

Speaker Redmond: "House will come to order. Members please be in their seats. Be led in prayer by the Reverend Krueger, the House Chaplain."

Krueger: "In the Name of the Father, the Son, and the Holy Ghost. Amen. O Lord, bless this House to Thy service this day. Amen. Winston Churchill said: Life is a test and this world a place of trial. Always the problems-- or it may be the same problem-- will be presented to every generation in different forms. Let us pray. Direct us, O Lord, in all our doing that as we here serve in this House of Representatives we may fashion our intellects to meet the ever changing and challenging issues that come before us for consideration; that thus armed we may afford newer and more practicable solutions to the ever-present problems of our mankind has with its society and its environment. And this we ask in the Name of Thy Son. Amen."

Speaker Redmond: "Pledge of Allegiance by Representative Ropp."

Ropp: "I Pledge Allegiance, to the Flag of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one Nation, under God, indivisible, with Liberty, and Justice for all."

Speaker Redmond: "Senate Bill, First Reading."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill #2, Yourell. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections relating to alcoholic liquors. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 165, Sam Wolf. A Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 168, Pullen. A Bill for an Act creating the Board of Higher Education. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 176... Senate Bill 176, Getty. A Bill for an Act to amend the Public Aid Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 185, Davis. A Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill



186, Preston. A Bill for an Act to amend the Condominium Property Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 198, Preston. A Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 199, Marovitz. A Bill for an Act relating to payment of interest on security deposits. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 201, Richmond. A Bill for an Act to amend the Motor Fuel Tax Law. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 214, Totten. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 221, McMaster. A Bill for an Act to amend the Highway Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 227, Leinenweber. A Bill for an Act to amend the Probate Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 239, Sam Wolf. A Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 257, McClain. A Bill for an Act to amend the Game Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 262, Mautino. A Bill for an Act to amend the Environmental Protection Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 255, Matijevich. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act relating to practice of dental surgery. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 277, Hoxsey. A Bill for an Act to amend the Unified Code of Corrections. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 286, Totten. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 287, Birkinbine. A Bill for an Act to amend the Child Labor Law. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 289, Getty. A Bill for an Act to amend the Unified Code of Corrections. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 291, Kent. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act to regulate the business of storing personal property for compensation. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 292, Kent. A Bill for an Act to amend the Park District Code.



First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 302, Deuster. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act concerning counties. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 307, Yourell. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Administrative Product Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 308, McCourt. A Bill for an Act to create a right contribution amongst a Bill for an Act in relation to contribution amongst Joint tortfeasors. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill... Senate Bill 309, Marovitz. A Bill for an Act to amend the Nursing Home Administrative Licensing Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 316, Marovitz. A Bill for an Act to create the Nursing Home Care Reform Act of 1979. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 340, Bradley. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of Act to provide for expense to the Secretary of State. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 342, Younge. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Public Community College Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 344, Kempiners. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Religious and Charitable Risk Pooling Trust Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 362, Stuffle. A Bill for an Act to amend the Downstate Teachers Retirement System Article of the Pension Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 363, Stuffle. A Bill for an Act in relation to investigative operations of the Secretary of the State. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 365, Virginia Frederick. A Bill for an Act to amend the Municipal Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 269, Getty. A Bill for an Act to amend the Probate Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 394, Sam Wolf. A Bill for an Act to amend Industrial Building Revenue Bond Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 403, Dave Jones. A Bill for an Act to amend the State Employees Retirement System Article of the Pension Code. First



Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 310, Marovitz. A Bill for an Act to amend the Health Facilities Authority Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 419, Yourell. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Administrative Procedure Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 420, Yourell. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act in relation to vocational rehabilitation of disabled persons. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 421, Yourell. A Bill for an Act to amend the Family Practice Residency Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 422, Yourell. A Bill for an Act to amend the Family Practice Residency Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 450, Katz. A Bill for an Act to require state and local governmental entities to purchase or lease passenger automobiles complying with minimum gas mileage standards. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 463, Wikoff. A Bill for an Act to amend the Park District Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 428, Domico. A Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 945, Winchester. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act provide the Ordinary Contingent Expense of various state agencies. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 405, Kent. A Bill for an Act to amend the Motor Fuel Tax Law. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 407, Kent. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to create the Commission on Economic Development. First Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Agreed Resolutions."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 292, Emil Jones. 293, Abramson. 294 Johnson and 295, Balanoff."

Georgia: "Mr. Speaker, 292 by Jones marks the Sesquicentennial year of the Oblate Sisters. 293 by Abramsnn honors St. Josephs Parish. 294 by Johson, Miss Maddie McGee.



And 295 by Balanoff, Carver High School Chess Team.

I move for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman's moved the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. Those in favor say 'aye' aye, oppose 'no'. The ayes have it. Motion carried. The Agreed Resolutions are adopted."

Clerk O'Brien: "Further Senate Bills, First Reading. Senate Bill 330, McMaster-Yourell. A Bill for an Act in relation to certain road districts and townships. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "House Bills, Second Reading, Short Debate Calendar appears House Bill 1686. 1686. Representative Kempiners, you want 1686 on Second? Is that alright? Take that one out of the record. 2180. We're going to go to the Second Reading Bills on which there are no motions with respect to Committee Amendments or no Floor Amendments. I understand we need a Floor Amendment for this one. So that will be out of the record. 2180. There's been a fiscal note requested on that Bill so that will have to come out. 2436."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2436. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Consumer Finance Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #2 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any motion with respect to Amendment 2?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motion filed?"

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 2501."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2501. A Bill for an Act to provide reimbursement for reduced transit fares for the handicapped. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."



Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 2555."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 25..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Yes, would you, could you put that just on long Reading so I can have a chance to get this darn Amendment ready?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative... Out of the record. Well the Leadership, Leadership asked for it to come out of the record. On House Bills, Second Reading appears House Bill 8. The category we're going to take on House Bill, Second Reading is the same as on Short Debate. The Bills in which there are no motions with respect to Committee Amendment and no Floor Amendments. According to your rules. We do that first. Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "It appears to me that you're method of operation is designed to get all of the non-controversial Bills over to the Senate and to delay consideration on every Bill that has any significance whatsoever."

Speaker Redmond: "The first premise is correct."

Skinner: "So is the second."

Speaker Redmond: "No it is not."

Skinner: "Everybody here know that."

Speaker Redmond: "When, when you have the problem of republican leadership having to absent themselves today, it would seem to me most unwise to go to controversial matters. Soon as they return we'll go back into that."

Skinner: "Well I really fear that on Friday night we will have probably 100 to 300 controversial Bills that will be shunted off to Interim Study without even having one chance at a vote."

Speaker Redmond: "This procedure is according to the rules so..."

Skinner: "That doesn't make it right."

Speaker Redmond: "House Bill 8."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 8. A Bill for an Act making appropriations for certain retirement systems. Second



Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to Amendment 1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motion filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading."

Speaker Matijevich: "The gentleman from Cook, the Assistant Minority Leader, Representative Telcser for what..."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I'd like to take this opportunity to introduce a group of students who are in the Speaker's gallery from Lummington High School that are represented by Representative Satterthwaite, Representative Johnson, and Representative Wikoff."

Speaker Matijevich: "Welcome to the House. House Bill 272, Kosinski. Read the Bill." Second Reading."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 272. A Bill for an Act to amend the Juvenile Court Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 389."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 389. A Bill for an Act to make an appropriation of the Illinois Department of Conservation. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 434." Request for a fiscal note filed. House Bill 434. 434 is out of the record. 513, hold. 520."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 520. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Civil Administrative Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"



Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 554."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 554. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Marriage and Dissolution of Marriage Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 582."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 582. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Code of Criminal Procedure." Second

Speaker Matijevich: "Out. Take 582 out. 702 out. House Bill 702."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 702. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Code of Criminal Procedures. Second Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Matijevich: "Out. Take 702 out. Republican Leadership wants that out. House Bill 715, Christensen."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 715. A Bill for an Act in relation to appropriation for the Road Fund to the Department of Transportation. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 927."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 927. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act codifying the powers and duties of the Department of Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Matijevich: "Are there any motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 980."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 980. A Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act." Second Reading



Speaker Matijevich: "98 out... 80 out of the record. House Bill 1044."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1044. A Bill for an Act prohibit personal services by state agencies. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 1168."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1168. A Bill for an Act make an appropriations for the Ordinary Contingent Expense for the Equal Employment Opportunity Department. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 1278."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1278. A Bill for an Act to establish the Illinois Community Development Finance Corporation. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. 1279." "1279."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1279. A Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. 1319."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 13..."

Speaker Matijevich: "Take that one out too? Take that out. 1351."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1351. A Bill for an Act make an appropriation to the Illinois Office of Education. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."



Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. 1375."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1375. A Bill for an Act to amend the Condominium Property Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. 1377."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1377. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Revenue Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Matijevich: "Motions from the..."

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 1384."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1384. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Promotion Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Matijevich: "Any motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 1387."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1387. A Bill for an Act..."

Speaker Matijevich: "Just one minute. For what purpose does the gentleman from Lake, Representative Griesheimer seek attention?"

Griesheimer: "Mr. Speaker, could you just tell me what page of the Calendar we're on?"

Speaker Matijevich: "We're on page four. We're on House Bills Second Reading. Those Bills which have no Amendments or which have Committee Amendments and no motions or Floor Amendments." House Bill 1387. There was Committee Amendment. Any motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1387. A Bill for an Act to repeal



an Act to tax gifts inheritance. Second Reading of
the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Matijevich: "Any motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 1410."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1410. A Bill for an Act to amend
the Revenue Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amend-
ment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Matijevich: "Any motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 1431."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1431. A Bill for an Act to amend
the Revenue Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No
Committee Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Matijevich: "You want 1431 out? Take 1431 out.
1444."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1444."

Speaker Matijevich: "Out. Take that out. I could tell by
the title. House Bill 1500."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1500. A Bill for an Act to amend
the Revenue Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amend-
ment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments... Any motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 1510. 1510."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1510. A Bill for an Act to amend
Sections of the Insurance Code. Second Reading of the
Bill. No Committee Amendments."



Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 1538."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1538. A Bill for an Act make an appropriation to the Department of Local Government Affairs. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 1583."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1583. A Bill for an Act to make an appropriation to the Department of Local Government Affairs. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Matijevich: "Take that out. The Leadership wants that out. 1589 out. House Bill 1590."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1590. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Small Business Purchasing Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Matijevich: "Any motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 1592."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1592. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Insurance Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 1608."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1608. A Bill for an Act appropriating money to the Department of Public Health. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendment."



Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 1617."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1617. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act in relation to Circuit Courts. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. Was that 1617? 1644."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1644. A Bill for an Act making appropriations for the Ordinary Contingent Expense to the Department of Children and Family Services. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 4, and 5 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Matijevich: "Any motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. Page six, House Bill 1673."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1673. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act in relation to security deposits for payment of rent or as compensation for damage to property. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Matijevich: "Any motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 1702."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1702. A Bill for an Act to amend the Realtors Occupation Tax Act and the Service Occupation Tax Act. Second Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Matijevich: "Out of the record. House Bill 1730."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1730. A Bill for an Act to make an appropriation to the Department of..."



Speaker Matijevich: "Out of the record. House Bill 1734."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1734. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Police Training Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 1747."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1747. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Controlled Substance Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor."

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 1758."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1758. A Bill for an Act making appropriation to the Capital Development Board. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 1765."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1765. A Bill for an Act to amend the County Home Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 1776."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1776. A Bill for an Act to make an appropriation of the Department of Conservation. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 1782."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1782. A Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Matijevich: "Any motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."



Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 1783." Could
 "Jack" we have leave to return House Bill 1644 back to the
 Order of Second Reading and leave it there. There
 was a motion filed. We inadvertently didn't call
 that. Leave to return House Bill 1644 back to the
 Order of Second Reading. Leave and it will be held.
 1782, did we complete that Jack? 1782, Third Reading.
 1783."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1783. A Bill for an Act to amend
 the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No
 Committee Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. You don't want that to
 Third? Alright. Return... Leave to return House
 Bill 1783 back to the Order of Second Reading and held.
 The Sponsor's going to file an Amendment. Leave and
 1783 is returned to Second. On page seven of your
 Calendar, House Bill 1805."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1805. A Bill for an Act to amend
 Sections of the Metropolitan Authority Act. Second
 Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 1807."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1807. A Bill for an Act to amend
 Sections of the Criminal Code. Second Reading of the
 Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Matijevich: "Any motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 1817."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1817. A Bill for an Act to abolish



the Illinois Building Authority. Second Reading
of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Matijevich: "Motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 1841."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1841. A Bill for an Act to amend
the Criminal Code. Second Reading of the Bill.
Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Matijevich: "Any motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 1853."

Clerk O'Brien: "Out of the record. 1853 is out of the record. House
Bill 1892."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1892. A Bill for an Act to amend
Sections of the Illinois Public Aid Code. Second
Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 1902."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1902."

Speaker Matijevich: "Out. 1902 out of the record. House Bill
1911."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1911. A Bill for an Act in relation
to downstate public transportation. Second Reading
of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Matijevich: "Any motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 1912."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1912. A Bill for an Act to amend
Sections of an Act to revise the law in relation to



injunctions. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #2 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Matijevich: "Any motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 1915."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1915. A Bill for an Act to amend the Juvenile Court Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Matijevich: "Any motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. Page eight of your Calendar. House Bill 1978."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1978. A Bill for an Act to amend the Used Tax Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 2011."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2011."

Speaker Matijevich: "Out of the record. House Bill 2017."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2017. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Housing Development Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 2032." 2032."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2032. A Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 2035 out of the



record. House Bill 2075."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2075. Learn, A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act relating to Circuit Courts. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Matijevich: "Any motions."

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 2083."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2083."

Speaker Matijevich: "Out of the record. House Bill 2088 out of the record. House Bill 2090."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2090. A Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the Capital Development Board. Second Read..."

Speaker Matijevich: "Out of the record. House Bill 2119."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2119. A Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Matijevich: "Any motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. 2120."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2120. A Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Matijevich: "Any motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 2149."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2149. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Marriage and Dissolution of Marriage Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee



Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. 2201."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2201."

Speaker Matijevich: "Out. 2229. Request for a fiscal note's been filed. 2229. The request for a fiscal note has been filed. Oh. Has not been filed. I'm sorry. Hold 2229. Page ten of your Calendar. House Bill 2265."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2265. A Bill for an Act making an appropriation of the Department of Transportation. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 2282. Out of the record. House Bill 2300. 2300."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2300. A Bill for an Act creating the County Housing-Finance Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Matijevich: "Any motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 2303."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2303. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Insurance Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. 2348."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2348. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Finance Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."



Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 2357."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2357. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act concerning state finance. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 2358."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2358. A Bill for an Act to amend the State Comptroller Act. Second Reading of the Bill Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Matijevich: "Any motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. 2358, Third Reading. House Bill 2360."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2360. A Bill for an Act to amend the State Comptroller Acts. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 2389."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2389. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Insurance Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. The gentleman from Henderson, Representative Neff, for what purpose do you seek attention?"

Neff: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I would like to introduce a government class that's here visiting with us today. This is a special in field government study group from the Middle School of Ledal, Illinois, Mrs. Wilma Lon is the instructor, Mr. Don George is accompanying them. And this district's represented by Representative



McGrew, Representative McMaster, and Neff. I would appreciate this introduction and like these folks to stand."

Speaker Matijevich: "Welcomé to the House. House Bill 2428."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2428. A Bill for an Act to amend the Capital Development Bond Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1,2, and 3 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Matijevich: "In motions?"

Clerk O'Brien; "No motions filed."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien; "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 2495. Page eleven. Out of the record. House Bill 2496. Out of the record. House Bill 2502. Out of the record. 2512."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2512. A Bill for an Act to amend the Space Needs Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 2530."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2530. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Income Tax Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Matijevich: "Any motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 2547."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2547. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Insurance Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 2556. Out of



the record. House Bill 2587."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2587. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act concerning clerks of courts. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Matijevich: "Any motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 2605. Out of the record. House Bill 2621."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2621. A Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 2650."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 26..."

Speaker Matijevich: "Out of the record. 2651 out. 2652. Out. Page twelve. 2653."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2653."

Speaker Matijevich: "Out of the record. 2657."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2657. A Bill for an Act to amend the Capital Development Board Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 2658."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2658. A Bill for an Act permitting in any action of wrongful death or property damage resulting in the use of any product based strictly on liability and toward omission of evidence the state of the art of customs usage and practice of the industry. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Matijevich: "Any motions?"



Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Matijevich: "Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading: 2676 out of the record.

Request of the Sponsor: House Bill 2690."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2690. A Bill for an Act make an appropriation provide the Ordinary Contingent Expense of the State Board of Education. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Matijevich: "Any mo... Out of the record. House Bill 2691. Is that out too? Out of the record. House Bill 2700."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2700."

Speaker Matijevich: "Out. That's out, yeah. House Bill 2704."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2704. A Bill for an Act to provide for the transfer of funds to the Litter Reduction and Material Recycling Fund. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 2720."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2720. A Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 2730."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2730. A Bill for an Act in relation to statutory limit on certain units of government to issue bonds. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Matijevich: "Motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendment."



Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 2738."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2738. A Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Matijevich: "Any motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 2763. Out. House Bill 2764."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2764. A Bill..."

Speaker Matijevich: "Out. Roll Call for Attendance. Take the record. We missed him but he's here. Too late. We're going to go to the Order of Short Debate, Second Reading on page two, House Bill 11. Is Representative Daniels here? House Bill 11. Read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 11. A Bill for an Act creating the Real Property Tax Procedure Study Commission. Second Reading of the Bill. This Bill has been read a second time previously. Amendment #1... Amendment #1 was withdrawn. Floor Amendment #2, Daniels. Amends House Bill 11 on page one by adding the following between line 26 and 27."

Speaker Matijevich: "The gentleman from DuPage, Representative Daniels. On Amendment #2."

Daniels: "2. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #2 was an agreed upon Amendment requested to clarify that the power of the Commission was not to investigate the policy practices or rules of any local assessing office, and I have sought to clarify that since the Bill was introduced for that purpose and..."

Speaker Matijevich: "The gentleman from DuPage, Representative Daniels has moved for the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill. No opposition. All in favor say 'aye'."



opposed.. Oh I'm sorry. I didn't see your... I didn't see it. I'm looking over the board. The gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner.

Skinner: "Thank you."

Speaker Matijevich: "You'll be recognized. You know that Cal."

Skinner: "Well I don't know what I can do but put my light on and raise my hand. Mr. Speaker, this is an Amendment which is not needed. This is an Amendment that is being offered because of the revelations of assessment and equities within Cook County. Apparently, the Cook County assessor will do anything whatsoever to thwart the investigation of the inequitable assessments in that county. We have found in Cook County that the areas where blacks tend to live in higher proportion than whites are assessed phenomenally higher than areas like Bridgeport. For example, the old inactive township of Westtown which is the west side of Chicago is assessed and taxes 58% higher than is the old inactive township of Southtown in the second class of property which is homes and apartments up to six units. We have also found that the uniformity of assessments within Cook County varies incredibly. If one lives in the suburb, ones margin of error is... the margin of error on ones home is likely to be less than 25%. If one lives in the city of Chicago, however; the margin of error, according to the most recent Department of Local Government Affairs statistics, is 46.3 or 4%. Now what's that mean? It means, if I can give you a sales tax analogy, if three people were checking out at the supermarket and buying a \$100 worth of groceries the first person would pay \$3.70 in taxes..."

Speaker Matijevich: "For what purpose does the..."

Skinner: "... the second would pay \$5.00 and 1/3 would pay..."

Speaker Matijevich: "One moment Representative Skinner. For



what purpose does the gentleman from Cook, the Majority Leader, Mike Madigan arise?"

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, would you direct the gentleman to address his remarks to the Amendment and not to offer his usual dissertation on assessment practices?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Keep your... Keep your remarks confined to the Amendment, Representative Skinner. You've been..."

Skinner: "My remarks have been totally confined to the Amendment. They speak to why we should not limit this Commission's scope beyond... beyond... Well, the Amendment... What the Amendment does is completely is say that one cannot investigate assessment procedures within any local assessor's office. That is where the problem is. The problem is not with the Department of Local Government Affairs. The problem is not with the Board of Appeals in Cook County. The problem isn't with the... well the problem may be with the Board of Reviews downstate. The problem in, in St. Claire County is demonstrably the Board of Assessors which is the assessor of original jurisdiction. And as I was saying, in Cook County the problem is most definitely with the Cook County assessor. Now it's not with this Cook County assessor. It's not with assessor Heines, it's with his predecessor, assessor Telly. But for some reason, probably because assessor Telly helped engineer the, the nomination... the..."

Speaker Matijevich: "The gentleman from Cook..."

Skinner: "...assessor Heines is..."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Skinner let's keep your remarks confined. I know that's what the gentleman from Cook wants to tell you."

Skinner: "As I was saying, assessor Heines, as minions on the House floor who keep, or allies on the House floor who keep interrupting, we want this Amendment put



on the Bill. If this Amendment is put on the Bill, the Commission should not even be passed. Now we have found that in Cook County the assessment procedures are just atrocious and I would argue strongly against the adoption of this Amendment which is offered by Representative Daniels. If indeed anybody on the House floor cares about the quality of assessments, state why. If you don't want to find anything, if you just want to whitewash, do nothing. Do nothing at all. Don't enact a Commission which will then give a report that does nothing. So I would ask anyone that's in favor of fair assessments in Illinois and having Representative Daniels Commission do something instead of do nothing, to vote against Representative Daniels Amendment #2."

Speaker Matijevich: "The gentleman from from DuPage, Representative Daniels to close."

Daniels: "Well Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House this Amendment was agreed upon to clarify the fact the purpose of the Bill was not to investigate various local assessing offices and that's why I agreed upon the language. The purpose of the Commission I introduced was to study the real property tax structure in the State of Illinois and to see if we can improve it. So I would ask your favorable support."

Speaker Matijevich: "The gentleman from DuPage, Representative Daniels has moved the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 11. Is there a demand for a Roll Call other than Skinner? All in favor of the Amendment #2 vote aye, oppose vote no. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take... For what purpose does the gentleman from McHenry arise?"

Skinner: "Well I can't speak because I'm not the Sponsor of the Amendment..."

Speaker Matijevich: "That's right. You're out of order.

The Clerk will take the record. On this motion...



The gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner
for what purpose do you rise?"

Skinner: "I have stupidly voted for this Amendment instead
of against it. I wish you would change it and
call the absentees."

Speaker Matijevich: "You're voted right."

Skinner: "No I'm not."

Speaker Matijevich: "Change Skinner to..."

Skinner: "Would you dump the Roll Call? Or else we're going
to have to call all the absentees and have verifi-
cation."

Speaker Matijevich: "Alright let's dump it then. We're going
to do it. Let's do it once and do it right Cal.
All in favor of the motion... Amendment #2 vote aye,
oppose vote no. Get on the Roll Call. All those
in favor vote aye, oppose vote no. Clerk will...
Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The
Clerk will take the record. On this motion there
are 57... The gentleman from McLean, Representative
Bradley for what purpose do you rise?"

Bradley: "Well the same point that Mr. Skinner made about
the voting only your own switches and unless we're
going to poll the absentees and verify, I think
we ought to dump this one and let's get an honest
one."

Speaker Matijevich: "The gentleman from DuPage, Representative
Hoffman for what purpose do you rise?"

Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to address this comment to
you if I may. In all fairness to the Sponsor of
this Bill and... I have to be fair to him particularly.
We have so many Members off of the floor in meeting
in the Speaker's Office concerned with... with...
with our business and I have serious reservations
whether it's fair to even consider this at this
point and time. And it shouldn't affect his call
either. I mean that's not fair either."



Speaker Matijeovich: "Well we were suppose to try not to take anything controversial. Do we have leave to take it out of the record even though we're on the Roll Call? Do we have leave? Is that alright? Yeah, we'll come back to it. Leave to take the Bill out of the record at this time. House Bill 1064 is... 1064." Birkinbine here?"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1064. A fiscal note is not filed."

Speaker Matijeovich: "Hold 1064. A fiscal note has been requested. 1136, Skinner. Read the Bill. Out of the record. 1426, Davis. Out of the record. 1622, a fiscal note requested and not filed. 693 is Giorgi. 1686, I've got a note here out of the record. Yeah, Kempiners wanted that out right? No? 1686 says out of the Calendar. Kempiners. Read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1696. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Public Aid Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee"

Speaker Matijeovich: "Any motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Motion to table Amendment #1 by Representative Kempiners."

Speaker Matijeovich: "Representative Kempiners."

Kempiners: "I... I don't think I have to go through with that motion in looking at Amendment #2. I think I will withdraw the motion and just go with Amendment #2."

Speaker Matijeovich: "Representative Kemp... Kempiners withdraws Amendment #1."

Kempiners: "No... no, I withdraw the motion to table."

Speaker Matijeovich: "The motion to table rather. Any further Amendments?" The gentleman from Cook, Majority Leader.

Clerk Madigan for what purpose do you rise?"

Madigan: "Mr. Kempiners, we have a note on our file that you plan to table Amendment #1."

Speaker Matijeovich: "He just did that Mike. Oh no, no. He



withdrew the motion to table."

Kempiners: "Mike, if you take a look at Amendment #2, it does exactly the same thing that Amendment #1 does. The problem that I'm trying to address is the language before the enacting clause. I had to amend, that has Section 4-1.1 and we really want to amend Section 5-2 which I did not do on Amendment #1. Amendment #2 does that but then puts in the exact, same language that was in Amendment #1."

Madigan: "You wish to adopt Amendment #2?"

Kempiners: "Yes."

Madigan: "But should you remove Amendment #1 from the Bill?"

Kempiners: "Well, that's why I had the motion but in looking at Amendment #2 I've got the exact same language for after the enacting clause. If you'll read it it says that by deleting everything after the enacting clause and inserting in lieu thereof the following. So I just think the motion isn't needed. I'd be happy to do it."

Madigan: "In other words, you wish to remove Amendment #1 by adopting Amendment #2."

Kempiners: "Correct, which does exactly the same thing but then changes before the language before the enacting clause also."

Speaker Matijeich: "Alright. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, Kempiners. Amends House Bill 1686 on page one, line one and so forth."

Speaker Matijeich: "The gentleman from Will, Representative Kempiners on Amendment 2."

Kempiners: "Thank you. It does exactly what I indicated. It changes the language before the enacting clause so that we are amending the proper Section and then does everything after the enacting clause that was included in Amendment #1 and I would move the adoption of Amendment #2."

Speaker Matijeich: "The gentleman from Will, Representative



Kempiners has moved for the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 1686. All in favor say 'aye', oppose 'nay'. Amendment #2 is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. Leave to keep House Bill 1686 on Short Debate? He does have leave and House Bill 1686 will be on Third Reading, Short Debate. Alright 1693. Now we have leave to hear that Bill? 1693. Read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill... House Bill 1693. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act relating to certain investments of public funds by public agencies. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Giorgi. Amends House Bill 1693 on page two by deleting line five and so forth."

Speaker Matijevich: "The gentleman from Winnebago, Assistant Majority Leader, Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to withdraw Amendment #1 because Amendment #1 is included in Amendment #2."

Speaker Matijevich: "Leave to withdraw Amendment #1. Leave and Amendment #1 is withdrawn. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, Giorgi. Amends House Bill 1693 on page one, line eight..."

Speaker Matijevich: "Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Giorgi on Amendment #2."

Giorgi: "Alright, Mr. Speaker, Amendment #2 picks up Amendment #1 and puts in some guidelines and limitations as requested by the State Treasurer and what this Bill will ultimately do is allow public agents to invest in corporate obligations with guidelines."

Speaker Matijevich: "The gentleman..."

Giorgi: "I move for the adoption of Amendment #2."

Speaker Matijevich: "The gentleman from Winnebago has moved for



the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 1693.

All in favor say 'aye', oppose 'nay' and Amendment #2 is adopted. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. Leave to keep House Bill 1693 on Short Debate. Leave and 1693 will be on Short Debate, Third Reading. House Bill 1801."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1801. A Bill for an Act to amend the Regional Transportation Authority Act."

Speaker Matijevich: "I don't see Representative Barnes on the floor. Skinner, are you ready? They've got to agree on this though to do anything. No agreement. House Bill 1937, Oblinger. Read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1937. A Bill for an Act to create the Adult Abuse Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, Oblinger. Amends House Bill 1937 on page one by deleting line nine through fourteen and so forth."

Speaker Matijevich: "The lady from Sangamon, Mrs. Oblinger on Amendment #1."

Oblinger: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Amendment #1 to House Bill 1937 is because Enrolling and Engrossing found some error in the language. It does not change the intent, it just rewords..."

Speaker Matijevich: "Mr. Clerk, is the Amendment printed and distributed?"

Oblinger: "They were distributed yesterday."

Speaker Matijevich: "I'm going to ask the Clerk. I don't know about that."

Clerk O'Brien: "We have no record of the Amendment being printed yet."

Oblinger: "Yeah, we all have it over here."

Speaker Matijevich: "Just relax for one moment. There's five Amendments and we're not sure that they've all



been printed."

Oblinger: "Yes, they distribu... Mr. Speaker, they gave them to us in a packet yesterday all around here."

Speaker Matijevich: "One moment. We'll check on it. We'll get back to it Josephine. We're going to check on that. House Bill 2180 is out of the record. What? I just got a note out. Do you want to call it? House Bill 2180. Oh, a request for a fiscal note. So out of the record on 2180. Let's wait till it's filed, alright? Is it filed? It's filed. House Bill 2180. Fiscal note request and it is filed."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2180. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Senior Citizens and Disabled Persons Property Tax Relief Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Matijevich: "Fiscal note filed. Third Reading."

Clerk O'Brien: "Fiscal note is filed."

Speaker Matijevich: "House Bill 2211."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 22..."

Speaker Matijevich: "I don't see the Sponsor. Representative Schneider's not on the floor. Out of the record. House Bill 2351. Read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2351. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Administrative Procedure Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, Representative Ewing. Amends House Bill 2351."

Speaker Matijevich: "Out of the record. The Sponsor's not here I guess. 2555, Vinson. I've got a note here out of the record. Out of the record. Could we check on Oblinger? We'll return to that Representative Oblinger as soon as the Clerk is checking on that."



We've got some Bills on Third where there's requests by the Sponsors to move to... back to the Order of Second for the purposes of Amendments. House Bill 2736 on Third... Third Reading. 2736. Alright. 2736 on page 42. Do we have leave? Alright. Read the Bill. Alright, leave to return House Bill 2736 to the Order of Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment. Leave."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2, Madigan. Amends House Bill 2736 on page one, line seven and so forth."

Speaker Matijevich: "The gentleman from Cook, the Majority Leader, Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, this Amendment was simply changed some of the language that's contained in the Bill and adds the following language. 'To review and evaluate the numerous studies that have been conducted concerning Midway Airport in the city of Chicago and propose a comprehensive plan for its future use as an air transportation center.' I move the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "The gentleman from Cook has moved for the adoption of Amendment #2. All in favor say 'aye', oppose 'nay' and the Amendment #2 is adopted. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. Leave to return House Bill 2718. 2718 is on page 41 of your Calendar. To the Order of Second Reading. Leave. The gentleman from Cook, Representative Beatty is on his way, we better... or Getty. He's here. Representative Getty on House Bill 2718. The Amendments are distributed I understand."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #3, Catania. Amends House Bill 2718 on page one by deleting line 30 and 31 and so forth."

Speaker Matijevich: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative



Getty." Is that your Amendment? Oh." etc.

Getty: "Representative Catania's Amendment I believe."

Speaker Matijevich: "I don't see her on the floor. Is that an agreed Amendment Representative Getty."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, and Representative Catania's absence. Were there any... May I inquire, were there any motions filed relative to this Bill? Relative to the Committee Amendment?"

Speaker Matijevich: "No... no motions."

Getty: "Alright. Well, it would appear to me that Amendment #3 attempts to be a clean-up of Committee Amendment #1 which was also offered by Representative Catania. So, what I'll do at this time is ask leave to table Committee Amendment #1 and in lieu thereof offer Committee Amendment #3 for Representative Catania."

Speaker Matijevich: "Alright. Do we have leave to table Amendment #1? Leave and Amendment #1 is tabled. And now Representative Getty moves to adopt Amendment #3. All in favor say 'aye', oppose 'nay'. You got that Jack? Committee Amendment #1 has been tabled. Amendment #3 has been adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #4, Getty." Amends House Bill 2718 on page two, line 29 and so forth."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, Committee... rather Floor Amendment #4 would have the effect of merely conforming this legislation to another Bill which is on the floor. Would bring it into line with the recommendations of the Attorney General's Office to have the maximum amount for which a claim can be made 15,000 and to have it applied, to have it not applied to pain and suffering claims and I would move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Getty has moved for the adoption of Amendment #4 to 2718. All in favor say 'aye', oppose 'nay'. Amendment #4 is adopted. Further Amendments?"



Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. On page 36, House Bill 2186, Donovan. Leave to return to the Order of Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment. Leave. House Bill 2186."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2, Donovan-Slape. Amends House Bill 2186 by deleting everything after line seven and so forth."

Speaker Matijevich: "The gentleman from Macon, Representative Dono... Donovan on Amendment #2."

Donovan: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #2 changes the single percentage figure to a range of five to a range of three to ten and deletes lines six through nine of Amendment 1 and adds all commissaries and the distribution of commissary funds shall be audited by the Auditor General in accordance with the Department annual audits. That's the only change in the... in it. That is Amendment 2 in a fact. I would move to table Amendment 1."

Speaker Matijevich: "Does the gentleman have leave to table Amendment #1? Just one moment. The gentleman from Cook, Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Indicates he will."

Schlickman: "With respect to Amendment #1."

Speaker Matijevich: "He indicates he will."

Schlickman: "Amendment #2 is in place of Amendment #1, is that correct?"

Donovan: "That's right."

Schlickman: "Why are you changing from Amendment 1 to Amendment 2?"

Donovan: "Actually, with discussions with the Department of Correction they felt that they could not support the Bill with the singular 5% figure. They would not support it I might add."

Schlickman: "I have no objections to the tabling of Amendment



#1."

Speaker Matijeich: "Leave to table Amendment #1. Now on Amendment #2, the gentleman from Macon has moved for the adoption of Amendment #2. The gentle... and on that the gentleman from Cook, Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "The Bill, as it was introduced, requires that the prices for goods and services dispense through a commissary be sufficient to cover the cost and then the salaries of the employees which I assume is a cost, but then an additional 7%. Now, by this Amendment you're covering the cost but you don't.. include...a cost of goods, but you don't include services. You've taken that out. You don't make reference to the salaries of employees because I assume that's a part of the cost that you make reference to. But then you have for additional charge from three to ten percent while in the Bill as it was introduced was seven percent. Now I would simply ask you this; why should there be any additional cost or charge... any additional charge other than the actual cost of providing it? Why the mark up? Why the profit?"

Speaker Matijeich: "The gentleman from Macon, Representative Donovan respond."

Donovan: "I don't know if I understand you correctly. Now you want why the difference between seven and the range of three to ten?"

Schlickman: "We have here a public facility."

Donovan: "Yes."

Schlickman: "The commissary, which I assume will be state owned and state operated. A commissary within a penal institution."

Donovan: "Yes."

Schlickman: "And you're charging for the cost of the goods."



Donovan: "Yes."

Schlickman: "Which then becomes a wash... Why the additional charge of from three to ten percent which max. of a profit?"

Donovan: "Well, it goes into the employees commissary fund and the inmates commissary fund which, in turn, buys certain goods for the... like the inmates. Televisions..."

Schlickman: "Well, is there such a commissary fund that's in existence?"

Donovan: "There is."

Schlickman: "Why doesn't your Amendment provide that that additional three to ten percent go into the commissary fund specifically and not leaving it open ended so that the three to ten percent could go anywhere?"

Donovan: "I see what you're saying. They... I took for granted they went into the two funds on a... on a... I just took it for granted. I can amend that or change that to say that. Where they will go in... all profits will go, say 50/50 to one to the inmates to one to the employees fund. Is that what you're asking of me to do?"

Schlickman: "Well, you just don't provide... what the object or purpose of that three through ten percent is it's collected and statutorily we don't say where it should go."

