77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

Speaker Ryan: "The House will come to Order and the Members will be in their seats. The Chaplain for today is Dr. Albrim from the Berean Baptist Church in Springfield. Reverend." Dr. Albrim: "Again may I say thank you for your gracious invitation to open the House in prayer. Shall we talk to our Heavenly Father? Thank You, our God, that You've invited us to come boldly to the throne of grace that we might obtain mercy in the hour of need, but we come to You this morning for wisdom for You've also told us that if any lack wisdom, let him ask of God who gives to all liberally and afraideth not and it shall be given him. We are reminded our God of Your word that says rigtheousness exalted the nation but sin is a reproach to any people and we would ask that as the magnificent, the large decisions that have to be made today might be made in accord with Your Will. We desire, our God, to please You above all May we not be as those who would call good evil, light darkness and bitter sweet, but might we be as those who make decisions that would please You. You've also told us in Your word that the powers that be are ordained of God. And therefore, we have become ministers of good to those of our constituency to whom we minister. May each of as Representatives, please You with every thought, every decision made this day. And our God, as the day closes may we look back and thank You for the wisdom and understanding that You've given us far above ourselves. be careful to thank You for we ask it all in Jesus' We • 11 Amen." Name.

Speaker Ryan: "Thank you, Reverend. Representative Leon will lead the Pledge this morning."

Leon: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of
America and to the Republic for which it stands one nation,

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

under God, indivisible with liberty and justice for all."

Speaker Ryan: "Roll Call for attendance. Take the record, Mr.

Clerk. Introduction and First Reading of House Bills."

- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1924, McMaster—et al, a Bill for an Act in relationship to certain debts owed to the state by several counties thereof. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1925, Hallock—et al, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Identification Card Act. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1926, Polk, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Income Tax Act. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1927, McPike—et al, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Insurance Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1928, McPike, a Bill for an Act to create and define the powers and duties of the Illinois Economic Development Bank and the Council on Technology of Illinois Economy. First Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Ryan: "The House will stand at ease for at least fifteen or twenty minutes. The problem is paperwork. If you're on a Conference Committee and the report is made up, you ought to make sure that you get it signed. The staff was here all night trying to find people to sign the reports last night and they'll be around so make yourself available if you're on a Conference Committee."
- Speaker Daniels: "The House will be in Order. The Members will come in their seats...will go to their seats or retire to their seats. Okay. The Members will return to their seat.

 All those not entitled to the floor will please retire to the gallery. Long day yesterday. It's a long day this morning. Good morning all. We're going to start with House Bill 591 on the Order of Concurrence. Page three. House Bill 591, Clerk will read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 591, a Bill for an Act making

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expense of the University Civil Service Merit Board."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Wikoff."

Wikoff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 591 was amended in the Senate to include the OCE for the University Civil ...er..for the Department of Conservation and for the Institute of National Resource..er..Natural Resources and there are several Amendments, but they all deal with that. I would move that we concur with 591."

Speaker Daniels: "Any discussion?"

Wikoff: "Excuse me, Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Wikoff."

Wikoff: "I think that..I would ask leave for the Attendance Roll

Call in order that this Bill might be removed from the

Table for consideration at this time."

Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman has asked leave that we use the Attendance Roll Call to remove House Bill 591 from the Table. Suspend the appropriate rule. Representative Kane."

Kane: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I think we ought to take Roll Calls. I
don't think we ought to use the Attendance Roll Call."

Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman. Representative Kane, requested Attendance Roll Call (sic). Is there any This is on the Motion to remove from the discussion? Table. May we join four Bills in that, Representative Kane? We intend to call House Bill 591, House Bill 621, House Bill 697 and House Bill 766. Representative Getty. want to remove those four Bills from the Table in one Roll Call. It takes 107 votes. Representative Bowman?"

Bowman: "Okay. I'm trying to find these things on the Calendar.

Apparently they are..."

Speaker Daniels: "Page three of the Calendar, Regular Calendar,

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

page three, Order of Concurrence."

Bowman: "Okay. I see. These are all appropriation Bills?"

Speaker Daniels: "Yes they are. The four Bills I mentioned, 591..."

Bowman: "I see..."

Speaker Daniels: "621, 697, 766..."

Bowman: "Thank you very much..."

Speaker Daniels: "...Remove them from the Table..Representative Getty?"

Bowman: "I think it's a wonderful Motion."

Speaker Daniels: "All right. All right. The question then is...Representative Getty."

Getty: "I just wanted to say that I thought that would be the orderly way to proceed. Should do all four from the Table at one time."

Speaker Daniels: "Thank you, Sir. The question is to remove the four Bills mentioned from the Table. Takes 107 votes. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. And the voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On the Motion to take House Bills 591, 621, 697 and 766 from the Table there are 141 'ayes', 1 'no' and 4 voting 'present' and these Bills are removed from the Table and placed before the Body for immediate consideration. House Bill 591, Representative Wikoff has moved for approval of the Pirst Conference Committee Report. Is there any discussion? Representative Wikoff."

Wikoff: "No, this is not a Conference Committee Report, Mr.

Speaker. This is ...This is concurrence."

Speaker Daniels: "The Calendar was in error. The Clerk will read the Senate Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Amendments #1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10,

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17 and 18."

Wikoff: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I think it was explained. If there are any questions, I'll be happy to try to answer them.

Otherwise I would move for the adoption of ..er..the concur...the adoption of this Motion for concurrence."

Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman moves for the concurrence in Senate Amendments #1 through 18. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The voting is open. Representative Darrow? Representative Vitek?"

Vitek: "I understood the...Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I understood
the Clerk to read one, two. I don't have a two in my
Digest here. I think it's one, three. One, three, four,
five."

Speaker Daniels: "The Clerk informs me that there is a 2, Representative Vitek."

Vitek: "Thank you."

Speaker Daniels: "It's 1 through 18, inclusive..."

Vitek: "... Then the Calendar is wrong.."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Tuerk. Representative Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Daniels: "Indicates he will."

Tuerk: "House Bill 591 had an Amendment, Senate Amendment 13.

Are you concurring in that Amendment?"

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Wikoff."

Wikoff: "Yes. Yes."

Tuerk: "Well, to..to the Concurrence Motion then, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Daniels: "Explanation of votes, Sir."

Tuerk: "In one respect I reluctantly rise to oppose the Concurrence Motion. Senate Amendment 13 deletes all the funding for the ever present controversial Rock Island Trail. This House approximately two weeks ago overwhelminglyit got over 100 and some votes— to retain the

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

funding for that project. Now, it went to the Senate and the Senate removed all the funds for that project. I just don't think the House ought to sit here and concur in a Motion to concur in that Amendment when less than two weeks ago, the House overwhelmingly supported the retention of those funds and therefore the progression of the development of that project. So, I would be amenable to a division of the question. But I certainly can't support concurrence of this Bill with that Amendment and as long as the Sponsor takes that view."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Braun."

- Braun: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I have difficulty voting on this

 Notion because the Calendar says that House Bill 591 is on

 Concurrence and the First Conference Committee Report is

 being voted on, but there's been no distribution of a

 Conference Committee Report..."
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Braun, we did announce earlier.

 Maybe I didn't make it clear enough. That the Calendar is
 in error. There is no Conference Committee Report. This
 is a Concurrence Motion on Senate Amendments #1 through and
 including 18, Concurrence Motion."
- Braun: "In that case, Mr. Speaker, I would rise and ask for a division of the question also. Thank you."
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Saltsman?"
- Saltsman: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, with this being in my district, the 46th District, the Sierra Club and the people who own the farm land have still never came to an agreement. This thing is still acast out there and I urge you to vote 'yes' and concur with the Senate Amendments. I'm open for questions."
- Speaker Daniels: "Have all voted who wish? This takes 107 votes to pass. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Wikoff?

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

The Clerk..."

- Wikoff: "Yes. No, go ahead. Take the record."
- Speaker Daniels: "Take the record, Mr. Clerk. McClain, 'aye'.

 Representative Kane?"
- Kane: "Is 13 included in this or is..did you accede to Representative Tuerk's request for a division?"
- Speaker Daniels: "No. It was included in it, Sir. The Parliamentarian advised me that the request was untimely because we had already put it to a vote. Thirteen was included in the call."
- Kane: "Okay. I would urge a 'no' vote then."
- Speaker Daniels: "Macdonald, 'aye'. McClain...I'm sorry.

 Macdonald, 'no'. McClain, 'aye'. Griffin, 'no'. Bowman?"
- Bowman: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. I think there has been a lot of confusion on this particular point. And I would recommend first that the Chair dump this Roll Call and divide the question. Barring that, I would then ask for a verification of the Roll Call. I feel very strongly about that particular piece of legislation."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Neff."

- Neff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. ThisWe should concur in the Senate Amendments because what they've done is taken an offer which is satisfactory to the majority of people in that area and I think they were right in taking off the other Amendment and I would hope we would support this."
- Speaker Daniels: "All right. Representative Wikoff?"
- Wikoff: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. We are in a day after adjournment right now. We're talking about \$202,000. This Bill in this form has been on everybody's desk for about the last four or five days. Now, if you're interested in moving government, let's move it. Otherwise I'll table the Bill."
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Wolf."
- J.J. Wolf: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

voted 'present' on this. I have been in support of the Rock Island Trail, but I'm going to be quite candid. It's not worth going down the tube on a Bill and going back to Conference Committee. Change my vote to 'aye' and I would urge others to do so. Believe me when I tell you there are other ways and other areas where the money can be restored and I would urge an 'aye' vote rather than go back to another Conference Committee and open the whole ball of wax up again. Change me to 'aye' please."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative J.J. Wolf, 'aye'. Ewing,
Representative Ewing?"

Ewing: "Change my vote to 'aye'."

Speaker Daniels: "Ewing from 'no' to 'aye'. Representative Hastert from 'no' to 'aye'. Barkhausen from 'no' to 'aye'. Roland Meyer from 'no' to 'aye'. Representative Bartulis, Record the Gentleman as 'aye'. Representative 'aye'. Griffin, your light is on, Sir. Representative Alstat? Record the Gentleman as 'aye'. Representative Alexander, 'ave'. Capparelli, 'aye'. 'no'. Jaffe, Schraeder, Representative Schraeder?"

Schraeder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Well, I just want to...just make a very short statement in regards to the Rock Island Trail. This...We're going to come back to haunt you time and time again if we don't clear it up this year and get it on the record. All we're asking is the appropriation contain the one dollars that would allow free money, free labor, free construction costs to the State of Illinois and take this problem off of your backs once and for all. And I would ask for a 'no' vote."

Speaker Daniels: "All right. Representative Sam Wolf from 'no' to 'aye'. Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Mr. Speaker, what's the count, please?"

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Koehler from 'aye' to 'no'.

77th Legislative Day

- July 1, 1981
- Okay. There is 116 'ayes' and 43 'no'. Telcser from 'aye' to 'no'. Okay. Representative Bowman."
- Bowman: "So many people are switching back and forth that I really would like to renew my original suggestion of dumping the Roll Call and taking another clean Roll Call on it."
- Speaker Daniels: "We have the count now. There's 115 'aye', 43
 'no' and 7 voting 'present'..."
- Bowman: "..Then I'll persist in my request for a verification."

 Speaker Daniels: "Smith, 'aye'. Irv Smith, 'aye'. Chapman,
 'no'. Are you changing from 'aye' to 'no', Ma'am? Change
 Representative Chapman from 'aye' to 'no'. Representative
 Pechous? From 'aye' to 'no'.from 'aye' to 'no'.

 ...113 'aye', 45 'no', 7 'present'. Representative
 Bowman?"
- Bowman: "Okay. Start with the Roll Call. I'm persisting in my verification of the Roll Call."
- Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman persists in his verification of the Roll Call. The Gentleman, Representative Wikoff, requests a poll of the absentees. Proceed with the poll of the absentees. Representative Winchester?"
- Winchester: "Mr. Speaker, could I be verified?"
- Speaker Daniels: "Does the Gentleman have leave to be verified,
 Representative Bowman? Representative McCormick? The
 Gentleman, Representative McCormick and Mays wish leave to
 be verified. Do they have leave? Leave is granted.
 Proceed with a poll of the absentees."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of the absentees: Bell. Ewell. Garmisa.

 Hudson. Huff. Kane. Kosinski..."
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Lechowicz?"
- Lechowicz: "Leave to be verified? I've got Conference
 Committee."
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Lechowicz asks for leave to be

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

verified. Representative Bowman? The Gentleman has leave.
Representative Collins requests leave to be verified.
Representative Cullerton, from 'aye' to 'no'.
Representative Nelson, your light is on, Ma'am. All right.
Continue."

Clerk O'Brien: "Continuing the poll of the absentees; Margalus.

Pierce. Pouncey. Redmond. Rhem. Schneider..."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Hudson, 'no'. Hudson, 'no'.

Proceed. Ted Meyer? From 'aye' to 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Continuing the poll of the absentees; Schraeder.

Stewart. Turner. No further."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, we've just had a conference here with Fred Schraeder and Ted Lechowicz who is on the Conference Committee, in fact we're going to it right now, and the Court of Claims and Jake Wolf and I believe we are all agreed that we will put one dollar in in the Court of Claims for this so that they can go ahead with the free labor as Schraeder has asked. And I think that would solve this issue so we don't have to go through a verification. That will keep the Trail alive and I think that will satisfy everybody in this instance. I don't think Woody was listening to me. That was...I should have talked to him personally I guess."

Speaker Daniels: "Continue with the poll of the absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of the absentees is completed."

Speaker Daniels: "All right. Representative Preston. 'no'. Pouncey, 'aye'. Pouncey, 'aye'. O'Connell from 'aye' 'no'. Pron 'aye' ... You are recorded as 'aye', Sir. From 'a ye' to 'no'. Representative Schraeder. Schraeder 'ave' and Telcser from 'no' to 'ave'. Representative Telcser from 'no' to 'aye'. Representative Bowman."

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

- Bowman: "Okay. Mr. Speaker, I apologize for taking the time of the House. Things might also have gone a little faster if everybody hadn't tried to talk to me all at the same time. My understanding...I went over and talked to Representative Matijevich and Representative Lechowicz. My understanding of the agreement that's been worked out with respect to the Court of Claims Bill is alright with me and I'll be happy to withdraw my request for a verification at this time. If I...I hope I understand that arrangement correctly. But, I will, at this time, withdraw my request for a verification."
- Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman withdraws his request. On this question there are 112 'ayes', 49 'nos', 4 voting 'present'. The House concurring with Senate Amendments #1 through and including 18, House Bill 519 (sic, House Bill 591), is hereby declared passed with an extraordinary majority of 107. House Bill 591 declared passed. House Bill 621, Representative ... J.J. Wolf. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 621, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the State Attorney's Appellate Service Commission together with Senate Amendments #1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 14, 15, 16, 17 and 19."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative J.J. Wolf."

- J.J. Wolf: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House.

 This includes the Department of Transportation, Department of Labor, Arts Council and Industrial Commission. The total difference on this is...the Senate action is 28 million, 90 thousand, 9 hundred dollars and I would move that we concur with the Senate Amendments 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 14, 15, 16, 17 and 19."
- Speaker Daniels: "Any questions? The Gentleman from Rock Island,
 Representative Darrow."

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

Darrow: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. When these Bills are explained,

I think it would be better... If the Sponsor would explain
each Amendment, we wouldn't get into what we just got into
the last Bill. A lot of Members don't have these
Amendments handy at their desks. It would more helpful for
us if that would be possible. Thank you."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Wolf."

- J.J. Wolf: "Would the total dollar change in each of those divisions, would that satisfy you, Mr. Darrow?"
- Darrow: "No, it wouldn't because there may be some appropriations in these Bills that some Members may not be for and have to go back to their districts and justify why they appropriated money to a certain region of the state and unknowingly that might take place. I think for the protection of the Members it would better if we took a couple of minutes and just explained each Amendment."
- J.J. Wolf: "All right. Senate Amendment #1, has the PY appropriation Department of Transportation, Department of Council, Illinois Labor. Illinois Arts Industrial Commission. the State's Attorney's Appellate Service Commission, FY '82, the added appropriation are levels introduced in the House. The Senate Amendment #3 makes \$109,900,000 GRF reduction in the Department of Labor's appropriation. Also makes a 3 billion...3 million, 923 thousand, 8 hundred dollar, that's 2 1/2% federal funds reduction in the Bureau ο£ Employment Securities The net reduction of Amendment #3 is 4 appropriation. 33 thousand, 7 hundred dollars or 2.6%. Senate Amendment #8 restores \$1,967,196 of these cuts. Amendment #4 makes a \$412,899 or a 10 1/2% reduction in the Illinois Arts Council appropriation. Of the \$412,899. \$107,199 is in operations, while the remaining \$305,000 is in grants. Senate Amendment #9 will restore..or does

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

restore \$108,100 of these cuts. Senate Amendment #5 makes \$413,998 or 8.8 dollar..8.8 point reduction in the Illinois Industrial Commission appropriations. All of is in GF...GRF for operations. Senate Amendment #6 judgement...adjustments in the Department ο£ Transportation's FΥ appropriation. Ιt breaks ont appropriations for inner-city rail by route, breaks out series bonds B, appropriation by area, breaks out Road Fund appropriation for the FY '82 road program by district and statewide. Breaks out series A bonds appropriation for the FY 182 road program project statewide. Reduces appropriations for freight, rail and water resources, technical programs by \$5,575,000 in GRF in conformance with the Governor's recommended budget revisions. reduces operations in IDOT central office by \$5.169.100 through equipment and consultant freezes, elimination of 30 vacancies and the Senate's 8% solution. Reduces operations in IDOT highway district offices by \$13,661,300 Adds \$4,109,800 to highway district equipment freezes. offices and personal services line for a net reduction \$9,551,500 and this would provide funding for the highway maintainers. Net reduction in that Amendment \$20,295,400 and technical. Senate Amendment #7 deletes \$1,611,900 appropriation for the State's Attorney's Appellate Service Commission FY '82 ordinary and contingent expenses. State's Attorney's Appellate Service Commission appropriation has been moved to House Bill 447 and that's why it was removed from here. Senate Amendment #8 restores \$36,196 of the \$109,900 GRF cut in the Department of Labor's FY '82 appropriation. The net reduction in the Department of Labor's appropriation is now \$73,200 or a 3% reduction. It also restores \$1,931,000 of the \$3,923,800 federal funds cut in the Bureau of Employment Security's

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

appropriation. The net reduction in the Bureau's appropriation is now approximately 1.3%. Total restoration by Amendment #8 is \$1,967,196. Senate Amendment restores \$108,100 in GRF of the \$412,899 that was cut Arts Council appropriation. The net reduction to the Arts Council appropriation was 7.8%. Also breaks out \$300,000 in grants for ethnic programs. Senate Amendment #...wait. Number 10, we're not..we're not concurring Yes, we are. Okay. It breaks out \$300,000 for ethnic programs in two categories. Senate Amendment #11 reappropriates \$220,000 to the Industrial Commission contractual service. Senate Amendment #8(sic) restores \$12,478,300 of reductions to the Department ٥f Transportation. Made in the Senate Amendment 6 as follows: three million..about 3.1million in central office and about 9.2 million in district highway offices for a total of million...12.4 million. The Amendment basically restores equipment, consultant and personal service line items. restores and eight vacancies retains the highway maintainers as follows:District 1, 24: District 2. District 3, 11; District 4, 11; District 5, 9; District 6, 7; district 7, 7; District 8, 11 and District 9, 10, for total of 100 highway maintainers. Eliminates all the funding for office furniture. Senate Amendment 14 reduces the Metropolitan Planning appropriation by \$100,000 to account for available federal funds and there's a few technical language changes in there. Senate Amendment 15 revises the distribution of series A bonds in road funds. Senate Amendment #16 adds ...10 million for the federal share of the UMPTA funds for the RTA suburban region. 2 1/2 million for the federal share of grants for the City of Chicago with the commuter parking program. Adds 10.7 million federal local airport funds for the federal share

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

of airport capital grants at O'Hare. Senate Amendment 18 adds \$38,765 downstate transportation funds for an increase in the FY *82 public transportation grants to the city of Danville. That's...that subsidy was under estimated by the Department of Transportation. It also adds \$29,500 in the Public Transportation Funds for audit adjustments. Senate Amendment 19 adds \$5,500,000 series B bonds which corresponds to a reduction in GRF for mass transit in IDOT's FY '82 appropriation Bill which was House Bill 439 and this increase is needed to match \$38,000,000 in federal funds for capital projects. That's it."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Representative Breslin."

Breslin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield for a

question?"

Speaker Daniels: "Indicates he will."

Breslin: "Representative Wolf, while the name of this Act says

it's an appropriation to the State's Attorney's Appellate

Service Commission, is that State's Attorney's Appellate

Service Commission still in the Bill?"

J.J. Wolf: "No. That's in with the Public Defender's."

Breslin: "Okay. And what is the number of that Bill?"

J.J. Wolf: "I just signed a Conference Report. 447, that was it."

Breslin: "Okay. The reason for my inquiry is that I have a conflict of interest in the appropriation for the State's Attorney's Appellate Service Commission and I would like to declare that now. Then, you know, can..."

J.J. Wolf: "...It's in House Bill 447, a slight reduction on both the Appellate Defender and the Service..."

Breslin: "Very good. Thank you."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Being none, the Gentleman moves that the House concur in Senate Amendments #1, 3, 4,

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 14, 15, 16, 17 and 19. favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. It takes 107 votes to pass. It's final action. Have all voted who wish? Ha ve all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On question there are 116 'ayes', 36 'no' and 5 voting 'present'. The House concurring with Senate Amendments #1, 2...1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 14, 15, 16, 17 and 19. House Bill 621 is hereby declared passed by an 107. 697. extraordinary majority of House Bill Representative Winchester. Read the Bill. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 697, a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent and distributive expenses of the State Board of Elections together with Senate Amendments #1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16 and 17."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Winchester."

Winchester: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would move to concur with Senate Amendments #1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16 and 17."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Kane."

Kane: "We really shouldn't have to make the same request of every Sponsor. If they would just routinely go through and tell us what it is that we're voting on, we would appreciate it very much.."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Winchester."

Winchester: "Well, Representative Kane, I was getting ready to do that. It's a good day for me today and I'm really in a good mood. Something very exciting happened to me and I'm really excited about it, so I just slipped there a little bit. Amendment #1 adds \$357,000 to the State Board of Elections for awards to county clerks for the additional duties required by the consolidation of election laws.

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

Amendment #2 adds \$1,370,567,000. That's \$452,000,000 GRF, \$917,000,000 other funds. This is the appropriation for the Department of Administrative Services, the Department of Revenue, the Bureau of the Budget and the Civil Service Senate Amendment #3 reduces the appropriation the Board of Elections by \$128,271. And Senate Amendment #4 reduces the appropriation for the Department of Administrative Services by \$6,578,752. Senate Amendment #5 reduces the Department of Revenue by \$2,146,199. \$1,880,000 of that is General Revenue Funds. Amendment #6 reduces the appropriation for the Bureau of the Budget by \$92,493 and that's GRF and it's in operations. Amendment #7 reduces the appropriation for the Civil Service \$8,799 in the General Revenue Fund. Commission bу Amendment #8 adds back \$42,996 GRF to the State Board of That's \$7,000 for contractual, \$10,000 for equipment and \$25,000 for reapportionment maps and books. Senate Amendment #9 adds back \$9,...er..\$930,664 to the Department of Administrative Services. Senate Amendment adds \$5,000,000 Communications Revolving Fund to the Department of Administrative Services. Senate Amendment #11 adds \$673,000 to the Department of Revenue and that money will be used for operations and maintenance of Illinois Tax Center in Springfield. Senate Amendment #7 (sic) reappropriates \$72,000 GRF to the Bureau of the That would be \$60,000 for contractual services and Budget. \$12,000 for telecommunications. Senate Amendment #13 adds back \$5,300 General Revenue to the Civil Service Commission, \$5,000 for Personal Services and 300 for Senate Amendment #14 adds back \$4,000 Revenue Funds to the Department of Administrative Services for contractual services in the Office οf Management. Senate Amendment #15 adds back \$17,100 General

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

Revenue Funds to the Department of Administrative Services the Illinois Information Services. It's \$15,000 Personal Services, 1100 Retirement, 1,000 Social Security. Senate Amendment #16 adds back \$864,999 for the Department That's apparently a mistake that was of Revenue budget. made in the Senate and this is correcting that mistake. Senate Amendment #17 adds \$9,000 General Revenue Funds to the Department of Administrative Services for the portraits of our illustrious President Rock and Speaker Ryan. would renew my Motion of 'Do concur' to Senate Amendments 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 17. "

- Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? You've heard...Bepresentative Pierce."
- Pierce: "I....You mumbled a bit at the end there. There was a portrait of a Speaker? Is that Daniels? Who's the Speaker of this House?"
- Winchester: "Well, let's see here. There is 9,000 for President Rock, Speaker Ryan and Assistant Speaker Daniels."
- Pierce: "All right. Because I sat here...I sat here all day
 yesterday. I didn't see Speaker Ryan. The commuters
 didn't see him. They're having their fares doubled. They
 didn't see Speaker Ryan. This morning we don't see Speaker
 Ryan. So, I wonder whether we should pay for that
 portrait. Lee Daniels probably should have his portrait."
- Speaker Daniels: "How about \$100 for Attorney General Pierce?

 Okay. You've heard the Gentleman's Motion. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'.

 The voting is open. It takes 107 votes to concur. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 119 'aye', 30 'no', 10 voting 'present'. The House concurring with

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

Senate Amendments #1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16 and 17 to House Bill 697 is hereby declared passed with an extraordinary majority of 107. House Bill 766, Representative Barr. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 766, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the High-Rise Fire Commission together with Senate Amendments #1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13 and 14."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Barr."

Barr: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 766 makes appropriations for numerous Legislative Commissions. I move that this House concur in Senate Amendments #1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13 and 14. The aggregate amount appropriated by this Bill as amended is \$14,040,800. This is a reduction from the amounts originally appropriated by the House of \$2, million, excuse me, \$246,883. I'll be glad to go through these Amendments if the Members wish. I don't think it's necessary."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Kane requests that you explain each Amendment, Sir."

"All right, fine. Senate Amendment #1 adds appropriations Barr: for 27 continuing Commissions in the total amount of Senate Amendment #2 decreases appropriations \$5,706,200. for certain Commissions in the aggregate amount of Senate Amendment #3 deletes the appropriation \$617.900. for the High-Rise Pire Commission. Senate Amendment #4 \$30,000 for the Chicago Community School adds Commission. Senate Amendment #7 adds \$200,000 Special Events Commission. Senate Amendment \$30,000 to the Department of Commerce and Community Affairs for the State Mandates Board of Appeals. Senate Amendment #9 increases the amounts for certain Commissions in the aggregate amount of \$152, 279. Senate Amendment #10 adds

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

an appropriation of \$4,000 for the Public Employees Pension Laws Commission for travel debts incurred in Fiscal Year 1981. Senate Amendment #11 adds \$250,000 for the Illinois Industrial Development Authority for the purpose of making loans for the development of certain industrial parks. Senate Amendment #12 increases the appropriation for the Suburban Task Force in the amount of \$20,000. Amendment #13 adds \$1,000 Senate for travel to the Commission on Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities. And Senate Amendment #14 restores \$12,000 for the High-Rise Fire Commission."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Representative Braun."
Braun: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Gentleman yield?"
Speaker Daniels: "Indicates he will."

Braun: "Representative Barr, with regard to the Fire..the
High-Rise Fire Study Commission, I recollect seeing another
appropriation for \$45,000 in a Conference Committee Report.
Is this amount that is restored in Amendment 13, I think
you said it was, is that in addition to that \$45,000
appropriation?"

Barr: "Yes, this appropriation for that Commission has had a stromy career. It started out at ..in the House at \$50,000. We reduced it to ten. The Senate took it out altogether and put it back in at 12. And it's back up. This is..That \$12,000 in this Bill is an additional amount, yes."

Braun: "So then that would be 60 something thousand dollars for the High-Rise Fire Study Commission?"

Barr: "Fifty-seven thousand, Representative."

Braun: "Fifty-seven. Okay."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Being none, the Gentleman moves for concurrence in Senate Amendments #1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13 and 14. All those in favor will

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The voting is open. It takes 107 votes. Have all voted who Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 108 'aye', 51 'no', 2 'present'. And the House, concurring with Senate Amendments #1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13 House Bill 766 is hereby declared and Representative Bowman, do you wish to call House Bill Representative Jones. Senate Bill 650? Representative Jones."

Jones: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move that the House not accept Conference Committee (Report) #1 to Senate Bill 650.

And ask that a second Conference Committee be appointed and the reason why, because of a technical drafting in the Conference Committee #1 and I need....This is due to the effective date. So I ask that a second Conference Committee be appointed."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Will the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Daniels: "Indicates he will."

Yourell: "Representative Jones, is there anything on this Bill or is going to be put on this Bill that would affect the County Recorders Office of Cook County?"

Jones: "Ain't no way."

Yourell: "Thank you, Sir."

Jones: "I respect my Chinamen."

Yourell: "Thank you."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? You've heard the Gentleman's Motion. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye'...Representative Kane?"

Kane: "Does he have to take this from the Table first?"

Speaker Daniels: "Yes, he would, Sir. Thank you for reminding

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

The Gentleman moves to take from the Table Senate Bill...oops. It's not tabled? The Clerk has advised me we can't table Senate Bills, but he must suspend Rule 68-E. So the Gentleman moves to suspend Rule 68-E. A11 in favor signify by saying 'aye'....Or does he have unanimous leave? Any objections? Use the Attendance Call. The Gentleman has leave. Rule 68-E is suspended. And on Senate Bill 650 he moves to reject the First Conference Committee and appoint a second. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed no. The have it. First Conference Committee Report is rejected and a second one is approved. Representative Birkinbine."

Birkinbine: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. And like everyone else,
 I've got a whole stack of Conference Committee Reports and
 analyses on my desk. I suspect that someone wants to do
 something with those, either to concur or not concur.
 We've been cooling our heels for the better part of two and
 a half hours again just like yesterday. Why don't we
 either do something or adjourn until next week or the week
 after when we're going to have to come back for RTA
 business anyways?"

Speaker Daniels: "We're going to call Senate Bill 308 and Senate Bill 309. The Gentleman, Representative Vinson moves that those two Bills be taken from the Table and the appropriate rules be suspended. The Gentleman asks leave to use the same Roll Call that was used to suspend the Rule..the take from the Table on House Bill 591. Does the Gentleman have leave? Leave is granted. No objections and Senate Bills 308 and 309 are taken from the Table, placed before the Body. The appropriate rules are suspended. Senate Bill 308. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 308, a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Department of

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

Agriculture. First Conference Committee."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative J.J. Wolf. Out of the record.

Senate Bill 309, read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 309, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Health Finance Authority. First Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The report recommends first that the Senate concur with House Amendments 2, 3 and 4. Those Amendments... House Amendment #2 put the Department of Aging budget into this Bill. Amendment #3 corrected that in that there had been a clerical error in #2. And House Amendment #4 restored 1.9 million on planning and service grants in the DOA's administrative budget. The report also recommended that the House recede from House Amendment #5 which worked a from the Senate level in Health Finance Authority's budget. The Bill...The report also recommends that the budget of the Health Pinance Authority be restored to the...be restored to the funding level at the Senate prior to Senate Amendment #3, a budget level of 1.1 million dollars. It recommended adding 5.1 million dollars to the Department of Children and Family Services for foster care group services to unmarried mothers and reimbursement It recommended \$15,000 for the Legislative counties. Advisory Committee to the RTA and \$24,000 Transportation Study Commission. It added \$45,000 for the High-Rise Fire Commission and \$8,728,000 for the ordinary and contingent expenses οf the Illinois Commerce Commission. I would move for adoption of Conference Committee Report #1 on Senate Bill 309."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? The Gentleman,

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

Representative Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Daniels: "Indicates he will."

Vinson: "Certainly."

Tuerk: "I noticed that this does add \$45,000 to the High-Rise Fire Commission and it was my understanding that we just passed a Bill or concurred in Senate Amendments..I forget the number- 733- just a few moments ago which took care of that. It was fourteen twelve or fourteen thousand?"

Vinson: "This is additional for that Commission."

Tuerk: "What's that?"

Vinson: "This is an additional appropriation for that Commission."

Tuerk: "Why is that?"

Vinson: "Because we felt that it wasn't able to adequately study high-rise fires without this amount of money."

Tuerk: "So you've got 45 here and 12 in another spot. That's

Vinson: "Fifty-seven total."

Tuerk: "And originally they asked for ten. I don't understand that mathematics."

Vinson: "Well, it's to deal with high-rise fires."

Tuerk: "I understand that."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Representative Braun."

Braun: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Daniels: "Indicates he will."

Braun: "Representative, Section 7 of this Bill indicates there's \$15,000 appropriated to the Legislative Advisory Committee to the Regional Transportation Authority. Now, Representative, we may not have a Regional Transportation Authority for this Commission to advise and I'm curious why and how this \$15,000 found itself into this appropriation Bill."

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

Braun: "Create a what?"

Vinson: "You know, we don't know whether we're going to have one or not. At this particular point, they are still funded for some part of the next Fiscal Year. The Advisory Commission, the Study Commission has not been abandoned by Statute either. It has not been abolished. And this would fund them as well. We can go through, Representative, the process of defunding everything each individual Member objects to and in the course of doing that, we could stay here until Labor Day I would suspect and watch a number of agencies not be funded at all. The recommendation in the report was to fund it because it's an existing agency."

Braun: "Well, Representative, as Representative Hoxsey once said, the fastest way to demandate something is not to fund And I, I would like to speak to this Bill, Mr. Speaker. am very concerned. We are in a tight financial time ...fiscal time in this state. We're cutting programs left and right. The hospitals have problems. Day care There's all kinds of things that need money and yet we're still packing these Bills with all this garbage and disguising it as though it's something that ought to be passed. Now there's some appropriations in this Conference Committee Report that I think we are obligated to pass, but at the same time, when you load on an additional appropriation for a Commission that is being funded twice, you load on a study Commission to the RTA, which may not even be in existence by the time this Bill reaches the Governor's desk, when you load in additional absolutely ludicrous kinds of funding for miscellaneous Commissions in a single Bill like this, I think this does a disservice to the legislative process and disservice to all the Members

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

here who would want to vote their conscience on the continuing appropriations that need to be made but who are not interested in just throwing money at all of these patronage Pork Barrel Commissions anymore. I would ..I just want to have that objection known, Mr. Speaker and to you, the Sponsor, I'm surprised that you would sign off on a Conference Committee Report that has this much pork in it, Representative Vinson, because I know you're concerned for the state's finances and for the judicious use of taxpayer dollars'. I would suggest to you that this is not a judicious use of the taxpayers dollars' and does a disservice to all of us to have this kind of a Bill...of a Conference Committee Report presented to us at the close of the Session."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative O'Connell."

O'Connell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the I would not support the Conference Committee proposal #1 for the reason that this Amendment includes the Illinois Commerce Commission funding. Now, we've already debated the question of the Illinois Commerce Commission budget various Committee...Appropriation Committee in meetings in both the House and the Senate. We've debated the question of the Illinois Commerce Commission appropriation budget on the floor of the House. And the rationale behind opposing the Illinois Commerce Commission budget for this year is a controversy over the appointment of a Member of that Body. Now what it amounts to is a classic confrontation between the Executive versus the Now the Governor has exercised every Legislative branch. element of his executive authority in making controversial appointment, despite the cries of protest from many segments of Illinois, despite the cries of opposition from Members of both the Senate and the House.

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

Now, I would submit that rather than cutting out the Illinois Commerce Commission budget entirely, which of course would not be responsible, I think we should send a message to the Governor and not concur with this Conference Committee budget, the Committee agreement and request that there be a reduction of at least a third. I would therefore, for those reasons, oppose Conference Committee #1."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Pullen."

Pullen: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd like to ask the Sponsor some questions, please."

Speaker Daniels: "Indicates he'll yield."

Pullen: "Sir, I see that the Conference Committee includes funding for the Health Finance Authority and I wonder whether you could tell me what the level of appropriation was that this House approved for the Illinois Health Finance Authority when it first came through here?"

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "I think the dollar level was \$535,000. It was slightly less than four and a half month's funding for the agency."

Pullen: "And how much is in this report for the agency?"

Vinson: "One point one million."

Pullen: "One point one five six nine million, right?"

Vinson: "Yes."

Pullen: "Which is a full year of funding for the Health Finance Authority?"

Vinson: "Yes, that's 12 month's funding with the..."

Pullen: "When this House had approved four and a half month's funding for the agency because of a Bill that you sponsored, Sir, to repeal the authority. Is that correct?"

Vinson: "Which failed to pass the Senate. The Bill failed. We tried to kill the agency. If we can't kill the agency then I think our ..our sworn duty is to fund the agency."

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

Pullen: "Was the Bill considered in the Senate, Sir?"

Vinson: "Well, it never got to the floor of the Senate. I feel confident that if it had gotten to the floor it would have passed. But it never got to the floor of the Senate.

That's the nature of the legislative process and a bicameral Body."

Pullen: "What is the name of the Executive Director of the Health Finance Authority?"

Vinson: "Well I contend that it's Keating' and he says it's 'Ketting'."

Pullen: "Is that the same 'Michael Ketting' who was in charge of the Nursing Home Reimbursement System that has ruined the nursing homes in this state?"

Vinson: "Yes, it is."

Pullen: "Oh, how great to have him in charge of hospital costs studies."

Vinson: "I didn't make that determination."

Pullen: "I know. In pursuing further the questions about the
Legislative Advisory Committee to the Regional
Transportation Authority, I notice that this Section of the
Conference Committee Report says '...in addition to any
sums already appropriated, the sum of \$15,000 more...'.
Where is the other appropriation for this?"

Vinson: "I don't know. This is the only Conference Committee

Report that I have served on that appropriates money to

that agency. And the language involved..I feel confident

that there is a mushroom popping up someplace else. But I

don't know where."

Pullen: "You don't know how much more we're appropriating to them someplace else?"

Vinson: "No Ma'am."

Pullen: "So this is just one little piece of their appropriation.

Could you tell me in terms of this Legislative Advisory

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

Committee to the RTA, when was the last time the RTA took any advice from the Legislature?"

Vinson: "Yes. Last month Speaker Byan insisted on an equitable distribution of the sales tax revenue going to the RTA and enlisted the support of the Governor in that and that money was equitably distributed. I think that's the last time that the RTA has taken advice from the Legislature."

Pullen: "Are the buses still shut down in Joliet?"

Vinson: "Yes. But Mr. Leinenweber tells me that nobody knows about it."

Pullen: "I guess that's equitable. Did the RTA's taking Mr.

Ryan's advice have anything to do with the Legislative

Advisory Committee?"

Vinson: "Not to the best of my knowledge."

Pullen: "That's what I thought. I see also that in Section 8 it says, '...in addition to any sums already appropriated, the Transportation Study Commission will get \$24,000...'. Do you know how much more they're getting somewhere else or where we would find it?"

Vinson: "I have not served on any Conference Committee that has any other appropriation for them, but the language would suggest that someplace else there is money. I do not know where or at what rate."

Pullen: "You don't know how much it is someplace else, whether this is just a little drop or ..."

Vinson: "..Do not.."

Pullen: "...It's most of it?"

Vinson: "I do not."

Pullen: "Is the High-Rise Pire Commission a new Commission, Sir?"

Vinson: "A new Commission for a new Member."

Pullen: "So that this Commission's first appropriation, if we adopt this report, will total \$57,000 unless they've got another piece somewhere else besides the piece we already

77th Legislative Day

talked about?"

July 1, 1981

Vinson: "Depending on action by the Governor, that is correct. I
would point out that the purpose of the Commission is in
response to the number of high-rise fires that have
occurred around the country in an effort to try to avoid
similar tragedies in the State of Illinois."

Pullen: "Can you tell me the name of the Executive Director of the Transportation Study Commission?"

Vinson: "I talk with those people all the time, but I'm not sure
who's the Executive Director and who his assistant is."

Pullen: "Is it Bob Blair?"

Vinson: "No, I don't think he's the Executive Director. I'm not positive of that, but I think it's Schaumberg."

Pullen: "Is Bob Blair an employee of the Transportation Study Commission?"

Vinson: "I believe he's connected with the Commission. I believe it's a contractual relationship, not an employee relationship."

Pullen: "Do you know what his salary is?"

Vinson: "No, I do not."

Pullen: "Well, I was wishing that you might. Do you know whether
the hiring freeze applies to the Commissions that are in
here?"

Vinson: "No. The hiring freeze is something that was acted
...that the Governor himself in an effort to hold down
costs enforced upon Executive Branch agencies. Now, the
Transportation Study Commission is not an Executive Branch
agency. The Legislative Advisory Commission to the RTA is
not. The High-Rise Fire Commission is not. The Commerce
Commission is covered, I believe, by the hiring freeze. I
believe the Health Finance Authority is also covered by the
hiring freeze."

Pullen: "The Health Finance Authority is covered? How come..."

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

Vinson: "I'm sorry. I'm advised by staff that it is not covered by the hiring freeze. The Health Finance Authority..."

Pullen: "I thought that might be possible in view of how much the increase is for the Health Finance Authority in its third year of funding when they have yet to set a rate on any hospital in Illinois and have not even qualified for a Medicare waiver from the Federal Government so they can begin that process. Thank you very much, Sir."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? The Gentleman, Representative Jim Kelley."

Kelley: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the General Assembly, I would arise to oppose this because of the Illinois Commerce Commission budget. I believe that the Governor and the Commission has completely went around the Legislative Branch of the government by the method that they used in approving the latest appointee to that Commission. For many years, this seat was held by a person from Rockford, the 34th District. We were promised by the Governor that it would be replaced by a capable person from Rockford, the 34th District. Then we read in the press that there was a different Gentleman that got this appointment and then the Legislative arm of the government had no input whatsoever. So I'd arise in opposition."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Dwight Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, for those of you who do not believe in resurrection or life after death, you keep your eyes open now for the next day or so and you'll see a lot of things come to life that you thought were dead. I think we're seeing it today. I want to congratulate this Conference Committee on their innovative idea of having two appropriations for one Commission. It's a brand new one. This is something new to me because I thought we usually had a single appropriation for a

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

Commission, but, boy, we're really getting somewhere now.

You have to look under two or three rocks to find the
appropriation. This is really a new innovation and I
congratulate the Sponsors."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Topinka."

- Topinka: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, since we have talked about life after death and this looks like one of the best zombies of the bunch, I call the question."
- Speaker Daniels: "The question is, 'Shall the main question be put?". All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The main question is put.

 Representative Vinson to close."
- Vinson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. I would suggest that each Member think about the absurdity of the situation we're reaching. We have demonstrated the ability to raise taxes in this Legislature, but we have demonstrated the ability to spend money. We've done the hard things, but we can't do the easy things. take a look at the Commerce Commission. I would suggest that you remember the fact that only the Commission has any ability to quarantee railroad service in the six county area after this date. It's going to require the full activity, the full action, the full litigative ability of the Connerce Commission to quarantee increase in fares that make possible any commuter railroad service in the six county area. When you look at the Health Finance Commission in this budget, I don't like the Health Finance Commission. I have introduced and passed in this House the legislation that would abolish it. I voted the regulation ... for the freeze on their regulations. But, the Bill to abolish the Health Finance Commission killed in the Senate. The Senate did not pass it out. And I would suggest to you that if each individual Member

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

of this House votes against each individual agency on funding that he'd like to kill, we're going to be here until Labor Day. There's no way around that. The Transportation Study Commission, the Legislative Advisory Committee to the RTA and so forth, you know, if your beef is with the RTA, you know, we have pretty well solved that problem by refusing to fund them. Why take it out on these particular Commissions? We can go on and on and on until Labor Day or Thanksgiving or whenever necessary. I would just suggest that we vote 'aye' and get out of here in a hurry. I would solicit an 'aye' vote on the Bill."

- Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman moves for the passage of First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 309. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'.

 This is final action. It takes 107 votes. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Huff."
- Huff: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'd just like to suggest a 'no' vote would do just as well."
- Speaker Daniels: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. There are 80 'ayes', 66 'no' and 4 voting 'present'. And the Gentleman's Motion for passage of Conference Committee Report #1 fails. Representative Vinson."
- Vinson: "Well, understanding the fate, I would move that the
 House request that the Senate go to a second Conference
 Committee."
- Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman requests a second Conference Committee. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the second Conference Committee shall be appointed. Senate Bill 308.

 Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 308, a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent and distributive expenses of

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

the Department of Agriculture. First Conference Committee
Report. Rule 68-E has been suspended.

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "The Rule has been suspended?"

Speaker Daniels: "It's taken from the Table and the appropriate

Rule was suspended, Sir."

Vinson: "This report deals primarily with the Department of Agriculture. And it recommends that the Senate concur with House Amendments 1, 3, 4 and 6. House Amendment... House Amendment #1 is a technical change to repair a maintenance line item. It shifts \$30,000 to the DuQuoin World Trotting Derby..from the DuQuoin World Trotting Derby to the DuQuoin Grand Circuit Race. There's no dollar change in that. Amendment #3 restores \$231,000 of the \$409,000 in equipment cuts that the Senate had implemented. House Amendment #4 restored \$300,000...a \$300,000 cut implemented by the Senate for thoroughbred horse racing incentive grants. Number 6 added \$1,000 for the National Junior Red Angus The effect of the report is that the Senate concur with those Amendments. Number two, the effect report is that the House recede from Amendments 2, 5, 7, 8 Amendment #9 restored \$46,300 for agriculture building, \$223,000 for cleaning up the State Fair, 197 for allegedly nonvacant positions that had been eliminated by the Senate. Amendment #5 provided 1270 dollars to replace a canopy destroyed during the winter of 1978 and '9 on the Will County fairgrounds. Amendment #7 was a \$300,000 pavilion at the McLean County fairgrounds. #8 increased GRF personal services in Division of Meat and Poultry Inspection by \$250,000. And Amendment #9...Amendment #9 was simply a technical Amendment to Amendment #2 that straigthened out problems with Amendment #2. As I said, the effect of the Conference

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

Committee Report is that the House recede from those previously described Amendments. Senate Bill....The third point in the Conference Committee Report is that Senate Bill 308 be further amended as follows: to increase grants for conducting soil surveys by \$251,700, to increase operations in the Department by \$491,000, \$43,000 in the general office, \$250,000 in Meat and Poultry, \$123,000 in the Ag Industry Regulation Sector, \$75,000 for the Division of Natural Resources. Finally the report recommends \$500,000 GRF for Chicago Fest. I would move for adoption of the report."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Kane."

Kane: "Parliamentary inquiry. If the Chair would look at Section
21, I think that it includes substantive language and
requirements and is not limited to the subject of
appropriation."

Speaker Daniels: "The Parliamentarian advises me that's merely a limitation on the appropriation in Section 20 and is permissible within the Constitution. Further questions? Representative Ropp."

Ropp: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Daniels: "Indicates he will."

Ropp: "Sam, would you just...for clarifying again, was the Amendment 8...that totally restore the Meat Inspection of the Class 2 plants? And is that in or out now?"

Vinson: "It is in. Meat inspection...custom meat inspection is in."

Ropp: "Okay. How about the ...mention those figures relative to the soil and water grants and is there new money for soil surveys? Are both those items in there or not?"

Vinson: "Yes, the money is in for soil surveys, \$251,000. What was your other question?"

Ropp: "Was there one just for soil and water grants for the 98

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

districts or was..."

Vinson: "There's also \$75,000 in for Natural Resources. I believe that's the...what you're referring to."

Ropp: "Okay. Right. Thank you."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Yes, would the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Daniels: "Indicates he will."

Yourell: "Sam, I noticed...I thought I heard that there was an appropriation in this budget for the restoration of a canopy that was..."

Vinson: "No. It was ..It was included in an Amendment, but the Conference Committee Report deletes it."

Yourell: "Oh, I...that seems to be a standard provision in their budget every year. They find a canopy that's destroyed somehow and I keep hearing that every year for 16 years.

Is that a standard kind of thing they put in?"

Vinson: "I have no idea. But this was a canopy..."

Yourell: "..It's out anyway, yes?"

Vinson: "..In Will County and it's deleted."

Yourell: "Thank you."

Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Conference Committee Report #1 to Senate Bill 308. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. This is final action. It takes 107 votes. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 116 'aye', 27 'no', 3 voting 'present'. And Senate Bill 308 is hereby declared passed, the House passing Conference Committee Report #1. Will all those not entitled to the floor please retire to the gallery? The Members be in their seats. Representative DiPrima."

DiPrima: "Yes, Sir. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I have a few Independence Day addresses here for any

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

of you that might have to make some speeches on the Fourth of July. So if you're interested, I've got them here on my desk."

- Speaker Daniels: "Senate Bill 116. Page four of your Calendar.

 Representative Breslin. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,
 each one of these Bills have been tabled. If you would
 make a Motion when you stand up to remove the Bill from the
 Table. With permission of the House the Clerk has recorded
 the last Roll Call to remove from the Table as Roll Call
 #2. You may refer to the last Tabling Roll Call.
 Representative Kane."
- Kane: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, point of information. After this disgraceful abuse of the Membership for the last day and for the last eight hours, could you give us some indication of what the plans of the leadership wherever the leadership is for us are? It would be nice to know."
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Kane, again as usual, I will advise you as soon as I know for certain but to paraphrase the words of the former Speaker, Speaker Redmond, I wouldn't check out of your hotel tonight. Now, as soon as we can get on with our work we can get it done.

 Representative Breslin."
- Breslin: "Mr...thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. I
 move to take Senate Bill 116 from the Table pursuant to
 Rule 68-E and request leave to use the last Roll Call."
- Speaker Daniels: "You can refer to it as Roll Call #2."
- Breslin: "And use Roll Call #2."
- Speaker Daniels: "Does the Lady have leave? Is there any objections? Hearing none, Senate Bill 116 is moved from the Table and placed before the Body for immediate consideration. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 116, a Bill for an Act to amend

 Retail Installment Sales Act and the Motor Vehicle Retail

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

Installment Sales Act, First Conference Committee."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Breslin."

