

1.

Speaker Redmond: "House will come to order and Members please be in their seats. Be lead in prayer by the Reverend Krueger the House chaplain."

Rev. Krueger: "In the Name of the Father, the Son, and the Holy Ghost. Amen. O Lord, bless this House to Thy service this day. Amen. William James said: To achieve all that is possible, we must attempt the impossible. To be as much as we can be, we must dream of being more. Let us pray. ALMIGHTY GOD, our eternal Creator, we give Thee our most hearty appreciation for the many gifts which Thou in Thy infinite wisdom hast bestowed upon us. As members of this Illinois House of Representatives we ask Thy guidance and direction in our efforts to serve the people of this State. Provide us with the courage to seek new frontiers for the solution to the needs in today's government. Enable us with fortitude to pursue levels of achievement beyond our present self-imposed limitations; that thus equipped we may effect a realization of Thy will for the society in which we have our being; through Jesus Christ our Lord. Amen."

Speaker Redmond: "Roll Call for attendance. Well wait a minute. Representative Ropp, the Pledge of Allegiance."

Ropp: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America and to the republic for which it stands. One nation under God indivisible with liberty and justice for all."

Speaker Redmond: "Roll Call for attendance. Message from the Senate."

Clerk O'Brien: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate passed a Bill of the following title and passage to which I'm instructed to ask concurrence of the House of Representatives to wit: Senate Bill #349 passed by the Senate April 18, 1979. Kenneth Wright, Secretary."



Speaker Redmond: "Committee Reports."

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Matijevich, chairman of the Committee on Appropriations I which the following Bills were referred, action taken April 18, 1979 reported the same back with the following recommendations: "do pass" House Bills 1132, 1171, 1172, 1174, 1176, and 1580. Do pass is amended. House Bill 1654, 1655, and 2487. Representative Leon, chairman of the Committee on Financial Institutions to which the following Bills were referred, action taken April 18, 1979 reported the same back with the following recommendations: "do pass" House Bill 23, 73. Do pass is amended. House Bill 1138. Do pass Consent Calendar, House Bill 2374. Do pass Short Debate Calendar, House Bill 1053."

Speaker Redmond: "I think we better dump this Roll Call and have another Roll Call for attendance. We're going to take the record right after the...be sure you only push your own switch. Roll Call for attendance. Have all voted who wish? Representative Collins at 11:05. ... the record. Representative Totten has requested a verification of the Roll Call. Do you persist in your motion for verification, Representative Totten? What time is it? Time is 11:07. What'd the German Resolution say? Representative Doyle at 11:08. Representative Willer 11:08 and a half. Representative Simms at 11:09. Representative Mahar at 11:09. We better dump this one now. We'll take a Roll Call for attendance and be sure you only press your own switch. Because Representative Totten is going to move for verification. Representative Griesheimer at 11:09. Representative Harris 11:10. Representative Conti, Assistant Minority Leader, 11:10. Have all voted who wish? Have all on the Attendance Roll Call that are present in the chamber? Representative Donovan at 11:11. Representative Pechous at 11:12. Representative Reed at 11:13. Can we take the record Mr. Clerk? Anybody comes in now, will have to get up and



ask unanimous consent for forgiveness. House... I was going to take House Bill, Second Reading short debate. House Bill, Second Reading. Short Debate Calendar. Page two."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 522. A Bill for an Act to amend sections of the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 524. Representative Barnes? What purpose do you rise?"

Barnes: "Well I was reading a Bill that I'm going to be involved in and I did not press the Attendance Roll Call and I've been here."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Jane Barnes seeks unanimous forgiveness. Well, objections have been raised. Then you're not, officially not here. Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Mr. Speaker, I think I can handle Representative Collins and Representative Totten if there's no other objections."

Speaker Redmond: "Well how about Walsh? Representative Barnes suggests that Collins and Representative Totten do not present significant objection. Are there any... Representative Collins?"

Collins: "Mr. Speaker, I'm not sure, but I think I've been propositioned."

Speaker Redmond: "Knowing Representative Barnes, I'm sure your in error. Representative Barnes has the unanimous consent to be added to the Roll Call. 524."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 524. A Bill for an Act to amend sections of the Illinois Insurance Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments number 1,2, and 3 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to amendments 1,2, and 3?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."



Speaker Redmond: "Any amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 652."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 652. A Bill for an Act to amend sections of an Act relating to the Practice of Beauty Culture. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment number 1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motions with respect to amendment 1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motion filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 805."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 805. A Bill for an Act to require that mobile homes be tied down and the standards be promulgated for the equipment used for that purpose. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment number 1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to Amendment 1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motion filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendment form the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 922. 817. Well Representative VonBoeckman is not on the floor and I think, sir, that he has a Floor Amendment. I think. So 922."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 922. A Bill for an Act relating to auto milage reimbursement for state employees. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1122."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1122. A Bill for an Act to release an easement for highway purposes in Rock Island County. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1146."



Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1146. A Bill for an Act to amend sections of an Act in relation to vocational rehabilitation of disabled persons. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment number 1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to amendment 1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motion filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1252."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1252. A Bill for an Act to amend sections of the Illinois Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment number 1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to amendment 1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motion filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Any Amendment from the floor? 1286. Didn't I call that one? Pardon me."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1286. A Bill for an Act to amend sections of an Act relating to alcoholic liquors. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. House Bills Third Reading appears House Bill 794, Representative Matijevec is recognized. I understand... Representative Matijevec."

Matijevec: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would ask leave to return House Bill 794 to the order of Second Reading for the purpose of an amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Does the gentleman have leave to return 794 to the order of Second Reading? Hearing no objection it will be returned to Second Reading. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment number 1, Matijevec. Amends House Bill 974(sic) on page two, line 21 by inserting



immediately after the word 'by' the words 'each House of'."

Matijevich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I was told by Lee Schwartz that if we didn't have this amendment any rules promulgated would have to be joint rules and by this amendment, we insert the word, 'each House of' so that we can do this by rule of each House of the legislature I move for the adoption of amendment number 1 to House Bill 794."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker has the Amendment been distributed?"

Speaker Redmond: "It's on my desk, George. Mr. Page, has the amendment been distributed? I understand that it has."

Ryan: "It has?"

Speaker Redmond: "Has. Is there any discussion?"

Matijevich: "Move for adoption, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevich moved the adoption of amendment 1 to House Bill 794. Those in favor indicate by saying 'aye' aye, oppose 'no'. The ayes have it. The motion carried and the amendment's adopted. Any further amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. On the order of House Bills Third Reading appears House Bill 749. Representative Jane Barnes is recognized."

Barnes: "Mr. Speaker, in the Committee there was an Amendment offered that deleted the word 'residential' on line 12 and on line 16, but it neglected to delete the word 'residential' on line 19 and I'd like to move that back to Second Reading so that I can propose that Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Does the lady have leave to return House Bill 749 to the order of Second Reading? Hearing no objection, leave is granted. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment number 4, Jane Barnes. Amends House



Bill 749 on page one line 19 by deleting the word  
'residential'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Well sir, it's just as I explained. I had offered  
Amendment in Committee and it deleted the word  
'residential' in two other instances, but neglected  
to delete it on line 19 and that's what I would like  
to do now with amendment number 4."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? Questions on  
the ladies motion for the adoption of amendment 4  
to House Bill 749. Those in favor say 'aye' aye,  
oppose 'no'. The ayes have it. The motion carried.  
The amendments adopted. Any further amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. On the order of House  
Bills Third Reading appears House Bills 273. Re-  
presentative Younger is recognized."

Younger: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I'd like to return 273  
to Second Reading for purposes of amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Does the Lady have leave to return  
House Bill 273 to the order of Second Reading?  
Hearing no objection, leave is granted. Mr. Clerk  
it'll be returned to Second Reading."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment number 2, Younger. Amends House  
Bill 273 is amended into the last sentence of the  
first paragraph changing 'fathers' to 'parents'."

Younger: "This is a technical amendment changing 'fathers'  
to 'parents' that IDPA shall give them counseling  
in job placement. I move for the adoption of the...."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? Representative  
Ryan."

Ryan: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I didn't hear what the lady  
had to say about the Amendment. Would she..."

Speaker Redmond: "OK. Please come to order. The noise  
level is far to high. Please come to order. Will you  
repeat your explanation Representative Younger?"



Young: "Yes. House Bill 273 is a Bill which requires the Illinois Department of Public Aid to give job counseling to the fathers of dependent children. And the amendment merely changes the word 'fathers' to 'parents'. And it's a technical Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? Questions on the lady's motion for the adoption of Amendment 2. Those in favor say 'aye' aye, oppose 'no'. The ayes have it. The motion carried. The Amendments adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Representative Willer for what purpose do you rise? Representative Willer."

Willer: "Yes Mr. Speaker. I would ask leave of the House to table House Bills 130 and 1549."

Speaker Redmond: "Does the Lady have leave to table House Bills 130 and House Bill 1549? Hearing no objection... Representative Ryan. Representative Schlickman, Representative Simms will you please sit down? Representative Ebbesen. Please sit down. Representative Ryan you have a clear track now."

Ryan: "Is Representative Willer, are you the chief Sponsor of the Bill?"

Willer: "Yes I am. House Bill 130 was a Bill I drafted and it was drafted poorly so I simply had a new Bill drafted and I've been meaning to table 130 for a long time."

Ryan: "OK. Fine. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Any... Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'm the principle sponsor on House Bill...

Speaker Redmond: "Wait a minute now. Does Representative Willer have leave to table House Bill 130 and 1549? Hearing no objection, strike it with metal from Representative Willer. Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'm the principle sponsor of House Bill 2610."





2610. I'd like leave of House to table that Bill. A number of pieces of legislation have been introduced on the same subject matter. I believe some of them are better in content and I ask leave of the House to table House Bill 2610."

Speaker Redmond: "Does the gentleman have leave to table House Bill 2610? Hearing no objection, leave is granted. The Bill will be tabled. On the order of Second Reading appears House Bill 573. Representative Ewing is recognized."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, there are several amendments on that. I would like to table amendment 1 and 2 and then go on to amendment 3. All of them are my amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Amendment 1 was a Committee Amendment is that correct? And Amendment 2 a Floor Amendment?"

Ewing: "Yes. Correct."

Speaker Redmond: "Were you the sponsor of... well I guess you're the sponsor of the Bill. Were you the sponsor of the Amendments also?"

Ewing: "That's right."

Speaker Redmond: "Questions on the gentlemen... Read the Bill Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 573. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Income Tax Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment... This Bill has been read a second time previously. And Amendment number 1 was adopted."

Speaker Redmond: "Rep... not 2? Representative Ewing, evidently amendment number 2 has not been adopted. Amendment 1 and... Representative, your motion is to table amendment 1. Representative Schlickman on that motion."

Schlickman: "Just on a point of order Mr. Speaker. This is on the priority of call Calendar. We've went through it once and I believe the rules require that we start from the beginning in order that's consistent with the priority of call day."



Speaker Redmond: "I have not been on House Bills Second Reading before. I had House Bills Second Reading short debate. What I'm trying to do now is accomodate the Members to get Bills in shape where they have to do a little something different now. Representative Ewing asked me to call the Bill."

Schlickman: "Well it just seems to me, Mr. Speaker, that we ought to follow the rules and take Bills in the proper order."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ewing, Representative Schlickman objects to taking it into sequence so we'll... he's right according to the rules. Get here early tomorrow and we'll try it again. Is there anyone else that has a Bill on Third Reading that they want to return to Second for the purpose of an Amendment? House Bills Third Reading. Short debate Calendar on page six. 158."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 158. A Bill for an Act to amend sections... A Bill for an Act in relation to removal of illegal placed vehicles and disabled vehicles from expressways and highways. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker I have a request from a Member on this side of the aisle that would like to open this Bill up to full debate and it'll take ten Members to agree with my request to make... token this Bill up to full debate. Ten people. Can't be on the Democratic side of the aisle."

Speaker Redmond: "You need ten Members to join with you?"

Giorgi: "Yes sir."

Speaker Redmond: "Let's see. Eins, zwei, drei, vier, five, six, seven. There's ten. He has been joined ten Members so it will have to come off of the short debate Calendar Representative Huskey. 279. Oh pardon me. Pardon me. Opens it up for debate. It doesn't come off the Calendar. Representative Huskey."



Huskey: "Well Mr. Speaker I was going to hold this Bill at the request of Madigan, but as long as it's going on Full Debate Calendar I guess we just as well do it. It was on short debate for what..."

Speaker Redmond: "It stays on Short Debate Calendar. It's just opened up two minute for the proponent and the two minute for the opponent are expunged in the explanation as it expunge. So proceed Representative Huskey."

Huskey: "Well I... actually this Bill. It's a consumers protections Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Please come to order. You can't... I can't even hear Representative Huskey."

Huskey: "There... There has been... There's been a tremendous rip-off by tow truck companies either towing stalled cars or abandoned cars, parked cars and so forth right down the line. If there's a break down it's a tremendous rip-off from the expressways and the state highways for towing vehicles, disabled vehicles and the Bill sets up a procedure that the Department of Commerce would license or control the tow truck companies and set rates or let them... not set rates but let them report the rates that they're going to charge. And before they will issue the permit, the tow truck companies would have to file with the Commerce, their rates. And I'm certain, if their rates are too high naturally they don't get the permit to do the towing off the state highway. This is a consumer protection Bill. There's nothing been abused more than the motors in distress. It could be your wife, it could be your daughter, one of your loved ones stalled on an expressway or highway and along comes these rip-off artists, pick up their cars, get them towed in and charge them \$200 or so for doing it and there's not much that can be done about it. So therefore it's a very simple Bill. I'm open to any questions that, that needs to be answered on it. This is strictly a Cook



County Bill. It's for Cook County."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan. Please come to order. Will you break up the converse in the middle aisle there please? The gentleman from the 54th district."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker question of the Sponsor."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Madigan: "Mr. Huskey, does this Bill apply uniformly to every county in the State of Illinois?"

