

80th General Assembly

February 23, 1977

Doorkeeper: "All persons not entitled to the House floor,..... please retire to the gallery. All persons not entitled to the House floor please retire to the gallery.....All persons not entitled to the House floor please retire to the gallery."

Speaker Redmond: "The House will come to order. Members will please be in their seats. We will be led in prayer by the Reverend Krueger, the House Chaplain."

Reverend Krueger: "In the name of the Father, the Son and the Holy Ghost, Amen. O Lord, bless this House to Thy service this day. John W. Newbern said: People can be divided into three groups; those who make things happen, those who watch things happen, and those who wonder what happened. Let us pray. Lord God, Almighty Father, inspire the Members of this House of Representatives to search their hearts and their minds as they ponder the issues brought before them for consideration. Let their actions be weighed with Thy Divine wisdom so that our society is well guided, well protected, and well governed. Bless them each one with the necessary qualities of leadership that this may be done and Thy will served. Through Jesus Christ our Lord. Amen."

Speaker Redmond: "...Clerk.."

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Taylor, Chairman, Committee on Cities and Villages, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken February 22, 1977, reported the same back with the following recommendations. Do pass, House Bill 133 and House Bill 284."

Speaker Redmond: "...First Reading.."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 417, McGrew, to amend an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the General Assembly, First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 418, DiPrima, to amend Sections of the Illinois Pension,



2.

First Reading of the Bill. 419...DiPrima...Sections of an Act to provide for retrocession of jurisdiction by the Constitutional Amendment #16, Sandquist. Resolved, by the House of Representatives of the 80th General Assembly of the State of Illinois, the Senate concurring herein, that there shall be submitted to the electors of this State for adoption or rejection at the General Election next occurring, at least six months after the adoption of this Resolution, a proposition to amend Section 5 of Article IX, of the Constitution, to read as follows. Article IX, Section 5, Personal Property Taxation; (a) The General Assembly, by law, may classify personal property for purposes of taxation by evaluation, abolish such taxes on any and all classes and authorize the levy of taxes in lieu of the taxation of personal property by evaluation. (b) Any ad valorem personal property tax abolished on or before the effective date of this Constitution shall not be reinstated. First Reading of the Constitutional Amendment. Number 17, Bowman, Resolved, by the House of Representatives of the 80th General Assembly of the State of Illinois, the Senate concurring herein, that there shall be submitted to the electors of this State for adoption or rejection at the General Election next occurring at least six months after the adoption of this Resolution, a proposition to amend Section 5 of Article IX of the Constitution to read as follows: Article IX, Section 5, Personal Property Taxation. (a) The General Assembly, by law may classify personal property for purposes of taxation by valuation, abolish such taxes on any or all classes and authorize the levy of taxes in lieu of the taxation of personal property by valuation. (b) The taxation of personal property by valuation is prohibited as to individuals. Schedule. This Amendment takes effect immediately upon its approval by the electors. First Reading of the Constitutional



Amendment."

3.

Speaker Redmond: "Committee Report."

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Hart, Chairman of Committee on Financial Institutions, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken February 22, 1977, reported the same back with the following recommendations. Do pass, as Amended, House Bill 250."

Madigan: ".....Record show that Representatives Davis, Mann, and Flinn are excused because of a meeting of the Advisory Committee on Public Aid. Would the record show that Representative Mugalian is excused because of illness. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Ryan, do you have any excused absences?"

Ryan: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Representative Davis, Representative Sevcik, Representative McAvoy and Representative Deavers, because of illness. Representatives Campbell, Catania, and Kempiners on legislative business."

Speaker Redmond: "....the record showing those excused absences of those Members? ...Hearing none, the record will so show.First Reading."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 420, Telcser, a Bill for an Act relating to the beneficiaries of certain land trusts, First Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "House Bills, Second Reading. House Bills, Second Reading, House Bill 18. There are two additional Amendments that have not been printed so we'll have to take that out of the record. 86...."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 86. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act in relation to fire protection districts, Second Reading of the Bill, no Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading.....101.."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 101, a Bill for an Act in relation to Executive Order, Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends House Bill 101 on page one, line 20 by inserting before the period, the following;



and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative.... Sponsor of the Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "It's a Committee Amendment...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kosinski."

Kosinski: "This was a Committee Amendment indicating that any executive orders which have legal contractual agreements with them should be deleted from the Bill. It was agreeable to both sides of the aisle in the Committee and I recommend its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "You heard the Gentleman's motion. Those in favor of the adoption of Amendment #1 say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'....the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 122. Representative Cunningham."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 122, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code, Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Who is the Sponsor of the Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Mugalian."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, that was a Committee Amendment....

Representative Mugalian, as you know, is in the hospital and will probably be in there for more than one week so, I will offer the Committee Amendment which the Sponsor has agreed to. What the Committee Amendment does is remove counties under....counties over one million, in other words, Cook County, from the provisions of this Bill and also provides that the Act takes effect upon becoming a law. With the adoption of Committee Amendment #1, the Bill is no longer controversial onI certainly will be able to support it and the Committee voted to go out 'do pass' with this Amendment, therefore, I offer Amendment #1 to House Bill 122."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan."



Pierce: "This was Representative Mugalian's Amendment and apparently there's a problem or a question on it so maybe we should take this out of the record.... and review the Amendment and come back to it maybe tomorrow or later."

Speaker Redmond: "Yeah...take it out of the record. 161..... 161. Any Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 161, Birchler, a Bill for an Act to authorize the Department of Transportation to convey quitclaim deed in Randolph County, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 182."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 182. A Bill for an Act in relation to merit employment in the Office of the Secretary of State, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 200."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 200, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Northshore Sanitary District Act, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 201.....Representative Leinenweber.....is this the one you wanted to table?..... Representative Leinenweber."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 201, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Aeronautics Act, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, you are calling House Bill 201. The



board does not reflect 201."