Donovan: "That's right. I tried to do that earlier and I couldn't."

Speaker Matijevec: "I wonder, Representative Donovan, can you take this out and work this out with Schlickman? Evidently you do have a... a problem with the Amendment."

Donovan: "Alright."

Speaker Matijevec: "Leave to take the Bill out of the record and keep it on Second. Leave. On page 38, House Bill 2335, Representative Ralph Dunn has a Bill which



he'd like to return back to the Order of Second Reading. Does he have leave? He does have leave. House Bill 2335 on page 38 I believe."

Dunn: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I'd like to move to table Amendment #1 which is technically incorrect. Enrolling and Engrossing found some mistakes in it and so I'd like to withdraw or table it and then move to adopt another Amendment to take its place. It's a technically correct."

Speaker Matijevich: "Alright. Can we have leave to... to table Amendment #1? Leave and Amendment #1 is tabled."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, Ralph Dunn. Amends House Bill 2335 on page two and so forth."

Speaker Matijevich: "Gentleman from Perry, Representative Dunn on Amendment #3."

Dunn: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Amendment #3 is Amendment #1 only put into a better shape and is technically correct."

Speaker Matijevich: "Gentleman from Perry, Representative Dunn has moved for the adoption of Amendment #3 to House Bill 2335. All in favor say 'aye', oppose 'nay' and Amendment #3 is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading."

Dunn: "Thank you."

Speaker Matijevich: "House Bill 2349 on the same page. Representative Ropp has asked leave to return House Bill 349 to the Order of Second Reading. Leave. House Bill 2349."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2, Ropp. Amends House Bill 2349 on page one, line nine and so forth."

Speaker Matijevich: "The gentleman from McLean, Representative Ropp on Amendment #2."

Ropp: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. This particular Amendment has to do with the coming through and adjusting



the Bill as we so stated in Committee. It places minimum price on this particular piece of land that was based on an average of five years of sales within this particular area that deals with the Bill and it places a minimum figure. I urge your adoption of this particular Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "The gentleman from McLean, Representative Ropp has moved for the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 349 (sic). All in favor say 'aye'... Wait, wait, wait. Can't see your light. I got a piece of paper over it. The gentleman from Peoria, Representative Tuerk on Amendment #2. Just one... hold that for one moment. What purpose does the gentleman from Marion, Representative Friedrich rise?"

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, for the purpose of an introduction. We have a group from the Kinmundy-Alma school district accompanied by their teachers, Mr. Hopp and Mr. Shirley and they're in the gallery to my rear. They're represented by me, Representative Watson, Representative Slape and I'd appreciate it if you'd greet them."

Speaker Matijevich: "Welcome. Now, back to the gentleman from Peoria, Representative Tuerk on Amendment #2."

Tuerk: "Well, would the Sponsor yield for a question or two? Representative Ropp, as I recall, you mentioned that this has been based on some historical values of land in the area, but what time frame did you use in determining this amount?"

Ropp: "Representative Tuerk, it was a five year span."

Tuerk: "Well..."

Ropp: "Realizing that in this particular area there are portions of ground that will be less valuable than what we have so stated here as a minimum. There will be others that will be a little bit higher and what this particular Bill does... this Amendment corrects those areas that might be land locked when a land appraiser would appraise that particular piece might not



really appraise it very high at all and we think this is a fair minimum and with the intent that the best possible price that we can receive for that area will be returned."

Tuerk: "Well, in talking about a five year average, what is the going rate for land in that area now?"

Ropp: "The..."

Tuerk: "I'm not so concerned about five years ago. I'm concerned about current value."

Ropp: "The current value, as I understand it, would range all the way from 2600 to 4000."

Tuerk: "What kind of land, in that area, sells for 2600?"

Ropp: "Not as good of land... not as good as that that sells for four."

Tuerk: "Alright."

Ropp: "The land would be..."

Tuerk: "Well, that's... that's a logical answer but if I... I wasn't at the hearing but if I recall reading properly that you had mentioned the fact that this land was worth probably \$4000 an acre. Is that true?"

Ropp: "That's true and I used that figure based on land that sold right adjacent to a fence line in my own home and that particular piece of property, two sections of land.. two halves... two quarter sections, went for 4000 and 4100. I've since explained that this ground, though the soil and the topography in the lay of the soil is quite similar to that that is in this particular area, however; the area that I had reference to was about two or three to four miles from a larger town like the town of Normal and Bloomington. Whereas, the area that we're talking about is not nearly as close to a possibly urban development which would, actually, not require the same return per acre."

Tuerk: "Have there been any appraisals of this land in recent weeks, months, or within the last year?"

Ropp: "Not to my knowledge, but that's also taken care of in



Amendment #1 which states that the appraiser would appraise that property and the price would be determined whichever is higher. Either the minimum, which I am trying to put in as this Amendment, or whatever the value by the land appraisers might be."

Tuerk: "Do you know who's going to appraise this land? Will it be an objective appraiser or will it be the D.O.C. or just who?"

Ropp: "There... There will be two appraisers according to the amended Bill. One would come from the... selected by the Department of Conservation. The other one would come from the land owners along this particular area. If by chance there would be a problem, the two then would select a third land appraiser and the three of them would come up with the appraised value."

Tuerk: "What you're saying is the chances are that there would be a third appraisal made, all things being equal, and then who's going to stand the cost of all the appraising?"

Ropp: "I would say the groups who would acquire each of the land appraisers."

Tuerk: "Well, in other words, on one end the D.O.C. will... will stand the expense. On the other hand, the property owner will stand the expense and then if the third appraisal is needed, who's going to stand the expense of that one?"

Ropp: "Honestly, I'm not sure although I assume that each one of the groups would provide 50% of the cost."

Tuerk: "By each one of the groups you mean..."

Ropp: "Department of Conservation and the Land Owners Association."

Tuerk: "This could be a very costly process then is what you're saying in the long run."

Ropp: "I wouldn't think that it would be too costly. No."

Tuerk: "Have you had any appraisals made in recent times?"

Ropp: "Oh, the latest one I had was about four years ago when



I purchased my grandmothers farm."

Tuerk: "Well Mr. Speaker, if I may address the Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "On the Amendment, Representative Tuerk."

Tuerk: "I know what the Sponsor's attempting to do here and from one standpoint I understand that completely except that by his own admission in Committee he told the Committee that he thought the land was worth probably in the area of \$4000 an acre. Now, he and I have been talking quite candidly the last few days and I was willing to compromise on a figure of something less than the 4000 but something higher than the 3000 but apparently he's not amendable to that type of an arrangement. I just think the 3000 is a low figure for land in this area."

Ropp: "Well, I actually, I don't think that's quite..."

Speaker Matijevich: "I think the Sponsor's out of order. We'll get back to you Representative Ropp to close. That wasn't a question. The gentleman from Will, Representative Davis."

Davis: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, thank you. I rise in support of the Amendment simply because I suggested the Amendment to Representative Ropp in Committee. I think it's a very good Amendment and that it... it floors the... the price that could be paid for an irregular piece of land and tells the appraiser from D.O.C. and the independent appraiser selected by the home owners along the piece of property that this indeed should be appraised at the 40 acre farm price that's currently prevailing at this point and time. Now I know land appraisers, being what they are, try to do the fairest job possible and with an irregular small piece that is non-functional except to the home owner who's trying to buy that piece of ground would normally come in at a much lesser price than the five year average that Gordon Ropp has struck in this Amendment. So I think it's a very good Amendment and if the Bill prevails, this Amendment absolutely



has to be on the Bill to guarantee the integrity of the price mechanism to see that the state receives its fair share."

Speaker Matijevich: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House while I think I oppose the Bill I see nothing wrong with the Amendment. Number one, it establishes a floor of \$3000 but it's the \$3000 or the appraisal, whichever is greater, that will be binding. Secondly, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, the cost to the Department for the appraisals will be borne by the land.. the acquiring land owner so I don't think we should have any concern there and I would join with the gentleman from Will in support of this Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "The gentleman from Henderson, Representative Neff."

Neff: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I support this Amendment also. Now the gentleman from Peoria there that talked about that \$3000 an acre being a little maybe cheap. We've got to understand that a lot of this property isn't worth too much. It isn't worth like ground that... that's laying out in the open. It has deep ditches on the side. It's about 100 foot right of way at the most and they put cinders on it and so forth over the years. It's going to take a lot of work to put this in A-1 ground and I think there's going to be some of it that probably won't be.. bring over 2000, 2300 and be a good price for it because keep in mind that we're going to have to spend quite a little money to put this in A-1 ground shape."

Speaker Matijevich: "The gentleman from Marion, Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House I do real estate appraisals and I was one of those who suggested this Amendment and the reason is that in appraising use the



fair cash market value and one of the factors is the highest and best use and I doubt very much is this... these tracks would be \$3000 an acre because some of them would have no access... limited access and so on. So I think this is a good safeguard to see if the state gets a fair price for it."

Speaker Matijevich: "The gentleman from McClean, Representative Ropp to close."

Ropp: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. This Bill has required a lot of attention and we've worked hard on it and we think this is a fair price for this particular area and since the Bill particularly states that the land owners would be responsible for the appraisal, I move its adoption."

Speaker Matijevich: "The gentleman from McClean, Representative Ropp has moved for the adoption of Amendment #2. All in favor say 'aye', oppose 'nay'. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #3, Yourell. Amends House Bill 2349 on page one, line one and so forth."

Speaker Matijevich: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Yourell on Amendment #3 I believe."

Yourell: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. After a lengthy discussion with the Sponsor of House Bill 2349 and all of those who are concerned with the disposition of that property, he has agreed to support Amendment #3 to House Bill 2349 and I move its adoption."

Speaker Matijevich: "The gentleman from Cook has moved for the adoption of Amendment... Oh. No. I guess he's not agreed to it Yourell. We better back up. The gentleman from McClean, Representative Ropp to clear the air."

Ropp: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. This was somewhat debated with the Sponsor of the... the... my colleague, Yourell, however; I did not fully want to support this kind of legislation that I'm sure that it does not deal



specifically with what we're trying to do and I... I urge your rejection of that particular Amendment. He's still a good friend."

Speaker Matijevich: "The gentleman from Effingham, Representative Brummer."

Brummer: "Yes, I don't have the Amendment before me. Is this the Amendment dealing with the Pledge of Allegiance at periodic places along the trail? I thought you were the one that was encouraging greater patriotism and the Pledge of Allegiance."

Speaker Matijevich: "Do we have leave to withdraw Amendment #3? Leave and Amendment #3 is withdrawn. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #4, Schraeder. Amends House Bill 2349 as amended by inserting after the last sentence in Section 1 and so forth."

Speaker Matijevich: "The gentleman from Peoria, Representative Schraeder. If it's good for Peoria, Representative Schraeder says it's good."

Schraeder: "Well Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, yesterday you received a letter from me aware... advising you that there would be an Amendment to this Bill that certainly would affect everyone of your districts and so that you don't be misled I'd like to just numerate those areas so that everyone knows where they stand. Be very quick in doing this so that you'll know what they are. One, Apple River Canyon State Park, Jo Daviess County. Argyle Lake State Park, MacDonough County. Bill Woods State Park, Beaver Dam State Park, Buffalo State Park, Chain of Lakes State Park, Canahan Parkway State Park, Dixon Springs State Park, Eagle Creek, and so on down the line. Ladies and Gentlemen, all we're doing is attaching a state park for sale in each one of your legislative districts. I thought that since we have a Legislator that is now representing 46th District probably more so



than the area in which he comes from, that maybe an Amendment ought to be attached so he could represent all of your districts. Now, I would say this, that this Amendment all it does is sell a state park in each one of your districts. And if you think this Amendment is fair, then you certainly think the Bill is fair."

Speaker Matijevich: "The gentleman from Marion, Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Matijevich: "He indicates he will."

Friedrich: "Are any of the parks enumerated less than 100 feet wide and 20 miles long?"

Schraeder: "That you'll have to ask the Department of Conservation. But they do... are adjacent to additional property owners who may want to purchase them."

Friedrich: "Well, let me just go back. In your judgement, are any of them as near as 100 feet and 20 mile long?"

Schraeder: "I didn't quite get that."

Friedrich: "In your judgement not without consulting with the Department of Conservation are any of these as narrow as 100 feet and 20 miles long?"

Schraeder: "I would say total distance wide perhaps not but total acreage, yes. Same."

Speaker Matijevich: "The gentleman from McLean, Representative Ropp on the Amendment."

Ropp: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House this Amendment that is being proposed I think really is not the kind of Amendment that I think would address this particular Bill. As Sponsor, I would certainly... I have attempted to get the very best possible Bill that we can and I've worked hard on it and I don't think that this particular Amendment really deserves the attention that we are probably going to give it."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Peoria... from Peoria, Representative Schraeder to close."



Schraeder: "In answer to the last question, there is one park, I'm told, that is about 100 foot wide and 50 foot... 50 miles long so there are similarities. Ladies and Gentlemen, now that we have your attention on what the Bill does to my district, the 46th District, and what this Amendment might do to your district I don't think I ought to in...infringe upon your territory as a Legislator and, therefore; I would just ask to withdraw this Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Leave to withdraw Amendment #4. Leave. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #5, Schraeder. Amends House Bill 2349 as amended..."

Speaker Matijevich: "Want to withdraw this too, Fred?"

Schraider: "No sir."

Speaker Matijevich: "Alright. The gentleman from Peoria, Representative Schraeder on Amendment #5."

Schraeder: "This is getting a little closer to home. This covers the district in which the Sponsor of the legislation lives. He's got three state parks and I want to sell them. If he's going to sell mine, I want to sell him and I ask for a... a support of the Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Let's hold an auction. Representative Ropp on Amendment #4... 5. Going, going, gone."

Ropp: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House again this is an Amendment which I don't think people in that particular area along the park have expressed a major desire to make some changes and I urge a no vote on this particular Amendment too as it does not really have a lot of addition to the Bill as..."

Speaker Matijevich: "Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Schraeder to close."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, it's a heck of a good Amendment and I urge its adoption."

Speaker Matijevich: "The gentleman from Peoria, Representative Schraeder has moved for the adoption of Amendment #5."



Those in favor say 'aye', oppose say 'no'. No's have it. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #6..."

Speaker Matijevich: "Alright, let's have a Roll Call. All in favor of Amendment #5 vote aye, oppose vote no. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this motion there are 74 ayes, 50 nays and the Amendment is adopted. You want to table the Bill now? The gentleman from... Ropp... from McLean."

Ropp: "Mr. Speaker, I really don't think this adds anything to it and I would ask for a verification of the Roll Call because I don't think that it really has a lot of germaness to this particular Bill."

Speaker Matijevich: "The gentleman from Sangamon, Representative Kane."

Kane: "Would you record me as aye please?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Record Kane as aye. The gentleman from... Representative McMaster's from Knox. No. Huff, aye. Davis. The gentleman from Will, Representative Davis for what purpose do you rise?"

Davis: "Well Mr. Speaker, I see an awful lot of empty chairs over there where there are green votes on the board. Might I suggest to you that we dump this one because there's going to be a lot of changes as people walk in the chamber. Can we dump this and have a good, solid Roll Call?"

Speaker Matijevich: "We're not going to dump it. The Amendment is adopted and the Chair's going to rule that a verification is dilatory."

Davis: "Dilatory?"

Speaker Matijevich: "When there's 25 votes up there it's dilatory as far as I'm concerned. The... Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #6, Ropp. Amends House Bill 2349 as amended and so forth."



Speaker Matijevich: "On Amendment #6, the gentleman from McClean, Representative Ropp."

Ropp: "Mr. Speaker, I would like for you to give me some clarification now on the... what your feeling was now with the request for a verification of the Roll and you disregarded that. Is that... Where are we now in this particular..."

Speaker Matijevich: "You're out.. You're out of order on that. The gentleman from DuPage, Representative Daniels."

Daniels: "Mr. Speaker, I can't believe the ruling you just made. You denied a Member of this House a verification on a Roll Call on a vote... on a Bill that's a paramount importance to him. And you're the defender of the rules of this House and to say that that was dilatory in nature is incredible and I think you ought to grant the man a verification of that Roll Call."

Speaker Matijevich: "Alright. Do you persist in your verification?"

Davis: "Yes sir. I'd like to poll the absentees first."

Speaker Matijevich: "Alright. The... poll the absentees." The gentleman from Peoria, Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, you announced the vote, went on to the next order of business. I think that's out of order."

Speaker Matijevich: "He's got a right to a verification. I think the Chair was proper in its ruling, however; just to keep the gentleman happy we're going to have a verification. He's got a right to one but the Chair also has a right to rule when the Chair feels something's dilatory, but Daniels didn't hear that. Poll the absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "Abramson. E.M. Barnes."

Speaker Matijevich: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Greiman for what purpose do you rise?"

Greiman: "I wonder if I could have leave to be verified on the



Affirmative Roll Call."

Speaker Matijevich: "Leave to verify Greiman. Leave."

Clerk O'Brien: "Bianco. Birkinbine. Bluthardt. Bradley.
Breslin. Chapman."

Speaker Matijevich: "Well, I understand now that we... that Roll Call isn't on the board. We ought to have a Verified Oral Roll Call. That's, I guess, the only way we can do it now. Is that alright? Alright. Everybody's going to be happy now. We're going to take a new Roll Call. Everybody's going to be on it. Now do I... Now this one is not going to be verified. Do I have your promise on that Ropp? Everybody votes their switch. Everybody vote their switch only. All in favor of the Amendment vote aye, oppose vote nay. Now vote your own switches so we don't have to go through all this. The gentleman from Will, Representative Davis for what purpose do you rise?"

Davis: "Well Mr. Speaker to explain my vote, I... which is the wrong one and now is the right vote which is no, on this Amendment. I appreciate what Representative Schraeder is trying to do and I understand it, but I think on the Bill you don't let it go up or down on it's merits and not attach this kind of Amendment to a Bill that's... that's obviously seeking to do something a great deal that people want done. Let the Bill stand or fall on its merits."

Speaker Matijevich: "Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this motion there are 74 ayes, 61 nays, 2 present and the Amendment is adopted. The gentleman from McLean, Representative Ropp."

Ropp: "Mr. Speaker, would you kindly call the absentees please?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Poll the absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "E.M. Barnes. Beatty."

Speaker Matijevich: "The gentleman from Marion, Representative Friedrich for what purpose do you rise?"



Friedrich: "May Representative Slape, Representative Watson, and I be verified now?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Leave to verify Friedrich, Slape, and who else? And who else? Brummer?"

Friedrich: "Watson."

Speaker Matijevich: "Oh, Watson. The gentleman from Peoria, Representative Schraeder for what purpose do you rise?"

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, I thought that he was going to accept a Roll Call..."

Speaker Matijevich: "I didn't even have a request for a verification. Did you want a verification on this one too?"

Schraeder: "Well see. Now you told us he was going to go along with the vote..."

Speaker Matijevich: "He's got a right... He's got a right to have it. We just didn't have a Roll Call to go by. The gentleman from Tazewell, Representative VonBoeckman."

VonBoeckman: "Well Mr. Speaker, I thought you announced the decision that it is accepted."

Speaker Matijevich: "He's got a right to a verification. Proceed."

Clerk O'Brien: "Bianco. Bluthardt. Bradley. Breslin. Collins. Conti. Currie."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Currie, aye."

Clerk O'Brien: "DiPrima. Donovan. Doyle. Dyer. Ebbesen. Ewell. Gaines. Getty. Klosak. Kornowicz. Kozubowski. Kucharski. Leinenweber. Madigan. Margulas. Marovitz. McBroom. McGrew. Mulcahey. Polk. Rea. Reilly. Richmond. Ryan. Schoeberlein. Totten. Vitek. Williams. Sam Wolf."

Speaker Matijevich: "Williams, no. The gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Giorgi for what purpose do you rise?"

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, would Mr. Ropp confirm that Murphy and Giorgi are here. We have an important meeting to attend?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Leave to verify Giorgi and Murphy. Leave."



And Harold Katz is in the same meeting. Leave. Are you through with the..."

Clerk O'Brien: "Yourell. And Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Matijevich: "Proceed with the verification. What's the count when we start? 75 ayes, 62 nays and the gentleman persists in a verification. Proceed with the affirmative vote. Leave to verify Jim Taylor. He's in the same meeting. Let us know what's going on Jim. Reilly, no. Hallstrom, no. Hallstrom."

Clerk O'Brien: "Hallstrom aye to no."

Speaker Matijevich: "Hold still for one minute. The gentleman now agrees not to persist with the verification. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #6. Ropp. Amends House Bill 2349 as..."

Speaker Matijevich: "The lady from Peoria, Representative Sumner."

Sumner: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Someone has voted my button. I do not wish to vote on this."

Speaker Matijevich: "Alright. Show Representative Sumner as voting... not voting. Alright. Proceed with the Amendment #6. The gentleman from McLean, Representative Ropp on Amendment #6 and I understand that while we're looking for that Amendment that it's LeRoy Van Duyne's birthday and his 36th wedding anniversary on top of it. And his daughter and her husband are here from Colorado. How about that. Let's here it for the Van Duyne's. Gentleman from McLean, Representative Ropp on Amendment #5."

Ropp: "This... Does the Clerk have to read it or anything? What Amendment 6 does, it states that the sum total of the property on the original Bill is directed to the Department of Conservation with the specific purpose of developing a linear trail for recreational purposes, period. I urge your support of this Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "The gentleman from McLean, has moved for



the adoption of Amendment #6. If there are no questions, all in favor... no... The gentleman from Cook, Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Will he respond to a question?"

Speaker Matijevich: "He indicates he will."

Leverenz: "Originally, does the state own the land right now?"

Ropp: "Yeah, the answer to your question, as of today, the state does."

Leverenz: "What is the value of that property?"

Ropp: "It didn't cost the state anything, therefore; it probably doesn't cost anything. It has not been appraised. It will be."

Leverenz: "Is an appraisal then in order?"

Ropp: "The Bill, as amended... amended, sets up the procedure for appraisals and I don't think the present appraisal has any real bearing on the particular Bill right now anyway."

Leverenz: "Then Mr. Speaker, what is the proper thing to do to make sure we have an appraisal as to the impact of this Bill? And the Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Well, the gentleman..."

Ropp: "Just one other thing Representative Leverenz. If you're concerned about that figure, that was a figure that we set was a minimum... minimum price per acre that would be paid, that times the 288 acres, came up with that particular figure. That's the figure we're using. I assume, very clearly, that it will be in excess of that and now with the Amendment which we have sizeable other properties too, it would probably be in excess of that by quite a bit."

Leverenz: "Would you agree then to leave the Bill on Second until we can get that fiscal note?"

Ropp: "I'm not sure that it needs a fiscal note."

Speaker Matijevich: "Are you saying, Representative Leverenz, that where the state... where there's a Bill where the



state is going to purchase property that there must be an appraisal? Is that what you're saying?"

Leverenz: "I believe that there is some sort of appraisal..."

Speaker Matijevich: "Does the House rule to that effect that you would make that request? It has to hold on Second for that purpose."

Leverenz: "Correct."

Ropp: "But really the state's not going to purchase any property. There's no purchase here."

Leverenz: "That's correct. That's selling... It would be selling the property and I believe that rule would be in effect and I would ask that it would be."

Ropp: "Would the Speaker determine whether or not that..."

Speaker Matijevich: "Well, we'll have to get the Parliamentarian on that. The gentleman from Kane, Representative Friedland."

Friedland: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I believe in order to do this thing proper you ought to check 32-G."

Speaker Matijevich: "Alright. The gentleman from Sangamon, Representative Kane."

Kane: "Would the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Indicates he will."

Kane: "Amendment #6 says that all of the property has to be sold within a year and that none of the property can be conveyed until agreements have been reached with all of the land owners. What happens if after a passage of one year one or two of the land owners... one or two of the adjacent land owners don't want to purchase the adjacent land and agreements haven't been reached? Then what happens?"

Ropp: "Well it probably would not go through although we have reason to believe that they have concurred at this time with the exception of two and that a trust has been set up and that would take care of those two small parcels of land. So they have all agreed for all practical purposes right now to purchase it within the



- year."
- Kane: "For what price?"
- Ropp: "Well I've set up in the Bill. With the land appraised a minimum of \$3000 or whichever is greater after a land appraiser appraises it. It has to be at least 3000 and if it's greater than that by the land appraisers assessment, the higher figure..."
- Kane: "Three thousand for what?"
- Ropp: "Per acre."
- Kane: "Three thousand per acre?"
- Ropp: "Yes sir."
- Speaker Matijevich: "The gentleman from Peoria, Representative Tuerk."
- Tuerk: "Would the Sponsor yield for a question? Under Section 6 you're providing in this Amendment that these funds would be deposited for the development of linear trails for recreational purposes. Now would you explain that please?"
- Ropp: "Well, a linear trail is, apparently by some peoples definition, is something that is reasonably flat and reasonably straight."
- Tuerk: "Where would these trails be developed?"
- Ropp: "In the State of Illinois."
- Tuerk: "Where?"
- Ropp: "Presumably, and it doesn't say this, but presumably within close proximity of the intent of the Bill."
- Tuerk: "What is close proximity?"
- Ropp: "Some of these people are presently, as they tell me, now going to Wisconsin. I'm sure that we can beat that in terms of mileage in particularly where we are concerned about costly gas, energy, and so forth. We can do it in closer proximity to this area than going to Wisconsin."
- Tuerk: "Would closer proximity be, do you believe, state park in Peoria County?"
- Ropp: "I have.. I have suggested that as an excellent alterna-



tive for utilizing that part much better than it's presently being utilized, however; the people of the Department of Conservation have indicated that bicycle riders do not like to go up and down hill and by linear that's apparently what means straight and reasonably true in elevation and so they don't think that's a good idea although I thought, initially, that was a super proposal."

Tuerk: "I see. Well, the closest linear trail land that now belongs to the state would be the Hennepin Canal and that's the way Department of Conservation is thinking at the present time. Is that correct?"

Ropp: "That was a proposal. Yes, sir."

Tuerk: "Do some of the same problems exist there that exist with the Rock Island Trail property owners?"

Ropp: "I have not been as close to that situation nor have I heard of a growing demand by those people to change or to dry up a water canal and begin to farm it..."

Tuerk: "I'm not talking about proposals. You couldn't very well ride bicycles in a canal unless you were..."

Ropp: "Well, you would have to be pretty good to do that."

Tuerk: "It's my understanding, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, that many of the same problems that exist and have existed on the Rock Island Trail for the last five years at least and probably maybe as far back as ten to fifteen years exist at the Hennepin Canal area, namely that there are property owners along that particular stretch of say 50 miles that exist right now and have existed in Peoria County. So from the standpoint of any resolution of if this land were to be sold and used for development of other linear trails throughout the state that there really is none in the offing at the moment..."

Speaker Matijevich: "One moment. For what purpose does the gentleman from McLean, Representative Bradley rise?"

Bradley: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,



I think the point was made a few minutes ago and I think the Chair ought to rule. According to House Rule 32-G, ~~No Bill~~ authorizing or directing the conveyance of the state of any particular interest in real estate or any individual of entity other than a governmental unit or agency may be voted upon in Committee or Second Reading unless a certified appraisal of the value of the interest has been filed' and I'm wondering if that has been filed and I wonder if you'd so rule."

Speaker Matijevich: "Alright. We're checking right now. We're checking the Committee records and the Bill. There's been three requests on that from Leverenz, Friedland, and Bradley. It has not been filed. Alright. We are ruling that under 32-G that appraisals must be filed. Therefore, why don't we hold the Bill right now until that is met and then we'll go back to it. Alright? That'll save us a lot of grief that we may never... Take it out of the record. And keep it on Second Reading. What a relief. House Bill, on page 15, House Bill 13. Leave... Representative Kelly asks leave that that Bill be returned to the Order of Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment. Gentleman from Cook, Representative Kelly on House Bill 13."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #9, Greiman. Amends House Bill 13 as amended by deleting 'question' and so forth."

Speaker Matijevich: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Kelly or Greiman. Who's on. Representative Greiman."

Kelly: "Representative Greiman on the Amendment. On his Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Greiman on Amendment #9."

Greiman: "Well, thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. We return after a long vacation, since March 14th, to House Bill 13. House Bill 13 was the Bill of Representative Kelly to place the equal



Rights Amendment on the ballot. At that time on March 14th, we added some Amendments. For example, we added the DC Amendment would be on the ballot. We added an Amendment that deals with the Regional Transportation Authority and Financial Assistance. And then we had some Amendments that were out of order because of their forms so I put them into one... one grandiose Amendment so that if we're going to deal with social issues by referendum we should deal with really the gutsy issues of... of our times. Now Amendment 9 adds to the already juicy issues on the ballot and Amendment proposing a convention to call for a constitutional Amendment on a balance budget for the Federal Government. Now many people feel strongly that there should be a balanced budget for the Federal Government and this would allow... this would allow people who feel strongly about that to express themselves. It adds on to it some hand gun legislation or... or... or feeling. To allow people to express their feeling to the General Assembly as to whether we should have hand gun for law enforcement officers. It also provides for people to have adviser referendum on whether we should construct more nuclear power plants. And finally, it provides an expression... a means of expression for people to tell us whether they want a convention for the Federal Constitution to be amended for a right to life... a right to life Amendment. Now... to our Federal Constitution. So that we have here... that we would have here the Equal Rights Amendment being considered by the people. RTA being considered by the people. What else? Right to life, certainly an issue in politics for us. Hand guns and, finally, a balanced budget for the Federal Government. Those issues are great public issues. Mr. Kelly wants this Bill to... to pass and I probably will oppose the Bill because I don't believe we should



do all this,, but let's not single out one or two issues. Let's get the gutsy issues. Now Mr. Kelly is sort of a spokesman for... for much of the right... to life legislation and I assume that he would want this to be a matter for the public to express themselves on and, therefore; I have offered Amendment 9 to add additional items to be considered by the public at the general election, and I assu... I hope that Mr. Kelly will support this Amendment. I'm sure he will in all fairness."

Speaker Matijevich: "The gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, I believe it's no coincidence that the Sponsor the Equal Rights Amendment last year is the Sponsor of this Amendment to make sure this Bill does not receive enough votes to pass and become enacted. We have three issues which cannot be suppressed which are on this Bill already. One is ERA. The second is RTA and the third is the District of Columbi... District of Columbia constitutional Amendment proposal. The Sponsor of the Amendment has argued that he will probably oppose the Bill whether or not the Amendment is put on. That sounds to me like the best argument to vote against it. Now as most of you know, I have supported the Equal Rights Amendment and I am just aghast that the proponents are afraid to put the issue to the people. I think it is very poor strategy because I don't believe there's any way the Equal Rights Amendment is going to pass this General Assembly unless the people speak... are allowed to speak in a referendum. I don't think they... the proponents stand a snowballs chance in hell of passing ERA without outside... without outside citizen intervention and the only way to get the proponents involved... as involved as the opponents is to put a referendum on the ballot. That's why I'm supporting the Bill as it is already



amended and without this really gut wrenching Amendment which will add four questions, if I understand the Sponsor correctly. Whether we shall have a national constitutional convention on the balanced budget. Whether we shall have a national constitutional convention on right to life. Whether we shall give a fuzzy worded question about nuclear power to the public and whether we shall have the public vote on gun control. Now I personally have feelings about all of those issues and... but I think none of those issues are as significant constitutionally and legislatively as the three on the... on the Bill already. I think the Bill as amended now can pass because of a very weird combination of issue coalition. And for that reason I don't think we should tinker with it. It might... you pull one of the legs out or add four more legs and it might fall over. I don't want it to fall over. I want it to pass. I urge the defeat of the Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Williams."

Williams: "Yes, thank you Mr. Speaker. I would like to move the previous question."

Speaker Matijevich: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Williams, has moved the previous question. All in favor say 'aye', oppose 'nay' and the previous question prevails. Representative Greiman to close."

Greiman: "Thank you, thank you Mr. Speaker. I really enjoyed Representative Skinner's diatribe. It's as if he had just glanced at this Bill for the first time but amazingly Amendment... House Amendment #4 deals with whether we should... it says should we as a matter of public policy indicate that the voters favor the continuance by the General Assembly of its massive assistance to the gener... to the RTA. Now we know that that's one of Mr. Skinner's favorite, very favorite



topics. And so Skinner's got his on here and he says the heck with everybody else. Because Skinner's is on and he's smiling and he's shaking his head so before Skinner gets too pious about the Equal Rights Amendment, let's remember that for Skinner its RTA as the number one issue in America. In any event, this Amendment..."

Speaker Matijevich: "That's before Skinner. That's spelled BS right before Skinner."

Greiman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I never quarrel with the Speaker. This Amendment is a good and a fair Amendment. If we're going to deal with social issues. If we're going to say that the people of Illinois are going to pass on social issues, then it's time that we let them pass on all the issues. On all those issues. Those serious issues. To not just single out one issue, one issue of the Equal Rights Amendment or one issue of the District of Columbia or even the issue of RTA which somehow doesn't really stand up and meet the test of all the constitutional questions that will be considered. I ask that you support this. I ask that you add Amendment #9 to House Bill 13. Thank you."

Speaker Matijevich: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Greiman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #9 to House Bill 13. All in favor vote aye, oppose vote no. Representative Kelly, from Cook, to explain his vote."

Kelly: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I rise to oppose Representative Greiman's Amendment and I would think the major reason why I am in opposition to it is because of the abortion question which is being placed on the ballot. And the reason for this is I feel it's very misleading to have an abortion question on the ballot."

Speaker Matijevich: "Have all..."

Kelly: "First of all, a great many people are uninformed about abortion. The only ones that provide the infor-



mation are the news media and I think we all are well aware that they favor abortion. Now if you can place on a ballot the question to support the slaughter of millions of unborn babies then I think you'll find out what the answer will be right away. It will be a resounding no. If you place on there, do you support the use of a saline salt solution which burns the baby out of the mothers womb in the later stages..."

Speaker Matijevich: "Will the gentleman bring his remarks to a close? Your minute's up Nick. Your minute is up. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this motion there are 69 voting no, 58 voting aye, 1 present and the Amendment fails. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. On Short Debate on page 13, leave for Representative Stearney to bring that back... 1828, House Bill 1828, back to the Order of Second Reading. No objection. Return House Bill 1828 to Second Reading."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Stearney. Amends House Bill 1828 on page one, line one and five and so forth."

Speaker Matijevich: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Stearney."

Stearney: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Amendment would amend Section 503 and changes a word from 'shall' to 'may'."

Speaker Matijevich: "The gentleman from Cook has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 1828. All in favor say 'aye', oppose 'nay' and Amendment #1 is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. Leave to... Representative from Cook, Representative Schlickman. Leave to keep that on Short Debate, Third. Third Reading, Short Debate. Leave. The gentleman from Cook, Representative



Schlickman for what purpose do you rise?"

Schlickman: "On a point of privilege Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Matijevich: "Proceed."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, we have rules and of course we know the purpose of the rules is to provide predictability to the operation of this House and it's also to provide each Member a reasonable opportunity for the favorable consideration of his Bill. Now to implement these principles of predictability and fairness we have provided in our rules that Bills are to be called in a numerical order at each order of business. To further promote the element to predictability and fairness we've established a Priority Calendar so that Bill will be called on a first come basis. Now Mr. Speaker, I am going to object henceforth to anybodies request for leave to bring a Bill back from the Order of Third Reading to Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment until all of the Bills presently at the Order of Second Reading have been heard. I don't think it's fair, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, that a Bill be considered twice at the Order of Second Reading when many, many Bills have not been considered at the Order of Second Reading even once. And particularly in light of the fact that some of these Bills at the Order of Third Reading are very controversial and they come back to the Order of Second Reading and become even more controversial and take up the time of the House."

Speaker Matijevich: "The gentleman's point, as far as the Chair is concerned, is well taken. We... We've got two Bills, however; Mrs. Oblinger, and this wasn't coming back to Third, that we've now straightened out Her Bills... Amendments have been printed. But the gentleman's from Cook's point is well taken after that Bill and one of Peter's that was on Second that we're going to move to Third. We are then going to go to the



Order of Second Reading, Priority Call, Long Debate so that we can move some Bills that have to be moved but starting tomorrow we're going to get on Third Reading, Priority Call and if anybody takes their Bill out of the record then, they may never get back to it. So the point is well taken. Now we go to House Bill 1917, Oblinger. Which is on Second Reading. 1937 rather."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1937. A Bill for an Act to create the Adult Abuse Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Oblinger. Amends House Bill 1937 on page one by deleting line nine through fourteen and so forth."

