141st Legislative Day

November 13, 1990

- Speaker McPike: "The House will come to order. The chaplain for today is Father Gene Weitzel, from Saint Alexius Catholic Church in Beardstown. Father Weitzel is a guest of Representative Tenhouse. Guests in the balcony may wish to rise and join us for the invocation."
- Father Weitzel: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. All mighty and eternal God you know the language of peoples hearts and You protect their rights in your goodness. Watch over those in authority, those in this august chambers, so that people everywhere may enjoy true freedom, a deep sense of security and peace. And, Lord, speaking of peace, give our nation peace in the Middle East and in other troubled spots throughout the world. Bless us Lord. Keep us, love us and guide us for You are with us forever and ever. Amen."
- Speaker Giglio: "We will be led in the Pledge of Allegiance by Representative Ropp."
- Ropp et al: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all."
- *Speaker McPike: "Roll Call for Attendance. Just a minute Tony, hold on that please. The Rules Committee is still meeting so we'll stand at ease for a few seconds. Come to order. Roll Call for Attendance...Mr. Clerk. 117 Members answering the Roll Call, a quorum is present. Page three of the Calendar, Total Veto Motions. House Bill 2924, Representative Terzich. House Bill 2966, Representative Ropp. Mr. Ropp."
- Ropp: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. This is a Bill that we'd had two years ago that the Governor Amendatorily changed and House Bill 2966 was the exact wording that the Governor had proposed in his Amendatory

141st Legislative Day

November 13, 1990

Message a year ago. We so passed it. It passed the house with a large majority, it passed the Senate with a large majority. And what it attempts to do is to create an awareness for young people in school to be aware of the potential careers that are out there and how they can apply the basics that they are learning in school. The State Board of Higher Education, the board of...State Board of Education is in support of this. And many of the people that I have spoken to are aware of this and we're doing exactly what the Governor had proposed a year ago and I'd welcome your either comments or and support."

Speaker McPike: "Is there any discussion? Motion is to override the Governor's veto. On that, Representative Hartke."

Hartke: "Would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker McPike: "Yes."

Hartke: "Representative Ropp, I understand that the Governor's concern is there is no funding for this program. Where would it be financed with?"

Ropp: "Well, the funding in the fiscal note by the board, the State Board of Education said that it was so minimal that they couldn't even determine how much it was."

Hartke: "How much?"

Ropp: "It's left up to the administrators of each school district to determine that, so it's minimal at best."

Hartke: "How much is minimal do you think?"

Ropp: "Well, I don't think it should cost each school district more than a hundred bucks."

Hartke: "I mean many of our school districts are minimal they don't have the dollars to continue the programs that they have now."

Ropp: "Well, the point of this is that when you get involved with the state JTPA program as I am a member of that and we see the need for acquainting young people with job preparedness

141st Legislative Day

November 13, 1990

and preparing those people for the world of work opportunity, if we do not in fact begin to plant that seed in the minds of young people at a very early age, it certainly makes it more difficult to make them aware of what jobs are available when they get through with high and awaits to go into the job market. To be real honest my wife is already doing this in the third grade. It doesn't cost any money and it's the kind of thing that I think every administrator is welcoming."

Hartke: "This is another mandate though right?"

Ropp: "It's a mandate because it's helping people prepare themselves for the world of work opportunity. In terms of dollars, it does not mandate the need for additional dollars."

Hartke: "Well, I have no further questions."

Speaker McPike: "Further discussion? Representative Ropp to close."

Ropp: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. In attempts to encourage young people to look into the future for what kind of career they should be entering and to applying the very basic skills that they have in school, I urge your support of this so that young people can be aware of what's ahead for them when they graduate in the world of work opportunity."

Speaker McPike: "The questions is, 'Shall House Bill 2966 pass the veto of the Governor notwithstanding?' All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion there are 82 'ayes'. Mr. Clerk, did you take the record? 82 'ayes' and 15 'nos'. This Motion having received the required Three-Fifths Majority is adopted and this Bill is hereby declared passed, the veto of the Governor notwithstanding. Committee Report."

141st Legislative Day

November 13, 1990

- Clerk Leone: "Committee Report. On November 13, 1990, the Committee on Rules met and pursuant to Rule 46.1 makes the following report on Bills Amendatorily vetoed by the Governor. Compliance with Rule 46.1 (b) House Bills 493, 1055..."
- Speaker McPike: "Excuse me...excuse me just a minute.

 Representative Stephens."
- Stephens: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I wonder if you could be of some assistance. There seems to be blood all over the aisle on this side. I wonder if you could come and give us some assistance Sir.."

Speaker McPike: "Moi."

- Stephens: "Well, it only...You are in the Chair and we do need your assistance."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Stephens, we're glad to have you here for the next couple of months."

Stephens: "My pleasure!"

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Clerk, proceed."

- Clerk Leone: "Continuing with Bills that comply with Rule 46.1(b)

 House Bill 1055, 1220, 1268, 2700, 3058, 3136, 3152, 3164,
 3183, 3261, 3337, 3356, 3562, 3613, 3656, 3755, 3766, 3771,
 4038 and 4159. Noncompliance with Rule 46.1(b); House
 Bills 2872, 3042, 3146, 3580, 3585, 3639, 3852 and 4124.

 And a Committee on Rules has met pursuant to Rule 29(c)3

 and the following Bills have been ruled exempt on November
 13, 1990; Senate Bill 543, signed by Chairman John
 Matijevich."
- Speaker McPike: "Returning to House Bill 2924. The Chair recognizes Representative Terzich."
- Terzich: "Mr. Speaker, I move that we...override the Governor's veto with regard to House Bill 2924. This proposal passed both the House and the Senate by a very large majority, and the reason for it was that it was, a very strong case was

141st Legislative Day

November 13, 1990

made for this proposal. Let me refresh your memory while you have the Veto Message and synopsis in front of you. feel there are several pertinent facts that have to made so that this Motion can be fully understood and justified. years ago during the waning hours of the Session, the General Assembly passed legislation which shifted the responsibility of misdemeanor prisoners from the state to the county because of the overcrowding in the state And I'm sure that you can understand that penitentiaries. with this shift in responsibility other things we're shifted also. Thousands of prisoners were left in the counties and millions of dollars which responsibility of the state became the responsibility of the counties. At this point in time the sheriffs have assured, by their Leaders and other Members of the General Assembly, that they would be compensated for additional responsibility. As you can see Governor's Message, a small amount of money of \$212,000 to be distributed categorically to those sheriffs who qualify. This is a little compensation when considering the responsibility to relief...in large amounts of savings the state has enjoyed because οf the shift in responsibilities, and I'm sure that you will agree. I know that you will be fair in this matter and support this Motion and I thank you for your consideration."

