

Doorkeeper: "Attention Members of the House of 12
Representatives. The House will convene in five 13
minutes. All persons not entitled to the House floor
please retire to the gallery." 14

Speaker Bradley: "The House will be in order and the Members 16
will be in their seats and the House will be lead in 17
prayer by the House Chaplain."

Reverend Krueger: "In the name of the Father, the Son and 19
the Holy Ghost. Amen. O Lord, Bless this House to 20
Thy Service this day. Amen. Yesterday, December 3 21
was the first day of the Jewish festival of lights.
In Hebrew, Hanukkah means dedication. This is an 22
excellent occasion to greet our Jewish brethren and 23
friends and to learn more about this celebration of
God and light and joy. Hanukkah is a feast of 24
rededication of the Temple after it had been profaned. 25
Hanukkah is a feast that represents the miraculous for
was a case of a few against many. Hanukkah 26
rep..commemorates the miracle of the oil that lasted 27
for eight days at the rededication of the Temple.
Hanukkah witnesses that the miracle of lights is a 28
celebration of religious liberty and freedom. Let us 29
pray. Almighty and eternal Lord God we thank thee for 30
thy holy days which lead us into guidance and
direction of thy will for all thy creation. As 31
Members of this Illinois House of Representatives may 32
we rededicate ourselves to the cherished ideals of
liberty and freedom not only in our religious living 33
but in all our considerations of legislation that will 34
bear upon the welfare and well being of the people of
this state whom we have been chosen to serve. We pray 35

this in thy most holy name. Amen." 35

Speaker Bradley: "We'll be led in the pledge by 37
Representative Ropp. Messages from the Senate." 38

Clerk O'Brien: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, 40
Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the 41
House of Representatives that the Senate concurred 42
with the House in passage Bill the following title to
wit. House Bill 2708, together with Senate Amendment 43
#1 passed by the Senate is amended December 3, 1980 by 44
a three-fifths vote, Kenneth Wright, Secretary.
Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. 45
Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of 46
Representatives the Senate passed the Bill the
following title and passage to which I am instructed 47
to ask concurrence of the House of Representatives to 48
wit. Senate Bill #2038 passed by the Senate December
3, 1980, Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Message from the 49
Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am 50
directed to inform the House of Representatives the 51
Senate concurred with the House of Representatives
passage Bill the following title to wit. House Bill 52
#3103 together with Senate Amendments #3 and 4 passed 53
by the Senate is amended December 3, 1980 by a
three-fifths vote, Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A 54
message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. 55
Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of 56
Representatives the Senate concurred with the House in
the acceptance of the Governor's specific 57
recommendation for change which are attached to the 58
following Bills. House Bill 1009 by a three-fifths
margin, House Bill 1522, House Bill 1673, House Bill 59

2227 by a three-fifths margin, House Bill 2876 by a 60
 three-fifths margin, House Bill 2997 by a three-fifths
 margin, House Bill 3007 by a three-fifths margin, 61
 House Bill 3070 by a three-fifths margin, House Bill 62
 3179 by a three-fifths margin, House Bill 3271 by a
 three-fifths margin, House Bill 3204 by a three-fifths 63
 margin and House 3401 concurred in by the Senate 64
 December 3, 1980, Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Message
 from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. 65
 Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of 66
 Representatives the Senate concurred with the House in
 the passage Bill the following title the Governor's 67
 specific recommendation change to contrary 68
 notwithstanding to wit. Senate Bill number..excuse me
 House Bill #2351 passed by the Senate December 3, 1980 69
 by a three-fifths vote, Kenneth Wright, Secretary. 70
 Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. 71
 Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of
 Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the 72
 House in passage of Bill the following title the 73
 Governor's specific recommendation for change to the
 contrary notwithstanding to wit. House Bill #3614 74
 passed by the Senate December 3, 1980 by a 75
 three-fifths vote, Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Message
 from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. 76
 Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of 77
 Representatives the Senate concurred with the House in
 passage of Bill the following title. The veto of the 78
 Governor to the contrary notwithstanding to wit. 79
 House Bill #3542 passed by the Senate December 3, 1980 80
 by a three-fifths vote, Kenneth Wright, Secretary."

- Speaker Bradley: "Roll Call for attendance. The Clerk will 82
take the roll. Let's let everybody get on here. What 83
purpose Mr. Giorgi rise?"
- Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, just for the record to indicate that 85
Representative DiPrima is ill and Representative Braun 86
is absent because of illness in the family."
- Speaker Bradley: "The record will so indicate. Senate Bills 88
Third Reading. Mr. Hallstrom on 2034. I understand 89
these are fairly non-controversial Bills. The Lady 90
from Cook, Mrs. Hallstrom."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 2034. A Bill for an Act to 92
amend certain Acts making appropriations for the 93
fiscal year ending June 30, 1981. Third Reading of 94
the Bill."
- Speaker Bradley: "The Lady from Cook, Mrs. Hallstrom." 96
- Hallstrom: "Now I'm on. Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies 98
and Gentlemen of the House. And yes, Mr. Speaker you 99
are right. This is a non-controversial Bill. Senate 100
Bill 2034 transfers \$432,500 from the Supreme Court
for FY 81 to the FY 81 budget for the Guardianship and 101
Advocacy Commission. The funds are for payment to 102
Guardian Ad litem. Persons who act for the court to
determine whether a client needs guardianship. 103
\$400,000 is for the fees. \$32,500 is for the 104
administration of the program. This is not an
increase in any appropriation it is merely a transfer. 105
This has been approved by all the persons concerned in 106
this whole field. I see no problem and I would ask 107
for your support. Thank you."
- Speaker Bradley: "Discussion? The question is on 'should 109
this Bill pass?' All in favor signify by voting 'aye', 110

opposed by voting 'no'. Take a three-fifths vote 111
 here. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who
 wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this 112
 question there are 118 'ayes', no 'nays' the Bill 113
 having received Constitutional Majority is hereby
 declared passed. Three-fifths. Three-fifths majority 114
 is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 2030. Read 115
 the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 2030. A Bill for an Act 117
 amending Sections of an Act making appropriations to 118
 certain State Agencies. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Peters, before I recognize you I think 120
 we had better make an announcement. I hope that 121
 everybody is listening. I understand that there is no 122
 question about it that we will be in session tomorrow
 so those ugly rumors that are going around that we 124
 won't be here are false and misleading. We will be in 125
 Session. It's imperative. We will be here tomorrow.
 At nine o'clock in the morning. The Gentleman from 126
 Cook, Mr. Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. 128
 Senate Bill 2030 is a supplemental appropriation to 129
 the Department of Rehabilitative Services and it calls 130
 for a supplemental of \$1,124,000 from the Illinois
 Veterans Rehabilitation Fund. This is part of a 131
 program of the Federal Government in which they state 132
 shares an 80 percent contribution by the Federal
 Government and 20 percent share by the state. The 133
 initial matching share by the state was in the so 134
 called soft match of services and equipment on review
 the Federal Government has indicated that the soft 135

match that the Department initially prepared was not 136
 totally acceptable. Therefore, we need us an 137
 additional appropriation. The monies will be going
 for programs at the Illinois School for the Deaf, the 138
 Visually Handicapped Institute, the Illinois Institute 139
 for the Visually Impaired, the Children's Hospital and
 the various community services for the blind. Some 140
 17,000 new cases that are anticipated and people 141
 presently in the program would be jeopardized at this
 point if this supplemental would not be passed. It 142
 has been received a..full support by the Senate and 143
 both sides of the aisle here. I would respectfully
 request the approval of the House." 144

Speaker Bradley: "Discussion? Hearing none the question is, 146
 shall Senate Bill 2030 pass? All in favor signify by 147
 voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted 148
 who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will 149
 take the record. On this question there are 120
 'ayes' and no 'nays'. This Bill having received 150
 three-fifths Constitutional Majority is hereby 151
 declared passed. Mr. Borchers wishes to be recorded
 as voting 'aye'. Senate Bill 2033. Read it.." 152

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 2033. A Bill for an Act to 154
 amend Sections of an Act making appropriations for the 155
 ordinary contingent expense of the Environmental 156
 Protection Agency. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "The Lady from Cook, Mrs. Macdonald." 158

Macdonald: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 2033 represents a 160
 \$312,600 supplemental appropriation to the fiscal year 161
 '81 Environmental Protection Agency Budget. Actually 162
 there was an Amendment by the Senate to add \$57,557

- for the Northeastern Illinois Regional Planning Commission, Greater Egypt Regional Planning Commission, Southwestern Illinois Regional Planning Commission. It is essential for us to carry on with this supplemental appropriation because of the 207 water mandate and so I would ask for your approval of this supplementary appropriation." 163
164
165
166
- Speaker Bradley: "Discussion? Yes, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz." 168
- Leverenz: "What is the total amount?" 170
- Macdonald: "\$312,600." 172
- Leverenz: "There is an appropriation in there for.. did you say NEIPC?" 174
- Macdonald: "Yes." 176
- Leverenz: "How much for NEIPC?" 178
- Macdonald: "\$18,750." 180
- Leverenz: "Thank you." 182
- Speaker Bradley: "Further discussion? Do you wish to close?" 184
- Macdonald: "I just would like to ask for your vote on this appropriation, please." 186
- Speaker Bradley: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 2033 pass? All in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 113 'ayes' and 14 'nos', five voting 'present'. This Bill having received a three-fifths Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Yes, Mr. Collins, what purpose do you rise?" 188
189
190
191
192
193
- Collins: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. For the purpose of 195

- announcement, a number of Members of the House were 196
 notified that they were to receive awards from the 197
 American Legion. The awards are now in room 114 and
 if you would go down there to accept your award the 198
 State Commander and his staff are waiting to present 199
 your award to you."
- Speaker Bradley: "Let's back up to Senate Bill 2031, 201
 Mr...read it a third time."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 2031. A Bill for an Act to 203
 amend Sections of an Act making appropriations to 204
 certain State Agencies. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Morgan, Mr. Reilly." 206
- Reilly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the 208
 House. Senate Bill 2031 is a simple transfer Bill of 209
 four million dollars from the Cuban Refugee to the 210
 Indo-Chinese Refugee line item. It's entirely
 federally funded. There's no new additional 211
 appropriations. It's a transfer. I would ask for 212
 a...be glad to answer any questions and otherwise ask
 for a favorable Roll Call."
- Speaker Bradley: "Discussion? Mr. Ewing, your light is 214
 flashing. Did you wish to address the chair. 215
 Alright, in that case then all the question is shall 216
 Senate Bill 2031 passed. All in favor signify by
 voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted 217
 who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will 218
 take the record. On this question there are 108
 'ayes', 4 'nays', 9 voting 'present'. The Bill having 219
 received three-fifths Constitutional Majority is 220
 hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 2036."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 2036. A Bill for an Act 222

amending certain fiscal year 1981 appropriation Acts	223
and to provide supplemental appropriations thereto.	224
Third Reading of the Bill."	
Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Swanstrom."	226
Swanstrom: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 2036 is a supplemental transfer Bill for the Illinois Law Enforcement Commission. Its fiscal impact effects grants to State Agencies. We're talking of increase from the General Revenue Fund of ninety thousand dollars. A total increase of \$1,062,109, nine hundred thousand of which is federal money."	228
	229
	230
	231
	232
Speaker Bradley: "Discussion? Hearing... the Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Mulcahey."	234
Mulcahey: "Would the Sponsor..would the Sponsor yield, Mr. Speaker?"	236
Speaker Bradley: " He indicates that he will."	238
Mulcahey: "Representative Swanstrom..do you say this is mostly of federal..federal monies that we're talking about here?"	240
	241
Swanstrom: "That is correct."	243
Mulcahey: "Alright, thank you very much."	245
Speaker Bradley: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 2036 pass? All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 125 'ayes', 1 'nay', 1 voting 'present'. The Bill having received a three-fifths Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 2040. Read it a third	247
	248
	249
	250
	251
	252

time."	252
Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 2040. A Bill for an Act making appropriations and reappropriations to the Capital Development Board for certain permanent improvements and repairs at public community colleges. Third Reading of the Bill."	254 255 256
Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich."	258
Matijevich: "Mr..Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Senate Bill 2040 is identical to House Bill 3631 which we passed out of the House two weeks ago. It makes appropriations from the Capital Development Fund to the Capital Development Board for the Illinois Community College Board for correction of construction defects at certain community colleges, Danville Area Community College, Illinois Eastern, Rend Lake and Triton. I'd appreciate your support for Senate Bill 2040."	260 261 262 263 264 265 266
Speaker Bradley: "Discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill 2040 pass? All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 116 'ayes', 14 'nays', 5 voting 'present'. This Bill having received three-fifths Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Have..Mr. Vinson did you wish to call 2028? Turn Mr. Vinson on."	268 269 270 271 272 273 274
Vinson: "I'd like to take it back to Second Reading for purposes of an Amendment."	276
Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman asks to take Senate Bill 2028 back to Second Reading for purpose of Amendment. Are there any objections? Hearing none we'll return	278 279 280

- the Bill to Second Reading." 280
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 2028 has been read a second time. 282
Amendment #13 amends Senate Bill 2028 is amended on 283
page 1 by deleting line 1 and so forth."
- Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson on 285
the Amendment."
- Vinson: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. 287
I've noticed that Mr. McClain is not in his chair. I 288
had hoped to explain to him today why it was 289
unnecessary to make this Amendment. It corrects a
problem that he thought was a problem. I don't think 290
it is a problem but in deference to him since he's not 291
on the floor I'll go ahead and do what he wanted done
yesterday. And I would move for adoption of the 292
Amendment."
- Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman moves the adoption of 294
Amendment #13. All in favor...The Gentleman from 295
Effingham, Mr. Brummer."
- Brummer: "Yes, does this eliminate the language concerning 297
the Governor? Okay, and why is it eliminated? Wasn't 298
a Representative on the floor yesterday that that 299
language was another CDB Bills?"
- Vinson: "Yes, Representative, I as a matter of fact I've 301
just given your...I've just given 'Veronica' copies of 302
the 1980 and the 1981 General Capital Bills which 303
contain that language. It's ..my.. the only reason
that I'm eliminating it is McClain's not on the floor 304
and rather than have him suggest later that I lied to 305
him yesterday I'll take the language out of the
Amendment."
- Brummer: "Okay, why do feel it is not necessary? Because 307

there is.. " 307

Vinson: "It's not necessary because it's mandated by statute 309
anyway."

