AN ACT in relation to criminal law.

Be it enacted by the People of the State of Illinois, represented in the General Assembly:

Section 1. Short title. This Act may be cited as the Capital Punishment Reform Study Committee Act.

Section 2. Capital Punishment Reform Study Committee.

- (a) There is created the Capital Punishment Reform Study Committee, hereinafter referred to as the Committee, consisting of 15 members appointed as follows:
 - (1) Three members appointed by the President of the Senate;
 - (2) Two members appointed by the Minority Leader of the Senate;
 - (3) Three members appointed by the Speaker of the House of Representatives;
 - (4) Two members appointed by the Minority Leader of the House of Representatives;
 - (5) One member appointed by the Attorney General;
 - (6) One member appointed by the Governor;
 - (7) One member appointed by the Cook County State's Attorney;
 - (8) One member appointed by the Office of the Cook County Public Defender;
 - (9) One member appointed by the Office of the State Appellate Defender; and
 - (10) One member appointed by the office of the State's Attorneys Appellate Prosecutor.
- (b) The Committee shall study the impact of the various reforms to the capital punishment system enacted by the 93rd General Assembly and annually report to the General Assembly on the effects of these reforms. Each report shall include:

- (1) The impact of the reforms on the issue of uniformity and proportionality in the application of the death penalty including, but not limited to, the tracking of data related to whether the reforms have eliminated the statistically significant differences in sentencing related to the geographic location of the homicide and the race of the victim found by the Governor's Commission on Capital Punishment in its report issued on April 15, 2002.
- (2) The implementation of training for police, prosecutors, defense attorneys, and judges as recommended by the Governor's Commission on Capital Punishment.
- (3) The impact of the various reforms on the quality of evidence used during capital prosecutions.
- (4) The quality of representation provided by defense counsel to defendants in capital prosecutions.
- (5) The impact of the various reforms on the costs associated with the administration of the Illinois capital punishment system.
- (c) The Committee shall hold hearings on a periodic basis to receive testimony from the public regarding the manner in which reforms have impacted the capital punishment system.
- (d) The Committee shall submit its final report to the General Assembly no later than 5 years after the effective date of this Act.
- Section 5. The Illinois Criminal Justice Information Act is amended by adding Section 7.2 as follows:

(20 ILCS 3930/7.2 new)

Sec. 7.2. Custodial Interview Pilot Program.

(a) Legislative findings and intent. The General Assembly finds that technology has made it possible to

electronically record custodial interviews of suspects during first degree murder investigations. This technology will protect law enforcement agencies against claims of abuse and coercion by suspects while providing a memorialized account of interviews at police stations. The technology will also provide a better means for courts to review confessions of suspects with direct evidence of demeanor, tone, manner, and content of statements. The General Assembly intends to create a Custodial Interview Pilot Program to establish 4 pilot programs at police stations in the State of Illinois. For each program, video and audio experts shall install equipment and train participating law enforcement agencies to electronically record custodial interviews at their respective police stations. Participating law enforcement agencies shall choose how to use the equipment in cooperation with the local State's Attorney's office. The participating law enforcement agencies may choose to electronically record interviews of suspects for offenses other than first degree murder if they adopt local protocols in cooperation with the local State's Attorney's office.

(b) Definitions. In this Section:

- (1) "Electronically record" means to memorialize by video and audio electronic equipment.
- (2) "Custodial interviews" means interviews of suspects during first degree murder investigations or other investigations established by local protocol by law enforcement authorities that take place at the police station.
- (c) Custodial Interview Pilot Program. The Authority shall, subject to appropriation, establish a Custodial Interview Pilot Program to operate 4 custodial interview pilot programs. The programs shall be established in a police station in the County of Cook and in 3 other police stations geographically distributed throughout the State. Each

participating law enforcement agency must:

- (1) Promulgate procedures for recording custodial interviews of suspects during first degree murder investigations by video and audio means.
- (2) Promulgate procedures for maintaining and storing video and audio recordings.
- (d) Each of the 4 pilot programs established by the Authority shall be in existence for a minimum of 2 years after its establishment under this Act.
- (e) Report. No later than one year after the establishment of pilot programs under this Section, the Authority must report to the General Assembly on the efficacy of the Custodial Interview Pilot Program.
- (f) The Authority shall adopt rules in cooperation with the Illinois Department of State Police to implement this Section.
- Section 6. The Illinois Police Training Act is amended by changing Section 6.1 as follows:

(50 ILCS 705/6.1)

- Sec. 6.1. Decertification of full-time and part-time police officers.
- (a) The Board must review police officer conduct and records to ensure that no police officer is certified or provided a valid waiver if that police officer has been convicted of a felony offense under the laws of this State or any other state which if committed in this State would be punishable as a felony. The Board must also ensure that no police officer is certified or provided a valid waiver if that police officer has been convicted on or after the effective date of this amendatory Act of 1999 of any misdemeanor specified in this Section or if committed in any other state would be an offense similar to Section 11-6,

- 11-9.1, 11-14, 11-17, 11-19, 12-2, 12-15, 16-1, 17-1, 17-2, 28-3, 29-1, 31-1, 31-6, 31-7, 32-4a, or 32-7 of the Criminal Code of 1961 or to Section 5 or 5.2 of the Cannabis Control Act. The Board must appoint investigators to enforce the duties conferred upon the Board by this Act.
- (b) It is the responsibility of the sheriff or the chief executive officer of every local law enforcement agency or department within this State to report to the Board any arrest or conviction of any officer for an offense identified in this Section.
- (c) It is the duty and responsibility of every full-time and part-time police officer in this State to report to the Board within 30 days, and the officer's sheriff or chief executive officer, of his or her arrest or conviction for an offense identified in this Section. Any full-time or part-time police officer who knowingly makes, submits, causes to be submitted, or files a false or untruthful report to the Board must have his or her certificate or waiver immediately decertified or revoked.
- (d) Any person, or a local or State agency, or the Board is immune from liability for submitting, disclosing, or releasing information of arrests or convictions in this Section as long as the information is submitted, disclosed, or released in good faith and without malice. The Board has qualified immunity for the release of the information.
- (e) Any full-time or part-time police officer with a certificate or waiver issued by the Board who is convicted of any offense described in this Section immediately becomes decertified or no longer has a valid waiver. The decertification and invalidity of waivers occurs as a matter of law. Failure of a convicted person to report to the Board his or her conviction as described in this Section or any continued law enforcement practice after receiving a conviction is a Class 4 felony.

- (f) The Board's investigators are peace officers and have all the powers possessed by policemen in cities and by sheriff's, provided that the investigators may exercise those powers anywhere in the State, only after contact and cooperation with the appropriate local law enforcement authorities.
- (g) The Board must request and receive information and assistance from any federal, state, or local governmental part of the authorized criminal background agency as investigation. The Department of State Police must process, retain, and additionally provide and disseminate information to the Board concerning criminal charges, arrests, convictions, and their disposition, that have been filed before, on, or after the effective date of this amendatory Act of the 91st General Assembly against a basic academy applicant, law enforcement applicant, or law enforcement officer whose fingerprint identification cards are on file or maintained by the Department of State Police. The Federal Bureau of Investigation must provide the Board any criminal history record information contained in its files pertaining to law enforcement officers or any applicant to a Board certified basic law enforcement academy as described in this Act based on fingerprint identification. The Board must make payment of fees to the Department of State Police for each fingerprint card submission in conformance with requirements of paragraph 22 of Section 55a of the Civil Administrative Code of Illinois.
- (h) A police officer who has been certified or granted a valid waiver may also be decertified or have his or her waiver revoked upon a determination by the Board that he or she, while under oath, has knowingly and willfully made false statements as to a material fact during a homicide proceeding. A determination may be made only after an investigation and hearing upon a verified complaint filed

with the Illinois Law Enforcement Training Standards Board.

No action may be taken by the Board regarding a complaint unless a majority of the members of the Board are present at the meeting at which the action is taken.

- (1) The Board shall adopt rules governing the investigation and hearing of a verified complaint to assure the police officer due process and to eliminate conflicts of interest within the Board itself.
- (2) Upon receipt of the initial verified complaint, the Board must make a finding within 30 days of receipt of the complaint as to whether sufficient evidence exists to support the complaint. The Board is empowered to investigate and dismiss the complaint if it finds, by a vote of a majority of the members present, that there is insufficient evidence to support it. Upon the initial filing, the sheriff or police chief, or other employing agency, of the accused officer may suspend, with or without pay, the accused officer pending a decision of the Board. Upon a Board finding of insufficient evidence, the police officer shall be reinstated with back pay, benefits, and seniority status as appropriate. The sheriff or police chief, or employing agency, shall take such necessary action as is ordered by the Board.
- (3) If the Board finds, by a vote of a majority of the members present, that sufficient evidence exists to support the complaint, it shall authorize a hearing before an administrative law judge within 45 days of the Board's finding, unless, based upon the complexity and extent of the allegations and charges, additional time is needed. In no event may a hearing before an administrative law judge take place later than 60 days after the Board's finding.
- (i) The Board shall have the power and authority to appoint administrative law judges on a contractual basis.

