

July 1, 1977

1.

Doorkeeper: "Attention Members of the House of Representatives the House will convene in ten minutes. All persons not entitled to the House floor please retire to the gallery. Attention Members of the House, the House will convene in five minutes."

Speaker Redmond: "The House will come to order. Members please be in their seats. We'll be led in prayer this morning by the Clerk, Jack O'Brien."

Clerk O'Brien: "Let us pray. Lord, bless this House and all those that serve and work here. Amen."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Geo-Karis. Representative Kosinski, Father of Senator Kosinski are in the Chamber. Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Good morning, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it is my pleasure to introduce to you today the new...the Gentleman who is going to be the new Director of the Division of Energy, from the Business and Economic Development Agency, Mr. Marvin Nodiff and his wife, Mrs. Nodiff are here. This is Mr. Nodiff. And I'd like you to meet the new Director who has been already confirmed, as far as I know, of the Institute of Environmental Quality, Frank Behl. I'd like the House to know that we cannot complain with the Business and Economic Development Agency under Director, Don Duster, and the Institute of Environmental Quality, under Mr. Behl, or the Energy Resources Commission of Illinois has had the most wonderful cooperation from both Republicans and Democrats and including our Chairman, Senator John Knuppel, he's been a gem. So I thought you'd like to know we have some very worthwhile Democrats as well as worthwhile Republicans, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "When you say Democrats, you don't have to use any adjective because it conveys all the meaning of all the superlatives."

Geo-Karis: "I know it. They are just germane to the issue too,



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

aren't they?"

Speaker Redmond: "They are what?"

Geo-Karis: "They are germane to the issue."

Speaker Redmond: "They are very good lawyers. Agreed Resolutions."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 406, Cunningham; 408, Catania; 409, Pechous; 410, Ryan; 411, Marovitz; Hold this."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giorgi, Agreed Resolutions."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, Representative Cunningham's House Resolution 406 honors Conrad A. Bauer. House Resolution 408, by Catania notes the inauguration of Esther R. Rothstein to the Chicago Bar Association. House Resolution 409, by Pechous, talks about the South Cicero Baseball Association. House Resolution 411, by Marovitz, talks about the amazing Cubs. I move for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye'; opposed 'no', the 'ayes', have it, the motion carries, the Agreed Resolutions are adopted. Further Resolutions."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 383, Richmond; 388, Katz; 298, Ebbesen; 400, Catania; 403, Catania; 404, Catania; 407, Dyer; 412, Byers-Daniels; and House Joint Resolution 48, Deavers."

Speaker Redmond: "Committee on Assignments. Messages from the Senate."

Clerk O'Brien: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has refused to recede from their Amendment 1 to House Bill 2347. Further directed to inform the House that the Senate requests the First Conference Committee. Action taken by the Senate June 30, 1977. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm



directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has adopted the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 44, adopted by the Senate June 30, 1977, Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary, Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House in the adoption of Amendment 1, to Senate Bill 203, action taken by the Senate June 30, 1977, Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has adopted the First Conference Committee to Senate Bill 596 adopted by the Senate June 30, 1977, Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has adopted the First Conference Committee to Senate Bill 964, adopted by the Senate June 30, 1977. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has acceded the request for a First Conference Committee to Senate Bill 1203. Action taken by the Senate, June 30, 1977, Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has acceded the request of the House for First Conference Committee on Senate Bill 997. Action taken by the Senate, June 30, 1977, Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has acceded the request of the House for First Conference Committee on Senate Bill 1342, action taken by the Senate, June 30, 1977, Kenneth Wright, Secretary."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Friedrich."



July 1, 1977

4.

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, about two months ago you said that if we wanted to find you on ...after June 30, we'd have to call the State Police. I've already called them, but if you'll assure me we'll stay I'll call off the State Police."

Speaker Redmond: "What did you call them?"

Friedrich: "I alerted them that they may have to go find you this morning, but I'm happy to see that you're here."

Speaker Redmond: "According to this morning's paper, Monroe Flinn called them something.....Message from the Senate."

Clerk O'Brien: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has acceded the request of the House of Representatives for a First Conference Committee on Senate Bill 1281. Action taken by the Senate, June 30, 1977, Kenneth Wright, Secretary."

Speaker Redmond: "There are donuts in the Speaker's corridor, courtesy of Michael Bakalis, the next Governor of Illinois. The invitation is extended to the Republican Members. Maybe when he takes over as Governor he'll get some of those jobs that you're looking for under Thompson and you can't get them."

Speaker Redmond: "The House will come to order. The Clerk will read a Proclamation."

Clerk O'Brien: "A Joint Proclamation. Whereas, The General Assembly in its regular 1977 Session has not come to agreement on the subject of the revision of the criminal justice and corrections system of Illinois; and Whereas, there is substantial agreement within the General Assembly that comprehensive revision of the criminal laws and the correction system are necessary and timely; and Whereas, these issues involve fundamental questions of justice and due process and the protection of citizens and should be considered as a high priority at a time when the General Assembly can give its full attention; and Whereas, the



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

General Assembly in this regular 1977 Session has not come to agreement on the revision of the method of selection of the State Board of Elections to comply with the Illinois Supreme Court's mandate in the case of Walker versus State Board of Elections and must do so prior to the state primary election scheduled for March, 1978; therefore, pursuant to Article IV, Section 5 (b) of the Constitution, and in conformity with Section 1 of 'An Act in relation to the convening of Special Sessions of the General Assembly'; Public Act 77-1783; A Special Session of the 80th General Assembly is hereby proclaimed and called as follows: 1. The purpose of the Special Session shall be to consider legislation on the subjects of: (a) the criminal justice and corrections system of the State of Illinois, including without limitation the law concerning criminal offenses, criminal procedure, sentencing, penalties, probation, incarceration, pardon and parole and the establishment and operation of the state corrections system and the enactment, revision, or Amendment of laws in connection with said subjects; and (b) Creation of a State Board of Elections in conformity with the decision of the Illinois Supreme Court in Walker versus State Board of Elections, and enactment or Amendment of laws relating to the method of selection, size and composition of the State Board of Elections, its organization and the salaries, terms and emoluments of office of the members of the State Board of Elections in connection therewith; 2. The Special Session shall convene at 2:00 P.M., on Monday, October 24, 1977, at the State Capitol in Springfield. Issued this 1st day of July, 1977, at the Capitol in Springfield. William A. Redmond, Speaker of the House of Representatives. Thomas C. Hynes, President of the Senate."

Speaker Redmond: "That's the date of the veto Session, incidentally. The Supreme Court has extended the time on the



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

State Board of Elections, but they didn't have a date on it. We thought that the curtain might fall down on us any minute so decided to include that in the call. We'll be at ease for half an hour. Representative Cunningham, that clock is terrible. Back in at 1:45."

Bradley: "Representative Hoffman for the Adjournment Resolution."

Unknown: "How about that speech....He's cut me off. Gene gave that great speech on Blair that time....walked out and went home....."

Speaker Bradley: "Ladies and Gentlemen, for an announcement. We'll be at ease for about fifteen more minutes. When we come back we're going to start with the Calendar with those Bills that have an effective date on it, so we canand the rest of the Bills, the ...we've been advised we have a revised....a revised report is being drafted in the Reference Bureau so that the effective date will be in the.....They will have to be signed by the Members of the Conference Committee. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, I was informed by the Republican Staff that there was a technicalor typographical error excuse me....referring to a line in the first Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1222. I'm under the impression that....from the Clerk, that we are filing correct- ed reports but I should ask leave of the House to correct that typographical error on its face. In consequence and at the direction of the Clerk I so ask leave of the House to amend, on its face, the first Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1222."

Speaker Bradley: "I'm wondering if we couldn't hold that.... till we get to that point on business and then we can amend it on its face....I think that would be the proper time. Just wanted to make an announcement. We're going to get to work in fifteen minutes. What Bills we're going



to call and that Reference Bureau is drafting revised reports that will have to be signed."

Speaker Redmond: "The House will come to order. Members please be in their seats. On the regular Calendar we're going to proceed with as many of these Bills as we can that are now in position for us to take action on. Because of the flood of Bills in Conference Committee we're..... Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Do we have a Roll Call for attendance? Or how..."

Speaker Redmond: "We haven't done it yet."

Bowman: "Okay. Just a second..."

Speaker Redmond: "We're going to do as much as we can. I guess there's ten or fifteen Appropriation Bills floating around someplace. We don't know exactly where we were so we'll go as far as we can on the matters and then see where we'll go from there. On House Bills....On the Order of Concurrence, on page two. House Bill 64. Yourell is not here. Is Simms here? Chapman here? O'Brien here? Telcser? Telcser? How about Hart? How about Deuster? How about Taylor? James Taylor? Conference Committee Report. House Bill 341, page two, Representative Taylor."

Taylor: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I move that the House concur with Conference Committee Report number 1 on House Bill 341. There were a few changes that were made. The changes were that ...that the financial institutions be insured by an agency of the Federal Government to bring this Amendment into compliance with the ...the financial institutions with the governor.with the Federal guidelines. The other one was for the law enforcement agenciesand that's all that was done to this Bill and I solicit your support for the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 341."

Speaker Redmond: "We've gotta have a Roll Call for attendance first. Roll Call for attendance. The Gentleman has moved.....140....341....Mr. Clerk....Representative Taylor



has moved that the House do adopt the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 341. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have to wait until the machine has cleared and the Clerk has digested its food....I guess 'ingested'. Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "For the first time in three days I've got my Conference Committee Reports in numerical order and for the first time I can't find one either. I'm not going to object to this motion but are we going to be voting on Conference Committee Reports that aren't distributed?"

Speaker Redmond: "They've all been distributed."

Friedrich: "They assure me they have been distributed back here. Thank you very much but I'd like to file this if I can."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 341. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 129 'aye', and 6 'no', and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report of House Bill 341, by a three-fifths majority. 689, is that ready? Out of the record. 845, Representative Greiman, are you ready on that one? Greiman and Giorgi, please pay attention. Are you ready on 845? Representative Greiman, on 845, are you ready on that? Representative Greiman."

Greiman: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move that we adopt the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 845. 845 was returned to the Conference Committee and out of that Conference Committee....."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Simms."

Simms: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to raise a point of germaneness regarding the Conference Committee. In light of your ruling last evening on the subject of germaneness...to Conference Committee Reports. This Conference Committee Report deals with the Occupational Disease Act and the report incorporates segments of the Fair Employment



July 1, 1977

9.

Practices Act. I would suggest, Mr. Speaker, that this Conference Committee Report is not germane to the original Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Picky, picky, picky."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Greiman."

Greiman: "Well I would make this one observation....just so we don't confuse our terms. My recollection is that that was on a concurrence to a Senate Amendment and not on a Conference Committee Report. Just so that we make sure that these are not quite the same."

Speaker Redmond: "If you people don't have any interest in getting out of here....some people do. Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "I have a copy, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I have a copy of that Conference Report on House Bill 845, in my hands, and what I see under it although it's supposed to apply to the Fair Employment Practices Act, it has the provision.....and I hope everybody can hear this, it has the provision to bargain collectively in the performance of the mutual obligation of the employer and the representative, the employees. To meet at reasonable times and enter in good faith with respect to wages, hours and other terms and conditions of employment. At the option....it's hard to read.... but anyway, it really has nothing to do with the original intent of the Bill...I don't think. I do feel that this is not germane to the Bill.. This is a backdoor way of getting collective bargaining in here."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, if there were an issue as to germaneness, the matter relating to unfair employment practices does amend part of Chapter 48 and it is totally different than what the issue we had last night. I would say that if you do rule on the matter of germaneness that it is



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

germane."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, let's take this one out of the record now. 973, Representative Gaines."

Gaines: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Conference Committee Report, the Senate recedes on their position, on Amendment 1, 2 and 4, and they do take out....they return the money to the Bill.... 300,000 that was taken out, in the Senate. They do take outthe sum that they took out for....to bring it down to the pay raise that the Senate has been approving. I ask that we adopt the Conference Report."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any further discussion? The questionRepresentative Madison."

Madison: "Question of the Sponsor, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Madison: "Representative Gaines, does the Conference Committee Report adopt language in here that would give this an effective date immediately?"

Gaines: "This is an Appropriations Bill. I understood that that was not necessary. Is that true, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "Right."

Madison: "Okay, thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House adopt the first Conference Committee Report on House Bill 973. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Darrow."

Darrow: "Mr. Speaker, would you indicate the vote requirement for this measure?"

Speaker Redmond: "107 votes."

Darrow: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? James Houlihan."

Houlihan: "I was rising in support of this Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will



take the record. On this question there's 148 'aye' and 1 'no', and the House does concur on the First Conference Committee Report with respect to House Bill 973. We'll take Appropriations first. 1594, Representative Simms. Simms..."

Simms: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I move to adopt Conference Committee Report #1 to House Bill 1594, which is the Appropriation for the Department of Business and Economic Development. This restores the \$110,000 that the Senate cut off of the affirmative action program and it did take...it does take off some additional funds that the Senate removed from Contractual Services. There is a net reduction from the House action of \$23,464,000 to \$23,413,000 and I would move for the adoption of Conference Committee Report #1."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? The question, should the House concur in Conference Committee Report #1 to House Bill 1594? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 148 'aye' and 1 'no'; and the motion having received the three-fifths majority, the House does adopt Conference Committee Report #1 to House Bill 1594. 2402."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move that the House adopt corrected Conference Committee Report signed on July 1. This is a Conference Committee dealing with a Bill for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the State Board of Elections. The Conference Committee dropped the Senate Amendments, thereby restoring a substantial amount of money and reduced the appropriation as it passed the House, by \$22,804. \$12,000 coming from... I'm sorry, Mr. Speaker. \$12,000 coming from Planning and Program Development; under Personal Services, \$804 coming from state contribution to State Employees Retirement System; and \$10,000 coming from Administration, Contractual Services. I move the adoption of the Conference



Committee on House Bill 2402."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is, shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report of House Bill 2402? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 141 'aye', 5 'no', and the House does adopt Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "I put my speaking button down instead of the green. I voted green."

Speaker Redmond: "That's a force of habit."

~~Geo-Karis:~~ "On this question there's 142 'aye', 5 'no', and the House by a three-fifths majority does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 2404. 322, Representative Abramson. You have Representative Geo-Karis on there as 'aye', have you, Mr. Clerk?"

Abramson: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move that the House adopt First Conference Committee Report gutting Senate Bill 322. The difference between Conference Committee Report and the Bills as it passed the House is that salary changes for arbitrators was reduced to 75 percent of the amount due to the effective date of the substantive legislation and two court reporters that were unnecessary were eliminated and a contingency fund. I move the adoption of this report."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 322. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 141 'aye' and 1 'no'. The House, by a three-fifths majority does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 322. 327, Representative McMaster."

McMaster: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would move that we would adopt the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 327 and then I will proceed to explain it to you, what we did in the Conference Committee."



In the Conference Committee we agreed that the Senate should concur in the House Amendment #1, 2, 4 and 6. We further amended the Bill as follows. We took about \$7800 out of the Office of Financial Affairs, of the Department of Local Government Affairs. And we took approximately \$14,900 out of Research and Planning in LGA. That was the total amount of work that we did on it. We were in complete agreement. Everyone signed the Conference Committee Report. This has already passed the Senate, I believe by a score of 54 to nothing, something like that. I would urge that we adopt this Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? The question, shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 327? Those in favor vote 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 142 'aye', and 2 'no'; and the House, by a three-fifths majority, does concur...adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 327. 502, Representative Polk. Somebody left their glasses on Corneal Davis's desk. They are up here at the well in the Clerk'sI tried them on and it's not 20-20 vision. Representative Polk."

Polk: "Mr. Speaker, I move that we concur with the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 502. All of the Senators and Representatives signed on it. It is....it is....To receive some help Amendment #2 does not change the bottom line. It simply changes the rental agreement that we have in Chicago and I would move that we concur."

Speaker Redmond: "Yeah, I got it. Is there any discussion? The question, shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 502? Those in favor vote 'aye', cposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's



150 'ayes', 3 'no'; and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report by a three-fifths majority to Senate Bill 502. 1399, Representative Abramson. Representative Abramson."

Abramson: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move that the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1399. This made some slight changes in the Senate version of the Act. It provided for certified mail notice to customers of a bank when agencies are attempting to secure their records and it offers other additional protection to bank customers for their records. I move the adoption of this Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Representative Darrow."

Darrow: "Mr. Speaker, in line with your ruling last night, I was just wondering if this was germane."

Abramson: "Mr. Speaker, it's clearly germane, it makes line changes in a previous Bill."

Darrow: "We're not trying to be dilatory. We're just trying to make sure it is."

Speaker Redmond: "What do you want to know? What did the Cat want to know, Jim?"

Darrow: "We've checked it, we have no question now, Mr. Speaker, thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, shall the House concur in Senate Amend.....shall the House adopt First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1399? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Darrow."

Darrow: "Now we have two Conference Committee Reports on this Bill. And that was a problem..."

Abramson: "Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Abramson."

Abramson: "The first time it was printed, last night, they collated it improperly and they reprinted it and the one with the term on the front and the back is the correct



one."

Darrow: "Okay. Thank you very much."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the...shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1399? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 126 'aye' and no 'nay', and the House does, by a three-fifths majority, adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1399. 1508, Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is the Second Conference Committee Report on 1508. It is quite different from the first one. It requires the Senate recede from almost all of the material theytried to put on. The only Amendment, the Senate Amendment that the House is asked to concur in is one which would simply provide that any taxes levied for the community college purposes, not be considered part of the operating fund of the high school district and therefore not part of its operating tax levy to qualify for state aid through the distributive formula. It's something that we probably should have had on here in the House to begin with. It's simply makes sure that this tax is uncoupled from the school aid reimbursement and I think it's a good Amendment and we should adopt it. Otherwise it leaves.....If you adopt this report, House Bill 1508, as it passed out of the House, is left in its original form otherwise."

Speaker Redmond: "Are there any questions? Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, would you have the Sergeant-at-Arms remove the Sponsor?.... This House has spoken a number of times, in this Session, as recently as last night, on the question of whether the state shall have junior colleges throughout the state or whether there shall be some privileged areas that are not included within junior college districts. Represent-



ative Bowman's Bill is very limited in scope and provides that those who have petitioned by August 30, 1976, and those districts only, be allowed to extend the charge back levy for one more year. I'm very much afraid of this Bill for the reason that the...by the Amendatory Veto process this may be extended to include all junior colleges and we may be right back where we started from. I suggest to you...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giorgi.....Proceed."

Walsh: "I suggest to you, Mr. Speaker, that this House has spoken on a number of occasions, on this question and that, to sustain the action that we've taken previously, I regretfully urge that we defeat this Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stuffle."

Stuffle: "Yes, would the Sponsor yield to a question? Representative Bowman, I don't see this on my desk. What I wanted to ask you was simply, to repeat, other than what your Bill did initially, with regard to a particular district I understand you have a problem with up there, what does the Conference Committee Report do besides that?"

Bowman: "Can you hear me? Can you turn up the volume just a little bit. Okay. First of all this is quite different from last night's conference report, which was pretty horrendous..... The only Senate Amendment in which we are asked to concur, would be one which would say that any taxes levied, to pay this charge back, would not be considered for ...as part of the qualifying tax rate...tax levy for school aid reimbursement, under the Resource Equalizer Formula. In other words, it would be earmarked money specifically to pay the tuition charge backs and would be kept separately from the other school funds. Did that answer your question?"

Stuffle: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. Could I respond....could I speak to the report. I share some of the concerns that



Representative Walsh does and of course helped him and supported him in his legislation, but I think this is essential for the particular district Representative Bowman's worried about to give them one more shot this year. They're not out of the district through any fault of their own. The second part of this Conference Committee Report, in fact, makes this an even better Bill because it doesn't give the school districts an extra break on their state aid which I don't think they should have. I think we should concur in this second report and adopt it on 1508."

Giorgi in Chair.....

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Greiman."

Greiman: "Well, if I were just born again right now and heard Representative Stuffle I suppose I would agree with him; except I have the misfortune of having heard for the last four springs....one more time, just one more time. Now I respect the people who held out on Wake Island and Bastogne and I guess in Berlin and all of those other places. But that's about what this is. This is two areas in the state that have decided that they're going to be different than everybody else, that they are not going to take the same kind of heartaches and punishment that all of the rest of us took when we built our community colleges, that they are going to not get involved in that for their own reasons, and they are going to come back every year. Now they come back every year with a novel and ingenuous plan. And every year somehow it kinda filters down to being the same thing; give us just one more year, just one more year. Well, I think there has to be a moment when we say, last year, last year was the just one more year. Representative Walsh, as usual, is correct on this and I ask that it be defeated and that the Bill go to its timely death."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Ewing."



July 1, 1977

18.

Ewing: "Yes, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I agree with Representative Greiman, but you didn't tell us last year we couldn't have one more year, so let's tell us this year and give us one more year. And listen, if Representative Walsh is for it, everybody ought to be against it, because Representative Lauer told us how much Representative Walsh knew about the junior college system. I won't repeat the words. So I would ask for your favorable acceptance of this Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Reilly."

Reilly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill. This Bill, as it would be reported by this Conference Committee Report, does not effect any of the territory that I represent because none of it is petitioned to be in a district. But it seems to me that Representative Walsh, with all due respect, has become paranoid on this point. We've already passed out House Bill 1524, which mandates, without any backdoor referendum provision, that all sections of the state must join a junior college district by January 1. I can't for the life of me see why it makes any difference to any of the junior college proponents whether we are able to continue this charge back in some few districts during the time between now and then. This is not at all comparable to what was done in other years simply because House Bill 1524, in my opinion, disasterously changes the situation. But in the opinion of the Sponsors, the majority of the House and the Senate, that Bill has been passed and will become law and will make this problem end. So it seems to me that Representative Bowman has a perfectly reasonable Conference Report. It won't help my district in any way but I intend to vote for it and I haven't heard any really rational reasons not to."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Jack Davis from Will County."

Davis: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Speaker Giorgi: "The previous question has been moved. All in favor signify by saying 'aye'; opposed 'no'; the 'ayes' have it and Representative Bowman to close."

Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd just like to remind the House again that this is basically.....1508 in its original form which passed out overwhelmingly, 1524, which is the darling Bill of those who would oppose this Conference Report, is already on the Governor's desk. If the Governor signs it into law, there will still be some community colleges....some high school districts like my own that will be unable to pay their bills in the meantime while they are getting into these community college districts. Lastly, I will spare you a tirade or temper tantrum, I guess my feeling right now is one of hurt. I'm hurt that Alan Greiman is wrong. I'm hurt that Bill Walsh doesn't trust his own Governor. I think this is a good Bill. I think we can all vote for it. I urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Giorgi: "The question is, shall the House adopt the Second Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1508? All in favor will signify by saying 'aye' and those opposed by saying 'no'. Representative Tipsword to explain his vote."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker, it appears to me that we keep hearing this again and again. You do become paranoid. after a number of years. Every year you hear, just one more time, it's the same refrain. Next year we'll hear it again and just change the date on what we passed last year, for one more year. Regretably we're never going to come to the end of this. I think now is the time for the Legislature to finally say, 'look, we're finally going to do what they said they would do when this Bill was passed years and years and years ago'. You're all going to go into a junior college district and just because the state happens to fund the senior college or senior university in your area



you're not going to stay outside of the junior college system. It's a system that we need and it's a system that must be over the entire state for it to operate properly and all of the citizens of the state must be treated exactly alike, because I can tell you next year you are going to see the Amendment back again to change it for 'just one more time'. I think this ought to be the last time."

Madigan in the Chair.....

Speaker Madigan: "Have all voted who wish? Mr. Porter, to explain his vote.....for one minute."

Porter: "The problem with what Representative Tipsword said is that this Bill will not solve the problem. The problem exists wholly apart from the charge back. It exists because the court found earlier that what we had done was not constitutional. Now 1524 is on the Governor's desk, presumably he will sign it. When he does it will probably be another suit that will take probably a year. By passing this Bill all you are going to do...by failing to pass this Bill all you are going to do is to punish the districts that have not had this problem settled, definitively, previously. I say one more year is reasonable simply because the problem hasn't been solved apart from this legislation. This is reasonable."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Katz to explain his vote.....for one minute."

Katz: "I do want to assure the Members of the House that real progress is being made with regard to junior college education in Illinois. The minds of the people in the district are being more and increasingly favorable toward junior colleges. We are, however, not desirous, I know the Members of the General Assembly aren't desirous of building unnecessary buildings. We have a lot of facilities available. I believe that this additional year's time



will work to the advantage of those who are the most ardent supporters of junior colleges in Illinois. We are making progress in the intervening time and I can tell you that those of us in the First District will be very appreciative of those not in our district for an additional year's time in which to bring Illinois into a fold from one end to the other with regard to junior colleges."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Hudson, to explain his vote for one minute. Mr. Skinner, to explain his vote for one minute."

Skinner: "It won't take one minute, Mr. Speaker....to point out that this is a lot fairer for the individuals involved, the taxpayers involved, and the gasoline tax that has just been imposed by the Regional Transportation Authority Board. I think an 'aye' vote is a vote of compassion and I offer that in hopes of a return vote, some day, for compassion for my constituents in the RTA district."

Speaker Madigan: "Would the Parliamentarian please come to the podium and would the Clerk give me a copy of the Conference Committee Report? The Chair recognizes Mrs. Dyer to explain her vote for one minute. Mrs. Dyer."

Dyer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen. I would like to see seven more green lights go up there because, while I am totally devoted to the community college' system, we know that Representative Walsh's Bill is going to get signed and in a year every area in the state will be within a community college system. There are a couple of areas in the state that have specific problems. They have loads of private institutions in these areas. They have set up a charge back system where they do pay for their students to go to these colleges. In this time when we are strapped for money, we don't want to be building new buildings. We want to use the facilities we have. This is a practical solution to a problem for one more year. So take a deep breath....(mike off)....."



Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Lauer to explain his vote for one minute."

Lauer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think all of you are aware that there's been no harder fighter against the idea of including all high school districts and junior college districts than I have been. Five years ago I made the prediction, though, to the people of my district, that at some time down the road, I think in about five years, that back-door referendum opt out is going to close. This year it is closing, the fight has been fought, I think it is time to capitulate gracefully. Ladies and Gentlemen, it seems to me that we should not put an extra burden upon already overburdened local school districts simply because they have taken the full right that the law has given them and at this point we are ready to say 'so be it, we have fought a good fight, we have kept the faith....'." (mike off)

Speaker Madigan: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "I request a verification, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Bowman, do you request a poll of the absentees?"

Bowman: "Well, I first request...."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Bowman, for what purpose do you arise?"

Bowman: "Well, I wanted to ask if you were absolutely serious about that request?"

Speaker Madigan: "I presume he is."

Bowman: "Well, if he is, then I request a poll of the absentees."

Speaker Madigan: "The Clerk shall poll the absentees."

Clerk Hall: "E. M. Barnes, Brady, Brandt, Breslin, Don Brummet,

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Clerk, would Mr. Walsh please verify Mr. Giorgi at this time?"

Clerk Hall: "Caldwell, Collins, Corneal Davis, Ralph Dunn, Epton, Farley, Hart, Huff, Emil Jones, Kornowicz, Lucco, Madigan, Madison, Mann, McAvoy, McGrew, Schneider, Schoeberlein, Shumpert, Taylor and Younge."



Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Collins. Collins."

Collins: "Mr. Speaker, on a point of order, I note on the poll of the absentees, the name of Representative Breslin was called. I don't think that's proper."

Speaker Madigan: "The Clerk shall remove that name from the Roll Call sheet. Mr. Ralph Dunn, 'aye'; Wyvetter Younge, 'aye'; Mr. Polk....."

Polk: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Speaker Madigan: "How is the Gentleman recorded? Mr. Polk."

Clerk Hall: "The Gentleman is recorded as 'no!'."

Polk: "May I be recorded as 'aye' and verified, please?"

Speaker Madigan: "Record Mr. Polk as 'aye' and verify him. Mr. Brummet, 'aye'; Mr. Steczo, 'aye'; Mr. Taylor, 'aye'; Mr. Madison, 'aye'; Mr. Pouncey, 'aye'; Mr. Mulcahey, 'no'....er....'aye'; Mr. Mulcahey, 'aye' and Mr. Caldwell, 'aye'; Mr. Mahar, 'aye'; Mr. Wolf, 'aye'; Mr. Jones, Emil Jones, 'aye'; Mr. Shumpert, 'aye'; Mr. Huff, 'aye'; Mr. Davis, 'aye'; Mr. McAuliffe, 'aye'; Mr. Walsh withdraws his request for a verification. Record Mr. Campbell as 'aye'; Mr. Brandt, 'aye'; Mr. Harris, 'aye'; Mr. Mautino, 'no'; Mr. Adams, 'no'. On this question there are 126 'aye', 37 'no!'; and the Second Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1508 is hereby declared adopted by the three-fifths Constitutional requirement. On the order of Conference Committee Report there appears House Bill 1222. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Daniel Houlihan."

Houlihan, D.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, prior to asking the House to concur in the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1222, I would like to point out that there is a typographical error. That error in no sense effects the substance of the Conference Committee Report or the Bill. The typo error is a reference to a.....an inaccurate reference to a line of the Bill. That appears on line five of the



Conference Committee Report, of page one of the report. The present language reads, 'by deleting line ten, et cetera. It should read 'by deleting line nine, et cetera. This correction has already been corrected by the Senate, on their Conference Committee Report...on the face of the report. The Clerk, Mr. O'Brien, advises me that the proper procedure would be to ask leave of the Body to so correct our Conference Committee Report on its face and I therefore request leave."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman requests leave. Leave being granted, the report shall be corrected on its face. Mr. Houlihan."

Houlihan, D.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 1222 would amend the Act which provides for the number of Circuit Court Judges in the Circuit Court of Cook County. As the Bill passed this House it called for a total number of such additional judgments in the amount of 32 to be implemented over the next four general elections. And as passing the House, the Bill called for all of those judges to run from the Cook County area wide territorial unit. As amended in the Senate, the number was increased to 36, however, the territorial division was changed. The Conference Committee Report here, which has been signed by all of the conferees, provides for a total increase in the number of such Circuit Court Judgments in the amount of nine. These would be filled at the general election of November 1978. There will be an equitable distribution between the three territorial units that comprise that judicial circuit: three from the City of Chicago only; three from Cook County wide; and three from Suburban Cook County, only. This Bill addresses what is a critical situation respecting the needs both for the civil and the criminal justice systems in the most possible.....in the most populous judicial circuit of our state. I did point out



that it has been approved by all of the conferees and I would ask for a favorable Roll Call on First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1222."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves that the House do adopt First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1222.

Is there any discussion? There being....The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Madigan: "The Sponsor indicates that he will yield."

Totten: "Dan, I didn't get for how many elections this would apply for?"

Houlihan, Dan: "It will apply, Representative Totten, only to one election, the General Election of 1978."

Totten: "Then we would....it would come back and be a legislative matter again?"

Houlihan, Dan: "I certainly intend it to be."

Totten: "So do we. Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "The question is, shall the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1222 be adopted? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', all those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 123 'aye', 5 'no', 18 voting 'present', and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1222. Not in this case. On the order of Conference Committee Report there appears House Bill 341. Mr. Taylor. Mr. James Taylor. We've already done that one? It's been adopted? It has been. Mr. Greiman. Mr. Simms."

Simms: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Representative Greiman's Bill, I did pose the question to the Chair regarding the germaneness of the Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Simms, I don't think Mr. Greiman is in the chamber."



Simms: "I'm sorry, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Madigan: "So we'll pass over that Bill. Mr. Jaffe, do you wish to call House Bill 1769? House Bill 1769? Mr. Jaffe."

Jaffe: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I move that we do concur on House Bill 1769. I might say that all of the Members of the Conference Committee signed the report and the Bill is essentially as it came out of the House. What happened was that the Senate receded from Senate Amendment #3, which was the controversial...controversial Amendment which we didn't want in the House and the House concurred in Senate Amendment #1, which was merely clarifying language for House Bill 1769. Basically what this Bill does is it permits downstate school boards and suburban school boards to have the same leasing powers as the City of Chicago and it would be uniform throughout the state. I would move for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Madigan: "Is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1769? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', all those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 145 'aye', no 'noes', no one voting 'present', and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1769 by the three-fifths Constitutional majority. Mr. Capparelli, on Senate Bill 1023."

Capparelli: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I ask the House to do adopt Conference Committee Report #1 to Senate Bill 1023. What it does is we've got in the Committee and of the 16 members....four of the Members, one would be from a field of banking, one from the savings and loan and one from the credit union and one from the consumers lending department. I would ask to do adopt Conference Committee Report #1 to Senate Bill 1023."



Speaker Madigan: "Is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1023? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', all those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 128 'ayes', 6 'noes', 13 voting 'present', and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1023, by the required three-fifths Constitutional Majority. On the Order of Conference Committee Reports there appears Senate Bill 1142. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Madison, Mr. Lucco. Mr. Lucco, on Senate Bill 1142."

Lucco: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move that the House do adopt the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1142. This Bill passed the House 145 to 1, and the concurrence on the Concurrence Committee, the vote was 10 to nothing. This is the Bill which sets up the Department of Mines and Energy. I would solicit your vote. The Amendments....the Conference report merely settled some....well, shall I say, disputable language between various groups such as the miners and the municipal league and the utilities. The government's people, all of them were in agreement with the Conference Report and ...as I said, the vote was 10 to nothing."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Will the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman indicates he'll yield."

Tipsword: "If I read this correctly, Mr. Lucco, this puts in all of that various language that emphasizes the safety aspects of the present Department of Mining so that there is no danger that the emphasis on safety and in our minds will be in any way diminished. Isn't that correct?"

Lucco: "That's correct. The present Director of Mines and Minerals will remain as Director of Mining Regulation and all of the present responsibilities, duties and so forth



of that Department remain under his control."

Tipsword: "This meets, therefore, all of the suggestions and requirements of the miners in the state?"

Lucco: "That's right."

Tipsword: "Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "Is there any further discussion? Mr. McMaster."

McMaster: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, if you remember, when this Bill first came out....up, we did raise questions in regard to reclamation. I want you to all know that they have been satisfied to my satisfaction....and to the satisfaction of the Department of Mines and Minerals and the coal operators of the State of Illinois."

Speaker Madigan: "Is there any further discussion? Mr. James Houlihan."

Houlihan, James: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, to follow up on the point raised by Representative McMaster. The issue that was raised here was when you have an agency which is promoting the development of energy and coal and resources here in Illinois and they are involved in strip mining. Is there some legitimate question as to whether they should be the ones who actually do the supervision of the reclamation, which is the restoration, that they might be in conflict with the promoters not actually implementing the statutes as they exist. The issue was raised by those involved with the Environmental Council and they suggested that there ought to be some way to have an appeal. If the Department is not requiring a strict enforcement of the statutes, then there might be a process for an appeal. As you recall, in the House, we offered an Amendment which would have placed this entire Act in the Department of Conservation. That Amendment was defeated by the House. Subsequently, there were two other proposals offered and



July 1, 1977

29.

discussed in the Conference Committee, which were compromise proposals. But my conversations with Representatives of the Environmental Council and other environmentalists that were active in this discussion and the preparation of this particular Bill, was that if ...if we were not able to arrive at this compromise and if, in fact, we were going to try and do something at the eleventh hour, to set up an appeals process for this area of reclamation, they would be in agreement that maybe what we ought to do is pass this Bill as it is and work at next Session, at trying to set up some way to protect that right and make sure there was some review or overview. I talked to Representative McMaster and Senator Buzbee on this issue, in the Conference Committee and there seemed to be general agreement that it is a problem...or an issue that we should address and that because the time is short we might not best address it at this time but rather address it in...either in the Committee at a later date when we come back in the fall. I would urge the adoption of the Conference Committee Report #1."

Speaker Madigan: "Is there any further discussion? There being no further discussion, the question is, shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1142? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', all those opposed by voting 'no'. The Chair recognizes Mr. Edgar, to explain his vote."

Edgar: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd just like to echo the other people who have supported this Bill. It's needed legislation. But even more important than the subject matter, I think this is symbolic because this piece of legislation is a good example of legislative initiative. There has been a lot of talk about reorganization of state government and we have had several proposals from the Governor which I think is proper. This proposal came from the Legislature. Especially it came from this House. I



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

think we can take pride in the fact that with the passage of this legislation we're establishing the fact that re-organization is a two way street, it's cooperation between the Legislative Branch and the Executive Branch."

Speaker Madigan: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 140 'ayes', 1 'no', 5 voting 'present', and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1142, by the required three-fifths Constitutional Majority. On the Order of Conference Committee Report, there appears Senate Bill 1039. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Garmisa."

Garmisa: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would ask leave of the House to consider at this time the corrected copy of the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1039."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman requests leave to consider the revised copy of the Conference Committee Report. Leave being granted. Proceed, Mr. Garmisa."

Garmisa: "The First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1039 states that the Senate concur in House Amendment #2. That Amendment legalizes the operation of electric motorized tricycles in the State of Illinois. It also requires that the operator of this vehicle be licensed, and that the tricycle have a safety pennant attached to it and restricts its operation on roadways to the daytime only. The Amendment also exempts the elderly and the handicapped from having to pay a registration fee for this tricycle. Mr. Speaker, I move that the House do adopt the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1039."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Clerk, would you clear the board? Thank you. Mr. Kane."

Kane: "Will the Sponsor yield to a question? What's the Secretary of State and the Department of Transportation.."



Speaker Madigan: "You wish to answer a question?"

Garmisa: "Yeah."

Speaker Madigan: "The Sponsor indicates he'll yield."

Kane: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. What's the position now of the Secretary of State and the Department of Transportation on this Bill?"

Garmisa: "The Secretary of State and the Department of Transportation have voiced no opposition to this Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Is there any further discussion? There being no further discussion, the question is, shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1039? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', all those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 139 'aye', 4 'noes', 8 voting 'present', and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1039, by the required three-fifths Constitutional Majority. On the Order of Conference Committee Reports, there appears Senate Bill 1208. The Chair recognizes the Lady from Cook, Mrs. Macdonald. Mrs. Macdonald."

Macdonald: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I recommend that we do accept the First Conference Report on House Biller...Senate Bill 1208. The Senate discovered when the Bill went back for concurrence that in drafting the Legislative Reference Bureau had left out an entire section of the School Code so that had to be added. They also changed the Amendment which was the Pierce Amendment, making the effective date on July 1, 1978. They changed that and put it back to effective date being immediate. Also it added a section that says the Amendatory Act cannot apply to organization proceedings commenced before July 1, 1977. Representative Pierce has no problem with this. It takes care of his problem in his district so I recommend we do vote to



accept this First Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Madigan: "Is there any discussion? Mr. Skinner.....

Is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, shall Senate BillThe question is, shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1208? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', all those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 149 'aye', one 'no', one voting 'present', and Senate Bill 120.....Excuse me. And the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1208, by the required three-fifths Constitutional Majority. On the Order of Conference Committee Report there appears Senate Bill 1209. The Chair recognizes Mr. Ewing."

Ewing: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is the Conference Committee Report....the Second Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1209. It has in it the...This Bill, originally presented, would allow the use of Strayer-Haig financial aid to school districts the same as the equalizer formula aid to school districts. Two Amendments on this; one the Giorgi Amendment, Representative Giorgi has worked extremely hard to pursue this Amendment which would allow for school districts to get into the equalizer formula within one year after the passage of a referendum increasing their rate. The Second Amendment is one we'll call the Grotberg Amendment, put on in the Senate, which would allow school districts to tax higher than their statutory rate, if their assessed valuation has decreased as a result of action in the General Assembly. In particular, our passage of 990. I would move forand wholeheartedly support the acceptance of the Second Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Stuffle."

Stuffle: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen, just 'as



yesterday, the Second Conference Committee Report on 1209 is no different than the first. It still lacks any notice provision with regard to increasing taxes in school districts which were effected by the passage of House Bill 990. There is absolutely no notice that a tax levy increase has taken place in the school district. There is only a back door referendum and then only a ten day period to secure signatures to have one. There's no notice, I repeat that. Secondly, the language of this Conference Committee Report with regard to increasing the amount of revenues in school districts effected by 990, does not state that the district may use the previous amount of revenue that could have been generated in the year before the passage of 990. Instead, what it says, and I hope you would listen correctly is those districts effected by 990 could take the amount of revenue that was previously generated and build into that, by way of any reasonable interpretation of this language, build into that, an estimated increase in revenue that would have occurred each year, had 990 not been in the books. I understand that's some 6 to 7 percent in the Rockford area. That 6 to 7 percent that I mention to you is something that I found out last year in working on Bills not only for the Rockford school district but for the park district, would place an unreasonable burden on the taxpayers there, but secondarily would place an unreasonable burden on many other districts similarly situated throughout the state. Also, it's important to note on this particular Bill that the language changes made in the 1209 Conference Report also allow those districts not only to recoup money and increase taxes at the local level, they allow them, effectively, to be held harmless on their collection of state aid. I would finally submit, in opposing the Conference Committee Report to 1209, respectively, to the Sponsor, that the Sponsor himself,



did not sign this particular Conference Committee Report, it is signed by only two of the five Members in the House. For those reasons I would urge the defeat of the Second Report on Senate Bill 1209."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I think most of us know how important this is to Representative Giorgi and he had to step out for a moment and I wonder if you could take this out of the record, please?"

Speaker Madigan: "The Bill shall be taken from the record. Is Mr. McGaha within hearing range? Mr. McGaha, would you please come to the Speaker's podium? On the Order of Conference Committee Reports there appears Senate Bill 1373. The Chair recognizes Mr. Rigney. Mr. McGaha."

Rigney: "Mr. Speaker, Senate Bill 1373 is the one that provides for the continuing education of our Township Assessors. It provides that in order to continue to receive the three hundred dollar state stipend that they will have to continue to take classes, in fact, one hundred and twenty-eight hours of class time, in a four year period. The only difference between the House and the Senate version of these Bills was the fact that the House recognized that the institute that is conducted by the assessors, shall be the proper body to deal with the education and the tests. When we went to Conference Committee the Senate concurred in the House version of this Bill. I ask that this report be concurred in."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Darrow."

Darrow: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Madigan: "The Sponsor indicates that he will yield."

Darrow: "Is this report number two?"

Rigney: "No, this is report number one."

Darrow: "All right, now, in this report the Senate concurs in House Amendment one and two. Is that correct?"

Rigney: "That is correct."



Darrow: "Is it necessary then for the House to do anything at all?' ...Why can't the Senate just concur?"

Rigney: "Well, we were assigned as a Conference Committee. The Conference Committee met and this is the report of Conference Committee #1."

Darrow: "I would ask a ruling of the Chair on that point. Mr. Speaker."

Unknown: "Mr. Speaker....Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Darrow."

Darrow: "A parliamentary inquiry. On this Conference Report, the Senate is concurring in House Amendments 1 and 2. Is there any need for this body to take any action, inasmuch as the Senate is concurring?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Darrow, the answer to your question is, that since the Bill has been committed to a Conference Committee, it is traditional to require that there be a report before both Houses of that Conference Committee."

Darrow: "Inasmuch as I would never think to overrule the Chair, I appreciate the Parliamentary decision."

Speaker Madigan: "Did you wish to question the germaneness of any of those Senate Amendments?"

Darrow: "I don't believe so at this time."

Speaker Madigan: "Oh, thank you. Mr. Rigney, have you completed your explanation? Is there any further discussion? Mr. Daniels."

Daniels: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Madigan: "The Sponsor indicates that he will yield."

Daniels: "The township officials have expressed an opposition to this Bill. Have they withdrawn that opposition?"

Rigney: "So that everybody is clear on this, the township officials are agreed with the House version of the Bill. That's what we're voting on, is the House version of the Bill. The township officials and the Department of Local Government Affairs ironed out the compromise position."



