

Doorkeeper Koehler: "All persons not entitled to the House floor, please retire to the gallery."

Speaker Redmond: "House will come to order. Members, please be in their seats. Be led in prayer by Father Daugherty, Fairfield, House Chaplain."

Father Daugherty: "God, under whatever title or name in which you are believed and prayed to, we now pray, that this may not be merely a superficial prayer to which we give only respectable attention. And so we offer You our thanks for life and love and all that makes us truly human. We petition You, God, to hear us so that Your Spirit will convert our intentions. That we may commit ourselves to the cause of social justice which represents the working of love in our world.You'll teach us really to see one another not in categories or as strangers but as living and human persons created by You in Your image. That You will endow us with courage to fight against evil in a spirit of nonviolence and love but making no peace with oppression and injustice. We pray that You will inform our minds and enlighten our hearts that we may do battle with evil in all those special social, political and economic situations which limit another person's opportunities to work and study, vote and live and cultivate freedom. That You will let us hear the human hearts beating alongside our own and let others hear the messages of our hearts that we may communicate with one another in the spirit of compassion and mercy in mutual need. We pray, God, that You will show us how to avoid empty smiles and polite forms which perpetuate evasion of truth and dishonest relationships. That You will guide us in irresolute events in our efforts to combat racism and prejudice, sexism and all radical or subtle forms of oppression. That we might gain equality and justice in humanity for all people's. And finally, God, have mercy upon us that grant us tension in the midst of false peace. And grant us that peace which surpasses all understanding in the midst of the struggle which we all are engaged on this earth for the dignity of all people. In Your name we pray. Amen."

Speaker Redmond: "Roll Call for attendance. Representative Barnes, for what purpose do you rise?"



Barnes, E. M: "Thank you very much. Well, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, as was indicated in the Appropriations II Committee yesterday, we had recessed to the call of the Chair. I have requested from you, Mr. Speaker, that we will be allowed until noon this morning to meet in the Appropriations II so that we can clear out our Calendar and begin to move those Bills into...the House floor. So I would request of the Chair immediate consideration for a recess so Appropriations II can meet until noon today."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, did...did you wish to respond to Representative Barnes' question?"

Speaker Redmond: "Yes, it's the wish of the Chair that we will recess until noon to give the Appropriations Committee the opportunity to clear the Calendar and get those Bills out on the floor."

Madigan: "And in regard to the Attendance Roll Call, would the record show that Representative Kornowicz is excused because of illness?"

Speaker Redmond: "Record will so show. Is there any excused absences on the Republican side? Praise God. Representative Madison. Madison."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, since there're obviously a lot of Members not on the floor now, would it not be perhaps a better idea to take the attendance at noon?"

Speaker Redmond: "Well, the question's whether or not we could have recessed officially if we didn't have an Attendance Roll Call. I... it seems to me the Representative from the 30th Ward has called that to my attention once before unless my memory deceives me. So..."

Madison: "...As always, you're right, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to move to suspend the appropriate rule...."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, you're....it's out of order right now, we're going to recess now till noon, Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, for some reason anytime I want to make this motion, it's out of order. Now, if you'll tell me when it's in order, I'll make it again. Representative Madigan...."



Speaker Redmond: "I'll...I'll recognize you for it..."

Friedrich: "...The other day..."

Speaker Redmond: "...But it's pretty obvious if you'll look on the floor of this...you wouldn't want a Roll Call on that motion right now, Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Unanimous consent, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Gentleman attempted to move that motion on Monday. I suggested to him that we would do it yesterday. We were here for a great length of time yesterday and at no time did he suggest that that motion be made all day yesterday. He desires to have a Resolution heard in the Executive Committee which will meet immediately after adjournment and we plan to come back into Session at 12 o'clock. There's plenty of time to make the motion at that time. And I've already told him that I intend to support his motion."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Friedrich. Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I delayed this hearing for months at the suggestion of Representative Madigan. Then when it became timely he didn't want to talk about it and then Monday he said he would do it yesterday and now then it's not timely again. I just want to know when it's timely to do something around here?"

Speaker Redmond: "Well... The House will stand in recess until 12 o'clock noon today. All the Members of the Appropriations Committee which Representative Barnes is Chairman, suggest that you repair immediately to the Committee room."

RECESS

Doorkeeper Koehler: "All persons not entitled to the House floor, please retire to the gallery."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevich, has that gentleman got a floor pass? Where is he from? What county? What county is he from? Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, we just had a conference over by Representative Tipsword's desk and Hugh Hill is going to replace Tipsword in that district."



Speaker Redmond: "Well, he doesn't sing as well. There's...Peter Nolan and Hugh Hill and Tipsword. House will be at ease for about ten minutes. Appropriations isn't quite finished."

EASE

Speaker Redmond: "House will come to order. Members, please be in their seats. Messages from the Senate."

Clerk O'Brien: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary.

Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in passage of Bills of the following title, to wit: House Bills 2597, 2661, 2671, 2685, 2839, 2912, 3351 and 3372. Together with Amendments passed by the Senate as amended, June 20, 1978. Kenneth Wright, Secretary.

A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary.

Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House in passage of Bills of the following title, to wit: House Bills 1380, 2589, 2625, 2669, 2718, 2766, 2771, 2713, 2800, 2801, 2896, 2916, 2958, 3114 and 3191. Passed by the Senate, June 20, 1978. Kenneth Wright, Secretary.

A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary.

Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House in adoption of their Amendments to Bills of the following title, to wit: Senate Bills #1657, 1663, 1664, 1693 and 1847. Action taken by the Senate, June 20, 1978.

Kenneth Wright, Secretary.

A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary.

Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has adopted the following Senate Joint Resolution in the adoption of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the House of Representatives, to wit: Senate Joint Resolution #101. Adopted by the Senate, June 20, 1978. Kenneth Wright, Secretary."

Speaker Redmond: "Committee Reports."

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Matijevec, Chairman of the Committee on Appropriations I, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken June 20, 1978, reported the same back with the following recommendations: do pass House Bill 3148. Senate Bill 1442. Senate Bill 1465. Senate Bill 1592. Do pass as amended, House Bill 3405.



Senate Bill 1571. Tabled in Committee, House Bill 2541, House Bill 2542."

Speaker Redmond: "Reading of the Journal."

Clerk O'Brien: "Journal for the 145th Legislative Day...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I move that we dispense with the reading of the Journal and Journal 145 of June 13th, 146 of June 14th, 147 of June 15, 148 of June 16, 1978. Be approved as read."

Speaker Redmond: "You've heard...Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Would the Gentleman yield?"

Giorgi: "Yes."

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Schlickman: "Have you read these Journals and can you confirm to us that they are accurate and complete? Oh, Matijevich did. Okay. Thank you."

Giorgi: "They're in the American language rather than English."

Speaker Redmond: "Question's on the Gentleman's motion that the reading of the Journals be dispensed with and the Journals be approved. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Motion carried, the Journals are approved. Agreed Resolutions."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 992, Redmond. 994, J. J. Wolf. 995, Giorgi. 996, Mautino. 997, Vinson. 998, Anderson. 999, Waddell. 1000, Kelly. 1001, Kelly. 1002, Kelly. 1003, Kelly. 1004, Kelly. 1005, Kelly. 1006, Kelly. Senate Joint Resolution 98, Huskey. Senate Joint Resolution 99, Huskey."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Clerk, did I hear you say 992 was McClain...was Breslin instead of McClain?"

Clerk O'Brien: "You're correct, McClain is the Sponsor of 992."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, Senate Joint Resolution 98 by Huskey, Marist High School of Chicago. Senate Joint Resolution 99 by Huskey, honors Monsignor McNichols. 992 by McClain, talks about the Central High School Panthers baseball squad. 993 by McClain, will be read at the end of the Resolutions. 994 by Wolf, talks about Eagle Scout awards. 995 by Giorgi-Von Boeckman, talks about insurance premiums. 996 by Mautino, talks about the Illinois Association of



Park Districts celebrating their 50th year of service."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Schlickman, for what purpose do you rise?"

Schlickman: "The Gentleman is mumbling, I don't understand what he's reading."

Speaker Redmond: "Stop mumbling and read a little bit more clearly.

Representative Collins just said something that had a very bad ethnic connotation. Expunge it from the record."

Georgi: "Mr. Speaker, they're...they're right."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion?"

Georgi: "This is 997, talks about the Monticello High School. 998 by Anderson, talks about a 100th anniversary. 999 by Waddell, talks about a 90...90th birthday. Kelly's 1000, 1001, 1002, 1003, 1004, 1005, 1006 honors some Eagle Scout Awards. And before I move for the adoption of all the Agreed Resolutions, the Clerk will read House Resolution 993 by Madigan-McClain. Please."

Speaker Redmond: "Mr. Clerk, read the 992 (sic)."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 993. Madigan-McClain.

Whereas, our esteemed and distinguished colleague from the 28th District, Emil Jones, has recently become the father of a second son; and

Whereas, his lovely wife Patricia presented him with this six pound bundle on May 16, 1978; and

Whereas, it was determined that the proud name of Emil should be carried on for another generation and that the latest addition to the Jones household should be named Emil III; and

Whereas, the Jones family now consists of two sons and two daughters; and

Whereas, it is the feeling of this Body that Representative Jones and his wife should be lauded for this achievement; and

Whereas, this Body feels that congratulations are in order for the Jones family; and

Whereas, Emil Jones has distinguished himself in this august chamber and has made many friends among his associates here; and

Whereas, it is felt that an achievement of this sort should not go unrecognized and unpraised; therefore be it



Resolved by the House of Representatives of the Eightieth General Assembly of the State of Illinois, that we commend our colleague and his wife for this outstanding achievement; and be it further Resolved, that a copy of this Preamble and Resolution be presented to Emil and Patricia Jones as a token of our esteem and heartiest best wishes to them upon this joyous occasion."

Giorgi: "Did you read...Mr. Clerk, did you read House Resolution 1007? 1-0-0-7 by Catania."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 1007. Catania."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I move for the adoption of all the Agreed Resolutions. I move for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giorgi has moved the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. Any discussion? The question's on the motion, those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the motion carried, the Agreed Resolutions are adopted. Death Resolution."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 1007, Catania."

Giorgi: "I...I did move...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Catania, you the Sponsor of the Amendment? Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I move for the adoption of the Death Resolution 1007."

Speaker Redmond: "...Moves the adoption of the Death Resolution. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carried, the Death Resolution's adopted. Senate Bills Second Reading. Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 250. Representative Sandquist."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 250. A Bill for an Act to revise the law in relation to mental health and developmental disabilities. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any motion with respect to Amendment 1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motion filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2 failed. Amendment #3, John Dunn. Amends Senate Bill 250 on page 78 by deleting lines 33 and 34 and so forth."



Speaker Redmond: "Who was the Sponsor of the Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative...."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record at the request of the Sponsor.

255."



Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 255. A Bill for an Act to protect confidentiality of records, communications of recipients of mental health and developmental disabilities services. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any motion with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motion filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Are there any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2. Daniels."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Greiman, will you come to the podium please...if you're on the floor."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amends Senate Bill 255, as amended on page 1, line 18, by deleting 'the provisions of' and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Daniels."

Daniels: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 255 has two basic provisions. Number one, it makes some technical changes to correct some language that was inadvertently set forth incorrectly in the Bill and the second provision is, it adds a provision allowing the Department of Law Enforcement to have information necessary to determine eligibility of a person to hold a Firearm Owner's Identification Card. This Amendment has been agreed to by the Sponsors of the Legislation, Representative Mugalian and myself, and I would move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kosinski."

Kosinski: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I concur with Amendment #2. It's imperative by mandate...that the Department of Law Enforcement, through the Fire...Identification Act, be permitted to understand who has been mentally...in a hospital within a five year period, is that correct, Lee? And I think this Amendment is very essential to this Bill to support what we have done in the past and I concur with the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mugalian, do you have a comment on the Amendment? The question is on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #2. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the Amendment is adopted. Any"



Further Amendments?"

Clock O'Brien: "No farther Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 554."



Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 554. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor? Representative Terzich. The Clerk says the first Amendment that he has here is #3. Do you know what happened to 1 and 2?"

Terzich: "Yes, Amendments #1 and 2, I believe, were withdrawn by Representative Madigan and the changes are incorporated in Amendment #4."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "The two Committee Amendments were tabled pursuant to my motion."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay... Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #3. Ebbesen. Amends Senate Bill 554 as amended in the title and in the introductory clause and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, after a careful scrutiny of the Amendment, I find that it's not in proper form and I'd like leave of the House to withdraw Amendment #3."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman withdraws Amendment 3. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4. Madigan. Amends Senate Bill 554 on page 1 by deleting lines 1 and 2 and inserting in lieu thereof the following: 'An Act to amend Section 11-223' and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, what was the disposition on Amendment #3?"

Clerk O'Brien: "It was withdrawn."

Madigan: "And Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Amendment #4 embodies the changes proposed to the Bill by Amendments #1 and 2 which were found acceptable. There were other changes contained in Amendments #1 and 2 which upon reflection it was decided ought not to be placed in the Bill. And therefore by the offering of this Amendment we have removed the objectionable Sections of Amendments #1 and 2 and we are offering for adoption the acceptable Sections of Amendments #1 and 2. And I move for the adoption of Amendment #4."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #4. Those in favor indicate by saying 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the motion carries and the Amendment's adopted."



~~Any further Amendments?"~~

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #5. Kane. Amends Senate Bill 554, as amended, in title and in Section 1 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, there's no objections to Amendment #5.

It has to deal with the election of board members on the Pension System. It has been approved by the Pension Laws Commission. I have no objection for adoption of Amendment #5."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Question of Representative Terzich. Mr. Terzich, which pension system is addressed by Mr. Kane's Amendment?"

Terzich: "That's IMRF and that's for future board members."

Madigan: "IMRF?"

Terzich: "That's right."

Madigan: "Illinois Municipal Retirement Fund? Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Terzich, you move for the adoption of Amendment 5, is that correct? Is there any further discussion? Question's on the motion to adopt Amendment 5. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, motion carried and the Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #6. Robinson. Amends Senate Bill 554 on page 1, line 1 by inserting after 'Act' the following and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Robinson."

Robinson: "I would withdraw Amendment #6."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Robinson, the Sponsor, has withdrawn Amendment 6. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third...Third Reading. 571. For what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Schlickman: "An inquiry of the Clerk, Mr. Speaker. A pension impact note was requested, was one filed?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, all of these Bills have been submitted to the Pension Laws Commission and they have at one time or another had a pension impact statement prepared on it. We had requested the Pension Laws Commission last week to prepare a pension impact statement and I



will....."

Speaker Redmond: "All unauthorized persons please leave the floor.

The press, members of the press, I think you're violating the rules of the Press Association. Now proceed, Mr. Terzich."

Terzich: "I will not call the Bill until the impact statement is supplied, Mr. Speaker. It has been requested as of last week...."

Speaker Redmond: "We will have to move it back to the Order of Second Reading then. I didn't recognize you from the rear, gentlemen."

Terzich: "Well, Mr. Speaker, you know one of the problems that we have on leaving it on Second Reading it is the continuation of Amendments to be put on these type of Bills and I will not call it without the impact statement. So I'd like to have it stay on Third and I will supply the impact statement before calling the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "The Rules provide it must stay there on Second Reading, Representative Terzich, it's not up to me unless..... On the Order of Second Reading."

Schlickman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "571."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 571. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to Amendment 1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motion filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "This Bill has been read a second time previously. Amendments 2 and 3 were withdrawn. Floor Amendment #4. Yourell. Amends Senate Bill 571, as amended, in the title and in Section 1 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #4 to Senate Bill 571 contains the same language as Committee Amendment #1 with the exception that it completes the CETA Program for the county, the city, forest preserve and the laborers. I move for the adoption of Amendment #4 to Senate Bill 571."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? Question is on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #4. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carried and the



Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Representative Madigan, for what purpose do you rise?"

Madigan: "For purposes of an announcement, Mr. Speaker. We wish to announce to the Membership and to those in the gallery that the Sponsors of the Equal Rights Amendments have decided not to call the Resolution for hearing today. The Equal Rights Amendment will not be called for hearing today."

Speaker Redmond: "736. Requested by the Republican Leadership that this be held. We'll take this one out of the record right now. 1455. Representative Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker, in view of the press of work that we have and the missiles we keep getting from you every two or three days about whether we're going to get our work done or not, I wonder if we might have some kind of a commitment that we get the business upon our Calendar done before we call ERA again?"

Speaker Redmond: "Well, I think the only commitment that...that is on the Calendar and...."

Tipsword: "We...we've had that one before a couple of times and you keep telling us that we're not getting our work done on the other...on our Bills and I think we ought to get that done and then go to the ERA."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay, we will take that under consideration. 1455."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1455. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1. Chapman-Hoffman."

Speaker Redmond: "1455. Representative G. L. Hoffman is the Sponsor."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment...."

Speaker Redmond: "He's not here? Are there...is there Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Two Floor Amendments by Representative Chapman and one by Representative Hoffman."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay, we better take it out of the record. Representative Hoffman's satellite, Representative Schlickman, has suggested



that it be taken out of the record. 1512. Humh? 1512. Please come to order."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1512. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Weight and Measurements Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1. Schuneman. Amends Senate Bill 1512 on page 1, line 1, by deleting 'amend Section 47 of' and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Who is the Sponsor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Schuneman."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schuneman. Representative Schuneman on the floor? Representative Schuneman. Representative Ewing. Is this...are you cosponsoring 1512? Representative Ewing, please."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, I think you better take that out of the record."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay. Out of the record. 1530. 1530, Representative Brady. Please come to order."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1530. A Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the ordinary and contingent distributive expense of the Illinois Community College Board. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Representative Kempiners. Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Point of order, Mr. Speaker. Could that tv light be turned off on the floor? It's very distracting."

Speaker Redmond: "We'll tell them to turn it off. Turn off that light. Representative Kempiners."

Kempiners: "Okay. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, if I could have your attention for just a minute. Representatives Van Duyne, Leinenweber and myself are very pleased to introduce to you today, Miss Cecelia Orsborn who has recently been crowned as 1978 Miss Black Joliet. Having been selected from among seven candidates as Miss Black Joliet she will be representing the City of Joliet



in the Miss Black America Pageant this year. And that gives me great pleasure to join the other two Legislators from the City of Joliet to welcome Miss Orsborn today."

Miss Orsborn: "Thank you. I'm honored to be represented here today in the House of Representatives. I'm also honored to be Miss Black Joliet. But although I'm Miss Black Joliet, I would like to feel that I'm representing Joliet as a whole. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "1562. Please come to order. 1562. Representative Keats, please take your seat. Representative Bowman."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1562. A Bill for an Act to amend the title and Section 1 of an Act to provide for enforcement by the Department of Public Health of certain state and local food handling and health regulations. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1 and 4 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motions with respect to Amendments 1 and 4?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #5. Kempiners. Amends Senate Bill 1562 as amended by deleting the title and inserting in lieu thereof the following and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kempiners."

Kempiners: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, this Amendment was given to me by Senator Grotberg and it amends the...the Bill so that it extends the deadline for the implementation of the Home Health Service Licensure Act for one year. And the purpose of this is that the rules and regulations have not been adopted by the Department of Public Health and Senator Grotberg did indicate to me that he has checked with the Department of Public Health and they are in support of this Amendment. And I would move for the adoption of Amendment #5."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Darrow, for what purpose do you rise?"

Darrow: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I would question the germaneness of this Amendment and ask for a ruling."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Barnes, for what purpose do you rise?"

Barnes: "Thank...thank you very much. Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, House Bill 3069 which is now on Third, I would request leave for the House to move that Bill back to Second Reading for the purposes of



Amendments. As I indicated to many of the Members of the House, there are some Amendments that were being prepared for that Bill. Some of the Amendments aren't quite ready yet but I would like that Bill in the posture so that those Amendments can be offered."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman have leave? We're on the Order of House Bills Third Reading, 3069, the Gentleman has asked...he hasn't given me the decision yet. The Gentleman has asked leave to return 3069 to the Order of Second Reading. Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Point of order, Mr. Speaker, we're at the order of...."

Speaker Redmond: "I know...."

Schlickman: "Second Reading.....1562...."

Speaker Redmond: "We'll take this...we'll take this out of the record now, we...."

Schlickman: "And we're waiting for a decision on germaneness."

Speaker Redmond: "That's what we're doing."

Schlickman: "It's obvious...."

Speaker Redmond: "I thought maybe...I thought maybe we could save some time here."

Schlickman: "Well, I think...."

Speaker Redmond: "But evidently, evidently the Gentleman from Cook is not interested in saving time."

Schlickman: "By an orderly procedure we will save time."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay."

Schlickman: "And this isn't orderly."

Speaker Redmond: "Take this one out of the record. We'll stay at 1562. Parliamentarian has advised me that the Amendment is not germane. Representative Kempiners."

Kempiners: "I'll accept the ruling of the Chair."

Speaker Redmond: "Any...any further Amendments on 1562? Amendment 5 is not germane. Any further Amendments on 1562?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Now the order is House Bills Third, 3069. Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank...thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Again, I would ask leave of the House to move House Bill 3069 back to Second Reading for the purposes of Amendments. There are Amendments that are currently



being drafted and so that the Bill will be in the posture so it can be amended, I would ask this leave, Mr. Speaker, and have it to remain on Second Reading."

Speaker Redmond: "Does he have leave? Hearing no objection, leave is granted. It'll be returned to the Order of Second Reading and there remain. Representative Holewinski, for what purpose do you rise?"

Holewinski: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, on Monday^{of} this week, I was in Chicago and late coming down here because I was attending a meeting of the Illinois Council on Youth. At that time I had filed an Amendment to Senate Bill 1605 that was jointly sponsored by three other Members of the General Assembly. It's my understanding, Mr. Speaker, that when that Bill was called, one of the other Joint Sponsors of that Amendment was denied the right to offer that Amendment, Mr. Speaker. And I would like to ask you that when we...out of a sense of justice to move that Bill back to Second Reading so that we might consider that Amendment on Second Reading."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, I think your information is...is not entirely accurate. There was nobody in their chair at the time to move the adoption of the Amendment and it was moved to the Order of Third Reading. And then there was....efforts made to return it back and inasmuch as the same ruling had been made with respect to a Bill that Representative Wikoff had, that is what happened, Representative Robinson, I was in the chair at the time. Representative Madigan. And we've noticed that we took it out of the Order of Third Reading yesterday and it is hoped that this problem will be resolved."

Holewinski: "Where...what is the posture of the Bill then, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "What's that?"

Holewinski: "I'm sorry, I didn't get that last statement. What is the posture of the Bill now?"

Speaker Redmond: "We took it out of the record yesterday in order to see if we can't resolve the problems that we have here, so.... Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I would suggest that any discussion of this Bill at this time is somewhat inappropriate because the Sponsor of the Bill is not present in the chambers. He's on his way to the chambers and I would suggest that a more appropriate time to discuss the Bill



would be in his presence."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Robinson. We'll be back to it."

And what I said was true the other day, you were not in your seat at the time it was moved to the Order of Third Reading."

Robinson: "I was standing....I was not...."

Speaker Redmond: "1-5."

Robinson: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to bring something to your attention on your ruling. You ruled that I was not a proper Sponsor for this Amendment...."

Speaker Redmond: "No, I didn't."

Robinson: "You said that the Sponsor is not here a number of times.

I'd like to point out that...even though on this printed copy of the Amendment, I don't appear as a hyphenated Cosponsor, I was a hyphenated Cosponsor when the Amendment was turned in. I'd like an inquiry why between the time Representative Holewinski turned that in and the time it was printed, the cover was changed so that those of us who were Cosponsors were no longer hyphenated."

Speaker Redmond: "I don't have the slightest idea, we'll ask the Clerk's Office how it happened. But we're now on the order of 1583."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1583. A Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Board of Vocational Rehabilitation. Second Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record at the request of the Sponsor. Who is the Sponsor? Representative Telcser? He wants it out of the record. Welcome aboard, Representative Telcser. 1617."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1617. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Senior Citizens and Disabled Persons Property Tax Relief Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Are there any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1. D. L. Houlihan. Amends Senate Bill 1617 on page 3, line 2 by changing '10,000 dollars' to '12,000 dollars'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative J. David Jones, we're calling your Bill. Well, he's having a rendezvous. Do you...do you want this called, Representative Jones? Representative Madigan, are you seeking recognition?"



Madigan: "Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Is it...is it the desire of the Republican Sponsor to take this Bill out of the record?"

Speaker Redmond: "That's what I've been advised."

Madigan: "Then, Mr. Speaker, I wish to voice my displeasure with the reluctance of the Republican Members of this House to proceed with a thoughtful and deliberate consideration of this Bill and the Amendments which have been offered to this Bill. It's now common knowledge that Representative Pierce has filed an Amendment to this Bill which would provide property tax relief to the home owners and the renters of the State of Illinois. And for several days, Mr. Speaker, you have called this Bill time after time and consistently there has been a reluctance on the part of the Republican Members to consider this carefully thought out Amendment proposed by Representative Pierce. We have heard rhetoric on this floor. We have heard rhetoric on the television and on the radio and we have seen it in the newspapers from the Republican Members that we need property tax relief during this Session of the General Assembly. I agree with that and we now have before us the method by which that property tax relief can be delivered to the people of the state. It is regrettable that there is a reluctance to proceed to a consideration of this Bill and I think that we ought to hear some indication from the Republican Party as to when the people of the state can expect this Bill to be heard."

Speaker Redmond: "16....1672. Representative Telcser. Those tv camera lights are not on, I trust...I trust and pray nobody is violating the rules. Representative Telcser, the Sponsor of 1672."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1672. A Bill for an Act to amend the Capital Development Bond Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 2, 3 and 4 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to Amendments 1, 2, 3 and 4?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #5. Daniels. Amends Senate Bill 1672 as amended in Section 3 in the first sentence and so forth."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, I thought you had called upon me to respond to the Majority Leader's political diatribe. I hadn't realized you were going to call Senate Bill 1672. I want you to take that out of the record for the day and at some point in time, I'd like to respond to the Majority Leader's political tirade which really was senseless."

Speaker Redmond: "Which...which tirade are you referring to? I haven't heard any recently."

Telcser: "That's an all inclusive statement, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "1680."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1680. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Election Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 2 and 3 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to Amendments 1, 2 and 3?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bradley."

Bradley: "I...I...Mr. Speaker, I understand that there is a motion filed on Amendment #1. Representative Matijevich has filed a motion."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any motion with respect to Amendments 1, 2 and 3?"

Clerk O'Brien: "A motion to table Amendment #1 by Representative Matijevich."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Sponsor of the Bill had made a commitment in Rules Committee I understand to take out the language in the Bill that refers to the permissive voting zone plan and because...because he made that...."

Speaker Redmond: "Please come to order!"

Matijevich: "Because he...."

Speaker Redmond: "...The lights are not on. You scare me when me when you do that."

Matijevich: "Because he made that commitment he followed it through in Committee and I discussed it with him later. True as he is to his word, he made the commitment but then he didn't realize what the Bill was all about and therefore he now supports my motion to table the Amendment and I appreciate the full support of the Membership."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Geo-Karis: "Do I understand that the Amendment was tabled in Committee which would have allowed zone voting, clustered voting up to four precincts? Is that right? Was that...."

Matijevich: "The...the Amendment in Committee took out the clustered voting zone permissive concept. There was some problem amongst the Committee Members. I talked to the Chairman of the Committee and he even said that - yeah, you'll get your help, don't worry about it - he...he even said he thought that we were putting the voting zone concept in so that caused a problem in Committee. I think if they really knew what was happening we...we wouldn't be faced with this problem on...on Second Reading now."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to speak in opposition to this motion. I talked to Members of the Committee, of the Election Committee, they told methey understood it very well. The Sponsor of that Bill, 1680, tabled the...approved the Amendment in Committee which removed the clustered zone voting provision which would allow precincts to be grouped together in...up to as many as four and allow only three judges per precinct. And I therefore would like to speak against the motion to table that Amendment which took out the clustered voting.The way it sits now, the motion of the Representative from my district is that the clustered voting be allowed to remain in the Bill and I oppose it."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Yes, would the Sponsor...would the Sponsor of this motion to table tell us what the content of the...of the Amendment is that you don't wish considered?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Representative Skinner and Members of the House, the...the Senate Bill when it came out of the Senate allowed a permissive voting zone concept. And all...the Board of Elections support this concept because they feel that it's the wave of the future where it allows the consolidation of...of...four contiguous precincts in one polling place. It would be called a voting zone. What happened in Lake County,



we used this concept in the primary, before the primary there were all kinds of scare tactics that it wouldn't work and those...the judges themselves were real concerned about it. After the election all their concerns were evaporated, they found it worked. And I have, for example, a columnist in the Zion Newspaper which is in the hometown of my colleague over there, where they talked about the problem of Proposition 13 and they say, 'here's one case where taxing bodies can save money'. There's a matter of 85,000 dollars of savings that can be instituted...."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, I just asked the content of the Amendment that's being tabled."

