

Speaker Redmond: " House will come to order. Members please be in their seats. Be lead in prayer by the Reverend Wellington Witkop, Pastor of Faith, Evangelical Lutheran Church in Springfield."

Reverend: "Eternal God, Creator and Lord of this great universe, and our Maker, we come to acknowledge your presence here today. We praise your name for your goodness and generosity to us which is every day new. We thank you for the gift of light. We thank you for one another. We thank you for our nation and all the blessings that have been ours as citizens of this United States. We thank you for good government, for honest and faithful men and women to be servants in government. We thank you, most of all, for Jesus Christ, the Son of God, who by His life and death makes it possible for us to live like Your beloved righteous children. We confess that we are sinful and weak. We so often act selfishly and foolishly, bringing sorrow to Your heart and great hurt to others and to ourselves. Forgive us, Oh, God, for our sins. Create in us new hearts that love righteousness and truth and humbly desire to do Your will. Help us to follow in the footsteps of our Lord, who, though Hewas the Son of God, became a servant of all. Help us to learn the great lesson that if we seek You first and Your righteousness, all else shall be ours as well. In all our decisions, both personal and for socie... society, we ask one question above all else, 'What is right in God's sight?' Give us strength not to fear to do the right, knowing that if we stand with You, we share in the ultimate victory. Bless today this Body of our state government. May we seek Your wisdom and guidance in solving the many complex problems of our society. Let us remember the words of Proverbs 3; 'Trust in the Lord with all your heart. Do not rely upon your own insight. In all your ways acknowledge Him and He will make clear the way you should go.' These things and all



else that is right and good, we ask in the Name of Jesus Christ, our Saviour and Lord. Amen."

Speaker Redmond: "Pledge of Allegiance. Representative DiPrima."

DiPrima: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all."

Speaker Redmond: "Roll Call for attendance. Take the record. Committee reports."

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Yourell, Chairman of the Committee on Counties and Townships to which the following Bills were referred, action taken June 12, 1979. Report the same back with following recommendations; 'Do pass' Senate Bills 492 and 1227. 'Do pass Short Debate Calendar' Senate Bill 1423. Representative Leon, Chairman of the Committee on Financial Institutions, to which the following Bills were referred action taken June 11, 1979. Report the same back with following recommendations; 'Do pass' Senate Bill 1412. 'Do pass as amended' Senate Bill 905 and 906. Representative Jaffe, Chairman of the Committee on Judiciary I, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken June 12, 1979. Report the same back with following recommendations; 'Do pass' Senate Bill 10, 756. 'Do pass as amended' Senate Bills 509, 788, 1002, 1203, and 1386. 'Do pass as amended Consent Calendar' Senate Bill 844. 'Do pass as amend Short Debate Calendar' Senate Bills 122, 263, and 1140. Interim Study, Senate Bills 11, 414, 1176 and 1354. Representative Schneider, Chairman of the Committee on Elementary and Secondary Education, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken June 11, 1979. Report the same back with following recommendations; 'Do pass' Senate Bills 100, 501, and 775. 'Do pass as amended' Senate Bills 567, 760 and 1277. 'Do pass as amended Consent Calendar' Senate Bills 494 and 966. 'Do pass Short Debate Calendar' Senate Bills



553. 'Do pass as amended Short Debate Calendar' Senate Bill 1172. Interim Study Senate Bill 773 and 931. Tabled by Rule 24 C, Senate Bill 529 and 1070."

Speaker Redmond: "Reading of the Journal."

Clerk O'Brien: "Journal for the sixtieth Legislative Day, Wednesday, June 6th, 1979. The House met pursuant to adjournment. The Speaker in the Chair. Prayer by Father William Krueger...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I move that we dispense with the reading of the Journal, Journal # 60th, June 6th, 1979, be approved as read."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? You've heard the Gentleman's motion. The question's on the motion. Those in favor say 'aye'. 'Aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carries. The reading of the Journal is dispensed with and the Journal is approved as if read. Page 15, Consent Calendar, Third Reading, Second Day. Representative Getty."

Getty: "Would you hold...Mr. Speaker, could you hold that for just a few minutes?"

Speaker Redmond: "What?"

Getty: "Could you hold that for just a few minutes?"

Speaker Redmond: "Okay. Page 10, Senate Bills, Second Reading. Short Debate. 93 out of the record. Request of the Sponsor. 361."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 361, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to provide for the organization and maintenance of the University of Illinois. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, Mugalian-Greiman, amends Senate Bill 361 on page one, line 3 and 4 by changing Sections 10.3 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mugalian."



Mugalian: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. What this Amendment does ... it amends the Public Utility Act and states that no new nuclear power plants can be constructed until the United States government establishes a method for the permanent storage of high level radioactive waste, resulting from the operation of light water fishing fuel power generation facilities. Now everybody acknowledges that this is one problem that has not yet been solved and everybody acknowledges that unless we find a way to store these high level wastes from radioactive... from nuclear generating plants, we'll be in great peril. In fact, if one admits that we don't find a solution, one would have to agree that we'd better stop very quickly. Now what this Amendment does ... it does not stop existing plants from operating or plants now in construction from being completed. This says that we won't build any new ones until the federal government which feels it's preempted this area pretty much, and which regulates to the NRC. Until they say we know how to store this stuff, and they don't now, no new plants will be built in Illinois. Now, the proponents of nuclear power, those who say we shouldn't even think about this, or have a temporary moratorium, say it can't happen. We can't have any problems. Well you remember the fire at Brown's Ferry..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Wikoff, for what purpose do you arise?"

Wikoff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I question the germaness of this Amendment to this Bill. Could I have a ruling please?"

Speaker Redmond: "Parliamentarian advises me that because this Bill relates primarily to the University of Illinois Public Utilities and that the Amendment refers to the federal government, in his opinion it's not germane... Any... Representative Mugalian."

Mugalian: "Mr. Speaker, I got the impression that that was a



rather tentative opinion by the.. on the part of Parliamentarian and this amends the same Act that the Bill amends, House (sic) Bill amends 2 Acts. One of them is the Public Utilities Act and this amends a Section of that same Act that is very close to the Section being amended in the original Act. It also has a similar purpose. Could the Speaker defer his ruling until the completion of the debate?"

Speaker Redmond: "Well, I think that it has been raised and I think I had to rule on that question."

Mugalian: "Perhaps the Speaker could think about it some more while we discuss the merits of this Amendment. That isn't unprecedented. I will abide by the Chair's ruling.. when we are completed... I think it's important..."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed with your discussion if you desire, Mr. Mugalian."

Mugalian: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hudson, for what purpose do you arise?"

Hudson: "Point of order, Mr. Speaker. I think you have...the Parliamentarian has ruled on this question. I think the ruling has been fair and that should end the subject, it would seem to me..."

Speaker Redmond: "We'll just extend the courtesy to Representative Mugalian. It's not going to change the ruling at all, but we're giving him the courtesy of the Chair. We did interrupt. You rose before, right in the middle of his presentation, so I think it's only common decency to let Representative Mugalian continue."

Hudson: "Well, I rose to speak, Mr. Speaker. I didn't interrupt him...nor did..."

Speaker Redmond: "I'm talking about Representative Wikoff, who raised the question.."

Hudson: "Im sorry."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Meyers. Meyers."



Meyers: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would just like to point out for the record that Representative Mugalian previously introduced House Bill 1262, which enacts the Illinois Nuclear Power Evaluation Act, which was a new Act, which was the purported intent of this Amendment and I believe that that is an additional reason why this... this Amendment would not be germane. That's how..."

Speaker Redmond: "Let Representative Mugalian continue."

Mugalian: "If the Gentleman that just spoke would look at the Amendment he would see that this Amendment is totally different from the Bill that was previously introduced and was not afforded an opportunity for debate on the House floor. I suggest that this is an issue that concerns the lives and welfare of the entire State of Illinois and the few minutes that we might take in this debate could be very important to all of us. As I was saying, we almost had a disastrous fire at Brown's Ferry. This is a response to those who say we can't have any accidents. And in Detroit we almost lost the city of Detroit in a run away nuclear reaction that was a few seconds away from running away permanently and destroying and making uninhabitable the entire area of Detroit and parts of Canada. Now we have heard people say in discussing nuclear power that there is no concern about low level radioactivity. But we have had in Three Mile Island a radioactive level of low level radiation in excess of the standards of safety established by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Mr. Speaker, may I at least have some order? We have had those who are 'pollyannaish' about nuclear power, if they don't worry about a thing, tell us 20 years ago that electric power generated this way would be so cheap that we wouldn't even have to meter it. Well, in the last five years the cost of nuclear ... of uranium has quadrupled and it now takes about 12 years and one and a half billion dollars to build one plant. It is... it is felt by those who study this industry that the utilities can hardly af-



ford to build any more plants, much less operate them. We have this, 'It can happen', argument over and over again... that at 3 Mile Island we found that we almost lost the State of Pennsylvania. Now this Amendment deals with the storage of permanent high level waste. What are we doing now with these wastes? We are storing them temporarily and Illinois has become the sole dumping ground of all high level radioactive wastes for the..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Piel, for what purpose do you arise?"

Piel: "Question of the Chair, Mr. Speaker. The Parliamentarian has already found that..."

Speaker Redmond: "... We've gone through that...."

Piel: "I've got a question, though. He's already found the Amendment not germane. The man is standing up here explaining the Amendment going on and on and on. And I was wondering under what procedure he's doing this."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, I recognized Representative Wikoff... I don't know whether... I don't know whether the House was on fire or why he sought to seek recognition in the middle of Representative Mugalian's presentation. Representative Matijevecich."

Matijevecich: "Mr. Speaker, why don't you just solve that and say you'll defer your decision on the ruling until after Representative Mugalian's finishes and that'll solve the whole thing."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, I think we'll get along much better if we don't continue to interrupt the Speaker. We did interrupt Representative Mugalian. We extended the courtesy of the... to give him the opportunity to complete his discussion. Representative Piel. Representative Piel."

Piel: "Question of the Chair then, Mr. Speaker. After Mugalian has finished his explanation of the Amendment will people who are against the Amendment be able to speak



then?"

Speaker Redmond: "What was that?"

Piel: "Will people who are against the Amendment after Mr. Mugalian speaks..."

Speaker Redmond: "My Irish Grandmother used to say, 'Never bid the devil good morning until you meet him.' So we haven't met that yet, so we'll meet it when we see him. We'll continue."

Mugalian: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd be very happy to hear the opponents to this Amendment speak. I think this subject is entitled to a debate. We have been told that we have superior technology and personnel to guarantee the safety of nuclear plants, but we had a situation in 3 Mile Island and we have plants like that in Illinois where nobody even heard about the possibility of a hydrogen bubble and that appeared on the scene. Now do we want nuclear power controlled and directed by a priesthood that is portected by the giants of this industry, the General Electric Company and the big Utilities?. Do you trust them that much? And if you do, I refer you to the Karen Silkwood case which found the elements of a giant conspiracy to conceal from the public the tremendous dangers from nearly the fabrication of nuclear power rods. And then we had the movie the China Syndrome and there were even some suggestions that that movie was totally fictitious and it could never happen. And about one month after that movie was released we found the situation in that movie virtually replicated in what actually happened at 3 Mile Island . I could go on and on. We only have insurance in this country for five hundred and sixty million dollars worth of damage from an accident to a nuclear power plant and yet, one lawyer has already filed a law suit in relation to the 3 Mile Island incident for damages of one billion dollars. We had the General Electric Company of great



experts try to build a re-processing plant at Morris, in our State that cost 70 million dollars. That... that program is a total flop. It's a total waste. So now that facility is being used for temporary storage. I'll cut this short, Mr. Speaker, by suggesting that the Health and Welfare of all Illinoisian's mandate that we don't allow... we do not allow any more nuclear power plants in Illinois until the federal government tells us that there is a safe way to store the wastes... the high level wastes from the nuclear power process."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 735."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 735, a Bill for an Act to amend the Real Estate Brokers and Salesmen License Act.

Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments.

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, Breslin, amends Senate Bill 735 on page 2, line 6 by deleting 'or' and inserting the word 'of' and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Breslin on Amendment 1."

Breslin: "This is a clarifying Amendment to Senate Bill 735. The Bill itself provides that only persons aggrieved by a real estate broker, a broker salesman, or a salesman acting in that capacity may recover damages from the special real estate recovery fund. We're adding the language 'or with apparently acting in the capacity as a real estate broker, broker salesman, or a salesman acting in that capacity.' Now that's merely clarifying language and I would appreciate an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "The question's on the Lady's motion for the adoption of Amendment 1. Those in favor say 'aye'; 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carried. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, Breslin,..."



Breslin: "I'd like to table Amendment #2 please."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Breslin withdraws Amendment 2. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1336. You ask leave to have it on Short Debate, Representative Breslin? Does she have leave to have it remain on Short Debate? Hearing no objection, leave is granted. 1336."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1336, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Cigarette Tax Act and the Cigarette Use Tax Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendment from the floor? "

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, Skinner, amends Senate Bill 1336 on page 1 by deleting lines one through four and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner on the Amendment."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, this Amendment is not a minor Amendment and I hope it is a germane Amendment. It will raise the taxes on cigarettes by one cent per cigarette, the proceeds of which will be earmarked to a cancer treatment fund administered by the Department of Public Health. The... anyone who suffered from cancer and had cancer treatment which was not... which was not covered by insurance would be eligible to receive benefits under this program. I think that it is logically justifiable because smoking has been proven to be one of the primary causes of cancer. It is obvious that the tobacco industry is not paying the full costs of the damage it is inflicting upon Illinois residents and that is the reason that I have introduced the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise in opposition to Amendment #1. This Bill as it was originally introduced called for an increase in the penalty recommended by the



Auditor General. Cal Skinner in his numerous Amendments found a vehicle to add a penny and a half tax... on every..."

Skinner: "... No. No. Penny tax. One cent extra tax."

Lechowicz: "A one cent tax on a ... on... per cigarette. I would hope to God that this Amendment is defeated. If he wants to put in a Bill that's his prerogative. I would oppose the Amendment to this good Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further discussion? Representative Skinner to close."

Skinner: " Yes, now that Representative Lechowicz has caught everyone's attention, let me summarize what the Amendment does again. It raises the tax on cigarettes one cent per cigarette, the proceeds of which will go to a cancer treatment fund administered by the Department of Public Health to pay for cancer treatment which is not covered by insurance. Now, I have never heard anybody stand up on the House floor before and advocate imposing greater burdens or continuing to impose great burdens upon those who have cancer. And I am astounded that the Representative from Cook has done so. He has not done so directly, but that's... that is the effect of not adopting this Amendment. We are trying to find a way to help those people who have catastrophic illnesses, that is, cancer in this case, and to force the industry and the users of cigarettes who ... the industry which causes it, which is the tobacco industry, to help pay for some of the damage. Now, I assume that everyone that is a smoker that doesn't feel that he or she ought to vote 'yes' will vote 'present' because he or she obviously has a conflict of interest, but at any event, approximately 16 million dollars will be raised through this fund. I think that's more than enough to pay for the cancer treatment of those people who are uninsured in the State of Illinois. I would ask for your favorable



vote on this Amendment. I would ask for a Roll Call if I may have one, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Question's on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment 1. Those in favor indicate by saying 'aye'...voting 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. Representative Skinner, for what purpose do you arise?"

Skinner: "Yes, I definately would ask for a poll of the absentees."

Speaker Redmond: "On this question there's 56 'aye' and 62 'no'. Representative Skinner has requested a poll of the absentees. Poll the absentees, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "The absentees are Alexander. Balanoff. E.M. Barnes. Beatty. Borchers. Bower. Bradley. Breslin. Capuzi. John Dunn. Ralph Dunn. Ebbesen. Gaines. Garmisa. Giorgi. Griesheimer. Hanahan. Henry. Huff. Jaffe. Emil Jones. Kane. Katz. Kozubowski. Kucharski. Leverenz. Matijeich. ."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijeich."

Matijeich: "Mr. Speaker, I wasn't listening closely to the debate. I've looked at the Amendment and this is a tax increase. It's a tax increase from 5½ mil. to 155... to 55¢...."

Skinner: "... Right. Per cigarette..."

Matijeich: "... right.. Now I don't think any of you were listening. Maybe you don't like smoking, but I don't think you want to tax the smoker's in this Session of legislature at the rate of 3 dollars a carton. So, I vote 'no', Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Garmisa 'no'. Representative Willer 'no'. White 'no'. Balanoff 'no'. O'Brien 'no'. Representative Watson 'no'. J.J. Wolf 'no'. I think maybe we'd better take another Roll Call. Dump this Roll Call. The question is on the Gentleman's motion



for the adoption of Amendment 1. Those in favor vote 'yes'. Opposed vote 'no'. Representative Skinner, to explain his vote."

Skinner: "Yes, this is a cancer record vote. If you're for cancer, you vote 'no' on this vote. If you're for people who are uninsured and who may have to find 15 to 30 thousand dollars to treat cancer, you definitely ought to vote against this. It definitely is a tax increase. I did not attempt to hide that fact. It was put right out in front. It one penny per cigarette and all I'm trying to do is get the causes of cancer, that is the tobacco industry, to pay for the damage that the tobacco industry does, which is causing cancer."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative J.J. Wolf."

Wolf: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I just heard a recent report that Tab causes cancer."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 50 'aye' and 76 'no'. The Gentleman's motion fails. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Senate Bills, Second Reading. Senate Bill 154. Out of the record. 250, out of the record. 278."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 278, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Horse Racing Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to Amendment 1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, Greiman-Collins, amends Senate Bill 278 as amended in the title and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Greiman."

Greiman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Obviously any Amendment that is Greiman-Collins ought to be acceptable to about every-



body. We found that there was a request for change in the race... Racing Act which would allow Illinois racing associations to contract without a state bidding association. Now this is under... pursuant to to a federal law that was passed last year. In other words, it would allow the Hamiltonian to be picked up by New York and bet there and would allow Arlington to be bet there and it would allow contracts to be made between the association and New York State, for example. So we thought that if they're going to do that, then they should pay the privilege tax like they would on bets that are lodged at the race track and accordingly we have ... we have amended the Act to provide for 7½% tax on all of the proceeds received on such contracts. The, I think the Sponsor of the Bill accepts the Amendment. The race track industry I guess accepts the Amendment. If they don't we should impose it on them in any event. And that's all this Amendment does. It allows this Bill to have a text on it like other betting and wagering paramutual have a text on them. That's all it does."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question's on the Gentleman's motion ... Representative Pullen."

Pullen: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, when the off-track betting Amendment was put onto this Bill in the Executive Committee, sadly many of the comments of the Members of the Committee related to the fact that the Amendment does not include the State of Illinois in a share of the take. Well this Amendment would solve that defect. It would have the state reaping the benefits of off-track betting in other states, even though we have not approved it in the State of Illinois. Do what you wish on the idea of Illinois reaping the benefits from other states' off-track betting systems and the Sponsor didn't use that one very significant word, off-track. When he's talking about betting associa-



tions he means off-track betting. Do what you wish about having the State of Illinois participate in other states' off-track betting systems, but I think you should be aware of the fact that that's what this is about before you vote on this off-track betting revenue Amendment for the State of Illinois."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Collins."

Collins: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'm afraid we're getting into a debate on the merits of the Bill. Now this is... don't be confused. This Bill is not off-track betting in Illinois. But it is an opportunity for Illinois to improve their tax revenues indirectly by participation in other state systems. When we heard this Bill in the Executive Committee the one objection that most of us had was that yes, this would improve racing in Illinois and it would increase taxes... tax revenues indirectly because of improved racing conditions'. But the State did not share directly in the taxation of this new revenue source. Representative Greiman and I joined together to make... insure that the State of Illinois would reap the benefit of additional revenues and by working out a compromise with the racing associations and the horse owners who are interested in this Bill, came up with a figure of 7½%, which is acceptable to all and will realize significant tax benefits for the State of Illinois. I think this Amendment is an excellent one and I'm happy to be a CO-Sponsor with Representative Greiman and I would urge that we adopt this Amendment at this time. On Third Reading we can discuss the relative merits of the Bill as we will. But at this time, this is an effort on our part to make sure that the State of Illinois reaps some benefit from this new vehicle and I would ask... urge the Members of the House to adopt this... this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further discussion? Representative Waddell."



Waddell: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would also urge the adoption of this Amendment because I think that it is fair and just in the fact that the State of Illinois will be getting a share of this that we never had before. The thing that is different about this is the fact that the federal government last year passed for the first time a Bill whereby the regulation of racing between the states... now when somebody brings up the issue of off-track betting, this is for the birds. We can't tell New York how to run their state anymore than New York can tell us how to run ours. So that the provisions of this Amendment correlate with the goodness of the whole thing as far as the State of Illinois's concerned. I move its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "The question's on the motion for the adoption of Amendment 2. Those in favor say 'aye'. 'Aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. In the opinion of the Chair, the motion carries. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Roll Call for attendance. Committee report."

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Terzich, Chairman of the Committee on Personnel and Pensions, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken June 12, 1979. Record the same back with following recommendations; 'Do pass' Senate Bill 1100. 'Do pass as amended' Senate Bills 362 and 927. 'Do pass Short Debate Calendar' Senate Bill 432. 'Do pass as amended Short Debate Calendar' Senate Bills 1171, 1238 and 1281. Representative Chapman, Chairman on the Committee on Human Resources, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken June 12, 1979. Record the same back with following recommendations; 'Do pass' Senate Bill 41. 'Do no pass' Senate Bill 44. 'Do pass as amended' Senate Bill 973.