Speaker McPike: "Any discussion? Is there any discussion of the issue? Representative Countryman."

Countryman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I don't remember this Bill, but this is a pay raise. It's a pay raise for sheriffs. And I've never stood for these stipends, whether they be to county clerks or county treasurers or whatever, but I just think the Members ought be aware that you're talking about a \$2,000 a

141st Legislative Day

November 13, 1990

year pay raise to operate the jail by sheriffs and that's a part of the sheriffs responsibility by statutes. So, if you want to vote for it go ahead, but from one lame duck to another lame duck who's the Sponsor, I don't intend to vote for it. Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Dunn."

Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in support of the Motion. The Members will recall a few years ago, Governor Thompson, to alleviate prison crowding, introduced and saw to the passage of legislation which would require the housing of misdemeanor offendants in county jails. The purpose legislation of course, was to relieve the expense of that confinement from the State Treasury and to place the burden upon county government. The impact of that legislation means greater administrative duties and responsibilities for those who are in charge of administering the local county jails, the sheriffs. That responsibility has gone unrewarded all these years and this is good legislation. It compensates the sheriffs for the duties incurred as a result of our action right here in this room, in this chamber, and I think it's a good Bill...a good Motion, pardon me, and I urge your support of Representative Terzich's Motion to override the Governor and urge a green vote."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Hartke."

Hartke: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Would the sponsor yield?"

Speaker McPike: "Yes."

Hartke: "Representative Terzich, are we already giving the sheriffs a stipend and this would be a second stipend?"

Terzich: "No we are not. As a matter of fact, the responsibility for these prisoners were transferred over to the sheriffs

- 141st Legislative Day

 and this is just an additional compensation for those that are maintaining these prisoners."
- Hartke: "I recall, I think, it was difficult to hear, Representative Dunn talked about the stipends we've given the county clerk and so forth. That was for a set...\$3,500 wasn't it?"
- Terzich: "No, the sheriffs are not getting any stipends. No they are not. This particular Bill provides for a stipend to certain sheriffs who are in control of prisoners based upon the population of the prisoners."
- Hartke: "For those counties that do not have jails, they would receive no compensation or extra stipend. Is that correct?"
- Terzich: "That's correct. The sheriffs are the only ones that I know of a county office that do not receive a stipend."
- Hartke: "But in every other stipend case we've given the county officeholder a stipend of equal amount?"

Terzich: "That's correct."

Hartke: "That's correct. Correct? I have no further questions."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Terzich to close."

- Terzich: "Yes. As I mentioned before that this of course has been supported by the Sheriff's Association and it's simply a small compensation when considering the responsibility and the relief of a large amount of savings that the state has enjoyed because of the fact that these prisoners...the responsibility was transferred over to the sheriffs. It's a small amount of money and certainly well deserved by the sheriffs in the State of Illinois. Appreciate your support."
- Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall House Bill 2924 pass, the veto of the Governor notwithstanding?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Terzich."
- Terzich: "Mr. Speaker, I'd just like to mention that this is

probably Bob Terzich's last Bill. Yeah, I mean you wont have...you wont have Bob to kick around anymore. It's only \$212,000. Give me a break."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Shaw. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. Hallock 'aye'. On this Motion there are 43 'ayes' and 52 'nos' and the Motion fails. House Bill 3109, Representative Stern. Grace Mary Stern."

Stern: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I am asking for your vote in lieu of Lee Preston who was unable to present this Bill on the requirement that there be a parenting section added to the education and high school of our youngsters. We have only to read the newspapers everyday to know that young people unprepared for the challenges of being parents abuse their children, don't care for them appropriately, starve them and beat them. If we can prevent the kinds of tragedies that I am talking about, we can see to it that young people know what is coming when they have a child, we can in the last analysis save costs in prisons, save cost in school, save costs in child abuse It is of the greatest importance that this kind of issue be included in the curriculum of our schools in Illinois. I ask your 'aye' vote on the override Motion."

Speaker McPike: "And on the Motion, Representative Pullen."

Pullen: "Mr. Speaker, I guess this is a parliamentary inquiry.

Does the Lady have written permission from the Sponsor to carry this Bill?"

Stern: "Yes."

Pullen: "Has that permission been filed?"

Stern: "It was sent to Speaker Madigan. I received a copy of it."

I think I received a copy of it."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Stern...Representative Pullen, we'll take this Bill out of the record. And the Clerk does

- 141st Legislative Day

 November 13, 1990

 not have anything in writing at this time, so we'll take it out of the record. House Bill 3655, Representative Hicks.

 Mr. Hicks. 3655 Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 3655. Motion to override filed by Representative Hicks."
- Hicks: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would move to override House Bill...the Amendatory Veto on House Bill 3655."
- Speaker McPike: "Is there any discussion? Being none...Representative Stephens."
- Stephens: "Well thank you Mr. Speaker. I think we need to know that if we override this veto that the fiscal impact is \$4 million. And knowing that you guys are going to be here and have to balance the budget next year and deal with this lost revenue, I think it only makes good sense to listen to what the Governor said and to uphold this veto. So I rise in opposition to the Gentlemen's Motion."

Speaker McPike: "Further discussion? Mr. Hicks to close."

- Hicks: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. You know it was good judgement when we passed this Bill in the first place and I think it is still good judgement. I think we ought to override the Governor on this Bill. It's a good Bill and it's going to take care of a lot of fine people."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 3655 pass, the veto of the Governor notwithstanding?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion there are 67 'ayes' and 46 'nos'. This Motion having failed to received the Three-Fifths Majority, fails. House Bill 3671, Representative Keane. Out of the record. House Bill 3699, Representative Novak. Out of the record.

