55th Legislative Day 5/31/2021

НВ0004	Recalled	7
HB0004	Third Reading	8
НВ0156	Out of Record	13
HB0156	Third Reading	43
HB0165	Recalled	158
HB0165	Third Reading	159
HB0275	Recalled	52
HB0275	Third Reading	54
НВ0369	Third Reading	56
НВ0550	Recalled	226
НВ0550	Third Reading	227
НВ0562	Recalled	102
НВ0562	Third Reading	103
НВ0605	Third Reading	56
HB0648	Third Reading	58
HB0684	Third Reading	60
НВ0690	Third Reading	60
нв0731	Recalled	210
НВ0731	Third Reading	211
HB1092	Out of the record	62
HB1092	Third Reading	171
HB1428	Third Reading	17
HB1428	Vote Intention	19
HB1711	Third Reading	137
HB1725	Recalled	62
HB1725	Third Reading	63
HB1755	Third Reading	13
HB2412	Third Reading	14
HB2426	Third Reading	16
HB2567	Recalled	64
HB2567	Third Reading	65
HB2567	Vote Intention	67
HB2620	Recalled	67
HB2620	Third Reading	69
НВ2790	Third Reading	134
НВ3235	Third Reading	73
НВ3235	Vote Intention	77
HB3443	Recalled	78
HB3443	Third Reading	78
HB3714	Recalled	83
НВ3714	Third Reading	84

55th Legislative Day		5/31/2021
нв3743	Recalled	205
нв3743	Third Reading	206
НВ3922	Third Reading	150
SB0058	Concurrence	25
SB0215	Concurrence	191
SB0225	Concurrence	37
SB0225	Vote Intention	38
SB0508	Concurrence	156
SB0512	Concurrence	26
SB0539	Concurrence	196
SB0672	Concurrence	27
SB0685	Concurrence	39
SB0805	Concurrence	40
SB0815	Concurrence	207
SB0825	Concurrence	162
SB1096	Concurrence	3
SB1539	Concurrence	28
SB1561	Concurrence	4
SB1697	Concurrence	209
SB1770	Concurrence	4
SB1822	Concurrence	29
SB2017	Concurrence	222
SB2136	Concurrence	31
SB2279	Concurrence	34
SB2294	Concurrence	154
SB2325	Concurrence	36
SB2325	Vote Intention	37
SB2338	Concurrence	188
SB2339	Concurrence	152
SB2340	Concurrence	153
SB2406	Concurrence	231
SB2406	Motion	240
SB2800	Concurrence	212
SB2907	First Reading	24
SR0028	Adopted	161
SR0028	Resolution Offered	161
SR0058	Adopted	176
SR0058	Resolution Offered	176
SR0092	Adopted	186
SR0092	Resolution Offered	186
SR0098	Adopted	177
SR0098	Resolution Offered	177

55th Legislative Day		5/31/2021
SR0100	Adopted	178
SR0100	Resolution Offered	178
SR0101	Adopted	179
SR0101	Resolution Offered	179
SR0140	Adopted	181
SR0140	Resolution Offered	180
SR0150	Adopted	182
SR0150	Resolution Offered	181
SR0168	Adopted	183
SR0168	Resolution Offered	182
SR0215	Adopted	184
SR0226	Adopted	186
SR0226	Resolution Offered	184
SR0342	Resolution Offered	23
SR0343	Resolution Offered	23
HJR0035	Resolution Offered	2
Senate to Order - Sen	nator Holmes	1
Moment of Silence Observed		1
Pledge of Allegiance		1
Journal-Postponed		1
Committee Reports	1	
Message from the House		2
Remote Participation Motion		2
Motion		19
Committee Reports	21	
Senate Stands at Ease	23	
Committee Reports	23	
Message from the House	99	
Message from the House		101
Committee Reports		101
Message from the House		151
Message from the House		179
Senate Stands in Recess/Reconvenes		187
Committee Reports	190 204	
Message from the House		
Committee Reports		
Roll Call of Senators of the 102nd GA		244
Adjournment		246

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HOLMES)

The regular Session of the 102nd General Assembly will please come to order. Will the Members please be at their desks? In place of our invocation, the Senate will observe a moment of silence, in respect, for Memorial Day. (Moment of silence observed) Please remain standing for the Pledge of Allegiance. Senator Johnson, please lead us in the Pledge.

SENATOR JOHNSON:

(Pledge of Allegiance, led by Senator Johnson)

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HOLMES)

Blueroomstream and Quincy Media seek leave to record our proceedings. Seeing no objection, leave is granted. Mr. -- Mr. Secretary, Reading and Approval of the Journal.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Journal of Sunday, May 30th, 2021.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HOLMES)

Senator Hunter.

SENATOR HUNTER:

Madam President, I move to postpone the reading and approval of the Journal just read by the Secretary, pending arrival of the printed transcripts.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HOLMES)

Senator Hunter moves to postpone the reading and approval of the Journal, pending arrival of the printed transcripts. There being no objection, so ordered. Mr. Secretary, Committee Reports. SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senator Murphy, Chair of the Committee on Executive Appointments, reports Appointment Messages 102-0050, 102-0073, 102-0084, 102-0165, 102-0166, 102-0167, and 102-0168 Do Recommend

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

Consent.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HOLMES)

Mr. Secretary, Messages from the House.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

A Message from the House by Mr. Hollman, Clerk.

Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has adopted the following joint resolution, in the adoption of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the Senate, to wit:

House Joint Resolution 35.

Offered by Senator Syverson.

And Adopted by the House, May 29th, 2021. John W. Hollman, Clerk of the House.

It is substantive, Madam President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HOLMES)

Ladies and Gentlemen, please come to the Floor. We're going to start our business today moving through concurrences on the regular Calendar. Senator Hunter, for what purpose do you rise? SENATOR HUNTER:

Madam President, pursuant to Senate Rule 4-1(e), I move that Senator Ellman be allowed to participate and vote remotely for today's Session.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HOLMES)

Is there any discussion? If not, the question is, shall Senator Ellman be allowed to participate and vote remotely for the May 31st, 2021 Session day pursuant to Senate Rule 4-1(e). All those in favor, say Aye. Opposed, Nay. In the opinion of the Chair, the Ayes have it, and the motion carries and Senator Ellman is allowed to participate and vote remotely for the May 31st, 2021,

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

Session day. We're going to go to the Order of Concurrence on Senate Bills starting with Senate Bill 1096. Senator Gillespie, do you wish to proceed? Mr. Secretary, read the motion.

### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1096.

Signed by Senator Gillespie.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HOLMES)

Senator Gillespie.

## SENATOR GILLESPIE:

Thank you, Madam Chair. This is a line and page amendment simply adding an effective date for the bill. And the bill -- the underlying bill is an agreement between the Department of Insurance, the insurance industry, the nursing homes with regard to money and coverage for COVID testing for nursing home employees. And I ask for an Aye vote.

## PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HOLMES)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall the Senate concur in House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1096. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Senator Ellman. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 55 Ayes, 0 Nays, 0 voting Present. Having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does occur -- concur in House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1096, and the bill is declared passed. Going to Senate Bill 1561, Senator Villanueva. Mr. Secretary, read the motion.

#### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1561.

Signed by Senator Villanueva.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HOLMES)

Senator Villanueva.

## SENATOR VILLANUEVA:

Thank you, Madam President. House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1561 was a requested clarification from the Attorney General's Office to ensure that all types of loan modification service discrimination are covered in the language. It adds language that — that clarifies loan modification service providers cannot discriminate in advertising, representative services responding to inquiries, among other provisions. No known opposition is known at this time. And I ask for an Aye vote.

## PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HOLMES)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall the Senate concur in House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1561. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Senator Ellman. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 56 Ayes, 0 Nays, and 0 voting Present. Having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur in House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1561, and the bill is declared passed. Senate Bill 1770, Senator Belt. Mr. Secretary, read the motion. SECRETARY ANDERSON:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1770.

Signed by Senator Belt.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HOLMES)

Senator Belt, to your motion.

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

#### SENATOR BELT:

Thank you, Madam President. I move to concur with House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1-7-7-0. It's -- it simply increases the exempted area of Alexander-Cairo Port District from 5 miles to 6 miles of the confluence of the Mississippi and Ohio Rivers. The amendment also adds clarifying language that the construction activities must comply with federal mitigation requirements. I know of no opposition and I ask for an Aye vote. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HOLMES)

Is there any discussion? Senator Fowler, for what reason do you seek recognition?

#### SENATOR FOWLER:

Thank you, Madam Chairman. To the bill, please.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HOLMES)

To the bill.

## SENATOR FOWLER:

Senator Belt, -- I once again, I just want to say thank you so much for your hard work on this legislation that has the potential of creating the nation's hub for river port transportation opportunities in southern Illinois. Especially, for those in Alexander County, Cairo, the entire region. This is a game changer for the State of Illinois and I just appreciate you, so much, for your hard work and dedication in this legislation. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HOLMES)

Senator Belt, do you wish to close?

### SENATOR BELT:

Thank you, Madam President. Likewise, I would like to commend Senator Fowler for all his hard work in this, as well. It was

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

definitely a tag team collaboration. And with that being said, I would ask for Aye votes. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HOLMES)

The question is, shall the Senate concur in House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1770. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Senator Ellman. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 56 Ayes, 0 Nays, and 0 voting Present. Having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur in House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1770, and the bill is declared passed. WCIA Channel 3 seeks leave to record video and audio. Seeing no objection, leave is granted. Senator Anderson, for what purpose do you seek recognition?

#### SENATOR ANDERSON:

Point of personal privilege, Madam President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HOLMES)

State your point.

## SENATOR ANDERSON:

You know, while we're in a lull here in the last day of Session, we have a lot to be thankful for today. And for me, personally, for the past seven years of my service in this Body, the last day of Session always lands on my wife and I's anniversary. So, I want to say thank you to my wife, who has put up with this process and allowed me to be down here and serve on the most important day of the year for us in celebrating our marriage. This year marks nineteen years and I just want to say, thank you Brandy for allowing to be down here and serve, and look forward to coming home tonight. Thank you, Madam President.

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HOLMES)

Congratulations, Senator. Ladies and Gentlemen, we are going to final action. House Bills 3rd Reading. Starting on your Calendar on page 12, top will be House Bill 4. Senator Johnson. House, ope, she does want her bill. Okay. Mr. Secretary, read the bill. Senator Johnson seeks leave of the Body to return House Bill 4 to the Order of 2nd Reading. Leave is granted. On the Order of 2nd Reading is House Bill 4. Mr. Secretary, are there any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 2, offered by Senator Johnson.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HOLMES)

Senator Johnson, to your amendment.

SENATOR JOHNSON:

Thank you, Madam President. House Bill 4 allows a school board, by resolution, to adopt a research-based program or programs for e-learning days, districtwide, that shall permit student instruction to be received electronically while students are not physically present because the school was selected - oh, I'm sorry. I wish to -- I move to adopt the resolution and to explain on 3rd Reading.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HOLMES)

Are there -- is there any discussion? All those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the amendment is adopted. Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HOLMES)

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

Senator -- oh. 3rd Reading. Mr. Secretary, read the bill. SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 4.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HOLMES)

Senator Johnson.

### SENATOR JOHNSON:

Thank you, Madam President. House Bill 4, as amended, requires that in the event of a school being selected as a polling place and the school elects to utilize e-learning on that day, then all contractors who provide education support services to the district shall receive their regular rate of pay or billings and -- House Bill 4 allows a school board, by resolution, to adopt a research-based program for e-learning days, districtwide, that shall permit student instruction to be received electronically while students are not physically present. House Bill 4 passed out of committee, unanimously, and there is -- there are no -- there's no opposition to this bill. I ask for Aye votes.

## PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HOLMES)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 4 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Senator Ellman.

### SENATOR ELLMAN:

Ellman votes Yes.

## PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HOLMES)

Ellman votes Yes. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 57 Ayes,

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

O Nays, and O voting Present. House Bill 4, having received the -- required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Moving on to House Bill 156, Senator Villa. She wishes -- she wishes to proceed. Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

## SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 156.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HOLMES)

Senator Villa.

### SENATOR VILLA:

Thank you, Madam President. House Bill 156, makes menstrual hygiene products available at no costs to students in bathrooms of every school building that are used for grades 4 through 12 during the regular -- school -- school day. I'd be happy to answer any questions. And I encourage an Aye vote.

## PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HOLMES)

Is there any discussion? Senator Tracy, for what purpose do you seek recognition?

#### SENATOR TRACY:

Thank you, Madam President. Questions of the sponsor, please. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HOLMES)

Sponsor will yield. Senator Tracy.

## SENATOR TRACY:

Senator Villa, am I reading this correctly? Is this in both male and female restrooms?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HOLMES)

Senator Villa.

## SENATOR VILLA:

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

It's in any restroom, yes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HOLMES)

Senator Tracy.

SENATOR TRACY:

Why would that be in the male restrooms?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HOLMES)

Senator Villa.

SENATOR VILLA:

In case of emergency.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HOLMES)

Senator Tracy.

SENATOR TRACY:

Well, I thought these were called feminine hygiene products in other bills, which are about the same things, I think. If I'm -- unless I'm misguided but they're -- feminine, right?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HOLMES)

Senator Villa.

SENATOR VILLA:

Thank you, for addressing that. Actually, the bill is referencing as -- menstrual hygiene products.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HOLMES)

Senator Tracy.

SENATOR TRACY:

Do males menstruate?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HOLMES)

Senator Villa.

SENATOR VILLA:

This bill is intended for people who menstruate.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HOLMES)

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

Senator Tracy.

#### SENATOR TRACY:

Okay. Still not making the connection of -- of why -- why would you put them in both restrooms? Do we have a cost for how much the providing of these products, at no cost to students is? PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HOLMES)

Senator Villa.

#### SENATOR VILLA:

They're already in the schools, so, this is just an expansion of the 2017 bill. It just brings it down to fourth grade.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HOLMES)

Senator Tracy.

## SENATOR TRACY:

Correct, and I do remember that bill and I -- I do remember it was in the millions of dollars. And I do think that New York had had a pilot program, and found it to be very expensive. But, there again, I mean, please just give me a simple answer. Why are we putting them in male bathrooms as well?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HOLMES)

Senator Villa.

## SENATOR VILLA:

In case of an emergency.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HOLMES)

Senator Tracy.

### SENATOR TRACY:

Well, in all honesty, that really doesn't answer, simply, my question. To the bill, I would say this is beyond comprehension, isn't it? Certainly, we've -- we've already provided these products. Certainly, if you're going provide them, you know, it's

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

-- it's true that perhaps fourth grade would be the better part. I -- I think it would have been much wiser and physically prudent to contact some of the manufacturers of these products and ask them to do a pilot program -- promote their products, or whatever, to try to save money. But, it -- it's another unfunded mandate on our schools. But, to put them in a male restroom -- I -- I mean, these are feminine hygiene products, you know, we called that in the other bill for universities that were going to recruit students for free products, the feminine hygiene products, rather than lowering their tuition and fees. We want to recruit students, but to this bill -- I don't think anybody can tell me that this isn't crazy. This -- the, you know, this -- this just doesn't make any sense, but -- why are -- why are we doing this to these schools? Why -- why are we mandating this? And -- and I'm telling you there is no logic here, for an emergency, is not a good answer. And we got to quite playing these stupid silly games here, and get real, and get fiscally responsible, and quit putting mandates on our schools that make no sense, and this was a big top of the list. Thank you. I urge a No vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HOLMES)

Is there further discussion? Seeing -- Senator Bailey, for what purpose do you seek recognition?

### SENATOR BAILEY:

Thank you, Madam President. To the motion.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HOLMES)

I'm sorry, excuse me, Senator Bailey. Senator Villa did you wish to respond to that before we go on to the other questions? SENATOR VILLA:

Thank you, Madam President. I'd like to pull the bill from

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

the record.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HOLMES)

Out of the record. Lee Milner with the Illinois Times seeks leave to photograph the proceedings. Leave is granted. Members, please be advised, we are on our final day here. We are on final Readings, during 3rd Readings of House Bills, please come to the Floor and present your bills. Moving on to House Bill 369. Senator Castro, do you wish to proceed? House Bill 648. Senator Simmons, do you wish to proceed? Out of the record. Moving to page 14, of the printed Calendar, we are going to go to House Bill 1755. Senator Bryant, do you wish to proceed? She does. Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

## SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 1755.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HOLMES)

Senator Bryant.

## SENATOR BRYANT:

You bet. Thank you, Madam President. This is a very simple bill. It concerns the Kaskaskia Port District. Kaskaskia Port is the -- one of the largest inland ports in the United States. This bill just allows them to apply for State and federal grants as opposed to only federal grants. So, it just allows them to apply for them. They're the only port district in Illinois that does not have that right. And I would appreciate if we could give them that ability.

#### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HOLMES)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

House Bill 1755 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Senator Ellman.

### SENATOR ELLMAN:

Ellman votes Yes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HOLMES)

Ellman votes Yes. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 59 Ayes, 0 Nays, and 0 voting Present. House Bill 1755, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Johnson -- Senator Johnson, on House Bill 2412. Do you wish to proceed? She indicates she does. Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

## SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 2412.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HOLMES)

Senator Johnson.

### SENATOR JOHNSON:

Thank you, Madam President. House Bill 2412 adds a provision to the Notice By Publication Act requiring that a notice must be published in a newspaper where the city, town, or county consists of more than forty-five percent of a single minority group. Then, the notice, also, must be in the local newspaper, published in a local newspaper, of that single minority group, if available, and in the native language of the group. IML has moved to -- to neutral from being opposed. And also, during committee, I was asked about the number of towns, cities, and counties that -- were impacted by this, and I mentioned only a couple of towns in my

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

district, but I have a -- a full list for Mr. -- Senator Stoller. There are now nineteen towns that are impacted by this, with an average of fifty-eight percent of a single minority group. I ask for Aye votes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HOLMES)

Is there any discussion? Senator Sally Turner, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR S. TURNER:

Thank you, Madam President. I just wanted to thank Senator Johnson for her research on this. We had a lively discussion in committee, and then she has reassured me that, regarding the newspaper publications, it's a simple transfer of the language and there's no additional cost. So, I appreciate that, Senator Johnson, and I'll be asking my Members to vote Yes. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HOLMES)

Senator, did you wish to close?

SENATOR JOHNSON:

Bill -- Senator Turner, for your feedback and I would like to request Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HOLMES)

The question is, shall House Bill 2412 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Senator Ellman.

SENATOR ELLMAN:

Ellman votes Yes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HOLMES)

Ellman votes Yes. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 59 Ayes, 0 Nays, 0 voting Present. House Bill 2412, having received the

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

required constitutional majority, is declared passed. House -House Bill 2426. Senator Connor, wishes to proceed. Mr.
Secretary, read the bill.

### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 2426.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HOLMES)

Senator Connor.

## SENATOR CONNOR:

Thank you, Madam President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. House Bill 2426 is an initiative of the Illinois Guardianship and Advocacy Commission. The bill amends the Mental Health and -- -- Developmental Disabilities Code to expand the right of individuals to request a transfer to a more clinically appropriate security level within the Department of Human Services facility system. With this new right, the individuals also entitled to have a hearing on their request. The bill provides these individuals, and their guardians, must be given notice of this right to request a transfer to another DHS facility. I know of no opposition. And I would ask for an Aye vote.

## PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HOLMES)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 2426 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Senator Ellman.

## SENATOR ELLMAN:

Ellman votes Yes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HOLMES)

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

Ellman votes Yes. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 59 Ayes, 0 Nays, 0 voting Present. House Bill 2426, having received the required — constitutional majority, is declared passed. With leave of the Body, we are going to go back to page 13, and go to House Bill 1428. Senator Cunningham, would you like to proceed? He indicates he does, Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 1428.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HOLMES)

Senator Cunningham.

## SENATOR CUNNINGHAM:

Thank you, Madam President. This amends the Pension Code. It's -- -- the portion of the Code that applies to the Chicago Transit Authority. Back in 2008, the CTA and their employees recognized a real problem they had with their Employee Retirement Health Care Fund. It was badly underfunded. We added a statutory provision that required employees of the CTA to increase the contribution of -- of -- make a contribution of up to three percent to help get this health fund solvent. That effort has worked. The fund now is fully funded, in fact, it's at -- at a hundred and forty percent of its funding level. Because of that overfunding, what this bill does, is allow the CTA to reduce that level from three -- from a three percent contribution back to one percent, as long as the fund stays a hundred percent funded. If it -- if it drops below a hundred percent, then that funding -- increased funding contribution would kick back in. I know of no opposition.

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

I would appreciate your support.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HOLMES)

Is there any discussion? Senator Stoller, for what reason do you seek recognition?

SENATOR STOLLER:

Question of the sponsor, please.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HOLMES)

Sponsor indicates he'll yield.

### SENATOR STOLLER:

Senator Cunningham, thank you for this bill. I -- it's refreshing to be discussing a -- such a well-funded fund and so that's kind of exciting by itself. And, I think it's all together reasonable that we approach this. You mentioned that there's a provision in the bill that if they drop below a hundred percent that it could be restored. I -- I wasn't sure that I saw that that was in the bill. Could you just clarify that that is actually, indeed, in the bill?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HOLMES)

Senator Cunningham.

#### SENATOR CUNNINGHAM:

So, yes, so the -- there's an automatic provision in the bill that the rate will increase. Now, it would not necessarily go auto -- automatically back to three. But it would increase to a level that would ensure that that hundred percent funding level remained in place.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HOLMES)

Senator Stoller.

#### SENATOR STOLLER:

Thank you. I -- I think that's a great provision. Appreciate

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

the clarification. And I'm happy to encourage a Yes vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HOLMES)

Senator Cunningham, to close.

SENATOR CUNNINGHAM:

Ask for your Aye votes, please.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HOLMES)

The question is, shall House Bill 1428 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Senator Ellman.

SENATOR ELLMAN:

Ellman votes Yes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HOLMES)

Ellman votes Yes. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 58 Ayes, 0 Nays, and 0 voting Present. House Bill 1428, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senator Stoller, for what purpose do you seek recognition?

SENATOR STOLLER:

Thank you, Madam Chair. Could you please let the record reflect I intended to vote Yes on Senate Bill, or sorry, House Bill 1428, please?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HOLMES)

The record will reflect your intentions. Senator Plummer, for what reason do you seek recognition?

### SENATOR PLUMMER:

Thank you, Madam Chair. I -- I rise to make a motion. As the Members of this Body are likely aware -- a couple days ago we voted twice, unanimously, to post the members of the Prisoner Review Board to the Executive Appointments Committee. Executive

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

Appointments met this morning and the Prisoner Review Board appointees were not heard by the committee. Ironically, the Director of the Department of Corrections was present for questioning, but not the members of the Prisoner Review Board. So, because of the lack of action by the Executive Appointments Committee, pursuant to Senate Rule 7-9, I move that the Executive Appointments Committee be discharged from further consideration of Appointment Messages 102-0019, 102-0039, 102-0040, 102-0100, 102-0101, 102-0102, 102-0109, 102-0110, 102-0161, and 102-0163, and that these Appointment Messages be placed on the Senate Calendar on the Order Executive Appointments Appointment Messages. And I request a roll call on this Madam Chair.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HOLMES)

Senator Plummer, looking at Senate Rule 7-4, have you reduced that motion to writing?

SENATOR PLUMMER:

We have, Madam Chair.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HOLMES)

Mr. Secretary, print the motion on the Calendar. Senator Barickman, for what purpose do you seek recognition?

SENATOR BARICKMAN:

Inquiry of the Chair.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HOLMES)

State your inquiry.

SENATOR BARICKMAN:

Madam President, to the -- to Senator Plummer's motion, I understand that matter will be placed on a Supplemental Calendar.

If -- will that be done today? If so, when?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HOLMES)

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

I can get back to you with that information.

## SENATOR BARICKMAN:

Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HOLMES)

Would the Committee on Assignments come and meet in the Anteroom? The Committee on Assignments. Senator DeWitte, for what purpose do you seek recognition? And thank you for flagging me down.

### SENATOR DeWITTE:

Thank you, Madam President. A point of personal privilege, please.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HOLMES)

State your point.

## SENATOR DeWITTE:

Thank you very much, Madam President. I have my wife on my facetime phone, currently, and I wondered if I could indulge the Body in joining me, in wishing her, a happy birthday. Shall -- shall we sing? A one, a two, a three, (sings happy birthday). Happy Birthday, Honey.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HOLMES)

Happy Birthday to Diane and the rest of you don't quit your day job.

### SENATOR DeWITTE:

Thank you very much, Madam Chairman.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HOLMES)

Mr. Secretary, Committee Reports.

## SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senator Lightford, Chair of the Committee on Assignments, reports the following Legislative Measures have been assigned:

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

Refer to Appropriations Committee - Floor Amendment 1 to House Bill 900; refer to Executive Committee - Motion to Concur with House Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 58, Motion to Concur with House Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 2136, Motion to Concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 2338, Floor Amendment 1 to House Bill 562, Floor Amendment 1 to House Bill 625, Floor Amendment 2 to House Bill 691, Floor Amendment 2 to House Bill 2567, Floor Amendment 5 to House Bill 3443, Floor Amendment 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 2042; refer to State Government Committee - Motion to Concur with House Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 1822, Motion to Concur with House Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 2279. Be Approved for Consideration - Senate Resolution -- Senate Resolution 329 and 341.

Signed, Senator Kimberly A. Lightford, Chair.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HOLMES)

Ladies and Gentlemen, we have some committees meeting this afternoon. We will have Executive Committee meeting at 1:30 p.m. in Room 212, and we will have State Government at 1:30 p.m. in Room 409. Committee meetings will include remote participation. Witnesses and Members may participate in person or virtually via Zoom, 1:30 committees. Senator Hunter, for what purpose do you seek recognition?

### SENATOR HUNTER:

The Senate Democrats will caucus in the President's Office for approximately one hour upon recess.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HOLMES)

Senator Barickman, for what purpose do you rise? SENATOR BARICKMAN:

For an announcement.

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HOLMES)

State your announcement.

SENATOR BARICKMAN:

Senate Republicans will also caucus for approximately one hour, in Room 309. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HOLMES)

Ladies and Gentlemen, the caucuses will meet in their expected rooms and places and -- the -- the Senate stands in recess -- to the call of the Chair.

(SENATE STANDS IN RECESS/SENATE RECONVENES)

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

The Senate will come to order. Mr. Secretary, Resolutions. SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Resolution 343, offered by Senator Jones and all Members.

It is a celebration of life resolution, Madam President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Resolution Consent Calendar.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Resolution 342, offered by Senators Rezin and Bryant. It is substantive.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Mr. Secretary, Committee Reports.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senator Landek, Chair of the Committee on State Government, reports Motions to Concur House Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 685, House Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 805, House Amendments

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

1 and 2 to Senate Bill 1822, House Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 2279, and House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 2325 Recommend Do Adopt.

Senator Castro, Chair of the Committee on Executive, reports Senate Amendment 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 2042; Motion to Concur House Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 58, House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 225, House Amendment 3 to Senate Bill 512, House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 672, House Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 1539, House Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 2136, House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 2338; Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 562, Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 625, Senate Amendment 2 to House Bill 2567, Senate Amendment 2 to House Bill 2567, Senate Amendment 2 to House Bill 2620, and Senate Amendment 5 to House Bill 3443 Recommend Do Adopt.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Mr. Secretary, Introduction of Senate Bills.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 2907, offered by Senator Martwick.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

1st Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, we are going to final action. Final action, please come to the Senate Floor for final action; bills that are on concurrences, House Bills 3rd Readings. Please, come to the Senate Floor Members for final action. Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, a Senate Supplemental Calendar No. 1 has been distributed to you on your desk. Please take a look at Secretary's Desk Concurrences. We will begin with Senate Bill 58, on the Order of Supplemental Calendar No. 1, Secretary's Desk

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

Concurrences. Senator Muñoz. Senator Villivalam, on Senate Bill 225. Oh! Excuse me, that's Villanueva. Senator Morrison, on Senate Bill 512. Senator Hunter, on Senate Bill 672. With leave of the Body, we will return to Senate Bill 58. We will begin with, Senator Muñoz, on Senate Bill 58. Mr. Secretary, please read the gentleman's concurrence.

### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 58.

Filed by Senator Muñoz.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator, on your motion.

## SENATOR MUÑOZ:

Thank you, Madam President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is - just keeps the underlying language of the bill while changing the effective date to January 1, 2022. Adding language to provide the trailer fee for trailers weighing less than three thousand pounds and shall be thirty-six dollars. Currently, it's a hundred and eighteen. Also the -- repeals the ten-thousand-dollar cap trading vehicle sales tax credit, increases the private vehicle tax by seventy-five each model year when the purchase is less than fifteen thousand and a hundred of the purchases -- prices above fifteen, provides registration fee for trailers weighing less than three thousand, which I stated. I know of no opposition, to the bill and I will attempt to answer any questions.

## PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Thank you, Senator. Is there any discussion? Any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall the Senate concur in House

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

Amendments No. 1 {sic} (and No. 2) to Senate Bill 58. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Senator Ellman.

### SENATOR ELLMAN:

Ellman votes Yes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Ellman votes Yes. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 58 voting Yea, 0 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. Senate Bill 58, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senator Villanueva. Out of the record. Senator Morrison, on Senate Bill 512. The lady indicates she wishes to proceed. Mr. Secretary, please read the motion.

## SECRETARY ANDERSON:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 512.

Filed by Senator Morrison.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Morrison, on your motion.

#### SENATOR MORRISON:

Thank you, Madam President. This amendment was -- is an initiative of the Attorney General, who worked with IMRF, excuse me, IRMA and IDOR. And basically, this amendment simplifies and clarifies the enforcement so that IDOR will be overseeing the regulation. The underlying bill, of course, deals with preventing youth from vaping. I ask for an Aye vote.

## PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Thank you, Senator. Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 512.

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

Excuse me, Amendment No. 3, shall the Senate concur in House Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 512. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Senator Ellman.

SENATOR ELLMAN:

Ellman is a Yes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Ellman votes Yes. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 57 voting Yea, 0 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. Senate Bill 512, having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur in House Amendments {sic} No. 3 to Senate Bill 512 and the bill is declared passed. Senator Hunter, on Senate Bill 672. Mr. Secretary, please read the lady's motion.

#### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 672.

Filed by Senator Hunter.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Hunter, on your motion.

## SENATOR HUNTER:

Thank you very much, Madam President. I'll explain it on -explain it now, okay. Thank you. It amends the Illinois Freedom
to Work Act it -- to curve the overuse and non-compete and also
non-solicitation agreements. This is the final language
represents -- the final language represents a compromise between
the Illinois Chamber of Commerce, the Illinois National Employment
Lawyers Association, AFL, and the Attorney General's Office. And,
I would ask for an -- an Aye vote.

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Thank you, Senator. Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall the Senate concur in House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 762 {sic}. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Senator Ellman.

SENATOR ELLMAN:

Ellman votes Yes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Ellman votes Yes. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 56 voting Yea, 0 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. Senate Bill 672, House Amendment No. 1 -- having received the required constitutional majority -- forgive me. We only do this once a year. The Senate does concur in House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 672, and the bill is declared passed. Senator Villanueva, on Senate Bill 685. Out of the record. Senator Belt, on Senate Bill 805. Senator Belt. Out of the record. Senator Muñoz, on Senate Bill 1539. The gentleman indicates he wishes to proceed. Mr. Secretary, please read the motion.

#### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 1539.

Filed by Senator Muñoz.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Muñoz, on your motion.

