

1.

Doorkeeper: "Attention Members of the House of Representatives, the House will convene in fifteen minutes."

Doorkeeper: "All persons not entitled to the House, please retire to the gallery. Attention Members of the House of Representatives, the House will convene in five minutes."

Speaker Redmond: "The House will come to order and the Members please be in their seats. We will be led in prayer this morning by the Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Let us pray, Lord bless this House and all those who serve and work here. Amen."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Geo-Karis is in the chamber as, Representative Kosinski. The father of Senator Kosinski. Former Cardinal scout is here, good scout Lucco. Now that Lou Brock might be running out.... why ^{One Day} are they looking for replacements.... take a look at Chalkie. House will be at ease till the call of the Chair."

Speaker Redmond: "The House will come to order, we'll turn the Chair over to Representative Kozubowski."

Kozubowski: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I have a rather unique and distinct privilege this morning in introducing to you Senator Oliver O'Casey from the State of Ohio who is the Regional Chairman for the Council of State Government, Midwestern Conference. The Senator is here today seeing how the operation of government goes on in Illinois and it is certainly a distinct pleasure for me to introduce him to you. Senator O'Casey for a few words."

Senator O'Casey: "Good morning. Mr. Speaker and Walt and distinguished Members of the Illinois House. I would invite you first to come to Columbus, Ohio on the seventeenth to twentieth of July when the Council of State Government for the Midwest Conference will be held. I would particularly invite you because the Vice Chairman, Walt, is going to be installed as the Chairman. He will succeed me in that



2.

position and I wish him well. I am overwhelmed by what I have already learned this morning. I'm not going to tell you some of the secrets of Ohio but, as the leader of the State Senate, I never put more than three Bills on the Calendar in any one day. And I've been looking at some of the Senate Calendars, I even saw one for the House for May 4, which I'm going to take back with me because if anybody in Ohio thinks they are being overworked, I suggest that they come to Springfield, Illinois to find out what is done. I've got to get back this evening because we don't have our budget passed. I've passed the budget in the Senate, all three of them but the House is still deliberating. We're still conferring, we're still negotiating. But I bring you greetings from the midwest office of the Council State Government, housed in Chicago, I've been in the State Senate for nineteen years and I've been in the State of Illinois for two Conferences, Pheasant Run, I believe was one that we had. But, may I thank you for this courtesy and I indeed invite you to come to the Conference when your own Member Walt will be installed as the Chairman. I wish you well in your budgetary deliberations this week and I indeed am going to take the House Calendar home from the great State of Illinois and show it to the Speaker of my House and tell him, quit complaining that you can't get the Senate Bills through, we've got to start putting more than three on the Calendar everyday, of course we go on forever, you know... most all year long. We're going to take August off but we have a much more leisurely pace and I do want to commend you, when I heard.... I was in Atlanta with Walt at a meeting this weekend and he had to leave and go home and I said, what for? And he said, because you were meeting on Saturday and Sunday and I said, that couldn't be. I have trouble getting my members to show up four days a week, much less seven. So, thank you



again and congratulations Walt and Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, could you hurriedly get a hundred and seventy-seven tickets to the Michigan and Ohio State game this year. As long as he is still in the chambers."

Speaker Redmond: "I understand that's one of the prerequisites of the...Walt Kozubowski is going to have as President of the Council. He is going to be able to get tickets for Michigan and Ohio State games. Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, there are those unkind persons who are attempting to equate the 1977 Cubs with the 1969 Cubs. I should like to call to your attention, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, that the 1969 Cubs had to wait until June 29, 1969, to be twenty-three games over five hundred. The 1977 Cubs did it yesterday. We're three days ahead, Mr. Speaker towards what end I don't know."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lauer."

Lauer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The remarks of the last Gentleman reminds me of my own situation because in Lincoln we're certainly on the dividing line between those who are loyal to the Cubs and those who are loyal to the Cardinals. I keep a sign sitting on my desk in Lincoln that reminds us Cub fans that the Cubs are like septic tanks, they always dry up in September."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman from South Bend, Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Mr. Speaker, when do you plan to go to the order of baseball scores?"

Speaker Redmond: "Now that Monday is here we can do it."

Leinenweber: "I would just point out that the magic number is now 88... 87 between the Cubs and the Cardinals."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McPike."

McPike: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. For the last speaker's information, I have the baseball scores from yesterday, I would



like to read them. 6-4-9-3, 4-2-4-1, 7-5 and the last game was a great one, 1 to 0."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I want to say.... as I recall, the Executive Committee did a study last Session of some of the states and their Legislatures and as I recall, we did quite a study about Ohio and their processes and I'm not sure but I believe Ohio uses extensively the Committee Bill system and they also have a Bill invitation so, Those who are afraid in those two matters we ought to really take a hard look at Ohio because I think we will be more deliberative and our end product will be much better too."

Speaker Redmond: "They have three Appropriation Bills, no Floor Amendments. Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "I don't believe that Representative Matijevich is listening. He said they are in Session all the year around, now if that's what he wants let's don't pattern after Ohio."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Flinn."

Flinn: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I think the first things we ought to adopt is their four day a week... those three days, Matijevich amended it to three days."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevich, have you ever done an Art Telcser impersonation?"

Matijevich: "I do a Dick Mautino though. I'll do Art Telcser, the last day and maybe Jaffe too. Jaffe always has a few words that are the same and.... Lechowicz has a few words that inturn, inturn this and inturn that. We'll do a few more on the last day."

Speaker Redmond: "When is that?"

Matijevich: "I hope that's tomorrow."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Boucek."

Boucek: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, just a moment ago the great Legislator, enacting all the



farm legislation walked down the aisle and today is his birthday and on behalf of some of the staff, I want to give him this little present and I want to tell him to be very careful with it and Happy Birthday, leader of the Farm Bills. Harlan Rigney."

Speaker Redmond: "Don't let our bomb disposal squad get after that, you know what they will do..... Representative Rigney. Representative Capparelli, are you seeking recognition? Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, I noticed that on the floor the entire, I believe at least the bulk of the entire Republican Leadership is off the floor and everybody but yourself and Representative Brummet is off the floor. I wonder you know in the Session when Speaker Blair was Speaker, one of the things that was most irritating to the Members which I think led then to the questioning of his Leadership and the Democratic Leadership was the fact that the Leadership was off having negotiations and discussions, legitimate, I might add, about issues that should be resolved but we were kept in Session, sort of background music for that discussion and that there was no consideration of our time and our schedule and they went on and on with their conversation and really got nowhere. And I wonder if we could try to respond to that issue and have us in Session when we're going to do something and not in Session when we're just background music for their conversation."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'm sorry that my very dear and good friend and neighbor, Representative Houlihan didn't see that I was on the floor but I must add that the background music that he plays is very enjoyable."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Corneal Davis was also here. Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, somebody left their purse on my



desk, if any of you Gentlemen lay claim to it, it is over here."

Speaker Redmond: "Does it match your shoes. James Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Well, Mr. Speaker that was a serious comment, it wasn't in jest and I notice that Representative Telcser moved quickly from the rear and was coming to the floor and I notice other Members came on the floor. It is a very serious problem, I think, Mr. Speaker. There are issues that are at an impact and there has to be negotiations between the Leadership of both sides of the aisle. But I think if that discussion takes place we ought to be able to be either in our offices or doing other state work and not be sitting here twiddling our thumbs!"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijeovich."

Houlihan: "No matter how enjoyable Representative Matijeovich's imitations....."

Matijeovich: "Well, I just want to tell Jim that one of those absent was Zeke Giorgi and I've been sitting next to him all Session and he tells me that he doesn't know what's going on, so worry about it, Jim."

Speaker Redmond: "Roll Call for attendance. Senate Bills, Second Reading. Senate Bill 496, Representative Telcser. Is there any Amendments on that one, you know? Can that one move along?"

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, I think there are some Amendments filed, so if I may I will start with the numbers."

Speaker Redmond: "496, Representative Matijeovich."

Matijeovich: "Mr. Speaker, I think there is a motion on one of the Amendments by Representative Hoxsey, she has a motion on one Amendment that had to be changed as I recall and she is on the phone right now. But I think...."

Speaker Redmond: "How about 501? Ralph Dunn."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 501, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the ordinary and contingent expense of the Department of Corrections. Second Reading of the Bill,



Amendments #1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6, were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any motion or Floor Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "There is a motion to table Amendment #5, by Representative Peters."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Peters on the floor? Out of the record. How about 752? Out of the record. How about 496? I don't know, Representative Hoxsey, do you have a motion on 496?"

Clerk O'Brien: "There is a motion to table Amendment 8, by Dan Houlihan, 14 by Hoxsey on Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Telcser, can we move on 496? Read 496, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 496, a Bill for an Act making certain appropriations for the Capital Development Board for permanent improvements, grants and related purposes. Second Reading of the Bill, Amendments #1 through 4 were adopted in Committee. Amendments #5 and 6 were withdrawn. Amendments #7 through 20, were adopted in Committee. Amendment #21 was withdrawn, Amendments 22 through 29, were adopted in Committee. Amendment #30, was withdrawn and Amendments #31 through 36 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to any of those Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "A motion to table Amendment #8, to Senate Bill 496 by Representative Dan Houlihan."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, the Amendments are not distributed as yet and I was wondering if we could take this out of the record until such time that they are."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. Maybe we should go to the Order of concurrence. On the Order of concurrence... Representative Hudson."

Hudson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Inquiry of the Chair please. Would I be out of order to request a change in vote on a concurrence that took place yesterday? Would not affect



anything."

Speaker Redmond: "I have been advised that it would affect the Journal either because its already gone to the printer."

Hudson: "As a matter of record, would it affect that?"

Speaker Redmond: "No, it will be on the tape, that's all we can say."

Hudson: "It would be in the Journal for today? Well, my request, Mr. Speaker then would be that my vote on concurrence, Senate Amendment #1 on House Bill 1433 be changed from a 'no' to a 'yes'. That was an inadvertent vote on my part."

Speaker Redmond: "Does the Gentleman have leave? Hearing no objections, leave is granted. On the order of concurrence appears House Bill 317, Representative Ewing. Ewing on 317. Will the Members.... what was the number of that Bill, Representative Hudson? Hudson. What was the number of the Bill and how do you want to be recorded?"

Hudson: "Mr. Speaker, the number of that Bill was House Bill 1433."

Speaker Redmond: "And how do you want to be recorded?"

Hudson: "I want to be recorded as voting 'yes' on Senate Amendment #1, on concurrence."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay. Representative Giorgi, for what purpose do you arise?"

Giorgi: "Well many times, Mr. Speaker it is very simple to allow a person to vote and I think we never deny it to anyone but I want the record to show that that is a Bill that does take money from the Agriculture premium funds for the farm of agriculture and that department is agriculture premium dollars are gambling dollars and let the records show that under his vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ewing."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, yesterday this Bill was up and Representative Dan Houlihan asked that I take it out of the record, if they're satisfied



with it I'll proceed."

Speaker Redmond: "Dan Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Well, if he could.... we can go with it but if he would explain each of the Amendments."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, we would want to move to nonconcur in Amendment #1, which is in improper form and it is also duplicate of Amendment #3. Amendment #2, puts in an immediate effective date. We would want to concur in that. Amendment #3, changes the form of the Bill and it eliminates the absolute requirements that the court appoints a designated conservator and requires it makes the appointment if it is in the best interest of the incompetent. It leaves the final discretion to the court as the Bill was originally written that would have been very little court discretion in whether they appoint the person as conservator who the incompetent had designated in a will or written document that they wished to have appointed. I do not object to Amendment 2 and 3 and would move to concur in them."

Houlihan: "And to nonconcur in #1?"

Ewing: "Nonconcur in #1 as this is duplicative of #3, but it was in improper form."

Speaker Redmond: "Dan Houlihan. The question is on the Gentleman's motion to concur in Senate Amendment #2 and 3, to House Bill 317. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 1.... its we concur on 2 and 3. The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 140 'aye' and no 'nay' and the motion carries and the House concurs in Amendments 2 and 3, to House Bill 317. The Gentleman has moved now that we now concur in Amendment #1. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the House nonconcur in Amendment #1. 364, Representative Totten. Representative Dan Houlihan."

Houlihan: "The Majority Leader wants to talk to Representative



10.

Totten on this and he will be here in about five minutes if Representative Totten would be gracious enough to remove from the record at this point."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. 618, Flinn, Monroe Flinn. Mark, the wrong one is out of the record. Go ahead, 618, Representative Monroe Flinn."

Flinn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Amendment #1 and 3, to House Bill 618 does basically one thing. #3, first puts some corrective language in the #1 Amendment. But, what #1 does is provide... removes ambiguity from the present law covering water districts to provide some absolute language that they can emerge with sewer companies or purchase sewer companies or build sewer companies within the same law. And I'm in agreement with both Amendments and move that we do concur with these two Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur with Senate Amendments #1 and 3, to House Bill 618. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 133 'aye' and no 'nay' and the House concurs in Amendments... Senate Amendments 1 and 3, to House Bill 618. 509. Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 509 is a technical Amendment suggested by the Illinois Library Association. And I move that the House concur with it."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1. Representative Darrow."

Darrow: "Mr. Speaker, would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Darrow: "Representative Schlickman, although you call it a technical Amendment would you explain exactly what it does



so we aren't just voting on technicalities."

Schlickman: "Sure, I apologize. In the Bill as it was introduced and as it was passed by the House, we made reference to public library. We meant public library building, so the word building was inserted after the word public library. That's #1, #2 we deleted the requirements that the library district wholly contain a part of a township library which was not intended so that the word wholly was removed and the word partially was left in. This does not make any substantive change with respect to the Bill."

Darrow: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on Representative Schlickman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 509. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 133 'aye' and 1 'no' and the House concurs in Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 618. 804, Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 804 is an immediate effective date. I move that we concur with it."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 804. Those in favor vote 'aye', Opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 134 'aye' and no 'nay' and the House concurs in Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 804. 992, Representative Stanley. Out of the record. 1096, Representative Holewinski. Out of the record. 1182, Representative Huskey."

Huskey: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, on Amendment #1 there is a technical error, it's doing the opposite of what it is suppose to do. It's a Senate Amend-



ment and I'll ask that you do not concur with Amendment #1."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved that we nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the House nonconcur in Amendment #1. How about Amendment #3?"

Huskey: "Amendment #2 was deleted in the Senate, #3, I move it... it's in order, I move that we do concur on Amendment #3."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? Representative Mugalian."

Mugalian: "Mr. Speaker, I wonder if the Sponsor would take this out of the record for about five or ten minutes on Amendment #3. We're kinda concerned about that one..... not until we fully understand its implication."

Huskey: "Yes, I'll be glad to."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record."

Mugalian: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "1191, Representative Huskey."

Huskey: "Representative Mahar on this, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mahar on 1191."

Mahar: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I've been handling 1191 for Representative Huskey. What the Bill does, it establishes minimum standards for medicare supplemental insurance and requires that certain practices be followed by insurance companies when they present supplemental insurance programs. Amendment #1 deletes certain mandatory practices in marking medicare supplement and provides that if insured by the supplement and it fails to meet the minimum standards that policy may be returned and a premium refunded. Amendment #2, revises certain minimum standards, Amendment #3, is amended to allow Blue Cross and Blue Shield to participate and Amendment #4, deletes the minimum coverage for in-patient's psychiatric care. I might say that all these



13.

Amendments have been done over by the Department of Insurance and are agreed to and I would move for concurrence in Amendment #1, 2, 3 and 4, to House Bill 1191."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendments 1, 2, 3 and 4, to House Bill 1191. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 138 'aye' and no 'nay' and the House does concur in Senate Amendments 1, 2, 3 and 4, to House Bill 1191. 1200, Representative Totten. Representative Totten on 1200? Out of the record. 1315, Dan Houlihan... out of the record. 1327, Representative Mahar."

Mahar: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 1327 allows the city manager to dismiss a police chief or fire chief under the Section of the Municipal Code which deals with managers that are.... become managers by referendum only. Presently the system is that they can be by the police chief and fire chief can either be hired and fired by the Police and Fire Commission or in the council manager form of government by the manager in referendum Municipalities. This just clarifies the law, it was amended in the Senate to allow water municipalities to join existing Water Commissions and provides that they will have representation on that Commission. I would urge concurrence.... ask for concurrence to Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 1327."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Dan Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Well, on the Amendment... the problem with the Amendment is, only part of the Amendment Bill is printed. That the full Amendment isn't printed and that's our problem here. We don't know where the rest of this Amendment is. This has happened on a couple of Bills now that have come over, we're only getting part of the Amendment and that's the.... if there is nothing printed on the back



page."

Mahar: "Oh, I wasn't aware of that I'll take it out of the record and see if I can track down, you say half is missing?"

Houlihan: "Yes, that's correct."

Mahar: "I'll see if I can track down the other half. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, I think this points up as the Bill I handled last night did, that somewhere along the line we need some Joint Rules. What's happening is and it happened in my Bill and I think it has happened to Representative Mahar, he has the choice now of rooting for something that has nothing to do with what he started out to do, or getting his Bill beat. And I think somewhere we ought to have Joint Rules which say that you can put new subject matters into a Bill even though it meant the same Section. It is beginning to bother me because Members are put on the spot by a Member of the Senate and I'm sure vice versa because under the rules now, you can amend anything that amends the same Section but I do want to call this to the attention of Members. I was put on the spot last night with this 1294, I had no objection to the Amendment but it certainly had nothing to do with the Bill that I'd introduced."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "I understand that this has been taken out of the record, is that correct?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mahar, has this been taken out of the record?"

Mahar: "Yes, I'm trying to track down half of the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "1468. Representative Yourell.... out of the record. 1625."

Sharp: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I move that the House do concur in Senate Amendment #1, to House



15.

Bill 1625: This Amendment is just a clarifying Amendment stating that a district may utilize only one of the authorizations and may use such authorizations on one occasion only and I move that the House do concur. The Bill is in the same form as when we passed it out."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I wonder if the Sponsor would explain the Bill, this Bill passed this House 92 to 58 and there was considerable controversy and I think he ought to explain the Bill and what the Amendment does, Senate Amendment does, Senate Amendment does to the Bill."

Sharp: "Okay, this... House Bill 1625, deals with the problems of many school districts are having with deficits in their transportation fund to resolve in the manner in which the state has reimbursed districts for transportation expenses. This Bill was put in at the request of Superintendent to give them opportunity to get on an even keel with their transportation funding. It allows the school district to either levy a tax or issue bonds once and only once.... only one, either the bond or the tax can be issued. They can only do it on one occasion once they chose an option and it is designed to allow these districts to erase the deficit and get back on an even keel so they can run their transportation system."

Totten: "Is this by a back door referendum?"

Sharp: "Yes, the... when the Bill was put in we wanted to give the districts an opportunity to erase the deficit, the back door referendum.... well, it is designed so that anyone who wishes to protest the levy or the bond could very easily get it on a ballot, it only requires either I think it is 5% of the registered voters in the district or five hundred signatures whichever is lesser, to get it on the ballot and Representative Skinner added an Amendment that the proper forms and petitions for such a re-



ferendum has to be available at the office of the school board so we've made it quite easy, we don't feel that there will be objection in districts that use this option and if there is objection it's very easy to get it on the ballot."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further questions? The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Amendment #1 to House Bill 1625. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 93 'aye' and 36 'no' and the House concurs in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1625. 1729, Representative Anderson. Representative Collins desires to be recorded as 'no' on the previous Bill. Representative Anderson."

Anderson: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I move to nonconcur on Senate Amendment #1, to 1729. Well, what the Senate Amendment does is it deletes the provision for transferring one million, seven hundred and fifty thousand dollars from the General Revenue Fund and three hundred and fifty thousand from the Road Fund to this Court of Claims Fund. Now, this particular Bill, 1729 allows for a transfer throughout the years from the General Revenue Fund to the Police Fund to the Fire Fund and the Court of Claims Fund. What in fact we will do if we don't nonconcur, we will be betting on the come that House Bill 334, which is currently in the Senate will pass and be signed by the Governor. So, I think at this time we should nonconcur and it should go to conference."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion that we nonconcur in House Bill 1729. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the House nonconcur in Amendment #1, to House Bill 1729. 1806, Representative Laurino. Representative Mudd, will you please sit down. Representative Laurino, 1806."



Laurino: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move to nonconcur on Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 1806. What 1806 does is that it establishes judicial procedure determining election contest for state wide offices... filing fee and Senate Amendment #1 as put on the House Bill 1806 is twenty-five thousand dollars and I move to nonconcur."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved that we nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1806. Those in favor indicate by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 1806. 2013, James Houlihan. 2013."

Houlihan: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 2013 is legislation that passed out of here which amends the Brokers Act. We had to redefine more tightly what the definition of broker, and there was no substantive change in Amendment #1, offered by Senator Rock and I would move for concurrence in House Bill 2013."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2013. Those in favor say... vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 121 'aye' and 2 'no' and the House concurs in Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 2013. 2030, Representative Kucharski on the floor? 2033, Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this was originally a Bill which permitted county superintendents to issue temporary bus driver permits. The original Bill said ninety days as it went out of here, now it has been reduced to forty-five school days because the applicant or the temporary licenses must be enrolled in a school... no school lasts longer than that. This just limits the issuance of temporary license to the length of the school."



And I move that we concur in Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? The question....

Representative Schneider."

Schneider: "Mr. Speaker, I was just curious about the school.

Is that the IOE, provide that? Is this school buses?"

Friedrich: "It does.... no, they can't now but they can. There

is another Bill that passed that would provide this. My

Bill was amended for ninety days over here and we woke up

to the fact that no school lasts over forty-five days and

the applicant must be enrolled in the school anyway...

driver training schools so this would limit it to that

time."

Schneider: "Thank you, fine."

Speaker Redmond: "Are there any further discussions? The

question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House

concur in Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 2033. Those

in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted

who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will

take the record. On this question there are 136 'aye'

and 1 'no' and the House concurs in Senate Amendment #1,

to House Bill 2033. 1928, Representative Giglio."

Giglio: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,

I move that the House do concur with Senate Amendment #1,

to House Bill 1928. What it does is to assist an Amendment

for the Cook County Commission to extend their deadline

to publish their report from June to September, they need

a Bill to put it on and that's all it does."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Schlickman: "This is a Bill, House Bill 1928 which originally

authorized county boards to regulate massage parlors in

unincorporated areas of the counties, is that correct?"

Giglio: "Right, Gene."

Schlickman: "And this Senate Amendment #1, has nothing to do



with this new authority to regulate massage parlors, is that correct?"

Giglio: "Right, what they were looking for, Gene, they needed an extra two or three months to publish their reports, the County Commission and they didn't have a vehicle to extend the deadline. They came over and asked me, it has nothing to do with the Bill."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, are you prepared to rule on the germaneness of the Senate Amendment?"

Speaker Redmond: "I think it's inappropriate for the House to pass on the germaneness of a Senate Amendment."

Schlickman: "You think that it is inappropriate? Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, if I may I would like to address myself to the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this morning I saw a cartoon that I think is very appropriate. It shows two Legislators sitting in their office, one saying to the other, by golly, this is an awfully good idea. Let's see if we can find a good Bill to amend. I think Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, the spirit of the Constitution requiring Bills to be limited to one subject is violated by this Amendment which has nothing.... absolutely nothing to do with the original subject which, the county regulation of massage parlors. It seems to me, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, if we're going to be a deliberative Body, if we're going to insure that we know what Bills are being passed in the form that they are being passed that we should not except an Amendment by the Senate to a House Bill which deals with an entirely different subject. This opposition will in no way reflect upon the merit of the Amendment but rather, opposition will maintain the integrity of the system and give the message to the other chamber that we will not accept Senate Amendments to House Bills that are not germane. I think, Mr. Speaker



as was pointed out by a previous Gentleman, we are inhibited by having Joint Rules relative to germaneness but we can by refusing to concur, advise the Senate that we are going to adhere to that principle of the Constitution that Bills be limited to one subject. I therefore, with all due respect to the Sponsor of this Bill and his motion urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House."

I concur in what.... with what Representative Schlickman has said and you know, this Amendment addresses itself to the fact that they need two or three more months time and to me they knew long before this particular Bill came along and it is not going to do the Bill any good and it is unrelated and I would certainly support the concept and the approach of Representative Schlickman in that we do not concur in Senate Amendment #1, to 1928 and put it into a Conference Committee if necessary."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? The question is on the Representative Giglio's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 1928. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 98 'aye' and 42 'no' and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 1928. 2030, Representative Kucharski. Just as a side, I agree with the Gentleman that suggests that we should have Joint Rules in fact, I think it is very very bad and I would go one step further and suggest that maybe like Ohio you shouldn't have any Floor Amendments. 2030... out of the record. 2053.... McMaster."

McMaster: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, Senate Amendment #1, deletes the reference to the ten day filing period when a petition is submitted to the County Superintendent of Highways in regards to utility construction permits



21.

along a township road. The original Bill, the intent of it was to give the Township Highway Commissioners thirty days to act upon this important thing that the township people must decide upon rather than ten days. The law currently includes reference to ten days of the County Superintendent of Highways Office if there is any action or disapproval by the Township Road Commissioners. And it would appear to me that all that Senate Amendment #1 does is, also extend by reference the thirty day requirement of County Highway Superintendent Office. I would move that we concur in Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 2053."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 2053. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 132 'aye' and no 'nay' and the House concurs in Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 2053. 2062, Representative Polk. Mental Health Code of 1967. Representative Polk."

Polk: "Yes, I move concur with their Amendment, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? Representative Totten wants to know what's in the Amendment."

Polk: "It's very technical in terms. It puts them on equal footings through the Section of Mental Health Code. It removes that spouse from husband and wife and now spouse."

Totten: "Okay, just like we indicated last night. Sometimes a Bill is very controversial and this is final passage here, what was the Bill briefly..."

Polk: "Well, the Bill passed 113 to 8, it wasn't controversial at all Don. In fact you had a big 'yes' vote on it."

Speaker Redmond: "Anybody here remember Bill Scott? The question is on the Gentleman's motion.... Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Would the Sponsor yield?"



Polk: "Yes."

Schlickman: "This Bill as it was originally introduced deleted the requirement that a child be liable for the payment of mental health services received by, indigent parent who contributed to the child's support for at least five years during his minority. According to the Department of Mental Health, the Bill as it was originally introduced would have resulted in lost of forty-five thousand dollars a year...."

Polk: "Oh, no, Gene. You apparently have the wrong Bill. The total would have been forty thousand dollars. Last year we collected forty thousand dollars from children whose parents were in mental institutions. And after they had reached the.... been over the age of sixty or sixty-five. We also found out from the Bureau of the Budget, it cost us sixty thousand dollars to collect this so the Department of Mental Health had no.... in fact the Department of Mental Health then in turn supported this legislation felt that it was unnecessary and we in fact would save money if we would do away with it. The Senate Amendment then strictly changed then from husband and wife to spouse. That Amendment has been on about nine or ten different Bills that have come over this year and that is just a technical measure."

Schlickman: "Well, doesn't Senate Amendment #1 also delete the requirement of a child who willfully fails to contribute to the support.... deletes the language who willfully fail to contribute to the support for such child for a period of at least five years. The suggestion or implication being that they are going to be with this Amendment even a greater number of children who are going to be exempt from any responsibility with respect to their parent. And further reduce the reimbursement to the state and thereby, increase the revenue loss. Or will still be at a break even point."



Polk: "Well, it would appear even if we picked up twelve or fifteen more families throughout the state... would be the breakeven point. The problem has been in instances where a parent has left a home at an early age and with the high rate of divorce, you're certainly familiar with that we are having today, this happens quite often now. I had an instance where a gentleman who was sixty-five years old came to me, his father who was eighty-seven some years old who was in the mental institution. He hadn't seen the father since he was nine year old."

Schlickman: "Is this a department Bill?"

Polk: "Yes, absolutely."

Schlickman: "Does the department accept the Amendment?"

Polk: "Yes."

Schlickman: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion to..... Representative Porter."

Porter: "Will the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Porter: "Ben, why do we have to take out the entire section.

Why don't we just make it discretionary with the department as to whether they should do in any particular case. Why do we have to take it out of the statutes?"

Polk: "Well, I didn't take the entire thing out, that was done in the Senate and it was certainly acceptable to the department and I didn't question them."

Porter: "No, I'm talking about the Bill itself. Why do we have to take the section out of the Mental Health Code?"

Polk: "Well, it was their recommendation and the recommendation of the bureau downstairs."

Porter: "Okay, I question that. I wonder why we can't just leave it and make it discretionary with the department and let them sue where it's appropriate or not where it's appropriate instead of taking it all the way out of the code."



Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 2062. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 125 'aye' and 8 'no' and the House concurs in Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 2062. 2063.... Sandquist."

Sandquist: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

I move that we concur in Senate Amendment #1, to 2063.

It does not change the substance of the Amendment at all, this is a Bill that we passed out that gives a break to the small breweries in Illinois. The Senate Amendment was put on at the request of the Department of Revenue, would change the language from one of 'barrels' to 'gallons' because the tax is measured in gallons. And, therefore, I ask that we concur."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, this is a bad Bill when it was passed by the House. I don't think that it has gotten any better by the Senate Amendment. I characterize this as being the Lockheed Bill of the 80th General Assembly of the State of Illinois. A Bill that would give to one business, specifically a brewery in the City of Chicago some tax relief so it can maintain itself. It seems to me, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House while it is the business of this Legislature to develop a healthful business climate it's not the business of the Legislature to subsidize a business so that it can continue to exist. Whether or not the City of Chicago contains a brewery, I don't think, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House is something that we should address ourselves to. Certainly not by way of amending the statute of this state to give an exemption relative to taxation. I urge a 'no' vote because it is no better than what it was when it passed this House and I think, would establish a precedence that



will cause us problems in the future when other businesses claim a unique situation and look to us for relief. I urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Will the Sponsor of the Bill yield to two questions? Representative, wasn't the purpose of your Bill to keep jobs in Illinois if this Brewery continues in existence and wasn't it also the Bill that we heard in the Veterans Affairs and Licensing Committee?"

Sandquist: "Yes, that's right."

Geo-Karis: "And isn't it a fact that if this Bill goes through as you suggested, that this particular small brewery can remain in business in Illinois and can quite possibly can be out of business because of the high tip on the tax at this time."

Sandquist: "That is correct."

Geo-Karis: "And another point if I recall correctly, is it true also that this particular Bill if it goes through can bring in more tax revenue to Illinois because of increase business of this Illinois brewer?"

Sandquist: "Not only that but we would lose so much from the business that they do and from the workers who would lose their jobs in this industry."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I certainly heartedly concur in this Bill... in approving this Bill because I was in the Committee who heard all the evidence on this Bill in the Veteran's Affair and Licensing and Registration Committee and I ask for an 'aye' vote on this concurrence."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Brummer."

Brummer: "Yes, will the Sponsor yield? I understand that this was for the purpose of maintaining a small brewery in Chicago. I heard since then that that brewery has in fact been sold to a brewery in Wisconsin. Do you know if that is correct?"



list: "Well, this is one way of helping them, it could possibly do the other but this is the way.... legislation that was done in other states for their local breweries and I thought that it was the best way to handle it."

"Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentleman of the House, I think that while the purpose is undoubtedly a very laudable one to aid small business, where it is so narrowly defined so that we have one company that's benefiting from it it seems to me that the government should not involve itself in this way. Certainly there are other ways that we can encourage small business besides giving a direct tax break to one company out of three even though it's a small one that we want to encourage. And I think the vote on this Bill ought to be 'no' for that reason."

Mr. Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 2163. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there were 110 'aye' and 30 'no' and the House concurs in Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 2063. At this time I would like to introduce, by far the best Republican Member of the 54th District that has ever been sent here. A former colleague and friend, Les Jones. You see him in the aisle down there. Representative.... former Representative John Leon, who was first admitted to the General Assembly that vintage class of 1959 that included the Mayor of Aurora and John Parkherst, I don't remember some of the others. 2086.... Representative Byers, 2086."

"Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would ask that we concur in Senate Amendment #1. What this is about is to give an easement Bill for the City of Collinsville. Senate Amendment #1 was one that they needed to go through some property to put the city sewers in and they didn't do it drafted in time when it went through the House

so we had it added in the Senate. So, I would ask that we concur to... with the two Amendments to the Senate Bill 20.... House Bill 2086."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? The question is on the.... Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Schlickman: "Does this Senate Amendment #1 have anything to do with the subject matter of House Bill 2086 as it was introduced and passed by the House?"

Byers: "Yes, both Amendments relate to the same...."

Schlickman: "Easement."

Byers: "Easement."

Schlickman: "Okay, thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendments 1 and 2, to House Bill 2086. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 138 'aye', no 'nay' and the House concurs in Senate Amendments 1 and 2, to House Bill 2086. 2089, Representative Mann."

Mann: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. The purpose of 2089 in its original form was to require notice of real property tax sale and right of redemption, indicate the amount necessary to redeem the property as of the date of the notice. Now, Mr. Speaker I ask leave to continue these Senate Amendments separately because one of them I'm going to move to nonconcur and one I'm going to move to concur on. May I have leave on that, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "Does the Gentleman have leave? Hearing no objections leave is granted."

Mann: "Mr. Speaker, I'm moving to nonconcur on Senate Amendment #1, because that Amendment destroys the original intent of the Bill in that, it substitutes the total amount... the amount of the sale plus penalties and cost. But if the



owner does not know the amount of those penalty and costs his condition has not been improved with regard to the original intentions of the Bill. And so with regard to Senate Amendment #1, I move that we do not concur."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion that we nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1. Those in favor say 'aye', opppsed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and we nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1. With respect to Amendment #2. Representative Mann."

Mann: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, thank you very much. Senate Amendment #2 is consistent with the Bill and does improve the Bill and I would encourage all of you to concur with Senate Amendment #2, to House Bill 2089."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Will you describe the Amendment, Representative Mann."

Mann: "The Amendment advises the homeowner that the amount is subject to change, the longer you wait the more it will cost to redeem. And says, check with the county clerk as to the exact amount you owe before redeeming. That is consistent with the general purpose of the Bill which is to advise the homeowners of the amount due."

Speaker Redmond: "Are you ready for the question? The question is on the Gentleman's motion to concur in Senate Amendment #2, to House Bill 2089. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 143 'aye' and no 'nay' and the House concurs in Senate Amendment #2, to House Bill 2089. 2163, Representative Willer."

Willer: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move that the House does concur in the Senate Amendment to House Bill 2163."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Representative Totten would like an explanation of the Amendment."

Willer: "The Senate Amendment reduces the appropriation by seventy-five hundred dollars further. The House reduced it by thirty-one thousand... no, thirty-six thousand



and seven hundred. This reduces it seventy-five hundred more."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? The question is on the Lady's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 2163. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 138 'aye' and 7 'no' and the House concurs in Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 2163. 2164, Representative Tipword. Out of the record. 2174, Representative Stanley. Out of the record. 2198, Representative Skinner. You want that out of the record? Out of the record. 2240, Representative Macdonald."

Macdonald: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move that the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 2240. The Amendment was an Amendment recommended in Committee in the House... just a moment. It was recommended in Committee in the House in order to take care of protection of SIDS reporting which is what this Bill is all about. To protect infants against possible infant brutality. The Amendment would require in the 2% cases of SIDS where there might be some misunderstanding about autopsy that before the stamp of Sudden Infant Deaths Syndrome can go on the death certificate that an autopsy must be performed. We concur, it is a Committee or a Commission Bill. The Commission has concurred in this Amendment and I urge your support of it."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Macdonald: "Yes."

Schlickman: "This has to do with the death of a child, through fault of the parent, is that correct?"

Macdonald: "That's correct. Sudden Infant Death is a unique and traumatic death for which there is no known cause."

Schlickman: "And the Senate Amendment would mandate an autopsy



31.

regardless of a desire of the parents. Is that correct?"

Macdonald: "That is correct, the Coroners Association said that there is no possible way that they can stamp uniformly a SIDS death without an autopsy because you cannot tell whether the death is a SIDS death without an autopsy. 98% of the parents.... in fact over 98% of the parents want an autopsy performed."

Schlickman: "Do you know of any precedents in this state by which an autopsy is mandatory regardless of the desire of the parents or immediate relatives."

Macdonald: "I was not in Committee and I have talked to the Coroners Association at length and I do not know of any, no."

Schlickman: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? The question is on the Lady's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 2240. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there is 132 'aye' and 11 'no' and the House concurs in Senate Amendment #1, to House 2240. 2289, Representative Hart."

Hart: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The Amendment that the Senate put on 2289, would clarify that the money can be used to purchase real or personal property in connection with the use of the money for improvements similar to previously collected money. This Amendment actually was requested by Representative Williams, we put it on in the Senate. I think it just clarifies and I would move to concur in the adoption of Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 2289."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 2289. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished. The Clerk will take the record. On this



question there are 152 'aye' and no 'nay' and the House concurs in Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 2289. 2290, Representative Stuffle."

Stuffle: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members. The Senate Amendment to 2290 is an Amendment requested by the Department of Insurance to clear up some technical problems in this particular Bill. It has two parts to it, the first part is totally technical in the amended Section that we left out of the Bill. The second part provides that this change in the Pension Act would not impair the contractual and constitutional rights of members in the downstate fire system. I would urge concurrence in the Senate Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? Representative Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Yes, Representative Stuffle, is there any fiscal implications in either one of these Amendments?"

Stuffle: "Representative Ebbesen, the fiscal impact as I understand it would be to save the downstate fire system money along with the Bill itself. Originally there was a problem with the question of paramedics being covered in the downstate system. That was cleared up in the House by an Amendment put together by Representative Terzich and I. What the Bill in the Amendment would do would be to limit those people who could be covered by the downstate fire pension system so he would not be bringing people into the system who are not actually firemen. It would save the downstate system money as amended in the Senate and the House."

Ebbesen: "Is this Amendment in any way a Bill that was.... we heard in Committee or an attempted Amendment on the House Floor as far as the pensions are concerned?"

Stuffle: "If you're asking if it is one that we heard and disapproved, no. It is purely a clear-up Amendment from the Department of Insurance."

Ebbesen: "Thank you."



Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 2290. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 147 'aye' and no 'no' and the Bill.... the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 2290. 2308, Skinner. Out of the record. 2327, Kempiners."

Kempiners: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 2327 for the most part rewrites the Bill and makes no change. It just clarifies a question that was raised in the Senate. There is a part which does make a substantive change and it is the end of the Amendment regarding the certificate of authority. The language in the change was suggested by Senator Berman and it indicates that if certificate of authority is pulled responsibility rest upon the individual who possessed that certificate to demonstrate to the department that he is indeed rehabilitated and deserves consideration by the department. I have no problem with this Amendment, the Department of Insurance who caused the introduction of this Bill has no problem with this Amendment and I would seek the support of the House to concurring in Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 2327."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 2327. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 147 'aye', no 'nay' and the House concurs in Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 2327. 2338, Representative Lechowicz. Representative Darrow, will you come up here for a minute. Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move to concur with Senate Amendment #1, to



House Bill 2338: "All it does is check... make the Act effective July 1, 1978. This Act would prohibit said agencies from holding funds outside the State Treasury without the consent of the General Assembly. It passed overwhelmingly in both Houses, they just want to make the effective date so the other agencies can comply. The effective date is July 1, 1978."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1, to 2338. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 143 'aye' and no 'nay' and the House concurs in Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 2338. 2343, Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move that the House concur in Senate Amendment #2, to House Bill 2343. What this does is removes the word 'technical' from the contracts which will be recorded under this provision. Basically when the Bill passed the House it provided for contracts for professional, technical and artistic skills, vouchers and warrants. This Bill would require the approving.... prohibits the Comptroller from approving any voucher over fifteen hundred for professional, technical artistic services unless the contract for the service has been filed with the Comptroller before the services are performed. The Comptroller asks that the word 'technical' be taken out because it is very difficult to define that word. So, we agreed with that and we move with the concurrence of this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "Representative, technical services were taken out at the request of the Comptroller, is that what you said?"



Lechowicz: "That's what I said. In concurrence with BOB."

Telcser: "What's left in the Bill, I know you've told us but..."

Lechowicz: "Here, Art, it's on line 4. Contract for professional or artistic skills. The word 'technical' is taken out that's all."

Telcser: "All right, where the problem is is technical... all right."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I arise to oppose concurrence with Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 2343. A major reform in the State of Illinois was the requirement that these contracts for professional, technical or artistic skills exempt from bidding requirements be filed with the Comptroller. That was major reform. Now, the words professional, technical and artistic are words of art. And they are intended to be complimentary. I would suggest, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House that the Comptroller, in suggesting that the word 'technical' be removed is substantially watering down this requirement and I am fearful that we are going to create a loophole big enough to drive a truck through and take a major step backward in the area of reform and information to the Legislators and to the public as to which contracts are being granted on a noncompetitive basis."

Lechowicz: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in response to my distinguished colleague. I for one would not propose a Bill or concur with an Amendment that would provide that type of a loophole in the law. Rest assured of that, number two.... as far as the word 'technical', no one in this state knows the definition of it, they don't abide by it. The vouchers are not submitted that way and in turn, if you're not doing it that way you should eliminate it. For they have got to come into two provisions which would be covered by the law, we reduced it from twenty-five hundred to fifteen hundred.... it is



strengthens of the law and believe me, it's a good Amendment and you should concur with it."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Totten: "Ted, my only problem with the Amendment, I like the Bill the way that it went through but that line item on all our ISL forms and so on and that account number that the Comptroller uses is professional, technical and artistic. And that's where we're sticking all these contracts into and.... what is the Comptroller's problem with it, I really think the Bill was better in there."

Lechowicz: "No one uses the word 'technical'. That's why we're eliminating it, they are either going to have to go under professional or artistic period and you've got a stronger handle on the definition."

Totten: "Is the Comptroller going to remove that word, 'technical' from that account number?"

Lechowicz: "Yes, Sir."

Totten: "Okay. I think we should concur with the adoption of the Amendment. I think it is a good Bill and we should do it."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion to concur in Senate Amendment #2, to House Bill 2343. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished. The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 120 'aye' and 6 'no' and the House concurs in Senate Amendment #2, to House Bill 2343. 2345. Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. On the Senate Amendment to House Bill 2345, this Amendment revises the procedures ordered by Federal Information. It is reported by the state agencies by the Bureau of the Budget and the Commission on Intergovernmental



Cooperation. This Amendment would eliminate the technical problems of Comptroller and the Bureau of the Budget saw with the House passed version with respect to releasing funds. The Bill should improve the General Assembly's timely access to information about federal aid. I move to concur with Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 2345. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 143 'aye' and 6 'no' and the House concurs in Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 2345. 2348, Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Amendment #1, to 2348 makes technical changes to accommodate both 100% federal funded programs and those funded at a lower percentage. It also delays until July 1, 1978, the effective date of this Act. This Bill is to prevent federal expenses from being shifted to the state when federal funds are received by a state agency, the recipient agency is required to certify that amounts are set aside to cover the federal government full share of retirement and group insurance caused for personnel employed with the federal funds. This certification must be sent to the Bureau of the Budget and the Commission on Intergovernment Cooperation. Funds cannot be spent until that certification is received. So, the Amendment.... all it does is provide that both 100% fully funded programs and partially funded programs from the Federal Government and also wanted to make the date effective July 1, 1978. 'I move for its concurrence.'"

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #1. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there 145 'aye' and no 'nay' and the House concurs



in Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 2348. 2349, Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, again this Amendment also changes the effective date of July 1, 1978. This Bill amends the State Finance Act to require that appropriations made by the General Assembly to State Agencies other than local unit of government and school districts shall not be converted to different purposes. We all 'supplemented' the language and when it left the House as far as the implications by Cal Boston from the Lindberg's office, to incorporate his provisions when it left the House and all we're doing is delaying the effect of this Act, July 1, 1978. Giving it ample time to implement it and I move its concurrence."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 146 'aye', no 'nays' and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 2350.... 2349, pardon me. It is the intention of the Chair to break for lunch, don't send out for anything. 2350, Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. On Senate Bill.... on House Bill 2350, again we're changing the effective date until July 1, 1980 and it allows local retention of money where there is specified and specific authority in order to do so. I said, 1978."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House concurs in Senate Amendment #1, to this Bill. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 149 'aye' and no 'nay' and the House concurs in Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 2350. 2355, Representative James Taylor. The Gentleman standing between



Representative Taylor and the Chair, sit down. James Taylor."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, Mr. Speaker will you please take that out of the record."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. 2367, Representative Christensen."

Christensen: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Amendment was suggested by the Secretary of State's Office and it is for a reduction due to over estimate, it is a reduction of ninety-three thousand, eight hundred and five dollars. And I would move for concurrence."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? Representative Dan Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, I have no objection to the motion for concurrence but I would ask the Parliamentarian to take a look at the form of this Amendment. The new language isn't underlined, Mr. Epstein, that's what I'm getting at."

Speaker Redmond: "The Parliamentarian believes that the.... since we are not deleting the underlining, that it could be handled in Enrolling and Engrossing."

Houlihan: "Thanks... thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 2367. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 131 'aye' and 3 'no' and the House concurs in Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 2367. 2369, Representative Kane. Is Representative Kane on the floor? Out of the record. 2381, Representative Bradley."

Bradley: "Well, Mr. Speaker I would move to concur in Senate Amendment #2, to House Bill 2381. What the Senate Amendment does, it represents an increase of fifteen thousand and one



hundred and thirty-four dollars to the Secretary's budget. It really should have been a companion Bill to Senate Bill 1225 which implements the corporate takeover Act. That's what 1225 does and the Secretary thinks they need about fifteen thousand, one hundred and thirty-four dollars to implement the takeover Act to provide these various services necessary and send out notices. And I move the.... that we do concur in Senate Amendment #2, to House Bill 2381."

Speaker Redmond: "Are there any discussion? The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment #2, to House Bill 2381. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Yes, Sir. The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 152 'aye' and 1 'no' and the House concurs in Senate Amendment #2, to House Bill 2381. 2391, Representative Stuffle."

Stuffle: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members. Senate Amendment #2, to 2391 provides for a line item transfer in the FY-77 budget of the Scholarship Commission. The transfer is for thirty-five thousand dollars from the language grants line item into the POW MIA dependents decendents line item. There is money left in the original line items so that the transfer can be possible to pay for wards to dependent and decendents of POW's in MIA for spring and summer terms of this year. I would move concurrence in the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill did come through out of Appropriations Committee. And the information given by Representative is exactly correct and we concur in his request."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment #2, to House Bill 2391. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished?"



Somebody lost their shoes, a Page is looking for a Member who lost their shoes. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 155 'aye' and no 'nay' and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #2, to House Bill 2391. 2408, Mr. Clerk.... Mr. Clerk, what were you going to tell us with respect to the Senate Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Calendar indicates that Senate Amendment 1, 2 and 3, a message from the Senate was incorrect. They sent us Amendments 1, 2, 3 and 4, but they've sent us a corrective message indicating Amendments 1, 3 and 4, were adopted. So, please disregard Amendment #2, which the Senate did not adopt."

Speaker Redmond: "Thank you, Sir. 571, Representative Brady, are you ready on that one? Take it out of the record. 571, out of the record. 1182, Representative Huskey."

Huskey: "Mr. Speaker, on Amendment #1 we agreed, do not concur and Amendment #3, there is some difficulty with the head of the Committee of the House so we're going to ask that that be sent back as a do not concur also in order to appease the Committee Chairman of the Human Resources."

Speaker Redmond: "You are moving to nonconcur, is that correct?"

Huskey: "Yes."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 and 2 in House Bill... 1 and 3 in House Bill 1182. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed.... Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "Yes, I was wondering what,... if I could ask the Sponsor a question. What was the reason for nonconcurring, is it the thrashhole or what..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Huskey."

Huskey: "Well, Amendment #1 is a technical error. It is doing absolutely opposite what it was intended to do. Amendment #3, the thrashhole has been raised higher than the Chairman



of the Human Resources agrees to so rather than have a floor fight. I figure probably could work this out. Probably in a Concurrence Committee where we could probably... could agree to all concerned."

Mautino: "Representative Huskey, is fifty thousand dollars cap, is that too high you say?"

Huskey: "No, they raised that to a hundred thousand dollars in the Senate. I don't know why or what but they raised fifty thousand to a hundred thousand. No, they agreed to the fifty thousand."

Mautino: "A hundred thousand isn't too bad either."

Huskey: "No, it isn't really but we... in order to keep peace on the floor, I would rather work it out to where everybody agrees to.... so we don't get into a big long floor fight."

Speaker Redmond: "Ready for the question? The question is on the Gentleman's motion that we nonconcur in Amendment 1 and 3, to House Bill 1182. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the motion carries and the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment 1 and 3. 1102, Representative McAuliffe."

McAuliffe: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move that we nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 1102. It reduces the appropriation by ten thousand and five hundred dollars."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is on the Gentleman's motion that we nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 1102. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the motion carries and the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 1102. 1327, Representative Mahar."

Mahar: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. 1327 was taken out of the record earlier. There was a question about the printing of Senate Amendment that was added to the Bill. This has now been cleared up so, I would ask for concurrence



of Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 1327."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is on the Gentleman's motion that we concur in House Bill... in the Amendment to House Bill 1327. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 136 'ayes' and 2 'no' and the House concurs with Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 1327. 2179, Representative Stanley."

Stanley: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. There were two Amendments adopted in the Senate the first Amendment was requested from Local Government Committee in the Senate and basically what it says is, that the merit principles that we're talking about shall not interfere with any already established collective bargaining agreements. The second Amendment mandates that all counties except Cook covered by this shall have merit systems in the Sheriff's office. Those were the two Amendments and I ask for concurrences for the House."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Representative Kane."

Kane: "Would the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Kane: "As I read the Amendment... not only would it apply to the existing collective bargaining agreements but to prospective collective bargaining agreements. In the future what would hold, the merit or the collective bargaining agreement?"