Speaker Redmond: "That's it. He was telling you...big Amendment."

Skinner: "So, he's tabling the zone voting Amendment."

Matijevich: "No. No. No, the...I'm tabling the Amendment that took it out, Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Oh. Well, I don't think you ought to hold....I don't think we ought to consider the Rules Committee...absolute a godhead in this General Assembly, after all, they're the ones that didn't want to consider the...the Nazi Bill that would have prevented the march in Marquette Park in the near future. I don't think their judgment on that is any better than their judgment on this. I think we ought to vote against your motion, Sir."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there anything further by anyone who has not yet spoken? Representative Collins. Collins."

Collins: "Mr. Speaker, I...I'm really amazed at this motion. When this Bill came before us in the Elections Committee we voted to...to... the Bill out of Committee on the Sponsor's word that this Amendment would be tabled. Now I suggest that this is the height of bad faith to bring it up again at this point after the entire Elections Committee had been apparently misled into believing that this point of clustered...precincts would be taken out of the Bill. And on that...on that provision and only on that provision, did we vote in favor of the Bill. And I'm amazed that the Sponsor of the Bill is not on his feet objecting to this motion at this time. Furthermore, this Amendment to allow the clustered voting is asking this General Assembly to endorse an already illegally committed Act. This was done in Lake County



by the County Clerk up there illegally and she had been advised by the State Board of Elections that this was an illegal act and it hadand there is an opinion expressed before the Elections Committee that this was indeed an illegal act. And now we are being asked to vote to legalize this consciously committed illegal act. Mr. Speaker, this is...this is an insult to this entire Body. It is the height of bad faith and I would ask the Sponsor of the Bill to please rise and endorse everything I've said and vote against this motion."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bluthardt."

Bluthardt: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, the last speaker pretty well covered what happened in Committee. This Bill would probably not have gotten out of Committee if Amendment #1 hadn't been placed on it. Amendment #1 removed the clustering provisions on the elections. I'd like to point out that in House Bill 2554 which is the consolidation...election consolidation implementation Bill, there is limited clustering permitted there and I think that's the way to go about it. We ought to see whether it'll work in the nonpartisan elections before we try to make it work in the general elections and in the primary. I would urge you to vote 'no' on this motion to table."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bradley."

Bradley: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I suppose I could rise on a point of personal privilege, I've....and respond to Representative Collins but I think I'll bypass that opportunity and talk to the...what happened....I had made a commitment, which I kept, with the Rules Committee by tabling Amendment #1 in the Committee as Representative Bluthardt and Representative Collins has indicated. However both of those Gentlemen are well aware of the fact that a Member on the floor of this House....I do not have control of Representative Matijevecich if he so desires to make a motion on... regarding Amendment #1. I certainly think he has that right to do that and he submitted the...his motion on Amendment #1 and I stand to support him on that motion if that be his wish. But...Representative Collins is very well aware that we have...every Member has the right to offer a motion to any Amendment that comes before this Body and the Chief Sponsor of the Bill cannot prohibit that from happening. And I would suggest that the people support Representative Matijevecich



on his motion."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Simms."

Simms: "Mr. Speaker, as I understand it, the motion is to table Amendment #1 which Amendment #1 was to remove in the Bill the clustering Amendment which allows the clustering of precincts. I rise in opposition to this motion to table Amendment #1. Clustering is unneeded in the State of Illinois. It has been held...it's my understanding by members of the Lake County delegation that it's been held unconstitutional, if I am correct, that this Amendment in effect takes away a great deal of power and latitude and it's nothing more than political gerrymandering of precincts to destroy whatever the Minority Party might be in that individual county whether it be the Republican Party in Lake County or the Democratic Party in Cook County or whatever the county it may be. So I would urge the Members of the House of Representatives to vote 'no' on this motion to table because if this Amendment is taken off this Bill, I will oppose 1680 as every Member of the House should do because clustering takes away a very valuable part of our electoral system. So I urge that this Amendment... this motion be defeated as it was committed in the Rules Committee by the Sponsor of the Bill who indicated that he was personally opposed to the idea of clustering and would aid in its removal. Now I agree with Representative Bradley that any Member of the House has a right to offer Amendments or motions but the intent of this Bill in allowing it out of Rules Committee was that the clustering Amendment would be taken out. So I urge each Member of the House to vote on the motion to table Amendment #1."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Griesheimer."

Griesheimer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I rise to oppose this motion also. I'd like to have it made clear to this Body that Lake County is the only county where there's been an attempt to cluster. And that Representative Matijevec today rises, as he should, as the Democratic County Chairman of that county to attempt to pull the fat out of the fire of our Democratic County Clerk. She did something absolutely illegal and unwarranted and it's in litigation at the present time. And the only way they can make this matter moot is to somehow legalize through otherwise illegal acts. Now let's talk about the practical



effects of what clustering did in Lake County. It deprived numerous voters the opportunity of voting because of the manner of clustering these precincts together without proper notice with massively changing precincts boundary lines and particularly deprived our senior citizens who were placed in a position where they had to go a considerable distance from their home to a precinct polling place. I feel this could be one of the real disasters of our state...state if it was adopted to allow clustering of any type. It's been unsuccessful in Lake County the third most populous county in the state. I would suggest to you it would be even more of a disaster in other portions of our state. A cunning County Clerk, as we have in Lake County, could easily set up these clustered polling places so inconveniently as to completely deprive large sections of our population the opportunity to vote. I think Representative Matijevich should be commended for doing what he is doing. As a Democratic County Chairman he is trying to actually make something legal that is otherwise illegal by an officer of our county. On the other hand I think that that particular County Clerk has done something beyond the scope of the law, knowledgeably knowing it was beyond the scope of the law and now is trying to flaunt the law by bringing something about that should not have been done originally. I think we should do everything we can to stop this type of thing getting into our law at the present time and vote against this motion."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, I...Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm absolutely amazed at the two fine lawyers on the other side of the aisle from Lake County misstating to this House the facts of who drew up the cluster plan, whose plan it was, at least the previous speaker and maybe not the Lady. But the previous speaker indicated the County Clerk drew up the cluster plan. The cluster plan was brought about by the County Board of Lake County and their Elections Committee both of which are in Republican majority hands. They...they adopted the cluster plan. They create...the precincts by ordinance. The Lake County Board, including the Republican candidate for County Clerk who voted for the cluster plan on that board, she's from my district so I know. And I'm surprised the previous speaker didn't realize



that the County Board as a whole and by ordinance created the cluster plan and instructed the Clerk to put into...put it into action. Now it's true that the Circuit Court of Lake County has questioned that under the present Election Code. In fact, it was a Democratic Judge, as I recall, that said the County Board didn't have the authority to create the cluster plan. That's why we're here today with this Bill and with this Amendment we're trying to knock out. The County Board of Lake County requested the cluster plan and requested a Bill that would legalize the cluster plan. And I think it's very wrong to make this partisan. I'm surprised the previous speaker was so partisan because usually he's equally critical of people in his own party as he is of people in my party. And this time he just picked out the County Clerk who happens to be a Democrat and didn't mention that the County Board which is Republican requested the cluster plan and put it into effect by ordinance. I'm going to support this motion to table of Representative Matijevec and I think you all should support the motion to table Amendment #1."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mulcahey. Gentleman has moved the previous question. The question is, shall the main question be put? Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, motion carried. Representative Matijevec to close."

Matijevec: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I really regret that this matter has become a partisan political matter. I saw it coming in Lake County when they filed the lawsuit and I knew it was going to spill over into this Legislature because when the Bill, the House Bill originally was heard the first time in the House Committee, I saw things were sailing along real good. And then all of a sudden a partisan political matter was made out of it and the following week the same people who indicated support for it changed their minds. Now Representative Pierce was absolutely right because the Taxation and Elections Committee of the Lake County Board is five to two Republican. The County Board in Lake County is eighteen to seven Republican. The fact that the Lake County Board set up...set this up in a bipartisan manner is a fact because the County Clerk in the lawsuit that was filed was taken out of the lawsuit. And the truth of the matter is that the Republican candidate for County Clerk even



though she voted for this concept thought they'd make some political hay. But this is work that can save 85,000 dollars. Now I think what you ought to be...ought to be considering when you vote on this Amendment, do I on the floor of the House, meaning yourself, do I on the floor of the House talk about conservatism...saving monies...?"

If I do, if I conscientiously do then I ought to vote for something that can save money. This is a system that has worked. The State Board of Elections watched it in the primary and they are very enthusiastic about the concept. It's used in other states and it's used real well where we have voting in school gymnasiums and it...it hasn't hurt the turnout at all. So I think it's a good system and I think that to make it a partisan and political situation is very regrettable indeed. I urge your support of the tabling of Amendment #1."

Speaker Giorgi: "The motion is to table Amendment #1 to House Bill 1680. Roll Call. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Representative Mugalian, for what reason do you rise?"

Mugalian: "I'd like to explain my vote, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Giorgi: "Go ahead, Mr. Mugalian."

Mugalian: "I think it should be made clear that this Bill will not ratify what was done before. It...it speaks prospectively and would accomplish a good experiment. It is permissive only in election procedures. I...there must be some narrow partisanship involved here because I received a call from a Republican member of the County Board of Lake County yesterday urging my support for this proposal. So I'm not quite clear what's going on behind...behind the scenes but I think it's a very worthwhile proposal and should be adopted."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Collins to explain your vote. You spoke in debate. Representative Deuster to explain your vote."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker, I..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Excuse me a minute, Mr. Deuster. Representative Collins."

Collins: "I can explain my vote, I spoke in debate but I do want to request a verification if this gets enough votes."

Speaker Giorgi: "There's been a request for a verification in the event it receives enough votes. Who...anybody else want to explain their vote? Have all voted who wish? Representative Deuster to explain



your vote? Continue."

Deuster: "Yes..... I didn't start. Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I want to assure my colleagues on the other side of the aisle there's nothing partisan about this at all. My Republican County Board members and the Democratic County Clerk together decided to do something that was really illegal. And...the net effect of it and my Republicans on the County Board are just as much at fault and to blame as the County Clerk. They thought they were doing something that might save some money but what they've done, they've provided that no longer are you assured that in your precinct you will have a polling place. If there's anything fundamental you ought to have a convenient opportunity for people to vote in their neighborhood. Sometimes it's hard to find a polling place but as long as I've been active in Lake County politics since 1959, we've always been able to find a polling place in every precinct. And to take away that right would be wrong and I would urge 'no' votes so that we continue the Illinois tradition that people can vote in their community, in their neighborhood. That's what this is all about."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Walsh to explain his vote."

Walsh, W: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think there's some confusion as to where this application of clustering is. I find it applies to general elections in this Bill just as it does to school, park district, village and other elections. And to general elections it just simply is not right. Now, Mr. Speaker, there are write-in opportunities and other opportunities in general elections that there are not in local elections. In local elections now without the consolidated election then the city council or the park district board may in effect cluster and open one or two precincts where there is no contest for an office. You don't find in a general election that there is no contest for an office. There are so many candidates for so many offices that the situation just simply doesn't arise. Now to put this power in the hands of an election authority, whether Republican or Democrat, is simply not right and gives that authority the opportunity to control the outcome. I urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative..... Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 87 'ayes' and



84 'nays' and there has been a request for a verification by Representative Collins. Does Mr. Matijevich request a poll of the absentees? Poll the absentees, Mr. Clerk, at your convenience."

Clerk O'Brien: "Hoffman. Dan Houlihan. Kelly. Kornowicz. Laurino. No further."

Speaker Giorgi: "Would you like to..... Call the Affirmative Roll Call. Mr. Schlickman, for what reason do you rise?"

Schlickman: "What did you say, Mr. Speaker? Oh, why did I rise? I thought you were questioning my 'ayes'. Mr. Speaker, could we invoke the tradition of this House by having all unexcused persons off the floor, have the Members in their chairs and when their name is called, their hand raised?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Members of the Assembly, Mr. Schlickman requests that all unauthorized personnel be off the floor and indicate your presence by raising your hand or shouting you're here when your name is called. Will you please call the Affirmative Roll Call, Mr. Clerk? Excuse me a moment. Mr. Kelly wants to be recorded as voting 'aye', he's... he's not recorded at present which makes it 88 'ayes'. Read the Affirmative Roll Call."

Clerk O'Brien: "E. M. Barnes. Beatty. Birchler. Bowman. Bradley. Brady. Brandt. Breslin. Rich Brummer. Don Brummet. Byers. Caldwell. Capparelli. Chapman. Darrow. Corneal...."

Speaker Giorgi: "Members of the Democratic side in the Democratic aisle, would you please allow the vision of the Republicans unobstructed please? Wave your hand if you're in the chamber. Marco, please... and let's cooperate, we've got a long day ahead of us."

Clerk O'Brien: "Corneal Davis. Dawson."

Speaker Giorgi: "Pardon me, Mr. Clerk. Mr. Christensen would like to be recorded as voting 'aye'. He is not on the board at this time, he was voting 'present'."

Clerk O'Brien: "DiPrima. Domico. Doyle. John Dunn. Ewell. Farley. Flynn. Garmisa. Getty. Giglio. Giorgi. Greiman. Hanahan. Harris. Hart. Holewinski. J. M. Houlihan. Huff. Jacobs. Jaffe. Emil Jones. Kane. Katz. Kelly. Kosinski. Kozubowski. Lechowicz. Leverenz. Levin. Lucco. Luft. Madigan. Madison. Mann. Marovitz. Peggy Smith Martin. Matejek. Matijevich. Mautino. McClain. McGrew.



McLendon. McPike. Mudd. Mugalian. Mulcahey. Murphy. Nardulli.
 O'Brien. Pachous. Pierce. Pouncey. Richmond. Robinson.
 Satterthwaite. Schneider. Sharp. Shumpert. Steczo. Stuffle.
 Taylor. Terzich. Tipsword. Vitek. Von Boeckman. R. V. Walsh.
 Willer. Williams. Younge. Yourell. Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Giorgi: "Are there any questions of the Affirmative Roll Call?"

Representative Collins on the Affirmative Roll Call."

Collins: "What's the score right now, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Giorgi: "89 'ayes' and 84 'nays'."

Collins: "Thank you. Beatty."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Beatty."

Collins: "I see him, Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Giorgi: "He's on...he's on the Democratic aisle."

Collins: "Representative Brummer."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Brummer is in his seat."

Collins: "Representative Brummet."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Brummet. Representative Brummet's
 in his seat."

Collins: "Representative Bradley."

Speaker Giorgi: "Bradley is in his seat also."

Collins: "You mean he's voting yes? I...I'm shocked! I'm shocked!
 Representative Byers."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Byers. Representative Byers. How is
 he recorded, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is Mr. Byers in the chambers? Take him off the
 Roll Call."

Collins: "Mr. Speaker, you're sure Representative Bradley is voting 'aye'?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Bradley, you want to be verified, he
 wants to know for sure you're voting 'aye'."

Collins: "I'm truly shocked. Representative Capparelli."

Speaker Giorgi: "On the verification, Representative Capparelli, how
 is he recorded, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Capparelli in the chambers? Here he is, right in
 front of the well."



Collins: "Representative Dunn, John Dunn."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative John Dunn. Representative John Dunn.

How is he recorded, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is Mr. John Dunn in the...here he comes in the middle aisle."

Collins: "Shake down the thunder. Representative Ewell."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Ewell. How is he recorded, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is Representative Ewell in the chambers? He's not in the chambers, take him off the record."

Collins: "Garmisa."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Garmisa's in his seat."

Collins: "Representative Greiman."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Greiman. Representative Greiman.

How is he recorded, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is Mr. Greiman in the chamber? Take him off the record, Mr. Clerk."

Collins: "Representative Hanahan."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Hanahan. How is he recorded, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is Representative Hanahan in the chambers? Representative Hanahan. Take him off the record."

Collins: "Representative J. M. Houlihan."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative J. M. Houlihan. J. M. Houlihan. How is he recorded, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is Mr. James Houlihan in the chambers? Take him off the record, Mr. Clerk. Mr. Clerk, did we take Byers off the record?"

Clerk O'Brien: "He was removed from the Roll Call."

Speaker Giorgi: "Place him back....place Mr. Byers back on the Roll Call.

And Mr. James M. Houlihan has returned to the chambers. Put him back

on the Roll Call. Just a moment, Mr. Collins. You got that, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Yes."

Speaker Giorgi: "Go ahead, Mr. Collins."



Collins: "Representative Emil Jones."

Speaker Giorgi: "Emil Jones is in his seat."

Collins: "Representative Katz."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Katz. Representative Katz: How is he recorded, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is Representative Katz in the chambers? Take him off the record, Mr. Clerk."

Collins: "Is Representative Kosinski behind Representative Jaffe, I can't see."

Speaker Giorgi: "Just a moment, Mr. Collins. Representative Greiman has returned, put Mr. Greiman back on the Roll Call. Representative Greiman."

Collins: "Representative Kozubowski."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Kozubowski is in the Democratic aisle."

Collins: "Representative Laurino."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Laurino."

Collins: "No, he's not voting. I'm sorry. Representative Leverenz."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Leverenz is in his seat."

Collins: "Representative Levin."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Levin. Representative Levin. How is he recorded, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is Representative Levin in the chambers? Take him off the record, Mr. Clerk."

Collins: "Representative Mautino."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Mautino. He's in the back of the chambers."

Collins: "Representative Yourell."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Yourell. Representative Yourell. He's back...of the room, Mr. Collins. Excuse me, Mr. Hanahan, my colleague for many years is back in the chambers, put him back on the Roll Call."

Collins: "Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Giorgi: "For the verification, Mr. Collins, continue."

Collins: "Mr. Speaker."



Speaker Giorgi: "For the verification, Mr. Collins."

Collins: "The Speaker."

Speaker Giorgi: "Oh, the Speaker."

Collins: "Is he in his office? That's all right."

Speaker Giorgi: "He voted and went to visit on the floor."

Collins: "Don't mark...don't bother the Speaker."

Speaker Giorgi: "All right.. Very good."

Collins: "That's all my questions."

Speaker Giorgi: "What's the results, Mr. Clerk? On this question there are....return Mr. Levin to the Roll Call which makes it 87 'ayes', 84 'noes' and this Amendment...this motion is adopted. The motion to table. Amendment #1 is tabled. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4. Schlickman. Amends Senate Bill 1680 on page 1, lines 1 and 6 and so forth."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Schlickman on Amendment #4 to Senate Bill 1680. Representative Schlickman on Amendment #4. Representative Madigan, for what reason do you rise, Sir? Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, was Amendment #3 adopted to the Bill?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Clerk, what happened to Amendment #3?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendments 1, 2 and 3 were Committee Amendments."

Madigan: "Were there any motions filed on Amendments 2 and 3?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Madigan: "Thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "We are waiting...the Parliamentarian is talking with Mr. Schlickman, we are waiting momentarily. Representative Schlickman on Amendment #4 to Senate Bill 1680. Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, it's been called to my attention that...it's been called to my attention that the substance and the intent of Amendment #4 is already contained in Amendment #3. Therefore, I ask for leave to withdraw Floor Amendment #4."

Speaker Giorgi: "The Gentleman asks leave to withdraw Amendment #4. Leave been granted? All in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, leave has been granted, Amendment #4 is withdrawn. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #5. Skinner. Amends Senate Bill 1680, as amended, by deleting the title and inserting in lieu thereof the



following and so forth."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Skinner on Amendment #5 to Senate Bill 1680."

Skinner: "Could you read the amended title?"

Clerk O'Brien: "An Act to amend certain Acts pertaining to elections and by inserting after the enacting clause, Section 1....Section 2 of an Act to provide for the manner of proposing Amendments to the Constitution and submitting the same to the electors...."

Speaker Giorgi: "Excuse me, Mr. Clerk. Mr. Majority Leader, Mike Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I question the germaneness of the Amendment."

Skinner: "Shucks."

Speaker Giorgi: "Just a moment, Mr. Skinner, we're going to...we're going to question the germaneness of the Amendment."

Skinner: "Do I have time to fill my Tab can up with water?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Majority Leader, Mr. Madigan, the Parliamentarian informs me that the Amendment, in his opinion, is germane. Mr. Skinner is filling his canteen.Preparing his resume. Representative Skinner on Amendment 5 which is germane."

Skinner: "We all like old Dave...his...his rulings are really great. Thank you. Amendment #5 is a very simple Amendment. I'm sure we'll... that we will have a nice party line vote on it. All it does is prohibit the name of the officeholder to be on the return address of the mailings describing proposed Constitutional Amendments. This has been the tradition in the past and I'm offering this Amendment so that the present officeholder will not be overly tempted to use the Constitutional Amendment mailing as a name identification raising exercise in the month before the...before the general election."

Speaker Giorgi: "Any discussion? Representative Madigan on the Amendment. Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I missed the Gentleman's explanation. Could he offer that again?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Would you repeat your explanation, Mr. Skinner, again? Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Why doesn't he just read the transcript like we have to do for his?"



Speaker Giorgi: "Let's oblige the Majority Leader with an explanation, Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "It prohibits the name of the Secretary of State from being on the mailing that goes to every registered voter explaining the Constitutional Amendments. That's what my explanation says."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Question of the Sponsor."

Speaker Giorgi: "Continue."

Madigan: "Have you directed any correspondence to the Secretary of State to ascertain if this would require an additional expenditure of money?"

Skinner: "No, I haven't. It certainly....however, your question can be answered....certainly would not require any additional expenditure of money to take one line of type off the mailing."

Madigan: "Well, my point is that it's very possible that the envelopes that would be used for this mailing have already been printed."

Skinner: "Well, they haven't been printed and...."

Madigan: "...And available...."

Skinner: "And they're not going to be mailed in envelopes. They're going.."

Madigan: "And would be possible that some person would be required to stamp out the name of the officeholder or possibly we'd be required to purchase an additional supply of envelopes without the officeholder's name on there. And it would appear to me that for those reasons that this Amendment is another effort at some foolishness on the floor of the House of Representatives and ought to be defeated."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Satterthwaite on the Amendment."

Satterthwaite: "Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Continue."

Satterthwaite: "Representative, as I read the Amendment, it indicates that if this Amendment goes on the Bill, each county would have to have a different printing, there would have to be a...a county return address. Would not this add to the expense of printing for this state-wide mailing?"

Skinner: "Marginally."

Satterthwaite: "Marginally. Why..."

Skinner: "Yes."



Satterthwaite: "I don't understand, if we have to print a hundred and ten different....a hundred and two-different printings that would seem to be to be a substantial increase in cost."

Skinner: "Well, these are not going to be mailed in envelopes for starters. If they're going to be a....sort of like a newspaper and they will be...the requirement...the additional cost for printing will be merely the cost of making new plates for each one of the election jurisdictions in the state."

Satterthwaite: "Well, speaking to the Amendment, Ladies and Gentlemen..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Continue."

Satterthwaite: "I...I really feel that this would be an unnecessary additional cost to mailing out the information relative to the Constitutional Amendments that will be before us. If indeed we were simply saying that the name of the Secretary of State could not appear on the return address I think we could accomplish what the Sponsor of this Amendment seems to want to have accomplished but not provide for that additional expense. But as the Amendment is currently worded, I do not think it is something we should support because it will be an additional expenditure."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative James Houlihan on the Amendment."

Houlihan, J: "Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield to a question or two?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Continue."

Houlihan, J: "Representative Skinner, would this...in attempting to make more accessible the information and make it sort of closer to the people give the people a better sense of where the information was coming from, would that....is this...."

Skinner: "No, the...the purpose of the Amendment is to attempt to get information for Boards of Elections and County Clerks as to people who have moved."

Houlihan, J: "In effect then this would ultimately save quite a bit of money and possibly might save the hassle of some contested voters who were not there or some people possibly even voting absentee... or voting people who didn't live there?"

Skinner: "It is conceivable if the County Clerk or Board of Elections wished to use this information as, an indication as to who had moved, that you could clear off election rolls rather...rather expeditiously."



Houlihan, J: "Then it'd be your feeling this is a good Amendment?"

Skinner: "I wouldn't have offered it if I didn't think it was a good Amendment."

Houlihan, J: "Well, I agree with Representative Skinner. I think this Amendment really has a lot of substance to it and is important. I know in my own primary election there were voters who were actually working, they were living in apartment buildings and they were deleted from the polling sheets because the sheets that were brought and distributed were left in the hallways and never put in the mailboxes. And so some people, who I assume because they were working for me, might have wanted to vote in that election, were deprived of their opportunity to vote. I think this takes a first step at trying to make more rational approach to the issue of voters' residence and whether they're there or whether they're moved. I think additionally, although I'm reluctant to rise in support of this after Representative Satterthwaite spoke against it, I think that the cost factor would really be marginal. And I think that there are quite a few benefits that would be deprived from it and I would urge an 'aye' vote. I don't think anybody really is opposing it other than those who are only interested in their own campaigns, quite possibly the candidate for state-wide office of that office. I think that could be the only argument you can make against this Amendment is that it might help a particular elected official by his name recognition. And I think that's too great a price to pay for a particular piece of legislation."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Lechowicz on the Amendment."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, has this Amendment been distributed?"

Skinner: "It's not only been distributed, it's been put in my seatmate's book."

Speaker Giorgi: "Yes it has, Mr. Lechowicz. Representative Robinson, for what reason do you rise?"

Robinson: "Any inquiry of the Chair."

Speaker Giorgi: "Which inquiry?"

Robinson: "I agree with the substance of the Amendment but I wonder whether if this Amendment is adopted, whether subsequent Amendments would be germane? I understand that perhaps that has been questioned by the Parliamentarian that subsequent Amendments might not be germane if this Amendment is adopted."



Skinner: "...In that case I certainly don't think that this Amendment is as important as the next one and I would ask leave to withdraw it."

Speaker Giorgi: "You ask leave to withdraw this Amendment?"

Skinner: "Oh, yes."

Speaker Giorgi: "Leave is granted. Or...he withdrew the Amendment. You object? Representative Madigan, for what reason do you rise? Bradley. Representative Bradley."

Bradley: "Mr. Speaker, did the Gentleman withdraw the Amendment?"

Skinner: "Yes."

Speaker Giorgi: "He requested his Amendment..."

Bradley: "Well."

Speaker Giorgi: "Be withdrawn."

Bradley: "Well, if we...we were going to support Mr. Skinner's Amendment, be happy to do that if you'd let us go along and not withdraw the Amendment, we'll be very delighted to be on his side for a change."

Skinner: "Well, I'll be happy to redraft it and bring it back after we finish debating the Open Primary Amendment. If there is not leave to withdraw, I move to table the Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "The Gentleman asks leave to have his Amendment withdrawn. Leave? Is there any objection? Representative Bradley."

Bradley: "The Gentleman has moved to table that Amendment and he didn't..."

Speaker Giorgi: "He didn't move to table, he asked to withdraw?"

Bradley: "...Yes, he did, Sir...if there were objections to his withdrawing and our..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Skinner, would you table your Amendment? Move to table it?"

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, I said if there was not leave to withdraw, I would move to table."

Speaker Giorgi: "The..the Gentleman will move to table..if he doesn't have leave to withdraw...Representative Houlihan, for what reason do you rise?"

Houlihan, J: "Mr. Speaker, did you say there was objection to withdrawing?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Yes, Sir."

Houlihan, J: "Well, let me ask..."

Speaker Giorgi: "But he has made a motion to table, Mr. Houlihan."

Houlihan, J: "If Representative Skinner was not in the room right now, if Representative Skinner was not in the room and if he was outside,



not here, he would then not be able to offer his Amendment and it would be inappropriate...."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Houlihan...."

Houlihan, J: "And we'd have to move on, is that correct?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Houlihan, I have 20-20 eyes with my glasses on.

He's in the room, he moved to table it."

Houlihan, J: "No, he did not move to table it, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Giorgi: "All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'.

The 'ayes' have it, the Amendment's tabled. Next Amendment."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #6. Skinner. Amends Senate Bill 1680

on page 1, line 1...."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Madigan, for what reason do you rise?"

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, having voted on the prevailing side, I now move

to reconsider the vote by which that motion carried."

Speaker Giorgi: "Objections."

Madigan: "Let's take a Roll Call, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Giorgi: "Want a Roll Call?"

Madigan: "Motion on reconsideration."

Speaker Giorgi: "The Parliamentarian advises me we'll have a motion...

a Roll Call on the motion to table. Is the Majority Leader supported by five Members?"

Madigan: "There are five Members."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Robinson, you're persisting in what reason for recognition?"

Robinson: "That...that is dilatory, we are on Amendment #6. You announced we were on Amendment #6...."

Speaker Giorgi: "Yes, but...."