Daniels: "They support the Bill now?"

Rigney: "They do."

Speaker Madigan: "Is there any further discussion? There being no further discussion, the question is, shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1373? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', all those opposed by voting 'no'. Would someone vote Mr. Ewing, 'aye'? Does anyone seek recognition Mr. Antonovych."

Antonovych: "Mr. Speaker, did you call House Bill 2069, for Concurrence?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Antonovych, we're on the order of Senate Bill 1373 at this time."

Antonovych: "Excuse me. I thought you were moving to a new order of business."

Speaker Madigan: "Have all voted who wish? On this question there are 147 'ayes', 2 'noes', 5 voting 'present', and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1373, by the required three-fifths Constitutional Majority. Mr. Collins, on House Bill 1829."

Collins: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would ask you not to accept the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 18...er Senate Bill 1829 because it needs an effective date change. It is House Bill 1829. I was reading the board, I beg your pardon. It needs an effective date change and if we would reject this I would like to file the Second Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Collins, I understand that we are simply preparing the reports and then requesting leave to consider a revised report."

Collins: "Well, Mr. Speaker, not knowing of your action, I went ahead and got a Second Conference Committee Report prepared and signed and it's already filed. All you have to do is appoint us.....us..."



Speaker Madigan: "Whatever is easier for the Chair I'm for. We've got the documents filed. The Gentleman moves that the House do not adopt the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1829. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', all those opposed by saying 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it and the House does not adopt the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1829. A Second Conference Committee will be appointed. Mr. Collins."

Collins: "Mr. Speaker, on another matter, purely technical. I have another Bill, House Bill 1109. According to the records, neither House requested a Second Conference Committee be appointed on this Bill. If I may, Sir, I would like to make that request at this time? It's House Bill 1109. ...This is not an effective date change, this is one that was defeated in the Senate."

Speaker Madigan: "Where does this Bill appear on the Calendar?"

Collins: "Well, it should.....it should.....There should be a message from the Senate. This Conference Committee Report was defeated in the Senate last night.....er..... today and I..."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Collins, we will examine this matter and return to you very shortly."

Collins: "This is the Bill....You're a Cosponsor of the Bill, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Madigan: "We will examine this. Mr. Antonovych, what was your request?"

Antonovych: "Mr. Speaker, could you call House Bill 2069 for a concurrence?"

Speaker Madigan: "Where does it appear, Mr. Antonovych?"

Antonovych: "On page three."

Speaker Madigan: "What is the number?"

Antonovych: "Twenty sixty-nine."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Antonovych, I believe that that Conference Committee Report does not have an immediate



effective date."

Antonovych: "Mr. Speaker, there has been a corrected First Conference Committee Report filed and distributed.."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman requests leave that the House consider the revised First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 2069. Leave being granted, Mr. Antonovych, proceed."

Antonovych: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move to adopt the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 2069. It has been accepted in the Senate, the vote was 54 to nothing. It simply adds the word 'similar' before 'substance' and it provides for objections that Representative Houlihan expressed earlier on this floor. So I move for the adoption of the Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Antonovych, the Clerk does not have the corrected Conference Committee Report. Could you bring that up to the Clerk's well? In the meantime we will return to Mr. Greiman. 1762. I think we have not covered that. I've been off the floor in a Conference Committee....and if you could go back to that, I'd appreciate it. It's an Interim Study....Mr. Greiman, on House Bill 1762."

Greiman: "1762, Mr. Speaker, there's been a Conference Report filed which suggested it go back tothat it be re-committed to the House Insurance Committee, Interim Study Calendar. I am the reluctantReluctantly, as Sponsor I would ask that that be approved...and that it be returned."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves that the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1762, which directs that the Bill be sent back to the Committee on Insurance of the House. The Gentleman moves that that report be adopted. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', all those opposed by saying 'no'. In the opinion of the



Chair the 'ayes' have it. The report is adopted and the Bill shall be returned to the Committee on Insurance with the Senate Amendments. Mr. Laurino."

Laurino: "Mr. Chairman....Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would seek leave of the House to have a special meeting for the Elections Committee in the Speaker's Office at 3:45."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman requests..."

Laurino: "4:45"

Speaker Madigan: "...leave that there be a special meeting of the Committee on Elections....at the hour of 4:45, in the Speaker's Office. Is there leave? Leave being granted, would the Membership please give me its attention? Leave being granted, there shall be a special meeting of the Committee on Elections in the Speaker's Office at the hour of 4:45.....Mr. Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, so that a lot of Members get to understand what is going on in the education budget that's holding up an awful lot of you from going home this weekend, I could just announce to you right now that the next meeting of the Conference Committee will be at 6 p.m. I might point out to you that we have met all night, we have met as early as 10 o'clock this morning, at 12:30, at 3 o'clock and once again at 4 o'clock. The next meeting will be at 6 p.m. Now Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I know all of us want to go home and all of us want to get out of here. But there is no way that this General Assembly is going to come to an end until an agreement is met on what we're going to do with the priority of education and the way in which we distribute the tax dollars expended. I'm not taking the floor to explain a position. I'm not taking the floor to advocate any one cut over another, but I'm going to say to all of you, that the meeting will take place in Room 400 at 6 p.m. If you want to see



July 1, 1977

40.

confrontation politics. on education, view the actions of the Conference Committee that so far has tried to grapple with this issue. The philosophy is what's different and that is the problem....trying to come to grips. I don't know what else to do except to tell you that we're going to continue to meet, but in the meantime you Members are going to have to wait, I guess, until we come out with a Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Madigan: "On the Order of Conference Committee Reports there appears Senate Bill 345. Mr. Terzich will offer the Bill on behalf of Mr. Ebbesen."

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, a corrected copy has been filed..."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman requests leave that we consider the revised version of the Conference Committee Report. Leave being granted, proceed, Mr. Terzich."

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I move that we adopt the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 345. The problem with this Bill, it was on the Consent Calendar and when the Amendment went in it struck out the Enacting Clause and this simply puts the Bill back into its original stage with the Amendment. Therefore, I would urge adoption of the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 345."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 345. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', all those opposed by voting 'no'. Open the Board, Mr. Clerk. Mr. Daniel Houlihan."

Houlihan, Dan: "A question of the Sponsor. Can you tell us whether or not page two of the Conference Committee Report is correctly printed, because there are two versions of this Conference Committee Report? Thank you, our inquiry has been answered."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Houlihan, has your question been answered? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

July 1, 1977

41.

take the record. On this question there are 122 'ayes', no voting 'no', 3 voting 'present'; and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 345, by the three-fifths required Constitutional Majority. On the order of Conference Committee Reports there appears Senate Bill 1209. The Chair recognizes Mr. Ewing. Mr. Giorgi. Mr. Giorgi. The Chair recognizes Mr. Ewing."

Ewing: "Yes, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is the Bill which I recently described...just a few moments ago, dealing with the school aid formula. I'd be glad to answer any question or otherwise I'd be glad to have a vote on this Second Conference Committee Report, the approval of which I am asking."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Giorgi. Mr. Stuffle. Mr. Stuffle."

Stuffle: "Well, for the fourth time in two days I would rise to oppose this, not because it's Zeke Giorgi's but in spite of it. Again note that there's no notice in this Bill for the tax increase. There's no notice for a backdoor referendum. It's a giant tax increase on school districts effected by House Bill 990. It says, in effect, that a school district effected by that Bill can not only raise the revenue to the level that it was at before 990, but in effect the language of this Bill would allow a school district to take that amount of money and to increase it by what would have been expected growth each year, which would be six to seven percent. In the case of Rockford, the Bill would be a tremendous tax increase there, coupled with the 50 cent tax that has already been approved by the voters. It would be similarly a grade increase in other districts downstate. They need the money but it is a tremendous increase, with only a backdoor referendum, without notice to the taxpayers that they can even have that backdoor referendum on an increased tax levy. Moreover, the last section of the Bill, as I



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

read it, would also give to those school districts, an extra bonus in giving them their state aid based upon different tax rates. I don't think it's fair to the people, the taxpayers, to pass this Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, to set the record straight on Senate Bill 1209, Rockford had a referendum after this Bill was introduced and this Bill...in searching the synopsis and in searching the Reference Bureau, the only Bill that could help Rockford's situation was Senate Bill 1209, and I have to accept what 1209 has in it. What my Amendment does, is because it takes two years to trigger in your tax rate and your assessed valuations, my Amendment would allow us to trigger in, a year from now next June. So in the event this Bill doesn't reach the happy conclusion, another Bill can be introduced by next June, but I'd like to tell a little bit about the dilemma we find ourselves in because of 990. There are many of us who had misgivings about 990, but some of our people in the General Assembly and especially some of the staff, contributed to writing 990 and we find that it was an albatross around Rockford's neck. Now, what this Bill... what Rockford's Amendment does is it allows for a back-door referendum and the last speaker is in there, there is a notice here, in fact in the Amendment there is also the facsimile ballot and I'm sure that the Rockford School Board, which just passed the 60 cent per 100 referendum, would impose this additional tax. I don't think there is any school board in the state that would do this after receiving the support of the electorate, with a 60 cent per 100 tax increase. Now I think that I happen to have been one of those that attended the school fund meeting until 4 o'clock in the morning and I'm finding that we've outfoxed ourselves and this General Assembly by



appointing members of the School Problems Commission also to the Secondary and Elementary Committee. They seem to think they are the new experts in the field and the rest of us don't know anything. There are two or three of them that want to ascend to Clabaugh's thrown, but I'm afraid that after ten years of being on the Commission and on the Committee they couldn't carry his books or shine his shoes. Now I'm making these serious charges because I'm fed up with the way we let the School Problems Commission move into the Elementary and Secondary Committee and they are the writers of the new gospel. It's their fault that a school district like Rockford has suffered so much, can't trigger in their tax rate and their assessed valuation for two years because they are so stupid they haven't figured out a way to trigger in the next year. That's what's happening to us. We're letting two or three people who....I think they have a mutual admiration society and they'd like to be canonized like Clabaugh was for about ten years, but I repeat again, they couldn't carry his books."

Speaker Madigan: "Mrs. Martin.....Does not seek recognition.
Mr. Hudson."

Hudson: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves the previous question.
All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', all those opposed.....In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and the Chair recognizes Mr. Ewing to close the debate."

Ewing: "Ladies and Gentlemen, I think everybody knows what's in this Bill. Representative Giorgi has worked very hard on it. I think he deserves your earnest attention. And I would ask for your 'aye' vote."

Speaker Madigan: "The question is, shall the House adopt the Second Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1209? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', all those opposed by voting 'no'. Mr. Schneider to explain his vote."



Schneider: "Without getting into personalities of one of the prior speakers; all districts have an interest in allocating the number of dollars that are limited to us and available to us over the last couple of years. To give a district special preference which we're asking for in 1209, is to mean that other districts which have likewise passed similar referenda that they who have waited for their regular time to kick in for two years are now going to be saying 'give Rockford a break'. I've got nothing wrong with that. I have no problems with understanding the provincial nature of such an appeal as made by the speaker from Rockford. But the fact remains...is that we would be redistributing \$3,000,000 of very limited dollars as we are finding out about today, to one district because there's the thought that maybe a few of us want to be canonized like Charley Clabaugh. So the facts are that school districts would lose money, Rockford would gain money, it would have the preference over other districts which did the same thing....two years ago and are waiting their turn for their tax rate to kick in. This is, again, a lousy piece of legislation. It started out as partially acceptable, but with the Amendment to 1209, that re-distributes state dollars, I would solicit a 'no' vote."

Speaker Madigan: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? On this Conference Committee Report which has 40 'aye'.....50 'aye'; and 59 'noes'; Mr. Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, to set the records straight, Rockford isn't the only school district that's going to benefit from this. There at least 30 school districts that are going to benefit from this type of legislation. Like I said earlier, the prior speaker knows that, should have said that instead of implying that Rockford is the only school district that's going to receive the money.....So you are not only penalizing Rockford, you're penalizing the school districts in Adams, Douglas, Fayette, Franklin,



Henderson, (unintelligible), Jackson, JoDavie, Lake, LaSalle, Macon, McHenry, Sangamon, Stephenson and Wayne and about ten other counties that I don't have their identification."

Speaker Madigan: "Mrs. Martin, to explain her vote. She withdraws. The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 50 'ayes', 61 'noes', 4 voting 'present', and the House does not adopt the Second Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1209. Mr. Greiman. Mr. Greiman, do you wish to call House Bill 845?"

Greiman: "All right."

Speaker Madigan: "For what purpose does the Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Simms, arise?"

Simms: "Well prior to your ascending the Chair this afternoon, when Speaker Redmond was in the Chair, this Bill was called, I raised the question of germaneness....which was taken from the record. The Speaker and the Parliamentarian were going to confer and there was to be a ruling on whether or not this Conference Report is germane to the original legislation which was introduced which deals with the Occupational Disease Act, and the report incorporates amending also the Fair Employment Practices Act. In lieu of Speaker Redmond's historical ruling last evening, I would indicate that I feel that... that this is not germane, and I would ask that the Chair rule on that matter. I believe the Parliamentarian does have a ruling."

Speaker Madigan: "Would the Parliamentarian please return to the podium? Would Mr. Simms please restate your position with all of that accompanying jazz?"

Simms: "Dealing with the historical decision of Speaker Redmond?"

Speaker Madigan: "No. On this particular question."

Simms: "Yes. My question is a question of germaneness that I question the germaneness of the contents of the



Conference Committee Report as it is amendingthe report amends the Fair Employment Practices Act....rather than what the Bill addresses itself to....then the Occupational Disease.....the Workmen's Compensation Act."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Well, as I said before, when the Gentleman from Winnebago raised that issue, it is very different from the issue last night....because the Amendment does also amend the same Chapter and therefore would be germane according to all of the rulings that have been made at the podium this Session....."

Speaker Madigan: "Mrs. Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, ...and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I guess last night was the first time that the Speaker deviated from his usual course of business on Senate Bills and rejected a Bill because of the germanenessthe Amendment being considered not germane. That Amendment related to punishment and was attached to a Bill relating to punishment. It was ruled not germane. Now today's case, in Senate Bill,....House Bill 845, the original Bill was for a statute of limitations on the Workmen's Occupational Disease Act. The Amendment, however, that was brought in by the Conference Committee relates to collective bargaining and I do think he has gone too far and also to the Fair Employment Practices... and that's a separate title entirely. So I think I would like to ask for a ruling from your Parliamentarian in line with the precedent set last night, that this Amendment, in the Conference Report, is not germane to the issue of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Greiman, do you wish to offer some argument in support of holding that the Amendment is germane?"

Greiman: "Well, I think there was argument offered, before. Firstly, the intent....the substance of this Bill is to



require that employers pass on or assign Workmen's Compensation....Unemployment....I'm sorry..... Occupational Disease Insurance coverage to the successors entitled to businesses, so that there is no oneno business that doesn't have appropriate Workmen's Compensation Insurance. So that the purposes of the Bill I should say the purposes of the Amendment are consistent with the aims of the Workmen's Compensation Act. I would submit, therefore, that they are germane...it is germane. And, of course, other Bills have maintained the same chapter, although changes have been made in the Bills themselves."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair rules that the Amendment is not germane. Mr. Greiman. Mr. Greiman."

Greiman: "What does that mean to the Bill itself? Does that strike out this section of the Committee Report or Conference Report or do we need a new Conference Report, or what do we need?"

Speaker Madigan: "The Parliamentarian informs me that you cannot divide the Conference Committee Report. I suspect Mr. Greiman, that your only alternative would be to move to not adopt."

Greiman: "Well, then, let's 'do not adopt' and for a second Conference"

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves that the House do not adopt the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 845, and that a Second Conference Committee be appointed. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', all those opposed say 'no', and in the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it and a Second Conference Committeea Second Conference Committee shall be appointed. Mr. Antonovych on House Bill 2069."

Antonovych: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move that the House adopt corrected First Conference Report on House Bill 2069."



Speaker Madigan: "Is there any discussion? There being no discussion.....Mr. Kelly. Mr. Kelly."

Kelly: "Yes, I just wonder if the Sponsor could just briefly describe what it does?"

Antonovych: "Mr....Representative Kelly, what the Conference Committee did and what the report shows isit changes the language of ...by adding one word and the word 'similar' before 'substance'. So now my graffiti Bill would read 'knowingly damages the property of another without his consent, by defacing, deforming or otherwise damaging such property by paint or other similar substance'. The correction deals with making the Act effective immediately."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Getty."

Getty: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I just wanted to add that the Conference Committee Report does clear up the objections that were raised on the floor by Representative Houlihan and I think that the Bill, the Conference Committee Report should now be adopted."

Speaker Madigan: "The question is, shall the House adopt Conference Committee Report on House Bill 2069? All thosethe Chair stands corrected by the Parliamentarian. This is a corrected copy and the House grants leave that we consider the corrected copy at this time. The question is, shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 2069? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', all those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 137 'ayes', 1 'no', 4 voting 'present'. The House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 2069, by the required three-fifths Constitutional Majority. Are there any Sponsors of Bills on the Order of Conference Committee Reports, who have filed a revised version of the Conference Committee Report and who wish to move for the



adoption or who do not adopt on a Conference Committee Report. Mr. Totten. Mr. Kucharski, have you filed a revised copy? The Chair recognizes Mr. Kucharski on House Bill 2030, on the Order of Conference Committee Reports. House Bill 2030. Mr. Kucharski."

Kucharski: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Being principal Sponsor of House Bill 2030, I now move to table it."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves that the House do not adopt the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 2030. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye'; all those opposed by saying 'no'; the House does not adopt the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 2030. The Chair recognizes Mr. Kucharski."

Kucharski: "I now move to table House Bill 2030."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman requests leave that House Bill 2030 be tabled. Is there leave? Leave being granted, House Bill 2030 is tabled. Mr. Totten."

Totten: "House Bill 364, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Madigan: "On the Order of Conference Committee Reports, there appears House Bill 364. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would ask leave to hear the corrected copy of the First Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Madigan: "Is there leave? Leave is granted that we consider the revised copy of the Conference Committee Report. Mr. Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 364 is an Amendment to the Election Code which allows certain jurisdictions in the state to use three election judges in certain elections. It passed both the House and the Senate overwhelmingly and then there's a Senate Amendment that exempted county boards of Commissioners. It was suggested that, in the City of Chicago, we allow it for special congressional districts and that was the subject of the



Conference Committee. They can use three judges. The whole Bill is permissive and I would ask that we adopt Conference Committee Report #1 to House Bill 364."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Stuffle. Is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 364? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', all those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 147 'ayes'; one 'no'; no voting 'present', and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 364 by the required three-fifths Constitutional Majority. Are there any other Sponsors who wish to call their Bills? Mr. Stuffle."

Stuffle: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. Late last evening we adopted a Conference Committee Report on House Bill 741. Inadvertently there was no effective date on that. I believe I would have to move at this time to reconsider that vote. We would like to do so and offer a corrected copy with an effective date thereafter."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves that the vote by which the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 741 was adopted, be reconsidered. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye'; all those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it and that vote is hereby reconsidered. The Chair recognizes Mr. Stuffle."

Stuffle: "Yes. As I said, House Bill 741 is Representative Mudd's Bill, which I'm handling for him. We adopted the same Conference Committee Report last night, 110 to 4. The only difference with this one is we have added an immediate effective date upon becoming law and I would move for the adoption of the corrected First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 741."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman requests leave that the House



consider the revised Conference Committee Report on House Bill 741. Is there leave? Leave being granted, the Gentleman moves for the adoption of the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 741. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', all those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 120 'ayes'; 9 'noes'; 5 voting 'present'; and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 741 by the required three-fifths Constitutional Majority. Mr. Hanahan, "on House Bill 1406. Mr. Hanahan....on House Bill 1406."

Hanahan: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, House Bill 1406 is a Bill that we passed out of House of Representatives and over to the Senate. It provided for time and a half after 40 hours for waitresses and employees of motel and hotel industry. The Senate had adopted an Amendment that was in error, it came back and we had concurred with it, later we found the error, moved to reconsider, had the Billhad the Bill put in Conference Committee and we came out with a Conference Committee that phased in as agreed to, with the industry, for the purposes of having time and a half after 40 hours phased in over the next three years. I think a Conference Committee Report is a matter of integrity for all Members to support because as everyone should be aware, it was not a necessity for me to return back this Bill to the House for any vote. I know at this time we are short on a lot of Members, so I'm asking for a considerable.... a consideration by Members who may not necessarily always vote for this kind of legislation, so that we don't necessarily have to be faced with this issue next spring, because, so help me God, I won't get mad if I have to lose this Bill, I'll get even."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Hanahan, what is your request?"



Hanahan: "My request is, that the Conference Committee Report be adopted."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Hanahan, are you aware that there's no effective date in this Conference Committee Report? There is."

Hanahan: "You must have the wrong Conference Committee Report. There's one that was a corrected copy, ...that was re-distributed."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Clerk, is there a corrected copy on file? The Clerk informs me that the corrected copy has not yet been filed. Do you wish to proceed?"

Hanahan: "No. I'll have to wait, because I want the corrected copy to be on file."

Speaker Madigan: "Take this Bill from the record. Mr. Deuster. Mr. Deuster here? Mr. Totten, on House Bill 908, Co-sponsored with Mrs. Pullen. Hasn't been filed? Mr. Tipsword."

Tipsword: "We just received a corrected version of Mr. Totten's 908, I believe."

Speaker Madigan: "908?"

Tipsword: "Uh-huh."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes Mr. Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I ask leave so that we can hear the corrected copy of the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 908."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman requests leave to consider the revised copy of the Conference Committee Report. For what purpose does the Gentleman from Rock Island, Mr. Polk seek recognition? Mr. Polk. Mr. Polk."

Polk: "Mr. Speaker, I simply do this on a point of personal privilege. Last evening, on 1209, a corrected copy had not been on the desk for one hour. Is this considered the same matter, in relation to Mr. Totten there? Last evening he asked us to take one out of the record, a Bill that



...a Bill of Mr. Ewings, that we wanted to get out of the way, and he said that it hadn't been on the desk for one hour. Would this fall under the samesame....all right. I'll withdraw."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Totten."

Totten: "All right. Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 908 deals with allowing, in certain instances and with the person's request a release of the existence or nonexistence of a criminal record. It's needed for people who have visas, or want to practice law in other states and liquor license holders, the Senate put an Amendment on which extended the provisions and in the Conference Committee the Senate agreed to recede from a Senate Amendment #2 and the corrected version makes the Act effective upon its becoming law and I would ask that the House adopt Conference Committee Report #1 to House Bill 908."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves that the House do adopt the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 908. Is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 908? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', all those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 138 'aye', no 'noes', 3 voting 'present', and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 908 by the required three-fifths Constitutional Majority. Mr. McPike, on Senate Bill 1203."

McPike: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would ask leave to hear the corrected version of the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1203. which has been filed."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of the



adoption of the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1203. Is there any discussion? Aldo, Mr. McPike requests leave that the House consider the revised Conference Committee Report. Is there leave? Leave being granted, we are now considering the revised Conference Committee Report. The Chair recognizes Mr. Johnson."

Johnson: "I'd just like to know briefly whatthe Bill and what the changes are?"

McPike: "Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the....Senate Bill 1203 allows fire protection districts to adopt national standards by reference. It was on the Consent Calendar; removed because the Democratic Staff felt that the language was somewhat improper. The Amendment that we adopted corrected the language. The Senate disagreed with it. We discussed it and agreed with their original version, therefore, I would move the adoption of the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1203."

Speaker Madigan: "Is there any further discussion? Is there any further discussion? The question is, shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1203? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', all those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 128 'ayes', 2 'noes', 2 voting 'present'; and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1203, by the required three-fifths Constitutional Majority. Messages from the Senate."

Clerk O'Brien: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to adopt First Conference Committee on House Bill 1109. Action taken by the Senate July 1, 1977, Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Message from the Senate by, Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has ceded the request for a First



Conference Committee on Senate Bill 752 and a Second Conference Committee on House Bill 1508, 526, Senate Bill 596, Senate Bill 1301, Senate Bill 881. Action taken by the Senate July 1, 1977, Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Message from the Senate by, Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has adopted the First Conference Committee on House Bill 1762, 1399, 1769, 2069, 1806, 1594, 973, 1064, 1071, and Senate Bills 322, 1373, 880, 1023, 335, 327 and 1208. Adopted by the Senate July 1, 1977, by a three-fifths vote, Kenneth Wright, Secretary."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. James Houlihan."

Houlihan, James: "Mr. Speaker, did the Clerk read a Senate action with regard to Senate Bill 752?"

Speaker Madigan: "They indicate that they did. Shall we repeat that?"

Houlihan, James: "Please."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Clerk, would you repeat Senate action on Senate Bill 752? They agreed to a Conference Committee, that was their message."

Houlihan, James: "Oh! They agreed to the First Conference Committee?"

Speaker Madigan: "They agreed to go along. Mr. Hudson."

Hudson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I wondered if I could have leave for a consideration of a First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 2032? I'm handling this for Representative Hoffman."

Speaker Madigan: "Where does it appear on the Calendar, Mr. Hudson? I'm informed by the Clerk that it does not appear on the Calendar at this time, but it will appear on a Supplemental Calendar, so we will hold that Bill."

Hudson: "Thank you very much."

Speaker Madigan: "All right."

Hudson: "Yes."

Speaker Madigan: "Thank you. On the Order of Conference Com-



July 1, 1977

56.

mittee Reports, there appears House Bill 52. Mr. Deuster."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would like to move that we not accept the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 52 and request the appointment of a Second Conference."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves that the House do not adopt the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 52 and that a Second Conference Committee be appointed. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', all those opposed by saying 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it. The House does not adopt the First Conference Committee Report and a Second Conference Committee shall be appointed. Mr. Deuster."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker, there is Senate Bill 29 on the Order of Conference Committee Report; if you would be interested in calling that, I'd be happy to present it."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Deuster, what is the number of the Bill that you are addressing?"

Deuster: "Senate Bill 29."

Speaker Madigan: "Where does that appear on the Calendar?"

Deuster: "I thought it wasI have the Conference Committee Report, First Conference Committee Report in my hand, I thought it was on the Calendar."

Speaker Madigan: "Could you tell me where it appears on the Calendar; Mr. Deuster?"

Deuster: "I'll look."

Speaker Madigan: "It does not appear to me that it appears on the Calendar."

Deuster: "I dont' have a Calendar, but I'll get one and look. I just had assumed that it was on the Calendar since I have the report. I'm sorry.Mr. Speaker, I understand it is not on the Calendar....I'm sorry. Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "On Supplemental Calendar #1, on the Order of Conference Committee Reports there appears House Bill 245.



Mr. Davis."

Davis: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I don't have Supplemental Calendar #1."

Speaker Madigan: "It is probably being distributed....but..."

Davis: "I'd be delighted to proceed. I would move that we adopt the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 245; which indicates that the Senate will recede from Amendments #1 and 2. Both Amendments were corrective in nature in that they were....excuse me....were amendatory in nature in that they made a minimum-maximum mandatory sentence on second conviction drug sales in manufacturing and delivering, to third conviction. They have agreed to recede from that Amendment and I would move adoption."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 245. Mr. Davis, before we proceed to a Roll Call, is there an immediate effective date on this Conference Committee Report?"

Davis: "No. The effective date is October 1."

Speaker Madigan: "And that's acceptable to you?"

Davis: "It is."

Speaker Madigan: "Thank you. The question is, shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 245? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', all those opposed by voting 'no'. Mr. Giorgi. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there's 132 'ayes', and no 'noes', 2 voting 'present' and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 245."

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Giorgi in the Chair."

Representative Giorgi in the Chair.....

Speaker Giorgi: "On Supplemental One, House Calendar, Conference Committee Report, appears Report....House....House Bill



1109, Representative Collins, is he in the chamber? Take it out of the record. Representative Daniels, for what reason do you arise?Is G. L. Hoffman in the chambers? Representative Hudson, for what reason do you arise?"

Hudson: "Mr. Speaker, were you looking for Representative Hoffman, for 2032, perhaps?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Yes, Sir."

Hudson: "Mr. Speaker, Representative Hoffman asked me if I would call this matter before the attention of the body and I would ask leave then for consideration."

Speaker Giorgi: "...Being no objections, House Bill 2032, Representative Hudson, in place of G. L. Hoffman."

Hudson: "Yes...."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Clerk....Representative Hudson."

Hudson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is the First Conference Committee Report relevant to House Bill 2032. The Bill, as you may recall, provides alternate permissive means of determining jurors. The Senate put on an Amendment....#1, which was drafted in error. The Senate receded from that Amendment, came up with Amendment #2, which simply provides that in provision....that failure to return an acknowledgment card....does not subject one to contempt of court. Which was not the intention of the original Bill, in any case. There seems to be no problem with it and I would move to concur....with this First Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is there any discussion? Representative Houlihan. James Houlihan."

Houlihan, James: "If the maker of the motion will yield? Is there any reason that Representative Mann did not sign that Conference Committee Report? Did he have opposition to it or was he just not there or was he overlooked or was..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Hudson."



July 1, 1977

59.

Hudson: "Representative Houlihan, no, there was no opposition that I know of. What Representative Hoffman did tell me was this, that his name was not included in the report simply because he was not on hand and could not be contacted."

Speaker Giorgi: "Any further discussion? Then...the question is, shall the House adopt Conference Committee Report #1 to House Bill 2032? All in favor signify by voting 'aye', and those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 144 'ayes', no 'nays', one voting 'present' and this...and the House does adopt Conference Committee Report #1 to House Bill 2032, by the necessary three-fifths Constitutional Majority and hereby declared passed. Announcement by the Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "On the Calendar appears Amendatory Veto Motion. That's in error there. That message was not received from the Senate yet."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Catania. On Supplemental Calendar #1 appears Senate Bill 881. Representative Catania. Conference Committee Report. Second Report."

Catania: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. What this Conference Report does is to adopt an effective date. It was the Department's Bill which was on our Consent Calendar. And as I said last night when we moved for the Second Conference Committee, all ten Members of the Committee have signed the Report. I ask for its adoption."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Dan Houlihan. Any further discussion? The question is, shall the House adopt the Second Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 881? Change the number, Jack. 881. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', and those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are



July 1, 1977

60.

141 'aye', no 'nays', none voting 'present', the House does adopt the Second Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 881, by the three-fifths necessary majority, and hereby is declared passed. On Supplemental Calendar #1. appears House Bill 1109. Representative Collins."

Collins: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is the third time that the House has had an opportunity to act upon House Bill 1109. This is the Bill that requires the aggregate amount of \$150 be included in the transfer section of our Campaign Disclosure forms, in addition to the expenditure and contribution. It just makes it.....conform to what is already in the Act. The First Conference Committee was turned down because we amended another Bill on to this Bill last night. The Senate objected to the other Bill. They did not object to this section and I am confident are ready to accept. So if the House would give me a favorable vote on this Bill for the third time I would appreciate it."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Dan Houlihan."

Houlihan, Dan: "I have a question of the Sponsor, if he'll yield."

Speaker Giorgi: "He will."

Houlihan, Dan: "Is this the Bill that you nonconcurred in previously because the limitation on, what was it, the exemption was reduced from \$150 down to \$50?"

Collins: "Yes. That was the Senate Amendment and if you'll look at the Conference Committee Report it says that the Senate recedes from Senate Amendment #1."

Houlihan, Dan: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Collins, did you ask for the appointment of a new Conference Committee? Is that what your request is?"

Collins: "Yes. I did previously, and that was taken under advisement. I do renew that request."



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

July 1, 1977

61.

Speaker Giorgi: "The Gentleman moves for the appointment of a Second Conference Committee. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it, the Second Conference Committee can be appointed, and is appointed. The same Committee that was Committee #1 can work on Conference Committee #2. Now, on the report, Representative Collins."

Collins: "I ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Giorgi: "Any further discussion? Representative Taylor, are you seeking recognition? The question is on the adoption of the Second Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1109. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', and those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Representative Friedland, on House Bill 1109."

Friedland: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. You're here and I'm here, but I understand that perhaps a handful of Members have left and I see some of their switches being voted and I wish you would check that out."

Speaker Giorgi: "Members are cautioned on watching who they are voting for. Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 142 'ayes', one 'nay', 2 voting 'present', and this Second Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1109 has the necessary three-fifths majority and is thereby declared Constitutionally passed. Representative Collins. Representative Collins, does 1829 take any special action or is it just....."

Collins: "No. Mr. Speaker, this is the Second Conference Committee Report. I don't know if the Conference Committee was appointed so I would make that request that you appoint the same Conference Committee and then I'd like to speak on the report."

Speaker Giorgi: "...You request then, first, that the ...has the First Conference Committee Report gone down?"

Collins: "The first one was rejected because of the date change."



July 1, 1977

62.

Speaker Giorgi: "And has the Second Conference Committee Report been appointed, or shall we appoint it at this moment?"

Collins: "I wish you would do it right now. I'm not sure."

Speaker Giorgi: "Okay, the Second Conference Committee appointments are made to House Bill 1829 and here is the Second Conference Committee Report. Representative Collins."

Collins: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The only reason that this is a Second Conference Committee Report is because of an effective date change which was necessitated because this is July 1. This Bill is the first Bill that has been an agreed Bill in the currency exchange controversy. The Bill was worked out by Representatives from the Ambulatory Exchanges in the person of Mr. Duffy and the Community Currency Exchanges in the person of Mr. Jerry Shea. Together they wrote this Bill. The Bill would merely extend to both Ambulatory and Currency Exchanges the power to write money orders at licensed locations and each would be empowered to do so under a blanket bond. Currently they must have a bond for each location. I would ask for the support of the House."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is there any discussion? The question is, shall the Second Conference Committee Report ...shall the House adopt the Second Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1829? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', and those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Representative Steele or Stiehl (don't know which one)...Do you seek recognition? On this ...Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 114 'ayes', 2 'nays', and 20 voting 'present', and the House shall adopt ...does adopt Conference Committee Report #2 to House Bill 1829, and it having received the three-fifths necessary majority is hereby declared passed."



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

July 1, 1977

63.

Speaker Madigan in Chair.....

Speaker Madigan: "On the First Supplemental Calendar there appears Senate Bill 926. The Chair recognizes Mr. Edgar."

Edgar: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Conference Report for Senate Bill 926 has the Senate accepting House Amendment #1 which would put the Bill in the form that it passed out of the House 131 to nothing. The Bill would now allow the Department of Children and Family Services to continue to make advanced startup money for new programs initiated until July 1, 1979, also give the Department authority... responsibilities and duties for legal custody...when it takes a child into custody under the Abuse and Neglect Child Act. I'd ask for a favorable Roll Call on adopting Conference Committee Report #1 on Senate Bill 926."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Daniel Houlihan."

Houlihan, Dan: "Mr. Edgar, the Bill is in the same form it was when it passed the House?"

Edgar: "Yes."

Houlihan, Dan: "All right."

Speaker Madigan: "Is there any further discussion? There being no further discussion, the question is, shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 926? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', all those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 142 'ayes', no 'noes', no voting 'present', and Senate Bill 9....excuse me, and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 926, by the three-fifths Constitutional Majority which is required in this instance. Senate Bill 1301, Mr. Brummer."

Brummer: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. Senate Bill 1301 was sent back to Second Conference Committee because of the lack of the effective date. It got



caught with the clock about four minutes after midnight last night. The Conference Committee Report was unanimously adopted. We have worked out those items regarding the Secretary of State's Office and the Governor's Office and I request that the Conference Committee Second Conference Committee Report be adopted."

Speaker Madigan: "Is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, shall the House adopt the Second Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1301? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', all those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 132 'ayes', 4 'noes', 4 voting 'present', and the House does adopt the Second Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1301, by the required three-fifths Constitutional Majority. Senate Bill 1342, Mr. Bartulis." For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. James Houlihan arise?"

Houlihan, James: "I'm sorry, Mr. Speaker, but I was inadvertently misled by some bad advice and I voted 'no' on that last Bill. I'd like to change it to 'aye'. It's a very important vote for me, please."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman requests leave to be recorded as voting 'aye', on the Second Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1301. Is there leave? Leave being granted, the Gentleman shall be recorded as 'aye'....On Senate Bill 1342, Mr. Bartulis."

Bartulis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker.....Members of the House, I would move to adopt Conference Committee Report #1 on Senate Bill 1342. All that it amounted to was a few minor changes...In the Amendment I put on a week or so agoand put on the effective date."

Unknown: "This Bill looks fishy to me. This is a fishy Bill."

Bartulis: "A very fishy Bill, also."

Speaker Madigan: "Is there any discussion? Mr. Marovitz."



Marovitz: "Yeah. I have a question. On page one, line 18, we're talking about fish and fish eggs. What particular fish eggs are we referring to. Are we talking about importations from Russia? Caviar? Line 18."

Bartulis: "Usually the fish come from females (unintelligible) "

Marovitz: "But what type of female fish are we talking about?"

Bartulis: "Salmon eggs is what they are usually talking about."

Marovitz: "Isn't this a foreign policy issue as far as our conduct with thecommunist countries have to do? The importation of caviar?"

Bartulis: "It has just to do with the State of Illinois, not any communist country."

Marovitz: "Okay, that's all I wanted to know."

Speaker Madigan: "Is there any further discussion? There being no further discussion, the question is, shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1342? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', all those opposed by voting 'no'. Would someone record Mr. Getty as 'aye'? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 142 'ayes', no 'noes', no voting 'present', and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1342, by the three-fifths By the required three-fifths Constitutional Majority. Mr. Klosak. Is Mr. Klosak in the chamber. On the Order of the Speaker's Table, there appears House Joint Resolution 24, Mr. Mulcahey. Mr. Mulcahey. Mr. Mulcahey. Mr. Mulcahey on House Joint Resolution 24."

Mulcahey: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. House Joint Resolution 24 proposes that the new office Building to be constructed in the near future, within the Capitol Complex here in Springfield, be named the Paul Douglas Building after the late Senator Paul Douglas. It was adopted by the House Executive Committee and they did recommend a 'do adopt' and I move for the adoption of



House Joint Resolution 24."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of House Joint Resolution 24. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Simms."

Simms: "Would the Representative yield for a question?"

Speaker Madigan: "The Sponsor indicates he'll yield."

Simms: "Representative Mulcahey, in honoring Senator Douglas, had you ever considered about having a Commission established to create a statue for him?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "Is this the State Office Building across the street?"

Mulcahey: "No, Sir. Not the existing one, Mr. Walsh, but the one that is being proposed in the near future. The NEW state office building. As you know there's a long range plan for a new state office building to be constructed and that's the one that this concerns."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Conti."

Conti: "The way I understand it, the new one is just going to be a parking lot. Is that the one you're talking about?"

Mulcahey: "No, Sir. It is my understanding ...right to the south of that parking area there's going to be a new state office building. That's where it's going to be constructed. It's a ways off, but that's where it's going to be located."

Conti: "We don't want to confuse the Governor now, because he's already got one on his desk."

Mulcahey: "No. No. This is not it."

Conti: "Fine. That's"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, in all seriousness, I think this is premature. I'm on the Space Needs Commission and there's no immediate plans for building that building and I think it's absurd to name a vacant piece of ground Senator Paul Douglas when there's no immediate plans for building that building at this point."



Mulcahey: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I'm not proposing to dedicate any sort of ground. Just look at the Resolution one time and you realize it's not a piece of ground. Just like it says, it's going to be the building that's going to be constructed and if you'll look at the plans, in the near future you'll know that indeed there's going to be a building in there. That's all there is to it. This simply proposes that the building will be named the Paul Douglas Building, after the late Senator Paul Douglas."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Darrow.

Darrow: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I don't think this is absurd at all. I don't understand why there's so much opposition from across the aisle. Paul Douglas was one of our great Americans, not only here in Illinois but throughout the United States, a fine humanitarian, a fine gentleman, and I feel that it's somewhat disrespectful for you people to sit here and make fun or belittle this idea. We all know there's going to be an office building constructed across the street and to sit here and say are you constructing a parking lot or dedicating empty space, is just completely absurd. I think that this is a very good Resolution and favor its adoption. Thank you." I would move for the adoption of the Resolution, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Well in these days of fiscal austerity why wouldn't it be wise to amend it and just call it the Douglas Building and then it could honor both Stephen Douglas and Paul Douglas. We could get two for the price of one. Would the Sponsor be willing to accept that suggestion, in the name of economy and we honor....Oh! Think it over you might like it after it soaks in."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Kosinski."

Kosinski: "In response to Mr. Cunningham. I would resent that



as a commercial. There is an airplane corporation by that name."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Conti."

Conti: "I know I spoke on this before but Ijust kidding I thought that that was a parking lot, I had no idea that they did have intentions to build a building and I'm a firm believer that the State of Illinois has produced an awful lot of statesmen and it's a shame that they have to wait until somebody dies to name a building after these statesmen. Paul Douglas, even though I didn't agree with his philosophy in government, I think was one of the greatest state Senators we've had and a great professor at the Chicago University. I urge us to put partisan politics aside and start recognizing some of these great men that came out of the State of Illinois."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I want to assure you I meant no disrespect to the late Senator, but I would remind you again that his building is...there's no immediate plans for this building and when the time comes for the building to be built I think that's the proper time to put a name to it."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Davis."

Davis: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I knew the distinguished United States Senator Paul Douglas. I knew him when he was an Alderman and he represented a district in which the University of Chicago is located. I knew him when he came to Springfield, when Governor Kerner....Governor Horner was Governor....in the early days. I knew him when he set in to write for us the Old Age Assistance Act, we called it. I knew him when he collaborated to write the Waggoner Act. I knew him as a man whose characterhear me now...was as broad as the needs of his fellow man. One of the most distinguished Americans of our time was United States Senator Paul Douglas. I believe the naming of this building after



Paul Douglas will be a memorial to those who come after us and it will certainly, not only give me and all of those who knew him, but those who come in the future years. Whether you agreed with the philosophy or not, it was the Waggoner Act, Paul Douglas the great economist from the University of Chicago, who took the shackles off of labor, gave us the right to sit around the table and bargain. Bargain for our work. We could do nothing better than to name this building for Senator Paul Douglas. I would plead with you to vote 'aye' on this Resolution. I was back in the office there but I say... I got to say something for that man, because when it comes to doing something for poor people, when it comes to doing something for the working man, Paul Douglas had few equals and certainly no peers."

Speaker Madigan: "Is there any further discussion? Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Yes, I wonder if the Sponsor could tell us when Senator Douglas....."

Speaker Madigan: "Do you wish to direct the question to the Sponsor, Mr. Skinner?"

Skinner: "Yes, that's why I was asking..."

Speaker Madigan: "The Sponsor indicates he will yield."

Skinner: "I wonder if he will answer a question."

Speaker Madigan: "The Sponsor indicates he will yield."

Skinner: "Could you tell us when Senator Douglas served in Springfield?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Davis will answer your question, Mr.

Skinner. Mr. Davis. Mr. Davis, do you wish to answer Mr. Skinner's question."

Davis: "Yeah! Yeah, he came here at the invitation of Governor Henry Horner, to write the Old Age Assistance Act. Now, my mind goes back to that, to write the Old Age Assistance Act. I can't give you the exact hour and date but I can look it up for you.....but that's when he came



to Springfield, at the invitation of Governor Horner."

Skinner: "Was he ever an elected State Representative or Senator or Governor or"

Davis: ".....City Council in Chicago....."

Skinner: "Well, I wonder.."

Davis: "Then he was elected after he served...he was....if you love independence. Then he was elected after he served there. Now if you love independence, you have the very epitome of independence when you call the name of Paul Douglas."

Skinner: "I wonder if it's..."

Davis: "No man could muzzle Douglas."

Skinner: " I wonder if it..."

Davis: "...and if I could bring back the past..."

Skinner: "I wonder if it wouldn't..."

Davis: "I think Ed Kelly would tell you that...."