'Do pass Consent Calendar' Senate Bill 1433. 'Do pass as amended Consent Calendar' Senate Bills 48, 482 and 1178. 'Do pass Short Debate Calendar' Senate Bill 107. Interim Study, Senate Bill 1378."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan, will you answer your phone? Page 15. Consent Calendar, Third Reading, Second Day."

Clerk O'Brien: "Consent Calendar, Third Reading, Second Day. Page 15 on your Calendar. Senate Bill 70, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act... to authorize units of government of the State of Illinois to issue full faith and credit tax anticipation notes. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 201, a Bill for an Act to amend the Motor Fuel Tax Law. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 325, a Bill for an Act relating to mandatory cardiopulmonary resuscitation for certain persons. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 403, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the State Employees Retirement System Article of the Illinois Pension Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 405, a Bill for an Act to amend the Motor Fuel Tax Laws. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 416, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 502, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 528, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Barber Law. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 552, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 593, a Bill for an Act to amend the Game Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 629, a Bill for an Act to amend the Unified... Uniform Commercial Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 637, a Bill for an Act to amend the Public Aid Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 737, a Bill for an Act to amend the Funeral and Burial Fund Act. Third Reading of the



Bill. Senate Bill 750, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Beauty Culture Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 796, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 970, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to revise the law in relation to plats. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 974, a Bill for an Act reporting . . . relating to the reporting of instances of suspected child abuse or neglect. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1000, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1001, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1029, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to revise the law in relation to counties. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1086, a Bill for an Act to amend the Public Aid Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1108, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Clinical Laboratory Act and the Illinois Blood Bank Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1109, a Bill for an Act to amend the Home Health Agency Licensing Act. Third Reading of the Bill. The next Bill on the Calendar Senate Bill 1125 is removed from the Consent Calendar. Senate Bill 1145, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act relating to inspection of hospitals and physician records. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1157, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act concerning fees and salaries. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1158, a Bill for an Act to amend the Coroner's Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1159, a Bill for an Act to amend the Coroner's Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1160, a Bill for an Act to amend the Coroner's Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1161, a Bill for an Act to amend the Coroner's Act. Third Reading of the



Bill. The next Bill on the Calendar, Senate Bill 1162, is removed from the Consent Calendar. Senate Bill 1163, a Bill for an Act to amend the Coroner's Act. Third Reading of the Bill. The next Bill on the Cor.. on the Calendar, Senate Bill 1232, is removed from the Consent Calendar. Senate Bill 1276, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill. The next Bill on the Calendar, Senate Bill 1360, is removed from the Consent Calendar. Senate Bill 1403, a Bill for an Act to amend the Product Liability Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, 'Shall these Bills pass?' Those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Representative Griesheimer."

Griesheimer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The good ole 'yes' button is again not working this morning. I prefer not to be on 'no' and... 'present'."

Speaker Redmond: "Mr. Clerk, can you accommodate Mr. Griesheimer's ...? How about...? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 122 'aye', and 1 'no'. The Bill... these Bills, having received the Constitutional Majority, are hereby declared passed. What does it show on there, Mr. Clerk? Representative Matijevec."

Matijevec: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I thought the Membership ought to know that we have a celebrity in our midst. Tom Hanahan has returned and Tom Hanahan... was in Rome and I have a picture here. Tom had an audience with the Pope. In fact, Tom said... he turned to Mike Madigan. He said, 'Mike, the Pope was for collective bargaining.' So Tom now has God on his side, on the collective bargaining issue..."

Speaker Redmond: "How is he on ERA?..."

Matijevec: "He's for it..."

Speaker Redmond: "I'll turn the podium over to Representative Spuffle, for the thing he does best."



Stuffle: "Members of the House, a few months ago I introduced to you the reigning queen, Illinois County Fair Queen, who reigned over this year's state fair, Miss Sandra Booth, from Marshall, Illinois, in the 53rd District which is represented by myself, Representative Campbell, and Representative Woodyard. Miss Booth is here again today to speak to you briefly and to presented to the Membership. After I present here she will be in Speaker Redmond's Office to pass out the honorary passes that you receive each year to various county fairs in the state and also we will be placing in each of your mailboxes a brochure with regard to this year's state fair and within that they'll be an order blank for tickets to the various events at this year's fair. I know many of you inquired of me and of the department and we can take care of that today. Let me at this time introduce this year's reigning Illinois County Fair Queen, who will reign over this year's state fair, one of my constituents, I'm proud to say, Sandra Booth from Marshall, Illinois."

Illinois County Fair Queen: "Thank you. I'm here on behalf of the Illinois State Fair and the county fairs of Illinois. I'd like to say that I'd like to give you a personal invitation to come to the State Fair this year, August 9th through the 19th. And to be sure and support your county fair in your District. Also I'd like to be sure to invite you to come to the bar-b-que tonight at 6:00 o'clock on the Director's lawn. Hope to see you there. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "490. Senate Bills, Second Reading, on page 11. Senate Bill 490."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 490, a Bill for an Act to make an appropriation for expense of the Illinois Art Council. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, and 2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motions with respect to Amendments 1 and



2?"

Clerk O'Brien: "A motion to table Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 490 by Representative Totten."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative who? Representative Totten."

Clerk O'Brien: "Totten."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Totten. Representative Jane Barnes. This is your Bill and a motion to table the Amendment by Representative Totten. Now pay attention."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I have filed a motion to table Amendment #2. The Amendment in question adds 500 thousand dollars to the Arts Council budget. Before the Committee, the Director of the Arts Council indicated that the money was needed for audits as required by the Auditor General and the Legislative Audit Commission. Under extensive questioning as to how they would need 500 thousand dollars for that particular purpose, it was finally determined that maybe 10% of that money would go for audits. The other 400... 490 thousand dollars would be used for other grants and purposes of the Arts Council. Now, ladies and Gentlemen of the House, for the... for the ten some odd years since we have created the Arts Council it has gone from a small appropriation of about 50,000 dollars to now, almost 3 million dollars. And they come before us at the last moment with their request for an additional 500,000 under false pretenses. The additional 500,000 dollars is not needed. It has no merit. And I would ask for your support in tabling Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 490."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Barnes."

Barnes, Jane: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it really pains me to disagree with Representative Totten, but this particular Amendment was discussed very, very thoroughly in Committee and it came out with a 14 'aye' and 4 'nay' vote. I would like to explain



that 300,000 dollars of the money that we're talking about is going to be placed in the financial incentive pool to match private funding throughout the entire State of Illinois. A lot of people don't realized but this gives the people in the middle of the State of Illinois and down state in Illinois an opportunity, for one thing, to hear the Chicago Symphony. It appears five different places in middle Illinois and down state Illinois. 50,000 dollars of the money is going to be in a program to offer assistance to communities throughout the state via professional fund raiser seminars to show them how to raise their own money and then we will give them matching funds, which, in my estimation, seems a very good way to approach the subject. \$100,000 of the money is going to be put in the supplementary fund for the general grant programs. 50,000 dollars of the funds will be used for the fiscal and program audit that Representative Totten did refer to. I, myself, consider this a very, very good program. I think the funds are needed. As I pointed out, this was discussed quite extensively in Committee. Representative Totten disagreed with me then and he agrees (sic) with me now. That is his prerogative, but I continue to disagree with him. I think this is an excellent Amendment and an excellent program. And I urge the defeat of Representative Totten."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? The question is on the Gentleman's motion. Representative Totten."

Totten: "Mr. Speaker, thank you. To close, please. Let me point out to the Members of the House that the \$500,000 additional now give us a total appropriation for this agency of \$3, 444,000. At a time when we are looking at funds for the ... repair roads, for education, to support cost of living increases for Public Aid recipients, we are all of a sudden faced with an additional \$500,000



request out of the general revenue fund for a purpose that is not too clear. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is not the time to embark on such a course and we ought to defeat this Amendment and I ask for your support on my motion to table Amendment #2 for an additional \$500,000 for the Illinois Arts Council."

Speaker Redmond: "The question's on the Gentleman's motion to table Amendment 2. Those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, in explaining my vote, Representative Barnes is totally correct. We did hear this matter in the Appropriations Committee and this is a Committee Amendment. The Minority Leader supported the Committee on that Amendment and I see there are a significant number of 'no' votes..."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the... Representative J.J. Wolf."

Wolf: "I just wanted to make sure he said the Minority Leader and not the Minority Spokesmen, supported that Committee Amendment cause I didn't."

Speaker Redmond: "On this question there's 43 'aye' and 102 'no'. The motion fails. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, Greiman, amends Senate Bill 490 as amended by inserting after the last sentence in Section 3 the following, 'Section 3-A' and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Who handled Representative Ryan's Amendment? Representative Barnes? Oh, Greiman, pardon me. Thought you said Ryan."

Greiman: "We look a little alike, yes..."

Speaker Redmond: "... You look a little alike..."

Greiman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #3 recognizes a very significant movement that is going on in the city of Chicago, in the northwest side of Chicago, to construct a large and multipurpose center devoted to Polish culture. When



it's finished it will have more... it will be an investment of more than 4 million dollars... 3 or 4 million dollars. At present they have purchased a theatre for \$650,000, borrowing, paying cash for it. They need about \$400,000 to refurbish that theatre and to build a cultural center and to have something that will be a very proud significant service unit for a million people in Cook County of Polish extraction. This Amendment #3 asks only that there be matching funds set up and it provides for a \$200,000 appropriation ... one time appropriation to the Copernicus Foundation. I would ask for your adoption of this."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Barnes... Representative Jane Barnes on the Amendment 3."

Barnes: "Representative Grieman did discuss this Amendment with me and I certainly would leave it up the discretion of the other Legislators."

Speaker Redmond: "The question... Representative J.J. Wolf."

Wolf: "Question of the Sponsor..."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Wolf: "It's my understanding, Mr. Greiman, that the Arts Council already makes grants to various ethnic groups."

Greiman: "Do they? I think that was... there was some in the 500 thousand dollar initial one, but those are on an annualized basis and not generally for capital purposes. This is a matching fund, capitalized improvement for an ongoing center that will be supported by the community and not by the State of Illinois."

Wolf: "I know the last ... museum got, I think, \$500 was the sum total of their grant and I know that they have been making a move in add giving some to the various ethnic groups and this last half a million dollars which was so readily adopted by the Members of the House... there really is no guidelines . I don't see why they can't give them the money out of that: The only... the only argument..."



Greiman: "...this one... this is strictly matching funds and is directed very specifically to the refurbishing and remodeling of the facility. It will be a.. an incredible showplace facility in the city of Chicago."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? The question... Representative Robbins."

Robbins: "I have a question of the Sponsor."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Robbins: "On this Amendment it says 200,000 and... would you be... would you be willing to strike the so much thereof as may be necessary above the 200,000?"

Greiman: "Well, I don't... I don't understand. That's if it's less. That's if it's less. In other words, if they only... if they only spend \$100,000 then the State would only spend \$100,000. So that's a limitation. You don't want to give them money that they don't spend, Representative Robbins, so that's why we put that in there as a... so that they know that they don't just have a blank check on the State of Illinois. They have to, in fact, have matching funds so we'd have to leave that in there I think."

Robbins: "I guess I misunderstood. I thought someone said that the cost of this project would be 4 million dollars and 650 thousand of it has already been raised."

Greiman: "Alright. But this would be ... they still have to raise 200,000 matching, or dollar for dollar matching, anyhow for this 200,000 so that's a limitation. We wouldn't want to take that out. We want to leave that in so that they can't take any money that they're not entitled to."

Robbins: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? The question's on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption... Representative Totten. Representative Totten. I couldn't see you. There was somebody standing before you."



Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I wish you would check my light though and make sure it's working. The Sponsor of the Bill has got up and said that this should be at the discretion of the General Assembly. Well, if we have any discretion at all, we ought to vote 'no' on this proposal. There's already \$200,000 set aside in this fund for the... in this Bill for ethnic arts. The Sponsor has come before us and asked for \$200,000 additional to renovate an additional facility. It probably is not in the not too distant future when he or another Member will be coming before us and asking for us to create a Kennedy Performing Arts Center in Illinois somewhere's, probably most likely in Chicago for several billion dollars. The whole question of the Illinois Arts Council, it's functions and what it ought to be doing are put to the test with an Amendment like this. More properly, it probably should be addressed to a CDB budget, but here, it is in the Illinois Arts Council budget and the discretion of the Members of this House ought to be to defeat this additional \$200,000."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Van Duynes, for what purpose do you arise?"

Van Duynes: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, just take a moment to introduce my good friend and the Superintendent of our educational service region, right up behind me here, Matthew Rathcensen' and his wife, Barbara, along with Lois Ann Mofit and her children Kenneth Mofit and Linda Hendrickson. Give them a nice hand."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Greiman, to close."

Greiman: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I think we have had a discussion of this. I think it's a significant cultural experience for the people in the city of Chicago. I think it's one that's important for us to support. I don't think you can take this... this particular appropriation and extend it on and on and on and say, well,



if you're asking for \$200,000 in matching funds, which is a drop in the bucket to what this is going to cost, totally, that suddenly we're going to have a Kennedy Performing Arts Center.. it's just an absurd extention. This is a vaild, valid thing. It is an important thing to the people in the city of Chicago. It will... it will teach people who are Polish about their heritage and we'll teach people who are not to have sensitivity to their needs and their concerns and I ask for its adoption. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "The question's on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #3. Those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 75 'aye' and 49 'no'. The motion prevails, the Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

ClerkO'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading."



Speaker Redmond: "559."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 559, a Bill for an Act to revise the law in relation to credit unions and Amending or repealing certain Acts in connection therewith. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1,2, and 3 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motions with respect to Amendments 1,2,&3?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4, Dawson, Amends Senate Bill 559 on page 2 by inserting after line 25 the following."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Dawson. Dawson."

Dawson: "Mr. Speaker, we're waiting for another Amendment that they had that was going to be able to Table some of these here. I was wondering if it was possible to take it out of the record?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Molloy."

Molloy: "These four Amendments that are now on the floor, Mr. Speaker, and then I will be gracious enough to allow the Sponsor of this Amendment to have his distributed and also Representative Abranson's Amendment distributed. But I ask that we dispose of these four at the present time. "

Dawson: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to Table Amendments 4,5, &6."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Dawson withdraws Amendments 4,5,& 6. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #7, Dawson, Amends Senate Bill 559 in Section 13 by deleting paragraph 8 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Dawson."

Dawson: "Mr. Speaker, Amendment #7 would basically eliminate the share drafts that a credit union are now used for transferring money to a Member of the credit union. And I move for its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Molloy."

Molloy: "In opposition to this Amendment; share drafts have been in existence since 1974. There's a back flow-in of



what retired employees from these credit unions use to take the money out of their savings account. This Amendment would gut the Credit Union Act. What it's trying to tell people is you can not do with your savings account what you wish to do with it. Now, credit unions only serve their Members. They don't serve the general public. And I ask that this Amendment, which would gut the Credit Union Act, be defeated. "

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I don't often disagree with Representative Dawson, but in this case this Amendment reverses the thrust of the Bill that's been developed over many hearings by the credit union people, by the Senate, by extensive Amendments in the House. I feel at this time that this Amendment is not conducive to the good health of the Bill and I urge a defeat of the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, we might as well just put credit unions out of business. This Amendment would effectively do that. I think many of us support credit unions because we know how they started. They started because employees for example, of the industries or people got together and they needed the credit and it was the cheapest way that they could do it. I feel strongly that if you adopt this Amendment, you are crippling credit unions. The Labor Organization I've talked to, them, they're opposed to this Amendment. I would ask the Membership to soundly defeat this Amendment. It's an anti-consumer and does considerable harm to credit unions and I don't think this House wants to do that."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Friedland."

Friedland: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "Question is shall the main question be put. Those in favor say 'aye'; 'aye'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes'



have it. The motion carries. Representative Dawson to close."

Dawson: "Mr. Speaker, I don't want to put the credit unions out of business. But I believe that they shouldn't be into checking business. I ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "Question's on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment 7. Those in favor say 'aye'; opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the motion fails. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #8, Dawson, Amends Senate Bill 559 on page 2, by inserting after 25 the following, 'share draft' and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Dawson."

Dawson: "This proposed Amendment would establish two types of reserves which would be necessary before the credit unions could offer share draft referring to their Members. First the credit union would have to set-up lucrative reserves to insure that the share drafts are honored promptly. This reserve would consist of the sum of the following two amounts; 7% of the total amount of funds held by the credit union in its share draft accounts and #2. 3% of the total amount of funds held by the credit union in its deposit accounts. In addition, any credit union which offers share draft authority to its Members, would be required to set-up more stringent reserves against loan and risk assets losses that in the case of Senate Bill 559."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Molloy."

Molloy: "My opposition to this Amendment; this is even worse than Amendment #7 that you have just defeated. For instance, Caterpillar employees credit union in Peoria, Illinois, last month paid \$18,000 in dividends interest on these share draft accounts. Now, what he's doing is attempting to cripple the operation of 52 credit unions, when there are enough restrictions already. For instance, they have to be a million dollars in assets, they have to have full share



insurance, they have to have full-time professional management; they have to have automatic record keeping in order to qualify under the share draft program. They pose no threat to any other financial institution in this state. The small credit unions cannot get in for the reasons I have just stated, cannot get in to the share draft program. This is exclusively for the large credit unions in excess of one million dollars. Incidentally, the Department of Financial Institutions opposes this Amendment because it is inconsistent with the federal share insurance program for credit unions. And I ask that you soundly defeat this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I again don't disagree very often with Representative Dawson, but this is a case where the credit union people and the Senate hearings have recodified the Credit Union Act and this Amendment by Dawson reverses the thrust of what we're trying to do. We're trying to keep a ship-shape organization going; they want to continue in the successful mode that they've traveled many years and I urge the defeat of this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Balanoff."

Balanoff: "We never received a copy of this Amendment #8, not at this neck of the woods."

Speaker Redmond: "Has the Amendment 8 been printed and distributed Mr. Clerk? I'm advised that 8 has not been distributed and printed. Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I thought that Representative Molloy would allow and we would give leave that it be heard because otherwise you're going to penalize him and not move the Bill. And I, if it's in order, I would ask leave that we go ahead with Amendment #8 even though it not.. it's not.."

Speaker Redmond: "There's been no formal objection made to considering 8. It was an inquiry. Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, another step we could take is moving the



Bill to Third Reading and if Mr. Molloy agrees with the Amendment, after it's promulgated, you might bring it back to Second."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Friedland... Molloy."

Molloy: "Yes, this, Mr. Speaker, this Bill has been on Second Reading since last Wednesday. And there's been enough time to prepare Amendments. These Amendments have been kicked around, discussed, and everything else and I would move at this time that this Bill go to Third Reading."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is... We can't ask consider Amendment.... wait a minute now. Representative Molloy indicated that he'd waive, is that correct? It's alright with him. Unanimous consent? Representative Dawson."

Dawson: "Mr. Speaker, Amendment #8 is just cleaning up some of the language in Amendment 4 is all it's doing."

Speaker Redmond: "Ok. The question is on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #8. Those in favor say 'aye'; opposed. It's the opinion of the Chair motion fails. The Amendment's not adopted. Any further Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #9, Abramson, Amends Senate Bill 559 by deleting Section 60 and inserting in lieu thereof, 'Section 60 reserves', and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Has this been printed and distributed? It has not been. Representative Molloy."

Molloy: "We already have an Amendment dealing with liquidity that was adopted in the Committee. The Amendment of..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Abramson."

Abramson: "I withdraw the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Abramson withdraws Amendment #9. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading."



Speaker Redmond: "585. Wait a minute. Wait a minute. Wait a minute. We've got a very distinguished guest here. I don't see him now. He usually stands very tall, but... Representative Matijevich, will you introduce..."

Matijevich: "The tall fellow over here is former Representative Jesse Madison, a good friend of all of us and it's good to have him back."

Speaker Redmond: "585."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 585, a Bill for an Act to make an appropriation for expenses to the Department of Veteran's Affairs. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor-Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. It's been requested... Senate Bill 758 on Third Reading, which appears on page 8. Representative Schuneman, for what purpose do you arise on 758?"

Schuneman: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would ask leave to take Senate Bill 758 back to Second Reading for the purposes of an Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Does the Gentleman have leave? Hearing no objection, leave is granted. Are there any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, Schuneman, amends Senate Bill 758 on page one, line one, by inserting immediately before 'the' the following and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schuneman."

Schuneman: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 758 is the Senate version of the Bill that would create a life and health insurance guarantee fund very similar to the proposal of Representative Epton in the House and Amendment #1 simply brings Senate Bill 758 into compliance with the provisions that were in Representative Epton's Bill and I would move adoption of Amendment #1."



Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment 1. Those in favor say 'aye'. 'Aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carries. The Amendment 1 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Representative Lechowicz is recognized with respect to Senate Bill 316, which appears on page 6."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I ask leave to bring Senate Bill 316 back from Third to Second for the purpose of a Committee Amendment that was discussed in Committee and finally prepared."

