

Doorkeeper: "Attention Members of the House of Representatives. The House will convene in 15 minutes. Attention Members of the House of Representatives. The House will convene in 5 minutes. All persons not entitled to the House floor please retire to the gallery."

Speaker Redmond: "The House will come to order. Will the Members please be in their seats. ...In prayer by Father Krueger, the House Chaplain."

Reverend Krueger: "In the name of the Father, the Son, and the Holy Ghost. Amen. O Lord, bless this House to Thy service this day. Amen. Martin Luther said: Even if I knew tomorrow the world would go to pieces, I would still plant an apple tree. Let us pray. Almighty Father, our Lord God of Heaven and Earth, Thou out of nothing hast created order; and out of order hast given purpose; we come to this day beseeching Thee in Thy goodness to provide us courage and fortitude to meet the challenges to be laid before us. Enable us, O Lord, to conform our determination in such direction as will permit rapid and proper conclusions of the legislation we are facing as Members of this House of Representatives. May our actions be prudent, yet stemmed from a hearty desire to ~~do that~~ which is provident for the people of the State of Illinois. Through Jesus Christ our Lord. Amen."

Speaker Redmond: "...Representative Donovan, the Pledge of Allegiance."

Donovan: "I Pledge Allegiance to the flag of the United States of America and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all."

Speaker Redmond: "Mr. Clerk, do you have any? Read the journal."

Clerk O'Brien: "Journal for the..."

Speaker Redmond: "We're going to be at ease right after we get through with this, so..."

Clerk O'Brien: "For the 74th Legislative Day the House met pursuant to adjournment. Speaker in the chair, prayer by



Major Roger Singleton..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijeveh."

Clerk O'Brien: "...Salvation Army."

Speaker Redmond: "Matijeveh."

Matijeveh: "Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move that we dispense with the reading of the journal, and that Journal #74, June 24, Journal 75 of June 25, and Journal 76, June 26, 1979 be approved as read."

Speaker Redmond: "You've heard the motion. Any discussion? The question is on the motion. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carried. The reading of the journal is dispensed with. The journal is approved as if read. The House will be at ease until the call of the chair. It is contemplated at about 1 o'clock. There is a meeting in Representative Ryan's office. Likely we'll be back before 1 o'clock. Okay. I don't really know. I...some problems with the Marine Corps, I see, and we may have to take care of that... Well if I...I would think so. You certainly should be within earshot of the public address system. The House will be at ease until 3:30. ...About motels...let your conscience be your guide. How do I know? 107 votes and all I count out there is 106. What did you say? I know the General Assembly, and I know they always rise to their duty, so I fully anticipate... The hour of 12 noon having arrived. The House will be in order. Representative Walsh is in the chamber. Representative Borchers. Representative Borchers."

Borchers: "Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Redmond: "No, isn't yours working now? Atrophy...atrophy of disuse they call that."

Borchers: "On a point of personal privilege. I think this concerns the whole House because we are aggregating or could aggregate our rights in relation to finding out facts in relation to the various agencies of government that are actually under our control. Now we represent the



people. We were elected to represent the people. We are supposed to be responsible for the handling of their money, and their children, the mental health, the Department of Corrections, whatever. It comes under us. It happened last week that I asked my legislative aid to check out 2 homes in Taylorville where there were foster children placed. Within 4 hours we received notice from the Department of Children and Family Services, the very same day that I had the homes checked out, the Department of Children and Family Services that they wanted to have a meeting with my aid. Now the aid we don't...I don't have it here with me because it's not important at the moment, but I just received the letter and the information from the Department of Children and Family Services. Now the aid passed the homes...they said...the homes themselves were clean and able and well taken care of. In one of the homes in Taylorville were 2 girls. Now those 2 girls were around 15 years of age, and all the checking around through the neighbors it was found that boys were going in at all hours of the night...night and day to this house. Now the criticism was not that so much as the fact that the woman involved really was a very fine and able woman in relation to children. She just had under her 2 teenagers she could not handle. She was perfectly able to handle children of a lesser age, but the thing that happened was that now today, I have received a letter from the Department of Children and Family Services from a woman by whose name is Iris J. Slack. She is deputy director of the policy and plan of the Department of Children and Family Services. Now she has already made a new ruling based on 2 visits to a Taylorville home who passed inspection except for the one comment and the one recommendation that this one woman should take care of younger children. Now that's all there was to it, yet she has made rules and regulations that I have here that none of us...none of us or Senators have a right to go and check up on how the



money, how the homes are being handled. For...you all know in the Department of Children and Family Services some of the terrible things that have happened, like this little Johnny, I forget his name, up there in Chicago. Like in our own community, and this rather started the whole thing about...a few months ago when Children and Family Services recommended a woman who had a mental background who proceeded to take a small child, and incidently I mentioned this before in voting against this particular agency on the appropriations, took this small child, a year and a half years old, and cracked his skull, put him in the hospital by taking that child and bouncing him up and down on the floor. Now I don't know whether that has anything to do with this or not, but the rules and regulations preclude us, and here they are, preclude us from looking in and having any knowledge whatsoever without the permission of the Department of Children and Family Services after the names of foster parents, how and what they are getting in relation to money, and I think if we don't stand up to something like this we are aggregating our rights. We are the Legislature. We represent the people, and we have no right to allow an agency of government to tell us what to do. We pass their budget. We take care of the whole thing, and I think that it is wrong of us to allow any agency of government to control us and say we have no right to take action in our own district to find out the truth on how money is spent, and how children are treated, or how mentally retarded people are treated, how shelter care homes are operated, how nursing care homes are operated. I think we have a right and a duty to do this. I...if... if I allow this to go by, I'm sure in due time the nursing homes will try to say to their organizations of the agencies of government that we have no right to go in and inspect these homes where our money is concerned. Our state, our state money is concerned, and we represent



the people. I maintain that we have an absolute right anytime we want to go in and check it out. That's what we're elected for. So I...I don't know how...what I'm going to do next, because I just got it. I'm getting a little madder when I talk, but I'm going to look further in this matter. I'm going to call this woman in, and I'm going to talk to her and see what it is, but I want you to be alert to the fact that I intend if necessary to get into a fight about it. That's all I have to say."

Speaker Redmond: "Be sure you have a witness when you call her in, Representative Borchers. Representative VanDuyne."

VanDuyne: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Parliamentary inquiry on Senate Bill 891. Are we or are we not placing ourselves in jeopardy by using the procedure that we're using right now? As far as I know and as far as the digest shows, we have not ever even acted on that Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Well,..."

