

Speaker Redmond: "The House will come to order. Members, please be in your seats. We will be led in prayer this morning by the Reverend Krueger, the House Chaplin."

Reverend Krueger: "In the name of the Father, the Son, the Holy Ghost. Amen. Oh Lord, bless this House to Thy service this day. Amen. It was John Stuart Mill who said, 'One person with a belief is equal to a force of ninety-nine who have only interest.' Let us pray. Almighty God, we give thanks to thee for this day in our lives. For the manifold blessings which Thou hast bestowed upon us and for the privileges which have been extended to us. Help us ever to hold fast to this belief in Thee. May we never falter from looking to Thee for guidance, strength and direction. As we perform our duties as Members of this House of Representatives may this belief enable us to better serve Thee and the people of the State of Illinois, through Jesus Christ our Lord. Amen."

Speaker Redmond: "Consent Calendar. Second Reading. Second Day. Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Now that we have a quorum, I should like to move do adopt on House Joint Resolution 27 and that all other items on the Calendar be tabled and that we adjourn sine die."

Speaker Redmond: "Somebody amend his motion to eliminate the last phraseology. About the adjournment sine die."

Schlickman: "December 31, 1978."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, Representative Domico, do you seek recognition?"

Domico: "I move the previous question, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is... Representative Schlickman consulted Representative Ebbesen lately? Well I understand that he has no equal and certainly no fear in the fitting of eyeglasses. Okay. I would just like to comment that people have asked me how long we're going to work and whether we're going to be here tonight and whether we're going to be here tomorrow. And our schedule is somewhat predicated upon our attendance and on the basis of the attendance



as of now, it looks like we may have to work tomorrow and Sunday and from here on from thence thitherto. Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, I move we let the guys that didn't show up last Saturday work tomorrow."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Boucek."

Boucek: "Mr. Speaker, as you know, Sunday is Father's Day and I would like to wish you a Happy Father's Day, as father of the House. And I'm sure that, as you indicate many times, that you extend courtesy to all of the Legislators and I think that right now that you owe 176 Members the courtesy to say that we are going to adjourn tonight at 6:00 and go home with...to see our families."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Conti. What did you say? Consent Calendar. Second Reading."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 40. A Bill for an Act to amend the Condominium Property Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 41. A Bill for an Act to amend the Condominium Property Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 42. A Bill for an Act to amend the Condominium Property Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 43. A Bill for an Act to amend the Condominium Property Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 44. A Bill for an Act to amend the Condominium Property Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 45. A Bill for an Act to amend the Condominium Property Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 46. A Bill for an Act to amend the Condominium Property Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 47. A Bill for an Act to amend the Condominium Property Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 48. A Bill for an Act to amend the Condominium Property Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 49. A Bill for an Act to amend the Condominium Property Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 50. A Bill for an Act to amend the Condominium Property Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 51. A Bill for an Act



to amend the Condominium Property Act. Second Reading or the Bill. Senate Bill 53. A Bill for an Act to amend the Condominium Property Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 54. A Bill for an Act to amend the Condominium Property Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 56. A Bill for an Act to amend the Condominium Property Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 57. A Bill for an Act to amend the Condominium Property Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 58. A Bill for an Act to amend the Condominium Property Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 59. A Bill for an Act to amend the Condominium Property Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 60. A Bill for an Act to amend the Condominium Property Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 142. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act relating to ejectment. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill... These aren't on the Calendar. Senate Bill 907. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act concerning public interest. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1094. A Bill for an Act to enable all states and local units of government to receive federal surplus property. Second Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Consent Calendar. Third Reading. Second Day."

Clerk O'Brien: "Consent Calendar. Third Reading. Second Day.

Senate Bill 241... Senate Bill 241. A Bill for an Act to amend the Insurance Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 439. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to currency exchanges. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 443. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to currency exchanges. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 446. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating to currency exchanges. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 448. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating to currency exchanges. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 538. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act



relating to fire protection districts. Third reading of the bill. Senate Bill 560. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act in relation to the rate of interest and other charges in connection with sales on credit and lending of money. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 562. A Bill for an Act to amend the Probate Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 691. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act concerning common trust funds. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 817. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating to currency exchanges. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 843. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Securities Law. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 856. A Bill for an Act to amend the Uniform Commercial Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 913. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act concerning preferred claims for wages. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 935. A Bill for an Act to amend the State Employee's Group Insurance Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 936. A Bill for an Act to amend the State Employee's Group Insurance Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 957. A Bill for an Act to amend the State Employee's Group Insurance Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 938. A Bill for an Act to amend the State Employee's Group Insurance Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 952. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Insurance Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 980. A Bill for an Act to amend the Motor Fuel Tax Law. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1071. A Bill for an Act relating to the recording or releasing of certain documents. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1102. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Insurance Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1161. A Bill for an Act to amend the Right to disclaim succession law. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Agreed Resolutions."



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 338. Matejek. 339. Matejek. 340. Collins. 341. DiPrima."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, House Resolution 338 by Matejek honors Andrew Walczak on his 75th Birthday. 339. Reverend Monsignor...by Matejek honors Reverend Monsignor John A. Grembowicz. 340 by Collins, honors Anita Bryant and 341 by DiPrima honors Judge Anthony Scotillo. I move for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carries and the Agreed Resolutions are adopted. Representative Ryan, can you come up here a minute, please? Former Representative Zagone is in the chamber talking to George Schoening right down here in front. Nick Zagone, raise your hand there. That's what Representatives used to look like. Better looking group, weren't they? Message from the Senate."

Clerk O'Brien: "A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House in the adoption of their Amendment #1 to a Bill of the following title, to wit; Senate Bill 344. Concurred in by the Senate, June 16, 1977. Kenneth Wright, Secretary."

Speaker Redmond: "Committee Reports."

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Ewell, Chairman of the Committee on Higher Education to which the following Bill was referred, action taken June 16, 1977, reported the same back with the following recommendation. Do pass Senate Bill 862."

Speaker Redmond: "Roll Call for attendance. Representative Madigan, are there any excused absences on the Democratic side?"

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, would the record show that Representative Kornowicz is excused because of illness and that Representative Chapman is excused because of her attendance at the annual meeting



of the Education Commission of the State, which met Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday and Friday of this week. And she wishes it noted on the record that she is your appointment to the compact of State Education Officers, Legislators and Governors. And as you pointed out, Mr. Speaker, succeeding Representative Clabaugh."

Speaker Redmond: "That's probably one of the better appointments that I've made. Is there any objection to the record so showing the excused absences? Hearing none, the record will so show. Representative Ryan. Any excused absences on the Republicans or are they all in their..."

Ryan: "Representative McAvoyn is in the hospital and..."

Speaker Redmond: "What hospital is he in, incidentally?"

Ryan: "Passavant Hospital in Chicago. He had surgery yesterday morning, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "There's no objection. His absence will be excused. Senate Bills, Second Reading. Representative Madigan. Representative Lechowicz, will you sit down, please."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I have an additional excused absence. Representative Bradley requested he be excused because of his attendance at a meeting of the Executive Committee of the National Conference of State Legislatures. He wishes it noted on the record that he is your appointment to that Committee also."

Speaker Redmond: "The record will so show. Senate Bills, Second Reading. Senate Bill 30."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 30. A Bill for an Act to create a Law Enforcement Commission. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion or floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motion or floor Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 96."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 96. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Horse Racing Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."



Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor? Third Reading. 97."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 97. A Bill for an Act to amend various Acts to change references from the masculine gender to persons. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1. Anderson. Amends Senate Bill 97 on page 1 by deleting line 1 and 2 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan. Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, that Amendment is not available on the floor."

Speaker Redmond: "Take it out of the record. 106."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 106. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to counties. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 110."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 110. A Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 114."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 114. A Bill for an Act to amend the Workmen's Occupational Disease Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 116."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 116. A Bill for an Act to substitute the word 'person' for 'man' in several Acts. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 139."



Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 139. A Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 144."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 144. A Bill for an Act to amend the Evidence and Disposition Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 145."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 145. A Bill for an Act to amend the Municipal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 146."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 146. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act to revise the law in relation to counties to eliminate sex discrimination. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 159."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 159. A Bill for an Act in relation to Executive Orders. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, that Amendment has not been printed and distributed."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. 203."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 203. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to counties. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee?"



Speaker Redmond: "Any motion or floor Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions or floor Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 205."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 205. A Bill for an Act to amend the
Election..."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. 216. Out of the record. 229."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 229. A Bill for an Act to amend
Sections of an Act in relation to state money. Second Reading
of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 286."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 286. A Bill for an Act to amend the
Officer's Conflict of Interest Act. Second Reading of the
Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion or floor Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Motion to table Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 286."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. 287."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 287. A Bill for an Act to amend
the Personnel Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment
#1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion or floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions or floor Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 305. Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, on Senate Bill 287, the Amendments were not
available. Would you take it back to Second."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay, take that back to Second Reading and leave
it there until the Amendments are available. 305. Any
motion or floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 305. A Bill for an Act to amend an
Act concerning Inheritance Tax. Second Reading of the
Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion or floor Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "A motion to table Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 305
by Representative D.L. Houlihan."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Dan Houlihan on the floor?"

Representative McClain. Out of the record. 322.

Representative Beatty."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 322. A Bill for an Act to make an appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Industrial Commission. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motions or floor Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions or floor Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Beatty."

Beatty: "I'd appreciate it if the Sponsor would hold that Bill. We have another Amendment prepared but we're waiting to see whether or not that Pay Raise Bill gets passed in the Senate. They should act on it shortly."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay. Any objections? Out of the record. 323."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 323. A Bill for an Act to make an appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Dangerous Drugs Commission. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1, 3 and 4 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion or floor Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions or floor Amendments."



Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 324."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 324. A Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Department of Insurance. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker, is the Appropriations Committee still in Session?"

Speaker Redmond: "No. Spokesman is right here."

Ryan: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion or floor Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 327."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 327. A Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Department of Local Government Affairs. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1, 2, 4 and 6 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion or floor Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motion. Floor Amendment #7, Keats. Amends Senate Bill 327 as amended on page 4, line 29 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Who's the Sponsor of the Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Keats."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Keats."

Keats: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

This Amendment is not really my Amendment. It was offered initially by Representative Jane Barnes, Representative Bob Pechous and Representative Cal Skinner. It was offered in Committee and regrettably was not added to the Bill at that time. I'm offering this Amendment a second time even though it was not directly my Amendment. What this Amendment does to the appropriation is cut certain amounts of funds out of the appropriation. These are funds that are not presently in the Governor's budget. In one place, we are reducing two hundred, six thousand, eight hundred dollars in funding the Senate put on to Senate Bill 327 for the Northeastern Illinois Planning Commission. Again, this money's not in the Governor's budget. Could I have more attention?"

Speaker Redmond: "Please give the Gentleman order."



Keats: "Okay. This two hundred, six thousand, eight hundred dollars is not in the Governor's budget. And then the second part of the Amendment reduces the appropriation from three hundred, sixteen thousand, eight hundred to a hundred and forty thousand. Now, some people will say we're trying to do away with the Northeastern Illinois Planning Commission, NIPC. This Amendment does not do that. This Amendment does not abolish NIPC. All it does is cut funds out that the Senate put in that were not in the Governor's budget. There have been many reasons for it. And part of this money that NIPC would be getting will be used to push some Federal Housing Projects in the Chicago suburbs. They've been refusing money, taking an aggressive position against suburbs that disagree. Let me ask three points that we should ask of NIPC before we give them more money. Number one, how much money does NIPC need to get federal funds and how much do they receive now in federal funds? What's the matching requirement? Does it require cash or can NIPC use in-kind services, something we use regularly to get federal funds. And how much in local and miscellaneous income, other than the state money that NIPC receives now. This money, minus what is needed for cash matches or equals the state money NIPC needs to get the federal dollars. I would ask, if you have any questions Representative Barnes, Representative Pechous or Representative Skinner could speak to this also. Thank you. I would wish a 'yes' vote for this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McMaster."

McMaster: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, let me point out that as the Sponsor of this Amendment said, it was offered in Committee to Senate Bill 327 and resoundly defeated in Committee. I would also like to say something about the fact that the statement he made that this money was not in the Governor's budget. Let me point out that this Bill is the appropriation for the Department of Local Government Affairs. The Director of that Department is, of course, directly



responsible to the Governor of the State of Illinois, due to his appointment by him. Let me also say that these various Amendments, that were adopted in the House Committee Appropriations Committee, were accepted and agreed to by the Director of that Department. I feel that we have Senate Bill 327 in the form that the Director of the Department of Local Government Affairs and the Department of Local Government Affairs desires. I wish to oppose this Amendment that is being offered by Representative Keats and I would strongly urge a 'no' vote on it. I would also like to ask Representative Bill Mahar, who is Chairman of the Legislative Advisory Committee to NIPC to respond also to this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mahar."

MaJar: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. To answer some of the questions that the prior speaker, the Sponsor of the Amendment brought out, I'd like to call to your attention the fact that we're talking about two hundred and six thousand, eight hundred dollars. Now, of that amount of money, a hundred and forty-two thousand, two hundred and seventy-nine is used for matching funds. And were that money to be taken out of the budget, the State of Illinois would be losing two hundred and eighty-four thousand dollars of federal funds. The total amount of money that comes from the Federal Government is 2.7 million dollars. Actually what we're talking about here is sixty-four, approximately sixty-four thousand, five hundred and twenty-one dollars. Now if you ever have or ever look at the mandate that this General Assembly has given NIPC in the early planning, you will see that this money is used in behalf of, not only state planning but the local area. That is, local municipalities. Now, one of the popular areas of discussion is the question of the housing issue that the NIPC people are required to do on their A-95 Reviews. Now, I have Resolutions here from several Mayors, including one I received this morning from the Village of Park Forest, that strongly supports the NIPC and their



planning. I also have a news article in which the Mayors of the north area, the north and northwest side of Chicago, speak on this particular issue. And they are not all in opposition. I'd like to give you the name of the Mayor of Mt. Prospect, who feels that NIPC is necessary. The Mayor of Arlington Heights, the Mayor of Hoffman Estates and other Mayors in the area. So, the fact that some of the people are saying that local government is totally opposed to what NIPC is doing is not true. I'd like to also say that we're talking about two hundred and six thousand dollars in the matching funds that's absolutely essential if they have those funds with the A-95 Reviews. And we're not only talking about those projects the local municipalities and the Northeastern Illinois Planning Commission want, we're talking about all the regional funds. Every... Practically every Bill that we have herein the General Assembly, we're talking about the federal grant and the federal monies that go with it. And if the A-95 Reviews are hampered and the Bistate Commissions views, which take care of interstates, are hampered, we're going to seriously find the flow of federal funds into the region being curtailed. I don't think we really want that and I would strongly urge a 'no' vote on this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Dyer."

Dyer: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the points the I was going to make have been very well made by Representative McMaster and Representative Mahar... Mahar, excuse me, Bill. I just wanted to add my voice and say I think we should vote 'no' on this Amendment. I would vastly curtail the flow of matching federal funds into the six county area. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mann."

Mann: "Would the Gentleman yield to a question? Mr. Mahar, will you yield to a question, Sir?"

Mahar: "Yes, Sir."



Mann: "Okay. What is NIPC doing about pollution in Lake Michigan, in terms of cutting off outfalls and drainage and so forth?"

Mahar: "I can't answer that specifically but I know they have underway several projects which include to clean streams and clean water. I am sure that they're working in that area. I know that you have a particular interest in that area that goes back a number of years. I do think, however, that the fact that they have the ability to plan, the fact that they are using funds to work in that area is an asset rather than a detriment. Whatever they have failed to do in the past doesn't mean that we can't look forward to some assistance from them in the future."

Mann: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to speak to the Bill. When I first came to Springfield, some fifteen years ago, one of the organizations that I was most committed to was NIPC because I believe in regional planning, I believe that...that as a product of an amalgam of local organizations in the state the NIPC had a role that was more than just a can do it for federal funds. But now I feel differently about NIPC. I don't see them doing anything in the area of pollution control. Either with regard to the lake or the scenic river or the clean streams. And if they're going to be a conduit for federal funds, we can do this through an agency that we can create right here in the Illinois General Assembly. We don't have to underwrite NIPC for all that money to be a conduit for federal funds. And I...I think that we ought to take a long, hard look at this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Very briefly, Mr. Speaker, I believe that this Amendment #7 should be defeated. Basically, what you're doing is you're taking away all the money in total in the areas of research and planning grants for NIPC. And in turn, that's probably one of the strongest areas as far as that section of the state. They talk about helping local government. NIPC is in that position. In the area of grants and



planning they are the leaders in this state. In fact, one of the leaders in this country, as far as regional wide area planning. Take away the money of grants and applications from this agency at this time is totally unwarranted and this Amendment should be defeated."

Speaker Redmond: "Are you ready for the question? Representative Keats, to close."

Keats: "Mr. Speaker, we're just having a question/answer. Could we pause for an instant to have a question answered?"

Speaker Redmond: "Can anybody else close while he's being..."

Keats: "No, I'm pretty willing to close it's just that I want a question answered so that it's specific to everyone so we can quote the language of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion."

Keats: "Okay, wait. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. What I wanted to speak to then, the individuals are saying we are doing away with NIPC. I'm not going to argue, whether it's necessary or not. I think Representative Mann has raised an excellent point concerning the problems with NIPC. Now remember, the two hundred and six thousand, eight hundred dollars they're referring to is not in the Governor's budget. This is money added by the Senate. If it had been such a wonderful idea it would have been in the budget because these individuals had input into the budget. So, I stress to you, we are just simply asking to take away money that is not presently in the budget. And if we could get this money back, we have the ability to use this money for education, mental health, welfare. Why should we send the money to bureaucrats to tell us things that we probably already know when we could spend the money towards fully funding We could spend it towards mental health, an area of where we are in dire need and we'll have an Amendment today to bring back some of those funds. Or in welfare areas where people are downright hungry. I think we are better off giving the money to someone who needs it than paying bureaucrats to plan. And this money



was not in the Governor's budget. I urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "The question's on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #7. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 32 'aye' and 73 'no'. The Gentleman's motion fails. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 129."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 329. A Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Revenue. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion or floor Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions or floor Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "What's that signal mean, Representative Hudson? Third Reading. I don't remember the rules but are former Clerks permitted the access to the floor? Are former Clerks permitted access to the floor? Fred Selcke, former Clerk, now in retirement. 335. Amend the rules. 335."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 335. A Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Law Enforcement. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 4 and 5 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor? Or any motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions or floor Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 347. Former Member, Representative Leiberman and also the Chairman of the Commerce Commission is on the floor. Marv Leiberman, former Member, Chairman of the Commerce Commission. If you're good Members, like he was, you'll be Chairman of the Commerce Commission. 347."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 347. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Public Aid Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."



Speaker Redmond: "Any motions or floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions or floor Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 357."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 357."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Willer, you say the Fiscal Note has been furnished? Representative Willer."

Willer: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to proceed with the two Amendments that are being offered from the floor. And I believe, if they are adopted, the request for a Fiscal Note can be dropped."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leinenweber, for what purpose do you rise?"

Leinenweber: "The Lady is correct. If the Amendments that I filed are adopted then I will withdraw the request for a fiscal note."

Speaker Redmond: "Read the Amendment, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 357. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Juvenile Court Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1. Schlickman. Amends Senate Bill 357, as amended, as follows; on page 1, line 1 and 6 by deleting 2-5 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, Amendment #1 eliminates from the Bill the expanded definition of dependencies. It's an agreed to Amendment and I move for its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #1. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2. Schlickman. Amends Senate Bill 357 as follows."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Amendment #2 strikes that portion of the Bill that would allow any Juvenile Court Judge to order any public office or employee, agency or institution



to render such service as the court determines. It's an agreed to Amendment and I move for its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #2. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the motion carries and the Amendment is adopted. Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Mr. Speaker, I would ask leave to withdraw the request for a Fiscal Note."

Speaker Redmond: "Does the Gentleman have leave? Hearing no objections, leave is granted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 364."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 364. A Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Personnel. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion or floor Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions or floor Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 382."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 382. A Bill for an Act to revise the law in relation to duties of town clerks. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion or floor Amendments? Any motion or floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions or floor Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 433."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 433. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Physician's Assistance Practice Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion or floor Amendment? Representative Dan Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, how many Amendments were adopted to this Bill in Committee? The Calendar indicates two."

Clerk O'Brien: "The Calendar is in error. Amendment #1 was adopted. Amendment #2 failed in Committee."



Speaker Redmond: "Any floor Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No floor Amendment but there's a request for a Fiscal Note."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Robinson, for what purpose do you rise?"

Robinson: "I just wanted to point that out."

Speaker Redmond: "A request for a Fiscal Note has been filed on 433. It will be held on Second Reading. 449. Representative DiPrima, sit down. 433. No, you were standing in front of Representative Leinenweber, who is going to address the chair. 449."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 449. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to currency exchanges. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 458. Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "There's a typographical error in the Bill and I wonder if I could have leave of the House to have the Clerk correct it on the face. It's on page 1, line 25. It reads, '\$,5000'; it should be '\$5,000'."

Speaker Redmond: "The Clerk advises me that that's in the computer and not in the Bill, the Bill is correct. Is that right, Mr. Clerk? Representative Dan Houlihan."

Houlihan: "I wanted to get first, what Jack O'Brien's response was. Is it correct in Enrolling and Engrossing."

Speaker Redmond: "Would you repeat."

Leinenweber: "Line 25, page 1. It should be '\$5,000' and it's '\$,5000'. It should be '5,000'."

Clerk O'Brien: "The Bill is in error."

Leinenweber: "Can you amend it on its face?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No."

Leinenweber: "That's what I thought. Would you leave it on Second Reading then, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Can Enrolling and Engrossing take care of that? Leave



it on Second Reading. Put it back to Second Reading then.
458."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 458."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giglio, for what purpose do you rise?"

Giglio: "Mr. Speaker, I rise to file a motion to table Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 458."

Speaker Redmond: "Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 458. A Bill for an Act in relation to Executive Orders. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giglio."

Giglio: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move to table Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 458."

Speaker Redmond: "Are you the Sponsor of the Amendment?"

Giglio: "Representative Luft."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion on the Gentleman's motion for the...to table Amendment #1? The question is on the Gentleman's motion to table Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 458. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the motion carries. Amendment #1 is tabled. Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 467."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 467. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to revise the law in relation to Clerks of Courts. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 488."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 488. A Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the Department of Personnel. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"



Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 505."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 505. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to alcoholic liquors.. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motions or floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions. Floor Amendment #2. Yourell. Amends Senate Bill 505 on page 1, line 1 and 6 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Yourell. Please give the Gentleman order."

Yourell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 505 is an Amendment that contains the same language in a Bill that I introduced earlier in this Session and it was introduced in the Senate as well and it was defeated in Committee simply because the liquor lobby in this state seems to be stronger than the good sense of many of our Representatives. What it does is restore the drinking age in Illinois to 21. The reason for the Amendment is simply this, that it's inconceivable to me that we have in this state, two different drinking ages. Those of you who live in a unit of local government and if they wish to, can pass an ordinance restoring the drinking age to 21, while in the rest of the state it has to be made at 19. Now, it's incredible to think that this kind of treatment relative to a Bill that was passed in this General Assembly a few years ago, which I was one of the Chief Cosponsors, should now suddenly become an area where there is a great deal of disagreement as to whether the drinking age should be 19 or 21. I would like to suggest to you that I have no opposition to the 19 year old drinking age. My only argument, that I am presenting is that if we do have a drinking age in Illinois and we do, it should be statewide in application and not be different in different parts of the state. It might be the same if we have a driver's license for different ages in different counties of the state. It



was a state law changing it from 19...to 19 from 21 and at that time it was considered to be statewide in its application and since that time there have been a considerable number of units of local government in Illinois that has seen fit, because of problems caused by the 19 year old drinking age, to by ordinance, return the drinking age to 21. I would suggest to you that it places a terrible burden on those units of local government who are not...can not, by law, change the drinking age. While other units of local government surrounding a nonhome rule unit of government can do exactly that. That contributes to the mobility of the teenage drinkers on our highways and of course, if that is the case and it is, by a study by the Department of Transportation that I read in Committee, then we're going to have increased fatalities because of the increased mobility. In my town, for example, of 65,000 people they're going to, by ordinance, raise the drinking age to 21. And in a little town of 5,000 people just to the north, they can not do that so the...those 19 and 20 year olds will go from Oaklawn to hometowns, to other units of local government that are powerless to change. I know that the City of Chicago is opposed to this Bill because they don't want to lose the revenue that will be gained by having the 19 and 20 year olds traveling from these other units of local government to the City of Chicago. My only argument is, I don't care whether it's 19, 20, 21, 22 or whatever you want to make it. All I'm saying is that, please, consider the state-wide application of this Bill. And I move for the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 505."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Jaffe."

Jaffe: "Mr. Speaker, first a parliamentary inquiry. Mr. Speaker, I would question the germaneness of the Amendment. It seems to me, that under Senate Bill 505 we have an Act that provides that alcoholic liquors may be sold...owned by the Metropolitan Sanitary District. I think this is not germane and I would like a ruling from the Chair."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative McBroom, for what purpose do you rise? If it's with respect to this Amendment, objection has been raised with respect to its germaneness and the Parliamentarian is studying it right at the moment. I've been advised that it's within the Dram Shop Act and it's then germane. Representative Jaffe."

