67th Legislative Day

June 29, 1989

- Speaker Breslin: "Ladies and Gentlemen, the hour of 1:00 o'clock having arrived we would ask the Members to be in their seats and the House to come to order. The chaplain for today will be Pastor Mark Mattes from the United Lutheran Church in Gardner. He is the guest of Representative Weller. We invite our guests in the gallery to rise and join us for the invocation."
- Pastor Mattes: "Let us pray. Eternal God who created light from darkness and who fashioned the waters of chaos into an orderly and harmonious cosmos, we ask that You direct and uphold the decision making of this Body as they perform...as they form policies for the people of this state in such a way that lives are given the promise of moving from darkness to light and chaos to creation. Bless all who assemble here as they seek to serve the people of the State of Illinois, uphold them in the tasks they have set before them today. Amen."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Wait, would you lead us in the Pledge, please."
- Wait et al: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all."
- Speaker Breslin: "Roll Call for Attendance. Are there any excused absences, Representative Piel?"
- Piel: "No excused absences today, Madam Speaker."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Matijevich, are there any excused absences on the Democratic side?"
- Matijevich: "Well, we do have Representative Ralph Capparelli excused again because of his injuries. I have no reports of anyone else."
- Speaker Breslin: "Thank you. Mr. Clerk, take the record. 117

67th Legislative Day

June 29, 1989

people answering the Roll Call, a quorum is present. Ladies and Gentlemen, it's the intent of the Chair to go to the Order of Concurrences on State and Local Government as soon as we can. The Sponsors of the Bills on that order are Representatives Cullerton, Hensel, McPike, Bowman, Cowlishaw, McCracken, Currie and Krska. If those people would come to the floor, it would help us a great deal. Thank you. Ladies and Gentlemen, on the Order of Concurrences, State and Local Government, appears House Bill 112, Representative Cullerton. Out of the record. House Bill 397, Representative Hensel. Out of the record. House Bill 446, Representative McPike. Out of the record. House Bill 497, Representative Levin. Out of the record. House Bill 535, Representative McPike. Out of the record. House Bill 859, Representative Ryder or 860, Representative Out of the record. House Bill 1203. Out of the Ryder. record. House Bill 1212, Representative Bowman. Out of the record. House Bill 1261, Representative Cowlishaw. Out of the record. House Bill 1621, Speaker Madigan. of the record. House Bill 1881, Representative McCracken."

- McCracken: "What if I asked to suspend the rule to allow me to put this into Interim Study now, rather than having to remember to do it at some future date?"
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative McCracken, we think that's a good idea, so proceed."
- McCracken: "I move to suspend the appropriate rules in order to place into Interim Study, House 1881."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves the appropriate rule...rather moves to suspend the appropriate rules in order to place House Bill 1881 into Interim Study. Is there any objection? Hearing no objection the little...the Gentleman has leave and the Bill will be placed on Interim Study."

67th Legislative Day

June 29, 1989

McCracken: "Thank you."

- Speaker Breslin: "Senate Bill 2097, Representative Ryder. Out of the record. Representative McPike has returned to the chamber. House Bill 446, Mr. McPike."
- McPike: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I move to concur in Senate

 Amendment #1. It was only a technical Amendment and this

 Bill is to regulate the experimental release of genetically
 engineered organisms."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved to concur in Senate

 Amendment #1 to House Bill 446. On that question, the

 Gentleman from Champaign, Representative Johnson."
- Johnson: "I wonder if Assistant Clerk Leone is here so he could read this Bill into the record for us. He does a much better job of it than Representative McPike. Is Tony Leone here? Representative Leone...Mr. Leone, would you mind reading this Bill into the record for us? I'd appreciate that. He refuses."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman refuses. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Terzich, on this question."
- Terzich: "Yes. Would you repeat what it does? What do it do?"
 McPike: "It makes a technical change in the original Bill."
- Terzich: "It what...what type of Amendment does...I mean I didn't understand that Amendment."
- McPike: "These are for some new tomato plants down in Ryder's district."
- Terzich: "Oh, okay. Now that I better understand that explanation, I think I can support this."
- Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 446?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 110 voting 'aye'...111 voting 'aye', none voting

67th Legislative Day

June 29, 1989

'no'. The House does concur in the Senate Amendment to House Bill 446, and this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative McPike is recognized on House Bill 535."

McPike: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I move to concur in both Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 535. Amendment #1 is at the request of the University of Illinois. It allows the state employee or annuitant to authorize a withholding of a portion of his salary, wages or annuity for the payment of parking fees at the parking facilities located on the Urbana/Champaign campus. Amendment #2 is a new prohibition inserting that no portion of salaries, wages etc. may be withheld to pay premiums on automobile or homeowners' insurance policies."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved to concur in Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 535. On the question, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the House concur in the two Senate Amendments on this Bill?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. This is final passage. voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 109 voting 'aye', none voting 'no'. The House does concur in Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 535, and this Bill received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative McPike, you have another Bill, 1203. House Bill 1203, Education Labor or/ Relations. of the record. Fine. House Bill 2097, Representative Ryder. Out of the record. Representative Currie on 2266. Out of the record. House Bill 2776, Speaker Madigan. Out of the record. Ladies and Gentlemen, it's the intention of the Chair now to go to the Order of Nonconcurrences. appear on page 4 on your Calendar. The first Bill is

67th Legislative Day

June 29, 1989

Senate Bill 125, Representative Black."

- Black: "Thank you, very much, Madam Chairman...or Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would move to nonconcur with the action of the Senate on genate Bill 125. When they sent the Bill back to us it amends the Unified Code of Corrections and the Juvenile Court Act, and this House passed Floor Amendment #2 that would delay until July 1, 1991 the requirement for separate facilities for adults and juveniles. I don't concur with the Senate removing that Amendment and I would ask the House agree with me and nonconcur with the Senate on Senate Bill 125."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Bill only has one Amendment on it, correctly, Representative Black? Only has one Amendment."
- Black: "Yes, the Senate removed House Amendment #2 and I don't agree with that, Madam Speaker."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved to refuse to recede from House Amendment 1(sic-2) to Senate Bill 125. On that question, the Gentleman from DeKalb, Representative Countryman."
- Countryman: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Gentleman yield?"
- Speaker Breslin: "He will."
- Countryman: "This is the Amendment that you've been trying to put on almost every Bill that's been floating around here that's going to take away two million dollars in federal money from us. Is that right, Representative Black?"
- Black: "Well, I think that's an accurate assessment,

 Representative. Somebody called me a fiscal conservative

 yesterday. I'm just trying to save some money."
- Countryman: "Well, there's a grave question as to whether or not you really are, but be that as it may, why don't you just recede from this Amendment and give the Gentleman a chance to have his...I guess it's your Bill now, get your Bill on

67th Legislative Day

June 29, 1989

the Governor's desk and you know the Governor isn't going to sign it anyway; if you send it to Conference you know the Senate isn't going to pass it and why are we messing around with this?"

- Black: "Well, I just want to show the Senate Sponsor my disagreement with his action, but I really think, Representative, that the Senate Sponsor and some other people have some plans for this in Conference Committee and as much as I hate to admit it, I don't think Floor Amendment #2 is going to survive anyway."
- Countryman: "Oh, okay. Well, if Floor Amendment 2 doesn't survive, I'll reserve my judgment to see what other garbage you get in there."
- Black: "Well, thank you very much. I certainly appreciate your kindness."
- Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall the House refuse to recede from House Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 125?' those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it and the House refuses to recede from the House Amendment on Senate Bill 125 and a Conference Committee will be appointed. Senate Bill 1333, Representative Pullen. Representative Pullen? Out of the record. Senate Bill 1456, Representative McPike. Out of record. Out of the record. On the Order of Nonconcurrences appears Senate Bill 1456. Representative Cullerton has leave to present this Bill and hearing no objections, leave is granted. Representative Cullerton."
- Cullerton: "Yes, Madam Speaker, on Senate Bill 1456 I move to refuse to...that the House...that the House refuse to recede from Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1456 which is an immediate effective date."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves to refuse to recede..."

 Cullerton: "And that a Conference Committee be appointed, Ma'am.

67th Legislative Day

June 29, 1989

I forgot to ask that as well."

- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves to refuse to recede from House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1456. On that question, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the House refuse to recede from the House Amendment to this Bill?' All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it and the House refuses to recede from House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1456. Representative Cullerton, for what reason do you seek recognition?"
- Cullerton: "I would ask that a Conference Committee be appointed."
- Speaker Breslin: "And a Conference Committee will be appointed.

 On the Order of Nonconcurrences appears Senate Bill 1333.

 Representative Pullen is recognized."
- Pullen: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Bill...I move to refuse to recede from the House Amendment to Senate Bill 1333. This Bill relates to requiring school boards to ban tobacco use on school property. The House put an Amendment on to protect the rights of nonsmoker teachers to have a teacher lounge if there is a smoking teacher lounge designated, and the Senate has refused to agree with that Amendment and I think it's an essential part of the Bill in terms of the fairness issue. And I so move to refuse to recede from Senate Amend...from House Amendment 1."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved to refuse to recede from the House Amendment on Senate Bill 1333. Is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the House refuse to recede from House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1333?' All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it and the House refuses to recede from the House Amendment on this

67th Legislative Day

June 29, 1989

- Bill and a Conference Committee will be appointed.