141st Legislative Day

November 13, 1990

3952, Representative Novak. The Chair is going to go to Amendatory Vetoes on compliance Motions only. The first Motion is on House Bill 493 by Representative Homer. Mr. Homer. The Gentleman asks the Bill be taken out of the record. Representative Hasara on House Bill 1055."

Hasara: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 1055 provides for a confidential intermediary to be appointed by the court in cases of adoption. Subsequent part of the Bill included some information upon the death of the biological relative to be included in the Department of Public Healths file. The Governor took that part out because he felt that this was a breech of confidentiality of adoptions. So I move to accept the Amendatory Veto to House Bill 1055."

Speaker McPike: "Is there any discussion? There being no discussion the question is, 'Shall the House accept the specific recommendations for change with respect to House Bill 1055?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion there are 107 'ayes', and no 'nays'. This Motion has received the required Constitutional Majority, Motion is adopted, and the House accepts the Governor specific recommendations for change. Returning to House 493, Representative Weller."

Weller: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move to accept the specific recommendations in the Governor as to House Bill 493. The Governor's changes and recommendations were purely technical changes at the suggestion of the Secretary of State. And I ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker McPike: "Is there any discussion? On that Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes. Would the Gentleman yield?" Weller: "Yes."

141st Legislative Day

- November 13, 1990
- Cullerton: "Could you further explain what the effect of the Governor's Amendatory Veto is and how it changes your Bill and why you've decided to except it?"
- Weller: "The Governor's changes were at the recommendation of the Secretary of State to ease administration of the Bill so that it complies with these current administration of driver's license cancellation and suspension programs."
- Cullerton: "Well, when you say the Secretary of State, do you mean the current Secretary of State or the Secretary of State elect?"
- Weller: "The current Secretary of State, Representative."
- Cullerton: "Well, this Bill is going to apply to...the Secretary of State's Office for the next four years, isn't it?"
- Weller: "That's correct."
- Cullerton: "So have you thought about maybe talking to George Ryan about what he thinks about it before we take a vote on this? Have you talked to George Ryan?"
- Weller: "Lieutenant Governor Ryan, the Secretary elect, has no problems with the Bill."
- Cullerton: "Well, now...you see all we have here is the Governor's recommendations, and he says with the exception of Sections 6-107, and 6-108 and 6-201. Could you tell me what they are?"
- Weller: "Are you on the Governor's letter?"
- Cullerton: "Yeah. The Governor's...specific changes refer to three sections, and of course they're not included in the Governor's actual Amendatory Veto. So if you could tell me what those three refer to."
- Weller: "The section we're referring, to Representative, is the section that deals with the cancellation of drivers license. This legislation allows for the cancellation of drivers license for convicted drug offenders for cannibus or narcotic offenses, and so that section needed to be

- 141st Legislative Day

 amended to provide for this."

 November 13, 1990
- Cullerton: "Yes. Representative Weller, thank you very much for answering that question. And by the way, Representative Weller, let me take this opportunity to congratulate you on your re-election."

Weller: "Thank you."

- Cullerton: "This has been I think your second election to the House of Representatives?"
- Weller: "Representative, I'm glad to be here. It was my third election where I stood election and a lot of good people worked hard. And I look forward to working with you the next two years."
- Speaker McPike: "Excuse me, Representative Weller. Excuse me, I wonder if we could have a little quiet? I wonder if we could have some quiet on the House floor so that Representative Weller can answer the question? I wonder if we could have some quiet in this aisle over here? I wonder if...on the Democratic aisle I wonder if we could have a little bit of quiet? Thank you. Representative Weller, answer the question now if you would please, Sir?"
- Weller: "I believe the Representative congratulated me on my re-election and asked me how many times I've stood election. The 1990 election was the third time I stood election before the voters of the 85th District, and I'm honored and privileged to be coming back as a Representative and I look forward to working with you."
- Cullerton: "I want to congratulate you at this time and also I'd like to congratulate...I'd like to congratulate Judge Slater who was a former Representative on his election. And also Representative...Is he here? I understand he might even be here. Judge Slater. And also Representative Pullen. I'd like to also congratulate Representative Pullen on her election."

141st Legislative Day

November 13, 1990

Speaker McPike: "And Judge Countryman."

Cullerton: "And Judge Countryman? Yes, that's right, he won as well. And I'd also would like to congratulate at this time Mr. Speaker, if it's in order, the House Elections Committee."

Speaker McPike: "Yes."

Cullerton: "It met, I think, six years ago. You may recall there was some controversy. That was the matter of..."

Speaker McPike: "The Chair recalls that."

Cullerton: "Yes. That was the matter of Christensen versus Weller and we... it was alleged that we stole the election in that time. There's a lot of controversy. As a matter of fact, I even have the transcripts here from the debate on the House floor and I was...I can quote to you from Judge...now Judge ...now Appellate Court Judge Slater. He said, 'In election law, the most elementary principle is that uninitialed ballots shall not be counted. The Illinois Election Code is clear.' That's what Judge Slater said then on the House floor."

Speaker McPike: "What did Representative Pullen say?"

Cullerton: "Well, Representative Pullen said, 'No he was wrong uninitialed ballots should be counted. And fortunately the Supreme Court...the 'Supremes'. The Supreme Court in a recent decision said that Judge Slater, had he held this opinion as a judge would have been absolutely 100% wrong, that uninitialed ballots should be counted. And so I was...I wanted to take the opportunity to congratulate Representative Pullen because there was another excellent lawyer by the name of Representative McCracken, and Representative McCracken also said that the law is clear. He said this back on April 2, 1987. Нe said, 'The law is clear the statutes are clear. Computer ballots cannot be counted other than by use of the voting

141st Legislative Day

November 13, 1990

machine'."

Speaker McPike: "Representative."

Cullerton: "If the voting machine does not register the vote, the vote is disregarded."

Speaker McPike: "Representative McCracken said that."

Cullerton: "Representative McCracken said that. He said, 'The question should not be whether or not you can hold up a ballot and see if the light can shine through the hole.'"

Speaker McPike: "What did Representative Pullen say to that?"