Stanley: "Okay, my understanding is that the collective bargaining agreements would take precedence. If in a fact there are collective bargaining agreements in affect in that particular county."

Kane: "Either present or future?"

Stanley: "That's correct."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McMasters."



McMaster: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Stanley: "Yes, he will."

McMaster: "Representative Stanley, as I read the analysis on this legislation of the Senate Amendment I am led to believe that this would mandate all counties except Cook and Cook is covered by another law that they must have merit systems in the Sheriff's Office. Presently the law is permissive. Is this true?"

Stanley: "Yes, it is and I would yield to the Joint Sponsor, Representative Robinson to answer that particular question."

McMaster: "Let me.... go ahead if you want. But I don't want to get cut off because I'm not through with this."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Robinson."

Robinson: "Yes, Representative McMaster this part of the Amendment was put in at the request of the Sheriff. It wasn't an idea that we came up with.... when the Bill got to the Senate the Sheriff said, that they.... the Sheriff's Association said that they would support the Bill in the Senate if this particular Amendment were put in the Bill."

McMaster: "Believe me...."

Robinson: "We don't have an opinion one way or the other."

McMaster: "This came from Clay Harbeck, the Sheriff's...."

Robinson: "Yes, it did."

McMaster: "Association. I can't believe that, really I can't. My county, in fact I don't have a single county in my legislative district that does have a merit sheriff, merit Commission. I don't know of a single county that wants one and this again is one of those mandated things that mandates the counties who all have merit Commissions and I would have to violently oppose this and would hope that you would send it, Representative Stanley to a nonconurrence so that we might go to a Conference Committee and remove the very odious part of this Senate Amendment. It is just



unbearable, I don't think we should force this upon the... how many counties do we have that don't have Merit Commissions. I would imagine it would be sixty or seventy some in the states that don't have a Merit Commission and they have the right to if they want but I don't think we should force them to do it."

Speaker Redmond: "Robinson."

Robinson: "Again, Representative McMaster, I don't think Representative Stanley or I have any strong feeling on that at all. You're right that there are many now who don't have it. But, we agreed with the Sheriff's Association to put this in and they were the ones who did it. So, I think we're willing to let the House decide and we're going to move concurrence but if the House wants to go to conference and take that out, that's up to the House."

McMaster: "Well, I understand that there are eighty-five counties in the state that do not have Merit Commissions and I would strongly urge a 'no' vote on the concurrence and hope that we could go to a Conference Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Byers."

Byers: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I agree with Representative McMaster, I think this should go to a Conference Committee. I think that's up to the County Board to determine whether they want to have a merit system... not the Sheriff's Association anyway. I believe that this should be left up to the local County Boards, they tried to install a merit system down in one of our counties, recently defeated and I think it was the will of the board members that they didn't want I think it should be left to the County Board and I think it should go to Conference Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ewing."

Ewing: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I think both of the last two speakers, Representative Byers



and Representative McMasters hit it right on the head. We've been talking all Session about not mandating additional programs for the county government. The Sheriff's Association, of course they have a very vested interest in this and should be heard but, I think this should be in a Conference Committee, we should be able to talk to the representative of the county government of this state and I would surely ask that we get a lot of 'no' votes on this concurrence and let's have it worked out in the Conference Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Are you ready for the question? The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House concurs in Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 2179. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 8 'aye' and 133. That's a new record, Representative Marovitz. Now, Representative Stanley, do you move to nonconcur?"

Stanley: "Move to nonconcur."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 2179.. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The motion carries and the House nonconcur. Representative Schuneman. 1481, is that what you're going to ask about?"

Schuneman: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. I would like to call that now if it is in order."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, I was going to go back to the ones that we had passed over. 1481, Representative Schuneman."

Schuneman: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, the problems have been worked out on the other side of the aisle with the Senate Amendment that, placed on House Bill 1481. That Amendment merely amends some Sections of the Insurance Code which were not included in the original Bill and should have been included. And both sides of the aisle are in agreement on this now, so I would move that the House concurs



with the Senate Amendment to House Bill 1481."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kane."

Kane: "Would the Sponsor tell us what the effect of that inclusion is?"

Schuneman: "There is no effect other than the effect of the Bill, Representative Kane. It doesn't change the impact of the Bill at all."

Kane: "Would you tell us what the impact of the Bill is?"

Schuneman: "Yes, the Bill originated in the Insurance Laws Study Commission and has to do with the re-insurance procedures between insurance companies. A number of years ago this Legislature passed laws that would require an insurance company to give a consumer an advance notice of cancellation or nonrenewal of an insurance policy. It was found that when the Legislature passed that law they also... that law also applied to the re-insurance contracts between insurance companies which were not intended to be covered at that time and so, it only effects the interworkings of the insurance industry, one company to another and the industry has requested the Bill after hearings presented the Insurance Laws Study Commission."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House concurs in Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 1481. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 146 'aye' and no 'nay' and the House concurs in Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 1481. 947, Representative Hanahan... out of the record. 1020, Representative Edgar, do you want that one called? Edgar. 1020... out of the record. 1418, Representative Kane."

Kane: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would move to concur in Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 1418. What it does is conform the Appropriation Bill to the legislation that went through here... reorganizing



the Department of General Services and I would move that the House concurs in Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 1418."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? Representative Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Well, Mr. Speaker as the Sponsor indicated, it makes a slight change. There is a five thousand dollar addition to the salary of the Director of Administrative Services. It was rather surprising that it came on today that one of the gossip columns wrote at some length about the director and his new automobile, describing it as being a luxury car. We're voting to concur too. It raises the salary to thirty-five thousand dollars per year."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 1418. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 131 'aye' and 11 'no' and the House concurs in Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 1418. 1928, is Representative Giglio, back there? Out of the record. Has that one been moved already? Representative Skinner, is that 2308, is that the one? 2308, Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "2308 is another by McHenry County Legislators to attempt to get our unincorporated sub-divisions with sub-standard roads brought up to a standard that can be driven upon without breaking axles. The Senate Amendment #2, specifies that any such roads that undergo a special assessment process will be brought into the township road system upon completion of the improvement. I move for adoption of the Amendment and concurrence."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House concurs in Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 2308. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all



voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 141 'aye' and no 'nay' and the House concurs in Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 2308. Representative Skinner what's the other one that you have? What is it?"

Skinner: "2198."

Speaker Redmond: "2198, Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "House Bill 2198 is one of the Illinois Public Action Council initiate Bills which requires that the notice of the annual meeting of the supervisor of assessment in downstate counties be published so that people can find out when it is, so they may be able to attend such meeting. The Senate Amendment #1 states that the publication shall be in the newspaper.... well, in the local area rather than an out-of-county newspaper or out-of-township newspaper. I ask for concurrence with Amendment #1."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 2198. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 133 'aye' and no 'nay' and the House concurs in Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 2198. With respect to House Bill 2308, the question was that the House concur with Senate Amendment #2, to House Bill 2308 and the ruling was that the House... the motion carried and the House did concur in Senate Amendment #2, to House Bill 2308. 2030, Representative Kucharski, do you want to go with that one? Representative Kucharski."

Kucharski: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker., House Bill 2030, I move to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 2030. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the House



nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1. Did any Sponsor have another Bill or Bills on concurrence that we haven't called and wants to have it called? McClain. Representative McClain."

McClain: "Sorry, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it's House Bill 579."

Speaker Redmond: "579, we've.... 579, that's not on concurrence is it?"

McClain: "Should be... passed out of the Senate."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, maybe it's in transit. We don't have it on our Calendar. 579, we're getting a Supplemental Calendar."

McClain: "Would you put it on supplemental.... as quick as you did 2414, that would be great. I admire the Clerk for working so fast."

Speaker Redmond: "What did you say?"

McClain: "Thank you, 2413, I'm sorry."

Speaker Redmond: "We'll have a Supplemental Calendar when we get back from lunch and we'll take care of those. Is there any on the Calendar now that has not been called. Representative Totten."

Totten: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, House Bill 1200."

Speaker Redmond: "House Bill 1200, Representative Totten."

Totten: "House Bill 1200, Mr. Speaker is the Bill allowing... attempting to legalize the use of the drug laetrile in the State of Illinois. The Senate Amendment which I'm going to move to concur with did several things and I'll try to enumerate them for the Members. Requires that a patient must have been medically examined within the last three months and found to have terminal cancer before laetrile can be administered. It requires that the patient sign an affidavit and that he or she have made knowing and intelligent decision to take the drug laetrile although federal medical experts consider it to be of no value in combating cancer. It requires that the



Department of Public Health to keep records and file reports summarizing statistics and its details and inform request forms for the administration of the drug laetrile."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Byers."

Byers: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Byers: "Representative Totten, what will be the fiscal impact of all this record keeping that is being imposed upon the state."

Totten: "The Department of Public Health indicates that the record keeping they could do within their existing structure."

Byers: "Does Department of Public Health support this piece of legislation?"

Totten: "I'm not aware of their position, I doubt if they would."

Byers: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to address the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed. The Amendment."

Byers: "I think that this is the last chance that we'll have. I know that it is, the last chance that we'll have to vote against this Bill or for it. I think that this Bill is misleading a lot of people and legitimizing something that shouldn't be legitimized and I recommend a 'no' vote on this Amendment and the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Schlickman: "Who performs the medical examination? One or more doctors."

Totten: "I believe, Gene, that it only requires one physician."

Schlickman: "Is that to be a GP or otherwise?"

Totten: "Physician... well, it has to be a medical doctor."

Schlickman: "Is there any definition as to what constitutes terminal cancer?"

Totten: "No, there isn't."



Schlickman: "May I address myself to the Bill, Mr. Speaker?

Mr. Speaker and Members of this House, in addressing myself to Senate Amendment #1. Previously in Judiciary II, there was a physician who specializes in the care and treatment of cancer. And, he stated, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House that it is impossible... it is impossible for any physician to determine when and if there is terminal cancer. He stated, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, he can't and won't play God. I suggest, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House that, only is House Bill 1200 bad, the Amendment makes it even worse. I urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Chapman."

Chapman: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I intend to vote 'no' on House Bill 1200 concurrence with our concurrence with Senate Amendment #1 and I would like to tell you why. But, first I would like to say that I think possibly there is some good that could come out of this movement to legalize laetrile and that is, that it may tend to call the attention of all of us to the facts that the public in this nation care about cancer cures. And that it is time that we invest the money in seeing that we do find a real cure for cancer so that people do not need to stoop to hoaxes such as this. That provide an opportunity for some to become millionaires at the expense of the suffering and pain of others. The Amendment in the Senate as the Sponsor has told you greatly improves this Bill. It still, however, does not make laetrile available to the residents of this state legally. It still has to be smuggled in, I do not think the Members of this House want to vote to encourage the citizens of our state to become smugglers or support smuggling. I do not think that we want to vote to provide an aura of respectability to a hoax. I hope that we will vote 'no' on House Bill 1200."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leinenweber."



Leinenweber: "I have a question for the Sponsor. Representative Totten, is the effect of the Senate Amendment, deny anybody but terminally ill cancer patients?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "I have a question of the Sponsor."

Totten: "Go ahead, what is the question?"

Leinenweber: "Is the effect of Senate Amendment, deny laetrile to any citizen of Illinois except for the terminally ill cancer patients?"

Totten: "Yes, that would be correct. It would be for the treatment of a malignancy of terminal ill cancer patients."

Leinenweber: "Why are you moving to concur with this Amendment when it seems to me that having read much of the literature which I believe you were responsible for passing out that the proponents of laetrile do not claim that it is a cancer cure but it is a cancer preventative and that vitamin B17 as I think they call it, they advocate use in daily diet do they not."

Totten: "Correct."

Leinenweber: "Then why are you moving to concur with Amendment when it seeks to deny the citizens of Illinois the freedom of choice."

Totten: "Okay, if you read further in the Amendment on page 2, and Section... I guess it would be #5. It says, quite frankly on the terminal.... they have some difficulty to but if you read further it says down on line 9, see for the patient refuses... this is in conjunction with other therapy. Refuses to allow any therapy generally recognizes safe and effective to be administered to him or her. So that they can with that language then choose to take the laetrile and the definition of terminally ill as someone mentioned is something that really no one can determine who is terminally ill. Sharon Quinlan was determined as terminally ill a long time ago and she is still alive. So that I think there is a freedom of choice to use it and



most cancer is... would be classified as terminally ill eventually."

Leinenweber: "Can we eat apricot pits in Illinois legally if we don't have cancer if we vote for House Bill 1200 in this shape?"

Totten: "Yes."

Leinenweber: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Jaffe."

Jaffe: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I rise in opposition to this Amendment. This Bill is not a freedom of choice issue. I don't know where anyone gets off thinking that this is a freedom of choice issue. This Bill does not legalize laetrile, the legislature cannot countermand orders of the FCA. What this Bill intends to make the legislature do is become a giant pharmacy board because from here on in we're going to be sitting in judgment on every particular drug that comes down the pipe. But, I think most of all the thing that is disturbing to me is the recent news story that indicates that elaborate schemes that are being developed to establish an effective black mark to insure that it will be available to anyone desiring it. And this will apparently be the primary means of supply. Because you have to understand that laetrile will still not be legal in the State of Illinois, that we are really just spinning our wheels and all we're doing is opening it up to the black marketeers and I think we would do a disservice to the people by doing anything with this Bill but by tubing it."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I think this Amendment is a step in the wrong direction. At the best laetrile possibly can prevent cancer and at the worse it is harmless. So, why in the world should we make it more restrictive and harder to get and less used. If people want to do it it is all right with me. And I want to tell



there are a lot of hypocrites in the American Medical Association and possibly on this floor when we know that cigarettes are a great cause of cancer. I don't happen to smoke but if you want to it is all right with me.... because knowing it causes cancer. Now, why in the world are we trying to run everybody's lives. If somebody wants to eat peach seeds, it is all right with me. If they know... if they think it is going to help them and possibly can, I want them to have it. But, I don't know how you can be so upset about laetrile when people on this House floor and the doctors themselves smoke cigarettes.... knowing it causes cancer. Now for heaven's sake if somebody wants to take laetrile it is all right with me and contrary to what has just been said, if it is manufactured in Illinois you can use it in Illinois. I think we ought to make it less restrictive, not more restrictive."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Darrow."

Darrow: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, shall the main question be put. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Representative Totten, to close."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I do agree with those who may feel that this Amendment makes it more restrictive than what we had in the House Bill. There is no doubt that it does, but the Bill as amended I think is the best that we can offer for those cancer patients in this state who would like to have the freedom of choice to it. Because we amended the Food and Drug Act, this Bill would allow the manufacture for interstate use in shipment of the drug in the State of Illinois and I move to concur with Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 1200."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 1200. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'."



Representative Marovitz, to explain his vote."

Marovitz: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I'm going to take a realistic position on this. I voted against laetrile but I think the Bill is going to pass the House and the Senate and it is going to be signed by the Governor. So, I think we ought to look at it realistically. This Amendment which I support and I'm going to vote 'aye' on makes the Bill a much better Bill. It follows the court decision which stipulates certain precautions before laetrile can be used. Now, this isn't really a freedom of choice and it doesn't deny freedom of choice. This issue was brought up in Committee and the Sponsor of the Bill was having an Amendment drafted as to the court decision which wasn't drafted in time so it wasn't put on the Bill. But this makes the Bill a better Bill. It takes the proper precautions before laetrile can be used and if in the past this Bill... and I think it is going to pass the Legislature, we ought to put the proper precautions on it and I support this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 125 'aye' and 25 'no' and the Gentleman's motion carries and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 1200. Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, I move that we recess until.... two o'clock all right?"

Speaker Redmond: "A quarter till two. One forty-five."

Matijevich: "A quarter till two. For lunch."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bluthardt."

Bluthardt: "Mr. Speaker, just a little while ago you asked if there was anything on the Calendar that hasn't been taken up. And I want to point out to your attention that there are two matters that certainly ought to be heard by this House and as speedily as possible since they have been sitting there for about forty-five days. And that's



the report on the election contest and I want a report on qualification challenge. Well, Mr. Speaker could you tell us when you expect to call these matters?"

Speaker Redmond: "I don't know yet, depends on the rest of the call but they will be called."

Bluthardt: "They will be called this week?"

Speaker Redmond: "Well.... they will be called."

Bluthardt: "Will they be called in this Regular Session?"

Speaker Redmond: "They will be called. The question is on...."

Bluthardt: "Well, Mr...."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on Representative Matijevich's motion that we recess for lunch. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and we now stand at recess for an hour an forty-five minutes. At one forty-five we'll be back."

Doorkeeper: "All persons not entitled to the House floor, please retire to the gallery."

Speaker Redmond: "The House will be in order. Messages from the Senate."

Clerk O'Brien: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House in the passage of Bills of the following titles to-wit: House Bill 54, 110, 127, 132, 178, 189, 199, 241, 269, 302, 331 and 344. Passed by the Senate June 26, 1977. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate adopted the following preamble and Joint Resolution. In the adoption of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the House of Representatives to-wit: Senate Joint Resolution #50, adopted by the Senate June 26, 1977. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by



58.

Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate concur with the House of Representatives in the passage of Bills of the following title, to-wit: House Bills 47, 156, 168, 299, 322, 365, 391, 548, 579, 595 and 621, together with Amendments passed by the Senate as amended June 26, 1977. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has refused to concur with House of Representatives in their Amendments to the following Bills: Senate Bills 325, 332, 336, 337, 339 and 353. Action taken by the Senate, June 26, 1977. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House in the adoption of their Amendment #1, to a Bill of the following title: Senate Bill 335. I am further directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has refused to concur with the House in adoption of the following Amendments: Amendment #4 and 5, to Senate Bill 35. Action taken by the Senate June 26, 1977. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House in adoption of their Amendment #3 and 4, to Senate Bill 419 and I'm further directed to inform the House that the Senate has refused to concur with Amendment #1. Action taken by the Senate, June 26, 1977. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House in the adoption of Amendment #1 and 3, to Senate Bill 499 and I am further directed to inform the House that the Senate has refused to concur with Amendment #4.



Action taken, June 26, 1977. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House in adoption of Amendments #1 and 3, to Senate Bill 502. I am further directed to inform the House that the Senate has refused to concur with the House in the adoption of Amendment #2, to Senate Bill 502. Action taken by the Senate, June 26, 1977. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House in adoption of Amendments to the following Bills: Senate Bill 30, 63, 160, 164, 170, 172, 227, 228, 241, 287, 294, 324, 328, 341, 343, 347, 350, 351, 354, 357, 362, 401, 414, 421, 435, 438, 449, 452, 482, 487, 492, 493, 505, 517, 524, 533, 539 and 549. Concurred in by the Senate, June 26, 1977. Kenneth Wright, Secretary."

Speaker Redmond: "The Order of Concurrence appears House Bill 156, Representative Daniels."

Daniels: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 156 is the Patients Compensation fund, this, identical to the Bill that recently passed the House, Senate Bill 466 which was put in shape and agreed by the Department of Insurance. This consists of three Amendments, Amendments #1, 2 and 3. These Amendments were the same identical Amendments put on in the House and I ask for its concurrence."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any questions? The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 156. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 116 'aye' and no 'nay' and the House concurs in Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 156. 322, Dan Houlihan. Re-



representative Daniels."

Daniels: "There were three Amendments...."

Speaker Redmond: "Pardon me.... pardon me. The House concurs with Amendment #1. Now, Representative Daniels on Amendment 2 and 3."

Daniels: "Same motion to concur."

Speaker Redmond: "The Bill is 156 and the motion was only with respect to Amendment #1, the first time... is that correct? And now, the question is that the Gentleman's wishes to concur in Amendments 2 and 3, to House Bill 156. Do we have leave for the same Roll Call? Hearing no objections leave is granted. The same Roll Call. 322... Representative Keats."

Keats: "If you're going to use the same Roll Call, please add me to both as an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Keats, may he be added to the Roll Call? Hearing no objections, he is added. 322, Dan Houlihan.... out of the record. 391, James Taylor."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I move that the House concurs with Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 391. Senate Amendment #1, simply makes this Bill a power to counties over one million. I move for the adoption... for the concurrence of Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is on the Gentleman's... Representative Dan Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Will the Parliamentarian look at the form of this Amendment also, as far as the lack of underlining."

Speaker Redmond: "Will you send a copy of the Bill down? Representative Taylor, the Parliamentarian indicates that this should be underlined, probably the safest thing to do would be to send this one back to the Senate."

Taylor: "Well, then..."

Speaker Redmond: "So, refuse to concur...."

Taylor: "Will nonconcur with Senate Amendment...."

Speaker Redmond: "Just a moment. Houlihan."



Houlihan: "Well, this morning in one of the other Bills which I thought had the same problem, was ruled that it could be done in Enrolling and Engrossing. And, I'm wondering if this couldn't be also."

Speaker Redmond: "The Parliamentarian thinks that there is sufficient difference so, the same approach could not be used on this one as on the other one."

Houlihan: "Well, in view of that... I'm under the impression that Representative Taylor will move to nonconcur so we can have it placed into a Conference Committee in order to get the wording right....."

Speaker Redmond: "Is that right, Representative Taylor, you will move to non...."

Taylor: "I so move, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion that we nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 391. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the motion carries and the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1. 595, Sandquist. Representative Sandquist."

Sandquist: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 595 was a Bill that we passed which took away the prohibition from liquor licensee to make political contributions. The Senate put on two Amendments on the Bill and I move that we concur in these two Amendments. The first Amendment says, that a liquor licensee cannot make a contribution to a candidate or a holder of a political office that issues a liquor license. In other words the local Mayors or local County Board Presidents! The second Amendment says that you cannot make a political contribution to a candidate for the office who appoints the members of the Illinois Liquor Control Commission, which is the Governor. But it would allow political contributions for other offices. While I think the original Bill was a better one... under



the circumstances, "I do think we should concur in these two Senate Amendments and therefore, I so move."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Sandquist: "Yes."

Schlickman: "Are members of the Liquor Control Commission nominated by the Governor subject to the conformation of the Senate?"

Sandquist: "That is correct."

Schlickman: "Then wouldn't this Amendment apply to Members of the Senate in terms of a prohibition with respect to the receipt of contributions from a liquor retailer or wholesaler?"

Sandquist: "No, in my opinion it would not because it says, for the member who appoint members to the Illinois Liquor Commission. And appointive power is in the Governor and not in the Senate."

Schlickman: "Well, the Governor doesn't appoint, he nominates."

Sandquist: "Well, appointing or nominating, I believe is the same thing. I think it says appoint in the statutes."

Schlickman: "May I address myself to the Bill, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Schlickman: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. It seems to me that this Amendments points up the problem that is contained with the Bill. By purporting to avoid conflict of interest, the conflicts of interest that are contained in the Bill are brought out crystal clear. The Governor doesn't appoint, he simply nominates... it's the Senate that actually does the appointment by conformation. I think that if this Amendment were to be drawn to its logical conclusion, Members of the Senate would not be able to receive contributions but Members of the House would. I think that is in the nature of classification and simply points up the danger that is fraud by removing the prohibition on such a sensitive



area that of liquor retailers and wholesalers. The Bill as it was introduced was bad and I think the Amendment simply highlights the inherence problem or deficiency with respect to eliminating the prohibition. As a consequence, Mr. Speaker of the House, since the motion to concur with the Amendment #1, to House Bill 595 is final action I would urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Dan Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I think that the Bill that was introduced was a good Bill and the Amendment may be fine. I do have a question though of Representative Sandquist in respect to the Amendment. I note here that the language which is stricken as far as the prohibition, includes the words 'political party'. Now, in view of that which is existing law being stricken, am I correct in assuming that if we adopt this Bill as amended that a licensee would be able to make a direct contribution to a political party."

Sandquist: "I believe they would, yes."

Houlihan: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Conti."

Conti: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, if I may answer Representative Schlickman. There are some thirty-five Senate confirmations, Department of Registration and Education controls I don't know how many industries in this state but they do control Real Estate Brokers. Real Estate Brokers are not excluded from making political contributions to any office. And Engineers or any business that is under the Department of Registration and Education. There are some thirty-five confirmations by the Senate and I think his argument is very weak in that and you're still making second class citizens if we do not concur with this Amendment that the Senate put on this Bill. We're making second class citizens out of people in the restaurant business and the... who hold liquor



licenses."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Senate Amendment pointed out two serious flaws in this bad Bill that we passed. One is, it allowed as we passed the Bill, it allowed liquor interests to donate money to the Mayors who determine whether or not they should receive licenses, hear appeals from their violations of staying open late, serving watered drinks and so on. The same is true of the Governor who appointed Liquor Control Commission who could have solicited contributions from liquor interests at the same time he appointed the Liquor Control Commission. Now, the Senate has improved the Bill somewhat by prohibiting liquor interests from contributing to Mayors, that is the Chairman of the Liquor Commissions in the cities, villages and also prohibited contributions to the Governor who appoints the State Liquor Commission. But, how about county boards... I would like to ask a question, county boards control liquor licenses in unincorporated areas. They have Liquor Commissions, Chairmen of the county board appoints those Commissions. Where do they stand under the Senate Amendment or can they still solicit contributions from taverns to serve watered drinks that are opened late at the same time they issue licenses to them. I have a question of the Sponsor, can county board members who serve on Liquor Commissions still solicit liquor interest for contributions under the Amendment?"

Sandquist: "My opinion... under the...."

Speaker REDmond: "Representative Epton... I mean Sandquist."

Sandquist: "My opinion under the Amendment, the president of county board would be, the local liquor Commissioner could not. But individual members, it would be different. They could."

Pierce: "The members of the board could but not the county



board members."

Sandquist: "That's correct."

Pierce: "The County Board Chairmen who issue all licenses in unincorporated areas, we have your topless joints and all your bad trouble that we've had in Lake County with liquor being sold in after hour spots to underage people and with topless and bottomless dancers. The Chairman of County Board couldn't solicit contributions.... in fact our last sheriff went to jail because of this. But, the Chairman of County Board couldn't solicit contributions but the other members of Liquor Commission could in counties. Is that correct?"

Sandquist: "The Chairman who is the local Liquor Commissioner could not, you're correct."

Pierce: "Thank you. I intend, Mr. Speaker... the Amendment does improve the Bill which wasn't such a hot Bill. We know what it's aimed at, it's aimed at liquor interests contributing to our fund raisers but the Amendments do approve the Bill and I can see why someone might want to concur with them because it is obvious it's going to pass anyway. For my part, I'll vote 'present' because I can run my campaign without those type of contributions from the topless and bottomless joints and the other liquor interest."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Martin. Representative Lynn Martin. Representative Deuster, will you please...."

Martin: "Will the Sponsor please yield? If a County Board Chairman is not selected as it is in most counties, until after the elections, how could any County Board member accept a contribution because they would all be potential Chairmen."

Sandquist: "Well then they have a problem in that county."

Martin: "Well, that is how they are run downstate. So, in affect by saying County Board Chairman, you're saying the whole County Board since they are potentially all



Chairmen of that board."

Sandquist: "I think you may have a point there."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, in city council, the Mayor is the Liquor Commissioner. He might appoint all of them as advisory members but they have no authority. On the County Board the County Board Chairman at that time is the Liquor Commissioner and the County Board members don't have any authority. But in the event the County Board Chairman is up for re-election and he's Liquor Commissioner, he's the one that this is aimed at. The rest of the County Board members aren't in jeopardy. Further, in listening to Representative Pierce, you heard the voice from the days of the prohibition and the days of the speakeasy and the mind that is still back in...before 1935. I can imagine an attorney could very well qualify for a liquor license contribution by having the liquor licensee call on them and tell them to go look at some property down, ask him for an opinion of it and if the opinion is worth five hundred dollars and that would be a twenty-five dollar opinion. You might construe that as a contribution for a member of the Bar. I think Governor Thompson spoke very well when he said, it's time to get the liquor industry out of the dark ages. You're talking about people like Hugh Hefner, who owns the Playboy license, you're talking about the John Hancock Building, you're talking about the Conrad Hilton Hotel chain, you're talking about people who are respectable people. People that are prestigious leaders of the community. People like Pierce want to get you back in before the '30ths where there were rumrunners in this district and I think Highland Park was a hideout for some of the boys that I consider undesirable. I think it is a very good Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Byers."



Byers: "Mr. Speaker, regardless of what the last speaker said, I disagree with him and agree with Representative Pierce. What we have in downstate, we have people run for County Boards from different districts and one of those persons are going to be elected County Board Chairman. You never know which one until after the election. In Madison County there are twenty-nine different people that run so, theoretically it would be impossible to make a contribution to any of those twenty-nine because one of those could be County Board Chairman later on. I think that opening up the contributions to the liquor industry is just going to cause trouble and I think we should stay out it. Everyone has been doing just fine the way it is and I would recommend a 'no' vote on this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Ready for the question. The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment.... is it 1 and 2, or just 1? Representative Sandquist."

Sandquist: "Yeah, I would like to close. I would just like to say that this is not a Bill from any kind of liquor interest or anything like that. This Bill I offered because I think under our present days of disclosure on contributions no industry should be second class citizens. When road builders and constructors and people like that can contribute, there is no reason why liquor industry and insurance and others can't. Things are out in the open, let's not have under the table contributions, let's put it out in the open. So, I urge an 'aye' vote on this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Is it both Amendment #1 and 2, is that correct? The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendments 1 and 2, to House Bill 595. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 107 'aye' and 19 'no' and the House does concur in



.68.

Senate Amendments 1 and 2, to House Bill 595. 621, Representative Steele."

Steele: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move that we concur with Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 621. This is an Amendment which makes several technical changes. One being in counties where there are no townships, it provides that the county sheriff might be the proper official to be notified in lieu of the township supervisor where there is a life in danger due to cut off of services. It is a clarifying Amendment and I move concurrence."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 621. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there 139 'aye' and no 'nay' and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 621. 365, Representative Walsh. Representative Lucco."

Lucco: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Could I have leave to be recorded as 'aye' on this last Amendment here, concurrent 365...."

Speaker Redmond: "Haven't gone on 365 yet, so you... 621. You don't want to be on Walsh, do you? Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 365, which is the Bill that deals, delegate election and selection for the national convention. Provides that there will be a minimum of two in each congressional district instead of one as had been before. And in addition, that provides that the remaining delegates after all of the whole numbers have been applied will be a portion slightly differently than the Bill as it passed the House would. Because there were some inequities in the way it passed. And I move the House concur in Senate



Amendment #1, to House Bill 365."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Representative Byers."

Byers: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Byers: "Representative Walsh, does this just apply to just the Republican Party?"

Walsh: "Traditionally the Republican Party has selected the option that we are doing away with and replacing with this option. Technically, no, it does not just apply to the Republican Party."

Byers: "Will these people still.... how are these delegates going to be selected?"

Walsh: "Well, that goes pretty much to the Bill, Representative Byers but generally the Republican option had been to apply a... to divide the number of delegates allotted by the number of congressional districts and that was the number that each district got. This Bill takes into consideration the Republican vote in its congressional district in proportion to the total vote for President in the last preceding Presidential election. So, that except for the guarantee of two to each congressional district, it applies that proportion to the remainder after the two have been allotted."

Byers: "Representative Walsh, why is this needed... Amendment?"

Walsh: "The Senate Amendment needed?"

Byers: "Yes."

Walsh: "Because in applying the formula that we use in the House one district got six delegates another district that had only eight thousand fewer votes, got three delegates. This Amendment straightens out that inequity and that's why the Amendment is needed. Now, the other part of the Senate Amendment provides that each district shall get a minimum of two, the Bill as it passed the House provided for a minimum of one."

Byers: "So, you are doubling that... that was mainly concerning



your party, the two and the one doubling the number of delegates."

Walsh: "Correct."

Byers: "The Republican Party."

Walsh: "Well, yes, this is the option that the Republican Party has traditionally used."

Byers: "The only thing that I see in it is that there can be some at large delegates selected by the state convention rather than elected as I think the Democratic Party now provides for the election of all their delegates."

Walsh: "The Bill as it it passed the House provided for ten delegates to be elected or selected by the Party Convention, the Senate made no change in that provision."

Byers: "Okay, thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Epton."

Epton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Epton: "Representative Walsh..."

Walsh: "Yes, Sir."

Epton: "Sir, may I have your attention just a moment. This Amendment may give us some problem because as you now indicate there will be at least two delegates from each district. What will that do to some of us in Chicago where we may have only one Republican."

Walsh: "Yes, that occurred to me, Representative Epton. That is absolutely right. Well, you'll really have to get out to vote, Representative Epton in order that you get two Republicans voting for President in the last preceding..."

Epton: "We will be mandated."

Walsh: "You're mandated to get out and vote. It really opens up the Party to that extent, I think."

Epton: "If we have to, we have to. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment... Representative



Robinson."

Robinson: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Robinson: "If the Democratic Party were to adopt this procedure, isn't it true that this would lead to a reduction in delegates to the Democratic Convention from downstate?"

Walsh: "Well, it is not necessarily true that it will have that effect at all. It takes into consideration true... the Party vote for President in the last preceding Presidential election and to the extent that the party vote in a particular congressional district is below the average then it will have that effect. I point out to you though, Representative Robinson that the Bill as it passed the House provided for a guarantee of just one. The Bill as we're considering it in this concurrence provides for a guarantee of two."

Robinson: "Well, I would just suggest that maybe since there is no conventions coming up for a while that we not concur and desist in this effort until the Elections Committee and those of us from downstate on our side can take a better look at this. It is a very complicated procedure that is being outlined here. There is no need to have it for another two years anyhow and I think we could take a little time and study it."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment #2, to House Bill 365. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 105 'aye' and 31 'no' and the House concurs in Senate Amendment #2, to House Bill 365. Representative Lucco."

Lucco: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. It was.... could I be recorded as having voted 'aye' on concurrence with House Bill 595, which was the one preceding this?"



Speaker Redmond: "Does the Gentleman have leave? Hearing no objection, leave is granted. How did you vote on Walsh's Bill?"

Lucco: "He changed my mind."

Speaker Redmond: "House Bill 47, is Mautino back there? Representative Mann."

Mann: "How am I recorded, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "Looks like you were voting 'no', is that correct, Mr. Clerk?"

Mann: "Record me as 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Would you record Representative Mann as 'aye' on that last one. Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "Do you mind taking it out for about a minute, Sir?"

Speaker Redmond: "Okay. 112, Representative Pierce, on the Regular Calendar."

Pierce: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 112, which was the Community College Residency Bill was amended on the floor by Representative Walsh. To protect those teachers hired by July 1 of this year from future residency requirement. However, on 113 we improved the Amendment and put a better one on that added and said it was the date that the district impose the residency requirement would determine those teachers protected from residency requirements. So, what we did in the Senate, we amended 112 to make the Amendment similar to that on 113 and it now provides that a residency requirement may not be imposed on a junior college teacher who is at work either on July 1, of this year or when the district imposed the residency requirement, whichever comes later. I think it is a good Amendment and I urge concurrence in Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 112."

Speaker Redmond: "Any question, any discussion? The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 112. Those in favor



vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 153 'aye' and 1 'no' and the House concurs in Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 112. House Bill 780... Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 780 had a harmless and probably unnecessary Amendment put on in the Senate that requires the State Property Tax Appeal Board. To provide a certificate of its results to the parties within thirty days of their opinion being issued. The State Property Tax Appeal Board has no.... no, ten days thereafter they must certify the change in taxes to the parties to the appeal. And the State Property Tax Appeal Board has no objections to this Amendment, I've talked to John K. Morris its Chairman. The Bill as we passed it, remains intact and therefore, I urge concurrence by the House in Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 780."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 780. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 133 'aye' and 3 'no' and the House concurs in the Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 780. 322, Dan Houlihan."

Pierce: "God Bless Mayor Blandic."

Speaker Redmond: "Dan Houlihan, 322."

Houlihan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. On House Bill 322, I move that we do concur in Senate Amendment #1. It is a very minor Amendment, it changes the word 'petition' to 'request'... in either situation it is going to be under a point developed by the clerk of the Circuit Court of the individual traditional circuit and I move the adoption of Senate Amendment #1... or move that we concur in Senate Amendment #1."



Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 322. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 139 'aye', no 'nay' and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 322. Representative Edgar on the floor? 1020, Representative Edgar."

Edgar: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would move to concur with Senate Amendment #1 on House Bill 1020. House Bill 1020 deals with changes in the 708 Board Act which was requested by the 708 Board Association. The Amendment is a home rule Amendment which was added at the request of the original group.... requested the Bill to clarify the flexibility of 708 Act to home rule municipality."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? The question is on the Gentleman's..... Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Would the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Edgar: "Yes."

Schlickman: "Why?"

Edgar: "Why? Because currently there is some confusion whether home rule units fall under the 708 Act, in particular, Evanston had one already in existence. They would like to come under some of the 708 Act provision but they're not really sure they can right now. This just clarifies it so they could adopt an ordinance to meet certain standards... certain parts of the 708 Act."

Schlickman: "Well, with this Amendment: exempting home rule units an action by a home rule unit would no longer be subject to review and comment by 708 board, would it?"

Edgar: "Go through that again, I didn't hear the first part of it."

Schlickman: "A home rule unit may apply for a grant... could conceivably apply for a grant."



Edgar: "From the 708 board or from...."

Schlickman: "No, could apply for a grant from the Department of Mental Health with respect to a local mental health project."

Edgar: "Right."

Schlickman: "By your concurring with Senate Amendment #1, no longer would that home rule unit as the conditions precedent to making the grant go to the local 708 board for review and comment."

Edgar: "Well, I don't think they care to adopt this law either because I think there is a question whether 708... the 708 Act does not really apply to home rule units now. We're trying to clear up the gray area there....."

Schlickman: "Why the Amendment?"

Edgar: "Because there is a case of Evanston, they are a home rule unit. They would like to adopt an order to follow part of the 708 Act now. They feel that this is necessary to clear up any questions as to whether they can or not. The Corporate Council recommended that this would be necessary to do that for sure."

Schlickman: "Can you take this out of the record, Jim?"

Edgar: "I'll take it out."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. 168, Representative Griesheimer."

Griesheimer: "Mr. Speaker, House Bill 168 is on the Concurrence Calendar. The Amendment on this Bill is a home rule Amendment, put on in the Senate. This is the Public Smoking Act Bill. I have conferred with Mr. Lee Schwartz on the Democratic side. He has assured me the home rule Amendment is not necessary on this Bill because it in no way restricts the prerogative of a home rule unit and therefore, I move to nonconcur and request that a Conference Committee be appointed. Mr. Speaker, I understand that the Senate can still recede from this Amendment so, I'll just move at this point and time to nonconcur."



Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Representative Griesheimer, has moved that the House do not concur in Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 168. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the motion carries and the House does not concur in Senate Amendment #1. 299, Representative Van Duynes."

Van Duynes: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. On House Bill 299 there has been an Amendment added by the Senate which is totally irrelevant to the context of the Bill. And I would move for a nonconcurrency."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 299. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, motion carries and the House nonconcurrency in Senate Amendment #1. 47.... Mautino."

Mautino: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 47, changes the effective date from October to the fiscal year of July 1. And I move to concur with Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 47."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Representative Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, again I wish the Sponsor would explain what the Bill does and what the Amendment did to it."

"The Bill sets up the Agriculture Economic Fair with members from the industry and the House vote and the only change... the Senate put on effective date, we had in the Bill was October 1, changed it until July 1, to coincide with the date. It passed both Houses and I would hope that the date to the right date."

"I would like to point out to the Members that it passed the House 95 to 57."

"I'm sorry, I didn't hear you Representative."

"I'm just commenting that it passed the House 95 to 57."

Mautino: "Yes."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further discussion? The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1, to House 97. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. 47. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 123 'aye' and 14 'no' and the House concur in Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 47. 692, Representative Chapman."

Chapman: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I move to concur with Amendment #1, to House Bill 692. Amendment #1, put on in the Senate limits the state's reimbursement for adult education classes to only those that are approved pursuant to an approved plan by the State Board of Education. Then, it also spells out the dates in which the Comptroller will voucher to refund to any question that might arise in terms of the wording of the initial Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Representative Schneider."

Schneider: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Gene, is there any change in compensation rates?"

Chapman: "No..."

Schneider: "Is it still at 350?"

Chapman: "It is still a cash flow Bill just as it went from the House to the Senate and in no way changes the reimbursement. We took that out in the House and it is still out."

Schneider: "All right. Thank you, very much, it is a good Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further discussion? That's Roman Kosinski... The question is on the Lady's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 692. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question 151 'aye' and no 'nay' and the House concurs in Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 692. 930,



Representative McGrew: That's Norbert Kosinski, is the Sponsor Mr. Schneider."

McGrew: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I would move to concur with the Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 930. During the passage of this Act we deleted the requirement that the Secretary of the School Board shall preserve the receipt under this paragraph for a period of two years. And this Amendment merely puts it back into that Act, I think it should be. I move for its concurrence."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 930. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 157 'aye' and 1 'no' and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 930. 691, Representative McGrew."

McGrew: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 691... they are simply changing the words, they are crossing out the words 'not curtail' and putting in 'be made with funds not necessarily for' and etc., etc. It makes no substantive change whatsoever and it is merely different words. I would move for its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Again I would wonder... this Bill had considerable discussion on Third Reading here and 36 'no' votes, what the Bill was about first of all and how the Amendment effected the Bill as it passed the House."

McGrew: "This Bill had 118 'aye' votes, I don't think that was quite as hotly debated as the Gentleman might say. As I eluded earlier, it makes no substantive change whatsoever. The original Bill allowed for school districts to use the five cent tax levy for Fire Safety Code, to meet



the Fire Safety Code to after that Code has been completed to use it for energy conservation. That is all the Bill does, the Amendment makes no changes whatsoever in that requirement. In fact it is attempting to make it more clear that they must meet all the Fire Safety Code Standards before they could proceed on energy conservation."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Well, Mr. Speaker there was quite a bit of discussion on Third Reading and quite a bit of opposition as was pointed out by Representative Totten. And the reason of the opposition, I think is pretty clear.... a few years down the road allowed school districts to levy a tax for its specific purpose. And I'm pretty sure that tax was to be levied without a referendum. Now, the purpose has pretty well been resolved so rather than let the school districts make them lose the advantages of a tax increase without a referendum. We're once again changing the purpose to allow them to continue to tax but for a new purpose. So, in effect this is a tax increase without a referendum because they would not have use for the money unless we change the purpose of which they could spend it. So, I think it's still a bad Bill, the Amendment may make it somewhat better... I'm not sure because it is apparently only technical in nature. But, I would urge a 'no' vote and would hope that I would be joined by more than previously and that Representative McGrew would be joined with fewer than previously."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Keats."

Keats: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I want to reiterate what has been said by the previous speaker. There is a serious problem with this Bill in the fact that it does expand the original meaning of a non-referendum tax. This tax was originally imposed without a referendum. Many of you remember the Our Lady



Of Angels fire in Chicago in the late 50's where a large number of children were killed. We immediately passed this Bill as a response, it was an excellent Bill and no one could gripe about the Bill. I don't think there would be a descending vote on the original Bill which was to provide Life Safety Fund. Now, to take this Bill and expand it to include matters that were not covered and allowing the tax to remain a non-referendum tax is indeed a tax increase without referendum. My local teachers and many of you know that I've taught at Evanston High School, many local teachers at Evanston High School consider the present use of the Life Safety Fund the most abused tax in the entire State of Illinois. They build outdoor running tracks, they put lights in field houses and they put sidewalks across lawns...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lucco, for what purpose do you arise?"

Lucco: "Yes, I rise on a point of order. The last two Gentlemen I think have been speaking very very directly and profusely on the Bill. The Bill has been passed and I think they should direct their comments to the proposed Amendment."

Keats: "No, Mr. Speaker...my comments aren't to the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Point is well taken. Define yourself to the Amendment."

Keats: "Well, my comments aren't to the Amendment"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schneider."

Schneider: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Now, we've had this discussion in Committee... this Bill does not deal with Representative Keat's problem nor does it deal with Representative McCourt's. Representative McCourt's Bill is a Bill that is addressed to resolving the problem of not building sidewalks or fences. This is a separate concept, I think although, Roger's comments are in order. This Bill does not address that problem, we solved it in another Bill and I don't see any need to confront the



Sponsor on 691 when he's dealing with something else. And I think that's fair and the Bill is a good one and we all supported Representative McCourt's Bill so we've got both things taken care of. And I would, of course encourage support for 691."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 691. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 110 'aye' and 43 'no' and House does concur in Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 691. 1198, Representative McGrew."

McGrew: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 1192 provides for the student tuition refund for the Board of Governor's program. It passed the House without any dejection whatsoever, however it did provide only for the Board of Governor's and subsequent evaluation by the other systems decided that it was such a good idea that they be allowed to do so. That the Board of Regions southern and the Board of Trustees have all asked to be added to this right. It merely withholds 3% of the total student tuition fees paid so that those students that come but do not actually enroll in that quarter, their money can be refunded. I move for its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? The question is on the Gentleman's.... Representative Totten."

Totten: "Would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Totten: "Is there any fiscal impact of doing this?"

McGrew: "Not directly, Sir. Actually the students get this money, it just takes longer now. So, it does not change the amount of dollars whatsoever. It does let the university retain a certain percent of that instead of sending it to the state and the state turns around and sends it back



to them."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment #4, to House Bill 1198. All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 156 'aye' and no 'nay' and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #4, to House Bill 1198. On the Order of.... Ralph Dunn."

Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would like to be recorded as voting 'yes' on 1198. I pushed my button and it didn't hit."

Speaker Redmond: "Does the Gentleman have leave? Hearing no objections, leave is granted. On the Order of Non-concurrence 1317, Representative Lauer... do you want that one called? Is Lauer on the floor? On the Supplemental Calendar. 325, Representative Deuster. Representative Stiehl."

Stiehl: "Mr. Speaker, I'm wondering if we could hold these Bills on nonconcurrence for a while. The Supplemental Calendar was distributed about 1:45 and under Rule 65 (b), it states that these would be distributed on the Members' desks for three hours. I think...."

Speaker Redmond: "If you read that a little more carefully I think you'll find that it has to do with concurrence and Conference Committee Reports and not nonconcurrence. And I was requested to call Representative Deavers Bill. Now, if the Republican Leadership doesn't get their signals uncrossed why... Representative Deavers."

Deavers: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, on....."

Speaker Redmond: "336, is that the one you want?"

Deavers: "Yes. Senate Bill 336."

Speaker Redmond: "336. Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Just an inquiry, Mr. Speaker. On that order, just



preceding that is 332 and I was wondering if you would go back to that one?"

Speaker Redmond: "I will."

Barnes: "Okay, fine."

Speaker Redmond: "I use this method to quiet some of the Republican Leadership down. Representative Deavers."

Deavers: "On Senate Bill 336, I move that we recede from House Amendment #1 and this is the Judge's Retirement System Bill and the reason for it is, they administer the General Assembly and the Judge's Retirement System and they appropriate 40% of the expense to the General Assembly Retirement System and 60% to the Judges and they need the latitude in that item. And that's the reason I move that we recede."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? Dan Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Now, this will be final action on the Bill, could you explain just what House Amendment #1 did... that you're asking us now to recede from."

Deavers: "I would be very happy to. House Amendment #1, removes the line item appropriation of administrative expenses for the General Assembly Retirement System 40% and Judges Retirement System 60%, which were combined for administrative purposes. The line items amount were to come from the two systems reserved funds and not from state funds. That's the reason that we want to remove it."

Houlihan: "Well, what is the position of the Judicial Pension Fund? What has Mr. Lance said about this?"

Deavers: "He wants it removed."

Houlihan: "He does?"

Speaker Redmond: "Is there anything further?"

Deavers: "Danny, are you happy?"

Houlihan: "Could you give us just a minute on that please?"

Deavers: "Certainly."

Houlihan: "Gil, could you take this out of the record for just



a minute?"

Deavers: "Yes, take it out of the record, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "What is the decision on 336, did you take that out of the record? 336. Members should be pleased to know that both Dan Houlihan and Gil Deavers are happy. The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House recede... is that it, Representative Deavers? Would you state your motion again."

Deavers: "Mr. Speaker, I move that the House recede from Amendment #1, to Senate Bill 336."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House recede from Amendment #1, to Senate Bill 336. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 128 'aye'... 138 'aye' and no 'nay' and the House recedes on Amendment #1, to Senate Bill 336. 332, Representative Klosak."

Klosak: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 332 replaced a position in the laboratory, a chemist position and added one other, that of a legal staff assistant. The total appropriation of thirty-nine thousand dollars. Now, on both these positions we did furnish the Senate with a certificate of exemption from our Department of Personnel. Nevertheless, the Senate has refused to accept House Amendment #2, therefore, Mr. Speaker I now move that we refuse to recede from House Amendment #2, to Senate Bill 332."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House refuse to recede from Amendment #2, to Senate Bill 325. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the motion carries. How about #3, Representative Klosak?"

Klosak: "Mr. Speaker, I know of no Amendment #3, to this House Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay...."



Klosak: "Senate Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Just leave it where it is then. 325,
Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,
there were two House Amendments to Senate Bill 325 which
is the appropriations for the ordinary and contingent
expenses of the Court of Claims. Amendment #2 is an
essential Amendment because it corrected a defect in the
original Bill and if we did not have Amendment #2, there
just wouldn't be a Bill. So, in view of that I would
move at this time that the House refuse to recede from
Amendment #2, to Senate Bill 325 and I would request a
Conference Committee be appointed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is on the
Gentleman's motion that.... Representative Dan Houlihan."

Houlihan: "You're refusing to recede also from Amendment #3,
aren't you, Representative Deuster?"

Deuster: "Well, since we have to go to Conference it doesn't
make any difference. Amendment...."

Houlihan: "Amendment #3 was Representative Beatty's Amendment."

Deuster: "Yes, on that one, of course I supported the Amendment...
Representative Beatty did."

