

Speaker Redmond: "House will come to order. Members please be in their seats. Be lead in prayer today by Father Ernie Pizzamiglio of Elkhart, Pastor of St. Patrick's Catholic Church."

Father: "Let us pray. Heavenly Father, we, who earnestly pray to Pizzamiglio you now are your people. We are the people of God and now we humble ourselves before your Divine presence and ask for your help that we may be instrumental in establishing whatever is truly good, right and just for all. Help us, Father, that we may be truly sorry for all the times that we've been oveely proud and selfish and even cowardly. Help us to overcome all our human weaknesses and to be ever constant in our trust in your unlimited and everlasting mercy toward us. We are your people, Father. We are the people of God. May your Kingdom come through us. Amen."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ropp in the Pledge of Allegiance."

Ropp: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America. And to the republic for which it stands, one nation, under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all."

Speaker Redmond: "Roll Call for attendance. Your switch only. Clerk will take the record. Representative Simms came in the back door today. My spies warned me you were coming. Roll Call for attendance. Representative Simms get on this one. Representative Bowman, for what purpose do you arise?"

Bowman: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, while we're waiting to get down to the business of the day, I thought we might go the Order of Newspapers since newspapers ... here on Saturday I would again be bringing people up to date on the latest installment of the continuing saga of the new relationship between the Mayor of Chicago and the Governor. We have the big headline in the Chicago Tribune today is, 'RTA's budget



rises by 46%.' Now, I don't recall when Lou Hill was down here that that kind of increase was being talked about. It seemed to come as a surprise not only to me, but apparently to the Mayor and the Governor as well. Yesterday while they were down here having their press conference telling everybody how they're going to build more roads in the state's history than we ever have before and how they're going to take care of the RTA's problems, RTA officials back in Chicago were saying that even if fare increases such... Excuse me. Even if the new sales tax which is now being considered by the General Assembly in Springfield is enacted, that fare increases may even be required at that time. So, what we're going to have it seems to me is a situation where if we were able to enact that proposal and I don't know who's got the Bill. We're going to have a new sales tax and a fare increase on top of it. And I thought everyone might like to know that because the new taxes that we're talking about are not going to be sufficient to bail out the RTA. They may be able to build a lot of roads but we're still going to get our fare increases anyway. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ray Ewell."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, again we want to point out the folly of trying to find out what happens by reading the newspapers cause we all know that that's not true."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Slape."

Slape: "Mr. Speaker, I noticed that all the seats across the aisle are almost filled. I think maybe somebody was afraid they went home... they might come home.. come back Monday and find out they're the Sponsor of that package."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Yes, for the information of the Members, the Cubs



lost last night and this raised the magic number to 82."

Speaker Redmond: "I think all the talk about deficits and increased... increased fares and everything don't .. don't take into consideration that Representative Barnes is going to roll back the fares when he goes to the CTA. That's why.. That's why he's being impressed into service. Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I just want to make one comment and I'm sure that everyone heard Woodie Bowman's comment and I just want to make it clear that those comments that he was relating to in the newspaper were the initial was RTA not CTA. There is a difference."

Speaker Redmond: "What's the CTA deficit?"

Barnes: "As far as I know right now they don't have any."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "I rise to give a movie announcement. Just when you thought it might be safe to go back to your District, we now have JawsII starring Jim Thompson and Jane Byrne with the supporting cast of hundreds, too numerous to name or consult. This is set against the backdrop of gasoline prices Of at least 29% in less than six months and you have the opportunity, you personally can be held responsible for increasing them another one and a half percent. And then comes Jaws III when the voters get their chance. That'll be first run scheduled for March of next year and second run in November."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Boucek."

Boucek: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I have a very, very important announcement. I wish everyone would pay very close attention. Just late last night Representative Larry DiPrima was elected by this Body to be the second best Legislator in this General Assembly."

Speaker Redmond: "Who was the first best?"

Boucek: "The first was the other 176."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative DiPrima."



DiPrima: "Gee, I feel really honored. I'm indebted to the whole House for this great honor bestowed on me."

Speaker Redmond: "Senate Bills, Third Reading. I don't know. Senate Bill 575."



Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 575. A Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Department of Corrections. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hoxsey. Representative Hoxsey."

Hoxsey: "All right. Sorry, I wasn't quite ready, Mr. Speaker. This Bill was debated pretty much yesterday on the floor, and I think it's probably still fairly fresh in the minds of all the Representatives here. This is the Department of Corrections' annual budget, and I would entertain any questions in regard to it."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Just for the information of the Body, just for some dollars and cents that people are usually interested in. This Bill came into the Senate at the request of 180 million, 815 thousand. At Senate action, it was reduced to 179 million, 771. The estimated expenditure for '79 is 147 million, 832. As the Bill now exists, the total in this Bill would be, after House action, 180 million, 586 thousand. I would support Representative Hoxsey's Bill has been thoroughly, thoroughly scrutinized, and I would ask for the support of the House for Senate Bill 575."

Speaker Redmond: "The question...Representative Satterthwaite."

Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I, in no way, want to keep this budget from going out of here, but I think we do need to emphasize that the action on Second Reading here on the House floor and all of the reluctant 'yes' votes that were given in Committee in regard to the Concordia Seminary contract, are not to be neglected by the Department. If the Department really wants the backing of the Legislature, I believe it behooves them before signing a contract for use of Concordia to be out looking at other vacant state properties that might be adapted for the use of their training program."



Even though the votes have suggested that the appropriations stay in the budget, I think that, in no way, ties the Department to going through with that contract, and I would seriously hope that they would review that proposition, try to be out looking at state properties that might be available to see whether they can do something else that will be less costly to the State of Illinois and to the taxpayers, and with that, I, too, will support getting the budget approved, but I certainly hope that that reservation in my vote will be noted."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kosinski."

Kosinski: "Mr. Speaker, for...and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. For the record, and should anyone from the Department be interested enough to listen, we still have the Chicago concern of a correctional institution closer to their biggest source of business, Chicago. May I respectfully recommend they, again, take a quick look at Manteno as a possible minimum and medium security prison. We've paid for that. The paint is peeling off of it. There's just a few people from mental health in that area. They could be easily transferred to the other sections. I wish they would look at Manteno. Should that, for political or other reasons, not be feasible, it still behooves them to be concerned with the minimum and medium security prison, in addition to the downstate CDB prisons, close to Chicago for the convenience of those families. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Greiman."

Greiman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. If I've...one of the things that has always intrigued me about philosophical sayings is the one that if you do not learn history, you will be condemned to repeat it, and if I've learned anything here, I've learned that when the appropriation process is going through, that's the time when you extract from the agency, the will of the General Assembly, that after we vote out the appro-



priation, it's just too late, and I think that we should take this out of the record, frankly, and take it and watch the Concordia situation, not authorize funds for that. I'm not sure, nor is anybody else, what the profit will be for Mr. Cellini between the purchase price and the sale price in this situation to the state. I have no idea what kind of finance he's gotten. Now, when 910 slipped through, and nobody knew about it, and so we could say, 'God, we didn't know. They forced it on us.', but we know now. We know this is coming up. We see it. As John Matijevich said so wisely, 'His nose was 'atwitching' yesterday, and he could smell it, sort of.', and I think that we should take this out of the record and find out what all the facts are surrounding this particular acquisition. Now, I have the lease in my hand here that we've signed, and the most interesting thing is, that the State of Illinois will now be paying real estate taxes to Sangamon County, if this goes through, and I don't know what the taxes will be, but they may well be upwards of a quarter of a million dollars, becau...when you take the equalization factor, and the rate, and whatnot for Sangamon County. That means that we will be paying up to a quarter of a million dollars in real estate taxes, plus there's a provision for additional taxes for the State of Illinois to pay as the taxes go up in years to come. What that means is, that if we bought the property, and we owned the property, there would be no taxes on it, but because there's an intermediary, we, the State of Illinois, end up paying real estate taxes. Now, I'm not too keen, frankly, on paying taxes to Sangamon County, although I spend a hundred days here, and that's kind of nice. Maybe I should pay it, but, frankly, I think it's a burden. I think we are moving in ignorance, and I think we should take this out of the record until we make good and sure that the Concordia deal is somehow subject to some kind of recall



or some controls. If we don't, we're just closing our eyes in ignorance. This should be taken out of the record until we deci...till we have some understanding of this.

Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I would like to echo the sentiments of the previous speaker, Representative Greiman. There is a smell to this particular appropriation for Concordia. It may be becoming a greater stench as days go on, but the contract would lead you to believe that there is some questionable activities going on, but, again, I would like to reiterate my opposition to the Concordia purchase, or the eventual purchase, if that takes place, to the fact that there are many available state institutions that are now vacant or becoming vacant within a relatively short period of time. It would seem to me that it would be appropriate, at this time, that the record would show, that this Bill has not got sufficient number of votes to pass, and they would take another look at it before the passage of this piece of appropriations, and I would ask that we withhold our vote, give a yellow vote, and take this back and work it over."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hoxsey."

Hoxsey: "Mr. Speaker, take this Bill out of the record, please."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. 576."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 576, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for expenses of the Bureau of the Budget. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Reilly."

Reilly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is the annual OCE for the State Bureau of the Budget. There were some problems with it as it came over from the Senate and those were worked out by agreement I believe in the Rev.. in the Appropriations I Committee. The total is now 2 million 417 thousand, 200 dollars. I would ask for a favorable Roll Call."



or some controls. If we don't, we're just closing our eyes in ignorance. This should be taken out of the record until we deci...till we have some understanding of this. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I would like to echo the sentiments of the previous speaker, Representative Greiman. There is a smell to this particular appropriation for Concordia. It may be becoming a greater stench as days go on, but the contract would lead you to believe that there is some questionable activities going on, but, again, I would like to reiterate my opposition to the Concordia purchase, or the eventual purchase, if that takes place, to the fact that there are many available state institutions that are now vacant or becoming vacant within a relatively short period of time. It would seem to me that it would be appropriate, at this time, that the record would show, that this Bill has not got sufficient number of votes to pass, and they would take another look at it before the passage of this piece of appropriations, and I would ask that we withhold our vote, give a yellow vote, and take this back and work it over."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hoxsey."

Hoxsey: "Mr. Speaker, take this Bill out of the record, please."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. 576."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 576, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for expenses of the Bureau of the Budget. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Reilly."

Reilly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is the annual OCE for the State Bureau of the Budget. There were some problems with it as it came over from the Senate and those were worked out by agreement I believe in the Rev.. in the Appropriations I Committee. The total is now 2 million 417 thousand, 200 dollars. I would ask for a favorable Roll Call."



Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' Representative Friedrich, for what purpose do you arise?"

Friedrich: "Here's a good place to save a few million dollars."

Speaker Redmond: "Shall this Bill pass? Those in favor 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 107 'aye', 29 'no', the Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. 577."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 577,...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Reed on the.. Okay. Proceed."

Clerk O'Brien: "A Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Institute of Natural Resources. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Reed."

Reed: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is the annual budget for the Institute of Natural Resources. The new total for the Institute is 26 million, 412 thousand dollars. It's a decrease of 3 and ½ million dollars for an 11.8% decrease over the Institute's FY '79 budget."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Would the Sponsor yield for a quick question?"

Speaker Redmond: "She will."

Leverenz: "Is there any additional funds for personal services line item for hazard duty pay due to the four bomb scares they had this week there?"

Reed: "I don't have any staff here Teddy, but I don't think so."

Leverenz: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Farley."

Farley: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Reed: "Yes."

Speaker Redmond: "She will."

Farley: "Representative, has there been any additional personnel jobs in this particular budget?"



Reed: "Amendment #4 adds 187 thousand dollars for expansion of the fuel allocation program where there will be additional personnel hired."

Farley: "Could you tell me how many?"

Reed: "Seven."

Farley: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' Those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 109 'aye', 10 'no'. And the Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. 581."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 581, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Department of Business and Economic Development. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Simms."

Simms: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I have the appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Department of Business and Economic Development which appropriates 15 million, 975 dollars.... 15 million, 975 thousand dollars to the Department for the operations of the budget for the following year. I would solicit your favorable vote on Senate Bill 581."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield for a question? Does this appropriation now include the money for a survey of civic centers throughout the state?"

Simms: "Yes, it does."

Totten: "How much is that for?"

Simms: "One hundred and fifty thousand."