Speaker Redmond: "Thank you. Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, while we're at a moment of ease, I wonder if Representative Lechowicz would answer a question for me?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz..."

Telcser: "Ted,Ted, on House Bill 182, it is my understanding that there were to be Amendments worked out to that Bill and it was going to be held on Second until the Amendments were ready to be drafted and adopted. Did you move it to Third today?"

Lechowicz: "No, we did not. The Bill was not called. I talked to Representative 'Junie' Bartulis."

Telcser: "It was advanced according to the Clerk."

Lechowicz: "I'm sorry....."

Speaker Redmond: "What Bill are you talking about?"

Lechowicz: "182."

Telcser: "182, it was supposed to be on Second"

Speaker Redmond: "That was advanced to Third Reading."

Lechowicz: "Well, I'll bring it back, Art. Representative..."

Telcser: "You won't move that Bill until the Amendments are adopted."

Lechowicz: "No, I will not.....I'll bring it back for the purpose of proposals and Amendments; but in turn, I just walked over to 'Junie' Bartulis and I asked him if the Amendments were ready today, he said they were not, I told him I would not call the Bill."

Telcser: "Okay..."

Lechowicz: "Okay..."

Telcser: "All right, thank you, Ted."

Lechowicz: "You're welcome."

Speaker Redmond: "206.....Leave it right where it is. 206."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 206, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Surface-Mine Land Conservation and Reclamation Act, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee



Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 220."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 220, a Bill for an Act to amend School Code, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. . . 244."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 244, a Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act, Second Reading of the Bill. . . No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 247."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 247, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Probate Act, Second Reading of the Bill. . . No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Pierce, amends House Bill 247 on page two, line 13, by deleting \$15,000 and inserting in lieu thereof \$10,000."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, House Bill 247 is unprecedented in that it increases the maximum for the small estate affidavit where assets can be transferred by the decedent on a mere affidavit from \$5,000 to \$15,000 which triples . . . which triples the limitation on small estates. What Amendment #1 does is provide for a \$10,000 maximum. In other words, we doubled the limit. Now, Mr. Speaker, when you started to practice law, I imagine the small estate affidavit was a thousand or two thousand dollars. I know when my father started it was five hundred dollars."

Speaker Redmond: "Five hundred dollars."



Pierce: "Five hundred dollars? I didn't realize you went back that far. Five hundred dollars, and we've increased it steadily over the years and I agree with the Bar Association and Representative Ewing, that \$5,000 is probably inadequate maximum today and I would double that to \$10,000. What the Committee Amendment does, excuse me, I mean, what the Bill does is triple that to \$15,000. So, I therefore ask that we adopt Amendment #1 to the Bill which reduces from \$15,000 to \$10,000 the maximum size of an estate that may be transferred on a small estate affidavit. Even with this Amendment we're still doubling from \$5,000 to \$10,000...the small estate affidavit."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Houlihan, do you seek to interrupt? For what purpose do you arise?"

Houlihan: "We don't have the Amendment and I'm wondering if this Committee Amendment has been distributed?"

Speaker Redmond: "Has the Amendment been distributed?"

Pierce: "It's not a Committee Amendment....I understand the Republicans have it on the other side but some Democrats don't have it on our side...including myself."

Speaker Redmond: "Conspiracy."

Pierce: "The Sponsor told me he had it, Representative Ewing. Ah....It was presented to the Clerk yesterday."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, I understand thatMr. Page, has it been distributed on the Democratic side?..."

Pierce: "Well, we can pull it out of the record and we can..."

Speaker Redmond: "Take it out of the record until the Republicans give us some of the Amendments."

Pierce: "...We can think about it....."

Speaker Redmond: "Until the Republicans give us some of the Amendments. Well it's only distributed on one side. That is half of an Amendment.... House Bills, Third Reading. House Bill, Third Reading....I understand Representative Flinn wasWas he excused? He should have been...He is out of town...Representative Holewinski. Representative Holewinski, for what purpose do you arise?"



Holewinski: "Mr. Speaker, do you want to cover House Bill 276?
It is the last one on the Calendar."

Speaker Redmond: "Two what?"

Holewinski: "Two seven six....."

Speaker Redmond: "I have a record here....a notation here to
hold it. I don't know whether ... I don't know who 'dood'
it? I understand there are more Amendments. Is that right?"

Holewinski: "There are two Committee Amendments...that are
filed. That's all I know. "

Speaker Redmond: "Are there more Amendments? I was...somebody
marked my Calendar ...'hold'. Now, whether....Rep-
resentative Lechowicz?"

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, may I just ask the floor Sponsor of
the Committee Bill....will he be agreeable to bring that
matter back to Second Reading for additional Amendments?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Holewinski?"

Holewinski: "Yes."

Speaker Redmond: "He indicates he will."

Lechowicz: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay then, we'll call 276 and put on the
Committee Amendments if there are such things. ...276..."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 276, a Bill for an Act to amend
Sections of the Criminal Code, Second Reading of the Bill.
Two Committee Amendments. Amendment #1, amends House Bill
276 on page four by adding below line seven the following:
Section 2, and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Holewinski."

Holewinski: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of
the House. The two Amendments are Committee Amendments.
They are really technical corrections. The first one,
I think, just adds a comma and changes a word that is
plural to singular and the second one gives it an immediate
effective date. I would ask for adoption of the Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Point of order, Mr. Speaker. The Gentleman



described those Amendments in reverse or der.....He described them in reverse order..."

Speaker Redmond: "He confesses error."

Schlickman: "Two is one and one is two..."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay....Let's take Amendment #1. Will you explain Amendment #1?"

Holewinski: "Well, that's the immediate effective date."