Skinner: "I wonder if it would not be more appropriate to name the office building after Suzy Bates, the distinguished black senior citizen who managed to get equal pay for equal work at City Hall in Chicago?"

Davis: "Well, that's just recently. We'll have plenty of time on that one..I agree with you. She's a distinguished woman."

Skinner: "How about aHow about a statue out on the Capitol grounds next to the coal miner?"

Davis: "But we haven't honored Paul Douglas. The next building we'll try her."

Speaker Madigan: "The question is, shall House Joint Resolution 24 be adopted? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', all those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 116 'ayes', 3 'noes', no voting 'present' and House Joint Resolution 24 is hereby declared adopted. Mr. Holewinski.....(continued on page 71, Special Request.)"



Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Holewinski, do you wish to call House Resolution 371?"

Holewinski: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I guess I might as well while we're waiting. What House Resolution 371 does is stop the investigation started pursuant to two Resolutions we passed during the last Session of the Legislature of community organizations and realtors in this state. I've been told that the investigation is halfway under way or better. I have gotten calls from community groups in my area complaining about or at least expressing concern over the inquiries by the Legislative Investigating Committee. The effect that the investigation is having is making a lot of people who are active in their community organizations frightened because they are not used to dealing with an Investigating Committee. I think frankly they have visions of... when they hear of a Legislative Investigating... they have... Committee, they have visions of Joe McCarthy and this certainly has a chilling effect upon their participation in community organizations. I would move for the adoption of House Resolution 371."

Speaker Madigan: "Mrs. Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, this is a very, very ominous and important Resolution. This is to the basis of a Resolution I asked of Mr. Holewinski about, about a week and a half ago. I asked him who had sponsored one of the two prior Resolutions, he couldn't remember. Well, he was one of the Sponsors. I would like to ask that the Clerk read this Resolution and then I would like to continue my remarks the minute the Resolution is finished."

Speaker Madigan: "The Lady requests the Clerk read the Resolution. Mr. Clerk, please read the Resolution. Mr. Giorgi."

Clerk Hall: "House Resolution 371. Whereas, in 1976, the 79th General Assembly by adoption of House Resolution 651 on March 3, 1976, and House Resolution 703 on May 20, 1976 directed the Illinois Legislative Investigating Commission to investigate certain practices of neighborhood groups, community groups, (House Resolution 651) and the real estate industry, (House Resolution 703) relating to discrimination and to the sale of residential real estate;



and Whereas, the investigation of the Commission directed by the above House Resolutions is having an unanticipated 'chilling effect' upon the neighborhood and community groups that are being investigated; and Whereas, any benefits from the investigation will be far outweighed by the 'chilling effect' upon the right to free assembly of the members of the neighborhood and community groups involved; therefore, be it Resolved, by the House of Representatives of the 80th General Assembly of the State of Illinois, that the Illinois Legislative Investigating Commission is directed to permanently stop the investigation directed by the House... directed by House Resolution 651 and expanded by House Resolution 703; and be it further Resolved, that the Clerk of the House of Representatives is directed to transmit a copy of this Resolution to the Illinois Legislative Investigating Commission immediately upon its adoption."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor now yield to a question?"

I started, remember, and..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Okay. Go ahead. Continue, Mrs. Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Would you kindly tell me, Mr. Sponsor, what was the gist of Resolution 651 and the gist of Resolution 703?"

Giorgi: "Holewinski."

Holewinski: "House Resolution 651 provided for the investigation of community groups who were active in the area of, you know, of housing complaints and real estate, actions against real estate companies, that type of thing... area... active in the area of nonsolicitation. That Resolution I was not at all a Cosponsor of. As a matter of fact, I was one of nine Members in the House at that time who voted against it. There was later a lot of Members as you will recall voted against, voted for it inadvertently and there was quite a furor when it came to light the number of people who had mistakenly supported the Resolution and didn't intend to. It was later expanded by House Resolution 651 to include the real estate industry..."

Geo-Karis: "You mean 703?"

Holewinski: "703 was expanded by..."

Geo-Karis: "I believe you've got it backwards, haven't you?"



Holewinski: "But... no. 703 was expanded by 651 to include the real estate industry. I supported that after an attempt to rescind the original Resolution failed and only... only because this is the only alternative to create some balance. I might bring your attention that currently there... a... the motion for... there's a motion for preliminary injunction pending in the Federal court. The Federal court has refused a motion to dismiss and open the Legislative Investigating Committee's procedures, laid them open to discovery to determine whether they violate any constitutional protections. I would suspect that they will find that they indeed do."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the investigations based on those Resolutions is almost completed. We should know the truth of the situation of circumstances surrounding that area. Two Resolutions were passed in this House and certainly they were passed with good intentions. Those of us who voted for those Resolutions knew exactly what we were doing because there was much debate on the floor about those two Resolutions. I submit that I think it's absolutely unfair and unwarranted to stop the results of the investigation that is being made very capably by the Illinois Legislative Investigating Commission at this time because if we stop them, then you're stopping all of us and everyone who's entitled to know the truth and that's the people of the State of Illinois, what the basis of those two Resolutions was, why the investigation took place and what did the investigation deduce. I submit that we should vote against this repeal of these Resolutions because if we don't vote for the repeal, then we don't want to know the truth. And I think the taxpayers of Illinois are entitled to know the truth. I want to know the truth."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Sevcik, on House Resolution 371."

Sevcik: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I am Co-Chairman of the Legislative Investigating Commission and we were mandated by this House in May of 1976 by House Resolution 651 and also on May 20 of 1976 by House Resolution 703 which was introduced by Representative Van Duyne and 651 by Representative



Ron Hoffman. In 1976, House Resolution 678 was introduced that tried to abolish and repeal these two Resolutions. This House soundly defeated that Resolution. Our investigation right now is about three-quarters completed. We are... hearings scheduled in August on these two Resolutions and as much as my demand that introduced this Resolution... if they have anything to fear, they are now in the Federal court to try to stop our investigation so that makes this Resolution null and void. I ask that you oppose this Resolution because they have never been harassed by our investigators. In fact, when that neighborhood or community group have meetings, our investigators go in there, tell them that they're there, let them know that they're going to listen to what they have to say and report back exactly what they say. So, I ask that you oppose House Resolution 371."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Leverenz, on House Resolution 371."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield for a couple of questions?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Indicates he will."

Leverenz: "Representative Holewinski, exactly what has been done to date under both Resolutions?"

Holewinski: "House... the two Resolutions that were adopted last year, first Resolution required an investigation of community groups to determine whether their practices violated civil or criminal laws. I would suggest to you first of all that that is not, should not be the scope of an investigation by the Legislative Investigating Committee. The second Resolution after there was quite a furor over the first, the second Resolution sought to expand that investigation to include realtors because the nature of the complaints against community organizations were with regard to their activities on realtors."

Leverenz: "My question again is, what has been done by the Legislative Investigating Commission to date?"

Holewinski: "My checking with them has indicated that they have gone very slow on this because of the suit pending in Federal court that they've gathered information and made inquiries. What brought this to my attention was some people in my district calling me



and expressing concern over being called and asked questions by the Legislative Investigating Committee, not knowing who that Body was or what they represented or what kind of treatment to expect."

Leverenz: "How many community groups have complained?"

Holewinski: "Only in my area, I've only heard from one group and it is a major community group in my area having over a thousand members."

Leverenz: "What is the name of that community group?"

Holewinski: "That is the Cragen Community Organization."

Leverenz: "How many real estate organizations have complained of the investigation?"

Holewinski: "I have heard no complaints from real estate organizations. At least, none have been directed to me."

Leverenz: "My question then is, can you restate your, what you said earlier about the court decision?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Leverenz, can he close with that so... There's a few more people that want to speak on it."

Leverenz: "Well, I want an answer, Sir."

Speaker Giorgi: "Good, sure."

Leverenz: "There is a motion for a preliminary injunction pending in the Federal court that is a motion to stop the investigation. Now if we pass this Resolution, we would never find out what the Legislative Investigating Commission report contained, is that correct?"

Holewinski: "They would... if we passed this Resolution, it would stop the investigation. There would be no report issued."

Leverenz: "I suggest to you that we should have that information. Those Resolutions were passed by majority votes on the floor here. I don't think that information should be bottled up. I have an utmost faith in the Members of that Commission that they will do an excellent job. I have had no community group or real estate organization ask me about it. They have not asked me to stop it. I wonder if then that community group you mentioned has something to hide and I ask that this be defeated."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative McAuliffe, on the Resolution."



McAuliffe: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would rise in opposition to this... to this Resolution. The same people that are putting forth this Resolution are the same ones who want to know how we have spent every nickel that we get in campaign contributions. They want the sunshine to shine down on us, but they're afraid to have these community groups looked into. These community groups take political stands. They endorse candidates and they go out and work door to door for candidates. It's just the same with a political organization in my neighborhood and I see no reason at all why they shouldn't be investigated. Let's find out who's funding them, let's find out where they get their money, and let's find out how they operate. And I can't see any reason at all to adopt this Resolution. I'm firmly opposed to it."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Simms, on the Resolution."

Simms: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. As a Member of the Commission, I oppose this Resolution. We are almost completed with the investigation. There are hearings that are, public hearings that are going to be scheduled in the month of August at which time the public will have the opportunity to avail themselves of what the Commission has... going to present toward the way of witnesses on the subject at the Commission hearings in August. The House has authorized the Commission to investigate. They've given the authorization on two separate occasions and by substantial majorities of the House of Representatives. In addition to that, I would guess that the Commission, I don't have the amount of man hours that have been spent on this investigation, but substantial time, effort and money has been spent on this investigation pursuant to the Resolution as passed by the House of Representatives. I would join in urging that this motion be defeated. And I think that Representative McAuliffe and Representative Leverenz have dwelled upon a very important issue - why should not the people of Illinois be allowed to have the public hearings in the state? Opportunities will be availed to have people, public testimony and for this, I think that the House of Representatives and the people of the



State of Illinois would be given a tremendous disservice if this Resolution were to pass. I would urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Byers, on the Resolution."

Byers: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I would rise in support of Representative Holewinski's Resolution. I think it's a shameful waste of the taxpayers money for it to be spent in this manner. I don't know how many realtors they're looking into, but it seems to be a one-sided investigation and I don't think we have any business poking our nose into other people's business. It all smacks of a communist hunting for witches or something of that nature, but I think that we should stop this investigation, save the taxpayers dollars and use it for education."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Daniels, do you seek recognition on this Resolution?"

Daniels: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, having heard from two of the illustrious Members of the Investigating Commission, I move the previous question."

Speaker Giorgi: "The previous question has been moved. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. And the 'ayes' have it. Representative Holewinski, to close."

Holewinski: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I think it's somewhat surprising to find the conversation or the dialogue going on here today taking place in 1977 in the State of Illinois. If you can see no reason why we should... why we should not investigate groups without any likely reason, without any probable cause, without looking for the violation of any law, then you probably don't see any need for having a First Amendment, for allowing people the right to congregate and the right to petition their government to seek redress to their grievances. People are intimidated by these type of investigations. The Resolution, if you read it, seeks to find out if there are civil or criminal laws being violated. I would suggest to you that that is the prerogative of the State's Attorney, the Attorney General and the law enforcement bodies of this state and not of the State Legislature. I think the Investigating Committee's work should be limited to review... to see if there's a necessity for changing



the laws of this State of Illinois or dealing with this situation. This Resolution does not even deal with that or the Resolution just seeks to... seeks to withdraw it. I would suggest to you that people, if we want to encourage people to participate in community organizations, if we do not want to support something that is indeed a 'chilling effect' upon their participation, you should support House Resolution 371."

Speaker Giorgi: "The question is, shall House adopt House Resolution 371? All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Representative Caldwell, to explain his vote."

Caldwell: "Mr. Speaker, if I understand this Resolution correctly, I see no harm in the Investigating Commission concluding it's investigation. My experience with the Commission is that it is comprised of very solid investigators, very capable people and I'm happy to say that I haven't found them political. I'm a little disturbed that the Representative would introduce a Resolution attempting to stop this investigation. If they have nothing to hide, there's nothing to worry about. But this is what the agency was established for and I would be very much interested in seeing the facts that they come up with. And as I said before, if there's nothing wrong, why then, there's no harm done. But that is the purpose of this legislative investigation and there were enough votes on this floor to ask that the investigation be made. And I'm a little bit disturbed that the Representative would attempt to have the investigation stopped at this time."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Levin, for one minute."

Levin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I can't see any reason for this investigation. You go back to the 50's, you go back to the McCarthy hearings and the court decisions on those type of hearings, there were no legislative purposes served. And there are no legislative purposes being served by these hearings. The rationale, the only one I can see is some of the people did not like the community groups. The community groups are going after some of the bad practices of realtors. Well, some of the management groups and some of the pro-business people in this room don't like labor."



Does that mean we're going to have an investigation of labor unions? Some of the pro-labor people in this room don't like management groups. Are we going to authorize an investigation of the Chamber of Commerce? I don't think any of those make any sense and I think that we ought to adopt this Resolution. There's no reason to investigate community groups either."

Speaker Giorgi: "The last request, Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Mr. Speaker, I think the initial Resolutions which simply authorized the Investigating Commission to look into the possible violation of civil and criminal laws without specifying the kinds of misconduct that they were investigating were grossly in error. And I think this is an opportunity to redress that error and I vote 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Have all voted who wished? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 46 'ayes', 7 'nays' and 4 voting 'present'. And having failed to receive the majority, is lost. Representative Deuster, for what reason do you rise?"

Deuster: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, on the Order of Conference Committee Reports is a Conference Committee Report on House Bill 52. I intend to send House Bill 52 back to the House Judiciary I Committee on the Interim Study Calendar as was recommended by the First Conference Committee Report. In order to do this the quickest possible way with the least paperwork, I would like to... I was hoping that we could work something out with the Senate, but we can't. So the easiest way to send this back to interim study is for me to move at this time to table the motion by which the House refused to accept the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 52. And I so move. Following that, I'll..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is there any discussion? All those in favor will signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no' and the motion prevails. ... is tabled. Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "I now move to accept the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 52 which does recommend that it be returned to the House Judiciary I Committee for the Interim Study Calendar. And I so move."

Speaker Giorgi: "The Gentleman has moved that the Conference Committee



July 1, 1977

80.

Report to House Bill 51....is it?...52, be adopted, which sends this Bill to the Interim Study Committee. All those in favor will signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no', and the Bill is sent to the Interim Study Committee..... and the report is adopted. Representative Cunningham, for what reason do you arise?"

Cunningham: "I have a point of personal privilege if now is the time to make it, Mr. Speaker, if you have something more vital why I'll put it off until...."

Speaker Giorgi: "Your colleague has something more vital."

Cunningham: "All right. I'll wait."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Mahar, on Joint Resolution 28. Representative Mahar."

Mahar: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Joint Resolution by Senator Ozinga, asked the Elective Advisory Committee to NIPC to look into the operations of NIPC and determine their effectiveness and their efficiency and report back to this Body. There is no additional cost and I would recommend its adoption."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Byers on Senate Joint Resolution 28."

Byers: "Here we go again, wanting to investigate another body and I don't think it's the proper way. They can't do it without any cost if they are going to send some people there. They are going to print another report. It's going to be in the offices, we won't have time to read, and I think we should defeat this at this time."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Hudson on this same Resolution."

Hudson: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I have a great deal of respect for the House Sponsor of this Resolution but I feel constrained to say this in regard to it. Whereas, it is true that NIPC has come under fire for abusing its power, just as stated in the Resolution, and it may be true that NIPC deserves a 'look see' as to whether it is operating properly."



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

July 1, 1977

81.

In my opinion, Ladies and Gentlemen, it is not necessarily true that the Advisory Council to NIPC is the appropriate body to conduct an investigation into the operation of this Commission. For that matter, this Council may be an inappropriate body to do that for these reasons: It seems to have no Statutory authority to investigate anything. Its charge is to meet with the Commission, its offices and staff and to advise and consult with the Commission on all matters relating to policy and administration of the Act. Now the Advisory Council, I assume, has done this. I have no reason to believe it hasn't, and therefore, would seem to me to be a part of the very policies that some now find objectionable and in need of investigation. This would be somewhat, in my opinion, like assigning Lockheed to investigate the propriety of building the B-1 bomber. Do we then appoint the accessory council, which would be the advisory council in this, to investigate the Commission, parent Commission, and do we appoint, in other words, the offspring to investigate the parent? I sincerely doubt it. If we expect an investigation of any zeal, enthusiasm or vigor, perhaps the.... if an investigation is needed, Representative Mahar and Senator Ozinga might redraft the Resolution to permit the Legislative Investigating Council or some other more appropriate body to investigate the ...investigate the... policies and actions of the Northeastern Illinois Plan Commission. I indeed think that probably it could bear a little looking into but I seriously doubt, my colleagues, that the advisory council...the advisory council to the Plan Commission would be the appropriate council from which to gain an objective, thoroughgoing investigation and would urge you to keep that in mind as you cast your vote on this particular Resolution. Thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Mahar to close."

Mahar: "Thank you, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Mr.



Speaker, I'd like to remind you that the Advisory Committee was set up ten years ago for the sole purpose of providing liaison between this Body and the Committee...and the Commission. Now you have on the Commission, or Committee six Senators and six House Members, three of each party, total bipartisan, from the Northeastern Illinois area. Since in the past few years we've had some problems with funding this organization and we've had some problems with the A-95 reviews, I think it's only a good policy for us to sit down with these people and to go over the whole operation and report back. I think the fact that the Joint Senate House Resolution puts some weight behind the thing and mandates and directs us to sit down and work with the Commission. This hasn't been done in the past. We report back and report to this General Assembly and you can take it from there. I think there is a need to look into the operation because we've had, under the past two Governors an attempt to take out the state funds for the Commission, which is a rather unusual thing, I think, in a situation where you have a mandate agency created twenty years ago, to do planning for the State of Illinois. Now this doesn't preclude any other ongoing investigations. I think my good friend, Representative Hudson, has another group that is going to be looking into, not only NIPC, but many other areas, in regard to metro government. I think we have some Subcommittees that are going to be working in the Fox River Valley areas in regard to planning. That's all well and good, but this is the statutory Committee that's set up by this House and this Senate to advise in the matters of NIPC. I think the fact that we're being directed by a Resolution gives us an extra force and I see nothing wrong with it. I think all of the ten Members that I have served with on the Committee are reputable House and Senate Members and are certainly not



going to whitewash anybody. I'd urge its adoption."

Madigan in the Chair.....

Speaker Madigan: "Mrs. Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Just one question of the Sponsor. Does this..."

Speaker Madigan: "Do you wish to direct a question to the
Sponsor?"

Geo-Karis: "Yes. May I, Sir?"

Speaker Madigan: "The Sponsor indicates he'll yield."

Geo-Karis: "In other words you are asking for an investigation
into Northeastern Illinois Planning Commission? Is that
correct, Sir?"

Mahar: "Put me on. I'm sorry, I didn't get the first part of
your question."

Geo-Karis: "You're asking that the..."

Speaker Madigan: "Mrs. Geo-Karis, I'm informed that the Sponsor
was closing debate."

Geo-Karis: "Oh! I'm terribly sorry."

Speaker Madigan: "...And in light of that, the question is,
shall the House adopt Senate Joint Resolution 28? All
those in favor signify by voting 'aye', all those opposed
by voting 'no'. This will require 89 votes. Mrs. Geo-Karis
to explain her vote."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,
I certainly support this Resolution because NIPC makes
recommendations as to the water allocations of various
communities and some of our communities have suffered a
very short allocation of water and I certainly urge a
favorable vote on this Resolution."

Speaker Madigan: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take
the record. On this question there are 52 'ayes', 21 'noes',
8 voting 'present', and Senate Joint Resolution 28 fails.
Senate Joint Resolution 38, Mr. Brandt."

Brandt: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, Senate Joint Res-
olution 38 authorizes the State Needs Commission to con-



duct closed meetings for the purchase of, and negotiations of land purchases and improvements in the Capitol Complex. A final determination will be made at open meetings of the Commission. This Resolution will require two-thirds votes of the House. I move the adoption of Senate Joint Resolution 38."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Levin."

Levin: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Madigan: "The Sponsor indicates that he will yield."

Levin: "Could you explain the justification and the needs for this Resolution and how, in the past, negotiations have been conducted without this Resolution..."

Brandt: "Every Session we have to introduce a Resolution authorizing the Space Needs Commission to hold closed meetings for negotiation of land purchases. Then after we get through with the negotiations with the landlords we then hold a public meeting."

Levin: "Is it correct then....are you saying that Resolutions like this were passed in the last Session..."

Brandt: "It has....we have to do this every ...every...at every Session we have had."

Levin: "Okay. Would you yield further?Realizing that the Open Meetings Act does not apply to Legislative bodies is this Resolution nevertheless consistent or inconsistent with the Open Meetings Act?"

Brandt: "I didn't get that....I didn't understand that correctly."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Levin."

Levin: "Okay. Realizing that the Open Meetings Act applies to executive agencies and not to legislative commissions, is the Open Meetings Act nevertheless consistent or inconsistent with what you are trying to do here?"

Brandt: "Well, they are consistent in every respect."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Conti."

Conti: "Well, I think Mr. Brandt explained it, but I was trying to tell Ellis Levin that this is a procedural Resolution



that would have to be passed every year and it cannot apply to the Open Acts Meetings because ...exactly like what you are trying to do with the Illinois Commerce Commission, there'd be secrets out and the next thing you know everyone in the Legislature would go around buying land when if they knew where the State of Illinois was going to build the next office building or it needed space. So that's the reason why the first meetings have to be closed and this is a procedural Resolution that's passed every year for the Open Space Meetings. I urge your support of this Resolution."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Kane."

Kane: "Would the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Madigan: "The Sponsor indicates he will yield."

Kane: "When you are talking about closed meetings for negotiations, is this a closed meeting just for the Members of the Space Needs Commission?"

Brandt: "Just for the Members of the Space Needs Commission, yes."

Kane: "Okay. The owners of the property would not be...."

Brandt: "They'll be there. They have to be there in order for us to negotiate with them."

Kane: "How about the real estate? Is this a cross....I guess what I am thinking is that...is that if the Members of the Commission are getting together to decide how much they are going to offer and what kind of procedures they are going to use, I think that's a little bit different than having a meeting in which the owner of the property is there and you are arriving at a final figure."

Brandt: "We generally have...naturally...we generally have appraisals made of the property. And after we have these appraisals made of the property, then when we negotiate with the property owners that's when we come to an agreement with them as far as the price is concerned. Then after we get through with that then we generally hold an open meeting for the public."



Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "Will the Sponsor yield."

Speaker Madigan: "The Sponsor indicates that he will yield."

Walsh: "You said that these closed meetings would extend to Sessions for improvements of real estate. Is that true, John?"

Brandt: "It's not for improvements....not for improvements, it's mostly for the land purchases."

Walsh: "Is it exclusively for land purchases? I can understand it for the consideration of land purchases, but not for the consideration of improvements."

Brandt: "Well, at times when we have a piece of property that we will purchase, it may need some improvements....in order for us to take care of the property for the.... for setting various different places for commissions to be ...to have their offices and whereby that we need... at the present time we need a number of locations in order to supply the room for these Commissions. Because ...because the work that's being done now in the Senate. We have to make room for these Commissions so that when we purchase a piece of property it may need...it may need some improvement."

Walsh: "It has been suggested to me that I request of you that we amend this on its face and return it to the Senate for, in effect, concurrence, and remove improvements because for the life of me I cannot see any value in a closed meeting wherein we're considering improvements to real estate. There's certainly value to closed meetings when you consider the purchase of real estate because if you do not do that and your meetings were open, then the cost of the land that you are considering or the real property that you were considering would skyrocket. But there's no reason on earth for including in this Resolution proposed improvements to real property. I wonder if you would agree to delete improvements from



this Resolution?"

Brandt: "Representative Walsh, I cannot agree in that regard because we areat the present time, purchasing a building on Second Street and this building that we are purchasing is going to be used for the purpose of placing various different Commissions. In this building we have to make some minor improvements. Therefore, we we need that in this Resolution."

Walsh: "Yeah.."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Tuerk. Mr. Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Brandt: "I will."

Tuerk: "As I understand it, the state is not under the Open Meetings Act, as it relates to local....governmental units?"

Brandt: "We have open meetings after...."

Tuerk: "No....that isn't the question, John. I'm asking....why is not the space....in other words, why is not the Space Needs Commission ...come under the purview of the Open Meetings Act, where there are certain exemptions in the Act for personnel, land acquisition, and certain other exemptions?"

Brandt: "Why isn't it there? I can't...I don't...I can't answer that, my friend."

Tuerk: "Well, is it or isn't it? I don't know the answer to that question and that's why I asked. If it is,"

Brandt: "Mr....Representative Tuerk, it is a Legislative Commission. It's not a public Commission, it's a Legislative Commission."

Tuerk: "In other words it's not under the Act....the Open Meetings Act?"

Brandt: "No, it's not."

Tuerk: "Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Huskey."

Huskey: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I



move the previous question."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves the previous question... The Gentleman moves the previous question. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', all those opposed in the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and the Chair recognizes Mr. Brandt to close the debate."

Brandt: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House of Representatives. According to the Illinois Constitution Article IV, Section 5 : Open meetings for Legislative Commissions are required unless two-thirds of the members of each house vote to approve closed meetings. Closed meetings for considering the aquisition of real property are currently allowed under the open meetings Act, Chapter 102, Section 42. This Act does not apply to Legislative Commissions, therefore this Legislation must be passed by two-thirds vote of each House in order to extend the same provision to the Space Needs Commission. I move to adopt Resolution SR-38."

Speaker Madigan: "The question is, shall Senate Joint Resolution 38 be adopted? This will require 118 votes. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', all those opposed by voting 'no'. Mr.Ms. Pullen."

Pullen: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I concur with the remarks earlier of the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh, when he pointed out that this Resolution goes a little bit beyond the Open Meetings Act provision because it allows closed meetings for consideration of improvements, not just acquisitions but improvements to this real estate. This means that this Commission could have closed meetings to decide whether or not to build a building in the Capitol and expanded Capitol Complex. I urge you to withhold your vote from this Resolution until this matter can be corrected...I think the Senate has gone too far in this Resolution and I believe that we should not adopt it at this time until



the word 'improvements' is taken out."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Jones." J. David Jones."

Jones, J. D.: "In explaining my vote I might reply to the question that has been raised, as a Member of the Commission, that this is a renewal of a present authority that has been in use and I assure you that it has not been abused...in the improvements...in the acquisition of certain properties, they may have to be improved to be able to put into the use that the state wants to use them for....and that there's nothing in this Authority that would mitigate against the best interests of the Legislature who.....this is their agency to work on these problems. I urge your green light."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen, I think undue emphasis is being given to the fact that the word 'improvement' ...is included herein. I think it would probably be a little clearer had they used the word 'the'. "All it talks about is the purchase. It's the purchase of land and improvements. I think 'the' should have been in there, say, 'the land and the improvements'. You're purchasing land that has improvements on it. And that is all that this refers to. This doesn't refer to the making of improvements or letting contracts for improvements or...or the remodeling of buildings that may be thereon. It relates only to purchase. And to the purchase of the land and the improvements that are thereon. And it's absolutely essential that that kind of negotiation be conducted outside of the total public view. And it is given to all other governmental entities that makes this kind of purchase and it should be given to the Commission because otherwise the mere fact that they consider the property, the price is going to go sky high. I urge that everybody support this Resolution."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijeovich."

Matijeovich: "Well, in explaining my vote, this Resolution is needed for the development of the Capitol Complex. And Mark O'Brien and his wife, Paulette O'Brien, are in the gallery and he was pointing to his eye, the residents of Springfield, and they say that this is urgent. So, therefore, I vote 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mahar."

Mahar: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I don't deny the fact that it may be an urgent matter but I see some lights on green lights on that board and the people are not there. And I...I think at this stage of the game if we're going to have 118 votes they better be here."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative John Brandt."

Brandt: "Mr. Speaker, it's not 118, it's two-thirds of the vote that this needed to pass this Resolution. 118? I thought it...I miscalculated."

Speaker Redmond: "Speaker's mathmetician, Chalkie, tells me that's a 118." Representative Levin."

Levin: "Mr. Speaker, echoing the comments made by the last speaker, there.....I see a number of switches being voted that are not here. Oh."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kent."

Levin: "I suggest we dump the Roll Call."

Kent: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, do you all realize that no matter what they do behind that closed door we have to approve through appropriation process? So I would certainly urge you to just stay on there green."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Well, as a Member of the Space Needs Commission, I can assure you no final business is transacted without the meeting being public. There are times when conversations have to be held in private but no business is transacted without it being open to the public."



Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's a 122 'aye' and 17 'no' and the motion having received the Constitutional two-thirds majority is hereby declared adopted. Senate Joint...Senate Joint Resolution 42. Representative Collins."

Collins: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Joint Resolution 42 is an expression of strong opposition to the Universal Voter Registration Act. As I understand it, everybody from the Cook County Clerk Stanley Cusper to the Republican National Committee shares this opposition. And I would ask for the favorable consideration of this Resolution."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? The question's on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption Senate Joint Resolution 42. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Representative Robinson "

Robinson: "I'd just like to make it clear to people what they're voting on. If you're voting 'yes', you're voting against President Carter's position which is for universal voter registration."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 86 'aye' and 21 'no' and the Resolution is adopted. On the Supplemental #1 Calendar for July the first appears Senate Bill 152. Representative Greiman."

Greiman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would ask that the House adopt the First Conference Report on Senate Bill 152. This...this Bill deals with the Amendments to the Unemployment Compensation Act and provides relief for employers in the area of unemployment compensation. I must tell you that it passed out...the...the basic Bill with the House Amendments which is the Unemployment Compensation Reform, passed out of this House with a 115 affirmative votes. At the Committee level it was offered and there was...



well, I won't say agreements, certainly, but the Chamber of Commerce did not oppose it, they merely gave a...a....appearance only and did not oppose it. Basically, I might...I....it was returned to a Conference Committee only because there were provisions in it that changes the Dependency Rule and it was believed that would be unfair to women who would be applying for comp. Basically, it changes the...the misconduct rules and extends it from six...the waiting period from six weeks to eight weeks. A very important provision was put on in the Conference Committee that is totally dis-oriented and it was at the request of one of our major employers and deals with the question of whether it should be double pay for holidays so that henceforth you will not get compensation for days for which you have been paid where there were holiday pay. But the most important is, of course, relief to the small business man, relief to the small employer who has under fifty thousand dollars a quarter. His contribution is fixed at 2.7 for the calendar year 1978 so that small employers will get a great deal from this. If you oppose this, would mean that larger employees will have to pick up that tab. That will be the truth of the matter. But it's a question of where that burden should be. We are offering through this Amendment some very significant and some very substantial reforms to the Unemployment Compensation Act. There will be other times and other Bills in this 80th General Assembly as time goes on for us to continue...continue to work for a more equitable Unemployment Compensation Act. But this is the fiscal eleventh hour, the only show in town. This is the one...thirteenth hour, right. This is the one Bill that will afford employers, particularly small employers, some relief. I ask that you adopt this report."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Daniels."



Daniels: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think the Sponsor of this Bill and the mover of this Amendment summarized pretty well the provisions in here with a few exceptions. Number one, I'd like to point out to you that he did in fact tell you that this is in the thirteenth hour. And that's true. We're dealing with the subject of unemployment insurance in the thirteenth hour, the waning hours of this Session of the General Assembly. Now there are four provisions in this Bill which I'd like to bring to your attention. First, I want to hit on the three provisions that we have been told are business-orientated. This is what is for the business community. It raises the base period earnings necessary to qualify for benefits from one thousand to thirteen hundred dollars a change of two hundred dollars. I don't call that a very significant change because that only affects about three percent of the employers in the State of Illinois. The second point, it raises the base payroll exemption for small employers upon which they are not required to pay more than 2.7%. This also is a rather insignificant change. And the third point as the Sponsor himself pointed out, was the holiday pay and the U. C. benefits may not be collected on the same day. That is in fact an Amendment for a large employer in the State of Illinois. Those are the areas that are for the employers in the State of Illinois. Now what about the other side of the coin? What about what we are being asked to give up on the other side of the coin? That's the biggie, Ladies and Gentlemen, that's the area that provides that benefits for individuals with dependents shall be no higher than two-thirds of the average weekly wage which is presently one hundred and forty-five dollars a week, a hundred and thirty-five dollars a week. The old...the old limit was a flat one thirty-five limit. Today, under current rates, July 1, 1977, the statewide average weekly wage



is two hundred and twenty-nine dollars. Sixty-six and two-thirds percent of that is one hundred and fifty-three dollars where the limit would be. Or, if you break this out as the net effect on our trust fund in the State of Illinois you're talking about an additional drain on the trust fund of this state of approximately eight million dollars by this Amendment. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think that we can rest our whole case on this thirteenth hour Amendment by telling you that the State of Illinois is today in debt to the Federal Government to the tune of seven hundred and fifty-one million dollars in our trust fund. That is what the case is, that's the thirteenth hour Amendment that we're being asked to accept and those are the facts. That's what the employers are being given and that's what's being taken away from the people of the State of Illinois. I suggest you vote 'no' on this Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen, I would like to tell to/you that you do support this Amendment to Senate Bill 152. Now one of the things that has been emphasized to you is the debt that the State of Illinois has to the Federal Government in the area of unemployment compensation. I'd like to point out to you that this is not a recent thing. This has come about over a period of years...And labor for years, when we were having the so-called, and I emphasize that so-called agreed process in the State of Illinois, was telling labor for years that they...are telling business for years that we were going to get into this situation because they were going to have to increase those contributions into the funds of the Federal Government or we were going to be in this terrible situation of...of being behind. I am not that well versed, personally, on all of these aspects but I know that's been going on for a long time and it's a



been a red herring thrown into this issue that you're called upon to vote on tonight. I would like to emphasize also one of the other aspects that has been briefly touched upon here and that's the change that you'll find on...on page 11 in regard to changing the...the rate for small employers...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Philip.... Proceed, Representative Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Between forty thousand to fifty thousand dollars. This is the area, Ladies and Gentlemen, that the small businessman has been getting ripped-off in for years. We had changes a couple of years ago to help him and here's additional changes because part of this rate is figured upon the...the percentage of unemployed that a person, that any employer has. And a large employer can have hundreds out and the rate of unemployment can be very low. And a small employer can have three or four people out and their rate of employment...unemployment can be very, very high. And so consequently this gives him a protection that his rate cannot go above 2.7 on the first forty thousand and next year on the first fifty thousand dollars. And that really means something to the thousands of small employers throughout the State of Illinois. It does not mean much to the large employer, that's a drop in the bucket in the proportion of their total employment. But they do not have...they do not reach this level so rapidly simply because of the vast number of employees that they do have. And that's in absolute counterdistinction to the small employers here in the State of Illinois. This is meaningful to them. It is necessary to them. It is a help that we must give to small employers in the State of Illinois and at the same time it does not hurt the large employers in that regard. I urge you to look carefully at this Amendment. It provides relief



that is needed and we must do this and I urge that we support Senate Bill 152 as amended by this Conference Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, what this Body and what the Senate is going to have to do in the future months ahead is much bigger and much broader than this Conference Committee Report suggests. My suggestion would be rather than adopt this Conference Committee Report is to reject the Conference Committee Report; suggest receding on Amendment #1 and therefore give us an opportunity to sit down over the summer and in the early fall and remedy this whole situation of unemployment comp. We do have a problem. We do have to come in conformity with the Federal Law and this would give us an opportunity to take a more meaningful look and incorporate may...perhaps some of these provisions that are in here. I think it's...woefully inadequate to address ourselves at this late hour to such small remedial action that the other side of the aisle suggests is being done by this Conference Committee Report. My suggestion would be to reject it, spend our time constructively to bring about a total solution to the big, big problem that lies ahead."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Wikoff."

Wikoff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would think that there has been adequate conversation on this. This has been back through a Conference Committee a couple times and I would move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman has moved the previous question. The question is, shall the main question be put? Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, motion carries. Representative Greiman to close."



Greiman: "I wish, well, first I should say that I have sort of an identity crisis. You know, I think everybody in this room must have it apparently at this late date. I'm listening to the Republicans...some Republican spokesmen because I don't think all the...I don't think they represent the Republican Party telling me that they don't want to give relief to small business. That's what they're telling us today. And I don't believe that. I think that we...somehow all of our roles must be turned around. Now we sat in that Conference Committee and I must say that the Dem...that the Republican Senator stayed and sat to the end. We should pass these out. We will work with you to develop the reforms that are necessary as time goes on over the summertime and over the fall. There are...there is a Resolution that's standing on the federal compliance. We'll all address those problems, pass this out and let's move onto those other problems. And Mr. Daniels and Mr. Wikoff got up and walked out at that point and they left. And the Republican Senator did stay and we had a...I think some good feelings at that point about...about this. This is the last time we're going to be facing this. We've heard for six months about this. Six months? Heck, a year. And I think we owe it to small businesses in Illinois. I think we owe it to the working people in Illinois to put in some of these changes. This doesn't do it all. It's not going to do it all. I think it's a slow, gradual process. But it is a meaningful movement, a very meaningful movement towards some reform in the area of unemployment compensation. I...I think there has been a great deal of conversation about this, so perhaps I should just ask for a favorable Roll Call. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Greiman has moved that the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report of Senate Bill 152. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed



vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Representative Huff."

Huff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I wouldn't vote on this measure except for fear that many of the businessmen across the state would think that I was not in attendance. So I would just vote 'present'. It's my opinion in talking with many businessmen across the State of Illinois in looking at this situation that their greatest frustration, and rightfully so, is that they feel that many of the Members here don't understand the U. C. problem. And I'm inclined to agree with them. I've heard many of the debates here and I heard one...some person say that about the unemployment rates. Well, Ladies and Gentlemen, the employer's unemployment rate is not based on his own experience practice...it's based on the state's which is in a terrible situation. And I believe that Representative Daniels is absolutely correct. Are we going to charge the employer 1.73 surcharge tax to alleviate the already seven hundred million dollars deficit which will be replaced by this time next year by another eight hundred million dollars tax deficit. I think that the only way that we're going to resolve this is to have a special session in which we bring to bear the full minds and efforts of the whole Membership on this issue. 152, in my opinion, is nothing more than the unmerciful administration of the last sacrament to an industry that is almost in a state of comatose."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, this is the Unemployment Compensation Program that was agreed upon on the 20th of June with the members of the Association Manufacturers and members of the Chamber of Commerce, with the members of organized labor in the State of Illinois. And there are



beneficial benefits from this Amendment for business that has been writing us letters screaming about the four percent tax that they're paying on their Unemployment Compensation payroll. And this takes care of a...the greater number of employers in the State of Illinois by freezing the 2.7 to 50 thousand dollars per quarter of their payroll. This increases the...the felonious and willful misconduct from six to eight weeks. This increases the quarterly earnings within two quarters for their high quarter and next quarter. This, for the record, is the agreement that the Chamber and the Association Manufacturers people wanted. And I feel that in good faith we pass that and we only took it back from another item that the...one of the larger employers in Illinois - in fact the largest employer in Illinois wanted this feature - which is found on page 11. And I feel that in good faith we ought to adopt this Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Jacobs."

Jacobs: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I just can't figure out why we are only at 87 votes when this Bill is an Agreed Bill between labor, business and industry. Let me tell you what happened in Committee. This Bill went out with 15 'ayes' and not one negative vote. Not one witness and there were many witnesses there to testify from the Chamber of Commerce and from business and from the Manufacturer's Association. None of them would testify against this Bill because they were getting relief. And they were happy to be getting something different... relief. And here we are trying to help the small businessman. I just...I just can't understand the other side of the aisle - and not all of them, I don't mean it for all of them - that are opposing a Bill to help



the little businessman in their hometown and in their areas. This is the way that you help the little man. Now, if you don't want to help that little man, okay; but, remember, they can't come to this side of the aisle and complain. I ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Simms."

Simms: "Well, Mr. Speaker, as the Minority Spokesman on the Labor Committee, I would disagree with the Chairman of the Committee dealing with the chronological order of the passage of this Bill and the Amendment that was placed on Committee. First of all, to clear the record, the Chamber of Commerce and the Illinois Manufacturers did not agree to this. They never saw the Amendment. Secondly, it's...my understanding that the Chamber of Commerce is opposed to the Conference Committee at the present time the way it is structured. So there is no agreement between management and labor on this issue. The real issue of it is it increases...provides that the benefits for individuals with dependents increases at a time when the State of Illinois cannot really afford it. It will increase by \$8,000,000 the liability for the trusts funds which is already in debt to the Federal Government at the present time. So for the record to be straight the Amendment was offered in the Labor and Commerce Committee, the Chamber...the Illinois Manufacturers have an opportunity to see the Amendment. In fact, the Amendment that was offered in Committee, and subsequently passed by the House, was a...in error. In fact, the Department of Labor had to point out some technical drafting errors in the Bill. So, for this reason, I would support Representative Daniels in his opposition to Conference Committee Report #1. I would urge that if necessary a Second Conference Committee.... And there is time to address the problem that Representative Greiman was so willing to do over the summer.



It can still be done. I think that our staff would be more than happy to help you with the necessary language."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. Representative Greiman."

Greiman: "I just wanted to explain my vote for..."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Greiman: "...just a moment. I think we have perhaps unmasked and perhaps redefined what business is. When I hear about business in this state, I hear it tripping off of the mouth of so many Representatives. I think that's only half a word. I think the full word is 'big business'. That's who we really are representing in this General Assembly apparently. That's who really is the party in interest when the Chamber of Commerce comes up, when the Association of Manufacturers comes up; not the little guy, but big, big business. That's who has the whip in Illinois. We see it here. This gives substantive right and substantive reform to small businesses; and it doesn't mean a thing because it isn't a big business measure. And that's to explain my vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 91 'aye' and 55 'no'; and the motion... Representative Greiman."

Greiman: "Mr. Speaker, rather than have a new motion, I wonder if you would put this on Postponed Consideration. No?"

Speaker Redmond: "Postponed Consideration. It had 70 votes. Supplemental Calendar #2. 752, Representative Lechowicz? I hear noises, but I don't see anybody. Representative Greiman. Greiman."

Greiman: "Yeah, Mr. Speaker, I...I'm afraid we didn't look at the board, and I wonder if you would...if you would make the declaration and that'll be the end of it. As to the vote or count...as to what the count was. Take the Roll on it and declare the vote whatever it was."



July 1, 1977

102.

Speaker Redmond: "On this question there were 91 'ayes' and 50 what?...55 'nos'...at that time you put it on Postponed Consideration."

Greiman: "Well, I'm asking that it not be. I didn't realize that...what number..."

Speaker Redmond: "It did not have 107. It didn't have...I had put it on Postponed Consideration, so that's where it is."

Greiman: "...Okay."

Speaker Redmond: "752, Representative Lechowicz. Representative Kane."

Kane: "Could you indicate to the House what your schedule for this evening is so that we can arrange to eat or whatever?"

Speaker Redmond: "I don't know whether I can tell you that exactly. We were proceeding along here, taking care of everything that's in front of us; there are still at least two school Bills to my knowledge that has to be done. I wish I knew exactly what isn't...what hasn't been taken care of that has to be taken care of. We're working somewhat in the dark because I haven't had any communication with the Code Departments or the ...branches of government..."

Kane: "Are you saying..."

Speaker Redmond: "...that have to have things done. I...I think it would be a mistake to break and go away and leave the building, though. It may...we may be able to fold at any time; and then again we may have to stay here for awhile. My experience is that once I get out into the Springfield goodies, then it's kind of hard to get back. 752, Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move that the House do concur to the first report..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan, for what purpose do



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

arise?"

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker, I wonder if you could take this out of the record for right now?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz?"

Lechowicz: "...George, let me tell you if you do I'm going to be leaving shortly."