Speaker Matijevich: "The lady from Sangamon, Representative Oblinger on Amendment 1."

Oblinger: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Amendment #1 was proposed by Enrolling and Engrossing because there was a mistake in the language. It does not change the substance of the Bill. They just rewrote the language. So I move the adoption of Amendment #1."

Speaker Matijevich: "Any discussion? Mrs. Oblinger has moved the adoption of Amendment #1. All in favor say 'aye', oppose 'no'. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2, Oblinger. Amends House Bill 1937 on page three, line 15 and so forth."

Speaker Matijevich: "The lady from Sangamon."

Oblinger: "The Amendment changes two words 'subjected' to 'submitted' and because there was some question in Committee whether a person could abuse himself the word, 'other than himself' were added and then so there will be flexibility in the Bill or making such other appropriate rearrangements as the circumstances



may require for the care of the elderly. I move the adoption of Amendment #2.

Speaker Matijevich: "The lady from Sangamon has moved the adoption of Amendment #2. No discussion. All in favor say 'aye', oppose 'nay' and Amendment #2 is adopted. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, Oblinger. Amends House Bill 1937 on page one, line 31 and so forth."

Speaker Matijevich: "The lady from Sangamon."

Oblinger: "There are two short Amendments. One, it had said people who are mentally incompetent and we have added 'or who have been declared mentally incompetent'. Secondly, we have added 'or having a guardian or a relative who is have failed to take care of them along with the people who might be appointed by court' and then we realized there were two definitions that we never used in the Bill so we struck those and substituted 'local responsible person or agency'. I move..."

Speaker Matijevich: "The lady from Sangamon has moved the adoption of Amendment #3. On that the gentleman from Cook, Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Would the lady yield?"

Speaker Matijevich: "She indicates she will."

Schlickman: "Did I understand that Amendment #3 contains the language 'declared mentally incompetent'?"

Oblinger: "Yes."

Schlickman: "I would respectfully suggest that it should be 'adjudicated a disabled person' in light of the last years Amendment to the Probate Act and..."

Oblinger: "Adjudicated?"

Schlickman: "Adjudicated a disabled person. I have no objection to the Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Alright. All in favor of Amendment #3 say 'aye', oppose 'nay' and Amendment #3 is adopted. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #4, Oblinger. Amends House Bill



1937 on page three, line seven and so forth."

Speaker Matijevich: "Lady from Sangamon, Representative Oblinger."

Oblinger: "Amendment #4 is the one that has been suggested by religious organizations who wanted included that there be no discrimination against their taking care of the elderly."

Speaker Matijevich: "The lady has moved for the adoption of Amendment #4. No discussion. All in favor say 'aye', oppose 'no'. Amendment #4 is adopted. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #5, Oblinger. Amends House Bill 1937 on page seven by inserting between lines two and three the following: Section 14 and so forth."

Oblinger: "When the Legislative Reference Bureau drafted this Bill they forgot to look at the evidence Section and this puts in that we are under the same constraint as under the Child Abuse Act in as far as confidentiality and evidence."

Speaker Matijevich: "The lady's moved the adoption of Amendment #5 to House Bill 1937. No discussion. All in favor say 'aye', oppose 'nay' and Amendment #5 is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. Leave to remain on the Order of Short Debate, Third... Third Reading. Leave. And House Bill 1937 will be on Short Debate, Third."

Oblinger: "Thank you Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Matijevich: "I understand that there is now an agreement on House Bill 2578, 2578 on page eleven. Peters-Bower. House Bill 2578."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, this is the appropriation for the Department of Public Health. We are on Second Reading. In agreement with both staffs and both sides of the aisle we would like to move the Bill to Third by disposing of some Amendments."



Speaker Matijevich: "Are there any Committee Amendments?"

2578, page eleven, Second Reading."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2578. A Bill for an Act making

appropriation to the Department of Public Health.

Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 2, 4, and

5 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Matijevich: "Any motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Matijevich: "Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #6 failed previously. Committee Amend... or Floor Amendment #7, Peters. Amends House Bill 2578..."

Speaker Matijevich: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Peters on Floor Amendment #7."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, if you would advise me of the proper motion to table or withdraw. Withdraw."

Speaker Matijevich: "Leave to withdraw Amendment #7. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #8, Peters. Amends House Bill 2578..."

Speaker Matijevich: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Peters on Amendment #8."

Peters: "Ask leave to withdraw #8."

Speaker Matijevich: "Leave to withdraw Amendment #8. Leave. Further Amendment."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #9, Peters. Amends House Bill 2578 as amended."

Speaker Matijevich: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Peters on Amendment #9."

Peters: "Mr. Chairman... Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, I would now move adoption of Amendment #9 which adds \$36,5000 to the General Revenue Operations of the Department of Public Health for the implementation of the DES program which was passed by this Legislature. The DES program has to do with the screening and information of the possible effects of the DES drug and



the sterility in who took the drug because of a fertility problem and then the subsequent problem of cancer."

Speaker Matijeich: "My seat mate from Adams, Representative McClain."

McClain: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Would the gentleman yield? Mr. Peters, is this Amendment agreed by both staffs?"

Peters: "Yes, Representative McClain. All the Amendments and all the ... we are taking here today is agreed by both staffs and I don't..."

McClain: "Because I don't see Mr. Barnes here."

Peters: "I've discussed it with Mr. Barnes. He's in the Senate side and he has an Amendment here also that I'm going to handle for him."

McClain: "OK. Thank you."

Peters: "Thank you."

Speaker Matijeich: "All in favor of Amendment #9 say 'aye', oppose 'nay' and Amendment #9 is adopted. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #10, Pullen. Amends House Bill 2578 as amended on page five, line three and so forth."

Peters: "Mr. Chairman, if... Mr. Speaker, if the Clerk might change Amendments 10 and 11 to read Peters. It was an error on our part and I would now move to withdraw #10."

Speaker Matijeich: "Leave to withdraw Amendment 10. Leave. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #11, Peters. Amends House Bill 2578 as amended on page five, line 17..."

Speaker Matijeich: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Peters on Amendment #11."

Peters: "Mr. Chairman, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would now move the adoption of Amendment #11 which adds \$50,000 in response to legislation passed which would mandate the Department of Public Health inspect



state facilities."

Speaker Matijevich: "The gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #11. All in favor say 'aye', oppose 'nay' and Amendment #11 is adopted. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #12, Kempiners. Amends House Bill 2578 as amended on page ten, line 25 and so forth."

Speaker Matijevich: "The gentleman from Will, Representative Kempiners on Amendment #12."

Kempiners: "I withdraw Amendment #12."

Speaker Matijevich: "Leave to withdraw Amendment #12. Leave. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #13, Kempiners. Amends House Bill 2578 as amended on page eleven, line eight."

Speaker Matijevich: "Gentleman from Will, Representative Kempiners on Amendment #13."

Kempiners: "I ask leave to withdraw."

Speaker Matijevich: "Leave to withdraw Amendment #13 is withdrawn."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #14, Kempiners. Amends House Bill 2578 as amended on page eleven, line eight and so forth."

Speaker Matijevich: "Gentleman from Will, Representative Kempiners."

Kempiners: "Amendment #14 simply addresses the appropriation that is for the Statewide Health Coordinating Council that is appropriated for in the Department of Public Health's appropriation Bill. The statutory minimum for membership on this Commission is 25 members and it's my understanding that currently 56 members serve on that Commission and I think that here is one area where we can have a minor cut so that we have some efficiency in State Government. And what Amendment #14 does is reduces the line item per travel for members on the Statewide Health Coordinating Council down to \$23,300 for the six month appropriation addressed in this appropriation Bill. It does not eliminate the shick. It does not provide that they cannot hold meetings but



it only provides they can have meetings for the statutorily mandated 25 people and I would move for adoption of House Amendment #14 to 2578."

Speaker Matijevich: "The gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #14. The Sponsor has no objection. All in favor say 'aye', oppose 'nay' and the Amendment... ayes have it and the Amendment #14 is adopted. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #15, E.M. Barnes. Amends House Bill 2578 as amended on page 15, line 32."

Speaker Matijevich: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Peters on Amendment #15."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment 15 is an Amendment submitted by Chairman Barnes and what it does is it makes technical changes to Amendment #1 to correct an over statement of the Women Infant Care Grant Line Item. There was a drafting error and this straightens that out. I move its adoption."

Speaker Matijevich: "Gentleman from Cook has moved for the adoption of Amendment #15. All in favor say 'aye', oppose 'nay' and Amendment #15 is adopted. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #16, John Dunn. Amends House Bill 2578 as amended on page six, line ten and so forth."

Speaker Matijevich: "The gentleman from Macon, Representative Dunn on Amendment #16."

Dunn: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #16 arises out of the hearing on this budget in the Appropriations II Committee. It did come to light during debate that the Department of Public Health does not have adequate staffing to perform random inspections of nursing homes. There are several inspections scheduled each year but they are set up in such fashion that there is little or no surprise to the visit. If this Amendment is adopted, the Department



of Public Health has indicated that this will enable them to get back into business which they were formally in about four or five years ago of stopping in on a random basis to take a look at nursing homes and I think this should be an excellent idea for the State of Illinois and for the taxpayers and I would urge an aye vote on this Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Peters on Amendment #16."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, we are in support of the Amendment and urge its adoption."

Speaker Matijevich: "Gentleman from Macon has moved the adoption of Amendment #16. All in favor say 'aye', oppose 'no' and Amendment #16 is adopted. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. The Order of Business now is priority of call, Second... House Bills, Second Reading. First Bill, House Bill 1362, Schneider. We're going to try to get to May 9... May 9 or May 10 on the second page so we're going to call up to that many if we can. House Bill 1362."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1362."

Speaker Matijevich: "I don't see the Sponsor. Out of the record. House Bill 1580, Daniels. The gentleman from Sangamon, Representative Kane for what purpose do you rise?"

Kane: "The priority of call list has not been distributed around here?"

Speaker Matijevich: "It should be on your desk. Here's a copy... a copy right here. Your right they haven't distributed them yet. The Pages will be distributing them in a minute. Thank you for letting the Chair know. The republicans have their copies and the democrats don't have theirs. Would the democratic page distribute the priority call immediately if not sooner:



Would all the Pages drop everything you're doing and then distribute these priority of call Calendars. That's got first priority. Every Page that's got their hands in their pockets doing nothing come up here and distribute these priority of calls. Committee Reports."

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Katz, Chairman of the Committee on Judiciary II submits a corrective Committee report on House Bill 1355, action taken May 16, report the Bill back: do pass as amended Consent Calendar."

Speaker Matijevich: "Alright, now that we've all got our priority Calendars or they're on the way. The gentleman from Champaign, Representative Wikoff for what purpose do you seek attention?"

Wikoff: "Inquiry from the Chair, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Matijevich: "Proceed."

Wikoff: "With the priority of call on Second Reading and I agree with your decision to go to that, if we go passed one and it's taken out of the record what is the disposition of that Bill and does it go to the bottom of the list or what?"

Speaker Matijevich: "The Parliamentarian tells me that we may never get back to it. That's the priority. Except with leave of the House. The first Bill, House Bill 1580, Daniels."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1580. A Bill for an Act making appropriation to the Judicial Inquiry Board. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Friedrich - Darrow. Amends House Bill 1580 on page one, line nine and so forth."

Speaker Matijevich: "Is that Frederick or Friedrich? On Amendment #1, is that yours Virginia?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Dwight Friedrich."

Speaker Matijevich: "Oh. The gentleman from Marion, Representative Friedrich."



Friedrich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I hope the Members will pay attention to this Amendment because it's pretty important. The Constitution provides for the establishment and maintenance of a Judicial Inquiry Board but we had this testimony in Committee and I think you would find it very interesting. Since 1972, this high-price Board has made 26 inquiries."

Speaker Matijevich: "One moment. The gentleman from DuPage, Representative Daniels for what purpose do you rise?"

Daniels: "The gentleman is not discussing his proposed Amendment to reduce the appropriation requested by the Judicial Inquiry Board. I wish you would ask him to address the Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Address the Amendment Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Well, basically this Amendment makes some pretty substantial reductions in the money available to the Judicial Inquiry Board and the reductions are based on the fact that this outfit that you're paying, this high price for does little or no work. In fact, never, since they've been organized, have they ever been able to require that a judge resign or be convicted of any crime. Now the truth of it is they admitted in Committee that the procedure is that when they find a judge that's wrong doing, they... the guy takes his pension and rides around at your expense on his pension and they never even refer it to States Attorney's Office. So what I'm saying that based on their production, they don't need this kind of money because in all these years they've never really accomplished anything and amounted to anything. So I think they can operate on considerably less money and if the Sponsor insists, I will line item it. It reduces the amount for personal services from 144,000 to 64. The retirement contribution in keeping, the



Social Security in keeping. It reduces contractual services from 68,000 to 34,000. I think that's still a lot of money for an outfit that hasn't done anything in seven years."

Speaker Matijevich: "The lady from Cook, Representative Macdonald."

Macdonald: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. First of all, I don't have a copy of the Amendment. Has it been distributed?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Has the Amendment been distributed?"

Friedrich: "I'll tell you what. It's been in the hands of the Clerk for a long, long time."

Speaker Matijevich: "It has. Yes, it has. Proceed Representative Macdonald."

Macdonald: "Well I... I don't happen to have it and I have mine all in order but nevertheless, I want to oppose this Amendment. I see no reason to handicap one of the most important Boards of this state and I do not approve of this Amendment and hope that others will join me in, certainly, opposing and defeating this Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "The gentleman from DuPage, Representative Daniels did you want... Your light's off."

Daniels: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I think that Representative Freidrich's concept here of gutting the Judicial Inquiry Board is contrary to what many of us feel is an absolute, necessary function of our government. That is to make sure that our Judiciary operates properly. It is not their function to prosecute. It is not their function to make sure that a judge goes to jail if something's done wrong. It's their function to investigate and look at various alligations and then to determine whether or not there's any violation of the Judicial Code of Ethics. What he's doing here is effectively closing the downstate office and eliminating pay raises for the Judicial Inquiry Board staff and we have an appropriation request



here that's only 6.8% over FY7980 and I certainly think it's reasonable, the appropriation as submitted. It came out of Committee 17 to 3 after a full hearing and I would urge each and everyone of you to vote no on Representative Friedrich's Amendment and to defeat it."

Speaker Matijevich: "The lady from Cook, Representative Willer on her annual defense of the Judicial Inquiry Board. Proceed."

Willer: "Yes, thank you Mr. Speaker. You know, the previous speaker or the one before the previous speaker, to say that the Board has not done anything worthwhile in seven years is simply incomprehensible why he'd even say it. He should be ashamed of himself. It isn't true, of course. We know it isn't true. I personally know it isn't true from my three years on the Board. Sure, their case load has been cut back just because they've been doing a good job. Would the speaker be happy if they were persuing 400 of the 700 judges around the state? It would be a sad thing to say about the Judiciary if that was true. This Board is important. It's a constitutionally created body and to deny them a modest increase of 6% when we know what inflation has done is irresponsible. I cannot... Well, I can only question the reason why. It seems to me this body does one of two things every year. Says their not doing enough or their doing too much and I certainly support the appropriation without the cut and, again I repeat, that remark was totally irresponsible."

Speaker Matijevich: "The gentleman from Marion, Representative Friedrich to close."

Friedrich: "I can assure you that was not irresponsible. It was the truth because a judge in my area was obviously guilty of blackmailing some banks and the Judicial Inquiry Board fiddled around with it for awhile and the



guy resigned. They said, 'Well now it's out of our jurisdiction. I'm sorry we can't do anything about it'. So all you have to really do is get caught with your hands in a cookie jar and take your pension. If they don't catch you, you're in free. If they catch you, you take your pension. That's how the Judicial Inquiry Board works. Here's a good place to save a hundred thousand dollars and not disrupt any essential branch of government."

Speaker Matijeich: "The gentleman from DuPage, Representative Daniels for what purpose..."

Daniels: "Just a point of order. The gentleman well knows that the Judicial Inquiry Board does not have criminal prosecutorial authority. They have only investigatory authority on the cannons of ethics and the gentleman should limit his remarks to that."

Speaker Matijeich: "Point's well taken. The gentleman from Marion, Representative Friedrich has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 1580. Those in favor vote aye. Those oppose vote no. McClain, hit me no. The gentleman from Kane, Representative Waddell to explain his vote."

Waddell: "Well I had a question prior to the time that you moved this and that was, do we in the General Assembly who are asked to provide the money for this, do we have anything at all in the way of a report to find out whether these charges are true or whether they're false? Any report at all? Anybody that can answer it."

Speaker Matijeich: "Representative Friedrich from Marion."

Waddell: "You can't even find out the answer when you're the one that makes the complaints."

Speaker Matijeich: "Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this motion there are 32 ayes, 75 nays, none present and the Amendment fails. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendment."



Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. I understand now that Representative Gene Hoffman is Co-chief Sponsor of House Bill 1362 so House Bill 1362."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1362."

Speaker Matijevich: "This is the one that we got all the letters on. But don't get excited on Second Reading. Hold your venom till Third Reading."

Clerk O'Brien: "A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Floor Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Schneider. Amends House Bill 1362 on page one, line seven and so forth."

Speaker Matijevich: "Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Hoffman is Co-chief Sponsor on the Bill and Amendment. From DuPage, Representative Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentleman of the House. While Representative Schneider is on his way to the... to this august Body, I would like to offer Amendment #1. You've received some mail on this Bill I think and one of the big issues is centered around the word 'cruel'. We're going to delete the word 'cruel' and indicate that... that the Section in the Humane Care for Animal Act will be substituted in its place so that it will read that whatever is done must be in conformity with Section 4 of the Humane Care for Animals Act. I would move the adoption of Amendment 1."

Speaker Matijevich: "Gentleman from Du... DuPage, Representative Hoffman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 1362. All in favor say 'aye', oppose 'no' and Amendment #1 is adopted. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 1783, Terzich."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1783. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. Out of the record..."



Speaker Matijeich: "Out of the record. House Bill 2097,
Kempiners. Read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2097. A Bill for an Act to amend
the Hospital District Law. Second Reading of the Bill.
No Committee Amendment."

Speaker Matijeich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Kempiners. Amends House Bill
2097 on page two by deleting line four and five and
so forth."

Speaker Matijeich: "The gentleman from Will, Representative
Kempiners on Amendment #1."

Kempiners: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Amendment #1 was re-
commended to me by Enrolling and Engrossing because of
an error in language of the Bill. It makes no sub-
stantive change just corrects a little language."

Speaker Matijeich: "The gentleman from Will, Representative
Kempiners has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1
to 2097. All in favor say 'aye', oppose 'no' and
Amendment #1 is adopted. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2, Kempiners. Amends House Bill
2097 on page four and so forth."

Speaker Matijeich: "Gentleman from Will, Representative
Kempiners on Amendment #2."

Kempiners: "Amendment #2 provides that at a future date
after the referendum is held and the taxing authority
is abolished that the voters pass a bond program by
referendum and the levy which is addressed by this
Bill could once again be established but it would
be done so only by referendum of the voters."

Speaker Matijeich: "Gentleman has moved for the adoption
of Amendment #2. No discussion. All in favor say
'aye', oppose 'no' and Amendment #2 is adopted. Further
Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #3, Kempiners. Amends House Bill
2097 on page four and after line nine and so forth."

Speaker Matijeich: "Gentleman from Will, Representative



Kempiners, Amendment 3."

Kempiners: "Amendment #3 is an effective date of the Act of January 1st, 1981 and I move its adoption."

Speaker Matijevich: "Move for adoption of Amendment #3.

All in favor say 'aye', oppose 'no' and Amendment #3 is adopted. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 1187, Yourell."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1187. A Bill for an Act to amend the Bingo License and Tax Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Yourell. Amends House Bill 1187 on page two, line six and so forth."

Speaker Matijevich: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Yourell on Amendment #1."

Yourell: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #1 was suggested by Enrolling and Engrossing after reviewing the legislation. It's a technical Amendment. I move the adoption of House Amendment #1 to House Bill 1187."

Speaker Matijevich: "Move for adoption of Amendment #1.

All in favor say 'aye', oppose 'no' and Amendment #1 is adopted. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 1324, Cullerton. Read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1324. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Insurance Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Matijevich: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee did you say? Any motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"



Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, Cullerton. Amends House Bill 1324 on page one by deleting everything after the enacting clause and so forth."

Cullerton: "Mr. Speaker, this Bill mandates that companies that give automobile insurance premium discounts, cars that are equipped with anti-theft devices, that have been approved by the Director. The Amendment says that the Director of Insurance shall appoint a panel and this Amendment specifies that it will be an automotive engineering advisory panel and allows Secretary of State to be a member and to give technical advise to the Committee. This Amendment is supported by the insurance industry, the Director of Insurance, and the Secretary of State. I would ask for its adoption."

Speaker Matijevich: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton has moved for the adoption of Amendment #2. All in favor say 'aye', oppose 'no' and Amendment #2 is adopted. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 1431."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1431. A Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. I'm sorry. The gentleman from Livingston, Representative Ewing for what purpose do you rise?"

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, I'm the chief Sponsor of that Bill and there is an Amendment coming and I would like it to be held on Second Reading."

Speaker Matijevich: "Hold House Bill 13... 1431 on Second Reading. House Bill 1692, Giorgi. Co-chief Sponsor, Hanahan."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1692. A Bill for an Act to license and regulate the operation of certain games of chance."



conducted by certain non-profit organizations. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Giorgi - Donovan. Amends House Bill 1692 on page one by deleting lines eight through ten and so forth."

Speaker Matijevich: "Was that a Committee Amendment did you say? Floor Amendment #1. Who's is it Jack?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Giorgi and Donovan."

Speaker Matijevich: "Move to adopt Amendment #1. All in favor say 'aye', oppose 'no' and Amendment #1 is adopted. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2, Giorgi - Darrow - Hallock. Amends House Bill 1692 by deleting everything after the enacting clause and so forth."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendment #2. Is that a technical Amendment? Representative Amendment... Representative Hanahan on Amendment #2."

Hanahan: "It's just a redrafting of the Bill. We've got it in correct order and I move its adoption."

Speaker Matijevich: "Move for adoption on Amendment #2. All in favor say 'aye', oppose... Just one moment. The lady from St. Claire, Representative Stiehl."

Stiehl: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor of the Amendment please explain what it does? Just a redrafting of the Bill. Would you explain what it does?"

Hanahan: "It's a re... It's the same Bill and all it does is redraft it. If you wait a minute, I'll get a copy of it. This becomes the Bill and it's a redrafting of the original Bill and it removes all references to games of chance known as paddle wheels and tip boards. The Bill is thus a local licensing of raffles and chances Bill. It removes all references to gambling devices substituting in its place raffles and chances. Requires the local governing body to act



upon a license application within 30 days from date of application instead of a 180 days. Reduce the amount of the required fidelity bond required of the raffles and chances manager from \$10,000 to a sum determined by the license authority and would allow licensee to conduct raffles and chances anywhere within the jurisdiction of the licensing authority rather than within premises it owns or leases. Thus the licensee can rent premises for short periods of time for special purposes such as carnivals, holidays, etc... It really is just a technicality of redrafting the Bill."

Speaker Matijevich: "Gentleman from McHenry has moved the adoption of Amednment #2. All in favor say 'aye', oppose 'no' and Amendment #2 is adopted. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 2237."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2237. A Bill for an Act to amend the Agriculture Fair Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Satterthwaite - Rigney. Amends House Bill 2237 on page one by inserting between 13 and 14..."

Speaker Matijevich: "The lady from Champaign, Representative Satterthwaite on Amendment #1."

Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this is an Amendment that has been worked out in cooperation with the County Fair Associations as a result of discussions that went on in Committee. I know of no opposition to the Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "The lady has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1. All in favor say 'aye', oppose 'no' and Amendment #1 is adopted. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."



Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 1657.

Can you hold that a little further down the call?
We'll come back to it. I've got an Amendment on it.
We'll return to it. Alright, Betty, hold this just
for a while. It's an appropriation anyway so we can
wait. House Bill 1299, McPike."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1299. A Bill for an Act to
promote competition in banking. Second Reading of the
Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Abramson. Amends House Bill
1299 on page 4 by deleting line 3 through 6 and so
forth."

Speaker Matijevich: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative
Abraham... son on Amendment #1. Abramson."

Abramson: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to withdraw Amendment
#1."

Speaker Matijevich: "Leave to withdraw Amendment #1. Leave.
Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, Mulcahey - Stuffle.
Amends House Bill 1299 on page 23 by deleting line 7
and 8 and so forth."

Speaker Matijevich: "The gentleman from Winnebago, Represent-
ative Mulcahey on Amendment #2."

Mulcahey: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Members of the House.
This is a simple little Amendment that simply changes
the effective date of the Bill and I would move for
the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative... from Madison, McPike
on that Amendment."

McPike: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Matijevich: "He indicates he will."

McPike: "Representative Mulcahey, the way I read this the
effective date of this Bill would be January 1, the
year 2001. Is... was there a mistake in the printing?"

Mulcahey: "No, Mr... Representative McPike, that is... that's



accurate. That is the accurate date, yes sir."

McPike: "Well, I understand that the reason you offered this is that your grandchildren are in favor of this Bill."

Mulcahey: "That's affirmative, yes."

McPike: "I'd like to speak to the Amendment Mr. Speaker.

All joking aside, I know that this was offered tongue-in-cheek but we really don't do things this way on the House floor. The Bill itself should be debated on Third Reading. It should be voted up or down on Third Reading. Offering an Amendment to make it effective 25 years from now really destroys the legislative process. I understand why the Amendment was offered, but I think it should be defeated."

Speaker Matijevich: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Huff."

Huff: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise to support this Amendment for the simple reason that maybe by the year 2085 the formation of cash will be back in this country instead of the OPEC nations. I think it's a good Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Further discussion? Representative Mulcahey to close. By the way, we are going to skip appropriations because we can always do those because of our... the fact that we have to get the House Bills in proper order, we're going to skip appropriation Bills. Representative Mulcahey to close."

Mulcahey: "Well, thank you Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I'm not trying to change the content of the Bill in any way, shape, or form. I'm simply trying to change the effective date. And as a member for the last three years of the EFTS Commission who has studied this legislation, I can say to you quite honestly right now this Bill is not ready to go out. I feel we have an awful lot of work to do on it yet and I would move for the adoption of this Amendment."



Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Mulcahey has moved the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 1299. Those in favor say 'aye'..."

Unknown: "Roll Call."

Speaker Matijevich: "He wants a Roll Call. Those in favor vote aye. Those oppose vote no. Have all voted? Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this motion there are 29 ayes, 74 nos, 6 present and the Amendment fails. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #3, Mulcahey - Stuffle. Amends House Bill 1299 on page one by deleting everything after the enacting clause."

Speaker Matijevich: "The gentleman from Will, Representative Leinenweber, for what purpose do you rise?"

Leinenweber: "I would ask... ask you whether or not this Amendment is in order considering Rule 34G. I think a motion to strike enacting clause is in order but not an Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "I thought it was the opposite way. Bring the rule up here. The gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Mulcahey, for what purpose do you rise?"

Mulcahey: "Mr. Speaker, we can save some time and I would ask leave to table that Amendment... or to withdraw it."

Speaker Matijevich: "Leave to withdraw. The Amendment is withdrawn. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 1353."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1353. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to create sanitary districts. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Getty. Amends House Bill 1353 on page three, line 19 and so forth."

Speaker Matijevich: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Getty on Amendment 1."



Getty: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, this is purely a technical Amendment. It changes the word 'constitute' to 'consist of' and I would move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Matijevec: "Gentleman moves the adoption of Amendment #1. All in favor say 'aye' and oppose 'no' and the Amendment #1 is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Matijevec: "Third Reading. House Bill 1371."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1371. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Matijevec: "Any motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Matijevec: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, Stuffle. Amends House Bill 1371 on page one by deleting line 28 and 29 and so forth."

Speaker Matijevec: "The gentleman from Coles, Representative Stuffle on Amendment #2."

Stuffle: "Yes, Amendment #2 was agreed to in Committee and agreed to by the Sponsor. It provides a notification provision in the legislation."

Speaker Matijevec: "Gentleman moves the adoption of Amendment #2. All in favor say 'aye', oppose 'no' and Amendment #2 is adopted. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Matijevec: "Third Reading. House Bill 1501."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1501. A Bill for an Act in relation to notice of protest to propose Amendments and zoning ordinances. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendment."

Speaker Matijevec: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1 failed in Committee. Floor Amendment #2, Leinenweber. Amends House Bill 1501 on page two by deleting line one through seven and so forth."



Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments... Representative Leinenweber, from Will."

Leinenweber: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Amendment #2... I tried... Amendment #1 is the same as Amendment #2 but there was a flaw in it and I had assured the Committee... the Committee wanted this Amendment on. I assured the Committee that it would go on. Basically, what it does is the Bill itself provides that when you file a protest of zoning that you must give notice to the applicant for zoning that you have filed a protest. The question was... the Bill was drafted in error by providing that the governmental clerk would have to notify the applicant for the zoning. I hadn't wanted it drafted that way. Amendment #2 puts the onus upon the protester to notify the applicant that he's filing a protest. I'd urge the adoption of Amendment 2."

Spekaer Matijevich: "Gentleman's moved the adoption of Amendment 2. On that the gentleman from Cook, Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Mr. Speaker, would the gentleman yield?"

Speaker Matijevich: "He indicates he will."

Yourell: "Would you like to tell us what you shot on the front line this morning?"

Leinenweber: "Enough."

Speaker Matijevich: "All in favor of Amendment #2 say 'aye', oppose 'nay' and Amendment #2 is adopted. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 1503."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1503. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Administrative Procedure Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Spekaer Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Yourell. Amends House Bill 1503 on page three, line 13 and so forth."



Speaker Matijevich: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Yourell on Amendment #1."

Yourell: "Yes, thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #1 is a result of conversations in Committee with Representative Davis and what it does it... the request made under subSection 4B must be approved by a 3/5 vote of the Members appointed to the Joint Committee and Mr. Davis suggested this Amendment and I'm delighted to put it on and I move for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 1503."

Speaker Matijevich: "Motion to adopt Amendment #1. No discussion. All in favor say 'aye', oppose 'no' and Amendment #1 is adopted. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 1540."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1540. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act concerning land titles. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Yourell. Amends House Bill 1540 on page one, line one and twelve and so forth."

Yourell: "Yes, House Bill 1540 is a Bill that was drafted by the Torrence Committee of the Chicago Bar Association and after we heard the Bill in Committee there were two technical Amendments they wanted placed on the Bill and what they do is require a signature card for the purpose of identification and we have been declined the use of a Social Security number, but we understand that violates Federal Law and so we're taking that off and making it voluntary instead of mandatory. The new Section, 15B, on page seven duplicates part of the present Section, A, and we're striking the latter Section to avoid future confusion. I move for the adoption... is that Amendment #1 Mr. Clerk?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Yes. The gentleman's moved for the



adoption of Amendment #1. All... No discussion. All in favor say 'aye', oppose 'no' and Amendment #1 is adopted. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 1695, Giorgi."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1695. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act in relation to the Office of Public Defenders. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, this Amendment..."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amends House Bill 1695 on page one, line one and six and so forth."

Speaker Matijevich: "Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, this Bill and this Amendment is trying to get the Public Defenders Act in the same shape as the States Attorney's Act with the locations of state penal institutions and state hospitals and colleges in their district and the work load that that causes and the various pay categories and that's all it's trying to do. I move for the adoption of Amendment #1."

Speaker Matijevich: "The gentleman from Winnebago has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to 1695. On that the gentleman from Will, Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "That was pretty quick. I wonder if the gentleman would back up a bit and clarify what the Amendment does."

Speaker Matijevich: "The gentleman from Winnebago to mumble that again."

Giorgi: "Amendment #1. The public defenders are now paid by the county and the salaries are fixed by the County Board. Amendment... and maximum rates are set by statute. The current law sets Amendment salaries as 40% of the States Attorney's salary in the county



in counties between 100,000 and 500,000 population and 25% in counties with less than 100,000 population. This Bill now would affect those minimums with the Amendment. The maximum limit currently in effect for 80% of all counties with less than 500,000. This Bill would raise that limit to 100% or the same maximum of the salary of the States Attorney's in that county."

Leinenweber: "Alright."

Giorgi: "This Amendment does not affect counties in more than 500,000 population..."

Speaker Matijeich: "Gentleman from Winnebago has moved for the adoption..."

Giorgi: "Wait, wait, wait, wait, wait, wait..."

Speaker Matijeich: "Oh. I'm sorry. I thought you said OK. Alright."

Leinenweber: "As I understand, what you're doing then.

The Bill originally, as I read it, would have given counties such as Will some state money to pay for a portion of the salaries for a public defender now you've turned it into a pay raise Bill for public defenders. Is that right?"

Giorgi: "No, no. The original Bill... the original Bill was still the original intent. The Amendment changes a little somewhat but the Amendment..."

Leinenweber: "That's what I mean. The Amendment now is a pay raise where the Bill was a..."

Giorgi: "But it still..."

Leinenweber: "... provide state money to counties like Will."

Giorgi: "No. It's still County Board authority. Still...

It has to have County Board decision, County Board authority and it has to be those counties affected by having a penal institution, university, or mental institution in their environments."

Leinenweber: "I don't have any quarrel with the idea of the raise... the pay of the county defen... public defenders,



but that's what the Bill will now do. Is that correct?"

Giorgi: "That's right."

Speaker Matijevich: "The gentleman's moved the adoption of Amendment #1. All in favor say 'aye', oppose 'no' and Amendment #1 is adopted. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 1700, Leverenz."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1700. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Purchasing Act to the Metropolitan Sanitary District of greater Chicago. Second Reading. of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment... Amendment #2, Piel."

Speaker Matijevich: "Mr. Leverenz, do you know what happened to Amendment #1?"

Leverenz: "No, that's a big secret. I never saw any Amendment #1."

Speaker Matijevich: "Well, it's a good secret."

Leverenz: "And Amendment #2 should go to the same place."

Speaker Matijevich: "Alright. It was a mystery to the Clerk too. Change Amendment 2 to read Amendment #1. Leave."

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Piel."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Piel on Amendment #1. Gentleman from Cook."

Piel: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The Sponsor of the Bill stated Amendment #2 should go to the same place. I would be willing to send the Amendment as long he'd send the Bill along with the Amendment. I think that would be a fair and justifiable solution to this Bill. The Bill, basically, states that in case of a tie or low bid... tie on a high or a low bid from the Metropolitan Sanitary District that the purchasing agent can award to who he deems fit which to me opens it to a lot of graft and corruption and I think we've heard enough of



that in recent years, and I think it's time to put a stop to that type of a situation. So what the Amendment would do, it basically states, it is unlawful for any elected or appointed officer or employee of the Metropolitan Sanitary District, the city of Chicago, the county of Cook to have or acquire a contract. It is unlawful for any firm, partnership, association, or corporation in which any such officer, employee, or spouse, or minor child thereof is entitled to receive more than 7 1/2 of the total distributable income. It is unlawful for any firm, partnership, association, or corporation in which any such officer or employee together with his or her spouse or minor children is entitled to receive in aggregate more than 15% of the total distribut... distributal income. And I would move for passage of Amendment #2."

Speaker Matijevich: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Piel has moved for the adoption of, I believe this is Amendment #1 now."

Piel: "OK. Amendment #1."

Speaker Matijevich: "#1. On that the gentleman from Cook, Representative Collins."