Jaffe: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, then I would rise in opposition to this Amendment. Let me first point out that I think we're trying to clutter a piece of legislation that has already been defeated both in the House and the Senate. Now, this Bill has been defeated in Committee in the House and the Bill has also, in total, been defeated in the Senate on the floor of the Senate. So, I think all we're really doing is taking a piece of legislation, cluttering it up, knowing it's going to go to a Conference Committee and knowing it's going to be killed anyway. Let me say to you that I think that the drinking age for beer and wine should remain at age 19. I don't think that there's been a person in the House who has read more of the studies that have come out with regard to beer and wine and age 19 than I have. After all, I was instrumental in passing the first Bill and I'm really very concerned about whether or not it's been a good Bill or it's been a bad Bill. Let me say that in study after study after study after study there has been no evidence that this has caused any ill effect. I might tell you that there was an article that really started this whole to-do in the Chicago Tribune. And I talked to that particular reporter and asked him whether or not he had any correlation between figures and traffic fatalities. That reporter told me, 'Absolutely not.' He didn't but he was going to write the story anyway because it was 'hot stuff' and that's really what the public wanted. I really resent that on the part of the press and I resent their trying to play emotions on a subject of this grave a point. Let me remind you, that at age 19, in this



state, someone can get married, someone can adopt children, a person at 19 can be a member of almost any body. They can run for Mayor of the City of Chicago, they can do almost anything in the world and I believe that they should be able to drink beer and wine. I think that Representative Yourell has problems with the home rule power. He himself says that he has no difficulty with 19. He thinks that people at 19 should be able to drink beer and wine. Well, I must admit to you that if he wants to make it uniform and if he's in agreement with the theory that 19 should be the uniform age, then I would submit to you that he should issue...that he should introduce a Bill just to the opposite effect and try to get 107 votes so that we can overcome home rule power. Unfortunately, when we passed the last Bill out we weren't able to get 107 votes, we weren't able to overcome home rule powers but I don't think that that's any reason to destroy the Bill itself, which was a good Act. I would therefore, urge that this Amendment #2 be defeated."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McBroom."

McBroom: "Mr...Mr...Mr...I'll yield to Mr. Mahar. I wanted to ask him a question. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mahar. Mahar."

Mahar: "Mr. Speaker, I'm not the Sponsor of the Amendment. I'll yield to Representative Yourell."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Yourell. Please, give the Gentleman order. Give the Gentleman order."

McBroom: "Representative Yourell, I'm trying to get my head on straight on this and there's so much going on around my desk here... Mr. Yourell, I understand that your Amendment makes it across the board, 21. Is that correct? I'm sorry to have to ask you that but that's..."

Yourell: "That's correct."

McBroom: "Across the board for everyone."

Yourell: "That's correct."



McBroom: "Well, Mr. Speaker, this has been a very vexing problem for a long time. I voted against this proposition in previous Sessions because I could see the kind of quandary that it was going to put holders of liquor licenses in, what position it was going to put various Mayors in throughout the state and trying to discern who should get beer and wine and who should get alcohol. Furthermore, Mr. Speaker, to carry this proposal to the end degree, I presume that...I presume that if one wanted to that they could save beer and wine for 19 year olds and alcohol for 21 and then maybe a more potent drink, whatever that might be, conceivably we could raise the age to 25 on that, or something of that nature. And I don't know... It puts the Mayor and bartender and liquor license owner and there has to be a combination of the Saviour and Houdini to be able to determine these sort of things. And I want to ask you another question, Mr. Yourell. Can home rule units, if your... if your position would prevail, could home rule units lower the age, under this Bill?"

Yourell: "No, Sir. They could not."

McBroom: "Well, I think that Representative Yourell's position is absolutely correct in this matter and I'm delighted to support him."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise to support this Amendment with enthusiasm. Representative Jaffe pointed out that no matter...there are statistics and reports and what have you to the effect that the 19 year old drinking age has no effect on teenage automobile accidents and teenage problems otherwise. I submit that he's absolutely wrong and that there are reports to the effect that they do have an effect. One other thing, the 19 year old drinking age brings about drinking at a much younger age, just as those who are 19 drink when the law says they should drink at 21, those who are 16 and 17 drink when the law says they may drink



at 19. The home rule powers that permit drinking at 19...at 21 and change the...in effect, change the state law, I have no dispute with. They certainly have the authority to do that. There's not very much wisdom though, Mr. Speaker, in doing it. These persons have no idea, in going from one town or one county to another, where they are frequently when they're visiting saloons. We have this obligation and we should exercise it. 21 is the proper age and I urge your support for this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Dan Houlihan."

Houlihan: "I withdraw the question, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative DiPrima."

DiPrima: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill had a fair and impartial hearing in one of our Committees and of course we voted it down. After all, as I've always maintained, if a boy is old enough to go in and fight for our country at the age of 18, I'm sure he's got enough sense to be able to drink at the age of 19. A lot of this stuff comes from not alcoholic liquors. The problems some of these kids create are from the pot. And I would ask that you vote against this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Sandquist."

Sandquist: "Yes... Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in opposition to this Amendment and I... I will say this. I do agree with Representative Yourell that we should have one drinking age throughout this state. And as a matter of fact, I introduced a Bill, House Bill 596, which would have given the state the exclusive right to determine the drinking age. Unfortunately, because of the certain home rule powers, this was defeated in Committee and did not get to the House floor. But I disagree with Representative Yourell when he says that if this is passed the home rule communities could not reduce it. I think they could reduce it to 19 if they wanted to, a home rule community. And I think this is wrong. I think that what we should do is have



one drinking age for the state. Unfortunately, we can't do it. I don't think this is the way to do it in this Bill and therefore, I would urge a defeat of this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mahar."

Mahar: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

I think that if the people of this General Assembly, the Members, would listen to the public and what the public has been telling us since the present law has been in effect, they support this Amendment wholeheartedly. Now, it seems to me that we're not listening to the our constituents and the people in our districts. What we're doing is listening to the liquor lobby! Now I can recall a Bill that I had earlier in Committee that effected the liquor laws in this state and I heard the members of the liquor lobby say they had no objection to raising the drinking age from 19 to 21. They thought that would solve many of the problems with the young people in this state and in this country. For that reason, they had no objections. So I can't see why the lobby would be opposed to uniformity. And it would be a great help to municipalities throughout the State of Illinois to have uniformity. That's one of the reasons I voted against this Bill when Representative Jaffe was the principal Sponsor three or four years ago. I felt the Bill wouldn't work. It's showed it hasn't worked and now it's time to make this change. I urge for your support of this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Jacobs."

Jacobs: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I find myself here with two Amendments to House Bill 505 by the same Sponsor, both are different. One of them says 21 years of age. The other one on page 4 in line 29 says, 'Any person under the age of 21 years, who for the purpose of buying, accepting or receiving alcoholic liquors, other than beer and wine,' Which means that we're still back where we were. We have two Amendments, both #2 on the same Bill, Mr. Speaker and



which one is which?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Yourell, can you answer his quandary?"

Yourell: "Well, the only...the only answer I have. I only filed one Amendment. Amendment #2 to this Bill. Not two Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay, we'll see. We're discussing Amendment #2 Mr. Jacobs."

Jacobs: "Well, both of them are #2. And both of them are different."

Yourell: "I don't...I don't know what this Gentleman is talking about. I only filed one Amendment, which is Amendment #2. Maybe the Clerk can clear it up."

Jacobs: "Okay."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Jacobs."

Jacobs: "Well, Mr. Speaker, should I speak to the Amendment. On page 4, and maybe the Sponsor of the Amendment should be asked to explain where it says, 'Any person under the age of 21 years, who, for the purpose of buying, accepting or receiving alcoholic liquor, other than beer and wine from a licensing representative that is under 21 years of age, that he would be liable of a misdemeanor.' Okay, but that is the age that we have now for buying wine and liquor is under the age of 21 or 19 years of age."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen, I think Yourell's Amendment #2 is a splendid Amendment."

It should be overwhelmingly adopted. The fears that were expressed a couple of years ago in this House, irresponsibly lowered the age limit, have been frighteningly realized. There's no question about it that there are figures that are credible that are available to show that the number of accidents involving 19 to 21 year old drivers has increased disastrously in this



county. This is an opportunity, a priceless opportunity to sober up the 19 and 21 year old drunken drivers and it should not be allowed to pass without being...voted 'aye' on it. As far as the Chairman saying that the Bill that was presented by the Sponsor received a fair hearing. I was there and heard it. Very few Bills received a fair hearing in that Committee and this wasn't one of them. I urge you to vote 'aye' for this particular Amendment for your own safety and well being."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Willer."

Willer: "Yes, thank you...Mr. Speaker. I sympathize with the Sponsor of this Amendment and what he's trying to do. I sympathize with those who seem to say that we're going to correct something by adopting it. I think we're cause a lot of trouble with it for having laws that are not...of the age that is not uniform. I would only say, if you think this is going to keep liquor out of the hands of the 17 year olds because they get it from the 19 year olds, who's giving liquor to the 12 and 13 year olds now. This is the problem we have. We constantly take a very serious problem in this House, we put a bandaid on it, we go back to our constituents and say, 'Now we've done something.' If the people of our state really support this, I suggest they look to themselves and ask where the kids are getting the liquor: Lots of times it's out of their own liquor cabinet. Twelve and 13 year olds are drinking. Where are they getting it? Not from the 19 year olds. Kids are getting liquor when they want to get it and I think this law isn't going to correct it one bit. The problem is far more serious than being able to be corrected with something like this."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kosinski."

Kosinski: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question."

The question is, shall the main question be put? Those in favor



say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Representative Yourell to close."

Yourell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I do not want to engage the House in more debate. I think that everyone has pretty much made up his or her mind how they feel about this issue. I sincerely believe it's the right move to make. It has widespread media support in the State of Illinois and I ask for a favorable Roll Call on Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 505."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Kelly, to explain his vote."

Kelly: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I rise to support this Amendment. I feel that we should raise the age back to 21 and I'll tell you one very good reason why. This Body has spent a great deal of time during the last several months in passing laws which are affecting the juvenile delinquency in the State of Illinois. And I think one of the places where you can correct much of this juvenile delinquency is in the area of drinking. You know, this isn't just an accident. Certainly, the drinking is not the entire answer, by raising the age, but it will have a great effect upon that and I am going to vote in favor of this excellent proposal."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Byers."

Byers: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. What really the problem is with the current drinking is the problem that people that are legally old enough to buy beer and wine are buying it and giving it to young people. And if we're going to do anything, we should legislate tougher penalties for people that buy and sell beer and wine and give it to young people, both the liquor store owner, tavern owner or whoever it is, plus the people that do this. And I think in today's Springfield paper, there's a picture on the front that shows you the new driver's license. And they're



going to have I.D.'s on there with a color photo and I think this will go a long way in keeping people under 19 from getting beer and wine and I think this will be a very important step in curbing this abuse that I would admit that takes place. But this will be, I think, a logical way to help curb the abuse that's now taking place. I think a 'no' vote would be proper."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Corneal Davis."

Davis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in explaining my vote, I certainly won't worry you with a long dissertation. But let me say this to you and I say it seriously, it's better to prepare these youngsters than to have to repair them. Prepare them in their adolescence and you won't have to repair them when they reach their maturity. I vote 'aye' on this."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wished? Representative Yourell, for what purpose do you rise?"

Yourell: "I'd like a poll of the absentees."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has requested a poll of the absentees. Representative James Houlihan, for what purpose do you rise?"

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, if this Amendment is adopted, I'd like to rise for a verification."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay, poll the absentees. Have all voted who wished? On this question there's 65 'aye' and 79 'no'. The Gentleman has requested a poll of the absentees. Representative Bluthardt, for what purpose do you rise?"

Bluthardt: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I had my light on during the early part of the debate, before the vote was taken. I was overlooked, I had my light on every since and I've been overlooked and I want to explain my vote. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, sometimes this Body makes a mistake and we ought to be men enough to admit it. We made a mistake when we reduced the age for drinking liquor from 21 to 19 a couple years ago. I



also think we made a mistake and the Federal Government made a mistake when they reduced the age for voting, I'm that old fogie who believes that we haven't accomplished a damn thing by reducing these ages. Now, we have a great problem in the urban areas with vandalism. Much of the vandalism is a result of the young people drinking wine and beer and hard liquor. We spend thousands, perhaps millions of dollars in Illinois, repairing the damage done by vandalism. Again, much of it is attributable to the teenage drinkers. There are records that would indicate that there has been an increase of traffic accidents because of the drinking by the teenagers. The records are not as good as they should be because the law has not been in that long so the records are a little behind. But, I'll tell you this, it would be a great deal better and safer for all of the people of this state if we would increase the age to 21 where it use to be. I would urge you to vote for this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Yourell has requested a poll of the absentees. We're going to get close to Hanahan's Rules here now, so please everybody be in their own seat and sit down. Poll the absentees. Record Representative Bluthardt as 'aye'. Representative Winchester, for what purpose do you rise?"

Winchester: "To explain my vote, Mr. Speaker. I..."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, it's too late for that now, Representative Bluthardt had a valid complaint about not having been recognized. The problem was, we had a conference between here and the Chair and I didn't see him back there. Proceed with the poll of the absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "E.M. Barnes. Jane Barnes. Bowman."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Mr. Speaker, please vote me 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Record the Gentleman as 'no'."



Clerk O'Brien: "Bradley. Don Brummet. Chapman. Christensen.

Daniels. Ewell. Huff. Emil Jones. Kornowicz."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Daniels."

Daniels: "Please record me as 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Record the Gentleman as 'no'. Representative

Brummet, 'no'. Representative Christensen."

Christensen: "Mr. Speaker, would you please record me as 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Record the Gentleman as 'no'. Representative

Schoeberlein. Proceed with the poll of the absentees.

Representative Brummet is recorded as 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "McAvoy. McGrew."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McGrew, 'no'. E.M. Barnes, 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "McMaster. Peters."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McMaster, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Pierce, Porter, Schisler, Skinner, Stearney, Telcser,

Tipsword."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Tipsword, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Van Duyne and Younge."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Younge, 'aye'. Representative

Stanley."

Stanley: "Yes, I'd like to be recorded as voting 'no', Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Record the Gentleman as 'no'. Representative

Giglio."

Giglio: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Speaker Redmond: "How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'present'."

Giglio: "Would you vote me 'no', please."

Speaker Redmond: "Record the Gentleman as 'no'. Proceed.

Representative Winchester."

Winchester: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I was asking for recognition

a few minutes ago to explain my vote, in that I have a

conflict of interest in that I own an establishment that

sells alcoholic beverages, therefore, I'm voting 'present'."

Speaker Redmond: "On this question there's 68 'aye' and 86 'no'.

The Gentleman's motion fails. Any further Amendments?"



Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #3. McClain. Amends Senate Bill 505 on page 1, line 13 by deleting '50,000' and inserting in lieu thereof, '40,000'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McClain."

McClain: "I think we ought to build a..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McClain."

McClain: "Well, Mr. Speaker, we're getting conflicting reports. The Clerk tells me one thing and Lee Schwartz tells me another."

Speaker Redmond: "Who tells you the other one?"

McClain: "Representative Lee Schwartz. So I don't know what really to do. Committee Amendment #1 was drafted incorrectly.

What would you advise me to do? I failed to strike a...the number and underline the insert. I'd be glad."

Speaker Redmond: "The Clerk said that the Amendment is still in control of the Clerk's Office and in his opinion it can be changed on its face. Just underline it."

McClain: "That's all right with the Parliamentarian also?"

Speaker Redmond: "Right."

McClain: "Well then, Mr. Speaker, Committee Amendment #1, which was already adopted, I failed to strike the number and underline the number that I'm inserting. It passed the Committee and all it is is a poorly drafted Amendment. It's a technical change. I've talked to Representative Mahar. I'd ask leave for it to be corrected on its face."

Speaker Redmond: "Does the Gentleman have leave? Wait a minute... wait a minute. Representative McClain, it's been suggested that Amendment #1 should be tabled and then Amendment #3 should be adopted. Representative McClain."

McClain: "Fine, Mr. Speaker, then Parliamentary wise, do I move to reconsider the vote by which...or do I move to table Committee Amendment #1?"

Speaker Redmond: "Was is a Roll Call vote on that?"

McClain: "No, it was just a Committee Amendment and there were not motions to table."



Speaker Redmond: "Just move to table it."

McClain: "I move to table Committee Amendment #1 and it has been approved by Representative Mahar, the Sponsor."

Speaker Redmond: "Those in favor say 'aye'. Opposed 'no'.

The 'ayes' have it. The motion carries and Amendment #1 is tabled. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #3. McClain. Amends Senate Bill 505 on page 1, line 13 by deleting '50,000' and inserting in lieu thereof, '40,000'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McClain."

McClain: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Committee... This Amendment is Committee Amendment #1, drafted correctly as pursuant through Lee Schwartz and I appreciate him pointing it out to me. It's been approved by Representative Mahar and it was passed unanimously in the Veteran's Registration and Regulation Commission. I move its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #3. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 517. Just a moment here before we go to that. Turn the podium over to Representative DiPrima."

DiPrima: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Representative Phil Collins, who happens to be my right arm here in the House, his late and beloved father was king maker with the American Legion so I'm happy to have Phil as my right arm here in the House on all Veteran's legislation. We take great pride in introducing these lads in back of me here who are the official election winners of Premiere Boys State of Illinois at the State Election held Thursday, June 16 in Charleston, Illinois where they are in convention. Now, I'd like to introduce the Governor, Brad Whitehead, 16 Foothill Road, Monticello, Illinois. Brad."



Brad Whitehead: "On behalf of the citizens of Premiere Boys State, we would like to thank you for recognizing the fact that Illinois youth are willing and can be involved in state government. Thank you very much."

DiPrima: "For the purpose of an introduction, I'll call them individually. Lieutenant Governor, Terry McKimm. 1005 Burlington Street, Mendota, Illinois. Terry. Secretary of State, Terry Peal. Glenwood Edition, Marseilles, Illinois. Comptroller, Jim Guilliad. 905 Monmouth, Abingdon, Illinois, wherever that's at. Abingdon... Attorney General, Chris Perrin. 2101 Independence Drive, Pekin, Illinois. and State Treasurer, Tim Rogers, 701 Oak Street, Lena, Illinois. And I want to thank each and every one of you for your patience. Thanks ever so much."

Speaker Redmond: "517."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 517. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Insurance Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motions or floor Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions or floor Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 533."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 533. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motions or floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions or floor Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 508. I guess I skipped that one."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 509. A Bill for an Act to amend the Pharmacy Practice Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 550."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 550. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois



Air Carriers Act. Second Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McPike. The Fiscal Note is not filed yet. 557."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 557. A Bill for an Act to amend the Capital Development Board Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor? Did you know that the big blue elephant from DuPage County, former Representative Pate Philip is on the floor."

Clerk O'Brien: "I read the wrong Bill. Senate Bill 557. A Bill for an Act to amend the Capital Development Board Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 573."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 573. A Bill for an Act to amend the State Property Control Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1. Giorgi. Amends Senate Bill 573 by deleting lines 8 thru 32 on page 1 and line 1 thru 22 on page 2."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giorgi on the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "And inserting in lieu thereof the following."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, this is an agreed Amendment with the Sponsor of the Bill and it has to do... During or disposing of state property and what the Amendment does is...it changes the definition of surplus property and it requires state agencies to submit annual reports on the utilization of properties. And in fact in the study of the Bill we finally realized that no one really knows how much land the state owns and this Bill will attempt to determine that. Any property over \$5,000 must have three appraisals. The bidding, low bidder wins. There's quite a number of things but it's



an agreed Amendment and I move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of the Amendment. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the motion carries and the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 591. Representative Dan Houlihan."

Houlihan: "On 591 there's an Amendment being prepared, if we could take it out of the record at this time."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. 597."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 597. A Bill for an Act in relation to transfer of funds in the Highway Safety Funds of the State Treasury. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1. Johnson. Amends Senate Bill 597 by deleting lines 1 thru 3 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Johnson."

Johnson: "Yes, Mr. Speaker...excuse me. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, the... I'm the Chief Sponsor of the Bill in the House and this adds another fund to those that would be abolished under Senate Bill 597. I intended to offer it in Committee and didn't and I'd ask for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is on the Gentleman's motion for the... Representative Dan Houlihan."

Houlihan: "I have a question of the Sponsor, please. You can't tell from the Amendment, Tim, what funds you are abolishing in the State Treasury. Could you tell us?"

Johnson: "The Bill itself abolishes the Highway Safety Fund."

Houlihan: "All right, that's 523 and 6(s). Now what about the others that are...533, 545?"

Johnson: "The Amendment, in Section 2, Section 523 et al, would abolish the Metropolitan Fair and Exposition Authority."

Houlihan: "Do you want to take this one out of the record until we can have our staff person work..."



Johnson: "No, I don't have... I really don't want to take it out of the record."

Houlihan: "Well then we would ask everyone in the House to take a good look at this Amendment. Now, there has been no explanation of the rationale to delete the Metropolitan Fair and Exposition Authority as a fund in the State Treasurer's Office. Now, until there is such a reason then we are going to ask the Democratic Members on this side of the aisle to vigorously oppose this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? The question is on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #1. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Representative Mann."

Mann: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to ask a question. I mean, this thing was called very quickly and read and now we're up on the board and I think it's just a little too important to be run by that quickly. May I ask... May I ask a question, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Mann: "To the Sponsor?"

Johnson: "Well, I think we're beyond the place..."

Speaker Redmond: "Will you yield, Representative Johnson...He refuses to yield, Representative Mann."

Mann: "Pardon me, Sir?"

Speaker Redmond: "He refuses to yield. Representative Dan Houlihan."

Mann: "Well, Mr. Speaker, a point of personal privilege."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Mann: "Now, if the the Gentleman wants to play that kind of a game, then I'm going to ask some other Members to file a protest. Now that's entirely out of order, entirely. There was no discussion on the Bill, we were on the board, we're off the board. I thought this was a deliberative Body. I would ask the Gentleman to take it out of the record."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Dan Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, I question the germaneness of this Amendment I



would like a ruling from the Parliamentarian."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Johnson."

Johnson: "We're in the middle of taking a Roll Call. Those things had an opportunity to be raised before we go to the Roll Call and I would..."

Speaker Redmond: "The question of germaneness is always proper to be raised. I've been advised that in the opinion of the Parliamentarian, which is my opinion also, that the Amendment is not germane. Representative Johnson."

Johnson: "Mr. Speaker, pursuant to Rule 70 of the House Rules, I move to appeal the ruling of the Chair as to the germaneness."

Speaker Redmond: "Count the Roll Call. The Gentleman has appealed the ruling of the Chair. The question is, shall the ruling of the Chair be overruled. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Ewell."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, no matter what happens in any arena, you have to have somebody who runs the show. The somebody is the Speaker. The Speaker makes the ruling according to the advice of the Parliamentarian, the Parliamentarian rules according to the law of the particular case. There may be those times, which you and the heat of the moment may consider that perhaps you have received something unfair. But, I should like to point out that it's almost unprecedented in the House to overrule the Speaker. I'm talking to those Members on the Democratic side of the aisle, who say that you're Democrats who agree with your leader. There comes a time you've got to fish or cut bait. You can't sit out there in pompous piousness and rule against your Speaker, because I say to you, it marks the first step in the deterioration of the of the House and an introduction of anarchy. What we've done in these past weeks will be meaningless, because you're going to have all kinds of measures come over from the Senate. All kinds of measures on Conferences, Conference Committees and I say to those who want to call themselves Democrats, you have got to come to the aid of your country when it needs you. You



can't sit down there in pomp piety and vote to overrule your Speaker and ask on every other hand, that every other Democrat on this side of the aisle join you for every garbage Bill that you may have from your area, your district, your port. It's not right and I say to the Members, what you're doing is unprecedented and we will regret it. And now is the time for people who say they're Democrats to rally to their own leader. A rule, which has come as a result of the law, the Parliamentarian made the ruling and those of you who act in haste now will indeed regret it tomorrow."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan: "At the appropriated time, if necessary, Mr. Speaker, I want a verification of this Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijeich."

Matijeich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I guess I, like many have voted to appeal the ruling of the Chair at some time. I don't think we've got to be religious about it so that you shall never appeal the ruling of the Chair. But in this particular instance, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to explain my ruling of the Chair because I was up there and heard a little bit what went on between the Parliamentarian and the others, on both sides of the issue. And as far as I'm concerned, when I looked at the Amendment and saw immediately that it was an Act to...changes the whole title, changes the title from an Act to abolish certain funds in the State Treasury. A different title, I tell you, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. A different title, a different Act. Look at your Digest, for example, under the Bill the Bill says abolishes Highway Safety Funds. But the Amendment creates a different Act. Now as far as I'm concerned, the Speaker, in his wisdom, was up there listening. He took the advice of his Parliamentarian, like any Speaker would do, on both sides of the aisle. What more can anybody ask, I say? Those of you who may be on this side of the aisle are not voting because of what the Speaker did in his wisdom, you have a side issue. That is not the way



you should vote on appealing the ruling of the Chair. You vote on whether the Speaker had a proper ruling. And I say I was close to it. Those of you, especially on this side of the aisle, protect a Speaker who did what he had to do and in his wisdom gave a proper ruling. And I ask you to back him up on it."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Telcser. Representative Simms."

Simms: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, no one person is infallible, there's no one that is beyond the area of making a mistake or making erroneous judgement. We have to put aside partisan politics and vote on issues which are best for all of the people for the State of Illinois. It's not a Republican - Democratic issue, it's an issue which is fair to all of the people. And putting aside partisan politics and looking at the gist of the Bill, we must consider what's best for the people of Illinois and I solicit a green vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Johnson."

Johnson: "Mr. Speaker, the Members of this Body make the rules and the Members of this Body have a right to interpret the rules. It appears to me is all that we're talking about is three funds, the Highway Safety Fund, another fund and this particular fund that are being abolished. And I can't see how for the life of me, that this Amendment and the other fund that's abolished, wouldn't be germane to this question. It's absolutely germane. They're three funds that are unneeded and we're simply putting them all in one Bill and abolishing them. And how that's not germane, other than on another basis, I don't know and I would simply say that in this particular case, it's a rare case, the Speaker or the Parliamentarian have ruled incorrectly."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan: "I ask for a verification, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Johnson."



