Doorkeeper: "Attention Members of the House of Representatives,

the House will convene in fifteen minutes."

Doorkeeper: "All persons not entitled to the House floor, please

retire to the gallery."

Speaker Redmond: "The House will come to order, Members please be in their seats. We will be led in prayer by the

Reverend Krueger, the House Chaplain."

Reverend Krueger: "In the name of the Father, the Son and the

Holy Ghost. Amen. O Lord, bless this House to Thy service this day. Amen. Henry Wadsworth Longfellow wrote: Success is nothing more than doing whatever you do without thought of fame. If it comes at all, it will come because it is deserved, not because it is

created us alone to worship Thee and to adore Thee, to love our neighbors as we would love ourselves; grant that all men everywhere may seek Thee out and find Thee.

sought after. Let us pray. Almighty God, who hast

We pray Thee this day for the Members of this House of Representatives that as they work at the legislative process for the State of Illinois, they may so do to

perfect that which is to the good of the people of this state and in harmony with Thy heavenly plan. This we ask in the name of Him who was given to us that we might know

how to live in Thy will. Amen."

Speaker Redmond: "Consent Calendar, Second Reading, Second Day,

page 6."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 995, a Bill for an Act to amend the Public Aid Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate

Bill 1645, a Bill for an Act in relation to the disposition of certain Federal funds received in connection with capital improvement projects. Second Reading of the

Bill. Senate Bill 1653, a Bill for an Act to vacate land in Coles County. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate

Bill 1654, a Bill for an Act to vacate land in Coles
County. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1655,



a Bill for an Act to vacate land in Coles County. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1656, a Bill for an Act to vacate land in Coles County. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1657, a Bill for an Act to vacate land in Coles County. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1658, a Bill for an Act to vacate land in Coles County. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1659, a Bill for an Act to vacate land in Coles County. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1660, a Bill for an Act to vacate land in Coles County. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1661, a Bill for an Act to vacate land in Coles County. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1662, a Bill for an Act to vacate land in Coles County. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1663. a Bill for an Act to vacate land for highway purposes. Second Reading of the Bill, Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee. Senate Bill 1664, a Bill for an Act to vacate land in Coles County. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee, Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1689, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act in relation to sale of tickets to certain places of entertainment or amusement. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1692, a Bill for an Act to vacate land in Clark County. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1693, a Bill for an Act to vacate land in Clark County. Second Reading of the Bill, Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee. Senate Bill 1694, a Bill for an Act to vacate land in Clark County. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1695, a Bill for an Act to vacate land in Clark County. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1696, a Bill for an Act to vacate land in Clark County. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1697, a Bill for an Act to vacate land in Clark County. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1848, a Bill for an Act to authorize the Department of Transportation to convey certain land in Coles County. Second Reading of



the Bill, Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee. Backing up to Senate Bill 1657, a Bill for an Act to vacate land

in Coles County. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee,

Second Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Consent Calendar, Third Reading. Representative

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, would you please explain what the Clerk was just doing?"

Speaker Redmond: "We moved Consent Calendar, Second Reading,

Second Day to Third Reading. We started on page 6. Roll

Call for attendance. Representative Ryan are there any Republicans who's absence should be excused?"

Ryan: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. Representative Peters, Representative

Molloy and Representative Abramson, due to illness."

Speaker Redmond: "Any objection to the absences to the....will you repeat those please Abramson, Waddell and who else?"

Ryan: "Abramson, Molloy and Peters. Not Waddell, I didn't say Waddell. Abramson, Molloy and Peters."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay. Representative Madigan are there any

Democrats who's absences should be excused?"

Madigan: "Not that I know of, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "On Order of Constitutional Amendments, Third

Reading. House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment

#44, appears on that order. Representative Totten is

recognized."

Totten: "Mr. Speaker, could we...."

Speaker Redmond: "Who is that standing at Representative Totten's

place? Oh, is that Representative Totten? Proceed."

Totten: "Mr. Speaker, could we come back to this a little later

until I get my file put together?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Totten, the Clerk is on his way to see you. Representative Neff, would you look at Rule 9 (e), with respect to Senate Joint Resolution #722

Representative Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I have House Joint Constitutional



Amendment #44 on Third Reading. I would like to bring it back to Second so the Clerk could read an Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Does the Gentleman....we're on the Order of

House Joint Constitutional Amendments in which appears
House Joint Constitutional Amendment #44. Representative

Totten has been recognized and he has asked leave to return #44 to the Order of Second Reading for the purpose

of an Amendment. Does he have leave? Hearing no objection, leave is granted. It appears on page 8 of the Calendar.

Representative Vitek, are you seeking recognition? Read the Amendment, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amndment #1, amends House Joint Resolution and Constitutional Amendment #44 on page 1, line 8, by deleting Section 9. And on page 1, by deleting line 9, and inserting

in lieu thereof, the following. Article 9 of the Constitution by adding Section 11 thereto, and adding Section to read as follows: And by deleting line 11 through 35 on page 1, and all of page 2, and inserting in lieu

thereof, the following. Section 11, limitations on total of state taxes on the share committed to local governments and on ad valorem personal property taxes, prohibition of

mandate of local programs without state funding. (a),

the state for any fiscal year. The state shall not impose taxes of any kind which, together with all other revenues of the state, except revenues received from the Federal

there is hereby established a limit on the taxes imposed by

this Section. The revenue limit for any fiscal year shall be equal to the product of the ratio of total state revenues in fiscal year 1980 to the personal income of

Government, exceed the revenue limit established in

Illinois in calendar year 1979 multiplied by either the personal income of Illinois in the prior calendar year

or the average of the personal income of Illinois in

the previous three calendar years, whichever is greater.

