

Speaker Madigan: "The House shall come to order. The Members shall be in their chairs. We will be led in prayer by the House Chaplain, Reverend Krueger."

Krueger: "In the Name of the Father, of the Son, and of the Holy Ghost. Amen. O Lord, bless this House to Thy service this day. Amen. G.K. Chesterson said; 'There are no uninteresting things; there are only uninterested people.' Let us pray. Almighty God, we praise Thee this day for all that Thou hast bestowed upon us our well-being, our health, our willingness to be of service to others as we serve as Members of this House of Representatives for the State of Illinois. Though our duties may be burdensome and sometimes cumbersome, may we never fail to see the ultimate value in our labours to bring to realization that which is beneficial for all of this state and towards the fulfillment of the true needs of all Thy children; through Jesus Christ our Lord. Amen."

Speaker Madigan: "We will be led in the Pledge of Allegiance by Representative Ropp."

Ropp: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America and to the republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with Liberty and Justice for all."

Speaker Madigan: "Agreed Resolutions, Mr. Giorgi."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 739, Peters. 740, Bowman. 741, Slape. 742, Polk. 743, Daniels. 744, Daniels. 745, Daniels. 746, Daniels. 747, Daniels. 748, Lechowicz. 749, Birchler."

Giorgi: "Mr. Sepaker, House Resolution 739 by Peters honors the Eagle Scout Award. 740 by Bowman tells us about the Afghanistan invasion. 741 by Slape says that Bob Hardy's got twenty... radio. 742 by Polk glories the institution of marriage. Daniels, 743, notices an election in the youth government. 744 by Daniels again notes another election in that youth government. 745 by Daniels learns



about another election in that youth government. 746 by Daniels harrows another election in that youth government. 747 by Daniels bring another election to us. 748 by Lechowicz notes the anniversary of the post-American celebration of the 189th anniversary of the post Constitution. And 749 by Birchler salutes the KC's. I move for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Deuster."

Deuster: "I thought I heard a Resolution on the subject of Afghanistan offered by Representative Bowman. That sounds like that could be controversial, although I don't know the substance of it. I see Representative Bowman on the floor and maybe he can enlighten us."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Bowman."

Bowman: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I'm surprised Representative Deuster would think that I would ever Sponsor anything controversial. However, since this has been called to question, let me just assure him that the substance of the Resolution is to, and naturally, to deplore the recent events in Afghanistan and simply to encourage people to participate in voluntary programs to aid relief efforts for the refugees."

Giorgi: "I renew my motion, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Giorgi moves for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. Mr. Borchers."

Borchers: "I'm most curious to what they mean by the refugees. Who? What refugees?"

Bowman: "Well, Representative Borchers, approximately... figures vary all over the map from a hundred thousand to a million but there are a very, very substantial number of persons have fled across the borders of Afghanistan into Pakistan which is the only neighboring country that is at all friendly to the United States. And... that's the country to which the refugees have fled that we can get any accurate figures on at all and it's



certainly the only place where we can assist with relief efforts. There are organizations which are like the Red Cross and the Afghanistan relief committee and so forth that are attempting to work with the people there to provide medical needs, for example, and food and that sort of thing. These are civilians."

Borchers: "Well, while even soldiers escaping I would not object to. From what I hear that I cannot say I have any objections."

Speaker Madigan: "Is there any further discussion? The question is, shall the Agreed Resolutions be adopted? All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', all those opposed by saying 'no'. The ayes have it. The Resolutions are adopted. Reading of the Journal."

Clerk O'Brien: "Journal for the 118th Legislative Day. The House met pursuant to adjournment, Representative Katz in the Chair."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I move that we dispense with the reading of the Journal and that Journal #118 of April 24, 1980, 118 of April 25, 1980, and 120 of April 29 be approved as read."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves for the suspension of the reading of the Journal. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', all those opposed 'no'. The ayes have it. The motion carries. Committee Reports." On the Order of House Bills, Third Reading there appears House Bill 2822, Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Leave of the House and I request that that Bill be brought back to Second Reading for purposes of two corrective Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Is there leave? Leave being granted, the Bill shall be placed on the Order of Second Reading. Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Amendment #4, Mr. Speaker, I believe there's 4 and 5. Amendment #4, Mr. Speaker, requires... it's a



corrective Amendment. It's requested by the Reference Bureau. I'd move for its adoption."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of a corrective Amendment. Mr. Conti."

Lechowicz: "It's a corrective Amendment requested by the Reference Bureau, in the drafting of Amendments 1 and 2 they made an error and Mr. Johnson called me and asked me if I'd be so kind and put in a corrective Amendment because of error, and I told him I would."

Conti: "Are the Amendments on our desks? The correct Amendments?"

Lechowicz: "Amendments 4 and 5 are on your desks. They've been there since yesterday."

Conti: "Alright."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #4. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye'. All those opposed by saying 'no'. The ayes have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #5, Lechowicz."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Amendment #5, Mr. Speaker, removes Section 9 which was the effective date of the Bill. The effective date in the Bill was supposedly July 1, 1980. With the removal of Section 9, the Bill would become effective January 1, 1981. I move for its adoption."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #5. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye'. All those oppose by saying 'no'. The ayes have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendment."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. The Order of Business shall be Committee Reports."

Clerk O'Brien: "Committee Reports. Representative Pierce, Chairman of the Committee on Revenue to which the following Bills were referred, action taken May 2, 1980."



Reported the same back with the following recommendations:

Do pass House Bill 2846, 3092, 3154, 3171, 3200, 3211, and 3527. Do pass as amended House Bills, 3013, 3173, 3184, and 3190. Do pass Short Debate Calendar House Bill 2893. Do pass as amended Short Debate Calendar House Bill 2852 and 2907. Representative Jaffe, Chairman of the Committee on Judiciary I to which the following Bills were referred, action taken May 2, 1980. Reported the same back with the following recommendations:

Do pass House Bill 2932, 3465, 3470, 3472, and 3505. Do pass as amended House Bills 585, 1490, 1525, 2941, 3291, 3333, 3469, 3471, 3476, 3544, and 3569. Do pass Short Debate Calendar House Bill 3382. Do pass as amended Short Debate Calendar House Bill 3547. Tabled in Committee House Bill 3582. Tabled by Rule 24C House Bill 3585. Correction, that should be do not pass on House Bill 3585. Representative Farley, Chairman of the Committee on Labor and Commerce to which the following Bills were referred, action taken May 2, 1980.

Reported the same back with the following recommendations:

Do pass House Bill 2962. Do not pass House Bill 3125. Do pass as amended House Bill 2705, 2768, 2824, and 3514. Do pass Short Debate Calendar House Bill 3250 and 3493. Representative Willer, Chairman of the Committee on Human Resources to which the following Bills were referred, action taken May 2, 1980. Reported the same back with the following recommendations: Do pass House Bill 3432, 3475, and 3510. Do pass as amended House Bills 1534, 2960, 3259, 3427, and Senate Bill 1378. Do pass Consent Calendar House Bill 3005 and 3269. Interim Study Calendar House Bills 2836 and 3428."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Conti."

Conti: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Represented by John Matijevich, Ron Griesheimer, and Virginia Frederick above the Speaker's Gallery there are 42 students from the Zion Christian School of



Zion, Illinois. Sponsored by Reverend and Mrs. Norman Schneller... Schweller. Right above the Speaker's gallery

Speaker Lechowicz: "Welcome to Springfield. Roll Call for Attendance. Would the record indicate that Representative Terzich is excused because of a death in the family?... excused. And would the record also indicate that Representative Mugalian is excused because of illness and Representative Flinn because of illness. Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Collins."

Collins: "Mr. Speaker, may the record show that Representative Oblinger be excused because of illness?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Record will so indicate. The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich, what purpose seek recognition?"

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would move unanimous consent use the Attendance Roll Call to suspend the posting requirements for the following Bills in Appropriations I so that they may be heard next week. House Bill 3495, House Bill 3496, House Bill 3554, House Bill 3560, House Bill 3562, House Bill 3324, and in Appropriations II, House Bill 3213 and House Bill 2816. Do I have leave, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman has stated that he discussed with the leadership on both sides. Hearing no objection, the Attendance Roll Call will be used. Gentleman has leave. Clerk, take the record. It's been brought to the Chair's attention there is an emergency matter that should be addressed today that's on Third Reading, Senate Bill 1653. Mr. Winchester."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1653. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an appropriation of the Department of Administrative Services and the State Comptroller. Third Reading of the Bill."

Winchester: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 1653 provides a FY '80 supplemental appropriation for 1,587,000 dollars for the road fund to the Department of Administrative Services



for payment of workman's compensation claims for state employees paid from the road fund. Today is the last day. They don't have any additional money to continue paying the claims until June 30. I would consider it an emergency piece of legislation and would ask for a favorable vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there any discussion? Question is moved that the House pass Senate Bill 1653. All in favor vote aye, all oppose vote nay. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 123 ayes, 2 nos, 6 recorded as present. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. On the Calendar is House Bills, Second Reading, Short Debate Calendar, page six appears House Bill 1839."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1839. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Insurance Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. 3070."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3070. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. 3079."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3079. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. 3385."



Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3385. A Bill for an Act in relation to inner and governmental joint action agencies amending the Inner-Governmental Cooperation Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. 3416."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3416. A Bill for an Act amending Sections of an Act relating to the rate of interest and other charges in connection with sale on credit and the lending of money. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. 3435."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3435. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Insurance Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. 3448."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3448. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Civil Administrative Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Request a fiscal note on this."

Speaker Lechowicz: "There's been a request for a fiscal note on this, ma'am. Has the fiscal note been filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The fiscal note is not filed."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mrs. Hallstrom."

Hallstrom: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I have checked with the Department of Transportation. They are working on it so I guess I'll hold this on Second Reading until I do





receive a summons. They were going to get it to me  
afternoon."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Yes, ma'am. That's the procedure.

Take the Bill out of the record."

Hallstrom: "Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "3485."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3485. A Bill for an Act in relation  
to coverage of certain reconstructive surgery under  
accident and health insurance policies and service plan  
contracts. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1  
was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Amendments from the floor."

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. 3506."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3506. A Bill for an Act to amend  
Sections of the Illinois Highway Code. Request a  
fiscal note on this. Second Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "There's been a request for a fiscal note.  
Take the Bill out of the record. House Bills, Second  
Reading on page seven appears House Bill 2220."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2220."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Take the Bill out of the record."  
House Bill 2762, Second Reading."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2762. A Bill for an Act to revise  
the law in relation to public libraries. Second  
Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted  
in Committee."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Beatty, what purpose  
seek recognition?"

Beatty: "Mr. Speaker, there's some problem with this. Could  
you hold that please?"



Speaker Lechowicz: "Take the Bill out of the record.

It's the intention of the Chair to go through Bills on Second Reading and hopefully...It's the intent of the Chair to go through Bills on Second Reading without any Floor Amendments. House Bill 2788."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2788. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to prohibit the sale, offering nor chosen for sale of fireworks. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #2 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. On 2023, has a fiscal note been filed? 2823."

Clerk O'Brien: "Fiscal note's filed."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Take it out of the record. 2837."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2837. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act in relation to State Fire Marshall. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. 2866. 2866."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2866. A Bill for an Act in relation to the sale of motor fuel at retail. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any mo... Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor... No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. 2876."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2876. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Credit Union Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any motions?"

Clerk O'Brien; "No motions filed."



Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. 2880."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2880. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act in relation to the Office of Public Defenders. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. 2913."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2913. A Bill for an Act creating the Chicago Community School Study Commission. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. House Bill 2914. There's been a request for a fiscal note? Take the Bill out of the record. 2920."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2920. A Bill for an Act in relation to the sale of motor fuel at retail. Second Reading of the Bill. There's a request a fiscal note on this."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Requests fiscal note. Take the Bill out of the record. 2921. There is also a... a fiscal note filed. Take it out of the record. 2926. Excuse me. Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mahar, what purpose seek recognition? Mr. Mahar, please."

Mahar: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. On the Bill you just called, there's Amendments been filed on that Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Which one?"

Mahar: "2926."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Yes sir."

Mahar: "An Amendment has been filed to that."



Speaker Lechowicz: "Alright. Take the Bill out of the record. 2935."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2935. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Code of Criminal Procedures. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. 2947."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2947. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act relating to investigation and prevention of fires. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. 2948."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2948. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Property Fire Loss Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. 2949."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2949. Floor Amendment on this."

Speaker Lechowicz: "There's a Floor Amendment. Take the Bill out of the record. 2950."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2950. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Property Fire Loss Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. 2975. Fiscal note been filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Fiscal note is not filed."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Take the Bill out of the record. 2987."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2987. A Bill for an Act in relation to revenue mortgage loans. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."



Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. 2997. I know. 2997  
This is the next Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Are there Floor Amendments? Take the  
Bill out of the record. 3018."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3018. A Bill for an Act to amend  
Sections of the Illinois Municipal Code. Second Reading  
of the Bill. No Committee Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. All appropriations  
Bills on Second Reading will be held until the request  
from both staffs have been fulfilled. House Bill  
3071."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3071. A Bill for an Act to amend  
Sections of the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill.  
No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. Gentleman from Lake,  
Mr. Deuster, what purpose seek recognition?"

Deuster: "I just had my light on so when you got to  
3114 I wanted to ask that that be held on Second  
Reading. I have an Amendment coming up for it, and  
I've filed a request for a fiscal note." I guess that's  
two Bills away."

Speaker Lechowicz: "3106." House Bill 3106."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3106. A Bill for an Act to amend  
Sections of an Act in relation to state finance. Second  
Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Commit-  
tee."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?"



Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Jack, let me see that Bill for a second.  
Third Reading. 3142."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3142. A Bill for an Act to amend  
Sections of the Illinois Pension Code. Second Reading  
of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien; "None."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. 3147."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment's been filed on this."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Take the Bill out of the record.  
3153."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3153. A Bill for an Act to amend  
Sections of the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill.  
No Committee Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. 3160."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3160. A Bill for an Act in  
relation to the issuance of bonds and notes of the  
Regional Transportation Authority. Second Reading  
of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "3160 is the Bill that's called.  
Can't be. 3160 Gentlemen. No. Take the Bill out of  
the record. 3163."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3163. A Bill for an Act to amend  
Sections of an Act in relation to compensation of  
Members of the General Assembly. Second Reading of  
the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. 3179."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3179. A Bill for an Act authorizing  
the municipalities and counties to provide medical  
facilities and to provide the issuance of revenue bonds  
in conjunction therewith. Second Reading of the Bill.



No Committee Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. 3193."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3193. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Revenue Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. 3196. Floor Amendments 3206."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3206. A Bill for an Act to amend the Civil Administrative Code of Illinois."

Speaker Lechowicz: "There's a request for a fiscal note. The fiscal note has not been filed. Take the Bill out of the record. 3209."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3209. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. 3217."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3217. A Bill for an Act in relation to provision of child day care assistance in case of adolescent parents. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None and the fiscal note is filed."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady from Cook, Mrs. Braun, what purpose seek recognition?"

Braun: "Mr. Speaker, Representative Oblinger is not here. 3116... 3114, 15, 16, 17, 18, and 19 are parts of the package and we'd like to have them handled together as opposed to separately. If all these Bills may be held on



Second Reading it would greatly facilitate things."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Take the Bill out of the record.

3219. Is that part of the package too, ma'am?"

Braun: "Yes it is."

Speaker Lechowicz: "3236."

Braun: "Thank you Mr. Speaker."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3236. A Bill for an Act to provide for the reimbursement of mass transportation carriers which provide reduced transit fares for the elderly. Second Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Request a fiscal note. Take the Bill out of the record. 3246."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3246. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Act providing for the management, operation, control, and maintenance of Southern Illinois University. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. 3272."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3272. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Insurance Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. 3281."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3281. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Revenue Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. 3289."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3289. A Bill for an Act in relation to long and short-term borrowing and financial operation, procedures of certain units of local government, school districts and community college districts.





Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. 3292."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3292. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Unified Code of Corrections. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. 3293."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3293. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Purchasing Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. 3294."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3294. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the State Property Control Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. 3295."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3295. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act authorizing certain governmental units to purchase personal property, supplies, and services jointly. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. 3296."



Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3296. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Cigarette Tax Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. 3314."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3314. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Criminal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #2 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. 3344."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3344. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Insurance Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. 3353."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3353. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. 3368."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3368."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Request of a fiscal note, Jack?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The fiscal note is not filed."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Take the Bill out of the record. 3377."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3377. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any motions?"



Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. 3381."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3381. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Worker's Compensation Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. 3394."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3394. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Soil and Water Conservation District Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. 3395."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3395. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Revenue Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendment from the floor? I'm sorry. Any motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. 3402."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3402. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Act to revise the law in relation to Clerks of Court. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. 3418."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3418. A Bill for an Act to amend



Sections of the Illinois Municipal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. 3424."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3424. A Bill for an Act to add Sections to the Civil Administrative Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. 3426."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3426. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. 3456."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3456. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. 3458."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3458. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Criminal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. 3466."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3466. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act in relation to limitations. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."



Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. 3467."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3467. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Insurance Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. House Bill 3468."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3468. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act in relating to contractors, material mens leins, known as mechanic leins. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. 3488."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3488. Request for a fiscal note on this is not filed."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Take the Bill out of the record. 3489."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3489. Request for a fiscal note on this that's not filed."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Take the Bill out of the record.

3490. Has that fiscal note been filed? Take the Bill out of the record. 3511."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3511. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Unified Code of Corrections. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. 3536."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3536. A Bill for an Act to revise obsolete references to the Superintendent of Public Instruction and correct certain references to the State Board of Education. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."



Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. 3538."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3538. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Metropolitan Transit Authority Act. Second Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "There's a request for a fiscal note. The fiscal note has been filed... has not been filed. Take the Bill out of the record. 3556."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3556. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. On Third Reading appears House Bill 2342. The Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Kane, requests leave to bring that Bill back from Third to Second for the purpose of an Amendment. Hearing no objection, the Bill's on Second Reading. Any Amendments?  
2342."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2, Daniels. Amends House Bill 2342 as amended in Section 1A by deleting 'township authority' and so forth."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Daniels."

Daniels: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #2 has been requested by Water Protection District. What this does, is it shifts their functions to the township. This Amendment shifts the township function to Water Protection Districts over to the county government rather than those townships. There are only five... or six Water Protection Districts in Illinois, and this..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Excuse me, Mr. Daniels. Please proceed."

Daniels: "And five of these six are within DuPage County. I know of no opposition to this Amendment and I believe it has the complete approval of the Sponsor of the legislation."



Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there any discussion? Question is, shall Amendment #2 be adopted? All in favor signify by saying 'aye'. Aye. Opposed. Amendment #2 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. On the Calendar on House Bills, Third Reading, Short Debate Calendar appears House Bill 2827, Mr. Jaffe."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2827. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Criminal Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Jaffe."

Jaffe: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, this is on the Consent Calendar from Judiciary II and basically what it does, it amends the Criminal Code Section on unlawful use of weapons, and it outlaws the use of exploding bullets. And, I would... I would now turn over the mic to my Cosponsor, Representative Kosinski, who can actually go into ballistics and tell you exactly what a exploding bullet is."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there any discussion? Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kosinski."

Kosinski: "Mr. Speaker, unless there be any misunderstanding, this has nothing to do with hunting bullets, the expanding bullets which has shot power in killing animals. This is strictly in terms of a lethal bullet that's presently on the market that explodes on contact with an animal or on contact with a person. It can be used in assassinations. It's a disgraceful bullet and we wish to make it illegal in Illinois. I repeat, it has nothing to do with... I'm a hunter. It has nothing to do with our problems. Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Rock Island, Mr. Polk."

Polk: "I have one question for Mr. Kosinski."

Speaker Lechowicz: "For Mr. Jaffe or Mr. Kosinski?"

Polk: "Mr. Kosinski."



Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Kosinski. Please proceed."

Polk: "I understand that there are some technical problems, I was contacted by an attorney this morning in relation to the Bill that you had agreed in the Senate that if they did, in fact, prove to be technical changes that you would accept those."

Kosinski: "I can't imagine what technical changes would be... you mean to the Bill, to the format of the Bill or to the bullet idea?"

Polk: "To the Bill itself."

Kosinski: "Well, if there's technical changes it's not in order and do not delete outlawing this bullet, I have no objection."

Polk: "Were you not contacted by an attorney by the name of Les 'Field' in relation to this."

Kosinski: "Yes Les Field, who's connected with the Illinois Rifle Association was affirmative to this action."

Polk: "I agree but he also thought there was some small problems and I just wanted to point out that you said that if there were problems that you would..."

Kosinski: "If there are any technical problems, by all means, they can be corrected in the Senate. I see none at this time but will be happy to consider that." "

Polk: "Alright."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Jaffe moves that the House do adopt House Bill 2827. All in favor vote aye, all oppose vote no. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 13... 136 ayes, no nays, 2 recorded as present. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2903."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2903. A Bill for an Act in relation to non-institutional care for persons by mending Acts named herein. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Macon, Mr. John Dunn."

Dunn: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the





House. This is a Bill which we need to pass to remove a road block for some authorizations. We have ceilings on expenditures that we cannot overcome at this time and I would ask for a favorable Roll Call on this Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there any discussion? Question is, shall House Bill 2903 pass? All in favor vote aye, all oppose vote no. The Gentle... I'm sorry. The Gentleman from LaSalle, Mr. Anderson."

Anderson: "Representative yield for a question?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "He indicates he will."

Anderson: "Representative Dunn, what... this is the authorization Bill for what Bill?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Dunn."

Dunn: "This is a companion to House Bill 2904 is my understanding."

Anderson: "Which is now dead."

Dunn: "Pardon me?"

Anderson: "Which is now dead."

Dunn: "I have to look here. Pardon me, my analysis says 2904 was tabled. Related Bills have been introduced on behalf of the Department of Aging to remit the continuation of these non-institutional services under Public Act 81-201. House Bill 2905 increases the expenditure ceiling for the Department of Aging to 11.5 million and House Bill 2906 adds 116 million to the fiscal year 1980 appropriation for that agency by transferring the same from the adult dayccare program funding."

Anderson: "But 2905 and 2906 are now on Third Reading so this is really an authorization Bill without an appropriation to go with it. Is that correct?"

Dunn: "That may very well be at this stage of the game, but as you know, this Bill will go to the Senate and if the companion Bills don't catch up with it, the package will die. Trying to move legislation to clear up the



Calendar today when..."

Anderson: "I just wanted to point out that the other Bill is tabled and it's not in existence."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 127 ayes, no nays, 4 recorded as present. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. 2990."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2990. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Vehicle Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Take the Bill out of the record. House Bill 3075."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3075. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady from Cook, Mrs. Hallstrom."

Hallstrom: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. In the School Code now there's a provision for home and hospital educational care for youngsters who are physically handicapped. This Bill merely expands it to all handicapped children that are included in our School Code. It complies with 94142. There is 250,000 dollars they estimate that this will cost, but it will not be until 1982 because special education is on a reimbursement program. And I would appreciate your support of this legislation. Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The question is, shall House Bill 3075 pass? All in favor vote aye, all oppose vote no. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 132 ayes, no nays, 2 recorded as present. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. 3109."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3109. A Bill for an Act to change the frequency of certain audits required of the Auditor General from semi-annual to annual. Third Reading of the



Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman from Marion, Mr. Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, as Representative Conti had suggested an Amendment to this Bill and I don't know whether it didn't get filed timely or not but I've agreed to bring it back to Second, if we can have unanimous consent to do that, for the purpose of considering his Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there any objections? Objection has been raised. Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Conti."

Conti: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I don't know when this Bill was advanced to Third Reading, but that Amendment has been filed more than a week ago."

Speaker Lechowicz: "What we'll do is we'll take the Bill out of the record and we will get that answer."

Conti: "No, I don't want to take the Bill out of the record. I just want to know when they advanced this to Third Reading. I'm not going to kill this man's Bill, but I know that an Amendment has been filed before this was sent to Third Reading."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Bill was advanced to Third Reading, according to the Clerk, on April the 23rd... May the 2nd. On Third Reading, appears House Bill 3109."

Conti: "I'm not going to kill the Gentleman's Bill. Go ahead with Third Reading. I'm going to be watching this Bill very closely from here on out."

Speaker Lechowicz: "3109."

Clerk O'Brien: "This Bill has been read a third time previously."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Friedrich, please."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this is one of the Bills recommended by the Legislative Audit Commission. At the present time, the Tollway Commission of the Chicago Urban Transportation District, the law requires they be audited semiannually. There, apparently,



is no reason for this because an annual audit is adequate and it involves a lot of extra expense. The question was raised as to whether this would affect the bonds we have set. We've checked with Chapman and Cutler and there is no question about that so I move ... appreciate your vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there any opposition? Question is, shall House Bill 3109 pass? All in favor vote aye, all oppose vote no. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 135 ayes, no nays, 4 recorded as present. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 3116."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3116. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Vehicle Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leon."

Leon: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill was requested by the Secretary of State. It will provide that unclaimed cash bonds for certificate of titles would be transferred to the road fund, and I'd appreciate your support of this measure."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there any discussion? Question is, shall House Bill 3116 pass? All in favor vote aye, all oppose vote no. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 138 ayes, 2 nos, 1 recorded as present. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. 3132."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3132. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Vehicle Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Steczo."