Totten: "One hundred and seventy thousand?"

Simms: "It's a marketing... It is an Amendment for one hundred and fifty thousand dollars for a marketing study for



civic centers in the state" offered by Representative Giorgi."

Totten: "Well, Mr. Speaker, speak to the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Totten: "I would.. I would be more than happy to support Senate Bill 581, but in the shape that it is now, with the appropriations for this survey for civic centers, I think this money is not needed. It is wasted civic centers have been a.. really a noose around the Legislature's neck. Their operatin.. have tremendous operating deficits and they're coming into the Legislature asking for money to these operating deficits to add this money in for a market survey I think is unwise and that we ought to hold this appropriation until this Amendment is taken off this Bill and then support it and I'm urging a 'no' vote on this appropriaion because of that Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Waddell."

Waddell: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Simms: "Yes."

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Waddell: "Who's making the market survey?"

Simms: "The market study.. The market survey would be conducted by the Department of Business and Economic Development. To refute the prior statement, it is not to pick up any deficits for any additional civic center... civic centers. It's to establish whether or not there are a need for any more civic centers or maybe there is a need that we should not have any civic centers that are authorized that perhaps that are contemplated."

Waddell: "Are there any contractual services in the survey?"

Simms: "It's a lump sum appropriation. It would probably be a contractual service, a type of study. Representative Giorgi's Amendment perhaps he can more clearly define what he had in mind for the 150 thousand dollar survey



of the marketing study."

Waddell: "Representative Giorgi?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, this money we're talking about is in the ... was in the Governor's budget and was taken out by the Senate. We are now obligated to build six civic centers with the possibility of nine. And we feel that if we don't take this kind of a study, find out what saturation point is in civic centers, and find out what type of funding mechanisms we're going to need, we're going to be in more dire trouble. I think the support Totten's conception of it... to support Totten's position, you ought to vote for this Bill because the study will indicate whether we're on the right track or the wrong track and then Totten's answers will be to him that the study indicates that we have enough civic centers and we won't build anymore. And I think this is very important. And it was in the Governor's budget."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Borchers."

Borchers: "Mr. Speaker, fellow Members of the House, we are building our civic center in Decatur right now against the will, the Majority will, certainly of the people of my area. The city of Decatur resolved to help pay for this and are taking up a space in the civic center. I might also tell you that the cost of the civic center is nearly doubled. How we're going to take care of all this, I don't know. I think we should not have these studies. We're just throwing more money down a rat hole and I think we should vote this down until that Amendment is taken off."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner. What happened to the Roll Call? I guess we didn't... We didn't start it yet."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, we do not need to know where the civic centers are going to be a success or not. This money



could be better used to pay the deficits which Springfield already has for example and which Rockford undoubtedly will have and which Peoria will undoubtedly will have. The time for this study was about ten years ago and I think it is the height of audacity for people to stand up on this House floor today and argue that we ought to have a study of whether we need more civic centers or not when they have burdened the state with civic centers that will never make money, never. They will be continually a drain on the local and the state taxpayer. This is just.. If the people who are supporting that incredible Bill to bail out civic centers want to stand up and table that Bill, then maybe we ought to vote for a study. But if they don't, we're approaching a new high in hypocrisy in this House floor."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schuneman."

Schuneman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I think this Bill should be held and be.. this particular Amendment taken off before the appropriation is passed out. I think it's the height of irony when we have the same parts of the state who have already been assured civic centers now coming to the Legislature saying that we should have a study to determine whether or not there should be any more civic centers. And when the Sponsor of that Amendment says that the study is going to show that there shouldn't be any more civic centers, I'm perfectly willing to accept his word for that and save 150 thousand dollars for the taxpayers of the State of Illinois. And I think we should withhold our votes from this appropriation until this Amendment's taken off."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Campbell."

Campbell: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, those of you that were here in the last Session know how hard I fought against the civic center in the city of Danville. Nevertheless, we're getting that



crammed down our throats. And I'll tell you this, that there's no need to spend 170 thousand dollars.

We should pull this back and take the Amendment off and put a referendum on every civic center and there wouldn't be any. And that's the best survey that you can get."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Sumner. Sumner."

Sumner: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd like to second Representative Schuneman's idea. Let's withdraw this Amendment. We don't need to study any more as to the benefits or whether we need civic centers. This is long gone past."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Simms, to close."

Simms: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I think there's been a red herring thrown into this Bill in the form of the Amendment by some of the proponents of it considering one item in the budget which calls for the marketing survey whether or not additional civic centers are needed, or whether they're not. Each existing civic center authority in downstate Illinois has the authority to pay for operating deficits at the present time. I personally don't support the concept of the civic centers in downstate Illinois but perhaps the survey will show that there should never be any more civic centers in downstate Illinois. But let's look at the real issue in the Bill and that's the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Business and Economic Development. This is the most important element that we have. It's development of commerce and industry within the State of Illinois. We're dealing with the.. in excess of a 15 million dollar budget and I think it is only prudent for the House of Representatives to pass this legislation. It's going to have to go back over to the Senate for concurrence and perhaps the Conference Committee Report whereby at that time, if there's still objections, consideration should be given to this area. But I would urge your favorable vote on Senate



Bill 581."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?'

Those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Totten."

Totten: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to verify it."

Speaker Redmond: "Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 98 'aye' and 31 'no'. Representative Simms has requested a poll of the absentees. Representative Totten requests a verification of the affirmative Roll Call. Poll the absentees, Mr. Clerk. Representative Kosinski asks leave. Now I don't know what you ask leave for, but... You want leave to be verified is that. Representative Dyer. Representative Dyer changes her vote from 'yes' to 'no'. Proceed with a poll of the absentees. Representative Dawson, does he verify, Representative Totten? Okay. Representative Domico desires to be verified. Okay."

Clerk Leone: "Poll of the absentees. Beatty. Bianco. Bullock."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Capparelli 'aye'."

Clerk Leone: "Currie. Doyle. Ebbesen. Ewing. Gaines. Garmisa.

Goodwin. Griesheimer. Harris. Hoffman. Huskey.

Kozubowski. Kucharski. Laurino. McAuliffe. McBroom.

McCourt. McGrew. Mugalian. Pechous. Pierce. Preston.

Sandquist."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Preston 'aye'. Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Leave to be verified, Sir?"

Speaker Redmond: "Leave is granted."

Clerk Leone: "Continuation of the absentees. Schlickman. Stanley.

Stearney. Taylor. Terzich. Tuerk. Vinson. Willer.

Winchester. and J.J. Wolf."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, please change my vote from 'present' to 'aye'."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Peters change from 'present' to 'aye'. Representative J.J. Wolf, 'aye'. Representative Richmond 'aye'. Proceed with the verification of the Affirmative Roll Call."

Clerk Leone: "Verification of the Affirmative Roll Call.."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Garmisa desires to be recorded as 'aye'. Representative Walsh ia in the chamber. Representative Hannig 'aye'. Representative Doyle 'aye' Representative Currie 'aye'. 107 'aye'. 32 'no'. Proceed with the verification. Representative Laurino does he have leave to be verified? Verify him as not voting. Record Laurino as 'aye'."

Clerk Leone: "Verification of the Affirmative Roll Call;
Abramson. Ackerman. Alexander. Balanoff. E.M. Barnes
Birchler. Bower. Bowman. Bradley. Braun. Breslin.
Brummer. Capparelli. Capuzi. "

Speaker Redmond: "Representative J.J. Wolf, for what purpose do you arise?"

Wolf: "I'm in a meeting, Mr. Speaker. Could I have leave to be verified now?"

Speaker Redmond: "Does he have leave to be verified? Yes, you have. Representative Johnson?"

Johnson: "Change my vote to 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Change Johnson to 'no'. Representative Hallstrom 'aye'. I think if you would wait until we finish with this verification, then stand up and get attention, it's a little more orderly. Proceed."

Clerk Leone: "Chapman. Christensen. Collins. Conti. Cullerton.
Currie. Darrow. Dawson. Deuster. DiPrima. Domico.
Donovan. Doyle. John Dunn. Ralph Dunn. Epton. Ewell.
Farley. Flinn. Garmisa. Getty. Giorgi. Grossi.
Hallock. Hallstom. Hanahan. Hannig. Henry. Hoxsey.
Huff. Jaffe. Dave Jones. Emil Jones. Kane. Katz.
Keane. Kent. Kornowicz. Kosinski. Kulas. Laurino.
Lechowicz. Leon. Leverenz. Macdonald. Madigan. Mahar.



Margula.s. Marovitz. Matijevich. McClain. McPike.
 Meyer. Molloy. Mulcahey. Murphy. Neff. Oblinger.
 O'Brien. Patrick. Peters. Pouncey. Preston. Rea.
 Reilly. Richmond. Rigney. Robbins. Ronan. Ropp.
 Ryan. Satterthwaite. Schisler. Schneider. Schraeder.
 Sharp. Simms. Slape. E.G. Steele. C.M. Stiehl. Stuffie.
 Swanstrom. Telcser. Van Dwyne. Vitek. Von Boeckman.
 White. Williams. J.J. Wolf. Sam Wolf. Younge. Yourell.
 and Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Waddell, for what purpose do you arise?"

Waddell: "Please change me to 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Change the Gentleman from 'aye' to 'no'."

Representative Friedland. 'Present' to 'no'. Representative Friedland."

Friedland: "Change me to 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Friedland 'no'. Bianco, 'no'. Representative Mugalian 'no'. Willer 'no'. Steczko 'aye'. Pechous 'no'. Hoxsey 'no'. Klosak 'no'. Ralph Dunn 'no'. Representative Goodwin 'aye'. 108 'aye' and 39 'no'. Representative Totten, any questions of the Affirmative Roll Call?"

Totten: "What are we starting at, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "What was that? 108, we're starting with."

Totten: "Okay. E.M. Barnes."

Speaker Redmond: "He's here."

Totten: "Bradley."

Speaker Redmond: "How is he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Totten: "Capparelli."

Speaker Redmond: "I thought he was verified."

Totten: "Was he? Okay."

Speaker Redmond: "He was, yeah."

Totten: "Chapman."



Speaker Redmond: "She's in front here."

Totten: "Christensen."

Speaker Redmond: "He's sitting there."

Totten: "Collins."

Speaker Redmond: "Who?"

Totten: "Collins."

Speaker Redmond: "How is he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Will you turn the chair around? Take him off the Roll."

Totten: "Turn it back again, so if he gets back we won't see him. DiPrima."

Speaker Redmond: "He's here."

Totten: "John Dunn."

Speaker Redmond: "John Dunn here? Turn his chair around. Take him off. Think we should have an Amendment to Hanahan's Rules to require that all the chairs face forward when we verify."

Totten: "Hallock."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hallock here?"

Totten: "I see him now. Hoxsey."

Speaker Redmond: "He's here."

Totten: "Jaffe."

Speaker Redmond: "How is he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Totten: "Emil Jones."

Speaker Redmond: "How is he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Totten: "Kane."

Speaker Redmond: "He's here."

Totten: "Katz."

Speaker Redmond: "How is he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."



Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Totten: "Kulas."

Speaker Redmond: "He's here."

Totten: "Marovitz."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Margulas."

Totten: "Marovitz."

Speaker Redmond: "Oh, Marovitz. How is he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Totten: "McClain."

Speaker Redmond: "He's here."

Totten: "Neff."

Speaker Redmond: "He's in his seat."

Totten: "O'Brien."

Speaker Redmond: "How is he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Totten: "Patrick."

Speaker Redmond: "Patrick? Langdon Patrick, are you here?"

He's back there."

Totten: "Schisler."

Speaker Redmond: "Schisler here? Remove him."

Totten: "Sharp."

Speaker Redmond: "He's here."

Totten: "Stuffle."

Speaker Redmond: "He's in the aisle here."

Totten: "Van Duyne."

Speaker Redmond: "Van Duyne here? How is he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Totten: "White."

Speaker Redmond: "Is White here? How is he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Totten: "I've no further questions."



Speaker Redmond: "Ninety-seven 'aye', thirty-nine 'no'. The Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Huff, for what purpose do you arise?"

Huff: "Mr. Speaker, will you please change my 'yes' vote to.."

Speaker Redmond: "We've already taken the Roll Call. You have to sign a verified Roll Call. I think... 830. Representative Simms."