Huskey: "I stated it's strictly a Cook County Bill. I suppose it could be if you have a county that wanted it. I'm a Representative of Cook County and I know our needs are there for this Bill and I would say half of our automobiles in the state are concentrated in Cook County and it's certainly a big need there. And it's certainly... We don't need... We don't need people supporting these rip-off tow companies."

Madigan: "Mr. Huskey, does the county of Cook now provide for this problem?"

Huskey: "No. Not to my knowledge of any way."

Madigan: "That's not the knowledge that I was given. I was just told that there's already a Cook County ordinance to provide for this."

Huskey: "Well if they have it, they certainly haven't enforced it. I'll say that."

Madigan: "Mr. Huskey, did you discuss this with the Illinois Commerce Commission?"

Huskey: "Somewhat, yes."

Madigan: "Could you elaborate on your use of the word 'somewhat'? Could you elaborate on your use of the word 'somewhat'?"

Huskey: "Well, what do you mean by elaborate? Why don't you direct the question as what you want me to say? I don't know elaborate. I don't remember the full conversation anymore. I can't... I usually have it tattooed on my arm but I forgot to have it done that day."



Madigan: "I asked you if you would discuss the Bill with the Commerce Commission because I would like to ascertain whether the Commerce Commission feels that it can affectively implement the provisions of your Bill."

Huskey: "Well, Representative Madigan, the Commerce Commission is neutral on this Bill. They're not opposing it."

Madigan: "Have you made arrangements to introduce an appropriation Bill or an Amendment to the Commerce Commission appropriation that provides sufficient funding to pay for this additional duty to the Commerce Commission?"

Huskey: "Well, they already regulate tow trucks from towing from private companies in Chicago and it doesn't look like this will be any access duties that will amount to much in dollars."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker I would like to address myself to the Bill. I rise in opposition to the Bill for the reasons which have been enunciated by the sponsor of the Bill during this question and answer period. The Gentleman has told us that this Bill does not provide for every county of the state. In reality it only applies to one county and I don't feel that we have received sufficient justification from the sponsor of the Bill to persuade us that we ought to pass special legislation that would apply only to one county and not to every county in the state. Secondly, I don't feel that he has shown to us that there is a need for the legislation and lastly, under his own testimony, he has admitted that he does not have the affirmative response of the Commerce Commission that they do wish to involve themselves in this regulation and he has not yet even provided sufficient funding to pay for the additional duties that would be placed upon the Commerce Commission because of the provisions of this Bill. I suggest to you that the Bill should not be passed. That it attempts to impose duties solely on one county and not uniformly and that the Gentleman is not sufficiently provided for the



state department, the Illinois Commerce Commission to provide for this regulation. Mr. Speaker, I request the ruling of the chair as to the number of votes required for passage for this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Huskey for what purpose do you rise?"

Huskey: "Now Mr. Speaker I just wanted to answer one of the Majority Leaders questions..."

Speaker Redmond: "Now wait a minute. The parliamentary entry has been poised and I'm waiting for the parliamentarian. Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I simply wish to point out that the Amendment is the Bill. Mr. Parliamentarian, the amendment is now the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Parliamentarian advises me under the language of the amendment that it requires 107 votes. It preempts the entire Act. Representative Huskey."

Huskey: "Mr. Speaker, I want on page 5 in answer to some of the questions that the Majority Leader posed. On page 5 line 4 I want you to listen very carefully because this is the answer to the other counties in the state. It says, 'Any county under 1,000,000 may elect to be covered by this chapter by the adoption of a Resolution by the County Board. Approved by the majority of its members providing that the county shall be subject to this chapter. The County Clerk shall certify that the Commission that the County Board has adopted this Resolution'. Now in answer to his next question, that means any county that has a need for this Bill is... all he has to do is have the County Board approve it and they'll come under the same coverage if there is a need. Now there's another thing I wanted to point out. That the... That the Commission... all the Commission does on this is set rates. This could be your daughter, this could be your wife, this could be anyone that breaks down on these expressways. Are we going to fail



and continue to support these big tow company rip-off artists? Are we going to continue that?"

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any further discussion? Representative Matijevich. Representative White would you please sit down? Did you ever find your party last night?"

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker I want a parliamentary inquiry here. I know the ruling was made that we hear this Bill now. However as I interpret 9.1 Short Debate Calendar, this Bill was amended on the floor. Is that right? It was amended on the floor and shall not be on the Short Debate Calendar on final passage without unanimous consent at the time it is advanced. I don't recall that it was given unanimous consent. And I think it should be on the regular Calendar and regular order of Third Reading. Could I have an interpretation of that? Read 91F Mr. Parliamentarian."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Huskey for what purpose do you rise?"

Huskey: "This Bill was not Amended on the floor. This was Amended in Committee and the Committee... It passed out of Committee 12 to 0."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "I stand corrected. I thought I heard in debate that it was Amended on the floor. I'm sorry."

Speaker Redmond: "I think we ought to mark this day in memory. April 19, 1979 when Representative Matijevich made a mistake on the rules. Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. What we're talking about if we pass this Bill in its present form, is to absolutely preempt 94 units of government in the State of Illinois. And those of you who come from a home-rule unit, which is as you know 25,000 or more, will have no more to say about what happens to the towing practices in their community. I think if we're going to strengthen units of home-rule government



in Illinois we have got to let them operate and not preempt their responsibilities under the constitution of 1970. I know that the home-rule units in my district have not written to me relative to this legislation. I don't want to do anything that's going to preempt their responsibilities in this area and I would suggest you look very closely at this Bill if you're going to vote aye."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further. Representative Huskey to close."

Huskey: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I don't know why... and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I don't know why all the commotion over a simple consumer protection Bill. All this Bill does is to keep the rip-off artists, the big tow truck companies that have got, that have controlled the city of Chicago in Cook County and have run rapid like a bunch of thieves for years. All this Bill does is to control that. This Bill doesn't do anything in any county, any county in this state that has over a million people can come under this Bill if they wish. And if there's legislators that have counties less than a million people that they feel they have a need for this, then I'm sure there's no problem in bringing them under this Bill by a later amendment. It could be amended in the Senate if there's people that feel that way. But counties that are less than a million they certainly haven't got the car population and they can control pretty much themselves the rip-off artists. It's up in Cook County where the rip-off artists are. Half of the cars in the State of Illinois are in Cook County and if a home-rule unit of these people get up and talk about could do something about it they would have long ago done something about it. There has nothing been done about the rip-off artists are still working everyday. I would say don't support these people. Vote for a consumer Bill. This could be your son, you daughter





your wife or a loved one that breaks down on these expressways or highways. Protect them. Protect the rest of the citizens of Illinois that are motorists of the State of Illinois. Mr. Speaker, this is a good Bill and I move for its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Question is shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote aye, oppose vote no. Former member of the House from the vintage class of 1959, Deckless Sadone. Very fine class that year. 1959. Now a resident of DuPage County. He wants to improve his pension rate and he's George Ray Hudson district. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 63 aye... Representative Huskey."

Huskey: "Put this on postponed consideration."

Speaker Redmond: "You don't have 70 votes. Representative Huskey."

Huskey: "Would you call the absentees Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker may I change my vote from present to aye please?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman desires to be recorded as aye did you say?"

Schlickman: "Yes, changed from present to aye."

Speaker Redmond: "Change him from present to aye. Is there anyone else? Representative Sandquist."

Sandquist: "Yes. Mr. Speaker change me from present to aye."

Speaker Redmond: "Change Representative Sandquist from present to aye. Poll the absentees Mr. Clerk. What do you got, 65 now? Starting Representative Kelly, aye. Mautino, aye. Schuneman, aye. Hoxsey, aye. Now what's the count? How many ayes Mr. Clerk? Representative McMaster is aye. Representative Tuerk aye. That's 71. Representative Huskey has requested postponed consideration. Postposed consideration. 279."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 279. A Bill for an Act to amend



sections of the Code of Criminal Procedure. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Johnson. Representative Johnson. Out of the record. 655."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 655. A Bill for an Act..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevich."

Clerk O'Brien: "In relation to the annual plan to be filed by the Department Health Developmental Disabilities Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mugalian. Another former House Member, Representative... former Representative Brady. Representative Mugalian."

Mugalian: "May I have leave to return this Bill to Second Reading for the purposes of an amendment? It's a technical amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Does the Gentleman have leave to return it to the order of Second Reading? The amendment is not printed so we'll have to keep it on Second Reading. Be returned to the order of Second Reading and there it shall repose. 783."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 783. A Bill for an Act to amend sections of the Criminal Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Breslin on the floor? Representative Bower, the hyphenated co-sponsor. 783. Are you equipped to proceed with this one or do you have to take it out of the record? Out of the record. 997." Representative Deuster on the floor? Read the Bill Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 997. A Bill for an Act to amend sections of an Act in relation to rate of interest and other charges in connection with sales on credit and lending of money. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Anybody see Representative Deuster? Everybody point to Representative Deuster. He's up in the balcony I understand. Out of the record. Second Consent



Calendar, Second Reading, second day. Page 11."

Clerk O'brien: "Consent Calendar, Second Reading, second day. Page 11 of the Calendar. House Bill 375. A Bill for an Act to amend sections of an Act concerning public utilities. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment number one was adopted in Committee. House Bill 415. A Bill for an Act to amend sections of the Illinois Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 823. A Bill for an Act to amend sections of the Illinois Vehicle Code. Amendment number one was adopted in Committee. House Bill 895. A Bill for an Act to amend sections of the Illinois Governors Counsel on Health and Physical Fitness Act. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 964. A Bill for an Act to amend sections of an Act fixing and providing for the payment of salaries of State Attorneys and their assistants. Second Reading of the Bill. No... Senate... House Bill 1021. A Bill for an Act to amend sections of the General Not-for-Profit Corporation Act. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1028. A Bill for an Act in relation to special recreation joint agreements. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1050. A Bill for an Act to amend the Probate Act. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1092. A Bill for an Act to amend sections of the Boat Registration and Safety Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment number one was adopted in Committee. House Bill 1098. A Bill for an Act relating to an easement in St. Clair County. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1149. A Bill for an Act in relation to state finance. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment number one was adopted in Committee. House Bill 1160. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1179. A Bill for an Act relating to the payment of certain county boards of health and to the payment of fence watchers. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment



number one was adopted in Committee. House Bill 1197. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1306. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment number one was adopted in Committee. House Bill 1357. A Bill for an Act to amend the Fair Employment Practices Act. Second Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. House Bills Third Reading. Priority of Call. The first Bill is House Bill 311. Representatives..."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 311. A Bill for an Act to amend sections of the Franchise Disclosure Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Steczo."

Steczko: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. With leave of the House, I would like to bring House Bill 311 back to Second Reading for the purposes of an amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Steczo. Representative Steczo has requested he ask leave to return it to the order of Second Reading for purpose of an amendment. Does he have leave? Hearing no objection, be returned to Second. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment number two, Johnson. Amends House Bill 311 on page one by deleting all in section 4.1 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Steczo."

Steczko: "Mr. Speaker, the Sponsor of the Amendment isn't on the floor, but I would ask leave to leave House Bill 311 on Second Reading. Maybe Representative Johnson would want to handle it now."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Johnson has returned to the floor. Representative Johnson. What was your inquiry? I'm waiting for Representative Johnson."

Johnson: "Yeah. Mr. Speaker amendment number two has to do with section 4.1 of the, of this Bill and more specifically



defines good cause for termination of franchise. This has been requested as I understand it by the Franchisees Association themselves. Representative Steczo agrees with the Amendment. It simply clarifies some language and makes it a more affective Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? Representative Steczo."

Steczko: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I concur with amendment number two."

Speaker Redmond: "Questions on the gentlemans motion for the adoption of amendment two. Those in favor say 'aye' aye, oppose 'no'. The ayes have it. The motion carried. The Amendments adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment number three, Johnson. Amends House Bill 311 on page one by deleting lines 29 and 30 and so forth."

Johnson: "Again Mr...."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed Representative Johnson."

Johnson: "Again Mr. Speaker, this speaks to the subsequent section of House Bill 311 on non-renewal of a franchise and puts in more specific and workable language the intent of the sponsor, the intent of the Committee and the desires I think of all people interested in this legislation. I believe again, the sponsor concurs with this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Steczo."

Steczko: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Amendments two and three were worked out through discussions with Members of the Judiciary I Committee and I concur with Amendment number three also."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The questions on the motion for the adoption of the Amendment three. Those in favor say 'aye' aye, oppose 'no'. The ayes have it. The motion carried. Amendment three is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."



Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 329. Representative Kornowicz."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 329. A Bill for an Act to amend sections of the Senior Citizens and Disabled Persons Property Tax Relief Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kornowicz,"

Kornowicz: "House Bill 329. Amends section four of the Senior Citizens and Disabled Persons Property Tax Relief. It raises the maximum qualifying income from 10,000 to 15,000. It changes the formula from computing amounts of the basic grant and maximum amount of the basic grant."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? Representative Schlickman. Representative Schlickman. Please..."

