59th Legislative Day

5/19/2011

Speaker Lyons: "Good afternoon, Illinois. Your House of Representatives will come to order. Members are asked to please be at their desks. We'll be led in prayer today by Pastor Mark Girardin, who is with Trinity Lutheran Church in Centralia, Illinois. Reverend... Girardin is the guest of Representative Cavaletto. Members and guests are asked to please refrain from starting their laptop, turn off all cell phones and pagers, and rise for the invocation and the Pledge of Allegiance. Reverend Girardin."

Reverend Girardin: "Let us pray. Gracious, loving Father, King of the universe, Creator of all things, we humbly bow before You now in prayer. We thank You for blessing us, not only with this great nation called the United States of America, but enabling us to live in the Land of Lincoln, the great State of Illinois. Lord God, You know the challenges that we face at the national, state, and local levels. You know, as Your precious word says, we are fallible individuals, jars of clay. This august Body comes to You now realizing that like the electorate they represent, they are not perfect nor do they have all the answers to the challenges we face together. Because of that, we now look to You for all wisdom, guidance and discernment that only Your Holy Spirit can give. Bless this chamber with Your presence and the gifts of Your spirit as they deliberate the important issues of our day. Thank You, for being there for us and for listening to our prayer, for we pray this in the name of the Good Shepherd of the sheep, Amen."

59th Legislative Day

- Speaker Lyons: "Representative Kay, would you please lead us in the Pledge of Allegiance."
- Kay et al: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America and to the republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all."
- Speaker Lyons: "Roll Call for Attendance. Leader Barbara Flynn Currie, Democrats."
- Currie: "Thank you, Speaker. Please let the record reflect the absence of any excused absences among House Democrats today."
- Speaker Lyons: "Representative Mike Bost, GOP."
- Bost: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Let the record reflect that Representative Pihos and Mulligan are excused on the Republican side of the aisle today."
- Speaker Lyons: "Mr. Clerk, take the record. There's 116 Members present. We have a quorum. We're prepared to do the work of the people of the State of Illinois. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "Committee Reports. Representative Jakobsson, Chairperson from the Committee on Higher Education reports the following committee action taken on May 18, 2011: recommends be adopted House Resolution 326; do pass Short Debate for Senate Bill 122; and do pass as amended Short Debate for Senate Bill 1773. Representative Howard, Chairperson from the Committee on Judiciary II Criminal Law reports the following committee action taken on May 19, 2011: do pass Short Debate for Senate Bill 1043, Senate Bill 1292, Senate Bill 1837; recommends be adopted Floor Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1040, Floor Amendment #2 to

59th Legislative Day

5/19/2011

Senate Bill 2151, and Floor Amendment #2 to Senate Bill Representative Bradley, Chairperson from Committee on Revenue & Finance reports the following committee action taken on May 19, 2011: recommends be adopted Floor Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 395; do pass as amended Short Debate for Senate Bill 1386. Representative May, Chairperson from the Committee on Telecommunications reports the following committee action taken on May 19, 2011: do pass Short Debate for Senate Bill Representative May, Chairperson from the Committee Environmental Health reports the following committee action taken on May 19, 2011: do pass Short Debate for Senate Bill Representative McAuliffe, Chairperson from Committee on Veterans' Affairs reports the following committee action taken on May 19, 2011: recommends be adopted House Resolution 314. Representative Lilly, Chairperson from the Committee on Business & Occupational Licenses reports the following committee action taken on May 19, 2011: recommends be adopted Floor Amendment #4 to Senate Bill 1539. Representative Verschoore, Chairperson from the Committee on Counties & Townships reports the following committee action taken on May 19, 2011: do pass Short Debate for Senate Bill 1253; do pass as amended Short Debate for Senate Bill 1688. Introduction of Resolutions. House Resolution 382, offered by Representative Will Davis and House Resolution 383, offered by Representative Gabel." Speaker Lyons: "Representative Keith Sommer, do you seek

Sommer: "Point of personal privilege."

recognition, Sir?"

59th Legislative Day

5/19/2011

Speaker Lyons: "Please proceed, Representative."

Sommer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Normally, you don't recognize Pages. I have two Pages, today Collin Smith and Ryan Altenberger, but the important thing I want to tell you is that Collin's father, Wayne, is here with us. He's up here in the gallery. He served in Iraq and was awarded the Bronze Star. Let's hear it for Wayne and the kids today."

Speaker Lyons: "Congratulations and welcome to your Capitol.

Representative Kay Hatcher, for what purpose do you seek recognition, Ma'am?"

Hatcher: "Point of personal privilege, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lyons: "Please proceed, Kay."

Hatcher: "I'm lucky enough to have two organizations that are representing both our state as a whole and the 50th District. Cross Lutheran Church in Yorkville brought their entire contingent today and also the American Massage Therapy Association, up to our right."

Speaker Lyons: "Welcome to your Capitol. Ladies and Gentlemen, we have some Second Reading of the Amendments that are ready to be heard, so I'll call a few of these Bills to start us off. Representative Mathias on the floor? Representative Mathias, you have Senate Bill 172. What's the status of that Bill, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 172, a Bill for an Act concerning government. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."