Robinson: "You asked Representative Skinner to present Amendment #6 and if Representative Madigan can't do that in time then we ought to go on with the order of business."

Speaker Giorgi: "You're...Mr. Houlihan, for what reason do you rise? James."

Houlihan, J: "Mr. Speaker, I rise to support the Majority Leader. I think he's...has a point here. Now, wait, I wanta...I wanta clarify one thing, though. It's my understanding that this Amendment is tabled right now and that what Representative Madigan is trying to



do is get the Bill untabled, then we would consider whether it would be offered or not. Right? Representative Skinner, do you understand that? You're not supposed to be in the room when it comes up after we lose this motion. All right?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Houlihan, the Parliamentarian informs me, that if the Majority Leader's supported by five Members he's entitled to a Roll Call on the motion to table and that's what we're going back to...on the motion to table. Mr. Houlihan, again, for what reason do you rise?"

Houlihan, J: "Mr. Speaker, you indicated that the Bill had been tabled. Now what he wants is a motion to reconsider that, is that correct?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Correct."

Houlihan, J: "All right."

Speaker Giorgi: "Jim,...."

Houlihan, J: "Right. The Bill is tabled."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan, J: "The Amendment's tabled."

Speaker Giorgi: "It's...we've done this previously. We've rolled back on the insistence of some Members for Roll Call. I'm only...honoring the time honored tradition of the House to allow a Member to have a Roll Call on an issue. Representative Madigan, for what reason do you rise?"

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, the matter before the floor is my motion to reconsider the vote. I suggest we proceed to that Roll Call."

Speaker Giorgi: "The question is, shall the motion, the last motion put be reconsidered? All in favor will signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'no'. To reconsider the motion to table Amendment #5. Representative Byers on the motion? On the motion? To explain your vote on the motion? You're not recognized. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. All right. On this question there are 72 'ayes', 77 'nays' and the motion fails. Amendment #6."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #6. Skinner. Amends Senate Bill 1680 on page 1, lines 1 and 6 and so forth."



Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Skinner on Amendment #6."

Skinner: "Having miraculously recovered, I'd first of all like to point out that the Clerk's Office unfortunately did not print the Cosponsors of this Amendment. They are: Robinson, Anderson, Mulcahey, Byers and Reilly. This Amendment is the version of the Open Primary which we will be voting on this year. It has one significant change from the last version that this House considered and that change is a greater incentive for voter turnout. The proponents of the Open Primary have consistently argued that there will be a greater turn out if people do not have to identify the party when they go to the primary election. This Bill requires that the primary turnout in 1980 and 1982 exceed the primary turnout by...in 1976 and 1978 by 20 percent or the Open Primary concept will self-destruct. There is therefore a built-in sunset provision if the argument of the proponents with regard to increased voter participation is not found to have been valid. I don't think much more explanation is needed. I would be happy to yield to my Cosponsors on this Amendment. And indeed I would even allow Representative Robinson or Reilly or Byers or Mulcahey or Anderson to close because I'm sure they will be much more eloquent on the subject than I."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Bradley on the Amendment."

Bradley: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, being the Chief Sponsor of Senate Bill 1680, I'd just like to remind the Members of the House that we've addressed this problem time and time and time and time again throughout this Session, last year and this year. On every occasion the Open Primary Bill has been defeated whether it be in the Committee, in the floor of the House, a discharge motion or whatever you...whatever it might be. I think we're taking time of the floor...of the House right now to address this particular problem when it's been defeated on every occasion.Object also..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Pardon me, Mr. Bradley. Mr. Bradley, pardon me. Representative Lechowicz, for what reason do you rise?"

Lechowicz: "Point of order, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to verify the correctness of the Amendment with - I believe Amendment #2 was adopted - I'd like to check the form of the Amendment. I believe it's inconsistent with the Bill as amended."



Speaker Giorgi: "Turn on Mr. Lechowicz. Representative O'Brien, for what reason do you arise?"

O'Brien: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, seated in the gallery right behind the Speaker's table there are several representatives from the Lincoln Park Community in the 43rd Ward Democratic Organization."

Speaker Giorgi: "Who represents them? Mr. O'Brien, Mr. Sandquist would like to know who represents them? Representative O'Houlihan(sic)."

Houlihan, J: "Mr. Speaker, not only are they residents of the 43rd Ward in the Lincoln Park area but some of them are pretty damn good precinct captains and they do a hell of a job. Congratulations on your efforts."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Van Duyne, for what reason do you rise?"

Van Duyne: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Representative Christensen and I also have some friends up there on the Republican side, Gil and Elsie 'Skubich' from Carbondale."

Speaker Giorgi: "They're...they're discussing with one of the Sponsors. Representative Totten for introduction."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I also have some friends, the taxpayers of the State of Illinois and I'd like to introduce them all in the gallery."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Daniels, for what reason do you rise?"

Daniels: "We'd like to introduce the Democratic Party of DuPage County if the Speaker of the House would come out."

Speaker Giorgi: "Very well put. Mr. Lechowicz, the Parliamentarian informs me that inasmuch as Amendment 2 was adopted in 25-10 Section this makes this Amendment not in form and it's not germane. It's out of order. It's out of order. Mr. Robinson and Mr. Skinner have been apprised of that. Representative Houlihan, for what reason do you rise?"

Houlihan, J: "Is the Parliamentarian ruling because the Amendment #2 struck the word 'and' and that it has a comma in there instead of the word 'and'?"

Speaker:Giorgi: "That was only in part."

Houlihan, J: "Could he...could the Speaker indicate what the ruling is in full?"



Speaker Giorgi: "We'll give it to you in writing in a few moments.

Representative Bradley, for what reason do you rise?"

Bradley: "Mr. Speaker, you so ruled, let's go ahead with Amendment #7, get on with the business of the House."

Speaker Giorgi: "You're correct, Mr. Bradley. Amendment #7.

Representative Houlihan, for what reason do you rise?"

Houlihan, J: "Mr. Speaker, you leave me no alternative, not giving the ruling in full. I am unclear as to the substance of your ruling and in that case I very reluctantly have to move to overrule the ruling of the Chair. I'm sorry, I realize you bought me lunch but I have no other alternative if you can't explain the ruling."

Speaker Giorgi: "I've been in worse positions. The Gentleman again has moved to appeal the ruling of the Chair. The question is, shall the Chair be overturned? All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'no' on an appeal to overrule the Chair. Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 67 'ayes', 74 'nays' and the motion fails. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #7...."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Mulcahey...excuse me, Mr. Clerk. Mr. Mulcahey, for what reason do you rise?"

Mulcahey: "Mr. Speaker, did I understand you to say that indeed we would have that ruling in writing as to why the Parliamentarian ruled that way?"

Speaker Giorgi: "No, Sir, Mr. Mulcahey. He denied that appeal...offer by myself so he went with his appeal which failed. Now we're on Amendment #7."

Mulcahey: "So we...we...we couldn't get that...we couldn't get an explanation of the appeal in writing?"

Speaker Giorgi: "I'd give it to you any way, that's the kind of a guy I am."

Mulcahey: "Give what to me, Zeke?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Amendment #7."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #7. Deuster. Amends Senate Bill 1680 on page 1, line 2, by deleting Section 11-7 and so forth."



Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Deuster on Amendment #7. Excuse me a moment. Representative Matijevec, for what reason do you rise?"

Matijevec: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I think if you'll look at Amendment #7, and you might as well look at #8 too, I think they're not in proper form. And I'd like to have a ruling on that, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Matijevec, on what basis?"

Matijevec: "...On the same basis on this form of the title."

Speaker Giorgi: "On the form of the title. Representative Houlihan, on the point."

Houlihan, J: "Mr. Speaker, in order to save the Clerk and the printing office some time, I, having voted on the prevailing side on Amendment #2, move...."

Speaker Giorgi: "Well, you're not recognized for that purpose, you're recognized on this point which is the germaneness of this Amendment. Representative Deuster on the...on this point of order."

Deuster: "Yes, I would like to know specifically what the point is that Representative Matijevec is making and if it can be corrected without filing a new Amendment, I would ask leave to do that. I don't know ...John just said something about it was not in proper form and if he would specify...I am going to ask that the Amendment be amended on its face in line 17. And rather than costing the government some money for printing, I would ask leave at this time that line 17 where it says 'for' that that be corrected to say 'to'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Matijevec on this point."

Matijevec: "I object to that illegal tampering with legal documents."

Deuster: "Well, I know my colleague is supposed to be a big spender but I know in his heart he's really an economist and you're not...you're not asking me to file the Amendment which I have here in my hand and have...have...hold up the House while it's printed? You wouldn't want to hold up the business of the House, would you?"

Matijevec: "No, that's why I want to proceed to the orderly business so we pass these two Amendments up and...according to my objections to them."

Speaker Giorgi: "The ruling is the Amendment is in proper form. Continue, Mr. Deuster, on the Amendment. Representative Bradley, for what reason do you arise?"



Bradley: "To question the germaneness of the Amendment, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Giorgi: "Just a moment, Mr. Bradley: Mr. Deuster, I'm...
or Mr. Bradley, I'm informed that this deals with penalties for
bribery and doesn't belong in the Election Code. So it is
nongermane, Mr. Deuster. Mr. Deuster."

Deuster: "Yes, as a point of personal privilege, I would like to make
this observation. I...I know Representative Houlihan is not here
today but I had filed this Amendment to another Bill that I thought
was...the germaneness was not in doubt at all and as a courtesy to
Representative Dan Houlihan on the other side of the aisle, I with-
drew my Amendment to that other Bill. I know that he's not the
Sponsor and I'm sorry this has developed and I...I guess it's a mis-
understanding but I did that as a courtesy to the other side and
I'm sorry the germaneness has been questioned but you have ruled and
I guess that...that's the way it bounces."

Speaker Giorgi: "Amendment #8."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #8. Deuster. Amends Senate Bill 1680
on page 1, line 2 and so forth."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Deuster on Amendment #8. Excuse me,
Mr. Deuster. Representative Lechowicz, for what reason do you rise?"

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, to question the germaneness of the
Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr...Mr. Deuster you've informed me it belongs in
the Ethics Act and not in the Election Code, so, out of order.
Not germane."

Deuster: "Fine, I appreciate the courtesy from the Gentleman. Thank
you."



Speaker Giorgi: "Amendment #9."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #9, Kelly. Amends Senate Bill 1680 on page 1, line 2 by changing Section 11-7 and so forth."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Kelly, on Amendment #9 to Senate Bill 1680."

Kelly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Amendment #9 will bring up the question of the Equal Rights Amendment which didn't show up today. What this particular Amendment does it would call or ask for the Amendment to be brought up and placed on the ballot in November, 1978, as an advisory vote only to the General Assembly. Now I would think... this proposal first of all was introduced last year in a form of a Bill and you had an opportunity to vote on it. Now I think the Equal Rights Amendment even though I've opposed it on every occasion is a very important subject, both for those that support the proposal and for those that oppose it. And I think those of you, particularly the proponents who speak about fairness and rights, should be the first ones to support a proposition which would allow the public to once and for all cast a vote and let the people of Illinois speak on this important subject. And this is the last year it's coming up and I think it's extremely important to you and to your constituency. So if you believe in the fairness doctrine that we have in the State of Illinois and in this country, I think that you should allow this issue to be voted upon and I ask for your support for Amendment #9."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Chapman on Amendment #9."

Chapman: "Mr. Speaker, may I request a ruling on whether this Amendment is germane please?"

Speaker Giorgi: "The Parliamentarian informs me that it is germane, Mrs. Chapman. Representative Jack Davis on the Amendment. Pardon me for a moment, Mr. Davis. Representative Jones, for what reason do you rise? Dave Jones."

J.D. Jones: "Mr. Speaker, rise in support of this Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Jones, I've recognized Mr. Davis before you. Representative Davis on the Amendment."

J. Davis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in strong support of this Amendment as a Cosponsor of the



Amendment. Working with Representative Kelly last year we tried to get this proposal put through in the form of legislation that was destroyed. Whatever side of the coin you're on, I think this is the opportunity as Representative Kelly pointed out to let the people of Illinois speak. You know I took a poll in my district and everybody's taken a poll in their district and the pollsters have taken polls about polls and there have been polls everywhere. But let's have the final poll on November 7 on this critical issue and as far as those of you who are worried about running in the same election with the E.R.A. question on the ballot, I think you only have to say to yourself and to your constituents that you will bind yourself to the outcome of the advisory referendum. And those of you who are opposed to it, believe you will win. Those of you who are for it, believe you will win. And if you do, you should support this Amendment. And it effectively, a posturing of that nature will effectively neutralize the effect of the Equal Rights Amendment in your own individual district campaign. So if you recognize the efficacy of this argument, you'll certainly support Representative Kelly's Amendment. Thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Willer on the Amendment."

Willer: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is called passing the buck.

We were elected by our representatives to fulfill many legislative duties. Also, one of our duties is to vote on ratification of Amendments to our Federal Constitution. The people in our districts know how we stand on this. We have been campaigning on this for many years now. There is absolutely no reason to put this to a referendum this fall. This is a delaying tactic on the part of the Sponsor and those who support him, knowing full well the deadline is March of next year and they're just doing this to hope that thereby we will run out of time. We were elected by our constituents to vote on Amendments to the Federal Constitution. It was never the intent of Congress that the people do it by referendum, even advisory referendums. And don't try and pass the buck. We've campaigned on this over and over again. My constituents know where I stand and where the colleagues in my district stand so let's vote on it and not try to keep passing it on."



Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Friedland."

Friedland: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move the previous question."

Speaker Giorgi: "The question is, shall the main question now be put?"

All those in favor will signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'.

The 'ayes' have it. The Gentleman to close. Kelly to close."

Kelly: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I would ask that we vote our conscience on whether or not to allow E.R.A. to be placed on a ballot. I do think it's important, contrary to the earlier speaker and that the people should have a freedom of choice. And that those that particularly believe in public initiatives and in the participation of the public and in getting a larger voter turnout in November, we all know what happened in the primary. It was one of the lowest in the last... in fact, the lowest in the last fifty years. Now the general election is coming up and we may be facing a similar, and I think we will be facing a similar proposal. Now there are going to be two other proposals on the voting apparatus in November dealing with taxation. I don't think of any other more important subject at this time than the Equal Rights Amendment and I would ask for your favorable support for Amendment #9."

Speaker Giorgi: "The question is on the motion to adopt Amendment #9 to Senate Bill 1680. All in favor will signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'no'. Representative Byers to explain his vote."

Byers: "Mr. Speaker, there's a growing trend in this country toward citizens referendum, citizens initiative. We noted last week in California what happened out there and as you... I have introduced an initiative law in the State of Illinois that's bottled up in the House Executive Committee. This would allow for tax proposals, it would allow for citizens referendum and I support the right of citizens to petition government and to vote and have a say-so in what's going on. And I'm a strong supporter of the Equal Rights Amendment, but I'm going to vote 'aye' on this and urge every colleague to join 'aye' and also to become Cosponsors of my initiative so the citizens of the State of Illinois can propose laws that the Legislature will not pass. Aye."



Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Catania to explain her vote for one minute."

Catania: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I've noticed that everybody here loves to spend a lot of time talking about the Equal Rights Amendment. I certainly spend a lot of time talking about it and I'd be delighted to spend a whole fall campaign talking about the Equal Rights Amendment, wouldn't you? Some of us got to be on network television this morning talking about the Equal Rights Amendment and maybe if we keep it alive a long time, we'll be able to get maximum press coverage and we can all just talk about it for months and months and months. I think this is an excellent way to keep the pressure up, to keep everybody interested in the Equal Rights Amendment and I think it'll be just wonderful if we can all spend every day from now until the election in November talking about the Equal Rights Amendment nonstop. Isn't that super?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Griesheimer to explain his vote for one minute?"

Griesheimer: "I don't think it's necessary, Mr. Speaker. As a Joint Sponsor I thank the Members for at least listening to the people on this one issue."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Mahar to explain his vote. Representative Geo-Karis to explain her vote for one minute."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm very delighted that Representative Kelly brought this up because he had it once before. He put it on Postponed Consideration. I begged him to bring it back and he didn't. Representative Hyde made a big press conference about it and then he wouldn't go ahead with the Bill so I'm delighted to vote 'yes' for it."

Speaker Giorgi: "The 'ayes' have it and the motion... the Amendment is adopted. Amendment #10. Take the record, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #10, Kelly. Amends Senate Bill 1680 as amended in the Title and inserting immediately before the period the following and so forth."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Kelly on Amendment #10."

Kelly: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to have leave to withdraw Amendment



#10."

Speaker Giorgi: "The Gentleman asks leave to withdraw Amendment #10.

Any objections? Amendment is withdrawn. Amendment #11."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #11, Stanley. Amends Senate Bill 1680 on page 1, line 1 and 6 by deleting 7-60 and 16-3 and so forth."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Stanley on Amendment #11."

Stanley: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

This is the Amendment that was defeated last year. It's the Amendment to enfranchise thousands of people here in Illinois by increasing the voting hours until seven p.m. Representative Matijevich's Bill attempts to facilitate and help voters in Illinois and I would certainly think he'd be in favor of this Bill, especially living in the 31st and being a Representative from the 31st Legislative District, having a substantial commuting population. And just let me say two quick things because many of you have heard the arguments on both sides of this before, is one that in 1957 was the last time that any change has been made in the voting hours. That's when they voted to increase the voting hours from five to six p.m. and as I pointed out before..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Pardon me, Mr. Stanley. Pardon me a moment please.

Mr. Bradley, for what reason do you rise?"

Bradley: "Mr. Speaker, I think that this Amendment is out of order and the same reason I think that Amendment #5 was ruled out of order."

Speaker Giorgi: "Just a moment, Mr. Bradley."

Bradley: "#6, I'm sorry."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Parliamentarian. While we're waiting for a ruling, Mr. Polk. Mr. Polk."

Polk: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. We're honored and pleased today, in the gallery on the Democratic side sitting up here in the back corner are the parents of Representative Allen Bennett. I wish they'd stand. We'd like to recognize the parents of Allen Bennett. Thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Stanley, I'm informed by the Parliamentarian that your Amendment is in the same trouble that Skinner's was in that



some of the language you refer to is out of the Bill because of the Amendment #2 that we adopted. So your Amendment is not in order."

Stanley: "I'd like to...."

Speaker Giorgi: "It's out of order."

Stanley: "I'd like to appeal that ruling. Appeal the ruling of the Chair."

Speaker Giorgi: "The Gentleman appeals the ruling of the Chair. The question is, shall the Chair be overruled? All in favor will signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'no'. If you... Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 61 'ayes' and 76 'nays' and his motion fails. Amendment #12."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #12. Robinson. Amends Senate Bill 1680 on page 1, lines 1 and 6 by deleting '7-60' and so forth."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Robinson on Amendment #12."

Robinson: "Is there any problem with it?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Are you asking a question?"

Robinson: "Yes."

Speaker Giorgi: "Hold it for a moment."

Robinson: "While the Parliamentarian is looking, this Amendment sets a May primary, second Tuesday in May...."

Speaker Giorgi: "Excuse me, Mr. Robinson. Mr. Majority Leader, Mr. Madigan, for what reason do you rise?"

Madigan: "To question the germaneness of the Sponsor."

Speaker Giorgi: "You want a Roll Call? Representative Collins has... requests of the General Assembly be....change his last vote?"

Collins: "Yes, I inadvertently voted 'no', I wanted to vote 'yes' on that motion."

Speaker Giorgi: "Does the Gentleman have leave to change his vote from 'no' to 'yes'? The last Roll Call. No formal objections. Mr. Walsh, we're speaking...we're talking....are you talking to Collins' point?"

Walsh, W: "Yeah."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Walsh on Collins' point."

Walsh, W: "Well, not on his point, Mr. Speaker...."

Speaker Giorgi: "Well, that's the only reason you're recognized...."

Walsh, W: "I would just...I would simply ask...."

Speaker Giorgi: "I've been warned about you."



Walsh, W: "I would simply ask the same consideration to be recorded 'aye' on the...."

Speaker Giorgi: "Oh, I'm sorry."

Walsh, W: "On that Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "Collins, there's no objection to Mr. Collins and Mr. Walsh, W. D. Walsh. Mr. Leinenweber, for what reason do you rise?"

Leinenweber: "Well, I'd like to have the same privilege because I followed Representative Collins and he misled me on this one."

Speaker Giorgi: "Okay, it doesn't change the results."

Leinenweber: "So I want to...."

Speaker Giorgi: "Back to the matter on hand. Mr. Houlihan, for what reason do you rise?"

Houlihan, J: "I'd like to be recorded as 'aye' also."

Speaker Giorgi: "And Mr...that doesn't change the.... The Parliamentarian informs me that the Amendment is in proper form. Continue, Mr. Robinson, on Amendment #12 to Senate Bill 1680."

Robinson: "This changes the primary date, moves it to a spring primary. We've heard from the...our constituents, many of them we heard when they didn't speak, when they didn't vote in this last election, the lowest turn out in fifty years. One of the reasons that many attribute that is that...there was snow on the ground most of the campaign season. It was a cold winter. It is generally agreed that if there's a primary during the spring that there will be a higher turn out. This sets up the primary on the second Tuesday in May. Again, it's something we've all thought about. There were a variety of primary dates chosen. This is the one we can vote on. This is the one that's alive. I'd appreciate a favorable vote."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Bradley on the Amendment."

Bradley: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, thank you very much. I rise to oppose this Amendment. I am in favor of a change in the primary date; however, I don't find that May is really a suitable date for a number of reasons. One reason in downstate Illinois, we find that in May the farmers are very busy out in the field and I don't think we're going to attract them to come into the polls. We will be down here doing these business of the...of the people of Illinois in May and I certainly think there would be a...."



our place should be in Springfield and not out campaigning and... I would be in favor - and in fact have a proposal - to change the primary date to the second Tuesday in September. Or I would even support one in late August or September, but May 4th because we're trying to be in Session at that particular time puts the incumbent, I would think, at some disadvantage in being here doing the work of the people and not being able to be out campaigning as we would like. And so I have to reluctantly oppose Mr. Robinson's suggestion here. It simply is not the best Amendment, the best date, in my opinion, to have a primary."

Speaker Giorgi: "The Majority Leader, Mr. Madigan, on the Amendment."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I rise in opposition to this Amendment. I must confess that I am extremely shocked at the Amendment and at the sponsorship of the Amendment. For this entire Session I have heard this Sponsor proclaim the wisdom of assisting the farmers and now we see his sponsorship of an Amendment...."

Speaker Giorgi: "Pardon me, Mr. Majority Leader, Mr. Robinson is having..."

Robinson: "Well, if the Majority Leader had been listening, he would understand that so many owners of farms....."

Speaker Giorgi: ".....You're not recognized for that purpose. Cut him off. Mr. Madigan, the Majority Leader, on the motion."

Madigan: "And, Mr. Speaker, previously he had convinced me that we ought to help the farmers of this state, that we ought to promote the agricultural industry. And now we're proposing to disenfranchise these fine Illinoisans that till our soil to provide farm products for the people in the city to live off of. And I certainly feel that all of us should rise up in indignation at this attempt to disenfranchise those fine farmers of our state."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Matijevich on the Amendment."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, I...I like to help farmers but I...my dad always told me, 'take care of John first' and I want to tell you, you better think about this Amendment because you're talking about a May primary date....May primary date and you know where we're going to be. We're going to be right here at our desks and your opponent is going to be at their home knocking on doors, ringing doorbells. Is that what you want? Now...now let's be...let's also be serious



about another point. Some of these guys shouting over here are Members of the Appropriations Committee. Where do you think you're going to be? How are you going to deal....he's going to be home... how are you going to deal with this big fat budget in the Appropriations Committees in the...May when we're....when you're going to have an election going on? How are you going to do it? You can't do it! I think this, you know, I think many of us talk to voters and the voters say, 'we don't like the primary date that we have' but you change it and they'll tell you the same thing because we had it in June. They didn't like it. We had it in April. They didn't like it. You have it in May. They won't like it. There just is no good time to hold an election. And really that's what it's all about. So... that's down with elections. So, what people don't like is a lot of change, really, that's what they don't like because all you do is confuse them. So I think if we're ever going to change, no real change is good until we can change it in the fall. That's the only good decent change that we can have. Some day we're going to work at that when we can figure out the matter of the nominating conventions. When we finally make a change, let's wait till we do it right but this is not right and I urge defeat of this Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Hoxsey on the Amendment."

Hoxsey: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, since I am the only farmer's wife in the General Assembly, I feel that there ...perhaps should be a little bit of clarification here in regard to whether the farmers want to go to the polls in May or not. I say you couldn't drag them off of the plow at that particular time. So I suggest we do have a reason to oppose this. Not only that, as a Representative in the General Assembly, I have to oppose that too."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Friedrich on the Amendment."

Friedrich: "Mr...Mr. Speaker, I was trying to follow Representative Matijevec's argument and I think he's for the retention methods like the Judges have and if he is, I wonder if that's in this Amendment. If it is, I might be for it; otherwise I can't be for this Amendment and like to urge you to vote 'no'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Tipsword on the Amendment."

Tipsword: "No, on a parliamentary inquiry, Sir."



Speaker Giorgi: "Continue."

Tipsword: "Any...any possible way to amend this on its face to the second Tuesday in September?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Talk with the Sponsor, I...Representative Byers."

Byers: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I would rise for Representative Robinson's Amendment. If...most people know when the farmers really do their farming, most of them did it in June this year anyway. And I don't know that the former Majority Speaker has very many farmers in his district that it would affect anyway so I would recommend an 'aye' vote on this piece of legislation."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Houlihan on the Amendment, James."

Houlihan, J: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Giorgi: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question. The question is, shall the main question be put? All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Who's Amendment is it to close? Representative Robinson. Representative Robinson to close."

Robinson: "Again, I think you've heard the arguments. The March primary doesn't work. We used to have a June primary. There's objection to that because of the Sessions that we have every year. I think a good compromise is the May primary. As far as the appropriations process, it only began after the second Tuesday in May to really get serious. We could have a primary in May without hurting the legislative process and people would come out to vote."

Speaker Giorgi: "The question is....on the motion...amend...adopt the Amendment #12 to Senate Bill 1680. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 52 'ayes', 91 'nays' and the motion is defeated. Amendment #13."



Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #13. Levin. Amends Senate Bill 1680 by deleting all of Section 6-21 and 6-24 of the Election Code and inserting in lieu thereof, the following and so forth."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Levin...Excuse me, Mr. Levin. Representative Bradley, for what reason do you rise?"

Bradley: "Mr. Speaker, I think this Amendment addresses itself to a previous Amendment that was already adopted in bringing about some residency requirement and putting it in line with the oath. Is that what the Gentleman is attempting to do here with this Amendment also? The same Amendment that Representative Schlickman withdrew, it addresses itself to the same problem."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Levin, would you like to respond?"

Levin: "Yes. I think we are talking about Amendment 3 and there was no motion to reconsider so it's not welded into the legislation."

Speaker Giorgi: "So, Mr. Levin, continue on Amendment #13."

Levin: "Mr. Speaker, we currently have a situation in Chicago where we have a vacancy with respect to the chairmanship of the Chicago Board of Election Commissioners. Chairman John Hanley, having resigned after having given a number of years of very fair and impartial service as Chairman of the Chicago Board of Election Commissioners. He came in, in fact, on a situation that was pretty messed up and has performed valiantly making a number of very needed reforms so that elections today in Chicago are a lot fairer than they have been. The question is, who will be appointed to fill the vacancy with respect to the chairmanship? We currently have on the books a ten year residency requirement and Amendment #3 seeks to modify that by setting up a double standard. If you're a friend of the Judge there is no residency requirement. If you're not a friend of the Judge there is a ten year residency requirement. What Amendment #13 does, is establishes a straight out one year residency requirement that is applicable to everybody. I think ten years is way too long. I personally have problems with residency requirements, I think one year is a fair compromise. Whatever it is, it should apply to everybody and I



don't understand the logic of the Amendment that's currently on the Bill that sets a ten year requirement except for good cause shown. I think we need fair...fairness and equity in the selection of the next Chairman of the Chicago Board of Election Commissioners and accordingly I urge the adoption of Amendment #13."

Speaker Giorgi: "The Majority Leader, Mr. Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in opposition to the Gentleman's Amendment. I think all of us should understand that one of the previous Amendments to this Bill, already adopted, provides that in the future there shall be a ten year residency requirement and it also provides that the appointing court will be able to waive that ten year residency requirement for a good cause shown. Therefore, the essence of the proposal now is that there should be an inclination, there should be favoritism toward those who have established residency in the locale. Because of that established residency they are familiar with the local problems and concerns that affect the local Board of Election Commissioners. However, if a situation develops where there is good cause shown then that residency requirement could be waived by the appointing court. I suggest to you that the Bill, in its present condition, offers us a well thought out, well balanced approach and leaves the proper amount of flexibility to the appointing court. I don't wish to be highly critical of the Sponsor, I know that he's...well intentioned, however, I do suggest that the Bill is...is better in its current form, without this Amendment, and I would recommend a 'no' vote on this Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Levin, to close."

