

Doorkeeper: "Attention Members of the House of Representatives, the House will convene in fifteen min... Attention Members of the House of Representatives, the House will convene in five minutes. All persons not entitled to the House floor, please retire to the gallery."

Speaker Redmond: "House will come to order, Members please be in their seats. Be led in prayer by the Reverend Krueger, the House Chaplain."

Reverend Krueger: "In the name of the Father, the Son and the Holy Ghost. Amen. It is written in the 32nd verse of the 20th chapter of the Book of Ecclesiasticus (sic). Necessary patience in seeking the Lord is better than he that leadeth his life without a guide. Let us pray. Almighty God, the Author and Creator of all things, we come to Thee on this last day of the week with thankful hearts, yet wearied and tired from our exhaustive efforts to fulfill our responsibilities and duties as Members of this House of Representatives. We acknowledge Thee as the source of all that is good in the world in which we live and have our being. Help us to find Thee and we pray that Thou will enlighten our minds and control our wills that what we may do for the State of Illinois may be in harmony with Thy Divine plan; through Jesus Christ our Lord. Amen."

Speaker Redmond: "Pledge of Allegiance."

Members: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all."

Speaker Redmond: "Roll Call for attendance. Your own switch only. Take the record. Senate Bills Second Reading, Short Debate Calendar. Okay. Well we'll back up now. Approval of the Journal."

Clerk O'Brien: "Journal for the 143rd Legislative Day, the

House..." 43

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leon." 45

Leon: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I 47
 move that we dispense with the reading of the Journal 48
 and that Journals #143 of June 11th, 144 of June 12 49
 and 145 of June 13th be approved as read."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? You've heard the motion. 51
 Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 52
 'ayes' have it, motion carries, the Journals are 53
 approved as if read. Senate Bills Second Reading,
 Short Debate, on page 4. 1713." 54

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1713. A Bill for An Act to 56
 amend Sections of the Fish Code. Second Reading of 57
 the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Floor Amendment on it? Better take it out 59
 of the record. 1799. Oh, okay, Representative 60
 Anderson."

Clerk O'Brien: "Ah, no Committee Amendments." 62

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?" 64

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, Anderson." 66

Anderson: "That's withdrawn." 68

Clerk O'Brien: "And Amendment 2, Anderson." 70

Anderson: "That's withdrawn, but the 3, I don't have printed 72
 yet. It's not distributed anyway, is it? 3." 73

Speaker Redmond: "Says it's been distributed." 75

Anderson: "Well I don't have it here, but I'd be glad to 77
 proceed with it."

Speaker Redmond: "Requirement is it be distributed...printed 79
 and distributed."

Anderson: "It is distributed?" 81

Speaker Redmond: "And the record indicates that it has been 83
 printed and distributed."

Anderson: "Alright. What this Amendment does and by the 85
 way, this allows dip net fishing. I have the approval 86
 of Jake Wolf, he is the Cosponsor of the Amendment 87
 with me now and also Ron Griesheimer, who in the past

opposed this. It limits dip net fishing to carp,	88
buffalo carp, suckers and gizzards, shad for personal	89
consumption and you cannot dip net within 100 feet of	
the base of any dam. I'd appreciate your approval."	90
Speaker Redmond: "Discussion? The question's on the	92
Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment 3.	93
Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The	94
'ayes' have it, the motion carried and the Amendment's	
adopted. Any further Amendments?"	95
Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."	97
Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Does he have leave to have	99
it remain on Short Debate? No objection, leave is	100
granted. 1799."	
Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1799. A Bill for An Act to	102
amend Sections of the State Employees' Group Insurance	103
Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee	104
Amendments."	
Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"	106
Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, Representative Vinson.	108
Amends Senate..."	
Speaker Redmond: "Is Greiman on the floor? Out of the	110
record. Is Vinson on the floor? Guess he's not here	111
either. Senate Bills Second Reading. Senate Bills	112
Second Reading. 1457. Has the fiscal note been	
furnished on that one? Representative Getty. Out of	113
the record. 89. 89 Democrats and 88 Republicans.	114
1480."	
Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1480. A Bill for An Act	116
relating to the transfer and leasing of real estate	117
for school purposes. Second Reading of the Bill.	118
Amendments #1 and 3 were adopted in Committee."	
Speaker Redmond: "Any motions with respect to Amendments 1	120
and 3?"	
Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."	122
Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"	124
Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4, Chapman-Anderson."	126

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hoffman on the floor?"	128
Anderson on the floor? Chapman on the floor? Out of the record. 1497."	129
Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1497. A Bill for An Act to amend the Illinois Inheritance Tax Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment 1 was adopted in Committee."	131
	132
	133
Speaker Redmond: "Any motions in respect to Amendment 1?"	135
Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."	137
Speaker Redmond: "Any motions...any Amendments from the floor?"	139
Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, Representative Pierce."	141
Speaker Redmond: "Is he here? Take it out of the record. 1500."	143
Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1500. A Bill for An Act in relation to the sale of motor fuel at retail. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1, was adopted in..."	145
	146
	147
Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to Amendment 1?"	149
Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."	151
Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"	153
Clerk O'Brien: "No floor Amendments."	155
Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Is Representative Ebbesen on the floor? I didn't see Ebbesen today, is he here? Third Reading on 1500. 1505."	157
	158
Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1505. A Bill for An Act providing protection to the public health and safety, by prohibiting the possession, delivery, manufacturing, advertising of drug paraphernalia, defining its terms, prescribing penalties. Second Reading of the Bill."	160
	161
	162
	163
Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. Were there any motions or floor Amendments on 1505? Out of the record. 1518. Representative Deuster, for what purpose are you seeking recognition? You had your hand up, I thought you wanted recognition."	165
	166
	167
Deuster: "I was gesticulating."	169

Speaker Redmond: "Oh."	171
Deuster: "To a friend."	173
Speaker Redmond: "In public. 1518."	175
Deuster: "May I have some privacy down here? Mr. Speaker, may I have some privacy?"	177
Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bell, please leave him alone."	179
Deuster: "No, you have my microphone on and it's impossible to have private conversations."	181
Speaker Redmond: "Well, that's the way it's planned. That was at the request of Representative Dyer. Are there any Amendments from the floor on 1518."	184
Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1518. A Bill for An Act to eliminate certain occupation use taxes on gasohol. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."	187
Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"	188
Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"	190
Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, Robbins. Amends Senate Bill 1518 on page 1, line 1, by deleting occupation and use and on page 1, line 2, by inserting an alcohol fuels and so forth."	192
Speaker Redmond: "Floor Amendment #1, Robbins. Amends Senate Bill 1518 on page 1, line 1, by deleting occupation and use and on page 1, line 2, by inserting an alcohol fuels and so forth."	193
Speaker Redmond: "Floor Amendment #1, Robbins. Amends Senate Bill 1518 on page 1, line 1, by deleting occupation and use and on page 1, line 2, by inserting an alcohol fuels and so forth."	194
Speaker Redmond: "Representative Robbins. Representative Robbins. Would you turn him on? Will that stranger to this chamber please stop bothering the electrician? Representative Robbins."	196
Speaker Redmond: "Representative Robbins. Representative Robbins. Would you turn him on? Will that stranger to this chamber please stop bothering the electrician? Representative Robbins."	197
Speaker Redmond: "Representative Robbins. Representative Robbins. Would you turn him on? Will that stranger to this chamber please stop bothering the electrician? Representative Robbins."	198
Robbins: "I'd like to withdraw the Amendment."	199
Speaker Redmond: "Amendment 1 is withdrawn. Any further Amendments? Any..."	200
Speaker Redmond: "Amendment 1 is withdrawn. Any further Amendments? Any..."	202
Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, John Dunn. Amends Senate Bill 1518 on page 1, line 9."	204
Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, John Dunn. Amends Senate Bill 1518 on page 1, line 9."	205
Speaker Redmond: "Representative Dunn on the floor? Representative Woodyard, what's your pleasure?"	207
Speaker Redmond: "Representative Dunn on the floor? Representative Woodyard, what's your pleasure?"	208
Woodyard: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I oppose that Amendment."	210
Speaker Redmond: "Well, what do you want done with this Bill?"	212
Speaker Redmond: "Well, what do you want done with this Bill?"	214
Woodyard: "I'd like to move it."	214
Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Oh, are there more Amendments?"	216

Amendments?"	216
Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #3, John Dunn."	218
Speaker Redmond: "Any further Amendments?"	220
Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #4, Robbins. Amends Senate Bill 1518 by deleting the title and inserting in lieu thereof the following and so forth."	222 223
Speaker Redmond: "Representative Robbins."	225
Robbins: "I'd like to withdraw the Amendment."	227
Speaker Redmond: "4 is withdrawn."	229
Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."	231
Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1559."	233
Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1559. A Bill for An Act to amend Sections of the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted previously."	235 236
Speaker Redmond: "Any motions with respect to Amendment 1?"	238
Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."	240
Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"	242
Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment 2 was withdrawn, 3 and 4 lost. Floor Amendment #5, Wikoff. Amends Senate Bill 1559, as amended, by deleting the last paragraph and so forth."	244 245 246
Speaker Redmond: "Representative Wikoff."	248
Wikoff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would like to have leave to withdraw Amendments #5 and #6. They're both my Amendments."	250 251
Speaker Redmond: "5 and 6 are withdrawn. Any further Amendments?"	253
Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."	255
Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1666. We're not calling any of the Appropriation Bills today, at the request of the Administration. 1666."	257 258
Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1666. A Bill for An Act to amend Sections of the Capital Development Bond Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 2, 3 and 4 were adopted in Committee."	260 261 262
Speaker Redmond: "Any motions with respect to those	264

Amendments?"	264
Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."	266
Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"	268
Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #5, Daniels. Amends Senate Bill 1666 on page 1, line 11 and so forth."	270 271
Speaker Redmond: "Representative Daniels."	273
Daniels: "I want to withdraw that one. Amendment #8 is the Amendment that I'm going to go with."	275 276
Speaker Redmond: "5 is withdrawn. Any further Amendments?"	278
Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #6, Daniels."	280
Daniels: "Withdraw that one too."	282
Speaker Redmond: "6 is withdrawn."	284
Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #7, Barnes. Amends Senate Bill 1666 as amended, in the first sentence of Section 3 and so forth."	286 287
Speaker Redmond: "Representative Barnes. Representative Barnes here? Any further Amendments? Representative McClain. Representative Ryan."	289 290
Ryan: "Mr. Speaker, can we take this Bill out of the record, since the Sponsor's not here?"	292 293
Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record by request of Minority Leader. 17...Representative McClain."	295 296
McClain: "7 is still alive though you haven't..."	298
Speaker Redmond: "What'd you say?"	300
McClain: "7, Amendment #7 is still alive though, you haven't withdrawn any of those Amendments have you?"	302 303
Speaker Redmond: "I can't hear you. Representative McClain on?"	305
McClain: "7 is still alive, you haven't moved..."	307
Speaker Redmond: "That's correct. We haven't moved it, we're just leaving it there. We'll hold it on Second. Representative Matijeovich."	309 310
Matijeovich: "Mr. Speaker, I motioned to Mr. Ryan and Mr. McClain is the Joint Chief Sponsor and I think we can adopt that Amendment, right George."	312 313
Speaker Redmond: "Well now, Minority Leader is that	315

correct?" 315

Matijevich: "That's alright if we adopt that Amendment, 317
we're Chief Sponsors with Jane. Is that alright? 318
Now, the Amendment is incorrect, so we can hold it."

Speaker Redmond: "We'll hold it on Second. 1729." 320

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1729. A Bill for An Act 322
relating to the education of certain children. Second 323
Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in 324
Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion filed with respect to Amendment 326
1. Representative Anderson." 327

Anderson: "Yeah, I did ask a fiscal note on that Bill, as 329
amended in the House."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, I have a notation here that fiscal 331
note filed as amended is on the calendar." 332

Anderson: "Well, how much does that fiscal note call for, 334
because there was two fiscal notes filed, one as 335
amended in the Senate and the other as amended in the 336
House? And I understand that Representative Schneider
was going to put a third fiscal note on it." 337

Speaker Redmond: "Mr. Clerk, can you tell me whether or not 339
the request for a fiscal note on Senate Bill 1729 as 340
amended, has been filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "It reads fiscal note, Senate Bill 1729 as 342
amended. It's ...dated and signed by Robert 343
Leininger, Executive Assistant to the Superintendent."

Anderson: "Okay, is that for fifteen million dollars? Is 345
that the correct figure? It would be on page three. 346
The cost is estimated to be fifteen million one 347
hundred and fifty-five..."

Clerk O'Brien: "Correct fifteen million, hundred and 349
fifty-five thousand."

Anderson: "Alright." 351

Speaker Redmond: "See now, there's no motion filed with 353
respect to Amendment 1. There are no floor 354
Amendments, is that correct?"

Clerk O'Brien: "That's correct."	356
Speaker Redmond: "And the fiscal note has been filed, is that correct?"	358
Clerk O'Brien: "That's correct."	360
Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1739."	362
Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1739. A Bill for An Act in relation to the compensation to workers for job related injuries and diseases, amending certain Acts herein named. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8."	364 365 366 367
Speaker Redmond: "Wait a minute, I think we'd better take this one out of the record. There may be some discussion on this one. 1740, out of the record. 1773."	369 370 371
Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1773. A Bill for An Act to amend Sections of the Worker's Compensation Act..."	373 374
Speaker Redmond: "We'd better take this one out too. 1812. Okay. Fiscal note...request for fiscal note has been filed and has not been furnished. Out of the record. 1893."	376 377 378
Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1893. A Bill for An Act to amend the Election Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."	380 381
Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"	383
Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1."	385
Speaker Redmond: "We'd better take this one out."	387
Clerk O'Brien: "Davis."	389
Speaker Redmond: "Is this an agreed Amendment, Representative? Out of the record. 1978."	391 392
Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1978. A Bill for An Act to amend the Illinois Public Aid Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 3 and 4 were adopted in Committee."	394 395 396
Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to Amendments 1, 2...3 and 4?"	398
Clerk O'Brien: "Motion to table Amendment #1 to Senate Bill	400

1978." 400

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Campbell." 402

Campbell: "Mr. Speaker, I yield to Representative Chapman." 404

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Chapman. Representative 406
Campbell has yielded to Representative Chapman. Al 407
Manning take note. Representative Chapman. 1978." 408

Chapman: "I move to table Amendment #1 to 1978. This is an 410
Amendment that I offered in the Committee and after 411
further discussion, I would move to table it."

Speaker Redmond: "Question's on the Lady's motion to table 413
Amendment 1. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 414
'no'. The 'ayes' have it, motion carried. Amendment 415
1 is tabled. Any further motions..."

Clerk O'Brien: "A motion to table...a motion to table 417
Amendment #4 to Senate Bill 1978, by Representative 418
Campbell."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Campbell." 420

Campbell: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. 422
Amendment #4 was put into Senate Bill 1747 and I would 423
therefore move to table Amendment #4 from 1978." 424

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Question's on the 426
Gentleman's motion to table Amendment 4. Those in 427
favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have 428
it, the motion carried. The Amendment is tabled. Any 428
further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #5, Steczo-Mahar. Amends 430
Senate Bill 1978 as amended in the title and in the 431
introductory clause of Section 1, by inserting 5-5 432
after 4-2 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Who's the Sponsor of the Amendment? 434
Representative Mahar. Representative Mahar." 435

Mahar: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of 437
the House. This is an Amendment which would place Oak 438
Forest Hospital in a category to receive reimbursement 439
as the type of hospital they are. They're a
full-fledged hospital which has intensive care, has 440

operating facilities and presently they're classified 441
as a nursing home. Representative Steczo, is the
Prime Sponsor of this and ah..." 442

Speaker Redmond: "Are you moving the adoption?" 444

Mahar: "Yes, I'm moving the adoption of the Amendment." 446

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question's on the 448
motion for the adoption of Amendment 5. Those in 449
favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have 450
it, motion carried. The Amendment is adopted. Any
further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments." 452

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1992." 454

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1992. A Bill for An Act to 456
amend Sections of the Illinois Insurance Code. Second 457
Reading of the Bill. Amendments #2, 3 and 4, were 458
adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to Amendments 2, 3 460
and 4?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed." 462

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?" 464

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #5, Preston. Amends Senate 466
Bill 1992..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Telcser." 468

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I don't see 470
Representative Preston on the floor, however the 471
Amendment is agreed to and I could defer to 472
Representative Stearney, who is working with
Representative Preston, to explain it."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stearney." 474

Stearney: "Mr....Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. 476
Amendment #5 was drafted on behalf of the Judiciary 477
Committee to make certain that the policy provisions
would be open rather than closed and since the Sponsor 478
of the Amendment has now agreed to it, I would ask for 479
a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question's on the 481

motion... Representative Mulcahey, for what purpose 482
 do you arise? Question..."

Mulcahey: "I want a page. I want a page." 484

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mulcahey arises for the 486
 purpose of summoning a page. Question's on the 487
 Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment 5. 488
 Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', oppose 'no'. The
 'ayes' have it, the motion carried. The Amendment's 489
 adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #6, Stearney. Amends Senate 491
 Bill 1992 as amended on page 2, by deleting lines 18 492
 through 25."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stearney." 494

Stearney: "Mr. Speaker, I want to ask leave to table 496
 Amendment #6 as it was not..."

Speaker Redmond: "Amendment 6 is withdrawn. Any further 498
 Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #7, Stearney. Amends Senate Bill 500
 1992 as amended, in Section...23" 501

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stearney." 503

Stearney: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. 505
 Amendment #7 would delete certain language from the 506
 Bill, which would immunize certain individuals from 507
 statements that they did make. The Sponsor of the
 Bill has agreed to Amendment #7." 508

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion on the motion for... 510
 Representative Getty."

Getty: "Ron, yesterday you and I discussed six. Does seven 512
 modify six?"

Stearney: "Seven is the same thing as six, except it was 514
 more specifically drafted to make certain that the 515
 language would be deleted. The intent is the same."

Getty: "Thank you." 517

Stearney: "I ask for a favorable Roll Call, Mr. Speaker. 519
 Speaker. Mr. Speaker, sorry to trouble you, but we 520
 have a Roll Call. Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stearney." 522

Stearney: "I'm sorry to trouble you, Mr. Speaker, but can we 524
ask for a Roll Call on Amendment #7?" 525

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stearney has moved the 527
adoption of Amendment #7. Those in favor indicate by 528
voting 'aye' and opposed by voting 'no'. 529
Representative Schoeberlein."

Schoeberlein: "Roll Call?" 531

Speaker Redmond: "No not that one. Have all voted... Yes. 533
Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 534
78 'aye' and no 'nay'. Motion carries. Any further 535
Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #8, Stearney. Amends Senate 537
Bill 1992, on page 2 by deleting line 3 through 12 and 538
inserting in lieu thereof the following."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stearney." 540

Stearney: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the 542
House. The Amendment deletes certain language, but 543
then creates new language and makes mention that after 544
the Director were to make a determination of
substantial evidence or fraud or fraudulent claim, the 545
information would be forwarded to the Director...no, 546
would be forwarded by the Director to the appropriate
State's Attorney or U.S. Attorney. I ask for a 547
favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "Any ah... Representative Kane." 549

Kane: "Would the Sponsor yield." 551

Stearney: "Yes." 553

Kane: "How does that differ from the existing Bill?" 555

Stearney: "Because the existing Bill makes mention that the 557
Director would also forward this information to 558
all...to different Boards and Commissions."

Kane: "Which Boards and Commissions?" 560

Stearney: "Well whatever...it lists here to...it would be 562
forwarded to the state agencies and commissions, 563
licensing, regulating and so forth. It was my 564

thinking and the Sponsor of the Bill has no objection, 564
that, if any evidence of fraud were determined the 565
proper depository for this information would be with 566
the State's Attorney of that county or the U.S.
Attorney in that particular district." 567

Kane: "Why not the other boards and commissions?" 569

Stearney: "Because they're not prosecutorial agencies." 571

Kane: "I realize that..." 573

Stearney: "...shall be prosecuted by the appropriate 575
prosecuting authority. And that's where it should go 576
and not be forwarded to different agencies, boards or 577
commissions."

Kane: "Which agencies and boards are you cutting out? The 579
reason I'm asking this, is I don't think this 580
Amendment has been distributed and it's not in front
of us."

Stearney: "Oh, it was distributed yesterday, Mr. Kane." 582

Kane: "Not over here." 584

Stearney: "Well, I'm sorry." 586

Kane: "Would it..." 588

Stearney: "It was distributed yesterday, Mr. Kane." 590

Kane: "Which boards and agencies are you cutting out?" 592

Stearney: "The Bill reads: As forwarded to the state 594
agencies and commissions licensing, regulating and 595
disciplining lawyers, physicians or any other person 596
rendering or purporting to render medical treatment or
other services. That's the language that would be 597
deleted."

Kane: "Which is...which then says, as someone is committing 599
fraud, this information would not be transmitted to 600
the licensing agency?"