Collins: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Really, it's beyond me how the Sponsor of the Bill can summarily dismiss the Amendment which is a very good Amendment and as a matter of fact is in... is the exact language from the State Purchasing Act so I would assume that he would summarily dismiss the Purchasing Act too. And that may suit his purposes, but I see no reason why a government or a municipality such as the Metropolitan Sanitary District shouldn't be responsible for the same type of regulation as our state agencies in meeting the compliance in being in compliance with the State Purchasing Act. This is an excellent Bill. It just prohibits the Sanitary District from having contracts with relatives



of Sanitary District officers, employees of the District or the city of Chicago or the county of Cook. Now what's wrong with that. We certainly don't want to indulge any in this type of nepotism or the city or... elected or appointed officials doing so. I would suggest that this is... is an excellent Amendment. It doesn't put any undue restrictions on business. It only applies... the prohibition only applies to any business where a relative has a 7 1/2% interest or the family in the aggregate has 15. So we're talking about substantial interest. We're not talking about owning a few shares of stock or what not. So, as I said, the outset... I'm amazed that the Sponsor would even suggest that this Amendment be rejected. As a matter of fact, he should applaud it and embrace it because it certainly would make his Bill a very good Bill and I would ask all Members of the House to join in support of this Amendment."

Speaker Matijeich: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Leverenz to embrace the Amendment."

Leverenz: "Thank you very much Mr. Speaker. I understand, or lead to believe that those regulations are already in the rules and regulations determining the contract awards for the Metropolitan Sanitary District and I think this Amendment would be useless and only a duplication. I would resist the Amendment and ask that you leave the Bill in the shape that I have it. Thank you."

Speaker Matijeich: "The gentleman from Cook, the Majority Leader, Representative Madigan on the Amendment."

Madigan: "Question of the Sponsor."

Speaker Matijeich: "He indicates he'll yield."

Madigan: "Mr. Piel, as I read the first paragraph you not only are attempting to prohibit someone who is either an officer or an employee of the Sanitary District from having an interest in the contract with the Sanitary



District, but you're also attempting to prevent someone who is either an officer or an employee of the city of Chicago of the county of Cook to have an interest in a contract with the Sanitary District. Is that true?"

Piel: "That's correct Mike."

Madigan: "What's the argument on behalf of people who may be involved with other governmental bodies?"

Piel: "Basically, what we're doing there's an awful close tie there between the Sanitary District, the county of Cook, and the city of Chicago and what you've got right now it's a basic, you know, Bill to cut out the conflict of interest. The Bill, the way it states right now, is that they present a high or a low bid. So if you and I bid on this and we are tied for the low bid and somebody else is higher than we are and it was a high bid type of a situation or flip-flop it around, that somebody has a low bid and you and I are tied for the high bid that this purchasing agent can award it to you or I. It opens the... you know... I think it's very obvious that this Bill opens up the graft and corruption. This Amendment is to try and cut that, you know, down to a severe minimum."

Madigan; "Do you feel that there's a conflict situation if someone has an interest with the city of Chicago and also with the Sanitary District?"

Piel: "I would throw it right back in your lap. You mean to tell me that you don't think that there's a close tie between the city of Chicago and the Metropolitan Sanitary District? Heaven forbid."

Madigan: "It depends on, you know, you say a close tie. For many, many years there's always been a working relationship between the two bodies because one body services the other. The Sanitary District does service the city of Chicago as far as the city sewer system is



concerned. But if you're speaking in terms of a direct legal connection, I would respond "no". And my... my question to you is, you know... do you feel that there is a conflict situation here? And when I say a conflict situation I mean that in a legal sense that someone is involved in two places and should not be."

Piel: "I believe there is definitely the possibility of a conflict and I think that's what this Amendment is to cover."

Madigan: "You didn't answer my question."

Piel: "You asked me if I felt that there was a legal conflict and I said that I believe that it opens up the possible conflict and that was what this Amendment is to cover. The possibility of any conflict."

Madigan: "Why would you not include the local governments in your district?"

Piel: "If you would like to add an Amendment..."

Madigan: "No... I... It's not my Amendment. You're name is on the Amendment, but, you know, why would you not include the town of Harvey or South Holland or any of the numerous local governments in your legislative district including maybe some local sanitary districts that are located out south?"

Piel: "I'll tell you what I'll do. If he wants to hold it on Second Reading I'll draw up an Amendment to include all them. If... Harvey, South Holland and any other ones that you... as long we leave Chicago and Cook County on there. Harvey and South Holland happens to be in Cook County, Mike, I just didn't know if you ever got out south and I just..."

Madigan: "Oh, many times. Sure. Many times. Mr. Piel, I understand your desire to get a few headlines in the local newspaper but they may not come out the way you want them and I would say that the Amend... The Amendment is ill-founded. If you feel that there



is a conflict situation between people who may be involved with either the city of Chicago or the county of Cook and the Sanitary District also. What you're saying is that a contractor, and it could be you as a contractor, could not have simultaneous contracts at the city of Chicago or the county of Cook and the Sanitary District."

Piel: "... what you're... I'm saying right here, it's a officer or an employee of."

Madigan: "Fine. And if a person could be an officer of other governmental bodies and have an interest in a company that would do work for the Sanitary District and that would be prohibited and there's no legal basis for that. I understand what you're attempting to do. But I'm saying to you that it's not well founded."

Piel: "That's you're opinion."

Speaker Matijevich: "The gentleman from St. Clair, Representative Flinn."

Flinn: "Well Mr. Speaker, Representative Piel's got himself in a position to where he can't make this motion so I move the previous question."

Speaker Matijevich: "Why don't you hold it for one. Representative Telcser's a leader and he wanted to be heard on this."

Flinn: "I withdraw the motion."

Speaker Matijevich: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "Just... Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, it seems that the issues regarding Representative Piel have been well delineated... delineated. I, frankly, can't see why any Member of the House would not support the gentleman's Amendment. The Sponsor of the Bill has stated, I believe, that the... the District has already adopted what's embodied in the Amendment in their rules so all we would be doing is simply codifying what the District is already doing. Secondly, the



Sponsor of the Amendment has very graciously offered to redraft his Amendment to include any and all municipalities in the suburban Cook County area. However, the Sponsor of the Bill does not... apparently, does not wish to take him up on his offer. Representative Piel sincerity is quite clear. This is part of the State Purchasing Act. I might add that the rationale behind the gentleman's Amendment is part of our own Contingency Expense Law. As all of you know, we cannot hire out of our \$17,000 a year any relative and those relatives are defined in the Act. We cannot lease office space from our \$17,000 a year if we or any relatives own the property. All of these rules and regulations and laws are designed to avoid a possible conflict of interest... to avoid a possible conflict on interest which might occur. And so Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I rise to support the gentleman's Amendment. I think the debate has been fine. The issue has been set out and I sincerely hope that Members of the House will support his Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Piel to close."

Piel: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House."

Speaker Matijevich: "Just one moment. The gentleman from Cook, Representative Leverenz for what purpose do you rise?"

Leverenz: "Just to make a inquiry as to the germaness of the Bill because it goes further than the Purchasing Act for the Metropolitan Sanitary District."

Speaker Matijevich: "Hold a second. We'll look at the Bill. Is Representative Epstein within earshot? Gentleman from Cook, Representative Marovitz for what purpose do you rise?"

Marovitz: "Well I have a question of the Sponsor. It was my



understanding that he wanted to put in..."

Speaker Matijevich: "You're too... you're out of order.

You're too late. He's already closed. We're just checking out the germaness.

Marovitz: "Mr. Speaker, I understand that he has not closed yet and debate has not been closed."

Speaker Matijevich: "He is in the process of closing when a point of order was made on germaness. Chair rules the Amendment germane. Representative Piel to continue his close."

Piel: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I think we've basically told you exactly what the Amendment's in there. You've got the Amendment on your desk and I would move for the adoption of Amendment #1. Thank you very much."

Speaker Matijevich: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Piel has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1. Those in favor vote... The gentleman from Cook, Representative Leverenz for what purpose do you rise?"

Leverenz: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to take the Bill out of the record and allow the Sponsor of this Amendment to draft the Amendment he wanted to before. For South Holland, etc... and Harvey."

Speaker Matijevich: "Leave to take the Bill out of the record. Out of the record. House Bill 1712."

Unknown: "Mr. Speaker, could I ask a question?"

Speaker Matijevich: "You're too late. House Bill 1712. Go over and walk over and ask the question".

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1712. A Bill for an Act creating Violent Crime Study Commission. Second Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Matijevich: "I've been told there's a PA system in the balcony. I don't hear it. Oh. Close the door up... Would you close that door up in the balcony? Alright. Thank you. House Bill 1712, Jaffe."

Clerk O'Brien: "No Committee Amendments."



Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Schlickman. Amends House Bill 1712 by deleting line 11 and 12 and so forth."

Speaker Matijevich: "Who's Amendment Jack? The gentleman from Cook, Representative Schlickman on Amendment #1."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. As the Bill was introduced to provide for a four year term for the proposed new commission, Amendment #1 reduces the initial term of the commission from four to two or for the present biennium. I would move for its adoption."

Speaker Matijevich: "The gentleman has moved the adoption and it's agreed. All in favor say 'aye', oppose 'no' and Amendment #1 is adopted. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. ... 1787, Rigney."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1787. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Hospital District Law. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Rigney. Amends House Bill 1787 on page four by deleting line 23 and so forth."

Speaker Matijevich: "The gentleman from... is that Rigney's Amendment? Rigney and Stephenson right? Yeah, Stephenson. Gentleman from Stephenson, Rigney on Amendment #1."

Rigney: "Mr. Speaker, leave to table Amendment 1. It's technically incorrect."

Speaker Matijevich: "Leave to table the Amendment. Leave. And Amendment #1 is tabled. Further Amendments. Third Reading. Oh. 1 is withdrawn. This is Amendment... further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2, Rigney. Amends House Bill 1787 on page four by deleting line 23 and so forth."

Rigney: "Mr. Speaker, Amendment #2 merely spells out the procedure for notification anytime that a hospital is



going to be issuing bonds."

Speaker Matijevich: "All in favor of Amendment #1 (sic) say 'aye', oppose 'no' and Amendment #1 (sic) is adopted. Further... 2 rather. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 1804, Gene Barnes."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1804. A Bill for an Act in relation to the establishment of public transit employees training program. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Matijevich: "Any motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, E.M. Barnes. Amends House Bill 1804 on page two, line 16 by deleting 'totaling a minimum of 20 hours' and so forth."

Speaker Matijevich: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Barnes on Amendment #2."

Barnes: "Thank you very much. Well, Mr. Speaker, this was discussed... Members of the House. This was discussed in Committee and what this Amendment does is give the authority more flexibility relative to the number of hours necessary for the training under this program and I would move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Gentleman's moved the adoption of Amendment #2. All... No discussion. All in favor say 'aye', oppose 'no' and Amendment #2 is adopted. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 1812, Cullerton."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1812. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act relating to contractors and materialman liens. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."



Speaker Matijevich: "Any motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, Cullerton. Amends House Bill 1812 on page one, line two by inserting after 'liens' the following: 'known as mechanics liens' and so forth."

Speaker Matijevich: "The... On Amendment #2, is that Cullerton's? The gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Mr. Speaker, this is an Amendment suggested from Enrolling and Engrossing. It just adds the words 'know as mechanic liens' after the word 'liens' on line two and on line seven. I move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #2. All in favor say 'aye', oppose 'no' and Amendment #2 is adopted. Further Amendment."

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 1906, Collins."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1906. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act concerning currency exchanges. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Collins. Amends House Bill 1906 on page three by deleting line 8, 9, and 10."

Speaker Matijevich: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Collins on Amendment #1. You've got to be kidding. It's a good Amendment. He forgot what it does. Oh, that one. Gentleman from Cook."

Collins: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. You can understand why I may forget this. It's such a complicated Amendment. It changes the word 'shall' to 'may'."

Speaker Matijevich: "That can get complicated sometimes. The gentleman from Cook, Representative Collins has moved for the adoption of Amendment 1 to House Bill 1906."



No discussion. All in favor say 'aye', oppose 'no' and Amendment #1 is adopted. Further Amendment."

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 2019, Stearney."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2019. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Insurance Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Matijevich: "Any motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Matijevich: "Floor Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #3, Stearney. Amends House Bill 2019 on page one..."

Speaker Matijevich: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Stearney on Amendment #3."

Stearney: "I... Mr. Speaker, I move to withdraw Amendment #3."

Speaker Matijevich: "Leave to withdraw Amendment #3. It's withdrawn. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #4, Stearney. Amends House Bill 2019 as amended in paragraph five and so forth."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Stearney on Amendment #4."

Stearney: "Mr. Speaker, Amendment #4 deletes the word... words '60 days' and inserts the word '90 days' in lieu thereof."

Speaker Matijevich: "The gentleman moves the adoption of Amendment #4. No discussion. All in favor say 'aye', oppose 'no' and Amendment #4 is adopted. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #5, Stearney. Amends House Bill 2019 on page one, line 26..."

Speaker Matijevich: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Stearney on Amendment #5."

Stearney: "Amendment... Mr. Speaker, Amendment #5 would delete the word... the numbers '1978' and insert the number '1980' and delete four lines and add the word 'arbitration' to the American Arbitration Association."

Speaker Matijevich: "Gentleman moved the adoption of Amendment



#5. Any discussion? None. All in favor say 'aye', oppose 'no' and Amendment #5 is adopted. Further Amendment."

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amedment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 2044, Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, I ask leave to table or withdraw all Amendments... Amendments 1, 2, and 3."

Speaker Matijevich: "Read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2044. A Bill for an Act relating to public tuberculosis sanitariums and treatment programs. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Matijevich: "Any motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Matijevich: "Now, Representative Skinner, you wanted to make a motion did you say?"

Skinner: "Yes, I move to table Amendment 1."

Speaker Matijevich: "Leave to table Amendment #1. Leave and Amendment #1 is tabled. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, Skinner."

Skinner: "Leave to withdraw please."

Speaker Matijevich: "Leave to withdraw Amendment #2. Leave and #2 is withdrawn."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amend... Amendment #3, Skinner."

Speaker Matijevich: "Leave to withdraw. Withdrawn. #4."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #4, Skinner."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Skinner on Amendment #4."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, I think I finally got my act together on this Bill. This Amendment, I think, puts the Bill in the shape that it should be to allow an orderly phase-out of tuberculosous districts if local government officials want them phased out. And I move its adoption."

Speaker Matijevich: "The gentleman moves the adoption of Amendment #4. No discussion. All in favor say 'aye', oppose 'no' and Amendment #4 is adopted. Further



Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 2128, Kosinski. Kosinski. Kosinski."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2128. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Kosinski. Amends House Bill 2128 on page one..."

Speaker Matijevich: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Kosinski on Amend... Amendment #1."

Kosinski: "This Amendment's strictly technical. It codifies wordage to make the Bill understandable."

Speaker Matijevich: "Gentleman's moved the adoption of Amendment #1. All in favor say 'aye', oppose 'no' and Amendment #1 is adopted. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 2218, Farley."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2218. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act in relation to state revenue sharing of local governmental entities. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Matijevich: "Any motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, Vinson. Amends House Bill 2218 by deleting the title and inserting in lieu thereof the following and so forth."

Speaker Matijevich: "The gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson on Amendment #1... 2."

Vinson: "Amendment #2, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, is a good Amendment. It's a good government Amendment. It attempts to cut down cost to the taxpayer. It's an attempt to eliminate one of the worst distinctions we have in this state. We have the greatest number of



governmental units of any state in the country. We can do something about that with this Amendment. It simply abolishes the park district and gives it to Cook County. I urge adoption of Amendment #2."

Speaker Matijeovich: "The gentleman moved the adoption of Amendment #2 and on that the gentleman from Cook, Representative Farley."

Farley: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. While I rise in opposition to Amendment #2, I don't think that by the adoption of this Amendment we are, in fact, accomplishing what the legislation sets out to accomplish so I would oppose Amendment #2."

Speaker Matijeovich: "Vinson, to close."

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, what this Amendment is, it's simply a good government Amendment to cut down costs, to end hoggerly scandals, to reform Cook County government by concentrating power where it should be in the Cook County government. I urge adoption of Amendment #2."

Speaker Matijeovich: "The gentleman's moved the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 2218. Those in favor say 'aye', oppose say 'no'. And the Amendment fails."

Vinson: "Roll Call Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Matijeovich; "Alright. Roll Call. Those in favor vote aye. Those oppose vote no. Have all voted? Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this issue there are 49 ayes, 60 nos, 4 present and the Amendment fails. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendment."

Speaker Matijeovich: "Third Reading. House Bill 2233, Jaffe."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2233. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Matijeovich: "Any motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Motion to table Amendment #1 by Representative..."



Speaker Matijevich: "Jaffe on the motion to table."

Jaffe: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, we have another Amendment that actually cleans up the Bill."

Speaker Matijevich: "Alright. Leave to table Amendment #1. Leave and Amendment #1 is tabled. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment... Floor Amendment #2, Jaffe. Amends House Bill 2233 on page one..."

Speaker Matijevich: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Jaffe on Amendment #2."

Jaffe: "Basically, what this Amendment does is that it makes the rules available for public inspection at school district offices."

Speaker Matijevich: "The gentleman's moved the adoption of Amendment #2. On that the gentleman from Cook, Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "This... this does substantially what Amendment #1 did but removes the time period. Is that correct Representative Jaffe?"

Jaffe: "If you recall, we have at the Regional Superintendent or the school district office and we're leaving it with the school district office."

Walsh: "And de... And the... not the Regional Superintendent at all."

Jaffe: "That's correct."

Speaker Matijevich: "The gentleman... Oh, I'm sorry. The gentleman's moved for the adoption of Amendment #1. No further discussion. All in favor say 'aye', oppose 'no' and Amendment #2 rather is adopted. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 2273, Jaffe."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2273. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Abortion Law. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Matijevich: "Any motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."



Speaker Matijevich: "Floor Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2."

Jaffe: "Mr. Speaker, I think that Amendment #2 was offered by Representative Catania. She's going to withdraw that and then we have an agreed Amendment with Representative Pullen."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2, Catania. Amends House Bill 2273."

Speaker Matijevich: "Leave to withdraw Amendment #2. Is that correct? Leave and Amendment #2 is withdrawn. Further Amendment."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, Pullen. Amends House Bill 2273 as amended on page one and so forth."

Speaker Matijevich: "Lady from Cook, Representative Pullen on Amendment #3."

Pullen: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Amendment clarifies that this Bill concerning fetal experimentation allows fetal experimentation only on dead fetus' or dead, premature infants whose death result from spontaneous abortions which means miscarriages and provides that written consent for this experimentation must be obtained from the... from at least one of the parents of the child. This Amendment has been agreed to by the leading pro-life law firm in Illinois, the Americans United for Life, as well as the pro-life activist groups and I believe the Sponsor of the Bill has also agreed to except it. I move its adoption."

Speaker Matijevich: "The lady has moved the adoption of Amendment #3. The gentleman from Cook, Representative Kelly on that Amendment? No. No discussion. All in favor say 'aye', oppose 'no' and Amendment #3 is adopted. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 2301, Peters. Out of the record. House Bill 2440, Terzich."



Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2440. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to create sanitary districts. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Collins. Amends House Bill 2440 by deleting from page one, line 16 and so forth."

Speaker Matijevich: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Collins on Amendment #1. You didn't forget this one did you, Phil?"

Collins: "I know this one Mr. Speaker but the Sponsor of the Bill is not on the floor and I know he'd want to resist it."

Speaker Matijevich: "He most certainly is. Terzich."

Collins: "I thought... I thought it was Lechowicz. I beg your pardon."

Speaker Matijevich: "They don't look alike."

Collins: "Well I have to admit that. That there is a little difference in size anyway. Yes, Amendment #1, Mr. Speaker, would exempt one... one further position from the exempt positions in the sanitary district and this would be the Assistant Purchasing Agent. It seems quite logical that if you're... if you are... Mr. Speaker... Mr. Speaker... Mr. Speaker will you hold this for a minute?"

Speaker Matijevich: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Collins has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1. Oh. Hold it. I'm sorry. I was... Are you ready to proceed Representative Collins? Representative Collins is getting legal council. You're only allowed one administrative aid on the floor at one time. And that's Leinenweber. Representative Collins."

Collins: "Amendment #1 is technically improper and I'd like to move to table Amendment #1."

Speaker Matijevich: "Leave... Leave to withdraw... table... Leave to withdraw Amendment #1. Leave and Amendment #1 is withdrawn. Further Amendments."



Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, Collins. Amends House Bill 2440 by deleting..."

Speaker Matijevidich: "Representative Collins on Amendment #2."

Collins: "Yes, as I said before Mr. Speaker, Amendment #2 deals with the positions that are exempt from Civil Service. This would put the Assistant Purchasing Agent back under the Civil Service Code. It seems perfectly logical that... that this position being the key position it is should be on some merit system and this would merely put it under the Civil Service."

Speaker Matijevidich: "Representative Collins moved the adoption of Amendment #1 (sic). On that the gentleman from Cook, Representative Terzich. 2 rather. Amendment #2."

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. I am opposed of this Amendment. What the Bill does is, at the present time, it simply excludes laborers from taking a written examination for the position and it does not exclude them from Civil Service. What the Amendment is trying to do is at the current time that there are a number of positions that are excluded from taking a written examination due to their key position and this includes the Deputy Chief Engineer, the Assistant Chief Engineer, the Head Assistant Attorneys, the Assistant Director of Research Development, and Assistant Purchasing Agent. And these are key positions that are need for qualified personnel. What this does is not only take them out of the... It doesn't take them out of the Civil Service because they're presently under it. All it does is exclude them from taking a written examination. I would oppose Amendment 2 to House Bill 2440. It's not needed. They're presently covered under the statutes and he's going after, apparently, one individual and I don't think that's good government. I think that's a hatchet job and I would oppose Amendment #2."

Speaker Matijevidich: "Representative Collins to close."



Collins: "Well, while I would agree somewhat, Mr. Speaker, that a laborer should be treated no different than a attorney and probably should be given preperential treatment, I do think that it is quite logical to have the laborers under the Civil Service examinations. Now this... it's just absurd that we are opening everything up so that we can have a wide open patronage at hiring in this district especially in light of the scandals that we have seen in the past where not only was a patronage dumping ground but there was a... there was a deal where you, for every two party members, you'd... you would hire... you'd hire one labor... one labor member. And now to exempt from Civil Service I think is ludricrous. The... The Amendment I think if anything points up how ludricrous the whole Bill is that there apparently is a move to take everybody out from under merit systems or from Civil Service. I think that the Purchasing Agent and the Assistant Purchasing Agent are in vital and key positions in this... in this district as they are in all municipal governments and I do think that they should be under some supervision and some qualification test so that at least we can know that the person who is filling this position which is, as I said is such a key position, is qualified and is doing a job and is not appointed for any other reason than on his own merits and talents and I would move for the adoption of Amendment #2."

Speaker Matijeovich: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Collins has moved for the adoption of Amendment #2. All in favor vote aye, oppose vote no. Have all voted? Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this issue there are 43 ayes, 62 nays, none present and the Amendment fails. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."



Speaker Matijevich: "Third Reading. House Bill 2471, Getty. Read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2471. A Bill for an Act provide for additional judges in the circuit of Cook County. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Conti. Amends House Bill 2471 on page three, line 32 and so forth."

Speaker Matijevich: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Conti on Amendment #1."

Conti: "Yes Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I first want to congratulate the Sponsor of this Bill for coming up with a fair and the last, in fact, the last two or three Sessions of the General Assembly the County of Cook has been fair in allocating these new judges positions by including some at large members, some from the city of Chicago, and some from the suburbs. As you all know, it's impossible to elect a republican on a countywide basis and there are some 316 sitting judges in the Circuit Court which less than 8% of them are from the Minority Party and Amendment #1 simply... on page three, line 32 by inserting the period the following: 'No more than six of the additional associate judges may be members of the same political party'. Now the Bill itself reads that there's going to be six new judges elected, two from the suburbs, two from the city of Chicago, and two at large but twelve associate judges are to be appointed and all I'm asking for is your help to make sure that out of the twelve that are going to be appointed, six are from the Minority Party."

Speaker Matijevich: "The gentleman from Cook has moved the adoption of Amendment #1. On that the gentleman from Cook, Representative Getty."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, at this point I would just like to question



whether this Amendment is proper in view of the fact that the Supreme Court has undertaken to set out rules under which associate judges are selected and I would ask the Chair rule whether this is a proper Amendment in that like."

Speaker Matijevich: "The gentleman has raised a point of order. Hold."

Getty: "I would further question if this would raise a constitutional problem in that it sets out additional qualifications for this office which is a constitutional office and is filled in a manner as prescribed by the Supreme Court."

Speaker Matijevich: "Yeah. The Chair is going to rule that you may be right, however; those are not procedural questions that the Chair can rule on. They're a matter of substance which the body must determine in its deliberation. And ultimately in court."

Getty: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I would at this time just reserve my time to argue on the merits of the motion."

Speaker Matijevich: "Alright. Thank you. The gentleman from Cook, Judge Marovitz for what purpose do you rise?"

Marovitz: "Thank you your Honor. I rise... I rise, unfortunately, to oppose Amendment #1 to House Bill 2471. To my knowledge, this would be the very first time if this Amendment were adopted that associate judges would be politicized. And, as we know, it is our hope that when a judge sits on the bench and makes judicial determinations they make those determinations independently on the basis of the facts presented to them. One of our finest judges in the Circuit Court, the Honorable Charles Fleck, the Honorable Charles Fleck a good friend of yours and mine. He saw the light and he makes decisions independent of any political consequences and for that basis I, unfortunate-



ly have to oppose Amendment #1."

Speaker Matijevich: "He saw the light when he got married not when he became a judge. The gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "I'd like to ask a question of the Sponsor."

Speaker Matijevich: "He indicates he'll yield."

Giorgi: "Mr. Conti, is there any criteria going into the selection of judges or the additional judges. Do you have any idea of their work loads? Are you speaking from a work loads experience?"

Conti: "Yes, I am speaking from a work load. In Cook County there are 177 elected Circuit Court judges in Cook County and there's 207 downstate. There's over five and a half million people. Over half the population is in one county and we are short of judges in Cook County."

Giorgi: "Well, give me an example of a case load in your circuit as to the case load of an associate judge, for example. How much of a day is taken up of traffic matters? How much credit is he getting for a person that goes over the counter and pays for a traffic violation or conservation violation or just a local ordinance?"

Conti: "Mr. Giorgi... Representative Giorgi, let me interrupt you. I think you might ask that question of the Sponsor of the Bill. All I'm asking for is the selection and the merits of the selection of the judges. I think I haven't been following the dockets of the judges in Cook County."

Giorgi: "That's the point I want to make Mr. Conti. You says there's 207 Circuit judges downstate. There's only 101 counties. How do explain... How do you explain the average of two Circuit judges to some counties that don't have 3000 people in your Amendment. I don't understand."

Conti: "I'm not speaking of the ones downstate. All I'm



speaking is the ones in Cook County."

Giorgi: "But you see, you opened up the avenue of statistics here. You says there's 207 Circuit judges in downstate Illinois. Now there's only a 101 counties in downstate Illinois. There are some counties with 3000 people. Are you telling me there's two Circuit judges in the county of 3000 people and we're paying \$50,000 to two Circuit judges that have maybe a work load of a 100 cases a year, two a week? Two traffic tickets a week? Is that what you're saying?"

Conti: "The numerical figures of the amount of judges downstate has entirely nothing to do. It's not germane to the Amendment that I am introducing. My Amendment is solely for Cook County and the work load that they have in Cook County and if you remember, Representative Giorgi, you were down here last year. Representative Houlahan and I worked together to try to help some of that work load that they complained about that they had in Cook County. Now I'm not familiar with the dockets of the judges of downstate of Cook County."

Giorgi: "Well, let me suggest then that this Amendment ought to come in backed up with statistics as it affects the work loads of associate judges and Circuit judges. Do you know such judicial reform? We've got such a sad situation among our judiciary. It's almost..."

Speaker Matijevich: "The... Yes. The gentleman's making the point or order. You're not addresssing the Amendment and he's correct. You made your point Zeke."

Giorgi: "I think he ought to withdraw the Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Getty. Oh. The gentleman from Cook, Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Question of the Sponsor."

Speaker Matijevich: "Indicates he'll yield."



Madigan: "Mr. Conti, are you in a position to comment on the work load in the branch of the Circuit Court located in Elmwood Park?"

Conti: "The work load in Elmwood Park? Yes, it's very heavy."

Madigan: "What kind of cases do they have?"

Conti: "All local ordinances and state ordinances and county violations."

Madigan: "Do they have any major..."

Conti: "We have some 30, 40, 45 day trial dates set when on just an ordinary traffic violation."

Madigan: "Do they have any major criminal cases? Felony cases?"

Conti: "Very fortunately very few in Elmwood Park."

Madigan: "Would they... Would they welcome more felony cases assigned to that court room?"

Conti: "When we had... we had a tremendous work load when the village of Elmwood Park had the municipal court in Elmwood Park, but we... our capacity wasn't large enough for them so we moved the denials. There's a tremendous work load."

Madigan: "But would you welcome felony cases?"

Conti: "Yes, we would welcome felony cases but we don't have the facilities and under the famous judicial reform that we passed here in 1962 they were suppose to eliminate all of this and build 180 new court rooms in Cook County. We finally got our first one after 18 years in May Park and right now I think their work load is pretty well heavy."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I wish to speak in opposition to..."

Speaker Matijevich: "Proceed."

Madigan: "... the gentlemans Amendment. And I suggest to the Body that is very regretable that at a point and time when many people are attempting to remove politics from the judicial system that we see this Amendment offered which very blatantly requires that in the selection of the associate judges, which according to



the constitution is done by the incumbrance circuit Court judges without regard to political considerations, this gentleman is now attempting in a blantant fashion to once again interject political considerations, raw, partisan, political consideration into the selection of the associate judges and I would oppose his Amendment for that reason."

Speaker Matijevich: "That was his merit selection speech.

The gentleman from Cook, Representative Bluthardt."

Bluthardt: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Mr. Conti is merely offering to the House an opportunity to have the judges of Cook County, the associated judges, the new ones appointed so that half of them are from one part and half from the other party instead of all, at least 95% of them, coming from the democratic party. It has nothing... His Amendment has nothing to do with the question of additional judges. That's the Bill so I don't see where material to ask Mr. Conti about the statistics of the work load of the judges. That's certainly immaterial at this point. It seems to me that those of you, expecially, who oppose merit selection, incidentally I'm in favor of merit selection, but if you oppose it then you ought to vote in favor of this Amendment because merit selection has come about for the reason that the selection of judges is partisan, especially so in Cook County where about 90% of all the judges are democrats. You mentioned Charlie Fleck. Here Charlie ran on a democratic ticket but he never said he was a democrat. If you saw his literature, he just said you'll find his name on the democratic party. It didn't say vote democrat or anything like that. That's an exception. If you want to avoid merit selection, here's your opportunity. Vote for this Bill so that each party will be equally represented on the bench not having 90 or 95% of one party controlling the bench.



I'm in favor of the Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Getty."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I rise in opposition to this Amendment. I would like to point out first to our downstate colleagues that if you see this go on in Cook County you're going to have it go on in downstate. I don't think the downstate republicans would want to have such an Amendment as this. I don't think anyone would want to have such an Amendment of this... as this. As I pointed out before, I think that this is a clearly unconstitutional invasion of the powers of the Supreme Court who are vested with the authority to set out qualifications for the selection of associate judges. I would point out further to make my position absolutely clear that I have submitted Amendment #4 which says that the associate judges would be selected without regard to political affiliation. I suggest to you that if you support the concept of merit selection, or if you support just the concept of good government, or if you support the concept that we ought to pick a judiciary, and we ought to pick a judge to sit on the bench based upon his qualifications to sit on the bench and not based upon the fact of what political party he belongs to, then I would suggest that you vote against this Amendment and you vote for my Amendment #4 which I will offer shortly."

Speaker Matijevich: "The gentleman from Williamson, Representative Harris."

Harris: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I move the previous question."

Speaker Matijevich: "The gentleman's moved the previous question. All in favor of the previous question say 'aye', oppose 'no' and the previous question prevails. The gentleman from Cook, Representative Conti to close."

Conti: "Yes Mr. Speaker, I'm very much surprised at the



gentleman from Cook who comes from a very famous jurist family, Abraham Lincoln Marovitz, that says I'm trying to politicize the system in Cook County. I think that's a little too late for that. That's been politicized since Thompson lost the election in 1926 where a republican hasn't been able to win in Cook County. If there's anything that's close to a merit of selection of judges is this selection that the original Bill that he introduces where two are from the suburbs, two from the city of Chicago, two at large and certainly he's not insulting the intelligence of the electorate in Cook County that they don't know how to vote. But when it comes to an appointment, the appointments are made by the judges of Cook County you know that there's not going to be ... unfortunately, we only have a two party system in this state and you know that the appointments are going to be 12 democrats that will be appointed to that. There's no choice, no possible choice at all of a republican voice on that ticket and I'm glad that Charlie Fleck's name was mentioned. He happens to be one of the very, very, very few that are sitting in the courts of Cook County today that represent the republican party and I want to remind you that there are some 600,000, 700,000 republicans in Cook County that are disenfranchised every year because of the Cook County set up the way that it is today. I move for the adoption of Amendment #1."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Conti. One moment.

The gentleman from Cook, Representative Taylor for what purpose do you rise?"

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, I rise for the purpose of an introduction. We have here some people from our district, from my community, the Lincoln Dental Society of the Illinois Dental Association in the gallery to the left there. Let's give them a hand will you please."



Speaker Matijevich: "That's right. Elmer said you ruined his momentum. The gentleman from Cook, Representative Conti has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 2471. Those in favor vote aye. Those oppose vote no. The gentleman from Cook, Representa... from Macon, Representative Borchers."

Borchers: "Mr. Speaker, in relation to this Amendment for which I certainly am for. I... was mentioned that we, from downstate, should not be for this Amendment. Because, I suppose, the Sponsor felt it would be to the disadvantage of the republican party. However, I come from a democratic district. I think it would be very advantageous for us for at last maybe have a demo... a republican judge. I'm a perfect example of the fact that a republican now and then can get elected and I regularly beat my friends over there for the legislature who belong to the democratic party although I'm a republican in a democratic area."

Speaker Matijevich: "Bring your remarks to a close."

Borchers: "So... OK. I should close. Anyway it's time for me to put in my two cents worth for the day."

Speaker Matijevich: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Collins to explain his vote."

Collins: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I'm really fascinated by the speeches given by the other side of the aisle for merit selection of judges and I'm just as fascinated by the umbrage they take at politicizing the judiciary. Well, of course, they don't want to politicize it. They want it one way the way it always has been and when you talk about appointment on merit, why they even issue a preferred list from city hall to tell the judges how to vote for the associate judges. So let's not fool anybody. Let's call it just the way it is."

Speaker Matijevich: "Have all voted? Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record."



On this issue there are 81 ayes, 83 nos and this
Amendment... The gentleman from Cook, Representative
Conti. Poll the absentees?"

Conti: "I'd like to have the absentees polled."

Speaker Matijevich: "Poll the absentees."

Conti: "Then after that's over with I'd like to verify the
Negative Roll Call."

Speaker Matijevich: "Clerk will poll the absentees."

Clerk Leone: "Bowman. Deuster."

Speaker Matijevich: "Record the Speaker no. He just went by
me. ... Shame on you."

Clerk Leone: "Ewing. Gaines."

Speaker Matijevich: "Ewing aye. Kosinski no. Conti."

Conti: "My microphone on please?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Yes."

Conti: "Mr. Kosinski's already on there."

Speaker Matijevich: "Yeah. We know that Elmer. Thank you."

Clerk Leone: "Dave Jones. Kane."

Speaker Matijevich: "Bowman. Woody Bowman no. John Dunn no.
Change John Dunn from aye to no."

Clerk Leone: "Kozubowski. Margulas. McBroom. Peters.
Ryan. Stearney."

Speaker Matijevich: "Is that it? Give us a count. 81 ayes,
83 nos. Do you persist in your verification?"

Conti: "Verification of the Negative Roll Call."

Speaker Matijevich: "Clerk will verify the negative vote."

Clerk Leone: "Alexander. Balanoff. E.M. Barnes. Beatty.
Birchler. Bowman. Bradley. Preston. Braun. Breslin.
Brunner."

Speaker Matijevich: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative
Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you very much. Mr. Speaker, may I be verified?
I'm doing a meeting in the Senate."

