

81ST GENERAL ASSEMBLY

REGULAR SESSION

APRIL 17, 1980

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

2. The hour of nine having arrived, the Senate will come to
3. order. Prayer by the Reverend Mason Finks, First United Methodist
4. Church of Springfield. Will our guests in the galleries please rise.

5. REVEREND MASON FINKS:

6. (Prayer given by Reverend Finks)

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

8. Reading of the Journal.

9. ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

10. Wednesday, April 9, 1980, Thursday, April 10, 1980.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

12. Senator Johns.

13. SENATOR JOHNS:

14. Mr. President, I move that the Journals just read by
15. the Secretary be approved unless some Senator has additions
16. or corrections to offer.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

18. The motion is to approve the Journals just read. Is
19. there discussion of the motion? All in favor say Aye. Opposed
20. Nay. The Ayes have it. The motion prevails. Senator Johns.

21. SENATOR JOHNS:

22. Thank you, Mr. President. I move that reading and approval
23. of the Journals of Tuesday, April the 15th, and Wednesday, April
24. the 16th, in the year 1980 be postponed pending arrival of the
25. printed Journal.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

27. You've heard the motion. Is there discussion? All in favor
28. say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. The motion prevails.
29. Committee Reports.

30. ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

31. Rules Committee Report. Pursuant to amended Rule 5, the Rules
32. Committee meet at 3:30 p.m., Wednesday, April 16th, 1980, and the
33. following members were present; Senators Rock, Donnewald, Bruce,
Hall, Johns, Savickas, Weaver, Walsh, and Philip. By unanimous

1. vote the committee ruled that the following bills can be con-
2. sidered during this Session of the Senate: Senate Bills 16...
3. 615, 934, 1483, 1486, 1500, 1510, 1548, 1680, 1728, 1747, 1750,
4. 1752, 1953, 1956, and 1966. The foregoing bills were ordered
5. sent to the Committee on Assignment of Bills. Signed, Senator
6. Philip J. Rock, Chairman.

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

8. For what purpose does Senator Donnewald arise?

9. SENATOR DONNEWALD:

10. Well, in the spirit of things, and I think for this Session,
11. I want to remove House Bills 1108, 1109, and 1453 for the purpose
12. of Tabling from the Executive Appointments Committee.

13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

14. You've heard the motion. Is there discussion? The motion
15. is to discharge those bills from the Committee on Executive
16. Appointments. Discussion on the motion. All in favor say Aye.
17. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. The bills are discharged. Now
18. the motion is...Senator Donnewald.

19. SENATOR DONNEWALD:

20. The motion is to...is to Table House Bills 1108, 1109, and
21. House Bills 1453, and I would hope that a lot of other people
22. do the same.

23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

24. You've heard the motion. Is there discussion? All in favor
25. say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. The named bills are
26. hereby Tabled. Committee Reports.

27. ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

28. Senator Wooten, Chairman of the Committee on Executive reports
29. Senate Resolutions 371, 420, 442, House Joint Resolution, 91,
30. House...Senate Joint Resolution 91, House Joint Resolution 84, with
31. the recommendation the resolutions be adopted. Senate Resolution
32. 364, 398, and House Joint Resolution 59, with the recommendation
33. the resolutions be adopted as amended. Senate Bill 2005, with
the recommendation the bill Do Pass as Amended. Senate Bill 1996

1. with the recommendation the bill Do Not Pass as Amended. Senate
2. Joint Resolutions No. 21 and 88, with the recommendation the
3. resolutions Do Not be Adopted.

4. Senator Carroll, the Chairman of the Committee on Appropriations
5. I recommends with the...excuse me, with the recommendation Senate
6. Bill 1605, 1652, and 1793, with the recommendation the bills Do
7. Pass. Senate Bill 1615 and 1646, with the recommendation the
8. bills Do Pass as Amended. Senator Donnewald, the Chairman of the
9. Committee on Assignment of Bills reports the following assignment,
10. to the Committee on Agriculture, Senate Bills 1500, 1548, and 1966.
11. The Committee on Elections and Reapportionments, Senate Bill 1510.
12. The Committee on Executive, Senate Bill 1956. The Committee
13. on Insurance and Licensed Activities, Senate Bills 1680 and 1953.
14. The Committee on Judiciary I, Senate Bill 1728. The Committee
15. on Judiciary II, Senate Bill 1486. The Committee on Pensions,
16. Personnel, and Veterans Affairs, Senate Bill 1752. Committee
17. on Public Health, Welfare, and Corrections, Senate Bill 1747.
18. Committee on Revenue, Senate Bill 1483. Committee on Transportation,
19. Senate Bill 1750.

20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

21. For what purpose does Senator Schaffer arise...arise?

22. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

23. Mr. President, just to keep the Donnewald spirit moving,
24. I'd like to Table a bill.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

26. Make the motion.

27. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

28. I'd like to discharge the Committee on Rules for the
29. purpose of Tabling Senate Bill 1499.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

31. The motion is to discharge Rules. You've heard the motion.
32. All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. The
33. bill is discharged. Now, the motion is to Table. All in favor
say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. The bill is Tabled.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

2. Message from the House.

3. ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

4. A Message from the House, by Mr. O'Brien, Clerk.

5. Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate
6. that the House of Representatives has passed bills with the
7. following titles, in the passage of which I am instructed to
8. ask concurrence of the Senate, to-wit: House Bills 586, 679,
9. 746, 891, 15...1257, 2612, 3042. Passed the House April 16,
10. 1980. John F. O'Brien, Clerk of the House.