'Personal income of Illinois' is the total income received



by persons in Illinois from all sources, as defined and officially reported by the United States Government in (sic) Commerce or its successor agency. 'Total State Revenues' include all public funds received by the state, except public funds received from the Federal Government and contributions to and earnings of trust funds in the custody of the State Treasurer. (b) For any fiscal year in the event that total state revenue exceed the revenue limit established in subsection (a) of this Section by less than 1% this excess shall be transferred to an Emergency Fund. Expenditures from this fund may be made only upon the Governor's declaration of an emergency situation and the affirmative vote of three-fifths of the Members elected to each House of the General Assembly. The revenue limit of subsection (a) of this Section may be exceeded only if all of the following conditions are met. The Governor requests the Legislature to declare an emergency. 2. The request is specific as to the nature of the emergency, the dollar amount of the emergency, and the method by which the emergency will be funded and 3. The General Assembly thereafter declares an emergency in accordance with the Governor's request by a two-thirds of Its Members elected to each House. The revenue limit may be exceeded only during the fiscal year for which the emergency is declared and the emergency must be declared in accordance with this subsection prior to incurring any of the expenses which constitute the emergency request. (c) For any fiscal year in the event that total state revenues exceed the revenue limit established in this subsection (a) of this Section by more tha

1%, the excess revenues shall be distributed by the Governor and the State Treasurer to the various state pension funds established by law in the portion of the respective actuarial reserve deficiencies of the several funds. If the acturalal reserve deficiencies of all those

fun

are eliminated the excess shall be used to retire outstanding general obligation bonds of the State. For any fiscal year that proportion of state expenditures paid to all units of local government and school districts shall not be reduced below that proportion paid in fiscal year 1980. No new program or increase in the level of services under an existing program shall be mandated by the Legislature to units of local government or school districts unless a state appropriation has been enacted to pay the costs of the mandated program or service. In the event the substantial costs of any such state mandated program or services are not paid to a unit of local govern ment or school district, such mandate shall be void as to such unit or district. (e) Units of local government or school districts are hereby prohibited from levying any general ad valorem taxes imposed upon real property for all purposes not authorized by law or charter after the effective date of this Section or from increasing the rate of an existing tax above that rate authorized by law or charter after the effective date of this Section, without the approval of the qualified electors of that unit of local government or school district voting thereon. If the definition of the base of an existing tax is broadened, the maximum authorized rate of taxation of the new base in each unit of local government or school district shall be reduced to yield the same estimated gross revenue as on the prior base. If the assessed valuation of property as finally equalized, excluding the value of new construction and improvements, increases in excess of the increase in the General Price Level of the previous year, the maximum authorized rate applied thereto in each unit of local government or school district shall be reduced to yield the same gross revenue from existing property, adjusted for changes in the General Price Level, as could have been collected at the existing authorized rate on the



prior assessed value. The limitations of this subsection shall not apply to taxes imposed for the payment of principal or interest on bonds or other evidence of indebtedness or for the payments of assessments to contract obligations in anticipation of which bonds are issued which were authorized prior to the effective date of this Amendment. 'General Price Level' means the Consumer Price Index for the United States as defined and officially reported by the United States Department of Labor or its successor agency. (f) Any taxes imposed to replace the revenue lost due to the abolishment of personal property taxes under subsection (c) of Section 5 of Article IX shall not be ... state taxes for the purposes of this Section and the proceeds of such taxes shall not be...included in the consumption (sic) under subsection (d) of the proposition (sic) of state expenditures distributed to units of local government and school districts. Schedule: If approved by the electors, this Amendment takes effect July 1, next occurring after its objection. (sic) First Reading of the Amendment." Speaker Redmond: "Representative Totten, on the Amendment. Please give the Gentleman order." Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #1 to House Joint Constitutional Amendment #44 does several things. #44 originally was a bond limit proposal that apparently doesn't have the support of the Members of this House. So what I have chosen to do is strike the language regarding the bond limit and put in a subject which is probably much more topical

and which I think has the support of most Members of the General Assembly. And it's probably to some extent a reaction to not only to what's happening in this state

Constitutional Amendment, House Joint Constitutional #44, is similar measures that appeared before this House last fall, in the Taxpayers Rights Amendment which limited

but in many states throughout this country today.

What I have included in Amendment #1 to House Joint



state taxes. We have made two major changes in the proposal as it appears before you today as Amendment #1. What I would like to do is to adopt Amendment #1 and then go through the three readings and debate the subject on Third Reading in this House, probably at no more timely point than at this time in the Session of our General Assembly. The major changes in Amendment #1 are twofold, first of all we've eliminated the set percentage that appeared in the Taxpayer's Rights Amendment and used the formula which is much less restrictive on state government. It would mean that we could not reduce, below present levels of service and taxes, the present tax structure. in this state. There would be no cut backs as alluded to in the previous debate on the Taxpayer's Rights Amendment. Secondly, the subject area that appears to come most heavily to press on us is that of property taxes. And House Joint Constitutional Amendment #44 with Amendment #1 addresses in three areas the point dealing with property taxes. #1, it says we cannot reduce the proportion of monies going to local governments below....that would be when the Amendment is passed. Secondly, it says that we cannot mandate programs on state...on local units of government without including the monies. And third and most importantly, it says that there is a lid on property taxes and that property taxes cannot be increased...the final yield cannot be increased above the CPI or the inflation rate for the prior year. I can probably best explain it this way by saying....or by citing this example. Should the assessed valuation of a piece of property be twenty thousand dollars and the tax rate levy be twenty....thirty mills, it would produce six: hundred dollars in taxes. Should that property tax rate or that assessed valuation go up to thirty thousand, you would have to roll back the mill rate to produce the same tax yields, six hundred dollars. In that example the



mill rate would be twenty. It could then be indexed by the CPI, if it were 7% inflation rate it would mean the tax Bill would be six hundred and forty-two dollars. It also includes that should new construction be in the taxing district area, that is exempted from the tax based but added in at the new roll back rate. I've talked to local units of government over the week-end about this proposal there are no objections to it. It is a much more moderate proposal than that which existed in California under Jarvis-Gand. But let me remind the Members of this House. that it was December 16, 1773, that there was a revolution in this country over taxation without representation. Today in this country and most recently in California, there has been a revolt over taxation with representation If we don't recognize what is happening in this country we soon will be swept from office because this is the most predominate issue on people's minds, its taxes. I'd ask your permission to adopt Amendment #1 so that we can address House Joint Constitutional Amendment #44 as a tax limit proposal."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Will the Speaker....will the Sponsor yield for a question please?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Geo-Karis: "Representative, I take it that in your Amendment....
what you've done is put some escape hatches, so to speak,
in the event this Constitutional Amendment is passed...
so that we will not be in the position where we are limited
and so limited that are services will be cut down. Am
I correct?"

Totten: "That is correct."

Geo-Karis: "So, in other words what you have done is remodel
your Constitutional Amendment #22 and made it a little
more feasible and added on to your present Constitutional
Amendment Resolution?"



Totten: "You could say this, that Constitutional Amendment #44 with Amendment #1 is twice as good as #22."

Geo-Karis: "And....I understand from your explanation...it's

hard to hear in here but from what I could hear in here...

from your explanation that your Amendment does not re-

strict the state debt to simply as being a half billion

dollars."

Totten: "Would you come back with the question please."

