

1.

Speaker Redmond: "House will come to order. Members please be in their seats. Be led in prayer by the Reverend Krueger, the House Chaplain."

Reverend Krueger: "In the Name of the Father, the Son and the Holy Ghost. Amen. O Lord, bless this House to Thy service this day. Amen. In Psalm 45 it is written: My heart is over flowing with a good matter. I speak the things which I have made concerning the King. Let us pray. Almighty God, our heavenly Father, our source of life, our source of strength, our source of wisdom and love, we give to Thee this day ourselves to be of service to Thee in gratitudde for all which Thou in Thy infinite kindness hast given to us. As we pursue our duties as Representatives in this House, make all that we do shine forth Thy glory that we may overcome all the evil and other bad things which are to be found in us and in this world^{which} we inhabit. Sanctify us, O Lord, that we may be led into all truth for the good and well being of this great State of Illinois which we do here serve; through Jesus Christ our Lord. Amen."

Speaker Redmond: Pledge of allegiance. I pledge allegiance to the Flag of the United States of America and to the Republic for which it stands, one Nation under God indivisible, with liberty and justice for all. Roll Call for attendance. Let the record show that Representative Simms is on the Roll Call. Senate Bills, First Reading."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1712, Ebbesen. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Municipal Code. First Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "On page 5, Senate Bills, Second Reading, Senate Bill 1378."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1378. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Public Aid Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in



Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to Amendment 1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No floor Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1852."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1852. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act making certain appropriations. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Representative Pierce."

Speaker Redmond: "Take this one out of the record. Can the Republican or Democratic staff tell me what we can expect on House Bills, Second Reading? House Bills, Second Reading, on page 2. House Bill 3495."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3495. A Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Department of Administrative Services. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3496."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3496. A Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Department of Commerce and Community Affairs. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3554."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3554. A Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the Department of Transportation. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3560."



Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3560. A Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the Secretary of State. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3562."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3562. A Bill for an Act making certain appropriations to the Department of Transportation."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments on 62?"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3562. A Bill for an Act making certain appropriations to the Department of Transportation. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No floor Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Put it back to Second Reading. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "I understand from both the Republicans and Democrats that there's a technical Amendment on 3562."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1, amends House Bill 3562 on page 1, line 9 and so forth."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, that is a technical Amendment. It's been cleared on both sides of the aisle. I move for the adoption of Amendment #1...floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 3562."

Speaker Redmond: "House Bill 3562 is on Second Reading. Have you read the Amendment, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Bill and the Amendment have been read previously."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of the Amendment. Those in favor say 'aye', aye, opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the motion carries, the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"



Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. House Bills, Third Reading, page 3. House Bills, Third Reading, 2922, Representative Ryan."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2922. A Bill for an Act making a supplemental appropriation to the State Comptroller for the appropriation to the Department of Public Aid. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan. 2922, Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker, the nature of this ^{Bill} is as such that I think we probably ought to hold it. It's twenty million dollars in here for..."

Speaker Redmond: "We'll take it out of the record."

Ryan: "...county hospital."

Speaker Redmond: "3006, Representative Giorgi. Will you read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3006. A Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the Capital Development Board for permanent improvements. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. Representative Woodyard here? 3025."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3025. A Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Revenue. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Woodyard. Representative Barnes, will you please sit down?"

Woodyard: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 3025 is the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Revenue totaling one billion, one hundred and seventy-eight million dollars with an aggregate increase of 7.62%. Be glad to answer any questions and ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is, shall



this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 90...101 'aye' and 3 'no'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. 3044."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3044. A Bill for an Act making appropriations to the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Children and Family Services. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Peters. Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 3044 is the appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Children and Family Services. Several Amendments were adopted in Committee. The...probably the most important which was to add eight hundred and fifty thousand dollars to increase the grants for day care. The budget itself is an increase of seventeen million dollars over FY 80, most of that money going to the child and abuse program and increased payments to foster...foster care... group care homes and child care homes as negotiated by the department of the various agencies. I would solicit approval of the appropriation."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 105 'aye' and 4 'no'. And the Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. 3046."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3046..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Pullen. Out of the record. 3024."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3024. A Bill for an Act making



appropriations to the Department of Administrative Services. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Winchester."

Winchester: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is the ordinary and contingent appropriations for the Department of Administrative Services. The total budget is approximately one hundred and twenty-eight million dollars. It reflects an eight percent increase in the operations over FY 80. There's a couple of Amendments in there, one for two hundred thousand for the operations of the Illinois Soldiers and Sailors home and two hundred and fifty-nine thousand for the Elgin office building. And I would ask for approval...favorable vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 98 'aye'...Representative Bower 'aye', 100 'aye' and 8 'no'. The Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Representative Bower, you've got one on here, 3049. 3049."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3049. A Bill for Act making an appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Department of Veterans Affairs. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bower, 3049."

Bower: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is the appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Department of Veterans Affairs for twenty-one million, ten thousand five hundred dollars. I'd appreciate your favorable vote and would answer any questions."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schoeberlein, for what



purpose do you arise?"

Schoeberlein: "Could we hear what last year's budget was on all of these Bills, please, as we usually do?"

Speaker Redmond: "Do you have last year's budget on this Bill, Mr. Bower?"

Bower: "Okay. This year's appropriation is less than last years. Last year's as twenty-one million, two hundred and ninety-eight thousand dollars."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 106 'aye' and 2 'no'. The Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. 3065, Mr. McCourt. Out of the record. 3067. Is Representative Chapman here? Chapman, 3067. Representative Giorgi, will you please sit down? I couldn't see Representative Chapman."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3067. A Bill for an Act making appropriations to the State Board of Education. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Chapman."

Chapman: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, House Bill 3067 provides the dollars for the formula driven grants for our schools in our state. The figure at this point is three hundred and eighteen million, six hundred and sixty-five thousand, five hundred dollars. And it fully funds our mandates as has been suggested both by the Executive Branch and many of us in the Legislative Branch."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question...Representative Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise to support the motion made by Representative Chapman in asking for passage of this Bill. And at



the same time, really to congratulate the staffs on both sides of the aisle, the Democrat and Republican staff, for what I think was an excellent job in looking at these mandated programs and in attempting to bring to the Assembly a Bill which is a decent ^{Bill} and a Bill which is really within the fiscal guidelines that we can end up living with. For your information, because we attempted to keep this Bill strictly with mandated programs, we have deleted from this Bill by Amendment the funds for textbooks and bilingual. Now they'll come up in 3065. They are not in this Bill. But from the fiscal point of view and from what I...from what we feel to be meeting the needs of local communities and schools, I think the staff and the Committee has done an excellent job and they are to be congratulated for...I think, for presenting this Bill to you and I would solicit your support. As Representative Chapman indicates, it does fully fund the...the transportation line items and other items which certainly would be of benefit to the local school districts."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 106 'aye' and 0 'nay'. The Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. On page 4, 3083."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3083. A Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the Department of Transportation. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 3083 reappropriates a total of one million...one billion, four hundred and sixty-two million, six hundred and fifty-seven thousand, three hundred dollars to the Department of Transportation for



various highways and waterways and capital projects as part of their 81 fiscal request. I would ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye' and opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 99 'aye' and 12 'no'. The Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3084. A Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the Department of Transportation. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 3084 appropriates a total of one billion, one hundred and ten million, three hundred forty-five thousand, eight hundred dollars to the Department of Transportation for capital projects to be included in their FY 81 capital highway construction program. I would ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 94 'aye' and 21 'no'. The Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. 3126."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3126. A Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the Judicial Inquiry Board. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Daniels. Out of the record. 3135. Terzich here? Capparelli. 3249. Representative Ryan still here? 3249."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate...House Bill 3249. A Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the ^{State} Board of Education for funds to finance construction of certain special education



facilities. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 3249 appropriates one million, one hundred and seventy-five thousand dollars for the State Board of Education for special education facilities. And I would ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Ryan. The Clerk...Representative Ryan, the Clerk advises me that there's a floor Amendment on this Bill that has not been adopted. He doesn't want it. He says he doesn't want it. He doesn't want it. The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 109 'aye' and 6 'no'. And the Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. 3311, Representative Ryan."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3311. A Bill for an Act amending Sections of an Act making certain appropriations to the Capital Development Board. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 3311 amends the Act making appropriations to the Capital Development Board. It transfers two hundred and sixty-five thousand dollars from the appropriation for fire safety improvements of the State Capitol Building to the Secretary of State for planning and site selections for a new state library building. And I would ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "I'm not as much interested in the latter part as the former part. Is any part of this...does any part of this have to do with the fire safety that the Bill started out as?"



11.

Ryan: "Does it have anything to do with what, Cal?"

Skinner: "Does the digest reflect any part of the Bill that still exists, the fire safety improvements?"

Ryan: "Yes."

Skinner: "Are we changing the facade of the capitol in any way or is this all internal?"

Speaker Redmond: "It's all internally."

Ryan: "It's all internal, yeah. You mean, is there going to be some outside structure..."

Skinner: "Right. The outside is going to be the same after you've finished as it is now. Great, thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 104 'aye' and 6 'no'. The Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. 3313, Representative Younge. Out of the record. 3324, Representative Younge. Out of the record. 3325, Representative Younge. Out of the record. 3327, Out of the record. Is Representative Huff here? How about 3301, Representative Madigan."



Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3301. A Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the Supreme Court for the pay of certain officers of the Judicial system. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Madigan. Representative Matijeich."

Matijeich: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the original request was for 73,294,600 dollars. It was amended down 516,105 to become 72,778,497, which is up from the FY '80 appropriation which was 67,529,582, which is an increase of about seven and a half percent. I move for the passage of House Bill 3301."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I wouldn't suggest to you today that we abolish the third branch of government, but I'll tell you the time is rapidly coming when this Body has to put the Supreme Court of the State of Illinois in its place. They have become arrogant. They have become undeserving of the trust that's been put in them. They say the two Supreme Court judges of the State of Illinois have sat before the Legislative Audit Commission and says the money that they take in is their money that they don't have to account to the Legislature for it. The Legislature cannot control it, cannot appropriate it. The money that a lawyer pays in is money that's their money. It isn't like what a plumber pays in which becomes state funds, but the money a lawyer pays in is the Supreme Courts money and they'll do with it as they damn well please. I want to tell you that the time has come when, if we're going to control the budgetary process of the State of Illinois, then we're going to have to start with the Supreme Court."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I certainly



got to echo with vehemency... attitude of the Supreme Court, and it seems to me that perhaps the lawyers in this General Assembly may 'Kowtow' to the Supreme Court but I think those of us who are not members in the Bar and have nothing to fear in the way of reprisals ought to realize what the Supreme Court is doing. They are not only God, they're above God. Anytime a legis... group can come before the General Assembly asking for an appropriation and willfully disregard the Members of the General Assembly in a snide attitude and with a don't care and with a go to hell attitude, something must be done. And I'd like to read to you some of the figures we're talking about. We're not talking about peanuts. We're talking about thousands of dollars, and I'd rather chide Representative Matijevich for handling an appropriation without having resolved this satisfactory before the Committee. But since he is the Sponsor, it's his wishes. But I would like to point out some of the money we're talking about. The fee being collected by the Supreme Court for the Attorney Registration Disciplinary Committee is 763,000, dollars. Seven-hundred and sixty-three thousand dollars. They had expenses, mind you, of 559,000 dollars, and they won't tell us where they received the money nor where they spent it. Nor will they give us any information whatsoever. And what makes it even more dastardly is the fact that the Attorney General, legislative auditor for this General Assembly, has repeatedly tried to audit their books and they refuse him the courtesy and the right to see those books. Let me go a little bit farther. The State Board of Law Examiners paid in 225 dollars... at 225,000 dollars. They spent something in the neighborhood of 175,000 dollars. And again, this fund they will not say where they got the money and where it went.



And I think what we ought to do and means being realistic is to sit on this appropriation, and if we have to do it until the thirtieth day of June, we should do it. The Supreme Court are not to be elite. They are not above the law, in fact, they ought to be in the law. After all, they're supposed to uphold all right and justice. And I say that we ought to vote no on this appropriation and bring them to their knees where they ought to be. I'd ask for a no vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Are you taking pictures up there?
No pictures. No pictures in the gallery. Question... you be quiet.. Question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote aye, oppose vote no. Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, in closing and in explaining my vote, I would urge the Membership to vote aye on this Bill. It was said that we ought to hold it till June 30. The matter to which Representative Schraeder referred to is a matter that can only be resolved in the courts. There is a hassle right now between the Auditor General and the Supreme Court. That's the only way it will be resolved. You know, if you want to take after somebody first, take after the St. Louis Airport Authority is you've got a priority, and then we'll work on this later. But let's see where they're going with regard to the dispute between the Auditor General and the Supreme Court. All of us... there isn't a soul here that doesn't believe that this Bill is going to be... come out of the Legislature in its present form. The reason that we are hearing appropriation matters right now is because we want to be on time. We don't want to have happen what happened last year when we were here three days late and haggling over a couple of Bills. And that's all we did was haggle and haggle. All of us were here, and because a couple of Bills ^{were} hanging _^



over that didn't have to be resolved on June 30 and July 1. Let's move this Bill out as we know its going to be moved out, and let's get on with the work of the House. We've all... the political speeches are done. We've got the mileage out of it now let's move it out like it should be done, and I urge you to vote aye."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Katz."

Katz: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I listened intently to the Gentleman from Peoria. I don't know that I disagree with some of the things he said but that isn't what this Bill is all about. This Bill has nothing to do with ^{the} problems. This is simply a Bill for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Judicial system of the State of Illinois. I don't know how the Gentleman proposes it would get along without a Judicial system. The founders of our government thought they were pretty smart when they provided for an Executive, a Legislative, and a Judicial branch of government. This is simply the appropriation for the Judicial branch. That's all it is. And I would suggest that we go ahead and give them the votes needed to operate the Judicial system, and if somebody has a specific Bill directed to the question that the Gentleman from Peoria mentioned, then let him put it in the Bill. But this is not a Bill that has to do with this. It's a Bill simply for the ordinary expenses of maintaining a system of government under which we have a Judicial branch, and I would urge that we vote and give the necessary number of votes to get this on its way and we'll move on to other things."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative VanDuyne."

VanDuyne: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. My remarks are directed at the fellow in the tan suit here... up here berating Representative Schraeder. I find his philosophy, at least what he has 'espoused' over the microphone a few



minutes ago, is the most ridiculous philosophy I've ever heard. And I've been more or less berating that philosophy ever since I've been here for the last five and a half years. How in the world does anybody in this House of Representatives or the Senate ever think that we are going to get a handle on their obligation to fund state government if they just keep caving in every day, every year to the philosophy that Representative Matijevich has just espoused a minute ago? He keeps coming up with maybe truthful but ridiculous philosophies that who... who here in this House... well, loosely termed, this House of Representatives... termed outfit... that is not going to pass out in its present form. Where in the world can you ever effectuate any type of change in the appropriation and the spending habits of the state government if you keep caving in to Representative Matijevich's, just two minutes ago, espoused. Now, I'm not for that. I know that someday along... someday in this Session, whether it be three days too late or maybe even this fall, that we're going to come up with some type of appropriation for the Judiciary or the Department of Transportation or wherever, but nevertheless, addressing myself specifically to his remarks I think that if you're ever going to make anybody pay attention to you then you're going to have to withhold some money sometime somewhere, and I think Representative Matiejvich ought to know that."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Reilly."

Reilly: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. It doesn't seem to be changing the Roll Call very much but I just want to join Representative Matijevich. He's absolutely correct. What will happen is that the very people who are delaying the process at this point and making ... talking about the process and bemoaning the process at this point will be the very ones who will complain



when at two or three o'clock in the morning on July first or second or third we come in with an Omnibus Bill that does what, as responsible people, we have to do. I don't know how to explain that. Representative Peters tried last year. Representative Matijevich has tried this year. We're at one point in the process. We're not at the end of the process. If you slow things down now, you are the ones who will be bringing... causing, requiring the sloppy procedures we sometimes object to at the end. Understand that. You can't have it both ways. If you want to slow down the process now, that means the process doesn't work at the end, and you will be the ones at fault, not those on the appropriations who have tried to move the process along. It seems to be very clear that we ought to put the rest of the votes on here and get this Bill out of here."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like a point of personal privilege if I may."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Schraeder: "Well, I resent the fact that this is a dilatory action. I happen to believe that the appropriation process is one of the most important processes in the General Assembly. And if the Chairman of the Appropriation doesn't like the process, then he oughtn't to be the Chairman. If he says we got to pass out every appropriation that comes to that Committee without taking a hard look at it, then he's wrong. And I resent the fact that he comes back to my desk and says, 'Sit down, you can't do it'. I have a responsibility whether he does or not. And as far as the... Representative Katz's concerned, I realize this is not the brains to stop the operation of the Supreme Court. I am not trying to. I am just trying to say that they have a responsibility as justices of the State of Illinois that they have to pay



the tax like everyone else. Ladies and Gentlemen, whether we're here one more day or another, I'll be here with you as I have been in the past. But I'm not going to vote for an appropriation when the people responsible for that appropriation aren't following the letter of the law and the Supreme Court is not following the letter of the law. I still say we ought to let this thing go down the tubes."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? We'll take this one out of the record. There's not a full attendance. Not full enough attendance to do that to the Sponsor. Out of the record. Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, I ask you to leave it in the record. It can be put on postponed consideration."

Speaker Redmond: "This Bill was called without full attendance here, and I don't think it's fair to the Sponsor of this House. I'm taking it out of the record. I'll do the same thing for everyone else, but we can't jeopardize the passage of Bills. We might just as well adjourn and go home till tomorrow now if you're not going to. Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Now, Mr. Speaker, you're making special rules for the Supreme Court. You know that once a Roll Call is started you either kill the Bill or put it on postponed consideration. I'm surprised that you'd align yourself with the Supreme Court and give them special consideration like they demand..."

Speaker Redmond: "In case you don't know it. they're deciding the case involving your pay raise."

Friedrich: "That's alright with me. I already decided."

Speaker Redmond: "3001, Madigan."



Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3001. A Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense of the State Comptroller. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record, Representative Madigan? 2831, Donovan. Are you ready on that one? Out of the record. Stearney here? 3034, out of the record. McAuliffe here? 3036, out of the record. Tuerk here? 3064, out of the record. 3066. John Dunn here? Out of the record. Hanahan here? 3068. Out of the record. Okay. 3135. Terzich here? Out of the record. Yourell, 3201. Out of the record. Representative Mahar."

Mahar: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'd like to ask for a Republican Conference in room 118 for thirty minutes."

Speaker Redmond: "Be back here at 1:30, is that correct?"

Mahar: "Yes, Sir."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay."

Mahar: "Room 118."

Speaker Redmond: "The House will stand in recess until 1:30 ...Republican Conference."

Collins: "Would Republican Members please move down to the Conference, we're due back at 1:30 and we've got a good deal to talk about."

Speaker Redmond: "House will be in Session. Committee Reports."

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Kane and Mugalian, Co-chairmen of the Committee on State Government Organization, to which the following Bill was referred, action taken May 27, 1980, reported the same back with the following recommendation: Do Pass House Bill 3614."

Speaker Redmond: "The Order of Motions on page 8 appears Senate Bill 1647. Representative Peters is recognized."

Peters: "Mr. Clerk, is the motion to discharge the Appropriations Committee and move the...would...would the Clerk



read the motion?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Motion pursuant to Rule 66 A. I move to discharge the Committee on Appropriations II from further consideration to Senate Bill 1647 and advance to the Order of Second Reading, Second Legislative Day."

