President, this is the same amendment that we put on 1914,
15. which...gives the Governor the option to be able to move to a
16. primary date or a general election date to avoid the cost of
17. elections of opening all the polls. I would move for the
18. favorable adoption of that amendment.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

20. Is there further discussion? Senator Maragos.

21. SENATOR MARAGOS:

22. This is a commendable amendment and I also move its adoption.

23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

24. Is there further discussion? Senator Rhoads.

25. SENATOR RHOADS:

26. Mr. President, I...my name was not on an amendment to this
27. bill. It was on an amendment to 914. I don't see why we need
28. the same amendment on both bills. This...in 1973 Congressman
29. Rostenkowski requested specially of the General Assembly that
30. we shorten up the period of time required to fill a special
31. Congressional election because our long period of time was
32. costing Illinois seniority rights in Washington and the Legislature
33. did respond. We did shorten up the period of time. Governor...

1. rather Senator Nimrod's amendment is permissive and he leaves
2. it at the Governor's option, but I don't see that we need this
3. amendment on two bills and I simply urge opposition.

4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

5. Senator, would you like your name removed from the
6. amendment? Senator Lemke.

7. SENATOR LEMKE:

8. I think this is a good amendment. I think that I could
9. care less what Congress tells us they want. If Congress wants
10. a special election for...for a Congressman that's dead or...has
11. to be replaced, then let them give us the damn money. We come
12. in and mandate bills on counties for the pleasure of the
13. Congressional delegation and yet they don't come up with the
14. money for these special elections for their own people. Now, this...
15. is a good amendment and I ask for its adoption. I think it
16. deserves this and why is it on two bills is very simple. If one
17. bill doesn't make it, the other one will.

18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

19. Senator Maragos.

20. SENATOR MARAGOS:

21. I just...my explanation will be just the same as that of
22. Senator Lemke because we have...it provides better insurance
23. that if one of the bills fails that this will be...this amend-
24. ment will be on of the...the successful bills and I also
25. support Senator Nimrod's adoption.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

27. The question is, shall Amendment No. 2 be adopted. Those
28. in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. Amendment No. 2
29. is adopted. Any further amendments?

30. SECRETARY:

31. No further amendments.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

33. 3rd reading. House Bill 2740, Senator McMillan. Read the

1. bill, Mr. Secretary.
2. SECRETARY:
3. House Bill 2740.
4. (Secretary reads title of bill)
5. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Agriculture, Conservation
6. and Energy offers one amendment.
7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
8. Senator McMillan.
9. SENATOR McMILLAN:
10. Mr. President, this amendment is a technical amendment. I
11. would seek its adoption.
12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
13. Is there further discussion? If not, Senator McMillan moves
14. the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2740. Those in
15. favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it.
16. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further amendments?
17. SECRETARY:
18. No further committee amendments.
19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
20. Any amendments from the Floor?
21. SECRETARY:
22. Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator McMillan.
23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
24. Senator McMillan.
25. SENATOR McMILLAN:
26. Amendment No. 2 is an amendment that Senator Knuppel requested
27. in committee and it was agreed upon. This is one that would say
28. that, that as long as it's consistent with the Federal Act, the
29. department shall provide, by rule, for the accumulation of interest
30. on an amount secured by the lien under the Act and I would...
31. Senator Knuppel's in agreement. If there's no problem with it
32. I would seek its adoption.
33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

1. Is there further discussion? If not, Senator McMillan
2. moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 2740. Those
3. in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it.
4. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Any further amendments?

5. SECRETARY:

6. No further amendments.

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

8. 3rd reading. House Bill 2769, Senator Carroll. Okay, we're
9. going to go on to 3rd reading. For what purpose does Senator Rock
10. arise?

11. SENATOR ROCK:

12. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
13. Senate. We will now with leave of the Body go to the Order of
14. House Bills on 3rd reading and suggest that we start where we
15. left off the other day, that is with House Bill 982 and continue
16. on. Additionally, it's...after consultation with the Minority
17. Leader, we plan on working today until about 5:30 or 5:45, at
18. which time the Committee on Appropriations II will reconvene at
19. six o'clock in Room 212 and, as you know, tomorrow morning we
20. will commence at nine o'clock and begin to work also on the
21. Order of...Concurrence in House amendments to Senate Bills and
22. in that respect, we have cause to have put together a booklet
23. from the Legislative Information Service, which indicates the
24. bills and the amendments that the House has placed on those bills
25. and we will get to that order of business tomorrow. Those messages
26. and those bills that have just come over are obviously not included
27. in this and I would suggest that we hold those until Sunday so that
28. both...both staffs can have an opportunity to digest and understand
29. what the House has done.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

31. For what purpose does Senator Vadalabene arise?

32. SENATOR VADALABENE:

33. Yes, to address a question to the President of the Senate.

1. Mr. President, do you know when you're going to get to the Order
2. of Recalls...3rd to 2nd?
3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
4. Senator Rock.
5. SENATOR ROCK:
6. Yes. My suggestion is, Senator, and I've made it to a couple
7. of others who have inquired, the Secretary informs me there are
8. about twenty already and I am also informed that...by the
9. Reference Bureau that there are more requests for amendments there,
10. so my...I think we'd be better advised to get them all in, get
11. them all filed with the Secretary, we will then have a list made
12. up and distributed so all the members can be aware and I would
13. suggest that we do that tomorrow.
14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
15. Senator Vadalabene.
16. SENATOR VADALABENE:
17. Yes and do you expect to go to the Order of Executive on
18. Appointments and Administration yet today?
19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
20. Senator Rock.
21. SENATOR ROCK:
22. Yes, we can, if we can do it later. Sure.
23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
24. Senator Schaffer, for what purpose do you arise?
25. SENATOR SCHAFFER:
26. Just a small point, President Rock. I think the first bill
27. up is 981, not 982. The last bill we had, I believe, was Senator
28. Davidson's bill and I distinctly recall 981 being the next one
29. up, the reason it stuck in my mind rather thoroughly.
30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
31. Senator Rock.
32. SENATOR ROCK:
33. Well, that bill, as we are all painfully aware, was just

1. recently amended and we are still digesting the amendment.

2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3. Senator Schaffer.

4. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

5. Well, I believe it was amended two days ago and I...I suspect
6. that some of us have digested it. I suspect some of us have
7. digested it and, perhaps, regurgitated it, but in any event, I
8. think the membership is acutely aware of that bill and I believe
9. it should be the next order on the call and while it's an excellent
10. bill, I don't think it will improve with age and I would kind of
11. like to get it over with if we could, Mr. President.

12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

13. Senator Rock.

14. SENATOR ROCK:

15. Well, if...if, in fact, we go to House Bills, 3rd with 981,
16. I'm going to request that it be held, in any event.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

18. Senator Graham, for what...for what purpose do you arise?

19. SENATOR GRAHAM:

20. A question of Senator Rock.

21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

22. He's...

23. SENATOR GRAHAM:

24. The bill that you had talked to me about, Mr. President.
25. Will you put that on the recall list? Thank you.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

27. On the Order of Senate...House Bills, 3rd reading, House Bill
28. 982. Senator Chew. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

29. SECRETARY:

30. House Bill 982.

31. (Secretary reads title of bill)

32. 3rd reading of the bill.

33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

1. Senator Chew.

2. SENATOR CHEW:

3. Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Board. This
4. is results of a long study by the Motor Vehicle Laws Commission.
5. What it does, in effect, it allows the county boards or/and
6. local governments to declare abandoned automobiles as derelicts
7. and inoperative automobiles and it allows them to levy a fine
8. against these owners, if found, for having created a nuisance.
9. I'll be happy to answer any questions or pertaining to 982.
10. Otherwise, I would ask for a favorable roll call.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

12. Senator Schaffer.

13. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

14. On a point of parliamentary inquiry. 981 was clearly passed
15. at this point in violation of our rules. I am prepared at
16. President Rock's request to hold it, but we've been...I think
17. he's admonished the Body several times to call their bills when
18. they come up and I'm trying to live with his admonishments. I'd,
19. at least, like to get a time certain because I'm afraid the bills
20. that get passed may never...you know...we may never return. I...
21. I think we have violated our rules by passing it. I'd like, at
22. least, some understanding when it will be heard and the time
23. certain.

24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

25. Senator, that is not a parliamentary inquiry. I would
26. suggest you walk over to the President's Desk and discuss this
27. with him.

28. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

29. Oh, he...he's prepared to answer it.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

31. Oh. Senator...Senator Rock.

32. SENATOR ROCK:

33. It's...it's the intent of the...of the Body, I think, in

1. discussion with both sides of the aisle to...to adequately and
2. thoroughly go through the Calendar. There is no...you know...
3. if you want to call 981, call the damn thing. Let's get it
4. over with.

5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

6. We are on the Order of House Bill 982. Senator Chew has
7. explained the bill and he seeks a roll call. We will go back
8. to the order with leave of the Body to 981 after this bill has
9. been disposed of. Senator Chew, have you any further discussion
10. on it?

11. SENATOR CHEW:

12. I have no further discussion, Mr. President, and I would ask
13. for a favorable roll call.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

15. Is there further discussion? Senator Buzbee.

16. SENATOR BUZBEE:

17. I had a question of the sponsor. Since we're now going to
18. declare these automobiles as derelicts, will they then become
19. eligible for Public Aid?

20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

21. Is there further discussion? If not, the question is,
22. shall House Bill 982 pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed
23. vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all
24. voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are
25. 55, the Nays are none, 2 Voting Present. House Bill 982 having
26. received the constitutional majority is declared passed. For what
27. purpose does Senator Berman arise?

28. SENATOR BERMAN:

29. Thank you, Mr. President, on a point of personal privilege..

30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

31. State your point.

32. SENATOR BERMAN:

33. The Senate is graced today with the presence of one of the

1. newly appointed officials of the City of Chicago, a resident of
2. the 11th district, a woman who has had a great history in political
3. activity and communicable affairs. To my left is the new Director
4. of the Mayor's Office of Senior Citizens and Handicapped, Adele
5. Good. Mr. President, Mrs. Good came down today in order to discuss
6. some of the concerns of the Senate and the House in the area of
7. senior citizens and handicapped legislation. Senator Rock has
8. been gracious enough to make his office available and Director
9. Good will be in the President's Office for about an hour and a
10. half and any Senator that has any concerns in the areas of senior
11. citizens...and handicapped are invited to go back, meet Mrs. Good
12. and chat with her about some of these programs. Thank you very
13. much.

14.
15. (END OF REEL)
16.
17.
18.
19.
20.
21.
22.
23.
24.
25.
26.
27.
28.
29.
30.
31.
32.
33.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
2. On the Order of House Bills 3rd reading, House Bill 981.
3. Senator Schaffer. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.
4. SECRETARY:
5. House Bill 981.
6. (Secretary reads title of bill)
7. 3rd reading of the bill.
8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
9. Senator Schaffer.
10. SENATOR SCHAFFER:
11. Mr. President...
12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
13. Just a moment. For what purpose does Senator Egan arise?
14. SENATOR EGAN:
15. Senator Schaffer, as you remember this bill was extensively
16. amended. I think it was recalled from 3rd to 2nd and amended
17. and put back on 3rd. Is that correct?
18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
19. Senator Schaffer.
20. SENATOR SCHAFFER:
21. A couple of days ago, yes. Senator Rock and I have already
22. discussed that at some length.
23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
24. Senator Egan.
25. SENATOR EGAN:
26. I'm not aware, I'm not privy of your conversation with Senator
27. Rock, but I would like to engage in my own conversation with you
28. if you don't mind. This is the...this bill as it now exists has a
29. substantial change from the original bill. I haven't seen it.
30. Is it printed? Is it in the binder? If you're going to talk about
31. rules, let's talk about rules. It's a far reaching piece of leg-
32. islation. I haven't had a chance to even talk to the Board of Tax
33. Appeals about this bill. I don't know what their position is.
- I'm not sure that they have seen the bill themselves.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

2. Senator Egan, your point is well taken. Is the bill then
3. printed and in the bindery? Is the amendment printed? Is it dis-
4. tributed to the members? Is the amendment in the binders? I'm
5. asking the Secretary. Senator Schaffer.

6. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

7. Staff tells me they have it. I obviously have had a copy.
8. I'd be happy to quote the Chairman Semrow at an appropriate time.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

10. Senator Egan.

11. SENATOR EGAN:

12. Well I know Chairman Semrow very well, and he hasn't talked
13. to me about it, if he's talked to you about it, that's something
14. else. The fact is, Senator Schaffer, that if we're going to
15. progress in an orderly fashion in this Body, we should do it
16. according to the rules. As you wish. The fact is the infor-
17. mation is not available to the individual members of the Body,
18. and when it's not available we ought not to deliberate on it.
19. We'll get to your bill in due time, don't be so anxious.

20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

21. Senator Schaffer.

22. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

23. Well, Senator Egan, its been my experience down here that
24. sometimes good bills unlike good wine do not improve with age.
25. Some things that are one way today, may not be the same way to-
26. morrow and I would like to proceed. I believe the information is
27. available. You have an opinion on the bill, I recall it, you may
28. have been one of the people who not only digested the bill, but,
29. well anyway, I think we ought to proceed with it, I think most of
30. us do understand the basic concept of the amendment. It is certainly
31. very simple. I'd like to get it over with to be honest with you,
32. so that we can move on to the great issues before us in the final
33. days, after having hopefully cleared this particular hurdle.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

2. Senator Egan.

3. SENATOR EGAN:

4. Well, I would not. I would like to know what I'm doing
5. before I act on it. I haven't read it yet. And it's not
6. available for reading, and until it is, I'm entitled to hold the
7. bill.

8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

9. Senator Egan's point is well taken. Under Rule 15, amendments
10. 2nd reading, amendments to bills except amendments striking out
11. the effective day clause, shall be offered at the conclusion of
12. the 2nd reading, and shall be printed with the name of the sponsor
13. of the amendment when adopted, and shall be filed under proper
14. order immediately following the printed copy of the bill on each
15. Senator's desk. The printed copy of the bill on each Senator's desk.
16. Senator Egan's request is in order. Senator Washington for what
17. purpose do you rise?

18. SENATOR WASHINGTON:

19. As you read the rule...it occurred to me that every, precisely
20. every step in the Rule had been followed. It was my amendment, it
21. was duly offered. I myself gave Senator Egan a copy. He read it and
22. he said that it was the same amendment that was offered in committee
23. and I refuted that, he has digested it, I don't know what the attempt
24. to obfuscate this as dilatory, this practice is carried throughout
25. in the last stages of the Session, if we're going to adhere to
26. that kind of a nonsensical rule you won't get out of here July 1st,
27. you won't get out of here by Christmas. Now if you're going to pick
28. one particular bill to come down hard on, in terms of a technicality
29. then I, for one, am going to insist that we do it on every single
30. bill that's called. We're not kids, we understand what's going on
31. here. Everybody in this hall understands this bill thoroughly, and
32. knows what it does, and they know exactly what is happening to
33. Cook County, and they also know that we've been ripped off in our

1. communities by the tax assessment process, and this bill is
2. designed to help it, and it appears that someone wants to stop...

3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

4. Senator, I think you've gone beyond the point, the point of
5. inquiry...

6. SENATOR WASHINGTON:

7. ...this deliberative Body from dealing with that question.

8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

9. Senator Egan for what purpose do you rise?

10. SENATOR WASHINGTON:

11. I had no inquiry I was making a statement that you were
12. wrong in your...

13. SENATOR EGAN:

14. Well, I just want to point out to Senator Washington that I'm
15. not trying to thwart your effort, Senator. I would like to
16. read the amendment. I...I have...you handed me the amendment and
17. I gave it to my staff assistant, and he's read it but I haven't.
18. I haven't seen it since, and it's not in my binder, and I'm just
19. asking that we proceed orderly.

20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

21. Senator Lemke, for what purpose do you rise?

22. SENATOR LEMKE:

23. I don't have the bill. It's not on my desk, so I didn't get
24. any...he didn't give me a copy. I want to see the bill.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

26. Senator Nash for what purpose do you rise?

27. SENATOR NASH:

28. The bill... Mr. President, I don't have the bill either, or
29. the amendment.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

31. The Chair has made a ruling that the amendment cannot be con-
32. sidered until its been...printed copy of the bill on the Desk and
33. each Senator's desk. For what purpose does Senator Bowers arise?

1. Senator Bowers.
2. SENATOR BOWERS:
3. Waiting, point of inquiry.
4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
5. State your point.
6. SENATOR BOWERS:
7. Does this mean that we're not going to consider any of the
8. bills in this Body then until the amendment is printed and in
9. the binder?
10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
11. Senator, it means that when a Senator brings that point to
12. the attention of the Chair, the Chair will make the ruling then.
13. SENATOR BOWERS:
14. Okay, then would it be in order to move to suspend the rules
15. to proceed with this bill. If so, I so move.
16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
17. The motion's in order. Senator Bowers has moved to suspend
18. Rule 15 for the purpose of considering House Bill 981. All those
19. in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. Roll call has been
20. requested. All those in favor will indicate by voting Aye. Those
21. opposed...those opposed will vote No. Senator Egan for what purpose
22. do you rise?
23. SENATOR EGAN:
24. Senator Schaffer, if you want to proceed this way, I'm not
25. going to...I'm not going to thwart your effort. I'd...rather than
26. that, I will withdraw my rule...my request for ruling. I'm asking
27. you to hold the bill.
28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
29. Well, would Senator Bowers withdraw his motion? Senator
30. Bowers withdraws his motion. Senator...Senator Chew for what purpose
31. do you rise?
32. SENATOR CHEW:
33. I think the reasoning for asking him to hold the bill, really
has no foundation. Now if Senator Bowers wants to...

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
2. Hold...hold it. The motion has been withdrawn. We are now on
3. the Order of...
4. SENATOR CHEW:
5. I'm...I'm going to make the motion.
6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
7. We're on the Order of House Bill 981.
8. SENATOR CHEW:
9. Right, I'm going to make the motion if he withdrew.
10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
11. They did.
12. SENATOR CHEW:
13. He...
14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
15. Senator, we're at the position of hearing House Bill 981.
16. SENATOR CHEW:
17. Fine.
18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
19. 3rd reading. Now, Senator Schaffer, on House Bill 981.
20. SENATOR SCHAFFER:
21. Mr. President, and particularly Senator Egan. Other than
22. one particular subject, which I probably talk to much about. I'm
23. not hard nosed in this Body, but in all candor I think we ought to
24. proceed with this, and get it over with up or down, and I would
25. like to. The bill as it came over and was amended in the committee
26. simply provided that members of the Board of Review, of counties
27. between one hundred thousand and seventy-five thousand would be
28. required to take a test to qualify for membership on that Board.
29. A couple of days ago, Senator Washington put an amendment on it.
30. The amendment is the controversially part of the bill. I would
31. defer that Senator Washington at this point to explain the amend-
32. ment. I think the amendment has merit. We do the equalization by
33. township and downstate counties, and while at some times it's painful
I think we have an obligation to see that assessments equitable

1. throughtout the State, and at this point I would ask Senator
2. Washington to fully explain the amendment, which impacts more
3. directly on his area of the State than mine.

4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

5. Senator Washington.

6. SENATOR WASHINGTON:

7. Thank you, Mr. President, and Senator Schaffer. You have
8. fully explained the bill, and the rationale for the bill, in very
9. succinct language. All the downstate counties, or approximately
10. a hundred of them provide that the Board of Review shall equalize
11. assessments as between the various townships. Only Cook County
12. stands out like a sore thumb, as not being in the twentieth century
13. dealing with that problem, and consequently we have a inequities in
14. Cook County which would shock...shock even Star Chambers of the past
15. days when they invoked taxes on people who didn't know anything about
16. them. All this bill does is provide that the Board of Tax Appeals
17. shall mandatorily look once a year, equalize assessment as between the
18. townships within Cook County. One of the present Boards...members
19. of the Board, Harry Semrow, came out publicly in April of this
20. year in support of this concept, feeling that based upon some
21. studies made in Cook County, very definitive studies made in Cook
22. County, which demonstrated disparity or shall I use that awesome
23. and horrible word discrimination as between townships and as
24. night follows day you know where the discrimination was. It was a
25. discrimination against black neighborhoods in terms of higher
26. taxes, on real estate property as compared with properties
27. in white neighborgoods comparably structured. That's been approved
28. beyond any shadow of the doubt, and all of the gymnastics and
29. maneuvers by the present tax assessor in Cook County can't hide
30. nary a word of it. We, in my community, have been ripped to the
31. tune of tens of millions of dollars, tens of millions of dollars.
32. This won't resolve that question, it won't resolve that question,
33. it will not make us whole, although I think any well meaning person
would want to make us whole, but at least, it would deal with the

1. question for futuro. It will simply say that where there's a
2. disparity between these neighborhoods and there is, to the tune
3. that where in Bridgeport with the same kind of property they
4. pay about twenty percent of what is paid about eight or nine
5. blocks away, in West Englewood, which is black, and that's the
6. pattern throughout Cook County. This bill is designed to rectify
7. that problem, as every other county in the State is doing. I
8. simply can not understand why anybody would not want to see that
9. taxes are dispersed fairly prorated and that no one pays any more
10. than his just due and share. Now unfortunately this is not the respon-
11. sibility or rather present assessor didn't create this problem, and
12. I have said repeatedly that he is a man of high integrity and he
13. had nothing to do with it. He inherited the problem, but I am
14. becoming somewhat disturbed about his antics over the past two
15. months. It appears to me he is condoning a practice which is
16. unfair to the people in my community and other black communities
17. because he hasn't moved on this question. We asked him to call
18. in the Department of Local Government Affairs to deal with it.
19. He refused. We asked him to change some procedures. He refused.
20. We asked him to give all the date information, et cetera that went into
21. the assessment process, he has not done so. If you read the Tribune
22. about two months ago, you found out that there was clearly a dis-
23. parity in the taxes in Cook County. This bill would cure it. I
24. ask for your support.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

26. Is there further discussion? Senator Collins.

27. SENATOR COLLINS:

28. I rise in support of this amendment. This bill mostly for the
29. reasons that Senator Washington has already articulated, however,
30. I think is a very minor bill, when you consider the impact of their
31. problem over the past five years, since 1973. Actually I think the
32. amendment and in talking to Senator Washington should have gone
33. even further, because I think it is strictly, most certainly, absolutely,
unfair, because many people have actually lost their homes, and they've

1. pick up and barred by some of the tax scavengers in Cook County
2. because they...because of the high tax burden and they were not
3. able to pay. They were overassessed and it has been documented
4. that they had been overassessed and for those persons who've
5. lost their homes, I think the State of Illinois have a responsibility
6. to insure that the county reimburse those people for their losses,
7. and this amendment does not do it, but I'm hoping in the future
8. that we can find some way to recap those peoples homes that have
9. lost it because of highly over. and discriminatory tax assessments.

10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

11. Senator Newhouse.

12. SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

13. Thank you, Mr. President, and Senators. I'll try to be as
14. brief as possible. My district is the Hyde Park Township and it's
15. the second highest assessed of the total of all in Cook County.
16. Let me tell you a little story, I think most of you have heard
17. about the community of Woodlawn, that's in my district. It's a
18. community that has delightful amenities, it is about twelve minutes
19. by IC to the loop, maybe twenty minutes by rail, it sits adjacent
20. to the beach front, it has one of the largest parks in town ad-
21. jacent to it. After the lovely community twenty years ago, today
22. it looks like it's been bombed out. One of the factors that had to
23. do with that is the tax assessment rate. It was found that six
24. years ago those people in Woodlawn were paying, I want you to hear
25. this, were paying taxes, taxes in the amount of ten percent of the valu-
26. ation of their property. Ten times as much as some other sections
27. of the city. So it's no great suprise to learn that a lot of these
28. properties have been taken under the Scavenger Sale Act, and the
29. community has gone down the drain. I think that's a typical example
30. of what's going on elsewhere in the city, but you ought to know about
31. it, and the problem, of course, is unequal taxation, unequal assessment
32. and I support this bill, and would...would give a vote for this
33. amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

1. Senator Nimrod.

2. SENATOR NIMROD:

3. Thank you, Mr. President. I think I'm for the amendment,
4. but I've got to ask some questions, because I'm not sure what
5. it does to us. I...half my district is in the first quadrant,
6. and half my district is in the second quadrant, and we have the
7. quadrennial reassessments, this says it will be equalized by town-
8. ships within Chicago, and Cook County on an annual basis. What
9. is this...since we have been carrying the assessment from the first
10. quadrant, when we get taxed, we carrying the burden to the second, third
11. and fourth quadrants each year for that four year period, we carry the
12. blunt of those taxes. Now I'm sure there must be some inequities
13. has been brought out by what your saying, Senator Washington, but
14. maybe someone can tell me what does this do to the...to the quadren-
15. nial reassessment factors, and how is this going to effect my district
16. up there, I'm having it done by townships. If I knew what those
17. figures were going to be, and if there wasn't any direct assessment
18. then I could probably support it, and I should, but it's going to...
19. going to create a negative affect in order to correct your problem
20. without knowing what we're all doing, then I've got some questions
21. and I've got some doubts about where we're going. So maybe if...
22. maybe Senator Egan knows or maybe you know Senator Washington, or
23. Senator Newhouse, what this is due to our quadrennial reassessment
24. on the bases of annual adjustments by the Department of Local
25. Government.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

27. Senator, it's your time, who do you wish to answer it?
28. Senator Washington.

29. SENATOR NIMROD:

30. Any one who has an answer for me.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

32. Senator Washington.

33. SENATOR WASHINGTON:

Give it to Schaffer.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

2. Senator Schaffer.

3. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

4. Senator Nimrod, first of all the amendment will clearly
5. solve that three year lag of inequity that you refer to, and
6. I might add while that is impacting on your section of Cook
7. County right now, it obviously will impact on each section as
8. the assessor gets through. I would also point out to you that
9. the...some of the assessment figures on the charts that are around
10. here do indicate quadrants that have not yet been assessed, and...
11. and this type of bill or amendment, with this amendment would allow
12. the different townships to be equalized as they're assessed, and I think
13. it would in fact provide equity for all parts of the county, and
14. but, to some degree in particular to the sections that have re-
15. cently have had the quadrennial assessment.

16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

17. Senator Nimrod.

18. SENATOR NIMROD:

19. I'm sorry, Mr. President, but this is an important issue, and
20. it's an amendment that's...if I might ask a couple of questions,
21. and take a little extra time, I hope you will bear with me. Senator
22. Schaffer...

23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

24. You have three minutes.

25. SENATOR NIMROD:

26. ...does this eliminate the quadrennial reassessment in
27. Cook County? Does this amendment eliminate the quadrennial
28. reassessment in Cook County?

29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

30. Senator Schaffer.

31. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

32. No.

33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Nimrod.

1. SENATOR NIMROD:

2. Well, if it doesn't eliminate the quadrennial reassessment
3. then how is it going to affect me if I'm...I'm taxed, in at first
4. and second quadrant, how is it going to affect me on the second
5. third, and fourth years, because that will not affect or change
6. my assessment at all. I'm going to bare the brunt of that burden
7. now for four years on our district, and that's what's been happening,
8. now for three or four years here. I have passed and put in bills that
9. will equalize because of the loss in revenue in Cook County, on its
10. quadrennial reassessment, so that the school districts don't get
11. hurt. Now at the expense of our own townships and our own community
12. we have paid and carried that burden. Now I don't know what affect
13. this is going to have, and I really don't want to delay anything,
14. could I please have an answer, or could we hold the bill, and with
15. the permission of the President, that we could get some answers back
16. before we do anything?

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

18. Senator, your time has run out, Senator. We will come
19. back to you. I have a list of Senator Chew, Rock, Jeremiah Joyce,
20. Daley and Egan, that wish to be...Senator Carroll now that wish to
21. be heard. We will get back to you again. Senator Chew.

22. SENATOR CHEW:

23. Thank you, Mr. President. For so many years the method of
24. denying black people the right to purchase properties, you had
25. what was known as restricted covenant and on each title to properties
26. it was said in writing that the property shall never be sold to
27. a person with one drop of Negro blood. Supreme Court made a decision
28. on that about 1939, and it overruled that restricted covenant.
29. Some...prior to that if blacks brought...bought properties usually
30. tax office, title companies had to waive that section, after Supreme
31. Court ruled against restricted covenant, those properties that
32. could be purchased as a rule were run down properties by prior owners
33. and left in disarray and then lending institutions would not, in fact,
make loans to black people simply because they hid behind the attitude

1. that they did not have job security. So consequently in order
2. to purchase property you purchased on what was known as a land
3. contract deal which allowed the...the seller to confiscate your
4. property in the event you had made repairs without his understanding
5. or permission or that if you were, in fact, one month behind in your
6. monthly payment, you had to pay approximately one half or more of
7. the selling price of that property before the title ever came to
8. the potential buyer. Subsequently to that, the lending institu-
9. tions relinquished their restricted covenants in loans and started
10. giving black people in Cook County some loans still on dilapidated
11. properties. Now to the amazement of everybody when blacks began
12. build homes in Cook County and they no longer were desirous or
13. forced to buy properties that had been run down and forgotten by
14. previous owners, instead of the lending institution making you
15. pay a premium for your loans, in comes a tax assessors officer
16. to make you pay what is known as a black tax for the privilege
17. of owning property. That's what Senator Washington is talking about,
18. that's what all black people are talking about. You know the story
19. so well, I don't have to tell it. Every available means that
20. you've had at your disposal have been used in order to throw out
21. the effort of putting black people on equality in housing as you
22. have been all of your life. You talk about...