59th Legislative Day

- Speaker Lyons: "Third Reading. Leader Lang, on the bottom of page 6, Senate Bills-Second Reading, you have Senate Bill 674. What's the status of that Bill, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 674, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lyons: "Third Reading. Representative Berrios, on Senate Bill-Second Reading, you have Senate Bill 959. What's the status of that Bill, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 959, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lyons: "Third Reading. Leader Lang, in the middle of page 7, you also have Senate Bill 1133. What's the status on that Bill, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1133, a Bill for an Act concerning business. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lyons: "Third Reading. Representative Morthland, on page 8 of the Calendar, on Senate Bills-Second Reading, you have Senate Bill 1607. What's the status of that Bill, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1607, a Bill for an Act concerning insurance. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. Amendment #1 was tabled. Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Mautino, has been approved for consideration."

59th Legislative Day

5/19/2011

- Speaker Lyons: "Representative Morthland, is... are you prepared to do the Amendment? Representative Mautino on Amendment... Floor Amendment #2."
- Mautino: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. And I want to thank Representative Morthland and Representative Osmond. This Amendment it carries an agreement between the Department of Insurance and the insurance industry on what items or proof of death, death certificate are required in closing out a policy. We had a Bill on this last year. This cleans that up, and I'd ask for its adoption."
- Speaker Lyons: "Is there any discussion on the Amendment? Seeing none, all those in favor of the adoption of Floor Amendment #2 signify by saying 'yes'; those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Anything further, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Bolin: "There are no further amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lyons: "Third Reading. Representative Jim Sacia, for what purpose do you seek recognition, Sir?"

Sacia: "A moment of personal privilege."

Speaker Lyons: "Please proceed, Representative."

Sacia: "Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I have a very important announcement. This very day, Representative Rich Morthland, my colleague just to the south that represents the greater Rock Island area... areas around there, he and his lovely wife will celebrate 32 years of wedded bliss this very day. And he was upgraded to a room with a Jacuzzi tonight. I have no idea what that means."

59th Legislative Day

- Speaker Lyons: "Congratulations, Rich. If you're a little late tomorrow morning, we'll understand. Representative Beiser, on the Order of Second Reading-Senate Bill, you have Senate Bill 1644. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1644, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lyons: "Third Reading. Representative Naomi Jakobsson, on the bottom of page 9, under Senate Bills-Second Reading, you have Senate Bill 1943. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1943, a Bill for an Act concerning public health. Second Reading of this Senate Bill.

  Amendment #2 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments have been approved for consideration. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lyons: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, what's the status of Senate Bill 1943?"
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1943 is on the Order of Senate Bills-Third Reading."
- Speaker Lyons: "Move that Bill back to the Order of Second Reading on request of the Sponsor. Ladies and Gentlemen, I'll be starting on the Order of Senate Bills-Third Reading, starting on page 4 of the Calendar. The first one we'll be presenting, Representative Hernandez, you have Senate Bill 1236. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1236, a Bill for an Act concerning public aid. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."
- Speaker Lyons: "Representative Lisa Hernandez."

59th Legislative Day

5/19/2011

Hernandez: "Thank you, Speaker. Senate Bill 1236 is initiative of the Department of Human Services. This Bill will allow the department to establish, by rule, a costsharing copayment scale for families that receive childcare services based on family size, family income, and other factors as appropriate. The copayment will be based on the family income and family size and may be based on other factors as appropriate. The monthly copayment may be waived for families whose incomes are at below the federal poverty level. Federal Law mandates sliding fee scales to be based at minimum on income and family size and may be based on other factors as appropriate. This essentially would mean that a family of three with an income of 20 thousand would pay the same copay regardless of the amount of children in the family instead of the current system of a family of three with two children and a family income of 20 thousand paying exactly one dollar more than a family of three with one child and a family income of 20 thousand. This one dollar difference has created confusion and additional paperwork. This Bill creates a simplified and streamlined copayment system for Illinois childcare. I ask for your support."

Speaker Lyons: "You've heard the Lady's explanation. Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, 'Should Senate Bill 1236 pass?' All those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Beaubien, McAuliffe, Raymond Poe. Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill, there are

59th Legislative Day

5/19/2011

116 Members voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Mr. Clerk, on page 4 of the Calendar, under Senate Bill-Third Readings, Representative David Reis has Senate Bill 1637. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1637, a Bill for an Act concerning animals. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Lyons: "The Gentleman from Jasper, Representative David Reis."

Reis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 1637 is an initiative of the Dog Breeders Association. It will just require that the chips in these dogs are read for a second time to ensure that they get back to their rightful owner. We amended the Bill to make sure that the Illinois Humane and other various groups were happy with it. I'd ask for your support. Thank you."

Speaker Lyons: "You've heard the Gentleman's explanation. Is there any discussion? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Jack Franks."

Franks: "Thank you. Who was the Senate Sponsor?"

Reis: "Senator Althoff."

Franks: "Okay. And what was the vote, do you know?"

Reis: "57-0."

Franks: "Okay. Good to know that people come around on the animals. It's important to do."

Reis: "We just want to make sure the best practices are used and that these animals get back to their rightful owners."

Franks: "Okay. And they already have the scanning mechanisms?"