Levin: "Mr. Speaker, what is good cause shown? I suggest that there is not one standard anywhere in the Election Code or in any of the Amendments as to what it is. So what we have is a blank check to the Judges, that if a particular individual is their friend then they can come up with some kind of a concocted reason for waiving the residency requirements. If they don't like somebody and they don't want to consider them they can say, 'Well, there's a



ten year residency requirement and we're not going to waive it.' As I said, I have difficulty with residency requirements but I think if we're going to have one that it should apply to everybody uniformly. And I think one year is a fair provision so that the next Chairman of the Chicago Board of Election Commissioners becomes somebody who has...who lives in the city, has the knowledge of it and we don't bring in the Chief Clerk of the County Board of Election Commissioners who doesn't live in the city to make him the next Chairman of the Chicago Board of Election Commissioners. I urge a vote for this Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "The question is on the motion to adopt Amendment #13 to Senate Bill 1680. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'no'. Representative Bluthardt, to explain his vote for one minute."

Bluthardt: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I think this is a good Amendment. Either you're going to have a residency requirement or you're not, but to put a provision in the law saying that you must be a resident for ten years unless for good shown...cause shown a Judge will waive that. That's about as absurd a provision as I can think of in the Election Code. And I would urge you to support this Bill that would spell it out and say you must be a resident for at least one year, period. I would urge you to vote for this Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Laurino, to explain his vote. Representative Bradley, to explain his vote for one minute."

Bradley: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, It appears to me that with Amendment #3 in requiring a ten year residency requirement we're in much better shape than we are in just reducing it down to a one year requirement. The purpose of the requirement is to have people who are knowledgeable on the particular locale they're in, to be knowledgeable about their own particular situation. I don't see anything wrong at all with Amendment #3 providing for a ten year residency requirement. I think one year is far too weak a measure. Carpetbaggers can come into that particular area and all of



a sudden they're on their Election Commission and I think we ought to reconsider those green lights and go with the ten year requirement rather than the one that Mr. Levin is proposing."

Speaker Giorgi: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. Representative Lechowicz... On this there are 83 'aye', 77 'nay', 2 voting 'present'. Representative Lechowicz requests a verification. Representative Levin, poll the absentees? Mr. Clerk, when you have the tally, poll the absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "Abramson. Breslin. Jack Davis. Ralph Dunn. Gaines. Getty. Kornowicz. Marovitz. McAuliffe. Neff, Reed. Riley. Simms. E.G. Steele and Wolf."

Speaker Giorgi: "Read the Affirmative Roll Call, Mr. Lechowicz requests a poll of the... Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "I just want a verification, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Giorgi: "A verification of the Roll Call... Read the Affirmative Roll."

Clerk O'Brien: "Adams. Anderson. Antonovych. Bartulis. Bennett. Bianco. Bluthardt. Bowman. Byers. Campbell. Catania. Collins. Conti. Cunningham. Daniels. Deavers. Deuster. Dyer. Ebbesen. Edgar. Epton. Ewing. Friedland. Friedrich. Geo-Karis. Greiman. Griesheimer. Hoffman. Holewinski. J.M. Houlihan. Hoxsey. Hudson. Huskey. Jaffe. Johnson. Dave Jones. Kane. Katz. Keats. Kempiners. Kent. Klosak. Leinenweber. Levin. Macdonald. Madison. Mahar. Mann. Margalus. Lynn Martin. Peggy Smith Martin. Matula. McBroom. McCourt. McMaster. Meyer. Miller. Mugalian. Peters. Polk. Porter. Pullen. Rigney. Robinson. Ryan. Sandquist. Satterthwaite. Schneider. Schoeberlein. Schuneman. Skinner. Stanley. Stearney. C.M. Stiehl. Stuffle. Sumner. Telcser. Totten. Tuerk. Vinson. W.D. Walsh. Wikoff. Winchester. No further."

Speaker Giorgi: "Are there questions of the Affirmative Vote? Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Representative Bartulis."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Bartulis? He's in his seat, Mr. Lechowicz."



Lechowicz: "Mr. Bennett."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Bennett is in his seat."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Epton."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Epton is... How is he recorded, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is Mr. Epton in the room? Representative Epton.

Take him off the Roll."

Lechowicz: "Mrs. Catania."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Catania? How is she recorded,
Mr. Clerk? Here she is..."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Ebbesen."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Ebbesen is in his seat."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Greiman."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Greiman. How is he recorded, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is Mr. Greiman in the chamber? Mr. Greiman.

Take him off the Roll, Mr. Clerk."

Lechowicz: "Griesheimer."

Speaker Giorgi: "Griesheimer. How is he recorded, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is Mr. Griesheimer in the chamber? Take him off
the Roll."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Katz."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Katz. How is he recorded, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is Mr. Katz in the chamber? Representative Katz.

Take him off the Roll, Mr. Clerk."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Kane."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Kane is in the well here."

Lechowicz: "Mr..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Excuse me, Mr. Lechowicz. Mrs. Willer, for what
reason do you rise?"

Willer: "Mr. Speaker, I wish to change my 'no' vote to 'yes'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mrs. Willer wants to be recorded as voting 'yes'
from 'no'. Mr. Dunn, Ralph, for what reason do you rise?"



Dunn: "I'd like to be recorded as 'aye'. I'm recorded as not voting."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Dunn is recorded as not voting. Ralph Dunn would like to be recorded as voting 'aye'. Mr. McAuliffe would like to be recorded as voting 'no'. McAuliffe would like to be recorded as voting 'no'. Mr. Conti."

Conti: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded, 'aye'? Change my vote from 'aye' to 'no'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Conti would like to be changed from 'aye' to 'no'. Are you getting those, Mr. Clerk, are we going all right? Mr. Davis wants to be recorded as voting 'aye'. Jack Davis... 'no'. Mr. Bianco... Record Mr. Bianco as voting 'no' instead of 'aye'. Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "Change my vote to 'no', please."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Vinson from 'aye' to 'no'. Mr. Neff."

Neff: "Please record me as an 'aye', I'm not recorded."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Neff is not voting, record him as 'aye'. We'll find out where we're at in a minute here. Mr. Lechowicz, Mr. Johnson asks to be verified, Tim Johnson. Abramson, for what reason do you rise? Abramson."

Abramson: "How am I recorded?"

Speaker Giorgi: "How is the Gentleman recorded, Abramson?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Abramson: "Vote me 'no', please."

Speaker Giorgi: "Vote Mr. Abramson 'no'. What's the... Mr. Lechowicz, do you have more questions of the Affirmative Roll?"

Lechowicz: "Mr. Margalus."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Margalus... Excuse me, Mr. Margalus, is he in the... How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Margalus, is he in the Chamber... Take him off the record. Mr. Deavers, for what reason do you rise? Deavers,"

Deavers: "Change my 'yes' to 'no', please."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Deavers would like to be changed from 'yes' to 'no'. What's the... Do you have the count?"

Lechowicz: "84 'no', 77 'aye', right now."



Speaker Giorgi: "84 'no' and 77 'aye'. Do you want to continue with the verification?"

Lechowicz: "No. Well, let me just get a few more. Mr. Mahar."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Mahar is in his chair."

Lechowicz: "All right. Mr. Mann."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Mann. Mr. Mann, how is he recorded, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is Representative Mann in the chambers? Take him off the record."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Stearney."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Stearney... Representative Stearney, how is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is Representative Stearney in the chamber? Take him off the record."

Lechowicz: "Mr. McBroom."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. McBroom is in his seat."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Polk."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Polk is in the aisle."

Lechowicz: "Mr. McMaster."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. McMaster is in his seat."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Miller."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Miller. Representative Miller. How is he recorded, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Miller... Is Representative Miller in the chamber? Take him off the record."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Wolf."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. J.J. Wolf. How is he recorded, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Speaker Giorgi: "Not voting, Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Stuffle."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Stuffle. Mr. Stuffle. How is he recorded, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "He's in the middle aisle."



Lechowicz: "Mr. Tuerk."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Tuerk... Mr. Tuerk, how is he recorded, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is Representative Tuerk in the chamber? Mr. Tuerk?"

Take him off the record."

Lechowicz: "That's all I have, Mr. Speaker. Thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Byers... Representative Byers requests a verification

of the Negative Roll... What is the count now, Mr. Clerk, so he'll

know? The Clerk informs me there are 73 'aye' and 83 'no'. Now

read the Negative Roll Call."

Clerk O'Brien: "Abramson. E.M. Barnes. Jane Barnes."

Speaker Giorgi: "Excuse me, Mr. Clerk. Representative Schlickman,

for what reason do you rise?"

Schlickman: "A Gentleman from the Fair Employment Practices Commission

would like to visit with me, may I be verified now?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Byers, Schlickman voted in the negative would...

you're verified. Continue, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Beatty. Bianco. Birchler. Boucek. Bradley.

Brady. Brandt. Rich Brummer. Don Brummet. Caldwell.

Capparelli. Chapman. Christensen. Conti. Darrow. Corneal

Davis. Jack Davis. Dawson. Deavers. DiPrima. Domico.

Doyle. Ewell. Farley. Flinn. Garmisa. Giglio. Giorgi.

Hanahan. Harris. Hart. Huff. Jacobs. Emil Jones. Kelly.

Kosinski. Kozubowski. Kucharski. Laurino. Lechowicz.

Leverenz. Lucco. Luft. Madigan. Matejek. Matijevich,

Mautino. McAuliffe. McClain. McGrew. McLendon. McPike.

Molloy. Mudd. Mulcahey. Murphy. Nardulli. O'Brien. Pechous.

Pierce. Pouncey. Richmond. Schisler. Schlickman. Sharp.

Shumpert. Steczo. Taylor. Terzich. Tipword. Van Dwyne.

Vinson. Vitek. VonBoeckman. Waddell. R.V. Walsh. Williams.

Younge. Yourell. Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Clerk... Mr. Steele, for what reason do you rise?"

Mr. E.G...."

Steele: "Please vote me 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Vote Mr. Steele 'aye'. Representative Byers, do you have



any questions of the Negative-Roll Call?"

Byers: "Mr. Speaker, there's been some people change their votes during the Roll Call and I'd like to know the official vote at this time."

Speaker Giorgi: "The count is 83 'nay' and 74 'aye'. Is that correct, Mr. Clerk? There are too many to give you the changes, there are at least ten changes. It's a little difficult."

Byers: "I don't want that, I just want the vote right now as it stands. 74 'aye' 83 'nay'."

Speaker Giorgi: "That's correct... Is that correct, Mr. Clerk? 83 negative, 74 positive. Continue, Mr. Byers. Do you have any questions of the Negative Roll Call?"

Byers: "Representative Dawson."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Dawson... Representative Dawson, how is he recorded, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is Representative Dawson in the room...in the chamber? Take him off the record. I'm sorry, just a moment. Representative Dawson. Here he is in the press gallery here. Mr. Byers; continue."

Byers: "Representative Getty."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Getty, how is he recorded, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Speaker Giorgi: "Excuse me, Mr. Byers. Mr. Lechowicz, for what reason do you rise?"

Lechowicz: "Maybe the Gentleman wants to be recorded, I'm not sure."

Speaker Giorgi: "Continue Mr. Byers."

Byers: "Representative Hanahan."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Hanahan... Representative Hanahan. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is Representative Hanahan in the chamber? Representative Hanahan. Take him off the record."

Byers: "Representative Hart."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Hart. How is the Gentleman recorded, Mr. Clerk?"



Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Hart... Is Representative Hart in the chamber? Take him off the record, Mr. Clerk."

Byers: "Representative Kucharski."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Kucharski is in his seat."

Byers: "Representative Mautino."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Mautino is at the back of the room."

Byers: "Okay. Representative... Representative Sharp."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Sharp... Representative Sharp is in the back of the chambers and return... Put Mr. Hanahan back on the Negative Roll Call, he's in the chamber."

Byers: "I think Representative McBroom was taken off the Roll Call, I see him back on the House floor."

Speaker Giorgi: "What else you have?"

Byers: "Representative Younge."

Speaker Giorgi: "Younge... Representative Younge, how is she recorded, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Lady is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is Representative Younge in the chamber? Take her off the record. She's in the Senate Appropriations Committee."

Byers: "Oh, that's too bad. Representative VonBoeckman."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative VonBoeckman is in his chair."

Byers: "Representative... Representative... Some of these people came back on the House floor and they were off, Mr. Speaker, I think there's some other people that came back on the House floor..."

Speaker Giorgi: "You're questioning the Negative Roll Call. Would you please question the Negative Roll Call? Mr. Byers, if you're completed please tell us that. What's the count, Mr. Clerk?"

Byers: "I don't have any more questions."

Speaker Giorgi: "What's the count?"

Byers: "Mr. Speaker, there are some people that came back."

Speaker Giorgi: "...you were questioning the Negative Roll Call, Mr. Byers. On this question there are 74 'aye' and 81 'no' and this motion fails. Amendment #14."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #14, Bowman. Amends Senate Bill 1680 on page 5



by inserting between line 30 and 31 the following and so forth."

Speaker Giorgi: "Excuse me, Mr. Bowman. Representative Bradley, for what reason do you rise?"

Bradley: "Mr. Speaker, I'm wondering if this Amendment has been distributed?"

Speaker Giorgi: "The Gentleman questions whether Amendment #14 has been distributed. The head Page informs me that Amendment 14... Mr. Bowman."

Bowman: "Well that's not my fault."

Speaker Giorgi: "The head Page informs me that Amendment #14 has not been printed because of whatever happened, but it's not printed. There's a breakdown in machinery. Mr. Bowman on Amendment #14."

Bowman: "As I understand there was a breakdown in the equipment. This Amendment was filed at noon, which ordinarily would have been ample time. And I would ask if the Sponsor perhaps might move the Bill to Third Reading, with the understanding that it would be brought back for the purpose of an Amendment.. No?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Bradley, Mr. Bowman requests whether...if you allow this Bill to go to Third, if his Amendment would be on it..."

Bradley: "Alternatively, could we leave it on Second?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Well, the practice has been to send them on to Third if the Amendments aren't ready. Mr. Bradley, in answer to Mr. Bowman's inquiry..."

Bradley: "Mr. Chairman, it started out as a rather simple Bill. Being the rather generous person that I am, I would agree to... It's no fault of Mr. Bowman that the machine broke down but I would like to move the Bill to Third Reading. I'll agree, when the Bill...the Amendments are printed, they'll...if I can get a commitment from the Chair that we will only address ourselves to Amendment 14 and 15, if we have that kind of a commitment then I would move it...request you move it to Third, bring it back to Second, no more Amendments."

Speaker Giorgi: "Then your answer to the inquiry is in the event 14 is acceptable to you, you'll move it from Third to Second to honor



the Amendment..."

Bradley: "No...no...no, even I will move it back, whether it's acceptable or not for those only...those two, Amendment 14 and 15. I don't know what 15 is but I do know what 14 is."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Houlihan on this point. Representative James Houlihan on this point."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, I think we're violating the tradition of the Chair. The Speaker has always ruled and practiced that if the Amendments were not printed the Bill would remain on Second Reading."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Houlihan, I've been here as long as you have..."

Houlihan: "Longer...longer."

Speaker Giorgi: "...and Mr. Houlihan, I have moved a Bill to Third this week, when the Amendment wasn't ready. I have moved the Bill to Third when the Amendment was ready and the Sponsor wasn't present, so I know what the rules are. Representative Skinner, on this point?"

Skinner: "Yes, Sir. It is even worse down in the print shop than has been reported already. Not only is one of the cameras out but in addition the second camera requires a punch to punch the holes in the plate so that it will fit on the press and the punch broke. This is indeed an extraordinary situation and it seems to me that it is definitely not the Sponsor of the Amendments' fault and that the Member of the Majority Leadership ought to be a little kinder to his colleagues and those of the rest of us that are having Amendments prepared down in the Reference Bureau right now, than he is."

Speaker Giorgi: "Bowman, your inquiry has been satisfied.. Bowman. Your inquiry of Bradley."

Bradley: "What is the Chair's ruling in this case?"

Speaker Giorgi: "My ruling is that if the Amendments aren't ready when the Bill is ready to move to Third it will move to Third."

Bradley: "Well I have an Amendment on it that I would like to have considered."

Speaker Giorgi: "Yes, but we've ruled... time out, Representative..."



Bradley, on his inquiry."

Bradley: "Now, Mr. Speaker, I understand we have Amendment #16 before us. Undoubtedly we're going to have more and more Amendments. It's not my fault that the machine broke down so if we're going to persist in those Amendments then I would simply say move that Bill to Third Reading."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Houlihan, for what reason do you rise?"

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, the Sponsor can't move that Bill to Third Reading while there are Amendments pending and some are printed and some are not. He doesn't have the right to move that to Third Reading."

Speaker Giorgi: "No, I understand he has another inquiry. Mr. Bradley, for what reason do you rise?"

Bradley: "To save a lot of time, 14 is being distributed, let's go with 14."

Speaker Giorgi: "Okay, Mr. Bowman on Amendment #14 because it is before us. Excuse me, Mr. Bowman. Representative Geo-Karis for what reason do you rise?"

Geo-Karis: "I'd like to make a parliamentary inquiry."

Speaker Giorgi: "Continue."

Geo-Karis: "As long as it has been definitely stated on the floor that the machine duplicating the Amendments, making the Amendments, is not functioning...is it the prerogative of the Chair to be unfair and put a Bill to Third Reading when it's beyond the control of the Sponsors of the Amendment?"

Speaker Giorgi: "You lead to a question which is hypothetical and would lead to many other questions."

Geo-Karis: "It's not hypothetical...it's already stated by Mr. Skinner."

Speaker Giorgi: "Yes, it's a very hypothetical question. Mrs. Geo-Karis, if the machine were to break down today I would...the House would consider Bills that are before them properly, would move them to Third and move the Bills that are ready to be moved...into law, they'd move them on and let the machinery cave in if it has to. That would be my answer to your inquiry."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, would you do that to your Bills?"

No, you would not."



Speaker Giorgi: "It's been done to my Bills."

Geo-Karis: "I haven't done it to your Bills."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Bowman on Amendment #14."

Bowman: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #14 is a very...truly a very simple Amendment. I'll simply read it to you, it's three lines long, it says: 'The names of candidates for elections to federal offices shall be listed above the names of candidates for election to all other offices.' This addresses itself to the position in which offices are presented to voters on the ballot..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Excuse me, Mr. Bowman. The T.V. has requested some pictures because of the activity today so we're going to allow them a few minutes ^{for} of pictures. Continue, Mr. Bowman."

Bowman: "Okay. This simply clusters the offices...federal offices together on the ballot. In many cases the candidates for those offices are addressing comparable issues and I think it's an appropriate grouping. At the present time candidates for Congress are tucked in underneath the candidates for the University of Illinois Trustees and they're really lost on the ballot. I think that this would properly focus attention on federal races and I move its adoption, Amendment 14."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Byers on the Amendment."

Byers: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Continue."

Byers: "Representative Bowman, are you saying that you want to put the candidates in order so that Congress would come before the University of Illinois Trustees, is all this would do?"

Bowman: "That's right."

Byers: "Presently, the University of Illinois Trustees are on the ballot and then the Congress and then State Senator and then State Rep. and then county offices, is that correct?"

Bowman: "Well this would...this would place the Congressional races above the University of Illinois Trustees."

Byers: "Would it hurt the vote total for the University of Illinois Trustees?"



Bowman: "I'm sorry, repeat the question."

Byers: "Would this be detrimental to the University of Illinois Trustee race?"

Bowman: "I can't see that it would, Representative Byers."

Byers: "Well, that's a very important office and I hate to shut it off to one side and people won't even know who they're voting for when they vote for the University of Illinois Trustee. However, it has been explained to me why this is an important Amendment and I think the federal candidates should be listed first in importance and then go to the lesser county offices."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Greiman, on the Amendment."

Greiman: "Will the Gentleman yield to a question?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Continue, continue."

Greiman: "What this does is... is it puts the offices, Mr. Bowman, in together so right now in most ballots you would have federal offices together and then you would have the state offices all clustered and then you'd have the county offices so that the various jurisdictions would be together. Is that what it does?"

Bowman: "That's right. Let me spell it out for you. In presidential years, President would be first. In senatorial years, Senator would be next. Then Congress, then Governor and then the other state offices which follows."

Greiman: "And then the county offices, is that right?"

Bowman: "The county offices, that's right."

Greiman: "Okay, on the... well, that's all I wanted to know. Thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Bradley on the Amendment."

Bradley: "Mr. Speaker, I'm afraid I'm going to have to oppose the Amendment 14 based on a number of reasons. One, protocol calls for the highest office to be listed, I would think at least listed first, second, third and fourth and I might call to the attention of Members on both sides of the aisle that what we will be doing here effectively will be reducing the number of votes people will be casting in a gubernatorial race and in the state races. It's historical that by the time people get down to the congressional



candidate...in effect, what the Amendment would be doing, only...if I understand, the Amendment is moving the Congressional candidates up right behind the U.S. Senator candidates if there is a race that year. It's been historical that the Congressional candidate does not receive as many votes as those people at the top of the ballot, so I would suggest that we're giving the Congressional candidate...for some reason or other, a greater opportunity to get more votes than the Governor, the Secretary of State and all the state officers. And for those reasons I oppose the Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Pierce, on the Amendment."

Pierce: "Would the Gentleman yield to a question?"

Speaker Giorgi: "He will."

Pierce: "Does this apply to the primary as well as the general election?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Bowman."

Bowman: "The... At the present time there is no language in...really in the statutes that applies to the general election. And this would be brand new language, as you can tell."

Pierce: "Your Amendment would apply to all elections, primaries and general elections, is that right?"

Bowman: "Well my understanding is that there's no specific language that defines the order. And the Legislative Reference Bureau wasn't able to find any and so this was...would simply change what's been the practice."

Pierce: "All right. Both the primary and the general."

Bowman: "I would hope so."

Pierce: "All right, now, you consider delegates to the National Presidential Nominating Convention, would that be federal or would that be a state or county...what kind of office would that be when they are elected in the primary?"

Bowman: "That's not a federal office, that's a party office and the Election Code does make a distinction between public offices and party offices."

Pierce: "You don't intend to place delegates to the Presidential Nominating Convention ahead of the state offices?"

Bowman: "No."



Pierce: "Although the President, himself, will be ahead as he is now in the preferential in the primaries in the presidential years, is that right?"

Bowman: "That's right?"

Pierce: "And you would maintain that split with the President at the top of the ballot and the delegation to the National Convention down below the state officials?"

Bowman: "That's right because they're party offices, in the same way Committeemen are placed on the ballot."

Pierce: "Well, they're not placed where the Committeemen are, they're placed, as I understand it, above the county offices but directly below Congress because they start with the largest districts and work down to the smaller districts."

Bowman: "Right, but they're party offices so they wouldn't be affected by this.."

Pierce: "All right, thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Flinn."

Flinn: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I have a question of the Sponsor if he'll yield."

Speaker Giorgi: "Continue."

Flinn: "Representative Bowman, you have listed here that they shall be listed above the candidates name to the candidates for election of all other offices. In St. Clair County we list our candidates horizontally across the machine. Does that mean that Senator Percy would have to run as a Democrat?"

Bowman: "That would be an interesting conflict, Representative Flinn."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Bowman, to close."

Bowman: "Let me respond to his question before I close. In...in specific response, I think as you read left to right, I mean it is customary in this country to read from left to right and I think when a...a word appears to the left of another we say it comes before or it appears above it. And so, if we're making legislative record here to clear the legislative intent, that in horizontal arrangements it would appear to the left."

Speaker Giorgi: "All right, the Gentleman to close."



Bowman: "I might point out that all of the ballot order provisions elsewhere in the Election Code are written as for paper ballots and simply carry over... And Article XXIV and XXIV(a) takes care that...for the machines and simply inverts the paper ballot order to the proper form for horizontal representation so I don't think there will be any problem here. In closing, it seems to me that really what we're doing here is clustering the federal issues together and focusing attention on the federal candidates at one point on the ballot. I think it is an entirely appropriate clustering because the Congressmen and Senators and Presidential candidates, indeed, will often be debating issues which are much more similar than those of the University of Illinois Trustee and the House of Representative ...Illinois House of Representative candidates are debating. And so I think it's appropriate to put the Congressmen right next to the Senators and Presidential candidates. I ask...I ask a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Giorgi: "Okay. The question is on the motion to adopt Amendment #14 to Senate Bill 1680. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye' and opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. Representative Van Dwyne, for what reason do you rise?"

Van Dwyne: "Anything at all to help Abner Vik...Mikva."

Speaker Giorgi: "On this question there are 82 'aye', 41 'nay' and the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #15, Robinson. Amends Senate Bill 1680 by deleting lines 1 thru 3 and inserting in lieu thereof, the following,"

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Bradley on Amendment 15,"

Bradley: "Has this been distributed, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Giorgi: "The head Page tells me it has not been distributed."

Bradley: "Then I wish it would be...the Bill would be moved to Third Reading."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Robinson, for what reason do you rise?"

Robinson: "First of all, Mr. Speaker, they are printing other Bills,



other than Amendments to the Bill we're on now. Secondly, this Amendment...Mr. Bradley just assured us publicly that he would allow this Amendment to be heard, just a few minutes ago. Also, there's Amendment #16. Now, do we have an assurance that this Bill will remain on Second Reading until Amendment 15 is printed?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Bradley."

Bradley: "I might respond, at one point in time this afternoon I suggested that I would do that. There was a great deal of discussion after I suggested that and when we came back and Amendment 14 was printed we addressed ourselves to it and since that time I've made no commitment at all about further Amendments, because... And I might point out, at the time I made that commitment to the Gentleman that asked me, we were referring to only Amendments 14 and 15. There has been...16 has been suggested and on my desk, it's not printed but we have another Amendment. We're going to continue to have Amendment after Amendment after Amendment and so I suggest if 15 is not printed it's not my fault, as the Chief Sponsor, and I ask that it be moved to Third Reading."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Robinson."

Robinson: "Mr. Speaker, Amendment #15 is open primary, it is in proper form. Now, this is a serious issue that has not been debated this year. All we're asking is that this Amendment, which was filed, which is not printed only because there were problems with the printing press, that this Amendment be heard. We're just asking the courtesy that this Amendment, which was filed in time to be printed if the printing press was working, will be heard."

Speaker Giorgi: "We're all in agreement as to where we're at at this moment, we're all in agreement. Mr. Houlihan, for what reason do you rise?"

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, could you clarify what we're all in agreement to?"

Speaker Giorgi: "We're all in agreement that Amendment #15 has not been published and printed and on the Members' desk. And we have ruled



in the past that Bills, where the Amendments have not been printed, have been moved to Third Reading. We have also moved Bills to Third Reading when the Sponsor wasn't present to pursue his Amendment. So, this Bill is now on Third Reading. 1680 on Third Reading. 16 can't be honored, unless 15 was honored. Representative Robinson, for what reason do you rise?"

Robinson: "Amendment 16 is...is printed, it is distributed..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Amendment 16 is out of order, 15 has to be adopted first."

Robinson: "No, it's not out of order..."

Speaker Giorgi: "It certainly does... Mr. Byers, for what reason do you arise? Mr. Byers?"

Byers: "Mr. Speaker, there is another Amendment filed and I think we should move to the proper order of business...it's been distributed... Senate Amendment 16 and we could move to that Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Holewinski, for what reason do you rise? Representative Holewinski."

Holewinski: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Amendment #16 is filed and even if it were out of order numerically the Sponsor should have an opportunity to ask leave to renumber it, which is a simple matter, rather than skipping over that Amendment entirely. Mr. Speaker, you didn't..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Holewinski, we have not, this Session, gone out of order to take any Amendments and I'm not going to establish that precedent now. House...Senate Bills Third Reading. Senate Bill 1680. 1725... Senate Bill 1725. Senate Bill 1725. Mr. Robinson, for what reason do you rise?"

Robinson: "Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Giorgi: "On Senate Bill 1725, for what reason do you arise? On Senate Bill 1725..."

Robinson: "Mr. Speaker... Mr. Speaker... Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Giorgi: "I recognized Mr. Robinson on Senate Bill 1725. Cut him off. Representative Houlihan, for what reason do you rise? One at a time, I'll get you all. One at a time. One at a time."



Representative Houlihan, do you seek recognition on 1725?

Senate Bill 1725."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1725."

Speaker Giorgi: "Just a minute, Mr. Houlihan has been recognized on Senate Bill 1725... Mr. Houlihan, would you yield to Mr. Skinner?"

Mr. Skinner, Mr. Houlihan yields to you on Senate Bill 1725."