VanDuyne: "It was on Second Reading. It's been amended by the House. Does it not have to go back to the Senate for concurrence and then Conference Committee...when they refuse to concur is that a..."

Speaker Redmond: "I'm guessing, but...I guess that the foster is that the Senate has adopted the first Conference Committee report, and that we have not acted upon it."

VanDuyne: "That's..."

Speaker Redmond: "So if we act on it, then we don't have to do anything with the Senate."

VanDuyne: "But my..."

Speaker Redmond: "Now if we put anything involving the Kankakee River and ice flows and that sort of thing, maybe we'll have to get the Senate back."

VanDuyne: "Now that was Senator Joyce's. He's the one that got that back. I don't want to take credit for his work. I'm just saying that some...some irate citizen with a little bit of legal knowledge may file once we



put whatever we got out of here. Don't you think that we are placing this House and this Bill in jeopardy to where some citizen may file a suit against this and get some sort of a court rendition that we acted properly..."

Speaker Redmond: "I don't...I don't think so. I think that when we have the force, as I see it, Senate Bill 157, and Senate Bill 891, and to adopt the first Conference Committee report. The Senate has adopted them as my understanding, and if we take and adopt both of those with a...it would be final action, and that is the end of the ball game. Now if..."

VanDuyne: "Well, Mr. Speaker, we didn't even act. We have not even voted on it on Third Reading. How did it ever get to the Senate for concurrence?"

Speaker Redmond: "On what?"

VanDuyne: "On 891."

Speaker Redmond: "Well sure you did. You had to. The only way you get a Conference Committee is..."

VanDuyne: "That's my whole point..."

Speaker Redmond: "...Is that if the Bill has passed in this House you would have...it would have to pass in both here and the Senate, and then they amended the thing as a Conference Committee. If it hasn't passed here on Third Reading, well you're the only one that knows it."

VanDuyne: "Okay, Mr. Speaker, that was my whole point, and I was unaware that we had. I thought that somebody had just went ahead and went around us and made up a Conference Committee report in advance to the Third Reading. I kind of still think so."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, we'll check that one out, but I think that the problem is that the 2 Houses didn't agree in the form of the 891 with all the Amendments, and because of the disagreement we appointed the Conference Committee and they have the first Conference Committee



report. I think that we ought to have a Roll Call for Attendance now. Roll Call for Attendance. Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Redmond: "Are you on Representative Hanahan's key?"

Hanahan: "Somebody stole my key, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Whoever stole Representative Hanahan's key please return it. Roll Call for Attendance. Representative Laurino. Somebody stole Laurino's key. Mr. Doorkeeper, anybody that doesn't have a key, the Doorkeeper's got keys. Representative Peters. Kucharski, you don't have a key either? Well I think that you should...lay aft to the Doorkeeper and get a key. The Doorkeeper should have a key for you. Representative Barnes. Representative Eugene Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you. I was just going to suggest to the Doorkeeper to release Ewell's key. He's here I know because he came with me."

Speaker Redmond: "What was that?"

Barnes: "Ray Ewell came with me. Is there someone who could release his so he could get on there."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, I don't have any keys. The Doorkeeper has keys. Anybody that is minus a key, I would suggest that you ask the Doorkeeper to... Representative VanDuyne, I have been advised that on June 30, 891 passed the House 110 to 36. Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies...Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, for the purpose of an introduction, with us here this afternoon is the fine father of Representative Bill Laurino, his fine dad, Alderman Tony Laurino from the 39th Ward. Member of the City Council and a fine Democratic ward committeeman. Welcome, Tony."

Speaker Redmond: "I thought it was his younger brother. Some idea of what...there have been meetings in the Minority Leader's office on the transportation pack-



age, and I think the program is to have a conference of both Republican and Democratic sides to explain what was discussed and then come back and take a roll call on Senate Bill 157 and Senate Bill 891. Representative Simms, for what purpose do you rise? Well, you raised your hand. Representative Cullerton, you got anything to say? Have you added to your repertoires last week?

Unknown: "Working on McMaster. McMaster."

Speaker Redmond: "...Dedication of duty and to his oath of office, Senator Mitchler is here. Senator Mitchler in the middle aisle. Representative Lechowicz. The House will be in order please."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I ask leave of the House to use the Attendance Roll Call to have the change of votes journalized in a journal as of July 9, 1979. It's a Housekeeping chore."

Speaker Redmond: "Any objection? Hearing no objection leave is granted to use the Attendance Roll Call to journalize the change of votes in the journal of July 9. That will save a lot of work in the Clerk's office. Representative Madigan, are there any absences that should be excused? We've taken the roll call."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Let the record show that Representative Kosinski is excused because of official business and that is the only request that has been brought to my office."

Speaker Redmond: "Mr...Any objections? Let the record so show. Representative Ryan, are there any absences that should be excused? ...Recognition we've already come to order and we've taken the roll call. Do you want to... Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Was it the intent of the chair, Mr. Speaker, now to go to conference?"

Speaker Redmond: "What was that, Sir?"

Ryan: "Is it the intent of the chair to break for conferences?"



Speaker Redmond: "Yes, yes, I thought that I'd announce to the Membership that the idea was to break for a Republican conference and a Democratic conference and then come back and vote on 157 and 891."

Rayn: "Now that's of course, not together. We're going to have separate conferences. Is that right?"

Speaker Redmond: "Well, you're always welcome at Walgreens, so..."

Ryan: "How much time are we going to take pretense?"

Speaker Redmond: "Well the way you run your side, I would say about 15 minutes."

Ryan: "That means a half an hour for you?"

Speaker Redmond: "I don't know. I would think a half an hour, and if you don't get back on time why then we'll just play it by ear."

Ryan: "Room 118 I think for the Republicans."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay, 118 and a half an hour. Try to get back here at 5:15. Representative Madigan. Representative Greiman."

Greiman: "Room 114 for Democratic conference. Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay. Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Yes. would it make any difference if 52 of us left and went home tonight like they did on July 2. Would that have any difference bearing on what we're going to do?"

Speaker Redmond: "Well, I think we're going to have to go to roll call on it so everybody be there...."

Yourell: "Can I have the roll call then?"