Schlickman: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "Please address the chairman. Representative..."

Schlickman: "Do I understand that this Bill would raise the maximum qualifying income from 10,000 to 15,000?"

Kornowicz: "That's right."

Schlickman: "And the Bill would also change the maximum grant. Is that correct?"

Kornowicz: "That's right."

Schlickman: "I am advised that the fiscal implication of this Bill is an additional \$44,000,000 from the general revenue fund of the State of Illinois. Is that correct?"

Kornowicz: "No that's not right."

Schlickman: "What is the fiscal implication?"

Kornowicz: "Fiscal is \$16,000,000."

Schlickman: "Well didn't you file a fiscal note to House Bill 329 as Amended?"

Kornowicz: "Yeah that's been corrected. As Amended on the Amendment two. And we... the corrected fiscal note that's been passed out."

Schlickman: "Well your fiscal note as I have a copy of says that the Bill as originally introduced, would cost an



additional \$40 to 44,000,000 that by Amendment number two, the cost will be approximately an additional \$25,000,000. Now you've come up with a third figure and I ask you what the basis for that is."

Kornowicz: "Well the... this is the figure that I received from the Department of Revenue in regards to House Bill 329. It raises the qualifying level from circuit breaker grants from \$10 to \$15,000. We estimate this cost of these changes to be \$16,000,000 annually and is signed by James B. Segal, Director of the Department of Revenue."

Schlickman: "I would ask you one further question. Whether the cost is \$40 to \$44,000,000, 25,000,000 or the more recent estimate of 16,000,000, is this within the Governors budget?"

Kornowicz: "Yes."

Schlickman: "And where is it in the Governors budget?"

Kornowicz: "It's his committment to the people of the State of Illinois during his campaign time."

Schlickman: "Do you have a copy of the Governors budget there?"

Kornowicz: "The Governors budget?"

Schlickman: "Yes."

Kornowicz: "No I don't have... no."

Schlickman: "Could you site me that page in the Governors budget where he provides for this additional appropriation within his budget to secure that we are balanced and don't go into debt?"

Kornowicz: "No, the only thing I'm trying to do. He committed himself to the people of the State of Illinois to help out these senior citizens. And...

Schlickman: "Then what you're telling me is that this additional appropriation is not within the Governors budget."

Kornowicz: "It sure is."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I arise to oppose this Bill. This is no time to be expending more



millions of dollars that are not within the budget of the Governor of the State of Illinois and certainly at this time we've got to be concerned, more concerned than ever that we maintain a balanced budget and I would urge a no vote."

Kornowicz: "Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kornowicz."

Kornowicz: "Well I need to have the records straight. Mr. Schlickman, it's not \$40,000,000. I have a copy here from the Department of Revenue and it states only \$16,000,000 so I hope that you don't confuse the Members of the House."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Well simply on a point of privilege in as much as he mentioned by name. I didn't..."

Speaker Redmond: "I didn't think he did but..."

Schlickman: "I didn't say that it was \$40 or \$44,000,000.

I said whether or not it's \$40 or \$44,000,000 which was the estimate of the cost of this Bill as it was introduced. Or whether it was \$25,000,000 as set forth in your own fiscal note. Or whether it was \$17,000,000 as you now represent. It's still without the scope of the balanced budget. And I urge a no vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner. I think you exceeded the bounds of propriety on the point of personal privilege. Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "I guess I'm confused at this point. Could the sponsor tell me again, will it cost \$25,000,000 or are you saying it's going to cost \$16,000,000?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kornowicz."

Kornowicz: "I have a copy of the Governor's director of revenue and it states we estimate the cost of these changes to be \$16,000,000. We had this copy passed out. The fiscal note has been out to all the Members. It was signed by James Segal."

Skinner: "Would you mind getting that to this Page so I can take a look at it."





Kornowicz: "Sure."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker if I may speak to the theoretical nature of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Skinner: "In the past four years we've been hearing various percentages of income which has been considered to be fair and I might tell you where some of them come from. Last year in Representative Pierce's Bill he picked 3.5 percent which is the same percentage that has been picked by Representative Kornowicz. Now 3.5 percent, actually 3.6 percent is the average, it is a percentage of income which the average household paid in taxes during the early 1970's. I would suggest that's somewhat out of date, that it's probably larger than that at the present time. The other figure that you've seen is 4 percent. Four percent is the tax burden of those households in the United States which are under 65 years of age. People over 65 pay more than that. And the question this General Assembly I think has to decide and will decide to some extent in its vote on this Bill, is what percent is enough? What percent is fair? I personally think that 4 percent is enough which is why that figure was contained in House Bill 188 which Representative Kornowicz is a joint sponsor of. Representative Kornowicz in this Bill has put 3.5 percent. An argument can be made for 3.6 percent. I've never seen 3.5 percent as an average figure. If can comment briefly also on the fiscal note. Well I guess I have to ask a question. If the sponsor would answer it? Does the Bill refund everything over 3½ percent of income or is it as stated in the fiscal note it is the maximum grant is going to be \$650 plus 5 percent of household income?"

Kornowicz: "As stated in the fiscal note. That's right."

Skinner: "Well then the \$25,000,000 figure that you were given verbally probably was incorrect. Is that what



you're telling us?"

Kornowicz: "That's right."

Skinner: "OK. Well this, I guess this Bill is not, this Bill isn't as good as House Bill 188. This Bill isn't as good as House Bill 188 because House Bill 188 refunds everything over 4 percent of household income. This Bill will not solve the problem senior citizens have with property taxes, but it may make the Governor happier because this is closer to the figure that he put in his budget. I suspect it probably will only cost \$16,000,000 and that just won't solve the problem and I'm sorry about that and I shall vote present."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Stuffle."

Stuffle: "Yes Mr. Speaker and Members of the co-sponsor of this legislation, I think it important to point out that Representative Kornowicz has fairly addressed the issue of costs and he proposes a Bill here that would be of great benefit to senior citizens in this state. A Bill that ought to be there as an alternative to all others. A Bill that does much of a job, in my opinion, if not all the job we need to do. In terms of addressing problems that senior citizens have with tax payments and with tax relief. I would rise in support of the Bill for the reasons stated by Representative Kornowicz. I think much has been done to demean the Bill here today. Much has been done to confuse the issue. Much has been done to confuse the cost. Representative Kornowicz has completely, accurately stated the cost of the Bill to be what it is in terms of a new fiscal note, it should receive our approval and our green light."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Kornowicz to close."

Kornowicz: "The only thing that I can add to it is the people, the senior citizens are looking for help and this is a Bill that the really will receive some help. I appreciate your vote."



Speaker Redmond: "The question is, shall this Bill pass?"

Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 104 'aye' and 15 'no'. Representative Ryan requests a verification of the Affirmative Roll Call. Representative Kornowicz, 'no'."

Kornowicz: "...absentees."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kornowicz has requested a poll of the absentees. Representative Schneider desires to be recorded as 'aye'. Mr. Clerk, poll the absentees."

Clerk Hall: "Abramson. Alexander. Bluthardt. Bowman. Breslin."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Taylor, for what purpose do you rise? Representative Moseley...Carol Moseley Braun desires to be recorded as 'aye'. Representative Breslin, 'aye'. Representative Kempiners desires to be recorded as 'present'. Proceed with the poll of the absentees." Representative Alexander desires to be recorded as 'aye'. Proceed with the poll of the absentees, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Hall: "Daniels. Donovan. John Dunn."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Donovan desires to be recorded as 'aye'."

Clerk Hall: "John Dunn. Ralph Dunn. Woodyard. Epton. Virginia Frederick. Getty. Griesheimer."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Griesheimer, 'aye'."

Clerk Hall: "Hoffman. Laurino. Leinenweber. Marovitz. McAuliffe. Meyer. Neff. Oblinger. Peters. Schraeder."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bowman desires to be recorded as voting 'aye'...Bowman."

Clerk Hall: "Schraeder. Stearney. C.M. Stiehl. Walsh. Willer and Winchester."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Frederick. Frederick. Does he seek recognition?"

Frederick: "Yes, Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Redmond: "Oh, pardon me."



Frederick: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,  
I would like to be recorded as 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative V.F. Frederick was 'aye'.  
Representative Katz, 'aye'. Dunn...Ralph Dunn, 'aye'.  
Representative Bell, 'aye'. Have we concluded the poll  
of the absentees, Mr. Clerk? Representative Ryan, do  
you have any questions on the Affirmative Roll Call?  
It's 114 'aye'."

Ryan: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. Representative Anderson."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McBroom, for what purpose do  
you rise?"

McBroom: "Vote me 'no', Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Vote him 'no'. What? Representative  
Anderson, was that the inquiry?"

Ryan: "Yes."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Anderson, is he on the floor?  
How's he recorded?"

Clerk Hall: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker, can you give me the count, please?"

Speaker Redmond: "It's 113."

Ryan: "113."

Speaker Redmond: "Wait a minute. Wait a minute. Wait a  
minute. 111."

Ryan: "111?"

Speaker Redmond: "111 'ayes', yeah."

Ryan: "Representative...now, what did we do with Anderson?  
Did you take him off, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Who?"

Ryan: "Anderson, is he..."

Speaker Redmond: "Yes, we took him off."

Ryan: "Representative Boucek."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Boucek. How is he recorded?"

Clerk Hall: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."



Ryan: "Representative Jane Barnes."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Jane Barnes on the floor?  
How is she recorded?"

Clerk Hall: "The Lady is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove her."

Ryan: "Representative Ralph Dunn."

Speaker Redmond: "How is he recorded? Representative Ralph  
Dunn got the message and remove him from the Roll Call.

Ryan: "Representative Davis."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Boucek has returned to the  
chambers. Put him back on. Who was the last one?"

Ryan: "Representative Davis."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Jack Davis. How's he  
recorded?"

Clerk Hall: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Ryan: "Representative Griesheimer."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Griesheimer. Yeah, he was  
...he asked leave to be recorded as 'aye'. So he is  
voting, remove him."

Ryan: "Griesheimer, did you take off, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "I did."

Ryan: "Representative Polk."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Polk here? Polk. How is  
he recorded?"

Clerk Hall: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Ryan: "Representative Bianco."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bianco. How is he recorded?"

Clerk Hall: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Ryan: "Representative Gaines."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Gaines. How is he recorded?"

Clerk Hall: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."



Ryan: "Representative Robbins."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Robbins. How's he recorded?"

Clerk Hall: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Ryan: "Representative Klosak."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Klosak. How is he recorded?"

Clerk Hall: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Ryan: "Representative Stanley. Who was the last one you  
took off, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stanley. How is he recorded?"

Clerk Hall: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Ryan: "Representative Kucharski."

Speaker Redmond: "How is Representative Kucharski recorded?"

Clerk Hall: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Ryan: "Representative Bianco."

Speaker Redmond: "You called him once before and he wasn't  
here, maybe he'll come back."

Ryan: "Oh, I did call him! Representative Reed."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Reed. How is he recorded?"

Clerk Hall: "The Lady is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove her."

Ryan: "Representative Hanahan."

Speaker Redmond: "How is he recorded?"

Clerk Hall: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Ryan: "Representative Birchler."

Speaker Redmond: "He's out here."

Ryan: "Representative Bradley."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bradley on the floor? He's  
here. You can't see around Brummer, but he's right there

Ryan: "Representative Brady."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Brady here? No, he was here



today. How is he recorded?"

Clerk Hall: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him. Brady has returned, put him back on."

Ryan: "Representative Farley."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Farley back there? Farley. How is he recorded."

Clerk Hall: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Ryan: "Representative Bower."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bower. How is he recorded?"

Clerk Hall: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Ryan: "Representative McPike."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McPike here?"

Ryan: "Representative Pechous."

Speaker Redmond: "Wait a minute. I did see, was McPike here?"

Ryan: "He's here."

Speaker Redmond: "Oh, he's back there. Representative Pechous is here in front."

Ryan: "Representative Pouncey."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Taylor Pouncey. Representative Deuster, for what purpose do you rise?"

Deuster: "Please record me as 'present'. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Change Representative Deuster from 'aye' to 'present'."

Ryan: "Representative James Taylor."

Speaker Redmond: "He's here in the green suit. He's got a pink one too that he wore four years ago."

Ryan: "He's got a lot of Irish Bills today he told me...that's why he wore his green suit. Representative White."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative White is in his chair."

Ryan: "Representative Wikoff."

Speaker Redmond: "Is Representative Wikoff in his chair? How is he recorded?"



Clerk Hall: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him. Representative O'Brien requests that he be verified."

Ryan: "O.K. What is the count, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "What is the count, Mr. Clerk? Representative Borchers, for what purpose do you rise?"

Borchers: "I see I'm a Cosponsor of this Bill but we've already passed Representative Skinner's Bill, so I'd like to be marked 'present' instead of...instead of... 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Borchers desires to be recorded as 'present'. 93 'ayes', 17 'noes'..."

Ryan: "Representative Johnson."

Speaker Redmond: "Who?"

Ryan: "Johnson."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Johnson on the floor? How is he recorded?"

Clerk Hall: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him. 92."

Ryan: "Representative Leverenz."

Speaker Redmond: "Leverenz is back there."

Ryan: "Representative Wolf. Sam Wolf."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Sam Wolf. He's back there, in back of McGrew."

Ryan: "Where's Sam Wolf?"

Speaker Redmond: "You see him? Representative Sam Wolf, will you stand up, raise your hand."

Ryan: "Representative Capparelli."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Capparelli is in his chair. He's the little fellow there."

Ryan: "Yeah, that's why I can't see him."