Breslin: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, you will recall when this Bill came over from the Senate, the controversy that we had was with the Section of the Bill that dealt with the re...the election of remedies after goods have repossessed. Because of that conflict, while it passed out of the House, it was not acceptable to the Senate. consequence, this Conference Committee Report completely eliminates, does not at all address, the issue of remedies under the Retail Installment Sales Act and the Motor Vehicle Retail Installment Sales Act. Now, all this Bill does is give a creditor a one time period of redemption under the Retail Installment Sales Act and under the Motor Vehicle Retail Installment Sales Act so that when a person has paid 30% or more of the deferred payment price at the time of repossession and has lost that property he will have a one time period of fifteen days to repossess that property if he pays all reasonable costs or incurred by the holder in retaking the goods. Conference Committee Report has already passed out of Senate 51 to 3. I would appreciate a favorable Roll Call. Thank you."

Speaker Daniels: "Any discussion? The Gentleman, Representative Barkhausen."

Barkhausen: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I believe that the Lady has bent over backwards and made every reasonable attempt to accommodate the Members of both Bodies in putting this Bill into shape where it should meet with our approval. I would note that on the.. in the Conference Committee Report it is signed off now... it has been signed off by three Republicans who opposed the Bill initially. I supported it and I think in the shape that

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

it's in now it represents a very modest reform in the Retail Installment Sales area. And I think the Conference Committee Report is worthy of all of our support and I would so request."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Being none, the Lady moves that the House accept the First Conference Committee Report and for the passage of Senate Bill 116. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. It takes 107 votes. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 125 'aye', 6 'no', 3 voting 'present'. And the House approves...McBroom 'aye'. 126 'aye', 6 'no'. Representative Koehler. Representative Koehler."

Koehler: "Mr. Speaker, my, perhaps while I was absent from my desk, my key was...."

Speaker Daniels: "How do you want to be recorded?"

Koehler: "I would like to be recorded as 'no' please."

Speaker Daniels: "No. 126 'aye', 7 'no', 3 voting 'present' and the House approves Conference Committee Report #1 to Senate Bill 116. And Senate Bill 116 is hereby declared passed.

Senate Bill 1108, Representative Wikoff. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1108, a Bill for an Act to amend certain Acts in connection with the Illinois State Lottery.

Pirst Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Wikoff."

Wikoff: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. The effects of the Conference Committee...Basically this is..."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Wikoff moves to take the Bill from the Table and for leave to use Roll Call #2. Does the Gentleman have leave? Hearing no objections, Senate Bill 1108 is removed from the Table, placed before the Body for

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

immediate consideration. Representative Wikoff.

Conference Committee Report #1."

Wikoff: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. The Conference Committee Report really removes all requirements on a percentage of net revenues which must be generated from the lottery in relation to total revenue. It was the original intent of 1108 and corrects a problem that was in the Bill and ensures that a convicted felon must have had ten years lapse since their sentence was completed and it originally Needs three letters of ten years after conviction. recommendation. That's the biggest change in it tigthens restrictions on lottery sales which was in agreement with the Bureau of the Budget as their suggestion and the Department of Revenue. It did pass the Senate with, I think it was, 47 'yes' votes. Would ask for your favorable consideration."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Representative Bowman?"

Bowman: "No, I'm sorry. My speaker light was on for another..."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Being none, the Gentleman moves for the passage of Senate Bill 1108. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'.

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 140 'aye', 5 'no' and none voting 'present'. The House approves Conference Committee Report #1 and Senate Bill 1108 is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 650, Representative Jones. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 650..."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Jones?"

Jones: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move to suspend the appropriate rule and use Roll Call #2 so that we can hear Senate Bill 650, Conference Committee Report #2."

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman asks leave for the use of Roll
Call \$2 to remove from the Table Senate Bill 650. Does he
have leave? Hearing no objections, leave is granted.
Senate Bill 650, Representative Jones."

Jones: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. If you would correct the Board.

It's Conference Committee #2 and the only difference in
this Bill and in the Conference Committee Report and the
original Bill is that we changed the effective date and the
effective date is on the Bill becoming law. The Bill is
essentially the same as it passed out of the House more
than two weeks ago. The Second Conference Committee Report
has already been adopted by the Senate by a vote of 43 to
12 and I ask for a favorable vote on Conference Committee
Report #2 on Senate Bill 650.."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Would the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Daniels: "Indicates he will."

Yourell: "Representative Jones, is there anything on this Bill now that wasn't on there before relative to the County Recorder of Cook?"

Jones: "Nothing."

Yourell: "Thank you, Sir."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Being none, the Gentleman moves for the passage of Senate Bill 650. All those in favor will indicate by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 128 'aye', 13 'no', 2 voting 'present'. Senate Bill 650 is hereby declared passed, Conference Committee Report #2 being adopted. Senate Bill 591, Representative Bower. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 591, a Bill for an Act relating to

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

the leasing of oil and gas where the owners cannot be located. First Conference Committee Report."

- Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman, Representative Bower, moves to take 591 from the Table and asks leave to use Roll Call #2.

 Does he have leave? Leave is granted. The Gentleman, Representative Bower."
- Bower: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate...The First Conference Committee Report is purely technical in nature. It changes oil field brine or salt water brine or brine to ...so it reads consistently 27 places throughout the Bill, oil field brine. It adds absolutely no other changes in the Bill."
- Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Being none, the Gentleman moves for passage of Senate Bill 591. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 143 'ayes', 6 'no' and none voting 'present'. Conference Committee Report #1 is hereby passed and Senate Bill 591 is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 431. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 431, a Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act, First Conference Committee Report."
- Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman, Representative Mulcahey, moves to take Senate Bill 431 from the table. Asks leave to use Roll Call #2. Does he have leave? Hearing no objections, leave is granted. Representative Mulcahey, Senate Bill 431."
- Mulcahey: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, Conference Committee Report \$1 to Senate Bill 431 adds an Amendment whereby it makes the Bill a little bit more meaningful whereby the county board must provide as reasons for dismissal to the supervisor of assessments, and

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

he must give it a 21 day motice to respond to this particular dismissal. It really tigthens the Bill up and makes it a lot better than it was before, and I would move for the adoption."

- Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Being none, the Gentleman moves for the passage of Senate Bill 431 and the acceptance of Conference Committee Report #1. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 144 'aye', nine 'no', and none voting 'present'. The House approves Conference Committee Report #1, and Senate Bill 431 is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 547, Representative Currie. Read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 547, a Bill for an Act to limit the liability of persons and organizations in connection with the donation of food for free distribution to needy persons, First Conference Committee Report."
- Speaker Daniels: "I'm sorry, it is Representative White. Excuse
 me. The Gentleman, Representative White, moves to take
 Senate Bill 547 from the table and requests leave to use
 Roll Call #2. All those in favor...is leave granted?
 Leave is granted hearing no objections. Representative
 White, Senate Bill 547."
- White: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move for the adoption of this Bill. In the Senate they added an Amendment, and I would now refer to Representative Johnson who can elaborate on the change that was made in the Senate."
- Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Being none, the Gentleman moves...Representative Greiman. Didn't see your light, Sir."

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

Greiman: "A few questions of the Sponsor, if I may."

Speaker Daniels: "Which one?"

Greiman: "Which Sponsor...who is the Sponsor?"

Speaker Daniels: "Representative White and Representative Currie.

Representative White."

White: "I will respond to his question."

Greiman: "Well, I...Johnson is not here. Why don't we take that out of the record."

White: "No, no, no, no. I'll try it. Go right ahead."

Greiman: "Is this Senate Bill 547?"

White: "Senate Bill 547. I can elaborate if you'd like."

Greiman: "Okay, sorry. I know the Bill. I support this Bill, and it is a good Bill."

Speaker Daniels: "Alright, now, Representative Breslin."

Breslin: "Mr. Speaker, I think we should have an explanation of the Dead Man's Act and why...and why it is being abolished in this Bill. Just for the record. Okay?"

White: "Well, first of all I think I should define what the Dead Man's Act is. As best as I can describe, the Dead Man's Act is that no statement made on the part of a person who could possible be the beneficiary...let me give you an example. If Jack O'Brien...if Jack O'Brien had invested interest in a particular, say building, and the guy who owned the building said on his dying bed that, 'I want Jack O'Brien to have the house'. Jack O'Brien then goes into court and says, 'He told me before he died this house was going to belong to me.' And all this Bill does is repeals that Act."

Speaker Daniels: "You mean, you can't...he can't...that means

Jack O'Brien can't say that, right?"

White: "That is ... that is the Dead Man's Act."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Schuneman."

Schuneman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I am a little surprised at what is

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

going on here. I think that ... I think that we're seeking to overturn a very important legal situation in this state, and I don't hear the lawyers arguing with one another about it. I've...I simply want to say this to the Members of the House, I happen to be involved in a situation that involved this particular statute at one time when I was executor of an estate, and it was an elderly man who had died and left fairly sizable estate, and some people who had provided him with some care in his later years came into court tried to overturn the will claiming that the old Gentleman had made them all kinds of promises as to what he was going to leave them in his will and how they were going to be taken care of. Well, of course, the Dead Man's statute provides that you can't admit into court evidence that some dead person had made you a promise of some kind. that case, the court simply threw out the testimony and upheld the Gentleman's will. And it seems to me that what would happen here as a result of this Act would be that you have all kinds of nuisance law suits filed against...especially against the states promising all kinds of rewards, and I am wondering if we really want to do this in Illinois. It seems to be a very dramatic departure from our current law."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Stearney."

Stearney: "Mr. Speaker, may be I would suggest to Mr. White that
we take this out of the record for a few minutes until
Mr...Representative Johnson gets back who can more aptly
explain the significance of this Act, unless Mr. Greiman
wishes to do so."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Greiman."

Greiman: "Well, I was going to speak in support of this Bill.

Like many requirements, like statutive frauds, lawyers will
tell you often that it creates more frauds than protects

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

people from them. The truth is that legitimate claimants most often barred. Ιn the situation are Representative Schuneman has suggested, the burden of proof still remains on any claimants. And the burden of those cases has to be rather strong and clear and convincing. But the real essence of these cases have legitimate claims, people who people who furnished supplies, furnished materials, done services for people who have died, people who are in...have been killed in motor vehicles or hurt in motor vehicles can't collect because of the Dead Man's Act often. So what you have sometimes, in fact, is someone with a legitimate claim may be destroyed for life, but the decedent that was the person who was negligent who was driving that car, and the only way you can prove that is from some circumstantial evidence that is tied together by the testimony of a person who may be in a wheelchair for the rest of their lives. the truth is that the Dead Man's Act has really committed more frauds than it has ever saved anybody, and it keeps legitimate people from collecting on legitimate claims. And I recommend the acceptance of this Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Representative Conti."

Conti: "Well, the only question I want to know, is negating this oral will, was that put in in Conference Committee or was that in the original Bill to begin with?"

Speaker Daniels: "Representative White."

White: "I didn't hear that. I'm sorry."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Conti."

Conti: "Was negating the oral will put in Conference Committee or was it in the original Bill to start out with?"

White: "Well, it was in the...it was not in the original Bill."

Conti: "Well, then here's my concern. I am concerned that

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

somebody found a vehicle to adjudicate some pending litigation that we now have in the courts. That is the only concern that I have about it."

White: "I could not agree with you more. I'm just talking about the fact that this was added to the Bill. What I was saying, Representative Conti, is that it was not a part of the original Bill, that someone, Representative Johnson, attached this to the Bill."

Speaker Daniels: "The question before the House is whether or not Jack O'Brien is really dead. Representative Johnson to explain the Dead Man's statute."

Johnson: "Yeah, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I just talked just now with Dan Houlihan from the Illinois State Bar Association who has indicated they're in approval and the Illinois Trial Lawyers are in approval. I know of no opposition to this Bill at all. It is an archaic statute that has been on the books for many, many years. Serves to create frauds rather than to prevent them, and we had an opportunity here before to do it, and then we met the deadline. And I know of no opposition in either chamber or either side of the aisle to the change, and I would simply ask for your support."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Johnson."

Johnson: "Basically what the Illinois Dead Man's Act says is that if you are injured in an accident, for example, and the other party who is, let's assume, at fault in the accident also dies in the accident, then you are not allowed to testify at all with respect to that occurrence. The effect of which is that even though you've been injured badly, and even though the other side is totally at fault, as long as there is no other witnesses to the occurrence which is traditionally the case where this is applicable, then there is no opportunity for you ever to recover. It

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

is simply a statute that grew up back in the 1800's, had some applicability back then that does't any more. Every major organization that I know of that deals with this on both sides, whether it is plaintiff or defendent, has called for its abolition, and that is what we're doing here. It is just creating an ad hoc rule, the statute that exists now, that doesn't serve any purpose. I see we have Representative Fawell who wants to ask me a question."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "Maybe Representative Johnson can respond to this. I have been out of law school a long time, and I remember the Dead Man's statute in the rule. My recollection was that it was largely intended to prevent somebody about lying about a conversation and telling what a dead person had said. For example, you come in and say, well, this person who died told me to put storm windows on his house, and I am now suing for \$900 or a couple of thousand, and when he told me to do this. Isn't that the main purpose with...it is to preclude oral testimony about a dead...what a dead person said, and that dead person is no longer around and able to defend himself in court and say, 'No, I didn't say that at all'."

Johnson: "That isn't the applicability. With current rules of procedure, with the length and breadth of cross examination as it is now, that situation can simply be touched on by any kind of proper cross examination of relevant witnesses."

Deuster: "My second question is that if this is supported by the

Bar Association and it is an important thing that should be

done, was not a Bill introduced to do this? Did I

miss...and the Bill failed or something?"

Johnson: "No, it didn't meet the deadline."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Kane."

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

Kane: "Yeah, point of order. A Senator in the chamber just said that the Senate has already tubed this Conference Committee. That's what Bloom said. He's right there. Senator Bloom in the center aisle says that this Conference Committee Report is already dead."

Speaker Daniels: "Notwithstanding the integrity of Senator Bloom,
we ought to proceed with debate on this Bill.
Representative Pawell."

Fawell: "Thank...thank you, Mr. Chairman. Will the...will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Johnson."

Fawell: "Representative Johnson."

Johnson: "Sure, sure."

Fawell: "Okay, you say that the reason you want this repealed is that if somebody gets in an accident, and the other person is at fault and he is dead, then he can...the person that is still alive cannot testify, right? Because the person who is dead cannot be cross examined. Right?"

Johnson: "Right."

Fawell: "Alright, now what happens if it is the other way around?

The guy that lives is the guy that is at fault, and he wants to sue the family of the man that has died, and the man that has died cannot say that it wasn't my fault, and I thought that was the whole point of the Act."

Johnson: "That is not the way the statute works. The right to testify or not testify rests as the law is now soley with the..."

Speaker Daniels: "Excuse me, Representative Johnson. We have just received word from the Senate that they did, in fact, reject Conference Committee Report #1. Representative White."

White: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I request a second conference."

77th Legislative Day

July 1. 1981

Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman requests that there be a second Conference Committee Report adopted...or report. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, and a second Conference Committee will be appointed to Senate Bill 547. Senate...or House Bill 197, Representative Ebbesen. The Gentleman moves that House Bill 197 be removed from the table and requests leave to use Roll Call #2 to suspend the appropriate rules. Does the Gentleman have leave? Leave is granted. Roll Call #2 is used. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 197, a Bill for an Act to amend the Fish Code, First Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Speaker. This first Conference Committee you, Mr. Report amends the Pish Code that was originally House Bill And it provided that clams may be harvested with the use of air breathing apparatus and has the support of Department of Conservation. Passed the House with 140 votes or so, and then the Senate amended the Ri 11 Amendment #1 that reduced the ma and pa operation for selling live minnows in the State of Illinois from \$25 license fee to a \$5 fee. and then the...that passed unanimously over in the Senate. And really, the change, of course, in this Conference Committee Report, is amending the Fish Code as far as licenses are concerned for fishing changing the fishing license current fee from increasing it \$2 to \$7, and the combination hunting and fishing license from \$11 to \$13. And far as are concerned, for fishing non-resident licenses it goes...it doubles from \$6 to \$12, and for fishing less than 10 consecutive days goes from \$4 to \$7. Has the support of the Department of Conservation. Has the support

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

- about everybody in the...that knows anything about fishing, and even the fish are for it. And I would concur...wish the House to concur on this Conference Committee Report." Speaker Daniels: "Any discussion? The Gentleman, Representative
- Giorgi." Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I think this Bill ought to receive
- unanimous vote from this House because I think it is the epitome of Republican efforts to pass good legislation this Session."
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Ewing. Further discussion? Being none, the Gentleman moves for the adoption and approval of Conference Committee Report #1. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 138 'aye', 17 'no', one voting *present*. Conference Committee Report #1 is approved, and House Bill 197 is hereby declared passed. House Bill 858, Representative Jones. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 858, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code, First Conference Committee Report."
- Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman moves to take from the table House Bill 858 and leave to use Roll Call #2. Does he have leave? No objections, leave is granted. Representative Jones."
- Jones: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of I move for the adoption of Conference Committee Report #2...#1 to House Bill 858. The change in the to correct a technical error in the disbursement of Title I Funds. That was the first change. I would to Representative Terry Steczo to deal with the other part that was added in the Conference Committee."
- Speaker Daniels: "An y discussion? Representative

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "No, no questions."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Yes, Representative Jones, does this have the Americanization Program in it?"

Jones: "I never heard of such, you know. I thought we were all Americans."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Representative Bower."

Bower: "Yes, will the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Daniels: "He indicates he will."

Bower: "Does this contain a backdoor tax referendum?"

Jones: "On that portion I would yield to Representative Terry
Steczo."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Steczo."

Steczo: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. In answer to the Gentleman's question, yes it does."

Bower: "As I was told that it only affects one school district, is that or is that not true?"

Steczo: "That is true. It affects any school district contracting for health care services. As of the effective date of the Act, there is only one."

Bower: "But it could be any other."

Steczo: "No. If this Conference Report passes, it affects only that one."

Bower: "Which is which?"

Steczo: "One. 43 1/2. In addition, there is a Sunset provision after...a maximum of four years."

Bower: "Thank you."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Hoffman."

Hoffman: "I would yield to the wisdom of the Body and call for a Roll Call."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Jones to close."

Jones: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I just ask for a favorable

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

vote on Conference Committee ... "

Speaker Daniels: "Excuse me, Representative Jones.

Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Would Representative Steczo respond to a question?"

Speaker Daniels: "Indicates he'll yield."

Lechowicz: "Is this a tax increase for health care services for a school district?"

Steczo: "Yes, yes, yes, it is."

Lechowicz: "And how does this affect the school district in the City of Chicago, Board of Education?"

Steczo: "It doesn't, Representative Lechowicz. It affects only one school district in the state."

Lechowicz: "Which one is that?"

Steczo: "43 1/2."

Lechowicz: "Where is that at?"

Steczo: "'Pose and Robbins'."

Lechowicz: "And how much of an increase is that for that...for that area?"

Steczo: "The Superintendent and Board of Education came to us, and they requested maximum, .544. They are currently receiving money from the 'Robert Wood Johnson' Foundation, and there is a possibility they may not need this. But just in case, they would like to have it."

Lechowicz: "Is that with or without a referendum?"

Steczo: "Backdoor referendum."

Lechowicz: "Pardon me."

Steczo: "Backdoor referendum."

Lechowicz: "Thank you."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? The Gentleman, Representative Ronan."

Ronan: "I just want to explain my vote."

Speaker Daniels: "Turn your light off then. Representative

Keane. Further discussion? Being none, the Representative

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

Jones, to close."

Jones: "I ask for a favorable vote."

Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman moves for the passage of House
Bill 858. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye',
opposed by voting 'no'. The voting is open. Have all
voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative
Ronan to explain his vote."

Ronan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I urge everyone on both sides of the aisle to support this program. This school district has an excellent health care delivery system which is really the only method available in the area there to deliver health care services to all the citizens of the community. They want this in order to continue this program, and obviously they are not going to use the referendum unless it is absolutely necessary to continue the funding for this fine health care delivery system."

- Speaker Daniels: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take...Representative Jack Dunn."
- Dunn: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we got a print-out yesterday with regard to increases and decreases of funds to the various school districts, and this is the only school district in my district that lost money. They lost \$31,911. So I can see a need for increased funds. We ought to try to get a few more votes up there if we can."
- Speaker Daniels: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. There are 111 'aye', 51 'no', 4 voting 'present', and the Conference Committee Report #1 is approved. House Bill 858 is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1049, Representative Ewing. Read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1049, a Bill for an Act to create the
 Illinois Department of Revenue Sunshine Act, First
 Conference Committee Report."

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman, Representative Ewing, moves to take House Bill 1049 from the table and suspend the appropriate rules and requests leave to use Roll Call #2.

Does he have leave? Hearing no objections, leave is granted. House Bill 1049, Representative Ewing."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the original Bill, 1049 created the Sunshine Act which requires the Department of Revenue to make available and to publich revenue rulings. This is very important for anyone dealing with tax problems. It was amended in the Senate to provide for, not for publication, but just for other Departments just to make rulings available. I did not concur in that Amendment when the Senate put it on and we went to Conference Committee. Since that time, I have talked with administration officials, people in both the Senate and the House, and to the best of my knowledge, there is no objection now to this Conference Committee Report which does put in, back in the Senate Amendment, and I would move for its adoption."

- Speaker Daniels: "Any discussion? Being none, the Gentleman moves for the adoption of Conference Committee Report #1. those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? On this question there are 153 'aye', no the record. 'nay', and none voting 'present'. Conference Committee Report #1 is adopted, and Senate Bill... House Bill 1049 is House Bill 508, hereby declared passed. Representative Catania. Read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 508, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Public Aid Code, First Conference Committee Report."
- Speaker Daniels: "The Lady moves to take House Bill 508 from the

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

- Catania: "Mr. Speaker, stop, stop, stop, stop. I gave you a note about this. Remember? The Senate has refused to accept Conference Committee Report #1 on House Bill 508, so I ask for the appointment of a second Conference Committee."
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Getty."
- Getty: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I know that is true, and I know that
 we should go to a second Conference Committee, but I
 believe the Lady would have to move to take it from the
 table before we could do that."
- Speaker Daniels: "That is what I was doing. And in the process, she interrupted me. The Lady moves to take House Bill 508 from the table and to use Roll Call #2 to suspend the appropriate rules. She have leave? Hearing no objections, leave is granted. Representative Catania moves for the appointment of a Conference Committee #2. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, and a second Conference Committee shall be appointed. House Bill 909, Representative Reilly. Read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 909, a Bill for an Act to amend the Psychological Registration Act, Pirst Conference Committee Report."
- Daniels: "The Gentleman, Representative Reilly, moves to Bill 909 from House the table and suspend the appropriate rule and to use Roll Call #2. Does the Gentleman have leave? Leave is granted hearing objections, and House Bill 909 is taken from the table. Representative Reilly on House Bill 909 Conference Committee Report #1."
- Reilly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would move that the House adopt Conference Committee Report #1. This Bill deals with school psychologists and their ability to practice other

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

than in school. Initially there was some conflict between the other psychologists, the psychologists who are not school psychologist on one hand, psychiatrists on the other, and the Medical Society on the third. That has all been worked out with some rules that restrict any...avoid any conflict of interest and make it clear that this is very limited kind of practice. The Illinois Psychological Association, the School Psychologists Association, and the Medical Society have all signed off on this. I would ask for adoption of Conference Committee Report \$1 on House Bill 909."

Speaker Daniels: "Any discussion? Representative Katz."

Katz: "Yes, would the Gentleman explain a little more clearly what...under what circumstances a school psychologist may treat? Is that what you're saying? Treat a student who is a student of the school where he is a psychologist, only he will be treating the student on a private basis. Is that what you're discussing?"

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Reilly."

Reilly: "The answer, Representative Katz, is with the conflict of interest rules, you couldn't treat, if that is the proper word, a child that you were treating in school. In other words, if you had a child in school, you couldn't say, 'Well, I really think you need some more treatment. Why don't you come by my office after hours?' You might get a...some parent of another child, say from another school district, might decide that they want a second opinion or some further treatment, and they could bring their child to you."

Katz: "Well, but can you...I'll scratch your back if you'll scratch mine. Could that school...could one psychologist in the school refer a child to a different psychologist in the same school or a social worker in the school refer a

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

case to the psychologist for private treatment even though he is a psychologist at that school?"

- Reilly: "The...the intent is...if you're asking if people can scratch each other's backs, lawyers, doctors, all kinds of people do that all the time good, bad, or indifferent, ethical or not ethical, I guess. But the language, again I repeat, is acceptable to the other professionals who might be competing here is intended to make sure that individual himself, that is the school psychologist does not have a conflict of interest, and he himself does up treating or influencing others to send to him a I might add, child for treatment. and this is as I understand it, a common practice now that a school psychologist, in fact, do some private practice within that very limited area now. All we're doing is clarifying the ground rules, as I understand it."
- Katz: "Well, from what you're saying, I guess the answer to my question is that it would not prevent the professionals in the school from adopting a practice under which they refer students in the school to one of the other professionals outside on a private fee basis."
- Reilly: "It seems to me clearly that, you know, that would be a violation not only of their canons of ethics, but after all, they work for the school. If the school found them doing that, I...I would think they would make pretty short shrift of their employment."
- Katz: "Well, if it is a violation of the ethics, why don't you prohibit it in the Conference Committee Report?"
- Reilly: "Representative Katz, the professionals involved worked for the last two weeks to put this language together. I doubt that I know more than the professionals in the field as to what is necessary to prevent a conflict of interest."
- Ratz: "Well, I would only say that I don't accept that as an

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

answer. We pass laws all the time relating to any number of specialized fields. We're viewing it from a different point of view, not whether the professionals are happy with it, but whether or not it is a desirable practice that people who see children in school that instead of just taking care of them there, they are referred to each other outside the school, and they then derive the personal fees. That seems to me to be a conflict of interest and an undesirable social practice, and accordingly, as for me, I would not approve it without a prohibition of that, and I would vote 'no'."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Irv Smith."

Smith: "Yeah, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Jim, I think I can help you with this a little. I was, before I came into the House, I was...had an operation for Lincoln Land's Special Education as an example. We didn't need to full-time...this was for the Department of Corrections, and it wasn't necessary to hire full-time psychologists. fact, in that area many were not available. 46 contracted with school psychologists to do some of that work when we...because we didn't have to hire full-time, and in that area there were many times others not available, and it was the only way we could get them. think may be this Bill is directed in some part to those kinds of situations. They...also in the school situation, there is not that much referral from...from the school psychologist to the private psychologist. Usually they can go to mental health organizations and other places and get that...get that same help."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Representative Schneider."

Schneider: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I think Irv touched on most of what I was going to say, and that is that a lot of districts don't have a school psychologist. We do...a

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

lease or ... well, I quess you call that contracting, for psychologists or recommend...have a list or a pool of names that you recommend children to. It is particularly true now because of the efforts by districts to diagnose learning disabled children under the new statutory language created a number of years ago. So it isn't something that I think we can unravel in the world of motivation. don't know how you determine or how you structure by law colleague recommending a child to prohibition from one another colleague. If that is incidious or greedy, this language isn't going to deal with that. It is a fact of reality, that perhaps that occurs. But I don't think that ought to be the fundamental concern that you should have in regard to this. THe question is whether or not the conflict rests within one individual. So you have a school psychologist employed by the district who them extends his care beyond the school itself into a private practice, that child then who is being treated would be encouraged to come to the psychologist outside of school. That is the intent of the Senate Amendment. It is as best, I think, of what we can do in terms of the bounds of ethics and good judgment, so I would think you can't create laws for everything. Certainly we don't know one motivation. I would ask that you support the Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Representative Hudson."

Hudson: "I rose to move the previous question, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Daniels: "The question is 'Shall the main question be put?' All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Representative Reilly to close."

Reilly: "Thank you, Representative Katz, I apologize. The prohibition here is broader than I indicated before. The

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

exact wording is "School psychologist shall not provide such services to any child who is a student in the district or districts which employ such school psychologists." So it is not just their own students, but any students in that school district. That is included in the provision. On that basis, I would ask for a favorable Roll Call on Conference Committee Report #1 to House Bill 909."

- Daniels: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption Speaker Conference Committee Report #1 on the passage of House Bill 909. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Final action, 107 votes. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who Take the record. On this question there wish? are 100...Collins, 'aye'. Speaker, 'aye'. 154 'aye', two none voting 'present'. Conference Committee Report #1 is approved, and House Bill 909 is declared passed. Representative Jaffe, House Bill 1536. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1536, a Bill for an Act to amend the Personnel Code, First Conference Committee Report."
- Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman, Representative Jaffe, moves to take House Bill 1536 from the table and suspend the appropriate rules and to use Roll Call #2. Does he have leave? Hearing no objections, leave is granted.

 Representative Jaffe, House Bill 1536."
- Jaffe: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members, I think House Bill 1536 now is as agreed Bill as you will find. It originally passed out of the House 142 to five, and it passed out of the Senate 58 to nothing. And basically what we're doing is we're accepting Senate Amendment #2 and Senate Amendment #3 to House Bill 1536. And basically what those two Amendments are is they are really administration proposals to clean up the Bill. Amendment #2 provides that if the

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

Civil Administration Code requires the head of an agency to refer matters of alleged wrong doings to the Department Enforcement. then the Division of Internal οf Law Investigation shall bear the responsibility for the conduct of the investigation of all charges of misconduct, Amendment #3 provides that the procedures of protections established by this Bill do not apply to the offices that are not under the Personnel Code, namely the Lieutenant Governor, the Attorney General, the Secretary of State, Comptroller, or Treasurer. I would be happy to answer any questions, and I would move to adopt Conference Committee #1 with regard to House Bill 1536."

- Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Hearing none, the question is 'Shall the House pass Conference Committee Report #1?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. Representative Peters."
- Peters: "Mr. Speaker, I think we better take a short recess in the proceedings here till we get one matter straigthened out, fast."
- Speaker Daniels: "On this question there are 151 'aye', eight 'no', and none voting 'present'. The House having approved Conference Committee Report #1, House Bill 1536 is hereby declared passed. Representative Cullerton."
- Cullerton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would ask leave to suspend the appropriate rule to consider Senate Joint Resolution 52 to take it from the Speaker's table and ask for its immediate consideration. This simply continues the life of the Condominium Study Commission to June 30, 1982, and I would ask leave for the Attendance Roll Call to adopt Senate Resolution 52."
- Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman, Representative Cullerton, has

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

moved to suspend the appropriate rule and take from the table Senate Joint Resolution 52, and requested the use of the Attendance Roll Call. Are there any objections? Representative Vinson. Are there any objections? Hearing none, leave is granted, and Senate Joint Resolution 52 is placed before the Body for immediate consideration. On that question, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. This simply recreates
the Condominium Study Commission and extends its life
for...until June 30, 1982, and I would ask for leave for
the Attendance Roll Call to do so."

Speaker Daniels: "Any questions, Representative Kane."

Kane: "Would the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Daniels: "Indicates he will."

Kane: "What did this Commission do in its former life that justifies it coming back one more time?"

Cullerton: "Well, this Condominium Commission has recommended a number of pieces of legislation, did issue an annual report with respect to state laws regarding condominiums. That...we have earlier this year, adopted Senate Joint Resolution 9 that was passed on the House of April 21, *81 that made...had the wrong year in it. In other words, it was supposed to go to till June *82, and it was put in June of *81. So I am just correcting that error that was made. The Commission has met. It has held hearings throughout, I know, in Chicago, and has issued a report."

Kane: "Well, if it has done all of these good things, what is left for it to do in its new life?"

Cullerton: "Well, you may recall that we did pass a Bill out of here that will affect condominiums that Representative Getty sponsored, and I think there is going to be a tremendous need to look into the possibilities of new reform in the area of condominium law."

77th Legislative Day July 1, 1981

Kane: "How much will this cost?"

Cullerton: "This is not an appropriation Bill. This is the enacting legislation."

Kane: "But where is the appropriation and how much is in it?"

Cullerton: "As far as I know, it has not been voted on yet. As you know, a number of these Commissions, are still not voted on, not funded."

Kane: "Representative Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, to set the House at ease, what had happened in this matter is that this Motion was very earlier on Through an error, my name was placed on it as the of the Motion to extend the life of this Sponsor Commission. And that is all that it is, for one more year. In that process also, the Bill was, in fact, misfiled, so that now while we are going through the computer work and going through other paper work to find out where everything is at, we find that we have not acted on this particular I gave my word to Senator D'Arco because my Resolution. name was placed on this, that we would, in fact, attempt to consider this in this chamber as a courtesy that we extend to a Member of our chamber or to the other chamber. And that is all that this...all that this is, period. There is nothing else involved in this outside of a regular Motion to extend the Commission as we would have had it not been given to me in error and misplaced. And I feel an obligation to get that straigthened out."

- Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Representative Cullerton to close."
- Cullerton: "I would simply ask leave for the Attendance Roll Call to adopt this Resolution."
- Speaker Daniels: "Takes 89 votes. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The voting is open.

 Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have

77th Legislative Day

July 1. 1981

all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 123 'aye', 24 'no', and none voting 'present'. Senate Joint Resolution 52 is hereby declared passed. Representative Bowman."

- Bowman: "I would like to return to the Order of Conference

 Committee Reports for House Bills."
- Speaker Daniels: "We're not on that order yet, Sir. Okay, now wait a second. House Bill 753, Representative Neff. Read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 753, a Bill for an Act to amend the

 Civil Administrative Code, First Conference Committee

 Report."
- Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman, Representative Neff, moves to take House Bill 753 from the table and suspend the appropriate rules. Asks for leave to use Roll Call #2.

 Does the Gentleman have leave? Hearing no objections, leave is granted. Representative Neff on House Bill 753."
- Neff: Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the "Thank you, House. House Bill 753 was originally asked for by the Auditor General, and the Legislative Audit Commission studied this out and decided it was needed. It started out as just a rather small Bill to eliminate the 20 that we have now on highways and remove it to five years, and also eliminate the requirements that counties and cities with 5,000 or more population prepare a 20 year We have had Amendments to where everybody master plan. seemed to be satisfied. We have...in the Conference Committee last night we put on one more Amendment. is to allow the county...or the state to take federal money that is passed on to them and help railroads out. is...currently working with railroad commuters, and industries, and 'realtors'."

Speaker Daniels: "Any discussion? The Gentleman, Representative

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

Neff."

Neff: "Yes, I would like to carry that statement further. This is something that has been done right along, and all we're doing is going along the same. And as I understand, there will be no money used except what money we get from the federal. If the federal cuts this off, we won't have it."

Speaker Daniels: "Any discussion? Representative Stuffle."

Stuffle: "Yes, will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Daniels: "Indicates he will."

Stuffle: "Representative Neff, in my district and in one other district at least, in fact, several other districts, there are now abandoned lines that are subject to the loss of federal funding in September of this year, in fact, had their subsidies frozen at one point this year by the Reagan administration. I read this Conference report to extend from the ability to contract with railroads, the ability to extend, to make grants, contract with, or make loans not only to railroads, but to units of local government. Is that true?"

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Neff."

Neff: "Well, Representative..."

Stuffle: "That is true?"

Neff: "Yes."

Stuffle: "What type of guarantee is there with regard to the loan authority in the Bill that we would not simply be making loans to some entity that might simply not do the job of running freight service over the abandoned lines in that they might simply default upon those loans? I ask that because as I read the Conference report, I don't see any protections at all."

Neff: "Well, the Department of Transportation, it would be under their hands, but they say they will not refuse to give any money unless they can...the party asking for it can show

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

they're reliable and it will go to the right place. It is like many appropriations we have here. We have to depend on the Departments, Representative."

Stuffle: "Last question. Have you or the Senate conferees or other conferees on this Conference Committee Report talked with, aside from the Bureau of Railroads in the Department, talked with either labor or management personnel, particularly on the Beaver Bill Line, and on the line between Paris and Decatur that are abandoned, about the complexities of this particular Conference Committee and its impact on those lines?"

Neff: "I don't believe this would affect union railroads for this it would affect new.....I know that some of the labor is a little confused on this, but I think after they've had it explained, I am told that they are more or less satisfied with it."

Stuffle: "Well, my concern is not simply the labor into To the conference Committee report, Mr. Speaker. Representative Neff has done an adequate job, I think, answering the questions to the best of his abililty at this point. I think the answers, however, point to the fact that we've attempted here at the tail end of the Session to deal with the problem that has faced a number of abandoned lines or potentially abandoned lines througout the last several years. It attempts to keep these rail lines that serve basically farm-to-market comodity areas as in my district and in Representative Dunn and Donovan's district in the southern part of the state, in the Kankakee area and We've had real problems with forth. the federal governments answering the initiatives of the state, local Legislators, Department of Transportation and so forth. I would like to see us help these people if we possibly can. But having spent about three years dealing with that

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

line, seeing the strife that is going on there with labor and management, seeing the lack of commitment from the federal government to programs they have supposedly supported, seeing their unwillingness to put together regulations about abandonment and the ability to buy up abandoned lines that were supposed to be in place with a federal designation as to the Department to handle them by January 1, and they still aren't. I wonder why or if we can put into effect what Representative Neff and others are, I think, in good conscience trying to do. I just have real problems with our last minute attempt to grapple with the situation we haven't been able to handle or get a handle on for many years."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Dwight Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, this started out as a Bill sponsored by the Legislative Audit Commission, and late in the Session the Department of Transportation and also the Speaker of the House came to me and suggested amending a Bill that I had because they felt they needed this authorization. So this is, in fact, this Amendment that we're talking about, or Conference Committee Report on Amendment, is actually at the request and behest out of the Department of Transportation which will enable them to handle these...money for these branch line railroads. I can't think there is anything wrong with it at all."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Krska."

Krska: "...For a question?"

Speaker Daniels: "Indicates he will."

Krska: "Was this Bill specifically put in for a railroad that runs from Kankakee to Sheldon?"

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Neff."

Neff: "To my knowledge, no. At least I haven't got..."

Krska: "You're sure of that."

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

Neff: "The roads that I've got on here...Belmar to Momence, and KBSR, now, I don't know where that is at. Clinton to Champaign, Decatur toJunction."

Krska: "This is a bad, bad Bill."

Neff: "I'm sorry, that KBSR is the Kankakee."

Krska: "Owned by whom? House Speaker Ryan and his brother?"

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Vitek. No, hold that Motion, will you, Sir? Representative Winchester."

Winchester: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Representative Neff, you have a Gentleman from the Department of Transportation standing beside you. As I read this language, does this mean that railways such as the Crab Orchard ... Egyptian Crab Orchard Railway in southern Illinois would be able to obtain a grant or a loan for improving their railroad which only about 14-15 miles long which is important for the business community? Alright, what about the Penn Central where they are trying to sell the Penn Central Railroad and certain, you know, the Cairo branch line where there is certain areas there where private railway companies want to negotiate to buy those segments. Will this provide grants or loans to those private railroads who may want to take over those abandoned lines?"

Neff: "In answer to both your questions, Representative Winchester, it would have to be yes."

Winchester: "Thank you very much."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Macon,

Representative John Dunn."

Dunn: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I reluctantly have to urge that the Membership reject this Conference Committee Report. This does, perhaps, impact upon my district. We have in our area a rail line that was formerly a Light Density Line created by the Conrail System when the Penn Central Railroad went bankrupt. That line

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

has been subsidized and operated. It went out of business early this year and a new operator is considering taking it has been granted permission to take it over. over. Hе Nevertheless, the language in this Conference Committee Report is not adequate. The language provides that the Department shall have the power to grant language provides that the Department of funds...the Transportation can loan funds to any rail user located abandoned line. To me, that means that if any one or more of us, if any two or more of us in this chamber decide to form a rail company, we are eligible to be granted permission to operate on an abandoned line by the Illinois Department of Transportation whether we know anything about operating rail lines or not. What we ought to see in this Conference Committee Report is different language which makes it certain that any one granted the power to operate one of these abandoned lines is a bonafide rail company qualified to do so. We need standards in this language. We do not need flexibility because that means that the Department of Transportation can willy-nilly grant power to operate to anyone. This Conference Committee Report should be rejected. This is vital to the State of Illinois. Back in 1975 we created the Illinois Rail Subsidy Freight for the purpose of preventing the loss of rail lines in We have more track in Illinois than any other Illinois. state in the nation except Texas. We are vital to our nation's rail link. We should not let our rail lines become abandoned. The language in this Bill at the present practically insures that because it permits those operators to take over who are not qualified to do so, have the potential to operate these lines, to milk them for all they're worth on the short term basis, and then let them dry up and blow away and leave us holding the bag with

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

no trains on the track and track that is not fit to run on.

I urge the Membership to reject this Conference Committee

Report, to send this Bill back to Conference Committee, to

bring it out in proper fashion. Let's vote red."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Birkinbine."

Birkinbine: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move the previous question."

Speaker Daniels: "The question is 'Shall the main question be put?' All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The main question is put. Representative Neff, you want this taken out of the record?"

Neff: "No, I would like to close on it."

Speaker Daniels: "Out of the record. House Bill 789, Representative Braun. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 789..."

Speaker Daniels: "1789, I'm sorry."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1789, a Bill for an Act to amend the Emergency Medical Service System Act, First Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Daniels: "The Lady, Representative Braun, moves to take
House Bill 1789 from the table, suspend the appropriate
rules, and use the Roll Call #2. Does she have leave?
Hearing no objections, leave is granted. House Bill 1789,
Representative Braun."

Braun: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The Conference Committee Report restores to the Bill Amendment #3 which had been put on in the Senate which for all intents and purposes, Ladies and Gentlemen, gutted the original purpose of the Bill. Right now the Bill simply has in it a waiver provision that was requested and is needed by the Department of Public Health which allows them to waive certain requirements for smaller units of

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

local government. I am not one to stand on the floor of this House and complain, Mr. Speaker, and...or to cry about losing or being torpedoed on a Bill, but I think. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, if I can have your attention, there is a story about this Bill that I share with you. The Bill started out in would like to response to the ambulance scandal that newspapers several months ago. It would have required inspection of ambulances, for all ambulances, the well as municipally owned. The Bill came through the House and was passed out of the House with a resounding affirmative vote. It got to the Senate, was passed out of Senate Committee with a resounding vote. It got to Third Reading in the Senate, and one single private interest group, which I will not name, proceeded to bring out big guns to kill this Bill. I had not heard from them. 1 had not talked to them. They had raised no objection this legislation before it got to Third Reading in the Senate. Ιt seems to me, Mr. Speaker and my colleagues, that while we deal with lobbyists and lobby groups and interest groups in this Legislature all that as a simple courtesy and as a matter of fair play to Sponsors, that no single private interest group with an ax to grind, would wait until the 11th hour, 59th minute to not only make its objections known, but proceed to make phone calls even to relatives of Members of this General Assembly in opposition to the legislation. That is what happened on this Bill. That is why Amendment went on in the Senate, and that is why this Conference Committee Report restores that Amendment. Because, it was more important to preserve the language opinion, that the Department of Public Health needed for small units of local government than to fight this particular battle at

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

this particular time giving the lack of notice that I had that there was any objection to it. With that, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would appreciate an affirmative vote on Conference Committee Report #1."

- Speaker Daniels: "Any discussion? Being none, the Lady moves for the adoption of Conference Committee Report #1 to House Bill 1789. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The voting is open. It takes 107 votes. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 144 'ayes', six 'no', three voting 'present', and Conference Committee Report #1 is hereby adopted, and House Bill 1789 is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1041, Representative Conti. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1041, a Bill for an Act to require the

 Department of Law Enforcement to furnish certain arrest

 records to units of local government and school districts,

 Pirst Conference Committee Report."
- Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman, Representative Conti, moves to take House Bill 1041 from the table and suspend the appropriate rule and ask leave to use Roll Call #2. he have leave? Hearing no objections, leave is granted, and House Bill 1041 is taken from the table. Representative Conti."
- Conti: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the effect of the Conference Committee Report is when they sent it back the first time they said the two highest officials in a community or local government will be authorized to seek this information from the law...local...the Illinois Police Association. Not the Illinois Police Association...the Department of Law Enforcement Agency.

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

That was it. And I...it wasn't clear to me as who would be...who would pick the two highest officials in the community or in the governmental agency. So they spelled it out in it, and it said that the limited officials may obtain this information is chief administrative officer or his designee. And that clears up the language and therefore I ask for the final passage of this Bill."

- Speaker Daniels: "Any discussion? The Gentleman, Representative Conti, moves for the adoption of Conference Committee Report #1. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Have all those voted who wish? Have all those voted who wish? Have all those voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 152 'no', two voting 'present'. 'ave', one Conference Committee Report #1 is hereby adopted, and House Bill 1041 is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1189, Representative Zwick. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1189, a Bill for an Act in relation to ridesharing, First Conference Committee Report."
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative...Representative Zwick moves to take House Bill 1189 from the table and suspend the appropriate rule. The Lady asks leave to use Roll Call #2.

 Does she have leave? Hearing no objections, leave is granted. Representative Zwick."
- Zwick: "Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 1189 was before you the other day on concurrence. It is the Ridesharing Act which basically says that the Illinois Commerce Commission cannot regulate ridesharing as defined in the Illinois Vehicle Code. And various other things that, I think, we discussed the other day. There were a few technical problems that arose. We worked them out in Conference Committee. The Senate has just concurred and I think that we clarified the language

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

and improved the Bill and answered all questions pertaining to insurance to protect ridesharing arrangements, and I would ask for your support."

- Speaker Daniels: "Any discussion? Being none, the Lady moves for the adoption of Conference Committee Report #1. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'.

 The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record.

 On this question there are 154 'aye', one 'no', two voting 'present'. Conference Committee Report #1 is hereby adopted, and House Bill 1189 is hereby declared passed.

 House Bill 49, Representative Bowman. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 49, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act to revise the law in regard to estrays and other lost property, First Conference Committee Report."
- Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman, Representative Bowman, moves to take House Bill 49 from the table and suspend the appropriate rules. The Gentleman asks leave to use Roll Call #2. Does he have leave? Hearing no objections, leave is granted. House Bill 49, Representative Bowman."
- Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I now move that we reject

 Conference Committee Report #1 and I request the second

 Conference Committee be appointed."
- Speaker Daniels: "You've heard the Gentleman's Motion. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', oppsed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, and a second Conference Committee Report shall be appointed."

Bowman: "Thank you."

- Speaker Daniels: "House Bill 112, Representative Currie. Read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 112, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act to create the Land Resources Management Study Commission

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

and the Ethnic Heritage Commission, First Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Currie."

- Currie: "Thank you, Mr...thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. House Bill 112 left here as a Bill that delayed the reporting date of the Land Resources Management Study Commission. It still delays the reporting date of the Land Resources Management Study Commission. It involves a couple of other Commissions, and it provides for the legislative office increase that we adopted in this House in May and also for a per diem increase for Members of the General Assembly. I urge its adoption."
- Speaker Daniels: "The Lady, Representative Currie, moves to take House Bill 112 from the table and suspend the appropriate rules. She asks leave to use Roll Call \$2. Are there any objections? Hearing no objections, leave is granted. On that question, adoption of House Bill 112, Representative Pierce."
- Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, will the Lady yield to a question? I understand there is a second part of that provision, a second part of that provision that negates the Energy Resources Commission chairmanship changing from the House to the Senate, the Senate to the House every biannium. Is that part of the...is that part of the Conference Committee Report? How did that get on there?"
- Currie: "I understand that you're right, Representative Pierce, and with apologies to you. Let me just say that as I understood it when this proposal was recommended for the Conference Committee Report, my understanding is that the Energy Resources Commission supported this provision which is now in House Bill 112."
- Pierce: "Yes, on the...on the Conference Committee Report. I think the Chairman of that Commission, a Senator, agrees

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

with it. What he is saying is that since we've had the Energy Resources Commission, it changes every year from the Senate to the House every biannium, every two years, and back and forth. I was Chairman, and then at the end of term I glady surrendered to a Senator, Senator Buzbee. term is over and apparently he and Senator Nimrod who are vying for the chairmanship don't want to let it come back to the House because the House is an inferior Body. They have this Amendment on another Conference Committee am now Chairman of the Economic and Fiscal Report. 1 Commission. That will have to go back to the State Senate, the chairmanship, this year. I didn't put any Amendment am willing to let it go back to the State Senate. in. Now this is a good Conference Committee Report. good things in it. And I intend to vote for all the good things in it even though I am not going to be around much longer. But I just wanted you to know what the State Senate thinks of us. They think we're so greedy that they can put a provision in here humiliating us that the chairmanship of the Energy Resources Commission is in the State Senate, they don't...they're not going to turn it back over to the House every two years as has been done in the past when Lucco was Chairman, when Geo-Karis was Chairman. It came back here. They figure we were so avaricious and we were so materialistic that if they put this on this Conference Committee Report, it would sail right through, and it probably will. But I want you to know, and there is many good things in this report. I favor the home office the per diem increase. increase, and I intend to vote for them, but I want you to know what the Senators do, the games they play, and the way they like to humilate the House."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Representative

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

Birkinbine."

- Birkinbine: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move the previous question."
- Speaker Daniels: "The question is "Shall the main question be put?" All those in favor signify by saying "aye", opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Representative Currie to close."
- Currie: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. With, again, apologies to Representative Pierce, and I think perhaps a suggestion with respect to other Commissions, is a good one, and had we put the Economic and Fiscal Commission into this Bill with the same proposal that exists for the Energy Resources Commission, I would have been more than happy to have been its Sponsor. But I do urge that the House adopt the Conference Committee Report #1 to House Bill 112."
- Speaker Daniels: "The Lady has moved for the passage of House Bill 112. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The voting is open. Have all those voted who wish? Have all those voted who wish? Have all those voted who wish? The Clerk will take...Okay, have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 62 'aye', 99 'no', and three voting 'present', and the Lady's Motion fails. Representative Currie."
- Currie: "Request a second Conference Committee Report on House Bill 112."
- Speaker Daniels: "The Lady requests a second Conference

 Committee. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye',

 opposed 'no', and the 'ayes' have it. A second Conference

 Committee shall be appointed. House Bill 239,

 Representative Davis. Read the Bill."

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 239, a Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code, First Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman, Representative Davis, moves to take House Bill 239 from the table and suspend the appropriate rule. Asks leave to use Roll Call #2. Are there any objections? Hearing none, leave is granted, and House Bill 239 is taken from the table. Representative Davis."