Speaker Matijevich: "Leave to verify Gene Barnes. Leave."

Barnes: "Thank you."

Clerk Leone: "Bullock."



Speaker Matijevich: "We're looking to find out where he's at."

Conti: "He's where Brady's at."

Clerk Leone: "Gentleman is recorded as voting no."

Speaker Matijevich: "Take him off. Proceed."

Conti: "Chapman."

Speaker Matijevich: "Chapman. Virginia Chapman just walked out of the boys room."

Conti: "Cullerton. Cullerton. Cullerton Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Matijevich: "Oh. Cullerton. Is Mr. Cullerton on the floor? He's not in his seat."

Conti: "Take him off."

Speaker Matijevich: "Take him off if he's recorded no."

Conti: "Currie. She just walked in, I'm sorry. Dawson."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Dawson is in the middle aisle. Talking to Emil Jones. Preston. Return Preston to the Roll Call."

Conti: "Farley."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Farley is way in the back in his seat."

Conti: "Garmisa."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Garmisa's in his seat in the front row."

Conti: "Jaffe."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Jaffe. I don't see him in his seat. Representative Jaffe is not in the Assembly. Take him off the Roll Call."

Conti: "Kane."

Speaker Matijevich: "Who?"

Conti: "Kane."

Speaker Matijevich: "Hannig."

Conti: "I'm sorry. He's not voting. Kane."

Speaker Matijevich: "Kane is not voting."

Conti: "Katz. Katz has asked for leave to...He's not here. Keane."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Keane is in the back over



Speaker Matijevich: "Vince Birchler, for what purpose do you rise?"

Birchler: "Mr. Speaker, may I be verified, too?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Leave to verify Vince Birchler, Elmer. Alright? Carol Braun. Same thing. She's at a meeting too. Leave. Carol Braun. Gary Hannig too. John Beatty, are you at that meeting too? No. Alright. Proceed."

Clerk Leone: "Capparelli. Chapman. Christensen. Cullerton. Currie. Darrow. Dawson. DiPrima. Domico. Donovan. Doyle. John Dunn. Ewell. Farley. Flinn. Garmisa. Getty. Giorgi. Goodwin. Greiman. Hanahan. Hannig. Harris. Huff. Jaffe. Emil Jones. Katz. Keane. Kelly. Kornowicz. Kosinski. Laurino. Lechowicz. Leon. Leverenz. Madigan. Marovitz. Matijevich. Mautino. McClain. McGrew. McPike. Mugalian. Mulcahey. Murphy. Kulas. O'Brien. Patrick. Pechous. Pierce. Pouncey. Rea. Richmond. Ronan. Satterthwaite. Schisler. Schneider. Sharp. Henry. Slape. Steczo. Stuffle. Taylor. Terzich. Van Duyne. Vitek. VonBoeckman. White. Willer. Williams. Sam Wolf. Younge. Yourell. Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Matijevich: "Questions of the negative vote."

Conti: "Alexander."

Speaker Matijevich: "Alexander's in her seat. Way in the back Elmer."

Conti: "Bradley."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Bradley. I don't see him. Is he in the Assembly. No. Take him off."

Conti: "Pechous. The fellow that took Brady's place."

Speaker Matijevich: "Pechous?"

Conti: "Preston."

Speaker Matijevich: "Oh Preston. I don't see Preston in his seat. Is the gentleman on the floor? I don't see him. Take Preston... How is he recorded?"

Conti: "You take Preston off?"



in his seat."

Conti: "Mr. Kornowicz."

Speaker Matijevich: "Kornowicz. Ed Kornowicz. I don't see him in his... He's up front here waving a handkerchief."

Conti: "Hi Ed. Laurino."

Speaker Matijevich: "Laurino's in his seat."

Conti: "Lech... Lechowicz."

Speaker Matijevich: "Lechowicz is right on the front podium here."

Conti: "Marovitz."

Speaker Matijevich: "Judge Marovitz. I don't see him in his seat. He spoke and dashed out. I don't see him in the Assembly. Take Marovitz off the Roll Call. Oh. Here he is. Out here."

Conti: "McClain."

Speaker Matijevich: "McClain. Mike McClain is not in his seat and I don't see him in the Assembly. Take McClain off the Roll Call."

Conti: "McGrew."

Speaker Matijevich: "McGrew is in the middle... in the side aisle here."

Conti: "Pierce."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Pierce is not in his seat. Dan Pierce. I don't see him anywhere. Take Dan Pierce off the Roll."

Conti: "Sharp."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Sharp is right next to his seat."

Conti: "Terzich. I just saw him a minute ago."

Speaker Matijevich: "Terzich is here somewhere."

Conti: "Van Duyne. Van Duyne."

Speaker Matijevich: "Van Duyne. I don't see him in his seat. Representative LeRoy Van Duyne. With his family. Take him off the Roll Call."

Conti: "VonBoeckman."

Speaker Matijevich: "VonBoeckman's in the back."



Conti: "Jack Williams."

Speaker Matijevich: "Just a minute. Dave Jones wants to be recorded aye. Dave Jones aye. Jack Williams is not in his... Put Van Duyne back on the Roll Call. Van Duyne has returned. Now Jack Williams. I don't see Jack Williams in the Assembly. Take him off the Roll Call. Williams."

Conti: "Deuster wanted to get on. Did he get on?"

Speaker Matijevich: "I don't see him."

Conti: "He asked to get on and he left."

Speaker Matijevich: "He didn't ask me. No he didn't. He was waving but I didn't even recognize him. No he didn't."

Conti: "He asked... He asked to leave. He waved. He pointed aye and he asked to leave."

Speaker Matijevich: "He didn't point at his aye, Elmer, I'm sorry. He was waving and I didn't know what he wanted. Further questions of the negative vote. Put Mike McClain back on the Roll Call. Mike McClain. Further questions of the Roll Call. Now Duester wants to be recognized. Want do you want Don? Aye for Duester."

Conti: "What's the count?"

Speaker Matijevich: "83 ayes, 81 nos and Representative Getty... Are you finished Elmer?"

Conti: "83 ayes and 81 nos?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Right."

Conti: "Yes, I'm finished."

Speaker Matijevich: "Alright. Representative Getty now asks for a verification of the affirmative vote. Proceed with the affirmative vote."

Clerk Leone: "Abramson. Ackerman."

Speaker Matijevich: "Just one moment. The gentleman from Will, Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "I'd like to be verified if I could."

Speaker Matijevich: "Leave to verify Leinenweber. Leave.

Schraeder for what purpose... No for Schraeder.



Representative Simms. Leave to verify Simms. Simms and Leinenweber. Leave. Oh, Schraeder went from aye to no. Representative Watson, for what purpose do you rise?"

Watson: "I'd like to have leave also."

Speaker Matijevich: "Alright. Leave to verify Watson. What's the count now? Should be tied and the Amendment fails. Hold one moment. It may be 83-82. Add Jaffe no and now the Amendment fails for sure. The... the count is 83 ayes, 83 nays and the Amendment fails. Further Amendments."

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #2, Conti. Amends House Bill 2471 on page three, line 32 by inserting after the period the following."

Speaker Matijevich: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Conti on Amendment #2."

Conti: "Well Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, you heard how I'm always trying to politicize the... the judges in Cook County and I don't what arguments they could give me against this Amendment, because this Amendment now doesn't have anything to do with political parties but it's the intelligence of the electorate in Cook County and how they vote. By inserting after the period the following: 'Of the twelve additional associate judges, six shall be selected from the...'"

Speaker Matijevich: "One moment. The gentleman from Cook, Representative Getty for what purpose do you rise?"

Getty: "I rise to a point of order Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Matijevich: "State your point."

Getty: "If you were to examine Amendment #2, you would find that the effective Amendment #2 is exactly identical to the effective Amendment #1. I suggest it's dilatory."

Speaker Matijevich: "We'll hold still for a minute and the Chair will look at Amendment #2. One and two. Amendments 1 and 2. The gentleman from Cook, Representative



Conti."

Conti: "I'd like to talk. OK. Fine. Of the twelve additional associate judges, six shall be selected from the political party who's candidate for Governor received the highest number of votes in Cook County at the last election for that office. And six from the political party who's candidate for Governor received the second highest number of votes in Cook County of that election. Now this is absolutely de-politicizing it. Especially if you have three candidates that are running and if an independent runs second high, regardless of what party it is, that... those six should be from that Governor's vote. This is the closest thing to merit selection for those of you that don't want merit selection and still have an opportunity to... to select you judges."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Chair will rule on the point of order that the ... the Amendments are similar, however; they are not identical. There is a difference so the Amendment is in order. Do we have leave for the same Roll Call for the adoption of this Amendment?"

Conti: "No you don't."

Speaker Matijevich: "I didn't think we could get away with that. The gentleman from Cook, Representative Conti has moved for the adoption of Amendment #2. On that the gentleman from Cook, Representative Getty."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, all of the arguments for or against Amendment #1 should be adopted exactly for Amendment #2. The Sponsor suggested to you that this is merit selection. If you will just simply look at the Amendment, you will see it is clearly not. What the Amendment says is that six shall be selected from the political party of the candidate for Governor receiving the high... receiving the highest vote and six from the other political party. Now that's just exactly the same as what the Sponsor



suggested in Amendment #1 where he said no more than six of such additional associate judges may be of the same political party. I suggest to you the Sponsor of the Amendment wants to make associate judgeships political. They should not be political. The proper Amendment for those people who want to keep associate judgeships out of political affiliation is Amendment #4 which I will soon offer to keep the selection of our associate judges without regard to political affiliation. They should be selected based upon merit and qualifications to hold that office and not merely because they are a member or not a member of a political party. And I would ask you to defeat this Amendment as you defeated Amendment #1."

Speaker Matijevich: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I think that merits a move of time previous question."

Speaker Matijevich: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Terzich moved the previous question. All in favor say 'aye', oppose 'no' and the previous question prevails. Representative Conti, from Cook, to close."

Conti: "I'm very much interested in hearing him say that it's the merits. What happen to the merits before this Amendment... these Amendments and this Bill came in in Cook County before? And secondly, I'm real glad to hear that they adopted Governor Walker as their candidate. He was an independent that ran and if we have an independent win in such other time... another time, we could have six associate judges that come from the independent party instead of the political party. But if they're willing to adopt Governor Walker now, that's a different story. But I do move for the adoption of this and I think that it's time that the people in Cook County and the suburban area of Cook County especially, and for those of you downstate, you don't



know how we feel. We have been orphans of this General Assembly in the State of Illinois for the last hundred years that I know of. Downstate wants no part of the suburban area. Chicago wants no part of us because we're suburbanites. We are the orphans and all we're asking is for the recognition and not to disfranchise the minority party in Cook County and the adoption of this Amendment certainly is fair and the electorate would speak to who shall be appointed. It's by the highest vote that the Governor receive and the second highest vote the second Governor receives and there's nothing political about that."

Speaker Matijevich: "Hundred years. You don't look that old Elmer. The gentleman from Cook... The gentleman from Cook, Representative Conti has moved for the adoption of Amendment #2. Those in favor vote aye. Those oppose vote no. 83 voting aye, 83 voting no. Have all voted? Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. I didn't say it quick enough. On this issue there are 78... 78 voting aye, 77 no and the gentleman from ... Record... Well... I understand that there's some people up screaming so we're going to take another Roll Call. Everybody at their desks. Everybody... Dump the Roll Call. Have all... Those in favor of the Amendment vote aye. Those oppose vote no. Everybody hit their own switch. Have all voted? Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. I beat you that time Phil. On this issue there are 81 voting aye, 83 voting no and the Amendment fails. Further Amendments. The gentleman from Cook, Representative Conti."

Conti: "If Mr.... Mr. Taylor wasn't bigger than I was I'd have to chop his left arm off. He was doing a pretty good job running up and down that aisle. Now I don't want to be dilatory and I think we should be fair about



this. I think the people in the State of Illinois would like to see that we are fair for both sides of the aisle and I certainly don't want to see this Amendment defeated by two votes. I'd like, again, to verify the Roll Call."

Speaker Matijevich: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, you would have declared the result of the Roll Call. The gentleman was not in his chair at the time of the declaration of the result. He was walking around glad-handing people. The rules provide that you should remain in your chair not only during debate but at all other times and Mr. Speaker, I suggest you move on to the next Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Collins."

Collins: "Mr. Speaker, the gentleman, under the rules, is entitled to a verification until you have moved to the next Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "One second. Hold still Elmer. Further Amendments. I talked to my Parliamentarian and we feel the request is dilatory. Third Reading... Further Amendments. The Chair made that ruling because of the similarity of the Amendments and the only... only... thing you can do is appeal the ruling of the Chair Elmer. The gentleman from Cook, Representative Collins. I make that in sincerity."

Collins: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I mean this in sincerity. You should have ruled the Amendment out of order if they're similar rather than say that he's not entitled to a verification because..."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Amendment was in order and I ruled in his favor."

Collins: "... and he's entitled to a verification under the rules Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Matijevich: "In my discussion with the Parliamentarian,



and..."

Collins: "Well, forget the Parliamentarian. You're the master of the rules around here."

Speaker Matijevecich: "I felt that... that because five minutes ago we had a verification on an Amendment that was so similar and Elmer's nodding his head. I think he agrees with me. That that was the reason for it being dilatory and that's the only reason I make that. If it weren't for that I would rule in Representative Conti's behavior."

Collins: "Well, how about the next one."

Speaker Matijevecich: "Further Amendments."

Clerk Leone: "Amendment... Amendment #3, Bell. Amends House Bill 2471 on page one by deleting lines one and two and inserting in lieu thereof the following."

Speaker Matijevecich: "Who's... The gentleman from Cook, Representative Conti... I mean Getty, for what purpose do you rise?"

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, the Amendment that has just been read by the Clerk, Amendment #3, I question as to germaness."

Speaker Matijevecich: "The Clerk will provide us with Amendment #3 please. The Amendment and the Bill. On the point of order raised by Representative Getty, the Bill, as introduced, was a Bill that was limited to additional judges in the circuit of Cook County. The Amendment expands the original Bill to be what is in effect a merit selection for vacancies for all of the circuits and the Supreme and Appellate Courts. By that Amendment, it goes beyond the original limitations of the Bill and, therefore, as limited by the title and is, therefore; not germane, and the Chair so rules. On that the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, that Amendment deals with the same Act, the same subject matter, and is perfectly germane. You know that and I know that. And Mr. Speaker,



I contend it's a political ruling because you and Members, some Members of your party are opposed to merit selection Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Matijevich: "The gentleman from McHenry, for what purpose do you rise?"

Hanahan: "The gentleman has been here long enough to know that if he wants to appeal the ruling of the Chair, he has that privilege. But to debate this after the ruling has been made is out of order and I ask a ruling from the Chair."

Speaker Matijevich: "Well... the Chair will rule that as the leader we will give him the courtesy of giving his political speech. Proceed Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, I move to overrule the Chair. The ruling of the Chair."

Speaker Matijevich: "Alright. There's been a motion from the gentleman from Cook, Representative Telcser, to appeal the ruling of the Chair. The question is... Ted's laughing at me. Ted's... the question is shall the Chair be overruled. Those in favor vote aye. Those oppose vote no. Those in favor of overruling the Chair vote aye. Those oppose vote no. Have all voted? Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. The gentleman from Cook, Representative Telcser..."

Telcser: "Like to poll the... poll the absentees Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Matijevich: "Poll the absentees. On this issue there are 85 ayes, 80 nos and the gentleman from Cook has asked for a poll of the absentees. I know how you feel Ted. Just getting close is bad enough. Poll the absentees."

Clerk Leone: "Bowman. Currie. Kane. Kozubowski. Margulas. McBroom. Reilly. Ryan. Satterthwaite. Schneider. Steczo. E.G. Steele."

Speaker Matijevich: "On this, there being no additions on this issue, there are 85 voting aye, 80 no and the



mo... and the Chair is sustained. Further Amendments."

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #4, Getty. Amends H0use Bill 2471 on line one... on page three, line 32 by deleting 1980 and inserting in lieu thereof and so forth."

Speaker Matijevich: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Getty on Amendment #4."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Amendment #4 would make it absolutely clear that an associate judge should be selected without regard to political affiliation. I think that this is the type of Amendment that all of you should agree upon. I don't believe our associate judges should be politicized. They should be selected based upon ability. I would ask for an affirmative Roll Call."

Speaker Matijevich: "The... The gentleman from Cook, Representative Conti on... on Amendment #4."

Conti: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, if I could have some attention please. And I'm serious about this. If there's ever a fraud perpetrated on the people of Cook County, this Amendment that is absolutely ridiculous. The last election we had, the two Chicago newspapers came out and said that 16 of some of the 25 members were not qualified to sit on the bench, but the strong political party of Chicago did not take heed from what the Bar Association said and slated these judges and they won anyhow. And by saying in lieu of... thereof 1980 with regard to political affiliation of any appointed... appointees regardless of their qualification is absolutely a joke and it's a insult to the intelligence of the voters of Cook County. This Amendment of all Amendments is absolutely ridiculous and should be defeated."

Clerk Leone: "Representative Flinn in the Chair."

Speaker Flinn: "The gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Giorgi is recognized."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to ask the Sponsor a question."



Speaker Flinn: "Proceed."

Giorgi: "Tell me how you can insure the selection of associate judges in downstate circuits and... when all the circuit judges are republican and then only qualify republican associate judges candidates?"

Speaker Flinn: "Turn Representative Getty on."

Getty: "Representative Giorgi, I'm glad you asked that question. The fact is that these judges should be selected without regard to political affiliation."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I know that this may not be the time for the irony but I'd like to make it anyway. There are circuits in downstate Illinois where the republicans are all the circuit judges and they appoint the associate judges and there isn't a chance for a democrat to become an associate judge. Further, the Bar Association, they're so partial, they won't give a qualified rating to a democratic selectee. Further, the Bar Association have been in conspiracy with the judiciary not to give us true judicial reform that they promised us in 1965. If you look at the work loads in downstate Illinois, you'll find it's a insult to our intelligence as to where judges are sitting and how slight the work load is. I think that this is a smear thing. Political appointment mean nothing. Merit selection's a big joke. Downstate Illinois the democrats suffer under republican judiciary and republican said justice. I think it's a crying shame and I thought it ought to be put into the record."

Speaker Flinn: "The gentleman from Marion, Representative Friedrich is recognized."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I'm beginning to wonder if Representative Giorgi's ever been south of Springfield. We haven't had a republican judge in my district for 30 years."

Speaker Flinn: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Collins."



is recognized."

Collins: "Well Mr. Speaker, you talk about a joke. This Amendment is certainly a joke and as Representative Conti quite properly said, 'a fraud'. You're saying that in... after 1980 the associate Circuit Court judges will be selected without regard to the political affiliation of any appointee. Now how laughable can you get? You've got about 350 democratic judges in Cook County and you're telling them that they're all going to vote and select associate judges and they're not to take into account any... account any of their democratic friends. They got to look at everybody. They got to look at republican lawyers. They got to look at democratic lawyers and then beyond that, they have to ignore the orders that come from City Hall on the merit preferred list. I say this is a sham. It's beneath any Members to even consider an Amendment like this. We certainly ought to just reject it."

Speaker Flinn: "The gentleman from Williamson, Representative Harris is recognized."

Harris: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I move the previous question."

Speaker Flinn: "The gentleman has moved the previous question. The question is should the main question be put? All those in favor say 'aye'. Those oppose. The ayes have it. The Sponsor of the Amendment, Representative Getty."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, in closing I would just say, let's make it clear, notwithstanding the comments of my colleague from the other side of the aisle, this would make it absolutely clear that there would be no political involvement in the selection of the associate Circuit Court judges. This is the way it should be. This Amendment clarifies it to make that absolutely clear in our law and I would move for its adoption."

Speaker Flinn: "The question is should Amendment #4 be adopted



to House Bill 2471? All those in favor vote aye. Those oppose vote no. Flinn aye back there please. Representative Madigan to explain his vote."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I rise to explain my aye vote and to comment on the... the folley which is occurring on the other side of the aisle. If any of the previous speakers were familiar with the current system of selecting associate judges, then they would know that the entire process is not at all involved in partisan politics today. And for them to stand on the floor of this House and to speak in opposition to an Amendment which would simply affirm the current practice which calls for the selection of nominees by a committee of the Circuit Court judges and then an election of the eventual appointees by all of the Circuit Court judges, they would know that is the current system. This is simply a confirmation of that or a codification of the current system and they ought to be recorded as aye."

Speaker Flinn: "Gentleman from Lake, Representative Deuster is recognized to explain his vote."

Deuster: "Well the distinguished Majority Leader calls this a confirmation but really it's a confession. I think it is a confession of sin perhaps. A confession of the fact that in the past, up until today, the judges have been selected on a partisan basis but I think we should all rejoice that the Members on the other side of the aisle have finally decided that it's been wrong to put people on the bench on the basis of the fact that they're just democrats. We ought to put them on the bench on the basis of their merits and so I am pleased that this Amendment is offered and we all have an opportunity to support it so that instead of confirming what we know hasn't been the case. We are recognizing a confession on the part of a political party that what has happened in the past is wrong and



that they are setting forward from now on to correct their evil ways and to do right and we should salute them and congratulate them and rejoice in the passage of this Amendment."

Speaker Flinn: "The lady from Cook, Representative Willer to explain her vote."

Willer: "Well thank you Mr. Speaker. I certainly don't intend to froth at the mouth about this Bill as Representative Collins did. I view it as a nothing sort of Amendment. Representative Deuster I think is rather naive in commending anybody for anything about this. I would be for it as a statement of policy if I thought it meant anything, but it doesn't mean anything. I mean the bad thing about is that the people of Illinois might think it means something. You know, to expect non-politization of the judiciary because this is passed, well is just plain silly. You're not going to change the people who select the judges and so it's really sort of a nothing Amendment but I think it might fool the people and, therefore; I'm voting no."

Speaker Flinn: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 91 voting aye, 78 voting no and the Amendment having received the majority of those voting on the question, is declared passed. Is adopted rather. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #5, Bell. Amends House Bill 2471 on page one by deleting lines one and two and inserting in lieu thereof the following."

Speaker Flinn: "I understand this Amendment has not been distributed. The rules are, I guess, that we move on to Third Reading with the Bill. There any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendment."

Speaker Flinn: "Third Reading. House Bill 2563."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2563. A Bill for an Act to amend



Sections of the Revenue Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1 and 2 adopted in Committee."

Speaker Flinn: "Are there any motions pertaining to these Amendments."

Clerk Leone: "No motions filed."

Speaker Flinn: "Any motio... Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #3, Ryan. Amends House Bill 2563 as amended on page three and so forth."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Ryan is not present today I understand. Representative Collins for what purpose do you rise?"

Collins: "Well, Mr. Speaker, if you'd come back to this Bill. Representative Ryan is on his way back right now."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "What does that mean? He's in Bloomington?"

Collins: "It's hard to say. He's in the air but his plane took off at 2:00 from Kankakee."

Schraeder: "Well, that's a good point. I always thought the republican leadership were up in the air and now they admit it. I'll grant to hold it for a few minutes."

Collins: "Just a little higher than yours."

Speaker Flinn: "Out of the record. Request of the Sponsor. Representative Madigan, for what purpose do you arise?"

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, before the gentleman removes the Bill from the record, I think it imperative that we have a stipulation that we will be able to return to that Bill without objection from some of the obstructionist on the other side of the aisle."

Speaker Flinn: "Will all you obstructionists agree to return..."

Madigan: "The nod of the staff person is not sufficient. Where is the subleader? He'll be giving his form speech before he takes the microphone."

Speaker Flinn: "Do we have leave to return back to this Bill when Representative Ryan gets on the floor? Lea... Hearing no objection, leave is granted. House Bill 703."



Clerk Leone: "House Bill 703. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Housing Development Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #4 adopted in Committee."

Speaker Flinn: "Are there any motions pertaining to Amendment #4?"

Clerk Leone: "No motions filed."

Speaker Flinn: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No Floor Amendment."

Speaker Flinn: "Third Reading. House Bill 1687."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1687. A Bill for an Act to amend the title to and add to Sections to enact requiring the teaching of general practice of medicine. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendment."

Speaker Flinn: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1, Dyer. Amends House Bill 1687 on page one, line one by deleting 'amend' and so forth."

Speaker Flinn: "Is Representative Dyer on the floor? I don't see her in her seat. We're on Second Reading, priority of call. Take... Take that Bill out of the record. I understand we skipped over 1170 and we want to call that. Read House Bill 1170."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1170. A Bill for an Act making appropriations for ordinary and contingent expenses of Fair Employment Practices Commission. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 adopted in Committee."

Speaker Flinn: "Are there any motions pertaining to Amendment #1?"

Clerk Leone: "No motions filed."

Speaker Flinn: "Floor Amendments."

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #2, Abramson. Amends House Bill 1170 as amended by Amendment 1 page and line numbers refer to Amendment 1."

Speaker Flinn: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Abramson."

Abramson: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to withdraw Amendment #...#2."



Speaker Flinn: "Does the gentleman have leave to withdraw Amendment #2? Hearing no objection, the Amendment is withdrawn. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Flinn: "Third Reading. House Bill 1704."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1704. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 adopted in Committee."

Speaker Flinn: "Any motions pertaining to Amendment #1?"

Clerk Leone: "No motions filed."

Speaker Flinn: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2, Leverenz. Amends House Bill 1704 on page one, line nine by deleting 'six' and inserting in lieu thereof and so forth."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Mautino for what purpose do you arise at Leverenz's station?"

Mautino: "Mr. Speaker, he just went over to the Senate and he asked me to handle 1704 Amendment otherwise I can run over and get him right now if you'd like."

Speaker Flinn: "Do we have leave to just take that out of the record and let us go on and save time and we'll back up to that one also. Hearing no objections, leave is granted. Representative Taylor for what purpose do you rise?"

Taylor: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I rise for a purpose of an introduction. We have here the eighth grade class from St. Joseph School and their teacher, Mr. Heinz, is sitting in the gallery to the right. They're from the 13th District. Represented by Representative White, Representative O'Brien, and Representative Sandquist. The students from St. Joseph."

Speaker Flinn: "House Bill 1976. Representative Cal Schuneman for what purpose do you rise?"

Schuneman: "An inquiry of the Chair, Mr. Speaker. Are we following the priority of call list with the exception of appropriation Bills Mr. Speaker? I noticed you've



skipped some."

Speaker Flinn: "Yes."

Schuneman: "... earlier... but an earlier speaker was taking the appropriation Bills. What order are we on now?"

Speaker Flinn: "Well, we're on the same priority of call, Second Reading and when the Sponsor of the appropriation Bill came up and asked me to call his, Representative Abramson, I backed up and picked it."

Schuneman: "Well, Mr. Speaker... Mr. Speaker, I have a routine Amendment on a Bill coming up soon, 1639. I'd like to have that called if it's possible. Agreed Amendment."

Speaker Flinn: "We're going to go back and call all the appropriations right in a row. When we get on those we'll call them all. House Bill 1976."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1976. A Bill for an Act in relation to requiring of economic impact study for proposed regulations for the Institute of Natural Resources Environmental Protection Agency. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendment."

Speaker Flinn: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #1, Meyer. Amends House Bill 1976 on page three by deleting line 34 and so forth."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Meyer is recognized."

Meyer: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. This Amendment states that when there is not a... a environmental impact statement called for... an economic impact statement called for that if one of the Committee... Advisory Committee objects, that the Institute will do the... the study."

Speaker Flinn: "Any further discussion? If not, all those in favor of Amendment #1 to House Bill 1976 say 'aye', those oppose. The ayes have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments."

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Flinn: "Third Reading. Back up to House Bill 1687, Representative Dyer now at her seat. Just a minute. Read the Bill."



Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1687. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act to require teaching of general practice of medicine to all medical schools established and maintained by the State of Illinois. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendment."

Speaker Flinn: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #2, Dyer. Amends House Bill 1687 on page one, line two and so forth."

Speaker Flinn: "Lady... Lady from DuPage, Representative Dyer."

Dyer: "Mr. Speaker, this Amendment simply responds to the Committee request to change the Bill from 'shall' to 'may'. I move the adoption of this Amendment."

Speaker Flinn: "Any further discussion? If not, all those in favor of adopting Amendment #2 to House Bill 1687 say 'aye'. Those oppose say 'no'. The ayes have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendment."

Speaker Flinn: "Third Reading. Speaker Redmond are you ready to move with 2054? Out temporarily. House Bill 2071."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2071. A Bill for an Act to revive for the program assistants in relation to needs created by the removal of industrial or commercial operations. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendment."

Speaker Flinn: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #1, Balanoff. Amends House Bill 2071 on page one and so forth."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Balanoff."

Unknown: "Turn off the mic."

Balanoff: "This Amendemnt was suggested by the Committee.. It makes the Act permissive rather than mandatory. That's the only amendment."

Speaker Flinn: "Any further discussion? If not, all those in favor of adopting Amendment #1 to House Bill 2071 will say 'aye'. Those oppose 'no'. The ayes have it. The Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendment?"



Clerk Leone: "No further Amendment."

Speaker Flinn: "Third Reading. House Bill 2410."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2410. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act in relation to state finance.

Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Flinn: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #1, Matijevidch. Amends House Bill 2410 on page one and line 31 and so forth."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Matijevidch."

Matijevidch: "Mr. Chairman, this Amendment was drafted by the Auditor General and is a review of the series of Bills on consultant services contract. It further clarifies the definition of contractual services. I move for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 2410."

Speaker Flinn: "Any further discussion? If not, all those in favor of adopting Amendment #1 to 2410 say 'aye'. Those oppose 'no'. The ayes have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments."

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendment."

Speaker Flinn: "Third Reading. House Bill 2411."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2411. A Bill for an Act relating to certain consultant service contracts. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Flinn: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #1, Matijevidch. Amends House Bill 2411 on page one by deleting the title and inserting in lieu thereof."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Matijevidch."

Matijevidch: "These two Amendments to House Bill 2411 also were suggested by the Auditor General. Amendment #1 to 2411 does two things. One, it converts the Bill from a new Act to an Amendment which amends the Illinois Purchasing Act. The only substantive change is to clarify that the final report is due after either the determination or expiration of the contract. I



move for the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill...
or Amendment #1 to House Bill 2411."

Speaker Flinn: "Any further discussion? If not, all those
in favor of adopting Amendment #1 to House Bill 2411
will say 'aye'. Those oppose say 'no'. The ayes
have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendment."

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendment."

Speaker Flinn: "Third Reading. House Bill... Representative
Leverenz is not back yet. Representative Matijeovich."

Matijeovich: "I filed Amendment #2 to House Bill 2411. Do
you have that?"

Speaker Flinn: "Let us check on that. Just hold... hold on
a minute."

Matijeovich: "It's been distributed I know."

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #2, Matijeovich. Amends House Bill
2411 as amended."

Matijeovich: "Thank you. On Amendment #2 this was inadvertently
left out of Amendment #1 which the Auditor General
worked on. Amendment #2 are compliance guidelines
for the certain reports that are included in the Bill;
the justification memo and summary of contract. I
move for the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 2411."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Yeah, Representative, would you yield for a
question?"

Matijeovich: "Sure will."

Leinenweber: "There's another provision for Amendment 2 that
provides that if these documents are not filed within
the ten day period then the salary of the Director
shall be withheld until the documents are filed?"

Matijeovich: "That's correct Representative Leinenweber and the
reason we had a discussion about that. The Auditor
General, myself, and the... some staff people, and the
Parliamentarian was in on the discussion. The original
Bill calls for the... for us not appropriating funds.
In other words, we shall not appropriate funds if these



reports are not filed timely and so forth. And we had all concluded that that wouldn't be effective because we knew that we had to fund government. However, the... the abuses in consultant... consultant services contracts has been so rampant we felt that the only way that we can guarantee compliance is by this what may seem like a drastic provision but it was the only way to guarantee compliance."

Leineweber: "Well Mr. Speaker, on the Amendment, the Bill itself came out of Executive Committee without... I don't see where the gentleman says that this is inadvertently omitted because the Bill came out of Committee certainly without anything like this. This seems to be a complete after thought. I can conceive of all kinds of situations which might possibly cause a delay in the filing of all of these documents and I just can't conceive of why such a stiff penalty ought to be placed upon the Director of a department who often serves at considerable sacrifice to the State of Illinois. Now, if the Director is continually violating these provisions then we, as Members of the General Assembly, can bring impeachment articles against him and there's all kinds of things we can do. But I think it's grossly unfair to penalize an employee of the State of Illinois who is quite probably serving at the states disadvantage financial anyway by such an Amendment, and I certainly urge everyone to oppose Amendment #2."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Matijevich to close."

Matijevich: "Representative Leinenweber, when I said 'inadvertently' I meant that, and I thought I was clear on that, in the meaning that I had with the Auditor General and the other people all of this was suppose to be included in Amendment #1. That's what I meant. That it inadvertently was left out of Amendment #1. But, I agree with you that this is a stiff measure but



we felt it was the only way to guarantee compliance. I would urge the Membership to support the Amendment because of the rampant abuse that we've seen in the matter of consultant services contracts and I urge your aye vote."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Leinenweber has asked for a Roll Call. Does he have support over here of enough people. I see enough support. All those in favor of adopting Amendment #2 to House Bill 2411 vote aye, and those oppose vote no. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Amendment there are 75 voting aye and 32 voting no and the Amendment having received the majority vote of those voting on it is declared adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendment."

Speaker Flinn: "Third Reading. House Bill 2531."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2531. A Bill for an Act in relation to the registration of applied behavioral sciences. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Flinn: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Skinner. Amends House Bill 2531 by deleting everything after the enacting clause and so forth."

Speaker Flinn: "The gentleman from St. Claire, Representative Skinner. Representative Skinner, this is your Amendment."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, this is such a bad Bill that I don't know whether just to kill the Amendment and follow the advise of some of my senior predecessors that one should not amend a Bill to make a bad Bill better."

Speaker Flinn: "You're moving to withdraw the Amendment?"

Skinner: "I don't know. Maybe we should ask the Sponsor of the Bill. Which... which way makes it harder to pass? If we adopt this Amendment or if we don't."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Leverenz."



Leverenz: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, to the... my colleague from McHenry, probably both ways."

Skinner: "Yes, I think I would like to withdraw the Amendment so we can just have a flat out..."

Speaker Flinn: "Gentleman moves to withdraw the Amendment."

Skinner: "Kill the Bill on Third Reading."

Speaker Flinn: "Hearing no objection, leave is granted. The Amendment is withdrawn. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendment."

Speaker Flinn: "Third Reading. We'll back up to House Bill 1704. Representative Walsh for what purpose do you arise?"

Walsh: "The question was addressed of the Chair before you took the Chair Mr. Speaker of what happens if a Bill is called and passed over. The response was that we may never get to that Bill again. This Bill was called and we moved on."

Speaker Flinn: "We asked for leave, we asked for leave of the House. There was no objection and..."

Walsh: "You didn't ask me Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Flinn: "Well I didn't ask you particularly. I asked all 176 people that were out there if they were there."

Walsh: "I don't recall that happening at all."

Speaker Flinn: "Well it sure.. sure happened. You can take my word for it Mr.... Representative Walsh. Proceed."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1704. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Flinn: "Any motions pertaining to Amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Flinn: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, Leverenz. Amends House Bill 1704 on page one, line nine by deleting 'six' and so forth."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. With the wishes of the



Committee on Education that the Bill did come out of, I agreed to a certain Amendment. Amendment #1, we thought, would address the question of how many scholarships would be provided in the Bill. It is technically incorrect. Amendment #2, I asked leave to table Amendment #1 and substitute the correct Amendment #2."

Speaker Flinn: "The gentleman has moved to table Amendment #1. Did you have leave to table Amendment #1? Hearing no objection, leave is granted. Amendment #1 is tabled. And he moves for the adoption of Amendment #2. Any discussion? Hearing none, all those in favor of adopting Amendment #2 to House Bill 1... Representative Bluthardt for what purpose do you rise?"

Bluthardt: "I'd like an explanation of what Amendment #2 does."

Speaker Flinn: "He just explained. Would you re-explain..."

Bluthardt: "No he didn't explain it. He just said it replaces #1."