Speaker Redmond: "We will have a roll call when we get back. The House will stand in recess until 5:15. Republican conference in 118, Democrats in 114. Prairie Fox please come to the podium. Prairie Fox to the podium. He's a prairie fox. Here's the prairie fox. He's had an order of business that has been burning his innards for a long time, and I think now before we get down to more serious business, we ought to turn the podium over to the Prairie Fox. There you are."



Griesheimer: "...The many unconcluded matters of important business that slipped away from us during the Legislative Session had to do with the award of the annual trophies for the people who showed prowess in the area of tennis. One of the reasons is the outstanding tennis player who walked away with all of the honors this year. immediately after the tournament was concluded, found himself called away to another area to perform more tennis feats. After he finished those tennis feats and he was due back here for the following week, he found himself still another area to perform more feats allegedly for certain unions that he works for, but we all know it was probably a union sponsored tennis tournament. We then tried for the remainder of the 2 months of the Session to catch him on the floor for a few minutes to present the trophy and still found it virtually impossible to get him in one spot long enough to give him the trophy. So notwithstanding the fact he controls every labor vote in the State of Illinois, I have been authorized by Bob Walters and the Southeast Industrial Association that sponsored this tournament, excuse me, Southwestern, I'll really catch hell for that, Southwestern Industrial Association to ask Tom Hanahan to come to the podium and we will present to him the grand national prize of all tennis as he is. in fact, the grand national champion of the really tough blood and thunder tennis players. Isn't this perfect. I find out after all of this build up that he took it before he went home."

Hanahan: "You know, a most unusual part of this is to receive from the Manufacturer's Association this award and it is going to be hung proudly in my office so that those of you who think...yeah...it'll hang proudly next to my flag award from the AF of L-CIO



and other...other notable awards. To those of you who feel that maybe tennis is a sissy sport, you know when I grew up Bill 'Tilton' and a few guys were tennis players and nobody thought much of playing tennis on the west side of Chicago, but I found a few years ago it is a great exercise, and those of you who are interested in learning the game, Cal Shuneman, and there is so many out there that like to play tennis, and you're all welcome to play here in Springfield and if you really need some great instructions, Doug Kane has offered his services as the resident pro instructor for tennis for the coming year. Thank you."

Griesheimer: "Now we also had 2 very very strong runners up represented by Master Bill Marovitz who is on the phone probably calling the Sun Times with the announcement of his award, and if he would like to come forward, we also have his trophy for him. We have his partner, unfortunately these have been laying around so long that we don't even have the names with them any more. Who was your partner?"

Marovitz: "What Ron Griesheimer failed to tell you is that my partner, Harbor Hall, lost in absencia. He was the only member of the tournament that failed to show up, so...Bob Walters in the Manufacturer's Association stuck me with a different partner each time, but I would like to thank the Speaker, really for giving us an opportunity to get out and to get some enjoyment, and I hope that more people will partake next year."

Griesheimer: "Last but not least we have the dynamic duo of the Legislature who absolutely annihilated their opponents this year. It was embarrassing. You know Bob Walters bends over backwards to line up certain goat teams, and he lined up a goat team this year that couldn't win. They were the one team that every other



team could beat. Dick Mugalian and Bill Walsh were the pushovers, but they turned the tables and won the doubles match in the amateur division, and if they'd both come forward, I don't know, Dick might be at some tennis camp working, I'm not sure, but I see that Bill is here. He has already picked up his trophy and is building a new wing on their house to display it."

Walsh: "My trophy came from the flat janitors, and it will hang proudly in the you know where, next to my picture of the Speaker. Since Mugalian doesn't seem to be here I can tell you what really happened. I carried him. There is just absolutely no question of it. Thank you very much, General. I appreciate the award, and I expect to be back next year with Mugalian if old age, hardening of the arteries and other things don't get him. Thank you."

Griesheimer: "In true political fashion, I wouldn't want to step down from the podium here for fear that any of you would think that I didn't take care of myself, so I was instructed by Bob Walters to present this award to me. My partner was George Sangmeister. We managed to get about a double...I don't know, we came in very low, but he said if I take care of this I'd get a trophy, so I'm giving this to myself. I might also point out to you and Mr. Speaker, the General of the armies here that shortly I will be departing this organization. There were discussions about De Tenté and a SALT type of organization with the Assemblyman who is the Legislator from Kenosha, and we've decided that the only way to work out the war between Wisconsin and Illinois is for Lake County Illinois to become part of Wisconsin. So very soon, Waukegan will become the capitol of the new Lake County, Wisconsin. Jenny Feister Frederick, and I, and the rest of us, Don Deuster, and Betty Lou Reed



will all be driving to Madison, which I point out to you is an hour closer than Springfield, and they don't fool around in Sessions like this. So we'd all invite you to come up and visit us. Come to our fair. We have a very fine fair. You know it is in a metropolitan area. It doesn't have the same problems as our fair down here. The road problem is taken care of, and really, Wisconsin is a great place to go. It really has a lot of benefits, and we will be stealing all of your business in the future. Thank you very much."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "Representative Griesheimer forgot to say we're going to leave RTA behind when we go."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Epton for the purpose of an announcement."

Epton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I just thought I might mention the fact that coming down here aged all of us, but probably no one more so than the chief Republican page, Rodney Thompson who had a birthday today. He got one year older in just one day. Rodney say hello."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Collins."

Collins: "Well, Mr. Speaker, on the order of birthdays, yesterday was Norris Swanstrom's 30 birthday, and today is John Hallock's birthday."

Speaker Redmond: "House will come to order. Will the Members please be in their seats? Representative Lechowicz. Did you want to set the resolution now, or..."

(Continued)



Speaker Redmond: "House will come to order. On the order of Conference Committee Reports, Consideration Postponed, appears Senate Bill 157. Representative Peters? Is this you, Representative Barnes? Are you the Sponsor of this? Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you very much Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, and Members of the House, if we can have just a little order, I think the most expeditious way would be for me to go right down so everyone will know what is here and how much it is. Unless you want me just to give you the bottom line which I will do. Bottom line of Senate Bill 157 is five hundred and thirty-nine million, ninety-four thousand, seven hundred and ninety-two. Of that amount, of that amount, five hundred nineteen million, eight hundred thousand is for personal property tax replacement and five million, two hundred and eighty-two thousand, is for the distribution of that replacement. Mr. Speaker, and Members of the House, I would move the adoption of the Conference Committee Reports."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Griesheimer."