59th Legislative Day

5/19/2011

Reis: "They already have the scanning mechanism. This just ensures that they scan it for the second time. Sometimes the chips move around inside the body. Sometimes there's human error. Sometimes there's scanning errors. We just want to make sure that best practices are used to... so that the animal gets back to the rightful owner."

Franks: "And this is an animal, would the animal be alive or..."

Reis: "Yes, yes."

Franks: "Okay."

Reis: "They're alive and they're found..."

Franks: "Because this... I'm reading the analysis, and it says there has to be seven days... seven business day notice to the owner prior to disposal of the animal."

Reis: "Yes. They also have to make sure that they telephone them, e-mail them, and make every effort possible to get the owner back to the rightful owner. Then they'll put it up for adoption, if that doesn't happen before... or after the second scan. And then if that doesn't work, sometimes they have to put the animals down."

Franks: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Lyons: "Seeing no further discussion, the question is, 'Should Senate Bill 1637 pass?' All those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill, there's 116 Members voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative JoAnn Osmond, on page 4 of the Calendar, under Senate Bill 1761... you have

59th Legislative Day

5/19/2011

Senate Bill 16... 1761 on the Order of Third Reading-Senate Bills. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1761, a Bill for an Act concerning public health. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative JoAnn Osmond."

Osmond: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This... Senate Bill 1761 expands the newborn screening from newborn metabolic screening and includes the hurlers and hunters diseases as part of the screening process. I know of no opposition. Amendment #1 cleared up the opposition with the Department of Public Health. And I'd appre... I would be happy to answer anyone's questions."

Speaker Lyons: "You've heard the Lady's explanation. Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, 'Should Senate Bill 1761 pass?' All those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Patti Bellock, David Leitch. Representative Bellock, would you like to be recorded? Mr. Tryon, would you like to help Representative Bellock out. Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill, there's 116 Members voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Frank Mautino, on the Order of Third Reading-Senate Bills, you have Senate Bill 1804. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1804, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

59th Legislative Day

5/19/2011

Speaker Lyons: "The Gentleman from Bureau, Leader Frank Mautino."

Mautino: "Thank you, Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The... Senate Bill 1804 with the addition of the Amendment we put on together makes this an agreed Bill. The realtors brought this forward. There were some questions from the home builders. That was resolved. I know of no opposition. And what this basically says is that it prohibits certain deed restrictions or restrictive covenants from containing language that would stop a homeowner's ability to oppose the creation of a special service area. I'd ask for 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lyons: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mike Zalewski."

Zalewski: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lyons: "Sponsor yields."

Zalewski: "Leader, would you entertain some questions for legislative intent?"

Mautino: "Yes."

Zalewski: "Okay. Does this... does this legislation enable a property owner to challenge an SSA that has already been established prior to the purchase of the property?"

Mautino: "No. The legislation is clear that in its language that the only impacts the statutory rights of the homeowner to object to the creation of the SSA during the creation process. So this legislation does not address, nor impact any rights or obligations of the homeowner to comply with the terms of an ordinance established in a SSA in effect at the time they purchase their property."

59th Legislative Day

- Zalewski: "Does this legislation give the property owner the right to challenge or object to the annual levy after the adaptation of the establishing ordin... adoption of the establishing ordinance?"
- Mautino: "What an insightful question. No, if the ordinance establishing the tax was in existence at the time the owner purchased the property."
- Zalewski: "Does this legislation enable a property owner to object to the issuance of bonds after the adoption of the bond ordinance?"
- Mautino: "No, the legislation does not affect. The property owner has statutory rights as permitted under Section 2745, whereby they're authorized to object to the bond ordinance prior to its adoption, nor does it grant the property owner the right to object to the issuance of bonds pursuant to a bond ordinance adopted prior to the time they purchased the property."
- Zalewski: "And finally, does this legislation prohibit the ability for a property owner or purchaser to contractually agree via a contract for the sale, not to object to the establishment of a special service area or to waive the 60-day objection period to the establishment of the SSA?"
- Mautino: "And the answer is no. The legislation only seeks to restrict covenants and deed restrictions that run with the land beyond the present purchaser or landowner, which covenants or deed restrictions are recorded prior to the establishment of a special service area."

59th Legislative Day

- Zalewski: "Thank you, Leader. I spent a lot of time thinking those questions up, so I appreciate your indulgence in answering them."
- Mautino: "And that's actually more time I've spent answering a question than in any of the last 20 Bills."
- Zalewski: "To the Bill. This is a good Bill that simply gives due... allows for due process. I'd ask for an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Lyons: "Representative Mautino moves for the passage of Senate Bill 1804. All those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Cunningham, LaShawn Ford, would you like to be recorded? Representative Ford, would you like to vote? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill, there's 116 Members voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative D'Amico, on the bottom of page 4... Out of the record. Representative Hernandez, on the top of page 5 of the Calendar, you have Senate Bill 1945. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1945, a Bill for an Act concerning health. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."
- Speaker Lyons: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Lisa Hernandez."
- Hernandez: "Thank you, Speaker. Senate Bill 1945 creates the Comprehensive Healthcare Workforce Planning Act. Implementation is subject to appropriation of funds from the federal grant money applied by the Department of Public Health. The Bill sets up a 26-member state health care

59th Legislative Day

5/19/2011

council that would continuously look at and assess health care workforce trends, training issues, and finite... financing policies and recommendations for efforts to address identified needs. The council must also develop a five-year plan as well. And I ask for a favorable vote."