Steczko: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. House Bill 3132 was requested by the Secretary of State's Office and it concerns owners in second division vehicles



holding mileage tax plates. It requires semiannual rather than quarterly reporting. It's a simple Bill. It will cost nothing, lose no revenues and it will save approximately 5,000 dollars in administrative costs. And I ask for the adoption of House Bill 3132."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there any opposition? Question is, shall House Bill 3132 pass? All in favor vote aye, all oppose vote nay. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 141 ayes, no nays, 1 recorded as present. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 3156."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3156. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Lady from Cook, Mrs. Hallstrom."

Hallstrom: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 3156 merely provides that a child who's suspended from the school bus under the same Section of the School Code as if the child is suspended from school. Before, if he were suspended from the school bus, he had that suspension did hold until the board did review. He could be suspended from school up to ten days and the board did not have to review unless there was a request from a parent. This has been requested by the Deans Association of Illinois and some other people in my district who feel that it was unnecessary to wait for a board review every time a youngster would be suspended from a bus. And I would appreciate your support."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there any opposition? Anyone to speak in opposition. The question is, shall House Bill 3156 pass? All in favor vote aye, all opposed vote no. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 137 ayes, 1 no, 2 recorded as present. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared



passed. House Bill 3220, Mr. McClain."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3220. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Public Community College Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman from Adams, Mr. McClain."

McClain: "Thank you very much Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 3220 passed out of Committee on Higher Education ten to nothing. The Bill amends the Public Community College Act allowing termination of tenured faculty members after a 70th birthday. This just complies with the federal law for increase the age retirement from 65 to 70. I'd ask for a favorable vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there anyone in opposition?"

Question is, shall House Bill 3220 pass? All in favor vote aye, all oppose vote nay. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 128 ayes, 5 nos, 7 recorded as present. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 3357."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3357. A Bill for an Act relating to the creation of ambulance districts. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Rigney."

Rigney: "Mr. Speaker... Mr. Speaker, House Bill 3357 allows those areas of the state that would like to provide ambulance service and have no other means of doing it to set up a district for that purpose. It does not allow any taxing power unless there is referendum approval. It came out of Human Resources without a negative vote and I humbly ask for your acceptance."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there anyone in opposition?"

Question is, shall House Bill 3357 pass? All in favor vote aye, all opposed vote nay. Jack, you want to hit me please? Humbly aye. Have all voted who wish?



Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record.

On this question there's 119 ayes, 16 nos, none recorded as present. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 3431.

ask Lady from Cook, Miss Pullen, on the floor? Penny, your Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3431. A Bill for an Act to repeal the Illinois Flamable Fabrics Employees Act, approved July 24, 1967 as amended. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady from Cook, Miss Pullen."

Pullen: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. This Bill would repeal the Flamable Fabrics Employees Act which requires the State Fire Marshall to regulate the flamability of childrens clothing and toys. The reason the Bill is proposed is that this Legislature has never funded the implementation of the Act and the Fire Marshall is concerned that because he is mandated to do this but not given any funds with which to do it he could be possibly sued for liability in the event that anything would happen. Because the Federal Government is already doing every thing that this Act mandates the Fire Marshal to do, is no concern for the sake of the purpose for which this Bill was originally... the Act was originally introduced and it seems appropriate that we now take this action to repeal it, and I urge passage of this Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Anyone in opposition? The Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Borchers."

Borchers: "Mr. Speaker and fellow Members of the House, I do not know about the money but psychologically it is there on our passage. I... would save to the actually psychology the life on one child from being burned, to me it's worth keeping the Bill. I'm sorry about the money, but I'm very sure that it will help keep some people from buying toys and some fabrics and the



manufacturers from going in that direction of selling them by helping keep this Bill on the... on the statutes. So I suggest we think of the one child perhaps or more who we might help and vote no."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady from Cook, Mrs. Catania."

Catania: "Would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Indicates she will." Ten people have taken the Bill off Short Debate."

Catania: "Are you actually abolishing a state power and giving it to the Federal Government?"

Pullen: "The feds have already taken it over."

Catania: "And you're giving up?"

Pullen: "Since we can't get them to stop funding it anyway and we've refused to fund it at the state level consistently, it seems rather foolish to continue a mandate that the Legislature isn't even serious about."

Catania: "I just couldn't believe that we were actually backing off and letting them take it. Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there any further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kosinski."

Kosinski: "If my memory serves me correctly, this General Assembly put in the Dangerous Toys Act and part of this at one time in our previous history. The concern of this General Assembly was that the federal government didn't come in unless called and we had no local control. So, possibly, our course of action would be to fund this instead of take it out of the statutes."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady from Cook, Miss Pullen, to close."

Pullen: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Act that this Bill seeks to repeal is never been implemented in Illinois. It puts the state at risk not to implement it and yet keep it on the books. And I think that it's a very responsible position to repeal legislation that we're not serious about doing anything about anyway. So I would urge repeal of this Act by passage of this Bill. Thank you."





Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall House Bill 3431 pass? All in favor vote aye, all oppose vote no. Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Jaffe, to explain his vote. Timer's on."

Jaffe: "Yeah, Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of this Bill. It's not very often that Representative Pullen and I are on the same side. However, she's absolutely correct. We had people appearing before the Judiciary Committee. The Bill has not been implemented by the state. We, the Legislature, has not funded it and for us to keep it on the books and not fund it, I think, makes a laughing stock out of the Legislature, and I see no appropriation to fund this particular Bill. In addition to that, I think the feds are doing a much better job than what the state could do. Penny really wouldn't put this Bill in unless she thought it was unnecessary and I think that we should give it an aye vote. We should pass it out of the House."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wish? Lady from DuPage, Mrs. Dyer."

Dyer: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I have great respect for the Sponsor and for her intent but I agree with Representative Kosinski. She's going exactly the wrong way. The right way to go is to fund this properly and not leave children in jeopardy with flammable toys and flammable pajamas. As a grandmother, I urge you to vote no on this Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Deuster, to explain his vote. Timer's on."

Deuster: "The problem with trying to regulate the manufacturer of items that are, perhaps, manufactured in New Jersey and shipped into all fifty states is very comparable to the problem with gun control. The reason that this is regulated best on the federal level in this case and the reason that the state has not appropriated them a penny of money is because the manufacturing and shipment of



toys that children use is something that covers the whole country. It's not an Illinois problem. We can't regulate it. We can't control it. We can't solve it. And we have wisely determined that it would be a waste of our state money to try to and that's why we are trying to repeal this Act, and I would urge more green votes so that we can do this and get onto the next Bill. Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Daniels."

Daniels: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the previous speaker was absolutely correct when he pointed out that the Illinois statute is nearly identical to the federal regulations already enforced. As a matter of fact, it's estimated that if units do want to fund this it'll cost an additional 75,000 dollars for the State of Illinois to perform almost the same, identical functions that are already being performed by the U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission. So I think that when you review this legislation you have an opportunity here to place an emphasis on an agency that already enforces the regulations on a federal level so that we don't duplicate those efforts on a state level. And I strongly urge your support for this. It's good government. The functions are being protected right now at the present time, and that's why the Judiciary Committee favored the passage of this legislation by a vote of eight to zero. So let's get the green votes up and pass this and move on to other matters."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Marion, Mr. Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, there are almost no toy manufacturers that are not involved in interstate commerce and almost no toys that don't cross the state line. I would be delighted if the Federal Government would leave it up to us but they're not going to so all you're doing here is requiring a



duplicate service and a duplicate cost to taxpayers.

I certainly think this is one thing we can get rid of."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. This question there are 80 ayes, 53 nos, 3 recorded as present. Lady from Cook wants to poll the absentees. Mr. Kosinski wants a verification."

Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of the absentees: Alexander. Bianco. Bluthardt. Casey. Chapman. Darrow. DiPrima. Doyle. Raphl Dunn. Ebbesen. Epton. Ewell. Ewing. Flinn. Gaines. Getty. Hanahan. Hoffman. Huff. Katz. Keane. Klosak. Kulas. Madigan. Margalus. Marovitz. McAuliffe."

Speaker Lechowicz: "McAuliffe aye."

Clerk O'Brien: "Mugalian. Oblinger. Pierce. Polk. Richmond. Sandquist. Schisler. Schlickman. Schuneman. Stearney. E.G. Steele. Terzich. White. Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Johnson, what purpose seek recognition?"

Johnson: "Change me from no to aye and Mr. Anderson wants to do the same thing."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Kindly record Mr. Johnson and Mr. Anderson as aye. Mr. Peters."

Peters: "Aye."

Speaker Lechowicz: "As aye. Mr. Margulas as aye. Mr. VonBoeckman as aye. Mr. Daniels... I'm sorry. Mr. O'Brien as aye. Mr. Schraeder from no to aye. Mr. Slape as aye. Mr. Birchler as aye. Mrs. Alexander as aye. Mr. Abramson as aye. Mr. Robbins, what purpose seek recognition? Mr. Robbins. Your light sir. Okay. Anyone else? What's the count? Mrs. Braun aye.. no to aye. Mrs. Braun. Mr. Murphy as aye. Mr. Mautino as aye. Mr. Richmond as aye. Mr. Bowers as aye. Kindly record Mr. Polk as aye. Mr. Leverenz as aye. Jane Barnes as aye. What's the count? Kindly record Mr.



Bianco as aye. On this question there's 101 aye, 45 nos. Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kosinski, withdraws his request for a verification. On this question, House Bill 3431 having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. On the Calendar on page 14 on House Bills, Third Reading appears House Bill 2905."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2905. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Act on Aging. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from LaSalle, Mr. Anderson."

Anderson: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, could we hear 2905 and 2906 together? 2905..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "With leave of the House. The Gentleman asks leave to hear House Bill 2905 and '06 as a companion Bill. Any objections? Hearing none, Clerk, read 2906."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2906. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act making appropriations to the expense of the Department of Aging and the Department of Public Aid and the Board of Vocational Rehabilitation. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from LaSalle, Mr. Anderson."

Anderson: "Yes, House Bill 2906 was amended in Appropriations II Committee by Representative Satterthwaite. Originally, it had called for a transfer of 2,520,300 dollars from the day care line for alternate care. What happened is last year when we transferred the in-home care from Public Aid to the Department of Aging we were short money. This now, as amended, leaves 886,000 in the day care grant to cover the already expended obligated funds as amended in our Committee. And the rest is transferred for in-home care. This is needed. This is an emergency matter and I would appreciate your aye vote. Representative McClain did have an Amendment but he took... he withdrew that Amendment yesterday so the Bill is in



the order as approved by... both passed in our Appropriation Committee."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The question is, shall House Bills... The Lady from Champaign, Mrs. Satterthwaite."

Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, as the Sponsor of the Bill has indicated, this money is sorely needed for this transfer purpose into the community care line item. While it is too little and too late in many respects, it is very worthwhile that this transfer be made so that, within these last couple of months of the fiscal year, there will be some additional funds available for these community services. I rise at this time only to say that the other Bills that were introduced, if they could have proceeded in a more timely fashion, would have given more money to this purpose which could certainly have been well utilized within the community. This is the best we can do at this point and I urge your support for this measure."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any further discussion? Question is, shall House Bills 2905 and '06 pass? All in favor vote aye, all oppose vote no. Billy, get me over there will you? Bill? Laurino. Vote me aye. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 146 ayes, no nays, none recorded as present. These Bills having received the Constitutional Majority are hereby declared passed. On the Calendar on page 17 appears Constitutional Amendments, Third Reading, Senate Joint Resolution 56."



Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Joint Resolution 56."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Correct the board."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Joint Resolution 56 Constitutional Amendment. Resolved, by the Senate of the Eighty-first General Assembly of the State of Illinois, the House of Representative concurring herein, that there shall be submitted to the electors of the state for adoption or rejection of the general election next occurring at least six months after the adoption of this Resolution, a proposition to amend Section 8 of Article IX of the Constitution to read as follows: Article IX, Section 8, Tax Sales.

(A) Real property shall not be sold for the non-payment of taxes or special assessments without judicial proceedings.

(B) (1) The right of redemption from all sales of real estate for the non-payment of taxes or special assessments, except as provided in paragraph 2 of this Subsection b, shall exist in favor of owners and persons interested in such real estate for not less than two years following such sales.

(2) The right of redemption from the sale for non-payment of taxes or special assessments of a partial real estate which:

(A) is vacant non-farm real estate or (b) consist of improvements consisting of a structure or structures each of which contains seven or more residential units or (c) is commercial or industrial property; and upon which all or a part of the general tax for each of five or more years are delinquent shall exist in favor of owners and persons interested in such real estate for not less than ninety days following such sales.