23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

24. Senator, would you bring your remarks to a close your
25. time has run out.

26. SENATOR CHEW:

27. ...them not being able to compete, how can we compete when
28. you have not allowed me to go to school. How can I live in de-
29. secent housing when you've used every means known to you to keep
30. me from having it? All this does is correct an evil, and if you
31. don't correct it, the Supreme Court's going to do it. You know it
32. very well. Don't go on record. Don't allow yourself to feel that
33. you've been a part of a continuing effort to keep decent American
citizens from owning and paying the same tax, equal tax as anybody

1. else. That's all we're talking about, and I'd ask a favorable
2. roll call.

3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

4. Senator Rock.

5. SENATOR ROCK:

6. Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.
7. I rise in opposition to House Bill 981 as amended.

8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

9. Could we have a little order back there?

10. SENATOR ROCK:

11. And for the purpose of the record, I think we should point
12. out contrary to what was said by Senator Washington, that these
13. allegations that emanated from the University of Illinois Professor
14. have not, in fact, been proved beyond any shadow of doubt as he indicated.
15. There is currently an investigation by the Cook County Board on this
16. matter. The assessor...which the assessor I might add has asked for,
17. there is currently a preliminary report, a copy of which I shared with
18. Senator Washington some weeks ago, which indicates that the evidence
19. simply is not there as it was first reported. The assessor has
20. put together a panel to compile a report and I heard on television
21. Sunday from no less a personage than Senator Washington, that a
22. law suit is going to be filed, which I presume will then bring
23. these allegations into the light of day. Just seems to me that
24. this is not the proper approach to dramatically change the taxing
25. structure in Cook County, simply will not alleviate this problem.
26. And I would hope that those who are from elsewhere than Cook
27. County would recognize this as a Cook County bill, and would
28. vote accordingly, and I hope that vote is No.

29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

30. Senator Jeremiah Joyce.

31. SENATOR JEREMIAH JOYCE:

32. Thank you, Mr. President, and members of the Senate. I too,
33. ...rise in opposition of this bill, and Senate President Rock,
has stated in most of the things I would wish to say to you today,

1. other than I would ask you to consider two things. I would ask
2. you to one, consider the cost of this, there has been no mention
3. of the cost of this. Senator Collins has said it is a minor im-
4. pact. It is a very serious and substantial impact in terms of its
5. cost, but secondly and perhaps more important, to me and I would hope
6. to you, is the fact that your former colleague and former President
7. of this Body, sat down with Senator Washington, and has indicated
8. to him that he does recognize that there is a problem here. He's
9. undertaken certain steps to try to remedy that problem, and he
10. is, indeed, in the process of doing that. Senator Washington, has
11. indicated in his remarks that he holds Assessor Hynze in high
12. regard. I too, would hope that you share Senator Washington's
13. sentiments with respect to Assessor Hynzes' integrity and his
14. responsibility, and I would ask that you defeat this today, and
15. give the assessors office a chance to do their...fulfill their
16. rightful responsibility.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

18. Senator Egan.

19. SENATOR EGAN:

20. Yes, thank you, Mr. President, and members of the Senate.
21. I see here a strange alliance, and I question the need for those
22. of us who live in Cook County, all of us. There will be as a re-
23. sult of this amended bill the need for one hundred and ninety dif-
24. ferent multipliers in every year in Cook County when the assessor
25. has been supervised by the Board of Tax Appeals. The Assessors
26. Officer, Ladies and Gentlemen, has four hundred employees. The Board
27. of Tax Appeals, has about twenty. You will have to...the need for
28. more than doubling the Assessors Office staff and personnel, if
29. you eliminate the quadrennial reassessment system and this amended
30. bill does precise that, Senator Nimrod. Senator Walsh, Senator
31. Rhoads, Senator Moore, you fellows that live in Cook County, what's
32. going to happen as a result of the increased multipliers every
33. year, after you add to the staff of the Board of Tax Appeals, about
eight hundred and fifty people, if you'll find someplace for them to

1. work. The problem will be that the increase in home taxes will
2. be readily apparent and the decrease in commercial taxes will
3. ...will be obvious. So I just want you to consider that when
4. your deliberating on voting on this bill. It in fact, creates
5. havoc in the administration of the Assessor's Office. I think that
6. the current assessor is doing an exemplary job in Cook County.
7. He has adequately answered these charges and continues an in-
8. vestigation to fully explain all of the questions that anyone
9. has. To proceed in this fashion is precipitative. All it does
10. is foment a great deal of heat and no light, and it is totally
11. irresponsible, and I ask you to vote No.

12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

13. Senator Carroll.

14. SENATOR CARROLL:

15. Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.
16. I also rise in opposition to this bill, as amended, as we have
17. discussed in debating this topic in the past. Senator Nimrod,
18. while I also do not have a chart, and I don't think anyone has
19. provided us with one, nor even a guesstimate of what the effect
20. will be for a very good and simple reason, it will show you
21. that in support of this amendment this bill as amended, you will
22. have raised each and every year the taxes of your constituents,
23. in your and my quadrant areas. One of the reasons for this, is
24. when you equalize the townships annually under a quadrennial system,
25. especially in areas of increasing value, that equalization which
26. has to then be based on, then current sales figures, means that
27. the taxes in each of those areas that have had any economic growth
28. in value, will have to be raised so that each and every home in
29. that township, each of those four years, adequately reflects the
30. new value of that home. If you look at your own township, and look
31. at what values have done in the past four years, you will see the
32. effect, especially hard hitting on those who are on more fixed
33. incomes, or more senior in age, of their increased taxes, each and
every year of the quadrant period, and I might add too, Senator

1. Chew, you weren't the only people who are restricted by those
2. covenants, we were specifically in there, too. Within my current
3. legislative district there was an area in which my people were
4. not allowed to live. Where our mayor...our current mayor formerly
5. lived, I might add. That had had within each and every deed a
6. restrictive covenant. Senator Geo-Karis knows the area, she's
7. mentioning it. That isn't of bearing in this approach. For if
8. it were I would be agreeing with you as I have generally done on
9. those types of topics. That has nothing to do with this approach.
10. What this approach does deal with though, is raising the taxes, in
11. at least my area, as I see it, having looked at some figures, each
12. and every year for four years, and I just can't be supportive of
13. that.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

15. Is there further discussion? Senator Rhoads.
16. SENATOR RHOADS:

17. Thank you, Mr. President, and members of the Senate. In
18. response to a prior speaker, who seemed to know a great deal about
19. an amendment which he said he had not read, there are some people
20. in suburban Cook who are in support of this concept. I for one
21. favor it. I think if the Assessors Office has done such an exemplary
22. job it wouldn't be true, as it is true, that Cook County has one
23. of the highest coefficients of dispersion of any county in
24. the State, indeed, according to national data of county in the
25. Nation. If they were doing such a good job, we wouldn't have the
26. disparity that we did have for the last several years on the
27. corporate personal property tax, where collections only ran only
28. forty-one percent in Cook County and ninety-six percent in the rest
29. of Illinois. This is a reasonable purposal, there are other
30. purposals companioned to this, which would indicate that all
31. townships in Cook County go to an annual reassessment. The assessor
32. himself has expressed the wisdom of that particular concept. This
33. is a fair purposal, one that's desperately needed, Cook County has
more population and more tax bills than the rest of the State.

1. It needs a simpler approach, it needs this division by town-
2. ship, and it ought to be supported, and I for one being from
3. suburban Cook feel entirely comfortable in supporting it.

4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

5. Is there further discussion? Senator Washington may close
6. the debate.

7. SENATOR WASHINGTON:

8. No, I want to close briefly for the second time around, with
9. your permission, Mr. President. Just briefly to answer a couple
10. of comments made, one, the statement was made that the present
11. assessor of Cook County, whom we all hold in high esteem, has
12. adequately answered the charges and findings of Professor Lyons,
13. at the Urban Affairs School of Circle Campus, that is totally
14. incorrect, unless you consider this statement as a adequate answer.
15. Mr. Hynes said there has been no deliberate discrimination in tax
16. assessment in Cook County. Is that an adequate answer? Deliberate,
17. non-deliberate, the bottom line is discrimination, because it costs
18. money whether it's deliberate or not. That's not an adequate
19. answer. That is a totally inadequate answer, and I assume that's
20. the line or the place upon which he's going to finally pull back,
21. there's no place else to go, because the facts have been made pretty
22. clear, that there is discrimination. Someone said, don't do this
23. because you will lower our taxes. Well, hell all you're saying is
24. that you've been unjustly enriched with our money, and you don't
25. want to put it back, that's all you're saying. In plain simple English
26. if your taxes are lower, because mine are higher, and there's been
27. a disparity in the assessment, then you unjustly enriched. I don't
28. expect you to give it up willingly, no I expect you to go kicking,
29. screaming and yelling, into the twentieth century, but you're going
30. there one way or the other if we have to take you to court. Someone
31. said we're going to court, where else do you think we're going to
32. go to get our money back, to the General Assembly, that would be
33. ludicrous. Yes, we're going to court, to the Federal District
Court. This is a good bill. Cost, sure it will cost something.

1. Where is the money coming from? Not out of your pocket. It's
2. coming out of our pockets, and those nineteen black and latino
3. neighborhoods which have been overassessed. This is a very good
4. bill.

5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

6. Senator Schaffer may close also.

7. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

8. Well, I only have to comment that I...I was feeling somewhat
9. guilty about calling the bill when no one knew anything about it.
10. But after listening to the debate, I decided that maybe my...my
11. concern was not founded. I think this is a good bill, this concept
12. has worked very well downstate. I believe that we have a man in
13. the Assessors Office in Cook County who can do a good job downstate.
14. I suspect if it were his idea, a lot of speeches wouldn't have been
15. given. In any case it's a good idea, and we have a good man to
16. implement it. I'd appreciate a favorable roll call.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

18. Question is, shall House Bill 981 pass. Those in favor
19. vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have
20. all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On
21. that question the Ayes are 30, the Nays are 18. 5 Voting Present.
22. House Bill 981, having received the constitutional majority is de-
23. clared passed. Senator Rock.

24. SENATOR ROCK:

25. I request a verification of the affirmative vote, Mr. President.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

27. Verification has been requested of the affirmative vote on
28. House Bill 981. Will those members please be in their seats, and
29. answer to roll call as their name is called. The door is closed
30. Senator. Mr. Secretary, will you read the affirmative votes

31. SECRETARY:

32. The following voted in the affirmative: Berman... Berning,
33. Bloom, Bowers, Bruce, Chew, Coffey, Collins, Davidson, Geo-Karis,
Graham, Grotberg, Hall, Johns, Jerome Joyce, Maitland, Martin, McLendon,

HB 986
2nd reading
6-22-79

1. McMillan, Mitchler, Netsch, Newhouse, Phillip, Rhoads, Rupp, Schaffer,
2. Shapiro, Sommer, Washington, Weaver, Wooten.
3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
4. Are there any questions of the affirmative votes? Senator
5. Rock.
6. SENATOR ROCK:
7. Senator Coffey on the Floor?
8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
9. Senator Coffey on the Floor? He is standing in the back.
10. SENATOR ROCK:
11. Senator Weaver on the Floor?
12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
13. Senator Weaver on the Floor? Senator Weaver? Strike his
14. name. Are there any other questions? On that question the Ayes
15. are 29, the Nays are 18. 5 Voting Present. Senator Schaffer what...
16. Senator Schaffer seeks postponed consideration. House Bill 986,
17. Senator Johns.
18. SECRETARY:
19. House Bill 986.
20. (Secretary reads title of bill)
21. 3rd reading of the bill.
22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
23. Senator Johns.
24. SENATOR JOHNS:
25. Mr. President. House Bill 986. Let me tell you about
26. House Bill 986. Exactly what this bill is all about by referring
27. you to page 1354 of the June the 15th Legislative Synopsis and
28. Digest. Which contains the fiscal notes submitted by the Director
29. of the Bureau of the Budget, Doctor Robert Mandeville. It reads
30. as follows, and let me analyze this statement after it's all
31. over with. The most significant fiscal impact of House Bill
32. 986, may be the cost of rate increases. The current rate setting
33. process is intergrated into the budgetary process. This insures that
- rate increase fit into the fiscal restraints of the budget while

1. bill maintains the General Assembly's and the Governor's role of
2. approving increases. The fact that public members participate and
3. make recommendations may cause service provider expectations to
4. be raised, and reduce the General Assembly's, and the Governor's
5. discretion to limit increases when faced with limited resource,
6. and even simpler terms this bill will make it possible for service
7. providers to get their story out in the open and assure the General
8. Assembly the actions of the State agencies and the Bureau of the
9. Budget are not arbitrary or capricious against a single vendor group
10. to...in approving rates for the purchase of human services. The bill is
11. about priorities, it is about the priorities of human services,
12. which Illinois now purchases, or makes grants for involving more
13. than three billion dollars. Senator Davidson, you may wonder why
14. this specialized living center in Springfield, for the developmentally
15. disabled has not become operational yet, I'll tell you. It is
16. because the current sub-Cabinet group calling itself the Governor's
17. Purchase Care Review Board...disapproved the daily rates of reimburse-
18. ment, which the service provider needed to get the center started,
19. and fully operational. This Ad Hoc board opposed the Department
20. of Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities on the issues, and
21. the new specialized living centers, which we constructed in this
22. State at a cost of more than fifteen million dollars are now in
23. jeopardy of becoming white elephants, because the reimbursement
24. rates needed by this service providers that are going to operate them
25. have not been authorized by the existing board that is advising the
26. Bureau of the Budget, and the Governor. Senator Grotberg, you may
27. want to know, you may wonder why the Department of Children and
28. Family Services is not providing more incentives to private agencies
29. for foster care. Or why individual foster families have not been
30. given adequate compensation for their services over the years, or
31. has not as yet relaxed institutional rates for the year Fiscal
32. Year 1980. Well, it's because the current sub-Cabinet group of
33. agency directors calling itself the Governor's Purchase Care Review
Board has not approved the rate hikes.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

2. Senator, would you bring your remarks to a close? Your time
3. has run out.

4. SENATOR JOHNS:

5. Well, you know Mr. President, I've set here and listened to
6. everybody else, and it's very seldom that I ever abuse anything near
7. approaching the time.

8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

9. Senator, we have a...three minute time limit and we're enforcing
10. that limit.

11. SENATOR JOHNS:

12. All right, Mr. President, your calling the shots, I'll be quiet.

13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

14. Is there further discussion? Senator Sommer. Senator Grotberg.

15. SENATOR GROTBERG:

16. Thank you, Mr. President, and I appreciate the sponsor of this
17. fine bill, asking what it does for the private agencies. How many
18. members are on this Board, Senator?

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

20. Senator Grotbert...or Senator Johns.

21. SENATOR JOHNS:

22. Okay, Mr. President. One, the State Superintendent of Education.
23. Two, the Directors of three State Governmental Human Service Entities
24. of their...designees. Four, Representatives from the public who are
25. not State employees, and not affected by actions of the Board, and
26. one more, the Director of the Bureau of the Budget.

27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS).

28. Senator Grotberg.

29. SENATOR GROTBERG:

30. Fine, because the Digest has evidently after the amendments
31. has deleted that concept. I went round and round yesterday on the
32. bill, Mr. President, with Director Koler, and for the public
33. information I think he came back yesterday with an agreement of
going from nine dollars and twenty-seven cents, to ten dollars for

1. foster care, but he had to go back and carve out after you sat in
2. our committee, and we said if you'll just obey the law it would
3. help, and the law says that you must pay one hundred percent of
4. reasonable cost of all these services, and he took that message back,
5. and I guess they got a...a new look at the legislature through this
6. process. Now if you think this will help, I'm going to vote for
7. it, and I would ask everybody else to vote for it, but I don't
8. guarantee it will do a damn thing. I really don't, but it's less
9. worse than what we've got where the Sanhedrin get together and say
10. you on the outside can go hang, because we're going to sustain only
11. State institutions, and that's what happens, and they don't sustain
12. those too well. So I think this is a good bill, let's all vote for
13. it and send it down to the Governor, and see what he says.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

15. Senator Schaffer.

16. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

17. Senator Grotberg, I can assure you it will do one thing, it
18. will cost 2.2 million dollars more than we're now spending.
19. This particular bill did clear the Public Health Committee on basically
20. a partisan roll call. I agree with much of what Senator Johns has
21. said about the current Purchase Review Care Board, they are truly
22. a pack of villains, but they are villains aimed at holding the cost
23. down, and that's a tough role, and while I don't agree with them a
24. good part of the time, I certainly sympathize with anybody that
25. has to keep the vested interest out of the State Treasury. I
26. would also suggest that the bill does not eliminate the two existing
27. committees, but in fact duplicates them, although hopefully reason
28. would prevail, but there's no...there's no tradition in the State
29. that reason always prevails. I would suggest to you that the problem
30. doesn't lie with the Board, the problems are much more basic, and the
31. Board responds to the conditions that exist today. I would suggest
32. that we need some innovative ideas to change the conditions that exist
33. and to find the dollars to implement those changes. The Board
basically divvies up the dollars that the Bureau of the Budget says that

1. are available, and I don't think that we can appoint new boards
2. from here to eternity and if the dollars aren't there the boards...
3. we're going to have the same problems with the new board. I see
4. no reason for a new board. It's just going run into the same problems
5. the old board had.

6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

7. Senator De Angelis.

8. SENATOR DE ANGELIS:

9. Thank you, Mr. President, and members of the Senate. Senator
10. Johns has some very strong feelings that are quite justified re-
11. garding this particular bill, but he's...he's engaging in a comic
12. rhetoric that is heard to frequently in the Senate, and that is that
13. the creation and appointment of another Body would guarantee that
14. the performance would be better. I don't submit to that and I don't
15. see anything in this bill that would indicate a guarantee that the
16. performance would, in fact, be better.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

18. Senator Berman. Senator Grotberg.

19. SENATOR GROTBORG:

20. Yes, for a second time, with your leave, Mr. Chairman....President,
21. and members of the Senate. You dropped a two million dollar figure,
22. Senator Schaffer. Our records indicate three hundred and fifty
23. thousand to set up the shop. If it's two million I hope that's the
24. two million they're going to send out there to pay the price of the
25. cost to keep these kids. Is that what you were referring to? Can
26. you stop pressing long enough to...

27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

28. Senator Schaffer.

29. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

30. For some reason, they thought I'd have an opinion on the RTA
31. proposal. The figure I got I believe was from the Governor's staff,
32. and I...I don't hold it up as being...I think it was for the total
33. operation. In fact, in all candor it might very well have been the
total operation of the three committees that would be in existence.

1. but that was my source, I don't hold it up as being absolutely
2. irrefutable.

3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

4. Senator Grotberg.

5. SENATOR GROTBORG:

6. Very briefly. It's three hundred and fifty thousand dollars
7. to set up this new board. All the other...the board we've got now,
8. are all...all well paid executives and they're doing it at no cost
9. at all, so there'd be no change in anything as far as the dup-
10. lication is not true. All the Governor has to do is tell the guys
11. to stay in their store and sign this one, he's got a whole new shop.
12. I still thinks it's a good idea.

13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

14. Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Johns may close
15. the debate.

16. SENATOR JOHNS:

17. Mr. President, and members of the Senate. This bill is not
18. without precedent in other states, and I suggest to you that we
19. have already recogized the need for an independent board. It adds
20. four public members to open up these secretive meetings, where the
21. people do not have a chance to be heard. The people that are in-
22. dependent, are not State employees, are not under the thumb of any-
23. one. This thing would still give it to the Governor, still a function
24. of the Governor to make the decision. The Governor, let me in closing
25. say this, I call to your attention the remarks of the Governor, when
26. he said overall the lack of a common approach to purchase care has
27. contributed to patent neglect and abuse, which comes to light all
28. too often. In some cases low rates may mean sub-standard care, in
29. other cases hugh profits are being made while sub-standard care is
30. provided, in most cases he said the present administrative system
31. cannot identify what level of care is received for the money paid
32. out. I would like a favorable roll call.

33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Question is, shall House Bill 986 pass. Those in favor vote Aye.

1. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who
2. wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question
3. the Ayes are 8...the Ayes are 20, the Nays are 31. For what
4. purpose does Senator Johns arise? Senator Johns asks that further
5. consideration of House Bill 986 be postponed be placed on the
6. Order of Postponed Consideration. The Chair inadvertently skipped
7. House Bill 983, in the confusion of skipping from place to place. Is
8. there leave to return to House Bill 983. Leave is granted. House Bill
9. 983, Senator Coffey, do you wish to call that? Read the bill, Mr.
10. Secretary, please.

11. SECRETARY:

12. House Bill 983.

13. (Secretary reads title of bill)

14. 3rd reading of the bill.

15. SENATOR COFFEY:

16. Mr. President...

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

18. Senator Coffey.

19. SENATOR COFFEY:

20. Mr. President, and members of the Senate. House Bill 983,
21. does virtually what the Digest shows and also what's shown on our
22. Calendar. The...this is a Motor Vehicle Laws Commission bill. It
23. was introduced in order to maintain a better audit trail, which will
24. hopefully assist in the recovery of these vehicles that are...that
25. have been stolen at an alarming rate in this State. The House Bill
26. 983, was suggested to our commission by the Motorcycle Motor...
27. motorcycle people, it's supported by...agreement has been worked out
28. with the Secretary of State's office, to...on this bill. Department
29. of Transportation supports it, and I'd be glad to answer any questions
30. you might have.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

32. Is there discussion? Senator Buzbee.

33. SENATOR BUZBEE:

Question of the sponsor. Senator Coffey is this the bill, to which

1. you added the amendment, which would allow sixteen and seventeen
2. year olds to drive motorcycles over five hundred CC's?

3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

4. Senator Coffey.

5. SENATOR COFFEY:

6. No, Senator Buzbee, it is not.

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

8. Further discussion? Senator Coffey may close.

9. SENATOR COFFEY:

10. Well, Mr. President, and member so the Senate. I would just
11. ask for a favorable roll call. This is a bill, as I said before,
12. it is...the Motor Vehicle Laws Commission is supporting it, Department
13. of Transportation, the Secretary of State, the motorcycle dealers
14. in the State of Illinois. I think it's a good bill, and I'd ask
15. for a favorable roll call.

16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

17. Question is, shall House Bill 983 pass. Those in favor vote
18. Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted
19. who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question,
20. the Ayes are 50, the Nays are none. 2 Voting Present. House Bill
21. 983, having received a constitutional majority is declared passed.
22. House Bill 987, Senator Buzbee. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

23. SECRETARY:

24. House Bill 987.

25. (Secretary reads title of bill)

26. 3rd reading of the bill.

27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

28. Senator Buzbee.

29. SENATOR BUZBEE:

30. Thank you, Mr. President. This bill would amend the Fees and
31. Salaries Act, to provide for State funding for the Assistant State's
32. Attorneys in counties with adult penal institutions with more than
33. two thousand inmates. It provides for two assistants when the inmate
population is over two thousand and under four thousand, and three

HB 991
3rd Reading
6-22-74

1. assistants when inmate population exceeds four thousand. This would
2. have...have an effect on three counties in the State, Will, Livingston,
3. and Randolph, where there are major penal institution populations,
4. and the State's Attorneys in those particular counties are just quite
5. frankly completely overwhelmed and overburdened with the cases that
6. arise out of incidents within those institutions. Senator Carroll
7. amended the bill the other day to reflect that those new Assistant
8. State's Attorneys would have to be...their time would have to be re-
9. stricted strictly to the institution. Part of the problem, and by the
10. way, this bill was a product of a special House Committee on Prisons.
11. A recommendation on that special committee...and Representative Davis,
12. Jack Davis was the sponsor in the House. Part of the problem that
13. they have in...with State's Attorneys now, or what they have with
14. the penal institutions, is that the guards do now know how to present
15. and...and obtain the proper chain of evidence and so forth, and part
16. of the Assistant State's Attorney's job would be to be in there teaching
17. the guards how to, in fact, take testimony, how to be able to give
18. proper testimony and so forth, so that they would be able to prosecute
19. and be able to get convictions of crimes that happened within the
20. prisons themselves. For that reason we need these extra assistants,
21. and I would ask for your favorable consideration.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

23. Is there discussion? Senator Moore.

24. SENATOR MOORE:

25. Thank you, Mr. President, and members of the Senate. This
26. bill as amended, is a good bill, there is a need for it, I'd urge
27. a favorable consideration.

28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

29. Further discussion? Question is, shall House Bill 987 pass.
30. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open.
31. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record.
32. On that question the Ayes are 56, the Nays are none. None Voting
33. Present. House Bill 987, having received a required constitutional
majority is declared passed. House Bill 991, Senator Berning. Read

1. the bill, Mr. Secretary.

2. SECRETARY:

3. House Bill 991.

4. (Secretary reads title of bill)

5. 3rd reading of the bill.

6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

7. Senator Berning.

8. SENATOR BERNING:

9. Thank you, Mr. President. 991, as amended, and I should add
10. the amendment was just a technical correction. The bill provides
11. a method by which a municipality may exchange unneeded municipal
12. property for land of equal or greater value after a public hearing
13. and by the adoption of a ordinance by the governing Body of, at least,
14. a three-quarters vote. There are some instances where there is unneeded
15. municipal property that can be traded for more useable property
16. and this offers the avenue for accomplishing that. If there are
17. no questions, Mr. President, I would request a favorable roll call.

18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

19. Is there discussion? Question is, shall House Bill 991 pass.
20. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is
21. open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the
22. record. On that question, the Ayes are 55, the Nays are 1. 1 Voting
23. Present. House Bill 991, having received the required constitutional
24. majority is declared passed. House Bill 998, Senator Hall. House
25. Bill 1000, Senator Hall. House Bill 1008, Senator Jerome Joyce.
26. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

27.

28.

29.

(End of Reel)

30.

31.

32.

33.

1. SECRETARY:

2. House Bill 1008.

3. (Secretary reads title of bill)

4. 3rd reading of the bill.

5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

6. Senator Jermome Joyce.

7. SENATOR JEROME JOYCE:

8. Thank you, Mr. President. House Bill 1008 expands the require-
9. ments that school boards upon written request of a teacher withhold
10. dues to professional organizations to include an employees' or pay-
11. ments or contributions to any employee labor, professional organization.
12. It...House Bill 1008 also would allow other employees in addition to
13. teachers to have membership dues deducted from their compensation.
14. That's basically it.

15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

16. Is there discussion? Question is, shall House Bill 1008...what
17. purpose Senator Walsh rise?

18. SENATOR WALSH:

19. I think you asked if there was further discussion.

20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

21. And no one stood, Senator.

22. SENATOR WALSH:

23. Oh, well, I wonder if I might...

24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

25. All right. Senator Walsh.

26. SENATOR WALSH:

27. Mr. President, and members of the Senate, I'm sorry I was a
28. little late getting on my feet. I had hoped that...that...Senator
29. Keats I notice is not here today. I think his absence has been
30. excused because he had an appendectomy last night. If it hasn't been,
31. I would hope that the record would so show.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

33. It has not been done, Senator Walsh, so...

1. SENATOR WALSH:

2. I would like to say, Mr. President and members of the Senate,
3. that this is...is quite a...quite an extreme move that the...that
4. the gentleman proposes. It would mandate that a...that a school
5. board withhold organizational dues in the event...an employee requests
6. that the board do so. This has been opposed by the Illinois
7. Association of School Boards, school administrators and various
8. superintendents testified in committee it is my understanding against
9. this bill. I...I think it's...it's unnecessary, this check off
10. procedure...I believe it's what it would be called...is not necessary.
11. If these employees wish to...to become members of a voluntary organi-
12. zation they should voluntarily see to it that their organizational
13. dues, etc., are paid as between and them and the organization. I
14. don't think that the school board should be involved in this. It
15. would be unnecessary expense. I guess if Senator Knuppel's bill
16. requiring that the State compensate local government under mandated
17. programs is in effect that we would have to compensate various
18. school districts upon passage of this legislation. I don't think
19. we should mandate that school boards do this and I would urge a No
20. vote.

21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

22. Further discussion? Senator...for what purpose Senator Jerome
23. Joyce rise?

24. SENATOR JEROME JOYCE:

25. Well, I...I would like to respond to Senator Walsh. I would
26. just like to say that I hope that in anticipation of this bill that
27. it didn't give Senator Keats appendicitis.