Skinner: "I don't rise on that, I rise on a point of personal privilege."

Speaker Giorgi: "State your point of personal privilege."

Skinner: "Amendment 16 is on everyone's desk. Why did you not call it before you moved it to Third Reading? Why?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Because it hasn't been the practice of the Chair to take the Amendments out of order. You're...cut him off.

Representative Bradley, for what reason do you rise? Representative Robinson, on Senate Bill 1725."

Robinson: "Personal privilege."

Speaker Giorgi: "State your point."

Robinson: "This Bill...that you...."

Speaker Giorgi: "1725, Representative Robinson."

Robinson: "Mr. Speaker, Amendment 16 was on everyone's desk...."

Speaker Giorgi: "Correct, we admit that. It hasn't been the practice of this House...."

Robinson: "You have absolutely no right not to call that Amendment. Now, Mr. Speaker, if I had withdrawn Amendment 15, if I had withdrawn Amendment 15 you would have had to go to 16, you have to go to it anyhow."

Speaker Giorgi: "Your point of personal privilege is noted. Representative Schlickman for what reason do you rise.... On Senate Bill 1725."

Schlickman: "On a point of personal privilege, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Giorgi: "State your point, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, with respect to Senate Bill 1680, that Bill has been at the Order of Second Reading for some time."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Schlickman..."

Schlickman: "Point of personal privilege. I am objecting to your being castigated as Speaker."

Speaker Giorgi: "Thank you. Thank you."

Schlickman: "May I proceed? Mr. Speaker and Members



of the House, I had an Amendment to that Bill. It was Amendment #4 which, when called to my attention, was similar in substance to Amendment #3...I withdraw. But I'd like to call your attention, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, with the interest I had in Senate Bill 1680. I had that Amendment drafted two weeks ago and it's been on file for a couple of weeks. I don't think, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, it contributes to the orderly conduct of this House that you file an Amendment a half hour ago and expect to have it voted upon and considered a half hour later. I think that's an imposition on the entire House and frankly, Mr. Speaker, I think you were right and I accord you."

Speaker Giorgi: "Very well said, Mr. Schlickman. Pardon me, there are some people that have a point before you. Mr. Mann, for what reason do you rise?"

Mann: "Point of personal privilege. Mr. Speaker, how long was that Amendment on your desk before..."

Speaker Giorgi: "No, there was none on the desk, Bob. It was just filed at noon or at one o'clock."

Mann: "Well it was on my desk."

Speaker Giorgi: "Not 15."

Mann: "Yes, it was on my desk."

Speaker Giorgi: "Amendment 15?"

Mann: "Well, Mr. Speaker, consider for a moment... My point is...my point is, that this is poor, very poor example and precedence for the future, Mr. Speaker. You knew what the next Amendment was whether it was numbered 15 or 16, you ought to give the Gentleman a shot at his Amendment. And I...I suggest, therefore, that you play it fair and square, the way you'd like it played if it was your Amendment, Zeke."

Speaker Giorgi: "Might I have a point of personal privilege to reply to Mr. Mann? Mr. Mann, I have been here when Bills have been sent to Third Reading. And if the Sponsor had an Amendment that was worthy of consideration I've always noted that the Sponsor return the Bill to Second Reading. Mr. Robinson, for what reason do you rise?"



Robinson: "I'd like... On a point of personal privilege, to answer Representative Schlickman in his...in his point. First of all, it was two hours ago when that Amendment was filed. Secondly, the reason it was necessary was because one number and one comma were wrong in an Amendment that Representative Skinner had and for that reason they ruled it out of order. It was exactly the same. And...and finally, the order went down from the Clerk's Office. Now, I don't know who gave that order but the order went down not to print my Amendment but to print other Amendments to other Bills first. If you had seen staff consulting up there...that was what the order was. And I think that in all fairness you ought to take back your...your accolade for the pseudo Speaker."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Robinson... Mr. Robinson, I think the record should note that all statements being made here today are not entirely accurate. Mr. Epton, on Senate Bill 1725."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1725. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Insurance Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Epton, on Senate Bill 1725."

Epton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ordinarily I would enjoy going ahead with this particular Bill but in view of the fact that there may be slight opposition, the tenor of the House is such, I don't think I'm quite in the mood to go ahead. So, if you don't mind, pull it out of the record."

Speaker Giorgi: "Thank you, for the relief.. Senate Bill 1746. Out of the record. 1725."



Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1746. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Senior Citizens and Disabled Persons Property Tax Relief Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Giorgi: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1. Pierce. Amends Senate Bill 1746 in the House on page 1 by deleting lines 1 through 3 and so forth."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Pierce on the Amendment."

Pierce: "First an inquiry, Mr. Speaker, was...fiscal note been filed on this Bill?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Fiscal note is filed."

Pierce: "All right. Mr. Speaker, what Amendment #1 does is add to this very fine Bill the terms of House Bill...the Circuit Breaker Bill that we passed in this House providing circuit breaker relief for all Illinoisans, home...taxpayers, homeowners and renters whose income is 30,000 or less. It takes the terms of House Bill 3279 and adds it to this Bill so that we can be sure to have a bipartisan vehicle for meaningful property tax relief in this Session of the General Assembly. This House, by more than a three-fifths vote, by 112 votes on a bipartisan basis, passed House Bill 3279. What Amendment #1 does to the Bill of Senator Nimrod is to add the terms of that Bill to this piece of legislation. It provides for a rebate under the circuit breaker when the taxes are more than 3½ percent of the income of the taxpayer...if...up to a maximum of 50 percent. It provides for renters as well as homeowners, with 30 percent of the rent being considered, to be in lieu of taxes. The...the rebate, the maximum rebate of 650 dollars less 2 percent of income. I think you're all familiar with this Bill. It is the Bill that was originally proposed by myself and by the Illinois Public Action Council. What Amendment #1 does is make sure we have a vehicle that's passed the Senate, a vehicle that's passed the Senate that provides meaningful property tax relief for citizens in our state, not only for senior citizens but for all citizens of our state in the lower and middle income categories. Most of you voted for House Bill 3279, here's a chance to amend it into a Senate Bill that's passed the Senate. So we're sure we have an effective vehicle to carry out meaningful tax relief. And I urge the adoption of Amendment #1."



Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Telcser on the Amendment."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, pursuant to Rule 32(f), I would request a fiscal note to the Gentleman's Amendment."

Pierce: "That's too late, Mr. Speaker, I think he needs at least 89 votes to request that now."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, this is the proper time if you look at Rule 32(f). We've been through this many, many times."

Pierce: "This Bill's....Second Reading..."

Telcser: "My request is timely."

Pierce: "The Amendment's been on your desk for at least a week."

Speaker Giorgi: "I...my rule book is missing and my Parliamentarian is gone. He wants the....Telcser wants...."

Telcser: "Rule 32(f), which says, 'the Amendment shall be deferred until such time as the fiscal note is filed pursuant to a request by a Member.' I think the Parliamentarian would agree."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Matijevich on this point of order."

Matijevich: "Well, I...I haven't followed all of this, Mr. Speaker, but I...but if I know what Representative Telcser's trying to do he has to do it in the form of a motion and carry by 89 votes, according to the rules."

Speaker Giorgi: "He...he made that motion."

Matijevich: "But he needs 89."

Speaker Giorgi: "So if Mr...shall we entertain it now or do you want to entertain it after the Amendment's adopted? You must entertain it now, Mr. Telcser. You made...you persist in your motion?"

Telcser: "Yes, I do, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Telcser moves that a fiscal note be...Mr. Pierce do you want to withdraw your Amendment temporarily? He moves that it be deferred until....."

Pierce: "No, he requires, he didn't read the whole rule, the rule requires 89 votes. His motion has to be carried by a majority of the Members elected to this House."

Speaker Giorgi: "Very well put."

Pierce: "89 votes."

Speaker Giorgi: "Very well put."

Pierce: "He stopped reading in the middle of the rule there, Rule F...."



Speaker Giorgi: "All right, the motion is, shall the Amendment be deferred until a proper fiscal note is filed? All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed to Mr. Telcser, will vote 'no'."

Pierce: "Everybody vote 'no'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Madigan on the motion."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I rise in opposition to the Gentleman's motion.

This is one more tactic of the Republican Membership to delay our consideration of the very vital question of property tax relief for all Illinoisans. We have finally reached a point in time in the House of Representatives where we can move to a consideration of the property tax relief program sponsored by Representative Pierce and the Public Action Council. And we've seen one last vain effort by the Republican Leadership to delay our consideration of this very vital issue to the people of the State of Illinois. I recommend that we all vote 'no'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Telcser, on the motion."

Telcser: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, the Majority Leader earlier this afternoon alluded to the same question in what I referred to earlier as a political diatribe. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this Amendment is totally and absolutely irresponsible. It's an Amendment to help the wealthy people in the State of Illinois. People who have an income of up to 30,000 dollars would be helped with this Amendment. Why shouldn't this Assembly help senior citizens and poor people who absolutely cannot afford property taxes? What is the necessity for adding to the state budget a possible 400,000,000 dollars in order to help some rich people who have fancy homes along the shore with their high real estate taxes? Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, the other side of the aisle has cut funds from renal dialysis, they've cut funds from Children and Family Services...."

Speaker Giorgi: "Excuse me, Mr. Telcser, Mr. Pierce is asking for the floor. Mr. Pierce, for what reason do you rise?"

Pierce: "Point of order, I always like to hear the Gentleman speak but he's speaking on the Bill, the Amendment and not on the motion. This is a motion for a fiscal note and he's speaking eloquently, he thinks,



...the...on the Amendment but not on the motion on the fiscal note."
 Telcser: "Well, Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Telcser."

Telcser: "...Finish. Mr. Speaker, I'm speaking to the motion if the Gentleman would listen because of the dire fiscal impact which this Amendment has on the State of Illinois. Let me also point out that a Democratic leader in the Senate has come out against this Amendment. Members of your own party know it's irresponsible. Members of your own party know it's not a part of the true fiber of what your party's represented. I don't recall the Democratic Party being for rich people. What's happening to you? Is your Democratic candidate for Governor in such bad shape that you have to send surrogates out to try and hustle up votes? Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, the Leadership and Members of the Leadership of the Democratic Party in the Senate opposed this Amendment. It is a horrendous Amendment. Who is going to pay those Bills? The poor people? Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, this Amendment has a devastating fiscal impact upon this Bill. Any responsible Member of this House would vote to get a fiscal note. And, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I'm delighted to see that 95 Members agree that somewhere, sometime we ought to act responsibly."

Speaker Giorgi: "Pardon me, Mr. Telcser. Mr. Matijevich, do you seek recognition?"

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, I think we can erase all he's said because the issue is moot, the fiscal note is now filed, I understand. Is that true?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Telcser, the fiscal note has now been filed."

Matijevich: "Thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "Drop the... Representative Kempiners, for what reason do you rise?"

Kempiners: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, could you please inform us as to who the fiscal note is signed by?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Who signed the fiscal note?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Pierce."

Kempiners: "Well, I would...I would object to that, Mr. Speaker, because the State Statute requires that the agency of state government that



would...be affected by the particular legislation must submit the fiscal note."

Speaker Giorgi: "Excuse me, Mr. Kempiners. Mr. Pierce, for what...

Representative Pierce, for what...Representative Pierce...Pierce."

Pierce: "Mr...Mr. Speaker, the Gentleman...bothered to read the fiscal note instead of ranting and raving, it's the same...it says the same as House Bill 3279 which was filed by the Illinois Department of Revenue. And because this Amendment is the same as House Bill 3279, if they took the time to read instead of their partisan ranting and raving because many, many Republicans voted for House Bill 3279. I won't read off their names now, I don't want them to lose their patronage from the Governor but many Republicans did vote for House Bill 3279. That's how it got a 117 votes when it passed."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Madigan on the issue. Representative Skinner, for what reason do you rise?"

Skinner: "Only to point out that the Majority Leader has already spoken on the issue."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Peters, for what reason do you rise?"

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, just in terms of an inquiry. There's...might be something wrong with the electrical equipment but it's difficult to maybe because of the noise, but it's difficult for me, at any rate, to hear certain...certain Members speaking. It might be with the microphone system, I'm not sure. Some others come through very loud and clear, some are very quiet. So if you might ask the electrician to check into that I'd appreciate it."

Speaker Giorgi: "Continue on Amendment #1, Mr. Pierce."

Pierce: "Mr...Mr. Speaker, Amendment #1 has been recognized by home owners and renters throughout the state as the only effective, as the only effective real estate relief Bill presently before the General Assembly. What we're doing here is amending a Senate Bill to put that proposal in...into a Senate Bill that has passed the Senate. Now, in his earlier diatribe the Assistant Minority Leader, wherever he is today, the Minority Whip...Assistant Minority Whip stated this Bill is for the rich. On the other hand, just the opposite. This Bill excludes the rich and includes the low income and the middle income people of this state because household income is what we're talking



about. When a husband and wife work often their income is...is in excess of 20,000 but the Bill provides...the Bill provides the maximum grant of 650 dollars less 2 percent of income. So someone making 30,000, the maximum grant they can get would be 50 dollars where someone without any income the maximum grant would 650 dollars. It's definitely progressive with the lower income people obtaining more relief and the high...the middle income people obtaining less relief and the wealthy people, the wealthy people like most of those on the other side receive no relief which is really their objection. Their objection is, it doesn't include themselves because most of them make over 30,000 a year and that's what they're sore about. They're sore about the 30,000 limitation. Some have come to me and said why don't you make it 40 or 50,000 so I could be included. I'm not going to mention any names, I'm not going to mention any names because some of them are friends of mine but...but we all know that this Bill gives relief to the low and middle income people of our state who are paying taxes that are more than 3½ percent of their household income. And I move the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1746."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Wolf on the Amendment."

Wolf: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I would be opposed to this and let me tell you this is not tax relief it's tax lunacy. Some of the Members may have voted for this in the past, I, for one, did not because I thought it was a bad Bill when it was in the form of a Bill and it hasn't gotten any better. This particular Amendment provides no incentive, I say no incentive to local governments to hold the line on taxes. All it is is a bail out, a bail out by the state to pay for the increasing taxes which are brought about by local government. Those of you who have been around here a few years recall when there was a Bill before us to put a tax freeze and somebody came up with an Amendment that would allow the taxes to go up....the state would reimburse the local counties and municipalities for whatever increases there were. Well, that was a bad proposal because it did the same thing. It did not, it did not encourage and did not give any incentive for local governments to hold the line on taxes. And, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, that's what the people of the State of Illinois want as they do all over the country. They're tired of their taxes going



up and up and they want some of you liberals to wake up and quit trying to give the store away in voting for every lunatic program that comes along. I would like to further remind you that government has no assets. That's right. No government has assets before it can give anything away it has to take away from the people in order to give it away. And so what are we doing? We're starting a program of equalizing income or trying to practice socialism or whatever you want to call it. But government can't give you anything until it takes it away from the taxpayers. And I would urge you to vote 'no' on this lunacy."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Vinson on the Amendment."

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, Mr. Pierce has suggested that this is the only effective form of tax relief before the House. That's not true. You, among other Members of the Democratic Leadership have consistently denied Representative Totten the chance to have a truly meaningful program for tax relief considered by this House. All Representative Pierce's Amendment will do is what the voters in California rejected. They rejected Proposition 8 which offered the spurious hope that somehow you can provide tax relief through more spending. Everybody knows that's not true. What the people want is less spending. We ought to vote on Representative Totten's Amendment and reject this lunacy."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Totten on the Amendment."

Totten: "Mr. Speaker, my name was mentioned in debate. So I agree with Representative Vinson that we ought to do something. And so in order to do something I'd like to move to the Order of Constitutional Amendments Second Reading for the purposes of considering Amendments to House Joint Constitutional Amendment 44."

Speaker Giorgi: "...For a moment Mr. Keats wants to give us a report on the Conservative Union. Mr. Keats."

Keats: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I've been sitting here thinking how wonderful it is for the Democrat Party finally to have seen the error of its ways and to come back to the promised land of those of us who finally realize that protecting the taxpayer is what government should be all about. I was sitting here running down the little list from the Illinois Conservative Union and noticing a few of the Gentlemen up there discussing tax relief have sort of forgotten that when it comes to spending money you've got to



vote 'no' once in a while. You know, taxes really are not a problem. Everyone's going to be surprised here, but taxes aren't our problem. Taxes are a symptom of the problem. The real problem is government spending. And when it comes to government spending, the Democrat Party is certainly a record setter. When we look at the Illinois Conservative Union rating and look at the top eighteen spenders in the state, seventeen are Democrats. Don't tell me that you are in favor of tax relief when every time you...get a chance to vote...."

Speaker Giorgi: "Pardon me, Mr. Keats,...."

Keats: "You hang everyone."

Speaker Giorgi: "Pardon me. Pardon me. Mr. Mudd, for what reason do you seek recognition?"

Mudd: "You know, constantly, Mr. Speaker, they're...they're addressing themselves to the Amendment but they're making all kinds of improper statements about what the Amendment does. I'd like to speak to the Amendment also but I think that we should straighten a few things out."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Keats, can you...(tape)...moment to close."

Keats: A...A moment to close, I've got another forty-five minutes' worth of slurs on the opposing party already stored up. But what I did want to finish by saying is to remember, if we are truly going to help the taxpayers it isn't simply a case of cutting taxes, you've got to cut spending. If you cut taxes and don't cut spending, you haven't done anything. Don't try and kid the taxpayers. You can't fool 'em. If you don't vote 'no' on spending once in a while there is no way in the world you're going to be able to claim that you're protecting them. So to the top eighteen spenders, seventeen of whom are Democrats, let's try to remember the taxpayer on a daily basis just not on these absurd diatribes and harangues when you think there might be a chance that a tv reporter is watching. Thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Mugalian on the motion. Mr. Mugalian."

Mugalian: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. On the Amendment, first of all, it does use the circuit breaker which I think generally is a good principle. But there are...cost estimates of this Bill that are very uncertain. One cost estimate is that when fully implemented it will cost the state 400,000,000 dollars. Now that's a lot of school aid. That's a lot of county jails. That's a lot of roads and highways that...or any other



program that ~~this state~~ is required to furnish. Now one of the estimates of the full cost of this by the...by IPAC is less than 400,000,000 dollars. But that's based on some assumption as to degree of participation in the program. I think this is such an important proposal and the fiscal impact is possibly so severe that we ought to vote 'present' on this Amendment unless...going to pass, maybe you ought to vote 'no' because we don't have enough information yet."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr....Representative Robinson, for what reason do you seek recognition?"

Robinson: "Well, I'd like to know if the Amendment's in proper form?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Robinson seeks to know if the Amendment is in proper form...as soon as my Parliamentarian moves back to the podium..... We'll continue in debate, it won't hurt anything whether it's ruled 'yes' or 'no'. The Majority Leader, Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I wish to speak to the Bill. Is that in order?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Please continue while he works on a ruling."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of Representative Pierce's Amendment...and in so doing I wish to offer a warning to the Republican Members of the House of Representatives. Please don't snicker because it is a warning that is offered in all good faith. Your Leadership at this very moment is asking you to vote against this Amendment and to support your Governor. You know that your constituents desire some...some form of tax relief, property tax relief during this Session of the Legislature. You know that. You've heard from your constituents. And now before you is an Amendment that will provide property tax relief but your Leadership is asking you to support your Governor and to vote 'no' on this Amendment. Please remember some recent history. Please remember some recent history. During the last campaign for Governor the incumbent Governor stated that he was opposed to public financing of elections. Strike that. He said he was in favor of it. Now's he...he said he was in favor of it, now he's accumulated a surplus of campaign money and he states that he's opposed to it. He's opposed to public financing because he has a war chest prepared. I know that makes you happy. But consider some recent history. He said he was in favor of pay raises for himself and for all of us. And Representative Telcser wants a pay raise. But now the Governor says



he's opposed to pay raises. He's changed his position. The Governor said he was opposed to a pothole repair program and he asked all of you to stand with him on the floor of the House of Representatives and vote with him. And after you did, he pulled the rug out from under you. He has stated that he's in favor of the ERA but his Lieutenant Governor has been working against it. I suggest to you that the entire history of this administration is fraught with duplicity. You have been the victims of this duplicity. Please consider that. Now you are being asked by Representative Pierce to cast your vote for property tax relief and at the same time you're being asked by your Leadership - and I don't fault them, they have a job to perform - you're being asked by them to stand with the Governor. Support the Governor, he will not support this. I simply suggest to you, don't think of today, think of tomorrow or next week when there is a Mao Tse-tung ... cry from the public for tax relief and what will your Governor do then? Don't be assured that he won't pull the rug out from under you again and change his position and make all of you look foolish. This is a good program. The suggestion has been made that it will cost the state too much. One of our Members has said that there has been a revenue estimated loss of 400,000,000 dollars. The revenue loss that I saw for this program when fully implemented six years from now was 200,000,000 dollars and please remember that in this state every year there is 500,000,000 dollars of new revenue, 500,000,000 dollars of new revenue. So that if we take a small portion each year of that 500,000,000 dollars and set it aside we will be able to very adequately finance this very good program. I recommend an 'aye' vote on this Amendment. And please remember this is not the only time in this Session that we will be faced with this Amendment because it is going to come back and come back and come back and I predict to you that eventually it will pass. So I suggest vote 'aye' now."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Telcser on the Amendment."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I always enjoy listening to Mr. Bakalis' surrogate try and conduct his campaign on the floor of the House of Representatives."

Speaker Giorgi: "Excuse me, Mr. Telcser. Mr. James Houlihan, for what reason do you arise?"



Houlihan, J: "I believe that Gentleman has spoken in debate already."

Speaker Giorgi: "No, he spoke...he spoke on the motion....it had to do with the fiscal note, he did not speak in debate. There was a motion on the fiscal note. Continue, Mr. Telcser."

Telcser: "And, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I must admit in all candor that most of the time I find myself ready to do political battle with the very talented, eloquent Gentleman from Cook County. It seems, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, that it's very popular these days to talk about tax relief particularly after what happened in California a couple of weeks ago. I wonder how many Members noticed this morning's newspaper. I think one of the national polling organizations asked a couple of questions in a nationwide poll. They asked, one, do you want tax relief? And needless to say by a large majority the voters across the country said yes. In the same poll, however, a question was asked, how then do you feel about cutting services which these funds provide for? And interestingly enough the same results were found, that an overwhelmingly number of voters did not want to have various services cut. And that, Mr. Speaker, is really the crux of what we're talking about today. And it is what I sincerely believe the voters in California were saying. They were telling the people who are elected to public office that they're tired of political speeches, they're tired of the phony promises. They want some candor and honesty and the truth from the people who serve them in government. Now, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I really doubt that there's a Member sitting in this chamber today who does not really feel that this Amendment is irresponsible. I've heard that in this debate from Members of both sides of the aisle. Who can really say that we can afford 200,000,000 or 400,000,000 dollars over the next few years from state revenue sources to fund this so-called tax relief which might give back each household fifteen or twenty dollars at a cost of who knows how much to administer? Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, how are we going to fund education? How are we going to fund the vital services which we perform in this state when we're going to take money away from those services? Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, there is nothing in this Amendment to prevent more government spending. What this Amendment does is let local governments continue, continue



to spend at an alarming rate without a check and balance and simply let the state pick up the Bill. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, yes, I do get very political. And, yes, I do harangue other Members of the Assembly. But I say to you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, voting for this Amendment is irresponsible, it's dishonest and I think it takes a certain degree of courage to stand up and say so. I believe that there are a majority of Members who will vote on this Amendment this afternoon who will defeat it because the Amendment simply is not one which tells the voters of our state the complete and total truth. I'm not afraid of what my Governor may or may not do. And I'm not afraid of the voters. I've always felt that...that if you go to the voters and you explain everything and tell them the truth you're going to be reelected. And so, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I sincerely urge every Member to search their conscience, to read the Amendment and to recognize what this Amendment carries with it; the dire implications which this Amendment will bring upon every citizen of this state. And the one thing it will not do for the citizens of this state is the very thing it purports to do. This Amendment will offer no tax relief whatever to the people of this great state and I urge that it be defeated."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Pullen on the Amendment."

Pullen: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Since I am the Sponsor of the Bill I was hoping to get an opportunity to speak."

Speaker Giorgi: "You will close. No, I'm sorry."

Pullen: "No I won't."

Speaker Giorgi: "Go ahead...continue."

Pullen: "Not the Sponsor of the Amendment, honest. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would like to point out a truism to you. The only meaningful tax reform is meaningful tax reduction and this Amendment certainly does not offer that. While it promises something to people based on their property tax, it doesn't actually relieve the property tax and it will require an increase in the state income tax. Isn't that a popular thought? I can see you all really anxious to vote for an increase in the state income tax. Or shall we prevent AFSCME from getting its pay raises next year because the state can't afford those? A speaker a few moments ago suggested



that we on this side of the aisle were being asked to vote against this to support the Governor. I assure you that is not my motive. But I would like to point out that the Governor has not done one thing, he has not increased the state income tax and he has not asked us to increase spending to the point where that would be necessary. It is too bad that some people on the other side of the aisle cannot claim that same thing. The Speaker of this House threatened a few months ago to recommend an increase in the state income tax. He said that it was going to be necessary. I'm sure that it was very popular since I have not seen him introduce a Bill to that effect. There's supposed to be a 500,000,000 dollar increase in revenue every year naturally. Well, some people in this House have found a way of spending that every year as it is and now they ask us to add this on top of the rest of the ways they want to spend that 500,000,000 and it's now been spent about forty times over. I ask you to reject this Amendment because it is not meaningful tax reform because there is no tax reduction. In fact, it will guarantee a tax increase. Please vote 'no'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Matijevich on the Amendment."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, way...way back in February before the California Proposition 13 issue came up, I wrote a letter to the Speaker of the House and I sent a copy to every Member and as I recall, near the first paragraph of that letter, I said, 'Mr. Speaker, I believe that we had dare not go home this Session without passing meaningful property tax relief'. I want to emphasize that again because I think it's important. We've had California since then. So I think that this Amendment provides meaningful property tax relief and I don't think that only senior citizens voted in California. I think all of the people voted in California. And the real need for property tax relief is with middle income people. That's where it's at nowadays. I think the Minority Whip has let the cat out of the bag. The Republican Party this Session will not stomach, will not swallow any property tax relief. That's the stand of the Governor. We all know that. I...if I were running for Governor of the State of Illinois right now, if I were Mike Bakalis right now, all I would do is take the quote that I read in Al Manning's column - and I know it was reported otherwise -



I'd take that quote and I would blow that thing up about two feet by three feet, the quote that says from the Governor of the State of Illinois, 'we don't have high taxes in Illinois'. The Governor said 'we don't have a tax problem in the State of Illinois'. Mike, get that quotation, blow it up and every meeting that you go to, show it to the people. That's where the Governor stands. He doesn't think we have a problem, meanwhile every taxpayer is getting these high tax bills with the high assessments and paying through the nose. They want property tax relief, they need it. They need it now. They don't ...we can't wait for Proposition 13. Not only that, Proposition 13 everybody knows goes too far. We need something meaningful. We need it now. We cannot wait. Vote for this Amendment. I don't see how you can vote against it."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Hoffman on the Amendment. Gene Hoffman."

Hoffman, G: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is a good example of taking a simple problem, applying a complex solution and coming up with a mistake. What we're doing in effect is robbing Peter and then we will pay Paul. There's only one meaningful way to address the question of tax reduction, be fair to the taxpayers, be fair to the local taxing bodies and be fair to state government which has a basic responsibility to fund some of the major social services that society requires. And that fair and equitable way to do this is to reduce the level of assessments on property tax. And you will have before the end of this Session, I'm relatively certain, an opportunity to deal with that very issue. I now am in discussion with some other Members of both sides of the rotunda to find some level to which we can move, to bring us back to the 1975 assessment level, provide equity for the taxpayers, protect the local taxing bodies - particularly the schools - and not rob the schools of their state aid, of their state state aid by dealing with the tax rates. And so because of this, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I, too, rise in opposition to this Amendment and would encourage you to vote 'no' and to give you some hope that before we leave this spring we will address the problem at the point that it can be addressed at most equitably and that is, at the level of assessments."



Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Mudd on the Amendment. Representative Mudd."

Mudd: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I think that when we discuss the California referendum that we tend to lose sight of exactly what the opposition of the voters was really to and that was the local real estate taxes. We've had people stand up on the floor of the House and chastise certain Legislators because they're big spenders. Those people that supply funds to local government in the way of additional school aid for grants for construction or programs directly pointed at revenue sharing to our local municipalities are spending state money. They're big spenders but they're actually producing a...immediate impact on the revenue base or the real estate taxes in local government. And that's what state government's all about. We're here to protect those local governments and we seem to slap them with more mandated programs without assistance. Those have been Democratic Bills and Republican Bills. And I think that we're going to have to take a position and see that we funnel more state money. If you can convince me or any other Member of this House that California isn't in a position right now where their state government is going to have to pick up slack in those local governments for school aid, for employment, for services, I'll put in with you but I don't see any other way they can do it. The states are going to have to spend more money to take the pressure off of local real estate taxes and that's what the people are concerned with. That's what the proposition in California was. And if we can spend money in state government and be the big spenders to take the pressure off the local real estate payer then I'd like to be one of the big spenders that does that."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Wikoff."