Speaker Redmond: "Does the Gentleman have leave? Hearing no objection, leave is granted. Read the Floor Amendment, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2, Lechowicz, amends Senate Bill 316..."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, I withdraw Amendment #2 in favor of Amendment #3 which is..."

Speaker Redmond: "Amendment 2 is withdrawn..."

Lechowicz: "... Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, Chapman-Lechowicz, amends Senate Bill 316 as amended in Section 2-104 and so forth."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is the Amendment that was discussed in Committee. It makes technical clarifications of liability provision. It provides that current as well as future residents of nursing homes will execute enforceable contracts with facilities regarding obligations and liabilities. It limits the frequency or extensions of the probationary license to one hundred and twenty day period and it also provides restrictive language in reference to nursing home



without their informed and written consent as far as experimental research and I move its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Any disucssion on the Amendment? Representative Robbins. Has this Amendment been printed and distributed, Mr. Clerk? Advises me it is not. Representative Lechowicz. Advised it hasn't been printed.."

Lechowicz: "We'll leave it on Second Reading then, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "We'll leave it on Second Reading."

Lechowicz: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "65. 65. Any Amendments from the floor?"

Representative Katz."

Katz: "Mr. Speaker, I wanted to have leave of the House to bring this back to Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment and to keep the Bill placed on the Priority of Call. May I have leave to do that, Sir?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Lechowicz in the Chair."

Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Knox, Mr. McMaster."

McMaster: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I object to this attempt by Representative Katz. He made an attempt in Committee when this Bill was heard to put an extensive Amendment on it. We defeated that Amendment in Committee. The Bill was in the shape that the Senate Sponsor wants it currently and for that reason, I would oppose any attempt to move it back to Second for the purpose of his Amendment and in the event that it does go there, I want the opportunity to oppose the Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "There's been objection. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Katz."

Katz: "Well, why don't I just talk to Mr. McMaster. Just take it out..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Take it out of the record for the time being. You'd better talk to Mr. Conti as well. Mr. Conti, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Conti: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I asked the Gentleman, the Sponsor of this Bill



when he advanced it to Third Reading to bring it back for Second Reading and he refused to do so. Now why should we accommodate him? Now if he's willing to accept all the Amendments that are coming on in Second Reading... I don't care what it's got to do with. You objected to it when it came back to Second Reading and I object to it."

Speaker Lechowicz: "House Bills, Second Reading, appears House Bill 590. Senate Bill 590. I'm sorry. Clerk will read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 590, a Bill for an Act making appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Dangerous Drug Commission. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 636."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 636, a Bill for an Act in relation to the Illinois State Fair. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, Representative Kane, amends Senate Bill 636 on page 4 by deleting lines 9 through 13 and so forth."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Morgan, Mr. Reilly."

Reilly: "Yes. Representative Kane's not here and I appreciate it if you'd take the Bill out of the record."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Kane's back there. He's on the floor."

Reilly: "We don't want to handle it right now, please."

Speaker Lechowicz: "You want to take the Bill out of the record, Mr. Reilly? Out of the record. Senate Bill 676."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 676, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Business Corporation Act. Second Reading



of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Bullock in the chamber? The Gentleman is not in the chamber. Take the Bill out of the record. Senate Bill 767. Wait a minute. Has fiscal note been filed? "

Clerk O'Brien: "Fiscal note is not filed."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Take the Bill out of the record. Senate Bill 990. 930, I'm sorry."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 930, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Election Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, Representative Freidrich, Dwight Friedrich, amends Senate Bill 930 on page one by deleting lines 12 and 13 and inserting in lieu thereof the following and so forth."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Marion, Mr. Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, this Bill provided that if you moved 30 days before the election you could go back to your old precinct and this merly makes the move within the state and my purpose of this Amendment... if a person moves out of the state then they have no longer any interest in the state and should not be allowed to vote if they've moved within the last 30 days. I move the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any disucssion? The Gentleman from Whiteside, Mr. Schuneman."

Schuneman: "Just a question of the Chair, Mr. Speaker, on another Bill.."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Well, let's get through with the Amendment. Is there any discussion on the Amendment? The question is, 'Shall the Amendment be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye'. Opposed. The Amendment's adopted."



Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4, Mahar, amends Senate Bill 930 on page one by deleting lines 11 through 13 and so forth."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mahar."

Mahar: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #4 has not been distributed yet so I ask that we hold it until we get Amendment #4. It's not been distributed yet."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Has the Amendment been distributed, Mr. Clerk? No, it has not. Has it been printed?"

Mahar: "Yes, it's been printed."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Okay. Take the Bill out of the record. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Ted Leverenz, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Leverenz: "I would ask leave to move Senate Bill 1202 from Third Reading to Second Reading for the purpose of an agreed Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there any objection? Hearing none, the Gentleman has leave to bring back Senate Bill 1202 from Third to Second for the purpose of an Amendment. 1202, Jack."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Borchers, amends Senate Bill 1202 on page one by deleting line 31, 32 and so forth."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Who's Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Borchers."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Borchers."

Borchers: "Mr. Speaker and fellow Members of the House, all this Amendment does is say that the department shall not issue a license to operate an employment agency to any applicant who is an illegal alien in the State of Illinois. And that's all it does and I request your support in passage."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The question is, 'Shall the Amendment be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye'. 'Aye'. Opposed. The Amendment's...



The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. Has Senate Bill 316, which is on Second Reading, has that Amendment been distributed?"

The Lady from Cook, Mrs. Chapman, on Amendment #3."

Clerk O'Brien: "The Amendment's is print... is distributed."

Speaker Lechowicz: "I moved it back to Third Reading, Mr. Leverenz."

Chapman: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Amendment #3 is a technical Amendment which revises language and limits the frequency of extension for probationary licenses, makes other technical and corrective changes. I move to adopt."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, 'Shall the Amendment...?' Is there any discussion? This Amendment I discussed a few minutes ago on the Floor. All in favor signify by saying 'aye'. 'Aye'. Opposed. The Amendment's adopted. Third Reading. Second Reading, Senate Bill 1348 located on page 12 of the Calendar. 1348."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1348, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act relating to the local mutual district county and township insurance companies. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, Cullerton, amends Senate Bill 1348...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Amendment states that the standard metropolitan statistical area which is used for insurance coverage in this state should have one rating territory. It prohibits companies from basing rates for fire and extended coverage insurance on differing geographical areas of political subdivisions within that portion of any standard metropolitan statistical area. The



practical effect of the Amendment would be that there's presently standard metropolitan statistical areas containing more than one rating territory, generally just in Chicago... in the Chicago area. So, I ask for the adoption of this Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Would the Members of the House kindly remain in the proper decorum of the House? Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we fought this battle a couple of weeks ago about including all of Cook County in one rating area for, both home owners and automobiles. As I understand this Amendment it includes just the home owners' policies and includes a lot larger area. At the time we discussed it before, we warned the people down state that if all of Cook County was going to be in one rating area now, all of down state and all of the entire state would be later on. Well this is an indication that that may be coming true because this Amendment, as I understand it, includes the six county metropolitan area in one rating area and I submit to you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentleman of the House, that it is bad. Geography is a worthwhile and a recognized distinction in rating insurance policies whether they are home owners or automobiles. I suggest to you that this is a bad Amendment and should be defeated and I warn those of you down state that are not in the six county area that this is going to get you just as it will get us. I urge you to defeat this Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Cullerton, to close. The Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Borchers. The Gentleman from Whiteside, Mr. Schuneman."

Schuneman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, I would ask a ruling from the Chair as to whether or not this Amendment is germane to this Bill. The..."



Speaker Lechowicz: "Would the Parliamentarian come to the rostrum; please? There's a... germaness of the Amendment to the Bill. Mr. Cullerton. It shouldn't make a difference. The Gentleman from Marion, Mr. Friedrich, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, I have a former distinguished Member of this House and the Senate, Senator Gordan Curr, from Brookport. Wish you'd welcome him."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Welcome again, Senator. The Chair has been informed that we also have a former Member of the House and the Senate and a former alderman from the city of Chicago, Tom Kannin, in the Speaker's Gallery. We want to welcome him to Springfield as well. Alderman Tom Kannin. The Amendment is not germane. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. On the Calendar on page 2, Senate Bills, Short Debate. I believe we left off at Senate Bill 654."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 654, Giorgi, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Lottery Law..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Giorgi in the chamber? Alright. Read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "A Bill for an Act to amend certain Acts in connection with the Illinois State Lottery. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, this is the same Bill that went out of here about a month ago, House Bill that had to do with some lottery changes requested by the Lottery Control Board, the Governor's task force on cutting costs and everyone that studies the lottery. This is to up-grade the lottery and make it more palatable, more attractive so that people keep... will come back and buy some more tickets. I urge support."



Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there anyone to speak in opposition?"

The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 654...' The Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Well, if he can't do better than that, somebody ought to speak in opposition. So far, all we know... all we've heard are generalizations that this is going to make the lottery better. Is it going to increase the take? Is it going to cut the take? Is it going to increase the percentage that the state gets? Is it going to cut the percentage of the state taxes? Is it going to give money to the city of Rockford's exposition center to bail it out of a pit? Is it going to give it to the McCormick Place?..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman is not speaking..."

Skinner: "Who knows?... I.."

Speaker Lechowicz: "... in opposition..."

Skinner: "I certainly am. I'm not going to vote for it."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Duester, are you speaking in opposition to the Bill?"

Duester: "Mr. Speaker, I am arising for a parliamentary inquiry just so we know what order of business are we on? I know that we are on page 2 of the Calendar..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Senate Bills, Third Reading, Short Debate."

Duester: "Are we following a priority of call?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "We're following where we left off yesterday."

Duester: "Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "You're welcome. The Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Giorgi, to close."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, there is no change in where the money from the lottery is going to go. It's administrative changes. Many people have to wait quite a length of time to receive their award. When they win it takes from 4 to 6 weeks for the department to send the awards out. We have to do more in negotiations with license



agents. We have to do more work with the banks that handle the money. We have to spend a little more time with our PR agencies and our advertising agencies. Our lottery has generated over a half a billion dollars without a hint of scandle or impropriety. We've created about 26 millionaires and all we're trying to say to the lottery Control Board, 'Job well done. Continue on and we're going to give you the tools to do the job.'

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 654 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Giorgi."

Giorgi: "For a moment... for some of the doubtful voters, this is an administration Bill. It's requested by the Lottery Superintendant, the Lottery Control Board. It is not my Bill. It's an in-House Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? It picked up 3 administration votes. The Clerk will take the record. There's 120 'aye's, 27 'nos', 7 recorded as 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 689."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 689, a Bill for an Act in relation to reimbursement of optometric services under certain insurance programs. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Ronan."

Ronan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. This is a very simple piece of legislation. All it does is it deals with insurance coverage for optometric services stating that if you have a legitimate insurance plan and you want to have services dealing with vision, that you can either go to an optometrist or a registered doctor..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Anyone in opposition? The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 689 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye';



all opposed vote 'nay': Have all voted who wish?

Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 143 'aye', 2 'no', 6 recorded as 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 724."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 724, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Savings and Loan Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Bureau, Mr. Mautino. Mautino, please. Dick Mautino."

Mautino: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this legislation in 724 is the concept of deferred interest payment that is used in other states. It is basically allowing an answer to the current crisis of a lack of money... mortgage money for a young family at a reasonable rate and basically what it does is to allow for a decrease in monthly payments during the first 25% of the total years in which the loan is written. And it can be used by a Savings and Loan, etc., and I'd ask for an affirmative vote. I'll answer any questions that you might have on the legislation. It's used in many other states and it's a good piece of legislation."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Anyone in opposition? The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 724..' The Lady from Cook, Mrs. Pullen."

Pullen: "I have a question, Mr. Speaker, if I could... a very quick one. This Bill is permissive. Is that correct?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Mautino."

Mautino: "Yes."

Pullen: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 724 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The



Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 140 'aye', 1 'nay', 2 recorded as 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 736."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 736, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act in relation to fire protection districts. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Act amends the Fire Protection District Act. It changes from 10 to 15 years the maximum period which a fire protection district may pay off contracts by which it purchases real estate or personal property. Currently, fire protection districts are authorized to purchase real estate or personal property through installment loan contracts, but the contracts cannot exceed ten years. So this Bill increases the maximum allowable length on such contracts from 10 to 15 years. I ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Anyone in opposition? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I rise to oppose this Bill and I do it on the basis that it will result in increased taxation without referendum. Now, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, these contracts are an exception to the requirement of the issuance of jail bonds based on referendum. Captial improvements are allowed to be secured by... acquisition of property is allowed to be secured without referendum through the contract to be paid by the general tax levy. Now, if we extend the term of the contract from 10 to 15 years, we are just increasing the interest to be paid on the contract, which is going to have to paid for out of taxes. Now, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, based on the principle that this is going to result in increased



taxes without referendum I oppose him. I urge a 'nay' vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Cullerton, to close."

Cullerton: "The purpose of the Bill with the rising costs of fire trucks ... it's very difficult to pay off these contracts over a ten year period. The only purpose of the Bill is to allow these purchases to be made over a 15 year period and I would think that the increase in interests charges would be minimal and that's why I think it's not a bad Bill and a very good Bill and I ask for your favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 736 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'no'. Marco.. yeah... Yes, Sir. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh, to explain his vote. Timer's on."

Walsh: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I certainly oppose this Bill. I opposed the concept when it started some years ago when we permitted governmental units to buy on contract and by not just capital improvements, but personal property. They can buy paper clips on contract. That is absolutely ridiculous. We make that provision 50% worse with this Bill, extending from 10 years to 15 years the term that they can pay it. It's absurd. There's no justification for it and I urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Cullerton, to explain his vote. Timer's on."

Cullerton: "Mr. Speaker, this Bill increases from 10 years to 15 years the amount of time to buy on contract. Now, if it takes ten years to pay off a load of paper clips, so be it. This will extend it to 15 years. I think those comments are absurd. We're only talking about major... major purchases such as fire trucks that the cost is going up so high that they need more time. That's



the only effect this will have. If you have a problem with the concept of being... having these units be allowed to buy on contract at all, then that's another issue. This is only... the purpose of this is only to extend for five more years the interest... the increase in interest costs be minimal."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bluthardt, to explain his vote. Timer's on."

Bluthardt: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, you know, the argument that this is taxation without a referendum is just.. won't hold water. The payment of the contract will come out of the corporate or general funds and that is limited by a tax rate established by the General Assembly, by law of this state, and it is not an additional tax without referendum. It merely gives the fire protection district a greater opportunity to pay off the equipment that is necessary to operate that fire... that fire department. I would urge you to vote in favor of this Bill. I think it's a good Bill. It does not result in an increase in taxes. The payment is made out of the corporate funds and we have a limitation on that by law, as it is. "

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman, to explain his vote. Timer's on."

Schlickman: "Well, not to explain my vote, Mr. Speaker, because I spoke in debate, but a point of privilege. I would like to call to the attention of the House that despite the description of this Bill by the Sponsor and the last Gentleman who spoke from Cook relating it to personal property, this Bill also applies to real estate. And that is another reason why this Bill should be defeated. "

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Madison, Mr. Steele."

Steele: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would like to urge that a few more green lights up there.. there's no tax increase



in this Bill. I'd like to point out that fire districts are limited in their tax revenues, the available dollars they have to work with, and they, frankly, need additional time these days due to the higher costs of purchasing equipment in order to pay off the more expensive equipment..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "It's my fault. Please continue."

Steele: "I'd like to point out that cars used to be financed for 36 months. Now, they're normally financed for 48 months. The same is true in buying fire engines and so forth, so I think it's a good Bill... no tax increase and I urge a few more green lights."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 95 'ayes', 48 'nos', and 11 recorded as 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 739."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 739, a ..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Gaines.."

Clerk O'Brien: "... A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Fair Employment Practice Act..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Take it out of the record, request of the Sponsor. Senate Bill 761. Bradley."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 761, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Retailers Occupation Tax Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from McLean, Mr. Bradley."

Bradley: "Yes, Sir, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill.. or Senate Bill 761 addresses itself to a small problem that retailers have in filing their occupational tax. As we all know, and everybody knows, at the end of the month the retailer is liable for the taxes he has collected and we found some cases where a retailer who might be in business until Saturday



night at the end of the month at five o'clock closes his business by the time he brings down the amount of money that he's owed on his state taxes, gets to the Post Office and files it might lay in the Post Office Box and not be dated until Monday and it would be... he would not be within the law. So what we're simply doing here is giving him 3 days past the end of the month to file his tax. That way where those problems exist.. they certainly would be able to handle it with an extension of 3 days. It's a very simple Bill and I ask the support of the House."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Anyone in opposition? The question is, Shall Senate Bill 761 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 145 'aye', no 'nay', 1 recorded as 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 768."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 768, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Gas Revenue Tax Act and the Public Utilities Revenue Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. O'Brien."

O'Brien: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Senate Bill 768 is a Bill that is designed to clear up the problem that we've had with double taxation in relation to the purchases of certain appliances. The Bill passed out of the Senate 36 to 19 and passed out of our Committee and was placed on Short Debate. I ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentle... Is anyone in opposition? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Conti."

Conti: "Will the Sponsor tell me how much this is going to cost the state?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. O'Brien. Fiscal impact... The question



is the fiscal impact to the state.. Mr. O'Brien."

O'Brien: "I think that there's one filed with the Clerk.

I don't have a copy of it right here..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Not.. Doesn't make any difference."

Conti: "Mr. Speaker, I ask that ten Members join me and take this off of Short Debate. I think this is going to cost the state close to a million dollars."

O'Brien: "Mr. Speaker, I think that it's considerably less than that and why don't we take it out of the record and I'll talk with Representative Conti and provide him with the necessary information."

Conti: "Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Would you kindly talk to Senator Egan too? It'll kill all the tax relief Bill too. I'd appreciate it. Senate Bill 770."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 770, a Bill for an Act to amend the State Comptroller Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Donovan. Oh, I'm sorry. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Capparelli."

Capparelli: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 770 authorizes the Comptroller to accept magnetic tape and computer out put on micro-fiche in lieu of a hard invoice copy. Studies conducted by the Comptroller's Office indicate that this change will provide for a significant cost saving to the state. The Department of Public Aid will be the first user in the new system and will save them approximately five hundred thousand dollars. The Bill is supported by the Department of Public Aid and I ask for an affirmative Roll Call."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there anyone in opposition? The Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Hallock."

Hallock: "Would the Sponsor yield for one quick question?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Well, I guess he will."



Hallock: "Why is this Bill necessary and why can't this be done as internal policy rather than by legislation?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Comptroller's Act in 1972 required that it be on hard card in lieu of microfiche, Comptroller's Act of '72. Any further... Any opposition? Any further discussion? The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 770 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 134 'aye', no 'nay', 1 recorded as 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 802."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 802, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Public Aid Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Yourell."

Yourell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 802 deletes the requirement that appeals in Cook County, be made to a Committee appointed by the President of the County Board from one township within the County. It substitutes a provision that appeals be made to Committees appointed by the Township Supervisor for those areas outside of the corporate limits of the city. The Department of Public Aid, the Township Officials Association, the Cook County Board President are in support of this change. I move for a favorable Roll Call on Senate Bill 802."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any opposition? The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 802 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 100 'aye', 10 'nays', 13 recorded as 'present'."



This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 809."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 809, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Aeronautics Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Dave Jones."

Jones: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House.. Senate Bill 809 amends the Illinois Aeronautics Act. It requires the manufacturer of an aircraft to reimburse the registered owner of the aircraft for the cost of parts, labor, and related expenses to comply with air worthiness directives issued by the Federal Aviation Administration.. Committee Amendment limits manufacture liability to five years from date of first sale of the original registered owner. As you know, in automobiles when the manufacturer calls it back for a correction, he pays the costs. But that's not the case in the aeronautics business and this is to require that the manufacturer pay the costs."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any objec.. Anyone to speak in opposition? The Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "I'm not sure I'm in opposition. I do have a question as to whether this would affect DC 10's that are based in Illinois. Do you know?"

Jones: "This would apply to any aircraft that there was a directive sent out. It's mostly applies to the private sector where the problem has been."

Skinner: "Well it applies clearly to general aviation aircraft. I'm wondering whether it also applies to commercial aviation aircraft. And if so, I suspect your Bill is much more significant than it started out being."

Jones: "Well, it requires the manufacturer of an aircraft to reimburse the registered owner of the aircraft for



the cost of the parts and so on. As far as I'm..."
 Skinner: "I bet you Northwest Orient moves to Illinois."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady from Cook, Mrs. Currie."

Currie: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. May I ask the Sponsor a question? Is that alright on Short Debate?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Not really, but please, proceed."

Currie: "My question has to do with House Amendment 1, which has to do with state-owned aircraft. As I read the Amendment it seems to say that whenever an airplane owned by the state is seven years old or has had 5,000 hours in the air, that the Department must purchase a new airplane. My question is, 'How many state owned airplanes are there currently?'"

Jones: "They own six aircraft."

Currie: "Six aircraft?"

Jones: "Right."

Currie: "This Amendment then it seems to me suggests that we can never go below six aircraft. Is that, indeed, the intention of the Amendment?"

Jones: "Well it provides that the Department of Transportation shall replace any state owned aircraft which has been in service for 5,000 air frame hours, or seven years."

Currie: "Which means that we can never go below six airplanes. Who uses the state owned airplanes? For what purpose do we... do we have that number of aircraft?"