28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

29. Further discussion? Senator Davidson.

30. SENATOR DAVIDSON:

31. Mr. President and members of the Senate. I rise in support of
32. this bill. What this allows is for employees who are not teachers,
33. if by written request want to have their dues deducted when they're

1. deducting all the other parts of...off their wages such as their
2. Social Security, their Income Tax, any other withholding they would
3. like...this is just a line item which they can do. We have had
4. this bill...I had it in the Senate, passed it out of here and it's
5. been held up in the House. This bill is over here. I think it's
6. a good bill. It's going to give employees of school districts who
7. are not teachers the same opportunity that employees of private
8. industry have if they want. That is, by written request that their
9. dues could be deducted from their paycheck and sent on to the
10. organization whomever is represents them. I think it's a good bill.
11. I urge an Aye vote.

12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

13. Is there further discussion? Senator Ozinga.

14. SENATOR OZINGA:

15. Question of the sponsor.

16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

17. Indicates he will yield. Senator Ozinga.

18. SENATOR OZINGA:

19. Does this mandate the school board to take out anything that
20. any employee wants?

21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

22. Senator Joyce.

23. SENATOR JEROME JOYCE:

24. Well, upon written request from the teacher or the employee of
25. the school board it would let them take out such as insurance and
26. other benefits. Basically, yes.

27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

28. Senator Ozinga.

29. SENATOR OZINGA:

30. In other words, it's unlimited. It isn't just limited to the
31. dues or things like that. It's the...employees request.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

33. Senator Joyce.

1. SENATOR JEROME JOYCE:
2. Yes, to professional organizations.
3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
4. Senator Ozinga.
5. SENATOR OZINGA:
6. That...that's exactly my question. Does...is it limited only
7. to professional organizations or is it anything at the request of
8. the employee? In other words, could he say, "Look, I'd like to have
9. my Christmas club taken out of my pay and sent to the bank?"
10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
11. Senator Joyce.
12. SENATOR JEROME JOYCE:
13. ...it's professional organizations, Senator. It's not Christmas
14. club...
15. SENATOR OZINGA:
16. How does the School Board Association stand on this, Jerry?
17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
18. Senator Jerome Joyce.
19. SENATOR JEROME JOYCE:
20. I don't know.
21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
22. Is there further discussion? Senator Jerome Joyce may close.
23. SENATOR JEROME JOYCE:
24. Ask for a favorable roll call.
25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
26. Question is, shall House Bill 1008 pass? Those in favor vote
27. Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted
28. who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question
29. Ayes are 28. The Nays are 17. None Voting Present. House Bill 1008,
30. having failed to receive the constitutional majority is lost. House
31. Bill 1010, Senator Sangmeister. Okay. House Bill 1018, Senator Merlo.
32. Senator Merlo. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.
33. SECRETARY:

HB 1019
3rd Reading
6-22-79

1. House Bill 1018.
2. (Secretary reads title of bill)
3. 3rd reading of the bill.
4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
5. Senator Merlo.
6. SENATOR MERLO:
7. Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. The bill
8. amends the Health and Dance Studio Act by limiting the length of
9. membership contracts to one year. Illinois Statutes currently
10. limit the contracts to seven. This bill is the result of many
11. frustrated people being lured into long term contracts or member-
12. ships with a health spa by high pressured sale tactics. The bill
13. will protect consumers. Numerous states have adopted...or are
14. considering legislation limiting the length of membership to the
15. health spas. The bill is supported by the Association of Physical
16. Fitness Centers, Health and Tennis Corporations of America and
17. the Chicago Health Clubs. I do ask your favorable consideration.
18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
19. Is there further discussion? The question is, shall House Bill
20. 1018 pass? Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay.
21. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who
22. wish? Take the record. On that question Ayes are 57. The Nays are
23. none. None Voting Present. House Bill 1018, having received the
24. required constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill
25. 1019, Senator Coffey. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.
26. SECRETARY:
27. House Bill 1019.
28. (Secretary reads title of bill)
29. 3rd reading of the bill.
30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
31. Senator Coffey.
32. SENATOR COFFEY:
33. Mr. President and members of the Senate, House Bill 1019 amends

1. the Vehicle Code to provide for a Certificate of Title issued for
2. vehicles with the initial SV following which indicates salvage
3. vehicles. It's stamped across the title or the certificate so it
4. can be recognized by the Secretary of State's Office. It's supported
5. by the Secretary of State's Office, Motor Vehicle Laws Commission.
6. I'd ask for a favorable roll call.

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

8. Is there discussion? Question is, shall House Bill 1019 pass?
9. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is
10. open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the
11. record. On that question the Ayes are 53. The Nays are none. 1
12. Voting Present. House Bill 1019, having received the required
13. constitutional majority, is declared passed. For what purpose
14. Senator Walsh rise?

15. SENATOR WALSH:

16. Mr. President and members of the Senate, I was mistaken when
17. I stated that Senator Keats had an appendectomy. He has been
18. hospitalized with an acute appendicitis, but it is my knowledge that
19. he's still in the hospital, but no action has been taken. I would
20. hope that the Journal would show that his absence is excused for
21. that reason.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

23. It will be journalized. For what purpose Senator Egan rise?

24. SENATOR EGAN:

25. Thank you, Mr. President. On a point of personal privilege if
26. I may. We have a distinguished member of the Judiciary with us
27. in the South Gallery. Judge William Murphy. Judge, would you stand
28. and be recognized?

29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

30. Judge Murphy, please stand and be recognized by the Senate.
31. House Bill 1021, Senator Merlo. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

32. SECRETARY:

33. House Bill 1021.

HB 1027
3rd Reading
6-22-79

(Secretary reads title of bill)

1. 3rd reading of the bill.

2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

3. Senator Merlo.

4. SENATOR MERLO:

5. Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. The bill
6. amends the General Not-For-Profit Corporation Act to specifically
7. make consumer co-ops eligible for not- for- profit corporative status.
8. Interest in co-ops is growing rapidly in Illinois as consumers seek
9. to lower living costs and to improve access to hard to find products.
10. In a typical co-op, as we all know, members use their collective
11. strength to obtain goods and services on a basis mor favorable to
12. them. However, consumer co-ops are currently not covered under the
13. General Not-For-Profit Act. The result has been inconsistency in
14. the administration. The problem will become even more pressing
15. because now ther are Federal Funds available to thes co-ops. The
16. bill is sponsored by the Secretary of State and supported by
17. the Legislative Support Center. I know of no opposition. I ask
18. your favorable consideration.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

20. Is there discussion? Question is, shall House Bill 1021 pass/
21. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. Voting is open.
22. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record.
23. On that question Ayes are 56. The Nays are none. None Voting Present.
24. House Bill 1021, having received the required constitutional majority,
25. is declared passed. Houae Bill 1027, Senator Schaffer. Read the
26. bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

27. SECRETARY:

28. House Bill 1027.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

29. 3rd reading of the bill.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

31. Senator Schaffer.

32. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

33. Mr. President, this bill simple makes it a criminal offense to
34. use a computer or alter or destroy computer programs without the

consent of the owner of the system. It's a...the end product of the
1. Data Information Commission. I believe the bill is in excellent
2. shape. I'd be happy to answer any questions. Appreciate a favorable
3. roll call.

4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

5. Is there discussion? Senator Daley.

6. SENATOR DALEY:

7. Will the sponsor yield to a question? What is the criminal
8. offense? Is it three to five or eight to nine? I mean besides
9. that if you don't have the consent...it says without the consent
10. of the owner...if you have the consent...you have the consent, I
11. mean...what's the criminal offense anyway, I mean?

12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

13. Senator Schaffer.

14. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

15. It's a Class A misdemeanor and the language was drafted by
16. greater legal minds than I...you know, I guess that...that's clearly
17. what they thought was best and...I have no pride of authorship, but
18. I believe that it is good language.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

20. Senator Daley.

21. SENATOR DALEY:

22. Does this include where an employee sells or gives away information
23. off a computer program in the General Assembly, in the Executive Branch
24. of Government or Judicial, gives away or receives compensation, correct,
25. Senator Nezda?

26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

27. Senator Schaffer.

28. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

29. It's the unlawful use of a computer and I would define that
30. as selling it or if you...you know, if you gave away information on
31. a computer that there was say a law prohibiting that information
32. being given away I think you would find yourself liable, yes.

33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

HB 1031
3rd Reading
6-22-79

- 1. Senator Daley.
- 2. SENATOR DALEY:
- 3. Does this include research projects for various universities,
- 4. private foundations? If I am on a computer program, do I have to
- 5. give the authorization as an individual? Say in the Legislature
- 6. here, we have computer programs with our names on it. Do
- 7. we give the authorization or does the employee?
- 8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
- 9. Senator Schaffer.
- 10. SENATOR SCHAFFER:
- 11. I would interpret the Act that the owner or controller of the
- 12. computer system would have to give the authorization and it says
- 13. unlawful use and I think it means unlawful use.
- 14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
- 15. Further discussion? Senator Daley.
- 16. SENATOR DALEY:
- 17. ...This is an excellent bill to protect the...all the programs
- 18. on computers and I hope we could increase the offense next year on
- 19. it. Thank you.
- 20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
- 21. Further discussion? Senator Schaffer may close. Question is,
- 22. shall House Bill 1027 pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed
- 23. vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all
- 24. voted who wish? Take the record. On that question Ayes are 55.
- 25. The Nays are none. 1 Voting Present. House Bill 1027, having
- 26. received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed.
- 27. House Bill 1031, Senator Moore. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.
- 28. SECRETARY:
- 29. House Bill 1031.
- 30. (Secretary reads title of bill)
- 31. 3rd reading of the bill.
- 32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
- 33. Senator Moore.

1. SENATOR MOORE:

2. Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. House
3. Bill 1031 adds a section to the Limitation Act providing that no
4. action may be brought for the design, manufacture or construction
5. defects in improvements to real estate after two years from the
6. date the act or omission was discovered or should have been dis-
7. covered and in no later...or in any event, not later than eight
8. years after the act or omission has occurred. If it's discovered
9. at the end of eight years, there is still a two year...an additional
10. two year period. What this bill does is...creates a Statute of
11. Limitations for those, the hundreds of architectural engineering,
12. contracting and subcontracting firms in Illinois that because of
13. Judicial decisions...the courts have held that, in effect, there
14. is liability in perpetuity. If a defect has occurred forty years
15. from now and it is discovered, when it is discovered, you then
16. have two years in order to file your cause of action. I believe
17. this is a reasonable bill. I'd be happy to answer any questions.
18. If not, I'd ask for a favorable roll call.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

20. Is there discussion? Is there discussion? Question is, shall
21. House Bill 1031 pass. Those in...those in favor vote Aye. Those
22. opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish?
23. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. Question Ayes are 41.
24. The Nays are 8. 1 Voting Present. House Bill 1031, having received
25. the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. House
26. Bill 1036, Senator McLendon. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

27. SECRETARY:

28. House Bill 1036.

29. (Secretary reads title of bill)

30. 3rd reading of the bill.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

32. Senator McLendon.

33. SENATOR MCLENDON:

1. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, this bill
2. amends the Municipal Code, specifically includes the Chicago
3. Park District as a district required to submit plans, designs or
4. proposals concerning the acquisition or disposition of real estate
5. to the Municipal Plan Commission. The bill passed the House Committee
6. on Cities and Villages 13 to nothing, passed the House 148 to 1,
7. passed the Senate Local Government Committee 10 to 1. I ask the
8. approval of the Senate.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

10. Is there discussion? Question is, shall House Bill 1036 pass.
11. Those in favor vote Aye. The opposed vote Nay. The voting is open.
12. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record.
13. On that question the Ayes are 56. The Nays are none. None Voting
14. Present. House Bill 1036, having received the required constitutional
15. majority, is declared passed. House Bill, 1039, Senator Newhouse.
16. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

17. SECRETARY:

18. House Bill 1039.

19. (Secretary reads title of bill)

20. 3rd reading of the bill.

21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

22. Senator Newhouse.

23. SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

24. Thank you, Mr. President. This is a Condominium bill and it's
25. for the purpose of making the form of ownership clear and the
26. declaration so there will be no difficulties in the transfer of
27. ownership. There was an amendment added that the...that the Real
28. Estate Association asked for, as you all know, that was added and
29. they support the bill. I know of no opposition and ask for its...
30. Merlo says it's a good bill.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

32. Is there discussion? Senator Egan.

33. SENATOR EGAN:

1. Yes, thank you. If the sponsor would yield, please.

2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

3. Indicates he will yield. Senator Egan.

4. SENATOR EGAN:

5. Is the declaration requirement presently exclusive of the

6. type of ownership...that kind of confounds me. I don't understand.

7. If the declaration is to show the type of ownership, it reflects

8. a...how many types of ownership can it reflect?

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

10. Senator Newhouse.

11. SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

12. Senator, one of the problems involved was to make certain that

13. in...that the...that the condition of the unit conform with the

14. requirements of the...of the condominium declaration. That was...

15. that was the amendment that was added by the Real Estate Association.

16. Is that the question you're asking?

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

18. Senator Egan.

19. SENATOR EGAN:

20. No, I don't have the bill, Senator, but it says that the...the

21. bill will require disclosure of the existence of the condominium

22. form of ownership and the declaration. What...how many forms of

23. ownership can a declaration show?

24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

25. Senator Newhouse.

26. SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

27. Senator, we're speaking about one thing here, condominium unit.

28. That's the only kind of a...ownership it can show. I'm not sure

29. what you're reading from.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

31. Senator Egan.

32. SENATOR EGAN:

33. I'm reading from the Calendar.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
2. Senator Newhouse.
3. SENATOR NEWHOUSE:
4. Well, what's your point?
5. SENATOR EGAN:
6. Well, as the Calendar indicates and the bill explanation
7. indicates the intent of the bill is to require disclosure of the
8. existence of the form of ownership. My question is, how many
9. different forms of ownership can there be in the declaration?
10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
11. Senator Newhouse.
12. SENATOR NEWHOUSE:
13. One, condominium. I'm not responsible for what is written
14. on that piece of paper, Senator.
15. SENATOR EGAN:
16. I'm just trying to get the meaning...and the purpose of the
17. bill. If that's all it does, it's meaningless.
18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
19. Further discussion? Senator Vadalabene.
20. SENATOR VADALABENE:
21. Yes, sometime, I think it was on June 20th, the Illinois Realtors
22. Association passed out a memorandum to all the members of the...of
23. the Senate in opposing House Bill 1039. Since then I understand
24. they have removed their objection and are now...they have removed
25. their objection in regard to House Bill 1039.
26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
27. Senator Geo-Karis.
28. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:
29. Mr...Mr. President, I apologize, and Ladies and Gentlemen of
30. the Senate, we heard this bill in Judiciary I Committee. It has
31. been amended to satisfy the objection that the realtors of the State
32. of Illinois had and what this bill really does is provide that the
33. declaration of intent to convert a condominium must contain

1. the name of the condominium, including the word condominium, the
2. name of the city and county or counties in which it's located, and
3. it also provides that the floor and the wall coverings etc., etc.,
4. etc., constitute part of the condominium. It's a very definitive
5. bill and a very necessary bill. Those of us who are in the practice
6. of law who have had some sales of some of these condominiums...we
7. have them with our hearts in our mouth because we're not sure always
8. that we're doing the right thing because there's not much definition
9. in the Statute and what this bill does is clarify the existing Statutes
10. and make the definition far clearer to show just what we mean when
11. we talk about a condominium and what goes with it in the purpose of
12. sale. I think it is a very good definitive bill and I urge its
13. favorable consideration and as I said, the State Board of Realtors
14. has withdrawn its objection because it was amended to make it
15. clearer and it was amended also to remove the requirement to
16. furnish the prospective purchaser additional insurance coverage,
17. or guarantee rather, and I would like to request the favorable
18. consideration of this bill.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

20. Senator Merlo.

21. SENATOR MERLO:

22. Well, I want to reiterate what Sam had said. The real estate
23. people have withdrawn their objection and I don't understand
24. Senator Egan's question to be perfectly honest with you unless he's
25. trying to distinguish between a townhouse type of concept or a single
26. unit concept. I just don't understand it, but it is a good bill.

27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

28. Senator Davidson.

29. SENATOR DAVIDSON:

30. Now, they made the point that the amendment withdrew the realtors'
31. objection...

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

33. Senator Daley.

1. SENATOR DALEY:

2. Mr. President and fellow Senators, this bill came out of
3. committee. It was agreed there would be no amendments on the
4. bill. We kept all the Condominium bills with the exception of
5. this bill, with the exception of this bill we kept every one
6. of them in committee and this bill came out. It was not supposed
7. to be amended on the Floor...no amendments. Now if there is an
8. amendment on, let's take it out of the record and talk about the
9. amendment. Is there an amendment on the bill?

10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

11. The Calendar indicates there is an amendment...there are
12. three amendments, I'm advised.

13. SENATOR DALEY:

14. Then I just advise that all the members and Senator Egan is
15. correct...all the other bills went to subcommittee. This bill
16. went out with the condition there would be no amendment. Vote it
17. up or down, whatever you want to do.

18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

19. Is there further discussion? Senator Newhouse may close.
20. Senator Newhouse may close.

21. SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

22. I'm not sure what's just been proposed, Mr. Chairman. Would
23. you clarify what was just said?

24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

25. Well, I'll defer to Senator Daley to respond.

26. SENATOR DALEY:

27. Easy. All the bills on condominiums with the exception of this
28. one went into subcommittee. Some of the Senators and House members
29. that wanted their bills out agreed that they all go in. This bill
30. was sent out on the basis that it would not be amended. There's
31. three amendments on it. Fine. They went ahead and amended the bill.
32. They weren't supposed to amend...the original bill was supposed to
33. stay as is and would pass through the Senate. Now if they are amending

1. the bill they just...fine. They want to do it, let them do it.

2. If you want to vote for it, vote it out. I'm voting No.

3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

4. Of course, the...all of these were apparently committee
5. amendments the Secretary advises me and Senator Newhouse is in
6. complete control of the bill and he has...he can do as he wishes.
7. Senator Newhouse, do you wish to close?

8. SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

9. Mr. President, I want to clarify this situation first. There
10. was not a single amendment put on this bill at the instigation of
11. the sponsor of the bill. There was one amendment put on this bill
12. at the request of the real estate people and that was a minor change.
13. That's all. So this legislation has violated nothing. The...
14. committee amendments were not my amendments. I want to take that
15. stigma away from it. Now that real estate amendment is the only
16. other amendment on it. It was discussed thoroughly on the Floor of
17. this Legislature so there is nothing hidden, straight up and down.

18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

19. Well, we...that was the closing debate. We're violating the
20. rules. You have leave...Senator Egan, for what purpose do you
21. arise?

22. SENATOR EGAN:

23. Yes, I think it's discriminatory.

24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

25. Question is, shall House Bill 1039 pass? Those in favor vote
26. Aye. Those...Senator Newhouse, for what purpose do you arise?

27. SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

28. Senator, I'm not trying to jam anything through here. I want
29. this thing opened up and let's decide what's going to happen here.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

31. Well, we're on the Order of 3rd reading at this time. Debate is
32. closed. If you wish to hold the bill, we'll hold it. If you wish...
33. What's your desire, Senator?

HB 1042
6-22-79

1. SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

2. Take it out of the record.

3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

4. Take it out of the record. House Bill 1041, Senator Bruce.

5. House Bill 1042, Senator Bruce. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

6. SECRETARY:

7. House Bill...

8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

9. Senator Newhouse, for what purpose do you arise?

10. SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

11. Mr. President, I want...I want the privilege of calling this
12. bill back. I don't want to go to the end of the Calendar on this
13. thing. I want to give him the courtesy, but I want this bill
14. called.

15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

16. Well, that has to have leave of the Body.

17. SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

18. Could I have that, please?

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

20. Leave is granted.

21. SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

22. Thank you.

23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

24. Senator Bruce. Secretary will read the bill.

25. SECRETARY:

26. House Bill 1042.

27. (Secretary reads title of bill)

28. 3rd reading of the bill.

29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

30. Senator Bruce.

31. SENATOR BRUCE:

32. Thank you, Mr. President. I think this bill deals with the
33. right of tenured teachers to be re-employed by school boards and

1. makes two minor changes. First of all, we must remember we are
2. talking about teachers who are on tenure, who have had at least
3. two years, if not three, in the school district and the bill
4. requires that they be honorably dismissed which means that there
5. is usually a reduction in force where the school board has decided
6. that because of the declining enrollment which occurs Statewide,
7. that they should reduce the number of teachers. This bill makes
8. two minor changes, just saying that they are eligible for a vacancy
9. within the district if they are, in fact, legally qualified to hold
10. the position, and secondly, the present Statute runs from September...
11. or from the date really of dismissal which is May to May, giving
12. them one year and this says it goes from September to September.
13. The one year remains the same. It's just that many teachers are
14. dismissed in the spring of the year. By the time the school board
15. knows what is going to happen a year from then, plus the summer,
16. they are not eligible for re-employment. All this says is the
17. date...the calendar year which we presently have runs from September
18. to September rather than from date of dismissal which is usually
19. May to May. Ask for your favorable consideration.

20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

21. Senator Maitland.

22. SENATOR MAITLAND:

23. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
24. Senate. I rise in opposition to this bill. What we're doing here
25. is extending tenure to teachers who are no longer a member of that
26. particular school district and it bothers me a little bit, I guess.
27. What we're doing here once again, is attempting, I think, to encourage
28. mediocrity among the teaching force. I am fully confident that those
29. school boards will make an honest effort...will make an honest
30. effort to hire back competent teachers. What this does is protect
31. those who are not as competent as those who are and I strongly resist
32. this kind of an amendment. It's circumventing the control of the
33. local school board and urge a No vote.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

2. Is there further discussion? Senator Bruce may close.

3. SENATOR BRUCE:

4. This isn't extending tenure to anyone. It makes two very
5. minor changes. It changes the year that they presently get under
6. present law, rather than from May to May to September to September.
7. That's all and it makes them eligible for vacancies within the
8. district from which they were relieved and if they are legally
9. qualified to hold the position. It doesn't give tenure to anybody.
10. They must have been on tenure before they were relieved. This
11. cuts and touches very very few teachers. Most of them are relieved...
12. a reduction in force occurs in the first two or three years of
13. their teaching experience. This just says if you're tenured,
14. you have a right to go back on a September to September year for
15. vacancies within the district.

16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

17. Question is, shall House Bill 1042 pass. Those in favor vote
18. Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all those
19. voted who wish? Have all those voted who wish? Take the record.
20. On that question the Ayes are 37. The Nays are 11. 1 Voting
21. Present. House Bill 1042, having received the constitutional
22. majority, is passed. House Bill 1047, Senator Rupp. Read the bill,
23. Mr. Secretary.

24. SECRETARY:

25. House Bill 1047.

26. (Secretary reads title of bill)
27. 3rd reading of the bill.

28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

29. Senator Rupp.

30. SENATOR RUPP:

31. Thank you, Mr. President. This is a bill jointly sponsored
32. by Senator Knuppel and me. What it does is it authorizes park
33. districts to issue Revenue Bonds for the purpose of constructing

1. or expanding airports. What it does...it is a Revenue Bond...
2. bonding. It does not raise taxes. There are park districts
3. in Decatur and Joliet, Canton, Beardstown and Vandalia that run
4. the airports and all this does is give them the same authority
5. that it does to the municipalities and other governmental units
6. that run airports. There were two amendments. One was to...it
7. originally said construct. It is now construct and expand. And
8. the other change was to make the bond rate eight percent. I ask
9. a favorable roll call.

10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

11. Is there discussion? Question is, shall House Bill 1047 pass.
12. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed...those opposed vote Nay.
13. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who
14. wish? Take the record. On that question the Ayes are 53. The
15. Nays are 3. 1 Voting Present. House Bill 1047, having received
16. the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. House
17. Bill 1048, Senator Maragos. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

18. SECRETARY:

19. House Bill 1048.

20. (Secretary reads title of bill)

21. 3rd reading of the bill.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

23. Can we break up the caucus in front of Senator Maragos' desk?
24. Senator Maragos is recognized.

25. SENATOR MARAGOS:

26. Mr. President and members of the Senate, House Bill 1048 puts
27. additional people who will be exempt from jury duty beside the
28. word physicians, its also practicing pharmacists and aldermen of
29. cities and village trustees. This has been requested by some
30. municipal...the Municipal League and other employees of State
31. government...or city government and I ask for its passage.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

33. Is there discussion? Question is, shall House Bill 1048 pass.

Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open.
Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record.

1. On that question the Ayes are 31. The Nays are 24. None Voting
2. Present. House Bill 1048, having received the required constitutional
3. majority, is declared passed...Bill 1050, Senator Geo-Karis. Read
4. the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

5. SECRETARY:

6. House Bill 1050.

7. (Secretary reads title of bill)

8. 3rd reading of the bill.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

10. ...Geo-Karis.

11. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

12. Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, House
13. Bill 1050 amends the Probate Act to authorize investment of monies
14. of a ward in shares of a State or Federal credit union to the
15. extent that it's insured by the National Credit Union Administration
16. and I urge its favorable consideration.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

18. Is there discussion? Senator Knuppel.

19. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

20. Yes, I had a question of the sponsor. I thought that credit
21. unions were created for members for their deposits, their savings,
22. etc., and it would appear here...does this apply to decedents as
23. well as to incompetents who...or people who are temporarily in-
24. capacitated?

25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

26. Senator Geo-Karis.

27. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

28. Senator Knuppel, I checked the Statute on credit unions and it's
29. my impression that this would apply to people or relatives of people
30. who are entitled to come in under the Credit Union Act. This does
31. not nullify the provision of being a relation to the people involved
32. in the Credit Union Act.

33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

1. Senator Knuppel.

2. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

3. Well, really I'm kind of perplexed by decedents estates being
4. able to invest in...money in a credit union unless that person was
5. a member...the fact that members of his family were...I'm a little
6. bit confused. I just am not too much in favor of credit unions
7. getting into the banking business as such. Now I supported 559
8. which was the case of people who were members making deposits. I
9. don't quite understand putting decedents funds into that and I
10. wanted to know exactly and clearly which funds were investable.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

12. Senator Geo-Karis.

13. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

14. My understanding, and I'm quoting from a letter from the
15. Illinois Credit Union League, Senator Knuppel. This bill relates
16. to the informal guardianship proceedings. Under a formal
17. guardianship proceeding, the guardian may invest the ward's funds
18. in shares of a credit union if the ward is within the field of
19. membership. My understanding is that since there is also an
20. informal guardianship provision under the Probate Act of 1975
21. it will simply extend informal guardianship proceedings to include
22. investing the ward's funds into a credit union. I mean it already
23. does to membership. I don't quite understand your question.

24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

25. Senator Knuppel.

26. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

27. Well, what you're assuring me is that a decedent's funds can
28. not be invested in a credit union. In other words, what we're
29. talking about are...are wards, minors or incompetents, is that correct?

30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

31. Senator Geo-Karis.

32. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

33. Senator Knuppel, the bill itself relates only to the estate of

1. a ward. Just a ward, not, you know, decedents or incompetents.

2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

3. Further discussion? Senator Geo-Karis may close.

4. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

5. I'd like to urge your favorable consideration of this bill
6. because this bill is within the forty thousand dollar limit. It's...
7. in other words, it would insured. The monies would not be just
8. invested haphazardly.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

10. Question is, shall House Bill 1050 pass. Those in favor vote
11. Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted
12. who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that
13. question the Ayes are 26. The Nays are 14. 2 Voting Present.
14. Senator Geo-Karis asks that further consideration of House Bill 1050
15. be postponed and be placed on the Order of Postponed Consideration.
16. House Bill 1051. Senator Newhouse sought leave of the Body and
17. received leave to return to House Bill 1059 when some of the
18. difficulties were resolved. House Bill 1039, Senator Newhouse.
19. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

20. SECRETARY:

21. House Bill 1039.

22. (Secretary reads title of bill)

23. 3rd reading of the bill.

24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

25. Senator Newhouse.

26. SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

27. Thank you, Mr. President. The...the difficulties were resolved.
28. The Real Estate Board members and Senator Daley have conversed and
29. they have agreed and the bill's in shape. I'd ask for a favorable
30. roll call.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

32. Is there discussion? Question is, shall House Bill 1039 pass.
33. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open.

1. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the
2. record. On that question the Ayes are 53. The Nays are none.
3. 2 Voting Present. House Bill 1039, having received the required
4. constitutional majority, is declared passed. House Bill 1051,
5. Senator Rupp. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.
6. SECRETARY:
7. House Bill 1051.
8. (Secretary reads title of bill)
9. 3rd reading of the bill.
10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
11. Senator Rupp.
12. SENATOR RUPP:
13. Thank you, Mr. President. This started out a very simple bill.
14. All it was was to have the ballots that were spoiled very definitely
15. indicated as such and have that word written across the entire face
16. of the ballot. That was because in instances where there are
17. recounts or any time or any point where a ballot has to be reviewed,
18. there was some concern and some trouble actually...when they'd open
19. the envelope actually discovering that the ballot was spoiled. This
20. is all just to mark that ballot. There was an amendment on. If
21. there are any questions on the amendment, I would defer those to
22. Senator Philip.
23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
24. Is there discussion? Senator Philip...there's request that
25. the amendment be explained. Senator Wooten is recognized.
26. SENATOR WOOTEN:
27. ...Like an explanation of the amendment.
28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
29. Now, Senator Philip, for an explanation of the amendment.
30. SENATOR PHILIP:
31. I'm happy that somebody made the request and I'll be happy
32. to explain it. This would...this amendment that I put on the bill,
33. which is rather controversial, very honestly, would prevent a person

1. convicted of a crime from running for party office, precinct
2. committeeman, county chairman, State central committeeman.
3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

4. Is there further discussion? Question is, shall House Bill
5. 1051 pass. Those in...Senator Martin is recognized.

6. SENATOR MARTIN:

7. Just out of curiosity, there is another amendment on the bill
8. that...well, I'm not talking about that one. There is another
9. amendment on the bill. Okay, and that other amendment I think has
10. some downstate implications that...I'll be honest with you...I don't
11. understand why we need.

12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

13. Senator Rupp.

14. SENATOR RUPP:

15. ...defer, thank you. I would defer the explanation of that
16. to the sponsor, Senator Schaffer.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

18. Senator Schaffer.

19. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

20. Mr. President, I don't...didn't think this amendment was
21. controversial and if it is, no big deal. It simply provides that
22. the...if in case of a vacancy in the Circuit Clerk's Office that
23. vacancy would be filled by the Circuit Court Judges of that district.
24. That is generally the practice, but there is some confusion as to
25. how that should be done, whether the Chief Circuit Judge makes the
26. appointment of whether they get together. In multi-county circuits,
27. I think this is important because this would mean that the judge from
28. that particular county would get a lick in when that vacancy comes up
29. and the appointment wouldn't necessarily be made by somebody who
30. might not even reside in the county or be in the county.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

32. Senator Martin.

33. SENATOR BARTIN:

Well, it...I really don't like opposing this amendment so it's

harder for me, but as I understand it, what this supposedly...using
1. one of the words I tend to use...technical amendment would do, that
2. in my county it would mean that all the circuit judges...or in
3. Cook County because I think it affects Cook, they'd all have to
4. get together and vote, not just the Chief Judge and that just is...
5. gets to a point of being ludicrous, I think, that a Chief Judge...
6. we've tried to move away from that. You have a Chief Judge. He takes
7. care of these. It isn't used that often. Circuit Clerks stay in
8. office, but I don't know why we're going backwards on this and I...
9. in my own county, whether I like my own Chief Judge or not, it seems
10. to me, is irrelevant. He seems a good man. I'm willing to trust
11. him far more than I would have having to have all the judges voting.
12. I think we get into highly political situations with that.

13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

14. Further discussion? Senator Hall.

15. SENATOR HALL:

16. Would the sponsor yield to a question? Senator Philip, would
17. you yield to a question?

18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

19. Indicates he will. Senator Hall.

20. SENATOR HALL:

21. You made mention of a crime. What kind of crime?

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

23. Senator Philip.

24. SENATOR PHILIP:

25. I think the word in the Statute is infamous and...I'll say this,
26. it comes out of the Election Code. It's the exact same language
27. that is used in the Election Code. Under the present law now, if
28. you're a member of the General Assembly, are convicted you cannot run
29. for that office again, but if you're convicted, you can run for party
30. office and the wording is the exact same wording used in that Statute
31. we have now.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

33. Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

1. I'm reading from one of the lines here, Senator, and it says,
2. "violation of official oath." Now, is...is that a misdemeanor or
3. is that...what is that?
4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
5. Senator Philip.
6. SENATOR PHILIP:
7. Yes, that's in the Code now. We're not changing that at all,
8. Senator. That's what the law says now. We're not even amending that
9. ...because that hasn't got anything to do with my amendment.
10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
11. Senator Hall.
12. SENATOR HALL:
13. If...I have an amendment with...you're the gentleman that made
14. the suggestion we have our name on it. It says Philip, Amendment
15. to House Bill 1051 and it says crime or any offense involving a
16. violation of official oath.
17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
18. Senator Philip.
19. SENATOR PHILIP:
20. Yes, that's what it does say. That is taken out of the Code,
21. that's the way the Code is now in another section and that pertains
22. to a elected State Representative or State Senator who is convicted
23. of the same crime cannot run for that office again and all I'm doing
24. is taking that language so that he can't run for party office either.
25. In other words, you couldn't run for the county chairman or State
26. central committeeman if you've been convicted.
27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
28. Further discussion? Senator Daley.
29. SENATOR DALEY:
30. Just on that point alone, Mr. President and fellow Senators,
31. does that mean...does that mean if a company is indicted for price
32. fixing, anti-trust violations that the corporate officers, board
33. of directors and the corporate officeholders cannot be in a party

1. position? Anti-trust and price fixing violations, all...that whole
2. field in the Federal Court, they're doing every day, all the companies
3. being indicted, not only in our State, but throughout the country
4. that has members...International Harvester, that's...you want to go
5. down the names? All the presidents of those companies and their
6. wives...you tell me they cannot be in a party position?

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

8. Senator Philip.

9. SENATOR PHILIP:

10. I guess I wasn't very clear. You have to be convicted. Indict-
11. ment hasn't got a thing to do with it. You could be indicted and
12. run for anything you want to run for, but when you are convicted it
13. would prevent you from running for party office.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

15. Senator Daley.

16. SENATOR DALEY:

17. Does that include a company that a...one of its officers is a...
18. an appointed official and either party that the company's convicted
19. of a anti-trust violation, they cannot participate? I'm just
20. wondering, there are people on the national scene prominence that are
21. corporate directors, corporate officeholders that the company does
22. get indicted and they are part of the indictment...this has great
23. implications to, I think, your side of the aisle and I don't want
24. to be mentioning some individuals that are prominent on your side
25. and could...implications on the Democratic side, but I think there's
26. more on the Republican side.

27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

28. Senator Philip.

29. SENATOR PHILIP:

30. That's certainly a matter of opinion, Senator, but I'll say
31. that it hasn't got a thing to do with being appointed. You could...
32. if a person was...convicted you could appoint them any office you want
33. to appoint them to. There's nothing that prevents that. I'm saying

1. that if you're convicted that you shouldn't be able to run for
2. party office. If you want to appoint somebody, be my guest. You
3. can appoint anybody you want to. I'm not affecting people who
4. are appointed. I'm trying to prevent people who are convicted of
5. a crime from holding party office, elected party office, not
6. appointed.

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

8. For what purpose Senator Rupp rise?

9. SENATOR RUPP:

10. Mr. President...Mr. President, this started out as a nice little
11. friendly bill and it's turned unfriendly. I would like to take it
12. out of the record and get it back....

13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

14. Is there leave to take it out of the record? Leave is granted.
15. House Bill 1052, Senator Berman. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

16. SECRETARY:

17. House Bill 1052.

18. (Secretary reads title of bill)

19. 3rd reading of the bill.

20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

21. Senator Berman.

22. SENATOR BERMAN:

23. Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.
24. House Bill 1052 has been amended. What it now does is to create
25. the Legislative Advisory Committee on Aging. One of the areas that
26. most of us are very concerned about is in the area of...is in the
27. area of aging and many times legislation spans a number of committees
28. that makes it very difficult to keep track of, makes it very difficult
29. for us to be responsive to our constituents that are concerned about
30. these issues. What this bill will allow is for a twelve member
31. committee...it's not a commission, it's a committee, made up of only
32. Legislators, six from the House, six from the Senate, appointed by
33. the Leadership to be a Legislative Advisory Committee on Aging. I

1. would ask your affirmative vote.

2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

3. Is there discussion? Senator Demuzio.

4. SENATOR DEMUZIO:

5. Yes, thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
6. Senate. I would like to ask the sponsor a few questions, if I
7. may. The...for those of us that serve on the Council on Aging
8. right now that are Legislators I...I really question...Art,
9. convince me that we need a Legislative Advisory Committee on Aging.
10. We already have...Legislators that are serving on the Council on
11. Aging and I just don't understand the rationale.

12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

13. Senator Berman.

14. SENATOR BERMAN:

15. The members that would be on the Council on Aging would be
16. part of the Legislative Advisory Committee. It would expand...
17. there would be a greater number and the analysis that was put into
18. making up this bill indicated that they could cover through a twelve
19. member committee the many areas that touch on aging much more
20. effectively than I feel that we have been able to do, those of us
21. who do serve on the Council on Aging.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

23. Senator Demuzio.

24. SENATOR DEMUZIO:

25. Well then, is there an appropriation for this new Commission?

26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

27. Senator Berman.

28. SENATOR BERMAN:

29. No, it's not a Commission. It's a Legislative Advisory Com-
30. mittee, only Legislators within the same purview of our responsibilities.
31. We would carry out the additional responsibilities as members of
32. this...of this Committee.

33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

1. Senator Demuzio.

2. SENATOR DEMUZIO:

3. All right, and in my final question, I notice from the
4. Staff synopsis that the appointments are...are to be...to be made
5. by the chairman and the minority spokesman of the...of the committee...

6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

7. Senator Berman.

8. SENATOR BERMAN:

9. That's an old analysis. It was amended to change it so that
10. the Leadership still makes those appointments.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

12. Senator Demuzio.

13. SENATOR DEMUZIO:

14. Okay. Well then...what you're saying then is that individuals
15. who serve on the Council on Aging will automatically serve on the
16. Legislative Advisory Committee and if that's true, then for those
17. of us that do not serve on the Public Health, Welfare and Corrections
18. Committee in the Senate...does that mean that we would be replaced
19. on the Council on Aging then, is that correct?

20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

21. Senator Berman.

22. SENATOR BERMAN:

23. No, the way it would work, Leadership has the opportunity to
24. appoint, as they do now, members of the standing committees. They
25. would have the opportunity to appoint members on the Council on
26. Aging which they do now and in addition to appointing you to the...
27. the four members to the Council on Aging, the Leadership would also
28. appoint the members of the committee...Advisory Committee on Aging.

29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

30. Senator Demuzio.

31. SENATOR DEMUZIO:

32. Well, that's not the way I interpret the bill. The...what...
33. simply the amendment...what the amendment did was to delete the

1. language which expands the membership on the Council on Aging
2. and it had nothing to do with the appointment process of...
3. Leadership versus Chairman and Minority Spokesman on the...on
4. the various Public Health Committees in both Houses.

5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

6. Senator Berman.

7. SENATOR BERMAN:

8. I'll be glad to show you the amendment. That's what it does
9. because that...the amendment deleted the language previously that
10. dealt with...persons other than the Leadership making these appoint-
11. ments. So the Leadership will...under the bill as amended, will
12. make all of the appointments, whether it be to the Council on Aging
13. or to the Legislative Advisory Committee.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

15. Senator Demuzio.

16. SENATOR DEMUZIO:

17. Bill...Representative Marovitz is standing behind me. Perhaps
18. he can clear it up, but I'll chat with him in a minute, but I
19. think really what we're doing here is that we're just creating
20. another...another advisory committee when the Council on Aging is
21. already performing its function and it already has legislative
22. members from both sides of the aisle in both Houses that are
23. appointed by the Legislative Leadership and frankly, I'm just not
24. convinced that this is a good idea, but I'll talk to Marovitz here
25. in a minute.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

27. Further discussion? Question is, shall House Bill 1052 pass.
28. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting
29. is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take
30. the record. On that question the Ayes are 42. The Nays are 8.
31. 3 Voting Present. House Bill 1052, having received the required
32. constitutional majority, is declared passed. House Bill 1060,
33. Senator Geo-Karis. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

1. ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

2. House Bill 1060.

3. (Secretary reads title of bill)

4. 3rd reading of the bill.

5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

6. Senator Geo-Karis.

7. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

8. Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, this is
9. a bill that does exactly what the bill says except it was amended
10. to take off the discretionary authority of the EPA. The bill says
11. that if there is no final action by the EPA within ninety days
12. after the filing of the application for a permit, the applicant may
13. deem the permit issued except that this time period shall be extend-
14. ed to one hundred and eighty days when notice and opportunity for
15. public hearings are required by State or Federal law or regulation.
16. This bill is considered necessary on the basis of the Federal Public
17. Participation Requirements in the new Air Pollution New Source Permit
18. Program and also increasing public participation in the solid waste
19. permitting program which makes ninety days insufficient. The
20. House Bill...this House Bill would extend the review period to one
21. hundred and eighty days only in those cases where notice and op-
22. portunity for public hearing are required by State or Federal law
23. or regulation, but the power in the agency to be discretionary was
24. deleted by amendment, so I'd like to urge its favorable consideration.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

26. Is there discussion? Senator Rupp.

27. SENATOR RUPP:

28. Thank you, Mr. President. The concern that I have on this is
29. the fact that this will now cause a possible six month delay in
30. getting a permit. Now as...even when I was Mayor we had undue delay
31. anyway and this not only affects cities. It affects the businessman,
32. the manufacturer, anyone else who asks for permit will now possibly
33. have to wait six months before he can make a move. I think that's an

1. undue delay. I realize that there are certain requirements that
2. have to be met from a Federal level, but I think that permitting a
3. built-in six month delay to correct the situation, in some instances,
4. that might be injurious to health. Some of the EPA permits we
5. have to get require us on sewers to do some work. I have two
6. cities right now, two small villages that are having problems
7. because there's a difference between the Federal and the Illinois
8. EPA and...but, what happens? Nothing, the two communities sit there
9. and they can't do anything to correct their problem. I don't...I
10. ask that this bill not be given a favorable roll call because of
11. that undue delay.

12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

13. Further discussion? Senator Bowers. Senator Demuzio.

14. SENATOR DEMUZIO:

15. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
16. Senate. I just have one question. Now this...the only amendment
17. that is now in this bill is the Committee Amendment, is that
18. correct?

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

20. Senator Geo-Karis.

21. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

22. Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, the
23. committee...the Committee Amendment is the only amendment on, and
24. if I may just answer Senator Rupp, the current law provides...provides
25. for the issuance of a permit by default if the EPA does not take
26. final action within ninety days, but under the new Federal require-
27. ments of the Clean Air Act of 1977 as amended and under the Resource
28. Conservation Recovery Act, which both of them require that public
29. hearings be held if there is sufficient public interest in any program,
30. they can't give any permit any sooner and this is tied into that.
31. That's the only reason why we're filing this bill, but the EPA
32. does not have its own discretionary authority to extend it. It is
33. only in the event that the...it becomes necessary for the extension

1. of time because of the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1977 and
2. of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of the Federal
3. Government requiring that additional time, not because EPA requests
4. it and I would like to urge a favorable consideration because
5. we are tied whether we like it or not into the Clean Air Act
6. Amendments of 1977 and into the Resource Conservation and Recovery
7. Act.

8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

9. Further discussion? Question is, shall House Bill 1060 pass.
10. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is
11. open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take
12. the record. On that question the Ayes are 30. The Nays are 4.
13. 4 Voting Present. House Bill 1060, having received the constitutional
14. majority, is declared passed. House Bill 1062, Senator Coffey.
15. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

16.

17.

(End of Reel)

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

1. ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

2. House Bill 1062.

3. (Secretary reads title of bill)

4. 3rd reading of the bill.

5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

6. Senator Coffey.

7. SENATOR COFFEY:

8. Yes, Mr. President and members of the Senate. House Bill
9. 1062 amends the Highway...the Highway Code in Division 5. The
10. Department of Transportation has felt the...the need to be more
11. specific in regarding this type of survey inasmuch as it
12. requires drilling holes for salt samples to determine the nature
13. of substrata for construction purposes. This is one phase of
14. the bill, the other phase is that further legislation assured...
15. the legislation assures that the property owner, if there is
16. additional damages made by this testing, that it will be paid
17. for by the State. It clarifies that point and I'd be glad to
18. answer any questions.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

20. Is there discussion? Is there discussion? The question
21. is shall House Bill 1062 pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those
22. opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish?
23. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question the
24. Ayes are 49, the Nays are 3, 1 Voting Present. House Bill 1062,
25. having received the required constitutional majority is declared
26. passed. House Bill 1063. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

27. ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

28. House Bill 1063.

29. (Secretary reads title of bill)

30. 3rd reading of the bill.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

32. Senator Coffey.

33. SENATOR COFFEY:

34. Mr. President and members of the Senate. House Bill 1063

35. repeals obsolete section of the Act which in relation to construction

1. operation and maintenance of deep waterways known as Illinois
2. Waterways. This is...presently it is being...the Corps of
3. Engineers, under the...Corps of Engineers control, so it repeals
4. that section and Amendment No. 1 adds back, restores Section 18
5. of the Act, Section 18 and allows the Metropolitan Sanitary
6. District access rights to the Illinois Waterways. I'd ask for
7. a favorable roll call.

8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

9. Is there further discussion? Senator Sangmeister.

10. SENATOR SANGMEISTER:

11. Yeah, Mr. President, will the sponsor yield for a question?

12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

13. Indicates he will yield. Senator Sangmeister.

14. SENATOR SANGMEISTER:

15. I'm sorry, Senator Coffey, I haven't done my homework, but
16. I heard Lockport mentioned on this thing which runs through
17. my Legislative District and could you just give us a little bit
18. better idea of what the Metropolitan Sanitary District is going
19. to be able to do here.

20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

21. Senator Coffey.

22. SENATOR COFFEY:

23. Mr...Senator Sangmeister, what we did, we repealed a complete
24. Act and after repealing the Act we found out that it was
25. necessary to restore the Section 18, which deals with the...
26. Metropolitan District, evidently in your area. It concerns
27. Joliet Sanitary District of Chicago to have the access rights
28. to the waterways. It just gives them those access rights.

29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

30. Senator Sangmeister.

31. SENATOR SANGMEISTER:

32. In other words, something we did previously, you're just
33. restoring, that's right? There's...there's no change in...

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

2. Senator Coffey.

3. SENATOR COFFEY:

4. We repealed the whole Act because it was not necessary in
5. the Department of Transportation and all we did...add back this
6. part. Everything else is still repealed with exceptions of
7. Section 18.

8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

9. Senator Sangmeister.

10. SENATOR SANGMEISTER:

11. Oh...does it mean if we...we don't do this that the Metro-
12. politan Sanitary District will not be able to use the waterway
13. through...through Joliet and Lockport and all the sewerage from
14. Cook County will not be able to go down that waterway anymore?

15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

16. Senator Coffey.

17. SENATOR COFFEY:

18. As far as you're concerned, it...it gives you that access
19. rights back. It restores the access rights.

20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

21. Senator Sangmeister.

22. SENATOR SANGMEISTER:

23. ...May have found something here I didn't know was here.
24. I mean if we do not...if...if this bill doesn't pass, does
25. that mean the Metropolitan Sanitary District can no longer
26. put effluent into that canal that runs right through the
27. middle of my district? Is that what it means, if we don't
28. do this?

29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

30. Senator Coffey.

31. SENATOR COFFEY:

32. It has...has nothing to do with that. It does not eliminate
33. them from being able to use this as it presently does. It has...

1. in the past there's just a section in the...that the Corps of
2. Engineers has taken care of, it's under...under the Federal
3. Regulations and we're just...we abolished that.

4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

5. Senator Sangmeister.

6. SENATOR SANGMEISTER:

7. I don't want to delay this and I've never asked a sponsor
8. to take a bill out of the record and who knows when we'll
9. get back to this if I ask you to do it, but I...I, you know,
10. I...not that I'm not...I'm just not satisfied with your explanation,
11. possibly because I'm too thick to understand it. But if...if this...
12. if the law, I don't care if it was even inadvertently, inadvertently,
13. prevents the...the Sanitary District from dumping that stuff down
14. that waterway and this bill is going to allow it again, then I
15. certainly am in opposition to this bill.

16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

17. Senator Coffey.

18. SENATOR COFFEY:

19. Well, again...I...I'll try to explain and if it is the wishes of
20. Senator Sangmeister and the Chair would let us come back to this I'd
21. be glad to pull it out if we could come back to it in a few minutes,
22. because I think I can explain it to him where he'll understand.

23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

24. Is there leave? Leave is granted. House Bill 1065, Senator
25. Coffey.

26. ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

27. House Bill 1065.

28. (Secretary reads title of bill)

29. 3rd reading of the bill.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

31. Senator Coffey.

32. SENATOR COFFEY:

33. Yes, Mr. President and members of the Senate. This is

1. House Bill 1065. I hope this is not as difficult as the last
2. one, maybe I can explain this. Actually all we're doing, the
3. amendment provides that OSHA regulations, rather than IDOT performs the
4. specifications on...the mower systems in the State of Illinois
5. as far as the highway is concerned, the appropriate guards on
6. the equipment. All it does is putting OSHA standards, OSHA
7. will be responsible for all the regulations.

8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

9. Is there discussion? The question is shall House Bill
10. 1065 pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay.
11. The voting...is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted
12. who wish? Take the record. On that question the Ayes are
13. 54 the Nays are none, none Voting Present. Senate Bill 1065,
14. having received...a required constitutional majority is declared
15. passed. House Bill 1068, Senator Chew. Senator Chew on the
16. Floor? House Bill 1070, Senator Geo-Karis. Read the bill,
17. Mr. Secretary, please.

18. ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

19. House Bill 1070.

20. (Secretary reads title of bill)

21. 3rd reading of the bill.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

23. Senator Geo-Karis.

24. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

25. Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. House
26. Bill 1070 amends the Vehicle Code to provide traffic regulation
27. and direction at certain...at certain highway intersections. I
28. put an amendment on this bill in the Senate at the request of
29. Senator Nega, which I...Nedza rather, which I thought was a
30. good amendment and...which would allow, and this...under this
31. amendment the bill is amended to allow for exceptions which
32. are normal traffic engineering standards. I would respectfully
33. request you favorable consideration of this bill.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

2. Is there discussion? The question is shall House Bill
3. 1070 pass. Those in favor vote Aye, those opposed vote Nay.
4. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted
5. who wish? Take the record. On that question the Ayes are 56,
6. the Nays are none, none Voting Present. House Bill 1070, having
7. received the required constitutional majority is declared passed.
8. House Bill 1079, Senator Maitland. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary,
9. please.

10. ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

11. House Bill 1079.

12. (Secretary reads title of bill)

13. 3rd reading of the bill.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

15. Senator Maitland.

16. SENATOR MAITLAND:

17. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
18. Senate. The bill does exactly what the synopsis says with
19. one exception and that has to do with...with the exemption of
20. foreign corporations to be granted a license without being
21. authorized to do business in the State. There was objection
22. to that in committee. We have changed that, the original
23. language is back in the document and I know of no other objection.
24. It's a Department of Agriculture Bill and I move for its favorable
25. consideration.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

27. Is there discussion? The question is shall House Bill 1079
28. pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The
29. voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who
30. wish? Take the record. On that question the Ayes are 56, the
31. Nays are none, none Voting Present. House Bill 1079, having
32. received the required constitutional majority is declared
33. passed. House Bill 1080, Senator Mitchler. Read the bill, Mr.

1. Secretary, please.

2. ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

3. House Bill 1080.

4. (Secretary reads title of bill)

5. 3rd reading of the bill.

6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

7. Senator Mitchler.

8. SENATOR MITCHLER:

9. Mr...Mr. President and members of the Senate. House Bill
10. 1080 amends the Feeder Swine Dealer Licensing Act. The bill
11. was drafted by the Department of Agriculture to update and
12. clarify the existing law. I know of no objections, would ask
13. for a favorable roll call.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

15. Is there discussion? The question is shall House Bill
16. 1080 pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay.
17. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted
18. who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 56, the
19. Nays are none...55, the Nays are none, 1 Voting Present. House
20. Bill 1080 having received the required constitutional majority
21. is declared passed. House Bill 1084, Senator Maitland. Read
22. the bill, Mr. Secretary.

23. ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

24. House Bill 1084.

25. (Secretary reads title of bill)

26. 3rd reading of the bill.

27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

28. Senator Maitland.

29. SENATOR MAITLAND:

30. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
31. Senate. As were the preceeding bills, this is a bill also
32. drafted by the Department of Agriculture. There was only
33. one objection in committee and that had to do with foreign
34. corporations being authorized to do business in the State

HB 1068
3rd reading
6-22-79

1. of Illinois. That amendment was adopted yesterday. This bill
2. originally was on the Agreed Bill List, it was brought back
3. for that reason. I'd move for it's favorable consideration.
4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

5. Is there further discussion? If not, the question is shall
6. House Bill 1084 pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed
7. vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have
8. all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question the
9. Ayes are 56, the Nays are none, none Voting Present. House Bill
10. 1084 having recieved the constitutional majority is declared
11. passed. Is there leave to go back to the order of House Bill
12. 1068 for Senator Chew? Leave is granted. House Bill 1068,
13. Senator Chew.

14. ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

15. House Bill 1068.

16. (Secretary reads title of bill)

17. 3rd reading of the bill.

18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

19. Senator Chew.

20. SENATOR CHEW:

21. Thank you, Mr. President. This is a simple bill, it permits
22. shelters on streets and highways for the benefit of municipal
23. riders. I have no opposition whatsoever to the bill.

24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

25. Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Chew...the
26. question is shall House Bill 1068 pass. Those in favor indicate
27. by voting Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. Senator Knuppel.

28. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

29. Well, does this bill have limitations on how far into the
30. right of way these shelters can be placed?

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

32. Senator Chew.

33. SENATOR CHEW:

1. Senator Knuppel, I believe you...you were in committee
2. when we voted the bill and they did explain it in committee
3. as to the distance. So we...I'm not...you were always there.
4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

5. Senator Knuppel.

6. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

7. Well, I'm not sure that I was and I don't quite recognize
8. this and...

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

10. Senator Chew.

11. SENATOR CHEW:

12. Shall not be placed so as to impair the streets or interfere
13. with the free flow of traffic...by the engineers that would place
14. them there.

15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

16. Senator Knuppel.

17. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

18. Is there any kind of measurement how far away from the travel
19. portion of the highway they would have to be, that's what I'm
20. concerned about.

21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

22. Senator Chew.

23. SENATOR CHEW:

24. Senator Knuppel, that measurement in feet or inches were
25. not included in the bill and we had no request for that insomuch
26. as we had in the bill to place these shelters where they would
27. not be in any position to interfere with the...free flow of
28. traffic, that was...we didn't go into the feet or anything else.

29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

30. Senator Knuppel.

31. SENATOR CHEW:

32. The transportation departments would be responsible for
33. that placing.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

2. Senator Knuppel.

3. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

4. Well, I'm concerned with the distance because the flow
5. of traffic actually is in the travel portion of the highway
6. and...and as I say the...these bills sometimes go through
7. there pretty fast even in committee and...I'm concerned. Is
8. this controlled, you say, by the engineers of the city...

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

10. Senator Chew.

11. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

12. You have to have a permit, then?

13. SENATOR CHEW:

14. Yes sir, you have to have a permit first and we assume
15. the permit will so indicate the closeness of the free flow
16. of traffic that would be where these shelters are put. We
17. had no opposition on that at all.

18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

19. Senator Nimrod.

20. SENATOR NIMROD:

21. Question of the sponsor, Mr. President.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

23. He indicates he will yield.

24. SENATOR NIMROD:

25. Senator Chew, being one whose has found that it's...is
26. unable to get advertising on add benches and so forth, they're
27. always rented when we go for political campaigns. Is these
28. shelters in any way, can they be used for a political campaigns
29. political advertising, so I know I got more competition or less?

30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

31. Senator Chew.

32. SENATOR CHEW:

33. Senator, for you, we will not allow any political advertising

1. on there unless somebody wants to buy.

2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3. Senator Nimrod. Senator Chew.

4. SENATOR CHEW:

5. You cannot use those benches now for political advertisement,
6. so that rule would not change. So you won't have any more competition

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

8. Senator Nimrod.

9. SENATOR NIMROD:

10. The add benches are fine, but what about these shelters.
11. Can we put any political advertising on them?

12. SENATOR SAVICKAS:

13. Senator Chew.

14. SENATOR CHEW:

15. No, sir, that would be in violation of a Statute, you
16. cannot.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

18. Senator Wooten.

19. SENATOR WOOTEN:

20. Thank you, Mr. President. My question really goes to that
21. part of the bill too, the matter of advertising. Why do you permit
22. advertising on these shelters at all?

23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

24. Senator Chew.

25. SENATOR CHEW:

26. Senator, that question would not be germane to this bill,
27. whatsoever. This bill merely allows these shelters to be put
28. there, now what goes on them, I have no control of and I really
29. couldn't answer that question. I would prefer having them
30. free...of advertisement of any kind, but it's an old rule
31. that's been followed throughout centuries that that has been
32. a source of advertising. Here in Springfield or in Chicago,
33. wherever you find public transportation, you find it. But I

1. assume that those benches, that's what you're speaking of, that
2. are now existing, the space is bought by some advertiser and they
3. would have to be sold by whoever controls them, but this has
4. nothing to do with the bill.

