

Doorkeeper: "Attention Members of the House of Representatives, the House will convene in fifteen minutes. Attention, Members of the House of Representatives. The House will convene in five minutes. All persons not entitled to the House floor, please retire to the gallery."

Speaker Redmond: "The House will come to order. Members please be in their seats. Be led in prayer by the Reverend Krueger, the House Chaplain."

Krueger: "In the name of the Father, the Son and the Holy Ghost. Amen. Oh Lord, bless this House to Thy service this day. Amen. It is written in the 16th verse of the 13th Chapter of the Book of Hebrews: To do good, and to distribute, forget not; for with such sacrifices God is well pleased. Let us pray. Almighty God, we praise Thy holy Name on this day which has been set aside in Thy honor and for Thy adoration. We ask Thee to accept our sacrifices of time and energy that are called for that we may do our work as Members of this House of Representatives. We are grateful for the patience and understanding of our families and loved ones who may be wearied and find our long hours burdensome. Yet, Oh Father, we are confident that our efforts to serve the people of the State of Illinois are of noteworthy value, and we do pray that they will prove to be well-pleasing in Thy infinite sight and wisdom; through Jesus Christ our Lord. Amen."

Speaker Redmond: "Pledge of Allegiance."

Members: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America. And to the Republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all."

Speaker Redmond: "Roll Call for attendance. Has anybody seen Roman Kosinski today? Take the record. Any nonconcurrences? How do you do, Sir. Representative

Abramson, for what reason do you rise?"

Abramson: "Mr. Speaker, I've a nonconcurrency on Senate Bill 1626. I move that the House refuse to recede in Amendment, House Amendments 1, 2, 3 and 4 to Senate Bill 1626 and a Conference Committee be appointed."

Speaker Redmond: "1626. Representative Abramson is moved that the House refuse to recede from House Amendments 1, 2, 3 and 4 to Senate Bill 1626. All in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The House refuses to recede. 1635. Representative Robbins."

Robbins: "I move that the House refuse to recede on the good Amendments which we've put on the Ag. Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Robbins, you refuse to recede, is that correct?"

Robbins: "That's right."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Robbins refuse...moves that the House refuse to recede from the House Amendments to Senate Bill 1635. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carried. Representative Woodyard, for 16...36?"

Woodyard: "Yes. Thank you, Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Redmond: "1636, Mr. Clerk."

Woodyard: "Members of the House, I wish to refuse to recede from the House Amendments on Senate Bill 1636 and request a Conference Committee be appointed."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved that the House refuse to recede from House Amendments to Senate Bill 1636. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carried. And the House refuses to recede from the House Amendments. Representative Peters."

Peters: "On 1639, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "1639."

Peters: "I would move that the House refuse to recede from House Amendments 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 to Senate Bill

1639 and ask that a Conference Committee be appointed."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker, who is the Sponsor of that Bill?"

Speaker Redmond: "What, 1639?"

Ryan: "Yea."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Telcser."

Ryan: "Who is..."

Speaker Redmond: "What did you say? Peters. That's rather typical. One thirty. You heard the Gentleman's motion that the House...How about Amendment #7, Mr. Peters?"

Peters: "Seven also."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Telcser moves that the House refuse to recede from Senate...all the House Amendments on Senate Bill 1639. Those in favor indicate by saying 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carried, and the House refuses to recede. In the Lost and Found Department, lost and found some glasses in the glass case, sunglasses and Mark O'Brien lost something. 1665. Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I refuse to recede from the House Amendments to Senate Bill 1669, request a Conference Committee...1665."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Telcser has moved that the House refuse to recede from House Amendments to Senate Bill 1665. Those in favor indicate by saying 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the motion carried. The House refuses to recede. 1666. Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I refuse to recede from House Amendments to Senate Bill 1666 and request a Conference Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Telcser moves that the House refuse to recede from the House Amendments to

Senate Bill 1666 and requests a Conference Committee. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carried. And the House refuses to recede from the House Amendments. Conference Committee will be appointed. Any other motions in nonconcurrence? How about on the Order of Concurrence. Are there any? Are there any on the Order of Concurrence that are honest to goodness noncontroversial? No kidding, noncontroversial. Representative Frederick, do you have an announcement? Well, you may as well make the same announcement today that you did the last two days."

Frederick: "Mr. Speaker, I rise to make an announcement about the Mr. Wonderful Dinner tonight. I am not sure that we can accommodate more than three or four more people, but if there are some people who would like to come to dinner after all, I would like to invite you to do that. It's bound to be an interesting evening with a lot of hilarity which I think we can stand at this time in the Session. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Let the record show that Representative Kucharski is absent today due to a death in the family. He lost his father-in-law last night, and he won't be in the rest of the week."

Speaker Redmond: "Any expenses...any objections? Hearing none, the record will so show. Has anybody seen Representative Kornowicz today? Have you seen Representative Kornowicz over here? Representative VanDuyne."

VanDuyne: "Let it be known that I successfully traversed the 350 miles between here and Joliet."

Speaker Redmond: "Has anybody seen Representative Dyer today? Mr. Doorkeeper, somebody is taking pictures, and we haven't given permission. Is Don Eslick on the floor? Don Eslick, please report to the podium."

You're not Don Eslick. Huh? He's up on the fourth floor? Has anybody seen Representative Jaffe? Conference Committee Reports. Page 5, House Bill 925, Representative Skinner. Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "The purpose of the Conference Committee report is two fold. Number one, to allow county governments outside of Cook County to designate limited access highways. On number two, to clean up a drafting mistake in the Thompson-Byrne transportation package Amendment which I sponsored last year. At the time, we appropriated or we authorized additional motor fuel tax funds to go to local governments, specifically to townships and counties. And we said that in the Amendment that if townships built the road, the townships would go into the new roads, the unincorporated subdivision roads, would go into the township system, but if the county government built them, they would go onto the county system. That clearly does not make sense, and what this Conference Committee Report does besides allowing county governments to designate limited access highways to say that if county government builds unincorporated subdivision roads, that they shall go into the township road system."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner, you move to adopt the Second Conference Committee Report. Is that correct?"

Skinner: "Yes, Sir."

Speaker Redmond: "Those in favor indicate by voting 'aye' and opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Representative Brummer."

Brummer: "Yes, I just wanted to make sure that we weren't voting on something that Representative...that dealt with RTA. It...could the Sponsor indicate by a shake of his head, yes or no?"

Skinner: "All this does is amend the Highway Code. It

doesn't amend the RTA Act, despite the tie I'm wearing."

Brummer: "Well, some of us get suspicious about these things sneaking through on RTA. And I just didn't...I wanted to make sure the Sponsor wasn't carrying an item of RTA..."

Skinner: "We're just trying to get these roads up to a standard that a RTA bus even empty would be able to ride on them without collapsing them."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Robbins."

Robbins: "Cal, is this the Bill, is this analysis correct on this Bill?"

Skinner: "No."

Robbins: "It isn't? What does...what does this Bill do then?"

Skinner: "It gives county government the authority to, to designate a limited access highway outside of Cook County..."

Robbins: "In otherwords, in downstate counties, the County Board would say whether you, whether you could get in and off of your own property?"

Skinner: "If it were on a county road, yes."

Robbins: "Yea, on any county road."

Skinner: "If it decided that it wanted to be a limited access highway. What I'm thinking of, Representative, is Wandal Road, for example, in Kane County, which is in danger as of becoming a slow a road as is Route 31 if the subdivision, if the subdividers are not prohibited from putting driveways every fifty feet."

Robbins: "And you would have to conform to the County Highway Code, I mean to the State Highway Code on these limited access county roads?"

Skinner: "Well, you'd have to, it would give the county the ability to limit the number of cuts in the highway."

Robbins: "Yea. And it limits the right of way then, if on these county roads, so that you cannot develop housing

in rural areas?"

Skinner: "Only if the County Board decides that the area would be appropriate for that. I can't imagine that anybody in your area would come to that conclusion."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Robbins."

Robbins: "I would like to speak to this. This is a particularly bad Bill for Southern Illinois. It may be alright for Cook County, for the collar counties around Cook County, but we don't need that kind of expense and that kind of thing set up in our area. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all...Representative Sumner."

Sumner: "Thank you, would the Sponsor answer a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Sumner: "Who does this now?"

Skinner: "Does what?"

Sumner: "Authorizing the use of these roads or not the use of them."

Skinner: "Well, the Bill, you'll have to remember is a two-part Bill. We've so far only discussed one part of it. The...right now there is no one who can do it."

Sumner: "Townships can do what they want with them if they're the township road?"

Skinner: "We're not talking about township roads. We're talking about county roads."

Sumner: "This would not include them in any way?"

Skinner: "The reference to the Bill, excuse me, the reference to the Bill to the township road system is that, and I don't think this applies to many parts of the state. In some parts of the state and including particularly McHenry County, there are unincorporated subdivision roads which are substandard. Over about the last eight years I've been attempting to find ways to funnel money for the upgrading of those roads so that they can be brought into the township road

system. Last year we passed the Thompson-Byrne transportation package which allows for additional motor fuel tax funds to go to county government and to go to township government. And it says that if the township or the county government decides to use these, this money to repair subdivision, nonstandard, substandard subdivision roads that the various county governments and township governments can do so. Unfortunately when the Bill, when the Amendment was drafted in the Reference Bureau, it said that if the township spent its money, it would end up, the roads would end up in the sub...in the township road system which is logical, but that if the county decided to spend some of its motor fuel tax money, that it would end up in the county road system which is totally illogical in my opinion. These are small subdivisions basically, and it seems to me that the road should end up under the township highway commissioner. And I think the township highway commissioner would be happy, because the township road commissioner gets an amount of money from the State Motor Fuel Tax Fund based on the number of miles of highway that he has that is up to standard. So this would increase the number of miles of highway that the township road commissioner would have."

Sunner: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Winchester."

Winchester: "Representative Skinner, I noticed that this went to a Second Conference Committee, and I noticed I was appointed to the Conference Committee. I don't recall the Conference Committee ever meeting. Is my, is my name on any Conference Committee Report?"

Skinner: "I think this...came last year, Representative."

Winchester: "Oh, last year?"

Skinner: "Yes, this has been hanging around the Calendar

most of the Spring Session."

Winchester: "Alright, thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? All voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "May we poll the absentees."

Speaker Redmond: "On this question there were 84 'aye' and 40 'no'. Representative Skinner requests the poll of the absentees. How do you desire to vote, Representative Jones? Representative Jones, 'aye'. Representative Getty."

Getty: "May I suggest we take a new Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "You what?"

Getty: "May I suggest we dump this and take a new Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay. The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House con...adopt the Second Conference Committee Report to House Bill 925. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative VanDuyne."

VanDuyne: "I'm sorry, I was going to explain my vote on the former thing."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay. On this question...the Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 129 'aye' and 7 'no'. The motion carries and the House does concur in Second Conference Committee Report to House Bill 925. Judiciary II, House Bill 1010. Anyone here from Judiciary II to handle this motion? Out of the record. 1255, Representative Donovan. Out of the record. 2410, Matijeovich, Totten or Harris. Representative Matijeovich on 2410."

Matijeovich: "No, I'm ready on Senate Bill 1606 on nonconcurrency, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "You don't want 2410."

Matijeovich: "No, that's from last year."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay. How about 2411?"

Matijevich: "No, that's an old one too."

Speaker Redmond: "The older ones are the better ones."

Matijevich: "Well, sometimes it's better to keep them there.
You never know."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay, what do you want? 16..."

Matijevich: "1606 on nonconcurrency, page 4, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "On room...Senate Bill 1606..."

Matijevich: "Yes."

Speaker Redmond: "On page 4..."

Matijevich: "Yes."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I refuse to recede on House Amendments 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14 and 15 to Senate Bill 1606 and request a Conference Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman moves that the House refuse to recede from House Amendments to Senate Bill 1606. Those in favor indicate by saying 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carried, and the House refuses to recede from House Amendments. Conference Committee requested, Representative Matijevich? Conference Committee requested. 3019, Representative Monroe Flinn. Out of the record. Senate Bill 185, Jack Davis. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1150, Dawson. Out of the record. On the bottom of page 4, Senate Bill 2001, Representative, anybody seen Representative McGrew today? 1841, wait a minute. Yea, 1841."

McGrew: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would move not to concur, or not to recede from House Amendment #2 and ask for a Conference Report."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House refuse to recede from House Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 1804 (sic).

Those in favor indicate by saying 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carried. The House refuses to recede from House Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 1841 and request a Conference Committee. The second quiz, yesterday the winners were tied between Bob Piel and Collins. Collins and Piel. Two wrong. That's the same as the winners on quiz number one. Representative Conti, you and Representative Ewell, have you been to the same place together? Representative Ewell, have you seen Representative Conti? Representative Ewell. Representative Ewell."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, Representative Conti and I are selling ice cream today, and we want everybody to put in their order. And for the freshmen, we'll even sell you the truck."

Speaker Redmond: "Roll Call for attendance. Yea, take the record. We're honored with a visit by a Gentleman that never had the great experience of serving in the House, but he has been a Senator for the last couple of terms, Senator Daley. Never served in the House, but...his father did though, I think. On the Order of Nonconcurrency, Senate Bill 1544, Representative Taylor."

Taylor: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I move that the House refuse to recede from House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1544. And I ask for a Conference Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? The question's on the Gentleman's motion...Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Will the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Ryan: "Would you explain House Amendment one time for us, Representative, and tell me what's in there. Mr. Speaker, would you call on Representative Taylor so he can answer..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Taylor."

Taylor: "Representative Ryan, I don't have that Amendment before me right now. It was the Amendment that we had a quite a bit of discussion on here and we felt that would be out of order for the Bill. Some argument came up in the Senate, and I suggest that we bring it back, and we can talk about it and try to work it out."

Ryan: "You mean to tell me, Representative, that you want to recede from an Amendment that you can't tell us why we should."

Taylor: "Well, there is some difference in what we think the Amendment means and what the Senate thinks that the Amendment means. So we wanted to get together with the Senators and all be able to work out our differences."

Speaker Redmond: "It was refuse to recede, Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Can we take this out of the record for now?"

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. 1545, how are we on that one? 1578. Anybody know where that one is? 1545."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to make the same request of Senate Bill 1545. I ask that the House refuse to recede from House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1545."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "I have no objection to this one, Mr. Speaker, and I have no objection to 1544 either."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Taylor moves that the House refuse to recede from the House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1545. Those in favor vote 'aye', or say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carried. Now 1544, you request a Conference Committee on that. 1544, Representative Taylor has previously moved that the House refuse to recede from House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1544. Those in favor say

'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carried. The House refuses to recede, and the Conference Committee will be appointed. Representative Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Senate Bill 1544, Representative Taylor, hold the phone a second on that because when the Senate Sponsor was over here, he made an agreement that he was going to take that House Amendment 1 off the Bill. Now did he do that?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Taylor."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker and Representative Tuerk, we have not taken out the Amendment off. We want to talk about it in the Conference Committee. And I would suggest that you become a part of that Conference Committee, so that we can work out our differences in regard to Caterpillar and company."

Tuerk: "Well, why would you want to make it part of the Conference Committee when he made a promise that he was going to take the Amendment off of that Bill? And that's the only reason we passed that Bill out of here."

Taylor: "Well, it's back for the Conference Committee now. We've got the approval, and I suggest that you come to the Conference Committee. We'll sit down, we'll talk about it. I have no personal love for the Bill at all."

Speaker Redmond: "On the Order of Concurrence, House Bill 3044, on page 3. Representative Peters."

Peters: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would move to concur with Senate Amendments 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11, 12, 13, 14, 16, 17 and 18, in otherwords, all Amendments that were adopted to House Bill 3044 which is the appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Children and Family Services. The Bill, as it left the House, was approximately \$174,600,000,

and it has come back to us at approximately \$174,060,000 for a change of or a reduction of about a half a million dollars throughout the entire, entire budget. Some of the highlights of the budget are increased funding for the child protective service workers which will be distributed throughout the various regions of the Department of Children and Family Services, funds for new staff, training state-wide, plus training staff in each of the various regions. This is one of the areas that the Department has received a lot of criticism for in terms of the training of the people that it employs to handle the child abuse and counseling kind of cases, so we have included this in the budget and has been approved. Plus we have also established 27 new positions here for the state-wide central registry, which this Legislature approved last year and which will be a centralized place where all cases of child abuse and child abuse reports will come in so that we can have proper kinds of tracking and proper kinds of action on these cases. The legislation also includes increases, probably the highest we have ever given, but increases that are certainly needed, rate increases for foster care. And these were given really in consideration and consistent with rates that were established by national commissions that look into the needs of foster care and foster parents. We've increased the funding by 2.7 million dollars to group homes and institutions, 2.6 million dollar increase for in home services for homemakers and counseling. We have also a...involved ourselves in a pilot project in order to identify the children who so to speak fall between the cracks in terms of whether they come under the supervision of the Department of Mental Health, the Department of Children and Family Services or in fact the Department of Corrections. And we are trying to

work out that problem in conjunction with the various juvenile court judges throughout the state so that we can have a clear and established policy as to exactly who is responsible for and who cares for these particular kind of children and these problems. Overall, the Director has provided information required and requested by members of both the staffs in the House and in the Senate on both sides of the aisle, in the Senate Staff, Democratic and Republican, House and Senate as well as the Members of both Committees, Senate and House are in agreement with this budget. And I would ask that the House concur in House Bill 3044 with the Senate Amendments as added and explained."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is shall the House concur in Senate Amendments 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11, 12, 13, 14, 16, 17 and 18 in House Bill 3044. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 146 'aye' and 7 'no'. And the House does concur in Senate Amendments 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11, 12, 13, 14, 16, 17 and 18 to House Bill 3044. On the Order of the Speaker's Table appears House Joint Resolution 101. Representative VonBoeckman."