11. A Message from the House from Mr. O'Brien, Clerk.

12. Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate
13. the House of Representatives has...has concurred with the
14. Senate in the passage of a bill of the following title:

15. Senate Bill 1404.

16. (Secretary reads title of bill)

17. Together with the following amendments in the adoption of
18. which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the Senate, to-wit:

19. House Amendment No. 5 to Senate Bill 1404.

20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

21. Secretary's Desk.

22. ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

23. A Message from the House by Mr. O'Brien, Clerk.

24. Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate that
25. the House of Representatives has adopted the following joint
26. resolution in the adoption of which I am instructed to ask
27. concurrence of the Senate, to-wit:

28. House Joint Resolution No. 74, and Senator Schaffer
29. is going to be the Senate sponsor.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

31. Senator Schaffer.

32. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

33. Mr. President, if there is no controversy, I would ask leave
to have it put on the Consent Calendar.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
2. Is there leave? Leave is granted. Senator Rhoads, for
3. what purpose do you arise?
4. SENATOR RHOADS:
5. A point of personal privilege, Mr. President.
6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
7. State your point.
8. SENATOR RHOADS:
9. I'm delighted to introduce a special guest on the Floor today,
10. a man who is a Republican candidate for University of Illinois
11. trustee in the year 1940, and many elections since then, who
12. holds the record for twenty-eight years of service as a University
13. of Illinois trustee from my district, Mr. Park Livingston. Park,
14. would you stand, please.
15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
16. Please rise and be recognized. On page 3 of the Calendar
17. the Constitutional Amendments, 2nd reading, SJR 16, Senator
18. Bowers. Read the resolution, Mr. Secretary.
19. ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)
20. Senate Joint Resolution 16 Constitutional Amendment.
21. (Secretary reads SJR 16CA)
22. 2nd reading of the resolution.
23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HALL)
24. Any amendments? 3rd reading. Constitutional Amendment,
25. Senate Joint Resolution 24, Senator Philip. 24, Senator Philip.
26. ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)
27. Senate Joint...
28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HALL)
29. Is there any amendments?
30. ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)
31. No amendments.
32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HALL)
33. Wait, hold just a minute. Senator Philip, for what purpose
do you arise?

SJR 25
Records
11-17-60

1. SENATOR PHILIP:

2. I think Senator Martin has an amendment she'd like to try
3. to put on my Constitutional Amendment, and evidently it isn't
4. ready, so if we could move it, and have leave to come back
5. at the appropriate time. She says it'll be up momentarily, I
6. kind of doubt that, but...

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HALL)

8. Hold just a minute Senator, while we have a little conference
9. on that. Just take it out of the...take it out of the record.
10. Senate Joint Resolution 25, Senator Netsch. Any amendments?
11. Are there any amendments?

12. ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

13. No amendments on the desk.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HALL)

15. Read the resolution.

16. ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

17. Senate Joint Resolution 25, Constitutional Amendment.

18. (Secretary reads SJR 25 CA)

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HALL)

20. Senator Netsch, would you come to the Podium, please?

21. Senator Philip. Senator Philip. Rock.

22. ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

23. (Secretary reads SJR 25 CA)

24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HALL)

25. For what reason...okay.

26. ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

27. (Secretary reads SJR 25 CA)

28. 2nd reading of the resolution.

29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HALL)

30. Any amendments? For what purpose does Senator Weaver arise?

31. SENATOR WEAVER:

32. On a point of personal privilege, Mr. President.

33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HALL)

State...

1. SENATOR WEAVER:

2. I would like for the Senate to welcome a group of school
3. children from Pesotum, Illinois and their teacher, Bernice Muller
4. in the gallery behind us.

5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HALL)

6. Will our guests please rise and be recognized by the
7. Senate. Are there any amendments? Senator Philip.

8. SENATOR PHILIP:

9. Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
10. Senate. I think that I have an amendment up there, and I have
11. substituted, Senator Netsch, a second amendment, because the
12. amendment on the face was incorrect and what we have added is
13. as amended, the Legislative Reference Bureau caught that mistake.
14. So, if you have the first amendment, you have the incorrect amend-
15. ment. We have another amendment that I have been told is ab-
16. solutely correct. What this amendment does, very honestly, is
17. take out a hundred and one counties downstate. It leaves the
18. so-called appointment of judges in Cook County in tack, my rationale
19. for that, very honestly, is it seems to be the only place we have
20. any kind of a backlog, or any kind of a problem in the court
21. system, is in Cook County, and I guess I agree to that, I under-
22. stand that, and I say that if that's true why don't we just
23. try to solve that problem in Cook County, and that's exactly
24. what this amendment does.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HALL)

26. For what purpose does Senator Demuzio arise?

27. SENATOR DEMUZIO:

28. Hello. In what posture is Senate Joint Resolution 25 now
29. in? It was my understanding a few minutes ago we'd moved this to
30. the Order of 2nd reading, and now we're asking for amendments
31. again. I was...I was under that understanding that we had
32. already moved it.

33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HALL)

For what purpose does Senator Rock arise?

1. SENATOR ROCK:

2. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Under the rules, as posited by
3. the Senate, amendments are to be offered on the initial reading,
4. and...and/or on 2nd reading. The bill...the Constitutional
5. Amendment has now been read a second time, amendments in my
6. judgment, are in order.

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

8. Senator Netsch.

9. SENATOR NETSCH:

10. Thank you, well I do not expect to win this agrument, I
11. would like to point out that when Senator Hall was presiding,
12. and we came to this resolution, he read...excuse me, he read
13. the number out, he asked whether there were any amendments, there
14. were none filed at that moment. The amendment was not brought
15. up to the desk until the Secretary was about half way through
16. reading it for a second time. I would suggest that while I
17. fully understand that it is going to be heard anyway Senator Philip,
18. because when some people want it heard, it's going to get heard,
19. but I think it is...you had plenty of time to do this, you did
20. say yesterday you were going to amend it, I assumed when we
21. passed that stage and you did not speak up and say yes I have
22. an amendment, that you had, in fact, changed your mind about it.

23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

24. Senator Knuppel.

25. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

26. Well, whenever you're ready to hear debate on the motion,
27. I...I think we're still on the technical things, I want to
28. speak in favor of it, that's all.