Ryan: "Who are you going to leave the Bill with?"

Lechowicz: "I don't care; and I'm serious."

Ryan: "I'm serious, too."

Lechowicz: "Fine, I'll see you."

Speaker Redmond: "Take it out of the record. 933. 933, Representative DiPrima."

DiPrima: "Yes, Sir. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move that we concur with the Senate report on House Bill 933."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative DiPrima."

DiPrima: "Yes, Sir, I move that we concur with..."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? Representative Ralph Dunn."

Dunn, R.: "This is the man I helped with his Bill. He's supposed to be a veteran, now he's going to oppose me with the cripples in the wheelchairs. Go ahead."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question's on the Gentleman's motion that the House..."

DiPrima: "Mr. Speaker, I have just taken a chastising and a tongue-lashing from my friend on my left who is saying that I'm anti-veteran because I opposed something that's going to cost all of the taxpayers of the State of Illinois quite a bit of money in different counties. If we allow this Bill to go through as it is. It went out of here...I read you the report. We were heard...and tried to get...I might mention just for the record it's House Bill instead of Senate Bill, if you want to straighten the record up, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative DiPrima."



DiPrima: "Now, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, now the majority of these paraplegics are from Cook County. I called Tom Tulley, the Cook County Assessor, and he agreed to have this Bill accepted because Repre... Senator Bob Egan had to get his approval. And I got him to talk to Tom Tulley, and Tom Tulley says, 'Go.'. Now, here for a few paltry veterans downstate that are paraplegics, the majority are in Cook County. We're willing to accept them up there and there he's talking about the downstate paraplegics. Let's get this Bill out of here."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "For awhile the board said Senate Bill 933. I see it over there now."

Speaker Redmond: "Are you ready for the question? Representative Ralph Dunn."

Dunn, R.: "Mr. Speaker, I don't/^{know}if this has been distributed or not. I don't have a copy of it on my desk. I had to wait and..."

Speaker Redmond: "It has been, yeah."

Dunn, R.: "...Does that...could I explain what the Bill does?"

DiPrima: "I'll explain it. Do you want me to explain it? I'll tell you what it does. It's a Bill that flew out of here. This is the Bill...about 10 years ago I introduced a Bill that would give the paraplegics, those are those that were wounded in service and are wheelchair patients. The government gives them a home. Now, the state granted a \$5,000 reduction, and the government \$10,000, which made it a total of \$15,000 tax exemption on their real estate tax. Now, the government came out with an additional \$15,000; and I'm only asking that we can go and conform it with the federal regulations. And that's all I'm asking. And it isn't going to amount to that much money because there's only about 300 paraplegics in the whole state; and the majority of



them come from Cook County. And Tom Tulley has already agreed to accept this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Are you ready for the question? The question's on the Gentleman's motion that the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 933. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, is this the Bill that Representative DiPrima says it doesn't cost us any money, the government pays for it?"

Speaker Redmond: "DiPrima."

DiPrima: "The government is giving this \$15,000 additional reduction. I don't know who's actually paying for it; but in any event, as I said, I called Tom Tulley and he told Senator Bob Egan to go ahead with this thing."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Collins."

Collins: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'm just shocked at Representative Telcser. Now, Representative Telcser, you know that this doesn't cost anything. The Government pays for it."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Representative DiPrima to explain his vote."

DiPrima: "Well, this is the first time I had to plea for votes. So all I need is three more up there. You're helping the paralyzed veterans, the ones that are in a wheelchair and they're..."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wished? Representative Ralph Dunn."

Dunn, R.: "Mr. Chairman, I think for the first time this year I ought to ask for a verification of the Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 117 'aye' and 1 'no'. Representative Dunn, do you persist in your request for a verification?"



Dunn, R.: "I guess I won't because I'm the only one 'no' vote up there but I think that Mr. DiPrima is a little bit out of line in 'lead-piping' there a little bit, but Larry, I'll forgive you this time. I'm not going to change my vote. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "117 'aye' and one 'no', and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 933, by the Constitutional three-fifths Majority. 29. Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 29 was a Bill that went out of here and the Senate passed it too. They discovered there was a technical error. This was the Bill that related to trying to bring about a more uniformity with respect to the date on which a voter registration ...the offices closed down. All the Conference Committee Report does is make a technical error...correction rather...by deleting '35 or' which was struck out, and putting in '35 or' without being struck out. That's just a technical correction. The second change the Conference Committee Report makes is since we've gone into July it specifies an effective date, which is October 1, 1977. As I say, those are the only changes and I would move the adoption of the corrected First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 29."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House adopt Conference Committee Report #1 to Senate Bill 29. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Representative Collins. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 111 'aye' and no 'nay', and the Gentleman's motion carries and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 29, by the requisite two-fifths Constitutional Majority. 1105, Representative Holewinski."

Holewinski: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of



the House. We adopted....I would move that the House do adopt Conference Committee Report #2 to House Bill 1105. It's the same Conference Committee Report we adopted last night by a vote of 144 to nothing. The only addition is that of the effective date. I would move the adoption of the report."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House adopt the Second Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1105. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 119 'aye' and no 'nay', and the House does adopt the Second Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1105, the Constitutional three-fifths Majority. Dan Houlihan, 596."

Houlihan, Dan: "Can we hold it for just a minute? The Republican Staff Member here has asked me a question on the Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Redmond: "How about 351, Representative Taylor? Taylor, 391? 840, Termich? 1025, Representative Young? 1025, Representative Young? Out of the record? 245, Jack Davis? 741, Mudd? 1109, Collins? You did? My goodness. Some of you didn't mark this thing. Is it out of the....still in the possession of the House? Get John Conolly. Were you here when John used to be the courier between the House and the Senate? Is there any Bill on the Supplemental Calendar 1 that anybody wants to call that has not been called? Is one all gone? Representative Collins?"

Collins: "Mr. Speaker, while we got a lull, I was looking in my drawer here and I found some artifacts that you presented me with earlier in the year about a little school up in Wisconsin as being number one. Well, earlier today, Representative Willer presented me with an article on



July 1, 1977

108.

gay rights and she showed me where there was a section in there where there was a club for Notre Dame alumni. And so, Sir, we apparently have beaten you in one sport at least."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Huskey."

Huskey: "Mr. Speaker, a few minutes ago you were on Senate..."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed. I'm sorry Representative Huskey."

Huskey: "That's all right. A few minutes ago you were on Senate Joint Resolutions and you got to Senate Joint Resolution 42 and then you stopped. There's three more there and the next one was one that I had here and I wondered if you would be interested in hearing that now?"

Speaker Redmond: "47?"

Huskey: "Yes, Sir. Senate Joint Resolution 47."

Speaker Redmond: "Speaker's Table, Senate Joint Resolution 47. Representative Huskey."

Huskey: "I think I can explain this, Mr. Speaker, by reading ...it's got ten paragraphs. Go ahead. I'll let the Clerk... I was waiting for the Clerk to....ah.."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Huskey moves that the House adopt Senate Joint Resolution 47."

Huskey: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I will explain Senate Joint Resolution 47. It has ten paragraphs, so I will read the ...I think it'll be best explained about the seventh paragraph in the ten paragraphs it has. It's 'Resolved, by the Senate of the Eightieth General Assembly of the State of Illinois, and the House of Representatives concurring herein, that we call upon the Governor of the State of Illinois to proclaim a Statewide Day of Sacrifice, Fasting and Prayer on August 15, 1977'. This Resolution was requested by the good nuns of the Little Company of Mary Hospital in Evergreen Park. They requested this as they have always requested this every year for a day of fasting and prayer. It passed the Senate unanimous.... And then I think there is the ...I'll read the last



paragraph and I think it would be very apropos to this General Assembly, after we adjourn tonight. It says; Resolved that we may henceforth greet each day with reverence for the opportunities it may hold and might we really begin all over again and may we forget and abandon everything of the past which might interfere with this dedication'. Mr. Speaker, I ask for your favorable vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House do adopt Senate Joint Resolution 47. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Byers."

Byers: "Well, Mr. Speaker, this is something else that we don't have any business doing...in entering into these kind of things and passing Resolutions on this. I don't think anybody is really against it but I'm voting as a protest just to.....an area where we don't have any business doing anything of this nature. I think that's up to each individual Member and the citizens of the State of Illinois to decide this rather than the Legislature or the Governor."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Johnson."

Johnson: "Voting against this Resolution is like voting against apple pie or motherhood. As Representative Byers said, I'm not against what this stands for, but at this point in the Session where the important things we've got to consider; we can make a day for everything that everybody believes in, I just don't think it's appropriate for the General Assembly to be putting its imprimatur on every particular quirk somebody has about a particular thing. I'm going to vote 'no' for the same reason Representative Byers is."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 87 'aye' and 3 'no' and the motion carries and the House does adopt Senate Joint Resolution 47. 752, Representative Lechowicz. Out



of the record. Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I've had a Bill that was passed in the Senate that hasn't come yet....you know...on your Calendars. Where do I check on it? Maybe it was....fell off somewhere."

Speaker Redmond: "What do you want me to do with it?"

Geo-Karis: "I want to pass it.....No, I just want to compare it I guess. I don't know where it's at. Where do I check on it?"

Speaker Redmond: "Supplemental Calendar will come along."

Geo-Karis: "Will it?"

Speaker Redmond: "Oh! Yeah."

Geo-Karis: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "The House will be at ease for ten minutes.

AT EASE.....

The House will be in order. Conference Committee Report, Supplemental #2, 596, Representative Dan Houlihan."

Houlihan, Dan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is the Second Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 596. Senate Bill 596 amends the Revenue Act. Specifically, that section of the Revenue Act which refers to the admissibility into evidence of a certificate of error in a judicial proceeding for a collection or the enjoinder of collection of tax based upon property. I would emphasize that this Section of the Statute is applicable only to counties of one million or more. Presently, in order to introduce into such judicial proceeding a certificate of error which originates from the Assessor of Cook County. It requires a dual endorsement for the authentication of this business record for its introduction into court. Senate Bill 596, as it came to the House from the Senate, deleted the requirement of the endorsement of the Board of Appeals. As amended by the House, there was an Amendment put on that would have restricted that to so require the Board of Appeals endorse-



ment where the change in assessment exceeded \$50,000. First Conference Committee Report recommended that the House recede from House Amendment #1 and the House, last evening, rejected that. The Second Conference Committee Report has amended the Bill, as requested by the Board of Appeals of Cook County. What it provides, is thus; that the Certificate of Error shall be admissible in the judicial proceedings when endorsed by the County Assessor orand it is required to have both endorsements where a complaint to the assessment has been filed by the Board of Appeals. The Board of Appeals feel that this will provide, as far as they are concerned, an adequate check for those cases where it had been before the Board of Appeals, and subsequently are returned to the Assessors Office and he should grant a Certificate of Error. Now I think that the Amendment here, to the Bill, which is confirmed here in the Conference Committee Report #2, addresses the concerns raised by several Members here on the floor. As I have indicated, this Bill now, in this form, is recommended by the Board of Appeals of Cook County. It's a very reasonable proposal for the authentication of this business record. Let me point out one other thing, there are two additional checks and balances built into the Statute, the first is that any Certificate of Error, once it comes into the judicial proceeding before the court, must be approved by the State's Attorney of Cook County. And finally must be determined to accept or reject by the court in the judicial proceeding. I'd be happy to answer any questions. I would ask that we do adopt Conference Committee Report #2 to Senate Bill 596."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House do adopt.... Representative Mugalian."

Mugalian: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I don'tI'm not sure that this Bill is any better than it was the last time. The Bill was introduced to undo a reform that the



Legislature thought was absolutely necessary to correct very serious abuses in the granting of Certificates of Error. I for one, I didn't even know about the Conference Committee Meeting. I'm on the Committee, I did not sign the report and I'm not going to vote for this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further discussion? The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House do adopt the Second Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 596. Those in favor vote 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Representative Dan Houlihan."

Houlihan, Dan: "In explaining my vote, and I wish to clear the confusion here, Representative Mugalian is not a Member of this Conference Committee. This Conference Committee Report has been signed by nine of the ten Members of the Conference Committee. The sole Member who did not sign the Conference Committee Report is Representative Epton. We've been trying to find Representative Epton for at least the last five hours to ask him to sign the report. Then somewhat over an hour ago, when we were unable to find him, that's when the report here was filed or at least distributed."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mugalian."

Mugalian: "Well, I'm sure Representative Houlihan speaks sincerely, it's just that I have a copy...there must be some mistake...I have a copy of a notice that I was appointed to that Committee. Conference Committee Two on Senate Bill 596"

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. on this question there's 113 'aye' and 8 'no', and the House does adopt the Second Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 596, by the Constitutional three-fifths majority. Agreed Resolutions. Representative Giorgi."

Clerk Hall: "House Resolution 405, House Resolution 410, House



Resolution 413, House Resolution 114, and House Resolution 415."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "House Resolution 405 by Farley; House Resolution 410 by Ryan, talks about Members of the House; 413 offered by Representative Redmond, talks about Members of the House; House Resolution 414 by Redmond, Members of the House and 415 by Meyer, talks about Spanky the Clown. I move for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the motion carries, the Resolution is adopted. Representative Levin."

Levin: "Mr. Speaker, while we're in kind of a lull, I have a kind of a point of personal privilege which I might raise with you. That is, in talking to my Administrative Assistant, she indicated that...in conversation with a contingent expense office, they are not going to be able to process contingent expense vouchers for this new fiscal year until about the middle of the month. I'm wondering what we're supposed to do in terms of paying rent and paying salary and things like that? I'm wondering if something could be done to get some of these processed before the middle of the month?"

Speaker Redmond: "Now that you call it to my attention, we'll look into it. I think you have to ...all you have to do is....Tom Ohler tells me our problem is, until the Governor signs the Bill we don't have any money. It's customary for theIt's customary to go out to Evergreen Park and get an advance from the banker out there. Senator Ozinga, that's why he's here, he had very low interest rates, they call it juice. That's the problem. We don't have any money until the Governor signs it. Representative Huskey volunteers...."



Huskey: "Yes. Yes, Mr. Speaker, that Resolution you so graciously passed out of the House reached a Resolution in the day of sacrifice with Senator Ozinga's Resolution."

Speaker Redmond: "Was it Ozinga's Resolution?"

Huskey: "Sure was."

Speaker Redmond: "Then the interest rate is even lower. We'll be at ease for about ten minutes.."

AT EASE.....

Unknown: "Who knows what evil lurks in the hearts of men....."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Yeah, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I told Eugenie Chapman and she is not here, that maybe around the last day of the Session I'd...."

Unknown: "Here she is....there she is.."

Matijevich: "...I said that maybe I was going to write a few things. Someone asked me to do a few impersonations. I really didn't write too much. But, because of the hectic type of activity we have around here....I started to write something. I didn't go too far. But I thought maybe I'd just give a real short typical day here in the General Assembly. It usually starts out like this because if we open up at nine o'clock in the morning, the first person here, naturally, is the Speaker. And he always starts out by saying 'Let the record show that Representative Adeline Geo-Karis is here. Also, Representative Kosinski, Father of Senator Kosinski. We'll have an opening prayer by the Reverend Krueger, the House Chaplain'. He usually starts out by saying something like this: 'It was Emil Jones who once said, the difference between a liberal and a segregationist is that a liberal hangs you from a shorter tree. Let His Grace shine upon all of us, when this Session is over and forevermore.' While we're waiting for more bodies to show up, we'll call on the Gentleman from Winnebago, on Agreed Resolutions. The Gentleman from Winnebago,



Representative Giorgi.

Matijevich impersonates Rep. Giorgi: Mr. Speaker, Reso....

Resolution 80; Rigney.....ah...commends all the hypocrites in the General Assembly who (unintelligible) for the Agriculture Fund; Resolution 81, Epton, commends all those who have conflicts of interest; and Resolution 90,.....(mumbles).....Resolution Resolution...(mumbles).....I move the adoption of all the agreed Resolutions...

Matijevich continues a day in the General Assembly...

Now the first Bill we have will be House Bill 100. This has to do with McCormick Place. We will call on the Majority Leader, Mike Madigan:

'Mr. Speaker, I rise to support this Bill that will convey McCormick Place to the Cook County Democratic Organization. This Bill provides the funding mechanism for the appropriate transition of McCormick Place as a tourist center so that the Democratic Party of Cook County can enjoy ...enjoy the fruits of this fantastic lake-front center. I implore this Body, Republicans and Democrats who know what McCormick Place means, to vote in support of this Bill.' Usually, on the other side, somebody is going like this; 'Mr. Speaker, Mr. Speaker, Mr. Speaker'. That's usually Art Telcser over there. He's wearing my shirt. Yesterday I had the same shirt he has. He usually says something like this, he says: 'Mr. Speaker, you know you were the one that was voted to that office, you were the one, you and you are supposed to be a fair Speaker and you and your fairness now ruled against us. You know you made a promise, you made a promise you haven't lived up to it. Now, Mr. Speaker, on this matter of McCormick Place, you know it's robbery, you know it's....it's going to mean...' Then Madigan says: 'Would you take this out of the record?!. For ten minutes.....Ten minutes later, the Gentleman on the other side, after ten minutes...Art Telcser says:



'Now, Ladies and Gentlemen, you know what McCormick Place means to this City of Chicago. We've all got to support McCormick Place. It's important to the vitality of the State of Illinois. We've all got to go together on that board and vote for McCormick Place'. So, Mike Madigan didn't get all of the support he needed so he had to call on his Assistant Majority Leader, who was Ted Lechowicz and Ted said: 'That's right, Mr. Speaker, this Bill will help the people of the State of Illinois and in turn will provide more jobs and in turn it will provide more patronage, and in turn it will mean more Democrats will win elections and in turn that's good for all of us. In all fairness we've got to vote for this and I don't know about you but as for me, I'm going to vote for this Bill. As for me, I will, I don't know about you?'. Then way on the other side of the aisle, the Gentleman from Lawrence got up. My good friend, Roscoe. He says: 'Speaker Redmond, you have again shown your utter disdain, disregard and discourtesy for your failure to recognize the most outstanding Legislator in this whole Assembly.. Yes, my light's been flashing for a long, long time'. He said, 'Even though my light is the only green light up on that board..' He said, 'Your green light will allow this eight lane highway to be built through Lawrenceville, and your green light will help the highway beautification program because all of those billboards that I've got asking for Governor Thompson to build a highway through Lawrenceville, will come down. So I say that the voters of the 54th District, the voters of the 54th District...will be very grateful to you. Vote green, relax, enjoy it, you'll all have fun by doing it. So I say, Mr. Speaker, why don't you all do that right now.' Then, I never noticed until I was up there and somebody told me....he'd get mad if I mentioned it...but, when I was up there in the Speaker's Podium the other day, I noticed this and I never noticed



it until I was up there. Dwight Friedrich always thinks his light is on....but it's never on. He's always standing over there like this....and he looks like a soldier back there....he always stands like this.....And I went over to the Republican side and I said, will he get mad if I impersonate him? They said, 'You're damn right he will'. So, Dwight Friedrich always gets up and he waits for a long time and then when he's finally recognized, he says: 'This is only the chip of the iceberg. This is only the tip of the iceberg. If you think that you're going....helping the people of the State of Illinois by doing this? All you're doing is laying another layer of government and you're going to rue the day, you're going to be sorry, you're going to be back here. I'm voting against this but you're going to be sorry for this extra layer of government. So....' I guess I should close, but he's not here and I'd do it if he was here, our good friend. 'Mr. Speaker, we all know how important the Illinois Commerce Commission is to all of us and I've always supported the Illinois Commerce Commission and I want you to also, would you help me out, Woody?' Woody usually says: 'Mr. Speaker....Mr. Speaker, please help Ellis out. Please do that.' That's really about all. The only thing I'm going to say in closing, I'll never forget the time when I came down to the General Assembly and I was over in the Senate and they told me about the time and those were the old days, and they used to have a lot of stories about what happened in the old days. A Senator got up and he explained a Bill and why he was going to vote for that Bill. He went on for fifteen minutes.... about why one should vote for that Bill. Then as he was just about at the most crucial time, there was a lobbyist in the balcony, he said, !.....So the Senator said, 'Now Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, if I were going to vote for that Bill, that's what I would have done,



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

but, this is a bad Bill, it's a bad Bill. Forget about those things. That's what I would have said if I were for the Bill. But it's a bad Bill, it should be defeated. Vote against it. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.'

By the way, Mr. Speaker, my good friend who I'd like to call 'Bill', but we've gotta call him 'Speaker'. We used to always close and Bob Craig used to give the old auction chant and Gene Chapman said, 'John, from now on you're going to have to give the impersonations.' So I don't know if we should do that but that's the reason I gave it tonight."

Speaker Redmond: "Do we have an auctioneer in the House? Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "Well, I'm disappointed. I was hoping that the climax of this show was going to be Representative Matijeich's imitation of Jack Hill, who got out of sorts at this sort of thing. Got anxious to get in his car and head home and that's really thethat's the best of John Matijeich. That frustrated anger and eruption. John you're not going to disappoint us, are you?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hanahan. Hanahan...Hanahan's seat....H-a-n.... "

Matijeich: "This is where Jack Hill...This is where Jack Hill always sat. By the way, I see Dave Robinson looking and smiling at me. One day Dave was sitting over by his seat and I said, 'Dave, I can do you too!! Dave, you know,I feel sorry for anybody who sits in the front seat....because you're looking at nothing....So, Dave..."

Speaker Redmond: "I beg your pardon....."

Matijeich: "I didn't mean it that way....No....So Dave feels, though he's got to talk to the Members of the General Assembly, so he always starts out there, but then he turns around and starts telling us this and then he goes over here and then he goes over there. So he's gotta keep



talking to everybody. When Jack Hill gets up, I'll tell you, you never saw anything until Jack got up. Jack always got up and some of you may wonder why I always happen to get up because we worry about when we're going to adjourn. This is the type of night that Jack Hill would have gotten up, believe me, because:

'Here we are, Mr. Speaker, Mr. Speaker, do you realize what time it is? Do you realize it's 9:30 at night? It's 9:30 at night and here I am, Mr. Speaker, I'm doing my job here. Others are down here...on the road, going on Highway 66, they are home now. You haven't told us yet what we're doing here. You have given us no indication, Mr. Speaker, when we're getting out of here. I don't know....I've got a hotel room over there....I don't know if I should check out. My family is back home. I don't know what to do. It's your fault, Mr. Speaker. It's your fault and nobodies...else. Sure Jerry Shea is to blame more than anybody. You're the Speaker, Mr. Speaker and you have not told me. I've been up to that rostrum six...seven...eight...nine...ten times today to ask you. Give me an indication. What am I going to do? You have not done that. I've got my little boy back home. I've got him over there. He's calling me on the phone. He doesn't even know what to call me. He won't even call me Daddy. He don't know who I am....because I'm here, Mr. Speaker, doing my job. I'm doing my job and you won't tell me when I can go home. Please, Mr. Speaker, adjourn, get us out of here."

Speaker Redmond: "House Bill 743, Appropriation, Illinois Art's Council, Representative Collins. Representative Collins."

Collins: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in opposition to this Bill, once again, for the twelfth annual time. Do you realize that that crazy Japanese painter is painting a mural of Jack Hill on the side of the John Hancock Building? Mr. Speaker,



do you...are you aware of that. Do you realize they are reading dirty poetry to our kids in first grade now and last year it was third grade....And they are paying them one hundred dollars a shot. Mr. Speaker, you've got to put an end to this, I implore you, how many times, every year, I get up to speak against this Bill. It gets more green lights, Mr. Speaker. You think I'd wise-up, didn't you, Mr. Speaker, but 'no', I'll be back next year. I know you're going to kill me again but just look at these programs, look at this, Sex and the Single Woman, my Lord, Mr. Speaker, what are we doing, in the name of Kosher. Please beat this bad Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Geo-Karis desires to change her vote. May she have leave? Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, if you think I was even close to Mike Madigan. We've got a Page here, you know, after the votes are all in and then Mike has to look for some more votes. Watch this young fellow here do Mike Madigan looking for some extra votes. The fellow right here. Watch this. One moment, he's going to do Corneal Davis."

Page Dennis Orsey: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise on a point of personal privilege. I urge you to vote for this Bill....that's going to help the poor babies back in my district. I know this is a good Bill, but I want you to vote for it. Because this Bill, above all else is going to help the people back home, the poor people, the people that voted for you and the people that voted for me. These people now, you listen to me, hear me now, these people need your help and I urge you to support the adoption of this Bill. So, please, Ladies and Gentlemen, I urge you for the poor people and the poor black babies, we need your support and I hope we get it, in the name of the Lord, Amen. Thank you. God love you."

Speaker Redmond: "We have another Page here that's going to



auction something off that's near and dear to the heart of Mike Madigan. Dennis Orsey, from Mt. Pulaski. Jack, Mike Maske, pardon me."

Page Mike Maske: "Okay, Mr. Edmond told me that due to some appropriations that were lost, we were supposed to auction off the McCormick Place.

(Does his auctioneer bit.)

Sold right there to Mr. Matijevich."

Unknown: "Oh, he's good."

Speaker Redmond: "The Republican side certainly isn't limited in talent to Representative Collins, are they?"

Unknown: "Tomato. Tomato."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "You know, Mr. Speaker, it's only fair to kinda imitate my friend John. I had some guests here last year and it was in crunch days so I tried to introduce them. John said, 'Mr. Speaker, I want to be heard now. This Assembly is too busy to make anymore introductions. I don't care if Adeline wants to introduce my Mother and my Father. I just don't care, they have no business here. And I don't think it's right when we've got so many damn pills on the floor. And I don't care if you have my son here. Bobby, I'll see you later. After all, I know my rules and I know them from 'a' to 'z'. I've been trying to teach them to Adeline and she won't listen. And you know why she doesn't listen? Because she knows if she learns the rules she'll sit down and shut up and I'll be doing all of the talking.' Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think it's high time the Senate came in and joined us because obviously they are not getting anywhere without us."

Speaker Redmond: "Conference Committee Reports on Supplemental Calendar #3, appears House Bill 1806, Representative Laurino. Laurino."

Laurino: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move to adopt Conference Committee Report #1 to House



Bill 1806."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? Representative Totten."

Totten: "Mr. Speaker, if we could just have the Sponsor tell us the Bill and what the Conference Committee did to the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Laurino."

Laurino: "Representative Totten, House Bill 1806 was the fees for the statewide election campaign ...elections, whereby we put a cap of \$10,000.....er....a threshold of \$10,000 ...\$75,000 cap. Contest elections, statewide."

Totten: "What did the Conference Committee do to it?"

Laurino: "Well, when it came over from the Senate, they had put an Amendment on it for \$25,000 and we had raised it from ten.....from five and twenty-five to ten and seventy-five."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Would the Speaker....would the Representative yield for a question?.....Representative Laurino, you said ten and seventy-five. Now that's the Bill, is it not, where it costs 'X' number of dollars for a recount, is that right?"

Laurino: "Correct."

Geo-Karis: "All right, now, when you say seventy-five, when do we have to pay seventy-five thousand dollars, can you tell me?"

Laurino: "That's the maximum that you can pay to recount the election for all of the precincts in the whole state."

Geo-Karis: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan, J.: "Mr. Speaker, I noticed that there are two names missing from the Conference Committee Report and I wonder if the Sponsor of the Bill would indicate why the Members did not sign that Conference Committee Report?"

Laurino: "Couldn't find everybody at that particular time, Jim."



We were all at the meeting, Representative Bluthardt, Representative Kempiners, myself."

Houlihan, J.: "Representative Robinson."

Laurino: "Representative Robinson was there. I move for the adoption of Conference Committee Report #1 to House Bill 1806."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further discussion? The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House do adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1806. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 120 'aye', and 2 'no', and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1806, by the two-thirds Constitutional Majority. ...Three-fifths, did I say two-thirds? Shame upon me. 2179, Representative Robinson."

Robinson: "Mr. Speaker, Representative Stanley and I are joint Chief Sponsors of this Bill. This is the Second Conference Committee Report. The House adopted this last night, the Senate didn't get to it, so we had to change the effective date. We also changed one line that the Sheriff's Association wanted changed. It used to say that the Commission could suspend the deputy, pending a hearing in thirty days, but it now says the Sheriff may suspend the deputy pending an investigation in thirty days. With this change the Sheriff's Association assured me yesterday that they withdrew any objection to the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House adopt the Second Conference Committee Report to House Bill 2179. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Representative Simms."

Simms: "In explaining my vote, if I could ask the Sponsor a question? He might take his time to respond. You



indicated that the Sheriff could suspend a deputy pending an investigation. An investigation for what?"

Robinson: "This is ...if there is a reason that the Sheriff sees in trying to suspend or fire a deputy...that in those counties that opt to have a merit commission. The Sheriff can suspend a deputy but there has to be a hearing by the Merit Commission on charges brought by the Sheriff, within thirty days."

Simms: "Well, in the meantime the deputy is suspended?"

Robinson: "Right. And if the deputy is cleared then he will get his back pay for those thirty days."

Simms: "Well, in explaining my vote, I think we may have a problem because I think that police departments and Sheriffs departments are two different things and I seriously question whether or not all of the Sheriffs departments that are under the merit system in Illinois are truly under a nonpolitical merit system and I'm afraid you could get into a posture of having some problems with political layoffs or firings. So I am going to vote against it."

Robinson: "Well, Representative Simms, if I can explain? This is exactly what the Bill is meant to deal with...the problem of political firings. This is the way where there will be a hearing. It puts the hearing under the Administrative Review Act. It is desired by the deputies because of that problem and there is no objection from the Sheriff."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McMaster."

McMaster: "Mr. Speaker, for Representative Simm's edification there are two different merit systems in the State of Illinois, Tim. One for the police departments and one for the Sheriff's Merit Commission. This only affects the Sheriff's Merit Commission. It lays out the fact that if a deputy is suspended for a period of 30 days and is cleared of his suspension, he does receive his pay. If he is not cleared, and he is found guilty and remains



July 1, 1977

125.

fired, then of course he does not get paid. But this effects Sheriff's Merit Commission."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Schneider."

Schneider: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. It appears that after Representative McMaster has clarified, even Representative Simms has changed and I think now that the clarifications that are necessary have been made and I would solicit an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan, J.: "Mr. Speaker, I see that there are some, what I would suggest are, people playing games with this vote and it has 107 and Inecessary."

Speaker Redmond: "The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 111 'aye' and 14 'no', and the House does adopt the Second Conference Committee Report to House Bill 2179 by the three-fifths Constitutional vote. Terzich, 841. Representative Terzich, 841. Do you want that one? Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "I wonder if the Gentleman would take that out of the record for now?"

Speaker Redmond: "George, I'd do anything for you, George."

Ryan: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Take it out of the record. 1038, J. David Jones."

Jones, J. David: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentleman of the House, I move that we do adopt the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1038."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Representative Johnson."

Johnson: "I don't want to try to prolong things but I'd at least like to know what the Bill is and the Conference Committee Report is, before we vote final action on it."

Jones, J. David: "The Conference Committee Report concurs with

the Amendments put on the...the State Employees Retirement System Appropriation. The Senate made Amendments to it and the Commerce Committee agrees to accept those Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Tipsword."

Tipsword: "What are the Amendments?"

Jones, J. David: "Amendment #1, amends House Bill 1038 on line 13 by deleting \$61,700 and inserting in lieu thereof \$60,000. Line 24 by deleting \$111,400 and inserting in lieu thereof \$110,300. By inserting immediately after Section 2 the following: From funds accumulated pursuant to Section 14, 169 and Section 14, 170. The Illinois Pension Code approved March 18, 1963, as amended. The following named sums, as much thereof as may be necessary respectively for the objects and purposes hereinafter named are appropriated to meet the ordinary and contingent expenses of the administration of the State Employees Retirement. Then it's line itemed. This was ...is the funds...trust funds the Senate recommended they be line itemed and separated out."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report of House Bill 1038. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 125 'ayes', and no 'nays', and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1038 by the three-fifths Constitutional Majority."
(see special, page 127)



Speaker Redmond: "Conference Committee Report 689. Representative Brady."

Brady: "Mr. Speaker and fellow Members, so we might save some time of the Members of this Assembly, because we still are at an impasse and have some difference, we have had on the order of the Calendar for almost a day now a move to concur in House Bill 689 and move to concur with the level that was arrived at in the Senate. I don't want to take up the time of the Members of this Body. I think the record is clear. I think everybody knows where everybody stands on this issue. We voted on it before. Because of that fact in trying to realize that we have to come out with a level where we can get agreement of enough Members of this Body, I would move at this time to nonconcur with Conference Committee, First Report #1 and ask that a Second Conference Committee be appointed."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Peters. Representative Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I thank Representative Brady for his kindness and understanding in this matter and concur with the request he has made of the House."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Byers."

Byers: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'm on that Conference Committee and I signed that and I'd like to move to concur with that report. Substitute motion."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Brady has moved that the House concur or nonconcur in the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 689. Representative Byers has moved a substitute motion that the House concur. The question's on Representative Byers that the House concur. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Requires 107 votes. Representative Byers, to explain his vote."

Byers: "Well, Mr. Speaker, this will be the highest level of funding that you'll be able to vote for for this year, I can guarantee you that if you want to closely fund the school aid formula. This will be about 98% if this would pass and I would recommend an 'aye' vote so that the schools of the State of Illinois can have adequate funding and also so that the schools would not have to



raise property taxes in districts. And this is your last chance and you should be voting 'aye' on this motion."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 23 'aye' and 109 'no' and the Gentleman's motion fails. We revert to the first motion that the House nonconcur in the First Committee Report to House Bill 689. On the other one? Representative Richmond desires to be recorded as 'aye' on the do adopt motion. The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House nonconcur in the First Committee, Conference Committee Report to House Bill 689. Those in favor say 'aye'. Representative Brady, for what purpose do you rise?"

Brady: "Well, Mr. Speaker... Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I think you know by now that I as much as anyone here would like the highest level of funding available for education this year in the State of Illinois. I've worked as hard as I possibly know to achieve that level. I think if you look around and look at the Members that I've talked to you'll realize we don't have 107 votes to present this Conference Committee Report and realize that level which we can obtain here. I'm disappointed in that. I'm very disappointed, but I don't think it's fair to the Members who've waited here this long to run out a prolonged Roll Call, explanation of votes. We all know where we're at on this issue. I want to come back with a report which we can live with, a report which we can spend money on education and I'm going back into the Conference Committee, Representative Byers and others, not to try and give up everything that we've fought for, but to try and fight for it and to say why. When we come out here with a final report, I want something that 107 Members will vote for or something that we can live with. And if we live with it and we can't vote for it, it goes down and the people of Illinois will know why education isn't funded when we leave here tonight."

Speaker Redmond: "The question's on the Gentleman's motion that the House nonconcur in the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 689. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. House nonconcur in the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 689. The Conference... the Second Conference



Committee will be formed. Now, it's been... Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Conference Committee Report on House Bill 2361 has been printed. It has been signed by ten Members of the Conference. In this report I could say very honestly after a couple of days of trying to put together a Bill that will do the job in special education, in transportation and orphanages, in the gifted programs, bilingual programs, adult education programs, truancy, the thirty-three and a third percent assessment obligation that we have, the desegregation assistance program for a million dollars, that this Conference Committee Report though it may not be what everyone wants, is the product of an awful lot of negotiations and an awful lot of input by the Membership of this House and the Senate. The following items should be noted by the Members of the General Assembly as changed from the original Bill - the Bill as it left the House of Representatives. In the operations of the office, it will be thirteen million, seven hundred thousand, eight hundred dollars. A change from when it left the House of thirteen million, six hundred and nineteen thousand dollars. In special education, it will be a hundred and six million, two hundred and seventy thousand dollars. The same as it left, the same level that we voted it out of here. On tuition, it will be eight million, four hundred thousand dollars in special education. A decrease of sixteen...one million, six hundred thousand dollars from when it left the House. In the area of extraordinary special education, it will be ten million dollars. An increase from nine million, six hundred and sixty-two thousand dollars. In special education transportation, twenty-eight million, seven hundred and fifty thousand dollars. Almost within a few hundred dollars difference from when it left the House. For the orphanage, it will be an increase from six million, three hundred thousand to nine million dollars. On materials, two hundred and ten thousand. The same level. On gifted education, it will be two million, seven hundred and thirty thousand dollars. The same as it left the House both for the reimbursement and the area centers. On pupil



transportation, it will be thirty-nine million, seven hundred and fifty thousand dollars, an increase over the thirty-nine million, one hundred and twenty-four thousand dollars that the House approved originally. On bilingual education it will be ten million, three hundred and fifty thousand dollars for Chicago. And three million, four hundred and fifty thousand dollars for downstate. An increase over what the House had approved. In the food program, it will be fourteen million dollars. An increase of one million over what the House approved. In vocational education, it will be a three million dollar decrease, to eighteen million, two hundred and forty thousand dollars. On adult education, it will be a decrease of two hundred and fifty-seven thousand five hundred dollars to a level of two million dollars, on Americanization. On basic adult education, there will be an increase to six hundred thousand dollars, from five hundred and twenty-five thousand. On public assistance, it will be an increase to five million, four hundred thousand dollars. An increase from three million, six hundred and seventy thousand dollars. On the other General Revenue Fund grants, for truants, it will be a decrease from five hundred and twenty-five thousand to five hundred thousand....five hundred thousand dollars. On the textbooks, it will be a decrease from what the House sent out, from seven million down to five million. For the deaf and blind, it will be the same level, one million, three hundred and eighty-two thousand, seven hundred dollars. For the thirty-three and a third percent assessments, it will be the full obligation, with no change, of five million, nine hundred and twelve thousand, five hundred dollars. For the desegregation assistance, it will be one million dollars, one half of what the Office of Education originally requested for its program. Mr.



Speaker and Members of the House, it is not with the lightest of heart, nor the fullest of my desires, to say that everything that a lot of Members of this House stand for is in this level of funding for special ed and the other programs. But I can say this, recognizing the date, recognizing the lateness of the hour and recognizing the balance in the budget, that there is no other course but to adopt this Conference Committee Report and if there is something that is not correct in this level of funding, as this Conference Committee Report requests, let the Governor of this State honor his right under the Constitution and amendatorily veto what Sections he cannot live with. I suggest, we will be able to go home in a half an hour if this was done. Now let's not kid ourselves, he has that opportunity. There is no reason in the world that this General Assembly should spend another day per diem, on handling this kind of legislation. Not another nickel of tax dollars spent to operate this House, when all we have to do is get 107 affirmative votes for this Conference Committee. I urge you, I urge you from the bottom of my heart to adopt this Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, would the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Mr. Speaker, would the Sponsor yield to a question?

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Friedrich: "Representative Hanahan."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hanahan, a question."

Friedrich: "Is it true..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Barnes, for what purpose do you arise?"

Barnes: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I believe since I have been a part of this ongoing Conference Committee on education from the very beginning, I believe that there was a request put to the Chair and I was apprised of that



conversation and I don't want to get into it more than that; that on this Roll Call we would have an Oral Verified Roll Call. That was the discussion. That was.."

Unknown: "Commitment..."

Barnes: "...the commitment that was made and I would suggest to the Speaker that we honor that commitment."

Speaker Redmond: "If that commitment was made, it will be honored." Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Representative Hanahan, is it true that there's a million dollars in this appropriation for busing for racial integration?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "There is a million dollars for a line item called desegregation assistance. I am not knowledgeable of the total aspect of what manner in which desegregation assistance will be attempted by the Office of Education."

Friedrich: "Well, isn't that for racial desegregation, which this House has opposed?"

Hanahan: "The line item says 'desegregation assistance'. And if that were the case I guess that would be it, but I am not privy to the immediate ability to say what the Office of Education will attempt to do with that million dollar grant."

Friedrich: "Well, hopefully, in the face of the fact that the House has already acted, to say that money cannot be used for that and the courts say it's no longer necessary, I hope the Office of Education will honor that."

Hanahan: "I might point out that it is within the purview of the Office of Education if this line item is left intact by the Governor through not amendatorily vetoing or by this House adopting and it's there that the Office of Education through its administrative right under the Constitution, will utilize it in whatever manner they decide. And we have very limited control of saying what they could do or what they cannot do with that money."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Wolf."



Wolf: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. One other question. I think the other one was answered. Did you say, Mr. Hanahan, that the Senate has restored the cut that the House has made in bilingual education? From your figures, I understand they put another five hundred thousand dollars on top of it."

Hanahan: "In bilingual? Yes, I might point out very honestly, I want nobody to be misled. The bilingual education funding, as this Conference Committee Report is before you, is ten million, three hundred fifty thousand dollars for Chicago. An increase from the five million, nine hundred and twenty thousand we had sent the Bill over to the Senate as....And for downstate, three million, four hundred and fifty thousand, an increase from the two million eighty thousand....eighty thousand dollars that we had sent over. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schneider."

Schnieder: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. It has been a long time since we've had a chance to really discuss this proposal on the floor. We've talked about it over the last couple of months, indirectly and finally directly, a few days ago. But now, out of Conference, what we find is something kinda curious to me, that we have....last night, working till three in the morning applied the finest kind of scalpel to budgeting and education that I've seen. By that I mean we've touched it in the spots where it has the facts. Everyone was in accord, I thought, last night. Even the Illinois Office of Education, the Bureau of the Budget and others who had watched and participated last night thought that we had finally done the kind of job the Legislature is supposed to do in trying to dedicate itself to analyzing, reducing and or increasing those areas that are crucial. That's what I call budgeting, that's what I call appropriating. I thought it was a



fine job. Come the morning, however, when we returned at ten o'clock, apparently there had been some changes. The Governor had requested increases in transportation, probably the most mismanaged element of education in the State of Illinois. One that we could really take time to look at with very severe and critical eye and yet we increased that amount. We increased above that fine work of last night, the dollars for textbooks, again a very serious matter for many of us who feel that at a time when the state is crucial, crucially facing an economic crisis, should not have increased over last year's figure, a figure that I think at this time is a compromise figure of three million, five hundred thousand dollars, an increase to five million dollars. There are other increases above last night, but the real problem with my understanding of this report, as it reached the floor today, and I did indeed sign the Conference Committee Report, is that I think we make a serious mistake in being led by the Governor and others of his Party to say, this is a bear-bones budget. This is the kind of budget we like to see and then in the morning that follows, increase those dollars. Now that doesn't change the seventy-five million dollars bottom line figure that Governor Thompson had indicated to us that he thought was available to education. It does not. What it really does is that it means when 689 comes back here tonight, or tomorrow, or Sunday, or whenever, that the money that would be used for general distributive aid will come out of that formula and will be used to deal with special groups. There are no more powerful groups, in my judgment than the Special Education lobby, than the church that I am a member of, which wants to increase those textbooks or any of those groups which are benefiting from these increases. I find it hard to vote for this, but on the eve of the end of the Session



I hope, perhaps everyone ought to make a decision about whether or not they either want to go home or whether or not they want to reduce the cuts to the levels that I think are fair and that allow us to live within the range of the budget...budgetary dollars that the Governor has addressed himself to over the last few months. I hesitate to vote at this time. During the course of the oral verification I will, at that time, indicate my preference."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Byers."

Byers: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I wasn't a Member of the Conference Committee but I was there last night and participated in the proceedings and listened to the meeting as it was going on and I want to say it was conducted by Representative Hanahan in a very fair way. Representative Hoffman was on the Committee last night and today there was a replacement...I think he had to go home. But they made a lot of significant cuts in the budget last night that I thought were really good. A lot of them were because the Department of Education couldn't really justify the present levels of funding. They cut out almost sixteen and a half million. Something happened between last night and this morning and so they finally went back today at six o'clock. They kept postponing the meeting. Representative Hanahan was getting impatient and more impatient and he kept asking them to meet and kept asking them to meet and finally, I think, under some things that Representative Hanahan said they finally agreed to meet. But I don't know why it took them till six o'clock today to meet. But by the time they got ready to meet they agreed to put all this money back in that Representative Schneider was talking about. The bilingual program that lost by just one vote from having zero dollars in that budget and now it's up higher than, as Representative Wolf said, over five hundred thousand dollars more than we approved. I don't see how we can



approve that budget to go out of here at that level. That's not the philosophy of the House or what we voted for. The textbook program, they agreed to cut a million and a half out of that...er...three and a half million and today they put a million and a half in. Pupil Transportation, Representative Hoffman agreed, wholeheartedly, last night that it was a mismanaged program and the money should come out of that. All these areas, and I think we're making a mistake if we pass this budget out of here tonight and I'm going to vote 'no' when it comes my time to vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Caldwell."