(C) Owners, occupants and parties interested shall be given reasonable notice of the sale and the



date of expiration of the period of redemption as the General Assembly provides by law. Schedule. This Amendment to Article IX, Section 8 of the Constitution takes effect January 1, 1981. Third Reading of the Constitutional Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, Senate Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment 56 would provide for a reduction in the redemption period where there has been a scavenger sale for certain specified classes of property. The first and most important point that I wish to make is that this Constitutional Amendment does not apply to nor does it affect a tax sale of a property. It does not apply to a tax sale. It applies to a situation where there has been a scavenger sale. A tax sale is a situation where a property owner fails to make even one installment of his real estate tax bill. And then a third party pays that real estate tax bill and if there is no redemption or no payment by the property owner by the next two years, then that third party buyer is in a position to go to court and obtain a tax deed to the property and thereby become the owner of the property. That is not the situation covered by this Constitutional Amendment. This Amendment applies to the scavenger sale. A scavenger sale occurs where there has been a non-payment of all real estate tax for five consecutive years. For five consecutive years there has been no payment of real estate tax on a particular piece of property. When that occurs, the property is put up for auction at the scavenger sale. The property is then sold to the highest bidder at the scavenger sale. The highest bidder then becomes the owner of the property with no obligation to pay any of the back taxes. However, that winning bidder today



must wait through a two year redemption period before the winning bidder is in a position to take title to the property and to commence whatever rehabilitative efforts are planned by that willing bidder. The purpose of this Constitutional Amendment is to treat the isolated situation where there has been a scavenger sale, which means non-payment of taxes for five consecutive years where there has been a winning bid by someone who wishes to take this abandoned property, and we have to presume it's abandoned if they have not paid the taxes for five years, to take this abandoned property and to commence some form of rehabilitative effort. Normally, that means the construction of a new building or a new structure. It is the opinion of the advocates of this Amendment that if we reduce that two year waiting period, which is referred to as the redemption period, down to ninety days for these specified classes of property which are set out in the Amendment, then this will act as an incentive to those who wish to rehabilitate areas in our state which are generally found in the urban environment areas that have declined, become debilitated, dilapidated and which can be rehabilitated. But why should we make people wait for two years? These... these specified classes of property are vacant, non-farm real estate, real estate containing an improvement consisting of a structure or structures, each of which contains seven or more residential units and commercial and industrial property. So the affected classes, and let me repeat, vacant, non-farm real estate...number two, a residential structure of more than six units, so seven or more units and commercial and industrial property, let me emphasize again, this does not apply to tax sale where some unknowing person fails to make one installment on their real estate tax Bill. It does





not apply to that. It applies to a situation where there has been non-payment of taxes for five consecutive years. I think this is a good Amendment. I think it will help those who are desirous of rehabilitating many of the abandoned and dilapidated properties in our urban areas. I recommend it for your favorable consideration."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Conti."

Conti: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, whenever you shorten a redemption period, you are always going to cause some problem. The Sponsor of this Bill, both of them, Ropp and...Ropp and Madigan are attorneys and they know that in many cases where people die in a family the estates are held in probate sometimes as much as five and six and seven years. So whenever you shorten the redemption period it's always going to cause havoc for some people who are still in probate court trying to decide who the heirs are going to be in the abandoned property. And I'm against this Bill and I'd like to see this Bill defeated."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kelly."

Kelly: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I rise to support Representative Madigan on the Senate Joint Resolution. I was a Member of the Executive Committee that the issue was well debated. I raised one question whether or not this would apply to individual residencies. I've been very concerned about senior citizens that have avoided their tax payments and certain persons who have, in effect, purchased these tax delinquent properties at very low prices and thereby making huge profits. While this legislation does not at all apply to any individual residency, as it was pointed out by Representative Madigan, there has



to be seven units or more in order for it to qualify under this proposal. So this will not hurt the little individual homeowner of which I am very concerned and I am glad to support his...this proposal...56."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Telcser."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I rise to support Senate Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment #56. The Majority Leader, I think, has very distinctly explained the importance of this particular Amendment. I would also like to remind the Members that property is five years delinquent in real estate taxes before it is offered for sale in a scavenger sale. It is my opinion that that is a long enough time for those interested parties who wish to retain their property to pay the taxes and therefore avoid having the property held...at a scavenger sale. This...adoption of this Constitutional Amendment will add a great deal of incentive to people who want to help revitalize urban areas throughout the state by acquiring old properties, rehabilitating them, rejuvenating them and breathing more life and broadening the tax base in many cities throughout the state. So, Mr. Speaker, it is for the reasons set forth by the Majority Leader that I rise to support Senate Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment #56."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Emil Jones."

Jones: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. May I...will the Sponsor yield to a question on this?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "He indicates he will."

Jones: "Representative Madigan, you indicated that after the sale of the property in the scavenger sale and if the units are seven units or more, then you will reduce the redemption period to ninety days. Am I correct? Now at that scavenger sale, you said that no one...that the purchaser or the highest bidder will



not be required to pay any taxes...any back taxes that is owed. Now is there anything in the legislation that prohibits the owner of the property from purchasing his property at a scavenger sale even through a blind trust?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Madigan, please."

Madigan: "Mr. Jones, the current statute provides that if the owner buys in at the scavenger sale, that the owner as distinguished from others must pay the back taxes."

Jones: "Yes, but this Constitutional Amendment is changing that, am I correct?"

Madigan: "No, it would not change that."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Kane."

Kane: "Would the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "He indicates he will."

Kane: "What's the minimum number of years that property taxes would not be paid before the property could be sold?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Madigan."

Madigan: "Are you directing your question to the scavenger sale or to the tax sale?"

Kane: "Either. Both."

Madigan: "As explained in my initial presentation, in the case of a tax sale a property owner had failed to make even one installment of his real estate tax bill. Thereupon, someone can pay that cash bill and if after two years the owner of the property has not redeemed or payed the tax bill himself, then that buyer is in a position to go to court and obtain a tax deed to the property. But please understand that during the two year period from the time of the tax sale down to the expiration of the two year period, the property owner is in a position to pay the tax bill and thereby remove the buyer from the



situation and thereby prevent the sale of the property through a tax deed. That's treats the tax sale. In the case of the scavenger sale, there has been a total non-payment of taxes for five consecutive years and then the property is put up for auction and the highest bidder takes the property clean and clear of taxes. That's the current statute unless the highest bidder is the current owner of the property. And the current owner of the property in order to retain the property must pay the taxes."

Kane: "How does this Constitutional Amendment proposal then change what you just described?"

Madigan: "This treats the situation where a piece of property has laid vacant or abandoned for five years. There has been no payment of real estate taxes for five years. Generally, you'll find these parcels in a deteriorated area of an urban center. Someone comes along who wishes to buy that property and rehabilitate it. Today, if they bid in at the scavenger sale and buy that property, they must wait two years until the expiration of the redemption period before they can commence rehabilitative efforts. This Amendment would provide that in that limited situation, limited again to the three classes of property specified in the Amendment, that person who wishes to rehabilitate the property could commence the rehabilitative efforts after 90 days rather than being required to wait two years."

Kane: "This would apply to property then in which nobody has purchased the taxes and nobody has paid the taxes for five years."

Madigan: "That's right. Non-payment of taxes for five years means that the owner of the property has not paid the taxes and no one has bought the taxes with the design of obtaining the tax deed. The county has received no money from this piece of property for five



years."

Kane: "Okay. And the case in which the taxes have been purchased still runs for two years? There's no change in that provision?"

Madigan: "No change in that provision."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady from Cook, Mrs. Braun."

Braun: "Will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Indicates he will."

Braun: "Representative, what notice... what are the notice provisions in this Bill to the record owner in the event of a scavenger sale?"

Madigan: "The same provisions in the current statutes."

Braun: "Okay. And that provides... Is that by publication or otherwise?"

Madigan: "Of my own knowledge, I don't know what the statute provides. I think I could find out. We could pull the statute out and tell you but whatever the statute provides, it will not be affected by this Constitutional Amendment."

Braun: "Alright. If I could ask another question while we check on that because that's..."

Madigan: "I don't have a copy of the statutes here."

Braun: "Oh. Alright. Well, I'm concerned, Representative Madigan, because if we're going to cut off somebody's rights in their property, absolutely then there ought to be some requirement that they be notified, particularly in the instance where there's an estate involved or something like that and I'd just be..."

Madigan: "I agree. You're a lawyer. Do you think this Constitutional Amendment affects the notice provisions in the statutes?"

Braun: "I don't know. That's why I asked the question. I haven't..."

Madigan: "Just answer based on general legal principles."

Braun: "Representative Madigan, I'm not trying to be hostile to you, I mean it sounds like it may be a



decent idea. I'm just concerned that if we're going to pass this Constitutional Amendment then there be notice in the statutes of "people who buy" to go into this new, this sudden death overtime on their taxes. Okay. Are there any exceptions in the Amendment for a mistake of inadvertence or failure of notice or undue hardship of... as pertains to the record owner?"

Madigan: "I would presume that would all be contained in the current statutes."

Braun: "Is there anything in the current statutes that could pertain to acceptance to an absolute cutting off someone's rights in the event that they're not notified or in the event that there is hardship or in the event there's some problem with the scavenger sale?"

Madigan: "I understand your concern. I can only repeat this does not treat the statutes. Any notice provisions in this area are contained in the statutes. None of that is changed because of this Constitutional Amendment. We're not talking about a single family homeowner and we're not talking about someone who owns a residential unit up to six units. We're talking about residential units over six. We're talking about vacant non-farmed, and we're talking about commercial industrial. We are not talking about a widow or a poor family living in a single family home."

Braun: "I understand that Representative Madigan. Again, my concern is, if you were to inherit some property and thought that the taxes were being paid and they weren't and then it was sold pursuant to this Section and you weren't notified. You know, would your rights be absolutely cut off or would there be some provision in the statutes for a rescission of the sale or something in the event of mistake inadvertent failure of notice or something?"



Madigan: "I'm not in a position to answer that question with any degree of definity at this time. Maybe some other Member who is familiar with the statutes."

Braun: "Okay. Alright. Thank you very much Mr. Madigan."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman from Will, Mr. Van Duyne."

Van Duyne: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Representative Madigan, you said when the owner... has his taxes by bidding this property on a scavenger sale that he must pay all existing taxes. Is that correct? Let's just say, for example, there's 200,000 dollars worth of taxes left against a piece of property and I..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Madigan will respond to the question."

Van Duyne: "Wait a minute. I'm not done yet. Let's create, at least, some kind of an analogy here. I buy it at a scavenger sale for say 5,000 dollars. Does that mean that I still have to pay 195,000?"

Madigan: "Yes."

Van Duyne: "Okay. Now, for example to carry it one step further, how about my redemption? Let's say that I went there to bid and I set you up as an owner and a buyer and that you were successful for 5,000 dollars to purchase my property at the scavenger sale. You do not, correct?"

Madigan: "I do not what?"

Van Duyne: "You do not have to pay those taxes as a successful bidder."

Madigan: "The best answer to your question is that the statutes now require the filing of affidavits by the buyers."

Van Duyne: "Okay. I'm going to take that as a correct answer. I am correct that you do not have to pay. Now what happens if I buy your certificate of purchase?"

Madigan: "I didn't understand your question."

Van Duyne: "Well, whenever... at a scavenger sale, as you know, the buyer does not get a deed. All he gets is a certificate of purchase and... for the 5000 dollars."



Now, say next week I go and I make a proposition to you where I buy your property... your certificate of purchase for say, instead of the 5000 I give you 10 grand for it. What I'm alleging here is that you are acting as my agent and you're going to pick up five grand for compromising my taxes."

Madigan: "That statute provides that all participants to these transactions must put themselves under oath that they are not engaging in the type of subterfuge that you have laid forth."

Van Dyne: "I agree, I could be completely innocent. I could be a sincere bidder and then five days or a week later you come to me and say, 'Hey, you really didn't want that anyway did you?' to that degree and, 'I'll give you 5000 dollars profit on your certificate of purchase.' I'm saying that the original owner or the present owner could effectively compromise his tax by a little deal like that."

Madigan: "If there's any statutory situation which is developed in a court of law, then the statute would prohibit that activity. And I'm sure that the statute would provide penalties."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Greiman."

Greiman: "Will the Gentleman yield for a question? Mr. Madigan, how many scavenger sales take place? I mean, how many parcels are in a scavenger sale in Cook County? Do you have any idea?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Madigan please."

Madigan: "I'm not in a position to give you a definite answer at this time."

Greiman: "Well, it would be in the thousands."

Madigan: "I presume that it's a large number of parcels."

Greiman: "In the thousands. You don't have any idea what the average bid was in the last one?"

Madigan: "No, but they're generally very low."