5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

6. Senator Wooten.

7. SENATOR WOOTEN:

8. Well, Senator Chew, I've gotten a little lazy the last
9. couple of days and I will confess to you I haven't read the
10. bill, but my Digest specifically says that it provides that
11. the owners of the shelters may place advertising on them, if
12. authorized by a license or permit. Now, it seems to me the
13. thrust of much of what we have been doing in road...in cleaning
14. up the clutter along roads is to eliminate advertising, to
15. cut down this. And if you're going to put these shelters
16. close to the flow of traffic and permit advertising on them,
17. not only is it...is it a distraction, but I'm wondering if
18. this is the quid pro quo. In other words, if a private company
19. puts up a shelter then they can advertise or they can lease
20. the space. Now it says, as...it says it provides for advertising
21. and that provision I object to.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

23. Is there further discussion? Senator Nash. That wasn't
24. a question, sir. Senator Nash.

25. SENATOR NASH:

26. Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Just
27. to clarify something on the subject. In the City of Chicago,
28. by ordinance, they cannot advertise on shelters...on bus stops.
29. In other cities in the country, like in New York, for example,
30. advertising companies supply these shelters and they rent the
31. space out at no cost to the taxpayers. Thank you.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

33. Senator Nedza.

1. SENATOR NEDZA:

2. Yes, Mr. President, in regards to the...Senator Wooten read
3. correctly out of the synopsis, but the bill, where you stop,
4. Senator, is at...provided...however,that no political advertising
5. shall be placed on any shelter, on any street or highway, at any
6. time until further provided that advertising on shelters shall
7. be limited to one third of the vertical surface of the shelter.
8. And then again, it goes on to the proper placement and I would...
9. the jurisdiction for the placement of these shelters goes back
10. to the municipalities and they have the expertise and I would
11. think that that expertise would prevail on the placement of
12. these shelters 'cause the highway engineers and what have you
13. and I would imagine it would be up to standards.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

15. Senator Mitchler.

16. SENATOR MITCHLER:

17. Very briefly, this is a good bill. You know, you always talk
18. about you don't have a copy of the amendment, the bill and every-
19. body's been talking around it. It's a simple, one just long
20. paragraph and if you read it, it tells you all about that no
21. political advertising is allowed and all that there. It's a
22. good bill, I move the previous question. Let's vote on it.

23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

24. Well, since there's no further discussion, Senator Mitchler
25. moved the previous question. All those in favor indicate by
26. saying Aye. Those opposed. Senator Chew may close the debate.

27. SENATOR CHEW:

28. Mr. President, Amendment No. 1 deleted benches from this
29. provision of the bill, so we're not talking about benches, we're
30. talking about shelters for people that are waiting on transportation.
31. It's nothing, it's a good thing for the people that do use trans-
32. portation. With the gas crisis coming on, you'll find more people
33. using the transportation and less cars on the streets and this

HB 1088
3rd reading
6/22/79

1. is the kind of legislation that we ought to give to the people
2. of the State of Illinois and I'd ask for a favorable roll call.
3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
4. The question is shall House Bill 1068 pass. Those in favor
5. vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have
6. all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record.
7. On that question the Ayes are 39, the Nays are 2, 4 Voting Present.
8. House Bill 1068 having received the constitutional majority is
9. declared passed. House Bill 1086, Senator Mitchler. Read the
10. bill, Mr. Secretary.
11. SECRETARY:
12. House Bill 1086.
13. (Secretary reads title of bill)
14. 3rd reading of the bill.
15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
16. Senator Mitchler.
17. SENATOR MITCHLER:
18. Mr. President and members of the Senate. House Bill 1086
19. was drafted by the Department of Agriculture to codify existing
20. law pertaining to the distribution and the use of pesticide
21. in Illinois. I...don't know of any objection, came out eight
22. to nothing in the Ag Committee. Ask for a favorable roll call.
23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
24. Is there further discussion? If not, the question is
25. shall House Bill 1086 pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those
26. opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish?
27. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question the
28. Ayes are 53, the Nays are none, none Voting Present. House Bill
29. 1086 having received the constitutional majority is declared
30. passed. House Bill 1088, Senator Graham. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.
31. SECRETARY:
32. House Bill 1088.
33. (Secretary reads title of bill)

1. 3rd reading of the bill.

2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3. Senator Graham.

4. SENATOR GRAHAM:

5. Mr. President and members of the Senate. This bill originated
6. in the Department of Corrections. It was intended to add an
7. addition to the Act passed in 1977, the fact that we can incorporate
8. ...the professionals in the Department of Corrections and those
9. who are serving under contract. It was the amendment adopted
10. prepared by the...by the Med Society, specifically dealing with
11. physicians and professionals. This is an important piece of
12. legislation, Ladies and Gentlemen, in view of the fact that if
13. do not adopt this in about one year, the Med Society will be
14. no longer able to prevent...to provide insurance for the medical
15. and professional people that are working in our State institutions
16. and we're having a hard enough time getting them now. So, I
17. think if we want to continue to provide health care and with
18. professionals in our institutions we need to seriously consider
19. the passage of this bill and I urge a favorable vote.

20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

21. Any further discussion? If not, the question is shall House
22. Bill 1088 pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay.
23. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted
24. who wish? Take the record. On that question the Ayes are 51,
25. 1 Voting No, 2 Voting Present. House Bill 1088, having received
26. the constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill 1094,
27. Senator Maitland. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

28. SECRETARY:

29. House Bill 1094.

30. (Secretary reads title of bill)

31. 3rd reading of the bill.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

33. Senator Maitland.

34. SENATOR MAITLAND:

AB 1127
3rd Reading
6-22-79

1. Yes, thank you, Mr...President, Ladies and Gentlemen of
2. the Senate. This bill really makes some changes with the Department
3. of Conservation, allows them to use private banks and also requires
4. the money be collected on a certain day or within thirty days.
5. I move for its favorable consideration.

6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

7. Any further discussion? If not, the question is shall
8. House Bill 1094 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those
9. opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who
10. wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that
11. question the Ayes are 53, the Nays are none, none Voting
12. Present. House Bill 1094, having received the constitutional
13. majority is declared passed. House Bill 1127, Senator Martin.
14. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

15. SECRETARY:

16. House Bill 1127.

17. (Secretary reads title of bill)

18. 3rd reading of the bill.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

20. Senator Martin.

21. SENATOR MARTIN:

22. This creates the new DES Act. It will preclude insurance
23. companies from cancelling coverage...women who took the DES or
24. the dependents. It also says that...I will say that at the end
25. of the bill or at least give it a...a shot, if I can. It's a
26. fairly serious subject, although Lord knows, it is a hard word
27. to pronounce. But it doesn't change the seriousness of how
28. this has affected people. It will require the Department of
29. Public Health to set up public information system and to start
30. a registry. Now, unfortunately in committee, misinformation
31. was given inadvertently by the department. There is no central
32. registry in the department. There is no budget for such a
33. registry. This will be a very small registry and will indicate,

1. I think, to the General Assembly if the department is competent
2. enough to attempt a full cancer registry. It has the support of,
3. I believe, the doctors involved in the field and of those people
4. who either know or in their own family have problems because
5. people were treated with a drug that at the time was thought safe
6. and now has been found to, for the children of the people who
7. took that drug, to have caused cancer later in their lives.
8. I would ask for the support of this Act. The name of it is
9. diethylstilbestrol and for many people...well,yes, whatever,
10. let's...let's not be tacky about a word and just pass the bill
11. please.

12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

13. Is there further discussion? Senator Geo-Karis.

14. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

15. Would the sponsor yield to a question?

16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

17. She indicates she will.

18. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

19. Do I understand...correctly that diethylstilbestrol is a
20. drug that's been a habit forming drug, is that it?

21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

22. Senator Martin.

23. SENATOR MARTIN:

24. Diethylstilbestrol is no longer on the market. It was a
25. drug given to pregnant women for two reasons. It was done...it
26. was thought to be harmless and it thought to help other conditions
27. during their pregnancy. Later on, research indicated that it had
28. these horrible, horrible long reaching side effects. That drug
29. is no longer on the market. It will not affect any current
30. generation. But women between the ages of eighteen and thirty-
31. six are, unfortunately,prone to this cancer if their mothers
32. took diethylstilbestrol.

33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

1. Is there further discussion? If not, the question is shall
2. House Bill 1127 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed
3. vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have
4. all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question the
5. Ayes are 50, the Nays are none, none Voting Present. House Bill
6. 1127, having received the constitutional majority is declared passed.
7. House Bill 1130, Senator Maitland. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

8. SECRETARY:

9. House Bill 1130.

10. (Secretary reads title of bill)

11. 3rd reading of the bill.

12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

13. Senator Maitland.

14. SENATOR MAITLAND:

15. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
16. Senate. This bill came over from the House, had very little
17. objection over there. I picked it up and there were a number
18. of things that I didn't like about it, primarily because it
19. gave the district the authority simply to increase taxes without
20. any kind of a referendum. I presented the bill to the committee,
21. amendments were made to meet with their full agreement. I
22. explained those amendments on the Floor yesterday. I'd move
23. for its favorable consideration.

24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

25. Is there further discussion? If not, the question is shall
26. House Bill 1130 pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed
27. vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have
28. all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question the Ayes
29. are 51, the Nays are 1, none Voting Present. House Bill 1130,
30. having received the constitutional majority is declared passed.
31. For what purpose does Senator Chew arise? You better use...

32. SENATOR CHEW:

33. A...privilege.

*NB 1135
3rd reading*

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
2. State your point. At Senator Daley's Desk.
3. SENATOR CHEW:
4. Yeah, that's where I'm talking from, this desk. Would
5. you have that boy to clean up this desk and take all this
6. junk off of it. If I'm going to sit here and vote with him
7. and if he can't do that, would you see that he changed seats.
8. Why this desk is filthy.
9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
10. There goes the neighborhood, Charlie. For what purpose
11. does Senator Vadalabene arise?
12. SENATOR VADALABENE:
13. Yes, I...I...I just want to add that it's a pleasure to
14. see Senator Chew here for the last ten minutes.
15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
16. House Bill 1132, Senator Rhoads. House Bill 1135, Senator
17. Mitchler. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.
- 18.. SECRETARY:
19. House Bill 1135.
20. (Secretary reads title of bill)
21. 3rd reading of the bill.
22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
23. Senator Mitchler.
24. SENATOR MITCHLER:
25. Mr. President and members of the Senate. House Bill 1135
26. amends the State Parks Act and Civil Administrative Code of
27. Illinois. The bill authorizes the Department of Conservation
28. to acquire land for State parks by contract for deed payable
29. up to ten years and not exceeding twenty million dollars in
30. total purchase price under contract at any one time, effective
31. July 1, 1979. The Department of Conservation supports the bill.
32. I don't know of any objection that came out of the committee. I'd
33. ask for a favorable roll call.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

2. Is there further discussion? If not, the question is shall
3. House Bill 1135 pass. Those in favor indicate by voting Aye.
4. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who
5. wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that
6. question the Ayes are 44, the Nays are 5, none Voting Present.
7. House Bill 1135, having received the constitutional majority
8. is declared passed. House Bill 1139, Senator Hall. Read the
9. bill, Mr. Secretary.

10. SECRETARY:

11. House Bill 1139.

12. (Secretary reads title of bill)

13. 3rd reading of the bill.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

15. Senator Hall.

16. SENATOR HALL:

17. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
18. Senate. This amends the section requiring prompt payment by
19. State for goods and services by reducing the 60 day period to
20. 30 days and the 90 day period for 60 days. There was an Agreed
21. Amendment put on this bill and I would ask your most favorable
22. support of it.

23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

24. Any further discussion? If not, the question is shall House
25. Bill 1139 pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote
26. Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all
27. voted who wish? Take the record. On that question the Ayes
28. are 51, the Nays are 2, none Voting Present. House Bill 1139,
29. having received the constitutional majority is declared passed.
30. House Bill 1146, Senator Rhoads. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

31. SECRETARY:

32. House Bill 1146.

33. (Secretary reads title of bill)

1. 3rd reading of the bill.

2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3. Senator Rhoads.

4. SENATOR RHOADS:

5. Thank you, Mr. President, members of the Senate. This adds

6. a new duty to the Board of Vocational Rehabilitations, stating

7. that they shall publish and make available to the public upon

8. request an annual updated list of services available from all

9. State agencies to...handicapped persons in the State. I had

10. thought that there was such a list, there are two other publications

11. ...which don't quite meet this need. This came from a constituent

12. inquiry in our district so Representative Bill Walsh sponsored

13. the bill over there. The division intends to absorb the cost

14. in their current budget so there is no cost impact. I do not

15. know of any opposition. Would be happy to answer any questions

16. or ask for a favorable roll call.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

18. Is there further discussion? If not, the question is shall

19. House Bill 1146 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those

20. opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish?

21. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question

22. the Ayes are 53, the Nays are none, none Voting Present. House

23. Bill 1146, having received the constitutional majority is declared

24. passed. House Bill 1156, Senator Schaffer. Read the bill, Mr.

25. Secretary.

26. SECRETARY:

27. ...Bill 1156.

28. (Secretary reads title of bill)

29. 3rd reading of the bill.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

31. Senator Schaffer.

32. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

33. Mr. President, this Act is an update of a Community Mental

34. Health Act on the 708 Boards, does several things. It changes

1. the five year plan requirement to a three year plan. Makes
2. some changes in language involving substance abusers, well
3. putting the phrase, subject abusers, in and deleting some
4. outdated language. Gives the 708 Board some additional powers
5. at the discretion and with the permission of the governmental
6. unit that creates the 708 Board. It is a product of the
7. Community Mental Health Association State-wide organization.
8. Be happy to answer any other questions or appreciate a favorable
9. roll call.

10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

11. Is there further discussion? If not...Senator Gitz.

12. SENATOR GITZ:

13. Question of the sponsor.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

15. He indicates he will yield.

16. SENATOR GITZ:

17. I notice from the synopsis and also from looking at the
18. bill that this requires the sponsoring local government unit
19. to set the tax rate based upon the budget plans and reports of
20. the Community Health Board. Is that true?

21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

22. Senator Schaffer.

23. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

24. Yes, and that I believe is the current situation. These
25. bodies do not have the power to levy taxes. They are responsible
26. to an elected board, county or municipal board.

27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

28. Senator Gitz.

29. SENATOR GITZ:

30. Senator Schaffer, I don't claim to be an expert in this
31. arena, I'm sure that you probably have done considerably more
32. work than I have. But maybe you can enlighten myself and some
33. of the other members who have concerns about the role of local

1. government. It seems to me they should have input in this
2. process if they do have to set the tax rate rather than the
3. Community Health Board setting a budget and saying, here, now
4. you pay for it.

5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

6. Senator Schaffer.

7. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

8. The...the unit of government has to prove the budget too.
9. The...the, this bill would allow the unit to delegate some
10. authorities, but if they didn't want to delegate them, they
11. could hang right on to them. To maintain...the unit of
12. government has the...basically the power to appoint and the
13. power to approve the budget and the levy and to control the
14. fund.

15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

16. Senator Gitz.

17. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

18. There...there is a Senate amendment, by the way which...
19. rather clearly.

20. SENATOR GITZ:

21. Is...is this clarified in the amendment? Because I don't
22. have the amendment in the book or in front of me and that's
23. what gravely concerns me.

24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

25. Senator Schaffer.

26. SENATOR GITZ:

27. The way it seems to read and maybe you can enlighten me
28. and if you do, I would appreciate that you would quote the
29. exact language in the amendment.

30. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

31. Yeah...you might...you might...well you might...well, I
32. can...it...it could get a little time consuming, but basically
33. there are several sections where we've added upon authorization

1. by the appropriate governmental unit, et cetera, et cetera, and
2. that appears several times in the amendment, that's what we
3. are attempting to do. On my County Board, it wishes to maintain
4. control over their 708 Board and I'm not interested in weakening
5. that control. Although, obviously, most of the responsibilities
6. of the board should be conducted by the appointed members.

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

8. Senator Gitz.

9. SENATOR GITZ:

10. Mr. President, one last question. Would you explain the
11. basic rationale rather than just simply the...the glossy summary
12. of why this is needed. What is the fault of the present system?
13. What is the overriding need that constitutes a need for this
14. change, it's somewhat confusing.

15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

16. Senator Schaffer.

17. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

18. Let me suggest to you Senator that there are a series of
19. small needs that generally relate around the evolving of this
20. particular form of provider organization for mental health care.
21. Some of the language was up...outdated. We delete references
22. to alcohol and controlled substances and just put substance
23. abusers. We found the five year plan to be unworkable and then
24. have come down to a three year plan which is more workable. We've
25. given the local unit of government that created the 708 Board,
26. or that the 708 Board is responsible to. Some of them were,
27. in fact, created by referendum like mine, the authority to delegate
28. certain responsibilities if they so choose.

29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

30. Further discussion? Senator Nimrod.

31. SENATOR NIMROD:

32. ...Senator...thank you, Mr. President, Senator Schaffer, a
33. question?

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
2. He indicates he will yield.
3. SENATOR NIMROD:
4. A...in...in the line of questioning with Senator Gitz, are
5. we saying that the board, the authorizing board or the town board
6. which has the responsibility or approval, can delegate that
7. responsibility of approving the budget to the 708 Board?
8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
9. Senator Schaffer.
10. SENATOR SCHAFFER:
11. No, I...you know, they...they...they, the board still has
12. to...the taxing body that...the elected officials that are on
13. the hook for the tax bill still have to approve the budget.
14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
15. Senator Nimrod.
16. SENATOR NIMROD:
17. Yeah, I...I think that that was Senator Gitz's question
18. and I felt that that was not in the bill, but if it is, I...I
19. wouldn't...you know, I couldn't support it, but...they can
20. delegate other authorities, but the final approval cannot be
21. delegated to the 708 Board.
22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
23. Senator Schaffer. Senator Demuzio.
24. SENATOR DEMUZIO:
25. Yes, thank you, Mr. President. I'd like to ask the sponsor
26. a question if I may and...relates...we've been talking about
27. the 708 Boards, Senator Schaffer. Does this also apply to
28. 377 and other kinds of local boards as well?
29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
30. Senator Schaffer.
31. SENATOR SCHAFFER:
32. No.
33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

1. Senator Demuzio.

2. SENATOR DEMUZZIO:

3. Well, then my question is why doesn't it not apply to 377
4. Boards, they perform the same function, do they not? As in
5. smaller counties.

6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

7. Senator Schaffer.

8. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

9. I...I don't know, the bill came over here without it applying.
10. Nobody has suggested that it should apply up until this instant.
11. I don't have any strong feelings one way or the other, but
12. that was not what the sponsors of the bill wanted to do, I guess,
13. or they didn't think of it, one of the two.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

15. Senator Demuzio.

16. SENATOR DEMUZZIO:

17. Well, I don't have any 708 Boards in my jurisdiction, with
18. the exception of Madison County and my district only pertains
19. to a couple of those. I was just wondering if you would want
20. to grant just that...that power to 708 Boards and why we just
21. don't take in 377 Boards and the rest of...rest of the local
22. units of local government that deal with mental Health as well?

23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

24. Is that a question, Senator?

25. SENATOR DEMUZZIO:

26. Yes. It's a question.

27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

28. Senator Schaffer.

29. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

30. Well, Senator Demuzio, the bill is basically a rewrite of
31. the 708 Act. You know, if you wish to do the same thing next
32. year in those other sections, I'm sure that I would be happy
33. to help you.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
2. Senator Demuzio.
3. SENATOR DEMUZIO:
4. All right, then one...clear up one additional thing then.
5. In the...in the bill then the...the local government does, in
6. fact, rule and give its approval on the budget and then under
7. your bill as...as you've explained it, that's discretionary
8. as to whether or not the unit of local government or the elected
9. officials would then grant them the option, the...the local
10. Mental Health Board the option of...of levying the tax, is
11. that correct?
12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
13. Senator Schaffer.
14. SENATOR SCHAFFER:
15. No, they're not elected officials, they can't levy. They...
16. when you adopt, you basically adopt the budget in levy, the
17. local board wouldn't remain in control, it's my understanding.
18. I don't...I don't...elected officials should levy taxes.
19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
20. Senator Vadalabene.
21. SENATOR VADALABENE:
22. Yes, just quickly, to Senator Schaffer. Does this improve
23. the status of the 708 Board in Madison County? Does it help
24. it in any way?
25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
26. Senator Schaffer.
27. SENATOR SCHAFFER:
28. Well, since the bill is sponsored by their association, I...I
29. would have to conclude that, yes, it does.
30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
31. Senator Bowers.
32. SENATOR BOWERS:
33. Will the sponsor yield further to questioning?

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
2. He indicates he will.
3. SENATOR BOWERS:
4. Senator Schaffer, I'm looking at page 11 of the bill and
5. frankly it appears to me that presently the county board does
6. not have the power to change the levy, in other words they
7. have to levy to meet the budget. It also appears to me that
8. you have not changed that and it continues in that manner
9. and I've looked at the amendment, it doesn't amend that page.
10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
11. Further discussion? For the second time, Senator Nimrod.
12. SENATOR NIMROD:
13. Yeah, a...Senator Schaffer, I've noticed that in their synopsis
14. and I'm not sure it's right to not because I haven't had a chance
15. to look at the bill, but it does give the board the authority
16. to provide services including purchase of real property and
17. and Acts to borrow money. Is...is that power being given to
18. that board?
19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
20. Senator Schaffer.
21. SENATOR SCHAFFER:
22. Yes, with the...I believe with the approval of the governing
23. body and the only thing they can borrow is the net worth of the
24. property they own. So if they buy an office building and move
25. their administrative offices in and it's a fifty thousand dollar
26. building, that's about all they can...in other words, it allows
27. them to borrow money when they put up a building. It's not
28. like a bonding authority, if you follow me...
29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
30. Senator...Senator Nimrod.
31. SENATOR SCHAFFER:
32. ...they get such and such a percentage of a district.
33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

1. Senator Nimrod.

2. SENATOR NIMROD:

3. Yeah, is this authority to enter into agreements, a new

4. one or is that one they had before, where they can contract

5. for services? Is that something that had to be approved by the

6. boards before that we're now giving to the 708 Board rather

7. than the elected official?

8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

9. Senator Schaffer.

10. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

11. The 708 Boards have always had the power to contract for

12. services. Obviously those contracts are the major component

13. of the budget, which is approved by the elected board.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

15. Senator Vadalabene.

16. SENATOR VADALABENE:

17. Yes, just quickly again, Senator Schaffer. Does this take

18. away the power of the Chairman of the County Board in selecting

19. the members of the 708 Board?

20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

21. Senator Schaffer.

22. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

23. No.

24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

25. Senator Hall.

26. SENATOR HALL:

27. Will the sponsor yield to a question?

28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

29. He indicates he will.

30. SENATOR HALL:

31. I was just looking at this, I see where the 708 Board in

32. my county has taken legal action against the county board. Now

33. what affect will this have on that?

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
2. Senator Schaffer.
3. SENATOR SCHAFFER:
4. I'm totally unaware of that situation and I...I at the
5. moment, I can't think of any reason that this bill would affect
6. that proceeding in any way shape or form. I don't...I know
7. nothing of the case and no one has suggested to me this bill
8. is in any way connected with it.
9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
10. Senator Hall.
11. SENATOR HALL:
12. Well, the board going..complains that tax revenues and
13. operate budgets were arbitrarily reduced. The county board
14. reduced it without regards to the service and now they are in
15. the form of taking legal action against the board down there.
16. So I mean...now I...I
17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
18. Senator Schaffer.
19. SENATOR SCHAFFER:
20. It...it doesn't have anything to do with that at all.
21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
22. Is there further discussion? If not, the question is shall
23. House Bill 1156 pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed
24. vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have
25. all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question the
26. Ayes are 49, the Nays are 2, 2 Voting Present. House Bill
27. 1156, having received the constitutional majority is declared
28. passed. House Bill 1158, Senator McMillan. House Bill 1160,
29. Senator Davidson. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.
30. SECRETARY:
31. House Bill 1160.
32. (Secretary reads title of bill)
33. 3rd reading of the bill.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

2. Senator Davidson.

3. SENATOR DAVIDSON:

4. Yes, Mr. President and members of the Senate. This bill
5. has a change in the State Employees Pension Laws. This has the
6. endorsement of the Pension Laws Commission. What it does, is if
7. an employee wants to buy in, presently you got to buy...pay one
8. lump sum plus the interest from one lump sum. This lets it
9. do it on an installment basis. If there's no questions, I'd
10. ask for a favorable roll call.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

12. Is there further discussion? If not, the question is shall
13. House Bill 1160 pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed
14. vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have
15. all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question the
16. Ayes are 51, the Nays are none, none Voting Present. House Bill
17. 1160 having received the constitutional majority is declared
18. passed. House Bill 1174, Senator Davidson. House Bill 1179,
19. Senator Grotberg. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

20. SECRETARY:

21. House Bill 1179.

22. (Secretary reads title of bill)
23. 2nd reading of...3rd reading of the bill.

24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

25. Senator Grotberg.

26. SENATOR GROTBORG:

27. Well, thank you, Mr. President, members of the Senate and
28. Senator Martin. Along about the late 1800's the State of Illinois
29. created fence viewers and lo those many years fence viewers have
30. been making a dollar and a half a day for their services and
31. their services are paid for by the litigants. They cost the
32. taxpayer nothing, just those who are fighting. They are the
33. lawyers of the fences. And I would like to knock out that

1. dollar and a half a day salary and let the county board establish
2. what a fence viewer is worth on the days that he works. Also
3. somehow we got into this Act and this is the part that Senator
4. Martin has had some question about, so I've been reading up
5. on it, Senator Martin. I have here all of the facts about boards
6. of health in commission counties without a County Board of Health.
7. The commissioners are the Board of Health. And in townships,
8. counties under the township organization, every township super-
9. visor, town clerk and assessor are...are a Board of Health for
10. the township and they are to take over all of the emergencies
11. in the township in the case of communicable diseases and only
12. get paid what they...the days that they work and that's a dollar
13. and a half. Now, we would like to clean up this gigantic Act
14. and that's what we're doing and let the local County Board decide
15. what they're worth. And on that I would be glad to answer any
16. questions you have because I have all of the information right
17. here.

18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

19. Senator Joyce.

20. SENATOR JEROME JOYCE:

21. Yes, Mr. President, thank you. Would the sponsor yield
22. for a question?

23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

24. He indicates he will.

25. SENATOR JEROME JOYCE:

26. Well we take off the dollar and a half, that was the maximum,
27. now we don't put a maximum on there. You know, the only thing
28. that could happen with this, it would be a nice fat political job
29. for somebody. You could pay a fence viewer three, four hundred
30. dollars a day, once or twice a year.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

32. Senator Grotberg.

33. SENATOR GROTBORG:

1. Please, because I...I think we've just heard disparaging
2. remarks about this good bill. Are you speaking to the Health
3. Commissioners or the fence viewers, Senator Joyce.

4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

5. Senator Joyce.

6. SENATOR GROTEBERG:

7. You've got to be specific in this case, there's a difference.

8. SENATOR JEROME JOYCE:

9. Well, I suppose you're right. I...if...it all depends on
10. what they're viewing, whether it's the fences or the communicable
11. diseases, I don't know. I think there's no maximum on this so,
12. you know, it's just something to be thinking about.

13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

14. Senator Knuppel.

15. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

16. Well, I don't know how good or how bad a bill it is and
17. maybe there's some reason to kill the damn thing, but...but
18. actually I've been practicing law in a rural community for
19. thirty years and I've had occasion to use fence viewers twice,
20. it's probably one of the least used professions in the whole
21. Statute Book.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

23. Senator Buzbee.

24. SENATOR BUZBEE:

25. Well, it...it seems to me that the job of being a...a fence
26. viewer would be a very good place for retired legislators to
27. be able to supplement their income. But I...I really...oh, that
28. was the fence sitters, I'm sorry. But I'm...I'm really not at
29. all certain that it is too necessary, Senator Grotberg. I am
30. making disparaging remarks about your bad bill. I'm not at
31. all sure it's necessary that we have such a thing as a fence
32. viewer. I didn't even know there was a fence viewer in this
33. State until about a month ago when I heard about this bill. I

1. would submit we probably ought to kill the bill because it
2. ...Senator Joyce made some very good points about going from
3. a dollar and a half a day to an unlimited amount and we could
4. get them up there to just sky high prices.