Geo-Karis: "I'm sorry, I can't hear."

Totten: "Would you repeat the question please."

Geo-Karis: "I'm following...trying to follow the Digest because

I don't have a copy of your Amendment. Did you change the Resolution, your Constitutional Amendment Resolution so that the debt of the General....the debt authorized by the General Assembly could exceed three and a half billion dollars...."

Totten: "Representative Geo-Karis, all the language regarding debt has been stricken from the Amendment. It deals only

with tax limitation at both the state and local level."

Geo-Karis: "And under your Amendment....your Amendment to the

Amendment so to speak, will you explain just briefy to me because I'm....I thought I caught it but there was all this din....I might have missed it. In essence what you're doing by your Amendment is increasing the....would you mind explaining it, I think it would be better if you would."

Totten: "Okay, I'm sorry. It first of all has nothing to do with bond limits anymore. The Amendment strikes all the language having to do with bonding. It incorporates a good portion of the language that existed in House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment #22...dealing with limits on state taxes. Rather than having a set percentage in the limit. What it does is construct a formula, that formula for example if this Amendment were

part of the Constitution today would resolve in a limit



equal to 8.36% which would be considerably over the present available revenue. So it would not cut any existing services."

Geo-Karis: "How do you get to that percentage, this is what I'm driving at."

Totten: "Okay. You take the total state revenue for a base year, in this case we couldn't pass this Amendment until 1980. So you take the total face revenue for fiscal year 1980, divide it for the personal income for calendar year '79, which is a known figure that gives you a percentage. Then you multiply that times the personal income for the prior calendar year and that gives you a dollar limit. Then there is a option in there, that should personal income reflect a recession that you can use an optional formula which would be constructed the same way except you could use the average personal income for the previous three calendar years, whichever is greater."

Geo-Karis: "All right, now when you talk about the personal income of everyone in the state. You're talking...."

Speaker Redmond: "Please give the Lady order."

Geo-Karis: "When you're talking of the personal income as
 recorded, I take it in the state income tax returns and
 so forth. Am I correct?"

Totten: "It is the total personal income as defined by the

U.S. Department of Commerce on a quarterly basis for the

State of Illinois as it is for every state. Approximately
eighty-five billion dollars for the State of Illinois
right now."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of this Amendment.

There is no doubt in my mind that the people are getting fed up, they are being taxed practically to death and even thereafter. We've got to do something and I think that the Amendment proposed by the Sponsor is a good one, it doesn't limit the expense for services as



the prior Constitutional Amendment #22 did and I support this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stuffle."

Stuffle: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield?"

level increase. Is that correct?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Stuffle: "Representative Totten a couple of questions on subsection (e) of Section 11. As I read it, it is my understanding that there is an increase in assessed valuation
of property. That the limitation placed upon the increased
taxes is a limitation in effect up to the general price

Totten: "The general price level or the CPI is effect on the yield not on the assessed valuation."

Stuffle: "It says, if the assessment as finally equalized excluding n construction, goes up above, I assume a percentage let's say 7% against a 7% CPI. That would be where the lid would be tacked on the rate."

Totten: "No, the lid...."

Stuffle: "The rate would be reduced?"

Totten: "The rate would be reduced to provide the same yield

Stuffle: "As your Amendment is written, it refers to using...the

then the yield would be increased by the CPI."

reduction would come from the maximum authorized rate as applied to the assessments. What if a district were in a situation where it had an authorized three dollar rate and it had only levied say, two ninety the year before, would they then in turn be able to reduce from the actual three dollar authorization the coming year or would they have to reduce from the rate that had levied which was two ninety? What I'm driving at, that situation

could defeat, in some extent, the purpose of this by letting them have the CPI increase in assessment and then rolling

back from a higher rate than they'd actually used."

Totten: "Yes, I think in response to your question, Representative

Stuffle. If they levied and produced more revenue than



used, it would not effect it. But what the Amendment says is that if you levy at a certain rate and you produce a certain amount of revenue that you cannot produce more than that revenue than you did the prior year.

And if your assessed valuation goes up you must reduce

levying more than you were using it wouldn't effect it."

your rates to produce the same revenue. If you were

Stuffle: "But you would still get the CPI increase in assessment and you would get all new construction parcel value."

Totten: "Okay, on the new construction provision....if for example you had a million dollar base that you were assessing on you had....say a twenty mill rate, okay.

You would produce, I think twenty thousand. Okay, if assessed valuation went up to a million five, you would have to reduce the rate to produce the same yield...

twenty thousand. Then in regards to new construction, if a half a million dollars of new construction was added you would have to apply the reduce rate to that.

Okay, that means for fast growing areas they would not be effected by a lid because the new properties going in which require new services would be take care of by that

Stuffle: "Thank you, Representative Totten. To the Amendment,

I would rise in support of the Amendment, I think Representative Totten has not only listered to the pulse of the people in this state and throughout the country but I think he has cleaned up his Amendment from what was sent to the Senate which I have to admit, I voted against then because I thought it poorly written and poorly defined. But I think this Amendment goes a long way towards what each of us has been trying to do and have been talking about. And for those reasons it ought to receive a green light now and in its final form."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Pierce."

exemption."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, would the Gentleman from Cook yield to



a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Pierce: "Representative Totten, your original Constitutional
Amendment #44 had nothing to do with the real estate
tax relief. If that correct? It had to do with the
state bonding and state expenditures, is that correct?"

Totten: "That's correct."

Pierce: "And the same is true with your so called Taxpayer's

Amendment which was approved in Revenue Committee last

year, passed the House and went over to the Senate. Is
that correct?"

Totten: "That's correct."

Pierce: "Now could it be, could this Amendment have been caused by the California referendum last Tuesday that convienced you that now the voters are more interested in real estate tax relief and homeowners relief than they are in the type of tax refief that you first offered them last year?"

Totten: "Well, I think it has helped bring to my attention both the alarm over the increases in state spending throughout the nation. And the accelerating yields that assessed valuations are creating on property taxes. Californians reacted to the property tax increase in a dramatic fashion. And my introduction of this proposal now, although it has been in the works for some time, is one in response to the requests of many Members of this General Assembly and many people in this state that we ought to include both state tax and property tax lids in a Constitutional Amendment. That is similar to what is going to be on the ballot in the State of Michigan. A property tax lid and a state tax lid, it plugs both loopholes."

Pierce: "Did you support the circuit breaker legislation that

I introduced, that passed the House that applied tax

relief to homeowners and renters when the real estate



taxes was over 3½% of their income...household income regardless of whether they were over sixty-five, as long as their total income was under thirty thousand. Did you support that Bill?"