Caldwell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. May I ask the Sponsor a question?.....Representative Hanahan, did I understand you to say that there was a million dollars for desegregation of the public schools?"

Hanahan: "There is a line item called desegregation assistance that the Office of Education had requested two million dollars for upon the introduction of this budget."

Caldwell: "They were the instigators of this line item? Could youcould you define what they mean by desegregation? Why....my question is, why should we spend a million dollars to obey the law?"

Hanahan: "We spend....I could just only answer, we spend millions and millions of dollars correcting people who haven't obeyed the law by keeping the prison systems and the whole judiciary system. To spend a million dollars to help encourage and assist in the manner in which the Office of Education feels that may be necessary to encourage the obeying of, not only civil law but moral law. Possibly they figure that's what they need to spend."

Caldwell: "Mr. Speaker, may I brieflymay I address myself to the question?"

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Caldwell: "I would say, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, that we have an opportunity here, whether it's tonight or



tomorrow or next week or next month, to become mature and deal with these problems that confront us in society realistically. I, for one, could not vote for a budget that's going to spend a million dollars to encourage somebody to obey the law. I think that the law is very clear and I think as long as we entertain this type of skulduggery or bribery or whatever you want to call it, I think that no progress is being made. I think it is a reflection upon us as a legislative body, I think it's a reflection upon the office that administers education. And I, for one, am not about to continue tolerating it. I've lived with it. I've pleaded, I've attempted to understand it for the last decade and I, for one, will not vote for this budget and I would encourage all of us not to vote for it until we face up to the facts and deal with the situation realistically."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Polk."

Polk: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, shall the main question be put? Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye'; opposed 'no'; the 'ayes' have it and the motion carries. Representative Hanahan to close."

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, like I said earlier, I'm not overjoyed or overwhelmingly happy about what I am recommending here. I believe that those Members of the Conference Committee worked extra hard...extra hard to try and find out where there were any areas of ...'fat'...if you call it as such in a budget? I think every Member on the Conference Committee should be congratulated by the Membership of the House. And not only congratulated but thanks because of their, not only insistence in the truth, but insistence on obtaining the facts of what is happening in the Office of Education concerning its grant program and the manner in which the monies are allocated, the tax dollars expended. I think



that every Member of the House, if they had the opportunity, should at one time in their service here, serve on a Conference Committee dealing in education and educational needs. It would be an eye-opener, I'm sure, to listen to the plight, the hopes, the aspirations of special education people, gifted people, those who are concerned with textbooks. I could just suggest to those Members of the General Assembly who are sincere in their support of obtaining funds for textbooks, that you will have an opportunity right now to put that hope right out in front on your statement on whether you support or reject this Conference Committee Report. Because I predict that if this Conference Committee Report goes down, you'll never have another opportunity to support a textbook program at this level. I suggest a few other things to all of the Members for consideration. There is no other way for this budget to go on a future Conference Committee or even a new Bill that could be resurrected and amended to be considered by this General Assembly, except down. Now if that's the mood of the House and if that's what your priority is, so be it. But I know when this Bill is through being voted on, I'm going to go home and go to bed and sleep well and I sure hope that there are some other Members in this House who will have kept their commitment to their constituency that said education and especially special education.... especially the areas where people have the greatest need, that they have kept their commitment that they ran on last November, when they sought votes. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, there is no need for any further explanation except to say this, to explain your vote on this issue could only mean a waste of time, because your friends don't need an explanation and your enemies don't give a damn. Vote your conscience and I pray to God it's an 'aye' vote. Thank you."



Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House 'do adopt' the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 2301. There will be an oral verified Roll Call. The Clerk will call the record. 2361. 2361."

Clerk O'Brien: "Abramson, ..."

Speaker Redmond: "Wait a minute....clear the board."

Clerk O'Brien: "Abramson."

Speaker Redmond: "Abramson votes 'present' is that?"

Clerk O'Brien: "'Present'. Adams, 'present'; Anderson, 'no'; Antonovych, 'pass'; E. M. Barnes, ..."

Barnes, E. M.: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, to be very brief, for one who has been saddled with this question for about the last six weeks to two months; and to one who has made, I believe, in every Session that I have been here, a commitment to my constituency, and a commitment to education as my number one priority, and to all, each and everyone of my Committee Members on both sides of this aisle, who have worked so diligently with me, who have given me their cooperation in this Session, I believe, to them and to the people of the State of Illinois, that I have a duty...a duty that I should carry out here, over and beyond what some people think would be in the best interest and the most expedient way to go, to hurry off and go home to our families that we would love to be with; but I think this issue extends beyond that. We did work very hard last night to what I think was a reasonable level in this area. One of the major commitments that the Appropriations II Committee made this year was to try to decrease, if we could, using some logic, try to decrease the area of nonmandated programs and transfer, if you would, that money to the area ofto be distributed for...so that aid could go to the various school districts so they could use it in the manner which is best for each one of



those school districts. Embodied in this Conference Committee Report, it's quite the opposite direction, for in this Conference Committee Report, if we adopt it, the next step from this is to increase, if you will, to increase the categorical grant. In the next Conference Committee Report that you will see will be to decrease ...to decrease the aid to the formula which I think should have the highest...the highest of priorities in this General Assembly. For those reasons and for those reasons alone I rise reluctantly ...reluctantly but with a commitment to all, to vote 'no' on this Conference Committee Report."

Clerk O'Brien: "E. M. Barnes, 'no'; Jane Barnes.."

Barnes, Jane: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I am the author of the seven million dollars for the textbook Amendment. And I would like to point out to the Gentleman that criticized the textbook program, on the other side of the aisle, the seven million dollars was passed out of the House of Representatives, was sent over to the Senate and the seven million dollars was passed out of the Senate. It was when a group of men set around a conference table that the textbook Amendment was reduced.....and I resent the criticism. But because I am a team player and I do believe in going along with the rules, I will vote 'yes', but next time, I intend to be on that Education Committee and I intend to be on that Conference Committee and I intend to fight for what is mine."

Clerk O'Brien: "Jane Barnes, 'aye'; Bartulis, 'no'; Beatty, 'pass'; Bennett, 'no'; Birchler, 'aye'; Bluthardt, 'pass'; Boucek, 'no'; Bowman, 'aye'; Bradley, 'pass'; Brady, 'aye'; Brandt, 'pass'; Breslin,"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Collins.."

Collins: "A point of order, Mr. Speaker. Representative Breslin is not a Member of this House."



Speaker Redmond: "That was a slip. Proceed."

Clerk O'Brien: "Rich Brummer, 'present'; Don Brummet, 'aye';
Byers, 'no'; Caldwell, 'no'; Campbell, 'pass';
Capparelli, 'pass'; Catania, 'no'; Chapman, 'aye';
Christiansen, 'present'; Collins, 'no'; Conti, ..."

Conti: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,
I believe my Governor when he told me that he had a
budget that he had to live with. I've been hearing about
one hundred percent funding in education, just about
as long as anybody else in this House that's served any
terms. Or, as many terms as I have. I'd be willing to
go into the Reference Bureau tomorrow morning with any-
body who votes green here tonight, to introduce a
piece of legislation to introduce a new income tax or
an increased income tax so that we can give this
Christmas package to everyone. I would like to see
how many of them would have the intestinal fortitude to
join me in that Resolution or in that Bill to increase
the tax. I sent out a message the other night, not to
do away with bilingual language in the City of Chicago,
but to put them on notice that they can't come in and
ask for a four and a five hundred percent increase every
year. Now I am in hopes that we can stay here until we
can live within the budget that the Governor gave us
this year. And if we want to give a Christmas package
away everytime on every Bill, on every piece of
appropriation, then I ask the green lights to join me to
increase the state income tax in this state. I vote
'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Conti, 'no'; Cunningham, 'no'; Daniels,
'present'; Darrow, 'aye'; Corneal Davis, 'pass'; Jack
Davis,Jack Davis..."

Davis, Jack: "Mr. Speaker, it is obvious that this Conference
Committee Report does not reflect the deliberations of
the Appropriations II Committee nor the Body of this

House, as it was deliberated on this floor. I therefore vote 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "'No'; Dawson, 'no'; Deavers, 'no'; Deuster, 'no'; DiPrima, 'aye'; Dimico, 'pass'; Doyle, 'aye'; John Dunn, 'pass'; Ralph Dunn, 'no'; Dyer, 'no'; Ebbesen, 'pass'; Edgar, 'no'; Epton, 'pass'; Ewell, 'pass'; Ewing, 'no'; Farley, 'pass'; Flinn, 'no'; Friedland, 'no'; Friedrich, 'no'; Gaines,"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Gaines."

Gaines: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I campaigned on a policy of putting education first. I supported this type of appropriation last year, both in the Regular Session and the Override Session. The other evening we passed out of this House Bill 1281 and we put on it and we gave it 107 votes, to 31, an Amendment to make sure that the people's money was spent the way they wanted it to be spent on disadvantaged children, when the money was given, because disadvantaged children were in that school district. Since the City of Chicago used their influence to have this Amendment taken off in the Senate, I cannot, in good conscience, vote for any school Bill. So, therefore, I am voting 'present'."

Clerk O'Brien: "'Present'; Garmisa, 'aye'; Geo-Karis, 'pass'; Getty,"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Getty."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, and Members of the House, I'm going to vote 'no', because I feel unlike Representative Barnes, that it should not have the ...the textbooks support should not have been cut. I hope in the next Conference Committee it'll go back to seven million as we passed it and the Senate passed it."

Clerk O'Brien: "'No'; Giglio, 'pass'; Giorgi, 'aye'; Grelman, 'aye'; Griesheimer, 'no'; Hanahan, 'aye'; Harris, 'aye'; Hart, 'pass'; Hoffman, 'pass'; Holewinski, 'aye'; Dan Houlihan, 'aye'; Jim Houlihan,"



Speaker Redmond: "Representative James Houlihan."

Houlihan, J.: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think we're put in a very difficult position here by the lateness of the hour. It is clear that this is not going to pass. It is clear it is going to go to another Conference Committee and how it comes out... it's going to have to be agreed to by everybody, but I think most of the Members here resent the fact that we're here after the deadline and at a time when many Members are not here. I vote 'aye' for this, but I think that we're in a position where we're merely involved with a charade."

Clerk O'Brien: "'Aye', Hoxsey, 'no'; Hudson, 'no'; Huff, 'no'; Huskey, 'present'; Jacobs, 'pass'; Jaffe, 'pass'; Johnson, 'pass'; Dave Jones 'present'; Emil Jones, 'no'; Kane, !.."

Kane: "Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the people that were on this Conference Committee on education, from both sides of the aisle are those of the Members of this House who are the most familiar with the education programs of the state, who know the education budget of this state better than anybody else. They worked long hours on this Conference Committee Report. They took a lot of cuts. They had a lot of hard debate. They had a lot of hard, close votes. I think that the Conference Committee Report that they came out with is the best, all around, education program that we're going to have an opportunity to vote on anytime this Session. I think that the Conference Committee Report that is before us now is being scuttled for reasons that have nothing at all to do with the education of the children of this state. I think that if those of us who are interested in quality education and want to get the best programs for the most reasonable amount of money, I think should/^{be}voting 'aye', and I vote 'aye'."



Clerk O'Brien: "'Aye'; Katz, 'pass'; Keats, 'pass'; Kelly, 'aye'; Kempiners, ..."

Speaker Redmond: "Kempiners."

Kempiners: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Those of you who have bothered to look at the Conference Committee Report will notice that I signed this. And so that you/^{know}I'm not being inconsistant with my vote, I signed it and indicated I vote 'yes', to get this out on the floor so the House would have an opportunity to speak, however, I made it known in the Conference Committee that I would most likely vote 'no'. While I would vote to put this out I still would vote 'no', and that is how I will vote."

Clerk O'Brien: "'No'; Kent, 'no'; Klosak, 'no'; Kornowicz, 'pass'; Kosinski, 'no'; Kozubowski, 'no'; Kucharski, 'pass'; Lauer, 'no'; Laurino, 'pass'; Laurino, 'aye'; Lechowicz, 'no'; Leinenweber, 'pass'; Leverenz, 'present'; Levin, 'pass'; Lucco, ..."

Lucco: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I want to remind you that there are two types of money that go to schools and to school children. The general categorical grant program and the general assistance or school aid or formula monies, if you want to call it that. If we say there's only so much money, the more you give to one the less can be given to the other. I'd like to revive sort of a phrase that I've heard around here and I think politically it might be a good one. In this particular Bill we're being loved to death. We're being promised and given so much that we won't be able to stand it. I vote 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "'No'; Luft, 'pass'; Macdonald, 'no'; Madigan, 'aye'; Madison, 'pass'; Mahar, 'no'; Mann, 'pass'; Marovitz, 'aye'; Lynn Martin, 'aye'; Peggy Smith Martin, 'pass'; Matajek, 'no'; Matijevich, 'aye'; Mautino, 'no'; McAuliffe, 'pass'; McAvoy, 'pass'; McBroom, 'pass'; McClain, 'no'; McCourt, 'no'; McGrew,



'pass'; McLendon, 'no'; McMaster, 'no'; McPike, 'no'; Meyer,..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Meyer."

Meyer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. First of all I'd like to congratulate those on the Committee...Conference Committee who I'm sure tried to do a good job. But, Mr. Speaker, concerning one aspect of this alone and not getting into the rest of it, both the House and the Senate passed the Textbook Bill by more than a three-fifths majority. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, certain conferees of this Committee, who are friends of mine, saw fit to ax this, wanted to cut it down to nothing. The friends of the Textbook Bill fought a valiant effort, tried to hold it back and got five million dollars. Mr. Speaker, there are two hundred and fifty thousand nonpublic school children in this state. A third of the children. Mr. Speaker, if the public school kids are going to get two billion dollars, one would assume that the nonpublic school children ought to be worth at least two and a half million dollars. And they do not even get this under that Bill, therefore, I vote 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "'No'; Miller, 'no'; Molloy, 'pass'; Mudd, 'pass'; Mugalian, 'no'; Mulcahey, 'aye'; Murphy, 'no'; Nardulli, 'pass'; Neff, 'no'; O'Brien, 'aye'; O'Daniel, 'pass'; Pechous, .."

Pechous: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, earlier we heard the amount of one million dollars as a component of this appropriation for the expressed purpose of desegregation assistance. Now, one and all recognizes that term to be a co-term for forced busing. I would remind the Membership of this House that it wasn't but very long ago that the will of the House, as expressed in House Resolution 112, which declared that the overwhelming majority of the citizens of Illinois were opposed to governmental enforced busing of school children away



from their home neighborhoods for the sole purpose of promoting racial integration. That passed by 105 to 36. I supported that Resolution and I now vote 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "'No'; Peters, 'pass'; Pierce, 'pass'; Polk, 'no'; Porter, 'pass'; Pouncey, 'no'; Pullen, ..."

Pullen: "No one has waited with greater hope than I for the last two years that we would soon fully fund special education as we had promised in November 1974. This comes close, but there is so much in here that I cannot buy that I must regretfully vote 'present' and hope that the Second Conference Committee will retain or even increase this special education funding level, please. I vote 'present'."

Clerk O'Brien: "'Present'; Reed, 'no'; Reilly, 'no'; Richmond, 'aye'; Rigney, 'no'; Robinson, 'no'; Ryan, 'no'; Sandquist, 'pass'; Satterthwaite, ..."

Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this is an extremely difficult vote for many of us, I am sure. One of the problems I find is that I feel now that all of the hours that we've spent on this Bill in the Appropriations Committee have been for nothing. I cannot conscientiously leave here tonight or tomorrow or whenever we leave without funding the education programs for the children of the State of Illinois. I don't like the budget, but I think it represents a number of hours of work and labor and if this is not what we should be doing then I think we're going to have to live with the results of it. I don't like this vote, but I will support every effort to try to get us home and I vote 'yes', with great reluctance."

Clerk O'Brien: "'Aye'; Schisler, 'aye'; Schlickman, 'pass'; Schnieder, 'no'; Schoeberlein, 'pass'; Schuneman, 'no'; Sevcik, 'no'; Sharp, 'pass'; Shumpert, 'no'; Simms, 'no'; Skinner, 'No'; Stanley, 'no'; Stearney, 'pass'; Steczo, 'no'; E. G. Steele, 'pass'; C. M. Stiehl, 'no';



Stuffle, 'no'; Sumner, 'no'; Taylor, 'no'; Telcser, 'no'; Terzich, 'no'; Tipsword...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker, in explaining my vote, I know in a great part of this Bill it gives us what we would like to have in special education. I regret, however, in many of the other categories that are included in this Bill, this Conference Committee Report does not even come close to trying to follow any of the guidelines or the....setout by this house or what was the obvious will of the House in many of the categories. It appears to me that this is not from this Conference Report, a report of the Legislature, as to what they would like to have for this appropriation. Consequently I vote 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "'No.'; Totten, 'no'; Tuerk, 'no'; Van Duyne, 'no'; Vitek, 'no'; Von Boeckman, 'pass'; Waddell, 'no'; Wall, 'pass'; Walsh...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, let me commend you for having an Oral Verified Roll Call. This is really a close one. 'No':"

Clerk O'Brien: "'No'; Wikoff, ..Wikoff, 'pass'; Willer, 'no'; Williams, 'present'; Winchester, 'no'; Wolf, 'no';..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Marovitz."

Marovitz: "On a point of information, Mr. Speaker. I think it ought to be noted for the record, that the Speaker is keeping his word to a commitment that was made in the Conference Committee, to an Oral Verified Roll Call. And that's why we're having that Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Walsh wouldn't understand that."

Clerk O'Brien: "Younge, 'present'; Yourell, 'no'; Mr. Speaker, 'aye';...."

Speaker Redmond: "Anyone else desire to vote? Representative Capparelli." Vote Representative Capparelli 'no'. Representative Antonovych, 'no'; Representative Luft, 'no'; Huskey..."



Huskey: "Change me from 'present' to 'no', Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Change Representative Huskey from 'present' to 'no'. Representative Daniels."

Daniels: "Change me from 'present' to 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Change the Gentleman from 'present' to 'no'. Representative Leverenz....'no'. What's the count, Mr. Clerk? Representative Williams, 'no'. On this question there's 30 'aye', and 89 'no', and the motion loses. Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members of the Har...Members of the House,worked pretty hard on this Bill. Before any other action is taken, I just had a few thoughts I would like to share with the Membership of the House. If somebody thinks that they have....that they have an answer.....a better answer than I, to the needs of special education in this state, and that they could read the needs of the people of Illinois a little better than I can, or that they have a little better knowledge of the appropriation process, or that they know a little bit more than I, in handling this piece of legislation for the last six years, every year, I suggest now, Mr. Speaker, with leave of the House, that I'd like to have my name removed as the Chief Sponsor of this piece of legislation....and say to those Members that think that they have a better answer, it is now up to them to show the people of Illinois their answer to the needs of special education. I ask for that permission, unanimous consent, for that purpose of removing my name as the Chief Sponsor."

Speaker Redmond: "Does the Gentleman have leave to be removed as House Sponsor to House Bill 2361? Hearing no objection, leave is granted. Representative Barnes."

Barnes, E. M.: "Thank you very much. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, in my brief seven year tenure in this General Assembly I think this is one of the few times



that I have really and truthfully rose to, I guess, which I have never done here, a point of sorta personal privilege. I've worked extremely hard this year and I've tried toin every way that I could, meet everyone's request that have come before the Appropriations II Committee. I am also the hyphenated Co-sponsor on House Bill 2361. We worked hard in that Committee and I've tried to do my duty as I saw it. I've tried to meet the issues as they have come before me, issue by issue. And I've tried to work, I think, for what was best for all of the people and all of the children in the State of Illinois. Apparently the powers to be in this General Assembly, and I say that sincerely, the powers to be, apparently feel that there are people who can better exercise that responsibility. We have, in that Committee, and I commend my staff for the work, the hard work and late hours, the grouchiness that they've put up with me in trying to come up with what we consider and could prove to be in the best interest of everyone. I have before me now, on my desk, two Conference Committees, Second Conference Committees, that have been appointed on these vital Bills. For the first time in my seven years in this General Assembly, that I have seen a Conference Committee, on an issue of this importance, the Chairman of that Committee that that issue came in front of, is not included as one of those Members of that Conference Committee. Even beyond that, on House Bill 2361, that did come before my Committee, as Appropriation II, of which I am Chairman of, but beyond that, House Bill 2361 which I, with Representative Hanahan, as the hyphenated Cosponsor, and the only Sponsors on that Bill, neither of us, niether of us are appointed to this Second Conference Committee. Now if that's the way the Leadership of this House and the Leadership of this General Assembly wishes to play the game, fine. I



realize we're all tired. No one has been away from their family/^{more}than I have. But I say this in sincerity, to everyone here, to everyone who has come before that Committee, and to all of the people within the sound of my voice and the people of the State of Illinois. I am proud of what I've did there. I'm proud of what I will try to continue to do in this General Assembly and to try to carry out the responsibilities that have been given to me as Chairman of that Committee, but with that, and with that commitment, I ask leave of the House at this time to have my name removed as Sponsor of House Bill 2361. And I say again to all of you, to all of you who have worked with me, to all of you that we have not agreed together at all times, that I appreciate all of the effort and all of the work and all of the time that you gave to me on this issue. And I can simply say if anyone can build a better mousetrap than I can, please do so."

Speaker Redmond: "Does the Gentleman have leave? Hearing no objection, leave is granted. I think that it's appropriate for the Chair to make a comment at this time. I think that ...that Representative Barnes has indeed served with honor and distinction. First as the Vice-chairman of the Appropriations Committee....as has Representative Hanahan. When I ran...When I ran for Speaker for the 79th Session of the General Assembly there were several things that I suggested would be appropriate in order to change the procedures. Some of the things that I thought were advisable was to give notice in advance, as best we could, as to when we were liable to meet, as best we could to afford adequate time for meals and not to tire the Members out. One of the things on which I ran, and one of the things that I had conveyed to all of the Members on the Democratic side that I thought the practice that I had seen for many years, when a Conference Committee



is unable to agree, to reappoint the same Members to the Conference Committee....ad infinitum. It didn't seem to me that that was a very productful....and a very productive and a very desirable thing to do. And that is the reason and the only reason that I am suggesting that, for the Conference...Second Conference Committee on House Bill '689there will be Representative Matijevec, Caldwell and Holewinski, on the Democratic side. The Second Conference Committee for 2361, Terzich Caldwell and Stuffle. Hoping that by the infusion of new ideas and new thoughts that perhaps we may be able to come to some understanding. Again I thank everybody for their participation and this is not in any way to be considered critical or a criticism but, you know, in the ninth inning sometimes we put in other ballplayers. That is the reason. Representative Jones."

Jones, E.: "Mr. Speaker, I know you try being fair but many Members on the Democratic side who serve on the Appropriation Committee did not serve on that First Conference Committee. It would seem to me, Mr. Speaker, that you would have taken a Member or Members off the Appropriation Committee who heard testimony on the Bill who have some knowledge as to what is going on as far as appropriation, rather than place persons on there who don't know exactly what is going on as far as appropriations are concerned."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, I understand that and no matter how I did there would be some other way that probably would be better. But,Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, as the fourth listed name among the Cosponsors on House Bill 2361, and with the first two having been granted leave to withdraw their Sponsorships and I understand that the third name, Mr. Yaffe, is not here at this time; I move that we nonconcur on this Bill and that a Second Conference Committee be appointed."



Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved that the House non-concurthere was only one commitment, not two... on the Second...nonconcur with the Second Conferencewith the First Conference Committee Report of House Bill 2361. Those in favor will say 'aye', opposed 'no', the 'ayes' have it and the motion carries. Second Con.. Representative Byers."

Byers: "Mr. Speaker, I have notice here, Second Conference Committee, House Bill 689, that I've been appointed to. Should I disregard that now?"

Speaker Redmond: "Yeah. This isthe names that I have read are the ones that are on the Second Conference Committee. Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker, I notice, on the Roll Call that there are approximately 50 Members who are missing, on this Roll Call. I don't mean to imply that there are 50 Members not here, but there are 50 Members who are not answering. That leaves approximately 127 Members to draw 107 votes for any Conference Committee Concurrence affirmative vote. In anticipation ...in anticipation of what may happen, of a Conference Committee that I predict many Members will have to swallow hard on, I sure hope that a similar request of verified Roll Call is honored when and if ever a Second Conference Report is brought before this General Assembly on House Bill 2361."

Speaker Redmond: "On the Order of Conference Committee Reports, appears House Bill 1033. Representative Winchester."

Winchester: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is House Bill 1033...is the appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Administrative Services. I move that we 'do adopt' Conference Committee Report #1 on House Bill 1033."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Totten."

Totten: "Would the Sponsor.....Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield?"



July 1, 1977

153.

Winchester: "Yes."

Totten: "Could you tell us how much this appropriation is over last year's budget; and what the Conference Committee did, did they restore the Illinois Information Services to this budget?"

Winchester: "The Illinois information Service has been restored but there is a cut, \$16,000 in the appropriation, from the original appropriation for I.I.S. of \$368,000."

Totten: "Okay. Is the remaining \$345,000 or how much more for Illinois Information Service is that from last year?"

Winchester: "There is now, Don, \$347,000 to fund I.I.S., a cut of \$16,000 from the original request."

Totten: "All right. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan, 1033 it is."

Ryan: "1033? Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Yeah. Are you ready for the question? The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House adopt the First Committee....Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1033. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. James Houlihan, you've just been nominated by Holewinski, on the Conference Committee. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 113 'aye' and 12 'no', and the House does concur in the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1033, by the three-fifths Constitutional Majority. We're about ready to turn off the lights in the TV. The Members are all parboiled. Okay, give her a wrap-up on this. Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Second Conference Committee on House Bill 689, of which I am a Member, Caldwell, Holewinski, Abramson and Peters, will meet in RoomOops, I'm sorry, Houlihan, Jim Houlihan has replaced Holewinski.will meet in Room 400 immediately. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "The Order of Conference Committee Reports



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

appears House Bill 1736, Representative Polk."

Polk: "Mr. Speaker....House Bill 1736 went to Conference Committee. We have all ten signatures. The....We requested the House concur in Senate Amendment 1,2,3,5 and 6. The Senate then, in fact, recedes from Senate Amendment #4. I would move 'do pass' Senate Bill 1736."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Totten."

Totten: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Polk: "Certainly."

Totten: "What was Senate Amendment #4?"

Polk: "That cut out the \$290,000 for CETA funds for the House of Representatives."

Totten: "CETA funds for the House of Representatives?"

Polk: "That's correct."

Totten: "So that's been restored back into the Bill?"

Polk: "That's correct."

Totten: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House do adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1736. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Representative Tipsword."

Tipsword: Take the vote. I have an inquiry of the Chair."

Speaker Redmond: "The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's ^(this is what I heard) 117 'aye' and 112 'no' and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1736. Representative Tipsword."

Tipsword: "I just wanted to inquire of the Chair, if we are going to be here as we obviously are, and going to be taking up some very importantissues, such as the one we heard just a few minutes ago. We have so many Members absent, I wonder if the Chair might not consider making some arrangements to persuade the full complement of our Membership to be here with us?"

Speaker Redmond: "You tell me what I should do and I'll do it."



Tipsword: "The same things they do when the quorum's not here."

Speaker Redmond: "What did you say?"

Tipsword: "The same things they do when the quorum is not here, sometimes."

Speaker Redmond: "We will take that under advisement. Where do we go? Where are these, do you know? Messages from the Senate."

Clerk O'Brien: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has accepted the Governor's ...specific... recommendation for a change to a Bill of the following title, the acceptance of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the House, to wit, Senate Bill 1143. Action taken by the Senate June 30, 1977, Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendment to a Bill of the following title, Senate Bill 624, action taken by the Senate, June 30, 1977, Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to adopt the attached First Conference Committee to Senate Bill 1039. Action taken by the Senate July 1, 1977, Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary, Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to adopt the Conference Committee to Senate Bill 1142, House Bill 2179, House Bill 845, House Bill 341, and House Bill 1105, action taken by the Senate, July 1, 1977, Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary, Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has ceded the request for



a Second Conference Committee to House Bill 1829, and House Bill 1109 and First Conference Committee to Senate Bill 152 and Senate Bill 868. Action taken by the Senate July 1, 1977, Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has adopted Conference Committee to House Bill 1216, House Bill 1217, House Bill 908, House Bill 840, House Bill 364, House Bill 2402, Senate Bill 502, Senate Bill 926, Senate Bill 1301, House Bill 2355, House Bill 245, Senate Bill 1203 and Senate Bill 29, adopted by the Senate by a three-fifths vote, July 1, 1977, Kenneth Wright, Secretary."

Speaker Redmond: "Ted Lechowicz, will you come up here?"

Lechowicz in the Chair.....

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Garmisa, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Garmisa: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would ask for leave to suspend Rule 68 (e), with respect to Senate Bill 1039."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman moves that the Attendance Roll Call be used to suspend 68 (e), on Senate Bill.... what?"

Garmisa: "1039."

Speaker Lechowicz: "1039. Any objection? Hearing none, thesuspended. Proceed. Hi, Don. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Totten."

Totten: "Well, I don't want to object but I'd like to know for what reason he wants to suspend it on that Bill."

Garmisa: "Because when they brought this for a vote for concurrence in the Senate they didn't have enough Bodies on the floor and they didn't appoint a Second Conference Committee. That way we'll keep this alive for the fall and at that time I hope to have it in a Conference Committee."



Speaker Lechowicz: "1039. The motion is to suspend the rule? 68 (e). Hearing no objection, it's suspended. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Garmisa. Do you have another motion? Mr. Garmisa, is that a House Bill or a Senate Bill?"

Garmisa: "Senate Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Senate Bill."

Garmisa: "1039."

Speaker Lechowicz: "And your motion has prevailed. Now what do you want to do?"

Garmisa: "I move to suspend Rule 68 (e)....."

Speaker Lechowicz: "No. That's already been done. Okay. Fine. Just leave it there, I guess. Just keeps it alive over the summer. On the Order of Amendatory Vetoes, on the regular Calendar, Senate Bill 1143. The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Daniels."

Daniels: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 1143 passed out of the House of Representatives on a vote of 152 to nothing. It's the Bill dealing with the criminal defense of insanity. And similar in form, in fact, identical in form to Senate Bill 611....House Bill 611, which recently passed. Senate Bill 1143 is Sponsored by myself and Representatives Mugalian, Lauer, Darrow and Getty. And as a result of a lot of work, went to the Governor's Office and he correctly issued an Amendatory Veto, which improves the Bill, dealing with a subject of an early release and a court hearing connected with that and the fact that when the early release comes up there will be use of a psychiatrist, independent of the Department of Mental Health. I would move to accept the Amendatory Veto of the Governor."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The question is, shall the House accept the Amendatory Veto on Senate Bill 1143? All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed will vote 'nay'."



This matter takes 107 votes because of the effective date. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 121 'ayes', no 'nays', and none recorded as 'present'. This motion having received the Constitutional Majority prevails and the House accepts the Governor's specific recommendation for change regarding Senate Bill 1143, by adoption of the Amendment.House Bill 1406, Mr. Hanahan..... He's not on here. Mr. Greiman, is he here? Want on to Senate Bill 152? All right, he is now. The Lady from Lake, Mrs. Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I happened to look up in the balcony and we have a very distinguished visitor here. Our former Director of Transportation, who is now the Director of the FEA... I think it is, Langhorne Bond. FEA...Nice to see him. I thought I heard Senator Knuppel, earlier, calling him, across the Hall the hall."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Senate Bill 152. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Greiman."

Greiman: "Move to suspend the ...Rule 68, with respect to Senate Bill 152."

Speaker Lechowicz: "On that, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Totten."

Totten: "Recognize Mr. Daniels."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Who? Mr. Daniels."

Daniels: "Would the Gentleman explain the reasons for his motion?"

Greiman: "Yeah. There's some substantive matters in the Bill that have nothing to do with Unemployment Compensation, frankly and I'd like them to be saved.....at this late hour. We've worked, for example; Administrative Procedure Study Commission has a part of that which makes the Bill applicable to the Department of Labor. It's a very important Bill. We'd like not to lose that for two years. We've worked very hard for that there. The



original Bill is...the substance of that Bill is also an important Bill to one of the Legislative Districts. So that as well as to the responsibility that the Department of Labor has to this House...this Legislature, with respect to opening new offices. I mean....the Unemployment Compensation aspects of the Bill were tagged on ... later, that's all."

Daniels: "Mr. Speaker if the Gentleman has requested a Second Conference Committee, we could have worked those various points out, I believe, and what he refers to. And because of the fact that he chose to put this matter on Postponed Consideration and not request a Second Conference Committee, I think the motion is untimely and in bad faith. Frankly, as a Member of this side and a Member of the Conference Committee, I object to it. Now if he wants to request a Second Conference Committee, we'll sit down and talk about it."

Greiman: "Well, you know, I would move for a second one, you know, but I don't know whether we're going to make it. frankly, and we put it on Postponed, not as a matter of bad faith, which is always easy to charge, Mr. Daniels, but because we hope that maybe somebody over on that side of the aisle will take a few minutes and listen. Then maybe we could turn it around. Not out of bad faith, Sir. It didn't work out that way, but, ...you know, then I withdraw the bad faith and..."

Daniels: "You're going to request for a Second Conference Committee? You want to talk about administrative procedures, we'll be happy to discuss it with you."

Greiman: "Well,you know..... Yes, I just made the motion forto, not accept the Conference Committee #1 and to appoint a Second Conference Committee."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman has moved that the House do not accept Conference Committee #1 and asked for a Second Conference Committee. All in favor say 'aye',



'aye'; all opposedThe 'ayes' have it...The House now asks for a Second Conference Committee to be formed. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Greiman."

Greiman: "I ask that we suspend the rules under Rule 68 (e)."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Now the Gentleman asks that the Rules be suspended under 68 (e)...on that, after the Second Conference Committee has been requested. Mr. Daniels."

Daniels: "I think, before he makes that motion, I'd like to have the Second Conference Committee. Otherwise, we'll object to the motion."

Greiman: "Well, the whole thing may die. It's not....

You have a lot of votes over there and you know."

Daniels: "Mr. Wikoff and I.....Right this minute. Let's go upstairs....call it....we'll go ...with you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Adeline, relax, will you?"

Greiman: "Well, you know, obviously, I'm not sure. Mr. Speaker, what votes do I need for that? What number of votes?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "89 solid 'aye' votes. Good luck."

Greiman: "I feel that there's not 89 votes out there. Something tells me that."

Speaker Lechowicz: "There's 89 votes out there, I don't know which way they are going."

Greiman: "Well, you know, I'm not sure how that Committee getsgets its work done, Mr. Daniels. I'm really not sure how we get.....what happens if we adjourn now, at this point? Well, I don't have any other alternative, I guess."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "You're welcome."

Totten: "Point of inquiry. A Second Conference Committee would go where on the Calendar, seeing this was under Consideration Postponed?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Directly underneath where it's appearing now."



Totten: "Consideration Postponed?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "No. It's on the Second Conference Committee. Give the guy a break. Give him another 45 minutes. What's the matter with you?"

Totten: "Just an inquiry of the Chair. Where does it go? If we're taking it off Consideration Postponed, does it go back there?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "It's been put into a Second Conference Committee, all the Gentleman is asking is to suspend 68 (e), so he has more than 45 minutes. That's all he's asking. I don't see why you would object to that. You're still going to have to vote either to defeat it or pass it. Hearing no objections, that's where it's at. Let's go. Yeah, right. The Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Hanahan, on 1406."

Hanahan: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I'd move to suspend Rule 68 (e) to ...for the purposes of having this Bill kept alive for the Fall Session."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Simms. Let's hear from somebody new. I'll get to you Elmer."

Simms: "Representative Hanahan, you're having that referred back to Committee?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Hanahan. It's a House Bill."

Hanahan: "I intend to keep this Bill alive. The effective date don't take place until October and I'll have it voted on in October when we have a full House."

Simms: "But you're going to keep it on the Calendar rather than send it back to Committee?"

Hanahan: "It doesn't matter, does it, really?"

Simms: "Well, you know, I think that Conference Committee has been...is an area that probably shouldn't be sent back to the Labor Committee because it has had considerable discussion and looking at the Conference Committee, I note that there are"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Excuse me, Mr. Simms...Excuse me."



Simms: "Yes, Sir."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Will all unauthorized personnel remove themselves from the floor? And the camera lights are off, the Membership is tired, and they put in a good day's work, please proceed, Mr. Simms."

Simms: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. And there are four signatures on the report and there are four Democratic signatures House Members and there's only ...no Republican signatures on the Report. There should be at least two Republicans on the Conference Committee."

Hanahan: "I had nothing to do with it. I was not a Member of the Conference Committee. Even though my signature was on it, it was put on by error."

Simms: "I see. Well, would you take this out of the record?"

Hanahan: "Is there an objection? I mean, I don't see the need to object because the Bill is going to stay alive. I had a commitment that the Bill would receive the sufficient votes to pass to the Governor. The Bill has already passed this House, I recommitted it back here. I mean, if there is any problem with it I don't understand the lack of enthusiasm to keep everyone's word and keep it alive. I'm just going to put in Committee until October because the effective date is...."

Simms: "It's going back to Committee then?"

Hanahan: "It doesn't matter. Wherever you'd like."

Simms: "If it goes back to Committee I have no objection."

Hanahan: "Fine. I don't think I'll have too much trouble getting it out."

Simms: "I don't think so either."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any other discussion...on this Bill?
The Lady from Lake, Mrs. Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Do I understand, Mr. Speaker, from Mr. Hanahan, that he is putting it in Interim Study Committee until October?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "No. Back into the Labor and Industry



Committee."

Geo-Karis: "You're putting it back into the Labor Committee? Mr. Simms, Simms..... Is that all right with you, to put it in October? I'd like to hear it....I want to point out something. The reason the two Republican signatures were not on, I talked to both Republicans, it was because they did not agree with the report, they did not agree with theAmendment that was taken off..."

Hanahan: "What's that got to do with the request that's before the House?"

Geo-Karis: "...taken off by you, that's what it's got to do with it. Simms, what do you want to do? Do it."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there any objection? Use the Attendance Roll Call. Mr. Totten."

Totten: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I don't know by what precedent we're putting Conference Committees...keeping them alive. I don't remember us ever doing it by this particular means. I don't think it's a good practice. If the Gentleman would like to keep his Bill alive, he can do what other Members have done by having a Second Conference Committee created and move the Bill to the Interim Study Calendar for which ...from which it came... and that's the way we can keep it alive."

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker. Mr. Totten, I've been extremely tied up in the last two days working on education. Had I not been involved in a matter that we had just voted on, this Bill would have been long gone to the Governor. This Bill was actually supported by both labor and management. I returned this Bill to the House only because I wanted to save an embarrassment from the Senate for having made a mistake on an Amendment that would have been very very flattering to me. I personally brought the Bill back after two days of it being gone. I re-committed it here. If I wanted to embarrass everyone on



having adopted this Bill, you would have been surprised what it done. But, in good conscience, and with a Christian heart I brought this Bill back. I don't like what happened to me. After that, it was not your fault or most of the Members of this House. I undertook a task on education that removed me from the floor of the House for the last two days. I did not have the opportunity to have the Bill voted upon....in the last two days. I have no fear of passing this Bill. Industry and labor has joined in support. Representative Jones, if he is here, could stand up and tell you what happened here. I did this for Representative Jones, one of your colleagues on your side of the aisle....what happened here. I did this for Representative Jones. I'm asking now, just to keep the Bill alive so that in the fall when everyone is here and we all have our wits about us we could vote intelligently on this issue. It's not a bad issue, it is certainly not something that you could be ashamed of in handling in the fall. I did not sign this Conference Committee Report as a Member. Somebody asked me to sign it and Representative Simms was upset because my name was on it. I was Sponsor of the Bill and somebody gave it to me to sign and it did mean.. There's Representative Brummer, Representative Mugalian, and Representative Giorgi were the three Democratic House Members.....my signature went on there in error and I apologize for that."

Totten: "Representative Hanahan, I'm not questioning the contents of the Bill. I'm questioning the procedure by which we're keeping it alive. No, I'm not asking for the Conference Committee to go to Committee, I'm asking for the Bill to be returned to Committee."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Conti."

Conti: "Mr. Speaker, I did intend to get up and talk on this Bill but before we go on with this Bill I would like to



know what the disposition of Greiman's Bill, 152, is?

I would like your ruling on what you did with 152?"

Greiman: "Put it back in Committee....for fall."

Conti: "I'm not asking Mr. Greiman, I'm asking what you did with it?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "It's in a Second Conference Committee."

Conti: "It's in a Second Conference Committee?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Yes. Sir."

Conti: "All right. You didn't return it to Interim Study?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "No. Sir."

Conti: "All right. Now the thing that I'm questioning...the thing that I'm questioning are the procedures that we're following here and the same thing that Don Totten and everybody else is questioning; these Bills that are not being concurred, from the Conference Committee, why we're trying to keep them alive? And if we're trying to do that we're setting a dangerous precedent here tonight for somebody to start calling a quorum."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Call the quorum."

Conti: "We don't want to call a quorum. We want to go on with the business, but we want a proper ruling on these Bills."

Speaker Lechowicz: "I just gave you your answer."

Conti: "Representative Lechowicz, can you send the Conference Committee back toah...Committee?"

Hanahan: "To clear this up, I ask for dispensation of the rule, so that the Bill would then be moved...the Bill, not the Conference Committee Report, be moved back to the Committee on Labor and Industry."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Excuse me, Mr. Hanahan. I believe..."

Hanahan: "I ask for unanimous consent? I'm not trying to fool anyone I'm trying to put it back in a good position so everyone could honorably vote on this in the fall."

Conti: "But don't you have to nonconcur with the Senate's Amendments before you can do that, Tom? I wasn't raising the question on 1406 as much as I was on 152."



Hanahan: "Yes...Yes...I'd have to get the Parliamentarian to give me a ruling on that and I'll do ..."

Conti: "All right."

Hanahan: "...and I'll do whatever he recommends to keep the Bill alive."

Conti: "Dave can you...er...Mr. Speaker, can you have your Parliamentarian give you a ruling on that? Whatever?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "For the Parliamentarian, restate the question. Hanahan."

Conti: "You can't send the Conference Committee ..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Hanahan."

Conti: "All right."

Hanahan: "Yes. Sir. The question is, if I suspend Rule 68 (e), what does that do with the Bill, the Conference Committee Report, does that take the Conference Committee Report off of the Bill, so that I can send the Bill to the ... ah..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "It does not."

Hanahan: "Okay. What...What...Could you give me a ruling of what I should do in order to put this Bill in the Labor and Industry Committee? I'm sure the Parliamentarian may remember that this is the Bill that passed out of the House and was recommitted back here to the floor of the House and it went to another Conference Committee..."

Conti: "His motion is to nonconcur."

Unknown: "Is that right?"

Conti: "Whatever he says."

Speaker Lechowicz: "You would need a Conference Committee Report."

Hanahan: "I thought we did have that."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Wait a minute."