Schlickman: "Okay, Amendment #1 adds an immediate effective date."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is on the Gentleman's motion for adoption of the Amendment #1 to House Bill 276. Those in favor say 'aye'...'aye', opposed 'no'....The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Amendment #2."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2 amends House Bill 276 on page 2, as follows: ..and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Holewinski."

Holewinski: "Mr. Speaker, Amendment #2 makes some numerical corrections. That's all it does. It changes a plural word to singular and places a comma in one sentence."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is on the Gentleman's motion to adopt Amendment #2 to House Bill 276. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading with the understanding it will be returned....What did you say? 247....."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 247, a Bill for an Act to amend the Probate Act, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Pierce, amends House Bill 247 on page two, line 13 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Pierce."



Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, I explained this Amendment very articulately, completely, and with vigor, a few minutes ago. But to repeat, the basic Bill, House Bill 247, which is the Joint Bar Association Bill, not the joint Bill but the Bar Association Bill, nothing to do with marijuana. What it does is ..increases..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madison, for what purpose do you rise?"

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, you took this Bill out of the record a few moments ago because the Amendments had not been distributed. To my knowledge that condition has not changed."

Pierce: "It's been distributed here."

Madison: "Well, I have not received a copy."

Speaker Redmond: "Pages, be sure that the Democratic side have a copy of all the Amendments. Representative Madison does not have a copy."

Madison: "I got one now."

Pierce: "The Amendment is simple. The Bill triples the small estate affidavit limitations from \$5,000 to \$15,000 and an estate can be transferred on a mere affidavit. Now, when the Speaker started to practice law back there about 1935, or whenever it was, the limitation was \$500 and it was supposed to be only for the smallest estate. Only very minor amounts. Since then we upped it to \$1000, to \$2,000 to \$3,000 to \$5,000. I agree with the Bar Association and with the Sponsor that with inflation the \$5,000 is probably too low a limitation and what the Amendment does is ...says we'll double that to \$10,000. The basic Bill triples it to \$15,000. I want to point out that life insurance is not included because you don't need to open an estate to transfer life insurance to go to a widow or any named beneficiary. Also, a joint tenancy home, that, you don't need to open an estate. We're talking about property that is not in joint tenancy. Property that does not consist of life insurance. Property



that does not by itself, go to anyone but must go, either by a will or the laws of intestacy. To me \$15,000 gets to be, right now, too large an amount to transfer on a mere affidavit. That \$15,000 is in addition to life insurance. It's in addition to the joint tenancy home and therefore Amendment #1, which lost by one vote in Committee, would limit the maximum small estate affidavit to \$10,000 rather than \$15,000 which is the case with House Bill 247. It would still double that limit and I move the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 247."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ewing."

Ewing: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I would oppose this Amendment. We've been through the very same debate in the Committee and the Committee determined that \$15,000 was not an exorbitant amount today. Now, this is a permissive Bill. It's a consumer Bill. It saves the small people from paying attorney fees and having probate if their estate is under \$15,000. Now, you can go ahead and have probate if it's necessary and if you want to, under the statute. This doesn't prohibit it. Fifteen thousand in today's economy is about three hundred when you started practicing, Mr. Speaker. You can have six thousand dollars in your car and I would ask that we vote down this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I arise to support this Amendment, which would have the effect of doubling the amount by which an estate will be exempt from formal administration or probate. It just seems to me, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, as a matter of principle, whenever you triple something or double it.....or go by two hundred percent, it should be viewed with caution. I might also add, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, that there will be considered by this Legislature, subsequently, another Bill which will go



far beyond this Bill, providing for unsupervised administration but with the necessary safeguard. I therefore, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, suggest that we retain the moderate size of small estates but on account of inflation, increase it to \$10,000 as this Amendment would do and on the other hand anticipate a far better approach which both the Bar Associations of this State will be sponsoring. It seems to me if we defeat this Amendment, we allow the Bill to go to Third, with a two hundred percent increase....a tripling...we will not be acting, in the best interest of our constituents, and possibly will be preventing a farther reaching approach subsequently to be considered and which will have necessary safeguards. For these reasons, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I join with the Gentleman from Lake in soliciting your support of this Amendment by voting 'aye' on its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Daniels."

Daniels: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise to oppose this Amendment and I think that it will be well-advised to inform the Members of the House that what we're speaking of in this occasion is not necessarily just a two hundred percent increase but a substantial saving in legal fees to those people that probate small estates. Now, all of us have had the cries of senior citizens and people that have asked for relief in probate. This is one of the areas that we can give them relief in saving cost of probating an estate by going through the small estates affidavit route as called for in this Bill, in estates under \$15,000. Now, I would remind you, that this is the kind of Bill that our people are crying for and we have an opportunity to vote for such Legislation right now by opposing this Amendment. Furthermore, I think that we will be well-advised to keep in mind that both the Illinois State Bar Association and the Chicago



Bar Association strongly support the Legislation in the form that it appears before you now, so I recommend that you oppose this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker,....."

Speaker Redmond: "Please give the Lady order."

Geo-Karis: "Thank you. With all the noise here I've lost the gist of the Amendment. Can I refer my question to the Sponsor of the Amendment?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Pierce."

Geo-Karis: "Representative, does your Amendment decrease the decedent's estate to \$10,000 from \$15,000?"

Pierce: "Yes, it decreases the amount in the Bill from 15 to 10. It doubles the present law, however, from 5 to 10."

Geo-Karis: "I'd like to speak on the Amendment, Mr. Speaker...
...Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I regret that I must oppose this Amendment because the Sponsor of the Bill said, with the inflation situation today, 15 thousand is what 5 thousand was maybe five or ten years ago. I think the 15 thousand figure is even low but still it's better than nothing and I would like to oppose the Amendment and let the original Bill stand with the 15 thousand."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Epton."