Brummer: "Thank you." 311

Speaker Bradley: "Further discussion? Hearing none the 313
question's on the Gentleman's Amendment. All in favor 314
signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' 315
have it. The Amendment's adopted. Now Mr. Vinson.
Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #14, Mautino. Amends 'Senate Bill 317
2028 on page 4 by deleting lines 5 & 6 inserting in 318
lieu thereof the following."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Bureau, Mr. Mautino. I 320
understand it's just been filed and we'll have to wait 321
until it is printed and distributed so let's hold it 322
on Second Reading until the Amendments come up. Mr.
Vinson."

Vinson: "Understanding that the Gentleman wants to withdraw 324
that Amendment."

Speaker Bradley: "You want to withdraw it? I can't hear 326
you. You're not on."

Mautino: "One Section is mislabeled. I don't want to tie 328
the Bill up. I'll withdraw it at this time." 329

Speaker Bradley: "Alright, the Gentleman withdraws the 331
Amendment. Alright, are there further Amendments?" 332

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments." 334

Speaker Bradley: "Alright, then we'll move it back to Third 336
Reading. Mr. Vinson." 337

Vinson: "I would move to suspend the provisions of Rule 35C 339
so that the Bill can be considered today." 340

Speaker Bradley: "Alright, the Gentleman moves to ..under 342

- provision 35C to address the Bill on Third Reading. 343
- Are there any objections? Alright, let's read the 344
Bill a third time."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 2028. A Bill for an Act amends 346
Sections of an Act to provide appropriations for 347
certain agencies. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson." 349
- Vinson: "I would move for adoption of the Bill." 351
- Speaker Bradley: "Are there any discussion? Alright, the 353
question is, shall Senate Bill 2028 pass? All in 354
favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. 355
Ryan. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who
wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this 356
question we have 116 'ayes', 9 'nays'. This Bill 357
having received a three-fifths Constitutional Majority
hereby declared passed. Senate Bills Third Reading. 359
Senate Bill 2041."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 2041. A Bill for an Act to amend 361
the Nursing Home Care Reform Act of 1979. Third 362
Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Rock Island, Mr. 364
Darrow."
- Darrow: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of 366
the House, what this legislation does is extend the 367
deadline from January 1, 1981 to July 1, 1981 by which 368
facilities must comply with the requirements that
service contracts be executed with the current Nursing 369
Home Residents. This is the legislation that's needed 370
so that the children of a Nursing Home Resident would 371
be required to go to court and be declared their
guardians of their parents in order to execute this 372

- contract. What we're doing is getting a six month 373
 delay in this so we can look at the statute and see if
 we can clarify it so that the children need not spend 374
 the seven or eight hundred dollars for attorney fees 375
 just to have guardianship established. I'd ask for a 376
 favorable vote."
- Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from LaSalle, Mr. Anderson." 378
- Anderson: "Will the Sponsor yield for question." 380
- Speaker Bradley: "He indicates that he will." 382
- Anderson: "Now this means people in Nursing Homes today 384
 whether they be Public Aid or private pay patients. 385
 Is that correct?"
- Darrow: "That is correct." 387
- Anderson: "How about a person coming into a Nursing Home?" 389
- Darrow: "That person coming into the Nursing Home will not 391
 be required to have a guardian appointed at this time. 392
 The child of that person will be able to execute the 393
 contract just as it has been over the past few years.
 After July 1, if we don't do anything with the statute 394
 there's that possibility that they will have to go to 395
 court and have a guardianship established."
- Anderson: "What you're saying then if the person should come 397
 into a home and is incompetent as once this law is 398
 passed the date will still be pushed back to July 1." 399
- Darrow: "That is correct." 401
- Anderson: "Thank you, I urge everyone to vote for this 403
 because it is much needed. I experience what this 404
 Bill will remedy in my area where there are people in 405
 Nursing Homes without money and their relatives do not
 have the money to execute this contract and they're 406
 caught between a rock and a hard place because if this 407

Bill is not passed on January 1, the Nursing Homes, if 408
the law is carried out would have to eliminate these
people from their homes."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Marion, Mr. Friedrich." 410

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, I urge everyone to support this 412
Bill. I'm sure you've all had problems with it, in 413
fact, I've been working on a problem this morning and 414
I hope between now and July we can figure out some
system that won't require this unnecessary expense." 415

Speaker Bradley: "Discussion? Hearing none, the question 417
is, shall Senate Bill 2041 pass? All in favor will 418
signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The 419
Gentleman from Livingston, Mr. Ewing."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, I had my light on and I did want to ask 421
a question."

Speaker Bradley: "Well, I saw the light was on and didn't 423
see you sitting there so Mr. Darrow would you answer a 424
question for Mr. Ewing?"

Ewing: "Representative, I've had some complaints concerning 426
different parts of this Act particular the part where 427
you have to have an incompetency hearing. Does this 428
legislation effect the implementation of the entire
Act or only how it ef..applies to the institution?" 429

Darrow: "This would only pertain to the contracts between 431
the institution and the resident. It does not affect 432
any other Sections of it other than that provision. 433
We can come back next spring and work on those other
provisions that we're having difficulty with." 434

Ewing: "Well, I would wholeheartedly support anything that 436
delays this Bill, I'm ..sorry doesn't go farther." 437

Speaker Bradley: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will 439

take the record. On this question there are 136 440
 'ayes', no 'nays', 1 voting 'present'. The Bill 441
 having received a three-fifths Constitutional Majority
 is hereby declared passed. The Gentleman from Lake, 442
 Mr. Matijevich I understand will handle Senate Bill 443
 2035. Let's read it a third time."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 2035. A Bill for an Act to amend 445
 an Act making appropriations for certain continuing 446
 boards and commissions. Third Reading of the Bill." 447

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich." 449

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Senate 451
 Bill 2035 is a transfer Bill, no change in the total 452
 appropriation is in the amount of \$15,163. The 453
 Legislative Advisory Committee on Public Aid wishes to
 include two contractual employees under State Health 454
 Insurance Plan by concluded them as part time 455
 employees paid from personal services rather than
 contractual and that is the reason for the transfer. 456
 I appreciate your support on Senate Bill 2035." 457

Speaker Bradley: "Any discussion? If not, the question is, 459
 shall Senate Bill 2035 pass? All in favor signify by 460
 voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted 461
 who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will 462
 take the record. On this question there are 126
 'ayes', 3 'nays', 2 voting 'present'. This Bill 463
 having received a three-fifths Constitutional Majority
 is hereby declared passed. The Order of Senate Bills 464
 Third Reading appears Senate Bill 2029. Let's read it 465
 a third time. I understand Mr. Wolf will handle the 466
 Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 2029. A Bill for an Act making 468

appropriations to the Department of Administrative 469
 Services, the Capital Development Board and the State 470
 Board of Education. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Wolf." 472

Wolf: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. The 474
 effect of this Bill adds to the Department of 475
 Administrative Services FY 81 Appropriation Act by 476
 adding the words remodeling to the line item defined 477
 as 'for moving expenses the Comptroller will not 478
 approve payment for construction for these partitions 479
 unless the line item includes the provision that the 480
 money can be used for remodeling There are also two 479
 Amendments which were added .. three Amendments I beg 480
 your pardon, in the Senate. One providing a million, 481
 nine for the purchase of a Deaf, Blind Center at Glen 481
 Ellyn and Amendment #2, Senate Amendment #2 482
 appropriates \$367,000 to the State Board of Education 483
 from the Common School Fund for apportionment to East 483
 Richland Community District Unit One. We also have 484
 added some language here in the House to the Arts 485
 Council which distinguishes by line item the operation 486
 expenses. This spells out what they can spend. It 486
 tightens down on their administrative costs. And I 487
 would move for the passage of this Bill. It's a good 487
 Amendment, Phil."

Speaker Bradley: "Discussion? Hearing none the question is, 489
 shall Senate Bill 2029 pass? All in favor signify by 490
 voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted 491
 who wish? Have all voted who wish? Ropp wishes to be 492
 recorded..Phil why don't you just punch Ropp's 'aye' 492
 there. Sam you could still get on there we haven't 493

closed the voting. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 114 'ayes', 29 'nos', 1 voting 'present'. This Bill having received a three-fifths Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. It gives me a great deal of pleasure at this time to make an introduction of a new Member to the Illinois House. If he would turn around and address the chair I will make the introduction properly so that he, I understand, has an announcement that he'd like to make this morning to the House Members. A former distinguished Member of the Illinois House, Frank Giglio. Frank."

Giglio: "Thank you, thank you, Mr. Speaker. The former distinguished Member I've been reminded. I thank you and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it's a pleasure to be back and truthfully it's a great feeling and in lieu of that I've been informed that the Governor of the State of Illinois is throwing a surprise party for me tonight at the mansion and everybody is welcome to attend. Thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "You always did carry a lot of weight, Frank. On the calendar..on the calendar under total veto motions appears Senate Bill 2013, Mr. Leinenweber. Mr. Leinenweber, on your...on your total veto motion on page 3 Senate Bill 2013."

Leinenweber: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Are we ready Mr. Speaker? Are we ready to proceed?"

Speaker Bradley: "We're ready to proceed if you are."

Leinenweber: "Yes sir. I would ask leave to consider Senate Bills 2013 and 2014 together. They cover the same

subject matter which is the making it illegal 522
 for...and the one Bill 2013 State Board of Education
 and 2014 State Agencies to prohibit them from 523
 expending Federal Funds without having been 524
 appropriated by the Legislature. I would ask leave to
 consider both Bills together." 525

Speaker Bradley: "Are there any objections to considering 527
 both of these Bills together? Mr. Conti do you 528
 object? Do you want them divided? There have been 529
 objections Mr. Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Alright, I'll proceed then with 2013. Very 531
 simply Senate Bill 2013 which has been debated at 532
 length every Session that I've been a Member down 533
 here. It has been passed overwhelmingly by this Body
 and has been vetoed by the Governor I might say 534
 non-partisanly. Governor Walker vetoed these Bills as 535
 has Governor Thompson every chance they have. Very 536
 simply Senate Bill 2013 prohibits the State Board of 537
 Education from expending Federal Funds without an 538
 appropriation of the General Assembly. Now those of
 you who are familiar with the Constitution of 1970 539
 would say what else is new and very it seems to 540
 clearly require that before the State Board of
 Education or any of the State Agencies expend money 541
 that there be an appropriation. But this has not been 542
 the case. The State Board of Education as well as
 State Agencies has for a long time considered that 543
 they have a right when Federal Funds become available 544
 and when the General Assembly is not in Session to go
 ahead and spend the money. Now it is very clearly a 545
 constitutional question as whether or not this can be 546

done but unfortunately no one has arisen to stop them 546
 from doing it. This has been an effort..joint effort 547
 a non-partisan joint effort on both sides of the aisle 548
 to put a stop to this once and for all. So, as I 549
 said, Senate Bill 2013 would prohibit the State Board
 of Education from expending Federal Funds without us 550
 having appropriated. The argument is given that 551
 sometimes these funds become available on a moment's
 notice and they might somehow become unavailable. 552
 Well, I don't see whether this is a rather..I think 553
 gross violation of the principle of separation of
 powers. The Constitution requires that we appropriate 555
 funds before they can be expended and this 556
 statutes..this Bill seeks to carry out that obvious
 constitutional intent. So I urge an 'aye' vote on the 557
 motion to override Senate Bill, Governor's veto to 558
 Senate Bill 2013."

Speaker Bradley: "Discussion? The Gentleman from DuPage, 560
 Mr. Schneider."