 Representative Mautino is recognized for a Motion."
- Mautino: "Thank you very much, Madam Speaker. I have filed a

 Motion to place in a position of immediate consideration,

 Senate Joint Resolution 70. It's on the Clerk's desk."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved to bypass the Committee on Assignment and put this Bill...this Senate Joint Resolution #70 before the House for immediate consideration. On that question the Gentleman from DuPage, Representative McCracken."
- McCracken: "Well, since it's late in the Session, I suppose we'll let him do it."
- Speaker Breslin: "Is there any further discussion? Is there any objection? Hearing no objection, leave is granted and the Motion is adopted. You're recognized to present the Resolution, Representative Mautino."
- Mautino: "Thank you very much, Madam Speaker. SJR 70 which was introduced in the Senate by Senator Brookins, came to the House. I'll give you a little background on what happened. No one picked the Resolution up. We then addressed House Resolution 56 which also addressed the steel import quotas at the 25 percentile level. With Senate Joint Resolution 70, the language has been included which I believe has been agreed to by the Caterpillar Corporation and to the best of my knowledge the voluntary restraint agreements that have enabled the domestic steel producers to improve their international competitiveness etc. has been agreed to by all parties involved, and I move for the adoption and passage of Senate Joint Resolution 70."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Senate Joint Resolution 70. On the question, the Gentleman from DuPage, Representative McCracken."
- McCracken: "Thank you. I had heard that Caterpillar was

67th Legislative Day

June 29, 1989

proposing what I'll call a compromise statement on this issue. Is that Senate Joint Resolution 70 or is it not?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Mautino."

- Mautino: "I have been informed, yes, that this is that so called language on...at Caterpillar's request."
- McCracken: "I don't have the other Resolution before me. Can you tell us very briefly how it differs, why they're in favor of this one as opposed to the other?"
- Mautino: "I wish I could. You might want to ask that question of Representative Sam Wolf, cause I can't remember what was in the other one either, Tom."
- McCracken: "This is softer language? Alright, fine, thank you."

 Speaker Breslin: "Any further discussion? The Gentleman from McLean, Representative Ropp."
- Ropp: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield?" Speaker Breslin: "He will."
- Ropp: "Representative, in not reading this completely through, in your opinion what is a voluntary restraint arrangement?"
- Mautino: "I can't even hear what he said. What did he say? That was the...the voluntary restraint provisions were those that were enacted by the Federal Government of which I believe you spoke to in Senate...or House Joint Resolution 56. I think that the area of which you are concerned, as well as Caterpillar was originally concerned, was to...the language that includes the reinvestment in new plants and equipment and a modernization of operations and the investment tax credit provision which you will be looking at in Senate Bill 185 a little later today."
- Ropp: "So, this just somewhat is an attempt to improve the steel industry in the United States without putting a particular limit on the amount that should or should not be used by a supplier or manufacturer of steel products in the United States?"

67th Legislative Day

June 29, 1989

- Mautino: "I believe that that's exactly the evaluation for an additional five years."
- Ropp: "Okay, thank you."
- Speaker Breslin: "Any further discussion? There being no...The
 Gentleman from Madison, Representative Wolf. No further
 discussion. The question is, 'Shall the House adopt Senate
 Joint Resolution 70?' All those in favor say 'aye',
 opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes'
 have it and the Resolution is adopted. Agreed
 Resolutions."
- O'Brien: "Senate Clerk Joint Resolution 83, offered by Representative Mulcahey; House Resolution 776, Barnes; 777. 778, DeJaegher; 779, DeJaegher; Johnson; DeJaegher; 781, DeJaegher; 782, DeJaegher; Jones; 785, DeJaegher; 786, Churchill; 787, Churchill; 788, Wennlund; 789, Wojcik; 790, Sieben; 791, 792, Weller; 793, Weller; 794, Weller; 795, Weller; 796, Weller; 797, Weller; 798, Weller; 799, Tate; 800, Hartke; 801, Balanoff; 802, Tate; 803, DeJaegher and 804, DeJaegher."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Matijevich."
- Matijevich: "Madam Speaker, both sides of the aisle have examined the Resolutions, they are agreed to. I move the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'.

 In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it and the Agreed Resolutions are adopted. Death Resolutions."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 784, offered by Representative Williams. With respect to the memory of Annie Mae Collins."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Williams moves the adoption of the Death Resolution. All those in favor say 'aye',

67th Legislative Day

June 29, 1989

opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it and the Death Resolution is adopted. Representative Mulcahey, for what reason do you seek recognition?"

- Mulcahey: "Well, everybody likes a little recognition once in a while. What are we...are we waiting for something special or..."
- Speaker Breslin: "We are waiting for the Conference Committee Reports that appear on Supplemental #1 to have been on the Members' desks for one hour. They were circulated at 1:30, we will begin on them at 2:30. Hopefully all of you will have read them by then and be prepared to vote for them or against them."

Mulcahey: "Can't we...can't we suspend that dumb rule?"

Speaker Breslin: "We can suspend the rule. We have been in contact with the Republican side of the aisle and...to see if there was unanimity on that issue and there was not."

Mulcahey: "Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "So it's only ten more minutes."

Mulcahey: "That's not bad."

- Speaker Breslin: "Thank you, Representative DeLeo. On Supplemental #1 under the Order of Conference Committee Reports appears House Bill 113, Representative Keane. Out of the record. House Bill 541, Representative Flinn."
- Flinn: "Madam Speaker, I move to adopt Conference Committee
 Report #1 on House Bill 541. What we did on 541 in the
 Senate was put an Amendment on there which was unacceptable
 to the credit unions and the credit unions asked us to opt
 them out of the Bill. So this Conference Committee Report
 opts out the credit unions. It only involves banks and
 savings and loans. What the Bill itself done was
 provide...permit banks to make arrangements with senior
 citizens' homes, places like the Lady of Snow's and all
 that sort of thing, was to go in and serve...give minimal

67th Legislative Day

June 29, 1989

bank services such as deposits and cash checks for people who are unable to get out of that institution. This is going to be a pilot program, it will not cover very many counties. And if it's successful, we will be back next year to try to get it state—wide. I would move for the adoption of Conference Committee Report #1."

- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of the
 First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 541. On
 that question the Gentleman from DeKalb, Representative
 Countryman."
- Countryman: "Well, Madam Speaker, I apologize, but I can't hear a thing that the Gentleman said and it's the noise level in here more than anything else. I hate to ask him to repeat it, but I just couldn't understand it."
- Speaker Breslin: "Very good. Mr. Electrician, could you raise the volume for Representative Flinn and Representative Flinn, could you run through that one more time briefly, please? Tell us what the Bill does..."
- Flinn: "Yes, this is the first time I ever knew that I needed a microphone, I thought I talked loud to start with. Andy McGann can out talk me. What the Bill does itself is offer to senior citizens' homes, places like Lady of the Snow's and people that are confined, the opportunity to have mobile banking come in and do check cashing, deposits and minimal amounts of bank services. It's a permissive thing and we're not sure the banks and the savings and loans will take advantage of it or that they will care fool with it. So, we limited it to a few counties to keep from interfering with currency exchanges. In the process of doing that, it created a problem for credit unions, so it was necessary for me to refuse to accept the Amendment #1 and the Conference Committee just simply takes out the credit unions."

67th Legislative Day

June 29, 1989

Countryman: "Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "Any further discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 541?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. This is final passage. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are lll voting 'aye', none voting 'no', 3 voting 'present'. The House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 541, and this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority, is declared passed. House Bill 1508, Representative McGann."

McGann: "Thank you...Madam Speaker, Members of the Assembly. The
First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1508 is
being offered to you in behalf of the Select Committee on
Mental Health. The actual analysis...the House concurs in
Senate Amendment #2 and it receded from Senate Amendment
#3. It further amends the Bill to simply correct a
drafting error in Senate Amendment #3 and that Amendment
attempted to refer to page and line references of Senate
Amendment #1 which as defeated...was defeated. Although
the intent is clear, the error cannot be simply resolved in
Enrolling or Engrossing, and I would ask for the entire
support of both sides of the aisle for the First Conference
Committee Report on House Bill 1508."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved to adopt the First

Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1508, and on that
question the Gentleman from Warren, Representative
Hultgren."

Hultgren: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"
Speaker Breslin: "He will."

Hultgren: "The Conference Committee recommends that we concur in Senate Amendment #2, and my understanding is that Senate

67th Legislative Day

June 29, 1989

Amendment #2 among other things deletes the requirement that DMH reimburse for custodial situations and I'm not sure what requirement it is we're deleting. If you're familiar with it or if your analysis gives you a little more information, could you elaborate on that, please?"

McGann: "Yes. Representative, if you recall in the Mental Health Committee, which you're a Member, the...Representative Ropp, had brought this issue to the floor... I mean, to the Committee in regards to reimbursement for the transfers that takes place in the different counties. The...we had passed that in House Bill 1508, the structured Committee Bill, we sent it to the Senate. The Department in conference with the Senate felt as though at this time they could not have that in their budget because it was an unknown amount. The Department opposed the issue all the way along the line. My feeling was that it was very credible to have because the counties should not penalized for these transportation costs."