Stearney: "It's not a fact that has been determined, it's 602
only the Director, if he believes that there is fraud, 603
then his obligation is to communicate that information 604
to the appropriate State's Attorney or U.S. Attorney.
Those people charged with prosecuting crime and that's 605

where the information should go." 605

Kane: "Are those two agencies in the existing Bill?" 607

Stearney: "Yes. No, no, they're not mentioned specifically, 609
they're mentioned just appropriate law enforcement 610
agency. But, I'm making certain that this is where 611
the information should go. Any evidence of that the 612
Director believes he has evidence of, should be 613
forwarded to the State's Attorney and the U.S.
District Attorney for that district."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further discussion? Question is on 615
the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment 616
8. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. 617
The 'ayes' have it, the motion carried. Any further
Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments." 619

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1994. Representative 621
Schraeder, for what purpose do you rise?" 622

Schraeder: "Point of personal privilege, Mr. Speaker." 624

Speaker Redmond: "State your point." 626

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, I don't normally ask for point of 628
personal privilege, but I think today I should and am 629
going to and have. There is a leading proponent of 630
ERA on the House floor at this moment, who apparently
supplied false information to the news media and that 631
information was circulated to my home district. I 632
resent very much, anyone implying my integrity and I 633
would request that Representative who is now retiring
from the General Assembly, would communicate to the 634
news media that I did not make any commitment to 635
support ERA and support my position that my integrity
is still intact. I resent very highly that any would 636
insinuate that I went back on my word."

Speaker Redmond: "Adjourn...adjourn... Representative Dyer." 638

Dyer: "Point of personal privilege please." 640

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed." 642

Dyer: "I am, I believe, the Member retiring from the General 644

Assembly and I would like to say that at no time have 645
I personally mentioned Mr. Schraeder's name to the 646
press. I did not communicate with him. His 647
communication was with members of his own party and I 648
would like to just say, that I respect his integrity 649
to the fullest. And, I believe there's been a 650
miscommunication here. There may be other Members on
this floor of my own party, who's integrity is in
question, but not Mr. Schraeder's."

Speaker Redmond: "Adjournment Resolution. I think we've 652
exhausted this subject. She has indicated that she 653
didn't...she didn't mention you so it would appear to 654
me that that would suffice."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Joint Resolution 106. Resolved by the 656
House of Representatives by the 81st General Assembly 657
of the State of Illinois, the Senate concurring 658
herein. That when both Houses adjourn on Friday, June 659
20, 1980, they stand adjourned until Monday, June 23,
1980 at 12:00 o'clock noon."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Emil Jones, on the motion 661
for the adoption of the Adjournment Resolution. 662
Representative Jones. Representative Jones moves for 663
the adoption of the Adjournment Resolution."

Emil Jones: "Yes thank you, Mr. Speaker, I move that we 665
adopt House Joint Resolution 106." 666

Speaker Redmond: "Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 668
'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the motion carried. The 669
Adjournment Resolution is adopted. Where are we, on 670
1994. 1994."

Clerk O'Brien: "Just called it." 672

Speaker Redmond: "We called it." 674

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1994. A Bill for...A Bill for 676
An Act to amend Sections of Property Fire Loss Act. 677
Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted 678
in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motions with respect to Amendment 1?" 680

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed." 682

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?" 684

Clerk O'Brien: "No floor Amendments." 686

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 20...out of the record. 688
2020."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2020. A Bill for An Act to amend 690
the Local Mass Transit District Act. Second Reading 691
of the Bill. This Bill has been read a Second time 692
previously and Amendments #4 and 6 were adopted and
the Bill was held for a fiscal note." 693

Speaker Redmond: "Fiscal note been filed?" 695

Clerk O'Brien: "Fiscal note is filed." 697

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Third Reading. Are there 699
further Amendments? Okay. No, we can't do that. Any 700
further Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #7." 702

Speaker Redmond: "Is Representative Flinn on the floor? Out 704
of the record. I think you abuse the Fiscal Note Act, 705
quite frankly, Representative Skinner. And that won't 706
happen in the 82nd session. We will accelerate the...
Senate Bills Third Reading. Senate Bills Third 707
Reading, 1506. Don't tell me. Don't tell me. Yeah, 708
Roll Call for attendance. Please be careful about 709
whose...on only voting your own switch here.
Representative Ewing." 710

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, on a lighter note, is there any dress 711
code for the Lady Members of this House? I mean can 712
they wear night clothes into session? I think you 713
made a mention of that to one of the Members and I
just don't think we should have that kind of carrying 714
on."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative, we had an effort to 716
establish a dress code, Representative Conti, as I 717
recall introduced such a Bill and I have the Roll Call 718
in my office and it didn't carry."

Ewing: "Maybe Representative Barnes should be in charge of 720

the dress code. Of the dress code." 721

Speaker Redmond: "Senate Bills Third Reading. 1506." 723

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1506. A Bill for An Act 725
 extending the corporate limits to the Metropolitan 726
 Sanitary District of Greater Chicago. Third Reading 727
 of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mahar." 729

Mahar: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of 731
 the House. I ask leave to bring 1506 back to the 732
 Order of Second Reading for purposes of an Amendment." 733

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mahar asks leave to return 735
 it to the Order of Second Reading. Does he have 736
 leave? No objec... Representative Mugalian."

Mugalian: "Objection." 738

Speaker Redmond: "Skinner and Mugalian have objected. 740
 Parliamentarian come here. David. If objection has 741
 been raised, you have to move that it be returned to 742
 the Order of Second Reading and that takes 89 votes.
 Representative Mahar." 743

Mahar: "No I want it to go back to Second Reading, for 745
 purposes of an Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "No, that isn't a matter of course. We 747
 asked leave, and leave has been denied, because 748
 there's been objections. So, the next procedure is to 749
 move that it be returned to Second."

Mahar: "I would so move that it be returned to Second 751
 Reading for purposes of an Amendment, Mr. Speaker." 752

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mugalian." 754

Mugalian: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to speak to that 756
 motion. I have discussed this matter with the 757
 Sponsor, we have had a candid and sincere conversation 758
 about it. He has introduced...he is sponsoring a Bill 759
 that would annex to the Sanitary District of Greater 760
 Chicago some property in Rich Township, that is in his
 district and we have no objection to that. But, the
 purpose of this motion as he has told me, is to annex 761

to the Metropolitan Sanitary District of Greater Chicago, real estate entirely located within the Second Legislative District. This is a situation which has in no way been discussed with any of the Legislators of the Second Legislative District. It turns out, that there has been an attempt to annex this property covertly and without the knowledge of the Members of the Second Legislative District who oppose this annexation. And, for that reason, I oppose the motion to return this to Second Reading."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Karpiel."

Karpiel: "I concur...I concur with Representative Mugalian. I'm also from the Second District and we object to bringing this back to Second for an Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further. Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, although the Sanitary District hasn't been bold enough to try to move into Kane County yet, at least in this part of my district, it has attempted...there is a connection. The East Dundee Fire Protection District will lose significant territory if the property in question is brought into the Sanitary District and they adamantly oppose this loss of territory. I would suggest that the residents from the City of Chicago who wish to save the City of Chicago, have also a very large stake in this. And, a huge housing development is proposed for the Hoffman Estates area, and that is what we're talking about here. Now, obviously some people are going to make a lot of money. Among those people, is not my seatmate who is sponsoring the Amendment. The developers are going to make a lot of money. And, I think that the people from the City of Chicago should ask themselves, assuming they are not aligned with these developers, where the people are going to come from who are going to move to Hoffman Estates. Those people are going to

come from your districts which will mean there will be 792
fewer of you in 1992, after the 1990 census. If you
want to save the City of Chicago, what it seems to me 793
the City of Chicago's residents Representatives should 794
be trying to do, is minimize the number of people 795
moving out of Chicago. The passage of this motion
will maximize the number of people moving out of 796
Chicago. So, I would urge you to vote 'no' on the 797
motion to bring this back to Second Reading and let's
take care of Representative Mahar's parochial 798
interest, which is in his legislative district and
doesn't effect anybody elses." 799

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Epton." 801

Epton: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the 803
House. Cur seatmate certainly needs no defense. His
integrity is not at all open to question, he's one of 804
the most responsible Members of this Legislature. 805
Unfortunately he has been placed in the position of 806
inadvertantly trying to please some Members, some 807
individuals who asked him to do the particular motion 808
he is making. So, I have to agree with all of the
individuals who have spoken. Certainly there should 809
be no reflection on this Bill, each individual should 810
vote as he or she feels on the merits of the proposed
Amendments which will be as Representative Mugalian 811
and Karpiel have suggested. But, certainly in no way 812
should Representative Mahar be caught in the middle of 813
this altercation."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative...I can't see who's back 815
there. Representative Birkinbine, will you please sit 816
down? The rules say you have to. Representative 817
Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move the previous 819
question on this good motion."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved the previous 821
question. The question is, shall the main question be 822

put. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', oppose 'no'. 823
 The 'ayes' have it. Representative Mahar to close."

Mahar: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd ask for a favorable 825
 vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Question's on the Gentleman's motion that 827
 leave be granted to return Senate Bill 1506 to the 828
 Order of Second Reading for the purpose of an 829
 Amendment. Those in favor vote 'aye', oppose vote
 'no'. Requires 89 affirmative votes. Representative 830
 Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, 832
 I would urge a 'no' vote on this also. The 833
 Metropolitan Sanitary District has said that they 834
 don't even need legislation, that they have the power
 right now. I don't believe they do, but as long as 835
 they have communicated that they do, why should we as 836
 the Legislature get involved in this issue. There's a
 dispute with them and the county authorities in Lake 837
 County. And, I...therefore I'd appreciate what you're 838
 doing right now, thank you." 839

Speaker Redmond: "All voted who wish? Clerk will take the 841
 record. On this question there's 42 'aye' and 59 'no' 842
 and the motion fails. Representative Mahar. Anything 843
 further, Representative Mahar?"

Mahar: "Well, the Bill is now on Third...stays on Third 845
 Reading and..."

Speaker Redmond: "That is correct." 847

Mahar: "And I would move for the passage of Senate Bill 1506 849
 as it stands. I might say that this Bill is sponsored 850
 by the Senator from the ninth district and myself, in 851
 the interest of a annexation for Rich township, which
 involves a development...a commercial development 852
 expansion of an industrial plant that's already there. 853
 There is no opposition in our area to this, either by 854
 local municipalities or the Members of the General
 Assembly and there is no opposition to the 855

- Metropolitan Sanitary District and therefor I'd ask 856
that we approve and you vote favorably for Senate Bill
1506."
- Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mugalian." 858
- Mugalian: "Mr. Speaker, I just thought I would state in 860
advance in the form of a statement as to why I'll be 861
voting 'present'. I think there is still an Amendment 862
on this Bill that annexes to the Sanitary District
some real estate in the Second Legislative District. 863
That also was done without consultation with 864
Legislators in the Second Legislative District.
Accordingly, I'll be voting 'present'." 865
- Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Question is shall this 867
Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', oppose vote 868
'no'. Have all voted who wish? Campbell, will you 869
please sit down? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will
take the record. On this question there's 103 'aye', 870
2 'no', and the Bill having received the 871
Constitutional Majority, hereby declared passed.
Senate Bills Third Reading, 1510. Representative 872
Collins."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1510. A Bill for An Act to 374
revise the law in relation to township organization. 875
Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Redmond: "Representative Collins." 877
- Collins: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of 879
the House. I ask leave to return Senate Bill 1510 to 880
the Order of Second Reading for the purpose of an 881
Amendment."
- Speaker Redmond: "Does the Gentleman have leave? Hearing no 883
objection, leave is granted. It'll be on the Order of 884
Second Reading. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #4, Conti-Bluthardt. Amends 886
Senate Bill 1510 on page 1, by deleting the title and 887
inserting in lieu thereof the following and so forth." 888
- Speaker Redmond: "Who's the Sponsor? Representative Conti." 890

Conti: "Mr. Speaker, it's just a ah...an effective date 892
change on the Amendment to 1985 instead of 1981. The 893
township officials asked me to enter this Amendment 894
and I ask for a favorable vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner." 896

Skinner: "Just an effective date change, Representative? 898
I've heard of trying to sneak things through this 899
Legislature, but this is just unbelievable. There was 900
only one change made to improve assessments of real 901
estate in the State of Illinois last year and it was 902
the Bill you're trying to repeal basically. What we 903
did was do a series of studies over the last eight 904
years and what we found was that the smaller the 905
assessing jurisdiction, the worse were the township 906
assessments. The worse were the real estate 907
assessments. We have margins of error in townships of 908
under one thousand people which exceed one hundred 909
percent. This does not affect Cook County. It 910
doesn't do anything in Cook County and yet two Cook 911
County Representatives are conspiring together to try 912
to roll back the only progress that's been made in 913
real estate assessment reform during this Legislative 914
Session. There is no other progress. Now, let me 915
tell you what the Bill did, that they're trying to 916
repeal. Excuse me. Now, that everyone's awake. The 917
Bill..."

Speaker Redmond: "Tell us about your replacement." 918

Skinner: "Yeah, well she's quiet, shy, retiring, pretty. 919
That's one replacement. Representative Campbell is 920
the Sponsor of the Bill that the Amendment attempts to 921
repeal. What the Bill did, was said that in 922
recognition of the fact and it is a fact, that in the 923
smallest township jurisdiction, the smallest township 924
by population in the State of Illinois, the 925
assessments are the worst in the state. In fact, 926
they're so bad you can't even get statistics in most 927

of them, that starting next year the..." 921

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Conti for what purpose do 923
you rise?"

Skinner: "One thousand..." 925

Conti: "Mr. Speaker, first of all let me clarify. He's 927
talking about the Bill, now I just don't want the 928
people to think that I tried to put something over. 929
It does change the effective date. That's all it 930
does, is change the effective date. Now the merits of 931
the Bill is entirely different, now this is a 932
qualification for assessors. And, that's why we're 933
changing the effective date in the State of Illinois. 934
There are some assessors that have been in office for 935
some eighteen to twenty years. They've tried to pass 936
the examination, they haven't had time to pass the 937
examination to become an assessor. If you are going 938
to qualify people to run for office, they should be 939
appointed and not elected. I'm not trying to put 940
anything over. This is changing the effective date 941
and I still stick with my first statement that I made. 942
It changes it to 1985 instead of 1982. Now, I agree 943
with him. The percentage of error in the margin...in 944
the percentage of error in township government. But, 945
if we had to take a test to run for office in this 946
House, I think that Pat Quinn would get his Amendment 947
through and quite a few of them would not able to 948
get...pass the test to run for State Representative. 949
Now, all we're asking for, is the assessors to take 950
time to go to this school and to change the effective 951
date. Now, if he's going to go into the merits of the 952
Bill, that's entirely different." 953

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner." 954

Skinner: "May I assume that was his closing argument? It 955
certainly wasn't any point of personal privilege or 956
point of order. It was a point of clarification 957
perhaps. Thank you very much. He was trying to roll 958

back the only other minor improvement in assessment 953
reform that occurred during the General Assembly, 954
ah...last...last year. This is a...this is a Bill
which was agreed upon by the township assessors 955
statewide, which said that those townships that have 956
more than twenty-five thousand people, in those
townships the assessor would have to pass a very 957
simple test. It would have to be a simple test, 958
because I passed it. You could pass it to,
Representative. Anyone who has any experience 959
whatsoever in real estate could pass this test in a
three day period. The tests are given every summer at 960
Illinois State University. There is really...anybody 961
that...well anybody that doesn't pass this test, let
me tell you, you don't want them to be your township
assessor. There are, it seems to me, severe problems 963
in some large townships. Getting elected township
assessor, is not dependent on one being able to be a 964
decent township assessor, as they have for example in 965
the City of Bloomington or in the township of 966
Algonquin in my county. A lot of the townships which
have over twenty-five thousand people, are qualified. 967
Now, if the Representative would like to cut out the 968
qualifications for Cook County, that's one thing. I 969
don't care whether the assessors in Cook County are
qualified at all, because they hardly do anything in 970
Cook County. They don't assess real estate, the last
I saw, the only thing the assessors in Cook County 971
did, was count the number of trailers in trailer 972
parks. Alright, if he wants to change the Amendment
to limit it to Cook County, I would support that. 973
But, in downstate Illinois the assessments are 974
extremely important and in some townships the
townships margin of error is over fifty percent. And 975
that is...that is just too high, Representative. So, I 976
hope that you will withdraw this Amendment and offer

another one that effects only Cook County that takes 977
care of your problem and doesn't screw up my districts 978
assessors, or it makes my districts homeowners a 979
chance to get a decent set of assessments. Thank
you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kornowicz." 981

Kornowicz: "Speaker, move to the previous question please." 983

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman's moved the previous 985
question. Question is, shall the main question be 986
put. Those in favor indicate by saying 'aye', 'aye', 987
opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the motion carried.
Representative Conti, to close." 988

Conti: "Mr. Speaker, just simply, it does not cut out the 990
qualifications as Cal Skinner would like to have you 991
believe. I said it changes the effective date for the 992
qualifications. If I would have been here when this
Bill was...I was here when this Bill passed, I did 993
vote against the qualifications because I still feel 994
that when you're qualifying...and the same way with
the attorneys or the insurance brokers, it's like 995
continued education, that when you're going to start 996
qualifying people to run for public office, then they
should be appointed and not elected. It does not cut 997
out the qualifications, the Bill is not going to be 998
disturbed other than the effective date to take care 999
of some of the township assessors that have been in
office for eighteen to twenty years. And, if they 1000
cannot pass the examination in time to file for this 1001
coming election next April. They still will have the
qualifications on the Bill that was passed two years 1002
ago. This is changing the effective date, so those 1003
that have been in office for sixteen and seventeen
years will lose out on their retirement for another 1004
term that they cannot run for public office because 1005
they didn't qualify in time for the filing of the
election. This is not my Amendment, it's not my idea, 1006

- it's the township officials, they want it. I am submitting this Amendment to this Bill and I urge a favorable vote." 1007
- Speaker Redmond: "Question's on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment 4. Those in favor vote 'aye', oppose vote 'no'. Representative Collins, do you seek recognition?" 1009
- Collins: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'm happy that Representative Skinner admitted that the Amendment wasn't as bad as he thought it was at first. And, I just want to clarify something he said. The Cook County Representatives that are trying to sneak this through, I wasn't one of them. That's Conti and some guy from up in Schiller Park. However, I do...I do think that this Amendment is reasonable. It is the township officials Amendment. They asked us to put it on this Bill and I exceeded to it. As I understand, it only affects one or two people in Cook County and one of them in particular up in the Northwest area, that Representative Conti and Bluthardt are interested in. I would appreciate a favorable vote on this Amendment." 1010
1011
1013
1014
1015
1016
1017
1018
1019
1020
1021
1022
1023
- Speaker Redmond: "Representative Karpziel." 1025
- Karpziel: "Well, as a member of the township officials of Illinois, I hate to vote against their Amendment, but I know that in the county of DuPage, the assessors are...would be against this Amendment. They were in favor of the legislation that was passed last year, they feel it gives more qualified people...ah makes people be more qualified to do the assessing in DuPage County and I would certainly urge a 'no' vote on this Amendment." 1027
1028
1029
1030
1031
1032
- Speaker Redmond: "Representative Pullen." 1034
- Pullen: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I don't understand why the House takes a good firm" 1036
1037

action at one point, and then takes it back later. 1038

Because, some people are not competent enough to
 fulfill the requirements of the law and now we're 1039
 going to grandfather them in and let them hang in 1040
 there for a while longer so that they can continue
 their bad practices in office. And, I urge a 'no' 1041
 vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Oblinger." 1043

Oblinger: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the General Assembly. 1045

I don't know how many of you have been assessors but 1046
 for eight years I was the assessor of the City of 1047
 Springfield and everybody in that office within one
 year had passed this examination, including me. It 1048
 was not that difficult. We held sessions. It is not 1049
 difficult when you have a Board of Review and a person 1050
 in charge of that Board who gives some kind of review
 courses and I don't think they need any more time, 1051
 they should have been qualified when they started." 1052

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Griesheimer." 1054

Griesheimer: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I find it sort of 1056

unfortunate that probably one of our most competent 1057
 Legislators is perpetuating the conceptive 1058
 incompetence in office. And, that's what this is all
 about. What we tried to do before, is get some 1059
 competence in the assessing practices of our state 1060
 which don't merely effect each individual person, but
 it effects every school district in this state. Every 1061
 time there's a bad assessment made, it affects the 1062
 school aid formula as to the money you're getting and
 indirectly imposes more taxes on those of us that do 1063
 have competent assessors. So, I would suggest most 1064
 strongly, we vote 'no' on this. Anybody that can't
 pass this test, just doesn't belong in office." 1065

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Robbins." 1067

Robbins: "I don't know anything in the test that they take 1069
 for assessing, which teaches a person how to assess 1070

the soil, how to assess the productivity. The main 1071
 thing that they have complained about, is the
 difference in some sales to foreign companies and 1072
 corporations or sales for developers, which throw the 1073
 whole assessing line in agriculture out. This should
 pass. This Amendment should go on the Bill to allow 1074
 these people the time to go to the universities to 1075
 learn how to assess according to the university. Then
 maybe, we will have a competent assessing and will go 1076
 ahead and raise the taxes over the state to where only 1077
 foreign corporations can afford to buy the farm land." 1078

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Campbell." 1080

Campbell: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the 1082
 House. I rise in opposition to this Amendment, for 1083
 the simple reason that for years we've been trying to 1084
 get uniformity on real estate assessments and so forth
 throughout the State of Illinois. And, two years ago 1085
 or last year, I passed a series of Bills to do this 1086
 very thing and one of the reasons was to give them
 more education so that there would be more uniformity 1087
 among them. A number of groups, at that time we heard 1089
 of no one in opposition. The assessors, the
 Supervisor of Assessments, the Governor's Task Force, 1090
 a great number of groups agreed that this was the way 1091
 to go, that it would certainly be an improvement.
 And, I certainly think that it was an improvement and 1092
 now we're trying to kill it before it gets started. 1093
 And, I think it's a mistake and I ask you to vote 'no'
 on this Amendment." 1094

