

Doorkeeper: "Could I have your attention in the gallery 12
please. There will be no standing in the galleries 13
upstairs, Fire Marshall's orders. No standing, 14
please. Could I have the attention of the people in
the gallery. There will be no standing in the gallery 15
by order of the fire marshall, also there will be no 16
eating, drinking or smoking up there. Thank you."

Tester: "Test. Test, one, two. Three." 18

Doorkeeper: "Attention Members of the House of 20
Representatives. The House will convene in fifteen 21
minutes. Could I have the attention of people in the 22
gallery. There will be no standing in the gallery by
order of the Fire Marshall. Also no eating, drinking 23
or smoking. Thank you."

Tester: "Test. Testing one, one, test one, two. One." 25

Doorkeeper: "Attention Members of the House of 27
Representatives. The House will convene in five 28
minutes. All persons not entitled to the House floor
please retire to the gallery." 29

Speaker Redmond: "The House will come to order. Members 31
please be in their seats. Be led in prayer by the 32
Reverend Krueger, the House Chaplain. Where is he? 33
He was here."

Reverend Krueger: "In the name of the Father, the Son and 35
the Holy Ghost, Amen. Oh Lord, bless this House to 36
Thy service this day. Amen. In Religio, Poetae, 37
Etc., the Reverend Coventry Patmore wrote: The widely
extended impatience of women under the present 38
conditions of things is nothing but an unconscious 39
protest against the diminished manliness of men. Let 40
us pray. Almighty God, Thou who hast revealed to all
Thy wisdom, teaching and Law for the solidarity of the 42
family and hast set before all of humanity the example
of The Holy Family, grant to us this day a most 43
discerning insight of Thy Holy Word that as Members of 44
this Illinois House of Representatives, we may uphold, 45

cherish and protect Thy will for the order of Thy 45
 creation. Drive from our minds the impurity of 46
 humanism and the sin of selfrighteousness, that the 47
 Laws which we do consider may be constant with Thy
 revelation as the One, Eternal Father to Whom we do 48
 bow in reverence. Amen."

Speaker Redmond: "Pledge of Allegiance, Representative 50
 Getty."

Members: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United 52
 States of America, and to the Republic for which it 53
 stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with 54
 liberty and justice for all."

Speaker Redmond: "Repeat. Reading of the Journal. 56
 Representative Getty, for what purpose do you arise?" 57

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, I think it appropriate at this time 59
 that the Speaker inform our guests in the gallery that 60
 demonstrations are not permitted. And I think it most 61
 unbecoming on either side that there should be hissing
 following a prayer." 62

Speaker Redmond: "Well, wait a minute. That means no 64
 demonstrations whether you approve of them or 65
 disapprove of them. You're the guests of the House of 66
 Representatives and if there are demonstrations in the
 gallery, the galleries will be cleared. And that Law, 67
 that rule will be...is strictly enforced. 68
 Representative Darrow."

Darrow: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I would like to point out 70
 to the guests in the gallery that Representative Getty 71
 and I have strongly supported the Equal Rights 72
 Amendment as long as we've been Members of this House.
 We both have found it very distasteful when the 73
 hissing occurred following the prayer. It does 74
 nothing but detract from the Equal Rights Amendment 75
 cause. And we would hope that similar instances will
 not occur today. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, I can assure you that if there are 77

demonstrations, the galleries will be cleared and we 78
will have an empty chamber, so...Reading of the 79
Journal."

Clerk O'Brien: "Journal for the 124th legislative day. The 81
House met pursuant to adjournment, the Speaker in the 82
Chair, prayer by Father William Krueger, Chaplain. 83
Representative Matijevich read...led the House in
Pledge of Allegiance."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Getty." 85

Getty: "Ah, Mr. Speaker, I move that we suspend the reading 87
of the Journal of the appropriate number...124 of May 88
6, 1980 and 125 of May 7, 1980. That it be approved 89
as read"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Getty has moved to dispense 91
with the reading and that the Journal be approved. 92
All those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. 93
The 'ayes' have it, motion carried and the reading of
the Journal is dispensed with and the Journals are 94
approved as if read. Mr. Clerk, any Messages from 95
the Senate? Mr. Clerk, has a Fiscal Note been filed 96
with, for 9...1525?"

Clerk O'Brien: "A Fiscal Note is filed on House Bill 1525." 98

Speaker Redmond: "Did you say that you have the Fiscal Note? 100
1525, House Bills, Second Reading, on page 2." 101

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1525...A Bill for an Act to amend 103
Sections of an Act entitled Organization of Probation 104
Services to the Unified Code of Corrections, Second 105
Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motions with respect to Amendments 1 107
and 2?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted in 109
Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motions with respect to Amendments 1 111
and 2?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed." 113

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?" 115

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."	117
Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 2762."	119
Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2762. A Bill for an Act to revise the Law in relation to public libraries, Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."	121 122 123
Speaker Redmond: "Any motions with respect to Amendments 1 and 2?"	125
Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."	127
Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendment from the floor? Representative Getty, out of the record. 2837. Because of the fact that we anticipate a large number of guests and because it is necessary in order to maintain order on the floor of the House when a matter of this moment comes before this Body, please do not ask for any Honorary Pages. I requested that my wife and my family stay at home today, so I'm not asking anything that I haven't done myself. So please don't ask because it isn't fair. 27...2837."	129 130 131 132 133 134 135
Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2837. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act relating to State Fire Marshall. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted previously."	137 138 139
Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to Amendment 1?"	141
Clerk O'Brien: "No motion filed."	143
Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"	145
Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."	147
Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 2845. Representative Henry on the floor? Better take 45 and 46 out. 2918. Better take this one out too. 2994. Out of the record. 3035. Take that one out of the record. Take that one out of the record. How about 3120?"	149 150 151 152
Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3120. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Criminal Code of 1961. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."	154 155 156
Speaker Redmond: "Okay, out of the record. Representative	158

Getty, there's a Floor Amendment. Oh, okay I see. 159
 Read the Bill, 3120."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee." 161

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor? Or any 163
 motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed." 165

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?" 167

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2, Matijeovich, amends House Bill 169
 3120 on page 1, line 11 by deleting 72 hours and so 170
 forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Getty." 172

Getty: "Um, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, Amendment #2 174
 is the result of conversations between Representative 175
 Matijeovich and the Members of Judiciary II Committee. 176
 Ah, what it is is a compromise. Originally the Act
 provided for 30 days. It was cut down by his proposed 177
 Bill to 72 hours. That would now be replaced with the 178
 compromise of 15 days. I would move for the adoption
 of the Amendment." 179

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Getty has moved the 181
 adoption of Amendment 2. Those in favor say 'aye', 182
 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion 183
 carried. The Amendment is adopted. Any further
 Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments." 185

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3439, is Jaffe on the 187
 floor? Take that out of the record. 3474." 188

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3474." 190

Speaker Redmond: "3474. No Amendments, are there any 192
 Amendments from the floor? If there's a Floor 193
 Amendment we better take this out of the record. 194
 3541. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3541. A Bill for an Act to amend 196
 Sections of the Illinois Income Tax Act. Second 197
 Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?" 199

Clerk O'Brien: "None." 201

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3539, Representative Piel." 203

Piel: "Yes, Speaker, Mr. Speaker, with leave of the House, I would like to table 3541, because we've incorporated 41 into 39 and 40. Thank you." 205
206

Speaker Redmond: "Does the Gentleman have leave? Hearing no objections, leave is granted. 3541 is tabled. 3539, Representative Piel, do you want to go with those two?" 208
209
210

Piel: "What I've done basically with 3539 and 3540, I've taken two Amendments, I've taken 40 and put them into 30...41 and it comes into the first...3539 and 3540, and I'm more than happy to answer any questions on the Amendment." 212
213
214

Speaker Redmond: "Mr. Clerk, is there an Amendment, to Floor Amendment to 3539?" 216

Clerk O'Brien: "Yes." 218

Speaker Redmond: "And will you read the Amendment?" 220

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3539. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Housing Development Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments." 222
223
224

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Piel." 226

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #, Floor Amendment #1, Piel, amends House Bill 3539 on page 1, line 1 and so forth." 228
229

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Piel." 231

Piel: "Basically, 3539 breaks...the Amendment now breaks down into what IHDA's responsibility is and what the requirements for the banks and savings and loan would have to be to give the reduced mortgages to lenders." 233
234
235

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? Representative Bullock. Representative Bullock." 237
238

Bullock: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, will the Sponsor yield to a question?" 240
241

Speaker Redmond: "He will." 243

Bullock: "Representative Piel, you explained the Amendment 245
and because of the noise in the room I didn't get the 246
full explanation. Could you briefly indicate the 247
explanation of Amendment #1?"

Piel: "Okay, basically ah, what it would do, Larry. Number 249
1, basically sets down the guidelines of how IHDA 250
would be picking up mortgages from the low interest 251
mortgages from the earners..."

Bullock: "Mr. Speaker, I can't hear the Gentleman's ah, 253
questionable..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Piel, will you please 255
enunciate a little more clearly." 256

Piel: "Basically what it does it states that for IHDA to 258
issue these bonds for the persons under the secondary 259
mortgages market, and what the savings and loans would 260
have to issue their mortgages at. What this will be 261
doing will be putting the mortgages into a...or 262
putting the Bill into a situation to where it will 263
reduce the mortgage rate by approximately, I would say 264
averaging about two points below what the market is at 265
the present time. And IHDA would be picking up, they 266
would be issuing bonds to cover these."

Bullock: "Is this an emergency measure, Representative 268
Powell? And who asked for this Amendment?" 267

Piel: "Larry, the last time I checked, you and I were 269
freshmen Legislators for about 16 months and the last 270
name is Piel, pronounced like a banana piel but it's 271
not pile, definition of a pile I won't go into but..."

Bullock: "Representative Piel, would you address the 273
Amendment. I'm sure we can straighten the other part 274
out later."

Piel: "Yes, yes, I think they are. You check with your 276
constituents in your district and they can't afford 277
mortgage payments today. You know there...and to give 278
you an example the construction market today on homes 279
are 80% down. 1500 is projected or 15,000 projected

for this year, in 78 it was 52,000."

279

Bullock: "Unfortunately, Representative Piel, most of the people in my community don't have access to the IHDA construction project, so that's not germane to this at all. My question is—is there an emergency and if so, is that the reason that this Amendment is being offered at this time? As I read the Amendment, it indicates that a housing emergency exists and in trying to rectify that you are asking this Body to consider making changes of the interest rate for mortgages. And I wanted you to explain to us the need for this at this time. Why this Amendment is needed?"

Piel: "What do you classify an emergency, Larry? You're saying that we don't have an emergency today. Have you checked with your people? They can't afford mortgages today, going 14, 15, 16 percent. This will drop the mortgage rates down so people can afford them, down to 10, 10 1/2, 11 percent. If that's not constituent service, you tell me what it is?"

Bullock: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to address the Bill. I don't think the Sponsor, in my estimation, has given a sufficient explanation as to why this procedure is needed at this time. And I certainly could not support this and I certainly don't think that at this time that this Legislature should allow the Sponsor to present to us an Amendment that he can't obviously explain or justify merely because of some perceived emergency. We all know that the present interest rates are declining for whatever reason. But I don't think that the Illinois Housing Development Authority has shown to me the kinds of sensitivity to the urban areas, in particular to some of the suburban communities, that we should at this time come back and amend the Law. And I certainly could not support this Amendment, and I hope that others would at least take the opportunity to read very carefully the Amendment

281

282

283

284

285

286

288

289

291

292

293

294

295

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

that the gentleman is proposing at this time." 309

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Piel." 311

Piel: "Mr. Speaker, I would disagree with Larry to a certain 313
 extent. At present I would agree with him where IHDA 314
 does not show in sensitivity to the bowers in the 315
 State of Illinois. This would be a mandate to them
 that they would have to lend their unencumbered funds. 316
 They couldn't just sit on them. And this Bill does 317
 reflect sensitivity to these low, medium, high income 318
 people. But the majority of them would be the low and
 medium income people in this State of Illinois. So it 319
 does show sensitivity, Larry. I hope that I, you 320
 know, if you had talked to me before you started
 speaking on the thing then I think I could have 321
 cleared this up, but it does show sensitivity if 322
 you'll read the whole Bill."

Bullock: "Representative Piel, I didn't even know you were 324
 going to call the Amendment." 325

Piel: "Mr. Speaker, if he's got problems, I'll take it out, 327
 explain it to him and then come back to it." 328

Speaker Redmond: "Okay, we'll take this one out of the 330
 record. 3540, I assume that that's a first cousin. 331
 Representative Conti, for what purpose do you arise?" 332

Conti: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, 334
 and those of you who are in your office, I would like 335
 to suggest because of the day that we have scheduled 336
 for us today and the important issues that are coming
 up, if all the Members would withhold the 337
 introductions of school classes or from people back 338
 home today. The exception is just for today, Mr.
 Speaker. And I would like to inform all of the 339
 Members if we could abide by that today and just 340
 dismiss the introduction of people in the gallery,
 because of the heavy schedule that we do have today." 341

Speaker Redmond: "Thank you." 343

Conti: "Could I have leave on that, Mr. Speaker?" 345

Speaker Redmond: "You've got leave. Nobody objected. 347
3565."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3565." 349

Speaker Redmond: "Right." 351

Clerk O'Brien: "A Bill for an Act relating to the filling of 353
vacancies in certain state offices. Second Reading of 354
the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee." 355

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to Amendment 1?" 357

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed." 359

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor? Floor 362
Amendment. Would you read the Amendment, Mr. 363
Clerk...Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "I'm waiting for the Clerk." 365

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, Pierce, amends House 367
Bill 3565 by adding immediately after Section 3 the 368
following, Section 4 and so forth."

Pierce: "Ah, Mr. Speaker, Amendment #1 makes the Bill 370
effective upon becoming a Law, and I move the adoption 371
of Amendment #1...Amendment #2, and I move the 372
adoption of Amendment #2."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Pierce has moved the 374
adoption of Amendment 2. Those in favor say 'aye', 375
'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the motion 376
carried, the Amendment is adopted. Any further
Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, Totten, amends House 378
Bill 3565 on page 1 by deleting lines 12 through 31 379
and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Pierce. I don't see 381
Representative Totten on the floor." 382

Pierce: "I have no objection to his Amendment. It makes it 384
clear that should the Attorney General, should the 385
Attorney General be acquitted on appeal or should any 386
State Official, who's a...Constitutional Officer who
is affected by this Bill be found not guilty on 387
appeal, he be restored to office. I think that's 388

fair, and I would consent as Chief Sponsor to the 388
 adoption of Amendment...#3." 389

Speaker Redmond: "The question's on the adoption of 391
 Amendment 2, to 2 or 3? Amendment #3. Those in favor 392
 say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, 393
 the motion carried. Amendment 3 is adopted. Alright 394
 is there any motion, Representative Pierce with
 respect to Committee Amendment 1?"

Pierce: "Yea, Amendment #3 having been adopted, Committee 396
 Amendment #1 is no longer necessary. Amendment 3 397
 incorporates everything in ah, Committee Amendment #1 398
 plus Representative Totten's particular idea which I 399
 have agreed to, so I would at this time move to table
 Committee Amendment #1. It has been replaced by 3 400
 which we adopted, and I so move."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the motion for the 402
 adoption of Amendment to table Amendment #1. Those in 403
 favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have 404
 it, the motion carried, Amendment #1 is tabled. Any 405
 further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments." 407

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 2967. 2967. Oh, pardon 409
 me, that's ah, where are we here? I guess we've gone 410
 through all the Second Readings for the first go 411
 around, didn't we. On page 3, no, it's not on page 3.
 I've got some things marked on page 3. On the Order 412
 of House Bills, Third Reading, there's House Bill 413
 3577. That's on page 16, Representative Terzich.
 Representative Terzich. 3577." 415

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3577. A Bill for an Act to amend 417
 Sections of the Illinois Vehicle Code. Third Reading 418
 of the Bill."

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I'd like leave of the House to 420
 bring back the Bill for Second Reading for purposes of 421
 an Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Terzich has requested leave 423

to return 3577 to the Order of Second Reading. Does 424
 he have leave? Hearing no objection leave is granted. 425
 Are there any Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, Terzich, amends House 427
 Bill 3577 on page 1 by deleting line 1 and inserting 428
 in lieu thereof the following and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Terzich." 430

Terzich: "Well, I move that we um, ah, adopt Amendment #1. 432
 What it does um, is it applies the effective date of 433
 January 1, 1982, which the Secretary of State would 434
 need to affect a change, and I would move for
 adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mulcahey." 436

Mulcahey: "Ah, Mr. Speaker, could we...ah, would the Sponsor 438
 indicate what that does again. It was really 439
 difficult hearing him."

Terzich: "The Bill provides the replacement of municipal and 441
 state plates, to make them permanent plates and 442
 according to the Secretary of State that approves the 443
 Bill, ah, it was admitted that the effective date of 444
 January 1, 1982 would be necessary to affect a change, 445
 because they already...they're two year plates and
 that was for 80 and 81 so 82 would be the year for the
 change on the plates." 446

Mulcahey: "Okay, thank you, Bob." 448

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman." 450

Schlickman: "Will the Sponsor yield?" 452

Speaker Redmond: "He will." 454

Terzich: "Yes." 456

Schlickman: "According to our staff analysis, the Secretary 458
 of State was going to offer an Amendment to change 459
 from an external decal to a permanent plate."

Terzich: "That's correct. The Amendment includes that as 461
 well."

Schlickman: "As well as the effective date." 463

Terzich: "Correct." 465

Schlickman: "Thank you." 467

Terzich: "This was presented by the Secretary of State's 469
Office. I'm sorry, the staff analysis tells me that 470
it's incorporated in #3, so I move to table Amendment 471
#1. The effective date is in Amendment #3, I'm sorry. 472
So I would move to table Amendment #1."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Terzich withdraws Amendment 474
#1 to House Bill 3577. Any further Amendments?" 475

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, McCourt, amends House 477
Bill 3577 on page 10, line 11 and so forth." 478

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Terzich." 480

Terzich: "Well, I have no objection to Amendment #2. It's 482
Representative McCourt's Amendment." 483

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Terzich has moved the 485
adoption of Amendment 2...Representative McCourt, 486
Mccourt, McCourt, pardon me. Representative McCourt."

McCourt: "Ah, Mr. Speaker..." 488

Speaker Redmond: "Will you please break up the caucus back 490
there between Representative McCourt and the Chair. 491
Representative Piel, Huff, Henry."

McCourt: "Mr. Speaker, Amendment #2 was agreed upon in 493
Committee. Basically it takes care of a problem the 494
Secretary of State's Office has in issuing CV license 495
plates. These are license plates that are issued at a 496
reduced fee to charitable and religious organizations, 497
and there has been some abuse in this and the 498
Secretary of State suggested a language that would 499
tighten up the issuance of these particular CV plates.
And it was agreed to in Committee." 500

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McCourt moves the adoption 501
of Amendment 2. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', 502
opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the motion carried, 503
the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, Terzich, amends House 505
Bill 3577 on page 1 by deleting line 1 and inserting 506
in lieu thereof the following and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Terzich." 508

Terzich: "Yes, Amendment #3 requires the registration plates 510
as permanent plates for State vehicles, additionally, 511
the plates would be issued for on a one time fee basis 512
of \$8 for municipal plates on vehicles owned and 513
operated by public libraries as well as placing the 514
effective date of January 1, 1982, and I would move
for its adoption. This was submitted by the Secretary
of State's Office." 515

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman." 517

Schlickman: "Would the Sponsor yield?" 519

Speaker Redmond: "He will." 521

Schlickman: "As I understand it, by this Amendment, we are 523
restoring the \$8 registration fee but it's a one-time 524
payment."

Terzich: "That's correct..." 526

Schlickman: "There is a \$8...the municipality unit of local 528
government will receive a permanent ah, license 529
plate."

Terzich: "Right, then they wouldn't have to continuously 531
renew and renew and renew every year." 532

Schlickman: "I'm sorry, what did you say?" 534

Terzich: "...registered in one permanent plate." 536

Schlickman: "And later on when that plate deteriorates, 538
becomes damaged, will the municipality have to pay an 539
additional \$8 or will just get a free replacement?"

Terzich: "Well, the...I'm not sure of that, Representative. 541
They may pay for the replacement of the plate, which 542
might be a \$6 fee like we exchange now, but I haven't 543
cleared that."

Schlickman: "Thank you." 545

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? The question is on the 547
Gentleman's motion for...Representative Getty." 548

Getty: "Will the Gentleman yield?" 550

Speaker Redmond: "He will." 552

Getty: "Ah, referring to page 1 of the Amendment, lines 16 554

and 17, you strike vehicles operated by or for county, 555
 township or municipal corporation. Now you apparently 556
 intend to put that back in on page 4, by...line 3 by
 providing for an \$8 permanent fee from municipal 557
 plates. But there's no specific..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Simms, for what purpose do 559
 you arise?"

Simms: "Ah, the camera light is not on and there is a 561
 Gentleman in the press corps that is taking pictures 562
 without the permission of the House. And I suggest 563
 that he follow the rules of the House."

Speaker Redmond: "You are correct. There will be no taking 565
 of pictures until the light is on. Representative 566
 Polk."

Polk: "Well, Mr. Speaker, along that same line, I would 568
 implore you and the gate guards to ah, carry out the 569
 responsibilities of this House. Today is an extremely 570
 important day. We are trying to get some business
 taken care of now before apparently another issue that 571
 has some magnitude in the State is going to be called. 572
 There are people unauthorized on this floor, it's 573
 impossible to hear the extremely important debate on
 second. It makes us all look ridiculous. I would 575
 please, Sir, implore you to have all people removed
 from the floor that do not belong here." 576

Speaker Redmond: "I think your point is well taken and the 578
 Members know full well the rules of the House and 579
 who's permitted access to the chamber. In order to 580
 avoid any embarrassment, it is requested that all
 persons not entitled to the floor please leave, 581
 because we are going to ask that they be escorted off 582
 the floor and there might be some embarrassment. So
 please, to spare yourself and spare the Chair the 583
 embarrassment of enforcing the rules of this House. 584
 Is there anything further on the discussion on the 585
 question of Amendment #3? Representative Getty."

Getty: "I had posed a question to Representative Terzich 587
before the point of order..."

Terzich: "Well, it's my understanding that the counties, 589
townships and municipalities are all come under the 590
'M' plates and they would fall in that category."

Getty: "The problem is the Amendment does not specifically 592
set that forth. I know you wouldn't want to pass 593
something out that was defective, and I just want to 594
be sure that we are going to not have a problem with
counties and townships, because of the limitation you 595
are placing in line 3 by all of page 4 by only 596
referring to municipalities."

Terzich: "Well, ah, Representative Getty, I would move for 598
the adoption, and I'll have the staff check that out 599
and if it's necessary, we'll just bring it back for an 600
Amendment, that's all."

Getty: "Are you saying that it is clearly your legislative 602
intent here to continue to include counties and 603
townships although you have referred to them as, as 604
municipal plates?"

Terzich: "That's correct." 606

Getty: "Thank you." 608

Terzich: "I'll move for adoption, Mr. Speaker." 610

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Terzich moves for the 612
adoption of Amendment 3. Those in favor say 'aye', 613
'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the motion 614
carried, the Amendment is adopted. Any further
Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments." 616

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. On page 7, House Bills, 618
Third Reading, appears House Bill 2876. 619
Representative Molloy is recognized. The Gentleman 620
between Representative Molloy and the Chair please sit
down. Please break up the caucus in the aisle there. 621
Mayor Klosak, doorkeeper, Representative Schoeberlein, 622
please sit down. Representative Molloy."

Molloy: "Mr. Speaker...Mr. Speaker, I'd like permission to 624
 ah, from the House, to have House Bill 2876 moved from 625
 the Order of Third Reading to the Order of Second 626
 Reading for the purposes of an Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Does the Gentleman have leave? Hearing no 628
 objection, leave is granted. 2876 will be returned to 629
 the Order of Second Reading. Are there any Amendments 630
 from the floor, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, Molloy, amends House 632
 Bill 2876 on page 1, line 11 and so forth." 633

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Molloy." 635

Molloy: "Ah, Amendment #2 would in effect add the, at the 637
 present time, the Bill carries the notice that the 638
 insurance companies insuring credit union accounts 639
 have to be approved by the Director of the Department
 of Financial Institutions. Amendment #2 would in 640
 effect also add that it has to be approved by the 641
 Director of Insurance, and that each approved
 insurance company shall be licensed in Illinois and a 642
 member of the Illinois Insurance Guaranty Fund. I 643
 would move for the adoption of Amendment #2."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mulcahey." 645

Mulcahey: "Would the Sponsor yield?" 647

Molloy: "Yes." 649

Speaker Redmond: "He will." 651

Mulcahey: "Is there any opposition to this Amendment? What 653
 is the posture of..."

Molloy: "Not that I know of..." 655

Mulcahey: "...the credit unions, the posture of the credit 657
 unions..."

Molloy: "No, they're for it, the Department of Financial 659
 Institutions is for it and the Director of Insurance 660
 is for it."

Mulcahey: "Thank you." 662

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schuneman." 664

Schuneman: "Question of the Sponsor, Mr. Speaker. Ah, 666

Representative, I'm sorry, I missed your explanation 667
of this. Could you play that through me, for me 668
again."

Molloy: "Presently, the Bill states that credit, ah, 670
insurance companies insuring credit union accounts 671
receive the approval of the Director of the Department 672
of Financial Institutions. This Amendment would also
require that the insurance company receive the 673
approval of the Director of the Department of 674
Insurance and such accounts be insured or covered
under the Illinois Insurance Guaranty Fund." 675

Schuneman: "Okay, thank you very much." 677

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? The question's, 679
Representative Getty."

Getty: "Would this in any way affect the amount of insurance 681
that would be afforded as opposed to that which is 682
currently provided to a share account?"

Molloy: "Well, the insured accounts are now, based on 684
federal insurance or \$100,000, similar to what the 685
banks and savings and loans are."

Getty: "Would it remain at a \$100,000?" 687

Molloy: "\$100,000 for each account." 689

Getty: "And under the Amendment that you have, the Illinois 691
Insurance Guaranty Fund, that automatically provides 692
the same \$100,000?"

Molloy: "Well, if it doesn't, they promised to have it 694
amended that it will."

Getty: "So you might need additional legislation to take 696
care of that."

Molloy: "Yes, that is correct, that was the thinking of the 698
Department of Insurance. They're researching that 699
now, but if it should be, they would ask for that 700
approval."

Getty: "Alright." 702

Molloy: "And of course, if, it wouldn't be operative until 704
such approval came."

Getty: "Alright, Representative Molloy, would you, would you 703
hold this Bill in the Senate if it became apparent 707
that would not, that we would not have the \$100,000?" 708

Molloy: "Yes, I would." 710

Getty: "Thank you." 712

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? The question is on the 714
Gentleman's motion for adoption of Amendment 2. Those 715
in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' 716
have it. The motion carried, the Amendment is 717
adopted. Any further Amendments?" 717

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments." 719

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. On page 9, on House Bills, 721
Third Reading, appears House Bill 2941, Representative 722
Satterthwaite is recognized. Incidentally, for the 723
benefit of the Members and the guests, we now have a 724
sound system in Room 118 and also at the west door of 725
the Capitol for people who cannot get into the House 725
Chamber if they desire to hear the proceedings either 726
at the west door or in Room 118. Proceed. 2941, 726
Representative Satterthwaite."

Satterthwaite: "I would request leave of the Membership to 728
bring the Bill back to Second Reading for the purpose 729
of an Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Satterthwaite." 731

Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker, I ask leave of the 733
Membership..."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay, does she have leave...does she have 735
leave to return to the Order of Second Reading? 736
Hearing no objection, leave is granted. Any 737
Amendments from the floor, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, Satterthwaite, amends 739
House Bill 2941 as amended by deleting the title and 740
inserting in lieu thereof the following and so forth." 741

Speaker Redmond: "Is this Amendment been distributed?" 743

Satterthwaite: "Yes." 745

Speaker Redmond: "Mr. Page, is this been distributed, 747

Amendment 2, I'm advised that it has been distributed. 743
Representative Satterthwaite."

Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, Amendment 750
#2 does not really change the thrust of the Bill, but 751
it designs to address some of the questions that the 752
Committee Members in Judiciary I had. It clarifies 753
that other information in addition to blood test
results will be used in order to establish paternity 754
in a contested case. I know of no opposition to the 755
Amendment and I ask for approval please."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is on, 757
Representative Brummer."

Brummer: "Yes, maybe the Sponsor indicated, I could not hear 759
with the noise level, ah, indicated that it addressed 760
specifically some concerns that the Judiciary Members 761
had. What were those concerns, if you would refresh
my recollection?"

Satterthwaite: "Well, I talked with Representative Greiman 763
after that meeting, because he had expressed some 764
reservation in Committee although he voted to pass it 765
out of Committee. In working with the Staff, we have
come with some additional clarification of the 766
language that we think makes it feasible for the court 767
to understand that something other than the blood test
results need to be provided in order to establish 768
paternity."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further?" 770

Brummer: "I, I still don't understand specifically how this 772
improves the Bill. What specifically or precisely was 773
done?"

Satterthwaite: "If you look at the Amendment on page 2, line 775
16 through 19, that is the significant new language 776
that we have put in there. And we have also put in 777
more specific nomenclature for the types of blood
testing that should be included. On page 1, lines 18 778
and 19, we have said including but not limited to 779

blood grouping tests and Human Leucocyte Antigen tests 779
to clarify that we're not talking about the old tests 780
that were available in 1957 when the Bill was, when 781
the current Statutes were passed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further discussion? The question is 783
on the Lady's motion for the adoption of Amendment 2. 784
Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 785
'ayes' have it. The motion carried. The Amendment is
adopted. Any further Amendments?" 786

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments." 788

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. On page 8, on the Order of 790
House Bills, Third Reading, appears House Bill 2898. 791
Representative Preston is recognized. For what 792
purpose do you rise?"

Preston: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I would ask leave of the 794
House to return this to the Order of Second Reading." 795

Speaker Redmond: "Does he have leave? Hearing no objection, 797
leave is granted. Any Amendment from the floor, Mr. 798
Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2, Preston, amends House Bill 800
2898 as amended by deleting the title and inserting in 801
lieu thereof the following and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Preston." 803

Preston: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of 805
the House, Amendment 2 to House Bill 2898 is a 806
categorical exemption of the sales tax which would 807
remove the sales tax off certain categories of foods, 808
and that would be done increasingly over a three-year 809
period. In year one, as well as prescription 810
medicines I might add, in year one, the sales tax
would be completely eliminated from prescription 811
medicines and medical appliances as well as from beef, 812
poultry, fish, both in frozen and cured beef, poultry 813
and fish, and certain other items. Here too, other
types of meat and produce would be exempted from the
sales tax. In year three, all foods would be exempted

from the sales tax with the exception of the junk 814
 foods, candy, chewing gum, and soft drinks, soft 815
 beverages. Ah, this has worked out at the sales tax
 subcommittee of the Revenue Committee at hearings that 816
 we had held. It's been worked out with members of 817
 the Retail Merchants Association with representatives
 of the Senior citizens group from throughout the 818
 State, and I would strongly urge your support of this 819
 Bill. The citizens of Illinois need this Bill to 820
 pass. Senior citizens are behind it. In general,
 this is what we've been looking for and I would 821
 strongly urge your support."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Representative Yourell." 823

Yourell: "Yes, ah, would the Gentleman yield to a question?" 825

Preston: "He will." 827

Speaker Redmond: "He will." 829

Yourell: "Representative, how does this differ in the 831
 medical provision exempting the sales tax on 832
 prescription and non-prescription drugs and medical 833
 appliances from House Bill 2822?"

Preston: "Ah, Representative, this Bill differs in this 835
 exempts only prescription medicines from the sales 836
 tax."

Yourell: "Not generic, generic drugs or medical appliances?" 838

Preston: "It eliminates medical appliances but only 840
 prescription drugs."

Yourell: "Not generic drugs." 842

Preston: "Not generic drugs, that is correct." 844

Yourell: "Thank you." 846

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bowman." 848

Bowman: "Will the Gentleman yield for a question?" 850

Speaker Redmond: "He will." 852

Bowman: "Representative, how does this Bill differ from 854
 Senate Bill 1457 sponsored by Senator Sangmeister on 855
 the same subject?"

Preston: "Ah, it differs in a number of technical respects, 857

Representative. Number one, this does not eliminate 858
the sales tax completely at any point because junk 859
foods will not through this provision be eliminated
from the sales tax, that's number one. There are 860
other differences as well. In the categories of foods 861
that are eliminated, from which the sales tax is 862
eliminated, the categories of foods differ and the 863
language categorizing those foods differ from Senator
Sangmeister's Bill. Ah, as one example of that, in 864
year one under this provision, breads, rolls and 865
cereals are eliminated from the sales tax; that is not
the situation under Senator Sangmeister's Bill. The 866
categories have been changed because of the fiscal 867
impact of the categories as well as to look towards 868
some of the input that we got at the subcommittee
hearings from nutritionists who wanted additions to 869
the categories. So in that respect, there is a 870
difference."

Bowman: "I see, could you give us an estimate of the revenue 872
impact in each of the three fiscal years? Or is it 873
three fiscal years or three calendar years, first of 874
all and second of all what is the fiscal impact on
each of the affected fiscal years?" 875

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ewing. Representative 877
Bowman."

Bowman: "I asked the Speaker, the Gentleman a question..." 879

Preston: "Ah, yes, in Fiscal Year 1981, Representative 881
Bowman, the fiscal impact, I am told, will be a 882
\$200,000,000 impact, Fiscal Year 1982, 337, Fiscal 883
Year 1983, 442.4."

Bowman: "442, are those cumulative? In another words..." 885

Preston: "No, they are not." 887

Bowman: "Those are the each...the 307 for Fiscal Year 82 889
then is 307 above the..."

Preston: "...the 337..." 891

Bowman: "...okay, 337, that is above and beyond the 893

200,000,000 from Fiscal 81, right? Is that what you are saying?" 894

Preston: "No, that's the total amount of relief provided in that year, Representative." 896

Bowman: "Okay, so that accumulates the relief that was off for the prior year." 898

Preston: "Yes, yes, that is correct." 900

Bowman: "Got it, thank you very much." 902

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ewing." 904

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, as the Minority Spokesman of Revenue, I'd like to ask the Sponsor some questions, Mr. Speaker?" 906
907

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ewing?" 909

Ewing: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I'd like a little order here and the opportunity as the Minority Spokesman to question the Sponsor of this Amendment. This is a very..." 911
912
913

Speaker Redmond: "Give the Gentleman some order please." 915

Ewing: "I think this is a very important Amendment which we are considering and it completely changes the Bill as passed out of the Revenue Committee. And I wonder if the Sponsor would just quickly reiterate for me, I didn't hear it for all the noise, what his Amendment does. How it takes this tax off." 917
918
919
920

Preston: "I'd be glad to, Representative. First, in year one, the sales tax would be completely eliminated as is stated in page 2 of the Amendment from prescription medicines, from fresh and cured meat, poultry, and fish, from dairy products, from bread, rolls and cereals. That would not be a reduction of the sales tax, that sales tax would be completely eliminated from those items in year one. In year two, the sales tax would, in addition to those items, be completely eliminated from all meat and meat products, poultry and poultry products, fish and fish products, in addition to just fresh and cured meat, it would be all meat, canned, dehydrated and so on. In addition in

that year two, the sales tax would be completely removed from fresh, canned and dehydrated produce and produce products. In year three, the sales tax on all foods to be consumed off the premises with certain exceptions, those exceptions being alcoholic beverages, soft drinks, chewing gum and candy, in that third year, the sales tax would be, with those exceptions, completely removed and eliminated."

Ewing: "How does this affect the local tax? When we, when you completely eliminate it from an item, how does that affect the local tax?"

Preston: "There would be reimbursement as provided in this Amendment on page, I believe it's page 15 of the Amendment, there would be reimbursement to local governments..."

Ewing: "...by the State."

Preston: "From the State."

Ewing: "Yes, now, does the tax go back up on everything else that we have reduced in the meantime? We put the tax actually back to five cents and then start taking it off, entirely off certain items, is that correct?"

Preston: "Ah, I don't believe that is correct, Representative, and I, if you'll hold on one minute, hold on just a minute. Excuse me, I beg your pardon, Representative, I am informed that is the..."

Ewing: "That is correct and we go back to five cents and then we start taking it off."

Preston: "As of August 1, that is correct."

Ewing: "Yes, how does this compare with the phase out as far as financial impact on the State compared to the phase out of one cent a year? Could you give us any kind of a comparison?"

Preston: "Ah, it's, I'm informed that the phase out would cost \$140,000,000 next year, Fiscal Year 81. This would cost 200,000,000 in that same time period."

Ewing: "Does this, is that extra money have anything to do

with the reimbursement of local tax monies by the State of Illinois?" 970

Preston: "The local, the money to the local governments is reimbursed by the State, if I understand your question." 972 973

Ewing: "But is that included in the fiscal impact?" 975

Preston: "It is included in the fiscal impact." 977

Ewing: "And is it, is there a difference, is that part of that difference between the 200,000,000 you said and the 140,000,000 under the current plan that we're following, the impact of reimbursing the locals?" 979 980 981

Preston: "They, Representative, they are not comparable because the phase out does not remove the local government percentage of the tax. So it's not a comparable situation. This calls for reimbursement, the other one does not, because it doesn't remove that tax." 983 984 985 986

Ewing: "Okay, so it actually, we're taking off a little more tax than and we're stepping up the phase out?" 988 989

Preston: "We are." 991

Ewing: "Yes, the cost of administration of this program..." 993

Speaker Redmond: "Representative VanDuyne, for what purpose do you arise?" 995

VanDuyne: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I rise on a point of personal privilege. I don't know what we're conducting here, whether it's a lesson on Illinois Government, are you listening, Mr. Speaker?" 997 998 999

Speaker Redmond: "I am." 1001

VanDuyne: "Ah, Illinois Government or what, but you know what all the people are up there in the gallery for. You know what we're here for. I'm sure Representative Preston's Bill is a very important Bill to him and to the rest of us, but the fact of the matter is we're getting nowhere. All that Sen...Representative Ewing is doing, Senator, did you hear that, Ewing? Ah, is doing, is carrying on some kind of a soliloquy." 1003 1004 1005 1006 1007 1008

Truly, Mr. Speaker, in the essence of good Government 1010
and time and then everybody can get back to a very
quiet, hum-drum affair of regular Government. I think 1011
you ought to go to the business that everybody is here 1012
for and call the Equal Rights Amendment and get it
over with."

Speaker Redmond: "The problem is the Sponsor is not on the 1014
floor and Representative Ewing and Representative 1015
Preston are within their rights."

VanDuyne: "Then, Mr. Speaker, I, then somebody, if not you, 1017
me, I'm one of the Sponsors so if the other guy don't 1018
want to carry it out, I'll carry it out. At least 1019
present it to the floor, get it over with."

Speaker Redmond: "We're not on that order, Representative 1021
Preston is within his rights, Representative Ewing is 1022
within his rights. Representative VanDuyne, for what 1023
purpose do you arise?"

VanDuyne: "Well, I'm sorry that I'm so abrasive. I didn't 1025
mean to hurt your feelings, but all I'm suggesting is 1026
that you, as Speaker, get on to that type. Call the 1027
Sponsor, get him out here and get everybody, make
everybody happy."