29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

30. Senator Martin.

31. SENATOR MARTIN:

32. Although I may oppose Senator Philip's amendment, I would
33. tell Senator Netsch there are real problems with the Legislative
Reference Bureau today, in regards, and yesterday, to these

1. Constitutional Amendments, and I think the courtesy should be
2. afforded to Senator Philip to have that.

3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

4. Senator Philip.

5. SENATOR PHILIP:

6. Thank you, Mr. President. As you know, yesterday, I required
7. of the Chair if we could amend on 2nd reading, I had told
8. Senator Netsch that I had an amendment, it was up here this morn-
9. ing, I had a copy on my desk, I thought the Clerk had had it
10. for at least ten minutes, unfortunately when...when Senator
11. Hall made the request for amendments I was in the phone booth
12. and I didn't hear it, when I came out, of course, it was too
13. late, and I apologize for that.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

15. Senator Gitz.

16. SENATOR GITZ:

17. Is...is debate in order on the amendment?

18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

19. Debate is in order, there's been no motion of any type.
20. Senator Netsch you wish recognition? Secretary will read the
21. amendment. All right, Senator Philip has offered Amendment No.
22. 6 to Constitutional Amendment, Senate Joint Resolution 25.
23. Is there discussion? Well, now...now here. Senator Netsch,
24. we are on the point of discussing the merits of the Amendment
25. No. 6, do you wish to...you're recognized.

26. SENATOR NETSCH:

27. Thank you, Mr. President. This amendment would apply merit
28. selection system only to Cook County. Not just to the Circuit Court
29. of Cook County, but it would provide that the Supreme Court judges
30. elected from Cook County and...selected from Cook County and
31. from Cook County only would be subject to the appointment system,
32. they would be elected elsewhere in the State, only the Appellate
33. Court of Cook County would be under meritselection and only the

1. Circuit Court of Cook County would be under merit selection and
2. the rest of the State would stay exactly as it is. Now, that
3. would produce, of course, a Supreme Court in which apparently
4. in perpetuity a large part of the membership would be elected
5. and a part of the membership would be under the appointive merit
6. system. It would produce a series of Appellate Courts, in which
7. one of them was selected one way and the others the other way.
8. The...there is justification for having a difference with respect
9. to courts which operate quite separately, for example, Circuit
10. Courts, but the idea of having a Supreme Court, in which all of
11. the members sit together and act together, in which one method
12. of selection is used for half of the court and another method for
13. the other half of the court. I think is patently...patently
14. ridiculous on its face and I think is intended to be such. I
15. would stongly urge a No vote on this amendment.

16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

17. Senator Knuppel.

18. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

19. Well, you know, I've always adhered in testing legislation is,
20. how does it affect the county of the sponsor. You know I don't
21. care how you do your judges in Chicago, I do care how they're
22. selected downstate, that's where I practice law, and if...if
23. it's such a good idea let's give it to Chicago. They can live
24. with it, they want it, or at least some of their people want
25. it, and I don't know anybody downstate, we voted against it
26. in the Constitutional Convention, all it does is substitute Bar
27. politics for Democrat and Republican politics. We're satisfied
28. with our judges, we're satisfied with what we pay them, we're
29. satisfied with what they do, and I always say, you know, stay
30. out of downstate, Dawn, and you run Chicago the way you want to
31. but let us do what we want downstate.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

33. Senator Geo-Karis.

1. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

2. Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.
3. I think that the real reason for the resolution propounded
4. by the worthy Senator from the other side for so-called
5. merit selection, is because they do have a long list of
6. candidates running for judge in Cook County. Well, that is
7. fine, perhaps they should select their judges from legislative
8. districts, and they wouldn't have so many, but on the other hand
9. I don't think it's fair to penalize my county by going forth
10. with so-called merit selection amendment, because there is no
11. such thing as a merit selection, it's political whether it's
12. a State Bar Association or any local Bar Association or anyone
13. else. We had a statement yesterday on the Floor of this Senate,
14. which said that a neighboring state, the Governor appoints the
15. commission and for the judicial selections, similar to this amend-
16. ment, and then calls the members of the Judicial Committee...
17. Commission and tells them who to appoint. I think it's best to
18. let the people decide. My county is one that I feel can find...
19. ascertain the qualifications of the judges, and we have worthwhile
20. organizations like the League of Women Voters, who can hold panels
21. and forms to screen judges as well as screen candidates for the
22. Legislature, therefore I am in favor of this amendment, because this
23. amendment will help Cook County select by the way the amendment
24. ...the original amendment as set forth by our Senator Netsch, but
25. at least it will not deprive my county of the right to vote on
26. their candidates.

27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

28. Senator Gitz.

29. SENATOR GITZ:

30. I rise in opposition to this amendment. The statement was
31. made by one of the previous downstate Senators, they liked the
32. judges they liked the selection, the process works fine, well that
33. may be true in that area, it's not true in my area. Many people

1. within the Bar, many laymen are not very happy about a
2. system, which is partisan, whoever wins the Primary, or the
3. majority party of my area controls the bench. I think this is
4. a hypocritical amendment. If you're going to mandate merit
5. selection for Chicago, then what's wrong with it everywhere. I
6. want merit selection, or the so-called appointive system. I
7. don't see anything wrong with it, and I am quite offended that there
8. seems to be a tendency to try to love this bill to death as
9. it is; and the proposed hypocritical amendments to deprive the
10. rest of the State from it. If you're going to do it, do it across
11. the board, if you're not going to do it, then don't do it anywhere.
12. This is a bad amendment, it should be defeated.