Jones: "By all the state officials and by the Leadership of the Legislature and those in an official capacity that make use of.. according to a priority list that is established with the Department of Transportation."

Currie: "And it's important to have these aircraft available for state officials.. Does that include state Representatives, for example, and state Senators going back and forth between their home districts and Springfield?"



Jones: "No, it does not. Only the Leadership on official business can use the... has the use of the aircrafts."

Currie: "Alright. Thank you very much."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Jones, to close."

Jones: "This simply does for the aircraft industry what is now a practice in the automobile industry of the manufacturer paying the cost of an air directive that is sent to the owner of the aircraft. They must make a particular change in the mechanical operations of these aircraft. I move for its passage."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 809 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 129 'aye', 5 'no', 12 recorded as 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 811."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 811..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Excuse me. The Gentleman from Madison, Mr. McPike, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

McPike: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would like to introduce the woman standing directly behind me. She is Representative Elaine Gordan, from the State of Florida. She's a seven year Representative in her state. She chairs the House Administration Committee and she has been the Sponsor of the Equal Rights Amendment in the State of Florida for the past seven years. We'd like to welcome her to Illinois."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Welcome to Illinois, Ma'am. There goes Wolf-Marovitz. On a point of personal privilege, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kelly."

Kelly: "Mr. Chairman, I also welcome the ERA women down to



Springfield, but I certainly think they've chosen Kelly green as their colors. That was a surprise to me cause that's my political colors and I compliment them for good taste."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Senate Bill 811... The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Beatty, on the floor? Take it out of the record. Senate Bill 814."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 814, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating to disclosure of beneficiaries of land trusts and owners of property allegedly violating building laws. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Preston."

Preston: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, Senate Bill 814 is a simple Bill that provides that when building or fire code violations remain uncorrected for six months in residential buildings owned by land trusts, neighbors can be provided with the names of beneficiaries of those land trusts. This Bill passed the Senate by 43 to 4. It passed the Judiciary I Committee of the House 12 to zero and I ask for a favorable 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any opposition? The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Yeah, I had one question that I think I'm in opposition. But I'd like to ask a question."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Proceed."

Leinenweber: "Why is this Bill needed? Right now, does the law not provide that upon the certification of a violation that the beneficial owners of the land trust must be disclosed to the city building department? And is that not a public record?"

Preston: "Representative, what you said is correct. Within ten days after the violation the names of the beneficiaries are disclosed to the building department. However, they are not made available to the public,



the neighbors, or to tenants of that building or the neighborhood organizations that may want to let the absentee land lords know that they are interested in having the violations corrected. This Bill would provide this."

Leinenweber: "You say, is there a specific statutory provision which prohibits the disclosure of the names that have been disclosed to the city building department.."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Excuse me, Harry. Let's give the Gentlemen some order, please. Did you hear the question, Lee?"

Preston: "I did. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. There is no statutory provision which permits the disclosure of those names and the building department right now does not do so..."

Leinenweber: "Well, it is a public record and public records are open to the public, are they not?"

Preston: "This public record is not open.. this record is not open to the public."

Leinenweber: "Well, Mr. Speaker, very briefly on the Bill. This is..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Proceed."

Leinenweber: "This is a Bill that is not necessary. Right now the law provides that the beneficial owners of the land trust must be disclosed to the building enforcement officers of the various cities upon certification of a violation. It's a public record. Now, if some cities of this state refuse to disclose public records, then there are procedures that people can follow in order to compel disclosure of public records. Such records are no different than any other records and should be and must be held open to the public. That's what this ... This Bill is just not necessary, Mr. Speaker. It's an attempt to again invade the right of privacy of the citizens of this state who have very



little privacy right now. The land trust is a good viable procedure for holding land. It's a good viable procedure to keep people's particular interests private except where there's a good reason why it should be disclosed. I certainly oppose all attempts to invade this right of privacy to lessen the effectiveness of the land trust and I urge a 'no' vote on this unnecessary Bill which will only add to the paper work of the State of Illinois."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Preston, to close."

Preston: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. In response to the Gentleman's remark, this Bill is not opposed by the Realtors Association. It passed the Committee unanimously. It is a good Bill and it will provide the information necessary that the Representative was just talking about. We can make this information of the land trusts real ownership available to the public by a simplified means. That's all this does. It doesn't infringe on anyone's rights and I encourage an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 814 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'no'. Marco.. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Getty, to explain his vote. Timer's on."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, I rise to explain my 'aye' vote. The only thing that would be protected if you don't pass this, is slum lords."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Right."

Getty: "I don't think we're in favor of slum lords in this General Assembly and after sufficient period of time they would be disclosed if they didn't clean up their property. This is a good Bill and should be strongly supported."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Johnson, to explain his vote. Timer's on."



Johnson: "No, I don't believe in swimming upstream. Forget it. It's a bad Bill, but I'm not going to talk."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 130 'aye', 18 'no', 5 recorded as 'present.' This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 829."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 829, a Bill for an Act to amend the Return of Security Deposits Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Marovitz."

Marovitz: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Under present Illinois law there's a provision that a lessor of residential property which contains ten or more units who has received a security deposit from a lessee to obtain a lease must return that deposit to the lessee... at the termination of the lease. There are two exceptions and that one is for nonpayment of rent and two, is if there is damage to the apartment. But unfortunately, when we passed this law which was sponsored by Senator Merlo, we failed to provide a penalty provision and as a result many owners of these multi-unit buildings have not complied with the existing statute. Senate Bill 829 with its Amendment provides a penalty of twice the amount of the deposit plus attorney's fees if the owner is found by a court to have willfully failed to comply with the existing Act. We amended this Bill in the ... in Committee to provide that the money must be repaid within 45 days of the date that the lessee vacated the premises and upon the finding of a Circuit Court that a lessor has refused to supply the itemized statement required by the Section or has supplied such statement in bad faith or has failed to refuse to return the amount of deposit within the time



limits provided. Then and only then shall the leasor be required to pay twice the security deposit. I would ask for an affirmative Roll Call on this Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there anyone in opposition? The Gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Johnson."

Johnson: "We have a lot of problems with this Bill and I would ask that we have nine other Members of the House join me in taking this off Short Debate so we can ask the Sponsor some questions."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman has the prerequisite number of Members. The Bill is on Full Debate. Please continue Sir."

Johnson: "Would the Sponsor yield for a couple of questions?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Indicates he will."

Johnson: "First of all, Representative Marovitz, can you think of any counter part provision in our Landlord- Tenant law where a breach of a similar sort or at least a counter part sort by the .. by the tenant entitles the landlord to double damages or reasonable attorney fees?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Marovitz."

Johnson: "For example, tearing the property up and so forth and where a law suit is required."

Marovitz: "We have similar provision in the condominium law where interest isn't paid and I think that this particular piece of legislation has to be determined on its own merits. Whether another... whether there's a Section or a Section in other parts of the law, it's totally irrelevant to whether the substance of this..."

Johnson: "I didn't ask you whether it's irrelevant. I just asked if you knew of a counter part provision. My second question.. my second question is, you set the date at 45 days from the date of vacation of the premises. What if a tenant ... well, in advance of the time of termination of the leasehold period simply vacates the premises and at the expiration of 45 days maybe ahead of the time that the lease is actually up. Sometimes



the security deposit is sufficient but there's an excess left, what about that situation? Wouldn't you think it'd be better set at the date at which the lease is terminated and the respective obligations of land lord and tenant and vice versa are terminated?"

Marovitz: "I don't think that applies. I don't think the court would rule that there's been a violation."

Johnson: "Well it says that this... that the.. the date.. the period set, the 45 days, is measured from the date at which the tenant vacates the property. A lot of times, tenants move out of the property and vacate them well ahead of the time that the lease is over. I'm asking you, wouldn't you think this Bill would be a lot more reasonable to set that 45 day period as having been measured from the time at which the lease is terminated?"

Marovitz: "You really want Section.. not together with the next Section of the Bill which you are totally ignoring, which says that upon a finding by a Circuit Court that a leasor has refused to supply an itemized statement required by this Section or supply the statement in bad faith or failed to refuse the deposit within the time limit provided. You're not reading them a conjunction...."

Johnson: "No.. I'm not.. them a conjunction, but moving out of a property early is not necessarily a date... a breach if you continue to pay the rent. I'm just saying it would seem to me more reasonable to measure the 45 days subsequent to the time that the lease terminates, rather than the time that the tenant vacates the premises."

Marovitz: "This was a Committee Amendment that also was placed on a Bill of Representative Bowman's and I think Representative Bowman, I'll yield to you because you have something that you'd like to interject."



Johnson: "Representative Bowman, as I recall, set the time..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Excuse me, Sir. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bowman."

Bowman: "Yes, thank you. I believe, first of all with respect to the termination issue, that if a person breaks the lease, then they're not entitled to security deposit back and so I think that's kind of a red herring. The 45 day period would give the land lord the same... would give the land lord 30 days to determine whether or not there had been any damage done to the apartment. If there had been no damage done to the apartment, then he would be given 15 days to return the security deposit. Present law requires only... if there's damage done that he's given 30 days to assess the damage, another 30 days to present the tenant with the bill and there's no deadline for a situation where there's no damage done to the apartment."

Johnson: "Well, then speaking to the Bill, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House,..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Please proceed."

Johnson: "All these things that we come up in their put in the guise in being a consumer Bill and a tenant Bill and all that, but look and see what this really does. This really is an extraordinary Bill. There's very very few if any, segments in our statutes in Illinois or anywhere in the Land lord Tenant Code that provides for double damages for a breach of either a statutory or a leasehold obligation. There's no counter part provision with respect to the land lord and a provision allowing for reasonable attorney fees as favorable as that might be to the law practice, is something that's also most extraordinary and something that really is in there and designed to harass people who are trying to provide decent housing for individuals. I would certainly urge you to look at this Bill and



see the imbalance that is continuing to be created between land lords and tenants and urge a 'no' vote on Senate Bill 829."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Marovitz, to close."

Marovitz: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Well I certainly would disagree with the previous Speaker. One of the.. one very specific provision in our land lord tenant law dealing with hold overs allows for twice the payment of rent as a penalty plus attorney's fees and court costs. So there is definitely precedent in the land lord tenant law today for this. It's ludicrous to have a law where there's no penalty or enforcement procedure. That's all we're trying to do, is to put some teeth into this law to return the money to the individual that it's owed to. It's not the land lord's... it's not the land lord's money. It's the tenant's money and this can only be done by order of court. So I think we've taken all legal precautions. There's precedent in the law today. We're not reducing the number of units. I would ask for an affirmative Roll Call on this important Bill to put some teeth in already existing law."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 829 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. Lee. Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Jaffe, to explain his vote. Timer's on."

Jaffe: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I think this is really a very reasonable approach especially with the Amendment that we put on in the House Judiciary I Committee. After we put on that Amendment, I must tell you that the Bill came out of the House 8 to nothing. There were no dissenting votes and we agreed to put it on the Short Debate Calendar. All that it



says is that lessors shall return the security deposit and fall within 45 days of that date that the leasee has vacated the premises. Then and only then after that particular situation, do you have a situation wherein there would be the penalty of twice the amount of the security due to be returned to the leasee. So, I think it's for a very reasonable Bill and I would urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wish? Garmisa 'aye'.

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?

The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 104 'aye', 43 'nay', 5 recorded as 'present'.

This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 871 (sic), Mr. Taylor. Take it out of the record. House Bill 215... Senate Bill 215, Mr. Terzich. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Conti, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Conti: "Mr. Speaker, for the purpose of introduction. We have with us today my good friend, Miss Mary Vose and the Midway and the 23rd senior citizens from the Chicago's 25th District. They're up in the right hand corner here. They're represented by Phil Bianco, Bob Terzich and Ed Kornowicz and Senator Roy Lemeke's in the House chamber too....."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Welcome to Springfield. Senate Bill 215. Read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 215, a Bill for an Act to regulate the practice of dental surgery and dentistry in the State of Illinois. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Terzich."

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. The Bill allows dentists to advertise their specialties, office hours and fees in newspapers and authorizes the Department of Registration and Education through the Dental Examining Committee to adopt rules regulating such advertising within the



framework of the legislation. Presently the Dental Practice Act forbids all advertising. The Bill would prohibit radio and television advertising and misleading and fraudulent advertising. It spells out the certain specific standards. This Bill came out of the Committee 18 to nothing and I would urge the support of House Bill.... or Senate Bill 215."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Anyone in opposition? The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 215 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 151 'aye', no 'nay', none recorded as 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On the Priority of Call, is Senate Bill 696, Mr. VonBoeckman. Clerk will read the Bill. Senate Bill 696, Priority of Call."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 696, a Bill for an Act requiring reimbursement of units of local government and school districts. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Tazwell, Mr. VonBoeckman."

VonBoeckman: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill (sic) 696 is in the same posture as House.. I mean Senate Bill 696 is in the same posture as House Bill 662 which was sponsored by Representative Yourell-Ryan-Lechowicz-Bluthardt and myself and there's no difference. I ask your support on this measure."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there anyone in opposition? The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 696 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 120 'aye', 11 'no', 5 recorded as 'present'. This Bill,



having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 701."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 701, a Bill for an Act in relation to safety of persons required to enter underground sewers in connection with their employment. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady from Sangamon, Mrs. Oblinger."

Oblinger: "Mr. Chairman, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, may I have permission to take this back to Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment by Mr. Getty?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady asks leave to have the Bill brought back from Third to Second for the purpose of Amendment. Any objections?" Hearing none, the Bill is on Second Reading. Read the Amendment."

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #9, Getty, amends Senate Bill 701 on page 2 by deleting lines laid and so forth."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Getty."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, Amendment #9 would... says nine. I wonder if that properly should be one?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "How many Amendments on the Bill, Mr.

Clerk? Put one up on the Board."

Getty: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Please continue."

Getty: "Amendment #1 simply would provide that the offense instead of being a Class C misdemeanor, would be a business offense and the person may be fined in an amount not to exceed \$5,000."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye'. 'Aye'. Opposed? Amendment #1 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading."



Speaker Lechowicz: "Senate Bill 719. Where's the Speaker at?"

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 719. A Bill for an Act authorizing the Board of Regions to acquire and operate through Northern Illinois University and Lewis University College of Law now located in Glen Ellyn. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I think this is Represent...Speaker Redmond's Bill and Representative Ryan's Bill but...the fellow that was going to handle the Bill on the floor of the House, Representative Joe Ebbesen, who has worked hard on this project, had to leave the General Assembly suddenly this morning because his father-in-law died and I'll try to handle it in his absence. This Bill has to do with the Board of regents at DeKalb University acquiring the assets of the Lewis College of Law. I know there are many Members who are familiar with all of the arguments broached by people for and against this issue. We've talked to many people about supporting the acquisition of the Lewis facilities going under. It's not going to entail any...any new buildings at DeKalb except renovation. We under...everyone understands the ...the students at DeKalb are...come from the Western area...the Cook County area, the Northern Illinois area and the heart of Illinois. We all know that there are only a few public schools in Illinois now law schools, one at Champaign and one at Southern Illinois University in Carbondale. Now it's been my privilege to have been in the House and supported Jeannie Chapman and some of us House Members when we first conceived the junior college system in Illinois back in 1965. Jeannie Chapman and a number of us sponsored the Bill to provide the money for the junior colleges because we wanted to make education easily obtainable for all the students of the Illinois...students of Illinois. And today we have



39 junior college districts and 51 learning centers and we were proven profits in those days because our junior college system is flourishing and growing beyond the exceeding expectations. Further along the line we tried to get the medical schools to expand at the University of Illinois and we're..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Excuse me, Mr. Giorgi. Let's give the Gentleman some order please. Can we give the Gentleman some order please? Will all unauthorized personnel remove themselves from the floor? Please continue, Sir. Mr. Giorgi."

Giorgi: "I'd like to remind the Members that I'm handling this for Representative Ebbesen. I think I might be able to answer some questions that might be asked. But I would like to give a little bit of the background as to what's been happening in the General Assembly in the field of education. Now there were many of us that are here today that remember when we conceived Sangamon State and Governor's State and there were a lot of dire predictions about starting those two new colleges and they've...they're working well and they're going to provide educational achievements beyond our expectations. Now we tried to get the medical schools to expand so that there would be more people in medical schools and we finally divide the system of satalite medical colleges all over Illinois and no one can argue with the effectiveness and the ability of those schools that provide medical services. I know we're proud of our educational attitudes in Illinois, our junior college system is probably a peer among the fifty states. And I know that many of us are proud of our attempts to...in our endeavors of special education, gifted education, headstart education, adult education and I'm sure that many of us feel the pride that I do in providing the highest educational attainments for the students of Illinois.



Now if there are any questions I'd gladly answer them, but I wanted to put that in the record what I feel about education. And I'm not...I don't have a law degree."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Griesheimer."

Griesheimer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor of the Bill yield for a question?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "He indicates he will."

Griesheimer: "In deference to the fact that you're filling in for Joe, I will not ask a number of questions that I was going to ask. But there is one question that you might be able to answer before I speak to the Bill. What is this going to cost the State of Illinois for a year to operate this new facility, this new law school, which will be associated with Northern Illinois University?"

Giorgi: "As I..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Giorgi. Maybe Mr. McGrew can help you."

Giorgi: "I can..."

McGrew: "Would you repeat the question please?"

Giorgi: "I can answer the question. I'd like McGrew to back me up."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Alright, fine."

Giorgi: "Representative Griesheimer, as I understand it now, the physical facilities are at Northern Illinois University. It's going to take somewhat of a renovation program to get some of the classrooms in order. But to acquire the assets of Lewis, which I think in the main is the law library and the things you need to conduct law, is...we're going to spend 5 hundred and 54 thousand dollars in state funds to do this, 5 hundred and 54 thousand dollars."

Griesheimer: "Alright. I'd like to speak to the Bill, Mr. Speaker. In all deference to the answer just given by Representative Giorgi, and I know he gave it in good faith, I would suggest to the Body of this House that



the information being fed to him is a gross out and out lie. And I will forecast that if this Bill that has been wired by the Leadership in the Senate makes it's way through and the Governor passes it, that the day will well come that this group comes back to us just as Southern Illinois did asking us for 10 million dollars for another new building. And there's no way that you can maintain a major law school facility for 5 hundred thousand dollars a year. You're going to have all of the professors, you're going to have all of the libraries, you're going to have all of the facilities, the student facilities and all those attendance things that go along with the law school and this will be a multi-million dollar undertaking. Now there's nothing wrong with a multi-million dollar undertaking if it truly benefits the people of the State of Illinois. But I assure you this has got to be the biggest single rip-off we have ever been asked to endorse. Lewis University as a law school was denied status by the American Bar Association as an accredited law school for any number of years in a row because it was a very poor law school. It wasn't until the last two or three years that they received accreditation and then barely squeaked through. Now they find out they don't have enough money to run this rather poor facility and they come to us with their hand out saying please attach us to Northern Illinois University. The question is, do the people of the State of Illinois need this facility? The State of Illinois has more law schools than practically any other state in the Union. The City of Chicago is replete with law schools, DePaul, DePaw, it's got University of Chicago, Northwestern University, John Marshall, Kent College of Law, Loyola College of Law. We've got the University of Illinois taking care of Central Illinois and the great University of Southern Illinois taking care of that and we just



funded that with multi-millions of dollars. Do we still need this facility? The American Bar Association, the Illinois Bar Association, both have editorialized..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Would the Gentleman kindly bring his remarks to a close."

Griesheimer: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. The American Bar Association, the Illinois Bar Association are both editorialized that we're producing two to three times the number of lawyers today that there are available jobs. Now what affect is this having on the economy? Well, we can only use a little conjecture here, but I can tell you that possibly some of the problems of malpractice is the over surplus of attorneys at the present time. We don't know. We have no business producing more and more. If you'll recall not too many years ago, we were giving scholarships to teachers and encouraging them to go to school. And what did we find out? Those teachers couldn't get jobs, the scholarships fell into default and we were in big trouble financially. I suggest to you that if we allow this new law school to be implanted at the cost of the people of the State of Illinois at Northern Illinois University, we will be doing the same thing to many young lawyers, who in full faith went to this substandard law school, and found out that they couldn't get a job anywhere. This is a rip-off, call it what you will. The Leadership of the House and the Senate should be completely ashamed of themselves. We should vote this down unanimously."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Katz."

Katz: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentleman of the House, this is a subject that was studied very objectively by the Board of Higher Education in Illinois. We created the Board. They concluded from their study that we didn't need another law school. Well if we don't need another law school, will someone please tell me, why in the time of



the taxpayer rebellion we are creating a law school? A law school is very much like a wife, it's not simply the initial cost, it's the upkeep that is very expensive. And I want to tell you that once we acquire the law school, the next thing we are going to hear is that in order to keep the accreditation we have to build a new building there, right? And after we acquire that, the next thing we're going to learn is that law schools like medical schools are very, very expensive. The facility ...you have to pay them a large sum of money. And so we will be involved in a multi-million dollar expenditure producing large numbers of lawyers when we already have law schools, as the Gentleman has just indicated, all over Illinois. We have private law schools that are having difficulty making it that soon are going to have trouble acquiring students. Now it is true that we have gone through a period when there was a great interest in being lawyers. But already, the number of applications to law schools has started to decline. Must we do the same thing that we do everywhere else, build schools in order to provide classrooms when we already know that pretty soon the...the pendulum is going to be swinging and we will have buildings that are no longer needed. We've done it everywhere. We create new buildings in the field of education and now we are told that we ought to create a new building for a law school. Already the decline is setting in in terms of applications for the law schools. This is really an unneeded building from the point of view of the State of Illinois. Let us support the Board of Higher Education in its decision that the law school is unneeded. Let us support the taxpayers who don't want to pay for more higher education that they cannot afford. Let us reject this ill-use of taxpayer funds in Illinois."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Henry, Mr. McGrew."



