

Speaker Redmond: "House will come to order. Members please be in their seats. Be led in prayer by Reverend Krueger, the House Chaplain."

Krueger: "In the name of the Father, the Son, and the Holy Ghost. Amen. O Lord, bless this House to Thy service this day. Amen. Norman Thomas said: I am not the champion of lost causes, but the champion of causes not yet won. Let us pray. ALMIGHTY GOD, to Whom alone belongs all might, power, majesty and dominion, we give our thanks to Thee for this day in our lives. Make haste to help us O Lord, and make speed to save us that we may more perfectly serve Thee and our fellow mankind. May Thy will be the foundation upon which we build; may Thy wisdom be the element which we utilize; may Thy power be the component factor that will enable us to reach sound reasoning for all the laws we enact this day. May we so do only that which is most perfect in Thine eyes for the people of this State of Illinois; through Jesus Christ our Lord. Amen."

Speaker Redmond: "Griesheimer, Pledge of Allegiance."

Griesheimer: "I Pledge Allegiance to the Flag of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with Liberty and Justice for all."

Speaker Redmond: "Roll Call for Attendance. Good morning. Good morning students. Representative Borchers."

Borchers: "Mr. Speaker, as a part of information, I would like to know in relation to a motel tonight or possibly if we're going to finish these Appropriation Bills and maybe are we going to adjourn till maybe the fifth of July and come back, or should we get our motels for tonight. Can you tell us this?"

Speaker Redmond: "Well the only problem... you know, I thought we were going to be out of here yesterday



at three or four o'clock in the afternoon.

Representative Ryan and I thought we were going to leave here June the fifteenth."

Borchers: "Well... so... We could come back..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Getty."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, point of inquiry. Is the Roll Call that we took last night, shortly after midnight, the one that will count for the purposes of establishing..."

Speaker Redmond: "Count for what?"

Getty: "For the purposes of establishing presence for..."

Speaker Redmond: "No..."

Getty: "... presence for the day?"

Speaker Redmond: "No. Representative Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to inquire of the Chair relative to the posture we're in right now; relative to substantive Bills and maybe it's a little premature, but I'd just like to explain for your benefit and the benefit of the Members of the House; and I'm sure there are other Legislators who are in similar posture with their legislation. But I have a Bill, House Bill 4, that I've been working on for two years and it's at the point where the conference committee report has been approved by the Senate has all ten signatures on it and probably the action of this House would, I think, be affirmative and are we possibly at the proper point in time going to be able to take these Bills individually, perhaps, and bring them from the Table and consider them or are we going to have to face the..."

Speaker Redmond: "I wish you'd hold that until the Parliamentarian is here. He's not here and I don't want to go at cross purposes with him."

Ebbesen: "Alright. Thank you Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kosinski."



Kosinski: "~~Mr. Speaker~~, I'm sure that posture isn't unique and undoubtedly the House will have to do something to facilitate matters... to move them in blocks for reaction. I think there are a lot of Bills that possibly could come out in packages in one sort or another where all of us would be served rather than individuals."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, it's always been my... my feeling as far as running the Speaker's Office that the requisite majority, whether it's 107 or 89, if they're on the floor; they can run this House. So whatever the requirements are, if there's sufficient number of Members to go through the particular procedure; as far as I'm concerned, I will never use the gavel or never use anything else to frustrate the will of the Members of this Body. So, it's a little bit too early to say. My guess is that we probably don't have to have a quarters tonight. But, you know, I'm just guessing on it. I've guessed wrong before so I'll probably guess wrong again. It's not part of Decatur anyway, Webber, Are you not staying in Decatur? Are you telling us... Let's see till we got a little better attendance. We got anything we can do here Jack? We do have, don't we? Representative Boucek."

Boucek: "Mr. Speaker, it's sure nice to see that 151 Members got up so early after they got to bed so late as the board shows."

Speaker Redmond: "Now let's put another test here. Who among you has gone to church this morning? That's pretty good. Father Krueger's been there three times. Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, several weeks ago my daughter was elected Speaker of the



House of Representatives at Girls' State. I wanted to report that they concluded their business on time."

Speaker Redmond: "Because we have her father in the Chambers."

Leinenweber: "Toughã."

Speaker Redmond: "The Order of Conference Committee Reports on page three. House Bill 2578, Representative Peters."

Peters: "578... 25..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the appropriation measures, hopefully, there's three or four that have come out of Conference Committee that all the conferees have agreed to. There's absolutely no opportunity to do anything other with these measures for the purposes that some people might be concerned. It would be our hope that we get these measures out. I would move, Mr. Speaker, now to concur on Conference Committee Report #1 on House Bill 2578. That is the appropriation for the Ordinary and Contingent Offices Expenses of the Department of Public Health. The reason we went into Conference Committee was to insure that \$100,000 be included for grants for local health department for start-up costs for pre-natal clinics which is a program advanced by Representative Darrow. That Amendment has been accepted by the Senate. The rest of the budget makes some increases in some line items by an amount of \$252,000 and it adds other money for the staffing of training grants to peri-natal centers and approximately 2.7 million dollars for other grants and federal monies for pregnant women. I would move, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. that we adopt Conference



Committee Report #1 to House Bill 2578."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? Questions on the Gentleman's motion that the House adopt the Conference Committee ... first Conference Committee Report to House Bill 2578. Those in favor vote 'aye', oppose vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 127 aye and 1 no and the House does adopt the first Conference Committee Report to House Bill 2578. 2577, Representative Polk. Representative Polk."

Polk: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen, House Bill 2577 is the Department of Labor and Bureau Employment Security. Senate Amendment... We move to concur on Senate Amendment #1 which reduces the grand total of six million. Senate Amendment 4 reduces the total net of 786,000 in the unemployment insurance, win general office division. Senate Amendment #5 just divides personal line items out and Senate Amendment #6 reduces the total to 450,000. Our new total of the Bill is 128 million which is down at the same level that it was before. I move we concur."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question's on the Gentleman's motion that the House adopt the first Conference Committee Report to House Bill 2577. Those in favor vote aye, opposed vote no. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 127 aye and 3 no and the House does adopt the first Conference Committee Report to House Bill 16... 2577. Representative Simms."

Simms: "On a point of inquiry that Representative Vinson



made last night regarding the Rathskeller. Is that a part of the contract with the Rathskeller that they are not open on the weekends? There is no food available..."

Speaker Redmond: "Their general services have that contract. I don't happen to have it so I can't tell you but we'll make inquiry and see."

Simms: "I would think perhaps... I'm not criticizing you, Mr. Speaker, or anybody else but I think it should be the policy that maybe to send it to the General Assembly that while we are in Session that they stay open. Secretary of States responsibility I was told."

Speaker Redmond: "I don't know who they sign the contract with..."

Simms: "But... but they should stay open as long as we're here."

Speaker Redmond: "We will work on that. There's Canteen Corporation Former Governor Stratton..."

Simms: "I understand that..."

Speaker Redmond: "Pat O'Mally is in there too."

Simms: "That'll do."

Speaker Redmond: "Anyway, let's go to 1636. 1636. Representative Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House this legislation is sponsored by Representative Klosak and with leave of the Chair and the House I would ask approval to handle the Bill. Thank you Mr. Speaker and Mr. Chairman... or Ladies and Gentlemen. This appropriation Bill 1636 is for the Ordinary and Contingent Expenses of the Illinois Racing Board. What had happened in the Senate after it left the House is that it deleted some \$61,000 the Department rejected as did the Sponsor. It went back into Committee and the result was that the Conference Committee



decided to restore \$7,150 and make a reduction of \$52,850 for what is referred to as the 7% solution. If I can, I'd certainly answer any questions."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schraeder. Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "I'm waiting for the light to come on. Well Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I guess some people think retribution is sweet. I don't care one way or another whether it is or not, but I'd just like to point out, since my vote of night before last and yesterday, I've been assigned a few Conference Committees and it's unusual that at least one of them you wouldn't mind getting skipped. But to be skipped on both of them and find out that you get on your desk a report of concurrence and you went to the meetings and there was no meetings. I think it's a little bit unusual particularly since I probably would have concurred with the majority on these two Conference Committee Reports but this is one of them. I was assigned a committee. Wasn't even notified in time to be at the meeting. I think that's maybe not a little unusual but I'd just like to point out. I'm going to vote present on this because, as a member of the Conference Committee who was not of attendance; who did not see or have any discussions on 1636 and I just want to point out that it just seems to me maybe this is a way to get at a negative vote on my part and I don't mind. I'm going to have a negative vote here and again in the future. I just want to point out that I was not a part of this Conference Committee although I was a part of the assigned people."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Peters."



Peters: "Mr. Speaker, I would apologize to the Gentleman from Peoria. I was not a member of the Conference Committee. I am attempting just to handle this for Representative Klosak and try to expedite matters. If something went wrong, Representative Schraeder, I certainly apologize for whoever it was on the Committee and hope we can get this accomplished. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "The question's on the Gentleman's motion that the House adopt the first Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1636. Those in favor vote aye, oppose vote no. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 120 aye and 12 no and the House does adopt the first Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1636. On the Order of Non-Concurrence appears Senate Bill 157. Representative Gene Barnes is recognized."

Barnes: "Thank you very much. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I would like to... that we would not recede from the Amendments on House Amendments to Senate Bill 157. And request a conference."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion on the Gentleman's motion that the House refuse to recede from Amendments 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 8, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 22, 27, 28, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 36, 38, 44, 45, 46, and 47 and that a Conference Committee be appointed. Any discussion? Those in favor of the motion say 'aye', aye, oppose no. The ayes have it. The motion carried. 770, Representative Abramson. Should we go with that one? Representative Abramson."

Abramson: "Mr. Speaker, I'm informed that the first Conference Committee Report has failed in the



Senate. I ask the second Conference Committee be appointed."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman has moved that the House do not adopt the first Conference Committee Report and that a second Conference Committee be appointed. Those in favor of the motion indicate by saying 'aye', aye, oppose no. The ayes have it. The motion carried. 842, Representative Oblinger or J. David Jones. Can you handle it? You're a hyphenated... OK. Out of the record. 6...1646. Representative Abramson."

Abramson: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House I move that House adopt first Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1646. This is at the Senate level."

Speaker Redmond: "1646. Now what was the motion Representative Abramson?"

Abramson: "I move that the House adopt the first Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question's on the Gentleman's motion that the House adopt the first Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1646. Those in favor vote aye, oppose vote no. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 127 aye and 7 no and the House does adopt the first Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1646 by a 3/5 vote. Senate Bill 487. Representative Piel."

Piel: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. It's been mentioned many times in the last couple of days. If the people could give us a little bit of insight into what these appropriations are for. You know. They're just asking for a Conference



Committee, you know... OK... in Conference Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "OK. Representative McMaster will you explain the appropriations to Representative Piel?"

McMaster: "Mr. Piel, what part of the appropriation did you want to know about?"

Speaker Redmond: "487."

Piel: "Yes."

McMaster: "Well, it's for the Department of Local Government Affairs. The Conference Committee Report, Mr. Speaker, Number one, did the Senate concur in House Amendments #1, 2, 3, 6, and 8 and that the House recede from House Amendments 4 and 5. I would move that we adopt the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 487."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question's on the Gentleman's motion that the House adopt the first Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 487. Those in favor vote aye, oppose vote no. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 136 aye and 7 no and the House does adopt the first Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 487 by a 3/5 majority. ...77, Representative Reed."

Reed: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move to concur in the first Conference Committee Report to House Bill 577... No. Senate Bill 577. The Senate concurs in House Amendment #1, 3, 4, and 9. The House recedes from Amendment #2 and #7. I move to accept the first Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Younge. Representative O'Brien and Doyle will you please sit down?"



Representative Wyvetter Younge."

Younge: "Yes. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I ask that you vote no and not adopt the first Conference Committee Report because the House receded from House Amendment #7. House Amendment #7 was merely a reappropriation of \$78,000 from last year for an economic feasibility study proposal to the Department of Energy for a demonstration for an energy-sufficient industrial district that will supply the energy required for new and existing energy somewhere in Illinois. We read in the newspaper just the other day that the current administration has made a primary emphasis on all turgent energy resource development and a freeze on imports of oil. This proposal, which is now on file and there are two proposals on file with the Department of Energy by the Institute of Natural Resources would fund and make available to Illinois alternative energy in the form of coal. This is a proposal that will help to use southern Illinois coal and it is most important and urgent at this time and therefore I ask you and urge you to vote no and there be a separate... a second conference set up in reference to this matter. I urge you to vote no."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Reed to close."

Reed: "Mr. Speaker, on Amendment #7, the Senate does not concur with us. The federal funding is not available and they have indicated that they will not accept it so I move that we concur in the Conference Committee Report #1."

Speaker Redmond: "Question is on the Ladies motion that the



House concur in the first Conference Committee Report. Those in favor vote aye, oppose vote no. Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. Representative Younge. On this question there's 115 aye and 24 no. Representative Younge."

Younge: "I want a verification Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Younge requests a verification of the affirmative vote. The lady is within her rights. Before we begin on that I failed to announce that a 3/5 majority on House Bill 1646, 2577, and 2578. The Conference Committee Reports were adopted by a 3/5 majority. Proceed with the verification. Representative Cullerton, aye. Representative Peters."

Peters: "Leave to be verified please."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Younge does Representative Peters have leave to be verified. Representative Reed."

Reed: "May we poll the absentees please Mr. Speaker first?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Younge has requested verification of the Affirmative Roll Call. Representative Reed has requested a poll of the absentees. Mr. Clerk, poll the absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "Alexander. E.M. Barnes. Beatty. Bianco. Bradley. Breslin. Conti. Daniels. Donovan. John Dunn. Dyer."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Dyer, aye."

Clerk O'Brien: "Ewell. Gaines. Goodwin. Johnson. Kane. Katz. Kelly. Klosak. Laurino. Marovitz. Mautino."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mautino, aye."

Clerk O'Brien: "Molloy. Murphy. Neff."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative... Who are you pointing to? Oh. Representative Younge. Representative



Huff what are you... Proceed with the poll of the absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "Patrick. Preston. Pullen. Rea."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Rea, no."

Clerk O'Brien: "Reilly."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Reilly, yes."

Clerk O'Brien: "Richmond. Schisler. Schlickman.

Schuneman. Stearney. E.G. Steele. Stuffle.

Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Have you finished the poll of the absentees?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Yes sir."

Speaker Redmond: "Now we'll proceed to the verification of the Affirmative Roll Call. Where? I hope Kelly Smith isn't taking pictures. Representative Schuneman."

Schuneman: "Aye."

Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of the affirmative vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Poll the affirmative vote."

Clerk O'Brien: "Abramson. Ackerman. Anderson. Balanoff. Jane Barnes. Bell."

Speaker Redmond: "Permission had been granted to take pictures. Proceed."

Clerk O'Brien: "Birchler."

Speaker Redmond: "Conti, aye. Proceed."

Clerk O'Brien: "Birkinbine."

Younge: "Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Younge."

Younge: "I withdraw my..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Younge withdraws the request for a verification. Representative Totten."

Totten: "Mr. Speaker, last night... or this morning when we suspended Rule 68 D, I think. In effect what we did was to remove any time limit at all for Conference Committee Reports to be on our desk."



The one hour rule, the one day rule and everything was suspended and I really don't think that's what we intended to do and I don't know how we can work ourselves out of that jam, but a conference... We can vote on Conference Committee Reports right now with them not even being on our desks because of that suspension."

Speaker Redmond: "We have no intention of doing that."

Totten: "Is it possible for us to restore the one hour rule?"

Speaker Redmond: "Well, we'll try to... these... We should have the Conference Committee Reports should be on the desks on the ones that we're calling now and I will assure you that I will not put the question on any Conference Committee Report that is not on your desk."

Totten: "Alright."

Speaker Redmond: "And there must be... Representative Keane."

Keane: "Mr. Speaker did you report final action on the 577?"

Speaker Redmond: "What was that?"

Keane: "Did you report the final action on 577?"

Speaker Redmond: "I did not yet, no."

Keane: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Now, what's the count Mr. Clerk? On this question there's 125, was it? 120 aye and 25 no and the House does adopt the first Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 777 (sic) by a 3/5 majority. 581, Representative Simms."

Simms: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move that the House do adopt Conference Committee #1 to Senate Bill 581 which is the appropriation for the Ordinary and Contingent



Expense of the Department of Business and Economic Development. What we are doing is; the Senate is concurring in House Amendment 1, 2, 5, 7, and 8 and the House is receding from 3, 4, and 6. What the basic is reduction in the fiscal request for FY 80 was \$16,203,000... \$16,203,100. We are reducing \$1,000,144 from the amount. The total in the appropriation now is \$16,058,200. I would move that the House do concur."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Would the Representative please tell us what happened to the civic center portion. The answer is no. OK."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Simms."

Simms: "Yes. For the civic center report; the amount that was placed in for the civic center study was \$150,000 and it has been reduced to \$100,000. A \$50,000 reduction."

Skinner: "Oh my. 100,000 dollars to determine that we shouldn't build civic centers."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further discussion? Representative Campbell."

Campbell: "Will the Sponsor yield? Is there any money for operational deficits for civic centers in this legislation?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Simms."

Simms: "To answer Representative Campbell, if there were I'd table the Bill."

Campbell: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, this isn't my Amendment but Representative VonBoeckman isn't here and I'd like to ask a question that should be asked. Does this Conference Committee Report have anything to do with the Rock Island Trail



appropriation?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Simms."

Simms: "I'm sorry Representative. I didn't hear your question."

Schraeder: "Perhaps Representative Leverenz answered it. He says 587's got the Rock Island Trail appropriation of Jim VonBoeckman."

Simms: "No. No, no."

Schraeder: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Would the Sponsor simply run through those... what the Amendment did...What we receded from once more?"

Simms: "OK. The Senate concurred in House Amendment 1, 2, 5, 7, and 8. The House receded from 3, and 4, and 6. It further allowed \$50,000 for three new industrial representatives. It allows \$285,000 for a port district and..."

Leverenz: "Did the gentleman say a 'pork' P O R K district?"

Simms: "It was a fraudulent slip. Port. P O R T."

Leverenz: "Now it's a freudian port."

Simms: "Yeah. Right. And we reduced by 50,000 dollars the amount for the civic center studies."

Leverenz: "The extra money for the film operation is in there?"

Simms: "Yes it is Ted."

Leverenz: "Thank you very much."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House... that the House adopt the first Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 581. Those in favor vote aye, oppose vote no. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question 117 aye and 24 no and the House does adopt the first Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill



581 by a 3/5 majority. 584. Representative Capuzi."

Capuzi: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

I now move to adopt Committee Report #1 on Senate Bill 584. This is a commission on delinquency prevention. The report also recommends that the House recede from Amendment #2 and Senate Bill 584 be further amended to restore one new position in the general office division and eliminate one new position in the community service division. It's a new addition of 3,621 dollars. In addition 340, 500 is broken out of contractual services for purchase of services of status offenders. I now move that the House do adopt."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? The question's on the Gentleman's motion that the House adopt the first Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 584. Those in favor vote aye, oppose vote no. Have all voted who wish? have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 128 aye and no nay and the House does adopt the first Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 590(sic) by a 3/5 majority. 830, Representative McAuliffe. Representative Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Peters."

Peters: "Might we get to 669. That's a non-concurrence just ahead of it."

Speaker Redmond: "That one wasn't marked on my Calendar here. If that's OK, I'll go ahead with that one."

Peters: "Yes it is."

Speaker Redmond: "669."



Peters: "Representative Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is the...the Senate failed to adopt a Conference Committee Report #1. I'd move to non-concur."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Wolfe."

Wolfe: "Wait a minute. 669? I would suggest the gentleman take that out of the record for a while please."

Speaker Redmond: "OK. That's why I went to 830. Representative McAuliffe. Representative Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, in my haste, it's 590. That's a non-concurrence."

Ebbesen: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, since the Senate failed to approve the first Conference Committee Report; I would move that we non-concur in Senate Bill 590."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? We have... We took 669 out of the record and I called 830. McAuliffe... is he here? Representative Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, with leave of the Speaker and the House, I'll handle this for Representative McAuliffe and what the Conference Committee Report does... This is the Ordinary and Contingent Expense for the Court of Claims. What the Conference Committee Report recommends is that the House recede from Amendments 1, 2, and #5 and what those Amendments would have done... would have added 7,000 dollars for printing and some 72,000 dollars for some additional help and delete the claims out of the road fund. There's no dollar change in the Amendment. It restores it to its original."



Speaker Redmond: "Any... Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Could you explain. You said we were
receding sir, from Amendment #5."

Peters: "Yes Representative, that's what it would be.
7,500 for the incidental expenses of the
commissioners and part of the problem involved
there is that the Senate Sponsor, Represen...
Senator Bloom, attempted to contact the
chief judge of the court and was not able
to get any response from him or any information
from him so he then advised the committee
to go along with what had happened here."

Leverenz: "I either by Amendment... I don't know
if it was that I tabled Amendment #3, which
was a reduction of 72,000 in personal
service or I put another Amendment in to
restore that money. Are those five positions
funded in this Conference Committee Report?"

Peters: "They are not."

Leverenz: "Why?"

Peters: "The Amendment was tabled. You tabled the
Amendment Representative."

Leverenz: "If I tabled the Amendment, then I would have
restored the funds sir."

Peters: "Restored the funds where?"

Leverenz: "Mr. Speaker, perhaps Representative Mautino
is there with Representative Peters; perhaps
we could take this out for just about three
minutes and solve this question."

Peters: "Happy to accomodate you Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you sir."

Speaker Redmond: "Did we discuss it. Any discussion?
Question is on the Gentleman's motion that the
House adopt the first Conference Committee Report
to Senate Bill 830. Those in favor vote...
wait a minute, wait a minute, wait a minute."



Out of the record? 908. Representative Preston. Preston. OK. Representative Ebbesen on 590. We'd get along better if we let the Speaker call the sequence of the Bills. It's a little bit difficult to have..."

Ebbesen: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, and I apologize for all the confusion if I've had any part of it. Anyway, since the Senate failed to adopt the Conference Committee Report #1 on Senate Bill 590, I would move that we non-concur and that we have a second Conference Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "What did you do?"

Ebbesen: "Non-concur."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman moves that the House do not adopt the first Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 590. Wait a minute now. 590? What's the Bill number? 590? Those in favor indicate by saying 'aye', aye, oppose no. The ayes have it. The House does not adopt the first Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 590. Representative Darrow."

Darrow: "Speaker, if you wish, I could take 908 for Representative Preston."

Speaker Redmond: "908? Representative Ebbesen has requested a Conference Committee... second Conference Committee on 590 I assume. Representative Ryan for what purpose do you rise?"

Ryan: "Could we hold 908?"

Speaker Redmond: "OK. We'll... 908? You want to hold that one?"

Ryan: "Yes, please."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. 830, Representative Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, we have now resolved the question. I misunderstood the question asked by Representative Leverenz. I would now move to



concur in Conference Committee Report #1 on Senate Bill 830 which is the Ordinary Contingent Expenses of the Court of Claims."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Question's on the Gentleman's motion that the House adopt the first Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 830. Those in favor vote aye, oppose vote no. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take... Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 135 aye and 8 no and the House does adopt the first Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 830 by a 3/5 majority. 1642, Representative J. David Jones. J. David Jones."

Jones: "Mr. Speaker, I refuse to adopt the first Conference Committee Report on 1642 and ask that another Conference Committee be held."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question's on the Gentleman's motion that the House do not adopt the first Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1642. Those in favor say 'aye', aye, oppose no. The ayes have it. The House does not adopt first Conference Committee Report. Representative Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, on page... on page two, Mr. Speaker, on Consideration Postponed is the appropriation for the Ordinary Contingent Expense for the Department of Children and Family Services. I would hope we might see that one."

Speaker Redmond: "The order of concurrence Consideration Postponed on page two appears House Bill 1644. Representative Peters is recognized."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is a appropriation for the Ordinary and Contingent Expenses of the Department of



Children and Family Services. I think there has been adequate discussion on this on more than one occasion. I would move the adoption of the..."

Speaker Redmond: "Senate Amendments 1, 2, and 5?"

Peters: "Yes. Yes, sir."

Speaker Redmond: "Question's on the minute... the Gentleman has moved that the House concur in Senate Amendments 1, 2, and 5 to House Bill 1644. Those in favor vote aye, oppose vote no. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 133 aye and 10 no and the House does concur in Senate Amendments 1, 2, and 5 to House Bill 1644 by a 3/5 majority. Senate Bill 546, Representative Yourell. Ready with that one? "

Yourell: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move to adopt the first Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 546. The Senate concurred in House Amendments #1 and 2 and further amended Senate Bill 546 by inserting the sum of 961,130 dollars for... to the State Board of Elections for the purpose of reimbursing counties for increased compensation to judges. I move to adopt the first Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 546."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Friedrich: "How much money is in this for... to implement the consolidation of elections?"

Yourell: "25,000."

Friedrich: "25,000? Where's the 2,000,000 dollars that the County Clerks are going to get to do all this indexing?"



Yourell: "That's in another Bill sir."

Friedrich: "OK. I just... I'd like to remind you from time to time how much money we're saving on consolidation elections."

Yourell: "Well, when you get the total will you bring it over to me."

Friedrich: "I think you'll be astounded."

Yourell: "I'm sure of that."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Totten."

Totten: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Yourell: "That's par."

Totten: "Did you indicate that this was further amended for about a million dollars for..."

Yourell: "That's correct."

Totten: "At a million dollars was to implement what legislation?"

Yourell: "That was the cost that was mandated by this General Assembly for the increased costs of the judge of elections. If you recall, we passed a Bill that gave them ten bucks each when we increased the voting hours from six to seven."

Totten: "OK. Has that money normally been paid by the counties?"

Yourell: "Yes."

Totten: "So what we are doing now is breaking precedent by having the state pay for the costs of the additional hours for judges. Is that right?"

Yourell: "Well that was an action by this General Assembly that mandated that be done and I think it's only proper that if the state mandates it, we... the state will pay for it."

Totten: "Was that mandated in the seven to seven voting hour Bill? Six to seven?"



Yourell: "When that... When that Bill, I forget the number. I think 96... whatever it was. It was Representative... Representative Stanley's Bill and at that time it was discussed and that was brought out in the debate that the state would pick up the cost of the... increased cost of judges through the passage of that legislation. That's... this is not anything new. This is the intent of the legislation that Representative Stanley passed and we're putting it in this Bill where it properly belongs in the State Board of Elections budget."

"Is that... OK. Is that cost, all that 961,000 directly attributable to that Bill?"

"That's correct Representative. This provides for a ten dollar increase for judges, canvasers, and registers and it was mandated in Senate Bill 80 which is on the Governor's desk."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further?"

Totten: "Well, then Representative Stanley has mandated 961,000 dollars on state government."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Question's on the Gentleman's motion that the House adopt first Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 546. Those in favor vote aye, oppose vote no. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 124 aye and 10 no and the House does adopt the first Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 546 by a 3/5 majority. Parliamentarian, come to the podium please. Representative Cissy Stiehl."

Stiehl: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, while we're waiting for the Parliamentarian to rule, I wonder if Representative Jaffe would yield to a question."



Speaker Redmond: "I can't understand. I don't know whether..."

Stiehl: "While we're waiting for the Parliamentarian to rule, I wonder if Representative Jaffe would yield to a question."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Jaffe. Representative Jaffe, will you yield to a question from Represe...?"

Jaffe: "Yes Representative Stiehl. What is your question Representative Stiehl?"

Stiehl: "Representative Jaffe, I've been asked by a group back here to ask you what phone booth you got dressed in this morning."

Jaffe: "Well, Representative Stiehl, because of the extra day, you know, I've run out of all my underwear and I really have to get to my real clothing and this is really what I wear back in the district. I realize that many people on the floor of House would not understand what this shirt is you know. But I wear this under my regular clothes."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Van Duyne."

Van Duyne: "Mr. Speaker, yesterday I said that... I made a comment about the Dutch being the only true minority. Well I've got proof of it this morning. Representative Kornowicz is passing out his tavern... nation tavern month slogans and the Dutch, they've got every nationality on here except the Dutch. So Representative Speaker Piel and I object. Or is it Van Piel?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Yeah."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Speaker, Members of the House, the Speaker tells me we're in a little low now. You know last year we ... we went to the Order of



Impersonations about this time but we may do it a little different. Mark, you ready over there? Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I thought this year rather than doing something I did in the last, about four or five years, that it my be appropriate to hit some of the highlights of this Session. And I thought that some of the highlights and some of the background and human interest of this Session that we might do it on music. Much of our work here is spent, as you know, on the consideration of appropriation Bills. The money Bills. And often we look up at the board and we hear certain Representatives explain their vote like Don Totten. You got it Mark? (record playing) and Bob Piel (record playing). And Penny Bullen (record playing). And on verification of vote we wait through those long Roll Calls like the Clerk calling out names (record playing) like William Marovitz (record playing). He's not there. We faced many issues this Session. Glen Schneider had one that beat one of the most aggressive letter writing campaigns almost in history and he was seen downtown the next day staring at a store window singing to himself (record playing). And among he... and among us we have the man who sometimes dresses in kelly green and protects life as we all know. They said we got a little time. We'll get to the... come on. They don't have any business yet. They don't have them here. Speaker said they're going to meet back there. In other words, we want to hear Cullerton. We're going to hear Cullerton. One of the issues we hear so often is how to spend ag-premium funds. Now



listen and see if this doesn't sound like Zeke Giorgi. Skip by the next one if you can Mark. Can you skip by it? Giorgi always lectured Harlan Rigney and Clarence Neff and Betty Hoxsey...(record playing). Sounds just like you Zeke. Speaking of farmers, what I've always liked about the Legislature that it is a microcosm of all of Illinois. It's diversity. We have Clyde Robbins, Gale Sch Betty Hoxsey, and some of the rest of the farmers that they thought they'd play that(record playing). Skip through that now. OK. We'll go on to the... And then you have a district like Jesse White and Danny O'Brien. It's a little different type of a district(record playing). And speaking of Jesse White some of you may not know he was here for a term and lost his seat and maybe O'Brien had something to do with it. And Jesse sits right in front of me this time and he's very careful with his vote. In fact, I saw him voting and I heard him singing (record playing). I hope you are here to stay too Jesse. And there were times when both political parties were told of party positions or were informed positions of party leaders. We know some of those packages and after caucuses by both political parties Members were heard singing after they left their respective caucuses (record playing). And then some complained that there was always a deal and the deals should be out in the open, but instead the deals are made (record playing). But we thought that all of us... all of us together ought to sing for one area of this state that we all think so much of (record playing).



In this Session that I... In this Session we're going to miss a friend of mine who served on Appropriations Committee II as Chairman is going to be leaving us shortly and I know they're going to make a presentation to him from the podium too, but we thought we ought to sing a song pretty close to that area talking about the CTA (singing). And you know, it takes a lot to put any type of legislation together. You just got to know what to do to get legislation passed and put things together. Mark.

Is this the wrong one? Which one did I leave out? What? Oh yeah. Play that one. Just go on and play. I did this quickly yesterday. (record playing) And then... And then there's a conversation that Jim and Jane had I understand. (singing) But the real highlight of the Session was one Sunday evening and we weren't even in Session. The time we adjourned fairly early and one amongst us became very popular (singing) He'll be coming down this center aisle shortly. Mr. Wonderful."

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Pouncey in the Chair."



Cullerton (Pouncey): "I waited a long time to hear that huh? Since I am the only independent in the whole room, I would appreciate it if I'd be elected the Speaker, at least for a few minutes. I say the motion is... the question is Pouncey going to be the Speaker. All in favor say 'aye'; anybody going to say 'no' I'm going to sit on you. Now the first thing I can do is recognize the man from way down South, Representative Robbins."

Cullerton (Robbins): "Well, thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. You know, I took a poll in my district."

Cullerton (Pouncey): "Excuse me, the Gentleman from Chicago, Representative Lechowicz on a point of personal privilege."

Cullerton (Lechowicz): "Yeah, he say a poll?"

Cullerton (Pouncey): "Now, he didn't mention your name brother. Don't worry about it. Well, we're going to recognize the birthday boy, Representative Borchers."

Cullerton (Borchers): "Well, thank you, thank you very much. You know, over there in the French underground, in ... a, World War II, they're talking about sex education. We didn't have any sex education out there or any sex education here. Well, you know what they want to do? They want to have a Condominium Study Commission. We didn't have any condominiums in the front, so's we shouldn't ... mumble, mumble."

Cullerton (Pouncey): "Alright. I'm going to recognize my former Leader, I mean he's still my Leader, you understand. But I'm the Speaker. Representative Madigan."

Cullerton (Madigan): (Unseen action) "I rise in support of my motion to appropriate forty-two billion dollars to erect a dome stadium over Midway Airport. It's a small price to pay to make sure that those planes can take-off without any rain on them. "

Cullerton (Pouncey): "Alright, Leader, any time you want to talk, just ask me. I'm going to recognize the man, another



man from down South, Representative William Harris."

Cullerton (Harris): "Thank you Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Today I bring before you two measures, House Bill 226, the Sulfur Dioxide Emissions Bill and House Bill 508, the Forest Land Incentive Act. Both are clean and green Bills. My chief Cosponsor is Representative Bob Winchester, the only other Representative from my district. The Sulfur Dioxide Bill will help the economy in Southern Illinois, that's one word, 'Southern Illinois', by encouraging the use of Illinois coal. You know, we sit under the largest bituminous coal reserve in the nation, some one hundred and ninety million tons. Coal is truly the fuel of the future. Besides that, I have three thousand coal miners in my district. They are truly the voters of the future. Now, the Forest Land Incentive Act is good for the trees and it will help preserve all the forest throughout Southern Illinois. Besides that, I have five hundred and eighty-seven thousand, two hundred and ninety-one trees in my district. Most of these Bills are people Bills. Clyde told me so. I also, at this time, I wish to be recorded as voting present on our Leader, Representative Madigan's Bill to relocate with the use of Agri-Premium funds, the Marion Regional State Office Building onto the side of Midway Airport. This was recommended by his Commission to revitalize Midway Airport. Tiger, I'd like to help you on this one, but I'm going to have to take a walk, Tiger. I hope you understand. The Marion State Office Building serves 27 down-state counties."

Cullerton (Pouncey): "Alright, brother, I appreciate that. What?.. I recognize the Minority Leader. What's the Minority Leader want?"

Cullerton (Ryan): "Yeah, when are we going to see the real Speaker up there?"

Cullerton (Pouncey): "Well, I tell you something, turkey, if



it wasn't for me you'd be the real Speaker. And if I had known that Jane Byrne was going to axe me, you would have been the real Speaker. "

Cullerton (Collins): "Mr. Speaker, Mr. Speaker, Mr. Speaker, Mr. Speaker."

Cullerton (Pouncey): "Hey, Representative Collins, what do you want?"

Cullerton (Collins): "Mr. Speaker, Mr. Speaker, Mr. Speaker, Chairman, Mr. Speaker, call my name Mr. Speaker, Mr. Speaker."

Cullerton (Pouncey) : "Aww, brother, just relax. Everytime I sit right down there in the back, I've been listening to all and all I hear you say is, 'Mr. Speaker, Mr. Speaker. Just sit down brother, and take it easy. While I'm up here I want to ask you a question. How do you make your head so red? Alright, now I'm going to recognize the Gentleman from Hazel Crest, Representative Kelly."

Cullerton (Kelly): "Yeah, well, um, I don't know... I, um.. I just wanted to, um... I guess... I don't...this isn't really that important but...um... you know, I just sort of wanted to explain something to you.... it just seems a little odd.. maybe inconsistent... I don't know....I just wanted to show you, I just wanted to say that... I'll try to explain why I don't think that.. um.. well female fetuses have as many rights as male fetuses.. I don't know... I'll.. I'll get back to you. Take that out of the record."

Cullerton (Pouncey): "Alright, brother, we'll get back to you. Alright, the Chair recognizes the Lady from the suburbs, Representative Chapman."

Cullerton (Chapman): "Um.. Thank you , Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I really, uh, am very very disturbed. I, uh, I'm, I'm. I, I really, I, I, I know really, I, I just wanted to know, I just saw Representative Walsh, uh, vote for one of my Bills. And I really want, I'm very suspicious of that and I want to know why he did it. "



Cullerton (Pouncey): "Well, I don't know. He doesn't have to explain it. The man's going to explain.. Oh, I see. Representative .. Chair recognizes Representative Bullock to undoubtedly, explain his vote."

Cullerton (Bullock): "Mr. Speaker, the blind and the poor and the crippled....(hits microphone)..they can, it explain their vote.. so I'd just thought I'd explain my vote."

Cullerton (Pouncey): "Alright, that's enough, brother, I think we've got too many brothers at one , at one time. You understand what I'm saying? Representative Giorgi?"

Cullerton (Giorgi): " (profuse mumbling)"

Cullerton (Pouncey) : "Alright thank you Representative , I appreciate it. Now, listen, I've been hearing all of you talk, now I'd like to, I'd like to just say that, uh, I haven't passed one Bill and I think this being the last day, I would appreciate it if you all consider my one last Bill. And this Bill is dealing with the policy, you understand? Now policy is something that's going to go on whether you like it or not. Then, we go on, we got up there in Chicago and I had.. I just wondered would you's bring some of that money and give it to the State, you understand? So this, this Bill ain't going to hurt nobody and I'd just appreciate it if you'd vote on my Bill. What Representative Robbins, what do you want?"

Cullerton (Robbins): "Yeah, this,... you.. you're trying to introduce gambling in the State of Illinois?"

Cullerton (Pouncey): "Well, no, brother. You know, you sit, just think about you, you, uh, in, you got your, um, you got your bingo and that pays for the Catholic church you know, and you got your, um, Irish people, they got their Irish Sweepstakes that pay for their country. All this is, is a chance to bring some legal dollars into Illinois. So all those in favor of the policy, say 'aye'. Now, like I said, if you vote against me I'm going to sit on you, you understand? Well now the Chair is going to recognize



uh, Rep., the Chair's going to recognize Representative Cullerton in the back there. He's going to do an impersonation of Representative Taylor Pouncey."

Pouncey (Cullerton): "Thank you Mr. Speaker."

Cullerton (Pouncey): "It's alright, brother."

Pouncey (Cullerton): "I just want to ask one question, how much is this going to cost? Well, thank you, Mr. Cullerton. "

Mr. Wonderful.....

Speaker Redmond: "By the way, the woman next to John Cullerton is his fiance. Let's give her..."

Matijevich: "I thought you would enjoy that Ladies and Gentlemen of the House... I always have felt that when we do serious business, we ought to have humor too. And I think that when this House or the Legislature loses their humor, then there's something wrong and I think we can operate better when we act seriously but also have a sense of humor too. Adeline says we need it. I think we'll mark time for a minute. We're just... We're still in Session. Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker, last night when we were in the middle of our frantic efforts I was called down to the phone there and there was a deep voice on the other end with some heavy breathing and at first I thought I was receiving an absene phone call, but it was really Senator Geo-Karis reporting that House Bill 112 had passed. I wanted to straighten that out."

Matijevich: "Giorgi in his seat? You want to come up here? I've got a Conference Committee."

Giorgi: "I don't want to come up here. Monroe you go..."

Matijevich: "Monroe."



Speaker Flinn: "Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "On the order of entertainment, Representative Pullen really should be recognized perhaps with Representative Swanstrom for a very short musical salute to our... to your leader."

Speaker Flinn: "OK. Turn Pullen and Swanstrom on. Have a little order here."

Swanstrom: "Mr. Speaker, we'd like to have Representative Deuster turned on too. He's..."

Speaker Flinn: "Turn Deuster on also."

Pullen: "Whoever has a sheet, would you please your sheet and Tim Bell please and Ray Hudson. Who else?"

Speaker Flinn: "You want all those with a sheet in their hand to have their microphones on?"

Pullen: "MaryLou Sumner."

Speaker Flinn: "Turn her on. Bell on."

Pullen: "Betty Lou Reed."

Speaker Flinn: "Betty Lou Reed. Who else Penny? Would all the others in between please take your seat so we can see. Everyone in the aisles sit down. Grab a chair someplace. Wait till we get a little order here first."

Deuster: "There's a wonderful old song about working on the railroad and I think Representative Pullen and Nordstrom will lead us in singing this."

Swanstrom: "Mr. Speaker, this is a musical salute to Mr. Redmond and Mr. Madigan. So I hope they're within hearing distance of this song. Gang, are you ready? (singing)"

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Totten. Totten."

Totten: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. On page two of the Calendar, actually, the first thing on our Calendar is HJR CA 13 and I know how you feel about it and I wonder if I couldn't have leave



to use the Attendance Roll Call to pass HJR
CA 13."

Speaker Flinn: "Any objections? There was an objection raised. Is Representative Jim Taylor on the floor? Jim Taylor. Not... Are you ready to go with 1288? Representative Peters would you... What Bill did you have in mind there a few minutes ago? You... What Bill did you have... What Bill number was it?"

Peters: "...5..."

Speaker Flinn: "On page nine of the... five of the Calendar, under Conference Committee Reports, appears Senate Bill 973, Representative Peters is recognized to suspend the rule."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would now ask leave of the House to suspend the appropriate rule so that Senate Bill 973, which amends the abused and the neglected child reporting Act, may be heard by the House."

Speaker Flinn: "Does the Gentleman have leave? Leave is granted. The Attendance Roll Call will be used."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, I would now move to accept Conference Committee Report #1 on Senate Bill 973 which is the abused and neglected child reporting Act. The Conference Committee deleted one Amendment from the Bill dealing with the guardian ad litem. An Amendment which was put on by Representative McClain. Representative McClain, although; not delighted as to what happened is in agreement with the action of the Conference Committee and I would ask for the concurrence of the House."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Conference Committee Report #1



on Senate Bill 973. On that motion, Representative McClain."

McClain: "Thank you very much Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Mr. Peters is absolutely correct. I believe pretty strongly in my concept that attorneys should meet the abused and neglected child prior to the hearing on the child and the... the action on the child or the action on the alleged abuser, or person involved. But there are so many principles involved here that people have worked so hard on the Act that my Amendment and my concept came a late in the game and not everybody really could understand my principle or the actions of what I stood and I certainly don't want to hold up this new Act that so many people have worked hard on. So Mr. Peters is right and I concur with the Conference Committee. I've talked to Mrs. Chapman and Mr. Marovitz who have been more than willing to support me on this as Mr. Peters but because of so many people involved and so much work done on the new Act, I've decided to withdraw my Amendment and agree with the Conference Committee and I thank everybody for trying to help me out on the Amendment. I'll try again next year. Thank you."

Peters: "Thank you Representative McClain."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Chapman."

Chapman: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. This is a good Bill. Not as good as it was when it went to the Senate from the House but it's a good Bill. I hope it gets 107 votes. But my inquiry Mr. Speaker, is why are we taking Conference Committee Reports for Senate Bills



when we have a lot of motions filed to take Conference Committee Reports for House Bills."

Speaker Flinn: "Well, we're..."

Chapman: "I hope that when we complete action on this Bill that we wouldn't take any further Senate Bills that are not appropriation Bills but yet we would get with the House Bills first."

Speaker Flinn: "Alright. Listen... let me answer your question. In order to... In order to use some of the idle time we have, we're trying to move something we got in front of us now and they're trying to do something... Alright. Representative Chapman."

Chapman: "Uh..."

Speaker Flinn: "We could stop."

Chapman: "If we have idle time, let's go through some of these actions for Conference Committee Reports House Bills. I think we have a responsibility to the Members of the House to consider House Bills which we need to get back on the Calendar. Again, I hope we'll get 107 votes on Senate Bill 973 but that we would take no further Conference Committee Reports on Senate Bills until we deal with the issue of House Bills that have Conference Committee Reports ready to be adopted."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Marovitz."

Marovitz: "Well thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I think if you take a look at the Conference Committee Report you'll see that my signature, I believe, along with Representative Chapman's signature is missing. I'm going to support this motion. I do think the Bill would be a much better Bill with Representatives McClain's Amendment, but



the lack of our signatures because neither Representative Chapman or myself were requested to come to any Conference Committee where the removal of Representative McClain's Amendment was discussed. It was done summarily without consulting the Members of the Conference Committee and I object to that. Nevertheless, I'm going to support this motion because Representative McClain does agree with the removal of the Amendment."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Mr. Speaker, I was going to inquire the Chair on Representative Chapman's point. I will support this particular motion."

Speaker Flinn: "Very simply. Let me answer the question. Let me answer the question that Representative Chapman raised. We were standing up here doing absolutely nothing. It's Sunday afternoon. Almost 2:00 or so and we thought in order to utilize some of our time here rather than just be idle, we would move something non-controversial. If it's going to cause the problems, we will just stand by and wait till we get some things straightened out. Alright. Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Well, I stand in support of this motion and I believe this is a very important Bill that we do have to pass out of here and I think whatever else we do; we ought to move on this one. But, I think once the roll is taken on this I would appreciate some advisement from the Chair on the remaining Bills on the Conference Committee call because I have one that I think is non-controversial I'd like to call also. Thank you."



Speaker Flinn: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, one of my constituents died in Crystal Lake as a result of this system not being in effect. The Department of ... the Department was notified by the Crystal Lake Police Department on a Friday and unfortunately they called the wrong number so they had to call back Monday and the Department didn't get back to the child for approximately a month. The child died in a fire, chained to a bed and the police had reported to DCFS that the child was chained to the bed and I think that that is about... well, if anyone needs any better reason to vote for this Bill I'd like for them to come up with it. And if anybody wants to kill it, they can have on their conscience the next time some kid get killed because DCFS did not react fast enough because they didn't have this system in place."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Catania. OK. Representative Peters to close."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would appreciate a very favorable Roll Call on the Bill that a lot of people put a lot of time in and I think goes a long way, although; not perfect. It goes a long way to solving some of our problems in this area. Thank you."

Speaker Flinn: "The gentleman has moved that the House adopt Conference Committee Report #1 to Senate Bill 973. All those in favor say 'aye'... I mean vote aye and those oppose vote no. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? On this question there are... The Clerk will take the record. On this



question there 149 ayes and 1 nay and the House does accept Conference Committee Report #1. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, with the indulgence of the House, I would like to call the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 932 at this time. I believe..."

Speaker Flinn: "... I can't hear you. There's a little bit too much noise. Could we have some order while the Gentleman raises a question? Now start over Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Thank you very much Mr. Speaker. The reason I rose earlier to inquire the Chair's response to Representative Chapman's point is that I have a Senate Bill on the Order of Conference Committee Reports which I believe is non-controversial that I'd like to bring before the Body at this time, but I wanted to await the direction of the Chair as to whether you wish to proceed with other Senate Bills at this time. The particular Bill in question that a Conference Committee Report asked to recede from an Amendment which I had offered and I'm prepared to recede in that Amendment and I'll be happy to explain it if you want to go to that order at this point."

Speaker Flinn: "Well..."

Bowman: "Well, that's what I'm asking."

Speaker Flinn: "I hope everybody listens so we don't continually raise the question but last night we tried to get the House Bills back on a Calendar. We failed. And all we're doing now is working some Bills, Senate Bills, that



are on the Calendar till such time that we can get matters straightened out and then we're going to get to them."

Bowman: "Now, Mr. Speaker, I do have a Senate Bill then on the Order of Conference Committee Reports which I would like called."

Speaker Flinn: "Bring it up here and let's see what it is and we'll put it up here. Alright. Appearing under Conference Committee Reports, also; is Senate Bill 930, Representative Mahar."

Mahar: "Yes, thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I have Senator Berman's Senate Bill..."

Speaker Flinn: "Would you move to..."

Mahar: "I would move to suspend the provisions of Rule 68 so that we might hear Senate Bill 930."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman has moved to suspend Rule #68 so that Senate Bill 930 can be heard. Does the Gentleman have leave to suspend that rule? Leave is granted. Roll Call will be used. The Gentleman on 930."

Mahar: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I would move that we adopt the first Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 930 and here's what it does. Senate Bill 930, you may recall, is a Bill which allows individuals to vote within the last 30 days prior to election if they move. To allow them to return to their old precinct with proper safeguards and vote. Now the... the Conference Committee Report that we recede from House Amendment #3 and then adopt the proper language to put the House Amendment in... to make it technically correct. There were some errors in it. This has been agreed by all. It's been signed by



all conferees in the Conference Committee and I would ask that it get the 107 votes for passage."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman has moved that the House adopt the first Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 930. Under discussion, Representative Friedland."

Friedland: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Flinn: "He indicates he will."

Friedland: "Mr. Sponsor, what would be the affect of this Bill if a person moved from say Chicago three or four hundred south of the state. Do they vote by absentee or do they go back... must they go back in person or how does that work?"

Mahar: "This deals with people who have moved in that last 30 days which puts them in limbo. Now there's an Amendment... there's an Amendment on the Bill which says they cannot come back from out of state, but they can come back to their old precinct and vote in the old precinct if they so desire. They do have to be identified in the precinct in order to... in order to vote and there's ... the initial affidavit signed by the voter plus another affidavit making sure that that individual is known in the precinct. And the concurrence just deals with the technical language of Amendment #3, House Amendment #3, which set up the affidavit for voting."

Friedland: "Thank you."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman has moved that the House adopt Conference Committee Report #1 on Senate Bill 930. All those in favor vote aye."



Those oppose vote no. Have all voted who wish?
Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take
the record. Representative Jake Wolf."

Wolf: "A little slow on getting up on this Mr. Speaker.
Well, I see he had 137 votes on it. I just
think we're making a real bad mistake here."

Speaker Flinn: "OK. On this question there are 130
eyes, 4 voting no and the House does adopt
Conference Committee Report #1 to Senate Bill
930 and this Bill having received the
Constitutional Majority is hereby passed.
Senate Bill 932. 3/5 Constitutional Majority
is hereby passed. Senate Bill 932. Represent-
ative Bowman is recognized. Bowman."

Bowman: "Thank you Mr..."

Speaker Flinn: "One moment. One moment. Representative
Kempiner, for what purpose do you rise?"

Kempiners: "Parliamentary inquiry Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Flinn: "Wait just a minute till he gets off
the phone here. So you don't have to repeat
it. Parliamentary inquiry."

Kempiners: "If I'm not mistaken, the rules state that
when we are on an order of business that the
Speaker must start at a particular point
and go through that order of business. I
think there are a lot of..."

Speaker Flinn: "That's all on Second and Third Reading
according to the rules. It doesn't apply
to any other order of business."

Kempiners: "Then you're going to be skipping all around
the Calendar. Is that correct?"

Speaker Flinn: "Well, until we get the other problems
about House Bills which are much more important
than these..."

Kempiners: "The reason I raised this question, Mr. Speaker,
is that there are some Bills that are dead because



we skipped around the Calendar and they sat on that Calendar and were never called for several days and I just think, or request, that the Chair give us an idea of what procedure is going to be followed. If we're going to go through this Calendar, I think the whole House ought to be aware of how we're going to do it."

Speaker Flinn: "Bring your request up here and we'll mark it down. The order..."

Kempiners: "Mr. Speaker, I brought it up there five times yesterday and three different Speakers' marked it down. Some of them twice."

Speaker Flinn: "What's the number of the Bill?"

Kempiners: "I have a motion filed on it and that's not what I'm concerned about. I just think that we ought to have an order of business that the House, the full House, is aware of."

Speaker Flinn: "We do have an order of business we're on right now and that's Conference Committee Reports and as I mentioned to two or three people, we're trying to use up some... rather than waste some time here on Sunday afternoon to do something that's got to be done before the day is over. And if we could stop interrupting all the time, we'd get some of it done and I will not pass you up again if you'll give me the Bills on me you've got. Alright. Senate Bill 932, Representative Bowman is recognized."

Bowman: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. 932 was..."

Speaker Flinn: "Move to suspend the appropriate rule."

Bowman: "Yes. Thank you. I move to suspend the Rule 68 for purposes of consideration of Senate Bill 932."



Speaker Flinn: "Gentleman has moved to suspend Rule 68 for the purpose of considering Senate Bill 932. Does he have leave. No objection. Leave is granted. The Attendance Roll Call will be used. Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 932 was introduced into the Senate at the request of the Chicago Board of Education to allow them to sell their bonds at any time during the fiscal year rather than having to divide them into discreet units. One before the end of the calendar year and one after the end of the calendar year. Anyway, Senate Amendment... or House Amendment 1 simply put an 8% limitation on the interest rates that they can pay and Senate Amend... House Amendment #2 was put on at their urging to make sure that there was a back stop to House Bill 2730 which was, as you know, designed to provide bonding... increased bonding authority in the wake of the elimination of the corporate personal property tax base. Now that House Bill 2730 is passed and is going down to the Governor's desk, there is no need for House Amendment #2. The Senate refused to concur in House Amendment #2 since there is now more need for it with this Bill and the Bill on the Governor's desk I now think that it's appropriate to recede from that Amendment. The Conference Committee Report calls for us to accept Amendment #1. Recede from Amendment #2 and I ask the House to adopt the Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman has moved that the House adopt the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 932 and on that motion, Representative



Totten is recognized."

Totten: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Flinn: "Indicates he will."

Totten: "Two questions on the Bill. Why was the original provisions put in the Bill... or the original law that they could only sell their bonds at specific times?"

Bowman: "Because the... the tax year, corresponds with the calendar year. However; the fiscal year corresponds with the school year so the original bonding provisions were keyed to the tax year not to the fiscal or the school year and, you know, it's the sort of provision that is in almost every other local government statute."

Totten: "How long has that provision been in effect?"

Bowman: "I'm sorry, I can't respond to that question. It's... has been in effect a while I suppose."

Totten: "Why that... if it... I believe you're correct. It has been in effect for a long time. How has it impaired the school districts ability to operate?"

Bowman: "It reduces their flexibility. It hasn't crippled them. That's not the issue. This is a housekeeping Bill. A clean-up Bill and it's something that's worthwhile doing but has not been, obviously, a high priority item. But, it's something they would like to have flexibility to be able to market their bonds. It doesn't change their liability at anytime during a fiscal year."

Totten: "Another provision of the Bill requires the County Clerk to extend taxes at a rate sufficient to produce the full amount of taxes levied for school purposes for the tax year. How is that a change from the existing law?"



Bowman: "Is that added language that you're reading from there?"

Totten: "I... yes. I'm reading from what the Bill does."

Bowman: "OK. Is that the enrolled version?"

Totten: "I don't have the enrolled version in front of me."

Bowman: "You have the original Bill."

Totten: "It doesn't indicate that any of the Amendments or the Conference Committee Report deleted the language."

Bowman: "OK. I'm not aware that that's a change in the existing law. Perhaps it is not in Section 34 however which is the only Section which is applicable to the city of Chicago in the School Code. In other words, it puts it in the same language which I think ^{is the} the other parts of the statutes books for the Article that governs the city of Chicago."

Totten: "Well, what I would like to know is if, in effect, what I said is in the Bill; how does it change the existing law? And I don't have a copy of the Bill in front of me to check it."

Bowman: "I think with respect to the County Clerks extending the taxes, it seems to me that that's the way it's done now but is not in Section 34 of the School Code which is the Chicago Board of Ed-section. The other element is a change from the existing law simply providing them more flexibility. It's a clean-up Bill."

Totten: "We've had a lot of clean-up Bills that have really cleaned us out in the end and..."

Bowman: "If you can find something in there that's going to clean us out, let me know."

Totten: "That's why I'm raising the questions because I don't know what the full impact of the Bill is and I wonder if you could just get those answers for me. Specifically on how that changes the



provision of the laws that exist now before we go any further with it."

Bowman: "OK. Well, I don't think that there is any significant change in that respect, however; if it makes your mind easier, rest easier, I'll be happy to take this out of the record and I come over and discuss it and then maybe we can get back to it."

Totten: "Fine."

Bowman: "I didn't want to raise any controversial issues because I really think that this is a housekeeping Bill but I'll get back to Representative Totten if we can take this out of the record."

Speaker Flinn: "Out of the record at the request of the Sponsor. Now I've got one more I'm going to go to. Representative Currie, 1350 and then at the request of a number of Members so they'll know where we're at, I'll go right at the top after that so we'll start with Cullerton and Senate Bill 7 after 1350. So Senate Bill 1350, Representative Cullerton for a motion to suspend Rule 68. Does the Lady have leave to suspend Rule 68 so that we may here Senate Bill 1350? No objection. Leave is granted. Use the Attendance Roll Call. Representative Currie on 1350."

Currie: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Members of the House Senate Bill 1350 affects the Illinois Industrial Pollution Control Financing Authority. It expanded the bonding power of that Authority and included strip mines, land reclamation projects under those which would be bondable. The Senate refused to concur with the House Amendment that was meant to expand in other directions the Pollution Control Authority and we... I would move that the House accept the



Conference Committee Report which specifies that the extension the House was interested in would include those facilities or processes used to remove potential pollutants from coal prior to combustion. Basically what we're concerned about here is the washing process that precedes the scrubbing process for coal. This is a good Amendment for the Illinois coal industry because what it says is that we're concerned about ways to encourage use of Illinois coal and to the extent that this process encourages clean air. It seems to me this is a good way for us to go and I urge that we accept the Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Flinn: "The Lady has moved that the House adopt Conference Committee Report #1 on Senate Bill 1350. Any discussion. If not, all those in favor vote aye and those oppose vote no. The next one on deck is Representative Cullerton and 7 and we're going to go right down the Calendar. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 117 ayes, 3 nays and the House does adopt Conference Committee Report #1. And this Bill having received the Extra-ordinary Majority required is hereby adopted. Representative Cullerton for the purpose of a motion to suspend Rule 68. The Gentleman has moved that we suspend Rule 68 for the purpose of hearing Senate Bill #7. The Gentleman have leave? No objection. Leave is granted. Attendance Roll Call will be used. Representative Cullerton on Senate Bill #7."

Cullerton: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen



of the House. I move that we adopt the Conference... first Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 7. It recommends that the House recede from House Amendment #2 and adopt further language. Senate Bill 7 as it passed out of the Senate provided that all policy forms must be filed with reviewed and formally approved by the Director of Insurance prior to use. It also provides that the Director must act within 60 days. The House Amendment #2 provided an exemption from the prior approval provisions for group life and group accident policies. Now we went and amended it in the Conference Committee to provide that all life and accident health insurance policy forms must be filed with and approved by the Director of Insurance before such forms may be issued or delivered except that any endorsement or rider which is attached to a policy after the effective date of the policy must be filed with... reviewed and formally approved by the Director. This Conference Committee Report was signed by all Members. It was done with the agreement of Representative Epton, Schuneman, Senator Merlo and Director of Insurance and representative, Mr. Skelton and I would ask for the adoption of the Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman has moved that the House adopt Conference Committee Report #1 on Senate Bill #7. Any discussion? If not, that is the question. All those in favor vote aye, those oppose vote nay. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Brummer."

Brummer: "Apologies to the Sponsor of this Bill. I was very



concerned about this. Does this exclude the prefiling of group forms?"

Cullerton: "It excludes... Could you ask your question again?"

Brummer: "Does it exclude the prefiling of group forms? ... Require Department approval?"

Cullerton: "Yes."

Speaker Flinn: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. This question there are 135 voting aye and 10 voting nay and the House does adopt Conference Committee Report #1 on Senate Bill #7 and this Bill having received the Extra-ordinary Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Representative Dawson is recognized for the purpose of a motion on Senate Bill 17. I don't see the Gentleman in his chair."



Speaker Flinn: "Representative Hallock." Turn Hallock on."

Hallock: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move that we... I make a motion that we accept and concur with Conference Committee Report #2 which allows not-for-profit camps to be excluded from the over-time provisions of the Illinois Minimum Wage Law. Move for concurrence."

Speaker Flinn: "The gentleman moves that we suspend the Rule 68 so Senate Bill #17 can be heard. Any objections? No objections. Well we're only suspending the rule right now so it can be... Well alright, if there's objections we'll... Representative Totten."

Totten: "I'd like to ask a question first."

Speaker Flinn: "You'd like to ask a question about the Bill or you want to object to it."

Totten: "Well, I want, I might want to object. I may want to remove that objection."

Speaker Flinn: "Ask the question, that might be the most expedient way to get out of this."

Totten: "That's right. If I can ask the question, then I may remove the objection."

Speaker Flinn: "Ask the question."

Totten: "OK. I would like to know what the Bill does and what the Conference Committee Report says."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Hallock."

Hallock: "The Bill seeks to exclude from coverage of the Illinois Minimum Wage Law not-for-profit camps such as Girl Scout camps and Boy Scout camps. What the amendment... What the Conference Committee Report does is exclude those camps only from coverage of the Minimum Wage Law as it applies to the over-time provisions of that law."

Totten: "In other words..."

Hallock: "The idea of course is to allow these camps to continue to stay in existence and thereby be able to afford the costs of paying their camp counselors."

Totten: "I remove my objection, Mr. Speaker."



Hallock: "I move my motion to concur, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Flinn: "You withdraw your objections for the... Does the gentleman have leave for using the Attendance Roll... Call for purpose of hearing this Bill? Representative Schraeder, you object?" Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, just one question. Does this extend coverage to household employees and the permanent babysitters or paid companions?"

Hallock: "No. No. that was taken off. That was put on in Conference Committee Report #1. That was removed on this proposal."

Schraeder: "Thank you."

Speaker Flinn: "Alright, does the gentleman leave to use his... Representative Schuneman. We're going to hear the whole Bill in a minute I guess."

Schuneman: "Well, perhaps we will Mr. Speaker, but this Bill could be used for an important vehicle. John, is this, this Bill now is in the same posture as when it was heard in the House Committee basically or...?"

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Giorgi, what... What purpose do you rise Representative Giorgi?"

Giorgi: "I'd like to ask Representative Hallock..."

Speaker Flinn: "Well wait a minute he hasn't answered Schuneman's question yet." Representative Hallock."

Hallock: "Representative Schuneman has stated that this may be used as a vehicle. First of all, this is the second Conference Committee Report so this is the way... its final posture. And secondly, the intent of the Sponsor in the Senate and of course Representative Dawson and myself are joint Sponsors here and that is not our intention. The Bill is to be passed as it is today and in this posture... This is its final form."

Speaker Flinn: "You're absolutely right. If his motion passes, it'll be on the Governor's desk and you'd have to go down on second floor and get it. Representative Dawson do you wish to... We haven't suspended the rule



yet. Now we're not on the Bill. Is there any objection to using Attendance Roll Call? Representative Giorgi.

Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, you know, there's going to be objections to every substantive Bill until we sure, we know what the Bill entails that's one of the reasons for the..."

Speaker Flinn: "Fine.. Alright. I've let everybody ask questions."

Giorgi: "We attacked this from midnight till 3:00 this morning. We decided what the procedure would be. I want to ask Representative Hallock, was labor at the hearing... Is this the way labor supported the Bill or does this fly in face of labor's opposition to this Bill?"

Speaker Flinn: "Why don't you just..."

Giorgi: "This is a labor Bill. I want Mr. Hallock to answer that."

Speaker Flinn: "Why don't we turn Dawson on. He wants to answer the question. It's Dawson's Bill. Alright, Dawson, hyphenated Sponsor of Hallock. Representative Dawson."

Dawson: "Mr. Speaker, this Bill was tried to be used as a vehicle Bill for the household workers and that amendment failed. As the Bill stands now in its present form, it is not supported by Organized Labor. To answer this question. This was the package that was brought together, a Bill by Senator Martin, Representative Hallock, and myself to try to help out the organized Girl Scout camps in their particular area. This is basically what the Bill does."

Speaker Flinn: "Any objection to using the Attendance Roll Call to hear this Bill? Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, Organized Labor attended every meeting of the Industry and Labor Committee and Organized Labor's testimony was respected by everyone present. They have put out poop sheets on this and now that we're in the final hours it seems like we're going to open up the flood



gates of every type of legislation. I think that the people that were at the Industry and Labor Committee that know what transpired should tell the Members of the House what transpired and what the position of labor is on this Bill because they're gone now, I think, and they expect us to understand what's being transcribed."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Dawson."

Dawson: "Mr. Speaker. Organized Labor put an amendment on this in the Labor Committee in the House. And at the present time that amendment has been removed. And at the present time Organized Labor does not support this."

Speaker Flinn: "You already said that once. Representative McPike."

McPike: "Well, thank you Mr. Speaker. I simply rise in opposition to the motion also."

Speaker Flinn: "OK. All you got to do is object. There's no motion. We were asking leave of the House."

McPike: "I object."

Speaker Flinn: "Alright. Does the Dawson or Hallock, either one, move that we suspend the rule? Why don't you take it out of the record and let us get going with something else? Want to take it out of the record? What about the Sponsors? You've got two choices. One, to move that we suspend, the other is take it out of the record."

Hallock: "Mr. Speaker, I had previously moved that we suspend the rule so we can have immediate consideration of the..."

Speaker Flinn: "Alright."

Hallock: "And I renew that motion."

Speaker Flinn: "OK. He persists in his motion. The motion is taken 100 and... I guess, no suspend... 89 I guess. 89 to suspend. The gentleman has moved that the Rule 68 be suspended so that Senate Bill 17 can be heard at this time. All those in favor vote aye, those oppose vote no. Put motion up there. Motion to suspend is what it is. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. And the rule is suspended. Re-



presentative McPike."

McPike: "Mr. Speaker, it was my understanding that this takes 107 votes, but if the Parliamentarian is going to rule that it takes 89, then I'll ask for a verification."

Speaker Flinn: "I got it. It says 89 right here. 89. He wrote it himself."

McPike: "Well then I'll ask for a verification."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Collins."

Collins: "Well, Mr. Speaker, maybe Representative McPike would remove that motion. It takes 89 to suspend but it takes 107 to pass the Bill. So you're getting the same thing either way Jim."

Speaker Flinn: "You got 107 to go if you suspend. I think we, we would lose a lot of time. We're absolutely zero. You're entitled to it if you want to persist, but you've got a better shot at 107 than you have 89. Yes. Who is calling? Representative Totten."

Totten: "Mr. Speaker, this Bill was on the table?"

Speaker Flinn: "No. It's on the Calendar and it remains on the Calendar because our passing July 1, into July 1 did not take it off the Calendar. It's a Senate Bill."

Totten: "Why... OK. Then let me ask the question. In other words, you're saying that all the Bills that are on the Calendar are the Bills that are not tabled."

Speaker Flinn: "That's what we're doing."

Totten: "OK. And so..."

Speaker Flinn: "You cannot consider them without suspending Rule 68 though. Rule 68 prevents you from doing it without being suspended. And that's why we're in the posture right now of, that we have just suspended the rule and the gentleman has asked for a verification of the Affirmative Roll Call and we're trying to talk him out of it because it's 107 to pass the Bill. Representative Emil Jones."

Jones: "Yes, thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen.

I know several Bills that should be on the Calendar



because on the Calendar there are substantive Bills that have Conference Committee Reports filed..."

Speaker Flinn: "Emil, we went through that about..."

Jones: "Alright then Mr. Speaker. What I'm saying to you it is not fair to hear some Members Bills and when other Members have Bills they should be on that Calendar and they aren't and, you know, it's really not fair to even deal with substantive Bills."

Speaker Flinn: "I'm very sorry but we're... Turn him off. I... We've answered that question too many times already. Representative Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to be recorded as voting aye."

Speaker Flinn: "Record Ebbesen as aye. Representative McPike do you persist in your verification? OK. Fine. I suppose Hallock wishes to poll the absentees. Representative Stearney. Change Stearney to aye. Representative Gaines."

Gaines: "Change me to no please."

Speaker Flinn: "Gaines from aye to no. Representative Neff."

Neff: "I'd like to vote aye please."

Speaker Flinn: "Neff, aye. Representative Hallock do you wish the absentees to be called? Hallock."

Hallock: "Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Flinn: "Yes. We're not on the Bill yet."

Hallock: "No."

Speaker Flinn: "You can still take it out of the record."

Hallock: "At this point and time I'd like to take this Bill out of the record please."

Speaker Flinn: "Take it out of the..."

Hallock: "I withdraw my motion."

Speaker Flinn: "Withdraw the motion. Take the Bill out of the record. Clear the board."



Speaker Flinn: "Is Representative McClain on the floor?"

Mike McClain. Senate Bill 88. You're next up on the Calendar here. Representative McClain moves to suspend the... Rule 68 so that Senate Bill 88 could be heard at this time. Is there leave to use the Attendance Roll Call? Representative Jaffe."

Jaffe: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I don't know whether or not I want to object. I would like to ask the Gentleman a question before I do that."

Speaker Flinn: "OK. I think it saves time if we ask a question about the Bill ahead of the suspension."

Jaffe: "Mike, as I read the Conference Committee Report I think it says that the State Public Defender can have only two investigators statewide. Is that correct?"

Speaker Flinn: "McClain. Turn McClain on."

McClain: "The Appellate service, Aaron, yes."

Jaffe: "And you think that that's adequate. I mean two, it would seem to me that you're going to have two investigators for the State Public Defender statewide. That's really nothing. That really is quite a..."

McClain: "Aaron, the Appellates have five, appellate defenders, and the States Attorney have their local police department so this is a compromise between both Appellate Divisions and the Chairman of the Committee and the Minority Spokesman."

Jaffe: "Yeah, what I'm talking, I'm thinking about the defendant. I'm not thinking about the compromise. I'm asking you, you say the States Attorneys have X number, you know, I'm thinking about the defendant and people who are suppose to defend that particular individual and I want that individual to get just



as good a defense as he can just because he's going to a state public defender doesn't mean that he shouldn't get a good defense. So what I'm asking you is whether or not two, you know, investigators for the entire State of Illinois actually is a reasonable amount. I think that's just a sop. If it's otherwise, please explain it to me."

McClain: "Aaron, all we're doing is limiting the prosecutors side to two investigators because they have local police. The defenders have no limitation on how many investigators they hire."

Jaffe: "Well, in looking at the Conference Committee Report it says 'The State... Oh, I see. OK. Alright. Fine.'"

McClain: "It'll take care of your matter entirely."

Speaker Flinn: "Any other questions before we ask for leave? Does the Gentleman have leave to suspend Rule 68 so that Senate Bill 88 can be heard at this time? No objection. Leave is granted. The Attendance Roll Call will be used. Representative McClain on Senate Bill 88. Turn McClain on."

McClain: "Thank you very much Mr. Speaker. I would ask for the House to concur with Senate Amendment... Conference Committee Report #1 to be adopted. All we have done here is the prosecutor's service would have the right to hire no more than two investigators statewide. It was an agreement by the Chairman, the Minority Spokesman of the Committee, Senator Daley in the Senate and the Prosecutorial Association that was very active in the Senate and I would ask for the adoption of Conference Committee #1."



Speaker Flinn: "Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Conference Committee #1 on Senate Bill 88. All those in favor vote aye, those opposed vote no. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 128 voting aye, 8 voting nay and the House does adopt Conference Committee Report #1 on Senate Bill 88. This Bill having received the Extra-Ordinary Majority is hereby declared passed. The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Daniels, is recognized for a motion. The Gentleman moved that the House suspend Rule 68 so that Senate Bill 133 can be heard at this time. Is there any objection? No objections. Leave is granted. The Attendance Roll Call will be used. Representative Daniels on Senate Bill 133."

Daniels: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 133 clarifies the disposition of defendants who are ordered to an extended term pursuant to a discharge hearing. This Bill creates a comprehensive statute that covered defendants who are found not fit to stand trial and sets up a series of hearings and treatments for such persons. This is a direct result of the Donald Lane case, or more commonly, referred to as the dummy in the series and is an extremely important criminal justice Bill. The Amendment has been worked out on both sides of the aisle and I would move for concurrence in Senate Amendment #1 I believe."

Speaker Flinn: "....."

Daniels: "Committee Report #1."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Bullock on discussion."

Bullock: "Representative Daniels, could you explain the



Amendment?"

Daniels: "I'm sorry, I didn't hear the question."

Bullock: "Could you explain the Amendment?"

Daniels: "Alright. What the Amendment does is it provides a system whereby the defendant when he is found not fit for trial and how that system would be handled in the event that he is held with the Department of Mental Health for an extended period of time. Over the period of time that he is held he would be treated with the goal in mind of bringing him to a point of being found fit for trial. In the event that this could not be determined and if he were held in custody through the Department of Mental Health for a period longer than the maximum term that he would receive if he is found guilty of the crime that he is accused of committing; he would then have to be civilly committed by a petition for commitment or failing that, he would actually have to be released. But it does give a termination and a stopping of a period of time in which a person is held in the limbo stage as Donald Lang found himself to be."

Speaker Flinn: "Any further discussion? If not, the Gentleman has moved that the House adopt... Representative Greiman."

Greiman: "Will the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Flinn: "Indicates he will."

Greiman: "If the accused is put into a facility... a mental health facility under this Act and is brought to a point where he is able to stand trial and then is tried and he is sentenced. Does he get a credit for the time that he was in the mental health institution?"

Daniels: "That would be... our understanding would be that



he would have a credit for the time."

Greiman: "So that if, for example, he was to get a sentence of ten years and it took five years to get him to the point where he was able to be tried that he would get five year credit off the sentence."

Daniels: "Correct."

Greiman: "Would he get all the other benefits that one would get under our sentencing system?"

Daniels: "Correct."

Greiman: "Choice of sentencing and all that?"

Daniels: "Yes."

Greiman: "And that's in the Bill?"

Daniels: "That is in the Bill and that is the intention of handling the Bill too and so you've helped clarify that as far as legislative intent."