13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

14. Senator Philip, for what purpose do you arise?

15. SENATOR PHILIP:

16. I...evidently, Senator Gitz didn't read the amendment.
17. It doesn't mandate it for Cook County, it goes on the ballot, we're
18. not mandating it for Cook County. I wish you'd read the amendment.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

20. Senator Netsch. For a second time.

21. SENATOR NETSCH:

22. For the second time. I would like to point out one thing
23. to Senator Geo-Karis. Senator, you should take a look at the
24. election returns from Lake County at the last time merit selection
25. was voted on. Merit selection passed Lake County by a very
26. substantial margin. I think the voters of your district have al-
27. ready spoken.

28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

29. Senator Walsh.

30. SENATOR WALSH:

31. Mr. President, and members of the Senate. Just briefly in
32. opposition to the amendment. I would like to tell my...my down-
33. state friends that if they're concerned about...about this Con-
stitutional Amendment, and the people in their district do not

1. want it, they need not adopt it. This amendment will not become
2. operative in the various circuits of the State until the electors
3. in the circuit adopt it. So, I...I think they have nothing to
4. fear, if the people in the districts do not want it. So, I would
5. urge the defeat of this amendment.

6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

7. Senator Geo-Karis, for the second time.

8. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

9. Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.
10. Since my name was used in debate I might add a further comment
11. in my district some people didn't know what the merit really
12. meant. Now, that they know more about it, I wonder if they would
13. vote for it after we explain it more fully that they're taking
14. the right of the electorate away.

15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

16. Senator Philip may close.

17. SENATOR PHILIP:

18. Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
19. Senate. You know I can remember, and I think it was in 1964, we
20. had this so-called big push for judicial reform, and, of course,
21. it was once again the problem in the City of Chicago, the big back
22. log in the court, some of the improper things that were going
23. on in the court and the media was hot on this, and we had this,
24. it went on the ballot, we changed it, and you know what, they still
25. have a mediocre court system, they still have the backlog, and
26. nothing has changed at all, and here we are again, and Senator
27. Walsh I might say this to you, I don't think it would pass my
28. county. The problem is, the influence the third party has in my
29. community, and what happens is, they think you ought to do it
30. just for the City of Chicago or Cook County, which I think is
31. fine, but unfortunately that spills over into the collar county
32. area, and a lot of people unfortunately become misinformed and
33. misimpressed. Now, I'll tell you this, forty-seven percent of
the population is in Cook County, yet we spend seventy percent of

1. the total budget for the court, is spent in Cook County. Our
2. system works well, people know who our judges are and generally
3. speaking our judges are well respected, and I say you got a
4. problem in Cook County, no question about that, but why not
5. just solve that problem in Cook County and leave downstate alone,
6. we've done pretty well without your help, and I don't see any
7. reason why any member of this Senate cannot support this amendment.

8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

9. All right, the question is, as to Amendment No. 6 of Senate
10. Joint Resolution 25. Those in favor of supporting that amendment
11. vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have
12. all those voted who wish? Take the record. On that question,
13. the Ayes are 8, the Nays are 40. Amendment No. 6 to Senate Joint
14. Resolution 25 fails. Senator Rock.

15. SENATOR ROCK:

16. Can I have a verification of the Cook County votes?

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

18. 3rd...are there further amendments? 3rd reading.

19. ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

20. No further amendments.

21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

22. SJR A, Senator Rhoads. Just a moment, Senator Netsch, for
23. what purpose do you arise?

24. SENATOR NETSCH:

25. Do I understand, it has now been read a second time...

26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

27. You understand correctly.

28. SENATOR NETSCH:

29. ...and so it is now on 3rd reading.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

31. You...that is correct.

32. SENATOR NETSCH:

33. Thank you, very much.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

2. Are there amendments?

3. ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

4. Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Buzbee.

5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

6. Senator Buzbee.

7. SENATOR BUZBEE:

8. Thank you, Mr. President. This is an amendment that...that
9. I had drawn after hearing the reading and the explanation yesterday
10. of Senator Rhoads' amendment, wherein...wherein he would restrict the
11. ability of the General Assembly to give itself a pay raise during
12. that period between the General Election and...and the...

13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

14. Just a moment. We're speaking of pay raises, would the
15. Senate please be in order.

16. SENATOR BUZBEE:

17. ...whereas Senator Rhoads would restrict the ability of
18. the General Assembly to give itself a pay raise between the
19. period of the General Election and the convening of the new
20. General Assembly in January. I'm not sure how I feel about that
21. particular issue, but I can tell you one thing that I know how
22. I feel about an issue that touches on that issue, and that's why
23. I offered this amendment. This amendment would also restrict the
24. General Assembly from giving judges or the Executive Branch
25. pay raises during that same period. I believe that if we write
26. it into the Constitution that the General Assembly cannot give
27. itself a pay raise, but the Judiciary or the Executive Branch
28. could receive a pay raise after the General Election before the
29. new taking of office period in January, or in December in the
30. case of the Judiciary, I believe that they would be down on us
31. like bees on the honey, because they would see a beautiful opp-
32. ortunity for them to get another pay raise and we have to take
33. all of the heat and especially since we couldn't give ourselves
one, and my fear is that some of us...some of us who perhaps have

1. some contact with the Judiciary might succumb to that pressure,
2. and might vote them a pay raise in the interim or vote the
3. Governor or the other Executive Branch...other members of the
4. Executive Branch a pay raise. So, really what I'm saying is
5. what's good for the goose is good for the gander and I'm just
6. saying that if we let everybody fall in the same category and
7. that is if the General Assembly cannot get a pay raise during
8. that time period, neither can the Judiciary or the Executive.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