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McMaster." 1096

McMaster: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. We talk about experience 1098
 in assessing and assessing practices upon the floor of 1099
 this House. Perhaps some of you don't know, but I 1100
 served as a tax assessor for eight years. I
 participated in the reappraisal of our county who was 1101
 one of the first counties, if not the first in the 1102

state to go to property record cards, a complete 1102
 reappraisal. Following that, I served for five years 1103
 as Chairman of the Board of Review in my county. I 1104
 think I have probably run the gamut as far as
 experience in tax assessing is concerned. I think 1105
 that those who will say that the legislation that was 1106
 passed a year or so ago by Representative Campbell, 1107
 had no opposition from township officials. Let me
 say, that not all township officials would agree with 1108
 him. Perhaps some of them do, some of them don't. I 1109
 see no harm in supporting this Amendment of
 Representative Conti's. It is not killing the idea of 1110
 having qualified tax assessors. I think that I tried 1111
 to pass qualifications for tax assessors in prior 1112
 years and was shot down by various people who said
 exactly what has been said today. If you're going to 1113
 qualify people for duties before they run for office, 1114
 you will have a difficult time finding anyone to run
 for office. I think that the elective process for tax 1115
 assessors, township officials, county officials, state 1116
 officials is one of the best things that we can have. 1117
 I am against appointive offices. I think we should
 support this Amendment. Give the people who are 1118
 involved an opportunity to qualify themselves for the 1119
 job. We are not killing the idea that has been
 proposed and passed by this House. I urge support of 1120
 this Amendment and let's get this thing over with and 1121
 get moving onto other duties that we have this
 morning."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Friedrich." 1123

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I think 1125
 sometimes when Representative Skinner speaks, he talks 1126
 like one of the bureaucrats in the Department of 1127
 Revenue. Some of these guys don't know an arms length
 sale from a bale of hay and they can't fight their way 1128
 out of a paper sack. I'm an appraiser and I'm a real 1129

- estate broker and I can tell you that a lot of 1130
sales should not be used for comparables in
determining whether the assessor's doing a good job. 1131
And, I would put some of those assessors up against 1132
some of those whiz kids in the Department of Revenue
when knowing when an arms length sale or a good 1134
comparable is. Now that's what's going on, he's
getting his information from them, when he says 1135
there's so many bad assessments. When the truth of it 1136
is, I've talked to supervisors of assessments and some
of the things they're trying to impose on the...being 1137
imposed on them by the Department of Revenue. And I 1138
can tell you now, I'll take the local assessor and the
local supervisor of assessment any time over somebody 1139
from the Department of Revenue."
- Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stanley." 1141
- Stanley: "Yeah, I rise to support this for I think a little 1143
different reason is...in Cook County there's 30 1144
townships now have more than twenty-five thousand 1145
people. The township assessors in Cook County, their
role is highly questionable in the sense that we have 1146
a county assessor and what do they do. Maybe 1147
Representative Skinner is right, they go around and
look at trailer courts and try to put people on the 1148
rolls. Maybe what we are talking about, is looking at 1149
redefining that role of township assessor's in Cook 1150
County and maybe that means abolishing them. I'm not
sure they're necessary."
- Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner. I know, but he's 1152
seeking recognition and I don't know what the purpose 1153
is."
- Skinner: "Sure you do, Mr. Speaker, I want to verify it." 1155
- Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take 1157
the record. On this question there's 68 'aye' and 62 1158
'no'. Representative Leverenz, 'aye'. Representative 1159
Skinner has requested a verification of the

Affirmative Roll Call. Representative Conti, requests	1160
a poll of the absentees. Poll the absentees, Mr.	1161
Clerk. Representative Johnson. Representative	
Skinner, may Johnson be verified? He requests...did	1162
you say yes or no? Yes."	
Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of the absentees. Alexander, Beatty,	1164
Bluthardt, Bradley, Breslin. Breslin votes 'aye'.	1165
Brummer, Burnidge, Casey, Catania, John Dunn, Flinn,	1166
Gaines, Giorgi, Greiman, Hanahan, Henry, Huff, Jaffe,	
Emil Jones, Kelly, Klosak, Laurino, Madigan, Marovitz,	1167
McGrew, Meyer, O'Brien, Preston, Rigney, Sandquist,	1168
Schlickman, Schneider, Slape, Stearney, Stuffle,	1169
Tuerk. Tuerk votes 'aye'. Vinson, Walsh, White,	
Winchester, Sam Wolf, Younge, Yourell."	1170
Speaker Redmond: "Representative Preston 'no'.	1172
Representative O'Brien 'aye'. John Dunn 'aye'.	1173
Giorgi 'no'. The absentees, the poll of the absentees	
have been concluded. Representative Walsh 'no', who	1174
is now in the chamber. Representative Conti."	1175
Conti: "In case he prevails in his ah...knocking this off,	1177
this Amendment off, I'd like to verify the negative."	1178
Speaker Redmond: "Okay. 73 'aye' and 65 'no'.	1180
Representative Skinner has requested a verification of	
the Affirmative Roll Call."	1181
Clerk O'Brien: "Affirmative Roll Call. Bell, Bianco,	1183
Birchler, Breslin, Bullock, Capparelli, Capuzi,	1184
Collins, Conti, Cullerton, Daniels, Davis, Dawson,	1185
Diprima, Doamico, Donovan, Doyle, John Dunn, Ralph	
Dunn, Epton, Ewing, Farley, Dwight Friedrich, Garmisa,	1186
Goodwin, Grossi, Harris, Hoffman, Hoxsey, Huskey,	1187
Johnson, Kane, Kent, Kornowicz, Kosinski, Krska,	
Kucharski, Kulas, Lechowicz, Leon, Leverenz, Mahar,	1188
Margalus, Mautino, McAuliffe, McBroom, McMaster,	1189
Molloy, Neff, O'Brien, Patrick, Peters, Polk, Pouncey,	
Rea, Reilly, Richmond, Robbins, Ronan, Ryan, Schisler,	1190
Stanley, E.G. Steele, C.M. Stiehl, Sumner, Taylor,	1191

Telcser, Terzich, Tuerk, Vitek, Watson, Wikoff, Williams. No further."	1191
Speaker Matijevich: "Slape 'aye'. Question's to the Affirmative ah... Slape 'aye'. Jaffe 'no'.	1193 1194
Question's of the affirmative vote. The Gentlemen from McHenry, Representative Skinner."	1195
Skinner: "Representative Mulcahey is standing in front of Representative Patrick and I can't see whether he's there or not. Is Representative Patrick there?"	1197 1198
Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Langdon Patrick, are you there? Langdon. I don't see him back there."	1200 1201
Skinner: "Oh, I score one then."	1203
Speaker Matijevich: "Ah, how's he recorded."	1205
Clerk O'Brien: "Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."	1207
Speaker Matijevich: "Leave for Taylor to be verified 'aye'. Leave. Ah...remove..."	1209
Clerk O'Brien: "Pouncey."	1211
Speaker Matijevich: "Remove Patrick. Pouncey."	1213
Skinner: "Pouncey."	1215
Speaker Matijevich: "Leave for Kornowicz to be recorded. Leave. It doesn't look like Pouncey back there, that's Cullerton. Ah, how's Taylor Pouncey recorded?"	1217 1218
Cullerton: "It's me back here, what are you talking about."	1220
Speaker Matijevich: "Alright, well you all sit down back there. Okay."	1222
Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."	1224
Skinner: "The voice is good, but the color fails."	1226
Speaker Matijevich: "Remove him."	1228
Skinner: "Lechowicz."	1230
Speaker Matijevich: "Mr. Lechowicz, is he in his seat? How's he recorded."	1232
Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."	1234
Speaker Matijevich: "Remove him. Taylor Pouncey is here. Looks like a meeting back there."	1236 1237
Skinner: "Sure does. Representative Domico."	1239
Speaker Matijevich: "Just one moment. Langdon Patrick, put	1241

him back on the Roll Call. Alright, Taylor Pouncey 1242
says he's tired of all this. Okay, Taylor."

Skinner: "Sorry, I didn't see him." 1244

Speaker Matijevich: "Okay, continue with the Roll." 1246

Skinner: "Skip that one. Dawson." 1248

Speaker Matijevich: "Dawson, how's...oh, there's Glen over 1250
there."

Skinner: "Bullock." 1252

Speaker Matijevich: "Larry Bullock." 1254

Skinner: "I'm sorry, I didn't see you." 1256

Speaker Matijevich: "Put Lechowicz back on the Roll Call. 1258
No. Is Bullock here? I didn't see him back there. 1259
How's Larry Bullock recorded? Verify Capuzi. How's 1260
Bullock recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'." 1262

Speaker Matijevich: "Remove him. John Beatty, 'aye'. 1264
Beatty 'aye'. Continue."

Skinner: "Ryan." 1266

Speaker Matijevich: "Is the Minority Leader...George Ryan, 1268
how's he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'." 1270

Speaker Matijevich: "Remove him." 1272

Skinner: "Telcser." 1274

Speaker Matijevich: "Art Telcser. How's he recorded?" 1276

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'." 1278

Speaker Matijevich: "Remove him." 1280

Clerk O'Brien: "Peters." 1282

Speaker Matijevich: "Jesse White, 'aye'. White 'aye'. 1284
Continue Representative Skinner." 1285

Skinner: "Peters." 1287

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Peters. Pete Peters. I 1289
don't see him. How's Representative..." 1290

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'." 1292

Speaker Matijevich: "Remove him. Leave for Domico to be 1294
verified. Leave."

Skinner: "Yeah." 1296

Speaker Matijevich: "Continue." 1298

Skinner: "Mahar." 1300

Speaker Matijevich: "Mahar. Bill Mahar. How's he recorded." 1302

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'." 1304

Speaker Matijevich: "Remove him. Flinn, 'aye'. Flinn 'aye'. Place Telcser back on the Roll Call. Telcser 'aye'." 1306 1307

Skinner: "Johnson." 1309

Speaker Matijevich: "Tim Johnson. I don't see him in his seat. How's Tim Johnson recorded?" 1311 1312

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman was verified." 1314

Speaker Matijevich: "Oh, he was verified, I'm sorry." 1316

Skinner: "Polk." 1318

Speaker Matijevich: "Ben Polk. How's he recorded? Oh, here he is, right up front." 1320

Skinner: "I throw in the towel." 1322

Speaker Matijevich: "He throws in the towel. Give us the count, Mr. Clerk." 1324

Clerk O'Brien: "73 'ayes', 66 'nos'." 1326

Speaker Matijevich: "On this question there's 73 'ayes', 66 'nos' and the Amendment is adopted. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Conti." 1328 1329

Conti: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I've learned to respect Mr. Skinner the short time I've served with him and I know his anxiety to keep this good piece of legislation intact, that he did impugn my integrity, but for the benefit of the oldtimers, I don't have to explain, but for the new freshmen that come down here, I've served some six terms down here and some 34 years in public office and never once will I represent a....misrepresent a Bill. I still say I'm not changing the qualifications, I'm just asking for an effective date change in this. And, I hope that no one thinks that I was trying to pull a fast one here this morning." 1331 1332 1333 1334 1335 1336 1337 1338 1339

Speaker Matijevich: "Clerk, further Amendments?"	1341
Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."	1343
Speaker Matijevich: "No further Amendments. Third Reading.	1345
The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner in rebuttal."	1346
Skinner: "No, not in rebuttal, in apology. The	1348
Representative is absolutely correct, I misread what	1349
he was attempting to do. I thought...I had been told	1350
that there was an extremely sneaky Amendment that was	
coming on one these Bills. This was not the extremely	1351
sneaky Amendment, this was just the slightly sneaky	1352
Amendment. And, it didn't change the qualifications,	
it just delayed them. He's right."	1353
Speaker Matijevich: "Closer to June 30th, they get much more	1355
sneakier. Ah...Are there Members that want their	1356
Bills returned to the Order of Second Reading for	1357
purposes of Amendment? The Gentleman from DeKalb,	
Representative Ebbesen. Is that Senate Bill 1712? Is	1358
that 1712 Joe?"	
Ebbesen: "Ah yes, Mr. Speaker, but the Sponsor of the	1360
Amendment, I don't...oh, is Representative Getty	1361
there. If Representative Getty is over there, why I'd	1362
like to..."	
Speaker Matijevich: "Yes, he's on the telephone."	1364
Ebbesen: "Return the Bill to Second for..."	1366
Speaker Matijevich: "Leave to return Senate Bill 1712 back	1368
to the Order of Second Reading. Leave. Senate Bill	1369
1712."	
Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #7, Domico-Getty. Amends Senate	1371
Bill 1712 as amended, in the title and the	1372
introductory clause of Section 1, by inserting after	1373
Sections the following and so forth."	
Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative	1375
Getty."	
Getty: "Mr. Speaker, Amendment #7 to Senate Bill 1712 is an	1377
Amendment that would incorporate the provisions of a	1378

Bill which passed out of this House and unfortunately 1379
 did not get out of the Rules Committee in the Senate,
 through a mixup. And, all it does is provide for an 1380
 infla...in effect an inflation endorsement here that 1381
 lifts the bid requirements from 5 to 10 thousand and 1382
 the emergency maximums from 20 to 40 thousand. There
 has not been an increase, I'm advised, since 1969. 1383
 Now, in 1980, the amounts are well within the original 1384
 intent of this Act, as modified by
 inflament...inflation. I would move for the adoption 1385
 of the Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Getty moves for the 1387
 adoption of Amendment #7 on Senate Bill 1712. Is 1388
 there any debate? None. All in favor say 'aye', 1389
 opposed 'no' and Amendment #7 is adopted. Further
 Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments." 1391

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. The Gentleman from 1393
 Cook, Representative Leverenz for what purpose do you 1394
 rise?"

Leverenz: "I just had questions on 7 and it's gone already. 1396
 Thank you."

Speaker Matijevich: "Yeah. You got to be quick around 1398
 here."

Leverenz: "I just wondered who paid." 1400

Speaker Matijevich: "There's a request by Pat Grossi. 1402
 Senate Bill 1707. The Gentleman from Cook, 1403
 Representative Grossi asks leave of the House to 1404
 return Senate Bill 1707 back to the Order of Second
 Reading. There's objection. Now, Representative 1405
 Grossi moves to suspend the rule for the purpose of 1406
 returning Senate Bill 1707 to the Order of Second
 Reading and on that the Gentleman from Cook, 1407
 Representative Getty."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, Senate Bill 1707 1409
 in its present form is a Bill which I think has quite 1410

a bit of merit. Representative Grossi very kindly agreed to accommodate the Sponsor's Bill which passed out of this House I will say, however, is a very controversial Bill having to do with the subject of rape. It is controversial because the Amendment that's proposed would go far beyond the scope of what was intended in a very substantial review of our criminal law some three years ago. The Bill in its present form should not be amended, because it accomplishes something which is very meaningful and important. To place a controversial Amendment on the Bill at this time, would be to place that Bill into jeopardy. I think that there is no question that Representative Grossi is the Gentleman that he indeed is, is trying to accommodate another Member. At the same time, I think in all fairness he is putting his own Bill in jeopardy. And, I think we should not permit this Bill to be moved back for the purpose of an Amendment at this time. The Bill in its present form provides for a very much needed pay increase for the Prison Review Board. There is no controversy about that. It's needed. It provides for a revision of certain aspects of the Code of Corrections. There is no controversy about that. I suggest to you, it ought to stay in its present form."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Lady from St. Clair, Representative Stiehl."

Stiehl: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The Amendment that I'm attempting to put on to House Bill...to Senate Bill 1707 has the approval of the Senate Sponsor. It is not, I don't consider it a controversial Bill. It passed out of this House by a vote of 121 to 26. All it does, is provide that under circumstances of exceptional, brutal or heinous behavior the court may as an alternative to existing penalties, impose a natural life sentence for the

crime of rape. This is not mandatory, it's at the discretion of the court. It's something that's very badly needed. The people of Illinois, men and women, have asked for this Bill. It is absolutely important. The alarming increase and the shocking brutality of many of these crimes, indicate that stiffer penalties are certainly needed. I have an editorial from..."

Speaker Matijevich: "Point of order, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Jaffe has a point of order."

Jaffe: "Yes, I believe that she's addressing the Amendment, but we're not on that stage at this particular point."

Speaker Matijevich: "I think your point is well taken. Will you stick to the motion?"

Stiehl: "I would just like to add, Mr. Speaker, that this motion is extremely important. I would ask for a favorable vote. The Amendment that I want to put on here, is needed by the people of Illinois and it's requested by the people."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Jaffe."

Jaffe: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I rise in opposition to the motion. I agree wholeheartedly with Mr. Getty. I think the Bill that we have before us at the present time, is a noncontroversial Bill. It's one that's very needed. I think the adoption of this Amendment is ill-advised at this particular time. I think that the Amendment itself is really a very poor Amendment and an Amendment which I oppose and I think it will be very very controversial. There is a reason that the Senate did not leave it out. I think the Senate did not leave it out of rules, because they thought it was a bad Bill. And, I think we will get into a hassle on the floor and I agree with Representative Getty. And, I would urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Champaign, Representative Johnson."

Johnson: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I think everybody ought to listen to this debate, because it's somewhat of an unusual posture, but it's something that everybody could find yourselves in and we're really talking about a basic matter of legislative courtesy. I just want to explain to you, and I think Representative Get...Grossi would concur with this. Senate Bill 1707 for all practical purposes, is mine and a number of other peoples Bill who believe that we shouldn't lock the Prisoner Review Board Members into a twelve years without a pay increase. It's a very modest increase. I think it's unanimously supported. People from around the state, doing a good job on behalf of criminal justice, true if this Amendment is allowed to be attached on are going to quite likely loose that opportunity and be locked in for twelve years. But, just to tell you, from a procedural standpoint what happened with respect to Representative Stiehl on Steele's Bill. When it first came before the House Judiciary Committee, I was out of town and like good courteous Legislators, they had asked me in advance if I did or didn't support the concept, as a Member of that Committee. I said I did not, as a number of other people did not. But, notwithstanding that statement, when I was gone and even though I wasn't sick and in violation of the rules, I was replaced on that Committee by someone else not a Member of the Committee, with no experience on that Committee who voted in favor of the Bill and provided the 8th vote to get it out of Committee. The Senate Rules Committee had the wisdom to defeat the Bill. Then when 1707 came to Committee, Representative Grossi, because he had agreed to take out the very minor part of 1707 that was his Bill, allowed this to be used as an increase for the Prisoner Review Board, for a pay raise for the

1472
1473
1474
1475
1476
1477
1478
1479
1480
1481
1482
1483
1484
1485
1486
1487
1488
1489
1490
1491
1492
1493
1494
1495
1496

Prisoner Review Board Members, which is unanimously 1497
 supported I think, by every Member of this House. And
 now, we're making that a totally different concept 1498
 altogether. Totally unrelated and extremely 1499
 controversial and it puts me in a position having been
 asked and a number of others having been asked to 1500
 support the Members of the Prisoner Review Board and 1501
 not keep them locked in for twelve years. To not be
 able to vote for my own Bill, because they put on such 1502
 a controversial provision which was voted out of 1503
 Committee in violation of the rules and which went
 over to the Senate Rules Committee and was defeated 1504
 there. And for those reasons, and a matter of 1505
 legislative courtesy and if you believe the Prisoner
 Review Board Members ought not to be stuck in for 1506
 twelve years without a pay raise, you ought to vote 1507
 'no' on this motion to take this Bill back to Second
 Reading. I don't believe Representative Grossi cares. 1508
 I believe that I do care and a good many of other 1509
 people here care. If Representative Stiehl and Steele
 had that opportunity for that Bill, it was introduced 1510
 early in the session, it didn't make it through the 1511
 process. They want to find a Bill that's 1512
 noncontroversial to put it on, and the Members of the
 House don't object even though some of us may that's 1513
 okay. But, this Bill is the wrong vehicle, the 1514
 procedure is the wrong procedure and you're going to
 be doing an injustice to me and a number of others 1515
 that can be done to you in the future if you allow it 1516
 to happen this time. I urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Matijeovich: "The Gentleman from Madison, 1518
 Representative Steele."

Steele: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of 1520
 the House. I rise in support of this motion by the 1521
 Chief Sponsor of 1707, to bring it back to Second 1522
 Reading. I think this is a courtesy which any Sponsor

is entitled to request. We've checked with the Senate 1523
 Sponsor, Senator Rupp who is totally in agreement and 1524
 supports bringing this back for this Amendment which 1525
 is then open of course, for discussion here in the
 General Assembly. But, I say this is not a 1526
 particularly controversial item. It passed previously 1527
 overwhelmingly here, by a six to one margin. The
 Amendment that we are seeking to have attached to 1528
 this, passed by a six to one margin overwhelmingly by 1529
 the people of this House. I think it's to...it's very
 clearly the intent of this House to support this type 1530
 of change and I think we have that right, that 1531
 opportunity. The Chief Sponsor requests it and on 1532
 that basis, I would urge that we bring it to Second
 where we can attach that Amendment with the support of 1533
 those here."