#### SENATOR MUÑOZ:

Thank you, Madam President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. The bill provides that any contract for procurements that was entered into under the Quincy Veterans' Home Rehabilitation

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

and Rebuilding Act and executed prior to the repeal of the Act shall continue. These contracts will continue in full force and in effect until the applicable date dictated by the terms of the contract. It amends the Quincy Veterans' Home Rehabilitation and Rebuilding Act to specify that it repeal of the Act does not apply to contractual procurements executed prior to the repeal. It also amends the Illinois Health Facilities Planning Act to exempt health facilities maintained or operated by the IDVA from the requirements set forth in the Illinois Health Facilities Planning Act. I know of no opposition, and I will attempt to answer any questions.

Thank you, Senator. Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall the Senate concur in House Amendments No. 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 1539. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Senator Ellman.

#### SENATOR ELLMAN:

Ellman votes Yes.

## PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Ellman votes Yes. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 58 {sic} voting Yea, 0 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. Excuse me -- having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur in House Amendments No. 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 1539, and the bill is declared passed. Senator Koehler, on Senate Bill 1822. Mr. Secretary, the gentleman is ready, please read his motion.

### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 1822.

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

Filed by Senator Koehler.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Koehler, on your motion.

#### SENATOR KOEHLER:

Thank you, Madam President, and Members of the Senate. This left the Senate as a singular TIF extension bill, it came back as the Omnibus extension bill and -- of the TIFs, and so, in order to get more votes I'm going to read the cities; Effingham, Polo, Bellwood, Peoria, Joliet, Chicago, Plainfield, Springfield, Cahokia, Charleston, Beardstown, Cicero, East Saint Louis, Gardener, and East Peoria, so I'd appreciate an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Thank you, Senator. Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall the Senate concur in House Amendments No. 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 1822. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Senator Ellman.

## SENATOR ELLMAN:

Ellman votes Yes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Ellman votes Yes. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 57 voting Yea, 0 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. Having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur in House Amendments No. 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 1822, and the bill is declared passed. Senator Collins, on Senate Bill 2136. The lady indicates she wishes to proceed. Please read the motion.

#### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 2136.

Filed by Senator Collins.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Collins, on your motion.

#### SENATOR COLLINS:

Thank you, Madam President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Senate Bill 2136, as amended, creates a process for vacating and expunging a conviction for a Class 4 felony violation of prostitution. In 2013, charges for prostitution were reclassified from felonies to misdemeanors. So, this bill, just simply, allows a process to put in place for expungement. It's not automatic expungement. It's just a process for the expungement of felony prostitution convictions. It allows relief from judgement if his or her final order has potential consequences on a federal immigration law.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Thank you, Senator. Is there any discussion? Senator McClure, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR McCLURE:

Will the sponsor yield for a question?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Sponsor indicates she will yield, Senator.

### SENATOR McCLURE:

Thank you. Senator, I'm confused by some of the No votes in committee. So, I think we need to clear a few things up because you and I spoke about this bill. When you first called it up, I spoke in support of it. So, first of all, I guess, what are the changes that were made in the House?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

Senator Collins.

## SENATOR COLLINS:

I think the changes in the House really made it more amenable to your side of the aisle, because in our original bill that got out of the Senate 54 to 0, we removed the requirement for drug testing for record relief. When it went over to the House, they did not agree to that, and put back in the bill that they have to abide by current law now, which you have to have a drug test before you access record relief.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator McClure.

## SENATOR McCLURE:

So, can you explain any issues that were brought up in the committee that I can clear up right now, between the two of us, talking on the Floor. You're not sure?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Collins.

## SENATOR COLLINS:

I -- did get a chance to inquire why the No votes. I thought this was a better bill for your sentiments in reference to -- it made it much harder for -- to get record relief. So, I was a little bit confused myself.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator McClure.

### SENATOR McCLURE:

Well, Senator, I'm in complete agreement with you. It's a bill that we've already voted on. We all voted on it without a single No vote from our side of the aisle. I spoke in support of it and now there's some provisions that strengthen it from a --

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

from I guess a -- a law enforcement background a little bit on the drug testing which I, honestly, didn't have a problem with the drug testing not being in there in the first place. So, to me, this is a good bill. You have worked hard when you didn't have to change it -- you changed it to make it better in committee. You need to be commended for that. I think we all need to vote for it. It's a good bill. Thank you, Senator.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Collins, to close.

## SENATOR COLLINS:

Thank you, Minority Spokesperson on Criminal Law, thank you for explaining law to some of your colleagues. The lawyers on the other side, thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

The question is, shall the Senate concur in House Amendments No. 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 2136. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Senator Ellman.

### SENATOR ELLMAN:

Ellman votes Yes.

#### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Ellman votes Yes. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 57 voting Yea, 0 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. Having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur in House Amendments No. 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 2136, and the bill is declared passed. Senator Stadelman, on Senate Bill 2279. Mr. Secretary, please read the gentlemen's motion.

## SECRETARY ANDERSON:

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 2279.

Filed by Senator Stadelman.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Stadelman.

#### SENATOR STADELMAN:

Thank you, Madam President. The amendment before you combines most of all the Department of Revenues initiatives this Session. It includes language -- language from Senate Bill 1138, from Senator Hastings; Senate Bill 1582, from Senator Martwick; and Senate Bill 1706, from Senator Castro. All those bills passed unanimously out of the Senate last month. There were some changes made to the underlying bill of Senate Bill 2279, including removes the effective date change for a pollution control facilities, removes the provision creating a fund for PTAB fees. It also reduces the statute of limitation extension from twelve months to six months. This was done to address concerns raised with that provision by the Chamber of Commerce. However, you should know, the Chamber is still opposed to this legislation. Happy to answer any questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Thank you, Senator. Is there any discussion? Senator Stoller, for what purpose do you rise?

#### SENATOR STOLLER:

Thank you. Question of the sponsor, if he'll yield? PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

The sponsor indicates he will yield, Senator Stoller. SENATOR STOLLER:

Yeah, thank you, -- actually to the bill, I'm sorry.

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

To the bill, Senator.

#### SENATOR STOLLER:

Yeah -- yeah, thank you. There's a lot of good things in this bill. A lot of cleanup language. The -- the point that I object to is the six-month extension of the statute of limitations for the Department of Revenue. They -- they already have three to three and a half years to be able to go back and audit. I'm opposed to that extension, and so for that reason, I would encourage a No vote. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Further discussion? Seeing none. Senator Stadelman, to close.

### SENATOR STADELMAN:

I'll just ask for an Aye vote. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

You're welcome, Senator. The question is, shall the Senate concur in House Amendments No. 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 2279. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Senator Ellman. SENATOR ELLMAN:

Ellman votes Yes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Ellman votes Yes. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 41 voting Yea, 18 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. Having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate concurs in House Amendments No. 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 2279, and the bill is declared passed. Senator Feigenholtz, on Senate Bill 2325. The lady indicates she wishes to proceed. Mr.

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

Secretary, please read the motion.

### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2325.

Filed by Senator Feigenholtz.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Feigenholtz.

#### SENATOR FEIGENHOLTZ:

Thank you, Madam President. I move to concur with House Floor Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2325. This is an agreement between HFS and the ambulances. And it's a miracle. I -- I'd appreciate your support.

### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Thank you. Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall the Senate concur in House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2325. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Senator Ellman.

### SENATOR ELLMAN:

Ellman votes Aye.

#### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Ellman votes Aye. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 58 voting Yea, 0 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. Having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur in -- in House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2325, and the bill is declared passed. Senator Pacione-Zayas, for what purpose do you rise?

#### SENATOR PACIONE-ZAYAS:

I've -- thank you, Madam President. I'd like the record to

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

reflect that I voted Yes on the last bill. House Bill - ooh - I don't have the number in front of me, I'm sorry.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

The record would reflect your intentions on voting Aye on Senate Bill 2325. Returning to the order, the top of the Calendar, with leave of the Body, we will return to Senate Bill 225. Senator Villanueva, on Senate Bill 225. Mr. Secretary, please read the lady's motion.

#### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 225.

Filed by Senator Villanueva.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Villanueva, on your motion.

#### SENATOR VILLANUEVA:

Thank you, Madam President. House Floor Amendment 1 is a page and line amendment that keeps the intent of the underlying bill, but specifies that facial recognition -- recognition searches can be used and requested or -- or in requests related to criminal activity except for violations of immigration law. This amendment was actually a request of a Republican Representative -- Representative in the House, and so, we added it. And I ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Thank you, Senator. Is there any discussion? Senator Barickman, for what purpose do you rise?

### SENATOR BARICKMAN:

To the motion.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

To the motion, Senator.

### SENATOR BARICKMAN:

Thank you, Madam President. Just for the Body, there were some concerns raised by law enforcement on the -- on the underlying bill that remained. I'd urge a No vote. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Further discussion? Seeing none. Senator Villanueva, to close.

#### SENATOR VILLANUEVA:

I ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

The question is, shall the Senate concur in House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 225. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Senator Ellman.

## SENATOR ELLMAN:

Ellman votes Yes.

### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Ellman votes Yes. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 40 voting Yea, 18 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. Having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur in House Amendments {sic} No. 1 to Senate Bill 225, and the bill is declared passed. Senator Villanueva, for what purpose do you rise?

#### SENATOR VILLANUEVA:

Madam President, my button wasn't working, so, I would like the record to reflect that I am a Yes vote on my own actual bill which is Senate Bill 225. I'd also like the record to reflect that I did get Senator Peters to vote Yes. But apparently, my

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

switch did not work.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

The record will reflect your intentions and someone will be there to fix your button. Senator Anderson, for what purpose do you rise?

#### SENATOR ANDERSON:

Thank you, Madam President. I believe IBEW is still in the building. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Villanueva, try it one more time with Senate Bill 685. Please read the lady's motion.

### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 685.

Signed by Senator Villanueva.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator, on your motion.

### SENATOR VILLANUEVA:

Thank you, Madam Chair. There's a couple of additions in the amendments to this bill. It will now the -- the -- domestic violence -- I'm sorry, the Domestic Violence Fatality Review will now be overseen by ICJIA. The amendment also adds the presence of the Illinois Court to the Statewide committee. And, it also makes the bill compliant with the Open Meetings Act. In addition to addressing some language in terms of confidentiality provisions to ensure that regional review teams can hold closed door meetings or closed meetings, sorry. And it also amends the FOIA, this is the bill that passed both the Senate and the House, unanimously. And again, no known -- opponents and I ask for an Aye vote.

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Thank you, is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall the Senate concur in House Amendments No. 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 685. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Senator Ellman. SENATOR ELLMAN:

Ellman votes Yes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Ellman votes Yes. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 57 voting Yea, 0 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. Senate Bill 685, having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur in House Amendments No. 1 and 2 to the Senate Bill 685, and the bill is declared passed. Senator Belt, on Senate Bill 805. The gentleman indicates he wishes to proceed. Mr. Secretary, please read the motion.

#### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 805.

Filed by Senator Belt.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Belt, on your motion.

### SENATOR BELT:

Thank you, Madam President. House Committee Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 805 requires all school districts to incorporate a food sharing plan for unused food into their local wellness policy. This food sharing plan shall focus on needy students with the plan being developed and supported jointly by the local -- by the district's local health departments.

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Is there any discussion? Senator Bennett, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR BENNETT:

Question of the sponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Sponsor indicates he will yield. Senator Bennett.

### SENATOR BENNETT:

Thank you, Madam President. Senator Belt, I'm a co-sponsor of this legislation and we had a conversation about this in committee today. One of the -- one of the questions, I think, that was posed is how much leeway these plans can give to the school districts in making their plan for the unused food. So for example, is it only for students, or if they decide in their community that on certain days, perhaps right before a weekend or a holiday, that food would go to a nonprofit or a homeless shelter? Would they have that kind of flexibility under the legislation? PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Belt.

#### SENATOR BELT:

Senator Bennett, they would. That -- that would allow for them to do it if it's in their -- their program that ties into their wellness policy. And -- and let me say this, all of this wellness plan has to align with the federal guidelines that already exist. So, the National School Lunch Program and the -- and the National School Breakfast Program, the Child and Adult Care Food Program, and their Summer Food Service Program, shall adhere to the provisions of the Richard R. Russel National School Lunch Act. As well as, accompanying guidance from the U.S. Department of

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

Agriculture, on the food donation program to ensure that any leftover food items are properly donated in order to combat potential food insecurity in their communities. For the purpose of this Section, properly means in accordance with all federal regulations and State and local health sanitation codes. So, Yes. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Bennett.

SENATOR BENNETT:

To the motion.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

To the motion, Senator.

SENATOR BENNETT:

Senator, I really appreciate, I'm sorry, I appreciate the Senator's clarification. I think that was what the committee was hoping to find and appreciate that information. I think it's a great bill. Would ask for everyone's Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Thank you. Further discussion? Senator Wilcox, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR WILCOX:

To the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

The bill, Senator.

SENATOR WILCOX:

So, I want to thank the sponsor for the clarification cause certainly that was the intent question that we were looking for in committee to make sure that it didn't preclude any programs that were already in place that may be pushing food other way. I just know, on -- on our side, there may be some concerns that it is an

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

unfunded mandate. But support this bill on my own. So, thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

You're welcome, Senator. Further discussion? Seeing none. Senator Belt, to close.

SENATOR BELT:

Madam President, I ask for an Aye vote. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Yes, Senator. The question is, shall the Senate concur in House Amendments No. 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 805. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Senator Ellman.

SENATOR ELLMAN:

Ellman votes Yes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Have all voted who wish? Ellman votes Yes. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 57 voting Yea, 0 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. Having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur in House Amendments No. 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 805, and the bill is declared passed. Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, please turn your Calendars to the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading. House Bills 3rd Reading. On page 12, of your Calendar, House Bill 156. Senator Villivalam. Sorry, Senators. Senator Villa. The lady indicates she wishes to proceed. Please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 156.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Villa.

#### SENATOR VILLA:

Alright, let's try this again. House Bill 156 makes menstrual hygiene products, available at no costs to the student, in bathrooms in every school building that are used for grades 4 through 12 during the regular school day. I'd be happy to answer any questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Further discussion? Senator Bailey, for what purpose do you rise?

#### SENATOR BAILEY:

Question of the sponsor, for the motion, please.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

The sponsor indicates she will yield. Senator Bailey.

## SENATOR BAILEY:

Alright, this time the wording changed a little bit. Again, is this all bathrooms or just female bathrooms?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Villa.

### SENATOR VILLA:

Thank you, for the question. It's all bathrooms.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HOLMES)

Senator Bailey.

#### SENATOR BAILEY:

Address the motion, please.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

To the -- to the motion, Senator.

### SENATOR BAILEY:

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

I'm just going to say what I guess needs to be said. I'm a man, I have three sons, I've got five grandsons, men and boys don't menstruate and we sure as heck don't need tampons in our bathrooms. So, just in case there was any clarification and that needed said. I -- I just - wanted to address that. So, thank you very much.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

You're welcome. Further discussion? Senator Anderson, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR ANDERSON:

Question of the sponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Sponsor indicates she'll yield. Senator Anderson.

SENATOR ANDERSON:

Senator, can you give me a real-world example of where this would be necessary?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Villa.

SENATOR VILLA:

In case of an emergency.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Anderson.

SENATOR ANDERSON:

Oh, could -- okay. I don't know how to respond to that.

Okay. So explain the real-world example for an emergency for female products in a boy's bathroom.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Villa.

SENATOR VILLA:

Menstrual hygiene products will be available for those

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

students who need them.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Anderson.

#### SENATOR ANDERSON:

Senator, I'll ask one more time. I'm looking for a real-world example. Like this is -- this happens and then this is why we need it. Just -- just one -- just one example.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Villa.

#### SENATOR VILLA:

I can give you an example that, if I, right now, needed a tampon and didn't have one, but went to the bathroom, and there was a tampon there then I would use it. That's a real-world example.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Anderson.

#### SENATOR ANDERSON:

You -- you would be going to the men's room?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Villa.

### SENATOR VILLA:

They're there for the student that needs it.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Anderson.

#### SENATOR ANDERSON:

Okay, to the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

To the bill, Senator.

#### SENATOR ANDERSON:

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

Obviously, there's no -- real-world example where we'd need this. I don't -- I -- usually I -- when we're debating these issues, we can find intent. And we can disagree on some of the language, but the intent is good, and we understand each other. There's no good intention with putting female products in a boy's bathroom. This is dumb. We should be talking about things like the budget, not talking about putting female hygiene products in a boy's bathroom. This is the most ridiculous thing I've ever heard of. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Belt, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR BELT:

Thank you -- thank you, Madam President. To the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

To the bill, Senator.

### SENATOR BELT:

My colleagues asked for a real-world example. My seventh-grade year in gym -- in gym class, a -- a young lady was -- we were all in gym class, and she came down, or for lack of better terms, she came on her period. She immediately ran -- and she -- I don't know if she ever, ever knew what was going on with her body but she ran into the bathroom. She had on gym shorts, and all the kids started laughing at her, right? And so, she ran into the bathroom and there were no sanitary napkins in the bathroom, though they were supposed to be there. Your real-world example that you asked for. If they were in the boy's locker room, someone could have gone in there and had given her one, just so she could use. That young lady had a -- a was -- was very, very intelligent, she had a high -- a very high trajectory but seventh-grade kids

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

can be so cruel. And they laughed at her, and they joked with her. So, she ultimately dropped out of school. And so, this may be dumb to you guys, but real-world is we never -- the -- the young lady never even came back to school. And so, we're so good at saying, what ifs? Whenever we get up here and we -- we -we -- we enter and engage in debate, we say, what if the puppies killed, or what if this happens. Well, this is not a what if. And, it doesn't emasculate a man to know what if -- if -- if - a sanitary napkin dispenser is in a -- in a bathroom. In fact, it creates tolerance and compassion, and all the things that we should want. God forbid, we go to Walmart or Target and push down an aisle of sanitary napkins with our five-year-old son, right? And -- and, expose them to all the things that we're -- we're dying about, and having a fit about on this Floor, you know. And -- and so, the real-world example is, let's evolve, let's continue to evolve this. We come here and we come here from different backgrounds, and the challenge is to represent our constituency. But let's -- let's step outside of the box, and try to understand, and have compassion for others. Thank you, Madam President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Thank you, Senator. Further discussion? Senator Plummer, for what purpose do you rise?

#### SENATOR PLUMMER:

Thank you, Madam question -- Madam President, question of the sponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Sponsor indicates she will yield. Senator Plummer. SENATOR PLUMMER:

Yes I -- I was curious, I was flipping through the bill here.

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

I didn't see in the proponents or opponents if you had any idea where the Plumbers and Pipefitters stood on this bill?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Villa.

#### SENATOR VILLA:

I didn't call them to ask them that question. And, they did not contact me.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Plummer.

### SENATOR PLUMMER:

I think they probably should have contacted you, because I've been contacted by folks that work at schools in my district, including a gentleman from Madison County that works as a janitor at one of the local middle schools. This bill was actually circulated among some of them. And, they brought up the fact that, do legislators really understand what elementary school boys and middle school boys do? And, what's going to happen with these products? And, the damage that is going to be done to our schools. And, the thousands and thousands and thousands of dollars that it's going to cost our schools. When we know these products are going to be flushed down toilets. These products are going to be stuck in all kinds of places. And, it's just not necessary. I'm all for these products being available in female bathrooms, being available in the principal's office, heck, let every teacher have some at their desks, any of that. But this is literally going to cause thousands and thousands and thousands dollars of damage to our schools because we want to send a -- a signal to the public that -- that -- that we're, I don't know, we're evolving or whatever. But we're really not evolving, right? We're -- we're

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

just sending signals, and it's -- it's unfortunate that we have to be discussing things like this when the State of Illinois is facing such grave -- grave problems. So, I -- I ask you to reconsider this because the taxpayers shouldn't be responsible for the damage that's going to be done. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Thank you, Senator. Further discussion? Senator Villanueva. SENATOR VILLANUEVA:

Does the sponsor yield?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Sponsor indicates she will yield. Senator Villanueva.

#### SENATOR VILLANUEVA:

Senator Villa, have you ever had a sibling that you, maybe, went to school with, or a significant other that you've been with that you have asked to go get you a sanitary napkin or a tampon?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Villa.

### SENATOR VILLA:

Yes, a -- a brother. And for the record, he's an older brother, even though he likes to say he's younger than me.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Villanueva.

### SENATOR VILLANUEVA:

And Senator Villa, have you ever been on, maybe, a high school sports team, or even like, a middle school sports team where, maybe, that you're actually going to a different school, and you're having to use the boy's bathroom because you are a visitor to the school?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

Senator Villa.

#### SENATOR VILLA:

Yes, when I was on the swim team.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Villanueva.

#### SENATOR VILLANUEVA:

You know, I was too. I was actually on the volleyball team. And, we had to do that a couple of different times. So, to the bill, Madam President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

To the bill, Senator.

#### SENATOR VILLANUEVA:

Just vote on the bill. It's a Yes vote. Just get it done. It's a good bill. I know what people are trying to say. I know the comments that they're trying to make. They read between the lines. It's a good bill. And, you have questions and concerns, especially for our children. You know what we should do? Is educate our children about why we need sanitary napkins and tampons. Because, guess what? As a woman, as a person who menstruates, it's a reality. I've dealt with it since I was, I think, about eleven years old. It's not going anywhere anytime soon. Vote for the bill. It's a good bill.

### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Further discussion? Seeing none. Senator Villa, to close. SENATOR VILLA:

I'm a school social worker. First and foremost, before being a Senator, before being a State Rep., I'm a school social worker for fifteen years. In that time, I had children come in to my office and talk to me about, maybe, having a crush on someone,

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

about, maybe, wondering who they were, little kids. According to the American Journal of Medicine, forty-one percent of folks who are transgender have thought or attempted suicide. I don't want to keep speaking around the subjects that you all are wanting me to talk about. Because it wouldn't be fair -- it wouldn't be fair to the kids that -- I'm standing here to help normalize life for, right? The student, if the child needs a product in the bathroom, they should be able to go in the bathroom and get the product. That's it. Students' education should not be interrupted because a lack of access to menstrual products. I urge an Aye vote.

The question is, shall House Bill 156 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Senator Ellman.

SENATOR ELLMAN:

Ellman votes Yes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Ellman votes Yes. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 39 voting Yea, 17 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. Having received the required constitutional majority, - is declared passed. On the Order of 3rd Reading, House Bill 275. Senator Martwick seeks leave of the Body to return House Bill 275 to the Order of 2rd Reading. Leave is granted. On the Order of 2rd Reading is House Bill 275. Mr. Secretary, are there any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 1, offered by Senator Martwick.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Martwick, on your amendment.

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

#### SENATOR MARTWICK:

Thank you, Madam President. I would move to adopt Floor Amendment No. 1 and Floor Amendment No. 2, if that has been released, I believe it has. And I would ask to debate the bill on 3rd Reading.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Floor -- Floor Amendment No. 1 and then 2, Senator. Is there any discussion? Senator Rose? You sure, bestie? Okay. All those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it and the amendment is adopted. Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 2, offered by Senator Martwick.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Martwick, on Floor Amendment No. 2.

## SENATOR MARTWICK:

Thank you, Madam President. Again, I wish to adopt Senate Floor Amendment No. 2. I ask that the amendment be adopted and that I -- am allowed to explain on 3rd Reading.

### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Thank you, Senator. Is there any discussion? Seeing none. All those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the amendment is adopted. Are there any further Floor Amendments approved for consideration?

#### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported.

## PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

3rd Reading. Now on the Order of 3rd Reading is House Bill 275. Mr. Secretary, please read the gentleman's bill.

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 275.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Thank you. Senator Martwick.

#### SENATOR MARTWICK:

Thank you, Madam President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. House Bill 275, as amended, accomplishes three things; Number 1 - it opens a window of time during which qualifying downstate police offers can purchase up to five years of service credit for time that they spent working for the Chicago Police Department; Number 2 - declares that a Chicago Municipal Employees death from a confirmed COVID case would be presumed to have been contracted during the act of duty if he or she was required to show up to a physical work location during the pandemic; and Number 3 - it amends the Chicago Municipal Article of the Illinois Pension Code by establishing that no prior service annuity will be canceled in the -- in case of an employee being reemployed as a special -education classroom assistant or classroom assistant on a temporary nonannual or hourly basis. I'd be happy to answer any questions. I know of no opposition. I ask for any Aye vote.

### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Is there any discussion? Senator Rose, for what purpose do you rise?

#### SENATOR ROSE:

Thank you, Madam President. To the -- to the bill, if I may? PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Nice to see you today, Senator. To the bill.

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

#### SENATOR ROSE:

It's -- it's very nice. I hope you enjoyed the flowers, Madam President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Lovely, absolutely. Thank you very much. Senator Rose.

### SENATOR ROSE:

Life -- life would just not be fun here without you, so, I was happy to send those flowers to you. Senator Martwick, I -- I appreciate your courtesy very much. This bill includes requests of constituents of my area, and I just wanted to say a public thank you on -- on their behalf for including them in this bill. So, I intend to vote Aye. I think it's a good bill, and thank you very much for your courtesy.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Thank you, Senator. Further discussion? Seeing none. Senator Martwick, to close?

#### SENATOR MARTWICK:

Thank you. I ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

The question is, shall House Bill 275 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Senator Ellman.

### SENATOR ELLMAN:

Ellman votes Aye.

#### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Ellman votes Aye. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 59 voting Yea, 0 voting Present. House Bill 275, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. We are going

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

to the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading. House Bill 605, Senator Stadelman. Please read the gentleman's bill, Mr. Secretary. SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 605.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Stadelman.

#### SENATOR STADELMAN:

Thank you, Madam President. House Bill 605 amends the Flag Display Act. By making it impermissible for a State institutions and agencies to purchase Illinois State flags, in addition to any American flags, from manufacturers outside the United States of America. I ask for an Aye vote.

#### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Is there any discussion? Any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 605 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Senator Ellman.

#### SENATOR ELLMAN:

Yes. Ellman votes Yes.

## PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Ellman votes Yes. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there is 59 voting Yea, 0 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. House Bill 605, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. House Bill 369. Senator Castro. Please read the lady's bill.

#### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 369.

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Castro.

### SENATOR CASTRO:

Thank you, Madam President. This bill amends the Juvenile Court Act of 1987 and the Probate Act of 1975, to make Illinois law concerning special immigrant minors compliant with federal law. HB 369 expands who is considered a minor specifically under the Probate Act. Under House Bill 369, a minor person would include youth 18 to 21 years of age for the purpose of insurance consistency with federal immigration law. I know of no opposition. I ask for an Aye vote.

### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Thank you, Senator. Is there any discussion? Any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 369 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Senator Ellman.

### SENATOR ELLMAN:

Yes. Ellman votes Yes.

#### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Ellman votes Yes. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 57 voting Yea, 0 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. House Bill 369, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. House Bill 625, Senator Villa. House Bill 625. This is -- has been a sponsor's change. Senator Cunningham, will represent House Bill 625. Senator Cunningham seeks leave of the Body to return House Bill 625 to the Order of 2nd Reading. Leave is granted. On

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

the Order of 2nd Reading is House Bill 625. Out of the record. Senator Simmons, on House Bill 648. Senator Simmons. The gentleman indicates he wishes to proceed. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 648.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Simmons.

#### SENATOR SIMMONS:

Thank you very much, Madam President. This bill is an initiative of Housing Action Illinois. HB 648, amends the Rental Housing Support Program Act to provide that once a tenant is eligible for assistance, they shall remain eligible until they reach an income level of thirty-five percent of the area median income, before being phased out of the program. This is really an effort to help folks who get a little bit of a bump in an income, who are currently at the thirty percent cutoff, who have fallen into a donut hole, so that they're able to stay housed and -- and not be displaced. This is supported by IDA, Illinois Housing Council, Housing Action Illinois, and a number of other stakeholders and would have no fiscal impact for State of Illinois. I will take any questions.

#### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Is there any discussion? Senator DeWitte, for what purpose do you rise?

#### SENATOR DeWITTE:

To the bill, Madam President.

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

To the bill, Senator.

#### SENATOR DeWITTE:

Thank you, Madam President. For folks on our side of the aisle, this is a long overdue adjustment to income requirements, to be able to qualify for affordable housing in this State. I go back to the middle 2000's, and the numbers haven't changed since then. Clearly, incomes and market conditions have changed. I think this is a timely adjustment. I supported this in committee, urge an Aye vote, and thank this sponsor for his work on this bill. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Thank you, Senator. Further discussion? Seeing none. Senator Simmons, to close.

#### SENATOR SIMMONS:

Thank you very much, Madam President. Thank you, Senator DeWitte. I would urge an Aye vote. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

The question is, shall House Bill 648 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Senator Ellman.

## SENATOR ELLMAN:

Ellman votes Yes.

## PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Ellman votes Yes. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 59 voting Yea, 0 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. House Bill 648, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senator Villivalam, on House Bill 684. Senator Villivalam. The

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

gentleman indicates he wishes to proceed. Please read the bill. ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

Senate {sic} Bill 684.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Villivalam.

#### SENATOR VILLIVALAM:

Thank you, Madam President. House Bill 684, requires HFS to exempt ground ambulance services from the Medicaid Managed Care Program, and shift those services to Medicaid fee-for-service. The proponent on this is the Illinois State Ambulance Association, and I would ask for an Aye vote.

### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Is there any discussion? Any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 684 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Senator Ellman.

### SENATOR ELLMAN:

Ellman is a Yes.

#### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Ellman is Yes. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there is 58 voting Yea, 0 voting Nay, and 0 voting Present. House Bill 684, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senator Hunter, on House Bill 690. Please read the lady's bill. ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

House Bill 690.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Hunter.

#### SENATOR HUNTER:

Thank you very much, Madam President, and Members of the Senate. This bill is an agreed bill between ASPEN Dental, the State Dental Society, and the Department of Professional Regulations and authorizes temporary licenses for dentists to practice at a free of charge oral center on the west side. I know of no opposition and I would ask for a favorable roll call.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none. The question is, shall House Bill 690 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Senator Ellman.

### SENATOR ELLMAN:

Yes. Ellman is a Yes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Ellman votes Yes. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 58 voting Yea, 0 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. House Bill 690, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senator Gillespie, on House Bill 1092. House Bill 1092, Senator Gillespie. Mr. Secretary, please read the lady's bill.

### ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

House Bill 1092.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

Senator Gillespie.

### SENATOR GILLESPIE:

Thank you, Madam President. House Bill 1092 is an update modification to the Firearm's Restraining Order Act. It creates a three-year commission on implementing the Firearms Restraining Order Act, that is led by the director of the Illinois State Police, and is tasked with developing a model policy with a framework -- excuse me, Madam President. Can we pull this one, please?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Absolutely, Senator.

SENATOR GILLESPIE:

Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Out of the record. Senator Harris, on House Bill 1725. Senator Harris seeks leave of the Body to return House Bill 1725 to the Order of 2nd Reading. Leave is granted. On the Order of 2nd Reading is House Bill 1725. Mr. Secretary, are there any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

#### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 1, offered by Senator Harris.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Harris, on your Floor Amendment No. 1, please.

#### SENATOR HARRIS:

Thank you, Madam President. I'd like to withdraw the Floor amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Harris wishes to not adopt the amendment. Mr. Secretary, are there any further Floor amendments approved for

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

3rd Reading. Now on the Order of 3rd Reading is House Bill 1725. Mr. Secretary, please read the gentleman's bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 1725.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Harris.

## SENATOR HARRIS:

You -- thank you, Madam President, and Members of the Senate. HB 1725, amends the School Code to require the trustees of school and Class II county school units to maintain a website, in which information descriptive of offices -- of office duties, and financial information shall be made publicly available. There's no opponents and I request an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Is there any discussion? Senator Barickman, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR BARICKMAN:

To the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

To the bill, Senator.

