

81ST GENERAL ASSEMBLY

REGULAR SESSION

MAY 7, 1980

1. PRESIDENT:

2. The hour of nine having arrived the Senate will please  
3. come to order. Will our guests in the gallery please rise.  
4. Our prayer this morning by the Reverend Rudolph Shoultz, Union  
5. Baptist Church, Springfield, Illinois. Reverend.

6. REVEREND RUDOLPH SHOULTZ:

7. ( Prayer given by Reverend Shoultz )

8. PRESIDENT:

9. Thank you, Reverend. Reading of the Journal. Senator Johns.  
10. SENATOR JOHNS:

11. Thank you, Mr. President. I move that reading and approval  
12. of the Journals of Thursday, May the 1st, and Tuesday, May the  
13. 6th, in the year 1980 be postponed pending arrival of the printed  
14. Journal.

15. PRESIDENT:

16. You've heard the motion as put by Senator Johns. Is there  
17. any discussion? If not all in favor signify by saying Aye. All  
18. opposed. The Ayes have it. So ordered. Messages from the House.

19. SECRETARY:

20. A Message from the House by Mr. O'Brien, Clerk.

21. Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate the  
22. House of Representatives has passed bills with the following titles  
23. in the passage of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the  
24. Senate, to-wit: House Bills 426, 966, 1528, 2227, 2342, 2990,  
25. 3070, 3074, 3435, and 3485.

26. PRESIDENT:

27. Resolutions.

28. SECRETARY:

29. Senate Resolution 479, offered by Senators Savickas, Rock,  
30. Daley, Lemke, and Carroll, it's congratulatory.

31. PRESIDENT:

32. Consent Calendar. All right, with leave of the Body we'll  
33. move to page fourteen on the Calendar, on the Order of House Bills

1. 1st reading.

2. SECRETARY:

3. House Bill 2903, Senator Davidson is the Senate sponsor.

4. ( Secretary reads title of bill )

5. 1st reading of the bill.

6. House Bill 2905, Senator Davidson is the Senate sponsor.

7. ( Secretary reads title of bill )

8. 1st reading of the bill.

9. House Bill 2096, by the same sponsor.

10. ( Secretary reads title of bill )

11. 1st reading of the bill.

12. House Bill 3109, Senators Vadalabene and Mitchler are the  
Senate sponsors.

13. ( Secretary reads title of bill )

14. 1st reading of the bill.

15. House Bill 3220, Senator Vadalabene is the Senate sponsor.

16. ( Secretary reads title of bill )

17. 1st reading of the bill.

18. House Bill 2104, Senator Bruce is the Senate sponsor.

19. ( Secretary reads title of bill )

20. 1st reading of the bill.

21. PRESIDENT:

22. Yes, those House Bills will be referred, as are all, to  
23. the Rules Committee.

24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

25. If I might have the attention of the members. We're going  
26. to begin 2nd reading in just a few minutes. If you have any amend-  
27. ments to any of the bills that are Floor amendments, now would  
28. be an appropriate time to bring it down so the Secretary can  
29. have them...in order, that we can move along. Any amendments that  
30. you have, Floor amendments, please bring them to the Secretary.  
31. Is there leave to go to the Order of Senate Bills 2nd reading?  
32. Leave is granted. Senate Bills 2nd reading. Senate Bill 569,  
33.

1. Senator Berman. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

2. SECRETARY:

3. Senate Bill 569.

4. ( Secretary reads title of bill )

5. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Elementary and Secondary  
6. Education offers one amendment.

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

8. Senator Berman.

9. SENATOR BERMAN:

10. Thank you, Mr. President. The amendment to Senate Bill 569  
11. creates the new bill. The new bill provides for current funding  
12. of special education according to the mandate that we have im-  
13. posed upon local school districts. It further provides, in this  
14. amendment for three year pay-out of the 1979-80 claim. It's a  
15. committee amendment, I move the adoption of Committee Amendment  
16. No. 1.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

18. The motion is to adopt Committee Amendment No. 1. Is  
19. there discussion? All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The  
20. Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

21. SECRETARY:

22. No further committee amendments.

23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

24. Are there amendments from the Floor?

25. SECRETARY:

26. No Floor amendments.

27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

28. 3rd reading. Senate Bill 615, Senator Maragos. Senate Bill  
29. 934, Senator Egan. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

30. SECRETARY:

31. Senate Bill 934.

32. ( Secretary reads title of bill )

33. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
2. Are there amendments from the Floor?  
3. SECRETARY:  
4. No Floor amendments.  
5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
6. Senator Egan.  
7. SENATOR EGAN:  
8. Yes, Mr. President. There is a Floor amendment that we  
9. inadvertently did not adopt in committee and I would like to get  
10. to it. Now apparently the...my...my man has not brought that  
11. in, but can we pass it, and come back, please? I'm sorry.  
12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
13. Is there leave to take it...leave to take it out of the record?  
14. Leave is granted. Senate Bill 15...1454, Senator Joyce, Jeremiah.  
15. Senate Bill 1473, Senator Martin. Senate Bill 1483, Senator Mitchler.  
16. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.  
17. SECRETARY:  
18. Senate Bill 1483.  
19. ( Secretary reads title of bill )  
20. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.  
21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
22. Are there amendments from the Floor?  
23. SECRETARY:  
24. Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Mitchler.  
25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
26. Senator Mitchler to explain Amendment No. 1.  
27. SENATOR MITCHLER:  
28. Mr. President, and members of the Senate. Floor Amendment  
29. No. 1 to Senate Bill 1483 provides the bill with an effective date,  
30. which is immediately upon becoming law. I move for adoption of  
31. Floor Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1483.  
32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
33. The motion is to adopt. Is there discussion? All in favor

1. say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is  
2. adopted. Further Floor amendments?

3. SECRETARY:

4. No further amendments.

5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

6. 3rd reading. Senate Bill 1486, Senator Daley. Senate Bill  
7. 1497, Senator Berning. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

8. SECRETARY:

9. Senate Bill 1497, there was a request for a fiscal note  
10. which has been answered.

11. ( Secretary reads title of bill )

12. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Revenue offers one  
13. amendment.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

15. Senator Berning to explain Amendment No. 1.

16. SENATOR BERNING:

17. Thank you, Mr. President. First let me explain that I held  
18. this bill yesterday on 2nd reading at the request of staff, because  
19. I understand Senator Maragos may have an amendment. So, I want  
20. it to be understood that I will recall the bill from 3rd to  
21. 2nd when Senator Maragos is here.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

23. Well, Senator Berning, would it be all right just to leave  
24. it on 2nd reading today? We're...we're going to try to avoid  
25. for the...for the Secretary's problems, not recalling a tremendous  
26. amount of bills. If you know there's going to be an amendment,  
27. is there any problem with holding it today?

28. SENATOR BERNING:

29. No...no, all right, we'll hold it. Take it out of the record,  
30. and we'll hold it until tomorrow.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

32. Take it out of the record. Senate Bill 1500, Senator Jerome  
33. Joyce. Senate Bill 1505, Senator Maitland. Senate Bill 1509,

1. Senator Chew. Senate Bill 1510, Senator Schaffer. Read...read  
2. the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.  
3. SECRETARY:  
4. Senate Bill 1510.  
5. ( Secretary reads title of bill )  
6. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.  
7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
8. Are there amendments from the Floor?  
9. SECRETARY:  
10. Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Schaffer.  
11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
12. Senator Schaffer to explain Amendment No. 1.  
13. SENATOR SCHAFFER:  
14. Amendment No. 1 is one I mentioned as a possibility in  
15. committee, it simply lowers the population requirement from twenty  
16. to fifteen thousand. I've cleared this with Senator Lemke, Senator  
17. Rhoads, and the State Board of Elections.  
18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
19. The motion is to adopt. Discussion? All in favor say Aye.  
20. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted.  
21. Further Floor amendments?  
22. SECRETARY:  
23. No further amendments.  
24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
25. 3rd reading. Senate Bill 1518, Senator Coffey. Senate Bill  
26. 1529, Senator Donnewald. Senate Bill 153...Senate Bill 1559,  
27. Senator Rhoads. Senator...Senate Bill 1569, Senator Hall. Senator  
28. Hall on the Floor? Senate Bill 1571, Senator Buzbee. Senate Bill  
29. 1572, Senator Weaver. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.  
30. SECRETARY:  
31. Senate Bill 1572.  
32. ( Secretary reads title of bill )  
33. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II...

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

2. There's been a request, Senator Weaver, that the bill be  
3. held. Is there leave to take it out of the record? In the basis  
4. of fairness we'll take it out of the record. Senate Bill 1617,  
5. Senator Bloom. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary. Senator...

6. SECRETARY:

7. Senate Bill 1617.

8. ( Secretary reads title of bill )

9. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers  
10. two amendments.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

12. Who will explain the committee amendments? For what purpose  
13. does Senator Wooten arise? Senator Wooten.

14. SENATOR WOOTEN:

15. Well, as inevitably as most all of us, a birthday has  
16. fallen to Senator Vince Demuzio, Mr. President...

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

18. May we have the attention of the members.

19. SENATOR WOOTEN:

20. ...and I would simply like to call the attention of the Body  
21. to the fact that Senator Demuzio alleges that he is thirty-nine  
22. years old today. Please remember this, because I'm sure he'll be  
23. claiming that age for many years to come. There is a birthday  
24. cake in Vince's office down on the first floor. So, however you  
25. want to wish him, well or ill, on his thirty-nine years, I'm sure  
26. you'd enjoy a piece of cake. We invite you to come on down...

27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

28. Congratulations.

29. SENATOR WOOTEN:

30. ...and I extend my congratulations to Senator Demuzio on his  
31. birthday.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

33. Senator Carroll you ready on Amendment No. 1?

1. SENATOR CARROLL:

2. I'm always ready. Thank you, Mr. President. The purpose  
3. of Amendment No. 1 is to change the source of funds to the  
4. Dram Shop Fund, and I would move adoption of Amendment No. 1.  
5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

6. The motion is to adopt. Discussion? All in favor say Aye.  
7. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted.  
8. Further Floor amendments?

9. SECRETARY:

10. No, it's Committee Amendment No. 2.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

12. Senator Carroll.

13. SENATOR CARROLL:

14. Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
15. Senate. Amendment No. 2 is a reduction amendment, it is the  
16. eight percent solution, and the adjustments in their postage  
17. et cetera, printing and the other accounts to keep them in line  
18. with what Administrative Services has advised us is the State-wide  
19. average for growth. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 2.  
20. It's a reduction of approximately twenty-five thousand dollars.

21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

22. You've heard the motion. Discussion? All in favor say Aye.  
23. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further  
24. committee amendments?

25. SECRETARY:

26. No further committee amendments.

27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

28. Are there amendments from the Floor?

29. SECRETARY:

30. No Floor amendments.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

32. 3rd reading. Senate Bill 1619. Senator Davidson. Senate  
33. Bill 1621, Senator DeAngelis. Appropriation, Department of



1. Insurance. Senate Bill 1624, Senator Nimrod. Expenses of the  
2. Pollution Control Board. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.  
3. SECRETARY:  
4. Senate Bill 1624.  
5. ( Secretary reads title of bill )  
6. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers  
7. one amendment.  
8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
9. Senator Carroll to explain Amendment No. 1.  
10. SENATOR CARROLL:  
11. Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
12. Senate. This is a reduction of about seventy-four thousand dollars,  
13. ten thousand of which is the eight percent solution, and the  
14. elimination of two new positions in an attempt to hold down the  
15. growth of government. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 1.  
16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
17. The motion is to adopt. Is there discussion? All in favor  
18. say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adpted.  
19. Further committee amendments?  
20. SECRETARY:  
21. No further committee amendments.  
22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
23. Are there amendments from the Floor?  
24. SECRETARY:  
25. No Floor amendments.  
26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
27. 3rd reading. Senate Bill 1666, Senator Shapiro. Senate Bill  
28. 1677, Senator Berman. Delinquent Property Taxes. Senate Bill 1678,  
29. Senator Gitz. Senator Gitz. Senate Bill 1694, Senator Hall.  
30. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.  
31. SECRETARY:  
32. Senate Bill 1694.  
33. ( Secretary reads title of bill )

1. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

3. Are there committee amendments?

4. SECRETARY:

5. No committee amendments.

6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

7. Are there amendments from the Floor?

8. SECRETARY:

9. Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Hall.

10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

11. Senator Hall on Amendment No. 1.

12. SENATOR HALL:

13. Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the

14. Senate. Amendment No. 1 simply clarifies the new language of the

15. original bill. There's no substantive changes from the original

16. intent of the bill that is being proposed.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

18. The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 1. Discussion? All

19. in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1

20. is adopted. Further Floor amendments?

21. SECRETARY:

22. No further amendments.

23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

24. 3rd reading. Senate Bill 1650, Senator Nimrod. Read the

25. bill, Mr. Secretary.

26. SECRETARY:

27. Senate Bill 1650.

28. ( Secretary reads title of bill )

29. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

31. Are there amendments from the Floor?

32. SECRETARY:

33. No Floor amendments. Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Bruce.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

2. Senator Carroll to explain Amendment No. 1.

3. SENATOR CARROLL:

4. As Senator Bruce has pointed out to me, this is a supplemental  
5. for increased actuarial costs in compliance with renewal proposals,  
6. for group insurance for the State Employees Group Insurance Advisory  
7. Council. It's sixteen thousand five hundred. I would move  
8. adoption of Amendment No. 1.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

10. The motion is to adopt. Discussion? All in favor say Aye.  
11. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted.  
12. Further Floor amendments?

13. SECRETARY:

14. Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Vadalabene.

15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

16. Senator Carroll to explain Amendment No. 2.

17. SENATOR CARROLL:

18. Thank you, Mr. President. On behalf of Senator Vadalabene  
19. this is a transfer of thirty-nine hundred dollars from salaries  
20. for associate judges in the Circuit to the clerks in the Appellate  
21. in the second, third, fourth, and fifth districts. Their salaries  
22. were raised up to the same as the clerk in the first, and this  
23. has caused a deficit in that line. This is a transfer bill, to  
24. allow for payment of those salaries, and I would move adoption  
25. of Amendment No. 2.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

27. The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 2. Is there discussion?  
28. All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment  
29. No. 2 is adopted. Are there further Floor amendments?

30. SECRETARY:

31. No further amendments.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

33. 3rd reading. Senate Bill 1709, Senator Coffey. Senate Bill

1. 1710. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.  
2. SECRETARY:  
3. Senate Bill 1710.  
4. ( Secretary reads title of bill )  
5. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Transportation offers  
6. one amendment.  
7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
8. Senator Coffey to explain Amendment No. 1.  
9. SENATOR COFFEY:  
10. Yes, Mr. President and members of the Senate.  
11. Amendment No. 1 just establishes effective date.  
12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
13. The motion is to adopt. Discussion? All in favor say  
14. Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is  
15. adopted. Further Floor...further committee amendments?  
16. SECRETARY:  
17. No further committee amendments.  
18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
19. Are there amendments from the Floor?  
20. SECRETARY:  
21. No Floor amendments.  
22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
23. 3rd reading. Senate Bill...Senate Bill 1741, Senator Rock.  
24. Publication and Probate Act. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary,  
25. please.  
26. SECRETARY:  
27. Senate Bill 1741.  
28. (Secretary reads title of bill)  
29. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Judiciary I offers  
30. one amendment.  
31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
32. Senator Rock to explain Amendment No. 1.  
33. SENATOR ROCK:

1. Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of  
2. the Senate. This is an amendment to the Probate Act, and the  
3. committee rightly felt an amendment was necessary and what  
4. it does effectively is remove the reference to attorneys and  
5. places the burden of notice and sending notice on the petitioner's  
6. representatives, and so forth. Senators Ozinga, and Geo-Karis,  
7. and others in the committee felt that this was worthwhile, and I agreed  
8. and I'd move the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

10. The motion is to adopt. Discussion? All in favor say Aye.  
11. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further  
12. committee amendments?