10. Senator Rhoads. Is there further discussion? Senator Rhoads,
11. may...you have the Chair...or the mike.

12. SENATOR RHOADS:

13. Thank you, Mr. President. Section C, of Rule 6 of the Senate
14. deals with the procedures on State Constitutional Amendments, it
15. says, "All resolutions introduced in the Senate proposing amendments
16. to the State Constitution shall be ordered printed and shall be
17. printed in the same manner in which bills are printed. Each re-
18. solution which originated in the House of Representatives and is
19. presented to the Senate shall be ordered printed in like manner
20. unless the resolution has been similarly printed in the House
21. of Representatives in the same form which is presented to the Senate.
22. Every such resolution shall be read in full and in its final form
23. on three different days and amendments shall be in order on the
24. initial first and second readings only." Mr. President, on the
25. basis of Section C of Rule 6, I have a question of the Chair as
26. to the germaneness of Senator Buzbee's amendment. Senator Buzbee
27. is seeking to amend a Section 8 of Article IV of the Legislative
28. Article SJR 1 as originally introduced amended Section 11 of
29. Article IV in its title, the amendment put on by Senator Wooten
30. yesterday also amended Section 11 of Article IV by title. It
31. seems to me Mr. President, that by construction, if we are to
32. deal with these Constitutional Amendment Resolutions in the same
33. manner as we would deal with a bill, the amendment should be germane

1. to the original resolution. I ask for your ruling on that.

2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

3. The amendment is germane.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

(END OF REEL)

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

2. Senator Rhoads.

3. SENATOR RHOADS:

4. Just for the record, Mr. President, I would like your
5. reasoning on that, please.

6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

7. Very frankly, the Parliamentarian...after several days of
8. study prior to today, has determined...and the Legislative
9. Reference Bureau have made the decision that that is the proper
10. place for the amendment, and...the Chair so rules...Just a
11. moment, Senator Rhoads has the mike, proceed.

12. SENATOR RHOADS:

13. Mr. President, that wasn't the question I addressed to the
14. Chair. The question is, are you ruling that henceforth, anyone
15. can come in with a resolution affecting any section of the
16. Constitution whatsoever, and that that amendment will be germane
17. to the resolution. Is that what your ruling is?

18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

19. Just a moment. I'm...I am ruling on this specific Con-
20. stitutional Resolution, and I am ruling that it is germane. Now,
21. Senator...just...just a moment. Point of order, Senator Buzbee.

22. SENATOR BUZBEE:

23. Thank you, Mr. President. I think that your ruling is
24. absolutely proper, and we are not...we are not falling outside
25. of the area that Senator Rhoads is concerned about, because of
26. the fact that we are only addressing the Legislative Article.
27. That's the only one we are amending, and we amend the Legislative
28. Article by saying that the Legislature has the ability to set pay
29. as established in the Legislative Article, and we are just expanding
30. that to say they also have the ability to set pay for the executive
31. and the judiciary. And the Legislative Article is the only
32. article you are addressing...this amendment is addressing, rather.

33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

1. Senator Berman. No, Senator Rhoads.

2. SENATOR RHOADS:

3. Senator Buzbee is correct that we are amending the
4. Legislative Article, but the resolution was more narrowly
5. drawn than that, and in it's title on line 9 of the original
6. resolution, and in the corresponding line on Senator Wooten's
7. resolution, it says, "a proposition to amend section 11 of Article
8. IV of the Constitution." Now, if this were a bill, Mr. President,
9. you couldn't rule that as being germane, because it isn't germane
10. to the title of the resolution.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

12. All right, first of all, it isn't a bill. Secondly and
13. most important, it deals with Article IV; and therefore, the
14. Chair rules that it is germane. Is there further discussion?
15. Senator Rhoads.

16. SENATOR RHOADS:

17. Yes, Mr. President...thank you for your ruling. I would
18. now like to speak to the merits. You all remember, I think, the
19. history behind this resolution. Senator Knuppel had offered an
20. amendment to House Bill 256 of the 80th General Assembly in
21. November of 1978. That bill started out as a bill which affected
22. the allowances...of members of the General Assembly. It became...
23. very quickly, through the form of an amendment, a bill dealing
24. with salary of not only the members of the General Assembly, but
25. members of the Judiciary and the Executive Branch as well. You
26. will recall also that I spoke out against the bill at that time,
27. not because I was opposed to a pay raise; but because of the
28. manner in which it was done. I refer the members to Section 11
29. of Article IV of the Constitution; Compensation and Allowances.
30. Section 11 states: "A member shall receive a salary and allowances
31. as provided by law. The changes in the salary of a member
32. shall not take effect during the term for which he has been
33. elected." It is my contention from reading the debates to the
34. Constitution, that the intent of the Con Con delegates was to

1. restrict the General Assembly from voting on changes in their
2. own salary; knowing that they had an inherent conflict of
3. loyalties. If a member knows that he will be, or she will be
4. occupying a seat in the ensuing year, and knows that he or she
5. will personally benefit from that salary range...salary increase,
6. the Con Con delegates sought to prevent that kind of action.
7. I don't think that they had...the concept of Lame Duck Sessions
8. in mind. In the debates of the Con Con, they specifically discussed
9. this question, and they said; and I quote from the Con Con debates:
10. "The final clause of Section 10, as it was then numbered, Section
11. 11 now, should prove sufficient protection against the danger
12. that they, meaning the legislators, may run wild with their own
13. salaries, because it needs an intervening election before it
14. becomes effective." The original purpose of SJR 1 and the
15. original...and the purpose of Senator Wooten's amendment yesterday,
16. was to clarify the intent of Section 11 of the Constitution. That's
17. why we added the language in there. Now, I appreciate what
18. Senator Buzbee is trying to accomplish. He is not, in my judgment,
19. going about it in the right way, because he is now amending Section
20. 8, which deals with procedures on the passage of bills. Frankly,
21. it just doesn't belong there, Senator. If you want to amend...
22. prohibit votes on executive pay raises and judicial pay raises,
23. it seems to me that you could put in resolutions affecting the
24. Judicial Article and the Executive Article of the Constitution.
25. It just doesn't belong in Section 8 on the Procedure For the
26. Passage of the Bills. So on the merits, I would ask for the
27. defeat of this amendment.