Hanahan: "We have a Conference Committee Report, a revised one, that ..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Are you going to listen for a minute or... I'm trying to tell you what he's saying. The Bill is now in Conference, the Report is pending, both Houses have



July 1, 1977

167.

passed the Bill in one form or another and both Houses would have to agree, by adoption of a report, to recommit the Bill to one of our standing Committees. We have done that on two Bills."

Conti: "He has to move, first, not to concur."

Hanahan: "Well, then, I move not to concur with Conference Committee Report #1 on House Bill 1406."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Fine. The Gentleman has moved not to concur with the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1406."

Hanahan: "...ask for the Attendance Roll Call to be used..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "...All in favor vote 'aye'...all in favor signify by saying 'aye', 'aye', all opposed,...Prevails. The Gentleman now asks for a Second Conference Committee. Any objections? Hearing none. A Second Conference Committee will be called."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, point of order. Point of order... I.."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Excuse me, Ma'am. The Lady from Lake, Mrs. Geo-Karis, state your point."

Geo-Karis: "...Mr. Speaker, I believe the Gentleman said there was one Conference Report on this Bill, then he said there was a corrected Conference Report. Now, is this a Third Conference Report that's coming?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "No Ma'am, it's a Second one."

Geo-Karis: "It's a Third, that's what I'm trying to say. He told me there was a corrected Conference Report. He said it right a few minutes ago."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Corrected, First..."

Geo-Karis: "It's a revised... In other words, if it's a revised Conference Report....I have one here that says, 'First Conference Committee Report'. We've never had a revised Conference Committee Report passed out to us. This is what I'm talking about. I'm not passing out....I got.. witnesses... We never got it. That's what I'm telling you."

Unknown: "There it is, right next to you."

GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS
REPRESENTATIVES



Geo-Karis: "It must have just came through now."

Unknown: "All it adds is the effective date."

Geo-Karis: "Well, this is a Second one."

Unknown: "Revised, First."

Geo-Karis: "A point of parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Speaker.
point of parliamentary inquiry."

Speaker Lechowicz: "What's your point, Ma'am?"

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, I don't mean to belabor the point, but there was one Conference Report, I just say another one that says, 'Revised First Conference Report', and my point of Parliamentary Inquiry is this to the Parliamentarian. How can you have a revised Conference Report without having a Second Conference Committee meeting?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Very easily Ma'am. It was done with leave, and the reason why it was revised is that the effective date was not in the First Committee Report and we've been doing it with all of them."

Geo-Karis: "All right. Now what is the.."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Thank you for bringing it to our attention."

Geo-Karis: "Thank you, Sir."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from St. Clair, Mr. Flinn."

Flinn: "Mr. Speaker, I don't want to add to the confusion here, but since it takes 107 votes to accept a Conference Committee Report, would it not be a fact, Representative Hanahan's Bill would be dead if the Second Conference Committee Report was not accepted?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Well, may I also point out to you that that is a question of conjecture. The Chair cannot question how the Membership is going to vote, but in turn we do not have joint rules of the House and the Senate and in turn I would imagine that if the issue is of vital importance, we could always come out with a Committee Bill. What's the next Bill? Let's go. You're right."

Tuerk: "Representative Schlickman isn't here so nobody has



given a report on the baseball game tonight, have they?"
Speaker Lechowicz: "The report is bad."

Tuerk: "Three to one, Cardinals."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Rock Island, Mr. Darrow."

Darrow: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I received a considerable amount of mail concerning a legislative matter. It's been on the Calendar for weeks now. I wish we could vote it up or down. I wish we could hear Representative Tuerk's motion on Senate Bill 600 so that we could have that resolved once and for all. I think this would be an appropriate time. Do you plan on calling that this evening?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Forget it. Sit down. On the Order of Concurrence and Nonconcurrence is there any Sponsor request to have their Bill called? Mr. O'Brien, on 1423? Out. Mrs. Chapman, 1384. Take it out? Mr. Simms,.... Mr. Simms, House Bill 363, do you want to go on that? No! Mr. Yourell? No. Mr. Telcser, Art Telcser, no. Senate Bill 116, Mr. Terzich? Terzich? Mr. Kane on 937? No? 1046, no. On Supplemental Calendar #4, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kosinski, on Senate Bill 624."

Kosinski: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move to recede from House Amendment #1 on Senate Bill 624. This was the Bill that outlawed taking certain contraband into prisons, including alcohol, barbituates, hypodermic needles, marijuana, guns, knives and so forth. The House Amendment wanted to exclude alcohol, the Senate wouldn't buy that. We wish to recede from that Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there an effective date clause in the Bill? Senate Bill 624. Clerk, do you want to pass the Bill up here? The Digest doesn't show an effective date, Mr. Kosinski, nor it's not in the message. I can't find an effective date. Take it out of the record."



You got a couple of minutes. Take it out of the record for a moment, Mr. Kosinski? Take it out of the record, please take it out of the record. The Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Giorgi, on a motion to adjourn. to the die-hards. Mr. Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, for the purpose of an announcement."

Speaker Lechowicz: "What is it?"

Giorgi: "In the gallery to my left is the Chairman of the Sangamon County Board, Don Gibbs, and we would like to recognize Don Gibbs."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Welcome, welcome to Springfield, Mr. Gibbs."

Giorgi: "Don Gibbs."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Good luck. Anyone else want to...want any introductions? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bowman. Welcome as well."

Bowman: "Mr. Speaker, I was off the floor this evening and.... when one of the Members of this Body did an impersonation of me. The next time we go to the Order of Impersonations, I would like to be recognized. I think I could do a great impersonation of Representative Lechowicz and"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Let's go to Senate Bill 624...Tired... The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kosinski, Senate Bill 624."

Kosinski: "Mr. Speaker, I find no effective date. I renew my motion to recede from House Amendment #1."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman moves that the House do recede to Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 624. Is there any discussion? On this issue, it's final passage will require 89 votes. All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'nay'. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "Why don't we hold this, Mr. Speaker, there's no particular rush. It won't become effective until July 1, 1978. We can really give this a good going over before then."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman



from Cook, Mr. Kosinski."

Kosinski: "Well, Mr. Speaker, it's actually no big deal. I'm certain the Governor will want to put a datean effective date on this Bill. It's requested by the corrections department. They don't want guns and marijuana, and stuff....contraband moved into these jails for the prisoners. It's only causing problems. I think the legislation is certainly needed. There's no question about that. So....and the Governor recognizes it being needed. I'm sure he'll put an effective date on it. I move...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 112 'ayes', one 'nay', 2 recorded as 'present', and the House recedes from Amendment #1 to Sentae Bill 624, and this Bill having received the three-fifths Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. I'm interested in that comment. In fact, maybe we'll go back to Mr. Bowman. Mr. Bowman."

Bowman: "Don't tell me we're on the Order of Impersonations."

Speaker Lechowicz: "I hope not. Okay, fine. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Boucek."

Boucek: "Mr. Speaker, looking around at all of these empty chairs, I think our precinct captains went home for the night. Victory has been won. I move that we adjourn."

Speaker Lechowicz: "You weren't recognized for that, but I'll tell you, I'm ready. Let's stand at ease for about five minutes, huh?..... (At ease...)"

The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kozubowski."

Kozubowski: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would ask leave of the House to suspend Rule 68 (d) and 68 (e) for another 24 hours so that the House may continue."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman asks the House to suspend Rule 68 (d) and (e) so the House may continue its operation for

an additional 24 hours. It's a very critical motion. The Gentleman asks leave to use the Attendance Roll Call. Hearing no objection, it's so recorded. What else? The House will stand at ease for ten minutes. Everybody get up and stretch, collapse. (At ease...)

The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Deuster, while we're at ease."

Deuster: "While we're at ease, as a matter of personal privilege and probably information to a lot of Members who are in the same boat. Today, a Lady who didn't identify herself, called my office in Mundoline, Illinois, and advised my Secretary that never again, ever, would she vote for me. And, the reason, she told my Secretary was that she read in the newspaper today, in Chicago, that the Regional Transportation Authority Board had imposed a gas tax and she noticed that I did not vote against it. I'm sure that there are many Members of this House who have constituents, similarly inclined, who haven't been courteous enough to call their offices, as my constituent did, although she didn't leave her name, but to indicate to us that she would never again vote for any of us because of that gas tax. I'm frustrated because I would like to write that lady a letter and explain my vote..... and explain my absence and indicate that I am not a member of the RTA Board, and that I hope that the news-media can send this message out that maybe there's lots of other ladies and gentlemen who would like to reach us and would like to leave their name so that we can defend ourselves, because I think there's a great need for defense and I appreciate this idle hour at which I could send out that plea for help and that plea for information and to alert the other Members to watch out for ladies like this. Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Deuster, do I understand you correctly, that you ask leave of this Body to be recorded as 'aye'?"



on the gas tax? Hearing no objection...Thou said it....
We'll send her a copy of the Roll Call.....To be posted
on the door to your office.....with an appropriate sign,
above and below.....Another comment, Mr. Deuster?"

Deuster: "Having voted on the prevailing side, I move to re-
consider the vote by which the gas tax was adopted."

Speaker Lechowicz: "I'm sorry. It's out of this Chamber, it's
too late. I don't know if you remember then or not.
Any other comments on the RTA or the gas tax or the ...
How about a Bill? Does anyone want to have one of their
Bills called? That Gentleman has already requested that that
item not be considered this evening. I would imagine that
you're probably in the same predicament as I am, every-
thing is packed in the car and ready to go, but I don't
know where we're going. Don, why don't you sit down
and relax?The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Vitek."

Vitek: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the
hour right now is about 11:47 according to the Bohemian
time and I want the record to show that John M. Vitek, of
the 23rd District is still holding tight."

Speaker Lechowicz: "As normal. The Lady from Cook, Mrs.
Chapman."

Chapman: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, the three
Resolutions that I have might take up some time and
fill in a few moments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Excuse me, Ma'am. Could you tell me what
Calendar they are on?"

Chapman: "They were introduced today..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Along with the Resolutions?"

Chapman: "Yes."

Speaker Lechowicz: "All right. What's the number."

Chapman: "416, 417 and 418."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Do you want to consider them as a package?"

Chapman: "I think that's an excellent idea because all three
of them commend three of our State Legislators for con-



tributions they have made."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question....put the thing up there. House Resolution 416, 417 and 418 and Ma'am, I've been informed by the Clerk that they have already been adopted. But if you want to discuss them, it's fine with me."

Chapman: "I'd love to have my vote added, if they've been adopted already."

Speaker Lechowicz: "They already wereThey've been adopted Ma'am."

Chapman: "Very good. Thank you. How could they be adopted?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Excuse me. There's a conference in the Clerk's office whether they have been adopted or not."

Chapman: "I was under the impression they had not been adopted and I would like to move for the"

Speaker Lechowicz: "I think we should go home and go to bed."

Chapman: "I move ...I move for immediate adoption of House Resolution 416, 417 and 418."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Ma'am, you've got to move to suspend 41 (a) and I think you're going to have objections. I believe you've filed these three Resolutions two days ago..."

Chapman: "No, they were filed today, Mr. Lechowicz."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Schneider."

Schneider: "Gene, did you say there are three Resolutions commending Legislators for the work they've done? I can't think of three. I want to know who they are."

Chapman: "Representative Terzich, Senator Knuppel and former Representative Brinkmeier."

Schneider: "Terzich, for doing what?"

Chapman: "Mr. Giorgi, I think, could clear up the question about thewhen these Resolutions were proposed if somebody doubts my veracity."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, she placed three Resolutions on the Clerk's desk and she'd like to suspend the necessary rules for



July 1, 1977

175.

the immediate adoption of three Resolutions. She wants to commend three Legislators. That's all the Lady wants to do. That's all she wants to do."

Speaker Lechowicz: "On that, is there any discussion? On the suspension of the Rule for the immediate consideration of the three fine Resolutions. The Lady asked for the ...Attendance Roll Call?...Gene, this is not the time. The Lady still want to persist in the motion?"

Chapman: "Do I understand that leave has been given for suspension and now we're at the point of..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "I'm sorry, Ma'am, leave has not been given. And I..."

Chapman: "I can't imagine that there's any Legislator here who wouldn't want to commend Representative Robert M. Terzich, the Illinois Law Revision Commission. If there is some question about this I suppose I better withdraw this for a more favorable time."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Kane, Mr. Waddell."

Waddell: "If she is going to withdraw it, I won't explain it. Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady has withdrawn the three Resolutions. Anyone else have a Bill they want to have called? A Conference Committee Report; a Resolution; ...We'll stand at ease for an additional ten minutes and for additional comments. (At ease...)

"Tomato" Ryan: (Does imitation of Mohammed Ali.....)

Speaker Redmond: "Representative O'Brien, for what purpose do you arise?"

O'Brien: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, can you tell me what day and what time it is, please? Mr. Speaker, the reason I rise is, I'd like to know is whether or not this is another Legislative Day and if we're going to have a Roll Call?"

Speaker Redmond: "I don't know yet."

O'Brien: "Okay. No Verified Roll Call, just an Attendance



Roll Call."

(Harmonize on several songs.)

(Play 'You Are My Sunshine' on kazoo...)

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,

I was just informed by one of your old colleagues that you have an excellent Irish Tenor. And I think it would be nice if you would start us in one of the Irish songs because if you don't we're all going to fall asleep or die on you.....get the results."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Show me the way to go home, I'm tired and I want to go to bed, I had a little drink about an hour ago and it's gone right to my head; Wherever I may roam,....

(Several join in and harmonize..)

On land or sea or

Hear me singing my song,

Show me the way to go home."

Geo-Karis: "When Irish eyes are smiling....everybody for the Speaker, now.....Sure they steal your heart away... Marovitz, you're going to get it. They want to hear you sing, why don't you accommodate them? Matijevich is objecting to the introduction. He hasn't changed a bit. Not again."

Speaker Redmond: "I don't know whether I dare try....

'The pale moon was rising above the green mountain,
The sun was declining beneath the blue sea;
As I strolled with my love to the pure crystal fountain,
That stands in the beautiful Vale of Tralee.
She was lovely and fair as the rose of the summer,
But was not her beauty alone that won me,
I know it 'twas the truth in her eye ever dawning,
That made me love Mary, the Rose of Tralee."

Geo-Karis: "A special request. Are we ready, Michael. I just took back my request. Madigan. We want Madigan. We want



Madigan."

(Play the kazoo again.)

Speaker Redmond: "Messages from the Senate."

Clerk O'Brien: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has adopted Conference Committee on House Bill 1109, House Bill 1222, Senate Bill 1342, House Bill 2032, adopted by the Senate July 1, 1977, by a three-fifths vote, Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House in the adoption of House Joint Resolution 29, concurred in by the Senate, July 1, 1977, Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to adopt Conference Committee and requests a Second Conference Committee on House Bill 1406, on House Bill 2030, action taken by the Senate July 1, 1977, Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has adopted the following Conference Committees on Senate Bill 596, House Bill 1508, Senate Bill 1025, House Bill 341, House Bill 1829, and Senate Bill 345, adopted by the Senate by a three-fifths vote, July 1, 1977, Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has acceded to a request for a Second Conference Committee on House Bill 52, and directed to inform the House the Senate has refused to adopt the First Conference Committee and requests a Second on House Bill 933. Action taken by the Senate July 1, 1977, Kenneth Wright, Secretary."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Brummet."

Brummet: "Mr. Speaker, I come from Cardinal territory and I'm used to hearing the ball scores..."

Speaker Redmond: "Turn him off..."

Brummet: "...and I'm used to hearing the ball scores up here. Would you ask Representative O'Brien what the..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Steczko."

Steczko: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. There is no joy in Mudville, the mighty Cubies have struck out. The score was St. Louis 3, the Cubs 1. We're still six and a half games ahead and I should probably also mention too that..... Philadelphia beat Pittsburgh in 14 innings and the magic number now is still 84. But since this may or may not be our last night here, when we come back on October 24th, the Chicago Cubs will be the World Champion, so we're not worried about it. We can take a setback."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kempiners, for what purpose do you arise?"

Kempiners: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would ask leave of the House or use the Attendance Roll Call of the House to suspend Rule 68 (e) and any other appropriate rule to place the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 735 on the Fall Calendar so that this important legislation could be considered when we come back in October."

Speaker Redmond: "Does the Gentleman have leave? Hearing no objection, leave is granted. Representative James Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, could the Gentleman explain what Senate Bill 735 is before he asks release?"

Kempiners: "Yeah, Representative Houlihan, Senate Bill 735 would put the Prosecutor's Advisory...or the Appellate Prosecutor's Service, which presently is operated by the State's Attorneys Association of Illinois, with an I.L.E.C. grant, into the Prosecutor's Advisory Council. The



I.L.E.C. grant is lasting and there has to be some state agency pick up this service."

Houlihan, J.: "Representative Kempiners, would you amend your motion to include Senate Bill 152?"

Kempiners: "I don't think that's appropriate, Representative Houlihan. And quite frankly I've been pushed around on this Bill for three days and if you want to take the responsibility for lapsing this type of a program, you can take that responsibility, but I don't think I want to be hassled anymore on this. Do what you want to, Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan, J.: "Representative Kempiners, my sincere apologies for having pushed you around. I'm sorry, I think that's unfortunate, but you'll learn not to stay on that side of the aisle very long after getting pushed around three or four days, but I think that's a legitimate request."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Tom Hanahan."

Hanahan, Tom: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like leave to suspend the appropriate rule for 1406 to be placed on that Fall Calendar in the same position."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hanahan ...Representative Daniels."

Hanahan: "Yes, in his motion, leave for the same Roll Call on Representative Kempiner's motion."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Daniels,....Representative Bennett."

Daniels: "Mr. Speaker, we have a motion dealing with the Appellate Prosecutor's Advisory Council, is that correct, Representative Kempiners? On your motion? And then there was a question asked, on Senate Bill 152, which to advise Representative Houlihan, has recently been worked out as far as the House is concerned, on the Second Conference Committee, as I was confident it would be, so I think that subject can be dropped. Now, wait, here's a Gentleman running....that has not been worked



out, so we wish to kill that Bill. And now we have a motion on House Bill 1406, to place..."

Hanahan, Tom: "Second Conference Committee..."

Daniels: "Second Conference Committee....to be placed on the Fall Calendar, we would object to that one."

Hanahan, Tom: "Are you objecting to them all?"

Daniels: "If you want to object to Representative Kempiners, you have the right to do it."

Hanahan, Tom: "Well, that'll be it, then, the same objection to all Bills."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kempiners' Bill has already... the motion carried, there were no objections and that has...Yes. There was no request for a Roll Call. Representative James Houlihan."

Houlihan, J.: "Mr. Speaker, I realize I was pretty quiet and passive and that you might not have recognized me but I thought I'd asked him to explain what the Bill contained, before we gave him leave. And when he explained what the Bill was, I asked about 152."

Speaker Redmond: "My memory is that I asked if he had leave, and there was no objection to him using the Attendance Roll Call to place 735 in Conference...First Conference Committee Report in the Committee...Interim Study, wasn't it?"

Houlihan, J.: "No, on the Fall Calendar."

Speaker Redmond: "The Fall Calendar and there was no objection, and then later on, after that went in, then you asked for an explanation. It already had carried without objection, was my memory of it. Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan, Tom: "Mr. Speaker, I had asked for an Amendment to that motion....and I thought it was timely, to amend my request at the time."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, I think..."

Hanahan, Tom: "Having voted on the prevailing side, then I move to reconsider the leave that was granted. Unanimous



consent being given, I then...Then I must have voted on the prevailing side so therefore I move to reconsider."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hanahan, moves, having voted on the prevailing side, to reconsider the vote by which Representative Kempiners' motion with respect to Senate Bill 735, carried. Representative Ewing, for what purpose do you arise?"

Ewing: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Mr.Representative Kempiners' Motion, I think, deals with a subject which should be very close to all of our hearts, that of law and order. I believe..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hanahan, for what purpose do you arise?"

Hanahan: "Point of order. Is the Gentleman speaking on my motion?"

Speaker Redmond: "I don't think you are....I'm going to put Representative Hanahan's motion. Those in favor of the motion....Representative Conti."

Conti: "I raised this point once before and I think it's still germane to the same point I raised before. His motion, if he's going to make a motion, before he makes this one he has to make the motion not to concur with the Conference Committee and then if he wants to make that motion then he'd be in order, but otherwise he can't do that."

Speaker Redmond: "Would you repeat that, Representative Conti? The Parliamentarian was disturbed temporarily."

Conti: "Dave....Mr. Parliamentarian, Mr. Speaker, it was brought out before, that if he wants this Bill to still remain alive he must make the motion for this House not to concur with the Conference Committee and he must get leave from this House to do that first, before he can put this back on the Calendar for the fall. We must have a vote on that first."

Speaker Redmond: "I'm advised...the Parliamentarian is of the



opinion that the motion of Representative Hanahan, upon which that ruling had been made, is entirely different from the Motion that Representative Kempiners put. What was thought there, evidently was to take it out and put it back into a Committee which would have required the procedure which you recommended. But the procedure recommended byer ..requested by Representative Hanahan is in order. The question is, having voted on the prevailing side....Representative Ewing."

Ewing: "I move that motion lay on the table."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hanahan had moved that the vote by which the rule was suspended ...Representative Anderson."

Anderson: "The original motion called for the attendance leave to use the Attendance Roll Call....Since this is a new legislative day, I don't think we have a Roll Call yet."

Speaker Redmond: "Yes we do.What's all this....Roll Call for attendance. Representative Lucco."

Lucco: "Mr. Speaker, I certainly would object if anyone who is not here is placed on the Roll Call. There's just no excuse for that, for us to sit here like this and somebody else to be out somewhere else and getting that. It isn't much but that's right."

Speaker Redmond: "I think we have ten Members in Conference Committee, Representative Lucco. Representative Byers."

Byers: "Mr. Speaker, if this is for purposes of per diem, I'd like my name removed for the per diem for this. I don't feel that it would bebut I would like to be here for the voting record. Flinn."

Flinn: "Mr. Speaker, while he's so generous, maybe he'd donate his salary back too?"

Speaker Redmond: "What was that?"

Flinn: "Did you hear me, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "I didn't hear what your comment was."

July 1, 1977

183.

Flinn: "Well, Representative Byers is so generous about the today's per diem, maybe he would donate his salary back."

Speaker Redmond: "There was a Representative who didn't take salary increase...is my memory. No, but he lived in the same town that Lincoln lived in. Representative... Matijevic."

Matijevic: "Further explanation of that Gentleman. He did it because he thought he was going to win the next election so big and he lost."

Speaker Redmond: "I think the one that I am referring to, I think, is still with us. No, that's not the one I mean. It wasn't Bill Redmond, I know. Well I guess that maybe Representative Anderson was right, that we didn't have any Attendance Roll Call at the time your motion was put, Representative Kempiners. Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I don't see why anybody objects to anybody putting their Bill in Interim Study. We've got 700 in there now and the possibility of any of them coming out is pretty remote. And if it gives some Bill a nice barrel, what's the difference?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Point of order now, was Representative Kempiners' motion timely and orderly, because we did not have a..."

Speaker Redmond: "We didn't have the Attendance Roll Call so..."

Hanahan: "Roll Call, so that therefore I believe his request was out of order and if he wants to put it in, I would like time to amend it to include 1406 at the same time."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Daniels."

Daniels: "Parliamentary inquiry. Representative Hanahan's 1406 is in Conference Committee, the Second Conference Committee has not yet met. Is his motion in order?"

Hanahan: "How do you know it hasn't met?"

Daniels: "Well, I'm...Has it met, Representative Hanahan?"

Hanahan: "I don't know. I asked you how you knew, if I didn't



know how would you know?"

Daniels: "We haven't seen any report, we haven't seen any notices of a meeting..."

Hanahan: "Have you signed the first report?"

Daniels: "Haven't seen the..."

Hanahan: "Has any Republican signed the first report?"

Daniels: "We're talking about the second report. You were the one to nonconcur in the first report."

Hanahan: "Did you serve on the first one?"

Daniels: "You nonconcurred, Representative Hanahan. It is not up to me."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative O'Brien."

O'Brien: "The point of order that is still before the Chair is whether or not Representative Kempiners' motion or request is in order. I wish the Chair would rule on it."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kempiners.... The previous motion was out of order so because there had been no Attendance Roll Call. Representative Kempiners."

Kempiners: "Mr. Speaker, this is the most ludicrous thing that we've ever gotten involved in. I'm trying to save the time of this House by taking it and putting it on the Fall Calendar... If you want to play those games I ask leave of the House to table Senate Bill 735 and I'll introduce a new Bill in October and take up all of the time of the House you want to take up in October."

Speaker Redmond: "The problem is that we don't have the Bill here....Senate Bill. Senate Bill 735. Conference Committee Report. Representative Kempiners."

Kempiners: "Well, the solution to that is very simple, we just won't do anything with the Conference Committee Report and when we adjourn the thing will be tabled automatically, anyway, so....Thank you for your fun and we'll see you next October, Tom."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay. On Supplemental Calendar #5, Conference Committee Report appears Senate Bill 1142,



Representative Lucco. Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Could you give me the date on that Supplementary Calendar #5."

Speaker Redmond: "July 1."

Geo-Karis: "We're not in July 2nd."

Speaker Redmond: "Leave is given to amend it on its face."

Representative Lucco, 1142."

Lucco: "Mr. Speaker, thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like to move to adopt the Second Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1142. As you recall we got that out earlier in the evening, it went to the Senate, they did not concur. We made three minor changes, actually. We deleted a reference in regard to implementation of programs, in regard to resource recovery, we deleted power plant fighting coordination, deleted all definitions of solar energy and because these were largely in House Bill 944, which have already been passed. I'd ask for your confirmation adoption of Second Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House adopt the Second Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 1142. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 116...117 'aye', and one 'no', and the House does adopt the Second Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 1142, by the three...Constitutional Three-fifths Majority. 496, Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I move that the House 'do adopt' the Conference Committee #1 on Senate Bill 496, it's a CDB Appropriation. The Conference Committee took out....just one second, I'll get this figure for you. We took out, what was Amendment #7, for \$20,000 Amendment #8 forthree...for twenty million dollars,



I'm sorry. Amendment #8 for three million, seven thirty-six, nine hundred. And then we readopted the figure at three million, five eighty-six, nine hundred. We added a million, five ninety-one, which was related to Amendment thirty-three. We added five hundred and ninety-two thousand, which was Amendment #34, we added two hundred and seventy thousand, for Amendment #35 we added one hundred and fifty thousand, Amendment #36 we added three hundred and thirteen thousand, four twenty-five. Amendment #40, we added fifty-two thousand, five hundred. Amendment #42, ...and the Bill totals one hundred and forty-four and nine-tenths million dollars."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? Representative James Houlihan."

Houlihan, J.: "Representative Telcser, on that Conference Committee Report on 496, how many Members signed that report that you"

Telcser: "Six Members. One, two, three, four, five, six.... Six Members."

Houlihan, J.: "Six out of ten, the bare minimum."

Telcser: "Six out of ten, that is correct."

Houlihan, J.: "Representative Telcser, can you explain what happened in that Conference Committee that only six Members would sign such a disgraceful report?"

Telcser: "I have no idea, Representative, but a majority of the people signed them and apparently the majority of the Committee didn't think it was, as you described, not in order."

Houlihan, J.: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen, I would urge a 'present' vote until we hear why four Members chose not to even sign the Conference Committee Report. Now I've served on a few Conference Committees and I know that sometimes the report doesn't contain everything that a Member might want, but that Member is willing to sign it to attest to the fact that the Conference Com-



mittee took place. He might oppose it on the floor of the House but he certainly doesn't leave his name off of it and I would think that Representative Telcser ought to ask, at least those Members who didn't sign the Conference Committee Report, to explain what's going on in this particular Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Just a couple of questions, pursuing Representative Houlihan's line of questioning. Why was only one Member of the House signing off on this Committee Report? What happened to the other four people who were appointed from the House? Were they present?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "They were present, Representative."

Bowman: "And they did not agree I gather? Let me..."

Telcser: "They didn't sign it..I don't know if they disagree or not."

Bowman: "All right, I suppose I'll let them see for themselves. How does the total appropriation differ? You gave us a breakdown item by item. What is the bottom line on it?"
How much larger or smaller is it than the initial appropriation?"

Telcser: "It's one hundred forty-four million point nine, Representative."

Bowman: "Wait a minute. That's one hundred forty-four million dollars more?"

Telcser: "No. No. That's the total amount....of bonds."

Bowman: "Yes. My question was, how much more or less is this than the ...the way the Bill passed out of the House originally? I'm comparing it withI'd like for you to compare it the form in which the Bill passed the House."

Telcser: "Well, the best I can compute, now, Representative, is between twenty-five and thirty million less."

Bowman: "Less. I see."

Telcser: "It looks that way from a quick....computation of the



line items I have in front of me."

Bowman: Okay, thank you."

Telcser: "You're welcome."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Rigney."

Rigney: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Rigney: "What was in Amendment 8 that was taken out?"

Telcser: "Amendment 8 was three million, seven hundred and thirty-six thousand, nine hundred dollars for food for Century Three, and we put it back in at a level of three million, five hundred eighty-six thousand, nine hundred thousand.....It was about one hundred...one hundred fifty thousand less than when it left the House."

Rigney: "Okay."

Telcser: "Food for Century Three is in the Bill."

Rigney: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Deavers."

Deavers: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "Ready for the question? Shall the main question be put, those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no', the 'ayes' have it. Representative Telcser to close."

Telcser: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I would appreciate a favorable vote."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 496? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Matijevich?"

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm always amazed at.....Here in the last days so many Members think we're in the first day of the Session. And....It never fails that CDB, education, and the Commission, Omnibus Commission Bill....are the last Bills and we want to play games. We're at the eleventh



hour. We've gotta put the votes on the Board and you know, on both sides of the aisle they wonder who's going to get the best advantage. I wish to hell people would wake up and know that most of the people in the State of Illinois don't even know we're here tonight. Two weeks ago, you know, right at the end of this Session, two weeks ago, I looked in the Sunday newspaper, the Chicago Tribune didn't even have one article that the Legislature was in Session. That's how much the people give a damn about what we're doing here. We've got some work to do and let's finish it tonight. We won't get all that we want. None of us will. We won't get all that we want for education. None of us will. But there's a bottom line. We've gotta do our work and let's do it in a hurry. Let's close the books and get out of here and finish our business. Put the votes up there."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. Representative Collins, for what purpose do you rise?"

Collins: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I was just wondering how Jack Hill got reappointed to this Body."

Speaker Redmond: "The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 120 'aye' and 7 'no', and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 496, by the Constitutional Majority, three-fifths Majority. 1317. (See special, page 190.)"

Speaker Redmond: "1317. Representative Lauer."

Lauer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, this is a Bill that has passed through this House now several times by varying majorities, fortunately all of them significant. In the Conference Committee... This is the Conflict of Interest Bill that has to do with the conflict that we find in so many units of local government. The Bill has been amended by the Conference Committee. Nine of the Members of the Committee signed the Conference Committee Report and we have adopted a pattern that's much more severe than the patterns in 174 that...in House Bill 1714, that went out of here 117 to 30. Because we've established a pattern now that applies to all units of local government, schools, community colleges and the Bill now deals with elected officials only. This Bill would exempt employees of units of government that supply materials, merchandise, property, services or labor. And I think that this does not solve all of the problems that we've had in units of local government but it does solve the problem for an awful lot of little people out here in the boondocks involved in units of local government. It establishes the pattern and these are the conditions that must be met. The contract is with a person, firm, partnership, association, corporation or cooperative in which the governing...member of the governing body of the municipality or unit of local government has less than a 7½ percent share in the ownership. The interested public member must disclose his ownership prior to any deliberations concerning the award of a contract. The interested member must abstain from voting, although he can be considered present for the purposes of establishing a quorum. The contract is approved by a majority vote of those presently holding office and the contract...and if the contract is awarded after sealed bids, if sealed bids are required according to the standards of the Illinois Purchasing Act. Now, it also has a provision in it that takes care of that individual with



a can of paint. And I would strongly recommend an 'aye' vote on this. It has the approval of the Municipal League, of the Senate Sponsor, of me, everybody that's been working..."

Speaker Redmond: "All unauthorized persons leave the floor."

Lauer: "I would appreciate a favorable vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Collins."

Collins: "Mr. Speaker, what you just said is what I was rising to protest. There are unauthorized people all over this floor and when you asked them to clear the floor, not one of them moved."

Speaker Redmond: "The one I was looking at did."

Collins: "Well, the six or seven I was looking at did not and I would appreciate it if you'd ask the Doorkeeper to remove these people."

Speaker Redmond: "All unauthorized persons leave the floor."

Representative Taylor, for what purpose do you rise?"

Taylor: "Will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Taylor: "Representative Lauer, if you look on page 7 and where it says on line 16, the following be added and I present there's nothing on the back page on page 8. So this Amendment appears to be technically incomplete."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lauer."

Lauer: "Mr. Taylor, you'd have to check that with the copy that's on file with the Clerk. Because I don't have the original at hand anymore."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, would you..."

Lauer: "I filed it with the Clerk."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Taylor."

Taylor: "Could you check this or have the Parliamentarian check this and find out if this is correctly presented to us for consideration at this time?"

Speaker Redmond: "Will you look at it, Lee? Davis...Davis... No..."

The bottom line on what is page 7 of the report is as follows:



Section 5. 'This Amendatory Act takes effect upon it becoming law.' And it didn't print for some reason or other, evidently the sheet was sorted. But that's what's in that bottom line, that's the effective clause. Representative Robinson."

Robinson: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Robinson: "Does this... Did I hear that this excludes all appointed personnel of local governments from disclosure or from provisions of the conflict of interest part of this Act?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Robinson."

Robinson: "Does this exclude all appointed officials of local government from disclosure and from the conflict of interest provision of this Act?"

Lauer: "Only to the extent that they are appointed, for example, a treasurer... The appointed official is not removed because he's covered under a, as I understand it, Dave, under another Section of the law where he is responsible for his actions in the discharge of his duties."

Robinson: "What about a purchasing agent, for example?"

Lauer: "A what?"

Robinson: "A purchasing agent for a municipality, for example."

Lauer: "I don't think he'd be included. because again, he's covered under the Purchasing Act."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Van Duynes."

Van Duynes: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. It's covered all on page 2 of the report starting with the second paragraph, 'In addition to the above exempted, any elected or appointed member of the governing body may provide material, merchandise, property, service of labor if, etc.'"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Byers."

Byers: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would just like to say that in my Legislative District, of all the smaller things that I have, I've not had any Mayors or board members that are elected, of any kind, shape, come to me and say that they need a Bill of this type. And that covers a large area and eight different

counties. And I've never had anyone say that they need this and I don't believe this Bill to be necessary and I think we should vote 'nc' on it."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hudson."

Hudson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question.

The question is, shall the main question be put? Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Representative Lauer, to close."

Lauer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would only say in response to Representative Byers, how about the situation down in Bethalto? Mr. Speaker, I request a favorable vote. This is a Bill that the League of...that the Municipal League, the Illinois Association of School Boards is strongly in favor of. Also the township officials and the Association of County Boards."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall the House adopt the first Committee Report on Senate Bill 1317? All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'nay'. This matter takes 107 votes. The Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner, to explain his vote. The timer is on."

Skinner: "Not being able to ask any questions, I must assume this effects municipalities of all sizes and that is totally absurd. I must assume it also effects Zoning Board Members, so Zoning Boards would be able to zone properties and increase the value many times of their friends or even themselves and nobody would ever know that they own the property or their friends had a personal interest in it. If this is not the case, certainly the Speaker prior to the current speaker, cut off the debate too soon. If it is the case then it probably also includes every School Board Member and God knows people who aren't paid any money may find out, may believe that they need to take money under the table or around the corner or through a contract for a friend. We're never going to know, if this Bill passes. So, what we ought to do is kill it, send it back to a Conference Committee, maybe it will



die on the Calendar."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wished? The Gentleman from DuPage, Speaker Redmond, at Mrs. Martin's desk."

Speaker Redmond: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in support of the adoption of the first Conference Committee Report. A situation...and I know that the Bill was introduced because of a situation in DuPage County where we had a new rookie State's Attorney who thought that he was going to be elected to some magnificent office over the prostrate forms of people's public spirited citizens who were going to serve on these various Village Boards and School Boards. A man would hold an office as a Village Trustee and if the corporation that he had, even an unimportant or insignificant job, had a liquor license, the State's Attorney gave him the option of either resigning from the Village Board or quitting his job. That happened in three or four different municipalities and I'm sure that's where Senator Philips got this Bill. It seems to me that the...that the demands that were made on the local officials were entirely inordinate and I think that this Bill is much needed and therefore I urge...request your support."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Gentleman from Logan, Mr. Lauer, to explain his vote."

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker, I find it very difficult to understand why all of a sudden after the absence of this Bill, in fact a much looser Bill went flying out of this House with as high a vote as it did and now this one is all of a sudden being turned thumbs down on. If you'll notice, it is one of those situations where it excludes employees. You can no longer have the situation where because the guy is a janitor in the bank he can't serve on the Village Board because the Village keeps its money in that bank. Mr. Speaker, we have a situation that because of the Attorney General's ruling and I'll have to admit, that Attorney General is a member of



my own party, but because of an Attorney General's ruling we're finding it extremely difficult to get people who are willing to serve in units of local government because they're afraid that they're going to be indicted because of a potential conflict of interest. And this may be a conflict that develops, not because of an overt act of their own but actually an accidental happening over which they have no control. Mr. Speaker, it seems to me that we ought to put a few more votes up there on that board and send this to the Governor and solve the problem for a lot of people in units of local government out here in the...particularly in the small towns and rural areas. I don't purport to...propose to you that it will solve all the problems. But Mr. Speaker, it certainly will go a long way toward making available to the units of local government the best talent available. We can no longer have that situation where people will be unwilling to serve in local government..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Kindly bring your remarks to a close."

Lauer: "Will be unwilling to serve in local government because they don't want to find themselves indicted because of some silly error made by somebody else."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Thank you. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mugalian, to explain his vote. The timer is on."

Mugalian: "Well, I'm voting 'no', Mr. Speaker and I shouldn't speak unless it gets over 89 in which case I would ask for a verification because there is no need for this Bill at all. Those who are familiar with municipal law know that the law is settled. And because some person in DuPage County doesn't understand the law and to... try to say that some people are violating the law is ridiculous. No reason for us to pass legislation in an area which is clearly settled by the courts. This is totally unnecessary. It is not a vote for ethics, it's a vote for being absurd."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Livingston, Mr. Ewing, to explain his vote. The timer is on."



Ewing: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I have many small communities in my district and some type of modification in this legislation is needed. I'm not certain that this is the fantasy or the answer to all our questions, but we'll be back here and we can close up any loopholes that we've created but we do need this if we're going to have good people serving in local government in our small communities. And I would surely urge that we get enough votes up there to make this needed modification."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Christian, Mr. Tipsword, to explain his vote."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen, we have one community in one county in my district right now where they are presently being charged and before the court simply because a man is on the Village Council and he also is employed in the only bank in the community and the village keeps their funds in that bank. We have two of the village trustees presently being charged in court simply because they say there's some sort of a conflict of that kind. And it's happened in many other small communities, it's happening in the very smallest of communities throughout the state and this absurd law needs to be changed."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady from Adams, Mrs. Kent, to explain her vote."

Kent: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I wonder if many of you realize that these small towns might have just one, just one place where they can purchase the things they need for these various boards. When they have the man on the board, on the school board that owns the company or is employed there they must go to another town and purchase. And this is absolutely stupid when the very taxes that they are collecting come from the town that they want this economy to go to. It is stupid to have them go to another town to buy these things. This Bill is very much needed in the small areas."



Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Deuster, to explain his vote."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, right now, under existing law, a local office holder is at the mercy of a prosecuting attorney. He's at the mercy of the State's Attorney because the law provides that it's criminal for you to have any interests, any interests whatsoever. And this law at least allows us, the Legislature, to move in and take away this discretion and it might be some friend of yours who's going to be prosecuted for a minimal conflict of interest. This Bill requires disclosure, public disclosure of whatever your interest is. I think the public is going to be better off if we have this Bill and the public disclosure and if we take away that dangerous discretion and a prosecuting officer to go after somebody for political purposes or other purposes. We have a case of partisan abuse of that existing law in McHenry..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady from DuPage, Mrs. Dyer, to explain her vote."

Dyer: "Excuse me. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I certainly share the views of Speaker Redmond and others who have spoken on this...on this Bill. The situation in DuPage County is really desperate. As the State's Attorney explains it, he has sworn to uphold the law. And the way the present law is, if a person has any, any share at all in a company he may not even serve on a board that is going to let contracts to that company. One case is with a man that had a small job in Motorola and he had to resign because the board wanted to let a contract with Motorola. This gets to be the point of ridiculous. The State's Attorney interprets the present law to say you can't even abstain from voting. You have to resign your position. The changes that are in this Bill are excellent, with a figure of seven and a half percent..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner..."



Skinner: "Yes, there are three more people voting than are here and I'm certainly going to ask for a verification."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Well, we'll get to that later. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Conti, to explain his vote."

Conti: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, please, I want to address my remarks to those red lights up there. I have no interest in this Bill, I wasn't even concerned in going to the Conference Committee because I could have lived with everything that's in the Corrupt Practice Act now. The thing that bothers me, I wonder how many of you people that are voting red up there know that there are 1,260 communities in this state and that 1,000 of them are under 5,000 population. And that there's Mayors and Trustees that are on boards that own hardware stores and they can't make any purchases over \$250 at 7½ percent interest in whatever they're buying. And if they do, they have to abstain from voting. So, we could live with this. Now if Terry Bruce could sign this...look at those people that were on this Conference Committee. We're not interested about Terry Bruce either, but I'm telling you that there is nothing in this Bill that would effect any of us. There's 1,000 municipalities with less than 5,000..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. J. David Jones, to explain his vote. The timer is on."

Jones: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I can really appreciate the need for this legislation, from personal experience in Lake County. A lady who's husband dies and left a few shares of stock in the bank and the lady is a member of the town board and a complaint was filed against her because she...the township had their deposits in that bank. And in no way was there really a conflict that anybody could see but on a technicality of the law that there was this case that was persecuted and prosecuted and Mrs. Geo-Karis and I know the lady that we're talking about."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Marion, Mr. Friedrich, to



explain his vote. The timer is on."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, House Bill 2326 took care of the little stock holder in the bank but in many towns in my district, and I can name them and Representative Byers, who said there weren't any, Patoka, Kinmundy, Iuka, Kell and so on. All the little towns, they have one lumber yard and they have one general store usually. Now, it's been pointed out here, if that school needs one two-by-four they have to go out of town to get it because the guy that owns the lumber yard is on the school board. How absurd can you get? Do you really think that the people of Kell ought to have to drive to Salem to get a two-by-four for their school? Or do you think the guy who runs the lumber yard should be prohibited from being on the school board? This is a good Bill and if you want to keep on discouraging responsible people from serving on these no pay jobs, then just go ahead and beat legislation like this."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Taylor, to explain his vote. The timer is on."

Taylor: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. There were some things, when we were in the Conference Committee, that I would have liked to have seen put in this Bill but they were not there and we had a very difficult time with a number of the people from the other side of the rotunda, who did not want to give any. We've taken everything that we could but I'm going to go along with them on this proposal and hope that they be more considerate of the House Members on things that they're interested in. Vote 'aye'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman asks that you vote 'aye'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 114 'ayes', 23 'nays', 5 recorded as 'present'. And the House does concur in the first Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1317. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz. Where's he at? Leverenz."



Leverenz: "Change my vote to red. With leave of the House."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Change the Gentleman from 'aye' to 'nay'. 'Aye'

to 'nay'. And the House does concur in the first Committee

Report on Senate Bill 1317. It is hereby declared passed."

By a three-fifths Constitutional Majority it's hereby declared

passed. 113 'ayes'... I don't know."



Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker. Mr. Redmond in the Chamber?
The Gentleman from Madison, Mr. Byers, for what purpose
do you seek recognition?"

Byers: "Well, Mr. Speaker, a while ago when we took the
Attendance Roll Call there were 132 people here. Now
there's....there were many more votes than that on the
board."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Well, more people came into the Chamber.
Next case."

Speaker Redmond in Chair....

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Taylor, I have a note here,
with respect to House Bill 391, is it, that you have a
motion with respect to that? James Taylor? Rep-
resentative James Taylor, will you tell us where 391 is?"

Taylor: "It's on concurrence, Mr. Speaker. First Conference
Committee Report."

Speaker Redmond: "Supplemental Calendar #2. 391."

Taylor: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House,
the Conference Committee Report #1 simply takes out
the House Amendment that was placed on in the House.
We thought that that gave too much discretion to the
Director whereas persons in a mental institution could
not be cared for if the Director did not want them....
even though a court order may be issued in that respect.
I solicit your support for Conference Committee Report
#1."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? The question's
on the Gentleman's..... Representative Kelly."

Kelly: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I rise in
opposition to this Conference Committee Report. The
Bill that passed out of here had a proposal on it
which said that the Department of Mental Health would
have the authority to refuse admission of felons into
mental institutions which were being transferred from



county prisons, if that individual would be a threat to the hospital, to the personnel at the hospital, to the patients or to the community. Now, Ladies and Gentlemen, I realize that we do have a very serious problem because there are many convicted felons that do have mental disorders and need treatment, but I think that they should be treated at the facilities.... the prisons... rather than sending them into these institutions where there is low security and where these innocent mental patients can be exposed to felons. I happen to have in my district a mental hospital where they're transferring from the Cook County Prison several people... convicted felons. One of them, in fact, had close to one hundred convictions. It wasn't a week that passed that this individual had attacked one of the mental patients who had voluntarily placed himself into the institution. I think that this is a bad proposal and I would seriously ask for your opposition to this Conference Report."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Taylor to close."

Taylor: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. This Bill passed out of this House the last Session in the form that it is now. It was a state-wide proposition. We have amended that down to only Cook County simply because the grants... the money is there now. The grant is \$150,000; the federal money that is in Cook County for this very purpose. I accepted Representative Kelly's Amendment to my Bill simply because he had no other place to go. And I did that in good faith. I had no idea that he would really oppose my Bill to the extent that he is opposing it now. And I'm sorry that he did that. The Conference Committee Report will indicate that nine Members out of the ten Members on the Conference Committee have



signed that report. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I solicit your support for Conference Committee Report #1."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House adopt Conference Committee #1.... Conference Committee Report #1 to House Bill 391. Those in favor vote 'aye' and those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Huff."

Huff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'm going to vote for this Bill notwithstanding the remarks related by Representative Kelly. This type of behavior in most of these places are considered by most doctors as considered.... good therapy."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Lauer."

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to point out that many times we stand on this House floor ... many of us, and talk about community mental health programs. When we talk about community mental health programs we have to recognize that there are people who are ⁱⁿ need of mental health treatment that cannot avail themselves of the usual channel. Now....we're going to believe in community based mental health treatment, who more could need it than those who have run afoul of the law and may be serving a sentence in the county jail..... Cook County Jail? Mr. Speaker, I would strongly suggest that we get some more green lights up there because this is an important Bill. And if we can in any way reduce the rate of recidivism by giving treatment while people are in jail, and it is a voluntary thing as far as the inmate is concerned then I think definitely it is worth the money that would be spent on it and has a potential of great value to the City of Chicago and to Cook County and to all the people of



the state. If it would keep one inmate, after he gets out, from one murder, it would certainly be worth it. And all of us have read in the papers about people who get out of jails and their mental condition is unstable and they do greater crimes. It seems to me, Mr. Speaker, that this is a potential of getting to the root of the problem and helping those people when they need help and I strongly solicit some more 'aye' votes up there."

Speaker Remond: "Representative Chapman."

Chapman: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I hope that more of you will vote for Mr. Taylor's Bill. Mr. Taylor was gracious enough in the House to permit Mr. Kelly to add an Amendment that was essentially a Bill that had been killed; a Bill that Mr. Kelly was interested in and had sponsored and had been killed in Committee. Mr. Taylor was gracious enough to permit his Bill to be burdened with an Amendment that Mr. Kelly wanted that represented a Bill that had already been defeated. This caused difficulty for Mr. Taylor's Bill in the Senate. It came back to the House. It went into Conference Committee and we did what I think you would want done with your Bills. We took Mr. Kelly's Amendment off Mr. Taylor's Bill. We had essentially two different Bills here. One was the original Bill and the other was Amendment #1. So if this Bill doesn't pass tonight, it is simply because of Mr. Taylor's graciousness and courtesy to Mr. Kelly."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Taylor to explain his vote."

Taylor: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I am quite concerned about this problem, but if the House doesn't feel that they want to support this kind of concept then I would hope that you would be able to come up with something. Because I know now in these times when a man goes out and rapes a woman and then



you go to jail and give him a pat on the hand and send them right back to the street, you can only look for that same thing to happen again. He's a sick man. He needs help. I ask that you give him that help that he needs. The county jail is the place where he should be taken care at. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I solicit a few more 'aye' votes for...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Robinson."

Robinson: "Mr. Speaker, in the press this Legislature is known as a 'law and order Legislature'. We have passed legislation and we'll be considering in Special Session, in October, more legislation that's considered hard-line on crime. But I think that this kind of Bill also is a way to deal with crime; to provide the mental health services in the Chicago... in the Cook County Jail to help the people who are already there. I think that if we are voting to put people away, we also ought to be voting for a Bill like this to help the people that are there, to stop them from being repeaters. I hope that all of those who have voted for all the crime legislation will also vote for this legislation."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Wolf."

Wolf: "Mr. Speaker, I was just wondering.... I think Mr. Mann went home. I see his light on, I just wondered if he popped up back here. I don't mind, Mr. Speaker, if somebody wants to vote, but I get a little fed up with people who go home and leave us like they do every Session and take off and then have somebody else vote their switch so that the constituents back home think that they're here and they make a record."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Pullen."

Pullen: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I hope you have read this Bill. It very simply says that the Department of Mental Health and D.D. shall



provide mental health care for all persons in need of mental treatment as defined in the Mental Health Code, whether or not declared unfit to start trial or not guilty by reason of insanity, who are confined in County Departments of Corrections which are located in counties having more than one million inhabitants. What is wrong with this? It does not say that these criminals shall be transferred into a mental health facility. It says that they shall receive mental health treatment in their confinement in the county jail. I don't understand what you are afraid of. This is a good Bill. It is an important Bill for the sake of criminal justice as well as for the sake a rehabilitation. And I urge you to please vote 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Taylor, asks for a poll of the absentees? No, he hasn't. What's your question there, Mr. Totten? Do you want to change from 'present' to 'aye'?"

Totten: "No, Mr. Speaker, an inquiry of the Chair. If this Bill gets 89 votes and not 107 it's my understanding, if it's correct, that it will be passed but not effective for a year?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "We'll poll the absentees first and I'll get back to your question."

Clerk Hall: "E.M. Barnes."

Speaker Lechowicz: "E.M. Barnes."

Clerk Hall: "Beatty. Bluthardt....."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Excuse me. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Steczo, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Steczko: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "You're recorded as 'no'."

Steczko: "Vote me 'aye', please."



Speaker Lechowicz: "Record the Gentleman as 'aye'. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. James Houlihan. Do you want to be recorded as 'aye'? Can't hear you."

Houlihan: "I'll wait for the poll of the absentees."

Speaker Lechowicz: "All right, fine."

Clerk Hall: "Bluthardt. Bradley. Campbell. Darrow. Domico. Ebbesen. Edgar. Epton. Ewell. Hart. Hoffman. Holewinski. Jim Houlihan."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Jim Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like to be recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Kindly record him as 'aye'. The Gentleman from Macoupin, Mr. Bartulis."

Bartulis: "Mr. Speaker, would you kindly vote me 'aye'?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Kindly vote him as 'aye'. The Gentleman from Kane, Mr. Friedland. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Sevcik."

Sevcik: "Change me from 'present' to 'aye'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Kindly record him as 'aye'. The Gentleman from Madison, Mr. Byers, wants to be recorded from 'no' to 'aye'. Proceed. On this question there are 109 'ayes' and 20 'nays' and 15 recorded as 'present'. This Bill having received the three-fifths Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. The Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Giorgi. On the Supplemental Calendar #6, Senate Bill 152."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, the 2nd Conference Committee Report on 152...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Slow down, Zeke."

Giorgi: "Why should I slow down tonight? Senate Bill 152 cleans out everything that everybody was worried about. And all that's in here is the Administrative Procedure Act and I'm sure that the enemy is aware of it. And now they'll vote for the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from DuPage,



Mr. Daniels."

Daniels: "It's a good Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "It's a good Bill. The question is on the adoption of the Second Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 152. All those in favor will vote 'aye' and all those opposed will vote 'nay'. Yeah, it's been amended. Yes, Ma'am. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 127 'ayes' and 1 'nay' and 4 recorded as 'present' and the House does adopt the Second Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 152... with the three-fifths Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. The Lady from Fayette.... I'm sorry, the Lady from St. Clair, Mrs. Younge on Senate Bill 1025.

Younge: "That's...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The First Conference Committee Report?"

Younge: "That's in error."

Speaker Lechowicz: "What's the error, Ma'am?"

Younge: "My name as Sponsor of that Bill. I don't know anything about the Bill. But for convenience, I'd move to adopt if you want me to."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Brady. Are you handling the Bill?"

Brady: "Is that.... I believe Senate Bill 1025, I'm the House Sponsor of, Mr. Speaker. Is that correct?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Well, according to the Calendar it's Mrs. Younge. And I'm asking you if you're the Sponsor of Senate Bill 1025."

Brady: "Yes, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "All right, the Gentleman moves that the House do adopt the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1025. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Brady. Is there any discussion? The question is, shall the House do adopt the First Conference Committee



Report on Senate Bill 1025? All in favor vote 'aye' and all opposed vote 'nay'. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Brady."

Brady: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and fellow Members, I'm sorry. I didn't mean not to explain anything about it. This Bill was amended twice in the House. Once my Representative Jaffe, which dealt with clinical psychologists in the record and once by Representative Katz, which he thought straightened out some language in it. We moved in the Conference Committee Report to recede from both Amendments and then reinstitute Representative Jaffe's Amendment and change clinical psychologists 'regulated' rather than 'licensed' because of the fact that they are not licensed in Illinois. At the same time, Representative Katz was on that Conference Committee and signed that report and his was the other Amendment. It is a Bill that was agreed to by the Illinois State Medical Society and the Illinois Trial Lawyers Association."

Speaker Lechowicz: "If that's the case, it should fly. Have all voted who wish? It's not flying. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 113 'ayes' and 2 'nays' and 10 recorded as 'present' and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1025 by the three-fifths Constitutional Majority and it's hereby declared passed. House Bill 840, Representative Terzich. Terzich. What? Well, get him out here. If there are any Senators here in the House Chambers, would you kindly return to the Senate Chambers? I believe that they are probably having a verification and your presence is of the utmost emergency in the Senate Chambers..... with or without your tie. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Marovitz, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"



Marovitz: "Just on a point of information, Mr. Speaker, while Mr. Terzich gets his Bill ready. We've heard a lot of talk about the Chicago Cubs. I think equality deserves the fact that we announce the score of the first place Chicago White Sox. They won tonight five to two. And they also are in first place. And since Mr. Telcser is behind you, I think we ought to say; both Representative Telcser and I, who represent the Chicago Cubs from the 12th Legislative District, have been getting hundreds and hundreds of letters and phone calls and many from people in this Body about World Series Tickets. And Representative Telcser and I are going through Roll Call sheets from this Session and we will advise you at the end of the Session as to which of you will get your World Series tickets."

Speaker Lechowicz: "I think if anyone wants tickets, see Ellis Levin. Billy, since you made that fantastic announcement, the fellow that's sitting in the Chair right now would like to have five tickets for the Cubs-St. Louis game this coming Thursday. And I hope that we'll be home to watch the game."

Marovitz: "Call Charlie Keller."

Speaker Lechowicz: "This is in Chicago though. In your district. Okay, House Bill 840. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Terzich."

Terzich: "Mr. Speaker...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Yes, Sir."

Terzich: "It's nice to see you up there this hour of the morning. House Bill 840 again is the Monument Bill, if I'm not mistaken, it's the Monument and Statue Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "This is it."

Terzich: "All right, that's the one, that's the one. And we adopted the Conference Committee Report. However, the effective date was left out. And what this simply did



is... we.... the state got the caretakers house and the City of Springfield got the descendant of the Civil War soldier. And I would urge the adoption of Conference Committee Report #1 on House Bill 840. And the Confederates don't get anything."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman moves that the House do adopt the corrected First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 840. All in favor vote 'aye' and all opposed will vote 'nay'. The only thing that was missing was the effective date. Appreciate your vote. Have all voted who wish? 840. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 122 'ayes' and no 'nays' and 4 recorded as 'present' and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report on 840 by a three-fifths Constitutional Majority and is hereby declared passed. How about Senate Bill 997, Representative Terzich? Let's go, John. 997. Just listen to the kid in the Chair. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Terzich. 997, Bob."

Terzich: "Mr. Speaker,....."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Yes, Sir."

Terzich: "May I have permission to go to...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "You want to turn him up? I can't hear him."

Terzich: ".... House Bill 841? And then 997."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Okay. House Bill 841. No, 840 was just on, Mr. Conti. 841. That's located on Conference Committee Reports over on Calendar #3. Mr. Terzich on House Bill 841."

Terzich: "Thank you again, Mr. Speaker. I move for adoption of the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 841. The changes that were made on this is that corrective language was put in in regard to free camping privileges for senior citizens. It provides that senior citizens over age 62 will be allowed free



camping privileges every day of the week except Thursday, Friday and Saturday. However, the privilege of using camping facilities free of charge is not available for nonresidents from states that do not extend the same privilege to residents of the State of Illinois. Also it provides for an Amendment that if a home rule or local government imposes a tax which is..... the Department determines is compatible with the state, it may be collected by the state if it so desires. And this Section does not authorize the imposition of any tax by the home rule unit of local government. And I would urge the adoption of Conference Committee Report #1 to House Bill 841."

Speaker Lechowicz: "On the discussion, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is really a curve ball. This Bill, as introduced, deleted a reference to the Superintendent of Parks.... a very significant Bill indeed. Now, in addition to providing some gobbledygook about camping and senior citizens and so forth, it also has within it the requirement that if a home rule municipality asks that the state collect a so-called compatible tax.... and that could be anything from a cigarette tax to a liquor tax, for example a parimutual tax. A tax, incidently, that has been reserved to the state but need not be because Cook County is a home rule municipality and has three or four race tracks within it. And the county could cut off some of the state revenue by imposing that tax. The state would collect it and no one would think that it was a county tax. This is taxation without representation if ever there was taxation without representation. Taxes.... taxes should be imposed and should be collected by the authority that gets the benefit of those taxes

?



a fraud and a deceit to levy a tax on people and have them not know that it's being levied on them. I don't think there's a person outside of these Chambers, almost.... very few of your constituents know that there is a municipal sales tax. They think that there's a five percent state sales tax. We collect one percent, as you know, and return it to the municipalities for their benefit. There's no responsibility on the part of the local officials for that money. It's an absolute bonanza and there's no sense in us providing a greater bonanza. For those of us especially in suburbia where there is a possibility of a city income tax or a city employment tax, it would be absolutely devastating. I urge you to vote 'no' on this. This is absolutely not timely. For this very very significant measure to come up at this point in the Session is a fraud and a deceit. I urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mugalian."

Mugalian: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I want to thank Representative Walsh for calling our attention to this Conference Committee Report. I hope you're all paying attention. It was almost snuck through, if that's a good phrase. I have stood in the Revenue Committee for three terms and this Bill has been proposed for three terms and has never gotten anywhere in the Revenue Committee where it received a full discussion. It's a very important philosophical question, a very important practical question. Taxpayers should know what government is taxing them. If this Amendment sneaks through on this Conference Report, there will be no way for the citizens to know who is collecting the taxes and who is responsible. This thing should go down to a resounding defeat for two reasons. One, for the way it was put on this Bill, totally ungermane to the major Bill. And secondly, as a matter of basic



democratic representative government."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Perry, Mr. Ralph
Dunn."

Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I
too want to salute Representative Bill Walsh for his
observation about this being the piggyback Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "I think we're going overboard."

Dunn: "We have watched this in the Revenue Committee for the
five years that I've been here. Every year it's been
offered and every year it's usually been defeated in
Committee. And then it comes back to haunt us in the
last days of the Session. I too would urge that we
vote against the Conference Committee Report and try
to get this off. I like the idea about the senior
citizens and the free camping..... that's right down
my alley. I'm about ready to do that.. But piggyback,
I don't like and I would urge that don't accept this
Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Kane."

Kane: "Parliamentary inquiry. In light of the ruling of
the Speaker of last night, is this Amendment germane?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Yes."

Kane: "Would you research that more carefully."

Speaker Lechowicz: "I just did. The Gentleman from Cook,
Mr. Huff."

Huff: "I move the previous question."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman has moved the previous
question. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye'
and all opposed.... the 'ayes' have it. The Gentleman
from Cook, Mr. Terzich to close."

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. I'm surprised to see that the
previous speakers have such devious minds. I never
knew that they could connive so much. I never knew
it was so bad. The Bill states, and everyone realizes,
that the home rule unit cannot impose any of these



taxes without the approval of the General Assembly. It also states in the Conference Committee Report that this Section does not authorize the imposition of any tax by a home rule unit or local government. It only states that if the state so desires to collect a compatible tax that the municipality would pay the fees for the collection of that. And that's all it says. As well as for the senior citizens on their camping privileges. I see nothing wrong with this. I think that if they could devise such a scheme, I don't see how they could do it through this Amendment, but that's up to them. So I would urge your support of Conference Committee #1 to House Bill 841."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman moves that the House do adopt the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 841. All in favor vote 'aye' and all opposed vote 'nay'. The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Van Duyne to explain his vote. The timer is on."

Van Duyne: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I agree with Mr. Terzich. I'd just like to read the top paragraph of page two, where it says, on line two it starts; 'Amount withheld for refund. Provided that the Department shall retain, for the monies collected, the cost in administration, collecting and enforcing the tax'. Now we have a House Joint Resolution for a Constitutional Amendment filed, that Representative Capparelli's Committee has and I hope that when this comes up this fall that you will bear in mind this Bill and also vote for that one."

Speaker Lechowicz: "I believe there's a lot of people that don't understand this Bill. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Terzich, to explain his vote."

Terzich: "Well, apparently I didn't impress to many people on my explanation, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Proceed."

Terzich: "This is merely a collection Bill, where they collect



the money, they don't impose the taxes, they are the recipients that transfer the money over to the people that it belongs to, which would be the local municipality. It's simply a housekeeping thing. I really can't understand where these other speakers got these devious methods that they come up with. I never dreamed that they'd do such a thing. I'm sure that if it did come through the Revenue Committee, of which I am a Member of the same, for the last four years, I would support that. I think it's a good measure. And if you don't believe in yourself, believe in Representative Kozubowski because he said it's a good Amendment. So if it's not for me, it's for Walter. And I want to see how many of you are going to vote against Walter Kozubowski. Now change all of your votes."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, who's in favor of Walter? The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Van Duyne, for what purpose do you seek recognition? You explained your vote, I believe."

Van Duyne: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Maybe I didn't finish my statement. The Resolution I was speaking of was...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Let's give the Gentleman your attention now..."

Van Duyne: "...was to allow the county to..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "He's been here all day long like the rest of us. Please proceed."

Van Duyne: "...was to allow the county to do the same thing that this Bill allows the municipalities to do. That's all."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from ...McHenry, Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Right off the top we can think of the gas tax, the liquor tax, the cigarette tax and additional sales tax, and definitely the first stop to an income tax, if this Bill passes. It certainly means an awful lot to Chicago, but I don't see anything that the rest of the state is getting except that our senior citizens won't be able to



camp free on Thursday, Friday and Saturday nights any-
more."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Terzich."

Terzich: "Yes, would you be kind enough to give me 70 votes so
that I could not at least put this on Postponed Con-
sideration, and you know, maybe take care of our senior
citizens."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is that for you and Walter? The Lady from
Cook, Mrs. Macdonald, to explain her vote."

Macdonald: "Thank you...thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The timer is on."

Macdonald: "...Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would
think it's necessary to explain my vote because of course
I did sign this Conference Committee Report. I was
assigned to the Committee and of course the subject that
we discussed was camping for senior citizens. When the
additional report came to me this morning, I was assured
that it was agreed from my side of the aisle, and since
I was sent there by my side of the aisle, I felt obligat-
ed, of course, to sign the report. I personally just
feel that I can not support this Bill, but I did want to
clarify...since my name does appear on the Conference
Report."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted
who wish? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Vitek, to explain
his vote."

Vitek: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, my
running mate, Walter Kozubowski, is an honorable man.
I think he deserves that extra 70 votes up there."

Speaker Lechowicz: "If we get an additional 70 we're going to
pass the Bill. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted
who wish? One for the 'Gipper', okay. On this question
there's 71 'ayes', 54 'nays', 2 recorded as 'present'.
The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Terzich. Mr. Terzich."

Terzich: "...Ask leave to put it on Postponed Consideration,



Conference Committee Report #1 to Senate Bill 997."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Yes. I wonder if the Sponsor would yield to a question?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "He indicates he will."

Skinner: "Which of the Pension Funds, in the State of Illinois, are in trouble? Why are they in trouble? Why is this necessary?"

Terzich: "None whatsoever. You have to understand that under 'ARISA', under the private pension fund, that any employee, for example, can bring a suit against trustees, either because they didn't make the right investment in A T and T, or IBM or whatever the case may be. Or anything of this nature can be brought against the individual even though he is not totally responsible for the results. It's like someone suing the State Board of Investments, because they didn't put enough money in the market and they put too much in bonds."

Skinner: "I understand your explanation, Representative, but when I first read this Conference Committee Report, the first thing that I thought of was Teamster's Pension Fund, for some reason or other."

Terzich: "I don't think they transferred over to the state yet, they are working on it."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 997? All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? On this good Bill, have all voted who wish? No I'm not. Have all voted who wish? Let's go. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 109 'ayes', 7 'nays', 9 recorded as 'present', and the House does concur in the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 997, by the three-fifths Constitutional Majority and is hereby declared passed. (Special, next page.)



Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman asks leave for Postponed Consideration. Hi, Phil. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Collins."

Collins: "Mr. Speaker, I wonder if now ...could we have a Roll Call on Kozubowski?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "With or without the mustache? Leave for the Attendance Roll Call. Everybody left him. Okay. Bob, what was the other Bill that I called? Senate Bill 997? Mr. Terzich."

Terzich: "Let's see, I think this Bill gives the Confederacy Lincoln's Tomb. All right, folks, here we go again."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? Senate Bill 997..."

Terzich: "This Bill, Senate Bill 997, is essential to protect the state employees, who are sued for civil rights violations, even though they acted in good faith, and in the best interests of the state in making decisions. The original Bill, providing protection to Public Pension Fund employees, has been broadened to cover all state offices and employees. Federal civil rights suits are being filed with increasing frequency and people who are denied licenses or permits by state officials or receive some other decision that they don't like, often bring suit in federal court. Where a state employee is sued in a civil case, for an act or a mission arising out of job, this Bill will require the Attorney General to represent him. Where an elected official is sued, he may seek an attorney of his choice, with the Attorney General's approval. If the court or jury finds that the employee or official acted in good faith he will be indemnified for the judgment by the department or agency for which he works. If the court or jury finds willful or wanton misconduct, no indemnity is permitted. The Bill does not apply to criminal cases, car accidents or bodily injury and I would urge adoption of Committee....



Speaker Lechowicz: "...House Bill 2347. The Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Kane, Doug."

Kane: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 2347 is the Bond Authorization Bill where it was the Bill on which the bond authorization was attached to. And this is the Conference Committee Report. The Conference Committee Report increases the Capital Development Bond Authorization from the fiscal year 1977 level of \$787,000,000 total to \$870,000,000. This is an increase \$93,000,000. This is considerably less than the original Senate Amendment that came over from the Senate. This is broken down into a number of categories; education increases from \$500,000,000 to \$527.4 million; corrections increases from \$10.9 million to \$30.5 million; conservation from \$106.4 million to \$109.4; children and family services and mental health from \$91.7 to \$97.7; other state agencies from \$44.3 million to \$52.3 million; port districts from \$3.8 million to \$13.8 million; water resources \$19.8 million to \$24.2 million; health education from \$9.9 million to \$10.8 million; and century three is included at a \$3.9 million authorization level. What House Bill 2347 originally did was to indicate that the Capital Development Board could not institute a program unless the total bond authorization to complete the project had been authorized and approved by the...by the Legislature. I might point out that this increase in bond authorization of \$97...\$93,000,000 does not include sufficient authorization to do all of the projects that were passed in our appro...in the C.D.B. Appropriation Bill, which was passed out of here about an hour ago. The...the total of the appropriation increase was some \$165,000,000. This bond authorization is at a level of \$93,000,000. What I might also point out is that there are a number of projects in this authorization or in the appropriation which are...will begin projects that have a substantially higher projected level when we com...when we complete the planning and are coming later for the appropriation for the final projects. So if the planning goes through and the planning is done, we are probably committing the state to an additional \$75,000,000 worth of construction."



Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion on these worthwhile projects? The question is, shall the House adopt...oh, I'm sorry, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'd like to point out to the Members of the House that this \$93,000,000 increase authorization, should it all be issued, will cost the state over the life of the bonds \$158,100,000."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Thank you. The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Yes, would the Gentleman yield, please?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "He indicates he will, Sir."

Matijevich: "Representative Kane, I'm not sure what the relation...and I'm not sure I know where House Bill 2413 is; and I had some monies in there, authorizations, for the Waukegan Port District for the expansion of the harbor. And I'm told it's in here, or is it?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "The \$3,000,000, Mr. Matijevich?"

Kane: "Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Which was authorized by the House?"

Kane: "...Let me answer that. Is that the port district authorization in this Bill goes from \$3.8 million to \$13.8 million or an increase in authorization for bonds of \$10,000,000. In the Appropriation Bill that we passed there was an appropriation for the Waukegan Port District of \$3.3 million and an appropriation for the Chicago Port District of \$10,000,000 for a total appropriation of \$13.3 million."

Matijevich: "Now, are you telling me the authorization went up 10, but the Bills that we passed appropriated 13 some?"

Kane: "Yes."

Matijevich: "Well, I'm not too sure I'm happy about that because I, you know, that could be that I'm on the short end of the stick. And I was sort of given the...the promise, if you will, that Waukegan would be included. And if I'm going to sit here at the last hours and say that the \$10,000,000 for the Chicago Port District is going to be authorization, and they're going to cut out Waukegan, then I don't have much 'choice' left in me, but I don't like it if that's what it means."



Kane: "No...no, the Authorization Bill is...is by...and has always been by general language..."

Matijevich: "I know that."

Kane: "...for total port districts."

Matijevich: "I know that."

Kane: "And then it's been appropriated from there; but it is true that the authorization went from \$3.8 million--now I don't know how much of that \$3.8 million has been...has been spent or whether that's still there."

Matijevich: "I don't either; but I was given a pretty clear indication that..."

Kane: "Mr...."

Matijevich: "Go ahead."

Kane: "...Representative McClain may have a statement to that point."

Matijevich: "I hope so."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Are you ready for the question? The question's on the Gentleman's motion that the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 2347. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative McClain."

McClain: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I'd like to put this in the record for Representative Matijevich, my seatmate. I've checked with the Capital Development Board now for the second time and they claimed that when the Governor signs this authorization there will be enough money in for the Waukegan Port District; and that is...so there is enough money in the authorization for the Waukegan District, and it is not just taking care of the Chicago Port District. If the Governor signs the authorization, the Waukegan Port District will be taken care of. I urge your 'aye' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "I'm not sure I can explain my vote because I did ask a question; but all I'm saying now is it's the type of Bill that holds us up to the end and we know that we have to pass it. But I think we ought to just get enough votes to make sure we get the 107. This is the type of Bill that we came back a week later in the last



Session and there was no reason...reason for it.. We knew we had to pass it and we just kept playing games on the last day. And those of us who were here doing our work were the ones that had to come back, the ones that weren't here didn't come back. We're going to play this game on this Bill and some will probably try to play that game on education, too, but we know it's got to be done. There's no reason why we've got to come back a week later. I urge a few more green lights."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 112 'aye' and 13 'no'; and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 2347 by the three-fifths Constitutional Majority. Representative Hudson."



Hudson: "Inquiry of the Chair, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Hudson: "Could the record show that I attempted to change my vote from 'yes' to 'no', on Senate Bill 1142; Conference... First... Second Conference Report?"

Speaker Redmond: "You say you did ask leave to change your vote? Is that correct?"

Hudson: "Yes. I'm asking leave."

Speaker Redmond: "Does the Gentleman have leave? Hearing no objection, leave is granted."

Representative Madigan in Chair.....

Speaker Madigan: "For what purpose does Mr. DiPrima arise?"

DiPrima: "Mr. Speaker, I would like leave of the House to have House Bill 933 taken out, wherever it's at now, and put on the Fall Calendar."

Speaker Madigan: "Does the Gentleman have leave? Leave being granted, it's placed on the Fall Calendar. Mr. Lechowicz for the Adjournment Resolution."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move that the House 'do adopt' House Joint Resolution 51, which states that when we adjourn today, Saturday, July 2, we will return back to the General Assembly on October 24, at 4 o'clock, p.m."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Tipword."

Tipword: "Mr. Speaker, I would suggest that that be held in abeyance until such time as we've acted on some very important legislation that's still here. Otherwise you might have to call another Special Session."

Speaker Madigan: "All those in favor of the adoption of the Resolution signify by voting 'aye', all those opposed ... in the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it. Senate Bill 752, Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move that the House do concur with the First



Corrected Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 752. As I'm sure everyone recalls, when this Bill left the House yesterday, it contained \$5,700,000 in the General Revenue Fund; \$568,500 of the County Appellate Fund. Now this is the funds that are contributed strictly by the county. \$94,000 in the Road Fund; and \$25,000 from the Metropolitan Fair and Exposition, Reconstruction Fund. In the Conference Committee, Ladies and Gentlemen, let me point out to you that this Conference Committee met with the staff in conjunction with the Membership of the Conference Committee for approximately five hours today. The result of the Conference Committee was the following: We added \$12,500 for the Agricultural Economic Fair Commission; \$65,400 for the Data Information Systems Commission; \$91,615 for the Economic Development Commission; \$95,000 for the Illinois Futures Task Force, which was passed by this General Assembly; \$20,000 for the Governor's Physical Fitness Act; \$85,000 for the Illinois Insurance Laws Commission; \$30,000 for the Laws Revision Commission; \$5000 for the Legislative Advisory Commission to the RTA; \$2000 to the Little Calumet River Flood Control Coordinating Committee; \$10,000 for the Pioneer Memorial Plaza; \$25,000 for the Recreation Council; \$374,200 for the Transportation Study Commission; \$320,000 for the Attorney General Public Health Trust Fund; \$40,000 to the Attorney General for the implementation of Senate Bill 997. \$24,000 for Liquor Control Commission; \$150,000 for the Department of Revenue for Home Rule Act; \$500,000 to the Department of Conservation; and \$200,000 to rewrite the Public Aid Code. So in addition it was a total of \$1,355,515 in General Revenue, \$374,200 of the Road Fund; \$320,000 in the Public Health Trust Fund, a total addition of \$2,490,715. I'll be more than happy to respond to any question. As the Bill passed out of the House, in



the Conference Committee at this time."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Madigan: "The Sponsor indicates that he will yield."

Cunningham: "The \$500,000 to the Department of Conservation. Is that the Urban Parks Bill that has been beaten three times on this floor?"

Lechowicz: "Mr. Cunningham, I'm sure you've seen the Committee Report. In fact you came over to this desk and asked us to find after it was submitted. It's contained on the second page of the report. It's in the sum of \$500,000 or so much thereof as may be required, is appropriated to the Department of Conservation for the establishment and operation of urban parks, in the provisions of Section 63 (a), 18 of the 'Civil Administrative Code of Illinois', approved March 6, 1917, as amended by the 80th General Assembly."

Cunningham: "May I speak to the Bill just a moment? I think the answer was 'yes' to my question. That's three...."

Lechowicz: "It also contains a \$200,000 to the Commission to revise and rewrite the Public Aid Code created by the 80th General Assembly. So kindly bring that into context Mr. Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker, if I may, just for a moment, the answer indicated by the illustrious Sponsor, three times the Bill had been beaten on the floor of the House, but if you've got the clout and you can push hard enough, you can get these things done. The chances of beating this Bill are about the same as the proverbial snowball. But I respectfully suggest to you that you'll feel better in your heart tomorrow if you rise up on your hind legs and vote 'no' against this Bill. You'll save the taxpayers of the State of Illinois an excess of \$8,000,000. You need to keep these things in mind as you go through... as you approach your moment of responsibility. The equal



rights for the criminal element that seems to predominate often in this House, sliced \$138,571 from the Prosecutor's Advisory Council. I regret that my colleague isn't here to give you his act in that regard. But you need to remember how ridiculous it appears for this to be described as the law and order organization and take that kind of a weapon away from those who are dedicated to enforcing the law. It's a rerun on last night's disgraceful performance when the equal rights for criminal group struck down the 'Class X' felony. That in itself should be enough reason, but we must not be motivated here entirely by our personal considerations and the fact that we have something on the Christmas tree. We have a responsibility to rise above that. I urge you in this instance, be strong enough to vote 'no', although you can't win."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, contrary to the last speaker, I urge you to vote 'aye' on this Bill and it may not be popular on my side of the aisle. But let me remind you a couple of things. Number one, that two years ago we went home and had to come back because we had not funded these last commissions. I would remind you also that the Gentleman on the second floor has got a pin and he can get rid of some of these things that are objectionable if he feels they are objectionable when they get to his desk. So I think the proper thing for us to do at four o'clock in the morning is to vote this out and let the Governor take the responsibility to eliminate ...of eliminating those things that aren't needed."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The Gentleman from Lawrenceville has put it very well in the eleventh hour. We continually get a Bill like this which has got as much garbage in it as you can get



in a City of Chicago sanitation truck. Many of the stuff
.....many of the proposals in here, the House and Senate
has considered and have been defeated...and the club
is put to our head at the last minute to pass most of
the stuffthe deliberative portion of this Session
of the General Assembly...the House and the Senate....
saw fit to defeat. I think it's only a responsible
position for those Members who look at what's in this
Bill, not to give an 'aye' vote to get it out of here at
the last minute and I would respectfully urge a 'no'
vote on this Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill
752."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Deavers."

Deavers: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Madigan: "The question is, shall the main question now
be put? All those in favor will signify by voting
'aye', all those opposedIn the opinion of the
Chair the 'ayes' have it and the Chair recognizes Mr.
Lechowicz to close the debate."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,
there was a very deliberate attempt by the staffs of both
sides of the....Not only in the House but in the Senate,
to address themselves to an issue which was discussed
previously, as far as this Bill is concerned, as it passed
the House, and to make sure that every Commission that
was funded last year was included in this Bill so that
there would not be the responsibility of having anyone
that was, by law, eliminated. We, in turn, try to pro-
vide the input that was necessary to get this done. Also
we took into consideration, Bills that were passed by this
House, that did not have an appropriation, and in turn
we provided them money to the Attorney General's Office,
to properly fund that agency. I think that in all fairness
this is a good Bill and is pointed out by Representative
Friedrich, and others on this floor, the real power lies



in the Governor and the Department of....Bureau of the Budget, as far as release of any funds. I'm sure that when this Bill comes back to this General Assembly, with an Amendatory Veto, some of the provisions that are in here will not be there and I'm sure that the House will probably confer with the Amendatory Veto. I tried to call this Bill at 7:30 this previous evening and eight hours later I'm on this floor with this Bill. I believe, yeah, I know what I said, and in turn in good faith, I walked over to Representative Ryan and asked him what his objections were. He told me what his objections were. And I, in good faith, tried to work them out. I expect.. I expect this House to act in good faith, either adopt this Conference Committee or not, but at this time I would hope the House would concur to the First Corrected Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 752. I recommend an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Madigan: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 752 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aya', all those opposed by voting 'no'. O'Brien to explain his vote."

O'Brien: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this is an important Commission Bill. There are several Commissions that are funded within this Bill and I think that Representative Lechowicz has done an excellent job with several Members on the other side of the aisle. Anybody that is willing to work eighteen hours for a Commission and the funding of a Commission that they think is important, I think, deserves the respect and an 'aye' vote from any Member who is here 'present'. If an individual is willing to stay this long you can count on that Commission doing the necessary work that would provide....that would make it an accountable session. In addition to that, the small opposition that we've seen has come from the other side of the aisle and their Governor is in the Governor's Chair and he has the pen and he can veto out what they want. All that they have to do is talk to him. I



encourage everybody to vote with Representative Ryan and Representative Lechowicz in this matter."

Speaker Madigan: "Have all voted who wish? Mr. Bowman, to explain his vote."

Bowman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'm not voting because I took the opportunity to take a nap and someone walked off with my key. So I'd appreciate it if they would come back with my key."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Williams to explain his vote."

Williams: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd like to take this opportunity too, to thank all of the work that went in on the part of the Appropriations Staff on both sides of the House here and also the Senate. I think, if nothing else, they really went all out and did a good job in putting this together and I see we have the votes and I really would like to thank the Sponsor for all of the work that he did on this Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 113 'ayes', 16 'no', 3 voting 'present', and Senate Bill 752, having received the Constitutional three-fifths required Majority is hereby declared passed. On the Order of Conference Committee Reports appears House Bill 689 (see special request, page 231...)



Speaker Madigan: "...On the Order of Conference Committee Reports appears House Bill 689. Mr. Brady."

Brady: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and fellow Members, I would move that we take House Bill 689, House Bill 2361 together for the purpose of the next Roll Call."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves that House Bill 689 be considered together with House Bill 2361. On that question, all in favor signify by voting 'aye', all those opposed by voting 'no'. This will require 89 votes. Mr. Kane to explain his vote."

Kane: "Mr. Speaker, I would respectfully ask for a division of this question, and the Speaker has previously ruled, and Robert's Rules specifically provide that it's the right of any Member to ask for a division of the question and that it's not subject to a majority vote of the House. And I would ask for a division of the question. It's my right as a Member. And I would ask you to so rule."

Speaker Madigan: "The ruling of the Chair is to deny your request, Mr. Kane. On this question, the Clerk shall take the record. There are 93 'ayes', 23 'nos', 1 voting 'present'; and the Gentleman's motion to hear House Bill 689 and House Bill 2361 together carries. Mr. Brady on House Bill 689 and House Bill 2361. Mr. Brady."

Brady: "Well, Mr. Speaker and fellow Members, I fully realize that we have been in Session over 16 hours at this very moment this given day. I think the emotions run high and the feelings run deep when we talk about education and what we want to do with education for the children of the State of Illinois. I appreciate everybody's concern, and I know that they're not all the same views as mine; but as one who has worked very closely on this issue and one who sees this now as a totally intertwined issue, I would like to give you just a few brief comments. I'm unhappy that the Governor of this state has chosen to see fit that he says education funding should be at the level that we see before us today. I'm unhappy that in the months of work that we've put in that we could not come out for a higher level of funding. But I also know as I stand here before you this morning that the problem we face is, when are we going to arrive at a decision that we on this side of the aisle



recognize ourselves with the lack of 107 Members on this side of aisle as the minority who has to fund education at a level that's being presented before us. I don't suggest that it's what everybody wants, it's certainly not the level that I want; but I implore you to think about this, to suggest that this level, which is the Governor's funding level, is the one that we are going to finally come down and fund. And the only thing we can do by delaying till tomorrow, the next day, next week or next month is not give the schools of Illinois an ample opportunity to find out exactly what they're getting and how they can best budget for it. Because of that, Mr. Speaker and fellow Members, I sincerely urge you to vote 'aye' on this issue."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Davis."

Davis, J.: "Mr. Speaker, the Chair has consistently ruled that any Member may request a division of the question. Now, if you persist in your ruling on this particular question, I will remind you that we are bound to this ruling by an Oral..."

Speaker Madigan: "The Doorkeeper please remain...remove these Gentleman from the Podium."

Davis, J.: "...by an Oral Verified Roll Call, if you persist. When you solve your problem, I'd like to know what the question is on my appeal to the Chair to reverse your ruling on consistency."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Ewing."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves the previous question. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', all those opposed by saying 'no'; in the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. Mr. Brady to close."

Brady: "Mr. Speaker, I urge a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Madigan: "Would the Doorkeepers please remove these Gentlemen from the podium, it's contrary to the rules. Mr. Brady."

Brady: "Roll Call."

Speaker Madigan: "The question is, shall House Bill 689 and House Bill 2361 pass? All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', all those opposed by saying 'no'. Give me that key down there. Mr. Brady to explain his vote."



Brady: "Mr. Speaker and fellow Members, I really regret that we as a legislative Body come down to the final line to have such disagreement among our Membership. But I think if we can reflect and pause on it a moment we all know that the issue before us is an acceptance of a funding level which as of July 1st has become a reality because we do not have the Membership to pursue a higher level. I don't think we serve ourselves as a Body very well by delaying this any longer."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. O'Brien to explain his vote."

O'Brien: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this is a tense moment, and I can tell you that I spent two years in the State Senate. And the two years that I spent in the State Senate, were not half the fun, were not half as interesting, were not half as stimulating; and I didn't enjoy it at all as I'm enjoying the time that I've had here in this Session. We've come without a doubt to the 13th hour. And there's no doubt in my mind that we have a General Assembly, this is the heart of the General Assembly, this room right here. No matter what happens in terms of personalities, interests and conflicts, we're going to be back here, we're going to be sitting next to one another, we're going to be dealing with these same issues affecting the people of the State of Illinois, affecting education; and we're going to have to deal with that problem. So I suggest, Mr. Speaker, we do the best job that we can with 177 Members that we have or those who have chosen to stick it out and fight out their principle and fight out their philosophy. And I suggest that we keep a cool head and I suggest we take this vote. And that tomorrow is another day. The problems will be here, the people will be here, the children will be here and the Governor will be here. And, Mr. Speaker, the House is much better than the Senate could ever think of being."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Lucco to explain his vote."

Lucco: "I rise for a matter of information. What is the procedure for one to call a Democratic Caucus at this time?"

Speaker Madigan: "You're not recognized for that purpose, Mr. Lucco."

Lucco: "I asked a question, I deserve an answer." I'm a Member of this



Democratic side, I want to know an answer. What is the procedure to get a Democratic Caucus? You know. 20 Members. Fine heart nothing. Will 20 sign the slip of paper now?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Matijevec to explain his vote,"

Matijevec: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of this House, we're all tired. Let's face it. And we're going to be tired if we come here next week, too; and as I said, the same people that are here are going to be here next week. I talked to some Democrats over here on the side...on this side of the aisle. One of them said, 'We're going to look good tonight if we hold the line, we're going to look good tonight.' I said, 'What's he going to do to us tomorrow? Do you think that it's going to change anything?' 'No, but we're going to look good tonight.' And I say to you that I don't care what the headlines are going to be tonight, I don't care what the headlines are going to be as to the Democrats because I know what the headlines will be. The headlines will be that the House fails to fund education. That's what the headlines will be. And I talked to another Member on this side of the aisle, and I said, you know what it's going to mean, we're going to be here next week. And he's voting 'no' and he said, 'I won't be here next week'. And I'm telling you that there are some here that are playing games with this. Now, I voted last Session; and it was a tough vote because I've preached like most Democrats that we believe in full funding. I preached it, I preached the politics that most of us have. Yet I supported Governor Walker and at that time I said, 'I don't know...I don't know if the Governor was playing politics with education and the young children in Illinois. I don't know'. And I said, when I signed the Conference Committee Report upstairs, 'I don't know if Governor Thompson is playing politics. I don't know if some of us on this side of the aisle are playing politics nor on that side of the aisle. But what I do know is that we've come to the 11th hour, we can't take another step. I don't care what anybody says, we can't take another step. We've got to fund education. I...I thought maybe...maybe that the Governor has underestimated the projections, the revenue projections, but I don't know for sure.