Speaker Redmond: "Capparelli is over there."

Ryan: "Representative Frederick would like to be recognized here, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Frederick."





Frederick: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to record my vote as 'present'."

Speaker Redmond: "Change the Lady from 'aye' to 'present'. Representative Bell."

Bell: "I would like to change my vote from 'aye' to 'present'."

Speaker Redmond: "How was Representative Bell recorded?"

Clerk Hall: "He was recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Change him to 'present'. It shows as 'present' up here. Representative Bradley."

Bradley: "I was wondering, Mr. Speaker, about Representative Frederick. Did she get on the Roll as voting 'no' and ...the machine...I..."

Speaker Redmond: "She requested that she recorded as 'present' now. She...I think was 'present' first and then requested, 'aye' and now...whatever it is, she wants to be recorded as 'present' now."

Bradley: "Well, I just wondered if it was a green vote we were subtracting from the 'aye' votes."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Breslin, did we take her off the Roll Call?"

Ryan: "No. No."

Speaker Redmond: "O.K. Oh, I know what. She wasn't here when her Bill was called."

Ryan: "Representative Braun."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Braun. There's she is in the middle aisle."

Ryan: "Representative Currie."

Speaker Redmond: "She's here. Well, we did that once. Isn't Representative Alexander recorded? Sure, she was put on the Roll Call. Only one vote per Member. Representative McMaster. Representative McMaster. McMaster. Please turn Representative McMaster's switch on. He says it's on."

McMaster: "Thank you, Mr. Chairman, for finally getting it turned on. Could I change my vote from 'yes' to 'present'?"



please."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman desires to be recorded as 'present'. Anything further?"

Ryan: "Representative Mulcahey, is he on the floor?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mulcahey. I hear noises... he's in the back there."

Ryan: "Representative Chapman."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Chapman. How is she recorded?"

Clerk Hall: "The Lady is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Is Representative Chapman on the floor?  
I hear noises...where...Representative Chapman. Remove Representative Chapman from the Roll Call. Wait a minute. Here she comes down the middle aisle."

Ryan: "What's the count now, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "What's the count, Mr. Clerk? Representative Farley has returned, put him back on the Roll Call. 90. Representative Bell has returned to the chamber. Do you desire to be returned to the Roll Call?"

Ryan: "No further questions, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "On this question there is 90 'aye', 17 'no'. The Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. 388. Representative William Harris. Representative Williams will you please sit down? Representative Friedland for what purpose do you rise?"

Friedland: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Point of information. Last week when we were on Third Reading and following... you made your announcement that beginning this week we'd start on a priority of call listing. The Bill that we left off on was House Bill 180 and the next one on that list at that time would have been 205 and I'm just wondering, we're following that or what happened."

Speaker Redmond: "We started at the top this week. I started where the Clerk indicated was the correct starting point."



Friedland: "In other words, you did not continue from the last Bill that we left off from."

Speaker Redmond: "We didn't continue from last week, we started..."

Friedland: "But we're going to do that now."

Speaker Redmond: "Well we're going to go right along and priority of call today and tomorrow in the sequence that they appear on the priority of call."

Friedland: "But if you leave off on a specific Bill in the middle of the priority of call, do you then, the following day pick up the next Bill?"

Speaker Redmond: "I think I intend to pick up where I left off the next week. I think in all fairness to the Members in order to give everybody a chance that we should reach those that came to Third Reading first. I wasn't aware that, I wasn't aware that it was, you know why we started where we did. The Clerk pointed it out and I did it but from here on in, it will be where we left off one week, we'll start there the next week."

Friedland: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "388."

Clerk Hall: "House Bill 388. A Bill for an Act to establish a voluntary program whereby private forest landowners render sufficient money. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Harris."

Harris: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 388 creates a forest land incentive Act. The Department of Conservation of the State of Illinois provides application procedures for restoration of forest lands by their owners. A management plan protects lands by agreement with owner consenting to the lands. Setting restoration terms and payment based on taxes who are discontinued till the restoration for denial, the pension of varification of restoration. Comments on the Bill Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The Illinois



woodlands are generally located in land principally unsuited for normal agriculture purposes. The vast majority, 93% of Illinois farmland of 3.8 million acres of woodland is in small private ownership consisting of 10 to 60 acres and held as a part of operating family type farm units. Presently we're losing 100,000 acres each year of our farmland. An identical piece of legislation was introduced in the last session, House Bill 3147 passed the House during the 80th General Assembly held and made to remain in the Senate in the Rules Committee. The state forester I'd like to emphasize, I'd like you to listen to me just a little bit. The state forester and his staff are the chief drafter of this Bill. The Department of Conservation supported this Bill last session and on fate of the legislation again this session."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? Question is shall this... Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Schlickman: "Does this Bill apply exclusively to forest land?"

Harris: "Yes, it does Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Now, when the state enters into a contract with the owner of the land is there a minimum period or term of that contract?"

Harris: "Ten years Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "What if the state determined within five years that the preservation of this land for forest purposes was not consistent with public policy. Would there be a penalty imposed upon the state for withdrawing from the contract?"

Harris: "If the land owner decides to withdraw their land, Representative Schlickman..."

Schlickman: "No is the state... if the state determines the withdraw."



Harris: "The state has complete control the Department of Conservation at the time they draw up the plan and its orchestrated by the Department of Conservation and the forestry division."

Schlickman: "Would there be any penalty imposed upon the state for with... terminating the contract before the expiration of the 10 year term?"

Harris: "No."

Schlickman: "So the state has absolute unilateral authority to withdraw from the program once a contract has been entered into."

Harris: "The determination would be made initially in my better judgment, Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: ; I'm sorry. I didn't understand. I didn't hear you."

Harris: "The Department of Conservation would make that determination."

Schlickman: "OK. So there is no penalty upon the state for withdrawal."

Harris: "That's right."

Schlickman: "Now, this is a contract that runs with the land, is that correct and not one that is with the land owner itself. In other words it becomes a restriction upon the land."

Harris: "It's an agreement between the person who owns the land and the Department of Conservation the management planning."

Schlickman: "Well doesn't the restriction run with the land so that if within the term of the 10 year period..."

Harris: "Yeah."

Schlickman: "... the property were sold, the subsequent land-owner would be bound by the preservation agreement."

Harris: "True. Very true."

Schlickman: "Alright, there is an appropriation Bill, companion Bill, I'm advised, that is in the amount of 175,000 is that correct?"



Harris: "Companion piece of legislation, that's yeah."

Schlickman: "What is the status of that Bill?"

Harris: "That Bill is to be heard and it's in subcommittee."

Schlickman: "The Appropriations Committee?"

Harris: "Yes."

Schlickman: "Does the Department have an anticipation of what the, what the cost of this program will be after start off?"

Harris: "The appropriation Bill costs \$175,000. 60,000 for administrative. 115,000 for the seed money for the first year. And since the Department of Conservation has control who can or who cannot enter, they can control the size of the money spent being appropriated each year and recommend the amount that they would need or not need to the regular budget time."

Schlickman: "What you're saying then, is that the commitment made by the Department subsequently would be within the control of the legislature."

Harris: "That's very true."

Schlickman: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there anything further? Question is shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote aye, oppose vote no. Representative Sumner."

Sumner: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I had a question for the sponsor. Will he still take it?"

Speaker Redmond: "Please, please come to order. Representative Polk do you desire to be returned to that other Roll Call that you missed? Representative Sumner proceed."

Sumner: "Thank you. The question may have been answered and I didn't understand. But does the landowner have the right to withdraw? Once he's in this program does he have to stay in for the full 10 years or can he withdraw?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Harris."

Harris: "Yes. Representative Sumner. The landowner does have the right to withdraw and after 10 years they can withdraw with no penalty but if they have the option to with-



draw prior to that with a penalty."

Sumner: "And what will this penalty be?"

Harris: "The penalty would be partial payback of the money they received during the time as set in the piece of legislation."

Sumner: "Will there be interest of additional accrument to that in any way?"

Harris: "I'm sorry I didn't get the question?"

Sumner: "Will there be an interest added to it or just the original amount that he had been paid. And is there a lot of red tape from paper forms..."

Harris: "There's no penalty on and above the moneys they have received."

Sumner: "OK. How many forms do they have to fill and what do they go through to get this?"

Harris: "Department of Conservation would handle this and then land managment and I would be very hopeful that the forest would be held at the very minimum."

Sumner: "Thank you."



Speaker Redmond: "Is there any...have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there is 132 'aye' and 6 'no'. The Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. 413, Representative Hannig."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 413. A Bill a for an Act to amend Sections of the Revenue Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hannig. Hannig...over here."

Hannig: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, if you're following this Bill in your book, the synopsis, it is Amendment #2. Amendment #2 deletes Amendment #1 and also deletes the Bill. House Bill 413 would close a loophole that exists presently in the laws as far as property taxes are concerned. House Bill 413 would allow counties to assess and collect property taxes on state-owned land that is leased through private...private individuals and is used in a non...nonexempt function. The purpose of this Bill is to close the loophole that has existed for a long time. It's...in many cases the state has purchased parcels of land and these parcels have come off the local tax property rolls. But in many cases the state has not used this land immediately, for whatever purpose they may have purchased their ground, in turn, they have leased this land back to farmers and private individuals and all this time the local counties are losing this property tax revenue. What I am proposing by this Bill is to allow the counties to assess this land and collect this property tax from the owner of the land or the lessee of the land during the term when he is leasing the ground. It does not allow the local counties to tax and collect land that the state owns and is using in a nonex...and using in an exempt function. I believe it is a good Bill. The Department of Conservation has worked with me on this Bill. They have helped me write the Bill in a way that





would exempt the state from any liability and from any law suits. And we believe that this can help everyone concerned and all the counties in the State of Illinois. And I would appreciate your support on this Bill. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? Representative Ewing."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, this Bill received a great deal of support in the Revenue Committee. I think it addresses a serious problem that we have in many downstate counties with the state owning more and more land, much of which is not being used for a public purpose. It's a good Bill. I think it's been made better by the Amendment and I would wholeheartedly endorse its passage."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further discussion? Who? Representative Huff."

Huff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Huff: "All right. I like the idea, but Representative, could you tell me how much does the state estimate...revenues they could derive from this? What would be the estimated income from these collected taxes?"

Hannig: "This Bill would allow counties to collect additional tax revenues. It would in no way allow the State of Illinois to collect any tax revenues. There is a provision in the Bill that exempts the state from any tax liability. It...the question is, are you worried the state will end up paying additional tax revenues? It would not."

Huff: "Let me put it to you this way. How much revenue would the counties realize...estimated? Has that been determined?"

Hannig: "It really has not been determined. Various different organizations in the state that lease the land in this matter.



we're trying to collect that data from them, but it is somewhat difficult for them to reply and to really estimate what these various plots of ground are worth in the various counties."

Huff: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Ackerman."

Ackerman: "Would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Hannig: "Certainly."

Ackerman: "At the present time in our counties, some of the farmers are claiming that they pay the taxes on state-owned land as part of the lease agreement. Would this change anything or is this a common situation?"

Hannig: "This Bill would insure that all lease...lease agreements and where one acre or more of land are leased to an individual who uses that land in a nonexempt manner would pay property taxes on this. It certainly makes the law uniform and it certainly makes sure that all operations...that all people in companies that lease this land would be liable for the tax."

Ackerman: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bradley."

Bradley: "Just like to speak in support of the Bill, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Bradley: "It's a very good piece of legislation that is going to increase some dollars much needed by county government that's not receiving any today. And I ask for the support of the House...it's a good piece of legislation that the young man brought to course and I urge the support of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Slape."

Slape: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. As hyphenated Sponsor, I'd like to point out that some of the Southern Illinois counties...Polk County and other Southern Illinois counties, almost the whole county has been bought up by



state or federal government. And this is just one approach where the counties can now get revenue. As Co-Sponsor, I'd urge your vote on this."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Griesheimer."

Griesheimer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Griesheimer: "Maybe this has been answered already, but just as a point of clarification; your Bill goes to the point of individual leasing property from the state and using ...probably essentially for farm land, is that correct?"

Hannig: "Well, it is not just specifically for farm land. It is true that in my district it would be principally farm land, however, it would be...it would apply to any state leases. So, in other words, for whatever purpose."

Griesheimer: "All right, now do...your Bill probably applies mainly to unimproved land, is that correct?"

Hannig: "Well, it would apply to any plot of land that the state should see fit to lease to an individual and who would use this land in a nonexempt manner. In other words, it would not apply to a church or a nonprofit organization. But it would apply to any other organization."

Griesheimer: "All right, but what happens now in the case where the land is improved somehow. Let's say the Department of Conservation owns some land and there's a barn on it and a farmer leases this and uses the barn. Will the barn then also be taxed, showing that the land is improved?"

Hannig: "Yes, I believe that it would."

Griesheimer: "All right. What do we do then in the situation of leases by the Department of Conservation to persons running various facilities for them. For instance, a beach house down at Illinois State Beach Park is a leased property from the Department of Conservation..."



well, let's say one of the major facilities, like the Illinois State Beach Lodge or the Lodge at Starved Rock or the one at Giant City State Park, are we putting those lessees in a position where they are going to have to pay a tax to the local area?"

Hannig: "One of the points that was brought up in the Revenue Committee was just such that you mentioned. And one of the...and in Amendment #2, these...this tax would only be applicable on acres...on plots of ground of one acre or greater. In other words, many of your small vendors and small operations that lease very small plots of land would not be covered under this provision in the law. It would only apply in...in two leases of one acre or greater and in many cases your small vendors and operators would be exempt under that provision."