Speaker Lyons: "You've heard the Lady's explanation. Is there any questions? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Jack Franks."

Franks: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lyons: "Sponsor yields."

Franks: "Representative, our analysis indicates there's approximately \$400 thousand price tag to this. Is that correct?"

Hernandez: "Yes. I see that as well, but this is subject to appropriation of funds from the federal. This has to do with the health care reform. So the dollars come in..."

Franks: "From the feds. So, we're getting..."

Hernandez: "Yes."

Franks: "So my question was, as we just did these budget Bills last week, and I presume this was not appropriated in the line items of the budget. So... and this would have to be... come from federal dollars."

Hernandez: "Exactly."

Franks: "So, there'd be no state moneys being used."

Hernandez: "Absolutely."

Franks: "Thank you."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Hernandez moves for the passage of Senate Bill 1945. All those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have

59th Legislative Day

5/19/2011

all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Mautino, would you like to be recorded? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill, there's 116 Members voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Mr. Clerk, in the middle... on top of page 5, Representative Soto has Senate Bill 1949. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1949, a Bill for an Act concerning courts. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."
- Speaker Lyons: "The Lady from Cook, Representative...

  Representative Cynthia Soto."
- Soto: "Thank you, Speaker and Members of the House. Senate Bill 1949 reduces the waiting time for permanency hearings for abused and neglected dependent minors. This Bill requires the first permanency hearing to be held within the 12 months from the date of temporary custody that was taken... taken regardless of whether an adjudication or dispositional hearing has been completed within a time frame. This is a hearing to be conducted by a judge. This is an initiative of the Department of Children and Family Services. This is also a Bill that would be able to capture some federal dollars."
- Speaker Lyons: "You've heard the Lady's explanation. Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, 'Should Senate Bill 1949 pass?' All those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Arroyo, Chapin Rose. Mr.

59th Legislative Day

5/19/2011

Clerk, take the record. On this Bill, there's 116 Members voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Tryon, on the Order of Senate Bills-Third Reading, you have Senate Bill 1972. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1972, a Bill for an act concerning civil law. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."
- Speaker Lyons: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Mike Tryon."
- Tryon: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House... Senate Bill 7... 1972 actually will grant property owners' associations and townhome associations and master property owners' associations all equal standing when it comes to third party foreclosed buyers that... where they will get to be able to collect six months of their condo fees, plus the filing of the court fees and processing fees. So, everybody will be equal. I would be glad to answer any questions. Other... if there are none, I would urge an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Lyons: "You've heard the Gentleman's explanation. Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is 'Should Senate Bill 1972 pass?' All those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill, there's 116 Members voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Mike Connelly, on page 5 of

59th Legislative Day

- the Calendar, in the middle of the page, you have Senate Bill 2007. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2007, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."
- Speaker Lyons: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Mike Connelly."
- Connelly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 2007 is an agreement reached between the Treasurer's Office and the Illinois Press Association. It amends the Treasurer's Act to require the Treasurer to publish by notification in Chicago and Springfield newspapers only changes to college 529 programs. I want to thank those in the Treasurer's Office and Illinois Press Association who worked hard on making this an agreed Bill. I respectfully ask for an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Lyons: "You've heard the Gentleman's explanation of Senate Bill 2007. Is there any discussion? Seeing none, those in favor of its passage signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Chapa LaVia, Representative Roger Eddy. Roger, you want to be recorded? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill, there's 116 Members voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Mr. Clerk, what's the status of Senate Bill 2015?"
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2015 is on the Order of Senate Bills-Third Reading."

59th Legislative Day

- Speaker Lyons: "Move that Bill back to the Order of Second Reading on request of the Sponsor. Representative Rich Brauer, on the Order of Senate Bills-Third Reading, you have Senate Bill 2046. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2046, a Bill for an Act concerning..."
- Speaker Lyons: "I'm sorry, Representative. Mr. Clerk, I read the wrong Bill. Senate Bill 2042."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2042, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."
- Speaker Lyons: "Sorry, Representative Brauer. Representative Brauer on 2042."
- Brauer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill concerns Lincoln Land Community College. We have 39 community colleges in this state all but two are... trustees are elected at-large and that's Southwestern and Lincoln Land. And this gives the trustees ability to form their districts every 10 years. I'll answer all questions."
- Speaker Lyons: "You've heard the Gentleman's explanation of the Bill. Is there any discussion? Seeing none, all those in favor of the passage of Senate Bill 2042... all those in favor of the passage of Senate Bill 2042 vote 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Rita, Watson. Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill, there's 115 Members voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', 1 Member voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed.