Epton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, obviously I have a conflict of interest in this matter, notwithstanding, I will vote my conscience."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, I'd like to rise in.... also in support of the Amendment. A lot of people, I think, are probably unaware of what the small estate tax permits to be done. It permits a person who has money....or an institution that holds money of a decedent, to pay it over to a person who claimsunder a sworn statement prepared, perhaps, by that person alone, to pay



money over to that individual, not subject to any supervision or any bond whatsoever. Merely on what a person is willing to put in a sworn affidavit. Probably there is more perjury that goes on, perhaps unwittingly, with the small estate tax than any otherparticular institution in the State of Illinois. I certainly agree that some raise is justified. Certainly \$10,000 is a reasonable amount.....most automobiles, which are of course...are used upon the death of the decedent, would be within the automobile. That is probably the number one use for the small estate affidavit, however, I don't think that we should get into sums of money like \$15,000 because you're going to have transfers of stocks, bonds and cash merely on the sworn statement of an individual, not subject to any supervision or any bonding at all. I think \$10,000 is sufficient raise."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Pierce to close."

Pierce: "Just to close, Mr. Speaker, I just want to point out again that when we're talking about a limitation in this Bill of \$15,000, that's not really the total limitation, because the home, which is usually in joint tenancy, would be an additional limitation, all life insurance paid to a name....a named beneficiary would be in addition to the \$15,000, any joint bank accounts and savings account, would be in addition. What we're saying here is, you could still transfer, under my Amendment, my small estate affidavit, a \$100,000 home in joint tenancy, a \$50,000 savings account, a \$10,000 checking account in joint tenancy, plus I would allow \$10,000 in property that was not in joint tenancy, to be transferred in affidavit. I think that's enough. I think anything bigger than that, at least for the next two years, we'll see how inflation goes,it's enough to double that maximum limitation from five to ten thousand and I ask for support on Amendment #1."

Speaker Redmond: "Further?The question is on the adoption



of the Amendment. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 38 'aye', and 83 'no', and the Gentleman's motion failed. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. House Bills, Third Reading. House Bill 20...out of the record. Twenty-four out of the record. Twenty-seven."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 27, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Vehicle Code, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ebbesen....Out of the Record. Five-four....Representative Bus Yourell....House Bill 54."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 54, a Bill for an Act to amend the Workmen's Compensation or Occupational Disease Insurance for Rejected Employers Act."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record...request of the Sponsor. Seven-six."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 76, a Bill for an Act to amend the Fees and Salaries' Act, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "When this Bill came to Third Reading, last week, Representative Steczo had an Amendment which it turned out was not in proper form and he was going to redraft it and I understand that he did redraft it and has filed it, and I promised that I would return the Bill to the order of Second Reading for the purpose of considering the Amendment. I have the Amendment but I am not sure that it has been distributed and I'd like to inquire about that."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, I don't believe the Amendment has been distributed."

Speaker Redmond: "I understand that it has not been. So we'll have to take it out of the record. The Republican side



indicates they have it. Has it been distributed on the Democratic side? Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, why don't we just move that one back to Second and leave it on Second and in turn I would just recommend that they make sure that they have the right Amendment because there was an error in the last Amendment. I don't believe that the new Amendment that is being proposed by Representative Steczo, that Representative Deuster made reference to, has been distributed."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, we'll take it out of the record. I've been advised that it has not been distributed. One-two-zero, Representative Griesheimer."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 120, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Vehicle Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Griesheimer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 120 is a Bill that merely clarifies a situation in the State of Illinois, under the Vehicle Code, which has created many problems and a number of deaths in the last few years. Under this Bill we will try to resolve the circumstance that arises when stop and go lights or traffic control signals are not working at an intersection. The problem being that there is no law on the book at the present time that requires a motorist to do absolutely anything when he approaches an intersection where the stop and go lights are electrically not working whatsoever. As a consequence, in Lake County alone, there has been two deaths, where both motorists have gone driving at high speeds, into the intersection, presuming they had the right of way, and have smacked into another car. What this Bill will do is cause any intersection where there are stop and go lights, and they are not working whatsoever, to be considered a four way stop and each vehicle approaching that intersection, upon seeing the stop and go lights and the fact that it is not working, must come to a complete stop and treat it just exactly as he would a stop sign, and



then proceed safely. The Bill was completely reviewed by the Department of Transportation and also the Chicago Motor Club, they made suggestions, we amended the suggestions in there. It is my understanding that both, the Department of Transportation and the Chicago Motor Club are now endorsing the Bill as it stands and I believe it will add a great deal of safety to the general driving and Rules of the Road of the State of Illinois. I would urge its passage."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Representative McGrew."

McGrew: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, would the Gentleman yield. Representative Griesheimer, what provision do you have for the oncoming traffic that see only the green light and do not realize that it's a nonfunctioning signal?"

Griesheimer: "This Bill only applies where a signal is not working whatsoever. In other words, it does not apply in a situation where it's stuck on green, stuck on yellow, stuck on red...it only applies where there is no coloration, therefore no electricity whatsoever."

McGrew: "Okay, thank you very much."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'....Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 157 'aye', and one 'no'...The Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Representative Griesheimer, do you care to acknowledge that accolade?"

Griesheimer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I've been down here five years now and I think this is the first time I've ever had a Bill passed, so I appreciate it."

Speaker Redmond: "Now, Representative Griesheimer, do you want to really cement your relationship with the Membership? For the purpose of the motion.... "

Griesheimer: "Yes, Sir, Mr. Speaker, I rise in an embarrassed



fashion having feigns of that last week I introduced three Bills, all in the 79th General Assembly, ...I move to table those Bills, House Bill 370, 371 and 372."

Speaker Redmond: "Are there any objection? Hearing none, the Bills will be tabled. Consent Calendar, Second Reading, Second Day."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 171, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Municipal Code, Second Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, much as I'd like to take credit for House Bill 171, it really is not my Bill and I think my name is in error. I believe it is Representative Kelly's Bill and not mine."