Johnson: "Poll the absentees."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has requested a poll of the absentees.

Poll the absentees, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Chapman. Holewinski. Jim Houlihan. Kornowicz.

Madison. McAvoy. Molloy. Pierce. Steczo. Van Duyne.

Walsh."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed with the verification of the Affirmative Roll Call."

Clerk O'Brien: "Abramson. Adams."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan: "We have requested the Chair to order the Members to be in their seats and to raise their hand when their name is called."

Speaker Redmond: "Correct. Members, please be in your seats. Please, be in your seats. Representative Walsh, you're a big man, they can't see around you, please sit down. Meyers. Telcser. Proceed."

Clerk O'Brien: "Anderson. Antonovych. Jane Barnes, Bartulis. Bennett. Bluthardt. Boucek. Campbell. Catania. Collins. Conti. Cunningham. Daniels. Jack Davis. Deavers. Deuster. John Dunn. Ralph Dunn. Dyer. Ebbesen. Edgar. Epton. Ewing. Friedland. Friedrich. Gaines. Geo-Karis. Griesheimer. Hart. Hoffman. Hoxsey. Hudson. Huskey. Johnson. Dave Jones. Kane. Keats. Kempiners. Kent. Klosak. Kucharski. Lauer. Leinenweber. Lucco. Macdonald. Mahar. Lynn Martin. McAuliffe. McBroom. McCourt. McMaster. McPike. Meyer. Miller. Mugalian. Neff. Peters. Polk. Porter. Pullen. Reed. Reilly. Richmond. Rigney. Robinson. Ryan. Sandquist. Satterthwaite. Schlickman. Schoeberlein. Schuneman. Sevcik. Sharp. Simms. Skinner. Stanley. Stearney. E.G. Steele. C.M. Stiehl. Stuffle. Sumner. Telcser. Tipsword. Totten. Tuerk. Waddell. Wall. Wikoff. Winchester and Wolf."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions of the Affirmative Roll Call?"

Houlihan: "Representative Stuffle."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stuffle is here. Representative McPike,



for what purpose do you rise?"

McPike: "Change me to 'no', Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Change the Gentleman from 'aye' to 'no'.

Representative Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker, change me to 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Change the Gentleman to 'no'. Representative Lucco."

Lucco: "Change me to 'no', Sir."

Speaker Redmond: "Change him from 'aye' to 'no'. Representative

Stuffle, for what purpose do you rise?"

Stuffle: "No."

Speaker Redmond: "Record the Gentleman from 'aye' to 'no'.

Representative Levin. Stuffle from 'aye' to 'no' and Levin

'aye'."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, what was the last one on Representative Levin?"

Speaker Redmond: "Levin, 'aye'. Representative Madison."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Speaker Redmond: "How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Madison: "Vote me 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Record the Gentleman as 'no'."

Houlihan: "Representative Richmond."

Speaker Redmond: "Is Representative Richmond here? Representative

Richmond? Remove him."

Houlihan: "Representative Huskey."

Speaker Redmond: "He's there."

Houlihan: "Representative Totten."

Speaker Redmond: "Totten is in the wrong place, contrary to the rules,

but he's in the chamber."

Houlihan: "Representative Daniels."

Speaker Redmond: "He's here."

Houlihan: "Representative Mahar."

Speaker Redmond: "Mahar? He's here, in the wrong place. He's here."

Houlihan: "Representative Edgar."

Speaker Redmond: "He's here."

Houlihan: "Representative Boucek."



Speaker Redmond: "Boucek, you said? He's in his seat."

Houlihan: "Representative Stearney."

Speaker Redmond: "He's here."

Houlihan: "What's the count, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "Mr. Clerk, what's the count? 89 'aye', 72 'no'."

Houlihan: "Representative McAuliffe."

Speaker Redmond: "He's here."

Houlihan: "Representative Epton."

Speaker Redmond: "He's here."

Houlihan: "Representative Stanley."

Speaker Redmond: "He's here."

Houlihan: "Is Representative Friedland in the back? I can't see that..."

Speaker Redmond: "He is."

Houlihan: "Representative Neff."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Neff in the chamber? How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Houlihan: "No further questions, Mr. Speaker. Representative Antonovych."

Speaker Redmond: "Antonovych...Antonovych is here. What's the count, Mr. Clerk? Here comes Representative Neff. Put Neff back on."

Houlihan: "All right, Representative Gaines."

Speaker Redmond: "He's here."

Houlihan: "Representative Deavers."

Speaker Redmond: "He's here."

Houlihan: "Did you remove Representative Antonovych?"

Speaker Redmond: "He's here."

Houlihan: "Representative Deuster."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Deuster is here."

Houlihan: "Representative Anderson."

Speaker Redmond: "He's here."

Houlihan: "Representative Kent here?"

Speaker Redmond: "Kempiners is here."



Houlihan: "Representative Christensen."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Christensen here? How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'present'."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further questions?"

Houlihan: "Representative... Never mind, he's here. I have no further questions, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "What's the count, Mr. Clerk? On this question there's 89 'ayes'..."

Houlihan: "Just a moment, we have one more question. Representative Wolf. Representative McBroom."

Speaker Madigan: "State your question, Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Is Representative Winchester?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Dunn."

Dunn: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Speaker Madigan: "How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as...John Dunn? The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Dunn: "Change me from 'aye' to 'no'."

Speaker Madigan: "Any further questions, Mr. Houlihan?"

Houlihan: "Yes, Representative Steele."

Speaker Madigan: "Cissy Stiehl, or E.G. Steele?"

Houlihan: "Representative Tuerk."

Speaker Madigan: "Does Mr. Hart seek recognition? Mr. Hart."

Hart: "Change me to 'no'."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Kane."

Kane: "Change me to 'no'."

Speaker Madigan: "Change the Gentlemen...Change Mr. Hart to 'no' and Mr. Kane to 'no'."

Houlihan: "Representative Tipword."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Tipword is recorded as 'no'. On this question there are 86 'aye'... What's the count, Mr. Clerk? 86 'ayes', 75 'nays' and the motion to overrule the Chair fails and the Amendment is declared not germane. Further Amendments?"



Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2. Ryan. Amends Senate Bill 597 by deleting lines 1 thru 3 and inserting in lieu thereof, the following."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Dan Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, I question the germaneness of Amendment #2."

Speaker Redmond: "The Parliamentarian advises me there's the same problem and the same ruling. It's not germane. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #3. Johnson. Amends Senate Bill 597..."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, that Amendment is not printed and distributed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further Amendments? Third Reading. Keep going. 607. Representative Johnson."

Johnson: "...Have Senate Bill 597 held on Second Reading."

Speaker Redmond: "It's already moved to Third Reading. Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "That Amendment has been printed and has been distributed, Mr. Speaker. And I have another point I'd like to take up with you. You didn't give me one second on this microphone to even discuss my Amendment. And if that's the way you're going to operate this place, don't talk to me about fairness anymore because it's a lousy deal."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Mr. Speaker, you didn't give the Members of this House a fair chance on this Bill. You've used the power of that gavel to move the Bill when you wanted, how you wanted. You ruled it wasn't germane, when in the opinion of many, it was. You didn't have the guts to stay on the podium. You put that henchman up there in your place because you didn't have the guts to do what was right. You're crazy if you think you'll be out of here July 1. Mr. Speaker, the Sponsor wanted that Bill on Second Reading. It deserves to be on Second. He has the right to offer Amendments. Why should you move it to Third. There isn't a Sponsor in this chamber who doesn't have that right. What are you afraid of, that guy standing behind you? What are you



afraid of? Leave it on Second Reading."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Darrow."

Darrow: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I believe you called House Bill 607, which is my Bill. I wish I could have the courtesy to proceed with that."

Speaker Redmond: "607. Are there any motions... Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "What is the disposition of Representative Johnson's Bill? Is it still on Second Reading?"

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading."

Ryan: "It's on Second Reading, Mr. Speaker. He wants to keep it on Second Reading and that's where the Bill should stay. Now, if you're going to misuse that Chair, Mr. Speaker, you're not the guy I thought you were, I'll tell you that."

Speaker Redmond: "We're on the order of 607. Any motion or Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 607. A Bill for an Act to amend the Capital Development Board Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion or floor Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions or floor Amendments. Third Reading. Representative Johnson."

Johnson: "Mr. Speaker, I believe I have an opportunity to raise a point of order. The ruling of the Chair is that Senate Bill 597 is moved to Third Reading. I move to appeal the ruling of the Chair in that regard."

Speaker Redmond: "You're out of order on that. The order of business is Constitutional Amendments, Second Reading. Constitutional Amendment. Second Reading appears House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment 22. Representative Totten is recognized. Representative Totten. Out of the record? Representative DiPrima."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment #29. This Resolution has been read a second time previously. Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative DiPrima."

DiPrima: "Yes, Sir."

Speaker Redmond: "Are there any motions or floor Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions or floor Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. On the order of Speaker's Table appears House Resolution 80. Representative Yourell. Out of the record. 85. Peggy Smith Martin. Out of the record. Representative Jim Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, I've had my light on for quite a while and I tried not to erupt when I think the Chair acted improperly. I've been castigated before for getting too emotional on a particular issue and particularly on the abuse of the power of the Chair. I would very respectfully and very regretfully have to say that I think the Chair acted improperly and I would like to have my dissent registered."

Speaker Redmond: "Have his dissent registered. House Resolution 154. Representative Taylor. Out of the record. 158. Representative Giorgi. Out of the record. 213. Giorgi, do you want to call 213? 213, Representative Giorgi. Representative Giorgi, you didn't tell me what you wanted to do with 213. How about 214? Representative Flinn. Flinn. Representative Monroe Flinn. Representative Stiehl, C.M. Representative Flinn, with respect to 214."

Flinn: "Mr. Speaker, I have 2 Amendments up there I'd like to put on this Resolution. It's corrective Amendments in both cases. Amendment #1 changes the number of appointments and I move for its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of the Amendments. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2. Flinn-Stiehl. Amends House Resolution 214 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Flinn."

Flinn: "Mr. Speaker, Amendment #2 is another corrective Amendment to the



Resolution and I move for its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any question on the Gentleman's motion?"

All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Do you want to move for the adoption of the Amendment now? The question is on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of the Resolution...Resolution 214. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the motion carries and the Resolution is adopted. On the Order of House Bills, Second Reading appears House Bill 2412. Representative Klosak. Are there any Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2412. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating to an appropriation for the Department of Transportation. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 2416."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2416. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Law Enforcement. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker, can you tell me where you are on the Calendar?"

Speaker Redmond: "Yes. We're on House Bills, Second Reading on page 2. It was a request of Representative Klosak, he asked me to move those along."

Ryan: "That's House Bills, is that right?"

Speaker Redmond: "Yeah, House Bills. Representative Klosak requested that we move those to Third Reading."

Ryan: "I just request that you put it on the board, that's all. I don't look at that one, I look at that one."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, look at this one."



Ryan: "You're so devious, I probably ought to look at both of them."

Speaker Redmond: "House Resolution 26. Representative Kelly. Out of the record. 24. Representative Mulcahey. Out of the record. Representative Stearney, 26. Do you want to take that one? House Joint Resolution 45. Representative Katz, do you want to take that one? Representative Katz."

Katz: "House Resolution 45... House Joint Resolution 45 is directed toward the problem of continuances in regard to the criminal justice system. It has been recognized that continuances slow up the process of justice and interfere with the willingness of people to be complaining witnesses. House Joint Resolution 45 requests the Illinois Supreme Court to study this problem with regard to the granting of continuances and the proper court procedures that ought to apply so as to protect both the defendant and also the victims of crime. It requests the Supreme Court to give a preliminary report to the leaders of the General Assembly by October 1, 1977 and a final report by March 16, 1978. It was unanimously recommended for adoption by the Judiciary II Committee and I urge its passage."

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Lechowicz in the Chair."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "Will the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "He indicates he will."

Telcser: "Representative, you said that... Did you say something about the Supreme Court being involved in this Resolution?"

Katz: "Yes, it requests the Supreme Court, which under Article IV of the Illinois Constitution, is the head of the Judicial Branch of Government, just as we are of the Legislative Branch. It requests the Supreme Court to study the problem in relation to the administration of justice and to report back to the Speaker of the House and the Minority Leader of the House, the President of the Senate and the Minority Leader of the Senate with regard to whether any additional laws are passed and also to report on what they find with regard to the question of



continuances, which are extremely important and a really significant problem in 1977 in the Criminal Justice System."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any further discussion? The Gentleman from... Representative Katz, to close."

Katz: "I think that it will be a positive approach to solving the problems relating to delay in the criminal justice system while still respecting the Constitutional separation of powers here in Illinois, by requesting the Supreme Court to make the study."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall House Joint Resolution 45 be adopted? All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 117 'ayes', no 'nays', none recorded as 'present'. House Joint Resolution 45 is adopted. Committee Reports."

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative E.M. Barnes, Chairman of the Committee on Appropriations II to which the following Bills were referred. Action taken June 17, 1977, reported the same back with the following recommendation. Do pass, House Bill 362, 479 and 750. That's House Bill 362, Senate Bill 479, Senate Bill 750. Do pass, as amended Senate Bill 543."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Totten.

Representative Totten, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Totten: "You going to go back to Constitutional Amendments?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Constitutional Amendment 22?"

Totten: "Right."

Speaker Lechowicz: "All right, Constitutional Amendment #22. The Gentleman asks leave to bring that item back..."

Totten: "It is on Second. I just want to put an Amendment on it."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Second Reading, Constitutional Amendment #22."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment #22. This Resolution has been read a second time previously."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?"



Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1. Totten. Amends House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment #22 on page 1, line 21 by deleting 'that' and inserting in lieu thereof, 'the last known' and on page 1, line 31 by deleting 'any' and inserting in lieu thereof, 'for the latest known' and on page 3, line 28 by deleting '1975' and inserting in lieu thereof, '1976'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Totten on Amendment #1."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Maurice Scott, from the Tax Federation, suggested the language that...in the Amendment. It's kind of clean up language and it brings it up to date from 1975 to 1976. And to answer the Speaker's question regarding what 1975 to '76 is going to mean, it would mean taking advantage of more money, specifically in schools, for the Distributive Fund and it's clean up and technical and I would move for its adoption."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1. Any discussion? All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed. Amendment #1 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. Jack, how about going to Consent Calendar. Third Reading."

Clerk O'Brien: "Consent Calendar..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Page 20, Ladies and Gentlemen, Consent Calendar."

Clerk O'Brien: "Consent Calendar, Third Reading, Second Day appears Senate Bill 241, 439, 443, 446, 448, 538, 560, 562, 691, 817, 843, 856, 913, 935, 936, 937, 938, 952, 980, 1071, 1102 and 1161. These Bills have been read a third time previously."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall the Consent Calendar read by the Clerk, be adopted? All those in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'nay'. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Houlihan, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"



Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, I was under the impression that Senate Bill 1071 had been removed from the Consent Calendar."

Speaker Lechowicz: "All right, we'll remove... Has it been removed from the Consent Calendar, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Not to my knowledge."

Houlihan: "Well, I had filed a removal..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "All right, excluding Senate Bill 1071. Have all voted who wished? The Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "What Bill did you just take off the Consent Calendar, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Which one was removed?"

Ryan: "That's right. Why don't you take the Consent Calendar out of the record until we find out what's on it for sure."

Speaker Lechowicz: "We just read them."

Ryan: "Well, evidently you didn't read them right because Representative Houlihan just asked you to take one off."

Speaker Lechowicz: "No. He said he filed... Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan: "I filed previously."

Ryan: "Well, what are we voting on here, Mr. Speaker? Did you read the list?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Senate Bills 241, 439, 443, 446, 448, 538, 560, 562, 691, 817, 843, 856, 913, 935, 936, 937, 938, 952, 980, 1102 and 1161. Page 20 and 21 on the Calendar, Sir."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, I was in error. Senate Bill 1071 should remain on the Calendar as it has been printed on the Calendar."

Speaker Lechowicz: "And including Senate Bill 1071. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 125 'ayes', no 'nay' 23 recorded as 'present'. These Bills, having received the Constitutional Majority, are hereby declared passed. The Gentleman from Kane, Representative Schoeberlein requests to be recorded as 'aye' on the Consent Calendar. Are there any objections? Hearing none, kindly record Representative Schoeberlein as 'aye' on those Bills. What we're trying to do is to find out where we finished off yesterday on the Priority



of Call on Senate Bills. So if you'll just be at ease for two or three minutes the Chair would appreciate it. Thank you."
Senate Bills, Third Reading. Senate Bill 1097. Representative Leverenz. Take it out of the record. Senate Bill 1104.

Representative Epton."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1104."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Does it say we're on Third Reading up there? Senate Bills? Jack... We're on Senate Bills, Third Reading Priority of Call. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Epton."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1104. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Insurance Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Epton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, yesterday when Representative Houlihan asked a question I, unfortunately I didn't give him the proper answer. As a matter of fact, I didn't give him any answer at all. At this time I'm happy to tell you that the question he raised was resolved and if I had bothered to read the Bill properly it would have shown that what we are doing is simply excluding a provision of the Act, which would...which might have given an incumbent insurance management an opportunity to maintain hold of a company in event of a proxy fight. Correctly stated, I would say we gave them an unfair advantage and by the passage of this Bill we put everybody back on an equal party... on an equal basis. It has the approval of the public as well as the Department of Insurance. I know of no opposition and I would appreciate a favorable vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Dan Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Well, simply to confirm what Representative Epton has said, it is a good Amendment and I would urge the support of the Membership on the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 1104 pass? All those in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'no'.

Marco...Marco... Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who



wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 142 'aye', no 'nays', none recorded 'present'. Senate Bill 1104, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1107. Representative Epton."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1107. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Insurance Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Epton."

Epton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

As you well know, in the past many of us have found our constituents have been troubled with cancellations by insurance companies. In many cases, cancellations which have been unjust, unfair and grossly in error. As a result of that, the Insurance Study Commission, with the help of this Legislature, has been able to pass several Bills severely limiting the rights of the insurance companies to nonrenew or to cancel insurance coverage. Unfortunately, there was one situation that it did include, which it should not have, and that's in the situation which is commonly known in the industry called a written binder. As many of you know, sometimes an insured comes into an office and asks for coverage. The agent or the broker will give him the binder and that binder is merely an attempt to keep that individual covered until such time as the insurance company can investigate the loss. Very frequently, the carrier may find out that the applicant is an individual who has burned down six or seven or eight buildings and is contemplating some more and hastens to get off the risks. This Bill, very simply, excludes the binder provision from the cancellation. On the other hand, to make sure that the insured is not taken advantage of in any way, it gives that individual or company or corporation 50 additional days, up to 50 additional days to get other coverage. So that at no time are they put in the position any worse in which they entered the



insurance agency or broker and I solicit your favorable vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Dan Houlihan."

Houlihan: "I have a question of the Sponsor."

Speaker Lechowicz: "He indicates he'll yield."

Houlihan: "Representative Epton, on page 5 of the Bill in lines 24, 25 and 26, you delete certain language which provides that the notice of cancellation shall provide in that notice, notice of availability to the Illinois Automobile Insurance Plan. And then on page 6 in lines 4 and 5, again you delete certain provisions of the notice, which are to contain availability of the fair plan. Now, what is the rationale of the deletion of those two provisions from the notice?"

Epton: "In both of those Bills, the assigned risk plan and the fair plan, they specifically called for...they did...they were aware of the binder provision and they specifically called and set very severe standards for notice of cancellation to the insured. Not only notice but actual receipt of the notification. So for that reason it was deleted. That is probably what we would call merely a technical change."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any further discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill 1107 pass? All those in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 134 'aye', 1 'nay', 1 recorded as 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1189. Representative Pouncey."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1189. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Election Code..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Take it out of the record. Senate Bill 1209, Representative Ewing."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1209. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Ewing."



Ewing: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill provides that a school board may apply state aid, paid under the Strayer - Hague Formula, to any funds which they are authorized to make expenditures from. This is pretty much a bookkeeping type transaction, which would allow for the use of the Strayer - Hague Formula. It has one Amendment, which provides that school districts may include in the districts operating tax rates for general state aid purposes, any tax increase in education or operation, building and maintenance fund tax, which has occurred with a referendum since January 1, 1977. This merely steps that up one year in making it available and I would ask for the approval of this measure."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from Macon, Representative Dunn."

Dunn: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "He indicates he will."

Dunn: "You indicated this is a bookkeeping procedure. To what extent is there flexibility to make transfers between funds at this time with...with the grants under the School Aid Formula?"

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, I'm sorry, I didn't hear the first..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Repeat the question, please."

Dunn: "Well, to what extent, at the present time, is there authority to make transfers between funds with school aid...distributive aid money?"

Ewing: "All right. This doesn't effect distributive aid money. They can do it and this just allows the people who are taking money under the Strayer - Hague Formula to do the same thing."

Dunn: "Well, that's a distributive aid formula, isn't it?"

Ewing: "It's not the Equalizer Formula. I'm sorry. We're giving them the same rights as those that get their state aid under the Equalizer Formula."

Dunn: "Is that the point of this Bill? Under the Resource Equalizer



Formula you say you can do this but at the present time you can not if you receive funds under the Strayer - Hague Formula?"

Ewing: "That is correct."

Dunn: "And you intend to change...change this to make the transfer authority the same for both cases?"

Ewing: "That's correct."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Conti."

Conti: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it's my understanding that the state aid receives, under this formula could put it in any fund such as the educational fund, the operation, building and maintenance funds, transportation fund, the working cash fund, the Illinois Municipal Retirement Fund. What...what control would we have seeing that our children would be educated if it would be the whims of the supervisor or whoever has control of those funds, to make up the retirement pension funds instead of allocating the funds where they're originally intended for?"

Ewing: "Well, Representative, most of our schools take their money under the Equalizer Formula. They have this right now with state funds. We're saying that those school districts, because of their high assessed valuation, could decide to take their money under Strayer - Hague, which is just a different way of figuring what they have coming, should have the same rights to spend those funds as persons taking it under the Equalizer Formula. So, all...most...90...80% of our school districts have the right, which you say there, they can spend it any way they wish. We're saying we want to give them all the same. Treat them all alike. It's really a bookkeeping type thing because, you know, you put it in one pocket and take it out of the other. They've got so much money to spend in a local school district and that's what they'll have to do is divvy it up."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Adams, Representative McClain."

McClain: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

I stand in support of this piece of legislation. It does basically



*Miss
4-17-77
Hand*

two things, as far as I gather, one is it permits Strayer - Hague if this would become law to do the same thing the people under Resource Equalizer do and secondly, it helps systems that have passed a recent referendum to apply that to state aid. I think it's a great Amendment. Systems that have the guts enough to pass a referendum ought to be able to take advantage of the state revenues. I stand strongly in support of this piece of legislation."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Rock Island, Representative Polk."

Polk: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen, I would concur with part of what's been said here. I think the Bill itself is an excellent Bill. However, I do think that if you do not live in the suburbs of Cook County or if you do not live in Rockford, you'd better take a good hard look at this Bill. I think you're going to find in Amendment #1, it's going to have a very direct effect on the amount of state aid that your schools are getting. I'm not...I'm not intimating that it's going to, I'm telling you definitely that your state aid is going to go down. Rockford is going to get an additional 1.6 million dollars out of this. It's as simple as that. And it's going to cost your school districts money if this happens. Now, it is true, Sir. We had the staff analysis make a run on it. We understand that the suburbs are going to receive a considerable amount too. I simply say to you, if you live in Rockford or you live in the suburbs of Cook County, then obviously I would suggest you vote for it. If you don't live there then you had better take a good hard look at how much money you're going to lose."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Representative Polk has brought to your attention an interesting point about Amendment #1. He has been specific about one school district. Let



me suggest that the total is in the neighborhood of 5 million dollars, 1.6 million which would go to one school district. We've had this Amendment before us in the past and for a whole variety of reasons it has not been adopted. The fiscal implications FY 79 are in the neighborhood of 5 million dollars and if you want to hike that redistribution up 5 million dollars in the 59 or in the 79 budget, then you should vote for the Bill. If you don't to redistribute it away from school districts which have not passed a referendum during the last fiscal year, then you should stand in opposition to it."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, you know I resent Representative Polk and Hoffman talking about Rockford in this case when they really should be showing pity. There were over 20 referendums passed. Now, you tell the people in the various districts to pass a referendum and every one of their referendums triggers into this school aid formula. There's no other way to get more state aid except increase your operating rate or whatever rate you're using. They're misleading you by telling you that Rockford is going to get a lion's share of the taxes. The might...should tell you that the second largest school district in Illinois is Rockford. And Rockford has suffered because they've adhered to state law. When we were talking about a 33 and a 40% assessment, Rockford's assessments were at 50%, losing our...share of state aid. Now, they tell the people of Rockford that they had to pass a referendum in order to come into state aid. And now this month is when everyone tells the Office of Education what their assessed valuation is and what their tax rate is. And all we're asking in this Bill, for all the 20 or more school districts and many counties that are involved, we're asking them to trigger in our rates a year from now rather than two years from now. We've suffered more in our district than any school district in the State of Illinois. And if there are people here that



want to...that want to ascend to Clabaugh's throne, two or three of them, if they want to ascend to Clabaugh's throne they ought to get the Office of the Superintendent of Public Instruction to get up to the day so that we use these computers and use the latest fads in computing state aid. State aid is two years behind because the people that were computing state aid needed two years to solve the state aid dilemma. Now they're not telling me that the new administration is hiring people that can't use computers and can't determine state aid in a shorter length of time. All we're saying is that we're going to be penalized two years as it is, just penalize us a year."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 1209 pass? All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'nay'. Representative Ewing."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, I didn't have a chance to close on this matter but I would ask for your support of this. I think that the conversation about costing 5 million dollars, that's all supposition. We find out down the road how fully funded our school formula is, it may not cost anything. There is some redistribution in the school formula, but I think the Bill's a good Bill and I would ask for your support."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Simms, to explain his vote. The timer is on."