13. SECRETARY:

14. No further committee amendments.

15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

16. Are there amendments from the Floor?

17. SECRETARY:

18. No Floor amendments.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

20. 3rd reading. 1750; Senator Coffey. Overwidth vehicles.  
21. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

22. SECRETARY:

23. Senate Bill 1750.

24. ( Secretary reads title of bill )

25. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Transportation offers  
26. one amendment.

27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

28. Senator Coffey to explain Amendment No. 1.

29. SENATOR COFFEY:

30. Yes, Mr. President, and members of the Senate. Amendment  
31. No. 1 becomes the bill, and would be glad to answer any questions  
32. you might have on it.

33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

1. Discussion of the amendment? The motion is to adopt. Discussion?  
2. All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment  
3. No. 1 is adopted.. Further committee amendments?  
4. SECRETARY:  
5. No further committee amendments.  
6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
7. Are there amendments from the Floor?  
8. SECRETARY:  
9. No Floor amendments.  
10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
11. 3rd reading. Senate Bill 1752, Senator Regner. Read the  
12. bill, Mr. Secretary.  
13. SECRETARY:  
14. Senate Bill 1752.  
15. ( Secretary reads title of bill )  
16. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.  
17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
18. Are there amendments from the Floor?  
19. SECRETARY:  
20. No Floor amendments.  
21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
22. 3rd reading. Senate Bill 1759, Senator...  
23. SECRETARY:  
24. Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Regner.  
25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
26. The Chair inadvertently advanced the bill, there was an  
27. amendment down here. Senator Regner to explain Amendment No. 1.  
28. SENATOR REGNER:  
29. Mr. President, and members. This is an amendment that was  
30. agreed upon in committee. There were several problems raised with the  
31. bill. One by Senator Becker, the gist of the bill is to allow  
32. municipalities to exclude part-time or seasonal employees from  
33. participation...IMRF. I had eleven hundred and forty-four hours

1. in it. It was suggested by Senator Becker that it be a thousand  
2. hours, because that's a business standard. The amendment has  
3. that in it, and then a representative from the IMRF had two  
4. questions, one is it had an immediate effective date, this puts...  
5. this puts an effective date of January 1st, 1981, to allow  
6. municipalities and the IMRF six months to get ready for it, and  
7. it also, the third part of the amendment it will exclude school  
8. districts from the bill. It was introduced originally for  
9. municipalities and not school districts, so the amendment clears  
10. up the three problems that were raised in committee and I'd move  
11. for its adoption.

12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

13. The motion is to adopt. Discussion? All in favor say Aye.  
14. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted.  
15. Further Floor amendments?

16. SECRETARY:

17. No further amendments.

18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

19. 3rd reading. Senate Bill 1759, Senator Jeremiah Joyce. Senate  
20. Bill 1774, Senator Savickas. Senate Bill 17...Senate Bill 1800,  
21. Senator Buzbee. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

22. SECRETARY:

23. Senate Bill 1800, there was a request for a fiscal note, which  
24. has been answered.

25. ( Secretary reads title of bill )

26. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

28. Are there amendments from the Floor?

29. SECRETARY:

30. No Floor amendments.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

32. 3rd reading. Senate Bill 180...for what purpose does  
33. Senator Mitchler arise? May we have some order, please, Gentlemen  
and Ladies.

1. SENATOR MITCHLER:  
2. Just...just a question. I was wondering if a request  
3. had been filed for a fiscal note on that?  
4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
5. It has been filed and complied with.  
6. SENATOR MITCHLER:  
7. I didn't...I think I filed it, but I didn't get it. So, that's  
8. why I'm wondering, where was it filed?  
9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
10. Well, Gentlemen, we've gone through this. One of the things,  
11. you file them with the Secretary, and you file your answer with  
12. the Secretary. If everyone would take the courtesies to also file  
13. a copy with the sponsor of the bill, and I suppose when the answer  
14. comes in, to file the answer with the person who filed it, but  
15. we have never developed a policy on that, and it is...it is only  
16. a matter of...of relationship in the Body, but it has been complied  
17. with properly and legally by filing a fiscal note with the Secretary.  
18. For what purpose does Senator Buzbee arise?  
19. SENATOR BUZBEE:  
20. I just wanted to respond, that I filed my answer the same  
21. place that I got the request, and that was with the Secretary.  
22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
23. For what purpose does Senator Mitchler arise?  
24. SENATOR MITCHLER:  
25. Yes, well, when a Senator files a request for that, and then  
26. you say yes it's been filed, and you advance it to 3rd reading, and  
27. the people...the person...the people that filed the request don't  
28. know anything about it, I think that's wrong. I think if you're  
29. just going to keep it on the Secretary's Desk, read what the...what  
30. the fiscal note says, so that we in this Body know. We don't file  
31. those just to be filing them. We want to know, it was raised  
32. in...in committee, it was filed for a reason. But just say it's  
33. been filed and then advance it, that doesn't answer the question of



1. the person filing it. Now, if it's going to be the policy that  
2. I have to run down there when the bill is called to find out what  
3. the fiscal note is, then let's set that policy, but it's been  
4. the courtesy to send it to...a copy... either the Secretary  
5. sends it or somebody sends it to those who file the request.  
6. Now, that's the way it's been handled around here for many years. If  
7. YOU want to adopt something else or you want to keep it a secret,  
8. fine, go ahead.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

10. Well, Senator, the Chair must respond to that last statement.  
11. It is not a secret, it is a public document, before the Secretary  
12. of the Senate it was filed properly and legally, it was here  
13. and available. It has been standard policy that the Secretary  
14. do not read those. In fact, it's been the policy he does not  
15. make copies available unless requested. You may request...

16. SENATOR MITCHLER:

17. May I have a copy of that now, so I know before you advance  
18. it? If it meets the needs...I don't know where the fiscal note  
19. came from. Whether it came from...

20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

21. Senator, the copy is here, and has been here, it was filed  
22. properly. If Senator Buzbee wished us to hold the bill, while you  
23. have time to examine it...Senator indicates he does not, it has  
24. been complied with and you may read it at your leisure. Gentlemen,  
25. I...I have warned you, or we've talked about the fact that this procedure  
26. is not in the rules of this Senate, and until we adopt the rules  
27. we're going to have this same difficulty from time to time. The  
28. sponsors are not notified when they're filed, and the...the filing  
29. is with the Secretary, Senator Buzbee complied with it, and the  
30. Chair is at loss as to exactly how to do what...other than what  
31. is legally the requirement. For what purpose does Senator Walsh  
32. arise?

33. SENATOR WALSH:

1. Mr. President, it's my understanding at least from this  
2. side, where a request is made of a Republican sponsor for a  
3. fiscal note that the party who requests the note is given a copy  
4. of the note. So, that that party would have an opportunity to  
5. object if he felt it was inadequate. I don't...if the party  
6. requesting the fiscal note has...has not seen the note...you  
7. know, and it may be inadequate, he doesn't have an opportunity  
8. to do anything about it until the bill is passed to 3rd reading.  
9. It would seem to me that he should at least have a copy of the  
10. fiscal note before the bill is advanced.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

12. Now, Senator, he would have that opportunity when the bill  
13. is on 2nd reading to inquire of the Secretary the...the content  
14. of the note, and also when it is on 2nd reading to inquire of the  
15. sponsor. That was not done in this instance. The bill was called,  
16. read a 2nd time, no Senator rose to question either the fiscal  
17. note or amendments on the bill. The bill was advanced. Everyone  
18. in this Body had a chance at that point, on 2nd reading to challenge  
19. or question the adequacy or inadequacy of the fiscal note,  
20. and it was not done. For what purpose does Senator Walsh arise?

21. SENATOR WALSH:

22. Well, I'm sure it's something that can be worked out. I  
23. happen to have requested a fiscal note, and I...on a bill, and  
24. the Department of Revenue supplied the sponsor with a copy and  
25. with me with a copy. So, in this particular instance, in my  
26. case anyway there's no problem. It would seem to me that in the  
27. future what we should do, is supply the person who requests the  
28. fiscal note with a copy of it, so that he has an opportunity  
29. to...to object or whatever when the bill is called on 2nd reading.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

31. Senator Rock.

32. SENATOR ROCK:

33. Yes, thank you, Mr. President. I think that suggestion is,

1. frankly, in order, but I would point out, and I will point out  
2. that when one makes a request for a fiscal note, it is also  
3. equally, if not more courteous to afford the sponsor an opportunity  
4. to know that a request for a fiscal note has been filed. Now,  
5. that was not done in this case.

6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

7. Senator...Senator Buzbee.

8. SENATOR BUZBEE:

9. Thank you, Mr. President. I...I concur with what Senator  
10. Rock just said, and I also concur with what Senator Walsh just  
11. said. If he would give me the courtesy of listening since he  
12. has questioned my motives, and I think you're absolutely right  
13. Senator, it's a perfectly reasonable request that you make, and  
14. normally I'm a perfectly reasonable individual, but this time I  
15. took exception. I decided not to be reasonable this time Senator,  
16. because the Senator who made the request did not extend me the  
17. courtesy of indicating that he was requesting such a fiscal note.  
18. So, I responded in kind, and I filed the fiscal note in complete  
19. compliance with the law of the State of Illinois, and with the  
20. rules of the Illinois Senate. So, that's why I did not give  
21. a copy of the fiscal note to Senator Mitchler.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

23. Senate Bill...Senate Bill...Senate Bill 1808, the fiscal note  
24. was requested and complied with. For what purpose does Senator  
25. Schaffer arise?

26. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

27. Well, I was the one that requested the physical note and...  
28. fiscal note, and I did notify Senator Rock, and he very courteously  
29. did get me a copy of this fiscal note before the bill came up, and  
30. I believe the fiscal note is in order, and this bill does as...as  
31. it presented to the Senate, does cost two hundred and eighty-nine  
32. million dollars of additional State funds. The one item which is not  
33. on the fiscal note which is not required, of course, is exactly

1. how Senator Rock got Senator Regner to co-sponsor the bill,  
2. and I still don't understand that.  
3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
4. Senator Rock, do you wish the bill read? Read the bill,  
5. Mr. Secretary.  
6. SECRETARY:  
7. Senate Bill 1808.  
8. ( Secretary reads title of bill )  
9. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.  
10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
11. Are there amendments from the Floor?  
12. SECRETARY:  
13. No...no Floor amendments.  
14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
15. 3rd reading. Senate Bill...Senate Bill 1814, Senator Rupp.  
16. Senate Bill 1817, Senator Gitz. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.  
17. SECRETARY:  
18. Senate Bill 1817.  
19. ( Secretary reads title of bill )  
20. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.  
21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
22. Are there amendments from the Floor?  
23. SECRETARY:  
24. No Floor amendments.  
25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
26. 3rd reading. Senate Bill 1820, Senator Bloom. Read the bill,  
27. Mr. Secretary.  
28. SECRETARY:  
29. Senate Bill 1820.  
30. ( Secretary reads title of bill )  
31. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.  
32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
33. Are there amendments from the Floor?

1. SECRETARY:

2. Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Bloom.

3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

4. Senator Bloom, to explain Amendment No. 1.

5. SENATOR BLOOM:

6. Thank you, Mr. President. The Department of Labor was  
7. involved in drafting this amendment and supports it. It clarifies  
8. the Child Labor Law by making the following changes. It clarifies  
9. the exemption for Summer CETA programs, clarifies the exemption  
10. for work experience career education programs, and deletes the  
11. new provision requiring hearings to be conducted in accordance  
12. with the Administrative Procedure Act, since this is already  
13. provided for in a different section of the law.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

15. The motion is to adopt. Discussion? All in favor say  
16. Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted.  
17. Further amendments?

18. SECRETARY:

19. No further amendments.

20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

21. 3rd reading. Senate Bill 1827, Senator Egan. Senate Bill  
22. 1828. 1831, Senator Maragos. Senate Bill 1834, Senator Berman.  
23. Senate Bill 18...Senate Bill 1902, Senator D'Arco. Uninsured  
24. motorists. Senate Bill 1933, Senator Bloom. For what purpose does  
25. Senator Bloom...Senate Bill 1935, Senator Nimrod. It has a  
26. fiscal note, and it has not been filed. Okay. An answer has not  
27. be filed. 1947, Senator Nash. Senator Berman, you were off the  
28. Floor on 1834. All right. Senate Bill 1948, Senator Nash. 49.  
29. 1950, Senator Savickas. 56. Okay. Senate Bill 1990, Senator  
30. Rupp. Senate Bill 19...Senate Bill 2000, Senator Schaffer. Read  
31. the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

32. SECRETARY:

33. Senate Bill 2000.

1. ( Secretary reads title of bill )  
2. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.  
3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
4. Are there amendments from the Floor?  
5. SECRETARY:  
6. No Floor amendments.  
7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
8. 3rd reading. For what purpose does Senator Schaffer arise?  
9. SENATOR SCHAFFER:  
10. I filed one.  
11. SECRETARY:  
12. I have an amendment on 20...filed from you one 2001.  
13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
14. That was 2000, Senator Schaffer on Public Aid time limitations.  
15. SENATOR SCHAFFER:  
16. Well, I don't sponsor 2000, so.  
17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
18. Senator Schaffer, was there an amendment on 2000? 3rd reading.  
19. Senate Bill 2001. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.  
20. SECRETARY:  
21. Senate Bill 2001.  
22. ( Secretary reads title of bill )  
23. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Insurance and Licensed  
24. Activity offers one amendment.  
25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
26. Senator Schaffer to explain Committee Amendment No. 1.  
27. SENATOR SCHAFFER:  
28. Mr. President, I believe there's also a Floor amendment filed.  
29. The committee amendment is defective and the Floor amendment would  
30. repair that damage.  
31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
32. The motion is to Table Committee Amendment No. 1. Discussion?  
33. All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment

1. No. 1 is Tabled. Further committee amendments?

2. SECRETARY:

3. No further committee amendments.

4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

5. Are there amendments from the Floor?

6. SECRETARY:

7. Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Schaffer.

8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

9. Senator Schaffer.

10. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

11. Amendment No. 2 is...does the same thing as the committee  
12. amendment, only it's drafted properly, and provides for a five  
13. hundred thousand dollar single occurrence...State, I guess, indem-  
14. nification of medical personal by a licensed physician employee.

15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

16. The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 2. Discussion? All  
17. in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No.  
18. 2 is adopted. Further Floor amendments?

19. SECRETARY:

20. No further amendments.

21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

22. 3rd reading. Senate Bill 20...2022, Senator Washington. Senate  
23. Bill 2024, Senator Washington. Is there leave to go to the Order  
24. of Senate Bills 3rd reading, for the purpose of recalling some  
25. bills that need amendments? Any Senator that has a bill on 3rd  
26. reading that needs to have it recalled for an amendment, now would  
27. be the appropriate time to bring the amendment down to the Secretary's  
28. Desk. Three bills have been requested to be recalled. Senator  
29. Rupp, on 1707. The motion is to recall Senate Bill 1707, the  
30. Order of 2nd reading for purpose of an amendment. Is there leave?  
31. Leave is granted. This bill is on the Order of 2nd reading. Are  
32. there amendments, Mr. Secretary?