Griesheimer: "That would take care of the beach house, but I don't think it would take care of the lodge at Illinois State Beach Park, nor would it take care of the...let's say the Oasis on the Tri-State Toll Way where I do believe that, for instance, Howard Johnsons has considerably more than an acre involved there. Will they be required to pay tax?"

Hannig: "Well, if...under this Bill, if it is passed, any plot of land greater than one acre certainly would be taxed. Now these operations obviously are competing with other businesses in the area who do pay property taxes and it would only put them on a fair putting with the other businesses."

Griesheimer: "All right. Mr. Speaker..."

Hannig: "And I might add that the provisions of this Bill say that this shall go in to effect for new leases. It would not be applicable against leases that are already into effect. So they certainly would have notice that they would have to be paying property taxes in the future."



Griesheimer: "Mr. Speaker, noting the answers to my questions, I'd like to just state briefly that I am in favor of this concept. I think it will avoid windfall profits to certain people dealing with the state, that profit being the ability to avoid taxes that every other business has to pay. And I'm in favor of it, I'd urge your support."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Hannig to close."

Hannig: "I think we've fairly discussed the Bill today. And I believe that it is a good Bill. It's one that we can all take home to the folks back home and tell them we are working to try to close the loopholes that exist. We are working to try to see that there is more fair and equitable property taxes because obviously any taxes that...any acres that escape taxation means that there is a greater burden on those acres of ground that has to support the rest of the county. I believe it is a good Bill and I would ask for your favorable support."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. Take the record. Take the record. On this question there is 153 'aye' and 0 'nay'. The Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. 420, Representative DiPrima."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 420. A Bill for an Act to amend sections of the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative DiPrima what's your motion?"

DiPrima: "Yes sir, Mr. Speaker I would like to ask leave of the House to have House Bill 420 brought back to Second Reading for the purpose of an amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "The gentleman have leave to return 420 to the order of Second Reading? Hearing no objection, leave is granted. The order of Second Reading. Mr.



Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amend Floor Amendment number one, DiPrima. Amends House Bill 420 by deleting everything after the enacting clause and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative DiPrima."

DiPrima: "What do you want me to do?"

Speaker Redmond: "Do you move the adoption of the Amendment?"

DiPrima: "Absolutely."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman asked for an explanation for the Amendment."

DiPrima: "That isn't funny, you read it."

Speaker Redmond: "Is that explanation suffice?"

DiPrima: "This is very lengthy."

Schlickman: "Well Mr. Speaker I would just suggest to the Gentleman that I welcome that day when he takes up residency in the fourth district. I'm wondering, Mr. Speaker, if the Gentleman who one day will be residing in the fourth district at Central and River road across from Maryville Academy where Father Smith will be praying for him and repose of his soul, if he would extend to us the courtesy of a brief discription. Please."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative DiPrima."

DiPrima: "Alright, I'll give the explanation given to me by the Department of Veterans Affairs. This amendment repeals a section dealing with veterans dependents, scholarships in the School Code and adds the section to the Department of Veterans Affairs Act that gives certain veterans dependents, similar scholarship rights. It'll make scholarships available to only at state supported colleges, universities, and communitiy colleges. Awards scholarships to dependents of eligible veterans regardless of when such declaration was made or relationship with spouse or child came into being. Scholarship benefits will include tuition application, maticulation activity, graduation or incidental fees instead of mandatory



fees. The scholarship will be placed on a point system which be equivalent of four years of full time enrollment. It will place restrictions on when the scholarship must be initiated and on when it must be completed. If you have any furthers questions concerning this matter please do not hesitate to contact this office. Is that good enough Schlickman?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijeovich."

Matijeovich: "Would the gentleman yield to a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

DiPrima: "Yes sir."

Matijeovich: "Yes on page two line 61 and you did give that in your explanation regarding to matriculation of fees. Could you tell this body a little about those matriculation fees?"

DiPrima: 'Yeah, well, your matriculate according to the Bill."

Matijeovich: "No I didn't say... you are articulating but what we want you to do is articulate the matriculation."

DiPrima: "Oh. There's no additional fees."

Matijeovich: "No additional fees. Now you also..."

DiPrima: "That articulates the marticualtion."

Matijeovich: "You also referred to eligible dependents, would you tell this august body who comes under that classification."

DiPrima: "Those that are eligible."

Matijeovich: "I see. Well you can't beat that. Now one other question and you did not mention in your explanation there was some reference made in the Bill to certain strictures according to age. Could you tell the body what those the limits are?"

DiPrima: "Listen, I got two heavyweights are hyphenated sponsors of this Bill, Brady and Yourell. Maybe they'll help me out."

Matijeovich: "Alright. I wonder, you know, in your explanation you didn't offer to put in the Bill that anybody in Illinois should reach that office. I hope that wasn't



in the Bill too."

DiPrima: "Reach the office, what office?"

Matijevich: "For any questions..."

DiPrima: "You've got to run for election here."

Matijevich: "Alright. Well I think Larry finally has got a good Bill and this amendment ought to be adopted."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further questions? Representative Dyer."

Dyer: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen. Would the sponsor yield for a question?"

DiPrima: "Proceed."

Dyer: "This is from the ridiculous to the serious. This is a serious question. Did I understand that your amendment restricts these proposed scholarships to public colleges and universities in Illinois?"

DiPrima: "It says it makes scholarships available only only at state supported colleges, universities, and community colleges."

Dyer: "Well I would like to ask another question. You know, if we're granting money isn't that terribly discriminatory to the private colleges and universities. Why can't the veterans choose, maybe they want to go to DePaul University or Roosevelt University or Loyola And maybe that's where they could find the courses that they want. Is there a reason not to include the private colleges and universities?"

DiPrima: "Yes, my kid goes to DePaul and I'm paying. Let everybody else pay?"

Dyer: "Well we could say the same thing about other types of scholarships and other types of schools. Would the sponsor consider adding an amendment to include the private colleges and universities?"

DiPrima: "Why of course."

Dyer: "Alright thank you very much and I would respectfully request that the Sponsor hold this on Second Reading and bring in an amendment to include the private colleges.





Thank you."

DiPrima: "Absolutely not. I want this moved up to third."

Speaker Redmond: "There anything further? Question is shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote... oh pardon me, pardon me, pardon me. Questions on the Gentleman's motions for the adoption of amendment one. Those in favor indicate by saying 'aye' aye, oppose 'no'. In the opinion of the chair the ayes have it. Motion carried. The amendment's adopted. Any further amendments?"

Clerk Hall: "No further amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Then it'll be Third Reading. Representative Dyer."

Dyer: "I wonder if there's a fiscal note on this Bill?"

Speaker Redmond: "Has there been a request for a fiscal note?"

Dyer: "I would like to make a request that a fiscal note be added to this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "It's on Third Reading now."

Dyer: "Well, jumping out a little bit there. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "675. Representative Brady on the floor? Brady? This almost sounds like a DiPrima Bill."

Clerk Hall: "House Bill 675. A Bill for an Act to regulate and practice of public accountants and to repeal certain Acts therein names. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Brady here? Out of the record. 529."

Clerk Hall: "House Bill 529. A Bill for an Act making appropriation to the Department of Transportation. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schisler. Out of the record. 162. McAuliffe here? Out of the record. 1... Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Representative McAuliffe should be excused for illness today Mr. Speaker."



Speaker Redmond: "I know he was yesterday but I didn't think we did that yesterday but I see that he's not there. So out of the record. Might as well. Are there any other absences that should be excused? On the Republican side?"

Ryan: "I don't know yet., I'll check and find out."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan are there any Democratic absences that should be excused?"

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker would the record show that Representative Schraeder is excused because of illness?"

Speaker Redmond: "Any objections? Records will so show! Representative Kucharski has returned to the chamber. Do you desire to be recorded on House Bill 329. I guess you're right Mr. Ryan. 192. Marovitz. Out of the record. 203, McMaster."

Clerk Hall: "House Bill 203. A Bill for an Act in relation to the designation of emergency telephone numbers. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McMaster."

McMaster: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 203 is in reference to legislation that we passed in 1975 in regard to 911, the emergency phone number system. I pulled these Bills out of the record last week because Representative Katz was not on the floor. I'm looking to see if Harold is here now. But nevertheless whether Harold is or is not here, I removed them at one time for his benefit and I noticed he was here earlier this morning and if he wants to be here to testify or debate these Bills for or against them, I think it's his responsibility to show up. I cannot afford to postpone them another time. In 1975 we mandated that every unit of local government participate in the 911 emergency phone number system by the year 1985. A number of deadlines were involved, for instance, at the end of this year all of the units of local government, counties



I believe, must have an initial plan for implimentation of 911. A year ago last summer, several of us in this body were participants in a special commission of the Governor in regard to mandate of laws that we in the General Assembly pass that in turn cause a cost to units of local government. We held some 10 or 11 hearings across the State of Illinois. The problem of the 911 emergency phone number system and the cost of it was brought up at everyone of these hearings. That is the initial reason for the implimentation of this... or the start of this Bill. It seems that in downstate Illinois with our widespread population a number of volunteer fire departments, ambulance services, services such as this; we could not get by with the basic low cost 911 system. We would have to be involved in a more sophisticated system for implimentation of 911. Let me explain for instance the situation that occurred where I personally live. I live on a farm near the town Oneida. We have our own volunteer fire district and our own ambulance service in that locality. If we were to be involved in the 911 system on a county-wide basis, we would have to make our calls to report a fire or to request an ambulance to 911 which would ring into the city county safety building in Galesburg some 15 miles away and in turn, they would have to re-route the call back to our fire district or our ambulance district. Rather than saving time and securing either one of the services, this program would have the effect of delaying a fire district, fire department call or an ambulance call. So quite frankly it is my opinion that in most of the State of Illinios as far as area is concerned, 911 would be inhendrent rather than a benefit. There are those that will say, in fact it was brought out by one of the Representatives who testified against these Bills in Committee a couple of weeks ago, that it would certainly be nice to be able



if any of us in our route from home to Springfield or Springfield home again, if we had need of a medical emergency if we could pick up a phone and dial 911 and get it. Let me point out to you that you cannot stop your car along the highway and reach out and grab a telephone. They aren't there. If you were going to call an emergency phone number, you would have to stop in a town where there was a telephone available. And in that case, you would have to either go into a place of vendice or into a private home and ask them to use their phone and certainly they would have the phone numbers available for either police or ambulance or medical services. 911 has been highly touted as beneficial as far as emergency health problems are concerned. Let me point out to you that in those areas where 911 is in existence, the majority of the calls, over 90% are involved with law enforcement not medical services. So I think that is a rather outdated concept. The cost of this would be exorbitant. For instance on my phone system at Oneida where we have some 500 phones with all of the direct dialing and everything else, the cost to out phone system would be about \$40,000 for start up and an additional \$300 per month for authoration on a monthly basis for as long as we were involved in 911. And again as I say, it would be detrement to us, a hinderance rather than being beneficial to us. Ladies and Gentlemen I think this occurs just about all over the state. The Commerce Commission talks out of both sides of their mouth. In a morning fire to the hearing in Committee a couple of weeks ago, the Commerce Commission stated that they did not know the cost of establishing 911 because they had inquired of one of the phone systems in the State of Illinois and that phone system said that there was no way that they give them a figure for a least a period of a year. They appeared in the Comittee that afternoon



and proceeded to give a figure and of course I brought up to them the statement they had made earlier that morning. Ladies and Gentlemen, it is time that we stop mandating laws to units of local government. This Bill will not permit, not prohibit any unit of government, city, county, township, or whatever from establishing their emergency phone number. They can if they choose. But again, it is by their own choice not by our mandate. All that this Bill does is make it permissive for anyone to establish 911. It takes away the mandatory part of the legislation that we passed in 1975. And you all know what we have been hearing from all of the people in the State of Illinois as well as units of local government that they do not want to have these mandates, they want to have the things that we pass down here permissive rather than mandatory to them. And I agree with them completely. I think the thinking of the General Assembly has been approaching that concept for quite some time and I would urge the support of this legislation and of course be available to answer questions."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Friedrich. Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I rise in support of this Bill. I think this 911 thing was an example of Springfield trying to solve a problem that doesn't exist. Who needs 911 in the rural areas? All you're doing is mandating as Representative McMaster said a program on some people who don't want it in the first place. I've taken a poll in my area and I haven't found anybody that thinks we ought to have 911 in the rural areas. And as he pointed out of course in rural areas the telephone lines get down instead of being able to have to call Centralia to get a fire truck in Patoka, you call Patoka because that's where you live. And I think it's absurd for us in this legislature to mandate



this 911 program on anybody. In fact, I've got a Bill to extend it with the hope that it'll eventually be killed. If people want it, let them vote it in and pay for it. We don't want it."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Would the Sponsor yield please?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Schlickman: "This Bill does not apply to rural areas. Is that correct?"

McMaster: "Will you rephrase that question Gene, I didn't hear you?"

Schlickman: "This Bill is not restricted to rural areas. Is that correct?"

McMaster: "The Bill is presently written, Gene, says that any place in the State of Illinois the program is permissive rather than mandatory. It does not exclude anyone from the permissive basis."

Schlickman: "So it's not restricted to the rural area."

McMaster: "No that was changed in an amendment."

Schlickman: "Now you stress the element of state mandate. By what means has the state stepped in and mandated a unit of local government to install the 911 system?"