The Administrative law judges must be attorneys licensed to practice law in the State of Illinois. The Board shall also adopt rules governing the appointment of administrative law judges and the conduct of hearings consistent with the requirements of this Section. The administrative law judge shall hear all evidence and prepare a written recommendation of his or her findings to the Board. At the hearing the accused police officer shall be afforded the opportunity to:

- (1) Be represented by counsel;
- (2) Be heard in his or her own defense;
- (3) Produce evidence in his or her defense;
- (4) Request that the Board compel the attendance of witnesses and production of court records and documents.
- (j) Once a case has been set for hearing, the person who filed the verified complaint shall have the opportunity to produce evidence to support any charge against a police officer that he or she, while under oath, has knowingly and willfully made false statements as to a material fact during a homicide proceeding.
 - (1) The person who filed the verified complaint shall have the opportunity to be represented by counsel and shall produce evidence to support his or her charges;
 - (2) The person who filed the verified complaint may request the Board to compel the attendance of witnesses and production of court records and documents.
- (k) The Board shall have the power to issue subpoenas requiring the attendance and testimony of witnesses and the production of court records and documents and shall have the power to administer oaths.
- (1) The administrative law judge shall have the responsibility of receiving into evidence relevant testimony and documents, including court records, to support or disprove the allegations made by the person filing the verified complaint, and, at the close of the case, hear

arguments. If the administrative law judge finds that there is not clear and convincing evidence to support the verified complaint that the police officer has, while under oath, knowingly and willfully made false statements as to a material fact during a homicide proceeding, the administrative law judge shall make a written recommendation of dismissal to the Board. If the administrative law judge finds that there is clear and convincing evidence to support the verified complaint that the police officer has, while under oath, knowingly and willfully made false statements as to a material fact during a homicide proceeding, the administrative law judge shall make a written recommendation of decertification to the Board.

- (m) Any person, with the exception of the police officer who is the subject of the hearing, who is served by the Board with a subpoena to appear, testify or produce evidence and refuses to comply with the subpoena is guilty of a Class B misdemeanor. Any circuit court or judge, upon application by the Board, may compel compliance with a subpoena issued by the Board.
- (n) Within 15 days of receiving the recommendation, the Board shall consider the recommendation of the administrative law judge and the record of the hearing at a Board meeting. If, by a two-thirds vote of the members present at the Board meeting, the Board finds that there is clear and convincing evidence that the police officer has, while under oath, knowingly and willfully made false statements as to a material fact during a homicide proceeding, the Board shall order that the police officer be decertified as a full-time or part-time police officer. If less than two-thirds of the members present vote to decertify the police officer, the Board shall dismiss the complaint.
- (o) The provisions of the Administrative Review Law shall govern all proceedings for the judicial review of any

order rendered by the Board. The moving party shall pay the reasonable costs of preparing and certifying the record for review. If the moving party is the police officer and he or she prevails, the court may award the police officer actual costs incurred in all proceedings, including reasonable attorney fees. If the court awards the police officer the actual costs incurred in a proceeding, including reasonable attorney fees, the costs and attorney fees shall be paid, subject to appropriation, from the Illinois Law Enforcement Training Standards Board Costs and Attorney Fees Fund, a special fund that is created in the State Treasury. The Fund shall consist of moneys appropriated or transferred into the Fund for the purpose of making payments of costs and attorney fees in accordance with this subsection (o). The Illinois Law Enforcement Training Standards Board shall administer the Fund and adopt rules for the administration of the Fund and for the submission and disposition of claims for costs and attorney fees in accordance with this subsection (o).

(p) If the police officer is decertified under subsection (h), the Board shall notify the defendant who was a party to the proceeding that resulted in the police officer's decertification and his or her attorney of the Board's decision. Notification shall be by certified mail, return receipt requested, sent to the party's last known address and to the party's attorney if any.

(q) Limitation of action.

- (1) No complaint may be filed pursuant to this Section until after a verdict or other disposition is rendered in the underlying case or the underlying case is dismissed in the trial court.
- (2) A complaint pursuant to this Section may not be filed more than 2 years after the final resolution of the case. For purposes of this Section, final resolution is defined as the trial court's ruling on the State

post-conviction proceeding in the case in which it is alleged the police officer, while under oath, knowingly and willfully made false statements as to a material fact during a homicide proceeding. In the event a post-conviction petition is not filed, an action pursuant to this Section may not be commenced more than 2 years after the denial of a petition for certiorari to the United States Supreme Court, or if no petition for certiorari is filed, 2 years after the date such a petition should have been filed. In the event of an acquittal, no proceeding may be commenced pursuant to this Section more than 6 years after the date upon which judgment on the verdict of acquittal was entered.

(r) Interested parties. Only interested parties to the criminal prosecution in which the police officer allegedly, while under oath, knowingly and willfully made false statements as to a material fact during a homicide proceeding may file a verified complaint pursuant to this Section. For purposes of this Section, "interested parties" include the defendant and any police officer who has personal knowledge that the police officer who is the subject of the complaint has, while under oath, knowingly and willfully made false statements as to a material fact during a homicide proceeding.

(Source: P.A. 91-495, eff. 1-1-00.)

Section 10. The Criminal Code of 1961 is amended by changing Sections 9-1 and 14-3 as follows:

(720 ILCS 5/9-1) (from Ch. 38, par. 9-1)

Sec. 9-1. First degree Murder - Death penalties - Exceptions - Separate Hearings - Proof - Findings - Appellate procedures - Reversals.

(a) A person who kills an individual without lawful

justification commits first degree murder if, in performing the acts which cause the death:

- (1) he either intends to kill or do great bodily harm to that individual or another, or knows that such acts will cause death to that individual or another; or
- (2) he knows that such acts create a strong probability of death or great bodily harm to that individual or another; or
- (3) he is attempting or committing a forcible felony other than second degree murder.
- (b) Aggravating Factors. A defendant who at the time of the commission of the offense has attained the age of 18 or more and who has been found guilty of first degree murder may be sentenced to death if:
 - (1) the murdered individual was a peace officer or fireman killed in the course of performing his official duties, to prevent the performance of his official duties, or in retaliation for performing his official duties, and the defendant knew or should have known that the murdered individual was a peace officer or fireman; or
 - (2) the murdered individual was an employee of an institution or facility of the Department of Corrections, or any similar local correctional agency, killed in the course of performing his official duties, to prevent the performance of his official duties, or in retaliation for performing his official duties, or the murdered individual was an inmate at such institution or facility and was killed on the grounds thereof, or the murdered individual was otherwise present in such institution or facility with the knowledge and approval of the chief administrative officer thereof; or
 - (3) the defendant has been convicted of murdering two or more individuals under subsection (a) of this

Section or under any law of the United States or of any state which is substantially similar to subsection (a) of this Section regardless of whether the deaths occurred as the result of the same act or of several related or unrelated acts so long as the deaths were the result of either an intent to kill more than one person or of separate acts which the defendant knew would cause death or create a strong probability of death or great bodily harm to the murdered individual or another; or

- (4) the murdered individual was killed as a result of the hijacking of an airplane, train, ship, bus or other public conveyance; or
- (5) the defendant committed the murder pursuant to a contract, agreement or understanding by which he was to receive money or anything of value in return for committing the murder or procured another to commit the murder for money or anything of value; or
- (6) the murdered individual was killed in the course of another felony if:
 - (a) the murdered individual:
 - $\mbox{(i)} \mbox{ was actually killed by the defendant,} \\ \mbox{or} \label{eq:constraints}$
 - (ii) received physical injuries personally inflicted by the defendant substantially contemporaneously with physical injuries caused by one or more persons for whose conduct the defendant is legally accountable under Section 5-2 of this Code, and the physical injuries inflicted by either the defendant or the other person or persons for whose conduct he is legally accountable caused the death of the murdered individual; and
 - (b) in performing the acts which caused the death of the murdered individual or which resulted

in physical injuries personally inflicted by the defendant on the murdered individual under the circumstances of subdivision (ii) of subparagraph (a) of paragraph (6) of subsection (b) of this Section, the defendant acted with the intent to kill the murdered individual or with the knowledge that his acts created a strong probability of death or great bodily harm to the murdered individual or another; and

- (c) the other felony was an inherently violent crime one--of--the--following:-armed-robbery;-armed violence,-robbery,-predatory-criminal-sexual-assault of-a--child,--aggravated--criminal--sexual--assault, aggravated----kidnapping,----aggravated----vehicular hijacking,--forcible--detention,--arson,--aggravated arson,--aggravated--stalking,--burglary,-residential burglary,-home-invasion,--ealculated--criminal--drug conspiracy-as-defined-in-Section-405-of-the-Illinois Controlled -- Substances - Act -- streetgang - criminal - drug conspiracy--as--defined--in--Section--405.2--of--the Illinois-Controlled-Substances-Act, or the attempt to commit an inherently violent crime. In this subparagraph (c), "inherently violent crime" includes, but is not limited to, armed robbery, robbery, predatory criminal sexual assault of a child, aggravated criminal sexual assault, aggravated kidnapping, aggravated vehicular hijacking, aggravated arson, aggravated stalking, residential burglary, and home invasion any -- of -- the felonies-listed-in-this-subsection-(e); or
- (7) the murdered individual was under 12 years of age and the death resulted from exceptionally brutal or heinous behavior indicative of wanton cruelty; or
 - (8) the defendant committed the murder with intent

to prevent the murdered individual from testifying or participating in any criminal investigation or prosecution or giving material assistance to the State in any investigation or prosecution, either against the defendant or another; or the defendant committed the murder because the murdered individual was a witness in any prosecution or gave material assistance to the State in any investigation or prosecution, either against the defendant or another; for purposes of this paragraph (8), "participating in any criminal investigation or prosecution" is intended to include those appearing in the proceedings in any capacity such as trial judges, prosecutors, defense attorneys, investigators, witnesses, or jurors; or