Peters: "Thank you, Mr. Clerk. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. What ...first of all, this motion has been cleared with the Speaker and with the...Ms. Chapman, the Chairman of the Appropriations Committee, which would ordinarily hear the Bill. And what the Bill does is make some in House, in line transfers of various funds. It adds a few dollars on the basis of some funds that we have lost from the Federal Government. There's nothing controversial in the Bill and the Department is under a time constraint in order to get this passed so that they can make the payments to selected agencies and local groups and what have you. So we would respectfully request your support of this motion."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion pursuant to Rule 66 A, the Committee on Appropriations be discharged from further consideration and the Bill advanced to the Order of Second Reading. Representative Brummer."

Brummer: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Brummer: "Has the Appropriations Committee not had an opportunity to hear this?"

Peters: "The Appropriations Committee has not...we did not meet. Our next scheduled meeting is for tomorrow morning, which then means if it is voted out as it would be it would not get to the House floor here until sometime next week and passed the week after. And we are under a time problem in terms of getting this passed in time so that the monies can go out."

Brummer: "So far as you know there is no disagreement with



regard to voting to discharge it?"

Peters: "No..."

Brummer: "Thank you."

Peters: "...Representative Chapman..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Chapman."

Chapman: "Mr. Speaker, I support Mr. Peters' motion. I believe this is a necessary action for us to take in order that state employees may be paid promptly."

Peters: "Thank you, Mrs. Chapman."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion.

Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 113 'aye' and 1 'no'. The motion prevails. Mr. Clerk, Senate Bill 1647 is on the Order of Second Reading, Second Legislative Day."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1647. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections...to make an appropriation to the Department of Public Health. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Pechous here? Brummer, do you want to call House Joint Resolution 24? Out of the record. Harris, Rea, Mulcahey, Schrader. All out of the record. Senate Joint Resolution 8, Representative Kucharski. Out of the record. On page 3, 3036. It's on Third Reading, page 3."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3036. A Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Court of Claims. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McAuliffe."

McAuliffe: "House Bill...Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 3036 appropriates three million, eight hundred and eighty-seven thousand, one hundred dollars to the General Revenue Fund and one hundred



thousand dollars to the Road Fund for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Court of Claims."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 112 'aye' and 5 'no'. The Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. 3064."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3064. A Bill for an Act making appropriation of funds from the Common School Fund and certain Federal Funds to the State Board of Education. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, House Bill 3064 appropriates in the vicinity of three quarters of a billion dollars. Two hundred and eighty-one million which...from the Common School Fund for teacher retirement pay-outs, four hundred and thirty-two million in Federal grants for a number of various...number of Federal grant-in-aid programs and nine million for driver education. The teacher retirement Bill, the amount of money has grown only because more people are on pension now and it will continue to grow. But the total appropriation is...nine hundred...seven hundred and thirty-two million, I believe is the exact figure. And I would ask for your support."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 105 'aye' and 14 'no'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bills, Third Reading. On page 4 appears House Bill 3313."



Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3313. A Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the Illinois Community College Board. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Youngue."

Youngue: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 3313 would establish an institute of community economic development at the State Community College in the East St. Louis area. The institute would have the responsibility for training community leaders and the development of private enterprise and would have the responsibility for preparing...teaching them how to prepare proposals in order to stimulate the economic development and the growth and income of low...low income residents in the East St. Louis area. And I ask for your support in this matter. The Bill is an appropriation Bill for two thousand dollars for planning for the State Community College to plan this institute. And I ask for your support in this matter. I move for the passage of this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question...Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "This is House Bill 3313 and Representative Youngue is...I might ask you this question. My staff analysis indicates that this is an appropriation of thirty-three...thirty-six thousand dollars for a scholarship program at the institute for community economic development. And the comment is that the scholarships do not exist and there appears to be no companion Bill establishing them. Would you comment on that, please?"

Youngue: "The...my comment would be that the Bill has been amended to make the appropriation for the amount of two thousand dollars in order to plan the institute of natural resources...the institute of community economic development, rather. And it is an amount...an appropriation in order to plan the institute."



Deuster: "I...is there any authority for the planning.

This is an appropriation, is there any authority for that?"

Younge: "The authority came by letter from the Board of Directors of the State Community College."

Deuster: "And they say they have authority to do what you're appropriating money for them to do."

Younge: "They have authority to plan programs, yes."

Deuster: "All right, thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Jake Wolf. The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. The TV light is on. Have all voted who wish? Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I really see nothing wrong with this. It's a mere two thousand dollars. And I would commend Wyvetter Younge. We all know that she is doing everything in her power to try to do something to stimulate the industrial and community development in the very depressed East St. Louis area. And all this does is provide two thousand dollars in planning money for her junior college...for an institute^{of} community development and I commend her and would urge the Members to help her out because she's done everything possible to try to stimulate growth in that area and we all know it's needed greatly. And I'd appreciate your support on her behalf."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Ray Ewell."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlement, the figure of thirty-six thousand dollars is perhaps the smallest amount that I've seen come through this General Assembly in all of this long time. But I want to say, if you think about this industrial program that she's attempting to develop in East St. Louis, it's simply an extension of what would be, say your farm 4-H program.



When you're talking about two thousand dollars it's two thousand dollars to sit up and simply try to plan for some kind of industrial redevelopment. It's not a large amount of money and surely I think we ought to turn a kind and conscientious ear to a Lady whose thoughts are pristine in their scope for her community of East St. Louis. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 91 'aye' and 53 'no'. The Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. 3324."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3324. A Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the State Compensation Insurance Fund. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Younge. Representative Younge."

Younge: "I...take...that's Representative McPike's Bill. Take it out of the record, please."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. 3325."

Younge: "3327."

Speaker Redmond: "How about 5? Do you want to call that one? It's on the top of page 5."

Younge: "House Bill 3325."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3325. A Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the East St. Louis Industrial Development Commission. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Younge."

Younge: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 3325 would appropriate to the Department of Commerce the amount of twenty-five thousand dollars to be made available to the East St. Louis Economic Development Commission for economic development in East St. Louis. The city of East St. Louis has formed the East St. Louis Economic Development Commission that has the responsibility for fostering economic growth for the city. And these funds will be and could be matched by Federal funds which



the State of Illinois has from the EDA for economic development. And I ask for support of this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?"

Younge: "Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Yes."

Younge: "I'd like to explain my vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Younge: "The Illinois side of the St. Louis metropolitan area is the only area in the...in the St. Louis metropolitan area that is not land locked for industrial development. The city of East St. Louis has joined with the banking and business establishments in the Illinois area to form the local economic development commission that has the responsibility for coming up with a plan of action as to how to foster the industrial growth of that area. And it is...the State of Illinois is...periodically given funds by the Department of Commerce for local economic development. And since the city has formed this commission it would be appropriate for the state to assist through the funds that it has from the Federal Government and match with funds from the state to help stabilize the economy of...of the city of East St. Louis and of that area. And that is what this Bill does. It simply provides a very, very small amount of money for the banking and business community to come up with a long range growth plan as to how to revitalize that area. I think that we have a great deal at stake in that there are a number of plants that have...that are delapidated, that have been moving, that we want to retain here in Illinois. And it is incumbent upon, I think, the state to do everything that it can to keep businesses from moving



out of that area so that the area can be transformed into a revenue generating area rather than a tax drain area. I believe that every person here in this House has a vital stake in relieving this state of the dependency of the welfare system. And there is just one answer to that and that is the creation of economic growth through people being employed. And that is what this Bill is about and I ask for your support for those reasons."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Collins."

Collins: "Mr. Speaker, have we repealed Rule 56 B?"

Speaker Redmond: "New Parliamentarian says yes."

Collins: "Well, tell the new Parliamentarian that we haven't and we should enforce it. You should enforce it."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative J.J. Wolf."

Wolf: "Well, I just want to explain my vote in opposition, Mr. Speaker. We had this Amendment in the Appropriations I Committee and it was defeated, I don't remember what the vote was. But we've got a foreign trade zone less than ten miles from there in Granite City now and to establish another in East St. Louis, less than ten miles away wouldn't even make sense. This is twenty-five thousand dollars for somebody's salary supposedly to do some study and I would just urge its defeat."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Piel."

Piel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. If this reaches 89 I'd like to verify please."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 82 'aye' and 62 'no'. Representative Younger."

Younger: "Take it out of the record, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "I got in trouble on that one the last time. We'll have to put it on Postponed Consideration. What do you want done with 3327, Representative Younger?"



Younger: "Let's hear it."

Speaker Redmond: "You want that one called?"

Younger: "Yes."

Speaker Redmond: "3327."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 3327. A Bill for an Act making appropriations for the Family Resource Center Program at State Community College in East St. Louis. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Younger."

Younger: "Thank you. This Bill would appropriate \$15,000 to the Board of the State Community College for the establishment of an interdisciplinary program for family resource development. Family resource development is basically taking the family unit and making sure that the low income families in the area of the State Community College have the various skills and tools necessary to transform that family from a cultural poverty to an upper mobility family, and the request here is for a very small amount of \$15,000 in order to plan the sequence and program for the family resource center. The Board of Directors of the State Community College has requested and approved these planning funds, and I ask for your favorable consideration of this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' Those in favor vote 'aye'. Opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Gaines."

Gaines: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, when we discuss Public Aid and when we discuss other measures that cost billions of dollars, they talk about the breakdown of the family. When we talk about appropriating a small amount of money to try to find ways to strengthen the family which would take people off of welfare roles, we become penny-wise and pound-foolish. Well there should be some more 'yes' votes



because when you get to the public aid part, you say don't allow all these people on public aid, it's partly do to the breakdown of the family and we have an institution, Community College, which is not basic... judicious people, they are based on experts who are trying to find ways and means to strengthen the family among those people who are most likely ^{will} get on public aid if the family is not strengthened."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Younge."

Younge: "Mr. Speaker, I think this is a very important Bill and I'd like for it to pass. It is one of the strongest units that is left in the totally hammered and suppressed ghettos of this state is the family unit. And millions of poor families have only this that is holding them together. The purpose of this Bill would be to plan a program where...in which par...professionals would be trained to go out and work with those families to make sure that the mothers and the parents in those families have the skill to develop a kind of thinking skills and the children that are necessary to get that child out of that poverty cycle. We have talked about it, we have done a lot of things. But in giving public aid we do not provide the kind of instruction necessary to help that family get out of poverty. All that happens there is that we make poverty more comfortable. What we have to do, and I think what the focus of this State center in a community college will be, to teach that family those skills necessary for it to cope in the present inflationary cycle of the rising costs. In the area that I am attempting to set up this center the cost of gas is one dollar and forty cents a gallon. The cost of housing...everything is much higher and these families are really catching hell trying to exist. And there needs to be a place at the State Community



College where the family can find the kind of skills and be taught the kind of skills to cope with this environment. We have tried a number of external things and now it is time for us to try to develop a human capital...or to help people get out of poverty themselves. And that is the focus of this Bill and...and that is why I ask for your support."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, 83 'aye', 56 'no'. Representative Younge."

Younge: "Poll the absentees, please."

Speaker Redmond: "The Lady requests a poll of the absentees. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Poll of the absentees. Abramson, Bluthardt. Capuzi. Casey. Christensen. Cullerton. Donovan. Ralph Dunn. Ebbesen. Dwight Friedrich. Greiman. Hannig."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hannig, 'aye'."

Clerk Leone: "Huskey. Dave Jones. Kane. Katz."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Katz, 'aye'."

Clerk Leone: "Klosak. Kucharski. Laurino. Margalus. Mautino. McBroom. McClain. Meyer. Molloy. Oblinger. Pechous. Peters. Pierce. Preston. Schlickman. Schuneman. Stearney. E.G. Steele. C.M. Stiehl. Terzich. Van Duyne."

Speaker Redmond: "Now what's the count? 85 'aye' and 56 'no'. Representative Younge."

Younge: "...Consideration."

Speaker Redmond: "Postponed...Consideration Postponed. 3331. Kelly, do you want to go with that one? 33..."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 3331. A Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the Capital Development Board. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kelly."

Kelly: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House."



House Bill 3331 is an appropriation which provides ...well, with the Amendments included, a 1.3 million dollar appropriation to the Capital Development Board for the purpose of...a half a million of this amount would go for building a south suburban...south and southwest suburban Cook County swimming facility for mentally and for physically handicapped children who are served in the...in this particular area. There happens to be six thousand students who would be participating within this geographic area which is covered primarily by the Eighth Legislative District, Ninth Legislative District and a very small portion of the Tenth Legislative District. There were also two other Amendments which were adopted onto this Bill, one by Representative Karpel which provides another half a million dollar appropriation for a similar swimming facility for mentally and physically handicapped persons in the Roselle area. And Representative Cal Skinner had a three hundred thousand dollar appropriation to repair the heating and cooling system of the McHenry County College. Ladies and Gentlemen, I would ask for your support. This is a...extremely important legislation I know in my area and the district I represent and I would ask your support."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed for 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Representative Borchers."

Borchers: "Mr. Speaker, we had a swimming pool in mental health...the mental health, Adolf Meyer Clinic. As a result, we had a drowning. Because the supervisors and those in charge were not properly watching what they were supposed to do, being human, they let them go by themselves. I think this is a lot of money to spend for people that need help, more help mentally, and..."



that are retarded in the state institutions than for swimming pools. Because ours was all bad. It's been abandoned now for at least, say ten years it's been abandoned, just an empty room. So we're throwing a lot of money away for something could actually be dangerous to the people that it's supposed to help. Ask me from experience."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there is 96 'aye', 29 'no'. And the Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Now we'll go back to House Bills, Second Reading on page 2. 3019, Representative Flinn."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 3019. A Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the St. Louis Metropolitan Area Airport Authority. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to Amendment 1?"

Clerk Leone: "No motions filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #2, Flinn, amends House Bill 3019 as amended by deleting all of Section 1 and inserting in lieu thereof the following."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Flinn."

Flinn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think most people know what Amendment #2 does. It puts the Bill back to its original form. The Appropriations Committee saw fit to cut the Bill back to nine dollars, one dollar per line. And what this does, it puts one hundred and ninety-two thousand dollars back in the Bill as originally requested. And all I'm asking for is approval of this so that we can consider the Bill upon the basis of what we had originally requested. We're not...I'd like to remind those that may get up and speak



on the Amendment that we're not talking about the Bill itself, we're talking about the Amendment and what shape the Bill should be in when it is considered on Third Reading. And I would ask for approval of Amendment #2."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, if there ever was a fly-by-night airport, this is...this Authority is the one. We have for a number of years been appropriating money in anticipation that there would be a facility put in place in the area that the Gentleman is talking about and it has never come to pass. It has finally dawned on the Appropriations Committee and I think many Members of this House that we have been letting money slip through our hands on the appropriation for this matter. The Committee has carefully considered its action and has appropriated one dollar per nine line items for a total appropriation of nine dollars for St. Louis Airport Authority. And that is the posture in which the Bill left the Committee. Now, we are not, in effect, killing this agency. What we are doing, and what the Department of Transportation has said we can do, is by just appropriating the nine dollars we are leaving the Authority alive in case something more concrete comes down the line at a later time so that we can justify the expenditures of some hundred and ninety-two thousand dollars. And that is the shape which the Bill left the Committee and which it is before you. The Sponsor is trying to restore the entire original amount of one hundred and ninety-two thousand dollars. There are many of us..I think many in this General Assembly who have looked upon this as a boondoggle, as a rathole, as anything else you might call it because it has just not materialized. I think it is



prudent that the action of the Committee stand as a nine dollar appropriation and that this Authority be voted out and that the Amendment to restore it to its original amount be defeated."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijeovich."

Matijeovich: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like to reiterate what Representative Totten has said and others on the Appropriations Committee have said earlier when this matter had been brought up before, that finally the Appropriations Committee in quite loud terms has said that we have had enough of this and the only reason that we keep it alive at all, if there is any hope left that the Flying Tigers may use a site, that we would know that by... by the Fall Session when we do come back. And that's our way of keeping it alive, by appropriating the nine dollars. Many of us have each legislative year have said that this was a boondoggle and we ^{should} have stopped a long time ago. We've spent monies over four million dollars on this agency and I think that it is responsible now to finally say that we've done enough, we've kept the agency afloat, we've kept jobs for some people that didn't deserve them in the past. But now, finally, Representative Totten has said this is a fly-by-night airport. It isn't even a fly-by-night, there's no way you can fly period, night or day. And I said it before long ago that there never will be an airport there. I said it long ago and they said just let us be alive, we're going to show you, there will be an airport. They said that a long time ago. There is not an airport. I really think there's no hopes of an airport. And finally we ought to say no and therefore vote 'no' against this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the



House. I don't often rise to speak in favor of Amendments increasing Appropriation Bills, particularly in parts of the state as remote from that district as this one is. However, I think the Membership of this House ought to look carefully at and support Representative Flinn's Amendment in this case. The only reason there is not an airport under construction or perhaps finished on this site right now, is because the President made a rotten deal with Representatives of the State of Missouri. I have no doubt but that we're going to have a chance to have a new President and a new fair deal for a St. Louis Airport in Illinois. This...on the merits, the site proposed by the Illinois Authority makes eminent sense. It's far more accessible to the St. Louis area than any Missouri based site. And I know on the basis of the history of this site, I have been talked to by lobbyists who want a Missouri site, to try to persuade me to support this Amend...to oppose this Amendment to cut funding for this Airport Authority. The reason they want to cut that funding is that they know that this Authority, as long as it is alive and functioning, can produce the best case for the location of this airport. What you're doing with this...with this Amendment if you vote 'yes' is providing the best possible long term change to revitalize the metro-east area. Anybody who has looked at this site objectively has said that the site Representative Flinn supports and that you support if you vote for this Amendment, if you vote 'yes' on this Amendment, is the best site from the standpoint of airport economic and from the standpoint of revitalizing the metro-east area. I would urge a 'yes' vote on this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Neff."

Neff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I must oppose this Amendment, regardless of



my good friend. I think we're beating a dead horse to death here. As it's been brought out, we've spent over four million dollars of the taxpayer's money keeping this alive. Now I don't think we have any indication that there will ever be an airport there. And I question very much whether it's needed because we do have Lambert Airfield there. They say they can enlarge it if it needs to be. And for those reasons I don't think we're going to have an airport there and all we've done, as I see, is give a few people jobs that certainly...this...I would like to see the whole thing defeated completely. But I think we should leave it stand as the Committee acted wisely dropping this appropriation back to nine dollars and it certainly should be left there."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Younge."

Younge: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'm supporting Representative Flinn's Amendment because I believe that it takes time to really develop and implement very large scale projects. We're talking here about a sixty-four million dollar new airport in the metropolitan St. Louis area. That takes time to do. I think that the St. Louis Metropolitan Airport Authority is to be commended in that it got back on federal funding. For a while due to the great influence of Senator Eagleton of Missouri it was taken off of federal funding. But it is now back on federal funding and I think that that fact itself is a tremendous feat. We're talking about an airport potential of sixty-four million dollars which would bring about sixty-four thousand jobs to the Illinois side of the metropolitan area. And I think that is a very important thing to the economy of the State of Illinois. Yes, we're talking about jobs. Yes, we're talking about construction. And that is very much what government is all about. And so I think



that the residents...and I think that this Bill would simply...should support the opportunity to build that airport in Illinois. And I ask for support of Representative Flinn's Amendment for those reasons."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Steele."