Speaker Matijeich: "The Gentleman from DuPage, 1535
 Representative Gene Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and 1537
 Gentlemen of the House. For those that...for those of 1538
 you who plan to be here next session, I would use this 1539
 example, this Bill and this Amendment today, as an
 example to illustrate the necessity for us to change 1540
 the rules of this Body to narrowly or more narrowly 1541
 define germaneness. This kind of thing is happening
 more often and more often and I don't blame the 1542
 Sponsors of the Amendment at all for using the rules. 1543
 But, I would suggest to those of us who are going to 1544
 be here next session and we make those rules, that in
 the rules we define germaneness the way it used to be 1545
 defined, where you had to be in the section of a 1546
 particular chapter before you could place an
 Amendment."

Speaker Matijeich: "The Gentleman from Macon, 1548
 Representative Borchers."

Borchers: "Mr. Speaker, fellow Members of the House, for 1550

your information I just want you to know that Senator 1551
 Rupp, and I know this to my own knowledge, was not 1552
 pleased that the matter of the Amendment, the matter
 of the Prison Review Board was put on his Bill. 1553
 I...that was just solely for your information."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Will, Representative 1555
 Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Well thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise in 1557
 opposition to the motion for basically the same 1558
 reasons that Representative Johnson pointed out, 1559
 although not nothing personal or anything like that.
 We are attempting at this stage of the game to put a 1560
 Bill on that ah...maybe sounding quite good from the 1561
 law and order point of view, but nevertheless it kind
 of flies in the face of what was done this last term, 1562
 when we sought to divide the crime of rape into two 1563
 different portions, so that it would be easier to 1564
 convict. I think we are getting into an area again,
 without the proper Committee action. At late stage, I 1565
 think this is the type of thing that we have to watch 1566
 for, be very very careful and not try to turn all of
 these Bills into other Bills which for some reason or 1567
 other have not made it through the legislative 1568
 process. I would suggest that this concept if it's
 good, should be put into Committee, it should be voted 1569
 out of Committee. Go over to the Senate where it's 1570
 heard by both Houses, that's why we have a bicameral 1571
 Legislature and then if it gets through both Houses
 and then of course it has to be reviewed by the 1572
 Governor's Office, this is the proper legislative 1573
 process. We shouldn't try to short-circuit it and I
 join with the Gentleman from Champaign on opposing the 1574
 motion."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Grossi to close." 1576

Grossi: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the 1578
 House. I agreed to bring this Bill back to the Order 1579

of Second Reading as a courtesy to the Sponsors of the Amendment. I have really no interest in the Amendment or the Bill as it presently stands." 1580

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Grossi has moved to suspend the rule so that Senate Bill 1707 may be returned to the Order of Second Reading for the purpose of adding an Amendment. On that issue, those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. This motion takes 89 votes. Have all voted? Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 34 'ayes', 76 'nays', 2 answering 'present' and the motion fails. Further Amendments?" 1581

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments." 1583

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. Mr. Telcser back there? Alright. On the...the...which Bills are yours Art? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Telcser." 1584

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, could...1991 was sent to Third Reading in my absence. I wonder if you're going to bring it back to Second for Amendments." 1585

Speaker Matijevich: "Could the Gentleman have leave to return Senate Bill 1991 back to the Order of Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment. Leave. Senate Bill 1991. Amendments." 1586

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2, Telcser. Amends Senate Bill 1991 on page 1..." 1587

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Telcser." 1588

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee and I'm offering Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1991. Amendment #2 deals with recommendations from the Insurance Law Study Commission that deals with underinsured motorists. The Amendment deals with technical problems that came up regarding an underinsured motorist. As an example: If a loss occurs, the motorist is underinsured, we

wanted to be sure that the language set forth that the 1614
 difference between the claim and the underinsured 1615
 would be taken care of by your own insurance policy to
 fill the gap between the claim and the amount 1616
 available from the underinsured and that there would 1617
 not be any excessive claim that could be made. That's 1618
 the essence of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1991. It's 1619
 a recommendation of the Insurance Law Study
 Commission."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Telcser's moved for the 1621
 adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1991. On 1622
 that, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative 1623
 Stearney."

Stearney: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the 1625
 House, I rise in opposition to this Amendment. It 1626
 seeks to amend legislation that I passed last year and 1627
 which was signed by the Governor. Now mind you now,
 I'd like to point out that this Amendment was in the 1628
 form of a Bill which was killed in the Senate just 1629
 some several weeks ago. And, what they're trying to
 do now, is attach this here Amendment onto an arson 1630
 Bill, an arson study Bill, a Bill meant to combat 1631
 arson. All of a sudden now, we have dealing with the 1632
 question of underinsurance and uninsured motorist
 coverage. The reason I stand against it, is first if 1633
 you would notice on page six what it does do, it 1634
 increases the finance charges on the sale of
 insurance, automobile insurance. In other words, 1635
 we're increasing the charges to the consumer here, 1636
 without ever having this gone through a Committee and
 having a hearing here in the House. Second of all, 1637
 what it does, is that it seeks to tie in 1638
 underinsurance with uninsured motorist coverage and
 therefore make it a higher cost to the consumer. As 1639
 the Bill was originally passed last year, we left it 1640
 entirely up to the consumer as to how much coverage he 1641

wished to purchase. And, if he wanted to increase his 1641
 uninsured motorist coverage, he might do it and if he 1642
 wanted to purchase underinsurance coverage, he might 1643
 do so. This Amendment now, allows the insurance 1644
 carriers to tie in the coverage altogether and that a 1645
 policy holder would be forced to buy a single package 1646
 here, and that's on page five, lines 27 through 31. 1647
 You are forcing now, the consumer to purchase a higher 1648
 package. What it's going to do, it's going deter 1649
 individuals from purchasing insurance that they think 1650
 is best suited for them. In other words, this is 1651
 working against the consumers interest, it's forcing 1652
 him to buy something in an increased amount or not buy 1653
 it at all. Now second, it would it would preclude an 1654
 individual from recovering under his underinsurance 1655
 coverage, which he did pay for, unless he recovered 1656
 against the original tort fees. This is language that 1657
 should have been worked out and I was trying to work 1658
 out, now however they've decided to run with this 1659
 matter. And furthermore, there should be no 1660
 requirement that in purchasing underinsurance 1661
 motorists coverage you have to...you could not 1662
 purchase it in an amount greater than your uninsured 1663
 motorists coverage. Now granted this is all a little 1664
 bit complicated, but it's being worded in such a way 1665
 only to benefit the insurance companies and if the 1666
 Department of Insurance says they are for it, then
 they are working with the insurance companies against
 the consumer here. That's what they're doing because
 they're raising finance charges, allowing the consumer
 to pay more for that, they'd have to be forced to pay
 more for his uninsured coverage, underinsurance and
 not get anything in return. And, all I was trying to
 do since yesterday, when this Amendment crossed my
 desk, is work something out with the insurance
 industry before they ran with this Amendment, to

change the language so that the individual consumer 1667
 would not be penalized. Let me tell you again, when 1668
 we allowed uninsured...created the concept of
 underinsurance last year, that was Teddy Leverenz's 1669
 idea, we said it should be offered. Now the insurance
 companies, in this Bill Amendment #4 says they need 1670
 only offer it once and if the insured doesn't take it, 1671
 never again will he ever hear of this concept because 1672
 it says that they need, the insurance company need
 never offer it again to the insured, unless that 1673
 insured requests it in writing. And, he'll never know 1674
 about the existence. So, we created a new concept to
 benefit the consumer and now the insurance companies 1675
 want to hide it and never have it made mentioned to 1676
 the consumer again. That's wrong. I'm trying to work
 it out that they should offer it at least once a year. 1677
 If we create something to benefit the consumer, the 1678
 insurance industry should not be able to hide it from 1679
 the consumer and they're still intent on running with
 it. I ask that you vote against this Amendment, knock 1680
 it down. As a matter of fact, if this is an arson 1681
 Bill to begin with, it should remain arson and not
 have anything to do with increasing finance charges, 1682
 helping the insurance companies hide matters from the 1683
 public. I ask you to vote 'no'."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative 1685
 Epton."

Epton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the 1687
 House. Whenever you have any lawyers involved in 1688
 discussing a particular Bill or particular Amendment, 1689
 if you have three lawyers you have four or five
 opinions, if you have five lawyers, you have 1690
 twenty-five opinions. In this case although I 1691
 hesitate to disagree with my distinguished colleague,
 I certainly have to give him credit for the initial 1692
 concept as did Ted Leverenz. Underinsured motorists 1693

insurance is important. Very simply to explain to 1693
those of you who may not be familiar with it, this 1694
allows a situation where if you hit someone who does 1695
have insurance, he may have minimal insurance, he may
have fifteen thousand dollars of insurance. In this 1696
case you have an opportunity to protect yourself and 1697
if God forbid you should sustain an injury and obtain
a judgment for a hundred thousand dollars, your own 1698
company will be forced to pay the additional 1699
eighty-five thousand dollars. To suggest that this is 1700
an insurance company Bill is in error. Nobody in this
House over a ten year span or twelve year span, has 1701
introduced more legislation which has cost the 1702
insurance industry more money than have I. In the
fair plan alone, it's cost them over eighty million 1703
dollars. In the automobile pool, its cost them 1704
sixty-seven million dollars. I've increase the scope
of the fair plan. So to suggest that when I get up to 1705
speak, I do so in favor of the insurance company, 1706
obviously is in error. If I am one of their friends,
then they need no enemies. But, this Bill is in 1707
behalf of the consumer. This Amendment does do a job. 1708
I agree that to put it on the arson Bill, is a
unfortunate mishap. And, the reason for that very 1709
simply is because the Senate didn't do the job that 1710
they should have. Some Republican Senators for
reasons of their own, failed to support the Bill. As 1711
a matter of fact, the Department of Insurance which 1712
sponsored...which helped prepare this Bill, failed to
do their job and really blew it in the Senate. I 1713
might add, that the Illinois Insurance Study 1714
Commission held a hearing on this Bill. We had a
great attendance by the opponents and proponents. And 1715
the original attempt solely was to help clarify a Bill 1716
which my distinguished colleague had passed
previously, which was an excellent Bill. This 1717

- example the question of stacking of insurance came up. 1748
- There were many points in represent.... In answer to 1749
- your question, I can provide you with a transcript at 1750
- a later date. There were at least seven people who 1751
- testified, three from the insurance industry, one from 1752
- the trial lawyers, one from an alleged consumers group 1752
- and I forget the identity of names of the two, but 1753
- I'll be happy to provide them to you." 1753
- Laverenz: "Did the Representative who stands in opposition 1755
- most vocally to the Amendment have an opportunity to 1756
- appear there and air his complaints?" 1756
- Epton: "He certainly had an opportunity. It was a public 1758
- hearing. It was announced and it was printed in our 1759
- digest, printed in your circular that goes around of 1760
- commission meetings and the subject of the hearing was 1760
- announced." 1760
- Laverenz: "Thank you very much. I would stand to support 1762
- the Amendment inasmuch as the underinsured portion of 1763
- it that I have an interest in that was lumped into 961 1764
- last year. I understand at least from my reading and 1765
- analysis of it, that there is no problem as it was 1765
- explained earlier in opposition to the Amendment, so I 1766
- would urge an 'aye' vote." 1766
- Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative 1768
- Kornowicz." 1768
- Kornowicz: "Mr. Speaker, move to the previous question, 1770
- please." 1770
- Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman has moved to the previous 1772
- question. The question is, shall the main question be 1773
- put? Those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those 1774
- opposed by saying 'no' and the main question is put. 1774
- The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Telcser to 1775
- close." 1775
- Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I think the 1777
- subject of the Amendment has been thoroughly 1778
- discussed. As it's been explained to me, this could 1779

in fact help reduce insurance costs for the public 1779
generally. I think it accomplishes what the Insurance 1780
Law Study Commission recommended and again I repeat to 1781
the best of my knowledge and what I've been told, 1782
which I believe it would in fact in the long run help
the consumer and I move for its adoption." 1783

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Telcser has moved for 1785
the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1991. 1786
Those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed by 1787
saying 'no'. And, the 'ayes' prevail, Amendment #...
Alright, Roll Call. Those in favor of Amendment 2 1788
signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. 1789
I'm not a lawyer. The Gentleman from Will,
Representative Leinenweber to explain his vote." 1790

Leinenweber: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, it isn't 1792
often that I disagree with my colleagues who are 1793
sponsoring the Amendment and spoken in support of the 1794
Amendment, but once again we're being asked to
legislate in the final days of the action by Amendment 1795
rather than through the appropriate legislative 1796
process which involves Committee hearings and First,
Second and Third Readings on the floor of this House. 1797
Unfortunately, perhaps this is an excellent Bill, 1798
unfortunately it didn't make it through the Senate, 1799
but we have a bicameral Legislature. We don't have a
unicameral Legislature. The Senate is supposed to 1800
review what we do, we review what the Senate does and 1801
the Governor reviews what everybody does. I don't
think at this stage of the game that once again on 1802
Second Reading we ought to replace the Committee, the 1803
first and...the other actions of the House...just go
back to the Senate for concurrence. They will not 1804
have any opportunity to review it in Committee or 1805
anything else. I think it's a bad practice and I urge 1806
a 'no' vote."

Speaker Matijevich: "Have all voted. Have all voted who 1808

wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this 1809
question there are 88 'ayes', 35 'nays', 2 voting 1810
'present' and the motion prevails. Further
Amendments? And the Amendment is adopted." 1811

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #3, Mugalian. Amends Senate Bill 1813
1991 on page 4, line..."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative 1815
Mugalian on Amendment #3."

Mugalian: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Amendment 1817
ameliorates provisions in the Bill pertaining to 1818
disclosure of beneficial interests in land trusts. It 1819
has the approval of the Sponsor and of the Insurance
Department. If there are any questions, I'd be happy 1820
to answer them."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Mugalian has moved for 1822
the adoption of Amendment #3. There's no discussion. 1823
All in favor signify by saying 'aye', oppose 'no' and 1824
the Amendment #3 is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments." 1826

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. What's the other one 1828
you've got to move back? Art, is there one? Senate 1829
Bill 1993. Does the Gentleman have leave to return 1830
Senate Bill 1993 back to the Order of Second Reading
for the purpose of an Amendment? He has leave. 1831
Senate Bill 1993 is on Second Reading."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2, Cullerton. Amends Senate Bill 1833
1993 as amended, on page 1 by deleting line 30 and 31 1834
and so forth."

Speaker Matijevich: "Are you ready? The Gentleman from 1836
Cook, Representative Cullerton. Give it your real 1837
voice."

Cullerton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'll use my own voice, 1839
thank you. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of 1840
the House, I'd like to withdraw Amendment #2."

Speaker Matijevich: "Does the Gentleman have leave to 1842
withdraw Amendment #2. He has leave and Amendment 1843

#2...3 is withdrawn. Was that 3?" 1843

Clerk O'Brien: "That was 2." 1845

Speaker Matijevich: "2. Further Amendments?" 1847

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #3, Telcser. Amends Senate Bill 1849
1993 on page 1, by deleting line 29, 30 and 31 and 1850
inserting in lieu thereof the following."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative 1852
Telcser."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Amendment 1854
#3 is an Amendment which was agreed to in Committee. 1855
This Amendment deletes the reference to non-payment of 1856
real estate taxes as a cause for cancellation of
insurance policies within a prescribed ten day period. 1857
It was agreed to in the Committee and I offer to move 1858
the adoption of Amendment #3."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Telcser moves for the 1860
adoption of Amendment #3. Those in favor signify by 1861
saying 'aye', oppose 'no' and the Amendment #3 is 1862
adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #4, Katz. Amends Senate Bill 1993 1864
on page 1, and lines..."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative 1866
Katz on Amendment #4."

Katz: "Amendment #4 makes clear that if the failure of the 1868
property owner to proceed on within sixty days to 1869
repair the property is due to a labor dispute or due 1870
to inclement weather conditions, that that will not be
treated as a proper basis for cancelling the 1871
insurance. I would move the adoption of Amendment 1872
#4."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Katz has moved for the 1874
adoption of Amendment #4. There's no discussion. All 1875
in those in favor signify by saying 'aye', oppose 'no' 1876
and Amendment #4 is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments." 1878

Speaker Matijevich: "No further Amendments. Third Reading. 1880

Is that it Art? Alright. Are there any other House 1881
Sponsors who have a Senate Bill which they'd like to 1882
return back to the Order of Second Reading? Are there
any others? The Gentleman from Peoria, Representative 1883
Schraeder for what purpose do you rise?" 1884

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, I have one but the Amendment has 1886
not been distributed, so..."

Speaker Matijevich: "Well..." 1888

Schraeder: "Want to wait?" 1890

Speaker Matijevich: "What's the number Fred?" 1892

Schraeder: "1710." 1894

Speaker Matijevich: "1710. 1710 isn't even on Third Reading 1896
is it?"

Schraeder: "Is it out? 1710. 1898

Speaker Matijevich: "I understand that's printed and 1900
distributed."

Schraeder: "Alright, fine then. I'd like to move it back to 1902
Second for purpose of Amendment." 1903

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman is the Sponsor and he can 1905
take it off the Consent Calendar. So, we're back to 1906
the Order of Second Reading on Senate Bill 1710. The 1907
Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "I'd ask the chair to refer to Representative 1909
Murphy."

Clerk O'Erien: "Amendment #1, Murphy. Amends Senate Bill 1911
1710, by deleting the title and so forth." 1912

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Kane, Representative 1914
Murphy."

Murphy: "All the Amendment does is repeal the tax that we 1916
imposed in the Road Tax Program in the last session, 1917
to take the thirty dollars off that we had for selling 1918
vehicles. Seems like...the Bill come over from the 1919
Senate 44 to 2 and I'd appreciate a favorable Roll
Call."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Murphy has moved the 1921
adoption of Amendment #1 on Senate Bill 1710. The 1922

Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Gene Hoffman." 1922

Hoffman: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I would address a question to 1924
the Sponsor of the Amendment. Did I understand you 1925
right where you said that this Amendment removes the 1926
thirty dollar tax which we imposed for the private
sale of automobiles?"

Murphy: "Yes." 1928

Hoffman: "How much revenue do...how much revenue will the 1930
state lose if this becomes law?" 1931

Murphy: "When it was originally proposed they said they were 1933
expecting about thirty million and its come up 1934
to...it's going to be about fourteen million."

Hoffman: "Did you say twenty million, that it would cost the 1936
state twenty million dollars in revenue?" 1937

Murphy: "Fourteen million." 1939

Hoffman: "Fourteen million. Would cost the state fourteen 1941
million in revenue. Mr. Sponsor, why are you 1942
proposing this Amendment? Do you feel that thirty 1943
dollars is an unreasonable charge?"