Totten: "No, I don't think I did."

Pierce: "All right, that Bill passed out....on the question,

Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Pierce: "That Bill has passed the House of Representatives and is before the State Senate. It too is modeled after

The State of Michigan has passed circuit breaker relief for taxpayers regardless of age, whose real estate taxes on their homes and renters whose taxes figured at 30% of their tax being real estate tax or their rent being real estate taxes has exceeded certain percentage 3½%

of their household income. It would seem to me that the

Michigan's.... I wish the Sponsor would listen to this.

Gentleman is coming late to the question of homeowners relief. I can never understand why he was always so concerned with income tax relief for the big corporations for the wealthy and forgot homeowners relief for the retired homeowner, for the people who are disabled, for the

people who can't work or out of work for the widows....of all ages who need it. Homeowner tax relief. Finally.... finally the lesson of California is even getting through to some of our people who have been crying about our

our 4% corporate income tax rate is one of the lowest in the country. They've been crying about those income tax rates while forgetting the homeowner and the tremendous

2½% income tax rate, one of the lowest in the country, and

real estate taxes he's paying, one of the highest in the country. So I congratulate the Sponsor....I congratulate the Sponsor with the help of, I take it, Representative

Lechowicz and others who have finally put together a Constitutional Amendment that will give some real estate



tax relief to the homeowner. I'm just sorry that it took the California referendum last Tuesday to do that, where he could have supported a Bill...a good Bill that passed the House here, Sponsored by myself and with the backing of the Illinois Public Action Council that gives circuit breaker relief to people regardless of their age in the lower and middle income groups. And with that comment,

I'm now in the position to support this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Yourell: "Representative Totten, is it my understanding with

House Amendment #1 to House Joint Constitutional Amendment #44 that you have incorporated in that Amendment to the Amendment the provisions of House Bill 3112 and 3113 that I passed out of here a week or so ago that would not allow the state to mandate programs back to units of local government unless they are willing to pay for them?"

Totten: "That is correct."

Yourell: "So that the provision of my House Bill 3112 and 3113 are now contained in Amendment #1."

Totten: Thank you very much, I'm delighted to support the Amend-ment and the Constitutional Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Parliamentarian in the chamber? If he is please come to the podium. Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Are we still considering this excellent Constitutional
Amendment, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "Well we haven't.....Representative Totten has moved the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Joint Con-

stitutional Amendment #44. I'm calling...."

Skinner: "I'm waiting to vote on it."

Speaker Redmond: "I'm calling on people that sought recognition to discuss or inquire and your light was flashing."

Skinner: "My light is only flashing because the prior speake



so demeaned this excellent Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay."

Skinner: "This is the type of Amendment taht sure is going to cut
the liberals away from the conservatives in this House
and if the Gentleman from Lake wishes to be labeled, as
I suspect he would be accurately labeled a liberal. Let

him vote against it, but let's vote on it."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Brady.".

Brady: "Yes, Mr. Speaker.....a point of order, Mr. Speaker on a parliamentary inquiry. Because I think we are going to have a lot of discussion on this Amendment and other things similar to it coming up. I would like to ask the question of the Chair whether, since the Constitution states, we must pass a Constitutional Amendment six months prior to an election, whether we can even act on this now at this stage of the Session since we're within the six month period. And secondly, if we can when would

Speaker Redmond: "After consultation with the Parliamentarian,

something like this next appear on the ballot?"

it is clear that responding to Representative Brady's second question first. That it could not go on the ballot for this coming November. Now, it isn't clear whether or not if we would adopt such an Amendment whether it would be binding on the next General Assembly. In other words, whether it would go on the ballot during the of the 81st General Assembly. The Parliamentarian has also advised me that he needs some time to research this to see whether or not it is appropriate to take the matter up now due to the foggy and hazy situation as far as the law is concerned. So that would be the ruling, we'll have to come back to it a little bit later on after we've had a chance to research it. But it could not be on the ballot in November of this year. And the question is whether or not having adopted here, it would be on the ballot next time....it is uncertain. That will



be the ruling. Take it out of the record at the present time. Representative Totten."

Totten: "Before you take it out of the record, I understand the Parliamentarian's confusion and many Members of this Houses confusion regarding the procedure with which Constitutional Amendments can appear on a ballot. I have consulted several Members of the Constitutional Convention and the transcripts regarding this proceeding and they feel that it is clear that even though it could not be under the '78 ballot, the provisions of the Constitution would say six months before the next general election means, if we acted on it now it would be on the '80 election and that was my intent or understanding when I wanted to proceed with the Amendment now. There is absolutely no way we can test that proceedings as to. whether it could appear on that ballot unless we actually try and put one on. And for those reasons, I would like to move for the adoption of the Amendment then leave it on....that has to go back for three readings anyway and we can debate that issue then."

think that the original ruling should stand. I will be back to this before too long after which time we have had adequate time to research it. The Order of Business is Senate Bill, Second Reading. Senate Bill, Second Reading 389. Representative Greiman."

Speaker Redmond: "Well on the basis of the uncertainty

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Greiman."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 389, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill, no Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 736. Has the fiscal note

been furnished?"



Clerk O'Brien: "Fiscal note is furnished."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed. Read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 736, a Bill for an Act to exempt from certain occupation and use taxes, machinery and equipment.

Second Reading of the Bill, Amendment #1 failed in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Capparelli...out of the record. 1060, Representative Tipsword. Do you want that one called? 1060...out of the record. 1187."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1187, a Bill for an Act to amend

Second Reading of the Bill, no Committee Amendments."

Sections of an Act relating to water service districts.

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading: 1422."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1422, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of an Act to tax gifts, legacies, inheritances, transfers and so forth. Second Reading of the Bill,

no Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading, 1455."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1455, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill,

no Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1472."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1472, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of the Southwestern Illinois Metropolitan

Regional Planning Act. Second Reading of the Bill, no

Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1519."



Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1519, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of an Act to promote the public health and

comfort of persons employed by providing for one day

of rest in seven. Second Reading of the Bill, no Committee

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1534."
Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1534, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of the Public Community College Act...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan, for what purpose do you arise?"

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I wonder if we could take this out of the record for now."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record, Representative? Okay. Out of the record. 1546."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1546, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act concerning public utilities. Second

Reading of the Bill, no Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Representative Dan Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Could we leave that Bill on Second and take it out of the record. The Sponsor is not here."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay. If we did move it to Third we'll bring it back to the Order of Second Reading and leave it there.