Simms: "Having voted on the prevailing side, I move to reconsider that vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giorgi moves that that motion lie on the table. The question's on Representative Giorgi's motion to table. Repres... Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye'; opposed 'no'. The 'aye's have it. Motion carried. The motion to table carried. 830."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 830, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Court of Claims. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Peters, can you handle this one? Out of the record. We have a few requests to return Bills to the Order of Second Reading for the purpose of Amendments. The first one is 918 on page 3. Representative Mulcahey. Does Representative Mulcahey have leave to return 918 to the Order of Second Reading? Hearing no objection, leave is granted. Read the Amendment, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Skinner, amends Senate Bill 918 on page one by deleting lines 14 and 15 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mulcahey."

Mulcahey: "Mr. Speaker, I believe this is Representative Skinner's Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Yes, this is a good Amendment which was worked out by the Motor Vehicle Laws Commission staff to make this Bill do what it wants to do."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Would the Sponsor of the Amendment explain to do.. what it wants to do?"

Skinner: "You mean I've got to get my file out."

Leverenz: "I'm confused. Page.. on the first page I think it's the seventh line.."

Skinner: "There's only one page."

Leverenz: "That's what I said. Could you explain what you mean it's going to do, what the Sponsor wants it to do?"

Skinner: "Well, the Senate Sponsor doesn't want the Department of Conservation stopping commercial logging companies and demanding a manifest. On the other hand, the Department of Conservation doesn't want people in pick up trucks backing up to your yard and making off with a walnut tree. So instead of exempting all logging companies from the requirement that they have a manifest with prices in the truck, we have exempting.. exempted commercial motor vehicles who are required to retain.. to obtain a certificate from the Illinois Commerce Commission from the requirement to carry the manifest. Apparently, this is one of very few industries where the prices are not on.. are on the manifest and should not be made public because of competitive reasons."

Leverenz: "For that competitive reason I would support the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further?"

Skinner: "That's very thoughtful of you."

Speaker Redmond: "The question.. Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Question of the Sponsor, a logger who goes out and buys a section of timber of a number of trees, saws it down and hauls it to his own mill doesn't have to have a manifest. does he?"

Skinner: "Representative Friedrich, I'm about as far from expert in this field..."

Friedrich: "That's what I'm beginning to realize. I don't think



you know anything about it at all."

Skinner: "All I know is that the Bill as it was brought to the Transportation Committee, was totally unacceptable to the Conservation Department and I think it was totally unacceptable because it would allow bootleggers to be exempt from this ... this provision for a manifest as well as long established companies in the field. And what we're trying to do, what Representative Mulcahey and Senator Gitz want to do is to exempt the long established companies from this requirement to carry the manifest which if seen or..."

Friedrich: "Well, who are you exempting? I don't think you know and I'm not sure.. I'm sure I don't know."

Skinner: "Well, all I can do is point you toward the Amendment. Those motor vehicles that have to register with the Illinois Commerce Commission."

Friedrich: "Well, Mr. Speaker, we have a tremendous lumber business in southern Illinois. I don't think most people realize that and most of it's done by those who own the mills going out and buying sections of timber and hauling it to the mill and cutting it up into lumber. None of those people have to have a permit from the Commerce Commission because they're hauling.. they're using their own trucks to haul their own material. I.. I.. If there's an explanation of this Amendment, I don't understand it."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further?"

Skinner: "I'm... what's involved, Representative Leverenz, but I think Representative Mulcahey could speak more to the thrust of the Bill which is I think what you're asking about primarily and I would ask him to do so."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Borchers."

Borchers: "Yield, will the Sponsor yield? Heh, Sponsor. Skinner wake up."

Skinner: "I'm awake.."



Borchers: "I've got a question. Will you.. Will this Amendment or will this Bill anyway help one thing that is occurring in our part of the country, the stealing of walnut trees.. Will this help us to protect the walnut trees and oak trees that are being stolen sometimes in our part of country?"

Skinner: "Well, I think it's going to help a lot more than if the Bill passes without this Amendment. I can assure you if the Bill passes without something like this, the Department of Conservation will have virtually no authority to demand that the person is doing some legitimate business."

Borchers: "It may be a surprise to some people of the House, but you might be able to sell one walnut tree for nearly \$1,000 and we are having a good deal of trouble in the theft, the cutting and theft of walnut and some oak trees and some other trees, but mostly walnut. And I certainly hope that this Bill .. this Amendment will have some effect in decreasing the stealing of walnut logs."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Skinner to close."

Skinner: "Well, I think the Bill is totally unacceptable as it is now worded to the Department of Conservation. What we're trying to do is exempt the large commercial carriers who have... who are registered with the Illinois Commerce Commission and against whom we may take official retribution through the Illinois Commerce Commission if they do naughty things. We are not trying to exempt the guy who apparently now has removed to Representative Borchers District from my District. He cleaned out all the walnut trees in northern Illinois about five years ago and now apparently he's in Decatur cleaning out walnut trees. We're not trying to exempt him; We want the Department to be able to stop and demand this



type of from this type of person proof that he has purchased the property, purchased the logs. And perhaps in his explanation of vote, Representative Mulcahey could amplify on my explanation because I certainly admit I do not come from a logging family."

Speaker Redmond: "The question's on Representative Skinner's motion to adopt Amendment #1. Those in favor say 'aye' 'aye'; opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'aye's have it. The motion carried, the Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, Bower, amends Senate Bill 918, on page one by inserting at the end of line 15 the following and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bower."

Bower: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Amendment was drafted by the Department of Conservation ... would merely require that the person whose vehicle and forestry products were impounded if it became necessary due to this Act, would be responsible for the cost of impounding such vehicle in storage. I've discussed it with the Sponsor of the Bill and it's acceptable to him."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question's on the motion for the adoption of Amendment #2. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye'; opposed 'no'. The 'aye's have it. The motion carried. The Amendment 2 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading."



Speaker Redmond: "On page three, Senate Bill 47. Representative Kelly desires leave to return it to the Order of Second Reading. Does he have leave? Hearing no objections, leave is granted. Read the Amendment, Mr. Clerk. Bower's on deck. You're on deck."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #3, Leinenweber. Amends Senate Bill 47 as amended by House Amendment 2 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kelly. Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Excuse me a moment, your Honor. I'm leafing through my materials here."

Speaker Redmond: "Better get Art Simmons back there."

Leinenweber: "Amendment #3 is the Amendment which was debated extensively and Representative Kelly's House Bill 1202 which, unfortunately, is on the Spring Calendar and the same people who opposed it then, I'm sure are in opposition now and the same people who are for it then are for it now. It's the Amendment which gives the father of unborn child the right to petition the court to have the mother prevented from having an abortion. I would move its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Brummer."

Brummer: "Yes. Who's Amendment is this?"

Leinenweber: "Mine."

Speaker Redmond: "Leinenweber."

Brummer: "Do you have any assurance of the Constitutionality of this Amendment?"

Leinenweber: "No."

Brummer: "And..."

Leinenweber: "Other than my own view."

Brummer: "Other than Judge Leinenweber, haven't..."

Leinenweber: "Judge Catania thinks it's valid also."

Brummer: "Hasn't that type of provision previously been held unconstitutional?"

Leinenweber: "To my knowledge, there isn't any similar provision in the world."

Brummer: "And you're relatively confident of its Constitution-



ality that the adoption of this Amendment will not jeopardize the validity of the Constitutionality of the entire Bill."

Leinenweber: "Well, no because it's not... I mean there's nothing in the Bill which prevents severability."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I wonder if Mr. Leinenweber would tell us what the suggested legal fee is for filing this petition and the event it's filed and it's found unconstitutional what the costs are for the poor citizen."

Leinenweber: "The what?"

Giorgi: "What's the cost of filing the petition, you know, the suggested legal fee in the event it's filed and it's found unconstitutional, what does it cost?"

Leinenweber: "It's unconstitutional to suggest the legal fee and the petition would, there would be no cost involved in filing the petition."

Giorgi: "You mean he can walk into an attorney's office and they'll do it for nothing? You put that on the record."

Leinenweber: "Yeah, I'm sure there's plenty that would."

Giorgi: "Representative Kempiners."

Kempiners: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I'm going to respond to Representative Giorgi but then I do have a question for Representative Leinenweber. Under the current... Currently, there is a case that has been taken to court. It's my understanding the attorney fees will be in excess of \$100,000. We don't know the final total, but it will be in excess of 100,000 and the state will wind up paying both the defense and the people who brought the trial... the matter to court because of the way the current law is. So that's an answer to your question. But Representative Leinenweber, is this the, the Amendment that in, in discussing House Bill 1202 you indicated that a convicted rapist who may have raped a 13 year old girl would be able to apply to the court to prohibit her from having an abortion?"



Leinenweber: "That, that charge was made and..."

Kempiners: "I think that question was asked and you said that that ought to be the case if I'm not mistaken. Was that, was that the..."

Leinenweber: "But I don't think the convicted rapist would be able to do so."

Kempiners: "Why don't you think that? What language in the Amendment would prohibit that from occurring?"

Leinenweber: "He would undoubtedly be unable to give the financial and parental responsibility allegations which are necessary for him to be able to obtain the order from court."

Kempiners: "Would this mean then that perhaps a 15 year old boy friend would not be able to file the petition with the court also?"

Leinenweber: "The 15 year old boy friend might very well be able to, now whether or not the court would find the allegations of the complaint to be proved would be another thing."

Kempiners: "Well, I think. Representative Giorgi's common question about what the lawyers are going to get out of this is a very valid one and then I see a lot of problems from that regard and I would vote against the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further? Ques... Representative Leinenweber to close."

Leinenweber: "Well Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I, of course, am not an oracle. I've been wrong before and have been right before. In my opinion and in the opinion of others this Amendment is Constitutional. I can't obviously give any absolute assurances one way or another. I think it's a good Amendment and I would urge its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Questions on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment? Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', oppose 'no'. In the opinion of the chair the 'aye's have it. The motion carried. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"



Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4, Kelly. Amends Senate Bill 47 on page one by deleting line 1 through three and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kelly."

Kelly: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. Amendment #4 makes some technical changes that were necessary. Some commas and periods and so forth. There's a few new additions to the Bill with this Amendment. There's a new definition of conception and on parental and spousal notification it changes it from requiring one day before the procedure to an optional seven days before or after that the parents or spouse will be notified. So it's... and it also repeals the 1973 Abortion Act which, which should be off since the 1975 Abortion Act. A portion was held unconstitutional and this... So I move for the adoption of Amendment #4 to Senate Bill 47."

Speaker Flinn: "Any further discussion? Representative Greiman."

Greiman: "I just have a question. On one Section. There was a Section about, if I can find it, about dealing with fertilization outside of a woman, or of a human woman I guess it says. It says any person who intentionally causes the fertilization of human ovum by human sperm outside of the body of a living human female shall with regard to the human being thereby produce be deemed to have the care and custody of the child for the purpose of Section 4 or the Act to prevent and punish wrongs of children. Now does that mean that the doctor is liable has custody of the child, of the child? Is that what that means?"

Kelly: "I would say that it would probably move in that direction."

Greiman: "Well, so that; you mean, like if a doctor fertilizes..."

Kelly: "No, it doesn't allow the doctor to have possession of the child."

Greiman: "What is care and custody..."



Kelly: "What, what Section does that come in Represen..."

Greiman: "That would be on page 15, line 27 etc... We have there, it seems to indicate that the doctor who fertilizes them in a jar has to pay for their college education."

Kelly: "Any person, here's what it says. 'Any person who intentionally causes the fertilization of the human ovum by a human sperm outside the body of a living human female shall, with regard to the human being thereby produced, be deemed to have the care and the custody of the child for the purpose of Section 4 of this Act to prevent and punish wrongs to children. Approved May 17, 1877. This is applicable to an earlier Act and that's why it was felt that this Section should be added.'"

Greiman: "Well, that's what I'm saying. What is, what is care and custody of a child within Section 4 of the Act to prevent and punish wrongs to children? What is the standard of the care and what's the extent of the care and custody that the doctor would have once he fertilizes them in the jar?" Will they call him Dad or what? You know."

Kelly: "No."

Greiman: "Well, I think you're saying you don't know the answer. Is that correct, Representative Kelly?"

Kelly: "That's right."