Speaker Redmond: "Speaker's Table. House Resolution 21. Representative Geo-Karis. House Resolution 21, on the Speaker's Table. Out of the record. Four-eight...Representative Garmisa, out of the record. Four eight, Representative Garmisa? Yeah...Pardon me, Mr. Clerk, House Resolution 48."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 48, Garmisa, directs Illinois Transit Study Commission to investigate rapid system of Chicago Transit Authority accidents."

Speaker Redmond: "Garmisa."

Garmisa: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is a good Resolution, it came up once before and there were some objections from the other side of the aisle. As far as the Leaderships are concerned over there they did not have so many concerns but there were individual Legislators that thought perhaps I might of had a conflict on this. But, I assured him and there are no problems in that area. The....I would like to....in support of this Resolution, Mr. Speaker, read an excerpt from one of the leading downstate newspapers...this is an editorial



of the Champaign-Urbana Courier of January 10, 1977. They state that the Illinois Transportation Study Commission, an arm of the General Assembly has expressed interest in investigating CTA accidents, if the Legislature approves the Resolution directing it to do so. We urge the General Assembly to approve the Resolution. After earlier CTA accidents the Commission in January of '75, made a report and several recommendations on how future accidents may be prevented. It noted that many previous accidents were attributed to human error as was the recent accident. Recommendations concentrated on ways to reduce the chance of human errors such as physical examinations, tests for drugs, review of hiring and firing practices, and better training and higher pay for trained operators. A new investigation should review how many of those recommendations have been carried out and whether more steps are needed. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is a good Resolution. I would ask for its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Wolf."

Wolf: "Mr. Speaker, I would ask leave to table House Bill 73
I am the..."

Speaker Redmond: "You are not recognized for that purpose...
at this time. Representative Totten."

Totten: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in opposition to House Resolution 48, for...in several different areas. First of all, the Resolution as written indicates that the Transportation Study Commission will study all the accidents since January of 1974. Now, I have before me a copy of the last study that the Illinois Transportation Study Commission did and that study, if this Resolution is passed, will duplicate four of the six accident investigations that the Transportation Study Commission did in this study that was put on our desks in January of 1975. Secondly, in an article that appeared in the Chicago Tribune in the



last couple of weeks, they indicated that the Federal Government and the CTA would both be investigating the most recent accidents on the CTA....and for that study, the CTA is proceeding with a \$375,000 appropriation, \$300,000 of which will be paid for by the Federal Government. Now, I think it is quite premature, at this time, for an investigative agency of state government to pursue an investigation which is duplicative of investigations they have already made into CTA accidents and to expend any state moneys on a study which very well could be done by a Federal Grant which has been given to the CTA. Now for the life of me I can't see why the Transportation Study Committee would avoid the premature argument...that I have made and want to proceed with its study unless it is looking for work to do and to expend some of the moneys that have been appropriated to the Transportation Study Commission. For the Members' information, let me submit that since the fiscal year 1972, the budget appropriations for the Transportation Study Commission have gone from \$50,000 to the present fiscal year appropriation of \$329,000. That is a manyfold increase. It is estimated, with current expenditures, there will be a considerable lapse in the Transportation Study Committee's appropriation for this year....and it is apparent, by this Resolution, which will undoubtedly duplicate many state and federal activities into investigating the CTA accidents. That this Resolution is an attempt to eat up what will be a lax expenditure in the Transportation Study Commission's budget this year and is strictly a make-work project for that Commission. I would submit to the Members that this Resolution is both premature and efficient waste of State money....and that at this time this Resolution should not be passed."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Neff."

Neff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, I would have to



take some....issues with Representative Totten as far as money being spent. I don't think it was the intentions of the Transportation Study Commission to spend any money at this time. We thought that it would be good to be prepared and I agree with him that there is other investigating going on and we realize that and personally, right now, I don't think we have to do any investigating but I still think the Resolution is all right in case something comes up or some of the Legislators come up and they want more....something done that we haven't got the answer to...be prepared to do it. As far as any money being spent on this I don't think it was the intention of the Chairman or any member of the Study Commission to actually spend any money and I personally do not believe at this time there will be any money spent. I think we will have enough investigating done and I think the people are going to have the answers to the accident of the RTA, without any money spent but I also think that there's nothing wrong with this Resolution ... to go ahead and pass it so that the Transportation Study Commission can be prepared if we find it's necessary and the Legislators find it necessary that more investigating be done."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative James Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Will the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "Please give the Gentleman order."

Houlihan: "Representative Garmisa, would this study...or this project be conducted by the former Speaker, Bob Blair, who is on staff of the Commission?"

Garmisa: "The study will be conducted by the Members of the Commission and our in-House staff."

Houlihan: "What, ...if you might enlighten some of the Members, is the current status....I think there was some controversy over a former Member, that is Speaker Blair, being on staff there and there was some suggestion that the Commission be ...funded with...as I understood it...the



agreement that that contract would not be continued and I'm under the impression that that contract has not only been continued but he has been given a substantial raise?

Garmisa: "I know of no agreement that was made that his contract would not be continued and I know of no substantial raise that has been afforded to him."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz, for what purpose do you rise?"

Lechowicz: "Point of order, Mr. Speaker. I don't believe the question is germane to the business on hand."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madison."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, ..."

Speaker Redmond: "Where are we here?...Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, did you rule on Representative Lechowicz' point of order?"

Speaker Redmond: "Mr. Parliamentarian...Mr. Parliamentarian, David....David....Your question again, Representative Houlihan?"