Griesheimer: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "Question is shall the main question be put. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye'; opposed 'no'. Those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 113 'aye' and 34 'no'. And the motion carries. Representative Barnes. Close that back door there? Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Mr. Speaker, and Members of the House, I would renew my motion of the adoption of the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 157."

Speaker Redmond: "Question is shall the House adopt the first Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 157. Those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Final action. Representative Schraeder. Have all voted who wish? Close that



door back there. Have all voted who wish? Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, I spoke the other night when we failed to pass this and I just want to point out for those who weren't here that were delayed in some other fashion, that on this appropriation there are 20 out of 80 that didn't even go through the Committee. That's 25% of these appropriation matter didn't have hearing Committee. I still can't vote for it. I don't care what anybody else does. But when you were talking about the kind of money we're involved here, then I think the appropriation ought to be turned down and these Amendments, these particular items should be removed at least until there's a hearing on them. And I urge everyone to vote 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Totten."

Totten: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill is no better than the other night when we considered it. But we've had a chance to look at it. The interesting thing about this process to me is the more deliberative we are, and the more appropriations and various schemes that we defeat, the bigger the omnibus Bill becomes. If we were to pass everything that came before this General Assembly we would have no omnibus Bill because all of the garbage wouldn't have been put together. I took the time to have this Bill reviewed and let me point out what I found. There were 8 Commissions that were increased a total of three hundred and seventeen thousand dollars over the amount they were originally introduced at that now appear in this Bill at figures higher than what they were originally introduced at for three hundred and seventeen thousand. There were about nine appropriations which appeared for the first time that we have seen them in any appropriation Bill in this piece of Legislation. They included, of course, the Kankakee Fairgrounds and air conditioning, there was a State Library six hundred thousand dollar grant that was



defeated. There was money for the Brooklin Urban Park Plan, there's money for a clean air demonstration, there's money for ICC railroad inspection and there's other monies in here that did not appear anywhere else that we passed on. In addition, there are seven or six new Commissions in here which we newly created, which total two hundred and ninety-five thousand dollars. In addition there's two hundred thousand dollars for the Copernicus Foundation, which was defeated by the Senate. There were 50 Members, it was a controversial measure, that voted 'no' on it. Now we're asked to put it back in here. Twice this House defeated the money for the International Year of the Child; that's back in here again. Representative Wolf took off the hundred thousand dollars for the Institute of National Resources. The House agreed with him. That's back in here for a hundred thousand dollars. The Pension Law's Commission was defeated at a hundred and eighty... was defeated at a hundred thousand dollars. Now it's back in here at a hundred and eighty thousand dollars. Public museums was defeated on Representative Wolf's motion and that's back in here. I don't think this is a good way to do business. It completely flies in the face of being a deliberative Body. If the more we defeat, as I started, apparently, the bigger this Bill gets. I think most of this stuff should be entirely removed from here. The Senate has the nerve to pass it and adjourn and expect us to pass it. I think on principle we ought to send this to a second Conference Committee. Get this stuff out of here. Bring it back to what it was originally introduced at for Commissions. And let them come back and put together a second Conference Committee Report. I think this is a sham on the system and this ought not to be passed in its present form."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijeovich."

Matijeovich: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I haven't been that much of a fan of Commissions, but I tend



to agree with something that Representative Totten says, that the more we deliberate on this, the bigger it will get. So, you better vote for it now. If you think that you're going to get the Senators back here and they're not going to put some more goodies in there for themselves, then you're crazy. You know, they insure until October 14th, and as far as I'm. October something or other, third, and as far as I'm concerned, I'd just as soon see the Senate stay home and us stay home. I have that, I have that uneasy feeling about what's going on with transportation, that they want to put up a bad package so then another bad package looks good to you. And try to call you in and vote for that bad package. We may even find by some type of Legislative Ledger domain, that that may even be included on this Bill. I see a lot of illegal things go on around here, but I think that the fact of the matter is that the Commissions are going to be funded and I sure don't want to see the Senate come back I guess mainly because I don't want to see me come back either because I know what will happen. This Bill will either be in its present form or there will be additional dollars onto it and then everybody will be here and they'll all be funded. So I would urge the Membership to get their votes on there. You're not going to be hurt politically by it. Let's get our work done. Let's go home and let's tell these people who are trying to put together a transportation that they don't know what they're doing either, so we can have public hearings, and then work on that passage also."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Macdonald."

Macdonald: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. On July 2nd at 5 a. m. when this Bill was last discussed, no one could have more eloquently explained the meaning and the need for this particular omnibus package than did Representative Peters. I can't equal his eloquence, but because my displaced homemakers' appropriation, which is



included in the Bill, was mentioned negatively, I, on several times during that early morning debate I asked your indulgence in letting me plead for your approval. For days the displaced homemakers' appropriation Bill was upheld in the Senate Appropriations Committee and was not called. Quite naturally, I would have preferred to have had the Bill pass in the Senate by a substantial majority as it did in the House, and then be sent as a separate Bill to the Governor for his signature. This was not the case however. And on the final day for the Senate to act on House Bills in Committee the Senate Appropriation Committee put my Bill in the position where it is now as a part of the Omnibus Bill, which included many other special measures. To those colleagues who rose in opposition to the displaced homemaker's appropriation in the Omnibus Bill during that weary early morning debate. I can only say, that fatigue was the enemy of us all at that point. And had I spoken then, I'm sure it would have been in anger or in tears. And neither would have served a positive purpose. I do rise at this time however, to strongly support and explain the need for the appropriation for displaced homemakers because the program has been such a dramatic success and is the alternative to adding scores more people to our welfare rolls. The program serves women who have either never had a job, other than being wife, mother, and homemaker, or women who may have worked previously, but through years of being out of the job market because of being homemakers, felt trapped and incapable of re-entering and competing in today's working world. Inflation has forced countless numbers of widows to seek re-entry into the working force. And nest eggs taking long years of planning and preparation for retirement and security have vanished as quickly as a pleasant dream that is forgotten soon after awakening in the morning and finding the harsh reality of being forced back to work again. Such