### SENATOR BARICKMAN:

Thank you, Madam President. Just to clear up the record with the removal of Floor, or the withdrawal of Floor Amendment 1, I

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

think there were some concerns about that. Those concerns are now removed. I think it's a good bill and would encourage support of it. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Thank you, Senator. Further discussion? Any discussion? Seeing none. Senator Harris, to close.

SENATOR HARRIS:

Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

The question is, shall House Bill 1725 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Senator Ellman.

SENATOR ELLMAN:

Ellman is a Yes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Ellman votes Yes. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 59 voting Yea, 0 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. House Bill 1725, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. At the bottom of your Calendar, page 14, continuing on with House Bills 3rd Reading. Senator Castro, on House Bill 2567. Please -- Mr. Secretary. Senator Castro seeks leave of the Body to return House Bill 2567 to the Order of 2nd Reading, leave is granted. On the Order of 2nd Reading is House Bill 2567. Mr. Secretary, are there any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

#### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 2, offered by Senator Castro.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Castro, on your Floor Amendment No. 2, please.

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

#### SENATOR CASTRO:

Madam President, I'd ask for the adoption of the amendment and I'll explain it on 3rd.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Yes, ma'am. Is there any discussion? Seeing none. All those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the amendment is adopted. Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

#### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

3rd Reading. Now on the Order of 3rd Reading, is House Bill 2567. Mr. Secretary, please read the lady's bill.

### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 2567.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Castro.

#### SENATOR CASTRO:

Thank you, Madam President. Bear with me folks, this is a long one. Senate Floor Amendment 2, amends the Commission to End Hunger Act by removing the Local Food, Farms, and -- Jobs Council -- liaisons from the commission. The bill also makes the following seven amendments to the Illinois Procurement Code. First, it provides that contracts of an annual value of more than a hundred thousand post the name of the contractor, a description of the supply or service provided, the total amount of the contract, the term of the contract, and the exception to the Code utilized on

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

the appropriate procurement bulletin. Second, the bill provides that notice of each contract with an annual value of more than a hundred thousand related to the procurement of the goods and services shall be published in the Illinois Procurement Bulletin fourteen Calendar days after contract execution. requires it's -- all small purchases with an annual value of more than fifty thousand dollars to be accompanied by Standard Illinois Certifications. Fourth, it allows public institutions of higher education to determine if a vendor has made good faith effort toward meeting the contract goals determined in a vendor's utilization plan. It -- it further provides that the chief procurement officer may not impair the State agency or public institution of higher education for determining whether to execute the renewal and requires the chief procurement officer to post the completed form on his or her website within five days after receipt from the State agency or public institution of higher education. The bill extends the good faith waiver section from December 2021 to December 2023. Extends the single prime procurement section from January 2022 to January 2024. Fifth, the bill provides that State -- each State agency that purchases food through a contract procured in accordance with the procurement code adopts a policy that permits the donation of leftover food purchases with the -with State funds. Six, the bill provides that all State agencies and State-owned facilities that purchase food and food products publish their farm or food purchases for the recently completed fiscal year on the applicable procurement bulletin. report of fiscal year 2021 purchases is due January 1st 2022. Seventh and final, it allows the Department of Transportation to establish a rule that allows vendors a reasonable opportunity to

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

cure any noncompliance with certifications prior to the rewarding of a contract. I know of no opposition. I ask for an Aye vote. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Thank you, Senator. Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 2567 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Senator Ellman.

### SENATOR ELLMAN:

Ellman votes Yes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Ellman votes Yes. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 58 voting Yea, 0 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. House Bill 2567, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Turn your order to the top of page 15, Senator DeWitte, for what purpose do you rise?

#### SENATOR DeWITTE:

Thank you, Madam Chairman, my button got sticky. I'd like - I'd like to be shown as an Aye vote on 2-5-6-7. Thank you.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

The record will reflect your intentions and please unstick his button. Thank you. On the top of page 15, House Bills 3rd Reading. House Bill 2620, Senator Cunningham. He seeks leave of the Body to return House Bill 2620 to the Order of 2nd Reading. Leave is granted. On the Order of 2nd Reading is House Bill 2620. Mr. Secretary, are there any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

#### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 2, offered by Senator Cunningham.

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator, on Floor Amendment No. 2, please.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Madam President, I move that we adopt the amendment and I'll describe it on 3rd Reading.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, all those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the amendment is adopted. Are there any further Floor Amendments approved for consideration? Floor Amendment No. 4, offered by Senator Cunningham.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Cunningham, on Floor Amendment No. 4, please.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Again, Madam President. I move that we adopt the amendment and I can describe it on 3rd Reading, please.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Thank you, Senator. Is there any discussion? Seeing none. All those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the amendment is adopted. Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

#### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment 5, offered by Senator Cunningham.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Cunningham, on Floor Amendment No. 5, please.

#### SENATOR CUNNINGHAM:

Again, Madam President, I move that we adopt the amendment and I'll describe it on 3rd Reading.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

Thank you, Senator. Are there any discussion? Any discussion? Seeing none. All those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the amendment is adopted. Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration? SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

3rd Reading. Now on the Order of 3rd Reading is House Bill 2620. Mr. Secretary, please read the gentleman's bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 2620.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Cunningham, on House Bill 2620.

## SENATOR CUNNINGHAM:

Thank you, Madam President. Well the -- the reason we had to adopt so many amendments there is because this bill is an omnibus bill regarding the Liquor Control Act. And, it basically takes three pieces of legislation that have been bouncing around the General Assembly this year and move them together. One of those elements is a bill that Senator Barickman had that would allow meateries to distribute - self-distribute meat. There is another portion that was a bill that Senator Castro had that would allow small independent retailers and liquor stores to ban together and make group purchases of alcohol products, a very important initiative for small businesses in our State, particularly small businesses who have trouble struggling during the COVID pandemic. The third is similar to those, it -- it -- involves craft brewers

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

in our State. This would allow craft brewers of a certain size, pretty much the microbrewers many of us are familiar with in our districts, to self-distribute small volumes of their beer, in their local community. This is an agreed bill. The Beer Distributors, Craft Brewer's, the Wine and Spirits Distributors, as well as the Illinois Retail Merchants, worked together on this bill. I'd like to say thanks Senator Castro and Senator Barickman for the work they've done on this. And I'd be happy to answer any questions and I'd appreciate your support.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Thank you, Senator. Is there any discussion? Senator Barickman, for what purpose do you rise sir?

SENATOR BARICKMAN:

To the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

The bill.

#### SENATOR BARICKMAN:

Thank you, Madam President. Listen I -- there's was a lot in that and there's a lot of good that's in that. First of all, I want to acknowledge the work of the sponsor to pull the many groups together that it -- that were required to -- to put this on the Floor in this fashion. Tremendous job on that. I also want to say specifically, all of us bring issues to this Body that come from our districts. Problems that our constituents are trying to solve. And, part of what the sponsor has put forward is a solution to an issue that is local to not only me, but a small group of us in the Chamber who represent some businesses around the State that needed to grow. And, the ability to work with the sponsor and solve a problem, I think is a testament to his work on this. And,

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

to the Body to come together, we don't always do it. This is a great example where it's occurred and I strongly encourage an Aye vote. And again, appreciate the work of the sponsor on this. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Thank you, Senator. Further discussion? Senator Bryant. For what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR BRYANT:

Thank you, Madam President. Question of the sponsor, please. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

The sponsor indicates he will yield. Senator Bryant.

### SENATOR BRYANT:

Senator, I have a lot of -- of vineyards and wineries in the district and I did receive a note from one of the grape growers that there's a fee in this that goes from seven hundred and fifty dollars to twelve hundred dollars. Is that accurate or were you able to work that out?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Cunningham.

#### SENATOR CUNNINGHAM:

So, according to the information I have here, Senator, there has been an increase in the renewal fees. The information I had says that for a first kind -- class wine manufacturer, it would go to twelve hundred dollars for -- for online renewal, and a -- initial license for non-online renewal would go to fifteen hundred dollars.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Cunningham.

SENATOR CUNNINGHAM:

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

Increase -- the previous fees were seven hundred and fifty and nine hundred -- respectfully.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Bryant.

### SENATOR BRYANT:

So, what is the -- could -- could you tell me what the increased fee does -- why the increase, what is it -- what's it going to go for? Why are they being increased on this when they're -- they -- they're also hurting?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Cunningham.

### SENATOR CUNNINGHAM:

So, the fines go to help administer the Liquor Code. That's where -- not the fines -- the fees go to administer the -- the Liquor Code in the State.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Bryant.

### SENATOR BRYANT:

Thank -- thank you for your answers.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Further discussion? Seeing none. Senator Cunningham, to close.

### SENATOR CUNNINGHAM:

I want to thank the previous speaker for the kind words. And -- and again, emphasize to the Body that this is, I think, a small but important legislative measure that will help a number of small and midsized businesses in our State, and their all businesses that work in the hospitality and retail area. And, we know those are the areas that were hit the hardest by the COVID pandemic.

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

This will be a shot in the arm for them. I ask for your support. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

The question is, shall House Bill 2620 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Senator Ellman.

SENATOR ELLMAN:

Ellman votes Yes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Have all voted who -- Ellman votes Yes. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 50 voting Yea, 6 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. House Bill 2620, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Okay, on the bottom of page 15, just go straight down to the bottom to House Bill 3235. Senator Peters, on House Bill 3235. The gentleman indicates he wishes to proceed. Please, read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 3235.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Peters.

SENATOR PETERS:

Thanks, Madam President. This bill simply ensures returning citizens receive information about -- obtaining a standard Illinois I.D., photo registration info, housing info. It's to help them get back into the world and help them get on their feet. I ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

Is there any discussion? Seeing none. The -- There is. Senator Barickman, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR BARICKMAN:

Question of the sponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Sponsor indicates he will yield, Senator. Senator Barickman. SENATOR BARICKMAN:

Senator, is -- what is the status of the Department of Corrections position on this bill?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Peters.

SENATOR PETERS:

They're neutral.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Barickman.

### SENATOR BARICKMAN:

Is it -- is it true that what is being proposed by this legislation is already being done in the -- in Corrections?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Peters.

### SENATOR PETERS:

There is similar but not the exact same action. So, you know, some folks who are -- are coming out of IDOC do get some I.D.s. This also adds in information, voter registration and housing information.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Barickman.

#### SENATOR BARICKMAN:

So, the difference between what's being done now and what's

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

being proposed are the items you just said. Just walk us through what it is that Corrections would now do as a result of the legislation, that they're not already doing.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Peters.

#### SENATOR PETERS:

I'll -- I'll repeat myself. Voter registration info and housing information.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Barickman.

#### SENATOR BARICKMAN:

Right. I'm -- I'm asking the precursor to that. What about that information is being provided to inmates upon release or what exactly is being done with that?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Peters.

#### SENATOR PETERS:

So, it's dependent. They provide some, but not all information. Some of its publicly available, some isn't. And it depends, especially if it's on the county, depends on the county on how they give that information.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Barickman.

#### SENATOR BARICKMAN:

So, does this not only impact Corrections but also, you know, county jails?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Peters.

### SENATOR PETERS:

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

This is specific to Corrections. There was a similar bill that did have counties. This is just Corrections, but what I will say is that the Cook County Sheriff is in support of this bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Barickman.

#### SENATOR BARICKMAN:

Okay. No further questions. Thank you, Madam -- Madam President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Thank you, Senator. Further discussion? Seeing none. Senator Peters, to close.

#### SENATOR PETERS:

Please vote for this bill. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

The question is, shall House Bill 3235 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 44 voting Yea...

SENATOR ELLMAN:

Madam President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Oh, Madam -- Senator Ellman, forgive me. What's your pleasure?

### SENATOR ELLMAN:

I vote Yes.

#### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Oh, okay. We'll come back to you Madam. 44 voting Yea, 9 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. House Bill 3235, having received the required constitution majority, is declared passed. Senator

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

Ellman, for what purpose do you rise? SENATOR ELLMAN:

I would like the record to indicate that I voted Yes for HB 3235, Madam President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Thank you, I appreciate that. Senator Ellman votes Aye, the record will reflect. Senator Plummer, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR PLUMMER:

Inquiry of the Chair, Madam President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

State your inquiry.

#### SENATOR PLUMMER:

Thank you, Madam President. As you may be aware, the Governor's Office issued a statement this morning greenlighting the Senate voting on his appointments to the Prisoner Review Board. Which means that this Body is the only thing standing between a vote on those appointees. Several hours ago, my colleague, Senator Barickman made an inquiry of the Chair as to when the motion to discharge might be put on a Supplemental Calendar and distributed to the Members. We've yet to receive an answer on that. So, I'd like to inquire again -- I'd like to request that the motion to discharge be put on a Supplemental -- Supplemental Calendar that be immediately distributed to the Members and I'd like to know if that's going to happen, Madam President. And if so, when?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Let me check into that for you Senator and I'll be back. SENATOR PLUMMER:

Thank you, Madam President.

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

You're welcome. Senator -- House Bill 3443 has been switched sponsors to Senator Sims. Senator Sims seeks leave of the Body to return House Bill 3443 to the Order of 2nd Reading. Leave is granted. On the Order of 2nd Reading is House Bill 3443. Mr. Secretary, are there any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 5, offered by Senator Sims.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Sims, on Floor Amendment No. 5, please.

#### SENATOR SIMS:

Thank you, Madam President. I'd like to adopt the amendment and discuss it on 3rd.

#### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Thank you, Senator. Is there any discussion? Seeing none, all those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, the amendment is adopted. Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

#### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported.

### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

3rd Reading. Now on the Order of 3rd Reading is House Bill 3443. Mr. Secretary, please read the gentleman's bill.

#### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 3443.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

Senator Sims.

#### SENATOR SIMS:

Thank you, Madam President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. In January of 2021, we passed a -- a historic piece of legislation, the SAFE-T Act, which calls for safety in our communities, accountability for law enforcement, and fairness and equity in our criminal justice system. Today, I stand before you with a trailer bill which I -- what I committed to and promised this Body that we would adopt at that time. So that -- the -- the -- let me talk to you about the changes that are in the trailer bill as -- as proposed here today. First, let me -- let me speak to the underlying intent. House Bill 3443 does not diminish, in any way, the commitment that this Body and this State made to those principles that I outlined at the outset, but it does clarify Makes -- it clarifies and extends the several items. implementation for training requirements. It also clarifies issues related to resisting arrest, the duty to render aid, the duty to intervene, the use of force, and -- and the use and operation of body worn cameras. Madam President, I am proud -- I am proud of the work that not only the Members of this Body have done to -- to debate this bill, but also to -- to the tremendous work of our outstanding staffs both here and in the House. numerous hours that they committed to making this the best product -- best product it can be. So, with that, Madam President, I know of no opposition. But I would say that this -- this bill has -has -- brought support from law enforcement, from community organizations, from folks who are across this State. So I -- I'd like to ask for an Aye vote but answer any questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

Is there any discussion? Senator McClure, for what purpose do you rise?

#### SENATOR McCLURE:

Will the sponsor yield, Madam President?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Sponsor indicates he will yield, Senator McClure.

### SENATOR McCLURE:

Senator Sims, this is sort of happening quickly. Could you please take a moment to inform the Senate, at this moment, who's neutral, who's in support of it, just so we're kind of clear, so everyone knows what's going on.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Sims.

### SENATOR SIMS:

Thank you, Madam President. Senator McClure, proponents include the Cook County and Public Defender's Office, Cook County State's Attorney, the Office of the Attorney -- Illinois Attorney General, the Illinois Association of Chiefs of Police, the Illinois State Police, and the Lake County State's Attorney. There are no oppositions -- no opponents that I -- that I know of. Individuals who have -- weighed in as neutral include the Metropolitan Alliance of Police, Police Benevolent and Protective Association, the Sheriffs Association, FOP, Chicago FOP and the Local 150 Operating Engineers.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator McClure.

### SENATOR McCLURE:

Thank you, Senator. I guess as our caucus is trying to evaluate this. Is this the final step? Is this the final bill to

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

-- I guess, deal with what passed - I shouldn't say deal with but that's going to address some of the issues that are in that original bill? Or, is this going to be one of several bills? I mean, is this, I guess that's the question I've got for you, Senator.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Sims.

#### SENATOR SIMS:

Senator, again as I spoke to it at the outset. This measure is specifically designed to address the implementation challenges, some of the clarifying language that was -- that was identified from the original proposal. Are there going to be continued discussions? Yes, I do believe they'll be continuing discussions as we -- as we work for - just as we do on any other proposal as we work to make sure that we continue to improve the quality of the -- of the proposal. The SAFE-T Act is the law of Illinois but we want to make sure that it is -- is being the most effective for all of -- all Illinoisans.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator McClure.

### SENATOR McCLURE:

Yes, so there's going to be continued discussions. Perhaps that will lead to legislation perhaps it will not. Is that a fair assessment Senator? After this bill is voted upon.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Sims.

### SENATOR SIMS:

What? Senator McClure, you are free to introduce a bill at any time.

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

#### SENATOR McCLURE:

I'd love to Senator but you won't even give my bills a vote in Criminal Law Committee.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Sims.

#### SENATOR SIMS:

If you check ilga.gov, I'm no longer Chairman of the Criminal Law Committee, but maybe that speaks more to the merit of the bills that you produce.

### SENATOR McCLURE:

Senator, I'm not sure we should be speaking to the -- the quality of the bills we produce because -- I'm not going to go there actually, I'm not going to go there. This is the Senate and I'm not going to engage in that Senator. I'm going to be a Senator today not someone who is going to act immature.

### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

It is May 31st, and I'm going to go to Senator Sims, to close unless you have a final comment, Senator. To the -- to the bill, Senator.

#### SENATOR McCLURE:

Madam President, I have no final comment to the bill. I think that Senator Sims has provided information for people to make a judgement and they need to vote the way they feel they should vote on this bill.

#### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Appreciate your comments, Senator. Any further discussion? Seeing none. Senator Sims, to close.

#### SENATOR SIMS:

Thank you, Madam President. And certainly, let me say to my

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

friend and colleague on the other side of the aisle, yes it is May 31st but I would of -- I would -- I would -- I would hope that we can work in collaboration and be jovial. This is -- we are -- we are here on behalf of the more than twelve million people that are here in the State of Illinois but if we cannot certainly work together and -- and -- and -- and have -- have it -- have it -- have a -- a -- a debate and a discussion about legislation, then that speaks more to the problem that our -- that our States -- are State is in than -- than one piece of legislation. So, with that Madam President, again, I laid out the -- the -- the tenace of House Bill 3443. I ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

The question is, shall House Bill 3430 -- 3443 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Senator Ellman.

### SENATOR ELLMAN:

Ellman votes Yes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Ellman votes Yes. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 42 voting Yea, 17 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. House Bill 3443, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senator Jones, on House Bill 3714. Senator Jones seeks leave of the Body to return House Bill 3714 to the Order of 2nd Reading. Leave is granted. On the Order of 2nd Reading is House Bill 3714. Mr. Secretary, are there any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

#### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 2, offered by Senator Jones.

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HOLMES)

Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES:

Thank you, Madam President. I move for its adoption and I'll explain it on 3rd.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none. All those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the amendment is adopted. Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

#### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

3rd Reading. Now on the Order of 3rd Reading is House Bill 3714. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 3714.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Jones.

#### SENATOR JONES:

Thank you, Madam President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. House Bill 3714, as amended, deletes all and becomes the bill. The amendment includes several changes to the fire -- Fire Sprinkler Contractor Licensing Act. Requires individuals who inspect fire sprinkler systems to obtain a licensure through the Office of State Fire Marshals in addition to licensing requirements for the businesses that employee them. Moving the effective date

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

of the requirement of fire sprinkler inspectors to obtain a NICET Level III certification from January 1, 2022 to January 1, 2023. Requiring the Office of State Fire Marshals to maintain a database of fire sprinkler contractors and inspectors. When this bill was in committee, it passed out of committee with no opposition. I did just learn there is some opposition late last evening. Happy to work with them down the road but I'll ask for a favorable roll call. And happy to answer any questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Is there any discussion? Senator Barickman, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR BARICKMAN:

To the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

To the bill, Senator.

### SENATOR BARICKMAN:

Thank you, Madam President. I just want to highlight, a bit, appreciate the work of the sponsor, there is that late opposition. I think the opposition stems from concern that this legislation will put some, nearly two hundred people out of work as a result of not satisfying this new -- this new criteria. So I'd urge a No vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Further discussion? Seeing none. Senator Jones, to close. SENATOR JONES:

Thank you, Madam President. And like I said, I'm looking forward to working with any opposition down the road but I look for a favorable roll call. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

The question is, shall House Bill 3714 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Senator Ellman.

SENATOR ELLMAN:

Ellman votes Yes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Ellman votes Yes. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 41 voting Yea, 15 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. House Bill 3714, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senator Collins, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR COLLINS:

Thank you, Madam Chairman, for a point of personal privilege. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator, please state your point.

### SENATOR COLLINS:

Thank you, Ladies and Gentlemen. As today is Memorial Day, it's also a day of remembrance, one hundred years after the 1921 Tulsa Race Massacre. In the 1920's, the Greenwood District of Tulsa, Oklahoma, also known as Black Wall Street, was one of the most prosperous African American communities in the United States. Filled with booming businesses and thriving entrepreneurs, doctors, lawyers, and bankers. The district served as a mecca of Black ingenuity and promise. This, however, changed on the evening of May 31, 1921, which marked the start of the devastating Tulsa Race Massacre. Sparked by a false rumor that a young Black man had tried to sexually assault a White teenage girl, hundreds of Greenwood residents, men, women, and children were brutally killed, their homes and businesses wiped out. Mobs of White

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

residents were deputized and given weapons by city officials, even private planes firebombed and destroyed more than 35 square blocks of the vibrant Black community. Nearly 10,000 Black people were left homeless, and as many as 300 Black Americans lost their lives. They were casualties of a furious and heavily armed White mob of looters and arsonists. One factor, the media said, that drove the violence, was resentment toward the Black prosperity found in block after block of Greenwood. The destruction of property is only one piece of the financial devastation that the massacre wrought. Much bigger is a sobering kind of inheritance, the incalculable and enduring loss of what could have been, and the generational wealth that might have shaped and secured the fortunes of Black children and grandchildren. The financial toll of the massacre is evident in the \$1.8 million in property loss claims, and today that is \$27 million dollars in today's currency. The Tulsa Race Massacre of 1921 is one of the most tragic moments in our nation's history, yet this harrowing event is largely unknown to many Americans. It is -- it is an often-overlooked story that needs to be told. One of the survivors of the massacre, Viola Fletcher, who is 107 years old, testified before members of the House Judiciary subcommittee earlier this month and called for justice and for the country to officially acknowledge the massacre ahead of the anniversary. "The silence is layered just as the trauma is layered. The historical trauma is real and that trauma lingers especially because there's no justice, no accountability and no reparation or monetary compensation." These are the stories we must honor and amplify so we can learn from the past and create a better future. The vector of hate moves through history. That is why we have the Charlottesvilles, the El Pasos, the Atlanta Spa

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

shootings, the Indianapolis Fed Ex shootings, and the George Floyds. As President Biden said today, as Americans we are called "to reflect on the deep roots of racial terror in our Nation and recommit to the work of rooting out systemic racism across our country." I ask for my colleagues to stand with me as a moment of acknowledgement and recognition of the many lives lost in 1921. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Please rise for a moment of silence. (Moment of silence observed) Senator Syverson, for what purpose do you rise? SENATOR SYVERSON:

Thank you, Madam President. For a point of personal privilege.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Please state your privilege point, Senator.

### SENATOR SYVERSON:

Thank you. We have -- one of our key staff people are retiring today, Jay Barnard, our photographer, who has been with us for quite a long time. In fact, this goes back - his first day working as a photographer was thirty-eight years ago. Many of you on that side weren't even born yet. But I've been here that long. But -- when he started, not only was he shooting all the photography, but he was also hand developing film and he hand printed all the photography -- all the photographs. As a day of digit -- day of digital age had not rolled out yet, Jay quickly adapted - always one who enjoyed working on new projects and became very proficient in photoshop. He learned and developed, along the way, he could tell you some stories about his early photographers -- the photography times. So, he loved to teach new interns about and

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

staffers about photography, he served as a judge for photo competitions at the Illinois State -- State Fair. Those who know Jay for many years knows he has an opinion that he's always happy to share it with you. They will always tell you that he is a hardworking loyal employee or the kindest, most caring co-workers that we've had the honor to call a friend and a co-worker. He'll be retiring to spend time with his wife, Janice, wife of thirty-eight years, spend time with his children, and his grandchildren and a new grandchild that's going to be coming in September. In his free time he's also going to be -- he spends time woodworking, volunteering at his church, and is a huge fan of University of Illinois sports. Jay we just want to say thank you for all your years of making us look good and -- and working long hours and under tough circumstances. There you are! So, and thank you for making me look so young in all those pictures, all those years. So, God bless you and good luck in your retirement.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Congratulations, Jay. You're such a kind man. We wish you the best. Happy retirement. Senator Villivalam, for what purpose do you rise?

#### SENATOR VILLIVALAM:

Thank you, Madam President, a point of personal privilege.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

State your privilege point, Senator.

#### SENATOR VILLIVALAM:

I just want to take a moment and wish someone who deals with our side of the aisle in a -- in a caucus, every single day, a happy birthday tomorrow. Please join me in wishing Leader Hunter a happy birthday for her tomorrow.

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Happy birthday Mattie. Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, we will take a brief moment away from Calendar business to honor our heroes on our holiday, Memorial Day. We will begin with, Senator Hastings. Senator Hastings.

#### SENATOR HASTINGS:

Thank you, Madam President. To the Memorial Day holiday today. So, normally on Memorial Day I have a prepared speech to honor the fallen. Today, I don't. I wanted to speak from the heart today because today is very special. Today, it just so happens to be my eighteenth anniversary of graduating from West Point. Ninety-eight percent of my graduating class literally deployed to Iraq, Afghanistan, the Horn of Africa or to other combat zones across the world. It's truly a remarkable class. Our classes name is 2003 the Protectors of the Free. And when we had entered into the academy, none of us new that 911 was going to happen. And so when 911 happened, literally, in our class - our leadership class, our instructor had told us you will all lead young men and women into combat. And for a young twenty -- twentyone-year-old, a young man, not really sure about he wanted to do with his future - the future became very relevant that day. So, Memorial Day is a very special day to me because I've served with a lot of different men and women from across the country, from different socio-economic backgrounds and some of them paid the ultimate sacrifice. I always talk about Colonel Tom Felts. Felts was a -- a gentle giant but he was also a warrior. Tom has lost his life in Iraq when I was there. I had talked to him about a week prior to him losing his life by a roadside bomb. But I don't want to talk about folks that have died, in combat, because

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

I know they've paid the ultimate sacrifice. May is a month of mental health awareness. I want to make sure that everyone pays particularly close attention to what I'm going to say. classmate, this past month, had taken his life, perfect family, gorgeous wife, awesome person, two beautiful kids. He had a great, great job. He was making a -- just a very comfortable living earning a pretty decent paycheck. It was -- it's a storybook life, it really was a storybook life, but when you leave a combat zone, the enemy stays with you. The enemy stays inside you and it lurks inside of you at times and sometimes you don't know when you're going to go up or down. I'm sure you guys can tell that from some of my Floor speeches - you just don't know how it's going to go. But, I will say that -- that mental health is so important and it's taking so many of our veterans lives when they return home. So, on this Memorial Day, my ask to the General Assembly not just this Chamber but the other Chamber is this. Don't just remember those that paid the ultimate sacrifice, remember them and honor them that's great - that's great. But look around this Chamber. Look around to your families. Talk to somebody at home you may not talk to and tell them that you love them, tell them that you're thinking about them because that day may make the difference between their being alive and them being dead. God bless you all. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator T. Cullerton, for what purpose do you rise? SENATOR T. CULLERTON:

Point of personal privilege, Madam President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Yes, Senator. State your privilege.

SENATOR T. CULLERTON:

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

I too rise to say you need to remember, on this day, what it I also want to thank the Leader McConchie for the incredible ceremony this morning with one of our Gold Star Mothers. Memorial Day is - this is now the eighth I've spent here except, for obviously, the pandemic here last year. And, I'm always struck by the honor we have being in this building and being here. I was Echo Company 1st in the 12th Infantry during Desert Storm. I did not go to Desert Storm, but you saw people come home. And, I've run bills consistently on veteran's suicide. We have done task force and Senator Hastings is correct. While we remember and honor today the men and women who sacrificed for us, remember there are a lot of them still -- still here at home, still fighting inside their head. So, I would like to thank everybody for remembering our veterans. I would like to thank the Leader for that ceremony this morning, and I would ask for you all to say a pray for our veterans or for our men and women who did not come home.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Thank you, Senator. Senator Wilcox.

### SENATOR WILCOX:

Point of personal privilege, Madam President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Right ahead and to each person following you please speak once you're recognized.

### SENATOR WILCOX:

Back in my district, up in Northern Illinois, the Veterans Network Committee establishes a Field of Honor every Memorial Day weekend, honoring Illinois' fallen heroes since 911. There are hundreds of flags in that field. They represent our nation's heroes and each flag has an individual's name put on them. What

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

I have come to learn in those years in that field, helping to set it up and walking amongst the flags, is that our fallen heroes represent the character of a very diverse nation, a very diverse State. And yes, one regret I have serving here in Springfield, are the Memorial Day weekends being here and not back in that field with my comrades as we share our memories of our fallen. But what strikes me deeply amongst that field of flags, is that it not matters where our veterans came from but it matters now and forever how we honor them. Their sacrifices and death have left heartache that will never quite heal. And their love of country leaves memories that shall never fade. It is our duty, our burden, our responsibility and our solemn vow that their deeds, their sacrifices, their families and their service to country shall never, ever, be forgotten. We should be humbled, the citizens of the Unities States, by the tremendous courage shown by our brave sailors, soldiers, airmen and marines. Marvel at the sacrifice of the coast guard, remember the history of the merchant marine and Rosie the Riveters. They have displayed the strength and character of our nation, of our State, and of our special communities that raises strong young men and women that combines a love of country, a yearning for justice in the world and a sense of duty and honor that I believe no other nation enjoys. That is why it is important, in fact, imperative, that we remember them always. May God bless America, may America bless its citizens, and may its citizens never forget her veterans. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Muñoz.

SENATOR MUÑOZ:

Thank you, Madam President. Point of personal privilege.

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

Thank you, Madam President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I too want to join my colleagues and take a moment to remember those who lost their lives to protect our country. Today we show our gratitude to the men and women who gave the ultimate sacrifice, for us. And the price they paid we can never repay. We commemorate their strength, bravery and dedication. My heart is with the families of those who miss their loved ones on this day. We need to continue caring for and supporting the families as they supported their loved one's decision to fight for us. May the memory of our troops be forever engraved in our country's history, for they have done so much, since the beginning of World War I until now. And no one has ever expected us to be in the Middle East all the years that has gone by. I was one of the lucky ones, for I can say that my son is a U.S. Marine who fought in Afghanistan with his fellow Marines, twice. Some other families weren't so lucky. But as my colleague stated, even though they come home and they have their limbs, their mind will never be the same. So, for that, a lot of them fight the demons. Some get help and go to the VA homes. Some go to the VA and the medicines aren't right for them. They drink so much, they take drugs and by the time you know it, unless they have a good family that's looking for them or trying to find them, they become homeless. Ladies and Gentlemen, we have so many veterans that are homeless and living underneath the viaducts in the City of Chicago, and not only there, all over our great State. So, when you hear about me talking about vets, it's because yes, they've fought for our country. They went to the front line, and in the last twenty years they all signed up and they went to go fight for this great nation. And, when they come home, it's not your fault, it's not my fault, but know we

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

should lookout for them because they were on the front lines for us. Not leave them in the back of the line. So please, throughout your districts, as my colleague stated, let us not forget the ones who were able to come back home. We will always be there for the families that have lost their loved ones on the battle field. And, I can tell you, many people across this great State that have came home and a lot of their troops, a lot of their colleagues that they've fought with in the battle, are still killing themselves. Not only in our State but throughout the United States. a major pandemic. And, that is something that we need to try and stop. So, Ladies and Gentlemen, please keep in your prayers the families that have lost their loved ones, and have given the ultimate sacrifice, today. I know, my families probably home barbecuing, having some laughs, as well as all other families. We sacrifice here because this is what we want to do. But it is nice, and I want to thank the President, for letting us do this. Our Leader, for what he did this morning for our troops. So, they know, we care and we will always keep them in our memory. And, we can never say thank you enough to them. Thank you, God bless this great nation and God bless all our troops.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Barickman.