Murphy: "Yes, I do. If somebody has to pay seventy-five 1945
dollars for a car, he's got to pay a tax of thirty 1946
dollars. He's got to switch a trailer from family to 1947
family. He's got to pay thirty dollars. This Bill
came out of the Senate 44 to 2, they know it's a bad 1948
situation. And if you haven't got any complaints, you 1949
will be getting them very shortly. It was a bad piece 1950
of legislation when it was tacked on and I still think
that when you go back home, you'll find out that the 1951
people are very very disturbed when they get caught 1952
into the bind and they find out what we did to them in
the last session." 1953

Hoffman: "If you sold me a car for three thousand dollars, I 1955
would have to pay a thirty dollar tax, or you would." 1956

Murphy: "I would." 1958

Hoffman: "I would, I would have to pay a thirty dollar tax. 1960
Alright. If I'd bought that car from a dealer, I 1961

would have to pay a five percent on that which is 1962
 fifty dollars. Hundred, wait a minute, five
 times...that's a hundred and fifty dollars, five times 1963
 three. So, by buying it from you, the state...the 1964
 difference between buying it from you and a dealer as 1965
 far as the state is concerned, is a hundred and twenty 1966
 dollars. I know what you're getting at, but I think
 the kind of problem that you're addressing ought to be 1967
 addressed with a rifle shot and I have the feeling 1968
 you're coming at it with a shotgun and for that reason
 I have some serious reservations about this 1969
 Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Before we have further debate, would 1971
 the Clerk read the Bill. We're on Second Reading. 1972
 Read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1710. A Bill for An Act to 1974
 amend Sections of the Illinois Vehicle Code. Second 1975
 Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from McHenry, 1977
 Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, sometimes it takes a shotgun to catch 1979
 the attention of state bureaucrats. When we had the 1980
 Director of the Department of Revenue before the 1981
 Legislative Audit Commission on the Department's
 bi...I guess it's an annual audit, he admitted that he 1982
 couldn't justify the tax, that the tax was causing his 1983
 Department a lot of grief, he was getting letters from 1984
 people who would buy a hundred dollar car and all of a
 sudden figure out that they didn't have the thirty 1985
 dollars to pay the tax and their title was returned 1986
 and then those people couldn't afford to drive to
 work. And of course I would add parenthetically, if 1987
 they were in the suburbs, they certainly couldn't get 1988
 there any other way. Ah, in my own family my father
 bought a very small trailer for fifty dollars, sent in 1989
 the license application, had it returned saying that 1990

he had to pay a thirty dollar tax. Now if you divide 1991
 thirty dollars by fifty dollars, that is a sixty 1992
 percent tax. Now I believe the Representative's 1993
 Amendment is not where we should end up on this. I do 1994
 not think that we should...I do not think we should 1995
 deprive the State Road Fund of twenty million dollars 1996
 or thirty million dollars, pick whatever figure you 1997
 think is more likely to be accurate. But, I do think 1998
 we have to jog the Department of Revenue into 1999
 realizing this tax is absolutely unjustifiable in its 2000
 present form. I think by passing the Amendment, the 2001
 Department of Revenue will come back with a counter 2002
 proposal which will raise the tax on higher priced 2003
 vehicles and lower the tax on junkers and small priced 2004
 vehicles and I think that's the only route we have 2005
 left now. And so I ask...I join with Representative 2006
 Murphy in requesting your favorable support of this 2007
 Amendment." 2008

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Whiteside, 2009
 Representative Schuneman." 2010

Schuneman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, question of the 2011
 Sponsor." 2012

Speaker Matijevich: "He indicates he'll yield." 2013

Schuneman: "Representative Murphy, are you seeking to 2014
 completely eliminate the tax on sale of vehicles 2015
 between individuals?" 2016

Murphy: "I'm seeking to take the thirty dollar crazy tax 2017
 that we threw into the package and got panicky and it 2018
 affected everybody in the last session." 2019

Schuneman: "Okay. Mr. Speaker, I'd like to speak to the 2020
 Amendment." 2021

Speaker Matijevich: "Proceed." 2022

Schuneman: "While I share Representative Murphy's concern 2023
 about the thirty dollar transfer tax on a fifty dollar 2024
 trailer, or a one hundred dollar car, I think we 2025
 should realize that those are the exceptions rather 2026

than the rule. I'd think we'd be foolish here to 2023
 adopt this kind of an Amendment and give up this kind 2024
 of state revenues on the basis of the fact that are 2025
 present law puts a thirty dollar tax on a hundred 2026
 dollar car. Obviously, that's wrong and it should be 2027
 changed but this is not the right way to change it. 2028
 What we should do, is reduce the tax or eliminate the 2029
 tax on those low valued vehicles and continue to 2030
 collect the tax on the higher priced vehicles. For 2031
 example, I've been looking for a camper recently a 2032
 motor home vehicle and many of those are priced in the 2033
 nine to ten thousand dollar range. If you find one, 2034
 and the five percent tax would be five hundred 2035
 dollars, I think it's a real bargain under our present 2036
 system to only have to pay a thirty dollar transfer 2037
 tax. So, I think the Gentleman has a good point, but 2038
 he's got the wrong Amendment. And, I would urge that 2039
 this Amendment be defeated." 2040

Speaker Matijevec: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative 2041
 Kornowicz." 2042

Kornowicz: "Speaker, I move to the previous question." 2043

Speaker Matijevec: "The Gentleman from Cook, moves to the 2044
 previous question. The question is, shall the main 2045
 question be put? All those in favor signify by saying 2046
 'aye', oppose 'no'. The main question is put. The 2047
 Gentleman from Kane, Representative Murphy to close." 2048

Murphy: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of 2049
 the House. This was a bad piece of legislation when 2050
 it went out in the last session and I know you are 2051
 going to hear more from the people when you get back 2052
 home, as they see how this law was being implemented 2053
 and I'd appreciate a favorable vote." 2054

Speaker Matijevec: "Representative Murphy moves for the 2055
 adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1710. Those 2056
 in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by 2057
 voting 'no'. The Gentleman from Wayne, Representative 2058

Robbins to explain his vote." 2054

Robbins: "It's good to see the green vote...green lights 2056
going on, because the green means you support the poor 2057
people. The red lights mean that those people can 2058
afford to pay the tax."

Speaker Matijeich: "Have all voted? Have all voted? Have 2060
all voted who wish? The Gentleman from DuPage, 2061
Representative Daniels to explain his vote."

Daniels: "Well Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the 2063
House, this seems like a nice thing that we're doing 2064
in order to save some people that don't have much 2065
money on a transfer of a relatively inexpensive 2066
automobile. But, let me remind you of what you are 2067
doing in a very expensive and comprehensive 2067
transportation program. You're taking one of the very 2068
essential elements out of that, that was passed last 2069
fall after much discussion as you all are aware. Now 2069
I don't like the thirty dollar transfer fee any more 2070
than any of you do, but if you take the fourteen to 2071
twenty million dollars out of it now, you've got to 2071
replace it somehow. And, what you're doing is 2072
assuring the fact that we're going to go through the 2072
same thing we went through last fall in a very in 2073
depth transportation program and you're taking money 2074
right out of the general fund or right out of the 2074
funds for the transportation plan that was devised 2075
last fall. Now I suggest, that what we do is to 2075
defeat this Amendment, look this over carefully and 2076
when we deal with the subject of transportation again, 2077
as we will in the near future, that we look at it that 2078
time, but not to do it in the manner we're doing it
now."

Speaker Matijeich: "Take the record. On this question, 2080
there are 114 'ayes', 29 'nays' and 3 answering 2081
'present' and the motion prevails and Amendment #1 is 2082
adopted. Now does...any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments." 2084

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. Does he have leave to 2086
keep this on Short Debate? Third Reading then. 2087
Regular Calendar, Third Reading. Are there any other 2088
returns to the Order of Second Reading? Now the
Gentleman from Cook, Representative O'Brien." 2089

O'Brien: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. Yesterday some of the Members 2091
in the House indicated that they were interested in 2092
going into a Democratic conference and the Republican 2093
side indicated that they were interested in going to
a conference with the rest of the Republicans. I'm 2094
wondering at what point we are going to adjourn today 2095
and go into the respective conferences. Maybe George 2096
Ryan can help me out since it doesn't appear that the
Majority Leader or the Speaker are present. The real 2097
Speaker." 2097

Speaker Matijevich: "We've got a little more work to do. 2099
Thanks a lot Dan." 2099

O'Brien: "I'd like to get an answer to the question, Mr. 2101
Speaker. I think there are Members on both sides of 2102
the aisle who would like to know what the schedule is 2103
for today and whether or not we're going to go ahead
with the conferences that they indicated." 2104

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Kankakee, 2106
Representative Ryan." 2106

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I had said at nine o'clock 2108
this morning that I would like to have a conference 2109
and that still holds. At a time that's convenient, I 2110
to be as accommodating as I can, but I would also like 2111
to know what kind of a schedule we're going to have
today." 2111

Speaker Matijevich: "We're still waiting for your answer, 2113
George, I guess. Alright." 2113

Ryan: "Thank you." 2115

Speaker Matijevich: "On page seven of the Consent Calendar, 2117
Third Reading. Read the Bill." 2118

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1927. A Bill for An Act to amend the Retailer's Occupation Tax Act, Use Tax Act, Service Tax Act and Service Occupation Tax Act. Third Reading of the Bill. And, Senate Bill 1999. A Bill for An Act to amend the Illinois Income Tax Act. Third Reading of the Bill." 2120
2121
2122
2123

Speaker Matijevich: "On the Consent...on those Bills, the question is shall those Bills pass? Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted? This is Consent Calendar on page seven. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record." 2125
2126
2127
2128

Clerk O'Brien: "That first Bill was 1927, in case I read 1727." 2130

Speaker Matijevich: "1927. Thank you, Leon. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 119 'ayes', 3 voting 'no', 21 voting 'present' and these Bills having received the Constitutional Majority are hereby declared passed. Alright, there's one more Bill to move back. The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Gene Hoffman. What Bill is that, Gene? Representative Hoffman." 2132
2133
2134
2135
2136
2137

Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. It's Senate Bill 1480, it's on page 4 Senate Bills Second." 2139
2140

Speaker Matijevich: "Oh, well we're going to get some Seconds now, so we'll get to you." 2142
2143

Hoffman: "Okay." 2145

Speaker Matijevich: "Senate Bills Second Reading. Senate Bill 1457. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Pierce." 2147
2148

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1457. A Bill for An Act to exempt drugs and medical supplies and certain food for human consumption from occupation use tax and to replace local revenue losses. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments." 2150
2151
2152
2153

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor? The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Pierce." 2155
 2156

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, I just got a request from one of my colleagues to hold that Bill, so..." 2158
 2159

Speaker Matijevich: "Out of the record. Senate Bill 1480." 2161

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1480. A Bill for An Act in relation to the transfer and leasing of real estate for school purposes. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1 and 3 were adopted previously and the Bill was held on Second Reading." 2163
 2164
 2165
 2166

Speaker Matijevich: "Further Amendments?" 2168

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #4, Chapman-Anderson. Amends Senate Bill 14..." 2170

Speaker Matijevich: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Chapman." 2172

Chapman: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to withdraw that Amendment please." 2174

Speaker Matijevich: "Leave to withdraw that Amendment. Leave. Further Amendments?" 2176

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments." 2178

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1497." 2180

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1497. A Bill for An Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Inheritance Tax Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee." 2182
 2183
 2184

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?" 2186

Clerk O'Brien: "Just a minute. This has got two in here adopted. In Committee, evidently there was an Amendment #2 that was tabled. There was then floor Amendment...the next floor Amendment was also number 2, so there's a duplication in that number. Floor Amendment #2, Pierce. Amends Senate Bill 14..." 2188
 2189
 2190
 2191

Speaker Matijevich: "Gentleman from Lake, Representative Pierce." 2193

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, I think we can solve this problem by asking leave to call floor Amendment 2, Amendment 3" 2195
 2196

and floor Amendment 3, Amendment 4. There was a 2 in 2197
Committee that was tabled and I guess we overlooked
that."

Speaker Matijevich: "Leave to do what?" 2199

Pierce: "To call Amendment 2, floor Amendment 2 to rename it 2201
floor Amendment 3."

Speaker Matijevich: "Alright, leave that this be floor 2203
Amendment 3. Leave. Continue then." 2204

Pierce: "Floor Amendment 3 was requested by the county 2206
treasurers to make sure that the payments of 2207
inheritance tax continued to be made to the treasurer
in the county rather than to the state treasurer and I 2208
move its adoption. It continues the practice of 2209
paying inheritance tax to the county treasurer. I 2210
move its adoption."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Pierce has moved for the 2212
adoption of Amendment #3. There's no discussion. 2213
Those in favor signify by saying 'aye', oppose by 2214
saying 'no' and Amendment #3 is adopted. Further
Amendments?"

Pierce: "I ask leave that the floor Amendment 3 be amended 2216
on its face say floor Amendment 4. That's your next 2217
one up."

Speaker Matijevich: "Leave that Amendment 3 now be Amendment 2219
#4. Leave."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4, Pierce. Amends Senate 2221
Bill 1497..."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative 2223
Pierce on Amendment #4."

Pierce: "Amendment #4 clarifies that this inheritance tax 2225
Bill will be effective with decedents who die after 2226
December 31, 1980. That will prevent the necessity of 2227
repayments being made by the State Treasurer for those
who've already paid the inheritance tax and then 2228
qualify for the delayed payment under the closely held 2229
family business exemption, so, what we provide in this 2230

Amendment is what was in the Bill, but we clarified it 2230
that the advantages of this Bill will be only for 2231
those who are fortunate enough or unfortunate enough 2232
to die after December 31, 1980. Depending on how you 2233
look at it. I move its adoption."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Pierce has moved for the 2235
adoption of Amendment #4 to Senate Bill 1497. There's 2236
no discussion. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', 2237
oppose 'no'. Amendment #4 is adopted. Further
Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments." 2239

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading." 2241

Pierce: "God bless Mayor Byrne." 2243

Speaker Matijevich: "What? Alright. Senate Bill 1505." 2245

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1505, a Bill for An Act to 2247
provide protection for the Public Health and Safety by 2248
prohibiting the possession, delivery, manufacturing, 2249
advertisement of drug paraphernalia defining terms and
prescribing penalties. Second Reading of the Bill. 2250
Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor." 2252

Clerk O'Brien: "A floor Amendment #2, Cullerton, amends 2254
Senate Bill 1505 as amended with reference to the page 2255
and line numbers in Amendment 1 and so forth."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor. Oh. The 2257
Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton." 2258

Cullerton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen 2260
of the House. This Amendment has been agreed to by 2261
the Sponsor of the Bill. It makes some technical 2262
changes in correcting misspelled words. It puts a
mental state of 'knowingly' since there is a criminal 2263
penalty and it also adds..makes it clear that the 2264
penalty applies to the sale of the tobacco accessories
as well as smoking herbs. And I'd ask for a...the 2265
adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Cullerton has moved for 2267

the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1505. If 2268
there's no discussion, all those in favor signify by 2269
saying 'aye', opposed by saying 'no' and Amendment #2
is adopted. Further Amendments?" 2270

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #3, Getty. Amends Senate Bill 2272
1505 as amended with reference to page and line 2273
numbers of Amendment 1."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative 2275
Getty, on Amendment #3."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, I'm going to withdraw Amendment #3 in 2277
favor of a subsequent Amendment that..." 2278

Speaker Matijevich: "Leave to withdraw Amendment #3. Does 2280
he have leave?..."

Getty: "Been presented by Representative Cullerton..." 2282

Speaker Matijevich: "Leave. Further Amendments?" 2284

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #4, Cullerton. Amends Senate Bill 2286
1505 as amended..."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative 2288
Cullerton, on Amendment #4." 2289

Cullerton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen 2291
of the House. Amendment #4 makes it clear that we 2292
intend to outlaw the sale, not only of items which are 2293
used to...for smoking purposes, but also for use
in...use of snuff. So it changes the term from 2294
'smoking' to tobacco and snuff accessories and also 2295
changes the pre-emption Section. The pre-emption
Section was felt to be too broad. What this does is 2296
to narrow it and make it clear that the only thing 2297
that's pre-empted is the fact that there is a criminal
offense involved. We want to have uniform criminal 2298
offenses throughout the state, but the Act, in no way, 2299
limits the power of units of local government to adopt
zoning or land use ordinances with reference to this 2300
Bill. I move the adoption of the Amendment." 2301

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman has moved for the 2303
adoption of Amendment #4 to Senate Bill 1505. The 2304

Gentleman from Cook, Representative Getty."	2304
Getty: "Will the Gentleman yield?"	2306
Speaker Matijevich: "He indicates he will."	2308
Getty: "For the purposes of clearly indicating legislative intent, Representative Cullerton, I want to make sure that units of local government will continue to be able to enact any proper ordinance in this area, not specifically pre-empted, not defined as a criminal offense, that they may adopt proper ordinances that have not been specifically spoken to by House Bill 15...er...Senate Bill 1505 as amended. Is that correct?"	2310 2311 2312 2313 2314 2315
Cullerton: "That's correct."	2317
Getty: "Thank you."	2319
Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Kosinski."	2321
Kosinski: "The Gentleman yield?"	2323
Speaker Matijevich: "He indicates he will."	2325
Kosinski: "Mr. Cullerton, I understand the original concept after your Amendment in our House Committee. If I remember correctly, it was directed to juveniles. Is that correct?"	2327 2328 2329
Cullerton: "That's correct."	2331
Kosinski: "And nothing is changed in that regard?"	2333
Cullerton: "No."	2335
Kosinski: "Thank you."	2337
Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Cullerton has moved for the adoption of Amendment #4 to 1505. Those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no' and Amendment #4 is adopted. Further Amendments?"	2339 2340 2341
Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."	2343
Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. During a momentary lull, Agreed Resolutions."	2345
Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 890, McClain. 892, Bircaler. 893, Oblinger. 894, Madigan. 895, Bullock. 900, Johnson. 901, Mahar. 903, Doyle.	2347 2348

904, Molloy. 905, J.J. Wolf. 906, J.J. Wolf. 907,	2349
Ryan. 908, Hallstrom. 909, Pechous. And, House	2350
Joint Resolution 105, Bianco."	
Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker..."	2352
Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Winnebago,	2354
Representative Giorgi."	
Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, 890 by McClain notes a 40th	2356
anniversary. 892, by Birchler tells about a 50th	2357
anniversary. 893 by Oblinger asks a Sub-committee of	2358
the House Transportation Committee to study elderly	
busing problems. 894 by Madigan celebrates a silver	2359
anniversary. 895 by Bullock presents an accolade to	2360
Jim Wright, the new Director of the UAW. Johnson's 900	
recognizes Kurt Schmidt's contributions. 901 by Mahar,	2361
helps retire Reverend Joseph Burke. 903 by Doyle,	2362
honors Sister Eleanor. 904 by Molloy marks a birthday.	
905 by J.J. Wolf, heralds the German Day celebration.	2363
906 by J.J. Wolf, proclaims captive nation week. 907	2364
by Ryan, brags about his pharmacists union 100th year	2365
anniversary. 908 by Hallstrom, tells about nuptial	
ceremony. Pechous calls attention to the institution	2366
of marriage and Bianco designates a new hostage flag	2367
and I move for the adoption of the Agreed	
Resolutions."	
Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, has moved	2369
the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. We'll get to	2370
you Joe, but before that the Gentleman from Cook,	2371
Representative Cullerton."	
Cullerton: "Will the Sponsor yield?"	2373
Speaker Matijevich: "He indicates he will."	2375
Cullerton: "I have a question about one of the Resolutions.	2377
It had something to do with Representative Ryan."	2378
Giorgi: "Yes."	2380
Cullerton: "Could you clarify what that..."	2382
Giorgi: "The Resolution is quite laudatory and quite	2384
involved, but what it does is it notes the 100th	2385

anniversary of their pharmacists union.	No,	2386
pharmacists association."		
Cullerton: "Thank you."		2388
Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from DeKalb,		2390
Representative Ebbesen on the Agreed Resolutions."		2391
Ebbesen: "Ah, yes, Mr. Speaker. I had a question on one.		2393
Seriously there was one, did you say something about a		2394
Resolution on funding of something? Early on. Second		2395
or third Resolution."		
Giorgi: "Funding?"		2397
Ebbesen: "Funding."		2399
Giorgi: "On funding. No, I don't think...the only...I think		2401
Oblinger's asked the Sub-committee of the		2402
Transportation Committee to study the peculiar		2403
problems of the elderly for busing and you know for		
dial-a-bus, you know and so on."		
Ebbesen: "Alright."		2405
Giorgi: "I'm glad you're paying more attention to		2407
Resolutions since....manner..."		
Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Effingham,		2409
Representative Brummer, on the Agreed Resolutions."		2410
Brummer: "Yes, I think one of them is designating a new		2412
hostage flag."		
Giorgi: "Yeah."		2414
Brummer: "I understand the clear enunciation of that by the		2416
Sponsor. I would like to know what the precedent is		2417
and the procedures for designation of flags and		2418
specifically what the Resolution does."		
Giorgi: "Well, the reason I thought it should be an Agreed		2420
Resolution is there's a flag on display in the back of		2421
the room and I understand the Governor is already		2422
flying it over the mansion, so I thought we ought to		
legalize and justify it by passing a Resolution."		2423
Brummer: "Well, I, is this going to be another second		2425
official flag of the State of Illinois, or something		2426
like this?"		

Giorgi: "No." 2428

Brummer: "I would like to know what the Resolution does and 2430
 what the impact with regard to our designating an 2431
 official flag is. I don't think that ought to a 2432
 matter of Agreed Resolution or at least I'd like to
 know what it's about."

Giorgi: "Sure. I understand your concern, because in the 2434
 event you design the flag next week, I'd get the 2435
 Resolution passed for you. You might want to design a 2436
 Brummer flag. What happens..."

Brummer: "I don't know if it ought to become the official 2438
 Brummer flag of the State of Illinois." 2439

Giorgi: "Well it could become an official Brummer flag for 2441
 your backyard. And, we'd pass a Resolution honoring 2442
 you with that flag. But, Mr. Bianco maybe you'd like 2443
 to take the floor and explain what you have done for
 the hostage flag, so far." 2444

Speaker Matijevich: "Before we get to that, the Gentleman 2446
 from Cook, Representative Walsh." 2447

Walsh: "Well Mr. Speaker, it sounds to me as though there's 2449
 been an objection to that Resolution, it's being an 2450
 Agreed Resolution and therefore it should be taken 2451
 out. Is there not...well then I wish to object to the
 Resolution that calls for a Sub-committee of the 2452
 Transportation Committee to do something. That is not 2453
 the substance of an Agreed Resolution."

Speaker Matijevich: "Alright." 2455

Walsh: "And I object to its being on the list." 2457

Speaker Matijevich: "Alright, there's objection to 2459
 in...which number is that? You're going to withdraw." 2460

Giorgi: "That was a Mrs. Oblinger's House Resolution 893." 2462

Speaker Matijevich: "893, withdraw that one. Ah..." 2464

Giorgi: "Well, listen, before...Mrs. Oblinger might want to 2466
 speak on the Resolution. She might get permission of 2467
 the House to pass it now."

Speaker Matijevich: "Well let's, you know, let's withdraw 2469

it..." 2469

Giorgi: "Let's withdraw that one and go on with 2471
the...objection with the hostage flag."

Speaker Matijevich: "Alright, the Gentleman from Effingham, 2473
Representative Brummer on the other one." 2474

Brummer: "I don't know if I have any objection to the 2476
Resolution dealing with the designation of an official 2477
hostage flag, since I haven't seen nor have I heard 2478
much about the details of the Resolution. I would 2479
simply like to know what the Resolution contains, so
that I know whether I want to object or not."

Speaker Matijevich: "Well, maybe Bianco can clear it up. 2481
The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Bianco." 2482

Bianco: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Representative Brummer and 2484
fellow Representatives."