- (9) the defendant, while committing an offense punishable under Sections 401, 401.1, 401.2, 405, 405.2, 407 or 407.1 or subsection (b) of Section 404 of the Illinois Controlled Substances Act, or while engaged in a conspiracy or solicitation to commit such offense, intentionally killed an individual or counseled, commanded, induced, procured or caused the intentional killing of the murdered individual; or
- (10) the defendant was incarcerated in an institution or facility of the Department of Corrections at the time of the murder, and while committing an offense punishable as a felony under Illinois law, or while engaged in a conspiracy or solicitation to commit such offense, intentionally killed an individual or counseled, commanded, induced, procured or caused the intentional killing of the murdered individual; or
- (11) the murder was committed in a cold, calculated and premeditated manner pursuant to a preconceived plan, scheme or design to take a human life by unlawful means, and the conduct of the defendant created a reasonable

expectation that the death of a human being would result therefrom; or

- medical technician ambulance, emergency medical technician ambulance, emergency medical technician intermediate, emergency medical technician paramedic, ambulance driver, or other medical assistance or first aid personnel, employed by a municipality or other governmental unit, killed in the course of performing his official duties, to prevent the performance of his official duties, or in retaliation for performing his official duties, and the defendant knew or should have known that the murdered individual was an emergency medical technician ambulance, emergency medical technician intermediate, emergency medical technician paramedic, ambulance driver, or other medical assistance or first aid personnel; or
- (13) the defendant was a principal administrator, organizer, or leader of a calculated criminal drug conspiracy consisting of a hierarchical position of authority superior to that of all other members of the conspiracy, and the defendant counseled, commanded, induced, procured, or caused the intentional killing of the murdered person; or
- (14) the murder was intentional and involved the infliction of torture. For the purpose of this Section torture means the infliction of or subjection to extreme physical pain, motivated by an intent to increase or prolong the pain, suffering or agony of the victim; or
- (15) the murder was committed as a result of the intentional discharge of a firearm by the defendant from a motor vehicle and the victim was not present within the motor vehicle; or
- (16) the murdered individual was 60 years of age or older and the death resulted from exceptionally brutal or

heinous behavior indicative of wanton cruelty; or

- and the defendant knew or should have known that the murdered individual was disabled. For purposes of this paragraph (17), "disabled person" means a person who suffers from a permanent physical or mental impairment resulting from disease, an injury, a functional disorder, or a congenital condition that renders the person incapable of adequately providing for his or her own health or personal care; or
- (18) the murder was committed by reason of any person's activity as a community policing volunteer or to prevent any person from engaging in activity as a community policing volunteer; or
- (19) the murdered individual was subject to an order of protection and the murder was committed by a person against whom the same order of protection was issued under the Illinois Domestic Violence Act of 1986; or
- (20) the murdered individual was known by the defendant to be a teacher or other person employed in any school and the teacher or other employee is upon the grounds of a school or grounds adjacent to a school, or is in any part of a building used for school purposes; or
- (21) the murder was committed by the defendant in connection with or as a result of the offense of terrorism as defined in Section 29D-30 of this Code.
- (c) Consideration of factors in Aggravation and Mitigation.

The court shall consider, or shall instruct the jury to consider any aggravating and any mitigating factors which are relevant to the imposition of the death penalty. Aggravating factors may include but need not be limited to those factors set forth in subsection (b). Mitigating factors may include

but need not be limited to the following:

- (1) the defendant has no significant history of prior criminal activity;
- (2) the murder was committed while the defendant was under the influence of extreme mental or emotional disturbance, although not such as to constitute a defense to prosecution;
- (3) the murdered individual was a participant in the defendant's homicidal conduct or consented to the homicidal act;
- (4) the defendant acted under the compulsion of threat or menace of the imminent infliction of death or great bodily harm;
- (5) the defendant was not personally present during commission of the act or acts causing death:
- (6) the defendant's background includes a history of extreme emotional or physical abuse;
- (7) the defendant suffers from a reduced mental capacity.
- (d) Separate sentencing hearing.

Where requested by the State, the court shall conduct a separate sentencing proceeding to determine the existence of factors set forth in subsection (b) and to consider any aggravating or mitigating factors as indicated in subsection (c). The proceeding shall be conducted:

- (1) before the jury that determined the defendant's guilt; or
- (2) before a jury impanelled for the purpose of the proceeding if:
 - A. the defendant was convicted upon a plea of guilty; or
 - B. the defendant was convicted after a trial before the court sitting without a jury; or
 - C. the court for good cause shown discharges

the jury that determined the defendant's guilt; or

- (3) before the court alone if the defendant waives a jury for the separate proceeding.
- (e) Evidence and Argument.

During the proceeding any information relevant to any of the factors set forth in subsection (b) may be presented by either the State or the defendant under the rules governing the admission of evidence at criminal trials. Any information relevant to any additional aggravating factors or any mitigating factors indicated in subsection (c) may be presented by the State or defendant regardless of its admissibility under the rules governing the admission of evidence at criminal trials. The State and the defendant shall be given fair opportunity to rebut any information received at the hearing.

(f) Proof.

The burden of proof of establishing the existence of any of the factors set forth in subsection (b) is on the State and shall not be satisfied unless established beyond a reasonable doubt.

(g) Procedure - Jury.

If at the separate sentencing proceeding the jury finds that none of the factors set forth in subsection (b) exists, the court shall sentence the defendant to a term of imprisonment under Chapter V of the Unified Code of Corrections. If there is a unanimous finding by the jury that one or more of the factors set forth in subsection (b) exist, the jury shall consider aggravating and mitigating factors as instructed by the court and shall determine whether the sentence of death shall be imposed. If the jury determines unanimously, after weighing the factors in aggravation and mitigation, that death is the appropriate sentence that-there-are-no-mitigating-factors-sufficient--to preclude--the--imposition--of--the--death-sentence, the court

shall sentence the defendant to death. If the court does not concur with the jury determination that death is the appropriate sentence, the court shall set forth reasons in writing including what facts or circumstances the court relied upon, along with any relevant documents, that compelled the court to non-concur with the sentence. This document and any attachments shall be part of the record for appellate review. The court shall be bound by the jury's sentencing determination.

If after weighing the factors in aggravation and mitigation, one or more jurors determines that death is not the appropriate sentence, Unless-the-jury-unanimously-finds that-there-are-no-mitigating-factors-sufficient--to--preclude the-imposition-of-the-death-sentence the court shall sentence the defendant to a term of imprisonment under Chapter V of the Unified Code of Corrections.

(h) Procedure - No Jury.

In a proceeding before the court alone, if the court finds that none of the factors found in subsection (b) exists, the court shall sentence the defendant to a term of imprisonment under Chapter V of the Unified Code of Corrections.

If the Court determines that one or more of the factors set forth in subsection (b) exists, the Court shall consider any aggravating and mitigating factors as indicated in subsection (c). If the Court determines, after weighing the factors in aggravation and mitigation, that death is the appropriate sentence that-there--are--no--mitigating--factors sufficient--to-preclude-the-imposition-of-the-death-sentence, the Court shall sentence the defendant to death.

If Unless the court finds that there--are--no--mitigating factors-sufficient-to-preclude-the-imposition-of-the-sentence of death is not the appropriate sentence, the court shall sentence the defendant to a term of imprisonment under

Chapter V of the Unified Code of Corrections.

(h-5) Decertification as a capital case.

In a case in which the defendant has been found guilty of first degree murder by a judge or jury, or a case on remand for resentencing, and the State seeks the death penalty as an appropriate sentence, on the court's own motion or the written motion of the defendant, the court may decertify the case as a death penalty case if the court finds that the only evidence supporting the defendant's conviction is the uncorroborated testimony of an informant witness, as defined in Section 115-21 of the Code of Criminal Procedure of 1963, concerning the confession or admission of the defendant or that the sole evidence against the defendant is a single eyewitness or single accomplice without any other corroborating evidence. If the court decertifies the case as a capital case under either of the grounds set forth above, the court shall issue a written finding. The State may pursue its right to appeal the decertification pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 604(a)(1). If the court does not decertify the case as a capital case, the matter shall proceed to the eligibility phase of the sentencing hearing.

(i) Appellate Procedure.

The conviction and sentence of death shall be subject to automatic review by the Supreme Court. Such review shall be in accordance with rules promulgated by the Supreme Court. The Illinois Supreme Court may overturn the death sentence, and order the imposition of imprisonment under Chapter V of the Unified Code of Corrections if the court finds that the death sentence is fundamentally unjust as applied to the particular case. If the Illinois Supreme Court finds that the death sentence is fundamentally unjust as applied to the particular case, independent of any procedural grounds for relief, the Illinois Supreme Court shall issue a written opinion explaining this finding.

(j) Disposition of reversed death sentence.

In the event that the death penalty in this Act is held to be unconstitutional by the Supreme Court of the United States or of the State of Illinois, any person convicted of first degree murder shall be sentenced by the court to a term of imprisonment under Chapter V of the Unified Code of Corrections.

In the event that any death sentence pursuant to the sentencing provisions of this Section is declared unconstitutional by the Supreme Court of the United States or of the State of Illinois, the court having jurisdiction over a person previously sentenced to death shall cause the defendant to be brought before the court, and the court shall sentence the defendant to a term of imprisonment under Chapter V of the Unified Code of Corrections.

(k) Guidelines for seeking the death penalty.

The Attorney General and State's Attorneys Association shall consult on voluntary guidelines for procedures governing whether or not to seek the death penalty. The guidelines do not have the force of law and are only advisory in nature.

(Source: P.A. 91-357, eff. 7-29-99; 91-434, eff. 1-1-00; 92-854, eff. 12-5-02.)