Davis: "Well. you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. thank The Senate has adopted the first Conference Committee Report on House Bill 239 by a vote of 55 to one. The Conference Committee Report recommends the Senate recede from Senate Amendment #1 and the Bill be amended as follows. In the Senate Amendment #1 was the language Bill that would pre...that would create the aggrevated circumstances for making eligibility in the Bill for penalty for those people who murder children. the language would read, 'The murdered individual was under 16 years of age, and a death resulted from exceptionally brutal or heinous behavior indicative of wanton cruelty. think it is important at this point that we read into the record some of the arguments that were made in the Supreme Court of the United States' decision involving the Profit versus Florida challenge to the Florida statute regarding the death penalty. The particular language that is incorporated in the Bill or in the Conference Committee Report now is taken from the aggrevated Section of the Illinois statute, so it is consistent with those statutes. Profit versus Florida case was argued that the Supreme Court agreed in the statutory challenges in Profit versus that all killings...that the court recognized that while it was arguable that all killings are atrocious, still we believe that the Legislature intended something

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

especially heinous, atrocious, or cruel when it authorized the death penalty for first degree murder. And that precisely what we're talking about here. The court went on further to say that the Florida Supreme Court in ruling on those statutory challenges, said that they could not that the provision as so construed provides inadequate quidelines to those charged with the duty of recommending or imposing sentences in capital cases when the Florida Supreme Court had said that the murder was pitiless and without pity or consciencelessness...or had conscienceless activities surrounding it. So I am saying to you simply that the language...it is hard to say. The language now, and I am reading this into the record for the intent of the Sponsor and the legislation, the language now provides an atmosphere for eligibility of the death penalty where a child is murdered after a particularly heinous and cruel circumstance that is exceptionally brutal and indicative of wanton cruelty that would set up the admissibility of testimony in the separate tribunal on the death penalty that relates to the prior conduct of the individual towards the deceased child. I believe at this point the language is consistent with the Illinois statutes. I believe the language is exactly the way it should be, and the intent of the Sponsor and the legislation for the courts to review, I recommend to you an 'aye' vote on Conference Committee Report #1 to House Bill 239. I reiterate that the Senate has already adopted this report 55 to one."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Bower."

Bower: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Representative Virginia Prederick and I would just like to make clear for the record that one of the words in this Conference report, h-e-i-n-o-u-s is pronounced heinous."

77th Legislative Day July 1, 1981

Davis: "I stand corrected, Representatives Bower and Currie."

Bower: "It is a very..."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Kosinski."

Kosinski: "Jack, I approve very heartily of what occurred in Conference Committee. You didn't change the date on this, did you?"

Davis: "No, Representative Kosinski, the effective date is now July 1, 1982."

Kosinski: "Good, then I think we should move this."

- Speaker Daniels: "The question is 'Shall House Bill 239 pass?" All those in favor signify by saying...voting The voting is open. Have all opposed by voting 'no'. voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. question there are 139 'aye', 14 'no', six voting Conference Committee Report #1 is hereby 'present'. adopted, and House Bill 239 is hereby declared passed. House Bill 305. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 305, a Bill for an Act to amend the
 Illinois Insurance Code, First Conference Committee
 Report."
- Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman, Representative Swanstrom, moves to take House Bill 305 from the table to suspend the appropriate rule with leave to use Roll Call #2. Does he have leave? Hearing no objections, leave is granted.

 Representative Swanstrom, House Bill 305."
- Swanstrom: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The Senate this morning rejected the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 305 and I would request that a Second Conference Committee be appointed."
- Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman requests a Second Conference Committee. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Second Conference

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

Committee shall be appointed. House Bill 368, Representative Robbins. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 368, a Bill for an Act to amend the

 Nursing Home Care Reform Act, First Conference Committee

 Report."
- Speaker Daniels: "House Bill 368. The Gentleman, Representative Robbins, moves to take House Bill 368 from the table and suspend th appropriate rule and to use Roll Call #2. Does the Gentleman have leave? Hearing no objections, leave is granted. House Bill 368, Representative Robbins."
- Robbins: "House Bill 368 had an Amendment added to it in the Senate which exempts laboratories which are owned and operated by insurance companies for purposes of determining underwriting insurance risks from provisions οf the Clinical Laboratory Act. And I nove to let this be adopted....let this Conference Committee Report adopted."
- "Any discussion? Hearing none, the question is Speaker Daniels: *Shall Conference Committee #1 be adopted?* All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The voting is open. Have all those voted who wish? Have all those voted who wish? Have all those voted who wish? the record. Representative McMasters, 'aye'. 162 'aye', no 'nay', one voting 'present'. Speaker, 'aye'. 'aye'. Conference Committee Report #1 is adopted, and 163 House Bill 368 is hereby declared passed. House Bill 419, Representative Lechowicz. Representative Lechowicz. Is the Gentleman on the floor? Representative Getty, can handle that Bill? 419. Read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 419, a Bill for an Act to amend the

 Business Corporation Act, First Conference Committee

 Report."
- Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman, Representative Lechowicz, moves

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

to take House Bill 419 from the floor and suspend the appropriate rules and to use Roll Call #2. Does the Gentleman have leave? Hearing no objections, leave is granted, and House Bill 419 is taken from the table. On that Bill, Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of Bill 419 is the Bar Association's Bill to House. House allow business corporations to eliminate cumulative voting issue classes of stock which do not carry voting The Bill was taken through the Conference rights. in order to correct a technical defect in Senate Amendment #1. As finally amended, the Bill allows corporations to structure their voting rights any way they desire either with or without cumulative voting. Existing corporations will retain full voting rights including cumulative voting, unless they amend their articles with unanimous approval of the stockholders. The provision is what the Senate Amendment was trying to accomplish in order to protect minority shareholders. This Bill passed originally the House at a vote of 130 to 26. It passed unanimously out of the Senate. I move for the concurrence of Conference Committee Report #1 on House Bill 419."

Speaker Daniels: "Any discussion? Being none, the Gentleman moves for the adoption of Conference Committee Report #1.

All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The voting is open. Have all those voted who wish? Have all those voted who wish? Have all those voted who wish? Take the record. There are 148 'aye', 10 'no', and none voting 'present'. Conference Committee Report #1 is hereby approved, and House Bill 419 is hereby declared passed. House Bill 975, Representative Currie. Read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 975, a Bill for an Act to amend the

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

School Code, First Conference Committee Report."

- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Currie moves to take House Bill 975 from the table and suspend the appropriate rules and requests leave to use Roll Call #2. Does the Lady have leave? Hearing no objections, leave is granted. House Bill 975 is taken from the table. On that Bill, Representative Currie."
- Currie: "I ask leave for a second Conference Committee on 9...House Bill 975."
- Speaker Daniels: "The Lady moves to reject..."
- Currie: "The first...well, the first Conference Committee Report
 was rejected by the Senate. So I would ask for a second
 Conference Committee."
- Speaker Daniels: "...And to appoint a second Conference

 Committee. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye',

 opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, and a second Conference

 Committee shall be appointed. Representative Miller."
- Miller: "Mr. Speaker, I have an inquiry of the Chair. You appear
 to be going down the Conference Committee Reports on the
 Calendar of page five and six, and proceeded to House Bill
 975 without calling House Bill 725. Is there a particular
 reason? That Committee report has been filed for some time
 and has been distributed."
- Speaker Daniels: "Yes, Sir. I call them as I receive them."
- Miller: "Thank you."
- Speaker Daniels: "The next Bill is House Bill 1127, Representative Ronan. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1127, a Bill for an Act creating the Commission on Gang Crime Activity, First Conference Committee Report."
- Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman, Representative Ronan, moves to take House Bill 1127 from the table and suspend the appropriate rules and asks leave to use Roll Call #2. Any

77th Legislative Day

÷

July 1, 1981

objections? Hearing no objections, leave is granted, and House Bill 1127 is taken from the table. On that Bill, Representative Ronan."

Ronan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. House Bill 1127 is...involves a...a Conference Committee Report dealing with the Gang Crime Study Commission and the Suburban Task Force. It also extends the reporting date of a couple of other Commissions. the Labor Law Commission, the Commission on the Organization on the Organization of the General Assembly, the *Health Existence Programs Commission, and the Energy Resource Commission."

Speaker Daniels: "Any discussion? Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "Mr. Ronan, does this have the same provision in it as

Representative Currie's Bill that the Energy Resources

Commission chairmanship will not be required to rotate from
the Senate to the House each biannium?"

Ronan: "Yes, it does."

Pierce: "Apparently Senator Buzbee was being doubly careful along with Senator Nimrod over there in finding two fine Bills, and this is a fine Bill, to stick a matter on a Conference Committee Report that wasn't at all relevant. Again, an insult to the House, taking out a provision that the Energy Resources Commission chairmanship must rotate from the Senate to the House. Once they have those chairmanships over there they like to hold on to them, cuddle them to their breasts, and keep them forever. And for that reason, I am not enthusiastic about this Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? The Lady from Cook,
Representative Topinka."

Topinka: "I move the previous question please."

Speaker Daniels: "The question is 'Shall the main question be

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

put?" All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Representative Ronan to close."

- Ronan: "Yes, I urge everyone to vote for this legislation. We have a sunset provision on all these Commissions so that if the Legislature decides next year they aren't doing a good job, I'll move with you to get rid of them. So we have sunset, and I urge everyone to vote 'aye' for this legislation."
- Speaker Daniels: "The question is 'Shall Conference Committee
 Report #1 be adopted?' All those in favor signify by
 voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The voting is open.
 Have all those voted who wish? Have all those voted who
 wish? Have all those voted who wish? Representative Braun
 'to explain her vote. Timer's on, Ma'am."
- Braun: "Mr. Speaker, I just want to point out, here we are at the suburban problem's task force. Now, I thought the suburbs didn't have a problem, but...or have any problems, but I just have to say that it is just absurd the kind of money that we are throwing on these ridiculous task forces and Commissions and just places to put money out there. And the taxpayer's back home don't know the difference, and I would suggest to all of you that at some point in time they are going to catch up with this stuff."
- Speaker Daniels: "Have all those voted who wish? Have all those voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 109 'aye', 52 'nay', and four voting 'present'. Conference Committee Report #1 is adopted, and House Bill 1127 is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1135, Representative Bullock. The Gentleman moves to take House Bill 1135 from the table and suspend the appropriate rules, and the Gentleman asks leave to use Roll Call #2. Is there any objections? Leave is granted. Representative Bullock. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

77th Legislative Day

- July 1, 1981
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1135, a Bill for an Act to amend the
 Housing Authorities Act, First Conference Committee
 Report."
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Bullock."
- Bullock: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The Senate has rejected the first Conference Committee Report. I move that the House appoint a second Conference Committee."
- Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman moves to appoint a second Conference Committee. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', against, 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And a second Conference Committee shall be appointed. Senate Bill 1168, Representative Terzich. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk. Senate Bill..."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1168, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating to the state fire marshall, First Conference Committee Report."
- Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman, Representative Terzich, moves to take Senate Bill 1168 from the table and suspend the appropriate rules, Rule 68E, and to use Roll Call \$2. Does the Gentleman have leave? Leave is granted, hearing no objections. On that, Representative Terzich."
- Terzich: "Mr. Speaker, the misguided individuals in the Senate did not approve the first Conference Committee Report, and I would move that a second Conference Committee be formed."
- Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman move for appointment of the second Conference Committee. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, and a second Conference Committee shall be appointed. Senate Bill 714, Representative Bullock. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 714, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Wage, Payment, and Collection Act, First Conference Committee Report."

77th Legislative Day

- July 1, 1981
- Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman, Representative...it is 714,
 Senate Bill 714. The Gentleman, Representative Bullock,
 moves to suspend Rule 68E and to use Roll Call #2. Does
 the Gentleman have leave? Hearing no objections, leave is
 granted. Representative Bullock, Senate Bill 714."
- Bullock: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The Senate has rejected this Conference Committee Report, and I would like to request that a second Conference Committee be appointed."
- Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman requests the appointment of a second Conference Committee. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, and a second Conference Committee shall be appointed.

 Representative Ted Meyer."
- Meyer: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, what order of business are you on?"
- Speaker Daniels: "Conference Committee Reports, Sir."
- Meyer: "Those tabled pursuant to rules, or those that were..."
- Speaker Daniels: "The Senate Bills were subject to Rule 68E.

 House Bills have been tabled. That is why we're taking the Motions as each one comes up."
- Meyer: "Yes, Sir, but House Bill 714 isn't in that catagory.

 Pardon me, Senate Bill..."
- Speaker Daniels: "That was Senate Bill 714. We suspended Rule 68E."
- Meyer: "Well, as I look at the Calendar, page five, you didn't have to."
- Speaker Daniels: "Yes we did. That is why we suspended it.

 Alright. House Bill 1535, Representative McAuliffe. Read
 the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1535, a Bill for an Act to establish
 the Local Government Law Enforcement Officers' Fund, First
 Conference Committee Report."
- Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman, Representative McAuliffe, moves

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

to take House Bill 1535 from the table and suspend the appropriate rule and leave to use Roll Call #2. Are there any objections? Hearing no objections, House Bill 1535 is taken from the table. On the question, Representative McAuliffe."

- McAuliffe: "Well, House Bill 1535 is the Local Law Enforcement Officers' Training Board Reimbursement Fund. It is established as a fund to reimburse the City of Chicago and other local police officers...local cities for their training of their police officers. I move for the adoption of Conference Committee Report \$1."
- Speaker Daniels: "Any discussion? On the question, Representative Kane."
- Kane: "Representative McAuliffe, what else does this Conference
 Committee Report include?"
- McAuliffe: "Well, it includes an Amendment to the Metropolitan Exhibition Act in Springfield."
- Kane: "Who requested that insertion into this Conference Committee Report?"
- McAuliffe: "At this time I can't recall. I had too many people talk to me at the same time, and somebody brought it to my desk and asked me to insert it, and I did."
- Kane: "Did anyone from Springfield, or was it someone from somewhere else?"
- McAuliffe: "I imagine it was somebody from Springfield, but I can't recall."
- Kane: "What provision in the Springfield Exposition Act...what changes does it make and why?"
- McAuliffe: "It makes the change that the Chairman...it would revoke the Chairman's right to veto a majority of the Board's opinion."
- Kane: "And why is that included in this Conference Committee
 Report?"

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

McAuliffe: "I imagine because someone wants to do something that
the Chairman does not want to do."

Kane: "Could you tell us who that someone is?"

McAuliffe: "I really don't know."

Kane: "Would you like to...."

McAuliffe: "The majority of the Board."

Kane: "Would you like to know?"

McAuliffe: "The majority of the Board. Now that's good enough for me. The majority of the Board."

"Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think Kane: that I will let you know what is behind this Bill and who's...what is happening. I think that the same thing ought to happen to this Bill as happened to the railroad Bill that was taken out of the record several minutes ago, because I think both of those Bills have the same stench to There is a...there has been a fair amount of controversy pertaining to the Metropolitan Center, the Convention Center here in Springfield. It is an 11 person Board. And the provisions in this Act say that items have to be passed by a six Member majority. And if the Chairman of the Convention Center vetoes that provision, then it takes seven Members of the Board to override the actions or the veto of the Chairman. provision is included in the McCormick Place Act, in the Decatur Act, in the Peoria Act, and in the Rockford Act. Right now, the Convention Center in Springfield is in the process of negotiating a contract with a hotel corporation called Lincoln Hotel Corporation for air rights and the building of a hotel on the Convention Center property. President of Lincoln Hotel Corporation is a Gentleman that most of you know. His name is Bill Cellini. There has been considerable controversy over the contract that is presently being negotiated between Lincoln

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

Corporation and the Board. And final action on that contract is scheduled for the meeting this Thursday night. And I think that might have something to do with the appearance at one o'clock this morning of the provisions of Conference Committee Report, because there considerable dispute between the Chairman of the Convention Center and the President of hotel...of the Lincoln Hotel Corporation as to the provisions of what should be in that contract. Six Members of the Board, about three weeks ago, voted to fire the attorney of the Convention Center. the Chairman of the Board vetoed that action, and the seventh vote was not available to override that action. Those six Members of the Board that voted to fire the attorney are closely associated politically with the President of the Lincoln Hotel Corporation. What are some of the provisions in the contract that are under dispute? The Chairman of the Board, over the last year during the contract negotiations, has insisted that prior to the contract being signed, that Lincoln Hotel Corporation posted a performance bond. It seems very simple. the practice of always the provision, the Capital Development Board. The Convention Center has taken provisions and followed the same practices as the Capital Development Board does in building. But somehow or other, Lincoln Hotel Corporation resisted filing, or having the provided in the contract the filing of a performance bond. There have been other disputes having to do with access and easements on all of those kinds of things. I think that what is clear, is happening, is that because the the Convention Center is protecting the interests of the Convention Center and protecting the interests of the public, that he is being put under fire by those who are politically associated with the President of the Lincoln

77th Legislative Day

July 1. 1981

Hotel Corporation. I would urge the Members of this House that there is absolutely no reason to take the veto provisions of this Act out at this time. We have had no It came to us in the dead of night, appeared hearings. tables at one o'clock this morning and the Sponsor of this Conference Committee Report wouldn't even let you know voluntarily what is in it. He claims he does not know asked for it. And he gives us no reason why we should do I submit to you that this is an abuse this. But if you believe that local legislative process. problems should be handled locally, you should vote against this Conference Committee Report. But if you believe that the public's business should be handled in the open and not doors. you should vote against this behind closed Conference Committee Report. And if you think that the public's business should be dealt with in arms length negotiations and not in a situation that smacks a conflict of interest, you should vote against this Conference Committee Report. I urge a 'no' vote. There is absolutely no reason for this action to be taken."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? The Gentleman, Representative Tuerk."

Speaker and Members of the House, I looked at this Tuerk: Conference Committee Report earlier this afternoon, frankly, because I was so heavily involved in the writing of the statute setting up these authorities throughout state, it piqued my interest. And it also piqued my interest to try and figure out why this provision, the veto power of the Chairman, should be in this Conference Committee Report, and I was hard pressed to come up with any answers. Now the previous speaker has elaborated the...all the problems involved in Springfield and I've learned more about the problems in the last five

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

than I had previously. But because of the provisions of this law may, in effect, affect the Peoria Civic Center even before it is in operation. Even though we have an authority set up and it is functioning. And it may affect the other Civic Center Authorities throughout this state. I question the advisability of having this veto power changed at the moment. I have readily admitted I don't know all of the ramifications of the problem here in Springfield, but I see no reason why it should be involved in this Conference Committee Report, particularly because the original subject matter dealt with Local Government Law Enforcement Officers' Fund. I plan to vote 'no' on the Conference Committee Report, and I would urge my colleagues to think seriously before they accept this report."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Johnson."

Johnson: "I move the previous question."

Speaker Daniels: "The question is 'Shall the main question be put?' All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The main question is put.

Representative McAuliffe."

McAuliffe: "I simply move for the adoption of the Conference

Committee Report."

Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of the Conference Committee Report #1. All those in favor signify by voting "aye", opposed by voting "no". Representative Kane, for what purpose do you arise?"

Kane: "If this comes close to 107, I'd ask for a verification."

Speaker Daniels: "Which way? Have all voted who wish?

Representative Stanley. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 54 'no' and 98 'no'...54 'aye', 98 'no', and 7 voting 'present'. And the

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

Gentleman's Motion to approve Conference Committee Report #1 is lost. Representative McAuliffe."

- McAuliffe: "I ask for a Second Conference Committee Report."
- Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman requests a Second Conference Committee. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, and a Second Conference Committee shall be appointed. House Bill 447, Representative Telcser. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 447, a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense of the office of State Appellate Defender, First Conference Committee Report."
- Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman, Representative Telscer, moves to take from the table and suspend the appropriate rule, House Bill 447. The Gentleman asks leave to use Roll Call #2. Are there any objections? Hearing no objections, leave is granted, and House Bill 447 is taken from the table. On Conference Committee Report #1, Representative Telcser. Representative J.J. Wolf."
- Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, the Senate Wolf: "Yes. Amendment #1 reduced the appropriation to the State Appellate Defender by \$107,443, and Senate Amendment #2 Appellate Defender cut by restores funds to the State Amendment #1 of \$8,000 in contractual, and \$26,999 in equipment. The...also adds to the FY '82 OCE for the State's Attorney's Appellate service. The total difference is a reduction between the Appellate Service Commission and Appellate Defender of \$72,400 for the Appellate the Defender, and \$127,900 for the Appellate Service Commission. Ι would move that we...concur with the Conference Committee Report."
- Speaker Daniels: "Any discussion? Being none, the question is 'Shall the House approve Conference Committee #1?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

- 'no'. The voting is open. Representative Breslin."
- Breslin: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, this is the Bill that I have the conflict of interest on and therefore wish to be recorded as not voting. Thank you."
- Speaker Daniels: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. There are 141 'aye', 15 'no', two voting 'present', and the Conference Committee Report #1 is hereby adopted, and House Bill 447 is hereby declared passed. Supplemental Calendar #1. Senate Bill 543, Representative Sam Wolf. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 543, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code, First Conference Committee Report."
- Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman moves to suspend the provisions of Rule 68E. Does the Gentleman have leave to use Roll Call #2? Hearing no objections, leave is granted. On Senate Bill 543, Representative Sam Wolf."
- Wolf: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. Conference Committee Report #1 simply retains Senate Bill 543 in the same form as it passed out of this House several days ago. The Bill simply provides a couple of technical clarifying changes in the Motor Vehicle Code plus it does have House Amendment #1 which provides for a load extension for auto transit carriers. This Bill passed out of here some few days ago, 103, I believe, to 48, and I urge your adoption of the Conference Committee Report."
- Speaker Daniels: "Any discussion? Being none, the Gentleman...the Gentleman moves for the adoption of...Representative Pullen."
- Pullen: "Mr. Speaker, could you tell me please whether this has been distributed on the desks?"

Speaker Daniels: "Supplemental Calendar #1?"

Pullen: "No, the report."

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

- "Mr. Clerk. I'm advised that it has been by the Speaker Daniels: Clerk. Members have it. Somebody please take one back to Representative Pullen so she has it. Alright. Further discussion? Hearing none, the question is 'Shall the House approve Conference Committee Report #1? All those favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will the record. On this there are 107 'aye', 41 'no', and six voting 'present'. The Conference Committee Report hereby approved, and Senate Bill 543 is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 875, Representative Ted Meyer. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 875, a Bill for an Act to amend the Environmental Protection Act, First Conference Committee Report."
- Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman, Representative Meyer, moves to suspend the provisions of Rule 68E and requests leave to use Roll Call #2. Does the Gentleman have leave? Hearing no objections, leave is granted. Senate Bill 875, Representative Ted Meyer."
- "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move that the House recede Meyer: from House Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 78...875. Bill 875 provides that the Regulation and Pollution Control Board governing waste recycling or hazardous waste reclamation or of hazardous waste refuge shall conform exactly to federal applicable standards. This would permit the State of Il...the Pollution Control Board to adopt more stringent regulations than the federal standards. the adoption."
- Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman moves the adoption of Conference

 Committee Report #1. Is there any discussion? The

 Gentleman, Representative Schneider."

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

Schneider: "This language is commonly used, and I think it is one that I am sure most of you are aware that I always address, and that is we can become no more stringent than the federal requirements. It deals then, with two things that are like spin-offs. One is simply you eliminate local control, and if you're dealing with a any kind of a serious problem, you lose the opportunity to have the kinds of assessments by your own local officials and the State of Illinois to determine the proper way to deal with it. I think that is a poor concept, and it is one that continues to shift from the State of Illinois to the federal government the right to make regulatory kinds of decisions regarding the environment. I would ask that you not support the Gentleman's Motion."

Meyer: "Representative Schneider, I think you're 180 degrees wrong on this one. This would...this would preclude..."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Representative Meyer."

Meyer: "Representative Schneider, the rescinding of this Amendment would permit the Illinois Pollution Board...Control Board to adopt more stringent standards than the federal requirements."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Schneider."

Schneider: "My analy...which Amendment are we, Ted? I'm sorry."
Meyer: "Committee Amendment \$1."

Schneider: "Alright, I'm sorry. I have...I was looking at #2."

Meyer: "Are you in support of it?"

Schneider: "Well, now I don't have a copy of one though. Okay, I guess if your explanation is accurate, I would support that."

Meyer: "Yes, Sir, it is accurate, and I urge the adoption of the Committee report #1."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Representative Schraeder."
Schraeder: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. I just want to point out that

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

this was signed by every Member of the Conference Committee, and they are all in complete agreement."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? The Gentleman,
Representative Ralph Dunn."

Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I wonder if the Sponsor would yield. I am a little confused with his dialogue with Representative Schneider."

Speaker Daniels: "Indicates he will, Sir."

Dunn: "Representative Meyer, does this say that the state standards can't be any more stringent than federal or that they can? I didn't quite understand what you said."

Meyer: "The Committee Amendment as adopted by the Committee said that the state in recycling could not, n-o-t, adopt more standards. We are...more stringent standards than the federal requirement. What we are proposing is to recede from that Amendment which the net result of it would be...permit the Illinois Pollution Control Board to adopt more stringent standards than the federal standards."

Dunn: "Mr. Chairman, I would like to address the Motion just a moment."

Speaker Daniels: "Proceed, Sir."

Dunn: "We, in Illinois, have a great future, we think, in the coal industry, and one of the things we've been fighting for years is that we shouldn't be hamstrung by having our State of Illinois adopt stronger standards than the federal government has that other states operate in. This is one of the reasons that Kentucky, and Indiana, Pennsylvania, Ohio, and some of the other states in the nation can burn their coal and even can burn Illinois coal is because at some time in the past, as I understand it, we had in Illinois some environmentalists and some people who have said, 'Let's don't burn Illinois coal, let's ship it to other states and let them burn it and let the

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

environment be polluted there. but don't pollute our environment. I don't think that anyone wants the environment polluted, but we certainly should have uniform standards. There are standards that are no stricter than other states. If the federal government thinks that pollution control standards, or the emission standards and Environmental Protection Agency laws should strengthened, let's try to make them uniform throughout the state, and I move that we do not recede or I would suggest that we vote 'no' on this and do not recede. Is that correct?

Speaker Daniels: "Gentleman, Representative Friedrich. Dwight Friedrich."

Priedrich: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, we have a fairly new mine in my district in Clinton County. All the coal goes to Indiana because we can't burn it in Illinois. So they burn it over there. The smoke blows back on us and they get all the benefits of the industry from Illinois coal and we get none of the tax. The trains go through Centralia every day hauling the coal to Indiana so they can burn it. Now, if you think that's good business, I don't, but obviously the Federal standards permit them to burn it in Indiana but not in Illinois."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Representative Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Daniels: "Indicates he will."

Tuerk: "Why is it that you want the State of Illinois to be able to enforce or to have stronger laws than the Federal Government as it relates to this subject matter?"

Meyer: "Because the Amendment of this specific Section doesn't deal with sulfurdioxide or coal. It deals specifically with waste recycling, hazardous wastes or reclamation of hazardous wastes. It deals solely and exclusively with

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

hazardous waste. Ladies and Gentlemen, the Committee feels that if the State of Illinois wants to adopt more stringent standards on hazardous waste, hazardous waste not sulfurdioxide, Ladies and Gentlemen, not coal, but the stuff that will kill you we feel that we should be able to."

Tuerk: "Does this.. Does this take any authority away from the local level as far as any ordinances are concerned? It would, I presume, if you..."

Meyer: "No, Sir. Presently, the State of Illinois has preempt...

by enaction of the Environmental Control Act, the state has

preempted the field of environmental control. Local

municipalities are preempted from enacting environmental

control ordinances by the Supreme Court of the State of

Illinois. Carlson Versus 'Warth', 1979."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Vinson."

Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Vinson: "Thank you, House. I think a misimpression is occurring on this Bill. This Bill has nothing to do with clean air standards, nothing to do with burning Illinois coal, nothing of that sort. I have, in fact, in the past, supported the legislation that says that Illinois cannot have more stringent standards on clean air or on burning coal than the Federal Government. Ŧ support that kind αf This Bill deals solely with the subject of hazardous waste and it says that we can have more stringent believe standards on that particular subject. I justified for one particular reason and that is permiability characteristics of the soil in Illinois. have a different kind of soil than is present in most of the states and it's very easy for that soil to absorb to spread through it hazardous wastes. I would urge support for the Gentleman's Bill. It's more of an

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

agricultural interest than it is an environmental interest, and I would urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Gentleman from Knox, Representative McMaster."

McMaster: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I quess my concern in this. perhaps the Representative has answered it to an extent, Representative Neyer, but my concern is this. Bill floating around here earlier in this Session that, in my estimation, would have permitted the Metropolitan Sanitary District to use the property that they own in Fulton County where they dispose of waste from the City of Chicago, but this is... what do you call it? Aerobic waste, Teddy? I mean, waste that has been heated. what I'm wondering if under this legislation this would allow waste that had not been treated by the heat process and perhaps might be hazardous to be buried in the land fill at Fulton County that is owned by the Metropolitan Sanitary District."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Gentleman, Representative McBroom."

McBroom: "I move the previous question."

Speaker Daniels: "Question is, 'Shall the main question be put?'.

All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'.

The 'ayes' have it. Representative Ted Meyer, to close.

Representative McMaster."

Meyer: "In response..."

Speaker Daniels: "Excuse me, Representative Meyer.

Representative McMaster."

McMaster: "He's answering my question and he hadn't had a chance to yet, Mr. Speaker. So he should be answering my question. That occurred before the Motion was made."

Speaker Daniels: "I'm sorry, Sir. My error. Representative Meyer to respond to Representative McMaster's question."

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

- Meyer: "Responding and closing simultaneously. In your specific fact situation, Representative McMaster, under existing law if the Metropolitan Sanitary District of greater Chicago was the land disposing of hazardous waste, I said 'if' which I don't believe they are and they could do so under Federal law. If we do not recede from this Amendment, it would prohibit the Pollution Control Board, this Amendment would prohibit the Pollution Control Board from adopting more stringent standards which would protect the Fulton County residents from those alleged wastes. And so, therefore; you should vote 'aye' in this Motion."
- Speaker Daniels: "Gentleman, Representative Meyer, moves for the adoption of Conference Committee Report #1. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The voting's open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. There are 151 'aye', 6 'no', 2 voting 'present' and the Conference Committee Report #1 is adopted and Senate Bill 875 is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 910, Representative Bower. Read the Bill, Er. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 910, a Bill for an Act to amend the
 Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities
 Confidentiality Act. First Conference Committee Report."
- Speaker Daniels: "Gentleman, Representative Bower, moves to suspend the provisions of Rule 68E and requests leave to use Roll Call #2. Does the Gentleman have leave? Hearing no objections, leave is granted. Senate Bill 910, Representative Bower."
- Bower: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House..."
- Speaker Daniels: "910, Mr. Clerk. 910."
- Bower: "Conference Committee Report #1 removes the language authorizing the Director of a mental health facility to

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

report criminal incidents and replaces it with language which states that nothing in the Act should be construed to prohibit the Director from reporting criminal violations or serious incidents. This is language that was agreed to in the Senate. It was agreed to by the Department of Mental Health, the Department of Law Enforcement, the Illinois State Medical Society, the Guardianship and Advocacy Commission and the major mental health organizations of the state. I urge adoption of the first Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Daniels: "Any discussion? Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you. Gentleman respond to a question?"

Speaker Daniels: "Indicates he'll yield?"

Leverenz: "At the Madden Zone Center we had a situation a little
more than a year ago where a rape occurred on the grounds
of Madden Zone Center. The superintendent had to report it
to the Department of Law Enforcement. Are they still going
to have to report those types of incidents or are you
changing must to may?"

Bower: "Actually, under the circumstances that you described, I think there may have been a problem with him reporting that. The problem is is that the... Representative Domico, could you move a second here so we can look at each other?

As I understand the current law is that the facility director might report an incident but he can't give any names because of the Confidentiality Act."

Leverenz: "Exactly my point."

Bower: "This would allow him to make the reports which he previously has not been able to legally do."

Leverenz: "Up till now, they ve made the report, but when the

Department of Law Enforcement went there and said where are

the two..."

Bower: "This will solve the problem..."

77th Legislative Day

- July 1, 1981
- Leverenz: "...he said I can't tell you. Therefore,... it was kind of ridiculous."
- Bower: "Yes, exactly. But he was doing what the law said that he had to do. This is aimed at resolving exactly that problem."
- Leverenz: "It eliminates the shield under a crime situation.

 Very good. Superb. Thank you."
- Bower: "Mr. Speaker, I would urge the adoption of Conference

 Committee Report #1."
- Speaker Daniels: "Gentleman moves for the adoption of Conference Committee Report #1. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The voting's open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. There are 148 'ayes', no 'nos' and none voting 'present'. Conference Committee Report #1 is adopted and Senate Bill 910 is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 929, Representative Sandquist. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 929, a Bill for an Act to amend the
 Illinois Insurance Code. First Conference Committee
 Report."
- Speaker Daniels: "Gentleman, Representative Sandquist moves to suspend the provisions of Rule 68E and for leave to use the Roll Call #2. Are there any objections? Hearing none, leave is granted, and Senate Bill 929, Representative Sandquist."
- Sandquist: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 929, as it originally passed out, was on a Consent Calendar here in the House and it amended the Life and Health Guarantee Fund. The reason for this Amendment we're putting on now is that the Insurance Exchange Act which goes into effect on October the first, we passed a Bill under Representative Epton as an Amendment

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

technical #1 to House Bill 393 which made certain improvements in the Insurance Exchange Act. That has already passed. However, it did not have an immediate effective date and, therefore: if we do not pass this Bill here that would not take effect on October the first when the Insurance Exchange Act goes into effect. So therefore, we put as an Amendment on Senate Bill 929 in the Conference Committee, the Amendment #1 which had been on House Bill 393 but we also put in the immediate effective date so And therefore, it passed out of the can take effect. Conference Committee Report was approved in the Senate 55 I know of no objection and I would urge that to nothing. we adopt this Conference Committee Report #1 to Senate Bill 929."

- Being none. the Gentleman Speaker Daniels: "Any discussion? moves for the adoption of Conference Committee Report #1. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The voting's open. Have all voted who wich? Have all voted who Have all voted who wish? wish? Press McCormick 'aye'. Have all voted who Representative McCormick's switch there. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. There are 158 ayes, 4 nos, 2 voting *present*. Conference Committee Report #1 is adopted and Senate Bill 929 is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 955, Representative Hoffman. Read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 955, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. First Conference Committee Report."
- Speaker Daniels: "Gentleman, Representative Hoffman, moves to suspend the provisions of Rule 68E and requests leave to use Roll Call #2. Does the Gentleman have leave? Hearing no objections, leave is granted. On Senate Bill 955, Representative Hoffman."
- Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

House. I move that we concur in the Conference Committee Report #1 which has already been adopted in the Senate 49 to 1. The Conference Committee on Senate Bill 955 kept the Bill in the same condition it was when it left the House the adoption inclusion of Amendment #1, 2 and 3. One dealt with the relationship between tuition free attendance a local district if the local district eleesmosynary institution agree to it in writing and allows for these students to be counted as state aid. Amendment we adopted allowed new districts which formed from existing districts to keep the same tax rate that they had before they were separated. Amendment #9 corrected a series of errors that were made in 1979 when the computer or part of our process deleted a Section from the School Code. This replaces that Section 21-7.1 and also validates actions taken between the time the Bill signed and the time this one is signed pursuant to that Act. And then in the Conference Committee we added the language related to the certification of bilengual teachers the renewal of that an added an additional renewal for a period of two years if it's necessary for someone interested interested in this kind of certificate to get the certificate pursuant to Article 21 of the School Code. And I would move for the adoption of the Conference Committee Report #1 on Senate Bill 955."

Speaker Daniels: "Any discussion? Being none, the Gentleman moves for the adoption of Conference Committee Report #1.

All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', oppose by voting 'no'. The voting's open. Have all those voted who wish? Have all those voted who wish? Have all those voted who wish? Take the record. There are 147 'aye', 8 'no', 3 voting 'present'. Conference Committee Report #1 is adopted and Senate Bill 955 is hereby declared passed.

- 77th Legislative Day

 July 1, 1981

 Senate Bill 1006, Representative Currie. Read the Bill,

 Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1006, a Bill for an Act to amend the
 Illinois Public Aid Code. First Conference Committee
 Report."
- Speaker Daniels: "The Lady moves to suspend the provisions of Rule 68E and requests leave to use Roll Call #2. Are there any objections? Hearing none, the Lady has leave to suspend 68E and Senate Bill 1006, Representative Currie."
- Currie: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Senate Bill 1006 contains three separate provisions. Two of recommendations from Joint are the Nursing Care Reimbursement Committee of the 81st General Assembly. One would require the Department of Public Aid to annually report to the General Assembly on its nursing reimbursement system and to look at issues involving different ways to reimburse nursing homes. provision would require the Department of Public Aid to set pilot project in which they use an actual cost reinbursement procedure and the third provision which came out of the research of the Conference of Women Legislators to ease the lot of people in correctional institutions as they leave those institutions to go back into society. This Bill passed the House with 124 vote, 'yes' vote margin, and the reason it was in Conference Committee was only because of technical changes. changes have been made. This Conference Committee Report has already been adopted in the Senate. I urge that the House do adopt Conference Committee Report 1 on Senate Bill 1006."
- Speaker Daniels: "Any discussion? Being none, the Lady moves for the adoption of Conference Committee Report #1. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting

77th Legislative Day

- July 1, 1981
- *no*. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Representative Pullen."
- Pullen: "Mr. Speaker, since I believe that the basic body of this
 Bill is so essential and important, I would like to explain
 that the reason I am voting against this Bill is because of
 the part about the Department of Corrections helping
 inmates get cooperation from the Department of Public Aid
 to go on the rolls as soon as they leave the gates. Thank
 you."
- Speaker Daniels: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. There are 124 'aye', 38 'no' and 1 voting 'present'. Conference Committee Report #1 is hereby adopted and Senate Bill 1006 is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1173, Representative Braun. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1173, a Bill for an Act to amend the
 Illinois Insurance Code. Pirst Conference Committee
 Report."
- Speaker Daniels: "The Lady moves to suspend the provisions of Rule 68E and grants... requests leave to use Roll Call #2.

 Are there any objections? Hearing no objections, leave is granted and the provisions of 68E are hereby suspended.

 On Senate Bill 1173, Representative Braun."
- Braun: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Bill passed out of the House and the Senate with no problem except that the Senate Sponsor had made an agreement to allow for an Amendment which would call for the filing or the publication of fair plan information. It was requested by the insurance industry. It has gone on in Conference Committee and that's ... and I would, therefore; appreciate an affirmative vote."
- Speaker Daniels: "Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the Lady moves for the adoption of Committee Report #1. All

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The voting's open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. There are 154 'aye', no 'nay', none voting 'present'. The House adopts Conference Committee Report #1 and Senate Bill 1173 is hereby declared passed. House Bill 508, Representative Catania. Read the Bill."

- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 508, a Bill for an Act to amend the
 Illinois Public Aid Code. Second Conference Committee
 Report."
- Speaker Daniels: "This Bill has been previously taken from the table. On the Bill, Representative Catania."
- Catania: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Conference Committee Report #2 has removed what was controversial in this Bill. It no longer deals with free-standing hospital clinics so the Department of Public in support of this Bill. It has three small provisions in the Public Aid Code all of which went out of this House with overwhelming votes in the 120's, 130's, 140's. It says that the...local advisory Committees have to have balanced representation of service providers, recipients, representatives of community and advocacy groups, representatives of local governments and representatives of the general public. It says that the local offices will have to provide brochures which they assure us that they are currently planning to do to advise applicants and recipients of proper procedures for complaint if they are not appropriately treated when We have, in addition, Senate go to the Public Aid Office. Bill 895 which was amended in the Senate and which the Public Aid Department also supports which authorizes a court to require an individual who has been ordered to make child or spouse support payments to execute an

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

of wages or salary and there is another equally strongly supported provision by the Department of Public Aid which amends the Code to forbid the practice of denying public assistance applications solely to avoid meeting processing deadline. And, finally, there is a provision supported by the Department on Aging which defines area agencies on aging and authorizes the Department to provide consultation to the area agencies. It states that services to prevent unnecessary institutionalization of persons 60 older may be coordinated. This is permissive and this was changed also in the Second Conference Committee Report to make it permissive. They may be coordinated with other programs for the aged and monitored by area agencies on aging in cooperation with the Department on Aging. I ask for your support."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Gentleman from Adams,

Representative McClain."

McClain: "That's okay."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Being none, the question is, 'Shall the House adopt Conference Committee Report #2?'. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', oppose by voting 'no'. The voting's open. Have all those voted who wish? Have all those voted who wish? Have all those voted who wish? Take the record. Darrow, 'aye'. Mautino 'aye'. Leverenz 'aye'. Kosinski 'aye'. Johnson."

Johnson: "If this receives 107 votes, I want to verify it."

Speaker Daniels: "Proceed with a poll of the absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of the absentees. Bell. Bluthardt.
Capparelli. Garmisa. Howsey. Huff. Dick Kelly. Krska.
Kustra. Margalus. McCormick. McMaster. Oblinger.

Redmond. Reed."

Speaker Daniels: "McCormick 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Terzich. No further."

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Beatty."

Beatty: "I'd like to be verified if you got 107."

Speaker Daniels: "Conti. Change the Gentleman from 'aye' to 'no'. What's the count. Mr. Clerk? Preston. Preston. What's the count, Mr. Clerk? Johnson, there are 108 'aye', 108 'aye' and 54 'no'. Gentleman persists in his request for a verification? Proceed with a ... wait a second. Representative Zito. Alright. Representative Johnson, Representative Preston and Zito, Beatty, Giglio, All those Gentleman ask for leave to be verified. No objections, leave they have leave? is granted. Representative Pechous wishes to be changed from 'no' to 'aye'. Representative McCormick. Your light is on, Sir. Representative Griffin. Change the Gentleman from 'present' to 'aye'. Proceed with a verification of the affirmative Roll."

Clerk O'Brien: "Abramson. Alexander. Balanoff. Bartulis. Boucek. Bowman. Beatty. Bianco. Bradlev. Braun. Brunner. Bullock. Breslin. Carey. Catania. Chapman. Christensen. Collins. Cullerton. Currie. Daniels. Deuster. DiPrima. Deuchler. Domico. Darrow. Donovan. Epton. Ewell. Parley. Doyle. John Dunn. Fawell. Flinn. Virginia Prederick. Getty. Giglio. Giorgi. Greiman. Griffin. Hallstrom. Hanahan. Hannig. Henry. Jaffe. Jones. Hoffman. Jackson. Kane. Katz. Keane. Klemm. Koehler. Kornowicz. Kosinski. Kulas. Laurino. Lechovicz. Leinenweber. Leon. Leverenz. Levin. Macdonald. Madigan. Martire. Matijevich. Mautino. Mays. McBroom. McClain. McCormick. McGrew. McPike-Ted Meyer. Miller. Mulcahey. Murph y. O'Brien. O'Connell. Ozella. Pechous. Pierce. Pouncey. Preston. Rea. Reilly. Rhem. Richmond. Ronan. Saltsman. Sandquist. Satterthwaite. Schneider. Schraeder. Slape.

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

Margaret Smith. Steczo. Stewart. Stuffle. Telcser. Turner. Van Duyne. Vitek. White. Sam Wolf. Younge. Yourell. Zito. Zwick. Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Daniels: "Any changes or additions? Representative Kustra 'aye'. Representative Krska 'aye'. Terzich 'aye'. Nelson 'aye'. Barkhausen 'aye'. McMaster 'aye'. Are we ready? Will the Members please retire to their seats. Please be in their seats. All those not entitled to the floor, please retire to the rear of the chambers or the gallery so that we proceed with may verification. Gentleman, Representative White, requests verified. Representative leave to bе Representative White has leave. Representative Brunner, leave to be verified. Alright. Proceed. Questions of the Representative Jack Dunn requests to change from 'no' Representative 'aye'. proceed. to Okay, Johnson, question of the ... "

Johnson: "Representative Boucek."

Speaker Daniels: "Boucek. Representative Boucek. Is the Gentleman in the chambers? Representative Boucek. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Daniels: "Remove him."

Johnson: "Representative McBroom."

Speaker Daniels: "McBroom. Representative McBroom. How is the Gentleman recorded? I think... Representative McBroom.

Is that what you said, Representative Johnson?"

Johnson: "Right."

Speaker Daniels: "Okay."

Johnson: "McBroom."

Speaker Daniels: "Is Representative McBroom in the chambers? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

Speaker Daniels: "Remove him. Further questions, Sir?"

Johnson: "Yes. Sure. I didn't know you were ready.

Representative McGrew."

Speaker Daniels: "McGrew. In the aisle, Sir. He's here."

Johnson: "Representative Abramson."

Speaker Daniels: "Abramson. Abramson. Gentleman in the chambers?

How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Daniels: "Remove him."

Johnson: "Representative ... '

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Barr requests to be changed from 'no' to 'ave'. Barr."

Johnson: "Representative Miller."

Speaker Daniels: "Miller. Steve Miller. I see you pointing, but

I don't know where he's at. Where is he?"

Johnson: "He isn't here, I don't think."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Miller. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Daniels: "Remove him."

Johnson: "Representative Henry."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Henry is over here on my right,
Sir."

Johnson: "Representative Bianco."

Speaker Daniels: "Bianco. Phil Bianco. Is the Gentleman in the chambers? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Daniels: "Remove him."

Johnson: "Representative Leinenweber."

Speaker Daniels: "Leinenweber. Harry Leinenweber. I understood

he was verified. Is that correct, Representative Johnson."

Johnson: "Don't knock him off yet."

Speaker Daniels: "Okay."

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

Johnson: "Representative Bradley."

Speaker Daniels: "Bradley. Gerry Bradley. The Gentleman in the chambers? Representative Gerry Bradley. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Daniels: "Remove him."

Johnson: "Representative Christensen."

Speaker Daniels: "Christensen. Representative Christensen. Is the Gentleman in the chambers? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Daniels: "Remove him."

Johnson: "Representative Zito."

Speaker Daniels: "Zito. Greg Zito. He was verified."

Johnson: "Representative McCormick."

Speaker Daniels: "McCormick. Representative McCormick is in the rear of the chambers."

Johnson: "Representative Preston."

Speaker Daniels: "Preston."

Johnson: "Wait a minute. Let's check the record."

Speaker Daniels: "Let me tell you who was verified. Preston.

Zito. Beatty. Giglio. Rea. White and Brunner."

Johnson: "Okay. Representative ..."

Speaker Daniels: "And Leinenweber I understand."

Johnson: "Representative Hoffman."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Hoffman. Hoffman. Is the Gentleman in the chambers? Representative Gene Hoffman is behind you, Sir. Further questions."

Johnson: "Representative Domico."

Speaker Daniels: "Domico. Representative Domico. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Daniels: "Remove him."

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

Johnson: "Epton."

Speaker Daniels: "Epton. Representative Epton. How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Johnson: "Representative Flinn."

Speaker Daniels: "Flinn. Monroe Flinn. Gentleman in the chambers? How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Daniels: "Remove him. Representative Domico has returned. Return Representative Domico to the Affirmative Roll."

Johnson: "Representative Cullerton."

Speaker Daniels: "Cullerton. John Cullerton. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Daniels: "He's in the chamber right here on my left,
Sir."

Johnson: "Representative Fawell."

Speaker Daniels: "Fawell. Fawell. Representative Fawell. How is the Lady recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Lady is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Daniels: "Remove her."

Johnson: "Representative Ronan."

Speaker Daniels: "Ronan. Back in the back."

Johnson: "Representative Kane."

Speaker Daniels: "Kane. In his chair."

Johnson: "I'm sorry. Representative Hanahan."

Speaker Daniels: "Hanahan. Tom Hanahan. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Daniels: "Remove him."

Johnson: "Representative Slape."

Speaker Daniels: "Slape. He's in his chair."

77th Legislative Day

July 1. 1981

Johnson: "Representative Telcser."

Speaker Daniels: "Telcser. He's in the.. He's in the leadership office. Would you care for me to bring him out, Representative Johnson."

Johnson: "No, I'll let it go. Representative John Dunn."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative John Dunn is over here."

Johnson: "Representative Donovan."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Donovan. Donovan. Gentleman in

the chambers? How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Daniels: "Remove him."

Johnson: "Representative Ewell."

Speaker Daniels: "Ewell. Representative Ray Ewell. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Daniels: "Remove him."

Johnson: "Representative Farley."

Speaker Daniels: "Farley. Bruce Farley. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Daniels: "Remove him."

Johnson: "Representative Stewart."

Speaker Daniels: "Monica Stewart. Representative Monica Stewart.

How is the Lady recorded?"

Johnson: "Representative Giglio."

Clerk O'Brien: "The Lady's recorded as voting..."

Speaker Daniels: "Hold it. Hold it. How is the Lady recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Lady's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Daniels: "Remove her."

Johnson: "Representative... Did Giglio have leave?"

Speaker Daniels: "Yes, Sir."

Johnson: "Okay. That's fine. Representative Virginia

Prederick."

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

Speaker Daniels: "Virginia Frederick is over by you on your right."

Johnson: "Representative Reilly."

Speaker Daniels: "Reilly. Jim Reilly. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Johnson: "Representative Bartulis."

Speaker Daniels: "Is Jim Reilly in the chamber?"

Johnson: "Reilly's been removed hasn't he?"

Speaker Daniels: "Remove him. Bartulis. Junie Bartulis.

Gentleman in the chambers? How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Daniels: "Remove him."

Johnson: "Representative Ozella."

Speaker Daniels: "Ozella. Representative Ozella. Is the Gentleman in the chambers? Remove him."

Johnson: "Has Representative Stewart been removed?"

Speaker Daniels: "How is Ozella recorded, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Lady has been removed from the Roll Call."

Speaker Daniels: "No, the Gentleman. Ozella."

Clerk O'Brien: "Ozella. The Gentleman's been removed from the Roll Call."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Sam Wolf, for what purpose do you rise, Sir? Who are you pointing to? I can't see.

Return Representative Stewart to the Affirmative Roll."

Johnson: "Representative Capparelli."

Speaker Daniels: "How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Johnson: "Representative Jones."

Speaker Daniels: "Return Representative Reilly to the Affirmative Roll."

Johnson: "No more questions, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Daniels: "Okay. Now, Representative Chapman."

77th Legislative Day July 1, 1981

Chapman: "Was I verified off, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Daniels: "How is the Lady recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Lady's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Getty."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, I'd ask a parliamentary inquiry, if you would examine the Bill and determine what the effective date is if there is an effective date of the Bill."

Speaker Daniels: "While the Parliamentarian is doing that,

Representative Karpiel."

Karpiel: "I just want to know what the vote was right now, Nr. Speaker."

Speaker Daniels: "I don't know. You wish to be recorded as 'no'?"

Karpiel: "Yes, Sir."