Greiman: "Well, 150 dollars? 150 dollars is a high bid I





suspect. I think that... well, thank you. I wanted to just comment and I'm not sure even after this debate where I am on this Bill except that I do know that there were thousands of bids, that the bids on buildings that had, you know, 80, 90,000 dollars in taxes or a couple dollars and that I... I guess what I'm concerned about is that the ends of this are laudable. We all want to get these buildings reconditioned and put back on the roll, but we... I'm not sure that the 90 day period is meaningful for somebody to sift through the thousands of pieces of property and then make a decision on them as to redemption, as to reconstruction, as to rehabilitation, and then find... and then go out and get the money for it and all that. So it seems to me the 90 day period is extremely short. As a matter of fact, on redemptions you could not get an estimate of a redemption from the Clerk's Office within the 90 days from the sale. So if someone wanted to redeem on these last sales, although I think there were five years of sales so perhaps we won't have it quite as exacerbated in the future. I guess my fear is that it will not... it will just not serve its purpose and that we will see the same kind of tax bidders who have been speculating in this kind of stuff, willing to throw out five dollars on the hopes of buying a building worth 50,000 dollars, will still be there and we won't have the kind of progressive and thoughtful rehabilitation. I guess you can't answer that. It's just my own self... my own doubt about the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan, to close. The Lady from Cook, Mrs. Currie."

Currie: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I'd like to support this excellent Constitutional Amendment. I think Represent-



tative Greiman's concern is not well placed. Five years have elapsed during which time the owner of the building has paid no taxes at all. In a district like mine there are slumlords, people who are taking advantage of federal tax breaks to milk their buildings of rent, provide no maintenance, provide no services. They do not pay their real estate property taxes. At the end the five year period that building is then on the market. At that point the building may be worth very little in the way of real dollars. Representative Greiman says he's concerned the building maybe is really worth fifty thousand. After five years of no upkeep, the building is not likely to be worth fifty thousand dollars. The advantage of this proposal is that the public, the private people, can come in and make valuable again a building that is well on its way down the road to destruction and ruins. That's why our neighborhoods are in trouble now, I'm not sure that reducing the period by a year and three quarters will be enough of an incentive to change the course that currently neighborhoods in my cities find themselves upon. It seems to me, however, that it's an excellent step in the right direction and I think we should all support this legislation. The people who are taking advantage of the tax laws right now are not the private family individual homeowner. Representative Kelly made it quite clear this does not include those people at all. This is not legislation to help Alan Blair, rip-off little old widows and small-time homeowners. It is legislation that says when people are abusing and misusing the privileges of ownership, that are going to walk away from buildings that have gone to rack and ruin, we, the public, should be interested in trying to rehabilitate, reconstruct and revitalize those buildings before it



is too late. I urge us to vote 'yes' on Senate Joint Resolution 56."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Grossi."

Grossi: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Madigan indicates he will."

Grossi: "Mr. Madigan, isn't it true under paragraph 2 (c) that if persons...a person that makes partial payments would be subject to the loss of his property under this Amendment?"

Madigan: "Mr. Grossi, this treats a situation where there has been non-payment, total non-payment, of taxes for five years. It's the scavenger sale situation. So that if there's been a partial payment during that five year period the property would not go to the scavenger sale."

Grossi: "According to the Amendment, as I read it, the exception raised under paragraph (b) refers to Sections 2 (a), (b) and (c) and Section (b)...paragraph (c) says is, commercial, industrial property upon which all or a part of the general package reaches for five years..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Grossi, I'd like to defer answering that question until we can consult with some other people."

Grossi: "Will you take this out of the record until that time?"

Madigan: "There may be other people that want to talk about it."

Grossi: "Well...Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Yes, Sir."

Grossi: "May I...when the question is answered I would like the opportunity to respond to the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "You will be given that opportunity, Sir. The Lady from St. Clair, Mrs. Younge."



Young: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "He indicates he will."

Young: "Would you consider an Amendment that specifically gives notice to the delinquent owner that the redemption period has been changed and the provision of the law that they can pay up?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "This is a Constitutional Amendment, Ma'am. If this matter is amended today it's dead. But..."

Madigan: "If that type of provision is not contained in the statute, I certainly would consider an Amendment to the current statutes to provide for that."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there anyone else seeking recognition? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Gaines."

Gaines: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "He indicates he will."

Gaines: "When it says 'vacant property', does that take in vacant property that maybe the size of a... where a house once stood rather than a big building?"

Madigan: "Vacant property would apply to all vacant property, regardless of what...what once occupied the property."

Gaines: "Also, suppose a tax buyer had bought up a tax year on a small lot and then no one paid taxes for four more years, would that one buying by the...tax buyer be secluded from coming to the scavenger sale?"

Madigan: "I believe that's the same question that Mr. Grossi asked. Isn't that the same question that you asked?"

Gaines: "No."

Madigan: "Would you ask the question again?"

Gaines: "If a tax buyer..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Excuse me. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Conti, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Conti: "It's been a policy of this House that when someone is lobbying on a Bill, when the Bill is being discussed that he be escorted off the floor of the House."



Speaker Lechowicz: "All right. The Gentleman's point is well taken. Would all unauthorized personnel remove themselves from the floor? He wasn't here long enough. Do you want to take credit for it? All right. Mr. Gaines had the floor."

Gaines: "Mr. Sponsor, suppose an owner of a house that, say has been torn down by the city, had not paid taxes for two years and then someone bought a tax ...made a tax buy, will it then take five years from that date before it would become eligible for a scavenger sale or only three years from that date?"

Madigan: "It's my understanding that if the payment were made then it would not be eligible for the scavenger sale. But I do think that that's, in essence, the same question asked by Mr. Grossi and we're attempting to develop an answer right now."

Gaines: "All right, thank you. And I'd like to address myself to the Bill after that answer comes also."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady from Adams, Mrs. Kent."

Kent: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I wondered if we could save the time of the House and due to the delay in getting this answer, if we could take this out of the record for just a minute so that I could...take an Amendment off of another one and then we could go back to it."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Ma'am, we've had quite a bit of discussion and debate on this matter right now. I'd like to have the House continue on 56 and we'll get to yours immediately afterwards."

Kent: "All right, thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "And I'm sure that Mr. Madigan will respond to Mr. Grossi and Mr. Gaines momentarily. Now is there anyone else who has any questions at this time? Mr. Madigan, are you ready to respond to Mr. Grossi and to Mr. Gaines? Okay. Based upon that, we



will take this out of the record momentarily. Take out Senate Joint Resolution 56 until the two questions may be responded to. We will go to Senate Joint Resolution 16."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment #16. Do you want to read this?"

Clerk Leone: "Resolved, by the Senate of the Eighty-first General Assembly of the State of Illinois, the House of Representatives concurring herein, that there shall be submitted to the electors of the state for adoption or rejection of the general election next occurring at least six months after the adoption of this Resolution, a proposition to amend Section 5 and 6 of Article IV, Section 13 of Article V, Section 2 of Article VIII of the Constitution to read as follows: Article IV, Section 5, Sessions.

(A) The Sessions of the General Assembly shall convene at twelve o'clock noon on the second Wednesday in January in the year next ensuing the election of Members thereof, and at no other time, unless as provided by this Constitution.

(B) The Governor may convene the General Assembly or the Senate alone in special Session by a proclamation stating the purpose of the Session; and only business encompassed by such purpose together with any impeachments or confirmation of appointments shall be transacted. Special Sessions of the General Assembly may also be convened by joint proclamation of the presiding officers of both houses, issued as provided by law.

(C) Sessions of each House of the General Assembly and meetings of Committees, Joint Committees and Legislative Commissions shall be open to the public. Sessions and Committee meetings of the House may be closed to the public if two-thirds of the Members elected to that House determine that the public



interest so requires; and meetings of the Joint Committees and Legislative Commissions may be so closed if two-thirds of the Members elected to each House so determine.

Section 6, Organization.

(A) A majority of the Members elected to each House constitutes a quorum.

(B) On the first Monday of each regular Session of the General Assembly, the Secretary of State shall convene the House of Representatives to elect from its Membership a Speaker of the House of Representatives as presiding officer, and the Governor shall convene the Senate to elect from its Membership a President of the Senate as presiding officer.

(C) For the purposes of powers of appointments conferred by this Constitution, the Minority Leader of either House is a Member of the numerically strongest political Party other than the Party to which the Speaker or the President belongs, as the case may be.

(D) Each House shall determine the rules of its proceedings, judge the elections, returns and qualifications of its Members and choose its officers. No Member shall be expelled by either House except by a vote of two-thirds of the Members elected to that House. A Member may be expelled only once for the same offense. Each House may punish by imprisonment any person, not a Member, guilty of disrespect to the House by disorderly or contemptuous behavior in its presence. Imprisonment shall not extend beyond twenty-four hours at no time unless the person persists in disorderly or contemptuous behavior.

Article V, Section 13. Governor - Legislative Message. The Governor, at the beginning of each regular Session of the General Assembly and at the close of his term of



office shall report to the General Assembly on the condition of the state and recommend such measures as he deems desirable.

Article VIII, Section 2. State Finance.

(A) The Governor shall prepare and submit to the General Assembly, at a time prescribed by law, a state budget for the ensuing fiscal biennium. The budget shall set forth the estimated balance of funds available for appropriation at the beginning of the fiscal biennium, the estimated receipts, and a plan for expenditures and obligations during the fiscal biennium of every department, authority, public corporation and quasi-public corporation of the state, every state college and university, and every other public agency created by the state, but not of units of local government or school districts. The budget shall also set forth the indebtedness and contingent liabilities of the state and such other information as may be required by law. Proposed expenditures shall not exceed funds estimated to be available for the fiscal biennium as shown in the budget.

(B) The General Assembly by law shall make appropriations for all expenditures of public funds by the state. Appropriations for a fiscal year shall not exceed funds estimated by the General Assembly to be available during that biennium.

Schedule. The foregoing Amendment to the Constitution takes effect on the second Wednesday in January next after its adoption by the electors. Third Reading of this Constitutional Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady from Adams, Mrs. Kent."

Kent: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "No, wait a minute. He didn't say Third Reading."

Clerk Leone: "Third Reading of this Constitutional Amendment."





Speaker Lechowicz: "Mrs. Kent."

Kent: "I would like permission to take this back to Second Reading to take the Amendment...remove the Amendment on the Bill as it...all it did was underline it, according to the House Rule 42 (A). I'd like to ask for two requests and move it back to Second, remove the Amendment under a suspension of 42 (A)."

Speaker Lechowicz: "And that is, Ma'am, because the Senate does not have the same requirements. In order to comply with the House rules, you underline the wording within the Amendment. But, unfortunately, if that was the situation and it remained on the Bill, this matter would have to go back to the Senate and it would be killed within the time frame that does exist. Is there any objection to having the Lady bring the Bill back from Third to Second? On this question, Mr. Madigan? Do you seek recognition? All right. Hearing no objection, bring the Bill back to Second Reading. Now..."

Kent: "And now according...I would like suspension of Rule 42 (A)."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Having voted on the prevailing side, the Lady moves to reconsider the vote by which Amendment #2 was adopted. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', aye, opposed...the motion has been reconsidered. Now the Lady...was that your Amendment, Mrs. Kent? Was that your Amendment?"

Kent: "Yes, it was my Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady now moves that the Amendment be tabled. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', aye...the Amendment is tabled. Third...any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading."

Kent: "Thank you."



Speaker Lechowicz: "Now, the Gentleman from Madison, Mr. McPike...moves that...pursuant to Rule 66 (A), moves to discharge Committee on Appropriations I for further consideration on House Bill 3487, relating to Calendar requirements and advance to the Order of Second Reading, First Legislative Day. Is there any objection? The Gentleman has discussed this matter with the Leadership on both sides. Hearing no objection, the Attendance Roll Call will be used. The Gentleman's motion prevails. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan, on Senate Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment 56."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I wish to address the questions offered by Mr. Grossi."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Please proceed, Sir."

Madigan: "Relative to the language contained in line 26 of the Constitutional Amendment: That language was placed in the Amendment to protect against a situation where an owner of a property, as an example, does not pay the taxes for three years. In the fourth year of the period, that person would pay one installment in an effort to kick this property out of the scavenger sale process. What this language says is that despite that effort, the property would remain in the scavenger sale process. So the owner of the property...he will still have the ability at the time of the scavenger sale to bid in and to thereby buy the property. But he would have to pay all of the back taxes. Also, please understand, as a property moves through the scavenger sale process, whenever there is a non-payment of tax in one year there is a possibility of a tax sale and then the owner of the property is given notice by the County Clerk of the possibility...registered notice by the County Clerk of the possibility of the tax sale. When there is a tax sale, the buyer is under obligation within the statutes to give the same



notice. So we are talking about a piece of property which has gone for five years...non-payment of taxes, and please understand that during all five of those years there is a tax sale...or a possibility of a tax sale in every one of those years. So notices are delivered, registered notices, by the County Clerk pursuant to the possible tax sale. If there is a buyer, the buyer is under obligation to notify."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Grossi."

Grossi: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. While I commend the Sponsors of this Amendment for the object of which they have seeked to obtain, in light of the fact that it is possible for the illiterate, uneducated and the poor who make partial payments on their property to lose it under this provision, I must just state that I oppose this Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Gaines."

Gaines: "How would that do it if a tax buyer would buy after his two years delinquent but not perfect it ...by one year? Would that take it out of the scavenger sale?"