Simms: "Mr. Speaker I rise in support of this Bill with the Amendment, with my colleague from Winnebago, Representative Giorgi. This is a method by which school districts have been encouraged to pass referendums in order to trigger in the State Aid Formula. It just moves it up one year. It does help those districts that the people of those respective districts, we are willing to take the initiative and put in an additional burden on the local taxpayer. The same time it gives the state the opportunity to increase that aid...small amount in those areas."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished?"



The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 115 'ayes', 17 'nays', 6 recorded as 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1243. Representative Richmond."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1243. A Bill for an Act to make an appropriation to the Capital Development Board. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Richmond."

Richmond: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

Senate Bill 1243 would appropriate 40 thousand dollars of CDB Funds for the Military Naval Department for acquiring land in Jackson County adjacent to the armory in Carbondale. This Bill attempts to solve a three party problem in the City of Carbondale. The Carbondale Armory, under control of the Military Naval Department does not have any parking facilities. Yet, when meetings and weekend duty occur people must park on city streets. Private citizens, whose property is adjacent to the armory, consistently have littering problems because of the armory, which upsets the neighbors. He would like to sell this property to the state. The price is 40 thousand dollars. The City of Carbondale can not buy it and it is very badly needed to...in the operation of the armory at this point. I would ask for your affirmative Roll Call on this Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield for a question? Is this in the Governor's budget?"

Richmond: "I think not."

Totten: "Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Further discussion? The Lady from DuPage, Representative Dyer."

Dyer: "Would the Sponsor yield to a question? I'm not sure I heard you correctly, Mr. Sponsor, did you say... You said something about the Military and Naval Department and yet our synopsis says something about the Capital Development Board. Would you



mind explaining to me, you know, which budget this is going to come out of?"

Richmond: "Well, it would appropriate 40 thousand dollars of CDB Funds to purchase this property, which is adjacent to the Carbondale Armory, where our National Guard has their regular activities. And it is a very active post there, if that's the proper word. And it's... But it would appropriate 40 thousand dollars for three large city lots adjacent to the armory and the...the appraisal on these lots is considerably more than the 40 thousand dollars but the owner has conceded to sell them for this purpose at that price."

Dyer: "But the...the lots themselves are not in any way used for the military purposes, I mean, they're just simply for the citizens of Carbondale to park in, they just happen to be buying them from the armory?"

Richmond: "No, if this Bill should become law then they would be acquired for a parking area for the armory. The armory is a very large fine building. I don't know whether you're familiar with it or not. And there's a lot of activity there and there simply isn't any parking for it and this is the problem that has existed for years and there's been a lot of effort to obtain this property so that is what this Bill is for."

Dyer: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker, may I just speak for a moment to the Bill? It does seem to me that this is the kind of expenses that should be born by the people in the district who are going to make use of this. This is not an appropriate expenditure to come from the Capital Development Board Fund. I think this should be opposed."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Lawrence, Representative Cunningham."

Cunningham: "No. Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Some of us in the Chicago area may remember this Bill a



little more vividly once they remember that Alan Crane featured it on the Friday or Saturday before last to add a little levity to his reporting in the General Assembly, on the General Assembly. As I remember, the report...the argument was made by the land owner. that the National Guardsmen wouldn't clean up the property next to the National Guard Installation and that order...in order to get the trash cleaned up he thought that perhaps we ought to sell the land to the state. Now, that's an interesting argument. Alan, I believe, tagged this as the most expensive parking lot in state history. That's probably not correct, there are probably more expensive ones in Chicago but it's an interesting Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Perry, Representative Ralph Dunn."

Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I join with Representative Richmond in urging you to vote for this. This is not a Carbondale Bill or a Carbondale parking lot, this is a parking lot for the National Guard. The National Guard is a state agency and there's not parking on the streets in the...adjacent to the armory. This is badly needed for the health and safety and welfare of the people of the area and the National Guardsmen who devote a lot of their time to practicing and training to be available for emergencies. We've even had our National Guard go to Chicago once in a while to help out with some problems up there. I urge that you vote for the Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Greisheimer."

Greisheimer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "He indicates he will."

Greisheimer: "I'd like to find out, is this a standard armory used for National Guard purposes in training?"

Richmond: "Yes, it is. That's the explicit use for it..."

Greisheimer: "All right and therefore these people only train one weekend a month?"



Richmond: "No, that may be true for the bulk of them but there's offices there, there's training going on a lot more than one weekend a month and there's a great amount of equipment stored there for the entire area for emergencies."

Greisheimer: "Well now, is this equipment stored on existing property or are you talking about using this money to purchase parking lots to store equipment on?"

Richmond: "The equipment is presently stored, of course, on the existing property owned by this state agency and I stress it is a state agency. They just simply need more room to attempt to solve the problems that they now have."

Greisheimer: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to speak very briefly to the Bill here. I believe there's two of us in this Legislature right now, Representative Mahar and myself, that are extremely active in the National Guard. And generally speaking, I would support this type of Bill, but I'd have to say that with the fact that almost all the guard units only exercise on a Saturday and Sunday once each month, with the balance of the program being at a rather minimal personnel participation, it would seem to me this would be hardly the type of investment to make just for the benefit of two days workout during the weekend. Now, when those National Guardsmen come into Carbondale, they probably come in from all over Southern Illinois and possibly the entire State of Illinois. And they're bringing in purchasing power, they use their restaurants there, they're bringing in taxing revenues and it would seem to me that this would be most appropriate of the City of Carbondale to bear. They've already got a nice state school there that brings in lots of money to them and I think that this would be just appropriate for them to take care of themselves. It's not a large amount of money and I'm sure they'd be able to afford it, especially at the benefit of the bargain price. I don't think it's a good investment for state funds."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative DiPrima."

DiPrima: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, from



what I see of this Bill here, Senator Johns will offer an Amendment charging the source of funds from the General Revenue Fund to the Capital Development Fund so for a mere 40 thousand dollars I know that the National Guard will make very good use of this property and I would heartily endorse this legislation."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 1243 pass?"

All those in favor will vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'nay'. The Gentleman from Kane, Representative Waddell."

Waddell: "I had a question that I'm concerned with, that is as to the ownership of the armories. Are they our property or are they..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "State of Illinois."

Waddell: "They are? Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from DeKalb, Representative Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I haven't voted as yet but perhaps the Sponsor could tell us the size of this lot in explaining his vote. What are we talking about in terms of the size of the property?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Richmond."

Richmond: "Yes, I can't give you the dimensions in footage. There are three large city lots, is the best information I have on that, Representative Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Well, Mr. Speaker, at this point in time, based on we don't know what the size of the lot is, based on the discussion that's been held here, I'm going to have to vote 'no'. Perhaps it would be appropriate some time in the future but not at this point in time."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Matijeich."

Matijeich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this matter was heard in the Appropriations Committee and I think we're making a lot of fuss about it because of the mention of the litter situation. That's just incidental because it's a vacant area and there has been a litter problem, but



the fact remains that there are parking problems there and by the purchase of it we will eliminate that parking problem. If a commercial development, for example, did finally build there some day, there would be added parking problems. So I think that it is wise that we do pass this Bill so that the amount of 40 thousand dollars can be appropriated for, what I think, is a purpose that we ought to use those funds for. So, I would urge more lights. I think we're making a red herring out of nothing."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Randolph, Representative Birchler."

Birchler: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This piece of property is a good investment for the State of Illinois. The seller of this property is selling it at a lesser price than he probably would get for it as commercial property. There's going to be the day that we need that as a parking area and I sure would like to see more green votes up there."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mahar."

Mahar: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise to support the Bill but before I speak I wanted to say that it is not a conflict of interest because I don't belong to the National Guard anymore. I think that while this wasn't on the priorities for the Capital Development Board this year, which amounted to 1.1 million dollars for guard purposes, it was a low priority but if the land is available, in many cases we're talking about areas and municipalities where land doesn't become available. And if it's any sizable amount of land that can be purchased for 40 thousand dollars for use of that guard installation, which is going to be there from now on, it may be increased...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 99 'ayes', 36 'no', 4 recorded as 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared



passed. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Beatty, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Beatty: "Mr. Speaker, I was off the floor when the prior Bill was called, Senate Bill 1209 and my...I was voted 'yes' when I wanted to vote 'no' and I'd appreciate leave of the House if I could change this to a 'no' vote. It doesn't effect the result, there were 112 'yes' votes on that Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Does the Gentleman have leave? Hearing no objection it's so changed. Senate Bill 1097. Representative Leverenz. Leverenz."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1097. A Bill for an Act to amend the Vehicle Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "One moment. Representative Molloy."

Molloy: "I'd like leave of the House to be recorded as voting 'aye' on Senate Bill 1209."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman asks leave. Are there any objections? Hearing none, the Gentleman is so recorded. Representative Meyer, same request? Same result. Record Meyer and Molloy as 'aye' on 1209. Representative Beatty. I'm sorry, Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I ask leave of the House for purposes of an Amendment that we've prepared and has been distributed on the floor three or four days ago. I ask leave of the House to take Senate Bill 1097 back to Second Reading for the Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any objection? The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Daniels."

Daniels: "Yeah, before we ask on the leave of the House, I'd like to know what the Amendment is and what it does."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Certainly."

Daniels: "And I might add that we have to take it back to Second Reading because I told you personally in Committee that we would provide detailed information on any cost savings as you asked for a Fiscal Note."



Leverenz: "I have it right here, I thank you."

Daniels: "We did get it to you?"

Leverenz: "I'm sure...This would eliminate from the Bill, I believe it's five classifications in second division, the a, b, c, d, e and f classifications. where the 95% of reassignment of those types of plates are made. We would take that out of the Bill and the Bill then would address itself to specifically those plates that would be conforming to the international registration plan. And in short, that is a program that the Secretary of State's Office has been working on to eliminate some of the 189 different classifications of second division plates and they're trying to lower the total number of plates that are being issued."

Daniels: "Has the Secretary of State's Office taken a position on the Amendment?"

Leverenz: "Yes, they have. That's why the Amendment was put together."

Daniels: "What is their position?"

Leverenz: "With this Amendment..."

Daniels: "If you put the Amendment on as to the Amendment #2, what is the position of the Secretary of State?"

Leverenz: "They withdraw their opposition."

Daniels: "They are neutral on the Bill, in other words."

Leverenz: "That's correct."

Daniels: "So, they..."

Leverenz: "The only opposition then is the Department of Transportation."

Daniels: "So, how does this really change it at all? They're not opposed to the Bill now, are they? And doesn't the Amendment only reduce the classifications by only 34? Maybe you could take it out of the record for a while."

Leverenz: "Well, I think we could take it back and we could discuss the Amendment or put the Amendment on..."

Daniels: "No, I'd like to take...have him take it out of the record before we take it back and then you could bring it up after we have a chance to talk."



Speaker Lechowicz: "What's the request of the Sponsor?"

Leverenz: "I'll take it out and we'll bring it back in about 15 minutes, if the Chair would grant me that."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Fine, take it out of the record. Senate Bill 1295. Representative Campbell. Take it out of the record. Senate Bill 1301. Representative Brummer. Take it out of the record. Senate Bill 1310. Representative Getty."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1310. A Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Getty."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, Senate Bill 1310 is a Bill which amends the Deceptive Practices Act. to further provide that when a person fraudulently, with the intent to defraud, goes into a financial institution and opens an account or obtains credit, he commits a misdemeanor. It further provides that if you fraudulently possess an identification card for a cash dispensing machine without the authority of the true owner, you are guilty of a misdemeanor. All of the subsections are specific intent crimes. They address themselves to a new type of fraudulent acts as part of our...in one respect a new type of cash dispensing machine and also the frauds, the rip-offs that occur by opening up phony accounts in order to cash checks, which are usually also phonies. It further prohibits possession with intent to commit a fraud of check certifying implements. I would ask for a favorable Roll Call on this Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill 1310 pass? All those in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 138 'ayes', 2 'nays', none recorded 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1319."



Representative Mahar."

Hall: "Senate Bill 1319. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Environmental Protection Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mahar."

Mahar: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 1319 amends the Section 27 of the E.P.A. Act for the purpose of maintaining an integrated and united state and federal pollution control program in the areas of air pollution and water pollution. Senate Bill 1319 would allow the Pollution Control Board to expedite its rulemaking procedure and adopt a federal standard which apply to this state. In this way Illinois could retain its primary role in air pollution and relieve effected industries from the uncertainty of...of having to have two permits. This... The second part of the Bill would allow the board to adopt a National Primary Drinking Water Regulations of the Safe Drinking Act. There are a few specific provisions that I'd like to call your attention to. The board may conduct no more than two public hearings. The board must either adopt the entire standard or reject it. If the board doesn't act within 180 days the Federal Regulation becomes effective anyway and this waives the requirement of the Institute of Environmental Quality from preparing economic statements. Basically, what we're talking about is we're going to have to adopt the Federal Regulations anyway. We're going to get them adopted, I think a little more expeditiously, we're going to relieve the possibility of two permits and above all, we're going to give the State of Illinois the right to administratively handle the regulations. I'll try to answer any questions and urge its adoption."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan: "I'm not quite sure I understand the Bill and I would like to ask the Sponsor, what is the reasoning that would lead us



to put ourselves in the position of simply adopting the federal standards for this state agency. We do it here in apparently three instances. Don't we run the danger really of having the State E.P.A. simply become, in effect, a federal administrative agency?"

Mahar: "Well, it seems that right now in the area, for example, that the E.P.A. deals in regard to the coal producing, our standards are more strict than the federal standards. The federal standards will become effective, I think, this month if I recall. We have covered several other Bills previously, last night, that are...were initiated because of the federal standards. This requires the Pollution Control Board to expedite the procedure and industry is concerned about the dual permit system. Now, we're going to have to live under the federal standards regardless, unfortunately."

Houlihan: "Why is that?"

Mahar: "Well, because if we don't adopt the Act they become effective regardless and the federal government would administer the Act. If we adopt the Act..."

Houlihan: "Well they don't become applicable to the state agency, they become enforceable by the U.S. E.P.A. but not the State E.P.A. Isn't that correct?"

Mahar: "The state has to adopt the federal standards in order to enforce them, in order to administer them. And it's felt that the flexibility and common sense that could be used by the state agency would be...enhance our dealings with business and industry. I might add that the E.P.A. is in favor of it. Industry is concerned about the dual permit system. We've been talking to them up to not more than 40 minutes ago and they don't have any objections."

Houlihan: "Do I understand the Bill correctly, that if the Pollution Control Board does not reject the federal standard within 180 days of its promulgation by the U.S. E.P.A., that standard then becomes law in Illinois?"



Mahar: "That is correct... For those areas that identify, the national drinking water standards and the air pollution standards."

Houlihan: "Even if those federal standards should be less strict than what has already been promulgated by the State E.P.A.?"

Mahar: "That is correct."

Houlihan: "Well, I really think that raises a pretty serious question. We are placing this state agency in the posture of having the Federal Government, in effect, dictate what are going to be the standards here in Illinois. Couldn't we structure this Bill in a different fashion, that you do not automatically adopt the federal standards until such time as the State E.P.A. determines that those standards are in the best interest of the people of this state."

Mahar: "Well, they are going to hold two hearings. It is generally felt that the uniform standards that would be adopted, in some cases, would be less stringent than the standards that we have been living under."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any further discussion?"

Houlihan: "Well, I'd like to address the Bill, if I may, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Proceed."

Houlihan: "I think that the Membership should realize here that we are making a decision really on the basis... a question about... It really gets down to a question of state rights as to who is going to have the authority as applied to the citizens of this state, what are going to be the applicable standards in environmental control. I really think that the way the Bill is postured is the wrong way. We should not automatically adopt federal standards unless those standards are found, after a hearing within 180 days from their promulgation by the U.S. E.P.A., that those standards are going to be applicable in this state. Whether they should be applicable or not should be determined in the first instance as to their reasonableness as applied here in this state. And I really have a question about the Bill, I am going to vote 'no' and I think others should also."



Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Marovitz."

Marovitz: "Will the Sponsor yield? Representative Mahar, does an Amendment that was adopted make the requirement for a public hearing permissive rather than mandatory, so that in fact, they could hold a closed hearing rather than a public hearing?"

Mahar: "The Amendment #1, adopted in the Senate, is one that refers, I think, to the 180 days. They struck the 120 days and changed it to 180 days. To my knowledge, that's the only Amendment that's on there."

Marovitz: "But according to the Digest it makes a requirement for a public hearing permissive rather than mandatory and I think this is something that we're trying to get away from and it would be very important to get the correct answer on this."

Mahar: "Well, if they hold a hearing it has to be public. Maybe in further explanation I might give an example of what we're talking about here. I think this is analogous to a municipality adopting state regulations by reference. Many municipalities adopt the bulk of code, they buy reference because that's a standard by which we have uniformity. This is somewhat similar to that. We adopt plumbing regulations, we adopt fire regulations by reference. This is the same procedure in which the Pollution Control Board will not wait for a four or five year study by the Institute of Environmental Quality and adopt the regulations because, unfortunately, because of the people in Congress who keep shoving these things down at us, we're required to operate under these standards regardless. Now, if we don't adopt them they're going to become effective. And then we will not be administering those regulations. If we adopt them then at least we have the flexibility of...of administering them. And I also might add, it's been...it's been felt in some areas, some I alluded to earlier, that our state standards have been stronger than what the federal requirements are. In this case they either have to adopt them in total or reject them. And the only alternative to rejection is



the fact that the federal standards will prevail under federal jurisdiction."

Marovitz: "Representative Mahar, are the standards that we are going to be talking about going to be higher or lower than the present standards that we are...that are instituted in the State of Illinois?"

Mahar: "In the area of safe drinking water regulations, I don't think we have any real standards. In the area of pollution control, I'm sorry to say, I can't answer that. Maybe I can get the answer for you."

Marovitz: "Well, maybe we ought to take the Bill out of the record so we can get some answers to these problems that are crucial to the thrust of this piece of legislation as well as to whether a meeting must be...must be open rather than permissive. I think these questions have to be answered and perhaps if we can get them answered there may not be any objections and maybe we could take this Bill out of the record until we can get a chance to find out the answers to these questions."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady from Lake, Mrs. Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill is supported by the E.P.A. and Pollution Control Board and surely if they thought there was any particular lessening of any standards they would be violently opposed to it. I think that we have to keep in mind that this is a Bill that establishes a special method to deal with the new source performance standards. And it does go into the National Primary Drinking Water Regulations, National Standards for Hazardous Air Pollution and what have you and I think we've got to face it that we need this. And soon the new federal standards will be in effect and if we don't adopt the standards they will be enforced by the Federal E.P.A. anyway. So you can appreciate the fact that this Bill has the goal of preventing a dual permit system and it gives the Illinois E.P.A. authority to issue the permits for the program. And thus removes the need for federal enforcement and you and I both know, Ladies



and Gentlemen of this House, that the federal requirements are far 'laxer' and more liberal than the Illinois standards. And I'd like to speak affirmatively for the Bill for its support."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentlemen from Cook, Representative Mahar, to close. I'm sorry, Mrs. Satterthwaite was seeking recognition.

"The Lady from Champaign, Mrs. Satterthwaite."

Satterthwaite: "Would the Sponsor yield for a question, please?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "He indicates he will."

Satterthwaite: "Representative, one of the questions that has come to my attention in the 52nd Legislative District has to do with grain elevators and the fact that many of the grain elevators quite recently had to comply with state standards. And then, just about the time they came into compliance and put a fairly substantial financial investment into that the Federal Government came forth with a new set of federal regulations. And they would now be required to make another additional financial sacrifice in order to comply with those rules and regulations. Does this Bill mean that we would automatically adopt those federal regulations and could possibly even bypass the hearing process so that there would be no public input into whether or not those federal regulations would be adopted?"

Mahar: "The...if the adoption of the federal regulations would mean that there would be only one permit and only one criteria... Now, unfortunately or fortunately, as the case may be, depending on how you look at it, we have to adopt this federal standard. And in order to avoid the two permit system that you eluded to, in which the state had one set of regulations and the federal government another...And this is what industry is concerned about and been concerned about, the fact that they've got to get two permits and give...send a double amount of money in this area and add to the confusion. Now, the principle of whether we want to take the federal control or not is something I think all of us are very concerned about. We find ourselves every day here in the General Assembly, just last



night we passed Senate Bill 681, which was an adoption of the federal standards in another area and it was passed 143 to 0. This is the same general principle. What we're trying to do... trying to say here, it's better in Illinois, at this particular time, to have our local E.P.A. administer the Federal Act than to have them administer the Act and us to have our own Act working separately. Now, we do have the right to make stronger standards on our own, if we so desire. I think there's been a general feeling in this Body, over the five years that I've sat here, that we've had some concern about our state standards. And we've wanted more flexibility, we wanted to have the ability to administer them on a one on one basis and I think this would provide some of that."

Satterthwaite: "Well, I guess I'm still concerned that if we bypass the hearing process and allow the automatic adoption of the federal standards, we may really never get the public input that would be helpful in trying to get some changes in federal legislation, which might be very justified. And it seems to me that this might be a step backwards because we would then automatically accept those standards without any kind of public input."

Mahar: "The Bill calls for two public hearings."

Satterthwaite: "I thought you indicated earlier that that was only permissive and not mandatory, however."

Mahar: "The Bill calls for two public hearings and I have nothing of the contrary in the notes that I have. A previous Representative said that there was an Amendment adopted that called for permissive...public hearings that could be closed or open. All the hearings will be public hearings and the only Amendment that I have is the one that changed the time limit from 120 days to 180 days."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 1319 pass? all those in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Gentleman from Will, Representative



Leinenweber, to explain his vote. The timer is on."

Leinenweber: "Well, Mr. Speaker, the issue here is whether you want a federal bureaucrat to enforce these standards, which are going to be the same regardless of what we do, or a state bureaucrat. Now, it appears to me the answer, when you state that question, you answered it. So I would suggest you vote 'aye' unless you want your people back home to go and have to deal with the Federal Government and all that that entails."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 67 'ayes', 51 'nays', 14 recorded as 'present'. This Bill, having failed to receive the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared lost. Senate Bill 1322. Representative Giorgi."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1322. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Income Tax Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Take it out of the record temporarily. He's coming? All right. The Lady from LaSalle, Mrs. Hoxsey, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Hoxsey: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to have leave of the House to be recorded as 'aye' on Senate Bill 1209."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady asks leave to be recorded as 'aye' on 1209. Any objections? Hearing none, the Lady will be so recorded. The Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Campbell."

Campbell: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like to ask leave to be changed from 'no' to 'aye' on House Bill 1209."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any objections? Hearing none, so recorded. Senate Bill 1209... Senate Bill 1295, right? 1209? Okay. Is Zeke Giorgi here? Representative Darrow will handle the Bill."

Darrow: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 1322 amends the Income Tax Act. What it does is require the Director of the Department of Revenue to give written notice to a taxpayer when the United States or another



state requests income tax information. I'll be glad to answer any questions and ask for a favorable vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill 1322 pass? All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 129 'ayes', no 'nays', 4 recorded as 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1338. Representative Friedland."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1338. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Vehicle Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Friedland: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 1338, as amended, would require a \$10 late filing fee for reports in the motor carriers. And also it reduces the hassle of a family stock controlled transaction. It passed the Committee unanimously and I urge your favorable consideration."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill 1338 pass? All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 139 'ayes', 1 'nay', 2 recorded as 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1342. Representative Bartulis."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1342. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections and to repeal Sections of the Fish Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Bartulis."

Bartulis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. What this Bill does is authorize the Department of Conservation to authorize, by news release, the taking of fish from any area with imminent danger of loss of the fish that exist."



It also allows the use of dip nets for noncommercial smelt fishing in Lake Michigan. It increases the length of a catfish which may legally be taken from 3 lengths to a larger 13 inch undressed and a 9 inch dressed. And it repeals Sections of... well the other day I put an Amendment on this and it deleted a few things of use of rakes and put it back in for Senators McClain and Neff. and some clean up wording for the Department of Conservation. And I ask for a Roll Call."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative McBroom."

McBroom: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "In indicates he will."

McBroom: "Representative Bartulis, Representative Telcser is going over some important papers here. He wonders if this will have any effect on the gefilte fish market, can you answer that?"

Bartulis: "Is that a jewish catfish?"

McBroom: "Is that a jewish catfish? Yes, I'm advised it is."

Bartulis: "Yes, it doesn."

McBroom: "Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Peters."

Peters: "Representative McBroom, for your information, it's only if the catfish has a breast."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 1342 pass? All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 143 'ayes', no 'nays', none recorded as 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1343. Representative Greisheimer."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1343. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Boat Registration and Safety Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Greisheimer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 1343 merely redefines the safety provisions for the operation of motor boats



with regard to flotation devices. This Bill was passed in the last General Assembly and we had incorrectly defined this so that it applied only to people that used motor boats. This redefines it saying anyone that operates a motor boat must have proper flotation devices in there for safety purposes. It's been adopted by the Department of Conservation as a safety method and it's certainly supported by most voters, I would urge its adoption."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Madison, Representative Byers."

Byers: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "He indicates he will."

Byers: "Representative Leinenweber, will this be mandated state program?"