McGrew: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I intended originally to ask the Sponsor some questions, but I think perhaps it would be more important if I just gave you some very enlightening information. The Lewis Law School to which we are attempting to purchase for the State of Illinois has a distinct problem. They have...they do not have the Bar certification anymore. We're going to buy that, we're going to take it over and we're going to run it as a state-supported law school. Let's look at the cost. Initially, we're going to spend 3 hundred thousand dollars to rent the building. Now I find that a rather interesting figure, it's nice and round. I asked several people where the figure came from and my only response has been that that is the figure that we have agreed upon and it's the figure that we will not go over. No one knows what the utilities estimated would be, no one knows what the salaries would be. We are just not going to spend over 3 hundred thousand dollars. The library at law...Lewis Law School is deplorable. It's estimated that in the next two years we would need to spend 5 hundred thousand dollars to bring the law...the library up to a minimum standard from the American Bar Association. Alright, now we're going to transfer the law school to Northern Illinois University, slip in another 9 hundred thousand dollars for remodeling the existing library...incidentally, when they get done, Lewis ...or, I don't know what the...the name would be at that point, but at that particular point in time, we would have the lowest amount of square foot per law student in the State of Illinois, and that includes the private schools. Add that to that the figure that is immediately necessary of 32 thousand dollars to bring the average salary for these university instructors to the average of the statewide. It's a 32 thousand initial cost



projected to be somewhere around 1 hundred thousand total cost. Now when we get all done, what are we going to have? Consider the cost of public verses private law schools. Right now in the State of Illinois the average...I'm sorry, the average private law school is spending approximately 3 thousand, 3 hundred dollars per student. We have two law schools in the State of Illinois. That 3 thousand, 3 hundred translates to the University of Illinois to 4 thousand, 5 hundred per student that translates down to that great trustee of the Illinois dollar, SIU, to 8 thousand, 4 hundred dollars per student. Over two and a-half times the cost of public dollars for educating what we're doing in a private school. The projected analysis says that NIU Law School would eventually end up between 48 hundred and 5 thousand dollars for a law school. Again, nearly double what the privates have. Now, we had five different schools that testified before Committee and each and everyone of them agreed, should this Bill pass, within two to five years, one, if not all, will be down here asking for the State of Illinois to bail them out because enrollments are down, the need is down. Without Lewis, we will be graduating 19 hundred law students per year. If you check with the Bureau of Labor statistics, we need less than 15 hundred. That's a surplus of 4 hundred per year in the State of Illinois. when we are currently third in the total number that we have per capita. What are we going to get? The types of students, the type of law school, the statewide average of passing the American Bar Association test is 90...I'm sorry, 89%. What has been the record of those students that are graduating from Lewis School? Fifty-eight...fifty eight. We have two law schools in Illinois that are graduating 98% of their students that are passing the Bar the first time. Almost half flunk it from Lewis



Law School. I think that we're going to end up paying an extremely high cost for one of the poorest law students... law schools in the State of Illinois and I request a 'no' vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Kane, Mr. Schoeberlein."

Schoeberlein: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', aye, opposed. The previous question has been moved. The Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Giorgi, to close."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, without mentioning any of these previous Speakers by name so they won't be rising on a point of personal privilege, I think there's something that ought to be said. For example, they seem to be hysterical about creating new facilities and I promise you on the floor of this House, all they are going to do is renovate the existing classrooms. They talked about accreditation...Lewis University is now provisionally accredited. The latest facility built in DeKalb was the library and everyone that studies university systems understand that that library...that library will serve very well the law library that's contemplated for Northern Illinois University. And the second Speaker, incidently the first two Speakers were attorneys who I think might have had something to do with the trouble we had years ago when the medical society would descend upon us and ask us please not to build more medical facilities because of the..What's the term, Tom.. What they want a monopoly or..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Over abundance of doctors."

Giorgi: "But...what I wanted to say was that one of the statistics eluded to by the third Speaker who is in here every year trying to get money for Western University, I'd like to refute some of the remarks he made and...by the statistics. Most law colleges in Illinois have five to ten applicants for each available admission position."



Ninety-seven percent of the first graduating class of Lewis are employed in business and industry. They didn't go in to law. Government and public service took twenty-one percent, business and industry took twenty-two percent and the practice of law took forty-one percent. And one of the earlier Speaker says that we've got a lot of lawyers. Well I don't think there's anybody in this House that whenever there's a recession would want us to close down our high schools and close down our junior colleges and Voc-Tech. colleges because there's no jobs for them. I think what we want to provide in Illinois...the most...the most...the most desirable educational facilities and attainments for all of our students. And I think this is an opportunity for us to continue in our field of excellence. And I urge your support."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Question is, shall Senate Bill 719 pass? All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'nay'. The Gentleman from Cook...the Gentleman from Coles, Mr. Stuffle, to explain his vote."

Stuffle: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, to explain my 'yes' vote. I think if you're for competition and you're against greed you'd support this Bill. The Chairman of the Committee it went through didn't point out that none of the public schools had any opposition in the Committee to the Bill. This is a working class law school, forty percent of the students are women, half the students attend at night. It's a school whose opening at NIU should be supported. Both schools are in agreement here. It is a good idea and unless you're for a closed shop, unless you're against...unless you're for a lack of competition, you ought to be voting green up there and putting the necessary votes on this Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Hanahan, to explain his vote."



Hanahan: "I don't think it's necessary but I just encourage an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 108 'ayes', 50 'noes', 6 recorded as 'present'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Conti, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Conti: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we are honored here today to have a former woman Legislator from New Hampshire and I understand that in New Hampshire's General Assembly that more than 25% of the women chair the Committees and the make-up of the General Assembly is about 25%. We have with us sitting next to Susan Catania Representative Elizabeth Hager. Welcome to the Illinois General Assembly."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Welcome Ma'am. Senate Bill 871. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Taylor. The Gentleman.. well. Excuse me. The Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. McGrew, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

McGrew: "I asked for recognition quite some time ago. At that point I think we were talking about a verification, I realize you already used the gavel, but I would like a point of personal privilege then, having Western named in Debate and being the spokeman for Western, I would like the Gentleman to realize I if could get his attention for a moment, that when I try to sponsor programs they are programs that would do someone some good. If you needed a medical school or anything else, I'd be happy to support it, not something that we've got too many of now."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Taylor, here? Taylor? Any announcements? It's 871 on the Calendar Gentlemen. Page 3. The Gentleman is not in the chamber. Any announcements? The Gentleman.. The Gentleman from



.. oh, I know. Mr. Birchler. Mr. Birchler."

Birchler: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to move that the appropriate rule or rules be suspended so that we can hear Senate Joint Resolution 42 in Executive Committee this afternoon. The Minority and Majority Leaders have been contacted and the Chairman and the spokesman for the Committee have both been contacted on that."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman asks leave to suspend the Posting Rule so SJR 42 can be heard in Exec. today. Any objections? Hearing none, the Gentleman has leave. The Speaker asks me to inform the Membership that all of the one o'clock Committees will meet at two and there will be a natural follow through. The later Committees will meet an hour after that. The... Well, let's go through the announcements first. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Marovitz, for what purpose do seek recognition?"

Marovitz: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would ask leave to suspend the Posting Rule for a meeting of the Subcommittee of Human Resources to consider the Amendments to the Abused and the Neglected Child Reporting Act on Friday, June 15th, at 8 a.m. in room G-3 of the state office building. This has been cleared with Leadership on both sides of the aisle, the Chairman and the Minority Spokesman of the Committee and I would ask leave to suspend the Posting Rule, meeting of the Human Resources Subcommittee to consider Amendments to the Abused and Neglected Child Reporting Act, this Friday, 8 a.m., in room G-3."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady from Cook, Mrs. Willer. Mrs. Willer."

Willer: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I would ask leave to suspend the appropriate rule so that House Resolution 265 could be heard today in Executive Committee. I have spoken to the Chairman and the Minority spokesman and I've cleared it with them. It's okay."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady asks leave to hear Senate Joint



Resolution 225?"

Willer: "House Resolution.."

Speaker Lechowicz: "House Resolution 225? Ma'am."

Willer: "265."

Speaker Lechowicz: "265. And it was cleared. We use the attendance Roll Call. The Lady has leave. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mugalian."

Mugalian: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I heard you say that the Speaker announced that all Committees would meet an hour later. I would assume therefore that the Committee on State Government Organization, which is scheduled to meet in the House Chamber at 3:00 would meet at 4:00. Is that correct?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "That is correct, Sir."

Mugalian: "Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Marovitz."

Marovitz: "Did I have leave on that suspension of the Posting Rule, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Does the Gentleman have leave? The Gentleman... "

Marovitz: "It's cleared with..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Ryan... Does the Gentleman have leave? Hearing no objection, we'll use the attendance Roll Call. Any further announcements? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Greiman."

Greiman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I want to announce a Democratic caucus... conference immediately on adjournment and if you'll get there promptly, in 114 we'll begin and you'll have a chance to have some lunch before Committee. Right, immediately following adjournment, a Democratic conference in 114."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Fulton, Mr. Schisler."

Schisler: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the House Agricultural Committee will meet at 3:00 in room C-1. Thank you."



Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Hardin, Mr. Winchester."

Winchester: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I just wanted to take the opportunity to inform the House that the House Republican secretaries and Democratic secretaries and playing their annual softball game tonight at Ilse's Park and after that is the Department of Agriculture's annual picnic. I know we're in Session, so I hope that after we adjourn this evening that everyone could come out and enjoy the fine ballgame. It starts at 6:00."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. First of all I'd like to have Representative Ebbesen excused due to a death in the family."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Will the record indicate that Representative Ebbesen is excused because of death in the family?"

Ryan: "Secondly, could you tell us what the schedule is for the remainder of the day, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Lechowicz: I believe that the announcement was made that the 1:00 meeting.. Committee meetings will be at 2:00 and if there's any other additional Committee meetings they're an hour later and as far as the rest of the announcements we'll get to Mr. Madigan, I'm sure.."

Ryan: "Will there be.. will we be back in Session this evening."

Speaker Lechowicz: "I'll tell you in.. yes, we will."

Ryan: "We are going to be in session this evening?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "That is correct, Sir."

Ryan: "Well, I don't understand why we're doing that. But I did talk to the Speaker this morning about it. We have several Members you know that try to follow the schedule that's put out from the Speaker's Office and then when you make these last minute changes it's rather difficult. I would like to have a Republican conference in room 118 immediately after adjournment. "

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman asks for a Republican conference in room 118 and the Democrats will meet in room 114. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan."



Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, simply to remind all Democrats of the Democratic conference immediately after this motion, providing five minutes perfunctory Session, I move that we recess to 6:00 p.m."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman has moved that the House stand in recess until 6:00 p.m. All in favor signify by saying 'aye'. 'Aye'. The Clerk needs five minutes perfunct. The House stands in recess till 6:00 p.m. tonight giving the Clerk five minutes for perfunctory Session. We stand in recess till 6:00."

Clerk Leone: "Committee Reports; Representative Pierce, Chairman of the Committee on Revenue, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken June 12th, 1979. And report the same back with the following recommendations; 'Do pass' Senate Bills 235, 244, 619, 1037, 1053, 1239, 1337. 'Do pass as amended' Senate Bill 752. 'Do pass Consent Calendar' Senate Bill 1287. 'Do pass Short Debate Calendar' Senate Bills 140, 617, 1038, 1061, 1338. 'Do pass amended Short Debate Calendar' Senate Bill 967. Interim Study, Senate Bills 860, 1389.... Representative Laurino, Chairman of the Committee on Elections, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken June 12th, 1979. Report the same back with the following recommendations; 'Do pass' Senate Bill 560. 'Do pass as amended' Senate Bill 765, 1217, and 80. 'Do pass as amended Consent Calendar' Senate Bill 1395. 'Do pass Short Debate Calendar' Senate Bills 1146, 1347. 'Do pass as amended Short Debate Calendar' Senate Bill 1247. Interim Study, Senate Bills 99 and 104... Having no further business, the House stands in recess till 6:00."

Speaker Redmond: "The House will come to order. "

DoorKeeper: "All Members not entitled to the House floor, please retire to the gallery."

Speaker Redmond: "Committee Reports."

Clerk O'Brien: "Committee Report; Representative McGrew, Chair-



man of the Committee on Higher Education, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken June 13, 1979. Report the same back with following recommendations; 'Do pass as amended' Senate Bills 228 and 384. 'Do pass Short Debate Calendar' Senate Bill 715. Representative Schisler, Chairman of the Committee on Agriculture, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken June 13, 1979. Report the same back with following recommendations; 'Do pass as amended' Senate Bill 939... Representative E.M. Barnes, Chairman of the Committee on Appropriations II, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken June 13, 1979. Report the same back with the following recommendations: 'Do pass' Senate Bills 318, 379, 380, 381, 382, and 384. 'Do pass as amended' Senate Bills 383 and 542. Representative Emil Jones, Chairman of the Committee on Insurance, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken June 11, 1979. Report the same back with following recommendations: 'Be adopted House Joint Resolution 24.' Representative Farley, Chairman of the Committee on Labor and Commerce, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken June 13, 1979. Report the same back with the following recommendations; 'Do pass' Senate Bill 287. 'Do pass as amended' Senate Bills 123, 1150 and 1207. 'Do pass Consent Calendar' Senate Bill 219... Representative DiPrima, Chairman of the Committee on Veteran's Affairs, Registration and Regulation to which the following Bills were referred, action taken June 13, 1979. Report the same back with the following recommendations; 'Do pass as amended' Senate Bills 495 and 674. 'Do pass Short Debate Calendar' Senate Bill 1201... Representative Kane and Mugalian, Co-Chairman of the Committee on State Government and Organizations to which the following Bills were referred, action taken June 13, 1979. Report the same back with following recommendations; 'Do pass as amended' Senate



Bill 1377. and Senate Bill 533."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Walsh is in the chamber. Reading of the Journal."

Clerk O'Brien: "Journal for the Sixty-first Legislative Day: The House met pursuant to adjournment. The Speaker in the Chair, prayer by Father William Krueger, Chaplain. Representative Walsh led the House in the Pledge of Allegiance. By direction of the Speaker a Roll Call was taken to ascertain the attendance of Members as follows;..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I move that we dispense with the reading of the Journal, Journal #61 of June 7, 1979, be approved as read."

Speaker Redmond: "You've heard the Gentleman's motion. Any discussion? Those in favor of the motion indicate by saying 'aye'. 'Aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carried. The Journal is approved. What else do you have, Mr. Clerk? Agreed Resolution."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 358, Brummer, 359, Williams, 360, Sharp, 361 Slape."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, House Resolution 358 by Brummer honors the institution of marriage. 359 by Williams talks about an Eagle Scout. 360 by Sharp recognizes 100th Anniversary. And 361 by Slape recognizes a birthday. I move for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions."

Speaker Redmond: "The question's on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. Those in favor say 'aye'. 'Aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carried. Agreed Resolutions are adopted. Any further Resolution? Representative Ryan, will you answer your phone? Representative Daniels, for what purpose do you arise?"

Daniels: "Mr. Speaker, I have a set of keys that were left on my desk. They have Illini Motor Company, Springfield, Illinois on them. So anyone whose keys these are should come over



and get them."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Griesheimer."

Griesheimer: "Mr. Speaker, for Representative Daniels' advice, I saw the young Lady, the blonde, that dropped those off. That's her apartment key. I'll explain to him later what it's all about."

Speaker Redmond: "He couldn't have a better teacher. Page 19. On the Order of Motions. It's the intention to take those that die today. Starting with Senate Bill 73. Representative Kosinski."

Kosinski: "Mr. Speaker, Mr. Ryan, Mr. Ryan, Mr. Madigan, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, my motion is to take from Judiciary II Committee the Habitual Criminal Act, the 3 time loser Bill. This is a Bill that was originally sponsored by 115 Members of this House and it passed this House with 123 votes. The last action was less... lost on that last day of turmoil in the House and through some misunderstanding. I ask you for the necessary number of votes to bring it to the floor. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Katz."

Katz: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill had a fair hearing in Committee. I believe we.. not only that, but there was a House Bill that was considered here and it did not pass out of the House, a similar Bill and I would oppose the motion's Gentleman.. the Gentleman's motion to discharge the Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stearney."

Stearney: "Now, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I, too, concur with Representative Katz in opposing this motion. This matter was given a very fair hearing in the Judiciary II Committee. It failed to get sufficient enough votes and the reason being it had a great number of serious defects and there's no reason to bring this matter to the House's attention at this time. It's in Interim Study and at that time it can be decided... worked over



and put into proper fashion. I would oppose a 'yes' vote on this matter."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I would urge a 'yes' vote on this matter. We're dealing here with a Committee which has a philosophy that is strongly opposed to law and order. We have a Bill here that will substantially increase and enhance law and order and I would urge a 'yes' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stearney, for what purpose do you arise?"

Stearney: "The Gentleman is not addressing himself to the motion, but he's going into other matters, collateral matters. Now he sits there and smirks after having gotten the item in before the jury's attention."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Vinson, don't do that again. Representative Vinson, having been duly chastened,... The question's on the Gentleman's motion to discharge the Committee on Judiciary II with respect to Senate Bill 73 and advance it to the Order of Second Reading, Second Legislative Day. Those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. It takes 89 votes. Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 97 'aye' and 48 'no'. Representative Stearney."

Stearney: "If there are 97 'yes' votes on this matter, I would ask for a verification, which I don't believe there are truly 97 votes."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has requested a verification of the Affirmative Roll Call."

Stearney: "Unless, we would dump this Roll Call, Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay..."

Stearney: "Have everybody vote their own switch."

Speaker Redmond: "I think it's a good idea. Dump this Roll Call. Those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Vote ... only vote your own switch. You're just delaying the



proceedings if you vote for someone else. Representative Kosinski."

Kosinski: "Mr. Speaker, in explanation of my vote, a statement was made here on the House floor that this Bill was lost in this House. This Bill was grouped with a number of other motions at the end of the last period when there was a cut off date. It wasn't lost by a vote on the House floor. This Bill passed out of the Senate overwhelmingly, out of the Senate Committee overwhelmingly, out of the Senate overwhelmingly. When it was heard in the Judiciary Committee, I have not indicated it did not have a hearing. I indicated that any Bill which had 115 Sponsors originally and passed out of this House with 123 votes and passed out of the Senate overwhelmingly, must be something the Legislators of this group want. It was ... evidently the vote was not reflected in the Senate... in the House Committee. Very often we have a situation in House Committee where the Members are in and out of Judiciary II, where they're not constantly present and depending on who was present at that particular moment, a Bill falls or passes. All I ask is that this be brought to the House floor, that everyone can consider this Bill, which indicates we do not want habitual criminals Act... criminals thrown back in our communities. The people of my district don't want habitual criminals in their communities. I'm sure the people of your district do not want habitual criminals thrown back. I ask for the necessary number of votes to move this motion."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 85 'aye' and 39 'no' The motion... Representative Kosinski moves to poll the absentees. Representative Barnes 'aye'. Representative Slape 'aye'. Got 86. Representative J.J. Wolf 'aye'. Poll the absentees."

clerk O'Brien: "Abramson. Balanoff. Beatty. Bianco. Borchers.



Bradley. Brummer. Capparelli. Capuzi.."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Braun. Representative Braun 'aye'.

Representative Laurino 'aye'. Representative Brummer 'aye'. Representative Ryan. Representative Schlickman, please sit down. Former Representative Edgar, sit down."

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker, will you change my vote from 'yes' to 'no' please? "

Speaker Redmond: "Change the Gentleman from 'yes' to 'no'.

Representative McBroom desires to be recorded as 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Further absentees are; Cullerton. Darrow.

Dawson. DiPrima. Domico. Doyle. John Dunn. Ebbesen. Ewell. Farley.."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hoxsey, for what purpose do you arise? Change the Lady from 'aye' to 'no', Representative Hoxsey. Proceed."

Clerk O'Brien: "Gaines. Garmisa. Hanahan. Harris. Hudson.

Keane. Kozubowski. Kucharski. Lechowicz. Leverenz. Mautino. McAuliffe. McClain. Meyer. O'Brien. Pierce. Preston. Rea. Rigney. Satterthwaite. Schisler. Sharp. Stanley. Steczo. Stuffle. Terzich. Vitek. Williams. and Yourell."