Speaker Flinn: "Explain Amendment #2."

Leverenz: "Certainly Representative Bluthardt. In my explanation of tabling Amendment #1, I had made an agreement with the Committee to put Amendment #1 on in Committee. It would provide."

Bluthardt: "I just want to know what the Amendment does not what your agreement was. What does the Amendment do?"

Leverenz: "Thank you very much sir. It would provide for two single year scholarships to community colleges and it made it technically correct. Amendment #2."

Bluthardt: "In other words, it changes it from four to two."

Leverenz: "Yes sir."

Bluthardt: "Why didn't you say that in the first place?"

Speaker Flinn: "Any further discussion? If not, all those in favor of adoption Amendment #2 to House Bill 1704 say 'aye'. Those oppose say 'no'. The ayes have it. Amendment is adopted. House Bill 1639. Oh, I'm sorry. Further Amendments."



Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, Walsh - Mugalian. Amends House Bill 1704 on page one, line one and so forth."

Speaker Flinn: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative... Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Amendment is jointly sponsored by Representative Mugalian and by me and what it does is it repeals the legislative scholarships altogether. It is an attempt to bring to the floor that which Representative Mugalian thought to bring to the floor through Committee by way of a Bill and I suggest to you that it was a good Bill but was defeated in the Higher Education Committee by a very narrow vote. Now what this Bill that Representative Leverenz does is add to the legislative scholarship. Something that certainly nobody, I don't think, in his right mind wants to do. I suggest to you that if the people knew what we were doing here this afternoon with this Bill, what Representative Leverenz attempts to do, they would throw us all out of office and be as indignant as they were over the pay raises. To add to the legislative scholarships is unconscionable Mr. Speaker. Now Representative Mugalian and I bring you a rare opportunity with this Amendment. You can cast a good vote by voting yes and doing away with legislative scholarships and not have to do away with them because I submit to you and I suggest that probably what would happen if the Amendment is adopted, the gentleman would table the Bill. So you can have you cake and eat it too and I would urge you to vote yes on this Amendment. You will also, by doing that, do away with the need for voting for this ridiculous Bill on Third Reading and I urge your support."

Speaker Flinn: "The gentleman from Champaign, Representative Johnson."

Johnson: "The only purpose that legislative scholarships serve



is to find a different way to grant a scholarship without the necessity of going through the process of the Illinois State Scholarship Commission. Other than their tardiness in making awards last year, I think everybody agrees that the Illinois State Scholarship Commission is probably one of the more efficient arms of State Government and they scholarship based on need. They do a good job and they're really an effecient arm of government that really ought to be... ought to be supported. If anybody thinks we're doing anything for the students of Illinois by adding to or even perpetuating the legislative scholarship situation, they're wrong because we're really not. We're just talking about a slide of hand. If we have so many openings based on legislative scholarships in which people don't have to pay tuition and fees it just means that much more money that the taxpayers of Illinois and the other students have to pay to help subsidize this system. So we're really not giving anybody anything except we can go every year to eight people or two people, depending on how you make your award, and say here's what I'm giving you because you sent me to office. You're not giving anybody anything. All we're doing is juggling what scholarships and money from one hand to the other in an attempt to... I guess in one sense, feather our own political nest and I think it's phony and I think the scholarship situation has been one that has out lived its usefulness and the people of Illinois ought to recognize these legislative scholarships for what they are. And as Representative Walsh and Mugalian have said, adding to it... adding to the problem by including these now in our junior college system really adds insult to injury and so I certainly urge support and green lights for this very good Amendment by Representative Walsh and Mugalian."



Speaker Flinn: "The gentleman Henry, Representative McGrew is recognized."

McGrew: "Thank you very much Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentleman of the House. I apologize to take up the time. We're moving too slowly anyway but I just want you to know that the Sponsor of this Amendment is exceptionally aware that we have killed this Bill every Session in Committee since I've been in the House for seven years now. It's a bad idea then. It's a bad idea now. He can't get the Bill out of Committee. He wants to take up the time of the House to fiddle around on the floor and I suggest a no vote."

Speaker Flinn: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Leverenz is recognized."

Leverenz: "Thank you very much Mr. Speaker. The Chairman of the Higher Ed. Committee was most succinct in his remark and the Sponsor of the Amendment is, in fact, correct. I would resist the Amendment if the Amendment is adopted. In fact, the Bill will be tabled. I ask for your red votes."

Speaker Flinn: "The gentleman from Will, Representative Van-Duyn." "

Van Duyn: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. If Representative Walsh and Representative Mugalian don't want anymore... any legislative scholarships, they can give them to me and I move the previous question."

Speaker Flinn: "The gentleman has moved the previous question. The question is shall the main question be put? All those in favor say 'aye'. Those oppose. The ayes have it I believe unless we want a Roll Call. The ayes have it. For those of you, there are several lights on. You do have the opportunity to explain your vote. There's a number of lights on. Representative Mugalian to close."

Mugalian: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like to suggest that when you get



Sponsors like Walsh and Mugalian from opposite sides of the House it may not be the best Bill in the world but it's certainly one you ought to listen to. And I would suggest to the Chief Sponsor... I hear some steam escaping. What is... I would suggest to the Sponsor, Leverenz, this might really elevate himself and in the eyes of the public. He ought to take this Amendment. He ought to go with it and be known as one in the State of Illinois who did away with this pernicious legislative scholarship system. Now, what's so unique about the combination of Walsh and Mugalian is that we combine expediency with the highest principal. Now, I'm sure that most of you have far more applications than you have scholarships to give. For every one you give you are hurting someone else or disappointing them and making life-time enemies. I've had personal experience in that regard. The ones who get the scholarships think they deserve it and that you should have given it to them anyway. They really don't... they don't think anything of it... that you gave them one, but those that didn't get it are convinced that they were ripped off and they're a life-long enemy to you. So let's talk about principle just slightly because we don't talk about principle much here. What business of Legislators in the legislative scholarship business? We have set up an ISSC, Illinois State Scholarship Commission to make these awards on the basis of academic performance plus needs. I think we ought to take the money that goes to these scholarships and give it to that Commission and let them take our scholarships for us."

Speaker Flinn: "The question is shall we adopt House Amendment... Amendment #3 to House Bill 1704? All those in favor vote aye. Those oppose vote no. Explaining their vote, Representative Borchers, the gentleman from Macon."



Borchers: "Mr. Speaker and fellow Members of the House.

Let me just tell you right now I have over 50 applications. I'm only going to choose two of them. One to the University of Illinois, the other universities and you know all about that same as I. But I've got two to give. Fifty at least. I'm only going to give them to the boys or girls that have the highest grades, number one, and the greatest needs. To find the greatest needs I make everyone of them send me not only their fathers or mothers, but their own Internal Revenue 1040 forms to be sure that I'm right. Now this is abomination to have to turn down some 48, 50 young people that deserve help and I can't do it. I think it's a sad situation to have to turn down these people. I don't want to do it. I'd rather be rid of the whole damn business than to be put in this kind of position. As you stop to think about it, that's exactly what you all are in unless you keep it secret. Now let me tell you something else. I remember a few years ago sitting over somewhere... well I won't say where..."

Speaker Flinn: "Would you bring your remarks to a close?"

Borchers: "Alright. Just a minute. I just want to tell you that I know a man, a Member of the Legislature, that sent 8, 9, or 10, I forget which, of his own children using this scholarship through the years he was here. That's outrageous but it happened and I could name and so one the people. I don't want anymore of it. Thank you."

Speaker Flinn: "Repre... The gentleman... The lady from Sangamon County, Representative Oblinger to explain her vote."

Oblinger: "Yes Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I voted no for this for two reasons that most of you haven't even considered. Number one, I kept hearing what's good for the Legislators. You make enemies. You



shouldn't be into this business. You weren't talking about what was good for the youngsters. Number two, there's a whole group of people that never get a scholarship. The very wealthy can send their children to school and the poor get scholarships and all the in between ones don't and it's about time that we were able to do something for all the people of the State of Illinois and I think this is crazy to say we shouldn't be in this business."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Willer, you had your light on earlier. You wish to... The gentleman from Kane, Representative Waddell."

Waddell: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is meeting the defeat that it should but I hope next time that we could increase these scholarships if those Legislators weren't so lazy as to run this thing right we ought to increase them for the Legislature to three instead of one to each section of schools."

Speaker Flinn: "The lady from Cook, Representative Hallstrom to explain her vote."

Hallstrom: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. In Higher Education Committee it was brought to the attention of the Legislators that there are several abuses to this program. I stand here to tell you that I, for one, am not abusing it and I know many Legislators are not. They have Committees who choose these young people. One of the advantages of this is the fact that there is a personal contact with their Legislators whether they win or they loose and I don't think we should do away with any chance to have this personal contact with these young people and as Mrs. Oblinger said, to give the people in between the scholarships, not the ones who absolutely have the need or absolute the ones that have high scholarships. But there are many of them in my district who are very



grateful that they have a chance to at least apply and I ask that you to ask for the scholarship and-I would ask you please for a no vote."

Speaker Flinn: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 57 voting aye and 92 voting no and 4 voting present. This Amendment not having received the majority of those voting on the question is hereby declared lost. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendment."

Speaker Flinn: "Third Reading. House Bill 1639."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1639. A Bill for an Act making appropriations to the ordinary contingent expense to the Department of Personnel. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #... Amendments #1, 2, and 3 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Flinn: "Any motions pertaining to these Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Flinn: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4, Schuneman. Amends House Bill 1639 by inserting after the last sentence in Section 2 the following and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Who's the Sponsor of the Amendment? Who? Representative Schuneman."

Schuneman: "Yes, thank you Mr. Speaker, Amendment #4 is really just a house keeping Amendment in that the appropriation Bill for the Department of Personnel did not contain the authorization that is necessary for the Department to expend funds collected in connection with the deferred compensation plan for the state. And Amendment #4 simply gives them that authority. It does not increase the amount of expenditures from state revenues at all. It merely gives the Department the authority to extend the funds that they're going to collect in connection with the fees and services of the deferred compensation



system. I move the adoption of Amendment #1... #4."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? Questions on the gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment 4. Those in favor say 'aye' aye, oppose 'no' and the ayes have it. Motion carried. The Amendments adopted. Any further Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #5, Winchester. Amends House Bill 1639 as amended on page three, line 18 so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Winchester. Representative Winchester. Representative Schuneman."

Schuneman: "Are we on Amendment #5 Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "We're on 5, yes."

Schuneman: "Representative Winchester indicated to me that he was going to withdraw this Amendment. If he's not on the floor, I think we should move the Bill to Third and...I think we should move the Bill to Third and then if he wants to call it I'll be glad to take it back."

Speaker Redmond: "OK. Any further Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #6 is Winchester's also."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schuneman, are you familiar with Amendment 6? Representative Winchester's evidently the Sponsor of that."

Schuneman: "No sir, I'm not familiar with Amendment #6."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "No further Amendments? Third Reading with the understanding that we will return it back to the Order of Second Reading if need be. I understand that this was taken out of the record because Representative Ryan would have some comment. 2563."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2563. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Revenue Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #... Amendment #1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments?"



Clerk O'Brien: "Amendments 1 and 2 adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to Amendments 1 and 2?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, Ryan. Amends House Bill 2563 as amended on page three and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker, I wonder if we could take this out of the record long enough for me to talk to the Sponsor of the Bill. I've not had an opportunity to do that yet and I feel obligated to do so. And I don't want to do anything that's going to hold up this Bill but I would like to speak with him. Could we take this out of the record for a few minutes?"

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. 2004."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2004. A Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Chain O'Lakes Stock River Commission."

Speaker Redmond: "OK. Out of the record. I understand that this is... 513."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 513. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Motions with respect to Amendment 1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Larry, you're slipping. You didn't draw any Amendment. 1129."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1129. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Savings and Loan Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Abramson. Amends House Bill 1129 on page one, line 28 and so forth."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Abramson."

Abramson: "Mr. Speaker, I ask leave to withdraw Amendment #1."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman withdraws Amendment 1. Any further Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, Abramson. Amends House Bill 1129 on page one by deleting line 27 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Abramson."

Abramson: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #2 makes certain technical corrections to the Bill. It's been approved by both staffs. I move adoption of Amendment #2."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? Questions on the gentleman's motion? That was a motion to adopt was it? Gentleman's motion to adopt Amendment 2. Those in favor say 'aye' aye, oppose 'no'. The ayes have it. The motion carried. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1261."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1261. A Bill for an Act to amend the Public Aid Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading."

Clerk O'Brien: "Fiscal note is filed."

Speaker Redmond: "1679. The program for the rest of the evening is that because a very famous football player from the University of Michigan is visiting Springfield, we will adjourn at 6:00 tonight so that everybody that wants to hear the famous football player can attend. It looks now that we're going to have to work Friday and Saturday and back in Monday. That's the way it looks. Representative Collins."

Collins: "Just curious Mr. Speaker. Was there ever a famous football player from Marquette?"



Speaker Redmond: "Yeah. Labbie Dilwig played with the Green Bay Packers."

Collins: "What were they called then?"

Speaker Redmond: "They were called the Hilltoppers. And they beat Notre Dame at 12th in the state. 1679.

Gentleman from South Bend, Representative Dunn.

We got any motions on this? Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "No, Mr. Speaker, I appreciate your giving us some warning of what's going to happen. There are some commission meetings set for Monday and it might be helpful if we would know whether you're talking about Monday morning or Monday afternoon otherwise we're going to have to cancel."

Speaker Redmond: "I can't hear you. Please be quiet so that I can..."

Friedrich: "I think that all of the Members appreciate the fact that you're giving us some advance warning of the schedule. The question I asked is there are some commission meetings set for Monday morning and I would wonder if this... you're thinking about Monday morning or Monday afternoon otherwise we'll have to re-structure those."

Speaker Redmond: "Ten o'clock Monday morning."

Friedrich: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Where are we on 1679?"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1679. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to Amendment 1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motion filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, Walsh. Amends House Bill 1679 as amended in the title and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative George Ray Hudson will you please stop disturbing Representative Walsh. You have an Amendment on this Mr. Walsh."



Walsh: "Yeah, Mr. Speaker... Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #2 to House Bill 1679 simply includes the city of Chicago in its application to this Bill and I submit to you that I have been having a little bit of difficulty lately with my program, Mr. Speaker, so I've gone full circle and I have got, I'm sure, the support of the Chicago Teachers Union, or at least they supported a measure like this a year ago and I haven't heard to the contrary of a contrary position. What this does is provide that the teachers at residency shall not be a condition of employment for teachers in any junior college in this state including the city of Chicago and the Amendment simply adds the city of Chicago. So I urge the adoption of Amendment #2 and don't see how any reasonable person, including the Sponsor of the Bill, can object to that."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Dunn."

Dunn: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would respectfully rise to oppose the Amendment and urge the defeat of the Amendment. The... this is a good Bill. Adoption of this Amendment may very well kill this Bill. This is a Bill that would authorize all those who teach school in the State of Illinois, downstate to live where they please and that seems to be a note worthy concept. It's almost a statewide concept and we should approve the Bill as it came to the floor and defeat this Amendment. The Sponsor of the Amendment spoke with regard to junior colleges. The Bill amends the School Code and I believe is directed to only elementary and secondary education."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "Will the gentleman, the Sponsor of the Amendment, yield to a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."



Pierce: "Are you aware, Mr. Walsh, four years ago I passed two Bills, maybe it was two years ago, in the Legislature, signed to law by the Governor, that stated that residency should not be a condition of employment for either elementary or secondary teacher or for a community college teacher? Those were signed into law. I have my awards up hanging on my wall from the Cook County College Teachers Union..."

Walsh: "No kidding."

Pierce: "... and the Chicago Teachers Union and I got some corn beef and cabbage one November day down at a big dinner at Plumbers Hall and they... where they honored me. So in view of the fact that I've already led the way and I think you support me at the time, I think you did. You can never be sure. Why do we need this Amendment?"

Walsh: "Well you have forgotten, Representative Pierce, a key part of the Bills that you passed and the key part I refer to are the Walsh Amendments to the Pierce Bill and that said that except for beginning employees, residency cannot be or could not be a condition of employment. So the Bills as they passed provided for that. What Representative Dunn attempts to do with these Bills is... or this Bill, is remove that beginning employees condition of employment."

Pierce: "Well, why would he do that?"

Walsh: "Damned if I know Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "Well if he does that then I think you're Amendment is a good idea. But why do you... why didn't you cover elementary and... you cover and elementary and secondary..."

Walsh: "This is elementary and secondary. It's not junior colleges. I was in error. It's elementary and secondary."

Pierce: "You were in error in explaining your Amendment."

Walsh: "Well, I was in error in making a reference to junior



colleges. Your Bills, you recall, dealt with elementary, secondary, and junior colleges. This does not deal with junior colleges. Just elementary and secondary and the Bill that Representative Dunn has excludes its application to the city of Chicago. So with his Bill there would be two different criteria."

Pierce: "Well his Bill then is a very bad Bill. And I think we should look for it and all kill it when it comes on the floor of the House. I don't know who put him up to this. To exclude the city of Chicago. The city of Chicago takes our income tax money from the suburbs it uses for schools. Takes our sales tax money from the suburbs and uses it for the schools. It takes our inheritance tax money from the suburbs and uses it for the schools. And it tells our residents they can't work in Chicago public schools while they ask that the state support them at least 50% of their funding. A school teacher... a school teacher is no different from any citizen. He should be able to live wherever he wants to live. In the Soviet Union they tell you where you have to live. In the Soviet Union they tell you where you have to work. In this country a man should be able to live... or a woman, wherever they wish and work wherever they wish. What happens if the two... if the teachers are married and one works in one school district and one works in another school district? If every school district has a residency requirement they'll have to get separated if not divorced. So I support this Amendment and how anyone could put forward this Bill, I don't know."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Dunn."

Dunn: "Mr. Speaker, there's a very good reason why the Bill is preventive in this form..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "Representative Dunn spoke on this matter Mr. Speaker."



Speaker Redmond: "I didn't hear him."

Walsh: "Well he did."

Speaker Redmond: "Well I didn't hear him. Did you speak on this Mr. Dunn?"

Dunn: "I can't remember. I've a very poor memory."

Speaker Redmond: "He can't remember. Well, speak half as long as you were going to."

Dunn: "I just wanted to point out to the Membership that a certain downstate Legislator said, 'When you can't get a meal, take a sandwich'. That's what we're after here. We want to let the people who work in the Decatur school districts live in Blue Mound which they can't do without this legislation in some parts of the state. It's a good Bill. Defeat this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Walsh to close. Representative Collins."

Collins: "Mr. Speaker, our admittance policies at Notre Dame are a lot stiffer than they used to be."

Speaker Redmond: "That's obvious. Representative Walsh to close. Some of those..."

Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I thought Representative Pierce was very articulate in his support of... of this Amendment and I submit to you that I agree absolutely with him that if it's good for downstate, it's good for Chicago. Two years ago, as I mentioned before, this identical thing had the enthusiastic support of Mr. Healey in the Chicago Teachers Union. I submit to you that if residency... if residency cannot be a condition of employment downstate, it cannot be a condition of employment in Chicago and I urge the adoption of Amendment #2."

Speaker Redmond: "Questions on the gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment 2. Those in favor vote aye, oppose vote no. Have all voted who wish? Have all



voted who wish? Representative Ryan. Representative

Schlickman will you please sit down."
 Ryan: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the

House. I rise for the purpose of an introduction.

I have here with me this afternoon a fellow that spent about forty years in the Congress of the United States and has served our district very well, Representative McBroom and Christensen and I, and he made a visit on us here this afternoon. Congressman Lesley 'Ern'."

Speaker Redmond: "Wasn't the Congressman in Leadership?"

Ryan: "Pardon."

Speaker Redmond: "Wasn't the Congressman in Leadership in Congress?"

Ryan: "Yes he was. He was a whip in the United States Congress."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McBroom."

McBroom: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I would invite all of the other Members to be particularly kind to Congressman Erns. George and I are trying to charm him. We don't want him to file for State Representative next year and we understand he's thinking about it. You missed that Les. You missed what I said."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 66 aye and 69 no. Representative Ewell for what purpose do you rise? You better be careful because I see some green hens that aren't here. Representative Ray Ewell." Nevermind he says. Representative Walsh desires a poll of the absentees. You want a verification of the Affirmative Roll Call? Take a look at Schneider Jaffe Representative Walsh. OK. You going to persist in the poll of the absentees? Poll the absentees do you want Representative Walsh? Representative Pullen, for what purpose do you rise?"



Pullen: "How am I recorded Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "How is she recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Lady is recorded as not voting."

Pullen: "Please vote me aye."

Speaker Redmond: "Vote the lady aye. Representative Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, I'm recorded aye. Would you change me to no please?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ropp. I think what we ought to do here... this is... dump this Roll Call. And the eagle-eye Walsh is watching you. All vote... in favor of the motion vote aye, oppose vote no. Only vote your own switch. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 68 aye and 85 no. Motion having failed... see the majority is hereby declared law. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1873. Representative Schraeder will you come up here? 1873."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1873. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to Amendment 1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motion filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "1873."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McAuliffe."

McAuliffe: "I'd like to offer Amendemnt #2 Mr. Speaker."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2, Capparelli. Amends House Bill 1873 as amended by inserting at the end of the Bill the following and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Capparelli."

Capparelli: "Amendment #2 just puts a maximum on all benefits and I move to adopt the motion."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McAuliffe. Any discussion?"



Questions on the gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment 2. Those in favor say 'aye' aye, oppose 'no'. The ayes have it. Motion carried. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendment?"

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1983."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1983. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Personnel Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Richmond. Amends House Bill 1983 on page one, line one and five and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Richmond on Amendment #1."

Richmond: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Amendment would mandate that when a state employee files a petition to become a candidate for a state office that he must take a leave of absence until he's either elected or the election is over. It also provides that he would be reinstated if he's still available after the election."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Question... Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, this looks to me like a pretty good Amendment and I think we ought to put it on. I have no objections."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further discussion? The question's on the gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment 1. Those in favor say 'aye' aye, oppose 'no'. The ayes have it. The motion carried. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 2055."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2055. A Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."



Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to Amendment 1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motion filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2, Totten. Amends House Bill 2055 on page one, line one and five and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Totten."

Totten: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The reason the gentleman who's in the Chair is in the Chair is because he commands the most wisdom. This Bill of his is one of infinite wisdom and I thought the idea that he had in this Bill was such a good one that we ought to do it for more sections of the state, other than the county from which he comes and is the County Chairman. And I think in his heart, the Speaker probably agrees with me. What the Bill does is require that in the County of DuPage the Election Commission... Election Commission be made up of four Members rather than three..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kane for what purpose do you rise?"

Kane: "I don't think this Amendment has been distributed. In fact, I don't think most of the Amendments we've been going through have been distributed."

Speaker Redmond: "Has the Amendment been printed and distributed? Page says it was. Proceed."

Totten: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. What the Speaker is trying to do in House Bill 2055 is to bring the DuPage County Board of Election Commissioners up to an even number, two from each party. The idea is brilliant and it's so brilliant I think it ought to be extended to all the Election Commission Boards in the state. And that is what Amendment #2 does. It would make all the Boards of Election Commission, including the one in the city of Chicago, even numbered. What's fair is fair. The Speaker has a good idea. Let's make



that provision hold in all Election Commissions and I ask for favorable support of Amendment #2."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? Question's on the gentleman's motion. Those in favor say 'aye' aye, oppose 'no'. I just want to remind the Representative from Elco Village, or Hoffman Estates or whatever it is that there's still a second chamber. In the opinion of the Chair, the nos have it and the motion fails. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 2069. 2069."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2069..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Collins."

Collins: "Mr. Speaker, I'm just wondering if it's your arm or something else that's covering my light up there. I had it on for the..."

Speaker Redmond: "It was."

Collins: "Your arm. Could you just help by removing it?"

Speaker Redmond: "I'll call you on this one."

Collins: "I don't want to be heard on this one."

Speaker Redmond: "2069."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2069. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Valley Regional Port District Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any... Was this Committee Amendments Clerk? Any motion with respect to Amendment 1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, Hoxsey. Amends House Bill 2069 on page one, line nine and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hoxsey."

Hoxsey: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Amendment 2 merely corrects a printing error in Amendment num... 1. Instead of line eleven it was supposed to be twelve and that's all it. Correctional Amendment."



Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question's on the lady's motion for the adoption of Amendment 2. Those... Representative Mautino. Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "What's the Amendment do Betty?"

Hoxsey: "The... this... this Amendment only makes a correction in Amendment 1 because they made a printing error and amended line eleven instead of twelve."

Mautino: "What's Amendment #1 do?"

Hoxsey: "Speaking for the Amendment."

Mautino: "What does #1 do?"

Hoxsey: "Well, I'll have to get that for you. We're only talking about Amendment 2. It gives, basically, a means of... do you have a copy of the Bill there? I don't have it here. All I've got's the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further discussion?"

Hoxsey: "The... Amendment 1 was adopted in Committee and is the Bill. This only makes a correction in Amendment 1 Dick. There was a printing error. The Bill we can discuss on Third Reading. Alright?"

Speaker Redmond: "Any further discussion? Question's on the lady's motion for the adoption of Amendment 2. Those in favor say 'aye' aye, oppose 'no'. The ayes have it. Motion carried. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 2221."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2221. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois House Facilities Authority Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Kempiners. Amends House Bill 2221 on page one, line one and six and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kempiners on the Amendment."

Kempiners: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the... the Bill amends the Illinois Health Facility Act which provides for low-cost loans to



not-for-profit hospitals so that Cook County hospital may be able to take advantage of those low-cost loans. My Amendment #1 to the Bill would provide that any other public institution would also be able to take advantage of those loans if they so qualify and I would move for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 2221."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Taylor."

Taylor: "Representative... Mr. Speaker, would the Sponsor of the Amendment yield to a question? I said..."

Speaker Redmond: "Indicates he'll yield, yes."

Taylor: "Representative Kempiners is this the Amendment that you and I and Doctor Hardon discussed?"

Kempiners: "Yes. This is the Amendment that we discussed."

Taylor: "Then I have no objection to the Amendment, I support the Amendment Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment 1 to House Bill 2221. Those in favor say 'aye' aye, oppose 'no'. The ayes have it. The motion carried. Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2, Taylor. Amends House Bill 2221 on page one, line 14 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Taylor."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Amendment #2 is a clarification Amendment. It just changes 'development disability'. I move the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 2221."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Would you repeat that sneaky Amendment again?"

Speaker Redmond: "Mr. Skinner, please observe the decorum of the House."

Taylor: "I will read it to you. It says, 'Insert in lieu thereof and development disability'. That's all."

Skinner: "Are we going to build more of those homes that cost more than... more per bed than do hospitals? The proposal that Representative Schumper used to come back again and again with?"



Taylor: "No."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kempiners."

Skinner: "Good."

Kempiners: "Perhaps I can clarify this for Representative Skinner. This is nothing but a technical Amendment that the republican staff provided for me and rather than I introduce it, I brought it to Representative Taylor and we discussed it and it does... it makes no substantive change. Just is a technical correction to the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further discussion? Representative Bullock. Question's on the gentleman's motion for adoption of Amendment 2. Those in favor say 'aye' aye, oppose 'no'. The ayes have it. Motion carried. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 2272."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2272. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to Amendment 1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motion filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, Kane. Amends House Bill 2272 as amended on page 16 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kane."

Kane: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Bill, as it came out of Committee, one of the provisions was to repeal Section 18-4 of the School Code and at the request of Representative Jack Davis, this Amendment is being offered which repeals this Section as of July 1, 1981 instead of July 1, 1979. It postpones for two year the repeal of that Section and I would ask the House for its concurrence."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Question's on the gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment 2. Those in favor



say 'aye' aye, oppose 'no'. The ayes have it.

The motion carried. Amendments 2 and 3 adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, Hoxsey. Amends House Bill 2272 on page six, line eighteen and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hoxsey."

Hoxsey: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment 3 to House Bill 2272 raises the add on factor for districts utilizing the Strayer Haig Formulas from 50 to 65%. The general apportionments for these districts would be increased by 65% which would provide them additional funds for the Strayer Haig District. The cost would be slightly over \$2,000,000. I would like your support for this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kane."

Kane: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think that the provisions for the Strayer Haig Districts are included in Representative Stuffle's Bill which is House Bill 513. That provides for a large increase in state aid for the Strayer Haig Districts and I would urge the defeat of this Amendment on this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further discussion? Representative Hoxsey to close. Gene Hoffman, Pardon me."

Hoxsey: "Yes, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House..."

Speaker Redmond: "Gene Hoffman, pardon me. I didn't see his light."

Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 2272 went out of the House Education Committee with the understanding between the Sponsor and some other Members of the Education Committee that the Bill would remain in its present condition. And that... I mention that because it's been mentioned on the floor before and I just want to amplify his opposition to this particular Amendment. That Bill



moved out in that direction. He indicated to the Members of the Committee that he would keep it that way and I think it's for that reason he makes this... this request."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schneider.."

Schneider: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I, likewise, rise in opposition to the proposal. It's my understanding that with the Kane - Schneider proposal that the waiting that is increased on title one will benefit the Strayer Haig and so I think that will serve to deal with part of the problem of the Strayer Haig question. So, this Amendment, although it's directed toward that problem, is partially resolved in the changing of the waiting and I would hope that with that in mind that perhaps the Membership would reject this Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The gentlman from Coles, Mr. Stuffle."

Stuffle: "Yes Mr. Speaker and Members, some of the remarks made by the previous speakers are accurate and to the point with regard to the money that it's in my Bill for the Strayer Haig Districts. I think Representative Hoxsey does have an option here that's worth considering. I would hope, however; that the Membership would instead give their approval to the provisions in some of the other Bills because even though I'd like to see three million more dollars or so go to the Strayer Haig Districts, and there are 229, I don't three million dollars is near enough to be fair to nearly 25% of the school districts in this state and, therefore; I will have to vote present indifference to Representative Hoxsey who has a good idea but I'm afraid not good enough."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Lady from LaSalle, Mrs. Hoxsey to close."

Hoxsey: "Yes, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I am not amending Representative Stuffle's Bill. I am amending House Bill 2272 with this provision. Again, I ask you



to go along with me and support the Strayer Haig Districts to this extent. It may not be all of it but it's a little bit and a little bit might go a long way in the final analysis. So I ask your favorable votes on this conservative increase."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is shall Amendment #3 be adopted? All in favor vote aye. All oppose vote no. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 36 ayes, 63 nos. 6 recorded as present. The Amendment is not adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. House Bill 2495."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2495. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to revise the law in relation to jails and jailers. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third..."

Clerk O'Brien: "Fiscal note is filed."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. House Bill 2500."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2500. A Bill for an Act to amend Section of an Act relating to Crime Victims Compensation Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #2, 3, and 4 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Motion to table Amendment #4 by Representative Catania."

Speaker Lechowicz: "By Representative Hannig? Oh. Catania. The lady from Cook, Mrs. Catania."

Catania: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I move to table Amendment #4 so that we can later move to adopt Amendment #9 which is a technical Amendment to make changes requested by the Attorney Generals Office. It's



identical to what we did with Representative Getty's

House Bill 2718."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there any discussion? Lady moves
to table Committee Amendment #4. All in favor signify
by saying 'aye', all oppose. Amendment #4 is
tabled. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #5 failed in Committee. Floor
Amendment #6, Johnson. Amends House Bill 2500..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Johnson.
It's Amendment #6."

Johnson: "Mr. Speaker, I believe this Amendment is agreed to
by the Sponsor. It just simply deletes the Section
on reference to attorney fees."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there any discussion? Question is
shall Amendment #6 be adopted? All in favor signify
by saying 'aye' aye, all oppose. Amendment #6 adopted.
Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #7, Johnson. Amends House
Bill 2500 as amended in Section 19..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Johnson."

Johnson: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like leave of the House to table
Amendment #7."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman withdraws Amendment #7. Any
further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #8, Katz. Amends House Bill 2500
on page three, line eight..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Katz. Amend-
ment #8 to House Bill 2500 sir."

Katz: "Excuse me. Mr. Speaker, Amendment #8 is an Amendment
that Mr. Getty put on his Bill which I felt was an
excellent Amendment. I don't have the copy in front
of me but it is an Amendment that... that says if the
individual is being reimbursed as part of restitution
in a criminal case that that individual wouldn't be
entitled to receive money under the Crime Victims
Compensation Act because then he would be paid twice."



It seemed like a good Amendment to me and I offered it here because this is... this is an Amendment in the same field and I believe whatever Bill is ultimately signed, it ought to have the excellent proposal of Mr. Getty and that's why I offered the Amendment. I don't have it in front of me but that's the Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Indicates he will."

Schlickman: "This Amendment is in two parts. The first part would eliminate the limitation on benefits or compensation that could be paid and I'm wondering, on the basis of past experience, what is the suggested fiscal impact?"

Katz: "Well, Mr. Schlickman, I had not felt that it had any fiscal impact. I would want to tell you that I simply have taken the provision from Mr. Getty's excellent Bill because... and I really was not noting the fiscal impact. I did not think it had any fiscal impact but I can tell you that I will see that if it does, I assure you that Mr. Matovitz would agree to delete that in the Senate. I had not intended that it would have any fiscal impact and was not aware. What I was interested in was the rest of it and that part seemed to me to be a good idea that a victim should not be able to get paid... be paid twice and that if by restitution he has been paid, he should not be paid again because that would be unfair because then one victim would be paid more than another. And that's why I offered the Amendment."

Schlickman: "Well, referring to the... the apparent fiscal impact, could you arrange to get a fiscal note and file it before Third Reading?"

Katz: "Well, as long as it doesn't involve the holding of the Bill Mr. Schlickman. As a matter of fact, Mr. Schlick-



man, the part that you're taking exception to, not being the part that I wanted to, I would be perfectly agreeable if no one in the House has any objection to simply deleting that language and simply leaving the language that I want. Now if there... I don't want to hold up Mr. Marovitz's Bill, but if you have no objections to simply amending Amendment #8 on the face, I would be glad to delete that language or on \$750 per month whichever is less and simply delete that from the Amendment and then it would have no fiscal impact at all."

Schlickman: "In other words, you're asking leave to amend Amendment #8 on its face to strike in line to the words on page three and all of the line three and four."

Katz: "Yes, that is correct. That is correct Mr. Schlickman. I would agree to that if there's no objection. I would do that then it could not possibly have any fiscal impact and then no note would be required. May I have leave Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman asks leave to amend the Amendment on its face. Any objection? Would you kindly put into the record how you want the Amendment to be amended on its face? Mr. Clerk. Jack. Amend it on its face."

Katz: "It is already in the record. It is already in the record and I will take down to the Clerk the language that will be deleted."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there any further discussion on Amendment #8 as amended on its face? Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, this Amendment is in two parts. We've disposed of the first part. The second part has to do with prohibiting a person from receiving compensation under the Victim of Crime Compensation Act if that victim receives any restitution regardless of amount under the Criminal Code and I simply raise the



question of what benefit there is under the second Section if an individual under the Victim of Crime Compensation Act might be entitled to a thousand dollars but restitution is only in the amount of \$250."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Marovitz."

Marovitz: "I have a question of the Sponsor of the Amendment and..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Well, let him get... let him complete Mr. Schlickman's inquiry please."

Marovitz: "OK."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Katz."

Katz: "The answer to the distinguished gentleman from Arlington Heights is that it doesn't say 'if any restitution. It says 'if restitution has been made'. As I understand, the term restitution means to make the person whole. That's the definition of restitution and so there's no problem with what has been said. Unless the individual has been made whole, then this provision would not be applicable, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Well, I would feel more comfortable if before the word restitution you said, 'if full restitution', and not just the word restitution."

Katz: "I have no... excuse me. I have no objection to that, Mr. Schlickman, if I may have leave to do that on the face. I will add the word 'full'. I believe it's redundant but I'll be very glad to do it. It means the same thing in my opinion."

Schlickman: "That would be OK with me."