Wikoff: "...Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Giorgi: "The Gentleman has raised...has moved the previous question. The question is, shall the main question now be put? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Representative Pierce to close."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is responsible legislation. I resent the Minority...Assistant Minority Leader say...saying that the House is dishonest, the House is



irresponsible. I want to remind them, this legislation in the form of House Bill 3279 was introduced early this spring long before the California referendum. It cleared the Rules Committee as an emergency matter. Was passed in the Revenue Committee and passed this House 112 to 40 before the California referendum. It provides meaningful relief with maximum grants of 650 dollars less 2 percent of income to low and middle income Illinois citizens. The circuit breaker works. The senior citizens circuit breaker works. In fact the Governor in his great tax relief Bill that I think Representative Jones is handling wants to increase the income from 10,000 income maximum all the way from 10,000 to 12,000 dollars on the senior citizens circuit breaker. So apparently he likes the circuit breaker, he just doesn't believe in very much tax relief. The people of Illinois are sending us a message. They want us to use our growth, our growth in funds for tax relief, for homeowners and renters tax relief. That's their number one priority. Here's your chance to show it's your number one priority, that your priorities are the same as that of the citizens, voters, homeowners, renters and farmers back home. Either you're with them or you're not with them. If you're with them you will express yourself for the type of tax relief that works. Michigan has this program and it works very well in Michigan. They don't even have the 30,000 limitation. Under this Bill the Assistant Minority Leader and one Gentleman who spoke over there and the others who are above 30,000 would qualify. Maybe next time I'll come back and not have an income maximum on the Bill. Michigan doesn't. The circuit breaker works very well over there but this first step, we're going to help the low and middle income...low and middle income homeowners and renters. It's responsible legislation. The Comptroller of the State of Illinois thinks it's responsible. Many, many of you on the other side of the aisle voted for House Bill 3279 when it passed. It came to us from citizens' groups, organized throughout the state, under the aegis of the Illinois Public Action Council. I ask you to adopt Amendment #1 for meaningful tax relief 'cause this issue will come back to you this entire Session. It's going to come back again and again and again and you'll have to show your voters whether you agree with them that tax relief, real estate tax relief, property tax relief



is the number one issue. And, Mr. Speaker, I ask for a Roll Call on Amendment #1."

Speaker Giorgi: "The question is on the motion to adopt Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1746. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'no'. And in answer to Representative Robinson's inquiry, the Amendment is in proper form. Mr. Robinson. Have all voted who wish? It's on. Mr. Skinner to explain his vote."

Skinner: "This is not real tax relief, this is token tax relief. This Bill was passed in the Illinois House before the Proposition 13 was overwhelmingly passed in California. This does not go far enough. I would suggest that the Democratic Party is afraid of real tax relief. Amendment #16 which was offered and printed and distributed to 1680 would have put on the ballot the following question this fall: shall the Illinois General Assembly limit real estate taxes on homes and apartment buildings to one percent of market value? The next Amendment to be considered on House Bill 1783 sponsored by the Majority Leader will give Illinois Proposition 13 if the Illinois General Assembly Members have the guts enough to vote for it. And if you don't like one percent, I've got one and a-half percent and two percent there too. If you want real tax relief, you're going to have your chance and if you think this is going to come back again and again and again, you just haven't gotten the message."

Speaker Giorgi: "Have all voted who wish?"

Skinner: "Jarvis-Gann is going to come back again and again and again."

Speaker Giorgi: "Let's go. Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. Representative Ryan, for what reason do you rise?"

Ryan: "At the proper time I would like to verify the Roll."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Ryan has requested a verification of the Affirmative ...on the question at this point there are 81 'ayes' and 74 'nays'. Representative Schneider would like to be recorded as voting 'no'. Representative Pierce, for what reason do you rise?"

Pierce: "Mr....Mr. Speaker, for a poll of the absentees and this is an Amendment. I would like a...Oral Roll Call and of course we'll have to verify the 'noes' as well. This is an Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "All right, the Gentleman asks for an Oral Roll Call and I guess the Speaker has the prerogative of asking for...."



ordering an Oral Roll Call but in the meantime call the absentees. Just a moment, Mr. Clerk. Let's proceed with an Oral Roll Call. Every Member will be in his seat, when his name is called, indicate how he is voting and press his light. Representative Walsh on the Speaker's ruling that we're going to have an Oral Roll Call, is that your point?"

Walsh, W: "It is, Mr. Speaker. And I'm trying to find the rule, Sir, but it's my understanding, my recollection that the Speaker may.... before a Roll Call is begun, order a...an Oral Roll Call. There is no provision for the Speaker or anyone else requesting or ordering an Oral Roll Call after a Roll Call has begun."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Walsh, I respectfully suggest that the Speaker has the authority to order an Oral Roll Call. In lieu of a regular verification."

Walsh, W: "What rule is that, Mr. Parliamentarian?"

Unknown: "Okay, 350."

Speaker Giorgi: "We'll find it for you in a moment. I recall having read it. Mr. Walsh. 4880, line about fifth, sixth line."

Walsh, W: "I see that, Mr. Speaker, My point is tacit, I think, it's...."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Clerk...."

Walsh, W: "....Test of reasonableness."

Speaker Giorgi: "Begin the Oral Roll Call. Please answer the...the roll and signal your light."

Clerk Hall: "Abramson."

Speaker Giorgi: "Abramson, pass."

Clerk Hall: "Adams."

Speaker Giorgi: "Adams, 'no'."

Clerk Hall: "Anderson."

Speaker Giorgi: "Would the Members please sing out how they want to vote."

Clerk Hall: "Anderson."

Speaker Giorgi: "Temporarily, hold on a minute."

Clerk Hall: "Antonovych."

Speaker Giorgi: "Some of the Members are...."

Clerk Hall: "No."

Speaker Giorgi: "Some of the Members are requesting that the floor be



cleared of all unauthorized personnel. Would the unauthorized personnel please clear the floor so the Clerk can see who's answering to these Roll Calls. Continue, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Hall: "E. M. Barnes."

Barnes, E: "Aye."

Clerk Hall: "Jane Barnes. No. Bartulis."

Speaker Giorgi: "Bartulis, how do you vote?"

Clerk Hall: "Bartulis, 'no'. Beatty, pass. Bennett, 'no'. Bianco, 'no'. Birchler, Birchler, 'aye'. Bluthardt, Bluthardt, 'no'. Boucek, 'no'. Bowman, Bowman, 'no'. Bradley, pass. Brady, Brady, 'aye'. Brandt, Brandt, 'aye'. Breslin, Breslin, 'present'. Rich Brummer, 'aye'. Don Brummet, 'aye'. Byers, 'no'. Caldwell, Caldwell, 'aye'. Campbell, Campbell, pass. Capparelli, Capparelli, 'aye'. Catania, Catania, 'no'. Chapman, 'aye'. Christensen, Christensen, 'aye'. Collins, 'no'. Conti, 'no'. Cunningham, 'no'. Daniels, 'no'. Darrow, pass. Corneal Davis, 'aye'. Jack Davis, 'no'. Dawson, pass. Deavers, 'no'. Deuster, pass. DiPrima, 'aye'. Domico, 'aye'. Doyle, 'aye'. John Dunn, pass. Ralph Dunn, 'no'. Dyer, 'no'. Ebbesen...."

Speaker Giorgi: "Excuse me, Mr. Clerk, Ebbesen voted 'no'. Mr. Conti, for what reason do you rise?"

Conti: "Mr. Speaker, parliamentary inquiry. On a Verified Roll Call, when a person's name is called, if he's not in the room, can he come back and change his vote?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Until the Roll Call is sealed up we'll recognize anyone who wants to vote."

Conti: "On a Verified Roll Call?"

Speaker Giorgi: "On...on...on a Verified Roll Call."

Conti: "Why are you having a Verified Roll Call for then?"

Speaker Giorgi: "I, as the Speaker, I don't think I can deny any Member his right to vote on any issue. Mr. Waddell, for what reason do you rise?"

Waddell: "As a point of clarification. What he said was that there was nobody in that seat and it was voted. If he is voted, then how can he change?"



Speaker Giorgi: "The practice has been to call the Roll and then at the end of a...an Oral Verified Roll Call to call the roll of the absentees and if there are any Members in the room that want to change their vote or vote, it's my intention to recognize them. Go ahead, Mr. Waddell."

Waddell: "That is the point. He is an absentee and has been voted."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Waddell, I don't think I have it in my power to deny a Member his right to vote on any issue if he's in the chambers and I will not do that. Representative Ryan, for what reason do you rise?"

Ryan: "Well, Mr. Speaker, as I understand it, Representative Darrow's 'present' button is on, he's not in the chamber and didn't...didn't vote it or announce his roll, how can you allow that to happen? When you...you know it's bad enough the way you treat us but you don't have to make it that blatant."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr...that wasn't the question from Mr. Conti, Mr. Waddell."

Ryan: "That's my question to you. Where's the real Speaker by the way? I haven't seen him since you guys threw him off the podium yesterday. Where's the real Speaker? Get him up there."

Speaker Giorgi: "I'm told we will not record anyone unless he's officially in the room. Is that all right? Does that answer your question? Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, simply to offer an explanation to Representative Ryan concerning Representative Darrow's vote. When the scoreboard was open, there was a paper clip in the 'yes' button which caused the 'yes' button to go on. The paper clip was removed and the 'present' button was put on in order not to distort the Roll Call. And the person who pushed the 'present' button thought that he was simply providing for an accurate count and I think he ought to be commended for his work."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Ryan, same point?"

Ryan: "Well, certainly. It...it sounds like the voting machine in one of his wards in the City of Chicago, they stick them with paper clips or whatever else, chewing gum or whatever, and I...I can certainly understand why Representative Madigan favors that kind of an operation."



Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Ryan..."

Ryan: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, where is the real Speaker?"

Speaker Giorgi: "He's busy on the Republican side of the aisle.

Mr. Barnes, for what reason do you rise?"

Barnes, E: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, I...I think we can

continue on. It seems to be there's some dilatory things going on

but my only question was, if Mr. Darrow's 'present' button is lit

and he has not pushed it, I'm...I'm sort of at a dilemma 'cause I'm

wondering why is Representative Walsh's button on and we are only

in the e's?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Waddell, for what reason do you rise?"

Waddell: "Then I believe that the proper clarification inasmuch as the

explanation has been given, is for you then to declare...him absent

until such time as he does get here to vote."

Speaker Giorgi: "Okay, the record will note that Mr. Darrow is absent

at this time. Is that what you say, Mr. Waddell? All right, Mr.

Darrow is absent, the board is in error on that point but the

Verified Roll Call is in the hands of the Clerk, it isn't on the

board. It'll be in the hands of the Clerk. Continue with the

Roll Call, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Hall: "Edgar, 'no'. Epton, 'no'. Ewell, 'no'. Ewing..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Ewell, will you hit your button, please?"

Clerk Hall: "Ewell, 'aye'. Ewing, 'no'. Farley, 'aye'. Flinn, 'aye'.

Friedland, 'no'. Friedrich, 'no'. Gaines, 'aye'. Garmisa, 'aye'.

Geo-Karis, pass. Getty, pass. Giglio, Giglio, 'aye'. Giorgi,

Giorgi, 'aye'. Greiman..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Hit my button."

Clerk Hall: "Greiman, 'aye'. Griesheimer, 'no'. Hanahan, 'aye'.

Harris, pass. Hart, 'aye'. Hoffman, Hoffman, 'no'. Holewinski,

'aye'. Dan Houlihan, 'aye'. J. M. Houlihan, pass. Hoxsey, 'no'.

Hudson, 'no'. Huff, pass. Huskey, 'no'. Jacobs, 'aye'. Jaffe,

'aye'. Johnson, 'aye'. Dave Jones, 'no'. Emil Jones, 'aye'.

Kane, 'no'. Katz, pass. Keats, 'no'. Kelly, 'aye'. Kempiners,

Kempiners, 'no'. Kent, Kent, 'no'. Klosak, Klosak, pass. Kornowicz,

pass. Kosinski, 'aye'. Kozubowski, 'aye'. Kucharski, Kucharski,

'no'. Laurino, 'aye'. Lechowicz, 'aye'. Leinenweber, 'no'.



Leverenz, Leverenz, pass. Levin, Levin, 'no'. Lucco, 'aye'.
 Luft, 'no'. Macdonald, 'no'. Madigan, 'aye'. Madison, pass.
 Mahar, 'no'. Mann, 'aye'. Margalus, Margalus, 'no'. Marovitz,
 'aye'. Lynn Martin, 'aye'. Peggy Smith Martin, pass. Matejek,
 'aye'. Matijevich, 'aye'. Matula, 'no'. Mautino, pass. McAuliffe,
 McAuliffe, 'no'. McBroom, 'no'. McClain, McClain, 'aye'. McCourt,
 McCourt, 'no'. McGrew, McGrew, 'aye'. McLendon, 'aye'. McMaster,
 McMaster, 'no'. McPike, 'aye'. Meyer, Meyer, 'no'. Miller, Miller,
 pass. Molloy, 'no'. Mudd, 'aye'. Mugalian, 'no'. Mulcahey, 'aye'.
 Murphy, 'aye'. Nardulli, 'aye'. Neff, 'no'. O'Brien, 'aye'.
 Pechous, 'aye'. Peters, Peters, 'no'. Pierce, 'aye'. Polk, 'no'.
 Porter, 'no'. Pouncey, 'aye'. Pullen, 'no'. Reed, 'no'. Reilly,
 'no'. Richmond, 'aye'. Rigney, 'no'. Robinson, 'no'. Ryan, 'no'.
 Sandquist, 'no'. Satterthwaite, 'no'. Schisler, 'aye'. Schlickman,
 'no'. Schneider, 'no'. Schoeberlein, 'no'. Schuneman, Schuneman..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Excuse me, Mr. Clerk, Schuneman, 'no'. Mr. Klosak,
 for what reason do you rise? Klosak. He wants to vote 'no'. Will
 you hit your button, Mr. Klosak? Klosak votes 'no'. Go ahead,
 continue."

Clerk Hall: "Sharp, 'no'. Shumpert, 'aye'. Simms, pass. Skinner,
 'no'. Stanley, 'no'. Stearney, 'no'. Steczo, 'aye'. E. G. Steele,
 'no'. C. M. Stiehl, 'no'. Stuffle, 'aye'. Summer, 'no'. Taylor,
 Taylor, 'aye'. Telcser, 'no'. Terzich, 'aye'. Tipsword, 'aye'.
 Totten, 'no'. Tuerk, 'no'. Van Duyn, 'aye'. Vinson, 'no'.
 Vinson, 'no'. Vitek, 'aye'. Von Boeckman, 'aye'. Waddell, 'no'.
 Walsh, R. V. Walsh, 'aye'. W. D. Walsh, 'no'. Wikoff, 'no'. Willer,
 'no'. Williams, 'no'. Winchester, 'no'. Wolf, 'no'. Younge, 'aye'.
 Yourell, 'aye'. Mr. Speaker, pass."

Speaker Giorgi: "Let's have them poll the absentees. Just poll the
 absentees, please."

Clerk Hall: "Abramson, Abramson, 'no'. Beatty, Beatty, 'aye'.
 Bradley, pass. Campbell, pass. Darrow, 'aye'. Dawson, 'aye'.
 Deuster, pass. John Dunn, 'aye'. Geo-Karis."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, I am in sympathy with his plans but I think it's kind
 of...wrong way to do it and I prefer the amending...Senator Hynes'



Bill and raise the....the amount of assessment. Therefore, I'm going to have to vote 'no'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Continue, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Hall: "Geo-Karis, 'no'. Getty, pass. Harris, Harris, 'aye'. Huff. J. M. Houlihan, 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Someone hit his button."

Clerk Hall: "Huff, pass. Katz, pass. Kornowicz, pass. Leverenz, Leverenz, pass. Madison."

Speaker Giorgi: "...Mr. Leverenz wants to vote. Mr. Leverenz votes 'aye'."

Clerk Hall: "Leverenz, 'aye'. Madison, pass. Peggy Smith Martin..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Peggy Smith Martin."

Martin, P: "Mr. Speaker, even though I'm a homeowner, I see a conflict of interest and I vote 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Miss Martin votes 'aye'."

Clerk Hall: "Martin, 'aye'. Mautino, Mautino, 'aye'. Miller, pass. Simms, Simms, 'no'. And Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Bradley, for what reason do you rise? Bradley."

Bradley: "Will you record me as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Bradley wants to vote 'aye'. Representative Gaines, for what reason do you rise? Representative Gaines from 'no'....you are 'no'. So stay 'no'. Change it to 'no'. Gaines wants to vote 'no'. Is there any questions? Representative Katz."

Katz: "Record me as 'aye', Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Katz wants to be recorded as voting 'aye'. Hit your button, Mr. Katz. Are there any questions....verified Roll Call? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 79 'ayes', 89 'nays' and the motion failed. Any further motions? Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Hall: "Amendment #2. Skinner. Amends Senate Bill 1746 on page 1 on line 1 and so forth."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Skinner, are you ready for Amendment #2?"

Skinner: "Is this the one that amends....for senior citizens?"

Clerk Hall: "Amends Section 5...."

Skinner: "Yes, I would ask leave to withdraw this one. I like the next one much better."



Speaker Giorgi: "The Gentleman asks leave to withdraw his Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1746... Does the Gentleman have leave? No objections, leave has been granted. Amendment #3."

Clerk Hall: "Amendment #3. Skinner. Amends Senate Bill 1746 on page 1 by deleting the title and inserting in lieu thereof the following."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Skinner on Amendment #3."

Skinner: "Okay, so you say you want tax relief. We'll give you tax relief. This Amendment limits the property tax..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Excuse me, Mr. Skinner. Excuse me. Mr. Majority Leader Madigan, for what reason do you rise?"

Skinner: "Market value."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, relative to Amendments 3, 4 and 5, I question whether they are all in order and whether they are all germane. They're identical except for a change in the percentage."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Skinner, on the question of Mr. Madigan, the Bill amends the Senior Citizens and the Disabled Persons Property Tax Act. And your Amendment attempts to amend the Revenue Act, so your Amendments are not germane."

Skinner: "Well, may I suggest, Mr. Speaker, that they both...they are germane as to subject matter because they are...they both concern tax relief. They are merely different methods of giving them. And I believe the Constitution allows that to give you a germane ruling. Is that not correct?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Skinner, I regret to tell you that all three Amendments are not germane."

Skinner: "Would you ask the Majority Leader if he has the guts enough to call his Bill on Second Reading today?"

Speaker Giorgi: "You could ask him by yourself. Are there any further Amendments? Not germane."

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Giorgi: "Third Reading. Now at the request of the Speaker... Representative Cunningham, for what reason do you rise?"

Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it's my pleasure to present to the House of Representatives the two designated successors of this humble person come next January, Mr. Clyde Robbins...Mr. Clyde Robbins who's trying out the chair and



Mr. Glenn Bauer. I wanted you to welcome them and assure both that they'll be here."

Speaker Giorgi: "We'll digress for a moment to go to Committee Reports."

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative E. M. Barnes, Chairman of the Committee on Appropriations II to which the following Bills were referred, action taken June 21, 1978, reported the same back with the following recommendations: do pass House Bill 3403. Do pass as amended, House Bill 2632."

Speaker Giorgi: "House Bills Second Reading. House Bill 2970...out of the record. 2978, out of the record. Read House Bill 3276 for the second time, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3276. A Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Department of Transportation. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 11, 13, 14, 15, 16, 18, 19, 20, 22, 24, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 51, 52, and 53, were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Giorgi: "Any motions to Committee Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "A motion to table Amendment #29."

Speaker Giorgi: "Who made the motion?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Luft."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Luft on motion to...repeat the motion, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "I move to table Amendment #29 to House Bill 3276."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Luft on the motion."

Luft: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, to explain why I'm trying to table Amendment 29. Last year the Illinois General Assembly created the local bridge program and we appropriated 16,000,000 dollars out of Series A Bond Funds to pay for that. This year in the Department of Transportation's Appropriations Bill there is also an appropriation of somewhere around 15,000,000 dollars but that appropriation is contingent on transferring MFT Funds into the Road Fund. Since the time of...that I have added this Amendment, the Department of Transportation has contacted me and has assured me that there will be approximately 15,000,000 dollars put into this fund. However, it will come out of the Series A Bond Funds and therefore



we will not need the road funds that are appropriated in Amendment 29. So therefore, Mr. Speaker, I would ask for the adoption of the motion to table Amendment #29."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is there any discussion? Representative Matijevich on the motion."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I have another Amendment, 63, that would be identical to Committee Amendment #29; however, I think the proper procedure is, rather that I oppose this Amendment to table Committee Amendment #29. I think all of you remember quite vividly the many promises that were made with regard to the local bridge construction. This was a very vital issue as many will remember in the election campaign for Governor a couple of years ago. And all we see that is happening now, we're not building local bridges. All we're doing is measuring them and we're measuring them with county chairman, by the way, and I think that the...the Legislature made a commitment and the 15,000,000 dollar program for this purpose. The Governor was on record for it. Everybody has been on record for a local bridge program. The Administration has not kept word...kept it's word with the local governments. The Illinois Association of County Superintendents of Highways has urged the Department of Transportation to work with the counties and the cities in finding an acceptable means to fund this badly needed program. We're all ...on record in behalf of it, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, and I think that...it would be very irresponsible at this stage of the game now to turn our backs on the local bridge program. I hate to say also that I...I don't want to rely on the word of the Department of Transportation, on the Administration. They haven't kept their word so I don't think we should now say that they are going to have adequate funds and to keep their commitment to the local bridge program. I know many of you are downstaters who understand the importance of the local bridge program. This..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Excuse me, Mr. Matijevich. Representative Robinson, for what reason do you rise on this Amendment, tabling Amendment?"

Robinson: "No."

Speaker Giorgi: "We're on a motion to table Amendment...."

Robinson: "I'll wait till this motion...."



Speaker Giorgi: "Continue, Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Just conclude very briefly by telling everybody, don't forget your commitment. You made the commitment, so many of you did, many of you are from rural areas, many of you understand this...the programs needs for the local bridge...bridges and I urge you to vote against this tabling motion. It was a Committee Amendment. It was his Amendment and he knows what it's all about so I urge the defeat of the tabling motion."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Cunningham on the Amendment...on the motion, rather."

Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, while I disassociate myself with all the venomous political comments by the Chairman of Appropriations I, I reached the same conclusion as he and that is, that those of you who have rural constituencies and have County Superintendents of Highways breathing down your necks demanding that something be done for the inadequate bridges that are so numerous throughout this state need to be absolutely certain that DOT's feet are fastened to the fire irreversibly. We had that same horror story before Appropriations I as to the difference between matching funds that come from the Federal Government and using the entirely state funds that are necessary for the match. We recognize the arithmetic the difference between 70, 30, 90, 10 and 100 percent. But I say to you and your constituents will understand it better that we must be certain that DOT is going to honor the commitment made by the prior Assemblies that we would have a bridge building program at the local level. The only way that you could do that at this moment is to keep that Amendment on the Bill. And if you'll vote against the motion to table DOT will have plenty of time to readjust its priorities that there'd be nothing lost in the terms of the federal match of funds that are available. Somewhere along the line that can be justly made but the bridges back home need to be fixed so vote 'no'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative McBroom on the motion."

McBroom: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the Legislature, I arise to strongly support Representative Luft's motion to table. I think this is a reasonable and responsible approach. All we're doing here, if we don't table it, this motion is a situation of robbing Peter to pay



Paul. We're taking the money out of the Road Fund. It seems like whenever the DOT Bill comes up that all 236 Members of the Legislature suddenly become acting Secretaries of Transportation. I hope those that have any faith in...in my judgment would support Representative Luft and vote 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Lechowicz on the motion."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

I speak in opposition to the motion to table Amendment #29. I believe that this...Membership of this House will recall quite vividly the testimony that was not only heard in the Appropriations Committee this year for the past couple years about the deplorable condition of the bridges throughout this state. I don't think that I have to recall to the Membership of this House of the tremendous safety factor that's involved in not only making sure that the bridges are safe for the cars or the people that...that go over these bridges. But unfortunately there are sections of this state where farmers unfortunately cannot take their grain across certain bridges at this time. They travel many miles out of the way in order to get their grain to market. We made a commitment some time ago in providing a small measure of relief. And, yes, a small measure of safety for the residents of this state. This Amendment, this should be...this Amendment (sic) to table should be defeated, the money should remain in the budget and provide some safety for the people of Illinois. I strongly recommend a 'no' on the motion to table Amendment #29."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Waddell on the motion."

Waddell: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Giorgi: "Thank you, Mr. Waddell, you were the last speaker. Representative Luft to close."

Luft: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'll be very brief. I hope people don't think this is political because it isn't. I was asked to put this Amendment on in Committee by a lobby group representing a group of people who were very interested in a road...local bridge program. I did so. It states that all the 15,000,000 dollars that we're contributing this year will come from the Road Fund. That will prevent, as I understand, possibly going to the Federal Government and receiving 75 to 100,000,000 dollars in federal funds. I have been assured



by the people in the Department of Transportation that I know personally that have talked to me that there will be 6,000,000 dollars of the 15,000,000 dollars that was appropriated for this fiscal year, reappropriated for the next fiscal year and we will have 6 to 8,000,000 dollars of Series A Bonds added in. They have assured me that there will be 15,000,000 dollars thereabouts to fund the local bridge program and nobody will do without any money. So I would move, Mr. Speaker, to adopt my motion to table Amendment 29 to House Bill 3276."

Speaker Giorgi: "The question's on the motion to table Amendment 29 to House Bill 3276. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 72 'ayes', 82 'nays'. Representative Luft requests a poll of the absentees. Representative Luft requests a poll of the absentees. On this question there are 72 'ayes' and 82 'nays'. Representative Kelly would like to be voted 'aye'. Poll the absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "Christensen. Deaver. Deuster."

Speaker Giorgi: "Deavers wants to vote 'no'. Excuse me, Mr. Clerk. Mr. Mugalian, 'aye'. Deavers wanted to vote 'no'. Mugalian wants to vote 'aye'. Schneider would like to vote 'aye'. Just a minute. Just a minute. Just a minute. Oh, Deavers wants to change his vote from 'no' to 'aye'. I'm sorry. Willer....Deavers wants to vote 'aye'. Change his vote."

Clerk O'Brien: "Deavers 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Willer wants to vote 'aye'. Willer. Chapman wants to vote 'no'. Chapman, 'no'. Representative Mugalian, how did you want to vote? Mugalian. 'Aye'. Mugalian, 'aye'. Just a minute, the Clerk is...they're too many changes. Mr. Murphy. Mr. Murphy would like to vote 'no'. Murphy, 'no'. Mr. Reilly. Reilly from 'no' to 'aye'. Reilly from 'no' to 'aye'. McPike. McPike wants to vote 'no'. McPike, 'no'. Christensen wants to vote 'no'. Christensen 'no'. Are there any other changes? Representative Ewing, I mean, Winchester. Winchester, 'aye'. From 'no' to 'aye', Winchester. Want to continue with the absentees? Excuse me, just a minute. Just a minute. Mr. Vinson. Mr. Vinson would like to be recorded as



voting 'aye'. Vinson, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Ebbesen. Ewell. Gaines. Jaffa. Kornowicz. Kucharski. Levin. Madison. Peggy Smith Martin. Miller. O'Brien. Von Boeckman. And Wolf."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Ryan, for what reason do you rise?"

Ryan: "What's the count before you announce it officially, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Ryan, there are 79 'ayes' and 84 'noes' and Mr. Pechous wants to change from 'aye' to 'no'. And Mr. Campbell wants to be changed from 'aye' to 'no'. Campbell. And Mr. Ryan, for what reason do you seek recognition?"

Ryan: "So now we're at 77 to 86, is that right? Thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "Okay. On this question then there are Mr. Clerk. 77 'aye' and 86 'nay' and this motion fails. Any further motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further motions."

Speaker Giorgi: "Any...in other words, no other motions to Committee Amendments. Representative Robinson, for what reason do you rise on this House Bill 3276?"

Robinson: "Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Giorgi: "On House Bill 3276?"

Robinson: "No, Mr. Speaker, on a point of personal privilege."

Speaker Giorgi: "State your point."