33. SECRETARY:

1. Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Rupp.  
2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
3. Senator Rupp, to explain Amendment No. 2.  
4. SENATOR RUPP:  
5. Thank you, Mr. President. When the bill was drafted it  
6. was drafted on a base that did not contain the changes that were  
7. made by Public Act 81-1050, and this was called to our attention  
8. and the attached amendment just updates that bill to include the  
9. correct text. I ask for..it be adopted. Thank you.  
10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
11. The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 2. Discussion? All  
12. in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No.  
13. 2 is adopted. Further committee amendment? Further amendments?  
14. SECRETARY:  
15. No further amendments..  
16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
17. 3rd reading. Senate Bill 1822, Senator Bloom. Is there leave  
18. to go to the Order of Senate Bills 3rd reading? Leave is granted.  
19. Senate Bills 3rd reading. Senate Bill 1442, Senator Regner. State  
20. Scholarship Commission transfer. Senate Bill 1451...for what  
21. purpose does Senator Regner arise?  
22. SENATOR REGNER:  
23. Mr. President, I'd like to have leave to Table Senate Bill  
24. 1442.  
25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
26. The motion is to Table. Is there discussion? All in favor  
27. say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. 1442 is Tabled. Senate  
28. Bill 1451, Senator Moore. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.  
29. SECRETARY:  
30. Senate Bill 1451.  
31. ( Secretary reads title of bill )  
32. 3rd reading of the bill.  
33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)



1. Senator Moore.

2. SENATOR MOORE:

3. Thank you, Mr. President, and members of the Senate. Senate  
4. Bill 1451 increases the legislative membership of the Legislative  
5. Advisory Committee on Public Aid by four, one Republican, one  
6. Democrat in the Senate, one Republican, one Democrat in the House  
7. appointed by the respective leadership. It also, by amendment  
8. yesterday, increases by four the appointments by the leadership in  
9. both the House and Senate by one member each to the Land Resources  
10. Management Study Commission. I know of no objection to the bill  
11. and would request a favorable roll call.

12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

13. Is there discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill  
14. 1451 pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay.  
15. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted  
16. who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that  
17. question, the Ayes are 48, the Nays are 6. None Voting Present.  
18. Senate Bill 1451 having received the required constitutional  
19. majority is declared passed. Senate Bill 1476, Senator Maitland.  
20. Senate Bill 1479, Senator Bloom. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

21. SECRETARY:

22. Senate Bill 1479.

23. ( Secretary reads title of bill )

24. 3rd reading of the bill.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

26. Senator Bloom.

27. SENATOR BLOOM:

28. Thank you, Mr. President, and fellow Senators. This bill  
29. has been before the General Assembly...it passed overwhelmingly  
30. in the Senate. It was vetoed. We overrode. It fell a few votes  
31. short in the House on an override due to timing. Basically, it  
32. does as the Synopsis says. I'd be glad to answer any questions,  
33. and urge a favorable roll call.

SB 1480  
3rd Reading  
5/7/80

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

2. Is there discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill  
3. 1479 pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay.  
4. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted  
5. who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that  
6. question, the Ayes are 54, the Nays are none. None Voting Present.  
7. Senate Bill 1479 having received the constitutional majority  
8. is declared passed. Senate Bill 1480, Senator...Senator De Angelis.  
9. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

10. SECRETARY:

11. Senate Bill 1480.  
12. ( Secretary reads title of bill )  
13. 3rd reading of the bill.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

15. Senator De Angelis.

16. SENATOR DE ANGELIS:

17. Thank you, Mr. President. Senate Bill 1480 permits school  
18. districts to have an option that they currently do not have, and  
19. that option is to sell property to adjacent school districts by  
20. mutual agreement of both Boards of Education. I urge a favorable  
21. roll call.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

23. Is there discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill 1480  
24. pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The  
25. voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who  
26. wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 55, the  
27. Nays are none. None Voting Present. Senate Bill 1480 having  
28. received the required constitutional majority is declared passed.  
29. Senate Bill 1506, Senator Moore. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

30. SECRETARY:

31. Senate Bill 1506.  
32. ( Secretary reads title of bill )  
33. 3rd reading of the bill.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

2. Senator Moore.

3. SENATOR MOORE:

4. Thank you, Mr. President, and members of the Senate. Senate  
5. Bill 1506 enlarges the boundaries of the Metropolitan Sanitary  
6. District of Greater Chicago in my district and in parcel...two  
7. parcels of land in Senator Friedland's district. I know of  
8. no objection to the bill, I would appreciate a favorable roll  
9. call.

10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

11. Is there discussion? Senator Rock.

12. SENATOR ROCK:

13. Yes, I wonder if the Senator would be kind enough to take  
14. this out of the record and hold it. I understand there may be  
15. a problem with the legal...can we hold for a day or so?

16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

17. Senator Moore.

18. SENATOR MOORE:

19. Senator Rock, it was my understanding that there might be,  
20. and what I suggested is that we move this bill out of here to  
21. the House and have it amended over there. Take it from the record,  
22. Mr. President.

23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

24. Is there leave? Leave is granted. Senate Bill 1508, Senator  
25. Schaffer. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

26. SECRETARY:

27. Senate Bill 1508.

28. ( Secretary reads title of bill )

29. 3rd reading of the bill.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

31. Senator Schaffer.

32. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

33. Senate Bill 1508, is a Mental Health Commission bill, which

1. attempts to undo a little problem we created last year when we  
2. inadvertently put the detox centers under the regulation of the  
3. Department of Mental Health and the Department of Public Health.  
4. It's the feeling of the task force and the Commission of Mental  
5. Health, and I think all of the provider agencies that we should  
6. have them under the regulations of the Department of Public Health,  
7. and in compliance with the Federal confidentiality standards.  
8. There are some questions which perhaps should be addressed at  
9. a later time, but this bill solves the immediate crisis. I know  
10. of no opposition. I'd be happy to answer any questions.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

12. Is there discussion? Senator Berning. May we have some  
13. order, please, Ladies and Gentlemen.

14. SENATOR BERNING:

15. Thank you, Mr. President. I would just like to clarify with  
16. the sponsor. On the bottom of page 2 line 31, listed among those  
17. things which a person shall have unimpeded access to, is telephone.  
18. It is further perhaps defined under Section 9 of this Act as  
19. stated on page 3 line 2. My question simply has to do with un-  
20. impeded access to a telephone for persons in an institution or  
21. facility without any controls could embroil somebody, with some  
22. excessive telephone charges. Is that in any way protected?

23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

24. Senator Schaffer.

25. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

26. Senator Berning, that language comes as a result of several  
27. court decisions and the Federal standards that we are forced to  
28. live with. I believe all we're doing is codifying what is, in  
29. fact, existing law and regulation.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

31. Senator Berning.

32. SENATOR BERNING:

33. I'm reasonably sure that the intent is proper. All that I'm

1. concerned about is the potential for unlimited use of telephone  
2. with the result and potential for high costs which somebody is  
3. going to have to underwrite, and I would respectfully suggest  
4. to the Senators Schaffer and Collins that they review this particular  
5. aspect so that we don't find that the State or some other body  
6. providing the facility is going to be stuck with a big telephone  
7. bill.

8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

9. Further discussion? Senator Schaffer may close.

10. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

11. Well, Senator Berning, I don't think that we're giving  
12. them the right to have free phones, we're just saying that they  
13. have the right to make the call. I suspect they'll find any  
14. calls they make on the bill that is eventually submitted. I again  
15. say that's a result of current regulation and court decisions,  
16. particularly one up in Wisconsin. I think this bill will temporarily  
17. solve this problem. I'm confident that this issue will be back  
18. before the General Assembly on what standards we should maintain  
19. in these type of facilities, but this is a limited Session here,  
20. and this is a limited attempt to solve a crisis, and I'd appreciate  
21. a favorable roll call.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

23. The question is, shall Senate Bill 1508 pass. Those in favor  
24. vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have  
25. all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record.  
26. On that question, the Ayes are 55, the Nays are none. None  
27. Voting Present. Senate Bill 1508, having received the required  
28. constitutional majority is declared passed. Senate Bill 15...1524,  
29. Senator Sangmeister. Senator Davidson, there's been a request  
30. that that bill be held. Senator Sangmeister.

31.

32.

(END OF REEL)

33.

1. Well, I think...well...15...42, Senator Lemke. I...Senator,  
2. we have been skipping some of the Workmen's Compensation Bills  
3. at the request of the President. For what purpose does Senator  
4. Lemke arise? 15...Senator Lemke.

5. SENATOR LEMKE:

6. What this is, is a package of bills for the National  
7. Ride Sharing Bill. This bill, that amends the Workmen's Comp.  
8. Act, is not going to be amended to affect any other thing in  
9. Workmen's Comp. It's a bill that's requested by the National  
10. Energy Saving thing. I think the best thing to do, is to pass  
11. these bills out as a package and they are not to be amended  
12. in any shape or form...of anything else. That's the only reason  
13. we have the bill.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

15. 41 and 42? Senator Lemke, 41 and 42?

16. SENATOR LEMKE:

17. 41, 42, 43, 44 and 45.

18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

19. All right, 1541; read the bill, Mr. Secretary,  
20. please.

21. SECRETARY:

22. Senate Bill 1541.

23. (Secretary reads title of bill)

24. 3rd reading of the bill.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

26. Senator Lemke.

27. SENATOR LEMKE:

28. What these...this bill is, is part of the National Ride  
29. Sharing Program to conserve energy. What this bill does, 1541,  
30. it excludes from Workmen's Compensation Required Coverage, those  
31. injuries occurred between residential and employment. This means

1. that when a party is participating in a ride sharing arrangement,  
2. he's...he's traveling from his home to pick up a fellow employee  
3. to the company, he is not included in Workmen's Compensation.  
4. He can't recover from his employer. I ask for a favorable adoption.

5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

6. The question is on the passage. Is there discussion? Senator  
7. Nimrod.

8. SENATOR NIMROD:

9. Yeah, one...one question of the sponsor, Mr. President. When...  
10. when in fact, on the ride sharing, the companies are providing  
11. some vans or some transportation, does this also exclude the company  
12. ride sharing van or the...I think that's an important part of  
13. that Ride Sharing Program.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

15. Senator Lemke.

16. SENATOR LEMKE:

17. Senator Nimrod, as you know, there are two different programs.  
18. This is a Ride Sharing Program. This is a program where they use  
19. employees' cars; the cars are not leased, owned or rented by the  
20. company. The other program is called the Van Pooling Program,  
21. which Senator Regner had last year. This program is not included  
22. in there because it was, as Senator Regner can tell you, there  
23. were some obstacles involved in van pools. What we are trying  
24. to do here is to pick up only cars, the definition is very specific,  
25. to...to just get involved in private automobiles; not company  
26. automobiles. The company automobile problem is still being worked  
27. out between labor and management, and it's not...not going to be  
28. included in this program. We are just going to keep it and confine  
29. to ride sharing, but using the employees' automobiles. That other  
30. part is very controversial, and I didn't want...we had some pro-  
31. blems when we tried to put an amendment on, and there was some  
32. controversy and I Tabled that amendment just to construe it...to  
33. keep it as ride sharing. And that's my agreement with Senator

1. Shapiro and Senator Rock; that these bills will stay as they are  
2. and not be amended, because they are designed to save gasoline  
3. and not to get involved between labor and management. If they  
4. want to work that problem out, they can work it out on some other  
5. bill, but not on these bills.

6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

7. Further discussion? Senator Keats.

8. SENATOR KEATS:

9. ...Minority Spokesman on Labor and Commerce, these bills  
10. came through Labor and Commerce. They came out almost unanimously.  
11. Senator Lemke has agreed they are not to be used as Vehicle Bills  
12. of any kind. They are strictly for energy conservation and do  
13. deal with Workmen's Comp because of the nature of the Act, but  
14. that is not in reality their major purpose. I personally voted  
15. for the bills in committee and would intend to vote for them on  
16. the Floor.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

18. Senator De Angelis.

19. SENATOR DE ANGELIS:

20. Question of the sponsor.

21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

22. He indicates he will yield. Senator De Angelis. Can we  
23. have some order, please, Ladies and Gentlemen. Senator De Angelis.

24. SENATOR DE ANGELIS:

25. Senator Lemke, I realize your bill is only one paragraph long,  
26. but do you not by implication, or do you not possibly by implication,  
27. by exempting this for employees, in fact, implicate the employers  
28. when they participate in something like this?

29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

30. Senator Lemke.

31. SENATOR LEMKE:

32. No, I don't. This...this...the problem we have here is that  
33. we have a...under the Workmen's Comp., you have the Jewel Tea Case



1. which says if the employer does anything, in...in fact, to  
2. encourage employees and they some way, if the Supreme Court  
3. dreams up, the employers get a benefit out of the Ride Sharing  
4. Program, they could be covered under Workmen's Comp. We had the  
5. incident with the Alcohol Prevention, where they sent him for a  
6. dry up and all of a sudden the guy died during...of a heart  
7. attack. What this bill is meant to do, and it's designed by...  
8. the National Ride Sharing Program in conjunction with many  
9. employers, to clear up one of the fears that says the law in  
10. Illinois is that if you encourage employees to engage in  
11. Ride Sharing Programs, you cannot be held liable under Workmen's  
12. Compensation Insurance, and this clears up any point...it clears  
13. up any possibility of the Supreme Court because everybody is  
14. afraid of the...of the Supreme Court saying anything...anything  
15. they do is going to be construed as rising out of the employment.  
16. This bill says it doesn't arise out of employment and it  
17. specifically clears up that problem so that never in the  
18. future...we can have a problem involved.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
20. Senator DeAngelis.

21. SENATOR DeANGELIS:  
22. Well, Senator Lemke, if that is true, why would you not,  
23. in fact, exempt the employer also since you're out to conserve  
24. energy and the employer is providing the man, why are you not  
25. exempting the employer from that also?

26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
27. Senator Lemke.

28. SENATOR LEMKE:  
29. This does exempt the employer. I don't know where you...it  
30. says here that the guy can't collect from the employer. It  
31. exempts the employer.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
33. Senator De Angelis.

1. SENATOR DE ANGELIS:

2. Mr. President, I...I really disagree with that interpretation.  
3. I don't know where you could read that into the bill. Can I read  
4. you the last line of the bill? "So long as the agreement does  
5. not employ motor vehicles owned, leased or contracted for by  
6. the employer."

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

8. Senator Lemke.

9. SENATOR LEMKE:

10. That's true. That's van pooling and that. This does not  
11. get involved in van pooling. If an employer...if an employer  
12. hires a vehicle to pick up his employees, he is going to be...  
13. he's going to be covered under Workmen's Comp. because he...that's  
14. the course of the employment. If this...in this case, the  
15. employer is doing nothing but simply encouraging private in-  
16. dividuals to double up, and they are going to put something on  
17. the bulletin board and say let's double up and let's save energy;  
18. and what this bill does, it does not...implicate employees.  
19. The law presently is now that if you are in a company vehicle,  
20. and you are going to work, you are in the course of your employ-  
21. ment. This does not get into that realm. They are working on  
22. this through van pooling and that, and when they work that out,  
23. fine; but these bills construe...are only in regards to private  
24. individuals, and nothing to do with company vehicles.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

26. Senator De Angelis.

27. SENATOR DE ANGELIS:

28. Well, Senator Lemke, I would submit that if...if your intent  
29. in this is to conserve energy, and your purpose is as noble as  
30. you state, I do not see why you would, in fact, exempt employee  
31. motor vehicles because these would be covered by personal liability  
32. anyhow. I don't know why you make such a strenuous effort to  
33. exempt them from it.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

2. Senator Lemke.

3. SENATOR LEMKE:

4. It's a very simple reason, Senator De Angelis. Van pooling,  
5. bus pooling and car pooling is not the same thing as ride sharing.  
6. Ride sharing is the use of a private automobile of an employee.  
7. All the employer does in this program is encourage employees to  
8. double up. What this bill says is if he does...if that's all he  
9. does, then he's not covered under Workmen's Comp. These bills  
10. were not drafted...these bills were drafted by industry. They  
11. want this wording to clear up this problem so they can get involved  
12. in the Ride Sharing Program, which is a...National Ride Sharing  
13. Program by the Department of Energy of this country. And these  
14. are bills that are drafted by business for their protection, and  
15. this is why we are putting the program in. These are not designed  
16. for any other reason except for ride sharing. If you want to get  
17. involved in van pooling, bus pooling and car pooling, then I  
18. suggest you get some bills on that subject and get into it; but  
19. this...with this...we are very careful in this program to just  
20. refrain it to private individual cars owned and operated by  
21. employees; not owned by any employer. Because you get involved  
22. in that, you're not going to pass a ride sharing program in this,  
23. in any respect in the State of Illinois because there will be  
24. fights going on, and I tried to exclude van pooling, car pooling  
25. and bus pooling from this program strictly because there was a lot  
26. of controversy pro and con in regards to it.