VonBoeckman: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 101 has been cleared by the Governor's Office and everybody concerned. What it does is clarify the House intent of the legislation that was passed last year on \$30 tax. They have been taxing the certificates for junking title for \$30, and it's creating a problem with our abandoned vehicles, and I urge adoption of the Resolution."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schuneman."

Schuneman: "Question of the Sponsor, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Schuneman: "Representative, you said that the Senate had clarified the language about the \$30 transfer tax..."

VonBoeckman: "It wasn't the intent of the Legislator to tax, to tax the abandoned vehicles. We're talking about junking titles..."

Schuneman: "Is this the Bill that would eliminate the \$30 transfer a day?"

VonBoeckman: "No, no, it does not."

Speaker Redmond: "It's just a Resolution, Cal."

VonBoeckman: "It's just a Resolution and it says what the Legislators, legislative intent is that there will be no \$30 tax on a junking title."

Schuneman: "Sorry about that."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of House Joint Resolution 101. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', Representative Brummer."

Brummer: "Yea, why did you use the vehicle as a Resolution as opposed to legislation which would clearly write into law the intent that they not be taxed?"

Speaker Redmond: "VonBoeckman."

VonBoeckman: "It was too late to introduce legislation to the effect, so therefore we felt like a Resolution was in order for legislative intent."

Brummer: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "The question's on the Gentleman's...Representative Conti."

Conti: "Representative VonBoeckman, is there a fiscal impact on this?"

Speaker Redmond: "VonBoeckman."

VonBoeckman: "I would say yes, a great physical...fiscal impact to the communities and local governments of our state, because we are having more and more problems with abandoned vehicles, and it's creating more and more of a problem. And what this does, those people who are willing to go out and pick these abandoned vehicles up won't do it if they have to pay the \$30

tax. And it creates one big problem that we're trying to solve in the State of Illinois. And I think this is the reason of the Resolution, that we don't want to tax \$30 for these junk titles."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of the Resolution. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carried. The Resolution is adopted. House Joint Resolution 105 on page 7. Representative Bianco."

Bianco: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move that the appropriate rule be suspended so that House Joint Resolution 105 can be given immediate consideration."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? The question's on the Gentleman's motion that Rule 41a, pursuant to 41a, that the Rule be suspended in order that House Joint Resolution 101 be placed on the Speaker's Table for immediate consideration. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Representative Getty."

Getty: "I think it would be in order if we could have a little bit of an explanation of what it is before we vote on it."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bianco, will you explain the..."

Bianco: "Who asked? I didn't hear."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Getty."

Bianco: "This is a Resolution asking that the flag that I have designed be flown over six key state buildings until such time as the hostages in Iran are free."

Speaker Redmond: "The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 114 'aye' and 1 'no', and the motion carries. House Joint Resolution 105. Representative Bianco. Representative Bianco. Bianco."

Bianco: "On that...thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and

Gentlemen of the House. Some of you recall a few days ago this Resolution was presented as an agreed measure, and because of some misunderstandings, the measure was set back. I talked to the various individuals that had questions about it, and since that time they've all agreed that they're going to support this Resolution. My fellow Representatives, this Resolution is not a political issue. It's not an ideological issue, and it's not a conservative or liberal issue. The Resolution was born out of an idea that I had in creating some form of recognition and solidarity with our American brethren who are being held captive in a foreign land. These American citizens are no doubt both Republicans and Democrats, both conservative and liberal. And I assure you, it makes no difference. What matters most to me is that they are being held captive, and they are American Patriots, all."

Speaker Redmond: "How much, Representative Bell?"

Bianco: "Pardon?"

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed. Proceed, Representative..."

Eiarco: "The very least that we can do is the meaning that is embodied into the design of this flag will show some symbolism to the American people in recognizing these 53 Americans being held hostage in Iran. And I only ask that this flag be flown over six key Illinois state buildings. And I ask for your favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question's on the Gentleman's...Representative Bianco or Balanoff."

Balanoff: "A question."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bianco. Propound your question."

Bianco: "Yes."

Balanoff: "Who designed this flag?"

Bianco: "I designed the flag."

Balanoff: "You designed the flag...and who manufactured it?"

Bianco: "I...a man in Springfield manufactured the flag with the help of a couple of ladies. It's handmade, and he's donated everyone that's been used."

Balanoff: "Is that the American, the American bookstore here in Springfield, American Opinion Bookstore formerly known as the Birch Society?"

Bianco: "Yes, that was brought up before, but like I said..."

Balanoff: "Thank you."

Bianco: "...in my presentation, I don't think it matters who makes the flag. I think what matters most is that these people are Americans, and that they are being held hostage in Iran. And I think this flag embodies a symbolism and gives us some solidarity with them. And it's at the very least that my fellow Representatives can do to vote for this Resolution to show our support for those Americans. And I don't care who designed the flag. I don't care who manufactured it. That's not the issue. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "The question's on the Gentleman's motion to adopt House Joint Resolution 101. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Borchers."

Borchers: "Mr. Speaker, I want to point out that Betsy Ross was once a loyal subject of the King George III. So what does it matter who, who makes the flag or whether it belongs to this or that. The idea is a good idea. Also I want to complain a little bit that I have the Resolution doing some of the things that we have done in relation to the hostages a week after the hostages were seized. And they're still seized, so I think you should, if I do something else like this, you better support me so I don't have to see everybody else get on the bandwagon and leave me hanging around."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it seems to me that Old Glory was good enough for this country in the Civil War, the Spanish-American War, World War II and the Korean War and the Vietnam War, why isn't it good enough for this country now? Why shouldn't we instruct people to fly Old Glory? What's wrong with the Stars and Stripes? Why are we backing away from it? How about the Illinois State Flag. I see very few of them flying around. Why don't encourage people to fly the Illinois State Flag? There's very few Illinois flags flying in our state. What do we need a new flag for? Many Members of this House served under Old Glory under the 13 stripes and some under 48 stars before we even had 50 stars. They weren't ashamed of that. They were proud of it. Let's stick with Old Glory and forget these 'Johnny come lately' flags that will come and go at someone's whim. The Stars and Stripes were good enough for Thomas Jefferson and George Washington, Abraham Lincoln. They were good enough for General Pershing. They were good enough for Bernie Epton who was a private, first class. They were good enough for General McArthur even, even he didn't have a special flag. Even he was willing to fight under the Stars and Stripes. And it would seem to me, it would seem to me we should stick with the Stars and Stripes. And our colors have to be flown as when Representative Zeke Giorgi put up the Stars and Stripes on Iwo Jima and lost his three fingers. I vote 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 114 'aye' and 13 'no', and the motion carries, and the Resolution is adopted. Senate Joint Resolution 96, DiPrima."

DiPrima: "Yes. Yes, Sir, Mr. Speaker, I move pursuant to Rule 41a, I move to place Senate Joint Resolution 96 on the Speaker's Table for immediate consideration."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question's on the motion. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. 107. I dare anybody to vote 'no'. This is DiPrima's, don't ask what it is. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 119 'aye' and 2 'no'. The Senate Joint Resolution...the motion carries, and the Senate Joint Resolution is on the Speaker's Table for immediate consideration. Representative DiPrima on the Resolution."

DiPrima: "Yes, Sir, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Resolution is to create a Joint Committee on victims of 'agent orange' which shall be composed of six Members of the Senate, three appointed by the President and three appointed by the Minority Leader and six Members of the House of Representatives, three appointed by the Speaker and three appointed by the Minority Leader. The Members of this Committee shall serve without compensation, but shall be reimbursed for their actual expenses incurred in the services of the Committee. The duty of the Committee is to study the effects of 'agent orange' and other defoliants on Viet Nam War Veterans. As you know, there's been a lot of controversy as to whether or not this has been the reason for a lot of Viet Nam Veterans being disgruntled and so forth. A lot of them say they were affected by the defoliants. And that's what this Committee, this Joint Committee is to make a study of this issue and see if they could bring it to a successful conclusion. Would appreciate a favorable vote."

Anonymous: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

DiPrima: "Roll 'em."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative DiPrima has moved for the adoption. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take

the record. On this question there's 132 'aye' and 4 'no', and the motion carried, and the Resolution is adopted. Senate Joint Resolution 91, Schoeberlein. Schoeberlein."

Schoeberlein: "Mr. Speaker, pursuant to Rule 41a, I move to place House Joint Resolution 91 on the Speaker's Table for immediate..."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question's on that motion. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. This is 107. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 136 'aye' and 1 'no'. The motion carries. On the Resolution, Mr. Schoeberlein."

Schoeberlein: "Mr. Speaker, this is a very simple Resolution. This is just to concur in what President Carter in January 17, 1980, when he approved the construction of the Northern-Pier, Tier Pipeline. This is eight firms that are going to build it. There are going to be no tax money involved, and the pipeline will be from Washington State to the Midwest joining the Minnesota Pipeline in the vicinity of the Iowa-Illinois border. I would move that the Legislature concur in the Resolution."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schoeberlein move the adoption of the Resolution. Representative Birkinbine. Are you addressing the Senate Joint Resolution 91? Senate Joint Resolution. Representative Matula."

Matula: "Mr. Speaker, could that Resolution be read again. We just couldn't hear it back here, please."

Speaker Redmond: "Read the Resolution, Mr. Clerk. Let the record show Representative Walsh is in the chamber. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Joint Resolution 91, whereas the Northern Tier Oil Pipeline is currently under consideration to serve in transporting oil from the

Pacific Northwest to the Midwest States including a possible terminus in the Chicago area and whereas President Carter on January 17, 1980, approved the construction of the Northeastern Tier Pipeline designed to carry the growing production of Alaskan crude and California heavy oil from Washington State to the Midwest. And whereas President Carter's approval of the Northern Tier Pipeline was based on a recommendation by the Secretary of the Interior...Interior, Cecil Anders. And whereas the Northern Tier Pipeline Company, a consortium of eight companies, to obtain private financing to build 1491 mile line over the next three years employing an estimated 5,500 was indicated its readiness to proceed with the project. Therefore, be it resolved by the Senate of the 81st General Assembly of the State of Illinois, the House of Representatives concurring herein, that the Northern Tier Pipeline would be beneficial to this state in supplying oil in these times to serve energy problems. And be it further resolved that we urge Governor Thompson to contact President Carter, and Illinois Delegation to the Congress of the United States, in support of the Northern Tier Pipeline Project. And be it further resolved that we urge Governor Thompson to contact the Governors of the states of Washington, Idaho, Montana, North Dakota and Minnesota in support of the Northern Tier Pipeline Project."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question's on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of the Resolution. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 122 'aye', no 'nay'. The motion carried, and the House does adopt Senate Joint Resolution 91. On page 7, House Resolution 865. Representative Stuffle."

Stuffle: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members, on House Resolution 865, I would move at this time to pursuant to Rule 41a to place that Resolution on the Speaker's Table for immediate consideration. That Resolution deals with the issue of civil service salaries at the public universities and community colleges in the State of Illinois as well as faculty salaries and also speaks to the issue of the underfunding of certain sala...those salaries in relationship to other governmental agencies. And I would move that motion at this time."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion. Those in favor indicate by voting 'aye' and opposed by voting 'no'. 107 votes. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 121 'aye' and no 'nay', and the motion carries. Now on the Resolution, Representative Stuffle. House Resolution 865."

Stuffle: "On the Resolution, House Resolution 865 is sponsored by Representative Keane on our side, Representative Woodyard on the Republican side and myself. As the Membership knows, there's been a question for a number of years about the salary levels and the remuneration of civil service personnel, certain civil service personnel in the open range category, particularly at the various public universities in the State of Illinois. And some concern as well over certain of the ranges of salary for the faculty in those universities. Various studies for the Board of Higher Education and others have indicated that many of the titled people in the civil service ranks at are public universities are far below their counterparts with the same titles in other state agencies. In fact the range is by title go somewhere from eight or ten per cent up to thirty or forty per cent below the same people who might be

working for Public Aid or Public Health with the same title. There's been an ongoing effort by the General Assembly to upgrade salaries in those categories. But with inflation it's been impossible to bring these people up to a realistic salary level. As well with regard to certain faculty, excluding two universities, all the studies in this state have shown that some of the lower paid faculty are certainly not keeping pace with other states. To the extent that this state in its salary ranges has dropped into the...where it is down to about 45th in its remuneration of those lower paid faculty. As well the Resolution points to the fact that in the face of that lack of funding for salaries for lower paid people in the universities, roughly two-thirds of the administrative positions have been receiving salary increases above those of other institutions in other states. So what the Resolution resolve portion says is that the various universities, the various colleges in the public sector and their boards are urged to use the money that we put in for salary increases this year towards a priority of bringing up the lowest paid people in those particular institutions and also calls upon those institutions to report back their progress next year to the General Assembly. I know of no one who is opposed to this. We've been attempting to upgrade these people all along. But we want the mandate that we've set forth for these people over the years to be given even greater priority if at all possible. This same Resolution was passed in the Senate earlier this year, sponsored by both Democrats and Republicans. And I would ask for an 'aye' vote on this particular Resolution."

Speaker Bradley: "Further discussion? If not on the Gentleman's motion, all in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will

take the record. On this question there are 120 'ayes', 1 'nay'. And the Resolution is adopted. Mr. Simms on 10...House Joint Resolution 107."

Simms: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would move to suspend the provisions of Rule 41a for the immediate consideration of House Joint Resolution 107 which would create a Joint Committee on unemployment compensation funding."

Speaker Bradley: "Alright, it takes 107 votes. The Gentleman from Effingham, Mr. Brummer on the motion."

Brummer: "Is this a vote on the Resolution or a vote on the..."

Speaker Bradley: "No, this...on his motion to suspend the Rule for the immediate consideration. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. On the motion for immediate consideration. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Very briefly, Mr. Speaker, I am opposed to the immediate consideration of this Resolution. I believe that the House addressed itself quite accurately in reference to the field of unemployment compensation. And I would hope that this Resolution would go to the proper Committee so it could be discussed and possibly action could be made through the Committee process. And for that reason, I would strongly recommend a 'present' or a 'no' vote."

Speaker Bradley: "Have all voted who wish? Yes, Mr. Simms, the Gentleman from Winnebago."

Simms: "Mr. Speaker, in explaining my vote. I spoke with the Chairman of the Labor Committee. We really don't have the time to have a separate Committee Hearing on this topic. At the present time the Workmen...The Unemployment Compensation Fund is almost \$1,000,000,000 in debt to the Federal Government. The potentiality of an additional \$500,000,000 that will be accrued to that amount that will be endured and we will have approximately one and a half billion dollar

debt. This simply sets up a Joint Committee on unemployment compensation funding to sit down over the summer to investigate and to determine what sources and what avenues are available to start funding this fund to a level that is appropriate. I've checked with business and with labor, and they have no opposition to it. And I would appreciate the 107 votes if this fund is to stay anywhere near solvent, if not, this fund will be running out of money. And there are no federal, federal funds available at the present time to...for the State of Illinois to borrow from. So I would urge considerable green votes for this."

Speaker Bradley: "Have all voted who wish? Mr. Schuneman on the motion."

Schuneman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. By way of explaining my vote, I'd like to point out to the Members that the Resolution speaks to a subject which has not been considered by this House. And that is the funding of the Unemployment Insurance Trust Fund. That Fund is in deplorable condition. We're in debt to the tune of almost \$1,000,000,000, and we're now beginning to borrow again from the Federal Government. And I think it's the height of irresponsibility to refuse at this particular time to investigate what is going to happen to the Illinois Unemployment Trust Fund. And I think the right vote on this issue is a vote in favor of it. Frankly, I'm surprised that the Gentleman from the other side who has been the Sponsor of very substantive legislation this time would oppose this motion, because I believe it's an issue that needs to be studied. And I think that he would be a good Member of such a Commission. And I would certainly urge that we do consider this Resolution. Urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Bradley: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will

take the record. On this question there are 87 'ayes' and 37 'nays', and the motion fails. Mr. Mautino on House Joint Resolution 102."