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker and Parliamentarian, I was trying to determine whether the Transportation Study Commission was capable of undertaking this particular study and in trying to determine whether the Commission would be able to fulfill the Resolution, I was asking questions about his staff and specifically about whether or not former Speaker Blair was a member of the staff and whether he was on contract at this time and I think the question has been raised by Representative Lechowicz as to whether that was germane to this Resolution. What I was trying to determine was whether in fact, the body ...the Commission itself, could make an adequate investigation by determining its resources."

Speaker Redmond: "The Parliamentarian advises me the general subject of the question is germane but he has questions about whether or not it can be specific and particular ... name individual people...."

Garmisa: "I thought I already answered the question, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Houlihan, are you satisfied



with the response?"

Houlihan: "Well, if Representative Garmisa could just give me the salary figures I would be ...satisfied...yeah..."

Speaker Redmond: "Parliamentarian...indicates that that's beyond the scope of what he thinks would be germane. Anything further? Representative Madison."

Madison: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield for a question?...I wish you would keep the Parliamentarian close because mine may not be germane either. Representative Garmisa, I would like to know what role, if any, former Speaker Blair will have in relation to this investigation?"

Garmisa: "None as far as I know."

Madison: "None as far as you know. Then that means that it is possible that he will have some involvement in this investigation?"

Garmisa: "I would say that anything is possible, but I would assure you, Representative Madison that Speaker Blair is not going to have anything to do in this particular investigation."

Madison: "Thank you very much, Mr. Garmisa."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, I might suggest that if in fact Bob Blair did have something to do with the investigation, it would be the best thing that could happen."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Yes, I'm sorry, I filed a fiscal note on this and I don't know whether it's relevant or not. Perhaps you would like to rule on whether it is relevant?"

Speaker Redmond: "Resolution...the fiscal note requirement does not apply to a Resolution."

Skinner: "Oh...well, then perhaps the Sponsor could repeat the ...for us how much he estimates this will cost...of State resources?"

Speaker Redmond: "Can you respond, Representative Garmisa?"



Garmisa: "Mr. Speaker, this investigation is going to be done with in-House staff, at the current salaries and there is going to be no additional appropriationneeded for it."

Skinner: "I don't believe that answers the question, Mr.

Speaker. I've asked how much it will take of State resources, not how much extra....and if I did ask how much extra, I apologize. How much will it take?"

Speaker Redmond: "Can you respond, Representative Garmisa?"

Garmisa: "Well, you're going to have to then start estimating the travel time between ...wherever our staff is at and getting to thewherever the hearings are being held. I think thatwould be an impossible question to answer at this time. We do not anticipate any further increase in expenses to the Commission, Mr. Speaker."

Skinner: "If I might speak to the question, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Skinner: "Well, approximately two years ago I asked the chairman of this Commission, in his dual role as chairman of the House Transportation Committee, to ...if they would form a subcommittee to investigate AMTRAK and since then, of course, I know nothing has happened because I haven't been contacted about it. I would suggest that if the Commission is like other Commissions and has scarce resources, that there are other things more important to be spent, that we spend money on, than investigating something that the Federal Government is fully competent to investigate and has already investigated. I would further suggest that one of the recommendations from the last investigation of the CTA took a Supreme Court to implement. That was allowing the CTA to test motormen foralc... for being drunk, if anyone can believe that. The decision just came down last month. That does not sound like a very efficacious Commission to me. I think we should give them a job looking at how we may decrease the subsidies of AMTRAK trains by, for instance, requiring State employees



to take them when they go between Chicago and Springfield. I think there are better things to use the Commission's present resources on than this investigation. I would hope, for that reason, the Resolution would be defeated."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stanley."

Stanley: "I'd like to yield to Representative Totten for a question, please?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Totten."

Totten: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I thank the Gentleman from Cook for yielding, at this time, to me on this. But I am thoroughly confused by the testimony of the Sponsor in lieu of what he had said two weeks ago regarding this Bill when I asked the very same question in regard to the cost of this study or how it would be done. He had indicated that at that time that possibly expertise outside of that which exists on the Transportation Study Committee staff, would have to be employed, such as the consultant that did the work two years ago. That report cost in the neighborhood of \$30,000. And, .. he also indicated at that time that the expertise in the staff quite possibly did not exist to undertake such a study and it seems that the contradictions that have been brought forth today only add confusion to the matter that is quite premature and I don't think the Sponsor has cleared up the myriad of questions that have been raised on this Resolution and I want to thank the Gentleman from Cook for yielding his time to me."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ebbesen...do you...(unintelligible)"

Schlickman: "Direct my attention to this Bill and express some views. I had read that Resolution and I find it interesting, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, that the Resolution says that the investigation of the Illinois Transportation Study Commission shall not be duplicative of any other investigation now pending. Well, there is an investigation by the Federal Government, there is an



investigation by the City of Chicago. I can't imagine, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, with this prohibition on duplication, what this Commission could do exceptexcept, Mr. Speaker, as is provided in this Resolution, to make a recommendation to this House as to whether or not the elevated should be replaced. Now, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, that is a capital improvement project and I am concerned that with that being the only subject that this Commission could direct itself to, with an affirmative recommendation, then becomes a commitment or there results a commitment on the part of the State of Illinois, to fund the replacement of the elevated by something that is below grade. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, the City of Chicago sometime ago concluded on its own part that the elevated should be eliminated. It came to this Body, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House; and asked for the creation of a district, and we created the district and we provided within that enabling legislation the means by which to fund the replacement of the elevated. But, what they don't like, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, that by that district and by the funding mechanism contained in it, it will be done lawfully....without State assistance. It seems to me, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this is just one more occasion when the City of Chicago has a problem and it's coming to Springfield for assistance. Considering the content of this and the obvious direction of the resolution, I believe it should be resoundingly defeated."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman's moved the previous question.

The question is, shall the main question be put? Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Representative Garmisa to close."