Katz: "May I have leave then to... to add the word 'full' before restitution so it's clear that it must be full? Leave Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "The gentleman asks leave to amend the Amendment on its face again. Hearing no objection... Yes, sir. Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "I'd just like to point out, Mr. Speaker, that yesterday I asked for leave to change a 'b', the letter 'b'



to the letter 'c' on its face and was denied that permission by the House. However, I do not object to Representative Katz and Schlickman changing this entire Bill on its face."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Well, in response to the comments of the last gentleman, I should point out to him that it was a matter of personality."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Marovitz."

Marovitz: "I would just like to clarify something, perhaps, with the Sponsor of this Amendment. I want to make sure that the \$750 max per month earnings which was in the original legislation is still in the legis... in the legislation as a cap and that that has not been deleted and that is a maximum so that it is not thrown wide open to whatever the earnings the individual may have."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any further discussion?"

Marovitz: "I need an answer to that question Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Katz."

Katz: "The answer to the question is that it is still in the legislation that this Amendment does not change that in the form in which it now is as approved by the House."

Marovitz: "So just clarifying that this... your Amendment would mean that if in a case of restitution, that restitution would govern and that individual would not be able to recover under the Crime Victim Compensation Act if they had received restitution..."

Katz: "Yes. If they have received full restitution, they wouldn't be entitled to be paid twice."

Marovitz: "What if they had received partial restitution?"

Katz: "Then they would be entitled to because the language now is they must have full restitution. Unless they have received full restitution they would be entitled to whatever is payable under the Crime Victims Compensation Act."



Speaker Lechowicz: "Are you completed Mr. Marovitz?"

Marovitz: "Well, I... I think so."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mugalian.
Mr. Mugalian please."

Mugalian: "Well, two things. I think it's now a great deal of confusion in this Bill. I'm not sure that the Clerk has made the Amendments on the face as requested... as agreed to between Representative Katz and Schlickman. Is that clear? There was a couple of lines to be deleted. That's number one. And number two, it seems to me now that there can be duplicative from the explanation I just received. If it's not full restitution he'd get partial restitution and then the amount permitted under the Act so that he gets more than the ceiling provided for in the Act."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bowman."

Bowman: "Question of the Sponsor."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Indicates he'll yield."

Bowman: "Representative Katz, what happens... what would happen in the situation where the award under the Crime Victim Compensation Act was made first in chronological sequence and then full restitution was made? Could that ever occur and if that would occur, what would happen? Would the victim then be obliged to return to the state the award under the Crime Victims Compensation Act?"

Katz: "The language of the Amendment says 'if restitution has been made'. If restitution has not been made, then that's the end of it. The Amendment doesn't apply. The restitution must have already been made for the Amendment to be effective."

Bowman: "OK. Let me see if I... I understand then. If... if per chance the Crime Victims Compensation machinery works more effeciently in the future than it has in the past, then they are able to process the claim promptly and make the award and then restitution were granted



they would be able to keep their award?"

Katz: "Excuse me. The answer to that is yes but this Amendment, appearing to create such confusion in your part Mr. Bowman, Mr. Mugalian says he is concerned, I will simply table the Amendment Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman withdraws Amendment #8. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #9, Catania. Amends House Bill 2500 on page five by deleting line..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Lady from Cook, Mrs. Catania. Catania please."

Catania: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. This is the technical language change with replaces Amendment #4 which we tabled. I move to adopt Amendment #9."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The question is shall Amendment #9 be adopted? All in favor signify by saying 'aye' aye, all oppose. Amendment #9 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. On the priority of call is House Bill 2563 which was taken out of the record at the request of the republican Members of the House. The gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Schraeder."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2563. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Revenue Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any motion?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motion filed."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, Ryan. Amends House..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan. Amendment #3."

Ryan: "Amendment #3 and Amendment #4 I'd like to either withdraw or table. Whatever's convenient Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman asks leave of the House to



withdraw Amendments #3 and 4 on House Bill 2563. Hearing no objection, the Amendments 3 and 4, Mr. Clerk, are withdrawn. 3 and 4 are withdrawn. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. On the Calendar on page 42 are Senate Bills, Third Reading and the reason why we're going to that order, there's only a few of them but there are some emergency matters that have to be disposed of quickly and it's the intent of the Chair to go to House Bills, Third Reading, Short Debate. First Bill is Senate Bill 160."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 160. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the judicial system FY79 Appropriations Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Could we have leave to return this back to the Order of Second Reading for the purposes of taking off the Amendments?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Leave... Hearing no objection, Second Reading. Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Yes, I'd like to now ask leave to table Committee Amendment #1. I've talked to Senator Rock on the Amendment #1. It was only in the amount of I believe five thousand some dollars, and he can live without that Amendment, therefore; I would ask leave to table Amendment #1."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman asks leave to table Amendment #1. Hearing no objection, Amendment #1 is tabled. What about Amendment #3 Mr. Matijevich?"

Matijevich: "Is three... Is that the Schisler Amendment. If that's the..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "That's correct."

Matijevich: "... Schisler Amendment, I've talked to Gale Schisler and I think he's back there and he's going..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman from Fulton, Mr. Schisler."



Schisler: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,

I'd ask leave of the House to table Amendment #2."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Totten what purpose seek recognition?"

Totten: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Inquiry of the Chair. Was that Amendment adopted by a Roll Call vote? If it was, it must be taken off by Roll Call vote. Digest is not up to date so I can't tell."

Speaker Lechowicz: "We'll check with the Clerk."

Totten: "I believe it was."

Speaker Lechowicz: "That part of the Bill there as far as whether it was adopted by Roll Call or not? It'll be here in a minute. Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich what purpose seek recognition."

Matijevich: "Well, we're looking for a way to do it but because we may be able to do it that way as quick... the Parliamentarian doesn't come. I voted on the prevailing side and I would move to reconsider the vote by which we adopted Amendment #3. And I did vote on the prevailing side."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Fine. The gentleman moved that the House reconsider the vote by which Amendment #3 was adopted. All in favor vote aye. All oppose vote no. Marco aye. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 108 ayes, 5 nos, 2 recorded as present and the House does... moves to reconsider the vote by which Amendment #3 was adopted. Now, on Amendment #3, the gentleman from Fulton, Mr. Schisler. Mr. Schisler please."

Schisler: "Mr. Speaker, that..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "This Roll Call just moved to reconsider the vote by which it was adopted. Now you want to withdraw Amendment #3. Table it."

Schisler: "Leave the same Roll Call?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman has leave to use the same Roll Call to table Amendment #3. Hearing no objections,



the same Roll Call will be used. Third Reading.

House Bill... Senate Bill 485, Mr. Ryan. You prepared to hear that Bill now? Excuse me.

Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, I understand the Governor may be out of town Friday and I'm sure we could have leave to suspend the rules so that we can hear Senate Bill 160 now."

Speaker Lechowicz: "I was going to get right back to it John. There's three other Bills and then get back to it. Senate Bill 485."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 485. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act provide for the contingent expense for the Department of Law Enforcement and the Attorney General. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Well thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 485 provides for deficiency appropriation \$690,500 and transfers \$1,746,100 to the Department of Law Enforcement. I understand that if we don't pass this Bill and get it to the Governors desk by this week, that there will be some 500 people laid off and I would move for the passage of Senate Bill 485."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there any discussion? The question is shall Sen... Who? The gentleman from... well you got a light there. The gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Would the gentleman yield?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Indicates he will."

Hanahan: "Representative, are you aware of a directive that was issued today at noontime to... from the Department of Law Enforcement to the State Police officers, troopers, captains, lieutenants that they now are going to expected as of Feb... as of Friday at noontime to work ten hours a day, five days a week?"



Not asked to do this. Not voluntarily, but for the purposes of patrolling the highways in... under this directive. Told that they must give tickets and no more warning tickets after Friday at noontime for anyone doing more than 55 mile an hour. Is that what this money is for?"

Ryan: "Well, Representative Hanahan, I have not seen the directive. I haven't seen anything in writing on it. I haven't even read a press release on it. I've just heard that the Governor has asked the State Police to enforce the 55 mile an hour speed limit and I really think he should be commended for that. It's in the time of gas shortage and so forth and I believe that's the motive and the reason that he has for that and I would certainly encourage him to do that."

Hanahan: "Well Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, that's a very nice, political answer. But the fact is that while the Governor is saying that the State Troopers got to work ten hours a day patrolling our highways, that even captains and lieutenants and sergeants must spend two hours of their time a day on the highways patrolling the 55 mile an hour speed limit to save energy he's got the gall to go and travel to Europe or to Italy or to Japan or anyplace else and use that energy, that fuel foolishly. He's got enough... he's got enough arrogance to say that he has to go out of town on Thursday and that their... and travel and use energy but he says that the people of Illinois, if you dare go 56 miles an hour that you're going to have to get a ticket and that the State Troopers got to work ten hours a day so that they can save enough gas so he can travel around the world for his campaign for president. I think Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, that maybe this Bill should more scrutinized. It didn't go through any



Committee. I don't see any rush about passing this Bill. Until this directive is made known to the public that if a citizen of Illinois or a citizen of the United States is driving on our highways in Illinois..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Conti on a point of order."

Conti: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm looking at this Bill and I can't find anything about traveling to Europe or Japan or Italy or anything else. What's the gentleman talking about?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Pay attention. Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Hanahan to close."

Hanahan: "Yes, if the gentleman would look at page ten, you'll find it."

Speaker Lechowicz: "OK. The gentleman..."

Hanahan: "Anyway, I'd like to close by saying that I think it's a charade. A charade that this Governor that we have now is putting onto the people of Illinois that if a citizen of the United States traveling on a state highway in Illinois is to receive a ticket for going one mile an hour over the speed limit and that he's compelling state troopers, talk about the lack of moral, and the real tragedy here compelling state troopers to spend ten hours a day on them highways with no increase in salary, no negotiations on this, just an absolute order that they have to do it for ten hours a day, five days a week. I think this is a tragedy in relations with our own public employees and especially our state troopers who defend us and I think this Bill probably should go back to Committee and be studied to see how... why it's so necessary that we pass it so fast."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The gentleman from Bureau, Mr. Mautino."

Mautino: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Will the gentleman yield for a question?"



Speaker Lechowicz: "Indicates he will."

Mautino: "Representative Ryan, concerning the directive that was previously addressed, is there... is there a provision in here for overtime pay? Or how does the overtime pay work when you work over eight hours in the Department of Law Enforcement?"

Ryan: "Would you repeat the question please?"

Mautino: "I said that under our existing provisions of eight hour days and a ten hour day, is there any provision in here for overtime pay or how does the overtime pay work for the Department of Law Enforcement? Do they get time and a half? Would they get half time or what is the situation on it?"

Ryan: "They're paid compensatory time, Representative."

Mautino: "Does that mean time off for time expended on the job?"

Ryan: "Yeah, that's right. That's what it means. They work a couple hours, they get a couple hours off. That's right."

Mautino: "There is compensatory time with this..."

Ryan: "Yes."

Mautino: "Is there anything else? Is there a point to point? For example, is there an hour drive time from your home to where you start? Is there a two hour advantage for drive time on this directive? Going and coming to work."

Ryan: "I really don't know. I don't think so Representative."

Mautino: "What I was concerned with is in many of these cases when this happens, the command post, for example, gets an hour drive time from their home to work; to and from. Is that the same posture that the troopers are in? Are they addressed in the same... the same fashion?"

Ryan: "I really don't know but I'll be glad to get the answer for you."

Mautino: "Before we vote on it, George?"



Speaker Lechowicz: "The gentleman..."

Ryan: "No. No. I can't do that. This Bill... you know, I'm really kind of surprised that both you and the Representative from McHenry that tell me that you represent the working class of this state. The people that work. If you don't pass this Bill today, there's going to be about 500 of them that aren't even going to get a pay check come Friday. They're going to be laid off. They're going to be fired. And... to criticize the Governor for making his trip to Japan so he can get a new factory in Illinois so they can employ your people so you can represent them and collect your union dues every day. That's what he's going to Japan for. And he ought to be commended for it instead of criticized from both of you fellows. I'm really shocked to think that you'd have this kind of an attitude about a Bill that's as important as this."

Mautino: "Mr. Speaker, all I wanted was answers to the questions that I raised legitimately on the House floor. I didn't want to have the gentleman go into..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "I believe that maybe Mr. Telcser can respond to your... to your question."

Mautino: "I have two more too that I would like to pose."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Alright. Please continue sir."

Mautino: "OK. Representative Ryan, there is at one time I had a concern for House Bill 1550 which was addressing the question of overtime etc... and how does this fit into the existing collective bargaining agreement or any agreement that they have with the state troopers now? Is this ten hours a mandatory thing? Compensable, or is it.. is it... is it not?"

Ryan: "Compensatory time, but like all other state agencies, Representative, the Department of Law Enforcement has assumed some higher personnel services as a result of the current set of agreements between the AFSME people and the State of Illinois and there's some money



here to take care of this."

Mautino: "That's the same, in other words, we... we must appropriate the money for something we have nothing to do with in the beginning, as far as getting to an agreement."

Ryan: "Well this isn't new and you know that."

Mautino: "No, I just did want to make that point though."

Ryan: "Well that's right."

Speaker: Lechowicz: "The gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "I wonder if the Sponsor of the Bill could tell us why the Bill is needed besides the fact that the Department is running out of money. Why is it running out of money?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Obviously, they over spent budget, but part of the problem was the AFSME contract and they upgraded some of their sworn officers. They have some higher EDP expenses in personnel and that's why they need the money."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the General Assembly..."

Ryan: "We wouldn't be asking for it, Cal, if we didn't need it. There would be no need to be here to go..."

Skinner: "I'm deeply disturbed on a branch versus branch point of view that the Department of Law Enforcement apparently believes that it is above all the other Departments. It's sort of like we're back on animal farm again and the only Department that gets to stand on two legs is the Department of Law Enforcement. Although, the other Departments had to eat the AFSME agreement. I believe this Department was told by the respective Appropriation Committees in both the Senate and the House that they would have to adjust their hiring and firing schedules or turnover schedules so that the money would not have to come in the form of a supplemental and yet it appears that the Department has not done this. And I don't see why the Department of Law Enforcement should be con-



sidered above the Legislature. When all the others have followed our... followed our direction."

Ryan: "Representative Skinner, let me reply to that. The Department did have a hiring freeze, and an equipment freeze and they went through and they're in the process of that now but that hasn't been enough."

Skinner: "Well is the... the only mitigating feature I can think of was the riot at Pontiac. Did that cost as much as you're asking?"

Ryan: "Those costs are incurred here too."

Skinner: "Excuse me."

Ryan: "Those are some costs from the Pontiac prison here, included in this. That's right. That's part of.."

Skinner: "That's the only legitimate reason I can think of."

Ryan: "Forty dollar a month increase for AFSME due to the AFSME agreement."

Skinner: "Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The gentleman from Wayne, Mr. Robbins."

Robbins: "I would like to reply to part of this as a... over the state, the Department has instituted paying officers for extra duty after they have patrolled their part of the highway. They have specific areas that these officers are paid to work the extra duty time on in order to try to cut down on the deaths and try to help control the speed a little better. Now, the fact that they have had to go to a ten hour week is nothing unusual for a farmer but now look at your Department. They are under staffed and have been for a good long while and requiring these officers to work extra duty because they are under staffed and this is part of what the money is needed for. Now, what do you want your police to do? If you have a freeze on you can't hire anybody else, and these officers are required to patrol the highway... to keep the speeds to where you can get your federal gas tax money. I think you should think about this



before you get all up in the air on this vote. Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Huff."

Huff: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Indicates he will."

Huff: "Well, I just wanted to know, is that a valid rationale that was put forth by Representative Hanahan that the additional two hours would be to maintain the 55 mile speed limit on the highway?"

Ryan: "Well, I would suppose, according to Representative Hanahan, it probably was."

Huff: "But I would be curious to know, Representative, what would the additional"

Ryan: "I'm not sure that I understand you question. I think Tommy Hanahan thought it was valid. I don't know as I do, but I'm not sure I know what your question is."

Huff: "Well, I am curious to know what would the... what would the additional cost and energy expended throughout the whole Department for those additional two hours would be. If you could get that."

Ryan: "I don't think that's relevant to the Bill, Representative, but I really don't know the answer to that either and like I said earlier, I have not seen the directive. I don't know what it says and I understand it was just made at noon today so I can't respond to that intelligently."

Huff: "Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Representative Ryan, could you hold this Bill till we get our hands on the directive?"

Ryan: "I didn't hear you."

Giorgi: "Could you hold this Bill till we get our hands on the directive. It makes a change in our attitude towards this budgetary need."

Ryan: "Well I'd rather not. It's important that we get it out of here today."



Giorgi: "Well, then..."

Ryan: "I'll be glad to see that you get a directive."

Giorgi: "Well..."

Ryan: "If I can get my hands on one."

Giorgi: "George, you said earlier there'd be four or five hundred people laid off."

Ryan: "Zeke, I can't hear you."

Giorgi: "You said earlier in your remarks that there's going to be four to five hundred people laid off from the Department of Law Enforcement."

Ryan: "Yes, that's what I understand. That's what they tell me."

Giorgi: "You know, the Governor came into the Rockford land area on this thing and starts to hysterically telling the people they're going to lay off 400 troopers and then when the Appropriations Chairman in the Senate investigated they found out that there were no troopers involved in the lay off plans. Now, is that true or false? Were there troopers involved or were they not to be laid off? I want to get this straight for the public in Rockford."

Ryan: "As I understand it now, Representative, they would have been reduced to a four day work week."

Giorgi: "But no lay offs? We'll clear that myth immediately."

Ryan: "Well that is a lay off. Yeah, that certainly is a lay off."

Giorgi: "But being on four days like they're going to be now ten hours a day. Now George, I mean Minority Leader, you found \$600,000 from the road fund here. Is that correct? Is that how you're paying this Bill? Road fund moneys?"

Ryan: "There's \$86,000 comes out of the General Revenue Fund and \$604,467 comes out of the road fund."

Giorgi: "Out of the road fund right?"

Ryan: "Part of it is.. Not all of it."

Giorgi: "OK. What about this allegation that the Governor's



doubling his guards? His circle of encirclement of arm or whatever?"

Ryan: "I never respond to allegations once I have more evidence."

Giorgi: "Last year, staff; is it true or false that he doubled his palace guard?"

Ryan: "The palace guard? What is the palace guard? Could you explain that for me?"

Giorgi: "George, it's emanating from the Governors Office. But anyway, you know, I think the people ought to be told that the way they've handled the money and the way they abuse what they call a hiring freeze. On January the second, Director 'Faner' said there'd be a hiring freeze and on January the third they started hiring people till April 15 and they hired 38 people. That's a hell of a hiring freeze. Then they had 160 IBI guys that they floated in all the districts and I don't see where they could be short of any help, but anyway they've really shown poor judgement in the use of state trooper... Department of Law Enforcement moneys and I think there's a sad commentary and the Governor going around the state telling the people that ne's watching their tax dollars when the plan really is to replenish this money from a gas tax increase. That's the public ought to know. He's planning to replenish this money from a gas tax increase if he ever gets it. This is a very terrible situation George."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan to close."

Ryan: "Well, I think the Bill's been discussed and debated enough Mr. Speaker. I would ask for the passage of Senate Bill 485."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Question is shall Senate Bill 485 pass? All in favor vote aye. All oppose vote no. Mike. Michael. Give me an aye. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?"



Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 139 ayes, no nays, 18 recorded as present. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 589."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 589. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act provide the ordinary contingent expense to the Department of Administrative Services. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bianco."

Bianco: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 589 provides for a supplemental appropriation of \$3,305,500 for the Department of Administrative Services to place claims under the Workman's Compensation and Occupational Disease Act. This is an emergency Bill which the Department of Administrative Services needs to meet deadline claims under workman's compensation and I ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there any discussion? The question is shall Senate Bill 589... Gentleman from Bureau, Mr. Mautino."

Mautino: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. In Italian I'd like to say... I think that's his first Bill and I'd like to vote no on it."

Bianco: "..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Schraeder."

Schraeder: "I wonder if the Sponsor would yield to a question."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Indicates he will."

Schraeder: "The Digest speaks of a historical library. Why did they have a deficit?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Bianco."

Bianco: "It's only a transfer of the money. It's not a deficit."

Schraeder: "Why the transfer?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Bianco please."

Bianco: "The... the transfer was... was last year and..."



Schraeder: "If the transfer was last year..."

Bianco: "It was part of the appropriations process."

Schraeder: "Then why are we doing it again if it's already been done?"

Bianco: "It got tacked onto the historical library Bill last year."

Schraeder: "Any reason we should do it again? What was the transfer for?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "There is workman's compensation claims. Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you very much. Actually, it has nothing to do with the historical library in reality. What happened was, and many of us that were here realize, that this was one of the Bills that was humped back last year and that's the reason that it's there and that's the reason you have to, for the supplemental, you have to deal with the same Bill. It really has nothing to do with historical library."

Schraeder: "Thank you Representative Barnes."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Lady from Cook, Miss Pullen."

Pullen: "Will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Bianco: "No."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Indicates he will."

Pullen: "I'll try anyway. What workman's compensation claims are we paying in this \$3,000,000 appropriation?"

Bianco: "These are all state employees, the majority of them are. Many of them are with D.O.T."

Pullen: "Were any of them injured playing ball games or... or watching ball games or slipping on the ice coming home from a party that their boss might have given at his home?"

Bianco: "I don't have any idea Representative Pullen."

Pullen: "That is common in... in other government workman's compensation from other levels of government in Illinois and also in some of the private workman's compensation claims. Are you sure you don't know



whether that was involved in the state one?"

Bianco: "I have no idea. I only know that this is an emergency Bill and the state needs it very bad and many of these state employees are republicans and democrats."

Pullen: "Were these workman compensation claims awarded by the industrial commission?"

Bianco: "Yes."

Pullen: "Then they're probably too much. Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Huff."

Huff: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Indicates he will."

Huff: "Representative Bianco, could you tell me why the Department, last year, found it necessary to appropriate \$100,000 to a consultant firm to tell them that they should move from 910 South Michigan back to 165 North Canal?"

Bianco: "This has nothing to do with that Bill."

Huff: "OK. Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The gentleman from Kane, Mr. Friedland."

Friedland: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I move the previous question."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman has moved the previous question. All in favor signify by saying 'aye' aye, oppose. Previous question's been moved. Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bianco to close."

Bianco: "I ask for a favorable Roll Call Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Question is shall Senate Bill 589 pass? All in favor vote aye. All oppose vote no. The gentleman from Hardin, Mr. Winchester."

Winchester: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. This is an emergency supplement appropriation for the Department of Administrative Services. It's for the risk management program which handles the state disability programs. If they do not get this supplement, then I think Wednesday of next week there will be no more checks



going out to those people who are receiving money now through the workman's compensation or state disability program. I would ask for a favorable vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Griesheimer."

Griesheimer: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I just wanted to mention that although this might not cover it directly, tonight is the annual House - Senate tennis tournament and following tradition we usually have a few lame Legislators walking around and if that's the case, we really want to take care of our own so this is sort of taking care of our own, so keep that in mind when you're voting on this Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 140 ayes, 10 nos, 6 recorded as present. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 591."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 591. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act providing the ordinary contingent expense of the Department of Local Government Affairs. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. McMaster."

McMaster: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 591 involves perhaps two different things. One item being \$4,000,000 appropriation for transfer to local governments. This is no increase in General Revenue funds as to the local government distributive fund which is necessitated each year by, perhaps, more revenues than we expected to have under the 1/12 of the state income tax that goes back to local government. So that's one part of it. The \$4,000,000. The other part of it is that it transfers between various appropriation line items, some \$78,400 in the Department of Local Government Affairs. This is to pay extra costs involved by the



imposition of union pay raises which were awarded after the Department appropriation Bill for fiscal year '79 had been passed by the General Assembly and signed by the Governor. I can tell you the line items that will be increased. Personal services some 55,000 and I can break that down if someone wishes it. State contribution to employees retirement system some \$4400 and again this is due to increase in salaries. We are not appropriating any extra money for these items because we are transferring from electronic data processing \$16,000. Grant for additional compensation for assessing officials some \$64,200 because fewer of CIAO certificates have been awarded since the educational requirements or inquiries by statute. State share of states attorneys and assistant states attorneys salaries were decreased some 11,200. And these funds were made available due to the decrease in student enrollments in three counties wherein the State Senior Institution of Higher Education is located. Also, there's some 3000 made available from the operation transfer of the operation of automotive equipment from property tax administration to central office. This is pursuant to recommendations by the Governor's task force on cost control. If we do not pass this Bill there will be difficulty meeting salaries by the fifteenth of this month."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The gentleman from Will, Mr. Van Dwyne."

Van Dwyne: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Indicates he will."

Van Dwyne: "Is this the... is this the budget for the Department of Local Government Affairs for next year?"

McMaster: "No, it is not LeRoy and you know that very well."

Van Dwyne: "No, well, I was under... I was under the impression that they have merged the Department of Local Government



Affairs with the Department of Revenue. Right?

Or into the Department of Revenue."

McMaster: "Well, I think that still depends on legislative action, LeRoy, and again; I'm talking about a supplemental. Not a new appropriation for fiscal '80."

Van Duynes: "OK. Thank you."

McMaster: "We're still in fiscal '79 with this legislation."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any further discussion? The question is shall Senate Bill 591 pass? All in favor vote aye. All oppose vote no. Would you kindly record Mr. Huskey as aye back there? From Hawaii. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 139 ayes, 16 nos, 5 recorded as present. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 160."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 160. A Bill for an Act to amend the judicial system FY '79 Appropriations Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 160 is the supplemental appropriation for the Judiciary, Executive, and legislative branches of government. It must be passed and we've worked both sides of the aisle. The staffs of the Appropriation I Committee and it's all agreed now. We took off the Amendments earlier that we discussed. I would urge passage of Senate Bill 160."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, it seems to me quite inappropriate that this Bill should pass before we pass a senior citizen property tax relief Bill. Now we in the House have passed it. The Senate is the one that hasn't. Now what on earth could that have to do with this Bill? What is has to do with this Bill was found in the press summary in an article which quoted Director



of the Budget. Budget Director Mandeville is saying that the reason we were able to pay for the massive judicial pay raises was because we didn't increase senior citizen property tax relief one dime this past year. Now I don't think it's appropriate. I don't see any reason that this has to be passed before the Governor leaves the country. A telephone call will suffice to get the thing passed. To get the thing signed. All we have to do is call his assistant and the auto pen will sign the Bill. I think it's in very poor taste for us to pass the Bill at this time. I think we should wait until the Senate... until the Senate acts on the senior citizen property tax relief Bill which we have sent them."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any further discussion? The gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich to close."

Matijevich: "I'd appreciate your favorable Roll Call. Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is shall Senate Bill 160 pass? All in favor vote aye. All oppose vote nay. Senate Bill 160... Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 103 ayes, 42 nays, 9 recorded as present. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Kindly record Mr. Borchers as no. Oh. I'm sorry we're locked. You'll have to come up to the Clerks desk and be recorded. Mr. Giorgi, what purpose seek recognition?"

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I punched a green button and somebody behind me pushed a red button. Vote me aye."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Kindly change Mr. Giorgi from no to aye. Kindly record Mr. Borchers and Stanley as no. And Leinenweber. Kindly come up here and fill out the reports. On the Calendar on House Bills, Third Reading, Short Debate on page 14. I believe we left off at 2234. So the next Bill to be called is



House Bill 2321. These are House Bills, Short Debate,
page 14 of the Calendar please. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2321. A Bill for an Act to amend
the Public Utilities Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The lady from Adams, Mrs. Kent."

Kent: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. The only problem I have with
this is that one of our Members has asked me to
take it back to Second Reading for an Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "What would you like to do ma'm?"

Kent: "I will honor that request."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The lady asked leave of the House to
bring this Bill back from Third Reading to Second.
Is there any objection? Hearing none, Bill's on
Second Reading. Jack."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Wait a minute. Bring the Bill back.
Second Reading. OK. Any Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Skinner. Amends House Bill
2321 on page one, line one and so forth."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner on
Amendment #1."

Skinner: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, this is not a merely Amendment.
This is an Amendment which will require Illinois
utilities to use Illinois coal after January first
of 1980. While there is a Bill on the House Calendar
sponsored by Representative Dunn that would make a
similar requirement as of January first, 1982. I
don't think that's fast enough and I'd like to tell
you why. If you'll look in you press summaries on
page 4209, you'll find an article that was in the
Rockford paper that is dated May eighth. It is
datelined in Catlettsburg, C a t l e t t s b u r g,
Kentucky. It says that a Federal Court has ruled,
Federal District Court, has ruled that Ohio has the
authority to order its utilities to purchase coal
only from Ohio mines. Well, I think that opens up the



door pretty wide in the State of Illinois. I don't see why Ohio should be ahead of us on this. I think there is significant evidence that has been unearthed by Illinois issues in an article that was recently reprinted in the press summary from one of the daily newspapers in the state which indicates that Com-Ed. is buying out-of-state coal, western coal. Not because they can't clean up the Illinois coal, but because through the automatic fuel adjustment mechanism; they are able to pass on the total cost to the Illinois consumer and to increase their profits. I think that that is not a good enough reason. I think we ought to order the Illinois utilities who, after all, are licensed and totally regulated by the State of Illinois' Commerce Commission to use Illinois coal now. Not two years from now. Not ten years from now, but now. This is as close to now as I can get."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The gentleman... the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Meyer."

Meyer: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Mr. Skinner is a little bit off base to say the least. Forgetting the unconstitutional argument of this... of this proposed Amendment and it is unconstitutional and Spring Court of the United States has held that you can't... states can't do this. Forgetting the fact that the Director of the Department of Mines and Minerals, a week ago Friday, filed a law suit against the United States of America on this point. Forgetting the fact that it's impractical that our public utilities have... some of our public utilities have been burning Indiana and Kentucky coal historically over a number of years. This... you just can't do it. They couldn't get the scrubbers in if they wanted to. The Federal Government is in process of adopting new standards, to say the least, that this



Amendment is unwise and unwarranted. Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. J.J. Wolf."

Wolf: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I, too, would like to rise in opposition to the Amendment regardless of what merits it may have. As many of you will recall, we had the Illinois Coal Act of 1939 which required our public institutions to burn Illinois coal to the exclusion of all others except in cases where the cost was 10% higher and, as you know, there was a three judge federal panel and later on, as Mr. Meyer's said, the Supreme Court has upheld. It's a restraint of trade and unconstitutional so I don't think you should waste too much time with this Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan.

Excuse me. What purpose gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman seek recognition?"

Schlickman: "Well I think we're in the Order of Short Debate and perhaps we ought to open it up to Full Debate."

Speaker Lechowicz: "No. If you recall, this Bill was given leave to be brought back to Second Reading for an Amendment. We're discussing Mr. Skinner's Amendment #1."

Schlickman: "Well does that take it off of Short Debate then?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Sure does. It's an Amendment."

Schlickman: "Second Reading. OK."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Yes sir. Mr. Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

I rise in opposition to the gentlemen's Amendment simply because of the economics of the coal industry today. Today in Illinois and all throughout the country utility companies are operating within the constraints imposed upon them by the Federal and Local Environmental Protection Agencies which, in affect, have greatly restricted their ability to use coal mines in Illinois which is high sulfur coal. And if they do wish to continue to maintain coal fueled



plants rather than go to nuclear plants. It isn't necessary to buy coal from the western states or to invest a sum of money which in many instances is not available to install anti-pollution devices. For all of those reasons, I urge a no vote on the gentlemen's Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The gentleman from Macon, Mr. Borchers."

Borchers: "Are we going to continue to always bow for our own states rights and our duty to our own citizens in relation to the Federal Government or the EPA? This is asinine to take these positions. As I've told you before, we have now 7,000 families because of these very rules in the southern part of the State of Illinois on welfare. And the continuation of some of the projects will be another 17,000 families. Our duty is to our own people first and our own states rights and to the devil with the EPA and the Federal Government resolutions. So certainly vote aye."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The gentleman from Adams, Mr. McClain."

McClain: "Thank you very much Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think Mr. Skinner ought to be admired for what he's trying to do. Actually in Illinois right now we have about 300 coal miners out of work because of the stiff sulfur dioxide requirements we have in the State of Illinois. And, according to our statistics, we'll have 7,000 coal miners out of work by 1982 if we don't do something. Well we have done something. The Pollution Control Board has amended its regulations. Environmental Protection Agency has withdrawn its appeal of those regulations. Indeed what we are doing in the State of Illinois now is making significant gains in trying to handle coal mine jobs and Illinois coal. I think the issue that Mr. Skinner is addressing, in terms of the rate being moved from western coal



right directly to our rates in the State of Illinois, ought to be handled by a specific Bill or a specific Amendment. This one, all we're really doing is providing a significant court case wherein the State of Illinois is going to be confronted with a federal suit under the Clean Air Act which Mr. Meyer suggested. What we'll be faced with is directing Illinois versus the United States Government and all we're going to do is instead of making great strides like we have in the State of Illinois; we're going to step back. I think he should be admired for his attention but I don't think this is the right way and I would also urge a no vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The gentleman from Perry, Mr. Ralph Dunn."

Dunn: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I think Representative Skinner has a good idea but it's not timed well. It's out of place because of the environmental standards and because of the fact that Illinois coal does not meet standards and plants that aren't equipped to burn this coal, and I come from a coal mining district it may be a little bit bad to speak against this, but it wouldn't work realistically. I have a Bill that addresses the same problem and I'll be up for and ask you to approve it in a few days. But it's at the date on new plants. But old plants it's just impossible to do this. As much as I'd like to be for it, I'd have to urge that we not support and that we vote no on the Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Totten."

Totten: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I move the previous question."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman has moved the previous question. All in favor signify by saying 'aye' aye, all oppose. Previous question has been moved. The gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner to close."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, if Commonwealth Edison can harness nuclear power, Commonwealth Edison can figure out the



technology to get sulfur out of coal. They're never going to do it if we don't tell then they have to do it. This Amendment, if enacted, will tell then they have to do it. Now I see the... the head of the Morgan-Murphy democratic party Com-Ed. connection sticking its head into the debate. The democratic party from Chicago has had a very cozy relationship with Commonwealth Edison ever since Morgan-Murphy senior got involved in the situation. It seems to me that we should not be intimidated by... by those Supreme Court justices, or future Supreme Court justices who stand on the House floor and say we can't do it. The lack of will has stopped more things in this House than I can believe. There's no reason for us to have lack of will here. It is quite obvious that the coal... the lack of using Illinois coal by Com-Ed. and the other utilities in the state has hurt the economy in this state. The time to stop it's now. This Amendment's the place to do it."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Question is shall Amendment #1 be adopted? All in favor vote aye. All oppose vote no. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question... On this question there are 37 ayes, 85 nos, 2 recorded as present and the Amendment is not adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. House Bill 20... Put it back on Third Reading, Short Debate. House Bill 2321. Does the lady wish to have it read?"

Kent: "Could I keep it on Short Debate and do it now?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "You can do it right now ma'm."

Kent: "OK. Fine."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2321. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act concerning public utilities. Third Reading of the Bill."



Speaker Lechowicz: "Lady from Adams, Mrs. Kent."

Kent: "2321 allows the Commerce Commission to have the forfeit on the penalties for those utilities that are not maybe as large as others to have the penalty set by the Commission up to \$100. That's all it changes is up to \$100."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there any discussion? Is there anyone in opposition? Question is shall House Bill 2321 pass? All in favor vote aye. All oppose vote nay. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 148 ayes, 1 nay, 3 recorded as present. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2322."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2322. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act concerning public utilities. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Lady from Adams, Mrs. Kent."

Kent: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. 2322 deletes those carriers and types of transportation that are not considered public utilities. That's all it does. It... they do not regulate them anymore and so this will just take them out and keep the law as it should be on those who should be covered."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there any opposition? The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "I'm sorry Mr. Speaker, I didn't know that was on."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Alright. This is Short Debate Mr. Bowman. You want to speak in opposition?"

Bowman: "OK. I do have a question. If there is not person speaking in opposition, I would like to."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bowman."

Bowman: "According to the synopsis, this exemption also includes commercial vehicle relocaters and I thought we gave the Commerce Commission authority under a Bill that was sponsored by the gentleman in the Chair



at this... at this moment. Did we not? In other words, if this Bill were to pass, would we knock out the.. the Bill the Commerce Commission to regulate towing companies is what I'm asking."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Lady from Adams, Mrs. Kent to close."