Mautino: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Joint Resolution 102 requests the EPA and the Department of Public Health to complete the investigation in the causes of hydrogen sulfide gas leak at the Mobil Chemical Plant in De Pue, Illinois, which is ongoing now. There was a request due to a motion by the Attorney General's Office to close the plant pending this investigation which has been ongoing now with both Departments. This is the official request, and I ask an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Bradley: "Discussion? Hearing none, all in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. Question...this question there are 132 'ayes', no 'nays'. The Gentleman's motion is adopted. Is Mr. Ewing there on...Mr. Flinn on a concurrence motion. Mr. Flinn there? Mr....Mr. Ewell here? Mrs. Karpziel. Is Mrs. Karpziel back there? On SJR 122. The last thing on the Calendar today. The last article on the...item on the..."

Karpziel: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I don't even have a copy of that Resolution in front of me right now, but this Resolution has to do with a rescue, a Pet Rescue Animal Shelter in my district about which I have been receiving a great deal of mail, because there was many cats that had cat leukemia that were not being isolated from the rest of the cat population. Animals and cats running throughout the...throughout the premises. We've had numerous complaints, all of us that represent that district. And this is just a Resolution. I'm

handling this Resolution for Senator Friedland, Senator Philip in the Senate. I did pass the Senate, and I ask for your approval. What it does is..."

Speaker Bradley: "Well, now, I think, we...first we have to suspend the rule for the immediate consideration which will take 107 votes."

Karpiel: "Oh, alright, yes."

Speaker Bradley: "Let's do that first. Do you want to...the Lady moves to suspend the 41..."

Karpiel: "I move to suspend Rule 41a to place on the Speaker's Table for immediate consideration Senate Joint Resolution 122."

Speaker Bradley: "On that motion, all in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 129 'ayes' and 3 'nos', and the rule is suspended. Now on the Resolution, Mrs. Karpiel."

Karpiel: "Could the Clerk please read it? Mr...."

Speaker Bradley: "The Clerk will...they're sending it down."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Joint Resolution 122. Whereas the General Assembly is interested in the humane treatment of animals. And whereas numerous complaints have been received by Members of this General Assembly concerning the treatment of animals at the Pet Rescue Animal Shelter in Bloomingdale. Whereas the sanitary conditions at Pet Rescue Animal Shelter have been questioned by former volunteers at the shelter. And whereas several cats at the Pet Rescue Animal Shelter have allegedly contracted feline leukemia which is a contagious, contagious and fatal disease. And whereas it has been further alleged that those cats which have contracted feline leukemia have not been properly segregated from healthy cats. And whereas the disease, feline leukemia, is possibly a human health hazard. Therefore, be it resolved by the Senate of

the 81st General Assembly of the State of Illinois, the House of Representatives concurring herein, that the Department of Public Health investigate the animal shelter Pet Rescue to determine if the human health hazard does in fact exist. And be it further resolved that the Department of Public Health take immediate action if it determines that there is a health danger to humans. Be it further resolved that the Department of Agriculture make an immediate investigation to determine whether Pet Rescue is in compliance with the Animal Welfare Act in any department regulations or orders. And be it further resolved that if the Department of Agriculture determines that Pet Rescue is not in compliance with Animal Welfare Act or any regulations or orders, the Department should require Pet Rescue Animal Shelter of Bloomingdale to show cause why its license should not be suspended or revoked. And be it further resolved that a public hearing be held by the Department of Agriculture prior to any renewal of Pet Rescue's license."

Speaker Bradley: "The Lady from DuPage...Mr. Wolf on the Resolution. J.J. Wolf."

Wolf, J.J.: "Would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Bradley: "I...she indicates she will."

Wolf, J.J.: "Is this that 'Cat House Resolution' you were telling us about?"

Karpiel: "Well, I had to think of something to top yesterday's little act."

Speaker Bradley: "Further discussion? The question's on the Lady's motion. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 135 'ayes', no 'nays', and the Lady's motion is adopted. Mr. McBroom on 2001. On page 4."

McBroom: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I propose

that the House refuse to recede from House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 2001 and a Conference Committee be appointed. Thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "On the Gentleman's motion, all in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, and the motion is adopted. On concurrences, on page 2. Let's get through these concurrences. 891. If anybody has a concurrence, let's do it this way. If they want to call, come down to the well and tell the Clerk and we'll call the concurrences, and while we're waiting to do that, on the Speaker's Table, House Resolution 385. Carol Braun. Do you want to move HR 385? Speaker's Table."

Braun: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Resolution 385 came out of the Appropriations I and II Committees to set up an Affirmative Action Subcommittee, and it was discussed in Committee, an assignment was made. We've had one meeting, but that's all. And this Resolution seeks to confirm the action of the Appropriations Committees. It sets up an Affirmative Action Subcommittee of the Approp. I and Approp. II Committees."

Speaker Bradley: "Miss Braun, I think there's a...the Clerk tells me there's an Amendment should be adopted."

Braun: "It just changes the...changes the day of the report. I have the Amendment. Do you want to move the adoption of the Amendment?"

Braun: "Yes."

Clerk O'Brien: "There are two Amendments. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee. Floor Amendment #2 by Mrs. Younge."

Braun: "I move to accept Amendment #2."

Speaker Bradley: "The Lady moves the adoption...are there any motions on the first Amendment? Hearing none..."

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Bradley: "Alright, then there's a Floor Amendment,

Mrs. Younge, and on the Floor Amendment, Mr. Conti."

Conti: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to hear a little bit about the Amendment."

Speaker Bradley: "Alright, on the Amendment, I think it...they said it changed the date. Is that right? That's 1, okay. What's #2? Turn on Mrs. Braun."

Braun: "I've just been advised by Representative Younge that Amendment 2 just sets off the number of Members of the Committee. I don't have it here."

Conti: "Who appoints the Members of the Committee?"

Braun: "The Appropriations, the Chairman of the Appropriate Committees of Approp. I and Apro. II."

Conti: "I see."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Would she yield for some questions?"

Speaker Bradley: "Alright, Mrs. Younge, who offered the Amendment is on the floor."

Leinenweber: "I didn't understand what the second Amendment was. I understand the first Amendment changes the reporting date to January 14, 1981, for a final report."

Braun: "No, it changed it to as soon as possible."

Leinenweber: "Well, what is the, I mean that's House Amendment #1?"

Braun: "Yes, Sir."

Leinenweber: "Then what's 2?"

Braun: "Number 2, offered by Representative Younge, sets out the number of Members of the Subcommittee."

Leinenweber: "And how many is that?"

Braun: "I'm afraid I don't have it in front of me. I defer to Representative Younge."

Leinenweber: "The other thing, now this is to be a Subcommittee of the House Appropriations Committee. Is that correct?"

Braun: "That is correct."

Leinenweber: "And why can't the Chairman of the Committee do

this?"

Braun: "Representative Leinenweber, I suppose they could have..."

Leinenweber: "Does he refuse?"

Braun: "No. It was just that they delegated to me over year ago. It's been sitting on the Calendar all this time. There's no reason why either Representative Matijevich or Representative Chapman could move the Resolution."

Leinenweber: "Alright, the other question I have. What possible good will this report do us if we've already taken final action on all House Appropriation measures, and what possible good will this information do for us?"

Braun: "Representative Leinenweber, the Subcommittees have been acting already. This, or at least we've had one meeting, okay. This Resolution just confirms what has been going on informally, if you will, within the Committees."

Leinenweber: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I don't see any particular reason to pass this particular Resolution. It apparently calls for a creation of a Subcommittee of the House Appropriation Committee which apparently also already exists. It is also apparently designed to give us information which would not help us at all on voting on the various appropriations Committees. If it's going to have any function at all, it ought to have a report which would be available at the time these appropriations reached Second Reading, so that we could use the information to vote on proposed Amendments or perhaps to create Amendments to the various appropriations Bills. As originally designed, it was to report at the end of the Session, which made absolutely no sense at all. It makes a little more sense, I suppose, to get this information sometime this summer or next fall. If this information should...it seems to me we're going

to be creating a double Committee. You're going to have the Committee itself hearing, bringing in the various agencies and questioning them about affirmative action measures and then voting on a Bill. And then apparently the Subcommittee will hold hearings of its own and bring in the same people and ask them the same questions and solicit the same information that the Committee itself got, only the information the Subcommittee gets won't be available to either the Committee or the Members of the House in time to make any impact on the Bills. It doesn't seem to be a very workable measure, and I do not feel that we ought to support it."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich. We're trying...we're on the motion now on the Amendment #2 is what we're trying to do. We...no, Mrs. Younge on Amendment #2. Would you explain the Amendment, and then let's move to the adoption."

Younge: "Yes, during the hearing in the Executive Committee, the question was asked as to how many Members would be on the Committee as set up by this Resolution. And the answer to that is six, three appointed by the Chairman of the Appropriations I Committee and three appointed by the Chairman of Appropriations II. I agreed at that time to offer an Amendment to this Resolution making that a part of the Resolution, and I ask for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Bradley: "On the adoption of the Amendment. Alright, the Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson on the Amendment, now let's..."

Vinson: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, as I understand the Amendment, it's an effort to direct the Chairman of the Committee to create a Subcommittee. And I would think that that Chairman of that Committee would be a cooperative Chairman of that Committee, and that the Lady could walk up the aisle and ask her to

create the Subcommittee. I wouldn't think it would be necessary for her to pass a Resolution to ask the gentle Lady who is Chairman of that Committee to create a Subcommittee. And so I would urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Bradley: "Alright, Mr. Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "I do have a question of the, on the Amendment. The Sponsor didn't know what the Amendment was about and somehow I thought we adopted it, but since the Amendment is being offered by Representative Younge, you say the Chairman would make these appointees. Is there any limit to party membership?"

Speaker Bradley: "Younge. Mrs. Younge. Turn Mrs. Younge on."

Younge: "Yes, the Amendment reads that no more than two of the Members appointed by each appointing authority shall be of the same political party."

Leinenweber: "So it was your idea that this would be two Democrats presumably and one Republican. Is that right, assuming that the Chairman is a Democrat?"

Younge: "That's correct."

Leinenweber: "Now when the Sub...alright, that...it does nothing in the Resolution itself prior to this Amendment which sets any limit to the Membership, is that...was that correct?"

Younge: "The purpose of the Amendment is to clear that matter up as to the number of people on the Committee. And this was offer...this was suggested by a Republican Member of the Executive Committee, and I promised and agreed that I would file the Amendment."

Leinenweber: "Well, did he suggest three?"

Younge: "I indicated to him that that was going to be the number on there..."

Leinenweber: "Well, Mr. Speaker..."

Younge: "...and he wanted it by formal Amendment to the Resolution. And this Amendment is in response to my

agreement to do so."

Leinenweber: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, on the Amendment, I don't think we ought to pass the Resolution, but for some chance this Resolution slips through, I don't think we should pass this Amendment. You're going to be creating, I was...talked to our side of the aisle on this, we're creating a Subcommittee which is going to be 66 2/3% of the majority party and only 33% of the minority party where the Committee itself, representative of the extremely close division between the parties in the House is much more democratically established. So I think we should vote against the Amendment."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Marion, Mr. Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Bradley: "She indicates she will."

Friedrich: "On the Appropriations I Committee, we had a Gentleman who ran as an independent, Mr. Pouncey. Now would this mean they would appoint Mr. Pouncey and two Democrats?"

Younger: "The Chairman of the Committee could appoint whomever he chose to as long as there was two Democrats and one Republican."

Friedrich: "No, it doesn't say...I don't believe it...your Amendment says Republican. It says one of the oppo...another party. I believe Mr. Pouncey is a Member of the Independent party." *

Younger: "Well, if he wanted to appoint Mr. Pouncey, I don't think anybody would have any objections to that. Mr. Pouncey is a fine person."

Friedrich: "Well, my question is, Mrs. Younger, could he appoint Mr. Pouncey and two Democrats under your Resolution?"

Younger: "No, that would not happen. It would not happen because the practice here. It would not happen here because of the custom, the whole point is to have

representation from both sides of the aisle, and I am sure that that would just not happen."

Friedrich: "But your Resol...your Amendment doesn't preclude it, does it?"

Young: "The Resolution does preclude it."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Stearney."

Stearney: "May I ask a few questions of Representative Braun?"

Speaker Bradley: "Turn Braun on."

Stearney: "Representative, isn't it customary and traditional for the Chairman of the Committee to appoint Subcommittees?"

Speaker Bradley: "Well, now wait a minute, let's stay on the motion on the Amendment. You know before we get to the Resolution. The Amendment's on the make-up of the Members of the Subcommittee."

Stearney: "Oh, I see, at this time I will have no questions."

Speaker Bradley: "We'll get back to you, Mr. Stearney. Alright, all in favor of the Lady's...the Gentleman from Rock Island, Mr. Darrow."

Darrow: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Bradley: "She indicates that she will."

Darrow: "Will...through your Amendment, will this Committee have an affirmative action plan as to its make-up?"

Young: "This Committee will exercise legislative oversight of the affirmative action matters having to do with state government, Representative Darrow."

Darrow: "I understand that, but will the Committee Members themselves be subject to an affirmative action plan based on the number of minorities in the House based on the percentage of women in the House, the percentage of men, the percentage of blacks, the percentage of whites, Republicans, Democrats. Just how will the affirmative action plan of the Subcommittee be consisting of?"

Younge: "Well, I'm sure that the Committee Chairman will appoint a fair Committee."

Darrow: "Well, I would hope that it would follow some affirmative action plan, and if it is adopted you will report back to us as to the make-up, racial, sex, political, etc. Thank you."

Younge: "I would be happy to do so."

Speaker Bradley: "On the...on the Amendment, all in favor of adopting the Amendment say 'aye', opposed 'no'."

Younge: "Could we have a Roll Call vote, please?"

Speaker Bradley: "All in favor of the Amendment vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 71 'ayes', 66 'nays', and the motion is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Bradley: "Alright, now on the Resolution. The Lady from Cook, Mrs. Braun."

Braun: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I really don't understand the opposition. Maybe it's just because it's late in the day on a Sunday, but the fact of the matter is that the whole idea behind the creation of the Subcommittee a year ago was so that the time would not be taken up in Committee to review the various specific information that we get from Staff regarding affirmative action. Now it's as simple as that. Either we will, you know, continue to, to look at and deliberate and talk about these questions in a long extended drawn-out manner in Committee or you will have a Subcommittee do it. It's as simple as that, and I would encourage an affirmative vote on this Resolution."

Speaker Bradley: "All in favor of the Ladies motions...now, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Stearney."

Stearney: "Will the Lady yield?"

Speaker Bradley: "She indicates that she will."

Stearney: "Representative, isn't it customary and traditional in the House for the Chairman of the Committee of a Standing Committee to appoint the Subcommittee?"

Braun: "Yes, Sir. One of the Chairman that was in...that was a Chairman of Approp. II at the time of this Resolution was suggested by that Chairman is no longer with us and has been replaced by Representative Chapman..."

Stearney: "Okay, now..."

Braun: "...the other Chairman will speak to your question as soon as he is recognized..."

Stearney: "Now, one moment. Rule 20 of the House Rules provide that a Subcommittee is to be created by a vote of a Standing Committee. Has such a vote been taken in that particular Appropriations Committee?"

Braun: "In Approp...I cannot speak to Appropriations I and I'm not a Member, but in Appropriations II, yes, such a vote was taken a year ago."

Stearney: "And what happened on that vote?"

Braun: "That's where the Resolution came from, Representative Stearney."

Stearney: "Well, it...was there a vote to...for the creation of a Subcommittee? Yes or no?"

Braun: "Over a year ago when Representative Barnes was Chairman of the Committee, we were considering a lot of affirmative action..."

Stearney: "Was the vote taken?"

Braun: "Yes, there was a vote at the time."

Stearney: "Okay. What was the outcome of the vote for the creation of a Subcommittee?"

Braun: "You mean number? I don't have it, I mean..."

Stearney: "Well, did the measure pass or did it fail?"

Braun: "It did, it passed, I said yes."

Stearney: "Then what are we doing here with this Resolution then, if you can tell me?"

Braun: "Because the whole idea..."

Stearney: "What necessity is there..."

Braun: "It was recommended by Representative Barnes and after discussions with Representative Matijevich, I believe, and he will speak to it specifically, that a Resolution be put before the entire House regarding taking this matter, allowing a Subcommittee to do the work in lieu of the Committee."