Steele: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise in support of this Amendment. This is a lesser amount than in prior years and I really believe there's probably nothing we could do here for the dollars involved in this State Legislature that could possibly create more jobs than this would do. Now it's been stated here that there's no hope. It's been stated here that maybe St. Louis is fighting against us. I'm quoting from the St. Louis Post Dispatch a week ago. Now this is St. Louis that is urging Illinois to create an airport, not in Missouri but in Illinois, another state, because it's feasible. I'm quoting here and it says, 'The Federal Aviation Administration's agreement to participate with this airport in Illinois should be supported.' It says, 'An all-cargo airport at Columbia Waterloo could be a tremendous stimulant for the two state areas' lagging economic growth.' Here again, from a St. Louis source, 'The Airport Authority believes that as many as ten thousand new jobs could be created.' Now for the amount of money we're spending here and a St. Louis paper saying that ten thousand jobs could be created, I think it's very viable, I think it's very possible, I certainly think it's an investment well worth our time and effort here and I urge that we support this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Griesheimer."

Griesheimer: "Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Griesheimer: "Representative Flinn, the measure that is before us right now is merely to restore your Bill to its



original status, is that correct? Well, how much money are we talking about in your original Bill?"

Flinn: "A hundred and ninety-two thousand dollars."

Griesheimer: "I'm sorry, I didn't hear."

Flinn: "One hundred and ninety-two thousand dollars."

Griesheimer: "One hundred and ninety-two thousand?"

Flinn: "Yes."

Griesheimer: "All right. Ladies and Gentlemen, I'd like to speak to this Bill. I believe I voted against this every time it's been up. But somehow the comments of one of the prior Speakers stirred me a little bit to give some thought to this. To all of the Legislators that live in the Chicago^{land} area and happen to have been around as long as I have been, and I think that covers most of us, you'll recall that when Mayor Daley decided to build a tremendous airport in the Chicago area, and I believe he was Mayor at that time or at least he was acting as Mayor shortly thereafter, he not only wanted to have his major airport within the City of Chicago but went so far as to ask the County of DuPage to give up the property so that it could be part of the City of Chicago. And actually, there was an annexation at that time to take property from DuPage County. I see Elmer shaking his head here, but in any case, I know the City of Chicago laid hands on that property because it was such a tremendous economic boost to the city to have that airport within the City of Chicago. Can you imagine the Mayor of Chicago allowing Gary, Indiana, to develop an airport or Milwaukee, Wisconsin, to be utilized for the City of Chicago? It would have been ludicrous. And the reason for it is because there's such a tremendous economic impact to the area to have an airport. Now, for all of the money we waste down here and rathole on ridiculous Commissions, and I always have to think of the Status of Women as being one of the



more ludicrous ones, I think we should do all we can to try to promote business in the State of Illinois. And if the people of Missouri are willing to allow us to develop an airport, whether it takes one year, five years, fifteen years, twenty-five years, I think we ought to do that and appropriate the money to try to establish that airport in Illinois. We are the ones that are going to benefit. The people of Missouri will come streaming over to our side to use our business facility, will employ our citizens, will pay our sales tax. We'll have two major airports of monumental size in the State of Illinois, possibly three if Midway ever gets going again and it will benefit all of us. And I think this would be an opportunity to do exactly what Kansas and Wisconsin has been doing to Illinois. They've been stealing business from us. It's time for us to get on our red rider and go over to Missouri and take their business. This is the time. Let's get the Illinois cavalry going and do something to get this airport over here. Maybe we should do this in the name of the Mayor."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Flinn to close."

Flinn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Flying Tigers are going to leave Chicago whether we pass this Bill, whether we have an airport in Illinois or not. That's a known fact. I was rather interested in the people who spoke against the Amendment who apparently didn't know what they were speaking about. Representative Neff, for example, used the word 'never'. Well, that's one hell of a long time, never is. They would never be an airport, he said. And then he related... Representative Neff related to the Lambert Airfield. We're not talking about making a passenger airport out of this. We're talking about making an all freight cargo hub in the



center of the Nation. It's going to be located some-
place. We might as well be first out. And I also was
interested in Representative Matijevich's speech, of
course I'd heard it before. Senator Eagleton has made
it several times. I...word for word almost. Normally
you give Representative Matijevich right...credit for
writing his own speeches, but apparently he's been too
busy. Today he used the Eagleton's speech that's been
used over and over. Now, and I've called a lot of names
in violation of the Rules so far, but I would like to
talk about Representative Totten and Representative
Matijevich. They talked about the nine dollars that
keeps the Bill alive. Well let me tell you now, I'm
the Sponsor, there won't be any nine dollar Bill, unless
they introduce one of their own. We're either going to
have enough to keep that one alive or we're going to
kill it once and for all. And then you can tell your
grandchildren some day, I've done a great disservice to
the State of Illinois, I turned down an opportunity to
get an airport right here in the center of the Nation,
located in our own state. Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and
I ask that the Amendment be adopted."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion
for the adoption of Amendment 2. Those in favor vote
'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative J.J. Wolf."

Wolf: "Yes, just to explain my vote, Mr. Speaker. I'd just
like to point out to the Members before they vote, which
is...I'm not speaking as a Minority Spokesman for
Appropriation, I'm speaking for myself. I would just
like the Members to know that last year this Authority
was appropriated two hundred and two thousand dollars.
They received another forty thousand from the DOT.
There are four other sites presently in the running,
that's the Lawrenceville-Vincennes, Quincy, Decatur
and Springfield. And the DOT has 4.2 million dollars



for the development of an air cargo hub in its budget. I don't really know that this airport should be treated any different than the other four sites that are in the running in Illinois."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 82 'aye' and 6 'no' and the motion carries. The Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3026."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 3026. A Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Commerce and Community Affairs. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 and 11 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motions with respect to Committee Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No motions filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #12, Bower, amends House Bill 3026 as amended with reference to page and line numbers and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bower. Representative Watson, please sit down."

Bower: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This would appropriate twenty-nine thousand, five hundred dollars for the Wabash...Greater Wabash Regional Planning Commission. Two of the last three years the Planning Commission has received an appropriation from the General Assembly. Sixteen thousand dollars of this would be used to match with the Department of Housing and Urban Development grants for a comprehensive plan for the Greater Wabash region. And thirteen and a half thousand dollars of this would match with the forty thousand dollar grant from the Economic Development



Administration for a job survey study. I would appreciate your favorable vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hallock."

Hallock: "I have no objection to the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Totten: "How many members are there are the Greater Wabash Council or whatever it is?"

Bower: "I didn't hear the question?"

Totten: "How many members on the Council are there...this Greater...the Greater Wabash Commission...Regional Planning Commission?"

Bower: "What do you mean?"

Totten: "How...who...how many members are there?"

Bower: "It takes in several counties in southeastern Illinois."

Totten: "Is Indiana part of it?"

Bower: "No. It...it borders on the Wabash River and...to the east and that's where it stops. It does not go into Indiana."

Totten: "There are no Indiana members on this Commission?"

Bower: "Not to my knowledge."

Totten: "Does Indiana participate in this Commission at all?"

Bower: "This is...the title of the Commission is the Greater Wabash Regional Planning Commission. It's merely a geographical designation and is all within Illinois."

Totten: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Brummer."

Brummer: "Yes, briefly on behalf of this, this enables additional funds to come into Illinois by providing matching funds with federal dollars. It is an agency that has been very successful in job development in the area. The Commission has previously assisted in obtaining approval of over one hundred housing units in



area. It assisted in obtaining a considerable number of dollars of federal grants through HUD and other agencies. They have previously generated over 2.5 million dollars in federal dollars with the seed money that is provided to this type of an appropriation. And I would urge an affirmative vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? The question is on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment 12? Those in favor say 'aye', aye, opposed...those in favor vote 'aye' and opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 63 'aye' and 27 'no' and the motion carried. The Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #13, C.M. Stiehl, amends House Bill 3026 as amended."

Speaker Redmond: "Who's the Sponsor? Who? Representative Stiehl."

Stiehl: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #13 appropriates one million dollars to the Department of Commerce and Community Development to provide for a job training program as an inducement for industries to expand and to locate in Illinois. There are a number of other states that have this program. It's one of the most successful programs that they have undertaken in luring industries and in inducing industries to expand within their state. And I would ask for an affirmative vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is on the Lady's motion for the adoption of Amendment 13? Those in favor say 'aye', aye, opposed 'no'...the 'ayes'... those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 54 'aye' and 30 'no' and the motion carried. The Amendment is adopted. Any further



Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #14, Leverenz, amends House Bill 3026 as amended with reference to page and line numbers of House Amendment #4."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leverenz. Leverenz on the floor? Mulcahey, Ronan, Capparelli...is he hiding Leverenz back there? Representative Hallock."

Hallock: "Well, with the Sponsor not being on the floor, I move to table that Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, we don't have to do that. Is there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #15, Winchester, et al, amends House Bill 3026 as amended with reference to page and line numbers of House Amendment #1."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Winchester. Winchester."

Winchester: "Oh, Amendment #15, Mr. Speaker. Move to withdraw Amendment #15."

Speaker Redmond: "15 withdrawn. Any further Amendments."

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #16, Winchester, et al, amends House Bill 3026 as amended with reference to page and line numbers of House Amendment #1."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Winchester."

Winchester: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. We are asking that fifty thousand dollars be granted to the National Coal Mining Museum Committee, at the Southern Illinois University foundation in Carbondale, for the purpose of providing preliminary studies leading to ^{the development} and construction of a coal mining museum, an exhibition center in southern Illinois. This project has become possible through the generous gift of three hundred thousand dollars from the Honorable Kenneth J. Gray, former Member of the U.S. House of Representatives. Additionally, the people of southern Illinois have responded with several offers of gifts of land on U.S. Route 57 between Mount Vernon and Marion and



other locations in the rich coal fields of southern Illinois. The value of each site offer exceeds one hundred thousand dollars. Considerable national attention has been expressed to add further support from the private sector for the development of this outstanding project. The composition of the Committee, responsible for the establishment of this museum reflects the broad support already existing. It consists of distinguished individuals from the mining industry, the United Mine Workers of America, business, education, museum management. And this group has prepared along with others to help create a major facility in Illinois. Today this country is once more turning to coal as a major fuel source. The role that coal has play in this country's history and the role is will continue to play is an important contribution made by many thousands of individuals in various capacities. Until now no one has possessed the means to establish a national museum and a memorial to present and preserve for all generations the contributions and sacrifices made by those individuals engaged in the extraction of coal. Due to private enterprise, we now possess the means necessary to begin to develop and establish a national coal museum memorial and exhibition hall in Illinois and I would ask for a favorable vote on this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, shall this...of the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment 16. Those in favor say 'aye', aye, opposed 'no'. Those in favor vote 'aye' and opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 57 'aye' and 56 'no' and the motion carried. The Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #17, Slape, amends House Bill 3026



as amended by deleting the title and inserting in lieu thereof the following."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Slape."

Slape: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd like to withdraw Amendment #17."

Speaker Redmond: "17 is withdrawn. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #18, Henry, amends House Bill 3026 as amended by deleting the title and inserting in lieu thereof the following."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Henry."

Henry: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentleman. This Amendment appropriates a hundred and fifty thousand dollars to the Illinois Development...Illinois Industrial Development for its operation and five hundred thousand dollars to the Illinois Product Development Corporation which includes fifty thousand for operations and four hundred and fifty-five thousand...four hundred and fifty thousand for financial assistance. The Federal Government has already granted the State of Connecticut with a new product division of four hundred thousand dollars. The...that's a smaller state than Illinois. So I would be sure that the new development corporation...New Product Development Corporation will qualify for much more funds from the Federal Government."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Representative Hallock."

Hallock: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this Amendment just came across my desk a few minutes ago. And I would ask the Sponsor to yield for some questions."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Henry."

Hallock: "I see a breakdown in the five hundred thousand in two categories. What's the first five hundred thousand dollar amount, what is that for?"

Henry: "That is for the Bill that just passed out of the House last week, the Illinois New Product Development Corporation."



Hallock: "And the one hundred and fifty thousand dollars, what is that for?"

Henry: "The one hundred and fifty thousand dollars is to go to the Illinois Industrial Development Authority because they are sitting there with millions of dollars of bonding authority and do not have the right to hire staff and employees to put in motion what this House and Senate and the government mandated them to do. So they have to hire staff to start moving some of the funds into commercial..commercial..commercial development. And as yet they have not been able to do that. They've got a hundred million dollars sitting there and we need that...we need those funds and their assistance into commercials to create jobs in our community."

Hallock: "Well, Mr. Speaker, if I might address the Amendment. First of all, we have here an appropriation offer for six hundred and fifty thousand not in the Governor's budget. Of that amount, five hundred thousand is pursuant to a Bill which he passed last week in which at this point in time we're still unsure as to what that does. It seems to me to set aside five hundred thousand dollars at this point in time for a Bill which we're unsure as to what it does is mistake and would be a mistake of this House. With regard to the second part of the Amendment, the hundred and fifty thousand dollars for IDA, I think all of us here should note that IDA at the present time only has three employees statewide. To add a hundred and fifty thousand dollars in a budget which now only has three employees to me would not only be absurd but be a waste of state money. I would urge that you combine... oppose both sections of this Amendment and vote 'no' on this offer. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Henry to close."



Henry: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Let me point out the reason that the state is in an economic depressed area such as my area downstate and mid-state is because they do not have enough staff. They only have three people. What we are saying with the hundred and fifty thousand dollars is to provide this good idea that you passed, great idea, to help commercial development get moving. They need more staff, they need more help. All we're doing is trying to help them with the hundred and fifty thousand dollars."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment 18. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question 77 'aye' and 59 'no' and the motion carries. The Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3027."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 3027. A Bill for an Act making an appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Institute of Natural Resources and certain other state agencies. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk Leone: "No motions filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #2, Madigan, amends House Bill 3027 on page 7, line 24 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Amendment would increase the appropriation to the museum program statewide by 1.5 million dollars. It would increase the program from 1.5 to three million dollars. I move for the adoption of the Amendment."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Reed."

Reed: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, with all due respect to the Majority Leader, I think the Body should know that this Amendment calls for one hundred percent increase in grants to nineteen public museums, eleven of which are located in Cook County. Administration of this increase is not a problem but the funding is. We oppose Amendment #2."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? The question is on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment 2. Those in favor say 'aye', aye, opposed 'no'. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 73 'aye' and 63 'no', the motion carries. The Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #3, Leverenz, amends House Bill 3027 on page 1, line 12 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Amendment #3 would eliminate four of ten brand new positions requested and would put in Amendment #14 one of the positions dealing with the coal group back in. So there would be a net in...decrease of three of the new positions. This takes out four, we will put one in later."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Reed."

Reed: "This is an agreed Amendment, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment 3. Those in favor say 'aye', aye, opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the motion carried and the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #4, Leverenz-Matijeovich, amends House Bill 3027 as amended in Section 4 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leverenz."



Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #4 would transfer contractual service employees, as we have done in the past, into the personal services and related line items. I would ask for the approval on Amendment #4."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Reed."

Reed: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Institute of Natural Resources is strongly opposed to this Amendment. There are currently ten thousand contractual employees in Illinois right now. We're talking about seventy-two employees only, all energy related specialists. The grant programs employing the vast majority of these employees are slated to end on December 31st. And the contracts also expire on that date which allows the agency to terminate those employees. Moving these employees to civil service will cost an additional hundred thousand dollars annually in benefits for which the state is not currently liable. The agency opposes the...this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I understand how the Sponsor might feel. The fact is that the contractual employees...moving them into the personal service's line item, I think would enhance the operations of the agency. And furthermore, it would provide a saving. I understand that on the average, contractual employees are paid approximately twenty percent in excess of employees doing the same thing on a personal services line item so not only would we have a savings, we'd be able to identify exactly what the seventy-two people that are being paid out of contractual do now. And I would move the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative J.J. Wolf. I'm sorry I didn't call on you before."

Wolf: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Let



51.

me just clear up a point. I can't see how this is going to be a savings to the state. These are federally funded positions. There's seventy-two people involved here. They're scheduled to be phased out December of this year. If we're going to take them from contractual ...if we leave them in contractual we just phase them out at the end of this year and that's it. If we're going to move them from contractual into personal services, now we're going to start paying pensions from the state and all the state employees' insurance and the rest of their things. So, conversely to what's been said, it's going to end up costing us more money. And for a program that is scheduled to be phased out, we ought to leave them under contractual services and at the end of the year they're phased out and no longer employed, they're terminated and that's a real savings to the state. And I would ask that we defeat this Amendment and if it gets an affirmative number of votes I want to challenge then and have a verification of the Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment 4. Those in favor vote 'aye' and opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 47 'aye' and 79 'no' and the motion failed. The Amendment is not adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #5, Younger, amends House Bill 3027 as amended by inserting between Section 14 and 15 the following."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Younger."

Younger: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #5 to House Bill 3027 would reappropriate the funds that were signed into law under Public Act 81-161, which was an appropriation to the Institute of Natural Resources for research and development of alternative resources for



urban, rural and suburban areas. The institute has advertised for proposals and I think is in the process of considering proposals, but to date, none of the funds under this law have been extended. And in order to make sure that the time does not run out and the funds lapse, I'm asking that there be a reappropriation of these funds so that...of one hundred thousand dollars, so that the funds will not lapse and the various cities and suburban and rural groups all over Illinois will have an opportunity to...to ask the Institute of Natural Resources to help them with their engery problems. And I move for the adoption of this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Reed."

Reed: "This is an acceptable Amendment, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Lady's motion for the adoption of Amendment 5. Those in favor say 'aye', aye, opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, motion carried. The Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #6, Younge, amends House Bill 3027 as amended by inserting between lines 14 and 15 the following."

Speaker Redmond: "Who's the Sponsor? Representative Younge."

Younge: "Mr. Speaker, I move to table this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Amendment #6 is withdrawn. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #7, Younge, amends House Bill 3027 as amended by inserting between Section 14 and 15 the following."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Younge."

Younge: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Amendment would re-appropriate seventy-eight thousand dollars to the Institute of Natural Resources for the development for a proposal for a self-contained energy industrial park in Illinois. These funds were signed into law under



House Bill 3062. The Bill, as written, required matching federal funds from the Department of Energy. It takes a long period of time in order to get a proposal approved by the Department of Energy. So the time period for the state funds that were signed into law by the Governor has lapsed while the search for the federal funds and approval for the federal proposal have been going on. And so therefore, I feel that it is important that there be a reappropriation of these funds that were signed into law so that the necessary funds will be available to put this proposal together. This proposal originated with the Peabody Coal Company that is interested in going forth with a coal base gasification project to fuel industrial park which should be developed all over Illinois. And I think that it is such an important proposal, I ask for your passage of this Amendment so that these funds can be reappropriated."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Reed."

Reed: "Representative Younge, the FY 79 funds lapsed. We did not spend them because there was no federal match. You requested them in the Legislation with the Department of Commerce and Community Affairs in House Bill 821. They searched all over for federal funds to match this appropriation. Washington has no dollars. We oppose because this is, in its entirety, a multi-billion dollar project and there is no federal funding available."

Younge: "Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Continue."

Younge: "One of the most important things for Illinois to do is to develop ways of utilizing southern Illinois coal. The subject matter of this Amendment is to produce a proposal that will fund an energy system using coal as a base. There is nothing, absolutely nothing in our environment which is more important than as how



we're going to change our whole energy supply from petro-oil base to a coal base unit and system. So, therefore, although it takes time to seek out federal funds, the time that it takes is a necessary ingredient. There is nothing as important for us to do is to develop Illinois coal. And it is for that reason that I ask you to vote 'yes' and...and reappropriate these funds."