(720 ILCS 5/14-3) (from Ch. 38, par. 14-3)

Sec. 14-3. Exemptions. The following activities shall be exempt from the provisions of this Article:

- (a) Listening to radio, wireless and television communications of any sort where the same are publicly made;
- (b) Hearing conversation when heard by employees of any common carrier by wire incidental to the normal course of their employment in the operation, maintenance or repair of the equipment of such common carrier by wire so long as no information obtained thereby is used or divulged by the

hearer;

- (c) Any broadcast by radio, television or otherwise whether it be a broadcast or recorded for the purpose of later broadcasts of any function where the public is in attendance and the conversations are overheard incidental to the main purpose for which such broadcasts are then being made;
- (d) Recording or listening with the aid of any device to any emergency communication made in the normal course of operations by any federal, state or local law enforcement agency or institutions dealing in emergency services, including, but not limited to, hospitals, clinics, ambulance services, fire fighting agencies, any public utility, emergency repair facility, civilian defense establishment or military installation;
- (e) Recording the proceedings of any meeting required to be open by the Open Meetings Act, as amended;
- (f) Recording or listening with the aid of any device to incoming telephone calls of phone lines publicly listed or advertised as consumer "hotlines" by manufacturers or retailers of food and drug products. Such recordings must be destroyed, erased or turned over to local law enforcement authorities within 24 hours from the time of such recording and shall not be otherwise disseminated. Failure on the part of the individual or business operating any such recording or listening device to comply with the requirements of this subsection shall eliminate any civil or criminal immunity conferred upon that individual or business by the operation of this Section;
- (g) With prior notification to the State's Attorney of the county in which it is to occur, recording or listening with the aid of any device to any conversation where a law enforcement officer, or any person acting at the direction of law enforcement, is a party to the conversation and has

consented to it being intercepted or recorded under circumstances where the use of the device is necessary for the protection of the law enforcement officer or any person acting at the direction of law enforcement, in the course of investigation of a forcible felony, a felony violation of the Illinois Controlled Substances Act, a felony violation of the Cannabis Control Act, or any "streetgang related" or "gang-related" felony as those terms are defined in the Illinois Streetgang Terrorism Omnibus Prevention Act. recording or evidence derived as the result of this exemption shall be inadmissible in any proceeding, criminal, civil or administrative, except (i) where a party to the conversation suffers great bodily injury or is killed during such conversation, or (ii) when used as direct impeachment of a witness concerning matters contained in the interception or recording. The Director of the Department of State Police shall issue regulations as are necessary concerning the use of devices, retention of tape recordings, and reports regarding their use;

(g-5) With approval of the State's Attorney of the county in which it is to occur, recording or listening with the aid of any device to any conversation where a law enforcement officer, or any person acting at the direction of law enforcement, is a party to the conversation and has consented to it being intercepted or recorded in the course of an investigation of any offense defined in Article 29D of this Code. In all such cases, an application for an order approving the previous or continuing use of an eavesdropping device must be made within 48 hours of the commencement of such use. In the absence of such an order, or upon its denial, any continuing use shall immediately terminate. The Director of State Police shall issue rules as are necessary concerning the use of devices, retention of tape recordings, and reports regarding their use.

Any recording or evidence obtained or derived in the course of an investigation of any offense defined in Article 29D of this Code shall, upon motion of the State's Attorney or Attorney General prosecuting any violation of Article 29D, be reviewed in camera with notice to all parties present by the court presiding over the criminal case, and, if ruled by the court to be relevant and otherwise admissible, it shall be admissible at the trial of the criminal case.

This subsection (g-5) is inoperative on and after January 1, 2005. No conversations recorded or monitored pursuant to this subsection (g-5) shall be inadmissable in a court of law by virtue of the repeal of this subsection (g-5) on January 1, 2005i.

- (h) Recordings made simultaneously with a video recording of an oral conversation between a peace officer, who has identified his or her office, and a person stopped for an investigation of an offense under the Illinois Vehicle Code;
- (i) Recording of a conversation made by or at the request of a person, not a law enforcement officer or agent of a law enforcement officer, who is a party to the conversation, under reasonable suspicion that another party to the conversation is committing, is about to commit, or has committed a criminal offense against the person or a member of his or her immediate household, and there is reason to believe that evidence of the criminal offense may be obtained by the recording; and
- (j) The use of a telephone monitoring device by either (1) a corporation or other business entity engaged in marketing or opinion research or (2) a corporation or other business entity engaged in telephone solicitation, as defined in this subsection, to record or listen to oral telephone solicitation conversations or marketing or opinion research conversations by an employee of the corporation or other

business entity when:

- (i) the monitoring is used for the purpose of service quality control of marketing or opinion research or telephone solicitation, the education or training of employees or contractors engaged in marketing or opinion research or telephone solicitation, or internal research related to marketing or opinion research or telephone solicitation; and
- (ii) the monitoring is used with the consent of at least one person who is an active party to the marketing or opinion research conversation or telephone solicitation conversation being monitored.

No communication or conversation or any part, portion, or aspect of the communication or conversation made, acquired, or obtained, directly or indirectly, under this exemption (j), may be, directly or indirectly, furnished to any law enforcement officer, agency, or official for any purpose or used in any inquiry or investigation, or used, directly or indirectly, in any administrative, judicial, or other proceeding, or divulged to any third party.

When recording or listening authorized by this subsection (j) on telephone lines used for marketing or opinion research or telephone solicitation purposes results in recording or listening to a conversation that does not relate to marketing or opinion research or telephone solicitation; the person recording or listening shall, immediately upon determining that the conversation does not relate to marketing or opinion research or telephone solicitation, terminate the recording or listening and destroy any such recording as soon as is practicable.

Business entities that use a telephone monitoring or telephone recording system pursuant to this exemption (j) shall provide current and prospective employees with notice that the monitoring or recordings may occur during the course of their employment. The notice shall include prominent signage notification within the workplace.

Business entities that use a telephone monitoring or telephone recording system pursuant to this exemption (j) shall provide their employees or agents with access to personal-only telephone lines which may be pay telephones, that are not subject to telephone monitoring or telephone recording.

For the purposes of this subsection (j), "telephone solicitation" means a communication through the use of a telephone by live operators:

- (i) soliciting the sale of goods or services;
- (ii) receiving orders for the sale of goods or services;
 - (iii) assisting in the use of goods or services; or
- (iv) engaging in the solicitation, administration, or collection of bank or retail credit accounts.

For the purposes of this subsection (j), "marketing or opinion research" means a marketing or opinion research interview conducted by a live telephone interviewer engaged by a corporation or other business entity whose principal business is the design, conduct, and analysis of polls and surveys measuring the opinions, attitudes, and responses of respondents toward products and services, or social or political issues, or both; and

(k) Recording the interview or statement of any person when the person knows that the interview is being conducted by a law enforcement officer or prosecutor and the interview takes place at a police station that is currently participating in the Custodial Interview Pilot Program established under the Illinois Criminal Justice Information Act.

(Source: P.A. 91-357, eff. 7-29-99; 92-854, eff. 12-5-02.)

Section 15. The Code of Criminal Procedure of 1963 is amended by changing Sections 114-13, 116-3, 122-1, and 122-2.1 and adding Article 107A and Sections 114-15, 115-21, 115-22, 116-5, and 122-2.2 as follows:

(725 ILCS 5/107A Art. heading new)

ARTICLE 107A. LINEUP AND PHOTO SPREAD PROCEDURE

(725 ILCS 5/107A-5 new)

Sec. 107A-5. Lineup and photo spread procedure.

- (a) All lineups shall be photographed or otherwise recorded. These photographs shall be disclosed to the accused and his or her defense counsel during discovery proceedings as provided in Illinois Supreme Court Rules. All photographs of suspects shown to an eyewitness during the photo spread shall be disclosed to the accused and his or her defense counsel during discovery proceedings as provided in Illinois Supreme Court Rules.
- (b) Each eyewitness who views a lineup or photo spread shall sign a form containing the following information:
 - (1) The suspect might not be in the lineup or photo spread and the eyewitness is not obligated to make an identification.
 - (2) The eyewitness should not assume that the person administering the lineup or photo spread knows which person is the suspect in the case.
- (c) Suspects in a lineup or photo spread should not appear to be substantially different from "fillers" or "distracters" in the lineup or photo spread, based on the eyewitness' previous description of the perpetrator, or based on other factors that would draw attention to the suspect.

(725 ILCS 5/107A-10 new)

Sec. 107A-10. Pilot study on sequential lineup

procedures.

- (a) Legislative intent. Because the goal of a police investigation is to apprehend the person or persons responsible for committing a crime, it is useful to conduct a pilot study in the field on the effectiveness of the sequential method for lineup procedures.
- (b) Establishment of pilot jurisdictions. The Department of State Police shall select 3 police departments to participate in a one-year pilot study on the effectiveness of the sequential lineup method for photo and live lineup procedures. One such pilot jurisdiction shall be a police district within a police department in a municipality whose population is at least 500,000 residents; one such pilot jurisdiction shall be a police department in a municipality whose population is at least 100,000 but less than 500,000; and one such pilot jurisdiction shall be a police department in a municipality whose population is less than 100,000. All such pilot jurisdictions shall be selected no later than January 1, 2004.
- (c) Sequential lineup procedures in pilot jurisdictions. For any offense alleged to have been committed in a pilot jurisdiction on or after January 1, 2004, selected lineup identification procedure shall be presented in the sequential method in which a witness is shown lineup participants one at a time, using the following procedures:
 - (1) The witness shall be requested to state whether the individual shown is the perpetrator of the crime prior to viewing the next lineup participant. Only one member of the lineup shall be a suspect and the remainder shall be "fillers" who are not suspects but fit the general description of the offender without the suspect unduly standing out;
 - (2) The lineup administrator shall be someone who is not aware of which member of the lineup is the suspect

in the case; and

- (3) Prior to presenting the lineup using the sequential method the lineup administrator shall:
 - (A) Inform the witness that the perpetrator may or may not be among those shown, and the witness should not feel compelled to make an identification;
 - (B) Inform the witness that he or she will view individuals one at a time and will be requested to state whether the individual shown is the perpetrator of the crime, prior to viewing the next lineup participant; and
 - (C) Ask the witness to state in his or her own words how sure he or she is that the person identified is the actual offender. During the statement, or as soon thereafter as reasonably possible, the witness's actual words shall be documented.
- (d) Application. This Section applies to selected live lineups that are composed and presented at a police station and to selected photo lineups regardless of where presented; provided that this Section does not apply in police investigations in which a spontaneous identification is possible and no lineup procedure is being used. This Section does not affect the right to counsel afforded by the U.S. or Illinois Constitutions or State law at any stage of a criminal proceeding.
- (e) Selection of lineups. The participating jurisdictions shall develop a protocol for the selection and administration of lineups which is practical, designed to elicit information for comparative evaluation purposes, and is consistent with objective scientific research methodology.
- (f) Training and administrators. The Department of State

 Police shall offer training to police officers and any other

 appropriate personnel on the sequential method of conducting

lineup procedures in the pilot jurisdictions and the requirements of this Section. The Department of State Police may seek funding for training and administration from the Illinois Criminal Justice Information Authority and the Illinois Law Enforcement Training Standards Board if necessary.