Greiman: "OK. Thank you."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman has moved that the House adopt Conference Committee Report #1 to Senate Bill 133. All those in favor vote aye, all those oppose vote no. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 156 aye and none voting nay and the House does adopt Conference Committee #1 on Senate Bill 133. This Bill having received the Extra-ordinary Majority is hereby declared passed. The Gentleman from Willy; Representative... Where's Representative Davis at? Representative Davis moves to suspend Rule #68 so that Senate Bill 185 can be heard at this time and does the Gentleman have leave? Representative Stearney objects. To... the Gentleman has moved that we suspend the Rule. Takes 89 votes. All those in favor vote aye, those oppose vote nay. Have all voted who wish?"



Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 115 ayes, 24 nays and the rule is suspended.

Representative Davis is recognized on Senate Bill 185."

Davis: "Well thank you Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 185 originated in the Senate by Senator Grotberg dealt with corrections and sought to raise the penalty for possession of firearms and of other dangerous weapons in the correctional institutions from what its current penalty is to a Class X penalty. The House put on an Amendment that I think is a good Amendment that was worked out between Representative Stearney and Representative Grot... or Senator Grotberg and that is contained in this Conference Committee Report. Now the Conference Committee saw fit and protracted negotiations to add in to Senate Bill 185 Bills that have had a great deal of hearing in both Chambers and now Senate Bill 185 represents the principal criminal justice package of the current Session. It's a product of long, serious work by the Judiciary Committees of both Houses. Takes into consideration the recommendations of the Criminal Sentencing Commission and the Report contains a number of important technical refinement in our current criminal law. And I'll just enumerate them for you and I'll answer questions. First, the Bill raises aggravated kidnapping to a Class X offense. This includes only, only kidnapping for ransom or when great bodily harm is inflicted, when a weapon is used. All other forms of kidnapping are Class 2 offenses in Senate Bill 185. Second, the Bill



creates the offense of threatening public officials and creates the penalty of a Class 4 felony. This provision is based on the federal law protecting officials in line of a presidential succession. It's limited only to statewide constitutional officers, spouses, and children, requires that the threat be in writing. Third, the Bill creates the offense of fraudulent tax practices. This provision was adopted from the Sun Times mirage series and supported by the Department of Revenue. Makes it a Class A misdemeanor for a tax repairer other than the taxpayer to fail to sign the return and creates a felony classification of Class 4 for one who files a fraudulent return on sales tax receipts. Fourth, the Bill, and I hope Representative Kosinski is in his chair. The Bill amends the Habitual Criminal Act in the State of Illinois to include federal crimes and those crimes committed in other states if they are the same as those Illinois offenses which are now set forth in the Bill that would constitute felonies that would apply under our current Habitual Offender Act from House Bill 1500. The only third offense ... thus the only third offense need be committed in Illinois. However, there's one more compromise ironed out by Representative Katz and the Sponsors of this Bill. The Bill does not offer the provision which makes a habitual offender Act apply in all three crimes if all three crimes are committed after February 1, 1978. It does not make the Act retrospective. It is a prospective proposition currently as it is now. But it does incorporate other states in



federal crimes that would be a Class X felony in this state. Fifth, the provisions of Senate Bill 185, with the Stearney Amendment, are in the Bill that would now make possession of weapons, explosives, and knives in correctional institutions knowingly and without authority a Class 1 felony. Ladies and Gentlemen, this is the major law enforcement revision of this Session. These Bills have been discussed numbers and numbers of times in this Session and in last Sessions and I would solicit your eye support for the Conference Committee Report adoption on Senate Bill 185."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman from Marion, Representative Friedrich is recognized."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, I have a kind of personal interest in this Conference Committee Report. I worked rather hard all through the Session on House Bill 528 and then late in the Session I put 528 on one other, Senator Grotberg's, Bill 289 and that Bill got out of Committee in the Senate and I think came within one vote in the Senate. And I agreed with Senator Grotberg that I would agree to recede on that Amendment with the understanding that it would be put on Senate Bill 185. I was appointed as a member of that Conference Committee and I went to the Conference Committee meeting and at that time we agreed that we'd not only put on Senator Kosinski three-time loser Amendment, but we put mine on. The Conference Committee Report was written and my Amendment was not mentioned anywhere and Representative Kosinski's three-time loser Bill was watered down to where you'll never recognize it. Now my point, Mr. Speaker, is simply this; that when I sit down in a meeting with grown men



who are Members of the General Assembly and have an agreement and I walk away, I want to know that that agreement is kept and I resent the fact that it was changed without even talking to me and I think without even talking to Representative Kosinski. Now if we can't have an understanding among men around here and keep our word then I don't even want to be a part of this Legislature. And it's all new to me because I grew up in an area where you give your word, that's your word. The staff man come to me and I'm not sure that the staff didn't do this and if the staff is making decisions around here instead of the Members, I still don't want to be a Member of this organization. For that reason, I'm going to oppose the adoption of this Conference Committee Report on the grounds that it was not done in accordance with the agreement of the Conference Committee. Now I do like some things about this thing but I think there's a matter of principle involved here and you may not sustain me, at least for my own conscience, I'm going to try to be one who keeps their word around here and anywhere else."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Jaffe."

Jaffe: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I rise in opposition to Senate Bill 185. If you take a look at the Conference Committee Report what they've done in essence is taken all the Senate Bills that were killed in the House and they've really loaded them on this particular Bill. Remember the...the offense of threatening public officials? Well that's on this particular Bill. It's only limited to the



Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Attorney General, Secretary of State, Comptroller, and Treasurer and what it has to do is it has to be written in writ... it has to be... must be in writing. Now that's sort of silly. What is this? A contract when you go out to threaten a public official? What if someone's an irrate lunatic and comes down and threatens the public official. That's not a crime under this particular thing because it isn't in writing. I think that's sort of silly. Do you remember the substantive tax preparation? Well we defeated that one before hand and this makes a failure of a paid preparer to sign a return. A Class A misdemeanor and a 10,000 dollar fine. I think that really goes a little bit overboard. I don't have the actual Bill before me but if I recall that Bill as it appeared in the House last time out. Say someone came... say someone was an employer and the employee came to the employer and said well I have to five depend^ents but I only wanted to deduct one because I don't want to pay taxes at the end of the year. I think under that particular Bill the employer could be guilty of a crime. Unlawful use of weapons. I think they've... I'm not a great supporter of Representative Kosinski's three-time loser Bill but I must tell you that this Senate Bill... gutted it to a great extent. So either way you want to go on this Bill, I think it's a bad compromise. I think all we've done is taken the Senate Bills that were killed in the House; we put them on this particular Bill and I would urge a no vote on this concurrence on the Conference



Committee Report."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Stearney."

Stearney: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in opposition to this Conference Committee Report. As... Originally, this Bill provided that an inmate in an institution that had certain weapons with him would be guilty of a Class 1 felony. I worked on preparing and putting that Bill into shape and did vote for it. However, I'm opposed to this Bill because of the omnibus Amendment. Number one, I ask you to look at it because in creating a new crime of threatening public officials it poses a very serious and dangerous threat. Originally, the Sponsor of this and the Director of Law Enforcement, Dan Webb, was there and they insisted and refused to go along with an Amendment that would just cover the Governor alone. They wanted to protect all of us. Now mind you, it looks good on its face because it says anybody who sends a threatening letter to someone threatening their life or great bodily harm is guilty of a Class 4 felony. Now mind you, Representative Jaffe has pointed out a salient fact that the letter doesn't even have to be related to your official functions. You can have an argument with the neighbor down the street and if he sent the letter saying I'm going to kick you if your dog comes into my yard again, you could be guilty of a Class 4 felony. But the dangerous aspect of this, and I ask you to carefully consider this, because what you're doing is allowing the Director of Law Enforcement to set up another secret service



agency. This is a type of ... This is a type of agency like the J. Edgar Hoover because he wanted to protect all public officials originally and that... which would include all of us. And under the guise of protection he would have the power to investigate and carefully concern himself with all of your activities. However, they have relented somewhat but I suspect that if they get their foot in the door, they will be back next term with a Bill that would provide protection for all of us. I say to you we do not need nor does the judges or the other elected officials of this state need the Department of Law Enforcement to protect us. I suspect some very nefarious consequences behind this Amendment. It is dangerous. We do not need this agency and as I pointed out in Committee, they can prosecute any of these offenders under the Intimidation Act that presently exists in the Criminal Code. Now going on under this tax preparer Act. That Bill has been defeated twice in this General Assembly in this House and again they persist in coming back. And I ask... I ask you to look at that under Subsection B where it provides and this was the objection raised that if anybody were to give some tax advice to another person and he filed a return that... and the person who filed it didn't list the mans name who gave him the advice; he himself is guilty of a Class B misdemeanor. In other words, the innocent taxpayer who files and doesn't put down the name of an individual that he asked one or two questions is guilty of a Class B misdemeanor. Which means up to six months in jail. So what we are trying to do is



create a crime that you can imprison the innocent taxpayer who didn't even file a fraudulent return. There's nothing here requiring fraudulent returns. All it says is that if you file and don't put the man's name down who answered one or two questions; you can go to jail up to six months. And on the other hand it tries to imprison... when a Class A misdemeanor up to a year in jail and a 10,000 dollar fine for tax preparer who gives advice and he doesn't put his name down. The Bill's been defeated twice. It has no reason, no merit and it should again be defeated. And I say to you for these two reasons and especially as to this new Act of threatening a public official you are creating a very dangerous program. You are giving... creating a new concept that is going to come back and haunt all of us. And for that reason this Conference Committee Report should be rejected. Let them go back. Take off these Amendments. Come back with a Bill as originally passed through the Committee and the House and we'll pass it again. But with these two Amendments, it should be defeated. I urge you to vote no."

Speaker Flinn: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Kosinski is recognized."

Kosinski: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, as Mr. Davis said and another Gentlemen who spoke, my three-time loser Bill was gutted and I certainly felt disappointed after working on it for five years. However, I signed this Conference Committee Report for the benefits that people will derive from the other sections of it. It was recently indicated by one of the



former speakers that there are unwarranted penalties on the revenue portion. But there's one word there I think that protects and that is that the violation shall have been knowingly done. And the courts obviously must consider this. As far as the other facets of this Senate Bill 185 I see benefits to our community. So despite my personal disappointment in having five years worth of work gutted, I am in accord with Senate Bill 185. I think it has more benefits for us than others. Thank you so much."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentlemen from Randolph, Representative Birchler is recognized. Birchler, you're recognized."

Birchler: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I would like to ask a question of the Sponsor."

Speaker Flinn: "OK. Representative Davis, Representative Birchler is asking you a question."

Birchler: "Did I understand that this Bill, as it is now, if I were a tax preparer of a tax report about any type of tax report and I sign my name and it turned out that there was some fraudulent material in there and I didn't know about it; I could be guilty of a Forth Class felony."

Davis: "Representative Birchler, that's absolutely correct... well... excuse me. I'll just go to Representative Katz. I was just going to say something else. Let Representative Katz..."

Speaker Flinn: "Alright. Representative Katz to answer the question."

Katz: "The answer to the question is that we have inserted several critical differences between this and other legislation that we've previously rejected. You would not be guilty of a crime unless you knowingly did this and you would have to have been paid to do it. We have



eliminated those people that just give advice to a neighbor or a friend. This must be someone who is being paid to do it and if he inserts something and knowingly fails to state the facts, only in that situation, would there be any liability. That is the way in which this language differs strikingly from earlier Bills that are here before us. This is a result of the mirage investigations in Chicago in which people were preparing fraudulent returns for certain taverns and other businesses but not signing them. They were engaging in the wholesale business and doing this and the Department of Revenue had know way of knowing who was doing it. They were doing it surreptitiously. So this language requires that it must be knowingly deceptive to the person who is preparing that return only in that situation would there be any liability."

Birchler: "But I may be put in a position that I would have to defend myself if I were accused of signing a fraudulent report. Is that true?"

Katz: "Well, this is like the Federal Income Tax return now. Any accountant who prepares a Federal Income Tax return who knowingly mistates the facts is guilty of a federal violation. All we have done is make the situation the same with State Income Tax returns that is already the situation with Federal Income Tax returns. We have, however; protected the innocent accountant and auditor unless he has knowingly done something wrong, he has not violated the law. If he has knowingly conspired to file a false return, that is a mirage type situation and in our view that ought to be unlawful."



Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman from Rock Island,
Representative Darrow."

Darrow: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I move the previous
question."

Speaker Flinn: "Gentleman has moved the previous
question. The question is shall the main
question be put. All those in favor say
'aye' aye, those oppose 'no' no. The ayes
have it. The previous question moved.
Representative Getty to close. The
hyphenated Cosponsor. Leave your lights
" on. I'll recognize you for explaining
votes. Representative Getty."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. This very
well may be the major criminal justice Bill
of the year. I do not want the Members of
the General Assembly to think that the fact that
this has several different aspects in one
Bill to think that this was put in suddenly
or without quite a bit of thought. Indeed
the contrary is true. Each one of these
Bills was considered in Committee for one
reason or another. We felt in Committee
the Bills were not in proper shape. What
has happened is those objections, objections
that I had as to several of the parts, have
been cleaned up. This has become, I believe,
a very good Bill. A good Bill in both the
aspect of fairness and strong enforcement
of criminal justice. The aggravated kidnapping
Bill which had significant defects when we
saw it in Committee has been amended to be a
very good Bill. To provide appropriate penalties
for persons who commit the offense of kidnapping.
The tax preparer Bill has been tightened up
and I was one who stood up on this floor and



caused three tax preparer Bills to go down in significant defeat because I pointed out that they didn't protect the people. The person who was innocent. As the Bill stands now it does. The operative words are, "when you've entered something, you'd only be guilty if you entered it knowing it to be fraudulent." That's the sort of protection we want to build in to the Criminal Code. In the aspects of the Bill which treat with the three-time loser we avoided getting into a serious constitutional ex post facto problem which we would have had with the Representative Kosinski original version of the Bill, but we built in a strong point. We built in the provisions that if you committed those offenses in a sister state or under the federal law that would be the same as our Class X or murder. You would fall under the guise of the Class X of the Habitual Criminal Act in Illinois and that's the way it should be. It should be fair. It should be constitutional. And that's what we have done in this Bill. We have made a good Bill, a much better Bill, and probably the most significant criminal justice Bill of this Session. And I would ask this Assembly to show strong support for Illinois' position in being fair and just in our criminal justice system."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman has moved that the House adopt Conference Committee Report #1 to Senate Bill 185. All those in favor vote aye, those oppose vote nay. To explain their vote, Representative Brummer. I'll get to all of you."

Brummer: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I was



not able to ask any questions because the debate was shut off but I would ask you to look at the deceptive practice tax preparation portion. And if I read this correctly and I'm reading verbatim from it, any person who advises another person for consideration and then his name does not appear on that tax return is guilty of a Class A misdemeanor subject to a fine not exceeding \$10,000. Now that means that a client or a... may walk into an office of a lawyer or an accountant or a tax preparer and ask for advice about a return that the lawyer or the accountant of the tax preparer isn't even preparing; may ask for advice about it and may receive consideration for that advice and then if... and that advice may be absolutely correct, absolutely accurate, absolutely fair and then if the tax preparer subsequently files a return and the name of that individual who gave the advice is not on there; not only is the tax preparer guilty of a crime, not only is the tax payer guilty of a crime but the tax preparer, the accountant, the lawyer, whoever gave the advice if his name doesn't appear on there and he may have not even been preparing the return but merely giving advice, he is guilty of a Class A misdemeanor and subject to an additional fine not to exceed 10,000 dollars. I don't think that's the type of liability we want to impose on people who are not responsible, who did not give erroneous advice, did not give the inaccurate advice and simply as a result of the failure of their name to appear on that return they are guilty of the offense. Secondly, with regard



to the knowing language in there..."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Brummer, would you bring your remarks to a close. You're in your third minute now."

Brummer: "Secondly, with regard to the knowing person... knowing language in there the knowing appears to apply to the individual but does not appear to apply to a corporation. And it would appear to impose absolute liability on the part of a corporate tax preparer or advisor who's signature fails to be affixed on that return. I would suggest a no vote."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Johnson to explain his vote. One minute, timer's on."

Johnson: "If you're going to take the Roll, take the Roll. You know, it's beaten which it ought to be but I'm not going..."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Willer to explain her vote. I'm going to recognize everybody who wishes to explain their vote and we'll take the Roll then."

Willer: "Briefly, I am going to vote present and I wasn't going to just because debate was cut off. I resent it. As Representative Getty said, this is one of the most important pieces of legislation to come before us and the Gentleman moved the question. It seems to be more interest in what's going in his mouth than what's going in his ears."

Speaker Flinn: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Davis."

Davis: "Mr. Speaker, I don't think anything I could say could change the present and the no votes up there I think all of you are committed to law enforcement. Apparently you have some problems with some Sections of the Bill even though I



know that those Sections have been worked hard and diligently by the Members of this General Assembly. It occurs to me that the legal community seems to be divided, however; those of you for law enforcement, I know want many of the provisions in this Bill. The Bills have been tightened up in a protracted conference the other night. Representative Friedrich's objection of germaneness in his Bill was not put in for that reason and I apologize for that to him now. The truth of the matter is, this particular Bill is tight and I know you have objections because you've heard it before. It's tight. It's good. There are kooks and crazies in the world on the public officials threatening. There are things that are in this Bill for everyone that's interested in law enforcement in this state but if it's the will of this House; this Conference Committee do not be adopted. Mr. Speaker, take the record and I request a second Conference Committee to be appointed."

Speaker Flinn: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 51 voting aye, 64 voting nay and the Gentleman's motion to adopt Conference Committee #1... Report #1 on Senate Bill 185 fails and he requests a second Conference Committee to be appointed. Is Representative McClain on the floor? He's next up here. McClain. Alright. Next is Reilly, 418. The Gentleman moves to suspend Rule 68 for the purpose of hearing Senate Bill 418. Does the Gentleman have leave? Hearing no objection, leave is granted.



Representative Reilly. Attendance Roll Call will be used. Representative Reilly on 418."

Reilly: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 418 simply deals with requiring the Department of Public Aid to state by rule its policy with regard to withholding of payment to providers who are accused of wrong doing. All the Bill... All the Amendment... Excuse me. All the Conference Committee does is spell out that the language, basically, in the statutes, the substantive language is to remain the same. All we've done is add a requirement that the Department state by rule its policy with regard to these matters. The Department agrees to that. The Senate Sponsor agrees to that. The Joint Committee on Administrative Rules that initiated the Bill agrees to that and I would ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman has moved that the House adopt Conference Committee Report #1 on Senate Bill 418. All those in favor vote aye, those oppose vote nay. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there 141 voting aye and none voting nay and the House does adopt Conference Committee Report #1 on Senate Bill 418 and this Bill having received the Extra-ordinary Majority is hereby declared passed. Representative Ryan, for what purpose do you rise? Ryan."

Ryan: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'm not sure that everybody here on the floor is familiar with what's going on with the House Bills that were not brought back last night to put on the Calendar. I don't know that the Chair's explained that or not."



Speaker Flinn: "We did explain it several times. What the posture we were in with House Bills."

Ryan: "As long as everybody knows that all we have to do is file a motion and then there will be a supplementary Calendar printed..."

Speaker Flinn: "Yes."

Ryan: "... the Bills that are..."

Speaker Flinn: "There's motions... blanks... forms here at the desk and..."

Ryan: "Has that been explained from the podium?"

Speaker Flinn: "If it hasn't I'll explain it now. To all the Members who have House Bills, there are blank forms for filing a motion to revive that House Bill from the Table and place it back up on the Calendar in the Order that it was. So, we'll wait on them until we get it... We're ready to go on a supplemental right away. So those... we've got quite a pile down here so apparently enough people knew that we could make a pretty good size Calendar. But we're going to wait a little while to give some people a chance to get down here."

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Flinn: "Yes."

Ryan: "I would think if that's the case then, it would be time to go with the House Bills instead of the Senate Bills."

Speaker Flinn: "Well, we don't have the Calendar printed yet and that's what..."

Ryan: "I thought you said you did."

Speaker Flinn: "No. We're waiting to get one printed and we'll wait a few more minutes. I'm not sure that the announcement was made to start with. To be fair to everybody, let them get down here right away and the Clerk will get one printed as soon as possible and at that time we'll go



to House Bills. Yes sir. Next one.

Representative Neff. Representative Neff moves that we suspend Rule 68 so that Senate Bill 505 can be heard at this time. Is there any objections? Hearing no objection, leave is granted. The Attendance Roll Call will be used. Representative Neff on Senate Bill 505."

Neff: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 505 was a clean-up Bill requested by the Secretary of States Office and worked for about six months by the Motor Vehicle Laws Commission and all we're doing is making some clean-ups. One problem we run into was an Amendment put on by Representative Skinner and supported by Representative Hanahan and we run into objections on that with the Senate. We tried twice, two different Conference Committees to work it out and Representative Hanahan then agreed, it was on the Conference Committee, that we'd leave the Bill like it is. So the Bill right now is the same as it came over from the Senate and I would appreciate concurring in the agreement."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Jaffe on the Gentleman's motion."

Jaffe: "Yes, would the Gentleman yield for a question. I'm just looking at the Conference Committee Report and I noticed two things. It deletes the road district Highway Commissioner from the definition of local authority and it also deletes the requirement of indicating that a vehicle is the... on the certificate of title as a rental car. Why did we make those two deletions Clarence?"

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Neff."



Neff: "Mr. Speaker, could we take this out of the record. there is another Conference Committee Re... I would like to nonconcur because there will be another Conference Committee Report coming in."

Speaker Flinn: "Out of the record at the request of the Sponsor. Next is Representative... Oh, you want to nonconcur. I misunderstood you. You said out of the record and that's what I took you literally for. You wish to change your motion... Turn Neff on."

Neff: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to nonconcur with the Conference Report and request another Conference."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman has moved to nonconcur on Conference Report #1 on Senate Bill 505. All those in favor say 'aye', those oppose. The ayes have it and the second Conference Committee Report will be appointed. Representative DiPrima moves on Senate Bill 528 that the appropriate rule be suspended so that this Bill may be heard at this time. Is there any objections? No objections heard. Leave is granted. The Attendance Roll Call will be used. Representative DiPrima on 528."

DiPrima: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The conference Committee recommended that the Senate concur in House Amendment #1 which requires five years of barbering experience and 1,000 hours of training to become a student barber teacher and I move for its adoption."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Conference Committee Report #1 on Senate Bill 528. Is any discussion? If not, that is



the motion. All those in favor vote aye, those oppose vote nay. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 134 voting aye, 8 voting nay and this Bill having received the Consti... Extra-ordinary Constitutional Majority is passed. And Conference Committee #1 is adopted. The next one is Schuneman. Representative Schuneman. Is he back there? I don't see him. Next one down on the line is 918, Mulcahey. Representative Mulcahey moves that the Rule 68 be suspended so that Senate Bill 918 can be heard at this time. Is there any objections? No objections heard, leave is granted. The Attendance Roll Call will be used. Representative Mulcahey on Senate Bill 918."

Mulcahey: "Mr. Speaker, I would move that the House concur with Conference Committee Report #1 which removes Amendment #2 from the Bill. This was the Department of Conservation measure to start with and the Department of Conversation agreed that the Amendment should come off at least for one year. I move for the adoption of the Report."

Speaker Flinn: "Gentleman's moved that the House adopt Conference Committee Report #1 on Senate Bill 918 and on that motion Representative Friedrich. Gentleman from Marion."

Friedrich: "Would the Sponsor yield please?"

Speaker Flinn: "Indicates he will."

Friedrich: "Would you go through the thing just real quickly on what the Bill does now as amended by the Conference Committee?"

Mulcahey: "Sure Dwight: Commercial motor vehicles that are registered with the ICC have a proof of



ownership and therefore they've got 24 hours to produce these timber contracts. Alright. Now the fly-by-nights who are not registered by the ICC must produce those timber contracts immediately, are they're responsible, of course, for any impoundment or towing that may occur. The... The commercial vehicles that are registered, of course, do have those 24 hours to produce that contract and fly-by-nights have to produce it immediately."

Friedrich: "Well, let me ask you a specific question. I deal in logs and cutlogs and I buy some timber from Bob Winchester and put it on my truck. Now what have I got to do to comply with your law? I've got my own truck, it's own log because I bought it from Bob. What do I have to do?"

Mulcahey: "Are you non-commercial then?"

Friedrich: "No. I'm strictly a timber buyer. I go out and buy patches of timber, cut the logs, put them on the truck and take them to the mill and sell them to the mill."

Mulcahey: "Are you registered with the ICC?"

Friedrich: "No."

Mulcahey: "Then you have to produce that timber contract immediately."

Friedrich: "In other words, I have to have a contract in the first place. I can't even buy... I can't even buy a log from Bob without a contract. I have to be able to show it."

Mulcahey: "I don't know what the law is as far as that's concerned but there is a law as far as timber contract is concerned with commercial vehicles."

Friedrich: "I have to have that contract in my possession if I got the log on my truck."

Mulcahey: "Well you always would quite frankly. I don't



know what you do or how you run the business but that was in the law originally. You had to produce that timber contract within a... immediately. You had to carry it with you all the time. Now commercial vehicles who are registered with the ICC have 24 hours to produce that contract."

Friedrich: "Thank you."

Speaker Flinn: "Any further questions? If not, the Gentleman has moved... moved. Representative Rigney."

Rigney: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Flinn: "He indicates he will."

Rigney: "Dick, I... Would you please state again what was the effect of Senate Amendment #2 that we dropped off?"

Mulcahey: "It was an Amendment by the Department of Conservation which said that the owner of the vehicle was responsible for the towing of that particular vehicle if it were impounded. This was the law anyway as it is. So the commercial vehicles that do happen to be stopped, they've got 24 hours to produce this, produce this particular contract so there's no reason for the Amendment."

Speaker Flinn: "Any further discussion? Gentleman has moved that the House adopt Conference Committee Report #1 on Senate Bill 918. All those in favor vote aye, those oppose vote no. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 134 voting aye, 3 voting nay and the House does adopt Conference Committee Report #1 and this Bill having received the Extra-ordinary Majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 932, Representative Bowman.



Representative Bowman moves that the Rule #68 be suspended for the purpose of hearing Senate Bill 932. Is there any objection? No objection, leave is granted. The Attendance Roll Call will be used. Representative Bowman on Senate Bill 932."

Bowman: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. We... I discussed this Bill with Representative Totten who had some questions. Basically, the Bill in its original form, unamended form, simply takes cognizance of the fact that the Chicago Board of Education operates their fiscal year in such a way as to coincide with the school year. However, the tax year, of course, coincides with the Calendar year. There's a mismatch between the two and really all the Bill does is to give the Chicago Board of Edu flexibility to market their bonds and to develop a budget and collect taxes. Against the school years budget rather than having to split it in two pieces half of which financed at the end... or the beginning of one tax year and the other half financed at the end of the tax year. It simply gives them more flexibility. It's a housekeeping Bill and the Amendments we put on in the House, the Senate concurred in one, refused to concur in the other. I suggested to the Conference Committee that we, the House... it was the Senate rather .concur in the #1 and that we recede #2 and so I ask the adoption of the Conference Committee Report at this time. Thank you."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Conference Committee Report #1 to Senate Bill 932. All those in favor vote aye, those



Oppose, vote nay. Have all voted who wish?
 Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take
 the record. On this question there are 128 voting
 aye and 4 voting nay and the House does adopt
 Conference Committee Report #1 on Senate Bill
 932 and this Bill having received the Extra-
 ordinary Majority is hereby declared passed.
 Is Representative Skinner on the floor?
 Don't see him. We'll take that out of the
 record for the moment. Representative
 Winchester on 1072. Winchester back there on...
 Representative Winchester, do you move to suspend
 Rule 68 to hear Senate Bill 1072? Out of the
 record. Out of the record at the request of the
 Sponsor. Senate Bill 1117, Representative
 Griesheimer. Representative Griesheimer moves
 that Rule 68 be suspended so that 1117 be heard
 at this time. Any objections? No objections.
 Leave is granted. The Roll... The Attendance
 Roll Call will be used. Representative
 Griesheimer."

Griesheimer: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. The Senate
 failed to concur with the first Conference
 Committee Report, thus, there would be no
 point in us concurring in it. I would request
 that we nonconcur in the first Conference
 Committee Report and send it back for a
 second Conference Committee."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman has moved that we do not
 concur with the first Conference Committee
 Report. All those in favor say 'aye', those
 oppose. The ayes have it. Second Conference
 Committee will be appointed. Representative
 Beatty. Representative Beatty moves that the
 Rule 68 be suspended so that Senate Bill 1125
 can be heard at this time and any objections?"



Hearing no objections, leave is granted.

The Attendance Roll Call will be used.

Representative Beatty is recognized on Senate

Bill 1125.or... is Beatty back there? I

thought I saw him. Take it out of the record.

Representative Christensen. Representative

Christensen moves that...Representative Totten."

Totten: "Mr. Speaker, this is a request of the Chair.

You're moving awfully fast. Some of us may

want to object to suspending 68. We'd like

a chance to look at the Bill before you move

that motion."

Speaker Flinn: "Well OK. You... We're going right

down the Calendar if you want to stay one

jump ahead of me do it. Representative

Christensen moves that Rule #68... Let me

state the motion first, Ed. Moves that

Rule 68 be suspended for the purpose of

hearing Senate Bill 1125. Is there any

o bjection? Representative McBroom objects.

The Gentleman moves... The Gentleman moves

that the appropriate Rule 68 be suspended.

All those in favor vote aye, those oppose vote

nay. Takes 89 votes to suspend. 1183.

I stated the wrong the number. We're talking

about 1183. I made an error. Have all voted

who wish? Representative McBroom may..."

McBroom: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. If it gets 89 I want

to verify."

Speaker Flinn: "OK. Have all voted who wish?

Representative Brummer."

Brummer: "Mr. Speaker, this Bill, as the Membership

is probably aware, contains many important

easements. The easements that were sponsored

by many Members of this House. The Senate

decided to put all them in the one Bill. They've



all been with regard to the appraised value by the Department of Transportation. Many of them are... some of them are in my district. Some of them are... and they were not sponsored by me. They were Sponsored by my Republican colleagues on the other side of the aisle. There are many important easements in here that affect various districts. They are appraised value and we ought to consider this matter."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Lechowicz. Teddy Lechowicz is recognized."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, I don't know if the board is locked or not but I think in all fairness the Gentleman should be given the opportunity to present his Bill to this General Assembly. It was pointed out. All of the matters were discussed on the floor when the Amendment was originally adopted. In fact, if you recall, yesterday started on this Bill in reference to the serious debate as far as what counties are affected and what projects. And just for one project in one county to have all this problem, I don't think it's fair. Especially since it's a Democratic mayor in a Republican county. Now I think in all fairness the motion should prevail. The Gentleman should be given the opportunity to debate the Bill."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Christensen."

Christensen: "Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Flinn: "The board's still open Ray so go ahead and speak to the motion."

Christensen: "Mr. Speaker, I think this is a very, very serious Bill and it should be discussed. There's nothing wrong with the Bill whatsoever. The Bill



has not been changed and I think in fairness to all the people in the district, the 43rd District, they're all interested in this Bill. I don't think that anybody should stand in opposition to this Bill. All we want to do is have a chance to discuss it on the floor, give it a good hearing, and then we can vote it up or down."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I do stand in opposition to this Bill. Cissy Stiehl, one of the finest Ladies in this House. One of the most honorable and thoughtful and careful and considerate Members of this House was stripped of Sponsorship of this Bill in a terrible tactic. I stand in opposition to the Bill and if Representative McBroom withdraws his verification, I'll ask for a verification."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Van Duyne."

Van Duyne: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. In response to the last speaker, he knows very full well why the Sponsor was removed from this Bill. The Bill languished on the Order of Call for many, many days and everytime the Bill came up on the Calendar she took it out of the record and it was her intent to just let the Bill die because of the easement requested by Representative Christensen and Senator Joyce in Kankakee County. Now if Representative O'Brien and Representative... I mean Ryan and Representative McBroom want to go back to Kankakee and try to pad and establish their monopoly for business in Kankakee County as well as Kankakee at the expense of bribing Bourbonnais then they're going to have to do that



because as far as I'm concerned, they represent Bourbonnais and Bradley as well as they do Kankakee. As far as the motion Representative Christensen is asking to be voted on right now, I think he has every right as any other Member of this House has. We've been going through Bill after Bill after Bill this morning and every Member who had a Bill called has exercised the same right as Representative Christensen is asking for right now. There are easements asked for in 37 different counties. 37 easements in different counties across the state as well as Kankakee. And, you know, we can be specific and we can be jealous and we can be vindictive and whatever but really we're not doing our job doing it this way."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Peters. Telcser. You guys look alike. Representative Peters. Telcser rather. You guys look alike."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I think Representative Vinson made a very good point. When a House Member legitimately picks up a Senate Bill, I don't believe that the Senate Sponsor necessarily should have the right to feel that he or she had the absolute control over that Bill. The idea of a bi-cameral Legislature is for each chamber to consider a piece of legislation from their particular perspective. What this... What has happened with this Bill is that a Senate Sponsor long after the House Sponsor has had the Bill heard in Committee, heard on I think Second Reading perhaps even farther along than that has asked that the House Sponsor be taken off from that particular Bill and the Bill be given to someone



else. Now when I pick up a Bill from the Senate, if I choose not to work with the Senate Sponsor or if I have a disagreement with the Senate Sponsor, I think I have the obligation to do what I think best for that particular piece of legislation. And I, Mr. Speaker, think that that is one of the issues that ought to be considered with Senate Bill 1183. I agree with Representative Vinson that this is a serious precedent. It's something that ought not have happened. Representative Stiehl was the Sponsor of the Bill. Apparently, the Senate Sponsor had enough confidence in Representative Stiehl to give her the Bill. In her judgment, she did whatever she felt was best for this particular piece of legislation. The Senate Sponsor took it away from her. I don't think that's fair and I think that destroys the concept of a bicameral Legislature."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Jones."

Jones: "Yes, thank you Mr. Speaker, and I agree with the previous speaker but I must remind him of the fact that our rules are crystal clear on this. We are the only Members... that... only side of the chamber that has that particular rule. And the rule is crystal clear. It says the Senate Sponsor may remove a House Sponsor. The Senate does not have such a rule but you voted for these rules and since you voted for them, I think we as Members of the House should stand up and support of the rules, otherwise; we could have this particular rule removed from the House rules because I am in opposition to it because the Senate does not do the same. But since we've voted for and the previous speaker



supported it. I think he should not have gotten on the House floor and made this statement."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stiehl."

Stiehl: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would just like to explain that I did not pick up this Bill. I was asked to Sponsor... to take it by the Sponsor and I handled it all the way through Committee and through Second Readings and it got on Third Reading and it had \$86,000 worth of easements. And there are many questions on these easements from the Members and some of them were very serious questions. And being a responsible Legislator, I did not want to be the Sponsor of a Bill that had some easements that were out of order and I felt, therefore; that every legitimate question that was asked on this Bill should be answered and answered in full. And that's why I held those. It was so that we would not act irresponsibly in this House and I felt that this was the honest, the just, and the responsible position and approach to take to this measure. And I think it was very wrong for the Senate Sponsor to send that request over. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Margulas."

Margulas: "Mr. Speaker, for the purpose of an announcement, the Cubs won 5 to 4. They got three runs in the ninth inning and Kingman got another home run."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Steczo, you got the magic number? Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, I rise on a point of personal privilege. The previous speaker mentioned by name. Whatever the rules say on this point is



a matter of courtesy. Our rights as a minority have been run over as long as they're going to. It takes 107 votes now to do things and if the Sponsor persists on the motion, I renew my request for a verification and I want to indicate that he's going to be responsible for bringing this whole House and State government to a halt."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, due to the delay last night and some discussion between Members of the House on one particular piece of legislation time got away from us and we lost quite a number of House Bills. I didn't happen to have any one in their so I'm not concerned and wasn't concerned at that time except that everyone get a fair play. And I think it was a consensus after much debate last night that everyone should get a fair shake on his House Bill that got caught in that crunch of the midnight deadline. And it's been obvious throughout the Session today that both sides of the aisle have been gracious enough to give the 107 votes so a Member could have his Bill heard on the merits and not whether or not it was a party-line vote. I think Representative Christensen has done an honorable job. He's been voting all day to bring other Bills out with 107 votes and now to deny him that same right to present his view point, his Bill I think would be a very, very serious infringement of justice and I would just plead with those on the other side of the aisle. We've got other Bills coming out that need 107 votes and I intend to give those votes..."



the Members the necessary votes and I would hope that you would do the same thing to Representative Christensen so we could move the House along in an orderly fashion. I would plead with you to give 107 votes."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The Gentleman from Will spoke about the sell out to big business. Let me tell you what this easement's all about. It's a total sell out to big business from Des Moines Iowa, an outfit that's not even located in the State of Illinois and all we've asked for, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, is time enough to have some hearings for people in the area to determine what the impact is. And part of the problem is the drainage problem. The east side of Bradley and the northeast side of Kankakee has a very serious flooding problem. For 19 months this issue has been around. The last week or ten days up pops this Amendment for this easement and all we ask is that we have time to let the people that live in that area have some feed in through public hearings. And we haven't sold out the big business in this situation. We're trying to protect the people that live in the area and in the district. And Representative Joyce (sic) and Representative Christensen are under very heavy pressure from the mayor of the city of Bradley that sits right up here in the balcony to deliver on this Amendment. And that's what this is all about Ladies and Gentlemen. And I would ask that you vote no on this motion."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Christensen. Did you seek



recognition? Representative Christensen."

Christensen: "Yes Mr. Speaker. Just a second ago Representative Ryan said that we are bringing business in from out of state and I think that is exactly what the other side of the aisle has been hollering about all during this Session, that we're driving business out. Now we turn it around and say we don't want to bring any in. You know what this amounts to? This amounts to 3½ million dollars in wages. Forty thousand six hundred man hours and hundreds of permanent jobs. Now if they want to oppose that, then I think they've got some accounting to do for themselves. And I would ask for a vote. 89 votes up there so we can discuss this."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Willer."

Willer: "Well, Representative Christensen said exactly what I was going to say. I couldn't believe my ears when the Minority Leader moaned about new business coming into Illinois when I've been listening this whole Session of their cries about business leaving Illinois. It's totally unbelievable that he would say anything like that. I think it's a great thing to have business come in and I salute the man in the gallery."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker, in the time when high unemployment is upon us and we hear about the tremendous cost of unemployment insurance and workman's comp. and now the business climate has really been adversely affected by this Legislature in the past few years. Here we have an opportunity to bring in new business. We have an opportunity to bring into an area 40,000 man days of work to be employed to people of the Kankakee area .



That district. Union men will be paid union wages. They'll spend it buying goods. I'm sure the prescriptions will be filled in the city of Kankakee and other places around there when they get sick and their families are sick. This is a good Amendment. This helps bring business to a community that has high unemployment and I don't understand the Gentleman's opposition to go ahead. The Department has already by a letter sent to Representative Ryan on June 18th in light of these commitments by the developer of the Department of Transportation has no objections to the proposed Keelan Mall. His own agency of government from this Governor's Office is... has approved it. Signed by John Kramer. I just suggest that sometimes people speak out of two sides of their own cheeks and they should remove their objection and let this construction go on and let the whole Bill get passed on. I ask for 89 votes."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McBroom."

McBroom: "Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Slape. Slape, for what purpose do you rise?"

Slape: "I want to explain my vote on this motion Mr. Speaker. I think..."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, I have recognized Representative McBroom. You finished sir?"

McBroom: "Well, if I'm not recognized on that Mr. Speaker, I'd like to explain my no vote. The Sponsor of the Amendment lives some fifty miles from Kankakee and another individual who talked on the Amendment lived some 45 miles from Kankakee. They have been invited, the Senate Sponsor, the House Sponsor have been invited by Alderman Herbert



Delaney, Alderman Ben Adams, and a prominent Kankakee attorney to view movies of the serious floods that occurred in 1957 and 1959 on the north side of Kankakee when people went about that part of town in motor boats. I wonder if the House Sponsor has ever availed himself to the offer to see those movies. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "Thank you... Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. In explaining my aye vote I have some... some wondering to do at this point in time because I'm sure that many of these easements that are Sponsored by for example Representative Bowers and Robbins that affect, I would assume, the agriculture areas and the municipalities in the districts do not happen to be supporting this situation. I'm also sure the same holds true for Representative Woodyard and Campbell who have Amendments in here ... in this Amendment to this Bill that address also the same questions as well as Representative Christensen. What I... What I'm very fearful of, if we're going to get back into the same bind that we were in last night in where if this doesn't get 89 votes now and it goes to conference and I'm sure that it probably won't get 89 votes coming back and there probably wouldn't be any easements. If you want to address the questions of your district, I think we should be putting the 89 votes up there to get this motion off the table so that those people, and there are 34 of them, most of them on that side of the aisle; can go back to their districts and inform those people that are involved in those easements that they worked toward the passage. It's very difficult to go



back and say I know that I Sponsored this
and I know that you need it but I can't support
it. So I'd ask that we get 89 votes up there."

Speaker Redmond: Representative Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, how many votes does this
particular motion take?"

Speaker Redmond: "89."

Ebbesen: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Anyone else? Representative Vinson,
you seeking recognition again?"

Vinson: "I just want to continue to indicate for the
record Mr. Speaker so that I get the opportunity
to verify that I had requested then.

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Van Duyne."

Van Duyne: "Reference has been made to your recent
request concerning the Department
of Transportations position of the proposed
Keelan mall project in Bradley, Illinois.
Two divisions are involved in this project,
the Division of Highways and the Division of
Water Resources. The Division of Highways
primary concern the award of access rights in the
area of the proposed development. The
Division of Water Resources position of this
project are the ones protecting..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Telcser, for what
purpose do you rise?"

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, I believe this Gentleman has
already..."

Speaker Redmond: "I think you're correct. I didn't
know why he rose. Point of order is well
taken. Have all voted who wish? Representative
Satterthwaite."

Satterthwaite: "I'd like to take over where Leroy left
off. The Division of Water Resources mission
in this project is one of protecting the drainage



interests of the Division of Highways. Personnel from highway district 3 have indicated that the Interstate 57 ditch and culvert system can adequately handle run off from the Keyland Mall development area if the run off does not exceed 46 cubic feet per second. The developer intends to store storm water run off from the development in the reservoir in the mall property. The developer has further indicated that he fully intends to abide by and comply with these run off restraints. In light of these commitments by the developer, the Department of Transportation has no objection to the proposed Keyland Mall project. Signed, John B. Kramer."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Ewell."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, I'm voting aye on this but I would suggest that the doers meet in the Speaker's Office and the rest of us can go to lunch and when it's all over, I think we can resolve all of the problems. That is the doers can go to lunch."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 88 aye and 72 no. Representative Christensen."

Christensen: "Can I have a poll of the absentees please?"

Speaker Redmond: "Poll the absentees Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Poll of the absentees. Ackerman. Bluthardt. Capparelli. Deuster. Virginia Frederick. Klosak. Molloy. Oblinger. Robbins. And Schlickman."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Christensen:"

Christensen: "Postponed Consideration please."

Speaker Redmond: "Postponed Consideration. Motion to suspend the rule. I guess you're right. Stays



on the Calendar. 1238. This question is 88 aye and 72 no and the motion fails. 1238. Kucharski.

Out of the record. 1247, Representative Yourell. 1247."

Yourell: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move to adopt the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1247. The Senate made one technical change and changed the date from October fifth to March first. This is for the indexing of voter registration so as to get ready for the consolidated election schedule. I move to adopt Conference Committee Report #1 on Senate Bill 1247."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Yourell moves to suspend the provisions of 68, Rule 68. Those in favor of the motion indicate by voting aye and oppose vote no. Requires 89 votes. Oh well. This is on the motion now. Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 108 aye and 1 no. The motion carries. Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "I explained the Bill and the Committee Report I move to adopt Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1247."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Friedrich: "You indicated a while ago that there was another Bill that was going to cost us over two million dollars for the consolidated elections. Is this the Bill?"

Yourell: "No sir."

Friedrich: "Just tell me which one it is, Bus, so I can object a little more."

Yourell: "What it did, the Bill that originally, if you recall in Committee. You were in Committee I



believe. This is the indexing of registration cards so that we'll have proper identification of voters."

Friedrich: "But there is an appropriation that's going to be made that's going to pay the county..."

Yourell: "We don't know what that is yet."

Friedrich: "Yeah. Well, I recall it was two million dollars and I've just got some information which was taken on a voluntary basis. An estimate from the County Clerks. In some counties, the cost for elections is going to go up 600%. In DuPage County, it's going to be increased by 100%. I just want to keep calling this to your attention because I want you to know what a great thing consolidation of elections is."

Yourell: "Mr. Speaker, those figures are fanciful figures and I think fancies of his imagination. Nobody knows yet the figures on what consolidation will save. And I suggest to the Gentleman who always seem to have figures available to him for most anything, that we'll not know until after the first full year of consolidated scheduling, consolidated elections to really know how much the state will save. It is our judgment that the state will save 11 million dollars with the consolidated election schedule. I move once again Mr. Speaker to adopt the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1247. Incidentally, the money Bill is already on the Governor's desk. This is the authorization."

Friedrich: "I know but when you find out after it happens that's what you call locking the barn door after the horse is stolen."

Yourell: "I'll let you know Dwight."

Speaker Redmond: "Questions on the Gentleman's motion that



that House adopt the first Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1247. Those in favor vote aye, oppose vote no. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 135 aye and 6 no and the House does adopt the first Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 1247 by a 3/5 majority. 1320. Representative Getty."

Getty: "Mr. Getty... Mr. Speaker, first of all I would move to suspend the appropriate rule so that Senate Bill 1320 may be considered."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Griesheimer."

Griesheimer: "Mr. Speaker, I rise to object to this motion. In suspending the rules on this we're going to allow a Bill to be heard where the Conference Committee is in direct derogation of what the House directed on this Bill. This is a Bill that goes to the issue of raising fees in Cook County and we agreed that there would be no objections to that. It's got two Cook County Sponsors, Senator Rock and Representative Getty and if they wish to raise fees in Cook County, that is their business. But in Conference Committee and once before on the floor of this House by Amendment, they had attempted to raise fees throughout the State of Illinois. Representative Getty agreed to remove that language which he did. It went over to the Senate now it has gone into Conference Committee and they have reimposed an across the board fee increase for all the other counties of the State of Illinois. I think this definitely breaks with the faith of the House. We have no business of moving this motion for immediate



consideration. I would urge this House not to give the necessary 107 votes on this motion."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Getty."

Getty: "Well, the Gentleman is absolutely right. The House did, I did, agree in Committee and I would not have gotten it out of Committee without that committment, I believe, to take out downstate. I represented on the House floor that I would take out downstate. The Senate Members apparently felt that there was a committment to, I might point out, to the downstaters. The downstaters in the Senate who wanted this in and they felt that they had to keep this committment. I might suggest that it might be more expeditious to the House to agree to permit the suspension of the rule, state your position on the merits of the issue, vote the Conference Committee Report up or down, and then with the results of that go on to a second Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Redmond: "Questions on the Gentleman's motion that the provision of Rule 68 be suspended to allow immediate consideration. Those in favor vote aye and oppose vote no. It's 89 votes requiring. Representative Totten."

Totten: "Mr. Speaker, as it pertains to this Bill as we may have trouble with it, should a second Conference Committee Report come out and then fail does the Conference Committee Report remain on the Calendar?"

Speaker Redmond: "David: What was the question again please?"

Totten: "Should a second Conference Committee be appointed and no action. or two parts of the question. No action be taken on the second part of the Conference Committee by this House, does the Conference Committee Report remain on the



Calendar?"

Speaker Redmond: "Well he assumes that the first one was not adopted and the second Conference Committee was appointed and that second Conference Committee Report was not adopted. What's the posture? Still pending. Would there be a vote on it?"

Totten: "OK. Now there was a vote taken on it and it did not receive..."

Speaker Redmond: "Assume there was a vote and it did not receive the sufficient... or it lost."

Totten: "What if it received only 89 votes?"

Speaker Redmond: "Second Report you're talking about?"

Totten: "Right."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there immediate effective date in the Bill? He doesn't know. Makes a difference."

Totten: "What's the difference whether it does or not?"

Speaker Redmond: "89 votes without the clause it would be passed. If the clause for immediate consideration then it would fail."

Totten: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish on this motion? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 90 aye and 58 no. The motion prevails. Representative Getty on the Bill."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, again I will state that this is a Bill which raises a fee for civil jury trials in Cook County for 50 to 75 dollars. It creates fees in connection with expungement 15 dollars for the petition that's filed, provides for a one dollar charge for a certified copy of an expungement, and creates a ten dollar fee for failure to appear in criminal cases. The Senate Amendment to the Bill which was deleted in the House and is



reinserted in the Conference Committee Report creates a 50 dollar fee for civil jury trials. The fee must be paid at the time the jury demand is filed, otherwise, action will be tried without a jury. In addition to that, there are set forth in the Conference Committee Report a change in the compensation of clerks of the Circuit Court. They ranged from, in increase in the smallest counties, of less than 14 thousand. From 16 thousand to 18 thousand and in the largest counties over 1 million of not less than 42,500 dollars which is an increase from the prior amount. I would ask for support. Cook County wants this Bill. I believe that there's no disagreement about the merits of the Bill. It went out of this House resoundingly. The thing that is in contention is whether the downstate Members do or do not want to have a 50 dollar fee for civil jury trials. Now I have taken no position on this matter. I think it's a downstate matter. It ought to be decided by downstaters. I want to make it clear that I acceded to what I viewed as the majority position of downstate Members in Representative Griesheimer's Amendment #1. As the Bill comes before you again that is not in there, the Senate downstaters apparently, their majority position is, they want this to be in there. I'm going to ask for your support and based upon the part of this Bill, that is "a Cook County Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Vinson. Griesheimer."

Griesheimer: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Before I speak to the Bill I do have two parliamentary inquiries. With regard to the Conference



Committee Report before the House which we are now considering I would request a ruling from the Parliamentarian as to whether this Conference Committee is proper and correct and in full form in that I just found out that myself and one other Republican Member of this House were appointed to the Conference Committee. Our names do not appear on the Conference Committee Report typed or in any other fashion. I personally was not notified but whether I was notified or not as a Member of that Conference Committee I believe my name must appear on this Conference Committee and if there is no signature, it will so indicate."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "I think we've seen a perfect example here of the people in control of the process trying to run over minority rights and I would urge a no vote on this to preserve any kind of minority rights in this Assembly."

Speaker Redmond: "The Sponsor indicates in his judgment it is defective. Representative Griesheimer."

Griesheimer: "Did I understand Mr. Speaker that the ruling of the Parliamentarian is that the Conference Committee Report is defective then on..."

Speaker Redmond: "He didn't have to give me an opinion. The..."

Griesheimer: "Oh. The Sponsor..."

Speaker Redmond: "... Sponsor agrees that it's defective."

Griesheimer: "Do I understand that it will be... what?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Getty."

Getty: "I would stipulate to withdraw the Conference Committee Report. I believe it is defective."

Griesheimer: "And does the Sponsor request a second... a..."



I don't believe... I think this is a nullity under our rules."

Getty: "Alright. I'll just withdraw it."

Griesheimer: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman withdraws the first Conference Committee Report. 1386. Representative Hallstrom."

Hallstrom: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I would move that we suspend Rule 68 in order that we might consider the first Conference Committee Report on House Bill... Senate Bill 1386."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any objections? Representative Bullock. Representative Bullock."

Bullock: "Mr. Speaker, I object."

Speaker Redmond: "The Lady has moved that the provisions of Rule 68B and E be suspended with respect to Senate Bill 1386. Those in favor vote aye, oppose vote no. Have all voted who wish? Have all... Representative Bullock."

Bullock: "Mr. Speaker, I had hoped through the objection that the Bill might be taken out of the record, however; if it's gets enough votes I am constrained to ask for a verification."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Brummer."

Brummer: "Yes, this is a Bill that the Judiciary Committee worked on. I think the Chairman of the Judiciary Committee is in agreement with the language that was finally worked out. Representative Jaffe, the Sponsor and the Senate Sponsors have worked very hard on this and I would urge an aye vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Jaffe."

Jaffe: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of this motion as Representative Brummer indicated. There was some language that was very objectional



to us that Representative Brummer and Representative Hallstrom and myself worked very diligently on and we tried to work on. I believe that at the present time the Bill has been rather in good shape and I would urge an aye vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. This question there's 103 aye and 35 no. Representative Bullock. Motion carries. Representative Hallstrom on Senate Bill 1386."

Hallstrom: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move that we adopt the Conference Committee Report #1 on Senate Bill 1386."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? Question's on the Ladies motion that the House adopt the first Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 1386. Representative Bullock."

Bullock: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I will be very brief on the Bill. The Bill addresses a subject that certainly I support and as a Member of the Conference Committee I chose not to sign that report. and I chose not to sign the report for two reasons. This House has, in fact, passed legislation that was Sponsored in this House that addresses this subject and presently is on the Governor's desk. This Bill also has two House Amendments and a Senate Bill and I thought perhaps that when the Amendments were placed in the House Judiciary they did make the Bill stronger and certainly addressed the subject of FEPC. Since the Conference Committee has now met and issued its report, I think that significant language has been



deleted from the provisions of those Amendments and it is in my estimation unfortunate that one of the provisions of that Amendment were decided to be omitted in order for this Bill to receive passage. So I certainly am not opposed to the concept. Legislation has already been passed that would codify the concerns addressed in this Bill. And certainly I would think that the body has much more important business than to pass a duplicative Bill in this area."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hallstrom."

Hallstrom: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I appreciate what the Representative is saying on the other side of the aisle. I do disagree with him. House Bill 2167 and Senate Bill 1386 are not the same Bill. There are some things that are in the Representatives Bill that are not in mine and there's some things in 1386 that are definitely not in 2167. I think we had worked very hard to put this language in the order that the members of the Judiciary wanted. In fact, yesterday we had two questions. One of them we have answered by leaving in the Amendment that Representative Greiman has asked for. The other one was one that language... there was a concern over a word in there groundless and we went to Representative Brummer and it is his change in the language now that has come from the Conference Report. I would urge your support on this because I think that everyone really has tried to work together to come up with a fair Bill. One that's fair for the employer and one that's fair for the employee.



And again I say this Bill is not the same as 2167 and I urge your support on this Conference Amendment acceptance."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions on the Lady's motion that the House adopt the first Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 1286? Those in favor vote aye, oppose vote no. 107 votes. Have all voted who wish? On this question there 136 aye and no nay. Representative Simms desires to record as aye. 136 aye. No nay and the House does adopt the first Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 1386 by a 3/5 majority. Election contest report. Final report. Representative Laurino. 35th District. Brinkmeier versus Swanstrom, Rigney and Mulcahey."



Laurino: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move that the House do adopt the final report for the 35th Legislative District in the election contest of Brinkmeier versus Swanstrom."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? The question's on the Gentleman's motion that the House adopt the final re.. election...final report on the election contest in the 35th Legislative District. Those in favor vote 'aye'. Opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 164 'aye' and no 'nay'. The motion carried. The election of Representatives Nord Swanstrom, Harlan Rigney, and Richard 'Dick' Mulcahey for the 81st General Assembly from the 35th Legislative District is confirmed. Representative Swanstrom."

Swanstrom: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I've had occasion to be noticed before, but this probably takes the cake right here. They don't call me 'Landslide Swanstrom' for nothing. It's not everyone that's able to win the election by seven big votes, and anyone that ever tells you that their vote doesn't count, you just tell them to call me or come and see me, and I'll explain a few things to them. I would like to thank the Elections Committee and the Chairman, Representative Laurino, for their careful and deliberate considerations, and I would like to tell you that it is a distinct honor and pleasure for me to be serving the people of the 35th District as a Member of the 81st General Assembly. It has now been eight months since the election. There are a few in this chamber that have gone through a recount before, and it is not a pleasant experience. I hope none of you will ever have to experience the pressures that go along with one of these recounts. I received the nomination for State Representative last August, and I must tell you that the past eleven months have been the most exciting



period of my entire life. I have a small plaque hanging in my district office which says the best way to deal with problems is to seek advice from those who can help best; God, yourself, and a friend. My faith in God has never faltered. The good Lord has helped me through a difficult period, and it was only through God's sustaining strength and the support of my family and many friends that it has been possible for me to continue and work these past several months. It has been said that life without friends is worthless, and I am so thankful that I can stand here, in the midst of many friends, and tell you how proud and how happy I am to be serving with all of you in this great legislative Body. Thank you very much."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mahar."

Mahar: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I want to be the first to congratulate the Representative on winning his election. I also want to congratulate him on the job he did trying to beat my record. But, I object to the fact that he calls himself, 'Landslide', because he doesn't have the record yet, and somebody else is going to have to go out and try to beat that 2½ that I got. I, also, understand that exactly what he says when you go through eight months of sitting here wondering what's going to happen next and whether you're really going to be seated or not, and I know that he's done a very fine job of retaining his composure, an excellent job for his district. He's going to continue to do it, and he's going to be re-elected by a large margin the next time."

Speaker Redmond: "What was your margin the next election after that 2½ vote?"

Mahar: "It was in the thousands."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Totten...or Rigney.. Pardon me. Represen...Representative Rigney, another Member of



that district."

Rigney: "Well, Mr. Speaker, when election challenges are filed, they're filed against all three Members that have been elected, and in behalf of Dick Mulcahey and myself, we appreciate the fact that you did not divide the question. Thank you very much."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Well, before you recognized Representative Rigney I was going to say we were never worried about Swanstrom. It was Rigney who was causing the problem."

Speaker Redmond: "Amen. Representative Friedland."

Friedland: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I inadvertently pushed the wrong button, and I'd like to recorded 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "May Representative Friedland be recorded as 'aye'? And, I assume Representative Matula has the same request. Does he have leave?"

Matula: "Please."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Oblinger."

Oblinger: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, speaking on behalf of the freshman class of Legislators, being their oldest Member, welcome to it. No, we're real pleased to have you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Laurino."

Laurino: "Well, Mr. Speaker, thank you. Members of the General Assembly of the 81st, I think Mr. Swanstrom would like, also, to be recorded as 'aye'. In fact, I suggest you do record yourself as 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "I think he received instructions from the Grand Imperial Kleagle of the minority party. I think I overheard it."

Laurino: "At this time I'd like to take the opportunity to thank the gentlemen and women that were involved in the election contest on the subcommittee. They put in long hours. They deliberated quite seriously, and it was...if



there was as much pressure on the subcommittee as, possibly, you can get unless you are one of the petitioners or the complainants in an election contest. So, thank you very much for all your hard work."

Speaker Redmond: "Next one. The final report on the election contest of the 51st Legislative District. Bennett versus Donovan, Borchers, and Dunn. Representative Laurino."

Laurino: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I move that we adopt the final report for the 51st Legislative District of the Bennett versus Donovan election contest. I so move."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is on the adoption of the final report of the election contest of the 51st Legislative District. All those in favor vote aye, oppose vote no. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question 160 aye and no nay and 1 present. The motion carries and the final report is adopted and the election of Representative Weber Borchers, John Dunn, and Tim Donovan of the 81st General Assembly and the 51st Legislative District be confirmed. Representative Donovan."

Donovan: "Yes Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the General Assembly, I do want to thank you too and especially the Elections Committee and Chairman Laurino for your hard work. I do want to share some of the landslide title that Nord has, Nord Swanstrom had. I wasn't quite as close as he was at 71 votes versus 70 but let me assure you that one vote does make a difference and when you're out campaigning just call either one of us and we'll certainly tell you to put in that extra effort because that really does make the difference in the election and in this



House. I would want to say in closing, I've been treated very, very fairly I think in the Committee and on this floor. More so than I expected when I came here. I do want to thank you from the bottom of my heart for that. Thank you again."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Borchers."

Borchers: "Mr. Speaker, being a Member... Representative of the 51st District, I do want to welcome Landslide Donovan into the House and I think perhaps he's tied. There's been no investigation as to the vote of the small baby that was brought here a little while ago. Was that a boy or girl if I may ask? It's a girl. Well, I... if that girl was voted, then I would say it's a tie in relation to the Assembly landslide vote. However, I do want you to know that we were welcome, Tim Donovan, in our District. He'll make a very fine Legislator and I want to offer to point out to Josephine Oblinger that theoretically the Governor naturally can do no wrong. I was invited to the mansion as a freshman Legislator so since I'm older than she I think I can take the credit for being the oldest freshman Legislator from this group in the Session right now. However, I do want to welcome and congratulate Tim Donovan, the 51st Representative from Macon County and the 51st District."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Dunn. Senior Member. After you've been out one term. You're out one term. Representative Dunn."

Dunn: "As is the custom in our community and in our neighborhood, I'd would defer to Representative Borchers for the title of senior Member. I do want to add my congratulations to Representative



Donovan. There may be some here in the chamber who perhaps do not recall that Representative Donovan entered the 1978 campaign quite late. He really did not have an opportunity to begin campaigning until August of 1978 because of a vacancy which occurred on our... on the ballot in our district upon the resignation of a very popular Member in a search for a candidate that we felt could represent the district well and campaign well and win. And from the moment that Tim Donovan came forward, we felt in our community and in our area that he was that man. The voters proved us right. If he had had a little more time, I'm sure the margin would have been a lot greater and I would like to add my congratulations to everyone here and to Tim Donovan and I hope he will serve long in this chamber because I know he'll be a very valuable asset to all of us in this chamber, in our districts, and in the State of Illinois."

Speaker Redmond: "The election contest of the 49th District. Majority report. Representative Laurino."

Laurino: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move that we accept the majority report of the Elections Committee for the 49th Legislative District. The report has been on your desk for at least two and a half days. Enough time for you to peruse the majority report and the consent is that the majority report was that the petition was insufficient in the finding and that was the last... for the adoption of the majority report in the Committee. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Representative Collins."



Collins: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The third election contest does have some significant difference in it in the fact that there has been two reports filed, majority reports that was just referred to by Representative Laurino and a minority report filed by the Republican Members of the House Elections Committee. This contest was close. Close enough to warrant, I thought, a recount. There was computer error throughout the early counting on election night and through the following days. Upon discovery recount there was a rather significant change in some precincts within the discovery recount. However, the majority Members of the Committee for reasons expressed by the majority report and referred to by Chairman Laurino, determined that this contest should be dismissed. I do not fully agree with that. I still think that we should have gone to a full recount, however; the will of the majority has prevailed in Committee and I'm confident will prevail again today. I'll vote no on this motion on the majority report and then after it's adopted I'd like to extend my congratulation also to Representative Hannig."

Speaker Redmond: "Questions on the motion to adopt the majority report of the election contest of the 49th Legislative District. Those in favor vote aye, oppose vote no. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 124 aye and 19 no. The motion carries. Majority report is adopted and the election of Representative Jim Reilly, John Sharp, and Gary Hannig to the House of Representatives to



the 81st General Assembly be confirmed.

Representative Collins."

Collins: "Well Mr. Speaker, now that board does quite... quite properly reflect the will of the majority of this House. There are 124 votes and now Representative Hannig, I sincerely congratulate on your election to this House."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Laurino."

Laurino: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think Mr. Hannig more than anybody in these contests knows just as much pressure as Mr. Swanstrom and I'm sure that he's very much relieved to know that he's finally seated and going home with a clear conscience on the last day and I congratulate him also."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hannig."

Hannig: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Unlike the previous two Gentlemen who have been seated today, I got involved in my contest about a year and a half ago, or my desire to run a year and a half ago. I had to win a Democratic primary where five Members had entered the race with one incumbent. I was in a very difficult position in the general election. I've been running hard ever since and it's really a pleasure for me here today to see this final action occur. To know that once and for all that I am the legal Representative of the 49th District. I would like to thank the Members of the House and in particular Representative Laurino, the Chairman of the Committee, for his work and his help and for all those Members who gave me a pat on the back once in a while when my damper was kind of down. It is a tough situation. It is very difficult being a freshman and it's very difficult



having this hanging over your head. And I am just overjoyed to be here today and to know that I am a Member for the rest of this term. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Conti."

Conti: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I was involved in the canvass down in Macoupin County and I did fight him very hard and now that he's the genuine Member of this House I would like to be recorded as voting aye."

Speaker Redmond: "Record the Gentleman as aye. We have a very joyous occasion today to welcome officially and finally three new Members to the General Assembly. We also have the counter part of that and that's kind of a sad occasion to say good-bye to one of our Members and I'm referring to Representative Gene Barnes who, as you know, is leaving these Halls. Maybe not forever. He may be back for more subsidies if I know Gene. But he will be the Chairman of the CTA in Chicago and knowing him as I do throughout the past years, I'm sure that there will be no late CTA trains. Everything will be running on time. No Conference Committee Reports and I want to wish him well and Godspeed and I'm going to ask his colleagues here, Representatives Taylor and Ray Ewell, to make a little presentation that we put together which we thought was most appropriate. Do we have a Resolution there Mr. Clerk? Would you read the Resolution."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 436, Ewell. Whereas, our friends and coll... our friend and colleague former bus driver, present Legislator and future Chairman of Board of Chicago Transit Authority, the beloved Representative Eugene M. Barnes, will



soon be leaving this chamber to assume his new duties with the CTA and whereas, although Representative Barnes is a man of distinction in many respects, he is perhaps most distinguished for his indefatigable labor as a Chairman of the Appropriations II Committee which has won him the sobriquet, the money changer, and whereas, although he has unquestionably paid his dues, Eugene Barnes is known as a man who never paid fares as he started riding on the outside of a bus and then collected fares on the inside. And whereas, his work in this Body, particularly as Appropriations Chairman, and his unparalleled experience both on the outside and the inside and then in the back and in the front of the bus makes him uniquely qualified to head the CTA. Therefore; be it resolved that the House of Representatives of the 81st General Assembly of the State of Illinois that we express our appreciation to our friend and colleague, Eugene M. Barnes, for his years of service in this House and that we warmly congratulate him upon his recent appointment and that we wish him well as he leaves this Body to enter upon his Herculean labors with the CTA. Be it further resolved that the copy of this Resolution be presented to our friend and colleague, Eugene M. Barnes."

Speaker Redmond: "And if we can have leave of the House for all the Members to join on the Resolution."

Taylor: "Before we move for the adoption of this Resolution, I would like to take the time to express my sincere gratitude and thanks to Gene Barnes. For many years we have worked here together here in this General Assembly."



Have always not saw eye to eye. The Speaker once told me, he said, "You fellows fight more than the Irish do". And I think that's been healthy because I think through our fighting we have learned to grow and to be able to understand and help one another more. I know for a fact that we are losing a great Member of our Body. City of Chicago is gaining because if Gene Barnes protects the CTA as well as he has protected Appropriation II Committee, there won't be no problems in years to come. And I want to thank Gene Barnes because at the beginning of this year when we had our black caucus meeting in the city of Chicago, Raymond Ewell placed the name of Gene Barnes in the nomination. And Representative Alexander placed the name of Jim Taylor. But after we had had our long fight that particular night, Gene Barnes rose and withdrew his name and told me that he would support me in my effort here. And I think we have worked together on some things. We cannot see eye to eye on all things. But I think those things that we have worked good together on will be the things that I'll cherish for the rest of my life. I know for a fact that we have lost a good Member once Gene Barnes is appointed the Chairman of Chicago's CTA. Mr. Chairman, I move for the adoption of this... Is there leave for all Members to be signed on as Cosponsors of this Resolution? Leave being granted, so be it. We move the adoption of House Resolution 436. Roll Call Gene."

Gaines: "As a Republican Member from this District I'm very happy that Gene's moving on because every-



where I go they say you must be Representative Barnes because Ewell's other one. So I want to say that Gene, I still am making those meetings and asks those questions for you. I just hope they don't think I'm head of CTA."

Ewell: "Members of the Body, it gives me great pleasure to give a small token to Representative Gene Barnes on behalf of this Body. This is a token that was started in the Speaker's Office. It had especially the work of Tom Ohler and everyone here contributed to it as you have your affections for Gene Barnes. I would like to extend my special thanks and appreciation to Gene Barnes as my running mate. He has been supportive of me. He has helped me and in many things we have been able to work together. We even run together in this District and it has always been a pleasure to work with him. He's been one of the finest Representatives I've had the occasion to meet and work with and on behalf of the entire Body, and it has been shown to most of the Members, we are going to present him a plaque on behalf of the Speaker, Mr. Ohler, and the entire Body. And it reads, "To Gene Barnes, bus driver, Legislator, CTA Chief changing jobs again June 30, 1979". It has on it a money changer and thanks to the contributions of the Body. There are coins, we have to check the coins to see if they're all full. There are coins in all of the changers and this is to Gene Barnes with the sincere appreciation of this Body. Thank you."

Barnes: "Well, thank you very much. I'm really over-



whelmed. I don't think that politicians are supposed to be ever lost for words, but I am really overwhelmed and especially with this. And let me say a couple things I think that no matter what I would say, I would be leaving someone out and I miss something that has happened on the way here. But let me just reflect a little bit on the years that I've been here in Springfield. For me, a young man from the inner city of Chicago, this has really been a learning experience form. I've received my higher education right here. Right here in the halls of this General Assembly. Let me say as I sometimes say to schools that I speak to or community organizations that this Body, these 177 Members here, and the 59 Members across the rotunda, is probably not only the finest but the highest club, fraternal order, fraternal group that anyone could really belong to. I say to my son who's sitting in my seat there now who was crawling down the steps in the old General Assembly when I first came here. He wasn't running around like he is now. I hope he really understands and someday he will the impact of what has occurred to me. He's fortunate. I am fortunate. He's extremely... he's more fortunate than I was in a sense because my father never seen any of this. I'm going to try not to get overly emotional. I don't know where my wife is. She's around here somewhere, but she's up in the gallery to my left. That is my wife, Melody, to some of the freshman. To many of the older people, old timers that are here sit from the Republican side and usually,



come to think of it with Marge Campbell and Mrs. Hudson and others that's usually what they sit most of the time come to think of it. And now with one that I would never forget, Mrs. Brummet, Don's Brummet's wife who's passed on. They usually sit there most of the time. Right up on that side. But let me say just to all of you and I don't want to call anyone's name because I'm sure that I would miss someone. But there's at least two or three people that I would just have to say something about. Aaron Jaffe and Glen Schneider, we started this way together. We really did. Mike, Roman Kosinski, what can I say? Terzich, Capparelli. We all started this way together. Quite a few of us are gone now but most of us are still here. Let me say to all of you that I didn't have a chance to really get to know. I love you all. That's all I can say. To Pete Peters, to George Ryan, and Chuck Campbell, to just so many people. People that aren't here now. To Henry Hyde who's away in Congress. I'm sure Henry can't hear this. Henry really set me down one night and talked to me about this General Assembly. There's others that aren't here now. To... I can think of my friend from Sparta. Jim Holloway who set me down. To Tom McMaster back there, my friend who designated me the concrete farmer when I first came here. But Tom did a great deal for me. Just to look at the way he operated and to serve on the Committees and see how things are done. To Ted, what can I say? I serve my apprenticeship on the Appropriations Committee under Ted. To Representative Lechowicz, I can



never say enough. To all of the staff and all of the people that I have worked with in this Body. It's not so much whatever if anything that I've will or have attained. Not so much in myself but because of all of the people that I have cooperated with and those people that have cooperated with me. And last and probably I know far most not the least of all. To the Speaker of this House. Because four years ago, five years ago now, when he didn't have to but only because he lived up to the courage of his own convictions, he decided to appoint me then as Chairman of the Appropriations Committee. I can truthfully say, truthfully say, that I firmly believe within myself that if that had not occurred, Bill, I'm sure that I probably would not be standing here before you now trying to go on to broaden my horizons. Let me say to end as I began. To all of my friends, to Jim, to Ray, To Charlie, to all of you the one thing that Pete says quite often, and I believe firmly because I worked with Pete on that Committee, I worked with George Ryan on that Committee. I worked closely with Don Totten on the old Committee together and Regner who's across the rotunda now. The one thing about this place is you can work and disagree, disagree to the point of really being at loggerheads on any give issue, but there's certainly no reason that you have to walk away being disagreeable. We never did that and I'm happy about that. That's one of the happiest things that has occurred to me here. Let me say to you in going on to the CTA that I hope to bring to it the same commitment that I



hope I brought here. When I first came here in 1970, I said to myself that if I could do anything I wanted to at least leave my impressions here. My footsteps. I've attempted to do that. I hope I have. If there's been anything that I did in terms of trying to do that it was not intentional if ~~it was something~~ that someone felt was wrong. But it was merely trying to do what I thought was just, right, and proper. I will try to do the same thing at the CTA and to all of you again as I said before, none of this, none of this could have happened to me, to my wife Melody who really started it all because I would probably still be driving that bus now if she didn't say go ahead and run because I didn't want to run. I can't say enough for her because she is the one that really got it started. And, as I said before, I'm filled up at this point. I want to thank you all so much but I think I want to try to end this on a lighter note and maybe not be so filled as I move from this rostrum. Probably speaking to all of you for the last time in the regular fashion. I'm not resigning right away, but I am sure that my resignation will probably will have been submitted before you meet again. But to all of you, it has been one experience for me. One of the most happiest experiences that occurred in my lifetime. I'm sure that one is a thing as I said in the beginning a person from the inner city of Chicago could never dream of. But to all of you and to all of my friends that will be going back and forth down 155, I want to end on this this one note



all of you and I think it's applicable with this and as I usually say when I'm talking to someone going down that highway, I'll see you another day, in another way. The money changer said it and he's northerly bound and down. Thank you."

Speaker Taylor: "Representative George Ryan."

Ryan: "Thank you very much Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Our loss is certainly the CTA's gain and it's not only our loss but it's the loss to the people of the State of Illinois. As Gene has pointed out, I've had an occasion to work with him on the Appropriations Committee and he's certainly taken care of every dollar very well and I know he's going to do the same thing at the CTA. Gene; it's been a pleasure for me to serve with you. You're a gentleman and I wish you and your family on behalf of those of us on this side of the aisle the best of luck in everything you do in the future and come back and visit with us will you please."

Speaker Taylor: "The Gentleman from Knox, Representative McMaster."

McMaster: "Thank you Mr. Assistant Majority Leader. Gene, I want to add a few words to the rest of the people here. Gene mentioned the nickname that I gave him a number of years ago. We came here as freshmen together and I think probably one of the first Committees that you served on and I served on was the Agriculture Committee. With me of course it was natural that I should be on it. But Gene and I sat together I guess at every meeting Gene. We had a very good time. Got along very well together. I think that



Gene probably learned a lot about farming and I probably learned a lot about Chicago. And that's where he got the nickname 'the concrete farmer'. I guess about the only thing I can say, Gene, I hate to lose you but I'm glad that the CTA is getting someone with a farm background."

Speaker Taylor: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I, in a lot of ways, share the pride that Gene has today and his family has. Had the opportunity to work with him these past several years on the Appropriations Committee and as he indicated, we had our disagreements but we have never been disagreeable. We've continued to maintain our close friendship and I think affection. I'm especially pleased by what happened. Because as I indicated in my first remarks, we kind of all share in this. 1910, my father came from the old country. Couldn't speak English and I think he'd be proud that his son is here today. I think Gene's people are proud of where he is at today because it is an accomplishment that we all share in. An accomplishment that represents the fight that all of us have made in a lot of ways to better ourself and to better the people that we love and the people we fight for. Gene, with a deep sense of affection, my very best wishes to you and your family for God's choices, blessings in the future and a very long professional and public career. Thank you."

Speaker Taylor: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Emil Jones."



Jones: "Yes, thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen. I am perhaps one of the only Members in this Body who knew Gene Barnes prior to his coming to Springfield. I recall back in the late 60's, especially the year 1967 when he then lived in the community on Maple Park, and we had the big snow storm. Gene Barnes was very active in that community organization out there trying to see that the people out there received food and services that they so needed. I recall back in 1970 when he first ran for office and we were then part of the old 21st ward organization and many doubted... whether or not a Democrat could be elected especially minority from then the old 28th legislative District. Well Gene worked very, very hard and was elected by an overwhelming majority. In 1972, when they redistricted it and he ran in the 29th District, when he moved up, he paved the way for a little farm boy, from Morgan Park by the name of Emil Jones, Jr. to run. We had a person in our organization at that time by the name of attorney Joe Lawrence. and what he said then is actually appropos now. He said, 'When you find a person that can do one job well they can also do another job well'. Gene Barnes has done a tremendous job here in Springfield and we know as he moves on to be Chairman of the Board for the Chicago Transit Authority he will do a tremendous job. So, Gene, for the people in the old community of Maple Park, the people in the 34th ward Democratic organization, we all wish you well because you will do the job as you have done here and we know you will be successful."



Speaker Taylor: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "It's going to be pretty tough to criticize the CTA with the same stridency, Gene that I have been accustomed to doing because we really wouldn't have this incredible watershed rift in the General Assembly had the people in 1974 taken Gene Barnes' advice on what to do with the RTA (sic). Now, I don't particularly remember the specific suggestion that he made to me when he came over to me and said, "Call, what about this?" and I said it looks great to me but I'll bet they won't buy it and they didn't. And everything that's happened since then is probably because they didn't follow his good advice at that time. About a year from now I can't wait to reflect upon that changes that he's made because I'm sure the CTA has some moving and shaking coming and I stand with everyone else in... really a bit of sorrow in seeing him leave, but I don't ... well I'm happy for his great opportunity and I wish him the best."

Speaker Taylor: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I stand here as many of you did already and I'm sure that in reflection you'll probably note that the happiness that is in all our hearts in wishing Gene and Melody and his family our sincere best wishes for his new position as the Chairman of the CTA Board. But in reflection of the job that he's done for the people of Illinois, not only in the capacity as the Chairman of the Appropriations



Committee, but in many of the other items that he was so vitally interested in. Primarily in the fact of labeling hazardous materials when they were being transported, whether it be on truck or train and many of the items that may have received certain recognition at a time but once the situation is corrected it is forgotten about. I think if you review Gene Barnes' record in this General Assembly it'll have to compare with one of the best and I think it's a commitment that he has received from the organization that he comes from and his fine committeeman, Wilson Frost, who is also doing an excellent job in the city of Chicago. I expect great things from Gene Barnes as the Chairman of the CTA and I'm sure that is again a temporary position for bigger and greater things that come. Gene, to you and Melody, our sincere best wishes for a job well done. Our best wishes with all our hearts for anything you want to endeavor just come in and ask and we'll try to help. Thank you once again."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Barnes, this was the container in which that money changer came. Have a Supplemental Calendar there? Supplemental Calendar #1. Conference Committee Reports appears House Bill 1642, J. David Jones. "Did'nt we do that yet? Is J. David Jones here? Out of the record. 2427. Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "Mr Speaker, Members of the House, House Bill 2427 is the CDB appropriation for this next fiscal year. All of you have a Member of the Conference Committee Report regarding House Bill 2427. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I offer and move the adoption of



Conference Committee Report #1 regarding
House Bill 2427."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ropp."

Ropp: "Mr. Speaker, would the Sponsor yield? Representative
Telcser, does that include all of the monies
for the Food for Century 3 development programs?"

Telcser: "It does include the monies for Century 3
Representative. I don't recall what the
original Bills were in terms of dollar amounts
when the Session began. It does, however;
include money for Century 3?"

Ropp: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bower."

Bower: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Bower: "Mr. Telcser, can you advise me if two appropriations
for the Illinois Eastern Community Colleges, Olney
Central and Lincoln Trails are still
included in this appropriation."

Telcser: "Representative, there is not a line item
in this Conference Committee Report for those
specific facilities to which you refer.
There is, however; an item for 1 million, I think,
100 thousand dollars for community colleges
as a total line item without specifically
enumerating which colleges it would go to."

Bower: "Was this the amount that was originally in the
budget or is this an increased amount?"

Telcser: "This is an amount that has been increased."

Bower: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mahar."

Mahar: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield
for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Mahar: "Aft, is the 1.45 million for Tinley Park still
in that..."



Telcser: "Yes, that is still in the Conference Committee Report Representative."

Bower: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Totten."

Totten: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'd like to point out to the Members of the House that there has been added to the Bill 60,000 dollars for the acquisition planning and construction of a state office building in the downtown urban renewal area of Rockford and in addition to that a amount of money of 30,000 dollars for planning expansion of the State Regional Office Building in Marion. Now, probably one of the biggest mistakes we make is expanding our state office facilities because as soon as we expand them we then start hiring the people to fill them and we increase the size of the bureaucracy and those rules and regulations and everything else which goes with turning government into one of the more burdensome things. I think that these two specific items are not warranted in this appropriation and I don't believe we should vote to approve these additions and I think, at this time, that this Conference Committee Report should not be accepted and that we ask the conferees to delete those and come back with another report."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Chapman."

Chapman: "Mr. Speaker will the Sponsor yield? Mr. Telcser, I see that at this time there are 650,000 dollars appropriated in this Bill for the planning for skilled nursing home facilities for the Department of Veterans Affairs and there's



1,465,000 for various improvements at the Illinois Veterans Home at Quincy. As you know, the state is acting illegally in moving on these projects without applying for and receiving a certificate of need from the Illinois Health Facilities Planning Board. Can you tell me sir, if the Department of Veterans Affairs has, at this time, taken any action at all to comply with the law? Has this agency taken any action to operate legally in proceeding with these plans?"

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "Does the Lady have a question or is she making a..."

Chapman: "I have a question. What have they done since the last time we discussed this? Have they applied or received the certificate of need so that any further progress will be of a legal nature?"

Telcser: "Representative, it apparently is your opinion that the agency is acting outside of the law. I do not share your view. CDB tells me that they have not applied for certificate of need and that it is not necessary for them to do so. I, therefore; don't necessarily... I do not agree with your statement that they are acting illegally."

Chapman: "If I may proceed Mr. Speaker, it is not a matter of opinion, Mr. Sponsor, I recommend that you read the law which requires that state owned and operated agencies make the same application and receive the same approval from the Illinois Health Facilities Planning Board as any private agency does before they may proceed legally on this matter. It is not a matter of opinion



and what is customary or not customary for the CDB is not the question before us now."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, in closing let me simply add a few more words to the remarks to the Member who just spoke. I think the Members ought to be aware that there's a possibility of the legal question being raised simply because there is a disagreement in one of the projects contained in the CDB program for this next year. I for one want to support items such as a veterans hospital near a large metropolitan area so that relatives and friends of veterans who gave so much to our country can go and visit those people who find themselves in a hospital facility. And so for this reason, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, and for all the other items contained in CDB's program for this next fiscal year; I sincerely urge and hope we get a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "Question's on the Gentleman's motion that the House adopt the first Conference Committee Report to House Bill 2427. Those in favor vote aye, oppose vote no. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 108 aye and 24 no. and the House does adopt the first Conference Committee Report by a 3/5 Constitutional Majority. 263. Representative Griesheimer."

Griesheimer: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I move to nonconcur with the first Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 263. This is the adoption Bill that



the originated in the Senate. Came to the House. The House amended it with the rather comprehensive and highly studied House version of the Bill. It went back to the Senate. Senate put it in a Conference Committee. It was appointed a Conference Committee notified after the Conference Committee met. They've completely gutted the Bill. The present Bill does not even approach the subject. Denies adopted children the right to find out their natural parents under any circumstances. Seems to me this is an absolute and total breach of faith for the many, many people both within the Legislature and outside of the Legislature that worked on the subject. I would very strongly urge a nonconcurrency on this."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Griesheimer moves to suspend the provisions of Rule 68 D and E in order that Senate Bill 263 be taken from the table. Those in favor vote aye, oppose vote no. Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 126 aye and 1 no and motion carries. Representative Griesheimer moves that the House do not adopt the first Conference Committee Report. Is that correct? Representative Griesheimer."

Griesheimer: "That's correct Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Piel."

Piel: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I was on this Conference Committee and I would have to agree with Representative Griesheimer on one point, disagree with him on the other. The one point, he said that he did not receive a notice until quite late. Unfortunately, we were in a highly contested



situation on the floor at the time when it was dropped off at our desk. I received mine about ten minutes after 6:00 saying that the meeting was at 6:00, but we were in the middle of a discussion on the corporate personal property tax at the time. I did go downstairs at that time. I met with the people from the Senate, or the Senators on the Conference Committee. There was no way at all that they were going to go along with the original proposal because it was too wide open. I was not going to go along with them because of their changes. I had further discussion with them this morning and so I would ask that... in reference to what Representative Griesheimer said. His remark was that we nonconcur and they told him to another Committee Report. I'd like to tell the Members of the House that there's no way, no way at all that we are going to get anymore on this Bill than what we have right now. At the present time, this will open up medical records and genealogical records to the adoptees. This is something we do not have now. There are over 2300 genealogical diseases and it should be brought out that these adoptees do not know their family medical history. Their natural family medical history. It is something that I know has a awful lot of bearing to a lot of people. I can tell you this of a very personal nature in that Committee three of the Senators were adopted... were parents that have adopted children. I being on the Committee am adopted myself. I have got a three year old daughter. Without having these records open, there is no way that



I have any idea of any genealogical medical problems with my natural parents and so I would ask the House at this time to concur with Conference Committee #263 and vote yes."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Greiman."

Greiman: "Well thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Sometimes we address the problems and a great deal of time gets spent in subcommittees and what not and then in a few minutes we bang together a Conference Committee Report. This Report, the way it is written now and forgetting about the substance of it, but the way that it is written will probably impair many, many adoptions once it becomes law because it provides the earlier... additions of things provided... it was precatory, it was a good idea to file a genealogical data and relevant medical data whatever relevant medical data may mean but this one says they shall. Which means that if a child along with a consent does not have this, if the mother, for example, ran away and left the jurisdiction without ever filing... signing a data, geological... medical data slip or form then there probably would be no proper adoption or there might not be a proper adoption and it might very well be set aside. That's about the one part. About the second part on the second page of the Conference Report, I'm not sure where they wanted to have their periods and where they wanted to have the beginning of their sentences. It is absolutely un-understandable. The syntax ... just... it... This is a Bill. I've worked hard on this Bill. I'd rather see nothing than see something which would impair



future adoptions and which would be meaningless. We are kidding ourselves if we think we are doing something. Send it to another Conference Committee, at least one that puts the periods in the right place and the commas in the right place so that it's understandable to a court. I think we just have to go to another Conference Committee on this one."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Griesheimer." Representative Steele, will you please sit down?"

Griesheimer: "Mr. Speaker, to close on this. Again I urge the House to nonconcur. I have conferred with Representative Greiman on this and aside from the tactics chosen by the Senators to effectively kill this measure that I thought was most worthy and we worked very diligently on in the House. I agree entirely with Representative Greiman. If you read the Conference Committee suggestion, even though it does gut the basic Bill, the language is probably the worst language I've ever seen and might well result in a situation where we end up having to set aside adoptions. I set out originally with this concept to improve adoptions not to impair them. I think the Senators that were on the Conference Committee Report had one idea. That was to kill this Bill anyway they could. They've effectively done it so far. Again, I urge you to nonconcur."

Speaker Redmond: "Questions on the Gentleman's motion that the House refuse... do not adopt the first Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 263. Those in favor say 'aye' aye, oppose 'no'. They ayes have it. The motion carries."



The House does not adopt the first Conference Committee Report. 289, Representative Getty."

Getty: "Mr...."

Speaker Redmond: "Mr. Getty."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I would move to adopt the first Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 289. Senate Bill 289 is a Bill which substantially provides for a court in giving a sentence to provide an alternative sentence. The sentence of house detention. This is a Bill that went out of this House with a very substantial margin. It's a very good concept. It's a good concept that especially attracts itself to an appropriate sentence for a young person, a first offender, a non-violent type of offender. A person would be permitted to continue, for example, to work, to support his or her family and at the same time have the court impose a severe restriction on his movement. The... in the House an Amendment was put on that addressed itself to certain problems relative to furlough from the penitentiary. That Amendment in the Conference Committee Report... the recommendation is that we recede from that. The third thing that this Conference Committee Report would do would be to provide simply that the criminal sentencing commission would be empowered to employ and fix the compensation of its staff. At the present time, the staff of the criminal sentencing commission and support services, therefore, are provided by the Department of Corrections. I would ask for your support in this Conference Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stearney."



Stearney: "Would the Gentleman yield?"

Getty: "Yes."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stearney he will."

Stearney: "As to the provision which... creating the criminal sentencing commission, that was added on in Conference Committee. Am I right?"

Getty: "That's exactly what I said Representative Stearney."

Stearney: "Now, if... without this enabling legislation no monies could be appropriated for the criminal sentencing commission. Is that true?"

Getty: "No, that's not true."

Stearney: "Has the criminal sentencing commission already been created?"

Getty: "Yes it has."

Stearney: "Statutory agency?"

Getty: "Yes it has."

Stearney: "And what is the effect then of this... provision?"

Getty: "The effect is simply that there could be an appropriation if this House and the Senate deemed it appropriate. There could be an appropriation directly to the criminal sentencing commission. At the present time, because of the language contained in the existing statute, the staff and support services shall be provided by the Department of Corrections. Now all this would do would provide that it would be a separate appropriation or a separate line item to the criminal sentencing commission instead of to the Department of Corrections for this operation."

Stearney: "Without Senate Bill 289 then the money would be appropriated to the Department of Corrections?"

Getty: "That's correct."

Stearney: "And if you were to pass this particular Bill, the money would go directly to the criminal



sentencing commission."

Getty: "That's correct."

Stearney: "And on... is it true that on two prior occasions the House killed the appropriation for the criminal sentencing commission?"

Getty: "That's correct and I suggest to you that the reason that it was killed, and by the way, there were appropriations both to the criminal sentencing commission and to the Department of Corrections for the criminal sentencing commission which, in many of the Members' minds including yours I believe Representative Stearney, were excessive."

Stearney: "Well, I believe the appropriation has passed the House, however; in an omnibus Bill hasn't it? For 137,000 dollars?"

Getty: "I don't believe so."

Stearney: "It has not?"

Getty: "I don't believe so."

Stearney: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Cullerton. Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise to support this Amendment and to concur. I think it's a good idea to not have this commission funded out of the Department of Corrections. I would think that the heavy leaning on the Committee right now, ten prosecutors or former prosecutors out of twelve, might become a little more balanced if we were, as a Body of the General Assembly, to deliberate over their appropriations directly and I think that this is a, therefore; we should move to concur."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Getty to close. Representative Pullen, pardon me."



Pullen: "I'd like to ask the Sponsor a question or two."

Speaker Radmond: "Proceed."

Pullen: "Did you say that the Conference Committee Report is recommending that the House recede from House Amendment 2?"

Getty: "I believe that was House Amendment... Yes. House Amendment 2. That's correct."

Pullen: "House Amendment 2 was it not the Amendment which forbid the Department of Corrections from granting a furlough for someone convicted of a Class X felony with a fire arm except in medical emergencies of the last six months prior to release date. Why should we recede from this good Amendment?"

Getty: "I, as a matter of fact, don't particularly want to. I think you heard in 1965, Senate Bill 165, was receded partly because the Senate Conference would not agree to put that into that Bill. There was discussion and Representative Friedrich expressed that on the floor that there was apparently an understanding. I was not there at the time so I don't know of my own knowledge that that Amendment would be put in 1965. I'm sorry, Roman Kosinski corrected me. ... Senate Bill 185. Now, in part, I believe, may be principally because of that. Not being in 1965, 1965 was killed. I don't think that we should also do that here. There was discussion between the Senate and the House relative to this and 185 and part of it was that this would go out of this Bill. Again, I was not present at that time, but that was my understanding. The basic Bill is a very good Bill and I had no problem in supporting Representative Friedrich's Amendment and I did so. But I



believe Representative Friedrich has indicated not withstanding the fact he wants that. He has no objections to going forward on this Bill 289."

Pullen: "Mr. Speaker if I could address the Report."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Pullen: "I agree with the Sponsor that the basic Bill, the original Bill, is a very good Bill but I don't think I agree with him about the Conference Committee Report for the two changes that it makes. The deletion of House Amendment #2 which is a very good strong criminal justice Amendment and also the addition of having the criminal sentencing commission employing and fixing the compensation of its own staff which I gather has been defeated in this House previously. I think we should look very carefully at this Conference Committee Report before we adopt it. It is the first Report. I don't think that the Bill would be lost by our rejecting this Report and asking the Conferees to do a better job next time and I hope the Members will withhold their votes from this motion."

Speaker Redmond: "Questions. Representative Getty."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker in closing I would hope that you not withhold your votes. I think Representative Cullerton is absolutely right. I think that was a serious question about the appropriation for the criminal sentencing commission. Representative Stearney raised. Representative Cullerton spoke on it. I believe the criminal sentencing commission ought to be directly responsible to the General Assembly and it ought not to be hidden in a large appropriation to the Department of Corrections and



that's what happened in the past year. I think this would put it right out front where it ought to be so that we could see that the job that was charged by this General Assembly would be done in an appropriate manner so that we would have fiscal responsibility and accountability to the General Assembly and that's what this would do; As far as the basic Bill itself, it is a very sound Bill. It is a Bill that will help in our fight on crime. I am sorry that Representative Friedrich's Bill has not yet passed. There is still another vehicle Representative Pullen. Representative Pullen, there is still another vehicle for that and that's 1653. That was... 185. That was the one that were supposed to go on to. So I would hope that those people who withheld their support on 185 would not withhold their support on this very good, very necessary Bill. Because if you do, you may not have this in the law. This is important and it's needed. It was a Bill that was very strongly recommended. I believe unanimously by the criminal sentencing commission. It is needed in this state and I'll ask for your support."

Speaker Redmond: "Question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House adopt the first Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 289. Those in favor vote aye, oppose vote no. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 132 aye and 6 no and the House does adopt the first Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 289 by a 3/5 Majority. 927. Representative Beatty.. Representative Beatty."



Beatty: "Yes Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 927."

Speaker Redmond: "Move to suspend the provisions of Rule 68."

Beatty: "Yes, I so move Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Does the Gentleman have leave? Use the Attendance Roll Call. Representative Beatty."

Beatty: "Mr. Speaker, this Bill originally had some provisions in it that are no longer in there concerning the General Assembly and the judge's retirement system which was passed in another Bill. But the Amendment that we put on that Bill was... that is now a part of this Bill dealing with the IMRF was first rejected by the Senate and that provision is now being accepted by the Senate. It provides that the Member who will be able to pick up an additional 48 months of service which he had not originally picked up. He did serve in the position he didn't choose at the time. At this time he pays both contributions, the employee contribution, the employer contribution and interest. We have previously passed... We have some other provisions in the Bill that are similar to Bills which are now the law and I ask for concurrence with... to Conference Committee Report #1."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? The question's on the Gentleman's motion that the House adopt the first Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 927. Those in favor... Representative Brummer." Representative Brummer."

Brummer: "Yes, I was just looking at the... this was the first Conference Committee Report. Right?"

Speaker Redmond: "Right."

Brummer: "I was looking on page nine, line eleven and it



appears to read that...service not to exceed 98 months and in then immediately followed by 50 months. There seems to be a technical error there to me. Doesn't... it says 96 months...50 months."

Speaker Redmond: "Representatvie Beatty."

Beatty: "Yes, the provisions need explained to you Representative that this allows someone to pick up additional service for which they did serve in the office and which they did not originally choose to pick up at the time but allows them now but they have to pay both contributions and interst."

Brummer: "Okay. Thank you very much."

Speaker Redmond: "Question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House adopt the first Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 927. Those in favor vote aye, oppose vote no. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 116 aye and 15 no and the House does adopt the first Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 927 by a 3/5 vote. 1150, Representative Dawson. Representative Dawson. Representatvie Griesheimer, for what purpose do you rise?"

Griesheimer: "Mr. Speaker, I would move for immediate consideration, two Bills...."

Speaker Redmond: "I wish you'd explain the emergency involved in these two Bills first."

Griesheimer: "Explain the emergency?"

Speaker Redmond: "Somebody tells me that the Department is waiting for them in a Conference Committee. I that right?"

Griesheimer: "That's my understanding."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay. Proceed."



Griesheimer: "Well, these Bills are both on the Calendar. It's House Bill 2377 and House Bill 2378. These are Bills that we previously concurred in and, Mr. Speaker, we had to request for a reconsideration of this because there was an error brought about by certain language in the Senate. The Senate has already concurred in these. I'd move that the appropriate rule be suspended for immediate consideration and then to go on to concur in these two the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "You asking leave to have both Bills considered together? Is that correct?"

Griesheimer: "I am."

Speaker Redmond: "2377 and 2378. Does he have leave? Now the Gentleman moves to take 2377 and 2378 from the table and suspend House Rule 68 D and E for immediate consideration. Representative Kempiners."

Kempiners: "Mr. Speaker just a question. Why are we going out of order at this point instead of just going down the Calendar as it appears you were going to be doing?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Griesheimer."

Griesheimer: "I was advised by the Department that there was some problem of getting these together so that they can move them on quickly with regard to some problem in the Senate. I can't really..."

Speaker Redmond: "My understanding is that this provides for an increase in fees. Is that correct?"

Griesheimer: "That..."

Speaker Redmond: "And that their appropriation is waiting in a Conference Committee at the present time so they wanted to join these things together. This is on the motion to suspend the



rule. Those in favor... Any objection?

Use the Attendance Roll Call? Leave has been granted."

Griesheimer: "Mr. Speaker, I would move to concur with the second Conference... well, with the Conference Committee Report on these two Bills. We have taken out of the word that Mr. Getty objected to."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Getty."

Getty: "Alright, you've changed it to Conference Committee Report. It indicated concurrence. The motion is to adopt Conference Committee Report #1 and I would support the Gentleman in that. It's the same Bill that we previously passed, same two Bills. The objectionable material technically defective has been corrected and these two Bills should be adopted."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman had leave to consider these together. Does that apply to the Roll Call? Hearing no objection, the question is shall the House adopt the first Conference Committee Report with respect to Senate Bill... House Bill 2377 and 2378. Those in favor vote aye, opposed vote no. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 129 aye and 2 no and the House does adopt the first Conference Committee Report to House Bill 2377 and 2378 by a 3/5 Majority. Representative Ebbesen, #4. Representative... Mr. Clerk will you read the title of this? Wait a minute. Read the title Mr. Clerk."

Clerk, O'Brien: "A Bill for an Act to require truth in advertising about ophthalmic materials."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ebbesen moves the suspension of the provisions of Rule 68. Take the



Bill from the table for immediate consideration. Is there any objection? Hearing no objection, the Attendance Roll Call be used. Is that satisfactory? Representative Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Mr. Speaker, moving to concur with the first Conference Committee Report that's been signed by all ten Members of the Conference Committee and the changes that were made. It was discovered between the Department of Registration and Education and the Attorney Generals Office that the Bill that passed out of here with overwhelming support had lacked clarification as to where the jurisdiction with each Department rested, And Conference Committee Report #1 clears that up and I'd move for its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question's on the Gentleman's motion that the House adopt the first Conference Committee Report to House Bill 4. Those in favor vote aye, oppose vote no. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 108 aye and 20 no and the House does adopt the first Conference Committee Report to House Bill 4 by a 3/5 Majority. 79, Terzich."

Terzich: "Mr. Speaker could I have leave to suspend the appropriate rule I assume to hear this Bill?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Terzich? Move to suspend the provisions of Rule 68 in order that House Bill 79 be taken from the table for immediate consideration. Does he have leave? Any objections? Use the Attendance Roll Call on that motion. Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Mr. Speaker, Conference Committee #1 is a law



revision Bill which Representative Leinenweber said it had some problems when it came back to the House. The Bill without that Amendment eliminates the requirement that a husband be made a party to an eminent domain proceeding to take his wife's property. The Conference Committee... the Senate will recede from Senate Amendment #1 and this makes the law wife's property identical to the law regarding the husband's property and I move for the adoption of Conference Committee #1."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Question's on the motion that the House adopt the first Conference Committee Report with respect to House Bill 79. Those in favor vote aye, oppose vote no. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 135 aye and 1 no and the House does concur in the first con... or does adopt the first Conference Committee Report to House Bill 79 by a 3/5 Majority. 265, Kosinski." Representative Kosinski."

Kosinski: "Mr. Speaker, House Bill 265. I make a motion to take from the table and suspend Rule 68 D and E for immediate consideration."

Speaker Redmond: "Question's on the Gentleman's motion to take 265 from the table and suspend the Rule 68 D and E for immediate consideration. Any objection to the Attendance Roll Call? Does he have leave? Hearing no objection, leave is granted. The question's on the motion for the adoption of the first Conference Committee Report. Those in favor vote aye and oppose vote no. Representative Brummer."

Brummer: "Is this a motion on the adoption of the Conference Committee or on the motion to take from



the table?"

Speaker Redmond: "This is on the adoption."

Brummer: "I don't think we have any explanation of what the Conference Committee is."

Speaker Redmond: "Explain it Representative Kosinski."

Kosinski: "Mr. Speaker and Mr... Ladies and Gentlemen of the House and Mr. Brummer, as you know, House Bill 265 sailed out of here with about 127 votes. The Amendment was put on in the Senate, is the Amendment of Senator George Sangmeister, which reduces the number of judges for which a defendant may move for substitution to accelerate speedy trial. If a multiple attendance, each may move result substitution. This, in no way, changes the prejudicial motion for change of judge. It's particularly aimed at downstate counties who need this where there are a minimum number of judges and I move for its acceptance."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further? Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Mr. Speaker, I rise to explain my aye vote. There's two parts to this Conference Committee. The second part is a reduction in the amount of automatic substitution of judges you can have in a criminal case from two to one. Now, normally I wouldn't be in support of that but it does also at the same time has very good language with respect to a motion for substitution of judge for cause and allows that hearing to be heard by a judge other than the one who you are alleging is prejudicial. For that reason, I think it's a very good Bill. The first part of the Bill is the trial in absentia of a defendant who has left the jurisdiction, fled the jurisdiction. The way the language is worded, I think it would apply in very few



cases. Only the more drastic cases where someone is blantly about to leave the jurisdiction and the state has knowledge of this intention to leave. He has all the constitutional rights afforded him. We have this in the law right now when someone leaves after a trial is done and I would ask for your aye vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Davis."

Davis: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Representative Cullerton is absolutely right, worked long and hard on the Amendment to the second part as you know. The base part of the Bill passed out overwhelmingly in this House and this is an extremely important and very effective law enforcement Bill and provides equity in both Sections for, I think, everyone in the downstate portion of Illinois."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 116 aye and 15 no and the House does adopt the first Conference Committee Report to House Bill 265 by 3/5 Majority." 437, Representative Cullerton, Chapman, and Kelly." Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move to take House Bill 437 from the table to suspend Rule 68 D and E for immediate consideration."

Speaker Redmond: "Any objection to the motion? Use the Attendance Roll Call. Representative Totten."

Totten: "I'm waiting for the Bill number up there because we don't even know what the Bill is."

Speaker Redmond: "437."

Totten: "Just a minute. No objection."

Speaker Redmond: "Use the Attendance Roll Call on the motion."



Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would move for the adoption of the Conference Committee Report. This is one of the five Bills in the package, the abortion Bills package that were in response to the Sun Times story about the abortion mills on Michigan Avenue last year. Three of those Bills are on the Governor's desk. The other two are, this one and the next one, which are going to consider. The Senate has already adopted this Conference Committee Report. I would indicate that Representative Kelly, Chapman, and Leinenweber have all signed this report. What it does is to, in effect, accept one part of the initial Bill which has to do with doctors who receive, doctors who discover complications as a result of a pregnancy, as a result of an abortion. They have to report this... these complications to the appropriate department in state government and if they fail to do this, they are subject to disciplinary proceedings before the Medical Disciplinary Board. This whole package has been a compromise between pro-life, pro-choice groups and I believe that we have received a... we've reached a compromise that this matter is very important to help the safety and the life of many women who are going to be getting abortions in the future. I would ask for its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Kelly."

Kelly: "Thank you. Just briefly, Mr. Speaker, we... we did get together, Representative Leinenweber and I particularly in working out an Amendment which is supported by not only ourselves but by the



pro-life groups throughout the state. So at this point I intend to join my colleague in supporting his concurrence of this Conference Committee on House Bill 437."

Speaker Redmond: "Question's on the Gentleman's motion that the House adopt the first Conference Committee Report to House Bill 437. Those in favor vote aye, oppose vote no. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question theres 147 aye and 1 no and the House does adopt the first Conference Committee Report to House Bill 437 by a 3/5 Majority. 440, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies of the House. Ladies and Gentlemen, I regret to inform you that the Senate has failed to adopt the second Conference Committee Report. It received 31 votes but did not receive the required 36. This Bill is the fifth one in the series that I referred to earlier. This Bill refers to a 24 hour waiting period between the time a woman receives..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Walsh, for what purpose do you rise?"

Walsh: "A point of order Mr. Speaker. If the Senate has not adopted the second Conference Committee Report with respect to this Bill, then this Bill is dead and what we're doing is an exercise in futility."

Cullerton: "Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Cullerton. Parliamentarian."

Walsh: "That was by the statement of the Sponsor Mr. Speaker. He is the one that said that the Senate failed to adopt the second Conference Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "The posture is that the Parliamentarian



advises me that if we would adopt this Conference Committee Report then send it over there, they might reconsider this and keep it alive. Other than that, why it would be dead."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, did they... if they did not do it, it is tabled. I... They'd have to take it from the table. It's very unlikely."

Speaker Redmond: "The Bill is in our possession. It's a House Bill. Wouldn't be tabled. Representative Totten."

Totten: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I don't believe there's an effective date in this Bill which... Is it effective immediately?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "If I was going to ask the same question that I believe Representative Totten is going to ask. If it received 31 votes over there and it receives 89 here I want to know what the effect of it... that would be."

Speaker Redmond: "We would declare it lost."

Cullerton: "How many votes would we need?"

Speaker Redmond: "107."

Cullerton: "And if it does receive 107 but only received 31 there what would that effect have?"

Speaker Redmond: "We would imagine that they would declare it lost in the Senate."

Cullerton: "It does have an immediate effective date. Effective on becoming law."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Satterthwaite."

Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker, this is a matter on which I made a statement last night and asked to be corrected if I were wrong but it was my assumption that in these Conference Committee Reports when we accept something by less than a 3/5 vote but more than a majority vote, it's



my understanding that we simply delay the effective date of that legislation. Is that accurate?"

Parliamentarian Epstein: "Representative Satterthwaite, there is a provision in the House Rules which applies on Third Reading but it does not apply to concurrence and Conference Committee procedure whereby if a Bill fails to get the extraordinary majority and has the effective date clause in it it can be called back to second and get another vote. That's not the posture here. The effective date clause is in the Conference Report and that can't be amended out without going through another Conference Committee if that's available."

Satterthwaite: "But it's my understanding that the Sponsors of the legislation say that the measure calls for it to become effective upon becoming law. My question is with that language, can we not pass it with 89 votes had have it become effective at a later date than it would be effective if it got 107 votes?"

Parliamentarian Epstein: "That has not been the practice of either the House or Senate. Because the Constitution requires the votes for passage in the event that the Bill contains the clause. If that were done, at very least it would generate a court case and more importantly we would be putting laws onto the books which would have an immediate effective date but which that would not be the law."

Satterthwaite: "Excuse me but it does not say that it has an immediate effective date. That's the distinction."

Parliamentarian Epstein: "The Bill so reads."



Satterthwaite: "No. My...they're telling me that it says that it becomes effective upon becoming law. Now, would it not become law a year hence?"

Parliamentarian Epstein: "No. It would become law as soon as the Governor were to sign it. In respect of what the effective date clause is. The process of a Bill becoming law is governed by one Section of the Constitution. The question of when a Bill becomes legally effective is governed by a separate Section."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Then the only way then that this Bill could ever be passed into law during this Session would be if the Senate would reconsider their previous motion to adopt the Conference Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "That's correct."

Cullerton: "And the Senate's still in Session. So we can get a Roll Call on this."

Speaker Redmond: "You can get a Roll Call on this."

Cullerton: "Alright. Let me explain to Representative Walsh and to others that I do expect that Representative Kelly and Leinenweber to oppose this Bill. They did not sign a Conference Committee Report. I would like to explain though what the Bill does and explain a little bit of the history of it before we vote on it. The Bill creates a 24 hour waiting period between the initial time of the diagnosis of pregnancy and the time of the abortion. House Bill...Senate Bill 47 which we passed earlier had a similar 24 waiting...opposite 24 hour waiting period which began at the time the woman received the printed materials and the time of the abortion. Now, it's the opinion of the lawyers for certain pro-life groups that to have both 24 hour



waiting periods on the books would hurt their constitutional chances in the Supreme Court somewhere down the line. It's my opinion as a pro-life Legislator who is also

a lawyer has also the opinion of other constitutional lawyers who I've asked about this that with the addition in the Conference Committee Report, that this Section is not a limitation on any other provision of this Act that there would be no conflict. And I say to you that this is going to be the only, I predict, that this is going to be the only 24 hour waiting period if that's what this House wants that will become effective after court challenge. And it's for that reason that I ask for your green vote. This 24 hour waiting period, the purpose of it, is to protect the life and the health of a woman who seeks to get an abortion. There should be a waiting period between the time of a diagnosis and the time of an operation. That is the theory behind this 24 hour waiting period and that's the reason why I would like to get a Roll Call. I will go back to the Senate. It only failed by one vote the first time on the motion to recede from the Senate Amendments. It only failed by 5 votes today when they took a vote and I would appreciate your vote because I think I can get the Senate to agree with me."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Question is on the Gentleman's motion... Representative Kelly. Pardon me."

Kelly: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I had hoped that Representative Leinenweber would be on the floor if this motion here came up to concur with the second



Conference Committee Report on House Bill 440.

As Representative Cullerton indicated I and also Representative Leinenweber and the Senate Sponsors, two of the Senate Committee Members did not sign this and I would say one of the biggest reasons is that the legal staff for the pro-life and also the Illinois Citizens Concern for Life Organization and the Right to Life Organizations for the State of Illinois have all jointly agreed that this proposition, this second 24 hour waiting Bill would have a constitutional problem in that it would have an effect upon Senate Bill 47 which we passed from this chamber a short time ago. Now, Representative Cullerton pointed out that Senate Bill 47 had a 24 hour waiting period from the time the material is provided to the mother. This particular proposal offers a 24 hour waiting period from the time that the information is received back on the pregnancy test. Ladies and Gentlemen, there's a great deal of difference between reviewing a pregnancy test and reviewing information that relates to the mother's potential health hazards, mentally and physically. It also talks about the viability and size of the child so that there is a consideration for life. Now I'd like to point out one more matter. And that is, I think we all read that article in the Sun Times a short time ago where there was a potential deal made between this Bill and 437 and the private school funding Bill. Well Ladies and Gentlemen, it's my opinion and the opinion of all the right to life groups that I know with the exception of the Archdiocese of Chicago that this Bill should not pass and that's



why I ask you to join me in opposing
Representative Cullerton."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Dyer."

Dyer: "Mr. Speaker I would like to ask the Sponsor a question because listening to the two speakers and reading the Conference Committee Report I am confused. The difference between this Bill's 24 hour waiting period and 47's waiting waiting period. In this one, the 24 hours is after the results of the pregnancy tests have come in and 47 is after the woman has received printed material. Now what kind of printed material is this she has to have received? May I have an answer to that?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "I would ask Representative Kelly who is the House Sponsor of that Bill to answer that question."

Dyer: "Mr. Speaker, may Representative Kelly answer that question? It's really vital to this Bill. Representative Kelly. I'm asking a question of him."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay. Representative Kelly."

Dyer: "What's the nature of this printed material?"

Kelly: "I will be very happy to..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Brummer, for what purpose do you rise?"

Brummer: "Number one, I think this is totally ridiculous. The Senate has already refused to act on this Conference Committee Report. Secondly, the Sponsor's ask questions about a Bill that isn't even before us right now. We're on 440 and not Senate Bill 47 and I... you know, this is the most ridiculous thing. We're a day over time already. We're talking about a Bill... a Conference Committee Report that



the Senate has refused to act on and now we're talking about some other Bill that isn't even before us. This is nonsense and I would suggest that we ought to take this matter out of the record and go on to the important matters that we have to take care of here."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Mr. Speaker, we had a ruling by the Parliamentarian that indicated that there is a possibility of this Bill becoming law if we act. That's all I...initially asked for. Secondly, in order to understand this Bill, the Lady has indicated that she wants to know what was the difference between this Bill and another Bill which is similar. We have to talk about the other Bill to answer her question and Representative Kelly is just about to do it. I see no problem. I don't see why we just can't have a Roll Call on this and decide one way or another whether there can be a legitimate difference between and among pro-life Legislators."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kempiners."

Kempiners: "I..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative... you finish and then we'll go to Representative Kempiner."

Dyer: "I just wanted to suggest from the answers that have been given that, let us say, I am the pregnant woman and it's a desperation case and I don't want to be sent to an abortion clinic and I don't want to have a terrible, butchery abortion or anything but I've gotten my pregnancy test and you've given me the literature and I'm illiterate and can't read or it's in a language I can't read and so on and



it just seems ridiculous that we pass that Bill out depending on the court to find that one constitutional. I strongly suggest that we should pass this one too as a fail-safe measure. So I urge a yes vote."

Speaker Remond: "Representative Kempiners. Kempiners."

Kempiners: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I move the previous question.:

Speaker Redmond: "The question is shall the main question be put. Those in favor indicate by saying aye 'aye', oppose 'no'. The ayes have it. Representative Cullerton to close."

Cullerton: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I think we've discussed this adequately. The second Conference Committee Report provides for a 24 hour waiting period. When the Bill first came before us, we passed it overwhelmingly. We've made it even clearer that this is not in conflict with the other 24 hour waiting period which we also passed. Therefore, I would ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "To explain my vote, Mr. Speaker, when the time comes."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay. The question is on Representative Cullerton's motion that the House adopt Conference Committee...Conference Report 2 to House Bill 440. Those in favor vote aye, oppose vote no. Representative Willer to explain her vote. Have all voted who wish? Representative Willer to explain her vote. Representative Sharp, please sit down."

Willer: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, briefly; if I were opposed to any waiting period completely as I know the American Civil Liberty Union is I would hope this is defeated because the other Bill, 47,



the chances are 99 out of 100 it's going to be declared unconstitutional and then we'll have no waiting period at all.

So if that what the pro-lifers want so be it and I guess that's what they want."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Chapman."

Chapman: "Mr. Speaker, briefly, this is the identical Bill, every single word in it that you voted on on... on April 10th and the vote that day was 143 ayes, 11 nays, 7 present and 16 absent. What a difference a couple of months makes. Who are you listening to? Listen to your consciences and help a woman at a most difficult time in her life. Vote aye."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 66 aye, 73 no and the House does not adopt the second Conference Committee Report. We'll now go to the Supplemental Calendar #2. House Bill 1168, Representative Gaines."

Gaines: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move that we adopt the Conference Committee Report, Report #1. It recommends the Senate recede from Senate Amendment #1 and to further to cut 17,800 dollars out of the budget as it was when it left the House."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Johnson. Johnson."

Johnson: "I didn't hear a word that the speaker... the Sponsor said. Could he tell us what the Conference Committee Report 1 does?"

Gaines: "The Conference Committee Report asks that the Senate recede from Senate Amendment #1 and that 17,800 dollars be reduced from the line item in the budget from what it was when it



left the House."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Totten for what purpose do you rise?"

Totten: "Point of order Mr. Speaker. We didn't get the Supplemental Calendar til 5:25. About 15 minutes ago you promised us that we would have a chance to go through these things."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay. Okay. Point is well taken. 524 on Supplemental Calendar #1. Representative Huff."

Huff: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I move to take House Bill 524 from the table and suspend House Rule 68 D and E and place on the Calendar for immediate consideration."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? First is Representative Huff's motion to take from the table and suspend the Rule. Representative Totten."

Totten: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. It would be helpful if the Sponsor explained these before he ... This is the one that has the replacement of damaged glass in the windshield and I don't know whether any Members..."

Huff: "Point of order Mr. Speaker. I was waiting for you to deal with the motion."

Totten: "Well..."

Speaker Redmond: "Question is on the motion to the suspension of Rule 68. Any objections? Question is on the motion to suspend Rule 68. Take House Bill 524 from the table for immediate consideration. Those in favor vote aye, oppose vote no. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. Representative Huff."

Huff: "Mr. Speaker, I don't know why the Membership seems to be afraid to discuss this Bill. It's



a very simple Bill. I think it's a meritorious Bill and worthy of consideration. I could have... I could have responded to Representative Totten's question and by passed the motion but I wanted to be fair and I let the Chair follow his regular procedures and ask for the motion. Poll the absentees Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Bradley: "Poll the absentees."

Clerk Leone: "Poll of the absentees: Beatty. Bluthardt. Bowman. Bradley. Brummer. Capperelli. Chapman. Domico. Epton. Ewing. Hallstrom. Hoxsey. Kane. Kelly. Klosak. Kornowicz. Kosinski. Kozubowski. Kucharski. Lechowicz. Leverenz. Margulas. McPike. Meyer. Molloy. Mulcahey. Richmond. Robbins. Sandquist. Schlickman. U.M. Stiehl. Stuffle."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Huff."

Huff: "Mr. Speaker, there are a lot of people wanting to get on this. I am..."

Speaker Bradley: "Let's..."

Huff: "... the merits of this and I wish the Chair would be a little more..."

Speaker Bradley: "Currie aye. Steczo from no to aye. McPike aye. Chapman aye. Dump the Roll. New Roll Call. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by voting aye, oppose no. Mr. Walsh for what purpose do you rise?"

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think with Representative Huff and Representative Cullerton we've invented perpetual motion if you can call what we're doing here motion This is a Bill that we debated this Conference Committee, contains a Bill that we debated at considerable length just a few days ago and defeated overwhelmingly. I see no reason on earth why we should give this matter sufficient vote so that we



can consider it all over again and have all that conversation again. Don't these people realize that we're..."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Walsh, just a minute. Mr.

Huff what purpose do you rise?" Mr. Huff."

Huff: "Mr. Speaker, he wasn't a Member of the

Conferees. He's not even addressing himself to the motion.

I wish you'd tell him to sit down."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. McGrew, what purpose do you rise?"

McGrew: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Point of order.

You know, this is the second time that that side of the aisle has not let these motions go through. Now if they want to address the Bill and kill it or the Conference Committee, go ahead. But if they want to start playing partisan politics and not letting us get the motion through, to even get to the Bill and get a hearing, then we need to start giving no on every Republican Bill and making sure that you don't get anything on a Conference either. Now you either give us the vote, at least hear the Bill or we'll start the game on that side of the aisle too."

Speaker Bradley: "Have all voted who wish? It take

107 votes. Parliamentarian says it takes 107 votes. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Kosinski, what purpose do you rise?"

Kosinski: "Explanation of vote Mr. Speaker: Now I understand this is a motion to hear the Bill. Is that correct sir?"

Speaker Bradley: "Yes."

Kosinski: "We've done... we've given that courtesy to everybody in the House today. I think it only fair... only right that we hear this thing and if you don't want to vote for it at the time



then don't vote for it. But I think it's only fair that we give both sides of the aisle with a limited House the same prerogative. And it's on that basis I'm voting green."

Speaker Bradley: "Have all voted who wish? Mr. Bullock. Mr. Lechowicz what purpose do you rise?"

Lechowicz: "Well Mr. Speaker I believe there's a number of other matters that we have to address before we go home hopefully but I think in all fairness that we were rejected on this side of the aisle on Mr. Christensen's motion and now this is the second time we're being rejected on Mr. Huff's motion. And if we want to go into this type of a procedure, we will do so. I disagree with it. I think we should at least debate the Bill in all fairness. I would hope that this House would consider what they're doing. Give the man an opportunity to discuss it. If you oppose the matter at the time, vote against it. But to shut off the discussion on the matter at this time is wrong." Give the man the opportunity. Thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "Sorry Mr. Lechowicz. Mr. Walsh to finish his remarks."

Walsh: "... Mr. Speaker because I was charged with partisanship. What I said had nothing to do whatever with partisanship. I object to this Bill. The Bill deals with deductible insurance on windshields. Now I don't know how on earth you can make that partisan. I had no partisan position on this at all. I feel in conscience it's wrong. It has been discussed. We've been here far too long already. This is crazy."

Speaker Bradley: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 109 ayes and 45 nos.



The Gentleman's motion prevails. Mr.

Collins' face is red again. Verification.

Gentleman's entitled to that. Mr. McGrew.

for what purpose do you rise?"

McGrew: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. The Gentleman's totally out of order. You've already made the ruling and he knows it."

Speaker Bradley: "He's entitled to a verification if he..."

McGrew: "Not after the ruling."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Huff."

Huff: "Poll the absentees."

Speaker Bradley: "Poll the absentees."

Clerk Leone: "Poll of the absentees. Bluthardt. Capparelli. Ebbesen. Epton. Ewing. Dave Jones. Kane. Klosak. Leverenz. Margulas. Meyer. Molloy. Peters. Preston. Richmond. Sandquist. Schlickman."

Speaker Bradley: "Representative Borchers from aye to no sir? From aye to no." Mrs. Braun. Mrs. ... Braun."

Braun: "Mr. Speaker, may I be verified please?"

Speaker Bradley: "Does she have leave? You have leave to be verified."

Clerk Leone: "Continuing with the poll of the absentees. C.M. Stiehl. Waddell. And Wikoff."

Speaker Bradley: "Call the Affirmative Roll."

Clerk Leone: "Poll of the Affirmative. Alexander. Balanoff."

Speaker Bradley: "Would the Members please raise their hands so Mr. Walsh can see your hands. Mr. Alexander's back there but... continue."

Clerk Leone: "E.M. Barnes."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Grossi, what purpose do you rise?"

Grossi: "Mr. Speaker, I rise for a parliamentary inquiry."



Speaker Bradley: "State your inquiry."

Grossi: "My understanding is that this Bill does not have an effective date. If that is true, then it is... is it also true that it would take 89 votes to pass this Bill to be effective year?"

Speaker Bradley: "89 votes."

Grossi: "Thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "Continue."

Clerk Leone: "Jane Barnes. Beatty. Birchler."

Speaker Bradley: "What purpose does Mr. Huff rise?"

Huff: "Mr. Speaker, I know, like everyone else here, I am tired and I just want to say to those on the other side of the aisle who think that they're something other than glass as the subject matter in this Bill are just evoking a fight for nothing. So, having said that, I would just take it out of the record." I might ask this; I've set here since 12:00 this afternoon and watched every motion except those on Senate Bills pass on 89 votes. I think you..."

Speaker Bradley: "Are you taking it out of the record then?"

Huff: "Yes."

Speaker Bradley: "Take the Bill out of the record. On the Calendar, on the regular Calendar on page 2 is House Bill 1647 on concurrence. This is an appropriation Bill. Skip those and we'll go right back to it. On the regular Calendar we have to get that done too. On concurrence, the Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is the Ordinary and Contingent Expenses for the Office of the Governor and it appropriates 3,116,100 dollars."



I ask for concurrence in Senate Amendments 1, 2, and 3. And I would move that we concur in those Senate Amendments."

Speaker Bradley: "Gentleman has moved that the House does concur in Senate Amendments 1, 2, and 3. Is that right Mr. Ryan? 1, 2, and 3 to Senate ... or to House Bill 1647 and on that motion the Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker I wonder if that's been distributed. I've been looking for it and I don't have it. I've got them all."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker, this is the Bill that we took out of the record yesterday or the day before so Representative Hanahan could get some questions... some answers to his questions. If Representative Schraeder hasn't got the Conference Report, he's probably lost it or doesn't know where it is."

Schraeder: "Well that's obvious. I asked... I ought to get an answer to a question."

Ryan: "There is no Conference Report on this."

Schraeder: "Mr. Ryan."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Schraeder, just a second now. The Conference Committee... or the concurrence has been on your desk for at least two days. Mr. Mautino."

Mautino: "Yes Mr. Speaker, if I may. I have a recommendation. I recommend that we take Senate Bill... substitute this motion that we put Senate Bill 1183 and 1647 on the same Roll Call."

Speaker Bradley: "1183? Mr. Ryan, do you wish to take 1183 with this?"

Ryan: "No. I object."

Speaker Bradley: "There's been an objection. Alright..."

On the Gentleman's motion to concur Senate Amendments



1, 2, and 3 to House Bill 1647. All in favor signify by voting aye, oppose by voting no. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Somebody push Bradley aye please.

Mr. Lechowicz, what purpose do you rise?"

Lechowicz: "Wantta verification."

Speaker Bradley: "You'll get it sir. Mr. Bullock, what purpose do you rise?"

Bullock: "Mr. Speaker, parliamentary inquiry. What number of votes would it take to pass this Bill and what is the effective date on it and I'd like to ask the Sponsor a couple of questions."

Speaker Bradley: "You're untimely on the questioning part but we can find out as soon as we get the effective date. Take 107 I can tell you right now to pass. 107 votes to become effective." Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, I want to speak in favor of this Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "Proceed sir."

Lechowicz: "You know, I know it's getting rather late again for the second day and maybe some of the matters that happened a little bit earlier are starting to take a consequence as far as some of the Membership, but I think there is a certain responsibility after the... and I know that Representative Hanahan had questions on the respective budget but I believe some of the Members have already had left for the day and so the rest of us can get home we should support this measure and the rest of the appropriation measures and I would hope that some of the other individual measures that the Members may have could get a



fair consideration. I recommend an aye vote."

Speaker Bradley: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 141 ayes, no nays, 7 voting present and the House does concur in Senate Amendment 1, 2, and 3 to House Bill 1647. Representative Preston on Senate Bill 908. I announced the vote. The House did concur. Mr. Preston on 908."

Preston: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen, I would ask that the House not concur and that we have another Conference Committee appointed so that we can take care of some difficulties that have come up."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman moves to non-concur. All in favor say 'aye', oppose 'no. Ayes have it and the House does non-concur in the 90... in Senate Bill... in Amendment 1 and 3 to Senate Bill 908."

Preston: "Thank you Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Bradley: "11... On the Calendar on the Supplemental #2 appears House Bill 1168. Mr. Gaines. "

Gaines: "Thank you Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Just talking to the Director of the Department on the phone to explain to him what the report is and I'd like to have leave to...put this before the House at this time. House Bill 1168. The Conference Committee Report states...well that's what I asked. And they say leave. I have to leave please. Ask leave to bring..."

Speaker Bradley: "... any objections."

Gaines: "Now the Conference Committee recommends that 17,800 dollars be taken out of the budget from what it was when it passed the House and I recommend we concur. We adopt it. That's correct.

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman... are you moving the adoption



of the first Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1168. On that motion, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Totten."

Totten: "Mr. Speaker, inquiry. We don't even have the Conference Committee Report. It hasn't been distributed yet."

Speaker Bradley: "Alright. Are you objecting then to proceedings?"

Totten: "Yeah. Because the Calendar only came out..."

Speaker Bradley: "Take it out of the record."

Totten: "... and we haven't even got the Conference Committee Reports that are on the Calendar."

Speaker Bradley: "On the Supplemental #1, back to that until we get these distributed. We'll stick with it for a minute. Supplemental #1 appears 2420. Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I would yield to Representative Birchler for that."

Speaker Bradley: "Representative... Mr. Birchler on the 2420." Turn Mr. Birchler on."

Birchler: "I'm on now. Thank you. Thank you Mr. Speaker. I want to move that we suspend the appropriate Rule which is Rule 68 D and E for immediate consideration of House Bill 2420."

Speaker Bradley: "Gentleman moves to take from the table and suspend the appropriate Rule 64 for immediate consideration. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by voting aye, oppose by voting no. Now...Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 130 aye, 6 nays. The Gentleman's motion prevailed."

Birchler: "Now Mr. Speaker. I would like for a second concurrence Committee appointed immediately. The first Committee had acted and the Senate had



refused to accept the report..."

Speaker Bradley: "The House refused to adopt the first Conference Committee and the second Conference Committee be appointed and on that motion Mr. Friedrich."

Friedrich: "I just want to ask the Sponsor if the Report hasn't already been written. I have some figures on my desk here."

Birchler: "Yes Mr. Speaker and Mr. Friedrich. The Conference Report has been on the desk since last night."

Speaker Bradley: "On the Gentleman's motion all in favor signify by saying 'aye', oppose 'no'. The ayes have it and they request a second Conference Committee be appointed."

Birchler: "That's right."

Speaker Bradley: "On the Supplemental #1 on page two appears House Bill 525. Mr. Huff."

Huff: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I'm going to move that that Bill be tabled."

Speaker Bradley: "'It's on the table. We'll just leave it there. House Bill... Mr. Friedrich, what purpose do you rise?"

Friedrich: "I asked the Sponsor a while ago, Representative Birchler a question. I asked him if the second Conference Committee hadn't been reported and he said, 'Yes, it's been on your desk'. The truth of it is, the second Conference Committee has been written. I've got copies of it and they haven't even been ordered to meet. Now what kind of a farce have we got around here if we're going to have Conference Committee meetings writing up their reports before we even authorize them to meet? Now these are public meetings and there should be a notice. I've got the report and I don't like it. I think



it's illegal and they ought to meet over."

Speaker Bradley: "I don't know... the Members are going to have to stay on their toes here. The second Conference Committee is not on Supplemental #2. So let's let Mr. Ryan or Mr. Birchler wish to go with the second Conference Committee Report. We can go with that now. Mr. Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Well I asked the question, 'Was a second Conference Committee ordered? These are public meetings and anyone...'"

Speaker Bradley: "Evidently it has been because it's met and they were up in room 400 I understand and it's on your desk. It's on the Calendar. So the Gentleman from Randolph, Mr. Birchler, on 2420."

Birchler: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Mr. Friedrich, I apologize. We do have the second Conference Report there. This time I move that we adopt the second Committee Report. What actually happened was in the first Committee Report we had two Amendments from the Senate on the Bill. We did not accept the Report at the request of others that wanted to amend another Bill into our Bill. That was amended in and the Senate would not accept..."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Birchler, just a minute. What purpose does the Gentleman from Will, Mr. Kempiners, arise?"

Kempiners: "Well Mr. Speaker, we've got two Supplemental Calendars and the Bill that we're discussing is far down the list and I'm just wondering what order we're going in. It seems to me we've been going Bill after Bill after Bill on the Supplemental Calendars and if we're going to start jumping around like this we're going to have to suspend the Rules."



Speaker Bradley: "What the Chair intends to do is, if we can and we started this at the top of this Calendar and it was called to our attention that the Conference Committees had not been distributed, although we have the Calendar. The intention of the Chair is to go down the appropriation Bills and the number one priority I'm sure..."

Kempiners: "There's no argument with that Mr. Speaker but if you're going to start going and jumping around on substantive Bills, I think you're going to have to suspend the Rule."

Speaker Bradley: "I'm going to go with appropriation Bills when they are on the Supplemental and when they are distributed. We'll go to them when there's nothing to do. We'll go the substantive Bills."

Kempiners: "How did we get to 2420? It's not an appropriation Bill. It's a substantive Bill and it's in the middle of both Calendars."

Speaker Bradley: "There was nothing else distributed at that time."

Kempiners: "There are all kinds of Bills on the Calendar."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from St. Clair, Mr. Flinn."

Flinn: "Mr. Speaker, I can answer... I can answer Representative Kempiners. We went to that Bill because, in error, we thought a second Conference Committee had to be appointed and after we found out that it was an error they went right back to it and started hearing the second Conference Committee Report. He did not go there intentionally except to appoint a second Conference Committee."

Speaker Bradley: "Okay. There have been objections. We'll take it out of the record. Okay. House Bill 1168 has been distributed. On Supplemental #2, Mr.



Gaines."

Gaines: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Since I have a copy of the Conference Committee Report on my desk I assume that everyone else does too. What this Bill does is... Do I have to... Does my previous permission extend to this time Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Bradley: "Pardon sir."

Gaines: "Does my previous leave extend to now? They gave me leave a little while ago. Do I still have leave?"

Speaker Bradley: "On what point?"

Gaines: "To bring it up?"

Speaker Bradley: "Sure."

Gaines: "Alright. I just want to be sure. Alright now, the Conference Committee Report recommends that they deduct 17,800 dollars General Revenue Fund to provide a 7% pay plan solution and reduce contractual services for new office space. This is a Senate traditional cut on all Republican Bills."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman moves that the House adopt the first Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1168. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by voting aye, oppose by voting no. The Lady from Cook, Mrs. Pullen."

Pullen: "Thank you for recognizing me. My light has been on for about 7 minutes. Now that we're on this Bill instead of the previous one that I had a point on I would like to ask the Sponsor what this Bill is. It's appropriation Bill I believe. What is it? For how much?"

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Gaines."

Gaines: "This is for the Equal Employment Opportunity Office. The original Bill was 247,500. The



Conference Committee Report takes out 17,800 leaving 229,700 dollars."

Pullen: "Thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Barnes."

Barnes: "Thankyou very much. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, the Sponsor is absolutely correct. We've worked on this Bill both in the House and the Senate. The Senate's totally concurred with this action taken now and I would urge the support of the House of this measure."

Speaker Bradley: "Have all voted who wish?"

Gaines: "Thank you Ladies and Gentlemen of the House."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Reilly, the Gentleman from Morgan. Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 113 ayes, 31 nays and the House does adopt the first Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1168 with a 3/5 Majority. House Bill 1170. Mr. Abramson on 1170."

Abramson: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move that the House adopt the second Conference Committee Report regarding 1170. This is a fair employment practices commission OCE. The report recommends that the Senate recede from Senate Amendments #1, 2, and 3. House Bill 1170 be further amended to provide for twelve months rather than nine months funding. The net impact of this Amendment is that the total reduction is 208,300 dollars, 190,000 dollars General Revenue Fund, 17,000 Federal Fund to provide for a 7% pay plan solution. I recommend the adoption of this second Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Bradley: "Question's on the Gentleman's motion to adopt the second Conference Committee Report



on House Bill 1170. On that question, the Lady from Cook, Mrs. Pullen."

Pullen: "I would like to ask the Sponsor, since I wasn't too sure what his remarks pertained to, whether he was giving us the total of the cut or the total of the appropriation. What is the total of the appropriation?"

Abramson: "Okay, the new total of the appropriation is 2,069,300 dollars."

Pullen: "Two million?"

Abramson: "2,069,300."

Pullen: "How much was it during the fiscal 1979?"

Abramson: "'79? As introduced it was 2,277,600. We're coming up with '79 in a second."

Speaker Bradley: "Further questions?"

Pullen: "He's not finished answering me." Pardon me?"

Abramson: "The '79 figure is not immediately available. If you want to wait about 10 seconds..."

Pullen: "So we're being asked to appropriate 2,000,000 but we don't know..."

Abramson: "... they'll pull it for you."

Pullen: "Okay, let's wait for 10 seconds."

Abramson: "'79 figure was 1,880,000."

Pullen: "Thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "Questions on the Gentleman's motion. All in favor signify by voting ~~aye~~, oppose by voting no. ~~Have~~ Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 116 ayes, 30 nays and the House does adopt second Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1170 with 3/5 Majority. House Bill 1640. The Gentleman from Morgan, Mr. Reilly." The Gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Johnson what purpose do you rise?"

Johnson: "Could you have the electrician check these out?"



Push the button... mine... It doesn't work. I wanted to be recorded as aye on that."

Speaker Bradley: "Okay. We'll record you down here on aye. We'll check it the next time. Could we do that? We turn on the... The Gentleman from Morgan, Mr. Reilly on House Bill 1640."

Reilly: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move concurrence or acceptance of Conference Committee Report #1 on House Bill 1640. This is the OCE for the Human Relations Commission. The Conference Committee recommended that the House concur with the Senate Amendment making a reduction of 30,500 dollars and that the Senate recede from Senate Amendment #2. Again, this is the problem that we've had in the last two Bills where the Senate tried to pretend the agency was only going to be in business for nine months instead of twelve so that restores the 104,000 dollars. The total appropriation then is 468,500 dollars which is a reduction from last year."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from St. Clair, Mr. Flinn."

Flinn: "Mr. Speaker, I am not rising on the Bill. He's done a good job explaining the Bill but I believe he forgot to get leave of the House to suspend Rule 68. He can do that now and we can go on and vote on the Bill."

Reilly: "I believe we did that last night."

Speaker Bradley: "We did it last night. Been suspended. The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Deuster."

Deuster: "This is a just a parliamentary inquiry that might be a matter of courtesy to the Members. I suppose a lot of people have checked out of their hotels. They're kind of sitting around. I'm just kind of wondering whether it would be



wise to send out for dinner or is there any general indication. Are we going to midnight or another couple of hours or is there any clue that you could give us as to..."

Speaker Bradley: "I count about 15 to 18 appropriation Bills and I see... to do yet and we've got four on this Calendar. We need a Supplemental and we've got to get to Conference Committee Reports. We just asked for another Conference Committee Report by Mr. Preston, but you'll have to use your own judgment."

Deuster: "It looks about 11:00 or midnight or something like that. Seems to me."

Speaker Bradley: "Just depending on how fast you want to move along."

Deuster: "Thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "1640. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion on 1640 signify by voting aye, oppose by voting no. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 114 aye, 26 nays and the House does adopt the first Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1640 with 3/5 Majority. House Bill 1645. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Totten. Mr. Johnson, your "no" light was on."

Totten: "Conference Committee Reports were distributed at 6:10 on this Calendar and we're just going through them. And the Calendar was 525 but we marked the Conference Committee Reports when we got them and they were 6:10 and the Speaker had indicated earlier we'd have a chance to look them over. It's impossible with the way you're running them for us to do it."

Speaker Bradley: "Fifteen minutes is not long enough? Okay. Let's go with them. If you object to



them, we'll take them out of the record.

The Gentleman from... Mr. Dunn on 1645."

Dunn R. "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Members of the House.

This Conference Committee Report is the same as the concurrence that we failed to adopt on the night before last so if you have your staff report that you looked at night before last on the House Bill 1645. It's the Ordinary and Contingent Expenses for the Department of Mines and Minerals and there are some reductions in it. Total... We talked about it the other night and there was some misunderstanding and I was asked to nonconcur or at least it didn't pass. I should have just taken it out of the record. We had a reduction of 566,000 dollars on it and there were three Amendments put on in the Senate that are left on now. It reduces... adds 165,000 General Revenue Fund. Reduces 782,000 in Federal Funds. They received a cost of living cut, 7% and then there's an item and part of the controversy last time was Senate Amendment #3, I believe, that has ... #4 that has a line item for 50,000 dollars for moving the Department. It's not necessary they're going to move. We don't know that for sure but the General Services or the Space Needs Commission has asked them to look into it and so they put the money in the budget. If they don't move and I'm going to urge they don't move we won't use that money. But the Conference Committee left it in. There's also an... one of our points of contention was one dollar that was appropriated to the Abandoned Mine Land Fund. This was done in the Senate to insure that they wouldn't spend any



money or more than one dollar. They thought it wise to put that in rather than to leave it out so that's the reason for the one dollar appropriation. I couldn't answer that question the other night and I think it's probably the reason it failed. I'd be glad to answer any questions and urge the adoption of Conference Committee Report #1."

Speaker Bradley: "On the Gentleman's motion, the Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Would the Sponsor please tell us how much is in the budget still for moving expenses?"

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Dunn."

Dunn: "For... repeat the question."

Skinner: "Moving expenses."

Dunn: "50,000 dollars."

Skinner: "So there's no change."

Dunn: "No."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, I rise to oppose the motion to concur with the Conference Committee Report. We have here another example of the Executive Branch wanting to move headquarters and not going in a forthright matter. I have very little doubt that at least one site in the city of Springfield has been selected in which the Department will be moved. We will know about it but we won't know about it in time to do anything about it. They'll probably sign a lease just as they did with Concordia which will require us to pay probably two, three times what it would cost as if we went directly and purchased the building in question. Now if the administration can't be more straightforward than that, I would suggest that we go back to Conference Committee and suggest to the Senate that there's no reason to trust them anymore on the



issue of finding new headquarters for Springfield based Departments. I would ask for a no vote on this Roll Call."

Dunn: "Mr. Speaker, I think if they do move, it'll be someplace else in the State Office Building I'm told. I don't think they've got another site picked out. The Department doesn't care about moving. Space Needs Commission told them that there's a possibility of wanting to use that office space for another agency."

Kempiners: "Right. Well, the Space Needs Commission is the one that wants to bastardize the state capitol to put in a couple of more stairways. I'm not sure they're trustworthy either."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Marion, Mr. Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Just in response to that last statement. I think it's misleading and so on but I'll tell you what, if he ever get caught on the sixth floor and this place gets on fire and he bails out the window we'll know why we're thinking about fire escapes."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Wolf, Gentleman from Cook,"

Wolf: "Mr. Speaker, I would just rise and urge adoption of this Conference Committee Report. What we're doing, of course, as explained; we are concurring with three Senate Amendments, 1, 3, and 4 which further reduce the appropriation. The Chairman of the Appropriations I Committee, John Matijevec and I as the Minority Spokesman served on that Conference Committee. We think it's a good report. It should be adopted."

Speaker Bradley: "Question's on the Gentleman's motion. All in favor signify by voting aye, oppose by voting no. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted



who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 122 ayes, 15 nays and the House does adopt first Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1645 with a 3/5 Majority. House Bill 2579. Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I would move for the adoption of the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 2579."

Speaker Bradley: "Just a minute. Gentleman from Grundy, Mr. Christensen. What purpose do you rise?"

Christensen: "I object. I haven't had a chance to read that report. It just got on my desk. I object to hearing the Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, the report was distributed according to the rules. Been on the desks for more than an hour and it's perfectly in order."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Christensen."

Christensen: "I would make a substitute motion that we hear Senate Bill 1183 on the same motion."

Speaker Bradley: "I don't think that's... the motions not in proper form. Just a minute. Just a minute. You're moving to hear both Bills together? That takes unanimous consent. Does the Gentleman have unanimous consent? There's been objections heard. Go back to the question now. House Bill 2579, the Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "I move for its adoption. For the adoption of the first Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Bradley: "Gentleman moves the adoption of the first Conference Committee Report. All in favor signify by voting aye, oppose by voting no. The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Van Duyne to explain his vote."



Van Duynes: "Parliamentary inquiry Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Bradley: "Yes."

Van Duynes: "My seatmate was objecting to hearing this Bill because it wasn't on the table for the required length of time for his perusal. Now was he within his rights"

Speaker Bradley: "I didn't hear the last part of your statement."

Van Duynes: "I said my seatmate objected..."

Speaker Bradley: "Yes, I heard that."

Van Duynes: "Was he within his rights?"

Speaker Bradley: "He was within his right to object but the Chair ruled that it was on the desk for at least one hour."

Van Duynes: "I didn't hear that."

Speaker Bradley: "Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mahar."

Mahar: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I've been trying to get your attention for the last couple of Roll Calls. Now what is happening, there are people voting on these issues that haven't been here for several hours. Now it's none of my business as to where they are, but many of us are here and some of the same people that were parading around here last night asking for verifications and stalling the House. Now I'm going to insist on a verification on these Roll Calls and I would ask you to dump this Roll Call and take a true Roll Call. I want to verify everyone of them."

Speaker Bradley: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "I just want to indicate to the people that don't vote for this, there's not a dollar in here I care about. It's the Law Enforcement Commission budget. I'm sure it affects each district in the



state but there's not a dollar that anybody's personally asked me about and I will not request a second Conference Committee Report. The Bill will just go down."

Speaker Bradley: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 113 ayes, 19 nays. The Gentleman from Grundy, Mr. Christensen, has asked for a verification. Mr Vinson asked for a poll of the absentees."

Clerk Leone: "Poll of the absentees. Bluthardt. Braun. Brummer. Bullock. Capparelli."

Speaker Bradley: "Just a minute. Mr. Christensen, it's the intent of the Chair or the feeling of the Chair that we dealt with 1183 last night. We have called 1183 already today and you didn't receive the votes and the Chair is going to rule that we're going to go through all appropriation Bills before we get to 1183 and if that's why you're using these tactics then we may have to rule them dilatory but..."

Christensen: "Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Bradley: "I wish you would reconsider your request."

Christensen: "Are you on a poll of the absentees?"

Speaker Bradley: "We're on the call of the absentees. Continue."

Clerk Leone: "Continuing with the poll of the absentees. Dawson. DiPrima. Doyle. Epton. Flinn. Garmisa. Huskey. Keane. Klosak. Kornowicz. Kosinski. Kozubowski. Kucharski. McGrew. Molloy. Patrick. Pouncey. Pullen. Robbins. Sandquist. Satterthwaite. Schlickman. Slape. Steczo. Stuffle. Taylor. Terzich. VonBoeckman. Waddell. Sam Wolf. And Younge."

Speaker Bradley: "What purpose does the Lady from Cook, Miss Pullen rise?"



Pullen: "Please vote me aye Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Bradley: "Record the Lady as aye. Mr. Skinner what purpose do you rise?"

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, now that I've figured out what it is, please vote me aye."

Speaker Bradley: "Change the Gentleman's vote to aye.

Mr. Hallock wishes to be recorded going from no to aye. Garmisa aye. Dawson aye. Mrs. Chapman from no to aye. Kelly from no to aye. Balanoff and Mr. Matějevich ask for leave to be verified. Mr. Balanoff aye. From no to aye. Mr. Mahar from no to aye. Mr. Katz from no to aye. Call the Affirmative Roll.

To start with we have 124 ayes. The Gentleman from St. Clair, Mr. Flinn. 124 aye. Mr. Leverenz from no to aye. 125 aye. Mr. Steczo aye. 126 ayes. Mr. Christensen. Thank you sir. On this question there are 126 ayes. Sam Wolf aye. O'Brien aye, McPike aye.

Mulcahey. We're going a little fast. I'm sorry Mr. Clerk. You got Mulcahey now?

Alright. Slape aye. Pouncey aye. Patrick aye. Henry aye. McGrew aye. Tuerk. Superman. Jaffe. If everybody will go on their way they just indicated they will it's much easier for us to get a Roll Call and get it on so we'll have it right. Dump that Roll and let's everybody in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by voting aye, oppose no. On the Roll. Have all voted who wish? On this question there are 146 aye, 1 nay and the House does adopt the first Conference Committee Report to House Bill 2579 with a 3/5 Majority. Senate Bill 580, Mr. Ewing. Mr. Wolf, you going to handle it?"

Wolf: "Members of the House, this is the Ordinary and Contingent Expense for the Department of Revenue.



What the Conference Committee has done is we have receded from House Amendment #1, 2 and 3 which Amendment #1 was 20 million dollars for fuel costs relief grants and the substantive Bill did not pass on that one. Amendment #2 would have restored 70,000 dollars EDP line for the State Lottery and Amendment #3 would have restored 96,300 contractual services for the lottery. We receded from those Amendments and just added those were net reductions and we added 70,000 back in for advertising for the contractual service line for the State Lottery. Last years appropriation for fiscal year '79 was 387,156,700. This year is it now 398,518,300 for a net increase of 2.9% and I would ask your favorable vote for adoption of Conference Committee #1."

Speaker Bradley: "Questions on the Gentleman's motion. All in favor signify by voting aye, oppose by voting no. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 137 aye, 7 nos and the House does adopt first Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 580 with a 3/5 Majority. On the Calendar on page two appears House Bill 1288 on concurrence. On that point Mr. Taylor."

Taylor: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I move that the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 and 2 to House Bill 1288. Senate Amendment #1 takes out 35,000 dollars as a reduction in personal services. Senate Amendment #2 takes 36,000 dollars for a total of 71,000 dollars and I move for its adoption... the concurrence of Senate Amendment #1 and 2 to House Bill 1288."

Speaker Bradley: "On that question, the Lady from Cook,



Miss Pullen."

Pullen: "Would the Sponsor please tell us what agency this is?"

Taylor: "The Legislative Investigation Commission."

Pullen: "And what is the total with concurrence with these Amendments?"

Taylor: "The total... the total reduction is 71,000. The total appropriation is 561,600 dollars."

Pullen: "561,000 for the Legislative Investigative Commission?"

Taylor: "Yes."

Pullen: "Thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Birkinbine."

Birkinbine: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Bradley: "He indicates he will."

Birkinbine: "Mr. Taylor, what do you investigate or what does the Commission investigate?"

Taylor: "Anything that this House directs them to investigate."

Birkinbine: "How many meetings have you had?"

Taylor: "We haven't had any meetings and if you don't like this appropriation vote no and kill this Bill. It won't bother me at all."

Birkinbine: "That sounds reasonable. Thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "The question on the adoption of the Gentleman's motion. All in favor signify by voting aye, opposed by voting no. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 115 ayes, 27 nos and the House does concur in Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 1288. That's final action. Alright, we have a motion on 908. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Preston."

Preston: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Having voted on the



prevailing side, I'd move to reconsider the vote by which the Conference Committee Report #1 was not accepted."

Speaker Bradley: "Having voted... you heard the Gentleman's motion on 908. He moves to... Having voted on the prevailing... Mr. Preston, there are questions of... why."

Preston: "I'm sorry Mr. Speaker, I didn't hear."

Speaker Bradley: "There were... Why are we making this motion?"

Preston: "There were some problems that have been worked out with the Governor's Office and with the other side of the aisle and I am informed that... that the first Conference Committee Report is acceptable to all parties and this is the method by which we are going to have that accepted."

Speaker Bradley: "I believe what they're trying to do is just get back to adoption to the point we can adopt the first Conference Committee Report. If you want to object..."

Preston: "That's correct Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Bradley: "If you want to object to that, then we can argue that point. Alright. On the Gentleman's motion all in favor signify by saying 'aye', oppose 'no'. The ayes have it and we are now back to the posture of the first Conference Committee Report and the Gentleman moves to adopt the Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 908. All in favor signify by voting aye, oppose by voting no. Have all voted who wish? Senate Bill... It's Supreme Court I believe. Mr. Preston."

Preston: "Mr. Speaker, this is the appropriation for the Supreme Court. Includes the appropriation for all the courts of Illinois."



Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, I'm voting no mostly to protest because of the arrogance of the courts recently and trying to say that they were not subject to audit. That the money they handle is not public funds and that they don't have to be audited and some other acts of the court. I think the time is rapidly coming when this Legislature is going to have to deal with that subject because the court has decided it's responsible to no one. Not to the Legislature, not to the people. So in my small way I'm making a protest."

Speaker Bradley: "Gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Johnson."

Johnson: "Well you remember all the hue and cry over the pay raise. Somehow we took the brunt of all the hue and cry and the judges didn't, but the worst of it was when we met here and rolled back our salaries and it didn't affect them. So if you think they're better than we are, vote yes. If you don't, you ought to vote no."

Speaker Bradley: "Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, if the former con-con delegate has paid attention to businesses in the con-con convention and instead of worrying about allowing the public initiative on the legislative article and provide for a public initiative on the judiciary article, we wouldn't be in the shape we're in. Too many people mind the people went to the convention."

Speaker Bradley: "Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 123 ayes and the House does adopt Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill... the first Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 908



with a 3/5 Majority. Now, Mr. Jones. What page is that Mr. Jones?"

Jones: "At the top of the first Supplemental that you put out. 1642."

Speaker Bradley: "House Bill 1642 on concurrence... Conference Committee Reports. Mr. Jones."

Jones: "I move that we accept the second Conference ... the Conference Report. The Report recommends the House concur with Senate Amendment #1, recede from Senate Amendment #2... #3 and 1642 be further amended to correct a technical mistake regarding the fiscal year and the appropriation of 48,000 dollars for monetary changes in 1979 fair. Add 950,000 dollars for Agriculture Premium Fund for a grant to Chicago for conducting the Chicago Fest and C., add 80,000 dollars Agriculture Premium Fund for rehabilitation of structures at state fair grounds."

Speaker Bradley: "On that question, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Totten."

Totten: "I wonder if the Sponsor would take this out of the record for a minute."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Jones, he's requested you take it out of the record for a minute and we'll come back to you as soon as you work out whatever problem it might be."

Jones: "Alright."

Speaker Bradley: "Alright now the...The Gentleman from Randolph, Mr. Birchler on 2420."

Birchler: "Mr. Speaker, I've had a conference with Representative Friedrich and I think he's willing to withdraw his objections to the motion I made in acting upon this Bill. Mr. Friedrich."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Marion, Mr. Friedrich."



Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, I... the States Attorneys was piggy-backing on this Bill and they've taken them off and I have no objection. The States Attorneys were going to be getting more than the Governor in a small county and I object to that but that's been taken off. I think this is a good Bill and should pass."

Birchler: "Mr. Speaker, I ask for a favorable vote to concur with the second Conference Report."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Effingham, Mr. Bower."

Bower: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Bradley: "He indicates he will."

Bower: "To my understanding that this is now just a pay raise Bill for the regional school superintendents."

Birchler: "That is right and nothing more. That's all that's in it."

Bower: "Thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "Alright."

Birchler: "Mr. Speaker, I move that we..."

Speaker Bradley: "I've got to ask you a question Mr. Birchler. On the... did you move to take from the table on this Bill earlier?"

Birchler: "Earlier we did that and then was held because Mr. Friedrich's objection."

Speaker Bradley: "Alright now the question's on the adoption of the first Conference Committee Report on..."

Birchler: "Second."

Speaker Bradley: "The second Conference Committee Report on 2420. All in favor of this Gentleman's motion... Gentleman... or the Lady from Cook, Miss Pullen."

Pullen: "I'd like to ask the Sponsor a question."

Speaker Bradley: "He indicates he'll yield."

Pullen: "Previously, someone asked you whether the only thing



in here was a pay raise for the regional superintendents of schools and you said that was correct. Would you please tell us what the pay raise is?"

Birchler: "Yes I can. It's in the legislative synopsis digest on page 2172 and 73. Amendment 1 of the Senate deletes that salaries.. the salaries of regional superintendent of schools shall be increased by 8% prior year. That was deleted out of the original Bill. And the set the salaries by population areas and that was also set in steps. If you want me to read those steps for you I surely can."

Pullen: "Please tell us what the increases were."

Birchler: "I didn't hear you."

Pullen: "Please tell us what the increases are. The steps."

Birchler: "It says, 'Increase the salary for regional superintendent of schools in areas of less than 48,000 from 29,000 to 31,000 and areas from 48,000 to 99,999 from 32,000 to 35,000 and in areas from 100,000 to 999,000 from 34,000 to 39,000 and in areas of over a million ... a million and over from 36,000 to 41,000. And then Senate Amendment 2 asks that the State Board of Education is directed to examine the structure of service provided by educational service... regions in Illinois, to develop and report legislative recommendations to the General Assembly not later than February 1, 1980."

Pullen: "Well my analysis indicates that the present law for Cook County, for example, is 33,000 and it was House Bill 2419 that said 36 and we would be raising the salary in Cook County from 33,000 to 41,000. Is that correct?"



Birchler: "It says form 36,000 to 41,000. I'm just telling you what's in the Digest."

Pullen: "What percentage increase is that?"

Birchler: "What was it again?"

Pullen: "What percentage increase is that?"

Birchler: "I haven't the least idea. We'll get our little calculator and we probably can figure it."

Pullen: "Well Mr. Speaker if I could address this for a moment please."

Speaker Bradley: "You certainly may."

Pullen: "It seems to me that these salaries that we're being asked to set here are pretty high. \$41,000 for the regional school superintendent in Cook County really seems very high to a Cook County taxpayer and I think that we ought to very carefully consider the kinds of increases that we are talking about for this position. Thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you very much Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I don't want to take a great deal of your time but I would point out that these people have not received a pay raise... change in their pay schedule for eight years. It has to be done by state law. If they don't get one now, those who've been elected are going to be taken office if August will not receive one for twelve years and so I would urge your support of this Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "Question's on the Gentleman's motion. All in favor signify by voting aye, oppose by voting no. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 109 ayes, 22 nays and the House does adopt the second Conference Committee Report to House Bill 2420 a 3/5 Majority."



On the first Supplemental, back where we were
and it's on House Bill 905, Mr. McGrew."

McGrew: "Thank you very much Mr. Speaker and Ladies
and Gentlemen of the House. I move to take from the
table... suspend 64 and take from the table
for immediate consideration."

Speaker Bradley: "All in favor of the Gentleman's motion
say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The ayes have it and
the Gentleman's motion prevails. Now on 905
Mr. McGrew."

McGrew: "Thank you very much Mr. Speaker and Ladies
and Gentlemen of the House. I move to concur
in the first Conference Report. It starts
out by asking the Senate to recede from Amendments
2 and 3 and it adds the following: number one,
that the State Board of Education initiate
the inter-district initiative program that
was originally on House Bill 2209. Secondly,
that it provide for health care services for
a not-for-profit corporation to... I'm sorry.
When their levying and contracting with a
not-for-profit corporation. This is to take
care of the frozen Robbins school district
143½ that has a problem. They now have a health
care program that perhaps a model of the state
and involving federal dollars. Thirdly, it
asks the high school students engaged in
training and playing interscholastic sports
up to 10 hours a week to be exempt from physical
education. It's only for high school and it's
only for the four major sports of football,
basketball, track, and baseball. Fourthly,
it also restricts Senate Bill 101 that's
already been passed by both Houses and it says
that for those pupils that have to be transported
free of charge to a private school they must be into a



district adjacent to theirs so that we would not be hopping back and forth between district lines. The Bill is also as it left the House and that is that it drops the requirement for physical education for those people preparing to teach that are now in our state universities and I ask for its adoption."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Wolf."

Wolf: "Would the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Bradley: "He indicates he will."

Wolf: "As I look on your analysis I notice one other thing that it does. It promotes cultural and racial through student transfers. Could you explain that to me?"

McGrew: "I certainly do. It is a completely, voluntary IOE inter-district initiative program that was in House Bill 2209. It is completely voluntary by..."

Speaker Bradley: "What purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Beatty rise? I mean Mr. Getty."

Getty: "Oh I thought you were calling? Pardon me for interruption. Proceed."

Speaker Bradley: "Further questions? Alright. The Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Simms."

Simms: "May I... Would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Bradley: "He indicates he will."

Simms: "Does this Conference Committee Report allow money for busing for desegregation?"

Speaker Bradley: "Turn Mr. McGrew on."

McGrew: "No sir." It allows for the complete, voluntary inter-district incentive program that does, indeed, ask for either racial or for... so that it can go back and forth district either racial or cultural. I'm sorry that's the term I was looking for and it is as I said completely



voluntary."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from LaSalle, Mr. Anderson."

Anderson: "Yes Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Bradley: "Indicates he will."

Anderson: "What you're doing here, Mr. Sponsor, you're really folding three Bills into one and then amending Senate Bill 101 that we spent so much time on. Is that correct?"

McGrew: "I think perhaps one can say that is an accurate description."

Anderson: "Alright. Most of the things in this Bill have been very controversial and I do wish that the Membership would take a careful look at what we're going to do before we vote on it. Number one, House Bill 905 which had to do with the physical education for colleges. Number two, was 2209 which had... which promotes culture and racial integration through student transfer. Now this is the enabling legislation. The money is in House Bill 2649 which is 500,000 dollars. The third thing is Senate Bill 101. As we argued on the floor, a lot of us, we should have had deliberate hearings on it. The thing isn't on the Governor's desk yet and they're already amending it. Number four, is House Bill 1371 which permits a levy of a tax with a back door referendum. So I wish you would look very carefully at these things and ask the Sponsor any questions before you vote on this."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Deuster."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker, a number of Members have asked me to comment on this Bill since I was the principal House Sponsor of Senate Bill 101. The Bill that related to the transportation of private school students to their schools. The only difference



that I see here in this version of 101 is that the requirement for transporting students is limited in that you cannot transport through two districts. You can only transport into an adjacent district. So there might be some situations where there would be two districts and instead of going through two of them, you would have to go, under this Bill, in a round about fashion which would be inefficient. It would be wasteful of fuel and I think it would confuse the matter in the chance that the Governor sign this one. I have reservations and for that reason I will be voting against House Bill 905 although there are some other provisions that I like. But on 101 I think this is a version that is questionable and I would urge you to think about it and possibly vote no. Thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "Gentleman from Effingham, Mr. Brummer."

McGrew: "If I may respond."

Spaker Bradley: "I don't think that was a question. Mr. Brummer."

Brummer: "Yes, in here in reference to the bussing to achieve cultural or racial balance paragraph D on page two provides that in addition to the grants under subsection C the State Board of Education may provide addition payments to the school district not to exceed 25% of the total amount received under subsection C. Could you explain that? Is that not an additional state payment?"

McGrew; "There is a total of 500,000 dollars within the appropriation budget. It would be the maximum that could be used in this particular category."

Brummer: "Okay. The second item with regard to the tax for



the primary health care program. This appears to be a tax of .544% that the school board can levy without referendum unless there's a back door referendum. Is that correct?"

McGrew: "That's correct."

Brummer: "And if I read this correctly, the back door referendum provisions are that it has to be challenged within 30 days by petition signed by not less than 10% or 1500 signatures, whichever ever is lesser."

McGrew: "This was Representative Stęczo's Bill that passed out of Elementary and Secondary unanimous."

Brummer: "Is that back door referendum provision in terms of the number of signatures required or rather standard the 10% or 1500 signatures which ever is lesser. Is that a rather standard..."

McGrew: "For what it's worth Representative, I was doing some research on back door referendums last Session and in the statutes we'll find anywhere from 10% with no minimum numbers. You will find 20% with no minimum numbers. You may find some that say with 1500. You may find some as a minimum. Some with a 1000 minimum. Some with 500 as a maximum. So, sir, we're well within the general parameters of what we would call a back door referendum. Yes sir."

Brummer: "Thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Keane."

Keane: "Yes Mr. Speaker, Members of this House, just want to point out a point on the private busing. What we refer to as the Senate Bill 101. Those who oppose the Bill, originally, those who would have opposed the Bill complained that the busing was a ten mile limit. What this does is it actually, goes to the point that some of those who criticize 101, and it said that... the Amendment



to this says all it will do that you can only bus one district away from the students home district. The people who support House Bill... Senate Bill 101 are not fighting this Amendment. They are willing to accept it but it does tighten up the language in 101 to busing a private school student only to the adjacent district to that which they live. Thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "Gentleman from DeKalb, Mr. Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Bradley: "Gentleman has moved the previous question.

All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The ayes have it. The Gentleman from Henry, Mr. McGrew to close the debate."

McGrew: "Thank you very much Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I think we're clearing up Mr. Deuster's problem. This, as a matter of fact, was given to me from the initial procurring person from Senate Bill 101 and I think he's going to get that particular objection erased. You know, it was his suggestion not mine that it be put into the Bill. So I would ask for concurrence."

Speaker Bradley: "Gentleman moves the adoption of first Conference Committee Report to House Bill 905. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by voting aye, opposed by voting no. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. This question there is 69 ayes and 61 nos. Mr. McGrew."

McGrew: "Thank you very much Mr. Speaker. In light of the Houses action I would move to nonconcur and ask for a second Conference Report."



Spekaer Bradley: "The motion fails. The Gentleman requests a second Conference Committee be appointed. The Speaker would like to inform the Members that it appears that it's going to take us three of four hours to get all the appropriation Conference Committee Reports on written, typed, distributed on the desks and get the Supplemental Calendars out. So in answer to Mr. Deuster's question, we can give him a firm yes to send out for food. 961. Did you want that called Mr. Stearney? Mr. McGrew."

McGrew: "While you were at the side, sir, I moved that ..."

Speaker Bradley: "We already did that sir. While you were there we asked for a second Conference Committee be appointed. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Yourell what purpose do you rise?"

Yourell: "Mr. Speaker, yesterday a Member of this House distributed homemade brownies and assured us that that was her gift and a farewell gift to us because yesterday was to be our last day. Now I'm waiting from my brownie again because I was misinformed. Yesterday was not our last day and I want another brownie."

Spekaer Bradley: "Mr. Yourell, I'm sorry, I didn't hear your... Alright. We're on House Bill 961. On that point Mr. Stearney moves to suspend Rule 68 E to take from the table. On that motion, are there any objections? We'll use the attendance Roll Call and the motion... Gentleman's motion prevails and the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Stearney on 961."

Stearney: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move that we adopt the first Conference Committee Report which would be accepting the Senate Amendment



which reduced the figures of 25 and 50,000 to 15 and 30,000 and changed 90 days to 120 days."

Speaker Bradley: "All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by voting aye, opposed by voting no. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 135 ayes, 6 nays, 1 voting present and the House does adopt the first Conference Committee Report on House Bill 961 with a 3/5 Majority. House Bill 1051. On that point the Gentleman from Macon moves to suspend Rule 69E for the consideration of House Bill 1051 to take from the table. 1051 on that are there any objections? Hearing none, we'll use the Attendance Roll Call on that motion. The motion does prevail and the Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Donovan. We're on..."

Donovan: "Mr. Speaker, I move not to concur with the Conference Committee #1 Report and ask a new Committee to be assigned."

Speaker Bradley: "Did you move to adopt the..."

Donovan: "Not."

Speaker Bradley, "Not to adopt the first Conference Committee Report and a second Conference Committee be appointed? Are there any objections? Mr. Brummer." The Gentleman has moved not to adopt the first Conference Committee Report and ask for a second Conference Committee. Are you objecting to that?"

Brummer: "I was rather curious since the Conference Committee Report was unanimously adopted including the Sponsor."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Donovan."

Donovan: "That's true Rich. We now... the Amendment was supposed to be..."



Speaker Bradley: "He's removing his objection and his questions. Alright. Any objection to the Gentleman's motion? If not, the Gentleman's motion prevails and a second Conference Committee shall be appointed."



Speaker Bradley: "On 1088, Mr. Kempiners, did you wish to go with that Bill?"

Kempiners: "Yes, Mr. Bob Hope."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman moves to suspend Rule 68-E to take from the Table House Bill 1088. Hearing no objection, we'll use the attendance Roll Call and the Gentleman's motion prevails and now we're back to the Gentleman on 1088."

Kempiners: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 1088 was introduced to avoid the serious possibility of our prison system suddenly finding itself without a medical program. We are having a problem with suits being filed, not only against doctors, but other contractual employees of the department. The Bill as it passed the House provided for these, first of all, define these contractual employees as employees for purposes of being protected by the Attorney General's Office in suit with the Conference Committee report on it. It amends further the law so that in the case of position, when a suit is filed that they will be protected also in the malpractice situation by the Attorney General. And I would urge that we adopt the Conference Committee report #1."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I want to commend Representative Kempiners for his hard work on this Bill. What this Bill reduces to is one question, one question alone, and anybody that opposes it ought to recognize it. It's a simple question of whether we're going to continue to have medical service in the state penitentiaries this summer. We've got clear indications that we will not have if this Bill is not adopted. It's a matter of absolute concern to anybody that wants to continue efficiently operating a state penitentiary system and I urge an 'aye' vote."



Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Getty."

Getty: "Will the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Bradley: "Indicates he will."

Getty: "Mr Kempiners, I have three questions for you that I think are important relative to the intent of this legislation. Isn't it true that this Bill arose because the Attorney General has interpreted the existing law and the legislative intent thereof in a very narrow manner?"

Kempiners: "Yes. Yes, that's correct."

Getty: "Isn't it also true that the existing law was intended to and does allow the state to indemnify and represent a state employee sued, say for example, for both a Section 1983 civil rights violation and a negligence complaint?"

Kempiners: "Yes."

Getty: "And lastly, isn't it true that by this Bill we do not intend in any way to narrow this broad scope of the existing law?"

Kempiners: "Yes."

Getty: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Members of the General Assembly, I think that this legislation is indeed very good. As Representative Vinson has said, I think it's important and as long as we have clear legislative intent in the record concerning the issues I raised, I'll support it."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Randolph, Mr. Birchler."

Birchler: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'll be very brief. I favor the report being adopted. I concur with Representative Vinson and Getty. It's a good Bill and we need it."

Speaker Bradley: "Further discussion? Hearing none, it's on the adoption of the Gentleman's motion. I'm sorry Mr. Huff. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Huff."

Huff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield for a



question?"

Speaker Bradley: "Pardon, Sir?"

Huff: "I'm asking will the Sponsor yield for a ques..."

Speaker Bradley: "He indicates he will."

Huff: "Alright. Can you tell me will this appropriation cover, I imagine it will, Stateville?"

Kempiners: "Well, it's not an appropriation Bill, but it will cover Stateville because the situation we're finding ourselves in is that doctors who are providing contractual services to the inmates will no longer be covered by their insurance company because of the combination of the two types of suits and without this Bill there will be no coverage. And basically what we're doing is insuring that there will be medical coverage of the prisoners in not just Stateville, but all of the institutions throughout the State."

Huff: "Now I'm... Mr. Kempiners, yes, Representative Kempiners, I was asking more in regard to the resident doctor there."

Kempiners: "I didn't hear your question. Would you repeat it again?"

Huff: "The resident, will this cover the resident doctor?"

Kempiners: "It will cover every employee of the state and the Bill as it passed the House defined employee so that it would be a resident physician as well as a physician serving on a contractual basis. For example, there may be a physician who practices one day a week. It would cover him, but it would also, I think, from what I understand of your question, would cover the employee you're talking about, yes."

Huff: "Yes. Can you.. Another.. One more question. Do you have statistics on how many referrals go out of Stateville to these.. what.. to these contractual doctors?"

Kempiners: "No, I don't. I'm sorry."

Huff: "Mr. Speaker, if I can speak to the Bill? I think I can



say with some credibility that I'm somewhat familiar with quite a few of the inmates in Stateville since they have come off the south and west side areas, many of which are from my District. And I have mixed emotions about this even with regard to the.. as the Sponsor has indicated, dividing of premiums for what I must regard as a euphemistic term when we say medical. The resident doctor as I've been lead to understand is very seldom on the premises and when he is there he's drunk and practically all of the inmates who have medical needs always contracted out. I don't know whether we're serving the interests of the inmates by passing this Conference report or not, so I'm going to vote 'present' on this."

Kempiners: "Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker. Representative Huff. Representative Huff."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Huff. Mr. Kempiners."

Kempiners: "Yeah, if Mr. Huff, if I can get his attention, this will neither solve nor hurt the situation as it exists, but I would like to sit down and talk with you if that problem does exist because I think that I could at least act as an intermediary with the contractual.. there's a clinic that has a contract with Stateville and I'd be willing to help you with that problem."

Huff: "Well, I had stated that I was very ambivalent about this. If you want to take it out of the record and talk with me about it, I'd be happy to do so."

Kempiners: "Well, this Bill does not affect that situation. You know, but I would be willing to work ..."

Huff: "Well it does affect the situation, Mr. Kempiners, in that we'll be paying taxpayers money for a premium for policies and services that are not delivered. We should consider the taxpayer. If we don't consider the taxpayer then it's of no consequence at all."

Speaker Bradley: "Further discussion? Mr. Keane? No. Mr. Bul.."



Speaker Bradley: "Move the previous question, Mr. Keane? All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by saying 'aye'; opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'aye's have it. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kempiners ... from Will, Mr. Kempiners, to close."

Kempiners: "Well, I would just ask for a favorable vote, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman asks for a favorable vote on 1088. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by voting 'aye'; opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 149 'aye's, no 'nay's and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee report to House Bill 1088 with a three-fifths majority. House Bill 1382. We're going to leave the red light on for pictures for about five more minutes. It's been on most of the day and then we're going to turn it off. The Gentleman from St. Clair, Mr. Flinn on 1382."

Flinn: "Mr. Speaker, I move that we use the Attendance... I ask leave that we use the Attendance Roll Call to suspend Rule 68 E and D in order that we can hear House Bill 1382 at this time."

Speaker Bradley: "Gentleman have leave? Hearing no objection, we'll use the Attendance Roll Call and the Gentleman's motion prevails. Now on the motion Mr. Flinn."

Flinn: "Before I make the motion, let me explain that this is mine subsidance Bill and the Conference Committee Report adopts.. concurs rather with Senate Amendments #1 and 2 and the Senate receded from Amendments 3 and 4. The problem was, and we were not in disagreement with the Senate, the problem was in when they were making the Amendments; they amended the wrong Sections of the Bill and this



is what caused us to have a Conference Committee at all. I would, in closing, in asking that we adopt the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1382 say very simply that when we adopt this and the Governor signs it; it will be landmark legislation for the entire country. Illinois will be first and coming with an insurance plan of this sort for the entire country and there are a number of other states looking at it as well as the federal government. I would move that we adopt Conference Committee #1 Report from House Bill 1382."

Speaker Bradley: "All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by voting aye, opposed by voting no. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 141 ayes, 2 nays and the House does adopt the first Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1382 with a 3/5 Majority. On the Calendar... Mr. Mahar."

Mahar: "Yes, thank you very much Mr. Speaker. Half an hour ago or an hour ago I mentioned the fact that there's a lot of people being voted who are not here and there's all kinds of them on this Roll Call and last Roll Call. Now do you want to stop this thing and have verifications on these things with 140 to one? That's Okay with me but we're going to do it."

Speaker Bradley: "On the Calendar on the first Supplemental appears Senate Bill 1150. On that question, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Dawson asks to use the Attendance Roll Call to suspend the Rule for immediate adoption of Senate Bill 1150. Does he have... Are there objections? Mr. Simms, are you objecting.?"

Simms: "Mr. Speaker and... do I have assurance from Mr.



Dawson that you are going to take the Bill and place it on..."

Speaker Bradley: "On Spring Calendar Tim. Are there objections? Hearing none, it's from the table. Now Mr. Dawson on the... What's your motion sir?"

Dawson: "I'd like to make a motion to suspend Rule 68 to put Senate Bill 1150 on the Spring Calendar."

Speaker Bradley: "I think we already suspended. The Gentleman have..."

Dawson: "...Asking leave..."

Speaker Bradley: "Leave to place 1150 on the Spring Calendar. Senate Bill 1150. Hearing no objections, it'll be placed on the Spring Calendar. Back to 1461. Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Hoffman, moves to suspend Rule 68 E and take from the table House Bill 1461 for immediate consideration. If there are no objections, we'll use the Attendance Roll Call and the motion is adopted. There have been objections heard on the motion to take from the table so now we'll have to have a vote on the Gentleman's motion and the Gentleman moves to take from the table. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by voting... well it's House Bill 1461. Mr. Hoffman and all in favor of suspending the rule and it'll take 107 votes... take 89 votes; signify by voting aye, oppose no. It'll take 107. On this question there are 138 ayes and 2 nays. Mr. Mahar."

Mahar: "I'd like to ask for a verification of this Roll Call."

Speaker Bradley: "Gentleman asks for a verification of the Roll Call. Take the record. Mr. Brummer what purpose do you rise?"

Brummer: "Was that on the motion to take from the table or on..."



Speaker Bradley: "Yes, on the motion to take from the table. Gentleman requests verification.
Mr. Mahar."

Mahar: "Yes. Thank you Mr... I, obviously, the people aren't here. It'll be the next one we'll verify..."

Speaker Bradley: "Okay. The next one. Alright. On this question there are 139 ayes and 3 nays and the motion prevails and we've taken from the table and on the question on 1461, Mr. Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you very much Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move we concur in Conference Committee Report #1 on House Bill 1461. House Bill... the Conference Committee on House Bill 1461 concurred with the Senate Amendment which clarified some of the language in regards to the School Problems Commission and the recommendations and the timing for it to make those recommendations to the Legislature and I would ask for an affirmative vote."

Speaker Bradley; "Gentleman moves the adoption of the Conference Committee. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by voting aye, opposed by voting no. And let's vote your own switches so that we don't have a... verification. Let's get our own switches. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. That doesn't look too bad. On this question there 12... Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 126 aye, no nays and the House does adopt first Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1461 with 3/5 Majority. There has been a request from the Members that all unauthorized personnel, all unauthorized personnel remove themselves from the floor. All unauthorized personnel. Totten's not a personnel.



The... House Bill 1477. Miss Catania moves that the House suspend Rule 68E to take from the table House Bill 1477. Are there any objections? Hearing none, we will use the Attendance Roll Call and the Lady's motion is adopted. Now back to House Bill 1477. Lady from Cook, Mrs. Catania."

Catania: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. The second Conference Committee Report restores this Bill to the shape in which it left the Senate. What it does is to say that homeowners and fire insurance policies cannot be cancelled or non-renewed on the basis of marital status and that a written application for an insurance policy, if it is rejected, is entitled to a written copy of the reason of the rejection. Representative Bowers amended it in the Senate to add a provision saying that retired judges could occasionally be brought in on weekends and holidays to perform marriages when it was inconvenient for the other judges and I move for the adoption of the second Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Bradley: "The Lady moves the... Mr. Birkinbine, on the question."

Birkinbine: "Are we discussing the Bill itself now? Are we on final?"

Speaker Bradley: "Yes."

Birkinbine: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Bradley: "He will."

Birkinbine: "The Republican staff..."

Catania: "I'm sorry. The marriage is in a different Bill. Okay. This is just what I said in the first part. That's in the next Bill that I have coming up. 1939. This does not say anything about retired judges performing marriages."

Birkinbine: "No. That wasn't my question."

Catania: "You're right. That's in 1939. This is 1477."



Okay. What's your question?"

Birkinbine: "My question involves the staff analysis indicates that the director nor any firm or individual shall require or give any examination for licensing of insurance..."

Catania: "No. That's the first Conference Committee Report. This is the second Conference Committee Report. All that was taken out because that was defeated in the Senate."

Birkinbine: "Fine. Thank you."

Catania: "You're welcome."

Speaker Bradley: "Gentleman from Kane, Mr. Friedland."

Friedland: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Fifteen minutes ago you said you'd shut that light off in five minutes."

Speaker Bradley: "We'd like to oblige Mr. Friedland whenever we can. Alright. Mr. Friedland, it's been brought to my attention, time just flies when you're having fun like this. The Gentleman from Rock Island, Mr. Darrow."

Darrow: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Parliamentary inquiry. We have received a message from the Senate that they have refused to adopt the second Conference Committee Report on 1477. Is there any point at all in us proceeding in as much as we are only entitled to two Conference Committees?"

Speaker Bradley: "The Chair would suggest the possibility of them reconsidering later this evening and we don't want to take it out of the record and not give the Lady the opportunity to... for the House to address it. Because there would be, knowing the power of Mrs. Catania, she'll probably go across to the Senate and shake those fellows up and they will move to reconsider and the Bill will be out. On the Lady's motion. The Lady's moved to adopt the second Conference Committee Report



All in favor of the Lady's motion signify by voting ayé, "oppose" by voting no. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 117 ayes and 1 nay, 8 voting present and the House does adopt second Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1477 with a 3/5 Majority. On the Calendar appears House Bill 2766 and the Gentleman... on the Calendar appears House Bill 1541 and the Gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Wikoff moves to suspend Rule 68E and take from the table... take 1541 from the table. Hearing no objection, we'll use an Attendance Roll Call and the Gentleman's motion prevails and now we're on the motion to adopt the Conference Committee Report. And on that motion, the Gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Wikoff."

Wikoff: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The only reason it got in Conference Committee was because of a technical difficulty and an Amendment which I promised to put on when it left the House. Basically, what it does is allows the University of Illinois to self-insure. The Amendment came out of the Senate clarifies that only self insurance programs may be established and it has a repealer program and a repealer clause in it for October 1, 1982. This has been agreed to by all parties involved and I said the only reason it got in the Conference Committee was because of the technicality."

Speaker Bradley: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Getty."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of House Bill 1541. Last week, because we found a technical defect that would have caused the repeal of the entire Act providing for identification in the event



that a member of the security force were to injure the public; we found that instead of just withdrawing one part, repealing one part, that we repeal the entire part. The Sponsor was good enough to take it out of the record. Move to nonconcur. The problems have been cleaned up and I would now ask your support."

Speaker Bradley: "Question's on the Gentleman's motion to adopt. All in favor signify by voting aye, oppose by voting no. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 134 ayes, 2 nays and the House does adopt the first Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1541 with a 3/5 Majority. House Bill 1768, Mr. Yourell. Mr. Yourell moves that the House suspend Rule 68E for the immediate consideration... to take from the table for the immediate consideration of House Bill 1768. Hearing no objection, we'll use the Attendance Roll Call and the motion is adopted. Now to the motion of the first Conference Committee Report, Mr. Yourell."

Yourell: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 1768 allows municipalities and library districts to join the Illinois Library Association, the American Library Association. The Committee recommended that the Senate recede from Senate Amendment #2 which was a legal designation of the ILA as it was technically incorrect and they inserted the correct language in the Bill. That's what Senate Amendment #2 did. Also, the Amendment incorporates House Bill 527 which passed the House 121 to 16. House Bill 527 gave authority to libraries to mortgage for the construction of a new library building. They currently have the authority to



mortgage for remodeling, repairing, and improving an existing library or for building an addition to an existing library. This is good legislation. I move to adopt the first Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1768."

Speaker Bradley: "All in favor of the Gentleman's motion? Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "What was that Bill that you amended here?"

Yourell: "527."

Skinner: "And how much of a tax increase will it allow without a referendum..."

Yourell: "No tax increase at all. They cannot do it except within in their existing levy."

Skinner: "But what you're allowing them to do is basically to get a mortgage and pay it off with an operating tax."

Yourell: "That is correct."

Skinner: "And you're defining, in the other part of the Bill, non-political..."

Yourell: "There's... define now. The definition is Illinois Library Association... American Library Association not-profit, non-political association that's designated by the Federal Internal Revenue Service."

Skinner: "Does a non-political association lobby in the Illinois General Assembly?"

Yourell: "No."

Skinner: "Well then you certainly can't be referring to the Illinois Library Association because they certainly do lobby in the Illinois General Assembly."

Yourell: "Well if you'd referred to them I would have said yes."

Skinner: "Well now that the record is hopelessly confused, I'll sit down."

Speaker Bradley: "Further discussion? Hearing none, the



Gentleman's motion to adopt. All in favor signify by voting aye, oppose by voting no.

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?

Mr. Goodwin wishes to be recorded as aye.

Clerk will take the record. This question there's

107 ayes, 19 nays and the House does adopt the

first Conference Committee Report to House

Bill 1768. 1790, Mr. Steczo moves to suspend

Rule 68E for the immediate... to take from the

table House Bill 1790. Hearing no objections,

we'll use the Attendance Roll Call and the

motion prevails. The Gentleman from Cook,

Mr. Steczo on the motion to adopt the Conference

Report."

Steczko: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Members of the House.

House Bill 1790 provided that a franchisee

should not be restricted in any way from

joining a trade association. The Conference

Committee adopted additional language which provides

for jurisdiction and venue in Illinois courts and

I would move for the adoption of Conference

Committee Report #1."

Speaker Bradley: "Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "What's the purpose, Representative, for

the new language on venue?"

Steczko: "I kindly refer to Representative Johnson on that."

Johnson: "Well Sam, really this is a clarification of

the existing law. If you have a situation where

a franchisor is doing business in Illinois, this

Bill would permit both jurisdiction and venue

in Illinois court. It's my opinion that this

is the law in Illinois and it has been for

100 year it just clarifies it as the franchises."

Supported by franchisors, franchisees and I

think it's a good clarification of the existing law."



Vinson: "Thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "Further discussion? Hearing none, the motion... the question is the adoption of the Gentleman's motion on the Conference Committee. All in favor signify by voting aye, oppose by voting no. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 131 ayes, 1 no and the House does adopt the first Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1790 with a 3/5 Majority. Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan." On page three appears House Bill 2766. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan moved to suspend the Rule 68E to take from the table. Hearing no objections, we will use the Attendance Roll Call and the Gentleman's motion is adopted. Now we're on the Gentleman's motion to... Mr. Madigan on the motion. Mr. Skinner on a point of order."

Skinner: "Right. I think it would be, perhaps we ought we ought to have it on our desks."

Speaker Bradley: "2766 is on the Calendar on your Supplemental ..."

Skinner: "I'm delighted it's on the Calendar. I just want a copy of the report on my desk. It's not here. Perhaps it's like the ghost train. It's not being printed in the Senate or something."

Speaker Bradley: "I understand this is a concurrence Mr. Madigan. And it's been on the desks, I understand for over a week."

Skinner: "That explains why I don't have it in the file that I've been collecting for the last two days to look for sneaky Amendments. Thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "Look in your file for the last week for sneaky Amendments and it might be..."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,



this Bill was under consideration the other night when we found it necessary to adjourn. The Amendment would simply require the property owner to enter an up-front agreement with the chief assessing official of the local county and then a copy of that would be filed with the recorder of deeds. The basic Bill deals with an expansion of the homestead improvement exemption to encourage improvement and investment in residential buildings up to 55 dwelling units. I move to concur in Senate Amendment #2."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman moves to concur in Senate Amendment #2. On that question, the Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Could we clarify what this Bill does a little closer. Does this mean that for every unit in an apartment building one may make it an improvement which would be 15,000 dollars and not have any tax increase for four years? That would be up to a total of 810,000 dollars on a single unit."

Madigan: "The exemption would be limited to 15,000 dollars maximum in value per dwelling unit."

Skinner: "So if it were a 54 unit building, you can invest 810,000 dollars in it without having any increase in property tax assessment. Is that correct?"

Madigan: "That's correct."

Skinner: "I'm sure this is going to make a lot of people very rich."

Speaker Bradley: "Further discussion? It's on the adoption of the Gentleman's motion. All in favor signify by voting aye, oppose by voting no. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 113 ayes, 19 nays



and Mr.... Mrs. Oblinger, what purpose do you rise?"

Oblinger: "I was trying to get attention to ask a question."

Speaker Bradley: "I'm sorry. Gentleman will yield."

Oblinger: "Representative Madigan, the way we handle it down here in the Sangamon County area and I wondered if this had any application to it. We drew up a number of specifications so that we knew which were called maintenance and which were called improvements and we knew when to put it on the tax records. Would this have any kind of differentiation in the type of improvement or addition you did?"

Madigan: "It would allow the assessing officer to prepare rules for instances such as that."

Oblinger: "Thank you very much."

Speaker Bradley: "On this question there are... Mr. Mahar."

Mahar: "I don't know what happened to that Roll Call but I, you know, I guess maybe people think I'm just full of hot air and talking through my hat. There's several people on there again that have been gone for hours so I ask for a verification of the Roll Call."

Speaker Bradley: "Would you wait one more Roll Call and do it next time. This one only takes 89 and we've already got... I asked them to take the record and it came out and it's off the board. It's going to be difficult for you..."

Mahar: "I'm not particular after the Majority Leader anyway. I've been on this kick now for some time. It looks like people are just, you know, just saying to hell with him. Okay. We'll do it next time."

Speaker Bradley: "I'm in sympathy with what you're saying. On this question there are 113 ayes and 19 nays and the House does concur in Senate Amendment



#2 to House Bill 2766 with a 3/5 Constitutional Majority. On House Bill 2767, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan, moves to suspend Rule 68E for... to take from the table. Are there any objections. Hearing none, we'll use the Attendance Roll Call and the Gentleman's motion prevails and now we're on the Gentleman's motion. Mr. Madigan on the motion."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the main Bill provided that in the cases of scavenger sale that the purchaser would be required to pay the back taxes and the Senate Amendment would provide that the Bill would provide to all units of other single family residential units, therefore; there would be an exemption for the single family residential units.

I move to concur in Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Bradley: "Gentleman moves to concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2767. All in favor signify by voting aye, oppose by voting no. And all vote their own switch. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question we have 119 ayes and 6 nays, 5 voting present and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2767 with a 3/5 Majority. House Bill 1939. The Gentleman... pardon me Mrs. Catania. The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "I was wondering if I can clear up the Calendar a little bit and suspend the appropriate rule for the purpose of putting on the Spring Calendar House Bill 2410 and 2411."

Speaker Bradley: "Does the Gentleman have leave? Hearing no objection 2410 and 2411 are put on the Spring Calendar. On the... On House Bill 1939 the Lady from Cook, Mrs. Catania, moves to suspend



House Rule 68E for the... to take from the table.
 Hearing no objections, we'll use the Attendance
 Roll Call on the Lady's motion and it prevails.
 And now the Lady on the motion on 1939, Mrs.
 Catania."

Catania: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Members of the House.

House Bill 1939 provides that in determining
 child custody the court will take into consideration
 violence or threats of violence on the part of the
 child's potential custodian and if it, in fact,
 determines that there has been violence or threats
 of violence against the child or the custodial
 parent, the court may decide that the street
 address of the custodial parent need not be
 disclosed to the noncustodial parent provided
 that appropriate arrangements for visitation
 are made. This is the one that I started to
 talk about before that was amended in the Senate
 by Senator Bowers to provide that retired judges
 may occasionally come in on weekends and holidays
 to perform marriages, however; they will not
 be remunerated in any way with taxpayers dollars
 and they will not participate in the state pension
 program because of that activity. I move for
 adoption of the second Conference Committee Report
 on House Bill 1939 and I'm sure you'll be delighted
 to know that I just got a note from Senator
 Geo-Karis saying that and Sam Vadalabene worked
 very hard and got 40 votes on this in the Senate.
 So we don't need to worry about it. They are
 trying to do this one right. Please vote yes."

Speaker Bradley: "Question's on the Lady's motion. On
 that question, Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Boucek."

Boucek: "Will the Sponsor..."

Speaker Bradley: "She indicates she will."

Boucek: "This... you did indicate that the Amendment says



that any retired judge can perform marriages but there is no fee or charge."

Catania: "That's right. They are not paid with tax."

Boucek: "He's going to do this out of the good graces of his heart. He's going to travel all over the county and have a marriage mill going. Is that correct?"

Catania: "It says that they are free to receive an honorarium but that they are not to be paid with taxpayers..."

Boucek: "They can get a tip. Huh? After they get their 85% pension, then they're going to get all these tips. I think this looks like we're going to return to the good days of the old J.P. system only it's tips instead of fees. The Constitutional Convention said the J.P. system was no good. We had a judicial article in 1962 passed. It said we'd get rid of all the J.P.'s. Looks like now we're going to have glorified J.P.'s. I strongly oppose this."

Speaker Bradley: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Mr. Speaker, would she yield for a short question please?"

Speaker Bradley: "Yes."

Leverenz: "She indicated that the Bill received 40 votes in the Senate. Was that 40 yes or 40 total?"

Catania: "Forty yes, one no."

Leverenz: "It did get a negative vote. Thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "Question's on the Lady's motion. All in favor signify by voting aye, oppose by voting no. Gentleman from Marion, Mr. Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, is there any hope of returning the J.P. system? I want to encourage it."

Speaker Bradley: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk



will take the record. Mr. Boucek."

Boucek: "I'm going to ask for the verified Roll Call
Mr. Mahar wants. Look at these empty chairs."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Boucek. Turn Mr. Boucek."

Boucek: "I'm very much in favor of the J.P.s coming,
back but I think we ought come back the right
way along with the constables because I put in
16 years as a constable. It's a good deal.
But I don't like it this way."

Speaker Bradley: "On this question there are 122 ayes
and 7 nos. Mr. Boucek, do you... No. Mr. Mautino
wishes to be recorded as voting aye. On this
question there are 123 ayes, 7 nays and the House
does adopt the second Conference Committee Report
on House Bill 1939 with a 3/5 Majority. House
Bill 1986. The Gentleman from Morgan, Mr. Reilly,
moves to suspend House... Is he here? On
the Calendar appears House Bill 2184 and the Lady
from Cook, Mrs. Chapman, moves to suspend House
Rule 68E to take from the table... place on the
Calendar House Bill 1986. Hearing no objections,
we will use the Attendance Roll Call and the Lady's
motion is adopted. And now we're on 1986 on the
Conference Committee Report. Mrs. Chapman."

Chapman: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. House Bill 2184 amends
the Public Community College Act. Representative..."

Speaker Bradley: "We're on 2184. 1986, Mr. Reilly.
I'm sorry that was my fault. We'll take it out
of the record. Mr. Reilly wasn't on the floor
so we're on 2184. Mrs. Chapman. I'm sorry."

Chapman: "Representative MacDonald and I have offered
this problem... this Bill to deal with the
problem in our northwest suburban communities.
It authorizes a community college district to
have flexibility in utilizing excess monies which
they obtain through the sale of property. The



Conference Committee in that the Senate required put in restrictions on it including a back door referendum. I ask for your favorable action."

Speaker Bradley: "Discussion? Hearing none, the question's on the Lady's motion. All in...Sorry Mr. Piel. Gentleman from Cook."

Piel: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Bradley: "She indicates she will."

Piel: "Is there a provision in here for a possible back door referendum?"

Chapman: "Yes sir."

Piel: "Thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "All in favor of the Lady's motion... Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "Well Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is indeed a poor idea. Permitting the sale of a capital item... the proceeds of the sale of the capital item, a building for example, to be used in any way that the junior college district sees fit to use them and then protecting the taxpayers only by way of a back door referendum. If the Conference Committee had provided for a direct referendum, it would have been bad enough. But just to provide for a back door referendum, something, Mr. Speaker, that very few of us can have any examples of having been used or exercised, why it's a charade. Mr. Speaker, I urge that we do not permit this concept to be used in our junior colleges and that the capital items be retained for capital and that there be a levy for operations and that the expenditures not exceed that levy. I urge you to defeat this measure Mr. Speaker although I have great respect for the Sponsor."

Speaker Bradley: "The Lady from Cook, Mrs. MacDonald."

MacDonald: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of



the House. This indeed is an important Bill. We did make the compromises that were necessary and while I would have preferred a front door referendum, this is what the Conference Committee came up with. We had changed a number of signatures to a thousand which I think is reasonable. There will be plenty of community evidence of what we are doing here and plenty of time and I in this particular instance particularly, where there are junior colleges that were early junior colleges that acquired a great deal of land that they now do not use, I think that it is a reasonable compromise and I would ask you to support this Amendment." Or this Conference Report."

Speaker Bradley: "Gentleman from Rock Island, Mr. Darrow."

Darrow: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I move the previous question."

Speaker Bradley: "Gentleman moves the previous question. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by saying 'aye'; oppose 'no'. The ayes have it. The motion prevails. Lady from Cook, Mrs. Chapman to close the debate."

Chapman: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. This Bill went over to the Senate earlier in the year. It had no restrictions on it at all and this House approved the Bill. It responds to a need. The Senate, through our Conference Committee, placed restrictions in addition to our back door referendum which we're already discussed. There is a requirement that five out of the seven members of the Community College Board of Trustees must support any action which the Board takes. This provides flexibility for the Board to operate in the best interests of their constituents. Please vote yes."

Speaker Bradley: "Question's on the Lady's motion. All in



favor signify by voting aye, oppose by voting no.
Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?
Mrs. Chapman to explain her vote."

Chapman: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, as I indicated this Bill sailed out of here earlier in the year. It had no restrictions on it at all then. It provides flexibility to the local schools Board of Trustees. The local control that we all like to talk about. There is a real need in our northwest suburban district for Harper College to be able to exercise this kind of control. We bought properties, 100% local funds as the Bill calls for, out of 100% local taxpayers dollars. As things have developed, it's clear that they don't need this property. That they should dispose of the property. I'd ask that you support Harper College district and the northwest suburban Legislators most of whom are Sponsors of this Bill in giving them the flexibility they need to provide the kind of education to residents that they need."

Speaker Bradley: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mrs. MacDonald to explain her vote."

MacDonald: "I would certainly like to reiterate what Representative Chapman said. This indeed is a very important Bill. Particularly to the Harper Junior College which is in our area and certainly in great financial trouble. This does allow for a referendum and the Bill did not even provide for a referendum before. I think it's a reasonable compromise. It flew out of this House, went to the Senate and I have no objection certainly to the back door referendum. I think it's good. We raised a number of signatures that had to be had and I think the compromise is reasonable. I really would appreciate more support on this particularly



important Conference Committee."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Effingham, Mr. Brummer to explain his vote."

Brummer: "This just absolutely mystifies me why there's any opposition to this. At a time when we have the climbing enrollments in community colleges and some community colleges want to make a decision to dispose of some buildings, existing law says we have to take those proceeds and put them in the building fund. Why hell, we don't need them in the building fund. We have decreasing enrollment. We don't need additional dollars in the building fund and this will only enable those dollars to go into other purposes so that the taxes and those other funds will not be as high. It seems to me that this is perfectly good legislation and anyone who has the best interests of the taxpayers for their district at heart would certainly vote yes. Anyone else would be only holding those funds in the community college district for additional buildings which we don't need or the community college would not be selling the buildings in the first place. I would urge an aye vote."

Speaker Bradley: "Have all voted who wish? Mr. Mahar, what purpose do you rise?"

Mahar: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. If this measure reaches the proper number of votes, I ask for a verification."

Speaker Bradley: "Mrs. Chapman, what purpose do you rise?"

Chapman: "Poll of the absentees please."

Speaker Bradley: "We're trying to determine how many votes this takes first to pass. Looks like... 7... 89... 107... There's 101 ayes and 39 nos and the Lady requests a poll of the absentees. Take the record and we'll poll the absentees. Ratskeller's open for all those who wish to partake. Supplemental Calendar three is being



distributed. The Ratskeller being open may be an omen: "Poll the absentees!"

Clerk O'Brien: "Ackerman. Jane Barnes. Bluthardt. Capparelli. Collins. Conti. Daniels. Davis. Ralph Dunn. Epton. Ewing. Griesheimer. Hanahan. Kent. Klosak. Kucharski. Leinenweber. Leverenz. Margulas. McClain. Molloy. Neff. Polk. Reilly. Sandquist. Schlickman. Schneider. Schuneman. E.G. Steele. C.M. Stiehl. Totten. Waddell. Watson. And Wikoff."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Reilly, what purpose do you rise? Wish to be recorded as voting aye? Record Mr. Reilly as aye. Mr. Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, I think if anyone wants to look around there aren't 107 people here."

Speaker Bradley: "We've already had that request."

Friedrich: "I'm not asking for a verification I'm just saying we've finally reached the point in this Session where one person can shut it down. I think we better get the appropriation Bill in and get them out and go home because this doesn't make sense to be working on substantive Bills on July the first at 7:30 Sunday evening."

Speaker Bradley: "Every appropriation Bill that we could address ourselves up to... up until I just received the third supplemental is gone Mr. Friedrich and rather than just sit here and twiddle our thumbs we went to this order of business. Mr. Ropp wishes to be recorded as from no to aye. Conti or I'm sorry, Watson aye... no. Mr. Watson wishes to be recorded no. Mr. Borchers from no to aye. Anderson aye. Mulcahey is already voting aye. There are 105 ayes. Mr. Wikoff is 106. Mr. Huskey 107. On this question there are 107 ayes and 39 nos and there has been a request for a verification which we've already called poll of



the absentees so Mr. Mahar, if you persist we'll call the Affirmative. Mr. Mahar

Mahar: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, if there's 145 or 46 people in this room I'll kiss your ring right now. Tell you that. And I consider this a personal affront to me to have people walking around. I'm standing here... Walking around punching the other peoples buttons who aren't here so I'm going to persist on it."

Speaker Bradley: "Gentleman persists in a verification. Call the Roll of the Affirmative. Call the Affirmative Roll. We've already called the absentee."

Clerk O'Brien: "Alexander. Anderson. Balanoff. E.M. Barnes. Beatty. Birchler. Birkinbine. Borchers. Bowman. Bradley. Braun. Breslin. Brummer. Bullock. Catania. Chapman. Christensen. Cullerton. Currie. Dawson. DiPrima. Domico. Donovan. Doyle. John Dunn. Dyer. Ewell. Farley. Flinn. Virginia Frederick. Friedland. Gaines. Garmisa. Gatty. Giorgi. Goodwin. Hallstrom. Hannig. Harris. Henry. Hoffman. Hoxsey. Huskey. Jaffe. Johnson. Dave Jones. Emil Jones. Katz. Keane. Kelly. Kornowicz. Kosinski. Kozubowski. Kulas. Laurino. Lechowicz. Leon. McDonald. Madigan. Marovitz. Matijevich. Mautino. McAuliffe. McGrew. McPike. Meyer. Mugalian. Mulcahey. Murphy. Oblinger. O'Brien. Patrick. Pechous. Pierce. Pouncey. Preston. Rea. Reed. Reilly. Richmond. Robbins. Ronan. Ropp. Ryan. Satterthwaite. Schisler. Schraeder. Sharp. Skinner. Slape. Stearney. Steczo. Stuffle. Taylor. Telcser. Terzich. Van Duynes. Vitek. VonBoeckman. White. Wikoff. Willer. Williams. Sam Wolf. Younge. Yourell. Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Bradley: "What purpose does the Gentleman from



Macon, Mr. Borchers rise?"

Borchers: "Point of inquiry. I don't know exactly what the rules may be upon this matter. For the first time as far as I know in the history since I've been here, I heard an offer in relation to a splat statement if there were 140 people here on the floor that this Gentleman would take certain action. I presume upon the podium because you're the individual that was addressed. Now I wonder if it's possible after this if finished to take a Roll Call and actually see if we have 140 here and then verify it and then for the first time we will see if this Gentleman who made the offer... had the nerve to carry it on on the podium. Now are you aware of the offer that was made?"

Speaker Bradley: "Let's..."

Borchers: "I hesitate to repeat what he said."

Speaker Bradley: "Let's take care of this matter first. Mr. Mahar."

Mahar: "Yes, thank you Mr. Speaker. I keep my promises. I'll kiss your ring if those people are here. Alright, the first is Mr. Beatty."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Beatty. How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Gentleman is recorded as voting aye."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Leon wishes to be verified Mr. Mahar. That all right. Leon. John Leon."

Mahar: "Beatty."

Spekaer Bradley: "Not in his chair. Take him off the Record."

Mahar: "Mr. DiPrima."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. DiPrima. How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Gentleman's recorded as voting aye."

Speaker Bradley: "Not in his seat. Take him off the record."

Mahar: "Mr. Domico."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Domico. He's not recorded. Or how is he recorded?"



Clerk O'Brien: "Gentleman is recorded as voting aye."

Speaker Bradley: "He's not in his chair. Take him off the Roll."

Mahar: "Mr. John Dunn."

Speaker Bradley: "He's in his chair."

Mahar: "Mr. Ewell."

Speaker Bradley: "Ray Ewell. How's he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Gentleman is recorded as voting aye."

Mahar: "Mr. Farley."

Speaker Bradley: "Wait a minute. Let's take him off the Roll. Mr. Farley. Mr. Farley is not in his chair. How's he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Gentleman's recorded as voting aye."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off the Roll."

Mahar: "Mr. Flinn."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Flinn. How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting aye."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off the Roll."

Mahar: "Mr. Doyle."

Speaker Bradley: "Flinn is here."

Mahar: "Mr. Doyle."

Speaker Bradley: "How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Gentleman's recorded as voting aye."

Mahar: "Mr. Hoffman."

Speaker Bradley: "Take Mr. Doyle off the Roll."

Mahar: "Mr. Hoffman."

Speaker Bradley: "How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Gentleman's recorded as voting aye."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off the Roll."

Mahar: "Mr. Harris."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Harris. He's in the aisle over here."

Mahar: "Mr. Keane."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Keane's."

Mahar: "Mr. Keane."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Keane was back there just a minute

ago. Mr. Keane in the chamber? How is he recorded?"



Clerk O'Brien: "Gentleman is recorded as voting aye."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off the Roll."

Mahar: "Mr. Jaffe."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Jaffe. How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Gentleman's recorded as voting aye."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off the Roll."

Mahar: "Mr. Kornowicz."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Kornowicz. How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Gentleman is recorded as voting aye."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off the Roll."

Mahar: "Mr. Kosinski."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Kosinski. How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Gentleman's recorded as voting aye."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off the Roll."

Mahar: "Mr. Kozubowski."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Kozubowski. How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Gentleman's recorded as voting aye."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off the Roll."

Mahar: "Mr. Laurino."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Laurino. How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Gentleman's recorded as voting aye."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off the Roll."

Mahar: "Mr. Lechowicz."

Speaker Bradley: "I'm sure Mr. Lechowicz is here Mr.

Mahar."

Mahar: "Alright. I'll grant you that. Mr. McAuliffe."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. McAuliffe is right there. Cissy

Stiehl, what purpose do you rise?"

Stiehl: "Mr. Speaker, would you vote me aye?"

Speaker Bradley: "Record Mrs. Stiehl aye."

Mahar: "Mr. O'Brien."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. O'Brien. How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Gentleman's recorded as voting aye."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off the Roll. Mr. Winchester wishes to be recorded as what?"

Winchester: "Mrs. MacDonald has agreed to vote for the road



program so I'll vote aye for her Bill."

Mahar: "Mr. Meyer."

Speaker Bradley: "Winchester aye. McAuliffe was here and put Daniels on as aye. Now the last name that you..."

Mahar: "The last name was Mr. Meyer."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Meyer. Not in his chair. How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Gentleman is recorded as voting aye."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off the record and Mr. Ebbesen wishes to be recorded as voting aye."

Mahar: "Mr. Preston."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Preston. He was there a minute ago. Is he in the chamber? How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Gentleman's recorded as voting aye."

Speaker Bradley: "There he is."

Mahar: "Mr. Schisler."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Schisler. How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Gentleman's recorded as voting aye."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off the Roll."

Mahar: "Mr. Sharp."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Sharp. How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Gentleman is recorded as voting aye."

Mahar: "Mr. Capparelli."

Speaker Bradley: "Take Mr. Sharp off the Roll first." Take Mr. Sharp off. Capparelli. He's not in his chair. How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Gentleman's recorded as not voting."

Speaker Bradley: "What'll we do with that? He's not voting. He didn't vote."

Mahar: "Mr. Stearney. Mr. Stearney."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Stearney is in the rear of the chamber and Mr. Darrow would like to be... what purpose do you rise Mr. Darrow."

Darrow: "Well Representative Chapman thinks she's close enough so she came over and asked me to change my vote and talked to me so I'll change."



Speaker Bradley: "He changed..."

Darrow: "Change it to aye please."

Speaker Bradley: "Change it to aye. She's a very persuasive Sponsor. Further questions."

Mahar: "Mr. Rea."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Rea is in his seat."

Mahar: "Mr. Peters."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Peters is not in his chair. How's he..."

Clerk O'Brien: "Gentleman's recorded as voting no."

Speaker Bradley: "He was Mr. Mahar. What did you want to do with Mr. Peters?"

Mahar: "Well, I... He's apparently recorded as voting no and there was some confusion here during the last part of the Roll Call and I wasn't following it very closely and I apologize for calling somebody whose name was with the negative."

Speaker Bradley: "Well he's on the Roll. Do you want to take him off?"

Mahar: "He's voting no. Mr. Van Duyne."

Speaker Bradley: "You questioned him and he's not in the Roll."

Mahar: "I think you're verifying the affirmative and, therefore; the negative wouldn't be considered. Now is that a joke of some kind?"

Speaker Bradley: "I was just trying to be helpful. You were trying to find out who was here."

Mahar: "Mr. Van Duyne."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Kempiners."

Kempiners: "Yeah, I gather that's what you're trying to do and Representative Peters is working on a Conference Committee so he is available and ought to be..."

Speaker Bradley: "Some of the rest of the Gentlemen may be doing that too."

Kempiners: "Correct."

Speaker Bradley: "Proceed sir."



Mahar: "Mr. Van Duyne."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Van Duyne is not in his seat. Take him off."

Mahar: "No further questions but I would like to have a point of personal privilege when you announce this Roll."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Huff, what purpose do you rise?"

Huff: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. If I might, I'd like to ask leave to have House Bill 524 placed on the Spring Calendar."

Speaker Bradley: "Does the Gentleman have leave to have House Bill 254 placed on the Spring Calendar? Hearing no objection....525?"

Huff: "House Bill 524 Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Bradley: "524. Any objection? Hearing no objection, it'll be placed... Mr. Robbins, are you objecting?"

Robbins: "I'd like to have 1325 placed on Spring Calendar."

Speaker Bradley: "Let's wait and get one thing at a time Mr. Robbins. 524 will be placed on the Spring Calendar. There are no objections. Alright now, what's the count Mr.... And your offer was... the reason I was persisting with Mr. Peters here was persisting your offer was how many were here and I was hoping that we would get to that point and I couldn't really determine it unless we verified the nos as well as the ayes. You know. He's aye. Mr. Peters wants to go aye. On this question there are 95 ayes and 34 nos and Lady from Cook at Mr. Madigan's desk, Mrs. Chapman. Turn Mr. Madigan's light on. Mrs. Chapman."

Chapman: "I'm just... I'm curious as to what the count is before I make my next request."

Speaker Bradley: "Pardon?"

Chapman: "I'm..."

Speaker Bradley: "I announced 95 aye, 34 nays."

Chapman: "Okay. I'd like to ask you to take this



out of the record please. Leave for postponed."

Speaker Bradley: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz."

Leverenz: "How am I recorded?"

Speaker Bradley: "How's Mr. Leverenz recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Leverenz: "Please record me aye."

Speaker Bradley: "Record the Gentleman as aye. The Lady has requested it to be placed on postponed. Are there any objections? Hearing none, it'll be placed on postponed consideration. Now, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mahar on a point of personal privilege."

Mahar: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I don't think that I have ever risen in the seven years that I've been in this House on a point of personal privilege. I don't think I've ever stepped on anybody's toes intentionally for any reason whatsoever. I don't think I've been a burden on this House or this operation by jumping up and challenging other peoples statements and motions. Have not seen fit to explain my vote 400 times a week. Have not made law in extended discussions on items that are really of no value. But I've seen something here and I called your attention to it on the final night of the House Bills that went out of this House. That there are a large number of dedicated people on this floor who I apologize to if it caused them any inconvenience, but there's a group of people on this House that have the tendency to take off in the closing hours of any given deadline and leave the work for the rest of us. They're all very, very happy to leave instructions with their seat mates, 'Please vote me for this and against that and for something else', and let their seat mates stay here and do the work and they can go



home with their roll calls and tell the people what a great job they're doing voting for and against appropriation measures and other measures important to this Body. I am pretty sick and tired of seeing people who stop the action in the floor of this House in their pompous manner and at a time when we need them to act on important Bills like Mrs. Chapman's Bill and others. They're gone. Now I just want to make a note that we know and the public knows when they're not here. And I've seen this happen today and I thought in a very mild and meek manner. I expressed my feelings about it and I asked people if they would not punch other peoples buttons. On this very last Roll Call, I saw people walking around with that admonishment in mind punching other peoples buttons. I thought that was a personal affront to me. You might just as well come up and hit me between the eyes and do something like that. That's the way I feel about it and I apologize to Mrs. Chapman and nothing against her personally or anybody else in this House, but I'm just sick and tired of the actions. I think the public ought to know about this. If we're worried about our image, then we ought to be here doing our job. And I want to tell you, Ladies and Gentlemen, I don't want to be the cause of tying this place up but I'm not a quitter."

Speaker Bradley: "On the Supplemental Calendar #3 appears House Bill 1657. Mrs. Hoxsey."

Hoxsey: "Yes."

Speaker Bradley: "And they have been distributed."

Hoxsey: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would ask you to accept the first Conference Committee Report on House... Senate Bill... House Bill 1657. 1657 Mr. Speaker."



Speaker Bradley: "Conference Committee Report 1657."

Hoxsey: "I would move that we adopt."

Speaker Bradley: "The Lady moves that we adopt the first Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1657. All in favor of the motion signify by voting aye and on that... before we get to the questions, the Lady from Cook, Mrs. Currie."

Currie: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I didn't sign the Conference Committee Report on the Department of Conservation Budget. Two things that we in this House put in that project improvements for the Rock Island Trail and appropriation so that we could qualify for state local matching grants under the new federal urban recovery recreation program were knocked out by the Senate. In the Conference Committee, the Senators didn't even discuss either of those issues. This House felt strongly enough to include in that appropriation while we were working on that budget were knocked out by the Senate. Were not even reconsidered by the Senators who participated in the Conference Committee. My feeling is that that's a slap in the face to this House. My feeling is that we should ask for a second Conference Committee on that budget."

Speaker Bradley: "Further discussion? Hearing none, the question's on the Lady's motion. All in favor signify by... Mr. Darrow."

Darrow: "Mr. Speaker, I know it's getting late but could we have a little explanation of what went on in the Conference Committee? A more detailed explanation. We're having a difficult time keeping up."

Speaker Bradley: "Mrs. Hoxsey."

Hoxsey: "Yes. The House con... The House concurred on



Senate Amendments 3 and 4. The Senate receded on Senate Amendments 1 and 2. And the Senate Amendment 1 was amended to increase the operation budget by 224,000 in general revenue funds and 17,300 in a game and fish fund for a total of 241,300 dollars. Now this increase in Amendment #1 provided some additional positions to implement the Departments game and fish initiative and to operate the state public hunting areas for migratory waterfall. An additional 5,000 dollars was provided for Lovejoy township under the Open Spaces Land Acquisition Act. Okay. In Senate Amendment 3 was the 1,189,800 dollars general revenue funds for the repair of flood and winter damage incurred on the land owned and managed by the Department throughout the state. Most of those projects are eligible for the 100% federal reimbursement. Senate Amendments 4 added 136,000 for the planning and construction of the Lewis and Clark Heritage Memorial at Lewis and Clark State Park in Madison County."

Speaker Bradley: "Any discussion? Hearing none, the question's on the Lady's motion to adopt. All in favor signify by voting aye, oppose by voting no. Final passage. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. Yes, Mrs. Dyer, what purpose do you rise?"

Dyer: "Verification please."

Speaker Bradley: "The Lady requests a verification. Entirely within her rights. On this question there are 115 ayes and 20 nos. Mrs. Dyer, do you persist? Mr. Brummer, what purpose do you rise?"

Brummer: "I guess there should be no surprises on the last day but I've never seen anybody voting on their



prevailing side verify the Roll Call before."

Dyer: "Mr. Speaker, I was making a point and I will respectfully withdraw the request for a verification."

Speaker Bradley: "Alright. She withdraws her request for a verification. And on this question then we have 115 ayes and 20 nos and the House does adopt the first Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1657. House Bill 24... by a 3/5 Majority. House Bill 2428. Mr. Telcser. on the first Conference Report. Totten, don't get spastic, fellow."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. House Bill 2428 is the Capital Development Board authorization which is a likely prospect for the Byrne-Thompson plan #8½. As Representative Totten is looking for, the Bill is the CDB authorization which contains authorization in the amount of 1,290,050,000 dollars. The amounts are for education 591,000,000, corrections 144,000,000, conservation 142,000,000, mental health, children, and veterans 122,000,000. Other state agencies 173,000,000, ports 26. Water resources 33, health services 10, food production research 43. Proper college grants 1,000,000. Rockford office building 100,000. RTA, here you go Totten, RTA 0. Zero."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Telcser, did you want to hear 1072 along with this? The Gentleman from Marion, Mr. Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Bradley: "Indicates he will."

Friedrich: "Are these all new authorizations or are some of those reauthorizations?"

Telcser: "Their's of the total amount Representative, 183 million .4 is new."

Friedrich: "The rest is reauthorization. Thank you very much."



Speaker Bradley: "Have all... Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "I'd like to know what the sneaky Amendments are in this Bill this year."

Telcser: "That depends on what point of view, Representative."

Skinner: "Tell me which ones you think are sneaky."

Telcser: "I don't think any of them are sneaky."

Skinner: "Why not? If none of them are sneaky, you're not a very good Sponsor."

Telcser: "This is... This is the authorization, not the capital."

Skinner: "How much did..."

Telcser: "Sneaky Amendments would be on the capital."

Skinner: "How much additional bonding authority are we committing the taxpayer of the State of Illinois?"

Telcser: "As I answer the last Member, it's 183,000,000."

Skinner: "Only 183,000,000 this year."

Telcser: "Peanuts Representative."

Skinner: "Peanuts. Does any of it go to potholes?"

Telcser: "Easy come, easy go."

Skinner: "Does any of it go to full potholes?"

Telcser: "No it doesn't Representative."

Skinner: "Does any of it go to correct killer curbs?"

Telcser: "No."

Skinner: "What about blighted shoulders?"

Telcser: "No."

Skinner: "Supplemental freeways?"

Telcser: "No. Guess again."

Skinner: "Bankrupt railroads? Road beds?"

Telcser: "No, not this Session."

Skinner: "Railroad overcross... Railroad grade crossing?"

Telcser: "No."

Skinner: "Nothing?"

Telcser: "What about the Chicago Skyway?"

Skinner: "Bail them out."

Telcser: "You can bail out the Chicago Shyway. That's alright."



Skinner: "Bail out the bond holders."

Telcser: "No that's not included."

Skinner: "Agent development banks."

Telcser: "No."

Skinner: "No? How many more buildings are we building in Springfield?"

Telcser: "One new building Representative for the Revenue Department."

Skinner: "Which one?"

Telcser: "The Revenue Department Building."

Skinner: "We've already... We already know about that. Are we building a dome over Midway Airport?"

Telcser: "No, but that's not a bad idea."

Skinner: "Is there an office building in a depressed area in Rockford in this Bill?"

Telcser: "There is an authorization for a Rockford project. It's 100,000 dollars Representative."

Skinner: "What did you do with the 50,000 dollars you got last year?"

Telcser: "They never did get it last year."

Skinner: "Oh they didn't?"

Telcser: "No."

Skinner: "If we vote no on this is there a chance they won't get it this year?"

Telcser: "No."

Skinner: "There's no chance. Is there any money for East St. Louis in this appropriation?"

Telcser: "No."

Skinner: "There isn't."

Telcser: "No."

Skinner: "How many black architects do you hire?"

Telcser: "There is a participation for East St. Louis Community College."

Skinner: "Is it going to be audited this year?"

Telcser: "I hope so."

Skinner: "So do I. Thank you."



Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Brummer, Gentleman from Effingham."

Brummer: "Looking at the Conference Committee Report, it appears that every one of the items were raised significantly from the shape that the House Bill 2428 was as it left the House. The first one appears to be a 34 million dollar increase from 2428. What is that for?"

Telcser: "Representative, could you ask that question again? I didn't quite understand it."

Brummer: "Do you have the Conference Committee Report in front of you?"

Telcser: "Yes."

Brummer: "Okay, the second item on there is House Bill 2428 as amended be further amended as follows and it appears at approximately 34 million dollars. What is that for?"

Telcser: "I've been advised it's for educational project."

Brummer: "The next one appears to increase by seven million dollars. What's that for?"

Telcser: "Would you read the increases for the various categories... and the total increase is 183 million .4."

Brummer: "It's an increase for 183 million over the condition that it was when it left the House?"

Telcser: "No, that's the total."

Brummer: "Well, I'm referring to the increases that appear in excess of those that were in there when it left the House. Page one, line 11 you deleted 1,256,350,000 dollars and you put 1,290,000,000 dollars. That's approximately a 34,000,000 dollar increase. I'd like to know what that increase is for."

Telcser: "Representative, the increase is necessary on each of the line items that you're reading on the Conference Committee Report in order to fund the additional projects that were provided for in the authorization. As an example, if in the



Conference Committee Report, the appropriation included an additional project you had to reflect that additional project in the line item for, as an example, education. If another project was included under the heading of education in the appropriation Bill, that increase must then be reflected in the Conference Committee Report for the authorization and those are the increases."

Brummer: "The 34 million dollar in the first increase..."

I guess, in this day, it's a small sum of money but I'd like to know what that 34 million dollar increase is. It appears that we increased 2428 by about 55 million dollars in excess of the amount that it was when it left the House and I want to know what those figures are."

Telcser: "On that particular line item, that increased money is for the college of DuPage."

Brummer: "The 34 million dollars entirely?"

Telcser: "I'll get you some more. A project at Eastern Illinois University, University of Illinois."

Brummer: "Is it all education?"

Telcser: "... Chicago circle."

Brummer: "Okay, I'm told that's all educational. What about the next one on ..."

Telcser: "For that particular line that you read and the 34 million is education. Right."

Brummer: "Okay. What about the approximately seven million on the next line? Line 25."

Telcser: "That's for work to be done at the Vandalia Correctional Center. For items such as boiler work. They had a boiler break down or blow up."

Brummer: "What about the increase on line 33 of approximately 7.8 million? I just got the answer. What about the increase on page 33, line 6 of 3½ million? I see that answer also. What about page 3, line 27? A nine million dollar increase?"



Telcser: "That last one, I think was the one you wanted for ports. The Waukegan port district."

Brummer: "Thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Kane."

Kane: "Would the Sponsor yield to two very brief questions?"

Speaker Bradley: "Two very brief questions."

Kane: "Mr. Sponsor, could you tell us what is the total amount of bonds that we have authorized in the Legislature since we have had this new power in 1971?"

Telcser: "You mean just for CDB or for everything?"

Kane: "All of the general obligation bonds."

Telcser: "Representative, I couldn't give you that answer off the top of my head. I've only got the people who are from CDB. Your question would obviously have to include bonds that were issued by Illinois Housing Development Authority. Bonds that are issued by DOT."

Kane: "No. GO bonds. Not Housing Development. Just general obligation bonds."

Telcser: "Can there be some GO bonds issued by Department of Transportation."

Kane: "You don't know what the total is? Could you tell us what the..."

Telcser: "The total for CDB is about a billion 290."

Kane: "Second question, could you tell us what the total debt service for GO bonds will be this coming year?"

Telcser: "We'll get it for you in a couple of seconds from the budget book."

Kane: "Maybe I could..."

Telcser: "If you know what it is then make your point."

Kane: "Okay. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd just like to point out two things. If we or when we or I assume that we will pass this increase, this authorization is that we will go over



the 3 billion dollar mark, the 3 billion dollar mark for general obligation bond authorization since the General Assembly has had the power to issue general obligation bonds under the 1970 Constitution. Starting in 1971 through this year in '79 that's in eight short years we have authorized or will authorize over 3 billion dollars. We already are up to to 2.929 billion and this will put it over 3 billion and the total debt service this year without this new authorization will be over 230 million dollars just in debt service and our total debt service in the eight years that we have been able to issue general obligation bonds, our total debt service will now or will be at the end of this coming year 1.2 billion dollars. Just in debt service."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Bullock."

Bullock: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Bullock did you move the previous question? All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by saying 'aye', oppose 'no'. The ayes have it. And Mr. Telcser to close."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, the CDB budget, I think, reflects as best as possible a reconciliation of difference as to what the priorities of our state should be in the next fiscal year regarding capital projects. Needless to say, projects that go as individual Members' districts and their view are very, very important. On inclined to agree with most of the Members who have expressed that wish and that desire of their constituents by asking that those projects be put in this appropriation. I think that these are worthwhile projects. I recognized that one of the speakers said that we are going to find ourselves in some increased debts service over the



years, but frankly, I think that's the only way to logically fund capital improvement projects and I'd appreciate a favorable Roll Call on House Bill 2428."

Speaker Bradley: "On the motion all in favor signify by voting aye, oppose by voting no and the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. McAuliffe to explain his vote."

McAuliffe: "I just wanted to ask the Sponsor one question if he would let me ask him."

Speaker Bradley: "He'd be delighted to answer while he's explaining his vote."

McAuliffe: "Does this include eight million dollars for a new fire escape on the Capitol complex?"

Telcser: "No it doesn't Representative."

McAuliffe: "Thank you very much."

Speaker Bradley: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Lady from Cook, Mrs. Willer."

Willer: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I was almost asleep until Doug Kane started talking and I went into a state of shock. I had no idea he brought home so forcefully what our debt is and a billion dollars in debt service. One of the reasons for this, of course, is that we insist on building new prisons instead of reconvertng our old unused buildings and building new hospitals for veterans instead of using Quincy but there's one of the reasons why we're going into debt. I have no recourse now but to vote no on this."

Speaker Bradley: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bowman, to explain his vote."

Bowman: "Yes Mr. Speaker, thank you. It's incredible that this particular item came up so late but that's the way it goes I suppose. We really should be giving this more careful consideration. For example, I think the House ought to know how much money is needed for maintenance. How much



of the authorization is going to be used for maintenance and rehabilitation purposes. That's the sort of thing that we shouldn't be using our bond monies for. That's the sort of thing other administrations have been criticized for. I think that we ought to have... should have had a more complete debate on this subject. There's really... It's really like buying a pig in a poke and as Representative Kane pointed out, it can be a very, very costly way to go so I'm voting present on this Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan to explain his vote."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I don't wish to take exception with the remarks that the other distinguished Democrats have spoken on this Bill previously, however; I think it's important to realize that bonding is now a way of life in the State of Illinois. This Bill contains the authority to continue many projects which have already begun. Contains the authority to move ahead on other public works projects which have been deemed necessary and essential to the economic health of every section of this state. I would recommend an aye vote."

Speaker Bradley: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 112 ayes and 33 nos and the House does adopt the first Conference Committee Report to House Bill 2428 with a 3/5 Majority. Senate Bill 484. The... On that question, the Gentleman from Wayne, Mr. Robbins."

Robbins: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Senate voted to concur on Amendments 2 and 8 and the House to recede on Amendment 4. Senate Bill 484 is further amended to add 616,000 to the



Agricultural Premium Fund for rehabilitation grants for county fairs. Now this is less than half of the Amendment 4 amount which... this was a reduction in the amount of Amendment 4."

Speaker Bradley: "Questions? Lady from Cook, Mrs. Pullen."

Pullen: "Will the Gentleman please tell us what this is an appropriation for and what the total is?"

Robbins: "This is the House Agricultural appropriation and just a minute till we get the final... hit the final total. ..29,072,700."

Pullen: "Thank you."

Robbins: "All of the Members... All the Committee concurred in this."

Speaker Bradley: "Question's on the adoption of the Amendment or on the adoption of the Conference Committee Report. Mr. Huskey are you desiring recognition? Mr. Huskey."

Huskey: "I wonder... is this the Department of Agriculture's full budget?"

Speaker Bradley: "Is this the Department of Agriculture's full budget?"

Robbins: "Yes. This is the Agricultural Department's full budget."

Speaker Bradley: "The Lady..."

Robbins: "I have... Miss Penny Pullen asked for the total figure."

Huskey: "Mr. Speaker, I want to just announce that I'm going to ask for a verification of this Roll Call."

Speaker Bradley: "Question's on the adoption of first Conference Committee Report. All in favor signify by voting aye, all oppose by voting no. Everybody vote their own switches. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? On this question there are 122 ayes, 4 nays. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority... and the House does adopt... Persist?"



Huskey: "Mr. Speaker, poll the absentees. I mean..
I want to verify the negative."

Speaker Bradley: "Can't do it. Mr. Donovan wishes to be recorded as voting aye. 124 ayes. On this question there are 124 ayes, 6 nays and the House does adopt Conference Committ... first Conference Committee to Senate Bill 48... Yes. He asked it of the negative. You can't do that. Well let's ge the motion correct. I can't read his mind from here."

Huskey: "I want to verify the Roll Call Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Marovitz, what purpose do you rise?"

Marovitz: "I think Mr. Huskey is within his rights. He asked for a poll of the absentees. If he asked for a poll of the absentees you ought to get it."

Speaker Bradley: "Sponsor does that. Mrs. Breslin, what purpose do you rise? She wishes to be recorded as voting no. Call the Affirmative Roll. Mr. Robbins.
Poll the absentees. Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Leave to be verified."

Speaker Bradley: "Leave to be verified Mr. Huskey? Will you verify Mr. Lechowicz? Alright. Mr. Watson. Watson could you verify Mr. Watson? Alright. Would you hop on Mr. Simms? Would you verify Mr. Simms? He's over here. Poll the absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "Alexander. E.M. Barnes. Jane Barnes. Bluthardt. Capparelli. Cullerton. Davis. Dawson. Ralph Dunn. Epton. Ewell. Ewing. Farley. Virginia Frederick. Hanahan. Jaffe. Kane. Keane. Klosak. Kornowicz. Kosinski. Kozubowski. Kucharski. Laurino. Leinenweber. Leverenz. McDonald. Margulas. McGrew. Molloy. Mugalian. Pierce. Richmond. Ronan. Sandquist. Schisler. Schlickman. Meyer. Schuneman. Terzich.



VonBoeckman. Waddell. Walsh. Williams. And
Younge."

Speaker Bradley: "Alright, Mr. Ralph Dunn wishes to be
recorded as ye. Mr. Leverenz what purpose do you
rise? Mr. Leverenz."

Leverenz: "The Gentleman wants to verify, I guess, the
negative and I'd like to hear my name again so
record me red."

Speaker Bradley: "Record him... present? No, he wants
to be recorded. Mr...Mr. Peters on the floor.
Mr. Hannig what purpose do you rise?"

Hannig: "Mr. Speaker, could I be verified?"

Speaker Bradley: "Gentleman have leave to be verified.
I think Mr. Peters might bring us up to date here
on how much work we've got left to do prior to
questions on the affirmative. Mr. Peters.

Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, you might
be interested in where we are."

Peters: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of
the House, in terms of the appropriations for the
Ordinary and Contingent Expenses of government,
the stuff that we really have to do, I might just
bring you up to date or you might have noticed
on your Calendar dangerous drugs is now a completed,
agriculture is completed, GOMHD is completed, public
aid, the Conference Committee has met and an
agreement has been reached. That paperwork is
now in the process of reaching the House. There
is, I think, agreement as far as I know on
law enforcement and that paperwork ought to be
getting here. The only three Conference Committees
we still have out are on the Court of Claims which
is some minor difference. Needless to say, the
omnibus Bill and Department of Transportation
which will take and little and is a little confusing.
But all we've got out is three more appropriation



Bills and other than that, we're in pretty good shape. I have no estimate on the time, Representative Walsh. The omnibus, I think, is in pretty good agreement. I'm not sure where we're at on DOT. I think that's still kind of up in the air kind of a situation. But I would suspect not too much longer and we're moving at a pretty good speed and a pretty good clip."

Speaker Bradley: "Call the Affirmative Roll."

Clerk O'Brien: "Abramson. Ackerman. Anderson."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Huskey. Withdraw your request sir?"

Huskey: "I withdraw it Mr...."

Speaker Bradley: "Thank you very much. On this question then, there are 123 ayes... 124 ayes, 6 nos ... 8 nos and the House does adopt the first Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 484. Senate Bill 587. Mr. Bower. With a 3/5 Majority on Senate Bill 484."

Bower: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. We have the Conference Committee Report on the GOMHD appropriation. The Senate concurs in House Amendments 1,2,4, and 6 and 9. Recedes from House Amendments 3,5,7, and 8 and changes the way that the appropriation for the office of Consumer Services is written appropriating 83,000 dollars for contractual services for expert witnesses alone. The amount of one dollar for professional and artistic services and 12,399 dollars for the other expenses of that office. I would ask for adoption of the Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Bradley: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz on that motion."

Leverenz: "Yes sir, could you explain the final part there for contratual services excluding professional and artistic services and contractual service



not elsewhere classified. The 12,300 would be used for what?"

Bower: "Okay. That is for such things as rent, the administrative costs xeroxing, typewriter. All of that sort of thing. It's for the actual business operation."

Leverenz: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker, too, and Members of the General Assembly, I would like just to again reiterate part of the Conference Committee Report. A number of people expressed a concern with the Office of Consumer Assistance which had a 314,000 dollar funding level that the House took out. The Conference Committee agreed that that money would be left out and that we draw an Amendment that would line item that money to be used only toward hiring expert witnesses and not go to any community organization. Thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "The Lady from Cook, Miss Pullen."

Pullen: "I'd like to ask the Sponsor a question."

Bower: "Go ahead."

Pullen: "How much money is in here for the Office of Consumer Services which was taken out by this House of Representatives?"

Bower: "It's 314,000 dollars which is a reduction from what the Senate had originally put in."

Pullen: "314,000 dollars for the Office of Consumer Services?"

Bower: "That is correct. Which is federal money."

Pullen: "Well, it's our money."

Bower: "I'm not disagreeing but it's federal money. It's not general revenue."

Pullen: "I would like to address the Conference Committee."

Speaker Bradley: "Certainly may. Please proceed."

Pullen: "It's really a shame that Mr. Vinson's motion did not pass the other day to instruct the conferees not to back down from the House position because here



we find that OCS, which we had a bitter fight about and we were successful in defeating, is back with 314,000 dollars. I think we all ought to take note of that. The Office of Consumer Services, IPAC's friend, is back with 314,000 dollars to fund the activities of lobbying groups. I don't see why we should have to accept this first Conference Committee Report. I think we ought to tell the Senate, 'Look, we meant business'. This is an example of how nothing ever dies around here. We ought to kill this program and we ought to kill it tonight. Vote no."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Reilly."

Reilly: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. With all due deference to the last speaker, the conferees to the House did take seriously the position of the House. We have cut out the ability of this agency to fund any of the lobbying groups that have been discussed before. Representative Leverenz and Rea and Peters and I and the others who are the House conferees thought that that was the most serious objection that the House had made. I reiterate for anyone who's concerned about IPAC or any of the other agencies that were involved. The authority of the Consumer Services office to fund those agencies have been removed. They still have the authority to give the technical advice and the expert assistance that they always have had which is a central function of that agency in any event. But their ability by law has been stricken to give any aid, any appropriation, any grant to the agencies involved."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Winchester."

Winchester: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I think Representative Reilly explained accurately as to the situation now. I think our big objection was the money being



appropriated to IPAC and to organizations like SKAM that cannot be done now. I think this is a reasonable idea. I congratulate the conferees. I think we should give this program at least one year to see if it's workable and if it's not we can take care of it next year."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Hallock."

Hallock: "I would move the previous question."

Speaker Bradley: "Gentleman moves the previous question.

All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by saying 'aye', oppose 'no'. The ayes have it.

Mr. Bower to close the debate."

Bower: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would merely concur with the statements of Mr. Reilly and Mr. Winchester. I have yet to vote in favor of maintaining the budget for the Office of Consumer Services but I do think that the conferees addressed the problem that has been brought out in both Committee and on the floor of the House that was the objections to the program. We could give this system that has been worked out one year to work. We can always do away with it next year. I would ask for your favorable vote."

Speaker Bradley: "Question's on the Gentleman's motion to adopt the first Conference Committee Report. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by voting aye, oppose by voting no. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 113 ayes.. 14 ayes, 23 nays and the House does adopt first Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 587 with 3/5 Majority. Senate Bill 590. Mr. Ebbesen. What purpose...pardon me Mr. Ebbesen. Mr. Giorgi. Mr. Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, for the purpose of an announcement.



A Member received a call from some of his friends that have gone back to Chicago from Springfield today and told him there is no gas station open between Springfield and Chicago. If you plan to make the trip home tonight you better get gas in Springfield. There are no gas stations open on the highway between here and Chicago."

Speaker Bradley: "At the risk of being accused of advertising, they have gas in Bloomington."

Giorgi: "Where? You better be sure."

Speaker Bradley: "At the 76 truckstop with a Texaco station on the bypass. Ebbesen on 590."

Ebbesen: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 590 is the dangerous drugs commission appropriation for the year and we... this will be about the fourth time that we would concur on this but we finally have it in the posture in which the House most liked and voted on it every time. It does increase 302,900 dollars. The fiscal year '80 appropriation is 16,368,448 dollars and in '79 it was 14,459,700 dollars. An increase of 1,908,748 dollars and I would appreciate a favorable vote on this proposal."

Speaker Bradley: "Discussion? If not, on the Gentleman's motion. All in favor signify by voting aye, opposed by voting no. HAVE all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On that question there are 120 ayes, 6 nays and the House adopts the second Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 590 with a 3/5 Majority. Mr. Taylor. On... Mr. Taylor, what's the number of that Bill? I can't read it on this report.":

Taylor: "971."

Speaker Bradley: "971. Senate Bill 971? Mr. Taylor on 971."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, would you let the Clerk read it please?"



Speaker Bradley: "The Clerk do what sir?"

Taylor: "Let the Clerk read it." "I got it. I move to adopt the Conference Committee Report #1 on Senate Bill 971. What it does is add secretary for the official court reporters to the new industry commission. That's all. It raises their salary from 17 to 20,000 dollars. I move for the concurrence of Conference Committee Report #1."

Speaker Bradley: "Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Simms."

Simms: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in opposition to Conference Committee Report #1. This is a pay raise... this is a pay raise and also it creates two additional industrial commissioners. But on top of that, it increases the salary for the court reporters for the industrial commissions to an increase of 20,000 dollars per year. Combining the contents of this Bill, this would be an additional 208,000 dollars additional money. The appropriation for the industrial commission has been passed both by the House and by the Senate and it's now hopefully on the way to the Governor's desk. This will cost us the Legislature to come up with a supplemental appropriation. This is another pay raise in the dwindling hours of the Legislature. You're creating two more commissioners and I suggest to the Legislature that this is not what is best for the citizens of the State of Illinois as another big pay raise. I would urge that we nonconcur and vote no."

Speaker Bradley: "The question is on Mr. Taylor's motion. Mr. Taylor, you wish to close?"

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, the Governor himself estimates that there's going to be a tremendous increase in the case load in the industry commission and we



have already increased the judges and we should, also give the court reporter. And I move for the adoption of Conference Committee Report #1."

Speaker Bradley: "Question's on the Gentleman's motion."

All in favor signify by voting aye, oppose by voting no. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? There's a request for a verification. Let's vote the slounge which... Have all voted who wish? Mr. VonBoeckman to explain his vote."

VonBoeckman: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, being involved in industrial commission I feel that these are much needed peoples that we need to add so we can get the injured worker paycheck sooner and I feel like it's a necessary thing and I think we ought to support this Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take... Mr. Robbins, what purpose do you rise?"

Robbins: "This doesn't have enough votes to pass and from what I can see the State of Illinois needs this industrial commission to see that the claims are validly paid to the injured workers from the State of Illinois and so that they can collect the money in less than four years."

Speaker Bradley: "Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 101 ayes and 43 nos. The Gentlemen from Cook, Mr. Taylor."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, will you please poll the absentees?"

Speaker Bradley: "Poll the absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of the absentees. E.M. Barnes. Jane Barnes. Bell. Bluthardt. Capparelli. Conti. Daniels."

Speaker Bradley: "Miss Catania wishes to be recorded as aye. Mr. Friedland aye. Ralph Dunn from no to aye."



Ciss Stiehl wishes to be recorded as voting aye. Mr. Neff from no to aye. Mr. Schoeberlein from no to aye. Mr. Bianco from no to aye. Mrs. Hallstrom from no to aye. Hoffman from no to aye. 107 ayes, 35 nos. Mr. Simms, I'm informed that it only takes 89 votes to... there's no effective date so it will be effective July 1 of next year."

Simms: "I'll verify it below the 107. I'd like the verification."

Speaker Bradley: "Alright. Call the Affirmative." Mr. Bell aye. Mr. Mulcahey from no to aye. Call the Affirmative. Kempiners wishes to be verified. No, he won't verify anybody Mr. Kempiners. You stay in your seat. He said that he'd verify you now. Verify the Affirmative."

Clerk O'Brien: "Abramson. Alexander. Balanoff. Beatty. Bell. Bianco. Birchler. Bowman. Bradley. Braun. Breslin. Brummer. Bullock. Campbell. Capuzi. Chapman. Christensen. Collins. Cullerton. Currie. Daniels. Darrow. Dawson. DiPrima. Domico. Donovan. Doyle. John Dunn. Ralph Dunn. Ebbesen. Ewell. Farley. Flinn. Friedrich. Gaines. Garmisa. Getty."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Clerk. Mr. Clerk just a minute. Jack. 112... Mr. Getty."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, I understand Representative Simms' indicated that if this was below 107 votes since there's no problem, it's understood there's no effective date this would not become law until July 1 of 1980. And in view of that, since it's now 112, I'm going to change my vote from yes to present. I'm going to ask four other people or five other people to do the same thing. Get it down there below 107 and then we will obviate the necessity for any verification."



Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Getty is present. Mr. Slape present. Mr. Preston present. Mr. Dunn present. Mulcahey ...Mr. Robbins no. Mr. Bell no. I think that's enough. Mr. Taylor."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, I can tell you right now that every other motion that comes up here tonight, I will be verified. Every motion that comes up tonight I will be verifying it. I will sit right here. That would have to go."

Speaker Bradley: "What's the count."

Taylor: "Then I move to table the Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "Turn Mr. Taylor on."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, I move to table the Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "Gentleman moves to table the Bill. Mr. Peters, what purpose do you rise?"

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, I would just ask the Sponsor, Representative Taylor, if he might withdraw that motion and I am voting with him on this but if he might just withdraw that and take it out of the record temporarily and maybe we could get this situation resolved. He has an interest in it and some other people. There's 101 that have an interest in it. It might be better. Representative Taylor, if you might reconsider your motion and we'll see what we can work out."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Taylor."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, I would reconsider that motion for this moment. I hope now that if this comes up again and the Senate Sponsor wants to change my name, I think it might be better that we might that we go right ahead and table this Bill. Wouldn't we suggest that Representative Simms that you have some problems because I'm going to do the same thing tonight. Okay. Very good."

Peters: "Thank you Representative."



Speaker Bradley: "Take it out of the record then?"

Taylor: "Table the Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "Table the Bill."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, if I might just..."

Speaker Bradley: "Proceed sir."

Peters: "Representative Taylor, if I might just address the question again. I think that we can end up resolving these problems if just momentarily you would take it out of the record so we could get this resolved. I mean it serves no purpose to get the whole situation polarized at this late date and at this late time."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Effingham, Mr. Brummer."

Brummer: "Yes, if the Sponsor persists on moving to table, I would like a Roll Call on that. I would hope he would reconsider that motion for the moment. But if... This is an important Bill. He has worked long and hard on it and I hope we can somehow pass it."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Taylor."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, I reconsider for this moment. I reconsider. 'Take the Bill out of the record.'"

Peters: "Thank you Representative."

Speaker Bradley: "The Lady from Lake, Mrs. Reed, what purpose do you rise?"

Reed: "Change my no to aye please."

Speaker Bradley: "Change her vote from no to aye. Mr. Jones. Emil Jones, what purpose do you rise?"

Jones: "Mr. Speaker, I think, in this case, I think it would be wise now if you have the Clerk check with the Secretary of the Senate to see what action they took on this legislation because if, from what I understand, they may not have concurred... adopted the Conference Committee Report. So whatever action we take here would be moot. So it



would be wise if you find out what happened in the Senate on this piece of legislation."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Lechowicz. Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, why don't we just take the Bill out of the record momentarily?"

Speaker Bradley: "We hear objections."

Lechowicz: "Take it out of the record."

Speaker Bradley: "Take it out of the record. Senate Bill 1099. Mrs. Catania. On the Supplemental Calendar #1 appears House Bill 2210. Mrs. Chapman. Mrs. Chapman moves to suspend Rule 68E to take from the table House Bill 2184. Hearing no objection, we'll use the Attendance Roll Call on the Lady's motion and the motion prevails. 2210."

Chapman: "2210 Mr. Speaker. 2210 is the Illinois Office of Education Bill intended to consolidate the Free Adult Education program. Over in the Senate, however; they were to deal with some other problems that we have in adult education in order to maximize the amount of federal dollars we received to respond to needs of local districts by allowing for monthly payments to the school district providing adult education services. And then in the Conference Committee we did a very interesting thing. We put in a sunset clause so that this would be repealed two years from now in the hopes that the school problems commission or the education committees of both Houses would be able to look in greater depth at adult education problems including funding. I ask for your approval of House Bill 2210. There is nothing in this Bill. Conference Committee Report 2 is what should be up on the board by the way Mr. Speaker. This is Conference Committee Report 2. Conference Committee Report 1 was turned down by



the Senate so we never talked about it here on the House floor."

Speaker Bradley: "Mrs. Chapman, it's been brought to the attention of the Chair that there are Senators in the chamber taking pictures with a camera draped around his neck and you know how restrictive they are of House Members going into the Senate."

Chapman: "I was hoping it might be someone trying to get me some votes for this Bill because the Senate has already concurred with Conference Committee Report #2."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Dunn, what purpose do you rise?"

Dunn: "Mr. Speaker, I have no objection to the Senator taking pictures if he'll take his coat off."

Speaker Bradley: "Mrs. Chapman proceed."

Chapman: "There is nothing in Conference Committee Report 2 except adult education. I ask for your support."

Speaker Bradley: "On that question, the Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you very much Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I served on this Conference Committee and this is a result of considerable discussion on our part. As the Sponsor indicated, I think one of the most significant things that came out of that Conference Committee was the agreement that this program would be repealed as of 1981 and then in the meantime the commission or the education committees of the House and Senate will take a very, very careful look at the way we have funded and are funding it, will fund adult education and I would encourage an aye vote on this Bill because this Bill represents the legislative process in the truest sense of the word."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from LaSalle, Mr. Anderson. Mr. Anderson."



Anderson: "Yes Mr. Speaker. I, too; support the second Conference Committee on House Bill 2210. In fact, all five of the Members from the House that served on that Conference Committee supported it. What it would do in fact is recoup an extra million dollars from federal funds by changing a few words in the Adult Education Act. This is money that we really need for this purpose and I urge your aye vote."

Speaker Bradley: 'Question's on the Lady's motion. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Piel."

Piel: "Will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Bradley: "She indicates she will."

Piel: "Miss Chapman, I have not been able to find two but one... Okay, that was the question I had."

Chapman: "Thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Mahar."

Mahar: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'm going to support this fine Bill and I would urge another 105 people besides myself and Mrs. Chapman to vote for it."

Speaker Bradley: "The question's on the Lady's motion. All in favor signify voting aye, oppose by voting no. Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. Mr. Borchers, what purpose do you rise?"

Borchers: "The reason, I'd like to explain my vote."

Speaker Bradley: "Explain your vote sir."

Borchers: "Very simple. Adults should have by the time their adults should have motivation if they truly want to learn and I, for one, don't intend to pay for them if I could help it. Let me, if I want to go to school, pay for my own education. Let them pay for their own education. If they have the motivation, they can do it and the stops always draining the treasury and the people."



Speaker Bradley: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record." On this question there are 138 ayes, 138 ayes, 3 nays and the House does adopt the first Conference Committee Report to House Bill... the second Conference Committee Report to House Bill 2210. Mr. Yourell. 1914.

Moves to suspend Rule 68E to take from the table. Take 1914 from the table. Hearing no objections, we'll use the Attendance Roll Call and the motion prevails. It's on the first Supplemental Calendar, page two at the bottom of the page. And no objections and now we're... your motion prevailed to take from the table. Now we're on 1914."

Yourell: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 1914, I move to adopt the first Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1914. That was the Bill that provided for absentee voting, extended those privileges to law enforcement officers, members of the Board of Elections, and those individuals who couldn't... had election duties on election day and also, the Senate receded from Amendment #1 and 3 and it further provided for provisions of Senate Bill 563 that was... didn't come out of Conference for some reason or other to provide to eliminate the 60 day post election campaign disclosure that Representative Collins put in the Bill in Amendment #2. That's in the Bill and also, there's a provision in the Bill now to provide for the filling of congressional vacancies and the elections for those offices. I now move to adopt the first Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1914."

Speaker Bradley: "Birkinbine. Mr. Bowman. Mr. Birkinbine."

Birkinbine: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Bradley: "He'll yield."



Birkinbine: "I'm looking at the language at the end of House Bill 1914. Does that have the same provision that House Bill 1051 that would?"

Yourell: "It did have the same provision as 1051 but 1051 was...that language was taken out in the first Conference Committee Report and they went to a second Conference Committee Report and we put it in this Bill."

Birkinbine: "Okay. My objection with 1051 was that it would seem to put off for another four months of possible election if there was an opening in a congressional race. Am I right that that no longer..."

Yourell: "That's permissive unless the Governor do it. That provision is no longer in 1051."

Birkinbine: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "Further questions Mr. Birkinbine? Through? Mr. Bowman."

Bowman: "Yes, thank you Mr. Speaker. Question of the Sponsor."

Speaker Bradley: "He indicates he'll yield."

Bowman: "Do I understand you correctly that part of this Bill now provides for semi-annual reporting?"

Yourell: "No, it just...it eliminated the post primary 60 day report. That was Collins' Amendment #2, I believe, to Senate Bill 563."

Bowman: "I see. Thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "Further questions? Mr, Katz. Mrs. Satterthwaite."

Satterthwaite: "Will the Sponsor yield? Representative Yourell, I didn't understand what you indicated the provisions were for the special election."

Yourell: "That's a provision, as you know, if a congressional vacancy exists, the Governor, by Resolution or by writ, by proclamation, has... was five days we changed that by Amendment to 10 days to



issue that proclamation. Then, within 115 days of a 125 day period, that's when the election has to be set."

Satterthwaite: "And the Governor designates the date of the election."

Yourell: "This allows him to pick a day within that 125 day period. Not to exceed that. He picks the date."

Satterthwaite: "Thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr.... Further questions? Mr. McCourt."

McCourt: "May I ask a question Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Bradley: "He indicates he'll yield."

McCourt: "Whatever happened to House Bill 1051 Mr. Sponsor?"

Yourell: "That's coming."

McCourt: "But doesn't this Bill include some of 1051 in it?"

Yourell: "No. No sir."

McCourt: "This Bill does not provide that the state picks up the cost of a special congressional election."

Yourell: "No sir."

McCourt: "I noticed on the Conference Committee Report that a number of the conferees have failed to sign it. I assume that means that they do not approve this..."

Yourell: "They went home."

McCourt: "... Report."

Yourell: "They retired to their domicile."

McCourt: "Well this Report's been on our desks practically all day. At least on my desk."

Yourell: "No sir. This Report has only been on your desk for about an hour and a half."

McCourt: "Mr. Speaker, I think this is the one Report that because of some of the things in it, should not be adopted. I recommend a no vote on this."

Speaker Bradley: "Further discussion? Mr. Yourell, you wish to close?"

Yourell: "Yes, thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen



of the House. The only things that are in this Bill are provisions that were originally passed out of this House and an Amendment proposed to Senate Bill 563 by Representative Collins that was adopted overwhelmingly by this House. It also extends voting rights privileges to those who have to work on election day, to those who are engaged in the election process such as law enforcement officers, members of the Board of Elections and other individuals such as that. It also... it includes a provision that gives the Governor not five days but 10 days to issue a proclamation for an election to fill a congressional vacancy. It provides for the provisions for the... the conditions for the election unless the Governor sets a date. I don't know what anybody's afraid of in this Bill. Most of the provisions have already been passed out in this Bill in other Bills that are now contained in this Bill and I urge that you, again, to vote to adopt the first Committee... Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1914."

Speaker Bradley: "Question's on the Gentleman's motion. All in favor signify by voting aye, opposed by voting no. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 121 ayes and 11 nos and the House adopts Conference Committee Report #1 to House Bill 1914 with a 3/5 Majority. On the Calendar... On the Supplemental #3 appears House Bill 1911 and on that question, Mr. Winchester moves to suspend Rule 68E to take from the table for the... take from the table. If there are no objections. On that question, Mr. Daniels."

Daniels: "What is 1911?"

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Winchester."



Winchester: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen
of the House. 19... House Bill 1911 is the
Downstate Public Transportation Act."

Daniels: "Oh. Okay. I think you want me to withdraw
my motion don't you?"

Winchester: "It would help Lee."

Daniels: "Okay."

Winchester: "There's a lot of your downstate friends
that need this money."

Daniels: "We had filed a motion to strike the enacting
clause in this Bill and I talked to Representative
Winchester and agreed to withdraw that motion but
when... after you present the Bill, we want to
have a little discussion and I would ask after he
presents the Bill if I could be recognized if that
would be alright with you sir. It's not alright
with you? Please?"

Speaker Bradley: "Alright. Skinner."

Skinner: "Yes, I wonder if we could be treated to at least
three ten minute speeches before each of you
withdraw it. You all worn out?"

Daniels: "No, we've saved the tapes." We're going
to play those."

Speaker Bradley: "Alright Mr. Winchester, we're on the
motion to suspend... to take from the table first.
Let's get that done and if we have no objection
we'll use the Attendance Roll Call and that motion
is adopted. And now we're to the concurrence
on Senate Bill 1911."

Winchester: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I would now move
to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill
2348. I would like to explain..."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Davis."

Davis: "What'd I say? I meant 1911."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Davis."

Davis: "I want to point out that the board is wrong. This



is a concurrence motion I believe."

Speaker Bradley: "Concurrence on 1911. You're correct. Proceed Mr. Winchester."

Winchester: "Yeah, my motion was to move to concur with Senate Amendment #1 and #3. This is the Downstate Masstransit mechanism for the funding. It affects several downstate cities, Champaign, Peoria, Rock Island, Springfield, Rockford, Bloomington, Decatur, Pekin, Loves Park, and others. It also has a funding for bi-states and I would appreciate a favorable Roll Call on this concurrence motion."

Speaker Bradley: "We will now recognize Mr. Daniels. Is this the proper time?"

Daniels: "Yes sir, thank you. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 1911 is the Downstate Masstransit Bill and, in many cases, is referred to as the Bi-State Public Transportation System and also provides for a downstate public transportation assistant Act. Now, to put it in simple terms, bi-state is like the RTA and this will assist also other areas of downstate Illinois, Champaign, Springfield, etc... What we're doing in this Bill is we're creating a permanent subsidy for bi-state of 1/32nd and as I understand, for another year we're adding an additional state subsidy from the sales tax, motor fuel tax collected in that area of an additional 2/32nd or for the one year, 3/32nds. Now, those of us from the RTA district look at this Bill and say, 'We're subsidizing a part of the bi-state and some other masstransit districts, and frankly, for those of you from the RTA area, I think we ought to go along with that. And I think we ought to support that and we ought to show our support for masstransit. However, I would like to add to the



people from the bi-state area that if you want to impose in the future a sales tax on those of us in the RTA, then I guarantee we'll want to impose a sales tax on those of you in the bi-state area and we'll help you if you can help us. So keep that in mind as we help you pass this very good Bill right now. Those of you in the bi-state area."

Speaker Bradley: "Gentleman from Marion, Mr. Friedrich."

Friedrich: "I hope in working out the solution that the Gentleman that last spoke can help some of us that have no mass transportation. We shouldn't have to pay any under his formula."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to put in the record something tonight. Today is the first day of our new fiscal year and there are many of us here today that voted to fund the expenses of this House starting today for the taping, for the Clerk, for the Enrolling and Engrossing, to put the bologna that we just heard from the two great Americans who wouldn't vote to fund the expenses of this House today, namely Lee Daniels and Dwight Friedrich. They wouldn't vote to fund what we're doing here today. They want us to put their words in the magnificent box up there so they can send it out to constituents and they're ashamed to vote for the expenses of this House. Today's expenses, they didn't vote to pay for. But they'll certainly take advantage of it. And I'm waiting for the next great American to get up on the feet who'll be wrapped in the American flag so you can tell him this too."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Borchers."

Borchers: "May I... will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Bradley: "He indicates he will."



Borchers: "I'm curious. Suppose with the gas shortages that will continue to develop and get worse in this country more and more of the people or our various communities are going to be forced to use the bus transport system. Now is this worked out that as the monies come in and maybe equalize and make a profit that the subsidies will cease. Is it automatic or will the profit, if there is a profit developing will that go to the communities or how will that be handled? Well, do I get an answer on that or don't I?"

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Winchester, that was a question I guess."

Winchester: "What was that? I didn't here it."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Bor..."

Winchester: "It must be..."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Borchers, would you repeat the question?"

Borchers: "I want to know if the bus, because of the gas shortages and the increased use of the busline in the future sometime, if the companies begin to make a profit, is that equalize. What is the probability of the state or does it probably go to the company in case it's owned by a state or a city. I would like to know what that is."

Winchester: "Well, I've been told Representative Borchers that mass transit districts don't normally make profits that's why they have to have..."

Borchers: "Well perhaps they will?" Times are changing."

Winchester: "Well, when we get to that point in time let's take a look at it. But right now in Decatur your city desperately needs additional funding and we're going to give them 42% additional funding... the amount of people who are



now participating in masstransit. So later on down the line you may be absolutely right, but not right now."

Borchers: "...the community one or two people riding a bus and I follow them and take a look to see where they go and I think it's one of the most utter waste of money I've ever seen. I more desperately need the money to build another building and put somebody to work than one or two people at the most riding the bus lines."

Speaker Bradley: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Huff."

Huff: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I noticed on the last... on the previous Bill that went out at 135 and I'm going to warn the Body if this Bill gets 135 votes I'm going to ask for a verification. Because I suspect, Ladies and Gentlemen, that the bi-state and several other Members be more willing as to expect to help us with our masstransportation problem if we kept this measure in the House."

Speaker Bradley: "Brummer."

Brummer: "Yes, I think I heard the... I'd like to ask the Sponsor a few questions. I think I heard him say that this is going to create a... or someone say that it was going to create a permanent subsidy to the, either to the downstate public transportation assistance program or to the bi-state. According to the Digest, 2/32 of the sales tax would go the the bi-state. Now is the bi-state only the metro-east area or is that the... does bi-state control Champaign, Kankakee, Bloomington, Decatur, wherever else it exists?"

Winchester: "Okay. For one year it's 3/32 then after that they have to get a local match and then it drops to 1/32. That local match is one half ... Alright. It starts out the subsidy is 3/32. Then



a local match 2/32 if they don't have a local match then 1/32."

Brummer: "Now, you didn't answer. Is bi-state... is that only East St. Louis or St. Louis authority or is that the governing body with regard to all the downstate transit authorities?"

Winchester: "That's just the East St. Louis area."

Brummer: "Okay. Now, do all the downstate have a subsidy from the sales tax of 1/32?"

Winchester: "No."

Brummer: "In other words, Decatur does not receive the subsidy, for example, or Springfield or Champaign."

Winchester: "No. They receive a subsidy yes. But it's not in the terms of a diversion of the sales tax 3/32 placed in the public transportation fund and then distributed to those cities who qualify for 1/3 of their operating deficits. And we're changing it to 1/3 of their costs."

Brummer: "Does this currently exist?"

Winchester: "Yes."

Brummer: "In other words, 3/32 is currently going into the downstate transportation system, mass transit system?"

Winchester: "It's only getting as much as 1/3 of their deficits."

Brummer: "Currently, is 3/32 of the sales tax going into the transportation... into the downstate mass transit fund."

Winchester: "Just a minute. I want to yield. Mr. Speaker. Speaker. I'd like to yield to Representative Flinn to answer that question for me by Mr. Brummer."

Speaker Bradley: "We have a request for the TV cameras to film and we grant that request."

Flinn: "Mr. Speaker, the..."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Flinn."

Flinn: "... TV cameras can't reach me back here anyway so.



Mr. Speaker, the Downstate Transportation Act provides for the state to provide subsidy which, in all areas of the state, have to have matching funds. Springfield, Decatur, wherever the mass transit district is at. In the metro-east area and it's not just East St. Louis it's Bellville, Alton, Edwardsville, Columbia, Waterloo, the three county area down there has been provided up to this year and extra 1/32 because of the economically depressed area. Now, this year, because Missouri has been subsidizing the portion of Illinois in the mass transit area down there, they are threatening to cut out a lot of the bus service and so we're coming for a one year shot, one shot, for two additional 32nds rather than 1/32 additional that we've been getting and in the meantime; we have put the local officials on notice down there. The county boards of those three counties, the mayors and all those people that they are going to have to come up with matching funds in the next year or we will not be able to convince this Legislature to furnish the subsidy in excess that any other downstate transit system gets and that's the truth in the nutshell about the whole thing."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Brummer are you finished?"

Brummer: "Yes. I guess there aren't any mass transit systems in the 54th District."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Harris."

Harris: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I move the previous question."

Speaker Bradley: "Gentleman moves the previous question. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by saying aye, oppose by saying no. The ayes have it. Mr. Winchester to close."

Winchester: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. As I said, this is a downstate mass transit program. If this Bill is not



funded... if this Bill is not concurred with today and sent to the Governor and he signs it, at the end of the week all the masstransit programs and downstate will shut down their operations and I don't think we want that responsibility. I would ask that the House now concur with this Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "Gentleman moves that the House does concur in Senate Amendments 1 and 3 to House Bill 1911. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by voting aye, oppose by voting no and Mr. Huff is requesting a verification if it goes over..."

Huff: "If it goes over 107 votes."

Speaker Bradley: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? I would suggest that we vote uour own switches. Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 135 ayes and 3 nos and Mr. Huff. What purpose do you rise sir?"

Huff: "I'm asking for a verification."

Speaker Bradley: "Lady from Sangamon, Mrs. Oblinger, what purpose do you rise?"

Oblinger: "I'd like to explain my vote."

Speaker Bradley: "We're past that point."

Oblinger: "Okay."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Winchester what purpose do you rise? Put Mr. Winchester on."

Winchester: "If he persists, then I would like to have a poll of the absentees."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Huff do you persist?"

Huff: "I do."

Speaker Bradley: "Call the absentees. Mr. Davis you spoke in debate or did you have a question?"

Davis: "No, I didn't speak in debate."

Speaker Bradley: "Well, you were recognized for something."

Davis: "I don't know what. I didn't speak in debate. I



would remind Representative Huff who's a good friend of mine over there that I think his request for a verification was if it got over 135 votes and it didn't."

Speaker Bradley: "107 I think the Gentleman said."

Davis: "I believe he said 135."

Speaker Bradley: "135."

Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of the absentees. E.M. Barnes. Beatty. Bluthardt. Bradley. Capperelli. Christensen."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Piel wants to be verified. Mr. Huff. Mr. Huff. Could Mr. Piel be verified? Continue with the... Christensen aye. Continue."

Clerk O'Brien: "Cullerton. Domico. Epton. Ewell. Ewing. Hanahan. Huff. Jaffe. Keane. Klosak. Kornowicz. Kosinski. Kozubowski. Kucharski. Laurino. Lechowicz. Leinenweber. Molloy. Mugalian. Pierce. Ronan. Sandquist. Schlickman. Schneider. Terzich. Van Duynes. Waddell. And Walsh."

Speaker Bradley: "... the affirmative.."

Clerk O'Brien: "Abramson."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Huff."

Huff: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Out of compassion for the people down in East St. Louis who I understand are running buses on rims rather than tires I'm going to... I'm going to make the appropriate withdrawal but before I say this I just want to go on record for saying that I'm interested in mass transportation. not only downstate but across this whole state, and I just want to make that point. When we come back here in the Special Session which I'm sure is going to be on the Calendar, I'd like to see this similar compassion shown from the other side of the aisle. I withdraw the motion for the verification."



Speaker Bradley: "He withdraws it. On this question there are 13... Bradley... 136 ayes, 3 nays and the House does concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 3 to House Bill 1911. Mr. Schraeder what purpose do you rise?"

Schraeder: "Well Mr. Speaker I tried to get you before you announced it. I want to ask one question if I may. Is there a five cents tax on downstate mass transit?" Now I've been told no and I voted on that basis. Is that a correct statement?" If that is not a correct statement, I want the record to show that I oppose this even though you've already announced it."

Speaker Bradley: "On Senate Bill... Mr. Winchester."

Winchester: "There is no new half a cent tax. Representative Tuerk just told me that you have one already. I don't know about that. There is no new tax." Yeah but... a local... I don't know."

Speaker Bradley: "Senate Bill 1125. 1125 on page five of the Regular Calendar on that question Mr. Terzich."

Terzich: "Mr. Sepaker, Senate Bill 1125 grants the Board of Trustees of Cook County retirement system and forest preserves employees retirement system the option of excluding the CETA participants on the basis of the Chicago Municipal Employees and Chicago Teachers Board. Also, coordinates the executive branch into the retirement system and I would urge adoption of Conference Committee #1."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman moves to suspend Rule 68E to take from the table. If there are no objections we'll use the Attendance Roll Call. Hearing no objections, the Attendance Roll Call will be used and the motion is adopted. Now we can address the 1125. Mr. Terzich."

Terzich: "I move for a favorable Roll."



Speaker Bradley: "Gentleman moves...to adopt the Conference Committee Report regarding Senate Bill 1125. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by voting aye, oppose by voting no. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 118 ayes, 1 no and the House does adopt Conference Committee Report #1 to Senate Bill 1125. Senate ... by 3/5 Majority. Senate Bill 669. Where is it on the Calendar Mr. Ebbesen? Page four, Senate Bill 669. Conference Committee Report. And that Bill's exempt. Where's Mr. Ebbesen? Senate Bill 955. This side of the original Calendar, Senate Bill 955, Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, I've recovered the shock of having the Bill called."

Speaker Bradley: "I'm wondering if we have to suspend the rule for you."

Skinner: "Yes, I would certainly hope so."

Speaker Bradley: "Alright. You've moved to suspend Rule 68E to take from the... Senate Bill 955 from the table. Hearing... If there are no objections, we'll use the Attendance Roll Call. Hearing no objections, the Attendance Roll Call will be used and the motion's adopted. I want to call attention to the Members that the red light is on and the television cameras have been given permission to take pictures of the Assembly. Mr. Skinner, now on 955."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, I have a letter here from the Illinois Department of Transportation saying that they approve of the wording in the Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 955. They've slightly tightened the language limiting the Department of Transportation's authority to require permits for bridges over creeks in rural areas and in urban



areas. Senate Bill 955, Jack. Oh I'm sorry.

Since we've gotten that new Roll Call machine: it takes longer doesn't it. Need to get another roll of paper now? Could we replay some of my tapes?"

Speaker Bradley: "What's the question? Did you make a motion Mr. Skinner?"

Skinner: "Yes. But apparently the machine..."

Speaker Bradley: "We're waiting to put the tape in the machine."

Skinner: "Maybe we could ask for Representative Davis or Representative Daniels or Representative Giorgi to repeat some of their talks."

Speaker Bradley: "Where were you Mr. Ebbesen?"

Ebbesen: "Mr. Speaker, I apologize. I had to run over to the Senate on another Bill. If you wish to go back to that after we finish this Roll Call."

Speaker Bradley: "Well, you've lost your place now. We'll have to go all the way through."

Skinner: I might add incidentally this Bill also delimites the authority of the Illinois Department of Transportation to put a bulldozer through a dam unless there's eminent hazards to life. Human life. The snail darter has nothing to do with this Representative Birkinbine. Any lake which has a dam needs this Bill because if they don't have this Bill, sometime when the Corp of Engineers decides to release a report saying that you have a dam on the high hazard list which merely means there are people living downstream the Department of Transportation may come up with a bulldozer someday and take you dam down and drain you lake. What we're trying to do is build in due process with this Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Dunn what purpose do you rise sir? Turn Ralph Dunn on."



Dunn: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Members of the House.

I wonder if the Sponsor would yield for a question.

I'd like to ask a little bit about this Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "Fine. Proceed."

Dunn: "Representative Skinner, you said this tightens up the regulations of the Department of Transportation. Is this the Division of Waterways? Is this under the Division of Waterways for the Department of Transportation?"

Skinner: "Yes sir. We're trying to put the plants on them."

Dunn: "Fine. Thank you. Does it have something to do with tightening up the regulation so the Corps of Engineers can't do anything in the State of Illinois without getting the Division of Waterways okay. Is this in regard to that?"

Skinner: "Unfortunately not."

Dunn: "I think it may be. You think not. I know that the Corps of Engineers and the head man in the Water Resources have been having some fights about the Kaskaskia Island and I wondered if this would design to the anything with that."

Skinner: "Well if it does, Representative, they've snuck it past me."

Dunn: "I didn't see the Conference Committee Report and I knew you were an honorable man and you'd tell me. I thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Skinner to close."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, would you like to know the results?"

Speaker Bradley: "What have you got there Mr. Skinner?"

Skinner: "Well I've got the last four Roll Calls on Senate Bill 1125."

Speaker Bradley: "What are you doing with those?"

Skinner: "I don't know."

Speaker Bradley: "Alright. Mr. Skinner moves that the House does concur or does adopt Conference Committee Report #1 to Senate Bill 955. All in favor of the



Gentleman's motion signify by voting aye, oppose by voting no. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record.

On this question there are 123 ayes, no nays and the House does adopt with a 3/5 Majority Conference Committee Report #1 to Senate Bill 955. Now Senate Bill 669. Mr. Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 669 is the Conference Committee Report for the Pension Laws Commission. It came from the Senate at 180,000, reduced in Committee to 100,000 in this first Report #1 it takes it back to 160,000. I'd move... appreciate consideration of your favorable support."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Wolf."

Wolf: "Yeah. Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I was one of the signers of this but I really have thought about this long and hard since then and I know Senator Egan feels that maybe we took too much money out. Maybe, I think, we should just go back and have another Conference Committee and discuss this matter further and let the Chairman of the Commission justify his budget. We'll see how it comes out. So I would urge, at this time, a no vote."

Speaker Bradley: "Further discussion? If not, Mr. Ebbesen to close."

Ebbesen: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I would just say that I'll repeat again that it came over from the Senate at 180,000 dollars. It was reduced in Committee due to the efforts of Representative Wolf. He's still in opposition to that but the Conference Committee Report has reduced that by 20,000. It's now at 160 which is 20,000 less when it came over from the Senate and I certainly would appreciate and I'm sure the Pension Laws Commission



that that's a minimum at which they can operate in this next fiscal year and I'd appreciate a favorable reaction."

Wolf: "Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Wolf, what purpose do you rise?"

Wolf: "Yes, I would just admonish the House. I would appreciate very much if everybody would just push your own buttons on this one please."

Speaker Bradley: "Point well made. Question's on the Gentleman's motion to adopt the Conference Committee Report #1 to Senate Bill 669. All in favor signify by voting aye, oppose by voting no. Mr. Stuffle to explain his vote."

Stuffle: "Yes Mr. Speaker and Members! I rise, along with Representative Wolf, to urge a no vote on this particular matter. I think Representative Wolf has said that we ought to go to a second Conference and look at the budget of this Commission. I serve on that particular Commission. I think there is some points that need to be made in a second Conference. I think there is some issues that need to be resolved. There are some questions about the funding of this Commission. Indeed, the amount of money even with this particular reduction is substantially above last year. If the Chairman of the Commission in which I serve, Representative Ebbesen and others served can justify the amount of money that he wants and he ought to be able to do so. If he can't, we ought to cut it even more."

Speaker Bradley: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 61 ayes and 41 nos. The House does not adopt Conference Committee Report #1 to Senate Bill 669. You request then another Conference Committee then Mr. Ebbesen or... You request a...



Forget it. Take it out of the record. He doesn't request a second Conference Committee.

Senate Bill... First Conference Committee failed.

On the Supplemental #4 under appropriations

Senate Bill 578. The Department of Aging

Mrs. Oblinger? They've been distributed."

Oblinger: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this presentation will be in 2 parts. The department on Aging and then the Department of Public Aid. The Department on Aging, Amendments #1 and 2 that the House put onto the Bill has been adopted by the Senate, and so the Aging Bill as .. is as it left the House. The second part on Aging is on the next of the last page of the Department of Public Aid Bill, and that is to fund House Bill 969 which you all passed and which is now on the Governor's desk for comprehensive alternative care. It's transferring the money from the Department of Public Aid to the Department on Aging and the Department on Vocational Rehabilitation. Those are Title 20 funds that were allocated to DPA and will now be transferred to the two agencies which will be administering it. If there are any questions on the budget or on the Amendments, I'd be glad to answer them."

Speaker Bradley: "Question's on the Lady's motion?"

All in favor signify by voting aye, opposed by voting no. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish: The will will take the record. On this question there are 113 aye..116 ayes, 15 nays and the House does adopt the first Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 578. Senate Bill 588, Mr. Jack Davis. Mr. Jack Davis on law enforcement. Supplemental #4. 578 received a 3/5 Majority. Mr. Davis."

Davis: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would move that the House adopt



Conference Committee Report #1 on Senate Bill 588."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Johnson."

Johnson: "Should correct the board. I want to speak to the issue but I think it's 588 rather than 578.

Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I know Representative Mautino wants to follow up on this issue, but if you'll remember about a week ago or a week and a half ago this House voted by an overwhelming margin of 123 to 27 to enable the state troopers to have a 6½%, what was called, cost of living pay increase. The will of the General Assembly or at least the will of the House is clear and I would simply ask that you vote no on this Conference Committee Report to get the message to the conferees in the Senate. That when we vote by that overwhelming a margin I don't need to reiterate the arguments that we made at that time that we mean it and that the state police, the state troopers do deserve a cost of living increase. So I would urge you to vote no on this Conference Committee Report if you believe and you feel the same way you did a week and a half ago. Thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Bureau, Mr. Mautino."

Mautino: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I concur with Representative Johnson. I think the Amendment was adopted by 123 or 128 votes in this House about two weeks ago. We included this as the only Amendment from the House that was of any substance and I'd move that we do not accept this Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Bradley: "Further discussion? Mr. Collins."

Collins: "Mr. Speaker I rise in support of this motion. Who's the great American? Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of this motion. This issue was debated last week and it did pass out of this House.



However, I think that most people will admit that this pay raise was totally unwarranted. In Conference Committee, we couldn't get a majority of the conferees to sign a Conference Committee Report that would have put this money or kept this money in. As a matter of fact, I don't think we had any more than three or four who were willing to stretch their fiscal irresponsibility to the point where they would leave this for this pay raise in. I think the gesture has been made that state police have been heard from, they will receive their raise in the next year and I will gladly join in ranks with the proponents of this pay raise at that time. The Department has promised in good faith to increase the pay to add incentive pay in the next fiscal year. Now this Conference Committee Report, in light of all of these facts, decided that this was not the time to make this move and so has reported as Representative Davis has accounted to you that this is the report that should be filed and should be endorsed. I urgently solicit the support of Conference Committee Report #1."

Speaker Bradley: "Gentleman from Henry, Mr. McGrew."

McGrew: "Thank you very much Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I, as one of the original Sponsors of this Amendment, would like to add my word to it also. We passed a nice healthy raise last year, that's very true, but let me tell you. Before we did that, the state police were below the city police in every municipality in my district of 20,000 or more. Down to towns of 20,000 they were paid more to be for city police at beginning salaries at the five year step, and, at the ten year step. Actually, what we're doing is sending police through the state police academy and making the state pay 100% of the training costs. When they get



out they resign and the start taking positions with cities or counties or other law enforcement units. So I think the State of Illinois is passing up a great deal of talent. We're wasting money by providing training for other units. As a matter of fact, it's so bad that in the Rock Island district recently trooper Smith, with 23 years in, 23 years in, said the hell with it. Took his money out of the pension and went to private business at a 12,000 dollar increase. Now that guy only had two years to go before he had full paid up pensions but he'd had enough. He says, 'I can't take it'. I submit to you that we should reject this Conference Report and send them back and try it again."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Conti."

Conti: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I tried to hold the line as much as possible on appropriations this year but I can't, in good conscience, be concurring with the motion that Mr. Davis made at this time. When we gave ourselves 75% of what the base pay of what state troopers is today, and I took the time out since this Amendment was put onto this Bill to check every Hamlet in Cook County, and there isn't a small municipality, as small as the 4,000 population that's not paying their rooky policemen at least 16,000 dollars a year. And I understand if I'm correct, a state trooper today starts working as a rooky at 11,000 dollars a year. Now I'd like to be just ostere as anybody else when it comes to spending taxpayers' money, but when we give ourselves 75% increase in one year that they make in their total pay for an annual salary, I think that all of us should be ashamed of ourselves to go back home and say we're



paying our state policemen 11,000 dollars a year."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. McAuliffe."

McAuliffe: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would also move to nonconcur in this Conference Committee Report. If you want to know what these state policemen are worth, go up into Paxton and ask the families of those two policemen who were killed up there on the highway about two or three months ago what they're worth. If you want to have first class law enforcement, you have to pay for it and these policemen are not getting paid first class salaries."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Well, Mr. ... Mr. Speaker, I have to echo the sentiments that have been said by the previous three or four speakers. Where are we going to get men who are going to put their neck out on the line for the citizens who want protection. We've got to give them money. We've got to give them something to feed their families and get the... the cost of living. During my campaigning, I went up and down the bureau. And when you talk to the families and you find out when a man has been on the force fifteen years, he's a sergeant and still doesn't have an adequate income to feed a family of three or four, something is wrong. They're quitting in droves. And if we want good policemen, we've got to pay it. And I'm not one to go around advocating a lot of high prices or taxes or increases in wages, but on this one we can't be stingy. We've got to give them what they're worth. Sent it back to a Conference Committee."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Bullock."

Bullock: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman moves the previous question."

All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by



saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The ayes have it.

The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Davis, to close."

Davis: "Well, thank you Mr. Speaker. I gather there's no controversy on this Bill. It seems that there's no opposition. It seems that the Conference Committee should be adopted. I think it may get two votes, Phil Collins' and mine to adopt it. So I suppose we ought to just take the record."

Speaker Bradley: "Alright. On the Gentleman's motion, all in favor signify by voting aye, opposed by voting no. Mr. Daniels."

Daniels: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm recommending to you a no vote on this legislation. My good friend, Representative Davis, has unfortunately made the wrong motion. And I'm sure if we send this back to a Conference Committee, put on some raise for our fine state troopers, people that protect us, people that keep our speeders on the highway from violating the law, keep us safe in our homes. If we want to help them, send it back to Conference Committee and let's fight for them."

Speaker Bradley: "The Clerk will take the record. On this question ther are 29... 31 ayes, 73 nos. The Gentleman's motion fails and he requests a second Conference Committee, I assume."

Davis: "You've got it."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman requests a second Conference Committee be appointed. On the Calendar under Senate Bills, 1272, Emil Jones."

Jones: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'd like to have leave to have this Conference Committee Report amended on its face. The date that it was filed was inadvertently left off. Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman moves...Mr. Jones I'm sorry. Did you ask leave for something?"



Jones: "Yes. I'd like to have leave to have the date inserted on here. It was inadvertently left off when it was filed. The date that it was filed. Amend it on its face Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Bradley: "What date?"

Jones: "July 1."

Speaker Bradley: "July 1. Leave. Leave is granted."

Jones: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move that the House concur in the first Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 1272. The Senate concurred in House Amendments 1, 2, and 3. Also, an award for 53,168 dollars is also included in here and I move that the House concur in the first Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 1272."

Speaker Bradley: "Discussion? Hearing none. The Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Hallock. Hallock."

Hallock: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Bradley: "He indicates he will."

Hallock: "I noticed here there's a sum of 53,000 to be paid to the Comptroller who in turn will pay the Minority Economics Services Commission. What is that exactly and what's that Bill for?"

Jones: "It's exactly what it says. It came from the Senate and the Senators wanted that in there... 53,000 in there. I don't know the individual personally, but they said it was an award that was due the individual and that's the reason that it was added to this appropriation."

Hallock: "But do you know that nature of that service? for which that Bill has been submitted?"

Jones: "No. I don't know the nature of the service. This is the court of claims appropriation."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Bower."

Bower: "Will the Sponsor yield?"



Speaker Bradley: "He indicates he will."

Bower: "Is, in any way, shape, or form an award in this appropriation for the organization commonly known as Medley Moving?"

Jones: "I don't know if there's any organization by such name but it is an appropriation in here for a Medley Movers which this House has passed several times and the Governor hasn't saw fit to give the Gentleman his due amount of money."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Skinner." "

Skinner: "Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Mr. Medley is now serving as a member of the Regional Transportation Authority based on his experience in getting Bills through this House. Am I wrong? I'm sorry."

Jones: "Mr. Speaker."

Skinner: "The Chicago Transit Authority Board."

Jones: "This has nothing to do with the Regional Transport Authority so I wish the speaker would..."

Skinner: "Mr. Medley is serving on the Chicago Transit Authority Board based on the end runs this Bill or this line item has made through the Legislature over the past seven years. It really doesn't matter whether we vote for or against it because certainly the Governor's going to give it the big ax when it gets to his desk."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Bullock."

Bullock: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Bradley: "Gentleman moves the previous question. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The ayes have it. Mr. Jones to close the debate."

Jones: "I just ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Bradley: "Gentleman moves... asks for a favorable Roll Call on this motion. All in favor signify by voting aye, opposed by voting no. Have all voted who



wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Jones to explain his vote."

Jones: "Yes, thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I have sat here very quietly all day. I consistently voted for appropriation measures to fund state government. Now I am not going to ask for a second Conference Committee Report. If you cannot vote for this legislation it doesn't bother me. I have no claim in here. I would like to see the proper green votes on this appropriation matter because if you don't want to vote for it, I'll sit here verify every green vote for every Bill that comes down."

Speaker Bradley: "Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 108 ayes, 23 nos and the House does adopt the first Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 1272. Is... Has any Member a appropriation Bill on any of the Calendars that haven't been called? An appropriation Bill. Jimmy Taylor. What Bill and on what Calendar?"

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, I rise for the purpose of an introduction."

Speaker Bradley: "Fine sir."

Taylor: "Standing right here in front of me is out former Representative and my seatmate, Representative Bob Walsh. Let's give him a hand."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Robbins on a motion to suspend... Dave Jones on appropriation."

Jones: "The regular Calendar, I believe, if I recall correctly. 1642."

Speaker Bradley: "On Supplemental did you say?"

Jones: "On Supplemental #1. This is the second. It was taken out of the record a while ago."

Speaker Bradley: "1642."

Jones: "This is the second Conference Committee Report on the



Illinois State Fair and I move that we adopt this Report."

Speaker Bradley: "Gentleman moves the adoption of the second Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1642 and on that question, Mr. Totten."

Totten: "Mr. Speaker, I rise in opposition to this second Conference Committee Report. I don't know whether all the Members have taken a good look at it. Although it's the agency to fund the State Fair, there's also been added to the appropriation 950,000 dollars for the Chicago Fest in the City of Chicago. Now, it doesn't seem odd. It seems traditional that at the last moment of the Session all of a sudden we're faced with the unique, new, wopping appropriation tacked on to an agency that's important to all of us and that's the State Fair. It's an attempt to blackmail Members, southern Illinois and devoting to give the City of Chicago a large sum of money for a program which has little relationship to what the State Fair's all about. Now it's been said by some that if we don't vote for this Conference Committee Report, then we have, in effect, stopped funding for the State Fair agency. Well let me remind you that that never happens around here. Something as important as the State Fair agency can still be tacked on to a number of other Bills or at least one other Bill before we adjourn. But I think it's important that we not be blackmailed into voting for a new measure at the last waning moments of the Session in order to fund the State Fair. Now I'd like to see the agricultural community have the State Fair as much as anyone else but let me tell you and especially those of you from downstate if you feel obligated to vote for this that you're actually going to be saving the



farmers of this state 950,000 dollars if we refuse to do it. The Sponsor, of course, also has the choice of taking this out of the record and asking the conferees, if he can't get another vote, to ... enough votes, to come up with a corrected copy with this additional appropriation eliminated.

I don't think we should be forced into this and I would hope that the Members of this General Assembly feel as responsible for the taxpayers of the entire state as we should be and not approve this Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. McPike."

McPike: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in support of this Conference Committee Report. Throughout the year, a Member after Member from rural districts have come before the Appropriations Committee and have sought funds for their State Fair. Member after Member have sent Bills out on this House floor by a margin of about 130 or 140 to 20. Now all of that money comes from three counties: St. Clair County, Madison County where I live, and Cook County. Now these three counties that have race tracks in them generate all the money for the state fairs, for the county fair, for the state fair and yes. And now we're going to use some of this skim to help the City of Chicago. I think it's only appropriate that every downstate Member, as they vote for their own county fair, should occasionally remember where this money comes from. And occasionally we should have the decency to return some of that money to the people of Chicago."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Bullock."

Bullock: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I wish to address my remarks to the



Gentleman from Hoffman Estates who probably has never visited that great urban fair called Chicago Fest. Chicago Fest, in the true sense of the word fair, is indeed that, Representative. And I want you to know that the money that is going from the Ag-Prem. Fund we've discussed here before in excess of 25 million dollars a year goes back into the General Revenue Fund while 82% of that money is generated in Cook County. I don't think this is blackmail and I don't think it's welfare, I think it's equity. And I think it's fair and I think it's just and I certainly rise in support of Representative Jones' motion to concur on Conference Committee #2 and I submit to you that the Ag-Prem. Fund was intended for fairs and that the Chicago Fest, both under former Mayor Bilandic and now under the new Mayor, Jane Byrne, will go down in history as one of the great fairs of our time. And suburbanites come in in droves Representative on the RTA."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Swanstrom."

Swanstrom: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I move the previous question."

Speaker Bradley: "I was kind of enjoying it. The... moved the previous question. Everybody in favor of the motion signify by saying 'aye', opposed by saying 'no'. And the ayes have it. And Mr. Jones to close the debate."

Jones: "I did take this back to the... for the second Conference to try to work out something but this is not deducted from the State Fair Agency appropriation but it adds on and so it does not affect the basic cost of running the Illinois State Fair. And it's in a transition stage now and this would be a year for it to do its right thing and it would be a shame if this Bill does not



pass and they don't have the money to operate on. I move that we put green votes on the board and take care of both considerations."

Speaker Bradley: "Question's on the Gentleman's motion. All in favor signify by voting aye, opposed by voting no. Mr. Robbins to explain his vote."

Robbins: "Just a few hours ago Mr. McPike, in the Conference Committee, strongly supported the rehabilitation of downstate fairs. Not only that, I think that he understands what they mean to us as we understand what they Chicago Fest means to Chicago and I might also say that the people attending that will spend money and the 5% sales tax which will come back in to State of Illinois will come close to balancing the budget."

Speaker Bradley: "McLean County is rather big too. I wish you'd mentioned that. Mr. Borchers."

Borchers: "Is that on? Mr. Speaker, it just happened that a couple of months ago I was appointed to the Special Events Commission and I attended the first meeting of that Commission in Chicago and this matter was brought up in that Commission. I was the only Legislator there though others should have gone. So I listened into this. I can't say this is a rip-off but they did have trouble as I recollect the year passed and they did feel that it would be a good thing for Chicago and for the areas around Chicago if they secured help from the State of Illinois. Now I'm not unconvinced that maybe this is not a just cause because from what I've heard, they expect it to be very worthwhile for the people of Chicago and downstate and I believe they were planning, as I recollect, of putting it at Navy Pier. So I think it's not a bad idea to help them on this particular fact."



Speaker Bradley: "Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from McLean, Mr. Ropp."

Ropp: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I always get excited about the State Fair and I always am delighted to go back to it every year because we've been there about 30 years in a row. Ten years before we could even exhibit. I always am also a little bit perturbed when some people feel that the only people that really deserve that money is the two or three counties in which that money comes through the betting process. Might I reassure you that the real emphasis of a fair, I think, comes from rural Illinois. People who work hard in breeding those horses. Feeding and preparing and caring for those particular animals that make it possible to bet on them. I think the whole State Fair is a tremendous thing and when we talk about it just being just for one or two or three counties in the state. I think this is always wrong. And I too think that the Ag-Premium Fund should probably be used for things. As a particular the law right now states that it should be used for agricultural exhibits and I'm not too sure that the million dollars that is prepared or sponsored in this particular case to go for this Fun Fest is in the right interest. I'm not too sure that's it's agricultural and the second point, I think, too; that what we need to do is to be more concerned about county fairs throughout the State of Illinois since particularly in Cook County right now they're getting their FFA money. They're getting their 4-H money and also over two million dollars to McCormick Place. So don't ever think that Cook County is left out because they're certainly getting their fair share."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Slape."



Slape: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Representative Giorgi and I had a long talk today and we met with some of the people from Chicago. After coming to the conclusion that we reached the other day on the downstate Bill for this increase in the State Fair Fund, that this money does not hurt the use or slow the development. Now put that in the record this time Mr. Giorgi: I'm very happy to vote yes."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Henry to explain his vote."

Henry: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen, I just like to say please do not back great State of Illinois. I intend this summer to bring some youngsters from the inner city to the State Fair. I know what it does for southern Illinois but I also would like to suggest that some of my friends from southern Illinois bring some the youngsters to Chicago Fun Fest. I support the motion."

Speaker Bradley: "Have... Mr. Richmond to explain his vote."

Richmond: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Very briefly, once again, when this subject comes up there's some errors made by some of the speakers. When they enumerate the counties that contribute to this fund, they invariably leave out that county in my district in the 58th, Perry County, the home of the Hamiltonian which provides a great amount of money for this fund."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Totten to explain his vote. No?"

Totten: "Point of order."

Speaker Bradley: "Point of order."

Totten: "I.. Mr. Speaker, on a point of order. I watched while Members pushed other Members switches as I was standing here and I don't like to delay the operation but those Members who are not here switches



be pushed back to present. I want verify it.
Otherwise I will."

Speaker Bradley: "All the Members that aren't here please
push your switches back to present."

Totten: "That's not exactly the way I put it."

Speaker Bradley: "I thought it was word for word. Mr.
Giorgi on... to explain his vote."

Giorgi: "What remarkable eyesight Tom has. Mr. Speaker,
you know, about a month ago McBroom came in here
and passed an agricultural premium funding Bill
that is going to fund county fairs from 4 million
dollars this year to 5,600,000 dollars starting
in 1980. And Ropp made a grab earlier this year
for 60 grand and he's voting red. Vinson made
a grab for 60 grand, he's voting red. Neff
who's got his hands and his feet in there every
week, he's voting red. And I can't believe
... Why don't you wrap yourselves in the American
flag and walk down the middle aisle? I never
saw such frauds in my life. You've got the record
to prove it. Tuerk won't even... Yeah, Tuerk voted
green. Thanks Tuerk. I'm waiting here for that
other great American now. McBroom's green."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Mahar to explain his vote."

Mahar: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen
of the House. I'm supporting this measure because
I think it's a good idea for us. I think it's good
for Chicago but I don't want to be a broken record.
It seems to me there ought to be a few more people
up there going to yellow. The same people we've
been fooling with all afternoon. I'm sure we've
got 107 votes so I think you ought to go back to
yellow. Those who have been pushing some of those
switches all afternoon."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Vinson, the Gentleman from DeWitt."

Vinson: "... Mr. Speaker, I rise on a point of order since



my name was mentioned in debate. I want Mr. Giorgi to look at that... the rest of that score board up there. The 44th District got 40,000 dollars and 33% of the 44th District's voting for this Bill. So you're getting a fair deal."

Speaker Bradley: "What happened to the 44th District? Has there been a breakdown? Have all... Mr. Collins just to explain his vote."

Collins: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, far be it from me to point any fingers or use words like 'fraud' but we have become accustomed to the big wind from Winnebago. We've heard them talk about... We've heard that wind blow in exposition centers in Rockford. We've seen paving of roads that lead to nowhere. We've seen State of Illinois buildings built in the town of Rockford and the wind continues to whistle across the plains and swallow up the taxpayers dollars. And my Lord it looks like they're going to put up a hot rod track in there or something. And we've seen... going from bingo to horseracing to jai-lai and the wind continues to blow Mr. Speaker. But let me say to you that I don't think anybody in Cook County or anyone from Cook County knows the State Fair better than I or has attended it more often or has looked into the affairs of the State Fair more carefully. In 1970 we were part of a Subcommittee that cut the deficit of the State Fair from 2½ million dollars to a million dollars and I think we can continue in that direction. And the State Fair has always been a loser and I know the Gentlemen like Representative Lechowicz and Vinson and Kane and others have done everything they can to cut that deficit but this is the way the



State Fair's always been and it's been a loser. But then when we look at an Amendment into this Bill when Mayor Bilandic initiated a marvelous program last summer in Chicago called Chicago Fest and I was amazed to see after this great event which I think I attended two times and my children attended many times that it actually lost money. But then we have to shrug our shoulders and say, 'What the Hell, this is Chicago and this is the way they do things'. But then... then, if I recall it was only a 200,000 deficit. Now in anticipation of Chicago Fest II, they're asking the State of Illinois to pick up not last years 200,000 dollars deficit but they're anticipating... anticipating a 900,000 dollar deficit for Chicago Fest or Byrne Fest or whatever the Hell they call it now. Now if they anticipate a 900,000 dollar deficit you can imagine what the supplemental raid on the agricultural premium fund will be in 1981. I would say that Chicago Fest will probably lose more money than the State Fair has lost in the last ten years."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Collins you're close to one minute. You're very close to using a full minute."

Collins: "I doubt it Mr. Speaker, I hardly begin to wind up. But Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Bradley: "You're face is getting red though."

Collins: "Well, Representative Cull... Representative Cullerton asked me to Taylor Pouncey this morning what turns me red and it's just a waste of taxpayers money and red figures on the taxpayers rolls. This does turn me red Mr. Speaker. I'm voting for the State Fair because I think it's something that we need and we all love and we all should enjoy. But to blackmail us in this fashion while it is typical of Chicago, it certainly unconscionable."



Speaker Bradley: "Mr. McClain to explain your vote.

Not to exceed one minute."

McClain: "Thank you very much Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I just want point out to the Membership that my secretary got a helmet, football helmet, from Griffin High School and we put together a Notre Dame bumper sticker and we're going to give it Madigan as he tries to shove through the road program so he could fight off Lee Daniels and everybody else throwing things at him. So that's what you see there. The football helmet with Notre Dame written all over it so that he could help fight it off but after Collins gets so red in the face maybe we ought to just give it to... give it to... give it to Phil."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I want you to know what I don't understand is agricultural premium fund is supported by the race tracks. Now when you go to the race tracks, I don't know how many of you have been to the race tracks, but when you go to the race tracks the minorities are not in the minority. You understand what I'm talking about? So we all go out there to lose our money so we can put some roof on your fair grounds. You understand? That don't make sense to me. I've been sitting here the whole Session and I don't understand it. Now the last day we finally got a chance, we finally got a chance to put some of that money back in Chicago so we can go down to the Chicago Fest and lose our money down there. Now I appreciate it if you all be going green. Understand? Thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "We've already taken the record. We haven't announced it yet. The... We had a few more



who wanted to explain their votes. Mr. Birkinbine to explain his vote. I'll tell you where I think we are. We have two appropriation Bills we can't do anything with them until we get the message. One of them is the omnibus and D.O.T. Those are the two and a couple more... we'll go to the Bills that we... I think there's the law enforcement Bill for the second Conference Committee Report. Those are the three appropriation Bills we're waiting for. In the meantime, we've got some Bills to call so if you're going to continue explaining your votes you're killing other Members chances to pass Bills. But that's up to you."

Birkinbine: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'll keep this very short. The reason I'm voting no is that in every discussion on the State Fair that we've had so far, the reason that has been given that the Springfield State Fair cannot break even is that it is not in a large metropolis such as Chicago. Now we have such a fair in the metropolis of Chicago and it doesn't even have to worry about breaking even during the winter months. It's only for a few weeks and I think it should be able to fly by itself. Just as the arguments earlier on, I think in part, given by Mr. Kane and others indicated."

Speaker Bradley: "Representative Satterthwaite to explain her vote. Satterthwaite."

Satterthwaite: "Not to explain my vote but simply to suggest that we start using the timer so that we can get to the other Bills on the Calendar."

Speaker Bradley: "Good idea. Alright, on this question there are 117 ayes, 22 nos and the House does adopt Conference Committee Report #2 to House Bill 1642. Mr. Totten."

Totten: "Mr. Speaker, I've been... I've had my light on for the



last five minutes. I told you I was going to verify if there were switches pushed. We've counted I think 11 switches pushed right now that aren't here that we can't find. And I have a right for that verification."

Speaker Bradley: "I'm sorry. I had all these papers. I thought we'd called on everybody and gave everybody their opportunity. I owe you a light and you're not timely on that request. No he's not. I've already announced it. We're on Senate Bill 105... 105... I announced the Roll. 1051 Mr. Donovan. I'm learning. Mr. Donovan. Mr. Totten on a point of order."

Totten: "Mr. Speaker, I am going to verify this Roll Call and I'm timely because we haven't even started on it yet and I want to verify 1051."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Donovan on 1051."

Donovan: "Yes Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like to move adoption of the second Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1051. As you can see on your Report papers, the Senate receded on Amendment #1 and Amendment #2 is really the only one that was dealt with in the second Conference Committee Report. It is nothing more than an Amendment that clarifies language and makes no substantive change. Now what it says is that it specifies that judges of the Circuit Court that make interim appointments to fill the vacancy of the office of Circuit Clerk and their respective judicial districts. Now the key word in there is all the judges of the Circuit Court will make the interim appointment. Mr. Speaker, I move for the adoption of Conference Committee Report 2 to House Bill 1051. House Bill 1051 originally states that the word 'spoiled' shall be written across the face of a



ballot when a voter errors or mutilates a ballot when they're voting. We noticed this in my discovery recount. That's where the Bill was originally described and we feel it's a good Bill and I ask yoursupport on House Bill 1051."

Speaker Bradley: "Discussion? Hearing none, the motion is to adopt the second Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1051. All in favor signify by voting aye, opposed by voting no. Only those that are here vote their switches. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Wolf. What purpose do you rise?"

Wolf: "To expedite matters, I thought all those who aren't here if they would just raise their hands it would make it a lot easier for Mr. Totten to verify."

Speaker Bradley: "Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 115 ayes and 4 nos and Mr. Dawson what purpose do you rise?"

Dawson: "I'd like to just verify the Roll Call please."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Dawson asks to request a verification. Mr. Donovan wishes to poll the absentees. Correct?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of the absentees. E.M. Barnes. Jane Barnes."

Speaker Bradley: "Pardon me. Mr. Totten."

Totten: "Mr. Speaker, I had asked prior... as soon as we called 1051, for a verification. I'd like to do the verification."

Speaker Bradley: "I didn't know what purpose he was... I knew you were going to verify and I didn't know what he had his light on for Mr. Totten."

Totten: "You're recognizing me for the verification."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Yourell, what purpose do you rise?"

Yourell: "Yeah, Mr. Speaker, I think this is totally unfair to the Gentleman that sponsors this Bill. He's worked long and hard on it.. I think he's entitled to a Roll Call. Why should you take out your frustrations on him? This is his first Bill. He sat



here all day. He didn't bother anybody and now you're giving him all kinds of trouble. Do it on somebody else's Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Neff wishes to be recorded as voting aye. Mr. Vinson, for what purpose do you rise?"

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, I wish that Representative Totten would not verify this. I'm going to vote green and I'll stay green, but I do believe that it would be a terrible precedent to establish. If you grant Mr. Dawson the verification right. He begins to verify, withdraws the verification and then you deny Mr. Totten the verification. That would be the worst precedent this House could establish for the future."

Speaker Bradley: "The Parliamentarian in his ruling would suggest that if Mr. Dawson would do something like that that we would go over to Mr. Totten..."

Vinson: "Thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "... let him verify. Now Mr. Johnson wishes to be recorded as voting no. Mr. Harris, what purpose do you rise?"

Harris: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. In my judgment, this is no way to treat a freshman Legislator with a Bill of this type. A fellow has worked diligently into our Session to take frustration and some kind of anger out on a freshman Legislator. This is something that blows all others."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Collins."

Collins: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I can certainly understand Representative Totten's frustration. You treated him with uncharacteristic unfairness or maybe I should say characteristic unfairness on the last motion. But I would ask him to withdraw this request for a verification. This is a good Bill. It is a good Sponsor and I would ask



Representative Totten to refrain until one of the bad guys and then let's hammer them."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Collins, the Chair recognized at least 15 people, the last one to explain their vote. McDonald wishes to be recorded as aye. Mr. McAuliffe. Mr. McAuliffe wishes to be recorded as voting aye. Hallstrom. Hallstrom wishes to be... Mrs. Hallstrom wishes to be recorded as voting aye. Mr. Balanoff aye. Mr. Daniels wishes to be verified. Mr. Walsh. Mr. Walsh wishes to be recorded as voting no. Mr. Hoffman wishes to be verified. Does he have leave to be verified? Friedland wishes to go from no to aye. Mr. Bowman wishes to go from present to aye. Mr. Dunn, what purpose do you rise?"

Dunn: "Request leave to be verified Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Dunn wishes to be verified. Leave to be verified? Mr. Dunn. Mr. Totten. Mr. Dawson we have 122 aye. Do you persist? Withdraws his request. He withdrew his request Mr. Totten. Do you persist?"

Totten: "What is the count now Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Bradley: "122 aye."

Totten: "Has the Gentlemen asked for a poll of the absentees?"

Speaker Bradley: "I imagine he did but we haven't started the poll... Mr. Leverenz, what purpose do you rise?"

Leverenz: "Poll of the absentees."

Speaker Bradley: "The Sponsor had requested that and then we started people on the aye votes and Mr. Totten.

Totten: "Okay. Mr. Speaker, I'll remove my request on this one but I will ask for a... to be recognized right now. I'll tell you right now I want to verify 157. And I want to be recognized with the purpose of verifying the Roll Call on 157."

Speaker Bradley: "You'll... recognize you for that purpose sir. On this question 122 ayes, 5 nos and the House



does adopt Conference Committee Report #2 to House Bill 1051 with a 3/5 Majority. Mr. Reilly on 1986. And Mr. Reilly moves that we suspend the Rule 68E take it from the table and on that motion... If there are no objections, we'll use the Attendance Roll Call. Hearing no objections, we'll use the Attendance Roll Call. And the motion is adopted. Now on 1986."

Reilly: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I move the adoption of the first Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1986. This Bill originally started out as a technical clarification of the language in the Pension Code for the state police. Originally the state policemen themselves, the troopers had some problems with the language. The Senate added an Amendment on at the behest of Senator Rock which took care of the problems the state police had with this. The Department, as I understand it, has no position or no objections at this time. So I would move the adoption of Conference Committee Report #1 on House Bill 1986."

Speaker Bradley: "Discussion? Hearing none, the question's on the adoption of the Gentleman's motion. All in favor signify by voting aye, opposed by voting no. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 134 ayes, no nays and the House does adopt Conference Committee Report #1 to House Bill 1986 with a 3/5 Majority. Now, Senate Bill 17. On the original Calendar Mr. Hallock. What's the motion sir?"

Hallock: "Mr. Speaker, I move that the House concur with the Conference Committee Report #2."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Hallock, do you know if we suspended the rule on that this morning?"

Hallock: "Yes we did?"

Speaker Bradley: "Okay. On the Gentleman's motion to adopt



the second Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 17. On the Gentleman's motion, all in favor signify by voting aye, opposed by voting no.

Mr. Dawson, what purpose do you rise?"

Dawson: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to explain my vote on this."

Speaker Bradley: "Please proceed."

Dawson: "Mr. Speaker, this is an agreement before that says the Amendments have been taken off this Bill. This is to become an anti-labor Bill and it's been asked not to be supported by the AFLCIO."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Hallock."

Hallock: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, let me explain to you what this Bill does. It's very short and very important. What this Bill would do would exclude from the Illinois Minimum Wage law certain camps. Girl Scout camps, Boy Scout camps, Rotary Club camps, and so on. Church camps. The unions have come out against this Bill. I submit to you that this would be a small concession for the unions but a large step forward for the people and the working people of Illinois who count on sending their kids to camp in the summer. This is a merely proposal to the unions I would say. It would go a long way for all the people in Illinois. If this Bill is defeated, I want the defeat to lay where it should. At the foot of the AFLCIO. They're the ones who oppose it and the people should know that. I would ask you again for your support of this Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Borchers."

Borchers: "Mr. Speaker and fellow Members of the House, now I've been in the past an assistant camp director for a Boy Scout camp and some of those boys... oh for heaven's sake that's some...time ago those boys that are leaders often go there and work and get nothing



but their keep and the honor of being the leader. And they're happy to do it. A minimum wage would possibly make it impossible for them to go to camp. It'd be impossible to operate a Boy Scout camp because many of these young men are, and I suspect the Girl Scouts are the same, many of these young men act as camp leaders, tent leaders leaders of scout craft.. fire... fire... I forget the titles anymore. It's been quite a while but we should not put, in any way, any obstacle for a boy, young man, in any kind of a camp to be able to go there and help make himself a good citizen and a good leader and he shouldn't have to be... the camp shouldn't have to be forced to pay any minimum wage which might make it impossible for them to operate. When a boy leader or a young man is a leader is taking a bunch of Boy Scouts down a trail and then the government or the... any union, I think, would be out of line even suggesting that he has to be paid a minimum wage for leading a bunch of Boy Scouts in a trail or Girl Scouts on a trail on a camping or a nature expedition. Why it's perfectly ridiculous to even think such a thing so I think we should certainly support this Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Birkinbine."

Birkinbine: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would simply suggest that admittedly this Bill might not be on the favorite list of the state AFLCIO but I also suggest that in so far as picking up a flag or four flags from the AFLCIO this Bill will not enter into it at all. I had a Bill earlier in the year that dealt with 15 and 16 year olds working in ice skating rinks. Now the state AFLCIO was opposed to that. In talking



with their representative in the Labor and Commerce Committee, he really couldn't explain why but he said, 'My boss is against it so what else can I say'. But the opposition was token. I suggest to you that the same kind of opposition to this Bill is also token. They're not quite sure why they're upset but it's there. Now as somebody who has received support and often votes the business way, I can vote against business issues sometimes when I feel business is wrong in making the decision. I would hope that people who have received from labor and who are hoping to get as many flags as possible will not let their token opposition to this Bill stand in the way of helping Boy Scout and Girl Scout camps. All it does is remove those people who work as counselors in those camps from the overtime. From the overtime provision of the minimum wage law. Certainly it's for the benefit of the camping system and to the counselors and I don't think, in fact, I don't think any of you think that organized labor is going to hold this vote against you. I suggest that it's to the benefit of the state and not to the detriment. Please vote yes."

Clerk Leone: "Representative Lechowicz in the Chair."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady from Cook, Mrs. Willer."

Willer: "Yes, will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Indicates he will."

Willer: "If this Bill does not pass, will these camps have to pay overtime for the help?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Hallock."

Hallock: "The impetus for this Bill are Rose and Winnebago County wherein we have a few camps that are just about precluded from staying open because they can't afford to pay these costs. Because of that fact, they came to me in the



Senator Martin and suggested this proposal. They are faced with a situation that they are almost certain to close unless this Bill passes. Federal law makes a similar exemption. We in Illinois do not and that's why I submit to you this Bill is very important to keep these camps open. Without this Bill, you're going to find when you go home this summer a lot of camps and camp counselors will say to you they cannot be open in the fall or next year or next summer and this is the reason why and there'll be no one to blame but the Members here who do not support this Bill."

Willer: "Then your answer to my question is yes. The are forced to pay overtime unless this Bill passes. You say yes. Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Huskey."

Huskey: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, your absent vote or your yellow vote on that board is the same vote as against America. It's against Americanism. The Boy Scouts of America are... most cases are newspaper boys or boys that earn their pennies to team them together so they can get to go to the camps in the summertime. In many many cases the only time that the inner city boys, a lot of the inner city boys from the poor and the poverty ridden areas ever get a chance to see the country areas and go out and enjoy fishing and swimming and sports is when they get taken to a Boy Scout camp. And that money has to come from somewhere. It comes from contributions of small people. Not from big business. You're not voting against big business. You're voting against the boy that can go from the inner city out to a camp and spend his summer row boating, fishing, and enjoying the things



that a boy should enjoy. And that yellow vote and that red vote and that no vote is a vote against America itself. Search your conscience on that vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Getty."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, I rise on a point of inquiry."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Hallock, maybe you want to hear this. Proceed sir. Mr. Getty."

Getty: "I rise on a point of parliamentary inquiry. I would ask if the Parliamentarian would examine the Bill and the Amendments and the Conference Committee Report and advise the chamber if it has an immediate effective date and if it does not have an immediate effective date, when it would become effective if we were to pass this Bill tonight."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Would you restate your point sir. I wasn't...talking with someone else."

Getty: "The point is if this Bill were to be passed tonight, when would it become effective?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Hallock, does it have an immediate effective date?"

Hallock: "No, it does not."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Nowhere in the Bill?"

Hallock: "No."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Next July 1."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, I then suggest that this Bill which apparently, according to the proponents, is an emergency. I suggest it probably is would have no value at this time anyway."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Your point is well taken."

Hallock: "Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Yes sir."

Hallock: "I would argue in lieu thereof that because it did not have any effective date it merely needs 89 votes. And I would hope that my colleagues on the



other side of the aisle could give me enough to meet that goal. It's passed the Senate unanimously or close there to. The unions in that posture over there do not seem to feel the same opposition. It's passed the Committees in both Houses without too much trouble. I just want to remind you one more thing; tonight I'm going let this Bill go down if it doesn't receive the votes necessary. but I want you to know once again as I said before where it came from. Girl Scout camps in Rockford Illinois came to me..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "I think you already made that point. Gentleman from Cook, Mr. DiPrima."

DiPrima: "Yes sir. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I supported labor to the hilt ever since I came down here 17 years ago but now I am reconsidering and I'm going to support this Bill in the name of patriotism. After all, this is for the Boy Scouts and the Girl Scouts and I'm going to vote for it and I hope the rest of you jump on. Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady from Lake, Mrs. Reed."

Reed: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I rarely rise to speak on anything other than my own legislation, but I want you to know when this Bill first came over to the House I opposed it. And I opposed it because the UAWCIO has got think tanks all over the United States of America. The particularly have one that I was privileged to visit over at Black Lake, Michigan. Something like 700 acres for the use of those people who are members of the CIO. Now when I look up there and see all of the yellow and red lights I cannot believe it because this law applies to those think tanks in Illinois, Michigan, all over this nation the same as they do to the Boy Scouts and the Girl Scouts in this state."



Now I did check with one of the spokesman for labor who assured me that in their think tanks they pay minimum wage. I hope they do, but for..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Marovitz. Timer's on."

Marovitz: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I don't know what the Parliamentarian's posture is upon this Bill receiving 89 votes but if it does receive 89 votes I request a verification of the Affirmative Roll Call."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady from Champaign, Mrs. Satterthwaite to explain her vote. Timer's on."

Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, as you see by the number, this Bill was introduced very early. I think it's a real sign that we have not effectively addressed the problem presented by this Bill. I'm concerned that we, in the process, have leaned over backwards to accomodate labor and not really addressed the problem. If you go to a summer camp as an employee and get all of your meals and get your housing, get the privileges of swimming and many other things that go along with camp counseling, I'm not sure that you should still be in the market at a minimum wage or more. For those employees who come in only on an hourly basis they probably should. I think our Committees should have done a better job of distinguishing between the types of employees that this Bill was to cover. I regret that they did not but I'm going to support it because we did not..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman from Wayne, Mr. Robbins to explain his vote. Timer's on."

Robbins: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen, I want to point out one thing that is very important. These young people besides being... having something to eat and having something to do, they are receiving



good guidance. They are out of trouble. They're not costing you a lot of extra money in the abortion clinics and that kind of thing and they probably won't because they learn what things are about. How to be honorable. What is important. Now, where can you be taught these things and get paid for doing it? It doesn't make any difference ... I guess I'm not... haven't got along too good because I remember when I worked all summer, three months, for 100 dollars. I can remember when I could go out and pick blackberries &..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Just for the Membership. There's about 15 additional lights blinking. That's why I thought it would be proper to use the timer. Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Matula. Timer's on."

Matule: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'm quite surprised this evening to see a piece of legislation as this to have a real hard time getting over the hill. I wonder what would happen if some little Boy Scout or Girl Scout sat here this evening to see what is going on tonight as far as the poor child trying to get to a camp. That poor boy or girl may be saving their nickels and dimes all year and if this legislation doesn't pass, it's going to create a hardship on him. It's going to create a hardship on the camps. It's going to cost more, and I plead with each and everyone of you. Think of that little boy and that little girl. They're hearts are set, set to go out that camp this summer but maybe that camp will be closed. Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman from Madison, Mr. McPike."

McPike: "Mr. Speaker, I've noticed that everybody's explained their vote but you have not taken the record and I would like to ask the Sponsor a question. Would the Sponsor yield?"



Speaker Lechowicz: "Wait. Let's take the record first."

McPike: "Then I couldn't ask a question if you would take the record."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Alright. Mr.... Yes, he indicates he will."

McPike: "Could you tell us if the Bill is now in the posture as it left the Senate or in the posture as it left the House?"

Hallock: "It's in the position as it left the House."

McPike: "Is the House Amendment on this Bill?"

Hallock: "No, the House Amendment was taken off in Senate."

McPike: "Well then it's not in the posture that it left the House."

Hallock: "It's in the posture now as introduced."

McPike: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to address the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Please proceed sir."

McPike: "This Bill came across in the Senate and it came to the Labor Committee and we understood the problem as explained to us by the Sponsor. She wanted to exempt youth summer camps from the overtime provisions of the Minimum Wage Law. But the Bill read that it would exempt youth summer camps along with non-for-profit educational centers from the entire provisions of Minimum Wage Law. We pointed this out and offered a very simple House Amendment. The Amendment simply said that we were willing to exempt them from the overtime provisions of the Minimum Wage Law. That's how it left the House. Now the Department of Labor, our Illinois Department of Labor, has already ruled ... has already ruled that these employees work eight hours a day. They sleep eight hours a day and they have eight hours a day of relaxation, recreation, whatever they choose. But our Department of Labor has ruled that the youth camps



only have to pay them for eight hours a day even though they are there for 24 hours a day. So it was not even necessary that this Bill go into effect because the Department of Labor has already said that it doesn't effect these youth camps. However, just to accomodate the Senate Sponsor, we specifically in House Amendment said that they would not be under the overtime provision. The Senate Sponsor refused to accept the House Amendment and now she is coming back to us asking us to recede. I think that it's wrong that we should do so. I think that we have tried to accomodate her. Tried to address the problem, a problem that really doesn't exist."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wish?"

Hallock: "Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wish? You want to respond sir? You can respond in closing. Have all... You know, I got up here in the middle and I... Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Hallock."

Hallock: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, when I was a young man I went to YMCA camp and Boy Scout camp and mingled of people from all over Illinois. Kids from the inner-city, rich and poor. All walks of life. Those were, to me, some of the more educational opportunities of my lifetime and I benefited from that. If we don't pass this Bill here tonight, your kids and my kids and kids of all your friends and your colleagues in unions and in business will not have that same opportunity, and they will be at a loss and worse off for that. I say to you if this Bill does not get the votes required tonight, I'm going to let die. And I can go home and explain my position and say what I tried to do and why I tried to do it. But you on



the other side of the aisle who are not supporting this measure cannot do that so easily. So I would say to you one more time. I would appreciate your support. The people of Illinois would appreciate your support and I ask for your favorable Roll Call. Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Marion, Mr. Freidrich."

Friedrich: "I say shame on organized labor for opposing a Bill of this kind. We've got camps in our area and none of them are self-supporting. They have to be made up by contributions from the communities all over the area. A lot of kids you say you take care of the poor and keep yelling about the poor. A lot of these kids can't pay their way to camp. It's the only chance they have to do something of this kind, and now what you're doing will cause some of these camps to close. Boy Scout camp, church camp, and so on. Shame on you. That's all I say."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Wikoff."

Wikoff: "Thank you Mr. Chairman... or Mr. Speaker. In explaining my yes vote, I have two daughters which grew up all the way through Girl Scouts. Spent several summers in counseling. They really weren't concerned about the minimum wage and they could have made a lot more money in a lot of other places. But they got much more than they ever contributed with the time that they spent in those areas and I think this is really a problem that we are foisting upon the American public when we might be depriving some people of the opportunity to go to camp if we create the problem which is going to cost more to put those camps on."

Speaker Lechowicz: "There's been a request for a verification. Gentleman requests a poll of the absentees. Poll the absentees."



Clerk Leone: "E.M. Barnes. Beatty. Bluthardt. Braun.
 Capparelli. Capuzi. Currie. Darrow. Domico.
 Donovan. Doyle. John Dunn. Epton. Ewell.
 Farley. Garmisa. Hanahan. Huff. Jaffe.
 Emil Jones. Keane. Klosak. Kornowicz.
 Kosinski. Kozubowski. Kucharski. Laurino.
 Lechowicz. Leinenweber. Leverenz. Meyer.
 Molloy. Mugalian. Patrick. Pierce. Sandquist.
 Schisler. Schlickman. Schneider. Taylor.
 Terzich. Van Duyne. Vitek. Waddell. White.
 Sam Wolf. Younge. Yourell. And Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Does the Gentleman persist in the
 verification? He does. Proceed. We'll verify the
 Affirmative Roll. Excuse me. Gentleman from
 Cook, Mr. Marovitz, what purpose do you seek
 recognition?"

Marovitz: "Verification Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "That's what we're going to do. Mr.
 Hudson. Mr. Totten do you want to seek recognition
 from Mr. Hudson's desk? The light was on. Okay.
 Proceed to verify the Affirmative vote."

Clerk Leone: "Abramson. Ackerman. Anderson. Jane Barnes.
 Bell. Bianco. Birchler. Birkinbine. Borchers.
 Boucek. Bower. Brummer. Campbell. Catania.
 Collins. Conti. Daniels. Davis. Deuster.
 DiPrima. Ralph Dunn. Dyer. Ebbesen. Ewing.
 Flinn. Virginia Frederick. Friedland. Friedrich.
 Griesheimer. Grossi. Hallock. Hallstrom. Harris.
 Hoffman. Hoxsey. Hudson. Huskey. Johnson.
 Dave Jones. Kempiners. Kent. Kulas. Macdonald.
 Mahar. Margalus. Matula. Mautino. McAuliffe.
 McBroom. McCourt. McGrew. McMaster. Mulcahey.
 Neff. Oblinger. Pechous. Peters. Piel. Polk.
 Pullen. Rea. Reed. Reilly. Rigney. Robbins.
 Ropp. Ryan. Satterthwaite. Schoeberlein. Schraeder.
 Schuneman. Simms. Skinner. Slape. Stanley.



Stearney. E.G. Steele. C.M. Stiehl. Sumner.
 Swanstrom. Telcser. Totten. Tuerk. Vinson.
 Walsh. Watson. Wikoff. Williams. Winchester.
 J.J. Wolf. And Woodyard."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Jackson, Mr. Richmond wants to be recorded as aye. Are there any questions of the Affirmative Roll Mr. Marovitz?"

Marovitz: "Yes Mr. Speaker. Mrs. Kent."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Wait a minute. What are we starting with Tony? We're starting off with 92 ayes and 14 nays. Kindly record Mr. Donovan as aye. Mr. Keane, aye. 94 ayes. Mr. Marovitz."

Marovitz: "Mrs. Kent."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mrs. Kent. Mrs. Kent in the chamber? How is the Lady recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Lady is recorded as voting aye."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Remove her."

Marovitz: "Mr. Campbell."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Campbell is here."

Marovitz: "Mr. Bower."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Who?"

Marovitz: "Mr. Bower."

Speaker Lechowicz: "He's here."

Marovitz: "Mr. Davis."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Davis. Mr. Davis. He's here."

Marovitz: "Mr. Ebbesen. I see him. I'm sorry. Mr. Ewing."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Ewing. Mr. Ewing. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "Gentleman is recorded as voting aye."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Remove him."

Marovitz: "Mr. Flinn."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Flinn. Mr. Flinn. How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting aye."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Remove him."

Marovitz: "Mr. Friedland."



Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Friedland is in the chamber."

Marovitz: "Mr. Griesheimer."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Commander's at the front."

Marovitz: "Where is Mr. Griesheimer?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "He's at the front. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting aye."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is Mr. Griesheimer in the chamber?
He's on the Wisconsin border probably."

Marovitz: "Have we removed him?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is the Gentleman in the chamber?
Remove him."

Marovitz: "E.G. Steele."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Steele. Mr. Steele. How is the
Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "Gentleman is recorded as voting aye."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Remove him."

Marovitz: "Mr. McCourt."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. McCourt. How's the Gentleman
recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "Gentleman is recorded as voting aye."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Remove him."

Marovitz: "Mr. Speaker, if I stop at this point and some
others change, am I refrained from coming back
and asking additional questions?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "That is correct sir."

Marovitz: "Jane Barnes."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mrs. Barnes. How is she recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Lady is recorded as voting aye."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Remove her."

Marovitz: "Mr. Slape."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Slape. How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting aye."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Remove him."

Marovitz: "Mr. Rea."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Rea. How's the Gentleman recorded?"



Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting aye."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Remove him."

Marovitz: "Mr. Bluthardt."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Bluthardt. How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "Gentleman is not recorded as voting."

Marovitz: "Mr. Mautino."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Mautino. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "Gentleman is recorded as voting aye."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Remove him."

Marovitz: "Mr. Harris."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Harris. Mr. Harris. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "Gentleman is recorded as voting aye."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Remove him."

Marovitz: "Mr. Rigney." I see the Gentleman. Mr. Hoffman.
Gené Hoffman."

Speaker Lechowicz: "How's the Gentleman... Is Mr. Hoffman in the chamber? Mr. Hoffman. How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "Gentleman is recorded as voting aye."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Remove him."

Marovitz: "Mr. Stearney."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman from Rock Island, Mr. Polk, what purpose seek recognition?"

Polk: "Mr. Chairman, for the purpose to verify Representative Mike Slape's daughter is in his chair. Would you verify Mike Slape's daughter?"

Marovitz: "Unfortunately, he probably left his daughter here. So somebody will have to take her home."

Polk: "I'll volunteer."

Marovitz: "Mr. Stearney."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Stearney. How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "Gentleman is recorded as voting aye."



Speaker Lechowicz: "Probably on a house call. Is the Gentleman in the chamber? Mr. Stearney. Remove him."

Marovitz: "Mr. McAuliffe."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. McAuliffe. He's probably with Mr. Stearney. How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "Gentleman is recorded as voting aye."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Remove him."

Marovitz: "Mr. Margulas."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Margulas."

Marovitz: "I know he's not with McAuliffe and Stearney."

Speaker Lechowicz: "How is the Gentleman recorded? He's here."

Marovitz: "Mr. Matula." There he is. I see the Gentleman.

Speaker Lechowicz: "He's always here." Gentleman from Effingham, Mr. Brummer, what purpose seek recognition?"

Brummer: "Is this matter below zero yet? I really don't see any reason why we couldn't give the Gentleman leave to continue the verification in the event that somehow there's at least 89, particularly we did that with leave so that he could return and continue with the verification."

Marovitz: "I would ask leave for return of the verification."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Put Mr. McAuliffe back... No. I'm sorry. It's not Mr. McAuliffe."

Marovitz: "Mr. Speaker, I'd be happy to..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Fine. You stop right? What's the count Tony?"

Marovitz: "I will be happy to stop if we could get back. If this is not 89 votes Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "What's the count Mr. Clerk? On this question there 80 ayes, 14 nays. The Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Hallock."

Hallock: "Mr. Speaker. Take the record."

Speaker Lechowicz: "On this question there are 80 ayes, 14 nays and this Bill having failed to receive the



Constitutional Majority is hereby declared lost.
 On Supplemental Calendar #2 appears House Bill
 956. Mr. McGrew. Is the Gentleman on the floor?
 956 sir. Before I proceed with that Bill. Gentleman
 from Macon, Mr. Borchers, what purpose seek recogni-
 tion?"

Borchers: "Very simple. I've spent... I know, perhaps,
 I'm out of order. Perhaps I am not, but I've
 spent 29 years donating my time to the Boy Scouts
 and Girl Scouts and I know there are over 10,000,000
 children go every summer to camp in this country
 and I think it's outrageous and completely... well
 I'll even say indecent what's happened here tonight.
 It's unAmerican in every degree and personally
 I feel kind of bad about it."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Henry, Mr. McGrew."

McGrew: "Thank you very much Mr. Speaker and Ladies and
 Gentlemen of the House. I move concur..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "No. You've got to make a motion
 to take from the table and suspend Rule 68D and E
 for immediate consideration..."

McGrew: "You read my mind."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman have leave? Hearing no
 objection... Nope. Here we go. Gentleman
 moves that the House suspend Rule 68D and E for
 immediate consideration. All in favor vote aye,
 all oppose vote nay. The Gentleman from Cook,
 Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, back now comes the question that
 we've dealt with several times and on each occasion
 defeated it. It's continuing education for public
 accountants. It... Unbelievable isn't it?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Incorrect."

Walsh: "This has more lives Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Point of order. Point of order by
 Mr. McGrew."



McGrew: "If the Gentleman would read instead of piping off all the time he would, first of all, notice that we are on a separate motion that if he would read the Conference Report that is what we withdrew and besides that, I'm on a motion."

Speaker Lechowicz: "For the second time, we're on House Bill 956. located on House Calendar Supplemental #2 under the area of motions. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh, were you completed sir?"

Walsh: "No. Not yet Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Alright."

Walsh: "If I might respond to that vicious attack Mr. Speaker. We are on a motion. There's no question about that. I am reliably informed, however; that what we're dealing with is a Conference Committee Report and the House Republican Staff Analysis says that this includes the Department of Registration and Education to establish rules requiring all persons registered as public accountants to participate in continuing education. Now, Mr. Speaker, the fact that we're on the motion does not preclude me and the Gentleman should know that being the Chairman of the Committee, does not preclude me from going to the substance of the matter. And with respect to the substance of the matter, we have discussed this over and over again this Session and have turned it down each time. And so I urge you not to give the Gentleman the 107 votes that he's looking for."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Henry, Mr. McGrew on a point of order."

McGrew: "I reiterate once again for the Gentleman. If he would read... First of all, on... I don't particularly like the idea of playing games with the motion. As I said before, if you want to kill anything; do it right. Secondly, if you will read the



Conference Report, sir, it says, and I quote;

'The Senate shall recede from Amendment #2!.

That's what you're referring to and what is..."

Walsh: "... Just hold on a second."

McGrew: "Well then you read it."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Maybe Mr. Vinson can help him read it.

Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "Yes Mr. Speaker. Last night I raised a point

in here that is relevant tonight. I think you did

something about it last and I wish you'd do it,

because I noticed today that the Rathskeller was

open. Now they've closed it now. They're supposed

to have it open when we're in here and I wish you'd

work on that again. I appreciate what you did last

night and I wish you'd do it again tonight."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Well if we hurry, we can still make

the Southernaire. Gentleman from DeKalb, Mr.

Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,

if it'll help any, while Representative Walsh and

Representative Schuneman are conversing over there

I will apologize for Representative Walsh for his

inability to see. He did come out to my office

about three months ago and I did prescribe glasses

for him. I think he's got a little difficulty

seeing and if that'll help any at this point and

time, Representative Walsh if I could turn that

back, you know, I think everything is straightened

out."

Speaker Lechowicz: "We'll get back to him momentarily.

Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Robbins."

Robbins: "Mr. Speaker, in case they want to know what they're

voting on it says an assistant principal can serve

as a principal for 60 days instead of five

months."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh."



Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, it is true that I did go see the doc and proclaimed me absolutely fit four or five months ago, and I don't know that that's much of a recommendation because I failed to see the word recede after Senate Amendment #1 and so the Gentleman is absolutely right and I can find no reason why we should not support his motion."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Walsh, you want to speak since you only spoke about three times against it? Have all... You're for it now. Is that correct sir? Alright. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The answer is yes. Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 113 ayes, 15 nay, 1 recorded as present and the Gentleman's motion prevails. Now the Gentleman from Henry, Mr. McGrew on House Bill 956."

McGrew: "Thank you very much Mr. Speaker. I would like leave for the same Roll Call with the absence of Walsh."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Okay. Fine."

McGrew: "The Bill provided for the..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "We'll take another Roll Call. Please proceed to explain the Bill sir."

McGrew: "Okay. There's a Bill..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Everyone's anxious to vote for it."

McGrew: "... where the assistant principal may only be an acting principal for up to five months as it left the House. Senate Amendment #1 lowered that to 60 days. That is what we are asking to concur in. Senate Amendment #2 was the continuing education for the CPA's to which the Gentleman referred. That is what we are receding from. That's what it tells both Houses to recede from. Even though I think it's a good Bill, we are receding



from it. The Bill is clear. It affects only the principals in the Chicago school districts."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? Gentleman from LaSalle, Mr. Anderson."

Anderson: "Yes, is the board right? Is this Conference Committee two or one? One. Okay."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "I have to apologize. I was so concerned about the Rathskeller, I did not here whether this Bill is the continuing education for accountants or not. Is it?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "It is not. Question is shall the House concur with the first Conference Committee Report. All in favor vote aye, all oppose vote nay. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 130 ayes, 3 nays, 1 recorded as present and the House does concur first Conference Committee Report on House Bill 956. This Bill having received the 3/5 Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Okay. Senate Bill 758 on the regular Calendar, Mr. Schuneman."

Schuneman: "Yes, thank you Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 758 is the insurance... the life and health guarantee fund which was introduced in the Senate and has now been amended by the first Conference Report into the same form as the House Bill 1223 and I would move concurrence with the Conference Report. Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Yes sir."

Schuneman: "Is another motion required, Mr. Speaker, before we move. No. This is on Conference Committee."

Speaker Lechowicz: "That is correct. Gentleman moves that... What? The Gentleman has moved... The Gentleman asks leave to use the Attendance Roll Call to suspend 68D and E. Any objections. Hearing none,



Gentleman's motion is granted. Now the Gentleman moves that we concur with the first Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 758. All in favor vote aye, all oppose vote nay. I can read the clock. Don't do it on this Bill. He'll have a heart attack. He wants to pass the Bill first. Have all voted who wish? I don't care who it is. Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 128 ayes, no nays, none recorded as present and the House does concur and moves the adoption of the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 758. This Bill having received the 3/5 Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Gentleman from Whiteside, Mr. Schuneman, what purpose seek recognition?"

Schuneman: "Well, on an inquiry of the Chair, Mr. Speaker. Last night when we reached midnight, we were informed that the Bills would now take 107 votes to pass and my running mate, Representative Ebbesen, told me that now that it's passed midnight of July 1 and is now July 2 that it takes 207 votes to pass and I just wondered if he was right and would you rule..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Having voted on the prevailing side on Senate Bill 758 I move that we reconsider the vote by which it was taken. What's your position now sir?"

Schuneman: "Well, just an inquiry of the Chair, Mr. Speaker. I think according to your response..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "I withdraw my..."

Schuneman: "... I would withdraw the..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "... reconsideration."

Schuneman: "Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner: Skinner please."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, before you ask leave to use the



Attendance Roll Call again for something, don't you think you ought to take one?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "We'll get to that. Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Preston. Gentleman from Cook... Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Kane."

Kane: "Inquiry of the Chair."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Yes sir."

Kane: "Were some of the rules that were suspended last night suspended for only one day?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "No sir. Not the one that I tried to move."

Kane: "I believe that some of those rules were suspended. I would ask the Parliamentarian."

Speaker Lechowicz: "I don't believe that was included in...Dwight Friedrich's motion was but Peter's didn't. Dwight Friedrich's original motion was but Peter's and I were not. I figured that there would be no way that we could complete last night nor during today and that's not... If you look at the clock, we're there. Gentleman from McLean, Mr. Bradley."

Bradley: "Mr. Speaker, in reply to Mr. Schuneman, if he'll give us a little time we'll get the 207 votes."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Let's call Senate Bill 971. Supplemental Calendar #3, Mr. Taylor."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, since you are affording me this privilege, I was wondering if that would possible since Representative Chapman, earlier in the afternoon, had a Bill that was quite important to her and her district. And she has the same, similar situation that I had and was not afforded the same opportunity you've afforded me to have it taken out of the record. I would wish that this House would give leave to Genie Chapman so that her Bill, House Bill 2184, could have the same



privilege you afford me at this moment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Objections have been raised."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, I would place a motion then. I move that we be able to give Representative Chapman leave to hear House Bill 2184."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Do you want to remove your Bill out of the Calendar then sir? I can call her Bill. There's no problem."

Taylor: "No problem with her Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "You want to proceed with your Bill sir?"

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Senate Bill 971 is a Bill dealing with industrial commission and one we argued about earlier which would give the court reporters a raise from 17,000 to 20,000 dollars. I think the Bill has been debated and I move for the adoption of Conference Committee Report #1."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The question is shall the House... Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Simms."

Simms: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I would like to address myself to the Bill. We have debated the Bill to a certain extent. I would just like to reiterate to the House of Representatives that this does two things. It does increase the commissioners' not the arbitrators' but the commissioners' on the industrial commission from five to seven commissioners, an increase. Also does increase the amount of remuneration that a court reporter to the industrial commission can receive up to 20,000 dollars a year which would be an estimated 208,000 dollars additional money in the industrial commission budget which has passed both Houses of the Legislature and I would urge that the Bill should be defeated on its merits. If not, that this Bill not receive the 107 votes but it only... If it is going to pass, that it not receive the 107 that it would take effect then July 1 of 1980."



and that way the Governor, if he saw fit to sign the Bill, could incorporate that into his budget for FY '81. And for these reasons, I would urge a no vote on Conference Committee #1 and if necessary I would like to be recognized for a verification if it should receive the necessary votes."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Taylor, to close."

Taylor: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. It is true that this would increase the commissioners' by two and it is true that it give the court reporter the increase in salary. But I know for a fact that the case load has been risen by some 30,000 and that has been reported out of the Governor's Office and I think they favor this proposal. This proposal will not go into effect until July 1980. I move for the concurrence of Conference Committee Report #1 to Senate Bill 971."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Question is shall the House adopt the first Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 971. All in favor vote aye, all oppose vote nay. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Birkinbine."

Birkinbine: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'd just like to reiterate what Mr. Simms said that there's a very distinct difference between the arbitrators and the commissioners. We're creating two more commissioners here but it's the arbitrators who handle the case loads. And I'd also like to, again, remind the Chair, that Mr. Simms called for a verification of the Roll Call if indeed this gets enough votes." Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take... Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Taylor."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, I don't have that much love for this



Bill. The Senator's do and he's sitting right behind me. Really this Bill was tabled because I had made the motion, but he had already agreed to accept what you got here. I will live with the ruling of this House and this Body, but I would like to get 107 votes on this Bill motion."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wish? Mr. Taylor, there's an inquiry by the Parliamentarian. Do you have an effective date on the Bill?"

Taylor: "I think it's July 1980."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Gentleman from Whiteside, Mr. Schuneman."

Schuneman: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I'd just like to point out to the Members of the House that this Bill has had a very rocky road all the way through the Legislature. It passed the Senate Committee on a bare minimum. Passed the Senate on a vote of only 30 votes which was precisely what it needed. It's been shifted back and forth from one House to another, and now it's in the posture where it won't go into effect until next July 1. There's no need to pass this Bill this Session, It should be taken up again next Session, given proper debate and we can see whether or not it has the support at that time. But it should go down this time and I urge a no vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bullock."

Timer's on"

Bullock: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, earlier this evening there were personages from both sides of the aisle who asked for fair play. Now I can stand here and look across this hall and probably close my eyes and verify at least 20 people from my right that aren't here and an equal number from this side that are not here. Now we spoke with personages and leadership from the Republican side of the aisle before this Bill was put back in the



record. And we were given assurances that when the Bill was called a second time that there, number one, would not be a verification and that, number two, this Bill would receive favorable treatment. Now if there is some regression on that statement, so be it. But I think, in the name of fair play, that you should give Representative Taylor the allotted number of votes for his Bill and that the proposed motion of proposed verification be withdrawn. Because I submit to you that there's more pressing business in the State of Illinois dealing with funding of vital agencies that we have to consider, and if we persist with this type of tactic, we're going to find ourselves in the similar position that we were in last night. And I certainly would urge persons on both sides of the aisle to give Representative Taylor an aye vote to pass this Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh, to explain his vote. Timer's on."

Walsh: "Well that was really an incredible statement that the last Gentleman made. Is he suggesting that we should pass a Bill with 25 affirmative votes? Or 10, or 11, or 15? We're not supposed to verify that you can talk to two or three people and the rest of us are silent from asking for a verification. That's ridiculous. If this Bill has 89 verified votes, then it will become law on July 1, 1980. If it doesn't, it should not. The people are entitled to that protection. That's just incredible to say, 'We talked with the leadership and they said they would not verify'. Filthy, suggesting that we should pass with fewer than the Constitutional Majority. You've been here long as that Mr. Bullock. You should know better."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bullock."



Bullock: "Mr. Speaker, my name was mentioned in debate and in reference to the Gentleman who raised the issue of squatters rights and Mathusal Concept, I'd like to indicate to him that I certainly will stay here as long as he will and verify every one of the votes and make sure that the citizens of the State of Illinois are protected and to make certain that the business of the State of Illinois is given due, deliberate consideration. And I think that the Gentleman would also be well-advised to at least have a word that means something whether you've been here a day or a hundred years. And we were given a word by personages on that side of the aisle that if this Bill were called again, it would get favorable treatment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, my colleague, everytime he sees a workmans' compensation label wants to jump through hoops. He's almost paranoid on this issue. Now I'm going to tell you what this issue does and if Taylor probably looked at this real close, he's asked us to get off this Bill. What this Bill does is increases the size of the industrial commission from five to seven members. Where maybe the Democrats have a three to two majority now, the Republicans would have a four to three if we adopt this Bill. The second thing... the second thing is something that the Republicans are always crying about. People with congenital back troubles or other problems that can't get employment, this will... would require an employer to notify the injured employee of available rehabilitation services the employee is not aware of. It's another plus for the paranoid Members of the other side of the aisle that do flips everytime they see the word 'workman's comp.'. And it also excludes the police-fire paramedics who should



be excluded because under the same business my colleague picking up bodies and that creates back injuries and back troubles and more claims. So, I think, Jim, if you look at this any longer you might ask us not to vote for it. I'm afraid we better get off this thing or something."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from LaSalle, Mr. Anderson. Timer's on."

Anderson: "Parliamentary inquiry Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "What's your point sir?"

Anderson: "Is it true that the recording tape has a timer that goes right along with it as..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Yes, sir, it is."

Anderson: "Well, if you note the time. It is after 12:00. We have not take a Roll Call. I suggest we take an oral verified Roll Call. I'd like to see who's here."

Speaker Lechowicz: "I'm sure we'll probably have the Roll Call as soon as the rest of the Membership returns from their respective Conference Committees. There's still a few Conference Committees meeting. Now, we're on this Bill. Anyone else want to explain their vote? Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mahar."

Mahar: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. What the Gentleman from Cook said a few moments ago in regard to the fact that this Bill should be allowed to pass because the leadership got together and decided it should pass and that we should not verify, and I would suggest that we solve our problems very quickly by putting all the rest of the stuff on one Roll Call and let the leadership vote it and the rest of us could go home. Because that's what's going to happen when the Hiawatha comes down the track these things are going to be all called. You're going to have 107 votes. The sledgehammer's going to roll and that's what



you're going to have. 85 people voting with 107 votes."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Borchers, to explain his vote. Timer's on."

Borchers: "Alright. Let's have a little order. I just..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Stand at attention. Alright."

Borchers: "Kick your heels. I just want to point out that I don't give a damn whether Democratic or Republican leaders. No one's going to have agreed Bill on me in any way. I'm going to do what I think is right for the quarter of million or some people that I represent and nobody has ever or ever will tell me how to vote. You ought to know that by this time. I don't go along with any agreement whatsoever. That's my business. Nobody else's."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there 88 ayes, 45 nos. Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Taylor."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker. will you poll the absentees?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Poll the absentees please."

Clerk Leone: "Poll of the absentees. E.M. Barnes. Jane Barnes. Bluthardt. Capparelli. Darrow. Ebbesen. Epton. Ewell. Ewing. Friedrich. Garmisa. Griesheimer. Hanahan. Hoffman. Jaffe. Kent. Klosak. Kornowicz. Kosinski. Kozubowski. Kucharski. Laurino. Leinenweber. McBroom. McMaster. Meyer. Molloy. Mugaliam. Neff. Pierce. Polk. Reilly. Ryan."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Polk wants to be recorded as no."

Clerk Leone: "Sandquist. Schlickman. Schneider. E.G. Steele. And Waddell."

Speaker Lechowicz: "That's concludes the polling of the absentees. What's the count Mr. Clerk? On this question there are 88 ayes, 46 nays and the House



does not adopt the first Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 9...Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Taylor. Taylor please."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, do to the fact that it's not my Bill and the Senate Sponsor is here that I have leave to place this on the Spring Calendar."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Hearing no objections, place the Bill on the Spring Calendar. House Bill 2184. Lady from Cook, Mrs. Chapman. Wait a minute Mr. Giorgi. Sit down. Mrs. Chapman."

Chapman: "Mr. Speaker, I ask leave to place House Bill 2184 on the Spring Calendar please."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Leave. Hearing no objections, Spring Calendar. Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Giorgi, what purpose seek recognition?"

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, can I have leave to be recorded no on that last Roll Call. Simms' Bill is such a bad Bill. I'd like to be recorded as no."

Speaker Lechowicz: "It was taken out of the record and put on the Spring Calendar. Mr. Schuneman. Yes sir."

Schuneman: "Yes, thank you Mr. Speaker, an inquiry of the Chair. The last Bill was put on the Spring Calendar and I'm curious to know what the posture of such Bills will be next spring. This is not a House Bill. This is a Senate Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Last one was a House Bill."

Schuneman: "No. I think Senator Knuppel was the Senate Sponsor..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "That was two Bills before."

Schuneman: "...a Senate Bill. I believe it was a Senate Bill Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "We'll adjust it in the Spring."

Schuneman: "I beg your pardon?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "We'll adjust it in the spring."

Schuneman: "We've got several Bills in that posture and this Bill came into the House and was presently in the



House on..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "According to the Parliamentarian it'll be on that Calendar unless the Senate tables this Bill. Mr. Getty."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to ask for the same privilege on House Bill 1357 to place it in the Spring Calendar."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any objections? Leave is granted. What was the Bill number? 1357?"

Getty: "1357."

Speaker Lechowicz: "House Bill... Where's it at?"

Getty: "It's on a Conference Committee which has not yet met."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Alright. House Bill 1357 is on the Spring Calendar. Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Donovan."

Donovan: "Mr. Speaker, I move to take from the table and suspend Rule 68D and E for immediate consideration to House Bill 1255."

Speaker Lechowicz: "And do you want to place that on the Spring Calendar? Oh. Pardon me. No he does not. Gentleman... Gentleman asks leave to take from the table and suspend 68E. Leave to use the Attendance Roll Call. Leave. Leave is granted. Mr. Donovan."

Donovan: "Mr. Speaker, I don't wish to proceed at this moment. We don't have enough votes."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Alright. We'll just leave it on the... We just suspended the Rule and leave it on the record. Gentleman from Wayne, Mr. Robbins."

Robbins: "Mr. Speaker, I would like leave to suspend the rules and place House Bill 1325 on the Spring Calendar. It is in Conference Committee..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Tell you what, it's be a lot easier for the Chair if everybody who wants to do that would come up and file... and give us the Bill numbers and where they're at on the Calendar then we can proceed to do that. We've got some other Bills we've go to call and one of them is 257. Mr.



McClain. Mr. McClain. The Gentleman's not here. House Bill 2679, Mrs. Willer. Oh. Okay. We'll be with you in a minute ma'am. Mr. Harris is going to take 257."

Harris: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 257, after Conference Committee, a bounty of 20 dollars per coyote pelt for the Illinois residents only from March the fourth through August the 31 payable by the Department of Conservation. If anyone outside of the State of Illinois it'd be a petty offense to falsify any pelts brought in outside of the state. I move for concurrence."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Johnson."

Johnson: "I'm just going to correct Mr. Harris and the board. I believe this Senate Bill rather than House Bill 257."

Harris: "Thank you Jim."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Tony, would you correct the board please. It's Senate Bill 257. There it is. Thank you. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Piel."

Piel: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Can I have a ruling from the Chair. I was under the impression that we made a ruling yesterday, early yesterday morning, that a Conference Committee Report would not be valid if all names were not typed on the back. I noticed we don't have. We've got two blank spaces on there."

Speaker Lechowicz: "What was your question there Mr. Piel?"

Piel: "I'd like a ruling from the Chair. We had a discussion yesterday and I was under the impression that a Conference Committee Report would not be valid unless we had all ten names, at least typed on the back. I noticed we've got two blank faces there. No signatures. No names typed. Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Borchers."



Borchers: "Mr. Speaker and fellow Members of the House.

Now according to this analysis on Senate Bill 257, it allows a 20 dollar bounty to be paid for off season pelts. Now it doesn't say exactly what kind of pelts but I assume they mean coyote. And then it also says the pelts must be taken in Illinois. Well now there's no way of proof that they are going to be taken in Illinois. But I want to point out again that it's going to turn loose all sorts of people hunting and trying to find pelts. I think they'll make a lot of money out of this. They'll be all over every farm in Illinois looking for pelts and I, for one and I'm sure most of the farming people here that are... have background from southern-central Illinois, that are connectly farming don't want to ahve all these people running around shooting our dogs and our cats. A lot of them woudn't know a coyote from a dog in the first place and also, perhaps, chickens and geese and calves and whatever we have. Now it's just nuts that we ourselves lost calves and small animals through hunters in just normal hunting season. But there's no use aggravating it by putting a 20 dollar pelt on without... on any king of an animal that could be shot and killed on our farms. So I certainly think we should not accept this Amendment. We should get rid of it."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Piel, you had an inquiry of the Chair."

Piel: "Yes sir. We made a ruling yesterday that I was in the assumption that all ten names would have to be typed on the back..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "We did not make a ruling. Mr. Getty withdrew it."

Piel: "We've been talking about it about three times today sir. Maybe you weren't on the floor when we were

talking about it but we've got two blank spaces on the



back of the Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman from Williamson, Mr. Harris."

Harris: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, if that holds we're going to have to retract several Bills. There's been several blanks on several of these."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Yourell."

Yourell: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I certainly do not relish the thought of opposing my good friend, Mr. Harris, relative to this Conference Committee Report. I can suggest to you that if you have a copy of the Conference Committee Report, you'll notice that, as Mr. Piel suggested, there are two blank spaces and the names have not been typed in those blank spaces. I would also suggest to you that the Sponsor of this Bill, Representative McClain, did not sign the Conference Committee Report. I would also suggest to you that this Conference Committee Report and the Amendment that it talks about in the Report is actually Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 257 that was defeated on a Roll Call vote in this House on June 22 with only 11 yes's and at that time... and 110 nays and at that time you recall it was much to do about Mr. Watson setting a new record I believe in a number of no votes on any legislation. Whoever that was I know that Mr. Watson does not completely agree with this Amendment. The Department of Conservation is not for this Amendment. It suggests to us that you can only take pelts, coyote pelts, from March through August. That means for 20 bucks you can do that. Now, in the wintertime, when the pelts are prime pelts, they're worth 50 dollars. 50 bucks. But here in this Bill, in this Amendment, you're going to allow them to take the coyotes when the pelts are



not prime. And I suggest to you that this Committee... Conference Committee Report deserves the same kind of treatment that you gave it once before when this House saw fit on June 22 to give only 11 days and 110 days, and I suggest you do likewise now."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. McClain is back on the floor. You want to yield the Bill to him Mr. Harris? McClain's back on the floor. You want to give him back the Bill?"

Harris: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. My good friend and colleague as well as my Chairman, Mr. McClain. I want him to close on this Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Prior to that, we'll hear from the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Well thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Which one?"

Cullerton: "Mr. Harris."

Speaker Lechowicz: "He just relinquished the Bill back to Mr. McClain."

Cullerton: "Well I got to ask Mr. Harris a question. When you were in that Conference Committee, did you ever consider making this Bill apply to tigers? Tigers? Well Mr. Speaker, to the Bill, I'm afraid I'm going to have to take a walk on this one tiger."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Yourell."

Yourell: "Yes, thank you Mr. Speaker, I know that the Parliamentarian did not rule on the question the inquiry posed by Mr. Piel, and I would suggest you look at Rule 68... 68A. Conference Committee Reports and Senate Amendments to House Bills shall be reproduced and must be upon the Members' that before taken up or voted upon. Conference Committee Reports must include the names of the Members of the Conference Committee typed below the signature line."



Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. McClain do you want to make a motion to suspend that rule. It'll take 107 votes. It'll also take 107 votes to pass the Bill, and I don't think we're close to that at all. Mr. McClain."

McClain: "It's a lousy way to kill a Bill but this is too small. I move to suspend 68A for this Bill to be heard at this time."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Did you make the motion to suspend the rule?"

McClain: "Yes. You'd think Harris would have taken care of it before that."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Bill, sit down. You're going to get killed. Mr. Harris."

Harris: "Mr. Speaker, I hope my colleague and Representative McClain hasn't ruined this good Bill by his clothes."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Excuse me. Gentleman from Wayne, Mr. Robbins on the motion."

Robbins: "Leave to use the Attendance Roll Call in suspension of the Rule."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman moves to suspend Rule 68E. All in favor vote aye, all oppose vote nay. On the motion, Gentleman from Adams, Mr. McClain."

McClain: "Thank you very much Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I never expected the Bill to pass in the first place but I really kind of think that you ought to let it die on the merits."

Speaker Lechowicz: "We discussed that earlier. It got killed, I guess... what was it, June what Bus? June 22. It got 11 votes."

McClain: "This is a much better..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 42 ayes, 44 nos. Gentleman's motion lost. House Bill 2679. The Lady from Cook, Mrs. Willer."



Willer: "Yes Mr. Speaker. I'd like to move to suspend the Rule 68E is it?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Lady has leave to use Attendance Roll Call? Hearing no objection, the Rule is suspended."

Willer: "I'd like to move that the House do concur with the first Conference Committee Report on House Bill 2679 which is merely a good government Bill as far as elections go. It... As it's now emerged from the Conference Committee, it is back to its original form which simply extends to increasing registration day the same laws we have about election day as far as electioneering around the polls. In other words, you can't electioneer around the polls. There is no law right now. In precinct registration is relatively new and there is no law governing what can be done around it and we...right. That's all. I would ask you to give a favorable vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Lady moves that the House concur with the first Conference Committee Report. All in favor vote aye, all oppose vote nay. Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Simms. Vote me aye please."

Simms: "I've had my question answered by Mr. Collins."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Alright. Have all voted who wish? Hurry up Mr. Collins. Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 128 ayes, 2 nays and the House adopts first Conference Committee Report on House Bill 2679. This Bill having received the 3/5 Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed and adopted. I said by 3/5. House Bill 987, Mr. Davis, on Supplemental Calendar #3."

Davis: "Thank you Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman moves to suspend Rule 68E and use the Attendance Roll Call and take from the table. Hearing no objections, Attendance Roll Call



will be used. Oh sit down. Mr. Davis."

Davis: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. House Bill 987 is a mechanism for funding additional assistant states attorneys, one; in each county that has the major penal institution. The counties of Randolph, Will, and Livingston for purposes of working in those penal institutions for prosecuting in-house crime. The Senate added an Amendment that I didn't particularly like and I moved to nonconcur and then I saw the error of my ways when Howie Carroll showed it to me. So at this point and time, the first Conference Committee Report embodies that Senate Amendment and I move to adopt that Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? Gentleman moves that the House adopt first Conference Committee Report on House Bill 987. All in favor vote aye, all oppose vote nay. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 116 ayes, 2 nays, 1 recorded as present and the House does adopt the first Conference Committee Report on House Bill 987. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. And I would like to ask Mr. Bill Holland, if he's within the hearing distance of my voice, I'd like to know the disposition on the three Conference Committees that are outstanding on the appropriations Bill. We want to get out of here. House Resolution 444. Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Taylor."

Taylor: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Could I have leave of the House to hear House Resolution 444 and 445?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "No objections. Leave is granted."

Taylor: "House Resolution 444 and 445 give the Auditor



General the direction in order to be able to perform the audit on the Cook County Governing Commission Hospital and on the Department of Public Aid.

These are the Resolutions that we discussed last night. Representative Dwight Friedrich had some problems and stuff that we needed the Resolution and, therefore; we introduced the Resolution.

I move for the adoption of Resolution #444 and 445."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman had the use of the Attendance Roll Call for immediate consideration and he had leave. The Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question please?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Yes."

Vinson: "Has the Auditor General, how does he feel about this?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "He loves it."

Taylor: "Well, he wants the Resolution to give him the direction."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Question is shall the House adopt House... Yes sir? Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Totten."

Totten: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Indicates he will."

Totten: "Is this the Resolution that we talked about the other day that's needed for the Auditor General to perform?"

Taylor: "That's correct."

Totten: "Does this have anything to do with the Senate Bill 1382 that Representative Vinson put on the Spring Calendar that has to do with medical malpractice?"

Taylor: "No."

Speaker Lechowicz: "He didn't put that there yet either."

Totten: "Yeah he did. He put it on the Spring Calendar. The medical malpractice Bill and I don't think anybody caught it."



Taylor: "This doesn't have anything to do with Representative Vinson's Bill. I can assure you of that."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, the situation is as represented to the House by Representative Taylor, these Resolutions are required as part of the general agreement in regard to Cook County Hospital and to provide the auditing investigation that we need. I would urge support for the motion."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Question is shall the House adopt House Resolution #444 and 445. All in favor vote aye and all oppose vote nay. He explained them both. Take this. Let's go. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 129 ayes, no nays, none recorded as present and House Resolution 444 and 445 are adopted. Agreed Resolution. Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Giorgi."

Clerk Leone: "House Resolutions 437, White-Stecko, 439, Capuzi et al, 441, Lechowicz-Meyer-McClain, 442, Skinner, 443, Marovitz."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, White-Stecko Resolution 437 lauds the Republican secretaries when they lam blasted the Democratic Secretaries at the ball game. Capuzi tells us about George Schoening retiring. Lechowicz wants to study some environmental problem. Skinner honors the Painter's District Council. And Marovitz glows about Jack Brickhouse. I move for adoption of the Agreed Resolutions."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman from DeKalb, Mr. Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Mr. Speaker, I wonder if Representative Cullerton would repeat that so we could understand what he said."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Question is shall the Agreed Resolutions be adopted. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', aye, opposed. Agreed Resolutions are adopted."



Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I think he has a number of Death Resolutions and we well might want to take the moments now to read the Death Resolutions because there's some kin of the General Assembly Members and this would be a good time to put them into the record."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Death Resolutions. Would the Membership kindly arise? And would the Clerk read the Resolution? In its entirety. Both of them."

Clerk Leone: "Death Resolution House Resolution 417. Whereas, we have learned of the passing of Mr. Hyman. A. Pierce, father of our esteemed colleague and friend, Representative Daniel M. Pierce at age 85. And whereas, Mr. Pierce was a prominent Chicago attorney who was a member of the law firm of Stevens and Pierce and more recently associated with the law firm of Altmier and Gray and whereas, he was a Director of the Glenco National Bank and former Director of Central Standard Life Insurance Company of... in Allerton Hotel and whereas, in addition to Representantive Pierce, he leaves his daughter, Susan Axelrod, of Highland Park Illinois and Allyn Grant of Scarsdale, New York and two brothers, Harry and Maurice and five grand children. Therefore; be it resolved that the House of Representatives of the 81st General Assembly of the State of Illinois and Members of the Body formally express our sympathy and sincere understanding of the deep sincere of loss to Representative Daniel M. Pierce and other members of the family and be it further resolved that we know the memory of his distinguished father will remain forever and be a lasting tribute much longer than any experience of ours such as this. And be it further resolved that a suitable copy of this Resolution be sent to our friend and colleague,



Representative Daniel M. Pierce, as a sincere tribute to his colleagues... to his father and personal sadness of all Members of the House of Representatives because of his departure from this existence to one we know will be far better."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Giorgi moves the adoption of the Resolution. All in favor signify by saying 'aye'. The Resolution's adopted. Death Resolution."

Clerk Leone: "House Resolution 418, Ryan. Whereas, Members of the House of Representatives are saddened to learn of the death on June 18, 1979 of Mrs. Bessy Hester, mother of Mariett Hester Woodyard, wife of our colleague Representative Harry Woodyard. And whereas Mrs. Bessy Hester was born April 24, 1900 in Scotland, Illinois and continued to live a full and active life for 79 years as a lifelong resident of the surrounding region. And whereas, she was married on December 22, 1922 to Harlan Hester, a union that was created and continuously renewed for 46 years and was separated only by the passing of her husband in 1968. And whereas, during those 46 years, Bessy and Harlan Hester shared their hopes, dreams, and hardships alike in operating their family farm typifying the true spirit of hard work and loyalty to the land and the same spirit and dedication to which Illinois owes its position as a leading agricultural state of all the fifty states of the United States. And whereas, their marriage provided a firm foundation for a loving devotion family including two daughters, Bonny Hester Whitlock and Mary Hester Woodyard, four grandchildren, and two great grandchildren. And whereas, in addition to the considerable responsibilities of farm and family life, Mrs. Bessy Hester, devoted time and energy to the spiritual and social welfare of her neighbors and the community as a school teacher



as a member of the Friends Church of Ridge farm, Illinois and as a charter member of the Vermillion County Home Extension Service, as a charter member of the Better Homes Club, as past regent of the Madame Rachel Chapter of the Daughters of the American Revolution, and as a member of the Shakespeare Club. And whereas, we recognize the contributions of Mrs. Bessy Hester as invaluable in developing the heritage of Illinois by providing sound and loving guidance to her family thus creating individuals capable and full of responsible citizenship. Therefore; be it resolved by the House of Representatives of the 81st General Assembly, State of Illinois that we do hereby recognize and remember the exemplary life of Mrs. Bessy Hester as a wife, mother, and active citizen in humble appreciation for her contributions to our American way of life. And be it further resolved that we extend our deepest sympathies and heart felt sense of loss to the members of her family upon her passing and be it further resolved that suitable copies of this Preamble and Resolution be presented to Mrs. Bonny Hester Whitlock and Mrs. Mary Hester Woodyard, her daughters."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Conti, moves the adoption of Death Resolution. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', oppose. Death Resolution's adopted. Thank you. On the Calendar. Oh, I'm sorry. Further Death Resolutions."

Clerk Leone: "House Resolutions 421, Ropp. 427, Bell. 428, Gaines. 429 Gaines. 438, Gaines. 440, Katz el al."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Giorgi moves the adoption of the Death Resolutions. All in favor signify by saying 'aye' aye, opposed. Death Resolution's are adopted. House Bill 2545 on Supplemental Calendar #2. Gentleman from Perry, Mr. Ralph Dunn."



Dunn: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I move we take House Bill 2545 from the table and suspend Rule 68D and E for immediate consideration of House Bill 2545."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Would the... why don't you step away from here okay? The Gentleman... I'm sorry. I didn't hear your motion."

Dunn: "I move to suspend Rule 68..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman asks leave to use the Attendance Roll Call to suspend Rule 68E. Hearing no objections, use the Attendance Roll Call. Now, Gentleman from Perry, Mr. Ralph Dunn."

Dunn: "Thank you Mr. Chairman. This is Conference Committee Report #2. Number one Conference Committee Report was rejected in the Senate and didn't reach the House so this is Conference Committee Report #2. House Bill 2545 provides for a staggering of library board directors terms. The Senate Amendment provided that the staggering be instituted in 1981 rather than now. The Committee recommends that the House concur on the Senate Amendment #1. This puts the Bill back just as it was as we nonconcurred about two or three days ago. There is no provision of any other kind in the Bill now. It's just as it was when it was before the House two days ago and I'd move for the passage of House Bill 2545. Be glad to answer any questions."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Totten."

Dunn: "No."

Totten: "Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "No."

Dunn: "No. I meant there's no provision of any kind for the state library grant program in..."

Totten: "Okay. I want to ask you one other question. What was the difficulty and 1, 2, 3, 4 Members of the Committee didn't sign the Report?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "They probably couldn't find it."



Dunn: "I think that's probably correct. They couldn't find them. I signed the first... When they brought it back around why I noticed that Representative Sandquist has left early I believe and I don't know about the other Members. As far as I know, that's right and this is really back to a Bill that doesn't do a whole lot. It was asked for by the Library Association to stagger terms..."

Totten: "Okay. I have no further questions."

Dunn: "Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Bowman, would you kindly come up to the Speaker's rostrum and see the Parliamentarian? Question is shall the House adopt the second Conference Committee Report on House Bill 2545. All in favor vote aye, all oppose vote nay. Dawson. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 132 ayes, no nays, none recorded as present and the House does adopt the second Conference Committee Report on House Bill 2545. This Bill having received the 3/5 Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Did you make an announcement that Calendar five is being circulated?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Calendar five is now being distributed."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Thank you."

Clerk O'Brien: "12:30 a.m., July 2, 1979."

Speaker Lechowicz: "If you recall, I asked the Mr. Bill Holland would come up to the Speaker's rostrum and give us a report on what the status of the Conference Committees are. I thought it would be to the benefit of the Membership. Senate Bill 588, which is law enforcement, is on Supplemental Calendar #5 which is being distributed. So it should be acted upon shortly. Senate Bill 157 which is the Omnibus Bill, the final corrections are being made in LRB and should be circulated and signed momentarily. And D.O.T., Senate Bill



891, the Conference Committee is still meeting.

Okay. The Gentleman from Wayne, Mr. Robbins, do you have a motion? Could you kindly inform the Chair where House Bill 1325 is at?"

Robbins: "Pardon?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Do you..."

Robbins: "House Bill 1325..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Yes sir."

Robbins: "... is on concur... to concur... is on Supplemental #4 and it is the Conference Reports have been filed.

It is in position where it should be called to vote on and I just want to put it on Spring Calendar."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman moves to suspend Rule 68 to House Bill 1325 and take it from the table and put it on the Spring Calendar. Is there leave for the Attendance Roll Call? Hearing no objection, Gentleman's motion carries. And the Attendance Roll Call will be used. How about 1:00 in the morning then let's go home. There is a Calendar that says July 2. Supplemental #5. What's the date on that Calendar? Mr. Clerk, kindly correct it on its face immediately."

Clerk O'Brien: "Leave to amend on the face."

Speaker Lechowicz: "No objections, the Calendar is corrected to read the proper date and the proper time. Gentleman from DeKalb, Mr. Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I think you had leave for Attendance Roll Call and I don't... Have we had an Attendance Roll Call?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "We're getting to that momentarily. As soon as all the Conference Committees are done and everybody's back. Jack, put the book away. The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Davis, what purpose seek recognition?"

Davis: "Well Mr. Speaker, I believe the Conference Committee Report on 588 has been distributed. If I'm not mistaken and at this time I think I'd like to move to



suspend Rule 68D which calls for the hour requirement to the Conference Committee Report..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Why don't we... Why don't we amend that and suspend that Rule for all the matters on Supplemental Calendar #5."

Davis: "No sir. I don't wish to do that."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Oh. Then we're going to oppose the motion."

Davis: "Okay."

Speaker Lechowicz: "House Bill 2034, Mr. Bowman, Supplemental Calendar #3. Okay. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bowman."

Bowman: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I now move to suspend Rule 68D and E for consideration on House Bill 2034."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman asks leave to use the Attendance Roll Call. Hearing no objection, Attendance Roll Call will be used. Please proceed sir."

Bowman: "Thank you very much Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 24... 2034 I'm handling for the Speaker of the House, it is a Bill that pertains to the House operations in travel...charging travel on a state plane through the proper account. It also contains the Senate Amendment that pertains to contingent expenses and I ask that the House adopt the Conference Committee Report #1."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there any discussion? Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "This is the Bill that raises the home office expense... the Conference Committee Report."

Bowman: "I thought your eyes were bad Mr. Walsh. Actually, you're quite right."

Walsh: "I rise to oppose this. It's not a very popular thing to do, I know, but; it is a terrible procedure to do it at this time and in this manner and I think we ought to get along with the 17,000 dollars that we have until we come back in the fall and perhaps next



spring. This is really suspect and I think you ought to really take it out of the record."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Check it out. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bowman."

Bowman: "Well, as it turns out, Mr. Walsh, you're right that that Amendment is still on the Bill. That is, believe it or not, not the important part of the Bill. At the present time, we have a situation where Members of the Assembly use the state planes. When they do that, the House Operations account is debited. This chews up about 5,000 dollars a month out of House Operations. We cannot, at the present time, charge the travel allowance, which each of us gets, for purposes of traveling on the state planes which is really a ridiculous kind of situation to be in because there's money which traditionally lapses in that line item where our House Operations account is under constant pressure. I think the Bill itself is a very necessary one for House Operations. If you're concerned about the Senate Amendment, I'd just simply say to consider the fact that the 17% increase spread over a four year period, that's only 4% a year and I think it's very modest, sensible. Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Whiteside, Mr. Schuneman."

Schuneman: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I simply wanted to say that, as a Member of the Conference Committee, if you look at the Report you'll know that two Republican Members did not sign the Conference Committee Report. The House has already expressed its will on this matter, at least on one occasion, and I think we should reject this Report."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Deuster."

Deuster: "I was going to urge support for this. Districts vary all over the State of Illinois and some Members have high rent to pay. In other places, they don't...



it's not appropriate for an office, but there's nothing in this Bill that requires anybody spend the money. It raises the limit. If you want to run a very careful shop in your district or you don't want to spend this money, nobody has to spend it. This Conference Committee Report, which I urge that we adopt, does allow those Members who have heavy use of their district office and heavy expenses to use those. The law is very tight. There's just certain things you can use this for. None of it, as has been said many times before, goes into our pockets at all. It's all for legitimate service to the people of Illinois. Answering the telephone calls, our phone calls... our phone bills are paid out of this. I think it's responsible and it's something that we should do and there's no reason to be concerned about it. Nobody has to spend the money. I urge that we take a Roll Call and we vote yes on it. Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, you've heard me talk about this before. You know, here comes Mr. Bill Walsh. And here come Schuneman who was absent and ..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman from Whiteside, Mr. Schuneman, what purpose seek recognition?"

Schuneman: "Mr. Speaker, I think this Gentleman is a Member of the Leadership of this House. He ought to know what the rules of the House are. He constantly berates other Members of this House by name and he should be shut off when he does that. Mr. Speaker, it's your responsibility to do it."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Put on Mr. Giorgi and shut off Schuneman. Please proceed Mr. Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Chairman, I spoke very vociferously for the need of the Members to increase their office allowances because rents have increased, employees wages have gone up. Utilities have increased. Telephones have gone up.



Every... the expenses have been exhorbitant.. Now, I'm going to break even in my expense account and the press is free to check my expense account any time they want to. But I want to put into the record that Walsh got up on this floor and voted no to pay the expenses of this House that started today..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Giorgi, would you please kindly refrain from using people's names?"

Giorgi: "Alright. The fellow from Whiteside County answered it himself the day we took the Roll Call. And the two Minority Members on that Committee both were absent the day we voted to pay for the expenses of this House and voted to pay for the expenses of our office. Both of those Members were absent themselves that day. Now I bet they took their perdiem. So I think they should have been on that Minority Committee Report."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Boucek."