28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

29. Senator Knuppel.

30. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

31. Well, of course, I am going to support the amendment, but
32. some of the comments of Senator Rhoads to the effect that this
33. bill was quickly changed from a vehicle dealing with expenses

1. to a pay raise bill, didn't happen quickly, Senator, that bill
2. rested there for fifteen months, and it must have been a very
3. noteworthy and a very worthwhile piece of legislation; as far
4. as I know no legislator has turned back any of that salary.
5. So, I really appreciate the fact that you people have all taken
6. it, that you have all enjoyed it and that I was the sponsor of
7. the bill.

8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

9. Senator Hall.

10. SENATOR HALL:

11. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
12. Senate. I rise in support of this amendment, and I happen to
13. be one of the sitting Senators who is not enjoying the pay raise
14. that was given. Now, I don't see why I have to wait two years,
15. like nineteen others; how many are there, I think there's nine-
16. teen of us here that...waiting. All right, now, I don't think it's
17. right that we should give judges and others a pay raise and
18. not be allowed to give our own self one. And, furthermore, even
19. you who got the raise remember the judges, there was nothing we
20. could do about it when it was cut back, and I don't understand
21. how any one could say, it's too bad that the Constitution says
22. that we cannot accept a raise during the time that we are serving.
23. Missouri does it. I just don't see what's wrong with this. If
24. we are going to give others a raise, and we cannot enjoy the
25. substance of getting the raise, it's a good amendment, and I
26. understand what Senator Rhoads is trying to do. I had no problem
27. voting for it before or prior to it, and I don't have any problem
28. now, but those of you who do have problems, I understand what you
29. are trying to do, Senator Rhoads; but why should we give judges
30. and executive officers a raise and you sit here and take all the
31. heat and all the press on it...in it and you cannot get it? This
32. is a good amendment, and I think everyone sitting here should
33. vote for this amendment because it's only fair.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

2. Senator Wooten.

3. SENATOR WOOTEN:

4. Thank you, Mr. President. Senator Buzbee has given us a
5. rather interesting proposition here, and I have been trying to
6. think through its consequences on a couple of levels. I absolutely
7. concur that judges should be barred from the pay raise in that
8. same period of time. If you will recall, one of the interesting
9. things about that whole procedure is when we came back to make the
10. cosmetic change and of course did not apply to the judges as they
11. were already locked in; but there...are a least a couple of problems
12. with this. I think no matter how we say it, it is going to be
13. widely interpreted as somehow trying to slow down the amendment.
14. I...don't think I would buy that, but I just warn you up front
15. that that's the interpretation. Secondly, I think that judges,
16. when this is brought before them, are going to rule that the Chair
17. made an incorrect ruling; and that it does not apply to them.
18. That's just my...being the objective pillars of reason and justice
19. that they are, I think that they are going to throw this out right
20. away...so,...it may be an exercise in futility, and a gesture that
21. would, as I say, a gesture that I think is going to be widely
22. interpreted as somehow attempting to damage the...the intent of
23. the amendment. Personally, as I told Senator Rhoads at the
24. beginning, I don't think we ought to do this by Constitutional
25. Amendment; I think the Statute is sufficient, or just some kind
26. of self control on the part of the Legislature. Senator
27. Donnewald has never voted for a pay raise, and I think that he
28. has been very wise in taking that procedure; but in the climate
29. in which we live, I think that this...Senator Rhoads' amendment
30. as it stands ought to go and ought not to be amended, because
31. even if it does proceed all the way through, you can be sure the
32. judges are going to suddenly find in their wisdom that it is in-
33. appropriate, so I would resist the amendment.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

2. Is there further discussion? Senator Buzbee may close.

3. SENATOR BUZBEE:

4. Thank you, Mr. President. I think Senator Wooten has given
5. us a good admonition...about...when...when this process comes
6. before the courts, and my attorney, Senator Carroll, has advised
7. me that we'll take it through the Federal Courts...and perhaps
8. we can avoid that...that potential conflict of interest that
9. those paragons of...of virtue intelligentsia and pay raise advocacy
10. the...the judiciary...the judiciary...that...that we won't have
11. to give them that opportunity, or rather that...that...I hope
12. that when the case comes before the courts that they also read
13. the legislative debate...but it seems to me that...as I stated
14. in my opening argument...that if we are going to do it to the
15. Legislature, we are going to do it to the judges, and we are
16. going to do it to the Chief Executive. The most ridiculous
17. thing in the world that came out of the pay raise controversy
18. last year...year before last, was the total cost of the package
19. was about ten million dollars, of which eight million went to the
20. judiciary. Eight hundred thousand, approximately, went to the
21. executive; and 1.2 million went to the legislative. Nowhere,
22. at any time, did anybody in the press ever say anything about the
23. the judges, their money grabbing attempts, et cetera, et cetera,
24. et cetera; and yet, the lowest paid judge is making about fifty-
25. five thousand dollars a year right now; and then after we went
26. back in and...and decided to make the change on our pay, we found
27. that they were already locked in and we had the ridiculous situation
28. of where one judge drew the new pay for one or two days and then
29. retired...at the new pay scale. And, we were locked in; there
30. was nothing we could do about it. So, I just think that what's
31. good for us is good for them. You all remember all of the phone
32. calls you got from judges, especially if you were an attorney,
33. all of the phone calls you got from judges and the anteroom

1. lectures you got as to why their pay ought to be raised. Well,
2. we will just preclude that...for at least that six or eight week
3. period with the passage of this amendment.

4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

5. All right, the question is, shall Amendment No. 1 to Senate
6. Joint Resolution 2 be adopted. Those in favor indicate by voting
7. Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. Have all those voted who wish?
8. Have all those voted who wish? Take the record. On that question,
9. the Ayes are 40 the Nays are 11. Resolution No. 1 to Senate
10. Joint...Amendment No. 1 to Senate Joint Resolution 2 is thereby
11. adopted. Are there further amendments?

12. ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

13. No further amendments.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

15. Senator Rhoads. Senator Rhoads, is it your desire to have
16. the bill read again as amended? Proceed, Mr. Secretary. I am
17. sorry, you're right, it is a resolution. The resolution shall
18. be read a first time as amended.

19. ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

20. Resolved by the Senate...Senate Joint Resolution No. 1.

21. (Secretary reads resolution)

22. 1st reading of the resolution.

23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

24. Senate Joint Resolution No. 12, Senator Nimrod, do you wish
25. the bill...do you wish the resolution read? Please proceed, Mr.
26. Secretary. This is the 2nd reading.

27. ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

28. Senate Joint Resolution 12.

29. (Secretary reads resolution)

30. 2nd reading of the resolution.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

32. Are there amendments? 3rd reading. Senate Joint Resolution
33. 24. Senator Philip, do you wish the resolution read for the second
34. time? Proceed, Mr. Secretary. There is an amendment, I am advised.

1. Senator Martin, do you wish to be recognized? Senator Martin.

2. SENATOR MARTIN:

3. Yes, this is an amendment to Senate Joint Resolution 24.

4. In that resolution, comes the question of should the size of the
5. House be changed. That is a question that should be addressed on
6. 3rd reading, but within that resolution occurs one other massive
7. change which my amendment would stop, and that is the Houses'
8. terms are changed to four years. In other words, there would be
9. no differentiation between the terms of members of the House and
10. members of the Senate. In my view, that differentiation is
11. healthy and necessary within the legislative process. I recognize
12. that some would argue that to cut the size of the House, you
13. must have something for House members. I also recognize that
14. perhaps the House should have longer terms, perhaps even the
15. Senate should; but in any bicameral Legislature there should be
16. a difference in the length of term between a House member and a
17. Senator. My amendment simply retains the two years for the
18. House. That is all it does, and I think it should be added to
19. the bill, or we will find two Houses without any difference in
20. Illinois.

21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

22. Is there any discussion? The question is shall Amendment
23. No. 1 to...just a moment. Senator Philip.

24. SENATOR PHILIP:

25. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
26. Senate. I...I just make...might make this point, and...you
27. know, the problem is in the other...across the Rotunda, what
28. they are going to do. If we do accept this amendment, there
29. really is no incentive for them to do anything in the House of
30. Representatives, in my judgment. And you know, in the theory
31. of government, the two year terms on the federal level for
32. Congress and in here in the Illinois General Assembly is that
33. when you elect an executor for four years, that in that mid-term

1. of his four year term, that you have an opportunity as a voter
2. to vote against his party and what he has done. And that is the
3. theory of government; I recognize that theory and I think it is
4. good. This does not change that theory at all. You would have
5. one-third of the House or two-thirds of the House up every two
6. years, so, if there is any hope of getting it...I tell you this,
7. when the so-called political honesty rounds up its signatures,
8. and they are going to have enough, and it gets on the ballot; I
9. will assure you of this, it's going to pass, and I think that if
10. we were responsible at all, we ought to get something on the ballot,
11. and I think that my measure versus the political honesty measure
12. is a better measure. So I ask you to vote No on her amendment.

13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

14. Is there further discussion? Senator Grotberg. You waved
15. your hand. Senator Vadalabene.

16. SENATOR VADALABENE:

17. Yes, Senator Martin, for instance if a House member...could
18. a House member in his off term run against a Senator, or would
19. there be an off term?

20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

21. Senator Martin.

22. SENATOR MARTIN:

23. I don't know why he couldn't. Anyone can run. He'd be in
24. the middle of a term.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

26. Senator Vadalabene.

27. SENATOR VADALABENE:

28. So that you could have a House member, with a safe House
29. seat run against a Senator?

30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

31. Senator Martin.

32. SENATOR MARTIN:

33. I am sure no one would be unwise enough to run against a

1. Senator Vadalabene, but some other Senators might not be so
2. fortunate.

3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
4. Senator Vadalabene.

5. SENATOR VADALABENE:
6. You don't know.

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
8. Is there further discussion? Senator Hall.

9. SENATOR HALL:
10. Well, I just wanted to clarify this thing so that we know
11. exactly what we are voting on. Now, my understanding is that
12. Senator Pate Philips' Constitutional Amendment gives House members
13. four year terms; and Senator Martin is putting an amendment on
14. to correct that to specify that House members will only have two
15. year terms. Is that correct?

16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
17. Senator Martin.

18. SENATOR MARTIN:
19. That is correct.

20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
21. Is there further discussion? Senator Martin may close.

22. SENATOR MARTIN:
23. I do this not to protect any Senator, I do it because it is
24. my belief that there should be differentiation in the Houses. I
25. have served in both Houses. There should be a difference in terms.
26. I think a four-two is what is acceptable now in Illinois.

27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
28. All right, the question is shall Amendment No. 1 to Senate
29. Joint Resolution 24 be adopted. Those in favor vote Aye. Those
30. opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all those voted who wish?
31. Have all those voted who wish? Take the record. On that question,
32. the Ayes are 39, the Nays are 7. Amendment No. 1 to Senate Joint
33. Resolution 24 is adopted. Are there further amendments?

1. ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)
2. No further amendments.
3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
4. Senator Philip, is it your desire to have the resolution read
5. for the first time as amended? It is your desire. Mr. Secretary,
6. proceed.
7. ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)
8. Senate Joint Resolution 24, Constitutional Amendment.
9. (Secretary reads resolution)
10. 1st reading of the resolution.
11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
12. Senate Joint Resolution 56, Senator Rock, do you wish the
13. resolution read for the second time? Proceed, Mr. Secretary.
14. ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)
15. Senate Joint Resolution 56, Constitutional Amendment.
16. (Secretary reads resolution)
17. 2nd reading of the resolution.
18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
19. Are there amendments? 3rd reading. For the sake of the
20. record,..Senate Joint Resolution 24 is advanced to the Order of
21. 2nd reading. Senator Netsch, for what purpose do you arise?
22. SENATOR NETSCH:
23. Thank you, Mr. President. I would like to...I would move
24. that we go to the order of business on the Secretary's Desk.
25. There is a...if you want to call it a commemorative resolution
26. which was voted out of Senate Executive yesterday. I had assumed
27. it would be on the Calendar. It is not, and it needs to be passed
28. today. So, I would make whatever motions are appropriate to get
29. to that order of business and then move its adoption.
30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
31. The motion is to...place House Joint Resolution 84...for the
32. purpose of voting...for immediate consideration. Those in favor
33. indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. The

1. matter is now before the Body. The motion is to adopt House
2. Joint Resolution 84. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye.
3. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Resolution carries. Message
4. from the Governor.

5. ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

6. A Message from the Governor by Jim Edgar, Director, Legislative
7. Affairs.

8. Mr. President - The Governor directs me to lay before
9. the Senate the following message. State of Illinois, Executive
10. Department, Springfield.

11. To the Honorable members of the Senate, 81st General
12. Assembly, I have nominated and appointed the following named persons
13. to the offices enumerated below and respectfully ask concurrence
14. in and confirmation of these appointments by your Honorable Body.

15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

16. Executive Appointments. Committee Reports

17. ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

18. Senator Washington, Chairman of the Committee on Public
19. Health, Welfare and Corrections reports Senate Bill 1978 with
20. the recommendation the bill Do Pass as Amended.

21. Senate Bill 2003 with the recommendation the bill Do Not Pass.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

23. Resolutions.

24. ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

25. Senate Resolution 462, offered by Senator Jeremiah Joyce.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

27. Executive. Senator Netsch for what purpose do you arise?

28. SENATOR NETSCH:

29. A sponsorship addition if this is an appropriate time to do
30. it.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

32. This is appropriate.

33. SENATOR NETSCH:

1. With leave of the principal sponsor, may I be added as
2. co-sponsor on Senate Bills 2021 through 2026.

3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

4. Is there leave? Leave is granted. Senator Grotberg, for
5. what purpose do you arise?

6. SENATOR GROTEBERG:

7. Thank you, Mr. President. I would ask leave to have the
8. Body remove from further consideration Senate Bill 1966 from the
9. Committee on Agriculture and ask that it be reassigned to Local
10. Government.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

12. Is there leave? Leave is granted. Just a moment...He
13. already cleared it with the Chairman of the Committee on Assign-
14. ment of Bills. Leave is granted. Resolutions Consent Calendar.
15. Are there objections to the Consent Calendar on resolutions?

16. ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

17. No objections have been filed.

18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

19. A motion by Senator Rock to adopt the resolutions on the
20. Consent Calendar. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those
21. opposed. The Ayes have it. Resolutions are adopted. Senator
22. Geo-Karis, for what purpose do you arise?

23. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

24. Mr. President, I have obtained leave from the sponsor,
25. Senator Martin, on Senate Bill 1473 and I would like to be added
26. as an additional sponsor.

27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

28. Is there leave? Leave is granted. Senator Gitz, for what
29. purpose do you arise?

30. SENATOR GITZ:

31. Mr. President, yesterday the Senate Executive Committee
32. reported out Senate Resolution 442. I would like to make the
33. appropriate motion to have it heard, and I will explain the

1. motion when it comes to the Floor.

2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

3. You...you wish to move for immediate consideration of
4. Resolution, what is the number?

5. SENATOR GITZ:

6. 442.

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

8. 442? All right, the question is shall resolution...Senate
9. Resolution 442 be adopted. Those in favor indicate by saying
10. Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Well...Senator Mitchler
11. requests an explanation, Senator Gitz, would you please inform
12. him.

13. SENATOR GITZ:

14. Senator Mitchler, I would be happy to explain it. Col. Leland
15. Holland, who is one of the hostages in the Tehran Embassy, is a
16. graduate and constituent from my district in Scales Mound, Illinois;
17. and the resolution was simply to his family, who are still
18. residents in Jo Daviess County.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

20. Is there further discussion? All right, the question is shall
21. resolution...Senate. Resolution 442 be adopted. Those in favor
22. indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed Nay. The Ayes have it.
23. Resolution is adopted. Is there further business to come before
24. the Senate? Senator Buzbee, get to your microphone. Senator
25. Buzbee, for what purpose do you arise?

26. SENATOR BUZBEE:

27. Thank you, Mr. President, for the purpose of an announcement...
28. the Appropriations II Committee will meet immediately after Session
29. today...I anticipate we will be in about two to two and half hours
30. to complete our business. You will notice on the schedule for
31. next week, the Appropriations II Committee is scheduled on Tuesday
32. afternoon at 2:00 p.m. We are going to move that up to Tuesday
33. morning at 10:00 a.m. On Tuesday we deal with the Higher Education

1. Appropriation requests, and we will have the Annual Dog and Pony
2. Show by the Director of the Board of Higher Education with his...
3. on Tuesday morning early; then right after that, we will break
4. down into the Higher Ed Subcommittee, which is made up of Buzbee,
5. Vadalabene, Washington, Weaver and Grotberg. So, the other members
6. of the Appropriations II Committee will not have to...will not
7. have to come back on Tuesday afternoon, but we anticipate that
8. subcommittee will be in until about eight or eight-thirty, Tuesday
9. evening; solid all day, breaking only for the Floor Session, then
10. go right back in...after the Floor Session into the subcommittee,
11. and we will get on with the subcommittee work done on the Higher
12. Ed Appropriation bills on Tuesday.

13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

14. Are there further announcements? Senator Rock moves that the
15. Senate stand adjourned until Tuesday, twelve p.m., April 22nd. The
16. Senate stands adjourned.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.