Clerk Leone: "Representative Giorgi in the Chair."

Speaker Giorgi: "The question is, shall Amendment #7 be adopted? All in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 59 'ayes' and 71 'nays' and this Amendment failed. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #8, Stanley, amends House Bill 3027 as amended."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Stanley on Amendment #8."

Stanley: "I'd like leave to table Amendment #8 and Amendment #9, they're both my Amendments."

Speaker Giorgi: "The Gentleman asks leave to withdraw Amendment #8 and Amendment #9. Is there any objections? Leave is granted. Amendment #8 and #9 are withdrawn. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #10, Younge-Matijevich, amends House Bill 3027 on page 5 by inserting between lines 14 and 15 the following."

Speaker Giorgi: "Chairman of the Appropriations Committee on Amendment #10."

Matijevich: "Well, Mr. Chairman...Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this is Wyvetter Younge's Amendment, however, it was consummated actually in my office with the Director. What has happened is that the Director has used funds within this year's appropriation for design of a solar demonstration project. And he has



that
done through the cooperation of the East St. Louis
Housing Authority. And what he has said is if we have
an appropriation of a hundred thousand dollars in this
year's appropriation for construction of the energy
demonstration house for a grant to the Housing Authority,
he could not because it is...without the Governor's
Budget, actually approve it. However, he has endorsed
it totally and said that he would be happy to utilize
the funds if the Legislature would appropriate them.
I know that the Sponsor of the Bill will vote for it
because he, like the Director, cannot say that she's
definitely in support of it because it is outside the
budget. However, we did consummate this and the Director
was wholeheartedly in support of it. And I would urge
the passage of it. It's Wyvetter's Amendment but I
would appreciate your support."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Reed on the Amendment."

Reed: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,
Amendment #10 is consistent with INR's involvement in
energy related projects. It is not in the Governor's
Budget and the agency is neutral on Amendment #10."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Reed, did you ask me a
question?"

Reed: "No."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Younge to close."

Younge: "...urge adoption of this Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Younge moves the adoption
of Amendment #10. All in favor signify by voting 'aye',
all opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish?
Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk.
On this question there's 67 'ayes', 58 'nays'. Amend-
ment #10 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"



Clerk Leone: "Amendment #11, Representative J.J. Wolf, amends House Bill 3027 as amended on page two, line three and so forth."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative J.J. Wolf on Amendment #11."

Wolf: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I would first ask leave to amend on its face line eight where it says 'administration of programs in the emergency conservation plan', to insert between the words 'of' and 'programs', 'State Solar Office and'. Do we have leave to Amend that on its face?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Does the Gentleman have unanimous consent to amend the Amendment on its face? Is there objections? By Representative Leverenz? You'll have to... Representative Leverenz, do you persist in your objections? Oh, he doesn't. Is there unanimous consent? Leave...leave has been granted. Read the changes. Mr. Leone."

Clerk Leone: "The title of the Amendment will now read:
Division of Energy, Administration of State Solar Office and Programs of Energy Conservation Plan, Energy Extension Service Plan, and Institutional Buildings Grants Programs."

Speaker Giorgi: "As amended, the Amendment is now before the House. Representative Wolf on the Amendment."

Wolf: "Could he read that title back again, Mr. Speaker, just to make sure?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Would you read it again, Mr. Leone?"

Clerk Leone: "Division of Energy, Administration of State Solar Office and Programs in the Energy of Conservation Plan, Energy Extension Service Plan, and the Institutional Buildings Grants Program."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Wolf on the Amendment."

Wolf: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. This Amendment is 100% federal funds. It is the addition of \$1,833, 604. It will provide spending authority to the agency for current federal programs through the Calendar Year 1980. The programs included in this appropriation are the State Energy Conservation Plan, the



Institutional Buildings Grant Program, and the State Solar Office. Without this additional appropriation, these programs will be halted early in the fall due to lack of spending authority. These programs represent the bulk of the state's Energy Conservation Assistance Program and must be allowed to run at the full strength now in preparation for the upcoming cooling and heating seasons. I believe this is phase two of the program if my memory serves me correctly, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, and again this is all federal dollars. There is no state dollars involved in this, and I would move the adoption of this Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Leverenz on the Amendment."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The objection earlier was not...with frivolity. Representative Wolf, are...was it your intent to table 11 and go with 12 which is technically correct?"

Wolf: "Not that I am aware of."

Leverenz: "Isn't 12 the same as 11?"

Wolf: "Oh, I am advised by staff that 12 would only be valid if 3 did not...did not...was not adopted. So 11 is correct."

Leverenz: "Alright, now, perhaps, Representative, you could explain how many more millions of dollars are in this Amendment."

Wolf: "Yes, this is about approximately a million eight in federal carry-over funds."

Leverenz: "What is carry-over?"

Wolf: "Money that was not expended yet. It was...the money was there. It's federal dollars, and unless we carry them over, we cannot complete the phase...phase two of that Energy Conservation Plan. As you may recall..."

Leverenz: "Is phase one..."

Wolf: "If my memory serves me correctly, this is off the top of my head, phase one is when they did the studies on the various public institutions to check for...for energy



savings measures such as heating and cooling, and this phase would apply the grants to those institutions to complete the recommendations."

Leverenz: "Is this money already available?"

Wolf: "Yes, it is."

Leverenz: "Well, I understand it is not."

Wolf: "It is available."

Leverenz: "Will you have to come back for a supplemental in the fall?"

Wolf: "Only if this wasn't added. This is the federal carry-over money to complete the...to complete..."

Leverenz: "I understood one way or the other they were still going to come back for a supplemental."

Wolf: "No. I am advised no."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Reed on Amendment #11."

Reed: "Representative Leverenz, that \$1,900,000 are federal dollars, and the federal fiscal year runs from January to January. They are all energy related dollars. We have no intention of coming back for more money. We have these dollars. We simply need to carry them over."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Wolf to close."

Wolf: "I think it has been explained again. This is approximately \$1,833,000 in federal carry-over funds. No state dollars involved, and I would ask the adoption of this Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "The question is 'Shall Amendment #11 be adopted?'. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', and those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 61 'ayes', 35 'nays', and the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"



Clerk Leone: "Amendment #12, J.J. Wolf, amends House Bill 3027 as amended."

Wolf: "Withdraw...would ask leave to withdraw."

Speaker Wolf: "J.J. Wolf asks leave to withdraw Amendment #13. Any objections? Leave is granted. Object... Amendment #12 is withdrawn. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #13, Schisler, amends House Bill 3027 as amended in Section 7.1 and so forth."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Schisler on Amendment #13."

Schisler: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, there is five million dollars of bond money for alternative sources of energy. And I amended it in Committee and took four million of that for alcohol production. This Amendment reduces the four million to one million. The Director has agreed to try and get this signed. I move the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Reed on Amendment #13."

Reed: "We agree with Representative Schisler that this should be accepted."

Speaker Giorgi: "Okay. The question is, shall Amendment #13 be adopted? All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it. Amendment #13 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #14, Leverenz, amends House Bill 3027 as amended with reference to page and line numbers..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Leverenz on Amendment #14."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. When we adopted Amendment #3, in the explanation I did say it would restore...we would restore a position in the engineering area for the...out of the coal bond development program and this would be the Amendment that restores the single position. I'd ask for the adoption of Amendment #14."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Reed on Amendment #14."

Reed: "#14 is most acceptable, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Giorgi: "The question is, shall Amendment #14 be



adopted? All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it and Amendment #14 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Giorgi: "Third Reading. House Bill 3035, Representative Hoxsey. Would the Clerk read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 3035. A Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Bureau of the Budget. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Giorgi: "Any motions on that Amendment?"

Clerk Leone: "No motions filed."

Speaker Giorgi: "Any floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #2, Hoxsey, amends House Bill 3035 on page 2, line 15 and so forth."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Hoxsey on Amendment #2."

Hoxsey: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #2 restores nineteen thousand, nine hundred and ninety-two dollars of the twenty-five thousand, nine hundred dollars that was reduced in Amendment 1 for personal services and related items. The Amendment is agreed upon...Amendment. I'd appreciate your support."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, shall Amendment #2 be adopted? All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it. Amendment #2 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Giorgi: "Third Reading. House Bill 3038."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 3038. A Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Transportation. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 3, 4, 9 and 10 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Giorgi: "Any motions of those Amendments?"



Clerk Leone: "No motions filed."

Speaker Giorgi: "Any floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #11, Winchester, amends House Bill 3038 as amended by inserting before the effective date...the following."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Winchester on Amendment #11."

Winchester: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Amendment appropriates five hundred and twenty-four thousand dollars from the Road Fund to the Illinois Department of Transportation to pay damages, attorney fees, costs and interest pursuant to a court order in relation to a wrongful discharge of certain employees of the Department of Transportation. The amount of funds requested in this Bill is contingent upon the outcome of the Federal Appellate Court decision. If the state prevails on the appeal the money cannot be awarded, it would lapse. However, if the state loses the appeal the plaintiffs are entitled to state reimbursement and this would set up the mechanism so they could be reimbursed. And I would ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Leverenz on Amendment #11."

Leverenz: "Thank you. Would the Sponsor yield? Would he explain the court case for the discharged employees?"

Winchester: "Well, it's referred to as the Rin Case, Rin versus Nolan B. Jones. It goes back to 1973 when thirty highway maintainers were discharged from state employment. They went to court. Judge Ackerman in Springfield ruled that they should be reinstated with full back pay plus pension benefits. The Department of Transportation reinstated the thirty maintainers but appeal the judge's decision. Sometime in June, the appealed will be acted upon in court. And either way it goes, if the defendants are found justified then this will set up a mechanism so that they can be awarded their



money and if...if it rules in favor of the state, then the money may just simply lapse."

Leverenz: "Is there a further appeal process after that?"

Winchester: "I couldn't answer that, Ted."

Leverenz: "Well, how did the firing of thirty maintainers come about in 73."

Winchester: "In 1973. The Governor, during that time, apparently saw fit to discharge these people."

Leverenz: "From what district?"

Winchester: "Well, I think they're probably from several districts, primarily from Highway District 9."

Leverenz: "Are you in Highway District 9?"

Winchester: "Yes. Highway District 9 is in Carbondale."

Leverenz: "Are these people reinstated on these jobs now?"

Winchester: "Yes they are."

Leverenz: "I'll vote 'aye' for the Amendment."

Winchester: "Thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Brummer on the Amendment."

Brummer: "Yes, will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Giorgi: "He indicates he will."

Brummer: "Have these individuals filed a claim with the Court of Claims?"

Winchester: "I...I don't know."

Brummer: "Well it seems to me that's the normal way that claims against...monetary claims against the State of Illinois are handled. That's the process that is usually followed. That's the purpose, as I understand it, for which the Court of Claims is established to verify the amount of the claim, to see that the claim is a legitimate claim against the State of Illinois and then there is usually an appropriation for the Court of Claims decision. Is there some reason that that process is not being followed in this case?"

Winchester: "I...like I said, I left this decision up to the Reference Bureau. The Reference Bureau advised me that



they thought this was the proper method of getting the money in an available position for distribution if necessary. Now, someone else, since I filed the Amendment, has suggest that perhaps I should file an Amendment to the Court of Claims which is over in the Senate and I'm going to investigate that possibility. But I would like to adopt this Amendment just in case that this is, in fact, the proper way."

Brummer: "Have these individuals, do you know, submitted a claim with the Court of Claims. This is a half of a million dollar claim and I...that's the reason we submit claims generally to the Court of Claims to allow them to hear the evidence and the testimony concerning that so they can make an intelligent decision regarding the validity of the claim. And I'm wondering if there's been a claim submitted to the Court of Claims with regard to this one half million dollars."

Winchester: "Rich, I apologize. Rich, I just can't answer that question. I don't know."

Brummer: "I wonder if anyone from DOT does. I see the Liason from DOT standing over there next to the Minority ...he's indicating he doesn't know, is that...is there..."

Winchester: "I might...I might add, Representative Brummer, that originally I introduced a piece of legislation and I failed to...the Bill came out of Rules Committee but I failed to get it posted on the Appropriations Calendar. At that time it was to amend the Comptroller's appropriations Bill. The Comptroller came to us and he, also along with the Reference Bureau, told us that the proper...the proper procedure here would be to put an Amendment on to the Department of Transportation's ordinary and contingent in this case."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Brummer for more questions?"

Brummer: "Yes, I...is there any likelihood that the decision that you said would be forthcoming in June will be



further appealed? I assume there's a further appeal process."

Winchester: "I'm under the opinion that it will not, but I don't know. I just don't know."

Brummer: "Did the original order order the State of Illinois to pay this?"

Winchester: "Yes, Judge Ackerman's court ordered the Department of Transportation to reimburse the highway maintainers for the two or three and a half years that they were off."

Brummer: "Is this one of the decisions that the Auditor General has criticized in indicating that the Judiciary is interfering and inserting itself into the appropriation process which remains with the Legislature and ought to remain with the Legislature?"

Winchester: "I can't answer that question. I don't know."

Brummer: "Well, it seems to me...if I might, Mr. Speaker, to the Amendment. It will probably be to no avail but I would suggest that we have a Court of Claims for the purpose specifically of investing claims, monetary claims against the State of Illinois, that threw the monetary claim against the State of Illinois. The Court of Claims ought to hear the testimony and the evidence concerning this and make a decision as to propriety of this claim against the State of Illinois, which is not a minor claim. It' a claim which exceeds a half of a million dollars. And that ought to be the first step. And...and as we know, when the Court of Claims makes the decision we routinely then appropriate the money to...to fund the claims that have been decided, that have been valid by the Court of Claims. That would seem to me the appropriate process rather than the straight appropriation Bill here. And I would urge a 'no' vote with regard to this Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Matijevich on Amendment #11."



Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would urge adoption of this Amendment. We're not sure if this is the correct procedure or if we go Court of Claims. I think the best procedure in this case is to adopt the Amendment and then get the best legal advice to determine if the Court of Claims' Bill in the Senate should be amended. But it would do us no harm at this time, I believe, to adopt the Amendment, to be consistent at least with the advice so far given. So I would urge adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Polk on Amendment #11."

Polk: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen, I truly believe that the Sponsor has tried every way possible to make this Amendment palatable to all of those who are here. He's contacted the Comptroller, he's contacted the Governor's office. He's contacted...everyone who is involved in the situation, has had some input. It appears that this is the way to handle it. We'd appreciate an 'aye' vote on this and if not, he's agreed to change anyway necessary. So I think it's time to get this one out."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Harris on the Amendment."

Harris: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Giorgi: "He indicates he will."

Harris: "Representative Winchester, were these people dismissed under what Governor?"

Winchester: "Under Governor Walker."

Harris: "They were under the merit system?"

Winchester: "Yes, they were."

Harris: "Mr. Speaker, may I speak to the Amendment?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Continue."

Harris: "I feel like this is an excellent Amendment and I believe it should be adopted because if these people were under the merit system, they were dismissed under



any Governor, regardless of political affiliation, these people are entitled to this money and Representative Winchester has an excellent Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Winchester to close."

Winchester: "Well, I would just ask for a favorable Roll Call vote, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Giorgi: "The question is, shall Amendment #11 be adopted to House Bill 3038? All in favor signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 103 'ayes', 13 'nays' and this Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #12, Daniels-Redmond-Hoffman, amends House Bill 3038 by inserting after the last sentence...line in Section 32 the following."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Daniels. Representative Hoffman. Representative Matijevich, Chairman of the Appropriations Committee."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, I think their intent was to withdraw this Amendment because it was placed on another Bill. Is that correct, George? That's right."

Speaker Giorgi: "All right, if there are no objections, leave is...objection to withdraw the Amendment? No objections, the Amendment is withdrawn. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #13, Younge, amends House Bill 3038 as amended by inserting immediately after Section 2 the following."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Younge on Amendment #13."

Younge: "Please table that Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "She asks leave to withdraw the...any objections? Leave is granted, the Amendment is withdrawn. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #14, Dave Jones-Oblinger, amends House Bill 3038..."



Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Jones on Amendment #14."

Jones: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Amendment removes from 3038, Section 29, which appropriates four hundred thousand dollars from the Department of Transportation appropriation which comes from the Road Fund to provide for the planning of a new facility to be constructed for the use of District 6 division of Transportation which is now located at First and Ash in Springfield. Aside from the obvious local interests ...aside from the obvious local interests, as both Governor Thompson and DOT's Secretary, John Kramer have pledged to build this new facility in Jacksonville, we would like to point out to this Body that the expenditure of such funds at this time would not be appropriate. Secretary Kramer has already stated to the public that unless the Federal Funds policy changes in Washington, the state has only available funds to carry out fifty-five percent of the road program as promised by Governor Thompson. The income from the...to the Road Fund from the gas tax has been reduced because of the slower speeds, less travel because of the high cost of gas and the switch to smaller cars. This switch to smaller cars also reduces the income from license plates compounding the fiscal conditions of the Road Fund. For these reasons, I move the adoption of this Amendment to postpone the expenditure of these funds at this time as there is no emergency and so that these funds can be used for other...higher priority projects such as Route 51 and other promised road constructions."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Hannig on Amendment #14."

Hannig: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I rise to oppose Amendment #14. The facts of the matter are as such. The present District 6 headquarters is too small. And the question is, where shall these people be moved to? The Department of Transportation had



the firm of Management and Transportation Associates investigate this matter and they came up with five proposals. Three of which would have kept it here... kept the District 6 headquarters here in Springfield, two of which would have moved it to Jacksonville. And the fact of the matter is that of the five proposals, these best proposal for the taxpayers of the State of Illinois is to build the new building in Jacksonville. In addition, this will save the taxpayers money in the long run. It will save them money in the operating expenses and it will save them money in transportation costs. Furthermore, the Jacksonville headquarters would be more of a geographic and population center in the District. Now this Amendment was considered in the Appropriations Committee twice and was voted down by the margins of 12 to 5 and 12 to 7. And I would urge that this Amendment get that same type of consideration here on the House floor. I would urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Reilly on Amendment #... excuse me. Minority Leader...one of the Leaders of... Representative Conti, for what reason do you arise?"

Conti: "Well, the only reason I arise is this is a very, very important Amendment and I realize that appropriation Bills...there's nothing duller than appropriation Bills than going through a blizzard of Amendments. But I wish that the House would give this particular Amendment some attention, an undivided attention, because it's a very, very important Amendment. And I think there's an awful lot to be said for and against this Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "Your words are well taken. Representative Reilly on the Amendment #14."

Reilly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would join with Representative Hannig in urging a 'no' vote on this Amendment. We should defeat this Amendment I think for several reasons. The matter was heard thoroughly in the



Appropriations Committee and an attempt to put an Amendment of this kind on in that Committee was defeated by a 2 to 1 vote in that Committee. So, again, I would urge a 'no' vote. The matter here is very clear and very simple. It does not involve money in the sense that the District 6 office has outgrown its present facility. We're going to build the new District 6 headquarters somewhere and the cost will be approximately the same wherever it may be built. The arguments documented by the feasibility study done by, I might add, a Springfield firm, is that the...Jacksonville is in the middle of the district. It will save a great deal money in operating costs to have the headquarters' office in the middle of the District so that there will be less travel time, less time, less money involved in getting to the work projects that the District office has to...to supervise. The matter has been studied carefully. It is clear that it would save money for the state in terms of operating efficiency to have the new headquarters. And, again I repeat, a new one is going to be built. The only question is where. It would have money in terms of operating efficiencies to have the headquarters built in Jacksonville. I really had a feeling, those of you who remember Roscoe Cunningham, I had a feeling I maybe I ought to bring him back for a guest appearance to make this speech. You will remember that Roscoe used to wax very eloquent on the fact that Springfield was going to sink under the weight of all the concrete here. And he used to urge those of you who thought it would be nice sometime to build some project somewhere outside of the Capitql City to support those who wanted to do that. I can't match his eloquence and I did not succeed in getting him brought back here, but the point remains the same. This is centrally a district, legislative district against legislative



district but the facts are clear that the efficiency lies in terms of building this in Jacksonville. I would join with Representative Hannig in urging a 'no' vote on this Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Kane on Amendment #14."