Speaker Redmond: "But one, Representative Ewing." 1029

Ewing: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, on a point of personal 1031
privilege, I listen a great deal to Representative 1032
VanDuyne from Will County, and I think that he might 1033
do very well to listen to this Bill. It'll be very
important in his county, to find out just exactly what 1034
is going to happen to sales tax. In fact it might be 1035
a lot more important than the Equal Rights Amendment.
You took longer, Mr. VanDuyne, to explain your 1036
position than we did questioning. All I would like to 1037
say to the Members of the House, is this is a very
important concept that this Sponsor is trying to put 1038
across. And it has to do with some of the problems 1039
arising from the phase out of the sales tax on food 1040

and drugs. I think all of us have heard from our 1040
retailers concerning the problems of administration. 1041
Now we have an Amendment here which would phase it out 1042
on certain items. There is a lot more expense,
considerably more expense. I would just caution all 1043
of you to look at this Amendment very closely and make 1044
your decision on a well-founded basis. Thank you very 1045
much, thank you, Mr. Sponsor."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Satterthwaite, for what 1047
purpose do you arise?"

Satterthwaite: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?" 1049

Speaker Redmond: "He will." 1051

Satterthwaite: "Representative Preston, I know that this is 1053
a concept that we've kicked around for awhile and I 1054
can see that had we started the system this way, it 1055
might have been a practical way to go. However,
changing it in the middle of a phase out seems to me 1056
to have some additional questions. But the main 1057
question I have today is if this Amendment goes on
your Bill, what would be the impact for replacement in 1058
regard to the special tax that went on in the RTA area 1059
last year? Would the State be reimbursing the RTA 1060
counties for that money as well?"

Preston: "Yes, Representative, that is correct." 1062

Satterthwaite: "And how would that reimbursement be made?" 1064

Preston: "From the General Revenue." 1066

Satterthwaite: "Well, I'm trying to see what impact it would 1068
have in terms of the State Treasury and the 1069
reimbursement process. Currently, we provide a 1070
portion of the income tax to go back to local
municipalities. How does your Bill change that? Is 1071
this to come from the income tax or what is the 1072
replacement mechanism?"

Preston: "As stated on page 24 of the Bill, the General 1074
Assembly shall annually appropriate to the Department 1075
of Revenue from the General Revenue Fund an amount 1076

sufficient to reimburse the Regional Transportation Authority, all municipalities and all counties for revenues lost as a result of the exemption of food, drugs and medical appliances for human consumption provided for in this Section." 1076
1077
1078

Satterthwaite: "This would come directly to the RTA area and then what is the mechanism for the other replacement to local municipalities?" 1079
1081
1082

Preston: "It would come from the General Revenue Fund." 1084

Satterthwaite: "Not a designated percentage of the income tax." 1086

Anonymous: "No, there's no designated, the reimbursement wouldn't come from a designated percentage. The income from..." 1088
1089

Preston: "No, no, Representative, just from the General Revenue Fund." 1091

Satterthwaite: "And how would we determine what that loss was?" 1093

Preston: "It would be on the basis of reports provided by the merchants on the exempt sales." 1095
1096

Satterthwaite: "Do the merchants now make that kind of a reporting system on the percentages of sales that are applicable for certain items?" 1098
1099

Preston: "Alright, they do make those reports now, however, under the provisions of this Amendment, the reporting would have to be somewhat more, more detailed than it is now right now. And we are now working with the Department of Revenue on that." 1101
1102
1103
1104

Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, with this clarification, I have two points I would like to make in regard to what will happen. I think that we will be putting our retail merchants in a position of having now to go from one system that is already under way to another system where they will be obligated to do a different kind of reporting system. The other more important point I think for those of us downstate

is that if this Bill were to pass with this Amendment 1112
 on it, we would presumably be totally underwriting the 1113
 special tax that went on the RTA area in relationship
 to food and medicine. Without some further 1114
 clarification about how much of the state revenue 1115
 would be going to that purpose I feel that I will vote
 against the Amendment." 1116

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Darrow." 1118

Darrow: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen 1120
 of the House, here again we have a sly fellow from 1121
 Chicago coming down to pick the pocket of the state 1122
 taxpayers. Again what we have is an attempt to fund
 the Regional Transportation Authority. We from 1123
 downstate thought that they were going to go on their 1124
 own and that they were going to use their own money to
 fund their transportation system. But here again we 1125
 see that they want their foot in the door and here 1126
 again they are going to try to make the state pay for 1127
 it. I feel that this Amendment, as does Helen
 Satterthwaite, will cause nothing but trouble for the 1128
 downstate Legislators and I would urge them to vote 1129
 'no'. Thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from 1131
 Lake, Mr. Pierce."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, The 1133
 Gentleman from Cook, Representative Preston, has been 1134
 one of the hardest working Members of the House 1135
 Revenue Committee. The subcommittee on sales tax that
 he chairs has hearings in Chicago, public hearings. 1136
 Among the ideas that came up on those hearings was 1137
 instead of taking a penny off the sales tax, why don't
 we remove certain categories entirely such as fresh 1138
 food, and vegetables, dairy products, and so on; 1139
 maintain the full tax on other categories and no tax 1140
 on the categories that are most needed for good 1141
 nutrition and health. He's taking a Bill of his own 1142

here and he wants to amend the Bill to present that 1142
 idea to you on Third Reading. Some of the previous 1143
 speakers acted like this Bill was on Third Reading. 1144
 This is the Amendment stage. The Representative,
 Representative Preston, has an idea that should be 1145
 debated on Third Reading, has an idea that should be 1146
 considered by this House. It's his Bill, 2898. And I
 think he should have the right to amend that Bill on 1147
 Second Reading in the manner that he feels is 1148
 important and in the manner that his constituents 1149
 want. He's presenting us with an alternative concept
 to the concept that we've already passed out of the 1150
 House, to take another cent off the sales tax on food 1151
 and medicine. His concept is worthy of our serious
 study. Let us at this time allow him to amend House 1152
 Bill 2898 with this Amendment #2 that would take the 1153
 sales tax completely off certain categories of food 1154
 and medicine. Let's give him that, let's give him
 that courtesy. He deserves it, he's one of the 1155
 hardest working Members of the House Revenue Committee
 and as Chairman of the Sales Tax Subcommittee of the 1156
 House Revenue Committee. And as Chairman of the 1157
 Revenue Committee, I ask you to allow Representative
 Preston to put his Bill in the condition that he 1158
 desires, to bring it before us where it can be fully 1159
 debated. And therefore, I support his motion to adopt 1160
 Amendment #2."

Speaker Bradley: "It appears that we might have a Roll Call 1162
 vote on this, so we'd better take Roll Call for 1163
 attendance at this time. Roll Call for attendance. 1164
 Further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr.
 Piel."

Piel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield?" 1166

Speaker Bradley: "Indicates he will." 1168

Piel: "Representative Preston, did you file a request for a 1170
 Fiscal Note on this yesterday?" 1171

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Preston?" 1173

Preston: "Ah, yes, Representative, I did." 1175

Piel: "Have you received this yet? Have you received this 1177
Fiscal Note yet? Well, has the Clerk received the 1178
Fiscal Note yet on this?"

Preston: "No, I have not." 1180

Piel: "Question of the Chair. Can this be ah, can this be 1182
moved without a Fiscal Note when he has requested 1183
one?"

Speaker Bradley: "No. It cannot be moved to Third Reading 1185
until if there has been a request until the Fiscal 1186
Note is filed."

Piel: "Another question of the..." 1188

Preston: "Mr. Speaker, I have Fiscal Note here that I can 1190
file right now."

Piel: "Another question, maybe I misunderstood you, 1192
Representative Preston, what did you say that the cost 1193
of the State would be per year, first year?"

Preston: "Year one would be \$200,000,000." 1195

Piel: "\$200,000,000, before you said it was \$140,000,000..." 1197

Preston: "No, I said, I said the one cent for the one cent 1199
the Governor's package is the one, 140,000,000. This 1200
is 200,000,000. \$60,000,000 more taxes saved by the 1201
people of Illinois."

Piel: "What is the figure, what is the figure as far as 1203
local Government that the State would be paying and 1204
the local Governments in the RTA, what would the State 1205
be paying there?"

Preston: "Ah, Representative, I'd like to answer that 1207
question. I just handed the only copy of my fiscal 1208
information that I had up to the Clerk." 1209

Piel: "I'll wait." 1211

Preston: "It's up there." 1213

Piel: "I'll wait. Another question, ah, a very good example 1215
before we get that figure, Representative, are you 1216
listening?"

Preston: "I'm always listening, Representative." 1218

Piel: "Very good. Ah, another question, what happens if a 1220
 person goes in and let's say you put poultry on there 1221
 and that person buys a turkey."

Preston: "What happens if a person buys a turkey?" 1223

Piel: "Would that be exempt?" 1225

Preston: "That would...if it's a fresh turkey, yes." 1227

Piel: "Now is a frozen turkey, would not..." 1229

Preston: "A frozen turkey in year one would not be exempt. 1231
 If it's a frozen turkey in year two, it would in fact 1232
 be exempt. In year one only fresh and cured meat, 1233
 poultry and fish is exempt from the sales tax."

Piel: "Okay. In other words, what you are doing, you're 1235
 sitting here and asking the checkout girl to decipher 1236
 what's tax exempt, what's not tax exempt and..."

Preston: "No, very clearly, I'm asking to decide... That's 1239
 exactly correct which is the same thing under any of 1240
 the Bills that have been proposed. What we're doing 1241
 is asking them to decide what is fresh, what is 1242
 frozen. That's what the retail merchants wanted us to 1243
 do. This was worked out with the Retail Merchants.
 It was at their request and with their approval."

Piel: "The way you have the Amendment written, I was 1245
 wondering why did you jump around, certain things, you 1246
 know, would be exempt, certain ones wouldn't. Why 1247
 didn't you just take one strict category like all 1248
 meats, all poultry, all fresh?"

Preston: "The reason, the reason for that, the reason for 1250
 that, Representative, was strictly based on the 1251
 revenue lost to the State. I wanted to put together 1252
 here a Bill that would not only make headlines but 1253
 that would indeed pass the House of Representatives,
 the Senate and would indeed be signed by the Governor 1254
 of Illinois. I had, we could have just put in 1255
 something to say exempt all foods at all times. But 1256
 that would not become Law in this State. These

categories were determined first, on what would provide a workable revenue loss, secondly, what would also provide immediately well-balanced meals to poor people and others who today are taxed on buying those foods. If we eliminate, if you look at the first year categories that would be exempt from the sales tax, you will see that you can have a well-balanced meal from within those categories without having to pay tax."

Piel: "You started out besides you wanted, besides getting a Bill for headlines you wanted get one that the Governor would sign. I hope that you're not doing this strictly for publicity in an election year. You're not doing that are you?"

Preston: "No, I'm certainly not."

Piel: "I didn't think you would be. Ah, question, your Fiscal Note, who was that filed from? That was the Department of Revenue, wasn't it?"

Preston: "Economic and Fiscal Commission."

Piel: "Question of the Chair. Doesn't this have to be from the Department of Revenue? Mr. Speaker, Mr. Speaker. The Fiscal Note, shouldn't it be filed from the Department of Revenue saying that it's going to be an encumbrance on the State Budget?"

Speaker Bradley: "The Fiscal Note is from the Fiscal Economic Commission and the Chair will accept that Fiscal Note. The Gentleman from Will, Mr. VanDuyne."

VanDuyne: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman moves the previous question. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Preston to close the debate."

Preston: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, first of all in answer to some of the

comments that had been made by the distinguished 1292
 Representatives here, this Bill, part of the reason
 for introducing this Bill in the first place was 1293
 because the retail merchants found the present system
 a bit unworkable. They found problems in it. This 1294
 Bill was designed to fix those problems. We are now 1295
 eliminating pursuant to this Amendment that's being 1296
 offered the sales tax on major categories of food
 items, not taking a penny off, not requiring different 1297
 types of cash registers, but completely eliminating 1298
 the sales tax, so that poor people, people living on
 fixed incomes and indeed all of us in this Body and 1299
 outside of this House can get well-balanced meals 1300
 without being taxed on it. At the same time, in order
 to work, to arrive at a workable program where the 1301
 revenue loss is not more than the State of Illinois 1302
 can afford, we are doing it in a phased in situation
 where year one there are certain items taken off, year 1303
 two there are other items where the sales tax is 1304
 removed, year three there are still additional items.
 And we're leaving the sales tax on junk food. So that 1305
 we are not giving junk food items the benefit of this 1306
 tax relief. This is workable. Senior citizens who 1307
 testified at the Sales Tax Subcommittee Hearings
 requested this type of tax relief. In fact they 1308
 insisted on this type of tax relief. The Retail, the 1309
 Illinois Retail Merchants Association requested this
 type of workable tax relief. Illinois can afford it, 1310
 we can afford it now. The people of Illinois want it, 1311
 and they want it now. And it is workable. It is a 1312
 program that will work in the grocery store, and it's
 a program that we in Illinois need. And I'm asking 1313
 you as sincerely as I know how to vote yes on this 1315
 Amendment so we can move it to Third Reading, and we
 can have it pass, become Law in Illinois. Thank you." 1316
 Speaker Bradley: "The question is on the adoption of the 1318

Gentleman's motion. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. We're going to turn on the light for the TV cameras or other cameras to be used at this time, and the Gentleman from Effingham, Mr. Brummer to explain his vote."

Brummer: "Two items, number one, I thought the rules specifically precluded TV cameras being on during explanation of vote. Secondly..."

Speaker Bradley: "Pardon me, you're absolutely right, we'll turn off the light while we're having explanation of vote. We'll turn it back on later."

Brummer: "Secondly, with regard to this bad Amendment, I think everyone ought to look at this very closely. I was kind of amused that the Chairman of the Revenue Committee got up and spoke in support of this when the Revenue Committee, this Amendment completely revises the Bill. It is a completely different approach towards the whole sales tax removal, and if the Committee of the Revenue, the Revenue Committee of the House felt that this was such a good approach, I would suggest that they should have voted out that Bill rather than a completely different Bill, and now trying to amend this. This is a complete change of concept, we have not had detailed testimony with regard to it. Apparently, either the Department, the Revenue Committee of the House has not had the detailed testimony or they did not see fit to pass it out, one or the other, I'm not on that Committee, so I do not know. And the third thing, and most importantly, this reinstates the state subsidy to RTA that was removed last year as part of the transportation package. Once again we would be reinstating the RTA subsidy that is a concept that I think should demand long and hard look before we reinstitute that state subsidy, and I would urge a

'no' vote on this Amendment." 1347

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Peters. The 1349
Lady from Cook, Mrs. Currie to explain her vote." 1350

Currie: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, it is 1352
a new concept and it's a good concept. What this Bill 1353
says is that food that is necessary for nutritional 1354
purposes for human consumption shall be altogether 1355
exempt from the sales tax on food. That's a good way 1356
to go, a good way for this General Assembly to go. We 1357
will be encouraging people to eat meals that are 1358
balanced, that are healthy, that are nutritious. We 1359
did hear testimony contrary to Representative 1360
Brunner's suggestion. We heard full testimony in a 1361
subcommittee of the Revenue Committee, the Sales Tax 1362
Subcommittee. Nutritionists, senior citizen groups, 1363
many others came and testified in support of this 1364
concept. As to the RTA, this is not a reinstatement 1365
of the state subsidy to the RTA. It does treat in an 1366
up-front manner the issue of replacement revenues when 1367
we the State take away local revenues through the 1368
sales tax. We should have dealt with that last year. 1369
We would've had our Bill become Law, House Bill 2596. 1370
This Bill attempts to meet that issue up front. All 1371
it says is is that to the extent that our categorical 1372
exemptions take away revenue that otherwise properly 1373
belonged to the RTA by action of this General 1374
Assembly, the State will replace those dollars to the 1375
RTA, to the City of Chicago, to the City of Moline, to 1376
every community, to every local district dependent 1377
upon sales tax revenues in the State of Illinois.
Subsidy it is not. Replacement revenue it is." 1378

Speaker Bradley: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted 1379
who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this 1380
question there are 82 'ayes' and there are 62 'ayes', 1381
88 'nos' and the Gentleman's motion fails. For what 1382
purpose does the Gentleman from Livingston, Mr. Ewing 1383

arise?" 1376

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, are there more Amendments on this 1378
Bill?"

Speaker Bradley: "We'll find out." 1380

Ewing: "Well, before you move it to Third, I'd like to have 1382
ruling of the Chair, please." 1383

Speaker Bradley: "Further Amendments?" 1385

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments." 1387

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, I understand the Fiscal Note filed on 1389
this is by the Economic and Fiscal Commission, and the 1390
Law requires that it be done by the Department which 1391
is most directly affected which would be the 1392
Department of Revenue. And I would request that that 1392
proper Fiscal Note be filed before this Bill be moved 1393
to Third Reading."

Speaker Bradley: "I've already ruled on that point that we 1395
will accept the Fiscal Note from Economic and Fiscal 1396
Commission. Third Reading. Now we will turn the TV 1397
lights back on. Mrs. Younge on House Bill 3310. 1398
Where is that Bill, Mrs. Younge? Is that on Third 1398
Reading and you want it brought it back to Second?" 1399

Younge: "No, it's on Second Reading." 1401

Speaker Bradley: "Alright, House Bill 3310 on Second 1403
Reading."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3310. A Bill for an Act to make 1405
an appropriation to the Metro-East Depressed Area Land 1406
Use and Community Development Authority. Second 1407
Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments." 1407

Speaker Bradley: "Amendments from the floor?" 1409

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, Younge, amends House 1411
Bill 3310 on page 1 by deleting lines 1 and 2." 1412

Speaker Bradley: "The Lady from St. Clair, Mrs. Younge." 1414

Younge: "This Amendment would change the Bill to make the 1416
subject matter agency the East St. Louis Housing 1417
Authority, and it is for an amount of \$200,000 to 1418
purchase FHA proper...ah, foreclosed properties and to

purchase tax delinquent properties to build housing, 1419
and I move for the adoption of the Amendment. Um, Mr. 1420
Speaker, I move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Bradley: "Discussion. The Gentleman from DuPage, 1422
Mr. Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, I'm sorry 1424
because of the, the noise in the chamber, I was unable 1425
to hear the Lady's explanation of the Amendment."

Speaker Bradley: "Your point is well taken, Mr. Hoffman." 1427

Younge: "The Amendment changes..." 1429

Speaker Bradley: "If our guests in the gallery would try to 1431
be as quiet as possible. It's a rather hectic day, so 1432
that the Members could hear the discussion. Would you 1433
explain the Amendment again, Mrs. Younge?"

Younge: "Yes, the Amendment changes the agency from the 1435
Illinois Housing...from the Depressed Areas Land Use 1436
Authority to the East St. Louis Housing Authority. 1437
And it appropriates the amount of \$200,000 so that the
public housing authority can buy foreclosed FHA 1438
properties and renovate them and tax delinquent
properties and renovate them so that people can live 1439
in them. And I move for the adoption of the 1440
Amendment."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Hoffman." 1442

Hoffman: "The...question of the Sponsor." 1444

Speaker Bradley: "She indicates that she will yield." 1446

Hoffman: "The first, the agency which is named in the Bill 1448
now, is that an agency that is now in place and you 1449
are substituting another agency that's in place?"

Younge: "No, the agency initially was an agency to be 1451
created. The agency which is the subject matter of 1452
the Amendment is an agency that is in being."

Hoffman: "Alright, so the first named agency does not exist. 1454
The second named agency does exist." 1455

Younge: "That's correct." 1457

Hoffman: "And it is a local agency and you're asking for 1459

\$200,000 of state money to go to this local agency for 1460
the purpose of purchasing housing which is now owned 1461
by the Federal Government. So you are taking State
money through a local agency to buy property that 1462
belongs at the present time to the Federal 1463
Government."

Younge: "The Housing Authorities were created by enabling 1465
legislation created by State Statutes. The, they are 1466
located all over the State, particularly in East St. 1467
Louis about 500 units of vandalized, vacant Federal
housing administration housing. The purpose of the 1468
Bill is to permit this State created, local authority 1469
to renovate these houses so that they can be sold and
occupied."

Hoffman: "Alright, I think I understand. I think I 1471
explained it correctly when I said you're asking for 1472
\$200,000 of State money to go to this local agency to 1473
buy property which belongs to the Federal Government.
Thank you very much."

Speaker Bradley: "Further discussion? If not the question's 1475
on the Lady's motion. All in favor signify by saying 1476
'aye', opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, 1477
the 'ayes' have it. Amendment is adopted. Further
Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments." 1479

Speaker Bradley: "Third Reading. Mrs. Younge on 3313." 1481

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3313. A Bill for an Act making 1483
an appropriation to the Illinois Community College 1484
Board. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was 1485
adopted in Committee."

Speaker Bradley: "Any motions relevant to Amendment #1?" 1487

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed." 1489

Speaker Bradley: "Amendments from the floor?" 1491

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments." 1493

Speaker Bradley: "Third Reading. House Bill 3327." 1495

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3327. A Bill for an Act making 1497

an appropriation for a family resource center program 1498
in a state community college in East St. Louis. 1499
Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted
in Committee."

Speaker Bradley: "Any motions relevant to Amendment #1?" 1501

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed." 1503

Speaker Bradley: "Amendments from the floor?" 1505

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments." 1507

Speaker Bradley: "Third Reading. House Bill 3013." 1509

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill..." 1511

Speaker Bradley: "Is that on Third Reading, Mrs. Stiehl, or
Second Reading? Mrs. Stiehl?" 1513
1514

Stiehl: "It's on Second Reading, Mr. Speaker." 1516

Speaker Bradley: "Second, Second Reading." 1518

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3013. A Bill for an Act to amend
Sections of the Revenue Act. Second Reading of the
Bill. Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted in Committee." 1520
1521
1522

Speaker Bradley: "Any motions relevant to Amendments #1 and
2?" 1524

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed." 1526

Speaker Bradley: "Floor Amendments?" 1528

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, Stiehl, amends House
Bill 3013 on page 2 by deleting lines 31 through 34." 1530
1531

Speaker Bradley: "The Lady from St. Clair, Mrs. Stiehl." 1533

Stiehl: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I'd like permission to
withdraw Amendment #3." 1535

Speaker Bradley: "She withdraws. Hearing no objections the
Amendment is withdrawn. Further Amendments?" 1537
1538

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4, C. M. Stiehl, amends
House Bill 3013 as amended by deleting the last
sentence of Section 103 and so forth." 1540
1541

Speaker Bradley: "The Lady from St. Clair, Mrs. Stiehl." 1543

Stiehl: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Amendment #4 simply
provides that a newspaper shall be entitled to a fee
of not more than thirty cents per column line for
publishing the assessment list. There was some 1544
1547

concern over Amendment #2 that the newspapers would 1548
 have difficulty in determining the fee to be charged. 1549
 And this simply, simply amends the language so that
 there will be, it just simply states there will be no 1550
 more than thirty cents a column line which is already 1551
 in the Statute. I would ask for its approval."

Speaker Bradley: "Discussion? The Gentleman from Effingham, 1553
 Mr. Brummer."

Brummer: "Yes, would the Sponsor yield?" 1555

Speaker Bradley: "She indicates she will." 1557

Brummer: "If you eliminate, if I understood your explanation 1559
 correctly, you indicated you were eliminating the 1560
 alternate calculation method."

Stiehl: "Ah, no, presently, Representative Brummer, the 1562
 Statute states that the fee of thirty cents per column 1563
 line shall be charged. Now that's a maximum and a 1564
 minimum. Some publishers do not need that amount, and 1565
 they have been charging less. By charging less, they 1566
 are circumventing the Law. And this just simply puts 1567
 them in compliance with the Law. The original 1568
 Amendment #2 that went on to the Bill in Committee 1569
 stated that they would be, that newspapers would be
 entitled to thirty cents per column line or the lowest
 published rate."

Brummer: "Are you eliminating that language, then?" 1571

Steele: "Yes." 1573

Brummer: "So the Bill would read that their charge would be 1575
 not more than thirty cents per column line." 1576

Steele: "Right." 1578

Brummer: "And the current Law says it shall be a flat thirty 1580
 cents."

Steele: "Right." 1582

Speaker Bradley: "Further discussion? If not the question 1584
 is on the Lady's motion. All in favor signify by 1585
 saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The 1586
 Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments." 1588

Speaker Bradley: "Third Reading. Mrs. Younge, 3206." 1590

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3206. A Bill for an Act to amend 1592
Sections of the Civil Administrative Code. Second 1593
Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Bradley: "Any Amendments from the floor?" 1595

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, Vinson, amends House 1597
Bill 3206 on page 1, line 1 by inserting..." 1598

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson." 1600

Vinson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, we discussed this Amendment 1602
at some length yesterday, and then discovered the 1603
Sponsor was not on the floor. I see she is today. I 1604
think the discussion has enlightened everybody. Mr. 1605
Getty made critically clear what the issues were. It 1606
was whether or not you favor Law and order. The 1607
Amendment should be voted on yes if you favor Law and 1608
order, and I think Representative Stuffle can speak to 1609
it as eloquently as anybody and I would yield to him." 1610

Speaker Bradley: "The Lady from St. Claire, Mrs. Younge." 1610

Younge: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I oppose this Amendment. 1612
It has nothing to do with Law and order. It has to do 1613
with whether or not the Governor of the State of 1614
Illinois will be given the authority to take over the 1615
Chicago Police Department. It has nothing to do with 1616
the subject matter of this Bill, and I, the Amendment 1617
is to give the Governor of the State of Illinois 1618
emergency powers to take over the Chicago Police 1619
Department. And I ask that this Amendment be voted 1620
down." 1621

Speaker Bradley: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from 1620
Cook, Mr. Getty." 1621

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, I rise to oppose this Amendment. And I 1622
want all of you who represent cities, villages 1623
throughout Illinois to realize just exactly what this 1624
is. This is an insidious attempt to permit the 1625
Executive Branch of Government in Illinois to take 1626

over your city, your village. It's no more and no 1626
less than that. To say that any Governor should have
the power to on his intervene for 120 and than extend 1627
it to 240 days to take over your Police Department, to 1628
take over your Government in Illinois is to say that 1629
you want to abdicate on behalf of your people. That's
wrong. This Amendment should be soundly defeated." 1630

Speaker Bradley: "Further discussion? Mr. Vinson to close 1632
the debate."

Vinson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker..." 1634

Speaker Bradley: "I'm sorry, hold it, the Gentleman from 1636
Cook, Mr. Cullerton, on the Amendment." 1637

Cullerton: "Will the Gentleman yield for a question? Ah, 1639
Representative Vinson, does this only apply to the 1640
City of Chicago?"

Vinson: "Yes, Sir, I want to make that critically clear. 1642
One of the previous speakers might of misled some 1643
people. It does only apply to the City of Chicago."

Cullerton: "Why should it only apply to Chicago and not to 1645
say, Clyde Robbins's district?" 1646

Vinson: "Well, because they don't have these kinds of 1648
problems, Representative."

Cullerton: "What kind of problems are you referring to?" 1650

Vinson: "Well, you might frequent yourself with the Tribune 1652
for the past month."

Cullerton: "Are you one who believes everything that you 1654
read in the newspapers?"

Vinson: "Well, I tend to believe the Tribune." 1656

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Wolf." 1658

Wolf: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I'm speaking 1660
as a Republican from the City of Chicago and certainly 1661
I consider myself a 100% Republican and not just in 1662
name only. I think this is one of the most ludicrous 1663
Amendments I have ever seen in my life, and I would 1664
ask any decent thinking Republican on this side of the
aisle to vote 'no'."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Coles, Mr. Stuffle." 1666

Stuffle: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield? 1668
 Representative Vinson, yesterday somewhat in jest and 1669
 somewhat seriously I asked you if this applied to 1670
 Clinton or Lincoln or any of the places in your 1671
 district or Charleston or Mattoon or any of the places 1672
 in mine, you said 'no, we didn't need this', but I see 1673
 today in the Springfield paper that they are buying 1674
 flak vests for your Police Department because of 1673
 problems there. Do you think you may need to extend 1674
 this to Lincoln now?"

Vinson: "No, no, we don't need it in Lincoln." 1676

Stuffle: "Thank you." 1678

Speaker Bradley: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from 1680
 Champaign, Mr. Wikoff on the Amendment." 1681

Wikoff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, should this Amendment go on 1683
 the Bill, would it then require a 107 votes since it 1684
 pre-empts home rule?"

Speaker Bradley: "Would the Parliamentarian please come to 1686
 the podium. Now look, it's only on Second Reading, 1687
 let's take that up at Third Reading if the Amendment 1688
 is adopted. Further discussion? The Gentleman from 1689
 DeWitt, Mr. Vinson to close."

Vinson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, what this Bill is an effort 1691
 to do is to help the people of Chicago protect 1692
 themselves. And I would urge an 'aye' vote on the 1693
 Amendment."

Speaker Bradley: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion. 1695
 All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. 1696
 All those in favor of the Gentleman's motion vote 1697
 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who 1698
 wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who 1699
 wish? What purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. 1699
 Katz arise?"

Katz: "To invite Representative Vinson to join the Judiciary 1701
 II Committee. I think we need his services." 1702

Speaker Bradley: "Have all voted who wish? Mr. Hoffman, 1704
 what purpose do you rise?"

Hoffman: "I think we ought to try to take some of those 1706
 'aye' votes off."

Speaker Bradley: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will 1708
 take the record. On this question, Mr. Meyer, what 1709
 purpose do you arise?"

Meyer: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House 1711
 and our guests up in the gallery, I'd like to announce 1712
 that we have a new award winner in the Brian B. Duff 1713
 Traveling Award for the Member who got the fewest
 number of votes on any Bill or Resolution, 1714
 Representative Sam Vinson of Clinton."

Speaker Bradley: "On this, where the Chair...alright on this 1716
 question there are 4 'ayes', 153 'nos' and the 1717
 Gentleman's motion fails and the Chair was wondering 1718
 if Mr. Vinson would like a copy of the Roll Call. The
 Gentleman from McLean, Mr. Ropp." 1719

Ropp: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I would like to 1721
 say that it is with great pleasure that I did have 1722
 that record only having 7, and we still retain it in 1723
 the 44th District."

Speaker Bradley: "Reluctantly by some. Further Amendments?" 1725

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments." 1727

Speaker Bradley: "Third Reading. House Bill 560. The Bill 1729
 is on Third Reading, the Gentleman asks leave to 1730
 return it to Second Reading. Are there any 1731
 objections? Hearing none, the Bill will be returned
 to Second Reading. It's been read a second time. The 1732
 Amendment."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2, Stuffle, amends House Bill..." 1734

Speaker Bradley: "Gentleman from Coles, Mr. Stuffle." 1736

Stuffle: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, Amendment 1738
 #2 is simply a technical Amendment. It deals with the 1739
 downstate firemen section of the Pension Code, 1740
 provides clarifying language with regard to the

definition of salary in terms of House Bill 2012 which 1741
we passed last year, which provides for an offset 1742
against salary to give a tax shelter to a public
employee pension contribution. It's necessary because 1743
of an enrolling problem last year with House Bill 1744
2012. The Amendment was proposed by the actuary from
the Pension Laws Commission. It is supported by them. 1745
I know of no organized opposition, and I move for
passage of Amendment #2." 1746

Speaker Bradley: "Any discussion? Hearing none, the 1748
question's on the Gentleman's motion. All in favor 1749
signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' 1750
have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further
Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments." 1752

Speaker Bradley: "Third Reading. On the Order of Second 1754
Reading appears House Bill 3229, Mr. Yourell. We'll 1755
read it a second time."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3229. A Bill for an Act to amend 1757
certain Acts provide for the transition of 1758
consolidation of elections. Second Reading of the 1759
Bill. Amendments #1, 2, 3 and 4 were adopted in
Committee."

Speaker Bradley: "Any motions relevant to those Amendments?" 1761

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed." 1763

Speaker Bradley: "Amendments from the floor." 1765

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #5, Virginia Frederick, 1767
amends House Bill 3229 on page 1, line 19 and so 1768
forth."

Speaker Bradley: "What purpose does the Gentleman from 1770
Wayne, Mr. Robbins rise?"

Robbins: "Ah, I have two Amendment #5's, I would I like to 1772
know which Amendment I am voting on." 1773

Speaker Bradley: "Read the Amendment." 1775

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #5, amends House Bill 3229 on page 1777
1, line 19 by inserting municipalities functioning on 1778

December 1, 1980 under the special Acts which created 1779
them or two immediately after of."

Speaker Bradley: "The Lady from Lake, Mrs. Frederick." 1781

Frederick: "Mr. Speaker, my name is Mrs. Frederick. I rise 1783
to propose this Amendment which allows municipalities 1784
functioning on December 1, 1980 under the special Acts 1785
which created them to continue holding their municipal 1786
elections as they have relative to the charter that 1787
was enacted which created the Community Government. 1788
In my district, is a community which enacted a charter 1789
in 1861. This charter has been followed in the 1790
election of our Mayor and City Council Members since 1791
that time. The consolidation of elections Law would 1792
really impact our city charter negatively, and I'm 1793
asking for a favorable vote for this Amendment." 1794

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Getty." 1795

Getty: "Will the Lady yield?" 1796

Speaker Bradley: "Indicates she will." 1797

Getty: "How many special charter municipalities are there in 1798
the State of Illinois?" 1799

Frederick: "I am not certain, but I believe there are 1801
eight." 1802

Getty: "And where are they located if you know?" 1803

Frederick: "I am not certain where they are all located 1804
either. There's one in Cicero, and I am really not 1805
sure where the others are." 1806

Getty: "So this would only take out those few 1807
municipalities." 1808

Frederick: "Yes." 1809

Getty: "Have, is there any reason why you seek to take out 1810
only those municipalities and not all municipalities?" 1811

Frederick: "Well, Sir, as an advocate of tradition and 1812
history which governs our city and has governed it 1813
since 1861, I would like to see us retain our charter 1814
intact. The consolidation of elections Law requires 1815
that we hold our elections on a different day than our 1816
1817
1818

charter has prescribed. We in our community elect our Mayor annually, and this too is impacted negatively by the consolidation of elections Laws, so that is why I ask for this Amendment." 1819
1820

Getty: "Do all special charter towns have different dates for elections?" 1822

Frederick: "I am not sure about that, I know that Willmette does, for example. Willmette is also another special charter community." 1824
1825

Getty: "Thank you very much." 1827

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Yourell." 1829

Yourell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in opposition to Amendment #5 to House Bill 3229. And I do so with great reluctance, because of my respect for the Sponsor of the Amendment. But we talked about the Amendment and at great length and at some detail, and I suggested to her that she should try to place the Amendment on, but I would certainly be in opposition, because what would happen, it would create a major exception from consolidation schedule and even though there are very few chartered districts or municipalities in the State of Illinois. And more importantly than creating another election date other than the five scheduled consolidated elections under the consolidated schedule, we don't know at this point in time what precisely the Laws that would govern the municipality's election if this Amendment were to pass. We would have to create many new election dates under the consolidated schedule, and this is certainly not in keeping with the intent of the consolidation of elections where we have worked so hard and so long to consolidate elections into five scheduled dates in a two-year period. And for that reason the fact that we would disrupt and provide exemptions to the schedule and we don't know what Laws would govern these special 1831
1832
1833
1834
1835
1836
1837
1838
1839
1840
1841
1842
1843
1844
1845
1846
1847
1848

elections, I urge you to oppose Amendment #5 to House 1849
Bill 3229."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Collins." 1851

Collins: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of 1853
the House, I too arise to oppose Amendment #5. Well, 1854
I can have great sympathy with the Lady and her 1855
purpose in offering this Amendment and in the minor
inconvenience that it may cause. I'd like to point to 1856
the House the years we have worked to put the 1857
consolidated schedule together with the least
discomfiture for municipalities and for any voting 1858
body. And after long debate we moved away from a 1859
position of four elections over a two-year period and
finally arrived at one in which many people made 1860
concessions, but we did arrive at least at a concensus 1861
of five elections over a two-year period. Now I think
that this is reasonable. It's going to put little 1862
burden on many people and while I admit that it will, 1863
it will inconvenience some people such as the charter 1864
district, I agree with Representative Yourell that it
would be a mistake to now to start to fragment the 1865
consolidation schedule, because you know as sure as I 1866
stand here that as soon as one exemption is granted
out of the schedule, there will be others cropping up 1867
until we have destroyed consolidation altogether. Now 1868
I know Members of this Body who would like to destroy
consolidation. But those of us who believe in it, we 1869
believe it is in the best interest of the voter, the 1870
best interest of the citizens of the State of
Illinois, I urge you to keep the Bill intact as it 1871
passed this House. Consolidation is to go into effect 1872
in December of this year. It is yet to have a real 1873
chance to operate, it is yet to have a real test.
Let's not start breaking it apart before we actually 1875
come under the consolidated schedule to see whether we
truly have a viable package or not. And so with 1876

reluctance expressed by Representative Yourell and 1877
with my own reluctance, I would urge you to defeat
this Amendment at this time."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Pierce." 1879

Pierce: "Ah, Mr. Speaker, as the previous speaker knows, I 1881
served with him many years ago on the Election Laws 1882
Commission and was a strong advocate along with 1883
Senator Keagan, Betty Ann Keagan from Rockford, and
himself of election consolidation. However, there are 1884
in this State certain municipalities created under 1885
contract, under special charter prior to the 1870
Constitution. One of those is the City of Lake Forest 1886
which lies partially in my district and partially in 1887
Representative Frederick's district, that's not
Friedrich, that's Mrs. Frederick, in her district. 1888
Now the City of Lake Forest under this special charter 1889
since the 1860's has been electing their Mayor
annually. Why shouldn't they have that right to elect 1890
their Mayor annually. They've been doing that all 1891
these years and they've never had a scandal, I don't
think they've ever had a Mayor go to jail for 1892
misfeasance in office, maybe in his business, but not 1893
in office. And therefore, I think the people of Lake 1894
Forest should have the right to elect their Mayor for
one year. That's about all anyone can take, although 1895
I think they do serve two terms. Now the Lady from 1896
Lake served on the Lake Forest City Council. She was 1897
the first woman alderman that served in that
community. And because of that I'm sure it's led to 1898
her support for equal rights for all women. But the 1899
same time, the people of Lake Forest, men and women,
have their special charter. It was granted by the 1900
State of Illinois in the 1860's. They want to elect 1901
their Mayor annually and this Amendment, Amendment 5
would allow them to continue to do that, and I support 1902
the Amendment although like the Lady from Lake, I 1903

support consolidation of elections." 1903

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Griesheimer." 1905

Griesheimer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I would also like to 1907
 add my voice in support for the Amendment proposed by 1908
 Representative Frederick. Keep in mind if you will, 1909
 Representative Yourell, that as far as the information 1910
 that I know on the special charter districts, this is 1911
 the only district that this will directly effect. As 1912
 I understand the rest of them have already made some 1913
 form of adjustments on their Mayoral elections. In 1914
 Lake Forest we have a very peculiar situation because 1915
 of the nature and scope of the people who participate 1916
 in Government. And this is probably the most serious 1917
 thing that has happened to them in their existence. 1918
 As you can appreciate this beautiful community that 1919
 bounds your coho salmon area wishes nothing more than 1920
 the opportunity to continue on as they've done for 1921
 about the last hundred and some years. I wouldn't 1922
 think that it would be a material crack in the 1923
 necessary consolidation of elections to allow Lake 1924
 Forest to do this. In fact, if it had been proposed I 1925
 would have certainly supported the Bill that did 1926
 nothing more than apply to the City of Lake Forest 1927
 only. We are not trying to attack consolidation of 1928
 elections. Most of the people in Lake Forest indeed 1929
 do want consolidation of elections, but they very much 1930
 need this opportunity. To the rest of the Members of 1931
 the House, let me assure you this is a local matter, 1932
 it has a minor effect upon anything statewide. It 1933
 will not affect the City of Chicago and Representative 1934
 Frederick is asking this for her hometown, and it does
 nothing but continue very good long-term government."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bluthardt." 1930

Bluthardt: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. 1932
 I see no harm in adopting this resolution to this 1933
 Bill. You know, this municipality is one of less than 1934

a handful of municipalities who are chartered 1934
municipalities. I think, I'm not even sure if we have 1935
the legal right to change that charter that was 1936
granted by the State of Illinois, maybe a hundred or
so years ago. At least we have a moral obligation to 1937
live up with the provisions, live up to the provisions 1938
of that charter. We're not doing any harm. These 1939
people are accustomed to electing their local 1940
officials each and every year. And I think that they
serve for a \$1 a year. I can't see how in the world 1941
this could harm the so called consolidation of 1942
elections concept by allowing the charter 1943
municipalities to abide by the charter that was
granted by the State of Illinois. And I would urge 1944
you to adopt this Amendment."