Houlihan: "All right then, why don't we do them both on one
Roll Call. Refuse to recede on Amendment #2 and Amendment
#3."

Deuster: "That would be fine with me, I would restate the
motion. I move that the House refuses to recede from
Amendment #2 and Amendment #3, to Senate Bill 325."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. An inquiry of the Chair.
Are we still restricted in Conference Committees to the
Amendment in question or may the... all Amendments be
subject to question in a Conference Committee?"

Speaker Redmond: "No, we don't have any Joint Rules, there
aren't any rules. We're playing by ear."



Totten: "So that it doesn't make any difference if it is going to go to Conference Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, theoretically that's right but the government has moved that the House refuse to recede from Amendment #2 and 3, to Senate Bill 325 and request a Conference Committee. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no' and the 'ayes' have it and the House does refuse to recede from Amendments 2 and 3, to Senate Bill 325 and a Conference Committee is requested. 337."

McBroom: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I move that we do not recede from the House Amendment and the Conference Committee can be appointed."

Speaker Redmond: "McBroom. Any discussion? The question is on the Gentleman's motion that we refuse to recede from Amendment #1, to Senate Bill 337. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and we refuse to recede. Did you also request a Conference Committee... or not?"

McBroom: "Yes, I did, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Make sure that we also... that the motion included the request for a Conference Committee. 339."

McBroom: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would move that the House do not recede from House Amendment #1, to Senate Bill 339 and we ask for a Conference Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House refuse to recede from Amendment #1, to Senate Bill 339 and request a Conference Committee. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the motion carries and the House refuses to recede from Amendment #1, to Senate Bill 339 and a Conference Committee is requested. 543, Representative Wikoff."

Wikoff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move that we do not recede from Senate action on Amendments #1 and 2, on Senate Bill 543 and



request that this be placed in Conference Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House refuse to recede from Amendments 1 and 2, to Senate Bill 543 and a Conference Committee be requested. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the motion carried and the House refuses to recede from Amendments 1 and 2, to Senate Bill 543 and requests a Conference Committee. On the Order of the Speaker's Table appears Senate Joint Resolution 50, Representative Mugalian is recognized. Mugalian on Senate Joint Resolution 50."

Mugalian: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This addresses the question of the work that has already been done by the Governor's Commission to revise the Mental Health Code. Pursuant to that Commission's work double proposals were prepared and Bills were introduced based on those proposals. But except for two Bills, dealing with the defendant who was guilty by reason of insanity and also the fitness to stand trial, with exception of those two, all the other Bills have been sent to Interim Study. Now, that Commission has done a lot of work and it will be a pity if that work were wasted. This Resolution proposes the establishment of Joint Committee of Senate Members and House Members, Representative of the Judiciary Committee and the House of Human Resource Committee to study all the proposals and make recommendations to the Legislature. This proposal originated in the Senate by Senator Hynes and Shapiro and would have a fourteen person membership. It would also include two Members, ex officio, the Chairman and Vice Chairman of the Commission on Mental Health and Developmental Disability. It also has the Sponsorship of the Speaker and of the Minority Leader, Representative Ryan. If there are any questions I would be happy to try and answer them."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion for



the adoption of Senate Joint Resolution #50. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Dan Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Well, I do support this motion but I do wish to point out for the record. The reason that only two of those Bills in the package were considered and the reason was that they were introduced so late in this Session. There were very substantial changes in the Mental Health Code and they were introduced, not more frankly than a week before the deadline for consideration of Bills in Committee. And that was the reason that only two of the Bills were considered at this time in the House and the intention was to address them either in Subcommittee or in the Fall Session."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wished? On this question the Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 132 'aye', 7 'no' and the motion carries and Senate Joint Resolution 50, is adopted. ...trouble with tape..."

Totten: ".....in areas under the jurisdiction of Board of Election Commissioners. The Bill originally dealt with and still deals with permitting the election authorities to use the election judges. There is some difficulty with the language in the Senate and we would hope to get it to a Conference Committee so we could work it out. So, I move to nonconcur."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 364. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the motion carries and the House nonconcur. "Trouble with tape"; Senate Bill, Second Reading. On Senate Bills, Second Reading appears Senate Bill 496, Representative Macdonald."

Macdonald: "A point of order. When are we going to go to House Bills for nonconcurrency?"

Speaker Redmond: "Well, there are some of these things that



have to do and I'll get to them a little bit later on.
We have to move these Bills along. Representative...."

Clerk Hall: "Senate Bill 496, this Bill has been read a second time previously. Amendments #1 through 4, were adopted in Committee. 5 and 6, were withdrawn. 7 through 20, were adopted in Committee. 21, was withdrawn, 22 through 29, were adopted in Committee. 30, was withdrawn, 31 through 36, were adopted in Committee. 37, was withdrawn."

Speaker Redmond: "Take this one out of the record. Senate Bills, Second Reading appears Senate Bill 501. Representative Ralph Dunn, do you want that one called?"

Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. This is Second Reading on Senate Bill 501, which is the ordinary and contingent expenses for the Department of Corrections."

Speaker Redmond: "Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 501, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the ordinary and contingent expense of the Department of Corrections. Second Reading of the Bill, Amendments 1 through 6, were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motions on the Committee Amendment or any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "A motion to table Amendment #5, to Senate Bill 501, by Representative Peters."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #5 is added on to the Department of Corrections Bill in Committee at the request of the department by Representative Lynn Martin. I objected to that Amendment on the basis that the amount of funds that we're talking about here, five hundred and four thousand dollars is based on a decision which is expected from Judge Princess Marshall. Dealing with the need to update the facilities at the prisons, especially as it relates to the kitchen.



90.

So what we're talking about is a possible order here from the Judge that the department is going to have to hire more nutritionist dietitians and what appears to be, not to much of a concern on the part of Judge Marshall as to whether security people or anybody else has got to be laid off in order to fulfill his order. The argument was made in Committee that we have too much of an interference really with the Federal Judiciary in terms of the running of our various state institutions without the appropriate funding of these institutions. Or these court orders by the Judges. We had it with the motorcycle law, we had it with the fifty-five mile an hour speed limit. We had it with the studded tires and a whole host of other kind of regulations. Let me just indicate to the Members of this Assembly what happened in the State of Georgia. On cases that went up to the Federal Court, what the Federal Court in Georgia did and on the basis of the evidence it had and with a total disregard in my estimation for the welfare and safety of the entire state was to close a number of jails. What ended up happening is that the convicts from those jails or the inmates were then transferred to the counties. And then what happened is the same people who went into Federal Court and in saying that the conditions in the state jails were unsanitary, filed suit in the Federal Court to say that the county courts were overcrowded. What ended up happening is that there was a Federal Court order issued then not to put anybody in jail. And the entire legal system in the State of Georgia came to a total halt until the whole thing got straightened out. How was it straightened out? By the implementation of laws which called for early release of prisoners, which called for parole of prisoners and down the line. I don't object, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in this five hundred and four thousand dollars going on to this budget. To put



it very bluntly, the State of Illinois and the Department of Corrections is between the rock and a hard place. But sooner or later this General Assembly and Assemblies across the state are going to have to end up making some decision and some stand as will the cities in regard to the Federal interference with the operations of the state and the cities. And having said my piece and not wishing to carry this on any further, Mr. Speaker, I will now move to table... or to table my motion to table Amendment #5."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kosinski... will you state the motion again, Representative Peters."

Peters: "I will move to table my motion to table... or withdraw it whatever."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has withdrawn the motion to table. Any other motions with respect to any of the Committee Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #7, failed in Committee. Floor Amendment #8, Lauer. Amends Senate Bill 501 as amended by deleting all of Section 8 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lauer. Representative Anderson and Hudson, would you please sit down... and Campbell, Gene Hoffman, Jessie Madison... go home."

Lauer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #8 is for an amount of seventy-five thousand dollars... be granted by the Department of Corrections to Logan County Public Building Authority for the purposes of a telecommunications system in the Logan County State Complex. Mr. Speaker, as the people of the House know, Logan County without its approval or its wish is getting a prison whether it likes it or not. The annex division of the Lincoln Developmental Center is being converted to a medium security prison. Logan County at this time is a jail complex that is in the



process of construction. Because of the fact that by January 1, or shortly thereafter, we will be in the situation of having a major state facility by nature a medium security prison within the county, we felt that while we're in the process of building, we might as well put in a countywide radio communication system which if... something should go afoul and there should be escapes... would enable the radio communications system of the Law Enforcement Department of Logan County to cope more adequately and be in closer tune with the medium security prison. I think this is... I know this is a one time shot and it is a situation where we're caught in the problem that not having had a chance to plan for what we are being visited with, we don't have the money appropriated to do the job adequately. I would move for your favorable consideration of Amendment #8, to Senate Bill 501."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you very much. Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, to be very brief and I understand the Representatives concern for his district. But this Amendment was heard in Appropriations II, as Amendment #7 and was defeated there without receiving any votes except the Sponsor's vote in favor of the Amendment. This is a county problem and as the Representative indicated to you, it's a one shot purpose for a telecommunication system there which is in fact, the telephone system. That the Committee in its wisdom agreed that it was not something that the state should be involved in. There is some problem there, I'm sure the county could take care of it. I understand one of my colleagues here from another county just indicated that he just briefly heard, that their county had just took care of this themselves and I would think in that vein this Amendment should be defeated."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? The question is on Representative Lauer's motion for the adoption of Amendment #8. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have



all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 34 'aye' and 72 'no' and the Gentleman's motion fails. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #9, Birchler. Amends Senate Bill 501 as amended by deleting new Section 7 and inserting in lieu thereof, the following."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Birchler."

Birchler: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I ask that Amendment #9 be tabled because of a technical error. I'll do the work in a following Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman withdraws Amendment #9. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #10, Hanahan, Robinson. Amends Senate Bill 501, as amended on page 1, line 12 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Robinson."

Robinson: "Mr. Speaker, could we have 10 and 11 considered at the same time?"

Speaker Redmond: "Does he have leave? Representative Kempiners."

Kempiners: "I think that you ought to take a look at Amendment #10, it is my understanding that it might be inaccurate on page 1, line 14, with regard to the adoption of Amendment #5."

Speaker Redmond: "Parliamentarian here? Is the Parliamentarian here?"

Kempiners: "Mr. Speaker, if Amendment #5 was adopted this Amendment is technically inaccurate."

Speaker Redmond: "Amendment #10 has been withdrawn. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #11, Hanahan, Robinson. Amends Senate Bill 501 as amended on page 15, line 30 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Robinson."

Robinson: "Mr. Speaker, Amendment #11 adds line items in the



the budget to keep the Geneva school, the correctional school for teenage women open in Geneva. The department has said that they want to close this facility, I would like to make a couple of points in regard to that. They want to transfer the people in this facility to two other facilities, however, a number of studies including one by the League of Women voters have shown that the Geneva facility is needed, is doing a good job. The department has said that the Geneva school costs too much. However, a study by the union asked me... has shown a lot of budgeting for a variety of schools has passed through the Geneva budget. And that actually the higher rate is because of this budgeting procedure. In addition to that there is the need, the department says for other correctional facilities... adult correctional facilities and that they want to build some new facilities. Well, here is a facility that already exists, there is no reason why the department couldn't take this facility and use it. Why should we sell off an existing correctional facility when the Governor himself says that we're overcrowded. Finally I would like to say that there has been some talk about what will happen with the personnel at this facility. While the department says they want to keep these people on, they have not guaranteed it. They have said, only if other agencies agree... will they keep these personnel on payroll. And you and I know that those who have been active in union activities at that facility will be the ones who will not be rehired. I feel that this is bad for the employees there and I ask that this facility be kept open for the entire year."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Breslin."

Breslin: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the Committee of the General Assembly. This is an issue of correction, the Governor and this House has passed many new laws which will increase their prison population. The Governor has



said that we need to build more prisons. He has already planned two new, seven hundred bed medium security prisons. My question to the Governor is, how does he plan to build new prisons and sell the old ones. Does that make sense? I have asked this in a letter and I've gotten no response. I think that it makes bad sense and without any other explanation, I think we should adopt this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Kosinski."

Kosinski: "A statement of the Sponsor of the Amendment... the Sponsors of the Amendment, which they can correct if they feel me wrong. Two facts, first of all there is money in Geneva presently and by putting on this Amendment you're putting money on top of money. Item one, item two, it is my understanding that the people in Geneva are already in the process of being phased out of that institution."

Speaker Redmond: "Have you finished, Representative Kosinski?"

Kosinski: "I'm waiting for a response from... Dave. Dave, I indicated that there is money in the appropriation presently for this, you're putting money on top of money. Actually doubling the appropriation with this Amendment. Item two, it's my understanding that the people in Geneva are presently in the process of phased out of that institution."

Robinson: "Let me begin to answer that and I think, Representative Hanahan...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hoxsey, for what purpose do you rise?"

Hoxsey: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlement of the House, I am in this district in that you're considering the Geneva institution. Now, there are two other institutions within a very few miles of that particular institution. Now, we've gone the same route, we've gone this whole route even to a large mass meeting with two thousand people of the area and the Governor in order to get this thing straightened out for the people of the Geneva-St. Charles



area. Now, it was their desire to not expand anyone of their institutions in that area. It put the Governor and the people in the district in a very precocious position. We've extended ourselves a long way to try and get an answer to this problem. I think that the Department of Corrections and the Governor have handled it as well as it could possibly be handled. These employees are being placed in the other institutions, very close by. I could say that nobody is really going to be out of a job. This is what the people in the Geneva area want, now let's go with an answer to the problem and let's vote this down and leave it alone. It's where it belongs."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ewing. I would take that... well, I'll say my piece later. Representative Ewing."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, as one of the other Representatives that have the district encompasses the Geneva, I would like to make a couple of comments. First of all, land that is presently in the Geneva institution would be sold by the state. This is enough money probably... it is a very valuable land it is a very high price land area to build a new institution. The state has trouble hiring people in this part of the state to work in the correctional institutions. There are parts of the state that we have unemployment, I think that if the other Representatives in the 38th District or others here who spoke for this had toured that institution, they will know that this very old school would be terribly expensive to make it meet federal and state standards for an institution. And that we would be much better off to close this institution to dispose of the property and to build a new institution where it is wanted, where we can hire people to work in the institution and where there is need. And I would hope that this Amendment be turned down."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hanahan. Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you very much. Mr. Speaker and Members of the



House would the Sponsor yield to a question? Would the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Barnes: "How much new money in addition is in this Amendment, the bottom line?"

Hanahan: "There is one million and six hundred and thirty-one thousand, five hundred dollars."

Barnes: "That translates into approximately how many positions?"

Hanahan: "I have no knowledge of the exact amount of positions but this is the amount of money that it would take to operate the Geneva facility as it is now operated for a full fiscal year in comparison in what the present budget calls for, a six months operation."

Barnes: "Okay. Well, the reason that I asked that second question is and I'm not sure that you are aware of it, I believe you are. That there was no reduction in the overall budget of those persons that had always been funded at this particular institution."

Hanahan: "Well, Representative Barnes, I understand in talking with the department that they intend to literally transfer within the Department of Corrections these personnel to other facilities. So, it would take this increased amount of money to insure the fact that the money would be there to continue the operation at Geneva and yet expand the operations at the other facilities as they see fit?"

Barnes: "But... then the next question, Representative. What you are doing in fact here instead of maintaining the work force that is already at that institution this Amendment would hire in fact or would have the net affect of additional money to hire staff for that institution for a full year operation but would in fact increase the budget by that amount of money."

Hanahan: "Right. It is the only manner in which... in addressing ourselves to the issue in an appropriation manner. Is the only method allowed by our Constitution to mandate



or to show the Legislative intent that we want to keep Geneva from being sold and we wanted to keep it operating yet, allow the Governor his attitude in changing course in allowing expansion at other institutions."

Barnes: "Well, Mr. Speaker, may I speak to the Amendment?"

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Barnes: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: not getting into that whole argument of whether or not Geneva should or should not be there. I'm not a Representative of that area so, I won't even try and comment on that. But, I will comment on the fiscal implication of the budget itself. What as I understand, the intentions of the department is to laterally transfer those people who are now working at this particular institution. Therefore, the amount of money that is normally requested for operations for the number of personnel at that institution has already been requested and is encompassed in the budget as presented to us this year. They have not reduced the work force by any number relative to the Geneva situation. What they have in fact is, included those dollars that would have been available at that institution and the other various institutions where that personnel will be laterally transferred to. If we in fact adopt this Amendment of sum one million point six, we would be in fact telling the department to hire people that they themselves do not deem necessary to carry out the functions they are charged with. Since the decision has been made whether it is right or wrong, the decision has been made. The people that are now working at this facility has been accounted for, the appropriation is there for their continued employment. So, therefore, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I would stand to oppose this Amendment... Would ask my fellow Members in the General Assembly to do so because this in fact would put in and appropriate money on top of money for the same positions that we have already appropriated for."



Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Winchester."

Winchester: "Well, I would like to move the previous question, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, shall the main question be put? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the motion carries. Representative Hanahan, to close."

Hanahan: "Yes, well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. In case that somebody is concerned that... because you don't live in this specific Legislative District, you have no interest in it. I might point out that for many years I represented many of the employees of that institution that resided in the old 33rd District in the back towns of Kane County surrounding Geneva's institution. My relationship with those citizens of Illinois are just as intact today as they were when I served as their Legislator or one of their three Legislators in the old 33rd District, prior to re-apportionment. They called upon me in need of help because this Governor, all by himself without very much consultation from anyone else decided to close a very needed institution, well-kept institution, an institution that has been there and donated and dedicated for the purposes that for fifty, sixty and seventy years had been utilized for the purposes of handling youth... female youth offenders of this state. Now, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I could just say to you point blank, that one of the previous opponents to this Amendment doesn't know what she is talking about when she says, nobody is going to lose a job. Now, unless she means that twenty people are nobody. Well, if you're one of those twenty you're going to be hurt. Twenty people is a lot of people in this state to hurt at anytime because there are approximately twenty people who are not going to be reclassified, who are not going to be transferred and who are not going to get opportunities to go to work



in another state institution. They are just going to be put on the unemployment roles. And thank God that we have unemployment insurance to take care of them. But as far as some other things concerning this institution, you have to go back to the year of 1899 and look at the deeds... deeds of the property when they are not only sold for the state but given to the state for the purposes that this state institution has been providing the services to Illinois for these seventy some years. Now, some people don't like to lose their jobs and I'm with them. And let me tell you something, when some Legislator's up at election time, he or she is going to fight like hell not to lose their job. I'm trying to help some citizens of this state not to lose their job and the only manner in which I could help those citizens of Illinois, to prevent them from losing their job is to offer Amendment #11. And I'm glad to be on record in support of those twenty-two hundred or two thousand people of that city, of that community to help prevent unemployment going up. I think the Geneva institution should remain intact, remodeled and expanded for the purposes that the state sees. But to sell that valuable piece of property just because somebody thinks it's outlived its usefulness is a wrong approach of what state government is all about especially when seventy some years ago the State of Illinois made a commitment to the heirs of the people that owned that land originally and said it would in perpetuity it would be used as a prison. A facility for youth in this state for a correctional institution and I think it is a breach of faith that seventy-five years later somebody wants to sell that property... And I ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion in the adoption of Amendment #11, to House Bill.... Senate Bill 501. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Kosinski. Representative Hoxsey, you talked



in debate and you're not entitled to explain your vote. Representative Kosinski."

Kosinski: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I was just told by the corrections people that if this Amendment passes we'll have an open institution with no inmates."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Robinson, to explain his vote."

Robinson: "Mr. Speaker, I think that is a little misleading. The original proposal was to take adult female prisoners from Dwight and put where there is overcrowding and put them into Geneva. It was the Governor who backed off on that, we will now have a facility there that the state owns and it will be sold and instead we'll be asked in this Legislature to build a new facility to relieve that overcrowding instead of using a facility that we already own. Where we have people already trained."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 37 'aye' and 96 'no' and the Gentleman's motion fails. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #12, Birchler. Amends Senate Bill 501 as amended by adding immediately after Section 8, the following."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Birchler."

Birchler: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the General Assembly. Amendment #12 has been prepared at the request of the department. It was not available at the time that we heard the Bill in Committee. I have in my possession a letter which I'm going to read a part of after I speak just for a few moments. At Menard the correctional part or facility and the City of Chester use a common storage disposal plant. Just a few years ago they were informed that it had to be updated to meet state specifications. Federal monies, just short of a half a million dollars have



been approved for this so that the work can begin for the improvement that is needed badly. Both for Menard and the City of Chester. It has been determined by members of the department and the engineers and people of the village of Chester that the state share of the seven hundred and fifty thousand dollar project is a hundred and twenty thousand dollars. This has been discussed and I've a letter written by Director Rowl, states in affect that his business manager charged Mcfickers and Louis Holloway, his chief engineer feel the percentage given for the institution is fair. We feel that the importance of this project should be relayed to the Legislature as we are asking that an emergency supplemental budget for this hundred and twenty thousand be approved... we may proceed with the program. This institution has committed itself to renovate and repair the deficiency in our equipment to elevate an excessive sewage. Menard Correctional Center relies completely upon the City of Chester sewer facilities for operation and for our sewer system. This has been discussed with both the Minority Spokesman in Appropriations and with the Chairman of the Appropriation Committee, it has been recommended and I ask for an 'aye' vote on this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Representative Birchler has adequately described the Amendment. what he says is exactly on target and true. Our Committee is in agreement with the purposes of the Amendment. The department is in agreement and we join Representative Birchler in asking for an 'aye' vote on this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Birchler, to close."

Birchler: "All I ask is the 'aye' votes. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Birchler."

Birchler: "I ask for an 'aye' vote."



Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion in the adoption of Amendment #12. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 132 'aye' and 2 'no' and the motion carried and Amendment #12 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Senate Bills, Third Reading appears Senate Bill 36, Representative Winchester. Out of the record. Out of the record. 231, Representative Telceer on 231. Out of the record. Representative Barnes, are you ready to move on 2403, it's on House Bill, Second Reading? Representative McClain on the Order of Concurrence and Supplemental Calendar, 571. Are you ready to proceed on that? Representative McClain."

McClain: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 579... is that correct?"

Speaker Redmond: "Yes, House Bill 579."

McClain: "Could you... House Bill 579 was the operating expenses for the State Appellate Defenders Office, Senate Amendment #1, which I would move to concur reduces eleven thousand four hundred dollars from travel and one thousand, five hundred dollars from commodities in the operation of State Appellate Defenders. The total appropriation now of that agency is down to one million, eight hundred and ninety-one thousand, forty-three dollars. The total amount reduced to twelve thousand, nine hundred dollars in General Revenue Fund and I would move to concur with Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 579. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'."

McClain: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will



take the record. On this question there are 142 'aye' and 1 'no' and the House.... the question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 579. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Walsh stole that other Roll Call. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 127 'aye' and 4 'no' and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 579. On the Regular Calendar on the Order of Concurrence appears House Bill 2164, Representative Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 2164 is an Amendment to the Civil Administrative Code which is making changes in regard to the compensation originally of members of the Mine Safety Board that is set up in that code. There was a Senate Amendment to this Bill which provides that the employee members of that board which rule upon safety violations throughout the State of Illinois and of complaints for mine safety correction should actually represent employees and they should come from the employee organizations that represent at least 15% of the miners in the State of Illinois. I would move for concurrence with the Senate Amendment to House Bill 2164."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Schlickman: "What percentage of miners are unionized in Illinois?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Tipsword."

Tipsword: "I'm sorry, I didn't get the first few words of your question."

Schlickman: "What percentage of mine workers in Illinois are unionized?"

Tipsword: "I have no idea. I can only tell you in the areas



in which I'm personally acquainted and they all are as far as I know. That is of the actual miners, that is the ones that work underground or work at the surface of surface mines. That work at the actual coal removal."

Schlickman: "Well, how many organizations in Illinois represent mine workers?"

Tipsword: "I don't know how many, there is more than one.

I don't know how many."

Schlickman: "Well, how did you arrive at this 15% figure?"

Tipsword: "I didn't. This came from the Senate, I do know that there was.... when they were first considering drafting the Bill it was at that time considered that there would be a percentage figure. The percentage figure at that time of 25% was objected to. It was not in the Bill when it was finally drafted and came here to the floor of the House. The figure was placed in an Amendment in the Senate to be sure that the people who go on the board are actually representative of actual working miners in the State of Illinois. And I know it's somewhat on the basis of the State of Indiana law of their comparable law, provides that they must come from an organization that represents at least.... more than 50% of the miners in the State of Indiana and in fact the organization there... the one that represents that many miners must themselves nominate the employee member. Now, that's not true in Illinois, the Governor makes the nomination here."

Schlickman: "Well, what you're saying though by this Amendment is, that no employee could be appointed as a board member without being a member of a union."

Tipsword: "It doesn't say union. An organization representing I presume that is what it means, however and they want to be sure that they actually represent miners who work in either the surface or underground mines. Because what they rule on is the safety violations or report safety violations of the Mine Code throughout the State of Illinois



and corrections of those safety standards. Now, there are also representatives of the companies and they must come from the coal operating companies that also serve upon these boards."

Schlickman: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? The question is on Representative Tipword's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 2164. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Representative Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the thought occurs to me that we get so we vote for these concurrences just as a reflex action. Almost witlessly, what possible benefit... what relevancy can it have to ones qualifications to serve on the board whether or not they belong to the union. That has nothing to do with the merits in the case. You might be depriving the most gifted and contributive member from serving by this ridiculous requirement. If you're not voting red you're wrong."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 122 'aye', 20 'no' and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 2164. 1096, Representative Holewinski."

Holewinski: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would move to concur with Senate Amendment #1, 2 and 3, to House Bill 1096. House Bill 1096 is a Bill to regulate the mortgage banking industry in Illinois by putting them under the Commissioner of saving and loans. Senate Amendments, I will explain them individually. Amendment #1, was mainly a technical change in the legislation pursuant to the request of the mortgage banking industry, added language to make it similar to other regulatory Acts provided that we had required in the Act that they



keep a record of all communications and in order to specify that it not be... include telecommunications, we've put in written communications. Those are the type of changes in Amendment #1. Amendment #2, is a major Amendment to the Bill. That is the provision that requires a Commissioner to monitor foreclosure rates based upon national performance. It places no limitation at all on foreclosure rates but simply says that if a mortgage banker's rate is at a certain level above the national rate that the Commissioner shall audit that mortgage banker to determine whether that foreclosure rate is the result of practices that deviate from... accepted from underwriter practices in the industry and including credit fraud and appraisal fraud and property inspection fraud. Those have been particularly abuse that have been brought to our attention in studying the subject matter. Amendment #3 really just makes clear what the intent of the Bill is and that is, that the finding to the Commissioner on any particular audit shall be public record is that he will make public his findings. I move for concurrence in Amendments #1, 2 and 3."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "I have some questions for the Sponsor. Representative Holewinski, there are some questions on Amendment #2 which is really the guts of the Bill now, is that correct?"

Holewinski: "That is correct."

Leinenweber: "All right now, the Bill does basically two things as I understand it with Amendment #2. It provides for in Section....let me get the Section number. It provides a really a new Section 6, is that correct?"

Holewinski: "I believe that's correct."

Leinenweber: "Section 6 is the provision on requiring a license."

Holewinski: "That's right."



Leinenweber: "And also the other thing that the Bill does is give the Commissioner the power to suspend licenses for a period up to six months and revoke license and fine the licensee up to ten thousand dollars. That's Section 10, that was in the Bill."

Holewinski: "Right."

Leinenweber: "Why do you use the sanctions for failure to have or to have too many foreclosures, why did that go in Section 6, rather than Section 10?"

Holewinski: "Because Section 10 is a list of prohibited activity. We are not prohibiting a mortgage banker from having any particular foreclosure rate but rather requiring that the Commissioner monitor those rates with an eye toward determining to why they are irregular if indeed they are. It is not intended that because any of the levels in the monitoring procedure are touched that any of the sanctions shall be exercised unless the prohibited activity such as that contained in Section 10, is also found to be present."

Leinenweber: "All right, why do you.... as I read Amendment #2, you put the burden on the applicant for a license to show that..... I'm referring to Section 2. It attempts to provide in Section.... something in this Act as I can't read, 'Any mortgage banker who shows, to the satisfaction of the Commissioner, he will comply with the requirements and regulation of Section 6 and 10, shall be issued license upon payment of the license fee.' Why does he have the burden on the front end to get a license?"

Holewinski: "Of getting a license?"

Leinenweber: "Yes."

Holewinski: "Well, the objective of the legislation is to bring all mortgage bankers under the state's regulatory mechanism and currently are not regulated in Illinois as they are in many other states and that's the purpose of the legislation. We would want them all to be regulated."



Leinenweber: "Well, every year they have to come in, presumably hat in hand to the Commissioner in order to justify continuing in business."

Holewinski: "No, there is no requirement that they have an extra burden other than the normal burden that you have under other regulatory..."

Leinenweber: "Who else has to have their licenses renewed every year?"

Holewinski: "Pardon me, I'm sorry I didn't hear that."

Leinenweber: "This requires license renewal every year, doesn't it?"

Holewinski: "Yes, it does. I believe even lawyers have to renew their license annually."

Leinenweber: "Well, we don't have.... to my knowledge we don't. We have a license until it is taken from us, certainly you might have to pay a fee every year but this reads.... as I read this Amendment and I don't really oppose, as we talked, I don't really oppose some of the provisions in Amendment #2 but I can't see why every single year the licensee would have to come hat in hand to the Commissioner and do all the things that this requires or may require, with the satisfaction of the Commission to show that for the next year he will be a good boy or whatever it would be. That seems... I don't understand that. Another provision in this Amendment, you use the term, 'Whenever the Commissioner finds that a mortgage banker's foreclosure rate on governmental insured mortgages is unusually high within a particular geographic area, it shall require that mortgage bankers submit such information as necessary and etc.' Is the 'term, 'unusually high' defined in the Amendment or the Bill?"

Holewinski: "No, we give the Commissioner the power to develop regulations or further definitions. The reason for this particular provision is that there are cases where maybe... there have been cases in the past where a mortgage



banker in collusion with the real estate agent, will work particular communities. Financing very heavily particular communities, fast foreclosing and leaving those communities abandoned in order...to specifically cite that situation to the Commissioner we put that language in the Bill so he has a flexibility to look at and I might stress that, to look at those circumstances where there are high concentrations of foreclosures."

Leinenweber: "All right, my last question would be, why do you have the defending state-wide maximum foreclosure rates. In the first year it is two times and the next year it drops down to 1.5 and the third year 1.25 and the fourth year equal to the national foreclosure rate. Now, the question would be, if you're equal to what everybody else in the United States is doing I don't know why you should become subject to possible disciplinary action."

Holewinski: "I think you are misunderstanding the basic thrust. You are not subject to disciplinary action unless one of the practices specified in that Section are found to be true. That is that your underwriting practices don't conform to those of the industry, instances of credit fraud or appraisal fraud or property inspection fraud are uncovered. Those have been the subject of the indictments that have been brought down in the past on members in the industry. It was thought necessary to include those in the Act."

Leinenweber: "Well, Mr. Speaker, very briefly on the Amendment #2. I really don't think that we should concur on this, I think the Bill could be put into a shape that it would merit our support. I realize and recognize there is a problem, however, Amendment #2 seems to me to involve the occupation, legitimate occupation of mortgage banking in such a mirage of possible paper work and possible witch hunts and part of.... could be a political Commissioner. I think we would make the business so unattractive that we



111.

would not find many people who would want to be engaged in this particular business of handling federal loans. I do think they could be cleaned up, I would like to be able to support the Bill but I don't think I can support Amendment #2."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, my concern with this Bill originally in the House Judiciary Committee was to frighten off mortgage brokers from making loans in low income and changing neighborhoods and minority neighborhood where the foreclosure rates would be higher. And it seemed in a way to charge the lender with a penalty because the borrower defaults which is kinda unusual. Usually when the borrower defaults it's a penalty on the borrower and not on the lender. It seems to encourage the lenders to go on to the better middle class areas where the defaults weren't as high. Where for years we've been saying there is no money available, no mortgage money available in poor minority areas. I think the Amendment to the House, that Representative Holewinski reluctantly agreed to, he took this element out of the Bill. Now, the only question before us, as the Senate by its Amendment put the Bill back into the shape where lenders will be afraid to loan in low and middle income areas and in minority areas and changing areas. I think we have been inhibited somewhat but maybe not completely inhibited and the Senate Amendment may be something that we can live with. If we have a chance to analyze how it works, maybe it doesn't frighten off mortgage lenders in intracity areas. I'm sure that's not Representative Holewinski's objective, his objective is to prevent borrowers who have no right making a mortgage because their financial position from borrowing money and then defaulting and then have the house stand empty after foreclosure. We've got to balance two interests here, one interest is our interest in having money available



112.

mortgage money available in intracity changing in minority group areas. And the other interest is Representative Holewinski's concern with the fact that responsible loans will be made to responsible people who will foreclose and then the houses will stand empty. Somehow we have to stretched the balance and I'm not certain we have, although I think between the Amendments adopted on the House floor and those adopted in the Senate we're pretty close to striking that balance. And I wish Representative Holewinski in closing would tell us whether he feels that maybe we've gone too far in discouraging loans in intracity areas after years of complaining that money is not available in these areas. Or whether he thinks all we've done is accomplish his purpose of preventing irresponsible mortgage loans to irresponsible borrowers who will default and leave the abandoned home and vacancies in these changing areas."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Emil Jones."

Jones: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would like to shed some light on what goes on in the intracity because I've seen it happen in the 28th Legislative District. The mortgage bankers and the realtors work hand in hand. Their concern is not for the borrower but they are more or less concerned about foreclosing. What they will do is go out there and qualify individuals for credit, qualify him for employment and once the individual gets into the home they don't care whether this individual stays in there one month or one year. Because if the individual forfeits all his mortgage, your mortgage bankers get money especially from FHA. They in turn, turn it back over to the realtors who manages the properties. So, it is not the borrowers fault. I know what goes on in the intracity Representative Pierce and I think this is a very good Bill. As Representative



Barnes alluded to me, we should have a four year repeal on that. But if the mortgage bankers are going to stop putting money into cities because they cannot qualify people who are not qualified in the first place to purchase a home, then we are not entitled to that money from the ghetto and it is a fraud on the people and a fraud on the City of Chicago and many areas where you have homes that have been abandoned by borrowers who in the first place had no business purchasing that home."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Huff."

Huff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, shall the main question be put. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the motion carries. Representative Holewinski, to close."

Holewinski: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. There is a little bit of misunderstanding as to what this Amendment does. And I might suggest to those of you who are interested in legislation to take a look at page 2 of Amendment #2 and see that it calls for a monitoring of foreclosure rates. The reason that it is necessary to put this provision in the Bill is that the structure of this industry is considerably different than other elements of the home financing industry such as banks and savings and loans. They are not included in this regulation, this is the unregulated major segment of the housing industry. It calls for the Commissioner to monitor those rates specifically if they're considerably above the national average, to determine whether they're results from practices which deviate from accepted mortgage underwriting practices in the industry including things like credit fraud, appraisal fraud and the other things that I have alluded to. A considerable amount of time and effort went into developing this legislation with citizens groups and a variety of places in this state,



considerable amount of dialogue has gone on between myself and the other Sponsors of the legislation, Representative Madigan and Senator Hynes, Senator Merlo and the industry to try and work out differences and come up with a reasonable Bill. I am confident that this Bill will provide for a good regulation of an unregulated industry and will have no effect... detrimental affect on the housing... availability housing financing in any of the urban areas in the state and I would ask for your favorable support."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 1096. The motion was that they concur in Senate Amendments 1, 2 and 3, to House Bill 1096. Those in favor... Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker inasmuch as there is controversy with respect to Amendment #2. I would suggest the division of the question."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman requests division of the question, it would probably be simpler. The question is on the Gentleman's motion with respect to Amendment #1. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no', that the House concurs in Senate Amendment #1. Have all voted who wished? Representative Totten."

Totten: "To explain my vote, Mr. Speaker, thank you. I just point out to the Members that this is an unneeded concurrence because the appropriation that. This department no longer exists since Representative Friedland tabled the Appropriation Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 130 'aye' 113 'no' and the motion carries and the House concurs in Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 1096. The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment #2, to House Bill 1096. Those in favor... this computer was made in LaGrange Park and it runs rather



slowly. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 104 'aye', 29 'no' and the House concurs in Senate Amendment #2, to House Bill 1096. The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendemnt #3, to House Bill 1096. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. I guess we've got to wait until LaGrange Park computer catches up. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 122 'aye' and 17 'no' and the motion carries and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #3, to House Bill 1096. I have an important announcement here. All Members who went home anytime this weekend are entitled to the per diem mileage so if you will go to my office and make out the necessary forms... I don't know what you're entitled to but Mary Beth will tell you what you are entitled to back there. Those who have a round trip. Those who didn't stay here to umpire a ballgame. Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "I think that is well and good but those of us who stayed and had additional motel bills, do we count?"

Speaker Redmond: "You go back and see Mary Beth, she knows what the rules are, I don't."

Geo-Karis: "Mary Beth who?"

Speaker Redmond: "In my office."

Geo-Karis: "Oh, pardon me."

Speaker Redmond: "You don't know Mary Beth? I'll bet there isn't a masculine Member here who doesn't know who Mary Beth is."

Geo-Karis: "Yeah, but I'm not masculine."

Speaker Redmond: "And one other announcement. Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Mr. Speaker, did your announcement say what I understood it to say. That we were in Session Saturday and Sunday and those individuals who stayed here are going



to be penalized."

Speaker Redmond: "I don't... all I tell you is that I have the memo here from Mary Beth who knows what the Comptrollers regulations are and if anybody made a round trip... go back and see Mary Beth and make out the forms. It's just mileage that you might get but I'm not going to get caught on Comptrollers regulations because I don't know. But if we have any quarrel with it it is because we don't pass something. Representative Vitek."

Vitek: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen. May I be recorded as 'aye' on Amendment #3 on concurrence on House Bill 1096?"

Speaker Redmond: "Does the Gentleman have leave? There is one other announcement and that's that some.... from some place somewhere this Westmeister Solid State transistor radio was found in the press box. It says country and western music only, I don't know whether that means you can't get the Cubs game but.... Skippy, where is Skippy? 2306, Representative Meyer on the Order of Concurrence. Ted Meyer, 2306."

Meyer: "Take it out of the record for a moment, Mr. Speaker, I am totally unprepared."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. You didn't think I would find you back there did you? 2355, Jim Taylor. James Taylor, 2355 is that the one?"

Taylor: "That's right, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I move that the House nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 2355. There are technical errors and we feel that it should be corrected. And I move that the House nonconcur."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion that we nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 2355. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 2355. 1740, Representative McGrew."

McGrew: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen



of the House. House Bill 1740, I'm sorry it's.... okay, House Bill 1740 as we passed it from out of the House provided for the exemption of the trustees of the fire protection district in case they would have to respond to a fire and cross a load limit bridge that is less than the loaded fire-truck would weight. The Senate put on an Amendment to exempt not only the trustees but also the Fire Department itself, the district. Any Fire Department having a mutual aid agreement with the district, the officers and all employees in the department. As you undoubtedly know around the State of Illinois we've had a real problem in the rural areas as to precisely what the local Fire Department should do in attempting to respond to a fire at a remote area. And many times there is only one way in and one way out and that includes crossing a load limit bridge that is less than the fire truck weighs when it has water in it. So, I would move to concur with the Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? Leinenweber."

McGrew: "I'm sorry, that's Senate Amendment #2."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Yes, would the Gentleman yield for a question or two?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

McGrew: "Certainly."

Leinenweber: "I remember when this first came up. The question was to what extent was immunity... does this just involve paying damages for ruining the bridge."

McGrew: "Yes, Sir. It is for property only."

Leinenweber: "Okay but...."

McGrew: "But, just adding more specifically in fact the language we put in if I may just read it to you, Sir. It says, provided in this Article no trustee, officer or employee of a fire protection district or Fire Department having a mutual aid agreement with such district nor any such fire protection district or department shall be liable for damage



118.

caused to bridges and roads thereon, owned by the State of Illinois or by unit of local government. When such damage is caused by fire fighting equipment crossing bridges and roads thereon, in which load limits are lower than the weight of such equipment when they are responding to an alarm."

Leinenweber: "Okay. Then the only application of the Bill even with the Senate Amendment has to do with... the possible liability between governmental bodies."

McGrew: "That is accurate."

Leinenweber: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment #2, to House Bill 1740. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there is 140 'aye' and 2 'no' and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #2, to House Bill 1740. Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would like to request a Republican Conference in room 118, right now please."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hart."

Hart: "Mr. Speaker, there will be a Democratic Conference in room 114, we'll come back on the floor at six o'clock. Everybody get down there as soon as possible and get a good attendance."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'm not going to guarantee that I'll be back here at six o'clock but I'll do my best. But I wish you wouldn't start the House until I've cleared with you."

Speaker Redmond: "Knowing you and knowing the iron discipline that you have I anticipate you'll be here at six o'clock. The House will stand in recess until such, Republican Conference in 118, Democratic Conference in 114."



Doorkeeper: "All persons not entitled to the House, please retire to the gallery."

Speaker Redmond: "The House will come to order and Members please be in their seats. It seems unlikely that we'll be able to break for dinner so I would suggest that if any of you are hungry that you would send out for food. Senate Bill, Second Reading. On Senate Bill Second Reading appears Senate Bill 496. Representative Telcser."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 496, a Bill for an Act making certain appropriations with the Capital Development Board for permanent improvements, grants and related purposes. Second Reading of the Bill, Amendments #1 through 4, were adopted in Committee and Amendment #5 and 6, were withdrawn. Amendments 7 through 20, were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Telcser, is not on the floor so we'll take this out of the record. Oh, here comes Telcser now. Do you want 496 called, Representative Telcser? In one minute."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment 5 and 6, were withdrawn. Amendments 7 through 20 were adopted in Committee. Amendment #21, was withdrawn in Committee. Amendment #22 through 29 were adopted in Committee. Amendment #30 was withdrawn in Committee. Amendment 31 through 36, were adopted in Committee. And Amendment #37 was withdrawn in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to Committee Amendments that were adopted in Committee?"

Clerk O'Brien: "A motion to table Amendment #8, to Senate Bill 496 by Representative Dan Houlihan."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Dan Houlihan."

Houlihan: "I withdraw that motion to table."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Houlihan has withdrawn his motion to table Amendment #8."

Clerk O'Brien: "A motion to table Amendment #14, to Senate Bill 496 by Representative Hoxsey."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hoxsey."



Hoxsey: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. I move to table Amendment #14 and there was a problem with it...."

Speaker Redmond: "When was that motion filed? On the floor?"

Hoxsey: "No, in Committee... on the floor, okay."

Speaker Redmond: "I think she only has to withdraw...."

Hoxsey: "I would like to withdraw that Amendment and we have another Amendment to offer in its place. There was a duplication in it."

Speaker Redmond: "No.... you want to withdraw the motion to table isn't that correct?"

Hoxsey: "No, I want to table the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Oh, she wants to table the Amendment. Okay. Proceed."

Hoxsey: "#14. I want to replace it with another Amendment.

Is that in order at this time?"

Speaker Redmond: "Yes, that is in order."

Hoxsey: "All right...."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Lady's motion to table Amendment #14. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and Amendment #14 is tabled."

Hoxsey: "Then I would like to...."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #38, Floor Amendment #38, Leverenz. Amends Senate Bill 496 as amended in Section 21 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leverenz. Is Representative Leverenz on the floor? Representative Telcser, Representative, is not on the floor."

Telcser: "What does he want to do? Does he have an Amendment to offer?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "No, I just want to make a point. What was Amendment #37, I thought we had #36 in Committee."

Clerk O'Brien: "#37 was withdrawn in Committee."

Matijevich: "Oh, all right. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "What Amendment are we discussing now? 38?"



Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #38."

Speaker Redmond: "Mark Leverenz, some people say it may be McGrew's Amendment. Is Representative McGrew on the floor? Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "What... is Representative McGrew offering an Amendment?"

Speaker Redmond: "Well, it's Representative Leverenz's name on the Amendment now, I don't know whether it's McGrew's or Leverenz. It is evidently McGrew's Amendment. McGrew."

McGrew: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would move for the adoption of Senate Amendment #38, to Senate Bill 496. This provides for two point one six, eight million dollars for the educational television station at Western Illinois University. We have a matching federal grant, they have set up a telecommunication center. We have a cooperative group established between citizens all over West Central Illinois, it will work in conjunction with Bradley, Blackhawk Junior College, Western, Sangamon State and I move for its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion on Amendment #38? Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "I'll take the Amendment, that's all right."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "I didn't quite hear all of the Amendment, would the Gentleman repeat it once more quickly."

McGrew: "It's two point one, six, eight million dollars for the T.V. Station at West Central Illinois including Sangamon State."

Leinenweber: "And how much of that is General Revenue Funds?"

McGrew: "This is all in bonding funds."

Leinenweber: "It is all bond money, is that correct? Well, Mr. Speaker, this has been up I believe before this Session I think...."

McGrew: "No, it has not."

Leinenweber: "We had something for T.V. Stations before."



McGrew: "No, Sir. Nothing whatsoever."

Leinenweber: "So, I don't think it is a good idea very briefly Mr. Speaker and on the Amendment.... I don't think it is a good idea for the government to be entering the communication business because when the government does that it leads to requests on the part of the taxpayers who are paying for it that the taxpayers point of view be that expressed on that particular mode of communication. I think that's a bad idea, it smacks at potential censorship. If they want to have ways to communicate it ought to be funded in a private basis. I would just urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McGrew, anything else? Representative McGrew, to close."

McGrew: "Okay, I would just like to point out that it is on the BHE budget, unfortunately it was down one or two below what the Governor agreed to and this would put it back up to help provide for the money for the matching federal grant. I move for its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentlemen's motion for adoption of Amendment #38. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 76 'aye' and 19 'no', the motion carries and Amendment #38 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #39, Reilly. Amends Senate Bill 496 as amended on page 18, by inserting between line 14 and fifteen the following."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Edgar."

Edgar: "Yes, Mr. Speaker there is a mistake on the Amendment. It is my Amendment not Representative Reilly's Amendment. Amendment #39, to Senate Bill 496 provides for the fifty-five thousand dollars for underground cable at Eastern Illinois University. It was discovered in the last few days, an engineer report that the underground cable at some of the classrooms at Eastern is decayed and has caused



a safety hazard. This Amendment has the support of the Board of Higher Education, the Board of Governor's and the Bureau of the Budget. I would appreciate a favorable vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Representative Telcser, what's your reaction?"

Telcser: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I now take the Gentleman's Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion to adopt Amendment #39. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the motion carries and the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #40, Reilly, Kent. Amends Senate Bill 496 as amended on page 20 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Reilly."

Reilly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #40 adds three hundred and thirteen thousand dollars to this Bill for the Agriculture Research and Demonstration Center in West Central Illinois. We've passed a Senate Bill which is on similar lines but we would like to have two possible vehicles for this for the Governor to sign. I believe the Amendment was agreed."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "Is this Representative...."

Speaker Redmond: "Reilly's."

Telcser: "Reilly's Amendment?"

Speaker Redmond: "Right."

Telcser: "I would be delighted to take Representative Reilly's fine Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I've had a policy if the Sponsor agrees that we ought to go along except that this is a duplicate of a Bill that we already have passed of Senator DeMuzio's, I believe isn't it Representative Reilly? And I think that would be an unnecessary duplication.... would the Sponsor yield to that?"



a safety hazard. This Amendment has the support of the Board of Higher Education, the Board of Governor's and the Bureau of the Budget. I would appreciate a favorable vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Representative Telcser, what's your reaction?"

Telcser: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I now take the Gentleman's Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion to adopt Amendment #39. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the motion carries and the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #40, Reilly, Kent. Amends Senate Bill 496 as amended on page 20 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Reilly."

Reilly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #40 adds three hundred and thirteen thousand dollars to this Bill for the Agriculture Research and Demonstration Center in West Central Illinois. We've passed a Senate Bill which is on similar lines but we would like to have two possible vehicles for this for the Governor to sign. I believe the Amendment was agreed."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "Is this Representative...."

Speaker Redmond: "Reilly's."

Telcser: "Reilly's Amendment?"

Speaker Redmond: "Right."

Telcser: "I would be delighted to take Representative Reilly's fine Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I've had a policy if the Sponsor agrees that we ought to go along except that this is a duplicate of a Bill that we already have passed of Senator DeMuzio's, I believe isn't it Representative Reilly? And I think that would be an unnecessary duplication.... would the Sponsor yield to that?"



Reilly: "It is my understanding that there are other Amendments in here which also duplicate. I believe including one that you supported as I recall. The purpose is simply... obviously the Governor is not going to sign both and not going to spend the money twice but we would like to have two possible vehicles."

Matijevich: "Okay."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McGrew."

McGrew: "Two questions. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker.

Two questions, number one.... I'm a little bit amazed that the Gentleman is now proposing this Amendment and he just voted against the last one by the board that was up there. But, with that not withstanding, Sir. Who is going to be in charge of this testing station?"

Reilly: "I did not first of all vote against the last Amendment but in the second place the University of Illinois is going to be in charge of the station."

McGrew: "And where would it be located?"

Reilly: "The location hasn't been decided, its purpose would be.... it would be somewhere in Central Illinois. Its purpose is because if you look at a map of soil types and agriculture production areas in Illinois you'll see that that area is a unique area and one of the few such areas that does not have a research facility."