Garmisa: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, a lot of the issues that were raised here, in debate, were so far afield that ...it's hard to start answering some of those that got so far off base that ... I couldn't recognize the Resolution. As far as the last speaker is concerned, when he made mention of the fact that the City of Chicago, when it needs help, does come to the General Assembly for whatever assistance they can afford, that is true. And, it's true also, Mr. Speaker, that any other city in the State of Illinois, when it needs assistance, can come to the General Assembly and seek that help and hopefully have a sympathetic ear from the Members of this Assembly. I want to further state, Mr. Speaker, that as far as this Transportation Study Commission's role in this investigation is concerned, we're going to review all of the different recommendations that are made by the different agencies that are involved in the investigations and then hopefully we're going to try to see that the results of those investigations are implemented. That's what this Resolution is all about. And, further, Mr. Speaker, and for the enlightenment of every Member of this General Assembly, the Federal Transportation Committee was the major investigative body of this accident, has invited the Transportation Study Commission to participate in this here and to send our Membership over there and to be a full party to the Federal investigation. I would ask for the support of every Member of this House for this very good Resolution."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of House Resolution 48. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Macdonald.....Would somebody push Representative Macdonald's switch 'no'.... Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "Point of order, Mr. Speaker. How many votes are required for thisto pass?"



Speaker Redmond: "The majority of those voted."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, I submit that it...."

Speaker Redmond: "Wait a minute....wait a minute..."

Walsh: ".....it should take 89 votes."

Speaker Redmond: "Wait a minute...Wait a minute now. Simple majority he tells me. Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker, since this Resolution calls for the expenditure of funds...it is in effect a special Committee and to create a special committee it requires 89 votes. I submit that the Parliamentarian is incorrect. It should take 89 votes."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner for 'hear...hear'.
...Have all voted who wish? The language of the Resolution does not specify that any funds or any specific funds will be expended. They have their own appropriation, they don't come to us for expenditure of money for other things so it is in my opinion that it requires a majority of those voting. Have all voted who wish? ...Now, Cal, you've been married. You're supposed to settle down. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. Representative Ewing, do you seek recognition?"

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, I had my light on all through the debate Too late now, it's already passed...so we'll just forget it."

Speaker Redmond: "The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 94 'aye' and 58 'no', and the Gentleman's motion prevails and the Resolution is adopted.
House Bills, Second Reading. House Bill 122."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 122, a Bill for an act to amend Sections of the School Code, Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends House Bill 122 on page one, line 13 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Pierce on the Amendment."

Pierce: "...The Amendment, Mr. Speaker, this Committee Amendment was ...introduced in Committee by Representative



Mugalian who is absent due to illness today and as Chairman I will try to take it over. What the Committee Amendment does is excludes Cook County from this Bill. Cook County because of their estimated early billings would be unable to comply with House Bill 122 and Representative Cunningham has agreed to that. It also puts in an immediate effective clause so the Bill will give the school district some relief this September. Committee Amendment, it was adopted unanimously and I therefore move the adoption of Committee Amendment #1 to House Bill 122."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Well, Mr. Speaker, as usual, Chairman Pierce is one hundred percent right and we appreciate his kindness."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is on the Gentleman's motion to adopt Amendment #1. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Representative J. J. Wolf for the purpose of a motion."

Wolf, J. J.: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I would ask leave to table House Bill 73. I am its principle Sponsor."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any objection? Hearing none, the Gentleman's motion prevails. Representative Miller."

Miller: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I would like to be recognized for the purpose of making a motion to waive the rules so that House Bill 226 can be heard in Executive Committee tomorrow. I've talked it over with the Chairman of the Executive Committee, he is agreeable, it was merely an error in postingah...in not posting the Bill numbers."

Speaker Redmond: "Is the motion in writing?"

Miller: "No, it is not....I will see that it is."

Speaker Redmond: "Come down here in a hurry. We have a motion slip herefor your convenience, but to journalize it



it must be in writing. Representative McBroom."

McBroom: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I rise to ask that House Resolution #8, Mr. Speaker, and I understand that, in the Assignment of Bills Committee, be tabled. This is a Constitutional Amendment that I have discussed with some of the Members. I'm going to offer a little different approach, Mr. Speaker, tomorrow or the first of the week and I'd be....the Members who Cosponsored it with me, I'd be glad to discuss it with them individually whenever they care to and I make that motion, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Any objections to the Gentleman's motion to table? What number was that again, Representative?"

McBroom: "Eight."

Speaker Redmond: "Hearing none, it will be tabled. Representative Ewell, for the purpose of a motion."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker I rise for the purpose of asking unanimous consent to suspend House ...to suspend the rules for the purpose of hearing House Resolution, in Executive Committee tomorrow. May I explain?"

Speaker Redmond: "Please explain."

Ewell: "What happened is Ithe Resolution was submitted two weeks ago to the Executive and Executive which did not meet last week. There was an error in the posting and so House Resolution 42 was not posted. I have cleared the matter with the Chairman of the Executive Committee and he confirmed the same. I would like to request unanimous consent of the House for the purpose of suspending the appropriate Rule so that House Resolution 42 might be heard in Executive Committee tomorrow."

Speaker Redmond: "Does the Gentleman have leave? Are there any objections. Representative Katz....Are you objecting?"

Katz: "I think it ought to be posted, Mr. Speaker, and so I would not be able to consent."

Ewell: "I would move to suspend the appropriate Rule in order that House Resolution 42 might be heard in Executive



Committee tomorrow."

Speaker Redmond: "Since it's not on the Calendar I've been advised it requires unanimous consent. We'll put it on the Calendar, it'll be on there tomorrow. Representative Mudd."

Mudd: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Representative Ewell is correct. We discussed this between Representative Capparelli and myself and there was an error. We would recommend that the House go along with his request to have his Bill posted tomorrow."