Speaker Daniels: "Okay. Pechous."

Pechous: "Was, in fact, my change of vote recorded. See my panel here is so red. Just verify that I did change."

Speaker Daniels: "How is the Gentleman recorded, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Johnson, I have a parliamentary inquiry. I must answer that first, Sir, before I can take the record. Van Duyne. How is Representative Van Duyne recorded, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Daniels: "And Representative Christensen has returned. Representative Jack Dunn."

Dunn: "See what's happening here. Change mine back to 'no' again."

Speaker Daniels: "Change Representative Dunn. You went from 'no' to 'aye' to 'no'? Representative Jack Dunn 'no'. Okay. Representative ... It is close, Mr. Parliamentarian. Representative Hoxsey."

Hoxsey: "Record me 'no', please."

77th Legislative Day

- July 1, 1981
- Speaker Daniels: "Record Representative Hoxsey as 'no'.
 Representative Johnson."
- Johnson: "As long as we're waiting around here, I have to verify another name then. Representative Barkhausen."
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Barkhausen. How is the Gentleman recorded?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."
- Daniels: "Is the Gentleman in the chambers? Speaker Representative Barkhausen. Remove him. Mr. Clerk, what's There are 102 'aye', the count? Donovan has returned. Inost and 3 'present'. Representative Matijevich. Alright. The question has been raised as to how many votes this Bill takes to pass. Representative Getty has made a parliamentary inquiry. There is no effective date of the The Bill will be Bill. It will take 89 votes to pass. Representative Getty. effective in July of 1982. Representative Johnson. Further? This Bill received 103 'aye', 50 'no', 3 'present' is... 102 'aye', The Bill 50 'no' and 3 'present'. is hereby declared House Bill 567, Representative Jaffe." passed.
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 567, a Bill for an Act to amend the
 Illinois Insurance Code. First Conference Committee
 Report."
- Speaker Daniels: "Gentleman, Representative Jaffe, moves to take
 House Bill 567 from the table and suspend the appropriate
 rule and asks for leave to use Roll Call #2. Does the
 Gentleman have leave? Hearing no objections, leave is
 granted. Representative Jaffe on House Bill 567."
- Jaffe: "Mr. Speaker, the Senate has rejected House Bill 567. I

 don't think they understood some of the technical aspects

 of it. So I would ask leave for a new Conference

 Committee, second Conference Committee to be appointed. I

 ask leave for a second Conference Committee, Mr. Speaker."

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

Speaker Daniels: "Gentleman requests leave for the appointment of a second Conference Committee. Does the Gentleman have leave? All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Second Conference Committee is adopted. House Bill 1030. Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Yes, may I have leave, Mr. Speaker, to place House Bill 252 on the Spring Calendar?"

Speaker Daniels: "Where is it, Sir?"

Yourell: "It's on concurrence, page three."

Speaker Daniels: "Gentleman asks leave to place House Bill 252 the Spring Calendar. He has asked leave to remove 252 from the table and ask to use the Attendance ... OL Roll Call #2. Are there any objections? Hearing no objections, leave is granted. Representative Yourell requests leave to place House Bill 252 on the Spring Leave is granted. Calendar. No objections. Calendar. Representative Levin. Representative Levin."

- Levin: "Mr. Speaker, on the Order of Motions, I would ask leave to take from the table Senate Bill 1176 and place it in Interim Study in the Committee on Elementary and Secondary Education. It's on page eleven of the Calendar."
- Speaker Daniels: "We're not on that Order of Business, Sir.

 House Bill 1030. Representative Donovan. Read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1030, a Bill for an Act to amend the Medical Practice Act and Civil Administrative Code. First Conference Committee Report."
- Speaker Daniels: "Gentleman, Representative Donovan, requests approval of the House to remove House Bill 1030 from the table and to suspend the appropriate rule. He asks leave to use Roll Call #2. Does the Gentleman have leave? Hearing no objections, leave is granted. House Bill 1030, Representative Donovan."

Donovan: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I move to nonconur with Conference

77th Legislative Day

- July 1, 1981
- Committee **\$1** and ask for a second Conference Committee to be appointed."
- Speaker Daniels: "Is there any discussion? Lady from Cook, Representative Topinka."
- Topinka: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, with all due respect to the Sponsor of this measure, as a Cosponsor of the measure and a Member of the Conference Committee, I'd like to offer a substitute Motion to concur on House Bill 1030."
- Speaker Daniels: "Would you state your Motion, Ma'am?"
- Topinka: "Yes, I would offer a substitute Motion to adopt House
 Bill 1030... in the Conference Committee. The Conference
 Committee Report on it."
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Donovan has requested a second Conference Committee. Representative Topinka has moved to substitute that Motion to accept Conference Committee Report #1 and on that question, Representative McClain."
- McClain: "Mr. Speaker, parliamentary inquiry. Mr. Speaker."
- Speaker Daniels: "Proceed."
- McClain: "Parliamentary inquiry is who is the controller of the Bill, the Sponsor of the Bill or any person part of the Conference Committee?"
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative McClain, Representative Topinka is listed as one of the Cosponsors of the Bill.

 Representative McClain."
- McClain: "Mr. Speaker, it's been a usual tradition of this House of Representatives that a Sponsor of a Bill has control of his or her Bill not any Cosponsor of the Bill or any conferee of the Conference Committee. And, in fact, if you even go a step further in this instance, Mr. Donovan was not even notified of the meeting of the Conference Committee on this piece of legislation. I think you're making an interesting ruling if you indeed make that kind

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

of ruling. I think, for the sake of future action tonight, you ought to rule that the Sponsor of his or her Bill is the controller of that Bill and not just any Cosponsor or any conferee. It's a very dangerous precedent tonight."

Speaker Daniels: "Mr. McClain. the Lady has requested a substitute Motion which is within her right and that would be dependent upon the House action. Representative Getty." Getty: "Mr. Speaker, I would just like to ask the Lady if she wouldn't reconsider that Motion. If we get into a position in this House on first Conference Committee Reports where we're going to have substitute Motions being made, I think it's not in the best interest of the House. Now. I could understand the Lady's Motion if this were the second Conference Committee and if this were the end of the line. That would be a different story. But there's still another opportunity for a Conference Committee to meet hopefully to resolve whatever the differences are. would just think and I see the Chairman of the Conference Representative Committee, Kelly, nodding in

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Topinka."

I

affirmative.

Topinka: "Yes, Mr. Chairman(sic, Speaker), Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would reconsider my Motion, but I would ask for a Roll Call then on the Representative from Decatur's original Motion. This is a point that I'm rather concerned with because this is something for the public good and I don't really care to see it go back to a Conference Committee."

this House, and I'd ask that the Lady reconsider."

interest, in the best interest of the orderly working of

would just think that that would be the

Speaker Daniels: "You withdraw then your request for a substitute Motion?"

Topinka: "Yes, I would like to see a Roll Call."

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

Speaker Daniels: "You're asking for a Roll Call. Gentleman,
Representative Donovan, has moved to reject Conference
Committee Report #1 and has requested a second Conference
Committee. On that Motion, Representative John Dunn."

Dunn: "Mr. Speaker, are we on Representative Donovan's Motion at this time?"

Speaker Daniels: "Yes, Sir, we are."

Dunn: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I this Body will honor the Representative's request for a second Conference Committee. The problem with the first Conference Committee Report is that it contains a provision that the Representative did not want on his Bill which injects an issue that he did not have in his original legislation and which is an unfair proposition to put before this Body in the second place. The issue injected by the Conference Committee Report is a matter of the Department of Public Health charging your local coroners for toxicology reports which they have been doing free in the past. The Department of Public Health is telling our local coroners now that they re going to have to charge for these reports which they've done free in the past simply because they no longer can afford to do them free..."

Speaker Daniels: "Excuse me, Representative Dunn. Representative Vinson, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Vinson: "There's a particular Motion being made. That Motion does not deal with the merits of the Bill or the merits of the Conference Committee Report. The Gentleman ought confine his remarks to the Motion being made and not to the merits of the Bill."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative John Dunn, to the Motion please."

Dunn: "The Motion is to, as I understand it, is to reject this

Conference Committee Report and to go to a second

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

Conference Committee. I didn't hear anything about rejecting part of the Conference Committee Report. I didn't hear anything about rejecting one sentence, one period, one comma. We're rejecting the whole report. I'm addressing my remarks to that Motion."

- Speaker Daniels: "Excuse me. Representative Topinka, for what purpose do you rise?"
- Topinka: "Yes, Mr. Chairman(sic, Speaker) and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, rather than violate protocol nor to upset the Sponsor, I will withdraw my Motion and will opt to go to a second Conference Committee."
- Speaker Daniels: "Gentleman, Representative Donovan, requests rejection of Conference Committee Report #1 and requests the appointment of a second Conference Committee. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and a second Conference Committee will be appointed. Supplemental Calendar #2, Conference Committee Reports. Senate Bill 319, Representative Wolf. McAuliffe, would you like to go with 517, Sir? Senate Bill 517, Court of Claims. Alright. Out of the record, temporarily. Senate Bill 883, Representative Macdonald. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 883, a Bill for an Act in relationship to disclosure of medical and mental health records."
- Speaker Daniels: "Lady, Representative Macdonald, moves to take

 Senate Bill 883 from the table, suspend the appropriate

 rules and requests leave to use Roll Call #2. Any
 objections? No objections. Senate Bill 883,
 Representative Macdonald."
- Macdonald: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The... I recommend that we do adopt the first Conference Committee Report. They did remove House

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

Amendment #1 with the approval of Representative Cullerton who had put that Amendment on which was an Amendment dealing with lie detecting testing. So, with that and a few minor technical changes, the Bill is now very much like it was in the beginning with Representative Kane's Amendment still intact on the Committee Report. So, I move that we accept this Conference Committee Report. Take this out of the record for a moment."

- Speaker Daniels: "Out of the record, temporarily. Senate Bill 319. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 319, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Nuclear Safety. First Conference Committee Report."
- Speaker Daniels: "Gentleman moves to suspend the provisions of Rule 68E and to use Roll Call \$2. Is there leave granted?

 Hearing no objection, leave is granted and the provisions of 68E are suspended. On Senate Bill 319, Representative Vinson."
- Vinson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen House. Senate Bill 319 is the OCE for the Nuclear Safety The Report recommends that the House recede from House Amendments 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6. House Amendment #1 enacted certain restorations... pardon me... certain restorations amounting to 226,000 in GRF, 118,000 in NSEP, and 50,000 in Pederal funds. House Amendment #2 added 27,000 funds for certain reclassifications. House Amendment #3 was twelve months funding for the #4 was 48,000 dollars for the Director. House Amendment electronic data processing section of the Division of Safety. House Amendment #5 was a purely technical Amendment with no dollar change. House Amendment #6 added 12,100 dollars for the Department of Nuclear

77th Legislative Day

July 1. 1981

Safety for the removal of certain waste ... nuclear waste... level nuclear wastes in West Chicago. The second thing that the Conference Committee Report recommends is that Senate Bill 319 be further amended as follows for a total of 147,300 dollars in GRF, 46,000 in the Nuclear Safety Fund, 50,000 in Federal funds and 12,100 for the removal and disposal of low level radial waste in West Chicago. The new Bill total by fund is 1.6 million dollars GRF, 2.4 million dollars the Nuclear Safety Fund, 38,000 dollars in the Perpetual Care Fund, 50,000 dollars of Federal money for a total of 4,223.6 million dollars. I would urge adoption of the Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Daniels: "Any discussion? Gentleman, Representative
Darrow."

Darrow: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Daniels: "Indicates he will."

Darrow: "What's this nuclear waste in West Chicago? How did that occur and whose responsibility is it?"

Vinson: "It was a company that was involved in the production radium at one point in West Chicago. The, for whatever reason and I can't begin to explain the exact reason. the... I'll give you to Representative Fawell in just a Representative Schneider who understands this in great detail. As I understand it for whatever reason, these wastes were subsequently transferred to variety of homeowners yards so that they're now under the control, under the ownership, of a variety of homeowners in the West And the purpose for this is to extract the that contains those nuclear waste and move them to a safe site for disposal. I'll be glad to yield to Representative Fawell and Schneider if you'd like a more detailed explanation."

Darrow: "Just a very simple question. Why is it the liability of

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

the state to take care of someone else's nuclear waste? Why wouldn't it be the responsibility of the corporation that manufactured the nuclear waste? If anyone can answer that, I'd be satisfied."

Vinson: "I believe that there are two points Representative Darrow. Number one, the State of Illinois is precluded by Federal law under the Super Fund Law Enabling Statute from attaching liability to that company. It's a choice of whether we move in and attempt to do it and the appropriation that we would do this with is a, believe. Federal appropriation. There are, a to шy knowledge, at least two other sites in the state where this may be a problem and where we may not be able to attach either a chain of ownership or ultimate possession to whoever originally created the problem, and our concern and the concern of various Members is that we not permit those level nuclear wastes to impact adversely on the health and welfare of the people in those areas."

Darrow: "Thank you. Representative Schneider explained the whole thing while you were talking and I think it's reasonable."

Vinson: "I'm sure he did a much better job."

Darrow: "He did. Thank you."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Gentleman moves approval of Conference Committee Report #1. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The voting's open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. the record. On this question there are 144 'aye', none voting *nay* and none voting 'present'. Committee Report #1 is approved and Senate Bill 319 is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 339, Representative Vinson. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 339, a Bill for an Act making

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Illinois Law Enforcement Commission and the various state agencies that participate in the Law Enforcement Assistance Administrative Statewide Plan Program, First Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Vinson."

- Vinson: "Would you temporarily take this out so that the staffs on both sides have the proper documentation?"
- Speaker Daniels: "Okay, the Gentleman moves to suspend the provisions of Rule 68E and to use Roll Call number two.

 Does he have permission? Leave is granted, hearing no objections and the provisions of Rule 68E are suspended and Senate Bill 339 shall be temporarily taken out of the record. Senate Bill 345, Representative Winchester. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 345, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Capital Development Board and Southern Illinois University for permanent improvements, grants and related purposes. Conference Committee Report #1."
- Speaker Daniels: "Gentleman moves to suspend the provisions of Rule 68E and to use Roll Call #2. Does the Gentleman have leave? Any objections? Hearing no objections, leave is granted and the provisions of 68E are suspended. On Senate Bill 345, Representative Winchester."
- Winchester: "Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. The Conference Committee added 700,000 dollars for the planning of a facility at East Moline, one million for Sheridan Correctional Center, 2,500,000 for Vienna Correctional Center and 200,000 for Hardin County Work Camp. They also added 100,000 for planning and rehabilitation of the Stratton Office Building elevators. It breaks out various lump sum appropriations. It reduces

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

funding for planning in phase-one development to convert a portion of the Manteno Mental Health Center for a veteran's home from nine million to 3,300,000. It transfers 1,100,000 FY '82 reappropriation to the Capital to Development Board. It deletes 320,000 General Revenue Fund for the Illinois State University for an energy project and 274,500 CDB and it replaces the 550,000 CDF for repairs and replacement of the roof and plaza at the Milner Library. It adds 850,000 from the School Construction Fund for Joliet School District 86 and adds 175,000 CD funds purchase and rehabilitation of a building for the Regional Academic Center in Rockford. The Senate reported the out at 130,000,000 and in Conference Committee we came out with 130,250,000 so it's a change of only 180,500 and I would move that the ...that we accept this Conference Committee Report." Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Gentleman, Representative Ropp."

Ropp: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Daniels: "Indicates he will."

Ropp: "Mr. Sponsor, did you take out Amendment 20?"

Winchester: "Was Amendment #20 20,000 dollars for a building at the State Fair?"

Ropp: "You did take that out?"

Winchester: "That was taken out on a five to five vote."

Ropp: "To say the least I am, as some have said, chagrined, appalled, astonished, overwhelmed that this Amendment, after having received unanimous support on this House floor would be taken out of this Bill, and I would hope that you would remember that next Session."

Winchester: "I certainly agree with you, Representative Ropp, and all of us on this side of the aisle were certainly arguing to the best of our ability to keep that large appropriation in there. There were others who disagreed but perhaps next

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

year we can come back and jointly we can do something about that building. I would move for the adoption of Conference Committee Report #1 on Senate Bill 345."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Being none, the Gentleman moves for the adoption of Conference Committee Report #1. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The voting's open. Have all those voted who wish? Have all those voted who wish? Have all those voted The Clerk will take the record. who wish? On this question there are 119 'aye', 35 'no' and none voting 'present' and Conference Committee Report #1 is approved and Senate BIll 345 is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 517, Representative McAuliffe. Gentleman in the chambers? Representative McAuliffe. Representative Vinson, are we ready for 339? Senate Bill 339. This Bill having been previously read. Read the Bill again, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 339, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Illinois Law Enforcement Commission. Conference Committee Report #1."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 339 does four things. Number one, it would provide for 12 month funding for the agency. Number two, it would eliminate the policy and programs unit, a division which newly-created and which the Committee. Appropriations Committee Members from both sides of the aisle felt was inappropriately created because it was the ... the agency's in the process of a phase-out. it restores the Operations reduction by the Senate of 332,000, 390,000 GRF and adds 222,390 dollars Pederal money from lapsed pre-fiscal year 1978 Pederal funds and

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

110,000 dollars in GRF from three sources, 62,000 from the Eliminated Policy and Programs Division, 27,000 from the Juvenille Justice Policy and Programs Division, and 20,000 from the Criminal Justice Information System. That addition is a result of lower anticipated spending by the agency itself. Number four, it eliminates three positions in the Operation Division for a Federal savings of 66,000, a GRF savings 28,000. A total of 94,000 dollars. The net budget, total budget is 26,462,577 dollars and I would move for adoption of the budget."

- Speaker Daniels: "Any discussion? Being none, the Gentleman moves for the adoption of Conference Committee Report #1.

 All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The voting's open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are... Speaker 'aye'... there are 118 'aye', 20 'no', 4 voting 'present'. House approves Conference Committee Report #1 and Senate Bill 339 is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 517. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 517, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Court of Claims for certain awards against the State of Illinois in conformity with awards made by the Court of Claims. Conference Committee Report #1."
- Speaker Daniels: "Gentleman, Representative McAuliffe moves to suspend the provisions of Rule 68E and requests leave to use Roll Call #2. Any objections? Hearing no objections, leave is granted. On Senate Bill 517, Representative McAuliffe."
- McAuliffe: "This is the appropriation for the Court of Claims.

 There's an extra 130,000 dollars in for the City of Chicago debt reimbursement and 33,000 dollars in attorneys fees,

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

one dollar appropriated to the Department of Conservation for site improvement ... construction, parking utilities, sanitary facilities and other costs necessary for the development of the Rock Island State Park."

Speaker Daniels: "Any discussion? Representative Ropp."

Ropp: "Yes, would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Daniels: "Indicates he will."

Ropp: "Originally, that was going to be spent on five miles.

Will this still be spent on that amount or is it going to be spread out all over the whole trail?"

McAuliffe: "I think their one dollar is going to be stretched over the whole five miles. About 20 cents a mile."

Ropp: "That's very good."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Being none, the Gentleman moves for the adoption of Conference Committee Report #1.

All those if favor will signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Voting's open. Have all voted who wish?

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?

Representative Tuerk, to explain his vote. Timer's on,

Tuerk: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I don't know everything that's in the Conference Committee Report, but I do know that one dollar is in there for the Rock Island Trail. I think the whole set up is rather ludricrous and that's why I'm voting 'no'."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Further discussion? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. Excuse me. Representative Schraeder, excuse me.

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, just to refresh your minds. One dollar for the Rock Island Trail is just to establish intent.

We're not trying to get any more money at this point in time, and I ask for the additional few votes."

77th Legislative Day

July 1. 1981

- Speaker Daniels: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 107 'aye', 45 'no', voting 'present'. Conference Committee Report #1... Friedrich... 107 'ave'. 46 'no', **Friedrich** *present*. Senate Conference Committee Report #1 is hereby declared passed and Senate Bill 517 is hereby declared passed. Excuse me. The Chair made a mistake. Friedrich 'a ye'. Chair made a mistake. Vote is 107 'ave'. Senate Bill 517 receiving 107 votes is hereby declared passed. House Bill 439. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 439, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Data Information Systems Commission.

 Conference Committee Report #1."
- Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman, Representative Winchester, moves
 to take House Bill 439 from the table and suspend the
 appropriate rules. Requests for leave to use Roll Call #2.
 Any objections? Hearing no objections, leave is granted
 and House Bill 439 is removed from the table. On that
 Bill, Representative Winchester."
- Winchester: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. The Conference Committee decided to break out the water resources capital projects and add 39,500 CDB to the total reappropriation. It adds a reappropriation of 9,988,000 CDB, Capital Development Board Bonds for acquisition of a suitable site of the Des Plaines River floor plain. It changes language in the Department's PY '82 appropriation for executive air transportation, adds the Governor in the line item for air transportation expenses for the General Assembly and there's no dollar change. The net fiscal impact of Conference Committee Report #1 is 10,027,700 and I would now move for the adoption of Conference Committee Report #1."

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

Speaker Daniels: "Gentleman moves for the adoption of Conference
Committee Report #1. On that question, Gentleman from
Cook, Representative Ronan."

Ronan: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Daniels: "Indicates he will."

Ronan: "Representative Winchester, this is an appropriation for the Department of Transportation. Isn't that correct?"

Winchester: "It's a reappropriation, Representative Ronan, for the Department of Transportation."

Ronan: "With this reappropriation, would you anticipate the need for the Department of Transportation to have any lay-offs during the upcoming year?"

Winchester: "Well, this doesn't have anything to do with personal services, Representative Ronan. You know I, like you, are concerned about making sure that our highway maintainers aren't laid-off, but this is pertaining to capital projects, not personal services."

Ronan: "With your knowledge of the Department of Transportation's budget, would you anticipate any lay-offs for the upcoming year?"

Winchester: "Well, I, at this time, can't tell you but I'm

certainly as much concerned about that possibility as you

are and I'm sure that the two of us working together we

could prevent any of that from possibly happening."

Ronan: "I sure look forward to working with you on that topic,

Representative Winchester, and I also plan on voting for
this fine reappropriation."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Lady from DuPage,
Representative Fawell."

Fawell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Daniels: "Indicates he will."

Winchester: "Yes, go ahead."

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

- Fawell: "Yes. Some of this reappropriation involves DuPage
 County and some quarries there that's owned by Chicago
 Stone Elmhurst, right? The Chicago Elmhurst Stone Quarry?"
- Winchester: "Yes, it adds... it reappropriates 9,988,000 for the acquisition of a suitable site. I'm sorry, 9,988,000 for the acquisition of a suitable site in the Des Plaines River flood plain."
- Pawell: "Are you aware of the fact that that is a very growing concern and that the Gentlemen who owned this quarry have really not been approached by the Department and that..."
- Winchester: "This is not the Elmhurst Quarry, if that means anything to you. I was asked to point that out to you because I understand you do have concerns about that quarry, but this is not the Elmhurst Quarry."
- Fawell: "This is not the Elmhurst... this is not Chicago Elmhurst

 Stone Quarry Company that's involved?"
- Winchester: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to defer to Representative

 Reed to perhaps better answer this question."
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Reed."
- Reed: "Representative Fawell, the new language that was put on in Conference Committee is that we would reappropriate the approximate amount of money for acquisition of a suitable site in the Des Plaines River flood plain so that it was not necessarily the Elmhurst Quarry. Now those figures, the 9,900,000 dollars was originally reappropriated for the Elmhurst Quarry. One of the.. There was a Senate Amendment that reduced the appropriations so we agreed on a compromise with suitable language and that language being a suitable site so that the Department can look for sites otherwise in that flood plain."
- Fawell: "So, in other words, it could very conceivably not be the Chicago Elmhurst Quarry in Elmhurst."
- Reed: "That's exactly right."

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

Fawell: "Thank you."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Gentleman, Representative
Van Duyne."

- Van Duyne: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Very briefly, I would hope that we would all understand that even though the language is changed, Representative Fawell, that we are still talking about the Elmhurst Quarry. I would hope that if my word means anything that they do center in on that place, it would make a beautiful place not only for flood control, but for the Will County Forest Preserve as well as the Dupage County Forest Preserve and I would hope that nobody would bring this up as bone of contention."
- Speaker Daniels: "Any discussion? Representative Winchester or Representative Reed."
- Reed: "Mr. Speaker, if I can add further to this. I'm not saying that we are not considering the Elmhurst Quarry, but because of the objection of one of the Senators, it was determined that we would say a suitable site. I'm not... it's a potential, Bev, certainly. It's a marvelous location, but if the people who own the Elmhurst Quarry are not interested in dealing with the Division of Water Resources and they can reach no agreement certainly we have the option of any other suitable site in that flood plain."
- Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Being none, Representative Winchester, to close."
- Winchester: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would just simply ask that the Body accept Conference Committee Report #1 and ask for a favorable Roll Call vote."
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Fawell."
- Pawell: "I'm sorry, Mr. Chairman(sic, Speaker). Apparently you didn't see my light. What I would suggest is, frankly, that our Membership would seriously consider a 'no' vote on this since there apparently has been no agreement on where

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

we are going to put this great water retention basin. We are short of money. I would suggest that we take it out until such time as we find a place."

Speaker Daniels: "What purpose do you rise, Representative Bullock?"

Bullock: "I arise on a point of order, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Daniels: "State your point, Sir."

Bullock: "...You were engaged in conversation. Representative
Winchester just closed the debate and we're still carrying
on debate on the Motion."

Speaker Daniels: "The Lady was inadvertently shut off and we're giving her the same courtesy we'd give you, Sir.

Representative Fawell.."

Bullock: "...I didn't ask for courtesy, Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Daniels: "Are you done, Ma'am?"

Fawell: "I just would suggest to my colleagues they take a good look at this. This is nine million dollars and I would suggest that we vote 'no' on this and give it back to the GRF until we find out what we're going to do with it."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Winchester, further remarks?

The Gentleman, Representative Winchester, moves for the adoption of Conference Committee Report #1. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'.

The voting is open. Have all those voted who wish? Have all those voted who wish? Representative Matijevich?"

Matijevich: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I think somebody may remember that Speaker Redmond was the one, I believe, who first had proposed that quarry be in the appropriation Bill and I think we owe it to Bill to keep it there as a reappropriation. He was a good man. He was a good Speaker and I don't think we ought to do anything to hurt it now."

Speaker Daniels: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

wish? Representative Karpiel."

- Karpiel: "Well, in all deference to Representative Matijevich, and me just spilling water all over my desk, I don't know whether he knows whether former Speaker Redmond would want this either because the situation has changed in DuPage County and from what I understand this is not desired any longer."
- Speaker Daniels: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. Representative Winchester?"
- Winchester: "Would you poll the absentees, Mr. Speaker?"
- Speaker Daniels: "Yes, Sir. Representative Everett Steele.

 Change the Gentleman from 'no' to 'aye'. Findley, 'aye'.

 Stewart, 'aye', Neff from 'no' to 'aye'. On this question there are 109 'aye', 44 'no', 1 voting 'present'. The Conference Committee Report #1 is hereby passed. House Bill 439 is hereby declared passed. House Bill 852. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 852, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Attorney General. Conference Committee Report #1."
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Davis moves to take from the Table House Bill 852 and suspend the appropriate rules.

 Asks leave to use Roll Call #2. Any objections? Hearing no objections, leave is granted. House Bill 852 is taken from the Table. Representative Davis."
- Davis: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill..er..excuse
 me. House Bill 852 now contains the Attorney General's
 appropriation in the Conference Committee Report and also
 the Judicial System salary and OCE...Oh, no somebody said.
 Who said that? Penny? Actually the Judicial System
 portion, let's get to that first in the Conference
 Committee. It left the Conference Committee exactly the
 same way it left the House Appropriations Committee which

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

was cut significantly over the introduced level and now is in pretty good shape in terms of cutting the..the OCE 8% solution in and taking out lag vacancies and hiring lag vacancies and actually I think it's in pretty good shape and I'll answer any questions for you on that one. The AG's budget, the Conference Committee took \$100,000 out of the Electric Utilities Consumer Fund so now it is reduced by that amount and the total amount for the Attorney General is \$16,651,000. The Judicial System funding is \$74,512,000. And I'll try to answer any questions you might have."

- Speaker Daniels: "Any questions? Being none, the Gentleman moves for the passage of Conference Committee Report #1. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. There are 148 'ayes', 4 'no' and none voting 'present'. This Conference Committee Report #1 is adopted and House Bill 852 is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill...er..Senate Bill 883, Representative Macdonald? Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 883, a Bill for an Act in relationship to disclosure of medical and mental health records. Conference Committee Report #1."
- Speaker Daniels: "Provisions of 68-E having been previously suspended, Representative Macdonald."
- Macdonald: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I think we have the objections cleared at this time and I want to, on personal privilege, extend my apology to Representative Getty and to the Democratic side of the aisle through the last days of this Session. I guess everyone is harried and hurried and it was an oversight. Certainly, had I realized that Mr. Getty

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

had not been contacted to sign this Conference Committee Report...I understand Representative Katz had agreed and wanted to sign it and the staff person from the Senate who was circulating the Conference Committee Report simply neglected to stay long enough to find Mr. Getty and Mr. Katz and I apologize for that. But there seems now to be no problem with this Bill. They did take out the Cullerton Amendment and they did make some minor changes that were really clarifying language only on Amendment \$2. So, with that understanding on both sides of the aisle, I hope that we will pass this First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 883."

- Speaker Daniels: "Senate Bill 883. Any discussion? Mr. Clerk, it's Senate Bill 883. Any discussion? Being none, the Lady moves for the passage of Conference Committee Report #1. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 145 'aye', 4 voting 'no' and none voting 'present'. Conference Committee Report #1 is adopted and Senate Bill 883 is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1073, Representative Nelson. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1073, a Bill for an Act to amend the Insurance Code, the Nonprofit Health Care Service Plan Act, the Medical Service Plan Act and the Voluntary Health Service Plan Act. Conference Committee Report #1."
- Speaker Daniels: "The Lady, Representative Nelson, moves to suspend the provisions of Rule 68-E and use the Roll Call \$2. Does she have leave? Hearing no objections, leave is granted and the provisions of 68-E are suspended. On Senate Bill 1073, Representative Nelson."
- Nelson: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Members of the

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

Senate Bill 1073 was returned to Conference Committee in order to add clarifying language relating to data maintained on a computer. The added language assures public access to computer processible records or data. would like to point out that every Member on both sides of from the Senate and from the Representatives, with the exception of Senator LeRoy Lemke, has agreed to this version of Senate Bill original Bill was a Department of Insurance Bill. It is not opposed by the insurance companies. And, as here, amends certain...the Insurance Code, the Nonprofit Health Care Service Plan Act, Medical Service Plan Act and Voluntary Health Services Plan Act. I would be glad to fill you in on all the specifics if anyone has any questions. But if not, I move acceptance of the Conference Committee Report."

- Speaker Daniels: "The Lady moves for the adoption of Conference Committee Report #1. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 147 'aye', none voting 'nay' and 1 voting 'present'. Conference Committee Report is adopted and Senate Bill 1073 is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1104. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1104, a Bill for an Act amending certain Acts in relationship to township and multi-township assessors. Conference Committee Report #1."
- Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman, Representative Miller, moves to suspend the provisions of Rule 68—E and to use Roll Call #2. Does the Gentleman have leave? The Gentleman has leave. Leave is granted and the provisions of 68—E are suspended. On Senate Bill 1104, Representative Miller."

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

Miller: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Conference Committee Report #1 on Senate Bill 1104 contains the following elements: first it recedes from Amendment #3 which had been added in the House regarding vacancies of current assessor terms and not the upcoming assessor terms. It concurs in Amendments #2 and exempts Cook County from the changes made in the levy procedures contained in 1104. It adds the language of Senate Bill 1107 as it was amended when it first came over from the Senate which did cause a few technical changes in the Revenue Act. Specifically it makes technical changes in local assessor's oaths, technical changes in the procedures for exempting property from taxation, technical changes in the certification of the assessed value of counties by the Department of Revenue to the counties and it codifies existing law regarding compatibility of offices of county board members and township offices except it allows township trustees in counties of less than 100,000 to so serve. I would move for the adoption of Conference Committee Report #1 to Senate Bill 1104."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Being none, the Gentleman moves for the adoption of Conference Committee Report #1.

All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 139 'aye', 8 voting 'no', 2 voting 'present'. Conference Committee Report #1 is adopted and Senate Bill 1104 is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1125. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1125, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code. First Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman, Representative Telcser, moves to suspend the provisions of Rule 68-E and to use Roll Call

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

#2. Does he have leave? Hearing no objections, leave is granted. The provisions of Rule 68-E are suspended. On Senate Bill 1125, Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, Conference Committee
Report #1 in connection with Senate Bill 1125 contains two
provisions. One, it contains the creation of a Commission
which this House passed a few hours earlier in another Bill
and I would assume, if this Bill passes, the Governor would
veto it out of one Bill or the other. The second item
which Senate Bill...Conference Committee Report #1 contains
are some positions which were inadvertently left out of
Compensation Review Board legislation which we passed
earlier. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I offer to
move the adoption of Conference Committee Report #1 to
Senate Bill 1125."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? The Lady from Cook, Representative Braun."

Braun: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd initially like to point to the Chair and to the Members of the House, this Conference Committee Report was just this second put on our desks and as I understand it, the rules do require that we have it in time enough to read it. But looking at this Bill, having looked at it, I have a question of the Representative Telcser, you indicate that some Sponsor. areas may have been inadvertently omitted from coverage of this Bill. I understand that this would...this Bill would require that members of the Racing Board, the Auditor General, Director of Personnel, Nembers of the Illinois Connerce Commission..of the Human Rights Commission, State Board of Elections, that a whole list of people would be required to be..have this .. be reviewed under the procedures of the Salary Review Board. Is that correct?"

Telcser: "That is correct, Representative."

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

Braun: "Then, Representative, I guess my question then is, we just created this Compensation Review Board and now, with this Conference Committee Report, it appears that we will be adding some 20 odd different agencies to review of the executive officer's positions by the..by this newly-created board."

Telcser: "Representative, that was always the intent. The intent always was to include these positions which would fall under the Compensation Review Board. I'm told that they were inadvertently left off of the original legislation. There was an Amendment in Committee or amended on the floor. And this Conference Committee Report simply adds to the function of that Board those positions which should have been there in the first place."

Braun: "Well, Representative, again, I'm just kind of perplexed that the Director of the Department of Labor, the Assistant Director, the Director of Mines and Minerals, the Pollution Control Board, the EPA, Natural Resources, Human Commission. Elections Commission. Liquor Control Commission, Sanitary District Observer, Prisoner Review Board, Commission on Savings and Loans, Banks and Trusts, that all these people would have been inadvertently left out in the first place. And I just wonder, Representative, whether or not we are not going overboard and expanding the power of this newly-created Compensation Commission by way of this Conference Committee Report."

Telcser: "Representative, I can simply tell you that these are
the people who should have been in the legislation simply
because that was the concept of that Legislature action, to
try and get the best qualified people to work in Illinois
Government and have their compensation reviewed by that
Compensation Board as opposed to being plunged into
politics that very often thwarts the will of salary

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

levels. There's nothing new really. This is something the House and the Senate has already passed. It really should come as no surprise to you. Executive was included in the Compensation Review Board and we are including the executive as was our intent."

Braun: "Well, to the Bill, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House..."

Speaker Daniels: "Proceed."

Braun: ".. I have... I feel very strongly that we have procedures, Conference Committees, publication hearings and notices, public notice on these matters for a reason. And if indeed the original concept went through that process, and we are just now at the last minute in a Conference Committee Report expanding overly its powers, then we have for a11 intents and purposes avoided the due process of...imperative of our own legislative process. And for that reason, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think that in the interests of regularity that we vote 'no' on this Bill."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, I think the Lady from Cook is under a misapprehension in regard to this particular piece of legislation. What we are doing by this is leaving out or putting in rather, those directors that for some reason or other in the passage of the original piece of legislation were not included. Now, I'm looking through this to find a particular example and let me just suggest that in this legislation that's before us now we do not have the Director of Public Health which means he was in the one we passed. We do not, I don't think, have the Director of Public Aid, which means he was in the one that we had originally. So what we ...what ended up happening is that

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

some of the directors and those officials who need Senate confirmation were approved in the original Bill and whether it was a question of just the baste of the time or whatever reason, the rest of them just did not get in there. And it is, I think, grossly unfair to have the compensation for, as an example, the Director of Public Aid set by the Commission and not the Director of the Commission on Human Rights. It just doesn't make sense. This equalizes the system."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Representative Ebbesen." Ebbesen: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, there's nobody in this House that's more suspicious than I am when it comes to this portion of the Session and all we have to do is draw back upon the publicity, the things, the statements the Governor has made regarding this Board and I agree that these probably Compensation Review were left out. The attempt is to attract qualified to sit as the directors of these various Commissions and departments and I think it's a very legitimate Amendment. should be added...it should be supported. Not just Governor Thompson, this is..whether it's a Republican or a Governor for the future. Democratic You've got the Compensation Review Board. It's going to become law. legitimate Amendment to add these departments and these positions in it and it should be supported."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, I'll be very brief. This is quite obvious..an attempt that was not an oversight in my mind on the original drafting of a Bill. If this would have come out in the first draft that was passed, you would have a few more notes and you couldn't have passed it. And so now that that passed and is over in the Senate or wherever it is, on the Governor's desk, we're not picking up every

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

other top administrator and we're going to give the whole kit and caboodle big salary increases without any veto power by the Members of the General Assembly. And I think that it is quite obvious. What's attempting to be done here is an attempt to give everybody huge raises without any notice to the public and this certainly ought to be defeated and rejected overwhelmingly, but I know it won't be."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative...Representative Topinka.

Topinka? Representative Ewell."

Ewell: "Move the previous question."

Speaker Daniels: "The question is, 'Shall the main question be put?'. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The previous question has been put. Representative Telcser to close."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, in conclusion, let me simply repeat these agencies were inadvertently left out of the original Bill. This is no attempt to add more people whose positions would come under the review of the Compensation Board. Let me also remind the Members that the Board's action will be reviewed by the Legislature. The Legislature, pursuant to the original legislation, has the ability to increase or decrease or eliminate completely whatever increase that the Compensation Board may or may not invoke and in fact, if the Compensation Board reduces, the Legislature can increase. We do have legislative input and I offer to move the adoption of Conference Committee Report #1 to Senate Bill 1125."

Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of Conference Committee Report #1. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

wish? Representative Matijevich to explain his vote.
Timer's on, Sir."

Natijevich: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I just feel that if you're going to treat one person certain way that logic dictates that you treat other directors and ..in the same way. I think if you're for it in principle and I believe that many of us in the past for example with regards to legislative pay raises, most of the complaints that I have received with regards to legislative pay raises, people have said you're increasing your Very few people know that that's the only way we can do it. With regards to this, the Compensation Review Board reviews other salaries too. including the Legislature, the Judiciary and the ...those iв So I think if you believe in administrative positions. fairness and equity, if you voted for the other, be voting for this. I don't think ought..anybody ought to presume that the Compensation Review Board is going to go overboard. It is a system that in practice in other states and it is a system that can So therefore, I am supporting the Conference work. Committee Report."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Collins."

Collins: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in support of this Conference Committee Report. I stand before you as one who has never hesitated to vote for a pay raise for the General Assembly or for any other office of State Government. I have always been up front with my constituents and have announced that I would vote for a pay raise if and when it came before the Body and because of the candor I think with which I and others have approached the issue, I, at least have never perceived any criticism in my district and stand ready to

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

vote for pay raises as I think they are deserved and earned. However, this Body has had the problem wrestling with pay raises over the years and this Body last week adopted a principle that is in operation in other states as understand it. There would be a check upon a check that would indicate whether Legislature, an independent Body thought the pay raises were warranted bу Legislature, by the Executive, by the Judiciary and by the .. by other units of government. This Body did buy that principle and I wasn't sure at the time whether I was it or against it. I did wind up voting for it. But since we have adopted that principle, I think it only logical that these offices that were inadvertently left out or even if they weren't inadvertently left out, that do properly under the purview of this Commission should I cannot understand the reluctance of anyone to hesitate to vote for the adoption of this Conference Committee Report. It follows as night follows day that we adopted a concept of salary review board last week and if it's good for one area of government, it should be good for the others. It is a check I am told upon us. We, who have responsibility of raising our salaries and salaries of others have been criticized in the past for doing so. is a new approach to it. We're not the ones that do There is a board, an independent board, that will alone. tell us whether or not salaries are warranted and then and only then will we be able to vote whether or not to endorse the recommendations of this Commission. T think this Conference Committee Report is imminently reasonable. think it is only logical that we follow our action that we adopted last week and I would urge every Nember of this House to vote in favor of this report."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Robbins."

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

Robbins: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, last week as we debated that thing, it was said that the Commission could lower salaries if they felt that they deemed that they were not proper. If you will look at this Amendment, it does..it says nothing in there about lowering the salaries. It says you will be paid either the present salary or whatever the Commission says, whichever is greater. So therefore I have voted 'no'."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Nelson."

Nelson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I am not reluctant to explain my 'no' vote. I voted for the original Compensation Review Board and I'm voting against this one for the reason that Clyde mentioned and also because I am suspicious. It's coming at a late time and I believe that what we should do is take a look at these kinds of Christmas tree Bills that come along at this time of the evening. So I'm going to stick on 'no'."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Huskey."

Huskey: "Well, Mr. Speaker, we have ... I'm not ashamed of vote up there for the simple reason we've already created this Commission and we're going to pay them to So if we're going to pay them to do a half a job, why don't we give them the rest of the job to do? Let them review the rest of the salaries of the state office holders. Иe just lost one of the finest Director of Law Enforcement's that we could get because we didn't have enough money to keep him. That was Dan Webb, ... ought to be our United States Attorney General. So that's the we've lost to government because we do not pay them to compensate for what we expect them to do. for a Review Board to review to see if the people are worth what we're paying or if they're worth more, least we can put some qualified people in these big offices

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

of the state. So your 'yes' vote would certainly finish
the job that you started by passing out the Review Board."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? The Gentleman,
Representative Ted Meyer, to explain his vote."

Meyer: "Yes, Sir, Ladies and Gentlemen, I think the people back home are upset with Legislators establishing their own pay raises. I don't think that people, constituents, are concerned about the amount of salary we receive or Judges receive or Governors receive or Department heads. But what they do is they perceive that it's all done in the closet, in the dark and this would present an opportunity to have a civilian review board composed of people that aren't part of public..public office, who are precluded from being Members of the General Assembly or Judiciary or state office holders or public employees. Taxpayers who would be setting our salary. And I think if the public understood that it was folks outside of the governmental process, taxpayers that were establishing the salary schedule, it would be better accepted. I urge its adoption."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Have all voted who wish?

Have all voted who wish? Representative Bullock to explain
his vote. The timer's on."

Bullock: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I spoke on an issue similar to this the other night. And perhaps I was speaking a bit too fast when I made the remarks because some of the media perhaps misunderstood my point. I want to make that point again. You know, people are demanding better government today and if you want better government, you've got to get better people involved in government. And to get better people involved in government, you've got to pay them better. Quite often you get just what you pay for. If you want a five dollar an hour worker, you get a five dollar an hour worker, you want a twenty dollar an hour worker, you

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

get a twenty dollar an hour worker. You have to pay for it. I think the Members of this General Assembly have grown a bit paramoid over what might be construed by the press and by citizens as excessive governmental spending. But I think when a person comes to a department head or comes to an agency and seeks service, that person expects to get the best quality service as the next citizen who would approach that agency. And if we, in Illinois, want to make Illinois the number one state in the nation. want our citizens to feel comfortable when they come to the agencies for services, we need people there with the expertise and the sensitivity to provide those services. If we have employees in those agencies that are underpaid, demoralized, somewhat abused, due to their inability to provide the standard of living that they deserve for their families, they're not going to perform well. And their productivity will not be what we'd expect. So I think we need to pay our directors who set the tone. They set a tone of efficiency and a tone of sincerity, just as we have Members in the General Assembly who set a tone. think when you start to demoralize those people, or force them to become paranoid of what might be construed as excessive salaries or excessive pay, you are, in fact, causing your government to be secondary and I don't think any of us want our government to be secondary. I would urge an 'aye' vote on this measure. I would urge an vote from all Members on this side and the opposing sides of the aisle. In many downstate communities you have need the services of our state constituencies that And they want those services efficiently and agencies. For that reason and many other expeditiously provided. reasons, I would urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Daniels: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 86 'aye', 81 'no', 1 voting 'present'. The Gentleman's Motion for the approval of Conference Committee Report #1 fails. Representative Telcser."

- Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, I now move that the House do not adopt

 Conference Committee Report #1 to Senate Bill 1125 and ask

 that a second Conference Committee be appointed."
- Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman requests a second Conference
 Committee. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye',
 opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The second Conference
 Committee shall be appointed. Representative Stiehl. For
 what purpose do you arise, Ma'am?"
- Stiehl: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move to suspend the provisions of Rule 35-G to take Senate Bill 223 from the Table and have it heard immediately."
- Speaker Daniels: "You've heard the Lady's Motion. It takes 107 votes. Is there any discussion? Being none, does the Lady have leave to use Roll Call #2? Any objections? Representative Catania objects. It takes a Roll Call. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The voting is open. Representative Catania to explain her vote."
- Catania: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The reason I objected to this Roll Call is that this is the Capital Development Board Bonding Authority which we defeated overwhelmingly the other night. There were efforts to pass it on fast gavel Roll Calls and I don't think that now is the time to be taking it up. And I think the correct vote on this is red."
- Speaker Daniels: "Have all those voted who wish? Have all those voted who wish? Giorgi, 'aye'. Have all those voted who wish? Take the record. Have all voted who wish? Take the

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

record. On this question there are 122 'aye', 25 'no', none voting 'present'. And the Lady's Motion carries. Representative Stiehl."

Stiehl: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 333 is the authorization of the Illinois Industrial Authority to issue revenue bonds to businesses and industry for the creation of new jobs in this state. These bonds are not an obligation of the state, but are paid solely from the revenue and the income of the authority. This is one of the most important tools that we have to create new jobs in this state. Also on this Bill is an Amendment for the CDB It's 104 million in capital development authorizations. bonds. This is the lowest authorization in over four A genuine attempt has been made to make this as years. small as possible. But, Ladies and Gentlemen, without this we will have no new projects in this state. This covers our schools, our colleges, our universities, our prisons, our recreational and conservation projects, our mental health and public health and our port districts and cargo handling facilities. Ladies and Gentlemen, this is last vehicle in the House for this authority. It's very important and I would ask for a favorable vote."

Speaker Daniels: "Any discussion? Representative Kane."

Kane: "Would the Sponsor yield to a question or two?"

Speaker Daniels: "Indicates she will."

Kane: "Could we have some order?"

Speaker Daniels: "Proceed."

Kane: "Representative Stiehl, the revenue bonds for the Illinois Industrial Development Authority, what's the present authorization level?"

Stiehl: "One hundred million."

Kane: "How many of those bonds have been used?"

Stiehl: "All of them."

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

Kane: "I understand that only seven million of the hundred million have been used. I have that as a...in a memo from the Economic and Fiscal Commission in a memo dated yesterday. Can you tell me why you say that all of the hundred million have been used?"

Stiehl: "Representative, the Director is here and he has informed me that ninety million have been used."

Kane: "Have been used or committed in some way?"

Stiehl: "Committed, ninety million have been committed."

Kane: "And for what ..how long a period of time will it be before those can be issued?"

Stiehl: "Next several months."

Kane: "Why do you have to go to five hundred million at this time?"

Stiehl: "Because, Representative, there is a tremendous demand for these bonds, particularly now in our depressed economy and this will prevent the department from having to come back to the Legislature every three to four months."

Kane: "What ...how much and how many applications have you got in at this time?"

Stiehl: "I don't know the dollar amount, but there are about 20 or 30 right now that are pending."

Kane: "What's the dollar amount?"

Stiehl: "I don't know."

Kane: "In the Housing Development Authority, what is the increase that you're asking for?"

Stiehl: "Representative, this is not the Housing Development Authority."

Kane: "It's just the Industrial Development and GO bonds?"

Stiehl: "Yes."

Kane: "Okay. Mr. Speaker, may I address myself to the Bill?"

Speaker Daniels: "Proceed, Sir."

Kane: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

the Bill that has been defeated in the Senate. It has been defeated once in the House and we're looking at the total debt authorization of the state. You're going to hear an awful lot of numbers thrown around by different people. But, what we have done in the last ten years under the constitutional authority to issue general obligation debt in this state is that we have authorized already four point eight billion dollars in general obligation debt. person debt in this state just since 1975 has gone from Of the four \$129 per person to \$452 per person. eight billion dollars that has already been authorized, three point three billion have been issued which leaves one and a half billion dollars worth of general obligation debt that has been authorized and not issued. What we have been doing in the last ten years is getting involved more and more in deficit financing. We have been told by the Governors as they have come along, we cannot afford to continue issuing debt at the present level. They keep promising to reduce it. But for the last seven, have sold four hundred million dollars vears we worth of bonds in each of those years and we're intending to do that in the next several years. Two arguments have been used to continue the kind of deficit financing that we're involved in. One argument is build now because if we build later it's only going to cost us more, that inflation is going to take its toll. Well, the fallacy of that is that it's deficit financing at both the federal, state and local levels that fuels inflation. And so the argument that we need to continue deficit financing to catch up with inflation and defeat inflation and keep costs low just doesn't make any sense at all. Because if we continue and if Illinois continues to do this and the other states continue to do it, continue deficit financing, all

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

going to do is continually fuel inflation and keep the interest rates high. The other argument that is made that well, the state is just like a home owner. And you purchase a home and you pay a mortgage. You can't afford pay all of it at once and so you get a mortgage and the just like a home owner. Well. Ladies state is Gentlemen of the House, the state is not just like a home owner. Because the state doesn't just purchase a home this year and then live in that home for the next 20 years and off the mortgage year by year by year. What the state involved in is a continuing building project, continually...a continuous building program. The state builds a home every year. And if the home owner state pretty soon the home owner could not afford the the For example, if you buy a \$10,000 home mortgage payments. pay for it like the state pays for its bonds, the first year you would be paying \$900 in principle and payments. And if you lived in that home for the interest next 20 years you would pay it off. But the state position of building another \$10,000 home the second And all of a sudden the principle and interest year. up to about \$1700. And pretty soon, by the payments get time you get to the 10th year. you're paying more in principle and interest payments than you are in purchase of the home. And so it's costing the state Our principle and and more in each succeeding year. interest payments this year alone in this year's budget will be up over three hundred million dollars and by the time you get to the 12th year of this project, you're going to be paying more in principle and interest than getting in benefits of..in concrete. The same with highway The reason why our Road Fund is going broke is financing. because we've financed highways; we've deficit financed

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

highways for the last eight years by issuing highway bonds and we're paying out this year almost eighty million dollars or the equivalent of two cents gas tax just in principle and interest. And we cannot afford to continue This is just another example of continually doing that. adding to the debt of the state, driving us deeper deeper into debt, raising our principle and interest payments to the level that we cannot afford. I would 'no' vote on this Bill. It is not something that we can afford to continue doing. Other states that have embarked this high level deficit financing have decided after about four or five years that it's too expensive, that they But Illinois has cannot afford to continue doing that. We have not had the courage to face the issue not. squarely and decide that we have to pay as we go, that it's the only way that we can do it, particularly in these days of high interest rates, we cannot afford to continue the way that we are. And I'd urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Daniels: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Jane

Representative Daniels. You Barnes: "Thank you, when I voted for Speaker, I voted for Representative, George Ryan. Now you've been sitting up in that Chair, you want something to pass you delay the Roll Call I do not always long enough to get the votes on there. agree with Representative Catania, but she was standing there and she was asking for a verification. deliberately put a piece of paper up to your face to ignore I resent that type of treatment of any Member on the her. floor. There are several Members in these two aisles that disagreed with the way you are behaving. They have Some of them have returned to their Districts. are very busy trying to vote all of them so you can get

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

your programs through. But I would like to suggest to you, since you were appointed by Speaker Ryan, not voted on by us, to sit in that Chair, that you would please recognize a Member such as Susan Catania, since we are sitting here trying to do the job that we have been voted to do."

- Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook,
 Representative Emil Jones."
- Jones: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move the previous question."

 Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question.

 The question is, 'Shall the main question be put?'. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The previous question is put.

 Representative Cissy Stiehl to close."
- Ladies and Gentlemen of the Stiehl: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House, I would just like to reiterate that the five hundred million in revenue bonds are not an obligation of the Business needs these bond..this bonding authorization so that they can enlarge and they can create Forty-six thousand new jobs have been directly traceable to this program and none of them at state This is very important. It's important to the state; it's important to the economy and it's important to creating new jobs in this state. I would ask for a favorable vote."
- Speaker Daniels: "The Lady moves for passage of Senate Bill 223.

 All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The voting is open. Representative Kane?"

 Kane: "Yes. I would ask for a verification."
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Schneider to explain his vote.

 Timer's on, Sir."
- Schneider: "I'm not the theorist or the practical economist that

 Representative Kane is, but I think when he asked a

 question of the Sponsor and you have the Director standing

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

adjacent to her, it's almost preposterous that we're going to vote for this thing when the Director himself could not address the question of how many dollars could be potentially expended; how many applications you've had; why we have to jump up to five hundred million dollars. We would expect that of a Sponsor in a Committee. Certainly I hope we got some kind of answers like that in the Committee. But if you can't answer them on the floor, why in the world would you vote for it? I think you ought to vote 'no'."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Catania."

Catania: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. This is not coming directly out of our pockets. But all of these bonds are backed up with the good faith and credit of the people of the State of Illinois and that means our children and our grandchildren, considering the size of what ..the money we're talking about here, and I, too, have requested a verification. I have filed my request in writing with the Clerk. And, Mr. Speaker, Mr. Speaker, I would like to have a verification."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Representative Winchester to explain his vote."

Winchester: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. It's been brought to my attention that when ¥e. talk about the industrial development bonding, we're not talking about bonds being backed up by the people of the State of Illinois. It's backed up by business. But there's also another issue that's in this Bill and that's the authorization for the Capital Development Board. There's money in here for conservation, mental health, education, corrections, veterans, state agencies, all types of state agencies, port districts, water resources and our food programs in major universities in the state. I might add that there's

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

also funding for the University..for a library at the University of Illinois, for a law school at Northern Illinois University, for fish hatcheries at Little Grassy in Sand Ridge. There's various energy and conservation projects throughout the state. There's projects at the Chicago Circle Campus, at the Medical Center Campus, at Western Illinois University..."

Speaker Daniels: "Bring your remarks to a close please, Sir?" Winchester: "Thank Mr. Speaker. would you. 1 iust ask that...we're down to the final wire. This is the authorization for a program that's basically already committed by this General Assembly. The appropriation has passed out of this House and this is the authorization and

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Bowman to explain his vote.

Timer's on."

we need the votes to pass it. Let's get the job done."

- Bowman: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. To be sure, these are revenue bonds but there is a connection between the proliferation of revenue bonds and the general obligation market. I would point out that at the present time we ..our debt service cost for Fiscal '82 are about..is about \$312,000,000 and we sold \$380,000,000 worth of bonds Fiscal '82 or are projected to. Now, if the trends continue, by Fiscal 1986, the debt service cost will be \$417,000,000 which is higher than the average bond sales over the periods from 1975 to the present. So, I'm afraid that this has 121 votes..."
- Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Representative Ebbesen to explain his vote."
- Ebbesen: "Well, I'd just like to, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, encourage everyone. We're going to get a verification and ..on this Roll Call. And encourage anyone who..any doubt in their mind at all, put an 'aye'

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

It's absolutely necessary and you know she, vote up there. Representative Catania, mentioned about five hundred million and you're talking about general revenue and because it's backed by the full..faith of the dollars of the general revenue funds of the State of Illinois and that is not the case. And I want you people to think about the unfunded accrued liability, about the pension Bills we've passed out of here this Session. What you're really talking about general revenue funds, which is almost..it's over ten billion dollars right now, it's maybe an unrelated subject, but if you ever wanted to make a good 'aye' vote in the best .. best interests of the people of this state and job, you'd better vote 'aye' on this Bill."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take record. On this question there are 137 'aye', 21 'no' and voting 'present'. Representative Representative Catania, for a verification. All right, Representative Kane? The Lady, Representative Stiehl, requests a poll of the absentees. Will the Members please be in their chairs? All those not entitled to the floor please retire to the gallery. Proceed with a poll of the absentees. Representative McCormick? Representative Catania?"

Catania: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. For C.L. McCormick's correctional institution, Vienna, I withdraw my request."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Kane? Withdraw your request, Sir?"

Kane: "I will accede to Representative Catania's ...point..."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Catania and Representative Kane withdraw their requests..."

Kane: "...Unless C.L. wants to come over and get something else."

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

- Speaker Daniels: "..All right. Request for a verification. On this question there are 137 'aye', ...Representative Currie, from 'aye' to 'no'. Currie from 'aye' to 'no'. There are 136 'aye', 22 'no', 1 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On page three...of the Regular Calendar, Senate Bill 344. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 344, a Bill for an Act making certain appropriations of Capital Development Board, Secretary of State and Southern Illinois University for permanent improvements, grants and related purposes together with House Amendments #1, 4 and 8."
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative J.J. Wolf, you handling 344,
 Sir? Representative Winchester. The Gentleman,
 Representative Winchester moves to take from the Table
 Senate Bill 344 and suspend the provisions of Rule 68-E.
 Requests leave to use Roll Call #2. Does the Gentleman
 have leave? Hearing no objections, leave is granted. And
 Senate Bill 344, Representative Winchester."
- Winchester: "Thank vou. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move that we 'do recede' from Amendments #1. 4 and 8. House Amendment #1 was the reappropriation for the Department of Transportation that is now in House Bill 439-Amendment #4 was an appropriation for the Triton College. That's now in Senate Bill 345. And House Amendment #8 was DOT and that technical change and that also is in another Bill. would now move that this House * Do recede* from Senate..er..House Amendments 1, 4 and 8."
- Speaker Daniels: "Any discussion? Hearing none, the Gentleman moves that the House recede from House Amendments \$1, 4 and 8 to Senate Bill 344. All those in favor will vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 127 'aye', 13 'no' and 2 voting 'present'. And the House recedes from House Amendments 1, 4 and 8 to Senate Bill 344. And Senate Bill 344 is hereby declared passed. Are you going to film the chambers? Are you going on live? Okay. We can leave the T.V. light off then and you can just take pictures up there without filming the chambers. Is that alright? All right. Go ahead. You all have leave to do 470. House Resolutions. House Resolution Representative McClain. Representative McClain?"

McClain: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Resolution 470 is a birthday Resolution and I would humbly ask the Speaker to allow the Clerk to read the Resolution and..."

Speaker Daniels: "Read the Resolution, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 470, Madigan-McClain-McPike, Whereas, L. Michael Getty, a fine Representative and legal scholar from the 10th Legislative District, is celebrating 43rd birthday, on Thursday, July 2nd; And Whereas, he has been of invaluable service to Representative Mark O'Brien in keeping track of O'Brien's files in an orderly and efficient manner; And Whereas, his efforts to establish legislative intent in the record of the House have provided hours of entertainment for Legislators, Judges, law students, transcribers, printers and the lumber industry alike; And Whereas, his unparalleled experience and boundless fund of knowledge of legislative matters, which he is always willing to share with others characteristic humility and modesty, have gained him the respect, affection and admiration of all of us; Therefore, be it RESOLVED BY THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE EIGHTY-SECOND GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS,

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

that we congratulate Mike Getty on his 43rd birthday and wish him a happy birthday and many more to come; And be it further RESOLVED, that those who wish to file a Dissent, for the record, may do so by signing the appropriate form at the Clerk's well, which will (shall) be journalized and become part of the record; And be it further RESOLVED, that a suitable engrossed copy of this Resolution be presented to Representative Getty."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative McClain."

McClain: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, as everybody knows, Mike Getty is the man behind the man. He and his friends and cohorts read almost every piece of legislation for their 'technicalness' and also their ability on how they affect the lumber industry and condominiums and banks and savings and loans and lawyers and Judges and all kinds of things. And so, on behalf of the Membership, we would like to congratulate Mike Getty on his birthday and thank him for his humility and his ability to present cases quietly to the Assembly. So, happy birthday, Nike, and I move the adoption of House Resolution 470. I'd ask for a Roll Call vote."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Ebbesen."

House, Mike Getty is a good friend of mine, but you know one of the first Resolutions that was adopted at the beginning of this Session and several other Sessions was..this is really in violation of our own rule. And I would like, for the record, to file a dissent, even though I want to go on as a Cosponsor. But I'd like to file a consent (sic, dissent) to this particular Resolution before..And I want it part of the official record. I'll put it in writing down there. I'd like to go on as a Cosponsor, but I want that for the record, Sir."

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

- Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of House Resolution 470. On that question, the Gentleman, Representative Getty."
- Getty: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I think at this time that it would be make a Parliamentary inquiry and appropriate for шe to determine the number of Resolutions that Representative McClain has introduced of a congratulatory nature in this Session. And I'm advised, Mr. Speaker, that at least two over his limit already introduced and accordingly, I would object and suggest to the Speaker that that's a violation of Rule 41-A and I would ask you to rule it out of order and if you persist in your usual course of not agreeing with me, I would ask ... I would ask that you record my dissent and journalize it."
- Speaker Daniels: "Parliamentarian advises me that Representative McClain has filed 622 Resolutions, congratulatory.

 Representative McClain came up and told us the reason he's done that is none of them have meant anything.

 Representative Matijevich on a point of order."
- Matijevich: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, on that last Resolution, I'm joined by five Members and I want to file a dissent on the record. I have an oral dissent here, but it will be written and I have that dissent being written and I would ask the Speaker to acknowledge that that dissent be recorded."
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Kosinski."
- Kosinski: "Mr. Speaker, I wonder if we could petition the House to recommit this to Judiciary II in the House for consideration?"
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Conti."
- Conti: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I was off the floor. I want to ask
 Michael Getty, does that pre-empt home rule, that
 Resolution?"

77th Legislative Day

- July 1, 1981
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative McClain, did you want to withdraw this Resolution?"
- McClain: "No, Sir. I'd ask for leave to have all of us be Cosponsors of this Resolution and I understand Penny Pullen would like to have a Roll Call vote."
- Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of House Resolution #470. Representative J.J. Wolf."
- Wolf: "Well, Mr. Speaker, in view of the fact that you said Mr. McClain has filed 600 Resolutions, I would move that we suspend the provisions of 41-A."
- Speaker Daniels: "You've heard the Gentleman's Motion. Leave to use the Attendance Roll Call? Leave is granted.

 Representative Satterthwaite."
- Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker, I've heard that in days before I came to the Legislature, they used to stop the clock on June 30th at midnight so that Bills could still be passed under the rules that applied previous to that time.

 However, I'm wondering is it already July 2nd? Or have we found a new tradition of turning the clock ahead?"
- Speaker Daniels: "No Ma'am. It's still June 30th."
- Satterthwaite: "Thank you."
- Speaker Daniels: "You're welcome. You've heard the Gentleman's Motion. It takes 177 votes to pass. All those in favor will...All those in favor will vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Take the record. Take the record. This Motion, having received 42 'aye' and 39 'no' and 1 'present'...Representative Koehler."
- Koehler: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, my switch is not working again. May I...May I please be recorded as voting 'present'?"
- Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of House Resolution 470. All those in favor will signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

Gentleman has requested leave that all Members of the House be added as Cosponsors. That won't leave any room on the Resolution, Representative McClain. Leave is granted. Representative Getty."

- Getty: "Well, Mr. Speaker, in all seriousness, I do thank you and I do thank Representative McClain and all of the Members of the House. You know, sometimes I do journalize too many dissents in some people's opinion, but it all comes from the bottom of my heart trying to do the job and I hope you all bear with me and I thank you for the honor of that congratulatory Resolution."
- Speaker Daniels: "House Resolution 471. Representative Matijevich."
- Natijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Minority Leader, Mike Madigan, is not available at this moment and he asked me to have the Clerk read this Resolution."
- Speaker Daniels: "Read the Resolution, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk "House Resolution 471-Madigan: O'Brien: Whereas: Democrat Leadership, the Members of the Illinois House of Representatives is greatly indebted to their loyal staff members who have provided unfailing support throughout the long Legislative Session, and whereas: these staff members seldom receive the recognition and appreciation deserve for their confident and unselfish behind the scene work that is essential to the success of the legislative process and whereas: they have endured long hours of diligent and painstaking work, endless special projects, office space, pickaninny requests, schedules and demanding and ill-tempered Legislators and whereas; the secretaries have remained faithful at their desks throughout the long, drawn out Session wearing their fingers to the bone typing an endless stream of letters, reports, memoranda, analyses, Amendments and Resolutions

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

going blind trying to decipher hastily while practically scraps of paper, and whereas; written notes on members have stood by each day to Democratic staff contribute their expert technical and legal advice by analyzing legislation, preparing Amendments, mistakes by the Senate and House Republican staffs, writing eloquent news releases, closely scrutinizing agency budget requests and fighting off lobbyists and agency liaisons, and whereas; throughout these long hours of demanding of the Democratic Membership, the staff performed their jobs with the utmost professionalism competence and somehow managed to retain their sanity, sense of humor, quick wit and outspoken candor; therefore; Be it RESOLVED by the House of Representatives of the EIGHTY-SECOND GENERAL ASSEMBLY of the State of Illinois that we extend our heartfelt deepest personal regards for these unsung heros and heroines of the Democratic House staff and be it further RESOLVED that suitable copies of this Resolution be presented to the staff members."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the some of the old-timers know and for you new Members, when I came down to this Legislature, my desk was right over here where Saltsman's at and that was my office. 1 had no I had no office space. Everything we did was secretary. We had no telephone. All of your files were right here. And now we have offices. under your desk. We have secretaries and believe me, you ought to realize what we did in those days. Those Conference Committee Reports came off of our desks. Nobody analyzed them and I'll tell you, it was hectic. Now we have professionals doing analyses. We have secretaries. And believe me, I know what it is both when I was Chairman of the Appropriations

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

and now as Minority Spokesman, what a great job those analysts do. We have professional staff that I just can't say enough for and I appreciate the fact that although Mike Madigan can't be on the floor right now to say it, it's an honor for me to be able to say it. Thank you all so much. We appreciate the good work you've done for us."

- Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of House Resolution 471. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. House Resolution 471 is adopted. Further Resolutions? Representative Stuffle."
- Stuffle: "Yes, I don't want to belabor the time of the House, but
 I think you have a Resolution there numbered 468 with
 Representative Madigan's name on it that at least deals I
 know with the Democratic pages who've done yeoman service
 in this Session. And I would hope that you could read that
 and if there is a Republican Resolution that's similar,
 that that too could be read. Knowing that they've done as
 much work probably that has ever been done on this floor
 because of the number of Amendments and the amount of time
 that we've spent here, I think that they're deserving at
 least that we read that House Resolution 468 and any other
 similar Resolution."
- Speaker Daniels: "House Resolution 468, Representative Giorgi.

 Read the Resolution, Mr. Clerk. Representative Giorgi,

 468."
- Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, the reading of the staff Resolution will suffice to explain the outstanding work done by the pages of the Democratic party and I think they've done such an outstanding job in the last two days of getting the Conference Committee Reports out, the analyses out on everybody's desks; they've been so diligent and sincere that I think they ought to stand and take a bow from the

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

entire House for the outstanding work that they ve done.

The pages of the Democratic party.

Matijevich: "And Giorgi hasn't given them a tip yet."

Speaker Daniels: "Will you all stand up so we can all thank you for all your work? All the Democrat pages stand up. Thank you. The Gentleman, Representative Giorgi, moves for the adoption of House Resolution 468. All those in favor signify by saying "aye", opposed "no". The "ayes" have it. Further Resolutions? House Resolutions 457, 458, 459, 460 and 475. Representative Conti."

Conti: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Resolution 457, Ryan and Republican Leadership, whereas; the House Republican staff members have faithfully served House of Representatives of the State of Illinois, t he spending long hours in the State House as much as in the dark anybody, and whereas: they contributed significantly to the legislative process working behind the scenes, analyzing Bills, preparing Amendments, sending out press releases, fulfilling clerical responsibilities and communicating with the people and Legislators, whereas; the staff members share with the Legislators and the mushrooms the ability to perform the best in the dark, have the additional ability to thrive on large quantities of chicken, potato salad, cole slaw and beans, they're all experts in their individual fields, willing and able to share their expertise with anyone who has questions, leaving no stone unturned as they root out the truth, full of knowledge, for the Representatives; whereas; they approach their jobs with seriousness, competence dedication, therefore; be it RESOLVED by the House of Representatives of the EIGHTY-SECOND GENERAL ASSEMBLY the State of Illinois, that we do hereby bestow our deepest appreciation on them for their unselfish dedication and

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

their unfailing expertise and be it further RESOLVED that suitable copies of this Resolution be presented to Bob Newtson, Ron Gurtsy, Phil Gennet, Jim Bockman, Eric Brenner, Phil Calahan, Charlie Coon, Randi Ephert, Gwen Grames, Bill Hall, Art Harrison, Nancy Hopkins, John Jones, Doug Keller, Patrica Kulick, Mona Lampkin, John Miller, Gail Molmany, Warren Page, Susan Piah, David Bruce Ramsey, Bruce Rodman, Kathy Selke, Dennis Stratton, Stricklin, Sharon Schwartz, Mike Wilkins, Dick Prescott, Chuck Jolie, Chuck Pratt, Phylis O'Reilly, Helen Casey, Julie Barrington, Mary Jo Peyone, Sharon Neilly, Tammy Hoheimer, Beverly Reagal, Carol Gilbert, Jimmy Grain, Miller, Mark Gordon, Jennifer Philson, Linda Bauden and Carolyn Litlin."

Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of House Resolution 457. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. House Resolution 457 is adopted.Further Resolution? House Resolution 458." Conti: "House Resolution 458; whereas; the Republican Leadership staff has faithfully served the Illinois House of Representatives, contributing to our organization, clerical, technical and political expertise to the legislative process, these staff members spend long hours in the State House dedicating their time, energies in Leadership. House The Leadership support of the secretaries are always ready to lend a helping hand and encouraging smile, efficiently handling calls, visitors, appointments and typing. Others contributing valuable professional skills bу handling administrative responsibilities, personal matters, research consultation in a wide variety of areas; whereas: Members of the Leadership staff approach their individual jobs with seriousness, competence and dedication,

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

therefore, be it RESOLVED by the House of the EIGHTY-SECOND GENERAL ASSEMBLY of the State of Illinois that we do hereby bestow our deepest appreciation on the Speaker's staff for their unselfish dedication and their unfailing expertise and be it further RESOLVED that suitable copies of this Resolution be presented to Bob Newtson, Zale Glauberman, Linda Wolf, Ed Webb, Calvin Skinner, Henry Elliverie, John Miller, Bob Plowright, Vicky Easly, Judy Gooding, Rita Hammerick, Charlie Lloyd, Kitty Shores, Mary Francis Squires, Larry Sterm, Vicky VonDebur, Debby Wilson, Ann Spangler, Ann Vohn, Denise Pierce and Connie Brager. I move for the adoption."

Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman moves the adoption of House

Resolution 458. All those in favor signify by saying
'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Further

Resolutions?"

Conti: "House Resolution 459-Ryan and Republican Leadership, whereas: four participants in the Sangamon State Legislative Intern Program has (sic - have) served the Republican Members of the House of Representatives great diligence, where these four interns are Susan Piaf, Patrick Kulick, Eric Stricklin and Eric Brenner. has served the Veterans Affairs, Personnel and Pensions and the Registration. Regulation Appropriation Committee, whereas; Patty Kulick has served the Motor Vehicles, Public Utilities and Appropriation Committees: whereas Eric Stricklin has served the Labor and Commerce Committee, where Eric Brenner has served the Cities and Villages, Counties and Townships and Revenue Committees. The work of the House has been made more efficient through the efforts and long hours of these four legislative interns. Therefore be it RESOLVED by the EIGHTY-SECOND GENERAL ASSEMBLY of the State of Illinois that we commend

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

four interns as they near their successful completion of their service to the Illinois House and be it further RESOLVED that we express our appreciation for their work and wish them success in all future endeavors and be it further RESOLVED that a suitable copy of this Resolution be presented to Sue Piaf, Patrick Kulick, Eric Stricklin and Eric Brenner. I move for the adoption."

Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of House Resolution 459. All those in favor signify by saying The 'ayes' have it. 'aye', opposed 'no'. Ladies Gentlemen of the House, it I might just advise you, Supplemental Calendar #3 is now being distributed. still have considerable work left to do and in order to save time while we're waiting for the Supplemental to he distributed and the other Calendars to be printed, we're trying to distribute these and not take up the time of House with Resolutions when we're done. Conti on House Resolution 460."

Conti: "House Resolution 460-Ryan and Republican Leadership; whereas in the fall of 1979 the Republican Members of the Illinois House of Representatives were blessed with the arrival of four participants of the Sangamon State Intern Program. These participants, fresh out of college came to perhaps a bit naive, but ready to work diligently and faithfully and whereas; by the end of their internship have lost their naivety. They have continued their diligent and faithful work. Whereas; Phil Calahan, Bruce Rodman, Grames decide to offer their services to staff analyses for another year, sharing their expertise and friendly natures with the Members of this chamber; whereas Gretchen Winters, pursuing a legal career through studies at the University of Chicago Law School, but could not stand to be too far from us for too long, is now in Springfield for the summer

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

working in the Governor's Office, whereas, we forgot to honor the 1979-1980 interns by Resolution at the end of last year's Spring Session, they have not forgotten our oversight and they have been bugging us about it ever since. We will never hear the end of it until we do honor them in a Resolution. Therefore, be it RESOLVED by the House of Representatives of the EIGHTY-SECOND GENERAL ASSEMBLY of the State of Illinois that we hereby recognize the contributions and the dedication of Phil Calahan, Bruce Rodman, Gwen Grames, Gretchen Winter and we honor them and be it further RESOLVED that suitable copies of these Resolutions be presented to Phil Calahan, Bruce Rodman, Gwen Grames and Gretchen Winter. I move for the adoption."

Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of House Resolution 460. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. House Resolution 460 is adopted. Further Resolution?"

"House Resolution 475: Hoffman-Ryan and Republican Conti: Leadership; whereas; the following individuals have done an Republican pages of the House of outstanding job as Representatives during the First Session οf the Righty-Second General Assembly: Randy Ackerman, Babcock, Harrison Biller, Stephanie Bosh, Julie Buckley, Craig Bunting, Mike Carver, Brad Cash, Ross Charboneau, Brad Cook, Dave Copeland, Jeff Crouch, Karen Davis, Galloso, Tom Glassom, Lisa Hackert, Mindy Heiman, Pat Hickey, Colleen Hoog, Bill Jansen, Sandi Jardine, Cheryl Kelly, Joanie Kinner, John Marshal, Kevin Pegler, Bill Reimick, Theresa Robertson, Marcie Rijerry, Jack Russo, Paul Sielman, Joel Steelman, Ann VanMeter, whereas; Republican pages of the House of Representatives are among the finest and the hardest working young men and women in the state; whereas they consistently show a keen interest

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

the work of the this Legislative Body and displayed a willing and helpful attitude in performing their duties during this First Legislative Session of the Eighty-Second General Assembly: whereas: Members of the House Ωf Representatives are profoundly grateful for their courtesy, responsibility and demonstrated abilities outstanding young men and women; therefore be it of the **EIGHTY-SECOND** bу House ... Representatives of the EIGHTY-SECOND GENERAL ASSEMBLY of the State of Illinois that we congratulate and commend men and women for their dedicated performance these young of their duties and that we express our appreciation their valuable aid and assistance and that a copy of this Preamble and Resolution be inscribed on the pages of the Journal of this House. Speaker, I move for the Mr. adoption of the pages' Resolution."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Hoffman."

"Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen Hoffman: of the House. I hope the people on this side of the aisle attention to that Resolution because it was Resolution which we introduced to show our appreciation at the end of this Session for the fine work done by the pages on our side of the aisle. And I'd like them all to stand up so those of us on this side can give them a hand. over there, folks. Thank you very much. We really appreciate it. Thanks a lot. Mr. Speaker, I would for the adoption of this Resolution at this time."

Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of House Resolution 475. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Resolution is adopted...I think may be at this time we can all just take a moment and thank the Doorkeepers and all the Gentlemen that's helped us during the year. Doorkeepers

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

thank you very much. And the Clerks, Jack O'Brien, Tony Leone. Where's Jack O'Brien and Tony Leone? And then I think all of the Ladies in the Clerk's Office and Enrolling and Engrossing; we want to thank them too for all of their efforts. Representative Greiman?"

Greiman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I just wanted to thank the Secretary of State guards who have done such a great job in protecting us. We haven't lost a single Member to any terrorist organization."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Kucharski."

Kucharski: "And we have our friends across the street on the second floor information desk that have carried so much to our cars and all those heavy loads that they've had, all of us and that's Denny and Mike and Bob and Chris. And we want to thank them also."

Speaker Daniels: "Agreed Resolutions."

Clerk Leone: "Agreed Resolutions; House Resolution 467, Terzich, et al, 469, Ryan, 472, Grossi-Getty-Piel, 474, Ted Meyer."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Conti."

Conti: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen, before I start on the Resolutions, I know that the Members and the colleagues would be happy to find out that Dan Heffler came home from the hospital today and he's doing fine as one of our Doormen here. House Resolution 467 by Terzich et al. year marks the 18th year of May Bolts, President of the State House, in her 12th year of employment with the Canteen Corporation. House Resolution 469, May of 1981 marks the 20th anniversary of the founding of the initial publication of the Illinois Political Reporter. Resolution 472, Mr. Andrew Bomanni will celebrate 25 years Fransican Brother on July 12th, 1981. Resolution 474 by Ted Nevers-et al, that we honor commend Mike Sasone, a respected leader in his church and

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

- community. He has contributed much to the State of Illinois. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions."
- Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman's moved for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and Agreed Resolutions are adopted. General Resolutions."
- Clerk Leone: "House Joint Resolution 47, McPike. House Resolution 478, Keane."
- Speaker Daniels: "Speaker's table. Death Resolutions."
- Clerk Leone: "House Resolution 473, Currie, in respect to the memory of Rose Messi. House Resolution 477, Reilly, in respect to the memory of Robert Miller."
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Conti moves the adoption of Death Resolutions. All those in favor will signify by 'aye', opposed 'no', the 'ayes' have it. Death Resolutions are adopted. Representative Henry."
- Henry: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the
 House. At this time I'd like to yield to the Chairman of
 the Honorary Order of Spearcarriers, Representative Huff."

 Speaker Daniels: "Representative Huff."
- Huff: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'm a little tired as I know most of us here are but as the Spokesman for the Royal Order of Spearcarriers we'd just like to thank all of those men and women of La Mancha, who have struggled with us in the impossible dream. Thank you."
- Speaker Daniels: "Supplemental Calendar number three. Senate
 Bill 172. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 172, a Bill for an Act relating to location of sanitary landfills and hazardous waste disposal sites, Conference Committee Report #1."
- Speaker Daniels: "The Lady, Representative Breslin, moves to suspend the provisions of Rule 68E and asks for leave to

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

use Roll Call #2. Does the Lady have leave? Hearing no objections, leave is granted. On Senate Bill 172, Representative Breslin."

Breslin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen, this is the Bill that gives counties and local units of government the authority to site waste disposal facilities, known as new regional pollution control facilities under the Bill. The Conference Committee Report does basically clarifies that the Metropolitan Sanitary District is exempt it eliminates the distinction between homerule and nonhomerule units. Ιn the County of Cook. the incorporated....the unincorporated areas are still exempt under this Bill but it does apply to incorporated areas of Cook. There is...this is an exclusive siting procedure and that's one of the major advantages of this Bill, is that we will have standardized criteria used throughout the State and standardized appeal procedures so that we ought to be able to handle the problems of siting, waste disposal facilities both hazardous and nonhazardous in the State of Illinois. Appreciate a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Daniels: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Ted Neyer."

Ted Meyer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd just like to point that this Conference Committee was signed by all ten conferees, ranging from Senator Mahar to Representative Breslin to Representative Collins and myself. It's a good Bill...it's a good report and it should be adopted. Thank you."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Representative Mulcahey."
Mulcahey: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Daniels: "Indicates she will."

Mulcahey: "Representative Breslin, we've had Amendments attached to this Bill in Committee. We've had Committee Bills that have come before this House on Second Reading to try to

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

resolve a problem that exists in my district and I believe in Representative Schraeder's district. A very serious problem we had with the EPA, with licensing and so on and so forth. I think you're familiar with that problem. I would like to know what does this Conference Committee Report if it's adopted in its final form, what is it going to do resolve the problem of Cherry Valley in Winnebago County?"

Breslin: "I am not familiar with the present status of Cherry But what it does is, as to all Valley in particular. facilities that have not been granted a permit by the Environmental Protection Agency as of today's date, July 1, They must before getting a permit from the EPA, 1981. first secure the permit from the county or the local government in which they lie. οf If they lie totally from within a municipality then they get i+ the lie in the county, in the municipality, if they unincorporated area then they get the permission from the if they overlap they get it from both. must be granted prior to the EPA going ahead with its siting approval."

Mulcahey: "Okay, now in this particular case we have property that's already been purchased in Winnebago County. It's been lying there for ten years. It's owned by the City of Rockford. In order to grant...in order for the EPA to grant a permit to the City of Rockford for this particular site, the City Council and in this case, the Winnebago County Board would have to also give their permission, is that correct?"

Breslin: "It's outside the boundaries of the city?"

Mulcahey: "Yes, it is."

Breslin: "Yes. Yes."

Mulcahey: "Thank you."

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Representative Jim Kelley."

Kelley: "Yes, I believe Representative Mulcahey asked the questions that I was going to. We have a problem in Winnebago County. I didn't follow the last question he asked you, Representative...was this land has been purchased and laying there. Does that still come under your Bill, that they can not get a permit to dump if they haven't done so by the first?"

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Breslin."

Breslin: "If the EPA has not granted them a permit by the time this Bill is signed then the siting provisions of this Bill will apply to them. Okay? Regardless of when the land was purchased or how long it's been there or who owns it?"

Kelley: "Could I speak, just for a second, to the Bill, Mr.
Speaker?"

Speaker Daniels: "Proceed, Sir."

Kelley: "I would certainly urge everybody on this side of the aisle and both sides of the aisle to vote for this Bill because you never know when you're going to be next and have one in your backyard."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative McMaster."

McMaster: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Daniels: "Indicates she will."

McMaster: "Miss Breslin, I questioned Teddy Meyer on the previous

Bill in regards to the Metropolitan Sanitary District in

Pulton County. As I understand, you are exempting Fulton

County in this Bill. Is that correct? I mean, not Fulton

County...you are exempting the MSD in this Bill."

Breslin: "That is correct. Right now the Metropolitan Sanitary
District has separate enabling powers that are separate and
apart and different from any other sanitary district in the
State of Illinois. Because of that we have left them

77th Legislative Day

- July 1, 1981
- operate under their own enabling legislation. They are totally exempt under this legislation and that is the reason why."
- McMaster: "That is what concerns me, Miss Breslin. That we are making all kinds of arrangements to protect other areas of the State but as far as Fulton County is concerned with the ground that is owned by the Metropolitan Sanitary District in that county, the MSD can come in there and do anything they please without responsibility to anyone, are they even responsible to the Environmental Protection Agency?"
- Breslin: "That is covered by the enabling legislation of the Metropolitan Sanitary District, Representative."
- McMaster: "You missed my question. Are they responsible to the Environmental Protection Agency? Are they or are they not?"
- Breslin: "I believe they are but I am not an expert on that,
 Representative. And it is certainly not in the Bill. I
 think, basically, what we tried to do is take care of all
 of the other areas. I think that if are going to change
 the powers and the duties of the Metropolitan Sanitary
 District, we should do it in and under their own enabling
 legislation, and I would be happy to study that with you at
 any time."
- McMaster: "And I think in the future this is what we're going to have to do because I think the local government of Fulton County deserves a little more say in the operation of the MSD project within that county. Thank you."
- Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Representative Krska."
- Krska: "I move the previous question, Mr. Speaker."
- Speaker Daniels: "The question is, 'Shall the main question be put?' All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Representative Breslin to close."

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

Breslin: "I ask for a favorable Roll Call."

- Speaker Daniels: "Lady moves for the passage of Senate Bill 172 through the adoption of Conference Committee Report #1. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The voting is open. Have all those voted who wish? Have all those voted who wish? Have all those voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there's 152 'aye', no 'nay' and none voting 'present'. Conference Committee Report is hereby adopted and Senate Bill 172 is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill....Representative Jones we have Senate Bill 650 on the Calendar but that's already been approved, hasn't it? Yes. Senate Bill 1168, Representative Terzich. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1168, a Bill for an Act to amend an

 Act relating to the State Fire Marshal, second Conference

 Committee Report."
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Terzich, we've already suspended the rules of 68E, the provisions, have we not, Sir?"
- Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I move that we concur with the second Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 1168. It provides that the peace officer status be given to...on an optional basis to local units of government, to arson investigators after they've completed the law enforcement training course as well as the fire—arson investigative course, and also has in it, it contains clean—up language for the State Fire Marshal's Act and I would move for adoption of Senate...."
- Speaker Daniels: "Any discussion? The Lady from Cook, Representative Pullen."
- Pullen: "I'd like to ask the Sponsor a question or two."
- Speaker Daniels: "Indicates he'll yield."
- Pullen: "What does granting peace officer status to firemen who are arson investigators mean?"

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

Terzich: "It gives them the peace officer status where they make investigation and arrests in the performance of their arson investigation. They have to attend the police training, the same as a police officer."

Pullen: "You said they may make arrests if they are peace officers?"

Terzich: "That's correct."

Pullen: "Does it mean that they may carry weapons?"

Terzich: "That's correct."

Pullen: "Is that firearms, Sir?"

Terzich: "Well, it's not with B-B guns. I said that they have to go through the police training course the same as a police officer, which is 240 hours of training in the Police Academy."

Pullen: "I'm sorry I irritated you, Sir. Thank you."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Champaign, Representative Johnson."

Johnson: "One question and then I'll address the Bill.

Representative Terzich, I know you put this in at least since I've been a Member of the Legislature, did you put in a Bill this year or is this just coming in in Conference Committee?"

Terzich: "No, there was a Bill, Senate Bill 356."

Johnson: "What happened to it?"

Terzich: "It passed out of the Senate 54 to nothing and it got tied up in the legislative log jam and the Bill wasn't called."

Johnson: "Addressing the Bill, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, as I said, since I've been a Member of this House, this Bill has come to us at least every term if not every year. I think you've got to look behind what the Bill is to see what we're doing. We're vesting in fire officials and arson investigators an incredible power, not only by

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

statute but by common law. You have a whole different standard of liability and civil actions for a police officers as you do other people. You have different structure with respect to self-defense. given them the right to issue search warrants, or not to search warrants but to execute search warrants. You've given them arrest powers, the right to carry weapons and to use those in certain manners that other and sure, they've gone to a police training school don't. and so forth. But it's totally different than someone is a police officer. By the same token, I wouldn't want to give to police officers those rights and responsibilities that a fireman has and I think the law now permits them to sufficiently perform their duties without extending it to the extent that this Bill would do. This Bill has come time and again. It's been defeated time and again and I think it ought to be defeated this time and in case someone else doesn't ask for it, I would ask to be joined appropriate number of people to ask for a verification if this gets over 107 votes. I ask for a 'no' Aute OΠ this dangerous Bill. bad civil liberties Bill, bad law enforcement Bill. I think it's a bad Bill overall that ought to be defeated."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Stuffle."

Stuffle: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members, I regrettably rise in opposition to this Bill as I have every year that it's been in and I think it's been in every year since I've been here. It's been defeated at least three times on the House floor. It's been vetoed in a similar form by the Governor. Representative Johnson, I believe is absolutely right. You don't make policemen out of firemen, you don't make firemen out of policemen. It's a Bill that duplicates services. Representative Terzich has a well meaning proposition here.

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

I suggest to you however it is a duplication of services. These people are entrusted now with legal activities involving the investigation of the origin of fires, knows. There is a difference of opinion as to whether or not this ought to be extended to these people. believe it should. I don't believe we should have to have an option for local governments to choose this type of activity because it's not needed. Every organization in this State opposes the Bill, not simply because you're taking something away from them but because you are creating a competitive situation that needn't and not to exist. The FOP, the Police Benevolent and every other group, as I said, is opposed to the Bill. haven't had lobbyists paid by the State and the taxpayers this floor for two weeks but virtually everyone who works for the Fire Marshal's Office has suggesting what a good Bill this is and not suggesting the problems with the Bill, not suggesting the opposition of the police groups. Now, they ve come to me a number of times and suggested the firemen want this. I've talked firemen in my district, they don't want this Bill. don't need this Bill and we don't need this Bill. need the extended services because there aren't any We don't need the duplication because it's there and don't need to offer the option to provide more costs to units of local government. So, I hope if you had one or two or a dozen Fire Marshal people here talking to you that you paid for to come here when they ought to have been working on their appropriation and I suggest to ought to think about the opposition to the Bill from the police groups. Vote it down for the third or the fourth or whatever time we've heard it. In respect to Representative Terzich, I think this is a bad Bill."

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Jack Dunn."

Jack Dunn: "Mr. Speaker, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in support of this fine Bill. I think it's a necessary Bill. It occurs to me that I could care less about how the police feel about the matter. I'm concerned about arson and the increase in arson that we've had through the years. It's a real concern, primarily in the larger cities. In the town the size of mine they probably wouldn't even need to carry a gun nor would they want to. This is not all the firemen on a truck carrying guns. is the arson investigator when he feels it is necessary, when his supervisor tells him he can carry a gun and it's spelled out clearly. In the Senate Bill 1168, that while in the investigation he may, as he deems necessary, carry a but only after he's completed the police training program with regards to carrying firearms. This is not a fellow you're just going to hand a gun to. You see rinky dink quards in the K-Mart walking around with guns with no training at all. You've got a man who's a well-trained arson investigator with police training and you re concerned about him carrying a qun? I think if he feels the need for it, he should carry it. Thank you."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? The Gentleman, Representative Conti."

Conti: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, there are 102 counties in the State of Illinois and I only wish that some of you people would get out of your districts and try to understand and appreciate that all districts aren't the same. In Leyden Township we have a municipality that made "Sixty Minutes". The village of Rosemont where they combine their police and fire department together, I hear so many crocodile tears in this House about saving taxpayers' money. We all ought to stop

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

and take a look and visit the Village of Rosemont, who has double duties instead of having 24 hours on and 48 hours off, the fire department, they work them in 8 hour shifts. They don't have any duplications. They save the taxpayers in that municipality almost 50% of the police and fire budget of any other community that size within Cook County or any place in the State of Illinois. As Representative Dunn stated, if you read the article, we're not putting guns in the hands of firemen, someone that's not trained. They must apply for the training programs, it's the same training programs that the police department have. Now I have no interest in this Bill one way or another but I just have to laugh at some of the arguments of those that want to kill this Bill. This is a good Bill and I wish that I could have the training facilities and I have 36 policemen and 28 firemen and I could save my taxpayers municipality an awful low of money if I could sell that program in my area. This is a new look and it's something that we should all be looking at and we could save an awful lot of money at the end of the year."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Representative Piel."

Piel: "Move the previous question, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Daniels: "Question is, 'Shall the main question be put?'

All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'.

The 'ayes' have it, the question is put. Representative

Terzich to close."

Terzich: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would appreciate your support, contrary to some of the previous speakers this Bill has never failed in the House.

Every time it was brought up, it passed the House overwhelmingly. In addition, because of some bad information that was given to the Governor's Office, we even overrode the Governor's veto. I've worked on this

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

Bill for three years. It's a permissive legislation. a good Bill to fight arson. It's local units of government that have the option to designate that and I think that the police and the fire should work together in solving the crime of arson which is plagueing our State of Illinois. There are other states, approximately 20 that have similar legislation and it's worked out beautifully and we've through Judiciary. We put guns in the security guards, prison quards, security, private detective agencies. Bill passed out of the Senate overwhelmingly and I'd appreciate your support and your concurring with Senate...with the Conference Committee Report."

- Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Conference Committee Report #2. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Everett Steele to explain his vote. Timer's
- Steele: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I just rise in support of this good Bill. I think this is an excellent Bill. You know arson problems in this State is a serious problem and our arson investigators are doing a terrific job and this is just an additional help to them, to help perform that job, that is a difficult one and one that we need to show our support for and I believe that this is excellent Bill deserving of the full support here in the House."
- Speaker Daniels: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 123 'aye', 26 'no', and 6 'present'. This Bill...on this question, 123 'aye', 26 'no', 6 'present' Conference Committee Report #2 is adopted and Senate Bill 1168 is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 257. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

77th Legislative Day

July 1. 1981

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 257, a Bill for an Act to provide for exemptions from certain use and occupation taxes for ethyl alcohol distribution (sic — distillation) machinery and equipment, First Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman, Representative Collins, moves to take Senate Bill....moves to suspend Rule 68E and the appropriate rules on Senate Bill 257. Gentleman asks leave to use Roll Call #2. Does he have leave? Hearing no objections, leave is granted. Senate Bill 257, Representative Collins."

Collins: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm handling Senate Bill 257 for Representative Oblinger, who as you know is away ill. So, if you will be patient with me I'll try and explain the Bill to the best Senate Bill 257 as introduced and passed of my ability. originally would exempt the proceeds from οf distillery machinery and equipment from State and local sales and use taxes and would give local governments option to reimpose the local portion of that tax by ordinance if they desire. The...I think there may be discussion so I appreciate the calls for Roll Call but there....the Amendment in the Bill as it passed the would add the language liberalizing the rolling stock exemption to a carrier engaged to any extent in transportation business and interstate commerce. In other words, a truck making an interstate trip once a year be eligible for an exemption. The Conference Committee would change that portion of rolling stock exemption from engaged to any extent in transportation to use primarily in transportation. The Conference Committee also would exempt the sales for graphic arts machinery and equipment from State and local sales and use taxes. Local sales taxes may be reimposed by ordinance and would be phased in on

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

same schedule as the manufacturing exemption. The effective date of this Bill would be September 1, 1981 and I would ask for a favorable consideration of the Conference Committee Report #1."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Rea."

Rea: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I do not agree with the way the Amendment to Senate Bill 257 came back from Conference Committee, in fact, I did not support the way this was done after I received the notice of the Conference Committee Meeting. I went immediately to the Conference Committee and the Chairman of the Conference Committee was coming out of the room with the report think there already finalized. I has been many misinterpretations as to what the rolling stock is and the However, I am going to support this Conference definition. Report and I do want to make it a part of the record and hope that the Governor will make an Amendatory veto on this particular section as it relates to the rolling stock. The reason I'm supporting the Conference Report is because I feel this is an important Bill and that we should move ahead with it and especially with the tax exemption that has been included in the Conference Committee. So, therefore, I will urge a green vote."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I stand in opposition to this Conference Committee Report. The Bill in its original form did create a tax exemption which is not very...is not a very severe drain on our State's resources. In fact the Bill in its amended form with respect to the rolling stock exemption did not expand the drain on the State's resources unduly. However, the Conference Committee Report goes pretty far beyond the Bill in its original or amended form by including the

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

exemption for graphic arts equipment. I would like to point out a couple of things to this Body so that you may consider these while reaching your decision. First of all, the cost of this particular exemption for graphic arts equipment is substantial. In fiscal year 1982 for only 9 months because of the beginning date of the exemption, is estimated that this could cost as much as 13.3 million dollars. Now with the roll back in place it may be 60% of that, in the following year however, the roll back won't be effect and it will be scheduled to cost 22.4 million dollars. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we are being eaten alive by the manufacturers sales tax exemption as it is and this would expand that exemption by 22.4 million dollars in fiscal year 83. Something else that I would like to point out which I think is even more telling. Printing and graphics are a service industry manufacturing. That's the reason they don't have exemption now. That's the reason they are seeking the Bill because it is not a manufacturing industry. The Court ruled over 40 years ago this is service industry. Now, one implication of that, Ladies and Gentlemen of House, is that the product that they produce is not taxed. There is no sales tax on the product produced. Now they're trying to have it both ways by having an exemption on what they buy as well as an exemption on what they produce. Now I submit to you, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, that that is most unfair. The manufacturing industries, at least, their output is taxed, and while we may feel that it's useful to give them the tax breaks to develop jobs or whatever, nonetheless, the output of those industries is taxed but graphics...the graphics industry and the printing industry produces untaxed goods. It's services. Ιt primarily, legally speaking, a service industry and that's

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

why they escape that taxation. We should not give them an exemption that permits them to have it both ways at the expense....the taxpayer's expense of 22 million dollars per year. I would like a Roll Call and a negative Roll Call on this proposal."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Flinn."

Flinn: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Daniels: "Question is, 'Shall the main question be put?'

All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'.

The 'ayes' have it. Representative Collins to close."

Collins: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, first of all I'd like to thank Representative Rea for support in spite of the fact that it didn't go as far as he would desire. In response to Representative Bowman, I don't know where the Gentleman got his figures but the figures that I have seen would estimate that the cost would be between one and three million at the low end and no higher than a little less than seven million at the high as estimated by the Economic and Fiscal Commission by the fiscal note that was filed by the sponsors of the the industry which of course would be at the low and by I would also like to point out that Illinois is the only state that has an exemption for machinery which does tax printing machinery and equipment. There are 15 states with similar statutes. Illinois is the only one that does not exempt the graphic arts. I'd further point out that years ago, Illinois was the predominant printing state in the nation and its share has gone down over This Bill is not only designed to give a tax break to an industry but to encourage employment and industry State of Illinois, and as a matter of fact, it's probably a very fine investment in the economy of the State of Illinois. I think it's excellent legislation. I signed

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

the Conference Committee Report myself. It passed the Senate by an overwhelming majority. It has bi-partisan support in both the Senate and the House and I would urge the support of Conference Committee Report #1 on Senate Bill 257."

Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Conference Committee Report #1. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The voting is open. Representative Hoffman to explain his vote. Timer's on, Sir."

Hoffman: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I don't know how we can support legislation like this after the action we took last night on the roll back. It's obvious the State cannot afford the revenue loss under the existing programs so we rolled them back. Here we are adding on another program to add additional loss of revenue to the State. Ladies and Gentlemen, you know and I know if we think this through that on the basis of what happened last night we can't afford to do this. In all due deference to the fine sponsors of this legislation, it just is not timely and therefore I must vote 'no'."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Currie, the timer's on."

Currie: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. This is some kind of special rip-off. The printers, the graphic industry did come to the House Revenue Committee two years ago and they said, we're a service trade, we're not into manufacturing. We need an extension of the service tax exemption that we already don't have to pay. They stayed around the next day because there was a Bill affecting the machinery exemption on the Illinois sales tax. They stayed around long enough to make the argument out of the other sides of their mouths, that, no indeed, they weren't service after all, they're really into manufacturing. For

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

you to permit them this exemption from the manufacturing sales tax is only to have...out of both sides of their mouth to give it to them both sides of the street and to rob the taxpayers of the State of Illinois at a time when we need every piece of revenue we can have."

- Speaker Daniels: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. This question there are 119 'aye', 31 'nos', 6 voting 'present'.

 The Conference Committee Report #1 is hereby adopted and Senate Bill 257 is hereby declared passed. House Bill 305, Representative Swanstrom. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 305, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Insurance Code, Second Conference Committee."
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Swanstrom, we have previously removed this Bill from the table. Is that correct, Sir?

 Alright, Representative Swanstrom, House Bill 305.

 Representative Swanstrom. We have previously moved it from the table, today?" Swanstrom: "Yes."
- Speaker Daniels: "Okay, proceed, Sir."
- Swanstrom: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen House. In House Bill 305 as many of you remember, last Sunday evening there was a controversial Amendment on Bill. йe concurred in Senate Amendment #1. Wе nonconcurred in Senate Amendment #2. The Second Conference Committee has removed entirely that controversial Amendment and I would move that the House adopt the Second Conference Committee Report."
- Speaker Daniels: "Any discussion? The Gentleman, Representative O'Connell."
- O'Connell: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, may I ask the Sponsor a question?"
- Speaker Daniels: "Indicates he'll yield."
- O'Connell: "What was the controversial Amendment that was taken

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

out?"