Greisheimer: "Representative Leinenweber sits right in the front up there, Representative Sangmeister is in the Senate and I'm the third half of the German Mafia, Greisheimer is the name."

Byers: "I'm sorry, I'll repeat the question. Will this be a mandated state program?"

Greisheimer: "This will be a mandated state safety regulation."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any further discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill 1343 pass? All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 139 'ayes', 8 'nays', 2 recorded as 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1367. Representative Deavers."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1367. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act relating to alcoholic liquors. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "George, is the coach around? Representative Deavers. Take it out of the record. Senate Bill 1373. Representative Rigney."



Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1373. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Revenue Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Stevenson, Representative Rigney."

Rigney: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is a Bill that probably a lot of your assessors have been contacting you on and asking you to vote against. However, an agreement has been reached between the Township Officials Association and the Department of Local Government Affairs. What the Bill now calls for is that after January 1 of 1978 a CIAO must complete 60 hours of training to continue to be eligible for the \$300 state bonus. It also provides another \$120 of training in the next four years. It provides that the courses be designed and approved by the Department. The Bill was amended on the floor to say that at least one session of the institute must be held in a county of over 3 million."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Hudson."

Hudson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. First, I think I should say that I feel that the Senate Sponsor and the House Sponsor of this particular Bill are very, very excellent people and... But I did have some questions, yes, from my assessors. And Harlan, I would...I would ask you two or three questions here. My understanding is that the Illinois Property Assessments Institute has been a non-for-profit organization, which have trained these assessors in the past. Is that correct?"

Rigney: "That is true."

Hudson: "Now, under the provisions of the Bill and in addition to that, they received some additional money for having been graduates or having been trained, taking courses from this institute. Now, under the provisions of the Bill, wouldn't we... the possibility here of duplicating these...they're still going to receive training, are they not, of some kind... additional training?"



Rigney: "Yes."

Hudson: "They all recognize it's necessary?"

Rigney: "Yes, I think probably what you're getting around to is that under the original Bill, of course, the institute would have been cut out of this thing. Now, they are no longer cut out. In fact, the Bill provides that they will continue to provide the training. I might just mention that the institute is made up, as I understand, of one representative from the Department of Local Government Affairs, one representative of the Supervisor of Assessments Office and three Assessors. They are very much a part of this thing, under the Amendment."

Hudson: "I think the question would have to then be whether or not this would result in increased costs to the state because it would seem to me that we are duplicating some training facilities here. Is that correct?"

Rigney: "No, I wouldn't see that there's going to be any significant change in it. The most significant change, Representative Hudson, is the fact that we are requiring if they...if they want to receive the \$300 bonus, we are saying, 'Okay, you're going to have to go to school in order to get it.' The school will be very similar to what it is right now, conducted by the same assessment institute. So, I see really nothing here that's going to increase the costs of this."

Hudson: "I may have been misinformed. I thought that the Department was going to take over the... Is that the way it originally was, perhaps?"

Rigney: "Well, originally the Bill started out in the Senate, it was simply going to remove the \$300 subsidy that was given to these various assessors. They've got it amended a couple of times along the way. However, now the Bill, as I say, is in an agreed form between the Township Officials Organization and the Department. The Department's role in here, by the way, is merely one to approve of the courses that are going to be conducted by the institute. In other words, if the State of Illinois is going to shell out \$300 to these



assessors throughout the state, I think it's entirely reasonable to expect that they can at least see what type of a school is being conducted by the institute."

Hudson: "Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Kelly."

Kelly: "I was just going to ask a question that has been answered and that's, you say in its amended form now that the assessors... organization and the assessors are in support of the Bill?"

Rigney: "Yes, in fact..."

Kelly: "Because I've only received opposing views, as I remember."

Rigney: "No, the Amendment was hammered out between the Department of Local Government Affairs and the Township Officials Organization. And it was an agreed Amendment brought to me, as the House Sponsor and we put that on in the form of Amendment #1, in Committee."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "I must have been caught sleeping at the switch because I haven't seen this Bill before. I wonder if the Sponsor could tell us what's wrong with the law now?"

Rigney: "What we're trying to do is, that if someone is going to hold a CIAO title, what we want to make sure is that are continuing to receive educational updating in the business of assessing. This is what is not required under the law right now. And this, I think, is a significant change. I think it's entirely reasonable that if the subsidy is going to be continued to be paid out every year, certainly you can not just merely pass the course one time and assume that you never have to update it again. And...the requirement for the continuing training is really, I think, the heart of the Bill."

Skinner: "Well, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Proceed."

Skinner: "There are two approaches to assessment reform. One is finding out, putting qualified people in positions and hoping for the best. And that's what this approach does. The



second approach is...can combine this but it requires performance standards. Now you can have the most qualified person in the world, a Phd in Assessing, if that is possible and if the guy is corrupt he's still going to have lousy assessment. Or, if the guy is...doesn't want to do his job, if he just wants to copy last year's books or plug in...plug in some variable into a computer...I really think that if this is being offered as anything that's going to improve assessments, that it is being falsely advertised. What is really needed is that we tell the assessors what we want them to come out with after the assessment are done by statistical majors. And if they don't come out with that we could kick them out of office. Now, there are forces in this General Assembly from Chicago that are afraid of this. And for that reason, no such Bill has gotten out of Committee. But I just...this is not going to make... this is not going to measurably increase the quality of assessments in Illinois and I would tell the administration, as forcibly as I know how that if they want to be part of the solution, rather than part of the problem, they're going to have to come up with something significantly better than this."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Boucek."

Boucek: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question. All those... Could you hold that just for a second? Mrs. Geo-Karis has a very important question."

Geo-Karis: "Sponsor will yield? You said that you have corrected what the objections were from the assessors. I've had letters from out local assessors on this Bill. Now, will you tell me what their objection was and how you corrected it, that's all, because I didn't quite understand that."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Rigney."

Rigney: "Well, Representative Geo-Karis, originally the Bill was just



simply going to take the \$300 subsidy away from them. So obviously that was the first red flag. Well then later on the effect was going to be to eliminate the institute. And this also was a sore subject with the assessment people. They felt that the assessment institute, which they helped to operate themselves, has really been doing a fairly good job of training assessors, for those who will avail themselves of it. So they were very desirous that the assessment institute not be eliminated. So finally, when we put the compromise together the \$300 was back in, the assessment institute was back in. The confession, on the part of the assessors and the assessment people, was to agree with the department that first of all, there should be continuing training. I think that's that most significant part of it. And as a result of this that compromise was hammered out and we now have an agreed Bill between those two parties."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, the Sponsor has answered my question favorably and I will support the Bill. Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any further discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill 1373 pass? All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Lady from Cook, Mrs. Macdonald... Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 95 'ayes', 18 'nays', 28 recorded as 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Now we'll revert back to the Calendar. House Bills, Third Reading, which is located on page 2. For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Bluthardt, seek recognition?"

Bluthardt: "Well, Mr. Speaker, the very last Bill on Third Reading is 1379, my Bill and I can't understand why you'd skip and go back to some other Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "We're on the Priority of Call and that does not reflect on the Priority of Call."



Bluthardt: "You better call it today, I might not be here tomorrow."

Speaker Lechowicz: "You won't be the only one missing. House Bills,
Third Reading. House Bill 160. Representative Telcser.
Adeline, what can we do for you?"

Geo-Karis: "I had to step out when you were having the vote on
1322 and I wonder if I could have unanimous consent to
vote 'aye' on 1322. Senate Bill 1322. They called me out,
what am I going to do?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "1322 was that? The Lady asks leave. Hearing
no objections, the Lady is so recorded."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 160. A Bill for an Act making an
appropriation to the Illinois Law Enforcement Personnel
Employment Board. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, House Bill 160 is
150 thousand dollar appropriation for the purpose of the Law
Enforcement Personnel's Collective Bargaining Bill, which the
House passed out earlier. I'd appreciate a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook,
Representative Dan Houlihan."

Houlihan: "I have a question of the Sponsor, if he'll yield."

Speaker Lechowicz: "He indicates he will."

Houlihan: "What's the total amount of the appropriation, please?"

Telcser: "I think it's 150 thousand dollars."

Houlihan: "And is this amount in the Governor's budget?"

Telcser: "I don't know, I don't think it is."

Houlihan: "Well, Mr. Telcser, as one of the most fiscally responsible
Republicans on this side of the aisle, I think you should
know that answer."

Telcser: "I'm on this side of the aisle, not your side."

Houlihan: "On your side is what I was referring to. Now, unless
the Republican leader, Mr. Telcser, can give us an answer on
that, I think then we should vote 'no' on the Bill."

Telcser: "Representative Houlihan, I respect and appreciate the
fact that after five and a half months you've now come to realize that



there is a budget in this state and we only have so much money to spend and we can't spend beyond it..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Let's stop the colloquy and let's just present the Bill. Let's not get the House into an uproar. House Bill 160, please."

Telcser: "I'm not getting the House into an uproar... If the Gentleman wishes to vote 'no' and the Assembly does, that's fine. I hope this sets a precedent for the Gentleman from Cook for the rest of this Session to stay within the Governor's budget. And if it took House Bill 160 to make that point to Representative Houlihan, then I feel I've accomplished something."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall House Bill 160 pass? All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'nay'. Record me as 'aye'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 112 'ayes', 32 'nays', 1 recorded as 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. At the request of the Sponsor House Bill 1349 is out of the record. House Bill 1599. Representative Kempiners."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1599. A Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Will, Representative Kempiners."

Kempiners: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, the Clerk indicated this is the ordinary and contingent Appropriation Bill for the Department of Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities. The bottom line figure is 406 million 980 thousand 500 dollars. We went through a little trauma yesterday on Second Reading. The Amendment that you received mail on from the consumers was added. I would ask for your support and passage of this Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Macon, Representative Dunn."



Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

I would just like to add my support to this Bill in its present form. The Amendments that have been somewhat controversial have been debated and are on the Bill at this time and I ask for a favorable Roll Call, as well, in its present form."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall House Bill 1599 pass?

All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'nay'.

Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished?

The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 144 'ayes', 3 'nays', 5 recorded as 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2269. Representative Ryan."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2269. A Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the Commission to revise and rewrite the Public Aid Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 2269 is the appropriation for House Bill 2313 that we passed last week. It's for 200 thousand dollars for the Commission that rewrites and studies the Public Aid Department. I would ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Macon, Representative Dunn."

Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would just like to speak briefly in opposition to this Bill. We have a Commission already that has been looking into this public aid situation for some time and I don't think we need another Commission to rewrite the Public Aid Bill. I urge a 'no' vote on this Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Dan Houlihan."

Houlihan: "A question of the Sponsor, if he'll yield."

Speaker Lechowicz: "He indicates he will."

Ryan: "Certainly."



Houlihan: "The appropriation here is 200 thousand dollars, Representative Ryan. Is this in the Governor's budget?"

Ryan: "Certainly it is not and you know it's not, Dan."

Speaker Lechowicz: "It's a good government Bill. The question is, shall House Bill 2269 pass? All those in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Willer... Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 112 'ayes', 32 'nays', 5 recorded as 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2397. Representative Kane."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2397. A Bill..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Oh, I'm sorry. Did you want to have your Bill called? House Bill 2378."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2378. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to increase appropriations to the Judge's Retirement System. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Dan Houlihan."

Houlihan: "This appropriation measure is a supplemental appropriation to the Judge's Retirement System. The appropriation is for 265 thousand dollars. And just like Representative Ryan's prior Bill and Representative Telcser's prior Bill, the amount is not in the Governor's budget."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Who's first, Representative Ryan or Telcser?"

Houlihan: "The purpose of the Bill is to the effect that there were some 55 more Judges retired in December of 1976 than had been anticipated. And the cost of the additional number of retirees is 265 thousand and this is the supplemental appropriation here and I'd ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise in support of Representative Houlihan's Bill. I think it's an excellent Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Telcser."



Telcser: "Yeah, what is it you wanted? Did you call me?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall House Bill 2378 pass?

All those in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'nay'.

Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished?

The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 101 'ayes', 26 'nays', 14 recorded as 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Now House Bill 2397. Representative Kane."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2397. A Bill for an Act reorganizing the Department of Law Enforcement. Third Reading of the Bill."

Kane: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 2397 implements the Governor's reorganization order...Executive Order, reorganizing the Department of Law Enforcement. There are a number of significant differences between the provisions of House Bill 2397 and the Governor's Executive Order. Let me mention them briefly. These are changes that have been talked about with the Governor's Office and there is agreement with the Governor's Office to go with these changes. First of all, the State Police Merit Board is maintained as the merit board for the Department of Law Enforcement. It is enlarged to two members and the membership of the merit board will elect their own chairman and the chairman will not be designated by the Governor. The Office of the State Fire Marshall is taken out of the Department of Law Enforcement as is the Boiler Inspection. And under House Bill 2409, this office is set up separately, outside of the Department of Law Enforcement. House Bill 2397 also mandates the transfer of the existing personnel in the existing divisions of the Department of Law Enforcement to the new divisions created by the Executive Order and by this Bill. The language on internal investigations in the Division of Internal Investigations in the Department of Law Enforcement, their powers and duties are limited to official misconduct. And the general criminal investigations of that



division are eliminated. Also, the power of the Director of Law Enforcement to hire and promote investigators for a period of three years from now until 1980, is limited to only 20 investigators with special qualifications. And the general power of the Director of Law Enforcement to hire and promote investigators for a period of three years is limited. Those are the differences between House Bill 2397 and the Governor's Executive Order. If there are any other questions concerning the Bill, I would be happy to answer them. Otherwise, I would ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The Lady from St. Clair, Mrs. Stiehl."

Stiehl: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in support of House Bill 2397. When the Governor submitted his Executive Order for the reorganization of the Department of Law Enforcement, he made a commitment to the Legislature that he would submit conforming legislation. As amended, House Bill 2397 provides the tracking legislation for the reorganization of the Department of Law Enforcement and I would ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Kosinski."

Kosinski: "A question of the Sponsor."

Speaker Lechowicz: "He'll yield."

Kosinski: "If I remember correctly, on the Appropriation Bill, in the Appropriations Committee, Mr. Hanahan had recommended a certain language be removed from that and put in a substantive Bill. Had you heard anything about that?"

Kane: "Yes, that language was put in to House Bill 2397 by Amendment #16."

Kosinski: "Thank you very much."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall House Bill 2397 pass?"

All those in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'nay'.

Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wish?

The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 150 'ayes', 1 'nay', none recorded as 'present'. This Bill,



having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2403. The Gentleman asks leave to bring that Bill back to Second Reading for an Amendment. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, with leave of the House, we did forget one Amendment for Representative Jack Williams. So, if we could bring it back to Second and put the Amendment on and move it back to Third?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Hearing none, Second Reading."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #6. Taylor. Amends House Bill 2403 on page 3, by inserting after Section 14, 'Section 14.1' and so forth."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The...one moment. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Taylor."

Taylor: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Amendment #6 to House Bill 2403 is for 500 thousand dollars for the Department of Conservation for the establishment and operation of urban parks. And I solicit your support for Amendment #6 to House Bill 2403."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Jim, let's take this Bill out of the record momentarily. The...Mr. Clerk, did you hear me? Okay. The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, a few moments ago I was off the floor and one of my Bills, Senate Bill 1322, was called up and passed. And I was holding that Bill on Third Reading because I was waiting for an Amendment. So, I'd like to move...and I can't move because I wasn't here, unless they voted me... Did you vote me? I'd like leave of the House to reconsider that Bill, 1322 and move it...put it back on Third Reading rather than pass it out of the House today because I'm waiting for an Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman was the principal Sponsor and it was called inadvertently. I recognized Representative Darrow by mistake. I thought he was the Sponsor as well. Representative Jaffe moves to reconsider the vote by which



Senate Bill 1322 was passed. All those in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'nay'. Yes, we do... Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 133 'ayes', no 'nays', 1 recorded as 'present'. 'Senate Bill 1322 is now on the Order of Third Reading, been moved reconsidered and adopted. House Bill 2409. Representative Kane."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2409. A Bill for an Act to create the Office of the State Fire Marshall. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Sangamon, Representative Kane."

Kane: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 2409 is a Companion Bill to House Bill 2397. It creates an Office of the State Fire Marshall from underneath the Department of Law Enforcement. It pulls together all the fire functions at the state level into one agency. It includes the present functions of the State Fire Marshall's Office, which is in the Department of Law Enforcement. The functions of the Fire Commission, which is an independent Commission appointed by the Governor and it also takes over the functions of the Boiler Inspections that now lies in the Department of Law Enforcement. I would urge the adoption of House Bill 2409."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "He indicates he will."

Schlickman: "Does this create a new agency of State Government?"

Kane: "Well, that depends on how you look at it, Gene."

Schlickman: "Well, how do you look at it?"

Kane: "No."

Schlickman: "Within which other agency of State Government would the Office of State Fire Marshall be located?"

Kane: "Right now it's located in the Department of Law Enforcement and there is an independent Commission called the Fire Commission



which is out separate. What we have done is pull together the functions of the State Fire Marshall's Office and the Boiler's Inspection and the Fire Commission and put them into one agency headed by the State Fire Marshall. There is no increase in the number of State Agencies."

Schlickman: "But the State Fire Marshall would be taken out of the Department of Law Enforcement and would be independent of any other agency?"

Kane: "That is correct."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, may I speak to the Bill."

Kane: "And the Fire Commission is abolished as an independent agency."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, may I speak to the Bill?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Proceed."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, Government reorganization, in my opinion, doesn't mean an increase in the number of State Agencies. What it means, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, is a reduction in the number of State Agencies so as to reduce the amount of bureaucracy in that exists in the state and also to reduce the number of people who are reporting directly to the Governor. It seems to me, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, this Bill is diametrically opposite of the direction that we should be taking reducing the number of State Agencies. And for that reason, I urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Marion, Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I have to join Representative Schlickman in this matter. We've spent hours during this Session in Committees and other places talking about consolidating the investigative practices of the state. All... We wanted all the investigators to be in one Department in Law Enforcement. Certainly, in the Fire Marshall's Office a big part of their job is investigating fires for purposes...to see if there's any crime involved. So now we take them out and set up another separate investigating



agency. I don't quite understand what's going on around here and I think actually in the other Bill that was involved we couldn't accomplish anything very much either because we kept the separate boards. It's like when we put the Department of Transportation together, we had aeronautics, waterways and highways. Now we've got the Department of Transportation with one Director. We still have aeronautics out at the airport, we still have waterways and we still have highways. I think this all is just a great big paper transaction and really doesn't save anybody and money or any people or any more efficiency."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady from St. Clair, Mrs. Stiehl."

Stiehl: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in support of House Bill 2409. After many hours of meetings and discussions with the Committee on Reorganization and the Governor's Office, it was felt by both the administration and by the select Committee on the Reorganization of State Government, that by combining all of the fire service agencies under one umbrella was the most efficient way of giving really effective fire service, of professionalizing the Fire Marshall's Office and of really improving the service to the taxpayers in this state. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would ask for an 'aye' vote on this."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Kucharski."

Kucharski: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. What added responsibilities to the Office of Fire Marshall would be included under this Bill? Those responsibilities that he doesn't already have under LEA."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Kane."

Kane: "As I understand the question, you're asking what additional duties will the State Fire Marshall take on? He'll take on all the duties presently performed by the Fire Commission, which have to do with education and training programs and so forth for local fire districts around the state."



He would also have control over the boiler inspections."

Kucharski: "The Fire Commission is under what now?"

Kane: "The Fire Commission is an independent board that is appointed by the Governor and responsible to the Governor."

Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Well certainly something needs to be done about the Fire Marshall in this state. The Office, since I've been in the General Assembly, has been marked by a combination of incompetency and conflict of interest. Those of you who may have watched firework plants go up in your districts over the last six years may remember that four plants blew up. One in each of four different consecutive years and the Fire Marshall's Office knew about the existence of none of those four plants prior to their explosion. One of them had even blown up in the early 1950's and they still didn't know where it was. More recently, the State Fire Marshall has come off the payroll of a major oil company and some of us think that perhaps the reason he was appointed to the job that he got was in order to sign an administrative decree legalizing self-service gas stations without any consent from the Illinois Legislature. I think making this an independent agency is probably one of the worst ideas I've seen recently. Certainly this office, among all others, because of its...because of its background of incompetency and conflict of interest needs as many people watching it as possible and I can think of no better place to put it then into the Department of Law Enforcement where at least you won't have to go outside the agency to take the Fire Marshall's Office on when they do something stupid next time."



Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mahar, for one question."

Mahar: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Doug, what does this do in the area of expanding the arson investigators and the arson... responsibility to investigate arson, anything?"

Kane: "The arson...the responsibility for the investigation of arson will remain in the Department of Law Enforcement. The duty for investigating fires of the state...that remain in the Fire Marshall's Office, would be to investigate the origins of a fire. Once the State Fire Marshall's Office has determined...if the State Fire Marshall's Office determines that fire was set by arson or some other criminal kind of activity, the duty for pursuing that case, as a criminal investigation, would remain with the Department of Law Enforcement."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall House Bill 2409 pass? All those in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'nay'. Close."

Kane: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, very quickly to close, some of the objections that have been raised to this Bill have been misdirected. They indicate a complete lack of awareness of what is in the Bill and what it provides for. This Bill, along with House Bill 2397, does not increase the number of State Agencies. What they do is pull together all of the law enforcement functions of government in one agency and this Bill pulls together all of the fire functions of State Government in one agency and I would urge the adoption of this Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 123 'ayes', 33 'nay',



l recorded as 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Back to House Bill 2403. It was on Second Reading, right."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #6. Taylor. Amends House Bill 2403 on page 3 by inserting after Section 14, 'Section 14.1' and so forth."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Taylor. For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mugalian arise?"

Mugalian: "Inquiry as to the germaneness of this motion... this Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The germaneness of this Amendment? Would the Parliamentarian kindly come back to the rostrum? Let me look at the Amendment. Mr. Epstein, would you kindly return to the rostrum? While we're waiting for Mr. Epstein to return we'll let the Clerk read a couple Messages from the Senate."

Clerk O'Brien: "A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House in the adoption of the following Joint Resolution, to wit; House Joint Resolution 46 and House Joint Resolution 47. Concurred in by the Senate, June 17, 1977. Kenneth Wright, Secretary."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Epstein. Lee, come on up. The Amendment is germane. Please proceed with the Amendment, Mr. Taylor."

Taylor: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Amendment #6 appropriates 500 thousand dollars or so much thereof as may be required, appropriated to the Department of Conservation for the establishment and operation of an urban park. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this Bill...Amendment has been



up before. It's a good Amendment, one that I think we need because we do need to create more parks in our area and I solicit your support for our Amendment #6 to House Bill 2403."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mugalian."

Mugalian: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. There are 59 Legislative Districts in this state and all of them have parks and all of them have library districts and municipalities. And it's the general law of this state that puts that kind of improvement, for parks and library districts, you tax the local residents. I happen to live in the Village of Palatine. We would love to have a half a million dollar grant from the State of Illinois. Our taxpayers would love to get a half a million dollars and so would the taxpayers in your hometown, I'm sure. There is no justification for this kind of raid on the treasury. We have poor people, disadvantaged people in the Second Legislative District. We have senior citizens in the Legislative District that I represent that can't afford tax increases. But if we want a park, if we want a new fire house, if we want a village water system, we have to tax ourselves for it. There's no reason on the earth that I can see why one district should get this kind of compensation and all the other districts not. None of us should have it and I hope that this Amendment, that has been brought up I don't know how many times in this House, I hope it's resoundingly defeated."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Taylor."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, I don't think that he's speaking to this Amendment. He talking about a park for my district. This is for urban parks throughout the



State of Illinois, not just for my district."

Speaker Lechowicz: "You're absolutely correct. Would the kindly...just remove his voice from the record there. Or amend him properly. I'm sure there's a word that means the same. He just wants to amend him properly. The Gentleman from Cook, Representaive Mugalian."

Mugalian: "I'm not quite sure...I think I heard what the Speaker said..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "No I didn't."

Mugalian: "But he might want to rephrase it or I can really object."

Speaker Lechowicz: "I said to amend the record properly. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Jim Houlihan. Representative Mugalian."

Mugalian: "I'm not good at hollering. I'm just not sure what the Speaker's remarks were as addressed to my remarks."

Speaker Lechowicz: "No, the only point that Representative Taylor pointed out that this Amendment and he's correct in that nature, addresses the urban parks. throughout the state, not the parks in his district. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Jim Houlihan." Right with you Gene. Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "He indicates he will. One moment please. For what purpose does Representative Gene Barnes seek recognition?"