59th Legislative Day

- Representative Rosenthal, for what purpose do you seek recognition, Sir?"
- Rosenthal: "Just to clarify my vote. I voted 'present' on the last Bill because of a possible conflict of interest."
- Speaker Lyons: "Thank you, Representative, for that clarification. The Journal will so note. Mr. Clerk, Representative Bellock has Senate Bill 2046 on the Order of Third Reading. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2046, a Bill for an Act concerning public aid. Third Reading of this Senate Bill.
- Speaker Lyons: "The Lady from DuPage, Representative Patti Bellock."
- Bellock: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 2046 authorizes the Department of Healthcare and Family Services to dispense up to a 90-day supply of brand name drugs. This is the same thing that we had done under the Medicaid reform regarding generic drugs. So, this is cost savings and an efficiency method to improve."
- Speaker Lyons: "You've heard the Lady's explanation of Senate Bill 2046. Is there any discussion? Seeing none, all those in favor of the passage of Senate Bill 2046 signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Sosnowski, Representative Winters, would you like to be recorded? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill, there's 116 Members voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Biss,

59th Legislative Day

- on the Order of Third Reading-Senate Bills, you have Senate Bill 2064. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2064, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."
- Speaker Lyons: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Dan Biss."
- Biss: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. This is a Bill that we discussed earlier in the week relating to gunrunning. Representative Durkin had a concern about an ambiguity in the Bill. It's been resolved by removing the option that was previously granted to the Secretary of State to revoke a license when the crime of gunrunning is committed instead only suspension is an option, which I believe will address the concern that had arisen. I'd be happy to take any questions."
- Speaker Lyons: "You've heard the Gentleman's explanation. Is there any discussion? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Dennis Reboletti.
- Reboletti: "Thank you, Speaker. To the Bill. I'd like to thank Representative Biss for making that change, but I would suggest to the Body that sometime in the future that the suspension or revocation should be similar to what we do if somebody is caught with drugs in their car and are convicted or actually steal cars and their license are... and their licenses are revoked for a long period of time, then they have to go through the process with the Secretary of State. So, I think this is a good start, but I think down the road, this needs to be reviewed. So, thank you very much, Mr. Speaker."

59th Legislative Day

5/19/2011

Speaker Lyons: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Jim Durkin."

Durkin: "Representative Biss, I'll echo what Representative Reboletti said, but I'm glad you took our comments to heart. And sometimes with freshmen Legislators, we tend to give them the business with our line of questioning, but I'm glad... we were serious with our questions, and you addressed the concerns we had. And I just appreciate that you did take the time to pull the Bill out of the record and make it a better Bill. Thank you."

Biss: "Thank you."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Biss to close."

Biss: "I just want to quickly say, first of all, thank you for the advice. I agree, it's improved the Bill. And I also want to say that I personally agree with Representative Reboletti's point of view. The Secretary of State felt strongly that this was the only appropriate step to take at this juncture and perhaps in subsequent General Assemblies or subsequent Sessions we can take further steps. Thank you."

Speaker Lyons: "The question is, 'Should Senate Bill 2064 pass?' All those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Brady. Chapin Rose. Representative Roth. Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill, we have 111 Members voting 'yes', 5 Members voting 'no', and 0 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby

59th Legislative Day

5/19/2011

declared passed. Representative La Shawn Ford, for what purpose do you seek recognition, Sir?"

Ford: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Just a point of personal privilege."

Speaker Lyons: "Please proceed, Representative."

Ford: "I would just want to let Representative Durkin know by no means does our professor on this side is that a means of weakness, by him pulling it out. Our professor over here will take your professor on any day. All right."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative David Reis."

Reis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. A point of personal privilege."

Speaker Lyons: "Please proceed, Representative."

Reis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would like the House to join me in welcoming from the Effingham Chamber Legislative Committee: Mayor Merv Gillenwater, three weeks on the job is in town today; his city administrator, Jim Arndt; Norma and Ken Lansing with the Chamber; and Bill Teichmiller with EJ Water. They're here today viewing the legislative process. Give them a hand."

Speaker Lyons: "Welcome to your Capitol. Glad to have you.

Representative Cunningham, on the Order of Senate Bill

Third Reading on the middle of page 5, you have Senate Bill

2069. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2069, a Bill for an Act concerning process servers. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Bill Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. Senate Bill 2069 was sponsored by Senator Jackie Collins in the Senate. It passed there unanimously. It's an initiative

59th Legislative Day

5/19/2011

of the Cook County Sheriff's Office and it's basically an effort to register private process servers throughout the state. We've worked with the Illinois Association of Professional Process Servers and the Associated Detectives and Security Agencies of Illinois on agreed language for the Bill. The basic summary is this Bill will require private process servers to register with their local sheriffs. We believe that it will encourage professional conduct on the part of private process servers and eliminate some of the misconduct we've seen in the past. I ask for an 'aye' vote. Be happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Lyons: "You've heard the Gentleman's explanation. Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is 'Should Senate Bill 2069 pass?' All those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Golar, Representative Jackson. Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill, we have 116 Members voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Ladies and Gentlemen, on page 11 of the Calendar, under Considered Postponed, we have Senate Bill 41. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk. The Clerk does not need to read it. It's on Postponed Consideration. We'll go directly to its sponsor, Representative Karen May."