- Swanstrom: "Representative O'Connell, we were trying to extend the present language which now exists to...as far as bankholding companies, we were going to include the words 'multi-bank holding companies', and that has been completely..."
- O'Connell: "That is out of the Bill completely? That is out of the Bill?"
- Swanstrom: "Pardon?"
- O'Connell: "That is...I'm asking a question. It is out of the Bill?"
- Swanstrom: "That is out of the Bill. That is no longer in there."
- Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? The Gentleman,
 Representative Ralph Dunn."
- Ralph Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I wonder if the Gentleman would yield for another question. Representative, I didn't quite understand what we did in...you say we don't have multi-bankholding companies mentioned in it? Or don't have bankholding companies? Could you tell me what it does, Representative Swanstrom? What does the Bill do now with...after you took off the second Amendment and what did it do before?"
- Swanstrom: "Representative Dunn, we took out the language which prohibits the Director of Insurance from issuing an insurance agent a broker's license to a bankholding company."
- Ralph Dunn: "So with this Bill they could issue an insurance license to a bankholding company, is that what you're saying? You took out the prohibition, which means then that they could or do....?"
- Swanstrom: "No, not according to what the previous rulings by the Department of Insurance have been."

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

Ralph Dunn: "Did we not pass a Bill the other day giving bankholding companies a right to have insurance. I thought we passed that the other day, of course it wasn't your Bill, it was someone else's Bill."

Swanstrom: "That is...was the question at issue the other evening."

Ralph Dunn: "Fine, thank you. Then this doesn't mention bankholding companies and insurance. Thank you."

Speaker Davis: "Representative Piel."

Piel: "Move the previous question, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Davis: "Gentleman moves the previous question. Question is, 'Shall the main question be put?' All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The previous question is moved. The Gentleman, Representative....Who wants to talk on your side of the aisle? Representative Dunn, your light is not on, Sir. If you retire to your seat, we'll recognize you at the appropriate time, Sir. Representative Ronan."

Ronan: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Daniels: "Indicates he will."

Ronan: "Representative Swanstrom, I just want to have this in the record to make sure. This Conference Committee Report is in essence then House Bill 305 with Amendment #1 and without Senate Amendment #2?"

Swanstrom: "Representative Ronan, that is correct."

Ronan: "So that in essence, this Conference Committee Report is only what the House agreed on two or three days ago when we knocked off Senate Amendment \$2. There's been no other additions to the Bill in this Conference Committee Report?"

Swanstrom: "You're absolutely correct."

Ronan: "Thank you very much."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative John Dunn. Representative Terzich. The Gentleman, Representative Piel renews his

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

- Motion, main question be put? All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Representative Swanstrom to close."
- Swanstrom: "Earlier this evening the Senate adopted the Second

 Conference Committee Report and I would appreciate a

 favorable Roll Call on acceptance in the House."
- Speaker Daniels: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt Second Conference Committee Report?' All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The voting's open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. There are 111 'ayes', 23 'no', 13 'present'. Conference Committee Report #2 is hereby adopted and House Bill 305 is declared passed. House Bill 567, Representative Jaffe. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 567, a Bill for an Act to amend the
 Illinois Insurance Code, Second Conference Committee
 Report."
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Jaffe, do you agree to remove this Bill from the table, Sir? We have previously done that. Representative Jaffe, on House Bill 567."
- Jaffe: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, House Bill 567 combines the provisions of the original Bill, all Amendments and the material added by Conference Committee Report One. The Senate mistakenly believed that the Senate Amendment three was misnumbered in the original report and thus rejected and requested a second Conference Committee. It was felt desirable to erase the entire Bill in this report and repeat the provisions of all the Amendments in the proper order to avoid any further misunderstandings. Basically, what Amendment #1 did was technical Amendments asked for by the Department of Insurance which dealt with definitions. The second Amendment amended a nonprofit

77th Legislative Day

July 1. 1981

health care service plan Act, Blue Cross Act. Now, the Department of Insurance wants this Bill, endorses the Bill and I know of no opposition to it. I would be happy to answer any questions and I would move to adopt Conference Committee Report #2 on House Bill 567."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Brummer."

Brummer: "Yes, will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Daniels: "Indicates he will."

Brummer: "Yes, I heard you mention a service plan contract.

Would you explain what those provisions are in the

Conference Committee Report?"

Jaffe: "Alright, it provides that if the insurance contract offers two types of individual coverage, one being more expensive, having no waiting period and covering pre-existing conditions and the other being less expensive, imposing a waiting period and not covering pre-existing conditions. The beneficiary shall be informed of both.

And Blue Cross has no opposition to this Amendment."

Brummer: "And they have what option then? They can take either one?"

Jaffe: "Well, all it says is that the beneficiary shall be informed of both options, that's all that it does, and Blue Cross has no opposition to it and that's the only one that's covered by it."

Brummer: "What is the difference between the first Conference

Committee Report and the second Conference Committee

Report?"

Jaffe: "There is absolutely no difference between the first Conference Committee Report and the second Conference Committee Report, I think I said that. What happened was that the Senate mistakenly believed that the Senate Amendment #3 was misnumbered in the original report and then requested a second Committee Report. If you look at

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

the Committee Report that we have for the second one, we have really just done the entire Act over again from top to bottom so that they're sure everything is actually in it."

- Brummer: "Okay, I see the second Conference Committee Report deals with Fraternal Benefits Societies. What are the changes...remind me what the...I don't recall what those changes were dealing with the Fraternal Benefit Society and the Mutual Benefit Associations?"
- Jaffe: "Well basically, what that is is that's the Department of Insurance language. They've had some problems with the definitions in the Insurance Code at the present time, and all they really want to do is redefine some of the definitions, knock certain things out so that they're actually more feasible to enact and to enforce. So the Department of Insurance actually is the one that wants Senate Amendment #1 because it makes it easier for them and it eliminates obsolete language."
- Brummer: "Okay, what about the provisions on page eight of the Conference Committee Report, specifically beginning at line eight on page eight which indicates that an insurance carrier can require the insured to commence a legal action against the owner or operator of an uninsured motor vehicle before making good faith negotiations with the carrier?"
- Jaffe: "Yes, we had...if you recall, the House had concurred in that Amendment beforehand by an overwhelming vote if you recall, Representative Epton at that particular time endorsed this concept, and it really does nothing more. Representative Piel had addressed himself to this Amendment too, and it really does nothing more than is required at the present time."
- Brummer: "Well the...you know we've concurred on things here in the past overwhelmingly, that may have not been real good ideas and I'm just questioning whether this would be a good

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

idea or not. I'm sure that...."

Jaffe: "Well, let me just say here that the House concurred in this Amendment and we discussed it and it concurred overwhelmingly in that Amendment. Epton agreed with it, Piel agreed with it, the entire House agreed with it. The only reason that we're putting in a Conference Committee is because the Senate thought certain things were misnumbered, so what we did is we put the entire Act in the Conference Committee so everybody would know what was in it. There is nothing in that language that hasn't been before the House before. As a matter of fact, this House is overwhelmingly, I think probably without one single opposition vote, endorsed this concept. So I don't know what your problem is."

Brummer: "Okay, currently an insurance company is subrogated to the rights of the insured under uninsured motorists. Ιn other words, if I am paid under my uninsured motorists as a result of being hit by an uninsured motorist and I'm paid by my carrier, then the insurance company has the right to require me to bring a lawsuit against the uninsured person but not until I've been paid. It would seem to be clear that this would authorize the insurance company to force me to file suit against somebody else before I have been paid and that would seem to be not in the best interest of the consumers. I can see why the insurance company may want that but I don't see how the consuming public would benefit by forcing the person to file the suit without having for ... settled ... first settled with the insurance company."

Jaffe: "No, I don't think that you have got it right, actually.

I think, basically, what the language says that if they go ahead and if they require that the insured actually file a claim then the insurance company has to advance all court costs, jury's fees and sheriff's fees arising from such

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

action. And I think that at the present time, they can actually require you to do that."

Brummer: "Well, under existing law, under uninsured motorists all contracts that I know require that arbitration be initiated within one year or suit be filed. I don't understand why they would require a suit to be filed against a third party on which there would normally be a statutory....a statute of limitations period of two to five years depending on whether it's personal injury or property damage."

Jaffe: "I don't think they're correct in that regard, I think they can require that right now."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? The Gentleman,

Representative Hudson."

Hudson: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Daniels: "The question is, 'Shall the main question be put?' All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'? The 'ayes' have it and the main question is put.

Representative Jaffe to close."

Jaffe: "Mr. Speaker and Members, I would move that we concur in the Second Conference Committee Report to House Bill 567.

As I indicated to you, I think everyone now is in agreement on this Bill. The Department of Insurance endorses this Bill, wants it badly. I think it's a good consumer's Bill. Everyone is in agreement, Blue Cross is in agreement and I know of no opposition to it with regard to the remarks that Representative Brummer made. I think that, actually, that that has been covered in the Bill and I don't see any problems with that. So, I would urge an 'aye' vote on concurrence."

Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Conference Committee Report #2. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there's 138 'aye', 11 'no', 4 voting 'present'. Conference Committee Report #2 is adopted and House Bill 567 is hereby declared passed. House Bill 821. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 821, a Bill for an Act to amend the
 Illinois Administrative Procedure Act, First Conference
 Committee Report."
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Reilly, has this been previously taken from the table? The Gentleman moves to take House Bill 821 from the table and suspend the appropriate rules. The Gentleman asks leave to use Roll Call \$2. Are there any objections? Hearing no objections, leave is granted. House Bill 821 is taken from the table. On that Bill, Representative Reilly."
- Reilly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of House. House Bill 821, the underlying Bill, makes some technical changes in the Joint Committee Conference Committee makes two additional changes. that it substitutes a provision that the chairmanship of the Joint Committee will rotate beween the Houses rather between the Parties and before anybody gets excited than about that on the other side of the aisle, the senior Democrat Members have signed off on that. It passed the Senate 54 to nothing with Senator Rock speaking in support. The other change it makes, is to clarify the procedure when a Joint Resolution is introduced by the Joint Committee, suspending the filing of a rule. I'd be glad to answer any questions. Otherwise I'd ask for a favorable Roll Call on Conference Committee Report #1 on House Bill 821."
- Speaker Daniels: "Any discussion? The Gentleman, Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "This has to do with 'J-car' does it?"

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

Speaker Daniels: "Excuse me, Representative Jaffe and Brummer,
Gentlemen."

Pierce: "Now, I understand about an hour or two ago, we voted for something that the Senators wanted that would no longer rotating the chairman of Energy Resources require Commission from the Senate to the House. Now you come back just the opposite and decide you want to rotate chairmanship of 'J-Car' between the Senate and the House. used to be rotated between the Republicans Democrats, now that's out and you rotate it between the Senate and the House. Why is the Senate so inconsistent? They come with the Conference Committee Energy Resources Commission, no longer require the rotating between the Senate and the House because they have the chairmanship, of course they don't want to give it back to us. Now on "J-Car" they do want to rotate between the Senate and the House. Can you explain that to me?"

Reilly: "If the Senate hadn't been so nice to me tonight, I would be tempted to say that it's beyond me to explain the inconsistencies of the Senate. But the serious answer is, I didn't make that request on the other Committee. I'm not sure why that...I assume you might know why that request was made. As I say this has been agreed to, as I understand it, both in the House and the Senate, both by the senior Democrat and Republican Members of the Committee."

Pierce: "May I speak now, Hr. Kane? Now, I agree with what you're doing. You're providing for rotation between the House and the Senate. Apparently, Mr. Kane was whispering in my ear, didn't know that the Energy Resource Commission was just the opposite. What you're doing is fine. You are not giving up the honor of the House and the letting the Senate hog the chairmanship. I will vote for the

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

Conference Committee Report #1 to House Bill 821."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Being none. The Gentleman, Representative Reilly moves for the adoption of Conference Committee Report #1. All those in favor signify voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The voting is Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there's a 153 'aye', 4 'no', 1 voting 'present'. Conference Committee Report #1 is adopted and House Bill 821 is hereby declared passed. House Bill 753. Representative Neff. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 753, a Bill for an Act to amend the

Civil Administrative Code, First Conference Committee

Report."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Neff."

Neff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill.... would move that we adopt the Senate...the Conference Committee to Bill 753. I think there has been a little bit misunderstanding on this legislation. All we're doing is adding, clarifying language to the existing railway service assistance Statute which was suggested by the Bureau of the Department may specify, grant or loans to railroads or units of local government in the State improve and construct rail facilities. These are currently done through contracts....grant or loan funds to any rail user located on any abandoned line...unit of local government or an owner or a lease of an abandoned railroad right of way to provide substitute service. Now this only about two million dollars and I mentioned before, this money is Federal money and when it dries up we won't have any more and possibly after September the first. right now, they have approximately two million dollars. This did carry through the Senate. The

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

Committee Report was adopted by the Senate, 53 to no votes. I think it is a good Conference Report and I think we should adopt it."

Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Conference Committee Report #1. On that question...The Gentleman, Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of House. This is really a very crucial Bill. What this Bill would permit would be the continued operation of some very important railroad lines. Some railroad lines that if we don't keep them open, we're actually going to end up spending more money. When you close down a branch railroad line that connects a grain elevator or shipper or whatever to the outside world, what you really do is you cause that shipper, that grain elevator, that farmer, whoever it be, to resort to truck transportation. And when you resort to that truck transportation, you tear down township roads, in many cases, you've got bridges that have posted limits. You cause the trucks to drive miles out of their way and raise rates to the farmer, to the rural elevator, to you the rural shipper, you tear up highways, you raise taxes. many instances, keeping these railroads open can result in an actual reduction of cost. The money you pay out in a subsidy of this sort can be substantially cheaper than the money you have to pay out to repair roads and bridges that have heavy trucks drive over them. We all know what the cost of the heavy truck is. That heavy truck, in some instances, can go up to 50% of the damage of a small truck. There's no reason, there's no reason for us to abandon a railroad system that can be run efficiently, effectively on cost efficient system in favor of a trucking system that's going to cost more money. For those reasons, I would strongly urge adoption of this Conference Committee

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

Report, a green light on this one. I would urge a 'yes' vote."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Winchester."

Winchester: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Throughout the State of Illinois railroads are being abandoned leaving industry and business in a position of not having an economic means of transporting their goods or receiving their raw materials. What this Conference Committee Report would do, would allow the Department of Transportation to make grants or loans available to local units of government to improve or construct rail facilities and it would also make grants or loans available to any railroad...qualified railroad company who would want to come in and purchase abandoned lines to help service those industries that would be without rail transportation as a result of abandonment. We do have nearly two million dollars in the FY 82 appropriation for these grants and loans. It's 80% Federal money and 20% local money, and I might just remind all the Members what Representative Neff told us. that the Senate did adopt this Conference Committee Report on a 53 to 0 vote, and I also would ask for a green vote. Thank you."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? The Gentleman, Representative Henry."

Henry: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I didn't hear a thing that the Gentleman from Stronghurst had to say about this Bill but being the Chairman of the House Transportation Committee and the honorable Gentleman in his own fashion, I would have to take what he says, what he mumbled and says, and I'd have to vote for it."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Piel."

Piel: "Move the previous question, Mr. Speaker."

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

- Speaker Daniels: "The question is, 'Shall the main question be put?' All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Gentleman, Representative Neff to close."
- Neff: "Mr. Speaker, I would appreciate a favorable Roll Call. I think this has been pretty well explained and if there's any questions I would be glad to try to explain them in explaining of votes, otherwise, I would appreciate an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Conference Committee Report #1. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'.

 Representative John Dunn, to explain his vote. Timer's on, Sir."
- John Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of House. I had my light on to speak. I would have preferred Roll Call on the previous question because I have some comments to make about this legislation at this time. There is no reason to push through this language at this time. The language in this Bill is controversial. Attempts are being made to work it out at the present time. Language has been suggested by the opponents of this legislation, which is under discussion at this very moment in these Capital chambers with representatives of the Department of Transportation. The language could be worked out later, in two weeks or this fall. There's no need to The language as it now stands in this Bill would allow the Department of Transportation to issue a permit or to make grants, to make loans to rail users who have no qualifications. There's nothing in the Bill that there are any standards or any qualifications. There's nothing in the Bill that says that anyone that operates one of these lines must be a bonafide rail line,

208

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

acknowledged as such by those in the trade. This is the kind of thing which needs to be in this Bill. I understand that there was some rumors circulated around the floor of the House. I did not participate in any of those rumors. If they...they were not good rumors and I condemn those who circulated those rumors if they were false and I believe they were. But that's not the point, the point is language of this Bill right now which surfaced last night The language is not clear. It isn't right. Bill should go to a second Conference Committee the Members are urged to vote 'no' and I see it's a loser but I feel I have to make this point anyway because we're all going to regret this decision, right here tonight."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Kane, explain his vote. Timer's on."

Kane: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, what this language does, is take out the requirement that the department contract with the railroad or unit of local government and allows for purely a grant or a loan and I would urge that when you have a contract, at least you get something in return. It's not necessarily the case in a grant or a loan. I see absolutely no reason to pass this at this time. We've plenty of time to either clean up the language or come in with a second Conference Committee Report or to do it in the fall. There's absolutely no urgency at this time to pass this kind of loose language which we can only rue later. I would urge a 'no' vote."

Mulcahey: "Well Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, it's obvious that this political boundoggle has been cut and greased. The Majority Leader is not even on the floor, the Minority Leader is saying take the record. It's a bad

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

thing for the Department of Transportation to get involved in something like this. We all know where it's coming from, we all know where it's going. And somebody this afternoon insulted a friend of mine from the railroad company and I don't like it, and that person was Mr. Ryan. I'm telling you right now, the thing is greased. We know where it's coming from and I'm voting 'no'."

Speaker Daniels: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative McBroom." McBroom: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I've sat here patiently and listened to this and I just want to set the record straight. I * m familiar with this piece of legislation. It's for a not only a small railroad in our district..." (cut off) ... but throughout the State and I know quidelines here when you got enough votes, shut up. But I'm disturbed about some of the accusations that have Mayor Ryan hasn't any financial interest in this railroad and neither does Speaker Ryan. trying to accommodate a constituent like everyone of the other 176 Members have done from time to time and that's all this Bill is about and it's either this little rinky dink railroad or no transportation at all. And I've heard enough of half-truths and fabrications."

Speaker Peters: "Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I wish to make a statement for the record.

While I was off the floor earlier today, certain statements

were made in the record by certain Members making
allegations which could be construed as actions of
impropriety on the part of Speaker Ryan and his family. I

wish to state on the record that in a personal conversation
with Speaker Ryan, he has categorically denied that his
brother has any interest in this railroad and I know of no
reason not to believe Speaker Ryan in this regard."

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

- Speaker Daniels: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Beatty to explain his vote. Timer's on."
- Beatty: "Well, Mr. Speaker, as a Representative from the City of Chicago where we have a transit crisis, I don't really think I can vote for this legislation. We're the only area that is not subsidized by the State. Now here we are donating millions of dollars to other transit systems. I think this is really bad. I'm going to vote 'no'."
- Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman, Representative Levin to explain his vote."
- Levin: "I must respectfully disagree with the last speaker. It is rare that the Sponsor of this legislation and myself are in agreement but this proposal will not only benefit downstate but will benefit even districts like my own, probably one of the most urban in the State. We had a problem a few months ago where the Milwaukee Road attempted to abandon about mile long spur line serving about six factories in our district that employed several hundred people who lived in the area. This kind of legislation will be most helpful to problems like that, that we faced in the Lakeview community. I think it's a good Bill and I'm glad to see it have 121 votes."
- Speaker Daniels: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. There are 124 'aye', 36 'no' and none voting 'present'. Conference Committee Report #1 is hereby adopted and House Bill 753 is declared passed. Supplemental Calendar #4. House Bill 137, Representative Steczo. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 137, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act
 to revise the law in relation to township organization,
 First Conference Committee Report."
- Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman moves to take from the table

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

House Bill 137 and suspend the appropriate rule. The Gentleman asks leave to use Roll Call #2, is there any objections? Hearing no objections, leave is granted and Roll Call #2 is....House Bill 137 is removed from the table. Representative Bullock, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Bullock: "Mr. Speaker, I...I'm sorry."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Steczo."

- Steczo: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, I believe the Calendar is in error. I don't think there was a first Conference Committee Report. I think we concurred with the Senate Amendment to House Bill 137 on Sunday. So, I believe that's in error."
- Speaker Daniels: "We're checking it out right now, Sir. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Supplemental #4 is in error. House Bill 137, as Representative Steczo said, has passed both chambers. It is Senate Bill 137 and on that Bill, page four of your Calendar. Senate Bill 137, Representative Bullock. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 137, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Department of Commerce, First Conference Committee Report."
- Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman, Representative Bullock moves to suspend the provisions of rule 68E and ask permission and leave to use Roll Call #2. Any objections? Hearing no objections, Roll Call #2 is used and the provisions of rule 68E are suspended. On that question, Senate Bill 137, Representative Bullock."
- Bullock: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 137 amends the Banking Act to provide that.. and the bank depository, the night depository that the time the deposit is made until the bank actually provides a written record to the depositor the bank's

77th Legislative Day

July 1. 1981

liability begins when that record is, in fact, deposited. This Bill is further amended by House Amendment #2 which I indicated in earlier Session that attempts to add some technical and clarifying language. It's been requested by the Commissioner of Banks and Trusts. For example, the Bill allows the Commissioner to appoint a person to make examination of the affairs of a troubled bank or a bank holding company, provide for written irrevocable consent for bank facilities, a home office protection. It allows banks to indemnify their officers, directors, employees and agents as authorized for corporation under Section 42-12 of the Business Corporate Act. Provides that indebtedness of five thousand or less arising by reasons of general arrangement by which a bank makes payment or on behalf of participants in a bank credit card plan, check plan, et cetera. And it provides for the examination of electronic data processing facilities. It provides that the bank should be reimbursed for preparation of records pursuant to provides for court orders. Ιt insurance reimbursements to State Employees Group Insurance Trust And it further provides that the provisions of Senate Bill 469, which were handled in this chamber by Representative Stuffle-et al, as it arrived in the House and as amended into Senate Bill 137. And the provisions of 469 are enumerated in both House Democratic and House Republican staff analysis. At this time, Mr. Speaker, I d like to yield to Representative Stuffle to comment on 469 which has been amended into the Conference Report and hopefully when he's completed we would ask for the chamber to adopt Conference Committee 1 on Senate Bill 137. The Bill is supported by all of the major banking associations of the State of Illinois, the Chairman of the Banking Committee, Representative McBroom, Minority Spokesman,

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

Representative Leon, concur with this report as evidenced by their signatures. And I would urge adoption of Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Daniels: "Any discussion? On that question, the Gentleman, Representative Jaffe."

Jaffe: "Yes, Just to speak to the Bill for a Mr. Speaker. I think that, I rise in opposition to Conference Committee Report. I must tell you that I sit on a Financial Institutions Committee. It seems every Bill that has failed that the Pinancial Institutions have wanted has really been put on this particular Bill and if ever there was a Christmas tree for Financial Institutions it's Senate Bill 137. There are so many Bills that are incorporated in this particular Amendment that it really So if you want to give a Christmas tree boggles the mind. to all the Financial Institutions in the State of Illinois just vote for Senate Bill 137. But I tell you I think that that's a bad vote because most of these Bills have already been defeated either in the House or the in the Senate and they're all put on this Conference Committee. And I think this is really a bad way to do business and I would urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Representative McBroom."
McBroom: "I would. I would simply like to corroborate what
Representative Bullock said. And the Amendment states that
automatic teller machines and points of sale are not
branches. It's something that not Representative Bullock
wants or Representative Leon or Representative McBroom.
It's something that the Commissioner of Banks and Building
Loans indicate to us and all of us have confidence in his
ability in direction that is needed desperately to update
the functioning of his office. And I would ask for green
lights from everyone."

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Representative Robbins."

Robbins: "Question of the Sponsor."

Speaker Daniels: "Indicates he'll yield."

- Robbins: "Under.. on page 14, Section 19, does this paragraph mean that the officers of the bank or a bank holding company can be awarded stock as salary and stock declared and sold to them at a lower rate?"
- Bullock: "Could you repeat that Representative Robbins? It's a little noisy in here, Mr. Speaker. I can't really hear him."
- Robbins: "Does this mean on Section 19 that the officers and directors are being paid under the Business Corporation Act that they can be awarded stock at a lower rate through the bank holding companies?"
- Bullock: "That's not my reading of that, Representative Robbins.

 My reading is that the Bill deals with two areas. It says,
 in fact, that the officers of the corporation that you can
 indemnify those officers pursuant to the Business
 Corporation Act. But the second part of that deals with
 the indebtedness of five thousand less arising out of the
 agreement in which the bank makes payment on behalf of the
 participants. I think you're misreading that Section."
- Robbins: "Well, this is a common practice in all major corporations so I just presumed that this was the practice that this is referring to here. In other words, giving them a salary, and so much stock options, and so much commission so that they can increase their salary."
- Bullock: "That's not my interpretation of it, Representative."
- Robbins: "I'm afraid that's mine and on that basis I urge a 'no' vote."
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Greiman."
- Greiman: "Yes, perhaps I could may be ask some questions about paragraph 19 as well. Will the Gentleman yield?"

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

Bullock: "Yes."

- Greiman: "Under paragraph 19, if the officers and directors, for example, would redline and violate the Federal Civil Rights

 Act they would get indemnified from the bank? Is that right? For any personal liability they might have?"
- Bullock: "I don't think, Representative. That's a hypothetical question and I'm sure you know that."
- Greiman: "That's how we deal them, Sir, is hypothetical questions here."
- Bullock: "It's a hypothetical question and I don't think that
 would necessarily apply in this instance. They'd be
 indemnified against federal statute. I don't think what
 this intends at all."
- Greiman: "Well, it says.. well what I'm saying to you is it says
 that they indemnify the officers, directors under the
 Illinois BCA which means that if they're on the hook for
 some personal conduct they could go to the bank and walk
 away free. Is that right? The bank could."
- Bullock: "No, it is not. There are.. that is not the case and I
 think you well know that. There are numerous other
 provisions in the statute wherein persons could be
 prosecuted if, in fact, it is criminally negligent that
 they would, in fact, have remedy. If you feel that some
 criminal violation is taking place. In the terms of a
 civil violation I assumed that you referred to."
- Grieman: "You mean that they can be indemnified against criminal acts here?"
- Bullock: "No, I said there are other provisions in the statute."
- Greiman: "Well, what does this mean. What could they be indemnified from then? What do you think they could be indemnified from?"
- Bullock: "Well, I think in the course of their action as Board

 Members of a bank or stockholders of a bank any actions

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

arriving out of those duties."

Greiman: "Well, like redlining, like conspiracy to fraud, like any half a dozen other things, right? Well, on the Bill, then. I disagree a little bit with Representative Bullock. feelings are that we're sort of indemnifying them for just minor conspiracies they might be involved in. redlining they might be involved in. Just these little things that they could possibly be involved officers. bank directors or employees and agents of the Now, may be you feel that people should be do that and then go and say, 'well I don't want to be the persononally liable. It's a minor criminal action after all. And so I ought to get my lawyer's fees and the amount of the fine and all that stuff. And it's just stuff and I ought to possibly get indemnified. I don't think so. Now, we gave the right of indemnity presidents due to officers of business corporations a couple years ago. I won't kid you. I opposed that too. in any event, banks are very different. Institutions are very different. I don't think that officers and directors of a bank if they have personal liability should be able to say, well I'm not liable now or may be I am but I'll just go over here to the other pocket pull it out of the cash drawer. I don't think we should do that. A banker is held to the highest degree of fiduciary responsibility and we ought to make sure that if he does something we nail him to the wall. And he can't turn and say, 'I was just going to pick up a little of the depositor's money, put it right in the ole pocket to indemnify myself. I'm going to vote 'no' on this."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Topinka."

Topinka: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to call the question."

Speaker Daniels: "The question is, 'Shall the main question be

All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

The 'ayes' have it. The previous question has been The Gentleman, Representative Bullock, to close." Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Bullock: "Thank you. House, Senate Bill 137, I think, has been adequately explained and hopefully adequately discussed. Let me just respond to one question raised by my colleague from think he well knows that the issue here is not necessarily the misconduct of persons involved with Pinancial Institutions. He's obviously a student of the law and understands full well that we're talking about indemnification against negligence and the Financial Institution. He understands that and I'm sure he concedes What we're saying with this legislation which has that. been suggested by the Commissioner of Banks, which has been endorsed by the banking associations and the Minority Spokesman and the Chairmen of those Committees is that we believe that the Director of banks should be given the type of rules and regulations that he feels is in the interest of the citizens of the State of Illinois and hopefully the consumers. Нe has requested this and I respectfully urge an 'aye' vote in adopting Committee Report #1 so that he can have in his possession the type in his arsenal the types of rules and regulations that he believes to be in the best interest of the the state. And I'd urge an 'aye' vote." Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of

Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Conference Committee Report #1. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The voting is open. Representative Mautino, to explain his vote. The timer's on, Sir."

Mautino: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I had not really intended to speak on this measure but I'm bound to since I

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

think that we were talking about the wrong issue when we were talking about indemnification, et cetera. What you're talking about is the additional placements at terminals within facilities as the first step increasing from two to ten but what you're doing in the long run is you're putting the final touches to the one master system by one Financial Institution with the corresponding statewide provisions for buying in under an umbrella corporation of that same facility. I think we're taking the step of the big brother this particular provision and I don't particularly like the prospects of what it has to offer as far as no possible checks and balances under this existing svstem of electronic funds transfer system. And I'm voting 'no'."

Speaker Daniels: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 118 'aye', 27 'no', and 5 voting 'present'. Conference Committee Report #1 is adopted and Senate Bill 137 is hereby declared passed. Representative Ewing, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, just a quick interlude here between the evening we were mentioning a number of Earlier in people who have done so much work and helped us with our job here and I think we should give special mention to two or three special groups and I would like to do that. Particularly the House Transcription Department with Pat Green who tapes all the debates and all the ladies, I guess they must call it the sweat shop in the Stratton Building, who do such a good job of making what we say here on the House floor look good. I think that Εđ Webb. Parliamentarian up there has done a super job. I know he was mentioned. We didn't want to introduce a Resolution for Ed because he might well have ruled it out of order and it might not have passed out anyway. And

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

finally, I know that there is a Resolution being prepared for those people which are probably the closest to all of us who weren't mentioned earlier and that's our secretaries across the street in the Stratton Office Building and they deserve a real thanks."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Conti."

- Conti: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I
 don't want anybody to think that we forgot our secretaries.

 It's just that the order of business came along and I
 discontinued the reading of several more Agreed Resolutions
 that I have. I don't want anybody to think it's an
 afterthought. I just happened to stop because the regular
 order of business began, but we still have a couple more
 Agreed Resolutions we have to go through tonight."
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Ewing, Representative Getty agrees for the first part but files a dissent as to the Parliamentarian. Roll Call for Attendance July 2. Roll Call for Attendance. How many of those people are here? Attendance Roll Call for July 2. ... answer the Roll Call for Attendance? Take the record. There are ... There are 165 present and a quorum is present. House Bill 547. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 547, a Bill for an Act to amend the
 Teachers and Chicago Teachers Article of the Pension Code.
 Second Conference Committee."
- Speaker Daniels: "Gentleman, Representative Telcser, moves to take from the table House Bill 547 and suspend the appropriate rules. Gentleman asks leave to use Roll Call #2. Does he have leave? Hearing no objections, leave is granted. On House Bill 547, Representative Telcser."
- Telcser: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, let me first say
 that House Bill 547 has one item in it, an item which we
 discussed about an hour or an hour and a half..."

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

Speaker Daniels: "Excuse me, Representative Telcser.

Representative Getty."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, we've been saying Roll Call 2 all yesterday.
Today I think it's probably Roll Call 1."

Speaker Daniels: "Okay. A very good point, Representative Getty.

We are glad to have you around, Sir. The Gentleman,

Representative Telcser moves to take from the table House

Bill 547. It takes 107 votes. All those in favor signify

by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. This is a Motion

to take from the table. It requires 107 votes. Have all

voted who wish? All voted who wish? Take the record.

This will be Roll Call #2, Representative Getty, of July 2

because the quorum call was Roll Call #1. Alright. The

Gentleman... There are 122 'aye', 14 'no' and 3 'present'

and the Gentleman's Motion to take from the table prevails.

Representative Telcser on House Bill 547."

Telcser: Speaker and Members of the House, House Bill 547 deals again with a subject of adding uniformity to in the Executive Branch of government for whom the Compensation Board will consider. Let me state that Motion in reference to House Bill 547 differs from Senate Bill 1125 in that we've taken out the effective date that if we receive 89 votes this would become effective July 1 of next year so that in 1983, when the administration is sworn in, that that administration at least will then have some uniformity in its compensation a11 members of the Executive Branch of level for Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I government. of you that the Assembly has already like to remind all made the decision to have a Compensation Board. decision is behind us. We ought to now build in uniformity for each of the three branches ο£ government. Inadvertently, some people in the Executive Branch

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

left out of the original Bill. All we're attempting to do is to put those people back in with my Motion relative to House Bill 547. I would also like to remind the Members that the Legislature will have the opportunity to rescind or to reduce any recommendations the Compensation Board may have. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, for these reasons, I would appreciate an affirmative vote."

Speaker Daniels: "Any discussion? Gentleman, Representative Beatty."

Beatty: "Would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Daniels: "Indicates he will."

Beatty: "Mr. Sponsor, when most of us see a Review Board like this the people generally think of higher salaries. Isn't it quite possible that this Board could actually recommend lower salaries for the various persons concerned?"

Telcser: "Yes, it is, Representative."

Beatty: "Isn't it true, in the same vein, no matter what the salary is, whether it's higher or lower, that the voting public doesn't have really a great opportunity to effectuate a change in this Board, if they're not doing what the public wants? In other words, if the Board recommends an increase and the public thinks it should be a decrease or the reverse, how does the public get at the members of this Review Board?"

Telcser: "The public gets to the members of the Review Board by
the people who make the appointments much in the same
fashion that the public may get to a Mayor whose
appointments they don't particularly care for, in instances
like a school board or a library board. There are many
functions of government that are carried out by boards
appointed by a chief executive officer or others so that
their activities are not plunged into everyday politics."
Beatty: "I don't know if my running mate's on this Board, but he

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

seems to indicate he is so I'll let it go at that."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "I think I'd like to ask the Sponsor to respond to a question and I'm sure he's willing to respond. There was a little confusion earlier I think. It is my understanding that adoption of this change in the law would, for example, provide with respect to the Auditor General. The language is. 'the Auditor General shall receive an annual salary of 48,000 dollars'. Then the language we put in there is 'or as set by the Compensation Review Board created by the 82nd General Assembly, whichever is greater'. So, if the Compensation Board recommended a higher salary, that's what the Auditor General would get. If the Compensation Review Board recommended a lower salary, the Auditor General would get 48,000 which is provided by statute. Is that a correct interpretation of how this would work?"

Telcser: "I believe you're right, Representative. You can't diminish the term of office by statute."

Deuster: "And then the second question is even though we do establish the Compensation Review Board so that the salary would be the greater of either what they said or what's already in the law, it would still be possible, wouldn't it, for us in the future Session of the General Assembly, if we thought the salary ought to be increased, for us to differ from the Review Board, for us to adopt a new law raising the salary. So that we haven't really lost control over the salary have we?"

Telcser: "That is correct, Representative."

Deuster: "Thank you, very much."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Representative Vitek."

Vitek: "Mr. Speaker, thank you. I move the previous question."

Speaker Daniels: "Question is, 'Shall the main question be put?'.

All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'.

77th Legislative Day

- July 1, 1981
- The 'ayes' have it. Representative Telcser, to close."
- Telcser: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I think this has been debated at great length. I appreciate a favorable vote."
- Speaker Daniels: "Question is, 'Shall Conference Committee
 Report #2 be adopted?'. All those in favor will signify by
 voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Gentleman,
 Representative Robbins, to explain his vote. The timer's
 on, Sir."
- Robbins: "I'd like to remind the General Assembly what time of the day it is, what day is it and here we are putting mandatory increases in salaries which are our own. I think we... Thank you."
- Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Representative Peters."
- Peters: "Mr. Speaker, I have never seen individuals who just cannot understand a simple kind of... This has noting absolutely to do with legislative salaries. Absolutely nothing. Zero. Nothing to do with legislative salaries, nothing with perdiem, nothing with legislative pensions, nothing to do with the Legislature at all. Nothing to do with you."
- Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Have all voted who wish?

 Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?

 Representative Ebbesen."
- Ebbesen: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'll just say what I said the last time this Bill was up.

 There's no one more suspicious at this time of the Session than Joe Ebbesen and this Bill has been widely publicized.

 The Bill has been passed... Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The Governor was a Republican Governor, Democratic Governor, he's going to have this Board. This Board is going to establish salaries. It comes back to the General Assembly. It merely takes in the opportunity for the Governor, based

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

- on those salaries, to get competent people to fill these positions in state government. It's that simple and I encourage an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Daniels: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there 89 'ayes', 69 'no', 1 'present'. Conference Committee Report #2 is hereby adopted and House Bill 547 is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1535, Representative McAuliffe. Read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1535, a Bill for an Act to establish
 the Local Governmental Law Enforcement Officers Fund.
 Second Conference Committee."
- Speaker Daniels: "Gentleman, Representative McAuliffe, moves to take from the table House Bill 1535. Have you done that already, Representative McAuliffe? Has that been taken from the table? Alright. Fine, Sir. Representative McAuliffe, 1535."
- McAuliffe: "House Bill 1535 is the money for the Local Law Enforcement Officers Training Board. We withdrew the Amendment that so many Members found obnoxious before and I move for a concurrence."
- Speaker Daniels: "Any discussion? Being none, the Gentleman moves for the adoption of Conference Committee Report #2.

 All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The voting's open. Have all those voted who wish? Have all those voted who wish? Have all those voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 132 'ayes', 14 'no', 7 'present' and Conference Committee Report #2 is adopted and House Bill 1535 is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 671. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien; "Senate Bill 671, a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense of the General

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

Assembly. First Conference Committee Report."

- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Wolf, are you handling that,
 Sir? Representative Wolf, moves to suspend provisions of
 Rule 68E. Gentleman asks leave to use Roll Call #2. Are
 there any objections? Hearing none, leave is granted and
 the provisions of Rule 68E are suspended. Senate Bill 671,
 Representative Wolf."
- Wolf (J. J.): "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 61 (sic, we recede from ... House receded from Amendment #3. That was the reductions in the Senate staff and the Speaker expenses for staff and the Minority Leader's expenses for staff. The House recede from Amendment #4 and that they concur in... Senate concurs in House Amendment #2. It adds 25,000 dollars for legislative redistricting. There are other increases for staff. Let me assure and remember now, all your secretarial money is back in. They will be Everything has been restored and secretaries laid-off. additional money has been added in the change of 1,280,000 dollars and I would move that we concur with the Conference the Conference Committee Committee Report.... adopt Report."
- Being none, the Gentleman "Any discussion? Speaker Daniels: moves for the adoption of Conference Committee Report #1. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The voting's open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? will take the record. Pullen 'yes'. On this question there are 132 'aye', 12 'no', 6 'present'. Conference Committee Report.. Ebbesen 'aye'. 133 'aye', 12 'no'. 6 'present'. Conference Committee Report #1 is adopted. Senate Bill 671 is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1125, Representative Terzich. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk." Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1125, a Bill for an Act to amend

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

- Illinois Pension Code. Second Conference Committee Report."
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Terzich, this has previously been suspended, 68-E, has it not, Sir? Representative Terzich, Senate Bill 1125."
- Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, at this time I would like to get the Bryan B. Duffle award, therefore; I would appreciate it if everyone would give me a 'no' vote on this Conference Committee Report."
- Speaker Daniels: "Purther discussion?"
- Terzich: "Yes, I move we get a unanimous 'no' vote on the Roll Call vote."
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Terzich."
- Terzich: "Yes, on reconsideration of the situation, I'd like to take this out of the record."
- Speaker Daniels: "Out of the record. We'll take two more Agreed Resolutions while we're waiting here. Agreed Resolutions."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 479, Irv Smith and 480, Ryan and Republican Leadership."
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Conti."
- Conti: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Mr. McAvin celebrated his 80th birthday and Ernie McAvin of Springfield retires as school crossing guard. He's been there for 12 years at the corner of Stephens Avenue and North Grand Avenue. Now, House Resolution 480, by Ryan and the Republican Leadership which wasn't read with the rest of them, Whereas one of the functions of a State Representatives is to be an ombudsman for his constituents. This requires constant contact with the many department of government not only at the state level but also at a local and federal with many other individuals and agencies. Hundreds of letters are received and hundreds more are prepared and mailed. These services would not be possible

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

without the knowledge, expertise, and dedication of the capable secretaries who assume the responsibility for helping to provide those services. Now be it resolved that we, Members of the House of Representatives, salute our valued secretaries and offer this Resolution as a token of our gratitude from us and the people of the State of Illinois. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen, I move for the adoption of the Resolutions."

Speaker Daniels: "Gentleman moves the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Agreed Resolutions are adopted."

Conti: "Mr. Speaker, while I'm here, our personal and our own personal Page, Charlie Lloyd was omitted from the other ones too. I want to offer his name in the Resolution that was mentioned before."

Speaker Daniels: "Doesn't he have another title?"

Conti: "The Mayor of Granville."

Speaker Daniels: "The Mayor of ... Mayor Lloyd."

Conti: "Grandview."

Speaker Daniels: "Senate Bill 171. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 171, a Bill for an Act relating to the location of sanitary land fill and hazardous waste disposal sites."

Speaker Daniels: "Gentleman moves to suspend 68E and requests
leave to use Roll Call #2. Does the Gentleman have leave?
Hearing no objections, leave is granted. Senate Bill 171,
page three of the Calendar. Representative Hannig."

Hannig: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I
would now move that the House not recede from House
Amendment #1 and that a Conference Committee be
established."

Speaker Daniels: "Gentleman moves that the House refuse to recede

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

from House Amendment #1 and that a Conference Committee be appointed. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the House refuses to recede from Amendment #1 and a Conference Committee shall be appointed. Representative Huskey."

Huskey: "Just so the Journal will read right, would you repeat the Roll Call you're using?"

Speaker Daniels: "Roll Call #2. Roll Call #1 was the quorum Roll Call #2 was the Motion to take from the table call. that's the Roll Call we're using. That's how the Clerk's Office refers to it. It's the second Roll Call of July 2. How do you like January (sic, July) 2, 1981. We're already in January. July 2. 1981. Supplemental Calendar #5 is on its way. It shouldn't be too long. As soon as we receive it, it will be distributed and we'll get to that. Representative McPike."

McPike: "Mr. Speaker, are we at ease for a few minutes?"

Speaker Daniels: "Till Supplemental #5 arrives."

HcPike: "For the last two years when we've been at ease late in the Session like this, the Chair has taken that opportunity to recognize John Cullerton for a little bit of humor. Would it be possible to do that this evening? Hr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker? Lee, did you hear the question?"

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Cullerton, where are you?

Representative Cullerton. Representative Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, just a question. We just took the quorum call for this particular day. Now, someone punches the quorum call and now the comptroller thinks he's here and gives him 36 dollars and that Gentleman happens to leave. Is that right? How do we prevent that travesty on the public treasury, Mr. Speaker, because I would like the opportunity, at some point, to point that out so that the public treasury, in fact, is saved that 36 dollars."

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Cullerton. House will stand at ease."

Cullerton: "(Impressions)."

Doorkeeper: "Attention House of Representative, Ladies and Gentlemen. The House will convene whenever George wants it to. Please be here promptly. It's going to be a long night. All those not authorized to be on the floor get up off the floor. Play ball, I mean, thank you."

Speaker Ryan: "Alright. The House will come to order. The Members will be in their seats. The armed guards will take their positions around the Assembly. Let the attack dogs roam freely in the aisles. Lock all the doors and all Members will put on their seat belts and buckle up for safety. Prayer today will be from the Reverend Bullock, from the one and only God Has Saved Me street church of 42nd Street. Reverend Bullock."

Bullock: "Mr. Speaker, the barren and the poor and the crippled, they should take heed in my words. I want to tell you, my brothers and sisters on both sides of the aisle, about the first time I met God. I was coming out of a Conference Committee and I was walking down the rotunda. I was not running. I was walking down the rotunda and suddenly I heard unto me the voice of God coming from a dark, smoke-filled room. Now, I did not venture into that dark, smoke-filled room because it might not have been the voice of God, but two or three Republicans with a baseball bat. So let us not act willy nilly."

Speaker Ryan: "Thank you, Reverend. Now, we're going to have the pledge of allegiance to the Republican Party. We're going to be led today by Representative Martire, Representative Jackson, Representative Kucharski and Representative Ebbesen. Get them out of Madigan's office will you? For Christ's sake."

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

- Martire, Jackson, Kucharski, Ebbesen: "I pledge allegiance to the leader of the Republican Party of the State of Illinois, one Party, under George, with maps and jobs for all."
- Order of Business is the Speaker Ryan: "Alright. First Republican Consent Calendar. Alright. These Bill been cleared with the Republican Leadership on both sides of the aisle and, therefore: have received Constitutional Majority. I hereby declare it passed. Okay. We're going to go to a Special Order of Business now. These will be all the Bills that the Medical Society wants passed. First we're going to call on Representative Vinson's Bill and then we're going to call Representative Ronan's Bill. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "A Bill for an Act to license... A Bill for an Act to license gynecolo... gyne... A Bill for an Act to license eye doctors."
- Speaker Ryan: "Representative Vinson."
- Vinson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is a merely Bill. It
 merely changes the Statute of Limitations for medical and
 legal malpractice so it's fair to both the doctors and the
 lawyers. It sets the Statute of Limitations for
 malpractice from four years to an hour and a half. I ask
 for a favorable Roll Call."
- Speaker Ryan: "Alright, Representative, it's on the Consent Calendar. Don't worry about it. Representative Ronan. Do you have a Bill, Representative Ronan for the Medical Society?"
- Ronan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is a good people's Bill.

 This is a good government Bill. This is a Bill for the patients and the kids. We've had a lot of problems with patients walking out with their sponges inside their stomachs after operations. Under this Bill, they'll be held harmless from the charge of those sponges. It's a

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

simple concept and I'd ask for a favorable Roll Call. I can't think of any reason why anybody in this chamber would not want to help out the people. The poor people in my district are the victims and they need this like they need me in the General Assembly."

Speaker Ryan: "Alright. The next Order of Business will be a very special order. The topic will be Bills sponsored by black Democratic women who voted for a Republican map. Representative Stewart. Is the Lady in the chamber? Is the Lady in my office? Is the Lady in the map room? Is the Lady out taking an elephant ride? Take it out of the record. The next Bill, of course, will be Representative Braun. I understand that Representative Braun is at a press conference and couldn't make it. So we're going to have Representative Currie handle her Bill."

Currie: "Okay, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Bill is .. and rigtheously so which finds itself in this General Assembly. It's one that is concerned not only with the white community and black community, but also yellow and the increasingly brown spotted community. One really senses the true need for legislation such as this type. All the concerns have been aired in Committee and on Second Reading and I feel it's necessary for us to move forward into the 18th Century. I know that a lot people, a lot of people say that just because I represent the University of Chicago that some of my ideas have been considered so much socialistic. I don't think that there is anything necessarily wrong with that. Under this Bill, tenants shall be co-owners of all the land that they rent from their landlords. This is the only equitable indeed solve the problems of landlords and tenants. Now, a very personal note, many of you said that I am neither easy to understand nor clear. Now in my speech

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

right now I want you to know that this here particular Bill is a good Bill. Thank you."

- Speaker Ryan: "Representative Stearney, for what purpose do you rise?"
- Stearney: "Mr. Speaker, I don't have my cigar here. I got here a little late, this being Wednesday. I didn't know we were going to be in Session. I got to object to these here law and order Bills. These here particular Bills are so onerous and so heinous and so draconian that even Roger McAuliffe, if he was here, wouldn't vote for them. I move they be reassigned to Angelo's Toehead."
- Speaker Ryan: "Alright. Next Order of Business will be Bills to Before that, we're had a request by all abolish the RTA. of the suburban Republican women Legislators to have their Bills heard and we're going to call them right now. They're all going to go to Interim Study. Representative Zwick, Nelson, Frederick, Topinka, Karpiel. Representative Hoxsey, you're alright. Don't worry, you're one of the guys. Don't worry about it. What about Representative Robbins. do you have a Bill on the RTA? Proceed, Representative. Representative Robbins."
- Robbins: "This Bill...This Bill .. it would extend the Loop, Dan Ryan, to Wayne County and it will kill all the starlings that will roost on the L-tracks. It's a good Bill and I would appreciate your support. And if you don't vote for it, don't bother coming over here grabbing my twinkie and my ding dongs."
- Speaker Ryan: "Next RTA Bill will be Representative Leinenweber.

 Representative Leinenweber requests the Bill be brought to

 Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment. Bill is on

 Second Reading. Representative Vinson, for what purpose do

 you rise?"
- Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, I question the Germaness of the Sponsor."

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

- Speaker Ryan: "Representative Vinson questions the Germaness of the Sponsor. Zale, what the hell are we going to do with that one? The Parliamentarian has informed me, after careful review, you're absolutely right, Representative..... Representative Leinenweber is not German. Representative Greiman, for what purpose do you rise?"
- Greiman: "Thank you for recognizing me. This question is not in our rules. It's in our Constitution and I hold that in my right hand and I'd like to hold a piece of pie in my left hand. So I'd ask for a Democratic Conference so I can finish my lunch."
- Speaker Ryan: "You weren't recognized for that purpose,
 Representative. We can't wait here that long for you to
 finish your lunch. Representative Findley, for what
 purpose do you rise?"
- Findley: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I just wanted to thank everybody for making me your Ham and Egg winner and I wanted to also thank my dad, Representative Findley, for giving me these clothes that he bought in 1920."
- Speaker Ryan: "Excuse me. Representative Zwick and Koehler, would you please close your mouths? Your smiles are blinding me. Alright, we're going to go to a very special Order of Business now. These will be Democratic sponsored Bills. Parliamentarian informs they require a 3/5 vote. Representative Huff, on a point of order."
- Huff: "Yea, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'm going to give you 20 minutes to recognize me and could you please elucidate from me. Take it out of the record, Mr. Speaker."
- Speaker Ryan: "Representative Alexander. Read the Bill.

 Representative Alexander."
- Alexander: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Representative Huff had a Bill that cut off the

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

hands of the robbers and the crooks. This Bill provides a penalty for people who commit rape and we ain't going to be stopping with their hands. You know what I mean, baby? Are there any questions? Alright. Pass the Bill."