Cullerton: "Representative Pullen said Representative McCracken

was wrong. Representative Pullen said, 'No, you should look to the voter's intent.' And you know what Mr. Speaker, the Supreme Court, the 'Supremes', I think in an unanimous decision decided that Representative McCracken was wrong, Judge Slater was wrong, the lawyers for Mr. Weller were wrong that Penny Pullen, Representative Pullen and the House Elections Committee were right to hold those ballots up and try and do what the voters wanted to do. For that reason I want to take this opportunity to congratulate both of them on their re-election."

Speaker McPike: "Congratulations, Representative Pullen. And Representative Pullen personally from the Chair I would like to thank you for your excellent legal advice to the Supreme Court because I feel like I've been vindicated too. Thank you very much. Representative Pullen."

Pullen: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker and I, I think it's quite interesting that the Gentleman on the other side is the one to first bring this up here today. Since he repeatedly during the year told me with what regret he personally had that my case was going through the court so that he could not give me a Jerry Weller style recount. And so I am grateful to God that Mr. Cullerton is not a judge and that I had the opportunity to receive justice from the Illinois

141st Legislative Day

November 13, 1990

Supreme Court and that they have established case law that will guide future election recounts, I hope, if anyone pays attention to their decision in the future in Illinois. Thank you, and it's good to be back."

- Speaker McPike: "Mr. Weller, did you want to take the Bill out of the record or answer the question?"
- Weller: "I believe, Mr. Speaker, I've answered the question and at this time I move to accept the specific recommendations of the Governor as to House Bill 493 and ask for an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker McPike: "Alright. The question is, 'Shall the House accept House Bill 493 with specific recommendations change...let me restate that. 'Shall the House accept the specific recommendations for change with respect to House 493. All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this Motion there are 101 'ayes' and 7 'nos'. Motion has received the required Constitutional Majority. The Motion is adopted and the House accepts the Governor's specific recommendations for change. House Bill 1220, Representative Curran. Mr. Curran, on a Motion. Out of the record. Representative Currie, House Bill 1268. House Bill 2700, Representative Stephens."
- Stephens: "Well thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move that we accept the specific recommendations for change in House Bill 2700, a technical change. This Bill affected the Fish Code and it offered protection for reptiles under the Fish Code and had a technical problem that the Governor changed. I would move its acceptance."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Well, if you can refresh my memory as to what the original Bill did. The original Bill amended the Fish Code

141st Legislative Day

November 13, 1990

prohibiting the taking of amphibians and reptiles and then there was the turtle and frog exception, is that correct?"

Stephens: "It brought in...it was meant to bring in protection of all reptiles under the Fish Code. Turtles and frogs were already excluded, so when we excepted them we made a mistake."

Cullerton: "Okay, and then what did the Governor do?"

Stephens: "Struck that language."

Cullerton: "So, he took out turtles and frogs?"

Stephens: "The exception, yes."

Cullerton: "So..."

Stephens: "They were already exempt. We were not aware of that when we drafted the Bill and"

Stephens: "Oh, I see. So it was just simply...it would have been in there twice. The exception would have been in the law twice?"

Stephens: "Yes."

Cullerton: "Well, I've been asked to ask you."

Stephens: "Why doesn't Representative LaPaille ask me himself?"

Cullerton: "Well, he doesn't have a seat here and he doesn't have a microphone."

Stephens: "Neither do I."

Cullerton: "Well, you do now. We wanted to know if this was your re-election Bill, because if it was we're suppose to support you on it."

Stephens: "I can't explain why all those Democrat tickets came out and voted straight ticket in our district, I can just live the results."

Cullerton: "Because they wanted lower taxes, that's why?"

Stephens: "Well, then they certainly made an mistake, didn't they?"

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the House accept the specific recommendations for change with respect to House

141st Legislative Day

November 13, 1990

Bill 2700?' All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion there are 107 'ayes' and 2 received This Motion has the required Constitutional Majority. The Motion is adopted and the House accepts the Governors specific recommendations House Bill 3058, Representative Cullerton. change. Mr. Clerk, announcement."

Clerk Leone: "Supplemental #1 to the House Calendar is now being distributed."

Speaker McPike: "3058, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes. Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen the House. I move to accept the Governor's changes to this particular Bill. The Bill was an Agreed Bill that we worked on with the Department of Public Aid. It created the Homelessness Prevention Act. It would allow for department at their discretion to establish a program to provide onetime grants to people in households in time of crisis in order to help prevent homelessness. This was contingent upon the fact that federal dollars would have...we believed would be eligible for federal funding and it was only if federal funding came through that program would go into effect. And also it was clear that the money could not come from an existing programs for homeless. Now, in determining whether or not the applicant should receive any funds, they must demonstrate an ability to meet the perspective rental obligation after assistance has been granted. That assistance would cover only three months of rent arrearage but the way we drafted the Bill it cannot be greater than three months of rent arrears or three times the monthly fair market rent established for the applicant's jurisdiction by the federal department of Housing and Urban Development. That language

141st Legislative Day

November 13, 1990

is put in there at the request of, I believe, the Department of Public Aid. Now, the Governor was concerned about setting assistance limits based on rent arrearages that are greater than the actual rent...or the Department of Housing and Urban Developments fair market rent. Governor deleted that HUD rent standard but he retained the Bill...the substance of the Bill and I think it's an important Bill because I think people are aware now that the whole face of homelessness is really changing. not talking about elderly males who happen to be alcoholic, who are the traditional skid row bums. The people who we are finding are homeless now are many single women raising children. And this is designed to help people right before they become homeless so that hopefully it can be So I would move to accept the Governor's It keeps intact a very a very good Bill Amendatory Veto. which I hope will be enacted the Department of Public Aid." Speaker McPike: "Is there any discussion? Being none, the 'Shall the House accept the specific question is, recommendations for change with respect to House Bill All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. 3058?' All voted? Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. Hicks 'aye', Representative Hicks 'aye'. On this Motion there are and no 'nays'. This Motion has received the required Constitutional Majority. The Motion is adopted House accepts the Governor's specific recommendation for change. House Bill 3136, out record. House Bill 3152, Representative Homer. Mr. Homer, 3152."