### SENATOR BARICKMAN:

Thank you, Madam President, Ladies and Gentlemen. You know, I suppose it sounds a bit cliché to say, I'm in awe every time I walk in this building. When I pull up and I park and I, you know, walk up the steps, there's not a day that I've served in this building where I haven't just been awe struck by the magnificence of it. Right? Where we come together, representatives from around

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

the State, to hash out the various issues of the day. Today -- on Memorial Day, that hits me harder than any other day of the year. And, I think, this morning, when many of us joined outside at the, really a celebration put together by Leader McConchie, it -- it afforded me just a few moments to -- to again take in the privilege that we have to be here together in this open forum where we can debate ideas and have disagreements and acknowledge that there are countless men and women, Americans who have died to give us the freedom to do what we do in this building every day. That's what Memorial Day I -- I think is designed to recognize. I was told some years ago that the Illinois Legislature, the Illinois General Assembly is the only legislative Body in the country that meets on Memorial Day. I don't know, somebody will have to fact check that. But the fact that we're here, today, every year and our taking a moment, it's the busy time, everyone's anxious to get home and get on with the Calendar, but taking this moment I think is completely appropriate and it's -- I'm thankful -- I'm thankful to the Senate President that we do this and we -- we take this moment. important to recognize the distinction between the - some of the holidays that we -- that we celebrate throughout the year, so as not to confuse them. Right? Independence Day, celebrating our country's independence. Veteran's Day. Right? Recognizing all those veterans of all the armed forces who served and their families. Today, Memorial Day, though is the only day of the year where we recognize, specifically, those who were killed while serving in the United States Armed Forces. That's what today is And, I want a just specifically take this moment to acknowledge the sacrifice made by one of my constituents, in this last year, Sergeant Jeremy Sherman. Jeremy hails from Iroquois

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

County, I represent Iroquois County, he's a Watseka native. Some of you, if you travel down I-57 as you head towards Champaign, you all see the exit there. That's Iroquois County, that's Watseka, where Jeremy grew up. Jeremy in -- while serving in the army, earned the Army Commendation Medal, the Army Achievement Medal, the National Defense Service Medal, Afghanistan Campaign Medal, National Defense Ribbon, Global War on Terrorism Service Medal, the Korean {sic} (Korea) Defense Service Medal, Non-Commissioned Officer Professional Development Ribbon, Army Service Ribbon and the Overseas -- the Overseas Service Ribbon. Sergeant Sherman was on a Black Hawk as a Crew Chief. He was assigned to operations in Egypt's Sinai Peninsula monitoring the Israeli Egyptian Peace Agreement Zone. There, he and four others were killed on November 12th, 2020, when their helicopter crashed. He leaves behind a wife, brother, sister, parents and, like many of us have experienced not just in our small-time towns but especially in them where we all know one another, when someone is killed in any circumstance but in a circumstance like this, it is devastating to the community and to the family for whom they leave behind. So, I just ask the Body that as we celebrate Memorial Day, we also take a moment to remember Sergeant Jeremy Sherman and his wife and the family that he's left behind because of the service that he made and the sacrifice that he made to our country. Thank you, Madam President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Leader McConchie.

### SENATOR McCONCHIE:

Madam -- thank you, Madam President. I want to thank Members of the Body, so many of you, who came out to join the celebration

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

we had on the front steps today, and the opportunity that we had to hear from Vonda Rodgers who was -- is a Gold Star Mother and travels the states, speaks, actually does a lot of family grief counseling and -- and so forth, for the families of those who are lost. One of the things I like to do every year, when we have this time, is to talk about those that we have lost. And, according to our research, we had three that we had lost this year. One, you just heard about from Senator Barickman. I want to talk about a couple of the others but a little bit of context here. You know, when I spoke with Ms. Rodgers today, she talked about the fact, how proud she was of her son who died back in 2017 and told his story. But, I always learn something from these people and what I learned from her, I didn't really realize the fact that we have active-duty personnel in about a hundred and fifty countries around the world at any given point in time. And, all of them are potentially in harm's way. Right? We -- we tend to think of people who have died in service and they tend to think that they're usually in a war zone and that isn't always the case. Sometimes it is. One of the people that we are -- that we lost here in the last year is PFC Michael (Miquel) Villalon, who is from Joliet, was a combat engineer and died in January of 2020 when his vehicle was hit by a roadside bomb in Kandahar province of Afghanistan. The Taliban took responsibility for the attack and he was just twenty-one years old. And, in a little bit of -- of the eulogy that we were able to find online about him, he was the first one to volunteer for his Naval ROTC unit and was always looking forward to helping with community projects at his high school. He would always go around and recruit others. He was a leader that stood up and recruited others to go out and do things within his

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

community. Retired Chief Petty Officer George Allen, who was his instructor during the time at Naval Junior ROTC class in East Aurora High School, called him a quiet unassuming leader. He was a servant and he wanted to do so much to help his community and his unit. Additionally, in the past year, we also lost Specialist Henry Mayfield Jr., who was from Hazel Crest and he actually passed away - died in Kenya. He was killed when Al-Shabab, a terrorist organization there, attacked the Manda (Bay) Airfield and was attempting to blow up airplanes that were sitting within the --the complex. And so, no matter where our armed service personnel are around the world, they are standing up for freedom, they're standing up for the things that we all believe in and we need to just -- I would ask that we take a moment of silence to honor the three people that we've lost here in the past year.

### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Please rise for a moment of silence. (Moment of silence observed) That concludes our wonderful program. Mr. Secretary, Messages from the House.

#### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Message from the House by Mr. Hollman, Clerk.

Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the passage of a bill of the following title, to wit:

Senate Bill 508.

Together with the following amendments which are attached, in the adoption of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the Senate, to wit:

House Amendments 2 and 5 to Senate Bill 508.

We have received like Messages on Senate Bill 825, with House

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

Amendment 2, and Senate Bill 2406, with House Amendments 1 and 2. Passed the House, as amended, May 31st, 2021, John W. Hollman, Clerk of the House.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Holmes, in the Chair.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HOLMES)

Senator Hastings, for what purpose do you seek recognition? SENATOR HASTINGS:

Thank you, Madam President. Point of personal privilege, please.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HOLMES)

State your point, Senator.

#### SENATOR HASTINGS:

Madam President and Members of the Chamber. I wanted to take this brief moment to recognize one of our all-star ILSIP interns. His name is Jerome Genova. Jerome comes from back in the neighborhood, as we say. His mom, Rosie, dad, Jerome and he's got a whole bunch of siblings that love him. But working with Jerome throughout the year, he served as our intern on the general services Appropriations Committee, he's analyzed budgets, he's done everything we've asked him to do. Back at home he's known as probably one of the hardest worker's we have, as intern for my office. I'm just really proud to have Jerome here with us. I'm not quite sure what the future holds for Jerome but I know it's bright. And Jerome is going to go out to do great things with his experience here and I was just hoping that the Chamber can give him a -- just a warm round of applause and thank him for his service.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HOLMES)

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

Thank you, Jerome. Mr. Secretary, Messages from the House. SECRETARY ANDERSON:

A Message from the House by Mr. Hollman, Clerk.

Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the passage of a bill of the following title, to wit:

Senate Bill 166.

Together with the following amendments which are attached, in the adoption of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the Senate, to wit:

House Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 166.

We have received a like Message on Senate Bill 2294, with House Amendments 1, 2, and 3. Passed the House, as amended, May 31st, 2021, John W. Hollman, Clerk of the House.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HOLMES)

Would the Committee on Assignments please meet in the Anteroom? The Committee on Assignments. Mr. Secretary, Committee Reports.

### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senator Lightford, Chair of the Committee on Assignments, reports the following Legislative Measures have been assigned: Be Approved for Consideration - Floor Amendment 2 to House Bill 562, Motion to Concur with House Amendments 2 and 5 to Senate Bill 508, Motion to Concur with House Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 825, Motion to Concur with House Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 2406, Motion to Concur with House Amendments 1, 2 and 3 to Senate Bill 2294. Signed, Senator Kimberly Lightford, Chair.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR HOLMES)

Senator Cunningham in the Chair.

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Muñoz in the Chair.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

All Senators, please be advised we're going to House Bills 3rd Reading, final action. Please come to the Senate Floor. Will our Legislative Assistants please locate your Senators, bring them to the Floor? We've got four hours before we hit midnight. We'll now move to the Calendar, page 13. Sponsorship of the bill, of House Bill 562 has been changed. Senator Koehler seeks leave of the Body to return House Bill 5-6-2 to the Order of 2nd Reading. Leave is granted. On the Order of 2nd Reading is House Bill 5-6-2. Mr. Secretary, are there any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 1, offered by Senator Koehler.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Koehler, on your amendment.

### SENATOR KOEHLER:

Thank you, Mr. President. I move for its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUNOZ)

Is there any discussion? All those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the amendment is adopted. Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration? SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 2, offered by Senator Koehler.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Koehler, on your amendment.

#### SENATOR KOEHLER:

Thank you, Mr. President. I move for its adoption.

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Is there any discussion? All those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the amendment is adopted. Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration? SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

3rd Reading. On the Order of 3rd Reading. Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 562.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Koehler.

### SENATOR KOEHLER:

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. The amendments become the bill. This is an initiative of the Illinois State Police to modernize the current law regarding the Fire Owners Identification Card or the FOID card and a Conceal Carry License is the CCL cards, by incorporating more electronic and online processes for cardholders and applicants. There are also provisions for the application of — and renewals to help address the backlog of FOID applications currently pending in the wake of the COVID-19 pandemic. The measure, also, seeks to address perceived loopholes in current gun laws related to the transfer of firearms. Let me just say that, quite simply, this bill seeks to fix our broken system of how people get FOID cards. And, I don't know about you, but in my district this is the number one phone

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

call that my staff gets. Why can't I get my FOID card renewed? Why can't I get a new FOID card? And, the system is broken, quite frankly. I think, Director Kelly has done a wonderful job in — in giving us, really, a chance to modernize the system and to uncomplicate the system. At the same time, we have to address the focus of the State Police, and others, to really make sure that our communities are safe. There are people there that should, in our communities, that should not have guns. And we don't allow the kind of time, or the kind of resources, to really thoroughly do background checks and to check these folks out. So, this is a bill that seeks to really do a number of things. It leaves the good people alone, and it gives them an easier process, and it seeks to put their focus and the attention on the people that are doing harm to our communities. I'll stop with that because I know there probably be some questions, but thank you, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Is there any discussion? Senator Bryant, for what purpose do you seek recognition?

### SENATOR BRYANT:

Thank you, Mr. President. Some questions of the sponsor, please.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Sponsor indicates he will yield.

### SENATOR BRYANT:

Senator, mandatory fingerprinting. Is mandatory fingerprinting a part of this bill?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Koehler.

### SENATOR KOEHLER:

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

No, it is not.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Bryant.

#### SENATOR BRYANT:

In no way, there's no mandatory fingerprinting in this? Is there finger -- let me say this, is there fingerprinting in this bill?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Koehler.

### SENATOR KOEHLER:

There is voluntary fingerprinting, if you want to be able to have what was - what I would consider a non-expiring FOID Card. In other words, if you agree to have your fingerprints used, or, if your fingerprints are in the system, you just say you can use those. When you would go, to say, buy a new shotgun for hunting season, if you're -- if you're -- if you're going to an FFL dealer, then their doing a background check. And, the State will automatically renew that for ten years. So, every time you do something that -- that kicks in a background check, whether it be a FOID card, or a CCL license, your FOID card automatically gets renewed for ten years. And, that's -- that's at no cost from -- from the additional charge.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Bryant.

#### SENATOR BRYANT:

But there is -- or sorry, there is voluntary fingerprinting, there is a voluntary fingerprinting option in this bill. Fingerprints will be kept on file by whom? And for how long? PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

Senator Koehler.

#### SENATOR KOEHLER:

By -- by the ISP in their system. Yes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Bryant.

#### SENATOR BRYANT:

Sorry, for how long?

### SENATOR KOEHLER:

For as long as they have their FOID card.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Bryant.

#### SENATOR BRYANT:

So, if the person decides that they don't want their FOID card anymore, or their FOID card expires, what happens to the fingerprints?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Koehler.

### SENATOR KOEHLER:

That's a good question. I don't -- I don't know that, but I -- I will find out for you. I'm -- I'm presuming that that will be erased from the system.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Bryant.

### SENATOR BRYANT:

Thank you for trying to find out. I'm never comfortable when we make assumptions -- on bills. On the fingerprinting then, once the fingerprints are in the system, can the fingerprints be used for anything other than the FOID card. For instance, if someone wanted to do a background check on someone because they suspected

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

another crime. Could they use those fingerprints for someone in -- in -- in another crime or another investigation?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Koehler.

### SENATOR KOEHLER:

In a -- an investigation, yes, involving -- involving guns, yes. But, for -- for people to -- to use this system for any other purpose than what ISP intends it for, no -- no. Those are not available for that.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Bryant.

#### SENATOR BRYANT:

So, in the bill, itself, does it prohibit the State Police from using your fingerprints how -- in -- in -- in -- how -- how would they separate some -- for instance, I was fingerprinted when I join -- when I went to work for the Department of Corrections. My fingerprints are still on file, I assume. So, they could be accessed if I was a suspect in another crime. For fingerprinting for FOID, what does the bill say - is there anything in the bill that prohibits the State Police from using the fingerprints that they have on file in an investigation in another crime?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Koehler.

### SENATOR KOEHLER:

I understand your question now more. If there's fingerprints in the system somewhere, okay, if there are fingerprints in the system somewhere, and you want to do the expedited, you know, non-expiring FOID card. They would ask you, do you -- do we have your permission to use your other fingerprints in our system? If not,

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

they can -- you can take and do an electronic fingerprint there. But, they have to ask you, they cannot just take those -- those other fingerprints there and, you know, the fingerprints that are in the system for the FOID card are only for the use in terms of -- of what ISP has deemed. It's not for any other purpose. You know, if you're getting a bus driver's license for the school, no, they cannot use those -- those are not -- not their fingerprints. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Bryant.

### SENATOR BRYANT:

I think you answered the question, but my - here's -- here's my concern, okay? We had a Member, yesterday, who had a concern about someone going into a public meeting in a courthouse, and didn't want background checks done on that individual. These fingerprints will be on file with the ISP. So, there are going to be fingerprints that are on file because someone's been a -- had a felon -- felony, and then there going to be these fingerprints that are on file because they're getting a FOID card. How do we make sure that the fingerprints that are on file for FOID cards are not used in the investigation? I mean I might be okay with that, with using it. But, I think you're going to have -- we're going to have some friends in here, who might not be very happy if someone's fingerprints are used in an investigation of a crime. Other than -- other than a gun crime. I'm not talking about a gun crime. I'm talking about any crime.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Koehler.

#### SENATOR KOEHLER:

We're talking about gun crimes where weapons are used. That's

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

what the FOID system is about. And, let -- let -- let me explain why the system is voluntary. I had a call to my office when people first started hearing about - maybe modernizing the FOID system, and -- and I got calls like you have in your office. By golly, I'm not giving my fingerprints to the government, and we said, okay, you don't have to. You know, you don't have to. You can just do what you've always done. But, if you want to have the non-expiring FOID card, then -- then you can voluntarily give your fingerprints. I mean, it's a choice that you have.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Bryant, you want to talk to the bill or do you want one more question?

#### SENATOR BRYANT:

I have more questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

One more question.

#### SENATOR BRYANT:

Sir, I have about five more questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Okay, Ladies and Gentlemen, we're going to start putting the timer on right after this. Proceed, Senator.

### SENATOR BRYANT:

Senator, this is a very important bill and it's very large. So, I don't think we should rush through this bill. But, Senator Koehler, I'm sorry. On the fingerprinting issue, I think that some Members of the other side of the aisle, might be interested in the fact that the bill clearly says, that the State Police can make rules that say that they can use the fingerprints for other than the FOID card. So, they will actually be establishing the

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

ability to have fingerprints from otherwise -- otherwise -- law-abiding citizens that are now going to have their fingerprints on file. The next question would be, for -- for private transfer of firearms, but -- but, Senator, Mr. President, so that I don't take up more time. I'll give my questions to another Member to ask. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

No, please proceed. That timer is not going to go on until the next bill.

#### SENATOR BRYANT:

Okay, thank you. Private transfers of firearms then. Who has to keep the paperwork on the private transfer of firearms?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Koehler.

### SENATOR KOEHLER:

Thank you. That was Amendment No. 2 that we just adopted. So, the -- a private - a transfer can take place using the portal of the ISP. And then, that paperwork needs to be filed through an FFL dealer, and that would be kept. But, it doesn't take the burden off the individual. I related to that. You know, I don't even know what I had last -- what I kept last month. Let alone twenty years and I don't want to be, you know, considered a criminal because I didn't keep the records. I take it to the FFL dealer. They then keep it like they do all their records for twenty years.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Bryant.

### SENATOR BRYANT:

If the -- and if you can't find an FFL dealer that agrees to keep those records for you because you've done a private transfer.

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

Why would the FFL dealer keep those records when you haven't done business with them?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Koehler.

### SENATOR KOEHLER:

Well, they -- they do get a fee for doing that. So, this is part of their business.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Bryant.

### SENATOR BRYANT:

This is a new fee. My understanding is it's a twenty-five-dollar fee for the person who goes to the FFL who's purchased the firearm from someone else and a twenty-five fee for the person who sold it. That's a fifty dollars -- that's a new fifty-dollar charge that wasn't there previously, is that correct?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Koehler.

### SENATOR KOEHLER:

Yea, it's -- it's -- it's a -- it's a fee that's just -- that's just done by the person that completes the transfer and it's up to twenty-five dollars. There's not another twenty-five-dollar fee.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Bryant.

#### SENATOR BRYANT:

So, I read it, I believe that there are two -- that there's two fees. There's a twenty-five dollar -- up to a twenty-five-dollar fee for the person who is selling the firearm and a twenty-five-dollar fee for the person who is buying the firearm, and both

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

have to keep records.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Koehler.

SENATOR KOEHLER:

That's not the way I read this.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Bryant.

SENATOR BRYANT:

Okay. To the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

To the bill, Senator.

#### SENATOR BRYANT:

To those who are looking at this from -- who are -- have always been concerned about whether or not fingerprints are kept on file, how long they're kept, and what they're kept for. I want you to refer to page 76 and its lines one through five that allow the State Police to create rules that will allow them to use fingerprints for other than the FOID cards. I'd also like everyone to notice that this is a new -- this is increase in fees. So, it's twenty-five-dollars for the person who sells it and twentyfive-dollars for the person who buys it. And, those have to be kept on file for twenty years. It also means that there is a -there's actually an enhanced penalty, or a penalty, for those who don't have those records on file. So, not only are we looking at a new fee, we're looking at an enhanced penalty. We're looking at an inability if you can't find an FFL dealer who will take the transfer. No one has to do this. No FFL dealer has to do this, and there's no penalties if they don't - how would you require them to do that? So, I understand what the intention is on this

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

and there are some very good things in this bill. I believe that if this would have been negotiated a little bit stronger with our side of the aisle, we could have come to an agreement. But, because of the reasons that I just mentioned, I would urge individuals who would like to vote for something that has some control in it, that this is not the bill the way it's written and I'd urge an Aye --, sorry, a No vote. And thank you, Mr. President, for you indulgence.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

You're Welcome. Senator Anderson, for what purpose do you seek recognition?

SENATOR ANDERSON:

To the bill, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

To the bill, Senator.

### SENATOR ANDERSON:

Thank you, Mr. President. I was going to go through some questions, but my colleague covered most of them. First of all, Senator Koehler, I -- I appreciate the work you've done here. I -- I do understand your intent and you've worked long and hard on this. But, there's still a lot of issues within this bill. One thing that my colleague pointed out is the mere fact that with this new system of person-to-person transfer, no FFL has to take on this liability. Where do we go from there? And, the fact is -- is knowing a lot of FFLs, I -- I work with a couple of them, they're not going to take on this liability, that they know nothing about for a nominal fee of twenty-five dollars. They're simply not going to do that. So, then we're back to square one. I was listening today to the committee and what I found interesting is

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

that the Director said that the process of a person to person transfer through this new introduced proposal was that the person's information was going to be run through the NIC system. And then, once it cleared through there, then you would follow through the process. Well interestingly enough, I don't know if anybody in this Body knows it, but for the last seven years I've introduced repeal the FOID legislation. Now, interestingly enough, the process to get a FOID card is to go through the NIC system. Once I have that FOID card and I want to purchase a gun, I have to show my FOID card that I had to get by going through the NIC system and then, I have to then go through what every other State in the Nation goes through and fill out a 4473 form which puts me through the NIC system. This is a redundancy in law. There's no reason for the FOID card and we sure as hell shouldn't be fingerprinting whether it's mandatory, or in this case, simply incentivizing it. So, I -- I mean I hope everybody can see the hypocrisy of getting a FOID card to go through the NIC system when you purchase a gun you've got to show your FOID card and then go through the NIC system. It's a redundancy. Furthermore, this bill does nothing to curb crime. It does nothing. There's nobody that has ill will or ill intent that is going to go through this process, or any other process. They're not going to do it. They're going to buy it illegally from straw purchasers, which interestingly enough, three years ago I introduced legislation that would start holding judges accountable, that we see time and time again, especially in the Cook County area, that they give lesser sentences than the maximum for people that are found guilty of straw purchasing. We're not doing anything to fix the problem. The only thing we're doing is we're creating more paperwork, more cost, and more

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

headache for law abiding citizens to practice what is enshrined in the Constitution and that says clearly shall not be infringed. But yet, we keep adding on, and adding on. And, the only people it hurts are the people that are — that are the good people. That — that want to protect themselves and go out target shooting whenever it might be. The bad guys aren't going to go through this new system. They're not going to go through the current system. They're not going to file a ten-dollar, seventy-five-dollar fee to get a concealed carry card and a FOID card. Enough is enough, we got to stop with this nonsense, and I ask you guys, let me call my repeal the FOID bill. Let's talk about it in a committee. But, you won't call it because there's no logical trail that you can defend. I ask for a No vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator DeWitte, for what purpose do you seek recognition? SENATOR DeWITTE:

Thank you, Mr. President. Question of the sponsor. I'll be brief.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Sponsor indicates he will yield, Senator.

### SENATOR DeWITTE:

Senator Koehler, thank you for your work on this legislation. In today's committee meeting there was discussion about the unfortunate incident like in Aurora last year, year and half ago now. I won't go through the details. We're all aware of what happened. Contained in this legislation is the establishment of a Violent Crime Intelligence Task Force to conduct enforcement operations against those with revoked FOID cards. I assume that means that this task force will then be charged with going out and

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

making sure they collect any weapons that a convicted felon may have in their possession. Is that the purpose of this task force, Senator?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Koehler.

#### SENATOR KOEHLER:

Yes, thank you for that question. Yes, it's not enough just to revoke somebody's FOID card. You actually have to take the weapon, as well.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator DeWitte.

#### SENATOR DeWITTE:

With all due respect to the ISP and the great work they do, one of the lines in pages thirty-eight to forty-one states, the ISP may enter into intergovernmental agreements with other law enforcement agencies to complete the mission of the task force. If the mission of the task force is to confiscate these weapons from FOID card holders who have been convicted of a crime, joining with another intergovernmental agency is what created the problem that occurred in Aurora to begin with. How is this going to solve that?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Koehler.

#### SENATOR KOEHLER:

You make a very good point and I think that's one of the things that the task force has -- task force has to come together on. As if, how do you coordinate, you know, the communication between parties that they know exactly who is doing what. And this should not happen again.

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator DeWitte.

### SENATOR DeWITTE:

Mr. President, one final question. Can you explain to the Body how combining the FOID and CCL card issuance and renewal will help to accelerate the significant delay, as you stated earlier, that all of us are dealing with -- with constituents having problems getting their cards expedited?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Koehler.

#### SENATOR KOEHLER:

Yes, thank you again for that. So, when you have a FOID card, and say, you still have quite a few years left on it and you go to -- a CCL license. If you're doing a background check, then your FOID card automatically extends up ten more years because you are doing a background check at that point, so, the -- if you've given your fingerprints. So, it means that every time something happens, CCL license or you purchase firearms from a dealer, that extends another ten years. And so, what that does, is it -- it keeps the redundancy. Why -- why should the ISP if they've just done a background check for your CCL, all of a sudden then two years later say well now you have to renew your FOID card. So, it -- it - it begins to clear out some of the -- the -- the log jam that has happened. Again, this is -- this is all geared for, let's leave the good law-abiding citizens alone. Okay? And let's focus our attention on the situations like Aurora that you've talked about. That's what the purpose of this is.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator DeWitte.

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

#### SENATOR DeWITTE:

That -- that's all the questions I have. Thank you, Senator Koehler. Thank you, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Bailey, for what purpose do you seek recognition? SENATOR BAILEY:

Thank you, Mr. President. May I ask the sponsor questions? PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Sponsor indicates he will yield, Senator.

#### SENATOR BAILEY:

Thank you. Senator Koehler, just have a few questions for you. Make sure that I'm clear on this. Ten dollars for ten years for the FOID card.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Koehler.

SENATOR KOEHLER:

Yes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Bailey.

#### SENATOR BAILEY:

The automatic extension, the lifetime, how does that work if I continue to buy a gun within that ten-year frame, it's renewed another ten years?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Koehler.

SENATOR KOEHLER:

Correct.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Bailey.

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

#### SENATOR BAILEY:

Okay, now to the -- the transfer. So, if I want to sell one of my guns to my children, I've got to find a FFL dealer?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Koehler.

#### SENATOR KOEHLER:

No, you'd use the ISP portal if you didn't -- if -- if you -- if you wanted to go to FL - FLD, I suppose you could. But you -- you use the ISP portal and you put in the number of the persons FOID card that you're selling the weapon to, and -- it checks out okay, and you make the -- the sale, and then you have to take the paperwork of that and take it to an FFL dealer for them to -- keep for the twenty-year period. You don't have the responsibility of having to put that in your file box. You're not, you know, liable for breaking any laws because you don't have it there. It's -- it's in a safe place.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Bailey.

#### SENATOR BAILEY:

Okay. It's -- it's my understanding that I have to maintain those records for twenty years.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Koehler.

### SENATOR KOEHLER:

Would you -- I didn't hear the first part of that question.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Bailey.

#### SENATOR BAILEY:

It's my understanding that I have to maintain that transfer

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

record for twenty years.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Koehler.

SENATOR KOEHLER:

No, you don't. The FFL dealer has to retain that.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Bailey.

### SENATOR BAILEY:

Okay, so, for twenty-five dollars the FFL dealer has to hang on to these records for twenty years. What happens if he is not in possession of those records, if found, not in possession of those records, if he loses them or something happens in twenty-years?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Koehler.

### SENATOR KOEHLER:

There's -- there's no penalty for you on that. That -- that is one of the things that needs to be worked on. Let me tell about the timeframe of this. Just talked to the Director and immediately what will happen is it - some of the backlog and the modernization like, you know, going to a combined CCL and FOID card will take place. Being able to do -- print it electronically on your -- on your smart phone. Things like that will take place almost immediately. The issue of -- of the transfers and some of the -- mechanisms of that -- that -- that's up until 2024. So, there's some time to work on that and I would be the first to admit that there -- some issue have to be worked out. But you, as an individual, are not, you know, liable for that and there is no penalty for that. I might -- I might also mention that a family-

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

to-family transfer is not -- is not covered under this. That's - that's still allowed.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Bailey.

### SENATOR BAILEY:

Let me just double check and clarify one thing, again, as Senator Bryant has alluded to, that we're probably going to find -- have a hard time finding dealers for twenty-five dollars if I want to sell a firearm to someone. Is it my understanding, that within the next twenty years the first infraction of not having one of these papers, that they're supposed to hang on to, is a Class A misdemeanor and then in twenty years with all the people that's going to becoming to them to transfer or sell a firearm, the second infraction is a Class 4 felony?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Koehler.

#### SENATOR KOEHLER:

The -- issue of -- of having FFL dealers wanting to do this, I admit, is one that's going to have to be worked out. That's why we're talking about this, you know, being the time extension for this to -- to take place is -- is 2024. So, you know, I'll -- I'll be the first to admit that there may be some -- some things that need to be worked out but -- you see the -- the -- the issue is how do you make it easy and not so that your penalizing people because they don't have something in their file cabinet. You know, that was -- that was a consideration.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Bailey.

### SENATOR BAILEY:

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

Thank you, may I speak to the bill, please? PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

To the bill, Senator.

#### SENATOR BAILEY:

You know, I've got a few ideas and maybe some of these will help. But that said -- it seems like we're chipping away at our 2nd Amendment freedoms when we do things like this. Because time and time again, we have Members in this Body, who do not have a FOID card and have zero personal experience with the process of obtaining or using a -- FOID card or using a gun sponsoring these -- these proposals. And, they get more and more ridiculous each time. I'm not surprised that you don't care that people are waiting for permission to exercise their God given constitutional rights for over a year plus. I'm not surprised that you now want to quadruple the cost essentially for getting them. You want to add more bureaucracy in -- and you want to move closer to mandating fingerprints which is what I see. Honest Illinoisans don't deserve this for exercising their 2nd Amendment's rights. The FOID card serves no purpose except for another tax and it adds an undue burden on honest Illinoisans wanting to exercise their constitutional rights. It's not time to reform this broken and outdated process. It's time to eliminate it. As I have said many times, void the FOID and let honest people protect themselves and their families. With a driver's license, or a State ID, you can perform a background check at the point of sale. Which is what they do with a FOID card already. Nearly, every state does it this way. We make laws that affect law-abiding Illinoisans, who follow laws, to stop criminals who do not follow laws. Whether it's a family practicing home defense, or hunting or a single

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

mother trying to protect herself and her kids. I'm going -- I'm going to defend their rights and I will not add undue burdens on them to protect their loved ones. My points are simple. Number one, the 2nd Amendment is clear, you shall not infringe and that is exactly what you keep trying to do. Number two, the FOID card is outdated and it certainly needs eliminated. Number three, this bill is egregious. If we are trying to stop crime, we don't do it by making it harder for honest people to protect themselves from it, and we don't do it by handcuffing and defunding our law enforcement, but that's certainly another thing this Body gets wrong. If you support the constitution, I hope that you will join me in voting against this awful bill and working together to eliminate the FOID cards. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Rose, for what purpose do you seek recognition? SENATOR ROSE:

Thank you, Mr. President. Just a question of the sponsor, please.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Sponsor indicates he will yield, Senator.

### SENATOR ROSE:

Senator Koehler, like my colleagues we all appreciate the humble spirit with which you go about your business in this Chamber and the time you've put into the issue. So, this is going to be philosophical, even though I -- I may get my -- my Irish up a bit. It has nothing to do with you, sir, but I do have one question before I speak to the bill and then I'll come back at the end of my remarks to explain why I asked it. If I throw an apple at your head, do you need a law to tell you to duck?