Hultgren: "I'm with you now. I understand what's happening, so thank you, I appreciate your elaborating on that."

McGann: "Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "Is there any further discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1508?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. This is final passage. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 112 voting 'aye', 2 voting 'no'. The House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1508, and this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 394, Representative Davis."

Davis: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the

67th Legislative Day

June 29, 1989

Senate Bill 394 has been debated. House. It is a that amends the Drug Paraphernalia Control Act and the original legislation removed language that the Supreme Court claimed was vague and unconstitutional, so the Bill itself makes our drug paraphernalia law constitutional. The Amendment which we adopted in this House, 115 to 0. makes a criminal penalty for those who sell paraphernalia. It also increases the fine that they can be fined for selling drug paraphernalia. The technical Amendment...I'm sorry. The technical Amendment that was necessary that caused this to go into Conference was merely an error made in the typing of the legislation and we urge a favorable vote on the acceptance of the Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved for the adoption of the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 394. why...you're putting the action on the top of the board now. Okay. The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 394?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 114 voting 'aye'. The House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 394, and this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On the Order of Conference Committee Reports on the Regular Calendar, page 4, appears Senate Bill 374. The Sponsor Representative Lou Jones. Representative Jones? Out of the record. Representative Giglio in the Chair."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Leverenz, for what reason do you rise, Sir?"

Leverenz: "I have a...my first inquiry of the Chair."

67th Legislative Day

June 29, 1989

Speaker Giglio: "You may proceed."

Leverenz: "You're suppose to say proceed."

Speaker Giglio: "Well, I...give me a chance. What is your request?"

Leverenz: "Now?"

Speaker Giglio: "Not now."

Leverenz: "I have a second request."

Speaker Giglio: "Proceed."

Leverenz: "Is the esteemed Representative to your left, glad I gave her advice?"

Speaker Giglio: "She will be beholding (sic-beholden) to you."

Leverenz: "Well, you don't have to go that far, but it's nice to have her friendship. Thank you."

Clerk O'Brien: "Supplemental Calendar #2 is being distributed."

Speaker Giglio: "Supplemental Calendar announcements."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Lou Jones. The House will come to order for an announcement. The Chair would like to announce that we will recess until 6:00 o'clock. In the interim and during the recess we hope if you would like to go out for dinner, those of you that are on Conference Committees we would ask that you let your secretaries or your staff person know where you are so you can attend the Committee Conferences and hopefully sign the Committee

you should expect to work until at least 10:00 or 11:00 this evening. Representative Olson."

Reports. When we return we will perhaps go into caucus and

Olson, M.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. If indeed the Democrats go to conference at 5:00 o'clock or at any given time, we will conference at the same time you do if that indeed becomes a factor."

Speaker Giglio: "The conferences will not take place until we return at 6:00 o'clock and at sometime after 6:00, perhaps we will recess for our respective caucuses. Until the

67th Legislative Day

June 29, 1989

Caucus Chairman is notified, we will go to caucus at time. The House will stand in recess until 6:00 p.m. evening. Six o'clock having arrived, the House will now come to order. Supplemental Calendar on Concurrences, State and Local Government. Representative Cullerton in the chamber? Out of the record. Representative Cullerton, 112. Not right? Representative Hensel. Out of record. Representative Levin? Representative Ryder? Bowman? Representative Cowlishaw? McCracken? Currie? Representative Krska? Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. On a point of order. I believe that we passed a Conference Committee on House Bill 541 and...earlier today and I believe that the Bill would have required a three-fifths vote. The Bill did receive a three-fifths vote, but I think it would be appropriate if we, in declaring the Bill passed, that we indicate that it passed with the extraordinary requirement. The reason for the extraordinary requirement is that I believe it involves branch banking and I believe that when we passed the Bill originally out of the House, the ruling was that it required three-fifths vote and the Conference Committee is substantially the same, so that it would still require that vote."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Cullerton, this was a Branch Banking Bill and the constitutional requirement is three-fifths of those voting and the Bill having received 60 votes, having received the required Constitutional Majority of three-fifths of those voting. is declared passed. Representative Lou Jones in the chamber? Representative Lou Jones? Senate Bill 374, Representative Jones. On page 4 of the Calendar, Conference Committee Reports, appears Senate Bill 374, Representative Lou Jones."

67th Legislative Day

June 29, 1989

- Jones, L.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I move that the House accept the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 374. This report provides that the Senate concurs in House Amendment #1 that defines the term 'emergency'."
- Speaker Giglio: "The Lady moves for the adoption of the Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 374. Any discussion? Hearing none... Excuse me. Representative Hultgren."
- Hultgren: "I'm sorry, could the Lady explain what the underlying Bill does and what the Amendment does?"
- "What this Amendment does is...what this Conference Jones, L: Committee Report does, is put the Bill back the way that I had it when I had it in Committee. It was an Amendment that identified or should I say explained the 'emergency'. Now, do you want me to explain the whole entire Amendment? The Amendment provides that the purpose of the new Section added by the Bill, an emergency means a need for immediate medical attention resulting from either a life threatening condition or a situation. A need for immediate medical attention is reasonably determined by a physician. public safety official or other emergency medical personnel. This Amendment was adopted in Committee and the Senate Sponsor inadvertently nonconcurred, so therefor I had to...it had to go into a Conference Committee for the Amendment to stay on and that's Amendment that was put in on Committee. All ten Conferees signed the Conference Committee."
- Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Black."
- Black: "Yeah. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I think all we're doing is concurring with the Senate action on House Amendment #1 and it's my understanding that this clearly as

67th Legislative Day

June 29, 1989

the Lady said, defines the term 'emergency' and provides that accepting payment in an emergency from an HMO, the provider then agrees not to seek payment from the enrollee. And I think the key to her Amendment is that this is going to be subject to coverage limitation stated in the contract, and Representative, it's my understanding that with this Amendment the HMOs' have no problem. Yeah, I would rise in support of the Lady's Motion."

- Speaker Giglio: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 374?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 112 voting 'yes', none voting 'no' and 2 voting 'present' the House does adopt Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 374, and this Bill having received the required Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Chair would like to announce that the caucuses will meet in about 15 minutes, at 6:30. Those of you that are in your offices or any place in the House, the conferences would like to meet at 6:30. Representative Olson, Myron Olson."
- Olson, M.: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. We will go at the same time that you do. Have you set a time for the length of your conference? Then we have an open—ended conference time. Is that satisfactory?"
- Speaker Giglio: "Well, the Chair...the Chair would like to announce that if everything goes well, the intention of the Chair is that we work till approximately 10:00 or 11:00.

 However, that's not in granite."
- Olson, M.: "We'll go with you. Thank you, Sir."
- Speaker Giglio: "If we move faster, of course, we'll adjourn earlier. Representative Kulas."

67th Legislative Day

- June 29, 1989
- Kulas: "I have a question, Mr. Speaker, of Clerk Leone. I was wondering if the Clerk could recommend a good car wash here in Springfield?"
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Kulas, you're out of order.

 Supplemental Calendar announcements."
- Clerk Leone: "Supplemental Calendar #2A is now being distributed."
- Speaker Giglio: "Supplemental Calendar #2. House Bill 2634,
 Representative Hannig. Representative Hannig in the
 chamber? Out of the record, Mr. Clerk. Senate Bill 1114,
 Representative Hasara. Representative Hasara in the
 chamber? Senate Bill 1114, Supplemental Calendar #2. The
 Lady from Sangamon, Representative Hasara."
- Hasara: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 1114 was a Public

 Health Administration Bill. The only problem with the

 Amendment was that there was a number that should have been

 10 and it said number 1 and for some reason it went to

 Conference over that error. So, I would move for the

 adoption of Conference Committee Report #1 on Senate Bill

 1114."
- Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? Hearing none, the question is,

 'Shall the House adopt...' Excuse me. The Gentleman from

 Vermilion, Representative Black."
- Black: "Well, thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. It might take awhile for all of us to get back in gear here. Could I ask the Sponsor a question before we vote?"
- Speaker Giglio: "She indicates she will yield."
- Black: "Thank you. Representative, did the Conference Committee
 Report recommend that the House recede from House Amendment
 #1?"
- Hasara: "I believe House Amendment #1..."
- Black: "So, we receded from 1 and then there was some technical changes. Is that what we're doing here?"

67th Legislative Day

June 29, 1989

Hasara: "I'm going to have to check. Just a minute."

Black: "Was it...alright, I...yeah, we have it. It's...I think

House Amendment #1 was defective. Was that it?"

Hasara: "I thought it was withdrawn because of the language."

Black: "Alright. Okay. Yeah. You're right, we found it now.

Thank you. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker."