Speaker Bradley: "The Lady from Lake, Mrs. Frederick, to 1946
close the debate."

Frederick: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and 1948
Gentlemen of the House. I appreciate the affirmative 1949
comments that have been heard on this floor in support 1950
of my Amendment. I also appreciate the hard work and
the years that have been spent on the Consolidation of 1951
Election Bill. However, in my community, I would like 1952
to hold intact a charter that was framed in 1861 by 1953
our great-grandfathers. Our community loves and
respects tradition, and this election law does violate 1954
our charter. So I most respectfully ask for a 'yes' 1955
vote on this Amendment."

Speaker Bradley: "The question is on the adoption of the 1957
Amendment. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', 1958
opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The 1959
Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Yourell to explain his vote."

Yourell: "Yes, I don't want to presume upon the time of the 1961
House, but there's a great deal of misunderstanding 1962
about this Amendment. You heard one of the supporters 1963
of the Amendment indicate that this was the only

community in the State of Illinois that has this particular problem. And yet here we are attempting to place an exemption on the schedule for one community. You also heard that the citizens of this community are in favor of consolidated elections in the schedule. Now what's going to happen in this community, the officials want to continue with their annual elections, but the people do not want it. It's just too expensive for them. It means more taxes, it means more revenue taken out of their paycheck every year, and certainly if the people are for it and the officials are, the people are against it and the officials are for it, you should be voting red and under this Bill you can still have annual elections. This Amendment won't do anything but disrupt the schedule. Under the consolidated schedule you can have an annual election and place it on any one of the five scheduled dates. But now we're going to retain the date under the charter situation and create a separate and distinct date so that there'll be six election dates in a two-year period but only in one community throughout the State of Illinois. Now that's not consolidation, that's not what we're looking for, and I ask you to reconsider and put some red lights up there so that they can do what they want but stay within the consolidated schedule."

Speaker Bradley: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 74 'ayes', and 61 'nays'. Mr. Yourell, what purpose do you rise?"

Yourell: "I want a verification."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman requests verification. Mrs. Frederick requests the poll of the absentees. Yea, Mr. Yourell."

Yourell: "Yes, we'll do this another way. I do, as I indicated, don't want to presume upon the time of the

House, I withdraw my request for verification." 1993

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman withdraws his verification. 1995

And the, Marovitz wishes to be recorded 'no'. And the 1996

Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #6, Friedrich, amends House 1998

Bill 3229 on page 50, line 35 by changing Section 3-7 1999

and 3-8 and so forth."

Speaker Bradley: "The Lady from Lake, Oh, I'm sorry, the 2001

Gentleman from Marion, Mr. Friedrich." 2002

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, this is a 2004

very simple Amendment, and I hope you'll give me your 2005

attention. Presently, the board members for community 2006

colleges serve a three-year term. Under this Bill as

it's presently drawn, it would extend that to a 2007

six-year term. I think that is entirely too long. 2008

This Amendment puts it to four years, which I think is

reasonable. It does not foul up the consolidation of 2009

elections, but what is happening in many cases, these 2010

members do not fill out their term and their vacancy

is filled by the board. Now if we think these board 2011

members should be elected by the people, than I think 2012

the four-year term is reasonable. Ah, I don't know if 2013

there's any objection to it or not, I've heard some of

the colleges are objecting, but I think this is a 2014

people's Bill where they have a right to elect their 2015

community college board members. And I move the

adoption of this Amendment." 2016

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Henry, Mr. McGrew." 2018

McGrew: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and 2020

Gentlemen of the House, I rise to oppose Amendment #6. 2021

This Amendment is bringing the term of the junior 2022

college trustees from six back down to four years.

Frankly the junior college system is a young one in 2023

the State, and I think that we would be much better 2024

served if we would take this group and have only 1/3 2025

elected at a time so there would be continuity. We've

had a great deal of the funding provisions. We've 2026
 recently enacted ten-year Laws. I think there are a 2027
 great, great reasons why we need to increase the
 continuity for the election of these boards. I think 2028
 that we will be making a mistake if we try to reduce 2029
 this from a six to a four-year term, and I would ask 2030
 that you would oppose the Amendment."

Speaker Bradley: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Yourell on the 2032
 Amendment."

Yourell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of 2034
 the House, I rise also in opposition to Amendment #6. 2035
 The six term, the six-year term was requested by the 2036
 Community College Association in the State of
 Illinois. It was approved by both the Election Laws 2037
 Commission and the Election Committee of the House. 2038
 And this was not done except under, after considerable
 debate. Now the junior colleges were at all of the, 2039
 all of the debates and the dialogues that were 2040
 scheduled for consolidation of elections of the
 provisions of that Law. Now they insisted that they go 2041
 to six terms. This is what the Community College 2042
 Association wants. There has been no objection in any
 of the public hearings relative to this matter. And I 2043
 ask you to join with me and others in defeating 2044
 Amendment #6 to House Bill 3229."

Speaker Bradley: "Question, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. 2046
 Collins on the Amendment."

Collins: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the 2048
 House, just to endorse what Representative Yourell has 2049
 just said, in speaking to the previous Amendment, I 2050
 mentioned that there were groups that were
 inconvenienced by the consolidation schedule and to 2051
 the best of our ability, we tried to meet their 2052
 objections and to make the transition as easy as
 possible. This six-year term was one that was asked 2053
 for by the community colleges and was agreed to as 2054

Representative Yourell said, by the Election Laws 2054
 Commissions, Commission and by the House Elections 2055
 Committee. I think it's a reasonable Amendment, it's 2056
 one that they wanted, it's one that will fit into the
 consolidation schedule, and I would ask that this 2057
 Amendment be rejected."

Speaker Bradley: "Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner. I 2059
 think we've given ample time to get the cameras 2060
 focused in so we'll turn off the TV lights for present 2061
 time."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, this Amendment's so bad, it woke me 2063
 up. These people we're talking about, these elected 2064
 officials are not US Senators. They're community 2065
 college trustees. If we're worried about
 inconvenience on the part of the college trustees, why 2066
 hold any elections at all. A six-year term will allow 2067
 them to get hopelessly out of touch with their
 constituencies. A three-year term is what they have 2068
 now, four sounds like more than a reasonable 2069
 compromise. I don't think that we should allow 2070
 community college boards to become self-perpetuating
 oligarchies and that's probably what will happen, 2071
 because the average person in the United States moves 2072
 once every, what is it once every five years. So that 2073
 means probably the average junior college trustee
 won't even serve out his six-year term or her six-year 2074
 term. If the college trustee resigns, then the 2075
 current majority of that board will report, will
 appoint a replacement. Now either you're in favor of 2077
 elections of public officials or you're not. It seems
 to me that if you're in favor of elections, you ought 2079
 to have more not less, at least you ought to have 2080
 lower, shorter terms, not longer terms. And doubling
 the term is just, well it shows how out of touch the 2081
 Illinois Community College Trustees Association or 2082
 whoever asked for this is with the people."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Marion, Mr. Fred, or Friedrich. I'm sorry, Mr. Bluthardt, the Gentleman from Cook, on the Amendment." 2084
2085

Bluthardt: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. You've heard this is an agreed Amendment with the community college boards, Representatives, you know it's not unusual to have six-year terms. You don't have to run for the United States Senate to have six-year terms. Many of the appointive offices in the State of Illinois are for six terms and local government, your members of plan commissions, zoning board, etc. etc. for six years and they hold on for six years. This will fit into the schedule very nicely having an election every two years so that at least in four years you have enough opportunity to overturn the majority of the board. There's absolutely nothing wrong with it. I would urge that you oppose this Amendment and allow the community colleges to have the six-year term of office." 2087
2088
2089
2090
2091
2092
2093
2094
2095
2096
2097

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Coles, Mr. Stuffle." 2099

Stuffle: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield?" 2101

Speaker Bradley: "He indicates he will." 2103

Stuffle: "Representative Friedrich, did I hear you say and was it corroborated that this will not upset any consolidation schedule?" 2105
2106

Speaker Bradley: "Did you ask a question, Mr..." 2108

Stuffle: "I don't think his mike was on." 2110

Speaker Bradley: "Oh, turn on Mr. Friedrich." 2112

Friedrich: "This will not upset the consolidation of elections at all, and I'm surprised these fellows object to anything that has to do with consolidated elections, whether they changed it or not, they think they live in a world of their own." 2114
2115
2116
2117

Stuffle: "To the Bill, Mr. Speaker." 2119

Speaker Bradley: "Proceed." 2121

Stuffle: "To the Amendment. I rise in support of 2123

Representative Friedrich's Amendment. I know of no 2124
 one in my district other than possibly some trustees 2125
 who would want to have a six-year term. You can do 2126
 this one of two ways it seems to me that to mess up 2127
 things for the people who should have the right to 2128
 vote just as Representative Friedrich and 2129
 Representative Skinner said. You can either go to the 2130
 short ballot or you can go the other way, six-year 2131
 terms is like going to no ballot at all. You put a 2132
 guy on there for good because he'll never have to run 2133
 again. As is pointed here, most of them don't serve 2134
 that long to begin with. He's got six years to make 2135
 mistakes in. I think there is a big difference 2136
 between a local board where people see what's going on 2137
 in the United States Senate. I think as well when we 2138
 sit here with two-year terms and after to run in six 2139
 counties downstate and eight and ten, we ought to be 2140
 looking at our own situation instead of theirs. We 2141
 ought to be looking at what the people want to do. 2142
 They take a very keen interest in downstate Illinois 2143
 in these elections, and we ought to adopt 2144
 Representative Friedrich's Amendment on its face and 2145
 forget about the six-year term and consider what the 2146
 people want." 2147

Speaker Bradley: "Friedrich, Mr. Friedrich to close the 2148
 debate." 2149

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, this is a 2150
 Bill for the people. Sure the wheeler-dealers who are 2151
 in would like to have a six-year term, maybe some of 2152
 the people of this House would like to have a six-year 2153
 term, when somebody drops out, let the House fill it. 2154
 Take the rights away from the people. I say this is a 2155
 people's business, and there are a lot of people who 2156
 do not want to serve six years, and the vacancies are 2157
 filled by appointment, not by the people. A four-year 2158
 term is long enough. If you believe that the people 2159

of this State ought to have something to say about who 2150
are the trustees of the junior colleges, I hope you'll 2151
support my Amendment."

Speaker Bradley: "Question's on the Gentleman's motion. All 2153
in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 2154
'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who 2155
wish? Have all voted who wish? I'm, the Gentleman
from Wayne, Mr. Robbins to explain his vote." 2156

Robbins: "To explain my 'yes' vote, it might be alright if 2158
the Senators had to run for a two-year or a four-year 2159
term so they'd realize what the people want instead of 2160
what they think they want. I think this is a good 2161
Bill, you should support it."

Speaker Bradley: "Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman 2163
from Cook, Mr. Mahar to explain his vote." 2164

Mahar: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen 2166
of the House, I oppose this Amendment for some of the 2167
same reasons the others were spoken. If you'll recall 2168
we have judges elected for six years. We still have
that process, they're pretty much locked in, we don't 2169
seem to be able to change it. I, it seems to me that 2170
the college people and when you have the community 2171
college people who want six-year terms when you know
they are doing a fine job, they've got a tremendous 2172
record. There's been extensive discussion on this 2173
matter. I don't see any reason why we shouldn't go
along with them and have a six-year term. Let's see 2174
how it's going to work. We know it's going to work 2175
okay."

Speaker Bradley: "Have all voted who wish? Mr., the 2177
Gentleman from Marion, Mr. Friedrich to explain his 2178
vote."

Friedrich: "I would like to poll the absentees." 2180

Speaker Bradley: "Well, we better take the Roll Call first. 2182
Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. 2183
Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen 2185
of the House, I want to explain my 'no' vote. I'm 2186
against the people."

Speaker Bradley: "I thought I called on Cullerton, not 2188
Robbins. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will 2189
take the record. On this question there are 81 'ayes' 2190
and 80 'nos'. You don't want to proceed, Mr. Yourell
requests a poll of the absentees. Mr. Beatty wishes 2192
to be recorded as voting 'no' so we have 81 'ayes' and
81 'nos'. And now Mr. Yourell, do you want to poll 2193
the absentees, Mr. Yourell, it's 81 to 81. You don't 2194
want it. Now Mr. Friedrich, he requests the poll of 2195
the absentees. Poll the absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of the Absentees. Abramson, Capuzi, 2197
Conti, Daniels, Donovan, John Dunn, Ewell, Flinn, 2198
Hoffman, Klosak, Laurino, Meyer."

Speaker Bradley: "Meyer, 'aye'." 2200

Clerk O'Brien: "Mugalian, Stearney, Mr. Speaker." 2202

Speaker Bradley: "Alright the Gentleman, the Lady from Cook, 2204
Mrs. Willer, what purpose do you arise?" 2205

Willer: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to change my 'no' vote to 2207
'yes'."

Speaker Bradley: "She wishes to go from 'no' to 'yes'. Mr. 2209
Flinn wishes to be recorded as voting 'no'. And now 2210
the count is 83 'ayes' and 81 'nos' and Mr. Dunn, the 2211
Gentleman from Macon, what purpose do you arise?"

Dunn, J: "Please record me as 'aye', Mr. Speaker." 2213

Speaker Bradley: "Record the, record the Gentleman as voting 2215
'aye'. 84 'ayes' and 81 'nos'. And the Amendment is 2216
adopted. Just a minute, Mr. Yourell. Further 2217
Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #7, Yourell, amends House Bill 2219
3229 on page 5 by adding..."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Yourell." 2221

Yourell: "Ah, yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the 2223
House, Amendment #7 is a technical clean-up Amendment. 2224

It adds clarifications to permit election of new officers of newly formed special district or municipality of any election at which the referendum creating the new political subdivision is on the ballot. This was arrived at after much discussion in the election committee of the House and Election Laws Commission. I move for the adoption of Amendment #7 to House Bill 3229." 2225
2226
2227
2228
2229
2230

Speaker Bradley: "Discussion? Hearing none, the Gentleman, question is on the Gentleman's motion. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?" 2232
2233
2234

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #8, Yourell-Collins amends House Bill 3229..." 2236

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Yourell." 2238

Yourell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #8 to House Bill 3229 amends provision for annual municipal election schedule. Makes language more general so as not to exclude charter towns, understand this now, charter towns which have annual election for officers with one year terms. I move for the adoption of Amendment #8." 2240
2241
2242
2243
2244

Speaker Bradley: "The question's on the adoption of the Amendment. The Lady from Lake, or the Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Griesheimer." 2246
2247

Griesheimer: "Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield?" 2249

Speaker Bradley: "He indicates he will." 2251

Griesheimer: "Ah, Representative Yourell, what effect does this Amendment have on the one just recently adopted of Mrs. Frederick's?" 2253
2254

Yourell: "No effect. It provides the language and it's a technical Amendment." 2256

Griesheimer: "No further questions." 2258

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mahar." 2260

Mahar: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield?" 2262

Speaker Bradley: "He indicates he will." 2264

Mahar: "Buzz, did I understand you to say that this takes 2266
care of the two-year terms for Mayor and trustee such 2267
as Park Forest where they have an annual election?"

Yourell: "No, that was taken care of with the other 2269
Amendment. This takes care of a special district." 2270

Mahar: "Okay, alright, thank you." 2272

Speaker Bradley: "The question is on the adoption of the 2274
Gentleman's Amendment. All in favor signify by saying 2275
'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The 2276
Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments." 2278

Speaker Bradley: "Third Reading. House Bill 2918." 2280

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2918. A Bill for an Act to 2282
effect the revisions of several Laws in relation to 2283
the election of local public officials to conform to 2284
the statutory consolidation of elections. Second
Reading of the Bill. This Bill has been read a second 2285
time previously. Amendments #1, 2 and 4 were 2286
adopted."

Speaker Bradley: "Further Amendments?" 2288

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments." 2290

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Yourell." 2292

Yourell: "Yes, would you please take this Bill out of the 2294
record, please."

Speaker Bradley: "Take it out of the record, request of the 2296
Sponsor. Mr. Marovitz, 1414. That's on Third 2297
Reading. The Gentleman requests leave to return it to 2298
Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment.
Hearing no objections, it will be returned to Second 2299
Reading."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2, Marovitz, amends House Bill 2301
1414 as amended by Amendment 1..." 2302

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Marovitz." 2304

Marovitz: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, at the request 2306
of the Clerk of the Circuit Court, Morgan Finley, I am 2307

introducing this Amendment which he drew up, which 2308
would change the filing fees for pro se court to \$2
for cases of under \$250 and less and \$10 for cases 2309
over \$250 to the maximum of \$500. Basically what this 2310
Amendment does, it just changes the filing fee for 2311
cases \$250 and less and leaves the filing fee the same
for cases over that. This is at the request of Morgan 2313
Finley, the Clerk of the Court. I would ask for a
favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Bradley: "Discussion? Gentleman from Lake, Mr. 2315
Griesheimer."

Griesheimer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor 2317
yield?"

Speaker Bradley: "He indicates he will." 2319

Griesheimer: "Bill, you'll recall last year I had a Bill in 2321
to reduce the filing fees and the service of process 2322
fees in downstate Illinois, not including Cook County 2323
in small claims matters. This passed the House, it
was killed in the Senate Judiciary Committee by 2324
Senator Daley. The question I have is would you 2325
consider holding your Bill on Second Reading so that
we could put that Amendment on this Bill so that there 2326
is a parity between Cook County and downstate 2327
Illinois. The reasoning between the two I would feel 2328
would be identical."

Marovitz: "Okay, now one think I would explain is that this 2330
Bill applies only to pro se court and not small claims 2331
court. And the only pro se court in the State is in 2332
Cook County."

Griesheimer: "I understand that, but the basic problem is 2334
the same in the outline downstate counties, I feel 2335
that that the pro se court is more or less the small 2336
claims court. That's the only court that the private
individual has to go to where he can represent himself 2337
with any effective degree and, really the costs have 2338
thrown it out of his reach. It costs \$75 to file a 2339

small claim against service of process in our county. 2339
 And if the amount in controversy is \$50 nobody's going 2340
 to touch it."

Marovitz: "What was the position of the Clerks of the 2342
 downstate Circuit Courts on your, on your Bill?" 2343

Griesheimer: "I can't really recall. I know my own Circuit 2345
 Clerk favored it, but I can't really speak for the 2346
 association. I don't recall."

Marovitz: "Ah, if you'd like to put an Amendment on, I will, 2348
 I would be willing to hold the Bill on Second Reading 2349
 once this Amendment is put on."

Griesheimer: "Mr. Speaker, thank you." 2351

Speaker Bradley: "Further discussion?" 2353

Marovitz: "Well, I'll say, Mr. Speaker, let me do this. Let 2355
 me, once we take a vote and put this Amendment on, let 2356
 me move it to Third and then when I see Mr. 2357
 Griesheimer's Amendment we can move it back to Second
 at that time."

Speaker Bradley: "Alright, question's on the Gentleman's 2359
 motion. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', 'aye', 2360
 opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is 2361
 adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments." 2363

Speaker Bradley: "Third Reading. House Bill 3301. Mr., 2365
 Representative Matijevich, did you want to move 3301 2366
 from Third back to Second? Out of the record. The 2367
 Gentleman from Will, Mr. VanDuyne, what purpose do you
 rise?"

VanDuyne: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I noticed that John 2369
 Matijevich, the Chief Sponsor of ERA Amendment is here 2370
 now and maybe we, I might suggest once more that we go 2371
 to that Order of Business. John, are you ready?"

Speaker Bradley: "House Bills, Third Reading, priority of 2373
 call. House Bill 3006. Mr. Giorgi. Out of the 2374
 record. 3007, Mr. Reilly, out of the record. 3118, 2375
 Mr. Collins. 3118. 3122, Mr. Yourell."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3122. A Bill for an Act to amend 2377
Sections of an Act relating to the compensation and 2378
election of county boards in certain counties. Third 2379
Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Yourell." 2381

Yourell: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen 2383
of the House, House Bill 3122 after Amendment changes 2384
the residency requirement from two years to one year 2385
and also from eighteen months to one year after
redistricting. This is..." 2386

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Yourell, pardon me, the Clerk 2388
indicates Amendment #1 has not been adopted to that 2389
Bill."

Yourell: "Oh, okay, may I have leave to move House Bill 3122 2391
back to Second Reading?"

Speaker Bradley: "Are there any objections? Hearing none, 2393
the Bill will be returned to Second Reading." 2394

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Yourell, amends House Bill 2396
3122 on page 1, line 12 by changing two years to one 2397
year and so forth."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Yourell on 2399
the Amendment."

Yourell: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker, originally the Bill 2401
provided that Members of the county boards be 2402
residents of the districts they represent for a period 2403
of two years preceding election or appointment. There
were some question as to whether that would be the 2404
correct time or not, and I accepted an Amendment to 2405
reduce the time from two years to one year. And in
the second part of the Bill, to from eighteen months 2406
to one year. I move for the adoption of Amendment #1 2407
to House Bill 3122."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman moves the adoption of the 2409
Amendment. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion 2410
signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes', in 2411
the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The

Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?" 2412

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments." 2414

Speaker Bradley: "We'll move it to Third Reading again than, 2416
Mr. Yourell. House Bill 3124. Mr. DiPrima. Read the 2417
Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3124. A Bill for an Act to amend 2419
Sections of the Real Estate Brokers' and Salesmen' 2420
License Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentlemen from Cook, Mr. DiPrima." 2422

DiPrima: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the 2424
House, what House Bill 3124 tends to do is amends the 2425
Real Estate Brokers' and Salesmen' Licensing Act to 2426
include auctioneers selling real estate. The Bill
requires auctioneers to have either a broker's or a 2427
salesmen's real estate license as a condition for 2428
selling land at a public auction or a private sale.
I'll ah, any questions, I'll be glad to answer them. 2429
Don't forget the dinner tonight."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Perry, Mr. Dunn." 2431

Dunn, R: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, wonder if the Sponsor will 2433
yield."

Speaker Bradley: "He indicates he will." 2435

Dunn, R: "Is there grandfather clause in this so the people 2437
who are now auctioneers or, or, I didn't quite 2438
understand it, Larry."

Speaker Bradley: "Just, just a minute, please. We, some 2440
Members are having trouble hearing the discussion. I 2441
think it would be an appropriate time to have all 2442
unauthorized people that are on the floor please
remove themselves from the chamber. Those that are 2443
not authorized or have no business on the floor. That 2444
includes secretaries, staff personnel also legislative
in, or aides from departments that do not have Bills 2446
being heard. It's very noisy. Alright, now Mr. 2447
Dunn."

Dunn, R: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I have talked to Larry 2449

DiPrima privately and he and I are in accord. It's a great Bill he tells me. Thank you." 2450

Speaker Bradley: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Boucek." 2452

Boucek: "Thank you, Mr., thank you, Mr. Speaker. Question of the Sponsor." 2454

Speaker Bradley: "He indicates he'll yield." 2456

Boucek: "Yes, he mentioned something about a dinner this evening. Could he tell us just what dinner that is?" 2458
2459

DiPrima: "Well, it so happens that ah, Sponsors of this Bill, the Illinois Association of Realtors and the Illinois Auctioneers Association support this Bill, and the Illinois Realtors are giving a dinner tonight for the benefit of the Members of this House." 2461
2462
2463
2464

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from McLean, Mr. Ropp." 2466

Ropp: "Mr. Speaker, would the Sponsor yield?" 2468

DiPrima: "Yes, Sir." 2470

Ropp: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, ah, Larry, would you tell me, in my analysis, it states that if this particular Bill passes that those auctioneers, operations or business would be subject to individual inspection. To what length and breadth of inspection does that include? Let's say, for example, if you're also a livestock auctioneer at a public auction market, is his whole business going to be inspected and observed or just the real estate business inspection where he participates?" 2472
2473
2474
2475
2476
2477
2478

DiPrima: "Well, what part of the inspection process are you concerned with?" 2480

Ropp: "Well, I am concerned with the extent and to the length and that this might get involved with. I can see where possibly the inspection having to do with real estate business as I think the intent of this Bill, but if you're involved in, let's say, antique auctions, livestock, farm sales and so forth, are they going to complete, ah, investigation and detailed" 2482
2483
2484
2485
2486
2487

surveys as to..." 2487

DiPrima: "Gordon, I don't know where you're getting your 2489
analysis from, you're all mixed up there. This Bill 2490
don't pertain to anything you're making inquiries 2491
about. You're talking about some other Bill. Come
over here after we pass this thing and I'll give you 2492
the low down on it."

Ropp: "Might be too late then." 2494

DiPrima: "Yep, there's Robbins over there." 2496

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Wayne, Mr. Robbins." 2498

Robbins: "Mr., ah, Larry, I like...have a question or two. 2500
Ah, in this Bill would it require that the real estate 2501
brokers study to be auctioneers?"

DiPrima: "No, Sir." 2503

Robbins: "In, in other words, the auctioneer will not have 2505
the right when he goes out to sell a farm in our area 2506
while he sells the farm machinery, the livestock..." 2507

Cullerton: "Wait a minute, Mr. Speaker, I was speaking." 2509

Robbins: "Excuse me, Sir, go ahead." 2511

Cullerton: "Excuse you, to finish his question ah, Mr. 2513
DiPrima, ah, under this Bill on line 21 it defines 2514
inactive broker. Now what is an inactive broker if 2515
you know it?"

DiPrima: "Listen, you Hillbilly, go back to the farm." 2517

Robbins: "Larry, now let's get down to the serious business 2519
of this Amendment."

DiPrima: "Alright, I've got my man right here." 2521

Robbins: "Okay, now..." 2523

DiPrima: "Proceed." 2525

Robbins: "On a farm sale or a furniture sale in our area, 2527
the auctioneer comes in and he sells the farm 2528
machinery, he sells the livestock, he sells the 2529
cattle, and then they bring on a greenhorn that knows
how to appraise the ground, but he don't know how to 2530
conduct the auction. Now, this is a bad Bill, because 2531
we already have, we already have more licensed real

estate brokers than they want now. It requires a course in college to pass, to be a real estate broker. Now, how, whenever you get two fools bidding out there, he may get three times as much for that farm as it's worth. Let, let the auctioneer have the right to sell without having to have this license. I think it's going to be very hard. I know it grandfathers in the existing auctioneer..."

DiPrima: "Robbins, let me give you an answer to your question. There is an Amendment, that's Amendment #1 and this Amendment requires that all auctioneers who are engaged in public real estate auctions to obtain a real estate license within two years of the effective date of this Act. It also provides a Grandfather Clause, which answers Ralph Dunn's question, for all individuals who have fifteen years experience as a auctioneer and have served as an auctioneer at twenty-five or more real estate auctions."

Robbins: "I, I understand the Amendment and that kind of stuff, Larry. But the thing that I'm saying is that the average auctioneer has, has trained himself on how to sell a piece of property and get what it is worth. He does not necessarily know all the intricacies that goes with a real estate license. And you are requiring that he either go out of business or obtain a real estate license. Is that correct?"

DiPrima: "Yes, Sir. But by the same token, you know we're offering, offering the options here, with the Grandfather Clause and what have you. It ah, trying to unravel the wrinkles here."

Robbins: "Okay, you, you're saying then that an auctioneer that has fifteen years of service can automatically receive a real estate license."

DiPrima: "He'd be grandfathered in. He don't need a license. He's covered under the Grandfather Clause."

Robbins: "Now, I'd like to speak to the Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "To the Amendment? To the Bill, fine. 2566
 Proceed."

Robbins: "I know that it would be nice if everyone could 2568
 have a license for everything. The State Examinations 2569
 on Real Estate Brokers has become considerably harder 2570
 to get because of the fact that we have more brokers
 now in real estate than can make a living. So this is 2571
 one way that some of those that can't make a living, 2573
 do a honest job of selling real estate on the open
 market are trying to cut themselves into the market 2574
 whereby things are sold at auctions. And I think that 2575
 this Bill should be defeated. I don't think that we 2576
 need more licenses, more inspections. The men are
 honorable and one thing for sure, whenever you put 2577
 something up at auctions to sell it and have an 2578
 auctioneer, he will get as much or more out of it then
 you will get in private trading the most of the time 2579
 if you put up, allow him to use the same terms." 2580

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Schneider." 2582

Schneider: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I 2584
 normally stay out of these kinds of discussions, but 2585
 the Bill did go through rules where I had an 2586
 opportunity to look at. I think it's one of those
 proposals that we ought to give some real thought to, 2587
 because it amounts to restraining or restricting 2588
 individuals from acquiring a kind of skill, that is
 the auctioneering skill that is not something done 2589
 academically. It looks to me as though the real 2590
 estate profession is trying to co-opt another
 profession, put restraints on it that would make it 2591
 very difficult for individuals to say perhaps learn 2592
 their skill through the family or through their
 natural setting, growing up, say within a rural area, 2593
 where perhaps that's a very profitable and satisfying 2594
 kind of a career. I think it would be preposterous
 for this Legislature to address itself to the notion 2595

of restricting those individuals. I see it as a very lousy proposition. I think auctioneers, if they want to be real estate brokers, they can go through the same process that we established, I think unwisely in 1971 or 2 in my first term, which was to place restraints on realtors that I, all that has the impact of doing in my judgment is keeping skilled people out of the profession that they choose by establishing academic criteria whether you grandfather them in or not. If you think the grandfathered in people are acceptable, it seems to me it's also acceptable to anticipate that those individuals who enjoy the profession of auctioneering will be just as skilled as those being kept out. I think it's a lousy proposition and I think we ought to beat the Bill now."

DiPrima: "Here, here." 2608

Schneider: "Easy Larry, and not pass the Bill to the Governor's desk. I ask for the 'no' vote." 2610

Speaker Bradley: "Alright, would all those not entitled to the floor, please be removed or remove themselves before the doorkeeper. Would the doorkeepers please see that those people not entitled to the floor be removed. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Capuzi." 2613

Capuzi: "Mr. Speaker, I did want to explain my vote here or say why we should vote for this type of legislation. I'm supporting my good friend, Larry DiPrima on this issue. I feel that it's much needed and there is the deal there where the Grandfather Clause is involved, in fact these auctioneers will be able to be automatically be able to be brokers and sell this real estate. It's not that it's a licensing of the old, it's a licensing of the new. And therefore I suggest an 'aye' vote." 2615

Speaker Bradley: "The Lady from Champaign, Mrs. Satterthwaite." 2627

Satterthwaite: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question, please?" 2629

Speaker Bradley: "He indicates he will." 2631

DiPrima: "Yes, Ma'am." 2633

Satterthwaite: "Representative DiPrima, when ah, when a real estate agent sells a piece of property, they obtain a set percentage fee of the sales price. I presume that when an auctioneer performs his duty for sale of anything at an auction, he also has a set percentage fee. Is that accurate?" 2635
2636
2637
2638

DiPrima: "Yes, Ma'am." 2640

Satterthwaite: "In the case where someone were an auctioneer and a real estate salesman, would he get both fees, or how would he be reimbursed?" 2642
2643

DiPrima: "Well, they just get what the set fee that they go with." 2645

Satterthwaite: "Would he get, would he get the real estate percentage plus the auctioneer percentage?" 2647
2648

DiPrima: "Well, that would have to be decided between him and the client." 2650

Satterthwaite: "That's negotiated, you're saying, that's not, it's not a standard fee but it is negotiated on each sale." 2652
2653

DiPrima: "Right." 2655

Satterthwaite: "Thank you." 2657

DiPrima: "Thank you." 2659

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Rock Island, Mr. Darrow." 2661

Darrow: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question." 2663

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman moves the previous question. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion, signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. DiPrima to close the debate." 2665
2666
2667

DiPrima: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think this is a very good Bill. And it 2669
2670

puts everything in proper perspective in so far as 2671
 licensing the auctioneers. The Illinois Association
 of Realtors and the Illinois Auctioneers Association 2672
 support this Bill. And I would appreciate an 2673
 affirmative vote."

Speaker Bradley: "The question is shall this Bill pass. All 2675
 in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 2676
 'no'. The Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Tuerk to 2677
 explain his vote."

Tuerk: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I 2679
 understand what this Bill purports to do and it means 2680
 that anybody, any auctioneer who is involved in real 2681
 estate sales has to have a real estate license. And
 that I accept. However, what I don't like about the 2682
 Bill and therefore am not going to support it is the 2683
 fact that it only grandfathers in those people with
 fifteen years experience in the field. In other 2684
 words, a perfectly capable auctioneer with fourteen 2685
 years experience would have to turn around and get a
 real estate license. And that I don't like. Now if 2686
 that were out of the Bill, the fact that those 2687
 existing auctioneers were grandfathered in, then I 2688
 could support it. Otherwise, I am unable to support 2689
 the Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman 2691
 from Effingham, Mr. Brummer to explain his vote." 2692

Brummer: "The debate was terminated before I was able to ask 2694
 the questions, but as I read the Bill, it's quite 2695
 clear that this applies to anyone who offers for sale 2696
 by public auction or by private sale land for
 compensation. Now that would apply to an executor or 2697
 the attorney who's taking private bids with regard to 2698
 the sale of the land and the executor who may be a
 family member who's compensated for his duties and 2699
 suddenly the family themselves through a family member 2700
 may not be able to sell land if that person is being

compensated for his duties as the, as an executor. I 2701
 was not able to ask the question with regard to that, 2702
 but it seems to me that it doesn't only apply to 2703
 auctioneers because there's no restrictive language in
 the Bill that says to auctioneers only. It says, it 2704
 redefines a broker to mean any person, etc., who sells 2705
 or offers to sell by public auction or by private 2706
 sale. Now it doesn't restrict it only to auctioneers,
 and I think this Bill ought to be defeated at this 2707
 point."

Speaker Bradley: "The Lady from LaSalle, Mrs. Hoxsey." 2709

Hoxsey: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in 2711
 order for me to explain my vote, the auctioneer is 2712
 selling his services. He is not selling the property. 2713
 There's a decided difference here. I would suggest a
 'no' vote."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Livingston, Mr. Ewing 2715
 to explain his vote."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in 2717
 explaining my vote, I've had a lot of comment on this, 2718
 and I work with auctioneers, and they're doing a 2719
 different service than realtors. We're not making
 realtors be auctioneers, but we're trying to make 2720
 auctioneers be realtors. And You can't say that every 2721
 auctioneer handles the same amount of funds the same
 way that a realtor does. He doesn't need the same 2722
 regulation. One speaker has talked about restricting 2723
 private people from selling their own ground. That's 2724
 very likely. We know it will increase the cost to our
 constituents when they want to sell ground if they 2725
 always have to have a realtor. It's unfair restraint, 2726
 and finally I would say I haven't had one complaint
 about auctioneers and for any of my realtor friends 2727
 that are here, and I think I have a lot, I would say 2728
 if it isn't broke don't fix it. We don't need this 2729
 regulation. Vote 'no'."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Madison, Mr. Steele to explain his vote." 2731

Steele: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I would urge some more green votes on this Bill. It merely is saying and clarifying in the Law that those who do sell real estate should have a license. And you know when you're auctioning off a ground that's worth over \$100,000, maybe it's worth a half a million dollars, certainly there ought to be some minimum qualifications. In the present time the Law says that some who sell real estate don't have to have a license, some who sell do have to have a license and this is plugging up that one loophole, and that one gap, and those who've been auctioneers for fifteen years are excluded and accepted under the Grandfather Clause. But certainly if you're selling property worth two and three and four hundred thousand dollars, there ought to be some minimum qualifications and this is what this Law is requiring and that's why I think that there should be some more green lights on this Bill." 2733 2734 2735 2736 2737 2738 2739 2740 2741 2742 2743 2744 2745

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Neff to explain his vote." 2747

Neff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I have..." 2749

Speaker Giorgi: "One minute." 2751

Neff: "...to...my seat mate here. I think we're putting more regulations...regulations that is very unnecessary. I think we all have to agree that we continue putting regulations and licensing on people and thereby creating more inflation. All this has to be added on. So therefore I would respectfully urge you to vote 'no'." 2753 2754 2755 2756

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Harris to explain his vote for one minute." 2758

Harris: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, auctioneers, they have a place in society, so do realtors. An auctioneer has been trained by a 2760 2761 2762

four-week course to auction off estates and things 2762
that have to be liquidated quickly. A realtor has 2763
been trained for a two-year period as a salesman and 2764
has several weeks in school and you learn about a
piece of property, you want to be sure to have a clean 2765
and clear abstract, a clean and clear title, something 2766
that you're going to be able to have, that you're 2767
going to be able to live with and everyone should stay
with their own business. I don't see realtors trying 2768
to practice law or medicine and vice versa. This is 2769
a very good Bill and deserves to pass."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Greiman to explain his vote 2771
for one minute."

Greiman: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker, in listening to the 2773
debate it would seem to me that John Jay, when he made 2774
the deal with the, for the Louisiana Purchase, 2775
probably couldn't have made the deal because he didn't
have a license."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Friedrich to explain your 2777
vote for one minute."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, the only requirement you have to 2779
have to be an auctioneer is walk in and put Colonel in 2780
front of your name and start selling. There's quite a 2781
bit more complicated procedure to become a real estate
broker. You have to have a certain amount of 2782
education, you have to pass a test, you have to keep 2783
up records, you have to keep an escrow account, all of
this is, has to be inspected by the State. An 2784
auctioneer can walk in and sell a half million dollar 2785
farm and walk off and get his money and he's gone. He 2786
has no accountability to anybody, and I tell you, I
think it's important in this day when real estate is 2787
valuable and when it is important that you have 2788
someone handling it that knows what they're doing.
Now this would give an opportunity for these people to 2789
get into the business if they want to get into it." 2790

Speaker Giorgi: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted 2792
 who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this 2793
 question, Representative Guff (sic), for what reason 2794
 do you rise? Representative Dunn, for what reason do
 you rise?"