Barnes: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I've been trying to get your attention. I have asked for this Bill not to be called. This Bill came out of my Committee, it's been on Third, it remained on Third, I asked for it not to be called. I was off of the floor in a meeting. I don't know why



the Bill is being heard. I asked for it not to be called. I did not give any consent for it to be moved back to Second for any Amendments and there are other Amendments for this Bill. And I had specifically requested that it would not be called."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Barnes, at the request of Representative Peters, I called this Bill and in turn he told me there was an agreed Amendment. Okay? Now I...we went from Third to Second... Let me finish. And in turn there is an Amendment #7, I believe, which is an agreed Amendment but prior to getting to 7 we have to address 6. And at this position I'll recognize Representative Peters if my comments are correct or not."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, with all my apologies both to you and to Representative Barnes, it was my understanding and evidently an incorrect understanding, that the agreement generally on the Amendment which might be pending here have been worked out. It was not my understanding that there were more than one Amendment to this Bill. I now find out that there are a number of Amendments, which it would not be the intention of the Sponsor of this, Representative Barnes, or myself to have discussed at this period of time. Because one, of the lateness of the hour and the complications with other Bills that we have pending both in Committee and in the process here. I don't want to put the Chair in any bad light nor to in any way prejudice the case of Representative Taylor in offering whatever Amendments he wants. Nor do I want Representative Barnes to feel that what I did request of the Chair was done for any other reason than a total misunderstanding on my part. And Representative Barnes is absolutely correct in what he has said to the Chair. It was my



error in asking that this one Amendment be taken care of, not realizing that the full agreement on the whole package had not been worked out and I don't think, Mr. Speaker, that we should involve the House with what is going to be, I think, a very lengthy kind of hassle, at this point."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. At this point, if I may, and the reason is...and I should apologize to Representative Peters also. Because it had been brought to my attention the number of other Amendments that were pending for this Bill. Some of the people that have Amendments, I haven't even had a chance to talk to about them because this Bill was put forth from that Committee for a specific purpose. The reason I had requested for it to stay where it was...on Second now. But I would hope that the mover of this Amendment, I would like to request of him, if he would allow me to move this Bill back to Third Reading until we can get some consensus of what Amendments will or will not be offered on this Bill that we can support so we don't go through this whole turmoil on each one of those Amendments trying to attach to this Bill as their vehicle for whatever."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I know of my own knowledge that there will be additional Amendments offered to this Bill and I simply request that after you complete consideration of the Amendments currently before you, if you would leave the Bill right where it's at. Was it on Third Reading before we started?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "It was on Third, it was moved back to

*Done in
initial
the cards*



Second. It's on Second Reading now for the purpose of offering the floor Amendment."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, if you would arrange that this Bill not be called on Third Reading today... Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Taylor. I believe the question is the adoption of Amendment #6 which is... The Bill is presently on Second Reading. The Gentleman is moving the adoption of Amendment #6 and we're in the process of discussion of that Amendment. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I think Representative Mugalian really spoke to the issue. He explained it very distinctly and he, in his explanation, gave us enough reason to vote against Amendment #6 to House Bill 2403. Let me also remind the Members of the House that not too many years ago we had the Edgewater Park District, which was somewhat similar. We had the state buy Edgewater Park, Edgewater Golf Course and turn it into a State Park. It became an albatross around the State Department of Conservation. The Chicago Park District didn't want the park..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Would the Gentleman kind address his remarks to the Amendment."

Telcser: "The thing was in limbo and it wasn't until recently that we settled the matter and I believe the Chicago Park District now takes care of that facility. To appropriate 500 thousand dollars to the Department of Conservation for some vague park in urban areas and I don't know yet what an urban area is, is ludicrous. Let me also remind you that the Amendment is to an omnibus Commission Bill. If we're going to keep loading down this Bill with



extra Amendments, you will jeopardize the Commission in which you may have an interest. The Amendment is foolish, it's unnecessary, it spends a lot of money which isn't budgeted and I can't think of one logical, rational reason to vote for Amendment #6 to House Bill 2403."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Jim Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, I was..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Oh, I'm sorry, there's a point of order being raised. Mrs. Satterthwaite, on a point of order."

Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker, it was my understanding that the Chairman of this Appropriations Committee and a Minority Spokesman on that same Committee asked that these Amendments not be considered at this time. This is a Committee Bill. Who is better able to determine what action should be taken on a Committee Bill than the Chairman and the Minority Spokesman of that Committee?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Ma'am, the point is, one of the Members, Representative Peters, asked that this Bill be brought from Third to Second for an Amendment, by error, after reconsideration. And in turn, when the Bill was placed back on Second Reading the Amendments are offered, they have to voted up or down when that Bill is in that posture."

Satterthwaite: "But the Chairman of that Committee, he had asked that the Bill be kept on Third Reading and not be brought back until..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "There was no objection when the Bill came from Third to Second..."

Unknown: "There was an objection, I yelled it out when it was first brought up."

Speaker Lechowicz: "I'm sorry Sir, I did not hear you."



Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, I was wanting to ask the Gentleman a question but I think a number of these Parliamentary inquiries have interrupted us. Is it not appropriate for the Chairman of the Committee to have asked to have this Bill taken out of the record? He is the person in control of that Bill and I think his requests ought to be rejected."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Yes it is."

Houlihan: "So, is this Bill out of the record?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Did the Gentleman ask to have this Bill taken out of the record?"

Houlihan: "Is it out of the record?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Did Representative Barnes to take that Bill...take it out of the record? Representative Barnes. Representative Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, I don't know if Representative Barnes is here but I am sure that he was not...Representative Barnes."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gene, do you want to take this Bill out of the record?" Take it out of the record. It will be on Second."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker...Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Yes, who's... Jim... Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan: "I've been waiting all this time to ask these questions, should I write them down for when it comes back?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "I would certainly advise you to talk to the Chairman and the Vice...not the Vice Chairman, the Minority Spokesman and Representative Taylor. I'm sure all your questions will be answered. Now, for the next item of business is the Priority of Call. Senate Bills, Third Reading. Senate Bill 679. Representative Neff."



Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 679. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Egg and Egg Products Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Henderson, Representative Neff."

Neff: "Thank you, Mr. Chairman and Members of the House. House Bill, as stated there, is an Amendment to the present Illinois Egg and Egg Producers Act. In 1975 we passed this Act and the Department of Agriculture recommended that we make some minor changes. One of the changes is, for example, that we can sell... permit selling of so called...classified, 'dirty eggs'. Now this doesn't mean that they're necessarily dirty but they're the way they come from the nest and they can only be sold on the premises, not sent out or shipped. Many people like to have...buy these eggs for the reason that they can buy them cheaper, they don't have to be washed before they're sold. Another very important thing that's in this Bill, in the present Act they must apply for their license on October 1. Under this, they can apply for their license at 60 days after they close their fiscal year. And this allows them to have a financial statement all made up fresh, which they have to do when they apply for it. Also, that they can...they can post a certificate of deposit rather than buying a bond, if they so desire. This is true in most of our other places where we require bonds. And therefore, Mr. Speaker, I'd be glad to answer any questions and would recommend an 'aye' vote on this."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there any discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill 679 pass? All those in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'nay'. Lee...Lee. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?"



The Clerk will take the record. On this question there is 132 'aye', no 'nays', 2 recorded as 'present'... Mautino 'aye'...that's waived. Is there any objection? Hearing none... 133 'ayes', no 'nays', 2 recorded as 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. At the request of the Sponsor, Senate Bill 16 is taken out of the record. Senate Bill 23. Representative Emil Jones."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 23. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Currency Exchange Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Out of the record? Out of the record at the request of the Sponsor. Senate Bill 66. Representative Skinner."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 66. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Municipal Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "My colleagues, they're pretty simple Bills and they're pretty simple Bills and this pretty well takes the cake. No, I did not say my colleagues are simple, Representative Epton. I said this Bill is pretty simple. What this Bill does is allow small town newspapers a little longer to process municipal ordinances that are passed. It extends from 10 to 30 days, the number of days in which such ordinances must be published in the local newspaper. To the best of my knowledge, that's all it does... and it sounds pretty harmless to me and that's why I was willing to be Sponsor of the Bill. Not to mention in the fact that it's Sponsored in the Senate by my State Senator."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Rock Island, Representative Darrow."



Darrow: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "He indicates he will."

Darrow: "Cal, there's an indication that there's an Amendment to this Bill on the Calendar. Is there or is this an error on the Calendar?"

Clerk O'Brien: "It's an error in the Calendar."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Will, Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Yeah, I have a question. I'm looking at the Bill and it does do what you said it does but it seems to me that the only print...that portion which is in error you probably get something totally out of context and totally misleading and perhaps confusing. Is that the effect, possible effect?"

Skinner: "It beats me. You say there's a word misspelled?"

Leinenweber: "Well, if you made an error in your publication and you only reprinted the portion that was in error it would seem that you would probably get something totally out of context, which might completely mislead the public in what the community is doing."

Skinner: "Well, I assure you that is not my State Senator's intent. He is merely trying to save money."

Leinenweber: "I forgot, this is a Senate Bill, isn't it?"

Skinner: "It remains unamended in the House."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 66 pass? All those in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'nay'. Dick... Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 107 'ayes', 10 'nays', 8 recorded as 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 73. Representative Johnson. 73."



Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 73. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Criminal Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Champaign, Representative Johnson."

Johnson: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this adds to Chapter 38 of the Criminal Code and makes it a Class C Misdemeanor for people to illegally hook up to CATV outlets. This is..there's some question about the application about theft to statutes...Section of the Statutes as to the application to that specific situation. A number of other states have done this and we're simply clarifying it to...to clarify that illegal hook up to Community Antenna T. V. Systems is illegal and a Class C Misdemeanor."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Madison, Representative Byers."

Byers: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "He indicates he will."

Byers: "Representative Johnson, is this part of Governor Thompson's Crime Package?"

Johnson: "No."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Wolf."

Wolf: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "He indicates he'll yield."

Wolf: "Does this... If this Bill is passed, does this mean if I have a cable from the Cable T.V. left in my apartment or something from a previous tenant and I don't pay and I use that cable and plug in, I'm guilty of a misdemeanor?"

Johnson: "It's the same intent factor that's required of any other theft of services. That situation wouldn't be covered."



Wolf: "I beg your pardon?"

Johnson: "No, there's the same intent factor as there is in any other Sections of the revised Statutes with the respect to theft or any other Criminal Code. I wouldn't think there would be criminal intent on your part there. This is specific intent required for criminal violation of this Section. So in that situation I would think that you would not be covered unless you...unless you... Well, I'd simply say you wouldn't be covered."

Wolf: "Well, I would say that if I found that cable I would certainly intend to use it and plug it in if somebody left it behind and I wasn't paying to the Cable T.V. Company. I sure intend to use it. As long as I could get away with it, I suppose I would use it."

Johnson: "Well, if you do it, not only with the intent to commit the act but also the intent to use the service of somebody else, knowing that it's somebody else's outlet, I guess you would. But I thought you were talking about a negligent situation where you simply did it without knowledge of who's is was. Sure you would if you intentionally took advantage of somebody else's prepaid services, so to speak."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Greisheimer."

Greisheimer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "He indicates he will."

Greisheimer: "Representative Johnson, I just wonder if this Bill isn't subject to the same Constitutional attacks that were upheld against Bell Telephone not too long ago when they were trying to make all users of their product use only their particular



phone pieces. And the court ruled very specifically that they could not do this, it was a restraint of trade and that type of thing, as long as the wiring was in the house and didn't disrupt the system, doesn't it sort of fall under the same category? If they do not come into your condominium, your apartment, your house and remove their wiring then they operate at their own peril. Why should we make this a misdemeanor?"

Johnson: "I know a number of other states have had this similar and its Constitutionality has been upheld. I don't know what the specific result would be in this particular situation. I'm not aware of the case that you're referring to but I don't think it would have any particular Constitutional infirmity that I could see that would be related here."

Greisheimer: "Well I just...just point out, I think it's interesting that...although this is a problem for their particular business. I think it's inherent to their business and at the present time, I believe, the Cable T.V. people physically refuse to come in and remove the wiring if you are a new tenant and do not want their service. They'll just leave it in any condition it is and leave a hole in your wall. And I think if we're going to make this a misdemeanor against the user then I think it might be interesting to add to this language making it a misdemeanor against the Cable T.V. operator for not removing his wiring."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Will, Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Well it would seem to me it would make more sense to leave it up to the franchise or who issues the franchise to the Cable T.V. Company to set



the rules by which the people who live in the area of the franchise will abide by. I don't know why we should impose our will up here to all the municipalities who happen to issue franchises to Cable T.V. Companies. It just seems to me that I don't think it's a misdemeanor to hook up to electric lines of Commonwealth Edison. I don't think it's a misdemeanor to hook up to water companies and that sort of thing, so I don't know why we would make an exception for Cable T.V. It would seem to me that it could be handled very easily on the municipal level, which...who controls every other aspect of the Cable Television Industry."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Rock Island, Representative Darrow."

Darrow: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "He indicates he will."

Darrow: "Mr. Johnson, isn't this already covered in the Criminal Code, Section 16-3(a)?"

Johnson: "If you're referring to the theft of services Section... is that where you're referring to?"

Darrow: "Yes."

Johnson: "There was some question raised about that, I understand, in the analysis. Our feeling was and the Senate staff people's feeling was that other states had faced the same situation and concluded that there's some...that there's at least some question about the application of theft of services statutes to that specific situation and this simply clarifys it. I'm not saying that it shouldn't...it shouldn't also include perhaps other areas in CATV. This is just one area that there's some question about. It's specific and I don't see any... there's no harm, I see, in at least clarifying the situation that's questionable now."

Darrow: "Well, I believe that this has already been used in Rock Island County successfully in cases such as this. I really don't see why this is necessary. But you feel it is, is that correct?"



Johnson: "I feel it is or I wouldn't be sponsoring the Bill."

Darrow: "And what's the penalty for this?"

Johnson: "Class C Misdemeanor." I think you'll have to check and see in the penalty provisions in Chapter 38. I think it's a fine up to a thousand dollars. Check the Statutes and see."

Darrow: "Your...We don't have...Amendment #1 was not adopted then, is that correct?"

Johnson: "No, there's no Amendments been adopted at all. They wanted to change it. The CATV wanted to change it to a Class A Misdemeanor and I think it's properly included as a Class C. So we kept it where it was."

Darrow: "Well, what happened to Amendment #1? I have an Amendment #1 with your name on it changing it to Class A, or Class C."

Johnson: "We never offered that Amendment."

Darrow: "Was it tabled? Amendment #1 is on the Calendar. Was it adopted?"

Johnson: "Not when I was here."

Darrow: "Perhaps the Clerk could help us out here."

Johnson: "I never offered an Amendment."

Darrow: "Is there an Amendment on this Bill, making it a..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Clerk, is there an Amendment on this Bill?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1 was offered by Representative Johnson deleting Class C and inserting in lieu thereof, Class A."

Johnson: "Well I...this is not my day for Amendments. I don't recall having offered that Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Was the Amendment adopted?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Adopted, yes."

Johnson: "Why don't we take this out of the record until I can refresh my recollection, if nobody has any objections."

Darrow: "Well, no, you were successful in this Amendment so maybe you'd want to reconsider."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman asks leave to take this Bill out of the record. Leave. Okay, it's out of the record. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Conti."



Conti: "Mr. Speaker, I was trying to get the staff analysis on Senate Bill 66 and I couldn't read fast enough before you called the Roll and I voted 'present' and it will not change the vote any, can I be recorded as voting 'no'. After I read the analysis I couldn't vote for it."

Speaker Lechowicz: "All right. The Gentleman asks leave to be recorded as 'no' on Senate Bill 66. Any objections? Hearing none, the Gentleman is so recorded. The Lady from Lake, Mrs. Reed."

Reed: "Mr. Chairman, may I have leave to vote 'yes' on 2409, House Bill 2409? It will not change the outcome."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady asks leave to be recorded as 'aye' on House Bill 2409. Are there any objections? Hearing none, the Lady is so recorded. Any other persons seeking recognition? Representative Campbell?"

Campbell: "The same request as Representative Reed. I'd like to be recorded 'aye' on 2409."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman asks leave to be recorded as 'aye' on 2409. Any objections? Hearing none, the Gentleman will be so recorded. Senate Bill 153. Representative Rigney."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 153. A Bill for an Act to make an appropriation to the Department of General Services. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Rigney."

Rigney: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 153 is to pay off the estate of Carl Tolpo for the work that has been...that he had completed on the three Dirksen Statues, one that was placed out here on the Capitol lawn, one in Washington D.C. and one in Pekin. I'd merely ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there any discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill 153 pass? All those in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record shortly. The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 117 'ayes',



4 'nays', 2 recorded as 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 161. Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 161..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "One moment. 161. The Clerk has to read the Bill first. He's got to read the Bill first."

Clerk Hall: "Senate Bill 161. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 161 is a very important Bill for the continuation of Special Education services here in Illinois. A few years ago authority was granted to our local school districts to impose a tax for Special Education building purposes. There was a time limit on that of eight years. Senate Bill 161 allows this program to continue by eliminating the eight year limit. It also broadens the purposes for which the imposition may be utilized. It's important to the continuation of Special Education services. It will provide flexibility in continuing these services by allowing the funds to be used for other purposes...and building purposes. There's no cost to the state. It's supported by the, of course, by the Illinois Association of Special Education Administrators, the Regional Superintendents and all the Special Education groups I know, as well as the Illinois Education Association."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Walsh, for a question or comment."

Walsh: "Not a question, Mr. Speaker, to speak on the Bill. This..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Please proceed."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise reluctantly to oppose the Gentleman's Bill. I dare say the eight year limitation that was put on this measure when it passed this House several years ago was for the purpose of getting it passed. It was a tax without a referendum and to



extend the limitations on it are very serious indeed. If we keep doing this, Mr. Speaker, there will be absolutely no abolition of taxes that we impose without referendum. This extends it ad infinitum. I would submit to you that despite the excellent House sponsorship, that this is not a good Bill and that we ought to... If we're interested in doing this, face the measure squarely and impose a tax without referendum instead of extending one, even though it looks easier."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there any further discussion? The Gentleman from Rock Island, Representative Darrow. No questions? Okay. The Gentleman may close. Representative Deuster to close."

Deuster: "Despite the remarks of my good friend just immediately behind me, there's nothing new about this. This is just a continuation of an authority that already exists. I think it's very important to...particularly at a time when the state is not able to fully fund the formula or to be of persistence in the area of Special Education, for us to continue this. As many of you know, in your districts it may be that buildings have been constructed for Special Education, they need to be maintained, services need to be provided. I think this is good and as I say again, it's nothing new, it's a continuation and I urge your favorable support of Senate Bill 161."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 161 pass? All those in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 46 'ayes', 19 'nays', 1 recorded as 'present'. This Bill, having received the... Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "I think it was taken a little fast, maybe it is...maybe there are questions about it. I would request that it be put on Postponed Consideration."

Speaker Lechowicz: "You can't do it, you don't have 70 votes."



Deuster: "Oh, well I request that a... I request a poll of the absentees."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there any objections to putting it on Postponed? Postponed Consideration. Representative Madison."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, as much as I sympathize with the position of the Sponsor and the position taken by the Speaker, I don't think the Speaker can unilaterally make that decision because our rules specifically prohibit it."

Speaker Lechowicz: "I asked if there was leave."

Madison: "I didn't hear leave, Mr. Speaker. Leave to do what? You can't give leave to put it on Postponed Consideration, Mr. Speaker, it's in our rules. The only thing you can get is leave to suspend the rules to put it on Postponed Consideration."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Why don't we dump the... Let's dump the Roll Call and we'll proceed. All those in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'nay', on Senate Bill 161. The Lady from Champaign, Representative Satterthwaite."

Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker, I rise in defense of this Bill. I think that we need every possible opportunity in our local school districts in order to try to supplement the income that the school districts have for their Special Education programs. As I'm sure you're well aware, for several years now the state has not provided the kind of funding that the Statutes say the local school districts are going to have for their Special Education programs. We have mandated that the school systems provide Special Education. If we are not going to fund them then we at least need to give them the opportunity of providing their own funding. The Federal Government is now telling us that they will provide some additional money if we are able to upgrade our programs. There's no way we can do that unless we can provide some local monies for more buildings. In the cases where the buildings are not needed we may instead put that money into the educational programs, if this Bill passes.



I think it's urgently needed, it's well deserved and I would recommend some more green lights so we can go ahead and pass this Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wished? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Levin, to explain his vote."

Levin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of this measure because there..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Please proceed."

Levin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of this measure because it's...it's kind of..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Conti, to explain his vote."

Conti: "Mr. Speaker, if you're going to cut me off on the discussion I...I'm going to object to the way this Bill was...the Roll Call was dumped. I understand that we want to extend the courtesy to give this man 70 votes so he can put it on Postponed Consideration. However, now that this Bill is passed, now I'm going to have to object strenuously on it or allow me at least the opportunity to talk why I'm against this Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Please proceed, Sir."

Conti: "Well I've had the occasion where I took over a municipality once where there was no amortization period and I inherited, in 1953 a \$29,000 fire engine that cost \$29,000. And 20 years later we still owed \$29,000 on it, all we were paying was the interest on it. Now, when you take an amortization program off of the indebtedness, you're going to find yourselves giving these school teachers increases, you're going to be building new wings on the schools and the people are going to continue to amortize their program by just paying the interest and not the principle. This is a dangerous precedent we're starting here and I urge you, those of you who wanted to give it enough votes for Postponed Consideration, fine, but to pass this Bill they better give it another look."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?"



The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 73 'ayes', 27 'nays', 34 recorded as 'present'. The Gentleman asks leave to put this item on Postponed Consideration. Leave being granted, the item is on Postponed Consideration. Senate Bill 198. Representative Matijevich."

Clerk Hall: "Senate Bill 198. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act in relation to county zonings. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Matijevich. 198."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 198 is a Bill that provides that notice of hearings regarding zoning of county land and variations, shall be, by publication in a newspaper of general circulation in the township or road district where the land affected is, rather than the present law whereby it be in a newspaper of general circulation in the county. The Bill therefore, would mean that people closer to the area where the particular zoning variation is being heard, will have sufficient notice.

I will ask for your favorable support of Senate Bill 198."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there any discussion? Is there any discussion? What's you... The question is, shall Senate Bill 198 pass? All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'nay'. Dick... Dick... Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished. The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 114 'ayes', no 'nays', 6 recorded as 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 206. Representative Terzich. Representative Mudd."

Clerk Hall: "Senate Bill 206..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Terzich."

Clerk Hall: "A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Vehicle Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this requires motor carriers of property to file proof of compliance



with the financial responsibility provision. What it is, the Bill is to insure that motorists on property carrier can meet financial responsibility, should they arise. This Bill passed favorably out of Committee and I would urge your support of Senate Bill 206."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from Franklin, Representative Hart."

Hart: "Representative Terzich, what is proof of compliance?"

Terzich: "What they are to do is, before they get their registration that they have to show proof that they have Workmen's Compensation coverage. These are for the...ah...common carrier, property carrier by motor vehicle."

Hart: "Are they required to carry insurance? Couldn't they be self-insured?"

Terzich: "Well, it's my understanding that it effects approximately 1,000 carriers."

Hart: "Well, I'm sure most of them do but I don't believe we have compulsory insurance provision in the law and I'm wondering..."

Terzich: "No, what they would have it to is, if they are self-insured that they would have to show evidence of financial responsibility, Representative Hart."

Hart: "But is there a procedure to do that? That's my next question."

Terzich: "Well, that's what I understand is, if they...it says that the Bill insures them that they can meet the financial responsibility, should the need arise."

Hart: "Well, for those who might be self-insured, I wonder if we could hold this and take a look at that question before we pass it. You know, there's...because it would imply requirement of insurance and I don't think we require insurance."

Terzich: "Well, you may not require it but you would require financial responsibility that..."

Hart: "Well, you know we don't..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Terzich, do you want to take this



Terzich: "Well, I'll take this out of the record, Mr. Speaker."

Hart: "That is a question that occurred to me and I wonder if we couldn't clear it up."

Speaker Lechowicz: "No problem, take it out of the record. Senate Bill 207."

Clerk Hall: "Senate Bill 207. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act relating to the contractors and material mens liens, known as mechanic's liens. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Senate Bill 207 amends the Mechanic's Lien Act. It provides that a subcontractor shall give the same notice to a owner of an owner occupied multi-family residence of five or fewer units, as is presently required to be given to the owner of a single family dwelling. And it also provides that notice may be given by posting a notice in two conspicuous places on the concerned premises. And again, this appears to be a very very good Bill. It does provide protection to the homeowner and I would urge your support and be more than happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Greisheimer."

Greisheimer: "Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "He indicates he will."

Greisheimer: "Representative Terzich, do I understand that in situations where an owner contracts for services on his house with a contractor or subcontractor that the subcontractor is going to have to give notices to tenants in the building?"

Terzich: "No, it does not. It provides that they would give a notice to the owner of the property and that all they would have to do is post the notice in two conspicuous places on the concerned premises. There was a similar Bill passed last year, House Bill 504 and it passed both Houses and the reason that it was vetoed was the fact that it didn't provide for those notices and this Bill corrects that procedure."



Greisheimer: "What happens in the situation where there's a written contract and the subcontractor well knows where the owner is located. Isn't this a way to get around notifying him personally?"

Terzich: "No, I understand what they would do is that they would send, by certified mail, to the owner."

Greisheimer: "So, this is an additional notice requirement?"

Terzich: "Correct."

Greisheimer: "All right."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Will, Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Mr. Speaker, I kind of wish Representative Hanahan was on the floor because if this Bill passes the people who are going to bear the brunt of the losses is going to be the small subcontractor, the carpenter, the plumber and so forth, who in good faith comes up at the request of the owner's contractor to perform some chore on a persons' house and finds out that he can't get paid because he has failed to file a totally unnecessary note of a claim. Now, there's a number of technical problems in the Bill. First of all, a person does not have a lien, he has a claims... he has a possible claim for a lien or an inchoate lien he doesn't have a lien so he really can't post a notice that he does because certain factors have to take place. The fact of the matter is that House Bill 504 or whatever it was in the last Session, which I vigorously opposed, unsuccessfully, at least exempted the multiple family residential buildings. And the reason that was done was there's a compromise with the Home Builder's Associations and other craftsmen and I assume the craft union, because of the fact that it was considered that certainly a person who is constructing a multiple family residence has the requisite sophistication to be able to understand what his rights are. It was felt that it's possible that a person who's having a home built or having some work done on his home might not be so sophisticated. Well, I can tell you that many of the people who



are having apartments buildings constructed are an awful lot more sophisticated than the craftsmen who are employed to do the work. You're going to find out that the little guys, the plumber, the carpenter, the pipe fitter, the electrician are the ones that are going to bear a lot of losses. I think you ought to very seriously consider this Bill. It's totally unnecessary under the existing law. The owner is required to have been furnished to him, a list of all subcontractors employed on the work and how much money they have coming and what they're doing. He already gets this, under the law. It has to be sworn, under oath, by the contractor and if it's not...if a person's not on there, they're not entitled to be paid. So this is totally unnecessary. It's actually harmful to the little guy and I would certainly urge that we defeat Senate Bill 207."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Marion, Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, now that Mr. Leinenweber has given you the legal jargon, I just say you're setting up booby traps for a bunch of small businessmen who are going to get ripped-off, in the long run, on this Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Terzich, to close."