5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

6. Senator Bruce. Is there further discussion? If not,
7. Senator Grotberg may close the debate.

8. SENATOR GROTBORG:

9. Well, now, we've all had our fun, but there are still some
10. fence viewers, one of them is Cy Irwin, up in Serena Township
11. up in LaSalle County. And so that Senator Joyce doesn't get
12. off on the wrong foot, the taxpayers don't pay the fence sitter,
13. fence viewer, you know, he's the lawyer. He's the lawyer and
14. the parties pay him and they have to ask for the services. So
15. don't get all hung up about all of that. The County Board gets
16. a chance to raise them, you know, if you'd said...maybe we should
17. have settled for minimum wage. Where's Lemke, with two dollars
18. and ninety cents or so. I'm sure that the County Board, in their
19. wisdom, can get that problem satisfied and they're only about
20. ten people in the whole State of Illinois affected by this Health
21. Commissioner Amendment. They're both obsolete language, next
22. time around, I'll repeal the whole Act. As long as we've got
23. it...there is a hundred year lag in the price and I would ask for
24. a...a...a...Aye vote on this excellent bill. Otherwise we'll
25. have to tie the House up on the biggies.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

27. The question is shall House Bill 1179 pass. Those in favor
28. vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have
29. all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record.
30. On that question the Ayes are 27, the Nays are 11, 1 Voting
31. Present. HouseBill 1179, having failed to receive a constitutional
32. majority is declared lost. Senator Grotberg moves for postponed
33. consideration. Further consideration will be postponed. House

1. Bill 1184, Senator Knuppel. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

2. SECRETARY:

3. House Bill 1184.

4. (Secretary reads title of bill)

5. 3rd reading of the bill.

6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

7. Senator Knuppel.

8. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

9. Mr. Chairman and members of the Body. This is a bill that
10. creates Agricultural Conservation Protection Areas where farmers
11. owning at least five hundred acres may join together to form a
12. ...an area which will be protected and used only for agricultural
13. purposes if approved by the Committee and the County Board for a
14. period of at least ten years. It protects the municipalities'
15. integrity, if they object, up to a distance of one and one half
16. miles. It exempts Cook County because it's a home rule unit
17. and because of municipal objection. The committee that will
18. pass on these is...is to be composed of four farmers and one
19. member from the County Board to be appointed by the County Board
20. Chairman, the Ag Extension Advisor and the Chairman of the County
21. Soil and Water Conservation Districts. In this manner, it will
22. allow our valuable agricultural land to be protected and I think
23. it's a much better bill than the bill we had here the other day
24. where we were talking about environmental impacts, et cetera.
25. We passed a similar bill in a couple of Sessions ago. It was
26. Vetoed and Senator Gitz had a bill go through here, 939, which
27. is also similar in its scope. I would solicit a favorable roll
28. call.

29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

30. Is there further discussion? Senator Mitchler.

31. SENATOR MITCHLER:

32. This bill was heard in the Senate Agriculture, Conservation
33. and Energy Committee, Mr. President and members of the Senate, and

1. there was quite a bit of discussion on it, but it's a good
2. bill and when you stop to think that you may get complaints
3. from a group of farmers or a farmer that he doesn't want a
4. subdivision in there, he doesn't want this into his land, he
5. wants to save his...agricultural land. Well this gives them
6. the opportunity to get into a pact and deed their land together,
7. that in ten years you can't do anything with it and it's up
8. to the farmers to do this themselves, nothing mandatory. It's
9. a good Act, good favorable roll call.

10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

11. Is there any further discussion? If not, Senator Knuppel
12. may close the debate.

13. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

14. I'd appreciate a favorable roll call.

15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

16. The question is shall House Bill 1184 pass. Those in favor
17. vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have,
18. all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record.
19. On that question the Ayes are 52, the Nays are none, 1 Voting
20. Present. House Bill 1184 having received the constitutional
21. majority is declared passed. House Bill 1186, Senator Martin.
22. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

23. SECRETARY:

24. House Bill 1186.

25. (Secretary reads title of bill)

26. 3rd reading of the bill.

27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

28. Senator Martin.

29. SENATOR MARTIN:

30. Yes, this affects deer hunting permits. The current law
31. is unconstitutional, seems to be the problem, and it's affected
32. Illinois' relationship with other states. Illinois deerhunters
33. are not permitted to hunt in other states. At the same time,

1. the department, unless it has this bill, will not be able to
2. differentiate in the fees. And what this bill would provide,
3. is that neighboring states that have agreements with Illinois,
4. we will let their hunters in at...at our fee, just as they will
5. do for our hunters. For anyone else or any state that does not
6. have a reciprocal agreement, they will be charged a hundred dollars
7. a permit as opposed to the fifteen for our deerhunters. Now,
8. I know there is a limited supply of the deer and a limited
9. supply of the licenses, but the fact is we have to have a consti-
10. tutional law. This is the one that would best protect Illinois
11. hunters and I might add that I cannot claim great expertise in
12. the area and that members and the Chairman of Agriculture were
13. very helpful in answering any of the questions about the bill
14. in committee, but I will try.

15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

16. Is there further discussion? Senator Knuppel.

17. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

18.
19.
20.
21.
22.
23.
24.
25.
26.
27.
28.
29.
30.
31.
32.
33.

End of Reel #5

1. I just want to know if they're going to have to have a
2. license to hunt coyotes.

3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

4. Senator Grotberg.

5. SENATOR GROTBORG:

6. I just wonder if the sponsor would take this out of the record
7. so we could lower it to a dollar and a half as God intended on all
8. of these big fees and the costly items in the State of Illinois.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

10. Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Martin may close
11. debate.

12. SENATOR MARTIN:

13. Well, I would remind Senator Grotberg that I did not speak on
14. his bill, withholding that temptation as it was, and I'd ask
15. support for this bill. I'm sure I was chosen as the sponsor
16. because I saw Bambi ten times as a child and I would...I think it
17. is a good bill and I'd ask your support.

18. PRESIDENT:

19. Question is, shall House Bill 1186 pass. Those in favor will
20. vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have
21. all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record.
22. On that question the Ayes are 52. The Nays are 1. None Voting Present.
23. House Bill 1186, having received a constitutional majority, is
24. declared passed. 1187, Senator Joyce. 1193, Senator Nash. On the
25. Order of House Bills 3rd reading, House Bill 1193. Read the bill,
26. Mr. Secretary.

27. SECRETARY:

28. House Bill 1193.

29. (Secretary reads title of bill)
30. 3rd reading of the bill.

31. PRESIDENT:

32. Senator Nash.

33. SENATOR NASH:

1. Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, House
2. Bill 1193 is at the request of the Secretary of State's Office
3. and all that it does, it lets the Secretary of State issue an
4. Illinois Temporary Apportionment Authorization Permit when a
5. truck is being transferred from...the plate is being transferred
6. from one truck to another...extends the time from thirty to
7. forty-five days.

8. PRESIDENT:
9. Any discussion? Senator Gitz.

10. SENATOR GITZ:
11. Question of the sponsor, simple question.

12. PRESIDENT:
13. Indicates he will yield. Senator Gitz.

14. SENATOR GITZ:
15. Is there only one amendment on this bill?

16. PRESIDENT:
17. Senator Nash.

18. SENATOR NASH:
19. That was a technical amendment, Senator Gitz.

20. PRESIDENT:
21. Senator Gitz.

22. SENATOR GITZ:
23. I'm aware of Amendment No. 1. I just wanted to know if there
24. is any further amendments.

25. PRESIDENT:
26. Senator Nash.

27. SENATOR NASH:
28. No, there is no further amendments.

29. PRESIDENT:
30. Any further discussion? If not, the question is, shall House
31. Bill 1193 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will
32. vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all
33. voted who wish? Take the record. On that question the Ayes are 51.

HB 1187
6/22/79
3rd reading
H.B. 1196
3rd Reading
6-22-79

1. The Nays are none. None Voting Present. House Bill 1193, having
2. received a constitutional majority, is declared passed. 1196,
3. Senator Martin. On the Order of House Bills 3rd reading, House
4. Bill 1196. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

5. SECRETARY:

6. House Bill 1196.
7. (Secretary reads title of bill)
8. 3rd reading of the bill.

9. PRESIDENT:

10. Senator Martin.

11. SENATOR MARTIN:

12. Yes, this is a bill from the Joint Committee. It requires
13. agencies prior to any of their rule-making to determine with reason-
14. able accuracy the following rather specific economic effects of any
15. rule: The direct economic effect, the effect on the agency's
16. budget, if an agency is involved, and the effect on State revenues
17. In the original Act creating the Joint Committee, the language was
18. so non-specific that agencies that were coming before the Joint
19. Committee were not providing the...the information really needed
20. although there was no question that this was legislative intent.
21. An amendment was offered and has been accepted that will make sure
22. that only at the request of the Joint Committee will the agencies
23. have to submit such rules so they won't have it on very minor
24. things. I think it's a good bill that fulfills the legislative
25. intent of the original legislation and I ask your support.

26. PRESIDENT:

27. Is there any discussion? If not, the question is, shall House
28. bill 1196 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will
29. vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all
30. voted who wish? Take the record. On that question the Ayes are 54.
31. The Nays are none. None Voting Present: House Bill 1196, having
32. received a constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senator Joyce,
33. do you wish 1187 called? Senator Joyce.

SENATOR JEREMIAH JOYCE:

1. Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate,
2. Senator DeAngelis is going to explain House Bill 1187.

3. PRESIDENT:

4. All right. On the Order of House Bills 3rd reading, House
5. Bill 1187. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

6. SECRETARY:

7. House Bill 1187.

8. (Secretary reads title of bill)

9. 3rd reading of the bill.

10. PRESIDENT:

11. Senator DeAngelis.

12. SENATOR DEANGELIS:

13. Thank you, Mr. President. I am really going to explain the
14. amendment that was put on yesterday, but I think it was thoroughly
15. explained so if there is any questions on that, I would address
16. myself to that, but other than that I would move for due passage
17. of the bill.

18. PRESIDENT:

19. Is there any discussion? If not, the question is, shall
20. House Bill 1187 pass. Senator Demuzio.

21. SENATOR DEMUZIO:

22. Yes, I'd like to ask Senator DeAngelis a question. Could
23. you just very briefly explain that amendment?

24. PRESIDENT:

25. Senator DeAngelis.

26. SENATOR DEANGELIS:

27. Thank you, Mr. President. Some of you may have watched on
28. TV in January some of the illegal operations of scantilly clad
29. bingo operators and what the amendment does is it tightens up on
30. the requirements for bingo licenses and it also gives the Department
31. of Revenue the authority to ask for an audited statement from the
32. operators so that they can close off and find out where the money
33. is going so it does not inure to personal interest and it really

1. does protect the charitable organizations who, in fact, run these
2. games legitimately. It's been a...the money has really been taken
3. out of their till. I move for its passage.

4. PRESIDENT:

5. Is there any further discussion? If not, the question is,
6. shall House Bill 1187...Senator Bruce.

7. SENATOR BRUCE:

8. Well, I know everyone evidently wants to pass this fairly
9. quickly, but I'd like to know what is it going to cost the State
10. of Illinois. I noticed that you are allowing them...any licensee
11. who runs such a operation to receive reasonable expenses for...and
12. this is all new language...providing premises for the conducting,
13. amounts reflecting the cost of purchasing, leasing, capital, utilities,
14. services, furniture, equipment and other items necessary or con-
15. venient to the use of the premises for bingo. Now that seems to
16. drive a pretty good hole into what we are going to receive in our
17. assets. What is this going to cost the State of Illinois in
18. revenue...let's assume we're not going to have any more bingo. Don't
19. give me any figures that say we're going to increase bingo. I want
20. to know what it's going to cost given this present bingo playing in
21. the State to allow an organization to deduct equipment and furniture.

22. PRESIDENT:

23. Senator DeAngelis.

24. SENATOR DEANGELIS:

25. Senator Bruce, are you looking at the amendment? The only
26. thing that was added in the amendment which is the part that's
27. underlined is the tightening up on the licensing of those people
28. who provide that services. I don't see where we're allowing them
29. any more.

30. PRESIDENT:

31. Senator Bruce.

32. SENATOR BRUCE:

33. On page three of your amendment, line thirty through thirty-

1. five, it allows the deduction for expenses for use of premises,
2. costs of purchasing, leasing, cost of capital, utilities, janitorial
3. services, furniture and any other equipment necessary for or
4. convenient to the use of the premises for bingo. That's all
5. underlined, Senator, and I assume that's new language.

6. PRESIDENT:

7. Senator DeAngelis.

8. SENATOR DEANGELIS:

9. This grew out of a practice that currently exists where many
10. of the churches are leasing the cards and all the other stuff with
11. it. They weren't permitted to rent before.

12. PRESIDENT:

13. Senator Bruce.

14. SENATOR BRUCE:

15. The problem, Senator DeAngelis, is that you are allowing a
16. bingo licensee to buy furniture or capital items and the way I
17. read the Act, to deduct them against the amount of money that the
18. State is going to receive as their share of the proceeds and is
19. an extensive amount of deductions you're going to allow and I just...
20. you know...if you didn't know it was in your amendment it certainly
21. is the guts of the amendment to say that they are going to deduct
22. furniture, equipment from their share before they send us our share
23. and the question still is, what is that going to cost us to allow
24. a licensee to start buying furniture and equipment and in effect,
25. the State of Illinois will buy it because they can deduct it right
26. off of the top of what they are going to send us so we are in effect,
27. buying the equipment, the taxpayers of the State of Illinois.

28. PRESIDENT:

29. Senator DeAngelis.

30. SENATOR DEANGELIS:

31. Senator Bruce, if you will read the paragraph before, they have
32. the power to sell...lease currently, a license holder. What we're
33. doing is we're really defining it more clearly what these things

1. are.

2. PRESIDENT:

3. Senator Beuce.

4. SENATOR BRUCE:

5. Well, Senator, we just got to read lines thirty through
6. thirty-two together. A person, firm or corporation holding such
7. license may receive reasonable expenses for providing premises for
8. the conducting of bingo. Reasonable expenses shall include amounts
9. reflecting the cost of purchasing or leasing the premises, which
10. is not in the present Statute, cost of capital, and that would in-
11. clude the construction of a building, I assume, utilities, janitorial
12. services, furniture and other equipment. That's a...that's a major
13. expansion. Either I've got the wrong amendment and I've asked the
14. Staff. They gave me the same amendment marked adopted 6/21/79, and
15. that is all new language.

16. PRESIDENT:

17. Senator Joyce, for what purpose do you rise?

18. SENATOR JEREMIAH JOYCE:

19. A question of Senator DeAngelis.

20. PRESIDENT:

21. Yes, indicates he'll yield. Senator Joyce.

22. SENATOR JEREMIAH JOYCE:

23. Am I...Senator, am I correct in my understanding that this bill
24. as presented here today comes to us from Mr. Zagel, Department of
25. Law Enforcement?

26. PRESIDENT:

27. Senator DeAngelis.

28. SENATOR DEANGELIS:

29. That is correct. The Statute is quite extensive...or at least
30. the part that applies to bingo and believe me, Senator Bruce, this
31. really does tighten up in spite of the fact that it may appear to
32. open up. It really does tighten up what, in fact, they can charge
33. for.

1. PRESIDENT:

2. Senator Bruce.

3. SENATOR BRUCE:

4. I still would like to have an answer to what it's going to
5. cost the State of Illinois if we allow and pay for equipment and
6. buildings. That's...what's it going to cost from our take. That's
7. a reasonable...question.

8. PRESIDENT:

9. Senator DeAngelis.

10. SENATOR DEANGELIS:

11. Well, since this amendment was, in fact, requested by the
12. Department of Revenue, I would be hopeful that there would be no
13. loss of revenue because of some of the provisions they currently
14. have. Now, if you read the last page, the Director is permitted
15. now to have an audited statement which he did not have before.
16. If these expenses are not legitimate, he could go back at them
17. that way, but currently bingo operators can pay rent and rent
18. could include equipment, anything else they want to and as much
19. as they want to.

20. PRESIDENT:

21. All right. The question is, shall House Bill 1187 pass.
22. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The
23. voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?
24. Take the record. On that question the Ayes are 38. The Nays are
25. 9. 4 Voting Present. House Bill 1187, having received a constitu-
26. tional majority, is declared passed. 1198, Senator Bloom. On
27. the Order of House Bills 3rd reading, top of page 13, House Bill
28. 1198. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

29. SECRETARY:

30. House Bill 1198.

31. (Secretary reads title of bill)

32. 3rd reading of the bill.

33. PRESIDENT:

1. Senator Bloom.

2. SENATOR BLOOM:

3. Thank you, Mr. President. This bill is fairly simple.

4. Basically, right now, under existing law, the Director of the

5. Department of Insurance can provide exceptions to minimum health

6. insurance, but it doesn't have any criteria. This is a Joint

7. Committee on Administrative Rules generated bill and basically

8. what it says is if you're going to make exceptions, you have to

9. have objective criteria or standards for making exceptions to

10. standards. Try and answer any question you may have. Otherwise,

11. I would urge a favorable roll call.

12. PRESIDENT:

13. Is there any discussion? If not, the question is, shall House

14. Bill 1198 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will

15. vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all

16. voted who wish? Take the record. On that question the Ayes are

17. 52. The Nays are none. None Voting Present. House Bill 1198,

18. having received a constitutional majority, is declared passed. 1210,

19. Senator Lemke. On the Order of House Bills 3rd reading is House Bill

20. 1210. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

21. SECRETARY:

22. House Bill 1210.

23. (Secretary reads title of bill)

24. 3rd reading of the bill.

25. PRESIDENT:

26. Senator Lemke.

27. SENATOR LEMKE:

28. What this bill is an Act....to do is to change it to a business

29. offense from a petty offense if they're blocking intersection for

30. more than ten minutes and also if the employee is obstructing a

31. public grade crossing willfully and intentionally it adds the fine

32. of petty offense. I ask for adoption of this bill.

33. PRESIDENT:

1. Is there any discussion? Senator Shapiro.

2. SENATOR SHAPIRO:

3. Mr. President, will the sponsor yield to a question?

4. PRESIDENT:

5. Indicates he will yield. Senator Shapiro.

6. SENATOR SHAPIRO:

7. Senator Lemke, is this bill as amended now...does it carry

8. that so-called caboose bill that we defeated several months ago?

9. PRESIDENT:

10. Senator Lemke.

11. SENATOR LEMKE:

12. This is the...Senator Vadalabene put the...his bill on here.

13. I don't know if we defeated the bill, but it was on Postponed

14. Consideration. We never got to that Order of Business.

15. PRESIDENT:

16. Senator Shapiro.

17. SENATOR SHAPIRO:

18. Well then, in other words, it does have the caboose part on

19. the...on the bill as it is now amended.

20. PRESIDENT:

21. Senator Lemke.

22. SENATOR LEMKE:

23. The caboose amendment is on here, yes.

24. PRESIDENT:

25. Senator Shapiro.

26. SENATOR SHAPIRO:

27. Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, I wish

28. to speak against the bill, but only because of this amendment. We

29. defeated it or it was placed on Postponed Consideration several

30. months ago as a Senate Bill. I think it places an undue burden

31. upon the railroads of this State and I would urge everyone on this

32. side of the aisle and everyone in the Senate to oppose the bill.

33. PRESIDENT:

1. Further discussion? Senator Coffey.

2. SENATOR COFFEY:

3. Yes, Mr. President and members of the Senate, I'm rising
4. in opposition to this bill. We had a Senate Bill that was before
5. us a few days ago and I think the decision was made that we would
6. study this bill in committee further at another time. Now we see
7. this amendment back. I think at this time we ought to vote against
8. this bill with the amendment...the caboose amendment on it and I'd
9. ask a No vote, please.

10. PRESIDENT:

11. Further discussion? Senator Vadalabene.

12. SENATOR VADALABENE:

13. Yes, Mr. President and members of the Senate. You know, I
14. don't mind when you talk about a bill being defeated. Now the bill
15. was never defeated. It was put on Postponed Consideration and
16. when you put a bill on Postponed Consideration you don't put it
17. on Postponed Consideration because it was defeated. You put it on
18. Postponed Consideration because you still have a chance. There may
19. be members not on the Floor of the Senate when the bill was called.
20. Now let's tell it as it is. The bill was never defeated. Now,
21. let's not talk about bills being defeated. When the bill goes down
22. in defeat the President of the Senate says this bill not having
23. received the constitutional votes is now declared lost. That bill
24. has never been declared lost. This is a good amendment and it does
25. not put in jeopardy the railroads. There are very many substantial
26. railroads running today. I looked out of my window just last night
27. on the second floor of the Lincoln Tower Plaza. There was no
28. caboose on that train and it shouldn't have been running through there
29. at nighttime without a caboose and if something would have happened...
30. if something would have happened in Springfield where there was some
31. chemical on some car overturned there would have been no way to
32. notify that engineer. You're talking about these trucks with
33. hazardous waste. You're talking about these landfills with hazardous

✓

1. waste. You better start thinking about these trains who carry
2. hazardous waste and get with the people and not with the railroads.
3. PRESIDENT:
4. Further discussion? Senator Chew.
5. SENATOR CHEW:
6. I'd like to ask the sponsor a question, Mr. President. I'm
7. a little confused.
8. PRESIDENT:
9. Sponsor appears to be otherwise occupied.
10. SENATOR CHEW:
11. He's looking for an amendment that...Senators don't have.
12. PRESIDENT:
13. Okay. Indicates he'll yield. Senator Chew.
14. SENATOR CHEW:
15. Senator, did we have this amendment in the form of a Senate
16. Bill that the committee agreed that needed further study?
17. PRESIDENT:
18. Senator Lemke.
19. SENATOR LEMKE:
20. No, this bill was on the Floor, Senator Chew. It passed out
21. of your committee, was on 3rd reading, was called for a vote, had
22. 30 votes. One of the members was not here. The bill was put on
23. Postponed Consideration. That bill went back to your committee under
24. Phil Rock's general motion to...to put all the bills that were left
25. on the Calendar into...back into committee and put them in a sub-
26. committee.
27. PRESIDENT:
28. All right. Senator Chew.
29. SENATOR CHEW:
30. Yes, sir. The amendment that you attached on it, was that
31. amendment on it when we got the 30 votes and somebody took a walk?
32. PRESIDENT:
33. Senator Lemke.
SENATOR LEMKE:

No, that bill was not the same as this. That was the Caboose
1. Bill and that's when there was somebody took a walk.
2. PRESIDENT:
3. Senator Chew.
4. SENATOR CHEW:
5. I didn't have the amendment, but now what does the amendment
6. do that's being attached to this bill?
7. PRESIDENT:
8. Senator Lemke.
9. SENATOR LEMKE:
10. I yield to Senator Vadalabene since it's his amendment.
11. PRESIDENT:
12. Senator Vadalabene.
13. SENATOR VADALABENE:
14. Yes, thank you, Mr. President and...and members of the Senate.
15. The amendment was explained yesterday and I noticed Senator Chew
16. had other business to attend to and couldn't be here. Now
17. Amendment...No. 1 to House Bill 1210, as I explained yesterday,
18. says that no railroad company that has more than ten employees
19. shall run or permit to be run over its tracks outside of switching
20. limits a freight train without having attached thereto a caboose
21. car excepting light engines and cases of emergency occurring on
22. the road which will not permit compliance herewith and whoever
23. violates any provision of this section is guilty of a business
24. offense and shall be punished by a fine not to exceed one thousand
25. dollars. Each violation constitutes a separate offense.
26. PRESIDENT:
27. Further discussion? Senator DeAngelis. Yes, Senator Chew,
28. for what purpose do you rise?
29. SENATOR CHEW:
30. I was still on the Floor, Sir.
31. PRESIDENT:
32. Well, your time has just about expired. Will you conclude?
33. SENATOR CHEW:
Okay, I'll conclude.

1. PRESIDENT:

2. Thank you.

3. SENATOR CHEW:

4. As far as Senator Chew being off the Floor, he was not. The
5. amendment was not explained to my satisfaction so, in my opinion,
6. it was not explained. Now, just one other question, Mr. President,
7. and I'm through. Is the railroad industry in favor or is this an
8. agreed amendment because we heard this bill quite a lot. Senator
9. Vadalabene.

10. PRESIDENT:

11. Senator Vadalabene. Senator Chew.

12. SENATOR CHEW:

13. Is the railroad industry in favor or is this an agreed
14. amendment, Senator Vadalabene?

15. PRESIDENT:

16. Senator Vadalabene.

17. SENATOR VADALABENE:

18. I'd like to answer that in a general term. The railroad would
19. not be in favor of any type of an amendment in regard to a caboos.

20. PRESIDENT:

21. All right. Further discussion? Senator Carroll. Senator DeAngelis.

22. SENATOR DEANGELIS:

23. I have a question of the sponsor of the amendment.

24. PRESIDENT:

25. Sponsor, Senator Vadalabene...yeah, indicates he'll yield.

26. SENATOR DEANGELIS:

27. Senator Vadalabene, I love you like a brother, but I think if
28. we're going to tell it as it is, I think one thing should be pointed
29. out. Cabooses right now are a matter of collective bargaining and
30. I think what the railroads are trying to do is maintain that right
31. and the unions can maintain that right also. Any collective bargain-
32. ing agreement can include in it where caboosesshould be used and
33. should not be used.

1. PRESIDENT:

2. All right. Further discussion? Senator Gitz.

3. SENATOR GITZ:

4. Mr. President, I have a question of the sponsor, either Senator
5. Vadalabene or Senator Lemke.

6. PRESIDENT:

7. Indicate they'll yield. Senator Gitz.

8. SENATOR GITZ:

9. I'm looking at the bill before the amendment and the question
10. I have is, I notice it's a business offense to block the intersection.
11. What is that fine?

12. PRESIDENT:

13. Senator Lemke.

14. SENATOR LEMKE:

15. A thousand dollars...up to, yeah, up to. Up to a thousand.

16. PRESIDENT:

17. Senator Gitz.

18. SENATOR GITZ:

19. Well, my only concern is this, and this can be in the form of
20. a question or a statement, it seems to me that we have a deeper
21. problem in this State of blocked intersections than we do in
22. cabooses. I...I'm somewhat confused that we seem to be so concerned
23. about a thousand dollar fine just on cabooses without really address-
24. ing perhaps a far greater problem which is blocked intersections.
25. It's happened to members of the Senate. It happened to me the
26. other day. I don't think that running without a caboose is half
27. as dangerous as all the blocked intersections we have.

28. PRESIDENT:

29. Further discussion? Senator Knuppel.

30. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

31. Well, I don't know what brought this all about. We've had a
32. lot of railroad bills here lately and the last couple of days it
33. seems like we're getting a lot of amendments stuck on bills for

1. bills that were defeated or something, but when I see Sammy and
2. how excited he gets, I begin to wonder if his mother wasn't scared
3. by a railroad locomotive whistle or something because he...he really...
4. he really goes on these things and I just...I just can't conceive...
5. I mean, the people that are killed are killed in crossing accidents
6. on the front end of the train rather than the back end of the train.
7. We had four of them killed up in Mason City the other day and we
8. had two kids killed over in Illiopolis and I see where Congressman
9. Simon is going to have a oscillating or flashing light on the front,
10. but I never heard of anybody getting backed into by a train.

11. PRESIDENT:

12. Is there any further discussion? Senator Vadalabene.

13. SENATOR VADALABENE:

14. Just on a point of personal privilege.

15. PRESIDENT:

16. Yes, state your point, Sir.

17. SENATOR VADALABENE:

18. Senator Knuppel, I just want to let you know that my mother is
19. up in Heaven and she don't have to be referred to on the Senate
20. and you may be the ring master, but you leave my parents out of this.

21. PRESIDENT:

22. Further discussion? Yes, Senator Knuppel.

23. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

24. He know that this is...I tell my wife my boy takes five baths
25. a day and I tell her that, you know, that she must have been scared
26. by a bar of soap. He knows I said that facetiously and that I have
27. no derogatory intent with respect to Sam Vadalabene's mother. He
28. gets so damned excited about these railroad and transportation
29. bills. Come on, Sam.

30. PRESIDENT:

31. All right. Further discussion? Senator Lemke may close the
32. debate.

33. SENATOR LEMKE:

1. Let's not get into these personal discussions, but what we're
2. doing here is a very important thing to this State and to the
3. citizenry and that's to prevent blocked intersections, and that's to
4. protect the safety of the citizenry in this State from railroads,
5. from accidents. Now you say why should the unions and the railroads
6. sit down when it comes to public safety in regards to cabooses. I think
7. a caboose should be on a train. If they are going to move hazardous
8. materials in this State and that hazardous car should come loose,
9. it's the caboose, the man in the caboose that's going to warn the
10. engineer in front that that train has left the tracks and it's
11. going to save a lot of lives when that...when that hazardous car
12. spills and spills in flows and spills and flows and the gas and
13. the nuclears, nuclear stuff just flows the fields and kills off a
14. few people. So, if you people want to vote against the safety of
15. the people because you say that it should be left to collective
16. bargaining between the railroads and the employees, we don't let
17. the public safety in this State, whether it belongs to the unions
18. or the railroads, we are concerned with the public safety and
19. we're saying to the railroads that you must have a caboose for the
20. public safety in this State and I ask for an Aye vote.

21. PRESIDENT:

22. Question is, shall House Bill 1210 pass. Those in favor will
23. vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have
24. all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record.
25. On that question the Ayes are 30. The Nays are 22. None Voting
26. Present. House Bill 1210, having received a constitutional majority,
27. is declared passed. Senator Chew, for what purpose do you rise?
28. All right. Senator Chew has requested a verification. Will the
29. members please be in their seats? Mr. Secretary, read the...read the
30. affirmative votes.