Speaker Matijevich: "The flag is over there in the back." 2486

Bianco: "The flag is hanging here in the back of the 2488
assembly. It has been here for about a week. We 2489
developed this flag last week, I did it in conjunction 2490
with the gentleman from Springfield, from the American
Opinion Book Store. We were inspired to do this 2491
because of the grave situation that we have in Iran 2492
with our American citizens being held hostage there 2493
and the circumstances that have evolved around it with
the loss of eight American soldiers lives and we felt 2494
that something should be done, just as the Governor 2495
did when he ordered the flags to be flown at half mast
recently. Wherein he did run into a problem with that 2496
because the veteran's groups thought that it was a 2497
burden flying the American flag specifically at half
mast for such a long period of time that it seems to 2498
have been. But, this flag was born out of an idea to 2499
pay tribute to the eight Americans who lost there 2500
lives in this fiasco and the 53 American citizens
being held hostage, to keep a constant reminder of 2501
them. And, the Governor has accepted this flag, and 2502

- he is currently flying it over the mansion and we are 2502
designating that this flag be flown over six key state 2503
buildings throughout the state predominantly in 2505
Springfield and any other buildings as deemed
appropriate by the Secretary of State and the Governor 2506
of the State of Illinois. And, I would hope that my 2507
fellow Representatives would see fit to concur in this
Resolution..."
- Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative 2509
Jaffe. Jaffe."
- Jaffe: "Mr. Speaker. It alarms me somewhat to hear the 2511
Gentleman say that it's done in conjunction with the 2512
American Opinion Book Store which happens to be the 2513
John Birch Society Book Shop. You know, all sorts of
things spring into my mind, including perhaps..." 2514
- Speaker Matijevich: "Before you go further, we'd better 2516
withdraw that one. I can see something brewing here. 2517
What's the number of that one? House Joint Resolution 2518
105. Withdraw that. Now Representative Giorgi has
moved the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. Those 2519
in favor signify by saying 'aye', oppose 'no' and the 2520
Agreed Resolutions are adopted. Death Resolutions."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 878, by Representative 2522
Cullerton, which respects the memory of Mr. Richard T. 2523
Aldworth. House Resolution 891, by Representative 2524
Younge, respects the memory of Mr. Charles H. Lawson,
III. And, House Resolution 902, by Representative 2525
Mautino, with respect to the memory of Mr. Harold F. 2526
Monting."
- Giorgi: "Representative Giorgi moves the adoption of the 2528
Death Resolutions. Those in favor signify by saying 2529
'aye', oppose 'no' and the Death Resolutions are 2530
adopted. General Resolutions."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 897, Chapman. House 2532
Resolution 898, Macdonald. House Resolution 899, 2533
Oblinger. House Resolution 893, Oblinger. And, House

Joint Resolution 105, Bianco."	2534
Speaker Matijevich: "Committee on Assignments. Back on the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading. Senate Bill 1812."	2536 2537
Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1812. A Bill for An Act to amend Sections of the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill."	2539 2540
Speaker Matijevich: "Fiscal note has been filed on Senate Bill 1812. Are there any further Amendments?"	2542 2543
Clerk O'Brien: "Amendments 11 and 12 were adopted and the Bill was held for a fiscal note. The fiscal note is filed as amended. No further Amendments."	2545 2546
Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1893? 1893."	2548
Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1893. A Bill for An Act to amend Sections of the Election Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."	2550 2551
Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"	2553
Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, Davis. Amends Senate Bill 1893 on page one by deleting line seven and inserting in lieu thereof the following and so forth."	2555 2556 2557
Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Will, Representative Jack Davis, on Amendment #1."	2559 2560
Davis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Last Wednesday afternoon at 4:30 the entire focus of the country was on this chamber and on Illinois. And if any of you in this chamber believe at this point in time that that focus is going to be removed in the next four or five months before the general election on November 4th, then you also believe in the tooth fairy. The truth of the matter is it is not going to go away. The Sponsors of the Resolution that was presented Wednesday afternoon have guaranteed it will not go away. Those against the issue have guaranteed it will not go away. We've heard <u>Sun-Time's</u> polls, <u>Tribune</u> polls, Harris polls,	2562 2563 2564 2565 2566 2567 2568 2569 2570 2571

Gallup polls, flag poles, God knows what polls. We've 2571
all taken polls in our districts. But, Ladies and 2572
Gentlemen, there's only one poll that counts. And 2573
that's on November 4th, 1980. So I offer to you today 2574
an Amendment to an election Bill that would provide
for an advisory referendum on whether or not as a 2575
matter of public policy that the State of Illinois 2576
should ratify the proposed 27th Amendment to the
United States Constitution. Ladies and Gentlemen, 2577
this Amendment and like Bills have been offered before 2578
in this House. The last time Representative Kelly and
Capparelli and myself teamed up to offer this 2579
Amendment, it went on with 100 votes coming from both 2580
sides of the issue..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Jaffe, for what purpose do 2582
you arise?"

Jaffe: "A parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Speaker. I would 2584
question the germaneness of this Amendment." 2585

Speaker Redmond: "Parliamentarian? Parliamentarian? 2587
Germaneness of Amendment #1 to 1893 has been 2588
questioned. Will you... Parliamentarian says it is 2589
germane. Proceed, Representative Davis."

Davis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. To continue, I'm sure that 2591
all of us in this chamber are more than curious as to 2592
the actual ability of the Amendment to fail or to 2593
ultimately pass. There's only one way to find that
out. Let's turn the resources of both those who 2594
support the Amendment and those who oppose the 2595
Amendment, turn their resources to an education of the
voting public of this state. So that, indeed, on 2596
November 4th, they can come out in an advisory 2597
referendum only and tell this General Assembly whether
the proposed 27th Amendment should be ratified. Now, 2598
Ladies and Gentlemen, those of you sitting in this 2599
chamber may have some fear of having that issue on the
same ballot in which you're running for re-election. 2600

Have no fear. You simply have but one choice to make, 2601
to remove that question from your 2602
election...re-election effort and that's simply to say 2603
to your constituents, 'Yes, I will bind myself to the 2604
outcome of that advisory referendum.' You have no 2605
fear. Those who oppose believe they will win. Those 2606
who are pro believe they will win. Let the voters 2607
decide this particular question. I, for one, have 2608
made the commitment before and I'll make it again. I 2609
will bind myself to the outcome of that referendum, my 2610
deep-seated feelings opposing the Resolution 2611
notwithstanding. So I urge you to put this Amendment
on Representative Darrow's Bill and hope, by God, the
Senate goes along with it for a change and lets this
important question be put to the voters of Illinois in
an advisory referendum on November 4th."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Greiman." 2613

Greiman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. If I were... If I were to 2615
be given the choice of what I would be in my next 2616
life, I would like to be a Bill in the Illinois 2617
General Assembly. Because then I would have
immortality. I would be able to live forever and ever 2618
and ever and ever and ever. I would never die. 2619
Nothing, apparently, ever dies here. This... It
wasn't even new this Session. We had it last time. 2620
We killed it. Because we're good, smart politicians, 2621
we don't want to muddy up our own election campaigns
for or against. We want to stand on our records, none 2622
of this single issue necessarily of the Equal Rights 2623
Amendment. We want to stand on our records as 2624
Representatives, as Senators in the General Assembly. 2625
What Representative Davis proposes is that we... that 2626
we, all of us, take a single issue and we bring it to 2627
our heart and we then rise or fall on that issue. 2628
There are men and women in this Body that disagree
with me on the Equal Rights Amendment, of which I am 2629

passionately .. which I passionately believe in, but I 2630
don't want them necessarily... to do without their
services. They have a good contribution here. So 2631
that, why would we want to make one, single issue the 2632
rise or fall of political careers of dozens of
careers? Seems to me everybody in this room got here 2633
somehow by being relatively intelligent politicians 2634
and if you want to stay, it's a good idea to defeat 2635
this. In any event, I would like to reiterate that
it's wonderful to see us arguing the same thing. We 2636
always have a sense of deja vu around this time. This 2637
is an absurd thing. It violates our Constitutional
duties, in a sense. Our duty is to make a judgment, 2638
not on what necessarily the numbers are in a district, 2639
but just to make a decision on what we believe in, is 2640
right for the Constitution. That's what our job is.
That's what our responsibility is. We ought to defeat 2641
this again as we have in the past."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kornowicz." 2643

Kornowicz: "Mr. Speaker, move the previous question please." 2645

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved the previous 2647
question. The question is, shall the main question be 2648
put? Those in favor indicate by saying 'aye', 'aye', 2649
opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Representative
Darrow."

Darrow: "Davis' Amendment..." 2651

Speaker Redmond: "What did you say? Representative Davis." 2653

Davis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. In reply to the last 2655
speaker, I don't think it's any more ludicrous to put 2656
this on the ballot and bind yourself to the outcome 2657
then it is to run independent and campaign on that
single issue. I don't think that's as ludicrous at 2658
all. Now I can just tell you this, Representative, 2659
that the opposition to this... to this idea has always
come from your side. And I just simply say to you, 2660
what in the world are we all afraid of? The term 2661

'Representative' to me means to represent. And I, 2662
frankly, don't know how my district does feel
ultimately on this subject. I, for one, believe that 2663
the voters of this state can be trusted to be educated 2664
on the issue by both sides and vote responsibly in
November."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on Representative Davis' 2666
motion for the adoption of Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 2667
1893. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. 2668
I'll call on you when this is over. Representative
Darrow."

Darrow: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I didn't have a chance to 2670
comment on this. I have supported legislation such as 2671
this in the past, but I don't want it on my Bill. 2672
Earlier today we discussed the same situation where 2673
you're amending the Senate Bills without Committee
debate, without any discussion and you're not having 2674
the three days reading in both Houses. I'm afraid 2675
that if we put this Amendment on the Bill, the Bill
will be defeated or will be tabled at a later date, so 2676
I'd appreciate it if you'd vote 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Willer." 2678

Willer: "No, never mind, Mr. Speaker." 2680

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk 2682
will... Representative Kelly."

Kelly: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I'm very 2684
proud and pleased to support Representative Davis on 2685
this Amendment. I know it's a difficult issue for 2686
many of you. I would like to say to Representative
Greiman who said of this Bill, he'd like to be a Bill 2687
if he ever had another opportunity, that this is just 2688
an extension which the ERA supporters received getting
a few extra years. So I look at this as just another 2689
opportunity or an extension for other of us to allow 2690
our constituents to voice their vote on this issue. I 2691
think it's extremely important and an opportunity to

- take ERA out of your campaign. Whether you're for or
your against the issue, you're going to find out that
there's going to be a lot of activity, one issue
activity, rather than separating it from our
campaigns. I think it's important to my campaign.
And I think it's very important to every Member in
this House. I vote 'yes'." 2692
2693
2694
2695
2696
- Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative
Griesheimer." 2698
- Griesheimer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. In explaining my
vote, I would like to point out to this House that in
my eight years down here, this proposition has been
presented to this House on seven occasions. And on
every occasion, it's been defeated primarily by those
who are the leaders in the ratification movement for
the...this particular ERA proposition. I feel there's
little doubt that these people have no confidence in
the people. They realize that this issue is not
supported by the people. And therefore, that's the
reason they will not turn this matter over to the
people of this state. And to those people, they
should not do anything better than apologize to their
people back home because they are not Representatives.
They are alter egos of their own ego-mania." 2700
2701
2702
2703
2704
2705
2706
2707
2708
2709
- Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative
Dyer." 2711
- Dyer: "Mr. Speaker, yes, I would like to explain my vote
because I do not want my 'no' vote to be
misunderstood, especially in reference to the previous
speaker. If you look back at previous Roll Calls, I
have supported the idea of a referendum when the same
was presented two years ago. I supported it. The
reason I am voting 'no' this time is that there is
always the possibility that the Coalition for
Political Honesty will put another referendum also on
the ballot. I will not be running for re-election 2713
2714
2715
2716
2717
2718
2719

next fall. But if I were any of you on either side of the aisle, on either side of the issue, I would not want this referendum added to muddy up the issues in my campaign. The real referendum that will count will be the votes by the voters at the polls on November 4th and I'm confident of an affirmative ratification of the Equal Rights idea on the voters as they vote for the candidates on November 4th." 2720

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Friedrich." 2721

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, I'm voting 'aye' on this because I think this is one issue that's controversial. It's been blown out of proportion. I think it's something the people should have a right to decide on. I just heard the last speaker, but I'm intrigued by the fact that all those people who say, 'the people really want ERA', are afraid to submit it to them. And I think I'd like to have some of those people voting 'no' tell me what they're afraid of." 2722
2723
2724

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Willer." 2726

Willer: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, it seems to me the opponents could easily say if this carries by a Majority, but not three-fifths, well, you know, it should be carried by three-fifths because we require three-fifths to carry in the House and in the Senate. So we have carried overwhelming... way over Majority in this House which does reflect over Majority of the people of this state unless people are voting against their constituents and I doubt it. I think that this is not going to hold any of the 'no' voters to a 'yes' vote if it carries by simply a Majority. They're going to weasel out of it then by saying, 'Well, we need three-fifths of the people to support it because we have to vote three-fifths.' It's our duty to vote on the Amendment, not the people." 2728
2729
2730
2731
2732
2733
2734
2736
2738
2739
2740
2741
2742
2743
2744
2745
2746
2747
2748

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Conti." 2750

Conti: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the 2752

- House, as those of you who are concerned about 2753
muddying up the elections, you did that when you voted 2754
for consolidation of election. Every single issue
that's going to be controversial, you're going to be 2755
tied up with when you voted consolidation of 2756
elections. So that's a weak argument to vote against
this Bill."
- Speaker Redmond: "Representative Johnson." 2758
- Johnson: "The one argument I've heard consistently in my 2760
mail and by the Sponsors and supporters of ERA is that 2761
the people of their district and the people of 2762
Illinois overwhelmingly want this. If you look at
the... If you look at the Roll Call, you'd see that 2763
all of the Sponsors of ERA now and in the past, if my 2764
recollections at least goes back three years, are 2765
voting 'no' on this. I don't know why, but I don't
see what harm there would be in going along with 2766
Representative Davis' idea to ask the people what they 2767
think. If you're not confident that everybody's in
favor of it, everybody, particularly the proponents of 2768
ERA, ought to be green on this Amendment. I think 2769
it's a good idea and something that's long overdue and
I urge a 'yes' vote." 2770
- Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ewing." 2772
- Ewing: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, 2774
in explaining this vote, I believe that I know how the 2775
people in my district feel, but I am willing to be 2776
educated that they feel differently than I think. I
would respect their views on this matter. And I think 2777
we should not at all be afraid to let the people speak 2778
and tell us just how they feel on this issue, in each 2779
of our districts. We shouldn't be afraid of any issue
that's too muddy for any election. And let's put some 2780
'yes' votes up there."
- Speaker Redmond: "Representative Anderson." 2782
- Anderson: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. I was intrigued with 2784

Representative Davis... 2784

Speaker Redmond: "Smoking light is out... Explanation of votes..." 2786

Anderson: "...Explanation that he would bind himself to the outcome of the vote. Did he mean in the state or in the district?" 2788
2789

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Vinson." 2791

Vinson: "Yeah, Mr. Speaker. I'm a little bit shocked by some of my very good friends and at times philosophical fellow travelers on this issue. We listened to a debate this week on ERA that demonstrates the profound, moral and philosophical issues involved. And I think that we all know that Members of this House are and will and should vote their consciences on this issue and I think it's a serious mistake to take this out, to put it on the ballot, to have a meaningless, nonbinding referendum at some substantial taxpayer expense. People ought to come down here on this issue and have the courage to vote their consciences and I would urge a 'no' vote on this. And I would point out for the... to some of the people who have spoken that I am not and never intend to be a proponent of ERA." 2793
2794
2795
2796
2797
2799
2800
2801
2802
2803

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schuneman." 2805

Schuneman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I have to take issue with my colleague who spoke just before me when he referred to this as being a 'meaningless referendum'. I don't think it would be meaningless at all. One of the problems with this issue is that in my opinion it is a much deeper issue than many people give it credit for being. It goes much deeper into the fabric of our life and our society than many of us think that it does. I think the people of Illinois should be better informed on this issue. And one way of their becoming informed is through the referendum process. There will be debate throughout the State of Illinois." 2807
2808
2809
2810
2811
2812
2813
2814
2815

There will be statements on this issue that the public will have to cope with and finally they'll have to vote. And I think their vote will mean something and I think that those of us who represent them here will notice their vote and will try to act accordingly. This is a good idea. It's something we should be in favor."

Speaker Redmond: "Anyone else? Representative Ropp."

Ropp: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I'd like to ask a question. If we vote for this and if ultimately the voters would say 'no', could we have some assurance from the proponents of this issue that they would not continue to be involved in this kind of a program?"

Speaker Redmond: "I don't know. Who do you want that answer from?"

Ropp: "Any of the proponents would be fine. I'd take their answer as representing all of them."

Speaker Redmond: "I don't think that's possible to speak with a single voice on that. Representative Daniels."

Daniels: "Well, I think the question that the former speaker asked is interesting. I think the former speaker by the fact that he's voting for this referendum is saying to the people of the State of Illinois as are all other people that are voting for this that if this does become law or on this Bill, is attached to it, and if it does pass by Majority vote, that they, in fact, will support the Equal Rights Amendment. And I think that's what you're saying, Representative Ropp. And I think that's a very interesting comment on your part."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McBroom."

McBroom: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, in explaining my 'no' vote, which I seldom do, I have infinite respect for Representative Davis, but I think this is an extremely poor concept. You're leading people to believe something that probably will have no

effect on the next Illinois General Assembly. Those 2851
of us who will be in close races this fall I think 2852
will live to regret this action if it's on the ballot
as I can envision all types of campaign tactics. I 2853
think this should be overwhelmingly defeated."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative O'Brien." 2855

O'Brien: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members, I rise to explain my 2857
'aye' vote. I've always been a supporter of this 2858
issue and have voted in favor of it every time it has 2859
come up in either chamber of the House or the Senate.
I think that this is an important issue and Illinois 2860
is the key state to take action in relation to this 2861
Amendment. I think it's the only way to do it, rather 2862
than have a velvet hammer, the Mayor or the Governor
twist the arms of Members in the General Assembly to 2863
pass it. Let's have a poll in each and every district 2864
and let the people vote the way they want to vote on 2865
this issue."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Chapman." 2867

Chapman: "Mr. Speaker, just a little past history on this 2869
particular issue. As has been stated before, there 2870
hasn't been a single Session since 1972 when somebody 2871
hasn't reinvented the wheel and come up with the
bright idea of putting a referendum on the ballot. 2872
The interesting thing is that there was one Session, 2873
the first one, that it looked like it might pass. And
then, since this was a poor ploy of the opponents to 2874
put the proponents of ERA in a bad light and nothing 2875
that was really being offered in any kind of 2876
sincerity, the proponent of the Bill who is now a
Congressman in the United States House of 2877
Representatives, very hurriedly tabled the Bill
because he was afraid it was going to pass. I think 2878
it's time one more time to stop playing fun and games 2879
with the Equal Rights Amendment. Let's vote 'no' and 2880
let's take the responsibility for making a good

- decision for all men and women in this nation and vote 2881
 'yes' on the Equal Rights Amendment, not 'yes' on a 2882
 silly referendum."
- Speaker Redmond: "Representative Dunn." 2884
- Dunn J.: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, 2886
 if I were a constituent back home I would really 2887
 wonder about any Legislator who after all the debate 2888
 in history and turmoil and emotion on the Equal Rights 2889
 Amendment couldn't make-up his or her mind without the 2890
 benefit of a nonbinding referendum. I would ask 2891
 myself, how in the name of Heaven, can a Legislator 2892
 make-up his or her mind on all the other issues that 2893
 come before them on which they can't possibly have as 2894
 much information as the Equal Rights Amendment? I 2895
 think it would be a bad reflection upon the Illinois 2896
 General Assembly to put this referendum on the ballot 2897
 and I hope we defeat this Amendment and leave 2898
 Representative Darrow's Bill in the form in which he 2899
 wants it. I urge a 'no' vote." 2900
- Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schraeder." 2901
- Schraeder: "Well, Mr. Speaker, it's quite obvious that we 2902
 voted on ERA, we voted the wishes of our constituency, 2903
 but I had some constituency that said an 'aye' vote 2904
 was wrong. But I'm willing to leave it up to the 2905
 people in my district come November to tell me if I'm 2906
 right or wrong. I think we ought to have this on the 2907
 ballot. And it appears to me that those who are in 2908
 favor of ERA are really afraid to let the people speak 2909
 out. I'm not. I'm willing to let them tell me 2910
 whether I'm right or wrong and if they say I'm wrong, 2911
 I'll come back in January and vote the way they wish." 2912
- Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ebbesen." 2913
- Ebbesen: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the 2914
 House, in explaining my 'aye' vote, I am one of the 2915
 individuals, Representatives, who supported the Equal 2916
 Rights Amendment for several years and I don't 2917

consider this a silly referendum. To me, if there was 2914
 ever an opportunity to get a true poll, a true 2915
 expression, of how the people of this state feel about
 the Equal Rights Amendment, this would be the time. 2916
 It's a Presidential election. There's a possibility 2917
 of having a Constitutional Amendment on the ballot 2918
 that could very well change the size of this House and
 I think the voter turn-out which is... we talk about 2919
 apathy. Here's an excellent opportunity to stimulate 2920
 the voters of this state to go to the polls and to me, 2921
 I certainly would take under those circumstances a 2922
 high turn-out, a high percentage, within my own
 Legislative District and looking at it from the 2923
 perspective of the voters of the entire state to pay 2924
 attention ever though it's advisory as to what they
 say. And I think it's an excellent idea and I think 2925
 that it ought to be put on this Bill and signed into 2926
 law."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Robbins." 2928

Robbins: "Mr. Speaker, I voted on Equal Rights the way that 2930
 my district in the polls that I have seen indicated 2931
 that it should be. IF we are going to be truly 2932
 Representatives of the people, why should we object to
 having the people tell us what they want? Then, we'll 2933
 vote in our districts again for what the people want. 2934
 This is what they send us here to do, not be what we 2935
 want to be, but to do and represent them. So we need
 more green lights up there on that Board. Let the 2936
 people vote on what they want and then let us do what 2937
 the people tell us to do. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay. Representative Karpziel." 2939

Karpziel: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the 2941
 House, frankly I am very surprised by some of the red 2942
 votes up there. I was being lobbied quite extensively 2943
 before this vote because I'm a new Member and I never
 voted on it before and most of the lobbying or a lot 2944

of that lobbying was coming from some of the 2945
 Representatives in this House. I was constantly being 2946
 told that I... they were very surprised at my 'no'
 vote on the ERA. They could not understand it, that I 2947
 would be better representing the people in my district 2948
 if I voted 'yes'. When I told them the people in my
 district answered my questionnaire overwhelmingly 2949
 against the ERA, they told me that my questionnaire was 2950
 probably not sent out to enough people or the right 2951
 people or something else. Now we are giving the
 people in my district as well as all the districts in 2952
 the State of Illinois an opportunity to vote on this 2953
 and the same people, the same people who told me that
 my questionnaire was wrong and that the people in my 2954
 district were really for this, the same people are now 2955
 saying we should not give the people in the State of
 Illinois the right to vote on this referendum. 2956
 Frankly, I am surprised and I certainly hope that 2957
 these same Representatives do not come to me next year
 before we vote on the ERA again and tell me that my 2958
 people really want it when I'm against it because I'm 2959
 going to tell them, they had the opportunity to find 2960
 out who the people were for... I mean what the people
 were for and they're not giving them that opportunity. 2961
 Frankly, I'm very surprised and disappointed." 2962

Speaker Redmond: "Anybody else seeking recognition? 2964
 Representative Davis? Go ahead."