situations are of the utmost of trauma, as countless numbers of women, both widowed and divorced, panic under the strain. They need a place to turn and displaced homemakers program has been the salvation for hundreds and hundreds of women in Illinois. When one considers that 50% of the women of this country will be widowed, divorced, or forced into supporting their families due to catastrophic illness of their spouses by middle age, we can understand why the displaced homemakers . . . in Illinois have longer and longer waiting lists of women who need help in translating their skills as administrators of their homes and families into tangible assets that can bring them employment. These centers have proven records of success. In the case of the program sponsored by Harper Junior College, in my own area, their success of placement rate is over 80%. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this appropriation Bill is the essence of what I perceive government to be all about. And that is, helping people help themselves. Surely knowing that there are so many women waiting for your help and having the insurance that the Governor now supports this program in his budget, won't you please, please join me in voting for this Bill? Many other inclusions in the Omnibus Bill have already, have equally important impact. And I beg of you to pass this Legislation and let the Governor veto what he feels is necessary. I urge you to vote 'yes'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you very much. Mr. Speaker, and Members of the House, let me start to explain my vote by indicating to this Body as I did privately to some Members, that Representative Gene Barnes has absolutely nothing in this Omnibus Bill. I have no item that I have requested to be there. I have not one cent in any Commission that's involved there. But let's be realistic. One of the Gentlemen expressed a desire to send this Bill back to a



second Conference Committee. And I am one to say if that was feasible, I would say fine. Let's do that. But let's be realistic, Ladies and Gentlemen. There are no one on the other side of the rotunda; I think we could garn the five people for a Conference Committee from this Body, but there's no one from the other side of the rotunda to garn the five people for a Conference Committee to consider this at this point. Let me say to many of the Members that are either voting 'no' or present, because I see many of them that have embodied in 157 various requests that they have made to me individually and collectively about items to be placed that are there. Let's be realistic with what we are doing here tonight. Pass this Bill. Representative Lechowicz has a Resolution that I think has great merit which we should adopt. I have been one that in the past, have been opposed to the Omnibus approach. But I think here on July the 9th, nine days after we should have adjourned and gone home for this session, to stand here now and not give this vote, this Bill the 107 votes that is needed for its passage, I think is simply whistling up a wind tunnel and making a great deal of sound and fury which we, in fact, know will signify nothing in the final analysis of this because we all know that this Bill will pass. It will pass in its present form, or it will pass in a form so similar that we will not know the difference. I solicit all of the votes in this House necessary to give it 107 votes so we can pass this measure, get about the business of going home for the vacation that we all so justly need and desire."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hallstrom."

Hallstrom: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise to explain my vote and I'm still a freshman Legislator. I would like you all to know that this is probably the most difficult decision that I had to make all Session. I agree with Representative Macdonald



on the Displaced Homemakers' Bill. I have my own Bill in this package, the Governor's Purchase Care Review Board, which is very dear to my heart. The Illinois Commission on Children's appropriation is in this Bill. I served on that Commission for fifteen years. But I rise to protest on the system. I don't have much influence, certainly, but, I'd like to say to you that this is the wrong way to run Government. When you have all these Bills in here that have not gone through Committee or else were defeated on the floor of the House, there is no way I conscientiously can vote for the Bill even though I'm going to lose some things that are very important to me. I have faith that these Bills that are important to me will come out on their own merit. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Conti."

Conti: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I am voting 'yes' on this Bill, contrary to most of my belief throughout the whole session that I'd didn't particularly care for Committee Bills, but the Commissions appropriations only involve about 10% of this total appropriation. In voting 'yes' for this Bill I'm voting for it for the simple reason that I can't go back to my school districts and my local units, my local units back home, to say that we implemented the replacement of the personal property tax and then we didn't fund it to carry out that implementation to collect the personal property tax. As Representative Barnes says, there's over five hundred and sixty some million dollars for the implementation and the collection of the personal property tax. If it weren't for the Commission, I'd be voting 'no' with my suburban colleagues and everybody else. But I feel that I would be totally irresponsible if we did come up with a package for the personal property, replacement of the personal property and then didn't vote for the implementation of it. So I urge everyone to go back home and tell them



that's the reason why, then voted for it, because I am one of the Cosponsors with Representative Lechowicz. I don't believe in the Omnibus Package and I, if I do come back next year, I will make sure that that will not be one the, one of the Bills coming up before the last day of the session. "

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "MR. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, as I stated at 5:00 o'clock in the morning on July the 2nd, the necessity of reviewing the procaedures of this General Assembly, we should do that at the beginning of the session. To make the change and state that we are not going to pass an Omnibus Appropriation Bill at that hour or today, should be reconsidered. As was pointed out that morning, I filed today, House Resolution 455, which I'd like to read to the Membership and anybody who wants to be a Cosponsor of the Resolution, just go up to the Clerk area and place his name on House Resclution 455. It reads as follows: WHEREAS, it has become patently clear to the Members of this Body that Omnibus Appropriation Bills serve neither the public good nor allow Members of the General Assembly the right to choose between those appropriations which are meritorious and those which constitute a wasteful expenditure of public funds; and WHEREAS, Omnibus Appropriation Bills are traditionally dealt with in the last hours of a session, a practice which in itself inherently is coercive; and WHEREAS, 'Omnibus' packages deprive Members of the opportunity to exercise their responsibilities as elected officials of this State; therefore, be it RESOLVED, BY THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE EIGHTY-FIRST GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, that appropriation Bills pertaining to operations, program and grant items shall be filed seperately for each agency; that there shall be no appropriation approved by Committee



for a new agency until the substantive Bill creating that agency has been approved by a standing Committee; and that the same procedure apply to new programs; and be it further RESOLVED, that the Members of this House take the necessary affirmative action to prohibit further appropriations through the use of Omnibus Bills....

Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, especially to the Bill Members who spoke, this is a practice that has been used in the past. I believe once this Bill is approved today, and it really has to be approved today or we're going to be in session for the latter of July and a good part of August in reviewing all the appropriations that are contained in this Omnibus Appropriation Bill. With your affirmative vote, you'll prevent that with the adoption of House Resolution 455. This will no longer be a practice in this House and I strongly recommend an 'aye' vote on Senate Bill 157. Let us proceed with the business of the House, conclude our business, and deal with other measures. Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Robbins. Robbins."