(g) Report on the pilot study. The Department of State

Police shall gather information from each of the

participating police departments selected as a pilot

jurisdiction with respect to the effectiveness of the

sequential method for lineup procedures and shall file a

report of its findings with the Governor and the General

Assembly no later than April 1, 2005.

(725 ILCS 5/114-13) (from Ch. 38, par. 114-13)

Sec. 114-13. Discovery in criminal cases.

- (a) Discovery procedures in criminal cases shall be in accordance with Supreme Court Rules.
- (b) Any public investigative, law enforcement, or other public agency responsible for investigating any homicide offense or participating in an investigation of any homicide offense, other than defense investigators, shall provide to the authority prosecuting the offense all investigative material, including but not limited to reports, memoranda, and field notes, that have been generated by or have come into the possession of the investigating agency concerning the homicide offense being investigated. In addition, the investigating agency shall provide to the prosecuting authority any material or information, including but not limited to reports, memoranda, and field notes, within its possession or control that would tend to negate the quilt of the accused of the offense charged or reduce his or her punishment for the homicide offense. Every investigative and law enforcement agency in this State shall adopt policies to

ensure compliance with these standards. Any investigative, law enforcement, or other public agency responsible for investigating any "non-homicide felony" offense or participating in an investigation of any "non-homicide felony" offense, other than defense investigators, shall provide to the authority prosecuting the offense all investigative material, including but not limited to reports and memoranda that have been generated by or have come into the possession of the investigating agency concerning the "non-homicide felony" offense being investigated. addition, the investigating agency shall provide to the prosecuting authority any material or information, including but not limited to reports and memoranda, within its possession or control that would tend to negate the guilt of the accused of the "non-homicide felony" offense charged reduce his or her punishment for the "non-homicide felony" offense. This obligation to furnish exculpatory evidence exists whether the information was recorded or documented in any form. Every investigative and law enforcement agency in this State shall adopt policies to ensure compliance with these standards.

(Source: Laws 1963, p. 2836.)

(725 ILCS 5/114-15 new)

Sec. 114-15. Mental retardation.

- (a) In a first degree murder case in which the State seeks the death penalty as an appropriate sentence, any party may raise the issue of the defendant's mental retardation by motion. A defendant wishing to raise the issue of his or her mental retardation shall provide written notice to the State and the court as soon as the defendant reasonably believes such issue will be raised.
- (b) The issue of the defendant's mental retardation shall be determined in a pretrial hearing. The court shall be

the fact finder on the issue of the defendant's mental retardation and shall determine the issue by a preponderance of evidence in which the moving party has the burden of proof. The court may appoint an expert in the field of mental retardation. The defendant and the State may offer experts from the field of mental retardation. The court shall determine admissibility of evidence and qualification as an expert.

- (c) If after a plea of guilty to first degree murder, or a finding of guilty of first degree murder in a bench trial, or a verdict of guilty for first degree murder in a jury trial, or on a matter remanded from the Supreme Court for sentencing for first degree murder, and the State seeks the death penalty as an appropriate sentence, the defendant may raise the issue of defendant's mental retardation not at eligibility but at aggravation and mitigation. The defendant and the State may offer experts from the field of mental retardation. The court shall determine admissibility of evidence and gualification as an expert.
- (d) In determining whether the defendant is mentally retarded, the mental retardation must have manifested itself by the age of 18. IO tests and psychometric tests administered to the defendant must be the kind and type recognized by experts in the field of mental retardation. In order for the defendant to be considered mentally retarded, a low IO must be accompanied by significant deficits in adaptive behavior in at least 2 of the following skill areas: communication, self-care, social or interpersonal skills, home living, self-direction, academics, health and safety, use of community resources, and work. An intelligence quotient (IO) of 75 or below is presumptive evidence of mental retardation.
- (e) Evidence of mental retardation that did not result in disqualifying the case as a capital case, may be

introduced as evidence in mitigation during a capital sentencing hearing. A failure of the court to determine that the defendant is mentally retarded does not preclude the court during trial from allowing evidence relating to mental disability should the court deem it appropriate.

(f) If the court determines at a pretrial hearing or after remand that a capital defendant is mentally retarded, and the State does not appeal pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 604, the case shall no longer be considered a capital case and the procedural guidelines established for capital cases shall no longer be applicable to the defendant. In that case, the defendant shall be sentenced under the sentencing provisions of Chapter V of the Unified Code of Corrections.

(725 ILCS 5/115-21 new)

Sec. 115-21. Informant testimony.

- (a) For the purposes of this Section, "informant" means someone who is purporting to testify about admissions made to him or her by the accused while incarcerated in a penal institution contemporaneously.
- (b) This Section applies to any capital case in which the prosecution attempts to introduce evidence of incriminating statements made by the accused to or overheard by an informant.
- (c) In any case under this Section, the prosecution shall timely disclose in discovery:
 - (1) the complete criminal history of the informant;
 - (2) any deal, promise, inducement, or benefit that the offering party has made or will make in the future to the informant;
 - (3) the statements made by the accused;
 - (4) the time and place of the statements, the time and place of their disclosure to law enforcement officials, and the names of all persons who were present

when the statements were made;

- (5) whether at any time the informant recanted that testimony or statement and, if so, the time and place of the recantation, the nature of the recantation, and the names of the persons who were present at the recantation;
- (6) other cases in which the informant testified, provided that the existence of such testimony can be ascertained through reasonable inquiry and whether the informant received any promise, inducement, or benefit in exchange for or subsequent to that testimony or statement; and
- (7) any other information relevant to the informant's credibility.
- (d) In any case under this Section, the prosecution must timely disclose its intent to introduce the testimony of an informant. The court shall conduct a hearing to determine whether the testimony of the informant is reliable, unless the defendant waives such a hearing. If the prosecution fails to show by a preponderance of the evidence that the informant's testimony is reliable, the court shall not allow the testimony to be heard at trial. At this hearing, the court shall consider the factors enumerated in subsection (c) as well as any other factors relating to reliability.
- (e) A hearing required under subsection (d) does not apply to statements covered under subsection (b) that are lawfully recorded.
- (f) This Section applies to all death penalty prosecutions initiated on or after the effective date of this amendatory Act of the 93rd General Assembly.

(725 ILCS 5/115-22 new)

Sec. 115-22. Witness inducements. When the State intends to introduce the testimony of a witness in a capital case, the State shall, before trial, disclose to the

<u>defendant</u> and to his or her defense counsel the following information, which shall be reduced to writing:

- (1) whether the witness has received or been promised anything, including pay, immunity from prosecution, leniency in prosecution, or personal advantage, in exchange for testimony;
- (2) any other case in which the witness testified or offered statements against an individual but was not called, and whether the statements were admitted in the case, and whether the witness received any deal, promise, inducement, or benefit in exchange for that testimony or statement; provided that the existence of such testimony can be ascertained through reasonable inquiry;
- (3) whether the witness has ever changed his or her testimony;
 - (4) the criminal history of the witness; and
- (5) any other evidence relevant to the credibility of the witness.

(725 ILCS 5/116-3)

Sec. 116-3. Motion for fingerprint or forensic testing not available at trial regarding actual innocence.

(a) A defendant may make a motion before the trial court that entered the judgment of conviction in his or her case for the performance of fingerprint or forensic DNA testing, including comparison analysis of genetic marker groupings of the evidence collected by criminal justice agencies pursuant to the alleged offense, to those of the defendant, to those of other forensic evidence, and to those maintained under subsection (f) of Section 5-4-3 of the Unified Code of Corrections, on evidence that was secured in relation to the trial which resulted in his or her conviction, but which was not subject to the testing which is now requested because the technology for the testing was not available at the time of

trial. Reasonable notice of the motion shall be served upon the State.

- (b) The defendant must present a prima facie case that:
- (1) identity was the issue in the trial which resulted in his or her conviction; and
- (2) the evidence to be tested has been subject to a chain of custody sufficient to establish that it has not been substituted, tampered with, replaced, or altered in any material aspect.
- (c) The trial court shall allow the testing under reasonable conditions designed to protect the State's interests in the integrity of the evidence and the testing process upon a determination that:
 - (1) the result of the testing has the scientific potential to produce new, noncumulative evidence materially relevant to the defendant's assertion of actual innocence even though the results may not completely exonerate the defendant;
 - (2) the testing requested employs a scientific method generally accepted within the relevant scientific community.

(Source: P.A. 90-141, eff. 1-1-98.)