Dunn, R: "Mr., if this has enough votes on it to pass, I'd 2796
 like for a verification, please. I think this is a 2797
 Bill that needs to have, to be sure it has the 89
 votes."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative DiPrima, for what reason do 2799
 you rise?"

DiPrima: "Poll the absen...I'd like to poll the absentees." 2801

Speaker Giorgi: "Alright, this Bill at this point has 91 2803
 'aye' votes and 54 'nay' votes, 17 voting 'present'. 2804
 Mr. Clerk, will you poll the absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of the absentees. Casey, Chapman, 2806
 Ewell, Griesheimer, Kane, Klosak, Kucharski, Laurino, 2807
 McPike, Mugalian, Sandquist, Schoeberlein, Slape, 2808
 Stearney, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Giorgi: "Verify the affirmative, Mr. Clerk." 2810

Clerk O'Brien: "Abramson, Alexander, Anderson, Barnes, 2812
 Beatty, Bell, Bianco, Birchler, Boucek, Bradley, 2813
 Bullock, Burnidge, Capparelli, Capuzi, Catania, 2814
 Collins, Conti, Cullerton, Daniels, Darrow, Dawson, 2815
 DiPrima, Domico, Doyle, John Dunn, Dyer, Farley, 2815
 Flinn, Virginia Frederick, Dwight Friedrich, Garmisa, 2816
 Getty, Giorgi, Goodwin, Hanahan, Harris, Henry, 2816
 Hoffman, Hudson, Huff, Huskey, Emil Jones, Karpziel, 2817
 Keane, Kelly, Kent, Kornowicz, Kosinski, Kulas, 2818
 Lechowicz, Leon, Madigan, Margalus, Marovitz, 2818
 Matijevich, Matula, Mautino, McAuliffe, McClain, 2819
 McGrew, Molloy, Mulcahey, Murphy, O'Brien, Patrick, 2819
 Pechous, Peters."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Piel, for what reason do you 2821
 rise?"

Piel: "How am I recorded, Mr. Speaker?" 2823

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 2825
'present'."

Piel: "Would you change me to 'aye', please." 2827

Speaker Giorgi: "Change Piel from 'present' to 'aye'. 2829
Continue."

Clerk O'Brien: "Polk, Pouncey, Pullen, Bea, Richmond, Ronan, 2831
Sharp, Simms, Skinner, Stanley, E.G. Steele, Stuffle, 2832
Swanstrom, Taylor, Terzich, Vitek, VonBoeckman, 2833
Watson, White, Williamson, Winchester, Sam Wolf,
Younge and Yourell."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Boucek, for what reason do 2835
you rise?"

Boucek: "Mr. Speaker, may I have leave to be verified?" 2837

Speaker Giorgi: "Does the Gentleman have leave to be 2839
verified? There being no objection, you're verified. 2840
Representative Dunn, for what reason to you rise? 2841
John Dunn."

Dunn: "Mr. Speaker, change my vote from 'aye' to 'present'." 2843

Speaker Giorgi: "Record Representative Dunn from 'aye' to 2845
'present'. Mr. Ralph Dunn, do you have any questions 2846
of the Affirmative Roll Call? Ralph Dunn."

Dunn: "Yea, thank you, Mr. Speaker, Representative Jane 2848
Barnes."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Jane Barnes." 2850

Dunn: "Jane Barnes." 2852

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Jane Barnes, how's she 2854
recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Lady is recorded as voting 'aye'." 2856

Speaker Giorgi: "Is Jane Barnes in the room? Remove her 2858
from the record."

Dunn: "Bell, Representative Bell." 2860

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Bell is his seat." 2862

Dunn: "Representative Bianco." 2864

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Bianco, he's in the back of 2866
the room."

Dunn: "Representative Bradley." 2868

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Bradley is in the Speaker's Office. He'll be out in a moment. But he's not in the room, so take him out of the record." 2870
2871

Dunn: "Representative Capparelli." 2873

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Capparelli. There's Jerry, there's Representative Bradley, down in the wall here, Mr. Dunn. Representative Capparelli. How is he recorded?" 2875
2876
2877

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'." 2879

Speaker Giorgi: "Is Representative Capparelli in the room? Take him off the Roll Call." 2881
2882

Dunn: "Representative Daniels." 2884

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Daniels, Representative Daniels, how's he recorded, Mr. Clerk?" 2886
2887

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'." 2889

Speaker Giorgi: "Is Representative Daniels in the room? Take him off the record." 2891

Dunn: "Representative Dawson." 2893

Speaker Giorgi: "Well just a moment, Mr. Clerk. Representative Capparelli has returned. Representative Peters for what reason do you rise?" 2895
2896

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, we will be debating at a short moment I would imagine a very controversial issue. I'm not saying this for myself but at the request of someone else. There are some members on the floor that are not Members of this Body or members who are entitled to the floor who are in fact lobbying on one side or the other of that issue. And I would suggest that if that happens, we might have some flare up here which we don't end up needing on the House Floor." 2898
2899
2900
2901
2902
2903
2904

Speaker Giorgi: "Your words are well-taken, Representative Peters. Record the Speaker as 'aye', Mr. Clerk. Just a moment, I have a number of hands here. Representative Willer, for what reason do you rise?" 2906
2907
2908

Willer: "Mr. Speaker, change my 'no' vote to 'yes' please." 2910

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Willer from 'no' to 'yes'." 2912

You got that, Jack? Representative Chapman from, 2913
Chapman from 'present' to 'aye'. Representative 2914
Sandquist from 'present' to 'aye'. Representative
Currie from 'no' to 'aye'. You got that, Jack? 2915
Representative MCPike from 'present' to 'aye'.
Representative Griesheimer to 'aye'. Representative 2916
Tim Johnson to 'aye'."

Dunn: "Mr. Chairman..." 2918

Speaker Giorgi: "Yes, Mr., you withdraw your request?" 2920

Dunn: "I kind of think that I get the message and maybe this 2922
has something to do with the big vote that's coming up 2923
later or something, so I'm going..."

Speaker Giorgi: "What's the count, Mr. Clerk? 2925
Representative Winchester wants to be recorded as 2926
voting 'no', from 'aye' to 'no'. Krska, how is 2927
Representative Krska recorded? You're recorded as
'no'."

Krska: "Change that to an 'aye'." 2929

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Krska from 'no' to 'aye'. 2931
Put Jane Barnes back on the Affirmative Roll Call. 2932
J.J. Wolf wants to be recorded as voting from 'no' to 2933
'aye'. It's all over. Greiman, Representative
Greiman, for what reason do you rise? He wants to be 2934
recorded as voting 'aye', from 'no' to 'aye'. 2935
Greiman, Satterthwaite, Representative Satterthwaite
from 'present' to 'aye'. Satterthwaite from 'present' 2936
to 'aye'. Are you okay, Jack? Any other changes? 2937
There seems to be no other changes. I'm sorry, 2938
Representative Leverenz from 'present' to 'aye',
Leverenz from 'present' to 'aye'. Representative 2939
Balanoff, Balanoff from 'no' to 'aye'. Representative 2940
Wikoff from 'no' to 'aye'. Did you get that, Jack, 2941
Wikoff. Representative McCourt, for what reason do
you rise? McCourt?"

McCourt: "Never mind." 2943

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Pierce from 'no' to 'aye', 2945

Pierce from 'no' to 'aye'. Representative Ralph Dunn, 2946
for what reason do you rise?"

Dunn: "I would withdraw my request for a verification. I 2948
didn't realize how strong Representative DiPrima was. 2949
I'll follow him from here on out. Thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "This Bill having received the 2951
Constitutional Majority of 105 'ayes', 47 'nays' and 2952
17 voting 'present' is hereby declared passed. 2953
Representative Leverenz, do you want to go with House
Bill 3143 on priority of call? House Bill 3143." 2954

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3143. A Bill for an Act to 2956
provide for the ordinary and contingent expense of the 2957
Office of Auditor General. Third Reading of the 2958
Bill."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Leverenz on House Bill 2960
3143."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen 2962
of the House, the appropriation for \$8,400,000 is for 2963
the operation of the Auditor General's Office. There 2964
was one Amendment on it that would decrease the
appropriation by \$28,000, and it decreased travel by 2965
\$75,000, in telecommunications by \$2600 which lowered 2966
the appropriation to eight million and three. This is 2967
an increase from the prior year mainly for an
appropriation to include the amount of money it would 2968
take to handle the audit of the RTA. I'll entertain 2969
any questions and solicit your 'aye' vote."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is there any discussion? There being no 2971
discussion, the question is shall House Bill 3143 2972
pass. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye' and 2973
those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who
wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. 2974
Clerk. On this question there are 153 'ayes', 2 2975
voting 'no', none voting 'present'. This Bill having
received the Constitutional Majority is hereby 2976
declared passed. Out of the record, both of your 2977

Bills, Mr. Campbell? Representative DiPrima, you want 2978
to go with House Bill 3197 on priority of call?"

DiPrima: "Yea." 2980

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3197, a Bill for an Act to amend 2982
Sections of an Act to provide for the organization and 2983
maintenance of the University of Illinois. Third 2984
Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative DiPrima on House Bill 3197." 2986

DiPrima: "Oh boy, I can't find the synopsis on this, but 2988
anyway what the Bill does, it amends Section of the 2989
Act on the University of Illinois which allows each 2990
county to award annually one scholarship to children
of persons who served in the Armed Forces during 2991
certain wars by adding the children of Viet Nam 2992
Veterans to those eligible. And that's about the
extent of the Bill."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Catania on House Bill 3197. 2994
Representative Johnson, your light is on." 2995

Johnson: "Yea, it's on for a reason. I want to ask a 2997
question."

Speaker Giorgi: "Continue." 2999

Johnson: "Representative DiPrima, does...is this done on a 3001
one person per county basis regardless of the size of 3002
the population of the county? In other words, 3003
Champaign County or Winnebago which have between 150
and 300,000 would have one the same as Bond County 3004
that has 10,000? Or is it done proportionate to the 3005
population?"

DiPrima: "Currently each county in the State of Illinois is 3007
statutorily allowed to annually award one honorary 3008
scholarship to children of persons who served in the 3009
Armed Forces during the Civil War, World War I,
anytime between September the 16, 1940 and termination 3010
of World War II or the Korean Conflict between June 3011
25, 1950 and January 31, 1955."

Johnson: "I appreciate the history of the United States War 3013

History, but I want to know whether it's one county 3014
for one scholarship or whether it's proportional to 3015
population. I'm not objecting to it, I just want to
understand what we're voting on." 3016

DiPrima: "Per county, one per county." 3018

Johnson: "Okay." 3020

Speaker Giorgi: "There being no further discussion, the 3022
question is shall House Bill 3197 pass. All those in 3023
favor will signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed 3024
by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all
voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this 3025
question there are 144 'ayes', 7 'nays', 1 voting 3026
'present', and this Bill having received the
Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed." 3027

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Lechowicz in the Chair." 3029

Speaker Lechowicz: "House Bill 3205." 3031

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3205. A Bill for an Act to amend 3033
Sections of the Illinois Municipal Code. Third 3034
Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady from St. Clair, Mrs. Younge." 3036

Younge: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 3205 prohibits 3038
municipal, residential shut-offs when the temperature 3039
reaches 32 degrees or below. There are forty cities 3040
in Illinois that do not fall within the jurisdiction
of the Illinois Commerce Commission. The Illinois 3041
Commerce Commission last year passed Rule 172 3042
prohibiting residential shut-offs when the temperature
reaches 32 or below. This Bill would make the Rule 3043
universal all over Illinois that there should be no 3044
shut-offs...shut-offs when the temperature is 32. 3045
Because the Bill would pre-empt home rule powers, it
will take 107 votes, and I ask for the passage of this 3046
matter."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The Lady from Adams, 3048
Mrs. Kent."

Kent: "Mr. Speaker, could I ask a question, please?" 3050

Speaker Lechowicz: "Indicates, the Lady indicates she'll yield." 3052

Kent: "Could you tell me if the, there is not, there is already a Law, as I understand it, that I think, cannot turn off the utilities from 20 degrees and under. Right?" 3054
3055
3056

Younge: "That's correct. That was passed last term, and then after that the Illinois Commerce Commission changed its Rule to make the temperature 32. So this Bill is to bring it into conformity with the Illinois Commerce Commission Rule." 3058
3059
3060
3061

Kent: "Doesn't that, wasn't there a Bill already passed by Representative Marovitz that includes that no utilities may be turned off between December and April? For any reason unless they ask for them to be turned off." 3063
3064
3065

Younge: "I'm not aware whether or not Representative Marovitz's Bill covers the municipalities. The effort here, Representative Kent, is to make sure the municipalities are in conformity with the Illinois Commerce Commission Rule. We might ask Representative Marovitz, but I'm not aware that that is the case." 3067
3068
3069
3070

Kent: "Well, I do believe that his Bill covers that no one may be turned off in any utility from December until April unless they so request it. So I would say that this Bill is unnecessary. I think we're doing too much of this, because you know sometimes in April they're not there to pay their Bills. And so I would certainly urge you to defeat this Bill." 3072
3073
3074
3075
3076

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman from Randolph, Mr. Birchler." 3078

Birchler: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, to clarify the question that the Lady just asked. Utilities, the public utilities that are controlled by the Commerce Commission already have that ruling, but it doesn't cover the utilities that are not controlled by the Commerce Commission. And there was asked if this Bill 3080
3081
3082
3083
3084

be introduced so that all utilities will have the same 3084
language since the Commerce Commission does not 3085
control municipal units that like the City of Red Bud, 3086
things of that type. It's just the public, on ERA or 3087
Rural Electrification, REA, those kind. And this is
bringing it in so that all utilities are governed by 3088
the same rule. That's the purpose of this Bill. 3089
REA."

Younge: "Mr. Speaker, Representative Marovitz is on the 3091
floor, and wishes to make a comment in, to the 3092
question asked by Representative Kent."

Speaker Lechowicz: "We'll recognize him at the appropriate 3095
time, Ma'am. The Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?" 3097

Speaker Lechowicz: "Indicates she will." 3099

Vinson: "Do I understand the earlier comments to be that the 3101
proposed Bill would just apply to municipal 3102
utilities?"

Younge: "This is an Act to amend the Municipal Code, and 3104
this Bill would apply to forty municipalities in the 3105
State of Illinois only."

Vinson: "And just, just municipalities which own the 3107
utilities for that municipality, right?" 3108

Younge: "That's correct." 3110

Vinson: "And why would they disconnect a customer?" 3112

Younge: "They would disconnect a customer if a customer did 3114
not have the funds to pay the Bill. The purpose of 3115
this Bill is to make the municipalities in compliance 3116
with the Illinois Commerce Commission Rule that no
customer will be shut off arbitrarily when the 3117
temperature is freezing. In municipalities and other 3118
places in Illinois, there have been people who have
been found frozen to death with the utilities shut 3119
off. So we all are aware that the medium income of 3120
families has risen only 34% where as the cost of
utilities has risen 78%, and this Bill, the purpose of 3121

this Bill is to give the same situation in the forty 3122
 municipalities that have municipally owned utilities 3123
 as is true under places that are governed by the 3124
 Illinois Commerce Commission."

Vinson: "But what you're basically telling me is that we do 3126
 have to be concerned about the possibility that a 3127
 publicly owned utility, not a private utility company, 3128
 but a publicly owned utility might cut these people
 off for failure to pay their bills. Is that correct?" 3129

Younger: "That is the subject matter of the Bill, to bring 3131
 the situation and conformity all over Illinois." 3132

Vinson: "My final question, Representative, am I right in my 3134
 reading of the Bill or am I misreading it, what you 3135
 are actually doing is raising the temperature from 20 3136
 to 32 degrees where the cut-off can be effective."

Younger: "The first Illinois Commerce Commission Rule was for 3138
 20 degrees. It was then raised to 32 degrees. The 3139
 purpose, there was a Bill passed last term to make the 3140
 shut-off temperature 20 degrees. The purpose of this
 Bill is to make the shut-off temperature 32 degrees, 3141
 so that the Rule will be the same all over Illinois." 3142

Vinson: "Thank you." 3144

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Marovitz." 3146

Marovitz: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. There is not 3148
 and has not been a Bill that passed out of the House 3149
 of Representatives with this provision in. The Bill 3150
 that did have this provision in did not pass on Third
 Reading. There is presently as the Sponsor mentioned, 3151
 a Illinois Commerce Commission Regulation which has 3152
 this policy in effect. That is because presently, in 3153
 my opinion and in the opinion of many around the
 State, we have an excellent Chairman of the Illinois 3154
 Commerce Commission. But there is no guarantee that 3155
 that will always be the case. And therefore this
 needs to be written into the Statutes so that in the 3156
 future should there not be a Chairman Hasten, who's 3157

doing an excellent job, that this regulation will be 3157
binding on all municipalities in the State of 3158
Illinois. We held hearings throughout the State of 3159
Illinois. Thousands of people came from throughout
the State of Illinois and gave us horror stories of 3160
how they were turned off. And the thing that is 3161
important to remember is that by passing this Bill, we
are not excusing anybody from the payment of their 3162
utility bills. We are just saying that you cannot 3163
shut them off during a certain period of time. They
still will have to pay those bills and in all 3164
probability plus a penalty for late payment. It's an 3165
excellent idea, it's long overdue, it's worked in
other states, and I would ask for an 'aye' vote." 3166

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Getty." 3168

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, I believe I heard the Sponsor of this 3170
Bill say that it would pre-empt home rule units, and 3171
therefore would require 107 votes."

Speaker Lechowicz: "It does not...it takes 89 votes...does 3173
not pre-empt home rule units." 3174

Getty: "Very good. Thank you." 3176

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bullock." 3178

Bullock: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I want to rise in support 3180
of Representative Younge's Bill, and to perhaps add a 3181
bit of information. It certainly is my opinion that 3182
this legislation is needed. As you know, the Commerce
Commission annually has attempted by regulation to 3183
establish on a trial basis some period of time in 3184
which a utility shut-off would be prohibited. It is
also my opinion that the court decision in the Rock 3185
Island Court that held that the Commerce Commission 3186
could not in fact implement this type of procedure by 3187
executive fiat further cites the need for this type of 3188
legislation. And I think that since the Commerce 3189
Commission has at least expressed its desire to see
such a policy enacted that that should carry some 3190

weight with the General Assembly to perhaps pass Representative Younge's Bill and afford the Commerce Commission the kind of support that it needs to continue to try and represent individuals who are severely impacted with this kind of a program. And I certainly would hope that she get the necessary votes." 3191

3192
3193
3194

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady from St. Clair, Mrs. Younge to close." 3196

Younge: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, this is much needed legislation. People on fixed incomes, the elderly, and people on various types of fixed income are having a tremendous problem with the utilities. Half of the energy that most of these families use are for heating. And since the energy cost has risen so much, it is imperative that we pass this Bill. The experience of the ICC, the Illinois Commerce Commission is that the people have entered into reasonable payment plans and have made the payment, so I urge your adoption and passage of this Bill." 3198
3199
3200
3201
3202
3203
3204
3205

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is shall House Bill 3205 pass. All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'no'. Gentleman from Wayne, Mr. Robbins to explain his vote. Timer's on." 3207
3208
3209

Robbins: "I would suggest that we, if you're going to vote for this Bill, you want a 107 votes on here, because there's home rule units in Southern Illinois that are their own municipality. Chicago is not the only home rule unit, so if this does not receive a 107 votes, I would like to put the question to the Chair." 3211
3212
3213
3214
3215

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 108 'ayes', 34 'nos', 2 recorded as 'present'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 3248." 3217
3218
3219
3220

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3248. A Bill for an Act to make 3222
an appropriation to the Department of Conservation. 3223
Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Effingham, Mr. 3225
Bower."

Bower: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the 3227
House, this Bill would appropriate \$271,500 to the 3228
Department of Conservation for the development of 75 3229
camping spots at Sam Parr State Park in Jasper County.
In the original plans for the State Park, there were 3230
plans for camping facilities. Recently a very popular 3231
lake that the State operates in cooperation with the 3232
CIPS was opened up. There are no camping facilities 3233
at either of these facilities due to the increasing 3234
cost of gasoline and the demand of the public for a
recreational facilities near home is greatly 3235
increasing. The Director of the Department was 3236
presented with petitions containing several thousand
signatures in support of this recently, and I would 3237
urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Dunn." 3239

Dunn: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?" 3241

Speaker Lechowicz: "He indicates he will." 3243

Dunn: "Just have one question, is this in the Governor's 3245
budget?"

Bower: "No, Sir." 3247

Dunn: "Thank you." 3249

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. 3251
Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Would the Sponsor yield?" 3253

Speaker Lechowicz: "Indicates he will." 3255

Schlickman: "Would you describe the nature of the 3257
improvements, please? Are they capital improvements, 3258
land acquisition, what?"

Bower: "It is for the development of seventy-five camping 3260
places at state park which would be um, for pads, 3261

privies and roads." 3261

Schlickman: "These are permanent improvements?" 3263

Bower: "Yes." 3265

Schlickman: "Is this an appropriation from the General 3267
Revenue Fund or is this an appropriation of, from the 3268
Capital Development Fund?"

Bower: "General Revenue." 3270

Schlickman: "General Revenue and not Capital Development?" 3272

Bower: "Yes." 3274

Schlickman: "On that basis, Mr. Speaker, I would join with 3276
the previous Speaker and suggest that we vote 'no'." 3277

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Rock Island, Mr. 3279
Darrow."

Darrow: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the 3281
House, approximately two years ago, Governor Thompson 3282
flew around the State indicating that this General 3283
Assembly was made up of big spenders, that we had 3284
over-spent, and that it was his duty to cut that fat 3285
out of the budget and to bring reality back to State 3286
Government. When Speaker Redmond went over that issue 3287
and analyzed each of the appropriation Bills, it 3288
turned out that the Republican sponsored Bills 3289
attributed to most of the fat in the budget. That 3290
appears to be true again this year. Again we are 3291
putting more and more pork out, sending it to the 3292
Governor to veto. And I would point out this is not 3293
in the Governor's budget, and again it is sponsored by 3294
a Republican. So when the Governor begins to fly 3295
around the State and begins to talk about 3296
over-spending and how he cannot grant tax relief 3297
because of that over-spending, I hope he addresses his 3298
issues...his points to his own party and not to this 3299
side of the aisle. Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Effingham, Mr. Bower 3296
to close."

Bower: "This as I say has great public support in our area. 3298

It is within the original plans of the Department to 3299
 build these camping facilities. I urge an 'aye' vote. 3300
 Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is shall House Bill 3248 3302
 pass. All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'no'. 3303
 Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? 3304
 The Clerk will take the record. On this question
 there are 60 'ayes', 67 'nos', 2 recorded as 3305
 'present'. This Bill having failed to receive the 3306
 Constitutional Majority is hereby declared lost. The
 Gentleman asks leave to put the Bill on Postponed 3307
 Consideration. Takes 70 votes. It's lost. The 3308
 Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Giorgi, what purpose do
 you seek recognition?" 3309

Giorgi: "For the purpose of an announcement, Mr. Speaker." 3311

Speaker Lechowicz: "Proceed." 3313

Giorgi: "It seems we have a Representative from the great 3315
 state of New Hampshire visiting us in the House today, 3316
 the Representative Gail C. Morrison and she's 3317
 accompanied by three of her people, a Margie Mioco,
 Simone Palmer, and Laura Orchid, and I don't know 3318
 where they're at in the gallery, if they'd signify 3319
 where they're at we'll give some, give them a hand.
 Wave a hankerchief. There they are in the back of the 3320
 gallery."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Welcome to Springfield. House Bill 3322
 3249. Out of the record, request of the Sponsor. 3323
 3257."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3257. A Bill for an Act making 3325
 an appropriation to the Capital Development Board. 3326
 Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Marovitz." 3328

Marovitz: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and 3330
 Gentlemen of the House. This is a \$200,000 3331
 appropriation for the building of a swimming pool at 3332
 the Illinois Children's Hospital. This is a hospital

for severely handicapped children in the State of Illinois, and it's the only hospital of its type that does not have a swimming pool to be used for therapeutic purposes. We appropriated some money last year. The Capital Development Board came back to me and said that the appropriation was not enough. There were...the study that was done on the work needed for the swimming pool, there was some errors in it as far as the foundation needed, the soil, the balancing, some additional money was needed. The people at the hospital have a committee that is raising funds independently to add to the \$200,000 that will give them. These are kids that are very severely handicapped, need this pool strictly for therapeutic purposes, and there are a lot of people in this chamber on both sides of the aisle that are familiar with this situation, and I would ask for an Affirmative Roll Call on this important piece of legislation."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The Lady from Cook, Miss Pullen."

Pullen: "As the Co-sponsor, Mr. Speaker, I would just like to add my urging to that of Representative Marovitz, that everyone in the House vote for this very fine Bill. Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Lady from Cook, Mrs. Macdonald. Macdonald, please."

Macdonald: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I, too, rise to support this Bill very strongly. It's been my privilege to visit the hospital on a number of occasions and this pool is so very, very badly needed by these severely handicapped children, and I would urge you to join Representative Marovitz in your 'aye' vote on this important Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Marovitz to close."

Marovitz: "Well, I think just about everything that needs to

be said has been said." 3363

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is shall House Bill 3257 3365
 pass. All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'no'. 3366
 Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? 3367
 The Clerk will take the record. On this question
 there's 130 'ayes', 14 'nos', 1 recorded as 'present'. 3368
 This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority 3369
 is hereby declared passed. 3271."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3271. A Bill for an Act in 3371
 relation to requiring the disclosure of beneficiaries 3372
 and other parties relating to land trust in the course 3373
 of investigating fires and making such disclosure
 public information. Third Reading of the Bill." 3374

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Marovitz." 3376

Marovitz: "Mr. Speaker, there's an Amendment being prepared 3378
 for this, I'd like to take it out of the record at 3379
 this juncture."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Request of the Sponsor, take the Bill 3381
 out of the record. 3284."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3284. A Bill for an Act to amend 3383
 Sections of an Act concerning public utilities. Third 3384
 Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Randolph, Mr. 3386
 Birchler."

Birchler: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. 3388
 This is the fuel adjustment Bill that we amended 3389
 yesterday, and it was discussed when we put Amendment 3390
 2 on. What it does, it eliminates the cost of
 transportation of coal in the passed through charges 3391
 from the utilities to the customers. As the Bill had 3392
 originally been written, it said all fuels. This one
 says just coal only. The purpose and the effect of 3393
 the legislation is that Illinois coal with its lower 3394
 transportation cost will be more competitive with
 western coal. And at present nearly half of the total 3395
 cost of western coal is transportation charges. So I 3396

ask you to give us an affirmative vote on this Bill." 3396

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The question is shall 3398
 House Bill 3284 pass. All in favor vote 'aye', all 3399
 opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all 3400
 voted who wish? Marco, give me an 'aye'. The Clerk
 will take the record. On this question there's 148 3401
 'ayes', 1 'nay', 5 recorded as 'present'. This Bill 3402
 having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby 3403
 declared passed. Ah, will all unauthorized personnel
 remove themselves from the floor. Would the 3404
 doorkeepers, would the doorkeepers make sure that all 3405
 unauthorized personnel leave the floor now. That's
 everyone. Petefish. House Bill 3290." 3406

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3290. A Bill for an Act to make 3408
 an appropriation for the Capital Development Board, 3409
 for the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois 3410
 University, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Jackson, Mr. 3412
 Richmond."

Richmond: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen 3414
 of the House. This Bill was explained rather 3415
 thoroughly a few days ago, but I would merely say that 3416
 it makes an appropriation of \$3,351,000 from Capital 3417
 Development Funds through the Capital Development 3418
 Board for Southern Illinois University for the 3419
 remodeling of the women's gymnasium on the Carbondale 3420
 campus. I would like to read briefly from an article 3421
 from the local paper concerning this project. 'Davis'
 current condition is an insult to the hundreds of SIU 3422
 students who use the building. It is a safe bet that
 most high schools in Illinois have better athletic 3423
 facilities. The roof leaks. The lighting is poor.
 The bleachers are splintered. The floor is buckled.
 And the plumbing and wiring are faulty. While many of 3424
 the 'Davis' problems are nothing more than annoyances, 3425
 some, such as the floor and the wiring are genuine 3426

safety hazards. While the University of Illinois' 3426
McCormick Gym has been renovated three times, 'Davis' 3427
never has. It should be noted that Illinois has four 3428
other indoor athletic facilities, while SIU has just
two, the arena and rec center. If SIU's women 3429
athletic program is to stay strong, it has been losing 3430
grounds to schools with more money and better
facilities. 'Davis' renovation is a must. The 3431
building is the headquarters of the women's 3432
intercollege...intercollegiate athletics and the
homecourt of the Saluki women's basketball and 3433
volleyball teams. But the renovation of 'Davis' would 3434
benefit others besides the women athletes. What about
the physical education students who have to use the 3435
building? They are here for an education, and poor 3436
facilities certainly stand in the way of that. And
what about all the students who participate in 3437
intramurals? Recently, the intramural basketball 3438
playoffs had to be held up because of conditions in
the gym. Everyone who uses 'Davis', athletes, 3439
physical education students, and intramural 3440
participants is risking injury by stepping into the
building. It is a crying shame that 'Davis', which 3441
was built when Calvin Coolidge was President of the 3442
United States, has never been renovated. SIU has
tried for 11 years to secure the money necessary for 3443
renovation from the state, but the attempts have been 3444
unsuccessful. Now for those who would ask, 'Is this
in the Governor's budget?' May I explain one facet of 3445
this...of this particular issue? SIU project is 3446
number 15 on the priority list. The Governor has
approved through number 12, and then skipped to number 3447
16 which indicates that the priority list as it is 3448
covered by the administration's budget has not been
closely adhered to. So therefore, I would...I would 3449
ask that....we do just as well for the three that he 3450

has skipped as we do for the one that he would like to include which is number 16 on that list. And...certainly if we can skip 13, 14 and 15, and go to 16, we can also include them. I would ask for your consideration on this extremely important project." 3451

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Totten." 3452
3453

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. A couple days ago when I spoke in opposition to this Bill, I used a litany of some things that were happening down in Southern Illinois. I said at that time that this part of the state and particularly this campus is getting a lot...appears to be getting a lot of money. I pointed out that there are six-lane expressways there. I would like to correct that. There are only four-lane expressways. I pointed out that there were...there was an international airport in Carbondale. There is not. There is only a municipal airport. I pointed out that the budget for just this portion of the state could exceed that of the U.S. budget. I was incorrect. That budget is about the budget of Egypt or little Egypt, but all these things may be corrected, and I apologize to the Sponsor if I exaggerated, but the thing that still bothers me the most is that the appropriation is not in the Governor's budget, and I think if Representative Bower couldn't have his park sites fixed, that maybe we ought not to embark on this course either." 3454
3455
3456
3457
3458
3459
3460
3461
3462
3463
3464
3465
3466
3467
3468
3469
3470
3471
3472

Speaker Lechowicz: "...The Gentleman's wrong again....The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Deuster." 3473
3474

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, perhaps it is a coincidence that this Bill should come to us on this day because I...I understand the reason that we have to appropriate this money is that the Federal Congress passed a Law in 1972 providing that 3475
3476
3477
3478
3479
3480

there should be no sex discrimination in our schools 3481
 or in our school programs and that the Federal
 Department of Health, Education, and Welfare was 3482
 instructed to develop guidelines which they did in the 3483
 Title IX guidelines. And those guidelines require our
 facilities for men and women in the State of Illinois 3484
 to be comparable. And it is because of an Act of 3485
 Congress on sex discrimination and the Federal
 Department of Health, Education, and Welfare coming in 3486
 with elaborate regulations that we are at a point here 3487
 where in order to be in compliance with federal Law 3488
 and to avoid penalties, and perhaps the loss of
 federal aid to this institution, we are forced to 3489
 appropriate money. I think the appropriation is one
 that is worthy, but I think it is also important that 3490
 this legislation open our eyes to the fact that if we 3491
 take just the one area of education and expand that 3492
 into the whole gamut of matters involving sex
 discrimination, we're going to have the Federal 3493
 Government forcing us as a Legislature to appropriate
 money, perhaps money that we don't have. This is 3494
 unfortunate, but in this case in education, it is 3495
 required. I think everyone understands the point, but 3496
 I do think that the Gentleman is here not only in
 support of legislation that is good for the women's 3497
 athletic program, but in support of legislation that 3498
 is mandated by the Federal Bureaucracy, and I urge an
 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Randolph, Mr. 3500
 Birchler."

Birchler: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I, too, urge an 'aye' 3502
 vote on this piece of legislation. The Gentleman on 3503
 the Republican side of the aisle that spoke just a 3504
 while ago mentioned the fact that we were having to
 appropriate monies that was not in the Governor's 3505
 budget. Yesterday we had a Bill for \$20,000,000 that 3506

wasn't in the Governor's budget either. This is only 3506
 three point three million. It is for the purpose of 3507
 restoration of a building that needs a lot of repairs, 3508
 and as I said the day before yesterday or one day when 3509
 we were talking about this Bill, it is much cheaper to
 repair and remodel this particular building than it is 3510
 to build a new building. I ask that you give us the 3511
 votes that we need to pass this Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. McCourt." 3513

McCourt: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the 3515
 House, I think you all ought to know what this Bill 3516
 really does. I am sure that the girls who have gone 3517
 to Southern for the last 55 years have gotten along
 without having an air conditioned edifice, and that is 3518
 what this Bill does. They have it hidden. They say 3519
 it is air moving equipment they are putting in. They
 are spending over \$3,000,000 to air condition a 55 3520
 year-old building. This is ridiculous. The Governor 3521
 was right in not putting in his budget, and we'll be 3522
 wrong if we approve this Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Hardin, Mr. 3524
 Winchester."

Winchester: "Thank you...thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies 3526
 and Gentlemen of the House. The previous speaker made 3527
 some...I think some very unfair statements because 3528
 that's not at all...what this...in total what this
 legislation would do. The building is in need of a 3529
 new water piping system. It is in need of painting, 3530
 lighting, and...new acoustical treatment. The
 building is also in need of an elevator to make it 3531
 more accessible for handicapped students. The 3532
 electrical wiring is deteriorated to the point where
 it is dangerous and must be completely replaced. The 3533
 plumbing must also be entirely replaced. And it is 3534
 true what Representative Deuster said a while ago. We 3535
 are trying to comply with a mandated program given to

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Jackson, Mr. 3566
Richmond, to close."

Richmond: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen 3568
of the House. In closing, I'll be brief, but I would 3569
like to correct a couple of things that have been said 3570
in debate. First, the campaign manager for Governor 3571
Reagan has...is still in the air about the four-lane 3572
highway that this building is located on. It is 3573
located on a two-lane highway which runs the entire 3574
length of the state in which you've been getting a lot 3575
of letters on for improvements. That's U.S. Highway 3576
51. Representative McCourt is concerned about hidden 3577
funds in this three point three million project, the 3578
major part of which, as he would have it, would be for 3579
air conditioning. Here is the fact. The fact is that
the air conditioning costs in this Bill is \$43,226.
Now, that is not even a trickle of the three point
three million, so therefore, that argument, I think,
is not very valid..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Palacious." 3581

Richmond: "I would merely ask that you give serious 3583
consideration to this issue and help me take this Bill 3584
to the Senate. Thank you very much."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is shall House Bill 3290 3586
pass. All in favor vote 'aye'. All opposed vote 3587
'no'. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Collins, to 3588
explain his vote."

Collins: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and 3590
Gentlemen of the House. I rise to...urge support of 3591
this Bill. We...I think over the years we've seen 3592
Southern Illinois University grow from a small prairie 3593
school to a major university in this state, and if we 3594
have a major university of this proportion, why in the 3595
world would we refuse to build a gymnasium for the
women's athletics? I would urge every Member of this
House to put a green vote up on that Board so we can 3596

have a first class athletic facility for the women of this university." 3597

Speaker Lechowicz: "One for Uncle Clyde. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 125 'ayes', 33 'nays', none recorded as 'present'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 3299." 3599 3600 3601 3602

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3299. A Bill for an Act to make an appropriation to the Jackson Union County Port District. Third Reading of the Bill." 3604 3605

Speaker Lechowicz: "Out of the record. Request of the Sponsor. House Bill 3301, Mr. Matijevich." 3607 3608

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3301. A Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the Supreme Court. Third Reading of the Bill." 3610 3611

Speaker Lechowicz: "Out of the record. Request of the Sponsor. 3302." 3613

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3302. A Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the Department of Veterans' Affairs. Third Reading of the Bill." 3615 3616

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman from Franklin, Mr. Rea." 3618

Rea: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 3302 would appropriate \$30,000 to the Department of Veterans' Affairs for developing a plan for a Southern Illinois Veterans' Center using a Veterans' Building at the Anna Mental Health Center, and at the present time there are five vacant buildings that are not being utilized. There are a total of eleven residential buildings and at this, would, this building is, is needed by the Veterans. It would provide a home for them. And I would ask that, for an affirmative vote on this Bill and also ask that Representative Winchester close." 3620 3621 3622 3623 3624 3625 3626 3627 3628

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz." 3630

Leverenz: "Will the Sponsor yield for a couple of questions?" 3632

Speaker Lechowicz: "Indicates he will." 3634

Leverenz: "Representative, you're appropriating \$30,000, you're not going to be developing something as I understand it, but you'll be putting a plan together. Well, what exactly will we get for the 30?" 3636 3637 3638

Rea: "This would be to complete the feasibility study and develop a plan. There will be no structural work whatsoever." 3640 3641

Leverenz: "You say complete. Does that mean there's money going to this program already and it is now under funded and it needs 30,000 more?" 3643 3644

Rea: "No, there is not money already going to this program. The Veterans' Affairs in their plan will also develop the source of funding and they're looking towards a combination of funds initially to develop it. This is a very good building, it's structurally sound. It's, they also as a result of...hope that this will be self-sufficient within a three-year period." 3646 3647 3648 3649 3650

Leverenz: "Well, was it not included in the regular budget for the Department of Veterans' Affairs, and if it was not, why wasn't it put into regular budget that went through the Bureau of the Budget?" 3652 3653 3654

Rea: "Okay, no, it was not originally placed in the budget of Veterans' Affairs. We did have the Director of Veterans' Affairs, Representative Winchester made arrangements for the Director to come down to look over the building and of course, he's interested in seeing it developed for that purpose." 3656 3657 3658 3659

Leverenz: "You say that Representative Winchester is in support of this?" 3661

Rea: "Ah, yes, Representative Winchester is one of the hyphenated Sponsors of the Bill." 3663 3664

Leverenz: "Well, knowing that his support is going to the Bill, I'll vote 'aye'. I'm convinced now." 3666 3667

Speaker Lechowicz: "I'll vote for Rea. Mr. Rea to close." 3669

Rea: "Ah, Representative Winchester, please." 3671

Speaker Lechowicz: "Oh, okay, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman." 3673

Schlickman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield?" 3675

Speaker Lechowicz: "Indicates he will." 3677

Schlickman: "What definition of the Bill is there of Veterans' Centers?" 3679

Rea: "Okay, the Veterans' Center as is looked at now, and some of that definition will be defined in the, in the study and also in the plan, but would provide care, especially for the, and for the Veterans and especially for the disabled and the cost of the Veterans' care is projected, would be paid for, much of it, out of their Pension, Social Security Funds. This would provide services for all Veterans, men and women, and it hopefully, this would be a multi-purpose type of center for the Veterans." 3681 3682 3683 3684 3685 3686 3687 3688

Schlickman: "Well, you're giving what you consider to be your legislative intent. But isn't it true that in House Bill 3302 there is no definition of a Veterans' Center?" 3690 3691 3692

Rea: "That is correct, because it has not been fully defined as to what all the uses would be at this point in time. And that would be part of this study and part of the plan." 3694 3695 3696

Schlickman: "What demonstrated need is there for your Veterans' Center?" 3698

Rea: "This was initiated by the various Veterans' groups throughout the area and certainly has support of the Veterans' organizations through the State. The Veterans' organizations in Southern Illinois came together expressing a need for this type of a facility. And it is anticipated that there would probably...it would probably, there'd be 250 people 3700 3701 3702 3703 3704

there at one time." 3704

Schlickman: "Alright now, DVA already has 56 field offices 3706
across the State to provide assistance to Veterans. 3707
What would this particular Veterans' Center do that is 3708
not being done for anyone of these 56 DVA facilities
and not be done by any of the established facilities 3709
which presently are being operated by the American 3710
Legion?"