- Speaker Ryan: "Wait a minute, Representative. Representative Pouncey, for what purpose do you rise?"
- Pouncey: "Thank you, Leader. I appreciate you recognizing on me.
 You know, I rise for the purpose of an introduction. I
 want to introduce to you Richard Daley. He's the son of
 our former leader, Mayor Daley who is the enemy of my
 present leader, Mayor Byrne. You know, you never know who
 your next leader's going to be and that's why Taylor
 Pouncey call everybody leader. And by doing so, Taylor
 Pouncey still has both of his jobs. I'd like State's
 Attorney, Daley, to say a few words."
- Daley: "On behalf of the people of the City of Chicago and the fine and the wonderful precinct captains who for years and years have been the cogs in the machine. I lot of people say that I talk just like my father but I totally resemble those remarks. I came down here to campaign for something that's very dear to me, the merit selection of judges. And I'm in favor of the merit selection of judges just like my father was. The late Nayor Bichard Daley was in favor of the merit selection of judges. If he thought they had merit, he selected them. It's easy to criticize. It's easy to make allegations. But let me ask you this; where are the alligators?"
- Speaker Ryan: "Thank you, Representative... Senator Daley. Chair recognizes Representative Larry DiPrima on House Bill WW II. Representative DiPrima."
- DiPrima: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Still exempts all veterans, wives of veterans, childrens of veterans and third cousins of veterans from the State Income Tax. Hey, sit down

'77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

Pullen. Come on, give me a vote."

Speaker Ryan: "Alright. Representative Chapman, for what purpose do you rise?"

Chapman: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise on a point of personal privilege. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm appalled to find in the newspaper another partisan story in which a Member of the Republican Party is credited for a program which, in fact, began on this side of the aisle. I'm speaking, of course, of Clyde Robbins. Although doing a fine job to supplying sides of the aisle with goodies, I would like to point out that I have been offering candy and other sweets to Members of both sides of the aisle for many years and it should be made clear that I've never accepted campaign contributions from the Illinois Dental Society. So, Mr. Speaker, in my position as Minority Whip, I would like to know what the feeling of the Body is with respect to this issue."

Speaker Ryan: "Wait a minute, Representative. Representative Madigan, for what purpose do you rise?"

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, my Whip's point is well taken, Mr. Speaker and it goes to show that once again you have been arbitrary and capricious and have abused the power of the Chair allowing a Member of your side of the aisle to benefit at the expense of one of the Members on the Democratic side of the aisle. Let me talk to you, Mr. Speaker, as a father to a son. We have been sent here, Mr. Speaker, to do people's business and we find it as hard to do that when you and your Majority Leader, Honeytones, do not treat us are human beings, Wе Br. Speaker, and lakefront liberal like Mr. Telcser should know, entitled to our fair share. In any civilized Democratic form of Government, tyranny, such as you and your clique have exhibited these last weeks would not be tolerated.

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

Therefore, Mr. Speaker, are you listening to me. George. Instead of serving chicken in my office, I've ordered Joe Lucco to serve the Gang of Four to the Democratic Leadership. In conclusion, George, I want to wish you a happy Mother's Day."

Speaker Ryan: "First of all, Mr. Madigan, your impersonation of me is not very good, but it will be duly noted for the record. Second of all, if you're going to be serving me for dinner, happy Mother's Day to you too. House stands adjourned."

Cullerton: "That's it." (end of humor)

Speaker Daniels: "Will the Members please be in their seats?

Will all those not entitled to the floor please retire to

the gallery? Regular Calendar, page four, Senate Bill 309.

Read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 309, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the ordinary and contingent expense of the Health Finance Authority."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Bower."

Bower: "Mr. Speaker, having voted on the prevailing side by which

Senate Bill 309 was lost, I move that that vote be
reconsidered."

Speaker Daniels: "Having voted on the prevailing side by which Senate Bill 309 lost, the Gentleman, Representative Bower, moves to reconsider that vote. Any discussion? none, it takes 107 votes. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', opposed voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. Gentleman, The Representative Bower's Motion prevails and the vote 309 which Senate Bill failed is reconsidered. Representative Reilly on Senate Bill 309."

Reilly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would move that the House do

77th Legislative Day

1

July 1, 1981

now adopt Conference Committee Report #1 on Senate Bill 309. The Bill basically puts the Department of Aging at the House level. It restores the Health Pinance Authority. The attempt to kill it by statute having failed to a full year, full 12 month funding. It puts in \$5,123,300 to Department of Children and Family Services for foster care, homes. services to unmarried mothers qroup reimbursement for counties. Adds 15,000 to the Legislative 24,000 to the Transportation Study Advisory Committee, 45,000 to the Highrise Fire Commission and Commission, 8,728,000 for the Illinois Commerce Commission. I would, of course, be glad to answer any questions, but let me make a remark or two before that, if we get to that. The plain simple fact is, if I may say so, we made a mistake earlier. These agencies, whether you like them or not, were at end of the legislative Session. We took an oath of office that we would keep the State of Illinois going. agencies are real. They exist. They exist because of laws have passed. We have an absolute sworn obligation to fund them. The choice here is to fund them or to vote not to fund them. Stay here another couple of days, fuss and fume, and then fund them. I think the only responsible course, at this late hour, is to vote for this Conference Committee Report. It's at a reasonable level. The levels have been determined by action of both Houses and by the staffs and Appropriations Committees of both Houses. would ask that the House do adopt Conference Committee #1 to Senate Bill 309."

Speaker Daniels: "Any discussion? Being none, the Gentleman,
Representative Reilly,... Representative Mautino, your
light wasn't on, Sir. That's why I didn't call on you.
Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "Will the Gentleman yield for a question?"

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

Speaker Daniels: "Indicates he will."

Mautino: "Jim, will you give me the breakdown on Section 2
under Mental Health, Dixon Developmental Center? As I
recall..."

Reilly: "Mental health?"

- Mautino: "I'm sorry. I stand corrected. I'm sorry. I was looking at the wrong... I apologize to the Sponsor. I'm sorry."
- Speaker Daniels: "Gentleman, Representative Reilly moves...

 Representative Matijevich, did you want...?"
- Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, I would urge the Members to adopt this

 Conference Committee Report. I was off the floor when we
 discussed the earlier trio of Senate Bill 309. We have to
 fund the Commerce Commission. I know many have made some
 remarks to vent their spleen with regard to that agency.

 The fact of the matter is we've reduced that agency from
 its introduced amount and I don't think enough has been
 said about the funds that we are providing for the aging.
 I would urge a Roll Call, a favorable Roll Call."
- Speaker Daniels: "Gentleman, Representative Reilly, moves for the adoption of Conference Committee Report #1. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The voting's open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all take the record. On this question there are 125 'aye', 18 'no', 2 voting 'present'. Conference Committee Report #1 is adopted and Senate Bill 309 is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1198. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1198, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Public Aid Code. First Conference Committee."
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Bullock. Gentleman moves to suspend the provisions of 68E and to use... leave to use Roll Call #2. Does he have leave? Hearing no objections,

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

leave is granted and Rule 68E is suspended. Representative Bullock, Senate Bill 1198."

- Bullock: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
 House. Senate Bill 1198 amends the Public Aid Code to
 authorize the Department of Public Aid to assign rent
 allowance portions of the AFDC recipients check to a public
 housing authority upon written request of that recipient.
 This Bill has been amended once and the Amendment, in
 essence, allows the option for the residents who opt into
 the program to also opt out. It also indicates that this
 opt in opt out provision terminates with the termination of
 the lease. I would urge adoption of Conference Committee
 Report #1."
- Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Being none, the Gentleman moves for the adoption of Conference Committee Report #1.

 All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The voting's open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 132 'aye', 4 voting 'no' and 2 voting 'present'. Conference Committee Report #1 is adopted and Senate Bill 1198 is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 884. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 884, a Bill for an Act relating to the interest rates of bonds issued by port districts.

 First Conference Committee."
- Speaker Daniels: "The Lady, Representative Virginia Frederick, moves that the provisions of Rule 68E be suspended and she requests leave to use Roll Call #2. Does she have leave? Hearing no objections, leave is granted and the provisions of 68E are suspended. On Senate Bill 884, Representative Virginia Frederick."
- Frederick: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move the House accept Conference Committee Report #1 for

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

Senate Bill 884. The Report merely corrects a technical error in the Section on tax anticipation warrants. It provides that any tax anticipation warrants which were issued before the effective date of this Bill and are still outstanding, they shall continue to pay interest at the rate at which they were issued. Warrants issued after the effective date of this Bill will be covered by the 9% or the 7% prime provision. I move acceptance of this Report."

Speaker Daniels: "Any discussion? Gentleman, Representative Sam Wolf."

Wolf: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Daniels: "She indicates she will."

Wolf: "Representative Frederick, did the Senate concur in House
Amendment #1?"

Frederick: "Yes."

Wolf: "In other words, this Bill doesn't apply to the Tri-City
Regional Port Authority?"

Frederick: "Representative, I didn't hear your statement."

Wolf: "I say, in other words, this Bill doesn't apply to the Tri-City Regional Port District."

Frederick: "No."

Wolf: "Thank you."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Being none, the Lady moves for the adoption of Conference Committee Report #1. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The voting's open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 145 'aye', 4 voting 'no', and 2 voting 'present'. Conference Committee Report #1 is adopted and Senate Bill 884 is hereby declared passed. Supplemental Calendar #5, Senate Bill 1157. Read

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

- the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1157, a Bill for an Act making appropriation to the Illinois Performing Arts and Film Commission with House Amendments 1 and 4."
- Speaker Daniels: "Gentleman, Representative Matijevich, moves to suspend the provisions of Rule 68E and leave to use Roll Call #2. Does he have leave? Hearing no objection, leave is granted. Representative Matijevich, Senate Bill 1157."
- Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I

 move to refuse to recede from House Amendments #1 and 4 to

 Senate Bill 1157."
- Speaker Daniels: "Gentleman moves that the House refuse to recede from House Amendments #1 and 4 and that a Conference Committee be appointed. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the House refuses to recede from Amendments #1 and 4 and a Conference Committee is appointed. Senate Bill 271, Representative J. J. Wolf. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 271, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Judiciary Advisory Council. First Conference Committee Report."
- Speaker Daniels: "Gentleman, Representative Wolf, moves to suspend the provisions of Rule 68E and leave to use Roll Call #2. Does he have leave? Hearing no objections, leave is granted and the provisions of Rule 68E are suspended. On Senate Bill 271, Representative J. J. Wolf."
- Wolf: "Out of the record, please."
- Speaker Daniels: "Out of the record. Senate Bill 332. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk. 332."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 332, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Department of Personnel. First Conference Committee Report."

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

Speaker Daniels: "Gentleman moves to suspend the provisions of Rule 68B and use Roll Call #2. Does he have leave?

Hearing no objections, leave is granted and the provisions of 68E are suspended. On Senate Bill 332, Representative J. J. Wolf. Representative Wolf."

"Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I'd like to Wolf: move that we adopt the Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 332. The Report recommends that the Senate concur in House Amendments 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6 and that the House recede in House Amendments 7 and 8, reduce the state employees insurance plan by 8.6 million dollars, add 10,000 dollars for equipment to the Division of Systems and Service, establish the Division of Executive and Recruitment at 299,300. The Division was eliminated, partially restored and then fully restored at 475,100 and the Governor's internship program remains intact at 107,400 dollars. That's a total of 406,700 dollars there. The total in the Bill now with the House Report is 8,658,400 dollars."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Levin."

Levin: "Would the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Daniels: "Indicates he will."

Levin: "Did I hear you indicate that you're recommending that we recede from Representative Matijevich's Amendment which restored eight and a half million dollars for the state employees insurance?"

Wolf: "Yes, and the reason for that is because the substantive
Bills failed in the Senate."

Levin: "That means that our... there will not be money to adequately fund our insurance and those for state employees and we will go to the 80% payments that are recommended by the Department of Personnel?"

Wolf: "That's not totally correct. It doesn't ... There's money

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

there to fund the contract, yes and not as it was in the previous year, no."

Levin: "This would negate the Resolution passed by this House as well as the Amendment sponsored by Representative McBroom?"

Wolf: "Yes, unfortunately, you're right. There was a Bill that said that it would not change and that Bill did not pass and that's why we're recommending to recede from that Amendment."

Levin: "If I may speak to this, Mr. Speaker. I don't know about the rest of this Conference Committee Report, but I think there is a very strong concensus in this House that, in order to be able to attract and maintain state employees, we need decent insurance coverage. And the insurance coverage that has been proposed by the Department of Personnel to take effect July 1 is one of the poorest coverages offered by Blue Cross. We've discussed this at length and I would suggest that we not accept this Conference Committee Report and we restore that insurance coverage. This is our last opportunity. I think we want good employees for the state. This is an important point in terms of attracting and maintaining employees and I suggest that we reject Conference Committee Report \$1 for that reason."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Representative Mulcahey."
Mulcahey: "Question of the Sponsor. Representative Wolf, what is
the bottom line difference between this Conference
Committee Report right now on this Bill as opposed to the
way it came out of the Appropriation Committee?"

Wolf: "About 8.6 million."

Nulcahey: "Up or down?"

Wolf: "Down."

Mulcahey: "We know where that came from, right? That came from that one Amendment that we're receding from right now. Is

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

that correct?"

Wolf: "Yes."

Mulcahey: "Thank you."

is."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Representative Matijevich." Matijevich: "Yes, I would urge the Membership to adopt this Conference Committee Report. I signed it even though I was the only Member of that Conference Committee that supported the monies for the group insurance fund and I know that there will not be any support forthcoming in a second Conference Committee. And even if we do have those monies, I don't really believe that they're going to go through. I think the contract's going to be signed, frankly, from all I've seen. So I would urge the Membership, at this late date. knowing what happened in the first Conference Committee, knowing that I was the only one that showed support for it. We won't win on it, so we might as well go with the Bill and the Conference Committee Report as it

- Speaker Daniels: "Gentleman, Representative Wolf, moves to adopt Conference Committee Report #1. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The voting's open. Have all those voted who wish? Have all those voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. There are 108 'ayes', 24 'nos', 9 voting 'present'. Conference Committee Report #1 is adopted and Senate Bill 332 is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill ... House Bill 364, Representative Hoffman. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 364, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act
 making appropriations for certain continuing Board and
 Commissions. First Conference Committee Report."
- Speaker Daniels: "Gentleman, Representative Hoffman, moves to take from the table House Bill 364 and suspend the

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

appropriate rule. Gentleman asks leave to use Roll Call \$2. Does he have leave? Hearing no objections, leave is granted and House Bill 364 is taken from the table. On that question, Representative Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 364 started out as a 4,000 dollar transfer for the School Problems Commission, but since the time it was introduced there's been some changes made and I would like to have Representative Wolf share those changes with you. Mr. Speaker, would you recognize Representative Wolf, please?"

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Wolf."

Wolf: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Yes. there's a few small additions here. Doctor Hoffman. The Conference Committee Report on House Bill 364 makes the following additions. Department of Human Rights restores positions, 80,000 dollars, Department of Public Aid adds 212 field positions 5,648,020 dollars, Department of Children and Family Services adds 47 positions to various Divisions 1,026,300, Department of Administrative Services adds funds for moving the state agencies 500,000 dollars, Department of Administrative Services adds funds to pay for prior insurance claim 835,000 dollars, Investigating Committee 125,600. That's a restoration in Senate cuts. Adds funds for grants to the ethnic programs and contractual services under the Illinois Arts Council 329,300, Bureau of Employment Security to fund the task force 200,000 dollars. Institute of Natural Resources adds three positions phased in over ten months 26,600 Department of Public Health corrects a payment error of 43,500 dollars, Department of Commerce and Affairs advertising funds for tourism promotions 70,000, Recreation Council 10,500, State Board of Education adult

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

education grants 500,000 dollars, Department of Commerce and Community Affairs coal promotion 137,500, Department of Public Health HSA planning grants 175,000, Department of Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities mentally ill grants in region 2 1,250,000 dollars, State Board of Education Operations add back 199,000, Labor Law Revisionary Committee 120,000 dollars. Select Joint Committee on Regulatory Reform 40,000, County Problems Commission 20,000 dollars, Department of Commerce and Community Affairs Industrial Training Board 1,000,000. Department of Law Enforcement, this is a pay raise for sworn officers beginning July 1. It had been changed to August 1. This moves it up to July 1. That's an addition of 319,725 dollars. Department of Commerce and Community Affairs reappropriation for CETA audit, this is federal dollars, 500,000 dollars to audit the programs which are being phased out. The Department of Commerce and Community Affairs Operations and grants add back also federal dollars 476,600 and the Department of Law Enforcement metropolitan enforcement group grants 100,000 dollars for a total of 13,732,700 dollars. Of that 12,101,300 is in GRP other is in federal funds."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Representative Kane."

Kane: "The Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Wolf indicates he will."

Kane: "Of the General Revenue funds, how much was in the Governor's original budget?"

Wolf: "Would you repeat that question please?"

Kane: "How much of the General Revenue funds were in the Governor's original budget?"

Wolf: "I think about half of that. That was all the public aid money and I think ... some of the... about half of the money I would say."

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

Kane: "Has the Governor signed off on the other half?"

Wolf: "I don't know. That is not to my knowledge anyway. This
was established by the leadership in both the House and the
Senate of both parties."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Representative Mulcahey."

Mulcahey: "Representative Wolf, what is the bottom line figure,
once again, to this Conference Committee Report if it were
to be adopted right now as compared to the way it came out
of the powerful House Appropriation Committee?"

Wolf: "It's kind of hard to say. If you will recall, too, some of these were necessary funds. What we did, as you recall, Mr. Mulcahey, is that we concurred and receded from certain Amendments in order to get the Bills passed and said we would go back later on in another Bill or two and try to pick up some of the things that were strongly needed by some of the agencies, and we tried to do that. There's probably a few extra things."

Nulcahey: "What's the bottom line? What's the difference? We came out of the Appropriation Committee with a figure and right now we have a figure. What's that difference?

That's it. That's the powerful Appropriation Committee."

Wolf: "A lot of this was taken from other particular Bills either
by House or Senate action. It's really hard to say because
we started from practically zero and took part of some
different Bills and put them in here."

Mulcahey: "Is it more or less?"

Wolf: "I would assume it's more, sure."

Mulcahey: "I remember that powerful Appropriation Committee and I was just kind of concerned about that."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Stanley."

Stanley: "I move the previous question."

Speaker Daniels: "Question is, 'Shall the main question be put?'.

All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'.

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

The 'ayes' have it. Representative J. J. Wolf, to close. Representative Hoffman."

- Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I request an affirmative vote on House Bill 364."
- Speaker Daniels: "Gentleman moves the adoption of Conference Committee Report #1. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Voting's open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question 'aye', 29 'no', 1 voting there are 116 'present'. Conference Committee Report #1 is adopted and House 364 House Bill is hereby declared passed. 439, Representative Winchester. Where are you, Representative Winchester? Here he is. Read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 439, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Data Information Systems Commission.

 Second Conference Committee Report."
- Speaker Daniels: "Gentleman, Representative Winchester. This

 Bill has previously been taken from the table. Is that

 correct, Sir?"

Winchester: "Yes. That's correct."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Winchester."

Winchester: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 439, this is the second Conference Committee Report. It breaks out water resources capital projects and adds 39,500 in Capital Development Board to the total reappropriation. Changes language in the Department's FY '82 appropriations for executive air transportation. The net fiscal impact on this Conference Committee Report is 39,500 dollars and I would move that we do accept Conference Committee Report #2."

Winchester: "Any questions? Being none, the Gentleman moves for the adoption of Conference Committee Report #2. All those

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

in favor will signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting The voting's open. Have all voted who wish? all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 110 'aye', 27 'no' and 7 'present'. Conference Committee Report #2 is adopted and House Bill is hereby declared passed. House Bill Representative J. J. Wolf. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 945, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Judicial Inquiry Board. First Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman, Representative Wolf, moves to take House bill 945 from the table and requests leave to Roll Call #2. use Does he have leave? Hearing no objections, leave is granted and House Bill 945 is taken from the table. On that question, the Gentleman, Representative J. J. Wolf?"

Wolf: "Yes, Roll Call #1, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Daniels: "2. One was the quorum."

Wolf: "Okay. The Conference Committee Report #1 on House Bill 945. These are ordinary and contingent expenses for the following agencies, Judicial Inquiry Board, Department of Law Enforcement, Department of Insurance, Military and Naval Department, Office the State Fire Marshall, Local Law Enforcement Government Officers Training Board, Illinois Racing Board and Illinois Historical Library. The report recommends that the House concur in Senate Amendments 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 17, 18, 19, 20, and 21. That the Senate recede from Senate Amendment #16 and that House Bill 945 be further amended as follows. The Military and Naval Department restores 201,428 dollars in General Revenue Funds and 49,298 dollars in equipment. Department of Law Enforcement restores

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

583,600 dollars, 150,000 in GRF, supports personal services. There is 10,500 for support services, retirement 9,000 for support services, Social Security 33,400 for equipment and 380,700 in road administration equipment."

- Wolf: "The total of the Bill right now is 132,496,600. Of that, 53,931,900 is in General Revenue Funds and 78,564,700 dollars is in federal funds."
- Speaker Daniels: "Any discussion? Being none, the Gentleman moves the adoption of Conference Committee Report #1. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The voting's open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question 115 'aye', 26 'no', 3 voting 'present' and Conference Committee Report #1 has been adopted and House Bill 945 is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1030, Representative Donovan. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1030, a Bill for an Act to amend the Medical Practice Act and Civil Administrative Code. Second Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Donovan."

Donovan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move to adopt Conference Committee Report #2 to House Bill 1030. The Conference Committee Report establishes user fees for laboratories for the laboratory of the Department of Public Health and toxicology. It reads it will be established by a regulation in accordance with the Illinois Administrative Procedure Act. Now, the only difference between this Report than the first one is this final line, 'which shall approximate the Department's actual cost to provide these services'. The key word is actual. It was not in there in the first Conference Committee Report. I would again reiterate by.. or move to adopt Conference Committee Report

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

#2 on House Bill 1030."

Speaker Daniels: "Any discussion? Lady, Representative Chapman."

Chapman: "Will the Sponsor yield, please?"

Speaker Daniels: "Indicates he will."

Chapman: "Mr. Donovan, you had a very good Bill when if left this

House and there was an Amendment added to it which is

expensive for local government. Could you tell me how

expensive it is for local government, please?"

Donovan: "Yes, Representative. The last three days we've numerous conversations with Public Health and Director Kempiners. That has been my main concern all along. still indicate by 300,000 dollars.. 325,000 dollars they feel by the end of I believe it is '82... let's see... FY 183 it will generate that kind of money. I was very, very concerned about the impact certainly in the areas of the coroners and law enforcement. It does include, of course, public health departments but in the main areas would coroners and that was my main concern. It will generate this kind of money. I've been talking with him and feels it still is pretty well on the target. We did have some serious conversations about it being somewhat ended and so we have used the word 'actual' and it will go through the administrative process to keep it on the ... right on the mark actual cost. It will impact local governments somewhat."

Chapman: "To the Bill, if I may, Mr. Speaker. This is simply one more in a whole line of actions that sends down to local government additional financial problems caused by the Federal Government, the people in Washington. These lab fees are not optional for coroners, for local law enforcement agencies. They are necessary and with the cuts in federal dollars that the Department of Public Health has experienced, it has been the decision of the Department and

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

the BOB to pass this expense down to the local government where one more time it means that local taxpayers, presumably through increased property taxes, are going to have to pick up the tab so that the people in Washington can get credit for saving taxpayers dollars. The Department has a problem. I sympathize with them and so I believe that this is a Bill that should pass, but it is simply a one more step in a long line of decisions making additional tax burdens for local taxpayers for your voters and my voters."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Topinka."

Speaker and Members of the House, just in Topinka: "Yes, Mr. response to my esteemed colleague who just spoke on the other side. Many of these cut backs that did start as far as making people start paying what they owed and rightfully so started during the Carter administration. It began now here with the Illinois Department of Public Health to the point where they have already cut back over two million dollars and they can't cut back anymore. This particular program will save 300,000 dollars for the state and it is the Illinois Coroner's Association. endorsed by Admittedly, they are reluctant, but they know that the the services will be stopped alternative is that completely, and I don't think anybody wants that. So т would definitely encourage an 'aye' vote. I don't think it's going to impact that much on local government and certainly will be a user fee. People will be paying their own way."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Gentleman, Representative

John Dunn."

Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
House. I believe we should support this second Conference
Committee Report because we have no other choice. There

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

have been some concessions made. Actual cost is the best thing we can do. This, again however, is time to reflect upon what is happening to local government in the State of Illinois and all across our nation and that is that we're trimming the Federal budget and we're pushing the cost of government down through the Federal Government and State Government to local government. Local government is paying the tab for everything right to left, up and down, across and sideways. This is just another example that we have no choice but to go along. The Sponsor has done a very commendable and outstanding job and I think we should support him and put this Conference Committee Report over the top."

- Speaker Daniels: "Gentleman, Representative Donovan, has moved for the adoption of Conference Committee Report #2. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The voting's open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 136 'aye', 7 'no' and 2 voting 'present'. Conference Committee Report #2 is adopted and House Bill 1030 is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 547, Representative White. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 547, a Bill for an Act to limit liability of persons and organizations in connection with the donation of food for free distribution to needy persons. Second Conference Committee Report."
- Speaker Daniels: "We previously suspended Rule 68E.

 Representative White."
- White: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move for the adoption of second Conference Committee Report for Senate Bill 547. Basically, the Conference Report puts the Bill back into its original shape. It passed out of this

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

House with 156 to 5 vote. It is a good Samaritan Bill and I move for its passage."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Being none, Representative Preston."

Preston: "Would the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Daniels: "Indicates he will."

Preston: "Representative White, does the Bill, in its present form, then mean that if an individual donates food to a charitable organization and that food is tainted that nonetheless that individual is relieved from any liability?"

White: "That is basically correct, but what they're donating are basically things that are in cans and I could best describe that by... we'll take a company like Stokely's who may have overproduced too many cans of peas and what they'll do is they will mislabel the can or may be they will have produced too many cans of corn and they donate those cans to the food... Greater Chicago Food Depository. The cans are inspected. In the case of any kind of food that they will donate and they would be exempt from liability. But there's an inspection process that takes place and if it's found to be in good condition then it will be passed on to the needy individuals as well as needy organizations."

Preston: "May I speak to the Bill, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Daniels: "Proceed, Sir."

Preston: "I don't know how this Bill passed the House overwhelmingly or passed the House in any form whatsoever.

I think it's a terrible idea to allow individuals or organizations to be immune from their own negligence if they go ahead and give away poisoned food to needy people.

The fact that the recipient is needy is the only reason these people are left off from liability. I think this is a terrible idea. It can only lead to lowering of standards

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

when people decide to give away food stuffs take a tax advantage by doing it and what they give away can be, in fact, poison. I think it's a terrible idea and I would urge you to vote 'no' on this."

Speaker Daniels: "Purther discussion?"

White: "May I respond to that statement? First of all, the food is of qood..."

Speaker Daniels: "Are you closing, Sir?"

- White: "Yes. First of all the food is of good quality and this Bill is in effect in 15 states in the United States and, to this day, no one has died and no one has gotten violently ill as a result of receiving these donations from companies like the one I just described. I move for its passage."
- Speaker Daniels: "Gentleman's moved for the adoption of Conference Committee Report #2. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', opposed bу voting Representative... Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 132 'aye', 11 'no', 4 voting 'present'. Conference Committee Report #2 is hereby adopted and Senate Bill 547 is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 860, Representative Leverenz. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 860, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. First Conference Committee Report."
- Speaker Daniels: "Gentleman, Representative Leverenz, moves to suspend the provisions of Rule 68E and requests leave to use Roll Call #2. Does he have leave? Hearing no objections, leave is granted and the Rule 68E is suspended.

 Senate Bill 860, Representative Leverenz."
- Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would move that we adopt Conference Committee Report #1. The Report would provide that we are actually

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

receding in the House Amendment. The Bill is identical to the Bill that was introduced... passed to the Senate to the House. The point in contention is the use of radar devices within 500 feet of a senior citizen center. That is protected in the original Bill on line 17 providing that such signs shall give proper due warning and it is further protected in a 'signage' manual by the Department of Highways. The thing we tried to eliminate does not deserve elimination from the Bill. I would move for the adoption of Committee Report #1."

Speaker Daniels: "Any discussion? Being none, the Gentleman moves the adoption of Conference Committee Report #1. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 108 'aye', 26 'no', 5 voting 'present'. Conference Committee Report #1 is adopted and Senate Bill 860 is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1126, Representative Terzich. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1126, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code. First Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Daniels: "Gentleman, Representative Terzich, moves to suspend the provisions of Rule 68E. Asks for leave to use Roll Call \$2. Does the Gentleman have leave? Hearing no objection, leave is granted and the provisions of Rule 68E are suspended. Senate Bill 1126, Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Yes, Br. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 1126 is simply clarifying language on a couple Bills that were tied up in the Senate. There's no fiscal or cost impact on it. What it does the system currently provides duty disability if a policeman suffers a heart attack. The Amendment clarifies that the heart attack must

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

occur on a natural and approximate result presented before the Pension Laws Commission and it's favored at. There is no fiscal impact. It also provides that the policeman's pension code to clarify the salary basis for computing police contributions into the pension fund while on leave of absence to serve another department. The Bill clarifies the rate of contribution and makes no substantive changes. The Pension Law favors the proposal that no fiscal impact. Also clarifies the disability benefit a police officer who suffers injury does not receive a duty disability at the time of injury and also the Department of Conservation for temporary and emergency personnel and there is no impact on this. I would move for adoption of Conference Committee #1 to Senate Bill 1126."

- Speaker Daniels: "Any discussion? Being none, the Gentleman moves the adoption of Conference Committee Report #1. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 109 'aye', 31 'no', 3 voting 'present' and Conference Committee Report #1 is adopted and Senate Bill 1126 is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 271, Representative J. J. Wolf. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 271, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Judicial Advisory Council. First Conference Committee Report."
- Speaker Daniels: "We have suspended 68E. Representative J. J. Wolf."
- Wolf: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Senate
 Bill 271 is a add back on a number of items that were
 considered over throughout the Session. It restores
 132,400 to the Department of Revenue for the Audity and

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

Collection Divisions. Ιt appropriates an additional 5.130.800 dollars to the Department of Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities for an additional 351 staff. appropriates 647,000 in GRF to the Department of Revenue for the income data by school district. Reappropriates the unexpended balance of up to 20 million dollars made from the coporate loan fund, that's the Chrysler loan, to Department of Commerce and Community Affairs for loans made under the Corporate Loan Act. Appropriates 200,000 in GRF to the Department of Conservation for improvements to Reed Lake in Hollis Township. Appropriates 50,000 to the Department of Conservation for improvements at Fort Creve Coeur Park, adds 50,000 to any money previously appropriated to the Department of Mental Health for a grant for special olympics. Appropriates 250,000 to the Board of Governor's state colleges and universities for Western Illinois University for a nursing program. Appropriates 50,000 to the Department of Conservation for a study for a area in the immediate Peoria area. game Appropriates 100,000 dollars to the Institute of Natural Resources for Hall township high school for window and door conservation. 523,000 energy Appropriates the Department of Transportation for road repair on Manito Appropriates 690,000 dollars from the Road Fund to Road. the Department of Transportation for a bridge replacement the E and J railroad tracks in Aurora. Status of Women Commission 10,300 dollars, Chicago School Study 10,000 dollars. A total of 30,632,100 dollars."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Johnson."

Johnson: "Just an inquiry. I think you went over this. Is there money in here for Chrysler Corporation?"

Wolf: "Yes."

Johnson: "How much?"

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

Wolf: "Twenty million."

Johnson: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I want to make it real clear right now and I'm going to be the most unpopular quy in here. There better be 107 votes on here because I'm going to verify this. And I want to make that in the record right now in case anybody has any questions about it With all due respect to the Sponsor, for whom think I have as high as respect as anybody in this chamber and I realize he's just doing his job. We've gone through this debate before and to appropriate 20 million dollars in absolute derogation of any kind of concept we have of free enterprise here, to give 20 million dollars to an industry not failing or whatever out of the whether it's failing, taxpayers dollars when we're in a situation where million dollars is near the break even point in a state that's supposedly near bankruptcy and probably is, in time when the Governor comes to us with good reason in talking about expenditures of 10's and 20's and 30 thousand dollars and we're talking about 20 million dollars to that's an absolute out a failing industry, I think abnegation of our whole responsibility to the public. responsibility to the public to be responsible, to be fiscally responsible and to have some sort of a concept that a free enterprise system works. It's ridiculous. It was ridiculous before. They ve gone through a year now. don't know why they haven't used it, but whatever it is, I think it's our obligation to vote 'no' on this, to take it back to a second Conference Committee Report and to come back without the money to send this state as another example of how we believe in free enterprise unless we believe in socialism and that's one example of where we're lousy Bill and I want to tell you right now, at. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I'm asking

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

verification no matter how many votes this Bill gets. It's a lousy, sick concept and I urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative McClain."

McClain: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Where Mr. Johnson is big on calling a verification every three hours it happens to be this is on Senate Bill 271. Ladies and Gentlemen of House, Senate Bill 271 affects the entire State of Illinois. The twenty million dollars is a reappropriation for Chrysler Corporation. It is a very critical matter. It has been signed off during the last couple days. Chrysler needs the twenty million dollars. If Chrysler goes under we'll spend more than that just on unemployment insurance. With our agreed Bill on unemployment insurance we're trying to save money for that trust fund. Tf Chrysler will go out that's another twenty million dollars in unemployment insurance, on the unemployment insurance trust fund. We need an investment in Chrysler Corporation. You have other things. For those of you that are women we have increased the amount of money for the status of women which puts your budget equal to what your budget was requested for for this General Assembly. We have all kinds of things in here that affect the entire State of Illinois. The hub of the entire thing is the money for Chrysler Corporation which is something that we need to do. Legislature spoke last year. This is merelv reappropriation. I think Mr. Johnson is wrong and I would ask you all to support 271. As you all know the Senate has rejected this matter. Just like we did on 309. Let's pass this, put it over in the Senate, let them reconsider it and let's pass it and let's do our will. Let's pass Senate Bill 271."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Hudson."

Hudson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

House. The previous speaker has indicated that we have all, find all kinds of things in this Bill and indeed it seems that we do. Representative Wolf, would be respond to a question? What is the, Representative, what is the total price tag here now? You mentioned the add-ons and the add-ins but where do we end up?"

Wolf, J.J.: "It's \$30,632,100."

Hudson: "Where does this leave us as far as the Governor's overall budget is concerned?"

Wolf, J.J.: "Of that I should state that that's seven million in GRF and twenty-three and a half million in other money. I would say right now without this Bill we're probably about forty-five million over the Governor's budget right now."

Hudson: "Forty-five million over the Governor's budget now? I speak to the Bill, Mr. Speaker. Well, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it would seem to me that this is a Bill indeed that we could take a close look at. Sponsor has indicated here that we're already forty-five million dollars over the Governor's budget. We've got twenty million dollars in there Chrysler. Representative Johnson is absolutely right in what he says about this money for the Chrysler bail out. This is leading us in the direction of corporate socialism. There's no question about it. I think we ought to think about it and I think we ought to give this thing a resounding 'no' vote."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, you know, the only Bill this Session that stunk, Representative from Champaign County, was on his feet and climbed over his chair to vote for it. The one that might make some millionaires out of people that own abandoned railroads. And that wasn't a loan. That was a grant but he was whining and crying and dropping crocodile tears. Here's a case of a Bill that's been debated and

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

these people have been made to comply with every Governor's The Commerce Community Development, the Bureau of demand. the Budget Directors made them sign collateral notes, made them make appraisal. Made them do everything they had to do and then force them up to the wall on the 30 June deadline and I think the Governor's integrity is at stake on this Bill. The Governor promised them he's going to They haven't got a dime yet. give them the money. had to listen to this diatribe, this baloney from this Representative from Champaign the last Session and nothing has transpired yet. And I think he's very well impugned on the integrity of the Governor. The Governor promised these people the money. There's a lot of things involved here. Chrysler may not need the money but in the event they do the preliminary work has been done. They've had to quarantee the collateral and the mortgage 120% the value of the money that we're going to give them. Ιt is There's fifty-five hundred people employed at the Chrysler plant. Their payroll is in the millions. Their taxes millions. If that plant went down and we said use the the unemployment insurance to the tune of twenty million dollars so I think you ought to reconsider your situation on this Bill. We've promised it to them. They've complied with the letter of the law and I know it's indicative of mentality to renege on promises but I think that some some of these people that are screaming are the same people that want to give the state's dollars away and I point to Bill. It stunk earlier in the evening."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Martire."

Martire: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to move the previous question."

Speaker Daniels: "The question is, 'Shall the main question be

put?' All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed

'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Gentleman, Representative

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

Wolf, to close."

Wolf, J.J.: "How many votes does this take?"

Speaker Daniels: "Seven."

Wolf, J.J.: "That's in the Senate. A Roll Call vote, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption and Conference Committee Report #1. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The voting is open. Representative Pierce, to explain his vote. The timer's on, Sir."

Pierce: "Well, Mr. Speaker, there's a horrible concept in this Bill the Gentleman didn't even talk about hardly and that's an appropriation of \$647,000 to the Department of Revenue for income data by school districts. The purpose of is to take money from suburban school districts, any district that has high income and distribute it districts with low income. First, take taxes in the suburbs on our income then they're going to determine which districts have high income and which have low discriminate against suburban districts. Now, this concept has been dropped as a substantive concept and they re The Department trying to sneak it in here. of Revenue...told us was short of money is trying to steal \$647,000 for a useless way of determining which districts they're going to hurt next time around because supposedly the people have high incomes in those districts. say taxes and they won't give us the school money back. This is the first attempt in the Thompson administration to discriminate... (cut off)."

Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman, Representative Winchester, to explain his vote. The timer's on."

Winchester: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The Director of the Department of Commerce and Community Affairs was standing

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

behind me about fifteen minutes ago and he said this twenty million dollars for Chrysler Corporation had already been collected was sitting in the banks and all he needed was the authorization to spend it. It's not part of the But what's in here is the loss of over Governor's budget. jobs in the State of Illinois. And mental health institutions, Dixon Center, Chicago Reed, Bowan Center. Lincoln, Alton, Murray. All those institutions are going to lose a total of 800 jobs. And I ask you, Ladies Gentlemen, give us 107 votes and let's send this thing back to the Senate. I don't think that those of you who support the AFSCME union certainly couldn't vote 'no' on this Bill. Vote 'ves'."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I believe that the Representative from Champaign requests a verification merely with regards to the twenty million dollars loaned to Chrysler. We all know that on any Bill that relates to business, he's always gotten up on his feet the truth of the matter is this is a loan that is very urgent. We all know what Chrysler means in the State of I think it's been said before that it does mean Illinois. jobs. So many times I've heard so many people talk the fact that they want to do something in Illinois to create jobs, to stimulate jobs, to keep the economy I think that we can do that by supporting this Bill. The monies will come back. It is a loan. would that of all people that you would have faith in a corporation. That's all I hear you ever do is back corporations. You help corporations in every way that you can. For some damn reason all of a sudden you don't want to help a corporation. It's the first time I've every heard you say that helping a corporation is no good for the

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

economy. Since when did you change your tactics with regards to big business. Every time I hear you speak you're speaking for the State Chamber of Commerce, for Illinois Manufacturing Association. All of a sudden because Zeke Giorgi's for it and he fights for working people you're against it. When did you ever change your tune?"

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Pullen."

Pullen: "Mr. Speaker, I'm just wondering why since the vote on this Bill in the Senate was 7 to 44 we're bothering with this."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Representative Schraeder." "Well, Mr. Speaker, again this is the third time in Schraeder: days that the Republican Party has acted against the t wo best interest of business in the State of Illinois. We're talking about unemployment. talking jobs. We're talking about loss of tax money to the state. What's the matter with you over there? Are you following the Governor Don't you know what you're doing? Don't you like sheep? want this state to be solid? Don't you want your State of Illinois to be working?"

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Representative Hoffman." Hoffman: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen I think that anyone can vote any way they of the House. like on this. I understand this has already been killed in what we do here makes no difference. the Senate so However, I would like to have an opportunity to make a comment in reference to the small amount of monev Bill to collect income tax data. One should never be afraid of information because on the basis of information hopefully we'll make wise decisions. The purpose for that being in there was my request that the Department of Revenue give consideration to accumulating more data so

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

we'd have a better idea of the kinds of resources available in all school districts by all types. We use assessed valuation now. We need the income tax data too. So I would encourage an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Emil Jones."

Jones: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. As I look at the Board there I can see why this piece of legislation is having a little problem. Because what happened probably is that all the grease was used on Senate Bill 753 to have put the skids on to slide that Bill on out. And every individual that has spoken against this piece of legislation as I look at the Roll Call on that railroad Bill, the Gentleman from Champaign, my good friend Penny Pullen and all the others on the other side of the aisle voted to slide that railroad Bill out. So you used up all your grease on the railroad Bill but you don't have anything left for the poor people in the State of Illinois. Shame on you. Sheer hypocrisy."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is a very interesting Bill. It's interesting in about two or three different ways but the most important way is that for everyone that voted yes on 588 to cut the money out of Children and Family Services, Mental Health, and Developmental Disabilities. This was the legislation where the money was going to be restored for some of those places where the budgets were cut very drastically. If, in fact, you don't pass this one you're going to have to go back and explain to your colleagues and your citizens in those areas where there are mental health and developmental disability institutions how you could possibly vote for the cuts that were in 588 and not vote to restore those deep cuts in social services and mental health by voting 'no' on

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

this Bill."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Leverenz."

"Well, certainly Representative Mautino made the point Leverenz: mental health cuts five million dollars in OB the restoration. But to the Gentleman from Champaign that stands to talk about a reappropriation to a firm, a possible loan to a firm or the balance of twenty million I say to him and everybody else, the amount of money that we sink in the Chrysler Corporation of Champaign called the University of Illinois, the rationale is much the same. We give when the need arises. The need is here. We need to put the money in it. We show the intent. Senate can reconsider the vote that they had there. They've been doing that all day on Bills too. Send it back to them."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Representative Currie." Speaker and Members of the House. Currie: "Thank you, Mr. Whether we should or should not lend money to Chrysler is really not an issue for debate in this General Assembly. A prior Session of the General Assembly said that we should and it was on that basis that federal loan guarantees were made available to the Chrysler Corporation. We promised not only Chrysler but we promised the United States government that we would make available loan dollars in the amount of twenty million. All we are asked to do in the 82nd General Assembly is to make good on the promise that had earlier been made. A promise on which was based actions at other levels of government and actions by the Remember, too, it's a loan Chrysler Corporation itself. we're talking about. It isn't a give away. It isn't a It isn't three million dollars free, clear and there you are it's all for you for abandoned railroads as

in an earlier Bill this evening.. (cut off)."

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

Speaker Daniels: "Purther discussion? Representative Ropp."

Ropp: "Yes, thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I think it's very interesting that in the last twenty-six and a half hours how this Body on two votes voted to go against the legislative intent by not permitting sales tax to be continued in big business and in agriculture and right away that amount of money, twenty-six and a half hours later, is to be given to a sick company. We're taking it from the live ones and giving it to the sick ones. I've had a Bill this afternoon or we voted on a Bill which gave a dollar to a particular agency with legislative intent. Maybe we ought to give a dollar to Chrysler. That would be good legislative intent."

Speaker Daniels: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Your light's not on, Representative McPike. Representative McPike."

McPike: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I would appreciate it if you'd check my light. It was on."

Speaker Daniels: "Your light is being checked now, Sir."

McPike: "Thank you."

Speaker Daniels: "It's not out on this Board."

McPike: "Thank you. To the Bill, Mr. Speaker. I think it is interesting that the Gentleman from Champaign is suddenly talking against socialism when we suggest a loan to Chrysler when an hour ago he voted to give a gift to an abandoned railroad. I also think it's interesting that two hours ago we voted to give a thirteen million tax break to the "praying" industry. But we can't vote to give twenty million loan to an automobile manufacturer that controls the jobs of twenty thousand people in this state. I also think it's interesting that people would vote against fifty thousand dollars to the Department of Mental Health for the Special Olympics or two hundred fifty thousand dollars to

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

the Western Illinois University for a nursing program. Or five million dollars to the Department of Mental Health for positions throughout this state. This Bill affects people..(cut off)."

Speaker Daniels: "Bring your remarks to a close, Sir."

McPike: "This Bill affects people in nearly every district of the state. I think it's sheer hypocrisy for the Gentleman of Champaign to get up and rant and rage like he did last year on a Bill like this when it's meaningful to Mental Health, to children that are going to the Olympics, to status of women and to the automobile manufacturers and to the workers in those plants in this state. It means jobs. It means security. It means a living to the people in this state and I think it's pure hypocrisy to even stand on this floor and say the things that he said in this last debate."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Robbins."

Robbins: "The Bill has been defeated in the Senate 44 to 6.

What's the use for us to vote for it?"

Speaker Daniels: "So everyone understands. The Senate can move to reconsider. This is an important Roll Call. This is action in the House. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. There are 96 'aye', 55 'no', 4 voting 'present'. This Bill, having... the Gentleman's Motion to approve... Yes, Representative Wolf."

Wolf: "Poll of the absentees."

Speaker Daniels: "Poll of the absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of the absentees. Bartulis. Rell. Birkinbine. Bluthardt. Capparelli. Darrow. Ebbesen. Dick Kelly. Krska. Laurino. Lechowicz. Epton. Garmisa. Leinenweber. Margalus. McAuliffe. Oblinger. Redmond. Sandquist. Schuneman. E.G. Steele. Terzich. No further."

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

- Speaker Daniels: "There's been an inquiry of the chair as to the effective date. Can we see the Bill? The Gentleman's Motion to approve Conference Committee Report #1 fails.

 Representative Wolf."
- Wolf, J.J.: "I would now ask, Mr. Speaker, that a Second Conference Committee be appointed."
- Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman moves for the appointment of a Second Conference Committee Report. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and there will be an appointment of a Second Conference Committee Report. Senate Bill 1149, Representative Ropp. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1149, a Bill for an Act to amend the
 Illinois Income Tax Act, First Conference Committee
 Report."
- Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman, Representative Ropp, moves to suspend the provisions of rule 68E, asks leave for the use of Roll Call #2. Does he have leave. Hearing no objections, leave is granted and the provisions of rule 68E are suspended. Senate Bill 1149, Representative Ropp."
- "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Ropp: Senate Bill 1149 placed an Amendment on in this chamber which provided for a line to be placed on our income tax forms where we would put our names of the school district in which we resided. That also applied to businesses. Tt. also directed the Department of Revenue to keep accurate data in regards to those figures that dealt with income. Conference Committee Report comes back and takes the Amendment off that was placed on in this House. The original Bill actually had to do with charitable institutions requiring partnerships be treated similar corporations for allocating income. It added penalties for failure to file withholding returns and it set up penalties

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

for those and I urge approval of the Concurrence Committee.

Conference Committee.

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "Yes, will the Gentleman yield to a question?"

Speaker Daniels: "Indicates he will."

Pierce: "We're taking off here on this Conference Committee.

We're receding from the Amendment in the House that would require that extra line on the income tax return for what school district you live in and for the Department of Revenue to compile income information by school district.

That's what you're recommending we take that off."

Ropp: "That is correct."

Pierce: "In the previous Bill for some strange reason, the administration is trying to appropriate six hundred and some thousand dollars to do that very same thing to gather that data. So something inconsistent and that's may be why they failed on that previous Bill but this one is now in good shape and I am supporting you in moving to accept the Conference Committee Report."

Ropp: "Thank you."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Sam Wolf."

Wolf, Sam: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I'm just wondering if it's true that the Senate has gone home what are we doing here?"

Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman, Representative Ropp, moves for the adoption of Conference Committee Report #1. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The voting's open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. This question there are 129 'aye', 5 'no', 1 voting 'present'. Conference Committee Report #1 is approved and Senate Bill 1149 is hereby declared passed. Supplemental #6. 1797, Representative Findley. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1797, a Bill for an Act to amend the

 Civil Administrative Code of Illinois with Senate Amendment

 #1."
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Findley moves to suspend the provisions of rule 68E and the use of Roll Call #2. Does he have leave? Hearing no objections, leave is granted and the provisions of 68E is suspended. Representative Findley. And the Bill was taken from the table. This Bill has been tabled so the Gentleman moves to take from the table with the use of Roll Call #2. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. Representative Findley."
- Speaker and Members of the House. Findley: "Thank you, Mr. Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1797 does two very simple First, it removes an obsolete reference things. secondly, it makes a technical change with respect to the jurisdiction of the income tax processing transfer of center to the Department of Revenue. Ιt makes substantive change in House Bill 1797 and I move for concurrence with Senate Amendment #1."
- Speaker Daniels: "Any discussion? Being none, the Gentleman moves for concurrence in Senate Amendment #1. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. The voting's open. It take 107 votes. Final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 'aye', none voting 'no', none voting 'present'. The House concurring with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill House Bill 725, Representative hereby declared passed. Miller. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 725, a Bill for an Act to amend the
 Inheritance and Transfer Tax Law, First Conference
 Committee Report."
- Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman, Representative Miller, moves to

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

take from the table and suspend the provisions of rule 68E. The Gentleman asks leave to use Roll Call \$2. Any objections? Hearing no objections, leave is granted and House Bill 725 is taken from the table. On that Bill, Representative Miller."

- Miller: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Conference Committee Report #1 to House Bill 725 increases the inheritance tax exemption for surviving spouses from forty thousand dollars to sixty thousand dollars. There's an estimated loss of state revenue three to five million. The increase in the exemption provided in the Conference Committee Report recognizes the impact which inflation has had in the last few years and provides some of the much-needed relief to widows and Many of us would have preferred for more relief widowers. but the present economic circumstances simply or apparently do not permit for this at this time. The Senate did approve this measure earlier today 56 to zero. I would ask ioin with myself and the Cosponsors, vou Representatives Kornowicz and Frederick in approving Conference Committee Report #1."
- Speaker Daniels: "Any discussion? Hearing none, the Gentleman moves for the approval of Conference Committee Report #1.