May: "Thank you, Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

There was some question about whether this Bill was necessary. I've talked to the township officials, and it can't be done now under current law. The township officials

59th Legislative Day

- of Illinois are definitely neutral. It just gives permission to our townships to use... to collect leaves and twigs and other brush by vote at their regular meeting, not just the annual meeting."
- Speaker Lyons: "You've heard the Lady's explanation on Senate Bill 41. Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, 'Should Senate Bill 41 pass?' All those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Feigenholtz, Mell, Nekritz, Yarbrough. Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill, there are 64 Members voting 'yes', 51 Members voting 'no, 0 This Bill, voting 'present'. having receive Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared Representative Al Riley, on the Order of House Bills-Third Reading, you have House Bill 1602. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1602, a Bill for an Act concerning wildlife. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Lyons: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Al Riley."
- Riley: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Members of the House, House Bill 1602 just really clears up some ambiguous language, and what it does is it allows people with a disability to be able to use the crossbow exemption when they want to do bow hunting to take deer. Right now, it's very unam... ambiguous and there's been a lot of confusion as to whether or not people with a disability could use a crossbow. So, what it does is it takes the word 'permanent' out of the

59th Legislative Day

5/19/2011

current rule and just say that if you present with a doctor's notice that you do have a disability, you can use the crossbow exemption. It's a very good Bill. We worked on it a long time. And I request 'aye' votes."

- Speaker Lyons: "You've heard the Gentleman's explanation on House Bill 1602. Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, 'Should House Bill 1602 pass?' Those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Arroyo, Jerry Mitchell. Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill, there's 116 Members voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Ron Stephens, on the Order of Resolutions, you have your House Joint Resolution 32 on top of page 16 of the Calendar."
- Stephens: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Joint Resolution 32 would honor former State Representative, Senator, and Minority Leader Frank Watson for his 30 years of service to the State of Illinois by naming a portion of Interstate 70 from Highland to Vandalia the Frank Watson Parkway. I would move its adoption."
- Speaker Lyons: "You've heard the Gentleman's explanation on House Joint Resolution 32. All those in favor of its passage signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Dan Burke, Marlow Colvin. Marlow. Mr. Clerk, take the record. 116 Members voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And House Joint Resolution 32

59th Legislative Day

- is adopted. Representative Ford, you also have a House Resolution on the bottom of page 17, House Resolution 301. Representative Ford."
- Ford: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Resolution 301, I move for its adoption. And what it does... I'm slow on the switch today. My computer is locked. House Resolution 301 urges the Governor of the State of Illinois and each mayor and local sheriff of high crime municipalities to cooperate in a coordinated effort with municipalities to patrol high crime areas. I know of no opposition. And I move for its adoption."
- Speaker Lyons: "Representative Reis. Does not seek recognition.

  All those in favor of the adoption of House Resolution 301 signify by saying 'yes'; those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And House Resolution 301 is adopted. Mr. Clerk, on the Order of Senate Bills-Second Reading is Senate Bill 1342. What's the status of that Bill, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1342, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lyons: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, what's the status of Senate Bill 1631?"
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1631 is on the Order of Senate Bills-Third Reading."
- Speaker Lyons: "Place that Bill back on the Order of Second-Reading on request of the Sponsor. Mr. Clerk, what's the status of House Bill 1032?"

59th Legislative Day

- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1032, a Bill for an Act concerning employment. The Bill is read for second time on a previous day. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1 has been adopted. No further Floor Amendments have been approved for consideration. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lyons: "Move that Bill on the Order of Third Reading.

  Representative Rich Morthland, before you get in that hot tub, we have a Resolution that you'd like to possibly to present to the Body. On the top of page 18 of the Calendar, you have Resolution 328. Representative Morthland."
- Morthland: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Incidentally, I'll have the House know that my wife and I will be apart tonight. She will be... head back home for the commencement of Black Hawk College. And she's coming down tomorrow, but the hotel gave me the nice room in honor of that in advance. And I can't believe I'm doing this while I'm bringing the Resolution. Yes, I am asking here today for the support of House Resolution 328. It is a series of requests that will look at how we can improve fuel prices in Illinois. It asks the administrations both here in Springfield and in Washington, D.C., to take concrete steps to help improve fuel prices. I ask for its adoption."
- Speaker Lyons: "You've heard the Gentleman's explanation on House Resolution 328. Is there any discussion? Seeing... seeing none, all those in favor of its adoption signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Brauer, McAuliffe, back row Republicans. Representative Yarbrough. Mr. Clerk, take the

59th Legislative Day

- record. On this Resolution, there's 116 Members voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And House Resolution 328 is adopted. Representative Mike Bost."
- Bost: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Let the record reflect, for the rest of the day, Representative Schmitz will be excused."
- Speaker Lyons: "So noted. Thank you, Representative. On the Order of Resolutions on page 18 of the Calendar, Representative Frank Mautino, you have House Resolution 332. The Gentleman from Bureau, Leader Frank Mautino."
- Mautino: "Thank you. I appreciate the vote of the Body on Resolution 332. And this will have our Commission on Governmental Forecasting and Accountability take a look at the cost of the current Illinois insurance mandates, and they'll report back to us. The COGFA has the money within their budget and said that they can do this. And I think it's something we should do in light of the changes coming in health care."
- Speaker Lyons: "Representative May, question on House Resolution 332?"
- May: "Yes, I do have a question, Representative. Isn't there a lot of information out there already on costs? I mean, as I've been involved in health care, we know that maternity coverage and in vitro fertilization are the two really costly mandates. I guess my question to you is how much will this cost for us to do this and are those figures available? Will COGFA just be looking at figures that are already available?"
- Mautino: "Yes. My understanding the cost is minimal because, as you know, COGFA's a legislative agency. They respond to our

59th Legislative Day

5/19/2011

request. And they're most appropriate to do this study simply because we ask them to do the studies of the cost structures within all the state health care insurance and state employee health plans, so it won't be a burden to them under their normal course of duty. They'll be basically putting together information that exists throughout the country and for what items are available out there and it'll help us determine some of the cost, the impacts, and the utilizations of mandates, rather than having different groups guess in our committees.