Rea: "Representative Winchester." 3712

Winchester: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. May I answer that 3714
question, Representative Schlickman." 3715

Speaker Lechowicz: "Please proceed." 3717

Winchester: "The closest Veterans' Center that we have is in 3719
Quincy, Illinois, and it's my understanding that 3720
they're almost near capacity. They don't have very 3721
many beds left. And that is the reason why that we're
asking for this appropriation for the feasibility 3722
study, to study those vacant buildings that the Anna 3723
State Hospital would take care of what might be as 3724
many as a thousand or more Veterans who need this type
of facility and can't obtain it at Quincy." 3725

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Schlickman." 3727

Schlickman: "What is the existing statutory authority that 3729
DVA has with respect to the operation, that is 3730
administration of a Veterans' Service Center? Does it 3731
have any?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Winchester. Mr. Rea." 3733

Rea: "I can't really answer that question." 3735

Schlickman: "One final question. Why is the money being 3737
appropriated from the General Revenue Fund and not 3738
from the Capital Development Fund? And why isn't 3739
Capital Development Fund involved with respect to the
planning and possibly later implementation?" 3740

Rea: "It was felt by both of the people that were involved 3742
in bringing this together as well as consulting with 3743
the Department of Veterans' Affairs, that in the 3744

initial stages of it that they would be the most 3744
appropriate to have involved in the study and in the 3745
plans and that a, with close coordination with Capital 3746
Development and Capital Development will be, they will
work very closely with them, the Department of 3747
Veterans' Affairs."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, if I may briefly address the 3749
Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Please proceed, Sir." 3751

Schlickman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. 3753
I respectfully suggest that we should give serious 3754
consideration to this Bill, not on account of the 3755
relatively small amount of the appropriation of
\$30,000, but the precedent that it will establish. 3756
There are no Veterans' Service Centers presently 3757
existing in the State. But with this \$30,000
appropriation from the General Revenue Fund, we would 3758
be opening the door and you will find in sessions to 3759
come a proliferation of these Veterans' Centers when
there has not been any demonstrated need presented on 3760
this floor today. I respectfully suggest, Mr. Speaker 3761
and Members of the House, that we should not vote 3762
'yes' on this Bill, but rather let's wait until
demonstrated need has been evidenced and then let's 3763
give to the Department of Veterans authority, the 3764
proper statutory authority, and let's appropriate the
money from where it should come. Thank you." 3765

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Hardin, Mr. 3767
Winchester, to close."

Winchester: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen 3769
of the House. I differ with the distinguished 3770
Gentleman from the North in that in the southern part 3771
of the State, we have the Anna State Hospital in which
we have five vacant buildings, buildings that were 3772
primarily designed for Veterans' use but throughout 3773
the years they have been transferred over to the

Department of Mental Health. We have the buildings 3774
there. I think we have an agreement with the 3775
Department of Mental Health to utilize those
buildings. It would also make the Anna State 3776
Hospital, Hospital a stronger, more viable institution
if we do utilize those buildings. The \$30,000 3777
appropriation is for a feasibility study, a study for 3779
the need. We feel that the need is there but, the
Department of Veterans' Affairs asked that they needed 3780
this type of money to set up the plans to make a 3781
proposal that we could present to the General Assembly 3782
at a later date asking for additional appropriations
to set up the Veterans' Center. Perhaps we're using 3783
the wrong word when we say 'center'. Perhaps it 3784
should be something else. But I think that in the 3785
feasibility study that would be conducted by the
Department of Veterans' Affairs, we could work that 3786
solution out. We do have over a thousand, probably 3787
more, much, much more than a thousand Veterans who are
nearing the ages of sixty to sixty-five who are in 3788
desperate need of this type of facility. We have the 3789
buildings, the buildings are there. They're ready to
use. This is not a...this is not a new concept. It's 3790
going on in other parts of the State of Illinois where 3791
they're taking existing buildings and turning it into 3792
programs that may be Veterans or other organizational
groups can use. We're not asking for a lot. We're 3793
asking for \$30,000 to see if we can implement the 3794
program, and I think it's a worthwhile cause and would
ask for your support." 3795

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is shall House Bill 3302 3797
pass. All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'no'. 3798
Jack, give me an 'aye'. Dawson, then. Have all voted 3799
who wish? The Gentleman from Perry, Mr. Ralph Dunn to
explain his vote. Timer's on." 3800

Dunn: "Thank you, Mr., thank you, Mr. Chairman and Members 3802

of the House. Mr. Speaker, this is a Bill that would 3803
appropriate only \$30,000 to do a study with some 3804
existing, we probably have ten or fifteen million
dollars worth of buildings down in Anna Mental Health 3805
Center that are not being used or not being fully 3806
utilized. This would be a study to see what could be 3807
done with them. I would urge a few more 'aye' votes
so that we can get this study, well it's in the 59th 3808
District. It affects people from Mental Health Center 3809
and from Veterans all over the State. I think it
would be a good \$30,000, and I urge your support." 3810

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Franklin, Mr. Rea to 3812
explain his vote. Timer's on." 3813

Rea: "I think this center is very important to all of the 3815
Veterans of the State of Illinois. It certainly 3816
provides a home that is needed. I think also it 3817
provides in addition to a home, it plays as an
information center for the Veterans, a place that they 3818
can find help, a place that they can assist and help 3819
one another. This type of a facility is needed, and
with the building that we have available which I think 3820
is a sound structural building, and one that could be 3821
renovated very cheaply, and also the program would be
very compatible to the operations that are presently 3822
going on there at the Anna Mental Health Center. I 3823
would ask for some more green votes up there and to go 3824
up to 89."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman 3826
from Macon, Mr. Borchers to explain his vote. Timer's 3827
on."

Borchers: "I'm putting on my Veterans' cap for a simple 3829
reason. If any group of people are now being more and 3830
more forgotten, it is the Veterans, particularly Viet 3831
Nam and Korean. World War II has not been forgotten,
but they are being forgotten, and this is the part of 3832
the State that has no place to aid the Veterans that 3833

need aid and we should certainly vote for this kind of 3834
a thing. This kind of money, \$30,000 is chicken feed,
so why don't you forget, some of you people who 3835
haven't ever been there, a lot of you I know have not, 3836
get yourselves together and realize it only takes one 3837
salvo of a 250 millimeter gun to be worth \$2,000. So
put a little money, \$30,000 is nothing." 3838

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson to 3840
explain his vote. Timer's on." 3841

Vinson: "Just for the purposes of requesting a verification 3843
if this gets 89, Mr. Speaker." 3844

Speaker Lechowicz: "Hope it gets about 107, then maybe he'll 3846
withdraw. Have all voted who wish? Larry. Have all 3847
voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. The 3848
Gentleman from Franklin, Mr...asks for a poll of the
absentees. Gentleman from Cook, Mr. DiPrima, kindly 3849
record DiPrima as 'aye'. Kindly record Kulas as 3850
'aye'. Bullock as 'aye'. Doyle, Bullock as 'aye'. 3851
Doyle as 'aye'. Patrick as 'aye'. Schneider, Glenn,
'aye'. Mrs. Chapman, kindly record Mrs. Chapman as 3852
'aye'. Mr. Pouncey as 'aye'. Mr. Donovan as 'aye'. 3853
Mr. Jaffe as 'aye'. Mrs. Balanoff as 'aye'. Anyone
else want to be recorded? Mr. Ebbesen as 'aye'. Yes, 3854
Sir. Mr. Totten, what purpose do you seek 3855
recognition?"

Totten: "Point of Order, Mr. Speaker." 3857

Speaker Lechowicz: "What's your point, Sir?" 3859

Totten: "A number of Members have been...seriously left the 3861
House Floor during the day. I went looking for them, 3862
I came back from the Nurse's Office and the latest 3863
count there were 32 twisted and broken arms recorded
in the Nurse's Office."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Would you go back and check the legs? 3865
What's the count? Mr. Pechous as 'aye'. On this 3866
question there's a 100 'ayes' and 58 'nos'. Mr. 3867
Vinson, do you still persist? The Gentleman

insists...a request for a verification. Clerk will 3868
 call the affirmative vote. We polled the absentees 3869
 already, didn't we? Poll the absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of the absentees. Beatty, Capuzi, 3871
 Casey, Darrow, Epton, Ewell, Gaines, Greiman, Hannig, 3872
 Huff, Katz, Kelly, Laurino, Mugalian, Stearney and 3873
 Woodyard."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Ewell, kindly record Mr. Ewell as 3875
 'aye'. Mr. Gaines as 'aye'. Better point out that 3876
 there's approximately 325 Bills on the Calendar. 3877
 We've got 102 'aye' votes on this. Verify."

Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of the..." 3879

Speaker Lechowicz: "Verify the affirmative vote." 3881

Clerk O'Brien: "Alexander." 3883

Speaker Lechowicz: "She's here." 3885

Clerk O'Brien: "Balanoff, Bianco, Birchler, Borchers, 3887
 Boucek, Bower, Bowman, Bradley, Braun, Breslin, 3888
 Brummer, Bullock, Capparelli, Catania, Chapman, 3889
 Christensen, Cullerton, Currie, Dawson, Deuster, 3890
 DiPrima, Domico, Donovan, Doyle, John Dunn, Ralph 3891
 Dunn, Dyer, Ebbesen, Ewell, Farley, Flinn, Dwight 3892
 Friedrich, Gaines, Garmisa, Getty, Giorgi, Goodwin, 3893
 Hanahan, Harris, Henry, Hoxsey, Hudson, Huskey, Jaffe, 3894
 Kane, Keane, Klosak, Kornowicz, Kosinski, Krska, 3895
 Kulas, Lechowicz, Leon, Madigan, Marovitz, Matijeovich, 3896
 Matula, Mautino, McAuliffe, McClain, McGrew, McPike, 3897
 Molloy, Mulcahey, Murphy, Neff, O'Brien, Patrick, 3898
 Pechous, Pierce, Pouncey, Preston, Rea, Reed, 3899
 Richmond, Robbins, Ronan, Satterthwaite, Schisler,
 Schneider, Schoeberlein, Schraeder, Sharp, Slape,
 Stanley, Steczo, E.G. Steele, Stuffle, Terzich,
 VanDuyne, Vitek, VonBoeckman, Watson, White, Willer,
 Williamson, Winchester, Sam Wolf, Younge, Yourell, Mr.
 Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Schoeberlein asks leave to be 3901
 verified. Leave is granted. Do you have any 3902

questions of the affirmative vote, Sir? Mr. Vinson." 3902

Vinson: "Yourell." 3904

Speaker Lechowicz: "Buzz Yourell. Mr. Yourell, how's the Gentleman recorded?" 3906

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'." 3908

Speaker Lechowicz: "Remove him." 3910

Vinson: "Mr. Wolf." 3912

Speaker Lechowicz: "Sam Wolf is here." 3914

Vinson: "Representative Willer." 3916

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mrs. Willer is here. The Gentleman from Macoupin, Mr. Hannig, what purpose do you seek recognition? Hannig. Hannig. He's right here." 3918

Hannig: "Speaker, Mr. Speaker, would you please record me as 'aye', please." 3921

Speaker Lechowicz: "Kindly record him as 'aye'. Kindly record Mr. Beatty as 'aye'. Please continue, Mr. Vinson." 3923

Vinson: "White." 3926

Speaker Lechowicz: "I'm sorry, who?" 3928

Vinson: "Representative White." 3930

Speaker Lechowicz: "White is in his chair." 3932

Vinson: "Terzich." 3934

Speaker Lechowicz: "Terzich. Mr. Terzich. How is the Gentleman recorded?" 3936

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'." 3938

Speaker Lechowicz: "Remove him. Mrs. Hoxsey, what purpose do you seek recognition? Kindly change Mrs. Hoxsey to 'no'. Mr. Hudson to 'no'. Mr. Neff to 'no'. Did we remove Mr. Terzich, Mr. Clerk? Yes, we did." 3941

Vinson: "Schneider." 3944

Speaker Lechowicz: "Schneider. He's right here. Put Mr. Terzich back on the Roll Call." 3947

Vinson: "Where is he?" 3949

Speaker Lechowicz: "He's right here." 3951

Vinson: "Where? Oh." 3953

Speaker Lechowicz: "When I tell you a guy is here, he's 3955

here." 3955

Vinson: "Satterthwaite." 3957

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mrs. Satterthwaite. She's in the back
of the chamber." 3959

Vinson: "O'Brien." 3961

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. O'Brien, he was just...Mr. O'Brien,
how's the Gentleman recorded?" 3963
3964

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'." 3966

Speaker Lechowicz: "Remove him." 3968

Vinson: "Mulcahey." 3970

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mulcahey is here. Anyone else?" 3972

Vinson: "Yes, Representative McAuliffe." 3974

Speaker Lechowicz: "McAuliffe. How's the Gentleman
recorded?" 3976

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'." 3978

Speaker Lechowicz: "Remove him. Put O'Brien back on. And
Mr. Kelly wants to be recorded as 'aye'." 3980
3981

Vinson: "Matijevich." 3983

Speaker Lechowicz: "Matijevich is here." 3985

Vinson: "Where?" 3987

Speaker Lechowicz: "Right here in the aisle." 3989

Vinson: "Marovitz." 3991

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Marovitz. He's right here." 3993

Vinson: "Huskey." 3995

Speaker Lechowicz: "Huskey. Ah, how is Mr. Huskey
recorded?" 3997

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'." 3999

Speaker Lechowicz: "Remove him." 4001

Vinson: "Matula." 4003

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Matula. Mr. Matula, how's the
Gentleman recorded?" 4005

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'." 4007

Speaker Lechowicz: "Please remove him." 4009

Vinson: "Representative Leon." 4011

Speaker Lechowicz: "Leon is here." 4013

Vinson: "Klosak." 4015

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Klosak. How's the Gentleman recorded?" 4017

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'." 4019

Speaker Lechowicz: "Remove him." 4021

Vinson: "Representative Henry." 4023

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Henry is there." 4025

Vinson: "Representative Hanahan." 4027

Speaker Lechowicz: "Hanahan. How's the Gentleman recorded?" 4029

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'." 4031

Speaker Lechowicz: "Remove him." 4033

Vinson: "Representative Flinn." 4035

Speaker Lechowicz: "I'm sorry, who?" 4037

Vinson: "Flinn, Monroe Flinn." 4039

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Flinn. How's the Gentleman recorded? Put Hanahan back on the Roll Call. How is Mr. Flinn recorded?" 4041

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'." 4044

Speaker Lechowicz: "Remove him." 4046

Vinson: "Representative Farley." 4048

Speaker Lechowicz: "Farley is in the chamber." 4050

Vinson: "Representative Deuster." 4052

Speaker Lechowicz: "Deuster. Mr. Deuster, how's he recorded?" 4054

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'." 4056

Speaker Lechowicz: "Please remove him." 4058

Vinson: "Representative Dawson." 4060

Speaker Lechowicz: "Dawson. Mr. Dawson, how's the Gentleman recorded?" 4062

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'." 4064

Speaker Lechowicz: "Please remove him." 4066

Vinson: "Representative Capparelli." 4068

Speaker Lechowicz: "Capparelli. How is the Gentleman recorded?" 4070

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'." 4072

Speaker Lechowicz: "Please remove Mr. Capparelli." 4074

Vinson: "Representative Bradley." 4076

Speaker Lechowicz: "Put Mr. Flinn back on the Roll Call. 4078
 Did you put Flinn back on, Jack? Alright." 4079

Vinson: "Representative Bradley." 4081

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Bradley. How's the Gentleman 4083
 recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'." 4085

Speaker Lechowicz: "Please remove him." 4087

Vinson: "Representative Watson." 4089

Speaker Lechowicz: "Who is that?" 4091

Vinson: "Watson. Frank Watson." 4093

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Watson. Mr. Watson. How is the 4095
 Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'." 4097

Speaker Lechowicz: "Please remove him. Put Mr. Dawson back 4099
 on the Roll Call."

Vinson: "Representative Bianco." 4101

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Bianco. How is the Gentleman 4103
 recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'." 4105

Speaker Lechowicz: "Remove him." 4107

Vinson: "Representative Ronan." 4109

Speaker Lechowicz: "Who?" 4111

Vinson: "Ronan, Al Ronan." 4113

Speaker Lechowicz: "Oh, Ronan. Ronan, he was just here. 4115
 Mr. Ronan. Mr. Ronan in the chamber? How's the
 Gentleman recorded?" 4116

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'." 4118

Speaker Lechowicz: "Remove him." 4120

Vinson: "Stanley." 4122

Speaker Lechowicz: "How is Mr. Stanley recorded?" 4124

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'." 4126

Speaker Lechowicz: "Remove him. Hey, Mike, Mike. Hanahan, 4128
 go tell Bradley to come out here." 4129

Vinson: "How's Representative Boucek recorded, Mr. Speaker?" 4131

Speaker Lechowicz: "Are you questioning his vote, Sir?" 4133

Vinson: "I'm asking how's he recorded." 4135

Speaker Lechowicz: "How is Mr. Boucek recorded?" 4137

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'." 4139

Vinson: "I...I'd like to question whether he's present in 4141
the chamber."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Boucek. Mr. Boucek. How's the 4143
Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'." 4145

Speaker Lechowicz: "Remove him. Do you have anyone else, 4147
Sir?"

Vinson: "Madigan." 4149

Speaker Lechowicz: "He's here." 4151

Vinson: "He is? No further questions." 4153

Speaker Lechowicz: "On this question there are 89 'ayes', 60 4155
'nos'. This Bill having received the Constitutional 4156
Majority is hereby declared passed. 89. At the 4157
request of the Sponsor, 3309 is out of the record, 4158
also 3311. House Bill 3317." 4158

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3317. A Bill for an Act making 4160
certain appropriations to the Capital Development 4161
Board. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Jackson, Mr. 4163
Richmond. Out of the record. 3321." 4164

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3321. Harris. A Bill for an Act 4166
making an appropriation to the Capital Development 4167
Board. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Williamson, Mr. 4169
Harris."

Harris: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of 4171
the House. This is an appropriation for \$25,000 4172
through the Capital Development Board request for a 4173
feasibility study at the Anna Hospital facility for: 4175
Veterans for recreation and convalescence; senior 4175
citizens for recreation and convalescence. Detention, 4176
for juvenile detentions from the court systems in the 4177
area. The judges have been contacted on this. 4177
Presently, we have to send the juvenile delinquents to

Belleville which is approximately on the average of 4178
 100 to 120 miles from the center of this location. 4179
 There are presently, I understand, four buildings not 4180
 fully utilized. And this is a feasibility study from
 the Capital Development Board for Veterans: 4181
 convalescence, recreation; senior citizens:
 convalescence, recreation and juvenile delinquents." 4182

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from 4184
 DeWitt, Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd like to point out that 4186
 every Representative from Southern Illinois has a Bill 4187
 in for today for \$50,000 for a Veterans Center. And 4188
 the grand total cost on this Veterans Center that they
 are trying to sneak through in separate Bills is going 4189
 to be \$1,000,000,000. So I urge a 'no' vote on this 4190
 one too."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. 4192
 Hallock."

Hallock: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I 4194
 think the Membership should be well aware the last 4195
 three Bills we've had have all concerned Southern 4196
 Illinois. We have three and a half million dollars
 for SIU. We had \$30,000 for Anna, and now another 4197
 Bill for \$25,000 for Anna, Illinois. I think the 4198
 Membership on this side of the aisle should sit up and
 take notice when these Bills go through here today and 4199
 oppose this one especially. Thank you." 4200

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Hardin, Mr. 4202
 Winchester."

Winchester: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen 4204
 of the House. I...I apologize to the Gentlemen from 4205
 the North. It does seem like, it does give the 4206
 appearance of being Southern Illinois day here in the
 General Assembly. It was not our intention to have 4207
 the Bills all come together at one time, which gives 4208
 the appearance we are trying to pull something on 4209

somebody. This is a very important Bill and to my 4209
distinguished friend and colleague from over in 4210
Clinton, Illinois, Representative Vinson, this is not 4211
for a Veterans' Center. This is for a Juvenile
Detention Center. The only, the closest Juvenile 4212
Detention Center that we have in Southern Illinois is 4213
in Edwardsville and we do have some thirty counties in
the southern part of the State and about twenty-five 4214
of them are having to send their juveniles to 4215
Edwardsville, and it's costing about forty-five to
fifty dollars a day to keep those kids in 4216
Edwardsville. We do have vacant buildings at the Anna 4217
State Hospital. We have a sufficient amount of
buildings available that we can use for the Veterans' 4218
Center as well as the Juvenile Detention Center. What 4219
we're trying to do is utilize those buildings as much
as we possibly can. I think that's what the people in 4220
the State of Illinois want us to do. I again 4221
apologize, it does appear that we're trying to come in
and take the whole \$15,000,000,000 appropriation for 4222
the State of Illinois, but we are not, Mr. Speaker. 4223
It's a feasibility study, it's a feasibility study for 4224
\$25,000 for the Capital Development Board. They are
in support of it. They've, they've indicated to 4225
Representative Harris and I that they are in support 4226
of it. All the legislators in the South of it and
someday, someday, Mr. Speaker, some of the legislators 4227
in the North will need some help and we would be most 4228
happy to help them. Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "You've always been there. The Gentleman 4230
from Williamson, Mr. Harris." 4231

Harris: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This...this is a mere 4233
pittance of \$25,000 they're asking through the Capital 4234
Development Board. It's been discussed with them. 4235
They are reasonable, they are willing to do this. We
have also met in this area, Representative Winchester 4236

and myself, with the Director of Veterans' Affairs. 4237
 He's looked this facility over. We have contacted the
 people in aging. They have...they would elect to have 4238
 some of these buildings for convalescence or 4239
 recreation. The chief judge in the area, I suppose,
 is sick and tired of having to assure us the transport 4240
 people a hundred to a hundred and twenty miles where 4241
 we have a feasibility of probably a building within 4242
 thirty to forty miles. Yes, the counties pay for the 4243
 transportation costs. Here we have a chance for 4244
 \$25,000 to help senior citizens. We have a chance to 4245
 help juvenile delinquents, and if there's anyone that 4246
 needs help, it's a juvenile and Veterans throughout 4247
 the State of Illinois for \$25,000. I would like to
 have a green vote on this. We need it very badly in
 Southern Illinois. Thank you very much."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is shall House Bill 3321 4249
 pass. All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'no'. 4250
 The Gentleman from McLean, Mr. Ropp to explain his 4251
 vote. Timer's on."

Ropp: "Yea, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I might say 4253
 that, at the City of Normal last year we had a 4254
 facility called the Illinois Soldiers and Sailors 4255
 Home, but in the wisdom of this General Assembly they
 decided it should be closed. Might I say to those who 4256
 are sponsoring this legislation, those facilities are 4257
 available, ready for occupancy right now, and you
 wouldn't have to use the study." 4258

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted 4260
 who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will 4261
 take the record. On this question there are 82 4262
 'ayes', 56 'nos'. Mr. Harris requests a poll of the
 absentees. Yes, Sir. Mr. Harris, what purpose do you 4263
 seek recognition?"

Harris: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like permission, if I may, put 4265
 this on Postponed Consideration." 4266

Speaker Lechowicz: "Poll the absentees, first." 4268

Harris: "Thank you." 4270

Clerk Leone: "Poll of the absentees. Anderson, Bluthardt, 4272
Burnidge, Deuster, Donovan, Ewell, Ewing, Dwight 4273
Friedrich, Gaines, Greiman."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Kindly record Mr. Donovan as 'aye'." 4275

Clerk Leone: "Continuing with the poll of the absentees. 4277
Hannig, Hoxsey, Huskey, Karpiel, Katz, Kelly." 4278

Speaker Lechowicz: "Kindly record Mr. Kelly as 'aye'." 4280

Clerk Leone: "Klosak." 4282

Speaker Lechowicz: "No." 4284

Clerk Leone: "Laurino." 4286

Speaker Lechowicz: "Klosak is 'no'." 4288

Clerk Leone: "McAuliffe, Meyer, Molloy, Mugalian, Peters, 4290
Pierce, Rea."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Pierce, 'aye'. Please continue." 4292

Clerk Leone: "Reed, Sandquist, Schneider, Schoeberlein, 4294
Stearney, C.M. Stiehl."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mrs. Stiehl, 'no'." 4296

Clerk Leone: "Wikoff, Williams, Woodyard and Yourell." 4298

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Hannig, 'aye'. Kindly record Mr. 4300
Katz as 'aye'. Mr. Darrow, 87. Kindly record Mr. 4301
Darrow as 'aye'. Mrs. Alexander as 'aye'. What's the 4302
count? Should be 89. On this question there are 89 4303
'ayes', 57 'nos'. This Bill having received the 4304
Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. At 4305
the request of the Sponsor, House Bill 3325 is taken 4306
out of the record. There's been also a request to put 4307
the camera light on, so the camera light will be on 4308
for awhile. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. J.J. Wolf, 4309
what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Wolf: "Did you say that last Bill was taken from the record 4309
or Postponed Consideration?" 4310

Speaker Lechowicz: "Which one? No, 3321 was passed. Got 89 4312
votes, received the Constitutional Majority was 4313
declared passed. 3325 was taken out of the record at 4314

the request of the Sponsor. House Bill 3330." 4314

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 3330. A Bill for an Act making 4316
appropriations to the Department of Veterans' Affairs. 4317
Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Lady from Cook, Mrs. Catania." 4319

Catania: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, 4321
House Bill 3330 appropriates \$10,000 to the Department 4322
of Veterans' Affairs to establish and maintain 4323
hotlines for the Veterans' Counseling Centers that
have been established using Federal money. They will 4324
be staffed by volunteers. This is the companion 4325
appropriation to House Bill 3591 which we passed out
with a vote of 155 to 3. I ask for your support." 4327

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there any discussion? The question 4329
is shall House Bill 3330 pass? All in favor vote 4330
'aye', all opposed vote 'no'. Bruce, give me an 4331
'aye'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who
wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this 4332
question there's 125 'ayes', 16 'nos', 1 recorded as 4333
'present'. This Bill having received the
Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. 4334
House Bill 3346. Mr. Simms in the chamber? Take the 4335
Bill out of the record. 3383. Mr. Polk. Take, Polk.
Out of the record, request of the Sponsor. 3407, Mr. 4336
Dawson. Out of the record. House Bill 33...3433. 4337
Out of the record, request of the Sponsor. 3441."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 3441. A Bill for an Act to amend 4339
an Act relating to alcoholic liquors. Third Reading 4340
of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Sandquist." 4342

Sandquist: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the 4344
House, I introduced this Bill at the, the request of 4345
the Department of Conservation, because of an Attorney 4346
General's ruling which came down in November of 1979
which stated that the Department would have to have a 4347
local liquor license at any establishment that they 4349

had on their property. Now this had been a change in 4349
the Law that had existed for a great number of years. 4350
At the present time the Department does have leased 4351
out certain facilities, restaurants who have liquor
facility. And it would be a great loss of revenue if 4352
they did not have this. The reason that it's a 4353
problem, it's not because of dry areas, but there are
certain, certain areas as you know where there's a 4354
limited number of liquor licenses, local liquor 4355
licenses. Now what we're talking about are
establishments that have been in existence for years 4357
so that it will not take away any, in competition with 4358
any other business, because they're already there. In
addition it's provided that the Department or its 4359
licensee will take out and pay to the local government 4360
the same cost as that local liquor license. I think
it's a good thing. It's something that's needed, and 4361
I ask your 'aye', support."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman 4363
from Cook, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Will the Sponsor yield?" 4365

Speaker Lechowicz: "Indicates he will." 4367

Schlickman: "Who will do the prosecution for a violation of 4369
the alcoholic beverage Act?" 4370

Sandquist: "Well, the, the State Liquor Department will 4372
certainly enforce the local ah, because they will 4373
still need a State License."

Schlickman: "So..." 4375

Sandquist: "In addition they still would be for any other 4377
local, local ordinance as far as the, or State, 4378
they'd still be responsible for that, that the 4379
State's Attorney would enforce."

Schlickman: "Well, by removing the requirement for the State 4381
Department of Conservation to secure a local or a 4382
county liquor license, wouldn't you be removing from 4383
the authority of the local liquor control Commissioner

with respect to violations such as selling under
twenty-one?" 4384

Sandquist: "Well, well, that is true, but that can still be
enforced by the State Liquor Control Commission
because they would have..." 4386
4387

Schlickman: "Aren't you going to have one state agency
attempting to regulate another state agency?" 4389
4390

Sandquist: "No, because the license would in effect be held
by the, by the lessee of the Department." 4392
4393

Schlickman: "One other question, what is the rationale in
removing the requirement for securing a local liquor
license but still requiring the State to pay for it?" 4395
4396
4397

Sandquist: "Well, the rationale is that we did not want the
local, the local authorities to lose that part of it,
their revenue." 4399
4400

Schlickman: "So they'll be getting something for nothing?" 4402

Sandquist: "In effect that is correct." 4404

Schlickman: "Thank you." 4406

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Borchers." 4408

Borchers: "Will the Sponsor yield, please?" 4410

Speaker Lechowicz: "Indicates he will." 4412

Borchers: "In relation to our county, we have one state park
and we have about a hundred, a little over a hundred
licenses in the county. Now it occurs to me that in
the liquor, if they're able to open up a liquor
dealership or a store to retail liquor in the state
park, that it will not be necessary for the people
buying liquor to buy in the state park. They could
bring it in from outside or get it in the state park.
Though every bottle or can bought in that state park
will obviously be a loss to the retail dealers who are
paying the freight through taxes, and the liquor has
pretty high taxes, and sort of be to the disadvantage
of all of the liquor dealers in Macon County. So I
suspect that the same rule will apply all over the
State, so I think by doing this we are, we are

certainly hurting the business opportunities and 4425
 position of all retail liquor dealers in the county 4426
 and in the State of Illinois. So I feel it would be
 my duty representing the liquor dealers of my county 4427
 to vote 'no'. I do not want to see them lose any of 4428
 the business. They're losing enough business as it is 4429
 and they're taxed so highly now that I, it's very
 difficult to carry the burden of taxes. So I'm going 4430
 to vote 'no', and I suggest you all vote 'no'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Will, Mr. VanDuyne." 4432
 VanDuyne: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. My comments run almost 4434
 synonymous with the comments of Representative 4435
 Borchers's. But I also have a question. If, as I 4436
 understand it now when people are issued liquor
 licenses in any county, that puts them under the 4437
 control of the Sheriff's Department. In other word, 4438
 whenever the man who is operating this establishment
 doesn't conform to the Law, whether it be by closing 4439
 hours or whether it be by raising heck all night long 4440
 and littering the place and just doesn't conform and
 whether it be common sense or whether it be closing 4441
 hours or whether it be littering or Health Department 4442
 rules and regulations, they used a license as a, as a, 4443
 some sort of control over these people. Now if you
 don't, even though you allow them to pay the fee, if 4444
 you don't issue them a license, how in the world would 4445
 the Sheriff or anybody be able to close up a place?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Sandquist." 4447
 Sandquist: "Well the Sheriff, the Sheriff could still go in 4449
 there and close it up. They're subject to all of the 4450
 same Laws that any other establishment is subject to, 4451
 and I'd like to point out these places are now in
 existence now and the only reason that this is 4452
 necessary is because of the Attorney General's ruling. 4453
 They are not going to open up any new places and
 Representative Borchers, they're not going to go into 4454

retail liquor business. We're talking about the few 4455
existing licenses that would be needed because of
places like Starved Rock and at Zion where the Holiday 4456
Inn is located."

VanDuynes: "Representative Sandquist, with all due respect, I 4458
think you're wrong. The only people that can take 4459
away the license of a liquor holder in the county is 4460
the County Board. The Sheriff can go out there and
lock them up for a night or report back to the County 4462
Board. But, if the County Board cannot take away 4463
their license, then there is no way in the world that
they can lock a person up permanently." 4464

Sandquist: "Yes, but what I want to point out is they do not 4466
have licenses now and they've been operating. They, 4467
they, so there's nothing to take away now. The 4468
problem arises..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Excuse me, Mr. VanDuynes." 4470

VanDuynes: "I don't know whether, I really don't know whether 4472
that's true. I know it's not true in my county. 4473
Anybody who operates a liquor establishment, retail 4474
liquor establishment, must have a license. So I don't
know where you're coming from but I stand with Mr. 4475
Borchers if I could address the Bill just briefly." 4476

Speaker Lechowicz: "Please proceed." 4478

VanDuynes: "I not only, not only do I believe its an 4480
infringement on the people who are in private business 4481
that you are giving them unnecessary and unfair 4482
competition. But I think that really you are really
opening up a can of worms where you lose all complete 4483
control over the guy who's running these 4484
establishments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Champaign, Mr. 4486
Wikoff."

Wikoff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield?" 4488

Speaker Lechowicz: "Indicates he will." 4490

Wikoff: "...I think a couple of these questions might be to 4492

a certain extent repetitious and if they are, I 4493
 apologize but having been a former liquor 4494
 Commissioner, I question who has the responsibility of
 deciding whether or not there's a violation of the Law 4495
 and if so who acts upon that said violation?"

Sandquist: "Well, at, at the current time, it is true that 4497
 the, the local Sheriff can look into that. The State 4498
 Liquor Commission which now has more agents than it 4499
 used to have can also look into it, and I'm sure that
 the Laws will be enforced." 4500

Wikoff: "Well, I think the Laws remain the same. And I 4502
 don't think there's any question about that, but they, 4503
 if there is a violation, it goes before the Liquor 4504
 Commissioner, and he makes the decision as to whether
 they're going to be reprimanded or whether their 4505
 license will be suspended or possibly even revoked. 4506
 And after his decision, then they may appeal to the
 State Liquor Commission for a, a hearing on the Local 4507
 Liquor Commissioner's decision. Also, the Local 4508
 Liquor Commissioner has the power to, in case of an
 emergency which has effect with health and welfare of 4509
 the area, to immediately close the place without a 4510
 hearing. But how do you handle those situations?"

Sandquist: "Well, the ah, it is true that there could not be 4512
 an immediate closing by the Local Commissioner, 4513
 because they're not under the Local Commissioner, and 4514
 they're not under the Local Commissioner now. That's
 what I'm trying to say. They've been operating this 4515
 way for years."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Excuse me, Mr. Sandquist. Please 4517
 proceed, Sir."

Sandquist: "They've been operating this way for years and 4519
 that's not going to be any change in the operation. 4520
 It's just to make sure that they can still operate and 4521
 the State does not lose the revenue from these
 establishments. It's not going to be anything new." 4522

Wikoff: "Well, if the, again going back to a decision by a
Local Liquor Commissioner whether he was city, county,
whatever the case might be, and a decision was made
which was unfavorable at least as far as the license
holder was concerned, and they appealed it, they'd go
to the State Liquor Commission. I assume that this
would, this situation would then be handled by the
State Liquor Commissioner?"

Sandquist: "By the State Liquor Control Commission. Right."

Wikoff: "Okay, they, then the only alternative is if the
individual who in this case would be the state parks
feel they're wronged, would be to the Circuit Court?"

Sandquist: "They would have the appeal through the
Administrative Review Act of the Circuit Court.
That's correct."

Wikoff: "I'm not necessarily opposed to what you're trying
to do, if I might speak to the Bill, Mr. Speaker, but
I..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Please proceed."

Wikoff: "I do have very serious reservation about
eliminating the local control on it, and that's the
problem that I see with it. And I, as I say, I'm not
really opposed to what you're trying to do, but I
don't think I can support it without some local
control on it."

Speaker Lechowicz: "You know the noise level is rather high,
and I would hope that the Membership would kindly
listen to the debate and vote your conscience. The
Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Griesheimer. Excuse me, Ron.
Please proceed, Sir."

Griesheimer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to rise in
support of this Bill, in calling your attention to the
situation which existed for many years at Illinois
State Beach Park Lodge in one of our largest state
parks in Lake County. Before they had the opportunity
of selling any form of liquor, you could not get a

contractor to go in there any provide any services. 4560
 The services included, of course, the dining services
 and also overnight lodgings. But they couldn't make 4561
 any money at it, because they were required to be 4562
 competitive as much as possible to the other
 facilities in the area. And yet they didn't have the 4563
 benefit of the profit element that's involved in the 4564
 sale of alcoholic beverages. This entire problem 4565
 would not have come about had it not been for the fact
 that the Attorney General several years ago ruled 4566
 unquestionably that contractors holding these state 4567
 contracts which I would point out to you are bid on at 4568
 low dollar, did not have to obtain a liquor license
 from a local county board. This placed them in a 4569
 position where they could go in, bid the low figure, 4570
 count on some degree of profit from their alcohol and
 then continue in business. Well when the Attorney 4571
 General's Office changed their position last year, 4572
 these contracts were already outstanding. And the
 problem we're now facing is that if any of these 4573
 liquor licenses are denied by a Local County Liquor 4574
 Commission, we have in effect destroyed the
 contractual relationship with the State of Illinois. 4575
 And I would think this would be very risky at this 4576
 point in time. We're not living in a blue-Law state,
 so I would think that it would make very good sense to 4577
 anticipate the Department of Conservation will 4578
 regulate the operation of these concessioners, that
 they will very closely follow how the alcohol is being 4579
 served and at the same time the local police 4580
 enforcement, the Sheriff usually, has every right to 4581
 oversee the operation of how these people are serving
 their alcohol and whether there is extreme 4582
 intoxication and that type of thing. So all I think 4583
 that Representative Sandquist is doing here is really
 formalizing the process that the Attorney General 4584

previously approved, and I think it's good sound 4585
business practice and one that should be done to 4586
support the Department of Conservation."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Sandquist to close." 4588

Sandquist: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and 4590
Gentlemen of the House, and I appreciate what 4591
Representative Griesheimer has just said. We're not 4592
changing anything. I am for local control, but in 4593
this instance there has not been licenses in the past. 4594
We will lose this operation and it's not going to be 4595
in competition with anyone else, and I certainly in
behalf of the Department of Conservation urge an 'aye'
vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Question is shall House Bill 3441 pass. 4597
All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'no'. The 4598
Gentleman from Adams, Mr. McClain to explain his vote. 4599
Timer's on."

McClain: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and 4601
Gentlemen of the House, I believe this is a good Bill. 4602
All this piece of legislation does is put the 4603
Department of Conservation back in the same position
as they were previous to the Attorney General's 4604
opinion one year ago. We had an Attorney General's 4605
opinion in 1970 saying that they could do this. We've
been doing it for years until about a year ago, and 4606
now it's put a cloud on the statute. So all this does 4607
is clear up the Statute. Local Governments will lose
no funds, so we'll be paying the fees. And I would 4609
urge you to vote 'aye'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bullock to 4611
explain his vote. Timer's on. No, the Gentleman from 4612
Hardin, Mr. Winchester to explain his vote. Timer's 4613
on."

Winchester: "Well, just briefly Mr. Speaker, it looks like 4615
we have the votes now, but all this does is make a 4616
change in the Statutes to allow the Department of 4617

Conservation to continue doing what they have been 4617
 doing in the past. It affects Illinois Beach, Pere 4618
 Marquette, Giant City Park. Many Legislators have 4619
 been to Giant City Park, without this legislation they
 would have to close down their lodge, and I would ask 4620
 for a favorable Roll Call..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted 4622
 who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this 4623
 question there's 116 'ayes', 33 'nays', 3 recorded as 4624
 'present'. This Bill having received the
 Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. 4625
 House Bill 3487."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 3487. A Bill for an Act to amend 4627
 an Act in relation to State Finance. Third Reading of 4628
 the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Madison, Mr. McPike." 4630

McPike: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I'd like a leave to return 4632
 3487 to Second Reading for purposes of an Amendment." 4633

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any objections? Hearing none, return 4635
 the Bill back to Second Reading." 4636

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1, McPike-Reilly, amends 4638
 House Bill..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Madison, Mr. McPike, 4640
 Amendment #1."

McPike: "I'd like to refer it to Representative Reilly. 4642
 It's his Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Reilly." 4644

Reilly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, this is an agreed Amendment 4646
 with the Sponsor that was worked out with the 4647
 Department of Personnel. It simply clarifies some 4648
 provisions dealing with the procedure for the Civil
 Service Commission and legitimizes the voluntary, 4649
 binding arbitration that they've been doing for 4650
 several years which the Attorney General's questioned
 their authority for. I'd be glad to answer any 4651
 questions, otherwise I would ask adoption of the 4652

Amendment." 4652

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman 4654
from Cook, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Would the Sponsor yield?" 4656

Speaker Lechowicz: "He indicates he will." 4658

Schlickman: "HB 3487 is an Amendment to the State Finance 4660
Act. Your Amendment is not an Amendment to the State 4661
Finance Act, is it?"

Reilly: "No." 4663

Speaker Lechowicz: "Are you questioning the germaneness of 4665
the Amendment?"

Schlickman: "Yes, Sir." 4667

Speaker Lechowicz: "Would the Parliamentarian come back to 4669
the rostrum."

Reilly: "Mr. Speaker." 4671

Speaker Lechowicz: "Yes, Sir." 4673

Reilly: "If I could be heard on that point while we are 4675
waiting for the Parliamentarian. What we're amending 4676
here is the Civil Administrative Code in both the 4677
Finance Act and the Personnel Code are part of that." 4678

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Epstein. Tony, give me a copy of 4680
the Bill and the Amendment. Mr. Schlickman, would you 4681
state your point again."

Schlickman: "My point being that this Bill is introduced was 4683
an Amendment to the State Finance Act whereas the 4684
Amendment, as I understand it, is an Amendment to a 4685
different Act. And consistently, I think it's been a 4686
ruling of the Chair that germaneness requires 4686
confinement to one Act."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Amendment is not germane. The 4688
Amendment is not germane. So, Gentlemen, any further 4689
Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments." 4691

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. You want to proceed with 4693
your Bill as is? Take it out of the record. 3491." 4694

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 3491. A Bill for an Act to amend 4696

an Act to enlarge the Corporate Limits of the Metropolitan Sanitary District of Greater Chicago. Third Reading of the Bill." 4697 4698

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mahar." 4700

Mahar: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I ask leave to return House Bill 3491 back to Second Reading for purposes of an Amendment." 4702 4703 4704

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman asks leave to bring the Bill back to Second Reading for purposes of an Amendment. Any objections? Hearing none, the Bill's on Second Reading." 4706 4707 4708

Mahar: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I now refer to Representative Krska. It's an agreed Amendment." 4710 4711

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2, Krska, amends..." 4713

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Krska." 4715

Krska: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. This Amendment would increase the acreage to the Metropolitan Sanitary District which they agreed upon accepting." 4717 4718

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The question is shall Amendment #2 be adopted? All in favor signify by saying 'aye', 'aye', opposed. Amendment #2 is adopted. Any further Amendments?" 4720 4721 4722

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments." 4724

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading." 4726

Krska: "I thank you." 4728

Speaker Lechowicz: "3498. Mr. Bradley in the chamber? Take it out of the record. 3555. Mr. McGrew. Out of the record. 3568, Mr. Schisler. Out of the record, request of the Sponsor. 3577, Mr. Terzich. Oh, you amended it today. Out of the record. 536, Mr. Rea. Rea, out of the record, request of the Sponsor. 585. Read the Bill." 4730 4731 4732 4733 4734

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 585. A Bill for an Act to amend the Mechanics' Lien Law. Third Reading of the Bill." 4736 4737

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Pechous." 4739

Pechous: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, House Bill 4741
585 as amended is an attempt to..." 4742

Speaker Lechowicz: "Hanahan...proceed, Sir." 4744

Pechous: "Right. What we have here is an Amendment to the 4746
Mechanics' Lien Law with respect to liens being 4747
provided for suppliers of gasoline and diesel fuel to 4748
contractors on construction sites wherein the fuel is 4749
actually consumed and used on the construction site. 4750
It was brought to me by a constituent in my district 4751
who asked that I present it to this Body for its 4752
consideration. The Bill has received lengthy hearings 4753
in Judiciary I. It was the subject of a direction to 4754
subcommittee. The objections that were heard there, I 4755
believe, are overcome by the Amendment that which was 4756
added to the Bill. It is before you on a basis of 4757
consistency with the tight provisions that 4758
historically have visited the Mechanics' Lien Act. 4759
I'll answer any questions, if not, I would ask the 4760
favorable consideration of this Body be given to House 4761
Bill 585. Thank you." 4762

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from 4763
Will, Mr. Leinenweber." 4764

Leinenweber: "Will the Gentleman yield to a question?" 4765

Speaker Lechowicz: "Indicates he will." 4766

Leinenweber: "Representative Pechous, is there any other 4767
supplier protected by the Mechanics' Lien Law now the 4768
consumable substance such as which you propose here." 4769

Pechous: "Excuse me, would you just repeat that. I was 4770
interrupted." 4771

Leinenweber: "Is there any other supplier protected by the 4772
Mechanics' Lien Law that supplies the consumable 4773
substance such as what you proposed?" 4774

Speaker Lechowicz: "Would you repeat the question?" 4775

Pechous: "Yes, I believe I understood it. Well, 4776
Representative Leinenweber, as a non-attorney, I may 4777
be in error, but I would think that certain areas, 4778

such as perhaps, energy may well be in that category. 4777

I think heat, I think heat is not an exemption." 4778

Leinenweber: "As I understand your Bill, that the fuel to be 4780
used, presumably gasoline and butane or other types of 4781
fuel, for example, used for heating during 4782
construction. The cost of that could be preserved
under a Mechanics' Lien by the supplier. Is that the 4783
intent of the Bill?"

Pechous: "That is correct." 4785

Leinenweber: "And under the Amendment, the lien is limited 4787
to the amount of the fuel actually used." 4788

Pechous: "That is further correct." 4790

Leinenweber: "And what kind of a burden does the 4792
subcontractor have to prove that the fuel was actually 4793
used on the site?"

Pechous: "Well, it would appear to me that he would be 4795
required to provide records of the fuel as well as the 4796
supplier on the other hand having the burden of record 4797
keeping and being able to establish that the fuel
actually was delivered and actually consumed on that 4798
construction site."

Leinenweber: "I don't have a copy of Amendment 1. Can you 4800
tell me what it says?"

Pechous: "Amendment 1?" 4802

Leinenweber: "Yea, in regards to the proof?" 4804

Pechous: "We deleted the word 'use' that replaced it with 4806
language actually used on a construction site. And 4807
again repeating the term actual use on a construction 4808
site. Setting aside any doubt or question as to the
fuel actually being delivered and in fact consumed in 4809
its entirety on a construction project."

Leinenweber: "How would the subcontractor prove that it was 4811
used on the site?"

Pechous: "I'm advised as I indicated earlier by record 4813
keeping. And its, this is a more at..." 4814

Speaker Lechovicz: "Excuse me." 4816

- Pechous: "Yea, there is a maintenance record kept on this major machinery that's a part of the maintenance schedule and those records are in fact rather well-kept at the present time. So there is a presumption in this case of a large piece of machinery consuming x gallons of say diesel fuel, per hour and that they would have some sort of determination as to the running time of that piece of machinery."
- Leinenweber: "You just used the word which concerns me. You used the word presumption which has a relatively precise legal meaning. Was that...did you mean that or did you just use that to...a machine would use and this might be the type of proof one would show if one had to remove x cubic yards of fill or put in and so forth."
- Pechous: "Yes, we have struck, Representative Leinenweber, we have struck the presumption with respect to, with respect to the fuel lien."
- Leinenweber: "Alright what about, let me ask you this. What about a general contractor hires a subcontractor to bring in a large amount of fuel. Our subcontractor then brings and has his own trucks and so forth and goes to the quarry or to where ever the source material of the fill is and brings it to the site. Now, the, how would the person who furnished the gasoline ever be in a position to prove that his gasoline went into that site. Because I'm sure the subcontractor would have his own fuel off site. Is this, is there a limitation to on-site consumption of fuel, anotherwards it has to be delivered to the site..."
- Pechous: "And it spells it out actually used on a construction site."
- Leinenweber: "Well, used or delivered to and used?"
- Pechous: "Well, I think that's a semantical point..."
- Leinenweber: "Well, I don't think it is because quite often,

I mean, the practice for people who bring fuel in is 4851
 that the fuel would be off-site. But would possibly 4852
 be used on site. I'm just wondering whether or not
 we're going to create a situation here where it'll be 4853
 almost impossible for a general contractor who has to 4854
 furnish a sworn statement to be able to comply without 4855
 going to all of his suppliers and say 'how much fuel
 are you going to be using, who you buying from' and so 4856
 forth. It would seem to me we might get into a 4857
 horribly complicated situation if there was not a
 requirement on the Bill that the fuel actually be 4858
 delivered to the site and then consumed."

Pechous: "Well, let me just answer by saying that it is my 4860
 clear belief, and I think it is set forth in the 4861
 Amendment of which you make mention, that the fuel 4862
 must be actually delivered and used on the site."

Leinenweber: "Well, is the word 'deliver' in the Amendment? 4864
 I don't want to prolong this, but is the word deliver 4865
 and used in the Amendment?"

Pechous: "Yes." 4867

Leinenweber: "Maybe I could take a look at the Amendment. 4869
 That's all I have to say, Mr. Speaker." 4870

Speaker Lechowicz: "Thank you. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. 4872
 Jaffe."

Jaffe: "Yea, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I rise in 4874
 opposition to this Bill. Historically, alright, the 4875
 Mechanics' Lien Law has always been considered, has 4876
 been strictly construed. Now really for the first 4877
 time under this Bill, we go up and we open up the 4878
 Mechanics' Lien Law and we say okay we're going to let 4879
 the oil men now make a claim under the Mechanics' Lien
 Law. We really shouldn't do it, we should keep the
 Mechanics' Lien Law as tight as we possibly can. 4880
 Basically, it comes down to this, if you're for the 4881
 consumer you vote against this Bill, if you're for the
 big oil people you vote for the Bill. It's definitely 4882

not a consumer Bill, I think Representative 4883
Leinenweber was right on target. It really is a bad
concept. We should not open up the Mechanics' Lien 4884
Law. And we should vote against this particular
Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. 4886
Griesheimer."

Griesheimer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise in support of 4888
this Bill. This Bill went through not only the 4889
Judiciary Committee reporting out of the nine Members 4890
present, it was voted out, I guess there were actually
eleven Members present, 8 in favor and 1 opposed. 4891
This is an extension of the Mechanics' Lien concept. 4892
There's no question about that. But it is because of 4893
the fact that fuel is grown to be such an expensive
item that in the construction of major developments, 4894
the loss of the fuel by the supplier is an 4895
overwhelming loss. It's just not a matter of a couple
hundred dollars, it's in the thousands and thousands 4896
of dollars. The basic concept of Mechanics' Lien Law 4897
is to give a lien to a supplier or a material man for
products delivered to the site. And there's a 4898
presumption that anything delivered to the site is 4899
used on the site, so that that person can be
reimbursed for that amount of money. If they're not 4900
reimbursed they can actually file a claim against the 4901
real estate and therefore collect against the owner. 4902
When we were reviewing this is subcommittee, we felt 4903
that that would be too liberal an approach for a
supplier of fuel and we completely removed the 4904
presumption, so now the supplier of fuel has to prove 4905
that the fuel was delivered to the site and actually
prove how many gallons were used on that site and that 4906
site alone. This is a tremendous burden of proof but 4907
none the less the people that supported this, the 4908
suppliers who are generally suppliers for large

projects said they were willing to assume that burden, 4909
 because their loss was so awesome that they didn't 4910
 have this protection. I grant you this is an
 extension of Mechanics' Lien Law, but I think it's one 4911
 in light of our good friends that created this from 4912
 the Arabic countries by raising the price of oil that
 we must do to supply not the big oil companies, 4913
 protect not the big oil companies. We're protecting 4914
 the little retail oil and gasoline people that are
 supplying these items to job sites throughout the 4915
 State of Illinois. I think it's a good concept and 4916
 one that deserves support."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Wayne, Mr. Robbins." 4918

Robbins: "Excuse me, I've been out of the chamber..." 4920

Speaker Lechowicz: "Your light was on. Mr. Pechous to 4922
 close."

Pechous: "Very briefly, Mr. Speaker and Members of the 4924
 House. The Illinois Supreme Courts in a 1927 case 4925
 ruled that a fuel supplier does have a lien for the 4927
 price of fuels applied to and consumed by a contractor
 in building a public improvement. This would in turn 4928
 give that fuel supplier lien right on private 4929
 improvements, and I think it's consistent with the,
 with the application of Mechanics' Lien Act and ought 4930
 to be supported by this chamber. I ask for an 4931
 affirmative vote. Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is shall House Bill 585 4933
 pass. All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'no'. 4934
 Quentin. Have all voted who wish? Ronan, Ronan, get 4935
 Sparky. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who
 wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take 4936
 the record. On this question there are 89 'ayes', 51 4937
 'nos', 4 recorded as 'present'. This Bill having 4938
 received the Constitutional Majority, the Gentleman
 from Cook, Mr. Jaffe."

Jaffe: "Mr. Speaker, I really hate to do it today, but I 4940

think this is such a lousy Bill. I don't think anybody is paying attention here, it only has 89 votes. I'm going to ask for a verification." 4941 4942

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman asks to poll the absentees. And a verification has been requested." 4944 4945

Clerk Leone: "Poll of the absentees. Abramson, Bradley, Burnidge, Catania, Collins, Conti, Deuster, Ewell, Ewing, Frederick, Gaines, Harris, Emil Jones, Kane, Karpziel, Kelly, Laurino, Mahar, McGrew, McPike, Molloy, Mugalian, O'Brien, Satterthwaite, Schraeder, Schuneman, Slape, Stearney, Steczo, E.G. Steele, VonBoeckman, Walsh and Williamson." 4947 4948 4949 4950 4951

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Kelly wants to be recorded as 'aye'. Mr. Williamson as 'aye'. Mrs. Currie as 'aye'. Anyone else? What's the count? 92 'ayes', now. We're starting off with 92, Aaron. Proceed to verify the affirmative vote. Excuse me, Mr. Hoffman, what purpose do you seek recognition?" 4953 4954 4955 4956

Hoffman: "I would like permission to be verified." 4958

Speaker Lechowicz: "Leave to be verified granted." 4960

Hoffman: "Thank you." 4962

Clerk Leone: "Proceeding with the poll of the affirmative." 4964

Speaker Lechowicz: "Yes, Mr. Walsh. Kindly record Mr. Walsh as 'aye'. Please proceed, Sir." 4966 4967

Clerk Leone: "Ackerman, Alexander, Anderson, Beatty, Bell, Bianco, Birchler, Bowman, Braun, Breslin, Brummer, Bullock, Capparelli, Capuzi..." 4969 4970

Speaker Lechowicz: "Danny Pierce." 4972

Clerk Leone: "Chapman, Christensen, Cullerton, Currie, Davis, Dawson, DiPrima, Domico, Donovan, Doyle, Ralph Dunn, Dyer, Farley, Flinn, Virginia Frederick, Garmisa, Getty, Giorgi, Goodwin, Griesheimer, Hallock, Hanahan, Henry, Hoffman, Huff, Huskey, Keane, Kelly, Klosak, Kornowicz, Kosinski, Krska." 4974 4975 4976 4977

Speaker Pierce: "Representative Steczo, for what purpose do you rise? To vote 'no'. Steczo 'no'." 4979 4980

Clerk Leone: "Representative Pierce in the Chair. 4982
Continuing with the poll of the affirmative. Kulas, 4983
Lechowicz, Leon, Leverenz, Madigan, Margalus."

Speaker Pierce: "Representative Preston. Continue with the 4985
Roll."

Clerk Leone: "Marovitz, Matijevich, Matula, Mautino, 4987
McClain, Meyer, Mulcahey, Murphy, Patrick." 4988

Speaker Pierce: "Representative Slape." 4990

Slape: "Yes, Speaker, how am I recorded?" 4992

Speaker Pierce: "How is the Gentleman recorded? 4994
Representative Slape."

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is not recorded as voting." 4996

Slape: "Please record me as 'aye'." 4998

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman be recorded 'aye'. 5000
Representative Collins, Representative Collins votes 5001
'no'. Representative McGrew, for what purpose do you 5002
rise? Representative McGrew votes 'aye'.
Representative McGrew is recognized."

McGrew: "I'm sorry, I want a 'no' vote." 5004

Speaker Pierce: "He, he is now 'no'. Yea, Representative 5006
McPike, 'aye'."

Clerk Leone: "Continuing with the poll of the affirmative. 5008
Pechous, Polk, Pouncey, Preston, Rea, Reed." 5009

Speaker Pierce: "Representative Reed." 5011

Clerk Leone: "Richmond, Bigney, Robbins, Ronan, Ropp, 5013
Sandquist, Schisler, Schlickman, Schoeberlein, Simms." 5014

Speaker Pierce: "Representative Sharp, for what reason do 5016
you rise? Vote 'aye', Sharp 'aye'." 5017

Clerk Leone: "Slape, Stuffle, Swanstrom, Taylor, Terzich, 5019
VanDuyne, Vitek, Walsh, Watson, White, Wikoff, 5020
Williams, Williamson, Winchester, Younge, Yourell and 5021
Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Pierce: "Questions of the Affirmative Roll Call. 5023
Wait one second. 96 'aye', 52 'no', and 5024
Representative Jaffe is recognized for questions of 5025
the Affirmative Roll Call."

Jaffe: "Alexander." 5027

Speaker Pierce: "Representative Alexander is she in the chamber? Yes, she's in her seat." 5029
5030

Jaffe: "Beatty." 5032

Speaker Pierce: "Beatty. Representative Birchler wishes to be verified. Is that it? Birchler wishes to be verified 'aye'. Alright, Representative Beatty, is he in the chamber? I don't...take Representative Beatty off the record. Representative VonBoeckman, for what purpose do you rise?" 5034
5035
5036
5037

VonBoeckman: "How am I recorded, Mr. Speaker?" 5039

Speaker Pierce: "How is the Gentleman recorded. Not voting?" 5041

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is not recorded as voting." 5043

VonBoeckman: "Vote me 'aye', please." 5045

Speaker Pierce: "VonBoeckman, 'aye'. Continue with your questioning of the Affirmative Roll Call, Representative Jaffe." 5047
5048

Jaffe: "Bianco." 5050

Speaker Pierce: "Representative Bianco in the chamber? Take him off the record." 5052
5053

Jaffe: "Bullock." 5055

Speaker Pierce: "Representative Bullock in the chamber? Take...I don't see him. Take him off the record. May Representative Garmisa be verified as voting 'aye'. Representative Garmisa is verified. Bullock is back. There's Bullock, alright, put Bullock back on the record. Is Representative Katz in the chamber? Yes, Representative Katz is in the chamber." 5057
5058
5059
5060
5061

Jaffe: "Chapman." 5063

Speaker Pierce: "Representative Chapman. Representative Chapman is next to Representative Mugalian doing an intravenous feeding." 5065
5066
5067

Jaffe: "Alright, Dawson." 5069

Speaker Pierce: "Representative Dawson. No, he's at Wisconsin still. Take him off the record." 5071
5072

Jaffe: "Ah, Domico." 5074

Speaker Pierce: "Representative Domico. Is the Gentleman in 5076
the chamber? Yes, he's in his seat." 5077

Jaffe: "Ralph Dunn." 5079

Speaker Pierce: "Ralph Dunn, he's in his seat." 5081

Jaffe: "Flinn." 5083

Speaker Pierce: "Monroe Flinn, he's in his seat. Standing 5085
up."

Jaffe: "Hallock." 5087

Speaker Pierce: "Representative Hallock. Representative 5089
Hallock in the chamber? Take him off the record." 5090

Jaffe: "Henry." 5092

Speaker Pierce: "Representative Henry. Henry is in the back 5094
aisle. He's here." 5095

Jaffe: "Hoffman." 5097

Speaker Pierce: "Representative Hoffman was verified 5099
'aye'..."

Jaffe: "Huskey." 5101

Speaker Pierce: "Representative Huskey. Representative 5103
Huskey. Take him off the record." 5104

Jaffe: "Klosak." 5106

Speaker Pierce: "Representative Klosak. He's in his seat. 5108
Margalus. Representative Margalus. Repre...he's in 5109
his seat."

Jaffe: "Matula." 5111

Speaker Pierce: "Matula, he's in his seat. Representative 5113
Beatty, Representative Beatty votes 'aye'." 5114

Jaffe: "Matijevich." 5116

Speaker Pierce: "Is the Gentleman in the chamber, 5118
Representative Matijevich? Take him off the record." 5119

Jaffe: "Polk." 5121

Speaker Pierce: "Representative Polk. Remove Representative 5123
Polk."

Jaffe: "Pouncey." 5125

Speaker Pierce: "Representative Pouncey. Take him off the 5127
record."

Jaffe: "Rea." 5129

Speaker Pierce: "No, Representative Pouncey is in his seat. 5131
He's in his seat. I see him, he's a little small back 5132
there."

Jaffe: "Rea." 5134

Speaker Pierce: "Matijevich is back. Restore Representative 5136
Matijevich to the record. Representative Rea. He's 5137
in the aisle."

Jaffe: "Ronan." 5139

Speaker Pierce: "Representative Ronan. Remove him from the 5141
record."

Jaffe: "Ropp." 5143

Speaker Pierce: "Ropp. Remove Representative Ropp from the 5145
Roll Call."

Jaffe: "Sandquist." 5147

Speaker Pierce: "Sandquist. Remove him from the Roll Call." 5149

Jaffe: "Simms." 5151

Speaker Pierce: "Simms. Remove him from the Roll Call." 5153

Jaffe: "Swanstrom." 5155

Speaker Pierce: "Swanstrom. Having his blood pressure 5157
checked. Swanstrom, remove him from the record." 5158

Jaffe: "Taylor. Taylor." 5160

Speaker Pierce: "James Taylor. Remove him from the Roll 5162
Call."

Jaffe: "Terzich." 5164

Speaker Pierce: "Terzich is on the Republican side here." 5166

Jaffe: "White." 5168

Speaker Pierce: "Who is that?" 5170

Jaffe: "White." 5172

Speaker Pierce: "White. Jesse White. Remove him...oh, 5174
Jesse's in the middle aisle."

Jaffe: "Younge. Younge." 5176

Speaker Pierce: "Representative Younge. She's in her seat. 5178
Representative Taylor is back. Representative Watson 5179
wants to vote 'aye'. 'Aye', Watson 'aye'. Simms, 5180
back on the record."

Jaffe: "Braun." 5182

Speaker Pierce: "Representative Braun. Remove him from the Roll Call." 5184

Jaffe: "Dawson." 5186

Speaker Pierce: "Dawson 'aye'. Representative Dawson 'aye'." 5188

Jaffe: "Alright, Yourell." 5190

Speaker Pierce: "Yourell was here a minute ago. Yourell. Remove him from the record." 5192

Jaffe: "That's all, Mr. Speaker." 5194

Speaker Pierce: "Okay, Representative Mulcahey, for what reason do you rise?" 5196

Mulcahey: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?" 5198

Speaker Pierce: "How is he, how is the Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Mulcahey recorded?" 5200

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'." 5203

Mulcahey: "Thank you." 5205

Speaker Pierce: "Alright...Representative Catania votes 'aye'. Representative White is back. Representative Yourell is back. O'Brien, 'aye'. Representative Ewing, for what purpose? 'No', Representative Ewing votes 'no'. On this Bill the vote is 90 'aye', 53 'no', and the Bill is declared passed. House Bill 1534. Representative, the Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Schneider." 5207
5208
5209
5210
5211

Schneider: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. House Bill 1534..." 5213

Speaker Pierce: "Has the Clerk read the Bill?" 5215

Clerk Leone: "No...House Bill 1534, a Bill for an Act in relation to radiation sources and radiation protection and repealing certain Acts herein named. Third Reading of the Bill." 5217
5218
5219

Speaker Pierce: "And on that, Representative Schneider is recognized." 5221

Schneider: "Thank you again, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. 1534 is the product of work between myself and" 5223
5224

other Members of the House and the Department to deal 5225
with radiation source and protection code. What we 5226
have done is tried to tighten up the agency's
capability of dealing with emergency and regulation, 5227
emergency conditions and..."

Speaker Pierce: "Let's give the Gentleman some order. We're 5229
on Third Reading now, and I think he deserves 5230
attention on his Bill on final passage.
Representative Schneider proceed." 5231

Schneider: "Thank you, and meeting any emergency that deals 5233
with radioactive material. I want to point out that 5234
the State of Illinois already licenses a variety of 5235
firms or agencies that deal with radioactive material
and the intent of this proposal is to allow them to 5236
monitor it a little more closely. Significantly of 5237
course, is that we are limited in our role of
radioactivity regulation as the Federal Government has 5238
had the authority to deal with that concern 5239
particularly atomic energy plants. So what this does
is merely deal with the possibility of needing to 5240
respond to an emergency, help to create a means 5241
whereby we can deal with decontamination if necessary
in areas that we are already involved in. So it does 5242
not change the Statutes significantly in that regard, 5243
and I would be glad to answer questions on the
measure."

Speaker Pierce: "Anything further on House Bill 1534? 5245
Anyone seeking recognition? The question is shall 5246
this Bill pass? All those in the affirmative vote 5247
'aye', negative vote 'nay'. Have all voted who desire
to vote? The Clerk will take the record. On this 5248
Bill there are 122 voting 'aye', 'aye', alright, 5249
Representative Bower votes 'aye'. On this Bill there
are 123 'ayes', 1 voting 'nay', Representative Domico 5250
'aye'. White 'aye' and who's that down there? 5251
Alright, Kosinski 'aye'. Domico off, alright. On

this Bill there are a 125 voting 'aye', 2 voting 5252
'nay', 2 'present'. And the Bill is declared passed. 5253
House Bill 2051. The Clerk will read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2051. A Bill for an Act to 5255
establish motor vehicle emission inspection and 5256
maintenance program by amending certain Acts. Third 5257
Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative 5259
Meyer is recognized."

Meyer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the 5261
House, the Environmental Protection Agency in 5262
cooperation with the Secretary of State in accordance 5263
with regulations adopted by the Pollution Control 5264
Board will establish a program for mandatory pollution 5265
control inspection of motor vehicles. The EPA will 5266
charge a fee for this, for this charge. The Motor 5266
Vehicle Inspection Program is mandated by the Federal 5267
Clean Air Act of 77 in the event that the State does 5268
not adopt this program, the Federal Government is 5268
mandated to stop Sewerage Treatment Fund Grants, stop 5269
Highway...stop Highway Department Grants, and prohibit 5270
the construction of new sources of air pollution in 5270
East St...Metropolitan East St. Louis and Metropolitan 5271
Chicago. The City of Chicago is by Amendment may 5271
maintain their own program, and I urge the adoption of 5272
the Bill."

Speaker Pierce: "Alright, is there any further debate? Yes, 5274
Representative Ropp is recognized." 5275

Ropp: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, will the 5277
Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Pierce: "Will the Gentleman yield to the question? 5279
Yes."

Ropp: "Representative Meyer, does this mean that those 5281
automobiles would have to be inspected annually and 5282
isn't there a Federal Law that somewhat states that 5283
most of the new automobiles have to contain these

pollution devices now? Is this kind of a double sort of inspection?" 5284

Meyer: "There is Federal Law on the manufacturers of cars that they must install these devices. There's an additional Federal Law that says the states by 1982 must annually inspect these devices." 5286 5287 5288

Ropp: "We're going to have to inspect them anyway by 1982?" 5290

Meyer: "Yes, Sir." 5292

Ropp: "Boy, that seems like an awful lot of work for somebody." 5294

Speaker Pierce: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Leverenz." 5296

Leverenz: "Will the Sponsor yield?" 5298

Meyer: "Yes, Sir." 5300

Speaker Pierce: "He indicates he will." 5302

Leverenz: "Is this for the entire State?" 5304

Meyer: "Metropolitan Chicago and Metropolitan East St. Louis." 5306

Leverenz: "How many counties?" 5308

Meyer: "Six counties in Metropolitan Chicago and two or three in Metropolitan East St. Louis." 5310 5311

Leverenz: "Alright, roughly about nine counties total?" 5313

Meyer: "Eight." 5315

Leverenz: "Why, why would the City of Chicago not operate as the others would? Why would they be allowed to have their own program?" 5317 5318

Meyer: "There is a pop...there is a population vehicle base necessary of over a 100,000 vehicles per testing station in order to make it economically effective." 5320 5321

Leverenz: "Yes, but..." 5323

Meyer: "No other city has a 100,000 vehicles in it." 5325

Leverenz: "I'm flabbergasted to find that out. Why would they be allowed to have their own inspection system or option for their own program? Why would they not be included as everyone else?" 5327 5328 5329

Meyer: "They are included as everybody else is." 5331

Leverenz: "But they would still be allowed to opt to run their own program. Is that true?" 5333
5334

Meyer: "Yes, Sir." 5336

Leverenz: "And what would the cost of that program and how many people would it employ?" 5338
5339

Meyer: "I would ask...first of all, they can enter into a contract and it wouldn't require any pers...any city employees. I'd estimate that their program would probably be in the neighborhood of \$12,000,000 and would employ 180 to 200 people. That..." 5341
5342
5343
5344

Leverenz: "Could you repeat that for the East Coast? \$12,000,000?" 5346

Meyer: "\$12,000,000." 5348

Leverenz: "And employ how many people?" 5350

Meyer: "From 180 to 200 people." 5352

Speaker Pierce: "I think we're getting into a dialogue, let's..." 5354

Leverenz: "Well...yes, Mr. Speaker, I am just trying to establish the cost..." 5356

Speaker Pierce: "You did." 5358

Leverenz: "...should the City of Chicago opt to run their own program and how many jobs would be created by it. I would like to know though, why they have a separate, or the opportunity to run a separate program? There are other things that, that perhaps Chicago should be allowed to run its own, but clean air knows no governmental boundaries and I just wondered why they should be allowed? Why wouldn't the suburban area be allowed to run its own?" 5360
5361
5362
5363
5364
5366

Meyer: "Presently, the City of Chicago maintains their own clean, their own Department of Environmental Control. They have their own inspection system. They've had it before the State of Illinois had their EPA." 5368
5369
5370

Clerk Leone: "Speaker Redmond in the Chair." 5372

Leverenz: "And that would mean then, who would actually end up paying for this program?" 5374
5375

Meyer: "The users." 5377

Leverenz: "Pardon me." 5379

Meyer: "The users." 5381

Leverenz: "The user or the taxpayer?" 5383

Meyer: "The user. It's a fee charged." 5385

Leverenz: "The user of the automobile. Some in excess of a
100,000 vehicles in the City of Chicago alone..." 5388

Meyer: "It's more like 600,000." 5390

Leverenz: "600,000 vehicles. How much would the actual cost
to the user be with this legislation?" 5393

Meyer: "It's estimated that it'd be approximately \$10." 5395

Leverenz: "And it really in fact creates a new tax. Is that
true?" 5397

Meyer: "Yes, Sir." 5399

Leverenz: "Thank you." 5401

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Huskey." 5403

Huskey: "Could I ask a couple of questions?" 5405

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed." 5407

Huskey: "Representative Meyer, what are the penalties if we
don't act this into Law?" 5409

Meyer: "All of our highway transportation funds will be cut
off. All of our sewerage treatment funds will be cut
off and no permits will be allowed for new factories
in Metropolitan East St. Louis and Metropolitan
Chicago." 5411

Huskey: "And how many dollars would you say that would be?" 5415

Meyer: "In excess of \$600,000,000." 5417

Huskey: "That's all, thanks." 5419

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Borchers." 5421

Borchers: "I was cur...will the Sponsor yield?" 5423

Speaker Redmond: "He will." 5425

Borchers: "I was curious about the home rule. Now does this
mean that any town that has home rule will be able to
operate as in Chicago..." 5428

Meyer: "No, Sir. No, Sir. It's only home rule units with
population of excess of 1,000,000. The only two 5430

5431

in..." 5431

Borchers: "...how will this...how will this eff...how will 5433
this affect downstate? Like Decatur or Danville." 5434

Meyer: "The only downstate community...community that it 5436
effects is Metropolitan East St. Louis." 5437

Borchers: "Well, I was curious about the idea of allowing 5439
the Federal Government because of one area in the 5440
State, that is the northern part, be able to refuse 5441
all of the State. I'm sure these funds that you just 5442
spoke of would be against the best interests of all of 5443
the people of the State of Illinois. In a sense you 5444
might say the Federal Government is holding hostage 5445
the City of...the whole State for the City of 5446
Dec...Chicago. And Chicago is the hostages in this
case. I can't help but feel that this is an
outrageous thing for them to do, to use this kind of
pressure..."

Meyer: "Well, Representative Borchers, the Clean Air Act of 5448
1977 passed by the House and the Senate and signed by 5449
the President of the United States said in the Act 5450
that we had until 1982 to establish this program, and
in the Act they prescribe the sanctions. The 5451
administrative agency, the Federal EPA has no 5452
statutory authority to do anything but invoke the
sanctions. I mean we have no choice, Representative 5453
Borchers."

Borchers: "I understand, this is the fatal decision. Now, 5455
Representative Meyers (sic), I think you're a fine 5456
Representative, but I swear to goodness, I rebel 5457
against the idea of allowing the Federal Government to
destroy state's rights. We are allowing them to do 5458
this. So I, though I understand the situation, I know 5459
what you are up against, what we are up against,
nevertheless, I'm going to vote 'no', because I 5460
believe more firmly in state's rights, and I like to 5461
make the decision than the Federal Government holding

over our heads a club and saying 'if you don't do what we want you to do, we're going to punish you'. I think that's outrageous. I'm going to vote 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner." 5462

Skinner: "Yes, I wonder if the Sponsor could tell us the estimated cost of the inspection?" 5463

Meyer: "Statewide?" 5465

Skinner: "No, per vehicle." 5467

Meyer: "\$10.00." 5470

Skinner: "\$10.00, that is at variance with what EPA official, Jim Moloney, told the McHenry Municipal Association in March. He said it would be somewhere between fifteen and thirty dollars. Which source should we believe?" 5476

Meyer: "I don't know Mr. Moloney. I am told that it's \$10.00." 5477

Skinner: "Well, I know that's what the sheet that has been handed out says, because a official from the Chicago Area Transportation Study also spoke in McHenry County at the end of March, and he said \$10.00." 5478

Meyer: "Maybe your figure is the estimated repair cost in the event that the car doesn't pass the..." 5486

Skinner: "No, specifically the inspection cost. Well, can you give me evidence of need for this Bill in McHenry County? Evidence of need for mandatory inspections of all motor vehicles?" 5487

Meyer: "Evidence of need?" 5489

Skinner: "Yes." 5490

Meyer: "Well, they're computer models that say that certain areas of McHenry County are in need, along with computer modeling." 5491

Skinner: "Has there been any testing in McHenry County?" 5493

Meyer: "There was testing in McHenry County." 5495

Skinner: "And when was that testing done?" 5497

Meyer: "Several years ago." 5498

Skinner: "1976 to be specific, perhaps?" 5500

Meyer: "Yes, Sir." 5510

Skinner: "And when will the next testing be done?" 5512

Meyer: "I assume before the Pollution Control Board draws 5514
the actual boundaries of the district." 5515

Skinner: "Is it conceivable that all of McHenry County would 5517
not be in, within the boundaries?" 5518

Meyer: "I would say that that is a fair certainty. The 5520
rural townships will not be in McHenry Town...County." 5521

Skinner: "Your're suggesting that the Illinois Environmental 5523
Protection Agency might be more logical than the 5524
Illinois General Assembly was when it drew boundaries 5525
for the Regional Transportation Authority?"

Meyer: "They're afraid of you, Cal." 5527

Skinner: "I hope so." 5529

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Piel..." 5531

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, if I may, may I address the issue, 5533
Sir?"

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed." 5535

Skinner: "I would suggest that there is very little evidence 5537
of need for this legislation in my part of Illinois. 5538
There has been no air quality testing. There has been 5539
only computer modeling. The next tests that are going 5540
to be taken in McHenry County will be after this Bill 5541
will of...will be, will have gone through the 5542
legislative process. The testing system will not go 5542
into effect until July. Jim Moloney, the EPA official 5544
that spoke to the McHenry County Municipal League, 5544
estimated that McHenry County is 25% above the clean 5545
air standards that are required. And yet there is no 5546
guarantee in this Act that the EPA will not 5546
arbitrarily put all of McHenry County in to the 5547
mandatory testing. There is no, there has been no 5547
consideration to the best of my knowledge of a 5548
non-inspection solution, at least a partial solution, 5549
which would be the mandatory use of gasohol in the six 5549
county area. The Illinois Legislative Council at my 5550

request determined that carbon monoxide levels could 5550
 be cut by 31% of the six county Chicago Metropolitan 5551
 Area and by even more than that in East St. Louis 5552
 Metropolitan Area."

Speaker Redmond: "The press will please retire to the press 5554
 box. The press will please retire to the press box. 5555
 Proceed."