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Koehler.

SENATOR KOEHLER:

No.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

To the bill, Senator.

### SENATOR ROSE:

Ladies and Gentlemen, I can talk about the fact that - if I want to give my kid his first shotgun and transfer that to him, I shouldn't have to pay fifty-dollars, twice, twenty-five bucks each, fifty dollars total to transfer, to give my son a shotgun to go hunting. I could talk about the fact that the FOID is a completely redundant system as my colleague from the Quad Cities mentioned. The fact that you were - went through some background check three years ago says absolutely nothing about your status today. The National Background Check System does it when you go to Walmart, or Rural King, or wherever you go to buy that firearm. There's a call-in check for - to see what your status is today. Are you a criminal? Is there a -- is there a restraining order against you? That happens right there at the point of sale, at the retailer. So, the FOID is a completely redundant situation. I'll tell you what is real. What is real is the fact that people every day who aren't allowed to have guns, in this State, are shooting people. If you go to my Facebook page and the three weeks leading up to what happened in Champaign last week. I posted story after story of a previously convicted felon shooting someone. I posted a story of a felon out on bond for a gun crime who was arrested for what else? A gun crime. How about the bill I filed three years ago that says no bond if you shoot somebody? How about

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

that bill? How about that bill? So, instead of doing that, we're going to take away rights from honest law-abiding citizens. All in defense of a FOID system that is completely redundant because it already happens at the retail sale when you go to buy a gun anyway. In fact, I hesitate to even bring this up, but the shooter from last week in Champaign, three felons, he wasn't entitled. He wasn't entitled to have a gun but he had one anyway. But of all means, let's burden everyone else with this problem. So, here's what it comes down to, we have a philosophical difference, that's okay, that's why we are all here, that's why we're elected here. I never paid much attention to this until someone tried to break into my house and I didn't have -- I had a German Shepherd, that was it. My wife and I had a German Shepherd. It was before we had kids. And, you know what, right after that I went out and got a FOID card. Took sixty days or whatever it was. Hell, it's up to nine months, year. I had somebody last week eighteen months waiting for their FOID card. But it comes down to this and I appreciate your answer, I appreciate your honesty, if I throw an apple at your head, you don't need a damn law to tell you to duck. It's instinctual, it is natural law. You are born in this State, in this country, with the right to defend yourself and your family. There is no law that we can make to somehow force someone to do something that is unnatural. If someone swings at you, you duck and swing back. If someone comes after you -- your family you protect your family. You protect them. And yet, we pretend everyday here, that somehow by doing all these things against honest law-abiding citizens, that they're going to pay the fiftydollars now to give their kid their first shotgun to go hunting with. That somebody got broken into is going to wait nine months.

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

They're -- they're going to comply with some new system that the State Police will come up with in some point and time in the future when the State Police have had years to fix the current system and they haven't got that right. And oh, by the way, the bill doesn't say anything about the fee. Is it fifty bucks? Is it more than fifty bucks? Is it free? I don't know. Doesn't say anything about it. It doesn't stop them from putting a fee in. But it comes down to this folks, you - each of you in this Chamber - each of the folks back home watching this has a right to defend yourself in your home from any threat. And, why do we keep burdening that constitutional right given to us by our forefathers? It's a matter of natural law. Some things, instinct, basic human instinct that you're born with, transcend man made woman made law. transcend it. And, I'll tell you what when that persons knocking down your door, whatever you got, in my case I had a German Shepherd. Whatever you got. You'll find a knife, maybe it's a gun, maybe you got your FOID card. I don't know. But you'll do anything to protect yourself and your family. And, we should not be placing this kind of burden on law-abiding citizens and we damn well shouldn't be doing when we're letting felons running the streets shooting people. Thank you, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Tracy, for what purpose do you seek recognition? SENATOR TRACY:

Thank you, Mr. President. To the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

The bill, Senator.

#### SENATOR TRACY:

You know, we've had these debates many times. My sons loved

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

hunting, actually my daughter did too. I have four children. All of my children took the gun safety class. We raised them in Brown County. Every deer season it's a -- school holiday for kids to go deer hunting. Imagine that, it is. It's what they do, what they want to do. I grew up in deep southern Illinois. I have a gun safe, all of that. I have a FOID card because, in our town, I had to buy the ammunition for my kids to go deer hunting. It was in a grocery store where they bought the ammunition. But, the funniest thing that ever happened, I think, with this whole FOID card situation, is when my son was grown, coming back to Brown County, Illinois, to hunt, he was living in St. Louis, Missouri, and I said should I go get the shotgun shells for you to go deer hunting? And he said, no mom. All I have to do is show my driver's license at the Walmart in Illinois and I can buy whatever I need. Do you see what's wrong here? We're treating our citizens less like Subchapter B citizens because all of the other states don't have a FOID card. My son can buy any ammunition he wants in Illinois! I have to show a FOID card in Illinois to buy ammunition. Do you see the hypocrisy here? What is going on, what we're doing to our citizens, and I'll tell you - I read the statistics going on and the gun violence every day and it's horrible. They're not legal guns, they aren't people that have a FOID card. situation that happened in Aurora was a guy that didn't -shouldn't have had a FOID card. It should have been revoked and we need to go that path to fix the FOID. That's fixing the FOID. Is to realize where the system broke down and didn't revoke that man's FOID card and led to that violence. So, you know, just understand, I mean, do you not -- recognize the hypocrisy where my son can buy ammunition as a Missouri resident in Illinois by

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

showing a driver's license, but I can't without showing a FOID card and if my FOID cards expired because it takes a year and a half now to get it, there's something wrong going here. You know, it's that simple. But the violence keeps going on with illegal guns and those people would never even think about getting a FOID card. Let's keep thinking about that. That's what we want to help you with. We want to fix the gun violence problem. It's not about fixing the FOID. We want to fix the gun violence and it's not with law-abiding citizens having to get a FOID. So, thank you very much. I urge a No vote because we're not there yet. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Morrison, for what purpose do you seek recognition? SENATOR MORRISON:

...of the sponsor, please.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Sponsor indicates he will yield.

SENATOR MORRISON:

Senator Koehler, can you tell me what the position of the Illinois State Rifle Association is on this legislation?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Koehler.

SENATOR KOEHLER:

I -- I believe they're neutral.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Morrison.

SENATOR MORRISON:

Can you also share with us the position of GPAC?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

Senator Koehler.

SENATOR KOEHLER:

Thank you. I believe they are also neutral.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Morrison.

SENATOR MORRISON:

To the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

To the bill, Senator.

### SENATOR MORRISON:

Briefly, I would just like to commend the sponsor, as well as Senator Villivalam, and the Director of the Illinois State Police for working together to come up with a compromise. This obviously, is not a bill that's got everything everyone wants in it. However, I think it takes giant steps towards keeping our State safer. With the background check, with fingerprints, you keep the cost down and the renewable, automatically renewable, FOID card should make responsible gun owners happy. I encourage an Aye vote on this legislation.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Villivalam, for what purpose do you seek recognition? SENATOR VILLIVALAM:

Thank -- thank you, Mr. President. Question of the sponsor. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Sponsor indicates he will yield.

#### SENATOR VILLIVALAM:

Senator Koehler, I'd like to help you -- I'd like to help -- please help me understand this a little better. Because of -- you heard a few comments from the other side of the aisle. I want to

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

clear up in terms of this legislation. Does this legislation have the same language as House Bill 1091, that recently passed the House, as it relates to dedicating lifesaving mental health funding, nine million to be exact, for communities most impacted by gun violence?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Koehler.

### SENATOR KOEHLER:

Yes, it makes a point of -- of helping to fund lifesaving mental health for communities.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Villivalam.

### SENATOR VILLIVALAM:

Is there a commitment to fund the Illinois State Police to ensure that they have the resources to remove guns once a FOID is revoked, reducing -- reducing illegal gun purchases and sales by ineligible FOID card holders?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Koehler.

SENATOR KOEHLER:

Yes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Villivalam.

#### SENATOR VILLIVALAM:

Is it your understanding that approximately forty-six percent of active FOID card holders have a set of fingerprints on file with other State agencies and that this legislation provides the Illinois State Police the authority to promulgate -- promulgate the administrative rules for FOID card applicants to waive or

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

otherwise permit use of existing fingerprinting -- fingerprint -- fingerprint record for FOID card verification?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Koehler.

### SENATOR KOEHLER:

Yes, there are those fingerprints in the system and, yes, a person has to give their permission to have them used.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Villivalam.

### SENATOR VILLIVALAM:

Last question. Senator Koehler, currently under the -- under the current system at the Illinois State Police, is it true that if you are one of the three hundred-thousand concealed carry license holders that you are able to expedite your CCL by doing fingerprinting, and is it also true that thirty-three percent of concealed carry license holders do that?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Koehler.

#### SENATOR KOEHLER:

Yes, that's my understanding.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Villivalam.

### SENATOR VILLIVALAM:

To the bill, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

To the bill, Senator.

### SENATOR VILLIVALAM:

Thank you, Mr. President. I want to thank Senator Koehler, the Director -- Director Kelly of the Illinois State Police for

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

their work on this, Senator Morrison's tireless work. The bottom line here is, we are here to solve problems and this legislation does that. This legislation will reduce gun violence and modernize our FOID card system. I appreciate the passion from the other side of the aisle, especially the points that were made by my friend from Moline. And, I just want to say real -- real briefly, when he says we need to catch the bad guys and people, I think, talked about what happened in Aurora more than two years ago. That was a bad quy. That gentlemen had his -- had received a FOID card in 2014. And, he went on to need a concealed carrying license and because he wanted to expedite his concealed carry license, he did the fingerprinting. It was because of the fingerprinting that the Illinois State Police found out he had a felony charge from Mississippi and then -- therefore, revoked his FOID card. other part of that equation was not obtaining the firearms that he no longer should have. So, when we talk about legislation that is trying to solve a problem, and that would have prevented a mass tragedy in Aurora where five people died, he wasn't shooting apples, five people died and six were wounded. That's what this legislation does. It's common-sense gun safety legislation and I would ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Wilcox, for what purpose... There being no further discussion, Senator Koehler, to close.

#### SENATOR KOEHLER:

Yes. Thank you -- thank you for all the discussion. Look I -- I grew up in South Dakota. I'm not a native to Illinois. I had my first 410 when I was eleven years old. Hunting was a culture. It was a rite of passage. You know, I understand it. I

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

think it's important, but my father always taught me one thing, the first thing in leadership is that you have to access reality. Reality is we have a FOID card system, it doesn't work very well. It doesn't work very well. This is an attempt to make it work better. And, I would be open to any discussion that you have in terms of future issues that we could use in a trailer bill. We'll look into some of the things we've already talked about. But here's the -- here's the common interest that we have, you know, and Senator Morrison you asked that question. Here's -- let's not focus on -- on how we get there, let's focus on where we want to go. We want to have a safer community, in all of our communities. We want to make sure that qun violence is -- dissipated. We also want to take and protect the good law-abiding gun owners. gun owner, you know, and I am happy to abide by the FOID system. I think that if you're -- that if this is a debate about whether we should have a FOID card or not -- then, I understand you are voting against this. You know, this is not that bill. I understand that. Okay. But if this -- but if you're concerned about the fact that good people are having to go through a lot of unnecessary steps and a lot of frustration because -- no. The only thing that -- that superseded the number of calls I got in my office other than FOID was -- was unemployment, but that's starting to wane at this point, so, I think -- I think the FOID calls are -- are back up at number one. So, I just ask you if you are -- are -- are serious about giving the right resources to the ISP to help do their job better and it's about priorities and it's about resources. I humbly ask you for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

The question is, shall House Bill 5-6-2 pass. All those in

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Senator Ellman.

### SENATOR ELLMAN:

Ellman votes Yes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Ellman votes Aye. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 40 voting Aye, 17 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. House Bill 562, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. House Bill 2790. Senator Aquino. Senator Aquino. Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

#### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 2790.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Aquino.

### SENATOR AQUINO:

Thank you, Mr. President. I have the honor of presenting House Bill 2790 today. This bill amends the Public Defender Act to enable public defenders in Cook County to provide legal representation in -- in immigration court. It's a public private partnership. I certainly will -- willing to answer any questions but ask for an Aye vote.

### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Is there any discussion? Senator Tracy, for what purpose do you seek recognition?

#### SENATOR TRACY:

Thank you, Mr. President. To the bill.

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

To the bill, Senator.

#### SENATOR TRACY:

We had a lot of conversations about this, and what we learned was -- is the Pritzker Foundation is funding a foundation to help with immigration. And, I think that's all great and I suggested to the sponsor, why couldn't they have the foundation hire its own lawyers to help with immigration. And -- and, there was some dialogue about why not - but here's the thing - there will be lawyers hired, there will be benefits and health insurance and retirement benefits associated with that, and it just didn't seem to make sense because we don't know how long the private foundation will go, and why they couldn't handle this differently without in perpetuity hiring attorneys to handle the immigration issues that were going on and -- and just -- truly, it didn't make sense. It can be handled differently. And, that's what we suggested, because we don't know how long that private foundation will fund money. We -- asked questions of the witnesses and they told us that they hoped it would continue on, and those new hires within the Public Defender's Office would certainly get benefits, retirement benefits, health insurance, going on forever. They would be hired. And, I didn't know enough about the Public Defender's Office in Cook County to know if that was a good thing. When this was about a foundations initial startup, for this opportunity, so, with that - that is why we opposed it -- is many ways to get at this issue but really do we want to expand -- why would the State Legislature need to expand the Cook County's Public Defender's Office on - if an item to fund immigration cases, it just to me did not make sense, not a good use of taxpayer funds and it's the people that

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

live in Cook County that are going to be paying for this and so why involve the Illinois State Legislature? So, thank you, that is my comments and that is why I don't support this bill. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

There being no further discussion. Senator Aquino, to close. SENATOR AQUINO:

Thank you, Mr. President. And, I -- I appreciate my colleague's comments on the other side. I -- I was a little confused by her confusion, so, let's clear up some confusion. I don't want anybody to leave -- go back home -- back to their homes, districts and not understand this bill. There is zero dollars that is being asked from the State of Illinois, zero. only impact one county. A county over three million inhabitants, I think, in the State of Illinois, that would be Cook County. The public defender of Cook County is the one that is asking for this bill because they want to make sure that a program that they already started, that would allow for public defenders who already serve and help people on their criminal case, just to follow them in their immigration case if they have one. So, the -- the question of why the public defender, as opposed to others, is because the public defenders have a unique expertise in assisting folks like this with -- with high need and that are unable to pay for their own representation. And so, I hope that settled some of the confusion. It's again, zero dollars from the State of Illinois. I think we should be encouraging public/private partnerships at all times, especially from our local governments and so forth, so, I hope that clears that up. I do ask for an Aye vote and thank you for the time, Mr. President.

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

The question is, shall House Bill 2790 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Senator Ellman.

SENATOR ELLMAN:

Ellman votes Yes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Ellman votes Aye. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 36 voting Aye, 18 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. House Bill 2790, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. House Bill 1711. Senator Castro indicates she wishes to proceed. Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 1711.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Castro.

#### SENATOR CASTRO:

Thank you, Mr. President. House Bill 1711, amends the Animal Welfare Act to provide that all dogs and cats sold at pet stores be sourced from animal control facilities or animal shelters. The bill also provides that pet stores who violate this new requirement shall be prohibited from selling cats or dogs regardless of how they are sourced. Just to get to the crux of this bill, this bill is really to address the commercial puppy mill and to close that pipeline. There is a similar ordinance that was passed in the City of Chicago. We are trying to codify it here, in the State of

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

Illinois. I open it up for discussion.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Is there any discussion? Senator Wilcox, for what purpose do you seek recognition?

SENATOR WILCOX:

Question to the sponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Sponsor indicates she will yield.

#### SENATOR WILCOX:

As we've looked at this bill and the statement that this will close the puppy mill pipeline. As I understand this, what this really does is close the ability for roughly seventeen private businesses in Illinois to purchase from breeders outside of the State. Is that correct?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Castro.

#### SENATOR CASTRO:

So, I think there's -- there's a lot packaged in that question. One, you know, I disagree with that it's going to close seventeen small businesses. One, pet stores are a multibillion-dollar industry. People buy food, people buy toys for their pets. I mean, you look at Pets Smart, you look at Petco, they don't sell puppy mill dogs. They actually partner with breeders and they are not hurting. So, that's point number one. Number two, in committee we heard from some of these seventeen shops that say they get their dogs from Ohio, from Indiana. Well, we can't regulate what comes from Ohio and Indiana. The Department of Ag in the State can regulate, you know, folks here that are in this State. So, honestly, it's really going to stop the pipeline that

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

is coming from out-of-state. Where they're -- the other states have very laxed rules and regulations. Just so you all know, the Department of Ag is neutral as well as the Farm Bureau.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Wilcox.

#### SENATOR WILCOX:

What this bill doesn't provide is an acceptable process for acknowledging those good breeders, whether in State or out-of-state and providing these businesses an avenue to vet or validate. And, we understand there's a -- a system called Veridos that -- that does this. Department of Ag has, I've been told, said they appreciate the process that they use and maybe the challenge is getting that process to be either made public or publicized. Does this bill address that aspect and give pet stores an option to follow better laws?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Castro.

### SENATOR CASTRO:

So I -- I guess I'm concerned about what you're talking about. The reason I say that is because the Department of Ag has control over what is from this State. They cannot control or have an impact for other states. So, to what you're asking, I don't believe is plausible by the Department of Attorney General, because that means it would have to regulate or figure out what another state does. They were very comfortable with the language, again, they were neutral. They had no concerns. They did not bring up the issue that you are bringing up, Senator.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Wilcox.

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

#### SENATOR WILCOX:

And, I believe in committee, we were told that this would not affect any breeders within Illinois. But I believe new information has come out that, in fact, it may have an impact. Do we have any commitment to adjust language or work on a trailer bill to eliminate that adverse impact to good breeders in Illinois?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Castro.

#### SENATOR CASTRO:

That's a great question. And, I asked the Department of Ag on that, and I understand they are Amish breeders, and when I asked the Department of Ag on that, they were a little appalled and concerned because they don't have any record of those breeders registering with the State. So, they would actually like to know who those breeders are, for those of you who know who they are, so they can actually go through the proper enforcement.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Wilcox. To the bill, Senator.

#### SENATOR WILCOX:

So, the challenge that this is going to keep illegitimate breeders from out of the State bringing puppies into Illinois is a little bit of a challenge. We did talk, a little bit, about what happens with disaster area rescues that then come forward. I -- I believe that this is addressed in the bill. The intent of the bill, I do support. I do believe there's additional work to be done. I, personally, will probably support the bill, but definitely some work to go forward. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Barickman, for what purpose do you seek recognition?

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

#### SENATOR BARICKMAN:

To the bill, if I may?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

To the bill, Senator.

### SENATOR BARICKMAN:

Thank you, Mr. President. Look, first of all I -- I think we owe it to both the animals and Illinois consumers to make sure that we give everyone the best possible protections when people are purchasing dogs, and specifically, puppies. But I want -- I want to be really clear here, and -- and there's been a lot of chatter about this legislation. I want to be really clear here. I -- I don't think this legislation gets to the heart of the issue. It's more attuned to taking a mallet to a problem rather that -that requires a scalpel. And so, it becomes a classic Springfield example of dramatically overreaching and providing a knee jerk reaction to a -- a legitimate problem that exists. What this bill does is, essentially discriminates against all pet stores who offer breeder sourced animals, without regard at all to the actual breeders. If we're serious about the problem here, then we would be regulating the breeders. But that's not what's being done. Instead, it's being suggested that no responsible breeders sell to pet stores. Again, the problem gets lost in that. Responsibility here should be placed on the breeders. That's where reasonable regulations could be provided. But what this bill's going to do and why you've heard from some -- so many concerns around the State is, this bill is going to put legitimate responsible businesses out of business, and that's a shame, because in Illinois we need to do more to attract our businesses and this unfortunately is going to do just the opposite. So, I would encourage a No vote on

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

it. I would be glad to work with the sponsor on reasonable regulations regarding the bad breeders that are out there. But this legislation is not that solution, and so I would urge a No vote. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Holmes, for what purpose do you seek recognition? SENATOR HOLMES:

Thank you, Mr. President. To the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

The bill, Senator.

### SENATOR HOLMES:

There's a -- there's -- there's an awful lot of -- of misinformation out here, and that's always been a problem with We want to talk about what we're doing. When we are talking about trying to put puppy mills out of business, that's not a bad thing. We are not talking about trying to put legitimate good breeders - and there's a number of them out there. We're not trying to put them out of business. Those are not facilities that literally have hundreds of animals that they breed numerous times a year to get -- to maximize the number of puppies they get. This is not healthy for any animal. If we don't put the welfare of these animals top of mind, there's something wrong with us. These are living beings. These are not products, where you're just going to maximize quantity over anything else. And we talk about the problem being, oh look, we need to have real standards in place. You know what, those pet stores that source their puppies from puppy mills will tell you that there are standards in place. I love these standards. The USDA legal standard of a dog that measures fourteen inches long, is a cage twenty inches by fourteen

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

inches by eight inches tall. This is what they regulate. Now, imagine, this is an animal, and if you have hundreds of puppies or dogs, they're not outside running around. They're not getting a break from that combined -- confined cage. This is where they're living their entire lives while they're either getting a pregnant female, or they are a pregnant dog to have those puppies. That is not a life for any animal. That is cruel. That is inhumane. I cannot believe in this day and age that we think that's something we should be doing. Are we going to put pet stores out of business? Excuse me, let's talk to PetSmart. They don't seem to be having any problems with their business. I was a shelter volunteer for seven years. We used to bring our pets to PetSmart. So, these animals could go to PetSmart, people could view them, people could talk with us, we could answer questions. If they wanted to adopt them, they would go through the shelter which had the policies to make sure these were people that were going to be good parents to the dog. That's not what a store does now. You go into a pet store, all they care about is, do you have the money to pay for the dog. And by the way, if you don't have the money, they're more than happy to give you a loan. By the way, some of those loans have been known to be marked up as much as a hundred and fifty percent, which is basically highway robbery because you get passionately attached to some animal. So, no, these are not people doing a legitimate business. And, they certainly, it's a billiondollar industry, you can sell pet food. You can sell leashes. You can sell all kinds of different pet products and make a good living. I think it's time, and way past time. We have talked about this bill for, I don't know how many years, but all we get is we hear about all the people, and then you know what, we get

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

inundated with emails. I loved all those emails. And, as I was looking at them I noticed, gee, this is an Illinois State law we're talking about. Why do we care when you live in Texas, you live in Iowa, you live in Georgia? I'm getting all these emails from out-of-state. So, I mean, what good does that do? We don't argue State laws for somebody who's out-of-state. I think it's about time we do this. It's the right thing to do. It's the humane thing to do, and its way long overdue. I urge an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Syverson, for what purpose do you seek recognition? SENATOR SYVERSON:

Yes, just a question of the sponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Sponsor indicates she will yield.

### SENATOR SYVERSON:

Senator, the previous speaker was talking about the problems of the -- of these bad breeders. What in this legislation is going after the bad breeders? I'm just a little confused.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Castro.

## SENATOR CASTRO:

The issue isn't Illinois breeders. The issue is out-of-state breeders. Again, the Department of Ag can oversee Illinois breeders, and they are doing that. We're talking about the out-of-state breeders where many of these pet stores, in committee, admitting to getting their dogs from out-of-state. Again, the Department of Ag, has no jurisdiction over out-of-state breeders, Indiana, Ohio. And so, I mean, their rules and regulations are much more different than how Illinois does them, Senator.

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Syverson.

### SENATOR SYVERSON:

Do shelters and rescues, are they able to purchase or obtain breeds from out-of-state as well, or are they regulated on this as -- on where they can obtain dogs, as well?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Castro.

## SENATOR CASTRO:

I'm a little puzzled by that question because they don't sell dogs.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Syverson.

## SENATOR SYVERSON:

Does this prevent individuals from purchasing dogs or cats online or -- over the phone?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Castro.

## SENATOR CASTRO:

Clarify your question, are you talking from like an individual breeder?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Syverson.

### SENATOR SYVERSON:

Individual breeder or through another store online, an out of -- out of Illinois store? Are Illinois residents allowed to still purchase dogs through pet stores that aren't in Illinois?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Castro.

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

### SENATOR CASTRO:

Senator, I don't believe people can buy from a pet store. Like, I've never seen someone go to a Furry Babies in Ohio and buy it online and ship it like packages. Now, I -- I will say though, if someone's a -- like a home breeder, this regulates anyone five or more. So, if you know of someone who breeds, whether they're Shih Tzus or Poodles, and they have five or less, they can still do that. They - a person can go buy from a, you know, a small breeder. And, honestly, small breeders actually take better care with their -- when they're breeding their pets.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Syverson.

## SENATOR SYVERSON:

I'll just wrap it up with this. I -- I guess my concern is especially for those of us who live in the communities where we have all these pet stores that are five, ten minutes away, just over the border. We have -- we're surrounded by five states that -- that would all have, again, these kinds of -- of stores that people can -- can still purchase it. If the problem is breeders, that we -- we need to be focusing on breeders, and not necessarily on those stores that have gone out of their way to make sure that the breeders that they work with, whether they're from Illinois or out of Illinois, are legitimate and good. But this is going to put everybody all in that same category, and that's my concern. That we're -- we're going to get rid of good pet stores all in the name of -- of trying to address a problem of -- of breeders, which ought to be the focus of this as opposed to the stores. So, thank you. I appreciate your time in answering those questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

Senator Joyce, for what purpose do you seek recognition? SENATOR JOYCE:

Question of the sponsor, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Sponsor indicates she will yield.

SENATOR JOYCE:

Senator Castro, in -- in committee you committed to keep working on the bill if there could be a pathway for responsible breeders. Is that not right?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Castro.

SENATOR CASTRO:

Excuse me, Senator Joyce. I made no such commitment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Joyce.

SENATOR JOYCE:

Okay. That's all I have, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Hastings, for what purpose do you seek recognition? SENATOR HASTINGS:

To the bill, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

To the bill, Senator.

## SENATOR HASTINGS:

I want to bring attention to a 2017 law known as the Safe Pets Act, which took about two years to negotiate. That bill was a negotiation between the Illinois, at the time, the Illinois Pet Lovers Association and the Illinois Humane Society. And, the primary components of that piece of legislation, were primarily

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

geared to fix the problem to which we are here today. And that is, it prohibits pet stores from purchasing animals from large commercial breeders that are USDA licensed, and do not have direct non-compliance citations over a two-year period. It required microchipping for dogs, and it required pet stores to obtain copies of USDA inspection reports, either from the USDA website if posted online, or directly from the breeder prior to purchasing the cat or dog, and must be available to consumers prior to sale. I know this is a crappy story, but I have a lot of familiarity when it comes to the pet store industry. My grandfather invented a thing called the pooper scooper in 1976. Pardon the pun on the poop. But, I will say that in 2017, we crafted a law between both parties, the Humane Society and the Pet Lovers Association, in regards to how do we properly purchase and source animals. I want to say that it was the commitment, not of the sponsor who does awesome work, she's very passionate towards this, and rightfully so, because there are certain instances where there are stores that may procure their animals in processes that we may not find acceptable. But based on the commitment that was made, not by the sponsor, I want to be exactly clear about this, but by the representative of the Humane Society of the United States. He committed to me that we would not grossly change the underlying law in which we passed in 2017. This bill, in my view, takes an extreme approach as to what we wrote in 1882 -- in Senate Bill 1882. There is an alternative solution to this. The alternative solution would be a third-party auditor. And, I'm not going to advocate to whether you vote for the bill, or whether or not you vote against the bill, but I will say, that there will be innocent pet stores that will be closed down because of this bill, and if

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

there is an alternative solution, and that alternative solution is for a third-party auditor to come in and to verify where the animals are sourced from, and that the animals that are sourced are taken care of. I want to give all respect to the sponsor on this. Obviously, she knows that I have a position and I know that she has a position, but I would just ask that I do not want to put good pet stores out of business if we don't have to. And, I want to say, thank you, again, to the sponsor. Thank you very much for your passion. I know that there's a lot of pet lovers here and I'm a pet lover as well, but I want to make sure that we do the right thing. Thank you very much, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

There being no further discussion, Senator Castro to close. SENATOR CASTRO:

To the previous speaker, I, you know, I appreciate his passion and -- and he did a lot of work on this issue. Yes. hasn't been enough for a lot of municipalities, counties, Kankakee County, DuPage County, Naperville. Aurora is considering a similar ordinance, similar to this bill. So, obviously, somethings not It's not. We're talking about seventeen stores. working. Seventeen stores who source dogs from the most horrible conditions you can imagine. Sell them for astronomical prices than if you would go to a friend who is breeding their dogs, who loves and cares for their animals. This is only going to codify from what all these cities in the suburbs, as well as the City of Chicago, has done. I appreciate all the passion, and one of the things I -- I remember was one of the Senators in committee, because the Vet -- the Veterinary Medical Association asked that levels of care should be important. I said I'd be more than happy to work

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

with them on the standard levels of care for everything from rescues, to pet shops, but I laughed at them, and I said I guarantee if I do that, the pet store lobby would still be against it. So, don't be fooled ladies and gentlemen, the arguments they make if you go to try to correct it, they'll just turn around and said they oppose it. So, enough is enough. I will continue to work on this issue. I might have to fight Senator Holmes on some of these issues, but I'm committed to working on these issues and continue to do so, and I ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

The question is, shall House Bill 1711 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Senator Ellman.

SENATOR ELLMAN:

Ellman votes Yes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Ellman votes Aye. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 38 voting Aye, 12 voting Nay, 4 voting Present. House Bill 1711, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Page 16 of the Calendar, 3922, Senator Lightford. Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 3922.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Lightford.

SENATOR LIGHTFORD:

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. House Bill 3922 is a familiar bill to us. We've passed it out of the Chamber. The changes here, as it relates to making Juneteenth a celebrated holiday. Some of the amendment here would - can't find the changes, just one second. One moment, please. Got it, okay, I'm back. So, with the amendment, it just provides that when June nineteenth falls on a Saturday or a Sunday, neither the preceding Friday nor the following Monday shall be held or considered as a paid holiday, rather than the following Monday being considered a holiday. That would take effective on January 1, of 2022. Those are the changes from the House amendment. I'd be happy to answer any questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, shall House Bill 3922 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Senator Ellman.

## SENATOR ELLMAN:

Ellman votes Yes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Ellman votes Aye. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 51 voting Aye, 0 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. House Bill 3922, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Mr. Secretary, Messages from the House.

## SECRETARY ANDERSON:

A Message from the House by Mr. Hollman, Clerk.

Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

passage of a bill of the following title, to wit:

Senate Bill 815.

Together with the following amendments which are attached, in the adoption of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the Senate, to wit:

House Amendments 1, 3, and 4 to Senate Bill 815.

We have received like Messages on Senate Bill 1697, with House Amendment 3. Passed the House, as amended, May 31st, 2021, John W. Hollman, Clerk of the House.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Page 21 of the Calendar, concurrence final action. Senate Bill 2339, Majority Leader Lightford. Mr. Secretary read the motion.

## SECRETARY ANDERSON:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 2339.

Filed by Senator Lightford.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Majority Leader Lightford, on your motion.

### SENATOR LIGHTFORD:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is an initiative of the Circuit Court of Cook County. We heard about this bill, when it went over to the House they wanted to -- make clarifying language on a couple issues. One is the process that a court must take before issuing a gag order, and also identifying the victim is not a part of the criminal history record, and a superintendent is restricted from revealing the victim's identity. And, then the second version of House Amendment 2 adds that the Office of the Attorney General to the list of

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

individuals who have access to the victim's identifying information. I'd be happy to answer questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, shall the Senate concur in House Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 2339. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Senator Ellman.