(725 ILCS 5/116-5 new)

- Sec. 116-5. Motion for DNA database search (genetic marker groupings comparison analysis).
- (a) Upon motion by a defendant charged with any offense where DNA evidence may be material to the defense investigation or relevant at trial, a court may order a DNA database search by the Department of State Police. Such analysis may include comparing:
 - (1) the genetic profile from forensic evidence that was secured in relation to the trial against the genetic profile of the defendant,

- (2) the genetic profile of items of forensic evidence secured in relation to trial to the genetic profile of other forensic evidence secured in relation to trial, or
- (3) the genetic profiles referred to in subdivisions (1) and (2) against:
 - (i) genetic profiles of offenders maintained under subsection (f) of Section 5-4-3 of the Unified Code of Corrections, or
 - (ii) genetic profiles, including but not limited to, profiles from unsolved crimes maintained in state or local DNA databases by law enforcement agencies.
- (b) If appropriate federal criteria are met, the court may order the Department of State Police to request the National DNA index system to search its database of genetic profiles.
- (c) If requested by the defense, a defense representative shall be allowed to view any genetic marker grouping analysis conducted by the Department of State Police. The defense shall be provided with copies of all documentation, correspondence, including digital correspondence, notes, memoranda, and reports generated in relation to the analysis.
- (d) Reasonable notice of the motion shall be served upon the State.

(725 ILCS 5/122-1) (from Ch. 38, par. 122-1)

Sec. 122-1. Petition in the trial court.

- (a) Any person imprisoned in the penitentiary <u>may</u> institute a proceeding under this Article if the person who asserts that:
 - (1) in the proceedings which resulted in his or her conviction there was a substantial denial of his or her

rights under the Constitution of the United States or of the State of Illinois or both; or may--institute-a proceeding-under-this-Article.

- (2) the death penalty was imposed and there is newly discovered evidence not available to the person at the time of the proceeding that resulted in his or her conviction that establishes a substantial basis to believe that the defendant is actually innocent by clear and convincing evidence.
- (a-5) A proceeding under paragraph (2) of subsection (a) may be commenced within a reasonable period of time after the person's conviction notwithstanding any other provisions of this Article. In such a proceeding regarding actual innocence, if the court determines the petition is frivolous or is patently without merit, it shall dismiss the petition in a written order, specifying the findings of fact and conclusions of law it made in reaching its decision. Such order of dismissal is a final judgment and shall be served upon the petitioner by certified mail within 10 days of its entry.
- (b) The proceeding shall be commenced by filing with the clerk of the court in which the conviction took place a petition (together with a copy thereof) verified by affidavit. Petitioner shall also serve another copy upon the State's Attorney by any of the methods provided in Rule 7 of the Supreme Court. The clerk shall docket the petition for consideration by the court pursuant to Section 122-2.1 upon his or her receipt thereof and bring the same promptly to the attention of the court.
- (c) Except as otherwise provided in subsection (a-5), if the petitioner is under sentence of death, no proceedings under this Article shall be commenced more than 6 months after the denial of a petition for certiorari to the United States Supreme Court on direct appeal, or more than 6 months

from the date for filing such a petition if none is filed, unless the petitioner alleges facts showing that the delay was not due to his or her culpable negligence.

When a defendant has a sentence other than death, no proceedings under this Article shall be commenced more than 6 months after the denial of the Petition for Leave to Appeal to the Illinois Supreme Court, or more than 6 months from the date for filing such a petition if none is filed, unless the petitioner alleges facts showing that the delay was not due to his or her culpable negligence.

This limitation does not apply to a petition advancing a claim of actual innocence. no-proceedings-under-this-Article shall-be-commenced-more-than-6-months-after-the-denial--of--a petition--for--leave--to-appeal-or-the-date-for-filing-such-a petition-if-none-is-filed-or-more--than--45--days--after--the defendant--files--his--or--her--brief--in--the--appeal-of-the sentence-before-the-Illinois-Supreme-Court-(or-more--than--45 days--after--the--deadline--for-the-filing-of-the-defendant's brief-with-the-Illinois-Supreme-Court-if-no-brief--is--filed) or--3-years-from-the-date-of-conviction,-whichever-is-sooner, unless-the-petitioner-alleges-facts-showing--that--the--delay was-not-due-to-his-or-her-culpable-negligence.

- (d) A person seeking relief by filing a petition under this Section must specify in the petition or its heading that it is filed under this Section. A trial court that has received a petition complaining of a conviction or sentence that fails to specify in the petition or its heading that it is filed under this Section need not evaluate the petition to determine whether it could otherwise have stated some grounds for relief under this Article.
- (e) A proceeding under this Article may not be commenced on behalf of a defendant who has been sentenced to death without the written consent of the defendant, unless the defendant, because of a mental or physical condition, is

incapable of asserting his or her own claim.

(Source: P.A. 89-284, eff. 1-1-96; 89-609, eff. 1-1-97; 89-684, eff. 6-1-97; 90-14, eff. 7-1-97.)

(725 ILCS 5/122-2.1) (from Ch. 38, par. 122-2.1)

- Sec. 122-2.1. (a) Within 90 days after the filing and docketing of each petition, the court shall examine such petition and enter an order thereon pursuant to this Section.
 - (1) If the petitioner is under sentence of death and is without counsel and alleges that he is without means to procure counsel, he shall state whether or not he wishes counsel to be appointed to represent him. If appointment of counsel is so requested, the court shall appoint counsel if satisfied that the petitioner has no means to procure counsel.
 - (2) If the petitioner is sentenced to imprisonment and the court determines the petition is frivolous or is patently without merit, it shall dismiss the petition in a written order, specifying the findings of fact and conclusions of law it made in reaching its decision. Such order of dismissal is a final judgment and shall be served upon the petitioner by certified mail within 10 days of its entry.
- (b) If the petition is not dismissed pursuant to this Section, the court shall order the petition to be docketed for further consideration in accordance with Sections 122-4 through 122-6. If the petitioner is under sentence of death, the court shall order the petition to be docketed for further consideration and hearing within one year of the filing of the petition. Continuances may be granted as the court deems appropriate.
- (c) In considering a petition pursuant to this Section, the court may examine the court file of the proceeding in which the petitioner was convicted, any action taken by an

appellate court in such proceeding and any transcripts of such proceeding.

(Source: P.A. 86-655; 87-904.)

(725 ILCS 5/122-2.2 new)

Sec. 122-2.2. Mental retardation and post-conviction relief.

- (a) In cases where no determination of mental retardation was made and a defendant has been convicted of first-degree murder, sentenced to death, and is in custody pending execution of the sentence of death, the following procedures shall apply:
 - (1) Notwithstanding any other provision of law or rule of court, a defendant may seek relief from the death sentence through a petition for post-conviction relief under this Article alleging that the defendant was mentally retarded as defined in Section 114-15 at the time the offense was alleged to have been committed.
 - (2) The petition must be filed within 180 days of the effective date of this amendatory Act of the 93rd General Assembly or within 180 days of the issuance of the mandate by the Illinois Supreme Court setting the date of execution, whichever is later.
- (3) All other provisions of this Article governing petitions for post-conviction relief shall apply to a petition for post-conviction relief alleging mental retardation.

Section 20. The Capital Crimes Litigation Act is amended by changing Sections 15 and 19 as follows:

(725 ILCS 124/15)

(Section scheduled to be repealed on July 1, 2004)

Sec. 15. Capital Litigation Trust Fund.

- (a) The Capital Litigation Trust Fund is created as a special fund in the State Treasury. The Trust Fund shall be administered by the State Treasurer to provide moneys for the appropriations to be made, grants to be awarded, and compensation and expenses to be paid under this Act. All interest earned from the investment or deposit of moneys accumulated in the Trust Fund shall, under Section 4.1 of the State Finance Act, be deposited into the Trust Fund.
- (b) Moneys deposited into the Trust Fund shall not be considered general revenue of the State of Illinois.
- (c) Moneys deposited into the Trust Fund shall be used exclusively for the purposes of providing funding for the prosecution and defense of capital cases as provided in this Act and shall not be appropriated, loaned, or in any manner transferred to the General Revenue Fund of the State of Illinois.
- (d) Every fiscal year the State Treasurer shall transfer from the General Revenue Fund to the Capital Litigation Trust Fund an amount equal to the full amount of moneys appropriated by the General Assembly (both by original and supplemental appropriation), less any unexpended balance from the previous fiscal year, from the Capital Litigation Trust Fund for the specific purpose of making funding available for the prosecution and defense of capital cases. The Public Defender and State's Attorney in Cook County, the State Appellate Defender, the State's Attorneys Appellate shall make annual Prosecutor, and the Attorney General requests for appropriations from the Trust Fund.
 - (1) The Public Defender in Cook County shall request appropriations to the State Treasurer for expenses incurred by the Public Defender and for funding for private appointed defense counsel in Cook County.
 - (2) The State's Attorney in Cook County shall request an appropriation to the State Treasurer for

expenses incurred by the State's Attorney.

- (3) The State Appellate Defender shall request a direct appropriation from the Trust Fund for expenses incurred by the State Appellate Defender in providing assistance to trial attorneys under item (c)(5) of Section 10 of the State Appellate Defender Act and an appropriation to the State Treasurer for payments from the Trust Fund for the defense of cases in counties other than Cook County.
- (4) The State's Attorneys Appellate Prosecutor shall request a direct appropriation from the Trust Fund to pay expenses incurred by the State's Attorneys Appellate Prosecutor and an appropriation to the State Treasurer for payments from the Trust Fund for expenses incurred by State's Attorneys in counties other than Cook County.
- (5) The Attorney General shall request a direct appropriation from the Trust Fund to pay expenses incurred by the Attorney General in assisting the State's Attorneys in counties other than Cook County.

The Public Defender and State's Attorney in Cook County, the State Appellate Defender, the State's Attorneys Appellate Prosecutor, and the Attorney General may each request supplemental appropriations from the Trust Fund during the fiscal year.

- (e) Moneys in the Trust Fund shall be expended only as follows:
 - (1) To pay the State Treasurer's costs to administer the Trust Fund. The amount for this purpose may not exceed 5% in any one fiscal year of the amount otherwise appropriated from the Trust Fund in the same fiscal year.
 - (2) To pay the capital litigation expenses of trial defense including, but not limited to, <u>DNA testing</u>,

including DNA testing under Section 116-3 of the Code of Criminal Procedure of 1963, analysis, and expert testimony, investigatory and other assistance, expert, forensic, and other witnesses, and mitigation specialists, and grants and aid provided to public defenders or assistance to attorneys who have been appointed by the court to represent defendants who are charged with capital crimes.