Homer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. The Bill had three parts to it. It makes the alternative enterprise zone sales tax exemption requirement a \$40 million

141st Legislative Day

November 13, 1990

investment and 90% job retention into a combined requirement, as opposed to the current law which had them as alternate requirements. It also expanded the enterprise zone sales tax exemption for manufacturing to include printing consumables, machinery and equipment. And finally the Bill authorized DCCA to create seven additional enterprise zones in calendar year 1990. The Governor approved the first provision unchanged. He limited the second provision with regard to the enterprise zone sales tax exemption to counties that have a population between 4,000 and 45,000 specifically to limit the application to specifically those counties in which World Color Press located and operating, and finally with respect to the creation of the new enterprise zones the governor wants to give DCCA until January of 1991 to process the applications which would certainly be a reasonable request. So, Mr. Speaker, I would move to accept the Amendatory Veto of House Bill 3152."

Speaker McPike: "Is there any discussion? Being none, the question is, 'Shall the House accept the specific recommendations for change with respect to House Bill 3152. All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion there are 110 'ayes' and 1 'no'. This Motion has received the required Constitutional Majority, the Motion is adopted and the House accepts the Governor's specific recommendations for change. House Bill 3164, Representative Trotter."

Trotter: "Good afternoon, Sir. I move to accept the Governor's Amendatory Veto of House Bill 3164. The changes that he requested do not change the intent of the Bill at all. And I believe that the good work that we did in the Spring Session still remains and I would like to accept."

141st Legislative Day

November 13, 1990

Speaker McPike: "Is there any discussion on the Gentleman's Motions? Being none, the question is, 'Shall the House accept the specific recommendations for change with respect to House Bill 3164?' All in in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. All voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take record. On this Motion there are 112 'ayes' ກດ 'nays'. This Motion has received the Constitutional Majority, the Motion is adopted and the House accepts the Governor's specific recommendations for change. House Bill 3183, Representative Kulas. Out of the record. Representative Kulas, out of the record at this time. House Bill 3261, Representative Hicks. Larry Hicks. House Bill 3337, Representative Homer. Mr. Homer. House Bill 3356, Representative Doederlein."

Doederlein: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in to make a Motion to accept the Amendatory Veto of House Bill 3356. It removes a provision requiring the court to provide for restitution to dog owners if the dog is found not vicious. I think it's an acceptable Motion to the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Is there any discussion? There being none, question is, 'Shall the House accept the specific recommendations for change with respect to House All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will the record. On this Motion there are 107 'ayes' and no 'nays'. This Motion has received the required Constitution Majority. The Motion is adopted and the House accepts Governor's specific recommendations for change. Representative Homer. Mr. Homer. House Bill 3562, Representative White. Representative White. Oh, Representative Homer, I'm sorry I didn't see you. House Bill 3337, Representative Homer."

141st Legislative Day

November 13, 1990

Homer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. This is the Drug Free Work Place Act legislation that was changed by the Governor insofar as delaying the effective implementation date by one year from January 1, 91 to January 1, 92, in order to allow for the...for procedures to be established under the Administrative Procedure Act for the debarment of contractors who violate the provisions of the Act. As the Governor pointed out it will take some period of time in order to develop the scheme under the Administrative Review Act. I think it's a reasonable change and would move that we accept the Amendatory Veto."

Speaker McPike: "Is there any discussion? Being none, question is, 'Shall the House accept the specific recommendations for change with respect to House Bill 3337. favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the On this Motion there are record. 108 'ayes' and no 'nays'. This Motion has received the required Constitutional Majority, the Motion is adopted and the House accepts the Governor's specific recommendations for change. House Bill 3562, Representative White."

White: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move to accept the Governor's Amendatory Veto on House Bill 3562. We're talking about some technical changes and that is the gist of the Bill. I move for its adoption."

Speaker McPike: "Is there any discussion? Being none, the question is, 'Shall the House accept the specific recommendations for change with respect to House Bill 3562?' All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion there are 110 'aye' and no 'nays'. The Motion has received the required

- 141st Legislative Day

 Constitutional Majority, the Motion is adopted and the House accepts the Governor's specific recommendation for change. House Bill 3613, out of the record. House Bill 3656, Representative Leverenz."
- Leverenz: "I thank you, Mr Speaker. I would simply move to accept the Governor's recommendations for change on House Bill 3656. It's a one line change. I ask for your 'aye' vote."
- Speaker McPike: "Any discussion? Being none, the question is, 'Shall the House accept the specific recommendations for change with respect to House Bill 3656?' All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Wait. The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion there are 112 'ayes' and no 'nays'. The Motion has received the required Constitution Majority. The Motion is adopted, and the House accepts the Governor's specific recommendations for change. House Bill 3755, Representative Levin. Representative Levin, do you have an announcement? Would you make that first?"
- Levin: "Alright. Yes. Mr. Speaker, before we get to the Bill I'd like to announce that the previously scheduled meeting of the House Committee on Public Utilities for tomorrow morning at 9:30 has been cancelled. There will be no hearing tomorrow morning. People can sleep late."

Speaker McPike: "Alright. On your Motion now, Sir."

Levin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would move to accept the Governor's specific recommendations for change with respect to House Bill 3755. The Bill amends the Public Aid Code in relation to medicare nursing home payments. The changes in the Governor's Message are very consistent with what we intended and simply make technical changes. If there are any questions...ask, otherwise I would simply ask for support for the Governor's changes."

141st Legislative Day

November 13, 1990

Speaker McPike: "Any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the House accept the specific recommendations for change with respect to House Bill 3755?' All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion there are 110 'ayes' and no 'nays'. This Motion has received the required Constitution Majority. The Motion is adopted and the House accepts the Governor's specific recommendations for change. House Bill 3766, Representative Homer."

Homer: "Speaker, are we taking Override Motions?"

Speaker McPike: "No."