SENATOR ELLMAN:

Ellman votes Yes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Ellman votes Aye. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 58 voting Aye, 0 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. Senate Bill 2339, having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur in House Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 2339, and the - and the bill is declared passed. Senate Bill 2340, Majority Leader Lightford. Mr. Secretary, read the motion.

## SECRETARY ANDERSON:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 2340.

Filed by Senator Lightford.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Majority Leader Lightford

### SENATOR LIGHTFORD:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This bill is identical to 2339. Other than the fact that this one addresses the adult victims, the previous bill was for child victims. It does the exact same things. House Amendment 1 clarifies two areas, and House Amendment 2 adds the Attorney

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

General's Office. I'd be happy to answer questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, shall the Senate concur in House Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 2340. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Senator Ellman.

## SENATOR ELLMAN:

Ellman votes Yes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Ellman votes Aye. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 59 voting Aye, 0 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. House Bill -- having -- having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur in House Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 2340, and the bill is declared passed. We'll now proceed to Supplemental Calendar No. 2 that's been distributed, we will start at the top. Senate Bill 508, Senator Hastings. Out of the record. Out of the record. Senate Bill 2294, Senator Gillespie. Indicates she wishes to proceed. Mr. Secretary, read the motion.

### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendments 1, 2 and 3 to Senate Bill 2294.

Filed by Senator Gillespie.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Gillespie.

### SENATOR GILLESPIE:

Thank you, Mr. President. Senate Bill 2294 is the Medicaid Omnibus Bill. It includes a package of provisions that were all in other bills that have been filed this Session including an

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

expansion to coverage for Veterans Support Specialists, long acting injectable medication for mental and substance abuse, chiropractic services, tobacco cessation services, coverage for professional counselors and clinical professional counselors, implementation of the Senior Pace Program, a pilot for certified community behavioral health clinics, a -- and some technical changes, as well. It has been -- there's no opposition. And I would urge an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Is there any discussion? Senator Syverson, for what purpose do you seek recognition?

SENATOR SYVERSON:

Thank you, Mr. President. To the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

To the bill, Senator.

## SENATOR SYVERSON:

I want to thank the Senator for the work on this. This was the combination of the Medicaid working group that spent numerous weeks, and early mornings, going through literally, tens and tens of bills to come up with this final product. And -- I appreciate the -- the good work and the thoughtfulness that went through these. And, I would urge those on our side to support this fine piece of legislation. Thank you, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

There being no further discussion, Senator Gillespie, to close.

## SENATOR GILLESPIE:

Thank you, Senator Syverson. And I, again, would just urge an Aye vote.

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

The question is, shall the Senate concur in House Amendments 1, 2, and 3 to Senate Bill 2294. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Senator Ellman.

SENATOR ELLMAN:

Ellman votes Aye.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Ellman votes Aye. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 59 voting Aye, 0 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. Having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur in House Amendments 1, 2, and 3 to Senate Bill 2294, and the bill is declared passed.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

Senator Cunningham, in the Chair.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, we're going to go back to the top of Supplemental Calendar No. 2, where Senator Hastings brings Senate Bill 508. He indicates he wishes to proceed. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendments 2 and 5 to Senate Bill 508.

Filed by Senator Hastings.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Hastings.

### SENATOR HASTINGS:

Thank you, Mr. President, and Members of the Senate. House

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

Floor Amendment No. 5 is a gut-and-replace amendment which includes the changes in Floor amendment and removes additional language to disclose factors taken into consideration in determining the fair cash value of certain income-producing properties in Cook County. Also, to provide clarity for county clerks, and ensure that the levy authority created in Section 18-233 is outside the PTELL limitation. And, that Floor amendment also adds the following language to Section 18-233: "For the purposes of the Property Tax Extension Limitation Law, the taxing district's most recent aggregate extension base shall not include the prior year adjustment authorized under this Section." Floor Amendment 2 is a gut-and-replace amendment which merges Senate Bill 508, amended, with Senate Bill 1138 House Floor Amendment No. 1, and House Bill 3529, and additional language which requires the Cook County Accessor to make available the factors that were taken into consideration in determining the fair cash value of certain incomeproducing properties. This bill passed overwhelmingly in the House, and I want to say thank you very much to Senator Don DeWitte for all of his hard work on this bill. And, I'll answer any questions, Mr. President. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Is there any discussion? Senator DeWitte, for what purpose do you seek recognition?

SENATOR DeWITTE:

To the bill, Mr. Speaker.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

To the -- to the bill.

SENATOR DeWITTE:

Thank you, Mr. President. My -- my -- my apologizes. I want

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

to thank Senator Hastings for helping out with this legislation. The core legislation in this bill was SB 508, which was the PTELL legislation, that gives every government entity on everyone's property tax bill one hundred percent of their assessed valuation the following year when it pays out. Any corrections or credits are then incorporated into the following years total assessment. This is a very good bill for all of your government entities in your districts. I think they will be very pleased to know that the money they are assessed in one year, they can count on seeing to help run their government entities the following year. Thanks again to Senator Hastings. I would urge an Aye vote. Thank you. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

The question is, shall the Senate concur with House Amendments No. 2 and 5 to Senate Bill 508. All those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Senator Ellman.

### SENATOR ELLMAN:

Ellman votes Aye.

## PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Ellman votes Aye. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 59 Senators voting in the Affirmative, 0 voting No, 0 voting Present. Having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur in House Amendments No. 2 and 5 to Senate Bill 508, and the bill is declared passed. Ladies and Gentlemen, we're going to go back to the regular Calendar. House Bills 3rd Reading, on page 12, Senator Bennett. Or, I'm sorry. Senator Koehler brings House Bill 165. Senator Koehler seeks leave of the Body to return House Bill 165 to the Order of 2nd Reading. Leave is granted. On

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

the Order of 2nd Reading is House Bill 160 -- is House Bill 165. Mr. Secretary, are there any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

## SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 1, offered by Senator Koehler.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Koehler, on your amendment.

## SENATOR KOEHLER:

I'd like to move for the adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none. All those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, the amendment is adopted. Mr. Secretary, are there any further amendments approved for discussion?

### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

3rd Reading. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

## SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 165.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Koehler, on your bill.

## SENATOR KOEHLER:

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. The bill amends the University of Illinois Act to create carbon capture, utilization, and storage report. It establishes that, subject to appropriation, an advisory council shall be created consisting of

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

ten members to investigate and provide -- recommendations to the Governor and General Assembly on all issues deemed appropriate to carbon capture, utilization, and storage legislation. The amendment just says that we will, you know, use existing, you know, examples in that study and that this should not prohibit anybody going forward. So, I'd appreciate an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Is there any discussion? Senator Rezin, for what purpose do you seek recognition?

SENATOR REZIN:

Thank you, Mr. President. To the bill, please.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

To the bill.

## SENATOR REZIN:

Thank you. Senator Koehler, thank you for carrying this bill. I know that we've talked about, and we sit on a national energy committee together. We have conversations about what the energy future will look like. How will we decarbonize our planet? This task force is incredibly important to study carbon capture, as we move forward. So, I thank you for bringing, you know, this bill forward, and I ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

The question is, shall House Bill 165 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Senator Ellman.

### SENATOR ELLMAN:

Ellman votes Yes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Ellman votes Yes. Have all voted who wish? Have all

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 59 Senators voting Yes, 0 voting No, 0 voting Present. Having received the required constitutional majority, House Bill 165 is declared passed. Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, we're going to move to page 17, on your printed Calendar, Secretary's Desk, Resolutions, starting with Senate Resolution 28, Senator Tracy. Senator Tracy, on Senate Resolution 28. She indicates -- she indicates she wishes to proceed. Mr. Secretary, please read the resolution.

## SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Resolution 28, offered by Senator Tracy.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Tracy.

## SENATOR TRACY:

Thank you, Mr. -- President. The #BeLikeGrace phenomenon is about a young lady who died tragically in an ATV accident, but it started out with people that knew her, wanting to celebrate her life because this young woman always had a Christian friendly attitude towards everything. A smile, cups full not half full attitude and hundreds of people, and across the State, started displaying signs that said #BeLikeGrace day. And, in other countries it spread. And so, I filed this resolution to honor this young lady, and help her family celebrate her life because she had the kind of attitude, in a pandemic, that all of us need this #BeLikeGrace. Let's show grace, every day, respect, happiness, gratitude, every day, and so, I'm happy to bring this resolution. I'd ask for you to support it. Thank you very much. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

The question is, shall Joint {sic} Resolution 28 pass. All

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the resolution is adopted. Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, with leave of the Body, we're going to move back to Supplemental Calendar No. 2, where President Harmon brings Senate Bill 825. Are you ready to proceed with the motion, Mr. President? Mr. Secretary, please read the motion.

## SECRETARY ANDERSON:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 825.

Filed by President Harmon.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

President Harmon.

### SENATOR HARMON:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Senate Bill 825, as amended by the House, is the 2021 Elections Omnibus Bill. It includes many features. The most notable are, -- of which are a setting of the 2022 primary election, on June 28th, 2022, addressing for counties that need to redistrict their -- districts a deadline extension to December 31st of 2021. And, allowing counties to use other data, including the American Community Survey data. It includes some bills that Members of this Chamber passed, as Senator Simmons had a bill about additional transparency for General Assembly appointments in the event of vacancies. This bill includes elements of that bill. It also as most recently amended by the House, includes a bill that Senator Bush passed, ensuring that Members and candidates could use their election funds to pay for child care and elder care related to their need to be campaigning or performing in their government duties. Happy to try and answer any questions you may have.

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

## PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Is there any discussion? Senator Sally Turner, for what purpose do you seek recognition?

## SENATOR S. TURNER:

Thank you, Mr. President. To the speaker -- or to the -- question, sorry.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

He indicates he will yield.

## SENATOR S. TURNER:

Thank you, and thank you for this bill, and allowing me to ask you a few questions about it. I -- I have a few questions, as you may know I'm a former county clerk for quite some time, but I had some questions about this. And, one of the questions that I had was in regard to the vote by mail applications, electronically. I saw that there's a five day, prior to the election of course, cut off for that. Is there a time that's been established that - that would toll the time for a county clerk to say this is the cut off at this specific time, rather than the end of the work day?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

President Harmon.

### SENATOR HARMON:

Thank you, Mr. President. Thank you, Senator. It's not fair having a former clerk in the Body asking such detailed questions. We don't believe that there is a cutoff in the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Turner.

### SENATOR S. TURNER:

If -- if I may, what -- it's very important to have a time

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

cutoff because those that are in during the day have a time cutoff. Whatever the clerk establishes, or that courthouse establishes, is a day, or the time that they cutoff. So, a -- a cutoff is very important to have it for an electronic filing as well. Another question that I'd had would be in regard to the website. I see that it requires a website for those to file anything that they want to do with the electronic vote by mail. So, is there a security mechanism in place, so that we know that this is the individual, truly, that is applying for a vote by mail application? PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

President Harmon.

### SENATOR HARMON:

Thank you, Mr. President. I'm -- I'm afraid I'm not sure I understand your question. Could you re -- restate it and perhaps with some -- an example?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Turner.

## SENATOR S. TURNER:

When an individual is applying for a vote by mail application, they do that with their signature, or something that says this is me. So, when I'm applying for one electronically, and from an email, I'm just wondering how that is -- that we're assured that - that is that individual, rather than maybe a group sending out a mass email asking for an application.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

President Harmon.

## SENATOR HARMON:

Thank you, Mr. President. Senator, I believe we've had this model in place in several counties for several years. With --

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

without incident. If there's a particular concern that you have that needs to be addressed, I'd be happy to consider it. But I - I -- I -- I don't know exactly what the problem is that a -- that a -- your identifying, at least, in practice.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Turner.

## SENATOR S. TURNER:

Thank you, sir. I just have a couple more questions. My next one is in regard to polling places in county jails. I just -- I noticed that it said that Cook County was excluded. Why -- why -- why was -- is that. I don't understand.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

President Harmon.

## SENATOR HARMON:

Thank you, Mr. President. Cook County was included in the bill two years ago, and has been operating in this manner.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Turner.

## SENATOR S. TURNER:

If I may, another question that I had in regard to polling places in jails, are -- is that considered an early voting site then?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

President Harmon.

## SENATOR HARMON:

An interesting question, Senator. I -- I do not believe it is. I would also emphasize that -- it's a permissive portion of the law. It's not mandated that a jail make this available.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

Senator Turner.

### SENATOR S. TURNER:

So, that is not a mandatory requirement of counties to establish a -- a polling place at all jails. Is that correct? PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

President Harmon.

### SENATOR HARMON:

Thank you. It is -- it is permissive - it's the underlying bill was passed by Senator Connor, I believe.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Turner.

## SENATOR S. TURNER:

I probably could go on, and have a visit with you for a long time, but that's all. Thank you so much for your answers. Thank you.

## PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Seeing -- pardon me. Senator Barickman, for what purpose do vou seek recognition?

## SENATOR BARICKMAN:

We -- we have a question that is in route to the Floor, and so, I might speak to the bill, and then, if I could, ask the sponsor a question.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

To the bill.

## SENATOR BARICKMAN:

To the bill. This legislation, though significant in nature, brings us back to the prior discussion of the redistricting process and the use of ACS data. The counties, that we all represent, have come to us and asked for some relief in existing law regarding

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

the timeframe for the counties to redistrict themselves. Rather than, this -- this legislation, rather than accommodating the counties request, it goes further. The legislation here proposes to allow counties to use non-census data in the redistricting process that they conduct for themselves locally. The use of noncensus data, discussed here previously, is very controversial, so says all of those witnesses that all of you on that side of the aisle said we need to listen to during the redistricting hearings. The witnesses, they said when you use ACS data and any other form of unreliable data that is not the actual census count, you put in jeopardy the ability for our -- for ethnic groups, and communities of interest, to actually be represented in their government. This legislation brings about that same use of outdated and inaccurate data. And so, for those reasons, I encourage a No vote. I do want to ask the sponsor a question.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

He indicates he will yield.

## SENATOR BARICKMAN:

And, I appreciate that. I understand this is a question coming from the counties about how to interpret this. So, Mr. President, in Subsection 5, on page 144, the language says that the chairman of the county board or county executive may develop and present the apportionment plan to the board. Does this include only county board chairs, regardless in the manner in which they were elected to that office?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

President Harmon.

### SENATOR HARMON:

Thank you, Senator. Can you share with us the amendment

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

you're looking at? Page 144, of our amendment, doesn't seem to reference that portion.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Barickman.

## SENATOR BARICKMAN:

I'm learning that I'm reading the old amendment, page 144, line 5. I -- I believe it's still in your amendment, and you can thank me later for the question, but I think we're still hoping you'll answer it.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

President Harmon, on the old amendment.

### SENATOR HARMON:

Senator, I -- I -- I honestly can't answer it because I don't know what you're talking about. We -- we have the new amendment, not the old amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Barickman.

## SENATOR BARICKMAN:

So, while you don't understand the question, it's possible that I needed a little more clarity, which I now have. It appears that my question is specifically referenced on page 178 of the newest amendment, starts on line 4, that's the -- the reference that we're inquiring about.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

President Harmon, on the new amendment.

### SENATOR HARMON:

Thank -- thank you, Mr. President. Senator, we have found the page and we have forgotten the question.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

Senator Barickman.

## SENATOR BARICKMAN:

In Subsection 5 -- scratch that. The language says, the Chairman of the County Board or the County Executive may develop and present the apportionment plan to the Board. Does this include any county board chairs, regardless of the manner in which they were elected to that office?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

President Harmon.

## SENATOR HARMON:

Thank you, Mr. President. And Senator, those terms are defined in a part of the underlying statute. So, we're not making any change to the statute. It is -- it is -- those offices as defined in the Code.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Barickman.

### SENATOR BARICKMAN:

So, I think the question goes to the intent on that piece.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

President Harmon.

## SENATOR HARMON:

The intent is to follow the current law as it has been structured for at least a decade. Is there...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Barickman, do you have another question?

### SENATOR BARICKMAN:

All right. Hopefully, that answers the counties questions. Again, after that thorough debate, I encourage a No vote. But thank you, Mr. President.

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Seeing no further discussion. President Harmon, do you wish to close? Ope! I'm sorry. Didn't see that light. Senator Wilcox, for what purpose do you seek recognition?

SENATOR WILCOX:

Question to the sponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

He indicates he will yield.

SENATOR WILCOX:

In regards to the cyber security measures, it appears this requires election authorities to conduct a risk assessment through the Cyber Navigator Program every two years. Will that be funded through the Help America Vote Act funds?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

President Harmon.

## SENATOR HARMON:

Thank you, Mr. President, and thank you all for the interesting questions. We are -- we are definitely exploring some nuance of the bill. It -- that would be one source, I believe there are other federal funds available for this -- for these purposes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Wilcox.

## SENATOR WILCOX:

We're just looking to be reassured that this won't be a mandate and funding requirement for the counties. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Seeing no further questions. President Harmon, do you wish to close?

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

### SENATOR HARMON:

Thank you, Mr. President. Thank you for the spirited debate. I ask for your Aye votes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

The question is, shall the Senate concur in House Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 825. All those in favor will vote Aye. All those opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Senator Ellman.

SENATOR ELLMAN:

Ellman votes Yes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Ellman votes Yes. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 51 Senators voting Yes, 18 voting... -- 41 Senators voting Yes, 18 voting No, 0 voting Present. Having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur in House Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 825, and the bill is declared passed. Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, we're going to go back to the regular printed Calendar, on page 13, where House Bills on 3rd Reading appear towards the bottom of that page. Senator Gillespie brings House Bill 1092. She indicates she wishes to proceed. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 1092.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Gillespie, on your bill.

SENATOR GILLESPIE:

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

Thank you, Mr. President. Senate Bill -- House Bill 1092 is the enhancement of the FRO Act that was passed, the Firearms Restraints {sic} (Restraining Order) Act, that was passed in 2019. It creates a three-year commission on implementing the Firearms Or -- Restraining Order Act led by the Illinois State Police, and tasked with developing a model policy with framework for timely relinquishment of firearms whenever a firearms restraining order is issued. It also amends the FRO Act to include ammunition and firearm parts that could be assembled to make an operable firearm and allows for a petition to be filed in any county where an incident involving the respondent occurred. Further, it enhances the definition of family members to include those with a child in common. This was heard in the Executive Committee, and I would ask for an Aye vote, and I'm open for questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Is there any discussion? Senator Barickman, for what purpose do you seek recognition?

SENATOR BARICKMAN:

To the -- to the -- to the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

To the bill.

## SENATOR BARICKMAN:

Mr. President, this legislation and forms of it over the years have been proposed. Many of us have significant concerns about the potential for abuse in the -- in legislation like this. Disgruntled former spouses can be -- can use laws like these. We've seen it across the country where disgruntled spouses use laws like these as threats, and as punishments, and as retaliation. And it leads to, in some instances, abuse that gives many of us

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

significant concerns. And as a result, I'd encourage a No vote on this matter.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Is there any additional discussion? Senator Bryant, for what purpose do you seek recognition?

SENATOR BRYANT:

Thank you, Mr. President. To the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

To the bill.

### SENATOR BRYANT:

I believe I remember, roughly two years ago, when this original bill was negotiated. It was negotiated extensively, and there were promises made that in that negotiation that would be as far as this law would go. Now, I know that the Senator -- the sponsor that is offering this tonight may not been a part of those negotiations, but I think as all of us work through negotiating bills, even though we're not tied to what another General Assembly does, I think our word here needs to mean something. This was thoroughly negotiated and now we're going back and we're taking more again, and adding more to it, from a bill that was heavily negotiated. I urge a No vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Seeing no further discussion. Senator Gillespie, do you wish to close? Ope, I'm sorry. We had a late light. Senator Anderson, for what purpose do you seek recognition?

SENATOR ANDERSON:

To the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

To the bill.

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

### SENATOR ANDERSON:

This is yet, just another step forward of taking away constitutional rights. This is a bill that strips somebody of due process - we're on a roll here. I don't know how far we're going to keep going. But I hope that this bill, accompanied with the last gun bill we did, goes to the courts, and an immediate stay is issued, and we repeal all this nonsense. Ask for a No vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Gillespie, do you wish to close?

### SENATOR GILLESPIE:

Yes, Mr. President, thank you. This bill is -- is merely offering enhancements to provide more education, so the public is aware of the provisions of this bill. As well as, further -- protecting those at risk, in -- particularly in domestic violence situations. I ask for an Aye vote. Thank you.

## PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

The question is, shall House Bill 1092 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Senator Ellman.

### SENATOR ELLMAN:

Yes. Ellman votes Yes.

## PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Ellman votes Yes. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 33 Senators voting Yes, 19 voting No, 0 voting Present. House Bill 1092, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senator Anderson, for what purpose do you seek recognition?

## SENATOR ANDERSON:

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

Mr. President, I would ask for a roll call verification. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Anderson has requested a verification. Will all Members please be in their seat? Ring the bell please, Mr. Secretary. I apologize. I've never done one of these while I was in the Chair. The Secretary will please read the affirmative votes.

## SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Those Members voting in the affirmative: Aquino, Belt, Bennett, Bush, Castro, Collins, Connor, Crowe, Cunningham, Ellman, Feigenholtz, Fine, Gillespie, Glowiak Hilton, Harris, Hastings, Johnson, Jones, Lightford, Loughran Cappel, Morrison, Muñoz, Murphy, Pacione-Zayas, Peters, Simmons, Sims, Doris Turner, Van Pelt, Villa, Villanueva, Villivalam, President Harmon.

### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Does Senator Anderson question the presence of any Member voting in the affirmative?

## SENATOR ANDERSON:

No, Mr. President, I'm happy everybody's awake now, though. Thank you.

## PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Thank you, Senator. On a verified roll call, there are 33 voting Aye, 18 voting No -- 19 voting No, and 0 Present. Having received the required constitutional majority, House Bill 1092 is declared passed. Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, we're going to move back to page 17 on your printed Calendar, the -- Secretaries Desk Resolutions. Where Senator Crowe brings Senate Resolution 58. She indicates she wishes to proceed. Mr. Secretary, please read the resolution.

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Resolution 58, offered by Senator Crowe.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Crowe.

## SENATOR CROWE:

Thank you, Mr. President. Senate Resolution 58 creates the Child Sexual and Physical Abuse Task Force to investigate, assess, and make recommendations on the Sexual Assault Survivors Emergency Treatment Act and its implementation to ensure that child development and children's unique medical needs are addressed in the medical response to child sexual abuse. There is no opposition, and I ask for an Aye vote.

## PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR MUÑOZ)

The question is, shall Joint {sic} Resolution 58 pass. As this requires the expenditure of State funds, a roll call will be required. The question is, shall Senate Resolution 58 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Senator Ellman.

## SENATOR ELLMAN:

Ellman votes Aye.

### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Ellman votes Aye. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that measure, 59 Senators voting Yes, 0 voting No. The resolution is adopted. Continuing on resolutions, we're going to go to our remote Senator. Senator Ellman, who brings Senate Resolution 92. Senator Ellman, do you wish to proceed?

### SENATOR ELLMAN:

Mr. President, if you will give me a few minutes, I would

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

love to proceed. I wasn't prepared for this.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Okay, we will come back to you Senator. Thank you.

SENATOR ELLMAN:

Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Next, Senator Villa brings Senate Resolution 98. She indicates she wishes to proceed. Mr. -- Mr. Secretary, please read the resolution.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Resolution 98, offered by Senator Villa.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Villa.

## SENATOR VILLA:

Thank you, Mr. President. Senate Resolution 98 would make April, 2020 {sic} (May 19,2021), Hep C Awareness {sic} (Screening) Day. Hepatitis C is the most commonly reported blood -- bloodborne infection in the United States. In Illinois there are an estimated fifty-four thousand nine hundred people living with Hep C. This resolution, again, would declare May 19th, 2021 as Hep {sic} (Hepatitis) C Screening Day in the State of Illinois. I urge an Aye vote.

## PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is shall Joint {sic} Resolution 98 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the resolution is adopted. So, we will stay with Senator Villa, who brings Senate Resolution 100. She indicates she wishes to proceed. Mr. Secretary, please read the resolution.

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

### ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

Senate Resolution 100, offered by Senator Villa.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Villa.

## SENATOR VILLA:

Thank you, Mr. President. This resolution is one that is very near and dear to my heart. When I ran for office I was a school social worker, and never did I know that I would end up representing a State Rep District that had the most amount of manufacturers in one State Rep district in the State of Illinois, which was the -- is the 49th District. When COVID hit, that then made me a quick expert as to what was happening in those manufacturing companies. Often times, many manufacturers were doing what was right and taking care of their employees. But others were not. Whether they were or weren't, the workers were considered essential. That elevated the workers to a level at the same rate as being compared to nurses and doctors. They were considered essential to our daily lives. This Senate resolution is something that I think is -- is so critical. Throughout -- so our manufacturing and farm workers showed up for us during the pandemic, and now it is our turn to show up for them in the form of immigration reform. I am urging Congress and the -- and President Biden to grant residency to all of our essential manufacturing workers, and farm workers, who have labored during COVID -- the COVID-19 pandemic. And I urge an Aye vote.

### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate -- shall Senate Resolution 100 pass. All those in favor, say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the resolution is

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

adopted. Staying on the Order of Villa resolutions. Senator Villa, brings Senate Resolution 101. She indicates she wishes to proceed. Mr. Secretary, please read the resolution.

## ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

Senate Resolution 101, offered by Senator Villa.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Villa.

### SENATOR VILLA:

Thank you, Mr. President, and Members of -- of this wonderful Chamber. So, Senate Resolution 101, would really bring to light the importance of social workers, and we would be having Social Work Month in -- in the State of Illinois. As we know, mental health is something that's critical on both sides of the aisle. This is a priority for all of us. So, this resolution would simply declare the month of March 2021 as Social Work Month in the State of Illinois. And I urge an Aye vote.

## PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Resolution 101 pass. All those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the resolution is adopted. Next, we have Senate Resolution 1 -- Mr. Secretary, Messages from the House.

## ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

Message from the House, by Mr. Hollman, Clerk.

Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the passage of a bill of the following title, to wit:

Senate Bill No. 1 -- 215.

Together with the following amendments which are attached, in

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

the adoption of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the Senate, to wit:

House Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 215.

We have like Messages on Senate Bill 539, with House Amendments 1 and 2. All Passed the House, as amended, May 31st, 2021. John W. Hollman, Clerk of the House.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Moving back to resolutions. Senator Crowe brings Senate Resolution 140. She indicates she wishes to proceed. Mr. Secretary, please read the resolution.

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

Senate Resolution 140, offered by Senator Crowe.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Crowe.

#### SENATOR CROWE:

Thank you, Mr. President. Senate Resolution 140 urges Illinois to implement "Buy American in Illinois" steel policies to create jobs, and protect American companies and workers. Disruptions caused by the pandemic impacted steel production worldwide. American steel makers slowed about one third of their domestic production last year when customers cancelled orders and closed plants to slow the spread of COVID-19. This came on the heels of a difficult period for the steel industry in 2019, when it experienced slowdowns in demand due to increasing imports. In Illinois the steel industry employees more than nine thousand workers and supports about sixty-four thousand jobs. With all our steel manufacturers in mind, it's vital their work be appreciated, and that their business be patronized throughout our State. As the industry works to recover, we can support their efforts right

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

here at home by implementing "Buy American in Illinois" steel policies to create jobs, build our middle class, and to protect our working families. Let's recognize the importance of our local steel industry and the jobs it supports in Illinois before we outsource. Our region has made vital contributions to the nation's steel production. And, in honor of hard-working steel workers and manufacturers continuing to produce the materials that we need to build our country, I urge adoption of this resolution.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Joint {sic} Resolution 140 pass. All those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the resolution is adopted. We're going to continue on resolutions, with leave of the Body we're going to move down a couple of spots to Senate Resolution 150. Senator Villanueva. She indicates she wishes to proceed. Mr. Secretary, please read the resolution.

### ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

Senate Resolution 150, offered by Senator Villanueva.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Villanueva.

## SENATOR VILLANUEVA:

Thank you, Mr. President. In 1999 the Illinois General Assembly passed legislation authorizing the Illinois State Treasurer to establish and administer a college savings -- plan designed to be a qualified State tuition program under Section 529 of the Internal Revenue Code. These two Illinois 529 college savings plans are now known as Bright Start and Bright Directions. Under Bright Start and Bright Directions, investments grow tax free as long as withdrawals are used to pay for qualified post-

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

secondary education expenses, like tuition, fees, books, room and board. In addition, Illinois allows annual contributions of up to ten thousand dollars, twenty if married -- married and filing jointly to Bright Start or Bright Directions, to be deducted from state income taxes. The Bright Start and Bright Directions college -- college savings plans were established in Illinois in 2000 and 2005 respectively, to assist Illinois families and financing the costs associated with a college education. Today, more than seven hundred thousand Bright Start and Bright Directions accounts have been created and over fourteen billion, billion with a b, dollars have been saved for higher education expenses. This resolution designates May 29, 2021, as College Savings Day in the State of Illinois to help raise awareness about the escalating costs of higher education, the importance of saving for college with the help of 529 college savings plans, and commemorates the twenty first anniversary of the Bright Start Savings Plan. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Resolution 150 pass. All -- all those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the resolution is adopted. Next, we have Senate Resolution 168 from Senator Pacione-Zayas. She indicates she wishes to proceed. Mr. Secretary, please read the resolution.

## ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

Senate Resolution 168, offered by Senator Pacione-Zayas.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Pacione-Zayas.

#### SENATOR PACIONE-ZAYAS:

Thank you, Mr. President. This resolution recognizes the

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

critical farming sector in our State, given the fact that we have seventy-two thousand farms, seventy-five percent of the State is set aside for farming, and nineteen billion with a b, dollars is generated as a result of farming in the Illinois economy. What this resolution seeks to do is urge the U.S. Department of Education to acknowledge farming as an applied career to be able to be eligible for student loan forgiveness. We have an aging farming community here in Illinois with decreasing numbers of beginning farmers. It can be a risky business endeavor. In the United States, we have two-thirds of farm owned land needing transferred in the next twenty-five years and we've only added one thousand two hundred and twenty farmers. And, student loan debt total is on the rise of 1.5 trillion dollars, which can be a barrier for young farmers to make a living and steer them away from the profession. So, essentially, we believe that farming is integral in public service, and that farmers constitute public service professionals, therefore, they should be included in the loan forgiveness program.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Resolution 168 pass. All those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, the resolution is adopted. With leave of the Body, we're going to move down a couple of spots to Senate Resolution 215. Senator Holmes, on Senate Resolution 215. She indicates she wishes to proceed. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill. Oh, excuse me, the resolution.

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

Senate Resolution 215, offered by Senator Holmes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

Senator Holmes.

### SENATOR HOLMES:

Thank you so much, Mr. President. Senate Resolution 215 urges the General Assembly and the Governor's Administration to invest Illinois transportation and infrastructure dollars, as promised, under Rebuild Illinois. Rebuild Illinois started in 2019 as a comprehensive plan to fund infrastructure construction projects throughout the State and create thousands of jobs and economic development. The pandemic has heightened the need for this and I want to make certain that funding is secure, protected, and not a potential target for sweeping the funds.

## PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Resolution 215 pass. All those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the resolution is adopted. Staying on Secretary's Desk Resolutions, right at the bottom of page 17. Senator Villa brings Senate Resolution 226. She indicates she wishes to proceed. Mr. Secretary, please read the resolution.

### ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

Senate Resolution 226, offered by Senator Villa.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator -- Senator Villa.