1562...I understand there is an Amendment, Floor Amendmen

on that. We'll take that out of the record. 1565."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1565, a Bill for an Act making an

appropriation to the Capital Development Board. Second Reading of the Bill, no Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?'

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1608."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1608, a Bill for an Act directing



the Department of Administrative Services to sell the
Illinois Youth Center Property in Geneva, Illinois. Second

Reading of the Bill, no Committee Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1617...."

Clerk O'Brien: "Fiscal note request."

Speaker Redmond: "Has it ever been furnished?"

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?'

Clerk O'Brien: "Not yet."

tributed. 1667."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. 1634. I understand there is an Amendment that has not yet been printed and dis-

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1667, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of the Park District Code...."

Speaker Redmond: "An Amendment not printed and distributed on this one. Out of the record."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Senate Bill 1705."

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Lechowicz in the Chair. Senate

Bill 1705, a Bill for an Act to exempt....a Bill for an Act to exempt from certain occupation and use taxes, transfers involving the sale and transfer of tangible personal property. Second Reading of the Bill, no Committee Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1725."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1725, a Bill for an Act to amend

Care Service Plan Act."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Clerk informs me that there is a Floor

Amendment that has not been printed. We will hold the Bill. Take it out of the record. Senate Bill 1737."

Sections of the Illinois Insurance Code, nonprofit Health

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1737, a Bill for an Act to amend



Sections of an Act in relation to compensation of Sheriffs,
Coroners, County Treasurers, County Clerks, Recorders
and Auditors with their necessary clerk hire. Second
Reading of the Bill, no Committee Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1746."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1746, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of the Senior Citizens and Disabled Persons

Property Tax Relief Act. Second Reading of the Bill, no Committee Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Has the fiscal note been filed? The fiscal note has not been filed, the Bill will remain on Second

Reading. Senate Bill 1749."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1749, a Bill for an Act ceding

Concurrent jurisdiction to the United States over the
United States Courthouse Annex and Parking Facilitity in
Chicago, Illinois. Second Reading of the Bill, no Committee

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?" Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1760."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1760...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Houlihan, for what purpose do you seek recognition? Dan Houlihan please."

Houlihan: "Could you hold this Bill on Second, Mr. Speaker, there

some Amendments that are going to be filed?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "1760?"

Amendments."

Houlihan: "Yes."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Yourell."

Yourell: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I had made a request a week or so ago to be expunged as the Chief House....as

the House Sponsor of Senate Bill 1760. It is my understanding



I would like the record to show that I don't want to be on that Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman asks leave, hearing no objection
his name is removed and Representative Levin is the
principal Sponsor. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Levin."

Levin: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I had filed a request with the Clerk to add in addition to my name, Representative Madigan as joint Chief House Sponsor of this Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "So it is Levin and Madigan. The record will so indicate. But the Gentleman, Dan Houlihan request that the Bill be held, it will be held based upon some Amendments. Senate Bill 1783....there is an Amendment not printed on 1783...out of the record. Senate Bill 1784."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1784, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of the Revenue Act. Second Reading of the Bill,

no Committee Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1785....I believe there is an Amendment from the floor....yes, we'll take it out of the record and we'll go over it tomorrow.

Senate Bill 1790."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1790...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is the Amendment distributed? The Amendment

has not been distributed on 1790. Out of the record.

1792....oh, that's out of the record. Senate Bill 1798."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Amendment was filed but not printed.

Out of the record. Senate Bill 1850."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1850, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of the State Employees Group Insurance Act.

Second Reading of the Bill, no Committee Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor? The Gentleman



Cook, Mr. Dan Houlihan."

about the ball game, Ralph."

about a few words coach."

Houlihan: "I wondering if that Bill could be held on Second, apparently we don't have a copy of that Bill here in the House as it came over from the Senate."

Speaker Lechowicz: "We'll take it out of the record. For the purpose of an announcement, the Gentleman from Cook,

Mr. Ralph Capparelli. You're supposed to make an announcement

Capparelli: "For those who left Springfield last Thursday, we won 10 to 2, the House beat the Senate 10 runs to 2 runs.

The director Joe Lucco and Ralph Capparelli. Thank you." Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Madison, Mr. Lucco. How

Lucco: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

Those of you of course who were unfortunate enough to miss the ball game as Coach Capparelli just announced.

The House did defeat the Senate 10 to 2 and we listed the stars of the game...in case you didn't see it. Glenn Schneider hit a home run with 2 men on, Representative Stuffle hit a home run pinch hitting. And the Speaker pro tem in the Chair there, Mr. Theodore, hit a rousing triple. So once again the House defeated the Senate and

Speaker Lechowicz: "It was really a five baser except we're getring kinda old. On the Calendar, page 2, House Bills, Third Reading is House Bill 2580 by Representative Schisler. Do you want that Bill called? Take it out of the

record. House Bill 2863 by Representative Polk. Polk,

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2863...."

2863."

showed their superiority."

Polk: "Mr. Speaker, I don't see my hyphenated Sponsor....take it out of the record."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Okay. House Bill 3128, Representative

Dunn. John Dunn. Take it out of the record. House Bill 3384, Representative Harris. Out of the record.



House Bill 3388 out of the record. House Bill 3393, out of the record. Senate Bills, Third Reading. Senate Bill

of the record. Senate Bills, Third Reading. Senate Bill 82. Mr. Polk, do you want that Bill called? Senate Bill

1394, Mr. Dave Jones. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk, 1394."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1394, a Bill for an Act authorizing the Capital Development Board to grant a permanent roadway easement. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Dave

Jones: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is an easement Bill. It applies to the area where the computer building is now being constructed and it is to vacate an alley and transfer the title to another property to the city. There is no state funds involved and I move its passage at this time."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman asked

that favorable consideration on Senate Bill 1394. All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'nay'. Marco. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 133 'ayes', no 'nays', 1 recorded as 'present'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Record Mulcahey as 'aye'. House Bill 1395, Mr. Davidson...Mr. Jones."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Senate Bill, I'm sorry."

Jones: "....for Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Pardon me?"

Jones: "Take it ... take that one out of the record for now."

Speaker Lechowicz: "1395?"

Jones: "I need to call it back later for an Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "All right. Out of the record. Senate Bill

1847, Mr. Daniels...out of the record. Located on page

2 of the Calendar, House Bills, Second Reading. House

Bill 2739. The Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan, do you



want that Bill called?"