- Speaker Giglio: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 1114?' A11 those in favor vote 'aye', opposed 'no'. The voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 113 voting 'yes', none voting 'no' and none voting 'present'. The House does adopt Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 1114, and this Bill having received the required Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Leverenz. Out οf the record. Representative Steczo, 592. House Bill 592, Supplemental Calendar #2A. Out of the record. Representative Breslin. Out of the record. Representative Mays, House Bill 840. Out of the record. Representative Myron Olson, House Bill 1896. The Gentleman from Lee, Representative Myron Olson."
- Olson, M.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 1896 was an initiative of the Supreme Court and we are moving to adopt the House Conference Committee #1, because when the Bill came back for concurrence the Secretary of the Senate neglected to include an Amendment which offered an effective date. As a consequence we would move to accept the House Conference Committee Report."
- Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? Hearing none, the question is,
 'Shall the House adopt Conference Committee Report to House
 Bill 1896?' And on that question...all those in favor vote

67th Legislative Day

June 29, 1989

'aye', opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who who wish? wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question 112 voting 'yes', none voting 'no' and none voting 'present' and the House does adopt the Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1896, and this Bill having received the required Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared Representative Keane. Representative Hannig, House Bill 2634. Out of the record. Representative Mays. Out of the record. Representative Ryder. Out of the record. Representative Doederlein, Senate Bill 1271 Representative Doederlein. Representative Doederlein, Senate Bill 1271."

Doederlein: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move to concur with the Conference Committee Report. The Conference Committee Report puts on more realistic tax and is a front door referendum."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Giglio: "She indicates she will."

Cullerton: "Representative, as I understand the problem this is designed to cover the problem out in Kane County. Is that correct?"

Doederlein: "No. This is McHenry County."

Cullerton: "Which county?"

Doederlein: "This is McHenry County."

Cullerton: "McHenry County and apparently there's some areas up there that refuse to create a rescue squad district?"

Doederlein: "No. They don't refuse, they're very friendly neighbors. They would like to get together and they do everything themselves."

Cullerton: "Well, let me ask you this, how are rescue squad

67th Legislative Day

June 29, 1989

districts formed now?"

Doederlein: "They aren't, I don't believe."

Cullerton: "They don't exist?"

Doederlein: "I believe that's with the local police and fire departments."

Cullerton: "Could you say that again, please?"

Doederlein: "I believe it's with the local police and fire departments."

Cullerton: "So this Bill is a new Act and creates a Rescue Squad Districts Act?"

Doederlein: "Yes."

Cullerton: "Okay. And that's in response to a problem in McHenry County. What is the problem?"

Doederlein: "It's in response to the two communities that would be involved."

Cullerton: "Okay. And the two communities are asking that this rescue squad district be formed, and what would the rescue squad district do? Would they be able to..."

Doederlein: "This is a front door referendum so they can start this rescue squad."

Cullerton: "And what would the rescue squad do? Would it be like a police department or ambulance services or what?"

Doederlein: "Ambulance services. Yes."

Cullerton: "Okay. And the way the...the way the Bill passed the House originally with your Amendment #1, what did it say with regard to..."

Doederlein: "Sir, the only change that was put in there..."

Cullerton: "...the tax?"

Doederlein: "...that we had a .02 tax rate, this is now a .20 tax rate. There was only one little change in there."

Cullerton: "Well, actually...when we passed it it was .03."

Doederlein: "Oh, I'm sorry, .03."

Cullerton: "Okay. When we passed it it was .03, now my question

67th Legislative Day

June 29, 1989

is, when we passed it did we have any kind of a referendum?"

Doederlein: "Yes, a front door referendum."

Cullerton: "And that remains the same?"

Doederlein: "Right."

Cullerton: "And the only thing you're doing in the Conference

Committee is lowering the tax rate?"

Doederlein: "We're raising the tax rate."

Cullerton: "Oh, raising the tax rate."

Doederlein: "Right, so people will not be deceived..."

Cullerton: "From .03 to what?"

Doederlein: ".2."

Cullerton: "That's quite an increase, isn't it, .03 to .2?"

Doederlein: "Yes, they realized that they could not make enough...raise enough money with the lower rate."

Cullerton: "How much money would be expected to be raised now with the .2?"

Doederlein: "I don't have any idea, but I think that the people that worked this out wrote letters and I'm sure that this would be okay."

Cullerton: "Well, I understand this still has the front door referendum and it still has to pass. I'm just curious. You did indicate that .03 wasn't enough. That's the way you passed it out of the House. The Senators apparently...or the Conferees apparently feel it should be increased cause it wasn't enough money. Surely you must know how much money it wasn't enough of. How much more do they want to..."

Doederlein: "No, I don't. This was worked out with the Senators and they asked me to carry the Bill over here and it's a very friendly Bill with the communities taking charge of their own destiny."

Cullerton: "Okay. We're allowing that each trustee may be

67th Legislative Day

June 29, 1989

compensated up to \$750.00. Is that right?"

Doederlein: "That's right."

Cullerton: "Will this allow anyone else throughout the state to form a rescue squad district?"

Doederlein: "Yes, if they would like to by a front door referendum."

Cullerton: "I see. And how large a area will be included...is contemplated to be included in this? In other words, geographically."

Doederlein: "At least 300 people. That's the minimum."

Cullerton: "I see. Okay. Thank you very much."

Doederlein: "Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "Any further discussion? Hearing none, 'Shall the House adopt the Conference question is, Committee Report to Senate Bill 1271?' And on question all those in favor vote 'aye', opposed 'no'. voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 77 voting 'yes', 28 voting 'no' and 2 voting 'present' and the House does adopt Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 1271, and this Bill having received the required Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Parcells for an announcement."

Parcells: "Ladies and Gentlemen, this is the moment you've all been waiting for, the results of the SIIA Tennis Tournament. It's the 16th annual and this year the winner was from the other House, so we're not going to talk about that, but the person who was a finalist and was last year's winner is Jesse White and his partner was Bob Cook and we have a trophy for them. The big surprise this year was that Gordie Ropp came in third. That is a wonderful feat for Gordie and we think next year he's going to be a

67th Legislative Day

June 29, 1989

winner. No, this is for you to give Bob Cook. We hope you'll all join us next year. Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "The Chair would like to announce that we're going to delay the caucus for a little bit, awhile, a few minutes and we'd like to run down the Supplemental Calendar again and hopefully we could pass some of the Bills that the Supplemental Calendar which will give us an early adjournment. Representative Leverenz. Representative Leverenz in the chamber? Representative Keane. Representative Hanniq. Out of the record. On the Order of Concurrences, State and Local Government, Ladies and Gentlemen, appears House Bill 112. Out of the record. House Bill 397, Representative Hensel. Is Representative Hensel in the chamber? 397? Out οf the record. Ellis Levin? Representative Levin. Out of the record. Representative Ryder. Is Representative Ryder in the Out of the record. Representative Bowman. Ιs Representative Bowman in the chamber? House Bill 1212. Out of the record. The Lady from DuPage, Representative Cowlishaw. Out of the record. Representative Currie, Lady from Cook. Out of the record. Representative Keane, glad to see your smiling face. Are you ready with House Bill 2351? Representative Keane."

Keane: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Are we going to go to 2351?" Speaker Giglio: "Yes."

Keane: "Thank you. House Bill 2351, the Conference Committee
Report #1 amends the Radiation Protection Act. We passed
the Bill earlier, there was an Amendment that was put on in
the Senate. It...the Amendment was somewhat too stringent.
The Medical Society...the Illinois Medical Society felt
that the language should be moderated and Conference
Committee Report #1 reflects that moderation. The report
as you can see in your analysis makes the following changes

67th Legislative Day

June 29, 1989

to the Bill: It changes the title and it provides that the Department of Nuclear Safety must, by rule or regulation, provide for the accreditation based on experience and skill for nurses, technicians and other assistants who have been employed in the field of administering radiation to human beings for at least 24 months prior to July 1, '89. also says persons who have had such experience shall accredited by the Department to perform specific radiation procedures without passing an examination or completing of any continuing education requirements when the Department receives written assurance from individuals licensed under the Medical Practice Act or the Podiatric Medical Practice It changes the repeal date of the Protection Act from December 31, '93 to December 31, 1990 and as you can see the Illinois State Medical Society asked for this Conference Committee Report to attempt to force the Department of Nuclear Safety to adopt accreditation standards. The Medical Society feels current standards are to strenuous...stringent I should say. would like to see them relaxed where individuals licensed under the MPA or the PMPA could through assurances to the Department, provide the accreditation for individuals administering radiation under their supervision. And basically what this is, we talked about it once before, it's just impossible to get qualified...have people who are qualified under our present law. We're not graduating any of these therapists and therefor I'd be happy to answer any questions and ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? Hearing none, the question is,

'Shall the House adopt Conference Committee Report to House
Bill 2351?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed 'no'.

The voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted
who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who

67th Legislative Day

June 29, 1989

wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 109 voting 'yes', 2 voting 'no' and 2 voting 'present'. Representative Harris, 'aye'. There are now 110 voting 'yes', 2 voting 'no' and 2 voting 'present' and the House does adopt Conference Committee Report to House Bill 2351, and this Bill having received the required Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Ryder. Representative Ryder. Representative Ryder in the chamber? House Bill 859. Representative Bowman. Representative Bowman in the chamber? House Bill 212, on page 4...3 of the Calendar under the Order of Concurrence. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Bowman, on the Order of..."

Bowman: "Yes."

Speaker Giglio: "...Concurrence, page 3 appears House Bill 1212."

Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. We have very few appropriation Bills left. House Bill 1212 was a... it started out as a vehicle Bill. The Senate Amendment #1 guts the Bill and appropriates 2.8 million dollars to the Court of Claims for payment of awards. Number 2, appropriates 133,000 Capital Development Fund to DeMars Incorporated to fulfill a settlement agreement between the claimant and the Attorney General. And Senate Amendment 3 makes no dollar change but simply makes a technical correction to Amendment #1. I move the House concur in all Senate Amendments."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendments...Senate Amendments #1, 2 and 3 to House Bill 1212?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? This is final action. Black, 'aye'. Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 116 voting 'yes', none voting 'no' and

67th Legislative Day

June 29, 1989

none voting 'present'. And the House does concur in Senate Amendments #1, 2, 3 to House Bill 1212, and this Bill having received the required Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Representative Ryder, House Bill 859. The Gentleman from Morgan, Representative Ryder. Representative Ryder.

- Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would move to nonconcur in all Senate Amendments to House Bill 859. I'm sorry, I misstated that. I move to nonconcur in all Senate Amendments and ask that a Conference Committee be appointed."
- Speaker Giglio: "You heard the Gentleman's Motion, any discussion? Hearing none, all those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the House nonconcurs with all the Senate Amendments to House Bill 859, and a Conference Committee be appointed. The House nonconcurs in House Bill 859. House Bill 860, Representative Ryder. 860."
- Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is the budget for the Department of Alcohol and Substance Abuse. I would move that we concur with the Amendments placed on this Bill in the Senate. I move that we concur."
- Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman moves that the House concur in Senate Amendments 1 through 9 to House Bill 860. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. This is final action. Have all voted voting is open. wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk...Representative Bugielski, 'aye'. voted who wish? There are now 116 voting 'yes', none voting 'no' and I voting 'present'. And the House does concur on Senate Amendments #1 through 9 to House Bill 860, and this Bill having received the required Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Do any of

67th Legislative Day

June 29, 1989

Representatives have any Bills on the Supplemental Calendar at this time that they would like to be heard? Representative McGann, do you have one? Are there any Bills that... Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, on the Calendar on page five, on the Order of Motions, I...I just wanted to take a Bill from the Table and put it in Interim Study."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, so my...my Motion is to...pursuant to Rule 74(a), I would move to take from the Table, and pursuant to Rule 37(h), I move to recomit Senate Bill 683 to Interim Study...the Interim Study Calendar."

Speaker Giglio: "You heard the Gentleman's Motion. All those favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'nay'. opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Motion carries by the Attendance Roll Call and the Bill will be committed into Interim Study. Representative Keane in chamber? Representative Keane, House Bill 113, Sir? Out of the record, Mr. Clerk. Under Concurrence, State and Local Government appears House Bill 112, Representative Cullerton. Out of the record. Representative Hensel, 397. Out of the record. Representative Levin, House Bill Out of the record. Representative Ryder, House Bill 859. Out of the record. Representative Bowman. Representative Out of the record. Representative Cowlishaw, 1261. Representative Cowlishaw, the Lady from DuPage."

Cowlishaw: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1261. This Bill amends the Illinois Library Systems Act to provide for the annual per capita and area grant increases. As the Bill left this House chamber, those increases were quite modest. The Amendment that was added in the Senate made those increases considerably larger. It

67th Legislative Day

June 29, 1989

would appear that those increases as envisioned by the Senate are not affordable, and therefore, I would like to nonconcur in this Senate Amendment so that the Senate can recede from its Amendment. I ask for an 'aye' vote on this Motion."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "A question of the Sponsor."

Speaker Giglio: "She indicates she will."

Leverenz: "I heard most of it, but the last of it said that the Senate was going to recede in the Senate Amendment...or
Amendments?"

Cowlishaw: "There was only one Amendment, Representative Leverenz. And yes, it is my understanding from the people who have been working on this issue with the Secretary of State's Office and Debbie Miller, that the current plan is for us to nonconcur and that the Senate will then recede from its Amendment."

Leverenz: "Sounds good."

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1261?' All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the House nonconcurs in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1261. Representative McCracken, 881. Out of the record. Representative Ryder, 297. 2097. The Gentleman from Morgan, Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 2097 acquired a Amendment in the Senate. At this time, I would move not to concur with the Senate Amendment #1 and ask that a Conference Committee be appointed."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? Hearing none, the question is,

'Shall the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House

67th Legislative Day

June 29, 1989

Bill 2097?' All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the House nonconcurs in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2097. Representative Currie. Out of the record. Representative Keane, 113. House Bill 113, Representative Keane? Out of the record. Supplemental Calendar #3. House Bill 1571, Representative McGann. Representative McGann in the chamber? The Gentleman from Cook."

McGann: "Thank you, Thank you, Mr. Speak..."

Speaker Giglio: "There's a little...there's a little...there's a little confusion here in the well, Representative McGann."

McGann: "Mr....Mr. Speaker, if you're having problems in the well, wouldn't you say that the buck stops with you?"

Speaker Giglio: "It certainly does, Representative McGann.

Proceed, Sir. House Bill 1571."

McGann: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the Assembly. House Bill 1571, the First Conference Committee Report on this House Bill is being presented to you from the Committee on Mental Health and it's worked with the Senate, and worked with the department, and has the full signatures of the Senators and the Representatives involved in the Conference Committee. And after meeting in person, we have come to an agreement that the department will study...shall conduct study ٥f alternative formulas for the distribution of grants-in-aids for community services, which are dispersed by the department. They will report back on May 1, 1990 to the General Assembly the results of its study. It'll include a description of the results obtained by using each of the alternative formulas, terms of the amount of funds received by the various geographic areas and community service agencies state, and in an evaluation of the relative benefits of

67th Legislative Day

June 29, 1989

each alternative to existing services area...areas and to provision of mental health and developmentally disability services. Further, in regards to Inspector General's Office, which is remaining in the department, in the original Bill asked that it be a separate office the Governor, and ratified by the Senate. appointed by It's a feeling as though that we will go along and leave the Inspector General within the department for the present time receiving abuse...reports and acting thereon. Auditor General shall conduct a program audit of the Office of Inspector General during these times and will report its findings to the General Assembly no later than May 1, 1990. And finally, the Section of the Inspector General will be repealed on January 19, 1991, and the General Assembly will decide the direction that we should take thereon. been worked out very well, by all the parties that I've previously mentioned, and it's a compliment to the Senators and Representatives that were involved. And I would ask for the adoption of First Conference Committee Report, House Bill 1571, with support from both sides of the aisle. Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "You heard the Gentleman's Motion, any discussion? The Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Black."

Black: "Well, thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I just rise in support of the Gentleman's Motion. This was done in...in, I think, what was probably the best spirit of compromise I've seen all Session. My compliments to the chairman of the committee and to the Senators and Representatives on the Conference Committee as well. The Department of Mental Health suggested language. All parties had an opportunity to put input into this final Conference Committee Report, and I think I can say unequivocally that it does represent

67th Legislative Day

June 29, 1989

a tremendous compromise. And I simply rise to congratulate the chairman and to concur in his remarks and to support his Motion."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Warren, Representative Hultgren."

"Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of House. I rise somewhat reluctantly to express reservations about the Conference Committee Report. And specifically the Section that calls upon the department to reevaluate the method by which they distribute grants-in-aid to community services. Some of you may remember that we discussed possible changes in the distribution formula on this floor last week. And I believe it was Representative DeJaegher, which shared with us some of the possible ramifications of new formulas. He indicated, I believe. that it would reduc substantially monies that would be available in Rock Island County and in Peoria County. indicated that it might affect distribution to community agencies in the Chicago area by tens of millions of dollars. So it is with...with reluctance and with certainly respect for the Members of the Conference Committee, that I rise to express reservations about this. And would ask all the Members of the House to look carefully at this, because it may have potentially negative impact upon the distribution of grant-in-aid money for community services to their area of the state."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Rock Island, Representative Brunsvold."

Brunsvold: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Gentleman yield?" Speaker Giglio: "Indicates he will."

Brunsvold: "Representative McGann, we're to conduct a study of alternative formulas. Isn't the present one working correctly?"

67th Legislative Day

June 29, 1989

McGann: "The...the department has admitted they do not really have a true formula in place. They came out with a formula in April of 1989, which they agreed in the Conference Committee that it was not in their projections workable. It would have cost a lot of areas tremendous dollars, especially in the City of Chicago. There's a feeling that we should follow the lines in having a study made, and only a study. It does not commit the department to take any action whatsoever before this study would come back to the General Assembly. And with the alternative formulas being offered at that time, the General Assembly will decide what way to go, if any way, or remain in the present, truly unstable approach to formula funding in...that's present in the department."

Brunsvold: "Thank you. And to the...to the Conference Committee Report, Mr. Speaker. I rise reluctantly to oppose the Gentleman. We in Rock Island have had our mental health facilities closed and centralized in Peoria. Our only alternative has been community hel...mental health. And from the original formula, Rock Island County would appear to lose about 500,000 dollars out of its community health I would ask the downstaters to consider that situation might exist there if this money is going to go to Chicago. And I really don't know what the department's program objectives are right now. And I would question what...what they're going to be next year after this report comes back. I would sure hope that my county with its only...only community mental health services would not lose a half a million dollars of services right now, that are way short of what they should be. So I would reluctantly stand in opposition to the Gentleman's Motion."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Klemm."