Kane: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in support of Amendment #14, proposed by Representative Jones. It's been stated that the study indicating that the move should be made to Jacksonville from Springfield as the district headquarters was a very carefully done study and that it showed all kinds of savings and operating costs, that it would be closer to the center of the district and there would be less time involved in all of those kinds of things. But those simply are not the facts. Because if you look at the time and the money involved in transportation in District 6 is that the district and work is done at County Highway Headquarters in all of the counties within District 6...and the travel time of people who work at the headquarters is travel time that goes from the district headquarters to the county highway garages. And through a simple calculation of what the highway mileage is between a proposed location of a district headquarters, either in Springfield or in Jacksonville, and you simply add that highway mileage up. It shows that there is only a five mile total differential between Jacksonville and Springfield in terms of total mileage between the district headquarters located in either place...and all, all of the county highway garages in district. So that all of the claims of reduced time for people working in the headquarters, all of the claims made for reducing travel costs and time are simply hogwash. Those studies were made after the decision was made to go to Jacksonville. Those studies were made and those conclusions were brought



after the decision was made to move to Jacksonville. The decision to move to Jacksonville was made a long time ago. And all of the studies that have been presented since then have been simply justification for that decision already made. And those justifications just don't hold water. The facts are not there. Springfield is as close to the center of the district as Jacksonville is and any of the claims to the contrary are simply false. What we have today is the question of whether or not we're going to spend money to make an unnecessary move that it going to bring hardships to the employees who already work for District 6. They are going to force them to move in a bad housing market or it's going to force them to commute in a...in a time when travel costs are going up. I would say that...if we do not adopt this Amendment we are going to be wasting road funds that are needed elsewhere, that are needed for the repair of roads. We don't have to build a new headquarters. We don't have to put money into a building. We should be putting our highway dollars into roads and not buildings. And I would urge the adoption of Amendment #14."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative McClain on Amendment #14."

McClain: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, although it's tough to oppose an Amendment sponsored by J. David Jones in his eighth term here in the Illinois General Assembly...and I know Doug Kane has talked to me about this Amendment also. Frankly this is part of a package of a study put forth by the Illinois Department of Transportation. This headquarters is in line to be constructed this year and then the next headquarters in line for construction is the Effingham District Office. So this is not a frivolous political position by the Illinois Department of Transportation, this is part of a study made by...by their



institution and what they believe is best for them delivering services. Now I think that's the important issue, not necessarily what home town it's in or what area of the state or which Legislators can get profits or praise for this kind of thing. The issue is solely one of whether or not the study put forth by the Illinois Department of Transportation is one that is legitimate. And I stand before you asking...asking for your votes, saying it is legitimate. Secretary Kramer convinced the Appropriations Committee easily to defeat this kind of Amendment in our...in our Committee hearings. I believe it's an administration proposal that we ought to support. And I stand along side Representative Hannig, Sharp and Reilly."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Hoffman on Amendment #14."

Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move the previous question."

Speaker Giorgi: "The question is, shall the previous question be put? Those in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it and the previous question prevails. Representative Oblinger to close. Representative Oblinger to close."

Oblinger: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the General Assembly, you've been told a number of things today but I'd like to tell you a few more. This building will cost 4.8 million if it's built in Jacksonville. The Sangamo Electric Company could be reconstructed and reconstituted for 2.4 million. This is a savings of 2.4 million dollars in your transportation fund. I know they say, 'Oh, that only has a twenty year life against a fifty-year life of a new building.' I want to tell you I was the assessor of this township, Springfield, when that building was built and it's got fifty years left in it. We didn't depreciate it that fast. There's only one corner of it that's an old building, the other two



parts are good parts and it's going to last as long as they're going to need it. Number two, talking about the centralized location of this place. The three counties on the east end, Sangamon, Logan and Montgomery make up fifty percent of the traffic and sixty-six percent of the traffic volume. Therefore, there is more on this side than there is on the other side. It is more used here. Another thing is, over fifty-five percent of the people live closer who use this district when it's in Springfield than in Jacksonville. Another thing you might like to consider is the fact that they claim that it's going to be cheaper to maintain the building in Jacksonville than it is in Springfield. I have two answers to that. Number one, I thought we had a prevailing wage scale. I don't see how it's going to be cheaper there. And number two, our public utility has a cheaper rate for electricity. I don't see how they can claim this. Finally, there are a number of other things included besides the 2.4 million dollars you're going to save if you don't move it, under the agreement they have agreed to move the people, to pay their moving expenses, to give them six days over there to look for houses with all reimburseable expenses. And I really was interested when they said, 'Let's be very lenient and flexible in how much we allow them to have for expenses.' Insurance..pooling of cars, where they're going to try to help them with the reemployment service. When you add all of these costs up, plus 2.4 million to accomodate about forty-five percent of the district as against fifty-five percent leaving it here, it looks a little ridiculous. And finally, everybody always has to come to the state offices. They will be running back and forth between Jacksonville and Springfield. And finally, Jacksonville does not have a commercial airport neither does it have a railroad.



So when people are traveling, they're going to have to come to Springfield anyway. I ask for you to support this Amendment to save this state approximately 3 million dollars. Thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "The question is, shall Amendment #14 be adopted? All in favor signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'no'. Representative Kent to explain her vote for a moment."

Kent: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Many of you have heard that western Illinois does not have roads. That is true. The reason for this move is so they can be near where the roads will be built. We are hopeful they will be built. We hope you will vote 'no' on this Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Sharp to explain his vote for one minute."

Sharp: "Yeah, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I would ask for a 'no' vote on this Amendment. The present facility, I think everyone agrees, is not adequate to handle the needs of the district. They can't be expanded. So the issue is where should the new facility be built. And if one would look at the studies that have been done I think you would realize that the center of the district in Jacksonville is the most appropriate place to build this facility. It would be better located, better situated to serve the needs of the district. And, therefore, I would ask the Membership to vote 'no' on the Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Skinner to explain his vote for one minute. Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of this Amendment. Last year when we passed the Thompson-Byrne Program, highways were promised all over the state. The money is not there to build those highways. Now we have a choice today, a small choice, only four hundred thousand dollars this year and, you know, 2.6 million on the come.



We can decide to put our money into highways or we can decide to it into buildings. Now I don't care where this headquarters is located. But I know the highway money is not coming through to my district and if...if Amendments like this are not put on there will be even less money to go through districts like mine and to yours."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Leverenz to explain his vote for one minute."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The facts that Representative Oblinger spoke a few minutes ago are exactly correct. You'll find too that there will be additional costs after the move that aren't even apparent here as with the move to Schaumburg from the City of Chicago and Elgin also. They subsidized the employee for a year or more in transportation costs and ran mini buses all over picking people up and dropping them off. I think that if anybody had an opportunity to look at the study that was made they'll find that the Department of Transportation study was totally tailored to fit the fact that the decision to move was made long before any of the studies were done. If you were thinking about yourself in a situation of a state employee that works at the District 6 currently, you'd have to be faced with selling your home or quitting your job. And further, in the light of the gasoline shortage and everything else that's been talked about in terms of transportation costs, you'll find that you're going to have a further expenditure of gas and putting the people in jeopardy and making travel time longer to the job. Totally incorrect to use the highway fund money for just building a building as we are here."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Conti to explain his vote for one minute."

Conti: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the



House, I'm glad to see somebody else from Jacksonville or from Sangamon County get up and speak on this Bill. My colleague from Cook County explained it pretty well. As of this date we are over a billion dollars over the Governor's budget. Now it's only 2.6 on the come and four hundred thousand dollars today, but I defy anyone with construction costs...the way construction is today to make such a move would be a tremendous cost in the long run. I wish everyone would pay attention to these two and three million dollars that we are spending on each and every one of these Amendments and then wonder how we get over a billion dollars of the Governor's budget. I urge the passage of this Amendment. Let's keep this complex here in the State of Illinois. We are spending millions of dollars for a state complex in Springfield. Let's not lose it by transferring it all over the state."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Jones to explain his vote for one minute. Jones."

Jones: "Just a brief statement. I suggest that you see the many facets of this discussion and that your vote be to postpone the appropriation for now so that all these matters can be worked out...and rather than voting pro^{or con}...between Jacksonville and Springfield. It's only a postponement because there's a shortage in the road fund."

Speaker Giorgi: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 76 'ayes', 70 'nays'. Representative Reilly, for what reason do you arise?"

Reilly: "I would ask first for a poll of the absentees and then a verification of the Affirmative Roll Call."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Piel requests leave to be verified. Piel. Any objections? Piel is verified. Poll the absentees."



Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of the absentees. Beatty. Bluthardt. Breslin. Casey. Cullerton. Davis. Deuster. Ebbesen. Gaines. Goodwin."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Griesheimer, for what reason do you arise?"

Griesheimer: "Ask leave to be verified."

Speaker Giorgi: "The Gentleman asks leave to be verified. Representative Anderson, for what reason do you arise?"

Anderson: "Same reason."

Speaker Giorgi: "And Grossi same reason? Is there objection to those three being verified? Hoffman, same reason... four. Any objections...leave...they're verified."

Clerk O'Brien: "Continuing with the poll of the absentees. Katz. Klosak. Kucharski. Laurino. McBroom. Meyer. Mugalian. Satterthwaite. Schlickman. Schuneman. Terzich. Vitek. And, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Giorgi: "Poll the Affirmative."

Clerk O'Brien: "Ackerman. Anderson. Barnes. Bell. Birkinbine. Borchers. Boucek. Bower. Bowman. Braun. Brummer. Bullock. Catania. Chapman. Christensen. Collins. Conti. Currie. Daniels. Darrow. Dawson. DiPrima. Donovan. Doyle. John Dunn. Dyer. Epton. Virginia Frederick. Garmisa. Greiman. Griesheimer. Grossi. Hallock. Hallstrom. Hanahan. Harris. Hoffman. Hudson. Huff. Jaffe. Dave Jones. Kane. Kulas. Leinenweber. Leverenz. Macdonald. Mahar. Matijevich. Mautino. McAuliffe. McCourt. Mulcahey. Oblinger. O'Brien. Piel. Pullen. Richmond. Rigney. Robbins. Ropp. Sandquist. Schneider. Schoeberlein. Schraeder. Simms. Skinner. Stearney. Stuffle. Sumner. Swanstrom. Tuerk. Van Duyn. Von Boeckman. Walsh. Watson. And, Williamson."

Speaker Giorgi: "Change my vote from 'no' to 'aye', Mr. Clerk? Representative Burnidge, 'aye'. How are you recorded? From 'present' to 'aye' for Burnidge. The



Gentleman...Robbins asks leave to be verified. Any objections? No objections, Robbins is verified. Representative DiPrima, for what reason do you arise? Vote 'no'? Representative DiPrima wants to be recorded as 'no'. Representative Alexander, for what reason do you arise? To be voting 'aye'? Representative Alexander wants to vote 'aye'. Alexander. Are there any questions of the Affirmative Roll Call. Representative Deuster, for what reason do you arise? To be recorded as 'aye'? Representative Deuster as 'aye'. Representative Bradley from 'no' to 'aye'. Bradley from 'no' to 'aye'. Representative Reilly, do you persist in your verification? Forget it?"

Reilly: "Yes, I persist."

Speaker Giorgi: "What's the count? 80 'ayes' and 79 'noes' ...no, 69 'noes'. 80 'ayes' and 69 'noes'."

Reilly: "Representative Catania."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Catania is in her seat."

Reilly: "Dawson."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Dawson is in his seat."

Reilly: "Grossi."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Capuzi is in his seat."

Reilly: "No, Grossi."

Speaker Giorgi: "Grossi was verified."

Reilly: "Hanahan."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Hanahan is...how's Representative Hanahan recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is Representative Hanahan in the room? Take him off the record."

Reilly: "Huff."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Hoffman was verified."

Reilly: "Huff. Huff."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Huff, ^{Doug Huff.} How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."



Speaker Giorgi: "Is Representative Huff in the room? Take him off the record."

Reilly: "Mulcahey."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mulcahey is in his desk."

Reilly: "Pullen."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Pullen. Representative Pullen, how is she recorded? Here she is in the back of the room. She's over there."

Reilly: "Representative Ropp."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Ropp. He is in the aisle. Representative Boucek asks leave to be verified. Any objections? Leave is granted."

Reilly: "Van Duyne."

Speaker Giorgi: "Van Duyne. Representative Van Duyne. How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is Van Duyne in the Chamber? Take him off the record."

Reilly: "Von Boeckman."

Speaker Giorgi: "Von Boeckman is in his seat. There's no... Representative Lechowicz, for what reason do you arise?"

Lechowicz: "Kindly record me as 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Okay. He's finished with his verification. 78 'ayes' and...how many 'noes? 68 'noes' and the Amendment is adopted. The Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments? There are seventeen more Amendments, if you want to speed up the work of the House on this Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #15, Garmisa, amends House Bill 3038 as amended by inserting immediately after Section 12 the following."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Garmisa on Amendment #15."

Garmisa: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #15 to House Bill 3038 provides twenty-six million dollars from the General Revenue Fund to fund the



reduced fare program for elementary and high school students and the elderly. The companion legislation for these programs is contained in House Bill 3236 and House Bill 3237, both of which have been passed and have gone over to the Senate. Now these funding levels of twelve and a half million for the students and thirteen and a half million for the elderly provide the estimated needs for transit carriers throughout the entire state that are offering reduced fares for students and the elderly. I ask for your favorable support."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Winchester on Amendment #15."

Winchester: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I feel that it's necessary to caution the House that the Amendment would require reinstituting a special transit funding program that was eliminated by the Legislature last year. The transportation package of 1979 provided for the repeal of both half fare programs because new funding methods for the RTA and downstate carriers generate enough revenue for these carriers to dissolve and reduce fares on their own. Passage of this Amendment will result in double assistance being provided for the fare reduction program. Also, the authorization for the RTA sales tax totally eliminated state subsidies to the RTA. This Amendment will again provide state financial support to the RTA since the majority of these funds will go to the RTA area, primarily to the CTA. In fiscal year '79, carriers in the RTA area received twenty-one million dollars and downstate carriers received eight hundred and eighty-three thousand dollars. And I would ask a 'no' vote on this Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Wolf on Amendment #15."

Wolf: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question? Representative Garmisa, when the RTA package...the sales tax package passed last, wasn't that part of the arrangement that they would no longer subsidize? Wasn't there enough



money figured into that sales tax where the subsidy would no longer be necessary or did I misunderstand?"

Garmisa: "The...there's certainly not enough money in the sales tax to fund the half fare...the reduced fares for the elderly and for the students. And this twenty-six million is needed, Representative Wolf, for that funding."

Wolf: "But wasn't it supposed to be enough in the sales tax? Wasn't that the agreement?"

Garmisa: "The...nobody had a crystal ball at that time, Representative Wolf, that could foresee the amount of money that would be coming out of the sales tax. There has been...the sales tax has not been able to come up with the kind of funding that we expected that it would. The...there has been a bit of a recession...has set in. The amount that has been collected off of that sales tax have been ⁱⁿ a reduced amount, less than what we thought would be there, and these funds are needed if we are to take care of our elderly and our students."

Wolf: "Well, if it was the one percent sales tax...haven't sales tax revenues increased this year over last year due to inflation? It should have been additional money I thought."

Garmisa: "The funding for mass transportation throughout the ...the State of Illinois is in trouble right now, Representative Wolf, and these funds are sorely needed."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Garmisa to close."

Garmisa: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, you heard all pro arguments, we've heard all the arguments against the funding of our senior citizens' programs and our students' programs. We need these fundings. We have to help our students get to school. We have to help our elderly to get to their doctor's offices, to their shops or places they have to go to. And the only way we're going to be able to help them is to subsidy them on their transit fares. Take them off of the highways



with their automobiles, put them into the buses and cars. And I ask for your support. This is sorely needed legislation. Everyone of us should have a green light on this one."

Speaker Giorgi: "The question is, shall Amendment #15 be adopted? All in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'nay'. Roll Call. All in favor will signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. Representative... Representative Wolf to explain his vote for one minute."

J.J.

Wolf: "No, I was going to ask for a verification."

Speaker Giorgi: "The Roll Call is 80 to 49, Mr. Wolf. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. I'm sorry. On this question there are 80 'ayes' and 49 'nays' and 4 voting 'present'. Representative J.J. Wolf, for what reason do you arise?"

Wolf: "Poll of the absentees."

Speaker Giorgi: "He persists in his verification. Poll the absentees. Representative Huskey wants to be recorded as voting 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of the absentees. Anderson. Bell."

Speaker Giorgi: "Bell, 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Bluthardt. Brummer. Capuzi. Casey.

Christensen. Donovan. John Dunn. Ebbesen. Goodwin. Grossi. Hanahan. Hoffman. Huff. Dave Jones. Kane. Karpel. Klosak. Kucharski. Laurino. Leinenweber. Leinenweber, 'no'. Leverenz. Mautino. McBroom. Meyer. Molloy. Mugalian. Mulcahey. Oblinger. Piel. Richmond. Robbins. Schlickman. Schraeder. Schuneman. Sharp. Slape. Terzich. Van Duyne. Vinson. Willer. And, Sam Wolf."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Vinson wants to be recorded as voting 'no'. Representative Anderson wants to be recorded as voting 'no'. Boucek wants to be recorded as



voting 'aye'. Are there any other changes? Representative McClain wants to be recorded as voting 'aye'. Mr. Wolf, are there any questions of the...he declines verification. On this question there are 80 'ayes'... 83 'ayes' and 53 'noes' and this Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #16, Younge, amends House Bill 3038 as amended by inserting immediately after Section 2 the following."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Younge on Amendment #16."

Younge: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, please withdraw the Amendment. Please withdraw the Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "Any objections to withdrawing the Amendment? No objections, the Amendment is withdrawn. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #17, John Dunn-Keane, amends House Bill 3038..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative John Dunn on Amendment #17. Representative John Dunn on Amendment #17."

Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. It's with great reluctance that I ask leave to withdraw this Amendment because I'm sure it would pass. All of us downstate who lost in the highway funding program would like nothing better than to cut the DOT budget and that's what this Amendment does. But, we've checked the numbers out and we have to act responsibly and so I'm going to, as I said with a heavy heart, ask leave to withdraw this Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "Does the Gentleman have leave? Hearing no objections, leave is granted. The Amendment is withdrawn. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #18, Ryan, amends House Bill 3038 on line 12 and so forth."

Speaker Giorgi: "I understand Mr. Ryan has about five or six Amendments that could be adopted on one Roll Call."



Representative Ryan on Amendments #18 through 23."

Ryan: "Right, Mr. Speaker, they're all technical changes.

I've cleared this with the other side of the aisle and would ask for a favorable Roll Call and one Roll Call for Amendments 18 through 23."