McGrew: "Well, my problem very frankly, sir, is that if you visited Western Illinois University you will find one of the best swine and beef testing stations in the State of Illinois. We have been running such a program for about five years now, we have been working on the conversion factor for both swine and beef both, we are recognized as one of the leaders in the nation in this regard. In fact if you will look at the... specifically the one that I'm familiar with, the Angus Journal, they will use the conversion rate as the result of the study that Western Illinois University. And now you're trying to tell me that we should



appropriate money for the University of Illinois to come up, buy land adjacent there in the near future near vicinity of Western and provide the same sort of testing? Is that what you're trying to do?"

Reilly: "No, Sir. This would not be a swine and beef facility at all. This would...."

McGrew: "You told me it was a livestock. We don't raise peaches."

Reilly: "No, Sir, I did not. I did not use the word livestock, it's a Agriculture Research and Demonstration Facility. They would have test fields and so on."

McGrew: "Well, the President of the University of Illinois before the Appropriation Committee said that they were planning on doing both, Sir."

Reilly: "In this facility... did you raise these questions when Senate Bill 85 was here?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ebbesen, for what purpose do you arise?"

Ebbesen: "Yes, Mr. Speaker.... the time the Sponsor of the Bill is willing to accept the Amendment. We've got a dialogue going on here between the two Members and I think we've discussed things along... they should feel we can televise whatever is going to take place with this Amendment over the Western Illinois University T.V. Station."

McGrew: "Thank you, Sir, perhaps I will even run it on NEU station. No, I'm opposed to this Amendment until such time as University of Illinois comes out of the woodwork and tells us exactly what they are trying to do. At this point they are saying that they are coming up in the West Central area, they are going to buy up land, they are going to have crop research and they are going to have livestock research and until such time that I am assured that they are going to work in conjunction with Western Illinois University I'm very much opposed to it. If we could work together, Sir, I submit to you that it would be an excellent Amendment. But,



until such time I'm opposed to it."

Ebbesen: "Did you vote for Senate Bill 85, or did you oppose it?"

McGrew: "No, Sir."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion to adopt Amendment #40. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 76 'ayes' and 10 'no' and the motion carries. Amendment #40, is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #41, Representative Mudd. Amends Senate Bill 496 as amended by inserting between Section 3.1....."

Speaker Redmond: "Is Representative Mudd, on the floor? Representative Telcser are you familiar with this Amendment?"

Telcser: "I am familiar with it, Mr. Speaker...."

Speaker Redmond: "Oh, pardon me, Representative Mudd is here."

Mudd: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I would like to have leave of the House to withdraw Amendment #41. It is technically incorrect and we have another Amendment coming up that is correct. So, I would like to have leave at this time to withdraw Amendment #41."

Speaker Redmond: "Leave to withdraw Amendment #41? Withdrawn. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #32, Hoxsey. Amends Senate Bill 496...."

Speaker Redmond: "32?"

Clerk O'Brien: "42. Hoxsey. Amends Senate Bill 496 as amended in Section 4 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hoxsey."

Hoxsey: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. This is a technical Amendment and it corrects Amendment #14 that was tabled. There was a duplication in an appropriation and this makes the correction. I ask for your favorable....."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Lady's motion for the



adoption of Amendment #42. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the motion carries, Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #43, Representative Mudd. Amends Senate Bill 496 as amended by inserting between Section 3.2 and so forth."

Mudd: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, if you will recall this particular Amendment has the same substance of a Bill that was reviewed here on the floor just a couple of days ago. And what this does is answer the question or arguments that were in opposition to this particular Bill. It's a sum of... to appropriate money to develop a piece of property, of five hundred and some areas adjacent to one of our state parks. And there was some question at the time that the Bill was introduced as to the ownership of this park and this statement... this Amendment sets forth saying that on line 5 and 6, state-owned property. And I think it answers a question that appropriation will be available if and when the state has ownership of this particular piece of property and I would ask for a favorable vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I think every Members who serves here understands the legislative process of a Bill such as this. And the fact that the Sponsor such as I am in this case, once a few Amendments are adopted generally concede that they are all going to be adopted. And we all recognize between now and June 30th, the Bill could be drastically changed regarding the Amendments that were adopted. However, I really am reluctant to adopt this particular Amendment simply because this issue was already defeated earlier in a form of a Bill. Secondly it is my understanding this project would.... is planned for land that has not yet been acquired by the state. It seems to me to be poor public policy to float bonds for



projects that are to be built upon land that is not even yet acquired. It has also been brought to my attention that should the project ever come to fruition the projected number of people who would use the project would be very very low and that the visibility or possibility of selling bonds for this particular project would be very dim. I think that by adopting this particular Amendment would really be irresponsible in my opinion, even though we have adopted others. So, I'm going to oppose the Gentleman's Amendment although I do appreciate what he is trying to do and I hope the Members of the House... at least on this Amendment, vote 'no' on the Gentleman's motion to adopt."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mudd, to close."

Mudd: "Yes, in closing, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. This Amendment does answer some of the questions that Representative Telcser brought out. Representative Telcser is one of my favorite Legislators on this floor and I knew that he would have some questions that had a great deal of merit to them. That's why I put in this particular Amendment, that it would be built on state owned property. He's very correct in the fact that the state does not own this property but what this Amendment does, it gives the state and the person involved in this particular transaction the latitude of continuing negotiating on this project. I'm not sure that in reality that we could even transact or change ownership of this property within a year. But what it does, it gives the owner of the property and estate the idea that we know that the property is there that we are still interested in some type of negotiation for the transfer of property to the State of Illinois and I would hope that everyone would support this Amendment. I'm sorry that the Sponsor of the Bill could not support it but I would hope that we would support this Amendment and give the people involved or the parties involved the fact that we're still interested in looking at this so that



if any good negotiations come about in the future that we will not lose five hundred acres of good prime property just for the lack of interest. I think that's all we're talking here and I ask for a favorable vote. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion for adoption of Amendment #43. Those in favor say 'aye'... vote 'aye', oppose vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 74 'aye' and 67 'no', the Gentleman's motion prevails and the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 752, Representative Lechowicz. Out of the record. House Bill, Second Reading 3403.... out of the record. 2413, Representative Deavers.... Art.... 2414, Representative Ryan. Is Ryan here? Out of the record. 36, Representative Winchester, do you want to go with that one? Out of the record. Telcser, 321, do you want to go on 321? Senate Bills, Third Reading."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 321, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the ordinary and contingent expense of Capital Development Board. Third Reading of the Bill."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Senate Bill 321 is the ordinary and contingent expenses for the Capital Development Board. As I recall it passed out of Committee without any opposition and I would appreciate a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 142 'ayes' and 2 'nos' and the Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. 331, Representative Telcser."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 331, a Bill for an Act to provide



for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Board of Vocational Rehabilitation. Third Reading of the Bill."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Senate Bill 331 is a Bill for the ordinary and contingent expenses for the Department of Vocational Rehabilitation. I would be very happy to have a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 159 'aye', no 'nay' and the Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. 495."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 495, a Bill for an Act making certain reappropriations to the Capital Development Board. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "Is this on Third Reading?"

Speaker Redmond: "495."

Telcser: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Senate Bill 495 is the reappropriation for the Capital Development Board and I don't recall any Amendments in Committee and it came out of Committee without any problems and a favorable Roll Call would be very much appreciated."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Pardon me, Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Yes, there were no Amendments in Committee? I think there were four or five Amendments in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "The Calendar indicates there were 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5."

Leverenz: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 146 'aye' and 1 'no', the Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. On the Order of... Representative



Walsh, desires to be recorded as 'no'. On the Order of concurrence with respect to 1182, Representative Huskey. 1182.... I understand that we move to nonconcur on that and that you have... you wanted a Conference Committee, is that correct?"

Huskey: "That's correct, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Mr. Clerk can you tell us if a request was made for a Conference Committee on 1182. We nonconcurrred."

Huskey: "Mr. Speaker, may I add that we nonconcurrred at my request and then...."

Speaker Redmond: "We did request a Conference Committee."

Huskey: "We did request. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "He already moved to nonconcur. The question is whether or not he had asked for a Conference Committee. Messages from the Senate."

Clerk O'Brien: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House in passage of Bills of the following titles to wit: House Bills 635, 687, 694, 695, 737, 741, 743, 747, 748, 750, 760, 761, 763, 796, 806, 825, 840, 845, 901, 902, 903, 908, 910, 931, 936, 1018, 1074, 1077, 1101, 1109, 1098, 1216, 1217, 841, 1399 and 1425 passed by the Senate as amended June 27, 1977. Kenneth Wright, Secretary."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, yesterday I moved to object to the material that passed out by a proponents.... for a Bill regarding currency changes. Its come to my attention that the opponents... similar legislation has been responsible for distributing literature to our desks. And I just wanted to register my opposition in this case. I believe in even handed justice and I was actually quite surprised to see this second piece of material passed out and I would like to register an objection at this time."

Speaker Redmond: "I didn't authorize the other one nor did I



authorize this one."

Bowman: "I understand, Mr. Speaker, I was not criticizing you.

I just wanted the Members of the House to be aware of it if they haven't discovered it in this mass of paper that is otherwise on their desk. And also to make an announcement for any lobbyist who may be lurking in the wings, to let them know that it is not appreciated."

Speaker Redmond: "Beatty, do you want to take 571 on concurrence?"

How about Representative Edgar.... problem solved with 1020? What did we do with 1200, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "We concurred in Senate Amendment #1, to 1200."

Speaker Redmond: "How about 1315, Dan Houlihan. Yourell, 1468... Yourell's not here. House Bills, Second Reading 2414, Representative Ryan. Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I have a motion here that... states that pursuant to Rule 10 (b) of that we the undersigned do move to go to the Order of Concurrence."

Speaker Redmond: "The problem with that is, I've been there and there is nothing there that anybody wants to call. Representative Katz. Okay, well I guess the simplest way is to put the motion. The motion is pursuant to Rule 10 (b) we the undersigned do move to go to the Order of Concurrence and signed by James Houlihan, Woods Bowman, Ann Willer and Richard Mugalian, Larry Stuffle, Ellis Levin. And the question is on that motion and those in favor of the motion say 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. It takes 89 votes. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 30 'aye' and 108 'no' and the motion fails....."



Wlewinski: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, on the motion. I would be opposed to doing this. This is a Bill that we're in a hurry to rush through in this last few days. We have had little or no dialogue on it yet. The only way we're going to get information is through this process... the Second Reading process. I think it works to the disadvantage of all interested Members to go to Short Debate on this. I don't see any reason to. I think it is, it will make a sham out of the deliberative process and would urge Members to oppose going to Short Debate on this measure."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Byers."

Byers: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I think the Short Debate was set up for a particular problem to handle Bills to meet the deadlines and I don't believe that the Membership was in agreement with this also being applied to Amendments for Bills. And I think the original agreement to have the Short Debate was a fine idea, but I don't, it was meant to be extended to this purpose. And I think that if the Membership had known that it was going to be extended to projects or Amendments of this nature, they would never have approved it to begin with and we wouldn't have our schedule cleared down at this time. So I think that this Amendment is... this motion of Representative Matijevich's should be defeated so that we can properly discuss the Amendments to House Bill 2414. There's a lot of people that are completely in the dark about this. There seems to be only a few people that know about the secret agreement made between the Mayor of Chicago and the Governor of Illinois and I think the rest of the people in the state should have a chance to find out how much it's going to cost the people, how much the interest is going to be, and how much this... where this money's going to be spent. So I would recommend a 'no' vote, Representative Matijevich's motion."

Redmond: "Representative Deavers. Deavers, do you seek recognition?"

"I'd like to move the previous question, Mr. Speaker."

Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question. The question is, shall the main question be put? Those in favor vote 'yes', opposed vote 'no'. This is on the previous question. Have

all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 120 'aye' and 30 'no' and the Gentleman's motion prevails. Representative Mati... Representative Robinson, for what purpose do you rise?"

Robinson: "I have an inquiry of the Chair, maybe the Parliamentarian. Is Short Debate allowed for Amendments in our rules or don't we have to actually have a motion to amend the rules?"

Speaker Redmond: "He made the motion in that way. Representative James Houlihan. Representative Holewinski."

Holewinski: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, if we're going to ram this through, I think we ought to have a verification of that Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "I think we went to another order. Representative Flinn."

Flinn: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I would ask the motion-maker, Representative Matijevich, to amend his motion further to make it no debate because let's face it. The deal has been cut. There's grand rape about to take place of the taxpayers of downstate Illinois and we know what's taking place here. There's not anything we can do about it. The Mayor of Chicago and the Governor of this state, every time they get together, the downstaters are on the short end of the stick."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Levin. James Houlihan."

J. Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, I have two points of order. One, I don't believe you announced the Roll Call before Representative Holewinski asked for a verification of that Roll Call and I think that was untimely of you to mention that. Secondly, Mr. Speaker, I'm inquiring of the Chair if you're aware of a Resolution that was overwhelmingly passed by the Democratic Conference not to go to this order of business, to ask you not to go to this order of business until we could have representatives of the Department of Transportation, our staff and representatives of the City of Chicago to explain the arrangement between Mayor Bilandic and Governor Thompson. Now, Mr. Speaker, you not only went to this order once, but you went back to this order. You went to this order twice in violation or in complete disregard to that Resolution. I think, Mr. Speaker, that's unhighly unusual for a man who claims



to be the Leader of the Democratic Party."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Van Duyne."

Van Duyne: "Mr. Speaker, I think you ruled the Gentleman out of order and I think that his only recourse is to challenge the ruling of the Chair."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevec, for what purpose do you rise?"

Matijevec: "Well, I was going to make the point of order that he made no valid point of order and the only order of business now is for me to close and that's only thing you got to do."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Matijevec: "Mr. Speaker, I would say that the reason I offer this motion, I think it's a good one, it worked when we had a very vital issue before the people of the State of Illinois and that was school aid. It worked real well. The Members overwhelmingly supported that motion then and I think it'll work now. And I know that long debate will not change anything in the votes, so I think we ought to have this Short Debate and move along. So I would ask an 'aye' vote on the motion."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Robinson."

Robinson: "I'd like to move to table the motion that's on the floor."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevec has moved that we suspend the rule with respect to debate and go to the Order of Short Debate. Representative Robinson has moved that that motion lie on the table. The question is Representative Robinson's motion that Representative Matijevec's motion lie on the table. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. This young lady has an order for a hamburger and a pickle and a slice of tomato from somebody over on the Republican side. And I don't... who is it? Will somebody stand up and identify themselves? Who? Representative Breslin. She's on the Democratic side. Right there. Representative Byers."

Byers: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to announce now I'd like to verify the Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "On this question, the Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 31 'aye' and 110 'no'. And the Gentleman's



motion to table fails. The Gentleman has requested a verification of the Affirmative Roll Call, of the Negative Roll Call. Pardon me. Call the Negative Roll Call, Mr. Clerk. Representative Matijevec." "

Matijevec: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to make a point of order. I think that you have the discretion to make it, but I'd like to make the point of order by the large number of votes that are here and we all know there's a full House tonight that that request was dilatory even though everybody has a right, that we have also the right to make the point of order that an action can be dilatory and I now raise that point of order."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, let's give them the... the Gentleman is entitled to that right, so we will... Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I have a suggestion. Why don't you just call on 32 red lights and that'll be more than the 31 green lights and that'll be the end of the verification."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, I think the easiest way is according to Hanahan's rules, all... everybody sit in their own seat. We'll have an Oral Roll Call. Oral verification. Everybody sit in your own seat. Representative Davis."

J. Davis: "Mr. Speaker, parliamentary inquiry. Is the table motion out of order since the previous question has been moved and voted upon?"

Speaker Redmond: "No, no. His motion to table was in order and it lost. He's requested a verification. Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, if we have a verified Roll Call and we do reach the 89 votes, do we have to continue with the verification?"

Speaker Redmond: "Well, it doesn't take 89. Let's proceed with the verification of the Negative Roll Call. Representative Vitek."

Vitek: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded? I was in the nurses office and I was unable to vote."

Speaker Redmond: "How's Representative Vitek recorded? You're not recorded."

Vitek: "Vote me 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay, we'll take the Roll Call now. Proceed."



Proceed, yes."

Clerk O'Brien: "Abramson 'no'. Adams, Adams."

Speaker Redmond: "Stand up and announce your... the way you desire to vote. Adams 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Adams 'no'. Anderson 'no'. Antonovych 'yes'. E.M. Barnes,

E.M. Barnes, pass. Jane Barnes 'no'. Bartulis 'no'. Beatty 'no'.

Bennett, pass. Birchler, pass. Bluthardt 'no'. Boucek 'no'.

Bowman 'yes'. Bradley, pass. Did he vote? No. Brady 'no'.

Brandt 'no'. Breslin 'aye'. Rich Brummer, pass. Don Brummet

'no'. Byers 'aye'. Caldwell 'no'. Campbell 'no'. Capparelli

'no'. Catania 'aye'. Chapman, pass. Christensen 'no'. Collins

'no'. Conti 'no'. Cunningham 'no'. Daniels 'no'. Darrow 'aye'.

Corneal Davis 'no'. Jack Davis 'no'. Dawson, pass. Deavers

'no'. Deuster 'no'. DiPrima 'no'. Domico 'no'. Doyle 'no'.

John Dunn 'aye'. Ralph Dunn 'no'. Dyer, pass. Ebbesen, pass.

Edgar. Ebbesen votes 'no'. Edgar 'no'. Epton 'no'. Ewell 'no'.

Ewing 'no'. Farley 'no'. Flinn, pass. Friedland 'no'. Friedrich

'no'. Gaines, pass. Garmisa, pass. Geo-Karis 'no'. Getty,

pass. Giglio 'no'. Giorgi 'no'. Greiman 'aye'. Griesheimer,

pass. Hanahan 'no'. Harris 'no'. Hart, pass. Hoffman 'no'.

Holewinski 'aye'. Dan Houlihan 'no'. Jim Houlihan 'aye'. Hoxsey

'no'. Hudson 'no'. Huff 'no'. Huskey 'no'. Jacobs, pass. Jaffe

'aye'. Johnson 'aye'. Dave Jones 'no'. Emil Jones 'no'. Kane

'aye'. Katz."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Katz."

Katz: "Explaining my vote, Mr. Speaker, there is a good deal to be said for a Burnham corridor, but there's nothing to be said for a procedure under which people who do not disagree with the proposition are not afforded an opportunity to be heard at Committee hearings. I think it was unfortunate that the Committee was bypassed and accordingly, I vote 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Aye. Keats 'no'. Kelly, pass. Kempiners 'no'. Kent 'no'. Klosak, pass. Kornowicz, pass. Kosinski 'no'. Kozubowski 'no'. Kucharski 'no'. Lauer, pass. Laurino 'no'. Lechowicz 'no'. Leinenweber 'no'. Leverenz 'no'. Levin 'aye'. Lucco, pass. Luft 'no'. Macdonald 'no'. Madigan 'no'. Madison, pass.



Mahar 'no'. Mann 'aye'. Marovitz 'no'. Lynn Martin 'no'.
 Peggy Smith Martin 'aye'. Matejek 'no'. Matijevich 'no'.
 Mautino 'no'. McAuliffe 'no'. McAvoy, pass. McBroom 'no'.
 McClain 'aye'. McCourt 'no'. McGrew, pass. McLendon 'no'.
 McMaster 'no'. McPike 'aye'. Meyer 'no'. Miller 'no'. Molloy,
 pass. Mudd 'no'. Mugalian 'aye'. Mulcahey 'aye'. Murphy 'aye'.
 Nardulli 'no'. Neff 'no'. O'Brien, O'Brien 'no'. O'Daniel 'no'.
 Pechous 'no'. Peters, pass. Pierce 'no'. Polk, pass. Porter
 'no'. Pouncey 'no'. Pullen, pass. Reed 'no'. Reilly 'no'.
 Richmond 'no'. Rigney 'no'. Robinson 'aye'. Ryan 'no'.
 Sandquist 'no'. Satterthwaite 'aye'. Schisler 'no'. Schlickman
 'no'. Schneider 'aye'. Schoeberlein, pass. Schuneman, pass.
 Sevcik 'no'. Sharp, pass. Shumpert 'no'. Simms 'no'. Skinner
 'aye'. Stanley 'no'. Stearney 'no'. Steczo 'aye'. E.G. Steele,
 pass. C.M. Stiehl 'no'. Stuffle 'aye'. Sumner 'no'. Taylor
 'no'. Telcser, pass. Terzich 'no'. Tipsword 'aye'. Totten
 'no'. Tuerk 'no'. Van Duyne, pass. Vitek 'no'. Von Boeckman,
 pass. Waddell 'no'. Wall 'no'. Walsh 'no'. Wikoff 'no'. Willer."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Van Duyne."

Clerk O'Brien: "Aye."

Speaker Redmond: "You'll have to wait... he votes 'no', is that right?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Williams 'aye'. Winchester 'no'. Wolf 'aye'. Younge
 'no'. Yourell, pass. Mr. Speaker, pass."

Speaker Redmond: "Call the absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "E.M. Barnes, pass. Bennett, pass. Birchler."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Birchler votes 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Rich Brummer 'no'. Chapman, pass. Dawson, pass.
 Dyer, pass. Flinn."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Flinn."

Flinn: "Mr. Speaker, this is probably the last vote I'll win tonight.
 I vote 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "No. Gaines, pass. Garmisa, Garmisa votes 'no'.
 Getty, pass. Griesheimer, pass. Hart, pass. Jacobs, pass.
 Kelly, pass. Klosak, pass. Kornowicz, pass. Lauer."

Speaker Redmond: "Lauer 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Lauer 'no'. Lucco, pass. Madison, pass. McAvoy, pass."



McGrew, McGrew votes 'no'. Molloy, pass. Peters, pass. Polk, pass. Pullen."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Pullen, how did you vote? Present."

Clerk O'Brien: "Present. Schoeberlein, pass. Schuneman, pass. Sharp, pass. E.G. Steele, pass. Telcser, pass. Van Duynes 'no'.

Von Boeckman, Mr. Von Boeckman votes 'no'. Yourell, pass. Mr. Speaker, pass."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Telcser desires to be recorded as 'no'.

Does anyone else to vote or change his vote? Take the record,

Mr. Clerk. On this question, there's 32 'aye' and 116 'no' and

the Gentleman's motion to table fails. Revert back to Represent-

tative Matijevec's motion that we suspend the rule with respect

to debate and go on to the Order of Short Debate. Representative

James Houlihan."

J. Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, a point of parliamentary inquiry. Have you

stated completely Representative Matijevec's motion? Because

by Rule 72(a), that motion has to be specific and complete. I

would like for you to state what his motion is."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevec, repeat your motion."

Matijevec: "Well, I move to suspend the rule reference to debate..."

Speaker Redmond: "55."

Matijevec: "Rule 55 to allow the use of the Short Debate during the Amendments on House Bill 2414. I don't know how much more specific I can get."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative James Houlihan."

J. Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, by Rule 72(d), a person may suspend the rules, but the rules may only be amended by a Resolution. And there are rules governing what we do with regard to a Resolution. As you will recall when we originally went to Short Debate, Representative Katz sponsored a Resolution because here we are not suspending a rule, but rather in Representative Matijevec's motion, we are suspending and placing in lieu thereof of another rule which I believe is construed to be amending the rules."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevec."

Matijevec: "Mr. Speaker, we're not changing the rules. I've suspended



the rule relative to debate and then added for what purpose I am suspending it for, for this one purpose. It's not amending any in the rules. My motion doesn't mean from now on this will be done. For this Bill only on the Amendment stage."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mudd."

Mudd: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, point of information. An inquiry of the Chair. Since a Resolution has been adopted which addresses itself to Short Debate, then I think that the motion by Representative Matijevich is in order because we have by Resolution adopted Short Debate as a criteria of our rules."

Speaker Redmond: "Parliamentarian advises me that what Representative Matijevich's does, that it limits the extent of the debate and does not require a Resolution. Representative Jim Houlihan."

J. Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, could you clarify to the Members of the House how it does limit the debate?"

Speaker Redmond: "Well, instead of ten minutes per Member, it limits it to two. And instead of everybody being able to discuss it, it would limit it to the proponent and the opponent. This is a practice in one form or another that we've had in the closing days of the Session ever since I've been here. Sometimes it's taken the form of elimination of explanation of votes, sometimes it's taken other forms."

J. Houlihan: "I understand we've done it before, Representative Redmond, but that doesn't always indicate that we can do it again or that it was, in fact, correct. My query of the Chair is, is not Representative Matijevich's motion more than a suspension of the rules? Because he is suspending the rules with regard to debate and placing in lieu thereof additional rules or restrictions upon debate."

Speaker Redmond: "It's a limitation of the debate rule by limiting to ten minutes. Parliamentarian advises me that we could eliminate debate entirely if we wanted to by this procedure. Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Mr. Speaker, you said something which raised a question in my mind. You said that sometimes Short Debate has taken the form of allowing explanation of vote... I'm asking a question."



Speaker Redmond: "Proceed, proceed."

Bowman: "Well, thank you. The... you said in other times, it has taken the form where explanation of vote is not allowed. I'm wondering what the issue is right now. Will explanation of vote be allowed or not? I think that's important before we vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Yes, it will for one minute."

Bowman: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Now, the question's on Representative Matijevich's motion that the rule with respect to debate be suspended and that we go to the Order of Short Debate which is two minutes for the proponent, two minutes for the opponent and explanation of votes is permitted. Representative McPike."

McPike: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor of this motion yield to a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

McPike: "Is this Short Debate for the Amendments only or is it Short Debate also for the Bill?"

Matijevich: "Amendments only. I could have answered that. You could have reached right over."

McPike: "I couldn't reach quite far enough."

Speaker Redmond: "What'd the Cubs do today? The question's on Representative Matijevich's motion. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. 89 votes. Representative Byers."

Byers: "Mr. Speaker, you mentioned that this had been, this has happened before for Bills on Third Reading, but do you recall in your experience here that this is being done for Amendments also? Or maybe Representative Matijevich can answer that question."

Speaker Redmond: "I believe the other night it was done with Representative Matijevich in the Chair. Representative Ewell."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, I've heard a lot of people say about how paramount their right is to hear debate and have their entire thoughts on this Bill aired. But I should like to point out that this is a House Bill and you aren't going to give the Senate much time. I think as a matter of personal courtesy, the Bill ought to be at least allowed over in the Senate so that they might have their particular thoughts on the Bill, too."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative James Houlihan."

J. Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think that this is a very, very bad precedent that we are beginning to set. When we have a controversial agreement or arrangement outside of the Legislature we have our Leadership, the Speaker of the House, and the Minority Leader and the Majority Leader trying to muzzle all of the Members and their ability to ask questions. I suspect that there's one reason behind this and only one reason. I suspect that the last time that something like this went through the House, it was the creation of R.T.A. and Bob Blair was defeated because there was too much discussion about that issue and the Members of his district knew that he was not representing his district. And in fact, I suspect that Representative Ryan has had the same purpose to rule out debate and discussion so that the points that he is raising, the proposition..."

Speaker Redmond: "Hear the Gentleman out. We've had a bad habit of interrupting people when they're speaking and that's violation of our rules. Hear the Gentleman out."

J. Houlihan: "The proposition which he is proposing in no way benefits his district. In fact, it benefits very few areas. This is an arrangement that was made by the Governor and the Mayor without any consultation of the Members of the General Assembly, without any reference to the public. This is a backroom deal that the Members that are trying to push it through want to avoid any kind of controversy, any kind of real discussion. Or why else would they move to bypass Committee? Why else would they move to limit debate? Is there any other reason than that they don't, people to understand what, in fact is contained in this proposal? I would suggest to all the Members here who are voting green that you are voting to restrict, to contain your rights to ask questions about issues that are probably some of the most important questions before the Legislature. I think Representative Matijevich has done a disservice to his record in protecting the Members rights because what he's done is he said that only one Member can raise a question, only one Member can raise an



issue about a particular Amendment and we have some 60 Amendments. And I would suggest to you that there are at least 60 Members..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Emil Jones, for what purpose do you rise?"

E. Jones: "Mr. Speaker, a point of order. Now, it has been the policy of the House if a Member speaks in debate they cannot explain their vote and I would request, Mr. Speaker, that the timer be placed on for explanation of votes."

J. Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, if I might continue since..."

Speaker Redmond: "Continue, but bring your remarks to a close. The time is running short there."

J. Houlihan: "It appears to me that we are faced tonight with a process that will no longer open, with a process which will not allow Members to air the concerns of their district and I think what we're involved in is a sham. And I can tell you why many Members will not be coming back here. Probably because the voters will not respect those Members who wish to rule out debate, those Members who don't want the very difficult questions of the Cross-town Expressway, the bond financing of the road program, those Members who don't want those questions aired may have to answer to their constituents. They may, in fact, have Members not elected. They may, in fact, be the cause of our not having a Democratic House. They may, in fact, not return as County Chairmen of the second most populous county in the state. They may, in fact, be called dupes, dupes. They may, in fact, be suggested not to represent their area, but to represent hidden deals. They may, in fact, be suggested to be puppets. And I would suggest that all the Members who are voting green should look very seriously at why they are voting green. Is there any other reason than to keep the facts from the Members here? To keep the facts from the press there. Is there any reason, any reason that you want to hold down debate other than to keep this deal secret? In our Democratic Conference, we asked for representatives from the Department of Transportation, representatives from our professional staff to come and explain to us what was in that press



statement between Mayor Bilandic and Governor Thompson. What was behind that deal? Was it merely to get Mayor Bilandic elected when he was running against a Republican candidate for Mayor? No, I don't think so. I think there was a lot of substance in that arrangement. The question is, will we know what that substance is? And I would think that if we don't get full debate, we will hardly be able to know what that substance is. And if we don't know what that substance is, how are we going to go back to our districts and explain what happened on this night? How are we going to be able to go back to our districts and answer the many questions which came to us. I think many Members will not be able to answer those questions. And I think those Members who will not be able, those questions may well receive the same vote from the electorate that Speaker, former Speaker Bob Blair received. And Representative Ryan, I warn you that many Members in your district are concerned about what the road program will be like after this Amendment. And if the road program falters, if the State of Illinois moves to the situation that New York was in because of this arrangement, because the Members of the General Assembly were not able to adequately review the issue, I would suggest to you that many of those... (microphone turned off)..."

Speaker Redmond: "Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 113 'aye' and 38 'no' and the motion carries. 2414. Representative McMasters, for what purpose do you rise?"

McMaster: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think from what has just happened by the previous speaker, I would like to add another motion to the one that has just been successful in regard to Short Debate. I would now like to move that this House do away with explanation of vote upon the Amendments to this issue."

Speaker Redmond: "You're out of order on that one."

McMaster: "I don't think I am, Mr. Speaker."



Speaker Redmond: "2414, Representative Ryan."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2414. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Transportation Bond Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Are there any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, Skinner. Amends House Bill 2414 on page 3, line 20 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative O'Brien, for what purpose do you rise?"

O'Brien: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I didn't want to interrupt our candidate for County Treasurer, Representative Houlihan, but I have an announcement that I'm sure will be of interest for all of you. In the fifth inning, Montreal has 3 and the Cubs have 1."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Amendment #1 is incorrectly drafted and I would ask to withdraw it if I may have permission."

Speaker Redmond: "Amendment 1 is withdrawn. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Hall: "Amendment #2, Skinner. Amends House Bill 2414 on page 1 by deleting line 32 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "I apologize to my colleagues for not being able to soar to their rhetorical heights of the crosstown opponents, but I assure you that the Amendments that I will proceed on are primarily aimed at what I believe are the non-Chicago parts of the R.T.A. region getting an extremely shortchange in, from the R.T.A. and from this agreement in particular. Amendment #2 points out, would preclude the state or the R.T.A. from spending Series A transportation bonds involving the construction... the acquisition, construction, extension, reconstruction or improvement of railroad commuter stations. Now you ask why this would pop into my head. Well, the reason is they just tore apart a perfectly functional station platform in Crystal Lake and we're probably paying a couple tens of thousands of dollars to redo it. Now, if that's the highest priority that the State of Illinois has with this bond money, we are in big trouble. I would ask for the approval of Amendment #1 so that the state cannot, will cease wasting



money on things that do not improve mass transportation in the six-county area."

Speaker Redmond: "Anyone in opposition? Representative Telcser.

Ryan, Amendment 2."

Ryan: "I'm opposed to the Amendment, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #2. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Holewinski."

Holewinski: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, in explaining my vote, I'm in a real quandry as to how to vote on this Amendment because there's so much confusion and mystery about this legislation. We bypassed Committee on it and, therefore, precluded us from getting a detailed analysis of what it'll do and study of it's complexities and it's effect. And this is in complete contradiction to what Candidate Thompson said not more than a year ago when he called for a study of the Crosstown Expressway and he asked his Leaders to sponsor legislation to provide for a study. And we don't have that information. We had no study. We've had no discussion. There's been no request to the community or questions of the community as to what they feel about the issue. By and large, most citizens of the State of Illinois do not even realize not only what this Amendment does, but what this Bill does because it's been rushed through and kept secret. This legislation is different than others. Sure, we've shortened debate on other legislation. Legislation that's gone through Committee. Sure, we need time to get it to the Senate but it was introduced on June 3rd and there was adequate time for Committee hearings. And that time should have been taken and we should have gone through the deliberative process and there'd be less confusion and less aggravation over a situation today if we had gone that route. There'd be less people opposing this legislation. I wouldn't say that I would not oppose it, but there would be less people so adamant about it. But it's the way that this business was conducted, the secretness and only a few people knowing what this Bill is going to do, what the effect is, what it contains, what projects are on it, what's the effect on state revenues, who's



going to maintain the highways that are built, when's the next request for increased bonding going to take place because the projects are..."

Speaker Redmond: "The time has expired, Representative Holewinski. Bring your remarks to a close."

Holewinski: "I vote 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Dunn. The timer is on."

Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Since this is the first Amendment debated, I just wanted to add my brief remarks to those of the others that I deplore the situation that has arisen here in which this matter of utmost importance has come up amidst an aura of secrecy and I also deplore the fact that there's only fifty million dollars..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Deavers, for what purpose do you rise?"

Deavers: "Have him speak to the Amendment please. I don't care what he thinks about the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay, let him have his say. All you do..."

Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed, Representative Dunn."

Dunn: "I deplore the fact that there's only fifty million dollars in this whole package for all of downstate. And if they gave the whole fifty million dollars to my legislative district, I don't know that that would be a fair trade for the Crosstown. So those of you who have traded, had better look at what you've traded for because you may be held accountable. I vote 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kelly. Representative James Houlihan, explain his vote. The timer is on."

J. Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I had hoped to be able to ask Representative Skinner a number of questions because in the past, Representative Skinner and I have not always agreed about the purpose of the R.T.A. and the adequacy of the funding of the R.T.A. program. I believe, in fact, that the R.T.A. is an important and necessary vehicle to provide mass transit in the six-county area. But what we have here is the inability to ask those important and germane questions. And I



feel regretful that this issue cannot be debated, but I think Representative Skinner has made some adequate points. Points which could be reviewed at greater length, but because of the rule of the majority, they have suggested that we ought not debate these in total. And I would find it difficult that are 99 Members there opposing this Amendment merely on the words of Representative Ryan, 'I oppose the Amendment.' That is hardly, hardly good reason to oppose an Amendment. Just because the Majority Leader who is the Spokesman for this coalition gets up and says, 'I oppose the Amendment.' I would hope that there would be some discussion of the merits and not just a resonant, 'I oppose the Amendment.', because I think the Minority Leader can do better than that. I think he's got staff there. I think he's able to..."

Speaker Redmond: "Your time has expired, Representative Houlihan.

Bring your remarks to a close."

J. Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would urge some green lights on this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Yourell, do you seek recognition? The timer is on."

Yourell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I don't want to be tied to this God damned timer and I'm going to tell you why. This has nothing to do with the Amendment or the Bill that we're discussing. It has to do with something that happened yesterday and Sunday, Saturday and I think it's a terrible travesty on the people of the State of Illinois when we are in continuous Session that people are allowed to take mileage when we sit in our seats on our ass till twelve o'clock midnight and those people are not here, get per diem and mileage and I think it's wrong. And you, Mr. Speaker, had better rule otherwise because I think that's terrible. When we sat here till twelve o'clock midnight and those people that left here, signed in here at nine o'clock on Saturday morning 'present' and then left thereafter immediately and went to their homes and to their families and then received thirty-six dollars per diem and then come back here on Sunday afternoon at four o'clock and said, yes, we're here. We're real good Legislators and get thirty-six and thirty-six and fifty-seven



150.
and sixty or whatever they get and I think that's wrong. And I think if we're in continuous Session, those Legislators that are going to draw their salary from mileage should return it to the General Revenue Fund of the State of Illinois."

Speaker Redmond: "I agree with you, but I was elected Speaker, not truant officer, Mr. Yourell. And it's a matter of everybody's own conscience and their own individual responsibility. Representative Corneal Davis."

C. Davis: "Look in your rule books under Rule 55. You'll read this, 'B) Whenever a Member is about to speak on any matter, that Member shall rise and respectfully' - I hate to say this to my friend, but I'm a preacher and I don't think we've been respectful to these people and these women and children in the gallery."

Speaker Redmond: "Clerk will take the record. On this question, there's 27 'aye' and 100 'no'. The motion fails. Representative Robinson, for what purpose do you rise?"

Robinson: "I've had my light on both on the previous motion and on this particular question to explain my vote and you haven't recognized me. I will wait till the next motion, but I'd appreciate being recognized."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay, I thought it was stuck. Any further Amendments? Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, as a point of information. I believe the T.V. light is off. No pictures should be taken at this time and I just wanted to make the Membership aware of that and also people are taking pictures. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Hall: "Amendment #3, Skinner. Amends House Bill 2414 on page 3, line 15 by deleting 'regional' and inserting in lieu thereof the following."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, this is an Amendment..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative James Houlihan, for what purpose do you rise?"

J. Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, do the rules provide that an Amendment must be read in full? Because, Mr. Speaker, we have not had any debate



on this and we are limited in our questions about it and I would respectfully ask the Clerk to follow the rules of the House to read the Amendment in full."

Speaker Redmond: "What rule are you talking about? Used to be you had to read Bills in full three times and that was amended you only had to read the title."

J. Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, I was asking for a ruling from you and the Parliamentarian."

Speaker Redmond: "What rule are you referring to? Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I believe when he asks for a ruling from the Chair, he should cite the rule. Read the Amendment, Clerk."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, read the Amendment on this one. We'll come back to a ruling. I have a feeling Representative Houlihan is being a little dilatory but I'm not quite sure. I'm not positive."

Clerk Hall: "Amendment #3, Skinner. Amends House Bill 2414 on page 3, line 15 by deleting 'regional' and inserting in lieu thereof the following: 'Chairman of the County Boards of the Counties of DuPage, Kane, Lake, McHenry and Will and the regional.'"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Houlihan, for what purpose do you rise?"

J. Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, as respectfully as I can, I would like to suggest to you that I'm not being dilatory, that I merely want full consideration of these Amendments and this Bill..."

Speaker Redmond: "You'll get 'em, you'll get 'em. Representative Skinner, proceed with the Amendment."

Skinner: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I think perhaps it should be noted for those who may be tempted to be dilatory that it doesn't matter if this doesn't pass this fiscal year. It's still going to take 107 votes to pass the Bill. Amendment #3 will put the suburban County Board Chairmen directly into the picture of where the R.T.A. will take the R.T.A. district into debt. Now, seventy-five million dollars has been earmarked for investment in mass transportation capital projects in the suburbs. But it's at the R.T.A.'s discretion and I hope my colleagues will understand my lack of faith in the R.T.A. when even a suburban R.T.A. board



member has indicated he will sell us out. I think we need the input of people who are elected by people in the suburban areas and the Chairmen of the five outlying County Boards certainly will be as responsible and probably more responsible than the current R.T.A. board suburban members. I would ask the approval of Amendment #3."

Speaker Redmond: "Anyone in opposition? Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I oppose the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of the Amendment. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Holewinski, to explain his vote. The timer is on."

Holewinski: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. If I would have been able to, I have asked Representative Skinner a question that might have influenced my vote on this measure. And maybe he can simply tell me by nodding his head whether I'm right in assuming what this Amendment contains. It says basically that none of the money can be used on a commuter rail service or for extending or acquiring property for extending commuter rail service in the Chicago or R.T.A. area. Is that correct? Well that, Mr. Speaker, points out the problem with this procedure. That is, a Member who does not understand the Amendment cannot get an explanation or an answer on a question. Leaves us with little recourse other than to vote 'present' on these Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Anyone else? Clerk will take the record. Representative Houlihan."

J. Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, I realize that you and the Members of the coalition who are putting this through believe that discussion is not to the best interest of your deal, but I had my light on and I'd like to be recognized to speak to the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed, for one minute. The timer is on."

J. Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Representative Skinner has indicated what this money cannot be spent for, but I'm not sure he's indicated whether it's inclusive or whether it's limited to that. And I think that again the opposition



merely said, 'I oppose that Amendment'. That is reminiscent to me of no discussion of a previous Amendment; merely that I've got the votes and I can do anything I want to do. Anything I care to do. I can suspend the rule, I can limit debate, I can rip out pages of the rule book so that we can move on with this quickly and because I have the votes, because I have the 89 or the necessary votes. I can control the Speaker, the order of the House, the Majority Leader and everyone else, and I think that's uncalled for."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Robinson, to explain his vote. The timer is on."

Robinson: "It's a point of inquiry, Mr. Speaker. I don't think I heard you take the record, yet the board is locked and I think that some of the oratory by Representative Holewinski and Houlihan might change some votes up there."

Speaker Redmond: "They went down."

Robinson: "I wonder if we could open the board again, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Anybody want to change their vote? Representative Kelly."

Kelly: "Yes, I would and I don't care to change, you know, open the board up, but I would like a 'no' vote. Switch from 'yes' to 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Change Representative Kelly to 'no'. Anybody else? Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, I've had my light on for fifteen minutes. In the meantime, you recognized some of those fellows over there three and four times to hear their tirade when you know what their purpose is. I'm voting 'no', I voted 'no' on the... I voted 'yes' for the Matijevich's Amendment because I have a Commission meeting at 7:30 in the morning along with other Members here and I don't...(microphone turned off)..."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed, proceed."

Friedrich: "You let those guys talk on every... every time there's a motion called and they talk past the timer. You give them time to close and everything else and I don't even get a minute. Now, I'm getting tired of this business of twenty-one people disrupting



this House when it's obvious what they're doing. This Bill would have had thirty Amendments if it had gone through Committee and they know it and I know it and you know it. So I want them to take all the blame for what's going on here because I'd like to have a little time between now and morning to sleep besides listening to Representative Houlihan and others."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leverenz, to explain his vote. The timer is on."

Leverenz: "No, Sir. I'd like leave to record me 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Record the Gentleman as 'no'. The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 21 'aye' and 93 'no' and the motion fails. The Amendment is not adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Hall: "Amendment #4, Skinner. Amends House Bill 2414 on page 3, after line 23 by adding the following."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "There's some very real transportation problems of mass nature in the six-county area. One of them is not providing subway service along Franklin Street. This subway is going to parallel an existing El. It will be much, much cheaper to refurbish the El than it will be to dig this subway. Now, the Chicago media has totally blacked out this, this fact. The primary purpose of the Franklin Street subway is to enhance the property holdings, the value of the property holdings of the Kennedy family, the Merchandise Mart, Wolf Point and those property owners along Franklin Street. Now, if we are really sincere about enhancing transportation opportunities in the six-county area, here is three hundred million dollars that can be spent for things such an elevated line or a surface line to the southwest side of Chicago even. Now, the outer fringes of not only Chicago, the six-county area will not get decent mass transportation as long as we are dumping three hundred million dollars into the depths of the Loop or the edge of the Loop. That is why I've offered Amendment #4 which would prohibit any proceeds from the bonds authorized under this Act to be expended for any project associated with or actually referred to as the Franklin Street subway. It is an effort to



get real transportation improvement in the six-county area rather than something that will merely make the secret land-trust owners happy."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bowman, in opposition."

Bowman: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Representative Skinner has finally done it. He has finally introduced an Amendment that I can't vote for. The first couple were pretty well conceived, I thought at least in principle; but it seems to me that he's really picking on a very worthy project to oppose when he picks on the Franklin Street subway. Some years ago, I was in the research department at the Federal Reserve Bank in Chicago and I did a pretty thorough-going study of the costs and benefits that would be received by the City of Chicago and the entire metropolitan area as a result of developing a Franklin Street subway. I came to the conclusion that the benefits far outweighed the costs. And since that time that I did that particular study, I think the south and west loop areas have been further developed and I think the results of the development would only reinforce my conclusions. Since, in the last five years we've had the opening of the Sears Tower, the largest single employment center in the loop. We're now about ready to experience the development of the south Loop and the Fort Dearborn project. And I think the, furthermore, the Circle Campus, the University of Illinois where I taught for several years right now is very poorly served. The Franklin Street subway would even, would enhance the development in that area. It's very important on the near west side. I think of all the projects that Representative Skinner could have picked on to oppose that he's really gone overboard this time."

Speaker Redmond: "Time is running out. Please bring your remarks to a close."

Bowman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I am urging my fellow Representatives to oppose this measure not simply to avoid weighing this particular Bill down with Amendments, but really to send it down to resounding defeat so that we can have a Franklin Street subway sometime in the future unencumbered by the press of public opposition."



Mr. Speaker, I..."

Speaker Redmond: "Time has expired, now please bring your remarks to a close."

Bowman: "I thought I had... proponents and opponents had two..."

Speaker Redmond: "You have two minutes."

Bowman: "You have two minutes. I beg your pardon, Mr. Speaker. Then I will not take the time of the House any longer."

Speaker Redmond: "The question's on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #4. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Representative James Houlihan. The timer is on."

J. Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, I rise in opposition to this Amendment and I regret that some of the Members think that speaking out on this issue is dilatory and Representative Friedrich, being in the minority, I'm sorry that we're keeping you here late and I apologize."

Speaker Redmond: "Anyone else? Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "Yes, I'm rising to speak on the last Amendment, not this one because I wasn't recognized. And I hear a lot of Gentlemen on the House floor saying take the record, let's not fool around with this. And in a way, this brings back fond memories of my first term and I'll say this in speaking to the Amendment. We had... Oh, they don't want to hear. They don't want to hear. All right, they're not going to hear. They won't hear."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who... Robinson."

Robinson: "Okay, well since Kosinski wants to hear, I'm voting 'no' on this because I think that this is exactly the kind of area that money ought to be spent on if, in fact, there is going to be this kind of bonding. Chicago needs mass transit. Downstate needs the rehabilitation of our primary and secondary roads. It's the kinds of monuments that are called for with the Crosstown Expressway that we don't need and I'm afraid that Mr. Skinner is actually confused in this Amendment. You know, Robert Moses in his... in the biography of Robert Moses, it describes the many, many expressways that were built in New York. And what they found was that the more expressways they built, the more automobiles came



to New York. They found out that they needed an expressway to take care of twenty million cars. They built one for that and then they found that they had forty million cars coming in. The only way to break out of that vicious....(microphone turned off)."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mugalian, to explain his vote. The timer is on."

Mugalian: "Well, Mr. Redmond, I've had a lot of disappointments since I've been here the last five years, but the greatest disappointment I've experienced has been the fact that I have not been able to hear Representative Deuster's explanation of the previous Amendment and I would..."

Speaker Redmond: "Don't encourage him please."

Mugalian: "I could just tell."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Meyers, to explain his vote."

Meyer: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I sort of inherited the Brian B. Duff Travelling Award as being the custodian of it and I thought that Representative Marovitz had the award when he got three votes on a poorly drafted Bill of his. But it would seem to me after a number of Amendments that are... there are 125 'nays' that maybe this whole series deserves the Brian B. Duff Travelling Award."

Speaker Redmond: "The Clerk will take the record. Representative Levin, for what purpose do you rise?"

Levin: "Yes, I wanted to respond to Representative Meyer's last statement."

Speaker Redmond: "No, explaining your vote is the only order."

Levin: "I want to explain my vote. And that is to point out the inaccuracy in all of what Representative Meyer said. Representative Holewinski did not... I mean, Representative Marovitz did not get three votes. He got four votes. That's 25% more than you've stated that he got. Now, I think though that particular exercise is very instructive for what's going on here now because there was some confusion about what that Bill did and when there was a thorough debate that took place, we found out that the Bill unfortunately did not do what the Members thought it did. Representative Davis pointed out that when the depression was going on, he had bought a car...(microphone turned off)."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative O'Brien."

O'Brien: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, in explaining my vote, I'd like to indicate that the Cubs scored three runs in the top of the seventh and now lead four to three."

Speaker Redmond: "Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 11 'aye' and 125 'no'. The Gentleman's motion fails. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Hall: "Amendment #5, Skinner. Amends House Bill 2414 on page 1, line 13 by inserting immediately after 'transportation', the following."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madison, for what purpose do you rise?"

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, this is nothing more than an exercise in futility and I'm wondering would a motion be in order to table all the rest of the Amendments to this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madison, do you care to put that motion?"

Madison: "Is that motion in order, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "It's been ruled that it's devisable as a matter of right so... Any further Amendments? Representative Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I heard what Representative Madison just said and I just came back from the well down there and I filed a motion in writing to table all Amendments to this Bill with the exception of Amendments #30 and 69. And if that's in order at this time, Mr. Speaker, I would so move."

Speaker Redmond: "I think we'd better go one by one. What's the next Amendment here? Number 5. Who's the Sponsor of Amendment 5? Who? Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, I ask leave to table Amendment #5 and move to 6."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman withdraws 5. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Hall: "Amendment #6, Skinner. Amends House Bill 2414 on page 3 after line 34 by adding the following."

Skinner: "Amendment #6 was inspired by Representative Conti, believe it or not, Elmer. What it would do would require that all highway projects financed by the fifty million dollars of earmarked bonds, bonds earmarked for the building of highways and streets within the City of Chicago shall be subject to final approval of



the Illinois Department of Transportation. I don't know about the rest of you but when I kiss off fifty million dollars, I'd like to make sure that they are let in accordance with some rational guideline."