67th Legislative Day

June 29, 1989

Klemm: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I share the concerns of previous speakers. You know, it's an old saying, if it ain't broke, don't fix it. And it seems like this is what we're trying to do. The Mental Health Department obviously is doing a fine job, but to try to base a study or as we saw the earlier attempts...basing it on population also, it seems to me it diverts some of the dollars just to a populous area rather than to a needy area. And certainly mental health of all things should be based on the needs of its citizens. So I too, share some of the concerns of the downstaters and some of us in Kane and McHenry Counties and other areas, that perhaps will be losing dollars that are so vitally needed. I think we should reject this Conference Committee Report, because I think we're not doing too bad a job as we are. Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Bowman." Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. There are two main issues addressed in this Report. And so far the debate has focused on only one of them. in my opinion, the...the least important. However, before turning to the, what I consider to be the most issues in House Bill 7...1571 in its present form, let me address the concerns that were raised. Let me just ask you a rhetorical question and reflect on it for a moment. we have an adequate mental health system in the State of Illinois? And I think most of you would have to conclude is not adequate. Do we have enough money being spent on community mental health programs in our respective areas? Many of you probably would conclude that we do not. Therefore, it's not hard to see why some Legislators might have concerns about the amount...the way the money is being allocated right now. And that's precisely why the issue arose in the first place. Not the department coming to us

67th Legislative Day

June 29, 1989

and saying we want to do this, but Legislators, like you and me, mainly in the Senate, who said, 'We think that our areas are getting a bum deal. We think we...we ought to look at this problem more carefully.' Now, because of same concerns that have been raised bef...in the floor debate so far, the conferees were very sensitive to question of whether we were...would create the impression in this Report, that we were trying to put in a new funding formula, because we don't want to even create that impression. And so we...I think we went out of our way to try and write language, to make it clear, that we're only asking the department to...to take a look at some different ways of doing it. Not just one way, but several different ways. And then report back to us and let us make Maybe the decision is to stand pat and do nothing, but i f not at least we will have several. alternatives before us. And so for those of you who are concerned about what this might mean for your respective areas, let me try and reassure you that at the moment it doesn't mean a thing for your respective areas. We are simply directing the department to look into the matter. Now, as to the main body of the Report, the material...or the...the proposals contained in House Bill 1571 are the results of the Special Committee on Patient Care, which made numerous recommendations of ways in which we can improve the delivery of mental health services within our state operated facilities. The main difference between the House version and this version is that the Office of the Inspector General will remain within the Department of Health, rather than being made an autonomous Mental department. However, we will study the...the actions that component of the Department of Mental Health through a program audit conducted by the Auditor General. And the

statutes clearly provide and the Department of Mental

67th Legislative Day

June 29, 1989

Health in a letter dated today and signed by the General Council, indicate that their interpretation of the law, this Bill passes, is that the Auditor General and his people would have full access to all records, including material that would otherwise be confidential pursuant to the Confidentiality Act. So that we will be able to a... for the first time, a clear and comprehensive view of how abuse and neglect is being handled within the Department of Mental Health. So I rise in strong support of this measure. I hope people will not be deflected or sidetracked by some language that they...that in the Bill, that they're fearful of, because that language, I assure you, does nothing more than to direct the Department of Mental Health to do a study. And it will not in any way, result in a change of funding or delivery of services until the General Assembly says so. So I urge you to put your fears aside and focus on the positive aspects of legislation and vote for this Conference Committee Report." Speaker Giglio: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Didrickson." Didrickson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the Illinois General Assembly in the House here. I rise in support of Representative McGann's proposal here. I've never known Representative McGann to not have a sense of fairness for all mental health concerns in the State of Illinois. to that extent he has really crafted a piece of legislation here that addresses those concerns of everybody in this House. And I don't think any of us want to hurt any one geographical part of this state. This proposal does not do that. It is simply, as was stated earlier, a study that is to be conducted and those guidelines that they are to use are population served, and their needs, relative poverty, and geographical locations of those populations. I don't

67th Legislative Day

June 29, 1989

see anything harmful in this particular Conference Committee Report. And I think the only responsible vote, if you really care about the mental health concerns of of our Illinois citizens, is to adopt this Conference Committee Report. And I want to congratulate Representative McGann for all the good work that he does do for the mental health community across this state."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Rock Island, Representative DeJaegher."

DeJaegher: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the General Andy, when we talk about the subject of mental health, I don't believe that anybody is more concerned in this General Assembly than what you are. But I also have a concern and basically what we're discussing this evening is perhaps changing the funding level that is presently with That something has to be done to basically address ourselves in a better nature than what we have been for a period of years, pertaining to mental health facilities. Let me inform you and also Members of the General Assembly, it goes without saying that basically and oftentimes, the downstate Representatives are what you might say in the 'forgotonia area' of the State of Illinois. Very seldom, adequate funding provided for downstate Representatives. But a case in point, in the last twelve years we've had three mental health facilities close in our area downstate. That's Dixon, East Moline and Galesburg. So you have to realize the fact that we as downstate Legislators are concerned with what's happening with mental And hopefully that...as these...this health. funding formula takes effect, that you will be completely cognizant that you will address yourself to the serious it, concern that we in the downstate area have with mental health facilities. I'm going to be supporting this

67th Legislative Day

June 29, 1989

legislation of yours, but hopefully, Andy, you'll bear this in mind. Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Hallock."

Hallock: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I had the pleasure this Session of sitting on the Mental Health Committee and as some of the previous speakers have acknowledged, we had some very interesting meetings and oftentimes, some very acrimonious debates. That was not because of the personalities involved, but rather because of the nature of the issue and different possibilities as to how we should solve some of the crisis in this field. All Members of that committee, I think, acknowledged that we had some very severe areas that had to be dealt with this Session, mental health, and unfortunately, we didn't yet and haven't yet come to solutions for all those. But I do believe that in House Bill 1571 we have begun to at least to try to embody within this Bill some of the key areas, in which we see problems that have to be dealt with. 1571 does not offer all the solutions, but it does, I believe, offer a beginning for an effort by this General Assembly to consciously try to solve the problems in the mental health field. Nothing's really more important this Session than that we try to deal with that issue. would ask because of that, that we all support 1571. Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Kubik."

Kubik: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move the previous question."
Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman moves the previous question. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The previous question has been moved. Representative McGann to close."

McGann: "Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the

67th Legislative Day

June 29, 1989

Assembly. I appreciate the concerns of the downstaters and let me alleviate their minds very clearly. It is for this reason that we are asking for this study to identify the needs of these areas because they have been neglected. There is only one way to approach this needs and the identification of such, and to rationalize the future funding..."

Speaker Giglio: "...Excuse me, Representative McGann...Excuse me.
Will the House come to order, please. There is a lot of
noise. It's very difficult to hear and I would ask that
you kindly listen. Those of you that are not entitled to
the floor, would you kindly leave the chambers. Continue,
Representative McGann."

"We're going to identify these needs by this study. McGann: department supports the study, one of the few items they have stated they wanted to support. Every council gathering, every task force has set forth the need for a study on the formula. It's nothing going to be acted upon until it comes back to this General Assembly. And you and I and those that'll be here will be the ones that will do the decision making. We need this movement. We need the rest of the Body of this Conference Committee Report. I will make a dear promise to the downstaters over any of their concerns, because it will not happen. I, myself have seen what has happened, as Representative DeJaegher has And sometimes you wonder why it was operated that stated. Well we hope to do away with that type of operation and that type of addressing the real needs. We should do, and what we need to do this evening in support the First Committee...Conference Committee Report on 1571. I ask for support from both sides of the aisle. We have the support of the department. We have the support of the Senate. Let's move on. Thank you."

67th Legislative Day

June 29, 1989

Speaker Giglio: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1571?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. This is final action. Representative Brunsvold, one minute to explain your vote."

Brunsvold: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Representative McGann has given me his word. I've talked to him now at length on the issue and I...and I...I believe Andy will do his best to try to work out a formula that's fair to everybody and I will now stand in support of the Representative."

Speaker Giglio: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the On this question there are 108 voting 'yes', 5. record. voting 'no' and none voting 'present'. And the House does adopt Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1571, and this Bill having received the required Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1726. Representative Pullen. Representative Pullen. Out of the record. House Bill 2171, Representative Matijevich. Representative Matijevich, House Bill 2171? Mr. Clerk. The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 2171 is the Bill to...to enact a Furniture Fire Safety Act. There was a...there were five words that had to be changed in one Section, and that's all the Conference Committee Report does. The Amendment was a...a...in agreement with the furniture industry and they had looked at the Amendment initially and found a problem with that wording. The Conference Committee Report now changes that wording and all are in agreement. And if we adopt Report, we will be the first state in the nation to enact a Furniture Fire Safety Act. I move the adoption of the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 2171."

67th Legislative Day

June 29, 1989

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall the House adopt Conference Committee Report to House Bill 17...or 2171?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 115...114 voting 'yes', none voting 'no' and I voting 'present'. And the House...House does adopt Conference Committee Report to House Bill 2171, and this Bill having received Constitutional...this Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared...Representative Trotter, 'aye'. One hundred and fifteen voting 'yes'. This having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Representative Pullen, House Bill 1726. The Lady from Cook, Representative Pullen."

Pullen: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move that the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1726. This Bill relates to removing from DCFS, the duty to investigate truancy, so that that would reside solely within the school authorities. The Senate attached an Amendment which was abusive to the Bill. That Amendment is coming off in this Conference Committee Report. This is a compromise...this is...I shouldn't even say a compromise, this is an agreement with the Department of Children and Family Services, the State Board of Education and the Senator who attached the original Amendment that we're removing in this Report. And I move its adoption."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? Hearing none, the question is,

'Shall the House adopt... Representative Hultgren, the
Gentleman from Warren."