Davis: "Mr. Speaker, I know that there are some 'no' votes 2966
 up there who have voted 'yes' before and who are not 2967
 here so reluctantly I am going to ask to verify the 2968
 Roll Call of the..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Huff." 2970

Huff: "To explain my 'present' vote, Mr. Speaker, I want to 2972
 raise a parliamentary inquiry. Since we mandate that 2973
 an issue such as this, Constitutional Amendment 2974
 requires an extraordinary vote of 107 votes, would it

- not follow that any subsequent question to that issue 2975
 not require 10... also an extraordinary vote of 107 2976
 votes?"
- Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner." 2978
- Skinner: "The Representative from Bloomington township... 2980
 Bloomingdale, excuse me, is quite correct with regard 2981
 to the polling of districts. I don't think there's 2982
 anybody on this House floor but me who has polled 2983
 every constituent household with the registered voter 2984
 on the question of the Equal Rights Amendment. And I 2985
 did it a long time ago and it cost an arm and a leg 2986
 and with the legislative expense allowance we have now 2987
 I can't afford to do it again. But let me tell you, 2988
 the mail was not parallel to the results. And that 2989
 taught me a very, a very good lesson. If when in
 doubt, you really ought to poll your district. But 2990
 unfortunately we don't provide ourselves enough money 2991
 to do it, so I think this is a good way to do it. I
 don't think there's anything to be afraid of." 2992
- Speaker Redmond: "Representative Huff? I know..." 2994
- Huff: "I raised a parliamentary inquiry, Sir." 2996
- Speaker Redmond: "And I'm looking for the Parliamentarian." 2998
- Huff: "Alright." 3000
- Speaker Redmond: "Representative... Anybody else seek 3001
 recognition? Clerk will take the record. Wait a
 minute. Representative Currie."
- Currie: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the 3003
 House. The question is, do we know what the people 3004
 think? Do they have any opportunity to tell us how 3005
 they feel about the Equal Rights Amendment? They have
 every opportunity. They will have every opportunity 3006
 in November without this phoney referendum appearing 3007
 on their ballots. They have an opportunity to elect 3008
 Legislators who make a commitment to vote 'yes', or to
 vote 'no' for the Equal Rights Amendment. People 3009
 suggest that those of us who are voting 'no' are 3010

afraid to find out what the people really think. 3010
 Nonsense. We're afraid to perpetrate a fraud on the 3011
 voters of the State of Illinois. That's exactly what 3012
 an advisory referendum is, a fraud. We've had the
 Thompson proposition. What does that mean in terms of 3013
 votes in this House for particular issues, for 3014
 specific recommendations? There is no question in my
 mind but whatever the results of this advisory 3015
 referendum, it will have absolutely no impact on the 3016
 votes on this floor for and against the Equal Rights
 Amendment. We are afraid to go home to our voters and 3017
 tell them that we're cheating them; we're playing 3018
 games with them. We are here to represent our voters
 and that's what we're doing when we vote 'no' on the 3019
 phoney referendum, when we vote as our consciences 3020
 guide us, as our constituents guide us, 'yes' or 'no' 3021
 on the Equal Rights Amendment itself. I urge more red
 votes."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Huff, the Parliamentarian 3023
 advises me a simple Majority is required. 3024
 Representative Davis, for what purpose do you arise? 3025
 You've spoken two or three times."

Davis: "Well, I have not explained my vote. Well, go on, 3027
 Mr. Speaker, with verification of the negative vote." 3028

Speaker Redmond: "Okay. Clerk will... Clerk will take the 3030
 record. On this question there's 85 'aye' and... 82 3031
 'aye' and 85 'no'. Representative Davis has requested 3032
 a verification of the Negative Roll Call. Proceed, 3033
 Mr. Clerk. In the meantime, Representative Ryan, do
 you seek recognition? About something that you may 3034
 want to be doing when we get through with this one?"

Ryan: "I received your cryptic message, Mr. Speaker, and we 3036
 would like to have a conference as I stated at nine 3037
 o'clock this morning. We'd like to have a conference 3038
 some time today."

Speaker Redmond: "The hour of nine o'clock having arrived, 3040

there will be a Republican conference when we recess	3041
after the consideration of this question.	3042
Representative Greiman?"	
Greiman: "Conference in 114."	3044
Speaker Redmond: "When we get through. Representative	3046
Mahar?"	
Mahar: "In room 118 for the Republicans, Mr. Speaker."	3048
Speaker Redmond: "Proceed, Mr. Clerk."	3050
Clerk Leone: "Poll of the absentees. Bluthardt. Casey.	3052
Catania..."	
Speaker Redmond: "Representative Marovitz, for what purpose	3054
do you arise?"	
Marovitz: "Request to be verified, Mr. Speaker."	3056
Speaker Redmond: "Representative Davis? Proceed.	3058
Representative Yourell. Yourell desires to be	3059
verified."	
Clerk Leone: "Continuing with the poll..."	3061
Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."	3063
Clerk Leone: "Of the absentees. Henry. Klosak. Laurino.	3065
McGrew. Schlickman. and, Stuffle."	3066
Speaker Redmond: "Poll the absentees. Oh, well, then verify	3068
the Negative Roll Call."	
Clerk Leone: "Abramson. Alexander. Balanoff. Barnes.	3070
Beatty. Bell. Boucek. Fowman. Bradley. Braun.	3071
Breslin. Bullock. Burnidge. Chapman. Cullerton.	3072
Currie. Daniels. Sarrow. Dawson. John Dunn. Dyer.	
Epton. Ewell. Farley. Virginia Frederick. Gaines.	3073
Garmisa. Getty. Giorgi. Goodwin. Greiman.	3074
Hallock. Hallstrom. Hoffman. Hudson. Jaffe. Emil	
Jones. Kane. Katz. Keane, Kornowicz. Krska.	3075
Kucharzski. Lechowicz. Leon. Leverenz. Macdonald.	3076
Madigan. Marovitz. Matijevich. Matula. McBroom.	3077
McPike. Mugalian. Murphy. Oblinger. Patrick.	
Pierce. Polk. Pouncey. Preston. Pullen. Reed.	3078
Ronan. Ryan. Sandquist. Satterthwaite. Schneider.	3079
Sharp. Stanley. Stearney. Steczo. E.G. Steele.	

C.M. Stiehl. Sumner. Taylor. Telcser. Totten.	3080
Vinson. White. Willer. Williamson. Younge.	3081
Yourell. And, Mr. Speaker."	
Speaker Redmond: "Any questions of the Negative Roll Call? Mr. Davis."	3083
Davis: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. Yes, I have several. Sandquist?"	3085
Speaker Redmond: "Representative Sandquist in his chair? Sandquist? Remove him."	3087
Davis: "Has Sandquist been removed, Sir? I'm sorry."	3089
Speaker Redmond: "He was removed."	3091
Davis: "What is the count going in, Sir?"	3093
Speaker Redmond: "82 'aye' and 84 'no'. That's after Representative Sandquist has been removed."	3095 3096
Davis: "Yes, Sir. Representative Abramson?"	3098
Speaker Redmond: "He's standing in his chair."	3100
Davis: "Representative Boucek?"	3102
Speaker Redmond: "He's here."	3104
Davis: "Representative Bradley."	3106
Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bradley? How is he recorded? He's here."	3108
Davis: "Representative Breslin."	3110
Speaker Redmond: "Representative Breslin in her chair? How is she recorded?"	3112
Clerk Leone: "The Lady is recorded as voting 'no'."	3114
Speaker Redmond: "Remove her."	3116
Davis: "Representative Bullock."	3118
Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bullock is down in front here."	3120
Davis: "Representative Cullerton."	3122
Speaker Redmond: "Cullerton here? I hear sounds, but I don't see him. Is Representative Cullerton here? How is he recorded?"	3124 3125
Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."	3127
Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."	3129
Davis: "Representative Daniels."	3131

Speaker Redmond: "He's up here."	3133
Davis: "Oh, yeah. He's standing right there. Hello, Lee. Representative Garmisa?"	3135
Speaker Redmond: "He's here... Representative Conti..."	3137
Davis: "Representative Goodwin?"	3139
Speaker Redmond: "Goodwin? Cullerton has returned. Put him back on the Roll Call. Goodwin? He's here."	3141
Davis: "Representative Kucharski."	3142
Speaker Redmond: "Kucharski? He's here, that fellow standing up again."	3144
Davis: "Representative Katz?"	3148
Speaker Redmond: "How is Katz recorded?"	3150
Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."	3152
Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."	3154
Davis: "Representative Keane."	3156
Speaker Redmond: "Keane?"	3158
Davis: "Keane."	3160
Speaker Redmond: "How is Keane recorded? Keane back there? Yeah, he's there."	3162
Davis: "Representative Mugalian?"	3164
Speaker Redmond: "He's in the aisle."	3166
Davis: "I see. Representative Stearney."	3168
Speaker Redmond: "Stearney, how is he recorded?"	3170
Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."	3172
Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."	3174
Davis: "Representative Taylor."	3176
Speaker Redmond: "Representative Huskey, for what purpose do you arise?"	3178
Huskey: "How am I voted, Mr. Speaker?"	3180
Speaker Redmond: "How is Representative Huskey recorded?"	3182
Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."	3184
Huskey: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I've seen the error of my ways. Would you change me to red please?"	3186
Speaker Redmond: "Change him from green to red. What was the last one, Representative Davis?"	3187
Davis: "Representative Taylor, Sir."	3189
	3190
	3192

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Taylor? Representative Breslin is in the aisle here. Put her back on the Roll Call. Representative Taylor. I know he's in his office. He'll be back up. Taylor? Remove him." 3194
3195
3196

Davis: "Representative Totten, Sir?" 3198

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Totten. How is he recorded?" 3200

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is reco..." 3202

Speaker Redmond: "How is Totten recorded?" 3204

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'." 3206

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him." 3208

Davis: "Representative Willer?" 3210

Speaker Redmond: "Willer here? How is Representative Willer recorded?" 3212

Clerk Leone: "The Lady is recorded as voting 'no'." 3214

Speaker Redmond: "Remove her." 3216

Davis: "Other than Representative Taylor, who I'm sure is around..." 3218

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McAuliffe, for what purpose do you arise?" 3220

McAuliffe: "To change my vote to 'no'." 3222

Speaker Redmond: "Change McAuliffe from 'aye' to 'no'. What was the last one, Representative Davis?" 3224
3225

Davis: "Other than Representative Taylor, and you assured me he's in his office. I suppose he would want to come out and be verified..." 3227
3228

Speaker Redmond: "Well, I'm sure he is..." 3230

Davis: "Did I call Representative... Yes, I did. I'm sorry. That's all the questions of the Affirmative...wait a minute, wait a minute..." 3232
3233

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Willer has returned. Put her back on the Roll Call. Katz is back. Put him on the Roll Call." 3235
3236

Davis: "Representative Dawson." 3238

Speaker Redmond: "How is Representative Dawson recorded? He's in his seat. I couldn't see him. Representative" 3240
3241

Taylor has returned. I assured you that that's where he was." 3242

Davis: "No more questions of the Negative Roll Call." 3244

Speaker Redmond: "What's the count? 80 'aye' and 84 'no'. And the motion lost. Any further Amendments?" 3246 3247

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2, Bowman. Amends Senate Bill 1893, on page one, by deleting the title and inserting in lieu thereof the following." 3249 3250

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan, for what purpose do you rise? Representative Bowman. Where's Representative Taylor?" 3252 3253

Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #2 which I offered to this Bill, is identical to the Amendment that I've offered to so many other Election Bills here in the past, that the House continues to adopt and the Senate always seems to have a little problem with. The House has spoken on a number of occasions in support of this Amendment. What the Amendment does, is to amend the Consolidated Election Code which we passed into law about eighteen months ago now, and it goes into effect December 1. The Consolidated Election Code which is about to go into effect, provides that the Mayor of the City of Chicago shall make appointments to fill vacancies in the Office of Alderman. Now at the present time, if a vacancy occurs, the Mayor does not have that power. In fact, there is such a vacancy on the west side of Chicago brought about by the death of Alderman Jimmy Washington. If that...if the Consolidated Election Code were in effect at the present time, the Mayor could simply make an appointment to fill the late Alderman Washington's seat. However, that is not the law at the present time. It would...the law will be become that, in December 1, 1980. In other words, as of December 1 the Mayor will have the power to fill vacancies in the Office of Alderman. The intent of

the Amendment #2, is to prevent that from occurring and 3273
to keep the status quo in the City of Chicago. To 3274
allow the vacancies to remain until elections can take 3275
place to fill the vacancy. Amendment #2 specifically 3276
provides, that every consolidated election date 3277
provided for in the Consolidated Election Law, which
we have passed, shall be used to fill vacancies, so 3278
that we thereby minimize the amount of time that a 3279
vacancy would occur. So, I offer Amendment #2 and
urge its adoption to basically; a. to keep the status 3280
quo in the City of Chicago and b. to provide that 3281
every election date under the new consolidated
schedule can be used to fill the vacancies, thereby 3282
minimizing the time lapse during which a vacancy will
occur. I urge the adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Representative Darrow." 3284

Darrow: "Yes, thank you Mr. Speaker. Again, I am going to 3286
oppose this as I oppose all the other Amendments other 3287
than the technical Amendment. I would like to pass 3288
this Bill out the way it came over from the Senate."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Representative 3290
Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen 3292
of the House. I rise in opposition to this Amendment 3293
for the same reasons that I have stated in the past, 3294
when it's come up. I have one specific problem with
it, and that's if there is a vacancy that occurs in 3295
August of 82, theoretically there could be an election 3296
in November of 82, a runoff in December of 82, a new 3297
election in February of 83 and another runoff in March
of 83. That would be four elections in five month 3298
period. It's just too costly. It would mean, that 3299
people who are wealthier than others, would have an
advantage and it just is really absurd, I think, to 3300
allow for so many elections within the last...in that 3301
time period. I'm not in objection to having an

election, rather than have the Mayor make the 3302
 appointment, but if he would just take out that last 3303
 particular date, I think it'd be a much better
 Amendment, but he hasn't. For that reason, I object 3304
 to the Amendment and ask that you vote 'no'."

Speaker Bradley: "Do you wish to close, Mr. Bowman?" 3306

Bowman: "Yes. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I do wish to 3308
 close...to address a couple of the points which were 3309
 raised. I can sympathize with Representative Darrow. 3310
 However, I'm sure he must know that the Senate right
 now, is considering a 127 page Amendment to an 3311
 Election Bill over there, into which all of the 3312
 non-controversial issues such as Representative
 Darrow's are being considered. I don't know if it's 3313
 going to be on that 127 page Amendment. But, there 3314
 are other ways, I think, in which Representative
 Darrow can achieve his goals, if he thinks this 3315
 jeopardizes the Bill. I think that in
 Representa...with respect to Representative 3316
 Cullerton's point, what he's really urging us to do, 3317
 is to legislate for the extreme, it seems to me. For
 Representative Cullerton's argument to hold, one has 3318
 to consider that the death or resignation occurs in 3319
 only a time span of maybe one or two months every
 other year. So, that's really one twelfth, the 3320
 probability of that occurring is one twelfth to one 3321
 twenty-fourth of the probability that there will be a
 death or resignation anytime within the two year 3322
 period. The probability that there is a death or 3323
 resignation anytime within the two year period when
 you have fifty Aldermen is quite small and to divide 3324
 that probability by one twelfth or one twenty-fourth
 reduces it to infinitesimal amount. So, I think 3325
 Representative Cullerton's really talking about a 3326
 situation which is extremely unlikely to occur. I 3327
 think that the more important consideration is, shall 3328

we change the status quo in the City of Chicago. And, 3328
 I think the present arrangement we have with the 3329
 possible exception of allowing every election date 3330
 under the consolidated schedule to be used, is a good 3331
 one, and I urge that we keep the situation the way it
 is. Thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "The question is, on the Gentleman's 3333
 motion. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed 3334
 by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all... 3335
 Mr. Bowman, you wish to explain your vote."

Bowman: "No, Mr. Speaker. I'm going to say, if this gets a 3337
 majority of red votes, I want it verified, because I 3338
 can see the Gentleman sitting right in front of me 3339
 over here, has been pushing this whole row red. And,
 so, if it does get a majority of red votes, I want a 3340
 verification."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Bowman, that's against our rules, I 3342
 don't think he'd do that. Have all voted who wished? 3343
 Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the 3344
 record. On this question, there are 72 'ayes' and 59
 'nos' and the Gentleman's motion prevails. Further 3345
 Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #3, Karpziel-Stanley. Amends 3347
 Senate Bill 1893, on page one line five and so forth." 3348

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Darrow, what purpose do you rise?" 3350

Darrow: "In order to speed up the work of the House, so that 3352
 we don't have to wait for conferences any longer, I 3353
 would accept Amendment 3, 4 and 5. And, it's my 3354
 understanding the Sponsor of the Amendments 6 and 7
 would withdraw those and we could speed up things." 3355

Speaker Bradley: "Alright now, just a minute. Who's the 3357
 Sponsor of 4. Now, Mrs. Karpziel is Sponsor of 3. 3358
 Who's Sponsor of 4? You are and 5? Karpziel. 3359
 Alright, now are there any objections to... Mr.
 Collins objects to taking them all on the same Roll 3360
 Call. So, let's do it this way. Mrs. Karpziel moves 3361

- to adopt Amendment #3. All in favor of the Lady's motion, say 'aye', oppose 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion prevails. Further Amendments?" 3361 3362
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #4, Darrow. Amends Senate Bill 1893 in the House, on page one and so forth." 3364 3365
- Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Rock Island, Mr. Darrow." 3367
- Darrow: "Yes, this is a technical Amendment. It indicates how the ballot sheets should be marked and it puts an 'or' in where one was left out in drafting." 3369 3370
- Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Collins, on Amendment #4." 3372
- Collins: "Well thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #4 may indeed be a technical Amendment, but..." 3374 3375
- Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Collins, just a minute. For what purpose does the Lady from DuPage, Mrs. Karpiel arise?" 3377 3378
- Karpiel: "I was trying to get your attention, Mr. Speaker. I'm withdrawing Amendment 3 and keeping in Amendment 5." 3380 3381
- Speaker Bradley: "Alright. The Lady having voted on the prevailing side, now moves to reconsider the motion by which Amendment #3 was adopted. And...all in favor of the motion say 'aye', oppose 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Now, we're back to Amendment #3 and the Lady moves to withdraw Amendment #3. And no objection the Amendment's withdrawn. Now, back to Amendment #4 and Mr. Collins." 3383 3384 3385 3386 3387
- Collins: "Yes, thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. As I began to say, Amendment #4 may be a technical Amendment but it's the sheer technicality of the thing that makes it a bad Amendment. Now, in case anybody's forgotten in the light of all the Amendments that have been offered to this Bill, this is the Bill that has to do with the so called vote scanner that has been purchased in Rock 3389 3390 3391 3392 3393 3394

Island County without any demonstration..." 3394

Speaker Bradley: "Mr.... Just a minute, Mr. Collins. Mr. 3396
Darrow, for what purpose do you rise?" 3397

Darrow: "Well, he's not addressing the Amendment, he's going 3399
into the Bill and I'd like to speed this up. I know 3400
it's difficult for the Gentleman to control himself, 3401
but I wish he would."