Speaker Giorgi: "The Gentleman asks that one Roll Call be taken for Amendments 18 through 22. (sic) They're all technical Amendments. All in favor signify by voting 'aye' or saying 'aye' and those opposed voting 'nay'. 22... 'ayes' have it and Amendments 18 through 22 are adopted."

Clerk O'Brien: Amendment #23, Ryan..."

Ryan: "No, 24. 23 should have been included in the last Roll Call."

Speaker Giorgi: "All right. Are there...all in favor signify by saying 'aye' and those opposed to Amendment #23...23."

Ryan: "I asked for leave for 18 through 23, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Giorgi: "18 through 23. Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, we've already adopted 18 through 23. It would be Amendment #24 on the board."

Speaker Giorgi: "Okay, we're going to 24 now."

Ryan: "...withdraw 24..."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #24..."

Ryan: "...withdraw 24..."

Speaker Giorgi: "The Gentleman asks leave to withdraw Amendment #24. Any objections? Leave is granted. Amendment #24 is withdrawn. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #25, Ryan, amends House Bill 3038 on page 13, line 2 and so forth."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Ryan on Amendment #25."

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #25, there's no dollar change in this it just adjusts the funds in the Secretary of State's program on the highway safety program. And I would ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Giorgi: "Any discussion? The motion is to adopt



Amendment #25. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it and Amendment #25 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #26, Younge, amends House Bill 3038 as amended by inserting immediately after Section 2 the following."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Younge on Amendment #26."

Younge: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Amendment would fund the resource center within the branch of the Railroad Relocation Project in the East St. Louis area in the sum of fifty thousand dollars and it's an agreed Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "Okay. The question is, shall Amendment #26 be adopted? All in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it...there's no...all right. Let's take a Roll Call. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. Representative Younge, on this question there are 54 'ayes' and 58 'nays'. Representative Younge."

Younge: "Poll the absentees, Mr. Speaker, please."

Speaker Giorgi: "Poll the absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of the absentees."

Speaker Giorgi: "Darrow, 'aye'. Kane, 'aye'. Representative Kane, 'aye'. Harris, 'aye'. Pechous, 'aye'. Rea, 'aye'. Yourell, 'aye'. Currie, 'aye'. Hannig, 'aye'. Richmond, 'aye'. Christensen, 'aye'. McPike, 'aye'. Gaines, 'aye'. Mautino, 'aye'. Who asked for the verification? On this question there are 67 'ayes' and 58 'nays' and the Amendment was adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #27, Vinson-John Dunn-Johnson, amends House Bill 3038 on page 8 and so forth."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Vinson on Amendment #27."



Vinson: "I'd like to withdraw that Amendment, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Giorgi: "The Gentleman asks leave to withdraw? Are there any objections? Leave is granted, the Amendment is withdrawn. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #28, Vinson-John Dunn-Johnson, amends House Bill 3038..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Vinson on Amendment #28."

Vinson: "I'd like to withdraw that Amendment, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Giorgi: "The Gentleman asks leave to withdraw that Amendment. Any objections? No objections, leave is granted. The Amendment is withdrawn. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #29, Ryan, amends House...amends Amendment #9 to House Bill 3038 on page 2, line 3..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Ryan on Amendment #29."

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #29 increases the reappropriation for the purchase of a helicopter by one hundred and seventy-seven thousand, five hundred dollars. The original reappropriation was for a million, five hundred and fifty thousand dollars. However, the FY 80 appropriations for the helicopters is only a million...a million, seven twenty-seven, five hundred. We need the increase because of the...because we had the wrong numbers. So I would ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is there any discussion? On this question... shall Amendment #29 be adopted. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'nay'. And the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment it adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #30, Vinson-John Dunn-Johnson..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Vinson on Amendment #30."

Vinson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #30 would appropriate the necessary money for capital improvements on a route between Decatur



and Champaign so that AMTRAK service can be extended from Champaign to Decatur for an existing AMTRAK Train. Under the figures in the Amendment the state would pay for half of that cost as it does in other such arrangements with AMTRAK. And I would urge adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is there any discussion? Representative... there being no discussion. The question is, shall Amendment #30 be adopted? All in favor signify by saying 'aye' and the opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it...well, let's take a Roll Call on Amendment #30. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Representative Skinner to explain his vote for one minute."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, I think an AMTRAK train between Champaign and Decatur makes less sense the RTA bus route to McHenry County. I dont think they could scare up enough passengers to fill a caboose."

Speaker Giorgi: "Have all voted who wish? Take...have all voted who wish? Representative J.J. Wolf on...to explain his vote for one minute."

Wolf: "Yeah, I think we've spent all of the money on the St. Louis Airport Authority. I don't think there's any money left for this."

Speaker Giorgi: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 54 'ayes', 46 'nays', 3 voting 'present'. The Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #31, Vinson, amends House Bill 3038 on page 8 by deleting line 8 through 13 and so forth."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Vinson on Amendment #31."

Vinson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is the operating subsidy for the same service including service between Decatur and Lincoln to connect with St. Louis. And in response to what I



would expect to Representative Skinner's question, this is not designed just to provide service between Decatur and Champaign or Decatur and Lincoln. It designed to bring Decatur into service with both Chicago and St. Louis at either end. I would adoption...this one is for two hundred thousand dollars on the operating, the same cost sharing basis that we do with other AM Track trains. And I would urge adoption of this Amendment."

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Lechowicz in the Chair."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from Livingston, Mr. Ewing."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, I wonder if the Sponsor would yield for a question."

Speaker Lechowicz: "He indicates he will."

Ewing: "Does this train stop in Clinton?"

Vinson: "No, Sir, it does not."

Ewing: "Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "All in favor of 31 signify by saying 'aye', aye, opposed...Amendment #31 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. House Bill 3043."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3043. A Bill for an Act making an appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expense to the Department of Public Aid. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "A motion to table Amendment #1 by Representative Reilly."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Morgan, Mr. Reilly, on the motion to table Amendment #1...Committee Amendment #1."

Reilly: "Yes, I understand from the other side that the motion to table Amendment #1 was agreed. And I would



move for the adoption of this motion."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? All in favor signify by saying 'aye', aye, opposed...Amendment #1 tabled. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "A motion to table Amendment #3 by Representative Reilly."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Morgan, Mr. Reilly."

Reilly: "I think I could save some time and I think the other side will agree, I have motions to table Amendments 3, 4, 5 and 6 and those are all agreed, I believe. And so perhaps we could take those all at once and then get to Amendment #7."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady from Cook, Mrs. Chapman."

Chapman: "Mr. Speaker, Mr. Reilly is correct, these are agreed Amendments. And I'd like to say a word about Amendment #3, if I may. Amendment #3 represents the product of the Democratic staff report which related to saving dollars, saving administrative dollars that resulted in duplication and unnecessary kinds of activities. This Amendment was for 5.6 million dollars. When the Amendment was put ^{on} in the Appropriations II Committee, we agreed that we would be glad to sit down with the department subsequently to determine if there were any places where we had erred. We did talk with them at length and their request was that we give them an opportunity to phase out these programs and services of an administrative nature that did not result in any assistance for the client, the public, that we are here to serve. In agreeing with Mr. Reilly's motion to table #3, we are offering an Amendment which, if I understand it correctly, will also be an agreed Amendment. Is that Amendment #14..."

Reilly: "...Amendment #14."

Chapman: "...Amendment #14, where we will ask for half the reduction which we asked for in Amendment #3. And this



will give the department a full six month period in which to phase out and to make transfers of employees so that employees of the state will not suffer and so there will not be a disruption in the department."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall Amendments 3, 4, 5..."

Reilly: "Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Morgan, Mr. Reilly."

Reilly: "I'm sorry to interrupt. I misread the script. We need to table Amendment 3 which the Lady was talking about, 4, 5 and 6 we are not going to table. I apologize."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Fine. The question is, shall Amendment #3 be tabled? All in favor signify by saying 'aye', aye, opposed...Amendment #3 is tabled. Any further motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "A motion to table Amendment #4 by Representative Reilly."

Reilly: "I withdraw the motions to table 4, 5 and 6."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman withdraws the motion to table Amendments 4, 5 and 6. Any objections? Hearing none, the motion is withdrawn. Any further Amendments?"



Clerk O'Brien: "A motion to table Amendment #7 by Representative Reilly."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Reilly."

Reilly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. First let me say that we have worked, as Representative Chapman indicated, very carefully between the two staffs, myself, and the Director of the Department on the ...on this Bill, and I think we present you with an unusually unanimous view of the proper amount of appropriation for the Department of Public Aid except for Amendment #7. Amendment #7 was an Amendment offered in Committee and which went on in Committee over my objections which would appropriate an additional \$76,000,000 for a cost of living increase for public aid recipients. Now Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I call your attention to some simple facts, and I would hope they would have some impact on this debate. To date, including House action on appropriation Bills, we have appropriated some \$293,000,000 more than was included in the budget. When you add to that the action, and I'm not talking about theoretical action, but I'm talking about actions we've already taken on the House floor. We have also enacted approx...Bills affected with the fiscal impact such as tax cut Bills, another \$884,000,000. Now when you total that up, that is saying that the House already has agreed to spend a \$1,177,000,000 more than was included in the Governor's budget. Now the first thing you may say is so what. Who cares? We do that all the time, and we know that the other side may say, 'Well, we know that the Governor's got some money in the budget. He can afford to spend more'. Let me tell you two things. Number one, the Governor's Bureau of the Budget estimate is only \$86,000,000 less than the Economic and Fiscal Commission's estimate of state income for the next year. Number two, the so called surplus that we heard a great deal about only totals \$400,000,000 and that's not a surplus at all. It is simply a cushion that



we need at the end of the year. If you add those two..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Reilly, would you kindly address yourself to Amendment #7 please?"

Reilly: "I am. If you add those two together, you come up with less than a third of what we have already overappropriated. We simply cannot afford the \$76,000,000 that is included in Amendment #7, and for that reason I would ask the House to table that Amendment so that we can begin looking realistically at what we can do for welfare recipients and not start at such a high and unreasonable figure as \$76,000,000. I would ask a favorable Roll Call on my motion to table Amendment #7."

Speaker Lechowicz: "On the Gentleman's motion. Is there any debate? The Lady from Cook, Mrs. Braun."

Braun: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. It seems to me that the...I rise in opposition to the motion to table Amendment #7. This Amendment provides for a cost of living increase for public aid recipients. The fact of the matter is...we...the fact of the matter is that the...the economy is in such shape, and people are in such need that this Amendment is necessary at this time. The Sponsor of the motion to table has talked about budgetary matters that go to every issue but public aid. I would point out to the Members of this House that there was no provision in the Governor's budget for an increase in public aid assistance. No provision whatsoever, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. That, it seems to me, in a cost of galloping...in a...in light of galloping inflations, is close to unconscionable. People are living now on fewer dollars than they received in 1974 when the flat grant system was first initiated. Since 1974, Ladies and Gentlemen, the cost of living...living has risen some 54%. We have given...this Legislature has given a total...a total of 10% increases in that amount of time. It seems to me, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, that this Amendment was...is warranted. It was discussed in Committee. The facts,



the figures, the dollars involved were fully debated in Committee, and the Committee decided to adopt Amendment #7. I therefore urge you very...strongly urge that you defeat the motion to table Amendment #7. The times are such, in all of your districts...in all of your districts, that people are in dire need of a cost of living increase who require public aid for their livelihood. We are talking about feeding, and clothing, and housing children by and large, and we're talking about feeding and housing children across the State of Illinois. I encourage your vote against the motion to table Amendment #7."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Reilly to close." The question is 'Shall Amendment #7 be tabled?' All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'no'. The Gentleman from Madison, Mr. Steele, to explain his vote. Timer's on."

Steele: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. In explaining my 'yes' vote, and I would urge support for this motion. All over this state right now there are auto workers out of work. There are carpenters. There are plumbers. There are laborers out of work that are going on unemployment and probably be on public assistance before long. There are Chrysler workers all over this state unemployed and probably be on public assistance. I say in view of this distressed economic situation, it is vitally important we see there is enough money to go around to take care of these additional people coming on without breaking the bank, bringing about a raise now for which there may not be adequate funding. I say already we are a billion dollars over the Governor's budget, and certainly we should see that there's enough money to go around to take care of these unemployed, the laborers, the carpenters, the auto workers, and for that reason we need to support this Amendment. It is vitally important that there be enough money to go around without requiring supplemental appropriations later for which there may not be funds to cover. So I urge more green lights."



Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. White to explain his vote. Timer's on."

White: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in support of the motion to...well...pardon me... I rise against the motion to kill the Amendment. Last year we appropriated a five percent cost of living increase for public aid recipients, and we found that those individuals who live in public housing who participated in the food stamp program got fewer food stamps as a result of having received more public aid dollars. We found that those living in public housing had to pay more rent because of the fact that they...again, received more dollars. I think that the nine percent cost of living increase which we seek is fair. I think it is needed, and we need more red votes. Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Peters, to explain his vote. Timer's on."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we are in much the same position a year ago when this same issue came up. At that time, through negotiation and through agreement between the Parties, we did provide a cost of living increase. Let me say to you that we really don't know what that revenue picture is going to be. For the first time in about three years, welfare cases are going up, and for the first time, the indicators in the economy in Illinois show that we are headed toward a recession. Let me say to you that if we pass this Bill out at nine percent and find out that the economy is such we can't provide that nine percent, there is no way in the world you can end up explaining that to the constituencies and to the people that you want to end up helping. By far, the better way to go...the better way to go is to approve this tabling motion, have the time through the Senate, and certainly this Bill will come back probably through a Conference Committee in which we can end up..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Muleahey,



to explain his vote. Timer's on."

Mulcahey: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this is the fifth straight year now we've heard the same arguments about the cost. But the record will point out and show very clearly as a matter of fact, that in 1977 there was a lapsed appropriation in this budget of \$72,000,000, in 1978, \$50...60,000,000, in 1975...1979, \$93,500,000, and it is going to be estimated that in the F.Y. '80 budget we're going to lapse \$130,000,000 using the same standards to measure this, it is estimated that in F.Y. '81 we're going to...we will lapse exactly \$76,000,000. To say that the state cannot afford this is absolutely ludicrous."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. McAuliffe, to explain his vote. Timer's on."

McAuliffe: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think somebody has got to rise up and talk for the taxpayers. We hear the other side of the coin quite frequently, but the people in my district are paying taxes and are tired of having to constantly pay more. If we're going to increase this nine percent, we're going to have to either raise taxes somewhere down the line, or cut back on services. I think it is time that the people who are paying the Bill in the State of Illinois get a chance to be heard from, and they're tired of paying taxes, and they're tired of constantly paying more, and more, and more and having government take more, and more, and more of their paycheck every month. You get a paycheck...you get a payraise now, and if you get \$100 payraise, you're lucky if you get an extra \$20 a month on your paycheck. We can't constantly keep giving out more and more benefits to people. The money just isn't there, and the taxpayers are fed up with it."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Dunn, to explain his vote. Timer's on."

Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in opposition to this Amendment, and just



would like to point out to the Members for the record if my memory serves me correctly, that there...since 1974 there has been one cost of living increase for public aid recipients, and that was five percent about a year ago. And those of us who are here know that costs have risen tremendously. If in 1974 someone had the barest of subsistence standards of living, and they've had one five percent increase since then, we're in a state of disgrace in the State of Illinois for treating our poor that way. If we believe in responsibility of those who cannot help themselves, to those who are really deserving of public aid benefits, we owe it to them to increase not nine percent, but probably double or triple. This is the least we can do..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich, to explain his vote. Timer's on."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, I only explain my vote because I heard Representative Peters say the best way we can do this is to table this Amendment. I think all of us who are here for a while remember that the only way we had the last cost of living increase was that we put a cost of living on the Bill, and that forced the position. I recall it quite vividly, and if we didn't place it on at that time, in that Session, we probably would have ended up with nothing. All you have to do is go to the grocery store and find out what it costs somebody of average means to live in this day and age, and you realize that a modest cost of living is necessary. We know it's not going to end up at nine percent, but we know that the only way you're going to put it on is if you put this on now, and then you negotiate from there. You all remember the speech that Deacon Davis gave that..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Peters, on a point of personal privilege."

Peters: "I thank the Gentleman for...from Lake for mentioning my name. The reason we got through what we did last time was because the people of good will got together and sat



down and said, 'We've got to do something in public aid. Let's not get involved in the motion and the rhetoric of the situation, but let's see...sit down and see what we can end up doing.' And that's what we did in a lot of meetings with the Department, with the people involved, with consumer groups, with a lot of the Representatives, with people of the Senate, with people out of the Governor's office. We worked that out, and then the Amendment was put on, and we knew it would get through the House. It would get through the Senate. The Governor would sign it. Funds wouldn't be held back. Funds wouldn't be frozen. That is what I am suggesting we ought to end up doing here. We all want to do something in this area, but I think we ought to end up doing it, making sure that it gets done when the bottom line is written."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady from Cook, Mrs. Chapman, to explain her vote. Timer's on."

Chapman: "Mr. Chapman...Mr. Chair...Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mrs. Chapman..."

Chapman: "Mr. Speaker, I've done that before...Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, there are those who believe that the people who live in my Legislative District are all very well to do, are all employed, don't have a care in the world, but nothing is further than the truth. In every single Legislative District in this state, there are people who need the help that the Department of Public Aid can bring to them, and if there is any group that should not be forgotten in a year when inflation is a problem for all of us, and a year when depression is close to us, it is people on public aid, it is people who are out of work, people who need assistance in order to meet basic..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Marion, Mr. Friedrich, to explain his vote. Timer's on."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I don't think we need to argue that public aid people have a get rich quick scheme. I do think, though, that we ought to remind ourselves



Illinois has one of the highest rates of any state around here, and we're constantly getting people who move in from other states because they can make an extra \$50 or \$100 a month. So we get the public aid cases and the jobs go to the south. Now if this exchange keeps going on very long, I can tell you Illinois will not be a very good state because we'll be bearing all the burden and all the industry will be in the south. So I think we ought to have national level of public aid payments to stop this migration to places like Illinois which happen to be paying more."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Johnson, to explain his vote. Timer's on."

Johnson: "It seems like we're losing the discussion. We're talking about union negotiations or something. We're really not talking about that. State employees this year are going to receive a six and one half average increase, various academic personnel about eight percent, and while people may be sympathetic of those on public aid, we are talking about a different genus all together. We're talking about voluntary government handouts, and I think to talk about a nine percent increase at a time when we can barely afford a six and one half increase for state employees at a time when people are talking about tax relief and are tired of paying more taxes and want to pay lower taxes, I think the vast majority of the people in Illinois think that nine percent is excessive, and we ought to vote 'no'...we ought to vote 'yes' on this motion."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from McLean, Mr. Ropp, to explain his vote. Timer's on."

Ropp: "Yeah, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I'd just like to raise a point and question someone who said we have not increased any benefits to the people on public aid. I'd like to remind all of you that we have already, last year, taken one percent off of food and drugs, and certainly there is legislation that we've passed already that takes a second one, so there is consideration, and I just wanted to



remind you all of that."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 78 'ayes', and 78 'nos'. Mr. Reilly."

Reilly: "I hate to take the time of the House, but this is important. I ask for a verification of the Negative Roll Call."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Now wait. Mr. Pechous. How is Mr. Pechous recorded? Recorded as voting 'aye'. Kindly change Mr. Pechous to 'no'. Now there are 77 'ayes', and 79 'nos'. Mr. Reilly."

Reilly: "I request a verification of the Negative Roll Call."