Speaker Redmond: " 87 'aye' and 41 'no', the motion fails. Just as a... Senate Bill 101. Just as a word of caution, there were 3 or 4 votes green on that last Roll Call and the Members were not here in the chamber. And I had requested that you only vote your own switch when these contested matters come up. If we persist in doing that, we'll be here until next Christmas. There were at least 3 or 4 that I saw that the Members were not in the chamber. Senate Bill 101. Representative Deuster. Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 101 was Sponsored by Jack Schaffer and I would like to say a word about, first, the substance of the Bill, and secondly, the procedure, why it's necessary to discharge Committee. As to the procedure, there never was a hearing



in the Committee and this was due to my fault. I thought the Senate Sponsor was going to be there and the Committee finally adjourned and the Bill was not presented. I have discussed this with the Chairman and the ranking Republican Spokesman. I believe that they both support this motion to bring Senate Bill 101 to the floor. As to the substance of the Bill, what it does is it amends the school code in the transportation Section to say that when you calculate the distance, the mile and a half from where a pupil resides to the school, if the pupil is picked up at say Grandmother's house or somewhere else for child care purposes, that's where you would start counting the mile and a half..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Polk, for what purpose do you arise?"

Polk: "Well, I'm not in opposition to the Bill, but again, we're going to be here all night long. It's my understanding he's to speak to the issue of discharging the Committee, not to the issue in the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "That is correct. Representative Pullen."

Pullen: "Mr. Speaker, I believe we amended the rules this year to permit the Sponsor to go into the merits of the Bill to the extent necessary so that the House can understand what Bill it is that he's talking about."

Speaker Redmond: "I think Representative Polk thought that Representative Deuster was exceeding that limit. That's the way I interpreted his comment. Now is there any.. any Committee on Elementary... Representative Schneider."

Schneider: "Thank you, Mr. Chairman, Members. This Bill is in good form and Representative Deuster is right. The Elementary and Secondary Committee did move rather quickly and I think he may have got caught in the switches. It seems to me that given the form that it's in and the notion it deserves to be heard in full, I would support a discharge motion from Committee of Elementary and



Secondary and I speak, of course, as the Chairman of that Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further discussion? The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the Committee on Elementary and Secondary Education be discharged with respect to Senate Bill 101 and advance to the order of Second Reading, First Legislative Day. Those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 99 'aye' and 1 'no'. The motion carries. Senate Bill 101, the Committee on Elementary and Secondary Education is discharged with respect to Senate Bill 101 and it's advanced to the Order of Second Reading, First Legislative Day. Senate Bill 148, Representative Ewing."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this motion is to discharge Senate Bill 148 which provides for lethal injection as a way of executing people sentenced to death for crimes in this state. I think it has two very important public policy considerations. First, it makes the infliction of death penalty more palatable and humane. And it puts execution on virtually the same clinical and emotional level as euthanasia. Second, it takes the glamour and the 'Gary Gilmore' type of image out of the circus atmosphere that has surrounded executions in this state. Unfortunately, the Committee did not continue the Bill on its merits, but got tied up in the debate over whether this state should have a death penalty or not, not whether we should have death by injection. And I would ask for the favorable approval of this House."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Katz."

Katz: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in opposition to the motion to discharge with regard to Senate Bill 148. The Bill had a fair and full hearing in Committee. It deserves no further hearing and no better treatment than the House gave to the distinguished Gentle-



man, Representative Kosinski's motion, a few minutes ago. This motion should be rejected because it did have a fair and full hearing in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further discussion? Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, as a Member of Judiciary II, I arise to oppose this motion also. And I join with the Chairman of that Committee in stating that this Bill did get a thorough hearing. There was deliberative consideration by the Committee and I would add that the Sponsor of this Bill provided no witnesses in support of the Bill, to the contrary, there were witnesses in support of the Bill. (sic). I think the Bill had a fair hearing. It failed to pass on a 'Do pass' motion and I think that this motion ought to be defeated and that we ought to go onto other matters of greater importance."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ewing, to close."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, I think I've stated the necessary reasons for having this Bill out. It is important in the state that we make the death penalty a real deterrent. I think this Bill has potential and should be debated in the House. And as far as Representative Shlickman's referral to no witnesses, well, it's pretty hard to get them there after they've been executed."

Speaker Redmond: "The question's on the Gentleman's motion that the Committee on Judiciary II be discharged with respect to Senate Bill 148 and advance to the Order of Second Reading, First Legislative Day. Those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 55 'aye' and 54 'no'. And the motion fails. Senate Bill 172, Representative Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I filed a motion on Senate Bill 172 which is a proposal to fund the road program without a tax increase."



Senate Bill 172 passed the Senate with partisan support.. What the Bill does is it takes the generated.. the monies generated by the sales tax on gasoline and puts them back into the road fund where they rightfully should be rather than the General Revenue Fund. The Bill will provide that the road fund will receive in the first year assuming a 10% increase in the pump price of gasoline about 46 million dollars. The 10% increase could provide 251 million dollars by the fourth year. The Bill specifically phases in the sales tax so that $\frac{1}{4}$... $\frac{1}{4}$ of it goes in the first year. $\frac{1}{2}$ the second year, $\frac{3}{4}$'s the third year and the full sales tax on gasoline that's generated goes in the fourth year. It would provide 251 million dollars. I address specifically to the downstate Members of this General Assembly that this would solve the road problem of the entire state coupled with a diversion program and do it without an increase in the tax on gasoline and that this proposal deserves your support and I ask for it to discharge the Committee on Transportation and move this Bill out to Second Reading."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in opposition to the Gentleman's motion. This Bill was heard not more than one hour ago in the Transportation Committee where it received a fair hearing. The hearing lasted for quite a while. There was a good full discussion of all aspects of the Bill and the Committee failed to report favorably on the Bill. I see no reason to report this Bill favorably to the floor. Bills of this nature have already been passed over to the Senate and are under consideration in the Senate at this time. I'd recommend a 'no' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Neff."

Neff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I stand up to support this motion 172 on House Bill (sic) 172. I think it's one of the Bills



that we all should be taking a look at, regardless of whether we're upstate or downstate. This will take care of one of our big parts of our road problem. We all know that we have a financial problem with roads. This money that would be diverted out over a four year period. We're not taking it all out of one. The Sponsor hasn't asked that. He's trying to get this out over a four year period and it will give us more money than any other Bill that we've had in here as far as road tax is concerned. I.. this money actually belongs in the road fund. I think most of us at the time for the last several years have felt that this was a mistake ever letting it go into the General Revenue and I would hope that we would give full support on bringing this vote out so that we can.. so that the House can have a vote on it."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further discussion? Representative Huskey."

Huskey: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I, certainly have never seen a Democrat or a Republican pot hole. So if you do not... so if you want to keep pot holes in the road then don't vote for this Bill. If you want to keep continually driving on bad torn-up roads, don't vote for this Bill. But if you like to drive on nice smooth super highways, all the roads fixed, the pot holes eliminated, then this Bill is the one that's going to do the trick."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative J.J. Wolf."

Wolf: "Would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Wolf: "Mr. Totten, do I understand that the sales tax currently collected at the pump does not go into the road fund? It goes into General Revenue?"

Totten: "That is correct. The sales tax which is road related on gasoline goes into the General Revenue Fund, not the Road Fund. This would change that procedure."

Wolf: "And how much money would we take from the General Revenue Fund?"



Totten: "First year would be 46 million dollars."

Wolf: "And do you know how much of a surplus the General Revenue Fund is going to have this year?"

Totten: "Well over 100 million dollars."

Wolf: "More like 300 million. Thank you. I would stand in support of the motion, Mr. Chairman, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Collins."

Collins: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I would rise in support of this motion also. The Majority Leader very eloquently spoke in opposition to the Bill.. to the motion when he left out is that while the Bill did receive a fair hearing in the Transportation Committee, it got ten 'yes' votes, only one short of the required number for passage. There were no contrary votes. I repeat that. The vote was 10 to 'no' with all of the other Members who were in attendance voting 'present'. I think it would indicate that there was a great deal of sympathy for the Bill in Committee and this excellent Bill should be voted out and I would urge every body to join in support of this motion."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Totten, to close."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Let me repeat that what the Bill does is take the road generated sales tax on gasoline and puts it into the General Revenue Funds. It would allow us to fund a road fund program this year without a tax increase of any kind. The Bill received partisan support in the Senate and has the support of most of the downstate Members of the General Assembly. And I would appreciate your support on this motion so that we can address this problem in this fashion on... well... the problems in the road fund."

Speaker Redmond: "The question's on the Gentleman's motion that the Transportation Committee be discharged with respect to Senate Bill 172 and advanced to the Order of Second Reading, First Legislative Day. Those in favor vote 'aye'."



opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Representative John Dunn: "Representative Emil Jones is not in the chamber. Somebody is voting his switch all the time."

Dunn: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.."

Speaker Redmond: "Please record him to 'present'. Representative John Dunn."

Dunn: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in explaining my vote I'd just like to point out that this motion was filed well ahead of the Committee hearing. The House Transportation Committee just considered this Bill this afternoon. The filing of the motion itself is an insult to the House Transportation Committee and the Chairman of that Committee, Representative Garnisa. And out of respect for him and to that Committee I would urge red lights or at least to hold off on this motion. This Bill did receive a full hearing in Committee this afternoon and was recommended.. and failed to receive the votes to be reported out. It had a good hearing, a solid hearing and that should be the end of this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, I believe it's impossible to insult the House Transportation Committee. Some Bills are absolutely.."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner, we do have rules of decorum and proper conduct and I would hope that you would confine your actions to that way. You have no..."

Skinner: "Did I mention someone's name in debate, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "You were absolutely insulting to a Committee of this House."

Skinner: "No, I wasn't. I said they couldn't be insulted."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay."

Skinner: "Well, I thought I said that. Everyone knows that the Transportation Committee at least for the Democrats on the Committee, they have a quaint little arrow system. If the arrow is pointing up the Bill goes out of the Committee. If the arrow is pointing down, the Bill doesn't



go out of the Committee. It so happens the Democrats have a Majority on that Committee and I think that one ought to consider whether one wants to vote for a gas tax increase or not. If this Bill gets out we may be able to avoid a gas tax increase this year and still have a credible road program. Well, that's your choice. Please don't let my Membership on the House Transportation Committee and my having considered this Bill in Committee be a deterrent to your voting in favor of discharging it."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Winchester."

Winchester: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I thought it was the policy of the Speaker to recognize the Minority Spokesman and the Chairman of these Committees if they so wanted to speak."

Speaker Redmond: "That was my intention, but I didn't know who the Minority Spokesman was."

Winchester: "Okay. I am."

Speaker Redmond: "I thought you were a ball player."

Winchester: "Well, I am and I wish I were out there, but I understand the Republicans are winning without me so I taught them very well."

Speaker Redmond: "I understand that there's a causal connection."

Winchester: "I stand in support of Representative Totten's discharge, Mr. Speaker, because we have passed out Bills that Democrats have sponsored that do similar to what Representative Totten's Bill does. It could be the answer to this House not having to vote on a gasoline tax increase. There are some possibilities of some Amendments on Second Reading. So I think it would be fair to Representative Totten if every Member in this House gave him the additional votes to at least discharge his motion and to bring it to the floor for a vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Oblinger."

Oblinger: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, when the gas tax was first proposed we were paying about 50 to 65¢ a



gallon which meant about 3¢ in sales tax. We're now paying \$1.00 a gallon which means 5¢ in sales tax. I think we should be willing to put that additional money into our road fund. The only letters I've gotten on this kind of a road tax have suggested that this be the solution to the problem. I would appreciate that."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, we really have very few pressing problems in this session, but one of the problems is the building and maintaining of our highways. Any failure to do that will result in unusual expenses later on. Now, I think you're all aware of the fact that we're not going to get 1½¢ gas tax and I'm not going to vote for it anyway. But here's a way to solve the problem without any additional tax. How sweet it is. And there isn't a Member on this floor that doesn't have a road project. Now here's a way to get it. You've seen the road program. If they get the money, we'll get the road program. If they don't, we won't. Now when you go out to the Highway Department you remember how you voted on this. If you really want roads, vote 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. To explain my vote; to answer the remarks of the Majority Leader, let me point out that any Member of this General Assembly who had a Bill in Committee today and who did not get it out, could not have filed a discharge motion under our rules. I anticipated what might happen with my Bill so I filed the discharge motion yesterday and I think it's important that every Member of this House understands that what happened today in these Committees was done so that we wouldn't have a chance to file discharge motion on them tonight. I filed that motion in good faith. Of course, if the Bill had got out, I wouldn't have filed it. But I think that this Bill deserves the support of all those



Members who are really sincerely interested in getting a road program going in this state and it provides a manner to do it without a tax increase."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. Representative Totten."

Totten: "Mr. Speaker, would you poll the absentees, please?"

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman requests a poll of the absentees. Representative Skinner, what are you doing? You're stealing chocolate covered peanuts."

Clerk Leone: "Poll of the absentees. Alexander. Borchers. Bullock. Capparelli. Capuzi. Christensen. Darrow. Dawson. DiPrima. Domico. Donovan. Ebbesen. Garmisa. Greiman. Harris. Henry. Huff. Kane. Kozubowski. Mautino. Mulcahey. O'Brien. Patrick. Pechous. Pouncey. Stuffle. Taylor. Terzich. Van Duyne. Vitek. Von-Boeckman. Williams. And Younge."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Catania."

Catania: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Speaker Redmond: "How is the Lady recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Lady is recorded as voting 'no'."

Catania: "Please change that to 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Change the Lady to 'aye'. Have all voted who wish? Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "If this should receive 89, I request a verification."

Speaker Redmond: "What's the count, Mr. Clerk? 89 'aye' and 47 'no'. Representative Madigan requests a verification of the Affirmative Roll Call."

Clerk Leone: "Ackerman. Alexander. Anderson. Jane Barnes. Bell. Bianco. Birkinbine. Bluthardt. Boucek. Bower. Campbell. Catania. Collins. Conti. Daniels. Davis. Deuster. Ralph Dunn. Dyer. Epton. Ewing. Virginia Frederick. Friedland. Dwight Friedrich. Gaines. Griesheimer. Grossi. Hallock. Hallstrom. Hoffman. Hoxsey. Hudson. Huskey. Johnson. Dave Jones. Kempiners.



Kent. Klosak. Kucharski. Leinenweber. Leverenz.
 Macdonald. Mahar. Margulas. Matula. McAuliffe. McBroom.
 McCourt. McGrew. McMaster. Meyer. Molloy. Neff.
 Oblinger. Peters. Piel. Polk. Pullen. Reed. Reilly.
 Rigney. Robbins. Ropp. Ryan. Sandquist. Schisler.
 Schoeberlein. Schraeder. Schuneman. Simms. Skinner.
 Slape. Stanley. Stearney. E.G. Steele. C.M. Stiehl.
 Sumner. Swanstrom. Telcser. Totten. Tuerk. Vinson.
 Waddell. Walsh. Watson. Wikoff. Winchester. J.J. Wolf.
 and Woodyard."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Bianco."

Speaker Redmond: "Bianco, is he in the chamber? How is he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Madigan: "Mr. Davis?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Davis? He's in the center aisle."

Madigan: "We have no further questions."

Speaker Redmond: "What is the count, Mr. Clerk? 88 'aye', 47 'no'. The motion fails. 180. Representative Deuster on 180."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is an RTA Bill. Senate Bill 180 is sponsored by Senator Schaffer and I'll first say a word about the procedure. I didn't go anywhere near the House Transportation Committee, not with a ten foot pole, because everybody who advised me said, 'All RTA Bills are killed in that Committee.' We know that. It's a graveyard for RTA Bills. It's the guillotine. It's the death penalty. It's the electric chair. So I didn't go. There was no hearing so we can't say whether the hearing was fair or not, cause there wasn't any. Now, as to the substance of the Bill, all that Senate Bill 180 does is to provide permissively



that the RTA Board may in their discretion if they want to, adopt a deferential gas tax throughout the six county RTA region. As most of you know who have been up to the Wisconsin border we're losing all kinds of business to Wisconsin because the gas stations right along the Wisconsin line are advertising, 'Buy your gas here. Avoid the RTA tax!' This Bill, if we take it to the floor, consider it and adopt it and put it into law, will help the Illinois economy by stopping our motorists from going up to Wisconsin to buy their gas. Besides that, it's my feeling, as one who supports the concept of a regional mass transit system for our area, that this, if RTA does use this authority and does adopt a deferential gas tax, that the public support for RTA will increase. ~~Right now it's hostile. Now they~~ would like to destroy RTA because the motorists in this sparsely populated suburban Counties, like McHenry and northern Lake, just think that it's terrible for them to have... have to be paying this high gas tax and also for them to be forced to go to Wisconsin to buy their gas. I think this would put some equity in RTA. It'll put some fairness into it and I must point out and emphasize that the Bill is permissive. They don't have to do and those of you who know the politics of RTA know that the RTA's Board is essentially controlled by Chicago interests. They might not even use this authority. But if they do use authority, I think it will be the support of those who think that it's for the best interests of Chicago as well as for the region. I would be happy to answer any questions and I would urge the support of all Members. I might say that Representative Van Duyn on the other side of the aisle is a hyphenated Co-Sponsor with me of this motion. It's bi-partisan. It's not partisan at all and from my own point of view, I can tell you, it is designed to help the RTA, not hurt the RTA and I urge your support."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kelly."

Kelly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Representative Deuster, does this deferential work, not only outside of Cook County, but let's say in suburban Cook County. Would it be applicable to the suburban areas outside of Chicago?"

Deuster: "What the Bill says, Representative Kelly, is that the RTA Board may differentiate between areas in the metropolitan region. It does not define areas, so it is broad and they could distinguish between any logical or legitimate area... lesser area than a county. For example, it would be possible for there to be a lower gas tax in suburban Cook County."

Kelly: "So it could potentially apply to suburban Cook County."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Van Dwyne. Wait a minute.

Garmisa first."

Garmisa: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill was posted for hearing on June 5th and the Sponsor did not even show up in Committee to have this Bill heard. It was posted again today. He was nowhere in sight. Now, if this is a device he's using to by-pass Committee, I would say that this.. he is going the wrong route. If this is a way to have a Bill heard and bring it to the floor of the House, it's the wrong route to go. We may just as well abolish the Committee system. He had his chance to have the Bill heard. He did not show up to have it and I suggest that a red light should go up on Senate Bill 180.. on this motion."

Speaker Redmond: "Who is the Republican Minority Spokesman on Transportation? "

Van Dwyne: "Representative Winchester, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Winchester."

Winchester: "Well, I have an announcement, Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed with the announcements. It's a very, bad announcement, but proceed."

Winchester: "Well, I would like to inform the House that the



Republicans are winning 8 to 4 and Linda Wolf just hit a grand slam home run, with bases loaded."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "If it would be in order, I move to abolish the House Transportation Committee, but assuming that it isn't, I would point out that this is the only way there is any opportunity, any chance whatsoever, to get a Bill amending the RTA Act on Committee... on the floor unless Representative Barnes makes another mistake and puts me on as a joint Co-Sponsor without asking me. Since he hasn't done that, I would point out that the contents of this Bill are no longer revolutionary. The House Democratic Transportation, whatever you call your group, task force has reportedly suggested that there be a differential sales tax in the six county area. That means that this is not a... an unacceptable idea to the Majority part. Now I believe Representative Deuster is correct in that he wants to help the Regional Transportation Authority and that he wants to make it... he wants to engender a less hostile reaction from the suburban counties. Now I disagree with him with regard to the concept of a Regional Transportation Authority. I don't think that Lake County officials or McHenry county officials are any less competent to make decisions with regard to public transportation than anyone else. But nevertheless, this Bill... the only way to get this Bill on the House floor is to vote for it right now. And if you're living in suburban Cook County and you haven't put a quarter underneath your pillow for the tooth fairy to come and take away, and you're wishing for some change in the RTA gas tax, I would suggest that this has a better opportunity of becoming the right route to achieve that goal, than by continually putting quarters under your pillow and hoping that someone will pick it up and abolish it in some one transportation Bill."



Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Van Duyne."

Van Duyne: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. As the hyphenated Co-Sponsor of this Bill I would also encourage everybody to vote for this motion to discharge from Committee. And I just echo the words of Representative Skinner that, you know, it is not unforeseen that this should be the way to give the five collar counties some relief because we do have this proposed in our package. Just.. just imagine what would happen to us if our package goes down the tubes or if something happens that we don't get to pass it, where we do get the differential in the sales tax. I think this would be an ideal way to give the collar counties some... some relief and I would hope that they would support the motion. I urge the passage of it.. the motion."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? The question's on the Gentleman's motion that the Committee on Transportation be discharged with respect to Senate Bill 180 and that it be advanced to the Order of Second Reading, First Legislative Day. Those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 68 'aye' and 39 'no'. The motion fails. 181. Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House... Senate Bill 181 is another RTA Bill and I hope that we don't have any paranoid reaction to it. All it will do is require that the Illinois Department of Revenue keep some records concerning the fuel tax collections that are made and give an annual report to the Governor, to the General Assembly and to the RTA. Right now, the Department of Revenue has no way to find out what the gallonage is of exempted tax fuel and this Bill was ... sort of came along as a companion to 180 and it would require that the Department maintain records of the monthly purchases of motor fuel gallonage by the type for public transporta-



tion areas who are receiving financial assistance from the RTA. This is just a simple Bill. It would allow all of us to have some information in the General Assembly, as well as the RTA. Now I.. I think it's a good Bill. It can't do any harm... It's going to do a lot of help cause we'll know more about the RTA operation. I urge your support for the motion to put Senate Bill 181 on the Order of Second Reading where if anyone wants to improve it, they can."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Garmisa."