 All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The voting's open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. This question 141 'aye', 2 'no', 2 voting 'present'. Conference Committee Report #1 is approved and House Bill 725 is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1135, Representative Bullock. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1135, a Bill for an Act to amend the Housing Authorities Act, Second Conference Committee

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

Report."

- Speaker Daniels: "Leave that this Bill has been previously taken from the table. Representative Bullock."
- Bullock: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Bill attempts to rescind the prohibition against the tenants serving on the Chicago Housing Authority Board. It further adds that no more than one tenant of the authority may serve as a Commissioner of that same authority. The Bill has been heard in the House before. It passed on May 7, 137 votes to 3. We would like to request or like to urge an affirmative on a Motion to adopt House Committee Amendment #2."
- Speaker Daniels: "Any discussion? The Gentleman, Representative

 Kane."
- Kane: "How much increased bond authorization is in this Bill?"
- Bullock: "Representative Kane, this is Conference Committee
 Report #2. You're probably referring to Conference
 Committee Report #1. There is no bonded authority in House
 Conference Committee Report #2."

Kane: "Not even in the basic Bill?"

- Bullock: "There is no reference nor is there included in Conference Committee Amendment #2 revenue bonds, obligation bonds, or any matters relevant to revenue. This is strictly a matter that deletes the prohibition against a resident of the Chicago Housing Authority serving as a Member of the Board of Governors."
- Kane: "So there's nothing in the whole Bill at all including the Conference Committee on any bonding authority."
- Bullock: "There is nothing in this legislation relevant to revenue obligations, bonds. It deals with one specific purpose and that's to strike the prohibition against the tenant serving on the Chicago Housing Authority Board."
- Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? The Gentleman moves the

77th Legislative Day

- July 1, 1981
- adoption of Conference Committee Report #2. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The voting's open. Have all voted who wish? I'm sorry. Representative Huff, explain your vote, Sir."
- Huff: "Very briefly, Mr. Speaker, I rise to support this Bill. I think the concept is good and worthy of your consideration.

 Thank you."
- Speaker Daniels: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 132 'aye', 6 'no', 2 voting 'present'. Conference Committee Report #2 is approved and House Bill 1135 is hereby declared passed. Supplemental Calendar #7. Senate Bill 62, Representative Stewart. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 62, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code, First Conference Committee Report."
- Speaker Daniels: "The Lady, Representative Stewart, moves to suspend the provisions of rule 68E and the use of Roll Call #2. Does she have leave? Hearing no objections, leave is granted and the provisions of 68E are suspended. Senate Bill 62, Representative Stewart."
- Stewart: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 62 addresses the serious problem of teenage pregnancy by advancing ideas which encourages and cope with the prepares young people to All of the provisions of responsibility of parenthood. this Bill are permissive and moreover, they will not require an additional drain on the State's Treasury because it utilizes addi...existing structures, funds and programs. There's absolutely no fiscal impact. The House previously passed SB62 by 147 to 7 margin because we understood that measure did not suggest that the state mindlessly this throw money at another crisis. Instead, it contains

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

realistic provisions which require teenage mothers to stay To facilitate school attendance for young mothers this Bill offers infant care labs which serves a dual purpose of teaching young parents how to care for babies while providing day care services. The statistics concerning teenage pregnancies staggering. are Speaker, I think this is a serious Bill concerning a serious problem. I would appreciate a little order in the 1 don't think I have to repeat the gruesome statistics on teenage pregnancy. We all know that social consequences are also staggering when we consider the fact that 60% of all teenage mothers are on When we consider the fact that young mothers are likely to find themselves in an overwhelming situation where abuse of the child seems... becomes a likely result. Т think House... Senate Bill 62 is a reasonable alternative and I would request a 'yes' vote."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Katz."

Katz: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Daniels: "She indicates she will."

Katz: "If a young woman of sixteen years of age has a child and there's no one at home to take care of the child would she be a truant if she stayed, if she preferred to stay home and take care of the child under this Bill?"

Stewart: "She is a truant if she has no outstanding medical excuse or if she doesn't... or if the school district does not have a program providing day care for that student. I think it's important to remember, Representative Katz, that the single largest cause of teenage... of dropouts of females high school students is pregnancy. We feel that providing the infant care labs is another incentive for this young mother to go to school."

Katz: "Mr. Speaker, may I speak on the Conference Committee

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

Report?"

Speaker Daniels: "Proceed."

Katz: "I think it is all very well to provide facilities to encourage teenage girls who are pregnant or who have a child to remain in school. But to say that they are a truant if they decide to stay home and take care of the child seems to me to be an abuse of sensible reasoning. And I believe that this should not be done. It would seem to me that the most a school should do is to make available these programs. But to make the child a truant, if that child prefers not to go to school, but to take care of her own child would seem to be sensible and I would oppose Senate Bill 62."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Representative Schneider."

Schneider: "Just to answer Mr. Katz that the provisions in the law would provide that there be an appropriate physician's documentation of an illness. Absent that documentation that person would not be considered, they would be considered a truant but for the most part those kinds of things are covered by medical kinds of provisions in the law and I think his concern is a legitimate one but is not well-founded because it was not explained that way by the Sponsor. I would think an 'aye' vote would be in order."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Stewart, to close."

Stewart: "Mr. Speaker, I request an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Daniels: "The Lady's moved for the passage of Senate Bill 62. All those in favor signify by 'aye', voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The voting's open. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 115 'aye', 17 'no', 1 voting 'present'. Conference Committee Report #1 is adopted and Senate Bill 62 is hereby declared passed.

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

Senate Bill 565, Representative O'Brien. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 565, a Bill for an Act to amend the Park District Code, First Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Vinson, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, has this Conference Committee Report been printed and distributed?"

Speaker Daniels: "Mr. Clerk...this Conference Committee Report.
Yes, Sir, it has been."

Vinson: "When was it printed and distributed, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Daniels: "Somewhere around 1:30 or 1:15."

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, under the provisions of Rule 68-D, it has
to be on the desk this time of the year for one full day
before it can be considered."

Speaker Daniels: "How long?"

Vinson: "One full day."

Speaker Daniels: "Are you suggesting that we come back tomorrow?"

Vinson: "I don't care when we come back, Mr. Speaker, but we can't take it up at this particular time."

Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman moves to suspend the appropriate
rule and use Roll Call #2. Does he have leave?
Representative Vinson?"

Vinson: "No, I would object to leave."

Speaker Daniels: "Alright, the Gentleman Representative O'Brien, hold on...the Gentleman..."

O'Brien: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I will pursue that Motion and I would just like to indicate that this has been distributed and I believe that everybody has this analysis of the Conference Committee in front of them at the present time and I would like to indicate that the Conference Committee was filed at 1:30 this afternoon and I have been waiting for some 13 hours to get this on the Supplemental Calendar.

77th Legislative Day

prevails.

July 1, 1981

- So I don't think that there ought to be any problem in relation to exactly what's in the Conference Committee and I ...if the Gentleman persists I would ask for a Roll Call so that at least we can take the matter up at this time."

 Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman, Representative O'Brien, moves to suspend the provisions of 68-D. It takes 107 votes. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Takes 107 votes. Have all those voted who wish? Have all those voted who wish? Take the record. Called it on a shot, Representative O'Brien. 107 votes, Motion
- O'Brien: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, thank you very much."
- Speaker Daniels: "Would you like to suspend the provisions of 68-E? The Gentleman asks ... Representative Vinson, why are you up, again?"

Representative O'Brien, on Senate Bill 565."

- Vinson: "I believe that he has to have a Roll Call to do that,

 Mr. Speaker, if one Member objects and I object."
- Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman, Representative O'Brien, moves to suspend the provisions of Rule 68-E. It takes 107 votes. those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by A11 voting 'no'. Have all those voted who wish? those voted who wish? Have all those voted who wish? Take 110 'aye', 22 'nos', record, Mr. Clerk. the Gentleman's Motion prevails. Representative O'Brien, Senate Bill 565."
- O'Brien: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Members, I hope that this will be less controversial than the parliamentary maneuvers that we have had to go through to get this far. Quite frankly, Mr. Speaker and Members, Senate Bill 565, amends the Park District Code and extends the working cash fund levy for the downstate Park Districts only so that they can get a four year opportunity to extend their tax levy. There were some 200 out of the 352 downstate Park

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

Districts. 200 of them took the advantage the opportunity to extend their working cash fund for a four year period and there were about 150 that only opted into program in 1978 or 1979. So this will just give them the opportunity to take care of that four year period said before, it deals only with downstate Park Districts. The Conference Committee Report from the Senate recommends that the Chicago Park District have several throughout the City of Chicago meetings. three. different field houses so that individuals can have the have some input in relation to opportunity to the recreation programs and the programming that the Park District has. This will just mean that the Board, instead of meeting downtown at Soldiers Field, will meet out in the neighborhoods. This is a good Bill; It is a people Bill; neighborhood Bill: It is endorsed by community organizations and the Friends of the Park. There is another provision in there which allows us to have some revenue bonds for the establishment of a tavern on Last year we passed a Bill to green in Lincoln Park. establish a tavern on the green in Lincoln Park and something at Southshore and it also has a working cash fund for Cook County Forest Preserve."

- Speaker Daniels: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman, Representative Hoffman."
- Hoffman: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question in regards to downstate Park Districts? Is that working cash fund and the tax tied in with it a referendum tax?"
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative O'Brien."
- O'Brien: "Hang on a minute and I'll get right back to you. Back door referendum."
- Hoffman: "It has a back door referendum. How many days notice, 30 or 15?"

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

O'Brien: "Whatever the present Statute is and it is for only those that have not taken advantage of what we passed in 1976...30 days."

Hoffman: "So they can levy the tax if nobody complains...okay if enough people sign the petition then they have to have a referendum."

O'Brien: "That is correct."

Hoffman: "Thank you."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Barr."

Barr: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Daniels: "He indicates he will."

Barr: "Yes, did you say...Representative O'Brien, did you say
that this Bill would authorize the Park District to issue
bonds to build a tayern?"

O'Brien: "No, this Bill will authorize the Park District to issue revenue bonds hopefully for the construction of a tavern on the green to parallel that that they have in New York City."

Barr: "Is this similar to the bond..loaning money to Chrysler? I mean, is this kind of thing ever going to loan money to build taverns? Does this create jobs or what?"

O'Brien: "No, this, Representative Barr, will be for the establishment of a first class restaurant hopefully in a couple of locations along Chicago's beautiful lakefront.

We hope to establish one in Lincoln Park where a tavern on the green would be established and also at the Southshore Country Club."

Barr: "Is it necessary to sell bonds for this purpose? Most of the taverns that I am familiar with, and I assume that you are in your District, Representative, are built without the floating of bonds, aren't they?"

O'Brien: "Well, this will be for the establishment of a first class restaurant and the facilities that we presently have

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

on the lakefront are in need of some drastic repair and refurbishment. And for that reason, we need the bonding authority to get the funds available to establish the first class restaurant. I can indicate to you also that the Park District will not be liable for them and that the bonds and the principal and the interest will be paid from the income of the operations."

Barr: "Well, if this is a good business operation why doesn't the private party that proposes to operate this tavern and first class restaurant go to the bank and borrow the money and not involve the state or the credit of the Park District in the operation?"

O'Brien: "They are not obligation bonds. They are revenue bonds."

Barr: "No, I understand that. Mr. Speaker, to the Bill, I would just urge a 'no' vote on this...This is a bad idea."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Representative Bullock." Bullock: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'd like to address briefly, the previous speaker's remarks, who is my dear friend and esteemed colleague from Evanston, who happens to be the Chairman of the Cook County Republican party. This measure is intended to enhance business in Chicago at a time when we are talking about diminishing sales tax revenues and other type of revenue sources from the northeastern portion of Illinois. This proposal will enhance sales tax revenues in the City of The Gentleman's remarks relevant to a tavern...He Chicago. knows full well that this is not a tavern proposal. I º m sure he knows many restaurateurs in the City of Chicago who are quite supportive of the Republican Party and those restaurateurs would obviously play a role in this venture and would be willing to risk their own Representative Barr, not necessarily any of the tax dollars

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

from Chicago or the County of Cook or the State of Illinois. I rise in support of Representative O'Brien's proposal which is in fact sort of a bifurcated proposal. It doesn't just address the restaurateur problem Chicago, but downstate Park Districts and Representative Barr knows full well that this Bill is not intended to aid tavern owners. The fact of the matter is, the Chicago Park District is attempting at long last to find alternative revenue sources and not merely rely on local tax levy. Representative O'Brien is attempting in this proposal to address that issue, to address the problem of declining revenue sources for the City of Chicago and its municipal District, ergo, the Chicago Park District. I would urge an 'ave' vote. I'm sure that our leader in this state, the Governor of the State of Illinois, the Honorable Governor James Thompson, also supports this measure, Representative and I am sure that if you check with your Leadership you will find that this in fact a good enterprise measure. It is for private business which happens to be the darling of the Republican Party. Wе have some free enterprise Democrats on this side, I happen to be one of them; Representative O'Brien, happens to be another and I am sure all good, free enterprise individuals will support this Bill."

Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman, Representative McGrew."

McGrew: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move the previous question."

Speaker Daniels: "The question is, 'Shall the main question be put?'. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Representative O'Brien, to close."

O'Brien: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members. I can't understand what the problem is with this Bill. Quite frankly, the bonds that will be issued will be to refurbish the

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

buildings that are actually owned by the Park District. These private entrepreneurs will be operating the facilities: They will be working in the facilities and they will be paying for the facilities, but the facility will belong to the people, and it will still belong to the Park District. It is very simple. It is a good concept. finally have some people operating the concessions within the Park District that are diversifying it, that getting community input in terms of what types of services they are providing. This is just one of the last Bills in a package that we have had for a number of years to upgrade the services that we provide in the Park District. Bill has other things in it that I don't know anybody that is in opposition to it and I would encourage a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman moves to adopt the First Conference Committee Report. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman, Representative Telcser, to explain his vote."

Telcser: "Members of the House, I rise to explain my 'yes' vote on Senate Bill 565. It is a Bill that is very, very important to Chicago. It is something that we have worked hard for. It has a broad base of community support. One of the things in which I am particularly concerned about is a development which will be funded by revenue bonds, bonds that will be paid off by the revenues generated from that particular facility in the Chicago Park District. I frankly believe that this Bill ought to have more than enough votes for passage. It is an excellent piece of legislation. As I said before, it is something that many of us in Chicago have been working for for a long, long

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

time and I sincerely urge a favorable vote on Senate Bill 565."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I believe the previous speaker was absolutely correct and to the people that raised any objections as far as the financing for the improvements within the Chicago Park District, I have not heard one of them recommend how a public facility can gather the money in order to construct or remodel an existing facility without a bonding program. only difference on this respective facility or The facilities, their intention of the Park District, is serve food and beverages and, possibly at the tavern on the green, serve alcoholic beverages as well. There is really nothing wrong with this concept. It is an item that is a protection for the taxpayers in the City of Chicago, it is an inducement for the vendors who are going to be bidding these types of facilities and it will be an improvement for all of the people who visit and participate in the Chicago parks. I believe that this is poop venture... (cut off) "

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Farley."

Farley: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would only echo the comments of the Majority Leader in that this is a Bill that would provide recreational privileges and recreational facility at the Chicago Park District site and not cost the taxpayers any money. The providers will in fact pay for this particular facility and it's something that is enjoyed by all of those that are up there and participating in park facilities. I don't see the strong opposition against it and I would encourage an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Conti."

Conti: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, if

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

what is concerning everybody here is what my County Chairman, which I respect an awful lot... If he is concerned about this, this is something new in most municipalities. It is industrial revenue bonds. It is quite different from actual gift of giving money to the Chrysler t he Almost every municipality is going Corporation. revenue industrial bonds, revenue bonds, people with good I am trying to wine and dine a large track records. grocery chain to come into Elmwood Park under the same thing, under revenue industrial, revenue business bonds. Now, if these...if they don't have a good track record, there isn't a banker in the state, there isn't a banker the country that's not going to buy these bonds. So if that is all that is concerning you, is the bonds, this would loan like it be to the Chrysler not Corporation... (cut off) "

Speaker Daniels: "Please bring your remarks to a close."

Conti: "I will bring my remarks to a close. This is the coming thing and you'll see a great deal of this within the next ten years to develop business in the communities."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Grossi."

Grossi: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise to explain my 'no' vote. While this Bill may be good for Chicago, I would like to point out to the people from Cook County that this authorizes an increase in the working cash fund for the Cook County Forest Preserve District. The only way to do that is to increase taxes in Cook County."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would like to address the comments made by the distinguished Majority Leader and for those of you downstate that have Park Districts that had a program this

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

year, I would like to explain what happened to the first original Conference Committee that included downstate Park Districts funding for lights, roads and police protection. It was to be part of this total program that gives the benefits to the City of Chicago and the County of Cook. This is a classic example of downstate being cut out of a total program for parks in the State of Illinois and as we see once again we have a situation where we are going to put 107 votes on the Board so that the large northeastern area parks have a beneficial, a beneficial add on that we, in downstate, don't get. As far as I am concerned, from what the Members in the Senate did by not allow...(cut off)"

Speaker Daniels: "Bring your remarks to a close, Sir."

Mautino: "...By not allowing the downstate program to be put on this Bill as well. As far as I am concerned this is the last time that I am going to vote for a Park District Bill for the City of Chicago that doesn't include anything for downstate and I would recommend that many people do the same thing."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Ronan."

Ronan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. In explaining my 'aye' vote and the support that I have for the Majority Leader, I am very happy to see 107 votes. This is not a Chicago issue. Many people from the suburbs will utilize this facility. It is a good opportunity for government and the private industry to work together to make a real showplace in the City of Chicago for people from Cook County and the rest of the state, and I am happy to see that we have more than 107 votes and I urge everyone to jump on and support our great Majority Leader on the other side of the aisle."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Mulcahey."

Mulcahey: "Mr. Speaker, if this gets over 107 votes I want to

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

verify it."

- Speaker Daniels: "Do you want to vote, Sir? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 108 'aye', 41 'no' and 1 voting 'present'. The Gentleman, Representative Mulcahey, requests a verification. Representative O'Brien, requests a poll of the absentees. Proceed."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of the Absentees: Bell. Birkinbine. Daniels. Bluthardt. Davis. Jack Dunn. John Dunn_ Garmisa. Huff. Ebbesen. Epton. Hannig. Dick Kelly. Laurino. Leinenweber. Margalus. Miller. Mulcahev. Redmond. Reed. Sandquist. Schuneman. Oblinger. Steele. Stuffle. Wikoff. And Yourell."
- Speaker Daniels: "Changes, corrections, additions? Alright, Representative Miller. 'a ve'. Representative Miller, 'aye'. Representative Stuffle, from the Republican side, 'aye'. Miller, 'aye'. Stuffle, 'aye'. Representative 'aye'. Representative Woodyard wants to be Woodyard, from 'no' to 'aye'. changed Is that correct, Sir. Representative Kelly from 'no' 'aye'. to All right. Pol1 Proceed with a of the Affirmative Roll. Representative Leverenz wants to go from 'no' to Proceed with the Poll. Verification of the Affirmative Roll."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Abramson. Alexander. Alstat. Balanoff. Barnes. Bartulis. Beatty. Bianco. Boucek. Bradley. Braun. Breslin. Brummer. Bullock. Capparelli. Carey. Catania. Chapman. Christensen. Collins. Conti. Cullerton. Currie. Darrow. Deuster. DiPrima. Domico. Donovan. Doyle. Ralph Dunn. Evell. Farley. Pavell. Plinn. Virginia Frederick. Getty. Giglio. Giorgi. Henry. Huskey. Greiman. Hanahan. Hastert. Jackson. Jaffe. Jones. Katz. Keane. Jim Kelley. Kornowicz.

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

Krska. Kucharski. Kulas. Lechowicz. Leon. Kosinski. Leverenz. Levin. Macdonald. Madigan. Martire. Matijevich. Mautino. McAuliffe. days. McBroom. McClain. McCormick. McGrew. McMaster. McPike. Miller. Nurphy. Neff. Nelson. O'Brien. O'Connell. Ozella. Pechous. Peters. Pierce. Pouncey. Preston. Rea. Reilly. Rhem. Richmond. Ronan. Saltsman. Schraeder. Slape. Irv Smith. Margaret Smith. Stanley. Stearney. C.H. Steczo. Stewart. Stiehl. Stuffle. Telcser. Terzich. Topinka. Turner. Van Duyne. Vitek. White. J.J. Wolf. Sam Wolf. Woodyard. Younge. Zito. And Mr. Speaker."

- Speaker Daniels: "Okay, now, Representative Hannig, is 'aye'.

 Representative Ralph Dunn, requests leave to be verified.

 Does he have leave, Representative Mulcahey? Leave is granted. Representative McBroom."
- McBroom: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, change me from 'aye' to 'no', will you please?"
- Speaker Daniels: "McBroom from 'aye' to 'no'. Representative McAuliffe. Change Representative McAuliffe from 'aye' to 'no'. Representative Polk, from 'no' to 'aye'. Representative Woodyard. Woodyard from 'aye' to 'no'. Okay, questions of the Affirmative Roll. Representative Mulcahey."

Mulcahey: "Representative Brummer."

Speaker Daniels: "Brummer? Is the Gentleman in the chamber? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Daniels: "Remove him."

Mulcahey: "Representative Ryan."

Speaker Daniels: "Who?"

Mulcahey: "Speaker Ryan."

Speaker Daniels: "He is in his office, Sir. Do you really want

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

me to bring him out?"

Mulcahey: "No."

Speaker Daniels: "Alright."

Mulcahey: "Representative Jones."

Speaker Daniels: "Jones, Emil Jones. Right next to you."

Mulcahey: "Representative Giglio."

Speaker Daniels: "Giglio, Representative Giglio. Is the

Gentleman in the chambers? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Daniels: "Remove him."

Mulcahey: "Representative C.M. Stiehl."

Speaker Daniels: "Cissy Stiehl is over there waving at you."

Mulcahey: "Representative Ralph Dunn."

Speaker Daniels: "Ralph Dunn, received leave to be verified."

Mulcahey: "Representative Kelly."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Kelly, Dick Kelly. How is the

Gentleman recorded?"

Mulcahey: "Jim Kelley. Either one, I don't care."

Speaker Daniels: "How is Jim Kelley recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Daniels: "He is in his seat."

Mulcahey: "Representative McBroom."

Speaker Daniels: "Who?"

Mulcahey: "McBroom, he is there. Representative Stearney."

Speaker Daniels: "Stearney, Ron Stearney. How is the Gentleman

recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Daniels: "Remove him."

Mulcahey: "Representative Stanley."

Speaker Daniels: "Stanley, Roger Stanley. How is the Gentleman

recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Daniels: "Remove him."

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

Mulcahey: "Representative Kucharski."

Speaker Daniels: "Kucharski, Ed Kucharski. How is the Gentleman recorded?".

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Wolf: "Remove him."

Mulcahey: "Representative Wolf."

Speaker Daniels: "Wolf."

Mulcahey: "J.J., I'm sorry."

Speaker Daniels: "...Is here."

Mulcahey: "Representative Wyvetter Younge."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Younge is in her chair. I don't know who you are pointing to. Representative Brummer has returned. Return Representative Brummer to the Affirmative Roll."

Mulcahey: "Representative Bradley."

Speaker Daniels: "Bradley, Jerry Bradley. Is the Gentleman in the chambers? How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Mulcahey: "Representative Preston."

Speaker Daniels: "Remove Representative Bradley. Preston is in his chair."

Mulcahey: "Representative Jaffe."

Speaker Daniels: "Jaffe is in his chair."

Mulcahey: "Schneider."

Speaker Daniels: "Schneider is in his chair."

Mulcahey: "Bartulis."

Speaker Daniels: "Bartulis, Representative Bartulis, is in his chair."

Mulcahey: "That is it."

Speaker Daniels: "On this question there are 107 'ayes' and 40 'nos'. This Bill having received the necessary votes,

Conference Committee Report #1 is adopted and Senate Bill
565 is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 700,

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

Representative Capparelli. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 700, a Bill for an Act regulating the right to board certain aircraft for hire by pay passengers,

 Pirst Conference Committee Report."
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Getty. The Gentleman moves to suspend the provisions of rule 68E and to use Roll Call #2.

 Does he have leave? Leave is.. Does he have leave? Leave is granted, hearing no objections and the provisions of rule 68E are suspended. Representative Getty."
- Getty: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I would adopt the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 700. The Bill provides for the closing of a loophole in airport The Act which regulates persons who board security. aircraft with firearms provides that the evidence is inadmissible in any other proceeding except to support a charge of a violation of this Act. This left a loophole in the aw.. in the Act that would leave people who did not have tickets and therefore, did not subject themselves to the implied consent of the search and the implied consent invasion by the x-ray machine not subject to prosecution for violation of the unlawful use of That is cleared by the underlying Bill and by the House Amendment which is adopted. In addition thereto, a which was adopted, Senate Bill 88, as an Amendment has been attached to this Bill. It passed out by the way of the Senate earlier today with forty some votes and it provides for additional needed Associate Judges in both the downstate and Cook County area. The number of judges that be increased will be 18 in Cook County and 41 in the downstate counties. I would ask for your support and the adoption of this Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Barr."

Barr: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd just like to second the

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

comments of Representative Getty. This is an important Bill. The addition of Associate Judges both in Cook County and in downstate circuits is needed. It's very necessary, and I would strongly urge 'yes' votes on this Conference Report."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative John Dunn."

Dunn, John: "Mr. Speaker, it became a little noisy here and I like to know whether, I'd like to know whether this legislation increases or reduces a number of Associate Judges in downstate Illinois."

Getty: "It increases the number of Associate Judges in downstate
Illinois by 41. If you would tell me your circuit I'll be
glad to tell you the number."

Dunn, John: "Six."

Getty: "Judicial circuit. Two entitled additional associates."

Dunn, John: "Thank you."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Representative
Barkhausen."

Barkhausen: "Representative Getty, can you tell us just very briefly, is this substantially the same, is this the same proposal that we passed out of here last week? Or earlier this week or whenever it was?"

Getty: "Yes, we just never quite got to it. This is the same proposal that was amended on to Senate Bill 88. Amendment #11 to 88. It was adopted by this House just a week ago. It's the very same one, Sir."

Barkhausen: "Is the additional number for the nineteenth circuit four or three?"

Getty: "Two, Sir. The additional entitled judges under this would be from fifteen to seventeen entitled judges."

Barkhausen: "Thank you."

Getty: "There is no circuit, by the way, that loses any. It's dependent upon population, of course, under the new census.

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

There is no district that would lose any under this. Any entitled judges."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Jim Kelley."

Kelley: "Previous question."

- Speaker Daniels: "The question is, 'Shall the main question be put?' All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Representative Getty, to close."
- Getty: "Well, Nr. Speaker and Members of the House, again the underlying Bill closes a loophole in the law. We need that loophole closed in order to protect us from.. in airport security. Secondly, these are much needed Associate Judges so that no county will lose any judges. No circuit will lose any judges and those circuits that need the additional judges will be given them in a fair and equitable manner. I ask for your support."
- Speaker Daniels: "The question is, 'Shall Conference Committee Report \$1 be adopted?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The voting's open. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there 109 'aye', 29 'no', 1 voting 'present'. Conference Committee Report \$1 is adopted and Senate Bill 700 is hereby declared passed. Further.. next Bill. House Bill 1719."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1719, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code, Conference Committee Report #1."
- Speaker Daniels: "Representative McGrew. The Gentleman moves to remove from the table House Bill 1719 and suspend the appropriate rule. The Gentleman asks leave to use Roll Call #2. Is there leave granted? Any objections? Hearing no objections, leave is granted and House Bill 1719 is

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

removed from the table. Representative McGrew."

McGrew: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 1719. Conference Committee #1 basically does two things. First of all, it puts fully certified nurses under the regular salary schedule of all other certified personnel in the school. secondly, it provides that a clean up Bill for the consolidation of election that affects only those that are running for School Board or those people that are running for Community College Board. That provision put in as a result of our famous state animal Senator Lemke tabling the Bill that would have done essentially the same thing. These Bills are clean up and necessary before we get to the election consolidation for the fall election. ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Daniels: "Any discussion? Representative Hastert."

Hastert: "Yes, would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Daniels: "He indicates he will."

Hastert: "Sponsor, would this, does this Bill have anything with, to do with collective bargaining?"

McGrew: "Unfortunately not."

Hastert: "Thank you."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd

like to have your attention for a moment in these waning
hours. I would point your attention to page 13 of the
Conference Committee Report which provides that certified
nurses shall be paid in accordance with the provision of
the same schedule as teachers. Now, there's no other way
to read this but to indicate that this is a state mandate.
The fact that teacher's salaries schedules are for
teachers. They are not for anyone other than teachers
unless we include others. In effect, what we're doing here

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

is we're negotiating for however many teachers are involved into a teacher's salary schedule. Now, if you know anything about the work of a teacher in terms of teaching, reading, writing, arithmetic, the grading of homework, and the things that are involved versus what it means to be a school nurse you are putting apples and oranges on the same level. This makes no sense at all in my judgment and I would ask for a negative vote."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Nelson."

Nelson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Not only does it add nurse as Gene pointed out but the other day there was an Amendment sponsored by myself Representative Stuffle that took out language that is reappearing here tonight. In this same section on the top of page thirteen where it says that the School Board establishes a schedule for teacher's salaries all certified nurses shall be paid in accordance with the provisions of such schedule. It also says all teachers employed by that That would include teachers who are employed by that Board who may be working as aids, who may be working as janitors, who may be working as bus drivers. And this House rejected such a notion just a couple of days ago. I would ask that you reject this Conference Report."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Representative McGrew, to close."

McGrew: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The provisions if you will read in the Conference Report Section 13 does indicate that the all school nurses, and it does say all certified nurses, would be on the schedule for teacher's salaries based on education and experience. I think that certainly is a consistent problem. I would ask that you also consider the second and extremely important part of the legislation and

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

that is all the clean up language necessary for the School Board as well as the Community College districts in terms of electing their trustees and School Board Members. It was the urgent phone calls that I received from the people that were associated with the Community College districts as well as with the School Boards that requested this and they felt it extremely necessary and would create all sorts of problems without it and I would ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman's moved for the adoption of Conference Committee Report #1. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The voting's open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Schneider, to explain his vote. The timer's on, Sir."

Schneider: "On the matter of apples and oranges from the carrier of the valued fruit from across the aisle, I think you have to recognize that when you're talking about that that certified nurses come with the skills that are essential to service children as well as adults beyond the classroom in the building you know. I think you're right. It is apples and oranges but the fact remains is that no one else can provide that function. That is, they are nurses providing a service to the school and as long as we are requiring that we can't very well hire a teacher to do a nurses' work. They are skilled professionals. They are just like any, if you're going to equate the professions, the teacher and the nurse profession, I think they are very comparable But I think the crucial thing is that in many ways. you're going to salary them, you can't put them in bondage or in servitude. You've got to give them a living wage you want your children dealt with in a fashion. (cut off)."

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

Speaker Daniels: "Bring your remarks to a close."

- Schneider: "And obviously provide those services because I'm a teacher. We have a child in the classroom who happens to be let's say an epileptic or when we need a person who provides the background information for children that have various kinds of physical ailments. We depend on that person in the building at that instant and I think the nurses on a salary schedule perform equivalent tasks. They are by definition, different because they are nurses and we are teachers. I think it's a good vote to vote 'aye'."
- Speaker Daniels: "Have all those voted who wish? Have all those voted who wish? Have all those voted who wish? Representative Stuffle."
- Stuffle: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, the question's been raised about the language, I believe, on page 13 of the Bill. It was no intention in the rush to put this Conference Committee together to do other than what was done on this House floor. If that language in any way abrogates that agreement, and I'm sure Representative McGrew would agree with regard to the few nurses that are covered, we will ask the Governor to amendatorily veto that portion to cover only certified nurses. Only about a hundred of the two hundred in the downstate districts would be affected. This ought to be passed. We've made them professional employees. They already have логе certification requirements in education than the teachers in the districts. We will live by that commitment. make it tonight and we will take care of it if this Bill is passed. I would ask for an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Daniels: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Huskey, to explain his vote. The timer's on, Sir."
- Huskey: "If this reaches 107 votes, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

verify it."

- Speaker Daniels: "Representative Zito."
- Zito: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. A parliamentary inquiry. I was wondering if this gets over 89 there's no effective date and if it gets over 89 votes it will take effect in a year?"
- Speaker Daniels: "You are correct, Sir. It will take effect in July of 1982. Further discussion? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Once more. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. question there are 97 'aye', 51 'no', and 3 voting Representative Deuster. The State of Illinois 'present'. is waiting for you, Sir. 97 'ave'. 51 'no'. 3 voting 'present'. Conference Committee Report #1 is adopted. House Bill 1719 is hereby declared passed by 97 votes. Supplemental Calendar #8. House Bill 811, Representative Matijevich. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 811, a Bill for an Act to amend the Public Aid Code, Conference Committee Report #1."
- Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman, Representative Matijevich, moves to take from the table House Bill 811 and to suspend the appropriate rules. Ask for leave to use Roll Call #2.

 Does he have leave? Hearing no objections, leave is granted and House Bill 811 is taken from the table. On that Bill, Representative Matijevich."
- Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 811 was adopted by the Senate by a 56 to nothing vote. It is a cost containment Bill and is the product of negotiations between the Bureau of the Budget, Department of Public Aid, Members of the Legislature, Representatives of the various medical provider groups, especially the hospital association and it is the best compromise that could be

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

reached given that some services had to be eliminated OL limited and some cuts in the budget had to be made. basis of this agreement is a limitation on the rate Ωf hospital in-patient utilization. The hospitals and the Department have agreed to reduce in-patient days of stay by 14% by the end of fiscal 1982 and the Bill provides for 8% limit on the growth of in-patient hospital perdiems with some exceptions. Small hospitals or hospitals with low medicaid usage may be given exception at the discretion of Department. Other hospitals with high utilization by medicaid patients may be granted a waiver from limitations if they can make arrangements to establish a network of hospitals with lower cost hospitals accepting some of the patient days of stay. The Bill establishes limitations on the amount of co-payments for medical services which the Department may establish by providing the co-payments shall not be applied for patients with chronic or life threatening diseases. Another provision is the removal of caps on reimbursements for out-patient and clinic services which would limit services to the poor and The key part of this agreement is the intent.. elderly. well, I believe it is a good compromise that has been worked out the last few days and they ve allowed all kinds of input and I would urge your support in adoption of Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Daniels: "Any discussion? Hearing none, the Gentleman moves for the appointment of the Conference...Representative Satterthwaite."

Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, although I do think we should approve this Conference Committee Report I think we ought to note that one of the provisions that it calls for one dollar fees for pharmacy, physician, dental, optical, chiropractic, podiatrics and clinical services and

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

supplies medical and three dollars for hospitals out-patients and clinic services. Maybe ones that it will be difficult for the service providers to actually collect and so in this case you are perhaps putting an additional burden on those service providers if they cannot collect that fee and still have to provide the services for It is a compromise. We know that we have to make compromises at this point but we do hope that Department will make an effort to evaluate what happens if they do, in fact, impose those fees and see whether or they will be in compliance with federal rules and regulations in so doing, and, while I urge you to support this, I also urge that we keep in close contact in monitoring how this works."

Speaker Daniels: "On the compromise, Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Ar. Speaker, I just have two questions for the record to make sure we get the legislative intent of this straight.

Will the Gentleman yield for two questions?"

Speaker Daniels: "You said one."

Bowman: "I said two."

Speaker Daniels: "He said one."

Bowman: "Okay. It is my understanding that House Bill 811 is the Medicaid Cost Containment package and that no further measures will be needed and no other services will be eliminated. Is that correct?"

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Yes, we... that was one of the questions raised by Representative Eugenia Chapman in one of the meetings that we had today and the Department did give us assurances that there will be a restoration of some of the cuts as originally recommended. Those cuts which were originally recommended."

Bowman: "Okay. And it is also ... It is also correct that this

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

Bill would allow implementation of the medical line items contained in the Public Aid appropriations Bill already passed by both Houses, that is House Bill 588?"

Matijevich: "They're satisfied and Mandeville signed off. The

Bureau's satisfied and I think we ought to be satisfied by

adopting this report."

Bowman: "I'm satisfied then. Thank you."

Matijevich: "Thank you."

Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Representative Topinka."

Topinka: "I call the question, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Daniels: "Question is, 'Shall the main question be put?'.

All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'.

The 'ayes' have it. Gentleman, Representative Matijevich, moves to approve Conference Committee Report #1. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'.

The voting's open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record.

Grossi 'aye'. There are 141 'aye', none voting 'no', none 'present'. Conference Committee Report #1 is adopted.

House Bill 811 is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 171,

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 171, a Bill for an Act to amend the
Environmental Protection Act. Conference Committee Report
#1."

Representative Hannig. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Hanniq."

Hannig: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House.

Conference Committee Report #1 was signed by all five
Members of the House of Representatives. It's agreed to by
the Minority Spokesman, Representative Schaeffer...

Schraeder and the Chairman, Representative Meyer. It
amends the Environmental Protection Act to prohibit the
deposit of a waste stream at an unauthorized hazardous
waste site unless specifically authorized by the EPA. The

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

Bill has already passed the Senate Bill 53 to 4 and I would ask for the House to concur."

- Speaker Daniels: "Further discussion? Being none, the Gentleman moves the approval of Conference Committee Report #1. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Voting's open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 114 'aye', 22 'no' and 4 voting 'present'. Conference Committee Report #1 is adopted and Senate Bill 171 is hereby declared passed.Supplemental #9, House Bill 1391, Representative Beatty. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1391, a Bill for an Act to create a sanitary district and remove the obstructions from the Des Plaines and Illinois Rivers. Conference Committee Report #1."
- Speaker Daniels: "The Gentleman, Representative Beatty, moves to take from the table and suspend the appropriate rule House Bill 1391. Gentleman asks for leave to use Roll Call #2.

 Does he have leave? Leave is granted. Hearing no objections. House Bill 1391 is removed from the table.

 Representative Beatty."
- Beatty: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, the main purpose of this Bill is to increase the working cash fund for the Metropolitan Sanitary District and the reason for this is so that they will have to spend less money in their operation. This is an increase in the funding of tax anticipation bonds and they are allowed to sell these at an interest rate of 9% or 70% of the prime rate. There also is a change here dealing with the operation of the personnel department in setting standards and eligibility lists. This has been approved by everyone that was appointed to the Conference Committee; in the House, Mr.

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

Friedrich and Sam Vinson and Phil Collins and in the Senate, Senator Berning and Mahar. I feel that is a sensible approach and it should save in the final analysis save the taxpayers money."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Pullen."

Pullen: "I would just like to point out, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, that in increasing the working cash fund from 40% of the maximum corporate levy to 90% of the maximum corporate levy we're really talking about a no referendum tax increase here. Only about 45 million."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would like to point out the personnel changes that Representative Beatty alluded to are the same ones that have failed to get even 89 votes on this floor in past days. I would point out that what this does is to eliminate the numerical ratings and allow the director of personnel to substitute categories. Bill says the categories could be such as excellent well-qualified, etcetera, but it could also be just a two-fold categorization like pass or fail or a yes or no or, you know, sponsored by a committeeman or not sponsored Also, the eligible registers provided by a committeeman. for here may be by geographic area and it seems to me that this would very easily allow and even invite specification by ward of residence and I think that this would, in effect, codify the current partronage system. For that reason, I'd rise in opposition."

Speaker Daniels: "The camera lights are on, Ladies and Gentlemen.

Camera lights are on. Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Conference Committee Report #1. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Voting's open. Have all those voted who wish? Have all those voted who wish? Have all

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

those voted who wish? Representative Beatty, to explain
his vote."

Beatty: "Mr. Speaker, the one person speaking about this Bill has indicated that she felt this was going to be increase, however; the fact of the matter is it's good business judgement to increase your working cash fund, especially in the times we have it now where borrowing money is so dear. I think that it will help the system. They'll have the cash to It will make them more fluid. with. As far as the personnel changes are concerned, I think that the person who spoke on that is certainly entitled to his opinion. This is supposed to help the They do have civil service there. If anyone feels system. that they're not being treated fairly, certainly we know they have a 'Shackman' decision. The courts are freely This is felt by the people accessable. running Metropolitan Sanitary District that it will help them in running their personnel department. I would ask for favorable vote."

Speaker Daniels: "Have all those voted who wish? Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, needless to say, I've spoken to about 170 Representatives regarding this great The working cash fund, there is no change in legislation. the increase in the tax rate. At the present time. district can tax at one-half of one cent per 100 dollars of assessed valuation. The fund limit is at 40% which is qenerated from this tax. There is no increase in the tax. it does is it expands the working cash fund and that's all it does. There will be no tax increase because they can tax is one-half of one cent per 100 dollars of assessed valuation. This has been good government Bill that it has helped out many, many

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

districts and those people who know about working cash funds know that the high interest rates that they have to borrow money at that this is good for the district and it's good for the taxpayers. I certainly would appreciate your support."

Speaker Daniels: "Have all those voted who wish? Have all those voted who wish? Have all those voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 97 'aye', 56 'no', none voting 'present'. Gentleman's Motion to approve Conference Committee Report #1. Representative Beatty."

Beatty: "Is there any possibility that 89 votes would mean anything here? Is there an effective date on this or..."

Speaker Daniels: "Representative Beatty, is there an effective

date in the Bill?"

Beatty: "I do not have the Bill."

Speaker Daniels: "It takes 107 votes."

Beatty: "Would you poll the absentees then?"

Speaker Daniels: "Poll the absentees."

Clerk Leone: "... Birkinbine. Bluthardt. Christensen. Conti.

Darrow. Davis. Jack Dunn. Ebbesen. Epton. Dwight

Friedrich. Garmisa. Huff. Leinenweber. Margalus. Mays.

Oblinger. Redmond. Reed. Sandquist. Schuneman. E. G.

Steele. C. M. Stiehl and Wikoff."

Speaker Daniels: "97 'aye', 57 'no', none 'present'. Gentleman's

Motion for the approval of Conference Committee Report #1

is lost. Representative Beatty."

Beatty: "I'd ask for a second Conference Committee."

Speaker Daniels: "Gentleman moves for a second Conference Committee. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The 'ayes' have it. Second Conference Committee shall be appointed. House Bill 1421, Representative Henry. Read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1421, a Bill for an Act to amend the

77th Legislative Day

July 1, 1981

Criminal Code. Conference Committee Report #1."

Speaker Daniels: "Gentleman, Representative Henry, moves to take from the table and suspend the appropriate rules. House Bill 1421. Does he have leave to use Roll Call #2? Gentleman has leave, hearing no objections and House Bill 1421 is removed from the table. Representative Henry, 1421."

Henry: "Thank you, Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Mr. House. I move for the adoption of House Bill 1421 which passed the Senate by 41 votes not too long ago. an explanation. It retains the enforcement induction of penalty of gang members passed by this House, boarding up arson hazard buildings and beautifying neighborhoods passed by this House, notify of areas before release of Class X criminals into our community was passed by this House, senior citizen Bill to permit judges to increase the penitence when a criminal returns to our area or inflict crime upon a senior or a youngster was passed by this House. Amendment #3 for being last... for being last, Mr. Speaker, I ask for your help in passing House Bill 1421."

Kosinski: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this might be called the law and order little omnibus Bill. In addition to the other good things it contains, it has our former House Bill 113 which passed out of this House with 150 votes which notified local law authorities before the release of a Class X criminal. I ask for your vote."

Speaker Daniels: "Any discussion? Representative Kosinski."

Speaker Daniels: "Gentleman moves for the adoption of Conference
Committee Report #1. All those in favor will signify by
voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The voting is open.
Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have
all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question
there are 144 'aye', 2 'nay', 1 'present'. Gentleman's

77th Legislative Day

- July 1, 1981
- Motion for the approval of Conference Committee Report #1
 passes and House Bill 1421 is hereby declared passed. Mr.
 Clerk, read the Adjournment Resolution."
- Clerk Leone: "House Joint Resolution ... Senate Joint Resolution 58, Resolved by the Senate of the Eighty-second General Assembly of the State of Illinois the House of Representatives concurring herein that when the two Houses adjourned on Thursday July 2, 1981 they stand adjourned until Thursday, October 1, 1981 at 12:00 noon."
- Speaker Daniels: "Speaker Ryan in the Chair."
- Speaker Ryan: "The Governor has invited... The Governor and Mrs.

 Thompson have invited all of you over for breakfast at the mansion. I know that you're probably ready to go. I would like to thank the Minority Leader, Mr. Madigan and the Democrat Members, staff and the Republican Members and staff and certainly my leadership, my Parliamentarian and everybody that's been involved in the process. It's been a hard six months. I wish you all a good summer and Representative Collins for the Adjournment Resolution.
- Telcser: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I now move that
 the House adopt the Adjournment Resolution and that the
 House then stand adjourned until October 1, Thursday at the
 hour of 12:00 noon."
- Speaker Ryan: "You've heard the Gentleman's Motion. All in favor
 will signify by saying 'aye', all opposed 'no'. The 'ayes'
 have it and the House now stands adjourned."

JULY 01, 1981

HB-0049	CONFERENCE	PAGE	75
HB-0112	CONFERENCE	PAGE	75
HB-0239	CONFERENCE	PAGE	78
			81
HB-0305	CONFERENCE	PAGE	
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	195
HB-0364	CONFERENCE	PAGE	245
HB-0368	CONFERENCE	PAGE	82
HB-0419	CONFERENCE	PAGE	82
HB-0439	CONFERENCE	PAGE	133
BD 0432	CONFERENCE	PAGE	249
			249
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	
HB-0447	CONFERENCE	PAGE	94
HB-0508	CONFERENCE	PAGE	55
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	109
нв−0547	CONFERENCE	PAGE	220
HB-0567	CONFERENCE	PAGE	119
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	198
HB-0591	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	3
HB-0621	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	11
			16
нв-0697	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	
нв-0725	CONFERENCE	PAGE	273
HB-0753	CONFERENCE	PAGE	65
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	205
HB-0766	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	19
BB-0811	CONFERENCE	PAGE	300
HB-0821	CONFERENCE	PAGE	203
HB-0852	CONFERENCE	PAGE	138
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	51
HB-0858			
нв-0909	CONFERENCE	PAGE	56
HB-0945	CONFERENCE	PAGE	250
HB-0975	CONFERENCE	PAGE	83
HB-1030	CONFERENCE	PAGE	120
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	251
HB-1041	CONFERENCE	PAGE	73
HB-1049	CONFERENCE	PAGE	54
HB-1127	CONFERENCE	PAGE	84
			87
нв-1135	CONFERENCE	PAGE	
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	274
HB-1168	CONFERENCE	PAGE	87
HB-1189	CONFERENCE	PAGE	74
HB-1391	CONFERENCE	PAGE	304
HB-1421	CONFERENCE	PAGE	307
HB-1535	CONFERENCE	PAGE	88
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	225
нв- 1536	CONFERENCE	PAGE	61
HB-1719	CONFERENCE	PAGE	295
			71
HB-1789	CONFERENCE	PAGE	
HB-1797	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	273
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	273
HB-1924	1ST READING	PAGE	2
HB-1925	1ST READING	PAGE	2
HB-1926	1ST READING	PAGE	2
HB-1927	1ST READING	PAGE	2
HB-1928	1ST READING	PAGE	2
SB-0062		PAGE	276
SB-0002	CONFERENCE	PAGE	37
			211
SB-0137		PAGE	
SB-0171	CONFERENCE	PAGE	228
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	303
SB-0172	COMPERENCE	PAGE	178
SB-0197	CONFERENCE	PAGE	50
SB0257	CONFERENCE	PAGE	190
SB-0271	CONFERENCE	PAGE	258
SB-0308		PAGE	33
SB-0309		PAGE	23
0007			

PAGE 2

STATE OF ILLINOIS 82ND GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

THI V A4 4004

J	UL	Y	0	1	1	9.	8	1

	CONFERENCE	PAGE	237
SB-0319	CONFERENCE	PAGE	125
SB-0332	CONFERENCE	PAGE	242
SB-0333	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	154
SB-0339	CONFERENCE	PAGE	127
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	130
SB-0344	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	163
SB-0345	CONFERENCE	PAGE	128
SB-0431	CONFERENCE	PAGE	42
SB-0517	CONFERENCE	PAGE	131
SB-0543	CONFERENCE	PAGE	95
SB-0547	CONFERENCE	PAGE	43
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	254
SB-0565	CONFERENCE	PAGE	279
SB-0591	CONFERENCE	PAGE	41
SB-0650	CONFERENCE	PAGE	21
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	40
SB-0671	CONFERENCE	PAGE	225
SB-0700	CONFERENCE	PAGE	293
SB-0714	CONFERENCE	PAGE	87
SB0860	CONFERENCE	PAGE	256
SB0875	CONFERENCE	PAGE	96
SB-0883	CONFERENCE	PAGE	139
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	124
SB-0884	CONFERENCE	PAGE	240
SB-0910	CONFERENCE	PAGE	102
SB-0929	CONFERENCE	PAGE	104
SB-0955	CONFERENCE	PAGE	105
SB-1006	CONFERENCE	PAGE	107
SB-1073	CONFERENCE	PAGE	140
SB-1104	CONFERENCE	PAGE	141
SB-1108	CONFERENCE	PAGE	39
SB-1125	CONFERENCE	PAGE	142
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	226
SB-1126	CONFERENCE	PAGE	257
SB-1149	CONFERENCE	PAGE	271
SB-1157	CONFERENCE	PAGE	242
SB-1168	CONFERENCE	PAGE	183
SB-1173	CONFERENCE	PAGE	108
SB-1198	CONFERENCE	PAGE	239

SUBJECT MATTER

HOUSE TO ORDER - SPEAKER RYAN	PAGE	1
PRAYER - DR. ALBRIN	PAGE	1
PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	PAGE	1
ROLL CALL FOR ATTENDANCE	PAGE	2
REPRESENTATIVE DANIELS IN CHAIR	PAGE	2
SJR 52 - ADOPTED	PAGE	65
HR 470 - DISSENT FILED	PAGE	164
AGREED RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	167
GENERAL RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	178
DEATH RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	178
AGREED RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	227
SPEAKER RYAN IN CHAIR	PAGE	230
RECESS	PAGE	237
HOUSE RECONVENES - REPRESENTATIVE DANIELS IN CHAIR	PAGE	237
SPEAKER RYAN IN CHAIR	PAGE	309
SJR 58 - ADOPTED	PAGE	309
ADJOURNMENT	PAGE	309