McMaster: "Gene, if you will recall the legislation that we passed in this House in 1975 we mandated that all of the State of Illinois would be included in the 911 system by the year 1985 by our mandate and it was to all units of local government."

Schlickman: "Well, I ask the question again and I would appreciate you giving a direct response. By what means has or can the state force a unit of local government to establish the 911 system?"

McMaster: "Well I guess Gene the only thing I can tell you is that we made it a law and therefore it is a law and enforceable by some means. I think probably you could explain the enforcement procedure better than I can being, being an attorney and the course involved



in court procedures. But I assume that it since it is a law of the state it could be enforced by the court."

Schlickman: "Isn't it true that the Department of Public Health opposes this Bill?"

McMaster: "You know the Department of Public Health came to the Committee Hearing and voiced their opposition to us Gene. And again..."

Schlickman: "Alright the Department of Public Health does oppose the Bill."

McMaster: "... and I asked them if that was a departmental statement and did have the approval of the Governor and of course they had not gone to the Governor in regard to it. And again Gene I think there is a mis-interpretation of medical emergency verses the the law enforcement that is occurring. I doubt very much if the Department of Public Health realize that less than 10% of the 911 calls are on health problems. And again, let me say, I do not prevent anyone from having it if they want to. This is merely changing the law to permissive rather than mandatory."

Schlickman: "One further question. While the Illinois Commerce Commission has been somewhat indecisive with respect to its position on the Bill, maybe the best word is to say neutral, isn't it true that ICC has indicated that they would prefer the program to be continued on a statewide basis?"

McMaster: "Gene, I don't believe the ICC has ever made that comment. They of course have designated a certain individual and group of people I suppose you might say within that Commerce Commission who are handling 911. Of course, they became involved with it since we made it a law and they were required by directive to come up with the plans and to assist units of local government in formulating those plans. That's their involvement and I don't think the Commerce Commission has any business sitting where they are and telling



us and the town of Oneida, the county Knox, Hancock; wherever you might go in the State of Illinois and telling us that we must do this. I think that is out of their realm and their duty to be merely what we have put before them. Not something that they promagate."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker may I address myself to the Bill?"

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. The State of Illinois has progressed tremendously over the past year in providing a program for emergency assistance to the citizens of this state. In fact, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, the State of Illinois is foremost among the states in the union with respect to the programs that it provides for emergency assistance. 911 is an extremely worthwhile system for giving quick expeditious emergency service whether it be in the area of law enforcement or it be in the area physical need to its citizens. 911 is a program that has proved to be effective throughout the country. 911 is a system whereby an individual by three digits can pick up any telephone and get effective, expeditious law enforcement or medical assistance when in need. The city of Chicago has the 911 system and it is working extremely well and impediment with respect to the program is that there are municipalities joining the city of Chicago which don't have it. There are vacuums in this program. A program that is desperately needed. I might call to your attention that there is another Bill pending that would provide the financial wear with all relative to statewide establishment of this program without, without a burden on financial resources of units of local government. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, we can't step back from program simply because of the use of the word mandate. There are certain programs that have to be of statewide application





and they just have to be. This is definitely one of those programs that has to be. Now Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, we're the ones responsible for establishing statewide public policy. The Bill, the law as it presently establishes, the Bill as it is presently established sets forth in as effective means as possible public policy. If we pass this Bill we will be taking a step backward from implimenting a statewide program that is absolutely indispensable for the public welfare health safety and morals of the citizens of this state. I respectfully suggest Mr. Speaker and Members of the House that this is not a good Bill, it's one that should be defeated so that we can bring about as soon as possible statewide 911 for the benefit of the citizens of the state and I urge a no vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Conti."

Conti: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

For the same reasons that the former speaker got up I'm going to ask you to vote for this Bill. My colleague on the other side of the aisle Representative Stuffle introduced a Resolution today and wanted it on the agreed list. Even though I agree with the concept of the Resolution I ask that it be taken off the agreed list because its another one of the Acts of the General Assembly thinking that they have to be the guardian of the state, the guardian of everybody in the State of Illinois and then don't provide the funds for it. The implimentation of the 911 emergency telephone system mandated by the Act of the General Assembly is impeted by the fact that that the funding considerations have not been addresssed by the Illinois General Assembly. The providers of the emergency services in the State of Illinois have been deligently cooperating in resolving the operational aspects of 911. But just like the constitution asked us to change



the personal property tax. We sat for nine years now we have to get a commission to find out how to come up with other funds other than the personal property tax. The telephone companies are unable to commit their resource until funding has been committed. This has put the people of the State of Illinois, the 911 users in a position of being stalemated because of lack of funding. And a deadline for submitting such tentative plans in January 1 of 1980. I ask my colleague who speaks against this Bill and the colleagues who are going to speak against this Bill, what have they been doing since this Act has been passed to fund this operation? I'm not speaking on the merits of the merits of the 911 system, but I feel that this Bill 203, if we're not going to provide the funds then we should pass this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bullock has been up for a long time."

Bullock: "Mr. Speaker will the Sponsor yield to a question, too?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Bullock: "Representative McMaster, this Bill as I read it, does in fact create some administrative problems and according to the previous speaker I guess the addage is if it doesn't work, scrap it. I ask, my first question would be, what costs are attached to the 911 system and in light of the fact we only have 40% of unit state government that have even attempted or successfully implimented this. What are these costs involved?"

McMaster: "Well, Mr. Bullock, I think you will find that 40% refers to the percentage of population in the State of Illinois covered and of course, in Chicago is covered that gets a large part of your population. As far as the cost to the city of Chicago to establish 911 I can't give you the figure of implimentation but it



does cost over \$1,000,000 per year to operate the system. And let me also point out that when you're talking about funding an operation such as this, it would, funding would have to be by a new tax. I didn't know that people like Gene Schlickman were advocating tax increases without representation by people who are going to pay this tax..."

Bullock: "Mr. Speaker I, now I want to speak to the Bill.

It occurs to me that if we were to support House Bill 203 as it presently exists, we would in fact not only be penalizing the senior citizens and the disabled persons of this state who use 911 for various emergency situations, but certainly somewhere along the line we're going to have companion legislation coming through here and there is legislation presently filed which does in fact increase the tax to pay for this program. And I'm not certain whether or not that will pass or not but certainly I think the persons who I've mentioned before, the seniors and the disabled person, need a system where they can use that is readily available that will get them the kind of service that they need and 911 in effect will do that. If we some way dismantle the existing mandate for 911, many of the citizens who live on various borders of Illinois-Michigan, Illinois-Wisconsin, Illinois-Kentucky, are going to find it awful difficult in an emergency to get relief. And so for that reason I would certainly ask the Members on this side of the aisle to not only take a strong look at 203 and of course I'd urge them to vote no, but I suggest that they also be on the lookout for other appropriation, other Bills that are going to come through asking for an increase in the tax on this 911 system. It's a good system, we haven't given it a chance to work. And I certainly would hope that this Bill would be defeated because the people that need the system are not being given ample opportunity



because it isn't funded. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Katz."

Katz: "I join the distinguished Gentleman from Chicago in urging that Members on this side of the aisle oppose this Bill. We have seen the wonderful progress that has been made with regard to 911 in the space of a few years since the General Assembly enacted this Bill. The city of Chicago has a wonderful system and all over the State of Illinois and particularly all over suburban Cook County more and more communities are utilizing the advantages of 911. But I want to say to you that it is not simply alone all of the groups mentioned by the Gentleman from Cook the senior citizens or otherwise, it is also the Members of the General Assembly. As you'd leave the General Assembly and drive to your home, you will be passing through many different areas. If you suddenly felt the need for summoning the police, if you suddenly felt the need to go to the hospital that you thought you were getting the symptoms of a coronary, if you suddenly felt the need of a fire beginning and the necessity of calling the fire department, you wouldn't have the slightest idea the telephone number to call. Depending on where you happen to be the number may be 325-8764 or a thousand other numbers. By the time you find the correct number that would connect you with the emergency response service, you would probably have decreased your chance of living by at least 100% increased the chance of crime by at least 100% and if it is fire made sure that the fire would spread. The fact of the matter is that it is not possible to have an emergency response system unless it is on a statewide basis. There is no other way to have one. You have to get to have the assurance that wherever you travel in Illinois you will be able to summon help. And the way you summon help is by calling 911. There is legislation that is going



through the General Assembly to try to make available methods of raising money. Up to this point there has been no problem, no one has come in with any kind of serious problems and community after community has been complying with 911 until as you read in the booklet that was distributed to you recently by the Commerce Commission, a large number of communities in Illinois now enjoy 911 and many other communities are in the planning stage of all. This Bill; however well intentioned, is very short-sited Bill. It will destroy the entire system. You cannot have a statewide emergency system where there is a patchwork and if you go to the right you can dial 911 and get help but if you go to the left you have to know that the number is whatever the number is. A thousands different emergency numbers all over Illinois. No one, not even the telephone company when this Bill passed could have been able to tell you the number to call if you needed a doctor, needed a hospital, needed a policeman, needed a fireman. This Bill is very much a step in the wrong direction. We are making wonderful progress under the direction of a statewide 911 program. I would urge you as the Gentleman from Arlington Heights did, as the Gentleman from Cook did, to have forward vision to continue with this program and we will meet the emergencies as we go and there will be other legislation before you this time and in other sessions that will deal directly with the concern so well express by the distinguished of this Bill whom I have always personally very much admired. I would urge a no vote on this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman has moved the previous question.

Question is shall the main question be put. Those in favor say 'aye' aye, oppose 'no'. The ayes have it, the motion carried. Representative McMaster."



McMaster: "Thank you Mr. Chairman, Mr. Speaker. I'm sorry.

The things that have been brought up by the various opponents of this Bill seem to be from people who already have 911 in existence within their particular locality. We do not hinder that in any way. They're perfectly free to have it they so desire. I certainly feel that we in the rest of the State of Illinois should have that same freedom of choice. The idea of Representative Katz and the ability to go to a phone and dial 911 I'm sure he feels that way in his deepest feelings. But again, let me say Harold, you don't reach out of your car window and grab a telephone. You go to a place of business or a farm house or a house in a small community and ask them for permission to use their phone. The idea of having the phone immediately available is not true downstate and you know that Harold as well as I do. As far as those people, the elderly, enjoying the right to dial 911 that Representative Bullock brought up, certainly if they have it in their own telephone system, they can do so now Representative Bullock. But I don't think it's fair for you people in Chicago or the suburbs of Chicago to sit up there and say that we people downstate must go ahead and spend the money that you think we should spend so that we can enjoy this benefit that you seemingly enjoy. You don't care what it costs you to enjoy those benefits. Certainly I think that all of you are sensible enough to realize that money does not grow on trees. There's only one source of money whether you're paying for emergency telephone or what you're paying and that is the individual who makes use of that service. They pay for it themselves. The state doesn't pay for it. We do not have any source of money unless it is the taxpayers or the users of the service. So we're not affecting present 911 systems. We are saying, fine if you like it go ahead and have it and more power to you.



I think that's fine. Harold or someone brought up the idea that we have been one of the leaders in 911. I say that that is not entirely true. Two states implimented it in the entire statewide program several years. Florida and California I'm talking about. Especially in Florida was found out that it was not a practical solution in the rural areas and they had to exempt rural counties from participation in 911 Harold. They do not have it on a statewide basis and again they do seemingly what we are trying to do with this legislation. We are trying to make it permissive rather than mandatory. California had a number of problems with it also and had to make changes in their law of mandating 911. And quite frankly when we talk about the state paying for it as people like Representative Schlickman has just done, I don't see Gene around here, and Representative Katz, Representative Bullock, I did know that there was so many people in this General Assembly that still wanted to tax the people without the people's benefit to say yes or no to the tax. Shame on you for advocating taxation without representation. We have heard enough from the people of the State of Illinois on this regard. I urge you to support legislation that again gives that people of our state the right to make their choice rather than us sitting down here or Gene Schlickman or Harold Katz sitting up in their area and saying look, you people in Oneida or you people in Springfield or you people in Southern Illinois, I think this is what you need this is the pill you must take. You are going to take it and I am telling you that I am going to make you pay for it. And I think this is irreprehensible to allow something like this to occur in the State of Illinois and again let me say, shame on those people who want to tax without representation. It astonishes me that especially someone like Harold Katz and Gene



Schlickman with their intimate knowlege would advocate that type of attack. Thank you Mr. Speaker and I urge a yes vote on this very important legislation for all the people of the State of Illinois."

Speaker Redmond: "The chair can't decide whether this is liable to be a squeaker or whether it's going to be a runaway so we're going to take a Roll Call and if it looks like a verified Roll Call is in order, we'll dump that Roll Call and then go into that procedure. The question is shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote aye, oppose vote no. Representative Skinner to explain his vote."

Skinner: "I don't think I have ever heard a more tightly reasoned argument than the one given by Representative McMaster in favor of this Bill. We don't mandate that municipalities provide the electric street lights. We don't mandate that they use gas heat in their City Halls and yet here we are mandating how they handle their telephone systems. It seems to me that this is probably an AT&T stockholders flag vote. If you vote no you'll probably get a telephone poll next year name in the next annual report to Illinois stockholders of Illinois Bell."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stuffle."