27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

28. Further discussion? Senator Nimrod, well let's see...Senator  
29. Geo-Karis has not spoken a first time. May we have some order,  
30. Ladies and Gentlemen. Senator Geo-Karis.

31. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

32. Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, these  
33. bills were heard in the Judiciary I Committee, and they were

1. approved, and I think they were approved without a dissenting vote;  
2. and I think they are good bills, and I think the purpose to which...  
3. Mr. Lemke has described these bills is commendable, and I support  
4. the bills.

5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

6. Further discussion? Senator Nimrod.

7. SENATOR NIMROD:

8. Yeah, only...thank you, Mr. President. The only reason I got  
9. up about the original comment is, I think that...I am for the  
10. ride sharing concept, but it disturbs me that we are separating  
11. the van pooling; and I think that if we are going to address this  
12. problem, it ought to be done together. The minute we remove the  
13. ride sharing from the van pooling there is no longer any...any  
14. initiative on the part of labor to work out an agreement on the  
15. whole idea of saving energy. Now, if it's good enough to do it  
16. with the individual owners, it's good enough to solve the problem  
17. for the companies; and I think to let these bills through without  
18. doing that is wrong, and they should have gone through Labor and  
19. Commerce too...and the whole idea since they are involved. It  
20. should have been involved with the Energy Resources Commission  
21. if we are talking about sharing. None of these discussions have  
22. been made, and I think that we are letting something through without  
23. addressing the problem and making sure that both parties can reach  
24. some agreement...if Labor and Commerce...business have some  
25. problems, they ought to agree and reach the conclusion. I have  
26. not heard from any business representatives about any agreement  
27. on these kind of bills.

28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

29. Further discussion? Senator Daley.

30. SENATOR DALEY:

31. Mr...well, Senators, this is a whole series of bills, and it  
32. really protects the employee driving to and from work that is  
33. encouraged by the employer. It has nothing to do with labor.

1. It has nothing to do with management. These are a series of  
2. bills proposed by employers; and to say that it should have  
3. gone to Labor and Commerce Committee or the...any other  
4. committee, the appropriate time to request it. These bills were  
5. in the Judiciary Committee with an hour and a half hearing on  
6. them, and this is really to protect the employee.

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

8. Further discussion? The question is shall Senate Bill 1541  
9. pass. Those in favor...Senator Lemke, did you wish to close?  
10. Senator Lemke.

11. SENATOR LEMKE:

12. I just ask for a favorable vote.

13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

14. The question is shall Senate Bill 1541 pass. Those in  
15. favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open.  
16. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all  
17. voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are  
18. 53, the Nays are 4, 1 Voting Present. Senate Bill 1541 having  
19. received the required constitutional majority is declared passed.  
20. Senate Bill 1542, Senator Lemke. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary,  
21. please.

22. SECRETARY:

23. Senate Bill 1542.

24. (Secretary reads title of bill)

25. 3rd reading of the bill.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

27. Senator Lemke.

28. SENATOR LEMKE:

29. Same roll call.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

31. Is there discussion? The question is shall Senate Bill  
32. 1542 pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The  
33. voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who

1. wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 52, the  
2. Nays are 3, 2 Voting Present. Senate Bill 1542 having received  
3. the required constitutional majority is declared passed. Senate  
4. Bill 1543, Senator Lemke. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.  
5. SECRETARY:  
6. Senate Bill 1543.  
7. (Secretary reads title of bill)  
8. 3rd reading of the bill.  
9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
10. Senator Lemke.  
11. SENATOR LEMKE:  
12. I ask for the same roll call.  
13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
14. Is there discussion? Senator Rhoads.  
15. SENATOR RHOADS:  
16. Mr. President, I think we do need a little bit more full  
17. explanation of this bill. It does sound like it should have come  
18. through Revenue Committee, and...maybe if the Senator could  
19. give us a more complete explanation.  
20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
21. Senator Lemke.  
22. SENATOR LEMKE:  
23. The bill...what the bill simply does is...said that if two  
24. employees use one car, and one employee gives the other employee  
25. his share of the gas expense, that he doesn't have to file on  
26. his income tax statement. The other thing is that while they  
27. are in the car going to work, they...they are not covered under  
28. the Minimum Wage Law until they get to work, because there is over-  
29. time implications if you start...if you travel an hour and the guy  
30. works eight hours, that means the boss would have to pay time and  
31. a half for that extra hour, and this excludes it from that.  
32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
33. Senator Rhoads.

1. SENATOR RHOADS:

2. Well, Senator, why wouldn't the gas expense money be taxable  
3. income? The Illinois CPA Society sends all of us legislators a tax  
4. guide form, and it says very clearly that when two of us ride to  
5. Springfield together, and one of us gets reimbursement, that that  
6. should be declared as taxable income. Why wouldn't the same  
7. apply in this situation?

8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

9. Senator Lemke.

10. SENATOR LEMKE:

11. In this situation, the employee...the employer is not paying  
12. reimbursement; it is simply an exchange between two neighbors or  
13. two co-employees who pay it...if they say the gas is ten dollars  
14. to get to work, one guy gives the other guy five dollars. What  
15. this does is excludes the employee from keeping books every time  
16. he gets a five dollar bill and this and that, then he just...what  
17. will happen is he will...he will exempt it and it will just be a  
18. transaction that will cost money for...to exempt it; and what...  
19. in other words, this is just an...so you don't have to keep books  
20. and records and it doesn't involve anything in regards to employer  
21. reimbursement.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

23. Senator Rhoads.

24. SENATOR RHOADS:

25. Well, I can't see anything dramatically wrong with the bill,  
26. but neither do I really see a big reason for it. I...really don't  
27. understand the purpose of the bill.

28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

29. Senator...further discussion? Further discussion? Senator  
30. Lemke may close.

31. SENATOR LEMKE:

32. I ask for a favorable roll call.

33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

1. The question is shall Senate Bill 1543 pass? Those in  
2. favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open.  
3. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the  
4. record. On that question, the Ayes are 49, the Nays are 7, 1  
5. Voting Present. Senate Bill 1543, having received the required  
6. constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 1544,  
7. Senator Lemke. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

8. SECRETARY:

9. Senate Bill 1544.

10. (Secretary reads title of bill)

11. 3rd reading of the bill.

12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

13. Senator Lemke.

14. SENATOR LEMKE:

15. What this bill does is simply...amends the Illinois Vehicle  
16. Code to define what a ride sharing arrangement is. It's as I  
17. explained before, and I ask for a favorable roll call.

18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

19. Is there discussion? Is there discussion? The question is  
20. shall Senate Bill 1544 pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those  
21. opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who  
22. wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that  
23. question, the Ayes are 53, the Nays are 4, 1 Voting Present.  
24. Senate Bill 1544 having received the required constitutional  
25. majority is declared passed. Senate Bill 1545, Senator Lemke.  
26. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

27. SECRETARY:

28. Senate Bill 1545.

29. (Secretary reads title of bill)

30. 3rd reading of the bill.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

32. Senator Lemke.

33. SENATOR LEMKE:



1.           What this bill does is amend the Illinois Vehicle Code to  
2. exempt anybody participating in a ride sharing program from  
3. requirement of a chauffeur license or considering them as a  
4. commercial vehicle. I ask for a favorable roll call.

5.           PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

6.           Is there discussion? The question is shall Senate Bill 1545  
7. pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The  
8. voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who  
9. wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 48,  
10. the Nays are 4, 2 Voting Present. Senate Bill 1545 having received  
11. the required constitutional majority is declared passed. Senate  
12. Bill 15...let's see...is there leave to return to Senate Bill 1522?  
13. Leave is granted. Senate Bill 1522, Senator Joyce. Read the bill,  
14. Mr. Secretary, please.

15.          SECRETARY:

16.                 Senate Bill 1522.

17.                         (Secretary reads title of bill)

18.                 3rd reading of the bill.

19.          PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

20.                 Senator Joyce.

21.          SENATOR JEROME JOYCE:

22.                 Yes, Mr. President and members of the Senate, thank you.  
23. This...the bill that we passed, the amendment in 1979 on the  
24. general homestead exemption of three thousand dollars, there's  
25. been some misunderstanding, I think, in the wording of the bill.  
26. Most counties in the State automatically give you the exemption.  
27. There are a few, I think it's eight, that require you to come  
28. in and apply. Well, when I went back to my district, I found  
29. that I had one of those counties, and only about half of the  
30. property owners in that county are getting the exemption because  
31. they just simply don't know about it. So, what this would do  
32. would put all the State's...or all of the counties in compliance  
33. with this, and...and they would not have to go in and apply to it

1. at the Supervisor of Assessment's Office.

2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

3. Is there discussion? Senator Davidson.

4. SENATOR DAVIDSON:

5. Not a...not a discussion, but on my bill a minute ago on dealing

6. with tax relief, the understanding was that they were going to

7. hold tax relief bills and do them all in one day. Does this

8. fall in that description or not?

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

10. No, it does not.

11. SENATOR DAVIDSON:

12. Thank you.

13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

14. Further discussion? The question is shall Senate Bill 1522

15. pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The

16. voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who

17. wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 58,

18. the Nays are none, none Voting Present. Senate Bill 1522 having

19. received the required constitutional majority is declared passed.

20. KTVI-TV, St. Louis has requested permission to shoot silent film

21. from the gallery. Is there leave? Leave is granted. Senate

22. Bill 1548, Senator Martin. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

23. SECRETARY:

24. Senate Bill 1548.

25. (Secretary reads title of bill)

26. 3rd reading of the bill.

27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

28. Senator Martin.

29. SENATOR MARTIN:

30. This would give the EPA permission to issue administrative

31. variances, not to exceed ninety days' to units, to prevent

32. some of the costs that have occurred under the current system.

33. I think you will find, for any of you that have sanitary districts,

1. especially, this bill is needed. It's base happened in Rockford,  
2. when during a major construction project they had permission from...  
3. from the EPA to...to chemically treat some waters. The Attorney  
4. General's Office intervned and said no, you had to go through a  
5. whole variance procedure. It took seven months and cost an additional  
6. two hundred thousand dollars. This sets up a procedure that  
7. the EPA will be able to come in and issue the variance, saving  
8. ultimately, tax monies. If they shouldn't get the variance, they  
9. still won't get it, but it cuts down that administrative procedural  
10. time. I know of no objection to it, and I would ask a favorable  
11. roll call.

12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

13. Is there discussion? The question is shall Senate Bill 1548  
14. pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The  
15. voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who  
16. wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 53, the  
17. Nays none, 2 Voting Present. Senate Bill 1548 having received  
18. the required constitutional majority is declared passed. Senate  
19. Bill 1564, Senator Becker. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

20. SECRETARY:

21. Senate Bill 1564.

22. (Secretary reads title of bill)

23. 3rd reading of the bill.

24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

25. Senator Becker.

26. SENATOR BECKER:

27. Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. Senate  
28. Bill 1564 is nothing more than a housekeeping amendment, but a  
29. necessary one. Under Felony Murders, we ask that the word  
30. "Aggravated Arson" be added. It passed in committee five to  
31. nothing, and I ask for a favorable roll call.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

33. Is there discussion? The question is shall Senate Bill 1564

1. pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The  
2. voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who  
3. wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 57,  
4. the Nays are none, none Voting Present. Senate Bill 1564  
5. having received the required constitutional majority is declared  
6. passed. Senate Bill 1581, Senator Jerome Joyce. Senate Bill  
7. 1585, Senator Berning. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.  
8. SECRETARY:  
9. Senate Bill 1585.  
10. (Secretary reads title of bill)  
11. 3rd reading of the bill.  
12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
13. Senator Berning.  
14. SENATOR BERNING:  
15. Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. Senate  
16. Bill 1585 seeks to correct what obviously was an inadvertent  
17. provision in the establishment...in the legislation establishing  
18. the right of municipalities and other areas to form fire  
19. protection districts and to engage in an ambulance service.  
20. There is no question but what the referendum should be held if  
21. a ambulance service is going to be established and a tax levied;  
22. but in my village and my fire protection district, as well as  
23. about sixteen or eighteen others in the State, there is now a  
24. paramedic ambulance service being provided out of General Revenue.  
25. There is no need for additional tax, and it is only to make  
26. this clarifying change in the Statute that Senate Bill 1585 is  
27. offered. I would be happy to respond to any questions. Other  
28. than that, I would appreciate a favorable roll call. It is an  
29. emergency because of a court suit which did challenge and establish  
30. the fact that the law as now...written requires a referendum to  
31. provide the service, whether or not tax is imposed.  
32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
33. Is there discussion? The question is shall Senate Bill 1585

1. pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The  
2. voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who  
3. wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 53, the  
4. Nays are 1, 2 Voting Present. Senate Bill 1585 having received  
5. the required constitutional majority is declared passed. Senate  
6. Bill 1597, Senator Vadalabene. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary,  
7. please.

8. SECRETARY:

9. Senate Bill 1597.

10. (Secretary reads title of bill)

11. 3rd reading of the bill.

12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

13. Senator Vadalabene.

14. SENATOR VADALABENE:

15. Yes, thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate.

16. Senate Bill 1598 conforms the Tri-City Regional Port District  
17. Act's language regarding taxable property within the district to  
18. the exact wording of the provision of the Revenue Act. By sub-  
19. stituting "or" for "and" in the Tri-City Regional Port District  
20. Act's language, concerning the property within the district...

21. oh, I'm sorry. I'm reading the wrong bill, and incidentally,

22. I passed one like that when I was in the House.

23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

24. Do you have the explanation on 1597, Senator?

25. SENATOR VADALABENE:

26. Yeah, will you scratch the record. But I'm really telling  
27. you the truth; I passed a bill with the wrong explanation when I  
28. was in the House, and Rich Walsh was in the House at that time  
29. too.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

31. Senator, many of us believe that happens almost daily over  
32. in the House. Senator Vadalabene on 1597.

33. SENATOR VADALABENE:

1.           Okay. Yes, the purpose of this bill, on Senate Bill 1597 is  
2. to give the Bi-State Development Agency the authority to sell,  
3. or otherwise dispose of land. What has happened is that Bi-State  
4. and the Illinois Department of Transportation had a mutual agree-  
5. ment worked out on some land in the Illinois Attorney General's  
6. Office. In reviewing that agreement, said everything is fine;  
7. but we do not believe Bi-State has the legal authority to  
8. dispose of the land. In other words, IDOT needed a little over  
9. nine acres of one corner of Bi-State Parks Airport for the con-  
10. struction of I-270. IDOT appraised the land and offered to trade  
11. the land and offered Bi-State also a plus trade of six dollars...  
12. six thousand eight hundred and thirty-five dollars for the 9.  
13. acres. Bi-State accepted the offer, and things were fine until  
14. this legal question came up. However, I might add, that the...  
15. just last week Governor Teasdale in Missouri signed the identical  
16. legislation, since the Bi-State Compact...identical legislation  
17. in this regard, has to be signed by both states. Missouri has  
18. done their job, and now we are seeking to do the same thing here  
19. in Illinois; and I would appreciate a favorable vote.

20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

21.           Is there discussion? The question is shall Senate...I'm  
22. sorry. Gentlemen, again if you will use the little white button,  
23. it will help me. Senator Philip.