Robinson: "Because of the gross violations of the rules against both myself and Representative Skinner on House Bill 1680, I'd like to request a transcript of that period of debate from Amendment 13 on the... going to the other orders of business."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. McClain on this....on this issue, Mr....."

Robinson: "Can I have that please?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Just a moment, I'll...I'll give you the answer on that. Mr. McClain, on this motion? On this point of personal privilege?"

McClain: "Yes, Sir. I don't believe that was a point of personal privilege, that was a request. It can be made to the Clerk and not be made and waste our time."

Speaker Giorgi: "Why don't you let me say that?"

McClain: "Well, I'm just trying to save your...your hide a little bit, Mr. Speaker."



Speaker Giorgi: "You're...you're...you're request is recognized.

Your request is recognized and should be handled through the proper channels. Just call up to the Clerk's Office and get a copy. Mr. Robinson, again, for what reason do you seek recognition?"

Robinson: "Well, I'd just like to clarify that I have checked with the real Speaker and he suggested that I make that request."

Speaker Giorgi: "That's available to all the citizens not only to Mr. Robinson. Next order of business, what is it, Mr. Clerk? Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #54. Mudd. Amends House Bill 3276 as amended on page 23, line 34...."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Mudd on Amendment #54 to House Bill 3276."

Mudd: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, Amendment 54 replaces the funds which were cut from the Department of Aging's Budget portion of the total DOT Budget in House Appropriations I Committee. What it did, it took out the money for the elderly in program, three year program that was to concentrate on research and a designing of a survey instrument for the rising cost of transportation for the elderly and the spiraling cost of insurance. This was inadvertently taken out because of the lack of information given by the Department of Transportation on the elderly program. And we did discuss this with Members of the Department of Aging. They explained the progress in their three year program. And I would ask the Members of the House to adopt this Amendment and restore those...."

Speaker Giorgi: "Excuse me, Mr. Mudd. Mr. McBroom, for what reason do you rise? Mr. McBroom."

McBroom: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Representative Mudd's...maybe we can save some time here on some of these. We're prepared to accept this Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is there any other debate? Mr. Mudd, Mr. McBroom wishes to accept your Amendment. Is there any discussion? The question is on the adoption of the Amendment. All in favor will signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the Amendment's adopted. Next Amendment."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment 55. Kornowicz. Amends House Bill 3276 as amended on page 15 and so forth."



Speaker Giorgi: "That...that Amendment, did someone indicate they were going to handle Kornowicz's Amendment? Mr. McBroom, would you like to...are you accepting Amendment #55 also? If they've indicated... Representative Kozubowski. Representative Kozubowski on Amendment #55."

Kozubowski: "Thank you...thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #55 would appropriate 850,000 dollars from the Road Fund for the resurfacing of 2.5 miles of Pulaski Road from 55th...from I-55 to 59th Street. I would move the adoption of Amendment 55."

Speaker Giorgi: "Now the...just a moment. I'll recognize everyone in time. Mr...Representative McBroom. ...Representative McBroom."

McBroom: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, in regard to this Amendment, I'll yield to Representative Ryan and then I wish you'd come back to me for a comment please."

Speaker Giorgi: "...Minority Leader Mr. Ryan on the Amendment."

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Who's the Sponsor of the Amendment?"

Speaker Giorgi: "...The Sponsor is Representative Kornowicz who was injured in a...an airplane crash, the State of Illinois airplane..."

Ryan: "...Get well card, Mr. Speaker, that hasn't got anything to do with it. The point is, the Sponsor of the Amendment is not here, you ought to bypass it, move on to the next Amendment. We've done that continually throughout this Session and I don't know why you should change the rules now."

Speaker Giorgi: "Just a moment, fellas, we all play by the same rules. Representative Lechowicz, for what reason do you rise?"

Lechowicz: "Well, Mr. Speaker, maybe the Membership didn't hear the reason why Representative Kornowicz is not here. And I know as long as I've been here if there's illness or unfortunate accident normally the courtesy was extended for another Member to handle the Amendment. And, Mr. Speaker, I would hope in all fairness because of the unfortunate accident that Representative Kornowicz was involved in a little bit of courtesy would be extended to Representative Kozubowski to handle the Amendment. And if there's objections, we will move to suspend the appropriate rule."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Lechowicz, before I recognize you for that motion, I'll recognize Mr. Holewinski on the...on this issue. Holewinski."



Holewinski: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Well, Mr. Speaker, I certainly sympathize with what Representative Lechowicz has said but we play under one set of rules. On Monday I had a...an Amendment and the Joint Sponsor was not allowed to offer that Amendment because I happen to be on legislative business and late to the Session. That was the rule at that time. I would suggest to you that that is the rule at this time."

Speaker Giorgi: "There's objections. Mr. Schneider on this motion. Representative Schneider."

Schneider: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I share Representative Holewinski's point of view. It is true that possibly Mr. Kornwoicz is going to be back very shortly anyhow. I think we can wait until that happens. We did have that problem yesterday and there was a slight accident on that Amendment, that is, the Cosponsors were somehow not listed properly on the Amendment. So my suggestion is that we hold this proposition until the Sponsor returns."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Kozubowski on the motion. Kozubowski."

Kozubowski: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to withdraw the Amendment at this time."

Speaker Giorgi: "The Gentleman withdraws the Amendment. Does he have leave of the House to withdraw the Amendment? Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #56. Harris. Amends House Bill 3276 as amended on page 15 and so forth."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Harris on Amendment 56 to House Bill 3276."

Harris: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #56 is the sum of 1,500,000 dollars or so much thereof as may be necessary is appropriated from the Road Fund to the Department of Transportation for widening West Main Street, five lanes from Route 37 to Interstate 57 in West Frankfort, Franklin County."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is there any discussion? Representative McBroom on the Amendment."

McBroom: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I don't like to rise in opposition to my good friend Representative Harris but perhaps this might be an appropriate time to make one brief comment in regard to this Amendment, Mr. Speaker, and subsequent Amendments that I know have been filed. We have, which I think all the Members know, a



DOT Budget of some 2,000,000,000 dollars, slightly more than that, and if my arithmetic is somewhere near correct - something like 300,000,000, a third of a billion, have been added to that. Now as I said in the Appropriations Committee, Mr. Speaker, you know and I know that this is not possible. We all know what's going to happen when most of these wind up on the Governor's desk. I cannot support Representative Harris' Amendment as much as I'd like to be of help to him personally. Thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Cunningham on the Amendment."

Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, once the dam is broken it's hard to restore it but we've tried to make an honest effort in Appropriations I to hold the line. Representative Harris is a Member of the Appropriations I. Everyone had a full shot there to add what they needed as was pointed out by the Sponsor of the Bill. The removal of all of these pork barrel additions to the Bill is a very summary, quick, painless procedure. It's an act of futility to continue to add them on. We recognize the people back home like to have the temporary illusion that there's going to be something worthwhile happen. Notwithstanding that human frailty, our duty to act responsibly requires us to reject each of these Amendments. So I would urge that you vote 'no' on this particular Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Harris to close on the Amendment."

Harris: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, this is much needed legislation for road repairs in Franklin County. The 59th Legislative District being the most southern district in the State of Illinois, those people sometimes think they are forgotten people. I would urge that you support this Amendment, it's much needed."

Speaker Giorgi: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #56 to House Bill 3276. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take...Have all voted who wish? Take the record. Representative Bradley, for what reason do you rise?"

Bradley: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to explain my vote. I'm quite miffed to say the least about the Gentleman from Lawrence saying that



Mr....that this was a bad Amendment. I can remember very vividly the same type of Amendments being sponsored by that Gentleman so that we...he could travel back and forth to Springfield in the nice flat highway and his objection here is just more than I can really take. And I...I wish he would reconsider those harsh statements about the Gentleman from southern Illinois and give him a green vote."

Speaker Giorgi: ".....Do I have leave to dump the Roll Call...."

Bradley: "Could you....could you open the board, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Giorgi: "We'll dump that Roll Call. Dump the Roll Call.

The question is on the adoption of Amendment 56 to House Bill 3276. Please vote your switches. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'no'. Leinenweber to explain his vote on the Amendment."

Leinenweber: "Mr. Speaker, as has been pointed out, this is probably one of many projects. The only thing I don't understand is Representative Harris indicates that his area of the state is the forgotten area of the state. After Clyde Choate and C. L. McCormick got through I thought the entire south end of the state was paved."

Speaker Giorgi: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 83 'ayes' and 74 'nays' and this Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #57. Reilly. Amends House Bill 3276 as amended by deleting Section 8.1 and so forth."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Reilly on Amendment #57. Reilly."

Reilly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, this...if I could have some attention, please. This Amendment is the same antipork doggle (sic) Amendment that I offered last year. It strikes from the Department of Transportation Appropriation Bill the Christmas trees that we have added. I feel a little lonely in rising to offer this Amendment. It is not being supported by the Governor's Office. That is not to say they are opposed but simply that they are not opposing it, not supporting it. It is not being supported by the Department of Transportation. And I think you ought to read something into that fact. Those of you who put these Amendments on and who think you're doing such a big job for your district ought to think about the fact that the Governor is not on this floor supporting this Amendment because he knows that



these Amendments don't help your district, they help him. Him, any Governor."

Speaker Giorgi: "Excuse me, Mr. Reilly, the Minority Leader requests that Mr. McBroom be recognized. For what reason, Mr. McBroom?"

McBroom: "Well, I wonder if the Sponsor of the Amendment would respond to a question?"

Reilly: "Yes."

McBroom: "Okay, when he's finished."

Speaker Giorgi: "It's at the request of the Minority Leader, just a moment. Go ahead, Mr. McBroom."

McBroom: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I understood Mr. Reilly to say that the Department opposes his Amendment. The Department is in support of his Amendment."

Reilly: "No, I said that...I'm not sure why I couldn't finish what I was saying but what...what I thought I had made clear was that while they are not...not opposing it this isn't their big...I'm not here as...as their big emissary to the floor of this House trying to get these Amendments off. They're satisfied, understandably they're satisfied. That's my point. Understandably they're satisfied to have these Amendments go on because they're simply taken off, it simply is one more creation of authority to the Governor's Office. And I'm...I make that point not because I have any quarrel with the Department or with the Governor's Office but rather because of something the Members who may be considering voting against this Amendment are going to do. To say that these Amendments are meaningless is too kind. You know perfectly well they're meaningless. They don't help you get projects for your district. You...You either can get the political support you need to get the Governor to sign these Amendments into law - in which case you don't really need the Amendment in the first place - it isn't the Amendment that puts the pressure on the Governor, it's whatever other pressure you can put on him. It...it's the local pressure you can bring, it's your own political pressure and if you get that then he'll agree to the Amendment, he'll agree to put it into the program in the first place. So these are not, in my opinion, at all meaningful projects. To say that they're worse than useless since the pie is only so big after all. And by



voting for one of these Amendments and by adding money into the budget which already expends all the money that's in the pie and therefore diminishes the chance of your own project which is in the budget being built, to say that they're worse than useless is still too kind. No...."

Speaker Giorgi: "Excuse me, Mr. Reilly. Would someone take those earphones off the railing before they fall on someone? Continue, Mr. Reilly."

Reilly: "Now in two ways this porkdoggle (sic) system strikes a heavy blow at the foundation of our power, the foundation of our legislative system. We make a lot of speeches in our district about the Governor taking away power, the Executive taking away power from the Legislature. Hogwash! We give it away by putting these Amendments on; we give a blank check to the Governor, any Governor. We give him power. We don't...he doesn't take it from us, we give it up. Much worse is the fact that these Amendments hoodwink the public. When we pass these and send out our press releases the people believe us. The real problem is not that we have too little credibility but too much. If there's any lesson that we ought to have learned from recent years it's not to have government promise that which it cannot or will not deliver and everyone in this House knows that each one of these Amendments does exactly that. I would suggest that Proposition 13 which we've heard discussed here a lot this week was not so much a public revolt against taxes as a final affirmation of the credibility pit that public officials have dug for themselves. When the state officials in California went to the public and said to them, truthfully, finally, 'if you cut taxes in half, we've got to cut projects in half'. Nobody believed them because they had been to that well so often and had lied to the public so often the public no longer had any belief in their credibility. And I suggest that that's what we do year after year with these Amendments. I have people in my district - talking about a particular road project for which one of these Amendments was put on ten, twelve, fifteen years ago - and they ask me, 'where's the money, where's the road?' They envision that when we put these Amendments on, somehow we put a bunch of money in a bag and it sits there and if I just go look hard enough in the Department of Transportation I'd



find it and we could build roads. And that's...that's destroys our credibility. Allow me....I will bring my remarks to a close. Now by passing this Amendment which takes off the porkdoggle (sic) that doesn't mean that we're going to give up our right to push for these projects, it means we can do so in a more realistic manner. That's a tough duty. It'd be a tough duty for the Legislature to do what it should and look at these projects as it should. It may be a tough duty but it's our duty, our sworn duty. Enough is enough. This Amendment is right, you know it whether you're going to vote for it or not. Vote the real interest of your constituents, restore our power to decide what goes in the road program, quit giving it away...from the Governor. Enough is enough."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Stuffle on the Amendment."

Stuffle: "Yes...."

Speaker Giorgi: "Excuse me, Mr. Stuffle. Excuse me. Mr...Representative Mugalian, for what reason do you rise?"

Mugalian: "Mr. Speaker, I'm not sure this is a point of personal privilege but I heard our colleague, Representative Reilly, speak about an Amendment that's really important to him. He spoke articulately and with sincerity and with feeling and he wasn't able to finish it without a bunch of catcalls yelling 'time'. I'm sure he was within his time, he doesn't speak too often and I think that those of us....maybe we're tired. So I think it's a very bad practice and I think those Members who were doing that should be censored."

Speaker Giorgi: "Thank you, Mr. Mugalian. Representative Stuffle on the motion."

Stuffle: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members, it's interesting to see this Amendment before us because it only moves to table Amendments that came up in Committee. It doesn't deal with Amendments on the floor. Conversely, many of the Members of this House are not on that Committee and have no chance to offer Amendments. As well, it's interesting to know that the Sponsor has, I understand, 160,000,000 dollars in road projects in this Bill already. I think the Amendment should be defeated."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Matijevecich on the Amendment."



Devich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to say that I think that there's a good purpose served by, quote, pork Amendments because I look at what happened to myself, for example. If I didn't put Amendments on for the Lake...for the harbor in Waukegan, we wouldn't have the Governor putting it into his budget this year. The name of the game is pressure. And the way you get pressure is by offering Amendments and then you have the publicity of those Amendments in your area and Governors have to take the heat. Now that's what it's all about. If we had a process whereby the Legislature would get agency...the agency appropriations at the same time as the Governor, agency requests, we'd have some input. When they had the old Budgetary Commission, much as it was criticized, the budget...the Legislature was in the ball game early. We don't have that now. All we have is an Executive Budget and I don't think any Executive, any Governor, has all the wisdom with regards to road projects. And I think this is a valuable way in allowing the Legislature to have some input. Now...now...now one last remark because the Minority Spokesman of the Committee had something to say about the, quote, pork Amendment. He did a real sleight of hand and maybe we ought to commend him for it because so many of these Amendments - I know the Governor is going to travel around saying he deleted so many funds that were all Democratic Amendments - we ought to have a really truth in Amendment act because Representative Cunningham - 150,000,000 of this I believe is actually Representative Cunningham's pork Amendments - but he, after he had his name on the Amendment at the orders of the Administration, he had to take his name off of them and give them to Representative Bob Walsh a Democrat. Let's tell it like it is, let's tell the truth. More of these pork Amendments are Republican Amendments in total dollars than Democratic Amendments. But I think they do serve a valuable purpose and therefore I would urge you to vote against the Reilly Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Tuerk on the Amendment. Just a moment, Sir, Mr. Tuerk has been waiting a long time. Representative Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I, too, rise to oppose this Amendment for the simple reason that it affects a number of important projects in my district. Now, I went to Appropriations Committee, I'm not a Member of that Committee, but I went to Appropriations



and spent six to eight hours over a period of two or three days in order to hear these Amendments that I had for this Bill. I was successful in convincing the Members of the Committee that these projects were important despite the fact that the Department of Transportation was there to oppose the Amendments. The Secretary himself opposed them even though he admitted that these projects were high priority within the Department of Transportation's budget. Now the point I make is, I was at Appropriations, I got the Amendments adopted. I don't consider them pork as many people consider pork barrel Amendments. I consider these very important to our district for the economy of our particular area, not only in Peoria County but also Tazewell, Woodford County (sic) which affect many Legislators within this Body. And I consider them so important that I vehemently oppose this Amendment and say to the Sponsor of the Amendment that if he has a way in which to defeat Amendments in Committee or on the floor, then I think that's the way to approach the problem rather than to take the broad brush sweeping Amendment to try and defeat those important projects that have already been adopted to the Bill. I would urge the Members to oppose and vote against this Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Leverenz on the Amendment."

Leverenz: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Giorgi: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question. The question is, shall the main question now be put? All those in favor will signify by saying 'aye' and those opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it, the...Mr. Reilly to close. Mr...Mr. Reilly just for a moment. Mr. Cunningham, on...For what reason do you rise, Mr. Cunningham?"

Cunningham: "Well, Mr. Speaker, none admired you as much as I but you make a doggone poor referee. You let that fella just cuff me all around the ring and hit me with everything except his feet and won't let me reply."

Speaker Giorgi: "Now, Mr...."

Cunningham: "My only reply to Representative Matijevich from...behalf of all of you is my defense is to point out my accuser and I'll quit at that point on that. But I share the frustrations of each of you



in...in larger measure in regard to the road program but we've no right to kick the Governor. What we've got to do is sell our citizenry on the proposition, if we're going to have better roads in Illinois we have to pay for them. I suggest that...after each of you is safely ensconced back in your plush position on November 7th, let's all join together at that time and pass a meaningful tax increase that'll make it possible for your dreams to come true for your constituents. And that's the only justification for any of you putting a pork barrel addition on here. If you'll do that, together in mid-November we'll go and show real progress. Finally, I think Bob Walsh is one of the finest Representatives everywhere...and it isn't he that we're going to replace in the 54th District. I didn't want anyone...think that I was hiding behind Bob Walsh or trying to give him a bum steer..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Reilly to close."

Reilly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

I just want to make...couple brief points and then a general one and then I will quit because I know the House wants to go on to other business. The reason this Amendment doesn't deal with ones offered on the floor is of course because when the Amendment was prepared I had no way to know which ones would pass on the floor and which ones wouldn't. If this Amendment should pass, I would certainly think that would establish the policy of the House and that it would then be appropriate to adopt similar actions on other Amendments. I will do my best to deal with that when it happens. But let me make the general point....it's the thing I'm so frustrated about. There is only so much money to build roads with. Now by putting these Amendments we don't create more money, we don't make the road program bigger, we simply add something over 300,000,000 dollars to a road program of about 625,000,000 dollars. And we ship that whole horrendous package to the Governor and he then has a blank check. One of the Members said the Governor doesn't have all the wisdom on road projects, that's precisely the point of this Amendment. By sending all of these to the Governor he then picks and chooses among them which he wants. That is a blank check. That assumes, not my Amendment, that the Governor has all wisdom in...in this. I want to stress in closing that I do not



mean anything about any of the road projects that would be taken out by this Amendment. The proper procedure for the Legislature, the only constitutionally proper procedure for the Legislature would be for the Legislature to decide how big the pie is and then divide it up. If that means that the road project or the bridge bypass and so on around Peoria is more important than other road projects - and I think maybe it is - then that's a tough decision we have to make but that means that some other project has to come out. But we are constitutionally required to make that decision and it is in that spirit and that spirit alone that I offer this Amendment. I do not in any way feel that the Members who have offered these Amendment did not do so sincerely. I think they do so futilely. I think it's time to rescue the legislative process, the most important part of it, the power of the purse, from the control of the Governor. This Amendment would do that and I urge your support of it."

Speaker Giorgi: "The question's on the motion to adopt Amendment #57 to House Bill 3276? All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'no'. And Representative Totten is recognized to explain his vote."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, to explain my vote. Representative Reilly has hit the nail right on the head. His explanation of the process that we're in is right on the ball. But let me remind you of something other that's quite important in this Roll Call, for those of you who have been classified as big spenders, here's the fastest way to justify an easy vote. There's over 300,000,000 dollars in projects in this Amendment. There's in no way any of them are going to be accomplished. There's no way you can justify an 'aye' ...a 'no' vote on this Bill, on this Amendment. The Amendment is a good one. For those of you who want to reduce your appropriation rating quickly, this is a good one to support."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative McClain to explain his vote."

McClain: "Thanks very much, Mr. Speaker. I find it very it very interesting, I think Mr....I think Mr. Reilly is being used. In fact, when two of these Amendments are from his area that added money and he indeed voted 'aye' on both Amendments then I sent out a new release where he got credit for passing the Amendment. Now I'm a little



confused because now he's going after the fiscal conservative vote in his district also in order to tube the Amendment. I think it's kind of interesting also that indeed I think you're all wrong on the import of these Amendments. Now often Governors do accept Amendments that have been adopted on the House floor and in Committee and I think by saying that all Amendments should be deleted through this kind of process you prohibit those areas of the state that have road programs that are on this Bill from even negotiating with the Executive Branch which I think...which I think is very unfortunate. And I'd ask for a 'no' vote."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Yourell to explain your vote for one minute."

Yourell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, one of the Representatives who indicated a position and how everybody should be voting on this because of a...a table that was put out by an outfit called the Union Conservative, whatever that is, is absolutely wrong because they don't base their vote...their...their standings on the...on the formula he cited. All you have to do is vote 'yes' on all these Amendments and then vote 'no' on the Bill and you'll be surprised how close to the top you'll be."

Speaker Giorgi: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. Representative Reilly, for what reason do you rise?"

Reilly: "Point of personal privilege, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Reilly on a point of personal privilege. Let me...."

Reilly: "...You've taken the record, is that correct?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Let me...let me get...wrap the record up. On this question there are 87 'ayes', 74 'nays' and this Amendment is adopted.

Mr. Reilly on a point of personal privilege."

Reilly: "In response to Representative McClain who responds to many things with personal attacks on other people. I told him as he stood in the Committee that I thought these Amendments were meaningless. I voted for them in Committee, just seemed to me a courtesy to an area Legislator and I voted for them in Committee. It would seem to me that the very fact that there are projects in my districts that are deleted by my own Amendment might tend to prove my sincerity. I didn't send



out the press release, that's Mike's practice. And I think it's unfortunate that a Member gets up on the floor of this House and... and can't answer apparently the issues involved but rather has to make a personal attack."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Kane would like to vote 'aye'. The Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment 58. Campbell-Stuffle. Amends House Bill 3276 as amended on page 15 and so forth."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Campbell on Amendment 58."

Campbell: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment 58 addresses itself to a particular problem in Vermilion County. And I can tell you honestly and sincerely that I feel...my responsibility here on this floor is to be for the people of my 53rd District in addition to being consistent with good law for the entire State of Illinois. And this particular Amendment...could I have a little order, Mr. Giorgi?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Please give the Gentleman order."

Campbell: "This particular Amendment addresses itself to 4.5 miles from the east edge of Collison, Illinois to Newtown School. It's a bus route and it is one of the particular roads in the State of Illinois that was built under the 1919 Bond Issue and since that time nothing has been done. It has been #1 priority from the standpoint of a county. It's also been a priority for the...about the last five years from District 5. Through the regular processes through DOT we've been unable to accomplish anything and that's why I'm offering this Amendment now. And I can tell you that it is important to the people of that district and you can call it Christmas bells, Christmas trees, whatever you may, but I did have the Middle Fork Reservoir, as you people know and for many years the roads were neglected in Vermilion County anticipating the Middle Fork Reservoir, and we finally got by with that for 200,000 out of 35,000,000 so I figure that we've saved 33,890,000 dollars and I'm asking this House to vote for 4.5....4.25 miles of road consisting of 1,100,000 dollars. And I'd appreciate your favorable vote."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative McBroom on the Amendment."



McBroom: "Would the Sponsor respond to a question, Mr. Chairman...
Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Continue."

McBroom: "Representative Campbell, is...is this in the budget?"

Campbell: "No, it is not."

McBroom: "Well, I...very sorry, I'll have to oppose it, Representative
Campbell. Thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Campbell to close."

Campbell: "I ask for your favorable support."

Speaker Giorgi: "The question is on the motion to adopt Amendment #58
to House Bill 3276. All in favor will signify by voting 'aye' and
those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Mr...Mr.
Cunningham, for what reason do you rise?"

Cunningham: "Well, Speaker, we need to have a return to sanity here.
Just a moment ago you struck out a whole series of Bills that are
called pork barrel and some of the very Sponsors of this Bill, in
great show of piousness, voted green on that particular Bill and now
they turn around at this point and...and introduce a Bill that they
really, frankly and forthrightly admit to be a pork barrel Bill.
Now somebody's wrong, they can't be right both times on that. All
of you conservatives a minute ago who were voting green on the Bill
for Reilly and are voting green again need to go talk to your head-
shrink. It's time for you to be voting red if you want to be
consistent with your green a moment ago. Happily there's a record
and we'll publish the results tomorrow of all of you who've been doing
this."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Stuffle to explain his vote."

Stuffle: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members, I can say to you I think without
any fear of contradiction that the previous speaker will have other
pork barrel appropriations coming forth by Amendment before this day
or tomorrow has passed. This is an important issue to Representative
Campbell and myself as the hyphenated principal Sponsor here. It
ought to be passed and I hope Representative who just spoke...Representative
Cunningham will remember his comments and commitments made
yesterday on this particular Amendment."



Speaker Giorgi: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Matijeovich to explain his vote."

Matijeovich: "Well...well, I can't understand what's going on here because the...the other side of the aisle evidently took a party position against pork barrel Amendments and here you did...you struck out some Amendments and...and Fred Tuerk was right. He came...he came to the Committee, he worked hard, he...he...he got a lot of print and he had Billy O'Connell, his reporter there, spend a lot of time writing and he did a responsible job and now you got a Republican Legislator with an Amendment. Now you're...you just abdicated your policy of just a few moments ago. I'm voting 'no' now because I think those who came to Committee did it the right way and...and I... I would have voted for this one too and let the Governor get all the credit he wants to get by deleting so much of the...those projects that aren't in his Governor.....in the budget. But I think ...I think this flimflam is something I...I...just can't understand."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Reilly to explain his vote."

Reilly: "Yeah, I...I...second what Representative Matijeovich just said.

I did not rise to attack certain Members' Amendments. I made a general point. I took off all the Amendments that were not agreed to by the Department up to that point. It's not...it's not fair, as a matter of policy, to put this one on and I...I...I'm sorry to have to rise to say that but it's obviously true. We've got to treat everyone the same. I thought the people were voting for my Amendment and were doing it for sincere reasons. I hope they were. They've got to be consistent. And...inquire of the Chair while I'm up. How many Amendments are filed to date because we need to know..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Let me announce the...and then we'll get into that. Have all voted who wish? On this question, 78 'ayes' and 76 'nays'. Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this...78 'ayes', 76 'nays' and 2 voting 'present'. Representative Schuneman, for what reason do you rise?"

Schuneman: "Mr. Speaker, I reluctantly ask for a verification of the Roll Call."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Campbell requests the poll of the absentees."



Clerk O'Brien: "Breslin. Chapman. Deuster. Ebbesen. Ewell.

Friedrich. Geo-Karis. Hudson. Kane. Katz."

Speaker Giorgi: "Excuse me a minute, Mr. Clerk. Representative Hudson, for what reason do you rise?"

Hudson: "How am I recorded, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Giorgi: "How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Hudson, you're not recorded."

Hudson: "Vote me, no, please."

Speaker Giorgi: "Vote Mr. Hudson 'no'. Continue. Excuse me a minute.

Mr. Huskey wants to be recorded as voting 'no'. Huskey, 'no'.

Leverenz wants to be recorded as voting 'aye'. Just a moment. Just

a moment. Did you get Leverenz? Williams wants to be recorded as

voting 'aye'. Chapman wants to be recorded as voting 'no'. Tipsword

wants to be recorded as voting 'aye'. That was Chapman, 'no'.

Tipsword, 'aye'. Any other changes? Proceed with the absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "Ewell. Madison. Marovitz. Meyer. Mulcahey.

Satterthwaite. Telcser. And Tuerk. Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Giorgi: "Someone ask for a...Schune...Representative Schuneman, you asked for a verification of the negative or the positive?"

Schuneman: "I asked for a verification of the Affirmative, Mr. Speaker.

Could you tell us what the count is, beginning, please?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Excuse me one moment. What's the count now, Mr.

Clerk? At this time we're at 81 'ayes', 78 'nays' and some people

want recognition. Representative Satterthwaite wants to be recognized

as voting 'aye'. Representative Marovitz wants to be recorded as

voting 'aye'. Marovitz, 'aye'. Satterthwaite, 'aye'. There are

83 'ayes' and 76 'nays'...78 'nays'. 83 'ayes' and 78 'nays'.