Stuffle: "Yes Mr. Speaker and Members. I rise in support of the Bill. Representative Conti expressed some of the opinions in the Resolution that I have offered on the same subject. The Sheriffs Association has taken this program to task. People in downstate Illinois know that the costs are not being met by the state they don't want to meet them, they can't meet them. The complexities of putting the 911 program together in rural areas that sometimes have four and five and six independent major telephone operations in the same area makes this a difficult program to utilize or impliment. It's a program we don't need. It doesn't





affect the city of Chicago what we're simply saying in support of the Bill is, leave us alone in downstate Illinois. You can still dial 0 on the telephone and in my opinion you can still probably do as well doing that as dialing 911. We should support this Bill and put more green lights on the board up there in behalf of our constituents. Someone mentioned senior citizens. I received four or five letters from senior citizens on this proposal. They all oppose 911 being mandatory. They don't want additional tax money being spent for this program. They too don't see its value. They think it's marginal with best. We should be supporting Representative McMaster. He did, as Representative Skinner said, hit the nail on the head with a logical reasoned argument for passage of this legislation."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Birkinbine. I want to caution you, don't vote any switch other than your own. I see some votes that have been tallied here and the Members are not in their seats. We're just encouraging a verification. Representative Birkinbine."

Birkinbine: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is being portrayed as a rural verses urban problem. It is not simply a downstate verses upstate problem. In the village of Norfield where I live, 5,000 people in the village of Norfield if the sophisticated 911 system were to be implemented it would cost village of 5,000 people \$2,000 a month. Now if the basic 911 system were to be implemented what would happen is that a call would be made to a regional number. It could go to someplace like DesPlaines, they'd have to say what number are you calling from. They would say, OK 446, they would then call Winetca because that's a Winetca exchange, they would then have to determine no indeed that't a Norfield number that process would take 7½ minutes as opposed to simply either dialing the operator or dialing the



fire department or police department directly which would take less than half a minute. It's a problem that would cost again I say my small village to affectively impliment it \$2,000 a month."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative McBroom, I couldn't see you. Representative Collins was standing in front of you. Representative Campbell."

Campbell: "Well Mr. Speaker I normally don't explain my vote when it's this far ahead but I think this is an excellent piece of legislation and one of the reasons that, because that it is, we in 1975 this General Assembly made the mistake in mandating a program and we've heard a lot of talk about mandating programs and not furnishing the money and this is one of the things that brought California to its knees on Proposition 13. And I think it's time that this General Assembly learned that we, that people just cannot afford all of the services that we want to bestow upon them if they don't neither want desire or need. Thank you very much. And I ask for your aye vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Griesheimer."

Griesheimer: "Mr. Speaker in explaining my vote, I think this is a very significant vote. I know there's more than enough votes up there to pass it but it just goes to show you what the collar county and downstate legislators can do if they stick together. Let's make the next vote to wash out the RTA."

Speaker Redmond: "It's the intention of the chair to adjourn about 1:30. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 112 aye and 31 no and the Bill having received the Constitutional Majority hereby declared passed. Representative Ryan for what purpose are you rising? Representative Ebbesen has yeilded to you."

Ryan: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. We have a special occasion to-



day. I understand the Majority Leader is a year older today and I'd like to have leave of the House to have Representative Giakaris brought over from the Senate because Representative Madigan has told me in the past that he really enjoyed those sessions when she was here to sing and I'd like to have leave of the House to bring her over, Mr. Speaker, so she can sing Happy Birthday to the Majority Leader. Happy Birthday to you Mike."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ebbesen to sing Happy Birthday."

Ebbesen: "No, Mr. Speaker, I didn't yield to my leader for that purpose."

Speaker Redmond: "Any announcements? No. Agreed Reso... Peters... Representative Peters."

Peters: "Yeah, thank you very much Mr. Speaker. If the Republican members of the Appropriations II Committee would meet in my office in room 220 for about five minutes, no longer than five minutes immediately after session."

Speaker Redmond: "Agreed Resolutions."

Clerk O'Brien: "House..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ebbesen. Pardon me."

Ebbesen: "Yes, Mr. Speaker did you announce the Roll Call on that final Bill?"

Speaker Redmond: "Thought I did, yeah. Yeah. 112 to 31. It received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed the second time. Agreed Resolutions."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 187, Wikoff. 188, Grossi. 189, Watson. 190, Dawson. 191, Schoberlein, Murphy, Kempiners. 192, Pechous. 193, Reilly. 194, Stuffle, Campbell, Woodyard. 195, Sandquist. 196, Waddell. 197, DiPrima. 198, Huskey. 200, Kornowicz. 201, DiPrima. 203, Leverenz. 204, McMaster, Neff. 205, Schuneman, Ebbesen, Mautino. 207, Rigney. 208, E.M. Barnes. 209, Sam Wolf. 210, Yourell. 211, Yourell. 212, Yourell. 213, Yourell. 214, Yourell, 215, Yourell."



216, Yourell. 217, Yourell."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker. Wikoff's 187 recognizes Mercy Hospital. 188 by Grossi the Indians, of Brookford Junior High. 189 by Watson informs about the Voice of Democracy winner. 190 by Dawson congratulates Mr. Victor Sigula. 191 by Schoberlein talks about Frank H. Brown. 192 by Pechous harolds Morton High School. 193 by Reilly find the Illinois Youth Adult Conservation Corp. 194 by Stuffle records Mattoon High Schools basketball team. 195 by Sandquist proclaims Secretarys' week. 196 by Waddell talks about institute of marriage. 197 by DiPrima awards a boy scout troop. 198 by Huskey calls attention to a mankind award. 200 by Korniwicz denotes a siver jubilee. 201 by Conti honors the Veterans Administration. 203 by Leverenz the Chicago area clubs on National Association of Negro Businessmen. 204 by McMaster 90th birthday. 205 by Schuneman, the Golden Girls. 207 by Rigney, the betterment of life. 208 by Barnes, Department of Public Health. 209 by I don't know who it that is, by the Trojans of Madison Senior High. 210 by Yourell, Toby Anderson. 211 by Yourell, Ward Dovoni. 212 by Yourell, Boy Scouts of America. 213 by Yourell, Michel Damp. 214 by Yourell, Timothy Elcry. 215 by Yourell, Joeseph Doorman. 216 by Yourell, Michael Balderser. 217 by Yourell, St. Gerald's High School. And I move for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions."

Speaker Redmond: "Questions on... Any discussion? Questions on the gentlemans motion for the adoption of the agreed Resolutions. Those in favor say 'aye' aye, oppose 'no'. The ayes have it. Agreed Resolutions are adopted. Further Resolutions."

Clerk O'Brien: "Also, Agreed Resolutions. Agreed Resolutions. House Joint Resolution 38, Pechous. House Joint Resolution 39, Stanley and Capuzi. And Senate Joint Resolution



32, Boucek."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Just one second. House Joint Resolution 38 by Pechous talks about the senior citizens of the state. 39 by Stanley honors Holiday Floyd and 32, Senate Joint honors a Bill to LaGrange Illinois. I move for the adoption of agreed joint Resolutions and Senate Resolutions."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Questions on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of the agreed Resolutions? Those in favor say 'aye' aye, oppose 'no'. The ayes have it the motion carried. Agreed Resolutions are adopted. Further Resolutions."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Joint Resolution 37, Stuffle. House Resolution 202, Taylor."

Speaker Redmond: "Committee on Assignments. Representative Schisler."

Schisler: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'd like to have leave of the House to have House Bill 1099 and 1100 through 1121, 1123, House Bill 1448 through 1455 heard in Agriculture Committee tomorrow morning at 10:00. These Bills were posted. They were in Executive Committee and they want to put them in Agriculture because they have toomany Bills."

Speaker Redmond: "Would you bring a list to the Clerk. Those are all easement Bills are they not?"

Schisler: "Yes, yes Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman have leave? Hearing no objection, leave is granted. Will you give a list here? Do you want the... The Order of Motions. Representative McClain for what purpose do you rise?"

McClain: "Thank you very much Mr. Speaker. I apologize for not talking to Mr. Ryan but Mr. Greiman would like me to wait, make a motion to wave posting requirements for House Bill 1262 so we can place it in a working sub-committee tomorrow in the House Environment Energy and



Natural Resources."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any objection? Hearing none leave is granted."

McClain: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Motions, Mr. Clerk. Death Resolution."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 199, Bell with respect to the memory of Harold B. Wicks. House Resolution 206, 206, Kucharski with a respect to memory of Mrs. Margaret Katherine Knight. House Resolution 218, Polk, Darrow, Bell with a respect in memory of Donald A. Bob of East Moline Illinois."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giorgi moves the adoption of Death Resolution. Those in favor say 'aye' aye, oppose 'no'. The ayes have it. The motion carried. Death Resolutions are adopted. Motions."

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Bullock requests leave to be voted aye on House Bill 388. Representative Hoxsey requests to vote aye on yesterdays Consent Calendar. Representative Farley requests to vote aye on yesterdays Consent Calendar."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any objection to any of these requests? Hearing none unanimous consent is granted. Representative Madigan on adjournment. Any other announcements of any kind? Representative Madigan. How much... Do you need time for perfunct. Mr. Clerk? 10 minutes for perfunctary."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, allowing 10 minutes for a perfunctary session, I now move that we adjourn until 12 noon tomorrow."

Speaker Redmond: "Questions on the Gentleman's motion. Those in favor say 'aye' aye, oppose 'no'. The ayes have it. The motion carried. We now stand adjourned after the 10 minutes perfunct. till 12:00 tomorrow. It's the intention of the chair to get out of here as quickly as we can tomorrow afternoon, but we can't do it unless we're on the floor promptly at 12:00."



Clerk O'Brien: "Committee reports. Representative Schneider, chairman of the Committee on Elementary and Secondary Education to which the following Bills were referred, action taken April 18, 1979 reported the same back with the following recommendations: "do pass" House Bill 1041, 1042, 1362, and 1547. Do pass as amended. House Bill 797, 853, 956, and 1710. Do pass Consent Calendar, House Bills 1026, 1188, 1537, 2023, and 2076. Do pass is amended Consent Calendar, House Bill 1766. Do pass is amended short debate, House Bill 303. Representative Schneider, chairman of the Committee on Elementary and Secondary Education reports the following Committee Bill for introduction. House Bill 2767, action taken April 18, 1979. Representative Katz, chairman of the Committee on Judiciary II to which the following Bills were referred, action taken April 18, 1979 reported the same back with the following recommendations: "do not pass" House Bill 138. Do pass is amended. House Bill 349. Do pass Short Debate Calendar House Bill 1089 and 1090. Do pass is amended Short Debate Calendar House Bill 225. Representative Kozubowski... Representative Williams, chairman of the Committee on Cities and Villages to which the following Bills were referred, action taken April 18, 1979 reported same back with the following recommendations: "do pass" House Bills 950, 1005, 1269, 1297, 1588, 1767, 1769, 1783, 1852, 1878, and 2097. Do not pass House Bill 1481 and 2085. Do pass is amended. House Bill 1771. Do pass is amended. Consent Calendar House Bill 1768. Do pass is amended short debate calendar House Bill 916. Representative Kane, Mugalian, co-chairman of the Committee on State Government Organization to which the following Bill was referred, action taken April 19, 1979 reported the same back with the following recommendation: "do pass" is amended House Bill 222. Introduction and First Reading of Bills. House Bill 2762, E.M. Barnes. A Bill



for an Act to create the Public Library District Act of 1980. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2763, E.M. Barnes. A Bill for an Act making appropriation to Public Library Study Commission. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2764, E.M. Barnes. A Bill for an Act to create the Library Study Commission. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2765, Ronan, Stuffle. A Bill for an Act in relation to the settlement of differences between state employees and state... state employers and state employees in providing for collective bargaining. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2766, Madigan, Lechowicz, Taylor, Telcser. A Bill for an Act in relation to real property tax, homestead improvement exemptions for certain multiple dwelling units. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill. 2767, Committee on Elementary and Secondary Education. A Bill for an Act to amend sections of the School Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment 40 Balanoff, Currie, Bowman. Resolved by the House of Representatives of the 8th General Assembly of the State of Illinois the Senate concurring herein, that there shall be submitted to the electors of the state for the adoption or rejection at the general election next occurring at least six months after the adoption of this Resolution. A proposition to amend section 8 of Article 7 of the Constitution will read as follows: Article 7, local government. Section 8 powers and officers of school districts in units of local government other than counties and municipalities. Townships, school districts, special districts, and units designated by law as units of local government which exercise limited governmental powers or powers in respect to limited governmental subject shall have only powers granted by law. No law shall grant the powers: 1. To any of the forgoing units to incur debt payable from advolorem personal... from advolorem property





tax receipts measuring more than 40 years from the time it is incurred or, 2. To make improvements by special assessments to any of the forgoing classes of units which do not have that power on the effective date of this constitution. No law shall authorize an increase in the maximum tax rate which may be levied by a special district for any new or existing purpose unless approved by the vote of at least 3/5 of the Members elected to each House of the General Assembly. The General Assembly shall provide by law for the selection of officers for the forgoing unit that the officers shall not be appointed by any person in the Judicial Branch. Schedule this amendment to section 8 of Article 7 of the Illinois Constitution takes effect upon its approval by the electors of this state. First Reading of the Constitutional Amendment. No further business. The House now stands adjourned until 12 noon April 20, 1979.