24. SENATOR PHILIP:

25.           Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
26. Senate. And I might bring to the attention of the membership,  
27. that if we pass this bill, it will be the first time that we'll  
28. allow a commission of the State of Illinois to buy and sell  
29. property. Now we don't let DOT do that; when they want to buy  
30. a piece of property or sell it, they have to introduce a bill and  
31. come to the General Assembly, and we examine it quite carefully.  
32. Now...and we do have some control over the Department of Trans-  
33. portation. This is merely a State-wide commission which we don't

1. have any control over, other than budgetary, and now we are going  
2. to turn around and allow them to sell and buy property at will.  
3. It was a very close vote in committee. It was discussed; it's  
4. rather controversial and you ought to have a good look at it.  
5. If we do it for this commission, I suppose down the road we will  
6. be doing it for other commissions. And quite frankly, I don't  
7. think it's in good judgment, and you ought to look at this  
8. closely, and you ought to vote No.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

10. Further discussion? Senator Moore.

11. SENATOR MOORE:

12. Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I rise  
13. in support of this bill. I can agree, to a certain extent, of  
14. what Senator Philip has just alluded to, but this is not a  
15. commission of State government. This is a compact between the  
16. State of Illinois and the State of Missouri. The laws have to be  
17. identical between these two states in order for this particular  
18. district to function. Now the State of Missouri, on the last  
19. day of its General Assembly...the bill has been signed, an  
20. identical bill such as we have here. This bill is needed for the  
21. economic development of their airport down in that particular  
22. area of the State. It's, I believe, the fourth largest airport in the  
23. State of Illinois, although I have reservations that Senator Philip  
24. does, if this were solely a State agency, then I would agree with  
25. him; but inasmuch as it is a compact between two states, I will go  
26. along, and I urge support of Senate Bill 1597.

27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

28. Further discussion? Senator Buzbee.

29. SENATOR BUZBEE:

30. Thank you, Mr. President. I...I would like to point out that  
31. a statement Senator Philip made, I...I believe is incorrect; and  
32. that is he said that there is no other agency in the State, or commission  
33. type that has the authority to buy and sell property. I think

1. every port district in this State has the authority to buy and  
2. sell property...property. Yes, that's port spelled with a t.  
3. And Bi-State needs this authority. The State of Missouri has...  
4. has...passed the legislation, Senator Vadalabene tells us; they  
5. need this authority to go on with their business, and I think we  
6. ought to give it to them.

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

8. Further discussion? Senator Gitz.

9. SENATOR GITZ:

10. I have a question of the sponsor.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

12. He indicates he will yield. Senator Gitz.

13. SENATOR GITZ:

14. Did this bill originate, Senator, mainly because you needed  
15. to make an exchange of property?

16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

17. Senator Vadalabene.

18. SENATOR VADALABENE:

19. Yes, that is correct. The...the...the Attorney General wanted  
20. an opinion, a legal opinion, and consequently, we came in here  
21. with this bill.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

23. Senator Gitz.

24. SENATOR GITZ:

25. Then why in the wording of the bill does it not provide for  
26. exchange as opposed to sell? The thing that troubles me, Senator,  
27. and I would like to support the bill, is that after this language  
28. is added, we can conceivably use public funds to construct facilities,  
29. perhaps even airports, they can be sold by board action. And  
30. this does far more than just simply exchange property, which seems  
31. to be the source of your problem. Could you respond?

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

33. Senator Vadalabene.



1. SENATOR VADALABENE:

2. The identical legislation has been passed in Missouri, signed  
3. by the Governor...let me just refer briefly, in regard to the  
4. status of the Bi-State...Board of Trustees. We have five commis-  
5. sioners, appointed by the State of Illinois by the Governor. We  
6. have five appointed by the Governor in Missouri. These are all  
7. honorable men of integrity, highly classified businessmen who  
8. will see that none of this type of corruption, I think, that...what  
9. someone is indicating will happen. Now, this legislation is  
10. needed. I-270 has to proceed; it's a six-lane loop around the  
11. State of Missouri and Illinois, and this is why this legislation  
12. is needed. Now, for whatever questions you have, and whatever  
13. concerns you, Senator Gitz, up in Waukegan, I just can't...you  
14. know, I don't know, but this is a downstate needed legislation;  
15. a compact which originated by the Legislature of both Missouri  
16. and Illinois, and this legislation is needed.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

18. Further discussion? Senator Gitz.

19. SENATOR GITZ:

20. Well, Mr. President, let the record show that I do not happen  
21. to be from Waukegan. Let the record also show that this bill has  
22. a barndoor so wide that you can drive any truck in the world through  
23. it.

24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

25. Further discussion? Senator Philip.

26. SENATOR PHILIP:

27. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
28. Senate. I...I just wanted to correct myself. I guess there is  
29. one State-wide commission that does buy and sell property; I stand  
30. corrected. That is the Space Needs Commission, which is entirely  
31. made up by members of the House and members of the Senate. I  
32. would certainly think we would have some control over those  
33. members.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
2. Further discussion? Senator Vadalabene may close.  
3. SENATOR VADALABENE:  
4. Yes, just briefly, maybe I should have stuck with the other  
5. bill, explained it and asked for a favorable vote.  
6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
7. The question is shall Senate Bill 1597 pass. Those in  
8. favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open.  
9. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the  
10. record. On that question, the Ayes are 2...37, the Ayes are  
11. 37, the Nays are 12, 1 Voting Present. Senate Bill 1597  
12. having received the required constitutional majority is declared  
13. passed. Is there leave for Channel 55 to shoot film? Leave is  
14. granted. Senate Bill 1598, Senator Vadalabene.  
15. SECRETARY:  
16. Senate Bill 1598.  
17. (Secretary reads title of bill)  
18. 3rd reading of the bill.  
19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
20. Senator Vadalabene.  
21. SENATOR VADALABENE:  
22. Yes, thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate.  
23. Will you please instruct the camera that I am over here.  
24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
25. Yes, would...  
26. SENATOR VADALABENE:  
27. Okay. Senate Bill 1598 conforms the Tri-City Regional Port  
28. Districts Act language regarding taxable property within the  
29. district to the exact wording of the provisions in the Revenue  
30. Act that applies to all taxable property in all port districts.  
31. By substituting "or" for "and" in the Tri-City Regional Port District  
32. Act's language concerning the property within the district, that  
33. is subject to taxation leasehold improvements, the bill merely

1. makes this Act consistent with the Revenue Act language concerning  
2. the property within all port districts that is subject to tax-  
3. ation, and this received a favorable vote in Local Government,  
4. and I would appreciate a favorable vote.

5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

6. Is there discussion? Is there discussion? The question is  
7. shall Senate Bill 1598 pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those  
8. opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who  
9. wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that  
10. question, the Ayes are 57, the Nays are none, none Voting Present.  
11. Senate Bill 1598 having received the required constitutional  
12. majority is declared passed. Senate Bill 1605, Senator Savickas.  
13. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

14. SECRETARY:

15. Senate Bill 1605.

16. (Secretary reads title of bill)

17. 3rd reading of the bill.

18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

19. Senator Savickas.

20. SENATOR SAVICKAS:

21. This is the annual bill...annual appropriation for the  
22. Metropolitan Fair and Exposition Authority. It's included in the  
23. Governor's budget, and is set at 4.8 million dollars. I would  
24. appreciate a favorable roll call.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

26. Is there discussion? The question is shall Senate Bill 16...  
27. Senator Demuzio.

28. SENATOR DEMUZIO:

29. Yeah, a question of the sponsor.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

31. He indicates he will yield. Senator Demuzio.

32. SENATOR DEMUZIO:

33. This just puts the money into the fund, it doesn't make the  
34. disbursements?

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
2. Senator Savickas.  
3. SENATOR SAVICKAS:  
4. Yes, this is the annual deposit amount and is statutorily  
5. set, its...its expenditure is included in the Governor's budget.  
6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
7. Further...Senator Demuzio.  
8. SENATOR DEMUZIO:  
9. Well, I'm frankly still confused. That's not...that's not  
10. what the analysis says. It talks about reconstruction and re-  
11. habilitation of the Reuben H. Donnelly building. Where's the  
12. Reuben H. Donnelly building?  
13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
14. Senator Savickas.  
15. SENATOR SAVICKAS:  
16. Well, the Reuben H. Donnelly building is across the street  
17. from the...the McCormick Place. It's all part of the complex.  
18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
19. Senator Demuzio.  
20. SENATOR DEMUZIO:  
21. 4.8 million dollars then is going into the...into the re-  
22. construction and rehabilitation of that facility as provided in  
23. the Governor's budget. Is that what you're telling me?  
24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
25. Senator Savickas.  
26. SENATOR SAVICKAS:  
27. Yes.  
28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)  
29. Further discussion? Further discussion? The question is  
30. shall Senate Bill 1605 pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those  
31. opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish?  
32. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the  
33. Ayes are 47, the Nays are 4, 2 Voting Present. Senate Bill 1605  
34. having received the required constitutional majority is declared

1. passed. We have a special guest with us today who will be intro-  
2. duced by Senator Davidson. May we have the attention of the Body,  
3. please.

4. SENATOR DAVIDSON:

5. Members of the Senate. It's my great honor to present to you  
6. the Teacher of the Year for the State of Illinois by the Illinois  
7. Office of Education, a lady from Springfield, fourth grade teacher  
8. at Dubois School here in Springfield, Mrs. Helen Garr. Helen,  
9. and on behalf of the Illinois Senate, I want to present to you  
10. Senate Resolution 308, which congratulates you on our behalf  
11. for being the Teacher of the Year for the State of Illinois.  
12. Welcome to the Illinois Senate.

13. MRS. HELEN GARR (TEACHER OF THE YEAR)

14. (Remarks by Mrs. Helen Garr)

15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

16. Senate Bill 1629, Senator Regner. Senate Bill 1633, Senator  
17. Weaver. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

18. SECRETARY:

19. Senate Bill 1633.

20. (Secretary reads title of bill)

21. 3rd reading of the bill.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

23. Senator Weaver.

24. SENATOR WEAVER:

25. Thank you, Mr. President. This is the annual appropriation  
26. to the Commissioner of Banks and Trusts in the amount of three  
27. million seven hundred and eighty-seven thousand dollars. This  
28. money comes from fees collected from state banks, and...is no  
29. General Revenue Funds involved. If there is any question...I  
30. would be happy to answer them. If not, I would appreciate a  
31. favorable roll call.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

33. Is there further discussion? If not the question is shall

2/23/51  
M. J. C. O. C.  
5/7/50

1. Senate Bill 1633 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed  
2. vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all  
3. voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes  
4. are 54, the Nays are none. Senate Bill 1633 having received the  
5. constitutional majority is declared passed. Senate Bill 1651,  
6. Senator Shapiro. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

7. SECRETARY:

8. Senate Bill 1651.

9. (Secretary reads title of bill)

10. 3rd reading.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

12. Senator Shapiro.

13. SENATOR SHAPIRO:

14. Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Senate  
15. Bill 1651 is a supplemental appropriation, which appropriates  
16. six hundred and thirty-three thousand four hundred and fifty-four  
17. dollars for the Office of the Governor. Five hundred and eighty  
18. thousand two hundred dollars are to remodel and to make repairs  
19. on the Mansion, and fifty-three thousand two hundred and fifty-  
20. four dollars are for operations. I will try to answer any questions  
21. that anyone may have. Otherwise, I would appreciate a favorable  
22. roll call.

23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

24. Is there further discussion? Senator Sangmeister.

25. SENATOR SANGMEISTER:

26. Yes, Senator Shapiro, what has happened to, you know not  
27. too many years ago the whole Mansion has been done over, now we  
28. are going to spend a half a million dollars on the Governor's  
29. Mansion again. What...what has happened since the last remodeling  
30. program?

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

32. Senator Shapiro.

33. SENATOR SHAPIRO:

1. Senator, evidently there has been no repainting or remodel-  
2. ing or upkeep of the building since it was remodeled. There was  
3. some faulty work that's been starting to break down, and I have  
4. a breakdown of what is required on each floor, dollar amount, for  
5. the total; but that's...that's the best answer I can give you.

6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

7. Senator Sangmeister.

8. SENATOR SANGMEISTER:

9. If you know, what was the date that the Mansion was re-  
10. modeled completely? When it was completed, the date of com-  
11. pletion. What year was that? That was under Ogilvie, I know,  
12. but what year was it?

13. SENATOR SHAPIRO:

14. I don't think Governor Ogilvie ever had a chance to live in  
15. the Mansion, to stay in it, so I would say it was about 1973 that  
16. it was completed.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

18. Senator Sangmeister.

19. SENATOR SANGMEISTER:

20. Well, my only remarks to that is to spend a half a million  
21. dollars to do painting and...and what, if there is anything  
22. faulty in that building since 1973, we ought to be going back to  
23. the contractor for some warranty on it. I think the expenditure  
24. is unwarranted, myself.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

26. Senator Rhoads.

27. SENATOR RHOADS:

28. Just a similar question to Senator...a follow-up question on  
29. Senator Sangmeister's. Is there a safety hazard here someplace,  
30. Senator?

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

32. Senator Shapiro.

33. SENATOR SHAPIRO:

1. I can't...I really don't know, Senator, but I don't think so.  
2. I think it's strictly remodeling and...repainting.

3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

4. Senator Carroll.

5. SENATOR CARROLL:

6. Thank you, Mr. President. To come to the aid of Senator  
7. Shapiro; since we had a lot of testimony on the bill, I think  
8. we may have a few of the answers for you, Senator, so...to help  
9. you a little bit. First of all, the Fiscal Officer for the  
10. Governor indicated, as would happen to any one of us with a  
11. forty-five thousand square foot home, there has to be some main-  
12. tenance done on the home on an annual basis. I don't happen to  
13. have a forty-five thousand square foot home, but I'm sure he's  
14. right that these things do happen. There has been no maintenance  
15. plan in any of the Governor's budgets to operate the Mansion and  
16. do the necessary annual repairs that anyone does on a home. The  
17. specific problems here are, in some cases, dangerous and hazardous  
18. to people who are about and around the Mansion. They gave us a  
19. book this thick that Capital Development had prepared on what the  
20. defects were; they attempted to go after the contractors, the  
21. guarantees had already lapsed as did the statutory time in which  
22. action could be brought against these contractors; and that time  
23. elapsed during the prior Governor's administration. In the case...  
24. and they showed us around the place; it's absolutely amazing the  
25. defective workmanship that went into the home of the people of  
26. the State of Illinois. You cannot use the whole porch area or  
27. its stairway; the banister has entirely erroded, the bricks have  
28. fallen out of the foundation of the banister, the wood is totally  
29. warped. They never sealed the wood before they painted it; it's  
30. really amazing, and to let it go any further could have a more  
31. serious constructional defect occur in the home if we don't, in  
32. fact, fix up the brick and mortar that has absolutely erroded away.  
33. While I am...originally opposed the idea, after having seen it and



1. read the report, I think we have to support it, and admonish the  
2. Governor that in his budget from here on in there has to be main-  
3. tenance of the building that the people own on an annual basis,  
4. which is not in the Fiscal '81 Budget either and should be.

5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

6. Further discussion? Senator Gitz.

7. SENATOR GITZ:

8. A question of the sponsor.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

10. He indicates he will yield.

11. SENATOR GITZ:

12. When I looked at the CDB's Scope Statement, I noticed that  
13. there is redecoration and new carpeting and new painting throughout  
14. the Mansion; on the ground floor, the first floor, the second  
15. floor. I did not have the same privilege as my honorable Chairman  
16. of the Appropriations Committee to go through. Could you sort  
17. out how much of this is redecorating, or is the carpet ruined  
18. in each case and the paint peeling and gone, as opposed to that  
19. which is repaired? I have a second question, then.

20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

21. Senator Shapiro.

22. SENATOR SHAPIRO:

23. Senator Gitz, on the advice of Staff, the best answer I can  
24. give you that the exterior items which amount to a hundred and  
25. eighty-two thousand seven hundred dollars, are for repairs, and  
26. the rest of it, in the Executive Mansion, would be for re-  
27. painting and doing minor repair work on the inside like leaks  
28. through the window casings, that sort of thing.

29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

30. Senator Gitz.

31. SENATOR GITZ:

32. This bill is on the Calendar as a supplementary appropriation  
33. for rehabilitation. Would you inform the membership of some of the

1. other parts of the supplemental? For example, the travel for the  
2. Japan trip is in here. I believe your amendment took out the  
3. travel for Germany, is that correct? There are a number of items  
4. which are included in this bill.

5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

6. Is there further discussion? Senator Nimrod. Oh, I'm sorry,  
7. Senator Gitz, was that a...I thought that was a statement.