"Alright, then out of the record. This is an override." Speaker McPike: "Well, the Chair stands corrected. We will take an Override Motion, yes. Mr. Homer, proceed. proceed. Representative Homer, proceed on your override." Homer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Bill had two part one part the Governor approved standardized the annual fee for persons making child support payments at \$36 per order to make it uniform throughout all the counties in Illinois. The second part which he Amendatorily Vetoed, would provide a \$10,000 supplemental payment per year to the counties in which correctional institutions located. In order to allow the circuit clerk's offices in those counties to hire one additional employee accommodate the additional paperwork that results from filings of by inmates that are located within that county only by virtue of their involuntary commitment in the correctional center. It's a fact that in these counties that a great volume of paperwork is filed by these inmates resulting in a burden on the circuit clerk's office. And \$10,000 award is designed to help them hire an employee and must be used solely for that purpose. And

141st Legislative Day

November 13, 1990

there's one part of the Bill that the Governor also approved that I neglected to point out. That is a...to include for purposes of reimbursement to counties States Attorneys where correctional institutions are located those counties where there is a two plus two baccalaureate degree program in conjunction with a community college and a four year state educational institution of higher learning. So I would move that we override the Governor's Veto and would encourage your green votes."

Speaker McPike: "On the Motion, Representative Black."

Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Could I ask a question of the Sponsor?"

Speaker McPike: "Yes, proceed."

Black: "Representative Homer, you've explained the veto quite succinctly, but could I ask you a question about the Bill as originally passed? I assume I can. Representative, if you would, didn't this Bill as originally passed remove a power from county boards and mandated a child support collection fee?"

Homer: "Yes. It made it standard throughout the counties. There had been an inconsistency in the way in which county to county had implemented the authority to charge these fees. So this would make it uniform, would make it a flat \$36 annual fee for every county."

Black: "Thank you, very much. I appreciate your forthrightness on that issue. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this might be a good time and might be the only opportunity to bring this Bill back for a complete overhaul. This child support fee is not supported by many of your constituents and I would urge that you be very careful in your vote on this Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Countryman."

Countryman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Gentleman yield?"

141st Legislative Day

November 13, 1990

Speaker McPike: "Yes."

Countryman: "Representative Homer, our analysis says that this provides that the fee requirements of the circuit clerk for Cook County and DuPage Counties do not apply to the Attorney General or any State's Attorney. Is that a provision of the Bill?"

Homer: "I had a hard time hearing that. Repeat that please?"

Countryman: "Our analysis says that the Bill provides that the fee requirements of the circuit clerk for Cook and DuPage Counties do not apply to the Attorney General or the State's Attorney. Is that a part of this Bill?"

Homer: "What fee are they talking about?"

Countryman: "Well, I assume that they're the filing fees. But

I...and I assume that they don't apply but I don't

understand why they wouldn't apply or why this would single

out DuPage and Cook County as opposed to the other hundred

counties in the state."

Homer: "Speaker."

Speaker McPike: "Representative...Yes."

Homer: "The Gentleman's asked a question which...for which I'm not prepared to answer at this time. I would ask that the Bill be removed from the record."

Speaker McPike: "Out of the record. House Bill 3771,
Representative Breslin."

Breslin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen I move to override the Governor's Amendatory Veto on House Bill 3771.

In my view the Governor misread the Bill. The recommendations that he has made do not go against the intent of the Bill, but they are unnecessary and, in fact, I believe will deter agencies with a small number of employees and a small number of children being served, to group together. So I think the Bill as originally passed and worked out with Central Management Services was the

141st Legislative Day

November 13, 1990

most appropriate Bill. I would advise you that the Governor has put in a specific Amendment here that would allow an exception, and that exception is that those buildings which are considered unsafe would not address the needs of...of child care for those state employees. The State Police are building a new building. They do not want a child care facility on their premises and their reason is that they believe the children will be unsafe. Well I ask you, if our children are not safe with Illinois' finest, who are they safe with? I think it is appropriate that this House override the Governor's Amendments in this regard."

Speaker McPike: "And on that, Representative Ewing."

Ewing: "Yes. Mr. Speaker, I don't think anybody's listening. Could we have a little quiet? Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I reluctantly rise to oppose the Motion to override the Amendatory Veto. The Governor has taken a Bill that certainly wasn't perfect but had some good parts, and he's made it better. He's made it more adaptable to the needs of this state. He's made it more adaptable to institutions like the universities, major institutions that have more than one building. I think that the Sponsor of this Bill, whoever drafted it, made it so narrow and so difficult to administer that it was going to be very expensive and very difficult to administer. The Amendatory Veto Governor has put in this Bill, makes much more it administratively proper. I ask everyone to look at this closely. It's an important thing, it deals with child care. The original Bill would have had us have a child care in every building that had 50 employees. The Governor says that really isn't practical, let's have it for every place where there's 50 children. And it also allows us to use it on campuses. It can be outside the building, so it

141st Legislative Day

November 13, 1990

can serve more than one facility. There's much good in this Amendatory Veto and I would suggest that we reject the Override Motion and come back to adopt the Amendatory Veto. Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Pedersen."

Pedersen: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I agree with the previous speaker about the benefits of the Amendments to this Bill. It certainly does provide for more safety, for more flexibility and I think that it...really it improves the Bill. And I think it's very important for us to go along with it, so I would recommend that we do not go along with this override."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Harris."

Harris: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Question of the Sponsor?"

Speaker McPike: "Yes, proceed."

Harris: "Representative, I understand your...I understand your objective here and I think it's a laudable one. I also understand what the Governor has done and I think he has made some reasonable corrections in the Bill. And specifically I'd like you to address if you would, how do you handle the Governor's argument that some state buildings such as laboratories and highway maintenance garages and some other institutions might not be suitable? I think he makes a legitimate claim there."

Breslin: "Those issues are already addressed through day care licensure requirements. They don't need to be rewritten all over again in this Bill. If they meet day care licensure requirements then they are safe. So, you don't need all of the extra exceptions."

Harris: "Has he in the language..."

Breslin: "I have to tell you Representative, we discussed all of that last June... May and June, because these issues that he's brought up were all discussed then and we picked what

141st Legislative Day

November 13, 1990

We thought was useful and we rejected those that were not."

Harris: "Well, is his...I hear you. Is his language that he has inserted, specifically...on page 1 after line 21, is that...I mean you find that to be objectionable language?

Is that harmful to the Bill? Where he says, 'Providing such child care services to state agencies shall consider whether the child care site is safe for children, child care employees and parents, whether the child care site is within a reasonable distance.' Isn't that a reasonable...I mean it sounds like a reasonable Amendatory Veto to me. Am I wrong?"