- (3) To pay the compensation of trial attorneys, other than public defenders, who have been appointed by the court to represent defendants who are charged with capital crimes.
- (4) To provide State's Attorneys with funding for capital litigation expenses including, but not limited investigatory and other assistance and expert, forensic, and other witnesses necessary to prosecute capital cases. State's Attorneys in any county other than Cook County seeking funding for capital litigation expenses including, but not limited to, investigatory and other assistance and expert, forensic, or other witnesses under this Section may request that the State's Attorneys Appellate Prosecutor or the Attorney General, as the case may be, certify the expenses as reasonable, necessary, and appropriate for payment from the Trust Fund, on a form created by the State Treasurer. Upon certification of the expenses and delivery of the certification to the State Treasurer, the Treasurer shall pay the expenses directly from the Capital Litigation Trust Fund if there are sufficient moneys in the Trust Fund to pay the expenses.
- (5) To provide financial support through the Attorney General pursuant to the Attorney General Act for the several county State's Attorneys outside of Cook County, but shall not be used to increase personnel for

the Attorney General's Office.

- (6) To provide financial support through the State's Attorneys Appellate Prosecutor pursuant to the State's Attorneys Appellate Prosecutor's Act for the several county State's Attorneys outside of Cook County, but shall not be used to increase personnel for the State's Attorneys Appellate Prosecutor.
- (7) To provide financial support to the State Appellate Defender pursuant to the State Appellate Defender Act.

Moneys expended from the Trust Fund shall be in addition to county funding for Public Defenders and State's Attorneys, and shall not be used to supplant or reduce ordinary and customary county funding.

- (f) Moneys in the Trust Fund shall be appropriated to the State Appellate Defender, the State's Attorneys Appellate Prosecutor, the Attorney General, and the State Treasurer. The State Appellate Defender shall receive an appropriation from the Trust Fund to enable it to provide assistance to appointed defense counsel throughout the State and to Public Defenders in counties other than Cook. The State's Attorneys Appellate Prosecutor and the Attorney General shall receive appropriations from the Trust Fund to enable them to provide assistance to State's Attorneys in counties other than Cook County. Moneys shall be appropriated to the State Treasurer to enable the Treasurer (i) to make grants to Cook County, (ii) to pay the expenses of Public Defenders and State's Attorneys in counties other than Cook County, (iii) to pay the expenses and compensation of appointed defense counsel in counties other than Cook County, and (iv) to pay the costs of administering the Trust Fund. All expenditures and grants made from the Trust Fund shall be subject to audit by the Auditor General.
 - (g) For Cook County, grants from the Trust Fund shall be

made and administered as follows:

- (1) For each State fiscal year, the State's Attorney and Public Defender must each make a separate application to the State Treasurer for capital litigation grants.
- (2) The State Treasurer shall establish rules and procedures for grant applications. The rules shall require the Cook County Treasurer as the grant recipient to report on a periodic basis to the State Treasurer how much of the grant has been expended, how much of the grant is remaining, and the purposes for which the grant has been used. The rules may also require the Cook County Treasurer to certify on a periodic basis that expenditures of the funds have been made for expenses that are reasonable, necessary, and appropriate for payment from the Trust Fund.
- (3) The State Treasurer shall make the grants to the Cook County Treasurer as soon as possible after the beginning of the State fiscal year.
- (4) The State's Attorney or Public Defender may apply for supplemental grants during the fiscal year.
- (5) Grant moneys shall be paid to the Cook County Treasurer in block grants and held in separate accounts for the State's Attorney, the Public Defender, and court appointed defense counsel other than the Cook County Public Defender, respectively, for the designated fiscal year, and are not subject to county appropriation.
- (6) Expenditure of grant moneys under this subsection (g) is subject to audit by the Auditor General.
- (7) The Cook County Treasurer shall immediately make payment from the appropriate separate account in the county treasury for capital litigation expenses to the State's Attorney, Public Defender, or court appointed

defense counsel other than the Public Defender, as the case may be, upon order of the State's Attorney, Public Defender or the court, respectively.

- (h) If a defendant in a capital case in Cook County is represented by court appointed counsel other than the Cook County Public Defender, the appointed counsel shall petition the court for an order directing the Cook County Treasurer to pay the court appointed counsel's reasonable and necessary compensation and capital litigation expenses from grant moneys provided from the Trust Fund. These petitions shall be considered in camera. Orders denying petitions for compensation or expenses are final. Counsel may not petition for expenses that may have been provided or compensated by the State Appellate Defender under item (c)(5) of Section 10 of the State Appellate Defender Act.
- (i) In counties other than Cook County, and excluding capital litigation expenses or services that may have been provided by the State Appellate Defender under item (c)(5) of Section 10 of the State Appellate Defender Act:
 - (1) Upon certification by the circuit court, on a form created by the State Treasurer, that all or a portion of the expenses are reasonable, necessary, and appropriate for payment from the Trust Fund and the court's delivery of the certification to the Treasurer, the Treasurer shall pay the certified expenses of Public Defenders from the money appropriated to the Treasurer for capital litigation expenses of Public Defenders in any county other than Cook County, if there are sufficient moneys in the Trust Fund to pay the expenses.
 - (2) If a defendant in a capital case is represented by court appointed counsel other than the Public Defender, the appointed counsel shall petition the court to certify compensation and capital litigation expenses including, but not limited to, investigatory and other

assistance, expert, forensic, and other witnesses, and mitigation specialists as reasonable, necessary, and appropriate for payment from the Trust Fund. Upon certification on a form created by the State Treasurer of all or a portion of the compensation and expenses certified as reasonable, necessary, and appropriate for payment from the Trust Fund and the court's delivery of the certification to the Treasurer, the State Treasurer shall pay the certified compensation and expenses from the money appropriated to the Treasurer for that purpose, if there are sufficient moneys in the Trust Fund to make those payments.

- (3) A petition for capital litigation expenses under this subsection shall be considered in camera. Orders denying petitions for compensation or expenses are final.
- (j) If the Trust Fund is discontinued or dissolved by an Act of the General Assembly or by operation of law, any balance remaining in the Trust Fund shall be returned to the General Revenue Fund after deduction of administrative costs, any other provision of this Act to the contrary notwithstanding.

(Source: P.A. 91-589, eff. 1-1-00.)

(725 ILCS 124/19)

(Section scheduled to be repealed on July 1, 2004)

Sec. 19. Report; repeal.

(a) The Cook County Public Defender, the Cook County State's Attorney, the State Appellate Defender, the State's Attorneys Appellate Prosecutor, and the Attorney General shall each report separately to the General Assembly by January 1, 2004 detailing the amounts of money received by them through this Act, the uses for which those funds were expended, the balances then in the Capital Litigation Trust

Fund or county accounts, as the case may be, dedicated to them for the use and support of Public Defenders, appointed trial defense counsel, and State's Attorneys, as the case may be. The report shall describe and discuss the need for continued funding through the Fund and contain any suggestions for changes to this Act.

(b) (Blank). Unless---the--General--Assembly--provides otherwise,-this-Act-is-repealed-on-July-1,-2004. (Source: P.A. 91-589, eff. 1-1-00.)

Section 25. The Unified Code of Corrections is amended by changing Section 5-4-3 as follows:

(730 ILCS 5/5-4-3) (from Ch. 38, par. 1005-4-3)

Sec. 5-4-3. Persons convicted of, or found delinquent for, certain offenses or institutionalized as sexually dangerous; specimens; genetic marker groups.

- (a) Any person convicted of, found guilty under the Juvenile Court Act of 1987 for, or who received a disposition of court supervision for, a qualifying offense or attempt of a qualifying offense, convicted or found guilty of any offense classified as a felony under Illinois law, found guilty or given supervision for any offense classified as a felony under the Juvenile Court Act of 1987, or institutionalized as a sexually dangerous person under the Sexually Dangerous Persons Act, or committed as a sexually violent person under the Sexually Violent Persons Commitment Act shall, regardless of the sentence or disposition imposed, be required to submit specimens of blood, saliva, or tissue to the Illinois Department of State Police in accordance with the provisions of this Section, provided such person is:
 - (1) convicted of a qualifying offense or attempt of a qualifying offense on or after <u>July 1, 1990</u> the effective-date--ef--this--amendatory--Act--ef--1989, and

sentenced to a term of imprisonment, periodic imprisonment, fine, probation, conditional discharge or any other form of sentence, or given a disposition of court supervision for the offense:7-er

- (1.5) found guilty or given supervision under the Juvenile Court Act of 1987 for a qualifying offense or attempt of a qualifying offense on or after <u>January 1</u>, <u>1997</u>; the-effective-date-of-this-amendatory-Act-of--1996, or
- (2) ordered institutionalized as a sexually dangerous person on or after <u>July 1, 1990;</u> the-effective date-of-this-amendatory-Act-of-1989,-or
- (3) convicted of a qualifying offense or attempt of a qualifying offense before <u>July 1</u>, <u>1990</u> the--effective date--ef--this--amendatery--Act--ef-1989 and is presently confined as a result of such conviction in any State correctional facility or county jail or is presently serving a sentence of probation, conditional discharge or periodic imprisonment as a result of such conviction; -er
- (3.5) convicted or found guilty of any offense classified as a felony under Illinois law or found guilty or given supervision for such an offense under the Juvenile Court Act of 1987 on or after August 22, 2002; the-effective--date---ef-this-amendatory-Act-ef-the-92nd General-Assembly,-er
- (4) presently institutionalized as a sexually dangerous person or presently institutionalized as a person found guilty but mentally ill of a sexual offense or attempt to commit a sexual offense; or
- (4.5) ordered committed as a sexually violent person on or after the effective date of the Sexually Violent Persons Commitment Act; or
- (5) seeking transfer to or residency in Illinois under Sections 3-3-11.05 through 3-3-11.5 of the Unified

Code of Corrections and the Interstate Compact for Adult
Offender Supervision or the Interstate Agreements on
Sexually Dangerous Persons Act.