Schneider: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Members let me just 562
 finish my banana carmel then I'll start. I didn't 563
 think you'd recognize me. You know how you are with 564
 me Bradley sometimes. Let me just comment on what
 Representative Leinenweber has been suggesting. I 565
 think like...let's run it through this process. The 566
 fiscal year for the Federal Government ends I believe
 October 1, monies made available to us would not be 567
 ready for expenditure possibly except if we are in 568
 Veto Session as we sometimes are. However, I wouldn't
 assume that we would guarantee that monies for certain 569
 programs would be available. In fact, a shortfall in 570

a local district that would be counting on federal 571
 monies might very well place them in the position of
 not..of having a program on Board as of September and 572
 then being faced with the inability to get those funds 573
 as they would be made through the State Board of 574
 Education. Having not been able to get those funds,
 what are the alternatives for continuing the program 575
 and providing money? Well, you may have to wind up 576
 taking it out of another account. Let's say the
 School Aid or the Common School Fund would have to be 577
 borrowed from or pillaged or stolen from. In the 578
 event there's a shortfall as we have seen in many of
 the funds throughout the state that might lead us into 579
 a set of circumstances that would lead us to have to 580
 borrow locally so I'm kind of doubtful about the value
 of the proposition. I think I understand somewhat the 581
 argument that is being advanced the constitutional 582
 question but we have always raised certain questions
 about the Constitution and not necessarily being in 583
 compliance with them as was yesterday with 1812. So I 584
 think it's a at least from the point of view of 2013 585
 are you doing two at once? In the point of view of
 2013 I would have some real reluctance with supporting 586
 that. I would encourage the Members to be very much 587
 aware of a couple of things happening simultaneously.
 One is, House Bill 929 which calls for a study of 588
 collection and dispersals of Revenue and will be 589
 having a Commissioner report to deal with the flow of
 Revenue. I'm just concerned at this point on this 590
 matter that our fiscal year does not coincide with the 591
 Federal Government. Monies are allocated and

appropriated for programs that cannot be expended for 592
 until that money gets there. If we wait for the 593
 General Assembly to act I think it would be a mistake.
 We sometimes are vindictive. I would hate to be a 594
 black school district faced with the problem of 595
 desegregation and count on this Legislative Body to 596
 approve those funds. I think it's a Bill that we
 don't need. I understand Mr. Leinenweber is going to 597
 quote the Constitution but I'd ask you to read the one 598
 from yesterday as well, Harry. I solicit a 'no'
 vote."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Conti." 600

Conti: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I 602
 reluctantly get up to oppose my friend from Joliet but 603
 99 and 9/10 % of the appropriations are now taken care 604
 of by the General Assembly and for that 1/10% with the
 problems that we've been having the inclement weather, 605
 an act of God, a disaster. We'd have to call a 606
 special Session for that 1/10% of the appropriation of 607
 Federal Funds that have to be spent. Why can't we
 create a Commission of the appropriation leaders or 608
 the leadership in itself on a twenty-four hour notice 609
 to come in and appropriate that 1/10% that's left? I
 can't see where this Bill is necessary and it would 610
 possibly cost twice the amount of money that you're 611
 trying to save by calling a special Session in just 612
 for that 1/10% that is not being appropriated by the
 Legislature now. I urge you to vote 'no' on these, 613
 both of these Bills."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Coles, Mr. Stuffle." 615

Stuffle: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield to a 617

- question?" 617
- Speaker Bradley: "He indicates he will." 619
- Stuffle: "Harry, Representative Kosinski and I don't have 621
the veto message at hand. Could you tell us what the 622
Governor's rationale is for the veto on 2013? 623
Representative supplied it here."
- Leinenweber: "Basically the Governor said that he said it 625
all before and he doesn't have to repeat it but his 626
theory is that this places undue hardship on State 627
Agencies by denying them flexibility to acquire
Federal Funds only temporarily available." 628
- Stuffle: "Another question. Having looked at the 630
appropriations process that you and I both have, would 631
you agree that in some cases particularly in education 632
that there's a difference as to the flow of dollars
from the Federal Government particularly in education 633
as opposed to some of these other agencies?" 634
- Leinenweber: "You're talking about when funds become 636
available you mean?"
- Stuffle: "Yes sir. It's particularly in education. It 638
seems to me that that's that that is the area that the 639
money comes in at inappropriate times."
- Leinenweber: "Well, that's the argument given. Of course, 641
we could ask the Federal Government to change its 642
fiscal year. I doubt if they would or we could change 643
ours I suppose and I doubt if we would do that. That
is the argument against it but I don't see where that 644
changes the Constitutional principle of separation of 645
powers and if you believe as I do that the
appropriation process ought to be here rather than in 646
some bureaucrat then I think the Bills make a lot of 647

sense." 647

Stuffle: "To the Bill on the motion, Mr. Speaker. It seems 649
to me that we are between a rock and a hard place on 650
this and I tend to agree ..I hate to say this, with 651
both sides. But, having worked in the appropriation
process both as a Member and a staffer and 652
particularly with education as well as many other 653
agencies involved in the appropriations process.
It's..what I've seen I think follows the pattern that 654
Representative Conti has indicated. Particularly in 655
education, however, we find the situation, we have
much more cash flow if you will from the Federal 656
Government we do in other agencies we do in 657
inappropriate times if you will because of that
difference in the fiscal years. And many times I know 658
in the experience I've had that we find education 659
dollars that are only available for certain periods of
time. We can't win either way I don't think. I 660
respect your viewpoint as to the need for the 661
appropriations process and the legitimacy of the whole
system and for General Assembly control and it can get 662
out of hand either way I think. But for the reason 663
cited for Representative Conti and the experience I've 664
had particularly in the process involving education it
seems to me that the motion is inappropriate and I 665
think we have a problem in the sense that if we don't 666
have ourselves in a special Session at times by luck 667
if you will or whatever process that we may be in a 668
great deal of trouble and we may lose some eligibility
in some cases where we want it and I admit that 669
there's some cases where we may pass through some 670

money where we didn't have control but I think from 670
time to time and year to year we get the chance to go 671
back and look at those..those problems and they keep 672
recurring and I..for those reasons and those cited by 673
Representative Conti I would urge opposition to the
motion to override the Governor's veto." 674

Speaker Bradley: "The Lady from Sangamon, Mrs. Oblinger. 676
Mrs. Oblinger."

Oblinger: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. The budget 678
process in the Federal Government goes through four 679
steps. Number one, the House comes in with a budget 680
and the Senate then the President comes in with his
budget message, they might arrive at a compromise in 681
time for us to appropriate it, but that happens very 682
seldom. What they do is put it on a continuing 683
Resolution and all of a sudden then they bring it to a
vote and we wouldn't have time to get together to 684
approve or disapprove it. If you only had one budget
process there like we do we might be able to do it but 685
you're going to have a very, very rough time trying to 686
do it with that kind of a process."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Hoffman." 688

Hoffman: "Thank you very much. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and 690
Gentlemen of the House, I, too, rise in opposition to 691
this override. For some of the same reasons that have 692
been cited. But it seems to me that one point that
should be taken into consideration as well as the 693
other excellent points that have been made. Those 694
points are: (1) that we are on different fiscal
years with the federal budget on an October to October 695
basis, (2) we're talking about a very, very small and 696

I think insignificant percentage of Federal Funds that 697
 would be affected by this because we already
 appropriate as Representative Conti said the vast 698
 majority of them without any difficulty at all. I
 would make one additional point and that is the fact 699
 that we have a new regime in place in the State Board 700
 of Education and some of the criticisms that have been 701
 made of their operation in the past in relation to
 Federal Funds and not following out the agreements 702
 that they made with the Appropriations Committee. I 703
 think those arguments will be overcome. I feel
 certain that the Superintendent Gill and the point of 704
 view that he brings that we will find a change in that 705
 pattern. And for that reason additional reason, Mr.
 Speaker, that I think the difficulties will be 706
 resolved without this. For that reason, Mr. Speaker, 707
 as well as the ones mentioned previously I rise in
 opposition to this override." 708

Speaker Bradley: "The Lady from Adams, Mrs. Kent." 710

Kent: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I wonder if I could ask a 712
 question?"

Speaker Bradley: "He indicates he'll yield." 714

Kent: "I happen to come from an area where there have been 716
 many disasters. We have applied for disaster funds. 717
 What would happen if those funds were needed 718
 immediately and the Session was out..there were..we
 were not in Session. What would happen?" 719

Leinenweber: "Well, it depends. If the disaster funds come 721
 through the state then we would probably if we wanted 722
 to spend them have to come back into special Session. 723
 If the disaster funds went to some other level of 724

Government they could be dealt with by that level of 724
 Government. We're talking about the state 725
 appropriation process."

Kent: "I know but these funds happen to come through the 727
 emergency services because that is who is the one that 728
 spends them."

Leinenweber: "Well this Bill wouldn't have anything to do 730
 with that because it has to do with the State Board of 731
 Education. You're probably talking about the next 732
 Bill which we're not considering at this time."

Kent: "Alright, well then we'll watch the next one too." 734

Leinenweber: "Good." 736

Speaker Bradley: "Further discussion? The Lady from Cook, 738
 Ms. Pullen."

Pullen: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. 740
 It wasn't too long ago that this House expressed its 741
 asserted itself shall we say over the bureaucrats with 742
 the overriding of a veto related to the Joint 743
 Committee on Administrative Rules and a Legislative
 Veto procedure on rule making and yesterday the Senate 744
 concurred with that by a vote of 57 to nothing. Those 745
 were great moments in legislative history with the
 Legislative Branch saying 'we're in charge, we're the 746
 elected ones, you appointed guys are not in charge'. 747
 That is also the issue on this vote. It is the issue
 of whether we're going to allow appointed people who 748
 have no responsibility to the public to just spend 749
 whatever money floats down free from Washington 750
 whenever it comes floating down the Potomac through
 all the waterways or whatever. Or whether we are 751
 going to keep control of the spending of this state. 752

The only way that the Legislature can be responsible 752
 and responsive to the taxpayers of this state is for 753
 us to hold the control of the purse strings. And the 754
 only way that we are going to force the bureaucracy to
 be responsible is to hold the control of the purse 755
 strings. This is not free money just because it is 756
 the people's federal pocket that they take it out of. 757
 It may be washed because of that but it is not free.
 It is the people's money and we are the elected 758
 officials closest to them in appropriation basis. We 759
 ought to be retaining the control to appropriate, we
 ought to be taking back what we should never have 760
 given up before and we ought to vote resoundingly to 761
 override this ill considered and unfortunate and wrong
 veto."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Beatty." 763

Beatty: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question." 765

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman moves the previous question. 767

All in favor of the Gentleman's motion say 'aye', 768

opposed 'no'. Opinion of the chair, the 'ayes' have 769

it. The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Leinenweber to close
 the debate."

Leinenweber: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. A lot has been said 771

about this Bill both today and in past years. I think 772

one of the things I had again to point out is the very 773

explicit language of Section 2 of Article 8 of the 774

Constitution. The General Assembly by law shall make

appropriations for all expenditures of public funds by 776

the state. And that's pretty definite. Now what

we're talking about is allowing State Agencies in this 777

particular case the State Board of Education to spend 778

public funds without an appropriation. Now that 779
 doesn't bother you than I suppose you'll probably want
 to vote to sustain the Governor. I can understand why 780
 the Governor vetoed the Bill because he is not part of 781
 the legislative process and he would like to take over
 as much of the legislative process as he possibly can. 782
 The question here is whether or not we ought to 783
 continue to let the executive agencies of this state 784
 expend money. Just a few short weeks ago
 Representative Pullen pointed out we voted to allow a 785
 Committee of this House and Senate, Joint Committee of 786
 this House and Senate, to really stomp all over the
 Executive Branch. Now it would certainly be 787
 inconsistent to turn your back on probably one of the 788
 all time stampings of the Legislative Branch by the
 Executive. An example given the snow removal money, 789
 this might not be so readily spendable if we have to 790
 come back into Session to spend it. Well, I agree
 with that statement 100%. If you look how they blew 791
 money up in the city of Chicago and other places of 792
 this state in removing snow and if it doesn't bother
 you that those are your tax funds which these local 793
 governments blew then probably you'll want to vote to 794
 sustain the Governor on this Bill but I think it would 795
 be a good idea in these instances where disaster money
 comes into this state that somebody take a look at it. 796
 Somebody from the Legislative Branch of Government 797
 take a look at these monies. To see whether or not 798
 appropriate plans for use these monies are in place. 799
 To see that money is not thrown away to the winds just 800
 because it happens to come from the Federal Government

which has a printing press and creates inflationary 801
 deficit spending. Small amount...the small amount can 802
 grow into a big amount because there's nothing there
 to prevent them from taking rather huge sums of money. 803
 If they can get by with 99.5% why can't they go all 804
 the way and follow the Constitution for the remainder. 805
 I urge an 'aye' vote on the motion to override this
 improper veto by the Governor." 806

Speaker Bradley: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 2013 808
 pass, the veto of the Governor notwithstanding? All 809
 in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 810
 'no'. Mr. Collins did you wish to explain your vote?"

Collins: "Yes, thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and 812
 Gentlemen of the House. I might point to the Members 813
 of this House that this Bill came out of the Senate 814
 Committee by a vote of 11 to nothing and passed...the
 Senate 57 to nothing. It came out of the House 815
 Committee 17 to nothing and passed this House 136 to 816
 2. Now the only argument made against these Bills is
 that at some point bureaucratic agencies may not be 817
 able to spend Federal Funds without any legislative 818
 oversight. I say that's too bad. If they can't spend 819
 money and can't waste money but I would suggest to you
 that these agencies salivate over the prospects of 820
 getting money that they can spend without any 821
 legislative's oversight at all. These are very good 822
 Bills. They say that the Legislature is going to have
 the authority to say how all funds that come into the 823
 governmental stream are spent. I would urge a vote in 824
 favor of this override. These Bills have passed on 825
 numerous occasions in the past under my Sponsorship,

under Representative Lechowicz Sponsorship and many 826
 others. I think these are excellent Bills and I would 827
 urge you to join Representative Leinenweber in his
 vote to override the Governor's veto." 828

Speaker Bradley: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted 830
 who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this 831
 question there are 65 'ayes' and 72 'nos' and the 832
 Gentleman's motion fails. Mr. Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Well the Gentleman that is asking to have the 834
 same Roll Call was the Gentleman that prevented me 835
 from presenting both Bills together. I think it's 836
 rather untimely for him to raise that point now but I
 would just make the comment that if you thought that 837
 Bill was bad you'll think the next one is really bad 838
 because this covers the State Agencies and doesn't let 839
 them, the Governor's Agencies doesn't allow them to
 spend money out of season. They haven't been 840
 appropriated by us. I'll accept the same Roll Call. 841
 It's very apparent that people don't want to have this
 power restored to the Legislature." 842

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Leinenweber. We'll take the other 844
 Roll Call in just a minute. We have..want ...going to 845
 introduce Representative Collins for the purpose of an 846
 introduction."