Ryan: "No, let's hold that for now, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Okay. House Bill 2973, Mr. Abramson. Take

that out of the record, he's not on the floor. House Bill

2978, the Lady from Cook, Mrs. Catania. Out of the record.
House Bill 3197, the Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Kane.

Out of the record. House Bill 3237, Mr. Ryan. The Clerk

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3237, a Bill for an Act making
appropriation to the ordinary and contingent expense of
certain agencies of the state government. Second Reading
of the Bill, no Committee Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?'

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. House Bill 3316, Jim Houlihan

are you handling this Bill? On state government...pardon me...out of the record. House Bill 3319, Mr. Madison, do

you want that Bill called? Jesse. Out of the record.

House Bill 3383, Mr. Matijevich...go....out of the record.

3387, Mr. Terzich."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3387, a Bill for an Act making appropriation to the Department of Transportation. Second

Reading of the Bill, no Committee Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Brien: "None."

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. Going back, House Bill

2739. The Clerk will read the Bill. Mr. Ryan."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2739, a Bill for an Act making appropriation to the Department of Business and Economic

Development. Second Reading of the Bill, no Committee

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. House Bill 3392."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3392, a Bill for an Act making



appropriations for certain claims against the state of
Illinois in conformity with awards made by the Court of
Claims. Second Reading of the Bill, no Committee Amend-

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

ments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. House Bill 3395."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3395, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the State Comptroller for reimbursement to John Tebbens. Second Reading of the Bill, no Committee

Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. Agreed Resolutions."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 942, Christensen. 943, Steczo.

944, Steczo and Senate Joint Resolution 86, Conti-Farley."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Giorgi."
Giorgi: "House Resolution 942 by Christensen talks about the French

holiday that he's going to celebrate in his neighborhood. 943

And 944 by Steczo talks about another Eagle Scout Award and
I move for the adoption of the three Agreed Resolutions."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. On the question, the Gentleman

from Cook, Mr. Madigan. The Gentleman has withdrawn...

The Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Giorgi, moves to adopt
the Agreed Resolutions. All in favor signify by saying

'aye', all opposed. The Agreed Resolutions are adopted.

Are there any announcements? What?"

Giorgi: "Withdraw the Senate Joint Resolution, that was not included. 942, 943 and 944 were included. The other

wasn't included. We withdraw that."

Speaker Lehcowicz: "I said the three Resolutions were adopted."

Giorgi: "Okay."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Are there any announcements? The Gentleman



Kankakee, Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Not an announcement but I didn't understand what went

on with the Agreed Resolution , Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "There were three that were adopted and the one to Senate...."

Ryan: "Could you tell me the numbers of the three that were

adopted.'

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Clerk will read the Agreed Resolutions...

numbers. 142, 43 and 44."

Clerk O'Brien: "942, 943 and 944."

Ryan: "Which one did he take out?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Joint Resolution 86."

Ryan: "Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any announcements? The Gentleman from

Lake, Mr. Pierce."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, this isn't exactly an announcement because

I was going to announce what the Revenue Committee was going to do today. But before I announce that, I would like to move to suspend the rule as I started to on Friday, we only have one Bill posted in Revenue Committee and I would like to suspend the rule to....the posting rule to have House Bill....Senate Bill 1753 heard. We posted that Bill the day after our meeting last week but unfortunately the Tuesday Committees are meeting on Monday. And so although we posted that six and a half days before Tuesday, our regular day, it wasn't six and a half days before Monday which this week only is the date that Tuesday Committees are meeting. So when the appropriate time comes, this may not be the appropriate time...I would like to move....to suspend the posting rule on Senate Bill 1753 for Revenue Committee so it could be heard at 2 P.M. today. It was posted five and

Speaker Lechowicz: "On that question, the Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan."

a half days before todays hearing."



Ryan: "Is that the motion, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman asks leave to use the Attendance

Roll Call, yes."

Ryan: "No....no..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Do you object, Sir?"

Ryan: "He said, at the appropriate time he wanted to put the

motion."

Pierce: "Well, if this is the appropriate time, I'll put it

right now..."

Ryan: "Did you accept the motion, Mr. Speaker?"

Pierce: "The Speaker called for announcements, so....."

Ryan: "I would object to that motion."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman has objected to the motion."

Pierce: "Yes, Mr. Speaker in view of the fact this is a Bill....

a part of a mariage series processed by Republican Senator from Peoria, Representative Bloom in the Senate and Representative Abramson here in the House. And it is the

last day for hearing of the Revenue Committee for this

entire biennium probably, certainly this Session. I would like to move at this time for a Roll Call on suspending

the rule and ask that we receive 89 votes to suppend the posting requirement for Senate Bill....the Senate Bill #

1753 in Revenue Committee."

Speaker Lechowicz: "I believe the Minority Leader objected so

I think you are going to have to take it out of the record."

Pierce: "No...no...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "You have to put it in writing and put it on the Calendar."

Pierce: "We didn't get unanimous consent but certainly we can move to suspend the rule."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman moves that the appropriate rule be suspended so he could have immediate consideration

of Senate Bill 1753...was that it?"

Pierce: "That it would be heard at 2 P.M. today..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Heard in Revenue Committee this afternoon.



Is there leave to hear the motion? The Gentleman from

Kankakee, Mr. Ryan."

Mr. Speaker."

from Peoria, Mr. Mudd."

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would like to point out to

the Representative that the Chief Sponsor in the House

has been excused for illness today. I don't know if that

has any bearing on whether it is going to be heard at

2 o'clock."

Pierce: "Yes, I did....he hasn't requested postponements. I talked

to Senator Bloom, the Chief Senate Sponsor and he said

he would come and handle it because it is the last day.

I did continue it last Tuesday, that is the reason...."

Ryan: "This is only for this one Bill that you want to hear,
is that right?"

Pierce: "Right, it is the only Bill that we have posted in Revenue."

Ryan: "I would withdraw my objection to his motion on that....

he could hear it. You can use the Attendance Roll Call,

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there leave for the Attendance Roll Call?

Hearing no objection, we will use the Attendance Roll

Call...and the motion carries and the rule is suspended

and the Gentleman may hear Senate Bill 1753 in Revenue

Committee today. Any further announcements? The Gentlemman

Mudd: "Yes, Mr. Chairman, I would like leave to suspend the appropriate rule so that we can post all those Bills that have been assigned to Executive Committee for Thursday morning. May I have leave?"

Ryan: "Well, Mr. Speaker, in the pass it has been procedure

I think that somebody comes and talks to me about suspend

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan."