Speaker Redmond: "Who's in opposition? Representative Ryan. Amendment 6."

Ryan: "I oppose the Amendment, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "He opposes the Amendment. Representative... Question is on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of the Amendment. Representative Madison, for what purpose do you rise?"

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, this is what I meant when I said it's an exercise in futility. It seems to me if there is going to be opposition to an Amendment, the opposition ought to be explained so those of us who do sometimes like to vote intelligently, could at least hear the pros and cons on this issue. And I think it's just a charade and a farce for the distinguished Minority Leader to simply state that he opposes the Amendment without telling us why."

Speaker Redmond: "The question's on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #6. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Anyone to explain his vote? Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Did I hear the Minority Leader say that he opposes this thing? It's his administration. It's his Department of Transportation. What the hell is he opposing this for? That is the craziest thing I've ever heard in my life."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wished? Representative Collins."

Collins: "Well, Mr. Speaker, in response to the last speaker, I must say I thought the Minority Leader spoke very clearly. He said he opposed it."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mugalian, to explain his vote."

Mugalian: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I wanted to explain my 'present' vote. I vote 'present' when I don't know how to vote. And the reason I don't know how to vote on this Amendment is that I haven't heard the pros and cons. I've just heard a one minute statement and have heard no illucidation of the opposition or any reason for opposition. And so, I'm forced regrettably to vote 'present'."



Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 28 'aye' and 99 'no'. The Gentleman's motion fails. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Hall: "Amendment #7, Skinner. Amends House Bill 2413 on page 3 after line 34 by adding the following."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, given the format that we have here today, I think that the Crosstown Amendment should be offered by the Crosstown proponents and so I ask leave to table this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman withdraws Amendment 7. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Hall: "Amendment #8, Skinner: "Amends House Bill 2413 on page 3 after line 30 by adding the following."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, Amendment #8 goes to an extremely severe problem in the State of Illinois and that is the railroad grade crossings. It diverted about half of the new bond money for non-Crosstown railroad grade crossing improvement projects. I think that probably will please more of our constituents than the Crosstown ever could."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "I oppose the Amendment, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative James Houlihan."

J. Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I had spoken on the last Amendment and I had felt that I was maybe not in order in speaking on these Amendments because of the remarks that Representative Friedrich had made. But in all due deference to those statements about 21 or 16 or whatever the combination of 'present' and 'yes' votes are on this Amendment, I have to suggest to you that we are doing a great disservice to the legislative process tonight. We have bypassed Committee. We only hear the Minority Leader in the rare position of having enough votes to nearly articulate his position by saying, 'I do oppose.'. There is no interest by those people who want to force this thing through



in explaining the issue. There's no interest in discussing the merits of the question and I think that is what is a disgrace and not the fact that we're spending some time, not the fact that there are Members trying to get answers to these questions. I think that if you look carefully, you'll see that the disgrace is on the side of those people who are trying to ram this through without any intelligent discussion."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schneider."

Schneider: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I, too, like some of the Republicans Members are stunned by Representative Bowman's use of the language and I want to apologize for him. He promises not to call Representative Ryan, Leader anymore."

Speaker Redmond: "John Dunn."

J. Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I just want to point out that I so seldom have an opportunity to vote with the Sponsor of these Amendments, that I'm grateful to the Body to have this opportunity on so many occasions this evening to join with my colleague who is sponsoring these Amendments and cast green votes. It's kind of a different twist and I look forward to doing it a few more times on the rest of his Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I've sat here and listened to all the diatribes of how great some of us are who are voting green and some of the speakers on the other side, the very ones who ramrodded that R.T.A. with their Governor who signed it against our collar counties. So let's get on with this Bill. We got enough Amendments and just cut out all the gas here."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wished? Representative Jim Houlihan."

J. Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, could we have some order so we could hear the remarks of Representative Geo-Karis?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Holewinski."

Holewinski: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I think that this Amendment could make some sense in that it specifies the amount of money



to be used for really solving, I think, what is a serious problem in Illinois. And that is the sad condition of many railroad crossings and the very dangerous conditions existing there. I don't... I think most Members recognize that several times a year you'll see a newspaper article about an accident and some fatalities as a result of lack of proper warning devices or poor condition of the crossing or automobiles that might lose control in going over a crossing that is poorly maintained. I think on reflection that this may be a good Amendment and I am going to support it."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mulcahey. The timer is on."

Mulcahey: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I question as to whether many of the Members really understand what this Amendment really does in the final analysis. And it simply specifies, specifically indicates that some sixty-two million out of nine hundred and fifty million dollars which is earmarked for highway purposes to use exclusively and no place else, exclusively for the construction and the reconstruction and the improvement, repairs which we very badly need, maintenance, protection of the Illinois railroad grade crossing. And there's nothing more important. You could travel throughout this state to see the atrocious conditions of some of these particular railroad crossings. We travelled roads on Route 51, two 150 miles from Rockford to Bloomington every week and it's unbelievable the condition they're in. And this just simply diverts sixty-two million dollars..."

Speaker Redmond: "Time has expired. Bring your remarks to a close."

Mulcahey: "Simply takes sixty-two million dollars of the nine hundred and fifty million dollars which will be used for the construction, reconstruction and improvement, and as I say, the repair and the maintenance and the protection of Illinois railroad grade crossings. Now, this only diverts about half of this new bond money for non-Crosstown projects. Now, I don't think that's unreasonable. I think it's very, very fair and I would strongly urge your support of this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Deuster, to explain his vote. The timer is on."

Deuster: "I know a lot of Members want to go beddy-by, but I think we,



on this side of the aisle deserve that the record be straight. Representative Geo-Karis just blamed the Democrats for ramrodding through R.T.A. I don't think she remembers actually it was our Republican Leadership, Adeline, and not the Democrats. Don't blame them for things they didn't do."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Byers, to explain his vote."

Byers: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Amendment, I think you should take a look at. It's an Amendment for, to repair and improve and maintain railroad grade crossings all over the state. And we had a very tragic accident down in our district. We had twelve people killed in a camper at an unprotected grade crossing. And I don't think that there's any way that you can put a value upon the lives of people that might be saved. We have literally hundreds of grade crossings throughout this state that need protection now. There's been a whole series of... the Interstate Commerce Commission has been looking into this and they need money to...they cost about fifty thousand dollars apiece and this money is... would go toward this. I think Representative Skinner should be commended thinking about the safety of boys and girls and the citizens of the State of Illinois and recommend their 'aye' vote on this Amendment. I think some other people had better take a look at Amendment #8 and attach this Amendment to the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Robinson, to explain his vote. The timer is on."

Robinson: "Well, Mr. Speaker, this Amendment is a little different than some of the others that we've had tonight and I think that some of the Members ought to take a look at this. This begins to get at what a number of Amendments that are coming up also address and that's beginning to define how this money is going to be spent. There are many of you who have Amendments in to try to do the same thing. I think that if you believe that this money should be spent for specific projects, that the Legislature has a right to appropriate this money, but since this issue did not go through Committee where we had a chance to go before a Committee and say specifically on what projects this should be spent, then you ought to be voting 'aye'. I know that there are



many Members on the other side of the aisle who don't like the Crosstown, but who want to see a road program. You can begin to get at this issue through these Amendments. Some have said that we may be losing support on the other side of the aisle. I'd like to say that there are many Republicans up there voting on this issue. Those of you on the other side of the aisle who are really against the Crosstown, don't sift these Amendments out. Show that you are really against the Crosstown and help us appropriate this money as the Legislature is supposed to."

Speaker Redmond: "Time has expired. Representative Bowman. The timer is on."

Bowman: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to just say one thing in the spirit of calmness here. Now, all this, you know, fencing back and forth is, you know, I think everyone feels it's directed at some other motive than the particular issue at hand. I would suggest that a lot of these Roll Calls will, you know, be looked at by our constituents and something like grade crossings, for example, which clearly is, you know, a problem downstate, you know, I think a lot of people who are voting 'present' really might want to vote green on something like this and maybe a few of the 'nos', too. So, you know, let's not lose sight of some of these very real issues as we go through the evening here and it's going to be a long evening I'm afraid."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Williams, to explain his vote. The timer is on."

Williams: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'm really surprised that the Members of the Transportation Committee and the Members of the Subcommittee who went through all the hearings on grade crossings, now maybe we had to be pacified by the fact they said there were no funds. But here's a Bill that will give you the funds. Now all of you who aren't voting for railroad grade crossing separations, shame, shame, shame."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I look at that board and I'm really confused because I saw in local area newspapers from the 8th District, all the Republican Members,



the two of them were all in favor of grade crossing funds to protect our citizens and I see that they're both voting 'no'. And this is an Amendment that would provide the funds that would provide them the money, the revenue to do what they want to do that was indicated in their previous press releases. And I'm delighted to vote 'aye' on this grade crossing revenue Amendment to House Bill 2414."

(con't on next page)



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madison."

Madison: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I learned a long time ago that if you can't beat them you should join them. So I rise to explain my 'aye' vote. Fourscore and seven years ago our Fathers brought forth on this continent a new nation conceived in liberty and dedicated to the proposition that all men are created equal. Not today but tonight we are engaged in a great civil war testing whether that nation or any other nation can long endure. Friends, Romans, countrymen, I vote 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bluthardt to explain his vote."

Bluthardt: "Well, Mr. Speaker, in explaining my vote let me say that I have observed the latitude in which you've given to certain people on this floor tonight on this Bill and on the Amendment. We have before us somewhere in the legislation a Bill that would limit us to 15 Bills a year. I think that we've taken the wrong track, and I think that we ought to limit our words. And I think that if we would limit the freshmen to a half a million words a year and the rest of us to fifty thousand words a year we would get through this Session a hell of a lot faster."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take... Representative Collins."

Collins: "Mr. Speaker, there is a lobbyist for the currency exchanges, his name is Spielberg on this floor. He's running for the door now and I wished you'd keep these people out of here."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Byers."

Byers: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to verify this Roll Call so I'd know who's against and who's for railroad crossing. I want to take this Roll Call and publish it all around the state."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 62 'aye' and 86 'no'. Representative Byers. Well..."

Byers: "Oral Verification."

Speaker Redmond: "Reluctantly I will have to rule that that's a dilatory motion. It's obvious that these Amendments are not getting... Representative James Houlihan."



Houlihan, J.: "Now, Mr. Speaker, in order to help you think...rethink that there were a number of Roll Calls, there were seven Roll Calls. They were clearly not close, they were clearly defeated, and there was no ask for a verification. Here there's a Roll Call that is very close on a very important issue, which the House has voted on in the past. And you're going to rule that dilatory? If you so rule..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijeich."

Matijeich: "Point of order. You've ruled not only that when the Member asked for the verification he gave his purpose. It wasn't that he wanted to find out who won, he wanted to hand out a Roll Call around the state. Get this Roll Call and hand it around if that's your purpose."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Robinson."

Robinson: "You have time and time again ruled during this Session that a verification is a Member's right. Why now under this...this time that Harold Byers asked for this...the first time this Session since January you rule differently. This Roll Call with the 18 'present' votes could be a very close Roll Call. It deals with \$62,000,000; and not to verify this kind of Roll Call, I think changes completely your posture that you've had all Session."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, you've ruled on this particular verification, and I would like to repeat again that I have a written motion down there that was filed with the Clerk at 15 minutes after 8 that all Amendments that haven't already been defeated, with the exception of Amendments #30 and 69 be tabled. And I wondered if that motion would be in order at this time, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Not quite yet. Getting close, but not yet. Representative Huff."

Huff: "Well, Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Redmond: "I repre...Representative Huff is recognized, Mr. Houlihan."

Huff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Huff."



Huff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, but you answered my question. I was simply going to second Mr. Ebbesen's motion whenever that time becomes expedient. I think it's here now because I'm tired of listening to these other turkeys."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative James Houlihan. What's your point?"

Houlihan, J.: "Representative Huff will know that all of us that went through civil rights all had to be called names. Now, Mr. Speaker, I respectfully appeal the ruling of your...of your Chair, or your Parliamentarian or whatever you did up there. I think it's wrong. I think it's a gross cave-in to the forces that are behind this Bill. And I respectfully ask for a ruling, or...order or what do you do on this thing. I'm appealing your ruling."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved that the ruling of the Chair be overruled. Those in favor of overruling the motion...the ruling of the Chair vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 18 'aye' and 115 'no'; and the Gentleman's...the Chair is not overruled, the motion failed. Any further Amendments? Representative Friedrich, for what purpose do you arise?"

Friedrich: "A point of order, Mr. Speaker. I'm reading from Robert's Rules of Order, and it says, 'Whenever the Chair is satisfied that Members are using parliamentary forms merely to obstruct business he should either not recognize them or else rule them out of order. After the Chair has been sustained upon appeal, he should not entertain another appeal for the same obstruction while they're engaged evident and trying by their means to obstruct business'. Now, it's obvious to most of us and I think it's probably obvious to you by this time that there are about 20 people trying to obstruct the business of this House, and I ask you to rule on that."

Speaker Redmond: "Would you state your inquiry again?"

Friedrich: "I'm sorry you missed. It was a great dissertation..."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, I got the first part of it..."

Friedrich: "...It's a great dissertation from Robert's Rules of Order.

It says, 'When Members are using parliamentary forms merely to obstruct business, you should not either recognize them or rule



them out of order'. And I pointed out to you, Sir, that I think by this time it's obvious to most of us and hopefully to you that we have a few Members who are trying to obstruct the business of this House."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, it's a thin...there's a very thin line; and when the time comes that I feel that that's what they're doing, why I will rule that way. Representative Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker, I regret even to stand to speak; but I appeal to you to think again and re...and change the ruling that you have made on this last vote. There were 24 votes difference. I've seen times before when there's been verification on this floor this year when there were more votes different than that; and I've seen the votes change in just the last week when it was at least that broad a spread on a basis of a verification. I think it is absolutely atrocious that we find ourselves in this position of denying a verification when a vote was a close as this one was. I could certainly have felt that you were absolutely right had you denied the verification back on the Roll Call when there were 110 votes on that vote; but it was not, you did not deny it at that time. But I regret, regret very deeply that there isn't this opportunity on an issue that is of prime importance to many, many people throughout the State of Illinois and certainly the families of those who have been killed on railroad crossings over the State of Illinois in just the last few months."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I think it's most important that every Member of this Body realizes that he does not have any more than one vote over any other Member. And although we only have 18 votes on this last Amendment to House Bill 2414; and in due respect to Representative Friedrich who had indicated that we might be dilatory, I think that everybody should be heard on this Bill with their Amendments so that there can be no doubt...there can be no doubt that what we ultimately decide is in the best interests of the people of the State of Illinois that everybody be heard. Let nobody cut off debate, let nobody have any



opportunity to dilute the vote of any other Member of this House because you know we all have each one vote. And that's most important to us, to the people of the State of Illinois, 11,000,000 people. Let's continue, let's vote the way we want to vote, let's call the shots as we see them and continue with the process as the Speaker, and I think he's done right, to develop this 2414 to the ultimate conclusion that there will be no Amendments adopted to this Bill. It will go to Third Reading and then to the Senate. And that's the proper decorum of this House, the proper procedure; but don't let anybody...don't let anybody think that they have any more rights than anybody else. Everybody has one vote, and 18 votes is just as important as 120 votes."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Barnes."

Barnes, E.: "Thank you very much. Mr. Speaker, I've sat here very quietly and on the original Oral Roll Call didn't vote at all; but on the last vote...on the last Amendment, and I voted 'no' and will be voting 'no' on all the Amendments forthcoming. But let me say this, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, on that last vote there was 62 'aye' votes, there were 86 'no' votes, there were 18 voting 'present', and there was 11 that had not voted. And in my simple math or arithmetic that means that there were 29 votes outstanding. Those 29 added to that 62 could've came to 91. I don't know that that would've happened, I don't know that that would've happened; but being a person who's been involved in probably some very unpopular causes at various times, it just bothers me to see the majority just simply trample the minority. I think, Mr. Speaker, that it would be in more keeping with the ruling that you made and in the manner that you ran this House as Speaker to honor the request of Members for a verification, especially...especially when the number of votes outstanding could very well change the outcome."

Speaker Redmond: "We will...we will have a verified Oral Roll Call on the question of the adoption of Amendment #9. The Members be in their seats. Is it 8 or 9? 8...8."

Clerk O'Brien: "Abramson, Abramson passed, Abramson..."



Speaker Redmond: "According to the rules when your name is called rise and tell how you're voting and depress your switch. Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker, I think the Clerk made an error. Representative Abramson voted 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "...Abramson 'no', Adams, Adams pass, Anderson 'present', Antonovych 'aye', E. M. Barnes 'no', Jane Barnes 'aye', Bartulis 'aye', Beatty pass, Bennett 'present', Birchler 'aye', Bluthardt 'no', Boucek 'no', Bowman 'aye', Bradley 'no', Brady pass, Brandt pass, Breslin 'aye', Rich Brummer 'aye', Don Brummet 'aye', Byers 'aye', Caldwell 'no', Campbell 'present', Capparelli 'no', Catania 'aye', Chapman 'aye', Christensen 'aye', Collins 'aye', Conti 'aye', Cunningham pass, Daniels, Daniels pass, Darrow 'no', Corneal Davis 'no', Jack Davis pass, Dawson pass, Deavers 'no', Deuster 'aye', DiPrima 'no', Domico 'no', Doyle 'no', John Dunn 'aye', Ralph Dunn pass, Dyer pass, Ebbesen pass, Edgar 'no', Epton pass, Ewell 'no', Ewing 'no', Farley 'no', Flinn 'aye', Friedland pass, Friedrich pass, Gaines 'aye', Garmisa 'no', Geo-Karis 'aye', Getty pass, Giglio 'no', Giorgi pass, Greiman pass, Griesheimer pass, Hanahan pass, Harris pass, Hart pass, Hoffman pass, Holewinski 'aye', Dan Houlihan 'no', Jim Houlihan 'aye', Hoxsey 'no', Hudson..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Conti, for what purpose do you arise?"

Conti: "Nobody is going to play games with my vote. Will you please change my vote from 'aye' to 'no'?"

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Clerk O'Brien: "...Conti from 'aye' to 'no'...Hudson 'no', Huff 'no', Huskey pass, Jacobs..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representatiye Huskey."

Huskey: "Yes, in explaining my vote...in explaining my vote..."

Speaker Redmond: "This isn't a..."

Huskey: "...in regard to..."

Speaker Redmond: "...This is a verification, Representative Huskey.

How do you vote?"

Huskey: "I vote 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "...Huskey 'no', Jacobs 'aye', Jaffe pass, Johnson



'present', Dave Jones 'aye', Emil Jones pass, Emil Jones 'no', Kane 'present', Katz pass, Keats 'no', Kelly 'aye', Kempiners 'aye', Kent 'no', Klosak 'no', Kornowicz pass, Kosinski 'no', Kozubowski 'no', Kucharski 'no', Lauer 'no', Laurino 'present', Lechowicz 'no', Leinenweber 'no', Leverenz pass, Levin 'aye', Lucco 'aye', Luft pass, Macdonald 'no', Madigan 'no', Madison pass, Mahar 'present', Mann 'aye', Marovitz pass, Lynn Martin 'aye', Peggy Smith Martin 'aye', Matejek 'no', Matijevich 'no', Mautino pass, McAuliffe 'no', McAvoy pass, McBroom 'no', McClain pass, McCourt 'no', McGrew 'aye', McLendon 'no', McMaster 'aye', McPike 'aye', Meyer 'no', Miller 'no', Molloy pass, Mudd 'aye', Mugalian 'aye', Mulcahey 'aye', Murphy 'aye', Nardulli 'no', Neff 'aye', O'Brien..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative O'Brien, for what purpose do you arise?"

O'Brien: "Explaining my 'no' vote. I'd like to announce that the Cubs won 4 to 3, and that is the seventh in a row and the longest winning streak this season."

Clerk O'Brien: "...O'Brien 'no', O'Daniel 'no', Pechous 'no', Peters pass, Pierce 'no'..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative James Houlihan."

Houlihan, J.: "Mr. Speaker, I believe the Clerk called O'Daniel as 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "...Right...O'Daniel 'no'..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative O'Daniel 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "...'aye'?...That's why I verified it...Polk 'no', Porter 'aye', Pouncey 'no', Pullen 'no', Reed 'no', Reilly 'aye', Richmond 'aye', Rigney 'no', Robinson 'aye', Ryan 'no', Sandquist pass, Satterthwaite pass, Schisler 'no', Schlickman pass, Schneider 'aye', Schoeberlein pass, Schuneman 'no', Sevcik 'no', Sharp pass, Shumpert 'no', Simms pass, Skinner 'aye', Stanley...Stanley 'no', Stearney 'no', Steczo 'aye', E. G. Steele 'aye', C. M. Stiehl 'no', Stuffle 'aye', Sumner, Sumner pass, Taylor 'no', Telcser pass, Terzich 'no', Tipword...Telcser 'no'..."

Speaker Redmond: "Somebody depress Representative Telcser's switch so that we even up here on the Oral..."

Clerk O'Brien: "...Tipword 'aye', Totten 'no', Tuerk 'no', Van Duyne 'aye'."



Vitek 'no', Von Boeckman 'no', Waddell 'no', Wall 'no', Walsh pass, Wikoff 'no', Willer 'aye', Williams 'aye', Winchester 'no', Wolf 'no', Younge 'no', Yourell 'aye', Mr. Speaker pass."

Speaker Redmond: "Anyone that desires to...okay, poll the absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "Adams, Beatty..."

Speaker Redmond: "Adams 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "...Adams 'no', Beatty, Beatty 'no', Brady, Brady 'no', Brandt, Brandt 'no', Cunningham, Cunningham 'no', Daniels, Daniels pass, Jack Davis pass, Dawson pass, Ralph Dunn pass, Dyer pass, Ebbesen pass, Epton, Epton 'no', Friedland pass, Friedrich 'aye', Getty pass, Giorgi 'no', Greiman pass, Griesheimer pass, Hanahan 'no', Harris, Harris 'aye', Hart pass, Hoffman pass, Jaffe pass, Katz pass, Kornowicz pass, Leverenz pass, Luft pass, Madison, Madison 'aye', Marovitz, Marovitz 'aye', Mautino, Mautino 'no', McAvoy pass, McClain, McClain 'no'..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leverenz 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "...Leverenz 'no', Molloy pass, Peters pass, Sandquist pass, Satterthwaite 'aye', Schlickman pass, Schoeberlein pass, Sharp pass, Simms, Simms 'no', Sumner 'aye', Walsh pass, Mr. Speaker pass."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bennett, for what purpose do you arise?"

Bennett: "I'd like to be recorded 'aye', please."

Speaker Redmond: "Bennett 'aye'. Representative Johnson 'aye', Representative Ebbesen 'aye'. Would you depress your switch? Ebbesen 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Ebbesen 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Johnson, how about your switch there? Oh. Anyone else desire to change his vote? Representative Stanley."

Stanley: "Yeah, I'd like to be recorded as voting 'yes'."

Speaker Redmond: "Record the Gentleman as 'yes', Luft 'no'. Push your switch there. Campbell 'aye'. Anyone else wanting to be added to the Roll Call? Representative Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, could I ask a question? Would you tell me how that vote, as it reads right now on the board, compares to when we originally started?"



Speaker Redmond: "I think there are a few more 'nos'."

Ebbesen: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "I thought I meant a few more...a few less 'yes' and a few more 'no'. Take the record. On this question there's 62 'aye' and 88 'no'; the Gentleman's motion fails and the Amendment is not adopted. Any further...Representative Winchester."

Winchester: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I have to leave the floor for a few minutes; and I'd like to have leave of the House to withdraw Amendments 22 and 24 in case I'm not back when they're called."

Speaker Redmond: "Does he have leave? No objection, leave is granted. Representative Lauer."

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker, an inquiry of the Chair. Is it...is it within the purview of our rules to put in an Appropriation Bill that would make an appropriation to the Speaker and to the Minority Leader of the House an equal division of a certain amount of funds that would be for the purpose of purchasing muzzles for those that are fulgent of phrase, obfuscation of issues and given to oral diarrhea at the most inopportune times, and especially those who have not achieved an emotional age of above 3."

Speaker Redmond: "I believe you have a right to file a Bill, Representative Lauer."

Lauer: "Do you think it would get to Rules as an emergency?"

Speaker Redmond: "Well, it's an exempt Bill, I think. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #9, Skinner, amends House Bill 2414..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madison, for what purpose do you arise?"

Madison: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I have an inquiry of the Chair. I'm sorry that I was not here when the issue of short debate came up, but it is my understanding that you ruled that short debate applied to Amendments as well as to Bills, is that correct?"

Speaker Redmond: "No, we adopted a suspension of the rule. Representative Matijevich so moved and it carried."

Madison: "That...short debate..."

Speaker Redmond: "On this Bill only...on this Bill only."

Madison: "...Did...was there suspension of the rules as it relates to the



requirement that short.debate items appear on the Calendar one full day?"

Speaker Redmond: "It was the suspension of the debate provisions of the rules to shorten the debate from 10...to have it comply with short debate. The motion...the question was put and it was carried by a substantial majority of the Members. Representative Deavers."

Deavers: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like to introduce Minority Leader Ryan's wife, who on the...in the balcony on the right-hand side. And I think his son is here with him. I'd like to have you welcome...the girls are also in the balcony."

Speaker Redmond: "I met the son. He has a far nicer disposition than his father. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #9, Skinner, amends House Bill 2414 on page 1, line 25..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McBroom."

McBroom: "Mr. Speaker, I know them both quite well, and I agree with you entirely, Sir."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, #9 is too sweeping and the intention that I am trying to cover out is much better than Amendment...is much better covered in Amendment 13. So I would ask leave to withdraw Amendment 9."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman withdraws Amendment 9. Any...Amendment 10."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #10, Skinner, amends House Bill 2414 on page 1, line 23 and..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, now Amendment 10 is a little fussy, so I would ask leave to withdraw it also."

Speaker Redmond: "He withdraws Amendment 10. 11."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #11, Skinner, amends House Bill 2414 on page 2 by deleting line 28."

Skinner: "Amendment #11 on the other hand speaks to an issue that is similar to the separation of church and state issue, separation of expenditure of funds on private versus public facilities."



Amendment #11 will allow...well, let me put it in the positive, it will prohibit the expenditure of any of this bond money on the acquisition, construction, extension, reconstruction, improvement of airways or aviation facilities other than privately owned facilities. That is, it may be spent on anything other than privately owned facilities, which I think is the intention of the General Assembly...of General Assemblies past that have voted on this...this Authorization Act. At the present time pending before us, we have a Bill to subsidize the Pal-Waukee Airport, basically a landholding operation in my opinion. And the owners of the airport apparently aren't even willing to pledge that it will remain an airport for as long as it takes to repay the bonds. Now, I have suggested a compromise; and, apparently, no one sees fit to even reply. And that is that the state should be an equal partner with the owners of the land. If we're going to be land speculators and the state's going to finance that land speculation, the state ought to get a proportionate cut. That's what Amendment #11 does. It keeps us from what one might compare...what occurs in the country of Japan, which is the country gets together with private enterprise and together they go out to conquer the world of private enterprise. It seems to me that this is an unfair advantage given to a private airport. And if the Federal Government really thinks that Pal-Waukee is as important as all that, they can pay the money to buy it."

Speaker Redmond: "Who stands in opposition? Representative Keats."

Keats: "I question the germane...I question the germaneness of this stupid, ridiculous and foolish Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Give us Amendment 11. It's germane. Who stands in opposition? Ryan."

Ryan: "I oppose the Amendment, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "The question's on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #11. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Holewinski to explain his vote. The timer is on."

Holewinski: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I join in...Representative Skinner in support of this Amendment."



I am familiar with the situation he's talking about, Pal-Waukee Airport. This is one of the largest privately-owned airports in the United States, and it will remain privately owned. And what we...what Representative Skinner seeks to prevent is a case of unjust enrichment; and, that is, that the state not put mon... state money into the benefit of private enterprise with no guarantees that we'll ever witness any return on the money. It's a reasonable Amendment. I think it's a good approach and addresses a very real problem. The airport is...he said there are no guarantees it will even remain an airport. There's been considerable speculation about it being changed into development because that's a rapidly growing area in the suburb, with new housing and demand for housing space and shopping and industrial space. And there's a possibility that it will make these improvements he's talking about only to have the owner of the property subdivide and turn that airport that we are benefiting into suburban housing. I think you can see that that would be an inequitable..."

Speaker Redmond: "Bring your remarks to a close, the time is expiring."

Holewinski: "...and I would urge you to support this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevec. The timer is on."

Matijevec: "Well, I just want to be brief. I voted 'no' on most of these matters; but I think on this one it's pretty clear cut. The Bill on Pal-Waukee was going to use general revenue funds, and I don't know that there's been any intent to try to use bond funds for a private airport; but if there were, I think it's wholly without government to do that. And I...I see some conservatives up there on the board voting 'no'. I really think you ought to be voting 'yes'. This ought to be basic to your philosophy; and I...and I would ask an 'aye' vote on this one."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative James Houlihan. The timer is on."

Houlihan, J.: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would suggest that the Members take the time to look at this Bill and the Amendment and fully comprehend what is the intent of Representative Skinner. If you're voting against this Amendment as was urged by Representative Ryan, our Minority Leader, in effect



what you're voting for is you're voting for private development, you're voting for private improvement of property, private improvement of equipment so that the private sector could use public dollars. And then, in turn, have no debts to the state, not have to return that improvement, not have any responsibility to even carry on the same functions that the money was expended for. What you're talking about is in a very, very real way what will happen many times, time and time again, the private sector will take advantage of the public sector if this Bill as unamended passes. And what you will have is a situation similar to a situation you see so often where the select..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mulcahey to explain his vote. The timer is on."

Mulcahey: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I think ...I think the important thing to keep in mind as far as this particular Amendment is concerned is the fact that we're talking about privately owned airports, privately owned aviation facilities. And why in the world should state funds be appropriated for the use of privately owned airports or aviation facilities? All this Amendment does is precludes the use of bond monies...of bond monies under the Act for the construction of state highways and for the acquisition and the construction and the extension and also the reconstruction and improvements of private...privately owned airports. It's not an unreasonable Amendment. It's a good Amendment. It makes sense; and I would urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kosinski. The timer is on."

Kosinski: "Mr. Speaker, would I be in order to make a respectful recommendation of the Chair relative to George Ryan?"

Speaker Redmond: "I don't know."

Kosinski: "Well, my recommendation, Mr. Speaker, is that we either limit George Ryan and his remarks of opposition and save some time."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leverenz. The timer is on."

Leverenz: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. For those of you that want to use the bond funds to pay the Pal-Waukee Airport, I suggest that you at least know that in Committees the Department of Transportation



said that the improvements would not last the length of the issuance of the bonds. I suggest your green votes."

Mr. Redmond: "Representative Meyer, the timer is on."

"Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'm really hesitant to take the name of this chamber, but it seems to me that Representative Houlihan and his compatriot, Holewinski, have been up on every one of these. We're at 11. I understand we've got to go up to 12 and quite frankly I'm sick and tired of listening, including Representative Yourell..."

Mr. Redmond: "Representative Yourell, for what purpose do you arise?"

"I...I resent the implication that Representative Meyer is trying to muzzle anybody in this chamber. And if he wants to address the issue, he should be talking to Amendment #11 to House Bill 2414 and not casting any aspersions on any Member that wants to talk to this issue."

Mr. Redmond: "Representative Meyer, finish your comments."

"...Well, I'll talk about Representative Yourell along with Representatives Holewinski and Houlihan, J.M..."

"...Mr. Speaker..."

Mr. Redmond: "Representative Yourell."

"Let's vote this up or down and get it out."

Mr. Redmond: "Your point of order is well taken. There's no point in discussing personalities here. Representative Holewinski."

Mr. Holewinski: "Well, Mr. Speaker, on a point of personal privilege. I mean, one of the reasons that we are up, and I'm not...we're not trying to be frivolous about this is that we feel very strongly about the issue. We feel strongly and are upset that it was not discussed in Committee; and we didn't have an opportunity to sit in or to read any of the analyses that it's not been explained. And the only way we can elicit a response or elicit an explanation or try to is...is during this process of Second Reading. I'm sorry if Representative Meyer is upset by that procedure, but it seems reasonable to me. And you know, it's what we feel we have to do."

Mr. Redmond: "Representative Waddell."

Waddell: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in explaining my vote, I am very serious and...this casting a 'yes' vote because I don't think we should be improving private facilities; but I think it's obvious that in the last issue or a couple back, where you wanted \$62,000,000 to go ahead and improve private railroads is equally ridiculous."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leinenweber. You...requesting permission to leave the chamber's? For what purpose do you want to leave the chamber? Representative Ted Meyer."

Meyer: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. Even though my name was used in the debate, I'll refrain from... turn on this combative sort of antagonistic sort of debate, and would hope that this thing would end and we could adjourn shortly."

Speaker Redmond: "Everyone will contribute to that by, Representative Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, again, I would just like to preface the remarks that I've made here within the last...two or three times within the last hour. You know, I know, Mr. Speaker, that there's been nobody more concerned about the, you know talk about the health and the well-being of the people in the General Assembly and on this House floor, and as was just indicated we've got up to about 70 Amendments on this Bill. And, Mr. Speaker, I would be in hopes that you would find my written motion, which I will repeat for the benefit of the House Members, would table all those Amendments to House Bill 2414...Mr. Speaker, Mr. Speaker, may I continue?"

Speaker Redmond: "Well, I haven't found the motion yet."

Ebbesen: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'll be glad to come down and have the Clerk hand it to you, Mr. Speaker; but it would table all those motions or Amendments that have not already been defeated, other than Amendment #30 and Amendment #69. And, Mr. Speaker, I would hope..."

Speaker Redmond: "We'll see if we can find that. We'll see if we can find it. Representative Jim Houlihan."

Houlihan, J.: "Mr. Speaker, Representative Winchester...Winchester, I'm



sorry..."

Speaker Redmond: "He's off the floor."

Houlihan, J.: "...Representative Friedrich, earlier raised a serious question as to whether we were trying to address the issue. I think we've tried to speak responsibly on each of these issues; and I find it very uncomfortable when a Member gets up and says, 'Because of a deal, because there are the votes to do something, Members aren't given the right to speak on the issue'. I think that's wrong. I think every one of you will be in the position some day when you're not in the majority. And you'll want to speak on an issue which is important to you; and I think the only thing worse than people telling you you don't have the right to speak is to see the Chair laugh at that issue. I think that's totally irresponsible, and I think it's regretful."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Robinson to explain his vote. The timer is on.. Robinson. Robinson."

Robinson: "Mr. Speaker, I'm sorry that Representative Meyer didn't mention me along with Holewinski and Houlihan and Yourell. And I'm sure that Representative Bluthardt wouldn't... would've not overlooked me on that kind of a comment. I'd like to seriously make the point that again that this issue didn't go to any kind of Committee. It was taken directly from the Committee on Assignments. It wasn't even assigned. And yet for three weeks it could've been assigned, we could've had Committee hearings on it during those three weeks. It was not...it was not the people who have been talking on these issues who were responsible for that. It's the Sponsor of the Bill and the Leaders on this side of the aisle. Mr. Speaker, in conference today the first time we had any real information on this issue from Representative McClain, who presented after two days work a position paper about how the road..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Yourell. The timer is on."

Yourell: "Yes, I think that it's really...really ludicrous to observe ...this is on another issue. This is point of personal privilege because he mentioned my name, and...Yes, you did, sit down, sit down



and I'll tell you all about it."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McPike. The timer is on."

McPike: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would like to address myself to this Amendment. I think each and every Amendment that's been introduced tonight is different and each one should be judged on its own merits. Amendment #11 addresses itself to whether or not public money should be used to develop private facilities. We had a similar Bill like this in Appropriations #I. The first time it came in it received 6 votes. They wanted \$1,500,000 to develop a private airport, \$1,500,000 taxpayers' dollars to develop a private airport in northern Illinois. There were a number of Representatives on the Committee that have public airports in their area that are starving for public monies and cannot get any. And yet here was a Bill introduced to provide public money for private airports. Amendment #11 simply says that we cannot use any of these monies to develop facilities..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mautino to explain his vote. The timer is on."

Mautino: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd like to make a comment and it's not a dilatory remark; but if my memory serves me correctly, under the Series A Bond issue you cannot use this bonding money under Series A for private enterprise. You can under B, but you cannot under A. And I think the question is mute. But I'm getting a little fed up with hearing people stand up on this House floor and make remarks about bypassing Committee on important legislation. Let's call a spade a spade. The most important interest...I'm sorry, Jack...I do want to make the point that many of the important pieces of legislation that came before the Eightieth General Assembly has been bypassed from Committee, one being Senate Bill 600; and I respectfully submit to you that let's play the game as the majority Members want it and let's go ahead with it and not talk..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bluthardt. The timer is on."

Bluthardt: "Well, thank you. Is there a time on personal privilege, too,



Mr. Speaker? Because my name was used up there in front in the debate. But first of all I'd like to suggest, Mr. Speaker, that you see your optometrist and get a new pair of glasses tomorrow so you can see past the fifth row. But I want to point out something, a matter of personal privilege, that we've had several people so vehemently espouse the cause of freedom of speech, the right to debate; but I wonder where their votes were last Session when they voted to use the User List and cut off all debate so that we voted on 100 Bills at a time? No debate whatsoever, and I'll bet you'll find those two people voted to cut out debate at that time."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wished? Representative Lynn Martin."

Martin, L.: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I think this is the only time you will ever hear me explain a vote. I would ask my friends on the other side of the aisle, who may share the same ultimate goal as some people on this side of the aisle, that occasionally a plan that seems well-thought out at the beginning may end up losing more than it gains and good generals change battle plans. We all march to a different drummer, but when the song goes on too long you can lose what you may have gained at the beginning. And I would ask that we go on with this and quickly so we can get to the real issue, the Bill itself, before that which was gained is lost on the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, 51 'aye' and 96 'no'; the Gentleman's motion fails, and Amendment #11 is not adopted. Representative Madison."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, earlier I offered a verbal motion to table all the Amendments to this Bill. And you didn't tell whether or not that motion was in order or whether I was recognized for that motion; and I'd like to know now."

Speaker Redmond: "I don't believe that motion is in order."

Madison: "Can you explain to me why it's not in order, Mr. Speaker? Other than because you said so."

Speaker Redmond: "A dozen other good reasons. Representative Steczo..."



Stuffle. Stuffle."

Stuffle: "Mr. Speaker, I would just like to say if that motion is offered, I would ask to divide the question on every Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "You have answered Representative Madison. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #12, Skinner, amends House Bill 2414 on page 3, in line 25 and..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, I certainly have no pride of authorship in... in bridges for county and townships. And since there are Amendments filed by Representatives who probably can make better use of the Sponsorship of those Amendments than I, I ask to...leave to withdraw Amendment #12."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman's withdraws 12. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment 13, Skinner, amends House Bill 2414 on page 3 by adding immediately after line 34 the following."

Speaker Redmond: "Who's the Sponsor? Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, I withdrew an Amendment earlier in this series which would have prohibited the use of any of the bond monies for freeways; but it is obvious that some in this General Assembly still want freeways in this...in their districts. We in our district do not, at least we don't have one north of Elgin, and that is the one that has been commonly called the 'Fox Valley Freeway'. My colleagues, my Republican colleagues from the 33rd District have run a survey in our district asking people whether they are in favor of state and local actions that will encourage growth, and it's coming back about 9 to 1 'no'. There is nothing that can encourage growth more in my district than making it easier to get in and out. And that's what the 'Fox Valley Freeway' will do. Now, I would ask your...your support for Amendment 13 so that the money may be diverted to your district, something which I would hope all of you would be in favor of. You may spend it on anything which you wish to spend it upon, just don't spend it on the 'Fox Valley Freeway' north of the Tollway in Elgin."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "I oppose the Amendment."



Speaker Redmond: "The question's on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of the Amendment. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Representative Holewinski. The timer is on."

Holewinski: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I think Representative Skinner should know what the people in his district want or do not want. He is their Representative here in the General Assembly; and he has expressed quite eloquently his reasons for wanting the adoption of this Amendment. I think that I have similar...I know how he feels because I have a similar situation with regards to the subject of the Crosstown Expressway and know how my constituents feel about it. They feel very strongly about it. I would urge you to respect his feelings on the issue and support his request that we adopt this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schneider. The timer is on."

Schneider: "Mr. Speaker, it's my first chance to talk, could you take off the timer so I could make up for the other Amendments?"

Speaker Redmond: "You said something unkind to Representative Bowman. So I remember you talked before."

Schneider: "Oh. Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Representative Skinner is correct again as usual, the freeway system and the particular reference to 'Fox Valley' is of course the curse on the County of DuPage and Kane and others north. Once again what D.O.T. and other agencies of that type are interested in doing is concreting our communities for the purposes of employment or just for something to do. They are not unlike the Army Corps of Engineers, which when they sit idly by, think of new things to construct. This is a very valid and strong Amendment, and I would ask Representative Blair, I mean, Representative Ryan rather to see...Ryan...unintelligible...whatever this is...but anyway..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Robinson. The timer is on."

Robinson: "Mr. Speaker, I'd just like to point out that I'm in the process of doing a poll in my district. And one of those questions is, do you favor a moratorium on freeway construction and use of



highway money for the rehabilitation of our primary and secondary roads? So far that has come in 250 'yes', 25 'no'. I think that clearly in my district this kind of Amendment, I understand there are more to come after this...that follow this line, is certainly in line with the thinking in my district. I know that Representative Skinner is representing his district. I don't know how the other Representatives from that district are voting, but I'm sure Representative Skinner's scarce constituents must agree with mine."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. About 25 years ago 'Ripley's Believe it or Not' had a little notation that if all the Chinese people in the world got in a line and walked past a certain point, the end would never be reached. I think this Bill, House Bill 2414, is rapidly approaching the Chinese example posed by Ripley. We've now right...Amendment #71 introduced by Representative Martin, which seeks to run a four-lane freeway through Lindbloom Park. So I think we've gone far enough."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative...Representative Wolf."

Wolf: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, in the...in every Session of the General Assembly we generally have at least one freshman who feels compelled to get up on every issue and sometime even..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Peggy Smith Martin. Well, the timer... you must have talked for a minute then. Representative Wolf."

Wolf: "...little short count there...little short count there...I was saying, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, that we usually get one and sometimes two first termers who feel compelled to speak out in every issue; but I've never seen a class that had so many that knew so damn much about every subject and had to talk on all of them."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Peggy Smith Martin."

Martin, P.: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Since my name was mentioned one of...by one of the prior speakers, I feel about Lindbloom Park like many people feel about their highways, and bridges and trains and so forth. But more than that I want you to know that I have been trying to get Lindbloom Park since the Seventy-Eighth



General Assembly; and as many of you know, when I first was elected to the House of Representatives I ran 12 years, 5 times for this office. 12 years is a long time to be running for any office, but it just shows you when one preserves what can really happen. So that's the same thing that I'm doing here with the Lindbloom Park subject. I'm preserving and I'm sure that in the end I'm going to have the Lindbloom Park Field House."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I would just like to...in explaining my vote, I would be in hopes, Mr. Speaker, in the interest of the health and well-being...no, my blood pressure is 120/80, and I can sit here as long as these people can sit here; but I'm afraid in the interest of the health and well-being of the people of this House this dilatory tactic that's taking place right now is just something we cannot tolerate and still meet our deadline. And, Mr. Speaker, I don't know...have you checked with the Clerk to take a good look at that written motion that I've filed at 8:13 p.m. this evening? And, Mr. Speaker, if you have, I wonder if it would be in order to call this just as soon as you have completed the action on this Amendment #13. Please, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Meyer."

Meyer: "A point of order, Mr. Speaker, in regards to Representative Ebbesen's motion. Does that motion have priority over the other motions?"

Speaker Redmond: "I haven't found that motion yet. Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Redmond: "Those who want to accelerate this process keep on interrupting all the time. You're not helping it at all. Why..."

Matijevich: "...Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I was the one that...that wanted short debate and said that we're not going to change any votes, and we're not, everybody knows it. And now we're getting some silly Amendments and everybody knows that too. I'm going to move now...I understand there's a couple Amendments that most of those voting 'no' on are going to vote 'aye'



on...I'm going to move that we proceed to Amendment #30."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved that we proceed to Amendment #30. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'; the 'ayes' have it. Representative...Read #30. Read #30. We'll be back. We'll be back."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #30..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Houlihan, for what purpose do you arise?"

Houlihan, J.: "Mr. Speaker, I respectfully would wish to know from the Chair what your intentions are. Are we going to Amendment #30 and then returning to the others?"

Speaker Redmond: "We will come back to the other Amendments."

Houlihan, J.: "Mr. Speaker, let me ask you another question. When are we going to do that?"

Speaker Redmond: "As soon as we get through with this Amendment, and I understand there's one more, and then we'll be right back."

Houlihan, J.: "Mr. Speaker, can you tell me any good reason that we're going to go to Amendment 30 out of order and Amendment 69 out of order? Unless those people don't want to hear the discussion, those people who are involved with this deal are going to just want to shut off debate."

Speaker Redmond: "I don't know why we're going to do it...Representative ..."

Houlihan, J.: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I..."

Speaker Redmond: "...Representative Matijevich, for what purpose do you arise?"

Matijevich: "Well, I was going to make a point of order. The Body has already moved...proceeded to Amendment 30...he didn't do it, the Body did it."

Speaker Redmond: "Read the Amendment, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #30, Sumner-Adams, amends House Bill 2414 on page 1, line 10, and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "The question's on the Gentleman's...who's the Sponsor of Amendment 30?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Sumner and Adams."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Sumner. I'll be back to you."

Sumner: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the General Assembly. This Amendment adds \$12,000,000 for the purpose of the repair and reconstruction of unsafe bridges and substandard bridges on roads maintained by counties and municipalities, townships and road districts."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Lady's motion for the adoption of Amendment #30. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Holewinski."

Holewinski: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'm going to vote against this Amendment just on principle. We asked to be recognized on a point of order. You didn't even announce the Roll Call on Amendment 13, nor did we get a Roll...did we...you accede to our request for a Roll Call on Representative Matijevich's motion. I think that was absolutely reasonable and you should've allowed it."

Speaker Redmond: "On this question...the Clerk will take the record... on this question there's 125 'ayes', 19 'nos'; the motion carries and the Amendment's adopted. Back to Amendment 14. Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan, J.: "Mr. Speaker, in your haste to muzzle Members, you did not recognize me. I wanted to speak on that Amendment. May I speak on that Amendment now?"

Speaker Redmond: "Go ahead and speak on it."

Houlihan, J.: "Mr. Speaker, this House was moving in a very orderly fashion until those people who had a private arrangement and needed a few more votes put in a little bit of pork for a few Members to get them to go along with this bad deal. And so you in deference to their wishes went out of order to Amendment #30 just because you wanted to avoid any real discussion of this issue. We were moving at a very reasonable rate. We were discussing the issues and proceeding in a...in a smooth fashion, and you, Mr. Speaker, went to give a few baubles to people who have been fought off on this back-room deal."

Speaker Redmond: "Amendment #13. I guess we hadn't announced the Roll...the vote on that. The question is on the Gentleman's motion



for the adoption of Amendment #13. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Stuffle. Representative Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker, I have an inquiry of the Chair first of all.

Is every Member of this Body equal to one another?"

Speaker Redmond: "Yes, they are."

Tipsword: "Are some of them therefore just more equal than others that they can get theirs called out of order?"

Speaker Redmond: "Well, I would hope not."

Tipsword: "I would like to speak on...in explaining my vote. I hope the lobbyists for the Superintendents of Highways for the counties throughout this state is within earshot. I resent this Amendment because it's an effort to try to use the people downstate who have been very strong and worked hard in past years and this year for bridges for the counties and townships throughout the State of Illinois; but they put it in this Bill and they agreed to it and they worked for it to try to get us to sell our soul for everything else other than these bridges. I think this is terrible. I resent every hour that I have spent working for the Highway Superintendents of the counties of this state that they would sell out on this kind of thing, this total thing, for just their minor issue. We worked for them and we've got their money for them in other ways. And we would and continue to do so and there was an obligation on the Department of Transportation to put their money by virtue of a statute that we passed last year into their ordinary appropriation. And I think this is absolutely pious and terrible of their lobbyists and of their organization. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wished? Representative...Representative Skinner. Now, you shouldn't walk on that act."

Skinner: "Well, I'm just...this Amendment has nothing to do with bridges, I don't want a bridge, I don't even want a highway. That was the last Amendment. This Amendment says the money can go for anything you want in your district. I don't want...this is the one that prohibits the 'Fox Valley Freeway', curses the tollway in Elgin. Take the freeway, you can have it. It's in your district. Spend it on bridges, I don't care."



Speaker Redmond: "The Clerk will take the record. On this question..."

Skinner: "Put it on Postponed..."

Speaker Redmond: "...there's 31 'aye' and 94 'no'; and the Gentleman's motion fails. Representative Stuffle."

Stuffle: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, given all the dialogue and all the debate, I would at this time move that we proceed to Amendment #36 because that's what this whole thing is all about."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to amend that motion that we proceed rather to 69. That's the one."

Speaker Redmond: "Read the next Amendment, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #14, Skinner, amends House Bill 2414 on page 2, line 34."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative...Representative Skinner. Please give the Gentleman order."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, in...in attempting to agree with Representative Stuffle, I think that the opponents of the Crosstown, rather than the opponents of the R.T.A., ought to be able to offer an Amendment. So I would ask to table Amendment 14, which would definitely gut the...down."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman...the Gentleman withdraws 14. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #15, Skinner, amends House Bill 2414 on page 3 by deleting line 33 and so forth."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, Amendment 15 I would also like to withdraw."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman withdraws 15. Representative Meyer, for what purpose do you arise?"