Madigan: "If the taxes are paid by a buyer, then the taxes are paid in full and it would come out of the scavenger sale."

Gaines: "In other words, if they paid for one year. But if they paid for a half of a year, it would not?"

Madigan: "It would stay in the scavenger sale."

Gaines: "It would stay in the scavenger sale."

Madigan: "A tax buyer cannot buy a part. A tax buyer must buy all."

Gaines: "He must buy the whole thing?"

Madigan: "Right."

Gaines: "So if he bought it after he had been delinquent for two years and he bought one year and did not perfect



it for three years, then it would not be in the scavenger sale. But suppose he bought it for one year, who would be considered to be the owner if he did not perfect it but the tax...the original owner thought he was...lost...and perfected? He did not perfect it. He just bought it and let it sit."

Madigan: "The original owner is the owner."

Gaines: "Then who would get the notice at the time of the scavenger sale and also at the redemption period..."

Gaines: "...the tax buyer or the...original owner or both?"

Madigan: "The original owner. The original owner."

Gaines: "Now let me ask this. Would the tax buyer be under the same obligation as the original owner if he bought it in at the scavenger sale to pay the back taxes... after he had bought one year?"

Madigan: "Say it again."

Gaines: "If the tax buyer bought one year, say after it's been three years...say he had bought one year and then he didn't buy...he paid more tax than the property owner did but he did not perfect his one year sale. Would he then be able to participate in the scavenger sale...as a buyer or would he be considered the same as the owner and required to pay the back taxes?"

Madigan: "There are two options. Number one, the buyer continues to pay the taxes for two years, thereby takes the property and the property would not go in to the scavenger sale. Or he does not continue to buy for two years and therefore it would stay in the scavenger sale."

Gaines: "Would he be looked...in other words then, a property owner receives a notice from a tax buyer that may have bought his property and then the tax buyer had not perfected it and then the property...for some other reason unknown to the original owner, had increased value. Then



this ninety day period would give the tax buyer an opportunity to buy it up at the scavenger sale after he...without having to go through this two year... redemption period for the owner who thought his property was gone already. So I think this would give the tax buyer an opportunity to lull the tax...the original owner, particularly if they are illiterate, or otherwise uninformed..lulled in the street and then take a valuable piece of property for nothing...without having to pay five years taxes."

Madigan: "Mr. Gaines, in my initial presentation, the first point I made was to differentiate between a normal tax sale and a scavenger sale."

Gaines: "I understand that."

Madigan: "You were..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Excuse me, Mr. Madigan. Let's give the Gentleman some attention. Please proceed, Sir."

Madigan: "Your focus, Mr. Gaines, is on a tax sale where there is a two year redemption period and that is not changed. That is not changed, the normal tax sale."

Gaines: "I know that. What I'm saying is that what I see here is if the tax buyer makes a purchase and then decides to lay back in the cut and not perfect it and wait until the five years are over and then buy it be...the property owner may well believe that his property is already gone and not be...be given notice. That's why I'm concerned about this...this notice provision."

Madigan: "Mr. Gaines, the non-perfection by the tax buyer does not eliminate the normal notices that go out every time there's a tax sale. In your hypothetical, let's presume that there is two years of non-payment of taxes there will be two notices to the owner of the property of non-payment of taxes. In the third



year there will be another notice because of non-payment of taxes. There will be a notice from the tax buyer because he attempts to buy. And if he does not perfect, in the fourth year they will be another notice of a tax sale because of non-payment of taxes. In the fifth year there will be another notice of non-payment of taxes and then there will be the sixth notice for the scavenger sale."

Gaines: "Now that's what I'm trying to get out. In other words, you're saying that if the..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Gaines, would you kindly bring your remarks to a close."

Gaines: "What I'm trying...the Sponsor said something then that I need extra clarification on. You said that if the tax buyer bought in the third year and he'd get that notice. Then in the fourth year and the fifth year, they would get notice and then they would get ...the scavenger sale. Well then you're telling me... are you telling me that the purchase by the tax buyer ...that if he doesn't perfect it that...that does not eliminate that property from going to the scavenger sale?"

Madigan: "That's correct."

Gaines: "In other words, you can...I can buy off...a tax for one year and if I don't perfect it...if it's already three years behind...three years later he can go to the scavenger sale."

Madigan: "That's right, with all of the proper notices... six notices."

Gaines: "But my buying that one year and notifying the owner and..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Would the Gentleman kindly bring his remarks to a close."

Gaines: "...his property does not take it out of the scavenger sale."



Madigan: "That's right. In that case there would be seven notices of non-payment of taxes."

Gaines: "May I address the Bill?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Please proceed, Sir."

Gaines: "Here's a situation of where some illiterate people will be led to believe that their property is already gone and then by the time they receive a notice of it actually being gone, in terms of the redemption period as...as already been pointed out, they won't even have time to take it to a lawyer and have it checked on. So I think this is a booby trap for many home owners who have lost their property for the scavenger people to pick it up and not give anyone any time to even get a tax exemption...receipt... estimate. So, therefore, I feel that this is an imposition on the property owner. And you downstaters, if they get this gimick going in Cook County, you'll soon have it all over the state. So you watch it."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Morgan, Mr. Reilly."

Reilly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. There's been a lot of discussion about the illiterate owners and how somehow we're going to take their homes away. In addition to the five year period that Representative Madigan has spelled out, it seems to as clearly as it is possible to spell out, also we're only talking about three instances. We're talking about non-farm vacant property. We're talking about apartment buildings with seven or more units and we're talking about commercial property. The people that own that kind property are not small homeowners. They're not... they may lose the property for a lot of reasons, but it's not because we need to worry about them being illiterate or because we need to be worried about them being confused by the tax process. The kind of people who own that kind of commercial property understand the



situation, will know what the situation is and will treat this on an economic basis. All that the Amendment will do is to allow some very...some property that ought to...that should be back on the tax roles, that ought to cleared and ought to be productive to do, so I would urge everyone to support the Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan, to close."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, we certainly have had a full discussion of the Amendment. The important points<sup>s</sup> are these. It does not apply to a small homeowner. It only applies to vacant land. It applies to residential units over six. It applies to commercial industrial. We are not abrogating the rights of an ignorant homeowner. We are dealing with property that is not owned by a small homeowner. Secondly, there is no change in the notice provision. And please understand that we are dealing with a situation where...that a minimum, at a minimum, there has been six notices of non-payment of taxes. In addition to those six notices, if there are any efforts by tax buyers, then there will be a notice for each effort by a tax buyer to buy this property. I think that the Amendment is a good Amendment. It deserves your favorable consideration. I would recommend an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall the House adopt Senate Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment #56? All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'no'. That takes 107 votes, Constitutional Amendment. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 133 'ayes', 11 'noes', 4 recorded as 'present'. And the House does adopt Senate Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment #56 by a three-fifths vote and is hereby declared passed. Mr. Williamson, do you want to be





recorded 'aye '? Williamson as 'aye'. Senate Joint  
Resolution 16."



Clerk Leone: "Senate Joint Resolution 16 has been read previously."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady from Adams, Mrs. Kent."

Kent: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. SJR 16 is the Amendment to go back to biennial Sessions. I believe that the constituents are crying out to us, 'Please, please stop being here all the time'. This is where the money is spent is when we're here. I also feel that this is the way, rather than cutting back the numbers that is here, to cut back the times that we're here. And so, I would urge you to approve of SJR 16 and put it on the ballot in November."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Marion, Mr. Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I have had the privilege of serving under both methods and I certainly approve of going back to biennial Sessions for many reasons. One of them is, of course, that at the present time we have a continuous Session and the public and the attorneys and the courts never know from one day to the next what the law's going to be. When you have a biennial Session, you adjourn on July the first, the statutes are printed and everyone has a chance to examine them. The... one of the disadvantages I see in what we're doing now is the Bills are left on the Calendar and in one day we can completely amend that Bill, pass it out with no opportunity for public input. I think this is really a good measure for the public."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Kane."

Kane: "Would the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Indicates she will."

Kane: "Is there any constitutional limit on how long the General Assembly can be in Session under this Amendment?"

Kent: "No."

Kane: "Why is the language that the General Assembly shall be



a continuous Body during the terms for which Members of the House of Representatives are elected? Why is that deleted?"

Kent: "Because that's what we're doing now and that means we go on into two years. This gives us a chance to adjourn and we can be called back by the Governor or the leaders of the House and Senate."

Kane: "But under your... under your proposed Amendment or the Senate Joint Resolution 16, we could adjourn until anytime and come back. Is that not correct?"

Kent: "You mean by that that we can adjourn in June and come back the next year?"

Kane: "Yes."

Kent: "Well, that will be the responsibility of the House and that will be what the constituents will ask that we not do that."

Kane: "Well, that's a presumption. But still, there is no constitutional limit on when we could adjourn till. Is that true?"

Kent: "No. And... But the biennial budget will help in itself. We did the biennial budget for a 150 years and there is no reason why we can't do it now, and this is where we will be saving time and effort."

Kane: "If, under this provision, the House and the Senate adjourn sine die sometime before the end of the two year period, what would happen to Committees, Joint Committees and things like that? Also, employees of the House or the Senate staff, what would happen in that case?"

Kent: "We will be having Committee meetings and meetings... and things that we do now. But we won't be in Session as a Body."

Kane: "Well, if the House and Senate adjourn sine die, we wouldn't have any Committees then would we? We wouldn't be able to meet in Committee Sessions."

Kent: "I don't know why not. Why not?"

Kane: "Because they would no longer be in existence."



Kent: "Oh, yes you would because they'd be on call as far as the Governor and the leadership are concerned."

Kane: "But if we're not in existence, we can't come back into existence unless we're in..."

Kent: "You don't adjourn... You don't adjourn sine die."

Kane: "Would it be your intention, under... if this was passed, that we would adjourn sine die? Would you see that as a foreseeable situation?"

Kent: "It would be the responsibility of the General Assembly if this passes."

Kane: "So, the only limitation that this Amendment is is constitutional mandated change is a biennial budget rather than an annual budget. Is that not correct?"

Kent: "A biennial budget and also the indication that we, as a Body, will limit our time in Springfield."

Kane: "Where is that language?"

Kent: "Well, by the way it's written, this is the intent."

Kane: "So, where is that language?"

Kent: "The Bill doesn't say that but it is intended that way because it says on the first day of the Session why we convene and then we have the same regular way that we did before the 1970 Constitution."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Would the Lady yield for a question?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Indicates she will."

Matijevich: "Mary Lou, this is biennial also as to the budget. Is that true?"

Kent: "Correct."

Matijevich: "I'd like to address this briefly, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Please proceed sir."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, really by voting for this issue you are probably giving some fuel to those who say that we are a failure. And you are self admitting that you may be a failure. And I think that you ought to understand what the budgetary process is all about and that the Legislature



ought to be a key in the budgetary process. I don't think we, as the Legislature, ought to add... advocate our responsibilities to the executives. Our responsibilities, I think, our very important in the legislative process and especially when it comes to budgetary matters. And especially so when it comes to the type of problems that we had today where we don't know where the federal government is in the budgetary process and that's why we ought to be here and... not that we have to be here continuously. But when the budgetary process federally is in such a quandry as it is today, we cannot leave here and do our business for six months and then say good-bye. So what are we doing if we did it that way? We are saying then the Governor, by Special Session, can be the Supreme Power in the budgetary process and I think all of us on a couple of issues last year know what the Governor can do by a Special Session in limiting us to specific Bills if you will. And we, by voting for this, are completely advocating that. Now, I agree that it sounds good. It sounds good to go back to the old fashioned way. And politically that sounds good, but believe me it won't work in this very difficult and complicated era that we're in. And really what you're going to tell the people in the State of Illinois, 'I want 28,000 dollars with less work'. That's really what you're saying to the people of the State of Illinois. And I don't think they want you to say that. What they want you to say is that I'm going to earn my salary. That I'm going to be more responsible and there are ways to do it. We don't have to be... We know that there's some improvements that we have to make in the process. But the improvement isn't by a six month Session and then packing your bags and going home. For a while, I thought maybe that's the only answer. But it isn't and surely it is not from the budgetary process. As long as that's been here,



believe me, you should not vote for it. All you do is lay it in the Governor's hands and I think that's totally wrong as a Legislature and I would urge the Membership to vote against this Resolution."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mahar."

Mahar: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Indicates she will."

Mahar: "How are veto overrides handled under your Amendment?"

Kent: "You would be called back for the Veto Session."

Mahar: "Called back by the Governor?"

Kent: "Or the Speaker or the President of the Senate."

Mahar: "The Governor would be required to call you back if he needed a Bill."

Kent: "It doesn't say that but that's what would happen."

Mahar: "That's what it means. Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Greiman."

Greiman: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Would you yield for a question, Mrs. Kent?"

Kent: "Yes."