I think that somebody comes and talks to me about suspending these rules. I haven't heard from any of these

Gentlemen and I therefore, don't know what Bills he

wants to hear or what he wants to suspend for and I would



object."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Mudd."

fudd: "Yes, Representative Ryan, if you will recall and I know you do. Last week we tried to suspend the rules to

heard Thursday. The only Bills that we were able to

provide that all Bills assigned to Executive would be

post were the three that we tried to get suspension for because we had the six days and we posted those three

Now, we didn't automatically post any of the other Bills for

Executive because we didn't get leave to do that. So the only thing that we are going to hear is those three Bills that we were interested in assigning. So, if we don't suspend the rules the only Bills that we will hear

are those three that we posted for this Thursday."

Ryan: "Well, I appreciate your answer Representative. Would you tell me what those Bills are and give me a chance to look

at them a minute.....and tell me the Bill numbers."

Mudd: "I think we still have time before Thursday, I'll certainly get them over to you."

Ryan: "Well then we are going to have to hold your motion until

I have a chance...."

Mudd: "I'll do that."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman withdraws his motion. The Gentleman from Franklin, Mr. Hart."

Hart: "The House Committee on Financial Institutions will meet immediately after adjournment. The sooner we get there the sooner we'll get done."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Katz."

Katz: "The Judiciary II Committee will meet immediately upor adjournment in room 114. There is only one Bill to be heard and the Members are urged to get there quickly so that we can dispense with the day's activities."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,

I would like to move to suspend the....Rule 18, the posting notice. Last week when the Appropriation I Committee met



continued and not heard in finality and I have given
the Minority Leader all of those Bills that are necessary

we met where it was too late to post those Bills that were

to post again for Appropriations Committee at 9 A.M. tomorrow. And also 2:30 tomorrow afternoon. And I would like to....

first I would like to have leave and use the Attendance
Roll Call for that purpose and see what happens."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker, I have misplaced the list I guess that the Representative gave me and I wish that he would

bring the list over. I'm going to have to object to that motion until I see what is on that list too."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, if he would look on the Calendar on

page 11, the Clerk put that in the Calendar even though

we did not give leave for that purpose. So it's all of those Bills that are on page 11, of the Calendar on AppropriationS I Committee."

Ryan: "Had the time to go over these Representative and I'll have to object to your motion at this time."

Matijevich: "Well then, Mr. Speaker, I would like to put that......

before I put that in a form of a motion, could I ask
the Parliamentarian what his ruling would be when the
Appropriation[§] I Committee met on Tuesday of last week...
on Wednesday of last week, I posted on Tuesday a certain
number of Bills and added, any other Bills that would

that suffice for a notice because...unless I had leave there was no other way that I could physically post those

be not heard in the Wednesday Committee meeting. Would

Bills. Without leave of the.....107 votes."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Matijevich, I believe that according to the Parliamentarian, as long as they were enumerated once it's a good posting."

Matijevich: "All right, I though so but I just wanted to play safe. All right, I'll withdraw my motion then."



Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman withdraws his motion. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Porter. Pardon me, the Gentleman

from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker, I didn't quite understand your ruling.

Would you state that a little clearer for me please and tell me when they were enumerated? When he said, any other Bills, he didn't enumerate them at all."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich.

Did your posting...."

Matijevich: "My posting was done last Tuesday and included

a certain number of Bills and also said, in any other

Bill which was posted for the Wednesday meeting of last

week and not finally heard. So they have had that posting

notice."

Speaker Lechowicz: "But those Bills were actually listed on the posting, is that correct?"

Matijevich: "Right."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Then they are enumerated."

Ryan: "Well was the Committee adjourned from that meeting?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Most Committees do adjourn when...."

Ryan: "Well, I thought that he would have to re-post then,

Mr. Speaker, isn't that correct?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "They were posted, it gave the public exact notice when the Bills will posted for and as long as they

were enumerated they do not have to be re-posted."

Ryan: "We've never done that in the past, Mr. Speaker. Now
you know better than that. If you don't the Parliamentarian

sure should."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Well that's where I'm getting the information

Ryan: "That's what scares me."

from."

Speaker Lechowicz: "I'll make sure he gets to talk to you. The

Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Porter."

Porter: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I mentioned last week that there were a number of Senate Bills that had not been heard



by the Rules Committee the week before when it adjourned that have never received any kind of hearing. And the Chair said at that time that they were going to take that matter under advisement. I would like to remind the Chair that there is a basic element of unfairness in those Bills not having been heard and I would hope that

something had been worked out in regard to them."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Madison, Mr. Lucco."

Lucco: "Thank you, Mr. Chairman. In the absence of the Committee
Chairman, Representative Schneider, I would like to
announce that the Elementary Secondary Education Committee

will meet immediately after adjournment in C-1."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Pierce."

Pierce: "Mr., Speaker, in view of the fact that we were successful in suspending the posting rule, the House Revenue will

meet today immediately after adjournment in our usual

room."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Barnes. Gene...

Cunningham: "Republican Members of Appropriation I are reminded there will be a breakfast tomorrow morning at 8 o'clock in room 220 of the Capitol Building. Please be there, we're planning things that we're going to do in the meeting. 8 o'clock tomorrow, breakfast, the Republican Members

no. The Gentleman from Lawrence, Mr. Cunningham."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich."
Matijevich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
House. Democratic Members of that same Committee only

Appropriations I."

a short briefing, 8:30 in G-3. 8:30 in G-3 in the State
Office Building."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any further announcements? The Gentleman

from Cook, Mr. Gene Barnes at Kosinski's chair."

Barnes: "Thank you very much. Mr. Speaker and Members of the

House, the Democratic Members of the Appropriation II will have a brief meeting in G-4, I believe it is, at 8:30



tomorrow morning."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any further announcements? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan. Wait a minute, we've got one introduction, Mike. Introduction."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3406, Schisler, a Bill for an Act
to amend Section of the Meat and Poultry Inspection Act.
First Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Committee on Assignments. The Gentleman

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, are there any further announcements....

any further motions? Any complaints?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Everything is lovely."

Madigan: "That's what I thought. Then I move that we adjourn until 12:00 noon tomorrow."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman moves that the House stand adjourned until 12:00 noon tomorrow. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', all opposed. We stand adjourned until 12:00 noon tomorrow."