Kent: "The towing company is the regular thing, is the new law?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Last year."

Kent: "It says certain tow trucks. Alright? I urge passage of this Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Question is shall House Bill 2322 pass? All in favor vote aye. All oppose vote no. Leave me off. Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 106 ayes, 10 nos, 17 recorded as present. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2326."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2326. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act concerning public utilities. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Lady from Adams, Mrs. Kent."

Kent: "Mr. Speaker, here again, I have had a Legislator who have asked me to take this back to Second Reading."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gen... Lady asks leave of the House to bring this Bill back to Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment. Hearing no objection, bring House Bill 2326 back to Second Reading. Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #1, Getty. Amends House Bill..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Getty."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, Amendment #1 provides that within 30 days of the entry of an order granting a pay rate increase, that the... an appeal may be brought and I would move for adoption of that Amendment. I would point out to you that this would provide for interlocutory types of appeal



so that consumers of Illinois would not be saddled with a rate increase that would be in the nature of a temporary rate increase but it would be reviewable by the courts and I would move for its adoption."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? Gentleman moves for adoption of Amendment #1. All in favor signify by saying 'aye' aye, opposed. Amendment #1 is adopted. Any further Amendment?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #2, Stanley. Amends House Bill 2326 on page one, line one and so forth."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Stanley."

Stanley: "Yeah, I haven't seen copies of these Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "This is your Amendment. Have the Amendments been distributed? Yes they have."

Stanley: "OK. This is Amendment #2."

Speaker Lechowicz: "That is correct sir."

Stanley: "OK. OK. What this Amendment does and I think it's important because it would prohibit any telephone company in Illinois from pre-billing for monthly service units. Today in Illinois telephone companies pre-bill you for your monthly service unit. They use \$60,000,000 a month of consumers money for about five days. And that's a pretty nice investment package. They're the only utility that does that in Illinois. The rest of them are billed in the arrears and I'm asking that we consider putting this Amendment on House Bill 2326."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Lady from Adams, Mrs. Kent."

Kent: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I would like to point out that Mr. Stanley had every opportunity there was to present this Bill, which it really is, it was a Bill introduced and now has as an Amendment before the Public Utilities Committee. It was posted I think at least twice if not three times but Mr. Stanley did not come before the Committee and now I see that this Bill is here. I feel it's wrong."



I would oppose it."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The gentleman from Lake, Mr. Deuster."

Deuster: "If the Sponsor would yield for a question, I would like to ask the Representative this: Is it still possible, even after the adoption of your Amendment if it were to be adopted, for a utility to require a deposit?"

Stanley: "OK. Your question is, this has nothing to do with security deposits at all, Don."

Deuster: "Alright. If I might speak to the Amendment, I think it's rather silly to think that we are saving the consumers or doing something wonderful for them by prohibiting the utility from giving an advanced billing, if they feel they need the ^{security} ~~security~~ of their money, they'll simply require a deposit. The Amendment doesn't touch that. They're still free to require a reasonable security deposit in some cases and maybe waive it in others. I think that this Amendment, although well intended, won't accomplish anything and it won't accomplish even what the Sponsor would like to accomplish and I would urge some no votes."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Conti."

Conti: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd be remissed if I didn't get up and reluctantly oppose this because this is identically what I opposed Representative Greiman for. This is making a sham of our Committee system. As I reported on the floor of this House about ten days ago, that Representative Sharp is Chairman of that Committee and Mr. Mautino the Vice Chairman and MaryLou Kent the Spokesman, Minority Spokesman gave all the Sponsors all the opportunity in the world by attending three weeks with 100% attendance and the Bill was not called by the Sponsor at that time. The other day I understand he moved to discharge Committee.



I complain everytime when you ask to discharge Committee and I think that this should be given the same consideration. This is the third try at it now and he had at least three weeks or two months at least to introduce it in Committee itself. I urge the defeat of this Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Beatty."

Beatty: "The Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Indicates he will."

Beatty: "Representative, could you tell me if the utilities do not have this money to use, won't that force an increase in the rate?"

Stanley: "No, it will not force an increase in rates and I want to address Representative Conti's remarks and Representative Kent's."

Beatty: "Well, would you answer my question and take care of them later?"

Stanley: "Yes. No it will not. There is a conversion problem and the figures are estimated at around \$160,000 to make the conversion to billing in the arrears instead of the way they pre-bill now."

Beatty: "Well, isn't it true that if they have the use of this money, it's cutting their costs and that they must account for the Commerce Commission in seeking a rate increase if they have less money to use and they're not using that... those funds. It only seems that they're cost is going to be higher."

Stanley: "The moneys that you're talking about are not now figured in the rate base and they have certainly no benefit to the consumer Representative Beatty."

Beatty: "Are you saying that the money that they've got is merely increasing their profit. That they're maybe just putting it in treasury bills or some such thing?"

Stanley: "Yeah, I think we can say that realistically."

Beatty: "Thank you."

Stanley: "I would just like to address Representative Conti



and Representative Kent..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Excuse me. You'll have your opportunity when you close sir. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman has moved the previous question. All in favor signify by saying 'aye' aye, oppose. Previous question has been moved. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Stanley to close."

Stanley: "Thank you very much. This Bill was not heard in Committee. I did show up in Committee once. Yes I did Mrs. Kent. The room was packed. I tried again and I had talked to Representative Sharp about this. Neither of these Bills were heard and I'm asking that this be given a favorable vote. I think it's time that we help the consumers in the sense of prohibiting utility companies from billing ahead of time, before they deliver the service that they're suppose to provide the consumers. Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Question is shall Amendment #2 be adopted? All in favor vote aye. All oppose vote no. Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Marovitz to explain his vote. Timer's on."

Marovitz: "Thank you very much. I'm going to vote for this Amendment. Illinois Bell is the only utility in the State of Illinois that has the privilege of this billing procedure and I don't think this... this is particularly fair to the citizens of the State of Illinois. The affects the pocket books of every citizen in the State of Illinois and I don't think anybody objects to charging for services, but services that are rendered not services that haven't been provided. And if you really want to see people charge only for services that are provided, you should be voting aye on this Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take



the record. On this question there are 48 ayes, 85 nos, 2 present. The Amendment is lost. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #3, Stanley. Amends House Bill 2326 on page one, line one and so forth."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Who was that? Mr. Stanley."

Stanley: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. This Amendment would establish a peoples advocate in the Commerce Commission. And briefly what it would do would be establish a peoples advocate who could intervene in rate making decisions to represent consumers in presentation of error positions before the Commission, appear before the Commission and the courts on behalf of the interests of the consumers. This Bill was not heard by the Committee either and I would request a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Lady from Adams, Mrs. Kent."

Kent: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. This Bill again... this Amendment was his Bill. He did not appear. I wouldn't think that has ever frightened you and the room was crowded that you didn't come in and present your Bill. Those people were there in opposition or proponents of the Bills that we were hearing. So I do not feel that that's an excuse for not appearing before the Committee. But I also am violently opposed to this Bill. We need a peoples advocate, another department, another board like we need a nail in our head. So I would certainly hope that you oppose this."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bullock seeking recognition? Question is shall Amendment #3 be adopted? All in favor say 'aye', all oppose. Amendment's defeated. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. 2331. No. It was amended. You can't go on with that Bill. 2331."



Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2331. A Bill for an Act authorizing the Adjutant General to convey the Quincy Armory property. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Lady from Adams, Mrs. Kent."

Kent: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. 2331 allows the Adjutant General of the State of Illinois to sell the Armory that is no longer in use in Quincy Illinois. It also will be sold at the appraised fair market value with the interest and money going back to the Armory fund and the city of Quincy shall have first option on the purchase at that price."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? Any... anyone in opposition? The question is shall House Bill 2331 pass? All in favor vote aye. All oppose vote nay. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 147 ayes, no nays, 4 recorded as present. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2333."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2333. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman from DeKalb, Mr. Ebbesen. Ebbesen. Take it out of the record. House Bill 2365, Mr. Daniels. Mr. Daniels."

Daniels: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I'd ask leave to..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Let him read the Bill first."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2365. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act regard to attachments. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Daniels."

Daniels: "I'd ask leave to drop this back to Second Reading for purposes for an Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Does the gentleman have leave to bring the Bill back to Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment? Hearing no objections, bring it back



to Second Reading. Any Amendments from the floor?"

Daniels: "I think the Amendment reads Amendment #2 so I ask that it be amended on its face to reflect Amendment #1."

Speaker Lechowicz: "That is correct sir. Gentleman asks leave to amend Amendment #2 on its face to read Amendment #1. Any objection? Hearing none, the Clerk will amend it on its face."

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #1, Daniels. Amends House Bill 2365 on page one, line six and so forth."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Tony."

Daniels: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #1 is requested by the Illinois Department of Public Aid and basically what it does it clarify the prejudgement attachment procedure under the Supreme Court of Mitchell VWT Grant Company. It's a technical Amendment. We feel that it makes the Bill completely constitutional. I'd ask for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion on the Amendment? Question is shall Amendment #1 be adopted? All in favor signify by saying 'aye' aye, oppose. Amendment #1 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading."

Daniels: "That would go back on Short Debate."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Yes, it does. If you have leave."

Daniels: "Thank you sir."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Kindly return House Bill 2365 as amended to Third Reading, Short Debate. House Bill 2375."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2375. A Bill for an Act to amend the State Property Control Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Lady from Cook, Mrs. Macdonald."

Macdonald: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 2375 excludes water resource



improvements in the definition of property under State Property Control Act. It was explained by the Department of Transportation that the requirement that the property is returned to the state after six years is not reasonable because sometimes it takes over a dozen years to... in projects such as water retention basin and some of their other projects. So that if they do not extend and do not exempt the water resource improvements that they simply have to go through the entire process again which is both costly and unnecessary. So I ask for your approval of this Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there anyone in opposition? The question is shall House Bill 2375 pass? All in favor vote aye. All oppose vote nay. Have all voted who wish? Lee. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 142 ayes, no nays, none recorded as present. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2390."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2390. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Insurance Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Sandquist."

Sandquist: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is a Bill for the Department of Insurance and really what it does it gives them the option when there is an overpayment, either to refund it or to give them a credit and this is something the Department wants. I don't think there's any opposition to it. I ask for your favorable support."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there anyone to speak in opposition? Question is shall House Bill 2390 pass? All in favor vote aye. All oppose vote nay. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 137 ayes, 1 no, 4



recorded as present. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2431."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2431. A Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman from Madison, Mr. Sharp."

Sharp: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, House Bill 2431 addresses problems that we all heard about during the past election and that's the manner in which the property tax is being administered throughout the various parts of the State of Illinois. What this Bill does is state three things. First of all, that the Board of Review and appeals that are before the Board shall set assessments at the same level. that other properties in the taxing jurisdiction or district are assessed at before the multiplier is applied. What's happening in many cases, local assessors and the Board of Review are upholding their decisions. They're assessing transferred property or new properties at around 30 or 33% and a third percent while the rest of the jurisdiction may be around 25 or 22% then the multipliers put on pushing them way above the 33 1/3% level. This says and it follows the directive that's then put out by the Department of Local Government Affairs that this should be done. We're putting it in the statutes. Secondly, it requires the Board of Review to give specific reasons for the decisions they made if an appeal is filed by any individual. They are told that if they do not answer all the questions thoroughly, they forfeit their right to appeal, but yet the local Boards of Review don't have to respond. They don't have to explain anything and I think that's an injustice. This would require them to explain in detail what they've done and secondly; in determining multipliers, it requires that in a taxing jurisdiction that at least



25 properties be included in the decision making process as to what the multiplier should be. Rather than basing it on a two or three transfers, this says that 25 transfers, and if they're not available, make up the difference in appraisals at property selected at random with consent of the local assessor. I think this Bill would go a long way in eliminating some of the inequities that exist in the property tax assessment situation that we're faced with today and I would ask for a favorable vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there anyone to speak in opposition? The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kelly."

Kelly: "I would just like to ask the Sponsor, the Digest, says House Bill 2431, it's totally different from what you were explaining. Has there been a change?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Sharp."

Sharp: "Well the only thing I can say is I explained the Bill as is. I haven't even looked at the Digest because I've found that really isn't accurate indication of a Bill. The Bill is as I explained it."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is shall House Bill 2431 pass? All in favor vote aye. All oppose vote nay. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 157 ayes, no nays, one recorded as present. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2445."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2445. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act to revise the law in relation to attorneys and counselors. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman from Rock Island, Mr. Darrow."

Darrow: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, what this legislation does is establish a cause of action for damages for personal injury by the unauthorized practice of law. At the present time,



if someone holds themselves off to be an attorney and you go to him and you are damaged, later you find out he is not a licensed attorney, there's nothing you can do about it. You have no cause of action. This will create such a cause of action."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there anyone in opposition? Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Kane."

Kane: "One brief question. Would this apply only to persons who hold themselves out to be attorneys but really are not?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Darrow please."

Darrow: "It applies to those who take part in the unauthorized practice of law. Would be attorneys who are disbarred or suspended and also those who hold themselves out to be attorneys or counselors."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Deuster, are you in opposition to this Bill?"

Deuster: "No, I was... I'm not, but I want to ask a question."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there anyone in opposition? Gentleman from Whiteside, Mr. Schuneman."

Schuneman: "Mr. Speaker, I wonder if could have ten other Members join with me so that we could ask some questions about this Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "You don't have ten. Now you do."

Schuneman: "Clarence, how would this Bill affect..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Wait a minute. Take the Bill off the Short Debate. It's Full Debate. Gentleman from Whiteside, Mr. Schuneman please proceed."

Schuneman: "How would this Bill affect such things as minor real estate transactions whereby a real estate broker might, for example, make out a real estate contract or in some instances even draw a deed if there were an error in what he did. Would he be charged under this particular Bill?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Darrow please."

Darrow: "Only if it is established that that's a practice of



law. If that's not considered the practice of law there'd be no damages. What we've had in the past..."

Schuneman: "I'm... I'm sorry. I didn't hear what you said Clarence."

Darrow: "If... if..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Excuse me. Kindly give the gentleman your attention." Please proceed."

Darrow: "If his action... If his actions were determined to be considered a practice of law and he went out and licensed attorney, then he would be liable for damages. On the other hand, if he's practicing his own profession of real estate, there'd be no problem. In the past, what we've had is only the statute that allowed you to be held in contempt of court and subject to contempt proceedings but the person who is damaged could not recover any damages."

Schuneman: "Well, the way this Bill is drawn then it does not, I think the other question that was asked, it does not apply only to those who hold themselves out to be attorneys but also it could be applied to people engaged in many different businesses, it seems to me, who might inadvertently be charged with the practice of law when perhaps there was no real intent to practice law. Is that a fair statement?"

Darrow: "That Section of the statute we are not... the only Section of the statutes that we are changing is that we are putting in a Section that says that you can collect damages when you have a problem with someone who is holding themselves out to be an attorney. Someone who is not authorized to practice law and is doing that. It has no... It is not changing any other provisions of the statute. All the other Sections are pertained to the practice of law remain the same. We're just adding another remedy for those who are damaged."

Schuneman: "Mr. Speaker, could I speak to the Bill?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Please proceed."



Schuneman: "I have some reservations about this Bill because, as I understood the Sponsor, he first said that it did. . . the wording of the Bill is not such that it applies only to people who are holding themselves out to be attorneys and now, in response to a later question, I believe he said that that was what was in the Bill and until some of these questions are cleared up I would not be able to vote for the Bill and would urge a no vote or a present vote."

Darrow: "Well perhaps if you read the statute you'd understand it a little better and you could see what we're talking about here."

Schuneman: "Well, perhaps that's right Representative, but part of what we do here is debate the merits of . . . Bills and I don't read every Bill and I don't believe you do either."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Klosak."

Klosak: "Mr. Speaker, I respectfully suggest that we all understand this question now and I move the previous question."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman has moved the previous question. All in favor signify by saying 'aye' aye, oppose. Previous question's been moved. Gentleman from Rock Island, Mr. Darrow to close."

Darrow: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. What this legislation does is add another remedy for those individuals who are harmed by someone who violates the current statute that pertains to the practice of law. In the past, if you were damaged, the only remedy was to be hold the person in contempt of court. What we're doing here is saying that not only can the person be held in contempt of court but the damaged person can go to court and recover some damages. It results from an Appellate Court decision from the 5th District Appellate Court. That's the basis for this statute and for this legislation. I'd ask for a favorable vote."



Speaker Lechowicz: "Question is shall House Bill 2445 pass?
All in favor vote aye. All oppose vote nay. Yes sir.
Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Borchers to explain his vote.
Timer's on."

Borchers: "I want you to know, all of us that are not lawyers
and that are Members of the Legislature are in a
dangerous position on this Bill. There is hardly
a day that goes by that I'm home and somebody doesn't
call me for advice. It is my duty to give them advice.
I'm not an attorney. I tell them that, but nevertheless,
if they follow my advice under this Bill, it's obvious
that, I should say I'm an unauthorized... unauthorized
attorney but I'd give them my honest opinion. Never-
theless, if they follow it and go wrong I can be sued
and so can you all here, because all of us are in the
position of trying to help people and these things
happen to us all I'm sure. I say vote no."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Johnson."

Johnson: "I think the opponent to this Bill haven't read
the balance of the statute. It's a complete mis-
understanding as to what we are trying to do in this
Bill. It's already illegal in Illinois to illegally
pa... to practice law without a law license. It's
illegal to practice medicine without a degree or
a license to practice medicine. It's illegal to be
a dentist without having the proper authority from the
state to do that. All this Bill does is say that
if you practice law unauthorizedly under the statutes,
and the statute is very narrow, and Representative
Borchers and others who've objected to it don't
understand what the statute says of they wouldn't
have those objections, that a person who's injured
can recover damages. We're not talking about a pie
in the sky sort of a thing. We're talking about
somebody who specifically has pecuniary loss as a
result of somebody violating the statutes of the state.



It's nothing more than that. It's a very simple Bill. We already have injunctive relief available and I really don't understand all the opposition that's service to this."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Daniels to explain his vote. Timer's on."

Daniels: "Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think this is a very reasonable piece of legislation and certainly would entitle some of the recovered damages who is in fact, injured by a person engaging in the unauthorized practice of law. Now if you want to protect those people, this is the kind of legislation that you would want to support. If on the other hand, you're saying that a person that holds himself out to be an attorney and charges for advice should not be subject to any liability then vote against the Bill. This is a very logical and reasonable Bill and I commend the Sponsor for bringing it to our attention."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bullock to explain his vote. Timer's on."

Bullock: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think this body is in a charitable mood. Last night we sent out a pay raise increase for judges of election and, of course, we know what the citizens felt about us giving ourselves a pay raise. I'm going to vote aye on this Bill because I think the legal profession needs a pay raise too."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 98 ayes, 32 nos, 18 recorded as present. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Any announcements? Any announcements?"



Speaker Lechowicz: "I'd like to have the Body rise please, Death Resolutions."

Clerk Leone: "House Resolution 296: WHEREAS, Members of the House of Representatives were saddened to learn of the death of Mr. Lawrence Lowe, father of Lura Lynn Ryan, the wife of our colleague, Representative George H. Ryan, Sr.; and

WHEREAS, In an active life of 72 years, Lawrence Lowe lived an exemplary pattern of family devotion, business and civic involvement and service to the community that is both respected and valued by his native community of Aroma Park, Illinois and by all who knew him; and

WHEREAS, In his lifetime, Lawrence Lowe developed a special fondness for education and the opportunities that higher education may provide, having graduated from Kankakee High School and the University of Illinois, and continuing to serve that illustrious institution as a member of the Board of Directors of the Agronomy Department of the University of Illinois, and as an advisor to the department; and

WHEREAS, His life offers vivid testament to the time-honored values of individual initiative and free enterprise; as he founded and successfully operated the Lowe Seed Company in 1937; and served as President of the company until selling the firm in 1948; and

WHEREAS, His particular expertise and knowledge were recognized by his peers, who selected him as President of the Illinois Seed Producers Association and to a three-year term as President of the Illinois Crop Improvement Association; and

WHEREAS, He actively and conscientiously worked to improve the community as a former member and past President of the Kankakee Area Chamber of Commerce, as a member of the Kankakee Rotary Club, and as a member of the Alpha Gamma Rho fraternity for 54 years; and



WHEREAS, Lawrence Lowe devoted significant time and energies not only to the world of business and agriculture, but sought to foster greater cooperation and understanding between all people as a member of the Aroma Park Masonic Lodge 378 AF & AM, and as a member of the Aroma Park United Methodist Church; therefore, be it

RESOLVED, BY THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE 81st GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, That we do hereby express our deepest sympathies to the family of Lawrence Lowe of his death, in respect of his many achievements and services to his family, the community, and the People of Illinois; and be it further

RESOLVED, That suitable copies of this preamble and Resolution be presented to Mrs. Lura Lynn Ryan and Mrs. Patricia Lewis, his daughters. "

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Speaker Redmond."

Speaker Redmond: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it's unnecessary to repeat the qualities and achievements of Mr. Lowe. I would like to remind you that he is the father-in-law of the Minority Leader, George Ryan, and any of you who have had any occasion to know Mrs. Ryan and realize the quality of life that she enjoyed, you realize that she must have had a very wonderful father and a very wonderful mother. I think that it's unnecessary to go any further.

I would move that, the adoption of the Death Resolution."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Speaker Redmond, moves the adoption of the Death Resolution. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', 'aye'. The Resolution is adopted. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, are there any further announcements?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Are there any further announcements? The Clerk informs me that he needs about ten minutes perfunctory."

Madigan: "Providing a ten minute Perfunctory Session, I move that we adjourn to 9:30 a.m. tomorrow morning."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman has moved for after ten minutes perfunctory for the Clerk that the House stand adjourned



to 9:30 tomorrow morning. All in favor signify by saying 'aye' aye. The House stands adjourned till 9:30 tomorrow morning. Stand in perfunct till 9:30 tomorrow morning."

Clerk O'Brien: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House passage of Bill of the following title, to wit: House Bill 1081 passed by the Senate May 16, 1979. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am direct to inform the House of Representatives Senate has concurred with the House in adoption of following Joint Resolution, to wit: House Joint Resolution 49 concurred in by the Senate May 16, 1979. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Senate Bills, First Reading. Senate Bill 188, Stuffle. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to the rate of interest and other charges in connection with sales on credit and lending of money. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 219, Farley. A Bill for an Act to amend the Workman's Compensation Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 215, Terzich. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act to regulate the practice of dental surgery. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 222, Woodyard. A Bill for an Act to amend the Juvenile Court Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 254, Marovitz. A Bill for an Act to regulate the practice of dental surgery. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 256, Sumner - McAuliffe - Cullerton. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to regulate the practice of dental surgery. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 324, Ronan. A Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 325, Lechowicz. A Bill for an Act relating to mandatory cardial pulmonary recessitation for certain persons. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 378, Dawson. A Bill for



an Act to amend an Act relating to alcoholic liquors. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 345, Willer.

A Bill for an Act to amend the Juvenile Court Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 404, Ewing.

A Bill for an Act relating to Illinois industrial development authority and the Commission on Economic Development. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 416, Reilly. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 417, Reilly. A Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 418, Reilly.

A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Public Aid Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 430, Domico. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to create sanitary districts. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 434, Ronan. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to create sanitary districts. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 435, Ronan. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to create the sanitary districts. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 438, Farley. A Bill for an Act to amend the Unemployment Insurance Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 461, VonBoeckman. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 479, Reilly. A Bill for an Act to amend the Retailers Occupation Tax Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 481, Reilly. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act in relation to criminal identification and investigation. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 769, Peters. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to provide for the ordinary contingent expense to the Department of Public Health. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 467, Braun.

A Bill for an Act to amend the Condominium Property Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 468, Keane.

A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Income Tax Act.



First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 473, Sandquist.
A Bill for an Act to change obsolete references from
the repeal Mental Health Code. First Reading of the Bill.
... further business. The House now stands adjourned."



LEGISLATIVE INFORMATION SYSTEM

DAILY TRANSCRIPT INDEX
MAY 16, 1979

PAGE 1

HB-0008	2ND READING	PAGE	6
HB-0011	2ND READING	PAGE	24
HB-0013	2ND READING	PAGE	58
HB-0272	2ND READING	PAGE	7
HB-0389	2ND READING	PAGE	7
HB-0513	2ND READING	PAGE	157
HB-0520	2ND READING	PAGE	7
HB-0554	2ND READING	PAGE	8
HB-0702	2ND READING	PAGE	8
HB-0703	2ND READING	PAGE	138
	MOTIONS	PAGE	138
HB-0715	2ND READING	PAGE	8
HB-0927	2ND READING	PAGE	8
HB-1044	2ND READING	PAGE	9
HB-1129	2ND READING	PAGE	157
HB-1168	2ND READING	PAGE	9
HB-1170	2ND READING	PAGE	139
HB-1187	2ND READING	PAGE	80
HB-1261	2ND READING	PAGE	158
HB-1278	2ND READING	PAGE	9
HB-1279	2ND READING	PAGE	9
HB-1299	2ND READING	PAGE	84
HB-1324	2ND READING	PAGE	80
HB-1351	2ND READING	PAGE	9
HB-1353	2ND READING	PAGE	86
HB-1362	2ND READING	PAGE	78
HB-1371	2ND READING	PAGE	87
HB-1375	2ND READING	PAGE	9
HB-1377	2ND READING	PAGE	10
HB-1384	2ND READING	PAGE	10
HB-1387	2ND READING	PAGE	10
HB-1410	2ND READING	PAGE	11
HB-1431	2ND READING	PAGE	81
HB-1500	2ND READING	PAGE	11
HB-1501	2ND READING	PAGE	87
HB-1503	2ND READING	PAGE	88
HB-1510	2ND READING	PAGE	11
HB-1538	2ND READING	PAGE	12
HB-1540	2ND READING	PAGE	89
HB-1580	2ND READING	PAGE	73
HB-1590	2ND READING	PAGE	12
HB-1592	2ND READING	PAGE	12
HB-1593	2ND READING	PAGE	12

LEGISLATIVE INFORMATION SYSTEM

PAGE 2

DAILY TRANSCRIPT INDEX
MAY 16, 1979

HB-1608	2ND READING	PAGE	12
HB-1617	2ND READING	PAGE	13
HB-1639	2ND READING	PAGE	155
HB-1644	2ND READING	PAGE	13
HB-1673	2ND READING	PAGE	13
HB-1679	2ND READING	PAGE	159
HB-1687	2ND READING	PAGE	141
HB-1692	2ND READING	PAGE	81
HB-1693	2ND READING	PAGE	31
HB-1695	2ND READING	PAGE	90
HB-1696	2ND READING	PAGE	29
HB-1697	2ND READING	PAGE	139
HB-1700	2ND READING	PAGE	92
HB-1704	2ND READING	PAGE	140
	2ND READING	PAGE	147
HB-1712	2ND READING	PAGE	99
HB-1734	2ND READING	PAGE	14
HB-1747	2ND READING	PAGE	14
HB-1758	2ND READING	PAGE	14
HB-1765	2ND READING	PAGE	14
HB-1776	2ND READING	PAGE	14
HB-1782	2ND READING	PAGE	14
HB-1787	2ND READING	PAGE	100
HB-1804	2ND READING	PAGE	101
HB-1805	2ND READING	PAGE	15
HB-1807	2ND READING	PAGE	15
HB-1812	2ND READING	PAGE	101
HB-1817	2ND READING	PAGE	15
HB-1828	2ND READING	PAGE	63
	MOTIONS	PAGE	63
HB-1841	2ND READING	PAGE	16
HB-1873	2ND READING	PAGE	165
HB-1892	2ND READING	PAGE	16
HB-1902	2ND READING	PAGE	16
HB-1906	2ND READING	PAGE	102
HB-1911	2ND READING	PAGE	16
HB-1912	2ND READING	PAGE	16
HB-1915	2ND READING	PAGE	17
HB-1937	2ND READING	PAGE	32
	2ND READING	PAGE	65
HB-1976	2ND READING	PAGE	141
HB-1978	2ND READING	PAGE	17
HB-1983	2ND READING	PAGE	166

LEGISLATIVE INFORMATION SYSTEM

DAILY TRANSCRIPT INDEX
MAY 16, 1979

PAGE 3

HB-2017	2ND READING	PAGE	17
HB-2019	2ND READING	PAGE	103
HB-2032	2ND READING	PAGE	17
HB-2044	2ND READING	PAGE	104
HB-2055	2ND READING	PAGE	166
HB-2069	2ND READING	PAGE	168
HB-2071	2ND READING	PAGE	142
HB-2075	2ND READING	PAGE	18
HB-2083	2ND READING	PAGE	18
HB-2097	2ND READING	PAGE	79
HB-2119	2ND READING	PAGE	18
HB-2120	2ND READING	PAGE	18
HB-2128	2ND READING	PAGE	105
HB-2149	2ND READING	PAGE	18
HB-2180	2ND READING	PAGE	33
HB-2186	2ND READING	PAGE	36
	MOTIONS	PAGE	36
HB-2218	2ND READING	PAGE	105
HB-2221	2ND READING	PAGE	169
HB-2233	2ND READING	PAGE	106
HB-2237	2ND READING	PAGE	83
HB-2265	2ND READING	PAGE	19
HB-2272	2ND READING	PAGE	171
HB-2273	2ND READING	PAGE	107
HB-2300	2ND READING	PAGE	19
HB-2303	2ND READING	PAGE	19
HB-2321	2ND READING	PAGE	201
	3RD READING	PAGE	206
	MOTIONS	PAGE	201
HB-2322	3RD READING	PAGE	207
HB-2326	3RD READING	PAGE	208
HB-2331	3RD READING	PAGE	214
HB-2335	2ND READING	PAGE	38
	MOTIONS	PAGE	38
HB-2348	2ND READING	PAGE	19
HB-2349	2ND READING	PAGE	39
	MOTIONS	PAGE	39
HB-2357	2ND READING	PAGE	20
HB-2358	2ND READING	PAGE	20
HB-2360	2ND READING	PAGE	20
HB-2365	2ND READING	PAGE	214
	MOTIONS	PAGE	214
HB-2375	3RD READING	PAGE	215

LEGISLATIVE INFORMATION SYSTEM

DAILY TRANSCRIPT INDEX
MAY 16, 1979

PAGE 4

HB-2389	2ND READING	PAGE	20
HB-2390	3RD READING	PAGE	216
HB-2410	2ND READING	PAGE	143
HB-2411	2ND READING	PAGE	143
HB-2428	2ND READING	PAGE	21
HB-2431	3RD READING	PAGE	217
HB-2436	2ND READING	PAGE	5
HB-2440	2ND READING	PAGE	108
HB-2445	3RD READING	PAGE	218
HB-2471	2ND READING	PAGE	112
HB-2495	2ND READING	PAGE	174
HB-2500	2ND READING	PAGE	174
HB-2501	2ND READING	PAGE	5
HB-2512	2ND READING	PAGE	21
HB-2530	2ND READING	PAGE	21
HB-2531	2ND READING	PAGE	146
HB-2547	2ND READING	PAGE	21
HB-2563	2ND READING	PAGE	137
	2ND READING	PAGE	156
	2ND READING	PAGE	181
HB-2578	2ND READING	PAGE	68
HB-2587	2ND READING	PAGE	22
HB-2621	2ND READING	PAGE	22
HB-2657	2ND READING	PAGE	22
HB-2658	2ND READING	PAGE	22
HB-2704	2ND READING	PAGE	23
HB-2718	2ND READING	PAGE	34
HB-2720	2ND READING	PAGE	23
HB-2736	2ND READING	PAGE	34
	MOTIONS	PAGE	34
HB-2738	2ND READING	PAGE	24
SB-0002	1ST READING	PAGE	1
SB-0160	2ND READING	PAGE	182
	3RD READING	PAGE	199
	MOTIONS	PAGE	182
SB-0165	1ST READING	PAGE	1
SB-0168	1ST READING	PAGE	1
SB-0176	1ST READING	PAGE	1
SB-0185	1ST READING	PAGE	1
SB-0186	1ST READING	PAGE	2
SB-0188	1ST READING	PAGE	226
SB-0198	1ST READING	PAGE	2
SB-0199	1ST READING	PAGE	2

DAILY TRANSCRIPT INDEX
MAY 16, 1979

SB-0201	1ST READING	PAGE	2
SB-0214	1ST READING	PAGE	2
SB-0215	1ST READING	PAGE	226
SB-0219	1ST READING	PAGE	226
SB-0221	1ST READING	PAGE	2
SB-0222	1ST READING	PAGE	226
SB-0227	1ST READING	PAGE	2
SB-0239	1ST READING	PAGE	2
SB-0254	1ST READING	PAGE	226
SB-0255	1ST READING	PAGE	2
SB-0256	1ST READING	PAGE	226
SB-0257	1ST READING	PAGE	2
SB-0262	1ST READING	PAGE	2
SB-0269	1ST READING	PAGE	3
SB-0277	1ST READING	PAGE	2
SB-0286	1ST READING	PAGE	2
SB-0287	1ST READING	PAGE	2
SB-0289	1ST READING	PAGE	2
SB-0291	1ST READING	PAGE	2
SB-0292	1ST READING	PAGE	2
SB-0302	1ST READING	PAGE	3
SB-0307	1ST READING	PAGE	3
SB-0308	1ST READING	PAGE	3
SB-0309	1ST READING	PAGE	3
SB-0310	1ST READING	PAGE	4
SB-0316	1ST READING	PAGE	3
SB-0324	1ST READING	PAGE	226
SB-0325	1ST READING	PAGE	226
SB-0330	1ST READING	PAGE	5
SB-0340	1ST READING	PAGE	3
SB-0342	1ST READING	PAGE	3
SB-0344	1ST READING	PAGE	3
SB-0345	1ST READING	PAGE	227
SB-0362	1ST READING	PAGE	3
SB-0363	1ST READING	PAGE	3
SB-0365	1ST READING	PAGE	3
SB-0378	1ST READING	PAGE	226
SB-0394	1ST READING	PAGE	3
SB-0403	1ST READING	PAGE	3
SB-0404	1ST READING	PAGE	227
SB-0405	1ST READING	PAGE	4
SB-0407	1ST READING	PAGE	4
SB-0416	1ST READING	PAGE	227

LEGISLATIVE INFORMATION SYSTEM

DAILY TRANSCRIPT INDEX
MAY 16, 1979

PAGE 6

SB-0417	1ST READING	PAGE	227
SB-0418	1ST READING	PAGE	227
SB-0419	1ST READING	PAGE	4
SB-0420	1ST READING	PAGE	4
SB-0421	1ST READING	PAGE	4
SB-0422	1ST READING	PAGE	4
SB-0428	1ST READING	PAGE	4
SB-0430	1ST READING	PAGE	227
SB-0434	1ST READING	PAGE	227
SB-0435	1ST READING	PAGE	227
SB-0438	1ST READING	PAGE	227
SB-0450	1ST READING	PAGE	4
SB-0461	1ST READING	PAGE	227
SB-0463	1ST READING	PAGE	4
SB-0467	1ST READING	PAGE	227
SB-0468	1ST READING	PAGE	227
SB-0473	1ST READING	PAGE	228
SB-0479	1ST READING	PAGE	227
SB-0481	1ST READING	PAGE	227
SB-0485	3RD READING	PAGE	184
SB-0589	3RD READING	PAGE	194
SB-0591	3RD READING	PAGE	197
SB-0769	1ST READING	PAGE	227
SB-0945	1ST READING	PAGE	4
HR-0292	3RD READING	PAGE	4
HR-0293	3RD READING	PAGE	4
HR-0294	3RD READING	PAGE	4
HR-0295	3RD READING	PAGE	4
HR-0296	3RD READING	PAGE	224

LEGISLATIVE INFORMATION SYSTEM

DAILY TRANSCRIPT INDEX
MAY 16, 1979

PAGE 7

SUBJECT MATTER

SPEAKER REDMOND - HOUSE TO ORDER	PAGE	1
REVEREND KRUEGER - PRAYER	PAGE	1
DEATH RESOLUTION	PAGE	224
MESSAGES FROM THE SENATE	PAGE	226
ADJOURNMENT	PAGE	228