## SENATOR VILLA:

Sorry, thanks, Mr. President, and Members of this amazing Body, for allowing me to present another wonderful resolution. Senate Resolution 226 declares November 4, 2021, as Genetic Counselor Awareness Day in the State of Illinois. Genetic counselors empower patients and their families with vital health

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

care information, guidance and emotional support to help them understand their family history, evaluate genetic testing options, and make informed choices based on test results. Genetic counseling helps patients and providers understand complex test results and how to best use this information. I urge an Aye vote. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Is there any discussion? Senator Cullerton, for what purpose do you seek recognition?

## SENATOR CULLERTON:

Question of the sponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

She indicates she will yield.

### SENATOR CULLERTON:

Senator, I've seen some -- a lot of resolutions today. I wondering if there any days left in the calendar for other people to put resolutions for things that are important to them as well? PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Villa.

## SENATOR VILLA:

I believe my birthday, September 19th, is available for you to go ahead and sponsor a resolution making that my, you know, the State -- a State holiday.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Cullerton.

## SENATOR CULLERTON:

Senator, we're going to make that a dual holiday because mine is September 20th.

### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Very productive line of questioning. Seeing no further

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

discussion, the question is, shall Senate Resolution 226 pass. All those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the resolution is adopted. With leave of the Body, we're going to go back to our remote legislator, Senator Ellman, and see if she is ready to proceed on Senate Resolution 92. Senator Ellman, do you wish to proceed on Senate Resolution 92?

SENATOR ELLMAN:

I do, Mr. President. Thank you very much. Senate Resolution 92...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Mr. -- hold it, Mr. -- Mr. Secretary, please read the resolution.

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

Senate Resolution 92, offered by Senator Ellman.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Ellman, on your resolution.

### SENATOR ELLMAN:

Thank you. Sorry for my lapse in protocol. Senate Resolution 92 seeks to declare January 17th of 2022 as Muhammad Ali Day in the State of Illinois to commemorate his inspirational, ambitious, hardworking, and courageous — this courageous patriot, who upheld the values of our State, and our country in the most way. He's a poet, he's a champion, and January 17th, 2022, should be a day commemorating him, and I urge an Aye vote.

## PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, should -- Joint, I'm sorry, Senate Resolution 92 pass. All those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the resolution is adopted. Senator Koehler, for what purpose do you seek

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

recognition?

SENATOR KOEHLER:

Thank you, Mr. President. The Senate Democrats would request a caucus meeting in the President's Office for approximately thirty minutes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Senator Barickman, for what purpose do you seek recognition?

SENATOR BARICKMAN:

For an announcement.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

State your announcement, please.

SENATOR BARICKMAN:

Senate Republicans will also caucus for an equal or less amount of time in 309.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Both caucuses will caucus for the next thirty minutes in their respective locations. The Senate stands at recess to the call of the Chair. Please, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, move immediately to caucus.

(SENATE STANDS IN RECESS)

(12:00 a.m. - June 1st, 2021)

(SENATE RECONVENES)

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Ladies and Gentlemen, we're going to move back to Supplemental

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

Calendar No. 1, on the 2nd page, Senator Harris brings Senate Bill 2338. He indicates he wishes to proceed. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill -- please read the motion.

## SECRETARY ANDERSON:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2338.

Filed by Senator Harris.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Harris.

## SENATOR HARRIS:

Thank you, Mr. President, and Members of the Senate. Senate Bill 2338, the Motion to Concur on House Committee Amendment 1, is the name likeness and image - a student athlete bill that offers market value compensation for the use of his or her name, image and likeness or voice while enrolled in a post-secondary educational institution. And also, the ability to obtain representation for their contracts. The universities all support this bill, and it's definitely a better bill from the last time it was presented in this Chamber. And, there's a hundred percent support, as far as the universities goes in the State of Illinois, and I ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Is there any discussion? Senator Rose, for what purpose do you seek recognition?

## SENATOR ROSE:

To the bill, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

To the bill.

## SENATOR ROSE:

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

Mr. President, despite the fact that the sponsor played football at Northwestern, I rise in support of the bill. And --I -- I will tell you that I've filed two different bills over the last few years on this same topic. And, I couldn't be more pleased to see Senator Harris bring this one forward. This is the right thing to do. These young men and women put it all out there on the field. They are literally, in some cases, they put their -literally their lives on the lines, and the NCAA makes an amazing amount of money off of -- off of what is supposed to be amateur sports. These men and women don't get compensated. There are -video game companies use their name, image, and likeness. On the basketball tournament alone, NCAA, a few years ago, and this number is dated now, made over half a billion dollars, and the student athletes didn't get one penny. Yeah, they get the scholarship, but at the end of the day it doesn't come even remotely close to what they're worth, and what people like EA Sports are making off their name, image, and likeness. And so, despite my -- my misgivings that it is being sponsored by a Wildcat. I'm very proud to stand up for the men and women at the University of Illinois, orange and blue. And I will be -- Fighting Illini - I'll be proud to vote for it, so thank you, Mr. President.

## PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Seeing no further discussion. Senator Harris, do you wish to close?

## SENATOR HARRIS:

Thank you, Mr. President. And, to the previous speaker, who happens to be an Illinois graduate, the House sponsor also, Mr. Buckner, is also a Illinois graduate. And it's fitting that an Illini graduate, an alumni of the University of Illinois, would

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

hand off the bill to a Northwestern Wildcat, because apparently, they are not use to getting anything past the goal line. So -- so they had to give it to someone who's accustomed to getting the -- across the goal line. And with that, Mr. President, I ask for a favorable vote to get this bill across the goal line, so that the University of Illinois will learn that Northwestern has a better football program than them. Thank you, and I seek an Aye vote. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

The question is, shall the Senate concur in House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 2338. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Senator Ellman.

### SENATOR ELLMAN:

Ellman votes Yes.

### PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Ellman votes Yes. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 56 Senators voting Yes, 2 voting No, none voting Present. Having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur -- concur on House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 2338, and the bill is declared passed. Will the Committee on Assignments meet immediately in the President's Anteroom? The Committee on Assignments meet immediately in the President's Anteroom. Mr. Secretary, Committee Reports.

## SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senator Lightford, Chair of the Committee on Assignments, reports the following Legislative Measures have been assigned: Re-referred from the Appropriations Committee to Assignments Committee - Floor Amendment 1 to House Bill 900; Be Approved for

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

Consideration - Floor Amendment 3 to Senate Bill 2042, Motion to Concur with House Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 215, Motion to Concur with House Amendments 1, 3, and 4 to Senate Bill 815, Motion to Concur with House Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 539, Motion to Concur with House Amendment 3 to Senate Bill 1697.

Signed, Senator Kimberly A. Lightford, Chair.

Senator Lightford, Chair of the Committee on Assignments, reports the following Legislative Measures have been assigned: Be Approved for Consideration - Floor Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 900, Floor Amendment 2 to House Bill 731, Floor Amendment 2 to House Bill 3743, and Floor Amendment 2 to House Bill 2499. Signed, Senator Kimberly A. Lightford, Chair.

## PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, Supplemental Calendar No. 3 is now being distributed. We will be going to Floor action shortly. Ladies and Gentlemen, on the top of Supplemental Calendar No. 3, Senator Crowe brings Senate Bill 2-1-5. She indicates she wishes to proceed. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill -- the concurrence motion.

### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 215.

Filed by Senator Crowe.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Crowe.

### SENATOR CROWE:

Thank you, Mr. President. Senate Bill 215, as amended by House Floor Amendment 2, is an initiative of the Illinois Attorney General's Office that creates a new Section in the Code of Civil

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

Procedure to allow the Attorney General to take the necessary steps to effectuate a full settlement for the State in opioid litigation. Specifically, once in agreement between the Attorney General and the counties representing at least sixty percent of the State's population has been reached, including all counties with a population above two hundred and fifty thousand, it prevents units of local government from filing additional lawsuits or maintaining existing lawsuits for conduct that is part of a national multistate opioid settlement. I know of no opponents, and I ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Is there any discussion? Senator Barickman, for what purpose do you seek recognition?

## SENATOR BARICKMAN:

I'll be -- brief but a question of the sponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

She indicates she will yield.

## SENATOR BARICKMAN:

Senator, is there a precedence -- a precedent for doing this, or why is the -- why would we be doing this? What's the purpose behind it?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Crowe.

## SENATOR CROWE:

Thank you, for the question. As a whole, as a State, we will get more money to distribute to combat the opioid crisis, if we can guarantee a release of all claims.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Barickman.

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

#### SENATOR BARICKMAN:

And why couldn't we provide a release of all claims through some non-legislative matter? What -- is there -- is there some party or parties who are withholding their consent to the release? PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Crowe.

## SENATOR CROWE:

Thank you, again, for the question. There currently is a potential national multi-state opioid settlement, which would divide the pots and again if we can bring us together as a State, we will benefit more.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Barickman.

## SENATOR BARICKMAN:

Okay, so the -- the counties absent legislation -- the counties here could make their own decisions on how to proceed with this litigation. Right?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Crowe.

### SENATOR CROWE:

That's correct.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Barickman.

### SENATOR BARICKMAN:

So, then this legislation is taking away that control decision-making authority that would exist at the county level. So, why -- why would we want to limit their decisions here?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Crowe.

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

#### SENATOR CROWE:

Thank you, for the question. This will create an interstate allocation agreement between the Attorney General and the units of the local government that will allocate the settlement proceeds within the State.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Barickman.

## SENATOR BARICKMAN:

But did every one of those local units agree to this? And if so, why wouldn't they just agree to, you know, settle the case or provide a release for the case, rather than - again, it looks like the State is circumventing that local decision-making authority here.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Crowe.

## SENATOR CROWE:

Senator Barickman, Illinois stands to lose fifty percent or more of the State's settlement funds if it does not release all of the claims. Failure -- failure to release all the claims could be in potentially hundreds of millions of dollars less towards the abatement and remediation of the opioid crisis. And, it can potentially let the opioid manufacturers and distributors off the hook for paying -- for paying the full amount that's owed to the State and to the local governments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Barickman.

## SENATOR BARICKMAN:

To the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

To the bill.

### SENATOR BARICKMAN:

Here's my concern. It's one a.m. This is a very significant legislative measure that clearly takes away the control that exists at a local level to handle this litigation. To the extent that there is a significant -- the -- the sponsor says that there's a significant amount of money at risk, which may be true, but that ought be a compelling argument to those local units of government, county governments, I believe, to proceed to work together and settle these claims. I'm hesitant to recommend an Aye vote here, given the late hour, the lack of scrutiny that exists, and so I'd simply remind the Body that at the surface what this looks to be doing, is taking away the choice and the control that exists for some sixty counties in the State to handle this litigation. Thank you, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Crowe, to close.

## SENATOR CROWE:

Thank you, Mr. President. This legislation will prevent one local government from holding up the entire State from benefiting from these funds. And, as we all know, thousands of Illinoisans die each year from opioid overdoses, and countless others that are affected by the opioid use disorder. Please, vote Aye to hold these companies responsible and accountable. Thank you.

## PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

This motion will require 36 votes. The question is, shall the Senate concur with House Amendments No. 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 215. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Senator Ellman.

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

### SENATOR ELLMAN:

Ellman votes Aye.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Ellman votes Aye. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. 55 Senators voting Yes, 0 voting No, 0 voting Present. House Amendments No. 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 215, having received the required constitutional majority, and are declared passed. Next, on Supplemental Calendar No. 3 is Senate Bill 539. Senator Gillespie. She indicates she wishes to proceed. Mr. Secretary, please read the motion.

### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 539.

Filed by Senator Gillespie.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Gillespie.

### SENATOR GILLESPIE:

Thank you, Mr. President. Senate Bill 539, as amended, is a product of discussions in working groups and committees. Incorporates aspect of both Democratic and Republican bills introduced this Session and in previous Sessions. It is a solid step forward in addressing issues seen in recent scandals. Specifically, it prohibits elected officials from lobbying other units of government for entities that lobby them; creates a statewide lobbyist data base that requires persons lobbying state, county, municipal or township government to be registered and disclosed; prohibits Members of the General Assembly and Executive Branch constitutional officers from lobbying any other government for compensation for any entity that is registered to lobby the

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

General Assembly or Executive Branch of the State; prohibits local elected officials from lobbying for compensation for any entity that is registered to lobby their unit of government; institutes a revolving door for Executive Branch elected officials and legislators becoming lobbyists for six months after they leave office or the new General Assembly; closes the consultant loophole; prohibits in person and virtual fundraisers in Illinois on a Session Day or the day before a legislative Session Day; prohibits salaried appointees who are subject to the advice and consent of the Senate from serving as an officer for a political committee or active political committee; the Legislative Inspector General is granted authority to initiate an investigation without LEC approval, use -- and it -- clarifies the jurisdiction of the Legislative Inspector General, and makes technical changes; it enacts a streamlined and more clear statement of economic interest disclosure system with the increased dollar figures of ten thousand for assets and seventy-five hundred for income; and, a pro-rate salaries of General Assembly Members who leave office prior to the end of their term. This bill is not the final - end of anything we will ever do on ethics, but it's a good solid step forward. And I urge you to vote Aye. And I am open for any questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Is there any discussion? Senator Curran, for what purpose do you seek recognition?

SENATOR CURRAN:

Question of the sponsor, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

She indicates she will yield.

SENATOR CURRAN:

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

Thank you, Mr. President. Senator Gillespie, thank you for your work on this bill. This is a large omnibus bill on ethics which has been years in the making. So, I -- I want to commend you for -- for your work on this. I realize the hour is late, but I do believe that I share Senator Gillespie's belief that this is a significant piece of legislation, as there has been issues that have come to attention over the years, that this bill takes steps to address. Senator, I -- I -- I want to pick up on your closing point that we're not done. That this is a step, this is a good step forward, but there is more work to do and -- and -and I and my colleagues on this side of the aisle look forward to working with you and your colleagues going forward. I wanted to just highlight and not step on your, you know, your review of the bill for the General Assembly with talk about a few select parts of -- of the bill. And, first and foremost, the one I wanted to turn to was, I believe this bill probably the -- the Section that is most complete, is the improved -- the -- the drastic improvements on the statement of economic interest. particular, I would draw your attention to page 20 of the bill, in the portion, I'm sorry not 20, page 12 of the bill, and the portion talking about debt. And now, where we had a gaping hole in not reporting debts - legislators, elected officials not reporting outstanding debts, outside of normal debts, you know, mortgages. My reading of this is any debt over ten thousand dollars that's not in the normal course of a financial institution is going to be required as a -- as a report on the statement of economic interest. Would I be correct?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Gillespie.

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

#### SENATOR GILLESPIE:

That is correct, Senator.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Curran.

## SENATOR CURRAN:

Senator, we also talked about the -- you -- you mentioned the expansion of the fundraising prohibition. When we talk about, you know, we know in this Chamber we take votes that meaningfully impact constituents in our district. And, we take that very seriously, that's a sacred oath. However, the appearance of fundraising on one day, and then the next day in here taking votes. This bill goes far in addressing that. Now, previously this Body had banned fundraising in Sangamon County on Session Days. Can you just tell me - lay out the expansion because this bill expands that guite a bit.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Gillespie.

## SENATOR GILLESPIE:

Yes, Senator. And that -- that expansion came as a result of discussions we had in committee, as you recall. It now bans fundraisers during a legislative Session Day, during the day before and after, statewide, day before. Sorry, statewide, not just in Sangamon County.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Curran.

#### SENATOR CURRAN:

Right. I know that was a big ask of -- of -- of our side in -- in going statewide and -- and -- and - and I want to thank you in with working with me on accomplishing that. The next

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

Section I wanted to turn to was page 38, you touched on this, the revolving door. I think this is an important -- this is important to understand. This is -- we were seeking a one-year ban for, you know, a one-year revolving door statute. However, right now the status quo is nothing. And -- and this does represent a step forward, but I -- I believe that this is the Section that we talked about drafting, quite a bit, because we didn't want anything to be misconstrued. So, my understanding of this is, this is a prohibition on a legislator becoming a -- acting in any manner that requires you to register on the Lobbyist Registration Act, for the General Assembly you are sworn into - the two-year General Assembly, with a cap of no more than six months. Would that be a correct way to look at that Section?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Gillespie.

SENATOR GILLESPIE:

Yes, Senator, it is.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Curran.

### SENATOR CURRAN:

Thank you, Mr. President. Onto the legislative Inspector General and this was a portion that required much discussion and -- and this is on page 63. The Legislative Inspector General, previously, in order to open an investigation had to seek -- had to notify the Legislative Ethics Commission. This legislation now permits the Legislative Inspector General to begin an investigation without any notification of that Legislative Inspector -- Legislative Ethics Commission. Is that correct? PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

200

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

Senator Gillespie.

### SENATOR GILLESPIE:

Well, it still does require notification, but it does not require approval. So, the IG may initiate an investigation simply by notifying the commission. They do not have to get any approval and they can precede.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Curran.

## SENATOR CURRAN:

Thank you, Mr. President. Now, this is a Section, that at least on my side, and I -- we were looking for more, particularly on the issue of a subpoena and the authority to issue subpoenas. I -- I do understand from our conversations that we are going to continue to discuss that concept and actually broadening the independence of that office. But -- but I do want commend you that this is a step forward in -- in that process. So, finally Senator, I -- I think, you know, possibly the most significant portion, and I know everybody gave that to the -- statement of economic interest, but the reality is, as we see most particularly in Washington, D.C., the -- the arise and proliferation of consultants, and the role consultants play in and around the acts of the legislature, without any sort of regulation. And, I want to commend you because this was your proposal on the topic and -and I -- and I really think you do -- did a good job. This is starting on page 94, could you just -- you -- you walk me through - you define the consultant as any -- any person or entity who, for compensation provides advisory services including, but not limited to, rendering opinions on or developing strategies for lobbying or influencing to a lobbyist or lobbying entity for the

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

ultimate purpose of influencing any executive, legislative, or administrative action.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator.

## SENATOR CURRAN:

Sorry, I -- I was getting to the question, Mr. President, my apologies. A consultant under this, there is a trigger if I'm to read it correctly, where they must register under the Act and be -- or be disclosed by the lobbying entity as being retained.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Gillespie.

### SENATOR GILLESPIE:

That is correct. The consultant -- the use of a consultant would need to be disclosed by the lobbying entity. And, this in particular, is the area where we saw some of the issues in the ComEd scandal, and it was very important to close it, and I appreciate working with you to get that done.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Curran.

### SENATOR CURRAN:

Finally, Mr. President, to the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

To the bill.

## SENATOR CURRAN:

You know, I -- I believe that this represents - this bill represents a good step forward on a very complicated topic that is very encompassing and broad. We -- we do have other proposals on the table -- I -- I know you made a commitment, especially on the topic regarding the Attorney General and expansion of the statewide

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

Grand Jury, for further discussions, as well as, many of the other topics. I do believe that this is a topic that warrants further attention from this Body, further collaboration, further bipartisan collaboration, because we have further, we need to go. It is very important in restoring the trust of the public to this -- not only this institution but this State government. When there is a breach of trust with the public, the public tends to not follow direction. When we think about the pandemic we just went through, and the great divide and mistrust on some of the direction and advice coming from state government. It is areas surrounding ethics and compliance that caused a great deal of that distrust. So, I do believe that this -- this is the topic we should be continuing to work strenuously on. But I want to thank you, Senator Gillespie, in particular, thank my colleagues on the other side of the aisle, thank everyone serving on the Ethics Commission, because I do believe this product that we have before the General Assembly represents a positive step forward. And, I would encourage an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Gillespie, to close.

## SENATOR GILLESPIE:

Thank you, Mr. President, and thank you Senator Curran for your partnership in -- in getting this done. As I said before, this is a product of not only bills that were introduced this year, but bills that were introduced in previous years. And, I want to particularly thank my colleagues, Senator Morrison and Senator Bush for their work in this -- in these area over the last several years. They'll see some of their work in this bill. We agree, I think, across the entire Chamber of the need to restore trust in

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

government. I believe, I sincerely believe this bill is a great step forward in doing that, and I ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

The motion will require 36 votes to pass. The question is, shall the Senate concur with House Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 539. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Senator Ellman. SENATOR ELLMAN:

Ellman votes Aye.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Ellman votes Aye. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 59 Senators voting Yes, 0 voting No, 0 voting Present. Having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur in House Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 539, and the bill is declared passed. Mr. Secretary, Messages from the House. SECRETARY ANDERSON:

A Message from the House by Mr. Hollman, Clerk.

Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the passage of a bill of the following title, to wit:

Senate Bill 2800.

Together with the following amendments which are attached, in the adoption of which I am instructed to ask the concurrences of the Senate, to wit:

House Amendments 2 and 3 to Senate Bill 2800. Passed the House, as amended, May 31st, 2021, John W. Hollman,

Clerk of the House.

A Message from the House by Mr. Hollman, Clerk.

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the passage of a bill of the following title, to wit:

Senate Bill 2017.

Together with the following amendments which are attached, in the adoption of which I am instructed to ask the concurrences of the Senate, to wit:

House Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 2017.

Passed the House, as amended, June 1st, 2021, John W. Hollman, Clerk of the House.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Continuing on Supplemental Calendar No. 3, chuck that. Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, we're going to go back to the regular printed Calendar, page 16, House Bills on 3rd Reading. Near the bottom of that page is House Amendment -- is House Bill 3743. Senator Hastings. He indicates he wishes to proceed. Senator Hastings indicates he wishes to proceed. Mr. Secretary. Pardon me. Senator Hastings seeks leave of the Body to return House Bill 3743 to the Order of 2nd Reading. Leave is granted. On the Order of 2nd Reading is House Bill 3743. Mr. Secretary, are there any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 2, offered by Senator Hastings.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Hastings, on your amendment.

### SENATOR HASTINGS:

Thank you, Mr. President. The amendment becomes the bill and I'll explain it on 3rd Reading and ask for its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, all those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the amendment is adopted. Mr. Secretary, are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

## SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

3rd Reading. Now back on the Order of 3rd Reading is House Bill 3743. Senator Hastings indicates he wishes to proceed. Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 3743.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Hastings.

### SENATOR HASTINGS:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. House Bill 3743, as amended, is the telecom omnibus bill with the following provisions: it extends the Emergency Telephone Systems Act - two and a half years and provides that every 9-1-1 system in Illinois should provide next generation service within eighteen months of the contract being awarded, every 9-1-1 system must provide tech service by January 1st of 2023. This bill extends the Universal Telephone Services Protection Law of 1985 and the Cable and Video Competition Law of 2007 and state-issued authorizations of cable and video service franchisees for five years, from December 31st, 2021, to December 31st, 2026, for telecom and cable and to December, 2029, rather than December 31st

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

of 2024 for franchise authorization. It extends the Small Wireless Facilities Deployment Act of 20 -- December 31st, 2024, rather than June 1st, 2021, and it adds certain requirements that may be required of a wireless provider from local government, expands the Prevailing Wage Act that can cover construction projects done by a third party contracted by any public utility company and projects that exceed -- projects that exceed 15 aggregate miles of new fiber optic cable, performed by third parties. This bill has been extensively negotiated with all parties. Everyone's come to an agreement. Everyone's either neutral -- everyone is either proponents or neutral and I ask for an Aye vote, Mr. President. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 3743 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Senator Ellman. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 53 Senators voting Yes, 5 voting No, 0 voting Present. House Bill 3743, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. We will go back now to Supplemental Calendar No. 3 where Majority Leader Lightford brings Senate Bill 815. She indicates she wishes to proceed. Mr. Secretary, please read the motion.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendments 1, 3, and 4 to Senate Bill 815.

Filed by Senator Lightford.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Lightford.

SENATOR LIGHTFORD:

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

Thank you, Mr. President. Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, this amendment makes two clarifying changes adding a minority spokesperson for the Senate and a minority spokesperson of Higher Education Committee in the House. I'd be happy to answer questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Is there any discussion? Senator Barickman, for what purpose do you seek recognition?

SENATOR BARICKMAN:

To the motion.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

To the motion.

### SENATOR BARICKMAN:

Just -- this legislation was heard in committee. I think there was some concerns that were discussed. I don't believe those concerns have been addressed by this committee, or by this amendment, and unfortunately, I'm going to vote No on this. Thank you, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Is there any further discussion? Seeing none. Senator Lightford, do you wish to close?

### SENATOR LIGHTFORD:

I ask for an Aye vote, please. Thank you.

## PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

The question is, shall the Senate concur in House Amendments 1, 3, and 4 to Senate Bill 815. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Senator Ellman. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 39 Senators voting

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

Aye, 17 voting No, 0 voting Present. Having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur in House Amendments 1, 3, and 4 to Senate Bill 815, and the bill is declared passed. Continuing on Supplemental Calendar No. 3, Senator Bennett has Senate Bill 1697. He indicates he wishes to proceed. Mr. Secretary, please read the motion.

## SECRETARY ANDERSON:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 1697.

Filed by Senator Bennett.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Bennett.

### SENATOR BENNETT:

Thank you, Mr. President. The -- the amendment, itself, simply adds some GATA language for state chair local passthrough revenues which are going to go toward units of local government. The amendment exempts revenues paid over by the Comptroller to units of local government, other taxing districts pursuant to the State Revenue Sharing Act, from a local government distributive fund or LGDF for Personal Property Tax Replacement Fund. And, other than that, it's a pretty small change. It came across pretty easily through the House. And, I'd ask for an Aye vote.

## PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall -- shall the Senate concur in House Amendment 3 to Senate Bill 1697. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting's open. Have all voted who wish? Senator Ellman. SENATOR ELLMAN:

Ellman votes Yes.

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Ellman votes Yes. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 59 Senators voting in the Affirmative, 0 voting No, 0 voting Present. Having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur in House Amendment 3 to Senate Bill 1697, and the bill is declared passed. We're going to go back to the regular Calendar, on page 13. House Bill 731 -- we just verified, we're checking some paperwork, there has been a change in sponsorship to Senator Murphy. Senator Murphy seeks leave of the Body to return House Bill 731 to the Order of 2nd Reading. Leave is granted. Now on the Order of 2nd Reading is House Bill 731. Mr. Secretary, are there any Floor amendments approved for consideration? SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 2, offered by Senator Murphy.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Murphy, on your amendment.

SENATOR MURPHY:

Thank you, Mr. President. So, this -- this amendment simply becomes a new vehicle and I'd like to adopt, and explain the rest on 3rd.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none. All those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it and the amendment is adopted. Mr. Secretary, are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

3rd Reading. Now back on 3rd Reading is Senate Bills -- I'm sorry, House Bill 731. The sponsor indicates she wishes to proceed. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

## SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 731.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Murphy.

### SENATOR MURPHY:

Mr. President, Senate -- House Bill 731 simply took Senate Bill 1794, as amended by the House, and provides a new vehicle. There is absolutely no changes since we voted on this the last time, but just to remind you all, this extends the statute of limitations for utility taxes to seven years, and gives municipalities more enforcement mechanisms to receive the utility taxes owed to them from the public utility companies. It includes a thousand dollar permissive, per day fee request, should a municipality find the need to recover court costs and things of that nature. And, it stipulates that penalties are interest or may be considered costs when determining utility rates or changes -- may not be considered - excuse me - may not be considered. So, we've passed this twice with a fifty-eight to nothing. And, I would just entertain any questions but ask for an Aye vote.

## PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 731 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Senator Ellman.

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

### SENATOR ELLMAN:

Ellman votes Aye.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Ellman votes Aye. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 59 Senators voting Aye, 0 voting No, and 0 voting President {sic} (Present). House Bill 731, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Will Committee on Assignments report to the President's Anteroom? The Committee on Assignments please come to the President's Anteroom. Mr. Secretary, Committee Reports.

## SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senator Lightford, Chair of the Committee on Assignments, reports the following Legislative Measures have been assigned: Be Approved for Consideration - Floor Amendment 1 to House Bill 550, Motion to Concur with House Amendments 2 and 3 to Senate Bill 2800, Motion to Concur with House Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 2406, Motion to Concur with House Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 2017. Signed, Senator Kimberly A. Lightford, Chair.

## PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, Supplemental Calendar No. 4 is being passed out, right now. We're going to start on the bottom of that Calendar, where Senator Sims - excuse me - President Harmon brings Senate Bill 2800. Indicates he wishes to proceed. Mr. Secretary, please read the motion.

### SECRETARY ANDERSON:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendments 2 and 3 to Senate Bill 2800.

Filed by, President Harmon.

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

President Harmon.

### SENATOR HARMON:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Senate Bill 2800 is our annual operating and capital budget, as amended in the House. In a moment, I'm going to ask our very able Appropriations Chair, Senator Elgie Sims, to present the bill. But I do want to take a quick moment to thank the staff members on our side of the aisle who worked on the capital bill portion of this. It was an extortionary amount of work in a short period of time, and I've gotten incredibly excellent reports on their work. So, I want to recognize Meredith O'Brien, Dillon Santoni, and Miles Sodowski for really yeoman's work on the capital reappropriation portion of this bill. And with that Mr. President, I would ask Senator Sims to present the bill and handle any questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Sims.

## SENATOR SIMS:

Thank you, Mr. President. Mr. President, for a moment -- if I could -- if I could, for, take a few moments of personal privilege. You know, the first time I stood on this Floor, many years ago as a Senate staffer, similar to these young people in the back, under the leadership of former Senate President Emil Jones, under the leadership of former Senator John Maitland, and the late Penny Severns. I understood that the opportunity to make a difference on behalf of the people of the State of Illinois is an immense honor and privilege. But let me - so let me take a moment to thank the true heroes of the budget process, our tremendous appropriation staffs. Director Aaron Homes, Deputy

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

Director Dillion Santoni, but their amazing team who has done yeoman's work for hours upon hours to make sure that they crafted this budget. But I also would - I would be remiss if I didn't also thank the Republican staff, on the other side of the aisle, Director Hurrelbrink and his team. Our teams - our staffs do a tremendous amount of work to make us look good, but more importantly to do work on behalf of the people of the State of Illinois. So, please join me in thanking them for the work that they do for us. With that, Mr. President, I will turn to the discussion of this year's - fiscal year '22 budget. This budget reflects our priorities - it is - talks about putting us on the path towards fiscal stability, but it invests in some areas and I want - just want to do a - given the hour, I'll do a high-level review of the budget starting with education. This bill fully funds our commitment to the evidence-based funding model. It invests in higher education, including more -- a nearly a quarter of a billion dollars for community colleges, including twentyeight million dollars in additional funding for map grant - map funding, but also an additional twenty million dollars for College Illinois. In human services funding, -- Senate Bill 2800 includes 14.9 billion dollars in general revenue funding, including rate increases for Medicaid -- for Medicaid increases for minimum wage, but also annualizing other rate increases for ICF DD's and MCDD increases -- SUD -- SUD increases and -- increases in FQHC's. Budget also includes 1.1 billion dollar for the Community Care Program. Budget includes seventy -seven million dollars for the implementation of the Guide -- Guidehouse rate study, eight hundred and twenty-eight million dollars in child care services. President, this is one of the budgets -- this budget -- it turned

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

out much better than, I think, any of us could have imagined when we stood here in February, and when we listened to Governor --Governor Pritzker present the very first remote budget address to the State of Illinois. This budget is balanced. It's responsible. It puts us on the path towards fiscal responsibility, and to a brighter day for the State of Illinois. With that, Mr. President, I will answer any questions, and ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Is there any discussion? Senator Rose, for what purpose do you seek recognition?

## SENATOR ROSE:

Thank you, Mr. President. First of all, I'd like the record to reflect, it's - what is it about 2:11 a.m. on - June 1st, and I'm going to ask a parliamentary question. How many votes will this take to pass?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Thirty-six votes.