Hultgren: "Has this, Mr. Chair... Speaker, has this Report been distributed? I don't have a copy."

67th Legislative Day

June 29, 1989

Speaker Giglio: "This was distributed at 7:30. So, it's five minutes...five minutes over one hour."

Hultgren: "...Can...can you give us another five?"

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Pullen, what's your pleasure?"

Pullen: "Mr. Chairman, did you indicate that it had been distributed? I though I heard you say..."

Speaker Giglio: "It's been distributed an hour and five minutes ago, five minutes past the...what the rules require."

Pullen: "Well, if it meets compliance with the rules, Mr.

Speaker, I'd like to move its adoption. The Bill is very straightforward. It doesn't do more than what I've said it does."

Lady moves for the adoption of Speaker Giglio: "The the Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1726. that question, all those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed 'may'. The voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 108 voting 'yes', 3 voting 'no' and 6 voting 'present'. And the House does adopt the Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1726, and this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared Senate Bill 255, Representative McPike. Out of the record. Representative Matijevich, Senate Bill 392. Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "This is Senate Bill..."

Speaker Giglio: "...392."

Matijevich: "...392, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 392 is the Bill that establishes on a permanent basis the Illinois Residential Affordable Payment Program for low...comprehensive low income...income energy assistance policy and program which incorporates income assistance, home weatherization and other measures to

67th Legislative Day

June 29, 1989

ensure that citizens have access to affordable energy. The Conference Committee Report is virtually the same as the Amendment that we put on in the House with two changes, and it is an agreement that's been reached by the providers, by the public utilities and the Governor's Office and all have signed off on it. This is a valuable program. It's a program where, I think, everybody is a winner. We have always been faced with cut offs in the winter time by people who can't afford their utility services. This is a good program. We worked on this, Representative Gene Hoffman and Todd Sieben and Ellis Levin and the staff, Mona Lampkin and Jack Johnson, and with the help of the Senate. And we now have what we think is a good program. appreciate your support and move the adoption of Conference Committee Report #1 to Senate Bill 392."

- Speaker Giglio: "On that question, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Morrow."
- Morrow: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Many of you know I have a conflict of interest on Senate Bill 392. But, I will vote my conscience because this is a good Bill. This Bill would allow people who are unable to afford the high cost of gas and lights to keep their gas service and their light service on. And for that reason, I'm proud to vote 'aye' on Senate Bill 392. Thank you."
- Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Hoffman."
- Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I, too, rise to join the previous speakers in endorsing Senate Bill...the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 392. I compliment all the people who have spent a great deal of time and energy. This is one of those kinds of things that you don't often notice the work

67th Legislative Day

June 29, 1989

that people are doing. But, this is a movement in the proper direction and will put this program on sound and continuous footing and I encourage an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I just rise to let everyone know that I do not have any conflicts of interest on And I'm glad to know that Representative Morrow may Bill. have a conflict on this Bill, but I'm still going to vote 'yes' with everybody else that's probably going to speak." Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Levin." Levin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of this House. This Bill is truly a Bill that comes out of long hours ٥f negotiations between the utilities, departments and state government and the low income consumers. And it went through many changes, compromises. It is something which nobody is totally happy with and that's what makes it a good compromise. It's one that everybody can live with. It's realistic. And I think signals the first time that the low income consumers and the utilities have really sat down and had the opportunity to have an extended dialogue and I hope and expect in talking to various parties, they'll be...this will be the first step in a continued dialogue between them, between the different sides for the future. This is a good Bill and I urge the adoption of Conference Committee Report #1." Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Henry, Representative

Sieben."

"Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Just an additional note to what the other speakers have said on this Bill. I think one of the fine points of this legislation is that it makes this program available to all citizens in the State of Illinois. Those people who

67th Legislative Day

June 29, 1989

may be served by a rural electric cooperative now are eligible to receive some assistance. Those citizens who are served by a municipal electric utility or a municipal utility are also eligible, as well as those that are served by the public utilities in the state. And as a member of the Governor's task force on the Affordable...Residential Affordable Payment Program, I want to commend the people that worked on that...those task force... on that task force that represented some very divergent points of view when we first got together. We had an excellent moderator in Gretchen 'Monte' from Bloomington, who met with us and brought those points of view together in such a way that we could reach a compromise. And there was a tremendous amount of give and take on both sides of this program by the community action providers who, many of you know Bobbi Bennett, who's in the gallery at this time, who worked very hard to press her concerns with this issue. I think some of the utility companies that were also concerned their interest would be protected in this and their...the short fall would be taken care of, the issue of pre-planned arrearages has been addressed. And I think we've truly met the needs of the people in the State of Illinois to see that during the winter months of the year, people will be able to keep the heat on, stay warm in their homes and be able to live somewhat more comfortably without having to fear that their...their source of heat will be turned off during the winter months. I commend the that worked very hard on this Bill and also commend the staff of both the House Republicans and Democrats and the staff of the Senate Republicans and Democrats who put in long hours and came up with a very creative program to deal with this need. And I would also add, as Representative Levin has said, that this is merely a first step, a first

67th Legislative Day

June 29, 1989

step at addressing a long term social need in the State of Illinois. And there needs to be continuing dialogue on this issue to address the needs, the essential needs of affordable utilities for all citizens of the State of Illinois. And I urge a 'yes' vote."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Matijevich to close."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, I appreciate the support of everybody on this concurrence...I mean on the adoption of this Report. Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "The question is, 'Shall the House concur... shall the House adopt Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 392?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. Representative Krska, 'aye'. There are now lll voting none voting 'no' and I voting 'present'. And the House does adopt Conference Committee Report, Senate 392, and this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Representative Curran. Representative Mike Curran in the chamber? Out of the re...out of the record. Rep...Representative Curran. Curran. Senate Bill 1046. The Gentleman from Sangamon, Representative Curran."

Curran: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill (sic - Senate Bill) 1046, Conference...First Conference Committee Report on House Bill (sic Senate Bill) 1046 puts in the procision...provisions of House Bill 580. And when that Bill came out of the House, I think it had 114 votes. What happened is that there was an Amendment that was technically flawed in the Senate. They didn't handle the way they needed to do, so we put it into Conference

67th Legislative Day

June 29, 1989

Committee Report. This is the same Bill that passed out of the House 114 to nothing. It's been signed by all the conferees. It lowers the caseload of the Department of Children and Family Services over a period of four years from where it is now, to 30 to 1. Be glad to answer any questions. Ask for your 'aye' vote."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? The Gentleman...the Lady from Cook, Representative Parcells."

Parcells: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen, I regretfully rise in opposition to this because I see basically several things wrong. First of all, it is enormously expensive. If we assume a case load, does not vary at all, the first year out or next year this would cost us four million dollars. By the fifth year out would be 42,600,000 extra dollars and that's if our case load stays even. If the case load rises, it would be than that. I think it's very dangerous to be setting these caseloads at exactly thirty. What if the thirty-first case comes along, does that mean it won't be handled? Does a worker say, 'No, I won't handle that', the investigator, who only has twelve cases per month? Or the follow up, who only has thirty cases per month? Do they say, 'Whoops, I reached my limit, I'm not going to do that'. The union has been excellent in the past by recognizing employer's right to establish reasonable workload levels. If the cases are up, they all take a few more. If the cases are down, few less. That has worked out very well. And by setting this limit, we're going to have cases perhaps won't be covered. And if they are covered, and if our caseload stays exactly even, as I've described to you, the fifth year this is 42,600,000 dollars more than we are spending right now. I think it would be wonderful could do this. It's certainly a goal to reach for.

67th Legislative Day

June 29, 1989

this point and time, it is way too expensive and it is way too confining to have these restrictions on the caseload. And so I regretfully ask for a 'no' vote on this First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 1046."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Preston."

Preston: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in support of this Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1046. The previous speaker was throwing out what really amounts to red herrings. nothing in this legislation that implies hints, requires that if there's a thirty caseload limit, that the thirty-first case will go untaken care of. This simply states what the policy of the State of Illinois ought to be in setting the caseload limit, so that children are cared What is the sense of having caseworkers have a caseload that means, by definition, they are unable to deal with their patients, their...their customers, clients in the...in this area. You have to have a caseload that make sense so you can do a job for the children you're trying to indeed protect. And that's what this Conference Committee Report does. Its simply states what state policy ought to be, that a...a worker ought not to have more than thirty cases that that individual is responsible for. long overdue. We've had this up here many times in the past. This is the time to finally pass it and to put teeth into it."

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Sangamon, Representative Curran, to close."

Curran: "The previous speaker on the other side of the aisle said that it's dangerous to set caseloads. Let me tell you what's dangerous. What's dangerous in this state is to find out that there are children who are being beaten, and

67th Legislative Day

June 29, 1989

tortured, abused, neglected and even murdered, and not have the state's response to them, to be somewhere within striking distance of being able to take care of them. The money for this Bill is already in the budget and I am guaranteed that it will stay in the budget. Ladies and Gentlemen, abused, tortured children in this state have a very difficult life, sometimes a very brief life. This is something where the money is already in the budget. We've already passed it 114 to nothing. All the conferees have signed it, House and Senate, Democrat and Republican. It vote... it was voted unanimously out of committee. This is time to do this thing and I ask for an 'aye' vote."