Meyer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. With leave of the House, I would like to go to Amendment #69."

Speaker Redmond: "I haven't recognized you for that purpose. Amendment #16."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment 16, Skinner, amends House Bill 2414 on page 3 after line 2."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, since there is a typographical error of \$100,000,000



in Amendment 16. I ask leave to withdraw it."

Speaker Redmond: "He withdraws 16. Any further...Representative Dunn, for what purpose do you arise?"

Dunn, J.: "Mr. Speaker, I would ask leave to be recorded 'no' on Amendment 30. The board was closed before I pushed my switch..."

Speaker Redmond: "Does the Gentleman have leave? Hearing no objection, leave is granted."

Dunn, J.: "I very much want leave."

Speaker Redmond: "16. 17."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #17, Kent, amends House Bill 2414 on page 3 by deleting line 34."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kent."

Kent: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Many of you have heard me stand on this floor and tell of the cries of western Illinois for roads. This is a great opportunity when you see \$150,000,000 in bonds being voted on. To express the voice of my people, as far as I'm concerned in 1959...1969, they voted and have paid for a supplemental freeway. Hear we are voting again. And they are going to be asked to be paid, paying for another road with no promise at all. And so my Amendment said that \$35,000,000 of this shall be used to complete the Central Illinois Expressway from Winchester to Quincy, Illinois, which is just 68 miles. Now, I know what has gone on tonight, and so I am going to withdraw my Amendment because I know the votes are not there; but I do want to tell you that the people of western Illinois want roads. And until you recognize that, before we spend it for everything else, you will find that they will continue to come over here. Maybe it will be better for you to just vote for it and get it out of the way so I'll keep talk...quit talking about it. I thank you for your time. I table Amendment 17."

Speaker Redmond: "Amendment 17 withdrawn. Any further Amendments? Representative Darrow."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #18, Holewinski..."

Darrow: "Mr. Speaker, I'd ask leave to be recorded as voting 'aye' on Amendment #30. It was so noisy I didn't get the content of that



Amendment..."

Speaker Redmond: "Does the Gentleman have leave? Hearing no objection, leave is granted. Who's the Sponsor of 18?"

Clerk O'Brien: "...Representative Holewinski, amends House Bill 2414 on page 3, line 34, and so forth."

Holewinski: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

Amendment #18, in my mind, is what this entire controversy is about; and that is, the construction of an expressway through the City of Chicago. It's not only through a city, though, it's really through neighborhoods. And if you take a look at the maps that have been provided and the routes that have been outlined, it goes through neighborhoods and takes homes and takes the neighborhoods with them. It takes businesses with them. It will take industry with it. It really will do nothing more than speed up the deterioration in certain parts of the City of Chicago. Amendment #18 would exclude the use of the funds for the construction of the Crosstown Expressway or the Burnham corridor as is its current terminology. This issue is of extraordinary importance in my district. The people in my district who feel very strongly about it. Many of them have been displaced or were displaced or where the Kennedy Expressway, some have been displaced for the construction of the Eisenhower. And what we do is constantly displace them with concrete. And where do they go? Most of the people statistically are...are elderly, cannot afford substitute housing. And what we are doing is really telling these people we have no further use for you. And during this whole dialogue the one thing that's been missing is the discussion of what happens to people who are displaced by these kinds of projects. People in my district came to rely on the word of elected officials. That's why when Governor Thompson came out to my neighborhood and said he was flatly against the Crosstown Expressway, they believed him. Then he was a candidate though, now he's the Governor. And it becomes politically expedient to develop these kinds of deals in order to get reelected; but the people in my district are going to remember this because you don't build half a highway as is proposed.



You don't build a highway that starts at another and ends nowhere. We know where it's going to go. This 1.2 billion dollar cost is just a beginning. And what's going to happen is as soon as that 5 years has expired and that money is expended and that segment of the expressway is built, there's going to be pressure from the same people who are pushing this deal to continue with another deal to extend that expressway the rest of the route up the Cicero Avenue to the junction of the Edens and Kennedy. And then what's going to happen? That's going to take many, many more homes and many, many more lives, and many, many churches. And what else is it going to do? It's just going to destroy the neighborhoods those people are fighting to save now, synagogues also. I don't seek to make a joke of this at all. I don't think it's really very funny. I feel very strongly about the issue, and that's why we're taking the time, and many of us feel strongly about it, that why we're taking the time tonight to raise it. And raise it in this forum. And we have to raise it here because we were denied another forum to raise it. And then we were denied a Committee hearing. We were denied a Democratic Conference with representatives of D.O.T. explaining the impact. Think about this in terms of not only the displacement of persons and businesses and economy and the displacement of the economy in Chicago, but also think about it in terms of...in terms of what it's going to do to the rest of the state's highway system."

Speaker Redmond: "Your time is coming to a close, Representative Holewinski."

Holewinski: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I will conclude. I think that in politics we have little more than our word. And as I said, the Governor did give his word to people in my area, and they believed him. He gave his word to many people. And it's been a total contradiction from what he has said to what he is doing through this deal or this maneuver right now. The people in my area live in real threat and a perceived threat of the Crosstown and the destruction it will reek in the City of Chicago. And I would ask you to support this Amendment."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I oppose the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "The question's on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #18. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Robinson to explain his vote. The timer is on."

Robinson: "Mr. Speaker, I think this really gets at the heart of the problem. And I think that those who just voted for Amendment #30 ought to look very closely at this. There will be no construction under Amendment #30. The Crosstown Expressway will suck all the federal matching money into the one project, a billion dollars or more of federal matching monies. Representative McClain has presented a position paper today in our conference, and one of the things that Representative McClain has shown is that unless down-state projects, projects other than the Crosstown, are built in the next 2, 3, maybe 4 years completed by then they will not be built because there will be no federal matching money available. You can put your bridges into this...into this Bill, you can put your highways into this Bill, you can put all the pork barrel..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative James Houlihan to explain his vote."

Houlihan, J.: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the reason we're involved here tonight with such a lengthy discussion over House Bill 2414 is that we have a deal which cannot be defended. The Minority Leader, when he wants to speak against the Amendments, feebly rises and says, 'I oppose it'. And what he says is, 'I've got the power, I've made the deal, I've got the Chicago votes I need, and we're going to put this across'. And I'll tell you our Leader from Chicago, the Majority Leader, didn't even attend the Democratic Conference to try to explain what this issue was about, didn't even attend to answer our questions. And I think that kind of position is the only one that they can take because you can't defend it. And I quote from a speech made by Governor Thompson, 'The Crosstown is too expensive, it's too destructive. It may well create more traffic problems than solve and it would unnecessarily destroy stable neighborhoods and productive businesses.



And I am against that'. I think that issue remains the same today, that the Crosstown is going to make the city merely a dumping-off spot. It is going to be a way to get the people from the south suburbs to the north suburbs quicker. It's going to be a way to gut the life of the city. And I think anybody who wants to gut the city will end up destroying the entire metropolitan area. And if you have a decayed central city..."

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Matijeich in the Chair."

Speaker Matijeich: "The Gentleman from Cook, I think, Representative Madison to explain his vote. Now, everybody the timer will be on for everybody."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, I won't take very long. I rise to confess a conflict of interest on this Amendment because if this monstrosity is built it's going right through my damn living room,"

Speaker Matijeich: "The Gentleman from Madison, Representative Byers, to explain his vote."

Byers: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Although I live nearly 300 miles away from this proposed Burnham corridor Crosstown Expressway I still have not had anyone present to me a map of where this highway is going, how many jobs that's going to be displaced, how many people have to move, how...it's going to effect the environment, anything at all about that. And I don't know how I can intelligently vote on this question when deals that are made in secret and other Members of the Democratic Party are not informed. I think it's a sham, I think it's a shame that people would be voting for this piece of legislation when you're truly voting in the dark. And I think the only intelligent vote that any Member of this Assembly could cast on this issue would be 'yes'."

Speaker Matijeich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Kelly, to explain his vote. One minute."

Kelly: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I just want to arise to voice my objection to the parliamentary procedure which has taken place here tonight; and I think it's an injustice to change the order on Amendments..."

Speaker Matijeich: "Representative Kelly, your dissent will be recorded



on the Journal."

Kelly: "...And I've had my light on here for a long time and haven't been recognized for about 40 minutes now."

Speaker Matijeich: "I just got up here. Thank you. The Gentleman from Coles, Representative Stuffle."

Stuffle: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members, contrary to some who would attack the freshmen for standing on this issue, I would say that they may be the only ones who've got enough guts to, with few exceptions. I have in front of me two articles that tell why I should vote for this Amendment and sustain the Governor's original position. They say Governor Thompson, Republican Gubernatorial candidate Thompson, came out flatly against the Crosstown Expressway. He met with community groups and was asked to mark an 'X' on a ballot whether he was for or against the Crosstown. He marked the ballot against the Crosstown. He said he was flatly against it. Secondly, he said he was flatly against the south loop or south leg. And that is exactly what we're here talking about tonight. It's interesting to see that he's changed his position, and the Republican Party has; but tonight I'm giving the Republican Governor a vote on his original position. And I want everyone to know that he's changed that position from when he said, 'We can't afford it'; from when he said, 'The citizens don't want it; from when he said, 'There's a better way to spend the money'. We ought to vote for this Amendment."

Speaker Matijeich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Conti, to explain his vote."

Conti: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, just for one moment, I don't plan on explaining my vote; but I do want to recall or call to the attention to every Member in this House that this Senate Bill is on...this Bill is on Second Reading, this House Bill. I think that those that are opposing the method of this Bill being presented tonight have done an admirable job. They put their message across to the people of the State of Illinois, from Cairo all the way up to Lake County. Now, for the benefit of the Members that are in this House that want to get the legislative



process going and for the shameful feeling that I have sitting down here because of the people in the gallery, and those people that are sitting in the press that are going to write these articles up tomorrow. I beg and I plead with the Members of this House to let these Amendments go through without any more dilatory tactics ..."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from St. Clair, Representative Flinn, to explain his vote."

Flinn: "Mr. Speaker, I don't want to explain my vote; but I do want to tell you that Representative Kelly is my golf partner tomorrow. And if you yell at him and mess up his game, he'll answer to me."

Speaker Matijevich: "All right. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Yourell, for what purpose do you arise?"

Yourell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Lucco is my golf partner and you'll face the same compromise that Representative Flinn just mentioned to you; but really Representative Conti is out of order. I think we're really doing what we ought to be doing, debating every Amendment on its merits and either voting it up or down. And if he wants to move to some other order of business, he ought to make that motion; but I think we ought to go through all these Amendments, let everybody have their say and let's decide the Bill on its merits according to the votes of 177 Members."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mann, to explain his vote."

Mann: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This proposal now shows a damaging swath through stable communities through the City of Chicago. And the people of the 24th District, especially those who are affected with what happens in Jackson Park, which is right on the lake front, where people chain themselves to trees to protect their right to a stable community, to protect their right from being run over by automatons and machines. I predict that the same thing is going to happen here, Mr. Speaker. The people of these communities are not going to be moved, they're going to stay in their houses until the bulldozers run over them and kill them. That's exactly the way they feel, Mr. Speaker."



Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Holewinski, to explain his vote."

Holewinski: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

To further explain my position on the issue, and I wish many of the Members would think seriously about this and reconsider, an important point was made, regardless of the politics of Governor Thompson before and after the election and his inconsistency, an important point was made by him prior to the election. And I think it'd probably still be his feeling today. He said when he came to my community that everybody's been consulted on this issue except you, except the people. Nobody's come out to see you and, in fact, 'You were left out'. He said there is a lesson that should be learned from the Crosstown debate, and that is, the people should be part of the planning and people should be consulted. And that is absolutely true. He further asked...or suggested that this idea and this proposal ought to be studied, that we should further consider what the impact is going to be on the City of Chicago and whether it is indeed a desirable thing to do. Well, there was no study forthcoming, there was no contact with the community, there was no follow-up. And we..."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Huff, to explain his vote."

Huff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would just stress one thing, if we were to listen to the minority opinions as expressed negatively about this procedure, I would just say to them that you would think that the microcosm of dissent that they are representing is in itself a totality and the whole. I submit to you, Ladies and Gentlemen, that just isn't so. There are thousands of people who want the Crosstown to come through. And if you're concerned about your vote, we'll see; but I submit that they are wrong and time and only time along will prove who's right."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Terzich, to explain his vote. I'm sorry, Representative Geo-Karis. I didn't see her. I like to go from side to side."



Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think we should give some credit to the Governor. He's done a good amount of study on this situation; and I think we should leave it to his good judgment. I'm sure he's not going to try to hurt anyone; but for heaven's sake, let's stop the delay and all the oratory."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Terzich, to explain his vote."

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. The Burnham corridor happens to run not only through my district but through my ward; and it's been 15 years since this thing has been studied. For Christ's sake, if it's studied anymore it just might be a monument to somebody; but the Burnham corridor is necessary. It's a viable part of our city. There's a lot of people who moan and groan about the transportation problems in the City of Chicago. People who drive into the Loop or try to get through the city cannot do it. We need the jobs, we need the economy, and we need a Burnham corridor. So let's get off this Bill and let's get it moving so it can get...start to be built. The people of my district and my community have not voiced opposition to the Crosstown or the Burnham corridor; therefore, this goes right through their own neighborhood. So why don't you let them have a little choice in the matter as well."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Collins, to explain his vote."

Collins: "No, Mr. Speaker, I didn't want to explain my vote; but I did want to point out that we're being visited by the Minority Leader, Senator Shapiro, which I'm sure impresses nobody; but I would like to introduce Mrs. Shapiro, who's sitting in the back of the chamber."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Bowman, to explain his vote."

Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Well, this Amendment really is the meat of the issue. And I'm sorry that we haven't been able to have the hearings on it; and I'm afraid the boards shows what the outcome will be. But let me just simply answer those people who would



say that this is vital to the economic development of Chicago. I would point out that the Dan Ryan Expressway did not develop substantial employment opportunities along the...its corridor on the south side, the Eisenhower Expressway did not develop substantial employment opportunities along its corridor on its west side. The only reason we have a substantial economic development out along the Kennedy is because of O'Hare Airport, not because of the Kennedy Expressway. I think that if this is being...this expressway is being peddled as a basis for economic development for the city, I think we're going to be sadly, sadly..."

Speaker Matijeich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mugalian, to explain his vote."

Mugalian: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. It has been pointed out that we have been told nothing about this deal, nothing about this arrangement. And that is true. And we've been denied a Committee hearing. And we've been denied any kind of explanation by the Minority as to why they object to most of these Amendments. Well, one thing we do know, we are told that \$15,000,000 of these proceeds are going to go for the Crosstown; but one thing we also know is that 1.2 billion dollars will be necessary to complete it. Now, if we're going to get 90-10 federal match, where is the other \$70,000,000? Just simple arithmetic. No one has tried to explain where another \$70,000,000 is going to come from in order to complete this 1.2 billion dollar project, and that 1.2 billion is only an estimate as of today. It may very well be double that amount by the time we start construction."

Speaker Matijeich: "The Gentleman from Cook...from DuPage, Representative Schneider, to explain his vote."

Schneider: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. What is evident, separate from the question of whether or not the State of Illinois can afford this and separate from the question of whether or not there has been deal, which is, of course, not in doubt, what is really clear to me is that we are going to increase a number of problems relating to pollution. The noise levels that we are able to live with, with the exception of tonight, are, of



course, within the range of 45 to 50 decibels. We are working on limits relating to 80...85 decibels on a scale. What we're going to have if you're living in that area are decibel levels exceeding 70. Those people are not only going to be faced with a noise problem, but in addition to the usual pollutant problems of Chicago, which faces almost on the average more ozone alerts than Los Angeles and some of the major cities in the east, is an increase in the kinds of air pollutants that are causing not only lead poisoning that we have just begun to detect, but as well as the rather...regular problems that..."

Speaker Matijeich: "Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On the motion to adopt Amendment #18, there are 45 voting 'aye', and 94 voting 'no', 20 voting 'present'; and the motion fails. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #19, Holewinski, amends House Bill 2414 on page 3, line 34, and so forth."

Speaker Matijeich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Holewinski, on Amendment #19."

Holewinski: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'm not against the City of Chicago getting more money for streets, it's certainly a necessity; and I know that living there and seeing the very bad conditions of many of the arterial streets in the City of Chicago; therefore, in Amendment #19 I specify that the money to be used there is to be used exclusively for repair and maintenance of existing streets and facilities. This, of course, is also...also would eliminate those funds being used for the construction of the Crosstown Expressway. I might tell you that at considerable expense to citizens of this state the Crosstown associates were hired at Commission to do a secret study that the results of which are still, I think, not...have not been made public. And in that report or the...some of the things mentioned were that the current route that's been chosen is a bad route, and that it is bad in terms of the economic development of the city and that it will meet with strong federal problems because it...there's a federal insistence that new highways avoid damaging open space,



recreational space. Numerous roads in other parts of the nation have run into specifically that problem; but it's not only in terms of, you know, in Chicago we really have not an abundance of open and recreational space, and I think that a large number of the social problems that we have are results of the conditions in which some people are forced to live. The plan for this highway would remove a lot of that space and would be extremely devastating to...to the people in those communities. Further as was mentioned, the question that should be raised at this point is that the proposed cost of this leg of the Crosstown is 1.2 billion dollars. That's at today's rate, and God knows what inflation's going to do. The...the Bill provides for \$50,000,000..."

Speaker Matijevich: "Will you bring your remarks to a close, Representative?"

Holewinski: "...I will very quickly, Mr. Speaker...the Bill provides for \$50,000,000. The local share of that expressway would be \$120...and the question remains where is the other \$70,000,000 going to come from. The Department of Transportation under the prior administration said specifically that that money would come at the expense of the Downstate Road Program. And I think many of you from downstate should consider that in voting on this measure. I would solicit your 'aye' vote on Amendment #19."

Speaker Matijevich: "Is there opposition to the Amendment? The Gentleman, Representative Ryan, from Kankakee."

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'm opposed to the Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "The question is, shall Amendment #19 to House Bill 2414 be adopted? All in favor say 'aye'...all in favor say 'aye'... do I see five? I don't see five Members. All right, there they are. All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Houlihan, to explain his vote."

Houlihan, J.: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the curious thing that we're facing right now is that we are discussing \$150,000,000 of Series A Bonds, \$50,000,000 of those are designated for the City of Chicago. Of that a good portion will



be spent beginning planning and construction and acquisition of the cost on expressway; but we have not heard one word of dissent for the Crosstown. We have not heard one word in favor of what the Crosstown would do for the City of Chicago. No one has spoken to what the cost would be, how the city would finance it. No one has spoken to the issue of the tax rolls. What would be the impact on the City of Chicago schools when the acquisition of that property takes it off the tax rolls? No one has addressed that issue. We are involved in a sham. We are involved in a pushing through of legislation without open hearings, without any real..."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Meyer to explain his vote."

Meyer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to speak to the Crosstown issue, my district, the 28th Legislative District, lies in the Crosstown Expressway; and all the people in my district are in favor of it. But I'd like to renew my motion of Representative Ebbesen's motion that we exclude all motions but 30 and 69, and do them all on one Roll Call."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Meyer..."

Meyer: "The people in my..."

Speaker Matijevich: "...you're on explanation of vote. The Gentleman from Sangamon, Representative Robinson, to explain his vote."

Robinson: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'm glad this came up while my Senator, Senator Davidson is in the chamber, I know that in our district this is exactly the kind of Amendment that the people want. As I said last time, the Crosstown Expressway will be sucking up all of the federal road money into the Crosstown Expressway. I hope that sometime this evening that perhaps Representative McClain can explain some of the figures that he's come up with that show that any...with the Crosstown Expressway in this Bill that any other projects will not be built. Let me say that you can put these onto the Bill, but they're nothing but paper. You can go back to your districts and say you voted for more bridges, you voted for more roads downstate; but it's a fraud. They will not be built, the only pro..."



Speaker Matijeovich: "The Gentleman from Madison, Representative Byers, to explain his vote."

Byers: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Representative Houlihan's getting his facts and figures mixed up. He said that this was \$150,000,000 worth of bonds, and I think he forgot that it was sweetened awhile ago, and it's \$162,000,000 now. And I don't know what all that deal involves; but I know that we'll never see any of that money for roads or bridges downstate. And I think again that we should vote 'aye' on this money so it can be used for a purpose that it was...that these bonds are intended to."

Speaker Matijeovich: "Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On the motion to adopt Amendment #19 to House Bill 2414 there are 28 voting 'aye', 105 voting 'no', 19 voting 'present'; and the motion fails. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #20, Jim Houlihan, amends House Bill 2414 on page 3 by deleting line 31 through 34."

Speaker Matijeovich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Holewinski, is it? Or is it Houlihan? Houlihan."

Clerk O'Brien: "James Houlihan."

Houlihan, J.: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I regret that I have to offer another Amendment, which is similar in intent in that it prohibits the construction of the Crosstown; but I have to do so because debate on this issue was closed when we went past Committee, debate has been limited. We might have been able to deal with all of these Amendments in a few Amendments had we not closed off and used the muzzle rule that the majority so wanted to do. Now, I would suggest to you that one of the reasons that they wanted to use the muzzle rule was that if you look closely at the Burnham plan you will see that the Burnham plan is in fact a rehash of a plan proposed by Reverend Francis 'Lawler'..."

Speaker Matijeovich: "One moment. The Gentleman from Will, Representative Leinenweber, for what purpose do you arise?"

Leinenweber: "I have a...I have a point of order. I'd like to have the Chair rule whether this Amendment is in order. The Gentleman has admitted that it's virtually identical to Amend...the second Amendment



back; and if it's virtually identical, and I believe we can take his word for it, then I would suggest it's out of order."

Speaker Matijevich: "One moment. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Houlihan, on that point of order."

Houlihan, J.: "Mr. Speaker, I believe Representative Leinenweber chose to hear me inaccurately. What I said was it was similar to, not virtually identical."

Speaker Matijevich: "Proceed. It's in order."

Houlihan, J.: "Now, Mr. Speaker, as I was mentioning this plan, the Burnham plan, which would be funded through these bonds is a rehash of a plan introduced by Reverend Francis 'Lawler'. It was suggested as an alternative to the Crosstown some many years ago. And one of the reasons it was recommended was because it would put up a barrier, a barrier in the city that would keep our city one of the most segregated cities in the entire United States. Chicago now has a serious problem in terms of its school integration. Probably one of the most successful to have an integrated class program is Vanderpoel School. Do you know where the Crosstown will go, do you know where the Burnham corridor will go? Right through that school. You're right, right through that school. Do you know that the Burnham corridor was originally..."

Speaker Matijevich: "Draw your remarks to a close, Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan, J.: "...was orig..."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Huff, on a point of order."

Huff: "Mr. Speaker, I am not interested in the grandiose plans of 'world' he was talking about. Let's tell him to speak to this Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Will you bring your...the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Meyer, on a point of order."

Meyer: "Point of order, Mr. Speaker. I...Mr. Houlihan made a little money and moved north and left the Vanderpoel School District, which is in the 28th Legislative District, served by Representative Houlihan, Jones and myself; and I would say quite emphatically that



there ain't no way...ain't no way that the...that the proposed school, Burnham corridor is going to go through the Vanderpoel District. And I call upon him to retract his statement now."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Houlihan, bring your remarks... remarks to a quick close."

Houlihan, J.: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I persist in the description of the plan. I admit that we don't have the plans and the details in front of us. And one of the reasons we don't have the sketches in front of us is that the proponents of this deal want to keep everything secret until we have a final accomplished fact. And I would tell you this, that not only does this Burnham corridor go through that school, but it also goes through Dan Ryan's Woods, one of the best open spaces..."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook moves to adopt Amendment #20 to House Bill 2414. Those in favor vote 'aye' and those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Schneider, to explain his vote."

Schneider: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I wanted to rise earlier but the debate ended...to have you admonish Representative Meyer for his efforts to make a motion in the process of explaining his vote. I think that's out of order. And then you let him continue on the diatribe on an outstanding Member. But besides that, I should note also in this Amendment, Amendment #20, that what we are trying to do is to deal effectively with the questions of how we can live in a city the size of Chicago which has failed to meet the standards of modern living. This is a step in that direction. I suggest deleting that will avoid the problems that were outlined in the Thompson remarks earlier during his campaign. And I would solicit an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Jones, to explain his vote. One minute."

Jones, E.: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I am one of those Members who represents the 28th Legislative District; and I resent the remarks that this so-called Burnham corridor will be going through the Dan Ryan Woods and also



Vanderpoel School. What the Burnham corridor will do is permit the people out there in the 28th Legislative District Royalton area to get to the northwest suburb for those jobs out there, and maybe while they're working out there they may want to find some homes. So maybe that's the reason why you're opposing it, the northwest side."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Macon, Representative Dunn, to explain his vote."

Dunn, J.: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I think I might even vote for this Amendment. I have no objection to helping provide \$50,000,000 in funding to the City of Chicago. The only objection I have is that I would like to know how big the pie is and how big a slice \$50,000,000 is. That's the whole point of the proceedings this evening. I don't think anybody here wants to deprive the City of Chicago of anything. I know I don't, I swore an oath to support all the citizens of this state. So I'll vote...I'll vote against this Amendment; but I'd like to know what the facts are and how big a piece of pie we're dealing with here."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Holewinski, to explain his vote. One minute."

Holewinski: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. One of the unanswered...many unanswered questions in this whole dialogue has been what about other costs other than the cost for construction of the expressway. Nowhere does the federal government allow for reimbursement or sharing of costs for extension or widening of arterial streets, for ramps, for the kind of access routes that will be necessary to feed this expressway. And another further question is, what happens once it's constructed? And who bears the burden of repairing or rather maintaining it? Well, I'll tell you who does. We here in the State of Illinois as taxpayers, and specifically the state, not the City of Chicago. The State Department of Transportation estimated that if it was to be held responsible for the cost of maintaining this expressway they would need an additional appropriation of about \$1,000,000 a year. I would encourage my downstate friends to consider where that money is going to come from. And



I'll tell you where it's going to come from. It's going to come from money that would've otherwise gone into your districts, gone into district in up.."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Yourell, to explain his vote."

Yourell: "No, that was about 25 minutes ago."

Speaker Matijevich: "All right. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. I'm sorry. Representative Houlihan, from Cook, for what purpose do you arise?"

Houlihan, J.: "Mr. Speaker, to explain my vote."

Speaker Matijevich: "I thought you did."

Houlihan, J.: "No, I offered the Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "All right, we'll allow you that privilege and then we'll take the record."

Houlihan, J.: "Representative Ewell or Representative Jones raised a serious question. And it's a philosophical question. When we build Crosstown, when we built the Dan Ryan and the Eisenhower, what we end up saying is we want the people who live in the city to work in the suburbs, and we want the people who work in the suburbs...live in the suburbs to work in the city. So you have people getting up early in the morning from the city and transporting themselves out to the suburbs to work. And one of the reasons they have to do that is because there's not enough jobs in the city. And not only are there not enough jobs now, but if we adopt the Burnham corridor, we will lose jobs, many jobs, we will lose the jobs in the portions of the shopping center and drive-in theater near 77...75th Street and Columbus. We will lose the jobs of those small stores which employ people on 79th Street..."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Emil Jones, to explain his vote. Or, no, take the record. I...can we get to you...I've already said take the record...and we allowed that... your light came on afterwards. Personal privilege, Representative Jones."

Jones, E.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. One of the things that concerns me and concerns the people



in my community that live far, far south in the southwest suburbs in the City of Chicago is that they do not have access to the northwest suburbs. Now, I think I'm more qualified to speak for my people than...individual..unintelligible.. on this House floor telling me what's best for my people. Now, I know...I know where the jobs are located, Representative Houlihan, and those individuals who represent the northwest suburbs. So if you don't want them to come out there, just say, 'No, we don't want them out there'; but, use the Crosstown Expressway as an excuse."

Speaker Matijevich: "Take the record. On the motion to adopt Amendment #20 there are 31 'ayes, 93 'nos', 21 voting 'present'; and the Amendment fails. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #21, Jim Houlihan, amends House Bill 2414 on page 3, line 15, and so forth."

Speaker Matijevich: "The...the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Houlihan. All right, one moment, the...Representative Brummett, the Gentleman from Fayette."

Brummett: "A point of inquiry, Mr. Speaker. I can't find 73 of these Amendments. Have they been distributed?"

Speaker Matijevich: "I hope not. They've all been distributed unfortunately. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan, J.: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, my concern is not just for one portion of the city, my concern is not just for one or two neighborhoods that are going to be directly affected by the Crosstown; my concern is over the general financial health of the city. And I believe that if we pursue this path of passing this authorization and proceeding headlong after the Crosstown Expressway or the Burnham corridor as it's been renamed, I believe we will put the City of Chicago in serious financial risk. It's a serious question when you talk about financing the expressway. You have to ask, where will the funds come from? Where will we be able to afford all the ongoing expenses that that Burnham corridor will produce? Let me point out that over the last five years, Chicago has averaged only \$71,000,000 a year for motor vehicle fuel taxes, the wheel tax and the special



assessment and bond..."

Speaker Matijeich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Houlihan, did you conclude?"

Houlihan, J.: "...No. Mr. Speaker, I was in the middle in my..."

Speaker Matijeich: "I hope you didn't say middle because you went past two minutes. Conclude."

Houlihan, J.: "...Mr. Speaker, Chicago cannot finance this particular proposal, the total proposal, unless it does one of two things. Either takes additional dollars from the Road Fund from the State of Illinois or implement some kind of additional tax in the City of Chicago. Now, there was talk in our Democratic Conference of the City of Chicago being willing to tax itself; but I believe if we do opt for that kind of a procedure, where we have additional road taxes to pay for these improvements, this Burnham corridor, we ought to submit that to the people, to a referendum, to the vote of those people who would be directly affected. And I think that this procedure is entirely out of order. And I would urge the adoption of Amendment 21."

Speaker Matijeich: "The Gentleman from Cook has moved to adopt Amendment #21 to House Bill 2414. Those in favor vote 'aye', those opposed vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wished? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Holewinski, to explain his vote."

Holewinski: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. In the City of Chicago we have a critical need for additional mass transit facilities. And that should be the orientation we are taking...we take here today, rather than providing for money for additional highways. The 1973 Federal Highway Act allows federal funds previously allocated for construction of Crosstown to be transferred to mass transportation improvements. The five-year public transportation needs in Chicago are estimated to be over \$3,000,000,000; and yet today we are spending money needlessly on an additional ribbon of concrete that will destroy urban neighborhoods. Our orientation should be to be providing money for mass transit, for improved transportation facilities. And, yes, those transportation facilities can stretch to the suburbs, and



we're perfectly happy to support that. What we're not happy to support is a...is a string of concrete that will do nothing but transport people and jobs out of the City of Chicago."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Madison, Representative Byers, to explain his vote."

Byers: "Well, Mr. Speaker, there's been 100...over 115 people supposedly have voted on this; and I believe that there's people pushing other peoples' switches that are on the floor. And I don't think that that's right, and I think that you should admonish the Members to push their own switch only. There's some people that left, and they've not been here for an hour. And they're voting on these issues."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Body is admonished. The Gentleman from Sangamon, Representative Robinson, to explain his vote. It's as honest as we'll get. The Gentleman from Sangamon, Representative Robinson, to explain his vote."

Robinson: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I think that one of the things that ought to be presented here is the problem of the funding for some of the ancillary roads that are going to be needed. This doesn't only mean the bridges over the Crosstown, the approaches to the Crosstown; construction of the Crosstown is going to necessitate the adding of lanes to the Kennedy, Eden's, Ryan Expressways at the Crosstown's terminal. The ultimate cost of these improvements are \$356,000,000 from 1982 to 1986, \$352,000,000. Now, that might sound okay if the Federal Government would pick up 90 percent of it; but according to the Department of Transportation, this was Langhorne Bond, these costs have not been accepted by the Federal Highway Administration as being eligible for interstate funds. The only..."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Yourell, to explain his vote."

Yourell: "Take the Roll Call."

Speaker Matijevich: "Have all voted who wished? The...take the record. On Amendment...adoption of Amendment #21 to House Bill 2414 there are 25 voting 'aye', 92 voting 'no', 16 voting 'present'; and the



Amendment fails. Further Amendments? The Gentleman from DeKalb, Representative Ebbesen, for what purpose do you rise?"

Ebbesen: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, again, now we've gone through this, you know, I asked for permission to file a motion up there relative to tabling motions; but I saw you were successful earlier in the evening on a particular motion. I'd like to move that we move directly to Amendment #69 to House Bill 2414."

Speaker Matijeich: "The Gentleman from DeKalb moves that we proceed to Amendment #69. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'...Clerk, read Amendment #69...69."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #69, Ryan, amends House Bill 2414 on page 3, line 34, and so forth."

Speaker Matijeich: "Who has Amendment #69? Ryan. The Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative Ryan, on Amendment #69."

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #69..."

Speaker Matijeich: "All right. The Gentleman from Madison, Representative Byers, for what purpose do you arise?"

Byers: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I always thought you'd be a fair man; but I don't think there's anything fair about this at all."

Speaker Matijeich: "Well, I...I thought it was an overwhelming vote. So it's untimely. Representative Ryan, conclude your remarks."

Ryan: "...Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #69 breaks out the \$150,000,000 in \$50,000,000 allotments. And I move for the adoption of Amendment #69."

Speaker Matijeich: "We are going to go back to the other Amendments. Now, the Gentleman from Cook, what is your point of order?"

Houlihan, J.: "Mr. Speaker, you are...I was standing here asking for a Roll Call. You require 89 votes to go to that order of business, and I would appreciate your taking a Roll Call on that motion. I was rising as were five or six other Members to ask for a Roll Call. If you turn a deaf ear to that request, you have turned a deaf ear to fairness to every Member in this House; John, I've seen you get up and scream at something like that. I don't think you want to be



a party to that, John. I don't think you want to do somebody else's dirty work, John. I don't think that you want to move arbitrarily to another Bill, another Amendment. I think that's unfair; and I'll tell you this, it doesn't speak well for the future of your consideration of these Amendments because, look it, John, honestly, seriously, John, we're..."

Speaker Matijevich: "...Just a minute. Representative...everybody...

I'm going to respond. Yeah, he...Representative Houlihan, you do a terrific impersonation of me. And...and all I want to say is, Representative Houlihan, when I do go up and raise hell, I usually cite a rule, which you didn't. So you have no point of order.

In opposition to Amendment #69...does anybody rise in opposition to Amendment #9...69? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Houlihan, on Amendment #69. The Gentleman from Christian, Representative Tipword, for what purpose do you rise?"

Tipword: "John, I am just sick at heart. I've seen you stand on this floor I don't know how many times, and argue for the fairness to all the Members of this Body, and that everyone have their motion heard and their Amendments heard and their Bills heard in order. There is absolutely nothing in...that gives you this permission. You didn't even give a chance for a vote. And why don't you go back and be fair? There's a lot of people with Amendments; and let them come up in order. For heaven's sakes, be fair. You always have been."

Speaker Matijevich: "All right. All those who vote in favor of proceeding to Amendment #69 vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. The motion is to proceed to Amendment #69; and we're on the Roll Call on that motion. Have all voted who wished? The Gentleman from Adams, Representative McClain, my seatmate. Be kind to me, Mike."

McClain: "Mr. Speaker, how do you want to vote?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Yes. I do pretty well voting yours. The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Schneider."

Schneider: "Mr. Speaker, I will request a verification."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Chair will use its authority on that one, and rule that one dilatory. The...the...the Gentleman, Representative



Johnson, from Champaign."

Johnson: "Well, on some of these questions I've joined the...some of the red votes there; but I would remind them of an expression about cutting off one's nose to spite one's face. This is a good Amendment, it makes sense; and it solves a lot of the problems people had. And whether they vote for it or against it ultimately really, I think, that it's going to be difficult for a lot of those red..."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Johnson, we're...we're on the motion to proceed 69, we're not on the Amendment. We gave the Roll Call. Take the record. Representative Jim Houlihan from Cook, for what purpose do you arise? Explain your vote?"

Houlihan, J.: "That's correct, Representative."

Speaker Matijevich: "One minute."

Houlihan, J.: "The reason, Representative Matijevich, that I was so agitated was that what you were, in effect, were doing was setting up a procedure tonight which I think every Member if they saw it clearly about would want to reject. What we're saying is that...is we will go back to the old Bob Blair way..."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Lady from Lake, Representative Geo-Karis, for what purpose do you arise?"

Geo-Karis: "The Gentleman is entitled to his opinion. He's expressed it a million times tonight. I'm sick and tired of hearing all that rhetoric. Let's get on with this Bill."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Houlihan, keep your remarks to the explanation."

Houlihan, J.: "...Mr. Speaker, I understand when somebody can ram things through, they get irritated and upset; and I would confine my remarks at this point. I just think it's intolerable with the way the majority has been roughshod over those of us who wanted to raise serious questions about this issue."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Holewinski, on a point of order."

Holewinski: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, you're sitting up there on the podium and you're the panorama of this entire chamber; and I'm sure you can



see there are empty seats. Speaker? Did you just rule that Representative Schneider's request for a verification was dilatory?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Yes, I did."

Holewinski: "Well, I would appeal the ruling of the Chair."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook appeals the ruling of the Chair that the request for the...take the record on this issue. Well, it's the only way we can vote on the appeal of the...well, we've got to take the record first, Representative Yourell. Have all voted who wished? There are 106 'ayes', 34 'nays', 9 voting 'present'; and the motion to proceed to Amendment #69 prevails. Now, we revert to Representative Holewinski's appeal to the ruling of the Chair. The question is, shall the Chair be overruled? Those voting to overrule the Chair vote 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. The Gentleman from Marion, Representative Friedrich, for what purpose do you arise?"

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, on a point of order. Several Members on the other side are referring to you as 'John' and as 'Representative' and so on; and when you're in that Chair, you're Mr. Speaker, and they should address you so according to the rules. And I'd like for them to obey the rules."

Speaker Matijevich: "Thank you. The..."

Friedrich: "The second thing I'd like to say is the Senate in their wisdom has gone home, and I think about half of this is frustration on our part anyway."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Logan, Representative Lauer, for what purpose do you arise?"

Lauer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, I rise on a point of personal privilege because the Speaker being the position of occupying the Chair cannot do so. I would like to point out to the Ladies and Gentlemen of the House that for two years I served as Minority Spokesman on the Executive Committee from the Gentleman who is presently occupying the Chair, who was Chairman of the Committee. I was treated as a Minority Spokesman, and the Minority was treated with a consummate fairness; but by the same token, the Chair did rule a few times...that we were...that we were dilatory and it was



true because for political necessity we had to be dilatory. The Chairman recognized it as such, ruled it as such. I will have to speak in his defense. I find that the rulings that he has made this evening have been very well taken; and that he has tried to move for an expeditious operation of the House business. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Matijeich: "...Representative Lauer, you were entirely out of order, I'll give you one more hour to stop. Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this issue there are 25 voting 'aye', 124 voting 'no', 3 voting 'present'; and the Chair is sustained. The motion is to adopt Amendment #69 to 2414. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Madison, for what purpose do you arise?"

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, did you allow anybody any time to express opposition to the Amendment?"

Speaker Matijeich: "I thought I did earlier and then they...all right... in opposition...in opposition, Representative Madison."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, I oppose the Amendment."

Speaker Matijeich: "Very good. You did a good job. All Amendments have been distributed. The motion is, shall we adopt Amendment #69 to House Bill 2414? All those...those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'; and Amendment #69 is adopted. Roll Call. All those in favor of Amendment #69 vote 'aye', opposed vote 'nay'. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Houlihan, to explain his vote."

Houlihan, J.: "Representative Matijeich, or, I'm sorry, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, clearly where we're moving toward is another attempt to gavel shut discussion on this issue. We have had motions to consider all the Amendments, except 30 and 69, to defeat all of those motions. When you vote green, what you're voting for is the two particular Amendments which are necessary to consolidate the deal, to put it together. You're voting for a few special interests for a few people so they can get enough votes to get this Bill out of the House. And I'll tell you, you watch and see there will be a fast gavel, there will be a rejection of all the Amendments, there will be a limited debate, and there will be an attempt to stifle any kind of open discussion on this issue



because there is no way you can defend this kind of proposal. And the substance of this proposal will not maintain a close scrutiny." Speaker Matijeich: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner, to explain his vote."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, I don't know how anybody can vote anything but 'present'; which is how I'm voting until somebody defines what the Chicago urbanized area is. I've read the entire Bill and no where in it is it defined. Does that include McHenry County or doesn't it? I have no idea. I would add before the light goes out that this really does remind me of the R.T.A. debate on issues on slightly a different foot; but I would suggest that Representative Blair compares very favorably with Representative Matijeich and Representative Redmond."

Speaker Matijeich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mugalian, to explain his vote. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Kelly, on a point of order."

Kelly: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to make an inquiry of the Chair. Are you going to recognize Representative Ebbesen's motion to table the rest of these Amendments...before..."

Speaker Matijeich: "I can't an..."

Kelly: "...Well, the reason is that I'm mentioning this is that Representative Ebbesen...we know why he wants to avoid the embarrassment of that Amendment regarding the ice 'Bilandic-Thompson' statue. And this is the same Gentleman who put in a Resolution earlier in the Session to eliminate Congratulatory Resolutions. He's wasted more time on this floor than I...than anybody else."

Speaker Matijeich: "That was no point of order. Representative Mugalian, proceed with your explanation."

Mugalian: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would like to raise a parliamentary inquiry. Mr. Speaker, and very respectfully I raise this point..."

Speaker Matijeich: "What is your point, Representative?"

Mugalian: "...Mr. Speaker, my parliamentary inquiry is this, about an hour ago Representative Ebbesen asked the Speaker, the permanent Speaker, the Speaker that was elected by this Body, if we could go



to Amendment #69. The permanent Speaker said we would not do so and we would take these Amendments in order'. My inquiry, Sir, is this, what change of circumstances occurred for you to overrule the wishes of the permanent Speaker and to permit #69 to come to a vote?"

Speaker Matijeich: "I don't know if that is a point of order; but all I've done is entertain motions. And the Body has voted on those motions. The Gentleman from Sangamon, Representative Robinson, on a point of order."

Robinson: "Well, this is actually a parliamentary inquiry. This Amendment talks about Chicago urbanized area and so on. It's a very unclear Amendment; it's almost gobbledygook. I'd like to inquire of the Parliamentarian if this Amendment is in proper order."

Speaker Matijeich: "From some of them I've seen it's much better than ...than some of them you've drafted, right, Dave? The Gentleman from Adams, my seatmate, Representative McClain."

McClain: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. If I can talk to the Parliamentarian for a minute, I should point out before you make a quick decision that the definition of Chicago urbanized area changes frequently. Right now parts of Will, Kane, McHenry and Lake are not part of the definition of Chicago urbanized area. And it's...I said parts of Will, Kane, McHenry and Lake are not part of the definition of Chicago urbanized area. And that you might want to say in the Amendment if you're really concerned about it being a true Amendment, it should be said that a Chicago urbanized area as of July 1, 1977. If that's not the case, it's a poor definition that will change in time. And so I would concur with Representative Robinson. I wish the Parliamentarian would've paid attention."

Speaker Matijeich: "The form of the Amendment is in order, it is germane, it is in order. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Bowman, for what purpose do you rise?"

Bowman: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to respond to the point raised by Representatives Skinner and McClain about the definition of urbanized area."

Speaker Matijeich: "For what purpose do you rise? Do you have a point



or order?"

Bowman: "No."

Speaker Matijeich: "Then you have no..."

Bowman: "No, wait a minute...voting it..."

Speaker Matijeich: "...Well, explain your vote then."

Bowman: "...Okay. I rise to explain my vote in response to the urbanized area question. Urbanized area is something which is defined by the Federal Government. If you want to look it up, you can look in almost any census volume. And I'll tell you basically what it is. It's basically defined on the basis of two criterion, density and contiguousness. Now, let me tell you what that means essentially is the built up areas that lie along arterial stretches or railroads...railroad lines will be included; but anything which is outside of that contiguous built-up area will be excluded. So large portions of McHenry County, Webster and Lake County, parts of DuPage County, a lot of Will County will be excluded. And if you people from the collar counties think you're doing yourselves a favor by voting for this Amendment, I suggest that you take a look at the census volumes before you push your green switch."

Speaker Matijeich: "The Gentleman from Sangamon, Representative Robinson, for what purpose do you rise?"

Robinson: "Well, I'd like..."

Speaker Matijeich: "No, he had the point of order..."

Robinson: "...parliamentary inquiry...I've not explained my vote yet.

I'd...I'd like to...I'd like to add to what Representative Bowman said. And that those of you from suburban Cook County who think that this Amendment is geared to help you, this Amendment actually is not. If you look at the federal definition as Woods Bowman has said of Chicago urbanized area, there are many areas of Cook County that will not be included. This is exactly the kind of...this is exactly the kind of phony Amendments..."

Speaker Matijeich: "One moment. The Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Mudd, for what purpose do you arise?"

Mudd: "How many times has this Representative spoke to this issue?"

Speaker Matijeich: "Now, he was on inquiry; but his minute has been up.



The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Steczo, to explain his vote. Steczo. Representative Steczo, would you move over one mike?"

Steczko: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. A point of parliamentary inquiry. Amendment 69 does not refer to House Bill 2414 as amended. I was wondering if the Parliamentarian would rule that that's out of order?"

Speaker Matijevich: "That's already been ruled. It is in order, Representative Steczo. The Gentleman from Coles, Representative Stuffle."

Stuffle: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I would like to ask you to state for the record on a point of parliamentary inquiry and order if it may be the case, if you intend to continue on each Amendment or if you intend to witness a motion to adjourn from the floor at the end of this Amendment?"

Speaker Matijevich: "I have no intentions. The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Deuster. I mean that. I don't know what'll... what's going to happen. The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Deuster, to explain his vote."

Deuster: "Well, briefly explaining my 'no' vote. It is true that half of my district is not in the urban area; and I want to know, want my constituents to know that I'm trying to represent them. My 'no' vote is a very serious 'no' vote. And I've made my point. Thank you, Elmer."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Macon, Representative Dunn, to explain his vote."

Dunn, J.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. To explain my vote, I would just like to go on record to express my opposition to this Amendment, and not, again, not so much because of the Amendment itself but because of the background out of which it has been generated. It is very difficult at this time and place to point to any positive aspects to Membership in this Body. Frankly, right now, I am ashamed of myself for being a Member of the House of Representatives. I'm sure I'll get over it by tomorrow; but I can't point to anything that I'm proud of tonight."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Christian, Representative



Tipsword, to explain his vote."

Tipsword: "Now, I have an inquiry of the Chair if I might? Mr. Speaker, I have an inquiry of the Chair if I might?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Proceed."

Tipsword: "My inquiry of the Chair is, if there is a motion to adjourn or if there is adjournment before all of the Amendments are completed on this Bill, will we return to this same order of business when the House convenes tomorrow."

Speaker Matijevich: "I would guess so; but as I said before we can only ...I can only...I can only answer something when we come to it, Representative Tipsword. I cannot anticipate."

Tipsword: "I think..."

Speaker Matijevich: "Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this motion there are 122 voting 'aye', 24 voting 'no', 19 voting 'present'; and the motion prevails and Amendment #69 is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment 22, Winchester..."

Speaker Matijevich: "That one...Representative Winchester has asked

leave to withdraw. That Amendment is withdrawn. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "...23...Amendment 23, Lucco, amends House Bill 2414 on page 3, line 34, and so forth."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Madison, Representative Lucco, on Amendment #23."

Lucco: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, before I refer to my Amendment, which is Amendment #23, I want to remind you of a story. We've been talking baseball here the last two or three days. I'm sort of in a position of 'Lon Warnicki', who used to pitch for the Cubs. Lon one day...someone asked 'Lon Warnicki' if he threw as hard as he used to when he was young. He said he threw just as hard as he ever did, but it took the ball a little longer to get up there. And that's the position I find myself in tonight. I have learned two things here tonight that I think I'll treasure and take home with me whatever days we may have; one is that as one of the Representatives behind me said earlier in the evening, the train has already left. It's just a matter of



whether you get on the caboose or not. And another one I heard from the other side of the aisle was, he said...and a Mack truck comes at you and it's loaded, you don't try to stop it, you try to get on it. I would like for this Amendment of mine to be voted up or down as Representative Johnson over here said, '69 was a great Amendment'. Well, 69 may mean something to him. 23 means a lot to me. 23. I want this Amendment voted on its merits as an Amendment, irregardless or regardless of anything else you think of the Bill. Five years ago, the Department of Transportation... I'm not trying to stall, but I'm going to wait. I've been here just as long as anybody else has. When I talk in a huddle, nobody talks but me. When I get it quiet, I'll talk. And if you're the Speaker, and you've a hammer, you run this House. Now, they're quiet. That's right. I'll get going when I get good and ready."

Speaker Matijevich: "Give...give the coach your undivided attention. Let's all get in the huddle."

Lucco: "That's right, that's right. Some of you guys are second teamers I'll tell you that. Five years ago, the Department of Transportation ...five years ago...I'll say it 40 times, I'm here and I'm going to stay...I respect the Speaker, I expect him to respect me...five years ago, the Department of Transportation took a study in Edwardsville. They decided that they needed a four-lane highway from Edwardsville to Southern Illinois University because of the great influx in growing traffic. They purchased the right-of-way. They put in a lot of money. They drove people out of business, drove them out of their homes. They've been sitting there for five years with a strip of land; and they say we can't get the money. You appropriate the money, we'll build the road. Now, I'm asking in this Amendment that a part of these monies be set aside to build that road. It's a mile and a half long. Now, I'm not going to take up anymore time. You've been very, very good; but I expect as many 'aye' votes as I can get on this Amendment. I'm not worried about anything else. Thank you."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Madison has moved to adopt Amendment #23 to House Bill 2414. The opposition? Representative



Ebbesen from DeKalb."