Terzich: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, once again, this similar Bill passed the House quite unanimously the last Session. It came out of the Senate with only 3 'no' votes. It came out of the Committee, I believe, without any descending votes. That...It's time we start looking at the homeowners and the property owners and the apartment dwellers and protecting these people. Now, this only provides for a multi-family residence of five or fewer units. And at the present time the notice required by this Section is given to the owners of single family dwellings and I would appreciate very much, since I have never whistled in this chamber, nor do



I expect to get the disrespect of a whistle on a Bill that I am sponsoring and therefore, I move to take the Bill out of the record, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman asks leave to take the Bill out of the record. The Bill is out of the record. The Gentleman from Cook, on a point of personal privilege, Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I think it's very childish of people to start whistling in this chamber. Now at least you could show the respect to the Sponsor. If you don't like the Bill, you don't like the Bill but let's start showing a little respect."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Your point is well taken. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Vitek."

Vitek: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I've arisen before on a personal privilege and I support Mr. Terzich 100%. I think that we should act like Ladies and Gentlemen and cut out the whistling and the cat calls. Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz:



Speaker Lechowicz: "Any announcements? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mann. Mann."

Mann: "Mr. Speaker, I've conferred with Mr. Giorgi concerning the Agreed List of Resolutions that was passed out today. There's one Resolution, House Resolution 340, that is perhaps as controversial as any Resolution I have seen in recent months and during this Session. And I have no desire to tie up the House on a Friday night; but I didn't do it. And I'm asking leave for the Resolution to be reconsidered and sent to Committee."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, in this...on this...in reading this Resolution, I didn't find anything offensive in it or anything that was out of the extraordinary language that we used in most Resolutions commending most people; but I stand up here to support my colleague, Bob Mann, in his attempt to get this reconsidered and sent to the proper Committee for a proper hearing. I'm with Mann on this."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Telcser. Let's just relax for a minute, okay? Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to rise to support Representative Mann's motion. I think Representative Giorgi was also correct in what he said...last days of the Session that Representatives Giorgi and Waddell on our side get Agreed Resolutions quickly at... sometimes what they are purported to be agreed. I don't think there's a Member of this House that does not recognize the fact that there is controversy required on this subject matter. And that Resolution, I think, ought to simply go to Committee. It may be passed out and may not; but I rise to support Representatives Mann and Giorgi."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Keats."

Keats: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I also want to support Representative Mann. I was there when this thing was agreed to, and it was a joke. I was just flabberga... I didn't realize it had gone into the Agreed thing, everyone was laughing. I don't think anyone took it seriously, I didn't think anyone even realized that the original Resolution was serious. I



thought it was all a joke at the time. And I would ask the Sponsor just out of common decency to pull that out and at least send it to Committee or at least let us vote on it."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Matijevidh."

Matijevidh: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I also wanted to agree with Representative Giorgi. Actually it points out to the fact that none of the Resolutions that we have in the House mean anything; and Representative Giorgi was trying to quicken, I'm sure, the order of the business of the House by putting it on the Agreed List. And then nobody would've thought anything of it. But as long as there is a controversy, it ought to go to Committee."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "If it's in order, I only ask that we have some idea what it is because those Resolutions are not distributed."

Speaker Lechowicz: "What did we congratulate her for? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Collins. It's his Resolution, Representative Leverenz."

Collins: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, just as a parliamentary move, I don't see how this vote can be reconsidered because it was...it was an oral vote; and a reconsideration, I think has to be required of a record vote. However, I put this Resolution in and asked for it to be put on the Agreed List. It was announced this morning on the Agreed List by Representative Giorgi in loud and clear terms or tones, nobody objected to its passage at the time. Now, apparently there were some people that didn't hear it; but it was well after the Session started when you called Agreed Resolutions. Now, the Resolution is not an inflammatory Resolution. I tried to avoid it. I tried to avoid tying the House up in a lot of acrimonious debate by going this route. I'm confident that we could've suspended the rule for immediate consideration, we could've adopted the Resolution by an overwhelming vote, and we could've taken an awful lot of time in the closing hours of this Session. So I chose to take another route. If it was the wrong route, I will apologize to those who are offended; but I will object to any reconsideration or any



reference to Committee now. I think that it...it is untimely and after the...it has...after the point because the Resolution has already been passed and I would imagine is out of the control of this House by now. But it has been passed, and I don't see how you can reconsider a vote on an Oral Roll Call that was passed this morning perfectly legitimately, I didn't try and slip anything over on anybody. I was trying to spare what could be not only an acrimonious debate but which could be a headline-seeking debate, which again I tried to avoid. This was a sincere effort to congratulate somebody on what I consider was their courage and their perseverance. I tried to avoid any mention of anything that would offend anybody; and I think if you will read the Resolution, you will find that I did that to most everyone's satisfaction. So I would object at this time to...to any motions regarding this Resolution."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Objections have been raised. Representative Mann."

Mann: "It's Representative Sandquist, I'll yield to him for it."

Speaker Lechowicz: "I'm sorry. Representative Sandquist."

Sandquist: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'm very sorry that I wasn't here this morning when it was read; but I was in a Senate Committee trying to get some legitimate work of this House and Senate done. There was no...in my mind, despite my respective colleague from Calumet City, to have a Resolution like this to come through the House. It shows how silly our Resolutions are. To praise somebody from another state for standing up and taking up a position, we'd have one Resolution after another. And I think that we are just atrociously silly to have considered this; and if we don't put this back and take it out, we're even worse to have this thing go on through on a Consent Calendar. You know all...all what we're talking about, we're talking about that Florida juice girl and she ought to stay there and Illinois ought to stay out of it."

Mann: "Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mann."

Mann: "Mr. Speaker, the Gentleman from Calumet City is a good friend of mine, but, you know, you can't have it both ways. You can't say



it was something that was inflammatory that he could've suspended the wheel...the rules for and it's light and everybody says okay, whereas we share Anita Bryant's interests in the proper moral development of young people throughout our nation. I don't share her interests, I think somebody put a Spanish fly in her orange juice."

Speaker Lechowicz: "According to the ruling from the Parliamentarian, Agreed Resolutions are by a voice...voice votes and unanimous; and, in turn, when they're adopted by that way, they have...they can be reconsidered but it has to be done within the same day. And the Gentleman has just done that, and the question is, all those... all those in favor of the Gentleman's motion...the Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Simms."

Simms: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I move that his motion lie on the table."

Speaker Lechowicz: "That motion is proper. The question is...the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Collins."

Collins: "I...Mr. Speaker, in an effort to save time, I would like to suggest that the vote on this Resolution be reconsidered; and then I would like to be recognized for a suspension of the rules for immediate consideration in the adoption of the Resolution."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Does Representative Simms withdraw his motion? He withdrew the motion. We revert to Representative Mann's motion to reconsider. Representative Mann."

Mann: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like the Clerk to read the Resolution. It's very short."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Would the Clerk kindly read the Resolution?"

Clerk Hall: "House Resolution 340, 'Whereas Anita Bryant...'"

Speaker Lechowicz: "One moment. Representative Mann."

Mann: "To reconsider, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman moves to reconsider first. All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed...in the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. Read the Resolution now. Wait a minute, Zeke. Read the Resolution."

Clerk Hall: "...Whereas Anita Bryant has courageously devoted vast amounts of her time and energy to the preservation of the moral



standards and social values which have always served as the very foundation of our society; and, whereas, we share Anita Bryant's interest in the proper and moral development of young people throughout our nation; and, whereas Anita Bryant has led the campaign to maintain traditional moral standards and establish social values in a spirit of love and understanding; and, whereas, Anita Bryant's steadfast defense of her beliefs in spite of personal harrassment and a possibility of financial loss is in the finest tradition of valiant American crusaders; therefore, . . . be it resolved by the House of Representatives of the Eightieth General Assembly in the State of Illinois that we most heartily commend Anita Bryant's efforts in her personal commitment; and be it further resolved that we encourage her to continue in her meritorious struggle to preserve the time-tried moral standards and social values of our society; and be it further resolved that a suitable copy of this Preamble and Resolution be presented to Anita Bryant as a formal indication of our deep respect and esteem in which she is held by this chamber'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "I wanted to caution the House to listen to the Resolution because Representative Waddell and I read the Resolution and we didn't find any language in there offensive, derogatory or any put-down manner. In fact, I supported Bob Mann in the sexual preference Bills, and that's the...I wanted to clear the air on this."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Thank you very much. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Barnes. All right. The Gentleman from Madison, Representative Byers. Okay. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "The question, put the question."

Speaker Lechowicz: "All right. The question is...Representative Collins, do you want to restate your motion? To suspend the rules or what?"

Collins: "Yes, Mr. Chairman, I move for the suspension of Rule 41(a) for the immediate consideration of this Resolution. I would hope... I would hope that in the spirit of cooperation Representative Mann



would support me in my motion for suspension of the rules and we can dispose of this right now. Well, I..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Are there objections? Excuse me."

Collins: "...Well, I tried...I tried to show some cooperation and good faith of the Gentleman. If he won't show me that courtesy, well, then I'll just have to persist in my motion."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall the rules be suspended for immediate consideration? All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'nay'. This takes 107 votes. Have all voted who wish? You want to re...the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mann."

Mann: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to explain my vote. Mr. Speaker, this... this Resolution..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Excuse me, Bob, this takes 107 votes."

Mann: "Yes, Sir."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Okay. You want to explain your vote?"

Mann: "Mr. Speaker, this motion was surreptitiously passed on the Consent Calendar today. There isn't a person in this chamber that doesn't realize that this is an inappropriate Agreed Resolution. If...if it were not, I would be very, very willing to let it go the way it did. Send it to Committee..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Point of order...The Gentleman from Kane, Representative Waddell."

Mann: "...and treat it like they treat all..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Point of order."

Waddell: "Mr. Speaker, I believe that the Gentleman is out of order. The word 'surreptitiously' does not apply here at all. This was legally done. It extolls the individual as we've done in many, many Resolutions. There is nothing derogatory in this. And I suggest that he control his words and vocabulary."

Mann: "...Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Please proceed, the timer is on."

Mann: "At the appropriate time, I'd like to be recognized for a verification."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady from Lake, Representative Geo-Karis."



Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think the import of this Resolution is the commendation and the fact that Mrs. Bryant does what she honestly believes; and this is the American system. I don't agree with everything she says; but I think it's simply a commendation of her having the courage to fight for her own convictions. This is America. I don't... as I say, I don't think we should preclude her from voicing her own convictions, whether some of us agree with her or not, that isn't the point. And all this would...this Resolution is doing is really praising her for having the courage to voice her own convictions on a particular subject. And that is why I'm voting 'yes'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wished? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "That's okay, Mr. Speaker, I'll suffer."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Okay. The Gentleman from Marion, Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, I'm a little bit amazed at some of the conversation that's taken place around here. We pass Agreed Resolutions every day. I don't take time to read them. I have confidence in the people who put them on there; and I don't ask that somebody suspend the rules and put them back on for a vote. There's some of those I wouldn't vote for if I read them; but certainly I thought that Representative Collins had accommodated the objectors so that this thing could come to a vote. He didn't have to bring it back, he didn't have to agree to that, but he did because he was trying to be a gentleman. I thought that that would certainly indicate that the people on the other side were willing for it to come to a vote. But they get him off base, and then they tag him. Now, I think that...I don't think that's cricket, I think it's unethical. I think the statements that have been made are untrue; and I personally resent them."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Wolf, to explain his vote."

Wolf: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I can understand why some



Members might object to having this on an Agreed List, where they might be showed as voting unanimously. But here Mr. Collins has agreed to take the Bill back, he's asking now for a vote to reconsider or to have a vote on this right now. And I can't see what the big hubbub is. I heard the Resolution made, and if some of the Members who object to that, I would just suggest that they put another Resolution commending the queers and we could even up the sides."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Collins, to explain his vote."

Collins: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I have tried to be responsible here, and I've tried to comport myself as a gentleman. I must say that the Representative from Cook, Representative Mann, makes that extremely difficult. For him to suggest that there should be a verification on something that has 109 votes up there suggests to me that... ..well, it's...I won't any further...that is ludicrous. This may...the verification may not stand up, I don't know; but obviously there are more than 89 votes required to pass a Bill. And certainly this Resolution would pass. I tried to save...to save time. And for him to suggest that there was anything surreptitious about this, I went to the Leadership on both sides. I presented the Resolution to them, and asked for them to put it on the Agreed List, again in an effort to save time. I listened quite attentively this morning when Agreed Resolutions were called. There was nothing surreptitious about Representative Giorgi's presentation. He enunciated very clearly and slow...and spoke slower than I am now to what the Resolution was, and there was no objection, and the Resolution passed. But again in an effort to be accommodating and to be a gentleman, I...and knowing...in full knowledge that this motion took 107 votes, I submitted to it at...out of respect for Representative Mann. But as I say, he does make it extremely difficult. He's within the rules. I think he's way off base. And I really can't understand his terrific hang-up on this subject."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Greiman, to explain his vote."



Greiman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I don't usually differ from my colleague, Bob Mann, in his use of language; but I think surreptitious was not...was an ill-advised term. And I think it was said, frankly, in the heat of battle; but he will have to answer to that. It was...it was not the proper word; but this...the Bill that has gone on in Florida, the battle is apparently going on here...just all over this country about that. And I have misgivings about the whole business...is one of seriousness. Jake Wolf's suggestion may be a very good one. Perhaps all the people who are involved in that should be commended for fighting out a fight in a public forum; and it would not be unreasonable to suggest on a Second Reading that that be amended, if there were to be a Second Reading, if there'd be an Amendment stage. And then we can say that people who legitimately held the other side, legitimately fought the good fight as well. Now, we can't do that if we suspend the rules. There is no time for Second Reading. There is a mention in there...of the Resolution.."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Would the Gentleman kindly bring his remarks to a close?"

Greiman: "...yes...of harrassment...of harrassment. That's a sexual matter. I don't know anything about. I think we just should..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Thank you very much. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mann, to explain his vote. The timer is on."

Mann: "A point of personal privilege. Mr. Speaker, if I had presented this particular Amendment and included the word 'not'..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "To explain his vote. Excuse me, Bob, Bob, you're up on a personal privilege, right? You're name was used in debate? Please proceed. The timer is on. Okay."

Mann: "...yes...if I had presented this Resolution and instead of saying, 'Anita Bryant has courageously...', had said, '...has not courageously...'; and if we had said, 'We share', and if I had said, 'We do not share'..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Excuse me, Representative Mann. A point of order."

Mann: "...do you think that that would work..."

Collins: "Mr. Speaker?"



Speaker Lechowicz: "A point of order. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Collins, on a point of order."

Collins: "Fine. Mr. Speaker, it's my turn to use the roll. She spoke in debate, he's not on a personal privilege, he's speaking through the Resolution. He's totally out of order; and as a matter of fact, his whole attitude...out of order."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Would the Gentleman kindly...would the Gentleman kindly contain his remarks to the point of order."

Mann: "...Yes, Mr. Speaker, my name was mentioned in debate."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Yes. Please proceed. Raise your...what's your point, Bob? The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, I happen to be voting with Bob Mann, but right now I'm making a different motion and I'm making it seriously. I move to adjourn until Monday at noon."

Speaker Lechowicz: "We'll have a Roll Call. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mann."

Mann: "Mr. Speaker, as I say, had I reversed this Resolution and condemned her, does anybody in this House feel that that would be an Agreed Resolution?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wished? The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Simms."

Simms: "Well, Mr. Speaker, if the Gentleman from Cook that has caused the problem this evening had been here at 11 o'clock this morning with the rest of us, he could've raised the objection then..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Point of order. The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Matijevich."

Simms: "...time of the House."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Matijevich. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Point of order. The motion to adjourn is timely any time, in the middle of a Roll Call anywhere."

Speaker Lechowicz: "I didn't recognize you for that. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. The Gentleman from Tazewell, Representative Von Boeckman, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Von Boeckman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, it's 5 o'clock...On Friday nights when



we get in an argument over a bunch of queers, I think it's time to go home."

Speaker Lechowicz: "You'll get it. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Collins."

Collins: "Well, I...I have to ask for a poll of the absentees then, Mr. Speaker, with all apologies of the House."

Speaker Lechowicz: "You'll get that. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Barnes."

Barnes, E.: "Vote me 'aye'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Kindly record Representative Barnes as...from 'present' to 'aye'. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative O'Brien. O'Brien."

O'Brien: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, in explaining my vote, which is a 'no' vote, I'd like to indicate to everybody in the Body that I like orange juice and that I drink orange juice. But the day will come when everybody in this House will be very sorry that they voted for this Amendment because when you get an individual that stands up before 5 to 10,000 people with a prayer book in one hand and tears coming down the cheeks and says to 5,000 and 10,000 people that 'God told me to tell these people that they are sinners', I think there's something wrong with that individual. And the day will come that we'll be sorry that we passed this Resolution."

Speaker Lechowicz: "A poll of the absentees has been requested."

Clerk Hall: "Beatty, Bradley, Brady, Chapman, Christensen..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Excuse me for one moment. Would everyone kindly be in their seats and let's have the House come to a little bit of order. Please proceed with the poll of the absentees."

Clerk Hall: "...Daniels, Epton, Gaines, Garmisa, Getty, Hoffman, Klosak, Kornowicz, Kosinski, Kucharski, Laurino, Madison, McAvoy, Meyer, Mugalian, Pechous, Peters, Pierce, Porter, Schlickman, Younge; Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Okay. The Clerk will proceed to verify the Roll Call."

Clerk Hall: "Abramson, Adams, Anderson..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Excuse me. Would the Members kindly be in their



seats and respond with the proper way. Proceed."

Clerk Hall: "...E. M. Barnes, Jane Barnes, Bartulis, Bennett, Birchler, Bluthardt, Boucek, Brandt, Rich Brummer, Don Brummet, Campbell, Capparelli, Collins, Conti, Cunningham, Darrow, Corneal Davis, Jack Davis, Dawson, Deavers, Deuster, DiPrima, Domico, Ralph Dunn, Ebbesen, Edgar, Ewing, Farley, Flinn, Friedland, Friedrich, Geo-Karis, Giglio, Griesheimer, Harris, Hart, Dan Houlihan, Hoxsey, Hudson, Huff, Huskey, Jacobs, Dave Jones, Emil Jones..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Excuse me. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Collins, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Collins: "I'll make another attempt to being bigger than he is. Let me withdraw my motion."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman withdraws his motion. Thank you very much. Announcements. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Barnes."

Barnes, E.: "Thank you very much. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, very briefly, and I've consulted with the Leadership on both sides, I'd ask leave of the House to waive the proper rules so that the following Bills can be heard Monday in Appropriations II, House Bill 2410, House Bill 2417, Senate Bill 830, Senate Bill 487 and Senate Bill 351. These Bills were carried over from this week's meeting and..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman have leave? Hearing no objection, the Bills will be so posted. Any further announcements? The Clerk wants to go to Consent Calendar, Second Reading, Second Day to read four Bills."

Clerk Hall: "Senate Bill 1023, a Bill for an Act in relation of electronic funds transfer systems. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 682, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Insurance Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 984, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Workman's Compensation Act. Second Reading of the Bill. And Senate Bill 756, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Minimum Wage Law. Second Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. Any further announcements? Any further announcements? Does the Clerk have any special order...do



you need any time? Okay. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I move that we adjourn until 12 o'clock noon Monday."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman has moved that the House stand adjourned until 12 o'clock Monday. Any discussion? All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', all those opposed...the House is adjourned until 12 o'clock Monday. Have a nice weekend. Happy Fathers Day."



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	1.
1	11:00	Speaker Redmond	House to order	
		Reverend Krueger	Prayer	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Schlickman	Moves adopt H.J.R. 27	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Schlickman		
		Speaker Redmond		
		Domico	Moves previous question	
		Speaker Redmond		
2		Friedrich		
		Speaker Redmond		
		Boucek		
		Speaker Redmond		
3		Clerk O'Brien	Consent Calendar, 2nd Rdg. 2nd Legislative day	
		Speaker Redmond		
4		Clerk C'Brien	Consent Calendar, 3rd Rdg. 2nd Legislative day	
		Speaker Redmond	Agreed Resolutions	
5		Clerk O'Brien	H.R. 338, 339, 340, 341	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Giorgi	Moves adoption of Resolutions	
		Speaker Redmon	Agreed Resolutions adopted	
		Clerk O'Brien	Messages from Senate	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Clerk O'Brein	Committee Reports	
		Speaker Redmond		
6	11:23	Madigan	Excused absences	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	2.
		Speaker Redmond		
		Ryan	Excused absences	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Ryan		
		Speaker Redmond		
		Madigan	Absence	
		Speaker Redmond	Senate Bills, 3rd Rdg.	
		Clerk O'Brien	Senate Bill 30	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Clerk O'Brien	Discussion Senate Bill 96	
7		Speaker Redmond	3rd Rdg.	
		Clerk O'Brien	S.B. 97	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #1	
		Speaker Redmond	Take out of record	
		Madigan		
		Speaker Redmond	Take out of record	
		Clerk O'Brien	S.B. 106	
		Speaker Redmond	Third Rdg.	
		Clerk O'Brien	S.B. 110	
		Speaker Redmond	Third Rdg.	
		Clerk O'Brien	S.B. 114	
		Speaker Redmond	Third Rdg.	
		Clerk O'Brien	S.B. 116, 2nd Rdg.	
		Speaker Redmond	Third Rdg.	
8		Clerk O'Brien	S.B. 139, 2nd Rdg.	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	3.
		Speaker Redmond	Third Reading	
		Clerk O'Brien	S.B. 144, 2nd Rdg.	
		Speaker Redmond	Third Rdg.	
	11:27	Clerk O'Brien	S.B. 145, 2nd Rdg.	
		Speaker Redmond	Third Reading	
		Clerk O'Brien	S.B. 146, 2nd Rdg.	
		Speaker Redmond	Third Reading	
		Clerk O'Brien	S.B. 159, 2nd Rdg., Amt. #1 adopted	
		Speaker Redmond	Take out of record	
		Madigan	Discussion	
		Clerk O'Brien	Senate Bill 203, 2nd Reading, Amt. #1 adopted	
9		Speaker Redmond	Third Reading	
		Clerk O'Brien	S.B. 229, 2nd Rdg.	
		Speaker Redmond	Third Reading	
		Clerk O'Brien	S.B. 286, 2nd Reading Amt. #1 adopted	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Clerk O'Brien	Motion to table	
		Speaker Redmond	Take out of record S.B. 287, 2nd Reading Am. #1 and 2 adopted Third Reading	
		Madigan	Take 287 back to 2nd Rdg.	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Clerk O'Brien	S.B. 305, 2nd Reading Amt. #1 adopted	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Clerk O'Brien	Motion to table Amt. #1	



4.

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
10		Speaker Redmond	Take out of record
		Clerk O'Brien	S.B. 322, 2nd Reading Amt. #1 and 2 adopted
		Speaker Redmond	
		Beatty	Hold it
		Speaker Redmond	Take out of record
		Clerk O'Brien	S.B. 323, 2nd Reading Amt. #1, 3 and 4 adopted
11		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	S.B. 324, 2nd Reading Amt. #1 adopted
		Speaker Redmond	
		Ryan	Question
		Speaker Redmond	Third Reading
	11:35	Clerk O'Brien	S.B. 327, 2nd Reading Amt. 1, 2, 4 and 6 adopted
		Speaker Redmond	
		Clerk O'Brien	Floor Amt. #7
		Speaker Redmond	
12		Keats	
		Speaker Redmond	Discussion
		Speaker Redmond	
13		McMasters	
		Speaker Redmond	
14	11:38	Mahar	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Dyer	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Mann	Yield?