- Speaker Giglio: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 1046?' And on that question, all those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative McCracken."
- McCracken: "If it appears to get the requisite number of votes,

 I'll ask for a verification."
- Speaker Giglio: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 59 voting 'yes', 43 voting 'no' and 12 voting 'present'. Representative Curran. Mr. Clerk, poll the absentees."
- Clerk Leone: "A Poll of those not voting. Capparelli. Hasara.

 Krska. And Myron Olson."
- Speaker Giglio: "Vote Representative Flinn 'aye'. Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "Verification. Verification."

Speaker Giglio: "...Mr. Clerk."

McCracken: "And the first thing we're going to verify is

67th Legislative Day

June 29, 1989

Republicans. Where are they?"

Clerk Leone: "A Poll of the Affirmative. Balanoff. Bowman. Breslin. Brunsvold. Bugielski. Cowlishaw. Cullerton. Curran. Currie. Davis. DeJaegher. DeLeo. Dunn. Farley. Flinn. Flowers. Giglio. Giorgi. Granberg. Hannig. Hicks. Hartke. Homer. Lou Jones. Shirley Jones. Keane. Lang. Laurino. LeFlore. Levin. Martinez. Matijevich. McGann. McNamara. McPike. Morrow. Munizzi. Novak. Phelps. Preston. Rice. Saltsman. Santiago. Satterthwaite. Steczo. Stern. Sutker. Terzich. Trotter. Turner. Van Duyne. White. Williams. Wolf. Woolard. Anthony Young. Wyvetter Younge. And Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Kulas."

Kulas: "Mr. Speaker, record me as 'aye'."

Speaker Giglio: "Mr. Clerk, change Representative Kulas to 'aye'.

Representative McCracken, questions of the Affirmative."

McCracken: "Representative Terzich?"

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Terzich. Representative Terzich

in the chamber? Mr. Clerk, how's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giglio: "Remove the Gentleman from the Roll Call."

McCracken: "Representative Martinez?"

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Martinez. Representative Martinez in the chamber? Mr. Clerk, how's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giglio: "Remove the Gentleman from the Roll Call."

McCracken: "Representative Dunn?"

Speaker Giglio: "Representative John Dunn is in the rear of the chamber."

McCracken: "I see him. Representative Davis?"

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Monique Davis is in the rear of

67th Legislative Day

June 29, 1989

the chamber."

McCracken: "Representative Santiago?"

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Santiago. The Gentleman in the chamber? How's the Gentleman recorded, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giglio: "Remove the Gentleman from the Roll Call and vote
Representative Jack...Richmond 'aye'. Richmond, 'aye'..."

McCracken: "Representative...Representative Bugielski?"

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Bugielski is in the rear of the chamber."

McCracken: "Representative Farley?"

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Bruce Farley. Is the Gentleman in the chamber? How's the Gentleman recorded, Mr. Clerk?" Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giglio: "Remove the Gentleman from the Roll Call.

Representative Hartke. Does he have leave to be verified?

Leave is granted. Continue, Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "I think Rep...Speaker."

Speaker Giglio: "The Lady from DuPage, Representative Cowlishaw."

Cowlishaw: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Please change my vote from 'aye' to 'no'."

Speaker Giglio: "Mr. Clerk, change the Lady's vote from 'aye' to 'no'."

McCracken: "Representative Hicks?"

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Hicks. Is the Gentleman in the chamber? He's in the center aisle."

McCracken: "Oh...alright. Representative Steczo?"

Speaker Giglio: "Steczo. Representative Steczo in the chamber?

How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giglio: "Remove the Gentleman from the Roll Call."

McCracken: "Representative McGann?"

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Currie asks leave to be verified,

67th Legislative Day

June 29, 1989

Representative. She has leave? Representative McGann.

Andrew McGann. Is the Gentleman in the chamber? Here he is. He's at the Speaker's podium. Yes, Representative McGann. Do not remove him. Leave him as is."

McCracken: "Representative Phelps?"

Speaker Giglio: "Representative McCracken, does Representative McGann have leave..."

McCracken: "...Yes..."

Speaker Giglio: "...To be verified?"

McCracken: "Yes."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Phelps is behind...in his seat."

McCracken: "Representative DeLeo?"

Speaker Giglio: "Representative DeLeo. Is he in the chamber? Is

Representative DeLeo? How's the Gentleman recorded, Mr.

Clerk?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giglio: "Remove the Gentleman. And Representative McCracken, Representative Wolf asksleave to be verified?" McCracken: "Yes."

Speaker Giglio: "Alright. Mr. Clerk, restore Representative Martinez to the Roll Call."

McCracken: "Representative Munizzi?"

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Munizzi. Is the Lady in the chamber? How's the Lady recorded, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Leone: "The Lady is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giglio: "La...Remove the Lady. Representative McCracken, you have Representative Keane here that would like to be verified..."

McCracken: "...Yes..."

Speaker Giglio: "...And Representative Shaw in the back that would like to be verified?"

McCracken: "Yes. Representative Williams?"

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Shaw. Representative Shaw wants

67th Legislative Day

June 29, 1989

to vote 'aye'..."

McCracken: "...I already verified them as a 'no'. It's too late."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative McCracken. Change Shaw from 'no' to 'aye'. Preston..."

McCracken: "Representative Williams..."

Speaker Giglio: "Preston wants to be verified?"

McCracken: "Oooh, I don't know. Of course. Representative Williams?"

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Williams is in his chair."

McCracken: "Representative Anthony Young?"

Speaker Giglio: "Anthony Young is in the aisle."

McCracken: "Representative Flowers?"

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Flowers. Representative Mary Flowers? She's talking to Representative Klemm."

McCracken: "Representative Breslin?"

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Breslin. Representative Breslin is in the chamber."

McCracken: "Representative Laurino?"

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Laurino. Is the Gentleman in the chamber? How's the Gentleman record, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giglio: "Remove the Gentleman from the Roll Call."

McCracken: "Nothing further."

Speaker Giglio: "Mr. Clerk, take the record. Representative Mulcahey. Representative Mulcahey votes 'aye'. Representative Leverenz votes 'aye'. Any...Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 57 voting 'aye', 42 voting 'no' and 8 voting 'present'. Representative Curran."

Curran: "I'd like this to be put on Postponed Consideration, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Curran, did you ask for a Second

67th Legislative Day

June 29, 1989

Conference Committee Report?"

Curran: "I'd rather postpone it."

Speaker Giglio: "Mr...Representative Curran, the normal procedure is to ask for a Second Conference Committee Report.

Representative Madigan in the Chair."

Speaker Madigan: "Ladies and...Ladies and Gentlemen, could we have your attention, please. The plan for the remainder of the evening will be that we will go to Party Caucuses immediately. We will adjourn now and return at 10:00 a.m. in the morning. We shall call the Conference Committee Report proposing the surcharge on the income tax at 11:00 a.m. So again, the plan is to adjourn now, go to Party Caucus, come in in the morning at 10:00 a.m. and we'll call the Conference Report on the income tax surcharge at 11:00 a.m. Mr. Clerk, do you need any Perfunctory time? There's no need for Perfunctory time, Mr. McPike moves that the House stand adjourned until 10:00 a.m. tomorrow morning. Those in favor say 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The House does stand adjourned until 10:00 a.m. tomorrow morning."

REPORT: TIFLDAY 09:17

STATE OF ILLINOIS 86TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE

3

4

11

17

29

29

28

30

13

32

43

21

42

26

17

44

14

30

55

48

20

22

7

6

R

1

1

1

2

10

10

15

16

56

56

10

PAGE

5

05/02/90

1

JUNE 29, 1989 HB-0446 CONCURRENCE PAGE HB-0535 CONCURRENCE PAGE HB-0541 NOTION PAGE HB-0541 MOTION PAGE HB-0859 NON-CONCURRENCE PAGE HB-0860 CONCURRENCE PAGE HB-1212 CONCURRENCE PAGE HB-1261 MOTION PAGE HB-1508 MOTION PAGE HB-1571 MOTION PAGE HB-1726 MOTION PAGE HB-1896 MOTION PAGE HB-2171 MOTION PAGE HB-2351 MOTION PAGE SB-0125 REFUSE TO RECEDE PAGE SB-0374 MOTION PAGE SB-0392 HOTION PAGE S8-0394 HOTION PAGE SB-0683 MOTION PAGE SB-1046 POSTPONED CONSIDERATION PAGE SB-1046 MOTION PAGE

SUBJECT MATTER

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE - REPRESENTATIVE WAIT ROLL CALL ATTENDANCE

PRAYER - PASTOR MATTES

REPRESENTATIVE GIGLIO IN THE CHAIR

SPEAKER MADIGAN IN THE CHAIR

INTERIM STUDY CALENDAR

AGREED RESOLUTIONS

DEATH RESOLUTIONS

RECESS

ADJOURNMENT

SB-1114 MOTION

SB-1271 MOTION

HOUSE TO GROER - REPRESENTATIVE BRESLIN

SB-1333 REFUSE TO RECEDE SB-1456 REFUSE TO RECEDE SJR-0070 MOTION SJR-0070 ADDPTED