Speaker Lechowicz: "And the Lady asked for a Poll of the Absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of the Absentees. Bluthardt, Casey, Darrow, Ebbesen, Huff, Dave Jones, Klosak, Kucharski, Laurino, McBroom, Meyer, Schlickman, Schuneman, Terzich, and Williams."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Proceed to verify the negative vote."

Clerk O'Brien: "Alexander, Balanoff, Beatty, Birchler, Bowman, Bradley, Braun, Bullock, Catania, Chapman, Christensen, Cullerton, Currie, Dawson, DiPrima, Domico, Doyle, John Dunn, Dyer, Epton, Ewell, Farley, Flinn, Gaines, Garmisa, Getty, Giorgi, Goodwin, Greiman, Hanahan, Harris, Henry, Jaffe, Emil Jones, Kane, Katz, Keane, Kelly, Kornowicz, Krska, Kulas, Lechowicz, Leon, Leverenz, Madigan, Marovitz, Matijevich, Mautino, McClain, McGrew, McPike, Mugalian, Mulcahey, Murphy, Patrick, Pechous, Pierce, Pouncey, Preston, Rea, Richmond, Ronan, Sandquist, Satterthwaite, Schneider, Sharp, Slape, Stearney, Staczo, Taylor, VanDuyne, Vitek, White, Willer, Williamson, Sam Wolf, Younge, Yourell, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any questions on the verification? Mr. Reilly."

Reilly: "We start out at 77-79. Is that it?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "That is correct."



Reilly: "Christensen."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Christensen...he is here."

Reilly: "Where?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Right there in the middle aisle in the back...
on the phone."

Reilly: "Cullerton."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Cullerton. How is Mr. Cullerton
recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Remove him."

Reilly: "Dawson."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Dawson's in his chair."

Reilly: "Dyer."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mrs. Dyer...The Lady is in the back of the
chamber."

Reilly: "Ewell."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Was that Ewell?"

Reilly: "Yes, Sir."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Ewell...how is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Remove him."

Reilly: "Farley."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Farley...Mr. Farley in the chamber? How is
the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Remove him."

Reilly: "Garmisa."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Garmisa...how is Mr. Garmisa recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Remove him."

Reilly: "Greiman."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Greiman...Mr. Greiman...Mr. Greiman's in
the back of the hall."

Reilly: "Hanahan."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Hanahan...Mr. Hanahan in the chamber?
How is the Gentleman recorded?"



Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Remove him."

Reilly: "Jaffe."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Jaffe...how is Mr. Jaffe recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Remove him."

Reilly: "Katz."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Katz...he is in the chamber."

Reilly: "Kelly. Oh, I see him."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Kelly is in his chair."

Reilly: "Marovitz."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Marovitz...how is Mr. Marovitz recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Remove Mr. Marovitz, put Mr. Garmisa
back on the Roll Call."

Reilly: "McGrew."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. McGrew...how is Mr. McGrew recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Remove him."

Reilly: "Keane."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Keane...he's in his chair."

Reilly: "Mugalian...Mugalian."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mugalian...how is Mr. Mugalian recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Remove him."

Reilly: "Murphy."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Murphy's in his chair."

Reilly: "Rea. Oh, I..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Rea's in the chamber."

Reilly: "I see him. Stearney."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Excuse me, kindly record Mr. Da...David
Jones as 'aye'. Mr. Stearney in the chamber? Stearney...
how is Mr. Stearney recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as...the Gentleman's
recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Remove Mr. Stearney."



Reilly: "Steczo."

Speaker Lechowicz: "He's in his chair."

Reilly: "VanDuyne."

Speaker Lechowicz: "VanDuyne's in the cor...in the aisle.

Put Mr. Ewell back on the Roll Call. Ray Ewell."

Reilly: "Schisler."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Schisler in the chamber? How is Mr. Schisler recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "He's recorded as 'aye', and he's in the back of the chamber."

Reilly: "Giorgi."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Giorgi...Mr. Giorgi...he is here. Mr.

VanDuyne, what purpose do you seek recognition? You are recorded as 'no'. Anyone else, Mr. Reilly? Mr. VanDuyne, what purpose do you seek recognition? VanDuyne...put Mr. Farley back on the Roll Call. Mr. VanDuyne, were you seeking recognition? Were you seeking recognition, Sir... Oh, I'm sorry. Change Mr. VanDuyne from 'no' to 'aye'. And put Mr. McGrew back on the Roll Call. Mr. VonBoeckman. Kindly record Mr. VonBoeckman as 'no'. What's the count? On this question there are 78 'ayes', and 73 'nos'. Mrs. Braun, what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Braun: "Mr. Speaker, would you verify the affirmative vote, please?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Clerk will proceed to verify the affirmative vote."

Clerk O'Brien: "Abramson, Ackerman, Anderson, Barnes, Bell, Bianco, Birkinbine, Borchers, Boucek, Bower, Burnidge, Campbell, Capuzi, Collins, Conti, Daniels, Davis, Deuster, Ralph Dunn, Ewing, Virginia Frederick, Dwight Friedrich, Griesheimer, Grossi, Hallock, Hallstrom, Hannig, Hoffman, Hoxsey, Hudson, Huskey, Johnson, Dave Jones, Karpier, Kent, Leinenweber, MacDonald, Mahar, Margalus, Matula, McAuliffe, McCourt, McMaster, Molloy, Neff, Oblinger, O'Brien, Peters, Piel, Polk, Pullen, Reed, Reilly, Rigney,



Robbins, Ropp, Ryan, Schisler, Shoerberlein, Schraeder, Simms, Skinner, Stanley, E.G. Steele, C.M. Stiehl, Sumner, Swanstrom, Telscer, Totten, Tuerk, VanDuyne, Vinson, Walsh, Watson, Wikoff, Winchester, J.J. Wolf. and Woodyard."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mrs. Braun, do you have any questions of the affirmative votes? What are we starting off with, Jack? 79..."

Braun: "Mr. Speaker, shouldn't that be 79 negative votes? I'm sorry, 78."

Speaker Lechowicz: "We're starting off with 78 'ayes', 72 'nos'."

Braun: "Bianco."

Speaker Lechowicz: "I'm sorry, ma'am. It's 73 'nos'. Mr. Bianco...you may be pointing, but I can't see him. Phil Bianco. He's there."

Braun: "Daniels."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Daniels is in the chamber."

Braun: "Davis."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Davis is in the chamber...which one? I'm sorry, Mr. Davis is in the chamber."

Braun: "Griesheimer."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Who?"

Braun: "Mr. Griesheimer."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Griesheimer...how is Mr. Griesheimer recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Remove him."

Braun: "Mr. Deuster."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Deuster...how is Mr. Deuster recorded? Deuster."

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Remove him. And Mr. Conti asks leave to be verified. Leave is granted."

Braun: "Mr. Hannig."

Speaker Lechowicz: "He is here."

Braun: "Mr. Leinenweber."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Leinenweber is here."



Braun: "Mr....Mr. Telscer."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Telscer is here."

Braun: "Mr. O'Brien."

Speaker Lechowicz: "O'Brien is here."

Braun: "Mr. Molloy."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Molloy..."

Braun: "Mr. Molloy..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "I'm sorry...what? I've been informed he's
in the Nurse's station. Remove him until he gets back.
Put Mr. Deuster back on. Did you put Deuster back on,
Jack?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Yeah..."

Braun: "Mr. Johnson."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Johnson is here."

Braun: "Walsh."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Walsh is here."

Braun: "Mr. Swanstrom."

Speaker Lechowicz: "And put Griesheimer back on. Mr.
Swanstrom is here."

Braun: "Mr. Boucek."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Boucek is here."

Braun: "I can't read my own handwriting. Mr. Neff."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Neff is here."

Braun: "Mr. Walsh."

Speaker Lechowicz: "You already asked for him."

Braun: "Oh, I'm sorry. I'm sorry. Mr. Margalus."

Speaker Lechowicz: "He is here."

Braun: "Mr. Grossi."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Grossi, he is here."

Braun: "Mr. Bower."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Bower is here."

Braun: "Did I ask Margalus already?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Yes, you did ma'am, And he was here. He's
still here."

Braun: "Mr. Skinner."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Skinner...he is here."



Braun: "Do I have O'Brien..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Hoffman, what purpose do you seek recognition? He wants to be sure he is recorded as 'aye'."

Braun: "Just one more second."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Surely, ma'am."

Braun: "What is the count now, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Are you through?"

Braun: "At this point. What is the count?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Conti...put Mr. Molloy back on our Roll Call. And Griesheimer's already on."

Braun: "No further questions, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "What's the count, Jack? On this question there are 78 'ayes', and 73 'nos'. The Gentleman from Rock Island, Mr. Darrow."

Darrow: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Please record me as 'no'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Kindly record Mr. Darrow as 'no'. Kindly put Mr. Jaffe as 'no'. On this question there are 78 'ayes', 76 'nos'. The Gentleman...kindly record Mr. Stearney as 'no'. 78-77. No, it was removed off the Roll Call, Mr. Conti, when you went to get Mr. Molloy. The Gentleman from Effingham, Mr. Brummer."

Brummer: "Please record me as 'no'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Kindly record Mr. Brummer as 'no'. It's even-Steven. No, it's not. I'm sorry. On this question there are 78 'ayes', and 77 'nos'. The Gentleman from Coles, Mr. Stuffle, wants to be recorded as 'no'. That is being verified. On this question there are 78 'ayes', and 78 'nos'. The Gentleman's motion fails. Any further Amendments?"

Continued on Page 106



Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #8, Reilly, amends House Bill
3043 as..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Morgan, Mr. Reilly."

Reilly: "I withdraw Amendment #8."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Leave? Hearing no objections, Amendment
#8 is withdrawn. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #9, Reilly, amends House Bill
3043..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Morgan, Mr. Reilly."

Reilly: "Amendment #9, I believe, is an agreed Amendment to
correct some problems with the previous Amendments that
have been...I'm sorry, I'm sorry. We don't need Amend-
ment #9. I withdraw Amendment #9."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman withdraws Amendment #9.
Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #10, Huskey-Braun, amends House
Bill 3043..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Huskey."

Huskey: "Mr. Speaker, I move that #10 be withdrawn."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman withdraws Amendment #10.
Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #11, Reilly, amends House Bill
3043..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Morgan, Mr. Reilly."

Reilly: "Withdraw Amendment #11."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman withdraws Amendment #11.
Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #12, Huskey."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Huskey."

Huskey: "Well, Mr...Mr. Speaker, Amendment #12 is a companion
Amendment which is agreed to that goes that House Bill
24."

Speaker Lechowicz: "What does the Amendment do?"

Huskey: "The Amendment adds 1.5 million dollars as the
appropriation for House Bill 24."



Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The Lady from Cook, Mrs. Chapman. In favor of the Amendment? All in favor signify by saying 'aye'...on Amendment #12...the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Wolf."

Wolf: "I just want to ask the Sponsor of the Amendment a question. I think..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "He indicates he'll yield."

Wolf: "I think it's a great idea, but I want to know why it cost a million and a half, every other county does it for nothing."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Huskey."

Huskey: "Well, I...we had to...we had to put this Amendment in for start up funds of this particular...of this particular Bill."

Wolf: "Well, I...I have no problem with the Bill. Every other...as far as I know, a hundred and one counties charge nothing. I don't know why Stanley Kuser needs a million and a half."

Huskey: "Well, this...be divided throughout all the counties that participate, Representative Wolf."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Yourell."

Yourell: "Yeah, I would like to ask the Gentleman a question. I don't..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "He indicate he'll yield."

Yourell: "I don't think this is Stanley Kuser, the Clerk of Cook County, that has these funds, it's the Clerk of the Circuit Court. Is that correct, Mr. Huskey?"

Huskey: "That's right. It's Morgan Finley's office that has..."

Yourell: "Thank you."

Huskey: "...that isn't Cook County. But this is also for the Clerks of the whole state, not just for Cook County."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall Amendment #12 be adopted? All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed ...Amendment #12 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"



Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #13, Chapman-Bowman, amends House Bill 3043..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady from Cook, Mrs. Chapman."

Chapman: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to withdraw Amendment #13 in favor of Amendment #14."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady withdraws Amendment #13. Any objections? Hearing none, Amendment #13 is withdrawn. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #14, Chapman-Bowman, amends House Bill 3043 as amended..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady from Cook, Mrs. Chapman."

Chapman: "Mr. Bowman."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Bowman. Mr. Bowman, please."

Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #14 was the one that the Lady referred to in supporting Representative Reilly's motion to table Amendment #3. Amendment #3 had taken 5.6 million dollars out of the various administrative line items. That was deemed to be too expensive with the...the department would not be able to make those adjustments..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Excuse me, Woodyard. A point of order. The Gentleman from Morgan, Mr. Reilly, what's your point?"

Reilly: "Amendment 14 has not been printed, much less distributed. I would ask that the Bill be held on Second Reading at this point."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Your point is not well taken and the Amendments have been distributed and printed."

Reilly: "That's...your own staff just told me that it was not."

Speaker Lechowicz: "That is not correct."

Reilly: "I'm sorry...been distributed here."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Please proceed on the explanation of the Amendment. Mr. Bowman."

Bowman: "Okay. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. As I was saying, that



this Amendment is a replacement for Amendment 3 which was tabled. Amendment 3 had taken 5.6 million dollars out of various administrative lines in implementing... to implement the Public Aid cost control study that was prepared by our staff. That...the department had raised objection to that because they said that they could not possibly make those adjustments in the short time that remained between now and the beginning of the fiscal year. So Amendment #14, instead of the 5.6 million dollar reduction, makes a 2.8 million dollar reduction in the same administrative lines. Basically, what we are doing with Amendment #14 is directing the department to make the same cuts that...or, in the same areas, that Amendment #3 provided but that we give them six months in which to...to make these adjustments. Let me very quickly summarize the...the nature of the reductions. Two million dollars in Central Operations, nineteen thousand in MMIS development, a hundred and sixty-four thousand in Social Service Central Office Operations, five hundred and seventy thousand in the Medical Central Office Operations and 21.9 thousand in the Food Stamp Operation. These are not service...direct service providers. These, for the most part, are staff personnel, the bulk of which perform special projects and have no management or direct line responsibility. I ask adoption."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Morgan, Mr. Reilly."

Reilly: "I accept Amendment #14."

Bowman: "He accepts it."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall Amendment #14 be adopted? All in favor signify by saying 'aye', aye, opposed...any further Amendments? Amendment is adopted."

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. House Bill 3045."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3045. A Bill for an Act making an



appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Aging. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 2, 3 and 4 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #5..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mrs. Oblinger..."

Clerk O'Brien: "...Steczo-Kane..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Excuse me. Mrs. Oblinger. 'Josephine, it's your Bill. Please proceed."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #5, Steczo-Kane, amends House Bill 3045 as amended..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Steczo."

Steczo: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Members of the House.

Amendment #5 to House Bill 3045 adds eight million dollars to the Community Care Program in the Department of Aging. The Governor, in his budget, sited a 54% increase funding for Community Care and Home Services to this Department. But that actually represents a reduced commitment then from the year before. Two factors really have to be taken into consideration. Number one, that the appropriations in the last fiscal year, FY 1980, only covered partial year operations. This program was transferred from the Department of Public Aid to the Department of Aging. Additionally, although the appropriation last year was nine million dollars for a half year obligation, this department... the Department of Aging came in on January 23, last year, for a supplemental appropriation, which to my knowledge has not been enacted yet. And as of March, the intake for the program was cut off. In addition to that, the estimated ^{cost} of the current seven thousand, thirty-two case load of 16.9 million. The Governor's new budget only includes 17.3 million for the upkeep of those cases.



Although, the Governor's budget, in his budget message and budget book, estimates a case load in 1981 of eighty-seven hundred. So at a rate of two hundred dollars per case, we find that the amount of money that is currently in the budget ^{is just} woefully inadequate. As I mentioned, the transfer of in-home services from IDPA to the Department of Aging was conducted to improve and expand services. The amount of money in the budget presently is certainly not enough for this worthwhile program. And I would appreciate your support for Amendment #5 to House Bill 3045."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The Lady from Sangamon, Mrs. Oblinger."

Oblinger: "Mr. Chairman, Members of the House, this was not included in the Governor's budget."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise to oppose the Amendment offered by the Gentleman from Cook County. The arguments were made on this some two weeks ago when we did hear this particular Amendment and it was taken out of the record. In our view, the appropriations made to the Department of Aging for this particular function are adequate and will cover the functions of the department...would insuring efficiency and nonduplication of effort. When this Amendment was called last time, the House...it appeared at any rate, that at that point the House had agreed to oppose and vote down this Amendment. I would trust that the House would once again take that action on this particular legislation so that we can have a clean budget going through and will have to end up spending less time in Conference Committees and other places trying to work all these problems out."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Steczo to close."

Steczko: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. To correct the statements



of the last Speaker. It seemed when this Amendment was heard on the House floor before the number of votes in its favor on the board showed that the House did agree with the Amendment. And we took it out of the record, not to go through a verification. I think it's important to look again at the amount of money that the Governor has requested in the budget and what the Governor's estimation of what the case load should be in the next year. In addition to that, if the current supplemental appropriation goes through and is enacted, say before June 1st, we can expect another seven hundred to a thousand cases to be processed before the beginning of the next fiscal year. Before the intake was shut off in March, new cases were being added at the rate of about three hundred and twelve...three hundred and twelve per month. So I think that when you look at the appropriation in the budget you'll look at the fact that the appropriations included in the budget are...no, are really not adequate to upkeep the current case load, let alone an increase of seven hundred to a thousand before the next fiscal year as well as any new cases that...that may be added after that time. I think that this extra appropriation is reasonable. It provides a good service to people in the State of Illinois. And I would appreciate your support on Amendment #5 to House Bill 3045."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall Amendment #5 be adopted? All in favor say 'aye', opposed...the 'ayes' have it. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #6, Oblinger, amends House Bill..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "There's a Roll Call on Amendment #5.

All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'nay'. Steczko's Amendment. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 78 'ayes', 55 'noes'. The Amendment is adopted. Any



further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #6, Oblinger, amends House Bill 3045 as amended on..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady from Sangamon, Mrs. Oblinger."

Oblinger: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, the year 1981 is the White House Conference on Aging and this is the Bill that provides the money for the regional and state meeting preceeding the White House Conference in Washington in the amount of fifty thousand dollars. I request your favorable vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The question is, shall Amendment #6 be adopted? All in favor signify by saying 'aye', aye, opposed...the 'ayes' have it. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #7, Oblinger, amends House Bill 3045 as amended on page 4..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady from Sangamon, Mrs. Oblinger."

Oblinger: "I request permission to withdraw Amendment #7."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady withdraws Amendment #7. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #8, Peters, amends House Bill 3045 as amended on page 2, line..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, I would withdraw Amendment 8 through 28."

Speaker Lechowicz: "This is the wrong Bill because we don't have that many."

Peters: "Amendment 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27 and 28."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Very good. The Gentleman withdraws Amendments 8 through 28. Hearing no objection, the Amendments are withdrawn. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. House Bill 3051."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 3051. A Bill for an Act making an



appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Guardianship and Advocacy Commission. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #2 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any motions?"

Clerk Leone: "No motions filed."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #1 and 3 failed. Amendment #4, Chapman, amends House Bill 3051 as amended."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Excuse me. Would the Lady from... Oblinger, what purpose...Mrs. Oblinger, what purpose do you seek recognition? All right, fine. The Lady from Cook, Mrs. Chapman."

Chapman: "Mr. Speaker, the major issue relating to this Commission is that there the absence of a state designee to provide legal services to the clients. This is mandated by the court. While the State of Illinois is designated to provide the funding, the issue of direct financial responsibility for processing the payments of necessary fees has involved into a significant dispute between the court system and the Commission. This is a matter which must be resolved and that is the reason that this Bill has been held on Third Reading for quite some time. The Amendment I am offering resolves this issue by appropriating four hundred thousand dollars, the funds deemed necessary, to provide for this mandatory requirement in the state law."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The Lady from Cook, Mrs. Hallstrom."

Hallstrom: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen, I accept Representative Chapman's Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall Amendment #4 be adopted? All in favor signify by saying 'aye', aye, opposed...Amendment #4 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"



Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #5, Satterthwaite, amends House Bill 3051..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady from Champaign, Mrs. Satterthwaite."

Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this adds two hundred and seventy-eight thousand dollars or as much as necessary for advocacy services for individuals where there is a conflict between the guardianship and advocacy role of the Commission. I don't believe there is any opposition."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady from Cook, Mrs. Hallstrom."

Hallstrom: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I understand this was another unresolved issue and, as Representative Chapman said, this issue also had to be resolved, at least at this...this point with Representative Satterthwaite's Amendment. And I do accept the Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall Amendment #5 be adopted? All in favor signify by saying 'aye', aye, opposed...Amendment #5 is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. House Bill 3320."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 3320. A Bill for an Act making appropriations for certain continuing Boards and Commissions. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 and 11 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any motions?"

Clerk Leone: "No motions filed."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #12, Darrow-Sandquist, amends House Bill 3320 as amended in...inserting..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Rock Island, Mr. Darrow."

Darrow: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the



House, this Amendment adds three hundred and sixty-eight thousand, six hundred and nineteen dollars for the Select Committee on regulatory agency reform. It's bipartisan, as both sides agree on it and I'd ask for a favorable vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there any discussion? The question is, shall Amendment #12 be adopted? All in favor signify by saying 'aye', aye, opposed...Amendment #12 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #13, Chapman, amends House Bill 3320..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "What's the number, Tony?"

Clerk Leone: "13."

Speaker Lechowicz: "All right. The Lady from Cook, Mrs. Chapman. Mrs. Chapman, on the floor. Amendment #13 on 3320."

Chapman: "This Amendment corrects a printing error. It adds one thousand, eight hundred in Tele-Communications to the Commission on Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The question is, shall Amendment #13 be adopted? All in favor signify by saying 'aye', aye, opposed...Amendment 13 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #14, Peters, amends House..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #14 reduces the appropriation for the Criminal Sentencing Commission by twenty-one thousand, seven hundred and eleven dollars in personnel. I would move its adoption."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bullock."

Bullock: "Representative Peters, could you explain to me what the Criminal Sentencing Commission does?"

Peters: "Representative, I would ask the House officer of



that Committee, Representative Getty, to respond."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Getty, did you hear the question?"

Bullock: "Well, that's okay, Mr. Speaker. I can speak to Representative Getty, I was asking the Sponsor of the Amendment. Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall the Amendment 14 be adopted? All in favor signify by saying 'aye', aye, opposed...Amendment #14 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #15, Peters, amends House Bill 3320..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, what Amendment #15 does is that it moves three full-time employees from contractual services to personnel services for the Pension Laws Commission. It reduces travel by six thousand dollars. And that total change is an addition of one thousand, four hundred and forty-nine dollars. I would move adoption of Amendment #15. It's all right, Teddy. Representative Terzich is knowledgeable and in agreement on this."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The question is, shall Amendment #15 be adopted? All in favor signify by saying 'aye', 'noes'...Amendment #15 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #16, Peters, amends House Bill 3312...(sic)"

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, House Amendment #16 to House Bill 3320 adds, in accord with action taken by the Senate, a sum of eighty-eight thousand dollars to ^{the} Ethnic Heritage Commission. If there are any questions in terms of the function of the Commission, I would turn that question over to Representative Kulas. I would move adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The question is, shall



Amendment #16 be adopted? All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed...Amendment #16 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #17, Peters, amends House Bill..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, Amendment #17 reduces the appropriation for the Joint Committee on Administrative Rules by roughly eight percent, a total reduction of seventeen thousand, two hundred dollars in turnover, hiring, lag equipment and in personal services, a reduction of seventeen thousand, two hundred dollars. I would move adoption of Amendment #17."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The question is, shall Amendment #17 be adopted? All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed...Amendment #17 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #18, Peters, amends House Bill..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #18 makes the following reductions, two thousand dollars in the appropriation for the Atomic Energy Commission, three thousand, three hundred dollars for the Legislative Reference Bureau, one thousand, two hundred and fifty-two dollars for the Commission on Inter-governmental Cooperation, total reduction six thousand, five hundred and fifty-two dollars. I move its adoption."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz."

Leverenz: "The...could you just run through those slowly? The first one I was concerned with."

Peters: "The Atomic Energy Commission, two thousand dollars, the Legislative Reference Bureau, three thousand, three hundred dollars, which reflects the eight percent, basically



that we're trying to cut down."

Leverenz: "Thank you."

Peters: "I would move adoption of the Amendment, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall Amendment #18 be adopted? All in favor signify by saying 'aye', aye, opposed...Amendment #18 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #19, Peters, amends House..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Peters."

Peters: "Amendment 19 reduces the appropriation for the Legislative Information System in the sum of twenty thousand, three hundred and six dollars which deletes a new position and makes an across the board reduction of approximately eight percent of certain line items. Total reduction of twenty thousand, nine hundred and six dollars. I would move adoption of Amendment #19."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The question is, shall Amendment #19 be adopted? All in favor signify by saying 'aye', aye, opposed...Amendment #19 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #20, Peters, amends..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Peters."

Peters: "Amendment #20 reduces the appropriation for the Commission on Economic Development as follows: nine thousand dollars in travel and approximately eighteen hundred dollars in personal services for a total reduction of ten thousand, eight hundred dollars. I move adoption of Amendment 20."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The question is, shall Amendment #20 be adopted? All in favor signify by saying 'aye', aye, opposed...Amendment #20 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #21, Peters..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,



Amendment 21 reduces the appropriation for the Mississippi River Parkway Commission by ten thousand dollars. I would move adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The question is, shall Amendment #21 be adopted? All in favor signify by saying 'aye', aye, opposed...Amendment #21 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #22..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Peters."

Peters: "Amendment #22, Mr. Chairman, reduces the appropriation to the Judicial Advisory Council by six thousand dollars. I move adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The question is, shall Amendment #22 be adopted? All in favor signify by saying 'aye', aye, opposed...Amendment #22 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #23, Peters."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, Amendment #23 reduces the appropriation for the Legislative Council in the total amount of one hundred twenty-eight thousand, eight hundred and sixty-five thousand dollars...as follows: The Oral History Division, seven thousand, six hundred dollars; the Research Department, approximately thirty-eight thousand dollars and the Service Unit, about eighty thousand dollars. A total reduction of one hundred and twenty-eight thousand, eight hundred and sixty-five thousand dollars of the Legislative Service Council. I would move adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you. May I ask..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "He indicates he'll yield."

Leverenz: "...why?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Peters."

Leverenz: "I don't mind the Oral History. I wonder what the



cuts are in the...and I don't mind the Service Unit's cut. The other one I think was research?"

Peters: "It is my understanding that, outside of the general eight percent kind of reduction that the Senate would...like to make, some of these cuts were initiated by the Legislative Council itself."

Leverenz: "Which ones were?"

Peters: "Pardon."

Leverenz: "Can you go through which one and identify the source."

Peters: "If you'll hold on one second, Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Sure. I'm in support, I mean, I could help you find about forty thousand to cut out real fast."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Vinson. We'll get right back to you, Ted."

Vinson: "I rise for the purpose of an introduction, Mr. Speaker. Former Senator Carroll, a distinguished Member of the Legislature, is with us today."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Welcome again, Bill. Mr. Peters, do you have the answer to Mr. Leverenz's question?"

Peters: "Yeah...Representative Leverenz, I'm not sure that this will satisfy you completely. But roughly, eighty-six thousand dollars was recommended by the Council. The research, forty-seven hundred dollars. The Service Unit, seventy-four thousand dollars. The Oral History Program, seven...seven thousand, six hundred dollars. And the other reductions were made in addition too so we would have an additional twenty-eight...thirty-eight...forty-two thousand dollars of reductions. But eighty-six thousand was agreed to by the Council."

Leverenz: "Great."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall Amendment #23 be adopted? All in favor signify by saying 'aye', aye, opposed...Amendment #23 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"



Clerk Leone: "Amendment #24, Peters, amends..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #24 reduces the total appropriation of the Legislative Advisory Council on Public Aid in the sum of fifty-six thousand, six hundred and sixty-five dollars. Seven thousand dollars in travel...and the...remaining forty-eight thousand dollars in personal services and then the retirement and the social security funds that were needed. The Legislative Advisory Council is...is apprised of this. And, incidently, these figures have passed the Senate so I take it is with..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion?"

Peters: "...everyone else's approval. I would move adoption of Amendment #24."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The question is, shall Amendment #24 be adopted? All in favor signify by saying 'aye', aye, opposed...Amendment #24 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #25, Peters."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, Amendment #25 reduces the appropriation for the Commission on Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities in the sum of eight thousand, two hundred dollars. It makes a reduction of ten thousand dollars in contractual services but adds eighteen hundred dollars back into tele-communications, a drafting error in the original legislation submitted. I would move adoption of Amendment #25."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The question is, shall Amendment #25 be adopted? All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed....Amendment #25 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #26, Peters."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Peters."



Peters: "Mr. Speaker, Amendment #26 reduces the appropriation for the Energy Resources Commission in the sum of five thousand, five hundred dollars. I would move adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The question is, shall Amendment #26 be adopted? All in favor signify by saying 'aye', aye, opposed...Amendment #26 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #27, J.J. Wolf."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. J.J. Wolf."

Wolf: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. This merely reduces the Data Information System's request by sixty-six thousand, fifty-five dollars. It gives them ninety-five thousand, five hundred and eighty dollars which I'm sure is more than enough. I move its adoption."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Ronan, on Amendment #27."

Ronan: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to defer to Representative Vinson, who is a outspoken spokesman on this fine Commission."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise to oppose this Amendment after substantial thought. I think the Sponsor's...I think the Sponsor's objective in trying to hold down the costs on these Commission is laudatory. But in this particular case, I oppose the Amendment and I think for good reason. We see enormous sums of money being spent by a number of agencies in state government for computer services. And unless somebody is going to regulate that and review it we're going to be over the barrel. In Public Aid alone this year we will be asked to approve a new total of six million dollars for one computer system. The Department of Transportation is out with bids right now for a new computer system for their system. I suspect



that if you aggregate the totals across state agencies you'll find that we're spending somewhere in the vicinity of fifty to one hundred million dollars on computer systems for this state. And I would suggest to you that unless the Legislature is willing to spend the money to review what the Executive Branch is doing with those computer systems, we're going to really be wasting more money on the initial acquisition and operation of those systems than we could ever waste on any state Commission. For those reasons, I would urge a 'no' vote on this Amendment, even though I know it's well-intentioned. I would urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I think we ought to review the prior Speaker's comments. We've already given him a train to Decatur today and now we're going to spend more money reviewing computer systems than we do on buying computers for the whole state. I think the Amendment is in order and that this Commission, probably more than any other, ought to be reduced and...for some very sound reasons. And I support the Gentleman from Cook's motion to reduce this. We ought to vote 'aye'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Wolf, to close. J.J. Wolf."

Wolf: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I was happy to hear the words of the previous Speaker. This Commission did receive ninety-five thousand, five hundred and eighty dollars last year. I would have to say the report probably wasn't worth anywhere near that amount. This year they came in for a sixty-nine percent...69.1 percent increase. I can't imagine what they're going to do with a hundred and sixty-one thousand dollars, six hundred, thirty five when the Commission really didn't do anything last year. And if it wasn't for the fact that my good



friend, Representative Ronan, is the Sponsor of it, I'd like to abolish the whole Commission all together. I just move the adoption of this Amendment. I think it's a good one."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall Amendment #27 be adopted? All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed ...Amendment is defeated. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No...no further Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Wait a minute. Do you want a Roll Call, Jake? The question is, shall Amendment #27 be adopted? All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 42 'ayes', 93 'noes'. The Amendment is defeated. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I've cleared this on both sides of the aisle. I'd like to suspend the appropriate rule in the Calendar requirement so that House Bill 3350 can be read on Second Reading, Second Legislative Day... there's no Amendments, and then move to Third Reading... and use the Attendance Roll Call."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any objections? Hearing none, use the Attendance Roll Call and the Gentleman's request is granted. The Clerk will read the Bill, 3350."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 3350. A Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the Chain of Lakes, Fox River Commission. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "No floor Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. DiPrima, for an announcement."

DiPrima: "Yes, Sir. Mr. Speaker, I would like to make some late announcements on the amount of monies we received,



some that came in real late, like Josephine Oblinger. She came in the next day. She wasn't here the day we sold the poppies. She gave five dollars. Sam Vinson gave me five dollars today. Good ol' Sammy. And Dawn Brown, a former WAC and a member of the National Guard, she's on the County and Township's Committee. She gave five dollars. Gordon Ropp gave five dollars. And, Penny Pullen gave five dollars. Now there was two ten dollar donations. I'll only mention the first names, they don't want to be mentioned. One was Lee and the other one was Jake. All right. The total amount of monies we collected up until we got the five dollars from Sam Vinson, was three hundred and eighty-eight dollars and sixty cents. Plus the fin I just got from Sam Vinson, makes it three hundred and ninety-three dollars and sixty cents that will go for the rehabilitation program for the veterans. Bill Henry says, make it four hundred. All right. I called McMann, from the V.F.W., I told him I'd have this money for him tomorrow. I'll give it to him tomorrow night at the dinner that maybe a lot of you have forgotten. There's a dinner being given by the Combined Veterans Association of Illinois tomorrow night now, in case a lot of you might have forgotten. You made reservations to go to this affair, now don't stand those people up. It includes cocktails at six thirty and dinner and seven thirty. And it's on Wednesday, May the 28th, that's tomorrow night, at the Holiday Inn East, 3100 South Dirksen Parkway, Springfield, Illinois."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Epton."

Epton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I certainly don't want to embarrass a colleague, but we were taking an independent count of the money collected and we have a total of eight hundred, twenty-seven dollars collected."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. DiPrima,



stand on your hands. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. J.J. Wolf."

Wolf: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would ask leave to have my name removed as a Cosponsor of House Bill 3320."

Speaker Lechowicz: "On 3320, Jake? The Gentleman asks leave to have his name removed as a Chief Cosponsor on House Bill 3320. Hearing no objections, the Gentleman's name will be removed. The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijeovich."

Matijeovich: "Mr. Speaker, we had that little hassle earlier on the Supreme Court Bill and it's been worked out. I'd like to have leave to return House Bill 3301 back to the Order of Second Reading for the...I'm trying to help you out."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Please proceed."

Matijeovich: "For the purpose of an Amendment and Dwight Friedrich has the Amendment. Do we have leave?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Hearing no objections, bring House Bill ...what is the number?"

Matijeovich: "3301."

Speaker Lechowicz: "3301 back to Second Reading."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 3301 has been read previously a second time."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #3, Friedrich-Leverenz, amends House Bill..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Marion, Mr. Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, I think we've worked out with the Sponsor of this Bill a way that the funds held and spent by the Supreme Court will be appropriated by this Body and subject^{to} audit by the Auditor General. That was our objection in the first place. And this appropriates money out of the funds presently held by the Supreme Court to be used for the purpose of the Board of Law examiners and also for the Attorney Registration Disciplinary Committee. And I think this will remove



the objections we've had with the Auditor General and the Legislative Audit Commission. I move the adoption of the Amendment."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, before we do that, I think we should suspend the appropriate rules that require the Amendment to be printed and on our desks so that we can do this in a timely fashion. And I'd like to ask leave for that purpose and..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Hearing no objections, the Gentleman's request is granted, the Attendance Roll Call will be used. Now, on the Amendment. Mr. Friedrich moves the adoption of the Amendment, seconded by Representative Matijevich. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', aye, opposed... Amendment #3 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. The Lady from Cook, Mrs. Chapman."

Chapman: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to remind the Members of Appropriations II that we are meeting tomorrow morning, not Thursday morning. That we are meeting tomorrow morning at 9:00 A.M., Wednesday, May 28th. Democrats will met at 8:30 in one of the 122 rooms."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Matijevich for an announcement."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Appropriation I Committee is meeting immediately after adjournment. We feel that we can get our work done so that we won't have to meet tomorrow morning. Immediately after adjournment in Room 114, a quick meeting we think."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any further announcements? Tony, do you need any time? Introductions and First Reading."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 3615, Braun. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Human Rights' Act. First Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Committee on Assignment. Rules Committee



on that Bill. The Rules Committee, according to the Chairman, will not meet this afternoon and is subject to the call of the Chair. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan. Eleven o'clock."

Madigan: "Any further announcements, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Not to my knowledge, Sir."

Madigan: "I move that we adjourn until 11:00 A.M. tomorrow morning."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman has moved that the House stand adjourned until 11:00 A.M. tomorrow morning. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', aye, opposed...the House stands adjourned until 11:00 A.M. tomorrow morning."



LEGISLATIVE INFORMATION SYSTEM

DAILY TRANSCRIPT INDEX
MAY 27, 1980

PAGE 1

HB-3019	2ND READING	PAGE	32
HB-3024	3RD READING	PAGE	5
HB-3025	3RD READING	PAGE	4
HB-3026	2ND READING	PAGE	41
HB-3027	2ND READING	PAGE	48
HB-3036	3RD READING	PAGE	21
HB-3038	2ND READING	PAGE	60
HB-3043	2ND READING	PAGE	88
HB-3044	3RD READING	PAGE	5
HB-3045	2ND READING	PAGE	110
HB-3049	3RD READING	PAGE	6
HB-3051	2ND READING	PAGE	113
HB-3064	3RD READING	PAGE	22
HB-3067	3RD READING	PAGE	7
HB-3083	3RD READING	PAGE	8
HB-3084	3RD READING	PAGE	9
HB-3249	3RD READING	PAGE	9
HB-3301	2ND READING	PAGE	127
	3RD READING	PAGE	12
HB-3311	3RD READING	PAGE	10
HB-3313	3RD READING	PAGE	23
HB-3325	3RD READING	PAGE	25
HB-3327	3RD READING	PAGE	28
HB-3331	3RD READING	PAGE	30
HB-3350	2ND READING	PAGE	125
HB-3495	2ND READING	PAGE	2
HB-3496	2ND READING	PAGE	2
HB-3554	2ND READING	PAGE	2
HB-3560	2ND READING	PAGE	2
HB-3562	2ND READING	PAGE	3
HB-3615	1ST READING	PAGE	128
SB-1378	2ND READING	PAGE	1
SB-1647	2ND READING	PAGE	21
	MOTIONS	PAGE	19
SB-1712	1ST READING	PAGE	1

SUBJECT MATTER

SPEAKER REDMOND - HOUSE TO ORDER	PAGE	1
REVEREND KRUEGER - PRAYER	PAGE	1
PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	PAGE	1
HOUSE RECESSED	PAGE	19
COMMITTEE REPORTS	PAGE	19
CO-SPONSORSHIP CHANGE	PAGE	127
ADJOURNMENT	PAGE	129