8. SENATOR GITZ:

9. No, I asked him to also identify the other items in it,  
10. which are still in and which are now out.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

12. Senator Shapiro.

13. SENATOR SHAPIRO:

14. In the Operations part of the supplemental...amounts of  
15. fifty-three thousand two hundred and fifty-four dollars. Thirty-  
16. five thousand of that is to pay the law firm of Jenner and Block  
17. regarding the professional help that they gave for services  
18. rendered during the negotiations for the Chicago School Board  
19. problems. Ten thousand...of it was for additional travel funds  
20. for the trip to Japan; the money for Germany was taken out because  
21. that trip is now not going to be made.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

23. Senator Gitz.

24. SENATOR GITZ:

25. If we were to subtract the permanent improvements part of  
26. this, how much would we be increasing the Governor's budget over  
27. his regular budget for the past year?

28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

29. Senator Shapiro.

30. SENATOR SHAPIRO:

31. Oh, I'm sorry, we are increasing, if we...the question was  
32. if we subtract the remodeling, how much are we increasing the  
33. operations. That figure is fifty-three thousand two hundred  
34. and fifty-four dollars.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
2. Senator Gitz.  
3. SENATOR GITZ:  
4. Which would...which would translate into what percentage  
5. increase in the budget?  
6. SENATOR SHAPIRO:  
7. An exact...an exact percentage figure, I can't give you, but  
8. it's a very small amount.  
9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
10. Senator Gitz.  
11. SENATOR GITZ:  
12. One last question before I comment on the main bill. How  
13. much money is going to be obligated in this fiscal year? In  
14. effect, most of this money will not be obligated, as I under-  
15. stand it. Am I incorrect in that statement? There's about  
16. five hundred thousand dollars for Executive Mansion repairs and  
17. redecoration.  
18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
19. Senator Shapiro.  
20. SENATOR SHAPIRO:  
21. Senator, it's also being reappropriated in the FY-'81 Budget.  
22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
23. Senator Gitz.  
24. SENATOR GITZ:  
25. I don't mean to be...obstructionist, and I certainly am not  
26. trying to pick any conflict with the Governor. On many issues I  
27. think he does a fine job, but my troublesome query is the  
28. necessity of appropriating all this money now, and then re-  
29. appropriating as opposed to doing what has to be done now to get  
30. it underway, and then putting in the new Capital bill; and I assume  
31. there must be a reason for that.  
32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
33. Senator Shapiro.

1. SENATOR SHAPIRO:

2. Senator, they have to enter into a contract in this fiscal  
3. year, and because of that they have to have the appropriation in  
4. hand.

5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

6. Senator Gitz.

7. SENATOR GITZ:

8. Thank you for the response to the questions. I would like  
9. to comment on the bill, and my only comment is this; I think all  
10. of us want to make sure that the people's house is kept in the  
11. best possible shape; but there are many other aspects of this  
12. bill that...include in. Everytime I pick up a newspaper I notice  
13. the General Assembly is taking a great deal of heat for the in-  
14. creases we have in Staff to do our job. I also notice that all  
15. the local governments are supposed to pull in their belt and their  
16. statutory increases to limit what they can do; and yet the Chief  
17. Executive seems not to have heeded that same advice in his own  
18. operations, and I am separating those comments out from the very  
19. necessary repairs. I am somewhat troubled at the inconsistency.

20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

21. Further discussion? Senator Nimrod, do you wish...Senator  
22. Wooten.

23. SENATOR WOOTEN:

24. Thank you, Mr. President. I would just like to rise in support  
25. of the bill. I think this Chamber is going to need some additional  
26. repair even though we have just finished work. The Governor and  
27. we are but temporary occupants of these buildings, and the buildings  
28. are really a monument to and for the people of Illinois, and I  
29. certainly don't have any objection to spending whatever it takes  
30. to keep these magnificent structures in top condition.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

32. Senator Geo-Karis.

33. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

34. Will the sponsor yield for one question?

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) .  
2. He indicates he will yield.  
3. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:  
4. This trip to Japan was the one in order to obtain some  
5. industry...needed industry from Japan to come to America,  
6. isn't that right, Senator?  
7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
8. Senator Shapiro.  
9. SENATOR SHAPIRO:  
10. Yes. Yes.  
11. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:  
12. I would like to speak in favor of the bill. Mr. President  
13. and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. As Senator Wooten said...  
14. that these are great structures, and also the...the monies spent  
15. were well...I think, spent wisely. We need the industry here, we  
16. need a lot of industry in my area; we have lost thirty-two hundred  
17. jobs already from three plants, and more jobs will be lost in the  
18. next month. I think it's necessary we do all we can to bring it...  
19. in addition, we have two fine old structures, the State Capitol;  
20. we have the State Mansion, it seems to me the older they are,  
21. of course, the more the need to repair, and I speak in favor  
22. of the bill.  
23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
24. Senator Buzbee. When your turn comes, Senator, you'll be called.  
25. SENATOR BUZBEE:  
26. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. President. I concur with what  
27. Senator Wooten and Senator Shapiro said, that this is the people's  
28. house, and we need to...to keep it in good shape and...and to repair  
29. it. Yet, the answer, I guess, that troubled me the most was the  
30. answer that Senator Shapiro gave that the structural sorts of  
31. repairs that were going to be made, he identified as some one hundred  
32. and eighty-two thousand dollars; and the balance...I'm not sure  
33. that you want to stand by that statement, Senator, because the

1. balance, as I understand it, then is going into carpeting and  
2. painting, is that...is that correct?

3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

4. Senator...Senator Shapiro.

5.

6.

7.

8.

End of Reel

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

1. SENATOR SHAPIRO:

2. No...no...the...as nearly as I can tell from the...analysis  
3. given to me, the...exterior items, which would be mainly structural,  
4. would amount to about a hundred and eighty-two thousand, seven  
5. hundred dollars. The Executive Mansion, the Carriage House and  
6. general items, which would include painting, carpeting, repair  
7. of minor leaks, that sort of thing, would probably account for  
8. approximately a hundred and sixty-eight thousand or two hundred  
9. and sixty-eight thousand dollars for the rest of it.

10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

11. Senator Buzbee.

12. SENATOR BUZBEE:

13. Well, I don't know anything about construction, but if  
14. you look at this thing closely, we have fifty-five thousand  
15. dollars for architects and engineers fees. I mean we're  
16. spending...we're spending almost six hundred thousand dollars  
17. just for...for repair. Now, you know, I think we could almost  
18. renovate the entire inside for six hundred thousand dollars.  
19. We're spending...we're spending contingency, forty-five thousand  
20. dollars, I don't know what the contingency is for. We're spending  
21. it on the first floor level, one hundred and eighteen thousand  
22. dollars. And, again, I do not object at all to keeping the...the  
23. Governor's Mansion in...in tip-top shape, but...six hundred  
24. thousand dollars is just...I...I don't...it's too much.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

26. Senator Chew.

27. SENATOR CHEW:

28. See, I had to call your attention to it before you got  
29. to me.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

31. Just press your light button, Senator...

32. SENATOR CHEW:

33. Thank you...thank you, Mr. President.

34. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

1. ...indicating you wish to speak and you'll be recognized  
2. and we'll follow it right in order just like every other Senator.

3. SENATOR CHEW:

4. You may have some problems with your sight. I had it  
5. pressed since early this morning.

6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

7. But you weren't here.

8. SENATOR CHEW:

9. And all other the leaders get up here, they recognize me,  
10. we going to check you out. Thank you, Mr. President. I would  
11. assume that the Governor who resides at the peoples' house  
12. will certainly have a better working knowledge of what the  
13. house needs than I would as a legislator. I'm not on the  
14. Space Needs Committee nor am I on the Capital Development  
15. Board nor am I an inspector of housing. I know my little  
16. house in Chicago needs a little repairs from here and time and  
17. again so you have to make an allocation for that money. On  
18. the other hand, if we don't contract for it, immediately, the  
19. way we're dealing with inflation, there may be additional  
20. dollars needed in the future for the same things that we are  
21. asking to be done now. I'm very proud of the State of Illinois.  
22. I'm proud of the Governor's Mansion. And I don't think that we  
23. ought to be so picayunish to try to pick it out dollar by dollar,  
24. it's too small and the Senate has big men in it. And their ideas  
25. ought to be similiar to that stature...and women...beautiful,  
26. beautiful big women. And then we have a...we have a huge  
27. Governor and...and he's a very large man and we may have to  
28. add a little extra padding under the new carpeting and he  
29. ought to have the new carpeting. Because when I bring guests  
30. into the Mansion from other countries, so forth, I want them  
31. to see the best. And I'm not going to be concerned about  
32. whether he had enough money to put the mortar between the outer  
33. brick, I want him to have enough money to put some new bricks



1. on there if that's what required. So we're not making any  
2. record back home trying to pick the little budget apart and  
3. run back and say, oh, I voted against that, the Governor doesn't  
4. need it. Remember, Gentlemen, one day one of you may sit there.  
5. So I support the bill. And if you keep fighting it, then we  
6. going to fight you to keep you from sitting there, so you better  
7. get on it.

8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

9. Senator Nimrod.

10. SENATOR NIMROD:

11. Mr...Mr. President, thank you. I...I think what we had  
12. better do is to determine what the cause is as to why the maintenance  
13. has not been kept up and maybe those of us who have been here for  
14. several years have been watching this State Capitol here being  
15. in constant reconstruction and all the repairs being made. And  
16. I...I think that it's our responsibility to make sure that the  
17. ...the buildings are maintained properly. But maybe what we  
18. ought to do is look and see why this Capitol Complex...that maybe  
19. the Mansion ought to be included with the same Space Needs  
20. Commission or with the others that have some jurisdiction.  
21. Maybe there hasn't been the kind of follow-up that's necessary.  
22. So I think this bill is necessary, but we ought to look further  
23. to see about who can be accountable and who can maintain these  
24. ...these structures properly.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

26. Senator Keats.

27. SENATOR KEATS:

28. I move the previous question.

29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

30. Senator, we have two requests, Senator Berning and Senator  
31. Rhoads for the second time, then we'll move the question. Senator  
32. Berning.

33. SENATOR BERNING:

1. Thank you, Mr. President. I'm rising on a point of  
2. personal privilege.

3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

4. State your point.

5. SENATOR BERNING:

6. Since this other may go on for some time and since our  
7. guests are never allowed to remain in the gallery very long...  
8. but I did want the students from Saint Bede's School in Ingelside,  
9. Illinois; which is part of the Thirty-second District to rise  
10. and be recognized by the Senate. They are here with their  
11. chaperones visiting the Capitol and I point out to you and to  
12. them, they may be the ones who will be paying for this bill  
13. we're voting on shortly. May I ask that they be asked to  
14. rise and be recognized.

15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

16. Students please rise and be recognized. Senator Rhoads,  
17. for the second time.

18. SENATOR RHOADS:

19. Mr. President, I apologize for rising for a second time.  
20. This just happens to be one of those bills where, unfortunately  
21. for Senator Shapiro, a serious point could be made, and that is  
22. basically this. When Senator Buzbee had referred to the people's  
23. house, Senator Wooten had too, you know, I have some peoples'  
24. houses in my district, in the Village of Indian Head Park that  
25. had been flooding out year after year and for three years I've  
26. tried to get the only appropriation bill I've ever asked for,  
27. thirty-five thousand dollars for a flood detention pond on  
28. State owned property. When I see thirty-five thousand for  
29. Jenner and Block and forty-five and fifty thousand for contingencies,  
30. it just sort of lets me know where our priorities are. It...may  
31. be the peoples' house, but only one couple that I know of, live  
32. in it. And we've got a lot of people in my district in Indian  
33. Head Park, along old Route 66 and Wolf Road that are being flooded

1. out again this spring because DOT couldn't find thirty-five  
2. thousand. Senator Coffey, Senator Chew supported me, passed  
3. both Houses of the General Assembly, what happens, a veto. And  
4. I'm only saying this because the Governor's representative was :  
5. on the Floor. It's just the kind of thing where we ought to  
6. be more sensitive to the houses of people who are our constituents,  
7. than for the public Mansion.

8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

9. Is there further discussion? Senator Shapiro may close  
10. debate.

11. SENATOR SHAPIRO:

12. Roll call.

13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

14. Roll call. The question is shall Senate Bill 1651 pass.  
15. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting  
16. is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?  
17. Take the record. On that question the Ayes are 43, the Nays  
18. are 10 and 2 Voting Present. Senate Bill 1651, having received  
19. the constitutional majority is declared passed. For what purpose  
20. does Senator Carroll arise?

21. SENATOR CARROLL:

22. There's a rumor floating around that the Governor already  
23. has copies of that roll call and those who voted green were  
24. invited to lunch.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

26. Senate Bill 1654, Senator Shapiro.

27. SENATOR SHAPIRO:

28. Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.  
29. I thought maybe we would consider this bill also and just  
30. let everybody get all their gripes and everything out of  
31. their system in one shot. Senate Bill 1654...

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

33. Senator...could we have the Secretary read the bill?

1. SENATOR SHAPIRO:

2. Oh, okay.

3. SECRETARY:

4. Senate Bill 1654.

5. (Secretary reads title of bill)

6. 3rd reading of the bill.

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

8. Senator Shapiro.

9. SENATOR SHAPIRO:

10. Senate Bill 1654 appropriates seventy-five thousand for  
11. the expenses of the Midwestern Governor's Conference which  
12. will be held in Chicago in the latter part of August. There  
13. are thirteen states involved in it. It will be held at the  
14. Continental Plaza from August 24th to 26th and the...its  
15. appropriation will be used for the following purposes; reception  
16. the night before the conference, a State dinner, business luncheon,  
17. dinner for the Governors and spouses, telephone system, printing  
18. of the program, rental of office equipment, speakers for the  
19. conference and transportation for the Governors from the airport  
20. and cars for their use while at the conference. By way of  
21. comparison, last year when Missouri hosted the conference at  
22. Lake of the Ozarks, they spent approximately a hundred and  
23. thirty-five thousand dollars. If you desire any other information,  
24. I'll try to answer your question to the best of my ability, other-  
25. wise I would appreciate a favorable roll call.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

27. Is there further discussion? If not, the question is shall  
28. Senate Bill 1654 pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed  
29. vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have  
30. all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question the  
31. Ayes are 44, the Nays are 10, none Voting Present. Senate Bill  
32. 1654 having received the constitutional majority is declared  
33. passed. Senate Bill 1668, Senator Chew. Read the bill, Mr.

1. Secretary.

2. SECRETARY:

3. Senate Bill 1668.

4. (Secretary reads title of bill)

5. 3rd reading of the bill.

6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

7. Senator Chew.

8. SENATOR CHEW:

9. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the

10. Senate. Senate Bill 1668 is a derivative of a long study in

11. conjunction with the Secretary of State's Office dealing

12. with restricted driving permits. And it also defines what a

13. driving permit is, restricted. After a long study, Mr. President,

14. it was discovered that some of the driving permits that are

15. issued now were not specific enough to protect the motorists

16. and the public. So consequently the Motor Vehicle Laws Commission

17. structured this bill...where it is, in fact, now, totally restrictive

18. and self-explanatory of the legislation. And I would ask for

19. a favorable roll call. If there are any questions, I'll be

20. glad to try and answer them.

21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

22. Is there further discussion? Senator Regner.

23. SENATOR REGNER:

24. Will Senator Chew...yield to a question?

25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

26. He indicates he will.

27. SENATOR REGNER:

28. Sir, I understand there was a fiscal note that had been

29. requested on this bill when it was on 2nd reading. Could you

30. tell us what the Department of Transportation's estimated cost

31. would be to implement this program?

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

33. Senator Chew.

1. SENATOR CHEW:

2. Senator Regner, there is no fiscal note attached here.

3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

4. Senator Regner.

5. SENATOR REGNER:

6. Well, our information is DOT estimates a cost of  
7. five hundred and seventy-six thousand dollars to implement  
8. the program and I'm not sure how it was being funded, General  
9. Revenue, Road Fund or what, but there is a cost involved.