Notwithstanding other provisions of this Section, any person incarcerated in a facility of the Illinois Department of Corrections on or after August 22, 2002 the-effective-date of--this-amendatory-Act-of-the-92nd-General-Assembly shall be required to submit a specimen of blood, saliva, or tissue prior to his or her release on parole or mandatory supervised release, as a condition of his or her parole or mandatory supervised release.

- (a-5) Any person who was otherwise convicted of or received a disposition of court supervision for any other offense under the Criminal Code of 1961 or who was found guilty or given supervision for such a violation under the Juvenile Court Act of 1987, may, regardless of the sentence imposed, be required by an order of the court to submit specimens of blood, saliva, or tissue to the Illinois Department of State Police in accordance with the provisions of this Section.
- (b) Any person required by paragraphs (a)(1), (a)(1.5), (a)(2), (a)(3.5), and (a-5) to provide specimens of blood, saliva, or tissue shall provide specimens of blood, saliva, or tissue within 45 days after sentencing or disposition at a collection site designated by the Illinois Department of State Police.
- (c) Any person required by paragraphs (a)(3), (a)(4), and (a)(4.5) to provide specimens of blood, saliva, or tissue shall be required to provide such samples prior to final discharge, parole, or release at a collection site designated by the Illinois Department of State Police.
- (c-5) Any person required by paragraph (a)(5) to provide specimens of blood, saliva, or tissue shall, where feasible, be required to provide the specimens before being accepted

for conditioned residency in Illinois under the interstate compact or agreement, but no later than 45 days after arrival in this State.

- (c-6) The Illinois Department of State Police may determine which type of specimen or specimens, blood, saliva, or tissue, is acceptable for submission to the Division of Forensic Services for analysis.
- (d) The Illinois Department of State Police shall provide all equipment and instructions necessary for the collection of blood samples. The collection of samples shall be performed in a medically approved manner. Only a physician authorized to practice medicine, a registered nurse or other qualified person trained in venipuncture may withdraw blood for the purposes of this Act. The samples shall thereafter be forwarded to the Illinois Department of State Police, Division of Forensic Services, for analysis and categorizing into genetic marker groupings.
- (d-1) The Illinois Department of State Police shall provide all equipment and instructions necessary for the collection of saliva samples. The collection of saliva samples shall be performed in a medically approved manner. Only a person trained in the instructions promulgated by the Illinois State Police on collecting saliva may collect saliva for the purposes of this Section. The samples shall thereafter be forwarded to the Illinois Department of State Police, Division of Forensic Services, for analysis and categorizing into genetic marker groupings.
- (d-2) The Illinois Department of State Police shall provide all equipment and instructions necessary for the collection of tissue samples. The collection of tissue samples shall be performed in a medically approved manner. Only a person trained in the instructions promulgated by the Illinois State Police on collecting tissue may collect tissue for the purposes of this Section. The samples shall

thereafter be forwarded to the Illinois Department of State Police, Division of Forensic Services, for analysis and categorizing into genetic marker groupings.

- (d-5) To the extent that funds are available, the Illinois Department of State Police shall contract with qualified personnel and certified laboratories for the collection, analysis, and categorization of known samples.
- (e) The genetic marker groupings shall be maintained by the Illinois Department of State Police, Division of Forensic Services.
- (f) The genetic marker grouping analysis information obtained pursuant to this Act shall be confidential and shall be released only to peace officers of the United States, other states or territories, of the insular possessions of the United States, of foreign countries duly authorized receive the same, to all peace officers of the State of Illinois and to all prosecutorial agencies, and to defense counsel as provided by Section 116-5 of the Code of Criminal Procedure of 1963. The genetic marker grouping analysis information obtained pursuant to this Act shall be used only for (i) valid law enforcement identification purposes and as required by the Federal Bureau of Investigation for participation in the National DNA database or (ii) technology validation purposes or (iii) assisting in the defense of the criminally accused pursuant to Section 116-5 of the Code of <u>Criminal Procedure of 1963</u>. Notwithstanding any statutory provision to the contrary, all information obtained under this Section shall be maintained in a single State data base, which may be uploaded into a national database, and which information may be subject to expungement only as set forth in subsection (f-1).
- (f-1) Upon receipt of notification of a reversal of a conviction based on actual innocence, or of the granting of a pardon pursuant to Section 12 of Article V of the Illinois

Constitution, if that pardon document specifically states that the reason for the pardon is the actual innocence of an individual whose DNA record has been stored in the State or national DNA identification index in accordance with this Section by the Illinois Department of State Police, the DNA record shall be expunged from the DNA identification index, and the Department shall by rule prescribe procedures to ensure that the record and any samples, analyses, or other documents relating to such record, whether in the possession of the Department or any law enforcement or police agency, or any forensic DNA laboratory, including any duplicates or copies thereof, are destroyed and a letter is sent to the court verifying the expungement is completed.

- (f-5) Any person who intentionally uses genetic marker grouping analysis information, or any other information derived from a DNA sample, beyond the authorized uses as provided under this Section, or any other Illinois law, is guilty of a Class 4 felony, and shall be subject to a fine of not less than \$5,000.
- (g) For the purposes of this Section, "qualifying offense" means any of the following:
 - (1) any violation or inchoate violation of Section 11-6, 11-9.1, 11-11, 11-18.1, 12-15, or 12-16 of the Criminal Code of $1961i_7-\Theta r$
 - (1.1) any violation or inchoate violation of Section 9-1, 9-2, 10-1, 10-2, 12-11, 12-11.1, 18-1, 18-2, 18-3, 18-4, 19-1, or 19-2 of the Criminal Code of 1961 for which persons are convicted on or after July 1, 2001; 7-ex
 - (2) any former statute of this State which defined a felony sexual offense \underline{i}_7 -or
 - (3) (blank)<u>;</u>,-⊖¥
 - (4) any inchoate violation of Section 9-3.1, 11-9.3, 12-7.3, or 12-7.4 of the Criminal Code of 1961;

or

- (5) any violation or inchoate violation of Article 29D of the Criminal Code of 1961.
- (g-5) (Blank).
- (h) The Illinois Department of State Police shall be the State central repository for all genetic marker grouping analysis information obtained pursuant to this Act. The Illinois Department of State Police may promulgate rules for the form and manner of the collection of blood, saliva, or tissue samples and other procedures for the operation of this Act. The provisions of the Administrative Review Law shall apply to all actions taken under the rules so promulgated.
- (i) A person required to provide a blood, saliva, or tissue specimen shall cooperate with the collection of the specimen and any deliberate act by that person intended to impede, delay or stop the collection of the blood, saliva, or tissue specimen is a Class A misdemeanor.
- (j) Any person required by subsection (a) to submit specimens of blood, saliva, or tissue to the Illinois Department of State Police for analysis and categorization into genetic marker grouping, in addition to any other disposition, penalty, or fine imposed, shall pay an analysis fee of \$200. If the analysis fee is not paid at the time of sentencing, the court shall establish a fee schedule by which the entire amount of the analysis fee shall be paid in full, such schedule not to exceed 24 months from the time of conviction. The inability to pay this analysis fee shall not be the sole ground to incarcerate the person.
- (k) All analysis and categorization fees provided for by subsection (j) shall be regulated as follows:
 - (1) The State Offender DNA Identification System Fund is hereby created as a special fund in the State Treasury.
 - (2) All fees shall be collected by the clerk of the

court and forwarded to the State Offender DNA Identification System Fund for deposit. The clerk of the circuit court may retain the amount of \$10 from each collected analysis fee to offset administrative costs incurred in carrying out the clerk's responsibilities under this Section.

- (3) Fees deposited into the State Offender DNA Identification System Fund shall be used by Illinois State Police crime laboratories as designated by the Director of State Police. These funds shall be in addition to any allocations made pursuant to existing laws and shall be designated for the exclusive use of State crime laboratories. These uses may include, but are not limited to, the following:
 - (A) Costs incurred in providing analysis and genetic marker categorization as required by subsection (d).
 - (B) Costs incurred in maintaining genetic marker groupings as required by subsection (e).
 - (C) Costs incurred in the purchase and maintenance of equipment for use in performing analyses.
 - (D) Costs incurred in continuing research and development of new techniques for analysis and genetic marker categorization.
 - (E) Costs incurred in continuing education, training, and professional development of forensic scientists regularly employed by these laboratories.
- (1) The failure of a person to provide a specimen, or of any person or agency to collect a specimen, within the 45 day period shall in no way alter the obligation of the person to submit such specimen, or the authority of the Illinois Department of State Police or persons designated by the Department to collect the specimen, or the authority of the

Illinois Department of State Police to accept, analyze and maintain the specimen or to maintain or upload results of genetic marker grouping analysis information into a State or national database.

(Source: P.A. 91-528, eff. 1-1-00; 92-16, eff. 6-28-01; 92-40, eff. 6-29-01; 92-571, eff. 6-26-02; 92-600, eff. 6-28-02; 92-829, eff. 8-22-02; 92-854, eff. 12-5-02; revised 1-20-03.)

Section 90. The State Finance Act is amended by adding Section 5.595 as follows:

(30 ILCS 105/5.595 new)

Sec. 5.595. The Illinois Law Enforcement Training
Standards Board Costs and Attorney Fees Fund.

Section 95. Severability. The provisions of this Act are severable under Section 1.31 of the Statute on Statutes.

Section 99. Effective date. This Act takes effect upon becoming law.