Collins: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of 848
 the House. This is a rare honor for me and I am sorry 849
 I got it as I did. Our comrade, Representative Larry 850
 DiPrima, is in the hospital. He's coming along
 alright but he is currently hospitalized and generally 851
 he would perform this very pleasant function in the 852
 House. We are honored to have with us today Commander

Pat Breen of the American Legion State Commander and 853
 Commander James King the State Commander of the 854
 ANVETS. They'd like to say hello to you. They've 855
 been here to thank, they are here to thank those of 856
 you who have supported the cause of veterans they have 857
 come to award numerous Members of the House. I think
 some of you have the beautiful plaques that they 858
 presented to us this morning. Those of you who have 859
 not received your plaques I have at my desk now and 860
 I'll distribute them to you. But now I'd like to
 introduce first, Commander Breen and then Commander 861
 King."

Breen: "Senators, on behalf of the American Legion, I 863
 personally want to thank you for your cooperation over 864
 all the years. I've been a Post Service Officer, 865
 District Service Officer and so forth since 1946 and
 the help that you have given the veterans especially 866
 the widows and the children on the educational Bills 867
 and other Bills are deeply appreciated by the citizens
 of your district. Thank you very much." 868

Collins: "And Commander King of the ANVETS." 870

King: "I can only echo Mr. Breen's words. The State of 872
 Illinois has historically been in the fore front of 873
 looking out for veterans and the widows and children
 and we'd like to thank all of you for continuing that 874
 tradition during this Session. Thank you very much." 875

Collins: "Thank you Gentlemen, Ladies and Gentlemen. Thank 877
 you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Bradley: "Now, Mr. Leinenweber, you wish to...the 879
 Gentleman from Will, Mr. Leinenweber." 880

Leinenweber: "Well as I was saying about my colleague from 882

- Elmwood Park, he certainly wanted two Roll Calls 883
before. He apparently doesn't want two now but I'll 884
accept this Roll Call as I said this Bill if you
thought the last Bill was bad you'll think this one is 885
horrible because it attempts to tie the State Agencies 886
of the Governor's office from expending funds which we 887
don't have any appropriation Bills before us on. So
I'll accept that Roll Call. The other Members want.." 888
- Speaker Bradley: "We have leave to use the same Roll Call on 890
Senate Bill 2014. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. 891
Ewell."
- Ewell: "Leave to be recorded 'no' on both Bills." 893
- Speaker Bradley: "You wish to be recorded vote 'no' on both 895
of them? Alright, that won't make any difference in 896
the outcome of the...Alright, alright, leave is 897
granted then to use the same Roll Call with Mr.
Stearney being recorded as 'aye' on both Roll Calls. 898
Mr. Ewell recorded as 'no' on both. That will be 899
66-73, and the Gentleman's motions fail. On 900
amendatory veto motions...Mr. Schoeberlein wishes to 901
be recorded as voting 'no' on both Bills. Senate Bill
First Reading."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 2038, McGrew. A Bill for an Act 903
to amend Sections of an Act making appropriations to 904
the Illinois State Scholarship Commission. First 905
Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Bradley: "Now let's go to amendatory veto motion 907
appears Senate Bill 1480. The Gentleman from DuPage, 908
Mr. Hoffman."
- Hoffman: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and 910
Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill...I move that we 911

accept the Governor's amendatory veto to Senate Bill 912
 1480. During the course of the last Session this Bill
 which is directed at providing for the leasing and 913
 transfer of Real Estate between adjacent school 914
 districts as well as increasing the minimum adult
 education reimbursement from two dollars to \$3.50. In 915
 the Senate an additional Amendment was added which 916
 dealt with the consolidation of boundaries of
 overlapping townships and municipalities when we 917
 review petitions for school district boundaries. The 918
 Governor struck that Amendment to the Bill so as the
 Bill stands now and the Bill that I ask you to approve 919
 provides for the leasing and transfer of Real Estate 920
 between adjacent school districts as we provided when 921
 we passed the Bill here. And also increases the 922
 maximum adult education reimbursement rates from \$2.00
 to \$3.50 per credit hour. With that explanation, Mr. 923
 Speaker, I move for that we accept the Governor's 924
 amendatory veto to Senate Bill 1480."

Speaker Bradley: "Discussion? The question is, shall the 926
 House accept the Governor's specific recommendation 927
 for change with the respect to Senate Bill 1480? All 928
 in favor of the Gentleman's motion will signify by
 voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted 929
 who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will 930
 take the record. On this question we have 152 'ayes', 931
 3 'nos' and this motion having received the
 Constitutional Majority prevails in the House accepts 932
 the Governor's specific recommendation for change 933
 regarding Senate Bill 1480 by adoption of the
 Amendment. On the calendar on page 4 under 'motions' 934

- appears House Bill 1255 and in relation to that motion 935
the chair recognizes the Gentleman from Macon, Mr.
Donovan."
- Donovan: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to move to suspend the 937
provisions of Rule 68D & E and pursuant of Rule 63A & 938
B and request a Second Conference Committee 939
repo...Committee to be appointed at this time."
- Speaker Bradley: "Any discussion on the motion? The 941
Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Stearney." 942
- Stearney: "What does this Bill do, please?" 944
- Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Donovan, Mr. Stearney wonders what the 946
Bill does."
- Donovan: "Certainly Mr. Stearney. Representative, I'm 948
trying to get the Bill back to a Conference Committee 949
to delete the domestic violence part of it. We had 950
problems with that last time in July as you remember.
I'm attempting to take it off my original Bill." 951
- Stearney: "What did this Bill deal with originally? What 953
topic?"
- Donovan: "It was a manufacture, the..the producing or the 955
manufacturing and selling of fraudulent I.D. cards." 956
- Stearney: "Okay, thank you." 958
- Speaker Bradley: "Further discussion? Further discussion? 960
Hearing none the question on the Gentleman's motion. 961
All in favor of his motion signify by voting 'aye', 962
opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The
Clerk will take record. There are 138 'ayes' and the 963
Gentleman's motion prevails and a Second Conference 964
Committee will be appointed. Representative Madigan."
- Speaker Madigan: "Request for vote change." 966
- Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Hoxsey requests to vote 'aye' 968

on Senate Bill 1747 amendatory veto. Are there 969
objections? Representative Sumner requests to vote 970
'aye' on Senate Bill 1759 amendatory veto acceptance.
Are there objections? Representative Daniels requests 971
to vote 'aye' on Senate Bill 2030. Are there 972
objections? Representative Breslin requests to vote 973
'aye' on Senate Bill 2030. Are there objections?
Representative Hoffman requests to vote 'aye' on 974
Senate Bill 2028. Are there objections? 975
Representative Ewing requests to vote 'aye' on Senate
Bill 1759 amendatory veto acceptance. Are there 976
objections? Representative Ewing requests to vote on 977
House Bill 2777 concurrence. Do you vote 'aye' on
this. He requests to vote 'aye'. Are there 978
objections? Representative Ewing requests to vote 979
'aye' on Senate Bill 1726 and 1706. Are there
objections? Representative Johnson requests to vote 980
'aye' on House Bills 2028, 2030, 2033, 2031, 2036, 981
2040, and 2028. Are there objections?"

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any objections to those 983
requests? If there are no objections they shall be 984
journalized as read. On the order of the Speaker's 985
table page 4 of the calendar there appears Senate
Joint Resolution 136, Mr. Bradley."

Bradley: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker and Ladies 987
and Gentlemen of the House, it's really a very great 988
privilege for me to be sponsoring this particular 989
Senate Joint Resolution regarding the Republic of
China. Many of you know that the Republic of China 990
has sent five procurement missions to this country 991
with the sole goal of purchasing American products and

mainly what we're concerned with of course in Illinois 992
 and not only the industrial products but agricultural 993
 products that we raise in such great abundance in 994
 Central and Southern and Northern Illinois. They have
 spent in Illinois alone with these five procurement 995
 missions over \$171,000,000 in purchasing of Illinois 996
 products. They will be returning with a sixth
 procurement mission this April and I am delighted to 997
 offer this Senate Joint Resolution recognize the 998
 Republic of China, thanking them for all the purchases
 they have made on behalf of the Republic of China and 999
 hope that we will have closer and mutually beneficial 1000
 economic social and cultural ties with the Republic of 1001
 China. I move the adoption of the Senate Joint
 Resolution."

Speaker Madigan: "Is there any discussion? Mr. Brummer." 1003

Brummer: "Yes, will the Sponsor yield?" 1005

Speaker Madigan: "The Sponsor indicates that he will yield." 1007

Brummer: "Is this Resolution consistent with President-elect 1009

Reagan's two-China policy?" 1010

Bradley: "I'm not sure what his policy might be. I know he 1012

kind of favored the Republic of China. I think he 1013

would approve this Resolution."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Bowman." 1015

Bowman: "Well, Mr. Speaker I have a lot of paper on my desk. 1017

I can't seem to find the Resolution. I wonder if the 1018

Gentleman would be kind enough to read the resolved 1019

clauses of the Resolution."

Bradley: "There are two resolves that on behalf of the 1021

people of the State of Illinois we do extend to the 1022

people of Taiwan through provincial Legislature the 1023

Province of Taiwan an invitation to join with the 1023
 State of Illinois as their brother state and as such 1024
 to conduct such mutually beneficial social economic 1025
 educational and cultural programs as to bring our 1026
 citizens closer together and strengthen international 1027
 goodwill and be it further resolved that the Secretary 1028
 of the Senate be instructed to send copies of this 1029
 Resolution to 'H.E. Yang King Len', Governor of 1030
 Taiwan. 'Hung Wen Si' Speaker of the Provincial 1031
 Legislature of Taiwan. 'Dr. Ku Chang King' Chairman 1032
 of Committee of Civic Organization of the Republic of 1033
 China. President James Earl Carter, President-elect 1034
 Ronald Wilson Reagan, Vice-President Walter Mondale, 1035
 Speaker of the House of Representatives Thomas P. 1036
 O'Neil, Steven S. P. Chain who we have with us in the 1037
 Capitol Building today Director of the Chicago Office 1038
 of the Coordination Council for North American Affairs
 and each Member of the Illinois Congressional
 Delegation, the Congress of the United States, James
 R. Thompson Governor of Illinois and the Honorable
 John W. Castle Director of the Illinois Department of
 Commerce and Community Affairs."

Bowman: "Has the Governor of Taiwan been properly thanked 1040
 for being host to a delegation of Illinois 1041
 Legislators?"

Bradley: "I think that's been taken care of." 1043

Bowman: "But that's not in the resolved clauses." 1045

Bradley: "I don't find it in there." 1047

Bowman: "Okay, thank you very much." 1049

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Ropp." 1051

Ropp: "Yes, thank you Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. 1053

What this actually does I think is provide that tool 1054
 by which the State of Illinois will be somewhat of a 1055
 friendly partner with the country of Taiwan and in 1056
 some of those countries if we have this kind of
 relationship with them and they would have an 1057
 opportunity to purchase products from two states. One
 of them being a partner as this Resolution prescribed 1058
 and the other state not. The state that does have 1059
 this kind of relationship would be..would receive more 1060
 favorable treatment and I think this is a super good
 idea and would urge its support as well as working out 1061
 these kinds of arrangements with other countries 1062
 around the world."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Wolf." 1064

Wolf J.J.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Member of the House. 1066

I will certainly urge support for this Resolution. 1067

The Republic of China and Taiwan I believe is the 1068

eighth or tenth largest trading partner of the United 1069

States. They have a trade delegation as you heard 1069

which comes here every year. They buy many millions 1070

of dollars of Illinois soybeans and other machinery 1071

and other products which is very good to help the 1071

balance of trade between the United States and I would 1072

certainly urge every Member of this House to support 1073

this excellent Resolution."

Speaker Madigan: "Mrs. Catania." 1075

Catania: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Gentleman yield 1077

for a question?"

Speaker Madigan: "The Sponsor indicates that he will yield." 1079

Catania: "Representative Bradley, why did you decide to make 1081

Illinois a brother state instead of a sister state?" 1082

Bradley: "That was not my idea. This is..you'd have to ask 1084
the Senators that wrote the Resolution why they did. 1085
I think it was just a unique idea that..."

Catania: "It certainly is unique." 1087

Bradley: "Yes, it is unique. They thought we would at least 1089
be first in that respect. That's what the Senate told 1090
me when I ask them the same question."

Catania: "I see. Well I'm glad to hear that you asked. I 1092
thought Senator Grotberg was more enlightened than 1093
that."

Bradley: "Well you know I'd ask..." 1095

Speaker Madigan: "Is there any further discussion? There 1097
being no further discussion. The question is, shall 1098
the Resolution be adopted? All those in favor signify 1099
by saying 'aye', all those opposed by saying 'no'.
The opinion of the chair the 'ayes' have it. The 1100
Resolution is adopted. It is the plan of the chair to 1101
recess for one hour to await Bills arriving from the 1102
Senate where there will be a motion for concurrence.
Mr. Brummer."

Brummer: "Yes, could the chair possibly give us some idea of 1104
the schedule after the recess and for the rest of the 1105
day and what is planned for tomorrow?"