Boucek: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I think the former speaker is pretty much right. Electric bills have gone up, expenses are going up, but I think this 3,000 dollar budget here in our office expense account is wrong. I think we... it belongs to some of the state employees like our secretaries and that who are underpaid. That's where it should go not in our office expenses. I urge you to vote no on this."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman from ... the Lady from Sangamon, Mrs. Oblinger."

Oblinger: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I spoke to you the other day on this subject and I'd like to reiterate. If I lived in the suburbs or a city where I could have an office and people came a couple of miles or a couple of blocks to see me. This would be one office and that would be fine. I live on a farm 20 miles out of town. I'm not going to be available to



people so I have to have an office in Springfield. My other county, Montgomery County, I have an office in Nokomis which is 75 miles from Springfield. I've got to have an office there to serve those people. I pay two people to run the offices, two rents, two telephone bills, two equipment, two postages, and at this point; I have now, out of my own pocket, during the last six months, paid 900 dollars to keep those two offices going. I can afford to do that because I happen to have a husband that works too, but I'm sure a lot of you who aren't in that situation are not going to be able to subsidize the state to the tune of 900 dollars every six months."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Williams."

Williams: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I move the previous question."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman has moved the previous question. All in favor signify by saying 'aye' aye, oppose. Previous question's been moved. Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bowman, to close."

Bowman: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, you... all of you have an office allowance as I do. I don't have to remind you that this money does not go into our pockets, it stays in the State Treasury until we have legitimate expenditures on behalf of the citizens of the this state. On behalf of our constituents, Ladies and Gentlemen. This money provides us with the tools to serve our constituents. We each have our own styles and our own ways of doing this. The average lapse in the accounts runs around 3,000 dollars a year, which is... if we could rearrange the money from one account to the other, we would, on average, spend the 17,000 that's currently allotted to us each. But we can't do that so we have to increase the total that's allotted to each. A number of us do spend



all that we have in that account, and we have a staff back in our home district to help respond to constituent problems when we're here in Springfield. Now, I'm one of those that spends that entire allotment. I voted for the pay raise that I am now receiving. I would like to be able to give my assistant a pay raise. She, indeed, works very hard very hard and deserves it. Every penny of it, and I would like to be able to give her a pay raise just as we were able to give ourselves a pay raise. And that money is going directly to serve our constituents and that's why I think we should adopt this Conference Committee Report. Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Question is shall the House adopt the first Conference Committee Report on House Bill 2034. All in favor vote aye, all oppose vote nay. Yes you do. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Gaines, to explain his vote. Timer's on."

Gaines: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. May Legislators in the other part of the Session said it was too soon after our pay raise..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Walsh... excuse me. I did hear you that you want a verification. We will verify. Oh. I'm sorry. Please continue Mr. Borchers."

Gaines: "Who has the floor? You called me."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Oh. I'm sorry. Mr. Gaines. Yes sir."

Gaines: "Alright. Many of the Legislators here, earlier this Session this Bill was filed, said it was too soon after we got our pay raise to discuss this matter. Then the other day they said it was near the end of Session. Now next year they'll say it'll be too close to election. So no matter what time we take up this matter, the same Legislators have a complaint. So I say let's do it now. We've had all this year to discuss this in Committee and every place else and now the logical time when we're passing all the other Bills.



Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Borchers, to explain he vote. Timer's on."

Borchers: "Mr. Speaker, I have had a young man that I have to give some credit from Sangamon University. I found... I sent him into nursing homes in the last month and into shelter care homes, into homes for children, foster homes. I've found him excellent. I find that I could use this money very well to do something for the people legitimately, and I'm perfectly willing and I'm going to vote for this because I know how to spend it to help the people of my district. Particularly, the old and the young, the helpless. For example, one woman was giving... was passed by the mental... not the mental health but the Department of Children and Family Services who had a mental record. They didn't take the trouble to find it out. She proceeded to take a year and a half to two year old boy in anger and hold him by the legs and fractured his skull on the floor. Now I could use my... that money for a good man to look into the background of some of these things to be sure that we and I..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Marovitz, to explain his vote. Timer's on."

Marovitz: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I think we have to really take a look at this and sincerely try and help those people who want to service they're constituency by district offices. Some people don't use it. That's true, but if people really want to service their constituency and help them cut red tape and get through the bureaucracy and answer some of the problems that they have, with the rate of inflation this Bill will help them do that. Now, the only district, to my knowledge, and I may be wrong, in the State of Illinois where the running mates together have a district office is the



twelfth district in the City of Chicago where Senator Merlo, Representative Cullerton, and myself have an office together. That saves us a lot of money. With more money, we can have better staff and better service our constituents. Don't take it away from us. If we want the opportunity to service..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Emil Jones."

Jones: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. It's very interesting to watch the board because earlier today I watched the appropriation of the expenses of the Office of the Governor, and I noticed that many of the individuals who are voting against their fellow Legislators voted an increase of about three and a half million dollars for the operations of the Governor Office so that he can have good staff, he can have additional bartenders and etc. so if you don't want to help your Legislators, your fellow Legislators, but you're willing to help the Chief Executive do a better job to ram things down your throat that you don't want."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Dawson. Timer's on."

Dawson: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, be that this is raised to 20,000, it does not say you have to use it. I'd like to see any of the Legislators that do have it, if we do receive this, if they don't need it, I'd like to see them have the guts to turn it back in."

Speaker Lechowicz: "It lapses automatically. Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, partly explaining my vote and partly responding to the Gentleman who used my name in debate, let me say that I am voting no and voted no on the pay increase and have voted no enough to attract the attention of the Gentleman who used my name. And the



reason I have done that consistently is that I feel very strongly that this should not become a full time Legislature. That it should be a citizen Legislature. I submit to you that a Legislature is better when it is comprised of people who are working in various trades and occupations and professions and have that as their full time job and are lawmakers drawing on the experience from their jobs and from whatever else they do. Now, let me submit that I don't claim to be omniscient..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "On a point of personal privilege, sir, what's your point?"

Walsh: "My point is, as I mentioned earlier, that the Gentleman used my name in debate and to carry on. I don't claim that I'm necessarily, absolutely right in this position that I take. I think perhaps in the case of some Members and the Gentleman who used my name in particular, Mr. Giorgi, that it might be a good idea to pay him as much as is necessary for the people of Rockford and Winnebago County to get their attention to see what a moron they send down here year after year."

Speaker Lechowicz: "When it's over Zeke. This is a fairly important Bill. Okay. The Gentleman from Winnebago, Professor Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Thank you. Thank you for moving me from moron to professorship."

Speaker Lechowicz: "You want some more time? Please continue sir."

Giorgi: "What I read to Mr. Walsh, Senate Bill 937 which was passed on June 12, he refused to fund the House as is sitting today. He refused to fund for the help for the synopsis for the taping for the Clerks. He refused to run this House. That's what he voted no on. Besides voting no on his own office expense that he's going to take. He voted no to fund this House."



That's how proud he is to serve in this House. Then he'll use whatever he has to fund this House to run for re-election and tell what a big job he's doing but he's ashamed to vote for the necessary expenses to run this House. That's what he did. He ought to wrap himself up in the American flag and run down that middle aisle."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bowman."

Bowman: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. I'm really surprised at the Representative from the sixth district. I'm really shocked. Now, he talks about a citizen Legislator and I think that is a model that a lot of us are aspired to and admire, but he is down here in Springfield 100 days a year. Now, when he is down here, his constituents aren't languishing back home. They are yearning for him. They have problems that need to be solved and where's Mr. Walsh? He's making laws. He is making laws Ladies and Gentlemen. He's not helping his constituents back home. But if he were able to take these resources that we would be providing he could hire someone back home to work with those constituents and help them solve their problems while he's down here making laws as a citizen Legislator. Those issues, Ladies and Gentlemen, have nothing to..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 73 ayes, 61 nays and the House does not concur and the first Conference Committee Report on House Bill 2034... Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bowman."

Bowman: "What is Representative over there want?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "I don't have the slightest idea.

Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "I do want to go home and I do want the Rathskeller open, but most of all I'd like to point out that I hit



my vote right at the end and I hit it yes and I wanted to hit it no."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Bowman."

Bowman: "I was hoping he was going to be able to start a landslide. I would poll the absentees accept they're probably all over the state by now. So in that event, I'd like to request a second Conference Committee."

Speaker Lechowicz: "What's the vote Jack? On this question there are 73 ayes, 61 nays, 8 recorded as present and the House does not concur in the first Conference Committee Report on House Bill 2034 and a second Conference Committee is requested. On Supplemental Calendar #4 appears House Bill 2376, Mrs. Reed. Good morning ma'am."

Reed: "Good morning Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, I move to take House Bill 2376 from the table and suspend Rule 68D and E for immediate consideration please."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman asks leave... Lady asks leave. Boy. I'll tell you. Lady asks leave to suspend Rule 68E. Use the Attendance Roll Call. Hearing no objection, Attendance Roll Call is used. Take it from the table. Now, the Lady from Lake, Mrs. Reed. Where's the Calendar...? Supplemental 4. Please continue ma'am."

Reed: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 2376 is an authorization Bill for the Department of Transportation. The Senate receded from Amendment #1 which was an extremely objectionable Amendment to us. However; they receded and took their action after midnight. There was no effective date on the Bill and it required that we come back to this House for concurrence with an Amendment on Conference Committee #1 that called for an immediate effective date. I move that we concur with Senate Amend... or with the Conference Committee #1 please."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? Lady moved that the



House adopt the first Conference Committee Report on House Bill 2376. All in favor vote aye, all oppose vote nay. Jack, would you kindly vote me aye.

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?

Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 121 ayes, 1 nay, none recorded as present and the House does adopt the first Conference Committee

Report on House Bill 2376. This Bill having received the 3/5 Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Okay. I think Mr. Ryan should be on the floor for that. House Resolution 446. Mr. Clerk.

The House Resolution Jack. Read the Resolution."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 446, Madigan. Be it resolved that the House of Representatives of the 81st General Assembly of the State of Illinois that a special service committee to consist of five Members of the House be known as the Journal Review Committee is hereby created. Be it further resolved that the Journal Review Committee shall examine and review the Journals of the House of Representatives of the 81st General Assembly and may give final approval of such Journals and show a report from time to time to the House."

Speaker Lechwic: "This is... This Resolution is needed for the approval of the Journals over the summer.

Mr. Giorgi moves the adoption of the Resolution.

All in favor signify by saying 'aye' aye. The question is shall the Resolution be adopted. All in favor vote aye, all oppose vote nay. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 127 ayes, 2 nays, none recorded as present and the

Resolution is adopted. Okay. Is the Gentleman from Hardin, Mr. Winchester,.. have a motion? On 1062. Gentleman has a motion here on House Bill

1062 take from the table, suspend House Rule 68D and E



and place the Bill on the Spring Calendar. Gentleman have leave to use the Attendance Roll Call? Leave being granted. The Attendance Roll Call will be used. and the motion prevails. Winchester. 1062. Gentleman from McLean, Mr. Bradley."

Bradley: "Mr. Speaker, I'm sure that you are probably in no position to answer the question but maybe there is somebody within the sound of my voice that we've been waiting for two hours or better for two Bills that neither Bill has been brought up to the Clerk of the House so that he can start printing the two Conference Committee Reports that we're waiting on. And it's going to take some time to print the Report and I think the Members are entitled to... the Members that are here that have worked hard and long all day are certainly entitled to have some kind of an indication from those that are on those Conference Committee Reports on the Omnibus Bill as to where those Bills are."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Bradley, probably while you're probably in the Senate checking on the Conference Committee."

Bradley: "Well I understand one's meeting in the Legislative Reference Bureau and one is meeting in..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Supplemental #5 came about and I believe it's been on the Calendar just now for the Members desks for an hour."

Bradley: "Which one sir?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Supplemental #5. That's what I'm going to go to right now which is a number of appropriation Bills as well."

Bradley: "I don't... the two I'm referring to aren't on there. I'm referring to the Omnibus Bill which will necessitate another supplemental and..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "And D.O.T."

Braldev: "And D.O.T..."



Speaker Lechowicz: "Your point's well taken. Why don't you take a walk to 400 and see where it's at."

Bowman: "I was hoping somebody from 400 would walk over here."

Speaker Lechowicz: "I'm for that. Gentleman from Cook, Mr. O'Brien, what purpose seek recognition?"

O'Brien: "Mr. Speaker, I understand that the D.O.T. budget has had a small increase and that they have ordered hot dogs and beans to be served on the lawn for the Fourth of July."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Alright. Gentleman from Will, Mr. Davis. Want to renew your motion? Okay. We don't need the motion now because it's been on the desk for an hour. Senate Bill 588. On that question... Wait a minute. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Wolf."

Wolf: "I just want to give a progress report. I'm on the Conference Committee..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Excellent. We'll hold up on 588."

Wolf: "Of course, we haven't met yet because King Howard Hoover there doesn't like to have meetings until he has got everything set exactly the way he wants it and that's what the stumbling block is."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Which Bill are you talking about? D.O.T.? Is the Omnibus done? Who's holding that one up?"

Wolf: "I think King Howard I."

Speaker Lechowicz: "We will be marching over there in force. Where's Griesheimer? There's a new front before us. Let's get back to the business on hand. Senate Bill 588. Mr. Davis."

Davis: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. Before we get to the second Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 588 I'd like to make a couple of remarks. I have a couple of firsts this Session and tonight's one of them and I want it make it publicly known to the Journal that in three years I've been down



here I've never broken my committment or my word to any Member of this House until this night. When I took this appropriation I gave my word and my committment to my leader, George Ryan, who's a fine leader and has done a fine job in this House of Representatives since I've served here. I've voted for him both times. I gave him my committment that I would not support a pay raise for the sworn officers of the Department of Law Enforcement simply because that money would come out of the road fund and simply because a committment was made last year for a major increase. And I've done that. Since that time, when I received this Bill as the House Sponsor of Senate Bill 588, I fought to keep the Bill in the same posture that it was when we amended it in the House to take care of some Senate cuts. I fought to keep the pay raise off of the Bill. The Amendment to add that pay raise in, even though defective and leaving out some people that were sworn troopers, went on in this House at 123 to 27. Not many people wanted to vote to stay with not giving a pay raise to the most dedicated and finest law enforcement units in the 50 states of the United States of America. Then Conference Committee Report 1 came back earlier this evening and did not include that pay raise and I moved to adopt Conference Committee Report 1 as I pledged that I would do to resist the pay raise. This House overwhelmingly rejected that Conference Committee Report as did the Senate which is the first time they'd done that. So it occurs to me that the overwhelming will of this major... or of this General Assembly is that a pay raise be granted this year, 6½%, for the Department of Law Enforcement. Further added into this Conference Committee Report are removal of ten and a half million dollars



of diversions from the road fund and transferred to the general revenue fund in the beginning of a reverse diversion from the road fund to end that. This Amendment... or this Conference Committee Amendment was put in by Senator Regner who feels very strongly about this Amendment and has threatened to table this Bill if the Amendment was not in the second Conference Committee Report. It was suggested to me that let the Bill go down the tube. That if that kind of senatorial blackmail was used on this House of Representatives that the Bill should go down the tube. Well it's going to get a lot shorter here in a minute Larry. It's almost over. That the Bill was going to go down the tube. And it was suggested to me that 'so what'. Well, that's why I broke my word to my leader and for that I apologize to you, George, if you're listening on the speaker. I will not be the Sponsor of an agency of government, particularly of the Department of Law Enforcement, which has done an outstanding job in this state; I will not be a Sponsor of a Bill that lets that Bill be table or goes down the tube without a fair chance on the only Conference Committee Report left. So I signed this Conference Committee Report that contains this pay raise and the Governor's going to have to deal with that the best way he can. And with that, I move to adopt the second Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 588."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Terzich."

Terzich: "Yes, I would like to ask the Sponsor a question."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Indicates he'll yield."

Terzich: "Why did they give them a 6½ salary increase?"

Davis: "The figures were not mine. The 6½ salary increase reflects last year when the commitment was made in the Senate to keep an additional raise this year off the Bill



and present an 11% raise last year. No one could possibly imagine that the inflation rate this year would be some 15%."

Terzich: "Oh. You mean they did it because they're affected by inflation?"

Davis: "That's what I assume. I wasn't the Sponsor of the Amendment. I am simply bowing to the will of the General Assembly that you overwhelmingly want this pay raise Amendment."

Terzich: "You would think those people who are not affected by inflation on the office expenses would be voting for this Bill wouldn't you?"

Davis: "Well Representative, I did not vote for the office expenses. I lapse 8,000 dollars a year and don't need it. Consequently, I did not vote for it."

Terzich: "I was just wondering if they were, you know, if the office expenses were affected by inflation the same way as the state troopers."

Davis: "I don't think this Bill addresses that. I assume you're probably right."

Speaker Lechowicz: "I'm with you Lar. Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Birkinbine."

Birkinbine: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I move the previous question."

Speaker Lechowicz: "See that how we're psychic? All in favor say 'aye' aye, opposed. The previous question's been moved. The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Davis, to close."

Davis: "I move for the adoption of Conference Committee Report #2 on Senate Bill 588."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman moves that the House adopt the second Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 588. All in favor vote aye, all oppose vote nay. Dawson, give me an aye. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Pardon me? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question



there's 119 ayes, 17 nos, 2 recorded as present and the House does adopt the second Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 588. This Bill having received the 3/5 Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1114. Mr. Vinson. File the form. Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Members of the House."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Excuse me. Record Mr. Dave Jones as aye on Senate Bill 588 so he can get home safely tonight. Just a minute. Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Members of the House.

Senate Bill 1114 is a Bill...the initial substance of the Bill remains unchanged. What the Bill itself does is to provide a process whereby CETA employees would be laid off first rather than general revenue employees. I don't think there's a lot of contention on the underlying Bill. There are two Amendments on the Bill that remain in the Conference Committee Report. One of the Amendments on the Bill would raise the level of salary for those employees required to file economic disclosures under the Ethics Act from 20,000 dollars to 30,000 dollars a year. The rationale for that is that the people who have to retain these statements, the County Clerks, the Secretary of State and so forth have just been deluged with statements because today you have many laboring people, plasterers, pipe fitters, plumbers, steamfitters and so forth who make an excess of 20,000 dollars a year and are required to file the reports. That wasn't the original intention of the statute. It was to catch policy-making people. People who might have legitimate conflicts of interests and, therefore; this is kind of an attempt to index it to inflation. The second Amendment on the Bill which remains in the Conference Committee Report is a provision that would



say that if you're a state employee and you seek to run for partisan political office you have to take a leave of absence or resign from your job.

I urge adoption of the Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Jeez. That's not too bad. There any discussion? Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Greiman. And my good..."

Greiman: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I noticed how Mr. Vinson's voice fell off as he explained the last Amendment. And I understand that. I wouldn't want to have to try and justify that on this floor or any other floor. What that Amendment does..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Greiman. Was that Amendment 2."

Greiman: "That's House Amendment #1 I believe."

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, I rise on a point of personal privilege."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Well, you'd have had your opportunity sir. One moment please."

Vinson: "I beg your pardon."

Speaker Lechowicz: "You'll have your opportunity."

Vinson: "I want to."

Greiman: "If I have offended the Gentleman I certainly take this opportunity to apologize. But Amendment #1 says that if an employee of the state who is under the Personnel Code, not all employees. Just those that are under the Personnel Code wants to run for office, state office that is. That would mean if he wanted to run for Governor, or maybe if he even wanted to run for State Representative, or State Senator that when he filed his petitions in December of 1979 he will have to have a leave of absence without pay from December of 1979 until November of 1980. Now we probably have a lot of wealthy state employees who could afford to do that, but I suspect that most state employees, like most Americans, live from



check to check and unless they're Rockfellers, they just can't spend 11 months without getting paid. But we don't even take all the state employees, we say only ones under the Personnel Code. Now, if I were really going to make an incumbents' Bill I suppose I would include our own staff people who often kind of get the taste of the legislative fun and games and run. But they can do it and keep working but the ones who are on the Personnel Code they can't do that. Now where does this lead us? If today, this General Assembly in a desire to keep incumbents in and on, I like to be... I'm an incumbent and I want to stay in, but if we say today that nobody who works for state government under the Personnel Code can run for office without taking a leave of absence then why not local government. Why not say that a fine Representative like the Chairman of our Pensions Commission would have to leave his job with the Chicago Fire Department in order to run? Why not say that? Why not say that a man like Representative Schneider would have to leave his school district in order to run? I don't know how we can possibly justify this kind of unfairness. And you know, the one thing I've learned in this House is that we yell and we holler and we do a lot of things but we ultimately do the fair thing. I'm convinced of that. I lose a lot of battles here..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Greiman please. Would you bring your remarks to a close."

Greiman: "Okay. I have ten minutes but I'll bring... But we do the fair thing. This is so patently unfair to state employees. Probably is unconstitutional. But we should do the fair thing and we should defeat this Conference Committee. Make them go back, take off that Amendment and then, then come back and vote for it after the Amendment has been taken off. I urge a no vote."



Speaker Lechowicz: "Request from the Chair. Would somebody kindly check on D.O.T. and find out where that Conference Committee's at? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Stanley."

Stanley: "I move the previous question Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "I had no idea what the Gentleman was going to do. Question is shall the previous question be moved. All in favor say 'aye', oppose. Previous question has been moved. The Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson to explain the Conference Committee especially from raising from 20 to 30 thousand dollars and the state employees. Is that correct? Please proceed."

Vinson: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I want to say, first of all, in closing to Representative Greiman that I can mumble as well as anybody. I chose not to do it on this Bill. I wanted everybody to hear what the issues were. I'd like everybody to vote for the Bill. I did not offer the Bill or the Amendments that are in the Bill, but I do support them. Because I think, if you consider them on the basis of common sense, you can accept them. The provision on indexing and raising the salary level that you have to file the conflict of interest statement is a very straightforward thing. Do you want every plasterer, every teamster, every negotiated rate employee who makes in excess of 20,000 dollars, and it's my understanding in state government alone that that adds up to some 12,000. Do you want everyone of them to have to file one of those reports and deluge the Clerks. What it basically does is prevent any real review of those statements. Number two, on the question that Mr. Greiman spoke to. I don't believe there's anything inherently wrong with that provision. I don't believe it's a particularly incumbents' provision or anything else. I was a state employee. A state employee who chose to run for the General Assembly, and



when I announced, the first thing I did was to resign from the state payroll. Because I did not want any question to be raised about campaigning on state time. And it strikes that that's what any honorable state employee would do. For those reasons and for the reason in the underlying Bill which is of prime importance that being do you want normally funded, general revenue funded, state employees laid off first before CETA employees which is a situation we face right now and we do control the revenue of the general revenue fund. We don't control the continuation of CETA funding. Do you want them laid off first? I would submit that that's wrong and this Bill can correct that and I would urge an affirmative vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Question is shall the House adopt the first Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1114. All in favor vote aye, all oppose vote nay. Gentleman from Franklin, Mr. Rea, to explain his vote. Timer's on."

Rea: "Mr. Speaker... Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I resent the earlier statement that was made in terms of the state employees. I was a state employee under the Personnel Code when I... whenever I filed to run for State Representative. But I did not campaign on state time, and I resent being classified as a second-rate citizen. I think a state employee should have the opportunity as the same as anybody else. I feel that they should have the opportunity to run as long as they do not campaign on their work time and I've kept careful records. I did not campaign during the working hours. It was only in the evenings and on weekends, and I was carefully accountable for my time. I think that any state employee should have that opportunity and I urge you to vote no."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Williams, to explain his vote. Timer's on."



Williams: "Thank you Mr Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I really had my light on before and then the previous question was moved. I just wanted to point out that I was pleased to see that Amendment on there raising the cap there to 30,000 for teachers. I don't think it really goes far enough. I think it should also have policemen and firemen unless their in the... well we'll say the executive part. I just want to point out that this will probably save the County of Cook probably about 20,000 dollars because they just get as well... I think they get around 50,000 filings that really, I'd say, are unnecessary from teachers, policemen, and firemen, and I think we should look at this again in the spring and we should include policemen and firemen as well as now the teachers that we have. But this is a good taxpayers Bill, and I know for a fact it will save..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman from McLean, Mr. Bradley, to explain his vote. Timer's on. Alright. I'll get back to you Gerry, The Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "I don't think I could do it in a minute. There's so much wrong with this Bill. For starters, we're only talking about state office. What if you run for county office or city office. Why should you be allowed to stay on the state payroll? Secondly, although this is just an inflationary increase because the cost of living has gone up about 50% since this Bill has been passed; I want every regulator, every inspector, everyone with discretionary police power to have to file this type of a statement so that we can find out if a guy that's inspecting car washes ends up owning a car wash. Or a guy that's inspecting currency exchanges ends up owning a currency exchange. That seems to be the tradition in the state. I really think that conflict of interest is a major problem in



state government and by raising the level 10,000 dollars we are really shutting the doors for someone who might want to look. Parenthetically, I can't believe there are 50,000 public employees in Cook County that earn over 20,000 dollars."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman from McLean, Mr. Bradley."

The Gentleman from Vermillion, Mr. Campbell. Timer's on."

Campbell: "Well Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise to help the Sponsor concur in this Conference Committee Report, and I'll address myself to Amendment #2 which is basically House Bill 2136 which I filed some time ago and we didn't get to it in the last night of the Session. The Sponsor was agreeable to let me amend this onto this Bill. And the purpose of this and it doesn't change the intent of the original Act of 1972 one iota. The only thing that it does it raises from 20 to 30,000 on those people, state employees and local employees, not elected officials or appointed officials, but employees. Bricklayers, carpenters, electricians, people of this nature and... so that they do not have to file unless they make 30,000 dollars..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wish? Mr. Bradley, you want to speak on this Amendment? You're back. Could call you twice."

Bradley: "No. Not on this matter but I..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 69 ayes, 52 nays, 4 recorded as present and the House does not adopt first Conference Committee on Senate Bill 1114. The Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, what... can you advise as to what the right parliamentary move is to preserve the Bill so I don't destroy the underlying Bill? Do I have to put it on the Spring Calendar or what?"



Speaker Lechowicz: "No. You have to ask a second Conference Committee Report."

Vinson: "I'd ask for a second Conference Committee..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "That's what he did. Second-Conference Committee Report has been requested and leave granted. Gentleman from McLean, Mr. Bradley, what purpose seek recognition?"

Bradley: "Just to try to keep the Body informed Mr. Speaker if I might. We charged out of here a few minutes ago to try to find out where the... Timer went on."

Speaker Lechowicz: "I'm sorry."

Bradley: "As I understand, the Omnibus Bill has been signed by the Members of the Conference, but the Members should be informed that D.O.T. has not been signed and there seems to be some dispute between the House and the Senate in what shape it will be so they're still negotiating. So it's going to be... I understand Senator Carroll has got some problems but it's 5 to 2. It looks like it's going to be another hour because I see our Members, Mike McClain and Zeke are still here so. They're on their way. There they go. Bring it back and maybe we're within an hour of getting out of here. Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. O'Brien, what purpose seek recognition?"

O'Brien: "Mr. Speaker, point of information. I went along with Representative Bradley to try and find out the disposition of the two Conference Committees. Is it possible that the Chair could indicate to us not only the Members on the Democratic side of the aisle that are on that D.O.T. Conference Committee but also the Members on the Republican side of the aisle and the Senate Members, because these fellows are just walking around. There're only approximately 2,000,000 dollars apart and they're not even sitting down and talking on it. I think that we ought find out just exactly who's



on D.O.T. and tell them to sit down and get the show on the road."

Speaker Lechowicz: "We'll try to get that information back to you. I don't have the information at the Chair. I believe the question is whether you want to pass D.O.T. without... I'm sorry. Without the Members pet projects or not. That's the dispute and if you check the Amendments that were adopted in the House, there's quite a few projects in there for the respective Members and I... that's where the dispute lies. The Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Kane."

Kane: "Mr. Speaker, I would suggest that if they're apart on pet projects that they take the pet projects of the Members that have left and gone home and take them off and maybe we could get some agreement."

Speaker Lechowicz: "I'd like to have that on second Conference Committee."

Kane: "I'd be willing to serve. I think we ought to because right now I doubt that there's 107 Members that are going to vote for anything that's here."

Speaker Lechowicz: "I hear you."

Kane: "Well, let's roll it."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Don't tell me that. Moving along to Senate Bill 1270... Gentleman from McLean, Mr. Bradley on Mr. Kane's mike."

Bradley: "I'm really concerned now. I saw three Members on our side go out that door but I see Mike McClain coming in this door. And I just don't know... Where are you meeting Michael?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "He's concerned about his project. Don't bet on it. Gentleman from Rock Island, Mr. Polk."

Polk: "Just a question Mr. Speaker. I see the next Bill, Senate Bill 1272 doesn't even have a Senate Sponsor of Carroll. Is that King Carroll that everybody's talking about who gutted all the Bills? Just a question."



Speaker Lechowicz: "Very simple Bill. It's only Court of Claims. That's all it is. ... flies out of here about 150 votes. I think it's been removed. Senate Bill 1272. Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Emil Jones."

Jones: "Yes, thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move that the House concur in the second Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 1272. As many of you know that earlier on the first Conference Committee Report we did have a 69,000 dollar appropriation there for medley movers as well, and an appropriation for another individual. And that Bill passed out of here, out of the House, with, I believe, about 108 votes. But I want to give you the Roll Call from the Senate. That was only 5 yea votes and 27 nay votes on that first Conference Committee Report. As you have the Report in front of you, as I said earlier, you will see that I did not sign it because I am one that don't like to bow down to the Senate. So, being the House Sponsor of Senate Bill 1272, you can do what you want on this. This is a second Conference Committee Report. If you care to vote for it, it's okay with me. If you care not to vote for it, it's okay with me as well. But I don't feel that we, as Members of the House, should always have to bow down to the Senate on any appropriation Bill or any matter. That Bill left the House overwhelmingly and it only received 5 votes in the senate. So vote your conscience."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is shall the House adopt second Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1272. All in favor vote aye, all oppose vote nay. The Gentleman from Effingham, Mr. Brummer."

Brummer: "Yes, I don't know if there's really any problem. We had voted out the..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Dawson. Excuse me. Glenn. Please continue sir."

Brummer: "We have voted out medley movers before and I'm



sure it's on three or four other Bills. Surely we don't need to give the Governor that many choices. We can pass this out of here without the medley movers on here and there will still be some other vehicles available so that the Governor can pay that debt that's long over due."

Speaker Lechowicz: "I don't believe this. May I point out that the Omnibus Conference Committee Report has been completed. The Omnibus Conference Committee Report has been completed and this does not put us in a very good posture. That's... your point is well taken. Mr. Jones."

Jones: "As I indicated before Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of this House, and as Representative Polk pointed out, we talk about King Carroll of the Senate. I don't feel that we as Members of the House should bow down to the Senate on any matter. And if the will of the House is that if we're ever going to prevail, then we're going to have to stand up for what we believe in. Now you could vote your conscience on this piece of legislation, but I'm voting no on the second Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Simms. Your light's on. Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Taylor."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, if this gets 107 votes, I would like a verification."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take... The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Yourell. Oh. I'm sorry, I thought you wanted to speak. Mr. Yourell."

Yourell: "Thankyou Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I really think what we're doing is uncalled for. We want to go home. We all have the same urge to get back to where we really belong and I don't see any sense in holding off votes and voting



red on this Bill. Because we're going to cause a lot of trouble with those other Bills and I think we really ought to see a little sense and a green vote up there."

Speaker Lechowicz: "This is just a Court of Claims. Not an appropriation. Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 112 ayes, 14 nays, 8 recorded as present and Mr. Taylor requests a verification. We will call out the state police and ask everybody to get back into the chamber. I, for one, do not want to be back here in Special Session for a Court of Claims budget. Mr. Kempiners, what purpose seek recognition?"

Kempiners: "Well, I would suggest that, seeing as how we've just given them a raise, they would be more than happy to get those people who weren't here to vote for that pay raise."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Katz, what purpose seek recognition? Kindly record Mr. Katz as aye. What's the count Jack? 113 ayes, 14 nos, 7 present. Poll the absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "E.M. Barnes. Jane Barnes. Bluthardt. Bullock. Capparelli. Capuzi. Currie. Darrow. Deuster. Domico. Donovan. Epton. Ewell. Ewing. Garmisa. Getty. Griesheimer."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Excuse me. Mr. Piel."

Piel: "Yes Mr. Speaker. I'd like to have leave to be verified please."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Leave is granted. MR. Wolf. Same request. J.J. Wolf."

Clerk O'Brien: "Hallstrom. Hanahan. Hoffman. Huff. Jaffe. Kent. Klosak. Kornowicz. Kosinski. Kozubowski. Kucharski. Laurino. Leinenweber. Madigan. McMaster. Meyer. Molloy. Mugalian. Pierce. Ronan. Sanduqist. Schlickman. Schneider. Schuneman. Stearney. And Waddell."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Totten, what



purpose seek recognition?"

Totten: "Mr. Speaker, you gave Representative Taylor the right to verify this Roll Call. I would like to have that same right for any of the remaining Bills."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Objections have been raised. You can't do that. For this Bill you can. Leave to be verified for this Bill. I heard what he said."

Totten: "If Mr. Taylor doesn't want to verify it, I would like to."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Oh. You want to verify the rest of the Roll Calls? Okay. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Taylor."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, I have a list of names that I can call off to let you know that I know what's going on here. Alexander right from the word go. Braun that I know is gone. Griesheimer who's in Wisconsin. Kornowicz."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Kornowicz is not recorded sir. He's probably in the mens room. It's ten after 2:00. Even my kidneys are getting a little weak up here. Mr. Taylor. Alright. Jack, what's the count? On this question there's 113 ayes... Mr.... I'm sorry Jim. What? I didn't hear what you said Jim. Mr. Taylor. Put Mr. Taylor on please."

Taylor: "I never said I withdraw. I'm just waiting for you to verify. I thought that you were calling the absentees and... Now you verify the affirmative vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Surely. Verify the affirmative vote please. And Mr. Flinn get in his chair or somebody else? It's time for me to start helping him verify on some of the other roll calls."

Clerk O'Brien: "Abramson. Ackerman. Alexander. Anderson. Balanoff. Beatty. Bell. Bianco."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Kane, what purpose seek recognition?"

Kane: "Mr. Speaker, I think I sympathize with the statement that you're making. I think that we all realize that



there isn't 107 votes here to pass anything that's controversial. And unless... if there's going to be a verification of one, I'm sure there's going to be a verification of all of them and if that's the case we might just as well adjourn until... whenever the Membership is back here. And if you would want to accept a motion to adjourn, I'd be happy to make it."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Please continue with the verification of the affirmative vote. Excuse me. Mr. Schoeberlein requests leave to be verified. Leave is granted. Gentleman from..."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, the Bedford Ham ... has just come over and asked me to withdraw my motion. I'm going to do that because he's the Majority Leader."

Speaker Lechowicz: "What's the count Mr. Clerk? On this question there's 113 ayes, 14 nos and the House does concur with the second Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1272. This Bill having received the 3/5 Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Emil Jones, what purpose seek recognition?"

Jones: "A point of personal privilege Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "What's your point sir?"

Jones: "The reason why I did what I did is because I want the freshman Members of the House to learn a lesson. Time and time again you will pass legislation and the Senate will kill it wholesale. But until you stand up for what you believe in, they're not going to respect you. I recall four years ago they did this to us. They adjourned and went home and left us sitting here with a Conference Committee Report. And we figured a way to get out of it and they had to come back and we went home. But we have got to stop knuckling down to those individuals on the other side of the rotunda. If this House overwhelmingly passes a piece of legislation, I feel it's incumbent upon them to give us a due



consideration. And don't be so concerned about funding state government on July 1. During my first term under Bob Blair I believe we went until July the 13th before we funded state government. So, I did this to bring out to the new Members that don't cave in on these Conference Committee Reports on the first and second Conference Committee Report. If it's necessary, let the legislation die because they in turn will cave in and give you what you want some time."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Okay. Let's call House Bill 905.

The Gentleman from Will, I'm sorry, from Henry, Mr. McGrew. House Bill 905 Jack. Gentleman makes a motion to take from the table and suspend Rule 68D and E for immediate consideration."

McGrew: "I think we've already done that."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Leave for the Attendance Roll Call.

Leave is granted. Gentlem...Gentleman from Henry, Mr. McGrew."

McGrew: "Thank you very much Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I think that we have removed the objectional provisions that we run into trouble with last time on House Bill 905. Namely, we have removed the provision first of all that the IEA objected to that would allow a high school student to walk out of P.E. if he were in interscholastic sports, and we've also moved the desegregation assistance from the Illinois Office of Education. What then is left in the Bill is the provisions, first of all, that the school districts can... who are presently contracting for health care service with a non-for-profit corporation and I underlined the word 'presently', so that it does affect only one school district in the state and they want the right to have a tax levy for health care. They're currently doing with a federal grant that would allow them to continue to do so. The House passed this Bill very easily. Secondly, it



provides that we would have a limit on Senate Bill 101, the pupil transportation for private schools, and that limit is that the school that the student is wanting to attend must be in the district adjacent to the one he currently resides. And this Amendment is also been cleared with Cardinal Cody and so I know of no opposition to the Bill. I would move for the adoption of the second Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman from Coles, Mr. Stuffle."

Stuffle: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Indicates he will."

Stuffle: "Representative McGrew, you said it cleans up some of the problems with the other Bill on transportation of private students. What if the limit in terms of miles that a student can reside from the district boundary in which he lives within the Conference Committee Report?"

McGrew: "Sir, I did not change the wording whatsoever. It's saying that the school attendant must be located less than ten miles from the school district boundary. All I did is add, 'and be adjacent' or 'and be in a district adjacent to'. Those were the words that were added."

Stuffle: "My question was how can he still live up to ten miles away from the school district..."

McGrew: "That is the intent of 101 and it's my indication through several sources that the Governor intends to sign that Bill. I am not attempting in any way, shape, or form to pass a Bill that would allow for public transportation for private students. In fact, what I am attempting to do, sir, is to limit it."

Stuffle: "Does the Bill apply to the City of Chicago school district as well as downstate?"

McGrew: "No, and neither did Senate Bill 101."

Stuffle: "Thank you."



Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "I would like to know what tax district is going to have a tax increase without a referendum."

McGrew: "The backdoor referendum is provided for Representative Steczo and Representative Mahar's district. They are the ones that..."

Skinner: "What district?"

McGrew: "143½ and it's called what? Posen Robbins. I'm sorry. I can't ever remember that."

Skinner: "And so what we're going to do... Is this a 5.44 cents per hundred or 54 cents per hundred dollars of assessed valuation?"

McGrew: "5.44. Well it's 4.544 percent."

Skinner: "I can't multiply that this late at night."

McGrew: "A hundred would... I can't either really."

Skinner: "Okay. Fine. While the services may be essential, this is not a very good idea because this part of Cook County is among the highest assessed part of Cook County, and this part of Cook County is also one of the poorest parts of Cook County. So what we're saying is we're going to increase the property tax on those people who are probably least able to pay it outside of the City of Chicago. I don't think that is a fair idea or even a good idea, and I can see why everybody didn't sign this Conference Committee Report."

McGrew: "Well, if I may, let me point out that first of all, sir, that the reason this is here is that it is primarily federal dollars, the good all-time carrot. Secondly, let me point out that we do have a four year deadline date that it would automatically be repealed."

Skinner: "Just like the special education building tax, right? Only that was eight years and it was automatically repealed."

McGrew: "No. It's a lot different sir. You know, there are examples in state government where we've screwed up



everything and I just soon you not take out one example to try to draw a parallel."

Skinner: "Well, I would suggest the School Code is probably the most screwed up Code I've ever seen and I don't think this is going to help it any."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Deuster."

Deuster: "As House Sponsor of Senate Bill 101, which many of you voted for and many of you voted against, I would like to say that House Bill 905 which is before you here is a revised version of Senate Bill 101 and in a way tightens up that Bill and so that if some of you might have voted against 101 because you thought it was a little too loose or too broad, you might want to vote for this Bill. Because what this provides is that the transportation outside the school district boundary not only must first be within ten miles but it also the private school attended must be in an adjacent district. I think this restricts 101 in a way that many of you will find satisfactory, and I have no objection to it. The concepts are almost identical. This one is limited a little bit and in so far as this Bill includes a comparable provision to Senate Bill 101. I would express support for it."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Steczo."

Steczko: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. Regarding the provision concerning school district 143½ and Posen Robbins, that is similar to House Bill 1371 which was heard in the Elementary and Secondary Education Committee. And it was brought to our attention by the superintendent and the school board from 143½. They are the only school district in the state and one of four school districts in the country that provide health care services in their school district. And they're currently being funded by a private foundation and asked us to introduce this legislation. They said that they're hopeful that the



foundation moneys would continue hence the self destruct laws in the particular legislation. And they're hopeful they would never have to use the particular power that we're giving them. And I would urge, based on this situation the fact that it is a poor school district and the fact that the reason for the health care service and the health care plan being provided there, is that based upon diagnosis and efforts made on behalf of the school district few years ago, over 400 children in a school district with 1300 students were found to have childhood diseases that were undiagnosed. So it's a worthwhile program and I would urge the support of Conference Committee Report #2 of House Bill 905."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman from Madison, Mr. Sam Wolf."

Wolf: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman has moved the previous question."

All in favor signify by saying 'aye' aye, opposed.

Previous question's been moved. Gentleman from Henry, Mr. McGrew, to close."

McGrew: "Thank you. I ask for an aye vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Question is shall the House adopt the second Conference Committee Report on House Bill 905. All in favor vote aye, all oppose vote nay. Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh, to explain his vote. Timer's on."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I object to both parts of this Conference Committee Report. I'm not fond of creating a health care service within the schools. Health care certainly is important but not... should not be done within the framework of the schools and certainly should not be done with a back door rather than a direct referendum. Further, this is just as bad as Senate Bill 101 with respect to bussing from outside of school districts. It is frequently... I know in suburban Cook County at



least it is as far from within one district to the adjoining district as it would be going through several districts. So this Bill is... this Conference Committee Report is bad on two counts." I urge your no vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman from LaSalle, Mr. Anderson. Timer's on."

Anderson: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. As I said on the first Conference Committee Report, the ink wasn't dry on Senate Bill 101 and here we are amending it already. This Bill needs further study. This whole concept. I like the concept, but I don't want to interfere with our present funding of school transportation. I want to know exactly what it costs. The Report that I heard earlier from the Sponsor of 101 was about 3,000,000 dollars and B.O.B. says somewhere between 48 and 53 million dollars. Now that's quite a discrepancy. I urge your no vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Totten, to explain his vote. I thought you left already."

Totten: "No. I wanted to make sure you stayed awake up there and I thought I'd let you know I was here..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Everytime I hear your lovely voice I perk up. Please..."

Totten: "This, Mr. Speaker, it looks like this isn't going to get enough votes to pass so I'm going to relinquish my right that you gave me to verify the remaining Roll Call on this Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "I didn't give you any... that type of right. In fact, objections have been raised. Gentleman from Cook, Mr. O'Brien."

O'Brien: "Would you recognize me after to this Bill?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "I will sir. The Gentleman from Henry, Mr. McGrew."

McGrew: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I'm a little bit amazed but let me try that one more time. I am not Sponsoring a Bill for private schools to get free bussing. As a



matter of fact, I voted no on that Bill. All the Amendment contained in House Bill 905 does is to further restrict or cut down the cost of that Bill. Now, as I said earlier also, the Governor has indicated he is going to sign Senate Bill 101. I suggest to you that this would cut down on the cost for the private schools. It's an attempt to salvage the program as well as to salvage the cost, and I assume that we would like to do something like that. It seems apparent not to so could I put this on the Spring Calendar?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman asks leave to put the Bill on Spring Calendar. Hearing no objection, put the Bill on the Spring Calendar. Use the Attendance Roll Call. Spring Calendar. The one that you're on Cal. Okay. I'm glad you bring that to my attention. House Bill 925. Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner. I'm sorry. Before we get to that Bill, Gentleman from Cook, Mr. O'Brien, sought recognition. Mr. O'Brien. I do have the information though that you requested earlier of the Chair. The conferees on Senate Bill 891 which is the Department of Transportation budget are Mr. McPike, Mr. Giorgi, and Mr. McClain. Democratic House Members. The Republican House Members are Mr. J.J. Wolf, and Mr. Ryan, and from the Senate we have Senator Busbee, Mr. Rock, Mr. Coffey, Mr. Sommer, and Mr. Carroll."

Marovitz: "Mr. Speaker, one more inquiry of the Chair. Could you tell us approximately where this Conference Committee is supposed to be meeting?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Well, I believe there was a meeting here on the floor as you recall, a meeting in the Senate it was in 400. Room 400 is the designated place."

Marovitz: "Thank you Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "And if the conferees can hear my voice,



it's 2:30 in the morning. As I recall, I got up last night about this time and asked that we recess.

And we're about ready to recess. Didn't forget about you Cal. House Bill 925 on the Supplemental Calendar #4. Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Yeah. I'd given up hope that you'd ever call this Bill or I wouldn't have argued against those other good Bills that just went down the tube. This is a Bill..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Excuse me Mr. Skinner. With that type of introduction, everybody kindly give your kind attention to Mr. Skinner's Bill and everybody he argued against be ready. Please continue Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "This little nothing Bill will keep us on the straight and narrow. It is designed to keep those people who drive up and down the Fox River Valley to give them a slight chance of being able to make at over 25 miles an hour with all the people moving out to our area. It would somewhat restrict or give more power to county government in unincorporated areas only. The Municipal League has their Amendment on here and they are totally pleased with it. In addition, in order... because there was a fight in Cook County..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Excuse me. Excuse me. Kindly give the Gentleman your attention please."

Skinner: "It doesn't matter if they give me their attention. I just want their vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "We'll get to that at a later moment."

Skinner: "Because there was a fight between the..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Skinner. You haven't suspended the Rule yet. Mr. Skinner please."

Skinner: "Well son of a gun. I'm glad that you're Parliamentary whispers the right things in your ear. May I have that permission? May I make that motion?"



Speaker Lechowicz: "There's objections using the Attendance Roll Call."

Skinner: "There isn't one. How could we use the Attendance Roll Call? Shall we take one?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Would you kindly make your motion sir?"

Skinner: "I move we take the Attendance Roll Call."

Speaker Lechowicz: "You weren't recognized for that."

Skinner: "Oh. Okay. I move we suspend Rule 68D or something like that in order to immediately consider this excellent Conference Committee Report #2."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Do you want to take it from the table as well?"

Skinner: "If you think that's a good idea."

Speaker Lechowicz: "I think so."

Skinner: "Why not?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "All in favor vote aye. All oppose vote nay. Doesn't look too good."

Skinner: "Well I'm trusting there'll be at least under 89 absent."

Speaker Lechowicz: "We'll poll the absentees. Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Marovitz on the motion."

Marovitz: "Yes, I would hope that you will consult the Parliamentarian and not abuse the Rules and recognize Mr. Totten for a verification of this Roll Call."

Speaker Lechowicz: "I intend to. That's my next procedure. Have all voted who wish?"

Skinner: "You may not have to."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Totten."

Totten: "Mr. Speaker, how many votes does this need?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "177."

Totten: "Mr. Speaker, if this should reach 178, I'll ask for a verification."

Speaker Lechowicz: "... we need a few more. This one's for Cal now. There's going to be a verification. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. Oh my gosh. Mr. Schlickman isn't



recorded. Must be in the men's room. He said he had a problem. On this question there's 114 ayes, no nays, 7 recorded as present. Calvin's motion prevails. Now Mr. Skinner on House Bill 925."

Skinner: "I would just like to comment. It's more likely it's Monday. This Bill is so hopelessly compromised that it pleases everyone. The Municipal League has this Amendment on it. County government has no jurisdiction within the municipality. Because of a fight in Cook County between the County Board and the Corporation Counsels Office of the City of Chicago, we've exempted Cook County from the Bill. Yes, it will affect the Gentleman down there who has his hand up. What we're trying to do is to give county government some power over county roads and give them the ability to designate them as limited access roads so subdivisions... subdividers can't cut driveways into them every fifty feet so that we might have safer highways. It doesn't do much, but it's just about as much as we can get done this year, and I move for it's adoption."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there any discussion? Gentleman from Effingham, Mr. Brummer."

Brummer: "Yes, I have an inquiry of the Chair or the Clerk. Is this Conference Committee Report been distributed? I've been saving all these goodies that have been distributed here and I can't find it."

Speaker Lechowicz: "I'm sorry. Chair was distracted momentarily Mr. Brummer. Was that an inquiry of the Chair?"

Brummer: "Yes, I was wondering if the Conference Committee Report had been distributed to all the desks."

Speaker Lechowicz: "All what?"

Brummer: "To the desks of all the Members."

Speaker Lechowicz: "You mean Mr. Skinner doesn't have his?"

Skinner: "Excuse me? If someone has a copy, I wish they'd give it to me." I know I signed it."



Speaker Lechowicz: "Have a Tab."

Skinner: "They're out. Canteen Corporation..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "I believe, according to the Clerk,
the Conference Committee Reports have been distributed."

Skinner: "It was a couple of days ago."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Huskey."

Huskey: "Would Mr. Skinner yield for a couple questions?"

Skinner: "Sure. For starters, I can guarantee you this
is not a vehicle for Thompson-Byrne road program."

Huskey: "Is this one of those tax eating Bills?"

Skinner: "I don't think so."

Speaker Lechowicz: "It's a direct trip to Hawaii."

Skinner: "This will help keep local governments on the
straight and narrow. And I found the Conference
Committee Report. It's printed in brilliant blue
on shocking pink."

Speaker Lechowicz: "That's just for you. Gentleman from
Cook, Mr. Piel."

Piel: "With my brilliant blue Hawaiian shirt, I move the
previous question."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Excellent choice."

Skinner: "Bless you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "All in favor say 'aye' aye, oppose.
Previous question's been moved. Gentleman from
McHenry, Mr. Skinner, to close."

Skinner: "I won't press my luck."

Speaker Lechowicz: "And kindly vote your own switch.

The question is shall the House adopt the second
Conference Committee Report on House Bill 925. All
in favor vote aye, all oppose vote nay. Gentleman
from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson. Give him a Tab will you?"

Vinson: "I was just going to say that this is the... one
of those Conference Committee Reports printed earlier
without the Members names typed under it and I think
he has to make a motion on that."

Speaker Lechowicz: "You better start talking."



Skinner: "Well, if you'll turn my light on I will. For those that are still interested in the content of Bills, I will be happy to explain what this does. It gives county government more power on county roads in unincorporated areas to limit... to make them limited access roads. I think this is needed in developing areas particularly. I'm sure that it doesn't give county government too much power because the Municipal League has looked after the municipalities interests and that's why we are now..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?"

Skinner: "And I would appreciate your vote and so would Kane County and so would everybody that drives up and down Randall road."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Your... Gentleman immediately behind you, Mr. Robbins, what purpose seek recognition?" Robbins."

Robbins: "Cal, this Bill does limit the access on all county roads."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Be careful. He's voting no."

Skinner: "What? It authorizes County Board to limit access to county highways by ordinance. It is a permissive Bill."

Robbins: "Okay. And if it is just like on the state highways where the county can keep you from putting a driveway into you house on a county road. If ... It doesn't get too close to the other... if it's too close to the other access."

Skinner: "Boy, if they could do that in my county I wish they'd do it. They don't."

Robbins: "They do it in the state and this is what... it says all provisions identical to ingress and egress from state highways."

Skinner: "Well then it may not solve the problem I'm trying to solve."



Robbins: "I think it will create a lot more problems than it'll solve. That's the reason I asked you the question. I don't want the problems with the county highways that I have with the state highways trying to get a man an access to where a place where he has already built his home."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Please stop harrassing the Sponsor. He never does that to anyone."

Skinner: "At least not during debate. In answer to his question, it depends on the philosphy the County Board has and I'm sure that the areas of the state which do not have zoning and where the rural interests control the County Board and where it's not a developing area, that an ordinance like this won't pass. But I'm hopeful that in Kane County, an ordinance like this will pass so I can get to the major city in my district in less than a half an hour which can't be done on Route 31, a state highway."

Robbins: "Cal, is there any restriction on the size of the counties? Population size."

Skinner: "The only restriction is that Cook County is exempted."

Robbins: "Gentlemen, I like Cal and he and I vote together and talk together a lot of time but I will ask you to vote no because of the problems that it will create in our area downstate."

Skinner: "I sure wish I trusted your County Board more than you do. Looks like it's almost Spring Calendar time sir."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Effingham, Mr. Brummer. I'm sorry. Who?"

Brummer: "If he's going to move to Spring Calendar, I want..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Excuse me. The Gentleman that's up by the TV cam... Oh. That's one of our guys. It's Mudd. There's no problem. Okay. No, that's Mudd. Mr. Brummer, kindly explain your vote. Timer's on."



Brummer: "Well, I think maybe we interrupted Representative Skinner. He wanted to move this to the Spring Calendar. Otherwise, I would like to speak on this."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "I certainly would Mr. Speaker. Certainly there are not 107 votes on it."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Alright. Leave for the Spring Calendar. Hearing no objections, use the Attendance Roll Call."

Skinner: "And then, Mr. Speaker, maybe we can find enough keys so I can get 89 votes."

Speaker Lechowicz: "I'm sorry. What? Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson, what purpose seek recognition?"

Vinson: "I think we should all give Mr. Robbins a hand for beating his first Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Borchers, what purpose seek recognition?"

Borchers: "I thought you might be interested in... Is there any other Bill right now in progress? Otherwise, I'll wait. Have we got something else to do right now?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Knowing the Calendars that have been coming out here at such a rapid pace, yes we do. And the Clerk will announce the distribution of another Calendar."

Clerk O'Brien: "Supplemental Calendar #6 is now being distributed."

Speaker Lechowicz: "It's dated, I'm sure, July 2. Do we have a motion here Jack? No motion. Before we go to that, let's go to House Bill 1325, Mr. Robbins on Supplemental Calendar #4 so Mr. Skinner can retaliate. House Bill 1325. Oh. He had a premonition. It's on the Spring Calendar already. That's right. I was just reviewing the legislation before me. I figured I would just be appropos. Resolutions. Not yet."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 447, Ryan. Resolved that the following named person be and he is hereby elected officer of the House, Assistant Chief Clerk, Anthony J.



Leone, Jr."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Wait a minute. It hasn't been adopted yet. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Conti. Mr. Conti please."

Conti: "Mr. Speaker, I move for the adoption of the Resolution." You have to suspend the Rules on that? Do you have to suspend the Rules on that?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "No. We'll just have a Roll Call. Question is shall House Resolution 447 be adopted. And all in favor no... All in favor vote aye, all oppose vote nay. Mr. Totten, you want to verify this one too?"

Totten: "I have a question. Is this the Adjournment Resolution?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "No it is not sir."

Totten: "Oh. Okay."

Speaker Lechowicz: "This is the Resolution to elect Tony Leone the Assistant Clerk of the House."

Totten: "Oh. Okay."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. Who's voting no? Robbins. Okay. Alright. It's better. It's better. Alright. On this question there's 124 ayes, no nays, none recorded as present and the Resolution is adopted. Congratulations to Mr. Tony Leone. Senate Bill 1099 on the Supplemental Calendar #3. Mrs. Catania."

Catania: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Members of the House."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Alright. Let's give the Lady your attention please."

Catania: "Senate Bill 1099 provides that the Department of Transportation can hire part-time hearing officers and it also provides that if sufficient federal funds become available solely for the purpose of padding seat backs on school buses that then the number of school buses for which the funds are available to provide for such padding shall be padded. I move for..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Lady moves to suspend Rule 68E for



immediate consideration to take from the table. Use the Attendance Roll Call. Hearing no objections, Attendance Roll Call is granted. Now the Lady moves that we do adopt the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1099. All in favor vote aye, all oppose vote nay. Kindly record me as aye please. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Has everyone voted his wish or her wish? Come on Ladies. A little support here. Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 114 solid ayes, 3 nos, 7 recorded as present and this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby... No. Wait a minute. The House does concur and adopt Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1099. And this Bill receiving the 3/5 Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Well, you got... argue untimely. House Bill 2328. Is the Lady, Mrs. Adams... The Lady, Mrs. Kent in? Mr. Reilly, are you ready for that Bill? House Bill 2328. Supplemental 1. Page three. Page three of Supplemental 1. Gentleman from Morgan, Mr. Reilly."

Reilly: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I have to admit I'm not terribly familiar with this Bill. It's Representative Kent's Bill but she had to leave to take her husband home. As you know, he's been very ill. The basic part of the Bill, as it left the House, made a nonsubstantive corrective change in..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "You want to suspend the Rule 68E?"

Reilly: "Yes. I move to suspend Rule..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Use the Attendance Roll Call? Hearing no objections, Attendance Roll Call is used. Now please proceed on House Bill 2328."

Reilly: "Thank you. The basic Section of the... of the Bill, excuse me, as it left the House made some technical



corrections on the Commerce Commission Law, the Illinois Vehicle Code. The Motor Vehicles Laws Commission and the State Police together with a Member of the Senate added an Amendment which said that... basically said that when a tow truck is towing a semi in that kind of situation basically clarifies that the owner of the truck can determine what is "the nearest qualified repair station". I would ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? Gentleman moves the adoption of the Conference Committee... I'm going to recognize you for it. Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Stanley. Stanley please."

Stanley: "Representative Reilly, maybe you could tell me, it says in the Digest, it provides that weight limitation shall not apply to vehicles operated by the public utility when transporting equipment required for emergency repair of public utilities, facilities, or properties, and so forth and so on. What's that mean? You mean public utilities in that situation that blanket exemption on..."

Reilly: "I don't... I think that is not... Let me say if I can find the exact wording of the Amendment. The staff analysis that I have in front of me does not say that. It says just what I said. Perhaps there's some Member here of the Motor Vehicle Laws Commission who could explain that, but it's my understanding that all it does is clarify that, under those circumstances where in fact the vehicle would be overweight because of the load of the semi on the the truck, that they can decide what is "the nearest qualified repair station"."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Question is shall the House adopt Conference Committee #1 on House Bill 2328. All in favor vote aye, all oppose vote no. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who



wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 76 ayes, 18 nays, 3 recorded as present and the House does not adopt Conference Committee Report #1 on House Bill 2328. Gentleman requests ... hereby declared lost. Alright. Let's go down to Supplemental Calendar #5. Correction, 6. Senate Bill... Oh my gosh. How'd that thing get back already? Senate Bill 1114, Mr. Vinson. We're waiting to see what type of corrections you made."

Vinson: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. In an effort to try to preserve the Sponsor's basic Bill, everything that anybody objected to has been taken out of this. There is nothing on... in this Conference Report that pertains to restricting state employee from running for office at anytime. There's nothing in the Conference Report that changes the Conflict of Interest Law in any way. All that's left in the Conference Committee Report is a very simple provision that says that CETA employees should be laid off first. Not general revenue employees."

Speaker Lechowicz: "I really have a question for you but I guess I can't pose it from the Chair. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Terzich."

Terzich: "I just want to know if this Bill contains a death penalty."

Speaker Lechowicz: "No. Let me just ask you one question. How can we regulate that if that's all federal funds?"

Vinson: "Oh. What you have is a questionable administrative ruling right now from the Director of Personnel as to how... what the lay off priority is. He has chosen to regard when the CETA employee comes on the state pay roll to treat that CETA employee the same as any other employee. What this Bill would do would be to direct him to create separate lay off rosters for CETA employees. The federal funds that the regulation of their... of the personnel incidence of their employment is a matter of



state law."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Okay. Thank you. Is there any further discussion? The question is shall the House adopt the second Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1114. All in favor vote aye, all oppose vote nay. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Abramson, to explain his vote. Your light was on sir. Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson, to explain his vote."

Vinson: "I just want to point out the alternative to not adopting legislation like this is that we adopt the budget which says that there should be so many employees in an agency that we're going to raise the taxes to pay them and appropriate the money to do so. Then a windfall grant comes along from the federal government which is designed to temporarily alleviate unemployment, and when that money runs out, which is a uncertain thing. We don't know when it's going to happen, then those employees are going to take precedence over the employee who have been hired under the ongoing funds we levy, we raise, we appropriate, and I think that most of the planning in state government, both for the Legislative and executive branch, is done on the basis of the revenues that we raise and should be and that would be the result of killing the Bill. I'm not going to cry about it, but there's the situation."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Huff."

Huff: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. In explaining my none vote, I think this is a very bad precedence we're setting. We're using federal money to alleviate unemployment. I don't think that we should capriciously decide that we should lay off CETA employees first. I don't even think that we can do it because, under the provisions of those funds, I think that the state is obligated to provide employment for a predetermined time. The Gentleman from Wayne, Mr. Robbins, to explain his vote."



Robbins: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think this is a good Bill for this reason. Most of your CETA employees are hired with a time limit when they must go on to the state or they must be discontinued off of federal employees. Otherwise, they automatically lock them in as state employees and they don't have to pass any of the tests that the other state employees pass. So if they don't have to pass the test, I don't think they should be coded as a regular state employee."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Taylor, to explain his vote. Timer's on."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, I would hope that this Bill would not get 107 votes. I think it's a bad precedence we're going to set here. The Comprehensive Training Employment Act is for that purpose to train those persons, get them to pass so that they can be able to make... a gainful living. I think that this is a bad Bill here and if they can get the job, then I don't see any reason why we should not try to make more jobs for them."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 93 ayes, 16 nays, 6 recorded as present and the House does not adopt the second Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1114 is hereby declared lost. Mr. Barnes. Gentleman on the floor? Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bowman, what purpose seek recognition? Mr. Bowman."

Bowman: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Before we go to Senate Bill 167 which is almost certain to be a little controversial, I'd like to take up 2034 at this time. We removed the Senate Amendment which was objectionable to some Members."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Barnes is not on the floor. Would someone indicate to the Chair who's going to handle the Omnibus Appropriation Bill? Mr. Peters. Okay. House Bill 2034 on Supplemental Calendar #5. Gentleman"



from Cook, Me. Bowman."

Bowman: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I now move to suspend Rule 68D and E for immediate consideration."

Speaker Lechowicz: "We already did that. That won't be necessary."

Bowman: "It was listed on the Order of Motions. The second Conference Committee Report recommends that the Senate receded from its Amendment. That Amendment was the increase in the contingent expense allowance for legislative office expenses. That has been removed. Now the Bill is back in its original form as it left the House when it was sent over to the Senate. It is only a housekeeping Bill. It allows the Comptroller to debit the individual legislative travel allowance... of the House Operations account when state planes are used for travel purposes and I ask the adoption... or move the adoption of the second Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there any discussion? Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Simms."

Simms: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question? Representative Bowman, you say debit the amount to the Legislator. Are you talking... in what form to their..."

Bowman: "Well..."

Simms: "Would you explain that a little bit fuller?"

Bowman: "Yeah, the way it works now if you were to use the state plane to fly back to Rockford this evening, then the way that would... amount would be paid, because you would owe the state for travel it would come out of the House Operations account. It would not come out of your 20¢ per mile allowance. And that kind of traveling is chewing up our House Operations budget at the rate of 5000 dollars a month."

Simms: "Has the... is the restriction on the use of the state plane still limited the same? We haven't don..."

Bowman: "We have not changed that in any way, shape, or form."



Speaker Lechowicz: "Question is shall the House concur with the second Conference Committee Report on House Bill 2034. Mr. Skinner has a question. What's your question sir?"

Skinner: "My question is whether... if we pass this Bill, are we going to be able to raise the salaries of House employees enough to be able to equalize them with Senate employees?"

Bowman: "Representative Skinner, this Bill has absolutely nothing to do with salaries. It only... it has nothing to do with the rates of compensation or travel. It only has to do with the accounts out of which these various things are paid. There's no back door referendum provision. There's no Midway Airport financing and there is not RTA in here."

Skinner: "I wish the Sponsor would speak to the question. It seems to me that if we have surplus money in one account and insufficient money in another account that it be used to pay salaries of staffers. That this might allow an equalization of salaries and with that fervent hope, I shall support your legislation."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is shall the House adopt the second Conference Committee Report on House Bill 2034. All in favor vote aye, all oppose vote nay. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from... Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 112 ayes, 6 nays, 3 recorded as present and the House does adopt the second Conference Committee Report on House Bill 2034. This Bill having received the 3/5 Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. The conferees on the D.O.T. appropriation Bill kindly file a Report. I'm for that. Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Borchers, what purpose seek recognition?"

Borchers: "Do we have just a minute or so?"



Speaker Lechowicz: "A minute. For you Weber definitely we have a minute."

Borchers: "I thought you'd like to know a historical note. Two hundred and two years and right now ago George Rogers Clark in the 157 Virginia militiamen and Kentuckians were lying in an island outside of Vincennes and attacked the town on the morning of July the fourth and took it from the British which means that all of Illinois at that time became American, I thought it would be just nice to remember that they were laying out in this island in the woods." And I'd like to make one other historical note. Take a moment. That's in relation to Representative Taylor. He was correct about four years ago. If the Senate doesn't do us right, I remember too when we sent back... I don't remember whether it was concurrence or non-concurrence, but they... we did send it... they adjourned and we had sent it back and they were gone. So we adjourned and they were called back by the Governor, I think it was the next day or within 48 hours, they were pretty mad at us at the time, but it served them right. So if they do that again to us, we can fix them. So let's do it if it's necessary."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Bond, Mr. Slape."

Slape: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, would you ask the Representative from Macon County if he was with Mr. Clark that morning when they were out there at the island."

Speaker Lechowicz: "To the history of the House, Mr. Weber Borchers."

Borchers: "No, you've got the wars mixed up. I was not with George Rogers Clark. It was General Grant at Vicksburg."

Speaker Lechowicz: "How about with Griesheimer from the Wisconsin border? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. O'Brien, what purpose seek recognition?"

O'Brien: "Point of information Mr. Speaker. First of all,



Mr. Speaker, I'd like to commend the Chair for its manner in which its convened at this House tonight. I think he's done an excellent job. Second of all, I..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Thank you."

O'Brien: "I'd like to point out to the Members and to leadership that we have been in Session for some 15 hours now, and that we have two major issues we have to vote on. That is D.O.T. and the Omnibus Bill and that if those Bills aren't on the desks in five minutes, Mr. Speaker, I'm going to ask that we take a motion for an Oral Verified Roll Call for July 2, because I don't think we would be doing our Members or our constituents any good by sitting here and voting on a rather large budget after 15 hours worth of work and a trying ten days. And I think it's important and I see Members from that Conference Committee sitting in the room. I think it's important that the Members and only fair that the Members get some sort of response from them as to just exactly where they're at and where we're going. And in five minutes, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to be recognized for that motion."

Speaker Lechowicz: "I believe what you've been waiting for is being distributed. Gentleman from McLean, Mr. Ropp."

Ropp: "Mr. Speaker, might I rise for a very brief presentation?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "No, I don't think so. Oh, you're giving 157? Is Mr. Peters on the floor? He'll explain it. Mr. Peters. I guess he isn't. Mr. McClain, the troops are very anxious to hear the disposition on Senate Bill 891. I'm informed that the Conference Committee on Senate Bill 891 is in the process of being typed. ... please. Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Thank you. I've been waiting here Mr. Speaker. If all those of you would look on page four of Senate



Bill 157 in Section 15 you'll see that some of 56,000 dollars will come from the Agricultural Premium Fund for the purpose of providing air conditioning at the Kankakee County Fair Grounds. I think that's a very good idea and I think we should do it for the next 204 years. Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "I believe it should be in DeKalb. No, Decatur. Mr. Clerk, I believe it's been filed with you, the respective motions by the Members as far as changing of votes and being recorded. Do you want to proceed to read them? Put that aside. Mr. Peters on the floor? Mr. Peters. Ask unanimous consent so that respective Members who have filed with the Clerk of how they want to be recorded on respective matters after the vote was taken will be granted. And I thought there was just a few requests by looking at the number. If we have unanimous consent, the Clerk will not have to read them. Unanimous consent? Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Kane. This is not a... This does not apply if an item was verified on the Verified Roll Call."

Kane: "How much money would it save the Clerks Office if someone objected?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Well, the Clerk would love you, I don't know about the Members. Mr. Friedrich."

Friedrich: "In connection with those, I assume the Clerk will determine that they were timely filed."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Definitely sir. We have an efficient staff in this House."

Friedrich: "I'm sure, but I would think that that would have to be on a motion that they were timely filed. The other thing that I wanted to say, after the experience we've had in the last week, in the last 48 hours at least, I don't how much, if any, influence I have with the Rules Committee with this Body, but I intend to propose that the deadline be moved up so that this won't even



happen again. And if anything is still left on the Calendar on June the 30 be tabled permanently and that's it. I think this is absurd that we are handling stuff here Sunday night on July the 2nd that could have been handled in June or May, and if it takes a deadline move up to do it, we can do it. They do in other states and I think we're as efficient here as they are there. So I don't know how many want to do join me on that but I can tell you that I'm going to put in a proposal to change the rules to do exactly that."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Friedrich, while you're putting in that proposal, also permit only one Conference Committee Report. That will aid this General Assembly greatly."

Friedrich: "Of course it will take both Houses. We don't have joint rules and I don't know how we'll get along with that but... But I certainly would favor moving the deadlines up. There's no excuse for our being here on the 2nd at 3:00 in the morning two nights in a row that I know of."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Your point's well taken. You can discuss it with the acting Chairman of the Rules, Mr. Katz, who is sitting patiently at his desk listening to every word. Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Katz. It's good to see you Harold."

Katz: "Yeah. Fine. Nice to see you Mr. Speaker. One thing that I would like to say, Mr. Speaker, is that I want to personally thank the staff. We've been getting a tremendous lot of analysis on Bills. I have never had the feeling in eight Sessions that I have ever been as familiar with the kinds of things we're voting on due to the excellent analysis that we have been furnished by the staff. I think that they ought to know that we have appreciated the very difficult situation we have placed them in, and that they have been very helpful to us."



Speaker Lechowicz: "Applies to both staffs off all committees. Appreciate you help very much." Gentleman has received leave on the motions. Hearing no objections, use the Attendance Roll Call. It's safer that way. Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Huff."

Huff: "While we're killing some time, Mr. Speaker, I was looking at page 12 on the Omnibus Bill 157 in which it expends the sum of 100,000 dollars or so much thereof which may be necessary to the Commission on the status of women. I think we could do without this because everybody knows that the women are in control."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Well, I intend to be home very shortly, and I'm not going to antagonize my wife in any way. Hopefully. The Gentleman from St. Claire, Mr. Flinn."

Flinn: "Mr. Speaker, in line with... along with what Representative Friedrich talked about a moment ago while we've got a little time, I have been advocate and have asked the Speaker and the Chairman of the Rules Committee and other people that I believe that we should have a Subcommittee of the Rules Committee meet sometime later on after... before the Fall Session at least to contemplate some changes in the rules. It would be awfully important in limiting the number of Bills. I don't mean limit them by number. I mean they would limit themselves voluntarily if we can find some way to remove the Members' names from the Bills and just number them by number. It's awfully important. Now I don't want to accuse any particular with campaigning by introducing legislation, but I suspect that may be going on in some cases."

Speaker Lechowicz: "That is Detective Monroe Flinn. I believe they have the same procedure in Congress, Monroe, where they just assign a number of a Bill and then the person is... the person that introduces the measure have it through Committee and on the floor, but there



are no names attached to any Bills. Mr. Peters back on the floor? The Membership is reading very carefully the Omnibus appropriation Bill. There is nothing for any RTA, unfortunately, in that Bill. Mr. Bowman wants to move to reconsider the vote. No. Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bowman."

Bowman: "Yes, I was just going to suggest if the Sponsor is within the sound of my voice that if this thing goes down it'll only be because that the Membership had no time to read it, and it's such a very dangerous precedent. So I would hope that Mr. Peters, if he is within the sound of my voice, would hurry back to the chambers so we could move on with the Roll Call here. But I do, as long as we have a moment, I would like to rise in support of the Kankakee County Fair Ground appropriation. I may be a city fellow but I know that cows give more milk and better milk when they're properly cooled and that way you don't have to refrigerate the milk as much later. I understand that Clyde Robbins also has wall to wall carpeting in his dairy barn and that his cows give the best milk in southern Illinois."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Looking at the Calendar, the Regular Calendar on page three is Senate Bill 1053, Mr. Totten. There he is. Oh come on. Let's call it. Mr. Bowman, Senate Bill 1037."

Bowman: "Actually, Mr. Speaker, I would like to have that carried over to the Fall Calendar if we move not to recede at this point and go to a Conference Committee. I have a hunch that we won't be able to get back to it in time for adjournment. Since the Bill is under our control, I understand that the motion... or the Bill will remain on the Calendar through the Fall."

Speaker Lechowicz: "You can do that in the Fall."

Bowman: "I'd love to. Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "On the Speaker's Table on page six of the



Regular Calendar is House Resolution 81, Mr. Ebbesen.
House Resolution #202, Mr. Taylor."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, House Resolution 202 is dealing with the assessment in Chicago and I move that we adopt House Resolution 202."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there any discussion? Gentleman moves that the House adopt House Resolution 202. All in favor vote aye, all oppose vote nay. The Gentleman explained the Resolution. It creates the Committee on Cook County assessments to investigate Cook County property assessments. On that question, Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "I would have thought that a leader... a Member in the Democratic Membership would have had his motion called at a better time. Especially if there is some money involved here, but certainly if anything needs to be investigated it's assessments in Cook County. In fact, if you wanted to broaden it a little and make it St. Clair County too, you'd have an excellent ... and excellent Resolution. We can use Amtrak to go from county to county and by the time we got finished we might actually improve assessments. I've taken a fairly decent look at the assessment problem in Cook County since the Tribune used the word 'black' in describing the areas that were over assessed and found that regardless of the quality of Professor Lion's study, it just so happens that the areas where black live happened to be over assessed. I don't know whether that's because the people living there are black or because the homes in which the people are living are badly deteriorated and the assessor hasn't kept up with the deteriorated situation. But I guarantee you this thing needs a magnifying glass and should not be ignored and I would hope that every Republican and every Democrat who's not afraid of having lights shown on a situation which just screams for wider knowledge



will vote for this Resolution."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Farley."