May: "It... Mmm mmm."

Mautino: "And it becomes more important as we go towards the implementation of new health care rules because any mandates that are currently on the books that are not required by Federal Law must then be maintained in perpetuity."

May: "Mmm mmm. I'm happy to hear you say that it will look at utilization also because perhaps some of these are on the books, and they really aren't utilized or don't cost, but... So, a lot of it will be taking the body of knowledge that's out there and putting it in an executive summary, so we all read it?"

Mautino: "That'd be correct."

May: "Thank you."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Monique Davis on House Resolution 332."

Davis, M.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?" Speaker Lyons: "Sponsor yields, Representative."

59th Legislative Day

- Davis, M.: "Representative, when we look at the cost of these mandates, are we doing this in an attempt to protect the insurance industry?"
- Mautino: "No. Actually, it's an attempt to verify the numbers that have been given to us throughout the years."
- Davis, M.: "It's an attempt to do what?"
- Mautino: "To verify and produce our own numbers. Each time a new Bill or mandate comes before the committee, we have groups on either side that will talk about what it costs, what the benefits are. And so, I thought it was about time that we took a look at the list of our mandates, which is actually more mandates than most other states in the nation and take a look and find out what those impacts are, so we'll have that in hand as we begin to implement federal health care."
- Davis, M.: "Will there be a follow-up study on the cost of not mandating some of these things we do mandate? You know, for a very long time, there were women's issues that weren't covered by the insurance company and to find, say, breast cancer early, and have a treatment early is a lot less costly than not treating it at all or finding it very late. It's very expensive when these diseases or issues are found out much later. Representative, once we find out the cost of these mandates, what will be our next step? That's what I thought."
- Mautino: "As to this, it could be a question of can you... Any Member can request any type of a study. What I've asked specifically in this is to do a cost analysis, and this I think will be useful going forward. I chose COGFA because

59th Legislative Day

5/19/2011

we trust them to give us accurate numbers and costs on our own health care programs that are out there, those that we purchased for the state employees and so they have the expertise to do that. As to the other issue, any Member can put any type of study together that they would like. This is the information that I think'll be useful to us for my purposes going forward."

Davis, M.: "About how many... Do you know how many mandates we're talking about here? Do you have any idea the number of mandates?"

Mautino: "I believe 27... excuse me, 47 existing mandates."

Davis, M.: "Okay. There are 47 mandates."

Mautino: "Twice as much as many other states."

Davis, M.: "Twice as many as other states. And at this time, do you have any cost associated with those mandates?"

Mautino: "Well, we've heard from different groups throughout the years. I sometimes don't buy their numbers, and so I would rather have a compilation from the agency that works for us and divines our numbers to tell us what those impacts are or can be expected to be."

Davis, M.: "To the Resolution, Mr. Speaker. I know that Representative Mautino only wants to study the exact cost of the mandates, but I think the unintended consequence may be, let me repeat the unintended consequence may be, that some of these mandates that have been passed by these Legislators in the House, Legislators in the Senate, signed by Governors, they'll be considered, perhaps, not as important as these other groups thought they were. I believe that the health of a woman, I believe that the

59th Legislative Day

5/19/2011

health of a man can be extremely important by the State of Illinois especially if finding out or detecting disease early is taken care of. You know, I know that you only want the numbers just for whatever reason to see what they cost, but I think as people stand on the outside of that rail and ask us please support this issue because it'll protect children or it'll protect seniors or it'll protect men, who have to get prostate tests, you know, I just think it's important that we look at things that might have unintended consequence and I may be the only one, but I'm going to vote 'present' on this Resolution. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Mary Flowers on House Resolution 332."

Flowers: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lyons: "Sponsor yields, Representative Flowers. I apologize."

Flowers: "Representative, so you want to know the cost of the mandates. So, are you talking about the mandates like autism spectrum disorder? Are you talking about the mandates in regards to breast cancer and breast exams? Are you talking about the mandates like cancer treatment? Are those... or some type of...?"

Mautino: "Those... I believe those mandates, which are currently included and covered by Federal Law as items that must be in all policies, wouldn't be considered. It's those that are not required. Some of the items you've mentioned are covered by Federal Law."

Flowers: "But my point is... but they're dealing with health care. Those are the types of mandates that you're talking

59th Legislative Day

5/19/2011

about. And as the previous speaker spoke and asked a question, have you thought about the cost that it will cost the State of Illinois if we did not have intervention and prevention and how much it will cost the state as well as the insurance companies in the long run, if we did not have these mandates?"