Speaker Madigan: "No." 1107

Brummer: "Who can?" 1109

Speaker Madigan: "Was there further question?" 1111

Brummer: "Yes, who can?" 1113

Speaker Madigan: "The real Speaker." 1115

Brummer: "Are we going to be in Session tomorrow?" 1117

Speaker Madigan: "Rich, you better consult with Mr. Redmond. 1119
There was an announcement that there will be a Session 1120

- tomorrow. Representative Stiehl." 1120
- Stiehl: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would you please let the 1122
records show that Representative Betty Lou Reed is 1123
absent because of illness?"
- Speaker Madigan: "The records shall so indicate. Is Mr. 1125
Johnson seeking recognition? Is there anyone seeking 1126
recognition? We shall stand in recess 1127
until...Representative Stiehl."
- Stiehl: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would like to call the 1129
attention of the House that the 1130
history...history...history class from Historia High
School is in the gallery and their..they're 1131
represented by Representatives Kent, Schisler, and 1132
McClain."
- Speaker Madigan: "There being no further discussion we shall 1134
stand in recess until 1:30." 1135
- Speaker Giorgi: "The House will be in order for a moment 1137
while the Members of the 37th District make a 1138
presentation. Representative Mautino."
- Mautino: "Thank you very much. Ladies and Gentlemen of the 1140
House, it is indeed an honor and a privilege for me to 1141
stand here with my colleagues, Representatives 1142
Schuneman, Representative Ebbesen and present to you
Miss Illinois Teen All-American, whose name is Susan 1143
Issacson from Princeton, Illinois in Bureau County and 1144
she is accompanied today by her parents, Mr. and Mrs. 1145
Larry Issacson. And I'd like to present to you Susan
who received a plaque in commemoration in the Senate 1146
and I would now like to give you, the House of 1147
Representatives, Sue Issacson."
- Miss Issacson: "Hello everyone. Thank you so much. It's 1149

- really exciting for me to be here and see 1150
 what..how...what happens when you're in action in 1151
 Congress. I was recently competed in the National
 Finals of the Teen All-American pageant. I came home 1152
 with a plaque with the second fin...second place in 1153
 the costume competition also besides being a top
 fifteen finalist. I really don't ... Thank you so 1154
 much for being so nice. I think I've met most of you. 1155
 If I haven't met you I hope to meet you when I come
 off of here. Thank you." 1156
- Schuneman: "We'd just like to thank you her for honoring us 1158
 with her presence and also take a bow with the proud 1159
 parents I'm sure."
- Mautino: "I'd just like to point out to our colleagues that 1161
 those of us from the 37th District are especially 1162
 proud because Susan is the most recent of several 1163
 queens that have come from the 37th District and in
 particular from Bureau County so we think that that 1164
 county is doing something right."
- Speaker Giorgi: "The House will remain in recess for a few 1166
 more minutes."
- Speaker Redmond: "The House will be in order. Members 1168
 please be in their seats. Representative Barnes will 1169
 you please be in your seat? Messages from the 1170
 Senate."
- Clerk O'Brien: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, 1172
 Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the 1173
 House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with 1174
 the House in passage of Bills following titles to wit.
 House Bills #3625, 3622, 3629, 3629, (sic) 3626, and 1175
 3634 together with Amendments passed by the Senate is 1176

- amended December 4, 1980 by a three-fifths vote, 1177
 Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Message from the Senate by
 Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to 1178
 inform the House of Representatives the Senate has 1179
 concurred with the House in passage Bill the following 1180
 title to wit. House Bill 2147 together with 1181
 Amendment, Senate Amendment #1 passed by the Senate is
 amended December 4, 1980 by a three-fifths vote, 1182
 Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Message from the Senate, 1183
 by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed
 to inform the House of Representatives the Senate 1184
 concurred with the House passage Bill the following 1185
 title to wit. House Bill #3621 passed by the Senate
 December 4, 1980 by a three-fifths vote, Kenneth 1186
 Wright, Secretary. Message from the Senate by Mr. 1187
 Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to 1188
 inform the House of Representatives the Senate has
 concurred with House in adoption of the following 1189
 Joint Resolution to wit. House Joint Resolution #111 1190
 concurred in by the Senate December 4, 1980, Kenneth
 Wright, Secretary."
- Speaker Redmond: "...Pierce will you introduce the new 1192
 Member there in the third row?"
- Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, we're honored by having the return of 1194
 Frank Giglio. That's been said earlier. Some people 1195
 call him Giglio but he doesn't like that. 1196
 Representative Frank Giglio, he knows how to win with
 grace and he knows how to lose with grace and he's 1197
 come back here as our colleague. Good to have you 1198
 back, Frank."
- Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giglio." 1200

Giglio: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Danny you probably weren't here but the Representative Bradley did introduce me when I first came in this morning but I appreciate the extra announcement and I also want to make the announcement again in case somebody had forgotten that the Governor is having a special party for me tonight, Mr. Speaker, at the mansion starting at 6:30 and everybody is welcome on my behalf so come out there at 6:30 and we'll have a good time. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Supplemental Calendar #1 on the order of concurrence. Is Representative Mautino here? Is Representative Casey here? House Bill 3103. Representative Casey."

Casey: "I'm certainly here, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, this is Amendment 3 and Amendment 4 that the Senate put on House Bill 3103. Amendment #3 and I can dress myself I see Representative Ewing isn't here. I'm familiar with both of them though. Amendment #3 is in the city of St. Charles properties west of the city of St. Charles and affects property in the St. Charles School for Boys. The property that is related to in Amendment #4 is property that the Department of Conservation is found to be surplusage and desires to convey to the city of Yorkville. Both of these conveyances one by the Department of Corrections when they received the..from the property to the St. Charles School District and by the Department of Conservation to the city of Yorkville are both surplus properties than which appraisals have been made and in the instance of the city of Yorkville at the

appraisals the..to be paid instead of money is to be 1227
 paid for certain services. There will be a question
 asked for the necessity for this. It seems that the 1228
 Department of Conservation desires to transfer all the 1229
 existing pheasant pens and feeding operations from the
 east side of the Game Farm Road to the west side of 1230
 the road and consolidate all their operations. The 1231
 city of Yorkville find that they could use a new City 1232
 Hall on the property that the Department of
 Conservation decides to...desire to vacate. An 1233
 appraisals was made for about twenty-five thousand 1234
 dollars and instead...in lieu of the twenty-five
 thousand the city of Yorkville has agreed to construct 1235
 a new water main to serve the property on the other 1236
 side of the road, to build and donate the pens for the
 pheasants, to install the water services, the 1237
 hydrants, the gate valve and things of that nature. 1238
 That all adds up to a consideration to be paid to the
 Department of Conservation of thirty-eight thousand 1239
 which is some thirteen thousand dollars in services 1240
 over and above the appraised value. As to the other
 property that is involved in the conveyance to 1241
 the...to Amendment #3 which you have on your desk, the 1242
 Director of Administrative Service would be authorized
 to convey that property to the St. Charles Community 1243
 Unit School District that it be used for public 1244
 purposes. This again is excessive property and an
 appraisal has been made on that property too. One 1245
 appraisal has been made, two others are to be made. 1246
 There is to be a cash payment and then payment in
 services also. I think these are very good Bills. 1247

It's both meritorious and I think the taxpayers is 1248
benefiting by this and the proper appraisals have or
will be made in all instances. And I ask for your 1249
favorable consideration."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Brummer." 1251

Brummer: "Yes, will the Sponsor yield?" 1253

Casey: "The Sponsor yield." 1255

Brummer: "You were speaking I think with regard to two 1257
different Amendments. Is that right?" 1258

Casey: "That's correct. Right, I can sep..." 1260

Brummer: "We're on Amendment #3?" 1262

Casey: "Yes, on Amendment #3 that is the Amendment." 1264

Brummer: "Okay, with regard to that Amendment, Section 1 1266
indicates that that be conveyed to the St. Charles 1267
Community Unit School District."

Casey: "Correct." 1269

Brummer: "Subject to the condition that the property be used 1271
for public purposes..."

Casey: "That's correct." 1273

Brummer: "...by the school district on the effective date of 1275
this Act?"

Casey: "Yes." 1277

Brummer: "I don't understand how that is...how that's 1279
possible. The"

Casey: "Well that is, we understand is just standard 1281
language and a land transfer Bill. There's nothing 1282
particular or peculiar about this with respect to this 1283
transaction."

Brummer: "Well is the requirement that will used for public 1285
purposes only a requirement that that be used for a 1286
public purpose on the specific date that this Bill 1287

- becomes a law, not the day after?" 1287
- Casey: "That was used to be put..No, that was used to put in 1289
just be used for public purposes. Not to sell it to 1290
somebody else on the day after."
- Brummer: "Well it seems to be restricted only to the 1292
effective date of the Act and then doesn't apply to a 1293
date subsequent."
- Casey: "No, it provides for public purposes for all time. 1295
And that's what it's to be used for. Actually it is 1296
to be used for..."
- Brummer: "Have you looked at the language I'm referring to?" 1298
- Casey: "Just a moment, please." 1300
- Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative 1302
Brummer."
- Brummer: "I'm waiting for an answer." 1304
- Speaker Redmond: "Representative Casey. Let the record show 1306
that Representative Walsh is not in the chamber." 1307
- Casey: "We have made the Resolution now to be used for 1309
public purposes for that of a high school and that's 1310
exactly what this provides. That it be used for 1311
public purposes authorized on the effective date of
this Act. And they've already made the Resolution to 1312
that effect."
- Brummer: "My question is, is it required to be used for 1314
public purposes only on the effective date of the Act 1315
or is it required to be continued to be used for 1316
public purposes thereafter."
- Casey: "...and be used for public purposes thereafter, for 1318
all time."
- Brummer: "You understand that the language on line 13, page 1320
1, line 13 only refers to on the effective date of 1321

- this Act and doesn't indicate thereafter." 1321
- Casey: "That is what has been authorized, that the property 1323
be used for public authorized on the effective date of 1324
this Act. And that is what has been authorized. I 1325
think you're reading it the wrong way."
- Brummer: "Okay, where within the Amendment then does...is it 1327
required that it be subsequently used for those public 1328
purposes only?"
- Casey: "It doesn't provide that because that's implicit in 1330
what is to be used for."
- Brummer: "Okay, I think it's in Section VI. I just found 1332
it. What was the amount of the appraisal that has 1333
already been arrived at?"
- Casey: "The appraisal. The appraisal on Amendment 3 or 1335
Amendment 4?"
- Brummer: "Amendment 3, I think we're considering..." 1337
- Casey: "The appraisal has not been done. You will find in 1339
the Act..."
- Brummer: "You indicated that one appraisal had been 1341
completed and there were two more to be completed. 1342
What was the one that was completed? What was the 1343
amount of it?"
- Casey: "500,000 on one." 1345
- Brummer: "Okay. Are there any existing leases on this 1347
property?"
- Casey: "Yes, there is an existing lease." 1349
- Brummer: "To whom does the lease run?" 1351
- Casey: "All right, just a moment please. The lease is used 1353
for farm properties and the lease is to a Roger and 1354
Kenneth Mangers, farmers."
- Brummer: "For what term?" 1356

- Casey: "Till 19...December 31, 1983." 1358
- Brummer: "Thank you." 1360
- Casey: "Just further, that the state has the right to terminate the lease at any time." 1362
- Speaker Redmond: "Representative Darrow." 1364
- Darrow: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield?" 1366
- Casey: "Yes." 1368
- Darrow: "As to Amendment #3. Isn't this property surrounding St. Charles being developed for rather nice residential property?" 1370
- Casey: "Not out that far. I'm familiar with that property. This would be a buffer between the property that is presently being developed. Frankly, nothing is being developed in St. Charles now. This would be a buffer between the property that is developed on the West side of St. Charles and the present boundaries of the school for boys." 1373
- Darrow: "They still have the school for boys there?" 1379
- Casey: "Still do." 1381
- Darrow: "And what we're doing then is selling part of the property that formerly was used for the school for boys?" 1383
- Casey: "I beg your pardon?" 1386
- Darrow: "Are we selling part of the property that was formerly used by the school for boys?" 1388
- Casey: "It's always been used for farming but it is part of the property owned by the Department of Corrections and that could have been used for the department...for the school for boys but has never been utilized for same and has felt to be surplusage and as contemplated will not be used for the same." 1391

- Darrow: "So, on the West side of this property you have residential areas being developed. Is that correct?" 1396
1397
- Casey: "No, on the West side of St. Charles you have residential being developed and between that property and the West side of St. Charles and this property, this is the vacant piece of property." 1399
1400
1401
- Darrow: "What would the school district use 83 acres for?" 1403
- Casey: "Well, the school district would use it for a park and school purposes with a joint...use to build the new high schools...I mentioned when I made my opening remarks, use it for a new high school and hopefully they could combine with the Park District of St. Charles and use the balance for a Park District." 1405
1406
1407
1408
- Darrow: "83 acres?" 1410
- Casey: "That's correct." 1412
- Darrow: "Are there any easements on this property?" 1414
- Casey: "We know of none." 1416
- Darrow: "Is there any reason why this legislation has to be passed now? Can we not consider it during the Spring Session and refer it to a proper committee for proper hearings rather than tacking it on in the Senate, coming over here without any Committee hearings and trying to pass it out at this late hour?" 1418
1419
1420
1421
- Casey: "It was heard in the Senate last fall and it came out at that time and it was...this Spring, and it was determined that this would be...it's just been wending its way through the Senate and it was determined that this would be a good time to pass it now." 1423
1424
1425
1426
- Darrow: "But this is the first time we've had it over here in the House. Isn't that correct?" 1428
1429
- Casey: "That's correct." 1431

Darrow: "I have no further questions." 1433

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Casey,
to close." 1435

Casey: "Well I think that the...just believe, knowing the 1437
property, knowing the area, I believe that this will 1438
be good for the school district and it will be good 1439
for the taxpayers of the State of Illinois. They will
receive the valuable consideration in an appraised 1440
amount. That's what this Legislature is mostly 1441
concerned about, receiving dollar for dollar, and I
believe this will benefit both the school district, 1442
the taxpayers in that district. It will benefit the 1443
State of Illinois and its taxpayers by receiving a
fair value as determined by three separate appraisals 1444
and I ask for your support."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, shall the House concur in 1446
Senate Amendments 3 and 4 to House Bill 3103? Those 1447
in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Final action. 1448
Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the
record. On this question there's 112 'aye' and 18 1449
'no' and the House does concur in Senate Amendments 3 1450
and 4 to House Bill 3103...by a three fifths majority.
Mautino here yet? No. On Supplemental Calendar #3, 1451
on the Order of Concurrence. House Bill 3625. 1452
Representative Catania. Representative Brummer."