Greiman: "You don't know the answer so should we go ahead even though you don't have an answer for us or you want to take it out of the record?"

Kelly: "No, I don't want to take it out of the record."

Greiman: "Even though you can't answer the question? Oh, well, OK."

Kelly: "I think, I think I pointed out that this applies to an Act of May 17, 1877 and it was in here to, it had to be added to this Section so... This proposal was, was drafted by the legal staff of the Americans United for Life, pro-life... pro-life attorneys and they felt that this Section was needed and I don't see anything wrong with it Representative."



Speaker Flinn: "Representative Kempiners."

Kempiners: "Yes will the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Flinn: "Indicates he will."

Kempiners: "On page 7, line 4 you indicated that a person who has been adjudged a disabled person in addition to the minor provision and has had a guardian appointed for her would have to receive consent of the, the guardian or the parent. Could you describe for me what you mean by a person who has been adjudged a disabled person?"

Kelly: "First of all, it does not require any parental consent. There is parental consent under this Bill, or under this Amendment for that fact. This, all it does is require consultation procedure and it requires notification to the parents. It does not require any form of written consent from the parents."

Kempiners: "Alright, I'll buy that but I still want to know what you mean by that phrase, 'adjudged a disabled person'."

Kelly: "A, a disabled person would be a..."

Kempiners: "No. Adjudged a disabled person. I know what a disabled person is but what is an adjudged disabled person?"

Kelly: "That is in lieu of an incompetent person."

Kempiners: "So you're talking about somebody who has been adjudged incompetent for mental reasons."

Kelly: "That isn't what the statute calls it under the Act which was, which was adopted last year."

Kempiners: "It's a good thing you've got your attorney there advising you on that. One other question. With regard to microscopic gross and microscopic inspection. It's my understanding you provide that must be performed in one day?"

Kelly: "I can't hear what you're saying and I'd appreciate it if you'd speak a little louder and also if you point out what Section or page..."

Kempiners: "Page 21."

Kelly: "Twenty-one?"



Kempiners: "Twenty-one."

Kelly: "What's your question?"

Kempiners: "I'm sorry. Page 22, Section 12. With regard to the, the, the dead fetus that a gross and microscopic analysis and tissue report has to be submitted. What are the provisions with regard to that? There may be some cases that would be sent to laboratories outside this state and I'm a little concerned about the number of days that you provide during which it has to be performed."

Kelly: "What do you... What do you think it ought to be?"

Kempiners: "Well, I'm just, I'm just... you know, I'm not familiar with this type of procedure and I'm wondering what you think it is. It's my understanding that there is some, sometimes there, these samples are sent to laboratories in California and I'm just wondering what you're getting at when you put a time limitation in."

Kelly: "Yeah, I think seven days is sufficient time to cover that problem. That's what..."

Kempiners: "Yeah, I understand that but I, what I'm asking is what the purpose for it is."

Kelly: "It's part of the original Bill."

Kempiners: "Representative, that's not my question. What's the purpose of a time limit for these inspections or these determinations?"

Kelly: "It's a, it's necessary because we are getting into other areas where we're handling analysis of not only dead fetuses but living. And if there isn't a time limit then they would never have to do it and that's why we have to have that particular Section."

Kempiners: "Well, OK... you didn't answer my question."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Darrow. Gentleman from Rock Island, Representative Darrow."

Darrow: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman has moved to previous question. Question is shall the main question be put? All those in



favor say 'aye', those oppose 'no'. The ayes have it.
Representative Kelly to close."

Kelly: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. As I pointed out, this Amendment has some very, for the most part, insignificant technical changes and it, it's made these other adjustments which we've talked about and it... I would ask for your favorable support of the Amendment #4 to Senate Bill 47."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman's moved to adopt Amendment #4 to Senate Bill #47. All those in favor vote 'aye' and those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 98... 99 voting aye and 31 voting no and Amendment #4 is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Flinn: "Third Reading. Representative Bower has requested to.. asked leave rather to move Senate Bill 628 back to Second Reading for the purposes of an Amendment. Does he have leave? Hearing no objection, leave is granted. The Bill is on Second Reading. Read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2, John Dunn, amends Senate Bill 628 on page one by deleting line 10 and so forth."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative John Dunn."

Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Amendment has been agreed to by the Sponsor and myself and I would urge its adoption."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 628. All those in favor say 'aye' and those opposed.. The 'aye's have it. Amendment #2 is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Flinn: "Third Reading. Does the Gentleman have leave to leave that on Short Debate? Hearing no objections, leave is granted. Will you have another Amendment? Fur-



ther Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #3, Bower, amends Senate Bill 628 on page one by inserting after line 31 the following, Section 2 and so forth."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Bower."

Bower: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it's merely to correct a technical error. It gives it an effective date it will become effective immediately upon adoption."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Bower moves that we adopt Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 628. All those in favor say 'aye'. Those opposed 'no'. The 'aye's have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Bower: "I'd like to have leave to leave it on Short Debate."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman have leave to leave this on Short Debate, Third Reading? Hearing no objections, leave is granted. It's on Short Debate, Third Reading. Representative Getty has made a request to move Senate Bill 622 back for the purpose of Amendment. Does the Gentleman have leave? Hearing no objections, 622 is on Second Reading. Read the Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2, Johnson, amends Senate Bill 622 on page one by deleting line 9 and so forth."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Tim Johnson."

Johnson: "I believe this Amendment has the agreement of the Sponsor of the Bill and it specifies ... specifies certain classes of weapons that are to be considered nuisances for purposes of the Act and I move for its adoption."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentle... Representative Brummer."

Brummer: "Yes, I wonder if we could have a little more detailed explanation of this Amendment. This is a Bill that has created a good deal of controversy previously here. And I would like to know what the Amendment provides."



Speaker Flinn: "Representative Johnson."

Johnson: "I'll yield the floor to Representative Getty. I think he can give you a better explanation than I."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Getty."

Getty: "It limits the weapons to those weapons specified in subparagraph one, two, or three of paragraph A of Section 24-1. That would be slingshots and 'Molotov cocktails' and things of that nature. Representative Johnson's position was that he did not want it to affect guns and it doesn't."

Brummer: "You mean they could.. the controversy seemed to me previously a matter of confiscation without some due process, protection and so forth. Now they could take slingshots without that due process protection, but not guns?"

Getty: "That part will be taken care of in a subsequent Amendment that either Representative Johnson or Stearney is offering. There's 3 Amendments."

Brummer: "Are you saying if we adopt Amendment #2 it deletes all references to guns?"

Getty: "That is correct."

Speaker Flinn: "Any further discussion? If not, Representative Johnson has moved that we adopt Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 622. All those in favor say 'aye', 'aye'; those opposed 'no'. The 'aye' have it. Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, Johnson, amends Senate Bill 622 as amended in Section 1 and so forth."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman from Champaign, Representative Johnson."

Johnson: "Again, I believe this Amendment has the agreement of the Sponsor. It's one I introduced at his request and I'll ask Representative Getty to elucidate more."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Getty. The Gentleman yields to Representative Getty."



Getty: "I have no objections to the Amendment, same purpose."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Brummer."

Brummer: "Could someone please explain what the Amendment does?"

Speaker Flinn: "Nobody knows. Representative Getty."

Getty: "It reinstates the prior law that was stricken in the Bill as introduced relative to disposition of guns so that the law would remain the same. This would be viewed as a technical Amendment so that we would still have our existing law relative to guns. It reinstates the previously stricken part in the main Bill that would have been deleted had we left it in the way 1622 was voted on on the floor."

Speaker Flinn: "Further discussion? Representative Waddell."

Waddell: "I don't know, but this sounds like a lot of mumbo jumbo without getting down to what it really does. Would you mind telling me whether a gun then can be destroyed as evidence after the year?"

Getty: "No..."

Waddell: "Where do we stand?"

Getty: "All Amendment #3 is doing is putting the Bill so that it reflects what is now the existing law. The way the Bill was drafted, it would have removed our present law relative to disposition of weapons. So we're putting the Bill in the shape that I think the people who oppose the Bill on the floor would now find acceptable relative to guns."

Waddell: "Thank you."

Speaker Flinn: "Further discussion? If not, Representative Johnson, has moved for the adoption of Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 622. All those in favor say 'aye'; 'aye'. Those opposed 'no'. The 'aye's have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4, Stearney-Johnson-Ewell-Kosinski, amends Senate Bill 622 as amended by deleting subparagraph D and so forth."



Speaker Flinn: "Representative Johnson."

Johnson: "Amendment #4 provides the return of a weapon to a person lawfully entitled to weapons. The defendant is entitled to return of the weapon he or she must be acquitted or charges dismissed. It also provides the confiscation provisions will be applied only where an unlawful use of weapons violations have been charged and so forth. So I move for its adoption. I believe this has the agreement of the Sponsor of the Bill."

Speaker Flinn: "Further discussion? If not, the Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #4 to Senate Bill 622. All those in favor say 'aye', 'aye'; those opposed say 'no'. The 'aye's have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Flinn: "Return it to the consideration Postponed on the Calendar. Have a request to return Senate Bill 93 to Second Reading for the purpose of tabling an Amendment or two and putting on a new one which will correct problems I understand. Do we have leave? Hearing no objection, leave is granted. The Bill is on Second Reading. Representative Hudson has moved to table Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 93. Amendment #1. Any discussion? If not, the Gentleman has moved to table Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 93. All those in favor say 'aye'; 'aye'; those opposed. The 'aye's have it. He's also moved to table Amendment #3. Withdraw 3, we didn't act on that. Does the Gentleman have leave to withdraw Amendment #3? Leave. further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4, Hudson-Dyer, amends Senate Bill 93 on page one by deleting line one and two and so forth."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Hudson."

Hudson: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #4 simply replaces... it corrects a technical error in Amendment #3"



which has been withdrawn. I have the permission of the Sponsor, Representative Flinn, so it corrects a technical error and leaves the Bill in the shape that we both feel it should be. And I would move the adoption."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #4 to Senate Bill 93. All those in favor say 'aye', 'aye'; those opposed. The 'aye's have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Flinn: "Third Reading. On page 20.. or page 2 rather of the Calendar, appears Senate Bills, Third Reading, Short Debate Calendar. The first Bill under that Order is Senate Bill 263. Representative Griesheimer, is he on the floor? He's not on the floor. Take that out of the record. Is Marovitz here? I don't see him. Take that out of the record. 617, Representative Cullerton, you want to go with that one? Take that out of the record. Representative Bower on 628. You just took that back and amended it, didn't you? Did you put an Amendment on it or take one off? Well we can't hear it today, I'm sorry. Made a change in the Bill. Senate Bill 975, Wikoff. Read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 975, a Bill for an Act creating the Attorney General's Environmental Protection Trust Fund. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Wikoff."

Wikoff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Bill creates an Attorney General's Environmental Trust Fund which amends the Attorney General Act which would allow him to accept, receive and administer grants, gifts, loans, or other funds made available for the purpose of environmental protection and related enforcement. This was amended with an agreed Amendment by Representative Getty which puts all of this subject to the approval of a Trust Fund Commission com-



posed of various Members, Director of Institute of Natural Resources, Chairman of the Pollution Control Board, Attorney General and Environmental Protection Agency..."

Speaker Flinn: "Any further discussion? If not, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 975...' Representative Braun.
Representative Braun."

Braun: "No objections, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Flinn: "Okay. The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 975 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye' and those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 116 voting 'aye' and one voting 'nay' and this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Alright, I don't see Representative Pierce on the floor for the next one. Leave that out. Representative Jim Reilly. Senate Bill 1072. Read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1072, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Highway Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Jim Reilly."

Reilly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill (sic) 1072 as originally introduced merely made a clarifying change in the Highway Codes some language. Representative Ewing added on an Amendment that provides standards for highway signing. I would ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Brummer."

Brummer: "Yes, I wonder if the Sponsor would mind holding this. I was advised yesterday after Representative Ewing's Amendment went on that the ... that that Amendment jeopardized federal funds coming into our highway program. I was told that by a representative of the Department of Transportation. He was going to advise me of some specifics with regard to that and I don't want to



do anything that may jeopardize our federal funds that we're receiving for our highways."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Reilly."

Reilly: "Yeah, I understood Representative Ewing to say it did not. But if you've still got a problem I'll be glad to take it out and.. but I would appreciate your getting with Tom and letting me know whether you've resolved it or not."