Mautino: "I think that's useful information as well..."

Flowers: "Pardon me."

Mautino: "...for all of us. And if you would want to put another Resolution together to do that, I would gladly join with you. I think that you can't look at one side without the other. So..."

Flowers: "I agree."

Mautino: "...if you would like to put something together to that,

I'll join you because I believe it has value, as does

knowing what cost impact and utilization are from this

study."

Flowers: "I agree. And I am having the same type of Resolution drafted to see how much it would cost on the reverse side."

Mautino: "I'll join you on that."

Flowers: "And also, Representative, in regards to access to care, can you tell me how much has it cost in regards to the premiums going up, or how much the insurance mandate... the insurance companies have charged the people of the State of Illinois?"

Mautino: "That's a very, very general and vague question so why don't we do it this way. Each time that we add something to a policy that must be covered by all small employers, and I guess one of the problems is that most of the laws we make

59th Legislative Day

5/19/2011

don't affect unions or employer funded ERISA exempt, so it's carried basically by those small businesses, 25 and under or individuals and those tend to be the ones which get a heavier impact. So, I think there are a myriad of problems to be dealt with out there, but yes, whenever we say something must be in every policy whether it's wanted or not, then there is a cost and expense. And kind of, that's where... that's one of the broad areas that I'm looking at in here. I think your Resolution that you're going to be filing that I will join you on will look at what the savings may be by having these. So, where I'm going is there is an impact. We know it's an impact. We hear it in both your committee and my old committee every day. This'll, at least, give us numbers from our own agency which is COGFA, and we rely on them for our budget estimates and our health insurance costs. I think they're the appropriate ones to do it. And we know we will need both your set of numbers and mine as we go forward in the Veto Session with setting up exchanges."

Flowers: "Thank you, Representative. I will be voting 'no' on the Resolution, and I would just like to explain to you the reason why. I don't know the cost of a person's life and I'm sure that when a person purchases an insurance policy that they're paying for on their job they're not excluding no part of their body, that's number one. They don't know if they're going to have breast cancer. They don't know if they're going to have a child born with autism. They don't know what they might have, but they are purchasing insurance and insurance should be covering anything that

59th Legislative Day

5/19/2011

the doctor deems necessary. That was the purpose. When we purchase car insurance, we know we have a choice. We can purchase full coverage, or we can purchase collision. In health care, it's not that clear, that plain, and that simple. So, I will respectfully be voting 'no' on your Resolution."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Mautino to close."

Mautino: "Thank you very much. I think that the Resolution is timely. Our Commission on Governmental Forecast and Accountability has the ability and has the finances to conduct it. And I think it's timely since the... coming up in September or October, we'll be hearing from the Federal Government what mandates will need to be in any insurances sold within the exchange systems that are mandated by law in... beginning in 2014. I think this gives us a leg up and some information we can use. Thank you. I appreciate an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lyons: "You've heard the Gentleman's explanation. After a long discussion, the question is, those in favor of the adoption of House Resolution 332 should vote 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Currie, Will Davis, Robin Gabel. Will Davis. Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Resolution, there's 105 Members voting 'yes', 6 Members voting 'no', 4 Members voting 'present'. The Resolution is hereby confirmed adopted. Representative Jim Watson, for an announcement."

Watson: "First, Mr. Speaker, inquiry of the Chair."

Speaker Lyons: "Stake your inquiry, Sir."

59th Legislative Day

5/19/2011

Watson: "Do we have a weekend schedule at this point?"

Speaker Lyons: "I'm going to get to that, Jim."

Watson: "Okay. Thank you. For the announcement, the House Republicans will caucus in Room 118 immediately following adjournment."

Speaker Lyons: "Okay, Republicans, that's the bad news. The good news is, Ladies and Gentlemen, forgive me, I just deliver these messages. I don't write them, remember that. The House... the intention is for the House to not be here on Saturday. That will be confirmed first thing tomorrow. So, we'll let you know for sure tomorrow. So, we're almost there but not officially quite yet. The intention is to not be in Session on Saturday. Agreed Resolutions, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Bolin: "Agreed Resolutions. House Resolution 377, offered by Representative Morthland. House Resolution 378, offered by Representative Bill Mitchell. House Resolution 379, offered by Representative Sacia. House Resolution 380, offered by Representative Cross. House Resolution 381, offered by Representative Brauer. And House Resolution 384, offered by Representative Saviano."

Speaker Lyons: "Representative Mautino moves for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. All in favor signify by saying 'yes'; those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Agreed Resolutions are adopted. Late breaking developments, Members of the House. From higher up on the mountain, it's official, Saturday is canceled. I get them... when I get them, you get them. Saturday Session will be canceled. And now, seeing no further work to come before the Illinois House of

59th Legislative Day

5/19/2011

Representatives, Representative Mautino moves that the House stand adjourned 'til Friday, March 20th. Ladies and Gentlemen, the Calendar is wrong that was printed earlier in the week. We'll come into Session tomorrow at 10:30 in the morning. So Representative Mautino moves that the House stand adjourned, allowing perfunctory time for the Clerk, 'til the hour of 10:30 on Friday, May 20th. All those in favor signify by saying 'yes'; those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the House stands adjourned."