10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

11. Senator Chew.

12. SENATOR CHEW:

13. No sir, I'm...I respectfully disagree with you at the  
14. committee hearing, DOT did not testify in that aspect of the  
15. bill at all. They merely inquired as to the cost as to  
16. whether it would be coming from the Road Fund or elsewhere.  
17. As we know, some of...fines that go into citations do, in fact,  
18. get into the Road Fund, so it will not be an impact on the  
19. Road Fund for this program. Senator, we presently have...restricted  
20. permit driving authorization now. This bill will not, in fact,  
21. increase the revenue nor decrease. This is merely to define  
22. and to make it a little more restrictive and to give better  
23. instructions as to what the requirements are on...on a restricted  
24. driver's permit. So it...it doesn't deal with the fiscal note, Sir.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

26. Senator Regner.

27. SENATOR REGNER:

28. Well, just...just for the members. Since 1977, approximately  
29. twenty-seven thousand, five hundred drivers, have completed the  
30. driver improvement...program at a cost of three hundred ninety-  
31. seven thousand dollars. It comes to an average of fourteen dollars  
32. and forty cents per participant. The Secretary of State estimates  
33. that forty thousand drivers are convicted annually for having

1. three moving violations. If all of these drivers were to parti-  
2. cipate in the current driver improvement clinics, the cost  
3. would be five hundred and seventy-six thousand dollars per  
4. year and I don't know whether these monies are budgeted, but  
5. I intend to vote No on that basis.

6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

7. Senator Coffey.

8. SENATOR COFFEY:

9. Yes, Mr. President and members of the Senate, I rise  
10. in favor of this bill. I think it's a badly needed piece of  
11. legislation and I know there is some dollar cost to it, but  
12. my constituents in my district are demanding that we use  
13. these measures to solve the hazards on the roads and the  
14. DWI's and so on and I think it's a badly needed piece of  
15. legislation. I would ask your favorable vote for this bill.

16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

17. Senator Vadalabene.

18. SENATOR VADALABENE:

19. Yes, I also rise in support of this bill. It contains  
20. the necessary emergency remedial legislation, corrects several  
21. obvious deficiencies in the present statutory language affecting  
22. the issuance of restricted driving permits. Hopefully, by giving  
23. the Secretary of State more authority and by placing additional  
24. responsibility upon the recipients of those...permits, we are  
25. not only making them more difficult to obtain, but just as  
26. importantly, we are requiring the holder of these permits to  
27. continue to show that they are not abusing this conditional  
28. privilege to operate a...a motor vehicle. And I might add that  
29. the Department of Law Enforcement has also had an input in this  
30. emergency legislation and they are supportive of this bill.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

32. Senator Davidson.

33. SENATOR DAVIDSON:

1. Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, I rise in support  
2. of this bill and it may cost some dollars, but this bill  
3. arose from the fact that a man was driving with a DWI restricted  
4. permit in all...total violation. And those three people he killed  
5. on that intersection down by Granite City on Christmas Eve sure  
6. as heck would like to have their lives back and to have an  
7. opportunity to have someone spend the money so the person  
8. would be controlled. The gist of this bill...the gist of this  
9. bill, Ladies and Gentlemen, is to get at the person who may  
10. have a DWI and in the past has been given a permit, a restricted  
11. permit, on his say-so of making a livelihood for his family and  
12. I agreed with that. But almost every one of those people have  
13. abused the privilege and this is what...going to do is dry up  
14. that privilege. If there's a legitimate need for the permit  
15. so the person can support his family, fine, otherwise, he's  
16. going to have to pay the penalty for a DWI and I've been the  
17. victim four times by a DWI driver, I have real support for  
18. this bill. I urge all of you to vote Aye.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

20. Senator Hall.

21. SENATOR HALL:

22. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
23. Senate. As been previously stated, this proposal is necessary  
24. because of several unfortunate traffic fatalities. It's needed  
25. legislation and I would urge that we give an Aye vote to it.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

27. Senator Sommer.

28. SENATOR SOMMER:

29. Well, just to the bill itself, Mr. President, the fact  
30. that you don't give somebody a piece of paper doesn't mean  
31. they're going to drive, they're going to be out driving anyway.  
32. But, number two and I think that's the important thing, this  
33. Calendar is full of new programs and every time you pass a



1. bill, you say it doesn't cost anything, well, it sure does, it's  
2. five hundred thousand bucks on this one this year, maybe, and there's  
3. all kinds of them here. And you can't go home and say you're  
4. cutting spending if you keep enacting new Statutory programs  
5. that require us to spend. That's what this does and I think  
6. people ought to be aware of it.

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

8. Any further discussion? If not, Senator Chew...Senator  
9. D'Arco.

10. SENATOR D'ARCO:

11. Under the law now, if a person's license is revoked or  
12. suspended, he can make application for a hardship license  
13. that would permit him to drive to and from work so he  
14. doesn't have any difficulty when he is, in fact, working.  
15. Isn't that true?

16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

17. Senator Chew.

18. SENATOR CHEW:

19. That is absolutely the truth, Senator, and on the other  
20. hand, that hardship license as we call it, is not as restrictive  
21. as the one that we proposed. The purpose is, Senator, as Senator  
22. Davidson has indicated, the bill is a product of a furious  
23. accident that did happen. We are not changing the original  
24. Statute in the degree whereby we will not issue these restrictive  
25. permits. We're going to issue them with more restriction on  
26. them, so...how can you...place a dollar value on the savings  
27. of human life? So that's what this bill is all about. Now, sure,  
28. we can pick it apart, but you have to keep in mind, we already  
29. have it on the Statute books, we're just making it more restrictive.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

31. Senator D'Arco. Is there further discussion? If not,  
32. Senator Chew may close the debate.

33. SENATOR CHEW:

SB 1706  
5/7/80  
H. Rupp

1. Mr. President, I would ask for a favorable roll call on  
2. Senate Bill 1668.

3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

4. The question is shall Senate Bill 1668 pass. Those in  
5. favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open.  
6. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the  
7. record. On that question the Ayes are 38, the Nays are 10,  
8. 3 Voting Present. Senate Bill 1668, having received a consti-  
9. tutional majority is declared passed. Senate Bill...for what  
10. purpose does Senator Maragos arise?

11. SENATOR MARAGOS:

12. Mr. President and members of the Senate, I rise on a  
13. point of personal privilege of...of a certain nature in that  
14. last Sunday we had the birthday of one of our fellow members  
15. and colleagues, who happens to have the same birthdate that  
16. our President of the Senate does and that's May 4th. We  
17. celebrated the President's birthday last week, I think it's  
18. time we also celebrate Senator Berman's birthday this week  
19. and has...and has been announced by his secretary that there  
20. is cake in his office to be devoured by anyone who desires  
21. to enjoy the cake and coffee and we wish to acknowledge Senator  
22. Berman's birthday. He is forty-five years old, he's getting  
23. up there.

24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

25. Forty-five and holding. Senate Bill 1706, Senator Rupp.  
26. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

27. SECRETARY:

28. Senate Bill 1706.

29. (Secretary reads title of bill)

30. 3rd reading of the bill.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

32. Senator Rupp.

33. SENATOR RUPP:

1. Thank you, Mr. President. This bill is intended to  
2. address a...the common child abuse situation where there is  
3. serious injury to the child. It creates a new and a nonpro-  
4. bationable Class II felony and it's entitled, Aggravated  
5. Battery of a Child. This is committed when a person eighteen  
6. years or older, knowingly, intentionally and without lawful  
7. justification, causes great bodily harm or permanent disability  
8. or disfigurement to any child under the age of thirteen. Now,  
9. in an attempt to keep the family together, even in a situation  
10. like that, a special sentencing provision is provided where  
11. a natural or an adoptive parent...and also at the request of  
12. the chairman of the committee, Chairman Sangmeister, it was  
13. amended to include the spouse of adoptive parents, that the  
14. court could place such a parent on probation for at least  
15. two years subsequent to the conviction. And on successful  
16. completion of the probation the charges are dismissed. I  
17. ask for a favorable roll call.

18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

19. Senator Knuppel.

20. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

21. Mr. Chairman and members of the Body, this sounds like  
22. motherhood and it's a good concept in its original instance,  
23. it's the exception that I quarrel with. This bill excludes  
24. parents and adoptive parents from this. In other words, if  
25. you're a parent or an adoptive parent, you can beat the hell  
26. out of your kids and you won't get probation, as I understand  
27. it. Has it been amended since committee?

28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

29. Senator Rupp.

30. SENATOR RUPP:

31. Yes, thank you, Mr. President. Yes...that what it does,  
32. it provides that the natural parent, the adoptive parent, and  
33. the spouse of adoptive parents, that was the amendment that we

1. put on at the request of Chairman Sangmeister.

2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3. Senator Knuppel.

4. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

5. Well, I object to the bill because parents and adoptive  
6. parents under the guise that it will keep the family unit together  
7. do...or are not subject, they can get probation, if I understand  
8. the bill correctly. That is the most usual situation, is where  
9. a parent or an adoptive parent comes home drunk, knocks the  
10. hell out of their kid, anybody else do that, they would go to jail.  
11. The person that loves them ought to be the last one to beat  
12. on him, he can get probation. Now, I question, first of all  
13. the constitutionality of drawing this distinction. It doesn't  
14. ...it doesn't allow brothers or sisters or anyone else probation,  
15. but the very parent who loves that child the most and ought to  
16. be the last to touch that child in violence or excessive violence,  
17. is allowed probation. This is an extremely bad bill to have  
18. this exception in it. It ought to be taken back to 2nd reading  
19. and just make it an aggravated offense for anybody over eighteen  
20. to...to...to assault a child. The way it is, parents are  
21. excused, and there's no reason they should be excused. They're  
22. the last people who ought to strike a child. And what you're  
23. doing is you're...you're...you're gobbling up the whole...  
24. gobbledygooking up the whole criminal procedure, it's a separate  
25. bill as opposed to cab drivers, park district people, other people,  
26. policemen, and so forth. So, you're...you're creating a...a  
27. disemboweled criminal procedure because it's...it's born separate  
28. from the regular Aggravated Assault Statute. But the thing I  
29. object to is that it excuses parents who should be the last  
30. persons to touch their children, but doesn't excuse anybody  
31. else. It doesn't excuse teachers, it doesn't excuse older  
32. brothers or sisters, but parents who are the ones who most  
33. frequently, parents who are errant parents, who most frequently

1. beat their children to excess, are excused, they are, they can  
2. be given probation, and that is wrong. It's the exception in  
3. this bill that's wrong.

4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

5. Senator Martin.

6. SENATOR MARTIN:

7. Will the sponsor yield?

8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

9. He indicates he will.

10. SENATOR MARTIN:

11. Senator Rupp, I...think Senator Knuppel does bring up  
12. a point, I'm not sure the battered child, really, in one  
13. way, cares who battered them, you know, it doesn't take...  
14. make the hurt less. But, even assuming that the courts wish  
15. to keep the home together, and perhaps grant the parents a...a  
16. degree of counseling, is there anything in your bill that  
17. would have, if that parent while on that probation, or spouse,  
18. or whomever, that if that parent again battered that child,  
19. because too often it is, even with counseling, repetitious,  
20. that at that point, forget the probation, the penalty comes on.

21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

22. Senator Rupp.

23. SENATOR RUPP:

24. Thank you, Mr. President. Yes, at that point the probation  
25. is...revoked, it's just withdrawn. And as far as Senator Knuppel's  
26. question, I...I do feel that we are already spending millions  
27. and millions of dollars trying to rehabilitate all other kinds  
28. of criminals, there's no question that this is a criminal act.  
29. And we're not saying that we're just...soft just because of the  
30. parents. But I do think if there's any merit at all in rehabilitation  
31. I think it's in a case like this where also involved is the keeping  
32. together of the family.

33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

1. Senator Collins.

2. SENATOR COLLINS:

3. Thank you, Mr. President. Question of the sponsor.

4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

5. He indicates he will yield.

6. SENATOR COLLINS:

7. In most cases, now, unless the beating results into death,

8. isn't a parent usually put on probation? And the kid is probably

9. temporarily placed in a foster home or something until...for a

10. specific length of time?

11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

12. Senator Rupp.

13. SENATOR RUPP:

14. I'm sorry, I don't know that, usually I would...that might

15. be my impression, yes, but I don't know whether that's accurate.

16. You've asked me my impression, I'd say yes, but I don't know

17. whether it's an accurate impression or not.

18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

19. Senator Collins.

20. SENATOR COLLINS:

21. Well, I think that's...in most cases, that's how the cases

22. are resolved, where the parent is put on probation. But it...in

23. most cases there's some protection for the child if it's a

24. seriously beaten child, the court determine whether or not that

25. that kid should be placed with the family or at the same time

26. the judge usually makes some recommendations for counseling or

27. ...or some kind of treatment for that particular parent. Now,

28. I'm trying to find out in your bill, are you...are you saying

29. now, that the judge, in...in an effort to keep the family to-

30. gether, will say to a parent of a battered child, that in order

31. to keep this family together, the kid can go back home, but

32. you have to go through some kind of program of rehabilitation,

33. meanwhile the kid is there unprotected on the word of the parent

1. that says that I'm going to participate in this rehabilitation  
2. program.

3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

4. Senator Rupp.

5. SENATOR RUPP:

6. Thank you, Mr. President. That is basically what the  
7. ...situation would be, but there's no intent in this to  
8. change or subrogate anything else as far as any other proceedings  
9. that are available under any Juvenile Court Act or anything  
10. like that. If the judge...if the judge deems that that...in  
11. this situation, in a particular situation, the child should  
12. be removed from the home, that's what he will do. The judge  
13. feels that there is no chance of rehabilitation for this  
14. parent, the judge will not grant the probation. The other  
15. point that is being missed, perhaps, is that, many, many of  
16. the instances where there is child abuse and then these are  
17. perhaps the ones that we hear about most, is where the offender  
18. is a live-in boyfriend or girlfriend. And in those cases, is  
19. where we feel there should be some prompt and efficient, right  
20. now punishment.

21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

22. Senator Collins.

23. SENATOR COLLINS:

24. I agree with you, but I don't think this bill provides  
25. that right now...punishment, it's either or. You're saying the  
26. judge can...you're making it an aggravated battery, but you're  
27. saying the judge can put that person on probation in an effort to keep...

28. SENATOR RUPP:

29. Only if it's a parent, or an adoptive parent or a spouse  
30. of the parent. The...the thing that...this is not an automatic  
31. type thing that has to happen. The judge is going to use his...his  
32. opinion, his feelings and his investigation of the case is going  
33. to decide what happens. And I think we should give...an opportunity

1. like that...for such a judgment to be made.

2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3. Senator Bowers.

4. SENATOR BOWERS:

5. Thank you, Mr. President. I'd like to rise in support  
6. of the bill and let's put this thing in perspective. I think  
7. everybody on the Floor ought to understand that aggravated  
8. battery is a probationable offense today. This bill, in no  
9. way, loosens up today's restrictions. What it attempts to  
10. do is to divide out what are sick people, in the case of the  
11. parents, and people who are not. And it says that aggravated  
12. battery of a child, if it's other than a parent, becomes a  
13. nonprobationable offense. It tightens up the law, it makes  
14. it much stronger and I think children need this protection  
15. and I would urge your support.

16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

17. Senator Newhouse.

18. SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

19. The sponsor yield to a question, please?

20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

21. He indicates he will.

22. SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

23. Senator, I think we're all in sympathy...with what it  
24. is you're trying to do, but could you give me the definition  
25. of the type of injury to which this Statute would apply?

26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

27. Senator Rupp.

28. SENATOR RUPP:

29. Well, the only thing I have to read, I don't have any,  
30. you know, books or anything like that, but it's a...committed  
31. aggravated battery of a child when a person eighteen years  
32. or older, knowingly, intentionally, without lawful justification,  
33. causes great bodily harm or permanent disability or disfigurement  
34. to any child under the age of thirteen.