Speaker Flinn: "Out of the record, request of the Sponsor.

Representative Stuffle on the floor anyplace? Representative Leinenweber on 1232. Read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1232, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to revise the law in relation to coroners. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 1232 is part of the coroner's package. We had some discussion on it a couple of days ago. It amends the Coroner's Act by requiring coroners to take blood and urine samples to analyze for alcohol or drugs. When a coroner suspects drugs a toxilological examination is to be made by a toxicologists. All information.... There was an Amendment put on which had some question about whether it could be used later and I think that particular problem is cleared up. Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, this is my last Bill of the Session. I would appreciate an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Flinn: "Any further discussion? If not, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 1232 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye'; those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 116 voting 'aye' and 12 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Is Representative E.M. Barnes on the floor within earshot?"



Representative Peters, you want to take that out of the record? How about Representative Gene Hoffman? Representative Daniels. You want to handle this Bill? 1423, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1423, a Bill for an Act in relation to the fiscal support of county convalescent and nursing homes. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Daniels."

Daniels: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 1423 amends the Act concerning counties... county homes and townships. It transfers the authority to levy taxes for a county nursing home in excess of the general tax limitation on townships. This Bill applies to DuPage County in that it has a population classification applied to only one county. The reason for the Bill is the in DuPage County some of our townships have withdrawn their support for the county home and now this Bill would apply and transfer that taxing authority to the county so that we can support our county home. I know of no opposition to this Bill."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Hudson."

Hudson: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Flinn: "Indicates he will."

Hudson: "Representative Daniels, is this the Bill dealing with county homes that was amended to include the provision that the... county Board Chairman..."

Daniels: "There was an Amendment..."

Hudson: "Has the veto vote?"

Daniels: "There was an Amendment offered by Representative Dyer Amendment #1 which gives the county Board Chairman veto power in counties where he is elected at large."

Hudson: "Yes, thank you very much."

Speaker Flinn: "Any further discussion? If not, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 1423 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye' and those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted



who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 126 voting 'aye' and 3 voting 'nay'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On Priority of Call Calendar, we'll start right where the... right at the top. We went all the way through, so Representative Taylor, are you ready to go with 971? Out of the record. Is Representative Terzich on the floor? I don't see him. Take that one out of the record. Representative Tim Johnson."

Johnson: "Well, I don't have any solution cause we're talking to the wrong people. It's like telling people who are in church that people ought to be religious. But we're here today and we're working on Saturday. There's an awful lot of people who are gone and I really personally resent going by Bill after Bill after Bill while we just sit here supposedly doing our work while other people are in Chicago or wherever they are. I wish we could have some sort of order where we could get to these Bills and get them done and get out of here."

Speaker Flinn: "Well, maybe I could ask for volunteers with Bills. How's that? Okay? Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "I have an alternative idea and that is if the guy isn't here, I move we table the Bill."

Speaker Flinn: "I don't buy that. You ruin my reputation. Representative Daniels."

Daniels: "I would be more than happy to pass Senate Bill 725, if the Body would agree with me."

Speaker Flinn: "Okay. You were next up anyway. The other two Sponsors ahead of you weren't here. Senate Bill 725."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 725, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to revise the law in relation to counties. Third Reading of the Bill."

Daniels: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 725 amends the Counties Act to empower the County



Board to establish a cash reimbursement fund to pay in cash warrants and other demands that may be presented for payment in cash. There was an Amendment offered to the Bill which limited these funds to payments of bills for no more than 100 dollars at a time. I know of no opposition to the Bill. It cleared the Committee very handily and passed the Senate earlier without any opposition. I know of no opposition to this Bill. It is no new tax, no."

Speaker Flinn: "Any further discussion? If not, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill ...' Representative Brummer."

Brummer: "I wonder if the Sponsor would yield?"

Speaker Flinn: "Indicates he will."

Brummer: "Representative Daniels, is there anything in here which authorizes.. Does this authorize the county to levy a new tax to create this fund?"

Daniels: "No."

Speaker Flinn: "Any other discussion? If not, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 725 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye' and those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 135 voting 'aye', 2 voting 'nay', and this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Is Van Dwyne on the floor? Langdon Patrick, he's always here. You want to hear 854, Representative Patrick? Out of the record. Representative Braun. She was here a moment ago. You want to hear your Bill, 870? Read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 870, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the County Hospitals and Governing Commission Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Braun."

Braun: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this legislation amends the County Hospital Governing Commission Act. It changes the name of the Commission



it specifies its role in health care planning, and brings it under the Illinois Health Facilities Act for the purposes of long term debts in capital construction. It revises the Commission's accounting bases and its short term debt limitations. It requires the publication of annual reports and filing of audited financial statements to the State Comptroller. Let me say to the Ladies and Gentlemen of the House that this is primarily an accounting Bill. It only conforms and brings the accounting practice of the Cook County Hospital Governing Commission into compliance... or brings it upto date so that they may now use for example, a cash..accrual basis accounting as opposed to their previous methods. I urge your favorable consideration."

Speaker Flinn: "Any further discussion? Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Flinn: "Indicates she will."

Vinson: "Representative Braun, on page 4 of the Bill, in the new language on line 4 through 8, would you explain that to us please?"

Braun: "On page 4?"

Vinson: "Yes, Ma'am."

Braun: "I.. Representative Vinson, I only see the language from lines 6 through 10. Is that what you're referring to, unless we have the same..t different copies?"

Vinson: "The language in part... Maybe you're looking at a later version of the Bill, but the language I'm talking about reads, 'to receive and spend for the purpose of providing health care services,' and so forth."

Braun: "Yes. That again, is primarily technical. That authority already exists. This Amendment says that the hospital may spend health purpose.... for health purposes all subsidies from the federal government or the state provided that such expenses do not exceed the annual appropriation authorizations from the County Board. So it



doesn't really give them any... there's not really anything really substantive with regard to how much money they can spend. It just says they can spend that which is appropriated and not beyond that."

Vinson: "But they can't spend in excess... They could not spend subsidies or grants in excess of what the county appropriates.."

Braun: "Appropriates.."

Vinson: "Is that right?"

Braun: "That's exactly right."

Vinson: "Very good. Now, as I understand this, you authorize the Board through the Health Facilities ... the State Health Facilities Authority to issue bonds or notes based on uncollected receivables, is that correct?"

Braun: "No, Sir. There's been a change on that with the Amendment #1, which .. and you have Amendment #1. On page 6, line 4. It can only... That can only happen with regard to uncollected receivables after reasonable amounts of uncollected accounts. I'm not an accountant and I can't really go through the necessity for this change in the language..... Mr. Cronson suggested that this language was important with regard to allowing ... with regard to conforming the language of this Bill to modern accounting practices."

Vinson: "May I speak to the Bill, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Flinn: "Proceed."

Vinson: "What this Bill does in addition to the one highly desirable step of limiting the amount of the subsidy which the Board can spend in saying that they can't have a subsidy in excess of the local money that goes into the hospital and that is a desirable feature of the Bill and if that were the only feature I would be in favor of it. But what this Bill does in addition to that is to permit the hospital in essence to finance itself on the basis of its receivables. What that means



is that on the money that they have not collected they can issue bonds and notes. The problem with that is that impugns the entire credit of the state and the local government involved and the hospital because we don't know whether those receivables will ever be received. That's the fundamental problem. Now it's not as bad I admit in the current form as it was before the Lady from Cook amended it, but it's still bad. It still has us financing Cook County Hospital on the basis of receivables. What that means is money that we don't know whether it will ever be collected, which has a history in fact of not being collected, is going to be used as a basis for financing the hospital. That's bad and when that money... when those bonds have to be paid off, and when they have not collected those receivables, they're going to come down here and ask us for more money. The whole problem with this hospital is that it's improperly managed and it's not run well and we have to find an alternative to Cook County Hospital. This Bill doesn't do that. It tries... It's a back door effort again to involve the state in a subsidy and I would urge its defeat."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Conti."

Conti: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the most objectionable part about this Bill that I find is that it allows the Commission to undertake long term debts for major capital construction and they also do levy a small tax in the county, but most of the funding comes from the state and the federal government. Now, we find ourselves in the position with our road program that in order for us to get some of the money from the federal government, we have to match the funds here or we have to have the vehicle to provide enough money to get the federal funds. So what they would do even though there's a small tax levy now, it's going to be



increased so that we can get more money at a future date from the federal and the state government. I oppose this Bill. I'd like to have everybody take a good look at this and... before they vote on it."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Doug Huff."

Huff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'm rising not so much in support of this Bill which I would if it comes down to the vote, but I'm taking exception to remarks made by one of the Representatives on the other side of the aisle in which he talks about receivables. As I understand the basis for these receivables they are constituted by payments that are owed the hospital for services given to indigents under the charge of the Department of Public Aid. And I think that this point should be made out... should be brought out that it's the hospital's financial situation is not predicated so much on as the other Members of the House would have you to believe on mismanagement, but just on the fact that the Department of Public Aid has refused to discharge its statutory obligations and those are the reasons, Ladies and Gentlemen, and not by any reasons of misrepresentation that's been coming from the other side of the aisle."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Braun, to close."

Braun: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I think I'm going to take this Bill out of the record, but before I do, I want to say that I knew when I presented this Bill that it would cause hackles to go up because the words Cook County Hospital Governing Commission were in it. By and large this legislation is technical only. It is an accounting piece of legislation. It doesn't let the county... Cook County Hospital do anything that is not already in the law. In fact, it brings and sets into the law a more accurate standard which counties should deal with its financial affairs. With regard to the provision on financing, on receivables, Representative Huff is exactly right. All



that this would do would be to allow the county to do ... to use some part ... some part of what our tec.. are its assets as a basis for debt. However, because and until I have talked with the Leadership on the other side of the aisle that have some understanding about this piece of legislation instead of the confusion it seems to have engendered, I will pull this Bill out of the record, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Flinn: "Out of the record, request of the Sponsor. Representative Catania, on the floor. I don't see her around. Representative Taylor, Jim Taylor. He was here. He's gone now. Representative Vinson. On Senate Bill 1123, you ready? Read 1123. Read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1123, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Municipal Retirement Article of the Illinois Pension Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. This is a Bill which very frankly I had picked up for vehicle purposes and found that it was unnecessary to have a vehicle. So I'm just going to present the Bill as it was passed in the Senate by Senator Egan. It's a very simple housekeeping Bill for the IMRF which simply says that brings our practice in accord with new federal regulation on the remittance of Social Security. Says that the units involved in IMRF shall remit the Social Security funds separately from their other remittances to the Board. And I would urge a favorable vote."

Speaker Flinn: "Any further discussion? If not, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 1123 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye' and those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 124 voting 'aye' and one voting 'nay' and this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed."



Representative Woodyard, is he here? Yes. Read his Bill. The next Bill is Senate Bill 1268."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1268, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Drainage Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Woodyard."

Woodyard: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. Senate Bill 1268 amends the Illinois Drainage District Act. It provides that the Drainage District Commissioners must now mail notification of assessment levy ^{to} only those partial owners in unincorporated areas... those parcel owners in a municipality may be mailed notification of assessment levy at the option of the Commissioners. Through the efforts of Representative Brummer I think we removed all of the objections to this Bill and I would ask your favorable support."

Speaker Flinn: "Any further discussion? If not, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 1268 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye'; those opposed vote 'no'. All voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 126 voting 'aye' and 2 voting 'nay'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. 278, Waddell. Is he ready to go? Read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 278, a Bill for an Act to amend the Horse Racing Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman from Kane, Representative Waddell."

Waddell: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill is in two different parts. That portion which was the original Bill which the Department of Law Enforcement asks to have the changes made in the collection for services from the race track operators, in other words, we had furnished the law enforcement service. Originally we had in the law the fact that this should be collected each month. That was impossible for the simple reason that the formula was based on attendance and they didn't



have the figures. Therefore, they were collecting on a quarterly basis. Well Enforcement found out that the best thing to do was to collect on a yearly basis and therefore, this is the reason of the Bill. Inasmuch as this Bill was the only vehicle left in town, the second portion of it then came along which relates to betting in other states and currently it is disallowed and you can't transmit racing information on the same day from one state to the other. However, the analysis is not quite correct either because it leaves out a portion of the Amendment that was advanced by Representative Collins and Greiman. The second portion of it says that such betting shall be allowed and that it will be under the control of the Racing Board and that any contracts made would be by the approval and with the approval of the Board. An example would be the State of New York, if they are going to use the racing information and the races as they are run in the State of Illinois as a basis for betting, then they in turn...."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Waddell, let me interrupt you a minute. I think there's going to be some problems with this Bill while there's not a very big crowd here let's take this out of the record and take it out of the record and move to something a little less cause.. problems."