Garmisa: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, if it's paranoia for the Chairman of the Committee to ask that the least that a Sponsor of a Bill can do is to show up in Committee and present that Bill in front of that Committee, well, then I'm going to be paranoid about this Bill. The.. this House.. or Senate Bill 181 was posted for hearing on June 5th. The Sponsor did not show. It was posted again today for hearing. The Sponsor did not show. I do not believe that this Bill should come out on the floor of the House under those circumstances. I would ask for a red light."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Winchester, the Minority Spokeman. The question's on the Gentleman's motion to discharge the Committee on Transportation with respect to Senate Bill 181 and advance it to the Order of Second Reading, First Legislative Day. Those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "I think the Sponsor of the Bill should be praised for not coming to the House Transportation Committee. That way, he wasted neither his time, nor the Committee's time. And I think we should reward him by voting this nothing Bill out onto the House floor."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "Well that Bill isn't exactly a nothing Bill, but it's



not going to hurt RTA and I would ask respectfully for a poll of the absentees..."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has requested a poll of the absentees. It is the intention of the Chair to go through Senate Bill 1310 on page 21 and to take the motions on the Supplemental Calendar. It looks like about 10:00 o'clock tonight. Representative Deuster has requested a poll of the absentees. Representative Reilly? Representative Reilly." Reilly: "Record me as 'aye', Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Record Representative Reilly as 'aye'. Poll the absentees."

Clerk Leone: "Abramson. Alexander. Anderson. Balanoff. E.M. Barnes. Beatty. Birchler. Borchers. Bradley. Breslin. Brummer. Bullock. Campbell. Capuzi. Christensen. Cullerton. Currie. Darrow. Dawson. DiPrima. Domico. Donovan. Doyle. John Dunn. Ebbesen. Flinn. Gaines. Giorgi. Greiman. Hannig. Henry. Hoffman. Hudson.."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hoffman is 'aye'."

Clerk Leone: "Hudson. Huff. Jaffe. Johnson. Emil Jones. Kane. Keane. Kozubowski. Laurino. Leverenz. Matijevich. Mautino. McClain. McGrew. McPike. Mugalian. Mulcahey.."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Johnson, for what purpose do you arise?"

Johnson: "Aw, just.. can you vote me 'aye' on this? Vote me 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Record the Gentleman as 'aye'. Anderson 'aye'. Schuneman 'aye'. Schoeberlein 'aye'. Hudson 'aye'. Barnes 'no'. Vinson 'aye'. Proceed. Representative Campbell 'aye'. Gaines 'aye'. Representative Leverenz 'no'. What's the count? Representative Currie 'no'. What's the count? 84 'aye' and 25 'no'. The motion fails. 198. Representative Giorgi, for what purpose do you arise?"

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to rise to make a motion to reconsider an action we took a little earlier. Having voted on the prevailing side, I move to reconsider the vote



by which the motion to discharge Committee on SB 73 failed. This is a three-time loser Bill and I think there's been some changes in the feeling of some of the Members of the General Assembly and Mr. Kosinski would like to address the motion again."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stearney."

Stearney: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I suggest that motion is totally out of order. Rather than going to motions that we've considered, we have a full Calendar here of motions to take from the table and motions to discharge Committee which will occupy the time of the House until approximately 10:00 o'clock this evening. And if we allow people to go back and make motions that reconsider on Bills that have just failed to receive sufficient number of votes just a half hour ago, we will never get to hear the motions of other people that are pending. And I suggest to all of you who have motions on this Calendar that are pending that we oppose any motion to reconsider at this time because we will never finish with anything. And Mr. Speaker, I say to you, the motion of Representative Giorgi's is totally out of order. It should not be considered at this time."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kosinski."

Kosinski: "Mr. Speaker, I'm sure it's apparent to this House that that was the first Bill called before we had the General Body in. It's on that basis Mr. Giorgi's making the motion."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, let.. I rise to support Representative Stearney in his remarks. We're in a night Session tonight with a Calendar filled with motions of various kinds. And, Mr. Speaker, I submit to you, that by taking motions to reconsider you'd be leaving yourself open to that same motion for every single Bill that has failed to be discharged or acted upon in the



fashion in which the Sponsor of the motion wished. And, Mr. Speaker, I know that if you take Representative Giorgi's motion, you'd be obligated to act in the same manner with any other motion to reconsider which would come before you. I know, Mr. Speaker, that many Members have accused you of being partisan and unfair at various times. All of us are inclined and tempted to do so. But I'm convinced, Mr. Speaker, that is your intent to be fair tonight. I'm convinced that you called us into session tonight simply to hear the motions which are on the Calendar. If a motion prevails, fine. If a motion doesn't make it, that should also be the case. But to reconsider motions whether they fail or they succeed is ludicrous. We'd be here till 12:00 or 1:00 in the morning in order to take every single motion of that nature. So, Mr. Speaker, I'm depending on your fair play to tell Representative Giorgi that his motion is not in order and that you wish to proceed down the Calendar as it's printed."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Telcser. Representative Giorgi was recognized. He presented a motion that I think he had a right to present. If you had presented the same motion I would have recognized you. Now there's a way to handle this matter and you well know what it is Mr. Telcser. Representative Stearney."

Stearney: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the proper way to handle that motion to reconsider is to make a motion that that lie on the table and accordingly at this time, I make such a motion so that the House can proceed in a very fair and just manner and not go back and consider matters that have been effectively disposed of earlier. So I ask that we vote 'aye' on a motion that this motion to reconsider lie on the table."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giorgi, having voted on the prevailing side moves that the vote by which Senate Bill 73



that is the motion lost. Representative Stearney moves that that motion lie on the table. The question is on Representative Stearney's motion. Those in favor of the motion to... Representative.. to table vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Representative Skinner." "

Skinner: "I voted to discharge Committee on this motion the first time around. But I really believe, having looked at the results of the last Roll Call of Representative Deuster's little Bill on RTA, Representative Kosinski voted 'present' and that turn about is fair play."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Johnson."

Johnson: "I had a chance to vote on this Bill since I've been a Member of the General Assembly at least 25 times. I've consistently supported Representative Kosinski in this matter. But we've got new concepts, new ideas, to vote on. We voted on a motion to discharge. We voted in Committee. We voted on an Amendment. We voted in every conceivable form. As much as I think he's sincere in this effort, I think we've got to get on to other business. This matter I think is ripe for new exploration next year and I certainly urge a 'yes' vote on Representative Stearney's motion."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Katz."

Katz: "Well, Mr. Speaker, everybody entitled to their day in court. Mr. Kosinski had his, including polling the absentees. He didn't get the votes and I favor the motion to table."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 70 'aye' and 70 'no' and 2 'present'. The Gentleman has requested a poll of the absentees, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Poll of the absentees. Balanoff. Beatty. Borchers. Bradley. Darrow. Dawson. DiPrima. Doyle. John Dunn. Ebbesen. Gaines. Griesheimer. Henry. Huff. Jaffe. Emil Jones. Kane. Keane. Kozubowski.



Leverenz. Matijevich. Matula. Mautino. Molloy..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Taylor, for what purpose do you arise?"

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, will you please change my 'no' vote to 'aye'?"

Speaker Redmond: "Change the Gentleman from 'no' to 'aye'. Representative Bullock. 'No' to 'aye'. Representative Conti. Bullock. Representative Conti 'aye' to 'no'. Representative Braun. Change the Lady from 'aye' to 'no'. Representative Ackerman? 'Aye' to 'no'. Proceed."

Clerk Leone: "Pechous. Pierce. Polk. Preston. Schisler. Schneider. Totten. Von Boeckman. Waddell. Sam Wolf. and Yoynge."

Speaker Redmond: "What's the count? Representative Alexander."

Alexander: "Change my 'aye' vote... I mean my 'no' vote to 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Change the Lady from 'no' to 'aye'. That was Alexander. Representative Taylor Pouncey."

Pouncey: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would you please change my vote from 'no' to 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Change the Gentleman from 'no' to 'aye'. Representative Langdon Patrick"

Patrick: "Mr. Speaker, change my 'no' vote to 'aye', please."

Speaker Redmond: "Change the Gentleman from 'no' to 'aye'. Representative Huff. 'Aye', Representative Huff. Representative Schneider; 'no'. Representative Van Duyne, what... Representative Schisler."

Schisler: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Speaker Redmond: "How is Representative Schisler recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is not recorded as voting."

Schisler: "Please record me as 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schisler to 'aye'. What did you want, Representative Schneider? What do you want, Schneider? 'Aye'. Representative Polk, 'aye'. Representative Gaines, 'aye'. What's the count? Representative Mulcahey 'aye'. Representative O'Brien, change the Gentleman to 'aye'. Representative Ewell, 'no'. Representative



Collins, 'no'. Representative Tuerk, 'no'. Representative Margalus, 'no'. Representative Neff. Representative Neff."

Neff: "'Aye' to 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "From 'aye' to 'no'. Representative Wikoff, 'no'. ... this question, 74 'aye' and 72 'no'. Representative Hallock 'no'. That's 74 'aye' and 73 'no' and the motion fails. 74 and 3, was that it, Mr. Clerk? Wait a minute now. What is it? 74 'aye'... that's 73 'aye'. So the motion carries. Wait a minute. 74;73? Sounds to me it's 73, 73 after Representative Hallock changed. Representative Henry."

Henry: "Mr. Chairman, what is the vote? What is the count?"

Speaker Redmond: "73, 73."

Henry: "Record me as 'no'. 'Aye', I'm sorry. 'Aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Record the Gentleman as 'aye'. That's 74, 73. And the motion carries. 198. Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I sought recognition before you went to the next Bill. Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, having voted on the prevailing side, I move to reconsider the vote by which the motion to discharge Committee on Transportation and advance Senate Bill 172 to the order of Second Reading failed."

Speaker Redmond: I have called 198. I will get back to your motion after we get through with 198. 198, Representative Preston."

Preston: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, distinguished Ladies and Gentlemen. Senate Bill 198 amends the Criminal Code of Illinois and makes that the crime of aggravated battery to knowingly and without lawful justification cause bodily harm to a senior citizen. Now, Mr. Speaker, much to my chagrin, consternation, and concern this Bill died in the Judiciary II Committee of the House. Since that time we went to work and discussed problems that existed with Representative Stearney, with Representative Catania, with Represent-



tative Breslin, all of whom indicated that they have changed their minds now and with wrapping up those problems now support this Bill. Now, the reason this Bill is necessary is because it's the senior citizens of Illinois who are the prime targets of muggers who are the prime targets of people looking to snatch a purse and knock a person to the ground. What might end up being a slight injury to me or to a younger person is a very serious injury to a senior citizen. Someone being pushed to the ground is of little consequence when it happens to someone who's 30 or 35 or 40 years of age. But when that individual is 65 and 70 years of age the result could be a broken bone, a broken hip, could be a permanent injury. The senior citizens are indefensible against the attackers. On their behalf, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen, I'm begging you to vote to take this Bill from the Speaker's Table so that the full House can vote on this important measure. It's important to all of the senior citizens of Illinois. This will help protect the senior citizens of Illinois and I strongly plead for your 'aye' vote. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?" Representative Preston, are you going to amend this Bill?"

Preston: "Representative Cullerton, we are going to amend this Bill. We are going to delete any 30 day mandatory jail sentence and we are going to amend it so that it is clear that the defendant would have to knowingly have attacked and done a battery on a senior citizen. He would have to know the individual to, in fact, be a senior citizen."

Cullerton: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Katz. Katz."

Katz: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is another Bill to discharge a Committee here. It would require 107 votes. I don't believe there are that



many people here in the House, to be perfectly honest, Mr. Speaker. In any event, the Bill had a very fair hearing in Committee. The Committee's judgement should prevail as it has in the other matters before now. I would oppose the motion."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stearney."

Stearney: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, as Minority Spokesman of the Judiciary II Committee, I voted against this Bill. I helped defeat it in Committee and I am standing up here and saying that I was somewhat in error and now I'm changing and I'm going to ask you to vote 'yes' on this proposition to discharge the Committee. It can be put into proper form and it can be amended so that it can be workable and hopefully will do what the Sponsor, Representative Merlo.... I mean, strike that, Representative Preston and Senator Merlo... will do. So I would ask you to vote 'yes' on this motion to discharge the Committee. It is a good... I think it can be made workable and therefore, I'm asking... soliciting an 'aye' vote. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Macdonald."

Macdonald: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I, too, rise in strong support of this particular Bill. I, too, had a Bill in Judiciary II which went to Interim Study dealing with this same problem and I think it is of such social importance that all of us should vote green and get this Bill out again and give us an opportunity to make a reasonable application of some kind of relief for these senior citizens who are constantly being attacked. So I ask for your 'aye' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Van Duyne."

Van Duyne: "I move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, 'Shall the main question be put?' Those in favor say 'aye'. 'Aye'. Opposed, 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carries. Representative



Preston to close."

Preston: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. In closing I only ask you to consider the senior citizens who are so frequently the victims of muggers and robbers. They are unable to defend themselves. They need support from the court system and from this Legislature. Please vote 'aye' on this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "The question's on the Gentleman's motion that Senate Bill 198 be taken from the Speaker's Table and placed on the Order of Senate Bills, Second Reading, First Legislative Day. Those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. It requires 107 votes. Representative Mugalian."

Mugalian: "In the event this receives 107 votes, I'd like to verify it."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 109 'aye' and 15 'no'. Representative Mugalian has requested a verification of the Affirmative Roll Call. Representative..."

Clerk Leone: "Abramson. Ackerman. Alexander. Jane Barnes. Beatty. Bell. Bianco. Birchler. Birkinbine. Boucek. Bower. Bradley..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Collins."

Collins: "Change me to 'yes'."

Speaker Redmond: "Change the Gentleman from 'no' to 'yes'. Representative Bowman. Bowman."

Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. How am I recorded?"

Speaker Redmond: "How is the Gentleman recorded? Representative Bowman."

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is not recorded as voting."

Bowman: "Vote me 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Vote the Gentleman 'aye'. Representative Sandquist. Representative Sandquist to 'aye'. Anderson. 'Aye'. Campbell, 'aye'. Ropp, 'aye'. Representative Rigney, 'aye'. Representative Younge, 'aye'. Representative Mugalian."



Mugalian: "How many is that? There are about 15 people not here that I know of."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, I see at least four or five that are not here, so I think in the interest of saving time, we'd better dump this Roll Call and vote again and please only vote your own switches. You're just keeping us all here unnecessarily. The question is on the Gentleman's motion. Those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? You're still voting people that aren't here. If you want me to name a name, I will. Marovitz. Oh, pardon me. You weren't in your seat. Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take a record. On this question there's 114 'aye', 10 'no'. Representative Mugalian."

Mugalian: "There.. I find 6 or 7 that are not here, Mr. Speaker. I don't know what to do. I'm trying to protect the rights of all the Members. I think we should have an honest count."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed. It's 114 to 10. Now I don't know... This motion carries. Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, having voted on the prevailing side, I should like to move to reconsider the vote by which the motion to discharge the Committee on Transportation and advance Senate Bill 172 to the Order of Second Reading failed."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Move to lie that motion on the table."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman, having voted on the prevailing side, with respect to Senate Bill 172.. is that correct? Moves the vote by which that lost be reconsidered. Representative Hanahan has moved that that motion lie on the table. The question's on... Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Question of the Chair; how is Mr. Schlickman recorded on the original Roll Call?"

Speaker Redmond: "I know."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker?"



Speaker Redmond: "Well, the Clerk is looking. My memory sometimes fails me like it did with Marovitz, so I'm not going to tell you, but I think I know." Representative Madigan? Clerk advises me that he is recorded as voting 'no'. The question is on Representative Hanahan's motion that Representative Schlickman's motion to reconsider the vote with respect to Senate Bill 172, that it lie on the table. Those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 49 'aye' and 76 'no' and the motion fails. We revert to Representative Schlickman's motion, having voted on the prevailing side, that the vote by which Senate Bill 172 failed... the motion with respect to 172 failed, be reconsidered. Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, how many votes are required for this motion to carry?"

Speaker Redmond: "Eighty-nine."

Madigan: "Is it permissible for me to speak in opposition to the motion?"

Speaker Redmond: "Surely."

Madigan: "Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I simply wish to express my opposition to the Gentleman's motion. This matter was fully debated and considered earlier in the evening. I consider the Gentleman's motion to be dilatory and I would therefore recommend a 'no' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman to close."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I originally voted against the motion to discharge because I was against and I am against the Bill in principle. However, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, when a Member of a Committee.. excuse me. When a Member goes before a Committee and gets ten votes for and one vote against and is short only one vote, I think that speaks as to the Major.. the voice of the Majority and I think that Member is entitled to



have his Bill considered on the floor of the House and it's for that reason that I sincerely and earnestly made this motion, despite my previous vote which was on merit and principle and I will repeat that vote when it comes to Third Reading if that's the case."

Speaker Redmond: "The question's on the Gentleman's motion. Those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Only your own switch on this, please. Have all voted who wish? Representative Totten."

Totten: "To explain my vote, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "One minute. The timer's on."

Totten: "This is the motion to reconsider the vote... this is the motion to have this Bill discharged. There are many Members on both sides of the aisle who talked to me after the first vote was taken and realized that they probably made a mistake, that this is probably the best Bill that we have to solve our road problems and to do it without a tax on gasoline or an additional tax. And I know that many of those Members would like to have the opportunity to get on the Roll Call and so I appreciate Representative Schlickman's... I don't appreciate Representative Madigan's opposition because he knows this is a good Bill, too."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 86 'aye' and 42 'no' Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Poll the absentees."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has requested a poll of the absentees. Representative Robbins, for what purpose do you arise?"

Robbins: "I was just going to say that I have taken a poll over my district and they were very much in favor of having a Bill like this passed over having additional taxes put on them and they have additional taxes put on them every day with the increase in the price of gasoline and a little part of this money should go back on the road."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hoffman, your point of order is well taken. Representative Hoffman, record him as 'aye'. Representative Davis."

Davis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Frankly, our distinguished Assistant Minority Leader had me convinced that it's a waste of time to reconsider votes tonight and I should probably be voting 'no', but in the interests of the people of the State of Illinois, the gas tax, I'm going to reluctantly vote 'yes'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Slape, record him as 'aye'. Representative Telcser. Representative Kucharski."

Kucharski: "Record me as 'aye', please."

Speaker Redmond: "Record the Gentleman as 'aye'. What's the count, Mr. Clerk? 90 'aye', 42 'no', Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "I request a verification, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Verification of the Affirmative Roll Call."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, would you ask the Members to be in their chairs, for the..."

Speaker Redmond: "According the Hanahan's Rules, you must be in your own seat."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, would you ask the Clerk to read at a moderate pace?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Collins, be in your own seat. Representative Polk. Swanstrom. Totten. Representative Abramson. Representative Skinner, for what purpose do you arise?"

Skinner: "Well, you're always quoting Hanahan's Rules. If it weren't for his dumb rule in Committee, we wouldn't be here tonight. All these Bills would be out on the floor."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, when you're Speaker, you make better rules. Representative Ryan. Please sit down. Representative Totten, Ryan says 'no'. Daniels sit down. Representative Conti. Representative Kane."

Kane: "Mr. Speaker, somebody, I think inadvertantly, voted Representative Richmond and I don't think that he wanted to be



voted and he's not here. But as..."

Speaker Redmond: "Is Representative Richmond here? He's coming out of the men's room."

Kane: "Oh, I take that back then, Mr. Speaker, I thought he was..."

Speaker Redmond: "You may return to the men's room, Representative Richmond. Proceed with the verification of the Affirmative Roll Call."

Clerk Leone: "Abramson. Ackerman. Anderson. Jane Barnes. Bell. Bianco.."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bianco here? Yeah. Proceed."

Clerk Leone: "Birchler. Birkinbine. Bluthardt. Boucek. Bower. Campbell. Catania. Collins. Conti. Daniels. Davis. Deuster. Ralph Dunn. Dyer."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Would you ask the caucus in the center aisle..."

Speaker Redmond: "Yes. Please break up the caucus in the center aisle. Representative Harris.... Please sit down."

Madigan: "Could you ask Mr. Ryan to get in his seat?"

Speaker Redmond: "Yes, that's right. Representative Ryan, according to the Rules, you're supposed to be in your own seat. Representative Ryan has repealed the rule. RIP. Proceed."

Clerk Leone: "Ewing. Virginia Frederick. Friedland. Dwight Friedrich. Gaines. Grossi. Hallock. Hallstrom. Hannig. Hoffman. Hoxsey. Hudson. Huskey. Johnson. Dave Jones. Kelly. Kempiners. Kent. Klosak. Kucharski. Leinenweber. Macdonald."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Would you ask the Members to be in their chairs and would you ask the Clerk to slow down?"

Speaker Redmond: "Please be in your seats. Representative Cullerton please be in your seat. Representative Ewing..."

Madigan: "The Republican Members, Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Redmond: "Ewing please sit down. Representative Hoxsey,



please sit down. Representative Stearney, you're way in the back of the room there. You belong up here. McBroom. Representative Huskey.. Representative Ewing."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, I'll be glad to sit in my seat. And I hope the next time there's a verification, we'll get the same courtesy."

Speaker Redmond: "You will. You will. Proceed."

Clerk Leone: "Margulas. Matula. McAuliffe. McBroom. McCourt. McMaster. Meyer. Molloy."