Speaker Bradley: "Would you confine your remarks, Mr. 3403
Collins, to the Amendment please? Mr. Collins." 3404

Collins: "Mr. Speaker, I resent the interruption, because I 3406
am speaking to the Amendment and there's no way you 3407
can speak to the Amendment without getting at the 3408
history of the type of device we're speaking to. This 3409
is a voting device, that I don't think anyone in this 3410
chamber, who has not looked at it is familiar with. 3411
It is a so called vote scanner and it's a 3411
revolutionary approach to voting in Illinois, which I 3412
will speak against on Third Reading. But now, this 3412
technical Amendment defines how the so called ballots 3413
or it will be called a ballot sheet in this device is 3414
to be marked. Now the Election Code, as we all know, 3414
on paper ballots has to have an 'X' or it which 3415
crosses within the prescribed area. On this so called 3416
scanner, the only thing that would be necessary for 3416
the device to record the vote, would be any kind of 3417
mark within the ballot and within the box within the 3418
voting area. So you could put a check, you could put 3419
an 'X' or you could put your initials. Now we all 3419
know that the law disqualifies any ballots in election 3420
contests that have identifying marks on them. So, 3421
anybody that could make a mark, whether it be A 3421
through Z, whether it be 1 through 0, whatever it may 3422
be, this thing is replete with possibilities of vote 3423
fraud, of ballot disqualification. I think it's a 3424
horrible concept and as I said, the mere technicality 3424
of this thing is the thing that the militates against 3425

it. I would urge rejection of this Amendment. And 3425
then of course, I will speak against the Bill whether 3426
the Amendment's adopted or not, on Third Reading and 3427
give you a little more of the history of this thing as 3428
it wended its way through the twisted legislative 3429
process of the Rock Island County Board."

Speaker Bradley: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from 3431
Polk, Mr....The Gentleman from Rock Island, Mr. Polk." 3432

Polk: "Thank you, Jerry. Ladies and Gentlemen, I think 3434
there is some controversy surrounding this Bill and 3435
there's no question about it, we've been on it for a 3436
couple of weeks. We're attempting to get this thing
worked out. But, I do ask your support of Amendment 3437
#4, till we get the Bill in a posture to go to Third 3438
Reading and then, if the answers all come out as they
think they should be, then we'll vote on the merits of 3439
the Bill. The Sponsor is working on a technical 3440
Amendment in Amendment #4. There is an 'or' that's 3441
necessary to insert. And, I would appreciate your
support."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Darrow, do you wish to close? 3443
Alright. Question's on the Gentleman's motion. All 3444
in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 3445
'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who
wish? Clerk will take the record. The Gentleman from 3446
Rock Island, Mr. Darrow."

Darrow: "Would you poll the absentees, please?" 3448

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Bullock, wishes to be recorded as 3450
voting 'aye'. Jones. Wait till we get the sheet out 3451
of here. Alright, now, Mr. Bullock wanted to be 3452
recorded as 'aye'. Emil Jones, 'aye'. Jimmy Taylor,
'aye'. Williamson, 'aye'. Ronan, 'aye'. Willer, 3453
'no'. What's the count, Mr. Clerk? Schneider, 'no'. 3454
Alright. Vitek, 'aye'. Pechous, 'aye'. Donovan, 3455
'aye'. What's the count? On this question, there are
70 'ayes'...whoop, Mr. Huff, 'aye'. On this 3456

question, there are 71 'ayes' and 71 'nos'. Any other changes? Mr. Alexander, 'aye'. Mr. Meyers, 'no'. Mrs. Stiehl..." 3457

C.M. Stiehl: "Change me to 'no', please." 3459

Speaker Bradley: "Were you voting 'aye', Mrs. Stiehl? And, you want to be changed to 'no'? Alright. Now, Mr. Henry wants to be recorded as 'aye'. Mr. Ralph Dunn wishes to be recorded now, as voting 'no'. Alright. Mr. Ropp wanted to be...wants to be recorded as voting 'no'. On this question there are 72 'ayes' and 75 'nays'. And, Mr. Getty, what purpose do you rise?" 3461 3462 3463 3464 3465

Getty: "Change me to 'no'." 3467

Speaker Bradley: "Change Beatty to 'no'." 3469

Getty: "Change me to 'no'. I want to be recorded as 'no', please." 3471

Speaker Bradley: "Getty?" 3473

Getty: "Yeah." 3475

Speaker Bradley: "'No'. Alright, that's 76 'nos' then. 72 'ayes', 76 'nos' and... 71 'ayes', 76 'nos' and the motion... Yes, Mr. Darrow." 3477 3478

Darrow: "Go ahead, announce the..." 3480

Speaker Bradley: "Well, the motion fails." 3482

Darrow: "Alright, now I'd like to take the Bill out of the record." 3484

Speaker Bradley: "To take the Bill out of the record?" 3486

Darrow: "That's correct." 3488

Speaker Bradley: "Alright. Mr. Darrow moves to take the Bill out of the record. Alright. Mr. Greiman? Conference. You've got an announcement?" 3490 3491

Greiman: "We had already previously announced that at the end of this Bill... and we would have a Democratic Conference in room 114." 3493 3494

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Mahar." 3496

Mahar: "The Republican Conference in 118." 3498

Speaker Bradley: "One hour." 3500

Mahar: "One hour." 3502

- Speaker Bradley: "Right? Alright, we'll be back on the floor at one thirty." 3504
- Speaker Redmond: "Representative Grossi, did they take 1707? Third Reading. You wanted to remove it back to Second. Has that been done? We got to go to your... The House will come to order. Members please be in their seats. Representative Darrow, for what purpose do you rise?" 3506
3507
3508
3509
- Darrow: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd ask leave to recommit Senate Bill 1893 to the Interim Study Calendar, the Committee from which it came." 3511
3512
- Speaker Redmond: "Is there any objection? Hearing none, leave is granted." 3514
- Darrow: "Thank you." 3516
- Speaker Redmond: "House will come to order. Where is that one? On the Order of Motions. On page 11, appears Senate Bill 1706. Representative Grossi is recognized." 3518
3519
3520
- Grossi: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move that Senate Bill 1706 be moved from the Speaker's Table, to the Order of Second Reading, First Legislative Day. This Bill was heard in Judiciary II Committee and at that time it received a 8 to 6 'do not pass' vote. This Bill basically deals with child abuse. It provides for a new criminal offense of aggravated battery of a child. A special provision of this Bill is that the natural parents would be required, if they pled guilty, to seek counseling with the Department of Children and Family Services for a period of not less than two years. This Bill is directed toward child abuse. I would ask your support for this motion." 3522
3523
3524
3525
3526
3527
3528
3529
3530
3531
- Speaker Redmond: "Representative Getty." 3533
- Getty: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I rise in support of Representative Grossi's motion at this time. I have spoken with Representative Grossi, he 3535
3536
3537

has assured me that Amendments would be put on that 3537
 would make this Bill acceptable. And, I would ask for 3538
 the support of the House in this...in this motion."

Speaker Redmond: "The question's on Representative Grossi's 3540
 motion that Senate Bill 1706 be taken from the 3541
 Speaker's Table and placed on the calendar on the 3542
 Order of Senate Bills Second Reading, First
 Legislative Day. Those in favor... Representative 3543
 Leinenweber, for what purpose do you rise?
 Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "I would want to ask the Gentleman a question. 3545
 Maybe he covered this and maybe I missed it. What was 3546
 the controversy that caused the Bill to lose in 3547
 Committee?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Grossi." 3549

Grossi: "I was not in the...at the Committee hearing. My 3551
 understanding is that the concept of creating a 3552
 special category for child abuse, that is aggravated 3553
 battery of a child, was not acceptable to many of the
 Members of the Committee." 3554

Leinenweber: "Is there anybody from the Committee, that 3556
 could explain the Committee's position?" 3557

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Getty." 3559

Getty: "In addition, there was an aspect that the Bill as it 3561
 came over, made the offense non-probationable. 3562
 Representative Grossi has indicated in conversations 3563
 to me, that that offensive part will be removed from
 the Bill. And, that is the basis upon which I am 3564
 indicating that I will support it. I think that it is 3565
 certainly very reasonable to have a separate
 classification for a battery committed on a child 3566
 under the age of thirteen years, by a person above the 3567
 age of eighteen years. We have similar
 classifications as regard other elements in the 3568
 criminal law. This is consistent with that and that 3569
 is way I am supporting his motion, as he's indicating

it would be amended. Representative Grossi 3570
 unfortunately, could not be at the Committee hearing 3571
 on the day in question. I think because of that, this
 is an unusual circumstance. Representative Grossi's 3572
 motion ought to be supported."

Leinenweber: "I just had one other question. What class of 3574
 felony is aggravated battery of a child?" 3575

Getty: "Three." 3577

Leinenweber: "Class three. And, what is it currently?" 3579

Getty: "No, it would be two on the...as the Bill would be 3581
 amended. It's currently at three. I think this is a 3582
 reasonable subclassification."

Leinenweber: "It goes from a three to a two in effect. It's 3584
 the same Act now, but it's probationable." 3585

Getty: "That's correct." 3587

Leinenweber: "Okay." 3589

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Johnson." 3591

Johnson: "Well the day this Bill came for a hearing in the 3593
 Judiciary II Committee, Representative Grossi through 3594
 circumstances beyond his control, was not able to 3595
 present the Bill, I did, and presented it as well as I
 could. I think it's a necessary Bill. It speaks to 3596
 the problem of serious child abuse resulting in great 3597
 bodily harm. I think it's an important Bill to get 3598
 out of here, not only in concept of deterrence but
 also in the general effort it makes in identifying a 3600
 serious problem. I would certainly urge a urge a
 'yes' vote on this motion to discharge." 3601

Speaker Redmond: "Ready for the question? The question is 3603
 Representative Grossi's motion that Senate Bill 1706 3604
 be taken from the Speaker's Table and placed on the 3605
 Order of Senate Bills Second Reading, First
 Legislative Day. Those in favor vote 'aye', those 3606
 opposed vote 'no'. 107 votes. Have all voted who 3607
 wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question,
 there's 117 'aye' and 2 'no' and the motion carried. 3608

Senate Bill 1706 is taken from the Speaker's Table and placed on the Order of Second Reading, First Legislative Day. Is there any Member that has a Bill on Third Reading, that has to go back to Second? We're getting close to the... We don't have to do yours today, Charlie. Yours doesn't die like Representative Grossi's did. Representative Campbell." 3609 3610 3611 3612 3613

Campbell: "Well, Mr. Speaker, if we don't address the subject today, I don't think there's going to be time enough to do anything with it anyway." 3615 3616

Speaker Redmond: "Well okay, we'll take on the Order of Motions, Senate Bill 1933. Representative Campbell. Representative Kosinski." 3618 3619

Kosinski: "Mr. Speaker I voted... I missed my vote on 1706. It won't change the results. Would you please record me as 'yes'." 3621 3622

Speaker Redmond: "May he be recorded as 'yes'? Hearing no objections, add it to the Roll Call. Representative Katz." 3624 3625

Katz: "Record me as 'no', Mr. Speaker." 3627

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Katz, 'no'. 1933. The Order of Motions, page eleven. Representative Campbell." 3629 3630

Campbell: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 1933, I move to suspend Rule 18k, so that the Bill may be heard in the Rules Committee. Senate Bill 1933 is the only available vehicle to provide business tax relief and to stimulate investment and recession recovery in the State of Illinois. This legislation will provide incentives for business to expand, which mean more jobs for Illinois citizens. It also provides relief from inequities made at the time of the enactment of the Corporate Personal Property Replacement Tax. It provides for full deductability of the replacement tax" 3632 3633 3634 3635 3636 3637 3638 3639 3640

against the corporate income tax, since that was the 3640
practice under the old corporate personal property 3642
tax. It is needed and it is needed now. And, I ask
for your favorable support to suspend Rule 18k, for it 3643
to be heard in Rules Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Brummer." 3645

Brummer: "Yes, I am sorry. It's a little noisy. This is a 3647
investment tax credit, is that what I understand. 3648
Representative Campbell, is that..."

Campbell: "It is not presently. It provides for full 3650
deductability of the personal property tax replacement 3651
against the corporate income tax, plus foreign 3652
dividends. But the foreign dividends, if we get
enough votes, will be amended out and we would 3653
hopefully put an Amendment on here for the investment 3654
credit. Yes."

Brummer: "And what form do you propose, that that Amendment 3656
would take, as a credit against the Illinois Income 3657
Tax or the credit against the Corporate Personal 3658
Property Tax..."

Campbell: "That would be fifty-fifty." 3660

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giorgi." 3662

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, question of the Sponsor if I may." 3664

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed." 3666

Giorgi: "This is called...is this called the Caterpillar 3668
Bill? Is this the Bill that's for Caterpillar to save 3669
some money from their foreign income? Is this what 3670
this is for, Chuck?"

Campbell: "Zeke since the foreign dividends are coming out, 3672
it's a GE Bill, not a Caterpillar Bill any longer." 3673

Giorgi: "Now it's the General Electric, right?" 3675

Campbell: "General Electric, yes." 3677

Giorgi: "I just wanted to point out the special interest 3679
Bill that it is, that's all."

Campbell: "As a matter of fact, it's also for all of the 3681
citizens and the jobs for the State of Illinois." 3682

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Borchers. Representative 3684
 Vinson."

Vinson: "Question." 3686

Speaker Redmond: "Parliamentarian, please come up. Anyone 3688
 else then. Will you read the motion, Mr. Clerk?" 3689

Clerk Leone: "Pursuant to House Rule 63a, I move to take 3691
 Senate Bill 1933 from the table." 3692

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Vinson." 3694

Vinson: "The Clerk read the wrong motion." 3696

Speaker Redmond: "Well what motion are you making then?" 3698

Vinson: "You have to recognize Mr. Campbell to get that, Mr. 3700
 Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Campbell." 3702

Campbell: "Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend Rule 18k, so that 3704
 the Bill may be heard in the Rules Committee." 3705

Speaker Redmond: "This requires 107 votes. Representative 3707
 Getty."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, what is the current status of Senate 3709
 Bill 1933?"

Speaker Redmond: "Been tabled, it's in the Rules Committee." 3711

Getty: "It's in the Rules Committee. Now, under our Rules 3713
 if we at this time to take it from the table, it would 3714
 still be in the Rules Committee. Is that correct?" 3715

Speaker Redmond: "That's correct. His motion is to suspend 3717
 Rule 18k, so that it may be heard in Rules Committee. 3718
 It's just to suspend the posting rule. You ready for 3719
 the question? The question's on Representative
 Campbell's motion...Representative Madigan. 3720
 Representative Madigan sought recognition. 3721
 Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Was the previous question been moved?" 3723

Speaker Redmond: "Yes." 3725

Madigan: "I'll explain my vote." 3727

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on Representative 3729
 Campbell's move to suspend Rule 18k, so that Senate 3730
 Bill 1933 may be heard in the Rules Committee. Those 3731

in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. 3731
 Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I rise in opposition to the 3733
 Gentleman's motion. He is attempting to bring to the 3734
 floor an issue which has been adequately treated by 3735
 the House Revenue Committee on two occasions. On both 3736
 occasions there have been motions to discharge Bills, 3737
 both House and Senate Bills from the House Revenue 3738
 Committee which have failed. In light of the serious 3739
 issues facing this House, Mr. Speaker, I see no need
 to even call this motion at this time."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stuffle." 3741

Stuffle: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I think Representative Madigan's 3743
 arguments are well put, but they ought to be put 3744
 towards bringing this motion to an affirmative vote 3745
 and to have it succeed. He and others on this side
 have already on two or three occasions attempted to do 3746
 the same thing on other Bills. It was important 3747
 enough to do then, it's important enough to do now. 3748
 This is one of the most important issues that faces
 this House. It's one that demands and deserves a fair 3749
 hearing. It's an issue of importance to Democrats as 3750
 well as Republicans. And, Representative Campbell
 deserves at least to have 107 votes to have the Rules 3751
 Committee possibly hear this Bill and to give us a 3752
 chance to put something together in a bipartisan 3753
 nature, that he's already indicated will be a
 compromise that comes from both sides of the aisle." 3754

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Dunn. One minute to 3756
 explain your vote. Representative Schraeder, one 3757
 minute to explain your vote."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, I don't like to disagree with the 3759
 Majority Leader, but it is absolutely essential that 3760
 this piece of legislation get out on the House floor 3761
 where it can be acted upon. In order to do that, its
 got to come out of Rules. It's mandatory that we do 3762

something for the business community in Illinois. 3763
 And, we don't do it... and I hear the word Caterpillar
 mentioned time and time again, and I'd like to point 3764
 out that Caterpillar is a vital employer, employing 3765
 thousands of people in the State of Illinois. And, if 3766
 it's derogatory to have someone like that in your 3767
 district that you can support, then I think somebody
 is badly mistaken. We need a 107 votes. And, I would 3768
 ask the labor boys to get on it and get right for a 3769
 change."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take 3771
 the record. On this question there are 99 'aye' and 3772
 52 'no'. Representative Campbell."

Campbell: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the 3774
 House, an explanation of my vote. I want to say, that 3775
 this has never been heard in the Revenue Committee. 3776
 Not once. We didn't get a hearing in the Revenue 3777
 Committee. We never got it past Rules, because it 3778
 came to a political fight in Rules and now we've 3779
 agreed to amend it. And, I don't think in all the
 years that I've been here I've noted the Democrat
 Party being against anti-labor. I just can't believe 3780
 it. And, also this provides jobs for the State of 3781
 Illinois. I think your all interested in jobs, I know
 the unions are. Everybody wants a job. God only 3782
 knows, that in a period of recession recovery, we need 3783
 jobs. This is the only vehicle that is left in either
 of the two Houses, to try to solve this problem. And 3784
 I'm sure that some of you meaningful Legislators on 3785
 the other side of the aisle want to get on this and
 help us out. I would appreciate your vote." 3786

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Vinson, for what purpose do 3788
 you rise?"

Vinson: "To explain my vote, Mr. Speaker..." 3790

Speaker Redmond: "I've already taken the call on that. 3792
 Representative Ewing, for what purpose do you rise?" 3793

Ewing: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I thought that we all got a chance to explain our votes..." 3795

Speaker Redmond: "Well, I gave the opportunity, we took the record. Now, Representative Campbell." 3797
3798

Campbell: "I'd like a poll of the absentees." 3800

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Campbell, requests a poll of the absentees. Poll the absentees, Mr. Clerk." 3802
3803

Clerk Leone: "Poll of the absentees. Beatty. Bluthardt. Braun. Capuzi. Casey. Catania. Domico. Gaines. Harris. Huff. Kane. Klosak. Laurino. Lechowicz. Leverenz. McGrew. O'Brien. Sandquist. Schlickman. Schneider. Steczo. Totten. J.J. Wolf. Younge. And, Yourell." 3805
3806
3807
3808

Speaker Redmond: "Any additions? Clerk will take the record. Representative Rea." 3810

Rea: "'Aye'." 3812

Speaker Redmond: "What's the count, Mr. Clerk. 100 'aye', 51 'no'. Motion fails. Anything further? Representative Madigan. 12:00 o'clock noon. Representative Madigan. Representative Giorgi, adjourn." 3814
3815
3816

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I move the House do now adjourn till Monday, noon." 3818

Speaker Redmond: "12:00 o'clock, yeah." 3820

Giorgi: "12:00 o'clock Monday, noon." 3822

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House adjourn till 12:00 o'clock noon, Monday. Those in favor say 'aye', oppose 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Motion carried. The House now stands adjourned till 12 noon, on Monday." 3824
3825
3826
3827

LEGISLATIVE INFORMATION SYSTEM

DAILY TRANSCRIPT INDEX
JUNE 20, 1980

PAGE 1

HB-2020	2ND READING	PAGE	17
SB-1457	2ND READING	PAGE	61
SB-1480	2ND READING	PAGE	3
	2ND READING	PAGE	62
SB-1497	2ND READING	PAGE	4
	2ND READING	PAGE	62
SB-1500	2ND READING	PAGE	4
SB-1505	2ND READING	PAGE	64
SB-1506	2ND READING	PAGE	18
SB-1510	2ND READING	PAGE	22
SB-1518	2ND READING	PAGE	5
SB-1559	2ND READING	PAGE	6
SB-1666	2ND READING	PAGE	6
SB-1706	MOTIONS	PAGE	100
SB-1707	2ND READING	PAGE	37
SB-1710	2ND READING	PAGE	54
SB-1712	2ND READING	PAGE	36
SB-1729	2ND READING	PAGE	8
SB-1799	2ND READING	PAGE	2
SB-1812	2ND READING	PAGE	72
SB-1893	2ND READING	PAGE	72
	MOTIONS	PAGE	100
SB-1927	3RD READING	PAGE	61
SB-1933	MOTIONS	PAGE	103
SB-1978	2ND READING	PAGE	9
SB-1991	2ND READING	PAGE	44
SB-1992	2ND READING	PAGE	11
SB-1993	2ND READING	PAGE	52
SB-1994	2ND READING	PAGE	16
SB-1999	3RD READING	PAGE	61
HR-0893	3RD READING	PAGE	69
HJR-0106	3RD READING	PAGE	16

LEGISLATIVE INFORMATION SYSTEM

DAILY TRANSCRIPT INDEX
JUNE 20, 1980

PAGE 2

SUBJECT MATTER

SPEAKER REDMOND - HOUSE TO ORDER	PAGE	1
REVEREND KRUESER - PRAYER	PAGE	1
PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	PAGE	1
ROLL CALL FOR ATTENDANCE	PAGE	1
AGREED RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	66
HOUSE RECESSES	PAGE	99
ADJOURNMENT	PAGE	108