Breslin: "That provision in particular is consistent with the intent of the Bill, but again unnecessary based on what is already requirement for licensure. However, the Bill does...the other part of the Bill is that he limits this to where only 50 children or more would be served."

Harris: "Oh, I see. So that is what you...your...You find particular objection to the..."

Breslin: "Yes, definitely."

Harris: "Okay. Alright, thank you very much."

Speaker McPike: "Further discussion? Representative Breslin to close."

Breslin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. The Bill that we worked on in June is a Bill that addressed all of the issues that the Governor raised. We went over them line by line with Central Management Services and the universities and we addressed all of their issues. I think it is more appropriate that we go with the original Bill and especially not deter groupings of state employees to have effective child care on site, especially in the Capitol Complex. I'd appreciate your vote to override."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 3771 pass the specific recommendations for change of the Governor

notwithstanding?' All in favor vote 'aye', oppose vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. Mulcahey 'aye'. Bugielski 'aye'. On this Motion there are 87 'ayes' and 27 'nos'. This Motion having received the required Three-Fifths Majority, the Motion to override prevails, and House Bill 3771 is declared passed the specific recommendations for change of

the Governor notwithstanding. House Bill 4038,

Representative Hallock. Mr. Hallock. House Bill 4159,

Representative Wennlund."

Wennlund: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move to accept the Governor's Amendatory Veto which merely changes the effective date to coincide with the issuance of the commercial driver's license to April of 1992, April 1. And this Bill as you may recall originally altered the requirement with respect to school bus driver's and when they must undergo mandatory testing for alcohol and drugs as a result of an accident involving a school bus and any other vehicle or any other person. So I move to accept the Governor's Amendatory Veto which was just to change the effective date."

Speaker McPike: "Any discussion? Question is, 'Shall the House accept the specific recommendations for change with respect to House Bill 4159?' All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion there are 106 'ayes' and no 'nays'. This Motion has received the required Constitution Majority. The Motion is adopted and the House accepts the Governor's specific recommendations for change. Returning to a few Bill that were taken out of the record. Representative Currie, House Bill 1268."

Curries: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I move to accept the Governor's Amendatory Veto. He was

141st Legislative Day

November 13, 1990

concerned about the impact of a legal decision that has to do with brothers and sisters and was concerned about the constitutionality, Aristotle P. versus Johnson case and I think his changes are certainly consistent with the theory behind the Bill. I'd appreciate your support."

- Speaker McPike: "Any discussion? Question is, 'Shall the House accept the specific recommendations for change with respect to House Bill 1268?' All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion there are 104 'ayes and 1 'no'. Motion has received the required Constitution Majority. The Motion is adopted and the House accepts the Governor's specific recommendations for change. Returning to Total Veto Motions. House Bill 3109, Representative Stern. This Bill was taken out of the record on a question from Representative Pullen as to whether or not we had written permission from the Sponsor. Mr. Clerk, do you have that?"
- Clerk Leone: "There's a Motion on file at the well...a letter is on file at the well."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Pullen, the letter is now on file. Representative Stern, proceed."
- Stern: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I gave a rather halting inadequate description of what this Bill is. I am standing in the shoes of Representative Preston and this is the Parenting Education Bill which would require that youngsters in grades 9 thru 12 be given at least one unit of instruction in parenting. This would go a long way, we feel, in preventing the kind of tragic child abuse and child neglect that we have seen rife in our society in 1990. How often do we notice a story about a young parent who out of temper with a crying baby has hurled it against the wall or done some dreadful thing because no one prepared him or her for the challenges that a new baby can

141st Legislative Day

November 13, 1990

present. I think this is a very important piece of legislation. It will in the end save money for the State of Illinois and prisons and in child abuse care and in health care, and I urge an 'aye' vote on the override Motion on House Bill 3109."

Speaker McPike: "On the Motion, Representative Satterthwaite."

Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I think that we should really pay attention to what we are doing And I remind you that current law already allows school districts to provide parenting education for grades 6 thru 12. What I fear will happen with the passage of this legislation which was my position earlier in the year and I'm still trying to express that for the Members, is that many of our school districts feel that this parenting education is most beneficial if it is done in the earlier grades at 6th or 7th or 8th grade. Under the conditions of this Act, while that would still be permissible the fact that the school districts would have to provide a unit whatever that means, of parenting education at the high school level means I fear that many school districts will give up the parenting education that they already have place at the 6th or 7th grade level because they have to do this later on. I think that although it is well intended it is misplaced. I believe that our school districts should have the authority to decide when it is most beneficial for the students to have this parenting education and to leave the discretions in the hands of the local school Unfortunately, board. Ι think this legislation although well intended, will counterproductive and that we will find we are educating the students at a time when it is not appropriate for them to have that in their curriculum. In addition, of course, then this does become a mandate and your local school

141st Legislative Day

November 13, 1990

districts are asking that we not give additional mandates. But that we instead give them the flexibility to design the best program of education for the children. I reluctantly rise in opposition to the Lady's Motion."

Speaker McPike: "On the Motion, Representative Black."

Black: "Well, thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. It's a little noisy in here. It's very difficult to hear over here. Would the Sponsor yield? Thank you, Representative..."

Speaker McPike: "Excuse me. Excuse me. Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Excuse me. Just for the purposes of clarification, is Representative Black the new floor leader?"

Black: "I don't know, Senator."

Cullerton: "Just for the purposes of Veto Session only."

Black: "Where was I, Mr. Speaker. Oh, yes, would the Sponsor yield? Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "Yes."

Black: "Representative, I didn't hear and I apologize, but is Mr. Preston not with us today? Oh, he is here? He is not here? You may wish to check the Roll Call for Attendance, if that be the case. But be that as it may, Mr. Speaker, to the Bill. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House I think the previous Speaker on the Democrat side of the aisle summed up why you should not vote to override this veto. I would remind all of you in this chamber that as we sit here today a lawsuit has been filed making all of us parties to lawsuit on how education is funded in the State of Illinois. Now, if vote to override this veto and create a curricula mandate that you have no intention of funding, then you better be prepared to answer your constituents on I think the Governor's Veto is clearly that lawsuit. There is no funding...there was no funding provided to meet this mandate. The lawsuit has been filed,

141st Legislative Day

November 13, 1990

now let us get serious. We cannot continue to mandate curricula on the school districts in this state if we are not going to fund them. I would suggest to you that you perhaps should sustain the Governor's Veto on House Bill 3109.