Brummer: "I guess it wasn't clear. Were we also voting on 1454
Amendment #4 on that last vote?" 1455

Speaker Redmond: "Yes, I did call 3 and 4, took them both at 1457
the..."

Brummer: "Was that the announcement..." 1459

Speaker Redmond: "Yes." 1461

- Brummer: "Okay, I'm sorry." 1463
- Speaker Redmond: "Representative Catania." 1465
- Catania: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. 1467
 The Senate amended House Bill 3625 to say that the 1468
 Department of Law Enforcement would administer the 1469
 distribution of rape evidence kits, rather than the
 Department of Public Health. We had appropriated 17 1470
 thousand, 500 dollars to the Department of Public 1471
 Health in the House. They decided that law
 enforcement was a better place to do this and both the 1472
 Department of Public Health and the Department of Law 1473
 Enforcement supported the Amendment. I ask for
 concurrence."
- Speaker Redmond: "Discussion? Any discussion? The question 1475
 is on the Lady's motion that the House concur in 1476
 Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 3625. Those in favor 1477
 vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who 1478
 wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the
 record. On this question there's 130... 140 'aye' 1480
 and 2 'no' and the House does, by a three fifths
 majority, concur in Senate Amendments 1 to House Bill 1481
 3625. 2147. Campbell here? Campbell here? Will 1482
 the Lady standing in front of Representative Campbell
 please sit down? 2147." 1483
- Campbell: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the 1485
 House, this is the Bill that we passed out of the 1486
 House in May and it affects the maximum salary for 1487
 assessors of the State of Illinois. They finally
 moved it out of the Senate. It doesn't...it's 1488
 permissive. The town board can set the salaries if 1489
 they so desire but it just increases the maximum so

they can set those salaries a little bit higher. As I 1490
say, it's permissive legislation and I move to concur 1491
with the Senate."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative 1493
Brummer."

Campbell: "It does not affect the minimums either." 1495

Brummer: "Will the Sponsor yield?" 1497

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz, would you come 1499
up here? Lechowicz. Lechowicz. Would you repeat 1500
that, Representative?"

Brummer: "Will the Sponsor yield?" 1502

Speaker Redmond: "He indicates he will." 1504

Brummer: "Representative Campbell, is the language 1506
consistent... Is the language consistent with the new 1507
language dealing with the consolidation of assessment 1508
districts in the small townships?"

Campbell: "I have a staff man at my side and he's been 1510
working on that this afternoon and I'm not sure that 1511
it does."

Brummer: "What would be the impact of this legislation 1513
if...on those multi township assessment districts?" 1514

Campbell: "I don't think there's any provisions in here 1516
which does connect it with the new multi township 1517
Act."

Brummer: "What does that mean, that the...that this revised 1519
salary schedule then would not affect the maximum 1520
salary that could pay to ...that could be paid to 1521
assessors in a multi township assessing district?"

Campbell: "Why don't we take this out of the record and I'll 1523
get some answers for you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Take that Bill out of the record. House 1525

Bill 3626, Mr. Peters. Read the Bill." 1526

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3626. A Bill for an... House 1528
 Bill 3626. A Bill for an Act to provide 1529
 appropriations to certain agencies with Senate 1530
 Amendments #1, 2 and 3."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I 1532
 would move to concur with the Senate Amendment. 1533
 Senate Amendment #1 was a technical Amendment. Senate 1534
 Amendment #2 made a reduction in the Bill of 300
 thousand dollars of GRF money and Senate 1535
 Amendment...excuse me. Senate Amendment 3 removed 1536
 approximately 225 thousand dollars in purchased care
 funds, made some other changes, line items are marking 1537
 the funds for various private facilities and the 1538
 monies that were in there for those private
 facilities, the amount was changed so that all the 1539
 funds...all the facilities in the state would receive
 a similar kind of allotment from the state. We would 1540
 move adoption of Conference Committee Report #1 to 1541
 House Bill 3626."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there any discussion? The question 1543
 is, shall the House concur with Senate Amendments 1, 2 1544
 and 3 on House Bill 3626? All in favor will vote 1545
 'aye', all opposed vote 'no'. The Gentleman from
 Effingham, Mr. Bower, for what purpose do you seek 1546
 recognition? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted 1547
 who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this 1548
 question there are 140 'ayes', 4 'no', 5 recorded as
 'present' and the House does concur with Senate 1549
 Amendments #1, 2 and 3 and House Bill 3626 is hereby
 declared passed. Three fifths vote. Winnebago, Mr. 1550

- Mulcahey, for what purpose do you seek recognition?" 1551
- Mulcahey: "Mr. Speaker, I hit the wrong button. Would you 1553
please record me 'aye'."
- Speaker Lechowicz: "Change Mr. Mulcahey from 'no' to 'aye'. 1555
3627, Mr. J. J. Wolf."
- Wolf: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. 1557
House Bill 3627 has had two Amendments in the Senate. 1558
Pardon me. It revises Amendment #1...Senate Amendment 1559
#1 revises the port promotion funding to provide three
staffers for the purpose of promoting shipping through 1560
Illinois Ports. It also adds from agricultural 1561
premium funds 266 thousand dollars for the expansion 1562
of the Illinois overseas offices which were ... would 1563
be used to expand economic development of Illinois 1564
products abroad. Senate Amendment #2 line items the
low income energy assistance program, administrative 1565
funds of 1.2 million and reduces money for the 1566
sub...guarantee audit and weatherization audit by 162
thousand, 236. It eliminates the federal grant funds 1567
to the Department of Commerce and Community Affairs 1568
and all new positions involved in administration of 1569
these grants for a total reduction of 386 thousand 148
dollars. It appears then that the total reduction 1570
from the way that it was sent to the Senate is 570 1571
thousand 631 dollars, and I would move, Mr. Speaker,
that we do concur with Senate Amendments #1 and 2." 1572
- Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there any discussion? The question 1574
is, shall the House concur with Senate Amendments #1 1575
and 2 on House Bill 3627? All in favor vote 'aye', 1576
all opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have
all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. 1577

On this question there's 131 'aye', 8 'no', 2 recorded 1578
as 'present' and the House does concur with Senate 1579
Amendments #1 and 2 by a three fifths majority and
House Bill 3627 is hereby declared passed. Now, on 1580
the Calendar appears House Bill 3629. And the 1581
Calendar is in error just says Senate Amendment #2.
It should read Senate Amendments #1 and 2. On that 1582
question, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker." 1584

Speaker Redmond: "Mr. Peters." 1586

Peters: "Parliamentary Inquiry, Mr. Speaker." 1588

Speaker Lechowicz: "What's your point?" 1590

Peters: "It would appear that there are some very serious 1592
differences between the House action and the Senate 1593
action on this Bill. I am asking the Chair whether 1594
the best posture then for me would be to ask that this
Bill be tabled here, not heard here, and we can end up 1595
considering this when we get back in January."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Peters, since we're going to be here 1597
tomorrow, early in the morning, why don't you move to 1598
not concur and ask for a Conference Committee because 1599
that's going to happen on another Bill as well."

Peters: "Well..." 1601

Speaker Lechowicz: "It's up to you." 1603

Peters: "I would do that, Mr. Speaker, except I don't think 1605
that this is going to get worked out. It's just. If 1606
the issues were separated we might be able to do it 1607
but we're not."

Speaker Redmond: "What do you want, Pete?" 1609

Peters: "I would just as soon not hear it." 1611

Speaker Redmond: "Take the Bill out of the record. House 1613

Bill 3634. Mr. Taylor. Take the Bill out of the 1614
 record, the Gentleman is not on the floor. On 1615
 Motions, on Supplemental #1. Mr. McGrew, on Senate
 Bill 2038."

McGrew: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Pursuant to Rule 1617
 31(b), I move to advance House...or Senate Bill 1618
 30...2038 to Second Reading without reference to 1619
 Committee. This is the same Bill for the Illinois
 State Scholarship Commission that we adopted the 1620
 Amendment yesterday."

Speaker Redmond: "Any objections? Hearing none, the 1622
 Attendance Roll Call will be used. The Gentleman's 1623
 request is granted. Representative, Mautino, are you 1624
 ready on House Bill 2708? Mautino? Concurrence on
 Senate Amendment #1?"

Mautino: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of 1626
 the House. I move for concurrence on Senate Amendment 1627
 to House Bill 2708. As I understand it, the Senate 1628
 amended this legislation to only affect truck testing
 for vehicles in the State of Illinois. This was not 1629
 the original legislation that was passed over to the 1630
 Senate but as I understand it there have been a few 1631
 problems in the bordering cities of...or the bordering
 areas of Illinois with Iowa, Indiana, and Wisconsin, 1632
 concerning this question of truck testing. I move 1633
 that we do concur with this Amendment and for answers
 on this question I respectfully request that you 1634
 direct them to Representative Stuffle and Woodyard, 1635
 who are more knowledgeable, since it was their Senator
 who presented this Amendment and stripped this Bill." 1636

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from 1638

McHenry, Mr. Skinner." 1638

Skinner: "What on earth is this Bill about?" 1640

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Amendment... On the Amendment, who 1642
do you want? Dick."

Skinner: "I want to know what the effect of the final 1644
legislation is if we pass it? Are we abolishing truck 1645
testing?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Woodyard." 1647

Woodyard: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Cal, I think I can 1649
answer some of the questions you may have on this 1650
Bill. July 1 of 1980 Indiana completely repealed all 1651
of their truck testing. We have had reciprocity
agreements for out of state trucks saying that we will 1652
honor their truck testing. Well, they completely 1653
eliminated it, as has Iowa and every other state
joining us with the exception of Missouri. The 1654
Amendment actually became the Bill in the Senate 1655
through testimony taken and promoted by the Motor
Vehicles Laws Commission in September to alleviate the 1656
problem of out of state truckers coming into the State 1657
of Illinois and being arrested by not having either,
in our case, an Indiana safety test or an Illinois 1658
test. The bottom line of this Amendment is that 1659
Indiana customers were not coming into the State of 1660
Illinois to buy produce, merchandise, so on in the
State of Illinois because they were illegal. If 1661
you'll read on page 3 of the Amendment there's 15 1662
words that actually are the Bill, that construe the
Bill. And it says this, 'Vehicles properly registered 1663
in another state, pursuant to law, and displaying a 1664
valid registration plate...' In other words, whatever

- any other state does on their truck testing would now 1665
 be legal in the State of Illinois, where that is not 1666
 the case today."
- Skinner: "All right, then we're not abolishing truck testing 1668
 in the State of Illinois for Illinois trucks?" 1669
- Woodyard: "No, it has no effect whatsoever on the truck 1671
 testing in the State of Illinois. It is simply 1672
 honoring what other states do on their truck testing."
- Skinner: "Thank you very much for your clear and concise 1674
 explanation."
- Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. VonBoeckman." 1676
- VonBoeckman: "Well, Mr...Mr. Speaker and Ladies and 1678
 Gentlemen of the House, the Executive Chairman of 1679
 Motor Vehicles Law Commission, there isn't much more I 1680
 can add to Representative Woodyard's statements. They 1681
 are true and the Commission is responsible for this 1682
 Bill. So, I think it's a good piece of legislation 1682
 and I request an 'aye'...'yes' vote on the
 concurrence."
- Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Henderson, Mr. Neff." 1684
- Neff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. 1686
 This is good legislation. Any of us that live along 1687
 the border of any of these states know the problem 1688
 that's been created by this inspection. I happen to
 live on the border of Iowa and also very close to the 1689
 border of Missouri and we do have a continued 1690
 complaint of people driving across the state line and
 just as they get across the Mississippi River then 1691
 being arrested by State Police, which State Police are 1692
 justified under our present laws. And I do think we
 need a change on this and I would hope we would 1693

support this Amendment." 1693

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Dunn." 1695

Dunn: "Thank you. Will the appropriate person, I guess the 1697
Sponsor of the Amendment, yield for a question? The 1698
question is, can...first of all, what is a second 1699
division vehicle? And secondly, can the owner of a 1700
second division vehicle register it in the State of 1701
Missouri and avoid the inspection called for by this 1701
statute? And if so, then won't the owner of every 1702
truck go to Missouri and Iowa and Indiana and Michigan 1702
and Wisconsin to avoid this inspection?" 1703

Woodyard: "I completely missed the question." 1705

Dunn: "Well the question basically boils down to this. 1707
Someone behind me told me a second division vehicle is 1708
a truck and I guess that's true. If that is so 1709
wouldn't the owner of a truck fleet be tempted to 1709
register his entire fleet outside the State of 1710
Illinois in some state where inspection is more 1711
lenient than the State of Illinois and then take 1711
advantage of the exception noted on page 3 lines 10 to 1712
12 of the Amendment?"