Waddell: "I don't think there is. If you'll take a look at Second Reading you'll find out that there was only one person in opposition and only one vote on the Board in opposition. ... Neither did the opposition."

Speaker Flinn: "Well, let's take it out of the record. This is no time to start a war. On page 6, listed under Second Reading, Short Debate, appears Senate Bill 1395. Read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1395, a Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1,2, and 3 have been adopted in Committee."



Speaker Flinn: "Any motions pertaining to these Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No motions filed."

Speaker Flinn: "Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #4, Bowman-Braun, amends Senate Bill 1395 on page 1 in line 5 by inserting and so forth."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Braun."

Braun: "Mr. Speaker, I defer to Representative Bowman."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #4 provides for changes in the consolidated election code such that under Amendment #4 all of the election dates provided for in the consolidated election schedule may be used to fill aldermanic vacancies in the city of Chicago. The Amendment further deletes the new power which we had given to the Mayor of Chicago to make appointments to fill vacancies in the office of Alderman. The power was.. is not presently in the hands of the Mayor. This would be new power which would be deleted before the law goes into effect in December of 1980 if this Amendment were adopted. Basically, this Amendment applies only to the city of Chicago. It does not apply anywhere else in the State of Illinois and really brings the new consolidated election code into conformance with roughly.. with the present practices. So I ask an affirmative Roll Call."

Speaker Flinn: "Any further discussion? Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Will the Sponsor yield please?"

Speaker Flinn: "Indicates he will."

Cullerton: "For the aldermanic elections, the regularly scheduled aldermanic elections the next one would be in February of 1984. Is that correct? February of 1983? "

Bowman: "That's correct."

Cullerton: "February of 1983. Does this Amendment require that there be an election for Alderman in November of 1982?"



Bowman: "No.. it doesn't require it."

Cullerton: "Well, could there be..."

Bowman: "Yes, there could be."

Cullerton: "Okay. So that..."

Bowman: "Well let me tell you the circumstances under which there could be such an election. If a vacancy occurred within a particular time frame, namely any time prior to 88 days before the spring election of that year and 88 days before the November of the same year. Any time in that time in that time window and only in that time window would then you have such an election."

Cullerton: "But then if you had an election in November, that's only 3 months before the regularly scheduled election, and if there was a run-off you'd be having 3 elections within 3 months. Correct? Under those circumstances."

Bowman: "Well, Representative Cullerton, that's the.. your arithmetic is impeccable, but I think what you have to realize too is that the seat would conceivably if you didn't have such an election, the seat conceivably would have been vacant for almost a year and you certainly wouldn't want that to happen, I'm sure."

Cullerton: "Okay. I just wanted to make clear what the Amendment did. Thank you."

Speaker Flinn: "Any further discussion? If not, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #4 be adopted to Senate Bill 1395?' All those in favor say 'aye'; those opposed. The 'aye's have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Flinn: "Third Reading. Well on page 2, under House Bills, Second Reading, is House Bill 1400. Representative Yourell, before we get this Bill called, are you asking leave to leave this 1395 on Short Debate? Does the Gentleman have leave? Hearing no objections, will remain on Short Debate. House Bill 1400."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1400, a Bill for an Act creating the



Department of Commerce. Second Reading of the Bill.

Amendments #1,4, and 5 have been adopted in Committee."

Speaker Flinn: "Any motions pertaining to these Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No motions filed."

Speaker Flinn: "Further Amendments? Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #6, Bullock, amends engrossed House Bill 1400 on page 2 line 23 by deleting, 'and 49.06 c' and inserting in lieu thereof the following."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Bullock."

Bullock: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to withdraw the motion.. the Amendment."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman withdraws the Amendment. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #7, Bullock, amends engrossed House Bill 1400 on page 2 line 17 by inserting immediately after '46.1' the following."

Speaker Flinn : "Representative Bullock."

Bullock: "I'd like to withdraw Amendment #7."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman withdraws Amendment #7. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #8, Bullock, amends engrossed House Bill 1400 on page 2 line 17 by inserting immediately after '14.1' the following."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Bullock."

Bullock: "Amendment #8, Mr. Speaker, I think the Sponsor agreed to this Amendment. If not, I certainly would table it. This Amendment just transfers the function for administration of the CETA program to the Department of Labor."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Hallock. Hallock."

Hallock: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I do not agree with this Amendment and I would ask that he would table the Amendment before I speak on its merits."

Bullock: "Alright. We'll withdraw Amendment #8."



Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman withdraws Amendment #8. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #9, Bullock-Getty-McClain, amends engrossed House Bill 1400 on page 2 line 16 and 17 and so forth."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Bullock."

Bullock: "I withdraw Amendment #9."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman withdraws Amendment #9. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #10, Bullock-Getty-McClain, amends engrossed House Bill 1400 on page 2 line 16 by inserting immediately before '6.018' the following."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Bullock."

Bullock: "I withdraw Amendment #10."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman withdraws Amendment #10. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Flinn: "Third Reading. Back on the Priority of Call. We'll go to Senate Bill 830. Representative McAuliffe, are you ready to move that Bill, 830? 830."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 830, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the expenses of the Court of Claims. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative McAuliffe."

McAuliffe: "This Bill appropriates 3 million 901 thousand dollars for the Court of Claims. Move for its passage."

Speaker Flinn: "Any further discussion? If not, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 830 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye'; those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 136 'aye's, 1 voting 'no'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 10, Representative Huskey's on deck."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill #10, a Bill for an Act to amend



a Section of the Parental Responsibility law. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Huskey."

Huskey: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 10 is a parental responsibility Amendment that merely increases parental damage responsibility from 500 to 1,000 dollars. We passed one out of the House the other day at \$1500, so it's giving the Governor the choice of which one he would want. So I move for its adoption."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think this is an excellent Bill and as some of you remember I did try to improve it or amend it on Second Reading and even though I was not successful in adding an Amendment that I thought would help the Bill a lot, I still think it's a good policy and a good Bill. And I concur as a Joint Sponsor with Representative Huskey that we should send this to the Governor's desk so that he does have a choice between the Bill that has gone out of the Senate and I would urge favorable 'yes' votes on this Bill."

Speaker Flinn: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 10 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye'; those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Representative Mugalian."

Mugalian: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I don't think anything I say or anybody else says will cause us to defeat this Bill, but I just want to remind you that this is an absolute liability Bill. Exactly what it is, it imposes absolute liability on parents for actions of their children over which they may have no control. It's one of the worst Bills in the history of the State of Illinois. The Bill should be repealed.... The law should be repealed. What this Bill does is increase the absolute liability. You wouldn't allow this thing to happen in commerce. You wouldn't allow it to happen in other areas



where the State has jurisdiction, but you do it in this area where, in effect, you treat children worse than you do dogs. Because you have no responsibility for the actions of your dog unless you know it has propensity for causing damage. Here, we treat children worse than dogs. We say if a child does something and causes damage the parent is absolutely responsible. There's no defense. There's no approximate cause. It's just too bad."

Speaker Flinn: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Borchers."

Borchers: "First, just a point of history. It may surprise you to know that this Bill I put in this Bill ten years .. 1969. And it's now being passed. I believe that it's time to remember that boys and girls, they're supposed to think. They 're not supposed to be like dogs."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Yeah, I would just make a comment. The Gentleman from Cook who spoke against the Bill, he was both right and wrong. I think it's a bad Bill and I'm voting 'no'. I'm following his lead. However, under the State Animal Act the owner of a dog is responsible absolutely for the actions of his dog so, in Illinois, now, with this Bill, we do treat children like dogs."

Speaker Flinn: "Further discussion? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 117 voting 'aye', and 30 voting 'nay'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Let's see, we amended Senate Bill 47. We'll go to Senate Bill 50. Is Representative McPike... Are you ready for 50? Read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 50, a Bill for an Act creating the Mississippi River Parkway Commission. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative McPike."



McPike: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, there exists on a national level the .. a National Mississippi River Parkway Commission. Every state that borders the Mississippi River, that's ten states, plus three provinces in Canada, are .. are represented on this national Commission, with one exception and that is the State of Illinois. The Commission is responsible for two things. One is to promote and to secure federal funding for the Great River Road and two, to promote tourism along that River Road. Without proper representation for our State, Illinois finds itself in a very awkward position. For example, in the 49th District right outside of the city where I live, it's probably one of the most scenic highways in Illinois with the Mississippi fifty feet on one side and with sheer 200 foot limestone bluffs about 50 feet on the other side of the highway. This is a twenty mile strip but it is not designated as the Great River Road. Missouri along this stretch of the river is designated as the state to receive funding although Missouri is a flood plain. Thus Illinois had no opportunity to share in any federal money for this particular strip of highway. And the same thing would exist throughout the entire length of our state along the Mississippi. We simply do not have adequate representation. So Senate Bill 50 very simply creates a State Commission so that we can participate at the national level. I would ask for a favorable Roll Call on this."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 50, because it was amended, is a better Bill than it was when it left Committee, but not much better. It creates a new Commission which is ... and there's an appropriation Senate Bill 62 for \$35,000 for the first year of this Commission. My guess will be that next year it will be maybe \$60,000 and then on up. The stated purposes for



this Commission is one, I guess, control the waters for federal money, and two, to promote tourism. Well we already have the Department of Business and Economic Development to do the latter and I don't know why we need to create a new Commission and fund it with \$35,000 to compete or to duplicate the activities of the Business and Econ... the Department of Business and Economic Development. Whether we want to spend our state money in search of bigger and better dollars in the federal level I don't know either. It's still taxpayers' money no matter whether it comes from Washington or from Springfield. So we're spending taxpayers' money in order to get more taxpayers' money. I don't think that's a good idea. This Commission will be around probably forever and I would urge a 'no' vote on Senate Bill 50."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Huskey. Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "If we vote for this Bill will it allow us to justify voting against Jaws II? Will we get enough federal money so that we won't need to have Jaws II to get you money to improve this highway? He shrugs. How about a maybe? It might help pass the Bill."

McPike: "Who are you talking to?"

Skinner: "You. You're the Sponsor of the Bill."

McPike: "Well, what's the question?"

Skinner: "Will this help us get more federal money for highways?"

McPike: "We certainly hope that we'll get Illinois their adequate share of money for the Great River Road, their fair share which they're not now receiving. I have no idea what it does for Jaws II."

Skinner: "But does it.. Can you give us a magnitude? Is it tens of millions, millions, or hundreds of millions that we're talking about that might.. we might be able to get into 'forgottonia' and north and south? "

McPike: "That's a good estimate I think, yes."

Skinner: "One of those three?"

McPike: "Yes."



Skinner: "That's very precise. Is it animal, vegetable or mineral?"

Speaker Flinn: "Repres.... The Gentleman from Coles, Representative Stuffle."

Stuffle: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question. The question is, 'Shall the main question be put?' All those in favor say 'aye'; those opposed.. The 'aye's have it. The main question is put. The Sponsor is recognized to close. Representative McPike."

McPike: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I recognize and everyone else does that we have a lot of Commissions and that every new Commission created is probably not absolutely necessary. And I would say that even though I'm the Sponsor of this Bill and it creates a new Commission. Sometimes you have to look at not only the fact that it is a new Commission, but why is it necessary? And I think I tried to explain that as adequately as I could. The appropriation for this is not \$35,000. The appropriation is \$17,000. We do not anticipate it growing. There will be no executive staff. The money is simply for the expenses of the Commission and to pay a \$5,000 fee to belong to the National Commission for lobbying purposes. It's a minimal amount of money. It's not anticipated to grow and it's necessary for one reason and that is that we're the only state along the Mississippi that's not represented at the national level for lobbying purposes when monies are set aside by Congress and the last money set aside by Congress for this purpose were approximately 75 million dollars. Illinois did not get their fair share of that. Any future money that is going to be set aside by Congress all we're asking is that this State be properly represented on the Commission so that when the Great River Road is designated at least portions, portions of the Illinois that borders the Mississippi will be able to



share in that funding. I would ask for support on this."
 Speaker Flinn: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 50 pass?'