Ebbesen: "Now, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I am opposed to it."

Speaker Matijevich: "All those in favor of the adoption of Amendment #23 say 'aye', opposed say 'no'; the 'nos' have it, the Amendment fails. Amendment #...I heard someone up...down here say voice vote; and that's why I went with it. Now, someone down here wants a Roll Call vote. All those in favor vote 'aye', and those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Houlihan, to explain his vote."

Houlihan, J.: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this clearly is a very close question. I think Representative Lucco has explained very well his position on this issue. And if his Amendment loses, I would like to be recognized for an Oral Verification."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Coles, Representative Stuffle, to explain his vote."

Stuffle: "Mr. Speaker and Members, this Bill has been attempted to be sold to us downstate as a Bill that would give \$50,000,000 to downstate, to the suburbs and to Chicago. And we're trying to kill one of the legitimate Amendments..."

Speaker Matijevich: "One moment. The Gentleman from DeKalb, Representative Ebbesen, for what purpose do you rise?"

Ebbesen: "Mr. Speaker, he's addressing himself to the Bill, not the Amendment. He's out of order."

Speaker Matijevich: "Would you keep your remarks to the motion?"

Stuffle: "...If it's not germane to talk about giving downstate money on a downstate Amendment, I don't know what the hell is. And it certainly is; and I hope we vote 'aye'."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Madison, Representative Byers, to explain his vote."

Byers: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise in support of Representative Lucco's Amendment. This is also the same county that I represent; and this road is really a very, very dangerous road, heavily travelled, windy, hilly, crooked. And this Amendment will straighten



it out and deliver the traffic to and from there. We need this very badly, much worse than some of these other Amendments that have been put on there. And I think an 'aye' vote would be appropriate for Coach Joe Lucco."

Speaker Matijeich: "The Gentleman from Sangamon, Representative Robinson, to explain his vote."

Robinson: "Mr. Speaker, even though this vote is much closer than some of the others, I think this really shows where Representative Ryan and Representative Madigan really are on their deal on this Bill. They talk in generalities about trying to help downstate while they get their Crosstown Expressway that the Governor and Mayor Bilandic made the deal on; but when Representative Lucco comes up with a specific proposal to help downstate. define: in the record, they vote 'no'. Now, they can give some pie in the sky promises, talking about urbanized areas here and downstate there that don't mean anything; but why aren't they voting 'yes' to help Representative Lucco on this specific proposal that's needed downstate?"

Speaker Matijeich: "The Gentleman from Madison, Representative Lucco, to explain his vote. Representative Lucco, did you want to... Representative Houlihan from Cook you've already explained your vote. For what purpose do you arise?"

Houlihan, J.: "Mr. Speaker, in my explanation of vote, I had thought this would be a closer issue and I had requested a verification. It is clearly not that close of an issue; and I would like to withdraw that request."

Speaker Matijeich: "All right. Representative Lucco, for what purpose do you arise? To explain your vote?"

Lucco: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, if I might make a comment. I think that the Members of the House have spoken in their way and I see through the votes."

Speaker Matijeich: "The...the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Yourell. Was that an hour ago or do you want to explain your vote again? Have all voted who wished? On this issue there are 68 voting 'aye', 86 voting 'no', 6 voting 'present'; and Amendment #23



fails. Now, we have one Bill...we're going to proceed, but there's one Bill that has to be read tonight. So with leave of the House ...with leave of the Sponsor, rather...we're coming back, don't... Representative Houlihan from Cook, for what purpose do you rise?"

Houlihan, J.: "Mr. Speaker, before you move very quickly and don't hear objections to leave, I object."

Speaker Matijevich: "It's on the same order of business. We're going..."

Houlihan, J.: "Mr. Speaker, I think it's within my rights to object. You've asked if there's leave, and I object."

Speaker Matijevich: "With leave of the Sponsor, not with leave of the House. The leave of the Sponsor we will go to House Bill 20... House Bills, Second Reading, House Bill 23...2413. Will the Clerk read that Bill?"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2413, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Capital Development Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "With leave of the Sponsor we will take that Bill out of the record. Go back to House Bill 2414. Further Amendments? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan, J.: "Mr. Speaker, you went to another order of business. You asked leave of the House about whether you could leave this order, go to another order of business; and there was objection. And you, in spite of that, went to that order of business. I would like the record to show that the Chair went arbitrarily to a different order of business improperly and without recognizing the objections that were raised."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Chair will respectfully say, Representative Houlihan, that you are out of order; but that's all right. The Gentleman from Macon, Representative Dunn; for what purpose do you arise?"

Dunn, J.: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I was registering my objection to changing leave of order; and I would...I objected for the purpose of a Roll Call. I object to leave, and I want it noted. And I would like a Roll Call on the...on moving to...to a different Bill."

Speaker Matijevich: "Well, so that everybody's clear on that. We do not need



leave. The Sponsor had the right...We were on the Order of Second Reading. With the leave of the Sponsor we went to that Bill. We don't need the leave of the House. The...the...further Amendments on 2414."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment 24 has been withdrawn. Amendment 25, Harris, amends House Bill 2414 on page 3 and so forth."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Madison, for what purpose do you arise?"

Madison: "Now, Mr. Speaker, you have been a guardian of the rules of this House for so long that you know that the Speaker in his discretion can move from one order of business to another; but once he is on an order of business he must move in chronological order as the Calendar provides. Now, Mr. Speaker, there is no rhyme or reason why you would suggest that with leave of the Sponsor you can move from one Bill to another backward with leave of the Sponsor. That is not in the rules, Mr. Speaker. You know it, and I know it. You did it, but you were wrong, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Matijevich: "Well, another thing I know, Representative Madison, there's only one Bill left on that order and chronologically that's where I was. So...further Amendments. Let's get to the next... next Amendment. Representative Harris on Amendment #25, the Gentleman from Marion."

Harris: "Thank you, Mr..."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mugalian, for what purpose do you arise?"

Mugalian: "I rise for the purpose of a point of order, Mr. Speaker. Pursuant to Rule 69, I dissent to the action of the Speaker in respect to House Bill 2413; and I'm authorized to say that Representative Willer joins in that dissent and Representative Holewinski."

Speaker Matijevich: "Submit your...submit your written dissent and it will be duly recorded on the record. The Gentleman from Marion, Representative Harris, on Amendment #25."

Harris: "...Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'm going to make this real 'briefy'. This is a much needed road in the 59th District. This is a request by Representative Winchester,



Representative Hart and Representative Harris for \$1,733,000. I would ask your favorable vote. Thank you very much."

Speaker Matijevich: "In opposition, the Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "At Representative Kosinski's suggestion, I object."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Kankakee is...or from Marion has moved the adoption of Amendment #25 to 2414. All in favor say 'aye', opposed say 'no'; and the 'nos' have it and the Amendment 25 fails. Further Amendments. Oh, you're too late on that one. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Hall: "Amendment 26, Sumner, amends House Bill 2414 on page 1, line 10, by deleting '#1,325,000.'"

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Houlihan, for what purpose do you arise?"

Houlihan, J.: "Mr. Speaker, seeing to what level we have descended in terms of the ruling of the Chair, I move we adjourn until 10:00 o'clock tomorrow morning."

Speaker Matijevich: "You were not recognized for that purpose. We'll get to you later. The Gentleman on Amendment #26... the Lady from Peoria, Representative Sumner."

Sumner: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, may I have leave to table this Amendment?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendment #26 is withdrawn. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Hall: "Amendment #27, Stuffle-Byers, amends House Bill 2414 on page 3 by inserting between lines 30 and 31 the following."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Coles, Representative Stuffle, on Amendment #27."

Stuffle: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I would like leave to withdraw Amendment #27."

Speaker Matijevich: "I didn't hear you, Representative Stuffle. What was that?"

Stuffle: "I would like leave to withdraw Amendment #27."

Speaker Matijevich: "#27 is withdrawn. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Hall: "Amendment 28, Stuffle, 2414 on page 3 by inserting between lines 30 and 31 the following."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Coles, Representative Stuffle,



on Amendment #28."

Stuffle: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members, many people consider Amendment #28 to be frivolous; but the more I hear it denied, I think it's the most germane Amendment before us. In Coles County we have a 60-foot fiber glass statue of Abraham Lincoln, who happens to be a Republican. This Amendment calls for a 60-foot fiber glass of Stephen A. Douglas to be put on Route 16 outside of Charleston. To give equal time to the Democratic Party in Coles County. Moreover, I think it's important to recognize that Stephen A. Douglas was the orchestrator of the Great...Stephen A. Douglas was the orchestrator of the Great Railroad Programs of the 1850's. And in keeping with that railroad program that we're going on tonight, I offer this particular Amendment to you with that reason. He was good at walking both sides of the aisles and making deals. He was a great Democrat; and I would urge adoption of Amendment #28 for those reasons."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Coles has moved the adoption of Amendment #28. In opposition, the Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Probably one of the better Amendments presented this evening, and I reluctantly oppose."

Speaker Matijevich: "The...the Gentleman from Coles has moved the adoption of Amendment #28 to 2414. Those in favor vote 'aye'; those opposed vote 'nay'. The Gentleman from Macon, Representative Dunn, to explain his vote. Or is that an old light flashing? I think...the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Yourell, I guess that's an old light flashing too. Take the record. On Amendment #28 the Roll Call vote are 28 voting 'aye', 104 voting 'no', 8 voting 'present'; and Amendment #28 fails. Record Dunn 'aye'. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Hall: "Amendment #29, Stuffle, amends House Bill 2414 on page 3 by inserting between lines 30 and 31 the following."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Madison, Representative Byers, on Amendment #29."



Byers: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #29 builds a statue of Harry Truman from these bond funds. As everyone knows Harry Truman was a man that fought for principle and fought for the little people. And I think this would appropriate that we erect a statue of Harry Truman in the State of Illinois. We have...we have statues of other dignitaries around the state; and I do not know of any statue..."

Speaker Matijevich: "The...the Gentleman from Cook, Representative McAuliffe, for what purpose do you arise?"

McAuliffe: "I rise on a point of personal privilege, Mr. Speaker. The personal privilege is that we get tired and we want to go to bed and we're tired of listening to all this hot air from the other side of the aisle. And we sit here all night long listening to all that garbage, now we get all these crazy Amendments about statues to be built. I've got better things to do in the middle of the night than listen to a bunch of guys with a lot of hot air. And I'm gettin' damn sick and tired of it. Move these...these are out of order and they're not germane. Let's cut out the monkey business and let's go home."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from DeKalb, Representative Ebbesen, for what purpose do you arise?"

Ebbesen: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would like to move that we suspend the appropriate rules as far as House Bill 2414 is concerned...be moved to the Order of Third Reading for immediate consideration and a fiscal note requirement is not applicable because of the accompanying or companion appropriation Bill if that's in order."

Speaker Matijevich: "Well, let's state the motion before we get all excited. The Gentleman...I want to hear...I want to see if I hear the motion. The Gentleman...the Gentleman from DeKalb, Representative Ebbesen, has moved to suspend the rules for the purpose that House Bill 2414 be advanced to the Order of Third Reading...and he's... without the pending request for a fiscal note. On that motion all in favor of the motion vote 'aye', opposed vote 'nay'. We'll be ...we'll hear all points of order. On that all in favor vote 'aye',



opposed 'nay', Point of order. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Holewinski."

Holewinski: "Well, Mr. Speaker, we may be able to suspend all sorts of rules around here tonight, but we can't suspend the State Constitution. The...take a look at the Fiscal Note Act. I ask for a ruling right now as to whether the Fiscal Note Act is applicable. Check Chapter 63, paragraph 42.31, Section 1, I think...excuse me... that it very clearly applies to this legislation. You cannot suspend a state statute."

Speaker Matijevich: "All right...On that point of order...on the point, order the state statute relative to the fiscal note, Section 42... paragraph 42.33, 'The manner of the fiscal note shall be decided by a majority vote of those present and voting in the House of which he is a Member'. So a majority of those voting can, therefore, act on that issue. The Gentleman from Adams on a point of order, Representative McClain."

McClain: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd like five Members to join with me in my dissent. And I think I also ought to point out and put in the record that that could jeopardize..."

Speaker Matijevich: "We're you going to say I got to find a new seatmate, I thought that's what you were going to say."

McClain: "...No, I'd like to put in the record that that could jeopardize the entire sale of the \$150,000,000 bonds. I think that's a bad rule."

Speaker Matijevich: "At least I've still got my seatmate that's more important to me, as you know. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Bowman, for what purpose do you rise?"

Bowman: "On a point of order, Mr...Mr. Chair...Mr. Speaker. The question I have is, can we suspend...is there any rule which we can suspend which allows us to void consideration of all further Amendments and essentially dump all further Amendments and proceed directly to Third Reading?"

Speaker Matijevich: "If this motion carries, the House has by the majority vote done so..."

Bowman: "What rule...what rule, Mr. Speaker?"



Speaker Matijevich: "...The rule has been suspended. The Gentleman from Sangamon--if this motion carries--the Gentleman from Sangamon, Representative Robinson, on a point of order."

Robinson: "First of all, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to move to divide this motion. There was absolutely no recognition of anybody before you moved..."

Speaker Matijevich: "That...that is not timely...that is not timely... The Gentleman from...Representative Brummer from Effingham."

Brummer: "Point of parliamentary inquiry. Number one, are we entitled to a verification with regard to the...Rule 48(d)...Rule 48(d) clearly states that notwithstanding a motion to reconsider a Member supported by five other Members is entitled to a verification on a Roll Call. Previously you were ruling that the motions for a verification or a request for a verification were dilatory. I want a specific interpretation of the...of the Rule 48(d), which I think supercedes Robert's Rules of Order, which states clearly that any Member with the support of five other members may have a verification."

Speaker Matijevich: "Unless it's dilatory, you're right. The Gentleman from Champaign, Representative Johnson."

Johnson: "Speaking to the merits of the motion itself, again, it puts me on...back on the other side, I guess. I believe that if there are that many Amendments filed, and each one of the Sponsors believe that those motions...those Amendments ought to be able to be considered on their merits, there's no reason why this House shouldn't give the consideration to the Sponsors of those Amendments to hear them on their merits. And I think that notwithstanding how anyone feels about the ultimate results, and however these particular things are going to come out, people in sincerity offer these things for their districts and otherwise. And I think we've got an obligation as elected Legislators to hear them out."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Schneider, for what purpose do you rise?"

Schneider: "I have an inquiry of the Chair, Mr. Speaker, how many votes ...how many votes?"



Speaker Matijevich: "89 for suspension of the rules. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Greiman, for what purpose do you rise?"

Greiman: "I think perhaps to explain my vote notwithstanding the numbers."

Speaker Matijevich: "Proceed, Representative Greiman."

Greiman: "You know, maybe we ought to settle down for maybe even for the night. I don't like being here. I voted 'no' on some of them, 'yes' and 'present', and most of them are mickey mouse. And most of them are probably dilatory. And I think we all understand the process that's going on. But this is a very important thing that's happening here. The majority, in majority, owes courtesy and protection to the minority because all of us may be in the minority some time on any given issue, on any given day. And what we are doing here today is a dangerous thing. The majority is using its power, its power, sheer power..."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from..."

Greiman: "...to move...to move away from the rules of this House. I think the rules are sacred rules, important rules, important for us to understand and to have sensitivity about. I think we make a mistake. I ask you to change your mind on this."

Speaker Matijevich: "...The Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Mr. Speaker, I don't rise to explain my vote; but I have a suggestion of the Chair to restore some peace and calm to this chamber this evening. Would you like to hear that suggestion?"

Speaker Matijevich: "What were you going to do? Sing a song or what?"

Tuerk: "No, I was going to make the suggestion that you do, in fact, change the order of business and go to my motion on the Calendar to consider Senate Bill 600. And I think that'll take care of everything."

Speaker Matijevich: "Well, that...that'll quiet things up a little bit. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Huff, to explain his vote."

Huff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I just wanted to say that I'm somewhat amazed to hear some people talk about the Constitution when it seems we have a minority who's willing to ignore it. It's no mystery



that what we've done here basically is entered into an inter-governmental agreement, which is clearly covered by the Constitution. And I mentioned this earlier and there was some people who came down here who were Members of the Con-Con Delegate, upon hearing that wanted to tear the Constitution up. I think...I think we've made our point tonight, let's go home."

Speaker Matijeich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Houlihan, to explain his vote. Jim."

Houlihan, J.: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, there are a number of Amendments to be considered, which are very substantive and deal with important questions in terms of the Members' right to propose an idea with regard to this bond issue. Dealing with secrecy of land trusts within the Crosstown corridor, dealing with the public's right to know, dealing with the issue of referendum. And I think the Chair in entertaining this motion has rejected any concept that this is a dilatory Body...or a deliberative Body. I'm sorry, I'm pretty confused myself. But I'll tell you this, we will all look at this day, and we'll all be in this position sometime. And I can tell you from experience, whether it's Speaker Blair, Speaker Redmond or acting presiding officer Matijeich, it's no fun. It's not right to run over a minority and not let them have their day. Their day to consider reasonably and with a close examination the merits of each issue. And, Representative Matijeich, you can laugh at this, you can take it lightly, but some day you'll be up there on the other side of this question raising all sorts of questions. And you ought to know, John, that it's not a...not a very appropriate thing to be in a side...when the majority decides that not only will they out vote you, but they won't even let you discuss the issues. And I would respectfully ask for a verification of this Roll..."

Speaker Matijeich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Yourell, to explain his vote. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mugalian, to explain his vote."

Mugalian: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I want to say this for the record and for the tapes, the official tapes of the transcript of proceedings,



I want this Body to know and the record to know that I have filed a dissent..."

Speaker Matijevich: "Just a minute. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Yourell, for what purpose do you rise?"

Yourell: "That's right. You called me first, and I don't know how the hell he's on the horn."

Speaker Matijevich: "Well, I didn't see you and then I looked and your light was off again, Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Well, my lights never off."

Speaker Matijevich: "Well, I'll call you next."

Yourell: "All right."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Mugalian, proceed."

Mugalian: "...Mr. Speaker, I just want the record to be...to be absolutely clear that a dissent in writing has been filed with the Clerk objecting to the action of this House in moving House Bill 2414 to the Order of Third Reading. What that means is that if Bond Council looked at these proceedings, it will probably rule that they are null and void, and that any bonds issued will be null and void. And that therefore no proceeds from this alleged bond...authorization will be validated."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Holewinski, to explain his vote."

Holewinski: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I think it's going to be interesting, and you ought to really consider this vote because what you're saying to your constituents is that you're willing to vote for something like this, a tremendous expenditure of state funds, without knowing what it's going to cost the state. You're writing with your vote...your green vote up there a blank check, a blank check for years on end to obligate not only your children but your grandchildren. What we're saying is...is we don't want to know, don't tell us what this costs. We're willing to take Mayor Bilandic and Governor Thompson's word that they're acting in the best interests of us and not in the best interests of their own political career. I think you ought to really think about your actions today and really reconsider your vote up there."



Speaker Matijevid: "Have all voted who wished? On this motion there are 110 voting 'aye'...111 voting 'aye', 47 'no', 1 voting 'present'; and the motion prevails. Third Reading. Third Reading. Read the Bill."

Clerk Hall: "House Bill 2414, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Transportation Bond Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Matijevid: "On the order of Third Reading is House Bill 2414. The Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we've certainly had plenty of debate on this piece of legislation; and I would ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Matijevid: "The Gentleman from Kankakee has moved for the passage of House Bill 2414. In opposition...the Lady from Cook, Representative Peggy Smith Martin."

Martin, P.: "Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Matijevid: "Will the Doorman take this man from the podium? He has no right to be here right now. All right. The...the Gentleman from Sangamon, Representative Robinson."

Robinson: "Mr. Speaker, on a point of inquiry. What is the posture of this House now? What form of debate is this Bill on?"

Speaker Matijevid: "We're on full debate."

Robinson: "Thank you."

Speaker Matijevid: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Vitek, for what purpose do you rise?"

Vitek: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move the previous question."

Speaker Matijevid: "No, you're not recognized for that. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Houli...Representative Peggy Smith Martin, proceed."

Martin, P.: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I'd like to...I want to know if the Sponsor would yield for a question."

Speaker Matijevid: "The Sponsor indicates he will yield. Representative Ryan, do you wish to yield for a question?"

Ryan: "Yes, I will yield to..."

Speaker Matijevid: "Yes. Proceed, Representative Martin."



Martin, P.: "Representative Ryan, would you please give me the routing of the Crosstown Expressway on the southwest side of Chicago?"

Ryan: "Well, the...the quarter has not yet been approved and it's in the design; and I...there's no way I could give you that answer."

Martin, P.: "Well, then what you're saying, in essence, is that we are about to vote on...on bonding money for the Crosstown Expressway and we do not know which way the Crosstown will be going, will be running on the southwest side of Chicago?"

Ryan: "Well, I don't think that it's really clear, Representative, that this money goes to the Crosstown..."

Speaker Matijevich: "Will all Members be in their seats and all unauthorized persons leave the chambers. Members be in your seats."

Ryan: "...All the Bill says, Representative, is that it goes to the City of Chicago. It doesn't say anything about the Crosstown."

Martin, P.: "I'm sure that...well, according to a number of Amendments that I've seen it does across the...the plans that I've seen it does go across the southwest side of Chicago. And..."

Ryan: "Representative, if you've seen the plans and know where it is, why do you ask..."

Martin, P.: "...No, no, because I understand that they might've been changed and that's what I'm seeking to find out from you, Representative Ryan. What route is the Crosstown going to be going on the south side...southwest side of Chicago?"

Ryan: "...Well, the Burnham corridor has not been changed from its original routing, as I understand it, Representative."

Martin, P.: "So then what we're doing here we're voting on bond money that we don't know how it's going to be spent or where, is that correct?"

Ryan: "I...I can't hear you, Representative."

Martin, P.: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to speak to the Bill."

Speaker Matijevich: "Proceed and speak to the Bill, Representative Martin."

Martin, P.: "If, indeed, the Crosstown is going or is planning to go across the southwest side, the plans that I've seen to run down 74th Street...from 74th and Cicero to the Dan Ryan Expressway. And I want to vigorously object on the behalves of the people in that



corridor whom I have met with any number of times who is vehemently against the Crosstown. And when I was running for election here, I vowed not to vote for anything having to do with the Crosstown Expressway inasmuch as those people who are aged people who have been shuffled around for many, many years, being relocated, who do not want to relocate again because they cannot afford to. And we know that these people will never get what they have put into their homes and having to be uprooted again for roads. If it's not roads, it's slums or it's some kind of buildings 'of our times'. And I certainly resent us stand...standing up here as Representatives of the people voting on bond money and the millions of dollars and we don't know where they ought to...ought to be spent."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Wolf."

Wolf: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Wolf, has moved the previous question. All those in favor voted..."

Wolf: "Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Matijevich: "...And I didn't know he was going to move it..."

Wolf: "...Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Matijevich: "...All those in favor say 'aye', opposed say 'no'; the previous question prevails. Representative Ryan. All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Yes, Representative Wolf."

Wolf: "Mr. Speaker, I did not move the previous question. I asked if the Sponsor would yield for a question."

Speaker Matijevich: "Oh, I would've sworn that...well, that proves I didn't know it, see. The Sponsor indicates he will."

Wolf: "Representative Ryan, is there any money in this bonding to build the north leg of the Crosstown Expressway?"

Ryan: "No, there is not any money in here to do that."

Wolf: "Would you repeat that, Mr. Ryan?"

Ryan: "There is no money in this Bill to build the north leg of the Crosstown, Representative."

Wolf: "I just wanted to make sure that the Governor did not lie to the people of my district. Thank you very much."



Martin, P.: "Representative Ryan, would you please give me the routing of the Crosstown Expressway on the southwest side of Chicago?"

Ryan: "Well, the...the quarter has not yet been approved and it's in the design; and I...there's no way I could give you that answer."

Martin, P.: "Well, then what you're saying, in essence, is that we are about to vote on...on bonding money for the Crosstown Expressway and we do not know which way the Crosstown will be going, will be running on the southwest side of Chicago?"

Ryan: "Well, I don't think that it's really clear, Representative, that this money goes to the Crosstown..."

Speaker Matijevich: "Will all Members be in their seats and all unauthorized persons leave the chambers. Members be in your seats."

Ryan: "...All the Bill says, Representative, is that it goes to the City of Chicago. It doesn't say anything about the Crosstown."

Martin, P.: "I'm sure that...well, according to a number of Amendments that I've seen it does across the...the plans that I've seen it does go across the southwest side of Chicago. And..."

Ryan: "Representative, if you've seen the plans and know where it is, why do you ask..."

Martin, P.: "...No, no, because I understand that they might've been changed and that's what I'm seeking to find out from you, Representative Ryan. What route is the Crosstown going to be going on the south side...southwest side of Chicago?"

Ryan: "...Well, the Burnham corridor has not been changed from its original routing, as I understand it, Representative."

Martin, P.: "So then what we're doing here we're voting on bond money that we don't know how it's going to be spent or where, is that correct?"

Ryan: "I...I can't hear you, Representative."

Martin, P.: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to speak to the Bill."

Speaker Matijevich: "Proceed and speak to the Bill, Representative Martin."

Martin, P.: "If, indeed, the Crosstown is going or is planning to go across the southwest side, the plans that I've seen to run down 74th Street...from 74th and Cicero to the Dan Ryan Expressway. And I want to vigorously object on the behalves of the people in that



corridor whom I have met with any number of times who is vehemently against the Crosstown. And when I was running for election here, I vowed not to vote for anything having to do with the Crosstown Expressway inasmuch as those people who are aged people who have been shuffled around for many, many years, being relocated, who do not want to relocate again because they cannot afford to. And we know that these people will never get what they have put into their homes and having to be uprooted again for roads. If it's not roads, it's slums or it's some kind of buildings 'of our times'. And I certainly resent us stand...standing up here as Representatives of the people voting on bond money and the millions of dollars and we don't know where they ought to...ought to be spent."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Wolf."

Wolf: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Wolf, has moved the previous question. All those in favor voted..."

Wolf: "Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Matijevich: "...And I didn't know he was going to move it..."

Wolf: "...Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Matijevich: "...All those in favor say 'aye', opposed say 'no'; the previous question prevails. Representative Ryan. All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Yes, Representative Wolf."

Wolf: "Mr. Speaker, I did not move the previous question. I asked if the Sponsor would yield for a question."

Speaker Matijevich: "Oh, I would've sworn that...well, that proves I didn't know it, see. The Sponsor indicates he will."

Wolf: "Representative Ryan, is there any money in this bonding to build the north leg of the Crosstown Expressway?"

Ryan: "No, there is not any money in here to do that."

Wolf: "Would you repeat that, Mr. Ryan?"

Ryan: "There is no money in this Bill to build the north leg of the Crosstown, Representative."

Wolf: "I just wanted to make sure that the Governor did not lie to the people of my district. Thank you very much."



Speaker Matijeich: "The Gentleman from Adams, Representative McClain."

McClain: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'll try to...I'll try to be brief. I tried to give out about three pages of memorandum to about 100 of the Membership. I won't go through those three pages; but I would like to say this. I have nothing against deals, nor do I have anything against the construction of the Burnham corridor. What I am concerned about is what's happening to downstate. As you see now, the deal is pretty much the...as the Bill reads; \$50,000,000 for Chicago, \$50,000,000 for the urbanized area of Chicago and \$50,000,000 for downstate. I'd like to inform you first...the first loophole is...plus \$12,000,000 for the bridges...first...first I would like to point out to you that in the urbanized Chicago area parts of Will, Kane, McHenry and Lake are not part of the urbanized area. I...I'd like to say to you, therefore, the Representatives from those areas ought to be very concerned because you will be defined as part of downstate. Part of downstate means that you have to...you have to be competing with all districts and all roads in downstate Illinois. Secondly, there are counties downstate of the...that are receiving the \$50,000,000, the \$50,000,000 won't even construct the roads all the way across that state. Thirdly, a maximum amount of money that we receive from federal matching funds is \$270,000,000, of that \$40 to \$70,000,000 goes to local governmental bodies. That means the maximum that we can receive is \$200,000,000. The Burnham corridor has designed engineering study that will take five years. Therefore, during those five years there will be no monetary drain on the State of Illinois. However, after five years it becomes 90 to 10; that means 90 federal, 10 percent local or state. Now, the 90 percent comes from that \$200,000,000 matching money. That's going to be a tremendous drain on those federal monies which now are limited to \$200,000,000. I might point out to you that Burnham corridor is not an interstate road, therefore, the Burnham corridor takes totally from those matching monies. The bottom line is if you do not get your road in the next five years, you can forget it. I'd like to point out that I handled the Amendment in which Governor



Walker used bond money..."

Speaker Matijevich: "Would you conclude, Representative McClain? Your time is coming to a close."

McClain: "...Governor Walker used bonding to attract federal dollars.

However, the Appropriation Committee and the Legislature mandated that he line item out every road that we got. I might point out to you that there is no...there are no line items here. You have no idea what roads you're getting. You're putting full faith and credit in a bulk deal. I off...I tried to offer alternatives. I talked to Representative Madigan, the Governor's Office, Representative Ryan. I offered alternatives like Amendment 33, which would've increased bonding \$100,000,000 for downstate. It had no effect on the bond rating. I talk to 'Chapman and Cutler'. They in fact said they had no expertise on even \$150,000,000 that we now are authorizing. I might point out to you that the road fund deficit is real. By the end of 1979, that is, in the second quarter of 1979 what the bond authorization here will be doing will be paying for the state share of all federal projects. That is, the second quarter of the fiscal 1979. I'm quoting the Transportation Study Commission Fiscal Report. The bottom line is you're bonding to pay to attract federal monies. Parts of Kane, McHenry, Will and Lake are part of downstate; and you guys again are going to be lost in the shuffle. The Burnham corridor, five years from now the road program will come to a screeching halt because it will attract the federal dollars. And fourthly, you do not know what you're getting. I wish you had the guts to at least ask the Governor to line item. I urge you to at least vote 'present'. I'm voting 'no'."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Leverenz, has moved the previous question. All in favor say 'aye', opposed say 'no'; and the 'ayes' have it, the previous question prevails. The Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative Ryan...all those in favor of voting on House Bill 2414 vote 'aye', opposed vote 'nay'. This is on the previous question rather. Oh, we...vote 'aye' and opposed



vote 'no' on the previous question. Shall the main question be put? Take the record. On...110 'ayes', 41 'nays' and none answering 'present'; the previous question prevails. Representative Ryan to close."

Ryan: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Bill has been well-debated here this evening and I think most everybody knows what's in it. And I would ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Matijevich: "The question is, shall House Bill...the question is, shall House Bill 2414 pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', those opposed vote 'nay'. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan, J.: "Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Ray Ewell on a point of personal privilege. Proceed, Representative Ewell."

Ewell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I have sat here very patiently, very much at ease listened to debate; but I have heard a lot of pompous, pious hypocrits. They all say they got a deal. But I want to tell you I've been here for 11 years and that's the only thing that's ever floated through this Body is a deal. The only question is whose ox is getting gored. Some of these very same people that stand up here and tell us about all their rights and the rights of minorities ignore the responsibilities of minorities as related to majorities. I say to you that these Gentlemen have voted on every other deal as long as it brought a bridge, a road, a job or whatever the price, they have sold out time and time again. The Democratic Party, their fellow seatmates and everybody in their district as long as they could get what they want. Sure, you're putting together a proposition. It's a reasonable one. And I say this for the first time I'm glad to be in on a deal and to be on the right side of it. And I suggest all these pompous, pious hypocrits look for a better deal."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Houlihan, to conclude his explanation."

Houlihan, J.: "Now, Mr. Speaker, you have not recognized me at times when I'd ask for a verification. I would...I would respectfully ask



for a verification of this Roll Call; and I'm joined by five Members, Representative Stuffle, Representative Byers, Representative Bowman, Representative Robinson, Representative Dunn, Representative Mulcahey. And I don't think there's any need to try to prolong this sham and discuss the issues. I would hopefully request, Representative Matijeveh, that you would recognize me this time."

Speaker Matijeveh: "You will be recognized for that purpose. The Gentleman from Marion, Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to interrupt the debate here to recognize the presence of the...the presence of the President of the Senate, Senator Hynes, who runs a nice shop over there and the people go home on time."

Speaker Matijeveh: "Yeah. We're trying. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Levin, to explain his vote."

Levin: "Mr. Speaker, I'm sorry that debate was cut off earlier because in explaining my vote I had a number of questions. The first one of which was, and maybe somebody can give me an answers, who is going to do the contracting? Is it true as I've heard that the City of Chicago is going to hire all the contractors or is the state going to have any role? I would think that some of the people on the other side of the aisle would be interested in having an answer to that question. Who is going to get the patronage? Who is going to get that?"

Speaker Matijeveh: "The Lady from Lake, Representative Geo-Karis, to explain her vote."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I've sat in this House for four years and I've heard the anti's on the Crosstown. When I first came here I agreed with them; but one day I happened to drive down to the southside and I saw how congested it was. I think it's a necessary thing; and I agree with one of the Representatives who said earlier that if people want to get to their jobs they'd better have a decent road of transportation. And I think at this time I'm perfectly willing to vote for it, and I think I can explain myself to my constituents."

Speaker Matijeveh: "The Gentleman from Christian, Representative Tipsword,



to explain his vote."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker, I just merely had an inquiry of the Chair. How many votes does it take to pass..."

Speaker Matijevich: "107."

Tipsword: "That's all I'd like to know. Thank you."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Willer, to explain her vote."

Willer: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. My seatmate, who is usually quite astute, asked a question some Amendments back, where is the money coming from? I'm opposed to this Crosstown for many reasons. Since I've been here in two years time and seen the state of the fiscal state of this state, I'll tell him where it's coming from eventually. And it's going to come from General Revenue Funds eventually for debt service. And where's that going to come from? We're either going to take it from schools or we're going to raise the income tax."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Henderson, Representative Neff, to explain his vote."

Neff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'm opposing this House Bill 2414 and basically I have three reasons for voting 'no' today. And I'm going to briefly just tell you some of these reasons. First, I believe it is wrong to expand the Series A Bond authorization beyond the current authorization. Second, I would like to point out the Series A authorization was originally to be spent largely to build a supplemental freeway system downstate. And we all know how much of that system has been built. I believe this Bill will insure that downstate will end up with almost nothing. Finally, I honestly fear that the Governor in his agreement...City of Chicago over Crosstown has committed the state far beyond what he feels is probably right. And certainly it is obvious to us and perhaps to him that he feels that he's made a mistake also."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Williams, to explain his vote."

Williams: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I



have a 'no' vote up there; and my 'no' vote is in protest for the treatment that I think we received here on the floor tonight. I had the next Amendment, Amendment 31. I don't know if that would've passed or not passed. I can assume that it probably wouldn't have from the way this deal was cut in some back room somewhere. But all I know is that I'm a duly elected Member sent down here from my district, and what that Amendment was was very important to my people. And they didn't have the opportunity to be heard. I don't know how I would've felt on the Crosstown; I think I know how some of my people in the district feel. I maybe would've voted for it. But for the treatment...we didn't use the rules tonight, we abused the rules. And my 'no' vote is a protest to the treatment I received here tonight."

Speaker Matijevich: "From Representative Waddell's chair, Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Yes, how am I recorded, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Matijevich: "I see you voting 'aye' here."

Yourell: "How the hell did that happen? I have been here all night, right next to Representative Waddell. I've got the longest arms, or the longest seatmate in history. How am I recorded?"

Speaker Matijevich: "...I wouldn't say you've got short arms. Pardon that. The Gentleman from Sangamon, Representative Kane."

Kane: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, what this Bill is, is a bail-out of the Road Fund because at the end of fiscal 1978 there isn't going to be any money in the Road Fund for 1979 road programs. And that's the year of an election. And so what this is is a bond program of \$150,000,000 to get past the next election without a gasoline tax increase. This Governor has learned something from the last Governor. I remember two years ago, the last Governor had a \$200,000,000 bond program in here to get past the last election. And that was the only way that he was going to get past the last election without a gas tax increase. Well, you see what happened to him. This Governor has come in with \$150,000,000 bond program to get past this next election and still have a road program in 1979. The only money that's going to be in the Road Fund



for fiscal 1979 for any kind of a road program. If this Bill does not pass, it is the last \$20,000,000 of an \$800,000,000 bond program that we started several years ago. And that's going to result in...at maximum a total of...a road program of only some \$180,000,000. And so what we have is two Governors that have sacrificed the taxpayers of this state on the alter of their presidential ambitions. The only difference between this Governor and the last Governor is that this Governor has gone in for \$50,000,000 less than the last Governor. I don't know if it's going to work any better; but the only difference between the two of them is the price is different. But what's inevitable is that the hole in which we're digging this state into is a bigger and a deeper hole. And sooner or later that Bill is going to come and it's going to have to be paid by the taxpayers of this state."

Speaker Matijeich: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Deuster, to explain his vote."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker, all of us know that the major things in this General Assembly operate with...on the basis of deals. And the Governor's the Governor and the Mayor of Chicago is the Mayor of Chicago; but I'm a Representative representing the people of Lake County, and I must look at every deal and decide not whether it's a deal, but whether it is a fair deal. When Governor Walker was in office and Mayor Daley was in office, all they needed was Governor Walker's signature on a piece of paper because federal law required that before the Crosstown be built the Governor of the state, whoever he was, approve it. So all the people of Chicago really needed was a signature on a piece of paper; but they sat down, and Mayor Bilandic's far more experienced than Governor Thompson in these things, and Chicago came away not only with his signature on a piece of paper, but \$50,000,000. And that \$50,000,000 is going to be paid for by our grandchildren because we don't have the money, we're borrowing it. I think that is wrong. I don't think that's a fair deal. I don't think our grandchildren would like..."

Speaker Matijeich: "The Gentleman from Effingham, Representative Brummer,



to explain his vote."

Brummer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. I am voting 'no' on this matter. We have heard very little debate with regard to this. I think it's rather ironic that we've spent weeks in Committee hearings regarding the Education Bill which put \$75 new million dollars...million dollars of new money into the primary and secondary education. And tonight we go to a \$150,000,000 Bill now a \$162,000,000. There have been numerous Amendments offered. One of those Amendments was offered by the Representatives of the 54th District which did not question the validity of the allocation between Chicago and the rest of the state; but merely required that that money be fairly allocated around the districts of the rest of the state. None of those Amendments have been heard. And I feel I have no choice but to vote 'no' with regard to this matter."

Speaker Matijeich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Huff, to explain his vote."

Huff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Just to keep the record straight, the last Governor's not here now...Crosstown, but simply because he couldn't pay the unemployment checks on time."

Speaker Matijeich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Bowman, to explain his vote."

Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Let me just address myself to the ...the posture of the Bill now that it has been amended by Amendment #69. I've already mentioned one thing, that is, that much of the collar counties are excluded from the definition of the urbanized area. Let me mention another thing, if you take a close look at that Amendment you'll see that it says that \$50,000,000 of the proceeds shall be used for such purposes in the Chicago urbanized area. Now, Chicago itself is included in the urbanized area. So what I submit to you is that you got smookered on this deal. As much as \$100,000,000, in my opinion, could possibly be used in the City of Chicago itself without, you know, under the authorization provided for in this Act. So I think that this was a very cleverly written Amendment that...that I hope the Representatives from the collar counties are not smookered...smok..."



Speaker Matijeich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Holewinski, to explain his vote."

Holewinski: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I know it's after midnight and we're all tired; but I'd like you to reflect upon what we've done yesterday, Monday, and today, Tuesday. And that is that we took a Bill that had no hearing. We took it from Introduction and we bypassed Committee, and then we heard it on the floor; but we didn't really hear it. We heard not more than three words from the Sponsor of this legislation and nothing substantive about what this Bill does. Then we...not only did we do that, but we didn't even address what this Bill was going to cost the General Revenue Fund long term. We bypassed that rule to send this out to the Senate. And I'd like to say that maybe there is no money in here for the north leg of the Crosstown. I can see that. There's not enough money for the south leg, and that's another issue you're going to have to address. But they'll be back. The City of Chicago will be back and after \$1.2 billion is spent to construct the south leg; and we'll be asking for another \$1.8 billion to complete this expressway to hook it up with the Edens Expressway on the north end. And that's what..."

Speaker Matijeich: "The Gentleman from Sangamon, Representative Robinson, to explain his vote."

Robinson: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to congratulate those on the other side of the aisle who have not bent under the pressure. Some of us on this side of the aisle through this Session know what it's like when our Leaders come around from desk to desk and saying this is the one that we really want, this is the one that's important. You've got to vote for this one. If you don't vote for this one, you're not a good Democrat. We know about that pressure; and I really appreciate those from downstate on the other side of the aisle who recognize that this is a fraud for downstate, that there won't be any roads built downstate out of this money. Especially I'd like to address myself to those who are voting for it, those who say that they are fiscal conservatives, those who point their fingers



over to this side of the aisle and say, 'We're the big spenders'. And yet tonight they're passing out one of the largest programs of the whole Session. A program..."

Speaker Matijeich: "The Gentleman from Coles, Representative Stuffle, to explain his vote."

Stuffle: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, it amazes me that 40-some Amendments weren't considered on this Bill when \$50,000,000 is supposed to be earmarked for downstate Illinois. It further amazes me the Democrats will put votes on a Bill to help a recov... a Republican Governor make promises to people in the Democratic Party in Chicago because when the man's two-year term is up they're still not going to be started on building this expressway at all. It seems ridiculous to me that downstate Republicans without any assurances on any Amendments for any specificity would vote for this Bill. Or that downstate Democrats in any case would vote for this Bill without any assurances. No one has gotten anything in this Bill, including Chicago, except a big fat promise."

Speaker Matijeich: "The Gentleman from St. Clair, Representative Flinn, to explain his vote."

Flinn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, almost five hours ago I stood at this microphone and I declared that a grand rape was about ready to take place on the people of downstate Illinois. Actually, I was in error, it's on all the people of the State of Illinois; it's on all the children and the grandchildren, some who are not born yet, who must pay this back. I would like it...on behalf of the House of Representatives to apologize to all those people for what we've done to them tonight. Now, I'm sure we're very close to finishing this off. It's going to go to the Senate, they'll do their job. It'll go down to the Governor, and he'll do his job. I would like while I'm here to congratulate the Governor and congratulate Mayor Bilandic for having somebody he can work with for the next four years."

Speaker Matijeich: "The Gentleman from Madison, Representative Byers, to explain his vote."

Byers: "Thank you, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I don't know how many people



in the House read the letter from John, our Speaker up there, Repre...Speaker Matijevich, but Representative Matijevich sent out a letter and...to his newspaper back home and back early in May, I believe it was, Representative Matijevich predicted what's going to happen tonight. He predicted over six weeks ago what was going to happen tonight right down to the wire. And the only thing that he forgot was that he was going to be in the Speaker's chair. He didn't have that in there. And I think it's a...John can certainly see in the future and I certainly...he should know what this is going to cost the taxpayers of the State of Illinois. And I think that..."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Schneider, to explain his vote."

Schneider: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. We really haven't heard very much about the issue once again as has been pointed out by Houlihan and Holewinski; but for those of you who are looking for ways to breathe new life into the corpse of Dan Walker, and if you happen to notice the downstate attitude toward what sometimes is misjudged as the funny crowd up in the City of Chicago, you've done it tonight. I think I'm on the right side for my constituents; but more importantly even from a political standpoint, I think I've wound up on my feet again. So take the Bill, Representative Dyer, and others in that area and live with it because I think it's going to be a problem for you."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative Ryan, to explain his vote."

Ryan: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. First I'd like to say that I don't feel any Member of this chamber has to make any apologies to the people of the State of Illinois for this piece of legislation, and especially a downstate Member. The extra bonding power and the release of the federal funds through this agreement is going to prolong the solvency of the Road Fund. The State Road Program for fiscal years 1978 and '79 are going to total approximately \$1.2 billion dollars providing a continued level of road improvements consistent with previous years. Without



the transfer the State's Road Program would have dropped \$445,000,000 for the same period or almost a 37 percent decrease. The fiscal year '78 program would have been \$512,000,000, and the...the '79 program would have felt the same brunt of the cash flow prices; and would've only totalled \$250,000,000 in the absence of any Crosstown transfer or bonding increase. Without the two new factors the programs in both years raised in 1978...in fiscal year 1978 we see an increase from \$512,000,000 to \$612,000,000, and in fiscal '79 it goes from \$215,000,000 to \$560,000,000 or about a 160 percent increase. Now, you fellows downstate that feel this is a rip-off for you, you're wrong. I heard one of the Ladies on the other side talk about General Revenue Funds. She's absolutely wrong. Series Bond money doesn't have anything to do with General Revenue money. It's strictly Road Funds, that's where that money comes from, not General Revenue. The \$50,000,000...the \$50,000,000 for the urbanized areas is going to generate about \$153,000,000 in..."

Speaker Matijeich: "I want to let Representative Houlihan know that he will be recognized for a verification. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this...on the passage of House Bill 2414 there are 110 voting 'ayes', 49 voting 'no', 3 voting 'present'; and 2414 is, hereby, declared passed. On the...on the verification, we have a request by Representative Houlihan for a request of the verification. Now, on that verification we...it'll be in the discretion of the Chair. That's what the rule calls for. On that issue...Representative Houlihan, would you let the Chair continue?... on that question, all Members be in their seats. All Members be in their seats. And I want the Doormen to be very clear about this. I want everybody out of here. That means staff and everybody. Everybody off the floor except elected Members of the House of Representatives. This is a very important issue; and we want everybody verified as per that request. This is not an Oral Roll Call. The Clerk will proceed on a poll of the absentees by Representative Ryan. A point of order, Representative Houlihan, from Cook."

Houlihan, J.: "Mr. Speaker, I thought I heard you mistakenly indicate that



the Bill had passed. That...that is improper to do prior to the verification. The Roll Call is not complete until the end of the verification."

Speaker Matijevich: "You are entitled to a verification after the Bill is declared passed. That...there's nothing wrong with that at all. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Yourell, for what purpose do you arise?"

Yourell: "Yes, can the 'nos' leave? Well, I'm going to be here, I'm asking for the 'nos', can they leave?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Anybody can leave any time, you know that...see next page..."



Speaker Matijevich: "The poll of the absentees. The Clerk will call the absentees."

Clerk Hall: "E. M. Barnes...."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I think I only spoke once tonight on this whole charade that we've been going through and it has been a charade. I had like most Members, I would imagine, had made up their mind and decided long before tonight what they were intending to do. I'm voting 'aye'. I would have... my 'aye' would have been on the board if the Speaker hadn't been so hasty in moving along. I add only one thing, I would like to add only one thing to that....I would like to add only one thing to that. I think that in passing legislation of this import, I don't think that we did a great service to the Illinois Legislature tonight. I vote 'aye'."



Speaker Matijevich: "Barnes votes 'aye'. Continue with the poll of the absentees."

Clerk Hall: "...Christensen, Cunningham, Dawson, Ebbesen..."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from DeKalb, Representative Ebbesen, to... 'no', record him as 'no'."

Clerk Hall: "...Greiman..."

Speaker Matijevich: "Greiman 'no'."

Clerk Hall: "...Hoffman..."

Speaker Matijevich: "Gene Hoffman 'aye'."

Clerk Hall: "...Jacobs, Kornowicz, Madison, McAvoy, Murphy..."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Kane, Representative Murphy."

Murphy: "Please record me 'no'."

Speaker Matijevich: "Record Murphy 'no'."

Clerk Hall: "...Peters, Schoeberlein and Wolf."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Clerk will now call the Affirmative Roll Call."

Clerk Hall: "Abramson, Adams, Anderson..."

Speaker Matijevich: "When the Clerk calls your name, raise your hands and everybody be seated so...I think everybody's doing real well right now, I think. Proceed."

Clerk Hall: "...Antonovych, E. M. Barnes, Jane Barnes, Bartulis, Beatty, Bennett, Birchler, Bluthardt, Boucek, Bradley, Brady, Brandt, Don Brummet, Caldwell, Campbell, Capparelli, Conti, Daniels, Corneal Davis, Jack Davis, Deavers, DiPrima, Domico, Doyle, Dyer, Edgar, Epton, Ewell, Farley, Friedland, Gaines, Garmisa, Geo-Karis, Getty, Giglio, Giorgi, Griesheimer, Hanahan, Harris, Hart, Hoffman, Dan Houlihan, Hoxsey, Huff, Huskey, Dave Jones, Emil Jones, Keats, Kempiners, Klosak, Kosinski, Kozubowski, Kucharski, Lauer, Laurino, Lechowicz, Leinenweber, Leverenz, Lucco, Luft, Macdonald, Madigan, Mahar, Marovitz, Matejek, Matijevich, McAuliffe, McBroom, McCourt, McLendon, Meyer, Miller, Molloy, Mudd, Nardulli, O'Brien, O'Daniel, Pechous, Pierce, Polk, Porter, Pouncey, Pullen, Reed, Reilly, Richmond, Ryan, Sandquist, Sevcik, Shumpert, Stanley, Stearney, C. M. Stiehl, Sumner, Taylor, Telcser, Terzich, Totten, Tuerk, Van Duyne, Vitek, Von Boeckman, Waddell, Wall, Wikoff, Winchester, Younge, Yourell; Mr. Speaker."