Robbins: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, as you know without this money that we have set-up, the school systems are going to be in bad shape. You passed House Bill 501, you passed House Bill 101. Now give them some money to pay for it. Already in our area there have been teachers dismissed as a result of it. Now put some green votes on that Board. If you're going to pass a Bill requiring something, why fund it."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you very much Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Last Monday when this Bill was first debated and the eloquent message that was given to us by Representative Peters and other Speakers on this issue, I think there's, lead this Body to a realization



that an Omnibus program is probably the worst of all possible worlds. The Resolution previously mentioned by the Representative from Cook is an indication because that Resolution gives this House direction in the future. But let me suggest to you, Ladies and Gentlemen, that unless each, individually, unless each of us individually accept the responsibility to not support appropriations which are tagged onto other appropriations, this Resolution will not be worth the paper that it's written on. But tonight, tonight, we find ourselves in a situation where I believe we have to bite the bullet. And we have to vote 'yes' on this whether we want to or not. I've said on occasion that there are times that come when we have to rise above principle and what I'm suggesting to some of you this evening is that given the givens and where we find ourselves today, that you do this with the resolve that this will be the last time that we will do this and each Commission in the future will stand on its own. It will rise and fall on the basis of its merits in the eyes of the Members of this General Assembly. So, Ladies and Gentlemen, I would ask at least two of you who have not voted at this point to put your 'aye' votes on the Board and let's get on with the business of this House."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Katz."

Katz: "I heard the remarks of my distinguished colleague from the First District who recognized the obligation of us to fund activities of the State of Illinois, but who felt that she should vote present in order to indicate her disapproval of the procedures under which we have followed in putting together this so-called Omnibus monstrosity that includes a little bit of everything. It seems to me that the distinguished Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz, has indicated that the right procedure, rather than voting present, is to vote 'aye' and then Cosponsor his Resolution, which is a more effective and honorable and useful



way and seeing the vote up there, I would urge all to join in Mr. Lechowicz's Resolution and to let us move on with the order of business."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Borchers." "One minute to explain his vote."

Borchers: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and fellow Members of the House, it's intriguing to me to hear the words, bite the bullet. You're not biting the bullet at all. What you're doing is giving it away, just tagging it off. It seems strange to me also, to hear about rising, above principles. One never rises above principles. The principle's what you stick to. And you're principles should be to really bite the bullet and throw the whole thing out, lock, stock, and barrel. Because what you're doing is giving away over half a billion dollars of the taxpayers' money, mostly for nothing but just odds and ends of things that individual Members want to have for themselves. Sheer stupidity and sheer selfishness. And I won't do that so go ahead and so-called , bite your bullet, enjoy yourselves and get ready to go home. But it's not in the best interest of the taxpayers."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Fredrich, one minute to explain his vote. Timer's on."

Fredrich: "Mr. Speaker, and Members of the House, I think that we have been put in this position deliberately. If you're going to stop something, you have to stop it. You don't say, well, we'll do it one more time and then we'll stop, because I've heard that every year for six years. Well, we'll do it this one more time but next year, boy, we're not going to put up with this. Well, I've served on Representative Lechowicz's, Matijevec's Appropriation Committee and it's been a deliberative Body. We've listened to all of the arguments pro and con and you decide what's good and what isn't good. And then finally a half a dozen guys sit around the back room and put something together and



~~they say, well,~~ take it or leave it. Well, I'm going to leave it. It's a hard decision to make because I know some of this has to be done, but if you're going to stop something, stop it. That's the only way to stop it."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Johnson. One minute to explain his vote."

Johnson: "Well, first thing is before we get precluded from doing that, I want to request a verification if this goes over 107. I think the fact that this Bill is before the General Assembly is an insult to every Member of this House. Representative Totten and others have pointed out at least three or four of the items in here that were overwhelmingly defeated by almost every Member of this House. Now they've come back and in some forms ask for more money. If this is the system that makes us all happy about it, then we all ought to tell the system to go to Hell because it's a lousy system and the only way you're going to change it is to vote 'no'. The world isn't going to stop or start on any of the appropriations that are contained within this. Some of them are good and some of them are good projects that I support. But if we're ever going to have a change in the system, if we're ever going to reap any kind of change in what the people of Illinois and the country want to do, and that is, stop spending on silly unnecessary projects, a 'no' vote is the way to do it. And I urge more 'no' votes and a little bit of rising to what Representative Borchers said and that principle, and not expediency and the idea of getting out of here in a day or two days. It's a lousy Bill and if you really believe the taxpayers said something back in November, and you really believe they sent you down here to represent your conscience and your principle, rather than just getting out of here a day early, you ought to vote 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ewell."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, since we live in a



day of a living Constitution, I see nothing wrong with living in a day of what's known as expendable and flexible words. We have spent a great number of words here, many of which we didn't mean. And I know I've been here long enough to watch this train come rolling down the track. But by and large, everybody out there has got their little piece of the pie and they're going to vote for it. If there's not enough votes, those who are hoping to hold down their rating, are going to get on board simply because it's necessary. So, I'm not going to lay out here in the weeds. I'm going to join all the rest of you with what's known as a living Constitution and very, very flexible words, a very flexible word. I'll vote 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond : "Representative Oblinger."

Oblinger: "Mr. Speaker, and Members of the House, I resent the fact that people say we don't want to stay here. I live here and I'll stay here all year. So, that has nothing to do with it. The reason I'm concerned, the constituents that have written to me say keep those property taxes down. Every time we keep this five hundred and nineteen million replacement tax out of their hands, their school boards are going out and borrowing money to run on and that's added to their taxes. I'm voting for this because it's the lesser of two evils, not because I'm in favor of it."

Speaker Redmond; "Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker, and Members of the House, for those Members who weren't around in 1971 we were faced with a similar problem. The Senate had to come back. And if those of you want to take a minute and want to see this appropriation passed into law exactly as the Bill went out of this House a few weeks ago, all you have to do is vote 'no' and let's go home. That Senator moved to reconsider, let me tell you, they did it. They have as much at stake as you have in this House. And in 1971, they did this to a Bill and we made them come back on July 13th, and they



came back and recommitted, reconsidered, and took off their Amendment, abolished the Conference Committee, re.. undone everything, and they came back, not the House. We never came back in 1971. For those of you who've got something at stake, let me remind you, they've got a lot at stake in the Senate too. And they've put this monkey on our back, so if you want, if you had something in that Bill that was taken out, if you arbitrarily treated by the Senate, vote 'no'. Let the Senate undo what they have done to us."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Schuneman."