All those in favor vote 'aye', and all those opposed
 vote 'no'. Representative Kent, to explain her vote.
 One minute, the timer's on."

Kent: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The Great River and Mississippi
 Parkway Commission, Illinois did have Members there not
 too many years ago and for some reason the Legislature
 in their wisdom cut it out. We are the only state along
 the Mississippi Parkway that are not in this Commission
 federally. Can you imagine driving up from the mouth
 to the Louisiana on the Mississippi River and every
 time you got to Illinois why they would either cross
 and go down Iowa or they would go down Missouri side and
 we would miss it all? We have beautiful stretches along
 the Mississippi, let's join in this group."

Speaker Flinn: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who
 wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question
 there are 117 'aye's, 30 'no's, and this Bill, having
 received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared
 passed. Senate Bill 362."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill...."

Speaker Flinn: "You'll have to... Representative Jones, you'll
 have to come down front and fill out a slip for 'aye'.
 We've got new rules now. Senate.."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 362, a Bill for an Act to amend the
 Downstate Teachers' Retirement System Article of the Pen-
 sion Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative McClain. Out of the record.
 a a. Why.. I'm sorry. I'm about one Sponsor ahead of myself.
 Representative Stuffle."

Stuffle: "Yes, on Senate Bill 362 as amended this Bill came
 out of the Senate approved by the Pension Laws Commission.
 It does the same thing with regard to the Teacher Pension
 System as another Bill sponsored by Representative Sumner



and I did to clean up the language on the 3% annuity program that we passed in 1977. We added some Amendments on .. in the Committee which have the approval of the Pension Laws Commission. They were basically clean-up Amendments with regard to transfer of credit and payment procedures for that in the General Assembly and certain other systems. On the floor we adopted language defining ^{the} financing of the pension systems and certain systems. (It's) Consistent with Bill we passed overwhelmingly the other day that added another technical Amendment to the Bill and we added one provision for the downstate firemen that was approved by the Pension Laws Commission which did not get called on Third Reading because of the deadline on Third Reading, House Bills. And I would ask for a favorable Roll Call on this Bill."

Speaker Flinn: "Further discussion? If not, ... Representative Jake Wolf."

Wolf: "Yeah, would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Flinn: "Indicates he will."

Wolf: "I'm sorry that the Chairman or the Minority Spokesman of the Pension Committee are not here. As you know, these Bills are a little complicated. I'm not quite too sure what we've got in here with these Amendments. Has there been a fiscal impact statement filed and what's the cost of it? "

Speaker Flinn: "Turnn Stuffle on."

Stuffle: "There was no request for a fiscal statement. It was withdrawn after I spoke with the Chairman and with Representative Ebbesen on the Bill. Representative Terzich, the Chairman, not only supports the Bill, he offered along with me I think all of the Amendments except one that were adopted on the floor. And he and I offered all of the Amendments in the Committee so we are in agreement on that. The Bill has several Amendments on it. The Committee Amendments provide for a designation of someone with regard to bonds and bonding



authority in one pension system in Chicago to make it consistent. That's Municipal Employees' consistent with other systems. Another Amendment provides for the purchase of service credit at the General Assembly system consistent with legislation we put through last year and an Amendment from the floor adopts the vesting provisions for the firemen that I had in House Bill 515 which was approved by the Pension Laws Commission."

Wolf: "Okay. Well you say transfer of credits, Larry, usually it's consistent with some other legislation we passed last year and as you know sometime things we pass get passed by and then the next step is that you come back and say well this is just consistent... Could you just explain what those transfer credits are?"

Stuffle: "The transfer of credit as I understand that Amendment and that was Representative Terzich's Amendment, on the General Assembly system last year we allowed for the purchase of credit by Members of the General Assembly bringing in his credits or her credits from various systems including IMRF and some of the Chicago systems. The Amendment as I understand it, Representative Terzich offered provides for that with regard to bringing credits in from other systems including the State Employees System, the Universities System, the Downstate and Chicago Teachers' System..."

Wolf: "This does not include purchase of time for other offices which are unpaid positions?"

Stuffle: "Oh, you're talking about like an unsalaried municipal employee? No."

Wolf: "Okay."

Stuffle: "That's not in there. "

Speaker Flinn: "Any further discussion? If not, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 362 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take



the record. On this question there are 125 voting 'aye' and 11 voting 'no'. And the Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. 1201 is out at the request of the Sponsor. 659 is out at the request of the Sponsor. Is McBroom here? Representative McBroom back there? Don't see him. Take that out of the record. That's 687. Representative Gene Barnes is not here. I'm going to skip over to page 13. I understand we have a noncontroversial Senate Joint Resolution. Needs to be amended. Senate Joint Resolution 40. Does the Gentleman have leave to take that from the Speaker's Table back to Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment? Is that what you want? Representative Telcser, Art Telcser, turn... I misunderstood what your wishes were. Oh. I had marked like it was to call back. Okay. Okay. Representative Telcser, on Senate Joint Resolution 40."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, Senate Joint Resolution 40 was amended in Committee to extend the reporting date from January 1 of 1980 to April 1 of 1980. Senate Joint Resolution creates the Condominium Study Commission which was active a few years ago after it conducted its business and recommended legislation, it was not recreated. The Commission provides for 16 Members, 8 Republicans and 8 Democrats, four from each side of the aisle in each of the two chambers. The Committee will have two co-Chairmen. It is a nonpartisan bipartisan Commission which would once again, look into the problems which we're faced with throughout the State in Chicago particularly with their problems created by condominiums. I'd be glad to answer any questions you may have and I would appreciate a favorable vote. It's a Joint Commission."

Speaker Flinn: "Any further discussion? If not, the question is, 'Shall Senate Joint Resolution #40 pass?' All those



in favor vote 'aye'; those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 97 voting 'aye', 29 voting 'no', and this Senate Joint Consti... er.. Joint Resolution isn't it, is adopted. On page 12 of the Calendar appears House Resolution 236 which Representative Cullerton has asked leave of the House to move back to Second Reading... take from the Speaker's Table and move back to Second Reading for the purposes of a couple of Amendments. Does the Gentleman have leave? Hearing no objection, leave is granted. Read the Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Piel, amends House Resolution 236 on page one by changing numbers of Members appointed by the Speaker in the House and Minority Leader to four for each."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Bob Piel."

Piel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. When this Bill came up before Executive Committee there were two minor adjustments Mr. Cullerton was the Sponsor of HR 236 agreed to the Amendments and I ask for the passage of Amendment #1."

Speaker Flinn: "Any further discussion? If not, the Gentleman moves to adopt Amendment #1 to House Resolution 234 (sic). All those in favor say 'aye', those opposed. 236 it is. Representative Getty?"

Getty: "I just wanted to clear up it's 236."

Speaker Flinn: "Okay. Alright, we've got it straightened out. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2, Piel, amends House Resolution 236 on page 2 by inserting after 'Illinois revised statute, chapter 63 paragraph 1, ' This Committee shall terminate after completion of the 81st General Assembly.'"

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Piel."

Piel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the



House. All this Amendment does is set a termination date on the HR 236. I ask for adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Flinn: "Any further discussion? If not, the Gentleman has moved to adopt Amendment #2 to House Resolution 236. All those in favor say 'aye', 'aye'; those opposed 'no'. The 'aye's have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Flinn: "Return it to the Speaker's Table. Representative Piel, if you want to, while we're on it, .. Oh, it's Cullerton. Representative Cullerton, would you like to move it? Hold it. Okay. Representative Cullerton on 736, you want to move on that? 736. Senate Bill 736. 756. "



Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 756. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act relating to wrongful death. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Bill allows for a wrongful death action to be brought for the wrongful death of a nonviable fetus. The present state of the law is that a viable fetus, if there's a wrongful death, can...its representative can bring a cause of action. And this Bill simply eliminates the distinction between a viable and a nonviable fetus. I would ask for your favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Flinn: "Any further discussion? If not, the question is, shall Senate Bill 756 pass? All those in favor vote 'aye' and all those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 117 voting 'aye' and 20 voting 'no'. And this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Representative Winchester."

Winchester: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Yesterday I filed a written motion to change the Order of Business and go to the Order of Motions for the purpose of hearing Senate Bill 1069. I wonder if we can act on that written motion now?"

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Winchester, I'll go to that if you want but we're not very far away from getting out of here and I thought while we're running very smoothly we'd move the Bills."

Winchester: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. If we could perhaps tomorrow or Monday that we would.. we would honor my request and go to the Order of Motions so that I can.."

Speaker Flinn: "Well, we will be back.. We will be back to that Order of business I'm positive because the Calendar is



not that thick. Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, question of the last person who spoke to you and the mover of the motion on that Bill 1069. The Digest indicates that the Bill has been tabled. Could the Sponsor tell us how that happened?"

Winchester: "I do not know how the Bill was tabled. I never tabled the Bill. It may be because of a deadline or something, but I never tabled the Bill and I filed.. This is my.. my second motion that I filed."

Madigan: "Are you the Sponsor of the Bill?"

Winchester: "It is a Senate Bill and I am the House Sponsor."

Madigan: "And you don't know how it became tabled?"

Winchester: "No, I do not."

Madigan: "Oh. Thank you."

Winchester: "Mr. Speaker, I don't intend to be you know dilatory about this. If we want to adjourn, that's fine and I don't know the purpose of the questioning of the Majority Leader, but that, you know, I'm willing to hold off until tomorrow or Monday.. just Monday, just as long as I have a fair shake at hearing that motion. I understand that the Bill was tabled according to Rule 25-D."

Speaker Redmond: "...18. Oh, we can't take that. We just amended that today. 939."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 939, ..."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. 942."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 942, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Civil Practice Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I wonder if we could take this back to Second for purposes of tabling an Amendment?"

Speaker Redmond: "Does the Gentleman have leave to return it to the Order of Second Reading? No objections, leave is granted."



Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, I would move that we table Amendment #1."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Representative Getty."

Getty: "I think we ought to have an explanation."

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Representative Getty, the Bill came over from the Senate., Senator Egan was the Sponsor, in a form to deal with two problems in the abortion field. Number one, the problem of protecting from civil liability people who demonstrate in front of abortion clinics for the purpose of encouraging people not to get abortions and number two, for the purpose of placing Illinois doctors in the position where they would know that they would not be in the position that New York physicians now find themselves in of being required in every case to prescribe what is known as 'an amniosintesis'. I was attempting to try to find language when I offered Amendment #1 that was looking for something that would do that without the .. having to leave the Bill totally in the form that the Senate has passed it in. I've been unable to find that language and I want to make sure that we do everything we can in the pro-life area and that in no way should the state or legal system unnecessarily encourage abortions. And for that reason I would move to table the Amendment."

Getty: "I have no objection."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further discussion? The question's on the Gentleman's motion to table Amendment #1. Those^e in favor say 'aye', 'aye'; opposed 'no'. The 'aye's have it. The motion carries and Amendment #1 is tabl^{ed}. Any further..."

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond : "Third Reading. 973."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 973, a Bill for an Act to amend the Abused and Neglected Child Reporting Act. Third Reading of the Bill."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Peters. Take the record.

Representative Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, are we on Third Reading now?"

Speaker Redmond: "Yeah."

Peters: "The problem I talkèd to you about in bringing it back to Second has been resolved."

Speaker Redmond: "That's what I thought."

Peters: "Do we want to go to Third? Fine..."

Speaker Redmond: "We are."