Speaker Matijevich: "Questions of the Affirmative vote by Representative Houlihan, Jim, from Cook."

Houlihan, J.: "Jane Barnes?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Jane Barnes? How is she...how is she recorded?"

Clerk Hall: "The Lady is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Matijevich: "Take her off the Roll."

Houlihan, J.: "Mr. Speaker, what did we start at? What number?"

Speaker Matijevich: "What did we start out with, Clerk? We start out with 112, 112. Proceed."

Houlihan, J.: "Bartulis?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Bartulis is way in the back."

Houlihan, J.: "Representative Birchler?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Vince Birchler is in his seat."

Houlihan, J.: "Representative Boucek?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Boucek is in his seat."

Houlihan, J.: "Representative Friedland?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Friedland, John. I don't see him. How is he recorded?"

Clerk Hall: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Matijevich: "Take him off the Roll."

Houlihan, J.: "Representative Giglio?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Giglio? I can't see. He's in his seat."

Houlihan, J.: "Representative Meyer?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Ted Meyer is in his seat."

Houlihan, J.: "Representative Pullen?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Penny Pullen, is she here? She's in her seat."

Houlihan, J.: "Is Representative Sevcik back there?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Joe Sevcik is in the back in his seat."

Houlihan, J.: "Representative Stearney?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Stearney? He's in somebody else's seat. He's here."



Houlihan, J.: "Representative Sumner? Sumner?"

Speaker Matijevec: "Sumner is in her seat."

Houlihan, J.: "Representative Von Boeckman?"

Speaker Matijevec: "Jim Von Boeckman is in his seat."

Houlihan, J.: "Representative Winchester?"

Speaker Matijevec: "Representative Winchester is in his seat."

Houlihan, J.: "Representative Steczo?"

Speaker Matijevec: "Representative Steczo? How is he recorded?"

Clerk Hall: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Houlihan, J.: "Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Matijevec: "Yes, Representative Houlihan...oh..."

Houlihan, J.: "Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Matijevec: "...He's in the back. He'll be out here. We'll take him off momentarily. Further questions of the Affirmative vote?"

Houlihan, J.: "Representative Leverenz?"

Speaker Matijevec: "Representative Leverenz is in his seat. Representative Conti has a headache. Whoever is playing with the microphone please quit. Further questions?"

Houlihan, J.: "Representative Brummett?"

Speaker Matijevec: "Representative Brummett is in his seat."

Houlihan, J.: "Representative O'Brien?"

Speaker Matijevec: "Representative Danny O'Brien is right behind you... rooting for the Cubs. Further questions of the Affirmative Roll Call? Put the Speaker back on the Roll Call. Further questions of the Affirmative Roll Call? Further questions of the Affirmative..."

Houlihan, J.: "Representative Wyvetter Young?"

Speaker Matijevec: "Representative Wyvetter Young? Is that you back there, Wyvetter? Yeah, that's her. Wyvetter Young is in her seat. Just saw a little bit of you there. Further questions?"

Houlihan, J.: "Mr. Speaker, I have no further questions."

Speaker Matijevec: "No further questions? Will the Clerk give me the tally? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative..."

Houlihan, J.: "Mr. Speaker, did you take...did you take Representative Von Boeckman off the Roll Call?"

Speaker Matijevec: "No, I didn't because he was in his seat. He's been



verified. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Peters." Record Peters 'no'. Representative Von Boeckman was verified. Give me the tally, Clerk. Peters 'no'. Representative Wolf? Record Representative Jacob Wolf 'present'. Representative Harold Katz."

Katz: "'Present' to 'no', Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Matijevich: "'Present' to 'no' for Representative Katz. Representative Ray Christensen, for what purpose do you rise?"

Christensen: "Could we have the count?"

Speaker Matijevich: "110 'ayes' and 53 'nos'. On this question there are 110 'ayes' and 53 'nos'. Record Christensen 'present' or, 'no'. Representative Jacobs, for what purpose do you rise?"

Jacobs: "I'm not voting, so would you make that 'present', please?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Record Jacobs 'present. Representative Walsh from Cook."

Walsh: "...additional change, Mr. Speaker. This is ridiculous. These are people who want to look good in their districts...look good to their party leaders..."

Speaker Matijevich: "Give me the...give me the tally...110 'ayes', 54 'nos', 3 voting 'present'; and House Bill 2414 having had the Constitutional...necessary for passage, three-fifths majority, is, hereby, declared passed. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Daniel Houlihan."

Houlihan, D.: "Mr. Speaker, I move to reconsider the vote by which House Bill 2414 was declared passed."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Daniel Houlihan moves to reconsider the vote by which 2414 passed. And Representative Ted Lechowicz moves that that motion lie on the table. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'; the motion to table prevails. The..."

Houlihan, D.: "Motion to reconsider."

Speaker Matijevich: "...motion to reconsider...I thought that's what I said, but I...and the motion to reconsider has been tabled. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I move that we adjourn until 10 o'clock tomorrow morning."

Speaker Matijevich: "That's a motion to recess...that's a motion to recess



until 10 a.m. in the morning. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'; and the House stands in recess until 10 a.m....The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. For the purpose of an announcement, the Economic and Fiscal Commission will be meeting tomorrow morning at 8 o'clock in B-1. Thank you."

Speaker Matijevich: "Thank you. Good night, good rest. God bless everybody."



<u>1.</u> <u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
1	10:00	Speaker Redmond	House to order
1		Clerk O'Brien	Prayer
1		Speaker Redmond	House at ease
1	10:26	Speaker Redmond	House to order
1		Kozubowski	Introduction
2,3		Senator O'Casey	
3		Speaker Redmond	
3		Ebbesen	
3		Speaker Redmond	
3		Schlickman	
3		Speaker Redmond	
3		Lauer	
3		Speaker Redmond	
3		Leinenweber	
3		Speaker Redmond	
4		McPike	
4		Speaker Redmond	
4		Matijevich	
4		Speaker Redmond	
4		Friedrich	
4		Speaker Redmond	
4		Flinn	
4		Matijevich	
4		Speaker Redmond	
5		Boucek	Happy Birthday
5		Speaker Redmond	
5		Houlihan, J.	



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
2.			
5		Speaker Redmond	
5	10:35	Telcser	
5		Speaker Redmond	
6		Yourell	
6		Speaker Redmond	
6		Houlihan, J.)	
6)	
6		Speaker Redmond)	
6		Matijevich	
6		Speaker Redmond	Roll Call
6		Telcser	
6		Speaker Redmond	
6		Matijevich	
6		Speaker Redmond	
7		Clerk O'Brien	SB-501-2nd-Am-#1-6-adopted
7		Speaker Redmond	
7		Clerk O'Brien	Motion to table #5
7		Speaker Redmond	Out of record
7		Clerk O'Brien	SB 496-2nd-AM 1-4 adopted #7-20 adopted. 22-29 adopted Am 31-36 adopted
7		Speaker Redmond	
7		Clerk O'Brien	Motion to table #8
7		Speaker Redmond	
7	10:40	Houlihan, D.	Out of record
7		Speaker Redmond	
7		Hudson)	Inquiry of chair
7)	
7		Speaker Redmond)	
8		Hudson	HB 1433-SA #1 recorded as 'aye'



3.	<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
	8		Speaker Redmond	
	8		Giorgi	
	8		Speaker Redmond	
	9		Ewing	HB 317-SA #1
	9		Houlihan, D.	
	9		Ewing	Move to nonconcur #1 Concur #2 & 3
	9		Speaker Redmond	Am 2 & 3 House concurs Am 1 House nonconcur
	10		Houlihan, D.	
	10		Speaker Redmond	
	10		Flinn	HB 618-SA #1 & 3
	10	10:48	Speaker Redmond	House concurs
	10		Schlickman	HB 509-SA #1
	10		Speaker Redmond	
	11		Darrow)	Yield
	11) Schlickman)	
	11		Speaker Redmond	House concurs
	11		Schlickman	HB 804-SA #1
	11		Speaker Redmond	House concurs
	12		Huskey	HB 1182-SA #1
	12		Speaker Redmond	House nonconcur
	12		Huskey	
	12		Speaker Redmond	
	12		Mugalian	Out of record
	12		Speaker Redmond	
	12		Huskey	Yields to Mahar
	12		Speaker Redmond	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
4.			
13		Mahar	HB 1191-SA 2,3,4
13		Speaker Redmond	House concurs
13		Mahar	HB 1327-SA #1
13		Speaker Redmond	
14		Houlihan, D.)	Amendment not printed
)	
14		Mahar)	Out of record
14		Speaker Redmond	
14	11:58	Friedrich	
14		Speaker Redmond	
14		Schlickman)	
)	
14		Speaker Redmond)	
)	
14		Mahar)	
14		Speaker Redmond	
15		Sharp	HB 1625-SA #1
15		Speaker Redmond	
15		Totten)	
)	
16		Sharp)	
16		Speaker Redmond	House concurs
16		Anderson	HB 1729-SA #1
16		Speaker Redmond	House nonconcur
17		Laurino	HB 1806-SA #1
17		Speaker Redmond	House nonconcur
17		Houlihan, J.	HB 2013-SA #1
17		Speaker Redmond	House concurs
18		Friedrich	HB 2033-SA #1
18		Speaker Redmond	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
5.			
18		Schneider)	
)	
18		Friedrich)	
18		Speaker Redmond	House concurs
18	11:08	Giglio	HB 1928-SA #1
18		Speaker Redmond	
19		Schlickman)	
)	
19		Giglio)	
19		Speaker Redmond	
20		Schlickman	Address Amendment
20		Speaker Redmond	
20		Ebbesen	
20		Speaker Redmond	House concurs
21		McMaster	HB 2053-SA #1
21		Speaker Redmond	House concurs
21		Polk	HB 2062-SA #1
21		Speaker Redmond	
21		Totten)	
)	
21		Polk)	
21		Speaker Redmond	
22		Schlickman)	
)	
23		Polk)	
23		Speaker Redmond	
23		Porter)	
)	
23		Polk)	
24		Speaker Redmond	House concurs
24		Sandquist	HB 2063-SA #1
24	11:22	Speaker Redmond	



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>5.</u>	<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
	18		Schneider)	
)	
	18		Friedrich)	
	18		Speaker Redmond	House concurs
	18	11:08	Giglio	HB 1928-SA #1
	18		Speaker Redmond	
	19		Schlickman)	
)	
	19		Giglio)	
	19		Speaker Redmond	
	20		Schlickman	Address Amendment
	20		Speaker Redmond	
	20		Ebbesen	
	20		Speaker Redmond	House concurs
	21		McMaster	HB 2053-SA #1
	21		Speaker Redmond	House concurs
	21		Polk	HB 2062-SA #1
	21		Speaker Redmond	
	21		Totten)	
)	
	21		Polk)	
	21		Speaker Redmond	
	22		Schlickman)	
)	
	23		Polk)	
	23		Speaker Redmond	
	23		Porter)	
)	
	23		Polk)	
	24		Speaker Redmond	House concurs
	24		Sandquist	HB 2063-SA #1
	24	11:22	Speaker Redmond	



6. Page	Time	Speaker	Information
25		Schlickman	
25		Speaker Redmond	
25		Geo-Karis)	
)	
25		Sandquist)	
25		Speaker Redmond	
25		Geo-Karis	Urges 'aye'
25		Speaker Redmond	
25		Brummer)	
)	
26		Sandquist)	
26		Speaker Redmond	
26		Porter)	
)	
27		Sandquist)	
27		Speaker Redmond	House concurs Introduces Jes Jones & John Leon
28		Byers	HB 2086-SA #1 & 2
28		Speaker Redmond	
28		Schlickman	
28		Byers	
28		Speaker Redmond	House concurs
29		Mann	HB 2089-SA #1
29		Speaker Redmond	House nonconcur #1
29		Mann	Amendment #2
29		Speaker Redmond	House concurs SA #2
30		Willer)	HB 2163-SA #1
)	
30		Speaker Redmond)	House concurs
30		Macdonald	HB 2240-SA #1
30		Speaker Redmond	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
7.			
30		Schlickman)	
)	
31		Macdonald)	
31		Speaker Redmond	House concurs
31		Hart	HB 2289-SA #1
32		Speaker Redmond	House concurs
32		Stuffle	HB 2290-SA #1
32		Ebbesen	
32		Stuffle	
33		Speaker Redmond	House concurs
33	11:42	Kempiners	HB 2327-SA #1
33		Speaker Redmond	House concurs
34		Lechowicz	HB 2338-SA #1
34		Speaker Redmond	House concurs
34		Lechowicz	HB 2343-SA #2
34		Speaker Redmond	
34		Telcser)	Question
)	
35		Lechowicz)	
35		Speaker Redmond	
35		Schlickman)	
)	
36		Lechowicz)	
36		Speaker Redmond	
36		Totten)	
)	
36		Lechowicz)	
36		Speaker Redmond	House concurs
37		Lechowicz	HB 2345-SA #1
37		Speaker Redmond	House concurs
37		Lechowicz	HB 2348-SA #1



<u>8.</u> <u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
38		Speaker Redmond	House concurs
38		Lechowicz	HB 2349-SA #1
38		Speaker Redmond	House concurs HB 2350-SA #1
38		Lechowicz	HB 2350-SA #1
38		Speaker Redmond	House concurs
39		Taylor	Out of record
39		Speaker Redmond	
39		Christensen	HB 2367
39		Speaker Redmond	
39		Houlihan	
39		Speaker Redmond	House concurs
40		Bradley	HB 2381-SA #2
40		Speaker Redmond	House concurs
40		Stuffle	HB 2391-SA #2
40		Speaker Redmond	
40		Peters	
41		Speaker Redmond	House concurs
41		Clerk O'Brien	
41		Speaker Redmond	
41	12:04	Huskey	HB 1182-SA #1 & 3
41		Speaker Redmond	
41		Mautino)	
)	
42		Huskey)	
42		Speaker Redmond	House concurs
42		McAuliffe	HB 1102-SA #1
42		Speaker Redmond	House nonconcurs



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
9.			
43		Mahar	HB 1327-SA #1
43		Speaker Redmond	House concurs
43		Stanley	HB 2179-SA #1
43		Speaker Redmond	
43		Kane)	
)	
43		Stanley)	
43		Speaker Redmond	
44		McMaster)	
)	
45		Stanley)	
)	
45		Speaker Redmond)	
)	
45		Robinson)	
45		Speaker Redmond	
45		Byers	
45		Speaker Redmond	
46		Ewing	
46		Speaker Redmond	133 'no' 8 'aye'
46		Stanley	Moves to nonconcur
46		Speaker Redmond	House nonconcur
47	12:15	Schuneman	HB 1481-SA #1
47		Speaker Redmond	
47		Kane)	
)	
47		Schuneman)	
47		Speaker Redmond	House concurs
48		Kane	HB 1418-SA #1
48		Speaker Redmond	
48		Cunningham	
48		Speaker Redmond	House concurs



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
10.			
48		Skinner	HB 2308-SA #2
49		Speaker Redmond	House concurs
49		Skinner	HB 2198-SA #1
49		Speaker Redmond	House concurs
49		Kucharski	HB 2030-SA #1
50		Speaker Redmond	House nonconcurr
50		McClain	Inquiry
50		Speaker Redmond	
51		Totten	HB 1200-SA #1
51		Speaker Redmond	
51		Byers)	
)	
51		Totten)	
51		Speaker Redmond	
52		Schlickman)	
)	
52		Totten)	
52	12:27	Speaker Redmond	
52		Chapman	
52		Speaker Redmond	
53		Leinenweber)	
)	
54		Totten)	
54		Speaker Redmond	
54		Jaffe	
54		Speaker Redmond	
55		Friedrich	
55	12:32	Speaker Redmond	
55		Darrow	Moves previous question
55		Speaker Redmond	



Page	Time	Speaker	Information
11.			
55		Totten	HB 1200-SA #1. Move to concur
56		Speaker Redmond	
56		Marovitz	
56		Speaker Redmond	House concurs
56		Matijevich	
56		Speaker Redmond	
57		Bluthardt	
57		Speaker Redmond	Recess 1:45
57	2:39	"	House to order
58,59		Clerk O'Brien	Messages from Senate
59		Speaker Redmond	
59	2:43	Daniels	HB 156-SA #1
59		Speaker Redmond	House concurs Amendment #1
60		Daniels	Amendments #2 & 3
60		Speaker Redmond	Leave for some roll-House concurs
60		Keats	Add 'aye' vote
60		Speaker Redmond	
60		Taylor	HB 391-SA #1
60		Speaker Redmond	
60		Houlihan, D.)	
60)	
60		Speaker Redmond)	
61		Taylor	
61		Speaker Redmond	House nonconcur
62		Sandquist	House Bill 595-SA #1
62		Speaker Redmond	
63		Schlickman)	
63)	
63		Sandquist)	



<u>12.</u> <u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
63		Speaker Redmond	
63		Houlihan, D.)	
63		Sandquist)	
63		Speaker Redmond	
64		Conti	
64		Speaker Redmond	
64	2:54	Pierce)	
65		Sandquist)	
65		Speaker Redmond	
66		Martin, L.)	
66		Sandquist)	
66		Speaker Redmond	
66		Giorgi	
66		Speaker Redmond	
67		Byers	Recommend 'no' vote
67		Speaker Redmond	
67		Sandquist	
68		Speaker Redmond	House concurs
68		Steele	HB 621-SA #1
68		Speaker Redmond	House concurs
68		Lucco	Leave 'aye' on 621
68		Speaker Redmond	
69		Walsh	HB 365-SA #1
69		Speaker Redmond	
70		Byers)	
70		Walsh)	
70		Speaker Redmond	



<u>13.</u> <u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
70		Epton))	
70		Walsh)	
70	3:08	Speaker Redmond	
71		Robinson))	
71		Walsh)	
71		Speaker Redmond	House concurs
71		Lucco	Recorded 'aye' on HB 595
72		Speaker Redmond	
72		Mann	Record 'aye'
72		Speaker Redmond	
72		Mautino	Take out
72		Pierce	HB 112-SA #1
73		Speaker Redmond	House concurs
73		Pierce	HB 780-SA #1
73		Speaker Redmond	House concurs
74		Houlihan, D.	HB 322-SA #1
74		Speaker Redmond	House concurs
74		Edgar	HB-1020-SA #1
74		Speaker Redmond	
74		Schlickman))	
75		Edgar)	
75		Speaker Redmond	Out of record
76		Griesheimer	HB 168-SA #1
76		Speaker Redmond	House nonconcurs
76		Van Duyne	HB 299-SA #1
76		Speaker Redmond	House nonconcurs
76		Mautino	HB 47-SA #1



<u>14.</u> <u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
76		Speaker Redmond	
76	3:23	Totten)	
)	
76		Mautino)	
77		Speaker Redmond	House concurs
77		Chapman	HB 692-SA #1
77		Speaker Redmond	
77		Schneider)	
)	
77		Chapman)	
77		Speaker Redmond	House concurs
78		McGrew	HB 930-SA #1
78		Speaker Redmond	House concurs
78		McGrew	HB 69-SA #1
78		Speaker Redmond	
78		Totten)	
)	
79		McGrew)	
79		Speaker Redmond	
79		Leinenweber	Urges 'no'
79		Speaker Redmond	
80		Keats	
80		Speaker Redmond	
80		Lucco	Point of order
80		Speaker Redmond	
81		Schneider	
81		McGrew	
81	3:35	Speaker Redmond	House concurs
81		McGrew	HB 1198-Amendment #4
81		Speaker Redmond	



<u>15.</u> <u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
81		Totten)	
82		McGrew)	
82		Speaker Redmond	House concurs
82		Stiehl	
82		Speaker Redmond	
82		Deavers	SB 336-SA #1
83		Barnes	
83		Speaker Redmond	
83		Houlihan, D.)	
83		Deavers)	
84		Speaker Redmond	Out of record
84		Deavers	Move House recede-Am 1-SB 336
84		Speaker Redmond	House recedes
84		Klosak	SB 332-House Am #2
84		Speaker Redmond	House refuses to recede
84		Klosak	
85		Speaker Redmond	
85		Deuster	SB 325-Amendment #2
85		Speaker Redmond	
85		Houlihan, D.	Question
85		Deuster	Move refused to recede from Amendments 2 & 3
85		Speaker Redmond	
86		Totten)	Question of Chair
86		Speaker Redmond)	House refuses to recede
86		McBroom)	SB 337-HA #1
86		Speaker Redmond)	House refuses to recede



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
16.			
86		McBroom	SB 339-HA #1
86		Speaker Redmond	House refuses to recede
87		Wikoff	SB 543=HA #1 & 3
87		Speaker Redmond	House refuses to recede
87		Mugalian	SJR 50
88		Speaker Redmond	
88		Houlihan, D.	Support
88		Speaker Redmond	Resolution adopted
88		Totten	HB 364-SA #1
88		Speaker Redmond	
88		Macdonald	
89		Speaker Redmond	
89		Clerk Hall	
89	4:00	Clerk O'Brien	SB 501-2nd Reading
89		Speaker Redmond	
89		Clerk O'Brien	Motion to table #5
89		Speaker Redmond	
90,91		Peters	Move to table motion to table
91		Speaker Redmond	Withdrawn
91		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #7 failed-F.A. #8
91		Speaker Redmond	
91		Lauer	
92		Speaker Redmond	
92		Barnes, E. M.	
92		Speaker Redmond	Motion fails
93		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #9
93		Speaker Redmond	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
17.			
93		Birchler	Withdraws Amendment #9
93		Speaker Redmond	Withdrawn
93		Clerk O'Brien	Floor Amendment #10
93		Speaker Redmond	
93,		Robinson	Leave to consider 10 & 11
93		Speaker Redmond	
93		Kempiners	Look at #10
93		Speaker Redmond	#10 withdrawn
93		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #11
93		Speaker Redmond	
94	4:11	Robinson	
94		Speaker Redmond	
95		Breslin	Support
95		Speaker Redmond	
95		Kosinski)	
)	
95		Robinson)	
95		Speaker Redmond	
96		Hoxsey	
96		Speaker Redmond	
96		Speaker Redmond	
96		Ewing	
96		Speaker Redmond	
97		Barnes, E. M.)	
)	
98		Hanahan)	
99		Speaker Redmond	
99		Winchester	Moves previous question
99		Speaker Redmond	



<u>18.</u> <u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
100		Hanahan	To close
101		Speaker Redmond	
101		Kosinski	
101		Speaker Redmond	
101		Robinson	
101		Speaker Redmond	Amendment #11 fails
101		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #12
101		Speaker Redmond	
102	4:29	Birchler	
102		Speaker Redmond	
102		Peters	Support
102		Speaker Redmond	
102		Birchler	To close
103		Speaker Redmond	Amendment #12 adopted-3rd Reading
103		McClain	HB 579-SA #1
104		Speaker Redmond	House concurs
104		Tipsword	HB 2164-SA #1
104		Schlickman)	
)	
105		Tipsword)	
)	
106		Speaker Redmond)	
106		Cunningham	
106		Speaker Redmond	House concurs
107		Holewinski	HB 1096-SA #1,2,3
107		Speaker Redmond	
107		Leinenweber)	
)	
108,109,110		Holewinski)	
111		Speaker Redmond	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
112	4:50	Pierce	
112		Speaker Redmond	
113		Jones, E.	
113		Speaker Redmond	
113		Huff	Moves previous question
114		Holewinski	
114		Speaker Redmond	
114		Schlickman	Asks division of question
114		Speaker Redmond	Amendment #1
114		Totten	
115		Speaker Redmond	House concurs Am #1,2,3
115		Geo-Karis	
115		Speaker Redmond	
116		Yourell	
116		Speaker Redmond	
116		Vitek	'aye' on Am 3-HB 1096
116		Speaker Redmond	
116		Taylor	HB 2355-SA #1
116		Speaker Redmond	House nonconcur
117		McGrew	HB 1740-SA #2
117		Speaker Redmond	
117		Leinenweber)	
118		McGrew)	
118	5:08	Speaker Redmond	House concurs
118		Ryan	Republican Conference
118		Speaker Redmond	
118		Hart	Democrat Conference



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
20.			
118		Speaker Redmond	
118		Ryan	
119		Speaker Redmond	House to order Senate Bills Second Reading
119		O'Brien	SB 496
119		Speaker Redmond	
119		Houlihan, D.	Withdraws motion on #8
119		Speaker Redmond	
119		O'Brien	
119		Speaker Redmond	
120		Hoxsey)	Table #14. Withdraw
120		Speaker Redmond)	#14 tabled
120		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #38
120		Speaker Redmond	
120		Telcser	
120		Speaker Redmond	
120		Matijevich	
121		O'Brien)	
121		Speaker Redmond)	
121		Telcser)	
121		Speaker Redmond)	
121		McGrew	Moves adoption #38
121		Speaker Redmond	
121		Telcser	
121		Speaker Redmond	
122		Leinenweber)	
122		Telcser)	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
21.			
122		Speaker Redmond	
122		McGrew	To close
122		Speaker Redmond	Adopted
122		Clerk O'Brien	#39
123		Edgar	
123		Speaker Redmond	
123		Telcser	
123		Speaker Redmond	Adopted
123		Clerk O'Brien	#40
123		Reilly	
123		Speaker Redmond	
123		Telcser	
123		Speaker Redmond	
123		Matijevich	
124		Reilly	
124		Speaker Redmond	
124		McGrew)	
)	
125		Reilly)	
125		Speaker Redmond	
125		Ebbesen	
126		McGrew	
126		Speaker Redmond	Adopted
126		Clerk O'Brien	#41
126		Speaker Redmond	
126		Telcser	
126		Speaker Redmond	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
22.			
126		Mudd	Withdraws #41
126		Speaker Redmond	Leave
126		Clerk O'Brien	#42
126		Hoxsey	
127		Speaker Redmond	Adopted
127		Clerk O'Brien	#43
127		Mudd	
127		Speaker Redmond	
128		Telcser	Opposed
128		Speaker Redmond	
129		Mudd	To close
129		Speaker Redmond	Adopted
129		Clerk O'Brien	
129		Speaker Redmond	Third Reading
129		Clerk O'Brien	SB 321
129		Telcser	
129		Speaker Redmond	Passed
130		Clerk O'Brien	SB 331
130		Telcser	
130		Speaker Redmond	Passed
130		Clerk O'Brien	SB 495
130		Speaker Redmond	
130		Telcser	
130		Speaker Redmond	
130		Leverenz	
131		Speaker Redmond	Passed
131		Huskey	Request 1182



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
23.			
131		Speaker Redmond	
131		Clerk O'Brien	Messages from Senate
131		Speaker Redmond	
131		Bowman	
131		Speaker Redmond	
131		Clerk O'Brien	
131		Speaker Redmond	HB 2nd Reading-HB 2414
131		Bowman	Motion
132		Speaker Redmond	Puts motion. Fails
133	7:23	Matijevich	Move to handle all Bills on Short Debate. Am on 2414
133		Stuffle	
133		Speaker Redmond	
133		Matijevich	Amendment motion
133		Speaker Redmond	
134		Holewinski	
134		Speaker Redmond	
134		Byers	
134		Speaker Redmond	
134		Deavers	Motion
135		Speaker Redmond	
135		Robinson	
135		Speaker Redmond	
135		Holewinski	
135		Speaker Redmond	
135		Flinn	
135		Speaker Redmond	



<u>24.</u> <u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
136		Houlihan, J.	
136		Speaker Redmond	
136		Van Dwyne	
136		Speaker Redmond	
136		Matijevich	To close
136		Speaker Redmond	
136		Robinson	
136	7:30	Speaker Redmond	Robinson's motion
136		Byers	Verify Roll Call
137		Speaker Redmond	Motion fails
137		Byers	Requests verification of negative roll
137		Speaker Redmond	
137		Matijevich	Point of order
137		Speaker Redmond	
137		Giorgi	
137		Speaker Redmond	
137		Giorgi	
137		Speaker Redmond	
137		Davis, J.	
137		Speaker Redmond	
137		Terzich	
137		Speaker Redmond	
137		Vitek	
138		Speaker Redmond	
138		Clerk O'Brien	Oral Roll Call
138		Speaker Redmond	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
25.			
138		Katz	
138		Speaker Redmond	
139		Clerk O'Brien	
139		Flinn	No
139		O'Brien	
139		Speaker Redmond	Motion to table fails
139		Houlihan, J.	Parliamentary inquiry
139		Speaker Redmond	
140		Matijevich	Repeats motion
140		Speaker Redmond	
140		Houlihan, J.	
140		Speaker Redmond	
141	7:52	Matijevich	
141		Speaker Redmond	
141		Mudd	Point of information
141		Speaker Redmond	
141		Houlihan, J.)	
)	
141		Speaker Redmond)	
142		Bowman	
142		Speaker Redmond	Matijevich's motion
142		McPike	Yield
142		Matijevich	
142		Speaker Redmond	
142		Byers	
142		Speaker Redmond	
142		Ewell	
143		Speaker Redmond	



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

 STATE OF ILLINOIS
 HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
26.			
143		Speaker Redmond	
144		Houlihan, J.	
144		Speaker Redmond	
144		Jones, E.	Point of order
144		Speaker Redmond	
145		Houlihan, J.	Continues
145		Speaker Redmond	
145		Speaker Redmond	Motion carries
146	8:03	McMaster	Moves House do away with explanation of votes
146		Speaker Redmond	Out of order
146		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #1
146		Speaker Redmond	
146		O'Brien	
146		Speaker Redmond	
147		Skinner	Withdraws #1
147		Speaker Redmond	
147		Clerk Hall	Amendment #2
147		Speaker Redmond	
147		Skinner	Amendment #2
147		Speaker Redmond	
147		Ryan	Opposed
147		Speaker Redmond	
148		Holewinski	Explanation of vote
148		Speaker Redmond	
148		Dunn, J.	
148		Speaker Redmond	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
27.			
148		Deavers	
148		Speaker Redmond	
148		Dunn, J.	Continues
149	8:10	Houlihan, J.	
149		Speaker Redmond	
150		Yourell	
150		Speaker Redmond	
150		Davis, C.	Point of order
150		Speaker Redmond	Motion fails
150		Robinson	
150		Speaker Redmond	
150		Lechowicz	
150		Clerk Hall	Amendment #3
150		Speaker Redmond	
151		Houlihan, J.	
151		Speaker Redmond	
151		Lechowicz	
151		Speaker Redmond	
151		Clerk Hall	Amendment #3
151		Speaker Redmond	
151		Houlihan, J.	
151		Speaker Redmond	
152		Skinner	
152		Speaker Redmond	
152		Ryan	
152		Speaker Redmond	
152		Holewinski	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
28.			
152		Speaker Redmond	
153		Houlihan	
153		Speaker Redmond	
153		Robinson	Point of inquiry
153		Speaker Redmond	
153		Kelly	Change to 'no'
153		Speaker Redmond	
154		Friedrich	
154		Speaker Redmond	
154		Leverenz	Record 'no'
154		Speaker Redmond	Amendment defeated
154		Clerk Hall	Amendment #4
155		Skinner	
155		Speaker Redmond	
156		Bowman	
156		Speaker Redmond	Amendment #4
156		Houlihan, J.	
156		Speaker Redmond	
156		Deuster	
156		Speaker Redmond	
157		Robinson	Explains vote
157		Speaker Redmond	
157		Mugalian	"
157		Speaker Redmond	
157		Meyer	
157		Speaker Redmond	
158		Levin	



<u>29.</u> <u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
158		Speaker Redmond	
158		O'Brien	
158		Speaker Redmond	Motion fails
158	8:32	Clerk Hall	Amendment #5
158		Speaker Redmond)	
158		Madison)	
158		Speaker Redmond	
158		Ebbesen	
158		Speaker Redmond	
159		Skinner	Leave to table #5
159		Speaker Redmond	Tabled
159		Clerk Hall	Amendment #6
159		Skinner	
159		Speaker Redmond	
159		Madison	Point of order
159		Speaker Redmond	
159		Bowman	Explains vote
159		Speaker Redmond	
159		Collins	
159		Speaker Redmond	
160		Mugalian	
160		Speaker Redmond	Motion fails
160		Clerk Hall	Amendment #7
160		Skinner	Table
160		Speaker Redmond	
160		Clerk Hall	Amendment #8
160	8:36	Speaker Redmond	



<u>30.</u> <u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
160		Skinner	
160		Speaker Redmond	
160		Ryan	Oppose
160		Speaker Redmond	
161		Houlihan, J.	
161		Speaker Redmond	
161		Schneider	
161		Speaker Redmond	
161		Dunn, J.	
161		Speaker Redmond	
161		Geo-Karis	
161		Speaker Redmond	
161		Houlihan, J.	
161		Speaker Redmond	
162		Holewinski	
162		Speaker Redmond	
162		Mulcahey	
162		Speaker Redmond	
163		Deuster	
163		Speaker Redmond	
163		Byers	
163		Speaker Redmond	
164		Robinson	
164		Speaker Redmond	
164		Bowman	
164		Speaker Redmond	
164		Williams	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
31.			
164		Speaker Redmond	
165	8:47	Yourell	
165		Speaker Redmond	
166		Madison	
166		Speaker Redmond	
166		Bluthardt	
166		Speaker Redmond	
166		Collins	
166		Speaker Redmond	
166		Byers)	Verification
166		Speaker Redmond)	Dilatory
166		Speaker Redmond	
167		Houlihan, J.	
167		Speaker Redmond	
167		Matijevich	
167		Speaker Redmond	
167		Robinson	
167		Speaker Redmond	
167		Ebbesen	
167		Speaker Redmond)	
168		Huff)	
168		Speaker Redmond	
168		Houlihan, J.	
169		Speaker Redmond)	
169		Friedrich)	
169		Speaker Redmond	
169		Tippsword	



<u>32.</u> <u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
170		Speaker Redmond	
170		Yourell	
170		Speaker Redmond	
170		Barnes, E.	
170		Speaker Redmond	
171	9:01	Clerk O'Brien	Oral Roll Call
171		Speaker Redmond	
171		Ryan	
171		Clerk O'Brien	Continues
171		Speaker Redmond	
171		Conti	Change to 'no'
171		Speaker Redmond	
171		Huskey	'No'
172		Speaker Redmond	
172		Clerk O'Brien	Continues
172		Speaker Redmond	
172		O'Brien	Cubs won 4 - 3
172		Speaker Redmond	
172		Clerk O'Brien	Proceeds
172		Speaker Redmond	
172		Houlihan, J.	
172		Speaker Redmond	
173		Clerk O'Brien	Proceeds
173		Speaker Redmond	
173		Bennett	
173		Speaker Redmond	
174		Stanley	'aye'



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
33.			
174		Speaker Redmond	
174		Ebbesen	
174		Speaker Redmond	Amendment not adopted
174		Winchester	Withdraws 22 & 24
174	9:21	Lauer)	Inquiry of Chair
174		Speaker Redmond)	
174		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #9
175		Speaker Redmond	
175		Madison	Inquiry of Chair
175		Speaker Redmond	
175		Deavers	Introduction
175		Speaker Redmond	
175		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #9
175		Speaker Redmond	
175		McBroom	
175		Speaker Redmond	
175		Skinner	Withdraws #9
175		Speaker Redmond	
175		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #10
175		Speaker Redmond	Withdraws #10
175		Skinner	
175		Speaker Redmond	
175		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #10
176		Skinner	
176		Speaker Redmond	
176		Keats	Questions germaneness
176		Speaker Redmond	Germane



34. Page	Time	Speaker	Information
176		Speaker redmond	
176		Meyer)	
)	
176		Yourell)	
176		Speaker Redmond	
176		Holewinski	
176		Speaker Redmond	
177		Waddell	
177		Speaker Redmond	
177		Meyer	
177		Speaker Redmond	
177		Ryan	Oppose Amendment
178		Speaker Redmond	
178	9:27	Holewinski	Explains 'yes' vote
178		Speaker Redmond	
178		Matijeovich	'aye' vote
178		Speaker Redmond	
179		Houlihan, J.	
179		Speaker Redmond	
179		Mulcahey	
179		Speaker Redmond	
179		Kosinski	
180		Speaker Redmond	
180		Leverenz	
180		Speaker Redmond	
180		Meyer	
180		Speaker Redmond.	
180		Yourell	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
35.			
180	9:35	Ebbesen	Renews motion
181		Speaker Redmond	
181		Houlihan, J.	
181		Speaker Redmond	
181		Robinson	
181		Speaker Redmond	
182		Yourell	
182		Speaker Redmond	
182		McPike	
182		Speaker Redmond	
182		Mautino	
182		Speaker Redmond	
183		Bluthardt	Personal privilege
183		Speaker Redmond	
183		Martin, L.	Explains vote
183		Speaker Redmond	Amendment not adopted
183		Madison	
184		Speaker Redmond	
184		Stuffle	
184		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #12
184		Speaker Redmond	
184		Skinner	Leave to withdraw
184		Speaker Redmond	
184		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #13
184		Speaker Redmond	
184		Skinner	
184		Speaker Redmond	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
36.			
185		Ryan	
185		Speaker Redmond	
185		Holewinski	
185		Speaker Redmond	
185		Schneider	
185		Speaker Redmond	
186		Robinson	
186		Speaker Redmond	
186		Leinenweber	
186		Speaker Redmond	
186		Wolf	
186		Speaker Redmond	
187		Martin, P.	
187		Speaker Redmond	
187	9:51	Ebbesen	
187		Speaker Redmond	
188		Matijevich	Move proceed to #30
188		Speaker Redmond)	Motion carries
188		Houlihan)	Intentions of Chair
188		Speaker Redmond	
188		Matijevich	Point of order
188		Speaker Redmond	
188		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #30
189		Speaker Redmond	
189		Sumner	
189		Speaker Redmond	
189		Holewinski	Point of order



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
37.			
189		Speaker Redmond	Motion carries Amend adopted
189		Houlihan, J.	
190		Speaker Redmond	Amendment #13
190		Tipsword	Inquiry of Chair
190		Speaker Redmond	
190	9:59	Skinner	
191		Speaker Redmond	Motion fails
191		Stuffle	Move to Amendment #36
191		Speaker Redmond	
191		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #14
191		Speaker Redmond	
191		Skinner	Table Amendment #14
191		Speaker Redmond	
191		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #15
191		Speaker Redmond	
191		Skinner	Withdraws #15
191		Speaker Redmond	
191		Meyer	Go to #69
191		Speaker Redmond	Amendment #16
192		Skinner	Withdraws #16
192		Speaker Redmond	
192		Dunn, J.	Leave to vote 'no' on #30
192		Speaker Redmond	
192		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #16
192		Speaker Redmond	
192	10:00	Kent	Withdraws Amendment #16
192		Speaker Redmond	Withdrawn



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
38.			
192		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #18
193		Darrow	Votes 'aye' on #30
193		Speaker Redmond	
193		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #18
193		Speaker Redmond	
194		Holewinski	
194		Speaker Redmond	
194		Holewinski	Continues
195		Speaker Redmond	
195		Ryan	Opposes Amendment
195		Speaker Redmond	
195		Robinson	
195		Speaker Redmond	
196		Houlihan, J.	
196		Clerk O'Brien	Change of Speakers-Matijeovich
196		Speaker Matijeovich	
196		Madison	Conflict of interest
196		Speaker Matijeovich	
196		Byers	'yes'
196		Speaker Matijeovich	
196		Kelly	
197		Speaker Matiejvich	
197		Kelly	
197		Speaker Matijeovich	
197		Stuffle	
197		Speaker Matijeovich	
198		Conti	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
39.			
198		Speaker Matijevich	
198		Flinn	
198		Speaker Matijevich	
198		Yourell	
198		Speaker Matijevich	
198		Mann	
199		Speaker Matijevich	
199		Holewinski	
199		Speaker Matijevich	
199		Huff	
199		Speaker Matijevich	
200		Geo-Karis	
200		Speaker Matijevich	
200		Terzich	
200		Speaker Matijevich	
200		Collins	Introduction
200		Speaker Matijevich	
201		Bowman	
201		Speaker Matijevich	
201		Mugalian	Explains vote
201		Speaker Matijevich	
202		Schneider	
202		Speaker Matijevich	Amendment lost
202		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #19
202		Speaker Matijevich	
203		Holewinski	
203		Speaker Matijevich	



<u>40.</u> <u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
203		Ryan	
203		Speaker Matijejvich	
204		Houlihan, J.	
204		Speaker Matijejvich	
204	10:27	Meyer	Renews motion
204		Speaker Matijejvich	
204		Robinson	
205		Speaker Matijejvich	
205		Byers	
205		Speaker Matijejvich	Amendment #19 fails
205		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #20
205		Speaker Matijejvich	
205		Houlihan, J.	
205		Speaker Matijejvich	
206		Leinenweber	Point of order
206		Speaker Matijejvich	
206		Houlihan	
206		Speaker Matijejvich	
206		Huff	Point of order
206		Speaker Matijejvich	
207		Meyer	Point of order
207		Speaker Matijejvich	
207		Houlihan	
207		Speaker Madigan	
207		Schneider	
207		Speaker Matijejvich	
207	9:35	Jones, E.	



<u>41.</u>	<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
	207		Speaker Matijeivich	
	207		Dunn, J.	
	207		Speaker Matiejvich	
	208		Holewinski	
	208		Speaker Matijeivich	
	208		Yourell	
	208		Speaker Matijeivich	
	208		Houlihan, J.	
	208		Speaker Matijeivich	
	209		Jones, E.	
	209		Speaker Matijeivich	Amendment fails
	209		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #21
	209		Speaker Matijeivich	
	209		Brummet	Point of inquiry
	209		Speaker Matijeivich	
	210		Houlihan, J.	Explains Amendment #21
	210		Speaker Matijeivich	
	210		Houlihan, J.	
	210		Speaker Matijeivich	
	211		Holewinski	Explains vote
	211		Speaker Matijeivich	
	211		Byers	
	211		Speaker Matijeivich	
	211		Robinson	
	212		Speaker Matijeivich	
	212		Yourell	Take Roll Call
	212		Speaker Matijeivich	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
42.			
212		Ebbesen	Move to go to Amendment #69
212		Speaker Matijevec	
212		Ryan	
212	10:47	Byers	
212		Speaker Matijevec	
213		Houlihan	
213		Speaker Matijevec	
213		Tipsword	
213		Speaker Matijevec	
213		McClain	
213		Speaker Matijevec	
213		Schneider	
214		Speaker Matijevec	
214		Johnson	
214		Speaker Matijevec	
214		Houlihan, J.	
214		Speaker Matijevec	
214		Geo-Karis	
214		Speaker Matijevec	
214		Houlihan, J.	
214		Speaker Matijevec	
215		Holewinski	
215		Speaker Matijevec	Motion to proceed to 69 prevails
215		Friedrich	
215		Speaker Matijevec	
216		Lauer	Point of personal privilege
216	10:55	Speaker Matijevec	Chair sustained



<u>43.</u> <u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
216		Madison	
216		Speaker Matijevich	Amendment #69
217		Houlihan, J.	Explains vote
217		Speaker Matijevich	
217		Skinner	
217		Speaker Matijevich	
217		Kelly	Inquiry of chair
217		Speaker Matijevich	
218		Mugalian	Parliamentary Inquiry
218		Speaker Matijevich	
218		Robinson	
218		Speaker Matijevich	
218		McClain	
218		Speaker Matijevich	
219		Bowman	
219		Speaker Matijevich	
219		Robinson	
219		Speaker Matijevich	
219		Mudd	
220		Speaker Matijevich	
220		Steczo	Parliamentary Inquiry
220		Speaker Matijevich	
220	11:05	Stuffle	
220		Speaker Matijevich	
220		Deuster	
220		Speaker Matijevich	
220		Dunn, J.	



44. <u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
221		Speaker Matijevich	
221		Tipsword	
221		Speaker Matijevich	Amendment #69
221		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #22 withdrawn
221		"	Amendment #23
221		Speaker Matijevich	
222		Lucco	Explains Amendment #23
222		Speaker Matijevich	
222		Lucco	
223		Speaker Matijevich	
223		Ebbesen	Oppose
223		Speaker Matijevich	
223		Houlihan, J.	
223		Speaker Matijevich	
223		Stuffle	
223		Speaker Matijevich	
223		Ebbesen	Out of order
223		Speaker Matijevich	
223		Stuffle	Vote 'aye'
223		Speaker Matijevich	
224		Byers	Votes 'aye'
224		Speaker Matijevich	
224		Robinson	
224		Speaker Matijevich	
224		Houlihan, J.	
224		Speaker Matijevich	
224		Lucco	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
45.			
225		Speaker Matijevec	
225		Houlihan, J.	Objections
225		Speaker Matijevec	
225		Clerk O'Brien	2413, 2nd
225		Speaker Matijevec	Back to 2414
225		Houlihan, J.	
225		Speaker Matijevec	
225		Dunn, J.	
226		Speaker Matijevec	
226		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment 24
226		Speaker Matijevec	
226		Madison	
226		Speaker Matijevec	
226		Harris	
226		Speaker Matijevec	
226		Mugalian	Point of order
226		Speaker Matijevec	
227		Harris	
227		Speaker Matijevec	
227		Ryan	
227		Speaker Matijevec	
227		Clerk Hall	Amendment 26
227		Speaker Matijevec	
227		Houlihan, J.	
227		Speaker Matijevec	
227		Summer	
227		Speaker Matijevec	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
46.			
227		Clerk Hall	Amendment #27
227		Speaker Matijevec)	
227		Stuffle)	Withdraws Amendment #27
227		Speaker Matijevec	
227		Clerk Hall	
228		Speaker Matijevec	
228		Stuffle	
228		Speaker Matijevec	
228		Ryan	
228		Speaker Matijevec	
228		Clerk Hall	Amendment #29
228		Speaker Matijevec	
229		Byers	
229		Speaker Matijevec	
229		McAuliffe	
229		Speaker Matijevec	
229	11:25	Ebbesen	Move to suspend rules
230		Speaker Matijevec	Advance HB 2414 to 3rd
230		Holewinski	Objects
230		Speaker Matijevec	
230		McClain	Dissent
230		Speaker Matijevec	
230		Bowman	
231		Speaker Matijevec	
231		Robinson	
231		Speaker Matijevec	
231		Brummer	



47.	<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
	231		Speaker Matijevidh	
	231		Johnson	
	231		Speaker Matijevidh	
	231		Schneider	
	232		Speaker Matijevidh	
	232		Greiman	
	232		Speaker Matijevidh	
	232		Tuerk	
	232		Speaker Matijevidh	
	233		Huff	
	233		Speaker Matijevidh	
	233		Houlihan, J.	
	234		Speaker Matijevidh	
	234	11:37	Mugalian	Explains vote
	234		Speaker Matijevidh	
	234		Yourell	
	234		Speaker Matijevidh	
	234		Mugalian	Continues
	234		Speaker Matijevidh	
	234		Holewinski	
	235		Speaker Matijevidh	Amendment adopted-3rd Reading
	235		Clerk Hall	HB 2414-3rd Reading
	235		Speaker Matijevidh	
	235		Ryan	
	235		Speaker Matijevidh	
	235		Martin, P.	
	235		Speaker Matijevidh	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
48.			
235		Vitek	Moves previous question
235		Speaker Matijevec	
236		Martin)	
236		Ryan)	
236		Speaker Matijevec	
236		Wolf	Moves previous question
236		Speaker Matijevec	
237		Wolf	
237		Ryan	
237		Speaker Matijevec	
238	11:45	McClain	
238		Speaker Matijevec	
238		Leverenz	
239		Speaker Matijevec	Previous question prevails
239		Ryan	To close
239		Speaker Matijevec	
239		Ewell	Point of personal privilege
239		Speaker Matijevec	
240		Houlihan, J.	
240		Speaker Matijevec	
240		Friedrich	
240		Speaker Matijevec	
240		Levin	
240		Speaker Matijevec	
240		Geo-Karis	
241		Speaker Matijevec	
241		Tipsword	Inquiry



49. <u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
241		Speaker Matijevich	
241		Willer	Explains vote
241		Speaker Matijevich	
241		Neff	"
241		Speaker Matijevich	
242	11:56	Williams	"
242		Speaker Matijevich	
242		Yourell	
242		Speaker Matijevich	
243		Kane	
243		Speaker Matijevich	
243		Deuster	
244		Speaker Matijevich	
244		Huff	
244		Speaker Matijevich	
244		Bowman	
245		Speaker Matijevich	
245		Holewinski	
245		Speaker Matijevich	
246		Robinson	
246		Stuffle	
246		Speaker Matijevich	
246		Flinn	
246		Speaker Matijevich	
247		Byers	
247		Speaker Matijevich	
247		Schneider	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
50.			
248		Ryan	Explains vote
248		Speaker Matijevec	HB 2414 passed
249		Houlihan, J.	Point of order
249		Yourell	
250		Speaker Matijevec	
250		Barnes, E. M.	'aye'
251		Speaker Matijevec	
251		Clerk Hall	Polls absentees
251		Speaker Matijevec	
251	12:16	Ebbesen	'no'
251		Clerk Hall	Continues absentees
251		Speaker Matijevec	
251		Clerk Hall) Affirmative Oral Roll Call
252		Speaker Matijevec)
253		Houlihan, J.) Questions Affirmative Roll
254		Speaker Matijevec	
254		Wolf	'present'
254		Speaker Matijevec	
254		Katz	'no'
254		Speaker Matijevec	
254		Christensen	
254		Speaker Matijevec	
254		Jacobs	'present'
254		Speaker Matijevec	
254		Walsh	
254		Speaker Matijevec	HB 2414 passed
254		Houlihan, D.	Move to reconsider



<u>51.</u>			
<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
254		Speaker Matijevich	Lechowicz. Motion lie on table
254		Madigan	Move adjourn 10 a.m.
255	12:28	Speaker Matijevich	Motion to recess
255		Lechowicz	