But all I know is that we've got to get our work done, that we've got to fund education for the students throughout Illinois. And I'm satisfied at this moment that we've reached that level that we can reach if we're going to have fiscal integrity. And if there's anymore to add, we can come back. We've done it before. We can come back. And I say that here and now that we've got to do our jobs, we've got to put up 107 votes, we've got to do what we have to. We can't play games anymore. I've seen them played, I've seen them played before; but I think that it's about time that we finish our jobs and put the 'aye' votes up there and go home and feel confident that when this July 4th weekend is over that we funded education."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Tuerk to explain his vote."

Tuerk: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, before I cast a vote at all on these two Bills, and this is certainly no reflection on you, Mr. Brady, because I've been here long enough to know what's going on, but I think this House of Representatives deserves to know precisely what's in these Bills. And I don't think the majority of the people know precisely what's in the Bills. I know what the bottom line is, yes; but I think we are entitled to an explanation in the second Conference Report, what grants went in the distributive and what...out of distributive into grants so that each and every one of us knows exactly what we're voting on. And at that time that's when I'll cast my vote."

Speaker Madigan: "Mrs. Geo-Karis to explain her vote."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it is now 3:33 a.m. Let's not kid ourselves. If we need more money, we can always have a supplemental appropriation later when we have more funds coming in. The people of Illinois are not going to understand us not passing an appropriation for education tonight and coming back. They're going to think we just wanted to get per diem and waste more and more time and tax money. I think the conscientious thing to do is let's vote this issue, if we need more money by the end of September we'll know what are funds will be. And I'm certain you can have supplemental appropriations. And I urge more 'aye'



votes so we can all go home and close it, instead of making political issues. I was here two years ago. I know I voted for it to override the Governor at that time. You can still do it if you don't like it; but, for heaven's sake, don't waste time. You know what the limitations are financially. And we can't fool the people, we can't fool ourselves."

Speaker Madigan: "Mrs. Satterthwaite to explain her vote."

Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this is probably the most important issue we have voted on during the six-month Session; and here we are without any explanation really of what even the bottom line is for these Bills. The Governor has consistently said that he will give \$75,000,000 new money to elementary and secondary education. From my understanding having listened to what went on in the Second Conference Committee for these Bills, we are \$5,000,000 under that. We are saying in these two Bills that we will give \$70,000,000 of new money to elementary and secondary education. Why? We have not been given any explanation as to whether the Governor has revised his figure or why we are choosing as a legislative Body to deny elementary and secondary education of \$5,000,000 that even the Governor said would be there to fund our school programs. We have also denied our own legislative process. This House sent a Bill to the Senate above this figure.. The Senate sent it back to us even higher for the Distributive Aid Formula. By this process if we accept this proposal we are negating what we did earlier in this Session, we are even negating what the Governor has said he will fund for elementary and secondary education this year. I think it is folly for us now to deny the schools the money that even the Governor has said they could have. If we accept these Conference Committee Reports, we are, indeed, going below what the Governor has said is there for elementary and secondary education. I don't think this legislative Body wants to do that, and I recommend that we reject these reports."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Robinson to explain his vote."

Robinson: "I can't find my key..."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Byers to explain his vote."



Byers: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. What we were trying to do in the Appropriations Committee was take money out of the grant section and put it in the Common School Fund; but what's been happening in the last few days has been going the other way. And all the school superintendents agree and the Governor himself has appointed a Commission to do away with mandated programs. And that's what we were getting at in working in our appropriation process. And the whole thing has been going backwards, and I think that this report should be rejected so we could put more money in the Common School Fund, cut back the grant section with other money we have, that we cut out in other programs. We have more than enough money to adequately fund education, the Common School Fund or the higher level. And that's the reason I'm voting 'no'. I think we should wait and we can always do this a week or so from now just as easily as tonight."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Hanahan to explain his vote."

Hanahan: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I'd like a verification of the Affirmative Roll Call at the proper time."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Stuffle to explain his vote."

Stuffle: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members, it should be pointed out not only as Representative Byers did that we're putting money in categorical funds instead of in the School Aid Formula; but also that we've capitulated to the Governor in its entirety in these two budgets. Four of the six Democrats on each of these Con...^{Conference} Conference Committees refused to sign either Committee Committee. I should also point out to those who say the real issue is whether or not we go home tonight. The real issue is that or whether or not we fairly distribute \$1.8 billion. And to those who say we're playing games, anyone who sat here and anyone who reads the newspapers tomorrow is going to know who played the games on this. The rule book's been torn up. We've asked for a verification, we've filed eleven names for a verification; and I somehow sincerely doubt we'll ever see that. I think people who are voting 'no' are voting the right way because this piece of legislation...these two pieces of legislation certainly don't do any of the things the people in this Body on this



side have talked about all Session, whether they were full funding or slightly below. They completely turned the situation around, instead of putting money in General Formula money, the money goes to categories. And if people want to go home, then let them go home; but I think \$1,800,000,000 is too much money to go home for. If we have to come back, I'll come back; if others don't want to, let them stay at home."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Deuster to explain his vote."

Deuster: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Second Conference Report is the end of the road. And for those who are voting 'present', or 'no' or not voting, what you're really doing in a way is comparable to striking the enacting clause of a Bill. You are throwing it in the trash can. Now, if you want to go back to your district and say when it really...when the legislative process worked its way down toward the end, and you had plenty of opportunities to express your views and to fight like the devil for your special interests or your approach, when it finally got down to passing a Bill through the House, through the Senate, through one Conference, and finally the end must come somewhere--the citizens grow impatient with us--when you get down to the Second Conference Report and you're a poor sport and you say, 'I'm going to take my marbles and go home', and 'kick the school children of Illinois, and strike the enacting clause, that's a terrible thing that you're responsible for. We're down to that last moment. None of us enjoy the choices, but the choice must be made; and at this time...this is a time when...if you want to strike the enacting clause, kill the Bill, bring it all to an end and have a Special Session, and that's what you think of the school children, so be it. But I urge more 'aye' votes. I think that this is a time for responsibility and for a time to face up to the fact that we've worked hard, we've done our best; but here is the moment, and now is the time to vote 'yes'."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Johnson to explain his vote."

Johnson: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, before we began the discussion on these two Bills, the best I could've voted on either



Bill was 'present'. I've heard the statements by the proponents and opponents and as much as I have objection on some of the lines the green...or the red votes to this Bill as combined, I think there's probably more equities in favor of it than against it. And as much as I have objection to the inclusion of several of the grants that would normally be included under 2361, I suppose to turn our back on the whole package at this point wouldn't be particularly responsible. It's one of the few areas where I can say that the debate on this particular issue has changed my mind; and I'm going to cast a vote green."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Peters to explain his vote."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we've been here a long time, we're tired, our nerves are on edge and frayed. A lot of misunderstanding in regard to this particular budget, people saying take it out of the categoricals, put in distributive. Categorical who? From orphan's tuition? From special education? From Americanization classes? From adult education? For the busing of handicapped children downstate? For busing downstate? Transportation of pupils? Take it from what line? What line? Take it from the \$5.9 million that this Legislature last night passed because of problems? And we talk about our obligation under full funding? We just funded some schools. Take it back this time? Who is cheating who? Who is cheating who? What are we talking about? Distributive education, \$1,249,000,000 last year. This year under this Bill, \$1,292,000,000; \$43,000,000 increase. That ain't peanuts. That ain't hay. Orphan's tuition, \$6,000,000 increased to \$9,000,000. Transportation for the handicapped from \$27,300,000 to \$28,700,000. Is that bad? Extraordinary costs for the schools from \$9,000,000 to \$10,000,000. Private tuition from \$8.2 to \$8.4. Personal reimbursement for special education from \$98.9 to \$106.2. That's bad? Gifted education, not much of an increase, from \$2.6 to \$2.7. Some want to cut it out entirely, make it a zero. Pupil transportation from \$36.4 to \$39.7. Not a bad increase. Helps keep taxes down, downstate helps keep those real estate taxes down downstate. Bilingual education, a lot of problems



with that. We had a vote here in this House in which we almost abolished bilingual education. You and I know that. You and I know that that this House voted out at \$8,000,000; but the same people who are screaming, 'Don't put money in the categoricals', will be the first ones when we get back up in Chicago, or to an I.D.I. or 'R.T.O.' meeting, or to a meeting with the newspapers that are going to say, 'Boy, we've got to do something for them poor Spanish people, and I sure wish as hell I could've been there to really do something for them'. The same people. Let me suggest that to you because that's what's going to end up coming up pretty soon. Vocational education, we left that where it was at, \$18.24. No mandated programs, no federal monies added to this. We could've made this line \$5,000,000 and wouldn't get 2 more cents of federal money out of that program. Adult education, \$6.3 to \$8,000,000. Public assistance, taking people off welfare, from \$3.2 to \$5.4. Do you want to throw that off the categoricals? And put it where? That program does more than pay for itself. This Committee did a bad job? They worked damn hard in trying to put something together within the confines of what we had available. Nobody says this is the best thing that we've got, nobody says that this is the best thing; but under the circumstances the politics involved, what Members of this House wanted in regard to textbooks and everything else, this is the best package that we could come up with. The absolute best package that we could do in an attempt to satisfy everybody a little bit."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Schneider to explain his vote."

Schneider: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The real trouble with speaking on education at this moment is because we presume that to be enlightening, and I don't think that's going to happen. The real apprehension I always seem to fear in this month and apparently in the last couple of days has been the ease with which we slip into the goon mentality. We placed into the podium a man who has been unresponsive to various fundamental and basic questions, the man we elected Speaker is as hard to hold onto as jello on a hot coat hanger. And we can't seem to find anyone who really wants to



speak to the things that are relevant and that are important. That Mr. Peters can categorize those categoricals with such comfort and ease about the Latinos is amazing, from the city that has an overwhelming population and has the humble problem last week or two, they are unable to elect the council member. We are unable to seat one Member in the Illinois General Assembly. If you're really serious about the Latinos, that's where it begins. It doesn't begin with raising bilingual \$500,000 or \$1,000,000. The fact remains is that the Governor has taken general categorical dollars, has placed them into categorical grants and has benefited specific groups at the expense of the total children...the funding for the children of the State of Illinois. The amount is pretty small. It doesn't really matter that much. It is...what is at stake, to me it seems, is the fact that there should be some deliberation. The Governor has chosen to go to transportation. Again, as I've said earlier, the hardest and most difficult programs to really justify in terms of a deficiency. I think if you're serious about students, even in the categoricals, you'll know there'll be some kind of accountability in personnel, there'll be some kind of accountability even in the bilingual programs, and to some extent the gifted. But right now it's kind of a ludicrous event. We are tired, but that's not a justification for throwing away debate. There is a justification for extending our time to deliberate on the most important and crucial question in the state. I ask you to vote 'no'."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Friedrich to explain his vote."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, we don't have anymore opportunities to amend these Bills. That time has passed some time ago. We don't have any opportunity to call another Conference Committee in which changes can be made. The only thing remaining is, are you going to vote for this Bill or aren't you? If you don't amend it, the Bill is dead and the consequences are that that's the last time you'll have a chance to fund education during this Session without starting all over with a Bill from scratch. Now, just stop and think a minute that the schools are getting a lot more money than



they did two years ago or last year. They have been given all the money that's available in the budget. We were down at the end of the year to less than two days running expenses for this state in the cash balance. It happened that that was the exact projection of the Bureau of the Budget. So now I think it is...I'm amazed at the people in my area of the state are willing to sit here and either vote 'no' or not vote when we are deciding whether the schools of Illinois is going to be raised from 89 percent funding up to 96 percent funding. Now, I don't know who you're fooling; but I'm telling you now the schools were promised 93 percent, they're getting 96 percent. There isn't any Santa Claus, they're getting all the money there is. So if you want to go home with this Bill dead and put the state to the expense of a Special Session, you go ahead and do it. It's all right with me because I'll be back here in a Special Session; but you explain to the people back home that you spent the state's money needlessly for a Special Session and not for education. You tell them that, I'm not going to."

Speaker Madigan: "Have all voted who wished? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 109 'ayes', 21 'nos', 7 voting 'present'; and House Bill 689 and House Bill 2361 having received the Conference...the Second Conference Committee Report on those two Bills having received the required three-fifths Constitutional Majority are, hereby, declared passed. The House is adjourned."



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
1.	11:00	Speaker Redmond	House to order
		Clerk O'Brien	Prayer
		Speaker Redmond	
		Geo-Karis	Introduction
2.		Speaker Redmond	Agreed Resolutions
		Giorgi	
		Speaker Redmond	Further Resolutions
3.		Clerk O'Brien	
		Speaker Redmond	
4.		Friedrich	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Friedrich	
		Speaker Redmond	
	11:55	Clerk O'Brien	Messages from the Senate
5.	1:17		Special Session Proclamation
6.		Speaker Redmond	Back at 1:45
	2:50	Bradley	Work in 15 minutes
		Houlihan	
7.	3:15	Speaker Redmond	House to order
		Taylor	CCR #1, HB-341
8.		Speaker Redmond	Roll Call for attendance
		Friedrich	
		Speaker Redmond	House adopts CCR #1
		Greiman	HB-845, CCR
		Speaker Redmond	
		Simms	Germaness?
		Speaker Redmond	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
9.		Bowman	
		Greiman	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Geo-Karis	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Matijevich	Germaness
10.		Speaker Redmond	
		Gaines	HB-973
		Speaker Redmond	
		Darrow)	Question
)	
		Speaker Redmond)	'107'
		Houlihan, J.	Supports
		Speaker Redmond	House concurs CCR #1
11.		Simms	HB-1594; CCR #1
	3:26	Speaker Redmond	House adopt CCR #1
		Walsh	HB-2402; CCR #1
12.		Speaker Redmond)	House adopts CCR #1
)	
		Geo-Karis)	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Abramson	SB-322; CCR #1
		Speaker Redmond	House adopts
13.		McMaster	SB-327...CCR #1
		Speaker Redmond	House adopts CCR #1
		Polk	SB-502; CCR #1
		Speaker Redmond	House adopts CCR #1
14.		Abramson	HB-1399; CCR #1
		Speaker Redmond	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Darrow	Amendment germane?
		Speaker Redmond	
		Darrow)	Two Conf. Com. reports
)	
		Speaker Redmond)	Discussion
)	
		Abramson)	Explains
15.		Speaker Redmond	House adopts First CCR
		Bowman	HB-1508; CCR #2
		Speaker Redmond	
16.		Walsh	Oppose
		Speaker Redmond	
17.		Stuffle	Question
	3:42	Bowman	
		Giorgi in Chair.....	
		Greiman	
18.		Speaker Giorgi	
		Ewing	favors
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Reilly	urges 'yes' vote
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Davis, J.	Moves previous question
19.		Speaker Giorgi	
20.		Bowman	to close
		Tipsword	Explain vote
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Walsh	HB-1508
		Speaker Giorgi	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Madigan in Chair.....	
		Porter	
21.		Katz.	To explain vote
		Speaker Madigan	
		Skinner	Explains vote
		Speaker Madigan	
		Dyer	Explains vote
22.		Speaker Madigan	
		Lauer	Explains vote
		Speaker Madigan	
		Walsh	Verification
		Speaker Madigan	
		Bowman	Poll absentees
	3:53	Clerk Hall	Polls absentees
		Speaker Madigan	
23.		Collins	"Breslin's name called"
		Speaker Madigan	
		Polk	"aye" and verified
		Speaker Madigan	
		Walsh	Withdraws verification
		Speaker Madigan	House adopted CCR #2
		Houlihan, D.	HB-1222 CCR #1 leave to correct CCR on face
24.		Speaker Madigan	Leave granted
		Houlihan	Proceeds
25.		Speaker Madigan	
		Totten	Yield



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Houlihan, D.))	
		Totten)	
		Speaker Madigan	House adopts CCR #1
		Simms	
26.		Speaker Madigan	
		Jaffe	HB-1769; CCR #1
		Speaker Madigan	House adopts CCR #1
		Capparelli	SB-1023; CCR #1
27.		Speaker Madigan	
	4:05	Lucco	Moves adopt Conf. Com. #1 SB-1142
		Speaker Madigan	
		Tipsword)	
)	Discussion
		Lucco)	
28.		Speaker Madigan	
		McMaster	
		Speaker Madigan	
29.		Houlihan, J.	Supports
		Speaker Madigan	SB-1142; CCR #1
		Edgar	Explains vote
30.		Speaker Madigan	SB-1142; 1st CCR adopted; SB-1039
		Garmisa	Moves revision and adoption #1 Conf. Com.
		Speaker Madigan	Leave granted
		Garmisa	Continues
		Speaker Madigan	
31.		Kane)	Question
		Speaker Madigan)	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u> <u>Response</u>
		Garmisa	
		Speaker Madigan	SB-1039 CCR #1 adopted; SB-1208
32.	4:15	Macdonald	Accept #1 CCR on SB-1208
		Speaker Madigan	SB-1208 CCR#1 adopted SB-1209
		Ewing	2nd CCR on SB-1209, moves adoption
		Speaker Madigan	
33.		Stuffle	opposes
	4:20	Speaker Madigan	
34.		Matijevich	TOOR
		Speaker Madigan	TOOR; SB-1373
		Rigney	Moves adopt CCR
		Speaker Madigan	
		Darrow)	Question: asks for ruling
)	
35.		Rigney)	Response
		Speaker Madigan)	
)	
		Darrow)	Parliamentary Inquiry
)	
		Speaker Madigan	
		Daniels	Question
36.		Rigney	Response
		Speaker Madigan	CCR...SB-1373
		Antonovych)	
)	
		Speaker Madigan)	CCR-SB-1373 adopted, HB-1829
)	
		Collins)	CCR #1 to 1829
)	
		Speaker Madigan)	Does not adopt
37.		Collins	HB-1109, request Conf. Com.



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Madigan	
		Antonovych	HB-2069, 1st Conf. Com. Rep.
		Speaker Madigan	Question
		Greiman	HB-1762
39.		Speaker Madigan	Con. Com. Rep. #1 adopted
		Laurino	Announcement
		Speaker Madigan	
40.		Hanahan	
		Speaker Madigan	
		Terzich	SB-345, 1st Con. Com. Rep.
		Speaker Madigan	
41.		Houlihan	Question
		Speaker Madigan	Adopted
		Ewing	SB-1209, 1st Con. Com. Rep.
		Speaker Madigan	
42.		Stuffle	Oppose
		Speaker Madigan	
43.		Giorgi	
		Speaker Madigan	
		Hudson	Moves previous question
		Speaker Madigan	
		Ewing	to close
		Speaker Madigan	
44.		Schneider	Explain vote.
		Speaker Madigan	
		Giorgi	
45.		Speaker Madigan	fails, HB-845, CCR #2



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Simms)	questions germaneness
46.		Speaker Madigan)	
		Matijeovich	
		Speaker Madigan	
		Geo-Karis	Amendment not germane
		Speaker Madigan	
47.		Greiman	is germane
		Speaker Madigan	Amendment not germane--ruling.
		Greiman	
		Speaker Madigan	
		Antonovych	HB-2069, Con. Com. Rep. #1
48.		Speaker Madigan	
		Kelly	
		Speaker Madigan	
		Getty	
		Speaker Madigan	adopted
		Kucharski	HB-2030, Con. Com. Rep. #1
		Speaker Madigan	Does not adopt
49.		Kucharski	Table
		Speaker Madigan	
		Totten	HB-364, Con. Com. Rep. #1
50.		Speaker Madigan	adopted
		Stuffle	HB-741
51.		Speaker Madigan	Vote to reconsider, adopted CCR #1.
52.		Hanahan	HB-1406, CCR #1
		Speaker Madigan	Hold that Bill
		Tipsword	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Madigan	
		Totten	HB-908; CCR #1
		Speaker Madigan	
53.		Polk	Point of personal privilege
		Speaker Madigan	
		Totten	
		Speaker Madigan	Adopted
		McPike	SB-1203...CCR #1
54.		Speaker Madigan	
		Johnson	
		McPike	
		Speaker Madigan	Adopted
		Clerk O'Brien	Messages from the Senate
		Speaker Madigan	
55.		Houlihan, J.	Ques. SB-752 'did Clerk read?'
		Speaker Madigan	'yes'
		Hudson	Leave for consid. HB-2032
56.		Speaker Madigan	CCR-HB-52
		Deuster)	HB-52, not accept CCR #1 and
		Speaker Madigan)	request CCR #2
			SB-29 not on calendar
			House does not adopt 1st CCR
			CCR #2 accepted on HB-52
57.		Davis, J.	HB-245, CCR #1
		Speaker Madigan	adopted
		Clerk O'Brien	
		SPEAKER GIORGI IN CHAIR.....	
		Speaker Giorgi	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Hudson	
		Speaker Giorgi	
59.		Hudson	HB-2033 CCR #1
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Houlihan, J.	
		Speaker Giorgi	adopted
		Clerk O'Brien	Announcement
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Catania	SB-881...CCR #2
		Speaker Giorgi	adopted
60.		Collins	HB-1109..CCR #2
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Houlihan, D.	Question
61.		Speaker Giorgi	
		Collins)	
		Speaker Giorgi)	Two Com. appointed
		Friedland	
62.		Speaker Giorgi	adopted
		Collins	HB-1829, CCR #2
		Speaker Giorgi	adopted
63.		SPEAKER MADIGAN IN CHAIR.....	
		Edgar	SB-926, CCR #1
		Speaker Madigan	adopted
		Brummer	SB-1309, CCR #2
	5:40	Speaker Madigan	adopted
64.		Houlihan, J.	'aye' on last Bill



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Madigan	Leave granted
		Bartulis	SB-1342, CCR #1
		Speaker Madigan	
65.		Marovitz)	Question
)	
		Bartulis)	Fish eggs
		Speaker Madigan	Adopted
		Mulcahey	HJR-24
		Speaker Madigan	
66.		Simms	Question
		Mulcahey	
		Speaker Madigan	
		Walsh	Question
		Mulcahey	
		Speaker Madigan	
		Conti	
		Mulcahey	
		Speaker Madigan	
		Friedrich	
67.		Mulcahey	
		Speaker Madigan	
		Darrow	
		Speaker Madigan	
		Cunningham	
		Speaker Madigan	
		Kosinski	
68.		Speaker Madigan	
		Conti	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Madigan	
		Friedrich	
		Speaker Madigan	
		Davis, C.	
69.		Speaker Madigan	
		Skinner)	Question
)	
70.		Davis, C.)	
71.		Speaker Madigan	HJR 24 adopted
		Holewinski	HR-371
		Speaker Madigan	
		Geo-Karis	
		Speaker Madigan	
72.		Clerk Hall	Reads Resolution
		GIORGI IN CHAIR.....	
73.		Geo-Karis)	Question
)	
		Holewinski)	
		Speaker Giorgi	
74.		Sevcik	Oppose
		Speaker Giorgi	
75.		Leverenz)	Yield
)	
		Holewinski)	
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Leverenz	Ask defeat
76.		Speaker Giorgi	
		McAuliffe	Oppose
		Speaker Giorgi	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Simms	Oppose
77.		Speaker Giorgi	
		Byers	
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Daniels	Move previous question
		Speaker Giorgi	
78.		Holewinski	To close
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Caldwell	Explain vote
		Speaker Giorgi	
79.		Levin	Explain vote
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Bowman	
		Speaker Giorgi	Motion lost
80.		Deuster	Move to table motion. Have refused to accept 1st CCR on HB-52
		Speaker Giorgi	Motion prevails
		Deuster	Move to accept CCR #1
		Speaker Giorgi	HB-52, CCR #1 adopted
		Cunningham	Point of personal privilege
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Mahar	SJR 28
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Byers	
		Speaker Giorgi	
81.		Hudson	
		Speaker Giorgi	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
82.		Mahar	To close
		MADIGAN IN CHAIR	
		Speaker Madigan	
		Geo-Karis)	
)	
83.		Mahar)	
)	
		Speaker Madigan	
		Geo-Karis	Explain vote
		Speaker Madigan	SJR 28
84.		Brandt	SJR 38
		Speaker Madigan	
		Levin)	Yield
)	
		Brandt)	
		Speaker Madigan	
85.		Conti	
		Speaker Madigan	
		Kane)	Yield
)	
		Brandt)	
86.		Speaker Madigan	
		Walsh)	Yield
)	
		Brandt)	
		Speaker Madigan	
87.		Tuerk)	Yield
)	
		Brandt)	
		Speaker Madigan	
88.		Huskey	Move previous question
		Speaker Madigan	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Brandt	To close
		Speaker Madigan	
		Pullen	
89.		SPEAKER REDMOND IN CHAIR.....	
		Jones, J.D.	Explain vote
		Speaker Redmond	
		Tipsword	Explain vote
90.		Speaker Redmond	
		Matijeovich	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Mahar	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Brandt	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Levin	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Kent	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Friedrich	
91.		Speaker Redmond	Motion adopted
		Collins	SJR #42
		Speaker Redmond	
		Robinson	
		Speaker Redmond	Resolution adopted
92.		Greiman	SB-152, 1st CCR
		Speaker Redmond	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
93.		Daniels	
94.		Speaker Redmond	
95.		Tipsword	
96.		Speaker Redmond	
		Tuerk	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Wikoff	Move previous question
		Speaker Redmond	
97.		Greiman	To close.
		Speaker Redmond	
98.		Huff	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Giorgi	
99.		Speaker Redmond	
100.		Jacobs	
		Speaker Redmond	
101.		Simms	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Greiman	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Greiman	Postponed Consideration
		Speaker Redmond	
102.		Greiman)	
)	
		Speaker Redmond)	
		Kane	Schedule?
		Speaker Redmond	
		Lechowicz	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
103.		Speaker Redmond	
		Ryan	TOOR
		Speaker Redmond	
		Lechowicz)	
)	
		Ryan)	
		Speaker Redmond	TOOR
		DiPrima	HB-933, CCR
		Speaker Redmond	
		Dunn, R.	
		Speaker Redmond	
104.		DiPrima	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Deuster	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Dunn, R.	Distributed
105.		DiPrima	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Telcser	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Collins	
		Speaker Redmond	
		DiPrima	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Dunn, R.	Verification
		Speaker Redmond	117 'aye'; 1 'no'.
106.		Dunn, R.	Withdraw verification
		Speaker Redmond	House adopts CCR #1



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Deuster	SB-29
		Speaker Redmond	House adopts CCR #1 SB-29
		Holewinski	HB-1105; CCR #2
107.		Speaker Redmond	House adopts CCR #2
		Houlihan, D.	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Collins	
108.		Speaker Redmond)	
)	
109.		Huskey)	HJR #47
		Speaker Redmond	
		Byers	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Johnson	
		Speaker Redmond	House adopts SJR #47
110.		Geo-Karis	Question
		Speaker Redmond	House at ease for 10 minutes
		AT EASE....	
		House to order...	
111.		Houlihan, D.	SB-596...CCR #2
		Speaker Redmond	
112.		Mugalian	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Houlihan, D.	Explain vote
		Mugalian	
		Speaker Redmond	House adopts CCR #2
113.		Clerk Hall	Agreed Resolutions



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Redmond	
		Giorgi	
		Speaker Redmond	Agreed Resolution adopted
		Levin	Point of personal privilege
		Speaker Redmond	
114.		Huseky	
		Speaker Redmond	AT EASE.....
		Leverenz	'Evil lurks'.....
		Speaker Redmond	
115.		Matijeovich	"Impersonations"
116.		Speaker Redmond	
117.		Deuster	
118.		Speaker Redmond	
		Matijeovich	Impersonates Jack Hill...
		Speaker Redmond	
119.		Collins	
120.		Speaker Redmond	
		Matijeovich	
		Dennis Orsey	
		Speaker Redmond	
121.		Mike Maske	Auctioneer
		Speaker Redmond	
		Geo-Karis	Imitating Matijeovich
		Speaker Redmond	
		Laurino	CCR #1...HB-1806
122.		Speaker Redmond	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Totten	Question
		Laurino	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Geo-Karis)	Question
)	
		Laurino)	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Houlihan, J.)	Question
)	
		Laurino)	
123.		Speaker Redmond	House adopts CCR #1
		Robinson	CCR #2...HB-2179
		Speaker Redmond	
124.		Simms)	Question
)	
		Robinson)	
		Speaker Redmond	
		McMaster	
125.		Speaker Redmond	
		Schneider	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Houlihan, J.	
		Speaker Redmond	House adopts 2nd CCR.
		Ryan)	Question
)	
		Terzich)	
)	
		Speaker Redmond)	
		Jones, J.D.	HB-1038, CCR #1
		Speaker Redmond	
126.		Johnson	
		Jones, J. D.	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Redmond	
		Tipsword)	Question
)	
		Jones, J.D.)	
		Speaker Redmond	House adopts CCR #1
127.		Brady	HB-689...CCR #1; move to nonconcur
		Speaker Redmond	
		Peters	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Byers	Substitute motion to concur
128.		Speaker Redmond	Byer's motion fails
129.		Brady	
130.		Speaker Redmond	House nonconcur
131.		Hanahan	HB-2361
		Speaker Redmond	
		Friedrich	Yield
		Speaker Redmond	
132.		Barnes	
		Speaker Redmond	Comittment honored
		Friedrich)	Question
)	
		Hanahan)	
		Speaker Redmond	
133.		Wolf)	Question
)	
		Hanahan)	
134.		Speaker Redmond	
135.		Schneider	
		Speaker Redmond	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
136.		Byers	
		Speaker Redmond	
137.		Caldwell)	Question
)	
138.		Hanahan)	
		Polk	Move previous question
		Hanahan	to close
139.		Speaker Redmond	HB-2361, 1st CCR
		Clerk O'Brien	Ora' verified Roll
140.		Barnes, E. M.	
		Clerk O'Brien	Continues
		Barnes, J.	
		Clerk O'Brien	Continues
		Speaker Redmond	
		Collins	Point of order
141.		Speaker Redmond	
		Clerk O'Brien	Proceeds
		Conti	
		Clerk O'Brien	Continues
142.		Davis, J.	'No'
		Clerk O'Brien	Proceeds
		Speaker Redmond	
		Gaines	Present
		Clerk O'Brien	Proceeds
		Speaker Redmond	
		Getty	'No'
143.		Clerk O'Brien	Continues
		Speaker Redmond	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Houlihan, J.	
		Clerk O'Brien	
		Kane	
144.		Clerk O'Brien	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Kempiners	'No'.
		Clerk O'Brien	
		Lucco	
145.		Speaker Redmond	
		Meyer	
		Speaker Redmond	
146.		Pechous	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Pullen	
		Clerk O'Brien	Continues
		Satterthwaite	
		Clerk O'Brien	
147.		Speaker Redmond	
		Tipsword	'No'.
		Clerk O'Brien	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Walsh	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Marovitz	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Clerk O'Brien	Continues
		Speaker Redmond	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
148.		Huskey	Change to 'no'.
		Speaker Redmond	
		Daniels	Change to 'no'.
		Speaker Redmond	Motion loses
		Hanahan	Wants name removed
		Speaker Redmond	Leave granted
149.		Barnes, E. M.	Same request
150.		Speaker Redmond	
151.		Jones, E.	
152.		Madigan	Move nonconcurrance
		Speaker Redmond	House nonconcurr
		Byers)	Question
)	
		Speaker Redmond)	
		Hanahan	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Winchester	HB-1033, CCR #1
		Speaker Redmond	
		Totten	Yield
153.		Winchester	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Ryan	
		Speaker Redmond	House adopts CCR #1
		Matijevich	HB-689, CCR #2 Announcement
		Speaker Redmond	HB-1736
154.		Polk	CCR
		SpeakerRedmond	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Totten)	Yield
)	
		Polk)	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Tipsword	Inquiry of Chair
		Speaker Redmond	House adopts
155.		Tipsword)	
)	
		Speaker Redmond)	
156.		Clerk O'Brien	Messages from Senate
		LECHOWICZ IN CHAIR.....	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Garmisa	Leave to suspend rule
		Speaker Redmond	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Totten)	Question
)	
		Garmisa)	
157.		Speaker Lechowicz	68E suspended (SB-1039)
		Garmisa	
		Speaker Lechowicz	SB-1143
158.		Daniels	Move to accept Am. Veto
		Speaker Lechowicz	Motion prevails
		Geo-Karis	Introduction
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Greiman	SB-152, Suspend rules
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Totten	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Daniels	Explain reason



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
159.		Greiman	Move House not accept CCR #1
160.		Speaker Lechowicz	2nd CC requested
		Greiman	Move suspend rules
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Daniels)	
)	
		Greiman)	Leave to suspend rules
		Speaker Lechowicz	
161.		Totten)	Point of inquiry
)	
		Speaker Lechowicz)	Leave granted
		Hanahan	Suspend rule 58 E; HB-1406
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Simms)	Question
)	
162.		Hanahan)	
		Speaker Lechowicz	"Excuse me"
		Simms)	Proceeds
)	
		Hanahan)	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Geo-Karis)	Question
)	
163.		Hanahan)	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Totten)	Objects
)	
164.		Hanahan)	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Conti)	
)	
165.		Speaker Lechowicz)	Question on 152
)	
		Hanahan)	2nd CC



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
166.		Conti)) Hanahan)	
167.		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Hanahan.	HB-1406, CCR #1, move not to concur
		Speaker Lechowicz	Motion prevails
168.		Geo-Karis	Point of order
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Flinn	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Tuerk	"Baseball game"
169.		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Darrow	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Kosinski	SB-624...House Am. #1
170.		Speaker Lechowicz	TOOR
		Giorgi	Announcement
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Bowman	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Kosinski	SB-624...House Am. #1
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Walsh	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Kosinski	Explain vote
		Speaker Lechowicz	House recesses Am. #1
171.		Bowman	



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

 STATE OF ILLINOIS
 HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Boucek	Move adjourn
		Speaker Lechowicz	Not recognized for that
		Kozubowski	Leave to suspend rule 68-D & E
172.		Speaker Lechowicz)	Leave
)	
173.		Deuster)	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Vitek	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
174.		Chapman)	Resolution 416, 417, 418
)	
175.		Speaker Lechowicz)	
		Waddell	
		Speaker Lechowicz	Withdraw
		"Tomato" Ryan	
		SPEAKER REDMOND IN CHAIR	
176.		Clerk O'Brien	"What day and time"
177.		Speaker Redmond	
		Clerk O'Brien	Messages from the Senate
178.		Brummet	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Steczo	Ball scores
		Speaker Redmond	
		Kempiners	Leave to suspend rule SB-735, 1st CCR place on Fall Calendar
		Speaker Redmond	Leave granted
179.		Houlihan, J.)	Explain?
		Kempiners)	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Redmond)) Hanahan)	include 1406
		Speaker Redmond Daniels)) Hanahan)	Object
180.		Speaker Redmond Houlihan, J.)) Speaker Redmond)	Kempiner's motion passed
181.		Hanahan Speaker Redmond Ewing Speaker Redmond Hanahan Speaker Redmond Conti Speaker Redmond	Asked to amend motion Hanahan moves to reconsider vote on SB-735. Point of order
182.		Ewing Speaker Redmond Anderson Speaker Redmond Lucco Speaker Redmond Byers Speaker Redmond	Move Hanahan's motion lie on the table Remove name from per diem
183.		Flinn Speaker Redmond	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Matijevich	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Friedrich	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Hanahan	Point of order
		Speaker Redmond	
184.		Daniels	Parliamentary Inquiry
		Speaker Redmond	
		Kempiners	Leave to table SB-735
		Speaker Redmond	Don't have Bill here
		Kempiners	Tabled automatically
185.		Speaker Redmond	
		Lucco	SB-1142
		Geo-Karis)	Question
)	
		Speaker Redmond)	
		Lucco	SB-1142...CCR #2
		Speaker Redmond	House adopts CCR #2
186.		Telcser	SB-496..CCR #1
		Speaker Redmond	
		Houlihan, J.)	Question
)	
		Telcser)	
		Speaker Redmond	
188.		Bowman)	Question
)	
		Telcser)	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Rigney)	Yield?
)	
		Telcser)	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Redmond	
		Deavers	Move Previous Question
		Speaker Redmond	
		Telcser	To close
		Speaker Redmond	
189.		Matijevich	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Collins	
		Speaker Redmond	House adopt 1st CCR
		Hanahan	Remove name from 2361
		Speaker Redmond	Leave granted to amend on face
		Totten	Point of order
		Speaker Redmond	
190.		Lauer	1317
191.		Speaker Redmond	
		Collins	asks people leave floor
		Speaker Redmond	
191.		Taylor)	Question?
)	
		Lauer)	
		Speaker Redmond	
192.		Robinson)	Yield?
)	
		Lauer)	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Van Duyne	Answers question
		Speaker Redmond	
		Byers	
		Speaker Redmond	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Hudson	Moves previous question
		Speaker Redmond	
		Lauer	to close
		SPEAKER LECHOWICZ IN CHAIR.....	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
194.		Skinner	explains vote
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Speaker Redmond	explains vote
		Speaker Lechowicz	
195.		Lauer	explains vote
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Mugalian	explains vote
		Speaker Lechowicz	
196.		Ewing	explains vote.
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Tipsword	explains vote
		Speaker Lechowicz	
197.		Kent	explains vote
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Deuster	explains vote
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Dyer	explains vote
198.		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Skinner	verification
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Conti	explains vote



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Jones, J.D.	explains vote
		Speaker Lechowicz	
199.		Friedrich	explains vote
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Taylor	explains vote
		Speaker Lechowicz	House concurs in CCR SB-1317
		Leverenz	Change to 'no'.
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Byers	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
200.		SPEAKER REDMOND IN CHAIR....	
		Speaker Redmond	
201.		Taylor	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Taylor	explains CCR #1 to HB-391
		Speaker Redmond	
202.		Kelly	opposes
		Speaker Redmond	
203.		Taylor	to close
		Speaker Redmond	
		Huff	supports
		Speaker Redmond	
204.		Lauer	supports
		Speaker Redmond	
		Chapman	supports
		Speaker Redmond	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
205.		Taylor	explains vote
		Speaker Redmond	
		Robinson	explains 'aye' vote
		Speaker Redmond	
		Wolf	
		Speaker Redmond	
206.		Pullen	explains 'aye' vote
		Speaker Redmond	
		Lechowicz in Chair.....	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Totten	inquiry of the Chair
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Clerk Hall	Polls the absentees
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Steczo	record me 'aye'.
207.		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Houlihan, J.	
		Clerk Hall	Continues
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Houlihan, J.	record me 'aye'
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Bartulis	record me 'aye'.
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Sevcik	'present' to 'aye'.
		Speaker Lechowicz	House concurs in CCR #1 to HB-391
		Giorgi	explains CCR #2 to SB-152



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Lechowicz	
208.		Daniels	
		Speaker Lechowicz	House concurs in CCR #2 to SB-152
		Younger	explains CCR in error
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Brady	explains CCR #1 to SB-1025
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Brady	
209.		Speaker Lechowicz	House adopts CCR #1 to SB-1025 (sports)
210.		Marovitz	Point of information
		Speaker Lechowicz	
211.		Terzich	explains HB-840
		Speaker Lechowicz	adopts CCR #1 to HB-840
212.		Terzich	go to HB-841??
		Speaker Lechowicz	leave granted
		Terzich	explains CCR I to HB-841
		Speaker Lechowicz	
213.		Walsh	opposes
		Speaker Lechowicz	
214.		Mugalian	opposes
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Dunn, R.	opposes
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Kane) germane?
		Speaker Lechowicz)
		Huff	moves previous question
		Speaker Lechowicz	prev. ques. moved.....



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Terzich	to close
215.		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Van Duyne	supports
		Speaker Lechowicz	
216.		Terzich	explains vote
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Van Duyne	not finished
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Skinner	opposes
		Speaker Lechowicz	
217.		Terzich	more votes?
		Macdonald	explains vote 'no'.
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Vitek	explains vote 'aye'
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Terzich	postponed consideration
218.		Speaker Lechowicz	leave granted
		Collins	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Terzich	explains CCR #1 to SB-997
		Speaker Lechowicz	
219.		Skinner	Yield?
		Terzich	
220.		Speaker Lechowicz	concur in CCR #1 to SB-997
		Kane	explains CCR #1 to HB-2347
		Speaker Lechowicz	
221.		Totten	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Lechowicz	
222.		Matijevich)	Yield?
)	
		Kane)	questions
		SPEAKER REDMOND IN CHAIR.....	
		Speaker Redmond	
		McClain	answers Rep. Matijevich
		Speaker Redmond	
		Matijevich	explains vote
223.		Speaker Redmond	concurr in CCR #1 to HB-2347
		Hudson	Inquiry of Chair change vote on CCR #2 5o SB-1142
		Speaker Redmond	leave granted
		MADIGAN IN CHAIR.....	
		Speaker Madigan	
		DiPrima	leave to have HB-933 put on Fall Calendar
		Speaker Madigan	leave granted
		Lechowicz	HB-51 (Adjournment Resolution)
		Speaker Madigan	
		Tipword	Hold HR-51
		Speaker Madigan	HR-51 is passed
225.		Lechowicz	explains CCR #1 to SB-752
		Speaker Madigan	
226.		Cunningham)	Yield? (opposes)
)	
227.		Lechowicz)	discussion
		Speaker Madigan	
		Friedrich	supports



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Madigan	
228.		Totten	opposes
		Speaker Madigan	
		Deavers	moves previous question
		Speaker Madigan	previous question is moved
229.		Lechowicz	to close
		Speaker Madigan	
230.		O'Brien	explains vote (supports)
		Speaker Madigan	
		Eowmar	no keys
		Speaker Madigan	
		Williams	supports
		Speaker Madigan	CCR #1 to SB-752 is passed
231.		Brady	moves to take HB-689 to HB-2361 together
		Speaker Madigan	
		Kane	wants a division
		Speaker Madigan	denies Kane's request
232		Brady	explains HB-689 & HB-2361 Con. Com.
		Speaker Madigan	
		Davis, J.	ruling on Kane's request
		Speaker Madigan	
		Ewing	moves previous question
		Speaker Madigan	previous question is moved
		Brady	to close
		Speaker Madigan	
233.		Brady	explains his vote



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Madigan	
		O'Brien	explains vote
		Speaker Madigan	
234.		Lucco	inquiry
		Speaker Madigan	
235.		Matijevich	explains vote
		Speaker Madigan	
		Tuerk	explains vote
		Speaker Madigan	
236.	3:30	Geo-Karis	explains vote
		Speaker Madigan	
		S'atterthwaite	explains vote
		Speaker Madigan	
237.		Robinson	no keys
		Speaker Madigan	
		Byers	explains vote
		Speaker Madigan	
		Hanahan	verification at proper time
		Speaker Madigan	
238.		Stuffle	explains vote
		Speaker Madigan	
		Deuster	explains his vote
		Speaker Madigan	
239.		Johnson	explains vote
		Speaker Madigan	
240.		Peters	explains his vote
		Speaker Madigan	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Schneider	explains vote
241.		Speaker Madigan	
242.		Friedrich	explains vote
		Speaker Madigan	House concurs in CCR #2 to HB-689 and HB-2361
			HOUSE STANDS ADJOURNED!!!!