Skinner: "There would be extraordinary reductions in carbon 5557
 monoxide levels in the Metropolitan Areas about which 5558
 the Sponsor is speaking. Over 30% cut in 5559
 Metr...carbon monoxide levels if the use of gasohol
 were mandated in all internal combustion engines. Now 5560
 that does not solve the, the sulfur dioxide and the 5561
 sulfur oxide and the carbon particulate problems which
 the Sponsor would perhaps address if he got into the 5562
 technical phases of the need, the argument for need 5564
 behind this Bill. But the very fact that the Illinois
 Environmental Protection Agency and the Federal 5565
 Environmental Protection Agency did not know that 5566
 gasohol would cut the carbon monoxide levels
 significantly, and I think an almost 1/3 cut would be 5567
 significant in anybody's language, until Doctor John 5568
 Allen of the Illinois Legislative Council did his 5569
 research is an indication of pretty shoddy homework.
 I'm afraid that the Illinois Environmental Protection 5570
 Agency will be as arbitrary and as unmindful of local 5571
 needs in the administration of this Bill as they have 5572
 been in the siting of land fills around the State and
 the absolute refusal, absolute refusal to use any 5573
 common sense in the siting of gravel pits around the 5574
 State of Illinois. This Bill is an example of Federal 5575
 blackmail at its worst. It is an example of a Federal
 mandate without even perhaps even a problem in many 5576
 parts of this State. The Bill is an example of 5577
 attempting to selectively solve a problem which may or
 may not exist in the areas which is outlined. It 5578

seems to me that there should be substantial doubt as 5579
to whether we should pass this Bill. I would like to 5580
point out that we have backed the Federal Government
off on blackmail in the past, specifically with regard 5581
to the mandating of motorcycle helmets. The Illinois 5582
Department of Transportation, the Secretary of State's 5583
Office, the Leadership of the House and of the Senate 5584
attempted to shove down our throats' a Bill that would 5585
overturn the Illinois Supreme Court decision that
would require mandatory motorcycle helmets using the 5586
argument that we would lose all of our Federal aid for 5587
highways if we did not pass the Bill. We told them to 5588
take it and shove it. Well, they took it and the
Department, and the Department of Transportation all 5589
of a sudden got religion, carried their arguments to 5590
the National Government and the National Government
backed down. And if you read in the Federal record 5592
within the De...when the Secretary of Transportation
made his admission that he really had a stupid 5593
standard and that he couldn't justify it, it is...it 5594
is really an example of how mealy mouthed the Federal
Bureaucrats can be. Now our Federal Congressmen 5595
have...have passed a Law which mandates us to pass 5596
this Bill. And if you're going to act on the basis of
that, I guess you're going to pass the Bill. I guess 5597
we'll find out how many backbones we have in the 5598
Illinois General Assembly by looking at the number of 5599
red votes and present votes up there. This...the need
for this Bill has not been established. And I don't 5600
think it should be passed, and I hope that you will 5601
join me in voting 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Piel." 5603

Piel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move the previous 5605
question."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved the previous 5607
question. The question is shall the main question be 5608

put. Those in favor indicate by saying 'aye', 5609
 Representative Younge, for what purpose do you rise?"

Younge: "Mr. Speaker, I ask that this matter be taken out of 5611
 the record, because of the Sponsor had agreed in 5612
 Committee, for it to get out of Committee that it 5613
 would be amended. And he promised Representative 5615
 Harris that, and I have filed an Amendment up there,
 and as the Clerk remembers that the Amendment is not 5616
 on it. And I ask that it be taken out of the record 5617
 so we can straighten this matter out."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, that's, right at the moment we're on 5619
 the question of Representative Piel's motion for the 5620
 previous question. The question is on that..."

Younge: "I had been asked to be recognized before that, Mr. 5622
 Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, his...his motion is in order. Now 5624
 the question is, the previous question, can the main 5625
 question be put. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 5626
 'no'. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'.
 Tom, this is on the previous question. Representative 5627
 Leinenweber, on the previous question. I know he 5628
 won't digress and I know he won't digress...and he 5629
 will obey the rules."

Leinenweber: "Mr. Speaker...I have certainly never objected 5631
 to the previous question, but I had some questions but 5632
 I will, I'd like to explain my vote at the appropriate 5633
 time."

Speaker Redmond: "We'll call upon you at that time. Have 5635
 all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The 5636
 Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 5637
 94 'aye' and 47 'no'. And the motion prevails. Now
 Representative Meyer."

Meyer: "Mr. Speaker, I am in error, apparently I did tell 5639
 Representative Harris that I would take the Bill back 5640
 to Second Reading and for that reason, I'd like it to 5641
 be taken out of the record."

Speaker Redmond: "We'll take it out of the record then. To 5643
 give you some idea of where we stand, we have on the 5644
 calendar now about 260 Bills, House Bills. Today we 5645
 started at what eleven o'clock and we have addressed 5646
 fourteen Bills. We're scheduled to adjourn tonight 5647
 around six o'clock, 6:30. We'll be in tomorrow at 5648
 10:00, and you can contemplate a long day tomorrow at 5649
 least 7:00 and probably longer than that. We will be 5650
 in Friday. There is no way in the world that we can 5651
 accommodate all the Sponsors of the Bills. The 5652
 deadline is this week from Friday, so in order for 5653
 everybody to be given an opportunity to have their 5654
 Bills heard, it's absolutely necessary that we work 5655
 late tomorrow, that we come in Friday and have a full 5656
 day Friday and it looks like a pretty good week next 5657
 week. Now we go to the order on page 18, 5658
 Constitutional Amendments, Third Reading. Appears 5659
 House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment #1, 5660
 Representative Matijevecich is recognized. Read the 5661
 Bill, Mr...read the Resolution, Mr. Clerk." 5662

Clerk O'Brien: "House Joint Resolution Constitutional 5663
 Amendment #1, whereas the 92nd Congress of the United 5664
 States of America at its second Session in both Houses 5665
 by Constitutional Majority..." 5666

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevecich, for what 5667
 purpose do you rise?" 5668

Matijevecich: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentleman of the 5669
 House, for an announcement on that Resolution." 5670

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed." 5671

Matijevecich: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I know 5672
 that there are people up in the gallery that have been 5673
 waiting to hear this Resolution called and have been 5674
 here since nine o'clock last night on both sides of 5675
 the issue. And I know the intensity of the issue. I
 want the Members to know why I called this Bill this
 week. I was called by the Governor about two weeks

ago and he said, 'John, I want that Bill called when 5675
 I'm in town and can do some help. And I won't be in 5676
 town the following week, the week of the 19th which is 5677
 next week.' So I thought that today would be an ideal 5678
 time to call this Bill. I am as intense about this 5679
 issue as anybody. I think that in this decade and 5680
 before we get to the 21st. Century that the women of 5681
 America belong in the United States Constitution. I 5682
 feel strongly about that. Those who are supporters of 5683
 the Resolution have talked to me and said, 'we don't 5684
 want that Resolution considered unless we feel 5685
 certainly that it will pass because of the damage that 5686
 that could do, the rejection of the Equal Rights 5687
 Equality under Law in America for all women, for all 5688
 people throughout the country'. I share their belief 5689
 that if it is rejected in Illinois, the last northern 5690
 state that has not passed it, the last industrial 5691
 state in the country that has not passed it, that that 5692
 could do damage to the movement throughout the 5693
 country. I called it today because I thought there 5694
 would be that movement that the votes would be there. 5695
 We are not uncer...we are not certain that the votes 5696
 are there. And I am certain that the Governor has 5697
 provided virtually nothing. Now the Governor has 5698
 said, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to...I'd like to..." 5699

Speaker Redmond: "Wait til he finishes and I'll call on you, 5694
 Mr. Ryan. Representative..." 5695

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, 5697
 I intend to call this Resolution, because I think the 5698
 votes will be there. I don't know why I should not 5699
 call it next week. There has been a lot of movement 5700
 on this side and everybody including the media knows 5701
 we've been very, very, very close. But I don't know 5702
 why I should not call it when the Governor's on 5703
 vacation, because I don't see what he can produce. I 5704
 haven't seen it yet. So because of that, Mr. 5705

Speaker... " 5704

Speaker Redmond: "You'll be called on. You'll be called on. 5706

It's bad manners to interrupt." 5707

Matijevich: "Well, Mr. Speaker...Mr. Speaker and Ladies..." 5709

Speaker Redmond: "Let him conclude." 5711

Matijevich: "...and Gentlemen of the House, I have not tried 5713

to be...this is not a matter of politics because I 5714

have not said this."

Speaker Redmond: "There will be no whistling. We don't 5716

expect it from the gallery and we don't expect it from 5717

the floor of the House. Conclude your remarks, 5718

Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the 5720

House, I say this very sadly, because I know how close 5721

we are. I can tell you the count. And I can tell you 5722

what the count was two years ago. And what I have 5723

said, Mr. Speaker, I say sadly. I have wondered how I 5724

can do it without being political. But all of you 5725

know that I've always tried to tell things like they 5726

are. And I really, really have not seen the movement 5727

at all. Now somebody is getting up because they're 5727

going to give me one vote. And I saw that movement. 5728

That to me, that does not show me the movement. When 5728

I had that meeting with the Governor downstairs, I had 5729

high hopes, because I thought I could see what could 5730

be produced. I had very high hopes. And that's why 5730

it was called on a Wednesday. We know that this is a 5731

good day to pass measures when you need all the 5732

Members that are here. Dick Mugaljian came after a 5732

serious operation and he's here. And all the Governor 5733

provided was a little place in his Mansion. He has 5734

done that for Dick to rest and I appreciate that. But 5735

there has been a lot of movement over on this side, a 5735

lot of movement. But yet we are not certain that all 5736

of the votes are there, Mr. Speaker, and to me this is 5736

such a serious issue all over the country for the 5737

equality of people all over the country, men or women 5738
 on...so that they are guaranteed the equality under 5739
 the Law and therefore, Mr. Speaker, I am not going to
 call this Resolution today, and also that the, that 5740
 the supporters who have waited here for over a day, I 5741
 understand they want a meeting room and they are told
 to be...they can use room 114 right now, so that they 5742
 can meet. And I appreciate everybody's attendance, 5743
 everybody's well mannered. I believe that this is
 probably the first time in quite a few years that both 5744
 sides, I think, have handled themselves very well. I 5745
 wanted this issue debated on the merits and not on the
 myths, and not on the emotions, and I thought we were 5746
 close, but now I'd like to take it out of the record, 5747
 Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Take it out of the record. Representative 5749
 Ryan, the Minority Leader." 5750

Ryan: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen 5752
 of the House. I might agree with Representative 5753
 Matijevich about everybody to...handled it properly, 5754
 maybe up to this point. I'd like to point out to
 Representative that the Governor of the State of 5755
 Illinois..."

Speaker Redmond: "...I wouldn't let Representative Ryan 5757
 interrupt Representative Matijevich on this, I'll call 5758
 you in due time."

Ryan: "extremely hard on this...that the Governor of the 5760
 State of Illinois has worked extremely hard on this 5761
 proposal. He's committed to it and he's done 5762
 everything that I think he could have done, including
 harrass me for 48 hours, the last 48 hours. But the 5763
 difference is, John, that we on this side of the aisle 5764
 aren't led by the Mayor of Chicago, because we've got
 two jobs. We think for ourselves here." 5765

Speaker Redmond: "It's not too much to expect the Members to 5767
 obey the same order and decorum that we expect our 5768

guests in the gallery. There will be no demonstrations." 5769

Ryan: "And I resent your statements about the Governor of the State of Illinois who has done as much to pass this Bill as you have. And I think it was a cheap shot, and you've probably done more to hurt your cause than you have to help it with that statement." 5771 5772 5773 5774

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Capuzi...or Dean of the House." 5776

Capuzi: "You mean Dean of the House, you're the Co-Dean." 5778

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed." 5780

Capuzi: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise this afternoon on a point of personal privilege. Number one, I've never...maybe once or twice since 1954, ever risen on this floor on a point of personal privilege. But it has come to my attention that the media, some commentators in Chicago have stated that Mayor Byrne called me, that George Burn...George Dunn called me, that the President of the United States called me to change my vote. As you all know, I have been an opponent of ERA every time it hit the floor of this House. I've consistently voted 'no' on this matter. In fact, John Matijevich, you came to me yesterday afternoon at exactly two o'clock and said to me, 'Doc, could you change your mind?' And I said to you, 'no'. Madigan came to me and asked me to vote for ERA and I stated no. Marco Domico, who I've known all of my life, coming down here yesterday morning...he says, 'Can I change your mind, Doc?' And I said, 'no, I can't'. Now, John, when you stated that the Governor has done nothing to try to pass ERA, I can't believe that. Because he called me yesterday afternoon and at exactly five minutes after I spoke to you on this floor. Jim Edgar called me and stated that the Governor wants to see you, Doc. I went to the Mansion. I went into the Governor's room, and

incidently, he was lying on his back in extreme pain. 5800
 He had a terrific backache. And I asked him, 'What 5801
 the hell happened to you, Jim?' And he says, 'I just 5802
 did something wrong and I've got a bad back and I 5803
 can't move.' We discussed several things. He talked
 about Samantha and I talked to him about my grandkids. 5804
 And I said, 'Well, Governor, let's get down to facts. 5805
 What's on your mind?' Now I spoke to you at two,
 John, and I stated, no. I went in there, I spoke to 5806
 the Governor and he said, 'Doc, I need a favor from 5807
 you'. And I said, 'What's on your mind?' He says,
 'I'd like to have you vote for ERA'. I said, 5808
 'Governor', I said, 'My wife will divorce me and my 5809
 kids will leave'. He said, 'Well, I'll talk to Geneva
 and I'll talk to your daughter Linda'. He says, 'But 5810
 it's very important to me and very important to the 5811
 people of the State of Illinois'. He says, 'And I 5812
 want you, and I would like to have you vote for this
 measure'. Well, I thought there for a second and I 5813
 says, 'Well Governor, if it's that important to you. 5814
 I know I've received, literally, hundreds and 5815
 thousands of letters for this issue and very few
 people in opposition. The nuns from my parish or 5816
 around my parish have written to me and called me and 5817
 I've received telegrams'. And now, John, when you say
 that the Governor has done nothing, well, let me tell 5818
 you, the Governor moved me. I gave him my word, if 5819
 you please, that I would vote for ERA. And he was the
 only one that could change my vote. And now, John, 5820
 when you say we don't help, maybe I 5821
 might...might...just might reconsider my...my 'yes'
 vote. But although I gave that my word to the 5822
 Governor and that's where I'll stand. Nobody knew how 5823
 I was going to vote on that issue, you included. And
 I've got to say that Jim Thompson caused me to change 5824
 my vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Yourell. I remind the Body 5826
that we have a lot of work to do. Maybe when we 5827
adjourn you can go out into the rotunda and make your 5828
speeches, but I think maybe we better get down to
business. Representative Yourell." 5829

Yourell: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, a parliamentary inquiry. Was 5831
this Bill taken out of the record?" 5832

Speaker Redmond: "Yes, it was." 5834

Yourell: "Well, why...what are we discussing it for?" 5836

Speaker Redmond: "Well, that's the point. Representative 5838
Hanahan. I don't see any point..." 5839

Hanahan: "...personal privilege, Mr. Speaker..." 5841

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Yourell." 5843

Hanahan: "Point of personal privilege, Mr. Speaker." 5845

Speaker Redmond: "Yourell is still on the microphone." 5847

Yourell: "Now I don't know what the point of personal 5849
privilege the Gentleman at my rear is going to refer 5850
to, but his name, his name was not..."

Speaker Redmond: "Where did you say he was?" 5852

Yourell: "...his name was not mentioned in debate, and I 5854
think the rules of the House indicate that a person 5855
that rises on a point of personal privilege has to 5856
have the point. Now his point is that he wants to
talk on ERA. Now this Bill is out of the record, Mr. 5857
Speaker, and I'd like a ruling on that from the Chair. 5858
There is no reason for further discussion today. 5859
We'll have plenty of time at a later date, tomorrow or
next week or whenever the Sponsor wants to call the 5860
Bill again. Right now, this Bill is out of the 5861
record. There...this Bill is not before the House.
We ought to go on to the business of the House and 5862
forget about HJRCA 1 and get on with the business of 5863
the House. I'd like a ruling on that, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Your point is well taken. Representative 5865
Hanahan, for what purpose?" 5866

Hanahan: "Point of personal privilege, Mr. Speaker." 5868

Speaker Redmond: "State your point." 5870

Hanahan: "And my point is that my learned colleague in front 5872
of me must be having a seance. I don't know what he 5873
is smoking today, but for him to assume that I am 5874
going to rise on a point of personal privilege..."

Speaker Redmond: "State your point of personal privilege. 5876
State your point of personal privilege." 5877

Hanahan: "My point of personal privilege Mr. Speaker..." 5879

Speaker Redmond: "State your point, Sir." 5881

Hanahan: "...is the fact that my wallet is missing." 5883

Speaker Redmond: "That's a very, that's a very, very valid, 5885
valid observation, and I suggest you walk over on this 5886
side and see if you can get it back. House..."

Hanahan: "Well, Mr. Speaker, on a very serious tone on that, 5888
my wallet is missing."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, I know that." 5890

Hanahan: "And...and, it's missing all day. And it's caused 5892
me all sorts of consternation and my colleague in 5893
front of me must be awful nervous about it that he's 5894
causing all of this commotion that my wallet is
missing."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay, you know that Representative 5896
Hanahan's wallet is missing and I think his point is 5897
well taken. We'll go to the Order of House Bills, 5898
Third Reading, on order of priority, House Bill 2863,
Representative Wikoff."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2863. A Bill for an Act to amend 5900
Sections of the Illinois Purchasing Act. Third 5901
Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Wikoff, Wikoff." 5903

Wikoff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I don't know whether 5905
that's a good act to follow or a bad act to follow. 5906
Now that everybody is in a jovial mood, and 5907
Representative Hanahan's got his wallet back...and is
now humble and if we could get a little decorum maybe 5908
I could explain the Bill, or maybe, should I just 5909

mumble the Bill...Bill, this is a good Bill. I ask 5910
for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Brummer." 5912

Brummer: "Yes, is this an appropriation Bill?" 5914

Wikoff: "No." 5916

Brummer: "Is it a bonding Bill?" 5918

Wikoff: "It's a Bill that might require a bond." 5920

Brummer: "Well, there's a considerable noise level back 5922
here, and if the Sponsor explained the Bill, I failed 5923
to understand the explanation, and I wonder if he 5924
could repeat the explanation."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Wikoff." 5926

Wikoff: "Yes, my explanation was very...it was very profound 5928
and there was so much noise on your side of the aisle 5929
that I'm sure that you couldn't hear it. Everybody on 5930
here...on this side understood it perfectly well, but 5931
basically what it is, this is a Bill that's a result 5932
of a subcommittee. Hearings were held by a 5933
subcommittee of the Appropriations II Committee last 5934
year. This Committee was appointed to consider some 5935
problems that were in...contracts that were let by the 5936
Department of Public Health. During the questioning 5937
and investigation with them, they informed us that 5938
they did not have the statutory authority to enter 5939
into bonds. They require a..."

Speaker Redmond: "Clear the floor of all Mem...all people 5938
not entitled to the floor, please leave the chamber. 5939
We're in business and will be here for another hour, 5940
hour and a half. All persons not entitled to the 5941
floor, no visitors. Visitors day is Saturday. 5942
Proceed, Mr. Wikoff."

Wikoff: "The Department of Public Health informed us that 5943
they did not have the statutory authority to require 5944
bonds on their, on their contracts. And many of the 5945
agencies have by their own rules or do have that 5946
authority under their own rules if they established 5947

such to require bonds. The Department of Administrative Services had some problems with the original Bill which was proposed which would require all suppliers of goods and services to enter into and have a 100% performance bond. This was amended and the second Amendment which is the Bill, it's the Amendment that was on the floor was with the approval of or acceptance of the Department of Administrative Services, so it says that the state agencies may require successful, bidder for a contract. Basically what it does, is that it will require anybody that they think might be questionable to come up with...to provide a performance bond and should save the State some money."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Brummer." 5957

Brummer: "Yes, I have several questions concerning that." 5959

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed." 5961

Brummer: "Are there, are there dollar limitations before you come within the scope of the provisions of this Act?" 5963

Wikoff: "No, there is not." 5966

Brummer: "You mean if there was a \$500 contract, that \$500 contractor would have to purchase a performance bond?" 5968

Wikoff: "That if that was the decision of the agency when that went out to bids, that is true." 5971

Brummer: "Okay, the, our original analysis indicated that it required a performance bond. Are you indicating that it is now discretionary with the agency?" 5974

Wikoff: "Yea, this was revised on the...on the Floor Amendments, that the state agency may require a successful bidder for a contract to deliver the agency a performance bond in the amount of 100% of contract written by a surety company acceptable agency. The agency shall in its bid notify prospective bidders that such a bond may be required." 5978

Brummer: "Do you know how many contracts the State of Illinois lets each year in terms of dollar amounts 5983

that would be covered under this?" 5984

Wikoff: "No, I do not. All of the Capital development and 5986
all the Department of Transportation presently are. 5987
This is basically a requirement which will give the 5988
agencies and also the Auditor General something to
fall back on in case, maybe the agencies are playing a 5989
few games with..."

Brummer: "Someone here suggested that the State let 5991
\$60,000,000 a year in contracts. Is that a reasonable 5992
estimate?"

Wikoff: "I think it's approximately close." 5994

Brummer: "Okay, with regard to \$60,000,000 then, do you have 5996
an opinion of what the cost would be for purchasing 5997
100% performance bonds per \$60,000,000 of contract 5998
work?"

Wikoff: "If they were all required, it would be 1% or 6000
approximately 1%. It might vary." 6001

Brummer: "So it would be about \$600,000 if my math is 6003
correct."

Wikoff: "That's close. Again, that..." 6005

Brummer: "And I assume that...that \$600,000 would 6007
undoubtedly be included in the cost of the contract 6008
work itself, so in the long run it would be an 6009
additional \$600, \$600,000 cost to the State."

Wikoff: "That is true under the assumption that all 6011
contracts would be required to do this. This is 6012
basically protection. I doubt if it would be used 6013
at...that often. It's not mandated."

Brummer: "Thank you." 6015

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leinenweber." 6017

Leinenweber: "Yes, would the Sponsor yield to a question?" 6019

Speaker Redmond: "He will." 6021

Leinenweber: "Representative Wikoff, I notice there's two 6023
Amendments to the Bill and they're identical. Is that 6024
correct?"

Wikoff: "No, that is not...not true, Harry. The Amendment 6026

#1 went on in Committee. That was the Bill. It came out of Committee. And basically the one on, I don't have the Amendment #1 in front of me, but basically we deleted most of Amendment 1...#1 and Amendment #2 which was a Floor Amendment is, is the Bill."

Leinenweber: "Well, I've got the file in front of me and I have both Amendment 1 and Amendment 2 in. I have read them over carefully and so has staff, and they are identical."

Wikoff: "I think there's an error then. I think the Amendment which was passed out originally at one time was noted Amendment #1 and it was amended on its face on the floor to Amendment #2, because that was an error."

Leinenweber: "Well, my recollection was that we put Amendment 1 on in Committee."

Wikoff: "That's true."

Leinenweber: "And then you apparently put a Floor Amendment on."

Wikoff: "Yes."

Leinenweber: "What was the purpose of the Floor Amendment?"

Wikoff: "That was the one, if you remember in Committee, we were under discussion with the Department of Administrative Services. They had some questions as to the timing that was on the Amendment #1, and I think the Amendment #1, and I'm going by memory right now, said that might require a performance bond up to 100%. This one ties it down to 100%. Written by a surety company and the agency shall notify in its bid to the prospective bidders that such a bond will be required. That's basically the changes that are in..."

Leinenweber: "May I suggest you take it out of the record and check the Amendments. I think you'll find that the Committee Amendment and Amendment #2 are absolutely identical, and I recall asking the

Department of Administrative Services whether they 6061
still object to the Bill, and they said in Committee
that they had problems with the Bill still, but they 6062
thought they could be worked out. And I assume that 6063
was what you attempted to do on Amendment 2. I don't 6064
think it changes anything because, I think, you will
find it is identical."

Wikoff: "Well, I beg they differ but I will be happy to take 6066
it out of the record until we work the thing out." 6067

Speaker Redmond: "You're taking it out of the record, 6069
Representative Wikoff?"

Wikoff: "Yes." 6071

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. 2917. Representative 6073
Yourell. 2917, Representative Yourell. Out of the 6074
record. 2941. Representative Satterthwaite. Out of 6075
the record. 2962, Representative Beatty.
Representative Beatty."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2962. A Bill for an Act to amend 6077
Sections of the Workers' Compensation Act. Third 6078
Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Beatty." 6080

Beatty: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. This is a 6082
simple Bill. The passage of this Bill, we will 6083
eliminate passage of future Bills in the sense that 6084
this Bill sets the position of the arbitrators as to
where they will stand financially in the future. At 6085
present, they are getting \$4,000 less than the 6086
Commissioners, and if this Bill passes they will
remain in that position. And when the Commissioners 6087
get a pay raise, the arbitrators and the Secretary of 6088
the Commission will get a pay raise, and they will
stay at the same level as they are now, \$4,000 less 6089
than the Commission. The only way other than this 6090
that the arbitrators can get a pay raise is for a 6091
Member of the House to come in and ask that they be
given one, because they are on a peculiar category all 6092

by themselves in the State. They don't fall in the category of regular employees and they're not executive employees. And so they need this to be in a position to obtain salary increases when other people on the executive level obtain pay increases. This does not give them an increase. It merely says that in the future when the Commissioners get an increase, the arbitrators will also get one and remain at the same level as they are, \$4,000 less than the Commissioners. They do similar work to the Commissioners. They are busy. Their salary, all they get is what they get in salary. They're not able to practice Law. Most of the arbitrators are Lawyers. There are three or four that are not, however, they are not able to work on the outside, and this would give them security and take them out of the political arena. And they wouldn't have to come back and be subject to any kind of contact with the elected officials. They would be more where they should be as civil servants. I'll be glad to answer any questions if there are any."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Tuerk." 6108

Tuerk: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?" 6110

Speaker Redmond: "He will." 6112

Tuerk: "When was the last time the arbitrators got a raise?" 6114

Beatty: "They got one approximately three years ago. I believe they went...they got approximately nine or ten thousand dollars from this House. This would not be a raise for them, you understand." 6116

Tuerk: "No, I understand that." 6120

Beatty: "But they have fallen so far behind it was necessary for a special Bill to be put through here, through the House and through the Senate. And that's the only way they've been getting pay increases by the House Members to increase their pay. Now this would take them away from Politics, would be an improvement, I

believe." 6126

Tuerk: "Well, I understand that too and your intent. But my 6128
question was they got the \$9,000 raise, I believe in 6129
1978. I think that's when it went. When was the last 6130
time the Commissioners got a raise?"

Beatty: "Well, that Bill also provided an increase for the 6132
Commissioners. They went up at that time also." 6133

Tuerk: "At the same time?" 6135

Beatty: "Although many of the ones that are sitting now 6137
are...never effectively got that increase, because 6138
they were in office already when that Bill passed. So 6139
they're really working at a lower level."

Tuerk: "Are you sure the Commissioners didn't get the raise 6141
about the same time we got ours?" 6142

Beatty: "It was in the same Bill." 6144

Tuerk: "Yea." 6146

Beatty: "It was...no. The Commissioners...they may 6148
have...the Commissioners may have gotten a raise 6149
since. I don't know. I know they got one back when 6150
the arbitrators got one."

Tuerk: "Are you anticipating any kind of a raise for 6152
Commissioners in the near future?"

Beatty: "Well, I am not on any kind of relationship with the 6154
Governor and his Staff. I don't really have any 6155
conversations with them ever. You would have to speak 6156
to Mr. Ryan or to the people that proposed the budget
for the Industrial Commission. I don't know." 6157

Tuerk: "Well, you've answered my questions. Thank you." 6159

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Tuerk, you through? Anyone 6161
else? Representative Birkinbine." 6162

Birkinbine: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield 6164
for a question? Do I understand that presently 6165
Commission Members are paid \$38,000 a year and the 6166
arbitrators are \$34,000 a year. Right?"

Beatty: "That is correct." 6168

Birkinbine: "That means they're getting paid about 10% less 6170

roughly, maybe 11% less...getting paid about ten, 6171
 eleven, twelve percent less than the Commissioners. 6172
 Right?"

Beatty: "Well, that's correct." 6174

Birkinbine: "Well, now if we lock this \$4,000 differential 6176
 into Law, as inflation goes along over the years and 6177
 say the Commissioners at some point in the future are 6178
 getting \$76,000 a year, at that...at that point the 6180
 arbitrators will be getting \$72,000 a year instead of 6181
 a 12% differential as we now deemed wise between what 6182
 one group of people is worth than the other. That 6183
 will only be a 6% difference. Right?" 6184

Beatty: "You're right. Yes, that's correct." 6184

Birkinbine: "Well, then aren't we, through this Law actually 6186
 changing the value or in the future will be changing 6187
 the importance or the value of this job of 6188
 arbitrator?"

Beatty: "I would say you're probably...what you're saying is 6190
 probably true."

Birkinbine: "Well, then it would seem wise, to me, that we 6192
 go through the minor change of putting in a Bill each 6193
 year or each time we deem a salary is necessary rather 6194
 than change the relationship that we now deem to be 6195
 wise and judicial."

Beatty: "Well, I believe that each of these groups, the 6197
 arbitrators and the Commissioners are performing 6198
 similar type works. They work with the same cases. 6199
 The arbitrators are busy all day working from 9:00 to 6200
 4:30. The Commissioners are busy all day. They're 6201
 all working with the same case, and it could well be 6202
 that perhaps they should be getting equal pay. They 6203
 do the same work. They're working with the same cases 6204
 at different levels. The Commission, of course, acts 6205
 as a review body and acts...but they are working on 6206
 the same...They...their relationship naturally, I
 don't think is that different, where maybe they should

be getting the same pay." 6206

Birkinbine: "Well, then why..." 6208

Beatty: "Go ahead." 6210

Birkinbine: "Why aren't you suggesting that we raise their 6212
salaries to be equal?"

Beatty: "I'm not, because I don't think a pay raise Bill 6214
could be put through at this time. And I'm merely 6215
trying to establish some parity so that they are taken 6216
out of politics, and so they don't have to worry about
their State Representatives who are in the 6217
Legislature, or Lawyers in going before them. They 6218
can be much more impartial. They'll be taken out of
politics, be much more of a purer system. They can 6219
leave all of the Politicians alone and they would be 6220
much better in that sense."

Birkinbine: "I see, thank you. Mr. Speaker, I'd like to 6222
speak to the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Proceed." 6224

Birkinbine: "I would suggest that...I understand what 6226
Representative Beatty is trying to do. I would 6227
suggest that since we are going to have to put in a 6228
Bill in the future anytime we raise the salary of the
Commissioners, it would only take a second portion of 6229
that Bill to at the same time alter the salary of the 6230
arbitrators and still maintain the differential that
we see as presently exists between the value of the 6231
two jobs. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Alright, Representative Beatty." 6233

Beatty: "Mr. Speaker, due to the lack of attendance at this 6235
time, I feel I should take this Bill out of the 6236
record."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. 2985. Out of the 6238
record. Wait a minute now, 2863, Representative 6239
Wikoff, are you better ready to...2863. You ready on 6240
that one?"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2863. This Bill has been read a 6242

third time previously." 6242

Wikoff: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. We...Representative Leinenweber 6244
had a problem which was corrected. He questioned the 6245
Amendment and it was the Amendment number was amended 6246
on its face on the floor and I think he solved 6247
this...or his problem is solved. And this is waiting 6248
approval of the Department of Administrative
Services."

Speaker Redmond: "Is Representative Leinenweber right or 6250
wrong?"

Leinenweber: "Shall we say that my position prevailed." 6252

Speaker Redmond: "Will you take a note of that, please? Now 6254
anything further on 2863?"

Wikoff: "...Representative Mautino to close." 6256

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mautino to close." 6258

Mautino: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of 6260
the House. I stand in support of House Bill 2863, 6261
because I think it's important that we do have 6262
performance bonds on approximately \$60,000,000 worth 6263
of contractual agreements and services as they pertain 6264
to professional and artistic endeavors here in the 6265
State. I think what we're doing with this is 6266
protecting the State of Illinois from the rash of 6267
uncompleted contracts that have been brought to our 6268
attention from the subcommittee on Appropriation II in 6269
both the area of Public Aid and in the area of Public 6270
Health. I think that you would ask no less of anyone 6271
doing business with you or for you in your own lives 6272
than I think we're asking here for the State of 6273
Illinois to be protected, that any of those consultant 6274
contracts do have a performance bond of 100% so that 6275
the people of the State of Illinois are protected
under those contractual services. And I ask for an
'aye' vote on 2863."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? The question is shall 6274
this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye'. Opposed 6275

vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted 6276
 who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this
 question there's 129 'aye', 1 'no'. And the Bill 6277
 having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby 6278
 declared passed. 3091. Representative Mahar."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3091, a Bill for an Act in 6280
 relation to drainage districts' elections. Third 6281
 Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mahar." 6283

Mahar: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of 6285
 the House. I think this is a relatively 6286
 noncontroversial Bill. It passed out of Committee 20 6287
 to 0. It just simply provides that drainage district
 Commissioners will come under the new consolidation of 6288
 elections. Their present terms are three years. They 6289
 will go to four years, and they will be elected in a
 nonpartisan election in November, and I don't think 6290
 there is any opposition. I would urge your favorable 6291
 vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Anyone in opposition? The question is 6293
 'Shall this Bill pass?'. Those in favor vote 'aye', 6294
 opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all 6295
 voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On
 this question there's 138 'aye', and no 'nay', and the 6296
 Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is 6297
 hereby declared passed. Representative VanDuyne."

VanDuyne: "Yea, my crusade to have all judges elected, I 6299
 just want to point out to the Membership that just 6300
 goes from the top all the way from the President down 6301
 to even the lowliest of the drainage district where
 you have to submit yourself to the scrutiny of the 6302
 voter. And yet we are always trying to get our 6303
 vote...our judges elected on their so called merit.
 Just...this is for the future, Mr. Mahar." 6304

Speaker Redmond: "Is Representative Hoffman on the floor? 6306
 Representative Hoffman on the floor? Oh. 3092. 6307

Representative Totten." 6307

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3092, a Bill for an Act to amend 6309
Sections of the Illinois Income Tax Act. Third 6310
Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Totten." 6312

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of 6314
the House. House Bill 3092 addresses itself to the 6315
concept of inflation on our tax burdens. For ten 6316
years the State of Illinois has been a partner in
reaping the harvest of a modern version of taxation 6317
without representation. The effects of inflation have 6318
made us partners rather than foes of inflation and
that partnership has resulted in a gross inequity in 6319
our state income tax system. House Bill 3092 corrects 6320
that inequity. In 1969 when the Illinois State Income
Tax went into effect, a personal exemption was allowed 6321
equal to \$1,000. Since then, inflation has climbed at 6322
the rate of 110%. One thousand dollars does not have 6323
the same value, does not buy the same things, as it
did back in 1969. And that one thousand dollar 6324
personal exemption has nowhere near the tax advantage 6325
it did when the income tax was enacted. If the
Legislature wanted today's personal exemption to give 6326
the same advantage as the \$1,000 exemption did back in 6327
1969, we would need to raise the exemption to \$2,100
based on the rate of inflation. If inflation 6328
continues to rise at the same rate, ten years from now 6329
that tax exemption would have to be around \$3,000. 6330
Because the \$1,000 is worth approximately only \$400 in
real dollars today, and because, in effect, we have 6331
been raising taxes every year without legislation, 6332
because people are paying a higher percentage of their
real income, we must correct the matter. To correct 6333
this inequity, the personal exemption should be 6334
indexed by the rate of inflation. Under our present
unadjusted system, the State receives ever expanding 6335

revenues as taxes rise automatically. No Member of 6336
the Legislature ever has to vote for the inflation
tax, and since few taxpayers understand what is 6337
happening, on one is accountable for the tax 6338
increases. We can even vote politically popular tax
cuts that really aren't tax cuts at all since they are 6339
off-set by the...or exceeded by the increases caused 6340
by inflation. This proposal, House Bill 3092,
corrects that dishonesty. This tax indexation 6341
proposal would insure that real, rather than net
income, would be the basis for tax collections in the 6342
future. And it would restore equity and justice to 6343
our tax system. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of 6344
the House, I respectfully solicit your support for
House Bill 3092."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leinenweber." 6346

Leinenweber: "Will the Gentleman yield for a question?" 6348

Speaker Redmond: "He will." 6350

Leinenweber: "Representative Totten, this concept of yours 6352
has been before us on numerous occasions and I believe 6353
every time it is, it passes, so I'm sure it will pass 6354
today. The question I have is, why did you select the
Consumer Price Index as the indexing factor?" 6355

Totten: "The Consumer Price Index, I believe, it's all items 6357
that we use, has been an accepted procedure, or 6358
accepted index for measuring the rate of inflation." 6359

Leinenweber: "I know it has been accepted, but it's also 6361
been a highly criticized for a great number of years 6362
by most economists as being highly inaccurate and as a 6363
matter of fact, it's led...its acceptance has in fact
exacerbated the problem. For example, as you know, 6364
those people who are...have cost of living escalator 6365
clauses in their labor contracts, which are tied to 6366
the CPI, are certainly part and parcel of the problem
of inflation. Now, one of the glaring examples, I 6367
guess, at least current glaring examples of 6368

inaccuracy, is the fact that, as I understand it, the CPI includes as one of its make-ups, the cost of home mortgage which currently is very high and as little as a year ago was quite low. But if, for example, one does not buy a house and no one is buying a house right now, so really, in fact, probably home mortgage shouldn't even be included. It also does not, as I understand it, recognize changes in people's living habits which to a certain extent are caused by increases in prices of objects which aren't contained in the market basket which become noncompetitive with similar or like substances. For example, butter as opposed to margarine, if the price of butter gets high then people switch to margarine so actually the cost of living is lower. It has come to my attention from talking to economists that treasury bill rates are a much more reliable indicator. Do you agree with that or do you reject that out of hand?"

Totten: "I neither agree nor disagree. It may be. The legislation provides that the Index is used or...and that the Bureau of Labor Statistics measures it. They may change it to more accurately reflect, as you may indicate, then of course the Index would change as applied to this Bill."

Leinenweber: "What would be the...this year, as I understand it, we're galloping along with the Carter inflation of about 18 to 20%. What would the effect of your Bill be? I understand it would require a \$200...to go from a thousand to twelve hundred dollars. Is that correct if the current rate of inflation continues to the end of the year?"

Totten: "If the rate of inflation was 12%, it would go to \$1,200..."

Leinenweber: "Or 20%, I think it is right now..."

Totten: "Twenty percent, it would go to 20% of \$1,000 or \$1,200."