Greiman: "Is it your thought that if we would have biennial... single biennial Sessions that we would reduce the workload of the House and the number of Bills and the flow of the legislation."

Kent: "Very definitely."

Greiman: "Well, okay. Thank you. But on that point, I don't mean to play with statistics but I have had some worked up. In the '76 General Assembly which was in 1969 and in 1970 when we had a single Session, 5,398 Bills were put into the General Assembly. In the 80th General Assembly which was the last one, 5,342 Bills were put in. So that the difference of a biennial Session between 1970... '69 and '70 and '77, '78 was about 50 Bills and we had less Bills last time. What's even more intriguing about that statistic... and I'm sorry. I didn't mean to ask the question



that I had the answer for but what's even more intriguing is that out of the 5300 Bills that were introduced in the 80th General Assembly in 1977, '78, we only passed 1741 of them. So we were relatively selective. On the other hand, in 1969 and '70 they passed 2879 Bills. But the time that they had allowed apparently they passed out a lot more legislation. Same number of Bills introduced, twice the legislation actually passed out. Less discriminating. And the figures are relatively the same. Seventy-fifth General Assembly, 5,000 Bills. And... in fact, in 1973 and '74 there's a new method of annual Sessions, we only had 4600 Bills. So, the that the truth is that our workload is relatively the same. As to the projectability, however; of the appropriation process, I'm kind of amused. I sat here all during last fall and I heard the Governor of this state say, 'Well. I'd like to give sales tax relief. I believe in it, but, you know, I can't anticipate what's going to be next year. I mean, after all, I don't know if we're going to have a surplus. I don't know what our budget's going to be next year.' Now, all of a sudden, we've learned that we can budget for two years in a row. Now, if he couldn't tell us from November of last year until June of this year what was going to be happening, I don't see how we can possibly expect a two year appropriation process. And it seems to me that it's just something we can't do, and if anything is clear as an example, it's the inability of people to agree that they could project what the impact of sales tax relief would be on the budgetary process. I'm going to vote no on Senate Joint Resolution 16. Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Lady from Adams, Mrs. Kent, to close."

Kent: "Thank you. I believe that instead of lowering our salaries, I believe that it will keep them from rising as they... As you all say, well we're over here all



the time. We need more money. I believe that this way you will be able to earn money back home. There will not be a full-time Legislature. Another thing that I have heard a quote from Morice Scott who was on Con-Con and the former Taxpayer's Federation of Illinois. He said that if he had known what is happening now he would have been for biennial state Sessions during the Con-Con situation. So I think even those that were there now realize that this is the way to go. Another thing on the budgeting, it seems to me that the... the agencies are constantly working on budgets. They're always working for the year ahead. We need to have them doing things in the year they're there and not spending so much time on so many budgets. So, I urge you to vote yes. I know the people back home are wanting this. And let's give them a chance to express that. Maybe they won't pass it, but I know that some made expressions to me that this is what they want."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall the House adopt Senate Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment #16? All in favor vote aye, all oppose vote no. The Gentleman ... the Lady from Cook, Mrs. Currie, explain her vote.

Timer's on. Mr. Giorgi, come to the rostrum, please."

Currie: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Members of the House.

My understand is that this Amendment with respect to the amount of time that we're in Session puts us back to the experience before the 1970 annual Sessions Amendment to the Constitution. During that time, this Legislature met during both years of the term. In fact, the reason that we have annual Session is not because of an Amendment that specifies that we do so, but because the business of state government is big, long, difficult business and that's why the Legislature spends so much time in Springfield. My understanding is that the Amendment proposed today would not necessarily decrease the amount of time we spend in Springfield. It surely





would increase the number of times we are here because there's been a call for a Special rather than a Regular Session. The value of annual Sessions is that we can regularize our procedures. We can take cognisance of the kinds of reasons that we are here in a sensible, well-regulated fashion. My concern about this Amendment is that... is that..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Borchers."

Borchers: "I'm going to vote no on this for a very simple reason. We're being confronted by increasing inflation and increasing unemployment and we are going to be confronted with a lot of problems that we haven't even started to think about solving yet. And if we start to go to a biennial Session, we are going to have to go back for the simple reason the workload and the responsibility will be so great we'll be forced to do it. We will not be doing our duty in a very critical time. Another thing, we don't know how the world is going and that is another thing we've got to think about. It may go in the wrong direction. We could have a war in the next two years. We have no business abrogating our responsibilities at this particular time. So I vote no."

Speaker Giorgi: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 65 ayes, 55 nays, 2 voting present, and this Bill having failed..... this Senate Joint Resolution having failed to receive the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared lost. On what page is it? There's a Bill on Third Reading by Representative Richmond, 2921, that you'd like leave of the House to call it back to Second Reading or what is it? It's on Second Reading? What page is it? Page eight. Representative Richmond on House Bill 2921."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2921. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Reactors Occupation Tax Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 and 2 were adopted in



Committee."

Speaker Giorgi: "Are there any motions on the Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Giorgi: "Any Floor motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, Richmond. Amends House Bill 2921 as amended in Section 1 and Section 2 and the first sentence by deleting the following..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Richmond on Amendment #3."

Richmond: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is a very simple Amendment that clarifies the language to make it clear that used farm machinery will be also included in the exemption provided in this Bill. I move for its adoption."

Speaker Giorgi: "There being no discussion, Representative Richmond moves that Amendment #3 be adopted. All in favor signify by saying 'aye'. Those oppose by saying 'no'. The Amendment's adopted. Third Reading."

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Giorgi: "Third Reading. Representative Ryan, for what reason do you rise? Ryan."

Ryan: "For an introduction, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Giorgi: "Continue, Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Former Member, Gil Deavers, is with us this afternoon. I thought everybody would like to say hello to Gil."

Speaker Giorgi: "On the Order of Motions, on page 20.

These are the discharge motions and if we don't call them today they, die because of deadlines. Representative Birchler on House Bill 697. Representative Birchler."

Birchler: "Mr. Speaker. Motion to recall from the Personnel and Pension Committee, House Bill 697. I want to call the attention to the House Members that this particular Bill was heard in Committee. It was short of seats. We had seven votes for, one against and one voted present. This is a very important Bill to a particular group of people in my area and the State of Illinois, and I ask that we have enough votes to have this Bill heard before the



whole floor. If there's persons who are interested in what it's in reference to, it's in reference to security personnel in a correctional system having a change in the pension. The Sponsor of the Bill adequately and fairly presented the Bill to the Committee and I would ask that Representative Davis, who is the chief Sponsor of this Bill, may comment."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Davis on this motion."

Davis: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I think at this point it would be wiser for me. I obviously support the motion to defer to Representative Stuffle who's a Member of the Committee who was not present at the time."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Stuffle on the motion."

Stuffle: "Mr. Speaker and Members, this is a very important Bill I think. Probably one of the more important pieces of legislation affecting pensions and personnel that will come before us. And hopefully it will be brought to the floor. At the time the Bill was called, I was in a Revenue Committee meeting and would have added the eighth vote to put this Bill on the floor had I been there. We were short of people. It's most important that this Bill come out. We're attempting to work out with Representative Davis and Birchler and others involved with the Committee and the issues involved here..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Pardon me, Mr. Stuffle."

Stuffle: "Yes, sir."

Speaker Giorgi: "Majority Leader, Mr. Madigan, for what reason do you rise?"

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, is there any opposition to this motion?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Skinner has his light on."

Madigan: "It's always on."

Speaker Giorgi: "Continue, Mr. Stuffle."

Stuffle: "Yes, continuing. The issue that will be brought to the floor, hopefully, deals with upgrading the pensions



of those people in the correctional facilities who serve now as guards who definitely have hazardous duty without question as Representative Birchler and others with institutions in their districts can certainly attest. This is the most hazardous duty in the state. We've even put people who fly the state planes under the alternate formula. Representative Birchler will not attempt nor will Representative Davis to give even the exact same state police formulas to these people but rather a better formula to recognize their hazardous duty and I can insure you the Members of the House that were not going to give them something for nothing but the formula that we work out will indeed incur a cost by these people. And I would certainly urge that we discharge the Committee for the reasons cited here today."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Skinner, on the motion."

Skinner: "It's good to see the Majority Leader in such good humor. This is a pension fund busting Bill if there ever was one, if ever were one even. What we're trying to do here or what the Sponsors are trying to do is put the state correctional officers under the extremely lucrative pension fund of the state police. Same provisions. Now sure, they're going to throw in a token payment for the current correctional officers but you can bet your life that they're going to be betting on the come..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Pardon me, Representative Skinner. Pardon me. Representative Greiman, for what reason do you rise?"

Greiman: "Point of order. I think that the Representative should speak to the motion rather than to the substantive aspects of the Bill. I think that's within our rules and Mr. Skinner well knows that."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Birchler."

Birchler: "Mr. Speaker, I know that the man isn't speaking to



the motion at all and Mr. Stuffle did not get to complete his presentation. Let Mr. Stuffle have the floor."

Speaker Giorgi: "Excuse me, Mr. Skinner, Mr. Stuffle hasn't completed his dissertation. Representation Stuffle."

Stuffle: "Number one, Representative Skinner is assuming what we're going to do and number two, I said we weren't going to do what he said, specifically said that we were not going to put them under the state police formula. And number three, I said we were going to make them pay. In fact, if you had a chance to look at what we're going to propose, Representative Skinner, you would see that they're going to pay for a substantial portion of their benefit in our Amendment. In fact, over half and in fact, if it's drafted the way I've seen it, it would be over two-thirds."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Skinner, I'd like to tell the House that there seems to be only four motions that we want to get at before adjournment so let's expedite things. Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Can I rise my point of order now that the Sponsor of the Amendment is explaining the Bill... or excuse me, Representative Stuffle is explaining the Bill rather than speaking to the motion. Regardless of what they're going to do, they're going to mess around with pension funds, and I trust the Committee. How's that for a first?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Birchler, to close."

Birchler: "Mr. Speaker and Members, I ask for a favorable vote to discharge this Bill from Committee so that it can be aired here on the House floor."

Speaker Giorgi: "This vote will take 89 votes. Representative Birchler moves that House Bill 697 be moved pursuant to Rule 66A. All those in favor will signify by voting aye and those oppose by voting no. Representative McCourt to explain his vote."



McCourt: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill was heard in Committee yesterday. It got a full hearing and lost. As simple as that. It lost. Now, if we're going to do this to one Bill we ought to say that every Bill that lost by one vote should be resurrected on one motion and we could consider them all. Every Bill that lost in Committee by one vote. This Bill lost by one vote but nevertheless it lost. So, it's dead. It should be killed."

Speaker Giorgi: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Davis on the motion."

Davis: "I did not speak in debate, Mr. Speaker. So, I would explain my vote in saying to you that Representative Stuffle is on the Committee and would have provided the eighth vote but he had another commitment and, could not be in the Committee. There is a lot of problems with the Bill. I'm the Sponsor of the Bill. If we cannot reasonably work this out to lessen the impact on the pension fund, I will table the Bill."

Speaker Giorgi: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 92 ayes and 23 nays and 6 voting present and the motions carries. And the Bill is discharged on the Order of Second Reading, First Legislative Day. The next motion that would die because of the deadline is House Bill 3389 by Representative Vinson." Collins aye. Representative Vinson. Out of the record. The next one that would... Representative Sumner on House Bill 3585 to take from the Speaker's Table. Representative Sumner on House Bill 3585."

Sumner: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I have great... the Bill I'm concerned about is House Bill 3585 and I do have great respect for the Chairman of this Committee. It just seems that some of the Members of this Committee were not able to be there for some reason. I was there early in the



day, right after we adjourned. I was second to be called. When the roll was taken there was only eight Members there and it takes eight for a quorum. I went to Committee and returned about 4 o'clock and I sat there from 4 o'clock until 8:30 again being the very last person to be heard. My witness was here, sat through the whole procedure and I don't feel that it was fair to have to go through this whole ordeal when, for some reason or other, the Committee Members were not able to be present. Therefore, I would urge the support of taking this from the table. Thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Johnson on House Bill 3585."

Johnson: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, with all due respect to the Sponsor who I'm sure sincerely believes in this Bill, I've been a Member of the Judiciary Committee now for four years and I can't remember a Bill that got a fairer hearing than this one did. It was defeated the first time it was called early in the day when the Sponsor wanted it called and when the Sponsor... when the witnesses were there. Good attendance throughout the day and at the end of the day it was defeated by a substantial... substantial margin with the average attendance being present. I... the witness had a full opportunity to testify. We had a full opportunity to ask questions and have them answered on two different occasions. In fact, it was the only Bill of the day where we had an opportunity for the Sponsor to get his Bill... his or her Bill called twice rather than just once. I know we can't speak to the substance of the Bill but it's a fairly complex, fairly controversial matter. It got a fair hearing. I think people on both sides of the aisle, including the Chairman, Representative Jaffe, would agree with that, and I urge a no vote on this motion."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Jaffe on the motion."