Stearney: "Okay, now..."

Braun: "Now that's the whole purpose."

Stearney: "...as I take it then, you could have created this Subcommittee in Committee, but the only difference is, according to my analysis, is that your Subcommittee is seeking subpoena powers. Am I right?"

Braun: "I have to check the Resolution."

Stearney: "Well, that's what my analysis says. And if that be the case, the reason you're seeking this Resolution to be passed is because a Committee or Standing Committee does not have subpoena power unless they seek it through Resolution of the entire House. Am I right?"

Braun: "You are correct, yes, Sir. That was not the sole purpose of going by way of Resolution, however, I just gave you the history of how it came about."

Stearney: "Well, are you saying, are you denying then that this Committee, Subcommittee is seeking..."

Braun: "No, Sir."

Stearney: "...the power to issue subpoenas?"

Braun: "No, Sir, I'm not denying."

Stearney: "Then you are asking for the power to issue subpoenas?"

Braun: "How many times do you want me to answer the question, Representative Stearney?"

Stearney: "Which...answer it whichever way you can."

Braun: "I've already answered it three times."

Stearney: "Well, what...why do you need subpoena power?"

Braun: "Representative Stearney, it seems to me that so far we've been very successful in getting agencies to bring the information to us without having to issue a subpoena. We just call them up and they bring it."

Stearney: "Then why are you asking for it?"

Braun: "There's no question in my mind, but that if we continue to call them up, they will continue to bring it. The Resol...the form of the Resolution does, however, call...authorize subpoena power as to documents of...and that's just in the Resolution."

Stearney: "Then you're just asking for subpoena power just for the sake for asking for it."

Braun: "No, Sir. No, Sir. We're not just asking for subpoena power. We're asking for the authority to go forth and do the work that the Committees are now doing regarding affirmative action. And again, it's a...I don't know if you serve on either one of the Appropriations Committees, but if you do, I don't know if you do or not, if you do serve on one of those Committees, it's just a judgment in your mind whether or not you want to do it in Committee or do it, have it done by Subcommittee..."

Stearney: "Thank you, Representative. Well, Mr. Speaker, let me just make one closing comment in reference to this Resolution. I don't really believe that there's a necessity nor justification for the creation of such a Subcommittee by a House Resolution. They were able to do so by the vote of the Committee itself, which she said occurred over a year ago. And if the Committee did vote at that time for the appointment and creation of a Subcommittee to study this very same subject, why haven't they done anything in...for the last year? Second of all, it appears to me that there's no justification to ask for subpoena powers to be given to any particular Subcommittee when there's no indication, no justification that anyone would,

would fail to tend over certain materials to this Subcommittee. In otherwords, they're asking for power for the sake of having power when there's no necessity for even asking for it. No one has denied to them certain books and records and memorandum. I just think it's getting to be a fishing expedition in a certain area where there's no justification for it. Let them proceed through the Subcommittee that they created a year ago, and then if they have difficulty and problems, then bring this matter to the attention of the entire House. In the meantime, I think we should vote 'no' and let them proceed under the Rules as they should. Thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Preston."

Preston: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Bradley: "All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Lady from Cook, Mrs. Braun to close the debate."

Braun: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would encourage an affirmative vote on this Resolution. It would be a real shame to see a relatively harmless Resolution go down the tubes because of somebody...because of an unstated, unspoken opposition to the whole concept of affirmative action. It is the law. The Committees are already doing this. It's just a matter of who's going to take up the time. Either it's taken up in Committee or it's taken up in Subcommittee. And I...I, for one, would prefer, I think it is more sensible for this House to proceed by way of Subcommittee then to have each Committee take up the time to go through the voluminous documents. I can tell you that they are voluminous, and that it requires a lot of work. If indeed the Committee wants, you want to do it through the Committee's

structure, that's fine. But this Resolution was, I believe, the Chairman's...the Chairman's suggestion to, to separate out what we considered to be an important subject matter, one about which the Governor is concerned, one about which the Members of this House are concerned, and one about which every Legislator in this Body ought to be concerned. I have nothing further to say in support of the motion."

Speaker Bradley: "Alright, on the Lady's motion. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. And the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. McAuliffe to explain his vote."

McAuliffe: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in opposition to this Resolution, because I'm opposed to affirmative action in the first place. Let me remind my colleagues from the north side and the south side of Chicago, in the northwest and southwest side, that affirmative action means that your constituents have been waiting to become Chicago Policemen for nine years. We originally gave a physical. We had 37 men show up who were 40 years old and been on the eligibility list to become policemen for nine years. And the reason they haven't become policemen is because Prentice Marshall in the federal courts have promoted people on a basis of race and not on the basis of, of ability. People should be hired in America, and people should be promoted in America on the basis of their ability to perform the job, and not on the basis of what their last name happens to be, whether it's a Spanish surname or an Irish surname or a Polish name or a Croation name. People should be hired and promoted on the basis of their ability and not on their race, religion or creed. Affirmative action is a fraud on the City of Chicago and a fraud on the State of Illinois and a fraud on America. And that's why I'm opposed to this Resolution."

Speaker Bradley: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Borchers, to explain his vote."

Borchers: "The only thing I have to say is this is getting a lot of power for a possible unknown purpose. It's dangerous and not a precedent in other Subcommittees. I think it's something we should never enter into."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Marion, Mr. Friedrich, on the motion."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I don't...I've never been able to attend the Appropriations II Committee, but I can tell you that on the Appropriations I Committee, Representative Younge does a tremendous job. No agency ever comes before that Committee that she doesn't question them on their affirmative action program. As a result of that, all of us on the Committee get to hear what's said and hear the reports and so on. Up to now there has been no indication that there's anything that would possibly justify a Subcommittee with subpoena powers to run around at state expense and harrass the various departments and agencies. I think this is just a witch hunt, and your loading some people with some power that we don't need."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich, to explain his vote."

Matijevich: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, whenever you say affirmative action, for some reason or other, the red flag is flying real high. The truth of the matter is that we ought to be following the law with regards to affirmative action. And the truth of the matter also is that the Appropriations Committee, when we get right down to the work of the appropriations, the Bills themselves, we really don't have the time that we ought to consume in this particular very important issue. And I remember...and

Wyvetter does a terrific job at it. And I remember at...in Committee as much as I am committed to the issue, I said that the hard work that ought to be done in regards to this ought to be done in between Sessions. It is an important job. And both Eugene Barnes and myself when Gene was Chairman and Eugenia Chapman now are committed to it. We as a House ought to be committed to it. I found that directors have almost totally ignored the issues, because they know that once they come to our Committee, it's too late. We are then acting on the appropriations. So this is very important, and I see no reason why anybody should get all excited about it, because if all they do is to make certain that the agencies follow the law, that ought to be worthwhile. I, therefore, urge your 'aye' vote."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Epton."

Epton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I am under the impression that my colleague is not aware of the power for which he is asking. The Arson Committee, which is set up under Representative Mahar to investigate arsons in the City of Chicago, was denied subpoena powers. Every Committee in the House and the Senate has been denied subpoena powers investigating matters involving the death of individuals. And although the cause for which my colleague is seeking Committee is certainly justified to issue subpoena powers, which presently is held only by the Illinois Legislative Investigating Commission, is a power which should not be granted without further thought by this House. And therefore, I cannot, although I can agree with her idea if she'll remove the subpoena power, I certainly would have no objection to this motion."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bullock, and this will take 89 votes. Calls for an investigation."

The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bullock to explain his vote."

Bullock: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Body some time ago, probably around 1974, enacted the necessary legislation to require that each co-department in the State of Illinois submit an affirmative action report...with the submittance of its budget of the Appropriations Committees' consideration. This legislative Body has subsequently enacted in law legislation which requires a more diligent pursuit of compliance with equal employment opportunity and what Governor Thompson called a Human Rights Department. I find it rather ironic, but then again it is perhaps illustrative that many of the very people who are voting red lights on this Resolution were the same fools who voted green for the creation of a Human Rights Agency. I sometime wonder if much of the debate on this floor is perhaps as Shakespeare indicated, 'it's full of sound and fury, signifying nothing'. I urge an 'aye' vote, but I'm under no illusions that this Body does not have in his heart the benefit of persons who need to be included into the economic system, do not have in their hearts a compassion and consideration for equal employment opportunity. One of the previous speakers spoke in opposition to this Resolution. I sometime wonder if that individual has ever walked through his district. Many of the people who live in his district who come to my office for service, and I sometimes wonder if we don't do our constituents a disservice by flagging every opportunity to improve the social fabric of our state by raising innocuous objections to what is obviously well intended and meaningful."

Speaker Bradley: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mrs. Chapman to explain her vote."

Chapman: "Mr. Speaker, I am very surprised at the vote I see up on this board. Four people are voting 'present', 26 people are not voting. I really would encourage them to make a decision on behalf of implementing the law as it relates to affirmative action. Now it seems to me, I remember very well not too many weeks ago when one of our Members was asking, I think it was the Department of Law Enforcement, a number of questions about affirmative action, and one of our Members suggested at that time, a Republican Member, that he thought what we needed was an Affirmative Action Subcommittee. So here is the answer to a request from a Republican Member of Appropriations II. I would join in asking for a 'yes' vote on this Resolution."

Speaker Bradley: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 81 'ayes' and 71 'nays', and the Resolution...Miss Braun. Poll the absentees. The Clerk will poll the absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of the absentees. Beatty. Christensen. Daniels. Donovan. Hoffman. Kelly. Klosak. Kucharski. Laurino. Meyer. Molloy. Schlickman. Skinner. E.G. Steele. Stuffle. VanDuynes. Vitek. Watson. Williams. Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Bradley: "Record the Speaker as 'aye'. On this question there are 82 'ayes' and 71 'nos', and the Resolution...Mr. Hannig wishes to be recorded as 'aye'. 83 'ayes', 71 'nos', and the...Mr. VanDuynes, 'aye'. And Campbell 'aye'. What's the count now? On this question there are 85 'ayes' and 70 'nos', and the Resolution fails. On the Calendar on page 2, House Bills on Order of Concurrence, House Bill 3037. Mr. Ewell from Cook, what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Ewell: "Question. Would the Resolution fail or would just the appropriation be inoperative?"

Speaker Bradley: "She was trying to adopt the Resolution, and it failed."

Ewell: "Oh. Forget it."

Speaker Bradley: "Okay, on House Bill 3037. The Lady from Cook, Mrs. Barnes."

Barnes: "House Bill 3037 has to do with the Illinois Arts Council, and I move to concur with Senate Amendment 1 which reduces the total of \$220,800. Senate Amendment 6 which breaks up grants into four general categories and transfers 88,715 into operations which are contractual services. And Senate Amendment 5 which restores 120,000 into grant monies. I would ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Bradley: "Alright, on the Amendments #1, 4 and 5?"

Barnes: "Yes, Sir."

Speaker Bradley: "Alright, on the Lady's motion, all in favor will signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Mr. Collins, this is the Arts Council. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Would somebody, alright, have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 119 'ayes', 26 'nos', and the House does concur in Senate Amendments 1, 4 and 5 to House Bill 3037. 3019. Mr. Flinn, on Conference Committee Reports."

Flinn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would move to adopt the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 3019. What the report does is cut in half the amount of appropriations that was originally passed by the House in order to try to compromise with the Senate. It would, in this case, it would phase out the St. Louis Metropolitan Airport Authority on December 31, this year. I would be happy to answer any questions, otherwise, I ask for a favorable vote."

Speaker Bradley: "The question's on the...the Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Are we down to \$9 for this thing now or did somebody sneak in more money?"

Flinn: "Nobody has sneaked in any money at all. The House passed \$192,000. I, of course, resent your choice of words, sneak."

Skinner: "Excuse me. Did they put it in that I...in a time that..."

Flinn: "The House put in...the House put in \$192,000."

Skinner: "Yes, but the Senate took it down to \$9, I thought."

Flinn: "Yes, well, you know how the Senate is over there."

Skinner: "What, where are we now?"

Flinn: "That should be answer enough."

Skinner: "Where are we now?"

Flinn: "We are on the First Conference Committee Report. If you would have been listening to me, Sir, you would have heard me say that I'm willing to cut the \$192,000 in half and to wind up the St. Louis Metropolitan Airport Authority on December 31, this year. That's what I said."

Skinner: "That's intriguing. You're going to abolish the...you're willing to let the Metropolitan Airport Authority's appropriation end at the end of this Calendar year?"

Flinn: "The word 'abolish' is not in there. It funds it until the end of this year."

Skinner: "To the end of this Calendar year."

Flinn: "Right."

Speaker Bradley: "The Lady from Cook, Mrs. Pullen."

Pullen: "I'd like to ask the Sponsor a few questions."

Speaker Bradley: "He indicates he'll yield."

Pullen: "Would our vote to concur with this Conference Committee Report constitute final passage, Sir?"

Flinn: "No, the Senate must act on it."

Pullen: "It would constitute final passage in the House though, right?"

Flinn: "Providing the Senate acted in the same manner."

Pullen: "Okay, I'd like to ask you a couple questions about

the Authority then. How many meetings has the Authority had this spring?"

Flinn: "I don't know."

Pullen: "I am told that they did not have a meeting in February or March, that they had one in April, they didn't have one in May or June."

Flinn: "What would be the purpose to having the meeting, any meetings?"

Pullen: "To operate, I would think. Can you tell me how many trips the members of the Authority have made this year at taxpayers expense?"

Flinn: "I read in the paper where they made some."

Pullen: "Do you recall what tropical Islands they made their trips to, Sir?"

Flinn: "No, I don't. I didn't go with them."

Pullen: "Do you know whether they had a quorum at those trips that they made?"

Flinn: "They probably did."

Pullen: "They had a quorum at the trips they made, but they couldn't get quorums for meetings. Thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "On the Gentleman's motion. The Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Borchers."

Borchers: "I would like to know just exactly how much the salary is of the Director of this Authority? What is the salary of the Director? How much?"

Flinn: "I'm not sure, but I think it's \$40,000 a year."

Borchers: "Well, I would hate to have that poor man starve. But nevertheless, I think the very fact that 40,000 is a lot of money. How many...well, I suppose it's out of place to ask how many children he has, but he must have an awful lot of children to have to have that kind of salary for practically doing nothing and doing nothing for years. Personally, I think we should vote 'no'."

Flinn: "Well, he's probably doing more than some of the Legislators are."

Borchers: "Entirely possible, but only speak for yourself."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Flinn: "Yes."

Totten: "...could you tell me who are the Democrat Members of the Conference Committee?"

Flinn: "I'll try to name them, myself as Chairman of the...I mean as the Chairman of the Committee, because I'm the Sponsor of the House Bill, Representative Wyvetter Younge, Representative Ralph Dunn, Representative Celeste Stiehl and Representative Eugenia Chapman. That's all the House Members..."

Totten: "Has there been more than one meeting of the Conference Committee?"

Flinn: "No."

Totten: "Are there two existing Conference Committee Reports?"

Flinn: "There is in the Senate, not in the House. Of course, that's up to me to call a meeting, and I haven't called any, just one."

Totten: "There is another Conference Committee."

Flinn: "I understand there is, probably an illegal one in the Senate, but they have no authority to call any, because I'm the Chairman of the Conference Committee as Sponsor of the Bill."

Totten: "Do you know what could be on that Second Conference Committee?"

Flinn: "I have no idea, wasn't interested in it, didn't look at it."

Totten: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I...I don't know precisely what's going on with this Bill. That's why I asked the questions, because I was aware of the existence of a possible another Conference Committee Report which may have changed one Member. I don't know what that Conference Committee

Report says, but I want to point out to the Members of the House that there are only six Members of the Conference Committee who have signed this Report. There are four Members who refused to sign it at the funding level. I think the four Members who didn't sign it were wise. I don't know what they're up to, but I think if there is going...if we do defeat this Conference Committee Report, there may be a Conference Committee Report that would come up bringing the funding to the \$9 which is the way many Members of this House wanted it. So I would...I would suggest to the Members of the House that we not approve Conference Committee Report #1 and ask to see the mysterious Conference Committee Report #2 which I think we will like better."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from St. Clair, Mr. Flinn to close."

Flinn: "Mr. Speaker, the previous Speaker apparently believes in the Minority. He talks about six versus four and the four should rule. I don't get that, I really don't. This is the first time I ever heard of it. Insofar as Conference Committee Reports is concerned, this is the first one. There is no other. There has been none filed except this one. Now what the Senate has done, I don't know, but apparently they've got it all mixed up. Now let me assure you again, I told you when we passed this in the House that there won't be any \$9 appropriation to go to the Governor. No way, there's not going to be any funny business about this. If you're going to have fun, have it at the expense of someone else's Bill, not mine. So I would ask for the adoption of the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 3019."