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ropp."

Ropp: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. every time the word comes up mandate some of us really kind of excited around here and always throw up a red flag. I visited a school just last week called Dusable High School in which something like more than 90% of students are coming from families of single parenting. I'm not opposed to single parenting, but certainly it seems to me like in those kinds of situations we need to address early as possible in our educational system what it means to be a parent and what it means to maintain the values that some of us use to think were important. think, Ladies and Gentlemen, this is an opportunity small way to begin to restore the values of family living and it may have to start in school. We may have consider where our priorities are and certainly creating a wholesome kind of family with the morals that we thought were important a few years ago, but seems to have vanished lately, that this is the kind of thing we need to initiate and start in some way. I would urge your support of this override so that we can once again create in the minds young children in school that families are important that families require mother, father and that union should last as long as you live. Now, it seems like we have some what gone astray and I hope this Bill would in some small measure help to bring us back in line with traditional American values."

Speaker McPike: "Further discussion? Representative Stern to

141st Legislative Day close."

November 13, 1990

Stern: "I would just underscore Representative Ropp's remarks. In this day and age we are raising young people who are looking out for their own opportunities and their own futures and not thinking in terms of the kind of old fashioned truths that we all knew when we were going up which is that the human infant is totally dependent upon the goodwill and care of its parents. I don't think we can do too much to persuade them that infants need the full attention and concern of their parents. If this Bill will teach these youngsters in high school, before they become parents, about this need and about what should be expected

Speaker McPike: "Representative Cullerton. The Lady closed."

Cullerton: "Mr. Speaker, I have a parliamentary inquiry. I wondered if you could see if this Motion is order. If you could check with the Parliamentarian behind you and find out if this is in order I'd be...It's okay?"

generations. I urge an 'aye' vote on this override."

of them it will be a blessing to society and to the future

Speaker McPike: "I will...If you want me to check with the Parliamentarian behind me?"

Cullerton: "No, I didn't..."

Speaker McPike: "Well, this Parliamentarian behind me is a good friend of mine. This is Helen Kittery and she's 90 years old."

Cullerton: "Oh, that's great. She'd know."

Speaker McPike: "She's from Representative Kirkland's district in Elgin. Alright, the question is, 'Shall House Bill 3109 pass, the specific recommendations for change of the Governor notwithstanding?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Hoffman to explain his vote."

Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the

141st Legislative Day

- November 13, 1990
- House. I rise to oppose the Override Motion. We spent a lot of time on this House talking about local control and I guess it all depends on what the issue is. School districts can require this now if they care to. Let's leave this in the hands of the local people."
- Speaker McPike: "Further discussion? Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. Representative Weaver did you wish to speak? I didn't see your light. On this Motion there are 46 'ayes and 55 'nos'. And the Motion having failed to receive its Three—Fifths Majority, the Motion fails. Representative Weaver."
- Weaver: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'd like you all to join me in wishing a Happy Birthday to a couple of seatmates, Linda Williamson and Bill Black, both of whom had birthdays on the 11th. Linda, we don't know how old she is, and Bill we know he's older than dirt. There will be cake available for those who wish in the back of the chamber over here. Happy Birthday."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Brunsvold, the Committee on Constitutional Officers is going to meet. Representative Brunsvold for an announcement."
- Brunsvold: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The Committee on Constitutional Officers will meet right after Session in the Stratton Building, C-1. We have one Bill, Senate Bill 543. It shouldn't take more than about 10 minutes. Appreciate quick attendance."
- Speaker McPike: "Any announcements? Any announcements? There being none, Representative Cullerton moves that the House stand adjourned until tomorrow at the hour of 10:30 A.M., allowing Perfunctory time for Committee Reports. All in favor say 'aye', oppose 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the

- 141st Legislative Day

 November 13, 1990

 House stands adjourned until tomorrow at the hour of 10:30

 A.M."
- Clerk O'Brien: "The House will be in Perfunctory Session.

 Committee Report. Representative Brunsvold, Chairman of the Committee on Constitutional Officers, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken November 13, 1990 and reported the same back with following recommendation: 'do pass as amended' Senate Bill 543.

 There being no further business the House, the House...now stands adjourned."

REPORT	:	Ţ	I	F	L	DA	Y
PAGE:	00	1					

ADJOURNMENT

PERFUNCTORY SESSION

PERFUNCTORY SESSION - ADJOURNMENT

STATE OF ILLINOIS 86TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

•		
NOVEMBER	13•	1990

HB-0493 VETO ACTION	PAGE	10
HB-1055 VETO ACTION	PAGE	10
Ha-1268 VETO ACTION	PAGE	29
HB-2700 VETO ACTION	PAGE	15
HB-2924 VETO ACTION	PAGE	4
HB-2996 VETO ACTION	PAGE	1
HB-3058 VETO ACTION	PAGE	17
HB-3109 VETO ACTION	PAGE	30
H6-3109 OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	8
HB-3134 VETO ACTION	PAGE	19
Ho-3152 VETO ACTION	PAGE	18
HB-3337 VETU ACTION	PAGE	20
HB-3356 VETO ACTION	PAGE	20
Ha-3562 VETO ACTION	PAGE	21
Hb-3653 VETO ACTION	PAGE	22
HB-3655 VETO ACTION	PAGE	9
HB-3755 VETO ACTION	PAGE	22
HB-3766 VETO ACTION	PAGE	23
HB-3766 DUT OF RECORD	PAGE	25
HB-3771 VETO ACTION	PAGE	25
Hō-4159 VETO ACTION	PAGE	29
SUBJECT MATTER		
HOUSE TO ORDER - SPEAKER MCPIKE	PAGE	1
PRAYER - FATHER GENE WEITZEL	PAGE	1
PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	PAGE	1
ROLL CALL FOR ATTENDANCE	PAGE	1
COMMITTEE REPORT	PAGE	4
A D. 4 CHAR MART AUT	0165	20

PAGE

PAGE

PAGE

35

36

36

91/02/04

10:33:48

MAN