Woodyard: "It might be possible." 1714

Dunn: "Okay, that's about all I need to know. Thank you." 1716

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady from Cook, Mrs. Balanoff." 1718

Balanoff: "Well, my... Will the Sponsor yield?" 1720

Speaker Lechowicz: "He indicates he will." 1722

Balanoff: "Yeah, my question might be similar to the 1724
previous one. Does it mean..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Mautino... Excuse me. I believe 1726
the question is addressed to you. You're the Sponsor. 1727
Please proceed, Ma'am."

- Balanoff: "Yeah, under this Bill a non-resident trucker who 1729
comes from a state that has no safety laws, as far as 1730
trucks are concerned, can come through Illinois, is 1731
that correct?"
- Mautino: "Representative Balanoff, I respectfully request 1734
your indulgence because they took my Bill and they 1735
stripped it, nobody gave me an analysis of what's in 1736
the Bill other than a letter from the Motor Vehicles
Laws Commission. I find it very difficult to answer 1737
any question. That's why I...now wait a second. I 1738
don't even have a copy of the Bill."
- Speaker Lechowicz: "Let's take it out of the record." 1740
- Mautino: "So I'd like to at least say if there's any 1742
questions please put them to Representative 1743
VonBoeckman, Representative Woodyard, or
Representative Stuffle, they're more knowledgeable 1744
since Senator Coffey amended this Bill and I don't 1745
know what's in it."
- Balanoff: "I don't care who answers the question." 1747
- Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Mautino are you addressing them to 1749
ask that Mr. VonBoekman or...." 1750
- Mautino: "Woodyard or Stuffle, yes, I don't have any 1752
information."
- Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Stuffle, can you answer the lady's 1754
question?"
- Stuffle: "As I heard it I think the answer is as I heard the 1756
question that the Bill would apply itself to only 1757
those particular class two vehicles that were 1758
registered in the State of Illinois. Now if you want
to get into an argument about whether or not 1759
everybody's going to send their fleet across the state 1760

line to have it registered. I think that leads to 1760
 some absurd consequences. But it only would apply to 1761
 those vehicles registered in the State of Illinois, 1762
 the safety laws of the state in terms of this
 particular law that's on the books now. We can get 1763
 into several arguments, I think Representative 1764
 Woodyard made some good points on that. The main
 point is simply that most of the vehicles that are 1765
 found now to be having problems are those that are 1766
 stopped by the law enforcement officials. In many
 states of the union it is the case that they're 1767
 repealing these laws because they serve little purpose 1768
 except in some cases to force people to have as we 1769
 have here in some cases on the border as I find the
 case and Representative Woodyard and others to have 1770
 people coming in from Indiana who end up having to 1771
 have two sets of inspections and pay two costs. And
 we're losing business because of it and I would 1772
 reiterate that Representative Woodyard I think hit the 1773
 nail on the head on that. It sounds like, you know,
 you're putting an unsafe vehicle on the road. I don't 1774
 think that's the case and the appropriate State 1775
 Agencies have indeed looked at this Bill in its
 amended form."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Does that answer your question, Mrs. 1777
 Balanoff?"

Balanoff: "Not..well just to clarify..." 1779

Speaker Lechowicz: "Excuse me, Ma'am. Please be proceed." 1781

Balanoff: "You just said so is that if another state doesn't 1783
 have a safety requirement we in Illinois do not have 1784
 to have a safety requirement for that particular 1785

non-resident truck. Is that correct? That's what you 1785
just said."

Stuffle: "Basically, I guess that would be true. The point 1787
is as I understand the Bill in its amended form it 1788
would apply the safety law with regard..we're talking 1789
about pick-up trucks basically to Illinois registered 1790
vehicles. We would not concern ourselves under the 1791
safety testing law without a state vehicles. That 1792
does not mean that they can't be stopped and if a law 1793
enforcement officer stops them in Illinois he can 1794
force them to take corrective action if he finds 1795
something that would violate the law with regard to an 1796
unsafe vehicle and that's where most of the vehicles 1797
are found."

Balanoff: "You've answered the question." 1798

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman from Wayne, Mr. Robbins." 1799

Robbins: "In my area I have about a hundred mile of this 1800
border. The nice thing that is happening as a result 1801
of the Illinois State Police finding the truckers that 1802
go into Illinois from Indiana is that Indiana has 1803
reciprocity. They are dogging our people when they 1804
cross the state line they're finding, fining them. 1805
They are ticketing them for as much as one mile over 1806
the speed limit. We had a local truck that got a 1807
ticket for 56 mile an hour on their highway. Now they 1808
said this, 'When we had car safety laws we did not fine 1809
your cars when they were in Illinois so if you're 1810
going to fine our trucks we're going to hit you with
everything they got. And that's what they're doing.
We need this law to give you a decent chance to drive
in Indiana if you go there. Out of southern

Illinois." 1810

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from DeKalb, Mr. Ebbesen." 1812

Ebbesen: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the 1814
House, I don't know what Representative Mautino had in 1815
this Bill originally but I know Representative Mautino 1816
handles nothing but good legislation. It certainly 1817
must have been better than the legislation that we're
addressing right now. It seems to me that if this is 1819
an emergency, that's one thing but it seems to me that
we ought to be able to wait until a little later after 1820
we reorganize in the spring and perhaps vote no on 1821
this Bill and perhaps Mau..Representative Mautino can 1822
come back with his own legislation. I don't...there's
so much controversy similarly surrounding this 1823
questions I question whether it's good legislation 1824
under an emergency basis."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Adams, Mr. McClain." 1826

McClain: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would Mr. Stuffle or 1828
someone yield?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "He indicates that he will." 1830

McClain: "Larry, are you a Member of the Motor Vehicles 1832
Commission?"

Stuffle: "No." 1834

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. VonBoeckman." 1836

McClain: "Mr. VonBoeckman, I think..are you still chairman?" 1838

VonBoeckman: "Yes." 1840

McClain: "Associate Director...Jim, are you not considering 1842
as one of your provisions to the Motor Vehicles 1843
Commission to do away with vehicle testers right now 1844
anyway in the Illinois Department of Transportation?
Isn't that one of the matters being considered by the 1845

- Motor Vehicles Commission?" 1845
- VonBoeckman: "It the...the Motor Vehicles Law Commission has 1847
 been asked to check into this situation and to 1848
 eventually eliminate inspection in the State of 1849
 Illinois."
- McClain: "Okay, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I agree 1851
 with Mr. Ebbesen. I think what you're seeing here and 1852
 I have all due respect to Mr. Stuffle and Mr. Woodyard 1853
 and Mr. VonBoeckman but this ...a move by some Members
 of the Motor Vehicles Law Commission to lay the 1854
 foundation for doing away with all safety checks for 1855
 motor vehicles here in the State of Illinois. Right 1856
 now the Illinois Department of Transportation has
 truck inspectors that go around checking a safety 1857
 checks for those vehicles throughout the state. 1858
 There's a number of Members of that Motor Vehicles
 Laws Commission that believes that's an unnecessary 1859
 function and I believe that this is one of the ways 1860
 that they're going to lay a foundation for that Bill
 to be presented to you in the spring. I agree with 1861
 Joe Ebbesen that this requires much more study and 1862
 thought on the membership part both in Committee and 1863
 on the House floor and to try it this way there's no 1864
 emergency to do this and I think Mr. Mautino would be
 correct in not concurring with this motion and taking 1865
 it off and just handling the matter that Mr. Mautino 1866
 had and not something new that none of us really fully
 studied or considered." 1867
- Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Mautino." 1869
- Mautino: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen, I 1871
 don't want any misunderstanding. I told 1872

- Representative Woodyard, Representative Stuffle, and Representative VonBoeckman that I would move to concur with this Amendment. But since I personally had nothing to do with the changes in the Senate I'm not disagreeable with what they're trying to do if it solves a problem in their area. But I said to them since I don't have information please answer the questions of the membership. Now I will hold to my word that I will support the motion to concur in the Amendment and I'm assuming that those Gentlemen on the border states of Illinois are knowledgeable in the problems that has occurred because of this situation. So I am agreeable to accept the concurrence but as I say they must answer the questions." 1873
1874
1875
1876
1877
1878
1879
1880
1881
- Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Meyer." 1883
- Meyer: "I have a question of anybody that can answer me. Is a tractor trailer a division two vehicle?" 1885
1886
- Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. VonBoeckman." 1888
- VonBoeckman: "Yes it is a division two vehicle but the weight is over the class that we're eliminating. We're only talking about pick-up trucks and I think that's basically the whole jist of the Bill, is to eliminate the inspections on pick-up trucks not these big semi-trucks and that's not in consideration before the Motor Vehicles Law Commission and that is not our goal to eliminate inspections in the State of Illinois. We don't intend to do that but it has been asked by a Representative and Senator not belonging to the Commission that we consider eliminating testing in the State of Illinois for pick-up trucks." 1890
1891
1892
1893
1894
1895
1896
1897
1898
- Meyer: "Thank you." 1900

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. 1902
McBroom."

McBroom: "Well, Mr. Speaker, to just add a brief word in 1904
support of Representative Woodyard, being in another 1905
district that lies along the Indiana state line, I'm 1906
familiar with this situation too. I arise in support
of Representative Woodyard and I understand from 1907
Representative Woodyard that when it came out of the 1908
Senate there was not a negative vote. In our
community for example we have a gentleman who has a 1909
spring factory where he repairs springs on automobiles 1910
and on trucks. He does a considerable amount of
business and is well known in the area and attracts 1911
Indiana residents. Whenever these Indiana residents 1912
come over the line to get the springs on their 1913
repaired they're arrested. The same would apply if an
individual and there are people who work in Illinois 1914
that live in Indiana can't commute with their pick-up 1915
trucks and they're arrested and I think this is
corrective legislation, I think it's good legislation, 1916
I don't think there's any indication that someone is 1917
trying to discontinue truck testing in the State of
Illinois. I don't think there should be a 'no' vote 1918
on this. I think it should be 177 yes."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Champaign, Mr. 1920
Johnson."

Johnson: "I move the previous question." 1922

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman has moved the previous 1924
question. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', 1925
opposed. The previous question has been moved. Mr. 1926
Stuffle to close."

Stuffle: "Yes, I think the subject's been completely 1928
 debated. I think that some of the arguments have 1929
 drawn this thing out of proportion. I would point out 1930
 and several people have walked over and asked me with
 regard to division two trucks the questions that were 1931
 asked about larger than pick-up trucks, the point 1932
 simply is and I don't think it's been made clearly
 that under the current federal law when you're dealing 1934
 with an interstate trucker, one of the larger trucks,
 in most cases virtually all of them are. They're 1935
 already exempted if they carry under the federal laws 1936
 as interstate truckers of this particular type of 1937
 activity so this Bill has absolutely nothing to do
 with that. Let me reiterate all the points made by 1938
 Representative VonBoeckman and Woodyard and myself 1939
 simply to say that the case is that we're trying to
 protect those people in our Legislature and those 1940
 businessmen who we must represent and should from the 1941
 activities that we see now where people cross the
 line, they're picked up, their fine has been pointed 1942
 out. We're only trying to protect our people here. 1943
 We're not trying to create a situation where we have 1944
 large, unsafe trucks on the line. We're dealing with
 pick-up trucks and reiterate also that the law 1945
 enforcement people basically now pick up the people 1946
 who are violating the law and it's not a function of
 the safety laws of the state with regard to pick-ups 1947
 and virtually all cases that we're finding problems. 1948
 So I would urge for the reasons argued here today that
 we pass this particular piece of legislation. Thank 1949
 you for your consideration."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall the House concur 1951
with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2708. All in 1952
favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'no'. Have all 1953
voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk
will take the record. On this question there's 82 1954
'ayes', 23 'nays', 8 recorded as 'present'. The House 1955
does not concur with Senate Amendment #1. 2708. The 1956
Gentleman from Effingham, Mr. Brummer. What purpose 1957
do you seek recognition?"

Brummer: "Yes, I had my light on during the debate to speak. 1959
I had my light on during the voting to explain my 1960
vote. I would certainly hope that we could have a 1961
poll of the absentees."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Sure. Poll of the absentees. The Lady 1963
from..we'll get to you. Poll of the absentees. We'll 1964
poll the absentees."