# SENATOR ROSE:

Thank you. Before I also begin, I too would like to thank our amazing staff, and it is true both sides of the aisle work very hard and not just on the budget, and I think it was absolutely appropriate that Leader Sims did that a moment ago. So, let me reflect that. I want to take one question, Leader Sims, and I'm going to confine the rest of my time to the bill itself. There appears to be some confusion, because a minute ago I heard you say that you're fully -- fully funding the rate study for developmentally disabled. Our staff has told us that they talked to GOMB and that is not fully funded. Can you clarify that before I begin my remarks because it's a critical piece of our budget?

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Sims.

## SENATOR SIMS:

Thank you, Mr. President. Senator -- Senator, I don't believe I said fully funding. I did -- I do believe I said there was additional -- there were additional funds in the budget for the Guidehouse Rate Study that puts us on the path towards making the investment toward -- towards -- towards -- getting towards the -- the funding requested - forgive me, it's a little late - for the funding that puts us on a path towards - getting towards that full implementation.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Rose.

# SENATOR ROSE:

So -- so they needed a hundred and ninety-three million to get out of the lawsuit. The Governor's introduces a hundred and twenty-two million. Where we at in this budget? Because this was only filed just a little while ago, in fact, it was filed about five minutes before the House voted on it.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Sims.

## SENATOR SIMS:

A hundred and seventy-seven million dollars, Senator.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Rose.

## SENATOR ROSE:

Thank you. To the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

To the bill.

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

### SENATOR ROSE:

Ladies and Gentlemen, this is what three thousand pages looks like. It was filed about five minutes - the final version was filed about five minutes before the House began voting on it. You know, over the course of several months, you start off and we have conversations with -- with Leader Sims and, you know, we start off saying what can - what can we all agree on? Well, I think we all agreed that Governor Pritzker was extremely short-sighted when he failed to fund K-12 fully on the front end. So, I'm glad to see that that's been included in this. I would tell you that as we rolled forward, conversations we asked time and again for a full rack up, we never got a full rack up. We asked to have meetings where we could walk down each agencies budget. We were called to a few meetings. I would say the only one that actually had a walk down was ISBE, where they actually helped us figure out a way to pay for the fully funding of K-12 out of the -- the extra surplus out of '21 to be paid in '22. I don't know if you did that or not in this or not, I'm not asking. I'm just glad you made the payment. But to be brutally honest with you, we never got the rack up. We could never begin to have an honest conversation because we never knew where the baseline spending priority was. In fact, the last walk down we had, or so-called walk down we had, was a meeting that was supposed to start at 10:00 a.m. It started at 9:00 a.m. and no one even invited us. That said, I will tell you there's a couple other things that I -- I think are good in this budget. After you called a hearing, six weeks ago - five weeks ago - I don't remember what it was, and our side of the aisle pointed out how incredibly short-sighted it was for the Governor to walk back on school children with the scholarship credit, to walk back on

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

the men and women of Illinois and the Blue Collar Jobs Act that he signed, to walk away from that promise, to walk away from local communities and Local Government Distributive Fund, to walk away from the promise that was made in the capital bill related to Local Government Distributive Fund. I'll give your side credit. You said no to the Governor. That's good. If you'd stopped there, you'd probably have a lot of support from this side of the aisle. Unfortunately, you didn't stop there. That's where the real spending began. There's over a billion dollars in democratic pork projects in this budget. A billion dollars. Leader Harris, in the House, acknowledged it earlier today. A billion dollars, said - any Republicans in that? Well, no, no. Our side -- our side represents four million people in this State. Three-thousand-page bill and there's four million people that aren't included in that billion dollars. But, it's okay. You got your new districts, you took care of your new districts, and now you got your billion dollars for your new districts. If you'd stopped at what made sense, we wouldn't be up here, right now, having this argument, but you didn't. You went further. Six hundred and sixty-six million in new taxes. Now, aside for a minute, we got the number of the devil in there, right? But, six hundred and sixty-six million dollars in new taxes. And I want to take a moment because people say oh, my goodness, these are loopholes. Well let's talk about one of those so-called loop holes. Let's talk about Do you accelerated appreciation. know what accelerated appreciation does? And we brought this up in our committee hearing -- your committee hearing you called. Accelerated appreciation funds the ability for people to buy equipment. manufactured here in this State. Equipment manufactured at John

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

Deere in Moline, in my seatmate's district. Equipment manufactured at Caterpillar in Peoria and Decatur, that Senator Turner and I represent. Equipment manufactured all over this State, but once it's purchased, do you know what that equipment does? Illinoisans to work. 'Cause when Libman Group {sic} Company in Arcola, Illinois, that I represent, buys new equipment, someone's got to be employed to work that new equipment. So, what is accelerated appreciation do, loophole? That you call a loophole. It puts Illinoisans to work from Chicago to Cairo, that's what it does. So you get six hundred and sixty-six million in job killing tax increases here, just at a time when our economy is starting to come out of COVID. Franchise tax -- franchise tax, there's another agreement the Governor made -- another agreement the Governor made, that he's walked away from. Unfortunately, you all have tried to do that, or you're going to walk with him. And for what -- for what? For pay raises for legislators, for increased spending in every single Democratic Constitutional Officer's budget. you got your billion dollars for your new maps. All you had to do was stop at what made sense. Folks, this budget is an unparalleled spending spree of epic proportions. When is enough, enough? When is enough, enough? We got another crisis on the horizon. You talk about jobs, the Unemployment Trust Fund, okay, what is that? Nobody knows what that is. All the TV cameras up here, Unemployment Trust Fund, no one knows. You know what it is? It's the thing that kept everybody going during COVID. It's the thing that put food on kid's tables. Because when you lost your job and got laid off, you went to the IDES office, if you could actually get anyone to talk to you. I'm sorry, the office is closed. You had to call, and you called twenty-five times that it -- maybe

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

they called back, maybe they didn't call back. There's at least a five-billion-dollar deficit at IDES Trust Fund. That's what pays for unemployment, okay? This budget, you know what it does? A hundred million dollars -- a hundred million dollars. At least five-billion-dollar deficit, and that's only going to get worse. And this budget allocates a hundred million. Do you know who makes up that difference? I know, your side of the aisle say the employer, the businessman will eat that difference. Wrong. You know who picks that up? The employee doesn't get rehired, that's who picks it up. The employee that gets laid off. That's who eats that sandwich. The small restaurant owner that has to close their doors, that's who picks that up. And for what? A billion dollars in Democratic pork? Pay raises for legislators? You said this reflects your priorities. It doesn't reflect ours. I would ask everyone to vote No. Thank you.

# PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none. Senator Sims, to close.

## SENATOR SIMS:

Thank you, Mr. President. I'm certainly glad that my good friend on the other side of the aisle talked about some of that spending. Because you know what this budget also does? It repays the monies that we borrowed from the federal government to put our State back on the path towards fiscal stability. You want to talk about some of those items where they reflect our priorities. There is funding to deal with autism in this budget. There's funding to deal with youth employment in this budget. There's funding to deal with Teen Reach for at risk youth in this budget. There's funding to deal with addiction and -- addiction treatment centers

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

for non-Medicaid services in this budget. Senator, you want to talk about our priorities, absolutely. This budget absolutely funds our priorities, because we are fighting for individuals who cannot fight for themselves. So this budget, it absolutely invests in individuals and young -- and young people and the -- and the working men and women of this great State, because that is what our priorities should be. Not the -- not big businesses who are -- who are more profitable than they have ever been. But it is about taking care of people. The twelve million people of the State of Illinois, that is who this budget takes care of. So, I -- if you're going to vote No, Senator, be my guest. But when that -- when as -- as we continue to take care of people, that is what this side of the aisle will continue to do. Your side of the aisle can continue to obstruct, deny and deflect, but we will continue to do the work on behalf of the people of the State of Illinois. I ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

The question is, shall the Senate concur in House Amendments 2 and 3 to Senate Bill 2800. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Senator Ellman.

SENATOR ELLMAN:

Ellman votes Aye.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Ellman votes Aye. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 37 Senators voting in the affirmative, 21 voting No, 0 voting Present. Having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur in House Amendments 2 and 3 to Senate Bill 2800, and

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

the bill is declared passed. Ladies and Gentlemen, staying on Supplemental Calendar No. 4, we're going to go to the top of that Calendar. Senator Harmon has Senate Bill 2017. He wishes -- he indicates he wishes to proceed. Mr. Secretary, please read the motion.

## SECRETARY ANDERSON:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 2017.

Filed by President Harmon.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

President Harmon.

## SENATOR HARMON:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Senate Bill 2017 is the Budget Implementation Act. I'd ask if Senator Sims would present the motion and answer any questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Sims.

## SENATOR SIMS:

Thank you, Mr. President. The -- Senate Bill 2017 is the budget implementation, a substantive bill that corresponds to the spending plan that we just passed. Several of the highlights are their transfers with -- within specific funds. For instance, makes a one-time transfer from the State Police -- State Police Services Fund to the State Police Operations Assistance Fund. Discontinue -- it makes some transfer -- make transfers between the DoIT Special Projects Fund, makes a onetime transfer into the Governor's Administrative Fund, there are other -- there are corresponding changes in revenues and pensions, finance, and regulatory changes. Mr. President, it also authorizes the spending pursuant to federal

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

guidelines for the American Rescue Plan Funding. So with that, Mr. President, I'll answer any questions and ask for a favorable roll call.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Is there any discussion? Leader McConchie, for what purpose do you seek recognition?

SENATOR McCONCHIE:

To the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

To the bill.

SENATOR McCONCHIE:

Thank you, Mr. President. Thank you, Senator Sims for bringing this forward. Given the hour is late, I'll -- I'll be brief and -- and pretty high level in this. We've just had a discussion about the budget. The budget is three thousand eightyeight pages long. The budget implementation bill, eight hundred and thirty-nine pages long. It's been public for maybe two and a half or three hours now, and that is just -- there's no way anybody can know entirely what's in it. And I think that the BIMP and the accompanying budget -- budget is illustrative of a serious problem that we have in this Body. This budget, which has been indicated by the Majority, will fund our schools and keep our government running. It was put together on a strictly partisan basis. The minority, me, my budget team, reached out multiple times in an attempt to find a way to partner in the budget process in order to ensure that the needs of the entire State are met. But it's clear from the Majority's lack of engagement that there is not even the pretense of care on what is important to three to four million Illinoisans that we represent. You have silenced their voices

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

again and again. You silenced them through the redistricting process. You silenced them by stifling debate and now tonight and then through this entire spring, you stifled them through this budget process. You know, we've heard a lot about bipartisanship at points and time that seemed convenient. For example, on ethics, there's been a -- significant talk about working together in a bipartisan basis. But on anything other than ethics, for which your party clearly needs some cover, it's obvious that we have very different views on what bipartisanship means. It seems that the Majority's version of bipartisanship is the Majority doing whatever it wants, and the Minority choosing whether or not we're going to go along with that. If you're not really interested in an exchange of ideas, and then votes on the merit of those ideas, then it appears we do not have a well-functioning democracy here in this State. Now, that manner of operating is the prerogative of the Majority, and it may be useful in an attempt to guarantee power, but I can promise you it is not beneficial to the health and welfare of the people from all across this State. Now, there are many in this room who will go back to their districts and will brag about inclusion, but I can tell you from experience that this year has been about exclusion, exclusion at every point along the way. And by that exclusiveness means that the Majority alone, is going to be responsible for the way in which these policies are implemented and their success or failure. That is a failure of the democracy in this Body and in this State. And I urge a No vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Seeing no further discussion, Senator Sims, to close.

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

Thank you, Mr. President. Let me, for one moment, speak to one -- one of -- one of the comments that was just made. During this process we had -- we have a number of subcommittees as part of the appropriations process to divide up the ability to have in depth conversations about the individual budgets that agencies have. There were some subcommittees that met multiple times on individual agency budgets. There were some committees who met one -- only -- only -- only met once. We also had the opportunity for -- for subcommittees to go back and do additional reviews and line -- and line -- and having line-item discussions about what the budget includes. This process was inclusive. This process allowed for Members to have these discussions over thirty hours -- thirty meetings of this Senate -- Senate Appropriation Committees Subcommittees. Now, if we're going to talk about inclusion, the ability to be inclusive is a two-way street. You cannot only request or demand inclusion but put up roadblocks to the same. So if we're going to work together, let's work together. But don't only come to the table and say No. When you come to the table participate. When you come to the table have ideas. When you come to the table allow -- allow for an open discussion and an open dialogue about what we are trying to accomplish. If you want to be partners, we will be your partners. If you want to obstruct, we will govern without you. We need you, we want you as our partners, but you cannot only obstruct. So with that Mr. President, I ask for an Aye vote.

# PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

The question is, shall the Senate concur in House Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 2017. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish?

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

Senator Ellman.

SENATOR ELLMAN:

Ellman votes Yes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Ellman votes Yes. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 38 Senators voting Yes, 19 voting No, 0 voting Present. Having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur in House Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 2017, and the bill is declared passed. Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, we're going to go back to your regular printed Calendar, page 13, at the top of that page. Senator Crowe brings House Bill 550. She indicates she wishes to proceed. Senator Crowe seeks leave of the Body to return House Bill 550 to the Order of 2nd Reading. Leave is granted. Now on the Order of 2nd Reading is House Bill 550. Mr. Secretary, are there any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

# SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 1, offered by Senator Crowe.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Crowe, on your amendment.

## SENATOR CROWE:

Thank you, Mr. President. I ask that the amendment be adopted. I'll be happy to explain on 3rd.

## PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Is there any discussion? All those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the amendment is adopted. Mr. Secretary, are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

## SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

3rd Reading. Now back on the Order of 3rd Reading is House Bill 550. Senator, indicates she wishes to proceed. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 550.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Crowe.

# SENATOR CROWE:

Thank you, Mr. President. House Bill 550, as amended, is a prohibition on COLAs for legislators for Fiscal Year of 2022. As we heard from the other side a moment ago, we are at a state where we are rebounding from a pandemic. Our small businesses are struggling. Our local governments are working to restore stability in our community and sacrifices have been made by many. I ask everyone in this room to join me to do our part and to prohibit COLAs for legislators. I ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Is there any discussion? Senator Rose, for what purpose do you seek recognition?

SENATOR ROSE:

To the bill, if I may?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

To the bill.

SENATOR ROSE:

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

Ladies and Gentlemen, I think everyone's going to join Senator Crowe in voting for this. Why wouldn't we? I just hope this doesn't turn out to be the old Springfield two-step, where this passes to the House, never to be heard from again. House passes one to us, never to be heard from again. We'll see what happens. Only time will tell. But hope that's not what this is.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Seeing no further discussion, Senator Crowe, do you wish to close? I'm sorry, we had a late light. Senator Plummer, for what purpose do you seek recognition?

SENATOR PLUMMER:

Question of the sponsor, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

She indicates she will yield.

SENATOR PLUMMER:

Senator, do you have a commitment from any Members of the House that they will pick this up and pass this through the House? PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Crowe.

# SENATOR CROWE:

Thank you, for the question, Senator Plummer. This was a very last-minute bill filed. I do not have a commitment. My only hope is that they will be fiscally responsible, as we do the right thing here, they will do the right thing in the House. And then I hope that it reaches the Governor and he can be fiscally responsible.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Plummer.

SENATOR PLUMMER:

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

So in, well I guess it's not Memorial Day anymore, but in honor of Memorial Day, Major Tyner, who I spent a lot of time with when I was in the Army ROTC, used to always say, hope is not a course of action. And, back to Senator Rose's comments, we see this every year. I believe last year the -- we did not appropriate for -- for the pay increase and we told everybody, that therefore there wouldn't be a pay increase. I always like to point out that different entities have different types of books and records. There's a balance sheet, there's an income statement, and so while cash may not be going out the door for this pay increase due to these political maneuvers. Is the State not accruing a liability on its balance sheet for a pay increase?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Crowe.

### SENATOR CROWE:

I apologize. I didn't understand the question.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Plummer.

## SENATOR PLUMMER:

So while cash may not be going out the door, is there not a liability accruing on the balance sheet of the State of Illinois for these pay raises?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Crowe.

## SENATOR CROWE:

I'm -- I'm still not understanding the question, Senator Plummer, but I am asking that we reject the pay raise.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Plummer.

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

### SENATOR PLUMMER:

Yea, to -- to -- to the bill, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

To the bill.

# SENATOR PLUMMER:

So -- I -- I think this -- this back and forth summarizes the political theater in Springfield that is what disappoints and disgusts so many people in Illinois. Everyone knows what's happening here. It's very simple to ensure the legislators do not get a pay increase. But instead of going through the simple procedures we could implement to make sure we don't get a pay increase, we pass -- we pass a budget, we pass a BIMP that ensures at some point everyone in this Chamber gets a pay increase, but then we pretend to the people of Illinois we're not getting a pay increase. As Senator Rose said, I'm sure everyone in the Chamber will vote for this because, I mean, why wouldn't you? The optics are what they are, but at the end of the day it's -- it's very shameful that we treat the people of Illinois with this sort of disregard.

# PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Seeing no further discussion. Senator Crowe, do you wish to close?

## SENATOR CROWE:

Thank you, Mr. President. Regardless of the remarks that were just made, I hope that you all take the bill seriously, be fiscally responsible and I ask for an Aye vote.

# PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

The question is, shall House Bill 550 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

voted who wish? Senator Ellman.

SENATOR ELLMAN:

Ellman votes Yes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Ellman votes Yes. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 54 Senators voting Yes, 0 voting No, 0 voting Present. Having received the required constitutional majority, House Bill 550, is declared passed. Right back to Supplemental Calendar No. 4, in the middle of that Calendar, Senator Belt brings Senate Bill 2406. He indicates he wishes to proceed. Mr. Secretary, please read the motion.

# SECRETARY ANDERSON:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 2406.

Filed by Senator Belt.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Belt.

## SENATOR BELT:

Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I move to concur with -- I'm sorry, Mr. President, I move to concur with House Floor Amendment No. 2. As amended, the House -- as amended by the House, Senate Bill 2406 created -- creates a new judicial circuit in our State's circuit court system by making St. Clair County its own, the 20th, and a new circuit comprised of Randolph, Monroe, Washington, and Prairie Counties, as the 24th circuit. These changes go into effect on December 5th, 2022. The measure further provides for the division of subcircuits in the 19th Circuit, and for filing -- filling vacancies. Mr. President, I know of no opposition and I ask for

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

Aye votes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Is there any discussion? Senator Barickman, for what purpose do you seek recognition?

SENATOR BARICKMAN:

Question of the sponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

He indicates he'll yield.

SENATOR BARICKMAN:

Senator, what is the impetus behind this bill? Why did you bring this to the Body?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Belt.

SENATOR BELT:

Senator, St. Clair County has two-hundred and sixty-two thousand people, sixty-four percent Caucasian, thirty percent African American. Currently, there's one African American circuit court judge. And so, this is an attempt to create a more diverse judicial bench.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Barickman.

SENATOR BARICKMAN:

What happens to those judges who've been elected from the existing circuit that as of the, evidently, the effective date of this bill will no longer -- whose circuit will no longer exist?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Belt.

SENATOR BELT:

An individual seeking election -- an individual seeking

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

election to one of the five judgeships at large or a judge seeking retention to one of the five judgeships at large at the 2022 general election, shall seek election or retention solely within the boundaries of St. Clair County.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Barickman.

## SENATOR BARICKMAN:

Okay, that's -- that wasn't my question, though. My question is for those existing circuit court judges who represent St. Clair County today, what happens to them.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Belt.

# SENATOR BELT:

There's a constitutional provision in the bill. They're still allowed to serve.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Barickman.

# SENATOR BARICKMAN:

You'll have to me -- have -- walk me through that constitutional provision that's in this legislation.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Belt.

## SENATOR BELT:

Senator, judges may seek retention in either district or to keep the law constitutional. It will not come into play here because all five current, at large judges are located in St. Clair County.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Barickman.

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

### SENATOR BARICKMAN:

When will the newly --- so there's an -- there's a -- trying to understand this Senator Belt, but there's either a newly created circuit outside of St. Clair County or the -- or the existing circuit remains outside of St. Clair County. It's one of those. Which one is it?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Belt.

### SENATOR BELT:

Will be a new circuit, the 24th, that will start December 5th of 2022.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Barickman.

# SENATOR BARICKMAN:

And on that date, what judges will exist for that circuit?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Belt.

# SENATOR BELT:

There -- Senator, there will be an election for those judges.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Barickman. I'm sorry, Senator Belt didn't complete his answer. Senator Belt.

## SENATOR BELT:

For the vacancies.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Barickman.

# SENATOR BARICKMAN:

How do you get around the constitutional provision that doesn't allow one to change the term of office for elected

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

officials including judge's midterm?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Belt.

SENATOR BELT:

We're not.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Barickman.

SENATOR BARICKMAN:

So, every judge that exists in the existing circuit, you're telling me their term expires by the December 5th, '22 date?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Belt.

SENATOR BELT:

No, they may seek re-election or be retained.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Barickman.

SENATOR BARICKMAN:

To -- to the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

To the bill.

SENATOR BARICKMAN:

Look, I -- I think -- there's a lot that can be said for any bill that has not had public scrutiny and arrives on the Floor of the Illinois Senate at nearly 3:00 a.m. on the day after the final day of our Session Calendar. This is a really dangerous piece of legislation. It deserves a public hearing and some transparency. Things again, that you all say that you're for. We talked about this earlier this week. Courts need to be free from politics. Courts need to be fair and impartial. The court system ought not

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

be a political mechanism for this Body. Now, if the right answer is to create a new circuit court, let's do it the old fashion way. Put it through a legislative process, let's get some public input, let's get stakeholder input, and let's make sure we do the right thing. But doing something like this at nearly three in the morning, I think it's very dangerous. May or may not be constitutional. It certainly seems like a tremendous detriment to the people who -- of the area of this circuit, who rely on this court to be free of politics. I'd urge a No vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Is there any further discussion? Leader McConchie.

SENATOR McCONCHIE:

Question of the sponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

He indicates he will yield.

## SENATOR McCONCHIE:

Thank you, Mr. -- Mr. President. Senator, I -- this is the first that I have seen that there is including changes in Lake County where I live and, so, can you comment on why what's -- what's going on here? Why it is that Lake County has been brought in and what level of kind of public debate and scrutiny that we've had on this portion of the bill?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Belt.

# SENATOR BELT:

Leader -- Leader, to your question. Similar changes were made to circuit courts in -- courts in 2006, then again in 2012 in McHenry, Lake, and Kane counties, respectively. So this is nothing new, we have precedent for what we're doing.

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Leader McConchie.

## SENATOR McCONCHIE:

Thank you, Senator, but that wasn't my question. My question was about why are we doing this in Lake County now. And what level of debate and scrutiny have we had over the changes that are being proposed here?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Belt.

## SENATOR BELT:

Leader, I -- I don't know where you're getting Lake County from. I see Randolph, Monroe, Washington and Prairie Counties that will become the 24th circuit but -- nothing relative to Lake, subcircuit, okay.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Leader McConchie.

## SENATOR McCONCHIE:

So -- so forgive me, this is just coming across. So I'm reading that in House Amendment 1 it says that we're dividing the 19th circuit into ten subcircuits. Is that not the case? I don't have immediate access to the underlying bill here.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Belt.

## SENATOR BELT:

Leader, we're on House Amendment No. 2 which deletes all and becomes the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Leader McConchie.

## SENATOR McCONCHIE:

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Seeing no further discussion, Senator Belt, do you wish to close?

SENATOR BELT:

Thank you, Mr. President. I urge all Aye votes. Thank you. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

The question is, shall the Senate concur in House Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 2406. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all -- have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Senator Ellman. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? On that question there are -- take the record. On that question, there are 40 Senators voting Yes, 17 voting No. Having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur in House Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 2406, and the bill is declared passed. Senator Barickman, for what purpose do you seek recognition?

SENATOR BARICKMAN:

An inquiry of the Chair.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

State your inquiry.

SENATOR BARICKMAN:

With all due respect to the parliamentary procedure, which I think was fine. I think the concern here is that the sponsor of the previous bill clearly stated that House Amendment 1 was not a subject of the bill. Mr. President, what you called for -- for a vote included both House Amendment 1 and House Amendment 2. Leader McConchie clearly addressed this issue on the Floor, and stopped the debate based on the response of the sponsor, that House

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

Amendment 1 was not a subject of debate. So, I think it would be proper to reopen that roll, clear up the issue, and then take a vote. I think the proper motion would be a motion to reconsider. But I would urge the -- -- the Chair, Mr. President, to do what's right in this instance just so we could clear the record.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Barickman, House Amendment 1 shelled the bill. There was no substance to House Amendment 1. The substance of the bill was in House Amendment 2.

# SENATOR BARICKMAN:

But the subject of the debate was about Lake County and the response of the sponsor was that Lake County was not impacted by this legislation because it was only included in Amendment 1. Yet, what we see is that in Amendment 2 Lake County is, in fact, included.

# PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

I believe the Senator corrected the record based on the Leader's question and said Lake County -- a Lake County subcircuit was included. Senator Rose, for what purpose do you seek recognition?

## SENATOR ROSE:

I think we need to verify the roll call, please.

## PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Mr. Secretary, please ring the bell. Senator Rose requests for a verification. Will all Members please be in their seats? Will the Secretary, please read the affirmative votes?

# SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Those Members voting in the affirmative: Aquino, Barickman, Belt, Bennett, Bush, Castro, Collins, Connor, Crowe, Cullerton,

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

Cunningham, Feigenholtz, Fine, Gillespie, Glowiak Hilton, Harris, Hastings, Holmes, Hunter, Johnson, Jones, Joyce, Koehler, Landek, Lightford, Loughran Cappel, Martwick, Morrison, Muñoz, Murphy, Pacione-Zayas, Peters, Simmons, Sims, Stadelman, Doris Turner, Villa, Villanueva, Villivalam, President Harmon.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Does Senator Rose question the presence of any Member voting in the affirmative?

SENATOR ROSE:

No. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Rose.

SENATOR ROSE:

I'll withdraw. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Belt, for what purpose do you seek recognition?

SENATOR BELT:

To move to reconsider the vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Belt, has moved to reconsider the vote. All those in favor, say Aye. Opposed, Nay. In the opinion of the Chair the Ayes have it and the vote will be reconsidered. Just -- back to Supplemental Calendar No. 4, where Senator Belt has Senate Bill 2406. He indicates he wishes to proceed. Mr. Secretary, please read the motion.

## SECRETARY ANDERSON:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 2406.

Filed by Senator Belt.

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Belt.

# SENATOR BELT:

Thank you, Mr. President. This bill -- Senate Bill 2406 looks to create a twenty-fourth subcircuit.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Is there any discussion? Leader McConchie, for what purpose do you seek recognition?

## SENATOR McCONCHIE:

Question of the sponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

He indicates he will yield.

# SENATOR McCONCHIE:

Thank you, Mr. President. Thank you, Senator, for doing that so we can reengage in this debate. So -- does this effect the 19th circuit in Lake County?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Belt.

## SENATOR BELT:

The -- the 19th judicial circuit shall have additional resident judgeships as provided by Subsection A-3 to be allotted by the Supreme Court under Subsection C.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator McConchie.

## SENATOR McCONCHIE:

Thank you for that. So, is this redistricting the Lake County subcircuits?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Belt.

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

## SENATOR BELT:

Leader, it does not draw lines.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Leader McConchie.

## SENATOR McCONCHIE:

Okay, Senator, page 4, line 21 it says, let see, well starting on line 18. In 2021, the General Assembly, shall redraw the boundaries of the subcircuits and reflect the results of the 2020 federal decennial census and divide the 19th circuit into at least ten subcircuits. So, I'm not understanding what this language means if we're not redistricting or changing the circuits in Lake County.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Belt.

### SENATOR BELT:

Much like other bills that we've drawn, there will be subcircuits that we've put forth. There will be subcircuits. But it does not draw lines.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Leader McConchie.

# SENATOR McCONCHIE:

Why is Lake County in here at all? I -- I -- I mean we're making changes to the circuits. I mean this appears to be the plain reading of this language in Lake County.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Belt.

# SENATOR BELT:

Leader, I'm not for sure. I've concurred with the bill from over -- from the House and I'm carrying this for St. Clair County.

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Leader McConchie.

## SENATOR McCONCHIE:

I -- I -- I'm sorry, Senator, but the fact that if you don't know what this bill does and the language here clearly seems to indicate to me a redistricting of judicial circuits in Lake County. We have had no debate about this within this Chamber, no public input, and there seems to be a -- a question as to -- I mean -- I -- I'm -- I'm at a loss for words, frankly. And the fact is that this -- if this is the case that we're redistricting Lake County with no input whatsoever in regards to this, this is just what we talked about before is politicizing the judiciary.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Was that to the bill, Leader, or was that a question? SENATOR McCONCHIE:

I -- to...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

To the bill?

# SENATOR McCONCHIE:

To the bill. So, this is exactly the problem that we've got right here, okay? We're -- we're in the early morning on July 1st what appears to be the plain language of this text -- July -- yea, yea, June 1st, yea it feels like July 1st. The plain language of this appears to be redistricting courts and nobody in here in this room here seems to have any idea what this bill would do. And in doing that with no public input, whatsoever, is a politicization of the courts. The last thing that we should be doing is doing that with no public input, in the dark of night, when nobody, not even the sponsor knows what's going on. I urge a No vote.

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Belt, to close.

## SENATOR BELT:

Thank you, Mr. President. I urge Aye votes. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

The question is, shall the Senate concur in House Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 2406. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Senator Ellman. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 37 Senators voting Yes, 18 voting No, 0 voting Present. Having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur with House Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 2406, and the bill is declared passed. Leader McConchie, for what purpose do you seek recognition?

# SENATOR McCONCHIE:

I wish to verify the previous roll call.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Leader McConchie has requested a verification. Will Members please be in their seats? The Secretary will read the affirmative votes.

# SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Those Members voting in the affirmative: Aquino, Belt, Bennett, Castro, Collins, Connor, Crowe, Cullerton, Cunningham, Feigenholtz, Fine, Gillespie, Glowiak Hilton, Harris, Hastings, Holmes, Hunter, Johnson, Jones, Joyce, Koehler, Lightford, Loughran Cappel, Martwick, Morrison, Muñoz, Murphy, Pacione-Zayas, Peters, Simmons, Sims, Stadelman, Doris Turner, Villa, Villanueva, Villivalam, President Harmon.

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Does Leader McConchie question the presence of any Senator in the Chamber?

SENATOR McCONCHIE:

Tom Cullerton.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Cullerton is in the Chamber.

SENATOR McCONCHIE:

Thank you. Senator Bennett.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Bennett is in the Chamber. Does Leader McConchie question the presence of any other Senators who voted in the affirmative?

SENATOR McCONCHIE:

Jones.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Senator Jones is in the Chamber.

SENATOR McCONCHIE:

Thank you, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

Having received -- on a verified roll call there are 37 Yeas, 18 No's, 0 voting Present. Having received the required constitutional majority, Senate Bill 2406 is declared passed. Ladies and Gentlemen, we are prepared to adjourn. I -- quick announcement for Democratic Members, there will be an extremely brief caucus in President Harmon's Office, immediately upon adjournment. There being no further business to come before the -- Senator Rose, for what purpose do you want to prolong tonight's agony?

55th Legislative Day

5/31/2021

## SENATOR ROSE:

Well, frankly for the record, for whatever media is left. House Bill 550 hasn't left the Senate Chamber. That's to block the pay raise and the House has adjourned. I just want to note that for everybody.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CUNNINGHAM)

There being no further business to come before the Senate, the Senate stands adjourned until 11 a.m. on the 1st day of June, 2021. The Senate stands adjourned.