Speaker Redmond: "You're in your wrong place. You belong up there in your seat, closer to Virginia."

Clerk Leone: "Neff. Oblinger. Peters. Piel. Polk. Pullen. Reed. Reilly. Richmond. Rigney. Robbins. Ropp. Ryan. Sandquist. Schlickman. Schoeberlein. Schraeder. Schuneman. Simms. Skinner. Slape. Stanley. Stearney. E.G. Steele. C.M. Stiehl. Sumner. Swanstrom. Telcser. Totten. Tuerk. Van Dwyne. Vinson. Waddell. Walsh. Watson. Wikoff. Winchester. J.J. Wolf. And Woodyard."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions of the Affirmative Roll Call?"

Madigan: "How many voted recorded for the motion?"

Speaker Redmond: "How many votes? 90 'aye' and 42 'no'. It requires 89."

Madigan: "Mr. Wolf."

Speaker Redmond: "J.J. Wolf, he' here. I guess."

Madigan: "Mr. Winchester."

Speaker Redmond: "Is coach Winchester here? Here he is."

Madigan: "Van Dwyne."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Van Dwyne? How is he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Madigan: "Telcser."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Telcser, in the back."

Madigan: "Stearney."

Speaker Redmond: "He's in.. where he doesn't belong, but he's back there."



Madigan: "Skinner."

Speaker Redmond: "He's not where he belongs. But he's in the aisle."

Madigan: "Schoeberlein."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schoeberlein? How is he recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him. Is that Judge Fleck back there?"

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, no further questions."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Totten."

Totten: "Mr. Speaker, did I get recorded on this?"

Speaker Redmond: "Yes. What's the count, Mr. Clerk?"

Totten: "Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "What's the count, Mr. Clerk? 88 'aye', 42 'no'.
The motion fails. The motion fails. For what purpose
do you arise, Representative Mautino?"

Mautino: "I noticed that I wasn't recorded on this and I did
want to be recorded as 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "We already declared. Representative Schlisler
Six... 367. 367. Representative Leinenweber. I am. Repre-
sentative Leinenweber. 367. Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 367 is another
Bill by Senator Geo-Karris and it's apparent tonight that
these motions are being called in order to defeat them and
Senate Bill 367 has been variously characterized as the
worst Bill ever been filed in the General Assembly to
one of the better Bills that's ever been filed by the
General Assembly. Now, as far as the Committee hearing
was concerned, I wasn't there. So I don't know if it
got a fair hearing or not. The Bill provides for a desig-
nation of people as dangerous criminals. I think the
best thing that can be said for this Bill because no
other Bill on the Republican side of the aisle is ever
coming out, is that I wasn't there at the Committee. I
don't know if it got a fair hearing or not. I don't know
if it's a good Bill or not. A lot of people think it's



bad Bill. And with that background, I would expect that 107 people would vote to discharge or to bring from the table and put this out for a vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Johnson."

Johnson: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this Bill was defeated worse in Judiciary Committee than any Bill of the whole year. I think it only got one 'no' vote on a 'Do not pass' motion. This Bill basically provides that if you have a mental disorder, not that you have committed a criminal act, but you have a mental disorder that gives you criminal propensities. You can be locked up for the rest of your life in a mental institution on a civil standard. This Bill would probably be better worded Senate Bill 1984, than it would be worded Senate Bill 367. This Bill is the most blantly unconstitutional oppressive, lousy Bill that I've seen come before the House out of the Senate. I think all people... people of all political parties and philosophies would agree that this Bill ought to be soundly defeated and I ask for a 'no' vote on the motion to discharge and parenthetically, I think Representative Leinenweber..."

Speaker Redmond: "Mr. Doorkeeper, admit the victorious soft ball team. Representative Willer."

Willer: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I don't know if anyone can hear me with all this hullabaloo.. What I want to say is Representative Johnson is absolutely correct, except he made one mistake there in saying this person would be turned over to the Department of Mental Health. This person declared as criminally dangerous would be.. dangerous person would be turned over to the Department of Corrections. And if we can have some order about this very important Bill... I would like to repeat that this Bill is so bad that it almost boggles your imagination. This person would be turned over to the Department of Corrections and having put.. being put into prison: there will be no sentence of



any time given to this person. The person would then have to prove in order to get out to prison that he or she is no longer criminally dangerous. The concept is so bad as I say, it sort of stuns you, but it's probably one of the worst Bills of the Session. I don't know how the Senate let it out, but I hope we do not."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. I'd like to close now. It's painfully obvious that the Democratic side of the aisle that this Bill had any merit whatsoever, it wouldn't pass. It has no merit whatsoever and I would expect you to put 107 votes up."

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Madigan in the Chair."

Speaker Madigan: "Has Ryan been alerted? Put your seat belts on. Is there any discussion? You like a Roll Call on this motion? The question is, 'Shall the Gentleman's motion to take from the Speaker's Table and place on the Order of Senate Bills, Second Reading, First Legislative Day, carry?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye'. All those opposed by voting 'no'. Mr. Leinenweber, to explain his vote."

Leinenweber: "I would appreciate it if the Members of the House would put 106 votes up there so the Senate Sponser won't shoot me."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Conti. Mr. Conti."

Conti: "Mr. Speaker, I was just wondering if you're going to verify the length by which the girls won by. The Republicans, are you going to verify the runs that they got?"

Speaker Madigan: "We're not sure yet. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 40 'ayes', 75 'no', 5 voting 'present'. And the motion fails. Senate Bill of Mr. Peters."

Peters: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, just to announce to the House that we are honored here tonight by the presence of the Chief of the Divorce Division of the Circuit Court



of Cook County, the Honorable Judge Charles Fleck, former Member of the House. "

Speaker Madigan: "Mrs. Satterthwaite."

Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker, I wonder if we could clear the air by finding out what the score was to the Secretaries ball game and then I would also like to ask what the status is of the salary increases for the secretaries."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Winchester, would you wish to answer the second question?"

Winchester: "Well, first question first. The score was 14 to 10. And I'm in favor of raising their salaries if you are."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Wolf."

Winchester: "The Republicans want..."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Wolf."

Wolf: "Well, Mr. Speaker, on a point of personal privilege. Since my wife was the winning pitcher, I just wonder if that is a sign of things to come with the Republicans on the move winning for a change."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Waddell on Senate Bill 534."

Waddell: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, a township road Bill that needs more study, therefore I recommend its going to the Interim Study. Appreciate your vote."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves to commit Senate Bill 534 to the Interim Study Calendar. Is there leave? Leave being granted, the Bill shall be assigned to the Interim Study Calendar. Senate Bill 618, Mr. Davis. Mr. Davis."

Davis: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. It pains me to admit it, but I.. I think I failed my Senate Sponsor of House Bill 618 miserably in the Judiciary II Committee, so I have motioned to discharge it. The Bill is I think a very good Bill. It deals with the juvenile adjudication of delinquency records being available in adult felony bond reductions, or bond setting. It also deals with impeachment of prior adjudicated felony delinquencies in adult trials where a witness may be im-



peached and by a narrow usage of bringing in the delinquency adjudication into the court record for the court's pursuit at the request of the State's Attorney. The Bill received ... and I see Representative Katz on his feet and I'm sure he's going to say this, so I'll say it for him. The Bill received a very strange vote. It received 3 'aye', 2 'no', and 6 'present'. So I feel I did fail in not adequately explaining the Bill to the Committee in the last closing hours Monday afternoon in the Judiciary II Committee. I do think the Bill can be explained better to the full House. I believe it is a good Bill. It passed the Senate rather handily. I see Representative Stearney on his feet as well. I would just suggest to you that we haven't discharged the Bill, from Representative Katz's Committee tonight and I would sure like mine to be the first. Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Stearney."

Stearney: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in opposition to this motion. And I say, we should be doing more important things than hearing a motion to discharge a Judiciary II Committee on such an innocuous little matter. It goes into meticulous little detail. That's why it got 'present' votes. It was not in proper form, proper shape and here, we trouble the entire House to consider a matter that is so innocuous and deals with such picky- youngish little questions. I say vote 'no' on this. The matter belongs in Committee. Perhaps it'll be studied at a later time and put in proper shape, proper form. It's alright now. It's an innocuous matter. We're wasting our time even listening to this. Vote 'no'."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Katz."

Katz: "The reason the Bill failed to come out of Committee was not due to any fault of Mr. Davis. It was due entirely to the fact that the Bill has not enough merit to be considered and brought out on the floor. It's a matter... the



fact is that we considered several Bills of Representative Davis'. Those that were good, we reported out. A few we did not. That weren't any good. That's what a Committee is for. It's a waste of the time of this House to take this up and I would urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Madigan: "Is there any further discussion? Mr. Robbins."

Robbins: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I don't really know the total merits of the Bill, but I would like to point out the fact that it passed out of the Senate 48 to nothing. Now, I would hate to say that those people over there don't know anything, cause they're handling some of our Bills."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Katz?"

Katz: "The answer to the Gentleman's question is, 'Praise the Lord. And thank God for bi-cameral Legislative Body.'

When the founding fathers created bi-cameral Body, they knew what they were doing. That's what I would tell, Sir."

Speaker Madigan: "Is there any further discussion? There being no further discussion, Mr. Davis, to close."

Davis: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. Well, the Senate Sponsor, and myself certainly don't consider this Bill innocuous. The distinguished Minority Spokesman I have a feeling maybe opposing the Bill other than for reasons of it being innocuous. This is an excellent Bill and it certainly is not innocuous. When you've got a kid that's been in a juvenile court, adjudicated a felon on a charge that would be a felony if he were an adult, and may have a string of 15 felonies, home invasion, and burglaries, and personal attacks, ... would the..."

Speaker Madigan: "For what reason does Mr. Stearney make so much noise?"

Stearney: "Well, Mr. Speaker, the Gentleman is not addressing himself to the motion, but addressing himself to collateral matters, which is not permissible, I believe, under the rules."



Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Davis, would you please proceed according to the..."

Davis: "If the Minority Spokesman would spend a little more time around here, he's recognize the Pullen Rule that allows explanation of the Bill. Now, I'm going to continue, Mr. Speaker, over his objection because the rules permit that. And I'm simply saying to you that if you've got a kid with a long string of juvenile adjudicated delinquencies, that commits an adult crime and goes in for a bond hearing and is given a recognizance bond, and is put back out on the street to commit other felonies, then you're silly. And what we're saying at this point is that the court record can be produced for the court in bond or bond reduction hearing. That's a major part of the Bill and I consider a very important part of the Bill. Now if you believe that that should be the case, and juvenile crime is the largest single growing crime in this society today, then you ought to vote for this motion to discharge. If you don't believe it, don't vote for the motion to discharge."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Davis, having closed, the question is, 'Shall his motion to discharge the Committee on Judiciary II and advance to the Order of Second Reading, First Legislative Day, carry?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye'; all those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Davis, to explain his vote."

Davis: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker, I would like to see the 89 votes get up there. This is a very, very good law and order Bill and we're trying to address and you'll hear me talk on other juvenile matters as we proceed to Third Reading. I just believe that if you'll just take a look at your Digest and read the Bill, you'd see what we're trying to do. We're not trying to denominate any juvenile a criminal. We're not trying to do that. What we're saying is that these records should be available on certain very



narrow instances for purposes of protection of society. So, please, give me the additional few votes and then vote it up or down on Third Reading."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Katz, to explain his vote."

Katz: "No, Mr. Speaker. I ask for a verification if we get the 89 votes."

Speaker Madigan: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. Mr. Davis."

Davis: "Well, I would like to poll the absentees, Mr. Speaker. I don't want to take up too much time. There are 55 blue votes, or blue indicators and I'd like to poll the absentees."

Speaker Madigan: "The Clerk shall poll the absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "The absentees; Balanoff. E.M. Barnes. Beatty. Bluthardt. Borchers. Bowman. Bradley. Breslin.

Brummer. Capparelli. Capuzi. Christensen. Cullerton.

Darrow. DiPrima. Domico. Donovan. Doyle. John Dunn.

Ebbsen. Epton. Ewell. Farley. Flinn. Garmisa.

Griesheimer. Hanahan. Harris. Emil Jones. Keane.

Kornowicz. Kozubowski. Laurino. Lechowicz. Marovitz.

McAuliffe. McCourt. McGrew. "

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McAuliffe, wishes to be recorded as 'aye'.

Mr. McCourt wishes to be recorded as 'aye'. Mr. Farley

wishes to be recorded as 'aye'. Continue, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "The absentees; McGrew. O'Brien. Pechous.

Pierce. Rea. Ronan. Satterthwaite. Schisler. Schlickman.

Steczo. Stuffle. Terzich. Vitek. VonBoeckman. Walsh.

Watson. White. And Sam Wolf."

Speaker Madigan: "On this question there are 89 'aye', and 32 'no'. Mr. Katz, did you request a verification? Mr. Katz has requested a verification. The.. Mr. Bluthardt wishes to be recorded as 'aye'. The Clerk shall read and Mr. Kane wishes to be recorded as 'aye'. I believe the count is not about 91. And the Clerk shall read the Affirmative Roll."



Clerk O'Brien: "Ackerman. Anderson. Jane Barnes. Bell. Bianco. Birchler. Birkinbine. Bluthardt. Boucek. Bower. Campbell. Collins. Conti. Daniels. Davis."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Katz. Proceed, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Dawson. Deuster. Ralph Dunn. Ewing. Farley. Virginia Frederick. Friedland. Dwight Friedrich. Gaines. Giorgi. Grossi."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes Mr. Katz."

Katz: "Excuse me. Mr. Speaker, how many votes are there? Are many affirmative votes are there?"

Speaker Madigan: "There are now 91 'aye', Mr. Katz."

Katz: "Mr. Bianco isn't here. Mr. Campbell isn't here. I believe. Is he here?"

Speaker Madigan: "Do you wish to withdraw your request for a verification?"

Katz: "Well, Mr. Speaker, in view of the hour, I will do so."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman requests... removes his request for a verification and the Chair recognizes Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker, did you declare this Bill passed.. this motion passed?"

Speaker Madigan: "No. This has not been declared passed."

Ryan: "As soon as you declare it passed, Mr. Speaker, I have a motion I'd like to make."

Speaker Madigan: "Okay. Mrs. Younge."

Younge: "May I change my vote to 'no', please?"

Speaker Madigan: "Ninty 'aye's and 32 'no's. Mr. Stearney."

Stearney: "Well, Mr. Speaker. I'm sorry. I didn't realize that the Gentleman on the other side of the asile was going to withdraw his motion for a verification. I make the motion and I name two Members, Mr. Bianco and Mr. Marguals, who are not here."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman is out of order. Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker, in view of the situation on the floor here this evening and in as much as Speaker Redmond has departed to the barbeque, everything is in vain. I move



adjourn until 10:30 tomorrow morning."

Speaker Madigan: "The motion is, 'Shall we adjourn until 10:30 tomorrow morning providing ten minutes for perfunctory Session?' All those in favor signify by saying 'aye'; all those opposed by saying 'no'. The motion carries. We are adjourned. Are there any announcements? Mr. Mulcahey. I know what you want to talk about. We can do it. Are there any announcements? Ten-thirty tomorrow morning."

Clerk O'Brien: "Committee reports; Representative McClain, Chairman of the Committee on Environment Energy and Natural Resources, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken June 13th, 1979. Report the same back with the following recommendations; 'Do pass as amended' Senate Bills 659, 1117, and 1316. 'Do pass Consent Calendar' Senate Bills 455, 1116, and 1328. 'Do pass Short Debate Calendar' Senate Bill 983. 'Do pass as amended Short Debate Calendar' Senate Bill 861. Interim Study, Senate Bills 673 and 1184. Representative Capparelli, Chairman of the Committee in Executive, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken June 13th, 1979. Report the same back with following recommendations; 'Do pass' Senate Bills 47, 50, 687, 799, 800, 828, 875, 1101, 1102, 1183, 1211, and 1271. 'Do not pass' Senate Bill 1097. 'Do pass as amended' Senate Bills 688, 745, 883, 942, and 1325. 'Do pass Consent Calendar' Senate Bill 514 and 722. 'Do pass Short Debate Calendar' Senate Bill 824. 'Be adopted' House Resolution 220, 265, 289, 332, House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment 42, Senate Joint Resolution 8 and Senate Joint Resolution 42. 'Do not be adopted' House Resolution 287. 'Be adopted as amended' House Resolution 47. 'Do pass' Senate Bill 1406. 'Do pass as amended' Senate Bill 1425. And 'Do pass Consent Calendar' Senate Bill 1375... Representative Garmisa, Chairman of the Committee on Transportation, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken June 13th, 1979. Report



the same back the following recommendations; 'Do pass'
Senate Bills 626, 627, 890 and 918. 'Do pass as amended'
Senate Bills 628, 889, 955. 'Do pass Consent Calendar'
Senate Bills 203 and 1072. 'Do pass Short Debate Calendar'
Senate Bill 324. No further business. The House now stands
adjourned."



LEGISLATIVE INFORMATION SYSTEM

DAILY TRANSCRIPT INDEX
JUNE 13, 1979

PAGE 1

SB-0070	3RD READING	PAGE	17
SB-0073	MOTIONS	PAGE	84
	MOTIONS	PAGE	104
SB-0101	MOTIONS	PAGE	86
SB-0148	MOTIONS	PAGE	88
SB-0172	MOTIONS	PAGE	89
	MOTIONS	PAGE	112
SB-0180	MOTIONS	PAGE	97
SB-0181	MOTIONS	PAGE	101
SB-0198	MOTIONS	PAGE	108
SB-0201	3RD READING	PAGE	17
SB-0215	3RD READING	PAGE	63
SB-0278	2ND READING	PAGE	13
SB-0316	2ND READING	PAGE	34
	2ND READING	PAGE	39
SB-0325	3RD READING	PAGE	17
SB-0361	2ND READING	PAGE	3
SB-0367	MOTIONS	PAGE	118
SB-0403	3RD READING	PAGE	17
SB-0405	3RD READING	PAGE	17
SB-0416	3RD READING	PAGE	17
SB-0490	2ND READING	PAGE	20
SB-0502	3RD READING	PAGE	17
SB-0528	3RD READING	PAGE	17
SB-0534	MOTIONS	PAGE	121
SB-0552	3RD READING	PAGE	17
SB-0559	2ND READING	PAGE	28
SB-0585	2ND READING	PAGE	33
SB-0590	2ND READING	PAGE	36
SB-0593	3RD READING	PAGE	17
SB-0629	3RD READING	PAGE	17
SB-0637	3RD READING	PAGE	17
SB-0654	3RD READING	PAGE	41
SB-0689	3RD READING	PAGE	43
SB-0696	3RD READING	PAGE	64
SB-0701	2ND READING	PAGE	65
SB-0719	3RD READING	PAGE	66
SB-0724	3RD READING	PAGE	44
SB-0735	2ND READING	PAGE	9
SB-0736	3RD READING	PAGE	45
SB-0737	3RD READING	PAGE	17
SB-0750	3RD READING	PAGE	18
SB-0758	2ND READING	PAGE	33

DAILY TRANSCRIPT INDEX
JUNE 13, 1979

SB-0761	3RD READING	PAGE	48
SB-0770	3RD READING	PAGE	50
SB-0796	3RD READING	PAGE	18
SB-0802	3RD READING	PAGE	51
SB-0809	3RD READING	PAGE	52
SB-0814	3RD READING	PAGE	55
SB-0829	3RD READING	PAGE	58
SB-0930	2ND READING	PAGE	37
SB-0970	3RD READING	PAGE	18
SB-0974	3RD READING	PAGE	18
SB-1000	3RD READING	PAGE	18
SB-1029	3RD READING	PAGE	18
SB-1086	3RD READING	PAGE	18
SB-1108	3RD READING	PAGE	18
SB-1109	3RD READING	PAGE	18
SB-1145	3RD READING	PAGE	18
SB-1157	3RD READING	PAGE	18
SB-1158	3RD READING	PAGE	18
SB-1159	3RD READING	PAGE	18
SB-1160	3RD READING	PAGE	18
SB-1161	3RD READING	PAGE	18
SB-1163	3RD READING	PAGE	19
SB-1202	2ND READING	PAGE	38
SB-1276	3RD READING	PAGE	19
SB-1336	2ND READING	PAGE	10
SB-1348	2ND READING	PAGE	39
SB-1403	3RD READING	PAGE	19
HR-0265	MOTIONS	PAGE	77
SJR-0042	MOTIONS	PAGE	77

SUBJECT MATTER

SPEAKER REDMOND - HOUSE TO ORDER	PAGE	1
REVEREND - PRAYER	PAGE	1
REP. DIPRIMA - PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	PAGE	2
ROLL CALL FOR ATTENDANCE	PAGE	2
COMMITTEE REPORTS	PAGE	2
APPROVAL OF THE JOURNAL	PAGE	3
COMMITTEE REPORTS	PAGE	16
HOUSE RECESSED	PAGE	80
PERFUNCT SESSION	PAGE	80
COMMITTEE REPORTS	PAGE	80
SPEAKER REDMOND - HOUSE RECONVENED	PAGE	80
COMMITTEE REPORTS	PAGE	80
APPROVAL OF JOURNALS	PAGE	82
AGREED RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	82
COMMITTEE REPORTS	PAGE	127
HOUSE ADJOURNED	PAGE	128