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
15		Mahar	Discussion
		Speaker Redmond	
		Mann	Speaks to the Bill
		Speaker Redmond	
16		Lechowicz	
		Speaker Redmond	
17		Keats	To close
		Speaker Redmond	Amt. #7 fails, 3rd Rdg.
		Clerk O'Brien	S.B. 329, 2nd Rdg. Amt. #1 adopted
		Speaker Redmond	Third Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	S.B. 335, 2nd Rdg, Amt. #1, 4 and 5 adopted
		Speaker Redmond	Third Reading
18		Clerk O'Brien	S.B. 347, 2nd Rdg. Amt. #1 adopted
		Speaker Redmond	Third Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	S.P. 357
		Speaker Redmond	
		Willer	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Leinenweber	
	11:48	Clerk O'Brien	S.B. 357, 2nd Rdg. Floor Amt. #1
		Speaker Redmond	
		Schlickman	
		Speaker Redmond	Amendment adopted
		Clerk O'Brien	Floor Amt. #2
		Speaker Redmond	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	6.
19		Schlickman		
		Speaker Redmond	Amt. adopted	
		Leinenweber	Withdraw request	
		Speaker Redmond	Third Reading	
		Clerk O'Brien	S.B. 364, 2nd Rdg. Amt. #1 and 2 adopted	
		Speaker Redmond	Third Reading	
		Clerk O'Brien	S.B. 382, 2nd Rdg. Amt. #1 adopted	
		Speaker Redmond	Third Reading	
		Clerk O'Brien	S.B. 433, 2nd Rdg. Amt. #1 adopted	
		Speaker Redmond		
		D. Houlihan	Question	
		Clerk O'Brien	Amt. #2 failed in Committee	
20		Speaker Redmond		
		Clerk O'Brien	Request for fiscal note	
		Robinson		
	11:52	Speaker Redmond	Hold on 2nd	
		Clerk O'Brien	S.B. 449, 2nd Rdg. No Committee Amts.	
		Speaker Redmond	Third Reading	
		Leinenweber	Leave to amend on face	
		Speaker Redmond		
		D. Houlihan	Question	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Clerk O'Brien		
		Leinenweber	Discussion	
		Clerk O'Brien	Bill is in error	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	7.
		Leinenweber	Leave on 2nd	
21		Speaker Redmond	Back to 2nd	
		Giglio	Motion to table Amt. #1	
		Clerk O'Brien	S.B. 458, 2nd Rdg. Amt. #1 adopted	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Giglio	Move to table	
		Speaker Redmond	Amt. #1 tabled, 3rd Rdg.	
		Clerk O'Brien	S.B. 467, 2nd Rdg. no C.A.	
		Speaker Redmond	Third Reading	
		Clerk O'Brien	S.B. 488, 2nd Rdg. no C.A.	
22		Speaker Redmond	Third Reading	
		Clerk O'Brien	S.B. 505, 2nd Rdg. Amt. #1 adopted	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Clerk O'Brien	Floor Amt. #2	
		Speaker Redmond		
23	11:56	Yourell		
		Speaker Redmond		
		Jaffe	Parliamentary inquiry Asks germane ruling	
24		Speaker Redmond	Amendment is germane	
25		Jaffe	Opposes Amendment	
		Speaker Redmond		
		McBroom	Yield?	
		Yourell		
		Speaker Redmond		
		Mahar	Yield to Yourell	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
26		McBroom	
		Yourell	Responds
		Speaker Redmond	
27		Walsh	Supports
		Speaker Redmond	
		D. Houlihan	Withdraw question
		Speaker Redmond	
		DiPrima	Against
		Speaker Redmond	
28		Sandquist	Against
		Speaker Redmond	
12:12		Mahar	Support
		Speaker Redmond	
29		Jacobs	2 amend - both different
		Speaker Redmond	Discussion
		Yourell	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Jacobs	Speaks to Amendment
		Speaker Redmond	
30		Cunningham	Supports
		Speaker Redmond	
		Willer	Against
		Speaker Redmond	
		Kosinski	Moves previous question
31		Speaker Redmond	
		Yourell	To close
		Speaker Redmond	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	9.
		Kelly	Explain vote	
		Speaker Redmond		
32		Byers	No vote	
		Speaker Redmond		
		C. Davis	Explains vote	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Yourell	Poll absentees	
		Speaker Redmond		
		J. Houlihan	Possible verification	
		Speaker Redmond		
33		Bluthardt	Explain vote	
		Speaker Redmond	Poll absentees	
		Winchester	Explain vote	
		Speaker Redmond	Too late	
		Clerk O'Brien	Polls absentees	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Bowman	Vote me no	
		Speaker Redmond		
	12:25	Clerk O'Brien	Continues	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Daniels	Record me 'no'	
		Speaker Redmond		
34		Clerk O'Brien		
		Christensen	No	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Clerk O'Brien	Continues	
		Speaker Redmond		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	10.
		Stanley	No	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Clerk O'Brien		
		Speaker Redmond		
		Giglio	Vote no	
	12:28	Speaker Redmond		
		Winchester	Vote present	
		Speaker Redmond	68 'aye', 86 'no', #2 fails	
35		Clerk O'Brien	Amt. #3	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Matijevich		
		Speaker Redmond		
		McClain		
		Speaker Redmond	Amend it on face	
		McClain	Leave asked	
		Speaker Redmond	Amt. #1 should be tabled	
		McClain	Question	
36		Speaker Redmond	Discussion	
		McClain	Move to table #1	
		Speaker Redmond	Rabled	
		Clerk O'Brien	Amt. #3	
		Speaker Redmond		
		McClain		
		Speaker Redmond	Amt. adopted, Third Reading	
		DiPrima	Introduction	
37		Boy's State Governor		
		DiPrima	Further Introductions	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	11.
		Speaker Redmond		
		Clerk O'Brien	S.B. 517, 2nd Rdg.	
		Speaker Redmond	Third Reading	
	12:35	Clerk O'Brien	S.B. 533, 2nd Rdg. Am. #1 adopted	
		Speaker Redmond	Third Reading	
		Clerk O'Brien	S.B. 509, 2nd Rdg. No C.A.	
		Speaker Redmond	Third Reading	
38		Clerk O'Brien	S.B. 550, 2nd Rdg.	
		Speaker Redmond	Fiscal Note not filed	
		Clerk O'Brien	S.B. 557, 2nd Rdg., No C.A.	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Clerk O'Brien	Read wrong Bill	
		Speaker Redmond	Third Reading	
		Clerk O'Brien	S.B. 573, 2nd Rdg. No C.A.	
		Speaker Redmond	✓	
		Clerk O'Brien	Floor Amt. #1	
		Speaker Redmond		
39		Giorgi		
		Speaker Redmond	Am. adopted, 3rd Reading	
		D. Houlihan		
		Speaker Redmond		
		Clerk O'Brien	S.B. 597, 2nd Rdg. No C.A.	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Clerk O'Brien	Amt. #1	
		Speaker Redmond		
	12:40	Johnson	Amt. #1	
		Speaker Redmond		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	12.
		D. Houlihan	Question	
40		Johnson	Discussion	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Mann	Question	
		Speaker Redmond	Refuses to yield	
		Mann	Point of personal privilege	
		Speaker Redmond		
41		D. Houlihan	Questions germaneness	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Johnson	Point of order	
		Speaker Redmond	Amt. not germane	
		Johnson	Appeal ruling of Chair	
		Speaker Redmond		
42		Ewell		
		Speaker Redmond		
		D. Houlihan	Verification	
		Speaker Redmond		
43		Matijevich		
		Speaker Redmond		
		Simms		
		Speaker Redmond		
	1:10	Johnson		
		Speaker Redmond		
		D. Houlihan	Verificiation	
		Speaker Redmond		
44		Johnson	Poll absentees	
		Clerk O'Brien	Polls absentees	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	13.
		Speaker Redmond		
		Clerk O'Brien	Verification Aff. Roll Call	
		Speaker Redmond		
		D. Houlihan	Asks Members be seated	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Aff. Roll Call	
		Speaker Redmond		
		D. Houlihan	Question roll Call	
		Speaker Redmond		
45		McPike	Change to no	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Tipsword		
		Speaker Redmond		
		Lucco	Change to no	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Madison	Vote no	
		Speaker Redmond		
46		D. Houlihan	Questions	
		Speaker Redmond	89 ayes, 72 noes	
		D. Houlihan	Continues questions	
		Speaker Redmond		
47		D. Houlihan	Questions	
		Speaker Madigan		
		J. Dunn	No	
		Speaker Madigan		
		D. Houlihan	Questions	
		Speaker Madigan		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	14.
		Hart	No	
		Speaker Madigan		
		Kane	No	
		Speaker Madigan		
		D. Houlihan		
		Speaker Madigan	86 'ayes', 75 noes, motion fails	
48		Clerk O'Brien	Amt. #2	
		Speaker Redmond	Questions germaneness Rules not germane	
		Clerk O'Brien	Amt. #3	
		D. Houlihan	Not printed	
		Speaker Redmond	Third Reading	
		Clerk Hall		
		Speaker Redmond		
		Ryan		
		Speaker Redmond		
49	1:28	Telcser		
		Speaker Redmond		
		Darrow	Wants 607 called	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Ryan	Discussion	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Clerk O'Brien	S.B. 607, 2nd Rdg.	
		Speaker Redmond	Third Reading	
		Johnson	Appeals ruling	
		Speaker Redmond	Out of order	
		Clerk O'Brien	H.J.R. 29	



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	15.
50		Speaker Redmond		
		DiPrima		
		Speaker Redmond		
		Clerk O'Brien		
		Speaker Redmond	Third Reading - H.J.R. 29	
		J. Houlihan	Dissent	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Flinn	H.R. 214, Amt. #1	
		Speaker Redmond	Amt. #1 adopted	
		Clerk O'Brien	Amt. #2, H.R. 214	
		Speaker Redmond		
51		Flinn	Explains Amt. #2	
		Speaker Redmond	Amt. adopted	
		Clerk O'Brien		
		Speaker Redmond	H.R. 214 adopted	
		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 2412, 2nd Rdg.	
		Speaker Redmond	Third Reading	
		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 2416, 2nd Rdg.	
		Speaker Redmond	Third Reading	
		Ryan	Question	
		Speaker Redmond	Discussion	
52		Ryan		
		Speaker Redmond		
		Katz	H.J.R. 45	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Telcser	Question of Sponsor	
53		Katz	Discussion	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	16.
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Katz	To close	
		Speaker Lechowicz	H.J.R. 45 passed	
		Clerk O'Brien	Committee Reports	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Totten	C.A. #22	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Clerk O'Brien	H.J.R.C.A. #22	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
54		Clerk O'Brien	Amt. #1	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Totten	Explains Amt. #1	
		Speaker Lechowicz	Amt. #1 adopted	
		Clerk O'Brien		
		Speaker Lechowicz	Third Reading, Consent Calendar	
		Clerk O'Brien	Consent Calendar, Senate Bills, Third Reading	
		Speaker Lechowicz	Vote on Consent Calendar	
55		Houlihan	Question on S.B. 1071	
		Speaker Lechowicz	Takes S.B. 1071 off Con. Cal.	
		Ryan		
		Speaker Lechowicz	Discussion	
		Houlihan	S.B. 1071 remains on Con. Cal.	
56		Speaker Lechowicz	Con. Cal. passes, S.B. 3rd Rdg.	
		Clerk O'Brien	S.B. 1104, 3rd Reading	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Epton	Explains the bill	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		D. Houlihan	
57		Speaker Lechowicz	S.B. 1104 passed
		Clerk O'Brien	S.B. 1107, 3rd Rdg.
		Speaker Lechowicz	
58		Epton	Explains the Bill
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		D. Houlihan	Question of Sponsor
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		D. Houlihan	
		Epton	Discussion
	2:00	Speaker Lechowicz	S.B. 1107 is passed
		Clerk O'Brien	S.B. 1189
		Speaker Lechowicz	Take out of record
		Clerk O'Brien	S.B. 1209
		Speaker Lechowicz	
59		Ewing	Explains bill
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		J. Dunn	Yield?
60		Ewing	Discussion
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Conti	Yield?
	2:05	Ewing	Discussion
		Speaker Lechowicz	
61		McClain	Support
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Polk	Oppose



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	18.
		Speaker Lechowicz		
62		Hoffman	Oppose	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
63		Giorgi	Support	
		Speaker Lechowicz	S.B. 1209	
		Ewing	To close	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Simms	Support	
64		Speaker Lechowicz	S.B. 1209 passed	
		Clerk O'Brien	S.B. 1243, 3rd Rdg.	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Richmond		
		Speaker Lechowicz		
	2:12	Totten	Yield?	
		Richmond	Discussion	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
65		Dyer	Yield?	
		Richmond	Discussion	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
66		Skinner		
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		R. Dunn	Support	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
67		Griesheimer	Yield?	
		Richmond	Discussion	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
68		DiPrima		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	19.
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Waddell	Question?	
		Richmond	Discussion	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Ebbesen	Question	
		Richmond	Discussion	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
69	2:20	Matijevich		
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Birchler		
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Mahar		
		Speaker Lechowicz	S.B. 1243 passed	
70		Beatty	Leave, etc.	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Clerk O'Brien	S.B. 1097, 3rd Rdg.	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Molloy	Leave it	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Leverenz	Leave to return to 2nd Rdg.	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Daniels	Question	
71		Leverenz	Discussion	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Daniels	Request take out of record	
72		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Leverenz		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Lechowicz	Take out of record
		Clerk O'Brien	S.B. 1310, 3rd Rdg.
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Getty	
73	2:29	Speaker Lechowicz	S.B. 1310 passed
		Clerk Hall	S.B. 1319, 3rd Rdg.
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Mahar	Explains S.B. 1319
		Speaker Lechowicz	
74		D. Houlihan	Question
75		Mahar	Discussion
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Houlihan	Addresses Bill
76		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Marovitz	Yield?
77		Mahar	Discussion
		Speaker Lechowicz	
78		Geo-Karis	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Satterthwaite	Discussion
79		Mahar	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
80		Leinenweber	Explains vote
		Speaker Lechowicz	S.B. 1319 fails
		Clerk O'Brien	S.B. 1322
		Speaker Lechowicz	Take out of record
		Hoxsey	Change on on S.B. 1209



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	21.
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Campbell	Change vote on S.B. 1209	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
81		Darrow	Explains S.B. 1322	
		Speaker Lechowicz	S.B. 1322 is passed	
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads S.B. 1338	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Friedland	Explains S.B. 1338	
		Speaker Lechowicz	S.B. 1338 is passed	
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads S.B. 1342	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
82		Bartulis	Explains S.B. 1342	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		McBroom	Yield?	
		Bartulis	Discussion	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Peters		
		Speaker Lechowicz	S.B. 1342 is passed	
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads S.B. 1343	
83		Griesheimer	Explains S.B. 1343	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Byers	Yield?	
		Griesheimer	Discussion	
		Speaker Lechowicz	S.B. 1343 is passed	
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads S.B. 1367	
		Speaker Lechowicz	S.B. 1367, take out of record	
84		Clerk O'Brien	Reads S.B. 1373	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	22.
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Rigney	Explains S.B. 1373	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
85		Hudson	Yield?	
86		Rigney	Discussion	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Kelly	Yield?	
		Rigney	Discussion	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
87		Skinner	Yield?	
		Rigney	Discussion	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Boucek	Moves previous question	
		Speaker Lechowicz	Hold!	
		Geo-Karis	Yield?	
2nd Tape				
88		Rigney	Discussion	
	3:05	Speaker Lechowicz	S.B. 1373 passed	
		Bluthardt		
		Speaker Lechowicz		
89		Bluthardt		
		Geo-Karis	Leave, etc.	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 168, 3rd Rdg.	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Telcser		
		Speaker Lechowicz		
	3:07	D. Houlihan	Question	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	23.
90		Telcser	Discussion	
		Speaker Lechowicz	H.B. 160 passed	
		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 1599, 3rd Rdg.	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Kempiners	Sponsor	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
91		J. Dunn	Support	
		Speaker Lechowicz	passed	
		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 2269, 3rd Rdg.	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Ryan		
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		J. Dunn		
		Speaker Lechowicz		
92		Houlihan	Question	
		Ryan	Discussion	
		Speaker Lechowicz	passed	
		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 2378, 3rd Rdg.	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
	3:15	D. Houlihan		
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Ryan	Support	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
93		Telcser		
		Speaker Lechowicz	H.B. 2378 passed	
		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 2397, 3rd Rdg.	
		Speaker Lechowicz		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	24.
94		Kane		
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		C.M. Stiehl	Support	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Kosinski	Question	
		Kane	Discussion	
95		Speaker Lechowicz	Passed H.B. 2403 back to 2nd Rdg.	
		Peters		
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Clerk O'Brien	Amt. #6	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Taylor		
		Speaker Lechowicz	Take out of record	
	3:22	Giorgi	Reconsider S.B. 1322	
96		Speaker Lechowicz	Vote on S.B. 1322 reconsidered	
		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 2409, 3rd Rdg.	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Kane		
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Schlickman	Yield?	
97		Kane	Discussion	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
98		Friedrich	Oppose	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		C.M. Stiehl	Support	
		Speaker Lechowicz		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Kucharski	Question
99		Kane	Discussion
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Skinner	
100		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Mahar	Question
		Kane	Discussion
		Speaker Lechowicz	
	3:34	Kane	To close
101		Speaker Lechowicz	123 ayes, 33 nays H.B. 2409 passed
		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 2403, Amt. #6
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Mugalian	Questions germaneness
		Speaker Lechowicz	Amendment germane
102		Taylor	Proceeds
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Mugalian	Oppose
		Speaker Lechowicz	
103		Taylor	Not speaking to Amt.
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Mugalian	Discussion
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		J. Houlihan	Yield?
		Speaker Lechowicz	
104		G. Barnes	Asks Bill not be called
		Speaker Lechowicz	Peter's request



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	26.
105		Peters		
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		G. Barnes	Wants to move H.B. 2403 back to 3rd Rdg.	
	3:45	Madigan		
106		Speaker Lechowicz		
107		Telcser	Oppose	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		J. Houlihan		
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Satterthwaite	Point of order	
108		Speaker Lechowicz		
		J. Houlihan	Question	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Peters		
		Speaker Lechowicz	Take out of record	
		J. Houlihan		
		Speaker Lechowicz		
109		Clerk O'Brien	S.B. 679, 3rd Rdg.	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Neff		
110		Speaker Lechowicz	Passed	
		Clerk O'Brien	S.B. 66, 3rd Rdg.	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Skinner		
		Speaker Lechowicz		
111		Darrow		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Skinner	
	3:56	Speaker Lechowicz	S.B. 66 passed
112		Clerk O'Brien	S.B. 73, 3rd Rdg.
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Johnson	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Byers	Yield?
		Johnson	Discussion
		Speaker Lechowicz	
113		Wolf	Question
		Johnson	Discussion
114		Griesheimer	Oppose
		Johnson	Discussion
		Speaker Lechowicz	
115		Leinenweber	Oppose
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Darrow	Yield?
116		Johnson	Discussion
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Clerk O'Brien	Amt. #1
		Johnson	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Johnson	Take out of record
		Speaker Lechowicz	
117	4:05	Conti	S.B. 66, leave to vote no
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Reed	H.B. 2409, leave to vote yes



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	28.
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Campbell	H.B. 2409, leave to vote yes	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Clerk O'Brien	S.B. 153, 3rd Rdg.	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Rigney		
118		Speaker Lechowicz	passed	
		Deuster		
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Clerk Hall	S.B. 161, 3rd Rdg.	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
	4:10	Deuster		
		Speaker Lechowicz		
119		Walsh	Oppose	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Deuster	To close on S.B. 161	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Deuster	Postponed Consideration?	
120		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Deuster	Poll of absentees	
		Speaker Lechowicz	Postponed Consideration	
		Modison	Objection raised	
		Speaker Lechowicz	Dumps Roll Call	
121	4:12	Satterthwaite	Supports S.B. 161	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Levin	Supports	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Conti	Against Bill
122		Speaker Lechowicz	S.B. 161, Postponed Consideration
		Clerk Hall	Reads S.B. 198
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Matijevec	Explains S.B. 198
		Speaker Lechowicz	S.B. 198 is passed
		Clerk Hall	Reads S.B. 206
123		Terzich	Explains S.B. 206
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Hart	Yield?
124		Terzich	Take out of record
		Speaker Lechowicz	S.B. 207
		Clerk Hall	S.B. 207, 3rd Rdg.
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Terzich	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Griesheimer	Yield?
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Griesheimer	
125		Terzich	Discussion
		Speaker Lechowicz	
126		Leinenweber	
		Terzich	Discussion
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Friedrich	
		Speaker Lechowicz	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	30.
127		Terzich	To close, take out of record	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Terzich	Personal privilege	
128		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Vitek		
		Speaker Lechowicz		
	4:30	Mann	Objection to H.R. 340, back to Committee	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Giorgi	Agrees with Mann	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Telcser	Agrees with Mann	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
129		Keats	Agrees with Mann	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Matijevec	Agrees	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Leverenz	What is it?	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
130	4:32	Collins	Speaker on H.R. 340	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Sandquest	Opposes	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
131		Mann		
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Simms	Moves motion dies on the table	
		Speaker Lechowicz		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	31.
		Collins	Moves to reconsider vote	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Mann		
		Clerk Hall	Reads H.R. 340	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Mann		
		Speaker Lechowicz		
132		Clerk Hall	Reads H.R. 340	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Giorgi		
		Speaker Lechowicz		
133		Collins	Suspend rules for immediate consideration	
	4:40	Speaker Lechowicz		
		Mann	Explains vote	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Waddell	Out of order	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Mann	Verification	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
134		Geo-Karis	Explains vote	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Walsh		
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Friedrich	Explains votes	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
135		Wolf	Explains vote	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	32.
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Collins	Explains vote	
136		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Greiman	Explains vote	
	4:47	Speaker Lechowicz		
		Mann		
		Speaker Lechowicz		
137		Collins	Out of order	
		Speaker Lechowicz	Asks to contain remarks	
		Mann		
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Matijeich	Move to adjourn	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Mann		
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Simms		
		Speaker Lechowicz	Point of order	
		Matijeich		
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Von Boeckman		
138		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Collins	Poll of absentees	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		Barnes	Vote 'aye'	
		Speaker Lechowicz		
		O'Brien		
		Speaker Lechowicz	Poll absentees	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Clerk Hall	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Clerk Hall	Continues Poll of absentees
		Speaker Lechowicz	Verify Roll Call
		Clerk Hall	
		Speaker Lechowicz	Members be in their seats
139		Clerk Hall	Continues verification
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Collins	Withdraws Motion
		Speaker Lechowicz	Announcement
		Barnes	Waive proper rules to hear S.B. on Monday
		Speaker Lechowicz	Leave granted
		Clerk Hall	Consent Calendar, 2nd Day, 2nd Rdg.
140		Speaker Lechowicz	#rd Rdg.
		Madigan	Move adjourn till Monday
		Speaker Lechowicz	Adjourned till twelve o'clock Monday



Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1. Totten. Amends House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment #22 on page 1, line 21 by deleting 'that' and inserting in lieu thereof, 'the last known' and on page 1, line 31 by deleting 'any' and inserting in lieu thereof, 'for the latest known' and on page 3, line 28 by deleting '1975' and inserting in lieu thereof, '1976'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Totten on Amendment #1."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Maurice Scott, from the Tax Federation, suggested the language that...in the Amendment. It's kind of clean up language and it brings it up to date from 1975 to 1976. And to answer the Speaker's question regarding what 1975 to '76 is going to mean, it would mean taking advantage of more money, specifically in schools, for the Distributive Fund and it's clean up and technical and I would move for its adoption."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1. Any discussion? All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed. Amendment #1 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. Jack, how about going to Consent Calendar. Third Reading."

Clerk O'Brien: "Consent Calendar..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Page 20, Ladies and Gentlemen, Consent Calendar."

Clerk O'Brien: "Consent Calendar, Third Reading, Second Day appears Senate Bill 241, 439, 443, 446, 448, 538, 560, 562, 691, 817, 843, 856, 913, 935, 936, 937, 938, 952, 980, 1071, 1102 and 1161. These Bills have been read a third time previously."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall the Consent Calendar read by the Clerk, be adopted? All those in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'nay'. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Houlihan, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"



Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, I was under the impression that Senate Bill 1071 had been removed from the Consent Calendar."

Speaker Lechowicz: "All right, we'll remove... Has it been removed from the Consent Calendar, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Not to my knowledge."

Houlihan: "Well, I had filed a removal..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "All right, excluding Senate Bill 1071. Have all voted who wished? The Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "What Bill did you just take off the Consent Calendar, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Which one was removed?"

Ryan: "That's right. Why don't you take the Consent Calendar out of the record until we find out what's on it for sure."

Speaker Lechowicz: "We just read them."

Ryan: "Well, evidently you didn't read them right because Representative Houlihan just asked you to take one off."

Speaker Lechowicz: "No. He said he filed... Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan: "I filed previously."

Ryan: "Well, what are we voting on here, Mr. Speaker? Did you read the list?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Senate Bills 241, 439, 443, 446, 448, 538, 560, 562, 691, 817, 843, 856, 913, 935, 936, 937, 938, 952, 980, 1102 and 1161. Page 20 and 21 on the Calendar, Sir."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, I was in error. Senate Bill 1071 should remain on the Calendar as it has been printed on the Calendar."

Speaker Lechowicz: "And including Senate Bill 1071. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 125 'ayes', no 'nay' 23 recorded as 'present'. These Bills, having received the Constitutional Majority, are hereby declared passed. The Gentleman from Kane, Representative Schoeberlein requests to be recorded as 'aye' on the Consent Calendar. Are there any objections? Hearing none, kindly record Representative Schoeberlein as 'aye' on those Bills. What we're trying to do is to find out where we finished off yesterday on the Priority



continuances, which are extremely important and a really significant problem in 1977 in the Criminal Justice System."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any further discussion? The Gentleman from... Representative Katz, to close."

Katz: "I think that it will be a positive approach to solving the problems relating to delay in the criminal justice system while still respecting the Constitutional separation of powers here in Illinois, by requesting the Supreme Court to make the study."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall House Joint Resolution 45 be adopted? All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 117 'ayes', no 'nays', none recorded as 'present'. House Joint Resolution 45 is adopted. Committee Reports."

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative E.M. Barnes, Chairman of the Committee on Appropriations II to which the following Bills were referred. Action taken June 17, 1977, reported the same back with the following recommendation. Do pass, House Bill 362, 479 and 750. That's House Bill 362, Senate Bill 479, Senate Bill 750. Do pass, as amended Senate Bill 543."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Totten.

Representative Totten, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Totten: "You going to go back to Constitutional Amendments?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Constitutional Amendment 22?"

Totten: "Right."

Speaker Lechowicz: "All right, Constitutional Amendment #22. The Gentleman asks leave to bring that item back..."

Totten: "It is on Second. I just want to put an Amendment on it."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Second Reading, Constitutional Amendment #22."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment #22. This Resolution has been read a second time previously."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