Speaker Bradley: "All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Mr. Steele to explain his vote."

Steele: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I would urge support for this Resolution. The amount has been cut down to very minimum figure, and I would point out to you that the...a new element in the area...in this situation is the Federal Aviation Administration is now given their approval to proceed to determine the practicality of a cargo airport here in Illinois. This is reversal of their previous opinion. The very least we can do here in the General Assembly is to approve this appropriation. It's been estimated that this could create ultimately 10,000 jobs here in Illinois. It's been estimated that it could be one of the most important steps we could possibly take here to create jobs and business in this state. We all know, I think, what O'Hare...O'Hare Airport is meant to Illinois. It's meant jobs, it's meant industry, it's meant new sales tax revenues for this state. O'Hare Airport has brought new homes, construction and the millions and millions of dollars. And here we're talking about only \$80,000 which I think is a very meager investment, certainly something we need to do to help create jobs and attract industry and business to this state. And I would urge your support of this rather meager amount which could ultimately mean a great deal to Illinois."

Speaker Bradley: "The Lady from St. Clair, Mrs. Stiehl, to explain her vote for one minute."

Stiehl: "Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I rise in support of this Conference Committee. This is a very important Bill to southwestern Illinois. As we all know, Tigers is trying to make a decision on an all cargo airport. There are five sites in contention in Illinois. It's important that we get this airport for Illinois. Let us not take one of the sites out of contention before they have made their decision. The decision will be a corporate decision, but it's important to southwestern

Illinois that this site be considered. We are not asking for the whole amount. We are asking just to keep this Authority alive until Tigers makes their decision. It's an important investment in the future of southern Illinois, and I would ask for an affirmative vote."

Speaker Bradley: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 82 'ayes' and 60 'nos'. Mr. Flinn."

Flinn: "Well, I don't want to waste the time of the House, but apparently we're not doing a great deal anyway, so I'd like to poll the absentees while we're at it."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Jaffe wishes to be recorded as voting 'aye'. Mr. Totten, what purpose do you rise?"

Totten: "Mr. Speaker, if it should reach 89, I'd like a verification."

Speaker Bradley: "Okay. Poll the absentees."

Clerk Leone: "Poll of the absentees. Anderson. Beatty. Breslin. Catania. Cullerton. Domico. Donovan. Ebbesen. Gaines. Henry. Huff. Huskey. Kane..."

Speaker Bradley: "Henry, 'aye'."

Clerk Leone: "Kane. Katz. Kent..."

Speaker Bradley: "Marovitz, 'aye'."

Clerk Leone: "Klosak. Kucharski. Laurino. Lechowicz. McMaster. Meyer. Pechous. Pierce. Schisler. Schlickman. Schneider. Schoeberlein. Schraeder. Telcser. Walsh. Watson. And Winchester."

Speaker Bradley: "Schneider, 'aye'. 86 'aye', 71 'no', Mr. Flinn. Mr. Slape?"

Slape: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded, please?"

Speaker Bradley: "How is he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Slape: "That's right, thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "Alright, Domico, 'aye'. Mr. Vitek is recorded as voting 'aye'. Mr. Lechowicz. Record Mr. Lechowicz as 'aye'. Huff, 'aye'. Margalus from 'no'

to 'aye'. Pechous, 'aye'. 90 'aye', 60 'nays', and Mr. Totten persists on verification. What did he say, Mr. Flinn?"

Flinn: "We've already polled the absentees, so I guess we can proceed with the Affirmative Roll, right?"

Speaker Bradley: "Yes, call...we'll call the Affirmative Roll. 91 'ayes' and 59 'nos' is what we're starting from. Proceed with the call of the Affirmative."

Clerk Leone: "Poll of the Affirmative. Alexander. Bell. Bianco. Birchler. Bluthardt. Bowman. Bradley. Braun. Bullock. Capparelli. Chapman. Christensen. Conti. Dawson. DiPrima. Domico. Doyle. John Dunn. Ralph Dunn. Dyer. Epton. Ewell. Farley. Flinn. Garnisa. Getty. Giorgi. Goodwin. Greiman. Hanahan. Hannig. Harris. Henry. Huff. Jaffe. Dave Jones. Emil Jones. Keane. Kelly. Kornowicz. Kosinski. Kraska. Kulas. Lechowicz. Leon. Leverenz. Madigan. Margalus. Marovitz. Mautino. McBroom. McClain. McGrew. MCPike. Mulcahey. Murphy. Oblinger. O'Brien. Patrick. Pechous. Polk. Pouncey. Preston. Rea. Reed. Reilly. Richmond. Ronan. Ropp. Ryan. Sandquist. Schneider. Sharp. Slape. E.G. Steele. C.M. Stiehl. Stuffle. Taylor. Terzich. VanDuynes. Vinson. Vitek. VonBoeckman. White. Wikoff. Williams. Williamson. Sam Wolf. Younge. Yourell. And Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Bradley: "Questions? Totten. Mr. Schraeder, what purpose do you rise? The Gentleman from Peoria."

Schraeder: "Aye."

Speaker Bradley: "Record Mr. Schraeder as 'aye'. I think we're starting at 92 'ayes', Mr. Totten. Questions?"

Totten: "Bullock."

Speaker Bradley: "Bullock is not in his chair. How's he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off."

Totten: "Capparelli."

Speaker Bradley: "Capparelli is not in his chair. How is he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off."

Totten: "Christensen."

Speaker Bradley: "Who?"

Totten: "Christensen."

Speaker Bradley: "Christensen is there."

Totten: "Domico."

Speaker Bradley: "Domico. Mr. Bullock has returned. Put him back on the Roll. Domico is not in his chair. How is he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off, and the Lady from LaSalle, Mrs. Breslin, wishes to be recorded as voting 'aye'. The Clerk can only do these so fast. Alright, now Mr. Domico has returned. Put him back on the Roll, and Mr. Katz wishes to be recorded as voting 'aye'. Telcser, 'aye'. Alright, further questions?"

Totten: "John Dunn."

Speaker Bradley: "Is not in his seat. He's in the center aisle though. Alright now, Ralph Dunn, what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Dunn, R: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to be verified. I'm in a Conference Committee, could I be verified, please."

Speaker Bradley: "Verify him, Mr. Totten. Alright, and Mr. Richmond is in the Conference Committee. Could he be verified? Okay. Further questions?"

Totten: "Ewell."

Speaker Bradley: "He's in the center aisle."

Totten: "Garmisa."

Speaker Bradley: "How's he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off."

Totten: "Giorgi."

Speaker Bradley: "How is he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off."

Totten: "Greiman."

Speaker Bradley: "Greiman is in his seat."

Totten: "Hanahan."

Speaker Bradley: "Hanahan is not in his seat. How is he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off."

Totten: "Harris."

Speaker Bradley: "Pardon?"

Totten: "Harris."

Speaker Bradley: "Harris...is not in his seat. How is he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off and put Mr. Giorgi back on. He's right here."

Totten: "Keane."

Speaker Bradley: "Keane...is not in his seat. How is he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off."

Totten: "Marovitz."

Speaker Bradley: "Marovitz...is not in his chair. How is he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off, and Mr. Anderson wishes to be recorded 'aye'."

Totten: "McBroom."

Speaker Bradley: "McBroom, I can't see the chair, but I assume he's not there. Alright, he's not there. How's he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off."

Totten: "McClain."

Speaker Bradley: "McClain is not in his chair. How is he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off. Mr. Garmisa is in the center aisle, put him back on the Roll. And Mr. Ackerman, 'aye', from 'no' to 'aye'. And Mr. Harris has returned, put him back on the Roll."

Totten: "McPike."

Speaker Bradley: "McPike is not in the chamber. How is he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off."

Totten: "O'Brien."

Speaker Bradley: "Is not in the chamber. How is he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off."

Totten: "Leverenz."

Speaker Bradley: "Is not in his seat. How is he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off."

Totten: "Schneider."

Speaker Bradley: "Is not in his chair. How is he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off."

Totten: "Stuffle."

Speaker Bradley: "Alright, Schneider has returned, put him back on. Stuffle is not in his seat. How is he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off."

Totten: "Terzich."

Speaker Bradley: "Terzich...is not in his chair. How is he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off. And Mr. Gaines, what purpose do you rise? How's he recorded?"

Gaines: "How am I recorded, Mr. Speaker?"

Clerk Leone: "Gentleman is not recorded as voting."

Gaines: "Please vote me 'aye', please."

Speaker Bradley: "Record him as 'aye'. Mr. Winchester, what purpose do you rise?"

Winchester: "How am I recorded, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Bradley: "How is he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "Gentleman is not recorded as voting."

Winchester: "Please, record me as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Record the Gentleman as 'aye'. Further questions?"

Totten: "Taylor."

Speaker Bradley: "Taylor...is not in his chair. How is he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off. Mr. Donovan wants to be recorded as voting 'no'. And Mr. Robbins, what purpose do you rise?"

Robbins: "From 'no' to 'aye', please."

Speaker Bradley: "Record Mr. Robbins as voting 'aye'."

Totten: "VonBoeckman."

Speaker Bradley: "Is not in his chair. How is he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off."

Totten: "Mrs. Younge."

Speaker Bradley: "Pardon?"

Totten: "Mrs. Younge."

Speaker Bradley: "She's there."

Totten: "No further questions."

Speaker Bradley: "Alright, what's the count, Mr. Clerk? On this question there are 87 'ayes' and 58 'nos'. Gentleman from Effingham, Mr. Brummer."

Brummer: "How am I recorded?"

Speaker Bradley: "How is he recorded?"

Brummer: "Please vote me 'aye'."

Clerk Leone: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Brummer: "Vote me 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'aye'. The Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Kane."

Kane: "How am I recorded?"

Speaker Bradley: "How is he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "Gentleman is not recorded as voting."

Kane: "Vote me 'aye', please."

Speaker Bradley: "How do you...alright, record Kane as 'aye'. Mr. Bower, 'aye'. Alright then, on this question there are 90 'ayes' and 57 'nos'. And the Gentleman's motion is adopted, and the Conference Committee Report is adopted. Alright, on Concurrences, House Bill 1407, Mr. Marovitz. House Bill 1952 in Concurrence, Mr. Steele."

Steele: "Thank you, Mr...thank you, Mr. Speaker, I move to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1952 which changes the number of directors on the Illinois State Toll Road Highway Authority from nine to eleven. The change of two appointed by the Governor with consent of the Senate, and I would move concurrence with Senate Amendment 1."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Deuster, on the Gentleman's motion."

Deuster: "The Gentleman...if the Sponsor would yield, my question..."

Speaker Bradley: "He indicates..."

Deuster: "...is this. Is this the Illinois State Toll Highway Authority Board?"

Steele: "Yes, it is."

Deuster: "What is the salary of the Member of the Board?"

Steele: "I don't have that information right before me..."

Deuster: "My recollection is it's \$15,000 a year, and we're increasing it from what number to what number?"

Steele: "From nine to eleven, it's two additional."

Deuster: "Two addition, from nine to eleven. Alright, thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "Further discussion? The question's on the motion. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Getty, Michael. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 102 'ayes' and 37 'nos', and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1952. House Bill 2905. Out of the record. House Bill 2955, Mr. Giorgi. House Bill 2975, Mrs. Currie. House Bill 2976, Giorgi. House Bill 3026, Hallock. 3026. Vinson, 3029. 3045, Oblinger. 3066, John Dunn. 3067, Mrs. Chapman. Do you want 3067, Mrs. Chapman? 3083, Mr. Ryan. Mr. Ryan. Out of the record. 3114, Mr. Schneider. 3114. 3425, Reilly. 3429, Mr. Vinson. 3429. 3482. 3538, Mr. Garmisa. On nonconcurrency appears Senate Bill 1728, Mr. Watson. Mr. Watson. 1729, Mr. Schneider, on nonconcurrency, motion. Page 4, 1729. You've got a motion to non...nonconcur. Well, you'll have to make the motion. The motion on the Calendar is..."

Schneider: "Yea, I refuse to recede on that, Mr. Chairman...Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Bradley: "You want to refuse to recede?"

Schneider: "Right...and request a Conference Committee."

Speaker Bradley: "All in favor...refuses to recede from House Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 1729. All in favor of the motion say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, and the House refuses to recede on House Amendments #1 and 2. On the Calendar, Mr. Steele, E.G. Steele, on the Supplemental Calendar, #1 on Senate Bill 1629. Do you want to refuse to re...turn Mr. Steele on."

Steele: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move that we refuse to recede from Senate..."

Speaker Bradley: "From the House Amendments."

Steele: "...from the House Amendments 1 through 5."

Speaker Bradley: "All..."

Steele: "...and a Conference Committee be appointed."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman so moves, so all in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. And the House refuses to recede from the Amendments to Senate Bill 1629. We've gone through every...called every concurrence, nonconcurrence. Are there any Conference Committees that we want called? Mrs. Braun, do you have a concurrence or...?"

Braun: "Yes, Sir. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move to recede from Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1841."

Speaker Bradley: "What page are you on?"

Braun: "Page 4."

Speaker Bradley: "I think that's already been done according to my Calendar. It's already been taken care of."

Braun: "Thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "Alright, we've gone through everything we can possibly call except with the Speaker's Table and the motions, and on that...on that question, what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Epton rise?"

Epton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Having voted on the prevailing side on Resolution 385, I move to reconsider the vote by which that was taken. I might add for the edification of the Members of the House that I discussed this with my colleague, Representative Braun, who is prepared to remove the subpoena powers from her Resolution which would satisfy a substantial number of us who voted against the motion. And therefore, I would appreciate a motion to reconsider House Resolution 385."

Speaker Bradley: "On the Gentleman's motion, all in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. Mr. Boucek wishes to have a Roll Call. Alright, all in favor of the Gentleman's motion to reconsider the vote by which 385 failed will

vote 'aye', opposed will vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 91 'ayes' and 38 'nays', and the Gentleman's motion prevails. Mr. McAuliffe requests verification of the Affirmative Roll. Mr. Ewell."

Ewell: "Gentleman whose request to verification, we can explain very briefly. What they've done is taken the subpoena power out of the Amendment, and I think it's gone by Amendment #2, and I think it ought to cure the...it ought to cure a number of the objections to the particular Bill. You still persist?"

Speaker Bradley: "Alright, Mr. Donovan, I, well...its...the Gentleman's motion is...been...prevails. It was just a question of...of verification. He's removed the verification request, so the Gentleman's motion does prevail, and we're back to reconsider now House Resolution 385. And on that, the Lady from Cook, Mrs. Braun."

Braun: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies..."

Speaker Bradley: "And if the Parliamentarian is within the sound of my voice, I wish he would come to the podium."

Braun: "Mr. Speaker, we would like to amend the Resolution on its face to delete the lines concerning compulsion of the production of documents and issuance of subpoena."

Speaker Bradley: "Do we have leave to remove...to amend it on its face and yes, there...Mr. Polk, the Gentleman from Rock Island."

Polk: "I just want to make an inquiry here. I'm not...I'm not opposed to this, I wasn't opposed to it til today, but I will use a Member's name in debate. Representative Balanoff, did you not object the other day to having something amended on its face. And I do not recall what the instance was, but it was just an

instance such as this. Did you...did you not object and say that you would continue to object to having something removed...changed on the face? I'm just asking her a question."

Speaker Bradley: "Mrs. Balanoff."

Balanoff: "Yes."

Polk: "Thank you. And I assume that you'll be consistent then?"

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Marion, Mr. Friedrich."

Friedrich: "I just wanted to object to amending on its face, because I think we established that as a bad practice."

Speaker Bradley: "There have been objections. Mrs. Braun."

Braun: "Mr. Speaker, thank you, would you then take it out of the record, and we'll consider it once the Amendments are printed and distributed."

Speaker Bradley: "Take it out of the record."

Braun: "Thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "On the Order of Conference Committee Reports, here's House Bill 1010. Representative Katz. Judiciary...Chairman of Judiciary II, do you want to move 1010 on a Conference Committee Report? What's pleasure, Mr. Katz? Out of the record. 1255, Donovan, Conference Committee Report. Senate Bill 185, Mr. Davis. Mr. Davis, Conference Committee Report. Jack Davis. Senate Bill 18...1150, Mr. Dawson. House Resolutions, 732, Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, House Resolution 732 is not merely a Resolution. It's a Resolution which rebukes one of the more influential commissions that this General Assembly has created, the Legislative Investigating Commission. I would offer Amendment #1 which was suggested by Representative Leinenweber in Committee. It has been distributed several weeks ago."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bullock, what purpose do you rise?"

Bullock: "Mr. Speaker, I was wondering if the Gentleman would explain the Amendment that's being proposed at this time, either he or Representative Leinenweber."

Skinner: "Certainly....Amendment #1 replaces lines 23 through 26 which is an assertion of, of the fact or assertion that the Legislative Investigating Commission knows that it is violating the law with the following: Whereas the Legislative Investigating Commission is aware of the fact that the President of of Material Service Corporation is the same Lester Crown who is President of the...Crown and Company..."

Bullock: "Mr. Speaker, could we have some order. I can't hear the Gentleman read the Resolution."

Skinner: "...which owns the Material Service Building. Now therefore..."

Speaker Bradley: "Have some order in the chamber, please. Alright, proceed, Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "What we are amending here is a section which asserted a whereas clause which asserted that the Legislative Investigating Commission was aware of the fact that it would be violating, Representative, the law that Representative Stearney, sponsored in 1975 prohibiting state contracts with people who have bribed public officials or who had admitted bribing public officials as a Member, as a matter of public record. We are changing the last clause beginning with the word, whereas to merely state that...excuse me, that the Legislative Investigating Commission is aware of the fact that the President of Material Service Corporation is the same Lester Crown who is President of Henry Crown and Company which owns the Material Service Building. That is the building in which the Legislative Investigating Commission rents space and apparently intends to continue renting space."

Bullock: "Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Bradley: "Yes, Mr. Bullock."

Bullock: "Mr. Speaker, I wish that the Gentleman would take this out of the record for the moment and discuss this with the Chairman, Co-Chairman of that Commission. I'm sure that the concern we have can be worked out, and perhaps we could come back to it."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Skinner?"

Skinner: "I've been waiting for about three weeks for this to be called, and I'm not sure it...we'll ever get back to it. I would be quite happy if the Chairman...I didn't know the Commission had a Co-Chairman, but if the Chairman of the Committee would like to inform the General Assembly that the Commission is going to move out of the Material Service Building as of July 1, I would be very much pleased, and I probably would change the Resolution to a Commendation Resolution."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Taylor."

Taylor: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. For the Gentleman's information at the time that I read the article that he was referring to, the time that we found out that Lester Crown was a part of the Corporation that owned the building that housed the Investigating Commission. We sent a letter to the...AG's Office asking for his opinion as to what our legal rights were. And today we have gotten an answer in response from the AG's Office and that Investigating Commission is within its right to be there at this particular time. I'm going to rise and...and oppose this Resolution..."

Skinner: "Would the Gentleman care to oppose..."

Taylor: "...the Amendment to the motion, the Resolution 732. I think that Investigating Commission is a good agency, a good operation and will continue to be so without this type of an Amendment."

Skinner: "Well, I don't understand why you would oppose the Amendment. I can understand why you would oppose the

Resolution, because it rebukes your Commission. The Amendment softens the Resolution in the finding of fact section. It merely suggests that you know that Lester Crown is the same person who was President of Material Service Corporation when he took money out of his office safe and gave it to his legislative liaison or legislative lobbyist to bribe Legislators."

Speaker Bradley: "Now just a minute...."

Taylor: "Representative Skinner, I will oppose the Amendment and oppose the Resolution. I will do both."

Skinner: "Well, fine, that's certainly your right. May I speak to the Amendment again now that everybody has figured out something's happening?"

Speaker Bradley: "Proceed."

Skinner: "The original House Resolution, House Resolution 732, had a finding of fact that the Legislative...which reads as follows: the Legislative Investigating Commission is aware of the fact that the Commission would be violating the above quoted law if it continues to lease space in the Material Service Building. And now therefore be it res...etc. The Amendment says: 'Whereas the Legislative Investigating Commission is aware of the fact that the president of Material Service Corporation is the same Lester Crown as the President of Henry Crown Company which owns the Material Service Building'. I do not believe that the Chairman of this Commission can contend that he does not know that Lester Crown is a President of both Corporations. That is the extent of the Amendment, and I would certainly ask for its adoption."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz."

Leverenz: "The Sponsor answer a question or two?"

Speaker Bradley: "He'll...indicates he'll yield."

Leverenz: "Representative, how long has the Commission been located there?"

Skinner: "For as long as I can remember, Representative."

Leverenz: "And how long is their lease?"

Skinner: "Until July 1, to the best of my knowledge."

Leverenz: "And the Attorney General said that they are within their rights to be there now?"

Skinner: "Apparently, the Attorney General has. I will take the word of the Commission Chairman. That does not mean that I do not think that this House shouldn't strongly rebuke the Commission for remaining there. Certainly, remaining there would be the type of...of dubious, if not wrong doing that the Commission in years past under former Commission Director Charles Siragusa turned up in other branches of state government. I'm, frankly, I'd be embarrassed to be there."

Leverenz: "Though they are probably embarrassed to be there already, the Attorney General has apparently indicated that they...they can be there."

Skinner: "It could be, I haven't seen the opinion."

Leverenz: "Then, I just..."

Skinner: "What the Attorney General said in the opinion that we have seen, the opinion which did not relate directly to the Legislative Investigating Commission's letter was that if one bribes a public official in the State of Illinois, all one has to do to continue doing business with the State of Illinois is form another corporate entity. Apparently, it's one bribe per corporate entity. Form another company and give another bribe. It's okay, folks."

Leverenz: "A bribe a day..."

Skinner: "No, a bribe a corporation."

Leverenz: "I see. One per, right?"

Skinner: "One per corporation. And if that's what this General Assembly wishes to approve of, if we want to agree with the General, the Attorney General's interpretation of the law, I would be happy to have

that on a record vote."

Leverenz: "And the lease is with Material Service?"

Skinner: "No, the lease is in the Mat...the lease's were spaced in the building called the Material Service Building, and it's with Henry Crown and Company whose President is Lester Crown."

Leverenz: "But who do they really have the lease with, do you know?"

Skinner: "Excuse me, the Benef...I do not know. It's some...some leasing agent for a trust in, I believe, LaSalle National Bank who's beneficiary is the Henry Crown and Company. Not quite a straight forward way of doing business, but rather hard to hide the identity when the name of the building is the Material Service Building."

Leverenz: "Then are they not one corporation away from Material Service?"

Skinner: "Well, it's a...it's a separate corporation, but the same briber is the President of both corporations."

Leverenz: "So would it be safe to assume that what came from the Attorney General's Office on the opinion to the Audit Commission would be perhaps the same in this case?"

Skinner: "Well, it certainly could be. I have no idea."

Leverenz: "Then though it's an embarrassment, there's really nothing wrong with it?"

Skinner: "Are you kidding? There's nothing wrong with it? The sense of ethics in this place is going down if that's our conclusion."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Conti on the motion."

Conti: "Mr., Mr. Speaker, I'd like to ask a question. Is this building that we're leasing from, is that owned by Lester Crown or is that owned by a corporation?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Who knows the answer? Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "The building is owned by Henry Crown and Company whose President is Lester Crown."

Conti: "Does that have stockholders in it or is it sole ownership?"

Skinner: "Well, I'll be happy to read the Act that I think he's violating."

Conti: "Well, the thing that I'm trying to bring across, Representative Skinner, is if General Motors and its stockholders or any of its corporate officers ever attempted to bribe any public official, does that mean that any governmental agency or any entity of government cannot lease or cannot do business with such a corporation because of one corporate officer who's gone astray? Is that what you're suggesting here?"

Skinner: "Well, Representative, I think your question would be quite well, I mean, quite appropriate if we were attempting to plug the loophole in the law which the Attorney General has pointed out. Unfortunately, the opinion came too late to introduce a Bill and get meaningful consideration of such a loophole plugging Bill. I hope you'll ask...be asking that question to somebody next year. We are...we are asking the Resolution today, and we are not really suppose to be discussing the Resolution, we're suppose to be discussing the Amendment, but the Resolution asks if this House believes that the Legislative Investigating Commission has violated the law. And the law states that, I'll be happy to read it if you'd like, it states that if an official has done it, has...has given a bribe..."

Conti: "The only point I'm trying to put across, Representative Skinner, is that if one corporate officer of any corporation goes astray, does that mean that you're trying to knock out a business? Then that corporation from doing business..."

Skinner: "Well, that would certainly be my goal and that has been upheld in the Pollyvend case which was appealed to the Illinois Supreme Court. That was upheld last year, I believe."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Kornowicz."

Kornowicz: "Mr. Speaker, will you move the previous question, please."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Kornowicz moves the previous question. All in favor will signify by saying 'aye' and the opposed 'nays'. The 'ayes' have it. The previous question is put. Who is going to close, Stearney or Skinner? Representative Skinner to close."

Skinner: "I'll be happy to close on the Amendment, and that's what we're voting on right now. And that is should we soften the fact findings in House Resolution 732 from a statement or a statement that the Commission knows it's violating the law, and I think from the testimony or by the speech given by the Commission Chairman, he contends he isn't violating the law. What I'm suggesting now is that we change that clause from a contention that he knows that he's violating the law to the contention that he knows that Lester Crown is the President of both entities which, I think, is an indisputable fact at this point. I would ask adoption of this Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "The motion is on the Amendment. All in favor of, signify by saying 'aye', Roll Call... 'aye'. Do you want a Roll Call, Representative Taylor? The Chairman of the Investigating Commission wants a Roll Call. All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there's 77 'ayes', 23 'nays', 1 voting 'present', and the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Giorgi: "Now we move to the adoption of the Resolution. Representative Stearney or Kearney. Representative Skinner, you move the adoption of the Resolution?"

Skinner: "Yes, Sir, Mr. Speaker, the Resolution...House Resolution 732 is an unusual Resolution, because it rebukes a Commission of this General Assembly. I think a rebuke is in order, because the Commission has done everything within its power to evade the intent of this General Assembly that no state money go to any corporation whose officers have admitted bribing state officials or who have, whose officers have actually bribed state officials. There are several companies that can be identified, Pollyvend is one of them, the one that bribed Paul Powell in an attempt to get license plates business in the State of Illinois. A second is Material Service Corporation whose President, Lester Crown, admitted under oath in the cement-truck weight increase Bill bribery trial that he took money out of his office safe and gave it to one of his employees to take to Springfield to bribe Legislators to achieve passage of this Bill. What this Amendment...what this Resolution does is, in the strongest terms I know how to put it, tell the Legislative Investigating Commission that we think they should be part of right doing in the State of Illinois, not part of wrong doing, that their moral fiber needs to be raised a little bit if they think they should stay in the Material Service Building. The owner, the owning corporation of which is the Henry Crown, Henry Crown and Company whose President is Lester Crown. The very same Lester Crown who took the money out of his Material Service safe that came to our predecessors on this House floor. I think this is just an outrage and for that reason, I ask the adoption of House Resolution 732 in the hope, perhaps

vain in view of the recent Attorney General's opinion that the Chairman of the Commission apparently holds in his hand that says that the Attorney General that thinks that this is legal, that one may bribe, that each corporation which a man like Henry Crown, like Lester Crown, excuse me, like, that each corporation, a man like Lester Crown heads, may bribe independently. One bribe per corporation. I just find that an incredible interpretation. And I hope that the Legislature does not agree with it. I hope that if this Resolution passes, that the Legislative Investigating Commission will not renew its lease and will move from the Material Service Building so it may get about the business that it is so...done so ably in the past."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Braun on the motion, final..."

Skinner: "Maybe, excuse me, maybe..."

Speaker Giorgi: "I thought you were finished."

Skinner: "Maybe even the Commission would have a meeting on this subject. They haven't met for a full year. That's not mentioned in the Resolution, however."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Braun on the motion, last Speaker. She doesn't want to speak. There be no further requests for discussion? Representative Peters, the last person requesting recognition."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in opposition to the Resolution offered by Representative Skinner and stand with Representative Taylor in his opposition to this Resolution. The Legislative Commission, Investigating Commission, is, as Representative Skinner indicates, located in the Material Service Building. However, to end up passing a Resolution condemning the Commission for what the Representative from McHenry describes as a elaborate and continued evasion of the law is totally and

absolutely incorrect. The files of the Commission will document the fact that upon information received by the Commission of the fact there was possible violation of the law, that they did appeal to the Attorney General for a ruling, that they did send the proper letters to the Department of Administrative Services which must approve all leases and in fact, in this year's budget, added nearly \$40,000 for a move should the Attorney General and Administrative Services say they've got to move. The Commission has not evaded its responsibilities in this manner. But you cannot just pick up a Commission, move out of places and leave yourself open to all kinds of lawsuits, especially, if you end up knowing the fact that the leasing procedures or the company is owned and managed by a whole group of various interlocking groups. So in fact, the lease was signed with one management group that was owned by another management group, and if you follow the trail down the line, you'll find Mr. Crown somewhere down the line. That is not apparent on full face and just immediate looking at the lease and the people that you're dealing with. The Commission has not evaded its responsibilities. It has gone through the proper channels. It has called the Attorney General's attention to this situation and the Department of Administrative Services, and is ready and willing to move as soon as the Attorney General says move, and as soon as the Department of Administrative Services says you're in violation of law and you have to move. The Commission should not be faulted. If anyone should be faulted, if the Representative from McHenry is worried about anything, he ought to direct that Resolution to what he considers to be a bad interpretation of the law by the Attorney General. I ask oppo...a 'no' vote on the Resolution."

Speaker Giorgi: "Stearney to close."

Stearney: "Now, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in support of this measure. And I say to you, should the Legislative Investigating Commission, the very same Commission which is charged with ferreting out crime now condone...now condone a wrong doer, namely Lester Crown, and pay him state money. As you all know, it was Lester Crown that organized the conspiracy to raise \$50,000 to bribe Members of this very House of Representatives. Those individuals then were convicted and sent to the penitentiary. Lester Crown, however, was given a pass. He was given a pass by our Governor who was then the US District Attorney. Lester Crown then continued dealing with the State of Illinois making money at the, behest of the State of Illinois. And I don't think that's proper. Furthermore, if the Attorney General of this state cannot make the appropriate decision, then the Illinois House will resolve that decision and make clear the intent of the law. The law is that the State of Illinois shall not do business with a bribe maker who has been convicted of doing so or one who publicly admits to making bribes. Lester Crown was not convicted, but he admitted to bribing individuals, Legislators, and he was given the pass...for his testimony against the Legislators, he was not prosecuted. But nevertheless, we should not allow the law to be circumvented by a mere charade, that is, by changing names of corporations. As Mr. Skinner has mentioned in the Pollyvend case that went up to the Illinois Supreme Court testing the constitutionality of my own Bill. And you know who took it up? The same individual who publicly admitted to bribing a former Secretary of State on the license plates scam. He had the nerve, the gaul, the temerity to do so. The Illinois Supreme

Court has upheld the constitutionality of my Bill. It has gone up on cert to the US Supreme Court. The Attorney General is defending it. As it is, it is constitutional, but nevertheless, we should now...not allow a mere charade, that is changing the names of corporations to prevent the implementation of this Bill. But furthermore in this case, mind you now, it is so clear, patently clear, that the bribe maker, Lester Crown, is the President of Material Service Corporation. There is no...no doubt in anyone's mind who is responsible. This Resolution should be passed. The Legislative Investigating Commission should know that Lester Crown should not be rewarded for his past efforts to bribe Members of this House. And I take it that the Legislative Investigating Commission, if you're intent on ferreting out crime, should not condone the wrongdoers and reward them by paying them state money. We should pass this Resolution. Thank you, vote 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Stearney, close. Do you want to explain your vote? Alright, the question is shall the House adopt Resolution 732. All in favor will signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'no'. And I have two or three people who want to explain their vote. Representative O'Brien to explain his vote for one minute."

O'Brien: "Mr. Speaker and Members, I rise in support of this motion. I hope that every Representative on the floor of the House will vote in favor of it. It's not often that I find myself on the same side of the fence with Representative Stearney and Representative Skinner, but they are 100% right. The Legislative Investigating Committee has certainly violated its intent in this situation, and I think that we ought to let Lester Crown know. And I can remember a great man by the name of Kenny Course who committed suicide as a

result of the problems that he encountered with this situation. He was an honest man, and it was a mistake, he realized it, and I hope that every Member will send Lester Crown and his corporation and the Legislative Investigating Commission...I see the votes are up. Thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Taylor to explain his vote for one minute."

Taylor: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'm not trying to condone Lester Crown. I don't know the man, never had any dealing with him. The Legislative Investigating Commission was housed in that location when I'd taken over as the Chairman of the Investigating Commission. But I did what was proper at the time that the article came out, and that we found out what was actually going on. And I went, wrote to the AG's Office. The Attorney General has advised me that the corporation should not be prohibited from receiving contracts under Section 10-1 merely because of his stature as a parent of subsidiary corporation. The Attorney General also pointed out that the provision in Section 10-1 could be applied if it was shown that the corporation formed is being used to defeat or evade a legislative purpose. This has not been the case. Therefore, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I am voting 'no' on the Resolution."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Epton to explain his vote for one minute. Representative Epton."

Epton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Once again, I have a conflict of interest, but as always, I'll vote my conscience."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Katz, did you want to explain your vote? Alright, have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 116 'ayes', 7 'nays', 26 voting 'present', and House Resolution receiving Constitutional Majority is

hereby declared passed. Representative McAuliffe, do you want to correct...on Senate Bill 1616? Let's get...Vinson, Representative Vinson will be next. Just a minute. Representative McAuliffe, do you want to...do you want a motion to reconsider the vote by which the House refused to recede from House Amendment #4 to Senate Bill 1616? Or is it McGrew? I'm sorry. Representative McGrew on the motion to reconsider."

McGrew: "Wrong Sponsor, wrong Bill, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Giorgi: "What's the Bill number?"

McGrew: "Eut the right Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "What's the Bill number?"

McGrew: "The Bill number is Senate Bill 1841, the..."

Speaker Giorgi: "State your motion. Representative McGrew."

McGrew: "Having voted on the prevailing side, I move to reconsider the vote by which the House refused to recede from House Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1841."

Speaker Giorgi: "I've been told it's House Amendment 4. You say it's House Amendment 2?"

McGrew: "House Amendment 2."

Speaker Giorgi: "2. On page 4."

McGrew: "Yes."

Speaker Giorgi: "Voice votes, all we need is a voice vote. The Gentleman wants to reconsider the Acts. All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it, and the motion is reconsidered. Representative McGrew again."

McGrew: "Now, I move to let the House recede from Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1841. That was Representative Hallstrom's Amendment, and I think you'll find her in agreement."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Hallstrom on the motion."

Hallstrom: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I am in agreement at this point to recede from the Amendment to 1841. But I did want one minute to explain to all of you that I feel that this

is such an important issue, and I would continue except I do not want to jeopardize the Scholarship Commission's Supplemental Bill. But please remember, I am going to be on top of the fact that special education mandated programs will not be prorated next year. And I would appreciate any support that any of you would give me on that. Thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Ebbesen on the motion."

Ebbesen: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I think I heard you say that it was adopted on a motion originally on a voice vote and you...is that correct?"

Speaker Giorgi: "That is correct."

Ebbesen: "And then you just..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Took the..."

Ebbesen: "...withdrew the Amendment on a voice vote?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Yes. But this will be final action. There will be a Roll Call vote on this motion to recede."

Ebbesen: "Alright, thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "So Representative McGrew renews his motion to recede from Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1841. Representative Pullen on that motion."

Pullen: "Since this is final action, Mr. Speaker, I wonder why the Representative McGrew would please what is in Senate Bill 1841 without Amendment #2."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative McGrew."

McGrew: "Representative Pullen, you'll love it. We're taking out 14.28 million."

Pullen: "Out of what?"

McGrew: "That was an Amendment that would have been a supplemental appropriation for special education for the public school systems."

Pullen: "Sir, I did not ask you what Amendment #2 does. I am asking you what does the Bill do without Amendment #2?"

McGrew: "Okay. The Bill as introduced is 4.2 million dollars for already obligated scholarships for the

Illinois State Scholarship Commission. We have three months after the first of July in order to get this money back to the scholarships or they will not be funded."

Pullen: "This Bill does not relate to the controversy over whether the maximum should be increased. Is that correct?"

McGrew: "No. That is Senate Bill 18...1578."

Pullen: "So, you mean yes, that is correct."

McGrew: "That's correct."

Pullen: "Thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative McGrew renews his motion to recede from Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1841. All in favor will signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'no'. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 147 'ayes', 2 'nays', none voting 'present'. The House does recede from Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1841. Representative Vinson on House Bill 3429."

Vinson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would move to nonconcur in Senate Amendment 3 to House Bill 3429. I'm sorry, I move to nonconcur and request a Conference Committee. The Bill, I committed in Committee on the Bill that it would not become a vehicle. There was an objection on...from...by Representative Cullerton to one of the Senate Amendments. He has worked out with the author of that Amendment language that would make it...make it acceptable to everybody, and for those reasons, I would move to nonconcur and request a Conference Committee."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Vinson, what about Senate Amendments 1 and 2? What did you do about those?"

Vinson: "I move to nonconcur in all three and request a Conference Committee."

Speaker Giorgi: "Okay, Representative Vinson, Representative Getty on the motion to nonconcur. Getty."

Getty: "Mr. Vinson?"

Speaker Giorgi: "He's speaking with the Minority Leader."

Vinson: "I'm sorry, go ahead."

Speaker Giorgi: "Getty."

Getty: "I had thought that there was a different understanding relative to this. I wonder if you'd take it out of the record for a minute, so we could discuss it."

Vinson: "Sure."

Speaker Giorgi: "Out of the record. Representative Polk, do you want to go with Senate Bill 1613? On the Supplemental Calendar, Supplemental Calendar 1, Senate Bill 1613."

Polk: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen, I move that we concur in the Conference...First Conference Report on Senate Bill 1613. This Conference Report had a net reduction of \$624,900. Our new Bill total is 145,727,000, out of that 14...of that 14,000,000 is GRF, 131 is Federal."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is there any discussion? Representative Pullen on the motion."

Pullen: "Thank you, will the Gentleman yield for a question, please?"

Speaker Giorgi: "He indicates he will."

Pullen: "What is the Department that we are appropriating money for in this Conference Committee Report, please?"

Polk: "I'm sorry. Hear that one more time."

Pullen: "What agency?"

Polk: "This is the Department of Labor."

Pullen: "Thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "There being no request for discussion, the motion is by Representative Polk that the House concur with the First Conference Committee Report to Senate

Bill 1613. Representative Totten on that motion. Totten."

Totten: "Mr. Speaker, I think we have to suspend the appropriate rule so we can hear this. It has not been on our desk for an hour."

Speaker Giorgi: "The time on the Calendar says 5:45. It is 6:55, 6:50?"

Totten: "6:40."

Speaker Giorgi: "What rule do we need? Take it out of the record for five minutes. Representative Ryan on House Bill 3083. Representative Ryan on House Bill 3083."

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would like to concur in Senate Amendments #1 and #2 to 3083. Senate Amendment #1, this is the reappropriation Bill and it reduces that Bill to a level of the balances as of June of 1980 for a total reduction of \$156,669,333. And it also breaks out the 79 road program by district. And I would also like to concur in Senate Amendment #2 which is a technical language change. And I would ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is there any discussion? There being no request for discussion, the motion is that...should the House concur with Senate Amendment 1 and 2 to House Bill 3083. All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', and those opposed by voting 'no'. Final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Someone punch Hoffman's button. Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 124 'ayes', 19 'nays', 3 voting 'present'. And the House does concur with Senate Amendment 1 and 2 to House Bill 3083, and the Bill is declared passed. Representative McAuliffe on Senate Bill 1616, Supplemental Calendar, and if you can take up three minutes, we can finish yours and Polk. Representative

McAuliffe on Senate Bill 1616...on the Supplemental Calendar 1."

McAuliffe: "I move that we do concur or adopt a Conference Committee Report. Conference Committee Report increases the appropriation by \$6,000. Additional funds are necessary so that the agency may move to a new location in order to accommodate the purchase of a...computer equipment. This will put the Senate Bill 1616 \$2,000 above the Governor's suggested level, but has been authorized by the Bureau of the Budget."

Speaker Giorgi: "The Board isn't functioning, Mr. Skinner. That is Senate Bill 1616. Representative Dunn, on Senate Bill 1616. Dunn, John Dunn."

Dunn, J: "Yea, yea, it would...would the Sponsor just explain what is in this, this Bill in Conference Committee Report at this time? Some of us over here kind of lost track."

McAuliffe: "Appropriates \$3,335,800 to Local Law Enforcement Officers Training Board which reimburses local communities for the...for part of the cost incurred in training new policemen and an ongoing training of policemen on the job."

Dunn, J: "And...and no other agencies have been amended into this?"

McAuliffe: "No, Sir."

Dunn, J: "Thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "There being no request for any further discussion, Representative McAuliffe moves that the House concur to the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 1616. All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', and those opposed by voting 'no'. This is final action. Representative Epton, while we're taking the Roll, what is it?"

Epton: "Inquiry of Representative Totten. Is there a difference between his watch and mine?"

Speaker Giorgi: "It's..."

Epton: "Why an hour on the other one and not an hour on this one?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Yea, it's an hour now, Bernie."

Totten: "No, that hour is almost up if we...the Speaker holds it off for six seconds."

Epton: "Oh, I see, I just wanted to correct my watch. Thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 143 'ayes', 2 'nays', none voting 'present', and the House does concur to Senate, Conference Committee Report #1 to Senate Bill 1616, and the Senate Bill is declared passed. Representative Polk, the hour is up on Senate Bill 1613."

Polk: "Mr. Speaker, I've delayed as long as I possibly could. And I've had too many people come by and ask if I would please call the Bill, so I would like them to move do pass on Senate Bill 1613, Conference Committee Report #1 notwithstanding."

Speaker Giorgi: "There being no request for discussion, the motion by Representative Polk that the House concur to Conference Committee Report #1 to Senate Bill 1613. All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', and those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 105 'ayes', 36 voting 'no', 9 voting 'present'. And the House does concur to the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 1613, and the Senate Bill is declared passed...with a Constitutional Majority. House Bill, Representative Vinson on House Bill 3029. House Bill 3029, Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 3029 is the OCE for the Department of Registration and Education. I am going to move to concur in Senate Amendments 1, 2, 3 and 4.

It...Senate Amendment 1 makes a net reduction of \$229,000 primarily in...it's really an across the board cut consistent with the 8% solution. Senate Amendment #2 makes a reduction of \$12,000. It deletes the salary of a licensing specialist who's left the Department a vacant position. Senate Amendment 3 added back in a total of \$64,000 that had been taken out in the 8% cut, and the purpose for restoring that money was that that was money not from the General Revenue Fund, but rather from the special funds for, that are assessed on medical doctors and dentists for the regulation of those professions. Senate Amendment #4 transferred \$122,000 from the Professional Supervision Division to the general office consistent to the passage of House Bill 2771 which eliminated the Professional Supervision Division from the Statutes. That Bill has passed both Houses. It's favored by the Administration, and it's on the Governor's desk. I would move to concur in Amendments 1, 2...Senate Amendments 1, 2, 3 and 4 to House Bill 3029."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Kornowicz on the motion by Representative Vinson."

Kornowicz: "Yea, can he explain on the...on the Amendment 4? Will he explain that Amendment 4 again, please?"

Vinson: "Yes, Amendment 4 is simply a, an Amendment that has the effect of transferring money within the agency. There has existed a Professional Supervision Division established by Statute. The Legislature has seen fit in its wisdom, Representative, to pass a thing called House Bill 2771 through the House, through the Senate. It's on the Governor's desk which abolishes that statutory reference. And therefore, the money is properly appropriated, not to that division but to the general office within the Department. It's not an addition or a subtraction, it's simply a transfer within the agency in response to our action."

Kornowicz: "Is that a addition to...of employees?"

Vinson: "No, Sir. It's simply a transfer within the agency. It's simply changing the language of who we appropriate the money so that the money will be appropriated as a result of the Amendment to the general office and not to a division which we have abolished."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Bullock on the motion."

Bullock: "Yes, Sam, could you tell me why we took out \$30,000 for the Dental Disciplinary Board? Amendment #1, I think, Sam."

Vinson: "Well, if you go down to Amendment #3, we, as I understand things, partially correct for that. And it's my understanding, Representative, that this is consistent with what the Department intends to do in the investigative field in that area this year."

Bullock: "That is to say that they're going to not be as diligent in the monitoring and policing of the profession. Is that the rationale for the demonition of positions?"

Vinson: "Well, it's my understanding that it was the conclusion of the Senate and the Department that because of the phase in of that division that the money that would actually be expended would not be as great as was appropriated, because it's going to take some time to hire the people and so forth."

Bullock: "Thank you, Representative."

Speaker Giorgi: "There being no further requests for discussion, the motion is does the House concur with Senate Amendments 1, 2, 3 and 4 to House Bill 3029. All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', and those opposed by voting 'no'. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 137 'ayes', 11 'nays', 4 voting 'present', and the House does concur to Senate Amendments 1, 2, 3 and 4 to

House Bill 3029. And the Bill is declared passed. Representative Stuffle for a correction or a motion to nonconcur. On House Bill 3482, Representative Stuffle."

Stuffle: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members. Representative Terzich is not here. He has asked us to move on 3482 so we can get it into posture to send it back to the Senate. We need to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1, because of some technical problems. And at this time, I would move that the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3482."

Speaker Giorgi: "There being no request for discussion, the Gentleman moves that the House non...Representative Skinner on the motion."

Skinner: "When this comes back, is it going to have nothing in it except for pension statutory changes?"

Stuffle: "Well, Representative Skinner, to be honest with you, I'm handling this at Representative Terzich's request. And it, as far as I know, it could have nothing in it but pension changes, because that's all the Bill deals with. And my, as far as I know, and I say this without any knowledge of anything else, it is a pension Bill, and it's going to remain a pension Bill. I, I'm not even sure what the technicality is except Bob Terzich indicated that he could not be here to call it today. He inadvertently failed to call it yesterday and asked for nonconcurrency, and that's why I'm doing it now. That's the best answer I can give you."

Skinner: "You're probably right. Thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "The Gentleman renews his motion to nonconcur to Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3482. All in favor will signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'nays'. The 'ayes' have it. And the House does nonconcur to Senate Amendment #1. House Bill 3425, Representative Reilly."

Reilly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move to concur in the Senate Amendments to House Bill 3425. This simply makes some technical corrections in the Human Rights Act. It must be signed by midnight tomorrow night. I would ask for concurrence in the Senate Amendments."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Darrow on the motion."

Darrow: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'm a little leery of these just simple, technical changes. Would you explain them in more depth?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Reilly, would you explain in more depth?"

Reilly: "Yes. The...I'm looking for my list is the reason I'm...the one Amendment was purely and literally technical, because we had put the wrong numbers in a section in the House Bill. That's Amendment #1. Amendment #2, we had forgotten to put in words, just two words, the words 'taken steps', in the section dealing with the possibility the Department can dismiss a charge if the violation has been eliminated. We added the words in, 'taken steps', to prevent its repetition, language we would have put in in the first place, but simply forgot."

Darrow: "Well, Senate Amendment #1 deals with executives age 65 through 69 who have retirement benefits. Is that correct?"

Reilly: "I'm sorry. I didn't hear the question."

Darrow: "Well, with Senate Amendment #1, what we're talking about is executives age 65 through 69, is that correct?"

Reilly: "No. We...that Amendment...all the language in Senate Amendment #1 was put in in the House. It was simply put in the wrong place. The effect of it, the only effect of that provision, is there's an exemption under federal law, under the circumstances prescribed in that. We're simply making state law parallel with that as, as a practical matter, it seems to me we have

to. We did that and voted on it in the House. We simply put it in the wrong section. The wording is simply now being put in the right section."

Darrow: "Thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "There being no further requests for discussion, Representative Keilly moves that the House concur to Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 3425. All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', and those opposed by voting 'no'. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there's 119 'ayes', 19 'nos', 7 voting 'present'. And the House does concur to Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 3425. And the Bill is declared passed. Messages from the Senate."

Clerk Leone: "Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House in the adoption of the following Joint Resolution. House Joint Resolution 104, together with the attached Amendments, Senate Amendment to House Joint Resolution 104 passed the Senate as amended June 29, 1980. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has adopted the following Senate Joint Resolution, the adoption of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the House of Representatives. Senate Joint Resolution 118, adopted by the Senate June 29, 1980. Kenneth Wright, Secretary."

Speaker Giorgi: "House Resolution 385. You say that the Amendment has been distributed? Representative Braun on House Resolution 385."

Braun: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #4 deletes the language regarding production of documents and issuance of subpoena from

House Resolution 385. I urge its adoption."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Braun, you move the adoption of the Amendment?"

Braun: "Yes, Sir."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is there any...is there any request for discussion? There being no request for discussion, Representative Braun moves the adoption of Amendment #4 to House Resolution 385. All in favor will signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'nays'. The 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. You want to go with the Resolution now? Now on the adoption of the Resolution, House Resolution 385. Representative Braun."

Braun: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Resolution has been discussed earlier. The language that Representative Epton had a problem with has been deleted, and I encourage your favorable vote."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Gaines on the Resolution."

Gaines: "Will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Giorgi: "She indicates she will."

Gaines: "Exactly what does it delete?"

Braun: "It deletes the language regarding issuance of subpoena. We didn't need it. It was in the Resolution just because it came that way from LRB, and so we adopted the Resolution deleting that language."

Gaines: "Thank you."

Braun: "The Amendment..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Leinenweber on the motion."