1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
2. Senator Newhouse.  
3. SENATOR RUPP:  
4. That...that follows the language in the Aggravated Battery  
5. Statute.  
6. SENATOR NEWHOUSE:  
7. A...a, I take it Senator, you may want to confer with...  
8. with counsel, that there is a definition of a legal definition  
9. of the types of injury this covers. Is there such?  
10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
11. Senator Rupp.  
12. SENATOR RUPP:  
13. Basically, according to counsel, and thank you for permitting  
14. that, is just what I read.  
15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
16. Senator Newhouse.  
17. SENATOR NEWHOUSE:  
18. Are...are you then talking about broken bones and fractures  
19. and that kind of injury?  
20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
21. Senator Rupp.  
22. SENATOR RUPP:  
23. Yes, I think that's bodily harm, great bodily harm to  
24. an individual. I do believe that that...that is.  
25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
26. Senator Newhouse.  
27. SENATOR NEWHOUSE:  
28. Well, Senator, I...I would...I would think that Senator  
29. ...Senator Knuppel is absolutely correct in this instance, that  
30. ...a parent who commits that kind of injury to a child, would  
31. seem to be a special kind of problem and I...I cannot imagine  
32. but few incidences in which a judge, in his right mind, send  
33. that child back into that home. It seems to me that...I would

1. agree with Senator Knuppel. I think that...that the parents  
2. should certainly be culpable in that kind of injury situation.  
3. SENATOR RUPP:  
4. ...Mr. President.  
5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
6. That was a statement, Senator. Or was that a question?  
7. SENATOR RUPP:  
8. Oh, well I would like to reply.  
9. SENATOR NEWHOUSE:  
10. ...If...if there is a response, I'd like to hear.  
11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
12. Senator Rupp.  
13. SENATOR RUPP:  
14. Thank you. This, basically, a parent could even plead  
15. guilty in this case. And if the judge, and I...I'm sorry  
16. I have difficulty...believing that some of you folks are  
17. not enthusiastic about a possibility of rehabilitation and  
18. permitting it. This permits rehabilitation...  
19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
20. Senator...  
21. SENATOR RUPP:  
22. ...and I think that's a point that we should...should  
23. emphasize.  
24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
25. Senator Newhouse.  
26. SENATOR NEWHOUSE:  
27. Well...Mr. Persident and Senators. I think we have to  
28. balance off the rehabilitation aspect for which I certainly  
29. have great concern against the aspect of what has happened to  
30. that child. And in...and in cases of grievous bodily harm,  
31. it seems to me the child comes first.  
32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)  
33. Channel 20 has requested leave to tape the proceedings.

1. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. Senator...Martin, did  
2. you wish to speak? Senator Knuppel.

3. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

4. Well, notwithstanding everything that's been said by  
5. Senator Rupp, and I appreciate his concern, but this takes  
6. away the chance for rehabilitation for someone who may be  
7. nineteen years old and a brother who gets in an argument  
8. with his kid sister or his kid brother and belts them one,  
9. he...he can't get probation, he goes to jail, while a parent  
10. across the street can knock the hell out of their kid, break  
11. some bones and they get a chance for rehabilitation, when  
12. they're the last people in the world that ought to be striking the  
13. child anyway. Now, all I can say is this, that if you pass  
14. it, the Governor signs it and it'll be held unconstitutional  
15. because it doesn't provide equal protection to those people.  
16. You're saying that parents are...who are the last people who  
17. ought to strike a child, can get a chance for rehabilitation,  
18. but a neighbor boy or girl or a neighbor parent or...or anybody  
19. else who causes great bodily harm to that child, can't get that  
20. second chance.

21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

22. Further discussion? Senator Berning.

23. SENATOR BERNING:

24. Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I think,  
25. perhaps, some of the confusion can be eliminated by calling your  
26. attention to the word on line 20, "may". This whole paragraph  
27. is predicated upon making this permissive for the court. And  
28. I think that ought to resolve it for those who have doubts as  
29. to whether or not we are abrogating somebody else's rights.  
30. This is making it clear that the court "may" provide this sort  
31. of a...an exception for the natural parents.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

33. Is there further discussion? If not, Senator...Senator

1. Bowers, again.

2. SENATOR BOWERS:

3. For the second time, Mr. President, I apologize for that.  
4. But there were a couple statements made that I think ought to  
5. be clarified. And again I would point out that today this is  
6. a probationable offense. We're making it...under this bill it  
7. would make it nonprobationable if it were somebody other than  
8. the parent. Now, we're not talking about the neighborhood  
9. kid because it only applies if the defendant is eighteen  
10. years or upward of age, it only applies if the victim is  
11. thirteen years or older. We're trying to protect the child  
12. and under those circumstances, I think this bill does it.  
13. It doesn't permit the neighborhood kid, it doesn't put him  
14. in any different category, that...that point I wanted to make.  
15. Secondly, and I repeated it for the third time, today, it's  
16. a probationable offense. We're tightening it up, we're  
17. saying it's nonprobationable where the kid gets the heck  
18. beat out of him and we're leaving it probationable as far as  
19. the parents are concerned because in those cases the people  
20. are sick many times and you ought to leave it on that basis.  
21. I would ask for a favorable roll call.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

23. Senator Knuppel.

24. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

25. Well, I'd...I'd let Senator Bowers know that I'm reasonably  
26. bright and I understand what the bill does. And I also...I also  
27. understand when I referred to a kid as anybody who is under twenty-  
28. two or twenty-three years of age. And I wasn't talking about  
29. somebody under eighteen. I can read, Senator Bowers. But what  
30. this does, is it gives the parent who is the last person in the  
31. world who ought to beat their child to excess, a better opportunity  
32. that somebody, who by accident or some reason, gets into a fight  
33. with that kid and does it. And it'll be unconstitutional if it's

1. passed. It's not providing equal protection and it gives the  
2. parents a break they damn well aren't entitled to.

3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

4. Senator Wooten.

5. SENATOR WOOTEN:

6. Thank you, Mr. President. I...I appreciate the debate  
7. between...particularly between Senator Bowers and Senator Knuppel,  
8. and the question that is in my mind is why would there not be  
9. an opportunity why...what is so great about not having an  
10. opportunity for probation. I don't think these things tend to  
11. be deterrents and the thing that impresses me with our legal...  
12. with our legal system is that you decide each case on its merits.  
13. And by...I...I'm...I guess I would say I'm disturbed at the way  
14. we are slamming doors and saying that you will automatically do  
15. this and automatically do that and this option is cut off. It  
16. seems to me we ought to leave judges and juries, if they're  
17. involved, a wider latitude. And I agree with Senator Knuppel  
18. perhaps from a different direction that we ought not cut off  
19. the option of probation automatically except for one class,  
20. because the way it works, the very first case you'll run into  
21. is one that probably ought to have the option of probation.  
22. Why do we not want to leave the legal system as many options  
23. as possible? I...I don't understand it, are we trying to get  
24. a time and motion approach to justice, make it all very  
25. simple, cut and dried, you follow this column and that's the  
26. remedy for this situation. I...as a nonlawyer, I thought  
27. the whole business of the legal system was to have a range  
28. of options and let the judge...and if a jury is involved, the  
29. jury decide what is best in that particular case. Because  
30. if anything has been borne...borne in upon me, in the years  
31. down here, is that every...every case is different. And that  
32. you can't apply uniform sanctions in a variety of situations,  
33. you just can't codify people like that. And I...I am at a

1. loss as to why we want to cut off that option. Is our experience  
2. such that all these cases are heinous?

3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

4. Is this question directed to Senator Rupp?

5. SENATOR WOOTEN:

6. To anybody who can answer it.

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

8. Senator, would you...there...no further discussion, would  
9. you like to answer that in closing arguments or...

10. SENATOR RUPP:

11. Yes, thank you. Thank you. There is...part of the reason  
12. for...for this bill is the fact that it has not been working  
13. under the present system, there's an attempt to make the child  
14. abuser...more strict...strictly accountable for what he does,  
15. and that's what the attempt is...the first part of it. As  
16. far as being an option on probation, I think that's true in  
17. many probation cases. Would it be that if it's a judge's  
18. decision that he grants him probation and not me probation,  
19. make it unconstitutional, I don't believe so. That authority  
20. is given to judges all the time, that's what we're trying in  
21. this case and I do ask for a favorable roll call.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

23. Well,wait I guess Senator Wooten was...had another question,  
24. Senator. Senator Wooten.

25. SENATOR WOOTEN:

26. No, I, you know, I...I genuinely, Senator Rupp, am trying  
27. to resolve this question in my mind to know...a yes or no. And  
28. I incline very much to a no on the basis of the argument I've  
29. heard thus far. I...I just can't see that, first of all if  
30. you leave the parent out, you may be making a distinction  
31. that...in other words, if probation is not a good thing, then  
32. I assume it's not a good thing across the board. But I'm  
33. not willing to...to make that concession, I grew up in a

1. very tough neighborhood and a lot of us got beat up many times  
2. by people who would fit into this and we recovered very nicely.  
3. I have no brief for child abuse at all, but it seems to me  
4. the more automatic and unyielding the law gets, the greater  
5. injustice that is done. I don't believe such actions are  
6. deterrents to crime, I really don't. People do the things  
7. they do, not because they stop and weigh the penalty, but  
8. because of the passions that move them at the moment. And  
9. it may be that that person, the fact of having done that, that  
10. person will be a good candidate for probation. To cut off the  
11. option, I...I just don't think is wise.

12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

13. Senator Davidson. Is there further discussion? If not,  
14. Senator Rupp may close debate...wait, Senator Sangmeister.

15. SENATOR SANGMEISTER:

16. Yes, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I think  
17. Senator Bowers tried to put this thing in perspective and  
18. really what you've got, as I see it, is a philosophical  
19. argument of which way you want to go. If you want to follow  
20. Senator Knuppel's theory, the...the parents should...should  
21. have obviously no exceptions whatsoever. They are the ones  
22. that have done it, they ought to have the...same punishment  
23. as anyone else. If you want to buy Senator Rupp's theory  
24. and the bill's theory, there ought to be a chance for the  
25. court to be able to sit down with the parents and with the  
26. child that's involved, put this on a probation basis with  
27. the hope that the parents will rehabilitate themselves. If  
28. you don't think that's going to happen, you ought to vote No.  
29. If you do think it's a good way to go, you ought to vote Yes.  
30. It's really not that difficult.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

32. If there's no further discussion, Senator Rupp may close  
33. debate.

1. SENATOR RUPP:

2. Thank you, Mr. President. Just briefly, I'd like to  
3. remind this Body that this bill, last year, was voted out of  
4. here favorably 44 votes in favor of it, 3 against it. I ask  
5. for a roll call.

6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

7. The question is shall Senate Bill 1706 pass. Those in  
8. favor will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting  
9. is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?  
10. Take the record. On that question the Ayes are 31, the Nays  
11. are 13, 6 Voting Present. Senate Bill 1706 having received  
12. the constitutional majority is declared passed. For what  
13. purpose does Senator Rock seek recognition?

14. SENATOR ROCK:

15. Thank you, Mr. President. Oh, yeah, all right. I was  
16. going to make an announcement, Senator Knuppel, I think, was  
17. up before me.

18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

19. Senator Knuppel seeks verification of the roll call on  
20. Senate Bill 1706. Secretary will please call the roll of the  
21. affirmative votes and the Senators, would you please be in  
22. your seats.

23. SECRETARY:

24. The following...excuse me...voted in the affirmative:  
25. Becker, Berning, Bloom, Bowers, Carroll, Coffey, Davidson, DeAngelis,  
26. Friedland, Geo-Karis, Grotberg, Keats, Maitland, Martin, McLendon,  
27. McMillan, Merlo, Mitchler, Moore, Nimrod, Philip, Regner, Rhoads,  
28. Rupp, Sangmeister, Schaffer, Shapiro, Sommer, Vadalabene, Walsh,  
29. Weaver.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

31. Is there any question of the affirmative votes?

32. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

33. Senator Grotberg?



1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

2. Is Senator Grotberg present?

3. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

4. Keats?

5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

6. Senator Keats present? He's in his seat. Is there any  
7. further question? The roll call has been verified and the  
8. Ayes are 31 and the Nays are 13 and 6 Voting Present. The  
9. bill is declared passed. For what purpose does Senator Rock  
10. arise?

11. SENATOR ROCK:

12. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of  
13. the Senate. We have done, I think, a good deal of work  
14. this morning and I purpose now, since the Committee on Reorgani-  
15. zation is scheduled to meet at noon in this Chamber and the  
16. Committee on Appropriations will meet at one o'clock, I  
17. would propose that we stand adjourned and I would ask the  
18. attention of the membership. Tomorrow morning I am informed  
19. there is a meeting of Appropriations II at 9:00 a. m. and  
20. so the Session will begin at eleven, eleven o'clock tomorrow  
21. morning and we will adjourn now if the motion is successful  
22. until eleven o'clock tomorrow morning.

23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

24. ...The motion to adjourn until eleven o'clock tomorrow  
25. morning. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those  
26. opposed. The Ayes have it. For what purpose does Senator  
27. Carroll arise?

28. SENATOR CARROLL:

29. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of  
30. the Senate. I have a motion to suspend the Six Day Posting Rule  
31. in order to hear two House appropriation bills that are supple-  
32. mentals and alleged to be emergencies, House Bill 3040 and  
33. 3042, Departments of Revenue and Institute of Natural Resources  
34. respectfully, to be heard tomorrow at one o'clock in Room 212

1. in the Appropriations I meeting. I move to suspend the Six  
2. Day Posting Rule.

3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

4. You've heard the motion. Is leave granted? Leave is  
5. granted. Senator Buzbee.

6. SENATOR BUZBEE:

7. Thank you, Mr. President. Purpose of an announcement.  
8. Appropriations II Committee will meet this afternoon at  
9. one o'clock as Senator Rock indicated. At nine tomorrow  
10. morning, it's the Appropriations II Mental Health Subcommittee.  
11. It's the Department of Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities  
12. Subcommittee, which will meet at nine tomorrow morning. We'll  
13. wrap that up in two hours, so those five people who are members  
14. of that subcommittee would please be there on time, we'll start  
15. promptly as we only have two hours.

16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

17. Senator Vadalabene.

18. SENATOR VADALABENE:

19. Yes, I...may I have leave to be shown as joint sponsor  
20. of Senate Bill 1665. I have permission from the sponsor, Senator  
21. Sommer.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

23. Leave...is leave granted? Leave is granted. Senator Philip.

24. SENATOR PHILIP:

25. Thank you, Mr. President, leave for an announcement?  
26. We're going to have baseball practice tomorrow, one hour after  
27. the Session ends. So, I hope that everybody would remember to bring  
28. their equipment and show up. It'll only probably last for about  
29. an hour. Thank you.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

31. Senator Netsch.

32. SENATOR NETSCH:

33. Thank you, Mr. President. The Committee on State Government

1. Reorganization will meet as soon as we have adjourned and
2. the Floor is cleared. We will be hearing witnesses. I do
3. not know exactly how long it will take, but I suspect at
4. least an hour.
5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
6.       Any further announcements? Resolutions.
7. SECRETARY:
8.       Senate Resolution 480 offered by Senator Newhouse and
9. all Senators, it's congratulatory.
10.       Senate Resolution 481 offered by Senators Maragos, Geo-Karis
11. and others, it's congratulatory.
12.       Senate Resolution 482 offered by Senator Daley and
13. all Senators, it's congratulatory.
14.       Senate Resolution 483 offered by Senator McLendon and all
15. Senators and it's a death resolution.
16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
17.       The Senate, pursuant to Senator Rock's motion, the Senate
18. will now stand adjourned until 11:00 a. m. Wednesday, May...
19. Thursday, May 8th, 1980.
- 20.
- 21.
- 22.
- 23.
- 24.
- 25.
- 26.
- 27.
- 28.
- 29.
- 30.
- 31.
- 32.
- 33.