Representative Ewell wants to be recorded as voting 'aye'. Ewell,

'aye'. And Representative Schuneman requests a...Representative

Breslin wants to be recorded as voting 'aye'. Breslin, 'aye'.

And Representative Schuneman requests a verification of the Affirm-

ative. Representative Murphy wants to be recorded as voting 'aye'.

Murphy. Murphy, 'aye'. Darrow wants to be recorded as voting 'aye'.

Darrow. Just a minute. Christensen wants to be recorded as voting

'aye'. Christensen. Excuse me, Mr. Matijevich, for what reason



do you rise?"

Matijevich: "Well, I just want to let the Members know what's happening now by the defeat of the Committee Amendment. Everybody that sponsored those Amendments are running to file those as individual Floor Amendments. So that's all we're going to do.... is delay this whole process. And we're going to spend a hell of a lot of time and spoil all of the work of the Committee.... that we did. I just want to let the Membership know what's happening now. Everbody's running over to get the new Amendments to put them on the floor."

Speaker Giorgi: "Okay, Mr. Schuneman, do you persist in your verification of the Affirmative Roll Call?"

Schuneman: "Are there others seeking recognition, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Giorgi: "I don't see anyone on the floor seeking recognition."

Schuneman: "Mr. Speaker, I have no desire to delay the work of this House nor do I have any opposition to Representative Campbell, who is a good friend of mine, or any of his Amendments. But if we're going to stop the..... what I consider to be insanity that happens every year, on this Bill.... we're going to have to do it right now. And for that reason, I did ask for a verification of the Roll. Have you called the absentees, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Giorgi: "We called the absentees and the new count is what, Mr. Clerk? 88 'ayes' and 75 'nays', Mr. Schuneman. 88 'ayes' and 75 'nays'. Representative Mulcahey wants to be recorded as voting 'aye' which makes it 89 'ayes'. 89 'aye'. Representative Schuneman."

Schuneman: "Mr. Speaker, I withdraw the request for the verification."

Speaker Giorgi: "The Gentleman withdraws his request for the verification. On this issue there are 89 'ayes' and how many 'nays'? 75 'nays' and this Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #59, Skinner. Amends House Bill 3276 as amended by deleting Section 24 and so forth."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Skinner on Amendment #59 to House Bill 3276."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, this is not a do-nothing Amendment. It's a do very little Amendment. All it does is line item the capital budget for the Illinois Department of Transportation."

Speaker Giorgi: "Excuse me, Mr. Skinner. The Sponsor of the Bill seeks



recognition. Mr. McBroom, for what reason do you rise?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the Legislature, as with Representative Mudd, the Department has no objection to this Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "There being no further.... Representative James Houlihan, do you seek recognition? Mr. Houlihan on the motion to adopt the Amendment. Excuse me a moment, Mr. Houlihan. The Minority Majority Leader, Mr. Bradley asks recognition. Mr. Bradley."

Bradley: "I want to make a motion, Mr. Speaker, at the proper time. I'll wait till Mr. Houlihan.... it's all right.... having voted on the prevailing side of which Amendment #57 was adopted, I now move that to recon...."

Speaker Giorgi: "You're not in order at this point, Mr. Bradley."

Bradley: "Well, would you recognize me at the proper time to make that when would that motion be proper, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Giorgi: "To reconsider the last Amendment that was adopted?"

Bradley: "Amendment #57, not 58."

Speaker Giorgi: "Reilly's Amendment?"

Bradley: "Reilly's Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "Well, I suppose if you bring up the proper motion... we'll go to it as the next order of business after this Amendment is out of the way."

Bradley: "All right. You'll recognize me when we're through with this Amendment, fine."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Skinner, continue with your Amendment #59."

Skinner: "Well, failing to find any opposition, I would ask for you...."

Speaker Giorgi: "Excuse me, Mr. Skinner, excuse me. One of your colleagues has asked for the floor. Representative James Houlihan, for what reason do you rise?"

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to ask the Sponsor of Amendment #59 a question."

Speaker Giorgi: "He's not finished.... he's not finished with his first dissertation yet."

Skinner: "Yes, I have."

Speaker Giorgi: "I'm sorry, go ahead. Continue, Mr. Houlihan."



Houlihan: "Representative Skinner, your Amendment #59, which identifies the Capital Project....."

Speaker Giorgi: "Excuse me, Mr. Houlihan. The Sponsor of the Bill, Mr. McBroom, want for the floor.... recognition again."

McBroom: "I don't think that's appropriate, I'd prefer not to yield."

Speaker Giorgi: "It must be a point of....."

McBroom: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to take the Bill out of the record."

Skinner: "Objection... objection."

Speaker Giorgi: "We've got to finish the Amendment first, Mr. McBroom. Continue, Mr. Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, before I finish my question with Representative Skinner, it's my impression that a Sponsor to take anything out of the record has to have unanimous consent of the House. Is that correct?"

Speaker Giorgi: "I understand that's in the event of a Roll Call and we're just in the debate on the motion to adopt an Amendment."

Houlihan: "Oh no. We've had Bills that have been considered and they've asked to be taken out of the record. And we've objected and they haven't been taken out of the record and they've been put on Postponed Consideration."

Speaker Giorgi: "That's on a Roll Call, Mr. Houlihan."

Houlihan: "There's no Roll Call this time."

Speaker Giorgi: "That's mostly.... Postponed Considerations are generally after a Roll Call..... in my experience."

Houlihan: "Well...."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Skinner, do you wish to pursue this Amendment?"

Skinner: "Well, any time. My question....."

Houlihan: "Representative Giorgi.... could I...."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Mr..... Majority Leader Madigan, for what.... excuse me, Mr. Houlihan again."

Houlihan: "If we're going to proceed with Representative Skinner's Amendment, I'd like to proceed with my question."

Speaker Giorgi: "Continue with your question because the Amendment is on the table."

Houlihan: "Representative Skinner, in detailing these capital projects,



did you find that there was a loss of revenue because of the use of bond funds for the Crosstown Expressway?"

Skinner: "Come again? That doesn't have.... no, I didn't. I've taken the Department break out here."

Houlihan: "I understand, I understand. I'm talking about in the break out as you detailed it, did you find a lack or fewer dollars or dollars missing in terms of the Bond Fund because of the use of bonds for Crosstown..... the designation of those bonds? In previous appropriations we had those designated for transportation... rail projects, but now I believe they are being designated for road projects."

Skinner: "Well, Representative, instead of trying to lead me to your answer, which I cannot give you, you could just make whatever observation you have on the Bill as a whole... or the Amendment. I just dont... can't....."

Houlihan: "It was a sincere question, Cal. I don't know. I was just asking you."

Skinner: "I have not.... this is the first year I've looked at capital projects. I can't answer your question."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative McBroom on the Amendment. Representative McBroom."

McBroom: "Mr. Speaker, if this is the appropriate time, I'd like to renew my motion to take it out of the record."

Speaker Giorgi: "The Gentleman wants to take the Bill out of the record. Does he have leave? Hearing no objections, leave has been granted and the Bill is out of the record. Representative.... Majority Leader, Mr. Madigan, for what reason do you rise?"

Madigan: "To ask if there are any announcements of tomorrow's activities."

Speaker Giorgi: "Any announcements? Representative... just a moment, I'll recognize you all. Representative Matijevich for an announcement."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Appropriations I Committee, the Democratic Members, will meet in G-3 at 8:30 am. Committee Meeting at 9:00 am. in Room 114. Thank you. Everybody be present."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Barnes for an announcement."



Barnes: "Thank you, very much. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Appropriations II Committee will meet in G-4, G-3, I think, G-2, the regular room at 8:30 tomorrow morning. The Appropriations Committee Meeting will be at 9:00 am. in Room 118. Democratic Members at 8:30 for the normal briefing."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Cunningham for an announcement."

Cunningham: "Republican Members, Appropriations I meet in my office at 8:00 tomorrow morning. Full breakfast including eggs Benedict or hard boiled. 8:00 tomorrow morning in my office, Republican Members."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative James Houlihan for an announcement."

Houlihan: Mr. Speaker, the Forensican Speech Class, led by Representative Madigan will meet at 7:30 in the morning at Room D-1."

Speaker Giorgi: "The Majority Leader, Mr. Madigan. I'm sorry, just a moment. Representative Capparelli."

Capparelli: "Executive Committee will meet immediately after adjournment in Room 114."

Speaker Giorgi: "Just a moment. Representative James Houlihan again for an announcement."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, this is my first time to have the opportunity to make an announcement and introduce somebody. I would like to introduce my dear and very close personal friend, Representative Harold Katz."

Speaker Giorgi: "Messages from the Senate."

Houlihan: "Can I hold that until he gets here?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Okay."

Clerk O'Brien: "A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House in the adoption of the following Joint Resolution to wit: House Joint Resolution 98, concurred in by the Senate June 21, 1978. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House in passage of the Bills of the following title to wit: House Bills #2514,2852 and 3335, passed by the Senate June 21, 1978. Kenneth Wright, Secretary."

Speaker Giorgi: "The Majority Leader, Mr. Madigan. I believe, Mr. Madigan,



all the announcements have been made."

Madigan: "Mr. Bradley..... Mr. Bradley."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Bradley."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I think that we ought to announce that a proposal has been made to Representative Reilly that the vote by which his Amendment was adopted, be reconsidered. And if it's reconsidered and the Amendment is not adopted, then it would eliminate the need for all of the individual Members traveling to the Reference Bureau requesting individual Amendments to be prepared to this Bill. I simply offer that information in an effort to reduce the workload in the Reference Bureau so that they can prepare Representative Robinson's Amendment.... and on time."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Madigan."

Madigan: "And I suggest further that no further Amendments to that Bill be printed until tomorrow morning."

Speaker Giorgi: "That's what the Clerk asked me. Okay, that'll be the rule of the Chair. Mr. Madigan on the motion to adjourn. Just a moment, the Representative from Springfield would like the floor again today. What is it, Mr. Robinson?"

Robinson: "Finally, Amendment #15 is here, Representative Madigan."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Madigan on the adjournment."

Madigan: "I move that we adjourn until 12:00 noon tomorrow."

Speaker Giorgi: "All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'.
The motion is adopted. We are adjourned until 1:00.... 12:00 noon tomorrow... Thursday. 12:00.



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	1.
1	9:30	Speaker Redmond	House to order	
		Father Fairfield	Prayer	
		Speaker Redmond	Roll call	
2		Barnes, E.M.	Request recess	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Madigan		
		Speaker Redmond		
		Madigan	Excused absence	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Madison	Question	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Friedrich		
3		Speaker Redmond		
		Madigan		
		Speaker Redmond		
		Friedrich		
		Speaker Redmond	Recess till noon	
	1:08	Speaker Redmond		
		Matijevich		
4		Speaker Redmond	House to order	
12:25		Clerk O'Brien	Introduction & 1st reading	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Clerk O'Brien	Committee reports	
5		Speaker Redmond	Reading of the journal	
		Clerk O'Brien		
		Giorgi	Move to dispense	
6		Speaker Redmond		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	2.
		Schlickman	Yield	
		Giorgi		
		Speaker Redmond	Journal approved	
	12:30	Clerk O'Brien	Agreed resolutions	
7		Speaker Redmond		
		Giorgi		
		Speaker Redmond		
		Schlickman		
		Speaker Redmond		
		Giorgi		
		Speaker Redmond		
		Clerk O'Brien	HR 993	
		Giorgi		
		Clerk O'Brien	HR 1007	
		Giorgi		
		Speaker Redmond	Resolution adopted	
		Clerk O'Brien		
		Speaker Redmond		
		Giorgi		
		Speaker Redmond		
		Clerk O'Brien	SB 250, 2nd, #1 adopted	
		Speaker Redmond		
	12:36	Clerk O'Brien	Amendment # failed, #3	
8		Speaker Redmond		
9		Clerk O'Brien	SB 255, 2nd, #1 adopted	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Clerk O'Brien	Floor Amendment #2	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Redmond	
		Daniels	Sponsor
		Speaker Redmond	
		Kosinski	
10		Speaker Redmond	#2 passes
		Clerk O'Brien	
		Speaker Redmond	3rd
11		Clerk O'Brien	SB 554
		Speaker Redmond	
		Terzich	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Madigan	
		Speaker Redmond	
12	12:40	Clerk O'Brien	#3
		Speaker Redmond	
		Ebbesen	Withdraws
		Speaker Redmond	
		Clerk O'Brien	#4
		Speaker Redmond	
		Madigan	Explains #4
		Speaker Redmond	Adopted
12		Clerk O'Brien	#5
		Speaker Redmond	
		Terzich	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Madigan	Yield?
		Terzich	



4.

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Redmond	#5 adopted
		Clerk O'Brien	#6
		Speaker Redmond	
		Robinson	Withdraws #6
		Speaker Redmond	3rd, SB 554
		Schlickman	Inquiry
		Speaker Redmond	
13		Terzich	
		Speaker Redmond	SB 554, back on 2nd
		Clerk O'Brien	SB 571, #4
		Speaker Redmond	
		Yorell	Explains #4
		Speaker Redmond	#4 is adopted, 3rd
		Madigan	
14		Tipsword	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Clerk O'Brien	SB 1455
	12:50	Speaker Redmond	
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #1
		Speaker Redmond	TOOR
15		Clerk O'Brien	SB 1512
		Speaker Redmond	
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #1
		Speaker Redmond	TOOR
		Clerk O'Brien	SB 1530
		Speaker Redmond	3rd reading
		Schlickman	Point of order



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	5.
		Speaker Redmond		
		Kempiners	Introduction, 'Miss Black	Jolie
16		Miss Orsborn		
		Speaker Redmond		
		Clerk O'Brien	SB 1562	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #5	
	12:55	Speaker Redmond		
		Kempiners	Explains	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Darrow	Germaneness?	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Barnes, G	SB 3069	
17		Speaker Redmond		
		Schlickman	Point of order	
		Speaker Redmond	#5 not germane	
		Kempiners		
		Speaker Redmond	3rd reading	
		Barnes, G.	Leave to tabke back to 2nd	
18		Speaker Redmond	SB 3069 on 2nd	
	1:05	Holewinski		
		Speaker Redmond		
		Madigan	Untimely	
19		Speaker Redmond		
		Robinson		
		Speaker Redmond		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	6.
		Clerk O'Brien	SB 1583	
		Speaker Redmond	TOOR	
		Clerk O'Brien	SB 1617	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #1	
		Speaker Redmond		
20		Madigan		
		Speaker Redmond		
		Clerk O'Brien	SB 1672	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #5	
21		Speaker Redmond		
		Telcser	SB 1672, TOOR	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Clerk O'Brien	SB 1680	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Bradley		
		Speaker Redmond		
		Clerk O'Brien	Motion to table #1	
		Speaker Redmond		
	1:15	Matijevich	Explains motion	
22		Speaker Redmond		
		Geo-Karis	Yield? Oppose	
22-23		Matijevich	Discussion	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Skinner	Yield?	
		Matijevich		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	7.
		Speaker Redmond		
		Collins	Opposes motion to table	
24		Speaker Redmond		
		Bluthardt	Opposes	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Bradley	Point personal privilege	
25		Speaker Redmond		
	1:25	Simms	Opposes motion	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Griesheimer	Opposes motion	
26		Speaker Redmond		
		Pierce	Supports motion	
27		Speaker Redmond	Previous question moved	
		Matijevich	To close on motion	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Collins	Request verification	
		Speaker Giorgi		
29		Deuster	Opposes motion	
	1:35	Speaker Giorgi		
		Walsh, W.	Opposes motion	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Clerk O'Brien	Polls the absentees	
31		Speaker Giorgi		
		Schlickman		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads affirmative roll call	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	8.
		Speaker Giorgi		
31-32-33-34		Collins	Question of affirmative roll	
		Speaker Giorgi	Motion to table #1 is adopted	
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #4	
	1:50	Speaker Giorgi		
		Schlickman		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Madigan	Inquiry	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Schlickman	Withdraws #4	
		Speaker George	#4 withdrawn	
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #5	
35		Speaker Giorgi		
		Skinner		
		Clerk O'Brien	Rereads #5	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Madigan	Germaneness?	
		Speaker Giorgi	Amendment #5 germane	
		Skinner	Explains #5	
		Speaker Giorgi		
35-36		Madigan	Repeat please - yield?	
		Skinner	Discussion	
		Speaker Giorgi		
36-37		Satterthwaite	Yield? Opposes	
	1:55	Skinner	Discussion	
		Speaker George		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	9.
38		Houlihan, J.	Yield?	
		Skinner	Discussion	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Robinson		
39-40		Speaker Giorgi		
		Bradley		
		Skinner	Moves to table #5	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Houlihan, J.		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #6	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Madigan	Moves to reconsider #5	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Houlihan, J.		
41		Speaker Giorgi	Motion fails	
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #6	
		Speaker Giorgi		
42		Skinner	Explains #6	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Bradley		
	2:11	Lechowicz		
43		Speaker Giorgi		
		O'Brien	Announcement	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Houlihan, J.		
		Speaker Giorgi		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	10.
		Van Duyne	Announcement	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Totten	Announcement	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Daniels	Announcement	
		Speaker Giorgi	SB 1680, 2nd, #6	
		Houlihan, J.		
44		Speaker Giorgi		
		Bradley		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Houlihan, J.	Move to OVR chair	
		Speaker Giorgi	Motion fails	
		Clerk O'Brien		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Mulcahey		
		Speaker Giorgi		
	2:19	Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #7	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Matijevich	#7 & 8, not in proper form	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Houlihan, J.		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Deuster		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Matijevich		
		Speaker Giorgi		
45		Bradley	Questions germaneness	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	11.
		Speaker Giorgi	Not germane	
		Bradley		
		Deuster	PPP	
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #8	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Lechowicz		
47		Speaker Giorgi	Not germane	
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #9	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Kelly		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Chapman	Questions germaneness	
		Speaker Giorgi	It is	
		Jones, J.D.		
		Speaker Giorgi		
	2:28	Davis, J.	Support	
48		Speaker Giorgi		
		Willer		
49		Speaker Giorgi		
		Friedland	MPQ	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Kelly	To close	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Byers		
50		Speaker Giorgi		
		Catania		
		Speaker Giorgi		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	12.
		Greisheimer		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Geo-Karis		
		Speaker Giorgi	Amendment #9 adopted	
51		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #10	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Kelly	Leave to withdraw	
		Speaker Giorgi	Withdrawn	
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #11	
		Speaker Giorgi		
	2:35	Stanley	Amendment #11	
		Speaker Giorgi		
52		Bradley	Amendment out of order	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Polk	Introduction	
		Speaker Giorgi	Amendment out of order	
		Stanley	Appeal ruling of chair	
		Speaker Giorgi	Motion fials	
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #12	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Robinsons		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Madigan		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Collins		
		Speaker Giorgi		
52-53		Walsh, W.	Change vote	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	13.
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Leinenweber	Chage vote	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Houlihan, J.	Recorded as aye	
		Speaker Giorgi	In proper form	
	2:40	Robinson	Amendment #12	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Bradley	Oppose	
54		Speaker Giorgi		
		Robinson		
		Madigan		
		Speaker Giorgi		
	2:44	Matijevich	Opposes	
55		Speaker Giorgi		
		Hoxsey	Opposes	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Friedrich		
55-56		Speaker Giorgi		
		Tipsword	Parliamentary inquiry	
		Speaekr Giorgi		
		Byers		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Robinson	To close	
		Speaker Giorgi	Motion fails	
57		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #13	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Bradley		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	14.
		Speaker Giorgi		
58		Levin		
		Vinson	Change to no	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Madigan	Oppose	
		Speaker Giorgi		
59		Levin	To close	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Bluthardt	Explain vote	
		Speaker Giorgi		
60		Bradley	Explain vote	
		Speaker Giorgi	Lechowicz requests verification	
		Clerk O'Brien	Polls absentees	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Lechowicz	Verification	
		Clerk O'Brien	Affirmative roll call	
		Speaker Giorgi		
61		Lechowicz	Questions affirmative roll	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Willer	Change to yes	
		Speaker Giorgi		
62		Dunn, R.	Recorded aye	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Conti	Change to no	
		Vinson	No	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Neff	Aye	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	15.
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Abramson	How recorded?	
	3:08	Speaker Giorgi		
		Clerk O'Brien		
		Abramson	No	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Lechowicz	Questions affirmative roll	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Clerk O'Brien		
		Deavers	No	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Lechowicz	Questions roll call	
63		Speaker Giorgi	84 no, 77 ayes	
		Lechowicz	Continues	
64		Speaker Giorgi		
		Clerk O'Brien		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Clerk O'Brien	Negative roll	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Schlickman	Verified	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Clerk O'Brien	Continues negative roll	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Steele	Aye	
		Speaker Giorgi		
65		Byers	Count	
		Speaker Giorgi		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	16.
		Byers		
		Clerk O'Brien		
66	3:21	Byers	Continues	
		Speaker Giorgi		
67		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #14	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Bradley	Distributed?	
68		Speaker Giorgi		
		Bowman		
		Bradley		
		Skinner		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Bradley		
69		Speaker Giorgi		
		Houlihan, D.		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Bradley		
		Speaker Giorgi		
70		Geo-Karis		
		Speaker Gorge		
		Bowman		
		Speaker Giorgi		
71		Byers	Yield	
		Bowman		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Greiman	Yield	
		Bowman		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	17.
72		Bradley	Oppose	
73	3:30	Speaker Giorgi		
		Pierce	Question	
		Bowman		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Flinn		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Gowman		
		Flinn		
74		Speaker Giorgi		
		Bowman	To close	
		Speaker Giorgi	Amendment #14	
		Van Duyne		
		Speaker Giorgi	Amendment adopted	
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #15	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Bradley	Distributed?	
75		Speaker Giorgi		
		Robinson		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Bradley	Ask it moved to 3rd	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Robinson	Objects	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Houlihan, J.	Clarify	
76		Speaker Giorgi	3rd reading	
		Robinson		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	18.
	3:40	Byers		
		Giglio		
		Holewinski	#16 if filed	
		Speaker Giorgi		
77		Robinson		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Houlihan, J.		
		Skinner	PPP	
		Speaekr Giorgi		
78		Robinson	PPP	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Schlickman	PPP	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Mann	PPP	
		Speaker Giorgi		
79		Robinson	PPP	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Clerk O'Brien	SB 1725, 2nd, #1 adopted	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Epton	TOOR	
		Speaker Giorgi		
80		Clerk O'Brien	SB 1746, 2nd, no CA	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #1	
		Pierce	Inquiry	
81		Clerk O'Brien		
	3:48	Pierce		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	19.
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Telcser	Request fiscal note	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Matijeovich		
81-82		Pierce		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Madigan		
		Speaker Giorgi		
83		Telcser	On motion for fiscal note	
		Speaekr George		
		Pierce	Point of order	
		Telcser	Continues	
		Speaker Giorgi	Fiscal note filed	
84		Kempienrs		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Clerk O'Brien		
		Pierce		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Skinner		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Peters	Inquiry	
	4:01	Pierce	Continues	
85		Speaker Giorgi		
		Wolf	Urge no	
86		Speaker Giorgi		
		Vinson		
		Speaker Giorgi		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	20.
		Totten		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Keats		
87		Speaker Giorgi		
		Mudd		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Keats	Continues	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Mugalian	Amendment #1	
88		Speaker Giorgi		
		Robinson	Amendment in proper form	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Madigan	Speak to Bill	
89		Speaker Giorgi		
		Telcser		
90	4:12	Houlihan, J.	Point of order	
		Speaker Giorgi		
90-91		Telcser	Continues	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Pullen		
92		Speaker Giorgi		
		Matijevich	Supports	
93		Speaker Giorgi		
		Hoffman		
94		Speaker Giorgi		
		Mudd		
		Speaker Giorgi		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	21.
		Wikoff	MPQ	
		Speaker Giorgi		
94-95-96		Pierce	To close	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Skinner	Explain vote	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Ryan	Verify	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Pierce	Poll absentees & oral roll call	
97		Speaker Giorgi		
		Walsh, W.	Object	
		Speaker Giorgi		
98		Clerk Hall	Oral roll call	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Conti	Parliamentary inquiry	
99		Speaker Giorgi		
		Waddell		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Madigan	Offers explanation	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Ryan		
100		Speaker Giorgi		
		Barnes, E.M.		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Clerk Hall	Continue ORC	
101		Geo-Karis		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	22.
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Clerk Hall		
		Martin, P.	Aye	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Clerk Hall	Continues	
		Bradley	Aye	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Katz	Aye	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Hall	Absentees	
		Speaker Giorgi	Motion fails	
		Clerk Hall	SB 1746, 2nd	
		Speaker Giorgi	Amendment #2	
102		Speaker Giorgi		
		Clerk Hall	Amendment #3	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Skinner	Sponsor	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Madigan	Questions germaneness #3,4,5	
		Speaker Giorgi	Amendment not germane	
		Skinner		
		Speaker Giorgi	3rd reading	
		Cunningham	Introduction	
103	5:00	Clerk O'Brien	Committee reports	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Clerk O'Brien	HB 3276, 2nd	
		Speaker Giorgi		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	23.
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Luft's motion	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Luft	Move to table 29	
104		Speaker Giorgi		
		Matijevich		
		Robinson		
105		Speaker Giorgi		
		Cunningham	Support	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		McBroom	Support	
106		Speaker Giorgi		
		Lechowicz	Oppose	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Waddell	MPQ	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Luft	To close	
107		Speaker Giorgi		
		Luft	Poll absentees	
108		Speaker Giorgi		
		Clerk O'Brien	Polls absentees	
	5:16	Ryan	What's the count	
		Speaker Giorgi	Motion to table fails	
		Robinson	PPP	
		McClain		
109		Speaker Giorgi		
		Robinson		
		Speaker Giorgi		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #55
110		Speaker Giorgi	
		Kozubowski	
		Speaker Giorgi	
		McBroom	
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Ryan	
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Lechowicz	
		Speaker Giorgi	
111		Holewinski	
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Schneider	
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Kozubowski	Withdraws #55
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #56 HB 3276
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Harris	
		Speaker Giorgi	
		McBroom	
112		Speaker Giorgi	
		Cunningham	
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Harris	To close
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Bradley	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	25.
113		Speaker Giorgi	Dump roll call	
		Leinenweber		
		Speaker Giorgi	#56 passed	
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #57, HB 3276	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Reilly		
114		Speaker Giorgi		
		McBroom		
		Reilly		
		McBroom		
		Reilly		
115		Speaker Giorgi		
		Reilly		
116		Speaker Giorgi		
		Stuffle		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Mugalian		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Stuffle		
		Speaker Giorgi		
117		Matijevich		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Tuerk		
118		Speaker Giorgi		
		Leverenz	MPQ	
		Speaker Giorgi		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
119		Cunningham	
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Reilly	To close
120	5:50	Speaker Giorgi	
		Totten	Explain vote
		Speaker Giorgi	
		McClain	Explain vote
121		Speaker Giorgi	
		Yourell	Explain vote
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Reilly	PPP
		Speaker Giorgi	Amendment adopted
		Reilly	PPP
122		Speaker Giorgi	Amendment #57 adopted
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #58
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Campbell	
		Speaker Giorgi	
123		McBroom	Yield
		Campbell	
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Cunningham	
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Stuffle	
124		Speaker Giorgi	
		Matijevich	Explains vote
		Speaker Giorgi	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Reilly	Explains vote
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Schuneman	Asks for verification
		Speaker Giorgi	
125		Clerk O'Brien	Polls absentees
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Hudson	
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Clerk O'Brien	
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Hudson	Vote me no
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Clerk O'Brien	Continues
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Schuneman	
		Speaker Giorgi	
126		Matijevich	
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Schuneman	Withdraws
		Speaker Giorgi	#58 adopted
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #59
		Speaker Giorgi	
	6:07	Skinner	
127		Speaker Giorgi	
		McBroom	No objections
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Bradley	Motion



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	28.
		Speaker Giorgi	Not in order	
		Skinner		
		Speaker Giorgi		
128		Houlihan, J.	Question	
		Skinner		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		McBroom	TOOR	
		Speaker Giorgi		
129		Houlihan, J.	Question	
		Skinner		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		McBroom	TOOR	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Madigan		
		Matijeovich	Announcement	
130	6:10	Barnes, E.M.	Announcement	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Cunningham		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Houlihan, J.		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Capparelli		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Houlihan, J.	Introduction	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Clerk O'Brien	Messages from Senate	
131		Speaker Giorgi		



29.

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Madigan	
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Robinson	PPC
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Madigan	Move to adjourn 12 noon Thursday
		Speaker Giorgi	Adjourned



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES