SPECIAL SESSION
77th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
SENATE FLOOR DEBATE
December 17, 1972

The state of the s

PRESIDENT:

1.

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10-

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16_

18.

19-

2Œ.

2I.

22_

23_

24.

25_

25..

27.

28..

29 ...

IT..

31...

32..

337..

17.

Special Session of the Senate will come to order.

Motion by Senator Laughlin to dispense with the reading
of the journal. All in favor signify by saying aye, contrary
minded. Motion prevails. We have a message from the House.
SECRETARY:

ALACO AND A THE SERVICE OF THE SERVI

Message from the House, Mr. Selcke, Clerk. Mr. President, I'm directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has passed a bill with the following title and the passage of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the Senate to wit: House Bill #1.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Clarke.

SENATOR CLARKE:

PRESIDENT:

Mr. President. Again we have the issue of taxes before us. So we have a second chance to seriously do something for the people of this state instead of for the elected and appointed officials, elected officials, only. This bill was derived from an amendment on the other side of the aisle in the House. It doesn't do what we intended originally and what the Governor intended when he called this Special Session, because it doesn't address itself to stabilizing and freezing for a period of time, taxes; but it does attempt to give some relief to home owners who are taxpayers and pay a great amount of taxes in many areas of the State to the schools. I think that we should seriously consider this bill and that we should talk about it. And, I think that the way to do this is to keep it on the calendar and I'd like to make a motion, so that we don't lose any time, that we advance this bill to Second Reading. Read it a first time, ask that it be read a first time and advanced to Second Reading.

Motion is to suspend the Rules, advance this bill to

1.	Second Reading for without reference to committee. Is there
2.	any discussion? Is there objection, first of all? Senator
3.	Partee.
4.	SENATOR PARTEE:
5.	Could we just be at ease for about three minutes, I want
6.	to talk to Senator Clarke about something.
7.	PRESIDENT:
8.	We shall be at ease for three minutes. We have a three
9.	minute button here incidentally Senator Partee and Senator
10.	Clarke. Senator Partee.
11.	SENATOR PARTEE:
12.	I understand there is a motion pending to suspend the
13.	Rules for the purpose of advancing this bill to Second Read-
14.	ing. Is that correct?
15.	PRESIDENT:
16.	That is correct.
17.	SENATOR PARTEE:
18.	I'm objecting.
19.	PRESIDENT:
20.	There is objection. You wish to pursue your motion,
21.	Senator Clarke?
22.	SENATOR CLARKE:
23.	Yes, I do.
24.	PRESIDENT
25.	Question is the suspension of the Rules and advancing
26.	the bill to Second Reading without reference. Is there dis-
27.	cussion? Secretary will call the roll.
28.	SECRETARY:
29.	Arrington, Baltz, Berning, Bidwill, Bruce, Carpentier,
30.	Carroll, Cherry, Chew, Clarke, Collins, Coulson, Course,
31.	Davidson, Donnewald, Dougherty, Egan, Fawell, Gilbert, Graham
32.	Groen, Hall, Harris, Horsley, Hynes, Johns, Knuepfer, Knuppel
33.	Kosin

the same of the sa

PRESIDENT:

the state of the s

ı.

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

Senator Knuppel.

SENATOR KNUPPEL:

Nobody's saying anything, but I think that somebody ought to say something. I think this is one of the most irresponsible pieces of legislation to be placed before this body. It doesn't take into consideration anyone except the residence owners, it doesn't take into consideration the property owner who has tenants in his property, it doesn't define multi unit as to what it means, nothing said here what happens with respect to the residents on a farm or, residence occupied by a business as well as a residence. All this really does is grant a license to local officials to be irresponsible in taxing. This is the farthest thing from a tax freeze that anyone could imagine because all they have to do is raise their assessed values, tax to the limit, and the state is supposed to refund the money. It just shifts the burden from local taxation to the state, it doesn't freeze taxes at all. It's nothing but a million, a hundred million, or a two hundred million dollar lollipop for the residence owner at the expense of the worker, the business man, and every other type of property owner and taxpayer there is, because it will have to and will result in increase of state taxes income, sales, and otherwise. It can't have any other result. And why we should sit here under the charade that we're freezing taxes when in fact we are doing exactly the opposite. We're opening the gate to unlimited and unlicensed taxation of people.

The same of the sa

-3-

. This will only increase the taxes to the people of the State

of Illinois because, as I said before, local officials will

tax at the maximum limit, will assess at the maximum limit,

and have no responsibility whatsoever because they will get

a refund from the State and then by that means increase the

taxes to the taxpayers of the State of Illinois. And how any-

one can sit here and vote aye that this should be advanced to Second Reading without going to a Committee, without being honest enough with the people of this State to say that this is really an open gate to unlicensed taxation, unbridled taxation is really a surprise to me. I think it is the most irresponsible legislation that's come to this Body in the form of taxation or revenue raising in the history of the two years that I've been here. It would destroy the whole tax structure. We're not meeting the demands of education or any other fields and then we're going to take another hundred million dollars from the State to refund to the local government which, as I said before, will have no responsibility. The control of taxes at the local level, and that is real estate taxes, it all goes at the local level, is really dependent upon the local elected officials, it's not our responsibility here and we shouldn't even be attempting to assume it; and by this we remove that responsibility from them to be conservative, to be frugal, to be wise in how they raise and spend.

A Company of the same

SECRETARY:

FROM LE COUNTY CONTRACTOR

1.

2.

З.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

ķ

Kosinski, Kusibab, Latherow, Laughlin,

PRESIDENT:

Senator Laughlin.

their money. I vote no.

SENATOR LAUGHLIN:

Yes. Mr. President and members of the Senate I intend to vote aye, but I want to explain. I don't like to sail under false pretenses. The other day I made a motion on tax freeze bills to get them out and at the time I said...made the motion and argued it, I said that I thought, because I had been told by Mr. Scott of the Taxpayer's Federation, it might be possible to amend it on Second Reading so it would work for a period of one year. Now, I think, consistently I have to support this motion, but I want to make it abundantly clear

that by so doing that if this bill, in this form, is voted 1. on on Third Reading I will vote no. It is not a good bill. 2. I'd further make this comment that I do question whether we з. are going to be here enough days to have the discussion. 4. But having been here for a long time, and leaving under 5. circumstances that I certainly regret, as far as what's going 6. on here is concerned, I've got to vote aye but I don't believe 7. anything is going to happen to this bill, and if it doesn't, 8. I couldn't possibly vote for it on Third Reading. 9. SECRETARY: 10. Lyons, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Mohr; Neistein, 11. Newhouse, Nihill, O'Brien, Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Rock, Romano, 12. Rosander, Saperstein, Savickas, Smith, Soper, Sours, 13. PRESIDENT: 14. Senator Sours. 15. SENATOR SOURS: 16. I'm going to support the motion, but I'm going to vote 17. against the bill. 18. SECRETARY: 19. Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker, Weaver. 20. PRESIDENT: 21. Egan, no. Senator Neistein. 22. SENATOR NEISTEIN: 23. Has this bill got a fiscal note? I want to know how 24. much it is going to cost the taxpayers of this state? Mr. 25. President. 26. PRESIDENT: 27. I'm advised that it does not have a fiscal note. 28. SENATOR NEISTEIN: 29. Well, what's the efficacy of this bill? Not the genesis, 30. the efficacy, and the legality, if it doesn't have a fiscal 31. note accompanying it. 32. PRESIDENT: 33.

TO THE RESIDENCE OF THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR

I assume that question could be raised prior to passage
 although I've never seen it raised successfully on any bill,
 as you may recall, Senator Neistein.

SENATOR NEISTEIN:

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

1

17.

Mr. President, I tried to strike all bills for many years and finally there came a day, and there might be the day in the offing that the fiscal note might hold up too.

It's on the books, I don't know why we passed it in the first place.

PRESIDENT:

It's a point that can be raised prior to passage, stage. Senator Clarke.

SENATOR CLARKE:

Of course, in response to that question if the good Senator was really interested in a fiscal note on this bill he should consult with the minority leader on the Democratic side in the other House. This is his amendment, not ours. PRESIDENT:

On that question the yeas are 23, the nays are 5. The motion to suspend the Rules does not prevail. Is there further business to come before the Special Session? Read a first time, advanced, and referred to the Committee on Assignment of Bills. Senator Partee.

SENATOR PARTEE:

Now, we had a Resolution which we passed yesterday, a Joint Resolution, which we considered amending or passing a second one so that this matter, too, will be under study by the Economic and Fiscal Commission. But we will simply write a letter to them advising them that this bill has been passed so that we can, at least, formally say to them that this bill, too, should be considered when they consider the other matters as set forth in the Resolution considering this entire area of consideration.

PRESIDENT:

7.

2.

3.

₹.

3,

¥.

3.

3

-3.5°

-

三三.

<u>--</u>---

<u>ت</u> د.

=:

<u>=:</u>.

<u>--</u>:>.

___.

_

The...Senator Partee

SENATOR PARTEE:

And now I move, Mr. Chairman, that the Special Session be adjourned sine die.

PRESIDENT:

Motion to adjourn the Special Session. The motion is to adjourn sine die. The question has been raised here Senator Partee whether we need a Resolution. We need the concurrence of the House, somehow, in this action. Ordinarily it has been done by Resolution, but.....Senator Clarke, SENATOR CLARKE:

Mr. President, we have just had reference made to a Resolution that is being amended to send House Bill 1 to a Joint Economic Fiscal Commission, or whatever the Budgetary Commission's successor is, from this Special Session and the previous, the previous, previous bills that were in the form that the Governor suggested were sent to that Commission.

Now, I'd like to ask a technical question, a motion to adjourn sine die for this Session means, in effect, that there is no intent to have any study done which would Culminate prior to the end of the regular period, or biennium, or January 10th.

This Special Session, in other words, is not to be held over for a report from that Commission on this subject.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Partee.

SENATOR PARTEE:

The Resolution calls for a report to the 78th General Assembly, which forecloses any report to the remaining days of the 77th General Assembly. There will be no subsequent Resolution as respects the bill which is now under consideration, Fouse Bill 1, there will be no Resolution concerning it. There will simply be a letter written to the Fiscal and

other components of this problem. 3. PRESIDENT: A Resolution is being prepared. Can we proceed. 5. also, I'm advised by the Secretary also we need a Committee 6. to be appointed to approve the journals. Senator Partee. 7. SENATOR PARTEE: 8. Parlimentary inquiry. How many persons are necessary 9. for such a Committee? 10. PRESIDENT: 11. Three can do it. 12. SENATOR PARTEE: 13. All right. We'll appoint Senators Egan, is O'Brien 14. here, Donnewald and Lyons. Oh, pardon me. Egan and 15. Donnewald and whoever Senator Clarke desires from that 16. side. 17. PRESIDENT: 18. Senator Clarke. 19. SENATOR CLARKS: 20. I think Senator Weaver has done this before and has 21. experience in this field. 22. PRESIDENT: 23. Senator Weaver is off the floor and can't defend himself. 24. Oh, there he is. Senator Weaver, you're appointed. We have 25. a...we'll have an adjournment Resolution in just a moment.

一个事情。 医神经神经性性 医多种性神经 医多种性性 医神经性性 医神经性

Economic Commission advising them of this Bill and its

posture and asking them to consider it, along with the

1.

2.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

by the Senate of the 77th General Assembly at the First

Special Session thereof, that when the two Houses, the House

adjourn today, Sunday May 16..17, they stand adjourned sine

of Representatives concurring herein, that when the two Houses

Senate Joint Resolution No. 3 by Senator Partee. Resolved

Adjournment Resolution.

SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

die. 1. PRESIDENT: 2. December 17. 3. SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES) 4. December 17. 5. PRESIDENT: 6. Right. Motion for the adoption of the Resolution. 7. All in favor signify by saying aye, contrary minded. The 8. Resolution is adopted. The Special Session has adjourned. 9. The... 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24. 25. .26. 27. 28. 29.

-9-

30.31.32.33.