31. SECRETARY:

32. The following voted in the affirmative: Becker, Berman, Bruce,
33. Carroll, Collins, D'Arco, Daley, Demuzio, Egan, Gitz, Hall, Johns,

HB 1223
6-22-79
3rd reading

1. Jeremiah Joyce, Jerome Joyce, Lemke, Maragos, Merlo, Nash, Nedza,
2. Nega, Netsch, Newhouse, Ozingza, Rupp, Sangmeister, Savickas, Vadalabene,
3. Washington, Wooten, Mr. President.

4. PRESIDENT:

5. Senator Daley is on the Floor. Is Senator Collins on the
6. Floor? Is Senator Collins on the Floor? Remove her name from the
7. roll. Senator Johns is on the Floor. Senator Lemke wishes further
8. consideration...

9. SENATOR LEMKE:

10. ...postponed for the safety of the people of the State of Illinois
11. and not for the safety of the railroads I have postponed the consider-
12. ation..

13. PRESIDENT:

14. Sponsor has requested that further consideration be postponed.
15. So ordered. 1223, Senator D'Arco. On the Order of House Bills
16. 3rd reading is House Bill 1223. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

17. SECRETARY:

18. House Bill 1223.
19. (Secretary reads title of bill)
20. 3rd reading of the bill.

21. PRESIDENT:

22. Senator D'Arco.

23. SENATOR D'ARCO:

24. Thank you, Mr. President. What 1223 says in the synopsis is
25. not exactly what it does. It was amended in committee. Now it
26. legalizes prostitution...

27. PRESIDENT:

28. I think you got their attention.

29. SENATOR D'ARCO:

30. It makes possession of heroin a misdemeanor and it legalizes all
31. forms of gambling. I ask for a favorable...no what it really does is
32. this is the insolvency fund and Rupp and I worked on it and we ask
33. for a favorable vote.

HB 1228
3rd reading
6-22-'74

1. PRESIDENT:

2. Is there any discussion? If not, the question is, shall House
3. Bill 1223 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will
4. vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all
5. voted who wish? Take the record. On that question the Aye are 54.
6. The Nays are 1. 1 Voting Present. House Bill 1223, having received
7. a constitutional majority, is declared passed. 1228, Senator Nash.
8. On the Order of House Bills 3rd reading is House Bill 1228. Read the
9. bill, Mr. Secretary.

10. SECRETARY:

11. House Bill 1228.

12. (Secretary reads title of bill)

13. 3rd reading of the bill.

14. PRESIDENT:

15. Senator Nash.

16. SENATOR NASH:

17. Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, House
18. Bill 1228 is identical to Senate Bill 431 that we passed in this
19. Body. It makes it a Class A Misdemeanor for a person to take in-
20. dustrial waste or other waste from the point of origin and
21. intentionally discharge it by means of mobile or portable equipment
22. to the sewers...into the sewer system. I ask for a favorable roll
23. call.

24. PRESIDENT:

25. Is there any discussion? If not, the question is, shall House
26. Bill 1228 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will
27. vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all
28. voted who wish? Take the record. On that question the Ayes are 56.
29. The Nays are none. None Voting Present. House Bill 1228, having
30. received a constitutional majority, is declared passed. 1233,
31. Senator Sangmeister. On the Order of House Bills 3rd reading is House
32. Bill 1233. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

33. SECRETARY:

1. House Bill 1233.

2. (Secretary reads title of bill)

3. 3rd reading of the bill.

4. PRESIDENT:

5. Senator Sangmeister.

6. SENATOR SANGMEISTER:

7. Mr. President and members of the Senate, this bill has come
8. over from the House, but I would say to you this is identical to
9. Senate Bill 454 that we had before us before. What the bill simply
10. does is it establishes a date when the first year for probation is
11. going to be established as far as tenure is concerned. The teachers
12. have felt that if they are not on the job immediately after Labor
13. Day when the school term usually starts that they're...no one knows
14. exactly when their probationary year starts. They wanted to have
15. January 1st. When we had the Senate Bill in committee, it was
16. discussed with a representative from the School Board and they
17. decided that November 1st was a date in between that everybody
18. could live with and that's what the last bill was all about and we'd
19. appreciate a favorable roll on this one.

20. PRESIDENT:

21. Is there any discussion? If not, the question is, shall House
22. Bill 1233 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will
23. vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all
24. voted who wish? Take the record. On that question the Ayes are 44.
25. The Nays are 7. None Voting Present. House Bill 1233, having received
26. a constitutional majority, is declared passed. 1244, Senator
27. Sangmeister. On the Order of House Bills 3rd reading is House Bill
28. 1244. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

29. SECRETARY:

30. House Bill 1244.

31. (Secretary reads title of bill)

32. 3rd reading of the bill.

33. PRESIDENT:

1. Senator Sangmeister.

2. SENATOR SANGMEISTER:

3. Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. The
4. purpose of this legislation is in downstate areas we have a
5. problem with township assessors who do not have sufficient staff
6. and sufficient funds from the township to be able to properly
7. assess commercial and industrial property and what this will do
8. is it will give to the Department of Local Governmental Affairs
9. the right to hire ten additional people to work as appraisers
10. with our local assessors so that if you have, for example, in
11. my legislative district, a refinery or that type of industrial
12. complex which the local assessor just does not have the ability
13. or the talent to properly assess that they could get that help
14. from the Department of Local Governmental Affairs. I should also
15. tell you that at the present time there is no money in the
16. Department's budget to substantiate this, but we would like to
17. get this into the law and perhaps the next year around the
18. Department would see fit to put it into their budget. I'd
19. be happy to answer any questions if there are any.

20. PRESIDENT:

21. Any discussion? Senator McMillan.

22. SENATOR McMILLAN:

23. Question of the sponsor.

24. PRESIDENT:

25. Indicates he will yield. Senator McMillan.

26. SENATOR McMILLAN:

27. Is it correct that this bill does not make this service
28. applicable to Cook County or to St. Clair County and if so, why
29. or why not?

30. PRESIDENT:

31. Senator Sangmeister.

32. SENATOR SANGMEISTER:

33. You are correct into the standpoint that there's an amendment

1. on it that says that it will not apply...it's in counties of less
2. than one million population and as I explained to Senator Walsh
3. at the time the amendment was put on who...was about to raise the
4. question if he didn't, it's the old story. We need this downstate and
5. of course, obviously Cook County wants nothing to do with it. It's the
6. old story. So, if we're going to get it downstate, we have to
7. exclude them and that's the very simple reason that the amendment
8. is on the bill.

9. PRESIDENT:

10. Further discussion? Senator Egan. Oh, I beg your pardon...
11. Senator McMillan.

12. SENATOR McMILLAN:

13. Isn't it also true that it does not apply to St. Clair County
14. where there are some assessment problems because it does not...
15. because it has a Board of Assessors and that's not mentioned in
16. the bill.

17. PRESIDENT:

18. Senator Sangmeister.

19. SENATOR SANGMEISTER:

20. Well, if they work under the Board of Assessors then I...I
21. would have to agree with you. They probably would then be excluded
22. also because that terminology is not in the bill, yes.

23. PRESIDENT:

24. Senator Egan,

25. SENATOR EGAN:

26. Yes, well, Senator Sangmeister, I support the bill. At your
27. suggestion, these assessors downstate are seeking help. The Cook
28. County assessor is not. He has his staff and he has enough people
29. to handle these problems, so it's not the old story. The fact is
30. that they don't...they're not asking for this additional help. You
31. are and I'm not...but I do question your...your position relative
32. to the pre- and post-election qualification requirements for elected
33. officials. If you have...If you have this kind of help for the

1. downstate assessors which I fully support, then what is the need
2. for pre- and post-qualification requirement for elected officials
3. in that...that's not in your bill, but...then I'm curious...well,
4. I'll talk to you about it, but in any event...but the fact is that
5. Cook has not made the request for the help because they really
6. don't think they need it.

7. PRESIDENT:

8. Further discussion? Senator Philip.

9. SENATOR PHILIP:

10. Thank you, Mr. President. Will he yield for a question?

11. PRESIDENT:

12. Indicates he will yield. Senator Philip.

13. SENATOR PHILIP:

14. Yeah, I'm just thinking about my own township, that when we
15. have a large shopping center or a large building that comes in,
16. if I remember correctly, our local assessor goes out and hires a
17. professional to assess it for him and, if I remember correctly,
18. the town board okays that in his budget and he hires some guy who
19. does that for a living. Now, why can't you do the same thing?
20. Am I to assume that the State is going to do that for nothing?
21. That my local assessor now isn't going to be able to go out...or
22. could go to the State and have the State come in and assess it for
23. him?

24. PRESIDENT:

25. Senator Sangmeister.

26. SENATOR SANGMEISTER:

27. Yes, your township assessor, obviously, because you would not
28. be excluded, could call upon this same help. Very frankly, Senator
29. Philip, the reason for it is from townships in my district, in
30. particular I'm interested in Lockport Township, they just do not
31. have the tax money and the staff to do it in that particular town-
32. ship and that's why we do want the help from the State. So, the
33. answer is yes.

1. PRESIDENT:

2. Senator Philip.

3. SENATOR PHILIP:

4. Well, I think that's a very good idea and for it and I think
5. we can all vote for it.

6. PRESIDENT:

7. Further discussion? Senator Martin.

8. SENATOR MARTIN:

9. Senator Sangmeister, if say...and let's use Lockport Township,
10. if you wanted people...one would assume that the reason you want
11. the...expert appraisals on it is because they would appraise it more
12. accurately and that would raise the taxes that would be coming in
13. to the very township that supposedly won't hire the person, so I...
14. there is some awfully convoluted thinking going on. I know this bill is
15. going to fly out of here, but I think there is something wrong in
16. setting up a program that there is no appropriation for. That's
17. going back and they will see it on the books and they will think
18. they can get it and it turns out there is no money. Now, if the
19. bill said in it that you would set up this department and the
20. department would charge back to the township the cost of that,
21. because it's the township or the taxing unit that's going to get
22. the benefit of it, even that makes more sense. I do not deny the
23. need for some expert appraisals around the State for commercial and
24. industrial land, but I think townships often try to get out of too
25. much that there is there a legitimate cost and secondly, to not
26. have a program funded...I find that difficult to understand how that
27. ultimately can be any good at all, to have a program that can't
28. really exist.

29. PRESIDENT:

30. Any further discussion? Senator Hall.

31. SENATOR HALL:

32. Would the sponsor yield to a question?

33. PRESIDENT:

1. Indicates he'll yield. Senator Hall.

2. SENATOR HALL:

3. Senator, it's my fault. I didn't back to you. Did we ever
4. get any clarifying language? Is St. Clair in there or not, would
5. you know?

6. PRESIDENT:

7. Senator Sangmeister.

8. SENATOR SANGMEISTER:

9. No, they are not.

10. PRESIDENT:

11. Further discussion? Senator Mitchler.

12. SENATOR MITCHLER:

13. Mr. President and members of the Senate, I've been rather
14. interested in the debate and the questions back and forth. The
15. thing that I'm leary about, I know that a lot of these townships
16. do need assistance with their assessors, but they have a local
17. county supervisor of assessment. This was a recently established
18. position...it's not too old...that they can come in there and the
19. board of review, and I think that they ought to be able to do
20. all that help that they need locally. I don't like to see the
21. State of Illinois getting in there...starting out with these ten
22. that aren't funded for one thing that I object to, but having ten.
23. Within the several years, you'll have fifteen, twenty, thirty over
24. there that are going out doing all the assessing all over the
25. State out of the Department of Local Government Affairs. I think
26. that we ought to keep that township government there where they
27. have their local elected township assessors and when they need
28. help, have the board of review and the supervisor from the county
29. step in and hire it out and provide it without going into having
30. the State of Illinois because you're rapidly approaching that and
31. this is another foot in the door, the head of the camel under the
32. tent, and pretty soon you're not going to have any local elected
33. assessors. All the assessing in the State of Illinois in not too

1. many years from now is going to be done by the Department of Local
2. Government Affairs. . You won't even know who is assessing your
3. property out there and you may say that's good, but maybe it's not
4. good and I think this is another foot in the door of the bureaucrats
5. that are trying to take over your local assessing and pretty soon
6. they'll have Cook County amended in too when you're at a weak point
7. in the numbers that you have down here in the Legislature and then
8. you'll be sorry you ever let that camel get its head under the tent,
9. believe me.

10. PRESIDENT:

11. Further discussion? Senator Sangmeister may close the debate.

12. SENATOR SANGMEISTER:

13. Well, I certainly would not support anything that was going to
14. be an erosion of local authority. Obviously, this is a chance for the...
15. the local authority is still one hundred percent in control. They're
16. just going to call upon the Department to give them some expertise.
17. Staff has called to my attention that there a number of those people
18. that are already employed by the Department of Local Governmental
19. Affairs and possibly some of those can be used until we get an
20. appropriation in to fund any additional people that they might need.
21. All I can say to you is there are downstate areas that do need help.
22. We don't have the expertise. We don't have the funds to do it with
23. and as Senator Martin indicated...if proper assessment is done,
24. there may be some funds coming, hopefully, back to the townships
25. and the local taxing bodies because a refinery or a commercial
26. piece...or an industrial piece of property may be more accurately
27. assessed. All we're asking for is that things be done right and I'd
28. like to see you put this one over. Thank you.

29. PRESIDENT:

30. Question is, shall House Bill 1244 pass. Those in favor will
31. vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have
32. all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On
33. that question the Ayes are 37. The Nays are 14. None Voting Present.

1. House Bill 1244, having received a constitutional majority, is
2. declared passed. 1248, Senator Davidson. On the Order of House
3. Bills 3rd reading is House Bill 1248. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

4. SECRETARY:

5. House Bill 1248.

6. (Secretary reads title of bill)

7. 3rd reading of the bill.

8. PRESIDENT:

9. Senator Davidson.

10. SENATOR DAVIDSON:

11. Yes, Mr. President and members of the Senate, this bill is
12. kind of one of those times who has arrived. This gets the
13. downstate teachers retirement in order as other retirement systems
14. are on disability. It strikes the word temporary because present
15. law says temporary or permanent. Most physicians cannot determine
16. when you first come in whether it's temporary or permanent disability
17. rating. This just says they'll be rated on...be on temporary and
18. then if it goes past that time then they go on permanent. If there's
19. no questions, I ask for a favorable roll call.

20. PRESIDENT:

21. Is there any discussion? Senator Becker.

22. SENATOR BECKER:

23. Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I rise
24. in support of this bill because I feel that it is needed. The law
25. as it is written requires classification of disability before
26. benefits can be paid. This has resulted in delay in processing and
27. payment of claims creating unnecessary hardships and in some cases,
28. total loss of benefits. The cost is negligible. The system's own
29. actuaries feel that this is a much needed change. I ask for a
30. favorable vote.

31. PRESIDENT:

32. Is there any discussion? If not, the question is, shall House
33. Bill 1248 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will

1. vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all
2. voted who wish? Take the record. On that question the Ayes are
3. 51. The Nays are 2. None Voting Present. House Bill 1248, having
4. received a constitutional majority, is declared passed. 1258,
5. Senator Davidson. On the Order of House Bills 3rd reading, House
6. Bill 1258. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

7. SECRETARY:

8. House Bill 1258.

9. (Secretary reads title of bill)

10. 3rd reading of the bill.

11. PRESIDENT:

12. Senator Davidson.

13. SENATOR DAVIDSON:

14. Yes, Mr. President, members of the Senate. This bill has the
15. endorsement of the Pension Laws Study Commission and it's identical
16. to Senate Bill 362 which we sent out of here 55 to nothing on the
17. Agreed Bill List, but that bill now has become a Christmas tree
18. over in the House...has sixteen amendments hung on it. I'd
19. appreciate a favorable roll call on this bill.

20. PRESIDENT:

21. Is there any discussion? If not, the question is, shall House
22. Bill 1258 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will
23. vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all
24. voted who wish? Take the record. On that question the Ayes are 50.
25. The Nays are 5. None Voting Present. House Bill 1258, having received
26. a constitutional majority, is declared passed. 1259, Senator Nash.
27. On the Order of House Bills 3rd reading, House Bill 1259. Read
28. the bill, Mr. Secretary.

29. SECRETARY:

30. House Bill 1259.

31. (Secretary reads title of bill)

32. 3rd reading of the bill.

33. PRESIDENT:

1. Senator Nash.

2. SENATOR NASH:

3. Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. House
4. Bill 1259 is intended...the intent of this legislation is to limit
5. the withholding plan to only specified credit unions as defined
6. in the Credit Union Act as a common bond.

7. PRESIDENT:

8. Is there any discussion? If not, the question is, shall House
9. Bill 1259 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed
10. will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have
11. all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question the Ayes
12. are 52. The Nays are 2. 1 Voting Present. House Bill 1259,
13. having received the constitutional majority, is declared passed.
14. 1260, Senator Rupp. On the Order of House Bills 3rd reading, House
15. Bill 1260. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

16. SECRETARY:

17. House Bill 1260.

18. (Secretary reads title of bill)

19. 3rd reading of the bill.

20. PRESIDENT:

21. Senator Rupp.

22. SENATOR RUPP:

23. Thank you, Mr. President. What this bill does is to provide that
24. when an insurance policy...when it is solicited, that at that time
25. or at the time of delivery of a policy a brochure explaining the
26. entire policy be delivered. The one amendment...originally the policy
27. started out and had a thirty day free period in there. That...my
28. amendment was reduced to ten and it now provides that all policies
29. shall have that notice prominently printed on the front that the
30. policyholder shall have the right to return the policy within ten
31. days of delivery. I ask a favorable roll call.

32. PRESIDENT:

33. Any discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 1260
pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay.

1. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted
2. who wish? Take the record. On that question the Ayes are 52.
3. The Nays are 1. None Voting Present. House Bill 1260, having re-
4. ceived the constitutional majority, is declared passed. 1261,
5. Senator Schaffer. On the Order of House Bills 3rd reading is
6. House Bill 1261. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.
7. ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)
8. House Bill 1261.
9. (Secretary reads title of bill)
10. 3rd reading of the bill.
11. PRESIDENT:
12. Senator Schaffer.
13. SENATOR SCHAFFER:
14. Mr. President and members of the Senate, this bill is an
15. agreed bill that provides the Department of Public Aid with
16. authority to provide emergency assistance to AFDC families. It's
17. been, I think, rather carefully worked out by Representative Curry.
18. It has the support of the Department of Public Aid, I believe
19. the Illinois Federation of Churches and the Legislative Support
20. Council, whatever that is. I've never fully understood. It does
21. have some safeguards to protect the expenditure of funds as amended
22. in the Senate references to a emergency petty cash fund were
23. deleted at the request of the Department.
24. PRESIDENT:
25. Is there any discussion? Senator Berning.
26. SENATOR BERNING:
27. Question of the sponsor, please.
28. PRESIDENT:
29. Indicates he'll yield. Senator Berning.
30. SENATOR BERNING:
31. This emergency relief provision would be duplicatory of the
32. townships, would it not?
33. PRESIDENT:

1. Senator Schaffer.

2. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

3. I mentioned in my remarks it's AFDC which is not a township
4. program. Township is involved in general assistance.

5. PRESIDENT:

6. Senator Berning.

7. SENATOR BERNING:

8. That's true, however, when you say emergency, it's a case
9. that isn't already determined as to whether it's an AFDC or just
10. what it is. It would appear to me that this is a duplication
11. of what the townships' emergency relief authorizations and respon-
12. sibilities are. Now if it's an AFDC case, then the Department of
13. Public Aid already has it.

14. PRESIDENT:

15. Senator Schaffer.

16. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

17. Senator Berning, as a matter of fact, they don't have. There
18. have been a couple of legislative attempts this year as a result
19. of the winter storm where the Department was found lacking by a
20. lot of people, primarily in the major urban center and the Department
21. pleaded lack of authority and, in fact, that was the case. This is
22. an agreed bill with the Department and with those people who felt
23. that the Department should have powers in emergency cases. I...
24. do not see it as a duplicate of township programs. It is aimed
25. at a segment of the public aid population that the township is
26. not involved with.

27. PRESIDENT:

28. Senator Berning.

29. SENATOR BERNING:

30. Well, Mr. President, and members of the Senate, there are
31. one or two others of you on the Floor here who have been township
32. supervisors in the past as I was. Now I am not pleading for or
33. against emergency relief at the township level, but that is an

1. established fact. That is a major responsibility of the duly elected
2. township official, the supervisor. Emergency relief frequently is
3. maintained by and on behalf of an individual for an extended period
4. of time simply because the Department of Public Aid cannot quickly
5. make a decision. Now, if we are going to provide in certain instances
6. that the Department of Public Aid can, and this says aid to families
7. with dependent children, there is no justification for any other kind
8. of a general assistance relief not being taken over by the Department
9. of Public Aid. It appears to me that we are moving in the direction
10. of a consolidation of the total responsibility for all levels of
11. public aid in the Department at the State level as we proceed here
12. and I think that's a mistake. As a matter of fact, in my opinion,
13. we ought to get the Department of Public Aid totally out of the
14. welfare business and put it back in the townships where it belongs and
15. where it can be administered by people who know the people they are
16. dealing with and I assure you we could cut our public aid budget
17. by one third if we did that tomorrow. I...

18. PRESIDENT:

19. Further discussion? Senator Nimrod.

20. SENATOR NIMROD:

21. Yes, a question, Mr. President, of the sponsor.

22. PRESIDENT:

23. Indicates he will yield, yes, Senator Nimrod.

24. SENATOR NIMROD:

25. Senator Schaffer, following up with Senator Berning's remarks,
26. when there is an emergency supervisors of general assistance is where
27. you go for the aid. Would they...when they are eligible for both of
28. these cases, what happens, the Department of Public Aid now takes
29. over and the General Assistance will just sit there or does General
30. Assistance...do they get paid from both or what happens?

31. PRESIDENT:

32. Senator Schaffer.

33. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

1. Well, again I can only tell you that this is AFDC. It is not
2. General Assistance. It specifically says for families who receive
3. assistance under Section 41 of this Act which is AFDC does not in...
4. General Assistance. It is not in the township. It is not an attack
5. on township autonomy. It has nothing to do with the township aid
6. programs and Senator Berning, I can only tell you I mentioned my plan
7. to turn all of public aid over to my supervisor and he grabbed me by
8. my throat.

9. PRESIDENT:

10. Further discussion. Senator Geo-Karis.

11. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

12. Briefly, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate,
13. I think this bill is a good bill because I can tell you in my county
14. the Public Aid Department is one of the slowest to operate in its
15. existence. Maybe this will just move them a little faster. I...I
16. urge consideration of this bill.

17. PRESIDENT:

18. Any further discussion? Senator Schaffer may close the debate.

19. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

20. It's a good bill. The Department of Public Aid supports it. They
21. don't support much in this area. I think it's a good compromise.
22. Appreciate a favorable roll call.

23. PRESIDENT:

24. Question is, shall House Bill 1261 pass. Those in favor will
25. vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have
26. all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On
27. that question the Ayes are 46. The Nays are 4. None Voting Present.
28. House Bill 1261, having received a constitutional majority, is
29. declared passed. 1272, Senator Maragos. On the Order of House Bills
30. 3rd reading is House Bill 1272. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

31. SECRETARY:

32. House Bill 1272.

33. (Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

1. PRESIDENT:

2. Senator Maragos.

3. SENATOR MARAGOS:

4. Mr. President and members of the Senate, House Bill 1272 has
5. been requested by the Secretary of State in order to have the
6. Not-For-Profit Business Corporation Act comply the same way that
7. the Business Corporation Act does as far as indemnifying its officers.
8. They...when they amended the Business Corporation Act inadvertently
9. they forgot to do so with the Not-For-Profit and what it says to
10. indemnify all its officers, directors and employees and agents pursuant
11. to Section 24A of this Act and then in Section 24A it lists all
12. the activities that can be indemnified by the corporation excepting
13. for illegal activities and I ask for its adoption.

14. PRESIDENT:

15. Is there any discussion? Question is, shall House Bill 1272
16. pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay.
17. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who
18. wish? Take the record. On that question the Ayes are 58. The Nays
19. are none. None Voting Present. House Bill 1272, having received
20. a constitutional majority, is declared passed. 1273, Senator Maragos.
21. On the Order of House Bills 3rd reading, House Bill 1273. Read the bill,
22. Mr. Secretary.

23.

24. (END OF REEL)

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

1. SECRETARY:

2. House Bill 1273.

3. (Secretary reads title of bill)

4. 3rd reading of the bill.

5. PRESIDENT:

6. Senator Maragos.

7. SENATOR MARAGOS:

8. Mr. President and members of the Senate. This also is a
9. companion bill suggested and wanted by the Secretary of State.
10. It merely says that you can change the name of the registered
11. agent and its address by...of a corporation at the same time
12. you are filing the annual report without having necessarily to
13. file a special return that is many times done now. It avoids
14. the work and the cost for the...for the Secretary and avoids the
15. additional bureaucratic work and I ask for its adoption.

16. PRESIDENT:

17. Is there any discussion? If not, the question is, shall
18. House Bill 1273 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those
19. opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who
20. wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that
21. question, the Ayes are 57, the Nays are none, none Voting Present.
22. House Bill 1273 having received the constitutional majority is
23. declared passed. 1274, Senator Nedza. On the Order of House
24. Bills, 3rd reading, is House Bill 1274. Read the bill, Mr.
25. Secretary.

26. SECRETARY:

27. House Bill 1274.

28. (Secretary reads title of bill)

29. 3rd reading of the bill.

30. PRESIDENT:

31. Senator Nedza.

32. SENATOR NEDZA:

33. Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. The time

1. that we voted this bill out of committee, there was some concern
2. that this was a new tax or a special tax and may I tell those
3. members and the remaining portion of the members of the Senate
4. that this is not. All this does is to add curbs and gutters to
5. a present chapter, which is providing for sidewalks. It also
6. puts in another section, which allows for those who are participating
7. in this program to have that portion attached to their tax bill.
8. It's referred to as a special tax, but all it is a...a payment in
9. over a period of time. The Municipal League is...is supporting
10. this bill and I would ask for your support if there are no questions.

11. PRESIDENT:

12. Is there any discussion? Senator Grotberg.

13. SENATOR GROTBORG:

14. Yes, will the sponsor yield for a question?

15. PRESIDENT:

16. He indicates he will yield. Senator Grotberg.

17. SENATOR GROTBORG:

18. Are curbs and gutters generally considered part of the home-
19. owners responsibility, historically?

20. PRESIDENT:

21. Senator Grotberg...I mean Senator Nedza.

22. SENATOR NEDZA:

23. No more than the sidewalks in front of their home, Senator.

24. PRESIDENT:

25. Senator Grotberg.

26. SENATOR GROTBORG:

27. Well, I think historically and that was the key word there, I think
28. historically the homeowner gets a little more use out of his side-
29. walk than he does out of the curb and gutter and this bill does
30. authorize the city council by ordinance to do the same thing with
31. curbs and gutters, which essentially belong to the street, as they
32. do now with sidewalk with special assessments. There is a good
33. lien provision in it, that the bill for that would follow the...the

1. landowner or the...the property, rather...whether it's sold
2. before it gets paid off or not and I have no huge objection to
3. this bill, Senator Nedza, but I do think that the Body at large
4. who...here who represent people all over the State of Illinois
5. should be aware that we're extending that special assessment
6. thing for the first time in the history of the State of Illinois
7. to curbs and gutters and with that, I'll just rest my case and I
8. think everybody got to vote their own switch on this one.

9. PRESIDENT:

10. Further discussion? Senator Weaver.

11. SENATOR WEAVER:

12. A question of the sponsor, Mr. President.

13. PRESIDENT:

14. He indicates he will yield. Senator Weaver.

15. SENATOR WEAVER:

16. Senator Nedza, what can you do with this that you can't do
17. with the Local Improvement Act?

18. PRESIDENT:

19. Senator Nedza.

20. SENATOR NEDZA:

21. It's basically almost identical, Senator, although in some
22. areas there are not Local Improvement Acts. There is no Broad
23. of Local Improvements in some of the municipalities.

24. PRESIDENT:

25. Senator Weaver.

26. SENATOR WEAVER:

27. I know of no municipality that couldn't...adopt an ordinance
28. and form a Board of Local Improvements.

29. PRESIDENT:

30. Senator Nedza.

31. SENATOR NEDZA:

32. If that being the case, Senator, I think that almost every
33. municipality would have a Board of Local Improvements.

1. PRESIDENT:

2. Senator Weaver.

3. SENATOR WEAVER:

4. Well, it just seems to me as there are a great many safe-
5. guards in the assessment procedures in the Board of Local
6. Improvements, whereby the court approves the assessment instead
7. of just the...spreading of the costs and a lien against the
8. property. I think it's a much cleaner way to go and more safe-
9. guards in protection against property owners and they have some
10. rights there to object to an improvement, which may not be...
11. included in this...in this bill, so I think it's a little bit
12. dangerous to give this authority.