Farley: "Yes, thank you Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor explain what the membership of this commission is and the intent of this investigative commission and how it is established?"

Taylor: "Mr...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Taylor."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, I think everyone understands the problem that we do have in the black community in the City of Chicago in regards to this assessment problem. There is something else that I would like to add on to... and Amendment to that Resolution and I would like to take it out of the record at this moment and will call it again before we leave."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Take the Resolution out of the record. House Resolution 284. Lady from St. Clair, Mrs. Younge."

Younge: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. House Resolution 284 is a Resolution by the General Assembly urging the southwest regional port district to assist former employee groups in promoting employment opportunity. It is a Resolution merely urging the southwestern regional port district and it has no appropriation connected with it. I ask for your support in this matter."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there any discussion? Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Kane. Your light's on sir."

Kane: "Yeah, I just ought... thought I'd mention that the date on the Senate Bill... the Conference Committee on Senate Bill 157 was the second of June. I realize that some times people arrange..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Appreciate that... bringing that to the Chair's attention. Would the Membership kindly leave of the House amend on its face as July 2? Mr. Clerk, would you kindly make sure that's amended as well."



Is this on the Resolution Mr. O'Brien? Mr. O'Brien.
House Resolution 284. Is there any further...

Mr. Huff. Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Huff."

Huff: "Mr. Speaker, I'm rising on a point of information. Since I'm recalling the words of one of our departed colleagues that this is an institution of learning, I'd like to be enlightened as to what the status of Senate Bill 157 would be if we can't find Representative Peters."

Speaker Lechowicz: "I guess I'll probably have to get off... well, probably some Member of the Appropriations Committee will move for the adoption of the Omnibus Bill. One of the conferees. No, one of the conferees. Any further discussion on the Lady's good Resolution? Lady from St. Clair, Mrs. Younge, to close."

Younge: "I move for the adoption of this Resolution."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Lady moves of House Resolution 284. All in favor vote aye, all oppose vote nay. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 68 ayes, 1 nay, 1 recorded as present and the Resolution is adopted. House Resolution 372. The Lady from St. Clair, Mrs. Younge."

Younge: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. House Resolution 372 asks that the special committee on planning economic prosperity for the East St. Louis area be continued until such time as the Committee has an opportunity..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Excuse me ma'am. I believe you want to suspend Rule 41A for immediate consideration. Leave for the Attendance Roll Call. Leave is granted. Please proceed ma'am."

Younge: "This Resolution was passed last year to set up a special planning committee on economic prosperity for the East St. Louis area and this Resolution here would extend this planning committee until the committee



has an opportunity to complete its report. And I move for the adoption of the Resolution."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Question is shall House Resolution 372 be adopted. All in favor vote aye, all oppose vote nay. Takes 89 votes. Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Totten."

Totten: "Until this is over, I'd like to be recognized for a point of privilege."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Alright. Definitely sir. Have all voted who wish? Mrs. Chapman, I believe your switch is still open ma'am. Thanks... Kindly record Mrs. Chapman as aye. And would the electrician find the spare key for Mrs. Chapman please? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Lady from St. Clair, Mrs. Younger. Mrs. Younger please."

Younger: "Could I have the other 8 votes necessary for this?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "We're trying. Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Farley."

Farley: "Yes, just an inquiry of the Chair. What does it take to pass these, 89?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "It depends on the type of Resolution. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Lady from St. Clair, Mrs. Younger."

Younger: "May I explain my vote?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Yes ma'am, you may."

Younger: "This planning committee to develop a long range economic growth plan for the east side of the St. Louis metropolitan area will have the effect of presenting some alternatives to welfare and dole. State policy should certainly be that we plan the development of new industry and stabilizing the tax base of an area that has a totally depreciated tax base. This committee's responsibility would be to come up with such a plan. For that reason we would support this Resolution and continue the life of the committee. As I have indicated, the committee was established last year and



the request is only that it be continued until such time that it can file its report."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Boucek, what purpose seek recognition?"

Boucek: "Would the Sponsor yield to a couple of questions?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "She indicates she will."

Boucek: "Looking... just glancing at this special planning committee on economic prosperity to me is a very important thing, but I have one question. What is this been costing us up til now for this committee?"

Younge: "The committee has not expended any money at all. There was, going through the General Assembly, a request for a 60,000 dollar appropriation. This failed in the Senate, and so I am withdrawing any further request for any type of appropriation. And I merely am asking for the continuance of the committee."

Boucek: "They're operating now then without any funds. Right?"

Younge: "That's correct."

Boucek: "They're checking around on this economic prosperity area at their own expense?"

Younge: "Most of the members of the committee are representatives of the various heads of the Departments of government. The..."

Boucek: "Who appointed these members?"

Younge: "The members are appointed by the heads of various Departments of government. The Capital Development Board, the Department of Business and Economic Development, the Department of Children and Family Services and etc... They have sent their representatives or have come personally to attend the committee meetings. There are..."

Boucek: "I think it's a good crew there, but the thing that I ... I like these kind of committees that check into this because I think they're very needed. But the problem that I have is that it says that they don't



have to report until such time as it's filed with the House of Representatives. Could this go on indefinitely? There's no time set. Is that correct?"

Younge: "No. The point is that they're report will be filed with the House of Representatives during the 81st General Assembly. The intent is that the report would be filed by the end of this General Assembly."

Boucek: "There isn't any definite time set. Is that true?"

Younge: "Yes, the end of this Session of the General Assembly."

Boucek: "I know it says that it shall be continued until such time as this report is filed with the House of Representatives, but is there a time set?"

Younge: "Of the 81st General Assembly. That would set the time. The House of Representatives of the 81st General Assembly."

Boucek: "Then, they would have about a year and a half to go."

Younge: "To the end of this term."

Boucek: "A year and a half."

Younge: "To the end of this term."

Boucek: "Thank you."

Younge: "Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Yes Mrs. Younge."

Younge: "I am withdrawing any requests for any funds for this committee, therefore; a simple majority would be the rule."

Speaker Lechowicz: "You're doing what ma'am?"

Younge: "I withdraw any future requests or present request for any funds and so, therefore; the rule should be a simple majority."

Speaker Lechowicz: "You're still recreating a committee and the rule says 89. Have all voted who wish?"

Younge: "I ask for a poll of the absentees."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 80 ayes, 20 nays, 1 recorded as present. Lady asks for a poll of the



absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of the absentees. Anderson.

E.M. Barnes. Jane Barnes. Bluthardt. Capparelli.
 Capuzi. Conti. Daniels. Darrow. Davis. Domico.
 Donovan. John Dunn. Ralph Dunn. Ebbesen. Epton.
 Ewell. Ewing. Flinn. Virginia Frederick. Friedland.
 Dwight Friedrich. Garmisa. Griesheimer. Hanahan.
 Hoffman. Jaffe. Johnson. Keane. Kent. Klosak.
 Kornowicz. Kosinski. Kozubowski. Kucharski.
 Laurino. Leinenweber. McDonald. McBroom. McCourt.
 McGrew. McMaster. Meyer. Molloy. Mugalian.
 Pechous. Piel. Pierce. Polk. Pullen. Reed.
 Reilly. Rigney. Ronan. Ryan. Sandquist. Schisler.
 Schlickman. Schneider. Schuneman. Sharp. Stearney.
 E.G. Steele. C.M. Stiehl. Swanstrom. Terzich.
 Totten. Tuerk. VonBoeckman. Waddell. Walsh.
 Watson. White. Winchester. J.J. Wolf. And
 Woodyard."

Speaker Flinn: "Flinn votes aye. Flinn aye. Any further
 absentees that are... Representative Younge."

Younge: "I leave to put this on the Spring Calendar."

Speaker Flinn: "Does the Lady have leave to put this on the
 Spring Calendar? All you'd have to do is ask for
 postponed consideration, I'm advised, and it would
 stay on the Spring Calendar."

Younge: "Postponed consideration."

Speaker Flinn: "Stay right on it. Postponed consideration.
 Representative Totten."

Totten: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Before you take in the
 Chair, I'd ask for time for a point of privilege.
 And I would like to have you recognize me for that.
 Mr. Speaker, I've been down here seven years now.
 I've sat on the Appropriations Committee every year
 that I've been down here. I have seen us deliberate,
 beat a few Bills, pass a lot of Bills and I've seen
 us act in various fashions on all those Bills. Only



one year, in the seven years that I've been down here, have I seen us able to stop the process that's about to happen tonight. When the... in 1973, the Senate adjourned and handed us an Omnibus Bill like we're about to receive and we refused to concur in the Conference Committee Report. As most of the Members on both sides of the aisle have now had a chance to look at it, I think you realize what is happening to us. It is a shame that many of the measures that most of us, in one way or another, have supported or, in many ways have tried to defeat, all of a sudden everything appears in this Omnibus Bill. I think, Mr. Speaker, that for this Body to be responsible this process ought to be stopped. It ought to be a reasonable deliberation on the monies that we are going to appropriate that we put before this Assembly and we not try and catch everything in one Bill as this does. I asked for the bottom line figure on this Bill and I was told that it was 545,478,392 dollars and 8 cents. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, what's about to happen is the Bill will be called, the Speaker will wait till it gets to 107 votes, nobody will be allowed to speak, no one will be allowed to explain their vote, no one will be allowed to verify the Roll Call, and some 60 Members of this General Assembly who are not here will probably be voted on the issue. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is not something to be proud of. It's apparent that we're going to have to be back here in the not-to-distant future. And the matters that are in this Bill ought to be deliberated and decided in a more reasonable manner. As for me, Mr. Speaker, I find that there's nothing more... there's nothing I can do better but to vote no to encourage my Member... my fellow colleagues to vote no and ask that each Member vote his own switch.



And that no other switches or keys are replaced by Members who have taken their keys and gone home for there is just not enough votes to pass this, and if we do, it's an illegal act by this General Assembly."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative O'Brien."

O'Brien: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members, I'd like to be recognized for a point of privilege as Representative Totten was."

Speaker Flinn: "State your point."

O'Brien: "My point is, Mr. Speaker, that I agree with the comment that Representative Totten made and that I think something is about to happen and I don't think it's something that I think we can be proud of. Mr. Speaker, we're not only going to vote on a Roll Call for this Omnibus package, but I have a feeling, since the Sponsor of the Omnibus package is not around, Representative Peters is not on the floor, the Chair is going to try to make a motion and put the D.O.T. package... oh fine. Perhaps we can get to the Order of Business and take... if we don't take them separately I'm going to make a motion to divide that we vote on one at a time. It's as simple as that. I want to be recognized for a motion to divide the question. I don't want to vote on 891 and 157 at the same time. My comments in relation to this Omnibus Bill are that I think we'd be a lot better off... I mean I can vote for a sunset law or regulating agency that studies sunset laws for 100,000 and I can vote for a 10,000 dollar breaking of ice in Kankakee and I could show you how we break ice in Lincoln Park without using the 10,000 dollars, but I think we'd be a lot better off as a General Assembly if we put this money into our staff. So Mr. Speaker, at the appropriate time I'm going to make a motion to divide the question. We're going to take a separate Roll Call because I can see what's in here. I could swallow this, but I don't



think I could swallow what I think's coming in D.O.T."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I echo the sentiments that previously been stated and I've looked at this and I don't have to look very long to know what's happening. I've got the vaseline but you're not going to use it on me. That red light's going to be on there and I'm going to be perfectly frank. If what happens tonight that happened the last three terms I've served, I'm going to be the first guy to get a press release out on who was chairing the meeting, who was chairing the General Assembly at the time this was ram rodded through, and further; I'm not going to be a part of the kind of appropriations that this Bill contains. And if I can't go back to my people with a legitimate appropriated measure for these state agencies, then I say we ought to come back in November and handle it one to one. I also would like to be recognized for a verification on this Roll Call if, Mr. Chairman, if it's railroaded through."

Speaker Lechowicz: "On the Calendar on page two is House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment #13. Mr. Totten. Read it for a second time."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment #13. Resolved that the House of Representatives of the 81st General Assembly of the State of Illinois the Senate concurring herein that there shall be submitted to the electors of the state for adoption or rejection the general election next occurring at least six months after the adoption of this Resolution. A proposition to amend Section 11 of Article 9 of the Constitution will read as follows: Article 9, Section 11..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Excuse me Mr. Clerk. Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Totten, what purpose seek recognition?"

Totten: "Take it out of the record please."



Speaker Lechowicz: "Take it out of the record. Just remember who was in the Chair when we called it, Don. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Taylor."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, for fear that my Amendment won't get here in time. Get it properly printed and distributed, I would like to go with House Resolution 202."

Speaker Lechowicz: "House Resolution 202." Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Taylor."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, House Resolution 202 creates a ten Member House Committee. Six appointed by the Speaker of the House, four by the Minority Leader and this Resolution is introduced for the purpose of having an investigation to the allegations that have been made by Doctor Lion as to the tax assessment in the Cook County and in the black community. I feel it is important that we resolve this problem, and I think of no better place to do it than the Members of this House. That's why I move for the adoption of House Resolution 202."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Farley."

Farley: "Yes, thank you Mr. Speaker. I would ask the same questions that I asked before. Representative Taylor, what kind of commission are we establishing? What is the extent of this House in what your proposing? In other words, who appoints those and what dates are we talking about reporting back to this House? The usual questions Jim."

Taylor: "I don't have the Resolution in front of me right now, but we are asking for a ten Member of the House. And if you will like to that the Clerk read the Resolution, we can do that and it will give the dates on it."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Clerk will read the Resolution."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 202. Whereas, on Saturday



and Sunday, April 14 and 15, 1979, allegations appeared in the Chicago area newspapers that Cook County property assessments are higher in neighborhoods occupied by black residents than in neighborhoods occupied by white residents. And whereas, these allegations were made by Professor Arthur Lions, University of Illinois and Chicago Circle after a six month study in which he was assisted by five graduate students. And whereas, the Cook County Assessor's Office denied the allegations. And whereas, it is of the utmost urgency that these allegations be investigated. Therefore, be it resolved by the House of Representatives of the 81st General Assembly of the State of Illinois that the House Committee on Cook County Assessments here and after called the Committee be created consisting of six Members appointed by the Speaker and four Members appointed by the House Minority Leader. And be it further resolved that the Committee shall elect a Chairperson from its Membership and resolved that the Committee shall investigate allegations raised by Professor Arthur Lions, University of Illinois of Chicago Circle that Cook County has shown a consistent pattern of over assessments in black communities and under assessment in white areas and be it further resolved that the Committee may hold public hearings and shall have all powers granted to Committees of the General Assembly under an Act to revise the law in relation to the General Assembly. Approved February 27, 1874 and amended. And be it further resolved, that the expenses of the Committee shall not... shall come from appropriations for House Committees. Be it further resolved that the Committee shall report to the House of Representatives on its findings and recommendations, if any, as soon as possible."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Farley."



Farley: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members, I certainly don't want to respond to any media, because I think we're above that. I have a certain personal problem with the media in that I think that media is trying to run the business of government. And I don't object to Representative Taylor's Resolution per se. I think that anything that's investigated is healthy and I just want to deliver a message to the media that it's because of Representative Taylor's Resolution here that we are, in fact, going to proceed with a fair and reasonable and thorough investigation. So I would, in fact, support Representative Taylor on this motion."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mahar.
Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, since there's no opposition, I ask leave for the unanimous... for the Attendance Roll Call of whatever the last day was we took it."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Since there's an expenditure of money, we'll have to take a Roll Call. Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Huff."

Huff: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Just by way of example and to be very.... In my District, the 20th District, over the last ten years we lost over a 100,000 units of housing due to the rise of abandonment and attrition-ent to depreciation, but yet, under the assessments that were released last year, my area is paying 50% more than any other area in Chicago including the North Shore or the south side of Chicago. I think on that basis alone, if we want to be objective, is evidence enough that we should look into this matter."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Taylor, to close."

Taylor: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Members of the House and to my colleague from the north side of Chicago, Representative Farley. Have no fear of the media."



They'll use me for years for their punching bag and I suppose they will continue to do it in years to come, but I do think that this investigation is needed and I think it would do us all good to support it. Move for the adoption of House Resolution 202."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Question is shall the House adopt House Resolution 202. All in favor vote aye, all oppose vote nay. Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner. You already... your lights on again sir."

Skinner: "I would like to explain my yes vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Alright."

Skinner: "Encourage people to vote yes. I agree with the Gentleman from Cook who said that there's nothing that can be investigated that can't be improved. And I think an outside check and balance from the Legislature is a very good idea."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Dawson, would you kindly record me as aye."

Skinner: "I see no reason anyone has anything to lose because the assessment situation in Cook County now is not the responsibility of the current incumbent. So it's the fault... excuse me."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 104 ayes, 1 nay, 1 recorded as present and the Resolution's adopted. Mr. Clerk, is the D.O.T. Conference Committee Report been submitted to the House?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Not turned in yet."

Speaker Lechowicz: "We'll take a 15 minute break. House will be at ease for 15 minutes."

Speaker Redmond: "House will come to order. Members please. Members please be in their seats. Roll Call for Attendance. Only your own switch. Your own switch. Roll Call for Attendance. Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, in all fairness to the Members



that were here, I request an oral Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay. Clear the board. Call the Roll."

Everyone off the floor except Members please. Be in your seats now. Call the Roll Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Abramson present. Ackerman present.

Alexander pass. Anderson present. Balanoff present.

E.M. Barnes pass. Jane Barnes pass. Beatty pass.

Bell present. Bianco present. Birchler present.

Birkinbine present. Bluthardt pass. Borchers present.

Boucek present. Bower present. Bowman present.

Bradley present. Braun pass. Breslin pass. Brummer

present. Bullock present. Campbell present. Capparelli

pass. Capuzi pass. Catania present. Chapman present.

Christensen present. Collins present. Conti present.

Cullerton present. Currie pass. Daniels present.

Darrow pass. Davis present. Dawson present. Deuster

present. DiPrima present. Domico pass. Donovan

present. Doyle present. John Dunn pass. Ralph Dunn

present. Dyer present. Ebbesen pass. Epton pass.

Ewell pass. Ewing pass. Farley present. Flinn present.

Virginia Frederick present. Dwight Friedrich present.

Friedland pass. Gaines present. Garmisa pass.

Getty present. Giorgi present. Goodwin present.

Greiman pass. Griesheimer pass. Grossi present.

Hallock present. Hallstrom present. Hanahan pass.

Hannig present. Harris present. Henry present.

Hoffman pass. Hoxsey present. Hudson present. Huff

present. Huskey present. Jaffe pass. Johnson pass.

Dave Jones present. Emil Jones present. Kane present.

Katz present. Keane. Keane pass. Kelly present.

Kempiners present. Kent pass. Klosak pass. Kornowicz

pass. Kosinski pass. Kozobowski pass. Kucharski pass.

Kulas present. Laurino pass. Lechowicz present.

Leinenweber pass. Leon present. Leverenz present.

Mcdonald present. Madigan present. Mahar present.

Margulas present. Marovitz present. Matijevich pass.



Matula. Matula present. Mautino present. McAuliffe present. McBroom pass. McClain present. McCourt present. McGrew present. McMaster. McMaster. Speaker Lechowicz: "Camera light is on." Clerk O'Brien: "McMaster pass. McPike present. Meyer pass. Molloy pass. Mugalian pass. Mulcahey present. Murphy present. Neff present. Oblinger present. O'Brien present. Patrick. Patrick pass. Pechous present. Peters present. Piel present. Pierce pass. Polk present. Pouncey present. Preston. Preston pass. Pullen present. Rea present. Reed. Reed pass. Reilly present. Richmond present. Rigney present. Robbins present. Ronan pass. Ropp present. Ryan present. Sandquist pass. Satterthwaite present. Schisler pass. Schlickman pass. Schneider pass. Schoeberlein present. Schraeder present. Schuneman pass. Sharp pass. Simms present. Skinner present. Slape present. Stanley present. Stearney pass. Steczo present. E.G. Steele pass. C.M. Stiehl present. Stuffle present. Sumner present. Swanstrom pass. Taylor present. Telcser present. Terzich present. Totten present. Tuerk present. Van Duyne present. Vinson present. Vitek present. Von Boeckman present. Waddell pass. Walsh present. Watson present. White pass. Wikoff present. Willer present. Williams present. Winchester present. J.J. Wolf present. Sam Wolf pass. Woodyard present. Younge present. Yourell present. Mr. Speaker present."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Friedland. Representative Robbins."

Robbins: "Hallstrom has been here all night."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, he wasn't here."

Robbins: "He just left when they declared the 15 minute recess."

Speaker Redmond: "I'm going to recognize the Minority Leader. Representative Ryan."



Ryan: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Representative Swanstrom is in town. He left just a few minutes ago. Came to me and told and that he was ill and I told him it was alright to go home. I can get him back here if that's necessary. I would like to have leave to have him excused along with Representative Molloy who has not been here all week and Representative Capuzi who's not well and hasn't been well."

"I'd like to have leave to..."

Speaker Redmond: "What about Representative Kent. Her husband's home I understand."

Ryan: "Alright. Add her to the Roll."

Speaker Redmond: "Is it permissible to have the Members who's names Representative Ryan just read off excused? Are there any excused absences on the Democratic side. Representative Bradley."

Bradley: "I just saw Peg Breslin just walk up this aisle, Mr. Speaker, and leave the chamber just two minutes ago. I don't know if she's going to return or not but I..."

Speaker Redmond: "Who was that?"

Bradley: "Peg Breslin. I know John Cullerton, I just ask him and she just left and if she doesn't return in a few minutes, I would like to have her excused."

Speaker Redmond: "What was that?"

Bradley: "After the list..."

Speaker Redmond: "Wait a minute. Wait a minute. Who was it Mr. Bradley? What the status of Mrs. Breslin?"

Bradley: "They say she's in the balcony."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Breslin, are you in the balcony? There she is up there."

Bradley: "Let's put her on present then..."

Speaker Redmond: "May she be recorded? She's up in the gallery. Will you press..."

Bradley: "Mr. Speaker, Mr. Preston is here. Could he be put on the Roll?"



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hoffman. Add Representative Hoffman. Representative Taylor."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, Representative Patrick just walked in."

Speaker Redmond: "Add Representative Patrick to the Roll Call. Representative Preston? Is he on the Roll Call? Is there anyone else? Representative Taylor."

Taylor: "The Clerk said that Representative Pouncey pass. I don't know if he..."

Speaker Redmond: "He's here. He snuck right past you. Are you here Representative Pouncey? Anyone else? Take the record. 125. Quorum is present. Representative Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'm not certain as to how to start saying what I want to say, in a sense for some concern that I might be or my statements may be considered offensive to some. Please know that that is not my meaning and that is not my intent. Each year we had a piece of legislation that is presented to the General Assembly referred to as an Omnibus Commission Bill. That Bill is on your desk and has been on your desks for more than the one hour required by the rules. What is in that commission Bill? What's in the commission Bill is what has been, in large measure by Amendment or by legislation, passed by this House and by the Senate. This House passed sunset legislation. This House did not pass the funds to implement sunset legislation. This House passed rules and regulations regarding the inspection of carnivals. I didn't pass that on my own. This House, however, did not pass the funds for the implementation of carnivals. This House passed legislation for criminal sentencing board. This House, however; did not pass legislation to financially implement what it had passed. And go through this legislation piece by piece, item by item. You may not



agree individually with it, but that legislation was passed by 89 Members of this House and by 30 Members of the Senate which, in any democratic process, establishes it. And if you establish a function, a mandate, there also seems to me the obligation to fund it. That's one part of this legislation. And I might end up saying that some of those commissions that have been established and people have participated in, certain individuals on this House floor have been the most extreme critics of legislation for commissions. But they will come down to my office and say, 'I don't want to do it in Committee because I've got to save face in my district. I can't be for commissions, but make sure you fund my commission at 10,000 dollars. Make sure my commission gets 15,000 dollars.' And there are a number of us who have attempted to make other Members of this Assembly look good in their district. I don't serve on all of those commissions. I do not serve on all of those commissions. Nor do I have individuals who are employed by those commissions. The Members of this House do. Having said that, we move to one other area of concern. Are there things in this commission Bill that you and I, as individual Legislators disagree with? Certainly there are. Do I support, as example, someone had mentioned earlier 10,000 dollars for breaking up ice on the Kankakee River. That's not a problem in my district, but it's a problem in a brother Member's district and that is oneway he sees that he can solve that problem and I see myself and maybe it's a narrow view. I see nothing wrong in trying to help him go back to his constituents and say, 'You had a problem and I tried to help you'. Item after item in this is to address problems that have been brought to the Members of the Conference Committee, the Members of the Appropriations Committee. Item after item. These things don't come out of my head. Out of



Representative McClain's head or Representative Barnes' head or anybody else's that's on that Committee. It's things that Members of this House have asked for. And it would seem to me that at least that being the case those individuals who have asked for these things to be included should understand that other people have things that they want included, and the only way you get anything passed here is by 89 votes. And this process in this House is a process of compromise. That's what the political system is all about. We cannot all walk out of here with what we want 100% of the time. Hopefully, we do it 51% of the time. While we were working on some other legislation, and I say this Mr. Speaker and I thank you for the time and the indulgence of the House, there were remarks made in terms of what is in this piece of legislation. And where is Representative Peters? Representative Peters has never hidden from this House, nor has he hidden from Members of the House. And I think you well know that in handling the appropriation I have been as fair as humanly possible and as truthful as humanly possible with the Members of this side of the aisle and in my relationship with the Democratic Chairman and Members of the Appropriations Committee. We have worked hard to solve not my problem, not Representative Barnes' problem, not Representative McClain's problems, but the problems you, as Members, have brought to us and we have put in this Bill. We had problems with personal property tax. Which Bill do we pass? We may be back here for a Special Session but you've got to have 519,000,000 dollars in this piece of legislation just in case the Governor decides to sign what we pass them, or in the event that we come back next week and pass something else. You've got to have the ball rolling. School districts have got to know on a piece of paper and a legal document what they're going to get. You have to



tell the Department of Labor, 'Here's five million dollars to start gearing up to collect personal property tax'. That ain't my idea. I didn't abolish the corporate personal property tax. Representative McClain didn't abolish it. But those are things that have got to be done someplace or other. And if we talk in terms of who's rating who for what kinds of votes, that, to me, is one of the worst reasons an individual can have for voting for or against an appropriation measure, because someone is rating them. Whether it's the liberal union, the conservative union, the socialists, the communists, or any other union. We have certain kinds of obligations and responsibilities and fortunately or unfortunately we are all human. We all have our biases. We have out prejudices. We have our particular kinds of needs. And that grinds into the process in this House. And that grinds into the process of putting together a commission Bill. That grinds into the process of putting together an appropriation Bill. You balance, you add, you compromise, you subtract. Hopefully, hopefully, 51% of the people, at least, are satisfied. If we do better than that, great. But that's what all this is about. There are... maybe there are enough votes to pass this here today, Mr. Speaker. I don't know. But I wanted to say what I did say because of the things that get involved here. You cannot lightly dismiss these things. You have commissions established. There are people on the payroll of these commissions. If you want to... If you want the commission to go out of existence, pass the legislation now. Say, 'I don't want that commission to exist' so that the people employed here will know that in three months from now they're going to have to find a job. You don't destroy people's jobs and their lives and their interests and their mortgage payments because of a particular snit people get into because of what ends up happening.



And Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, please understand. I don't mean to end up lecturing, and I don't want you to get that impression. But there's a lot that ends up getting involved in these things. Issues and people and concerns and re-election. A dirty word to some people. We're human. We're human. We want to get re-elected. We need things for our district. We work hard to get things for our district. We try to help the people in our district. I don't know what's wrong with that. That's why we are here. No one is hiding anything from anyone. This was on your desk for over an hour, two hours maybe for you to make up your mind and your decisions as to what you wanted to do. The unfortunate part maybe is that it has to get in one kind of document to this nature. But that's part of the process, because until the final days of this Session you don't know what you'd need in the Bill. You don't know what got lost in the process. You don't know what legislation passed. You don't know what has to be funded because we've mandated it. That's part of what ends up happening here. And I thank you again, Mr. Speaker, for your indulgence on it. If it would appear to be the will of the House to take a vote on this piece of legislation now, even though we had 123 or 24 Members, I would leave that to the judgment of the Speaker and the Members of the House. If that does not happen today, we'll have to come back tomorrow or the day after or Friday or next week, but something has to be done. There are people at stake here. There is need for state government involved in here, and people have got to know where we're going. And that's what this is all about. Is all of this good? Is all of this something I agree with? No. Is this something that I'm going to vote for? Yes. There's a lot of things I voted here for that I didn't agree with 100%, but that



is part of the process. You can't have your cake, and eat it too. And Mr. Chairman, Mr. Speaker, if the House sees fit and you see fit, Mr. Speaker, I would be willing, at this point, to test the sentiment of the House and would ask you to call Senate Bill 157."

Speaker Redmond: "On the Order of Conference Committee Reports appears Senate Bill 157. Do you desire to discuss it any further Representative Peters?"

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, if there are any Members who have any questions, I will be happy to answer them to the best of my ability."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Piel."

Piel: "Will the Sponsor yield to a couple of questions?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Peters: "Be happy to."

Piel: "On page 17 Pete, I was just wondering. We've got a 300,000 dollar appropriation for the Governor's Office for Manpower and Human Development. Why... I noticed a lot of other areas in this Bill that are broken down. They break them down to travel, contractual services, etc... There's nothing broken down to that 300,000 dollars I guess."

Peters: "It's 300,000 dollars. It's Section 82. It's line nine of page 17 as a 300,000 dollar appropriation for the displaced homemakers program. That program is funded by the CETA fund, as I recall, to the federal government and what that program involves is assistance to schools and I think some community groups, but basically schools to provide some retraining for women who may be widowed. Women who may be divorced who have given up their lives in a sense as homemakers and now find themselves without a husband. Who now find themselves looking for a way to make a living. And this program is designed to assist them, come back, so to speak into the mainstream of the work force."



Piel: "Couple other... One other question and then a comment."

Peters: "And I might also indicate, that the substantive legislation was passed by this General Assembly and introduced by Representative McDonald."

Piel: "Page 15, Section 68. The money for this Bill is coming from what area of the government?"

Peters: "Just one second."

Piel: "From the GRF?"

Peters: "The language appears to be a bit complicated Representative Piel. Let me just read it quick here and hopefully the staff can help me."

Piel: "Maybe the staff has the same kind of question. This Bill is being paid for out of the GRF. Right?"

Peters: "No. It's being re... It is being reimbursed to the GRF from, evidently, a special trust fund which is federal funds that come in for some purpose or other to the Department of Public Aid. It's a transfer from the federally funded portion into General Revenue and I'm sorry I cannot tell exactly for what the specific purpose is. But if you..."

Piel: "Can I speak on the Bill?"

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Piel: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'm probably speaking a lot of matters off the top of my head but I think, you know, looking at this for an hour like the rest of us have I think we're definitely rushing into something in the past. I think we're getting a little bit more of a chance this year than what you've had in the past. I do realize that I am looking at this thing as a freshman. I can't see any way that I can vote for it. I don't think that this type of a thing has to be rushed into. We, right now, are past June 30 anyway. I don't think at 3:30 in the morning we have to ... 4:30 whatever it is... 4:30 in the morning we have to just rush into



something like this. I hope you have looked at this very closely. You talk about a lot of waste in government this is definitely it. I think what we have to do as the Legislature is take this and look at this and break this down into more... break this down into areas that are more comprehensible to us. and vote one these as smaller packages. Not just one big Omnibus Bill. You talk about travel. You want to waste. I'll end with just one comment. You talk about waste in there. We have in this one Bill, Senate Bill 157, over a quarter of a million dollars in travel for these commissions. Over a quarter of a million dollars. Now your constituents and my constituents asked us to come down here and try and save the taxpayers money. When you start talking about a quarter of a million dollars for these commissions to travel around and study one thing and another, that, to me, is waste. There's no way that I'm sorry that I can vote for this. I would suggest that we take this, break it down into groups and study these further and get a responsive, objective view point."

Peters: "Mr. Sepaker. If I might..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Peters."

Peters: "If I might just reply briefly. Representative Piel, the travel money that is in here is for commissions that have been established by the General Assembly. The General Assembly, in establishing those commissions, established a number of people on those commissions. And the legislation establishing those commissions says that the people are reimbursed for the travel expenses of that commission. What I am saying to you is that if you feel that a commission is not a proper one, then what should be done is legislation should be introduced to abolish the commission. You don't do it by attacking the appropriation measure. Come up front and say you don't want the Motor Vehicles Commission. You don't



want the Legislative Investigating Commission. You don't want the School Problems Commission. You don't want the Commission on Children. Say it up front. Let the House then vote on it up or down. But once we vote on it, you've got to fund it. That obligation follows."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Van Duyne."

Van Duyne: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Sorry. Representative Peters, we're talking about pork and you've made a few mentions and one of them happened to be one of mine. The 10,000 dollars for the ice breaking in the Kankakee River. Where do we stop and where do we start in the definition of what is pork and what isn't pork? What is the nuts and bolts, the basic cement and gravel or the ideologies that we all talk about in terms of what's good for our people? There is pork in here and everyone of them has a specific interest group and I'd just read you on page 11. 'Sum of 50,000 dollars or so much thereof may be necessary to appropriate a commission and revitalize.. revitalization of Midway Airport.' That is no church and that doesn't happen to all the people in the State of Illinois. That's for one specific area. 'The sum of 25,000 dollars or so much there of that may be necessary to appropriate to the Spanish-speaking people.' This is a special segment of our society. There's another one in there to study or do something with the status of women. That is another specific interest group in our society. The people along the Kankakee River are not in my district. They're in Representative Christensen's, Ryan's, and McBroom's district. I just happen to have a cottage down there and am very vividly aware of the problems that happen to those people every Spring that put a lot of money in there. In fact, some of them ever get hurt trying to save thier stuff when that ice comes through there. It has been studied and



studied and studied and studied for so darn many years by the Corps of Engineers and it happens every time we get a little excess rain. I'm just trying to point out that I think this is a necessary thing too as you alluded to before. The..."

Peters: "Representative Van Duyne."

Van Duyne: "The issue to me... I'll just go on really without the question.. This issue, as far as I'm concerned in my complaint about the pork and the Senate... our Senate leaders especially the Democratic Senate leaders wiping out the pork, as I understand it our leader over there said there will be no pork and that's it. Now I don't... if the Senators say they don't want to go along with his edict, that 's fine. But they do not have the authority or the right to tell us in this House whether we or not thing that there should be so-called pork for our district. I feel that our leaders on that Conference Committee should stand up for us. That's what they're there for. They're supposed to try to make sure that we have a little bit of insurance in those Conference Committees. The most important pork if you want to talk about it for me really was the Lockport thing. I tried to put it on the right Bill. The Department of Local Government Affairs..."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Van Duyne: "... which is the right Department to put it on. But Representative McMaster got up and he defeated me so I went to Representative Barnes and asked him if I could put it on his Bill. Now there's been some sort of allegation that it was a little bit devious to try to make a Christmas tree out of this type of Bill. Now you've been here longer than I have and you know this is a general attitude. But my main complaint here is the allegation that we're doing something devious to try to help our people in our districts when



they have put their money up front and they've tried to the nth degree of their financial strata to do their own work and they can't get it done. And without our help they can't make it. And my second complaint is that our people, the Democratic leaders in the Senate and now I'm getting the word from our group over here, 'Well I'm tired. I want to go home.' This is six months work and now we're going to toss it down the drain in an hour. I'm not all that tired. And I'm going to vote no and I hope all the rest of the people do too. I don't think Senator Rock or Ted Lechowicz has got the right or the authority to say, 'tue it'."

Peters: "I don't think those Gentlemen have said that Representative Van Dwyne."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Want to have the Clerk make the announcement that the D.O.T. Supplemental Calendar #7 is being passed out. So that means that the Conference Committee has been settled and will be out for the matter. And Mr. Speaker, may I ask a question... after the Clerk makes the announcement?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Supplemental Calendar 7 is now being distributed."



Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I believe that the conferees on Senate Bill 157 or the Omnibus Appropriation Bill have worked quite diligently for every Member of this Body, but the question here, and I want to thank the over 125 Members who remained here in this House for a good number of hours, not only today, but yesterday and the rest of the days this past week, to consider the important business of this state. We have before us two remaining items, one an Omnibus Appropriation Bill and the second one the Conference Committee report on the Department of Transportation. And if it's the will of this Membership that either one of those items not be funded, then we will have to come back and address this issue at a later date. But I believe that what Pete Peters has brought to the attention of this Body in a very proficient and a very deliberative manner should be considered by the Membership that is here. I believe that no one really appreciates the work that goes through the Appropriations' Committee process in trying to assure the Members who are interested in their respective matters of their own districts to make sure that the appropriation process follows the substantive Bill. Every matter that is in the Omnibus Appropriation Bill has been approved by the House and the Senate and is awaiting funding. There isn't one matter in the Omnibus Appropriation Bill that was not approved by this Body and for this reason and especially at this early hour in the morning I am requesting that the Membership who has had an opportunity to review the Omnibus Appropriation report to consider the matters for over... you've had it for over two hours and I want to compliment the... that Committee in having the Membership have the report for that length of time to review it. I don't believe.. I don't believe that at this time we should try to hinder that Committee's work. Senate Bill 157, this Bill that you're being asked



to consider now, passed the Senate by a vote of 40 to 2. The consideration is up to us. And I would hope that at this early hour you would give it fair consideration and if your respective project that you worked diligently for is not included, that we could possibly consider that fact when we come back in the fall. But I know cause I've served on that Committee for eight years and I know the conscientious effort that is given to the request of the Membership from this House in this Bill and the reason that was originally originated was the fact that we were caught in a situation where a major piece of appropriation... the Governor's... one of his Departments, was killed and we didn't have a vehicle to put it in. That is the purpose of the Omnibus Appropriation. At one time every Commission would have to rise and fall on its own merits. Maybe we should discuss that, but not at 4:45 in the morning on July the 2nd. That matter should be addressed by the Appropriations Committees at the beginning of the Session and a strict policy should be informed of the respective Commissions that when you come in for your appropriation it's going to have to be on an individual Bill. That's the way you want to review it if you like and keep this vehicle for something that unforeseen may happen. But not to do it on July the 2nd at this hour. I would hope that the Membership would support Mr. Peters and adopt the Conference Committee report on Senate Bill 157 so we, as a Body, can provide the funds that we have approved for the respective items contained in Senate Bill 157. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Gene Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you very much Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen fo the House. I would rise to echo the comments of Representative Peters and Representative Lechowicz. We've had in the past two Sessions of this Legislature that I can remember attempted to abolish commissions



and place a greater reliance on the role of Subcommittees in the committee structure. These efforts and these endeavors have been seriously pursued and I think seriously considered by the Members of this House, and they have been rejected. This is the appropriation Bill for those committees which have done a great deal or work for us. And if, for some reason, something that you were particularly interested in was not tied onto this Bill I think you have to take a look at what is in the Bill and what it can do, not only for individuals in their own districts as have been mentioned, but what it can do to further the cause of government and to further the cause of this legislative Body to carry out our functions. Those of us who have served on working commissions, no one can appreciate the value of bringing together Members from both Houses of this General Assembly to work on common problems. And some of the finest solutions and some of the best laws that we find on the books of the State of Illinois revised statutes have come out of the work of these commissions. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I stand before you tonight and to suggest that all that we do is a question of plus and minuses, in my judgment, the pluses are with this Conference Committee Report this evening given to given where we find ourselves at this particular juncture in this particular Session of the Legislature. And so I would rise to ask that you support the efforts that have been made by this Conference Committee and vote aye."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Emil Jones."

Jones: "Yes, thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Earlier you heard me speak about Senate Bill 1272, and you also heard me indicate to the Membership that you vote your conscience on that piece of legislation. Because on that piece of legislation on the



first Conference Committee Report, there were a couple of items on there that one Senate Member and one House Member were interested in. And as I indicated to you, those... the House overwhelmingly passed that legislation. It went to the Senate. And as I review the Omnibus Bill, what the Senators wanted is in the Omnibus Bill. What the House wanted is not in the Omnibus Bill. As I look at the Roll Call from the Senate, the person who was so interested in having that matter included on Senate Bill 1272 didn't have the decency or the respect for the House Member to even be there and vote. They show up absent. As a matter of facts, there were 27 absentees on the first Conference Committee Report for Senate Bill 1272. I sat in on the Appropriation Committee for four years. I know how hard Representative Barnes and Representative Peters worked on that Committee. I don't know if the Senate is still in but I saw a person from the Senate office come over with the Adjournment Resolution. They may have already adjourned as far as I'm concerned, and as I read the Conference Committee Report, I see that the Conferees have been working almost an entire month on this Conference Committee Report because it's dated the second day of June, 1979. I know the Sponsor will ask leave for the Body to have that amended on its face. I intend to vote for the Omnibus Bill but I want to let each and every Member here, especially the freshman because this is their first time served in this Body at this time of the Session, is that when you want something the Senate says no. But when they want it, they get it. And this is the first Conference Committee Report. I've been here for 17 hours already just like you have. They get what they want. We don't get what we want. I wish all conferees was say, 'Either our House Members get what they want or we can't give you what you want'.



But we always knuckle down. And as I indicated earlier, the Adjournment Resolution from the Senate is already been delivered to the House Clerk and this is the first Conference Committee Report. If they have already adjourned, they have told you, 'Either you take it or leave it'. And that is the problem I find what our conferees as we deal with the Senate. I ask you to support the Omnibus Bill because I don't have anything in here that I am interested in. But I know a lot of Members in this House have things that they want to be in here and they got belt out."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. First of all, let me thank Representative Peters for the remarks he made. I thought they were very, very well put. He knows the process well as he's dealt with it. But let me say at the beginning, I only have two questions of him and then I will make my remarks very brief. Representative Peters, do you know off hand how many of the positions being funded, agencies, commissions, are new agencies or commissions? Do you have any idea?"

Peters: "Off hand, Representative, I think that there are about seven or eight that we created in this Session."

Schraeder: "And what would the total cost of the Omnibus Bill be?"

Peters: "500... The total cost involved here is 545 million dollars, but I want you to remember is that about 530 million of that has to do with the appropriation for the personal property tax."

Schraeder: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker, let me say first of all that I have in here one commission that I am a... serve on and I will be more than happy to see that go down the tube if this whole package goes. But that's only a minor thing. But let me say, in looking this over in the brief hour or two hours that we've had, there are one or two that I must point out to you. And if I



happen to touch a sore spot or a friend commission of yours, please excuse me because I have to mention some of them. There is one for an appropriation to the Public Library Commission for 50,000 dollars. There's one for the Department of the Agricultural Premium Fund for an air conditioning unit. There's one for the official inventory of the abandoned and derelict motor vehicles throughout the state, 150,000 dollars each and so on and so on. But my problem is with the system not the appropriations. I was here when the first appropriation Bill came out in a form of the Omnibus Bill. It was not good when it came out, but at that time no one knew what the experience factor would be and we accepted it almost unanimously. In the intervening year since, this appropriation has grown and grown and grown, and we get it every single year. Now there's no reason it has to be in a single Bill. We can handle these each as an individual appropriation as we do as a substantive Bill. Then it goes in and out of its merits. Why should we be trading votes and that's what we've been doing for these years since about 1975 when this Omnibus Bill started. We ought to put them on their own individual merits so we can say to ourselves and to other House Members, 'Yes, you have a good commission. No, you don't have.' My commission is good and bad and if I can't sell it to the individuals on this House floor, and you know I'd have trouble doing that in many instances. If I can't sell that one I'm interested in to 89 Members here and then have it sold in the Senate, then it shouldn't exist. We shouldn't have to go through a process of substantial Bills and then lumping all together the total appropriation when we have absolutely no control. And I'm willing to stay here all next week and the following week and the following week if we have to to break this into segments, individuals Bills if you will, and I think that's what



it must be. Decide whether or not these commissions are valid commissions and if they're useful and necessary And I'm willing to vote on every single issue by itself, but I will not accept any more in the future, nor will I this year, an Omnibus Bill of this magnitude. It's absolutely impossible to make a judgment on that legislation when you have it in a package like this. I know there is absolutely essential parts of this that must be passed, but there are so many bad pieces of appropriations in here that you cannot, in good conscience, support it. And I would just suggest that we turn this package down, send it back to the Appropriations Committee, let them work on it in individual pieces and then we'll take a choice of which one we want and which one we don't want. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative O'Brien."

O'Brien: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Members. I rise with mixed emotions. I think we've gotten to a point where we've all had the opportunity to go through this measure Section for Section, and we've had the opportunity to have it on our desks for an hour. But I don't think, Mr. Speaker and Members, that we would be at this point if about 15 or 20 minutes ago we didn't have a row in that center aisle. And row was because of the system or the procedure that we've been operating under. I think we ought to have a little more confidence in our own General Assembly. The attendance is well over 3/5 and there were certain Members that didn't think they had enough votes to hammer this through. I've been here before and I've been on both sides of the aisle and I been in close votes and I've seen things hammered through. And I don't think that we ought to do that. And I don't think that we ought to... I don't think we have to do that. I think we should all be given the opportunity to take a look at everything that we vote on. There shouldn't be the deals made in



the back room by a few people in relation to important matters that effect the State of Illinois. I'm going to vote for this measure and I'm going to urge the rest of you do do so. But I'm going to tell you something. This is the last time I'm voting for an Omnibus Bill. This is the last time I'm going to vote for a measure in this form. I, quite frankly, think we would be much better off as a General Assembly that is presently under criticism for lack of organization and lack of coordination, and there is a movement afoot that cut us down from 177 to 118. I think we'd be better off spending this money in beefing up our staff and our committee structure so that each and every single solitary Member and not leadership alone has the where-with-all to study the matters that he votes on properly. I think this money could be better spent in giving the secretaries a cost of living increase. I think I can vote for this measure. I've voted for somethings I didn't like in the past, but you've got to compromise. And I'm willing to compromise. I don't personally think that 10,000 dollars to break up the ice in Kankakee River belongs here, but, quite frankly, something has to be done with that problem. And I'm going to support this measure. It's the last time I'm going to support it, and I just hope some people support this measure and pay attention to some of the comments they make. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McAuliffe."

McAuliffe: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think everybody knows what they're going to do and I'd like to move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "Question... Gentleman has moved the previous question. The question is shall the question be put. Those in favor say 'aye', oppose 'no'. The ayes have it. Representative Peters to close."



Peters: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I am sincerely grateful for the Members of the House for their very kind attention and their consideration of the remarks made here today. In closing, let me just say that I do agree with the comments made by Representative Schraeder. Maybe we need a new system. Maybe we should change the procedure. But Representative Schraeder, in all due respect, you cannot change that system today. If it is the will of the House to have this legislation broken up, to have each commission go to the Appropriations Committee and rise or fall on the House floor individually, that system can be followed. But you can't stop it now. I would also agree with the comments made by Representative O'Brien when he says that there is something wrong in our system, in our procedure. And in all due respect to all of us including myself as a Member here, if there is something wrong with our system and procedures it's because there is something wrong with ourselves. As one of you had indicated, maybe we don't trust one another enough. Maybe we don't let one another know enough about what's happening. So maybe we have to look into ourselves. From the financial end of it, let me just say this: That when all of the measures that this House passed went out of here, they went out at a total of 546,637,000 dollars. Passed by this House. This commission Bill is now at 545,478,000. Not much, but a million and some over. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, you voted for 546,637,000 dollars. We all gave that 89 votes or we all voted for Amendments that did that. Now I'm not saying that all the Amendments in here were approved by the House, but that is our action. And I want to thank the Members of the Committee, to thank all the Members whose advice all of us on the Committee, Representative McClain, Barnes, and others received. And I would sincerely hope



that you would put your trust, so to speak, in what we have attempted to accomplish here today and if there is a need, as seems evident by the comments made to change the system, let us go about changing the system for the next Session. Let us set down the ground rules in what we do so that we don't get into a situation where one man's pork is what another man needs. If it's for my district it's necessary. If it's for your district it's pork. Maybe that's part of the problem that we've got here too. Maybe those are things that we should address. Mr. Speaker, I thank you for your indulgence in this matter. For your kind consideration of my initial lengthy remarks, and I thank the Members of the House once again and ask for your affirmative vote on Conference Committee Report #1 to Senate Bill 157."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is shall the House adopt the first Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 157. Those in favor vote aye, oppose vote no. Have all voted who wish? Representative McClain."

McClain: "Thank you very much Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, very quickly Mr. Peters, Mr. Hoffman, and Mr. O'Brien, and Mr. Lechowicz explained their positions than I can. But frankly if we do not pass this Bill, the employees that are hired by those commissions will not be paid July 10 so that means that we'll be back here a week from now to end up passing commission appropriations which we all know have to be funded. As Mr. Peters pointed out to you this Bill is lower than how we passed it out of the House. I know that some of you have some very philosophical differences against this commission in general but I hope that you will forgo that today and pass out this...this Bill so that we can get about our business. Pass out D.O.T. which just now passed out of the Senate and go home. Thank you."



Speaker Redmond: "Lights are off now on explanation of votes. The rules do not permit filming. Representative Satterthwaite."

Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I've worked on the Appropriations Committee for the last two Sessions and I look at this Bill, and just to correct the record, there are things in this Bill that have not passed in the House or Senate this term. Some of the things that I'm most familiar with lead me to believe that I should not support this measure. We have appropriations in here that were rejected in Conference Committee and yet I feel that we have been given the opportunity for the first time since I've been in the Legislature to have enough time to go through this Bill item by item and to at least be aware of what it contains. I'm grateful for that opportunity that we have had on this measure. I know that the Committee has worked diligently to put together something that can be an acceptable package and so I am going to support this measure in spite of what I see as its shortcomings partly because there are other things in it that I feel are very worthwhile and are well worth the money that we spend on this package. My request at this point would be that we have equal consideration on the other measure that is before us tonight. If you look at that Conference Committee Report, you will see that it is much more difficult to interpret than this measure is. And I hope that we will be afforded the opportunity to have lengthy questions and explanation of what the Bill contains before we are required to place our votes there. And with that request, I will add my support because I know, for better or worse, what is in this measure."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Huff."

Huff: "It won't be necessary Mr. Speaker. Thank you.

I. . . aside from the legal aspects of this proposal, we



have a serious problem Ladies and Gentleman and that is that we have a cancer in our English language. And never is that malignancy more prevalent than when we come down here. We use words that no longer carry on it face the connotative meaning that it once had. And when that happens, Ladies and Gentlemen, things don't get done. I think what we're witnessing right here is a vivid illustration of what I'm trying to put forth. For example, on page 17 with regard to the displaced homemaker. Why is 300,000 dollars for that when public aid has been displacing homemakers for three decades? I sometimes get the feeling that the system regards us that we've just fallen out of a tree. And if the appropriation process is too hard, maybe we should divide it. Like I was thinking about dividing the questions in this proposal. But I'm going to vote for it this time and I hope it will be the last time."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Totten."

Totten: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I'm really pleased that we finally had the opportunity to debate a measure like this and I thank the Speaker for allowing us the chance. As the Lady from Champaign indicated, there are a number of things that are in this Bill now that did not receive favorable consideration. And the mix in the Bill, although the dollars may be the same, is not the same mix as when it went out of this House. But Mr. Speaker, it wasn't but a few minutes ago that this House took an Oral Roll Call for attendance and there are four Members voting yes on this who are not on that Roll Call and if that persists, I will ask for a verification."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Robbins."

Robbins: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm a freshman. I have no business even speaking on this Omnibus Bill, but I want to make this remark. I



have studied each of these pork barrels as you may call it, or things that you need for your district thoroughly. I have voted against most of the commissions. They accuse me of voting red more than anybody else here. I have... I have voted the red light an awfully lot. Something I didn't understand I voted red on it. Something because I knew that if it was good enough you would pass it over my red. Something that I felt like we shouldn't have on creating some of these commissions that we are now funding, I voted red on them. But I feel like when the will of the House in deliberation and debate on this floor besides we need these projects, we need these commissions, I feel like it is a duty of the House to fund it. So on this appropriation, even though I voted against a lot of the things and even though it may hurt me in my district, I'm going to vote red and stand behind what the people of the House said. I'm going to vote green and stand behind what the people of the House said they felt like was the most important things that should be done with this money."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Dawson."

Dawson: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, as some of us mentioned before about the Roll Call here, well when Representative Hanahan can go away for a couple weeks and not be here and he can go away again tonight and have his button pushed here on aye and the key taken out of there again. I think we should get rid of this Roll Call, otherwise; everybody else stay off of it."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, we've been talking here in terms of, I think, mostly commissions, but if you take a real good look, and I'm sure you have and Senator (sic) Peters mentioned this early, a real 90% of this is to be distributed to the local units of government when



the personal property tax is replaced. That's most of it there. And there's another 600,000 dollars for library grants and that's not pork as far as I'm concerned in the sense that we usually say it in. It goes to the libraries on a thing that's been worked out by this General Assembly. Now there's some commissions in here I'd prefer not to vote for but I'll say this, some of those were bunched up right here in the House and went through and I didn't hear anybody offer an Amendment to take them off or anything else. There's some here that weren't on here. I don't approve of the bunching but I will say it started right here in the House Appropriations Committee and it came across this floor and I didn't hear any Amendments from some of the people who made some of these speeches. So I want to point out to you again, that 90% of this is money that's going back to local communities."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mahar."

Mahar: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'm voting red on that board now and I'm going to change it to green. Even though there are many things in this Omnibus Bill that I just don't like. And I made myself a promise last year around this thing that I'd never vote for an Omnibus Bill again. But I guess it's the wrong thing to do. But I want to ask a question of the Chair before I change my vote. And that is, I've been fighting the battle of people on that board who have been gone since about four o'clock yesterday afternoon and the Gentleman from McHenry is the number one man on that board. Now we just had a Roll Call here for attendance of 125 people and yet I agree with what Representative Totten has said. There are four or five people being voted on that board that aren't here. Now I think that's a great disservice to those people who have been sitting here for 16 or 17 hours who are diligently doing the job



to allow people to be voted who have no regard for the operation of state government and have taken off hours and hours ago. As I suggested to you earlier, Speaker, I think you should use your good office to have the state police bring these people back if we can't pass this Bill and we recess until they get back here and get their votes on the board red or green. Now if you can answer my question as to how you plan to handle those people who are obviously not here, I'll change my vote."

Speaker Redmond: "I can't tell you that till we see the outcome of this and another one, then I'll respond. Representative..."

Mahar: "Well, then I'll stay where I'm at."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Borchers."

Borchers: "Mr. Speaker, I see that..."

Speaker Redmond: "I recognized Representative Borchers."

Borchers: "Mr. Speaker, I see there were supposed to be 125 present. There 86 separate appropriations, all of them in my opinion, pork barrel except possibly two. For example, on Section 69, there's 200,000 dollars for the Art Council. On page 15, that has to do with the Polish Memorial in Chicago. If I were a Pole, I would be proud as the Poles to help pay for my own memorial. In Section 33, 100,000 dollars for regulating review. Section 15, 56..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Yourell, for what purpose do you rise?"

Yourell: "This has gone far enough as far as I'm concerned. Representative Chapman is walking around with a key in her pocket that does not belong to her or to her desk. Now I'm going to ask you as a presiding of this chamber to tell her to put that damn key back where it belongs."

Borchers: "May I continue sir?"

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Borchers: "Very well, 56,000, I won't quote anymore of the



86 different appropriations. 86,000... 56,000 dollars for an air conditioning unit for the Kankakee Fair Ground. In my own county, I think we can do without it. I'd just like to point out to you that I have nothing in here. I would be ashamed, frankly, to put anything in. All the people of the State of Illinois are paying for some individuals wishes and his own small individual area. Well I can say one thing. Thank God I have no pork barrels in this Bill. And I want to point out to you, since I do handle a considerable amount of money in my life and even now, that I think this is one of the most irresponsible financial Bills I have ever run into. It should be defeated."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Deuster. One minute. Timer's on."

Duester: "First, I want to say that in the event this should get anywhere near the requisite needed number of votes, I want to be recognized to ask for a verification. Secondly, I want to comment and explain my no vote. I think all of the new Members can see that this is a very bad practice. 5:00 in the morning being given this kind of a Bill. And I must say that it's not an accident. This is the way the Omnibus process works. I think that all of us can agree that if we go through here we'll find some things we approve, some we disapprove. But the very purpose of this process is to deprive you of that choice. And I think I've heard some of the senior Members who've been around say that, 'Well, they don't like it. It bothers them, but they're going to vote yes anyway.' The only thing you do if you vote yes for this is to encourage this bad practice. Now you've got to have the courage to vote no and say, 'This is not the way I intended to legislate when I ran for office and when I came down here to be



a Member of the Illionois General Assembly'. There's a better way and the only way that we're going to get to that better way is to vote no and to force that to come about. The two things wrong with it is the timing which is thrust upon us and..."

Speaker Redmond: "Time is up Representative Deuster. I'd like to call your attention to the fact that yesterday one of our Members had what might have been an angina attack. They borrowed pills from two others who carried those bottles with them. It looks like the outcome of this is pretty well determined. It looks to me like it's 5:15 in the morning. Representative Willer."

Willer: "Yes, briefly. I just wanted to join with Representative O'Brien and say that I'm going to support this but next year I will not support any Omnibus Bills like this. Representative Mahar said he'd made the same promise last year and he... you know, he said it's pretty hard to keep. I don't want anybody putting any pressure on me next year because I'm not going to support them any more. I should have said something before, but I did not. I have felt uneasy about them but I have never said anything. So I'll vote yes but never again."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative J.J. Wolf."

Wolf: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I've had the privilege of serving here for six years and I believe I too know something about the appropriation process. Having served as the Minority Spokesman to Appropriations I. If you recall a few weeks back, this is exactly what I was talking about when I said each commission should rise and fall on its own merits because I've been through this many times. And I'd like to correct a statement that said that every measure on here passed the House. Earlier this evening, this House rejected the Pension Laws Commission Conference



Report. It was cut 80,000 dollars in Committee. It came back. We put some more back in it. We went for a... We were going to move for a second Conference. Let me tell you... It's in here that the whole 180,000 again exactly the way the Bill was introduced. The House never bought this package. Representative Marovitz, his spanish people study commission was arbitrarily cut in half by the Senate Sponsor simply because he didn't like it. I have no particular love for any commission, but if you're going to arbitrarily pick on a certain commission and cut them in half, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, that's not a good process. Everybody should vote their own conscience. I think the Omnibus process has been a bad process for a long time and those of us that have been here know it's deliberately held back till the end because we have to fund certain agencies and the pitch is always made that there won't be paychecks for a lot of people. I don't know how to get out of this one. If we capitulate again, we're right back to the same place. If we don't, we've got the problem with many of these good programs that are in there. But that's how they get the garbage in there with it and passed. Because they always pick out a half a dozen or a dozen things and put them in with many, many worthy, worthwhile causes. I'm not trying to influence anybody's vote. I'm just voting my own conscience and voting no."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, I think you had indicated that the sentiment of the House has been expressed. There were 125 Members here. There are 81 voting green and this needs 107 to pass. Those Members who intend to vote green I would, needless to say, end up appreciating their vote on it. If you would call for the record, then you can make a determination what we'll do with



D.O.T."

Speaker Redmond: "Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 83 aye and 37 no and the House does not adopt the first Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 157. Representative Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, I would ask that this be put on consideration postponed."

Speaker Redmond: "Consideration postponed. On the Conference Committee Report Supplemental Calendar #7 appears Senate Bill 891. Representative Ryan. Representative Ryan, do you seek recognition? Do you want to proceed with Senate Bill 891."

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker, I would suggest that we recess until sometime tomorrow at your discretion. Have a call of the House or whatever it takes to get the... whatever it is 50 or 60 Members that aren't here. I think we had figured out that there were another 35 here the last measure would have passed. And I would just as soon hold the Department of Transportation budget until that time. But I'll leave that to your discretion the time. If you don't want to do that, then tell me what your plans are."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, Representative McGrew."

McGrew: "On behalf of several people that are still here, I object to that. You know, just because some other people are not here and shirking their duty I really have no intention of coming back again. Let's take a crack at it and go."

Speaker Redmond: "I think maybe since there's so much disagreement about what we should do and when we should do it, I think probably it would be a better policy to see if we can adopt the first Conference Committee Report on 891. That's one that I understand we have... absolutely have to have. I think that would be preferable and then I don't know what the Governor's plans are with respect to coming back for a Special Session. There's



stories about two or three days. There's stories about a week. I don't know. I think that 157 can probably wait so if that meets with the approval of the Sponsor, I would like to put the question. Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker, if what we've gone through here in the last hour is going to have any meaning at all, I think that we're going to have to get the people back here and you're going to have to have a special call of the House. I don't care how you do that Mr. Speaker. But I'm going to pull 819 (sic) out of the record and if it's tomorrow or the next day or next week or next year that's entirely up to you as the Speaker and everybody here can be mad at me or whatever they want to do but I don't care to call the Bill at this time."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Katz."

Katz: "Mr. Speaker, in fairness to some Members who are not here, it is now 5:22 a.m. There are people who are exhausted and who left early and who are sleeping. There are not very many people in the State of Illinois who begin work early Sunday and continue working through the night until 5:20 a.m. the following morning and I suggest that rather than slurring all the other Members in many instances they became exhausted and they went to sleep. And they have every right to do so. These hours are onerous. I would suggest that we adjourn until sometime in the afternoon. Give them a chance to come back. I believe they will be here and I do believe that the General Assembly has not sluffed off. These are simply impossible hours and they have to be understood as that."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bradley."

Bradley: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would urge Representative Ryan, Representative Ryan to give us a chance. Those Members who have sat here and worked since noon yesterday there are two Bills



left and one has failed and I think that, Mr. Ryan, you owe it to the Members who have stayed knowing the importance of funding state government to give us a chance and if it fails it fails. But let us go on record as either supporting the motion or it fails. But to walk out of here and not call that Bill is a disservice to those people who have stayed here. And I plead with you, I plead with you as a gentleman to the other... to all of the Members that have stayed here all day and you owe us that opportunity to let us vote up or down on the Bill." Mr. Speaker, I would ask you to urge the Minority Leader to give us the opportunity. If it fails it fails, but let's at least have a chance to vote on it. That's the sentiment of the Members in the immediate vicinity around me is that they want an opportunity to vote on the measure. So let's put the vote up on the ... or put the motion on the board and let's vote it up or down and we... As far as I'm concerned, we don't even have to debate it or explain votes." We all know what's in the Bill. We've had it on our desks now for an hour. Vote it up or down. Let's fund it or let's don't fund it, but let's don't not take a vote on it just because some Members are sleeping or resting or not here. There are 124 or 5 here. Let's take a vote on it up or down."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Borchers."

Borchers: "Mr. Speaker, I think we should go home. For example, I haven't been able to get my on shoes now for nearly 48 hours. I have to go around in my stocking feet simply. My feet are too swollen. And I think there's a few others on the floor like this and I feel we should go home and rest then you can bring them all back in a couple of days."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McGrew."

McGrew: "Mr. Speaker, now really if we would stop to think.



All you're doing is totally reinforcing all those that have left. For God sake, they're the ones that were intelligent. We didn't vote on anything. The only thing that came up controversial lost so the other big Bill we're not even going to call. So, in essence, everybody that went home were the smart people. We dummies are sitting here because George Ryan won't call a Bill. Now there's 2/3 of the Membership that have been here for a hell of a long time and we're ready for one more vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Any announcements? Does the Clerk require any time? I move that we adjourn to a Perfunctory Session at 12 noon on Thursday to a Perfunctory Session at 12 noon on Friday and that we return for a regular Session on Monday, July 9 at 12 noon."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan, you seeking recognition?"

Ryan: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. In spite of all the happenings and the failure of the Governor to have a transportation budget this evening, we are invited to the Mansion for breakfast or whatever time it is. He's over there waiting. I understand the Senate is already there. Everybody's invited."

Speaker Redmond: "What was the... Still you don't want to go with the transportation budget? That correct Okay. Questions on Representative Madigan's motion that we recess to... that we adjourn to perfunctory Thursday, perfunctory Friday, and the regular Session next Monday at noon. Those in favor of the motion indicate by saying 'aye' aye, oppose 'no'. The ayes have it. The motion carried. The House stands adjourned."



HB-0004	CONFERENCE	PAGE	151
HB-0079	CONFERENCE	PAGE	151
HB-0265	CONFERENCE	PAGE	152
HB-0437	CONFERENCE	PAGE	154
HB-0440	CONFERENCE	PAGE	156
HB-0524	MOTIONS	PAGE	240
HB-0770	CONFERENCE	PAGE	10
HB-0905	CONFERENCE	PAGE	200
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	396
	MOTIONS	PAGE	199
	MOTIONS	PAGE	392
HB-0925	CONFERENCE	PAGE	398
	MOTIONS	PAGE	405
HB-0961	CONFERENCE	PAGE	205
HB-0987	CONFERENCE	PAGE	351
HB-1051	CONFERENCE	PAGE	206
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	309
HB-1062	MOTIONS	PAGE	372
HB-1088	CONFERENCE	PAGE	208
	MOTIONS	PAGE	208
HB-1168	CONFERENCE	PAGE	174
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	179
HB-1170	CONFERENCE	PAGE	180
HB-1183	MOTIONS	PAGE	172
HB-1255	MOTIONS	PAGE	345
HB-1288	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	191
HB-1325	MOTIONS	PAGE	345
	MOTIONS	PAGE	360
HB-1357	MOTIONS	PAGE	345
HB-1383	CONFERENCE	PAGE	212
	MOTIONS	PAGE	212
HB-1461	CONFERENCE	PAGE	215
	MOTIONS	PAGE	214
HB-1477	MOTIONS	PAGE	215
HB-1541	CONFERENCE	PAGE	218
	MOTIONS	PAGE	217
HB-1636	CONFERENCE	PAGE	6
HB-1640	CONFERENCE	PAGE	182
HB-1642	CONFERENCE	PAGE	22
HB-1644	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	22
HB-1645	CONFERENCE	PAGE	183
HB-1646	CONFERENCE	PAGE	10
HB-1647	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	171

HB-1657	CONFERENCE	PAGE	242
HB-1768	CONFERENCE	PAGE	219
	MOTIONS	PAGE	219
HB-1790	CONFERENCE	PAGE	221
	MOTIONS	PAGE	220
HB-1911	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	274
	MOTIONS	PAGE	273
HB-1914	CONFERENCE	PAGE	270
	MOTIONS	PAGE	270
HB-1939	CONFERENCE	PAGE	226
HB-1986	CONFERENCE	PAGE	312
	MOTIONS	PAGE	312
HB-2034	CONFERENCE	PAGE	361
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	412
HB-2124	MOTIONS	PAGE	344
HB-2184	CONFERENCE	PAGE	228
HB-2210	CONFERENCE	PAGE	267
HB-2328	CONFERENCE	PAGE	407
HB-2376	CONFERENCE	PAGE	371
HB-2377	CONFERENCE	PAGE	150
	MOTIONS	PAGE	149
HB-2378	CONFERENCE	PAGE	150
	MOTIONS	PAGE	149
HB-2410	MOTIONS	PAGE	225
HB-2411	MOTIONS	PAGE	225
HB-2420	CONFERENCE	PAGE	175
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	177
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	195
	MOTIONS	PAGE	175
HB-2427	CONFERENCE	PAGE	132
HB-2428	CONFERENCE	PAGE	245
HB-2545	CONFERENCE	PAGE	358
HB-2577	CONFERENCE	PAGE	5
HB-2578	CONFERENCE	PAGE	4
HB-2579	CONFERENCE	PAGE	187
HB-2679	CONFERENCE	PAGE	351
HB-2766	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	222
	MOTIONS	PAGE	221
HB-2767	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	225
	MOTIONS	PAGE	224
SB-0007	CONFERENCE	PAGE	50
	MOTIONS	PAGE	50
SB-0017	CONFERENCE	PAGE	313

DAILY TRANSCRIPT INDEX
JULY 01, 1979

	MOTIONS	PAGE	53
SB-0088	CONFERENCE	PAGE	60
	MOTIONS	PAGE	59
SB-0133	CONFERENCE	PAGE	61
	MOTIONS	PAGE	61
SB-0157	NON-CONCUR	PAGE	9
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	442
SB-0185	CONFERENCE	PAGE	64
	MOTIONS	PAGE	63
SB-0257	CONFERENCE	PAGE	346
	MOTIONS	PAGE	350
SB-0263	CONFERENCE	PAGE	136
SB-0289	CONFERENCE	PAGE	141
SB-0418	CONFERENCE	PAGE	79
	MOTIONS	PAGE	78
SB-0484	CONFERENCE	PAGE	253
SB-0487	CONFERENCE	PAGE	10
SB-0505	CONFERENCE	PAGE	82
	MOTIONS	PAGE	81
SB-0528	CONFERENCE	PAGE	82
	MOTIONS	PAGE	82
SB-0546	CONFERENCE	PAGE	23
SB-0577	CONFERENCE	PAGE	11
SB-0578	CONFERENCE	PAGE	289
SB-0580	CONFERENCE	PAGE	189
SB-0581	CONFERENCE	PAGE	15
SB-0584	CONFERENCE	PAGE	18
SB-0587	CONFERENCE	PAGE	257
SB-0588	CONFERENCE	PAGE	289
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	375
SB-0590	CONFERENCE	PAGE	21
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	261
SB-0669	CONFERENCE	PAGE	287
SB-0758	CONFERENCE	PAGE	334
	MOTIONS	PAGE	334
SB-0830	CONFERENCE	PAGE	19
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	22
SB-0908	NON-CONCUR	PAGE	174
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	192
SB-0918	CONFERENCE	PAGE	83
	MOTIONS	PAGE	83
SB-0927	CONFERENCE	PAGE	141
SB-0930	MOTIONS	PAGE	42

DAILY TRANSCRIPT INDEX
JULY 01, 1979

SB-0932	CONFERENCE	PAGE	46
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	86
	MOTIONS	PAGE	45
	MOTIONS	PAGE	86
SB-0955	CONFERENCE	PAGE	284
SB-0956	CONFERENCE	PAGE	333
	MOTIONS	PAGE	330
SB-0971	CONFERENCE	PAGE	261
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	336
	MOTIONS	PAGE	265
	MOTIONS	PAGE	344
SB-0973	CONFERENCE	PAGE	36
SB-1099	CONFERENCE	PAGE	406
SB-1114	CONFERENCE	PAGE	378
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	409
SB-1117	MOTIONS	PAGE	86
	MOTIONS	PAGE	87
SB-1125	CONFERENCE	PAGE	283
SB-1150	MOTIONS	PAGE	214
SB-1183	MOTIONS	PAGE	88
SB-1247	CONFERENCE	PAGE	101
	MOTIONS	PAGE	101
SB-1272	CONFERENCE	PAGE	295
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	387
	MOTIONS	PAGE	294
SB-1320	CONFERENCE	PAGE	104
	MOTIONS	PAGE	103
SB-1350	CONFERENCE	PAGE	49
	MCTIONS	PAGE	49
SB-1386	CONFERENCE	PAGE	109
	MOTIONS	PAGE	108
SB-1642	CONFERENCE	PAGE	297
HR-0202	1ST READING	PAGE	420
	3RD READING	PAGE	432
HR-0284	3RD READING	PAGE	421
HR-0372	1ST READING	PAGE	422
HR-0417	3RD READING	PAGE	355
HR-0436	3RD READING	PAGE	120
HR-0437	3RD READING	PAGE	354
HR-0439	3RD READING	PAGE	354
HR-0441	3RD READING	PAGE	354
HR-0442	3RD READING	PAGE	354
HR-0443	3RD READING	PAGE	354

LEGISLATIVE INFORMATION SYSTEM

DAILY TRANSCRIPT INDEX
JULY 01, 1979

PAGE 5

HR-0444	3RD READING	PAGE	352
HR-0445	3RD READING	PAGE	352
HR-0446	3RD READING	PAGE	372
HR-0447	3RD READING	PAGE	405

DAILY TRANSCRIPT INDEX
JULY 01, 1979

PAGE 6

SUBJECT MATTER

SPEAKER REDMOND-HOUSE TO ORDER	PAGE	1
REVEREND KRUEGER-PRAYER	PAGE	1
PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	PAGE	1
ROLL CALL FOR ATTENDANCE	PAGE	1
ELECTION CONTEST-35TH DISTRICT	PAGE	112
ELECTION CONTEST-51ST DISTRICT	PAGE	115
ELECTION CONTEST-49TH DISTRICT	PAGE	117
ROLL CALL FOR ATTENDANCE	PAGE	433
HOUSE STANDS ADJOURNED	PAGE	469