Schuneman: "Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Well, Tommy, you and I aren't often on the same side of an issue but we're on the same side of this one. I think you're absolutely right. Previous Speakers have made the point that they need to vote for this Bill because we need to have authorization to spend the personal property tax replacement fund. That's dribble. We're going to, we're going to appropriate that money, either in this Bill or in some other Bill. The issue that's involved here is the fact that this House has rejected a good part of the appropriations that are in this Bill. And if this House has any validity at all in the State of Illinois, then we should stick to our guns. We should continue to reject those prospects; we should not permit the Senate to adjourn in an arrogant manner, and completely ignore this House, leading Government. We should force them to come back; we should take these appropriations off of the Bill that belong off this Bill and pass only what we think is right. I urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all... Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. It's strange indeed that on this Monday, just about a week later when we had this Bill before us, that many of those who are speaking in op-



position to the Bill and want us to vote 'no' so the Senate can come back and reconsider the action that they took, where were those people, many of those people? The last Speaker, for example, was not here on July 2nd, the morning at 5:00 o'clock to vote 'no'. No, he went home. A lot of them went home. Fifty-two of them went home. Well, he could have voted 'no' and when the Senate was still here or call the Senate back at the same time today. But no, no they weren't here. They went home, fifty-two of them. Now vote, or get off the pot."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 108 'aye' and 61 'no'. Representative Johnson."

Johnson: "Persist in my request for a verification of the Affirmative Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has requested a verification of the affirmative Roll Call. Representative Barnes requests a poll of the absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "Bluthardt. Dyer. Kosinski. McBroom. Von Boeckman. Waddell."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed with the Verification of the Affirmative Roll Call."

Clerk O'Brien: "Abramson. Alexander. Balanoff. E.M. Barnes. (poll of the Affirmative Roll Call- defective microphone..)

Kucharski. Kulas. Laurino Lechowicz. Leinenweber. Leon. Macdonald. Madigan Mahar. Margulas. Marovitz. Matijevich. McAuliffe. McClain. McGrew. McPike. Mugalian. Murphy. Oblinger. Patrick. Pechous. Peters. Pierce. Polk. Pouncey. Rea. Richmond. Robbins. Ronan. Ryan. Sandquist. Satterthwaite. Schisler. Schneider. Sharp. Slape. Stanley. Steczo. E.G. Steele. C.M. Stiehl. Taylor. Telcser. Terzich. Vinson. Vitek. Von Boeckman. White. Wikoff. Willer. Williams. Sam Wolf. Younge. Yourell. Mr. Speaker."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Johnson."

Johnson: "I guess we'll kind of go randomly here. Representative Flinn?"

Speaker Redmond: "Monroe Flinn is in the aisle."

Johnson: "Representative Mugalian."

Speaker Redmond: "Mugalian? How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Johnson: "Representative Willer?"

Speaker Redmond: "How is she recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Lady is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove her."

Johnson: "Representative McGrew?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McGrew? I hear of the name. Here is is over here."

Johnson: "Representative Klosak?"

Speaker Redmond: "Klosak? He's back there."

Johnson: "Representative Garmisa."

Speaker Redmond: "He's right here in his seat as usual."

Johnson: "Representative Huff?"

Speaker Redmond: "Huff? Representative Huff in the back there? How's he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Johnson: "Representative Bowman?"

Speaker Redmond: "He's always here."

Johnson: "Where are we? You just remove somebody?"

Speaker Redmond: "Well, Bowman's the last one you called.."

Johnson: "Ok. Representative Terzich?"

Speaker Redmond: "Terzich? He's here."

Johnson: "Did you remove Representative Huff from the Roll Call?"

Speaker Redmond: "Yeah."

Johnson: "Ok. Representative Von Boeckman?"

Speaker Redmond: "He's here."



Johnson: "Representative Patrick?"

Speaker Redmond: "Patrick? He's back there."

Johnson: "Representative Pouncey? I can't see back there around the bend."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Pouncey, will you please stand up? You can't be seen."

Johnson: "Representative Pierce?"

Speaker Redmond: "Pierce is here."

Johnson: "Representative McPike?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McPike's in his seat."

Johnson: "Ok. I have no others."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Preston for what reason do you rise?"

Preston: "Mr. Speaker, would you please record me as voting 'aye'?"

Speaker Redmond: "Record the Gentleman as 'aye'. Representative Jones."

Jones: "Mr. Speaker, I've been leaned on very hard by the Gentleman in back of me, but I do want the Senate to come back because we've gone through this time and time again. And I don't have anything in this appropriation to affect me personally. But I feel that the House Members sometimes should stand up for what they believe in and there were many items that was on this appropriation Bill that has been eliminated, but everything that the Senators want is in here. So by, as I said earlier, I've been leaned on very hard so I'll change my vote from 'no' to 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond; "Record Representative Jones as 'aye'. Representative Leverenz. "

Leverenz: "Mr. Speaker, record me 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Record the Gentleman as 'aye'. Anyone else? Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "Please record me as 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Record Representative Mautino as 'aye'. Any further changes, anyone else desite.... Representative



Ebbesen. Representative Ebbesen as 'aye'. Anyone else? What's the count, Mr. Clerk? On this question there's 110 'aye', 56 'no'. And the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 157. Senate Bill 891...Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Well thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 891, the Conference Committee is on the Department of Transportation budget. It is no different than it was a week ago. It is still the same budget. It has...reflects an 18% reduction as was introduced, and I would ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "Any...Representative Piel."

Piel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is 'Shall the main question be put?'. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carried. Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "I would ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "The question's on...'Does the House adopt the first Conference Committee report to Senate Bill 891?' Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'...final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Final action. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 117 'aye', and 35 'no', and the House does adopt the first Conference Committee report by a 3/5ths majority. In case I didn't announce it before on Senate Bill 157, it also adopted the report by a 3/5ths majority. Representative Brummer for what purpose do you rise?"

Brummer: "Could you please record me as 'aye' on that last vote?"

Speaker Redmond: "Record the Gentleman as 'aye'. Representative Lechowicz. An Amendment to the..."

Lechowicz: "First, Mr. Speaker, If I may for the purpose of an announcement, Mr. Lee Milner who has been part of your staff since 1975 as an assistant press secretary to the Speaker and to the Democratic Members of the House next week will be



starting as a special assistant to the Superintendent for Public Affairs at the Illinois Office of Education. We want to wish him well. And Mr. Speaker, I have Amendment #1 to Senate Joint Resolution 68. It amends the Senate Joint Resolution 68 to read that when the Senate adjourns on Monday, July 2, 1979, they stand adjourned until Wednesday October 3, 1979 at 12:00 o'clock noon, and when the House of Representatives adjourns on Monday July 9, 1979, they stand adjourned until Wednesday October 3, 1979 at 12:00 o'clock noon. Mr. Speaker, I move for the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Joint Resolution 68."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? The question's on the Gentleman's motion. Those in favor indicate by saying 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carried. The Amendment's adopted. Resolutions...Resolutions. Okay. Will the Clerk now wants us to adopt the Adjournment Resolution."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "I have filed with the Clerk and have discussed the House Resolution 455. With leave of the House I would like to have immediate consideration of House Resolution 455."