13. PRESIDENT:

14. Further discussion? Senator Geo-Karis.

15. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

16. Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I
17. rise to speak in favor of this bill. Special taxation is just
18. another form and there's two ways of getting the money for
19. municipalities, either by special assessments or special taxation
20. and I think this is simply expanding it to cover it by taxation.
21. If I recall correctly, in Municipal Government...the owner of the
22. property would have about ten years. Now, curbs are in some Section
23. of the Statute, but gutters aren't, if I recall correctly, so I
24. think this is a good bill and it would spread the costs and be
25. a little bit better for the taxpayer if he had some time and usually
26. these are based on ten years.

27. PRESIDENT:

28. Is there any further discussion? Senator Nedza may close the
29. debate.

30. SENATOR NEDZA:

31. Thank you, Mr. President. As I restated before, that this will
32. allow the individual to choose to participate. This is not a
33. mandate by the municipality or a special tax or a levy against the

1. property unless that particular individual who is the owner of
2. that property wishes to...participate in this program. This is
3. the same Statute, the same chapter that has been in existence for
4. many years. We are not changing anything with it, with the exception
5. of adding "curb" or "gutters." It's a participatory type of program
6. and I'd ask for your favorable...support.

7. PRESIDENT:

8. The question is, shall House Bill 1274 pass. Those in favor
9. will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open.
10. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted
11. who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 29,
12. the Nays are 22, 2 Voting Present. The sponsor has requested
13. that further consideration be postponed. So ordered. The Chair...
14. there's been a request to go out of order and with leave of the
15. Body we will move to page 29 for the purpose of hearing and
16. considering House Bill 2380. Is leave granted? On the Order of
17. House Bills, 3rd reading, House Bill 2380. Read the bill, Mr.
18. Secretary.

19. SECRETARY:

20. House Bill 2380.

21. (Secretary reads title of bill)

22. 3rd reading of the bill.

23. PRESIDENT:

24. Senator Nimrod.

25. SENATOR NIMROD:

26. Yes, thank you, Mr. President. This bill is the Reorganization
27. Bill and it was heard in the Reorganization Committee and we know of
28. no opposition that...to the particular bill and it creates the
29. Department of Rehabilitation Services and transfers into there
30. the property and personal services to the Board of the Division
31. of Vocational Rehab and it also creates the Rehabilitation Service
32. Advisory Council and I would ask for a favorable vote.

33. PRESIDENT:

1. Is there any discussion? Senator Netsch.

2. SENATOR NETSCH:

3. Thank you. I would simply support the approval of this
4. bill. It is consistent with a changing nature of what used to
5. be or the Division of Vocational Rehabilitation and I think that
6. as a...an agency...a department, rather than as a board, the
7. functions will be able to be better performed, so I think it
8. is a very fine idea and should be supported.

9. PRESIDENT:

10. The question is, shall House Bill 2380 pass. Those in
11. favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting
12. is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?
13. Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 57, the Nays
14. are none, none Voting Present. House Bill 2380 having received
15. the constitutional majority is declared passed. Pursuant to
16. leave that was granted earlier, we will return now to page 10.
17. House Bill 1063 was taken out of the record a little earlier by
18. Senator Coffey. I understand that, the problem if any, has been
19. resolved. On the Order of House Bills, 3rd reading, is House
20. Bill 1063. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

21. SECRETARY:

22. House Bill 1063.

23. (Secretary reads title of bill)

24. 3rd reading of the bill.

25. PRESIDENT:

26. Senator Coffey.

27. SENATOR COFFEY:

28. Mr. President and members of the Senate. House Bill 1063
29. is the bill a few minutes ago that we had before us and Senator
30. Sangmeister asked me to pull out to get an explanation of
31. Amendment No. 1. This House Bill repeals a Section of the Act
32. in relating to the construction and operation of maintenance of
33. deep waterways known as the Illinois Waterways. This is presently

1. under the Corps of Engineers control is the reason we're deleting
2. this from the Statute and Amendment No. 1 is the...was the question
3. that Senator Sangmeister had. Section 18, paragraph 96 concerning
4. Joliet Sanitary District. It's adding that part back in and I'd
5. ask for a favorable roll call.

6. PRESIDENT:

7. Is there any discussion? If not, the question is, shall
8. House Bill 1063 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those
9. opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who
10. wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question,
11. the Ayes are 54, the Nays are none, 1 Voting Present. House
12. Bill 1063 having received the constitutional majority is declared
13. passed. Senator Shapiro, shall we go to the Order of Executive
14. Appointments so we can get those confirmations out of the way?
15. All right. Senator Graham, for what purpose do you arise?

16. SENATOR GRAHAM:

17. I...one...an...for an announcement. I want to enlighten all
18. the Republicans, I...we're going to start the day off right tomorrow.
19. There's no better way to start it with a caucus at 8:30 in
20. Shapiro's office. Coffee and rolls. I know you're all delighted.
21. We'll see you there. 8:30.

22. PRESIDENT:

23. All right. Senator Vadalabene, for what purpose do you
24. arise?

25. SENATOR VADALABENE:

26. Yes, to go to Executive Session.

27. PRESIDENT:

28. All right. Committee Reports.

29. SECRETARY:

30. Senator Vadalabene, Chairman of the Committee on Executive
31. Appointments Administration, to which was referred the Governor's
32. Message of June the 15th, 1979 and the Secretary of State's Message
33. of May the 8th, 1979 report the same back with the recommendation

*Exempt
Appointments to
4/2/79*

1. that the Senate advise and consent to the following appointments.

2. PRESIDENT:

3. Senator Vadalabene.

4. SENATOR VADALABENE:

5. Yes, Mr. President, with respect to the Governor's Message
6. of June 15th, 1979 and the Secretary of State's Message of
7. May 8, 1979, I will read the names of the salaried appointments
8. that the Committee on Executive Appointments and Administration
9. recommends that the Senate advise and consent to and after reading
10. the names I intend to ask leave to consider all of the salaried
11. appointments on one roll call unless any Senator has objection
12. to any particular name. To be Superintendent of Registration
13. and Education of the Department of Registration and Education
14. for a term expiring January 19, 1981, Bruce J. Brizzolara of Park
15. Ridge. Thank you. To be Deputy Commissioner of Banks and Trust
16. Companies for a term expiring October 18, 1982, Robert L. Radmacher
17. of Springfield and to be Assistant Director underground of the
18. Department of Mines and Minerals for a term expiring January 19,
19. 198...1, Russell Spitzner of Marion. To be the Superintendent of
20. Women and Children of the Department of Labor in a term expiring
21. January 19, 1981, Billie Ann Pilling of Macomb and to be the
22. Assistant Director of the Department of Administrative Services
23. for a term expiring January 19, 1981, Gertrude W. Jordan of
24. Chicago and to be members of the State Mining Board, Department
25. of Mines and Minerals for the term expiring January 19, 1981,
26. Richard W. Mottershaw of Virden, Robert Weatherford of Pinckneyville,
27. and John E. Salinque of Christopher and William S. Smith of
28. Collinsville and Charles F. Greer of Eldorado and G.B. Wiley of
29. Harrisburg and to be Director of the Department of Personnel,
30. Secretary of State for a term expiring June 30th, 1981, Christopher
31. P. Moretis of Lake Forest and to be a member of the Merit Commission
32. for the Office of the Secretary of State for a term expiring June 30th,
33. 1985, James Wright of Chicago and Mr. President, having read the

1. names of the salaried appointments, I now seek leave to consider
2. these names on one roll call unless some Senator has objection
3. to a specific name.

4. PRESIDENT:

5. You've heard the request. Is leave granted? Leave is
6. granted. Senator Vadalabene.

7. SENATOR VADALABENE:

8. Yes, thank you, Mr. President. Will you put the question as
9. required by our rules.

10. PRESIDENT:

11. The question is, does the Senate advise and consent to the
12. nominations just made. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those
13. opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who
14. wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take
15. the record. On that question, the Ayes are 57, the Nays are
16. none, none Voting Present. The majority of the Senators elected
17. concurring by record vote, the Senate does advise and consent to
18. the nominations just made. Senator Vadalabene.

19. SENATOR VADALABENE:

20. Yes, thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. With
21. respect to the Governor's Message of June 15, 1979, I'll read the
22. names of the unsalaried appointments that the Committee on Executive
23. Appointments and Administration recommends that the Senate advise
24. and consent to and after reading the names I intend to ask leave
25. to consider all of the unsalaried appointments on one roll call
26. unless any Senator has objection to any particular name. To be
27. members of the Guardianship and Mental Health Advocacy Commission
28. for terms expiring June 30th, 1982, William W. Johnson, Jr. of
29. Chicago, Sister Sheila Lyne R.S.M. of Chicago. To be members of
30. the Illinois Aeronautics Board for terms expiring July 1, 1983,
31. R.J. Ottoman of Highland Park and William G. Manfield of
32. Sterling. To be members of the Health Facilities Planning Boards
33. for a term expiring June 30th, 1982, C. Jonathan Shattuck of Wilmette

1. and Marjorie E. Albrect of Princeton, Philip R. Lescohier of
2. Clarendon Hills and Harry S. Kurschenbaum of Chicago and Joseph C.
3. Mudd of Peoria and to be members of the Mt. Carmel Regional Port
4. District Board for the term indicated: Charles F. Boss of Mt. Carmel,
5. term expiring June 30th, 1979 and reappointment for a term...effect-
6. ive July 1, '79 and expiring June 30th, 1982, Morris Buerkett of
7. Mt. Carmel, term expiring June 30th, 1981, Thomas L. Ford of...Mt.
8. Carmel, term expires June 30th, 1979 and reappointment for a term
9. effective July 1, '79 and expiring June 30th, 1982, Roland L.
10. Gowles of Mt. Carmel, term expires June 30th, 1980, Gordon S.
11. Kirkman of Mt. Carmel, term expires June 30th, 1979, reappoint-
12. ment for a term effective July 1, '79 and expiring June 30th,
13. 1982, John H. Neikirk of Mt. Carmel, term expiring June 30th, 1981.
14. To be members of the Advisory Board to the Department of Personnel
15. for the terms expiring as indicated: Thomas Page of Urbana, January
16. 19, 1981, Richard...C. Richard Numiller of Peoria, January 17, 1983,
17. Max L. Rose of Wilmette, January 17, 1983, Ivan L. Schrader of
18. Springfield, January 19, 1981, Albert N. Logan, Jr. of Chicago,
19. January 17, 1983, Charles J. Kennedy of Joliet, January 17, 1983,
20. Roscoe E. Mitchell, Jr. of Chicago, January 19, 1981, Jane W.
21. Witter of Rock Island, January 19, 1981. To be members of the
22. Board of Regents for terms expiring January 21, 1985: Clara S.
23. Fitzpatrick of Chicago and James L. Wright of Chicago. To be
24. members of the Board of Agriculture Advisers for terms expiring
25. January 19, 1981: Kenneth Bush of Milan, Wayne Haber of Belleville,
26. Enid Schlipf of Gridley, Hubert Seymour of Danville, Harold B.
27. Steele of Princeton, Richard Stone of Springfield, Kenneth Stremblau
28. of Mendota and Gerald Carney of Girard. To be members of the
29. Advisory Board on Necropsy Services to Coroners for the term
30. indicated: Dan H. Britlinger of Decatur, term expiring January 18,
31. 1982, Richard H. Lynch of Charleston, term expiring January 18,
32. 1982, James D. Radden of Belleville, term expiring January 18, 1982
33. and Norman T. Richter of Springfield, term expiring January 19, 1981.

1. To be members of the Agriculture Export Advisory Committee for
2. terms expiring January 19, 1981: Virgil V. Batchel of Peoria,
3. A. Bard Bowen of Barrington and Walter V. Brown of Neoga. Dr.
4. Dale E. Butz of Bloomington, Francis W. Chittick of Paris, Edgar C.
5. Cook, Jr. of Steward and Tommy K. Crouch of Oak Brook. James F.
6. Hughes of Palos Heights, Paul R. Dicklang of Danville, Larry...
7. Marksman of Effingham and Leslie M. Scherer of Chicago. Satura
8. Takemoto of Morton Grove, William M. Thompson of Champaign, Robert R.
9. Wessis of German Valley and Morton E. Wolfson of Olympia Fields.
10. And to be members of the Advisory Board of Livestock Commissioners
11. for terms expiring January 19, 1981: Art Bernard of Wayne City,
12. James H. Handy of Waverly and George Iness of Galesburg. Harley B.
13. Olson of Oneida, Clarence J. Kampsmith of Steeleville...Wilmer Smith
14. of Davis and Dr. William K. Specht of Milledgeville and to members
15. of the Capital Development Board for terms expiring as indicated:
16. George B. Peters of Aurora, January 17, 1983; Darrell F. Grisham
17. of Chicago, January 17, 1983 and Samuel K. Skinner of Lake Forest,
18. January 21, 1980. To be a member of the Chicago Regional Port
19. District Board for a term expiring May 31st, 1984: John J. Serpico
20. of Lincolnwood and to be a member of the State Board of Education
21. for a term expiring January 21, 1985: Dr. Adrienne Y. Bailey of
22. Chicago and to be members of the...Employment Security Advisory
23. Board for terms expiring January 19, 1981: Thomas J. Berry, Jr.
24. of Barrington, Max S. Davis of East Moline, Dean L. Kimmerly of
25. Downers Grove, Michael L. Cline of Palatine, Janet S. Otwell of
26. Evanston, Mischa Rubin of Chicago and Richard J. Walsh of Springfield
27. and to be members of the Board of Governor's of State Colleges and
28. Universities for terms expiring January 21, 1985: James L. Althoff
29. of McHenry, John Warner of Clinton and to be a member of the
30. Illinois Housing Development Authority for a term expiring October 10,
31. 1983; Eugene P. Moats of Chicago and to be members of the Illinois-
32. Missouri Bridge Commission for terms expiring as indicated: Gary H.
33. Brand of Red Bud, October 27, 1983; Carole S. Colvis of Walsh,

1. October 27, 1979, Charles F. Gerlach of Sparta, October 27, 1980,
2. Udell F. Pilzhold of Chester, October 27, 1983 and Richard T.
3. Dethrow of Chester, October 27, 1983 and to be a member of the
4. Lottery Control Board for a term expiring July 1, 1979 and re-
5. appointment for a term effective July 2nd, 1979 and expiring
6. July 1, 1982, Barbara G. Proctor of Chicago and to be a member of
7. the Metropolitan Fair and Exposition Board for a term expiring
8. May 31st, 1981, Eli M. Schulman of Chicago and to be members of
9. the Industrial Development Authority for terms expiring January 19,
10. 1981: G. Alan Andreas, Jr. of Decatur and Frank Considine of
11. Winnetka, Peter Gitwitz of Chicago, Walter R. Lohman of Springfield
12. and Clyde Overland of Effingham and to a member of the Board of
13. Banks and Trust Companies for terms expiring January 1, 1982:
14. Leslie M. Griffin of Patoka, John R. Morris, Jr. of Robinson,
15. James T. Hadley of Chicago and Walter D. Thackler of Chicago and
16. to be members of the Oil and Gas Advisory Board for terms expiring
17. January 19, 1981: Richard K. Thomas of Olney, Edwin Prasuhm of
18. Mt. Vernon and John F. Hohmeier of Springfield and Ronald L. Van
19. Fossan of Collinsville and to be members of the State Soil and
20. Water Conservation Advisory Board for terms expiring January 17,
21. 1983: Earl T. Schafer of Wyoming, Kenneth M. Kesler of Champaign
22. and Elmer A. Frerichs of Litchfield and now Mr. President, having
23. read the names of the unsalaried appointments, I now seek leave to
24. consider the names on one roll call, unless some Senator has
25. objection to a specific name.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

27. Senator Ozinga, for what purpose do you arise?

28. SENATOR OZINGA:

29. Just to...a remark and maybe a question of Senator Sam, Senator,
30. I believe that on this list that you have just read were included
31. about five or six, maybe seven people who, in the committee, we
32. agreed to hold on the basis that they had submitted no information
33. to us. Now, as long as they are not reliable enough to give us

1. the dope...to give us who they are and what they are and what
2. it's all about, I would respectfully suggest that we hold them,
3. even if we have to hold them to kingdom come, but if it's there...
4. it's their privilege that they did not do this and even though
5. they are unsalaried, I think they should respect the Executive
6. Committee and to you, as Chairman to bring that into us and I
7. would respectfully request that you pull those names out of there.

8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

9. Senator Vadalabene.

10. SENATOR VADALABENE:

11. Yes, these are the unsalaried appointments and I understand
12. that they are forthwith and...and I took the liberty to...to get
13. them out of committee and also to confirm them on the Floor of
14. the Senate, as Chairman of that committee.

15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

16. Senator Martin.

17. SENATOR MARTIN:

18. Yes, I seem to be having the opposite problem, listening
19. carefully, as we all do to those names, one seems to be missing
20. that I thought was approved in committee yesterday to the Board
21. of Regents. In fact, you as Chairman told me he was and I
22. waited to hear his name and suddenly I don't hear it today. I
23. wonder...I'm sure it was just forgotten and you'd like to speak
24. to it now.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

26. Senator Vadalabene.

27. SENATOR VADALABENE:

28. Yes, if you'll give me the name of that person, I'll...you
29. know, it will come to me. Who are you referring to?

30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

31. Senator Martin.

32. SENATOR MARTIN:

33. An appointment to the Board of Regents, Jerome R. Bender.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
2. Senator Vadalabene.
3. SENATOR VADALABENE:
4. Yes, I...as always, I've always given the courtesy to any
5. Senator or to any person on this Body who wishes a request to
6. hold until further notice or until the next meeting. There's
7. been a request to hold Mr. Bender and that I did.
8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
9. Senator Martin.
10. SENATOR MARTIN:
11. Just procedurally, I would ask that is it then not a courtesy
12. to notify the Senator who's been told that that's approved...of
13. that hold so they could find out what seems to be a problem about
14. an excellent nominee.
15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
16. Senator Vadalabene.
17. SENATOR VADALABENE:
18. Yes, who was that, Senator?
19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
20. Senator Martin.
21. SENATOR MARTIN:
22. ...Senator, I'm one of the Senators that wanted the appoint-
23. ment, so it certainly wasn't me. I have...I have no idea. No
24. one has contacted me at all. I just heard his name not mentioned
25. and thought it was just one of those accidents.
26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
27. Senator Vadalabene.
28. SENATOR VADALABENE:
29. It was no accident. There's a request to...to have a hold
30. on this appointment. I think it can be worked out...as all of
31. them have been up till now and if you'll just be patient, I think
32. it will be worked out, but there is a request to have a hold. I
33. don't know the reason.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

2. Senator Shapiro.

3. SENATOR SHAPIRO:

4. Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I...
5. I agree with Senator Vadalabene up to a point, as far as holding
6. is concerned, but that's always done to the best of my knowledge
7. before the committee acts upon the appointment. This appointment
8. was acted upon by the committee and should have been placed before
9. us, so I'm wondering how a person's name could be withheld after
10. the committee acts upon the appointment.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

12. Senator Vadalabene.

13. SENATOR VADALABENE:

14. Well, Senator Shapiro, I think this is the first time this
15. has happened to me, where it got out of committee, however,
16. no nominee is approved until the entire Body acts on it and...you
17. know...there's a first time for everything. There's been a
18. request since we got out of committee and I've...and I've answered
19. the request, but it's...I don't know what it's all about.

20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

21. Senator Bruce.

22. SENATOR BRUCE:

23. Well, if I might speak, I was the one that originally brought
24. the question up of Mr. Bender's appointment. One of the House
25. members earlier have asked us to examine Mr. Bender's appointment
26. and today we are apprised that that is a Democratic seat and Mr.
27. Bender voted in the Republican primary and so the question arose
28. since he has come out of the committee, whether or not we might
29. have a chance to review. I spoke with Senator Rock. He instructed
30. me to ask Senator Vadalabene to hold this appointment. There are
31. several ways we can do it. One is just to hold it. The other is
32. to recommit Mr. Bender's appointment back to the committee now
33. that they have new information and review his voting record to see

1. whether he meets the Statutory requirement that he be a
2. Democrat. My...my suggestion is we hold Mr. Bender's appoint-
3. ment here, but it could be rereferred to committee and bring
4. Mr. Bender back and have him talk about his voting record.
5. The Statute is clear that he must be a Democrat and there
6. is some question about whether he is qualified to hold that
7. post.

8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
9. Senator Shapiro.

10. SENATOR SHAPRIO:
11. Senator Bruce, though wouldn't it have been much better
12. if the Clerk would have reported all of those advised and
13. consented to by the committee and then when it was put before
14. the Body have the person's name withdrawn.

15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
16. Senator Bruce.

17. SENATOR BRUCE:
18. I think that's an excellent suggestion and with leave
19. we can...we can read in the report and full and with agree-
20. ment with the Body we will hold...remove his name from the
21. roll call and hold it for a couple days. We...we don't have
22. to vote on that right now.

23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
24. Is there leave? Leave is granted. Senator Philip.

25. SENATOR PHILIP:
26. Would Senator Vadalabene answer a question?

27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
28. Senator Vadalabene. Indicates he will.

29. SENATOR PHILIP:
30. Yes, Senator Sam, I think that the Minority Spokesman of that

1. committee, Senator Ozinga, asked...you know...that we pull or
2. wait on about five or six of those appointments because our
3. staff informs us their...they have not filed their public
4. disclosure. Are...are we going to sit here today and...and
5. confirm them without them possibly filing their public disclosures?
6. Wouldn't we be in violation of the Statute?

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

8. Senator Bruce.

9. SENATOR BRUCE:

10. Well, it seems to me that it would be reasonable to just
11. hold those individuals that Senator Ozinga...on this same theory
12. that there is new information since they came out of committee
13. and hold them until a later day and I'm sure if Senator Ozinga
14. will give the names we can...we're going to hold Mr. Bender, I
15. understand, Jerome Bender, the appointment to the Board of
16. Regents and we can, if Senator Ozinga will make the motion, I'm
17. sure that it will be complied with to hold the other five gentlemen.

18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

19. Senator Ozinga.

20. SENATOR OZINGA:

21. Well, I don't like to be an obstructionist, but with the same
22. token, I will make the necessary motion that these be held until
23. such time and this is with all due respect to our...our Chairman
24. of that committee, he's been doing a wonderful job and I...I don't
25. want to see us break the rules the last minute and I think you
26. all agree. Now, these are the names that I would ask that be
27. withheld. First of all, in the Agriculture Report Advisory
28. Committee, you got A...I don't know...Bond A. Bard...Bard A.
29. Bond, I guess it is...Boand, Tommy K. Cronin...Crouch, Leslie M.
30. Scherer, Satura...Takemoto...Takemoto. Then on the Advisory
31. Board of the Livestock Commissioners, you got Clarence "Capp"
32. Smith. On the Employment Security Advisory Board, you've got
33. Michael Cline and Richard Walsh, not this Richard and on the

1. Board of Governor's of the State College and...and Universities,
2. you've got David Leon or Leon David. Illinois Housing Development,
3. you've got Eugene P. Moats. Also on the Illinois-Missouri Bridge
4. Commission, you've got Udell Pitzhold. On the Mt. Carmel
5. Regional Port...District, you've got Morris Buerkett and John
6. Neikirk. On the Advisory Board of the Department of Personnel,
7. you've got Roscoe Mitchell and C. Richard Numiller. On the
8. Board of Agricultural Advisors, you've got Gerald Carney and
9. Wayne Heverer. On the Industrial Development Authority, you've
10. got G. Alan Andreas and Frank Considine and Peter Gidwitz. On the
11. Board of Banks and Trust Companies, Walter D. Thackler and...John R.
12. Morris. On the Oil and Gas Advisory Board, you've got John T.
13. Hohmeier. Now, Mr. President, these are the ones that I would
14. consider, at least, owe it to our Chairman that he gets the
15. proper information and we on the Republican side would like to
16. see a copy of it and therefore, I would so move that these names
17. be held.

18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

19. You've...the...you've heard the motion. Those in favor
20. indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it.
21. Senator Vadalabene.

22. SENATOR VADALABENE:

23. Now, Senator Ozinga said four or five names and it seems
24. to me like, talking to our staff man here, that we do have the
25. papers on some of them he mentioned, however, we can come back
26. tomorrow and...and confirm them also, Senator Ozinga, and I want
27. to take full responsibility for this.

28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

29. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The
30. Ayes have it. The motion carries. Senator Ozinga...Senator
31. Ozinga, would you bring the list of names that you've read to the
32. Secretary. Senator Vadalabene, have you concluded? Senator
33. Maragos, is it dealing with this...

1. SENATOR MARAGOS:

2. Yes. Senator Vadalabene, there was the name of Leon Davis
3. brought forth at this time. What is the...what was the reason
4. his name was not approved, if you could tell me at this time?

5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

6. Senator Vadalabene.

7. SENATOR VADALABENE:

8. Yes, Senator Maragos, what I think we'll do is, we'll...
9. we'll confirm those of now who have not been put on the so-
10. called "hit list" and then tomorrow we can get the rest of them,
11. but let's get these out of the way and then we'll work on the
12. rest of them tomorrow because I know there's some of them already
13. have their ethics statement and their economic statement and
14. their resume in.

15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

16. Is there leave to place all of the names on one roll call
17. that have not been objected to? Leave is granted. All right.
18. The question...Senator Vadalabene.

19. SENATOR VADALABENE:

20. Yes, thank you, Mr. President. Will you put the question
21. as required by our rules?

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

23. All right. The question is, does the Senate advise and
24. consent to the nominations just made. Those in favor vote Aye.
25. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all those
26. voted who wish? Have all those voted who wish? Take the
27. record. On that question, the Ayes are 57, the Nays are none.
28. The majority of the Senators elected concurring by record vote,
29. the Senate does...advise and consent to the nominations just
30. made. Senator Vadalabene.

31. SENATOR VADALABENE:

32. Yes, thank you, Mr. President. I now move that the Senate
33. arise from Executive Session.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

2. Senate...Senator Vadalabene moves the Senate do now arise.

3. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes

4. have it. The Senate does now arise. Senator Chew, for what

5. purpose do you arise?

6. SENATOR CHEW:

7. A point of personal privilege, Mr. President.

8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

9. State your point, Sir.

10. SENATOR CHEW:

11. On Sunday, June 24th at the State House Inn, the nice ladies

12. of the Legislature are having a contest that's called Mr. Wonderful

13. and the only entry can be male legislators and you have a chance

14. to be judged the best Mr. Wonderful in the Legislature on your

15. legs or your eyes or your sexy ears and hairiest and many other

16. things, fatty midsection and a poor midsection and it starts at

17. seven o'clock at the State House Inn. Senator Geo-Karis is the

18. Chairwoman over here. Let's go out there and show our things.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

20. I'm sure you'll be entered, Senator. Senator Rock.

21. SENATOR ROCK:

22. Yes, thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of

23. the Senate. I will shortly put the motion to adjourn until

24. 9:00 a.m. tomorrow morning. I hope everyone will be on time.

25. We had planned to work roughly until about four o'clock, but,

26. of course, that will include the reading in and the voting on the

27. Agreed Bill List. I would suggest since we have all put in a long,

28. hard day that the...those who wish to be recorded No on any bills

29. that are on that list, if they could get that list to the Secretary

30. by noon tomorrow, I think we can...all right, rather than try and

31. do it tonight and then we'll attempt, at least, to finish up about

32. four tomorrow afternoon and come in Sunday at about one o'clock.

33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

1. Announcements. Senator Geo-Karis.

2. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

3. Would...first of all, would...Mr. President, I'd like to
4. have Senator Demuzio and Senator Mitchler and Senator Knuppel,
5. if he's here, to meet with me right after we finish on that
6. subcommittee from...on House Bill 114 and on the second point
7. of personal privilege, I'd like to tell this Body that Senator
8. Chew is one of the judges for the poolside party and the tickets
9. are twenty dollars and includes food and libations at the
10. poolside of the State House Inn Sunday night at 7:00 p.m.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

12. Senator Buzbee.

13. SENATOR BUZBEE:

14. Thank you, Mr. President. The Appropriations II Committee
15. will be meeting promptly at 6:00 p.m. this evening. We should
16. be finished in an hour or less according to how much you all
17. want to talk and how much you want to agree with what we're
18. getting and all the good work we're doing.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

20. If there's no further business, Senator Rock moves that the
21. Senate stand adjourned until nine o'clock...Saturday morning,
22. June 23rd. Just...Senator Geo-Karis.

23. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

24. Senator Donnewald, another point of personal privilege. The
25. members of this Senate, we hope to remind you as...Monday night
26. at the Mansion is Greek night at 7:00 p.m. and we are looking
27. forward to seeing all of you join us, eating Greek food, dancing
28. Greek music and there will be dancing girls there, but they will
29. be clothed.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

31. Senator Rock moves...Senator Graham.

32. SENATOR GRAHAM:

33. On the lighter side...on the lighter side, 8:30 in the morning

1. you bright and cheery Republicans in Shapiro's office for a
2. caucus.

3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

4. The Senate stands adjourned till nine o'clock tomorrow
5. morning.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.