Clerk Leone: "Poll of the absentees. Beatty." 1966

Speaker Lechowicz: "Beatty, no." 1968

Clerk Leone: "Bell. Bianco. Bluthardt. Bowman. Bradley." 1970

Speaker Lechowicz: "Bradley, 'aye'." 1972

Clerk Leone: "Braun. Bullock. Campbell." 1974

Speaker Lechowicz: "Campbell, 'aye'." 1976

Clerk Leone: "Capparelli. Catania. Chapman." 1978

Speaker Lechowicz: "Chapman, 'aye'." 1980

Clerk Leone: "Conti." 1982

Speaker Lechowicz: "Conti, 'no'." 1984

Clerk Leone: "Currie. Davis." 1986

Speaker Lechowicz: "Davis is 'aye'." 1988

Clerk Leone: "DiPrima. Doyle. Dyer. Ewell. Farley. 1990
Gaines. Garmisa. Giorgi."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Giorgi, 'aye'." 1992

Clerk Leone: "Goodwin. Hanahan. Harris. Hoffman. Hoxsey." 1994

Speaker Lechowicz: "Hoxsey, 'aye'." 1996

Clerk Leone: "Huskey." 1998

Speaker Lechowicz: "Excuse me, record Mr. Ewing as 'aye'. And change..I'm sorry, record Mr. Huskey as 'no'. And Mr. Deuster as 'aye'. Mrs. Currie as 'no'. Please proceed, sir." 2000
2001
2002

Clerk Leone: "Jaffe." 2004

Speaker Lechowicz: "Excuse me, Mr. Greiman as 'no'. Jaffe as 'no'." 2006

Clerk Leone: "Marjorie Jones." 2008

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mrs. Jones, kindly record her as 'aye'." 2010

Clerk Leone: "Emil Jones. Kane. Katz. Keane. Klosak. Kornowicz. Kucharski. Kulas. Laurino. Leinenweber. Matijevich. McCourt. McPike. Griffin. Patrick. Peters. Pierce. Pouncey. Preston. Reed. Sandquist. Schlickman. Schneider. Schoeberlein. Schuneman. Sharp. Taylor." 2012
2013
2014
2015

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Taylor. Taylor, please." 2017

Taylor: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker will you please vote me 'aye'?" 2019

Speaker Lechowicz: "Record Mr. Taylor as 'aye'." 2021

Clerk Leone: "Terzich. Totten. Vinson. Williamson. Yourell. and Mr. Speaker." 2023

Speaker Lechowicz: "Vote Mr. Vinson as 'aye' and Mr. Macdonald as 'aye'. Mr. Donovan wants to be changed from 'no' to 'aye'. Mr. Kornowicz as 'aye' and Mr. Taylor, Pouncey as 'aye'. Mr. Mulcahey as 'aye'. Mr. Patrick as 'aye' and Mr. Schuneman as 'aye'. Anyone else? Mr. Leverenz, what purpose do you seek" 2025
2026
2027
2028
2029

recognition?" 2029

Leverenz: "The inquiry of the chair on the number of votes 2031
it needs. Any effective date?" 2032

Speaker Lechowicz: "It needs 107. Mr. Yourell as 'aye'. 2034
Mr. Matijevich as 'aye'. Williamson as 'aye'. This 2035
question has 101 'ayes', 27 'nos' and the House does 2036
not concur in the Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill
2708. House Bill..Mr. Mautino. 2708." 2038

Mautino: "If that's the case then Mr. Speaker I'd like to 2040
recommend a Conference Committee, second report on 2041
2708." 2041

Speaker Lechowicz: "All in favor signify by saying 'aye'. 2043
The Gentleman move that the House do non-concur with 2044
Senate Amendment #1 and ask for a Conference 2045
Committee. Any objections. Hearing none the
Gentleman's request is granted. On the Supplemental 2046
#2, 2147, Mr. Campbell." 2046

Campbell: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the 2048
House." 2048

Speaker Lechowicz: "Wait a minute. Correct the board, Tony. 2050
2147. Please proceed sir." 2051

Campbell: "House Bill 2147 which we have no knowledge no 2053
information on a few minutes ago. It is not a 2054
mandate. It does not increase the minimum salaries 2055
for assessors. It does change the maximum, increases
the maximum but that is strictly up to the town board. 2056
It does affect the new multi townships because in Act 2057
81-838 assessors elected shall be reimbursed as 2058
provided in Section 2.2 of this Act which this does
amend. So now I'd like to ask for your favorable 2059
support and concur in Senate Amendment #1." 2059

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The question is, shall 2061
the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House 2062
Bill 2147? All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 2063
'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who
wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this 2064
question there's 130 'ayes', 9 'nos', 4 recorded as 2065
'present' and the House does concur by a three-fifths
majority on Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 2147 2066
and it's hereby declared passed. 3622. The Gentleman 2067
from Cook, Mr. Vitek moves that the House not concur 2068
with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3622 and a
Conference Committee appointed. Any objections? 2069
Hearing none the Gentleman's motion prevails and the 2070
Conference Committee will be appointed. House Bill
3634, Mr. Taylor."

Taylor: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. 2072
House Bill 3634 is a Court of Claims Bill and I want 2073
you to hear this because I've already tried to put 2074
Medley Movers on in the Senate and it failed. Medley
Movers is not in this particular Amendment. The 2075
Amendment is for awards that has been awarded since 2076
November 1 through December 1 for \$45,438. And I move
to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2077
3634."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The question is, shall 2079
the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House 2080
Bill 3634. All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 2081
'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who
wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take 2082
the record. On this question there are 133 'ayes', 1 2083
'no', 10 recorded as 'present' and the House does

concur in Senate Amendment #1 by a three-fifths 2084
majority, House Bill 3634 is hereby declared passed. 2085
House Bill 3627, Mr. Wolf. 27 is gone. Mr. Peters do
you want to proceed on 3629? You took it out of the 2086
record before. Mr. Peters. Anyone want to have 2087
their Bill called? Messages from the Senate."

Clerk O'Brien: "Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, 2089
Secretary. Mr. Speaker I'm directed to inform the 2090
House of Representatives the Senate adopted the 2091
following Senate Joint Resolution in the adoption to
which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the House 2092
of Representatives to wit. Senate Joint Resolution 2093
#137 adopted by the Senate December 4, 1980, Kenneth
Wright, Secretary."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Agreed Resolutions." 2095

Clerk O'Brien: "Agreed Resolutions. House Resolution 964, 2097
Vinson. Got that. 1062, Kane. 1063, Johnson. 1064, 2098
McClain - Kent. 1065, McClain - Kent. 1066, Grossi. 2099
1067, J.J. Wolf. 1068, Bullock. 1069, Rea. 1070, 2100
Swanstrom. 1071, Ted Meyer."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker 964 by Vinson is a retirement. 1062 by 2102
Kane notes a diamond jubilee. 1063 by Johnson 2103
welcomes a new station manager. 1064 by McClain 2104
honors a Quincy soccer team. 1065 by McClain records
a retirement. 1066 by Grossi heralds a wedding. 1067 2105
by Wolf tells of a departure. 1068 by Bullock 2106
says..talks about Joseph Jefferson. 1069 by Rea
records a championship. 1070 by Swanstrom tell of the 2107
Pecatonica Indians. And 1071 by Meyer records a Mount 2108
Carmel High School caravan. I move the adoption of
the Agreed Resolutions."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? All in favor signify by 2110
saying 'aye', opposed. The Agreed Resolutions are 2111
adopted. Senate Joint Resolution 137. Mr. 2112
Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to move for immediate 2114
consideration of this Resolution if I could. It's not 2115
controversial I'm sure."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Are there any objection for the movement 2117
for immediate consideration on Senate Joint Resolution 2118
137? Why don't you explain what it does. It's in 2119
tribute to a Gentleman who has worked in the Reference
Bureau, Mr. Nichols." 2120

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, George 2122
Nichols has been a friend to all of us as well as 2123
great assistant to all of us for a long time. He came 2124
to the Reference Bureau in 1946 and has served
continuously there and the last six years he has been 2125
the Executive Secretary of the Reference Bureau and 2126
I'm sure that every Member of this House has at one
time or another gone down there and got a lot of good 2127
help and attention and assistance and advice from 2128
George Nichols. He announced not long ago that he
planned to retire January 1 and the Reference Bureau 2129
Board reluctantly accepted his resignation. But I 2130
just want to pay a little tribute to a man who has
done a great job and service to this Body and to the 2131
State of Illinois in helping draft legislation. And I 2132
move for the adoption of the Resolution, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "It has been moved and seconded. All in 2134
favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed. The 2135
Resolution is adopted. Any further business? Move to 2136

adjournment. Mr. Speaker, are you ready for the 2136
 adjournment? Speaker Redmond, are you ready for the 2137
 adjournment? Mr. Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, are there any announcements? Any 2139
 further business? Does the Clerk need any time." 2140

Speaker Lechowicz: "No sir." 2142

Madigan: "I move that we adjourn until 9:00 a.m. tomorrow 2144
 morning."

Speaker Lechowicz: "One moment please. There was a Death 2146
 Resolution yesterday from a former Member. Clerk find 2147
 the Resolution. We will adjourn on the Resolution. 2148
 And then we will stand adjourned until 9:00 a.m.
 tomorrow morning. Would the Body please arise. 2149
 Clerk, read the Resolution."

Clerk Leone: "House Resolution 1056. Whereas the Members of 2151
 the House of Representative of the Illinois General 2152
 Assembly have learned with sorrow of the death of the 2153
 former colleague of this Body for eighteen years. The
 Honorable Ora Dale Dillavou, Urbana, Illinois and 2154
 whereas former Representative Dillavou was born on a 2155
 farm near Bonneville, Illinois in Champaign County 2156
 December 12, 1898 and was educated in Champaign
 schools and the University of Illinois where in the 2157
 University he was an active member of the Sigma..Phi
 Sigma Kappa and a local chapter of that fraternity 2158
 presented his award in the name of basis of 2159
 brotherhood, scholarship and character and whereas 2160
 prior to Mr. Dillavou's first election to the State
 Legislature in 1940, he operated farm implements and 2161
 service station in Champaign and served his county on 2162
 WW1 and was whereas Mr. Dillavou served nine terms in

the Illinois General Assembly holding posts that 2163
 included chairmanships of the House Appropriations 2164
 Committee, two other chairmanships and
 Vice-chairmanship of the Illinois Budgetary Commission 2165
 as well as membership in the Budgetary Commission in 2166
 the Illinois Legislative Reference Bureau and he was
 aptly described as one of the most influential men in 2167
 the House of Representatives. And whereas Mr. 2168
 Dillavou after his legislative career was assistant to
 Director of Financial Institutions for the State of 2169
 Illinois and subsequently worked in the Illinois 2170
 Bankers Association whereas former Representative
 Dillavou was outstanding in scholarship, brotherhood, 2171
 and character and an expert in budgetary and financial 2172
 matters. And whereas Mr. Dillavou leave a profound 2173
 heritage to mourn his passing in the persons of his
 daughter, Joanne Westgate, three children, three 2174
 grandchildren, two brothers, Easil and Ward and he was 2175
 preceded in death by three brothers and a wife and
 former Ann Wilfred Gray whom he married in May 1925. 2176
 She died in 1974 whereas in his 81 years of very 2177
 useful life all spent in the Champaign - Urbana area
 except for military service and serving the 2178
 Legislature in Springfield, Illinois. He had friends 2179
 by being the living example of brotherhood,
 scholarship, and character for which he was honored by 2180
 his fraternity. He will be missed by all of us and 2181
 had the privilege to know him. And therefore, be it
 resolved by the House of Representatives of the 81st 2182
 General Assembly, the State of Illinois that we 2183
 express our sincere regret upon the death of our

former colleague in the Illinois House of 2184
 Representatives in Illinois General Assembly for nine 2185
 terms and the 62nd Session through the 70th Session
 the Honorable Ora Dale Dillavou, Urbana, Illinois that 2186
 we extend our deep sympathy to the Members of his 2187
 bereaved family and be it further resolved that
 suitable copies of this Preamble and Resolution be 2189
 presented to his daughter, Joanne Westgate for his
 family."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Champaign, Mr. 2191
 Wikoff."

Wikoff: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. For some of you who were in 2193
 the chambers that have served with Ora Dillavou I'm 2194
 sure remember him as a great asset to these chambers. 2195
 He was a personal friend of mine and I would like to
 ask that all Members of the House be added as 2196
 Cosponsors to this Resolution and move for the 2197
 adoption of same."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any objections to the Gentleman's 2199
 request? Hearing none all Members will be added. 2200
 It's been moved by Representative Wikoff, second by 2201
 Speaker Redmond on the Death Resolution all in favor
 signify by saying 'aye', opposed. The House is then 2202
 adjourned until 9:00 a.m. tomorrow morning. The 2203
 Resolution is adopted."

LEGISLATIVE INFORMATION SYSTEM

DAILY TRANSCRIPT INDEX
DECEMBER 04, 1980

PAGE 1

HB-1255	MOTIONS	PAGE	35
HB-2147	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	52
	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	71
HB-2708	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	57
HB-3103	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	44
HB-3622	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	72
HB-3625	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	51
HB-3626	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	54
HB-3627	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	55
HB-3629	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	56
HB-3634	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	72
SB-1480	VETO	PAGE	33
SB-2013	VETO	PAGE	18
SB-2014	VETO	PAGE	18
SB-2028	2ND READING	PAGE	10
	3RD READING	PAGE	13
SB-2029	3RD READING	PAGE	16
SB-2030	3RD READING	PAGE	5
SB-2031	3RD READING	PAGE	8
SB-2033	3RD READING	PAGE	6
SB-2034	3RD READING	PAGE	4
SB-2035	3RD READING	PAGE	16
SB-2036	3RD READING	PAGE	8
SB-2038	1ST READING	PAGE	33
	MOTIONS	PAGE	57
SB-2040	3RD READING	PAGE	10
SB-2041	3RD READING	PAGE	13
HR-1056	3RD READING	PAGE	75
SJR-0136	3RD READING	PAGE	36
SJR-0137	3RD READING	PAGE	74

LEGISLATIVE INFORMATION SYSTEM

DAILY TRANSCRIPT INDEX
DECEMBER 04, 1980

PAGE 2

SUBJECT MATTER

SPEAKER BRADLEY - HOUSE TO ORDER	PAGE	1
REV. KRUEGER - PRAYER	PAGE	1
PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	PAGE	2
MESSAGES FROM THE SENATE	PAGE	2
ROLL CALL FOR ATTENDANCE	PAGE	4
EXCUSED ABSENCES	PAGE	4
CHANGE OF VOTES	PAGE	35
EXCUSED ABSENCE	PAGE	41
INTRODUCTIONS	PAGE	41
MESSAGES FROM THE SENATE	PAGE	42
MESSAGES FROM THE SENATE	PAGE	73
AGREED RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	73
HOUSE STANDS ADJOURNED	PAGE	77