Speaker Redmond: "Does the Gentleman have leave? Hearing no objection, the Attendance Roll Call."

Lechowicz: "I move for its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Now what was...what action did we just take on..."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, this Resolution is the one with..."

Ryan: "...This is 301..."

Speaker Redmond: "...Respect to the Omnibus Bill. The one prior to that was amending the Adjournment Resolution. It showed adjournment as of last Saturday. So we're amending it to show that the adjournment for July..."

Ryan: "Well, Mr. Speaker, why do we have to have a Resolution introduced at this time on the Omnibus Bill?"



Speaker Redmond: "Well, I don't know."

Ryan: "I mean, it can't wait until October 3?"

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, in all fairness to the discussion that has appeared on the omnibus Appropriation Bill, and Mr. Ryan, I did discuss it with Mr. Peters, and he...and Elmer Conti joined as Cosponsors of the Resolution, I believe that in all fairness and what was discussed on the omnibus Appropriation Bill...it would be proper to move the adoption of House Resolution 455."

Ryan: "I have no objection."

Speaker Redmond: "Are there any objections? Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I think something like this ought to go to Committee. It is a departure from what we've done, and those who scream today about the omnibus procedure, tomorrow may scream about the other procedure. This is the type of Resolution that ought to go to Committee and get a full hearing. And I therefore object to it."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "That's fine, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay, Committee on Assignments. Now the Adjournment Resolution...Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "I move for the adoption..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Well thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I am somewhat concerned about the disposition or why it is that you, Mr. Speaker, are holding the Property Tax Bill, the Personal Property Tax Bill that we passed, and why it hasn't been sent to the Governor so it can be vetoed as he said it would, so it can be tested in the courts as you know it should be, and so the proper action can be taken on that Bill. Can you tell us when you are going to send that to the Governor, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "I don't have it. It has not been forwarded to me, so I am not holding it."



Ryan: "It's being held in the Senate. Is that what you're telling us?"

Speaker Redmond: "I haven't any idea where it is because..."

Ryan: "Well as Speaker I think you have an obligation to find it."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, I'll find it. Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, every day I get calls from school people, local government people in support of that Bill. The Chicago Tribune has endorsed that Bill, the Paddock Papers, the Chicago Sun Times, have all endorsed the Personal Property Tax Replacement Bill that passed out of this General Assembly. I'm sure when the Governor reconsiders it he will sign that Bill into law as any Governor who is responsible would do. If the Governor doesn't do it, if he vetoes the Bill, then he is irresponsible. The people of the state should know it. The local government and schools should know it. They should throw him out of office and never elect him to any office again. It is a good Bill. It should be signed."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz and the Adjournment Resolution."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, I move that we adopt Senate Joint Resolution 68 as amended."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? The question's on the adoption of the Adjournment Resolution. Those in favor indicate by saying 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. ...Bill to Third."

Unknown: "I...move to Third."

Speaker Redmond: "Read the Resolution."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, I did read the Resolution. We...the House will return October 3 at 12:00 o'clock noon."

Speaker Redmond: "Agreed Resolutions."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 449, O'Brien-White; 452, Bullock; 453, J.J. Wolf; 457, Polk."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giorgi. Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, 449 by O'Brien-White Lechowicz honor the



'Amigo' Hotel. 451 by McGrew mentions that Dr. Angelo Zicchi. Bullock's 52 honors Bishop John J. Ware. J.J. Wolf's 453 notes German Day Association. And Polk's 457 talks about a nice fellow named Bill Campbell, and I move for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question's on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. Those in favor indicate by saying 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Agreed Resolutions are adopted. Further Resolutions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Joint Resolution 62, Meyer-McClain. House Resolution 451..."

Speaker Redmond: "Please close that door. Proceed."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 451, McGrew. House Resolution 454, Walsh-Roppa. House Resolution 456, James Taylor."

Speaker Redmond: "Committee on Assignments. Anything further? Any announcements? Death Resolutions."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 450, McCourt, respects memory of Mr. Lewis 'Glunge. House Resolution 448, Daniels, respects memory of Edward M. Morgan."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giorgi moves the adoption of the Death Resolutions. Introduction of First Reading."

Clerk O'Brien: "Introduction First Reading of Bills. House Bill ²⁷⁴⁶~~2988~~, Daniels, a Bill for an Act to amend the Common Fireworks and Special Fireworks Bill, First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2789, Polk-Bell, a Bill for an Act making certain appropriations to the Capitol Development Board, First Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, I now move that the House stands adjourned until October 3, 12:00 o'clock noon."

Speaker Redmond: "You heard the motion. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carried. The House now stands adjourned until October the 3, 1979. Have a good...Christmas."



LEGISLATIVE INFORMATION SYSTEM

DAILY TRANSCRIPT INDEX
JULY 09, 1979

PAGE 1

HB-2788	1ST READING	PAGE	36
HB-2789	1ST READING	PAGE	36
SB-0157	CONFERENCE	PAGE	14
SB-0891	CONFERENCE	PAGE	32
HR-0448	3RD READING	PAGE	36
HR-0450	3RD READING	PAGE	36
HR-0451	1ST READING	PAGE	36
HR-0454	1ST READING	PAGE	36
HR-0455	MOTIONS	PAGE	33
HR-0456	1ST READING	PAGE	36
HJR-0062	1ST READING	PAGE	36
SJR-0068	3RD READING	PAGE	35

LEGISLATIVE INFORMATION SYSTEM

DAILY TRANSCRIPT INDEX
JULY 09, 1979

PAGE 2

SUBJECT MATTER

SPEAKER REDMOND - HOUSE TO ORDER	PAGE	1
REV. KRUEGER - PRAYER	PAGE	1
REP. DONOVAN - PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	PAGE	1
APPROVAL OF JOURNALS	PAGE	1
PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY ON S.B. 891	PAGE	5
ROLL CALL FOR ATTENDANCE	PAGE	7
JOURNALIZE CHANGE OF VOTES	PAGE	8
EXCUSED ABSENCES	PAGE	8
AGREED RESOLUTION	PAGE	35
HOUSE ADJOURNED	PAGE	36