Jaffe: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I just want to concur with what Representative Johnson said. This Bill actually got two Roll Calls. Most of the Members were there. As a matter of fact, I ran through the Roll Calls, both the Roll Calls. Practically everybody on the Committee voted on that Bill at one time or another. If we were to take everybody and put it together, she still would be shy several votes of passage. So, I think that we should actually defeat this motion and Representative Johnson is correct."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Vinson on the motion."

Vinson: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I would urge that the House look favorably upon this motion. It's a conflict of interest for the Judiciary Committee to even consider this Bill. It's a pro-business... pro-small businessman Bill and an anti-lawyer Bill and we ought to discharge."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Sumner to close."

Sumner: "I urge your... For the sake of all of you, who have this problem and I know you do in your district because it has come to me frequently, and if you want to help small business this is certainly the time to do it. I urge a yes vote."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Sumner moves pursuant to Rule 33B and moves to take the Bill from the Speaker's Table and place it on the Calendar on the Order of House Bills, Second Reading, First Legislative Day. All those in favor signify by voting aye, all those oppose by voting no. And Representative Davis to explain his vote. This takes 107 votes."

Davis: "Well, thank you Mr. Speaker. I support the discharge motion in this particular instance. I ran a follow once of the Corporate Representation Act in the statutes for all..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Pardon me. Pardon me, Mr. Davis. Representative Jaffe, for what reason do your rise?"





Jaffe: "On a point of order. You know, he's not suppose to address the merits of the Bill. Actually, two people addressed the merits of the Bill. They were totally wrong. They actually misled this Body with reference to what the Bill actually is all about. This Bill is not what they're talking about. It's really a raid on the treasury if they really want to know what the Bill is about."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Davis, would you confine your remarks to the motion." Representative Davis has the floor."

Davis: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Representative Jaffe's correct. I will just support this motion. I think it's a very good Bill."

Speaker Giorgi: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Collins to explain his vote on the motion."

Collins: "Mr. Speaker, I'm confused. If you're for the lawyers you vote no, and if you're against the lawyers you vote yes. Is that correct?"

Speaker Giorgi: "That's the way I'm voting. Have all voted who wish? Skinner to explain his vote."

Skinner: "Yeah, if this were a raid on the treasury, I'm sure the Representative from Cook County would be voting yes. It can't be a raid on the treasury."

Speaker Giorgi: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 72 ayes, 20 nays, 4 voting present and the motion fails. The last motion is Representative Braun on House Bill 385. Representative Braun. Motion to discharge the Executive Committee."

Braun: "Out of the record, Mr. Sepaker."

Speaker Giorgi: "Out of the record. Out of the record. Does anyone else have a discharge motion that will have died today? Representative Madigan on the Adjournment Resolution."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I move for the adoption of the



Adjournment Resolution."

Speaker Giorgi: "Which calls for us to return to the...  
Representative Simms for an announcement."

Simms: "Excuse me, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Giorgi: "All those in favor of the Adjournment  
Resolution vote... signify by voting aye. Opposed no.  
The ayes have it. Representative Simms on the..."

Simms: "Mr. Speaker, how many days next week? Three days  
or four days?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Madigan, can you answer that question?  
Scheduled for three days... four days?"

Madigan: "The schedule I have reads four."

Speaker Giorgi: "Four days."

Simms: "Thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "Friday is the deadline for the Appropriation  
Committee. Representative Vinson for an announcement."

Vinson: "For an inquiry of the Chair."

Speaker Giorgi: "Continue."

Vinson: "Did I understand that the essence of the ruling  
is that those motions that are filed pursuant to House  
Bills filed this Session die today?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Just the four we enumerated today to discharge  
committee. Just those to discharge committee."

Vinson: "I wonder then if I might go ahead and call my  
motion on 3389."

Speaker Giorgi: "On page 20. You took that out of the record  
previously, didn't you?"

Vinson: "Yes, it was my understanding that it would not die  
but if it does die I would like to call it."

Speaker Giorgi: "The Bill would only be tabled and you'd have  
to take from the table which would take 107 votes.  
... would take 89."

Vinson: "...89 to discharge."

Speaker Giorgi: "You want to move with the motion?"

Vinson: "Yes, sir."

Speaker Giorgi: "Proceed. House Bill 3389, Vinson's motion."



Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I would move that we, pursuant to Rule 66A, we discharge the Committee on Executive from further consideration in advance to the Order of Second Reading House Bill 3389 so that we can have a vehicle alive to keep the road program going."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is there any discussion? Representative Madigan on the motion."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I rise in opposition to the Gentleman's motion. In brief, there are other vehicles on the Calendar already that can deal with the financial problems of the road fund. In addition to that aspect of the Gentleman's Bill, it also provides more sanctuaries of the Governor to bury his surplusses."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Vinson to close."

Vinson: "It's no attempt to bury surplusses. It's an attempt to spend surplusses, and I would urge an aye vote."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Vinson moves that House Bill 3389 be discharged pursuant to Rule 66B from the Committee on Executive and to advance to the Order of Second Reading, First Legislative Day. All those in favor will signify by voting aye and those oppose by voting no. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 70 ayes, 27 nays... I think it's too late for that. Representative Madigan. Motion fails. Representative Kane for an announcement."

Kane: "Yeah, could I ask leave of the House to suspend the posting requirements for the House State Government Organization Committee for next week. We had to change the date because of a conflict with the Appropriations Committee and we're going to hear testimony from people from Argon Laboratory and the Technological Institute of Northwestern University and we're not going to take any votes, but I would ask suspension of the posting."

Speaker Giorgi: "Leave... is there any objection to leave



for Representative Kane? There being no objection, leave is granted. Attendance Roll used for the Roll Call vote. Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, on the Order of House Bills, Third Reading, Short Debate Calendar on page 12 there appears House Bill 3109. Mr. Speaker, that Bill was mistakenly moved to the Order of Third Reading and it should be placed on the Order of Second Reading. Would you so order?"

Speaker Giorgi: "The Clerk tells me it's been passed today."

Madigan: "On a record Roll Call?"

Speaker Giorgi: "... Conti's objection."

Madigan: "Fine. Any further announcements?"

Speaker Giorgi: "He needs... There are three requests for vote changes."

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Schneider requests to vote aye on House Bill 3116."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is there leave for Schneider to change his vote?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Hudson and Karpiel request to vote aye on Senate Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment #16."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is there leave? Any objection? No objections. Hearing no objections, leave is granted. Do you need three minutes for perfunctory? He needs three minutes to read in some Committee Reports. Representative Madigan on adjournment."

Madigan: "Providing three minutes for a Perfunctory Session, I move that we adjourn to Tuesday, 12 noon."

Speaker Giorgi: "The House is adjourned till Tuesday, 12 noon."

Clerk O'Brien: "Environment and Energy, Executive, and Elections. Committee Reports. Representative McClain, Chairman of the Committee on Environment, Energy, and Natural Resources to which the following Bills were referred, action taken May 2, 1980 reported the same back with the following recommendations: Do pass



House Bill 536, 1295, 3207, 3579, and Senate Bill 673. Do pass as amended House Bill 2051, 2520, 2985, 3365, and 3403. Do not pass as amended House Bill 878. Do pass Short Debate Calendar House Bill 3359 and 3413. Representative Capparelli, Chairman of the Committee on Executive to which the following Bills were referred, action taken May 2, 1980 reported the same back with the following recommendations:

Do pass as amended House Bill 2845, 3112, 3358, 3406, 3421, 3439, 3474, 3539, 3540, 3541, 3549, 3558, 3559, 3594, 3595. Do pass as amended House Bills 2863, 3158, 3189, 3315, 3401, and 3434. Do pass Short Debate Calendar House Bill 3129. Be adopted House Joint Resolution 86. Be adopted as amended House Resolution 282. Interim Study Calendar House Bill 3561.

Representative Laurino, Chairman of the Committee on Elections to which the following Bills were referred, action taken May 2 1980 reported the same back with the following recommendations: Do pass House Bills 2917, 3255, and 3600. Do pass as amended House Bill 2918, 3091, 3229, 3230, and 3565. Do pass Consent Calendar House Bill 3017. No further business.

The House now stands adjourned."



## LEGISLATIVE INFORMATION SYSTEM

DAILY TRANSCRIPT INDEX  
MAY 02, 1980

PAGE 1

HB-0697	MOTIONS	PAGE	74
HB-1839	2ND READING	PAGE	7
HB-2342	2ND READING	PAGE	22
HB-2788	2ND READING	PAGE	10
HB-2822	2ND READING	PAGE	3
HB-2827	3RD READING	PAGE	23
HB-2837	2ND READING	PAGE	10
HB-2866	2ND READING	PAGE	10
HB-2876	2ND READING	PAGE	10
HB-2880	2ND READING	PAGE	11
HB-2903	3RD READING	PAGE	24
HB-2905	3RD READING	PAGE	36
HB-2906	3RD READING	PAGE	36
HB-2913	2ND READING	PAGE	11
HB-2921	2ND READING	PAGE	73
HB-2935	2ND READING	PAGE	12
HB-2947	2ND READING	PAGE	12
HB-2950	2ND READING	PAGE	12
HB-2987	2ND READING	PAGE	12
HB-3018	2ND READING	PAGE	13
HB-3070	2ND READING	PAGE	7
HB-3071	2ND READING	PAGE	13
HB-3075	3RD READING	PAGE	26
HB-3079	2ND READING	PAGE	7
HB-3106	2ND READING	PAGE	13
HB-3109	2ND READING	PAGE	84
	3RD READING	PAGE	26
HB-3116	3RD READING	PAGE	28
HB-3132	3RD READING	PAGE	28
HB-3142	2ND READING	PAGE	14
HB-3153	2ND READING	PAGE	14
HB-3156	3RD READING	PAGE	29
HB-3163	2ND READING	PAGE	14
HB-3179	2ND READING	PAGE	14
HB-3193	2ND READING	PAGE	15
HB-3209	2ND READING	PAGE	15
HB-3220	3RD READING	PAGE	30
HB-3246	2ND READING	PAGE	16
HB-3272	2ND READING	PAGE	16
HB-3281	2ND READING	PAGE	16
HB-3289	2ND READING	PAGE	16
HB-3292	2ND READING	PAGE	17
HB-3293	2ND READING	PAGE	17

## LEGISLATIVE INFORMATION SYSTEM

DAILY TRANSCRIPT INDEX  
MAY 02, 1980

PAGE 2

HB-3294	2ND READING	PAGE	17
HB-3295	2ND READING	PAGE	17
HB-3296	2ND READING	PAGE	18
HB-3314	2ND READING	PAGE	18
HB-3344	2ND READING	PAGE	18
HB-3353	2ND READING	PAGE	18
HB-3357	3RD READING	PAGE	30
HB-3377	2ND READING	PAGE	18
HB-3381	2ND READING	PAGE	19
HB-3385	2ND READING	PAGE	8
HB-3389	MOTIONS	PAGE	82
HB-3394	2ND READING	PAGE	19
HB-3395	2ND READING	PAGE	19
HB-3402	2ND READING	PAGE	19
HB-3416	2ND READING	PAGE	8
HB-3418	2ND READING	PAGE	19
HB-3424	2ND READING	PAGE	20
HB-3426	2ND READING	PAGE	20
HB-3431	3RD READING	PAGE	31
HB-3435	2ND READING	PAGE	8
HB-3448	2ND READING	PAGE	8
HB-3456	2ND READING	PAGE	20
HB-3458	2ND READING	PAGE	20
HB-3466	2ND READING	PAGE	20
HB-3467	2ND READING	PAGE	21
HB-3468	2ND READING	PAGE	21
HB-3485	2ND READING	PAGE	9
HB-3487	MOTIONS	PAGE	58
HB-3488	2ND READING	PAGE	21
HB-3511	2ND READING	PAGE	21
HB-3536	2ND READING	PAGE	21
HB-3556	2ND READING	PAGE	22
HB-3585	MOTIONS	PAGE	78
SB-1653	3RD READING	PAGE	6
SJR-0016	2ND READING	PAGE	57
	3RD READING	PAGE	54
	3RD READING	PAGE	66
	MOTIONS	PAGE	57
SJR-0056	3RD READING	PAGE	37
	3RD READING	PAGE	58

LEGISLATIVE INFORMATION SYSTEM

DAILY TRANSCRIPT INDEX  
MAY 02, 1980

PAGE 3

SUBJECT MATTER

SPEAKER MADIGAN - HOUSE TO ORDER	PAGE	1
REVEREND KRUEGER - PRAYER	PAGE	1
PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	PAGE	1
AGREED RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	1
COMMITTEE REPORTS	PAGE	4
EXCUSED ABSENCES	PAGE	6
PERFUNCTORY SESSION	PAGE	84
COMMITTEE REPORTS	PAGE	84
ADJOURNMENT	PAGE	85