Peters: "I'm ready to do that if you're ready."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, after making my initial remarks I would ask that Representative Chapman... Representative Chapman, if you're listening.. after I make my remarks if Representative Chapman would be allowed to do the close.. on 973.. As the Chairman on Human Resources, she was very instrumental in bringing the compromise that has resulted in this Bill. What it is, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, is what we feel to be a comprehensive rewritting of the Child Care Act recognizing that abuse can occur not only in the home and not only by parents, but also by the paramour or other people who may be living in the home or in fact, that that abuse might be inflicted on a child by the persons employed by the institution that the child may be in. It also sets out I think in this Act very clearly, the responsibilities of the Department of Children and Family Services. It defines the area of child protective service.. protective services with great definitiveness I think. It does say that in implementing a statewide number that people can call to repute.. to report child abuse cases that the Department shall also be resonsible for giving any kind of information that a family might need should they have



some problem or at least, refer them to the proper kind of agency. It allows in cases where there is.. what appears to be evident cases of child abuse for temporary custody by the police by the Department of Children and Family Services or by a doctor, all within.. what we feel to be very reasonable kind of guarantees of civil rights and protections of information in other material that is gathered. It does free people from suits... nuisance kinds of suits should they participate in attempting to report these kinds of .. kind of cases. All in all, the Bill has been worked on and it has been endorsed in concept or in principle by the Child Care Association, the Catholic charities, the Luthern Welfare. The United Way has been involved here and certainly the Members of the Human Resources Committee and the Subcommittee chaired by Representative Chapman as well as Senator Daley, the Senate Sponsor, and his staff. We sincerely would solicit your approval and your vote of this very comprehensive rewrite of the Child Care Act. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "If the Sponsor would yield for a question..."

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Deuster: "... In the edification of the Membership, under the present law, there is a duty as I understand it on certain people such as doctors and school teachers maybe some others, to report a child abuse where they detect it. Is that correct?"

Peters: "Yes."

Deuster: "Does this Bill add or increase in any way that we should know about, on the general duty of doctors and school teachers to report child abuse? What's the practical effect of this? Is there anything more that a doctor has to do or anything more that a school teacher has to do under this Bill than under existing law?"



Peters: "I.. What we have added, Representative Deuster, is hospital administrators or individuals who may be involved in the examination of the child, treatment or care of the person at the hospital or a truant officer or someone in a position of authority at a school and I think also a registered psychiatrist... psychologist."

Deuster: "So under .. So a school principal or anyone in authority at a school who sees a child who looks like they've been abused would have a duty to report that."

Peters: "We would hope that they do. But we have also removed from them any civil or criminal liability should they be subjected to any kind of suits ...harassment suits."

Deuster: "Last question..Does this Bill place any duty on an average citizen or someone walking down the street that sees a school child standing there who's looks like they 've been beaten?"

Peters: "This legislation places no duty on the citizen to report cases of what he may feel or she may feel to be neglect or child abuse. However, it does provide that should a neighbor have ... know that abuses are being committed on a child, that if they do submit a report to the Department of Children and Family Services that report would not be turned away as a unfounded kind of report, nor would that parent, again, be subjected to undue kind you know, civil harassment."

Deuster: "And I gather it's your feeling that this Bill would have a tendency to encourage people who might be reluctant to report or you know, be spying on their neighbors... "

Peters: "We certainly, Representative Deuster, don't want people to spy on their neighbors, but what I think what part of the problem that we have is under the old law that school personnel who know of abuse, doctors who treat it in their offices, or in the hospitals or neighbors are reluctant to get involved to the full extent that is required, not to put anybody in jail, but



to protect the child, to make sure that he receives proper services because they are open to some civil or some criminal sanctions and we would hope that by deleting that Section that we would avoid that, not encourage neighbors to spy on one another, but at any rate, give the good neighbor, the good Samaritan, the opportunity to do his duty, so to speak, as a good citizen without fear of having.. ending up in court."

Deuster: "Thank you very much."

Peters: "Thank you, Sir."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Chapman to close."

Chapman: "I thank Mr. Peters for the well deserved kind words about the Human Resources Committee and want to add a word of praise for the Leadership which the Senate Sponsor and the House Sponsor of this legislation have demonstrated in providing a comprehensive revision to our Child Abuse and Neglect Reporting Act. I urge you to vote in favor of this legislation."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' Those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? We're joined by the business manager of Champ MuhammedAli. Senator Charles Chew.. business manager of Muhammed Ali. He had him down here as a guest in 1975 as my memory.. And Muhammed challenged me to an armwrestle. I accepted the challenge providing he made my height. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 141 'aye', no 'nay', and the Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. 1037. Out of the record. 1146."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1146, a Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stezco."

Stezco: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. Senate



Bill 1146 is a product of the Elections Laws Commission and it creates uniform provisions implementing the federal law in absentee registration and absentee voting. We are trying to bring the state into compliance with the federal voting rights laws. Presently the provisions of the Federal Voting Assistance Act of 1955 and the Overseas Citizens Voting Rights Act of 1975 are overlapping and the implementing provisions of state law are nonuniform and their duplicative and they're spread throughout the statute. What we've attempted to do in Senate Bill 1146 is consolidate those categories and put them all in Article 20 to clean up the administration and to clarify those particular procedures. In addition to that the House adopted Amendment #1 and I would like to defer to Representative Bowman to explain Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1146."

Speaker Redmond: "Any.. Any discussion? Further discussion on the Bill. The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' Those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 142 'aye', and no 'nay'. And the Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. 1211."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1211, a Bill for an Act creating the Civil Rights Study Commission. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Gaines."

Gaines: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. We had a big Amendment discussion on this Bill yesterday. It sets up a Commission composed of four from the House, four from the Senate and four from the Governor, public Members to go in depth in what we did not go into depth last night, that is the state of the civil rights laws of the State of Illinois and I would recommend its passage."



Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' Representative Taylor."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, last evening I was very opposed to the merger of the civil rights agency and today we come back with a Bill to create a Commission. It would also put Governor Thompson in charge of the Commission that's going to investigate the civil rights agency. That is just like putting Dracula in charge of a blood bank."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' Those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, I think some of us on this side of the aisle and some of those who oppose some of the Amendments last night we're still aware that the Bill that was going through was slightly less than perfect. I think this is an opportunity to go over the whole thing and maybe make some meaningful changes in it that need to be made and I would urge support of this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 58 'aye' and 57 'no'. The Bill, having failed to receive the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared lost. 1271. We'll take one more Bill. 1271."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1271, a Bill for an Act to amend the Civil Administrative Code of Illinois. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Macdonald."

Macdonald: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is the Bill that passed this House once about a week ago and we asked to have reconsidered and bring back to the House to put an Amendment on it which would keep in the 2 million dollar cap for any



single occurrence connected with liability for an accident. If you recall the Bill, Senate Bill 1271, provides three hundred thousand dollars per person per occurrence. The State of Illinois has been self insured since August of 1976. It also defines very clearly the term 'employee' and that means while the employee is in the employ or discharging his duties for the state. For instance, driving home after work would not constitute while in the employ of the State. I ask for your.. the same vote that we had before. It went out of the House with a good vote and the analysis was wrong and that's why we brought it back to put the Amendment on and we still do have the 2 million dollar cap that was in the original Bill. I ask for your 'yes' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' Those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 138 'aye', and 2 'no'. The Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. 1277, Representative Pullen. Out of the record. Any announcements? Announcements? Agreed Resolutions? Okay. Then we'll take one more Bill. 1377, Representative Reilly."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1377..."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. 575, Representative Hoxsey. That one passed? 576, Representative Reilly. That passed too? Representative Kelly."

Kelly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I have had on this Calendar for almost a month now what I consider a relatively noncontroversial Resolution... HJR A 47. I'd like to know if I could go to this order of business."

Speaker Redmond: "What is it?"

Kelly: "It's on page 13..."



Speaker Redmond: "If you can squeeze it in in two minutes..

Objections have been raised. Representative Kelly."

Kelly: "I think that's within your authority whether or not we..."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, I know, but surely. I just don't want to get into something that's going to take us the rest of the afternoon is all."

Kelly: "It's not going to take the rest of the afternoon."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay. If it runs into trouble we'll have to take it out. On the Order of Speaker's Table, House Joint Resolution... 47. House Joint Resolution 47."

Kelly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. This Resolution calls for a Respect Life Week in October. It's a national week across the United States for respect of not only unborn, but the senior citizens in our society, the handicapped, and all other citizens. This Resolution I've sponsored it every Session for about the last four and it's always received a very substantial vote and I would ask for its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Piel."

Piel: "I move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, 'Shall the main question be put?' Those in favor vote 'aye'; say 'aye', 'aye'; opposed 'no'. The 'aye's have it. The motion carries. Representative Kelly to close."

Kelly: "I would ask for your favorable support on HJR A47."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt House Joint Resolution 47?' Those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Representative Mugalian."

Mugalian: "Well, I don't know why we didn't have some more illumination about this. On the face of it this is a Bill that would be for pacifism. It would prohibit us from engaging in any wars on foreign soils, or on our own soil. It would also probably mean that we would



abolish capital punishment and I think there are a lot of implications to this Bill and it's just sailed through this way. I think it's a mistake."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 108 'aye', and 8 'no'. And the House does adopt House Joint Resolution 47. Representative Giorgi. Five o'clock tomorrow."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I move that the House now adjourn till five o'clock Sunday..."

Speaker Redmond: "The question's on the Gentleman's motion that after two minutes perfunct, that the House adjourn till... Representative Taylor."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, I rise for the purpose of an announcement. A great Leader in this House and a great Leader for civil rights across the State of Illinois from Cairo to Chicago was Representative Corneal Davis. Many of you may not know that he's been in the hospital in intensive care. He's in Michael Reese Hospital in Chicago, that is 29th and Ellis. He has now come out of the intensive care unit and I would appreciate it if all of you would make it your business to send him a card cause I know he would appreciate hearing from you. After what happened in this House last night knowing that he was the father of the FEPC, I think that shocked him so much that this morning he got up and walked out and said, 'Jeremiah, I'll be in Springfield before that Bill come on Third Reading.' Thank you and think about Corneal."

Speaker Redmond: "The question's on the motion for the adjournment till five o'clock tomorrow. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carried. The House stands adjourned. Representative Totten. What time is it, Mr. Clerk? One o'clock."

Clerk Leone: "One o'clock. A message from the Senate, by



Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House in the passage of Bills of the following titles to wit; House Bill 982, 983, 1018, 1021, 1027, 1031, 1036, 1042, 1065, 1068, 1127, 1135, 1146, 1182, 1198, 1248, 1258, 1259, 1273, 2380. Passed by the Senate June 22, 1979.

Mr. Kenneth Wright, Secretary of the Senate. Being no further business, the House now stands adjourned."



LEGISLATIVE INFORMATION SYSTEM

DAILY TRANSCRIPT INDEX
JUNE 23, 1979

PAGE 1

HB-1400	2ND READING	PAGE	51
SB-0047	2ND READING	PAGE	25
	MOTIONS	PAGE	25
SB-0050	3RD READING	PAGE	55
SB-0093	2ND READING	PAGE	36
	MOTIONS	PAGE	36
SB-0362	3RD READING	PAGE	59
SB-0575	3RD READING	PAGE	5
SB-0576	3RD READING	PAGE	8
SB-0577	3RD READING	PAGE	9
SB-0622	2ND READING	PAGE	33
	MOTIONS	PAGE	33
SB-0628	2ND READING	PAGE	32
	MOTIONS	PAGE	32
SB-0725	3RD READING	PAGE	41
SB-0756	3RD READING	PAGE	65
SB-0830	3RD READING	PAGE	53
SB-0870	3RD READING	PAGE	42
SB-0918	2ND READING	PAGE	20
	MOTIONS	PAGE	20
SB-0942	2ND READING	PAGE	66
	MOTIONS	PAGE	66
SB-0973	3RD READING	PAGE	67
SB-0975	3RD READING	PAGE	37
SB-1072	3RD READING	PAGE	38
SB-1123	3RD READING	PAGE	47
SB-1146	3RD READING	PAGE	71
SB-1211	3RD READING	PAGE	72
SB-1232	3RD READING	PAGE	39
SB-1268	3RD READING	PAGE	48
SB-1271	3RD READING	PAGE	73
SB-1395	2ND READING	PAGE	49
SB-1423	3RD READING	PAGE	40
HR-0236	2ND READING	PAGE	63
	MOTIONS	PAGE	63
SR-0040	3RD READING	PAGE	62
HJR-0047	3RD READING	PAGE	75

LEGISLATIVE INFORMATION SYSTEM

DAILY TRANSCRIPT INDEX
JUNE 23, 1979

PAGE 2

SUBJECT MATTER

SPEAKER REDMOND - HOUSE TO ORDER	PAGE	1
FATHER PIZZAMIGLIO - PRAYER	PAGE	1
ADJOURNMENT	PAGE	76
PERFUNCT SESSION	PAGE	76
MESSAGES FROM THE SENATE	PAGE	76