## LEGISLATIVE INFORMATION SYSTEM

DAILY TRANSCRIPT INDEX  
APRIL 19, 1979

PAGE

HB-0130	MOTIONS	PAGE	8
HB-0158	3RD READING	PAGE	10
HB-0203	3RD READING	PAGE	50
HB-0273	2ND READING	PAGE	7
HB-0311	2ND READING	PAGE	20
HB-0329	3RD READING	PAGE	22
HB-0375	2ND READING	PAGE	19
HB-0388	3RD READING	PAGE	35
HB-0413	3RD READING	PAGE	40
HB-0415	2ND READING	PAGE	19
HB-0420	2ND READING	PAGE	45
HB-0522	2ND READING	PAGE	3
HB-0524	2ND READING	PAGE	3
HB-0573	2ND READING	PAGE	9
HB-0652	2ND READING	PAGE	4
HB-0655	2ND READING	PAGE	18
HB-0749	2ND READING	PAGE	6
HB-0794	2ND READING	PAGE	5
HB-0805	2ND READING	PAGE	4
HB-0823	2ND READING	PAGE	19
HB-0895	2ND READING	PAGE	19
HB-0922	2ND READING	PAGE	4
HB-0964	2ND READING	PAGE	19
HB-1021	2ND READING	PAGE	19
HB-1028	2ND READING	PAGE	19
HB-1050	2ND READING	PAGE	19
HB-1092	2ND READING	PAGE	19
HB-1098	2ND READING	PAGE	19
HB-1122	2ND READING	PAGE	4
HB-1146	2ND READING	PAGE	5
HB-1149	2ND READING	PAGE	19
HB-1160	2ND READING	PAGE	19
HB-1179	2ND READING	PAGE	19
HB-1197	2ND READING	PAGE	20
HB-1252	2ND READING	PAGE	5
HB-1286	2ND READING	PAGE	5
HB-1306	2ND READING	PAGE	20
HB-1357	2ND READING	PAGE	20
HB-1549	MOTIONS	PAGE	8
HB-2610	MOTIONS	PAGE	9
HB-2762	1ST READING	PAGE	71
HB-2763	1ST READING	PAGE	72
HB-2764	1ST READING	PAGE	72

## LEGISLATIVE INFORMATION SYSTEM

DAILY TRANSCRIPT INDEX  
APRIL 19, 1979

PAGE

HB-2765	1ST READING	PAGE	72
HB-2766	1ST READING	PAGE	72
HB-2767	1ST READING	PAGE	72
HR-0199	3RD READING	PAGE	70
HR-0202	1ST READING	PAGE	69
HR-0218	3RD READING	PAGE	70
HJR-0037	1ST READING	PAGE	69
HJR-0038	3RD READING	PAGE	68
HJR-0039	3RD READING	PAGE	68
*HJR-0040	1ST READING	PAGE	72
SJR-0032	3RD READING	PAGE	69

LEGISLATIVE INFORMATION SYSTEM

DAILY TRANSCRIPT INDEX  
 APRIL 19, 1979

PAGE

SUBJECT MATTER

SPEAKER REDMOND - HOUSE TO ORDER	PAGE	1
REV. KRUEGER - PRAYER	PAGE	1
REP. ROPP - PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	PAGE	1
ROLL CALL FOR ATTENDANCE	PAGE	1
MESSAGES FROM THE SENATE	PAGE	1
COMMITTEE REPORTS	PAGE	2
EXCUSED ABSENCES	PAGE	49
AGREED RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	67
PERFUNCTORY SESSION	PAGE	70
COMMITTEE REPORTS	PAGE	71
HOUSE ADJOURNED	PAGE	73

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
1	11:00	Speaker Redmond	House to Order
		Krueger	Prayer
		Speaker Redmond	Rate=
		Ropp	Pledge of Allegiance
		Speaker Redmond	Roll Call for Attendance
		Clerk O'Brien	Message from Senate
2		Speaker Redmond	
		Clerk O'Brien	Committee reports
		Speaker Redmond	New Roll Call for Attendance, HB's 2nd Rdg.
3		Clerk O'Brien	HB 522, 2nd Rdg.
		Speaker Redmond	
		Barnes, J.	Asks be added Att. Roll Call
		Speaker Redmond	
		Varnes	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Collins	
		Speaker Redmond	Consent given
4		Clerk O'Brien	HB 524, 2nd Rdg.
		Speaker Redmond	
		Clerk O'Brien	HB 805, 2nd
		Speaker Redmond	
		Clerk O'Brien	HB 922, 2nd
		Speaker Redmond	
		Clerk O'Brien	HB 1122, 2nd
		Speaker Redmond	
5		Clerk O'Brien	HB 1146, 2nd



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Redmond	
		Clerk O'Brien	HB 1252, 2nd
		Speaker Redmond	
		Clerk O'Brien	HB 1286, 2nd
		Speaker Redmond	
		Speaker Redmond	HB 794
		Matijevich	JB 794 back to 2nd Rdg.
		Speaker Redmond	Leave
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #1 HB 794
6		Matijevich	Explains amendment #1
		Speaker Redmond	
		Ryan	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Matijevich	Moves adoption amendment #1
		Speaker Redmond	Amendment #1 adopted
		Barnes, J.	Leave to return HB 749 to 2nd
		Speaker Redmond	Leave granted
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads amendment #4
7		Speaker Redmond	
		Varnes	Explains amendment #4
		Speaker Redmond	Amendment #4 adopted
		Younge	HB 273, 3rd
		Speaker Redmond	Leave to return to 2nd
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #2 HB 273
		Younge	Explains
		Speaker Redmond	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Ryan	
		Speaker Redmond	
8		Younge	Explains again
		Speaker Redmond	Amendment #2 HB 273 adopted
		Clerk O'Brien	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Willer	Asks leave to table HB 130-1549
		Speaker Redmond	
		Ryan	Question
		Willer	
		Speaker Redmond	Leave HB 130-1549 tabled
		Lechowicz	HB 2610 table
9		Speaker Redmond	
		Ewing	HB 573, 2nd, asks table amendment #1 & #2
		Speaker Redmond	
		Clerk O'Brien	HB 573, 2nd Rdg.
		Speaker Redmond	
		Schlickman	Objects
10		Speaker Redmond	HB 3rd Rdg. short debate
		Clerk O'Brien	HB 158, 3rd Rdg.
		Speaker Redmond	
		Giorgi	asks five debate
		Speaker Redmond	HB 158 open for debate
11		Huskey	
		Speake Redmond	
	11:24	Huskey	Explains



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
12		Speaker Redmond	
		Madigan	Question
		Speaker Redmond	
		Huskey	
		Madigan	Opposes
14		Speaker Redmond	
		Huskey	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Madigan	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Huskey	
15		Speaker Redmond	
		Matijevich	Parliamentary Inquiry
		Speaker Redmond	
		Huskey	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Matijevich	
		Speaker Redmond	
	11:36	Yourell	
16		Speaker Redmond	
		Huskey	To close HB 158
17		Speaker Redmond	
		Huskey	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Huskey	Poll absentees
		Speaker Redmond	
		Schlickman	'aye'





<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Redmond	
		Sandquist	'aye'
		Speaker Redmond	Postponed Consideration
		Clerk O'Brien	HB 279, 3rd Rdg.
18		Speaker Redmond	
		Clerk O'Brien	HB 655, 3rd Rdg.
		Speaker Redmond	
		Mugalian	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Clerk O'Brien	HB 783, 3rd
		Speaker Redmond	Take out or record
		Clerk O'Brien	HB 997
		Speaker Redmond	Take out of record
19		Clerk O'Brien	Consent Calendar, 2nd Rdg. HB 375 2nd, HB 415, HB 823 HB 895, HB 1021, HB 1028, HB 1050, HB 1092, HB 1098, HB 1149, HB 1160, HB 1179, HB 1306, HB 1367
20		Speaker Redmond	3rd, HB 3rd, Priority Call
		Clerk O'Brien	HB 311, 3rd
		Speaker Redmond	
		Steczo	Leave back 2nd
		Speaker Redmond	Leave
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #2 HB 311
		Speaker Redmond	
		Steczo	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Johnson	Explains amendment #2



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
21		Speaker Redmond	
		Steczo	
		Speaker Redmond	Amendment #2 adopted
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #3
		Johnson	Explains
		Speaker Redmond	
		Steczo	
		Speaker Redmond	Amendment #3 adopted
		Clerk O'Brien	
22		Speaker Redmond	to 3rd
		Clerk O'Brien	HB 329, 3rd
		Speaker Redmond	
		Kornowicz	Explains
		Speaker Redmond	
		Schlickman	Yield
		Speaker Redmond	
		Schlickman	Question
		Kornowicz	
24		Speaker Redmond	
		Kornowicz	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Schlickman	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Skinner	Question
		Speaker Redmond	
	11:58	Kornowicz	
		Skinner	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
26		Speaker Redmond	
		Stuffle	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Kornowicz	To close
27		Speaker Redmond	Verification
	12:02	Clerk Hall	Polis absentees
		Speaker Redmond	
		Frederick	'aye'
28		Speaker Redmond	
		Ryan	Questions Roll Call
		Speaker Redmond	
		McBroom	'no'
		Speaker Redmond	
		Ryan	
		Clerk Hall	
		Ryan	
		Speaker Redmond	
	12:07	Ryan	Questions Roll Call
		Clerk Hall	
31		Deuster	'present'
	12:13	Speaker Redmond	
		Ryan	Continues verification
		Clerk Hall	
32		Borchers	'present'
		Speaker Redmond	
	12:15	Ryan	Continues
		Clerk Hall	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
33	12:16	Frederich	'present'
		Speaker Redmond	
		Bell	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Clerk Hall	
		Speaker Redmond	Question
		Bradley	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Ryan	Continues
		Speaker Redmond	
		McMaster	'present'
34		Speaker Redmond	
		Ryan	Continues
		Clerk Hall	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Ryan	
		Speaker Redmond	HB 329 passed
		Friedland	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Clerk Hall	HB 388, 3rd Rdg.
35		Speaker Redmond	
		Harris	Explains HB 388
36		Speaker Redmond	
		Schlickman	Yield?
		Speaker Redmond	
	12:24	Schlickman	Discussion
		Harris	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
38		Speaker Redmond	
	12:28	Sumner	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Sumner	Question
		Speaker Redmond	
		Harris	
40		Speaker Redmond	HB 388 passed
		Clerk O'Brien	HB 413, 3rd.
		Speaker Redmond	
		Hannig	Explains HB 413
41		Speaker Redmond	
	12:33	Ewing	Supports
		Speaker Redmond	
		Huff	Yield
		Speaker Redmond	
		Huff	Question on HB 413
		Hannig	
42		Speaker Redmond	
	12:35	Ackerman	Yield
		Hannig	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Bradley	Supports
		Speaker Redmond	
		Slape	
43		Speaker Redmond	
		Greisheimer	Yield
		Speaker Redmond	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Greisheimer	Question
		Hannig	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Hannig	To close
45		Speaker Redmond	HB 413 passed
		Clerk O'Brien	HB 420 3rd
		Speaker Redmond	
46		DiPrima	Back to 2nd
		Speaker Redmond	Leave
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #1 H B 420
		Speaker Redmond	
		DiPrima	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Schlickman	
		Speaker Redmond	
	12:44	DiPrima	Explains amt. #1 HB 420
		Speaker Redmond	
		Matijevich	Question
		DiPrima	
48		Speaker Redmond	
		Dyer	Yield
		DiPrima	won't hold on 2nd Rdg.
49		Speaker Redmond	Amendment #1 dopted, 3rd
		Clerk Hall	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Dyer	Fiscal note?
		Speaker Redmond	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Clerk Hall	HB 675, 3rd
		Speaker Redmond	Take out of record
		Clerk Hall	HB 529, 3rd
		Speaker Redmond	Take out of record
		Ryan	
50		Speaker Redmond	
	12:51	Madigan	absences
		Speaker Redmond	
		Clerk Hall	HB 203, 3rd
		Speaker Redmond	
		McMaster	Explains HB 203
53	1:00	Speaker Redmond	
		Friedrich	
54		Speaker Redmond	
		Schlickman	Question
		McMaster	
		Speaker Redmond	
56	1:06	Schlickman	Speaks to Bill (opposes)
57		Speaker Redmond	
	1:10	Conti	Supports
58		Speaker Redmond	
		Bullöck	Question
		McMaster	
59		Bullöck	Speaks to Bill (opposes)
60		Speaker Redmond	
	1:17	Katz	Opposes
61		Speaker Redmond	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Ebbesen	Move to precious question
62		Speaker Redmond	
		McMaster	To close
64		Speaker Redmond	
		Skinner	
		Speaker Redmond	HB 203 vote
		Stuffle	
65		Speaker Redmond	
		Birkinbine	
66		Speaker Redmond	
	1:28	Campbell	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Greisheimer	
		Speaker Redmond	HB 203 passed
		Ryan	
67		Speaker Redmond	
	1:31	Peters	Announcement
		Speaker Redmond	
		Ebbesen	
		Clerk O'Brien	Agreed Resolutions
68		Speaker Redmond	
		Giorgi	Moves adoption
		Speaker Redmond	Agreed Resolutions adopted
		Clerk O'Brien	
69		Speaker Redmond	
	1:35	Giorgi	Moves adoption
		Speaker Redmond	Resolutions adopted





<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Clerk O'Brien	HJR 37 - HR 202
		Speaker Redmond	
		Schisler	Leave for HB 1099, 1100-1121, 1448, 1455 heard in Committee
		Speaker Redmond	Leave granted
	1:36	McClain	Waive posting rule
70		Speaker Redmond	Leave granted
		Clerk O'Brien	HR 199, Death Resolutions HR 206, HR 218
		Speaker Redmond	Resolutions adopted
		Clerk O'Brien	Motions
		Speaker Redmond	
	1:38	Madigan	Moves House Adjournment
71		Speaker Redmond	House adjourns
	1:46	Clerk O'Brien	10 minute perfunctary House adjourns