		1.
Page <u>Time</u>	Speaker	Information
1 1:00	Speaker Redmond	House to order
	Reverend Krueger	Prayer
	Speaker Redmond	Consent Calendar, 2nd readi
2-3	Clerk O'Brien	2nd day
	Speaker Redmond	3rd reading
	Madigan	
	Speaker Redmond	
	Ryan	Excused absence
	Speaker Redmond	
	Madigan	,
	Speaker Redmond	
	Totten	HJRCA #44
	Speaker Redmond	
4	Totten	Leave to return to 2nd
	Speaker Redmond	
4-6-7	Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #1
	Speaker Redmond	
8-9	Totten	
	Speaker Redmond	
10-11-12	Geo-Karis	Yield?
	Totten	
	Speaker Redmond	
13	Stuffle	Yield?
1:35	Totten	
	Speaker Redmond	
14-15-16	Pierce	Yield?
<u> </u>	Totten	1



Page	Time	Speaker	2. Information
		Speaker Redmond	
		Yourell	Yield?
		Totten	
		Speaker Redmond	
17		Skinner	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Brady	Parliamentary inquiry
18		Speaker Redmond	
		Totten	
		Speaker Redmond	TOOR
		Clerk O'Brien	SB 389, 2nd, no CA
		Speaker Redmond	3rd reading
		Clerk O'Brien	SB 736, 2nd #1 failed
		Speaker Redmond	TOOR
		Clerk O'Brien	SB 1187, 2nd, no CA
i		Speaker Redmond	3rd reading .
		Clerk O'Brien	SB 1422, 2nd, no CA
		Speaker Redmond	3rd reading
		Clerk O'Brien	SB 1455, 2nd, no CA
	•	Speaker Redmond	3rd reading
	1:52	Clerk O'Brien	SB 1472, 2nd, no CA
		Speaker Redmond	3rd reading
20		Clerk O'Brien	SB 1519, 2nd, no CA
		Speaker Redmond	3rd reading
		Clerk O'Brien	SB 1534, 2nd
		Speaker Redmond	
		Ryan	



77			
Page	Time	Speaker	Information 3.
		Speaker Redmond	
		Clerk O'Brien	SB 1546, 2nd, no CA
		Speaker Redmond	3rd reading
		Houlihan, D.	Leave on 2nd
		Speaker Redmond	Okay
		Clerk O'Brien	SB 1565, 2nd, no CA
		Speaker Redmond	3rd reading
21		Clerk O'Brien	SB 1608, 2nd, no CA
		Speaker Redmond	3rd reading
		Clerk O'Brien	SB 1667, 2nd
		Lechowicz	In Chair
		Clerk O'Brien	SB 1705, 2nd, no CA
		Speaker Lechowicz	3rd reading
22		Clerk O'Brien	SB 1737, 2nd, no CA
		Speaker Lechowicz	1
		Clerk O'Brien	SB 1746, 2nd, no CA
	2:00	Speaker Lechowicz	remain on 2nd
		Clerk O'Brien	SB 1749, 2nd, no CA
		Speaker Lechowicz	3rd reading
		Clerk O'Brien	SB 1760
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Houlihan, Ď.	Hold it
		Speaker Lechowicz	
23		Yourell	Leave name removed as sponso
		Speaker Lechowicz	
1		Levin	
I			1



Speaker Lechowicz

	11					
	Page	Time	Speaker	Information		4.
i		- The state of the	Clerk O'Brien			
				SB 1784, 2nd,	no	CA
			Speaker Lechowicz			
			Clerk O'Brien	SB 1850, 2nd,	no	CA
			Speaker Lechowicz			
	24		Houlihan, D.	Hold it		
			Speaker Lechowicz	TOOR		
			Capparelli	Announcement		
ļ			Speaker Lechowicz			
į			Lucco	Announcement	~	
			Speaker Lechowicz			
			Po1k			
į	25		Speaker Lechowicz			
		2:05	Clerk O'Brien	SB 1394, 3rd		
			Speaker Lechowicz			
			Jones, J.D.			
			Speaker Lechowicz	Passed		
			Jones, J.D.			
-	26		Speaker Lechowicz			
			Clerk O'Brien	HB 3237, 2nd,	no	CA
			Speaker Lechowicz	3rd reading		
			Clerk O'Brien	HB 3387, 2nd,	no	CA
			Speaker Lechowicz	3rd reading		
			Clerk O'Brien	HB 2739, 2nd,	пo	CA
			Speaker Lechowicz	3rd reading		
	27		Clerk O'Brien	HB 3392, 2nd,	no	CA
			Speaker Lechowicz	3rd reading		



	•		
	Page Time	Speaker	Information 5.
		Clerk O'Brien	HB 3395, 2nd, no CA
		Speaker Lechowicz	3rd reading
		Clerk O'Brien	Agreed Resolutions
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Giorgi	
		Speaker Lechowicz	Resolutions adopted
		Giorgi	
		Speaker Lechowicz	
	28	Ryan	Discussion
		Clerk O'Brien	
	2:15	Speaker Lechowicz	
		Pierce	Motion suspend rules SB 1753
		Speaker Lechowicz	
į	19	Ryan	Objects
		Speaker Lechowicz	
		Pierce	Ask for roll call
	30	Speaker Lechowicz	
		Ryan	Withdraw objection
		Speaker Lechowicz	Attendance roll used, motion
		Mudd	carries Leave to suspend rules
		Speaker Lechowicz	
	· ·	Ryan	Object
		Speaker Lechowicz	
	31	Mudd	
		Ryan	



Speaker Lechowicz

			: · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	Page	Time	Speaker .	Information 6.
			Hart	Announcement
			Speaker Lechowicz	
			Katz	Announcement
			Speaker Lechowicz	
Ì	32		Matijevich	Announcement, motion
) 		Speaker Lechowicz	
			Ryan	
		2:22	Matijevich	
			Ryan	Objection
}			Speaker Lechowicz	
			Matijevich	Withdraws motion
	33		Speaker Lechowicz	
			Ryan	Question
			Speaker Lechowicz	
			Matijevich	
		}	Ryan	
			Speaker Lechowicz	
	34		Porter	
		1	Speaker Lechowicz	·
			Lucco	Announcement
			Speaker Lechowicz	,
			Pierce	Announcement
			Speaker Lechowicz	
			Cunningham	Announcement ·
			Speaker Lechowicz	
			Matijevich	Announcement
			Speaker Lechowicz	



İ				_
	Page	Time	Speaker	Information 7.
		٠	Barnes, E.M.	Announcement
	35		Speaker Lechowicz	1
-			Clerk O'Brien	Introduction & 1st reading
			Speaker Lechowicz	Committee on assignments
		2:28	Madigan	Move to adjourn, 12 noon
			Speaker Lechowicz	Tuesday Adjourn