Leinenweber: "It would...we would go then to \$1,200 next year, is that right?" 6400

Totten: "That's correct." 6402

Leinenweber: "What would the cost to the State of Illinois in reduced revenues be?" 6404

Totten: "There would be no cost to the State of Illinois. We would just be keeping the money in the people's pockets where it belongs." 6406
6407

Leinenweber: "I think you're accurate in that respect. What would be the reduced reduction in expected revenues be if the inflation continued at 20%, or the CPI continued at 20% and your Bill was in effect?" 6409
6410
6411

Totten: "It is estimated anywhere from twenty to thirty-five million dollars, depending on whose estimate you look at, would be kept in the people's pockets." 6413
6414

Leinenweber: "Well, it shouldn't be whose estimate. It would be whose estimate of the rate of inflation. Isn't that correct?" 6416
6417

Totten: "That's..." 6419

Leinenweber: "...let me...continue at 20%, what would the cost be? Or what would be the reduction in revenues? I agree with you on cost. It's not at cost. But what would be the reduction in revenues if...assume a 20% rate of inflation continues?" 6421
6422
6423
6424

Totten: "Approximately thirty to thirty-five million dollars." 6426

Leinenweber: "Are you sure that it's at 20% or is it on a much lower rate? I notice that in the staff analysis they use a 7% factor." 6428
6429

Totten: "I think they use...one figure I've seen is 12%, could be thirty million dollars. I've seen Economic and Fiscal Commission measure that says it could be higher." 6431
6432
6433

Leinenweber: "Alright, 12% would be \$30,000,000 and a 20% would probably be at fifty or fifty-five million. That be correct?" 6435
6436

Totten: "Could be." 6438

Leinenweber: "Alright. Thank you, Sir." 6440

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Friedrich." 6442

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I think we 6444
all go home from here bragging that we have balanced 6445
the budget. We didn't raise taxes and we think that's 6446
a big deal. But the truth about it, everybody's taxes 6447
have been going up, and they've been going up faster 6448
that the earnings of the people and the ability to pay 6449
for things. The truck driver now pays more income tax 6450
than he used to make. And he's in a higher income tax 6451
bracket all the way around. And I think the only way 6452
that we can ever put this thing in its right 6453
perspective is to quit rewarding inflation so 6454
government gets a bigger and bigger chunk out of your 6455
pay check. And this is one way to do it." 6456

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Davis." 6457

Davis: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'm going to support 6458
Representative Totten's Bill, because Representative 6459
Totten is a fine conservative with a lot of, in my 6460
opinion, very fine ideas and this probably in State 6461
Government is a good idea. Not because, not because 6462
it is an indexing measure and we should point to other 6463
people, other states or anybody, to index, but simply 6464
because it's tax relief. But I have to get something 6465
off my chest. I'm supporting it reluctantly and I 6466
suppose I want to make the statement for the record. 6467
Indexing is a very dangerous concept. If the Federal 6468
Government decided to go the way Brazil did and begin 6469
to index things to adjust for inflation to off-set the 6470
kinds of things Representative Friedrich was talking 6471
about, the Federal Government would then have 6472
acknowledged that they cannot discipline and control 6473
their spending and control themselves since they are 6474
the root cause of inflation. They will have done what 6475
the business community in this country has done, and 6476

that's to internalize inflation and say it's not all 6470
 bad because we can raise prices, raise revenues, and 6471
 have more dollars. The Federal Government does 6472
 exactly the same thing and prints more dollars.
 Indexing is a very, very dangerous concept at the 6473
 Federal level, and I'll support it only because it 6474
 does affect some tax relief for the citizens of
 Illinois."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Epton." 6476

Epton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the 6478
 House. As always, I have a conflict of interest as 6479
 everyone else in the House, but I'll vote my 6480
 conscience as usual."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bowman." 6482

Bowman: "Will the Gentleman yield for a question? 6484
 Representative Totten, what would happen if the United 6485
 States Bureau of Labor revised its statistics and 6486
 chose 1979 as the new base year for the Consumer Price
 Index?"

Totten: "The base for the Consumer Price Index is whatever 6488
 you determine it to be. This legislation uses a base 6489
 year of '79 and indexes from the base of '79. 6490
 Whatever you choose as a base, that's what it's
 indexed from. It would make no difference what they 6491
 should do."

Bowman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, then, I see, asking further 6493
 questions of the Sponsor would be fruitless, because 6494
 he apparently doesn't understand what a base year is. 6495
 The base year is not what we define it to be, but what
 the Department of Commerce defines it to be. Excuse 6496
 me...the Bureau of Labor Statistics, because the 6497
 Consumer Price Index is referenced back to a year 6498
 which they define. It simply indicates how much more
 expensive or less expensive goods and services are in 6499
 a particular year relative to that base year. In 6500
 other words, according to the language of the Bill

here, what the Representative would be doing, would be 6501
 taking the Consumer Price Index for the year 1979, 6502
 whatever that happened to be, and dividing it by the 6503
 Consumer Price Index of some subsequent year. If they
 revised their series and caused the...start their 6504
 series all over again with 1979, that would 6505
 drastically change the amount of deflation, if you
 will, of the...or inflation, of the exemption. In 6506
 other words, we are subject...our revenues are subject 6507
 to recalculations by the United States Bureau of Labor
 Statistics. Anytime they revise their series our 6508
 whole tax structure changes. I don't think we should 6509
 be linking ourselves to something such as a labor
 statistic which is subject to change at the whim, if 6510
 you will, of the Bureau of Labor Statistics. They 6511
 periodically do recalculate all of those statistics.
 I might add that the Consumer Price Index is no longer 6512
 the pure number that the Bill presumes it to be, and 6514
 what we use for estimating our state revenues is the
 Urban Consumer Price Index. They divided it up now so 6515
 that what, I think, the Representative really wants is 6516
 reference to a more precise measure. There is no such
 thing now as the Consumer Price Index that he refers 6517
 to in the Bill. I think it's a poorly drafted Bill. 6518
 And for that reason, it should be defeated."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Currie? Anything further? 6520
 Representative Reilly, are you seeking recognition? 6521
 You had your hand up. Representative Hoffman has 6522
 returned to the floor. Representative Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and 6524
 Gentlemen of the House. Just like to make a couple of 6525
 comments in reference to this program. In response 6526
 just briefly to the last speaker, as he knows, being
 the learned scholar and economist that he is, that one 6527
 could choose any year one would desire to choose and 6528
 establish it as the base year and it...at point one or 6529

one hundred and move away from that point to calculate 6529
 years which are to follow. The other point, and I 6530
 think the more significant point that I'd like to 6531
 make, is the fact that the present exemption in our
 income tax program of \$1,000 was established in 1969. 6532
 That is the only exemption we have in our State Income 6533
 Tax and for that reason in my judgment it is much 6534
 fairer and much more equitable than is Federal
 taxation. If, at the time, we would have 6535
 established...if at the time we established the State 6536
 Income Tax, we would have said that it is a \$1,000
 exemption, and that exemption will move along with the 6537
 change in the economy. We would find ourselves today 6538
 with a \$2,000 exemption which would have kept a better
 balance and is much fairer than some of the 6539
 suggestions that are constantly made in this 6540
 Legislature to exempt this or this or this or this
 from the state income tax. Those of you who have 6541
 studied taxation know that if you want to compare a 6542
 fair tax to an unfair tax all you have to do is take a
 look at the Illinois State Income Tax and compare it 6543
 to the Federal Income Tax and look at all the 6544
 loopholes that are in that. And, Mr. Speaker, Ladies
 and Gentlemen of the House, it is for that reason, 6545
 primarily, that I rise to support House Bill 3092 as 6546
 a step in the right direction to provide greater 6547
 equity in the way in which we levy the income tax on
 the people of this State."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? The question is, 'Shall 6549
 this Bill pass?' Those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed 6550
 vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted 6551
 who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On
 this...Representative Steczo." 6552

Steczko: "Mr. Speaker, I was going to move for a verification 6554
 of the Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Currie? Have all voted who 6556

wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question 6557
there's 129 'aye' and 19 'no' and Representative 6558
Steczo has requested a verification of the Affirmative
Roll Call. Representative Cullerton? Cullerton, 6559
'aye'. Representative Totten?"

Totten: "I'm going to poll the absentees if he persists." 6561

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Totten requests a poll of 6563
the absentees. Mr. Clerk, poll the absentees. 6564
Representative Kosinski, for what purpose do you
arise?"

Kosinski: "Purposes of an announcement, Mr. Speaker." 6566

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed." 6568

Kosinski: "The annual legislative reception sponsored by the 6570
Illinois State Bar Association is today, 5:30 to 7:30,
across the street at the Illinois Bar, 424 South 6571
Second Street. You're all invited." 6572

Speaker Redmond: "That's the Vice-Chairman of the Judiciary 6574
Committee. Poll the absentees, Mr. Clerk. 6575
Representative Slape. Slape."

Slape: "Is that a Lawyer's Bill, that the last speaker was 6577
just speaking on, Sir?"

Clerk Leone: "Poll of the absentees, Beatty. Bradley. 6579
Breslin. Chapman. Collins. Deuster. Ewell..." 6580

Speaker Redmond: "Representative VanDuyne, for what purpose 6582
do you arise?"

VanDuyne: "I don't...Mr. Speaker, I don't even know if I'm 6584
in order or not, but wouldn't it be faster if we had a 6585
quick verified Roll Call?"

Speaker Redmond: "Well, let's get the absentees, now. He 6587
wants the absentees polled. This is what we're doing. 6588
Proceed."

Clerk Leone: "Gaines. Giorgi. Emil Jones. Kane..." 6590

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Steczo?" 6592

Steczo: "Mr. Speaker, we would be agreeable to having 6594
another Roll Call if phantom switches aren't pushed, 6595
but if there are we will insist on a verification."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, I'm going to give you your verification, so proceed with the Affirmative Roll Call. (sic)." 6597 6598

Clerk Leone: "Klosak. Laurino. Matijevich. McPike. Mugalian. Murphy. O'Brien. Polk. Richmond. Robbins. Schoeberlein. Schraeder. Stearney. VonBoeckman. Williamson and Younge." 6600 6601 6602

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Williams, was it, 'aye'. Williamson, 'aye'. Proceed. That's the conclusion of the poll of the absentees. Representative Bruce Richmond, 'aye'. Representative Jesse White, 'no'. Now, Representative Steczo has requested a verification of the Affirmative Roll Call. Proceed, Mr. Clerk." 6604 6605 6606 6607

Clerk Leone: "Poll of the Affirmative. Ackerman. Alexander. Anderson. Balanoff. Barnes. Bell. Bianco. Birchler. Birkinbine..." 6609 6610

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Tuerk?" 6612

Tuerk: "May I be verified?" 6614

Speaker Redmond: "May Representative Tuerk be verified? Hearing no objection, you are now verified." 6616 6617

Tuerk: "Thank you." 6619

Speaker Redmond: "Secretary of State will strike a certificate. Representative Hoffman? Representative Hoffman desires to be verified. Proceed with the verification of the Affirmative Roll Call. Representative Wolf, for what purpose do you arise?" 6621 6622 6623 6624

Wolf: "May I be verified, Sir?" 6626

Speaker Redmond: "May Representative Wolf be verified?" 6628

Clerk Leone: "Continuing with the Poll of the Affirmative. Bluthardt. Borchers..." 6630

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Borchers." 6632

Borchers: "I would like to be verified. I have some things I have to attend to in relation to what matter you know about." 6634 6635

Speaker Redmond: "Okay. Any objection to Representative" 6637

Borchers being verified? Hearing none, he is now 6638
verified. Not all of you can say that. Proceed."

Clerk Leone: "Boucek. Bower..." 6640

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Boucek. Representative 6642
Watson, please sit down."

Boucek: "Mr. Speaker, may I be verified?" 6644

Speaker Redmond: "You just have been. Representative 6646
Boucek. It's 5:30. Representative McCourt.
Representative." 6647

McCourt: "May I be verified?" 6649

Speaker Redmond: "Yes. It's 5:30. Representative McCourt. 6651
Representative Steczo. What did you say? He's
withdrawing the request for a verification. 6652
Representative Cullerton. Change Representative
Cullerton to 'no'. The request for a verification has 6654
been withdrawn. Now what's the count? Representative
Balanoff, 'no'. What's the count? 129 'aye', 22 6655
'no'. Representative Deuster, for
what...Representative Deuster? Alright. 130. And 6656
this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority
is hereby declared passed. 3154. Representative 6657
Stuffle. Representative Stuffle. Out of the record.
3158, Mr...Representative Woodyard. Read the Bill, 6659
Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 3158. A Bill for an Act 6661
authorizing the Vermillion County Conservation
District to convey certain real property in exchange 6662
for certain other real property and money. Third
Reading of the Bill." 6663

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Woodyard." 6665

Woodyard: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of 6667
the House. House Bill 3158 simply transfers land from
Vermillion County Conservation District to Illinois 6668
Power Company. It's a simple land transfer with an
exchange of money also. It involves no state property 6669
and no state monies." 6670

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? Anyone in 6672
opposition? The question is shall this Bill pass. 6673
Those in favor...Representative Getty."

Getty: "Will the Gentleman yield?" 6675

Speaker Redmond: "He will." 6677

Woodyard: "Yes." 6679

Getty: "Has there been an appraisal as to both parcels of 6681
property?"

Woodyard: "No, Representative. This question came up in 6683
Committee and due to the fact that there is no state 6684
property involved with this, an appraisal was not 6685
required. This is land owned by the Conservation 6686
District itself with no monies involved by the State
and also land owned by the Illinois Power Company."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stuffle." 6688

Stuffle: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members. Representative 6690
Woodyard, I and Campbell are Sponsors of this Bill. 6691
Representative Woodyard is absolutely right. What 6692
we're doing here is...we have to do by law. It does
not involve the State directly except to provide for 6693
the transfer. We're transferring money and property 6694
between a private entity and the local Conservation
District."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? The question is shall 6696
this Bill pass. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed 6697
vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted 6698
who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this
question there's 119 'aye' and no 'nay', and the Bill 6699
having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby 6700
declared passed. 3171. Representative Rigney. Read
the Bill, Mr. Clerk. I'll get to that next." 6701

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 3171. A Bill for an Act to amend 6703
Sections of the Revenue Act. Third Reading of the 6704
Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Rigney." 6706

Rigney: "Well, Mr. Speaker, what this Bill does is to set 6708

one uniform date..." 6708

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Telcser, you're up next." 6710

Rigney: "...one uniform date for publication of assessments 6712
in all 101 downstate counties. At the present time, 6713
those counties under 50,000 population have an August 6714
1 publication date. The larger counties over 50,000 6715
have a December 31 publication date. This makes for 6715
one uniform date of December 31."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Representative VanDuyne." 6717

VanDuyne: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd like to ask 6719
Representative Rigney in counties such as...well, not 6720
really Will County so much because Will County has a 6721
number of newspapers, but in the counties such as 6722
Grundy and few of the, you know, some of the other 6722
smaller ones where they have...where they really don't 6723
have too many newspapers and you say you want to 6724
coagulate or put them all together. How in the world 6724
would anybody ever find...you know, wouldn't it really 6726
be a confusing type issue?"

Rigney: "Well, I don't really believe so. The problem is 6728
right now, particularly, for the smaller counties that 6729
are attempting to implement the new Farm Land 6730
Evaluation Law. They simply are not going to be able 6731
to get their work done and be ready for publication by 6731
August 1. This is a Bill that I put in at the request 6732
of a number of downstate, smaller downstate counties 6733
that realized that they were not going to be able to 6734
meet that publication date. I really see no 6734
justification for having two different publication 6735
dates in our Statutes. If it's important for the 6735
counties over 50,000 to be given until December 31, it 6736
seems like it's equally important that the smaller 6737
counties be accorded this same privilege."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Davis." 6739

Davis: "Question of the Sponsor?" 6741

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed." 6743

- Davis: "Representative Rigney, I'm not sure that you're moving in the wrong direction. And let me explain why. I did have a Bill in a couple of years ago, I think, and I didn't pursue it assiduously enough, I'm afraid. The problem that exists in those counties over 50,000 with a late publication date is the inconsistency in the Revenue Article. Chapter 39, the Boards of Review meet for twenty days after the publication date to accept protests. Is that correct?" 6745 6746 6747 6748 6749 6750 6751
- Rigney: "That's correct." 6753
- Davis: "Unfortunately the Statute also mandates the Board of Review out of existence on December 31. Are you aware of that?" 6755 6756
- Rigney: "Well, I believe it's really earlier than that. I believe the Board of Reviews under current Statute regardless of whether it's a small county or a large county, I believe they're out of existence sometime in September. And they only exist because they are given extensions to finish their work." 6758 6759 6760 6761
- Davis: "Yea, I'm aware of that." 6763
- Rigney: "...practical matter has become a necessity." 6765
- Davis: "Well, I was just...I was questioning if you were aware, Representative Rigney, and I think, maybe that's an inconsistency that we should clean up, and I wished we would've discussed it before the Bill got to Third. And I didn't catch it again, my fault, to probably move the date to a more equitable balance some place between August and December and allow that Board of Review to continue on a orderly basis after the publication." 6767 6768 6769 6770 6771 6772
- Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Wikoff." 6774
- Wikoff: "Question of the Sponsor. And Harlan, maybe this isn't even an appurtenant question, but would happen in some of these counties that, I assume you're talking about publication that is required in the 6776 6777 6778

newspapers?" 6778

Rigney: "That's correct. Apparently, there was a little 6780
 fiasco that happened in one of our downstate counties 6781
 here in the last year or so in which they were not 6782
 able to meet their publication date and in effect then 6783
 were not able to make any changes in their assessment 6784
 rules because it was...you know, they had exceeded the 6784
 limits of that publication period."

Wikoff: "I guess my main question is, what would happen in a 6786
 county that had only some small weekly newspapers who 6787
 wouldn't be publishing on that date. Is there 6788
 provision..."

Rigney: "Now, wait a minute. December 31 is only the 6790
 deadline date. They can publish anytime, you know, 6791
 anytime they're ready up until December 31."

Wikoff: "Okay, alright." 6793

Rigney: "I assume that many of the counties will publish 6795
 maybe a month or two in advance of this." 6796

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? The question is shall 6798
 this Bill pass. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed 6799
 vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted 6800
 who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will 6801
 take the record. On this question there's 118 'aye' 6801
 and no 'nay'. The Bill having received the 6802
 Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. 6803
 3163. Representative Telcser. This isn't on the list 6804
 but it ought to be. We'll get you next, Mr. Pierce. 6804
 Inadvertently we left 3163 off the list but it should 6805
 be on there. Read the Bill."

Telcser: "Will the Clerk read it a Third time, please." 6807

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 3163. A Bill for an Act to amend 6809
 an Act in relation to compensation of Members of the 6810
 General Assembly. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Telcser." 6812

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. House Bill 6814
 3163 is as a result of a personal experience I had 6815

last year. I have a legislative aide whom I pay out 6816
of our \$17,000 a year allowance, and I had her come to 6817
Springfield to do some work for me regarding some 6818
legislative matters. I submitted a voucher to the 6819
Comptroller's Office so that I may reimburse her for 6820
her train fare. The Comptroller would not honor the 6821
voucher indicating to me that the language in the 6822
current Law does not authorize reimbursements for 6823
travel for our legislative aides to and from the 6824
Capital City. He suggested I drop in a Bill to clean 6825
up the language so we would be able to do that, and 6826
that's what House Bill 3163 is about. It is not an 6827
increase in any allowance or any extra money. It 6828
simply allows this authorization and I appreciate a 6829
favorable vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is shall 6830
this Bill pass. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed 6831
vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted 6832
who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this 6833
question there's a 124 'aye' and no 'nay', and the 6834
Bill having received the Constitutional Majority 6835
hereby declared passed. 3190. Read the Bill, Mr. 6836
Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 3190. A Bill for an Act to amend 6837
the Illinois Income Tax Act. Third Reading of the 6838
Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Pierce." 6839

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, the purpose of House Bill 3190 is to 6840
remedy an inequity of the Illinois Income Tax Law 6841
where corporate bonds...investors in corporate bonds 6842
can deduct the expenses of acquiring the bonds, in 6843
other words, broker's commissions and premiums on 6844
their Illinois Income Tax where purchases of State 6845
Municipal Bonds cannot do so. And this is a
discrimination against State Municipal Bonds. It
makes it more difficult to sell them, it makes them

less attractive. The reason that popped up in the Illinois Income Tax was, at the Federal level, the income from State Municipal Bonds is not taxable, and therefore the expenses are not deductible. However, under Illinois Law, the income is fully taxable as ordinary income, and therefore the expenses in connection with the bonds should be deductible as they are for corporate bonds under the Illinois Income Tax. That's the gist of the Bill, and I ask that we support House Bill 3190."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 94 'aye' and 20 'no'. And the Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. 3198. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk. Representative Greiman."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 3198. A Bill for an Act to help alleviate the hardships of economic recession by amending certain Acts herein named and provide relief to employees whose employment is involuntarily terminated by their employers. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hanahan, did you find your wallet? Hanahan, Hanahan, did you find your wallet over there? Okay. Representative Greiman. Representative Greiman."

Greiman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Bill is not as the digest provides. It has been amended significantly. The Bill is limited to a short-term effort to helping people, basically middle class people, but not necessarily, to continue in their Blue-Cross, Blue-Shield or other medical insurance after they have been terminated or fired. It is a Bill that will last

only two years. It has only...it has a self-destruct 6875
 period provision and will provide that a...fired 6876
 employee may elect to continue his Blue-Cross and
 Blue-Shield for six months during the time that he is 6877
 looking for a job. He must pay the premium. It is 6878
 not the employer's responsibility. It is the
 employee's responsibility if he elects to go on and 6879
 have that coverage. We found that when employees are 6880
 terminated it is the worst time in their life, and at
 that time they are faced with providing some kind of 6881
 medical...medical coverage for their family. What 6882
 happens is that the individual policies that you are 6883
 allowed to pay increases the premium significantly and
 reduces the benefit level. This Bill requires that 6884
 during the recession period that we are now living 6885
 with or going to have to live with in the months to
 come, an employee who is fired may elect to continue 6886
 his policy for six months at the premium level and at 6887
 the benefit level that the group was able to have. It 6888
 is just that simple a Bill. The other parts of the
 Bill that were originally structured to help people 6889
 who have difficult problems with the recession have 6890
 been amended out of the Bill. So it is limited just
 to that very narrow Section. I might tell you that 6891
 Blue-Cross, Blue-Shield people offered an Amendment to 6892
 it at the Committee level which I accepted and I don't
 know what their position is, but they did offer the 6893
 Amendment and it was accepted. I would ask for a 6894
 favorable Roll Call." 6895

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Brummer." 6897

Brummer: "Yes, will the Sponsor yield?" 6899

Speaker Redmond: "He will." 6901

Brummer: "If I understand your explanation, this is strictly 6903
 an Insurance Bill."

Greiman: "That's right. Yes." 6905

Brummer: "It did not go through the Insurance Committee, did 6907

it?" 6907

Greiman: "No, it went through the Executive Committee." 6909

Brummer: "Seems kind of odd. The...you indicated that it 6911
applied to Blue-Cross, Blue-Shield alone, is that..." 6912

Greiman: "No, no." 6914

Brummer: "...it applies to all insurance companies?" 6916

Greiman: "...right. It applies to all medical carriers in 6918
the State."

Brummer: "Okay. Do we not currently have legislation which 6920
allows a individual who is no longer employed and 6921
therefore no longer eligible to be a part of the group 6922
policy to purchase from that company some type of
individual coverage?"

Greiman: "He can purchase individual coverage. The 6924
coverage...cost of the coverage is significantly 6925
higher. The coverage that he is allowed to get is the 6926
barest, most meager surgical coverage that one could
get. For example, if you were a State employee and 6927
you converted your policy because you were fired, your 6928
premium would go up and your...you would not have the
kind of policy that we have under this State. So that 6929
the coverage for you and your family would be 6930
significantly less."

Brummer: "I had...we didn't have an opportunity in the 6932
Insurance Committee to hear testimony concerning this, 6933
because it was not in the Insurance Committee. I was 6934
advised by at least one individual that the exact
opposite was the case, that this would provide more 6935
expensive coverage at a higher premium to the 6936
individual who is applying for it than is currently
available under the existing Law..."

Greiman: "If that were true, I wouldn't have put the Bill 6938
in."

Brummer: "Well, that's what I was told. That the...that the 6940
coverage which is available under the existing Law to 6941
someone who is no longer a part of the group is not as 6942

good a coverage, but it is not as expensive a 6942
 coverage. And that this coverage would be very 6943
 expensive, and I understand at least you indicated 6944
 that Blue-Cross, Blue-Shield, you didn't know their
 position on it."

Greiman: "They didn't oppose it at the Hearing. That's all 6946
 I can say. They were at the Hearing..." 6947

Brummer: "Well, there are a lot of other health insurance 6949
 companies..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hanahan, do you seek 6951
 recognition? Representative Hanahan has the wrong 6952
 wallet. Proceed."

Brummer: "There are a lot of other Insurance Companies in 6954
 this State. I suspect they didn't...did they offer 6955
 any testimony with regard to this? They usually 6956
 testify in the Insurance Committee. They may have not
 realized there was an Insurance Bill in the Executive 6957
 Committee."

Greiman: "No, there was a Representative from the 6959
 Association of Insurance Companies present, and he 6960
 testified he opposed the Bill. The Representative 6961
 from Blue-Cross, Blue-Shield was present, offered an
 Amendment, it was accepted. He did not file anything 6962
 opposing the Bill."

Brummer: "Well, I would suggest this Bill requires close 6964
 scrutiny. It's a major change from the existing Law 6965
 with regard to that, and everyone ought to examine it 6966
 closely."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schuneman." 6968

Schuneman: "Question of the Sponsor, Mr. Speaker. 6970
 Representative Greiman, isn't this the same idea that 6971
 you tried to have adopted by a separate Bill in the 6972
 last Session of the Legislature that came before the
 Insurance Committee?"

Greiman: "Mr. Schuneman, this is the same Bill that I passed 6974
 into Law in 1975, the last time that we had a serious 6975

recession. It added two-year, 6976
non-des...self-destruct. It was passed into Law..."

Schuneman: "...So this is...this is the same Bill then." 6978

Greiman: "It was the same Bill and the self-destruct period 6980
came by. But it was passed into Law. Yes. And many 6981
people availed themselves of it."

Schuneman: "Who offered House Amendment #1 and House 6983
Amendment #2?"

Greiman: "I don't recall what were the exact numbers, 6985
but..."

Schuneman: "Did you offer them?" 6987

Greiman: "Yes, I offered them." 6989

Schuneman: "Did you offer them? Can I speak to the Bill, 6991
Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed." 6993

Schuneman: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. 6995
This Bill now becomes the Bill that Representative 6996
Greiman offered last year through the Insurance 6997
Committee, and it was rejected there. And he comes to 6998
us by a rather circuitous route in that the Bill was 6999
introduced to accomplish another purpose so that it 7000
would be referred to some Committee other than the 7001
Insurance Committee. And then once in that other 7002
Committee, was amended by the Sponsor to become his 7003
old Bill. And so it comes to us by a rather strange 7004
manner. The other thing that I'd like to point out to 7005
you is that when this matter was presented to the 7006
House previously, there was an agreement reached under 7007
which insurance companies agreed to offer individual 7008
coverage to these people. And you understand the ones 7009
that seek to be covered here are people who have been
laid off from their jobs and are no longer employed
by the employer who buys the group insurance. So what
the Sponsor is seeking to do here is provide coverage
on people who aren't on...former employees of the
company buying the group insurance. I think it's a

bad idea. Those employees already have available to 7010
 them coverage on an individual basis which the Law 7011
 mandates now that must be offered to them, and I think
 that this is a step in the wrong direction. It's a 7012
 further harrassment of business in Illinois, and I 7013
 would urge a vote against the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bullock." 7015

Bullock: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of 7017
 the House. I respectfully disagree with the previous 7018
 Speaker. And I don't think that we in the Legislature 7019
 should at this point be so much concerned with the 7020
 genesis of a Bill as we do...as we are with the impact
 of the Legislation on the citizens of the State of 7021
 Illinois. Representative Greiman's Bill, in my 7022
 estimation, is a Bill that's definitely needed and if
 you look at the Bill and you look at the Amendment, it 7023
 basically does two things. It says that an employee 7024
 and that employee's family, the employee being
 involuntarily terminated from employment, will have 7025
 the option to pick up the insurance coverage for a 7026
 period of six months from the previous employer. I
 don't think any of us are oblivious to the fact that 7027
 during a recessionary period and during the time when 7028
 there's an inordinate amount of unemployment that many 7029
 of the families of unemployed individuals are put at a
 severe disadvantage and most of them would at some 7030
 time or another require some type of insurance 7031
 coverage. I think Representative Greiman's Bill is
 needed. I think definitely if ever there were a time 7032
 when an emergency exists with this kind of
 Legislation, we are in fact being confronted with it 7033
 now. And I would further suggest that those of you 7034
 who want to indicate to your constituents that you 7035
 voted for something that the State of Illinois is not
 going to necessarily be required to provide but the 7036
 employees themselves are going to provide. You're 7037

merely giving them an option which they don't 7037
 presently have that this is the vehicle to do that. 7038
 And I would certainly urge that Representative Greiman 7039
 get the necessary votes to pass this worthwhile
 Legislation."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Currie." 7041

Currie: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I, 7043
 too, support this Legislation. The issue of cost has 7044
 been raised, quite clearly if this proposal means that 7045
 extending the group insurance coverage for the
 individual layed-off employee will be more expensive, 7046
 he or she will not exercise it and will indeed opt to 7047
 go with an individual insurance policy offered by any 7048
 of the companies that offer those kinds of
 arrangements. I don't see how this does impose 7049
 additional costs on businesses in the State of
 Illinois. The employer does not pay what would 7050
 ordinarily be the employer's share of the premium. 7051
 The individual employee is responsible for that part
 of the Bill as well as his own. If one would argue 7052
 that the insurance companies would find themselves 7053
 faced with additional expenses, I don't see how that's
 likely either. Right now, these people are all 7054
 covered under group insurance policies because they 7055
 worked for particular companies. If they are layed 7056
 off, that does not mean that they are for any reason a 7057
 more likely insurance risk than they earlier were. It
 seems to me that this proposal makes good sense for 7058
 the individual who is layed off. It does not impose 7059
 additional costs either on the employer who lays (sic) 7060
 that employee off, nor does it impose any cost on the
 insurance companies. I think we ought to vote 'yes' 7061
 on this Legislation. Families, individuals who are 7062
 without employment, who lose their jobs face difficult
 times, difficult hardships. This Bill will give them 7063
 some edge against the troubles that face them."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Getty." 7065

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, will the Gentleman yield?" 7067

Speaker Redmond: "He will." 7069

Getty: "Representative Greiman, when you first introduced 7071
this Bill, is it not true that it had Sections in it 7072
concerning payments of mortgages concerning grace 7073
periods on rent, concerning consumer paper?"

Greiman: "Yes, that's right. There was a signifi...very 7075
significantly different Bill. This was...the Bill 7076
that we have before us was one leg of a four-legged 7077
Bill. Yes."

Getty: "Alright. And as you have amended it, it provides 7079
only for insurance coverage for six months which the 7080
person must pay himself. Is that correct?"

Greiman: "That's correct." 7082

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, may I address the Bill? We have heard 7084
several people raise questions concerning the 7085
assignment of this Bill to a Committee other than the 7086
Insurance Committee. I think it ought to be made
absolutely clear that this Bill dealt with four 7087
separate items. It's clear that only one of them 7088
dealt with insurance. After the assignment, either in
Committee or on the House Floor, it was amended to 7089
deal with only one. There's been a suggestion that it 7090
was improperly assigned to the Executive Committee. I 7091
think a Bill as broad sweeping as this affecting many
different areas of Government, clearly is properly 7092
assigned to the Executive Committee. Moreover, the 7093
insurance provisions in this, it seems to me, are very
well drafted. It's something that was tried and 7094
tested several years ago in recession circumstances 7095
and worked out very well to the benefit of our
citizens here in Illinois with no cost to employers 7096
with no detriment to Illinois business. I think this 7097
is a very good Bill and it should be passed."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative VanDuyne." 7099

VanDuyne: "Move the previous question, Mr. Speaker." 7101

Speaker Redmond: "There's been a request to move the 7103
 previous question. The question is shall the main 7104
 question be put. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', 7105
 opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Representative
 Greiman to close."

Greiman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of 7107
 the House. I will not go on about how the genesis of 7108
 the Bill except to say that the 79th General Assembly 7109
 passed the Bill...this Bill substantially. It brought
 a great deal of comfort to many people. I got...you 7110
 know, we don't get a lot of phone calls about 7111
 Legislation that we sponsored. I did get phone calls. 7112
 Phone calls from people who said, 'my husband had
 a...had a hear...lost his job, my husband had a heart 7113
 attack and we were covered, thank God. And thank you, 7114
 Representative Greiman.' And those were things that I
 won't forget. In the 80th General Assembly, there's a 7115
 suggestion that it was killed in Committee. This Bill 7116
 instead got to the Conference Committee, and it was 7117
 killed in the Conference Committee. We don't do
 a...we do a great deal for the people who are very 7118
 poor, at the bottom of the heap. We do a great deal 7119
 for, what I can gather, for the people who are at the
 top of the heap. This is essentially a Bill for 7120
 people who are in the middle, who are going to be 7121
 losing their jobs, because of conditions of which they
 have no control. And who desperately will be looking 7122
 for medical coverage for their...themselves and for 7123
 their families in hard times. It's a limited Bill.
 It's a limited scope. It's for six months while he 7124
 tries, desperately probably, to get a job. He will 7125
 have to pay those premiums, or she. And I ask for a
 favorable vote."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is shall this Bill pass. 7127
 Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have 7128

all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? 7129
 Representative John Dunn."

Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I am explaining my vote, and 7131
 we do not permit picture taking during explanation of 7132
 votes. I wish you'd turn off the TV cameras while I'm 7133
 explaining my vote. I urge a favorable vote on this 7134
 Roll Call. And please turn off the light, Mr.
 Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay, you're right. Have all voted who 7136
 wish? Representative Schuneman." 7137

Schuneman: "Mr. Speaker, there's a lot of empty chairs on 7139
 the other side that are voting and this Bill gets the 7140
 required number of votes, we're going to have to ask 7141
 for a verification."

Speaker Redmond: "On this question there's 90 'aye' and 36 7143
 'no'. The Gentleman requests a verification on the 7144
 Affirmative Roll Call. Representative Greiman."

Greiman: "Mr. Speaker, I think it probably would be the will 7146
 of the House, but if there is no objections, perhaps 7147
 we should take it out of the record. There is..." 7148

Speaker Redmond: "Take it...take it out of the record. 7150
 Representative Polk, for what purpose do you arise?" 7151

Polk: "Mr. Speaker, will the record show that Representative 7153
 Stearney is absent today due to a death in the family, 7154
 please."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any...any absences on the 7156
 Democratic side that should be excused? 7157
 Representative Laurino. Any objection to his absence
 being excused? Hearing none, it will so show. Any 7158
 announcements? Announcements? Representative 7159
 Hanahan, will you move the adjournment tomorrow ten
 o'clock after five minutes perfunct?" 7160

Hanahan: "Move for the adjournment ten o'clock tomorrow, 7162
 five min...five more minutes for the Clerk for 7163
 perfunctory."

Speaker Redmond: "You've heard the motion. All in favor say 7165

'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carried. The House now will stand adjourned after five minutes perfunct til ten o'clock tomorrow morning."

Clerk Leone: "Committee reports. Representative Chapman, Chairman on Committee on Appropriations II to which the following Bills were referred action taken May 14, 1980 and reported the same back with the following recommendations. Do pass Senate Bills 1484, 1641, 1646, 1649, 1656. Do pass as amended Senate Bill 1981. Representative Matijevich, Chairman on...from the Committee on Appropriations I to which the following Bills were referred action taken May 14, 1980 and reported the same back with the following recommendations. Do pass Senate Bills 1648, 1852 and 1984. A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has passed the Bills of the following titles in the passage of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the House of Representatives. To wit, Senate Bill 1841, Senate Bill 1980, Senate Bill 1982, Senate Bill 1985. Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House in the passage of the Bill to the following title. To wit, House Bill 3042, passed by the Senate May 14, 1980. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of Bills with the following title. To wit, House Bill 3040, together with the attached Amendments hereto and adoption of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the House to wit. Passed by the Senate as amended

May 14, 1980. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Senate 7191
 Bills, First Reading. Senate Bill 1647, Peters, a 7192
 Bill for an Act amending an Act to provide for the 7193
 appropriations for the ordinary and contingent
 expenses of the Department of Public Health. First 7194
 Reading of the...Senate Bill. Senate Bill 1650, 7195
 Klosak, a Bill for an Act to amend certain Public
 Acts. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1983, 7196
 E.G. Steele, a Bill for an Act amending Sections of an 7197
 Act making appropriations for the ordinary and
 contingent expenses of the State Fire Marshall. First 7198
 Reading of the Bill. Being no further business, the 7199
 House now stands adjourned."

LEGISLATIVE INFORMATION SYSTEM

DAILY TRANSCRIPT INDEX
MAY 14, 1980

PAGE 1

HB-0560	2ND READING	PAGE	46
HB-0585	3RD READING	PAGE	131
HB-1414	2ND READING	PAGE	63
HB-1525	2ND READING	PAGE	3
HB-1534	3RD READING	PAGE	143
HB-2051	3RD READING	PAGE	145
HB-2837	2ND READING	PAGE	4
HB-2863	3RD READING	PAGE	162
	3RD READING	PAGE	171
HB-2876	2ND READING	PAGE	17
HB-2898	2ND READING	PAGE	21
HB-2941	2ND READING	PAGE	19
HB-2962	3RD READING	PAGE	167
HB-3013	2ND READING	PAGE	41
HB-3091	3RD READING	PAGE	173
HB-3092	3RD READING	PAGE	174
HB-3120	2ND READING	PAGE	4
HB-3122	2ND READING	PAGE	66
HB-3124	3RD READING	PAGE	67
HB-3143	3RD READING	PAGE	82
HB-3158	3RD READING	PAGE	184
HB-3163	3RD READING	PAGE	188
HB-3171	3RD READING	PAGE	185
HB-3190	3RD READING	PAGE	189
HB-3197	3RD READING	PAGE	83
HB-3198	3RD READING	PAGE	190
HB-3205	3RD READING	PAGE	84
HB-3206	2ND READING	PAGE	43
HB-3229	2ND READING	PAGE	47
HB-3248	3RD READING	PAGE	90
HB-3257	3RD READING	PAGE	92
HB-3284	3RD READING	PAGE	94
	3RD READING	PAGE	94
HB-3290	3RD READING	PAGE	95
HB-3302	3RD READING	PAGE	102
HB-3310	2ND READING	PAGE	38
HB-3313	2ND READING	PAGE	40
HB-3321	3RD READING	PAGE	115
HB-3327	2ND READING	PAGE	40
HB-3330	3RD READING	PAGE	120
HB-3441	3RD READING	PAGE	120
HB-3487	2ND READING	PAGE	129
HB-3491	2ND READING	PAGE	130

LEGISLATIVE INFORMATION SYSTEM

DAILY TRANSCRIPT INDEX
MAY 14, 1980

PAGE 2

HB-3539	2ND READING	PAGE	6
HB-3541	2ND READING	PAGE	5
	TABLED	PAGE	6
HB-3565	2ND READING	PAGE	10
HB-3577	2ND READING	PAGE	11
SB-1647	1ST READING	PAGE	201
SB-1650	1ST READING	PAGE	201
SB-1983	1ST READING	PAGE	201
HJR-0001	3RD READING	PAGE	155

LEGISLATIVE INFORMATION SYSTEM

DAILY TRANSCRIPT INDEX
MAY 14, 1980

PAGE 3

SUBJECT MATTER

SPEAKER REDMOND - HOUSE TO ORDER	PAGE	1
REVEREND KRUEGER - PRAYER	PAGE	1
PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	PAGE	2
ROLL CALL FOR ATTENDANCE	PAGE	31
PERFUNCTORY SESSION	PAGE	199
COMMITTEE REPORTS	PAGE	200
MESSAGES FROM THE SENATE	PAGE	200
ADJOURNMENT	PAGE	201