Leinenweber: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The, I hope the House is paying attention, what we're about to do, because we're now considering House Resolution 385 which was beaten back about an hour ago. To be certain, it now has been amended to remove one of the bad parts of it, and that was the power of this Subcommittee to issue subpoenas and compel attendance and bring books and

papers into their hearings. However, it does nothing to eliminate the other problems with the Resolution. And that is that this Resolution will seek to set up a superfluous Subcommittee of the House Appropriations Committee. It will be unfairly staffed, party wise. It will probably be told to go off and hold its own hearings which will be separate and apart from the hearings that...which the entire Committee will sit. It will tend to fragment and fracture the Committee process. It's not a good idea. It's certainly not a bad idea for the Committee Members to check into the affirmative action aspects of what our various code departments and organizations which receive taxpayers money have, but I don't see why we should set up a Subcommittee that's suppose to report at a different time to the General Assembly itself. It will report information which is not timely. It'll be a waste of time and a waste of money and a wasted effort on our part to pass this Resolution. So once again, I urge a continual 'no' vote on this, not enough votes for passage."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative McAuliffe on the motion. Representative McAuliffe."

McAuliffe: "Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would just like to renew my objections to the whole approach of affirmative action. I stated before what the affirmative action program had done to the Chicago Police Department and the Chicago Fire Department. And I just would like to reiterate the things I said before and remind my fellow Legislators from the northwest and southwest sides of Chicago that their constituents are not in the least bit interested in affirmative action of any kind. And I hope that they would support their constituents viewpoints and vote against this useless Resolution."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Emil Jones on the motion."

Jones, E: "Move the previous question."

Speaker Giorgi: "The question will be moved for the previous question. All in favor will signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'nays'. The 'ayes' have it, and the previous question prevails. Representative Braun on the previous question."

Braun: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Subcommittee will save everybody who serves on the Appropriations Committees a lot of time. It will provide, I think, an orderly way to handle this issue which will be handled in any event. And I encourage your 'aye' vote."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Braun moves that the House Joint Resolution 385 be adopted. All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', and those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Representative Henry to explain his vote for one minute."

Henry: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'm sure that the...my Members and friends and colleagues on the other side of the aisle is not against affirmative action. We've discussed this for an hour, and I'm nearly sure they're just misled. I think Representative Braun has made it very, very clear. She's taken the teeth out of it, so that we can go back home and tell the people we're working in their behalf. And I urge a green vote on that board."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Ewell to explain his vote."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, think of this as perhaps just this Lady's re-election Bill. She didn't get a single bridge, a single dam. She didn't make any improvements to any creeks. She didn't repair any fairgrounds. It's a good Bill. It's as they say toothless, it really does nothing. And surely the Lady is deserving of a little support so that she can go back in her district just like you can go back in yours and say, 'Hey, I tried to do something.'. And

I'm sure that if you think about it in that fashion, we'll all join together in one harmonious band and give her about 107 or 12 votes. Thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Epton to explain his vote."

Epton: "Thank you, Mr. Chairman...Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Ten years ago when Representative Horrace Gardner died, I was appointed Chairman of the Minority Affirmative Action Committee of this House. In those ten years, I've seen very little affirmative action by the various departments. And I should say to my colleagues in all sides of the City that this applies not only to whites or blacks, but to all ethnic groups. It's a step that is needed. Granted that the Committees could do it. Unfortunately, the Committees have not done it, and I would appreciate your voting in favor of this measure."

Speaker Giorgi: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. Representative Pullen, while we're taking the record, to explain her vote. Pullen."

Pullen: "Just to request a verification, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Christensen, for what reason do you rise?"

Christensen: "I vote 'aye' vote."

Speaker Giorgi: "Just a minute...just a minute...just a minute. Christensen wants to be recorded as voting 'aye'. VanDuyne as 'aye'. Representative Braun, for what reason do you rise?"

Braun: "Mr. Speaker, in the event that Representative Pullen persists in her motion to verify, I'd like a poll of the absentees."

Speaker Giorgi: "Okay then, we'll poll the absentees to begin with. Representative, not Representative, Mr. Jim Morpew come to the podium, Jim Morpew. Representative Ronan...Ronan. Representative Ronan asks leave to be verified. Are there any objections?"

Leave is granted. Ronan is verified, Ronan. Let's poll the absentees, Tony Leone."

Clerk Leone: "Poll of the absentees. Abramson. Barnes. Beatty. Daniels. Domico. Flinn. Kelly. Klosak. Kucharski. Laurino. Meyer. Molloy. Peters. Polk. Schlickman. Schoeberlein. Skinner. Stearney. Telcser. Vitek. VonBoeckman. Williams. Winchester. And Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Polk, 'aye'. Polk 'aye'. Representative Friedrich wants to be recorded as voting 'aye'. Dwight Friedrich wants to be recorded as voting 'aye'. Representative Hallstrom wants to be recorded as voting 'aye'. Hallstrom 'aye'. Representative Ryan, for what reason do you arise?"

Ryan: "To change my vote to 'no', Mr. Speaker."

Giorgi: "Representative Ryan wants to be recorded from 'aye' to 'no'. Representative Domico wants to be recorded as voting 'aye'. Domico 'aye'. Representative Winchester wants to be recorded as voting 'aye'. Winchester 'aye'. Representative Slape requests verification...leave to be verified. Any objections? No objections. Leave is granted. Slape is verified. How many do we have now? There are 101 'ayes' and 49 'nays'. Representative Pullen, do you want to proceed with the verification? Pullen. She does. Continue with the Roll Call...the affirmative."

Clerk Leone: "Poll of the affirmative. Alexander. Balanoff. Birchler. Bowman. Bradley. Braun. Breslin. Brummer. Bullock. Capparelli. Catania. Chapman. Christensen. Conti. Cullerton. Currie. Dawson. Deuster. DiPrima. Domico. Donovan. Doyle. John Dunn. Dyer. Epton. Ewell. Farley. Virginia Frederick. Dwight Friedrich. Gaines. Garmisa. Getty. Giorgi. Goodwin. Greiman. Grossi. Hallstrom. Hanahan. Hannig. Harris. Henry. Huff. Huskey. Jaffe. Dave Jones. Emil Jones. Kane.

Katz. Keane. Kent. Kornowicz. Krska. Kulas.
Lechowicz. Leon."

Speaker Giorgi: "Leone, Leone, Mr. Leone. The Speaker wants to be recorded as voting 'aye' and verified. Speaker Redmond. Representative Katz asks leave of the House to be verified. Any objections? No objections. Leave is granted."

Clerk Leone: "Leon. Leverenz."

Speaker Giorgi: "Excuse me, Mr. Clerk. Monroe wants to be recorded as voting 'aye'. Monroe Flinn."

Clerk Leone: "Madigan. Mahar. Marovitz. Matijevich. Mautino. McClain. McGrew. McPike. Mugalian. Mulcahey. Murphy. Oblinger. O'Brien. Patrick. Pierce. Polk. Pouncey. Preston. Rea. Reed. Reilly. Richmond. Ronan. Ropp. Sandquist. Satterthwaite. Schisler. Schneider. Schraeder. Sharp. Slape. Steczo. E.G. Steele. C.M. Stiehl. Stuffle."

Speaker Giorgi: "Excuse me, Mr. Clerk. Representative Macdonald. For what reason do you rise?"

Macdonald: "Yes, please change my vote to 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Macdonald from 'no' to 'aye'. Representative Kelly wants to be recorded as voting 'aye'. Are you finished, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Leone: "No. Continuing with the poll of the affirmative. Taylor. Terzich. VanDuyne. White. Willer."

Speaker Giorgi: "Excuse me, Mr. Clerk. Representative Vitek. For what reason do you rise?"

Vitek: "Recorded?"

Speaker Giorgi: "How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is not recorded as voting."

Vitek: "Vote me 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Vitek, 'aye'."

Clerk Leone: "Continuing with the poll of the affirmative. Williamson. Winchester. Sam Wolf. Younge. Yourell.

And Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Pullen. Any questions of the affirmative?"

Pullen: "What's the count to start with, Mr. Speaker, please?"

Speaker Giorgi: "106 'ayes' and 48 'nos'. 106 'ayes'."

Pullen: "Thank you. Mr. Bradley, please."

Speaker Giorgi: "What's that, Miss Pullen?"

Pullen: "Mr. Bradley."

Giorgi: "Representative Bradley. Is he in the chamber? How is he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Take him off the record."

Pullen: "Mr. Capparelli."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Capparelli. Is he in the chamber? How is he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Take him off the record."

Pullen: "Mr. Conti."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Conti. Is he in the chamber? How is he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Take him off the record."

Pullen: "Mrs. Currie."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mrs. Currie's in the side of the room."

Pullen: "Thank you. Mr. Dawson."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Dawson. In the middle aisle."

Pullen: "Mr. Deuster."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Deuster. Is the Gentleman in the room? How is he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Take him off the record."

Pullen: "Mr. Donovan."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Donovan is in his seat."

Pullen: "Mr. Doyle."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Doyle is in his seat."

Pullen: "Mr. John Dunn."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. John Dunn. Mr. John Dunn is in the
back of the room."

Pullen: "Mr. Ralph Dunn."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Ralph Dunn is in his seat."

Pullen: "Mr. Farley."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Farley is in his seat."

Pullen: "Mr. Garmisa."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Garmisa is in front of the well here.
Mr. McGrew, for what reason do you arise?"

McGrew: "On a point of order, Mr. Speaker. Once again, the
Lady is going directly down everyone that's voting
'yes'. If she has some questions, let's get on with
it.

Pullen: "That is not true. That is absolutely not true."

Speaker Giorgi: "No, that's right, McGrew. She...."

Pullen: "If you're all not sitting in your seats when the
Roll is called, I can't help it."

Speaker Giorgi: "Proceed, Miss Pullen."

Pullen: "Mr. Greiman."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Greiman's in his chair."

Pullen: "Mr. Hanahan."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Hanahan. Is the Gentleman in the room?
How is he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Take him off the record."

Pullen: "Mr. Huskey."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Huskey. Mr. Huskey. Is Mr. Huskey in
the chamber? How is he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Take him off the record."

Pullen: "Mr. Jaffe."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Jaffe's in his chair."

Pullen: "Mr. Katz."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Katz was verified earlier."

Pullen: "Sorry, I didn't hear that. Mrs. Kent."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mrs. Kent."

Pullen: "She's there."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is Mrs. Kent in the chambers?"

Pullen: "She's in her seat. I see her now."

Speaker Giorgi: "She's sitting on her chair."

Pullen: "Mr. Lechowicz."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Lechowicz. Is Mr. Lechowicz in the chamber? How is he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Take him off the record."

Pullen: "Mr. O'Brien."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. O'Brien is in his chair."

Pullen: "Mr. Polk."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Polk was verified. Yes, he asked leave to be verified. Polk, Katz and Slape."

Pullen: "Mr. Rea."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Rea is in his chair."

Pullen: "Mr. Madigan."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Madigan. Is Mr. Madigan in the chambers? How is he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Take him off the record. Excuse me."

Pullen: "Mr. Ropp."

Speaker Giorgi: "I'm sorry. Just a moment. Mr. Lechowicz wants to be reinstated on the Roll Call. He's here by the score card."

Pullen: "Mr. Ropp."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Ropp. Mr. Ropp. Is the Gentleman in the chambers? How is he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Take him off the record."

Pullen: "Mr. Schisler."

Speaker Giorgi: "Excuse me. Mr. Clerk, Mr. Madigan has returned to the chambers. Mr. Schisler is in his seat. No, you weren't."

Pullen: "Mr. Dwight Friedrich."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Dwight Friedrich. Dwight Friedrich. Is he in the chambers? No, he was recorded as voting 'aye'. He wants to be recorded as voting 'aye'. Is Mr. Friedrich in the chambers? How is he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Take him off the record."

Pullen: "Mr. E. G. Steele. I see him by his seat. Excuse me. Mr. Taylor."

Speaker Giorgi: "Taylor. Representative Taylor. Is he in the chambers? How is he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "He's in his chair, isn't he?"

Pullen: "No, he's not."

Speaker Giorgi: "Then take him off the record."

Pullen: "Mr. Patrick."

Speaker Giorgi: "Restore Mr. Taylor to the record. Restore Mr. Taylor to the Roll Call. Excuse me a moment. Mr. VonBoeckman, for what reason do you arise? To be recorded as voting 'aye'? Record VonBoeckman as 'aye'. You asked me about...Mr....Mrs. Pullen, what was your last request?"

Pullen: "I was just informed that the Gentleman was there. So, I won't renew that."

Speaker Giorgi: "Okay."

Pullen: "Mr. Terzich."

Speaker Giorgi: "Terzich. Is the Gentleman in the chambers? How is he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Take him off the record."

Pullen: "Mr. Huff."

Speaker Giorgi: "Huff was just here, because he heard his name called. He's here."

Pullen: "Is he in the chamber currently, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Huff was just at the well. Thought that he had been taken off the record and insisted that he be put back on. Representative Huff. How is

he recorded in the meantime?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Okay. Take him off the record temporarily."

Pullen: "Mr. White."

Speaker Giorgi: "White. Is the Gentleman in the chamber? How is he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Take him off the record."

Pullen: "Mr. Winchester. Oh, he's in his seat. Excuse me. Mr. Sam Wolf."

Speaker Giorgi: "He's in his chair."

Pullen: "Mrs. Younge."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mrs. Younge is here underneath the...and restore Mr. Huff back to the Roll Call. There's Mrs. Younge and there's Mr. Huff."

Pullen: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Giorgi: "What's the count, Mr. Clerk? The count is 97 'aye' and 48 'no', and this Resolution having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Representative Getty."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker. I move that we now adjourn to the hour of 9 a.m. tomorrow."

Speaker Giorgi: "Just allowing 5 minutes for a perfunct..for the Clerk."

Getty: "Allowing 5 minutes for a perfunctory."

Speaker Giorgi: "The Gentleman moves that the House adjourn until 9 o'clock tomorrow morning. All in favor, signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it. The House adjourns."

Clerk Leone: "A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary, and Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has refused to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendments to the following Bills. Senate Bills 1578, 1624, 1664, 1799. Action taken by the Senate,

June 29, 1980. Kenneth Wright, Secretary."

LEGISLATIVE INFORMATION SYSTEM

DAILY TRANSCRIPT INDEX
JUNE 29, 1980

PAGE 1

HB-0925	CONFERENCE	PAGE	5
HB-1952	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	60
HB-3019	CONFERENCE	PAGE	48
HB-3029	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	84
HB-3037	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	48
HB-3044	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	13
HB-3083	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	82
HB-3425	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	88
HB-3429	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	80
HB-3482	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	87
SB-1544	NON-CONCUR	PAGE	11
	NON-CONCUR	PAGE	12
SB-1545	NON-CONCUR	PAGE	12
SB-1606	NON-CONCUR	PAGE	10
SB-1613	CONFERENCE	PAGE	81
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	84
SB-1616	CONFERENCE	PAGE	83
SB-1626	NON-CONCUR	PAGE	2
SB-1635	NON-CONCUR	PAGE	2
SB-1636	NON-CONCUR	PAGE	2
SB-1639	NON-CONCUR	PAGE	2
SB-1665	NON-CONCUR	PAGE	3
SB-1666	NON-CONCUR	PAGE	3
SB-1729	NON-CONCUR	PAGE	61
SB-1841	NON-CONCUR	PAGE	10
	NON-CONCUR	PAGE	78
SB-2001	NCN-CONCUR	PAGE	30
HR-0385	2ND READING	PAGE	89
	3RD READING	PAGE	31
	3RD READING	PAGE	92
	MOTIONS	PAGE	62
HR-0732	3RD READING	PAGE	64
HR-0865	3RD READING	PAGE	23
HJR-0101	3RD READING	PAGE	15
HJR-0102	3RD READING	PAGE	28
HJR-0107	MOTIONS	PAGE	26
SJR-0091	3RD READING	PAGE	22
SJR-0096	3RD READING	PAGE	20
SJR-0122	3RD READING	PAGE	28

LEGISLATIVE INFORMATION SYSTEM

DAILY TRANSCRIPT INDEX
JUNE 29, 1980.

PAGE 2

SUBJECT MATTER

SPEAKER REDMOND - HOUSE TO ORDER	PAGE	1
REV. KRUEGER - PRAYER	PAGE	1
PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	PAGE	1
ROLL CALL FOR ATTENDANCE	PAGE	1
EXCUSED ABSENCE	PAGE	4
MESSAGES FROM THE SENATE	PAGE	89
PERFUNCTORY SESSION	PAGE	100
MESSAGES FROM THE SENATE	PAGE	100
HOUSE ADJOURNED	PAGE	101