Speaker Redmond: "Objection has been raised. We can do it tomorrow, Representative Mudd. Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Point of information, Mr. Speaker. I believe Representative McBroom tabled House Resolution 8 and it should have been House Joint Resolution 8."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McBroom tabled House Joint Resolution 8."

Lechowicz: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Constitutional Amendment. Representative Miller."

Miller: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I move that pursuant to Rule 18 (k), that the Rules be suspended to allow House Bill 226 to be heard in the Executive Committee tomorrow."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Would the Sponsor bring that matter over here before he proceeds?"

Speaker Redmond: "Would you discuss it with Representative Madigan? We'll take this out of the record temporarily. Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "If it's possible, Mr. Speaker, House Bill 76 has been passed over because Representative Steczo's Amendment was not distributed. It is distributed and if it's appropriate at this time I would ask that we consider House Bill 76 and I would move it to the order of Second Reading for the purpose of considering Amendment #2."



Speaker Redmond: "Now on the order of House Bills, Third Reading. House Bills, Third Reading, appears House Bill 76, Representative Deuster has moved that it be returned to the order of Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment. Are there any objections? It will be returned to the order of Second Reading."

Clerk O'Brien: "...Second time previously. Amendment #1 was withdrawn. Amendment #2 amends House Bill 76 on page one line 22 by deleting a period and inserting in lieu thereof...and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Steczo."

Steczko: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I'd like to thank Representative Deuster for holding the Bill on Third Reading. Amendment #2 simply reestablishes the floor for jury travel at ten cents per mile in Class I and II counties, which was deleted by the original wording of House Bill 76. It has the concurrence of Representative Deuster and I move for the adoption of Amendment #2."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question....Representative Madigan.....Houlihan....Representative Dan Houlihan."

Houlihan: "I have a question of the Sponsor."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Houlihan: "Terry, as I understand it, the payment for this travel expense will be by the county board. Is that correct?"

Steczko: "I believe that is correct. Yes."

Houlihan: "What's the reason then for setting a floor....why should we leave thisall of this simply in the discretion of the county board members?"

Steczko: "Well, the members of the County and Townships Committee, where the Bill was heard, expressed some regrets that the floor was taken out. They felt that for the Class I and II counties, a least a floor should be



reestablished at ten cents per mile for jurors."

Houlihan: "No applicability to the County of Cook, is that correct?"

Steczo: "No, it does not."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further discussion? The question is on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 76. Those in favor say 'aye'; opposed 'no' the 'ayes' have it, the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading.Resolutions.



Speaker Redmond: ".....Resolutions. Representative Waddell."

Waddell: "..it is time to move to suspend Rule 41 for the immediate adoption of House Resolution 77. This has been agreed upon by both sides of the House. It is apropos and it is important...and I would suggest, if I may, that the Clerk read the Resolution."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion?"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 77. Whereas, the General Assembly is called upon to increase compensation for public university faculty; and Whereas, there has been expressed concern regarding the personnel policies at the various public universities of this State; therefore, be it Resolved by the House of Representatives of the Eightieth General Assembly of the State of Illinois, that the Auditor General be directed to conduct a management audit of faculty personnel policies at the public universities of the State and, as a part of such audit, (1) to determine whether uniform, specific written faculty personnel policies exist at each university, within each university system and for the State higher education system as a whole, and whether such policies include provisions for course load requirements, release time for research or other purposes, and salary schedules; and (2) to determine, for such policies as are found to exist, through the use of accepted data collection and analysis techniques, whether the policies are being properly and uniformly adhered to; and (3) to determine whether a management system exists to monitor and enforce compliance with existing faculty personnel policies; and (4) to compare faculty salary schedules with schedules in use for similar positions in private institutions; and, be it further Resolved, that the Auditor General make his findings and recommendations available to the General Assembly, the Governor, the Legislative Audit Commission and the Illinois Board of Higher Education."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner, do you seek



recognition?"

Skinner: "I wonder if the Sponsor would yield to a question?

I must say I am embarrassed...."

Speaker Redmond: "He indicates he will."

Skinner: "...Who is the Sponsor?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Waddell... He is on your team."

Skinner: "...I didn't hear. One of the things in evaluating public employment and whether they are getting payed enough and getting enough other benefits, ...that have been suggested by some, is that you compare the turnover rate in public universities, who are public employment, with the turnover rate in comparable private institutions. I wonder if the Auditor General might be empowered by this Resolution to also make that type of a comparison?"

Waddell: "Yes, that's entirely possible. Plus the fact that one of the things that is of utmost concern,....that deluge of letters that you had received, for example, on the salary increases that you had voted for last time, are then reported back by the university because they do not manage the money the way you and the Appropriations Committee set it up here. As a result of that, even though you had voted for seven...seven and a half percent increase, in the schedule across the board, last time. When it did not appear, now you became the whipping boy as a Member of the General Assembly because they took it upon themselves for the prerogative of establishing what was important and what was not. You, as a Member of the General Assembly, caught heck because you didn't provide thatthat increase, wherein effect, you really did last time. This sets a procedure that the Auditor General can go in and recommend, to the General Assembly, and to the Governor, and other interested parties, a procedure that is understandable by all, that we do not have today, and yours can be included."

Skinner: "Well, that certainly would be an exception, if we're



dealing with higher education, that anyone can understand what's happening...."

Waddell: "Agreed."

Skinner: "I would suggest one slight correction, however, the raises did not come with my vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any further discussion? Representative Matijevich... Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I listened carefully to Representative Waddell's explanation and I don't think I have any opposition to it but I do have some opposition to the procedure. I don't think it's good procedure to have the Leadership agree to an...to a substantive Resolution and then immediately come up on the floor. I can see where in the future, what will happen is, Members will just go to the Leadership and say 'let's agree to this Amendment', and we go around the Committee. I think that we ought to make the Committee system strong in this House and by this procedure we don't really utilize the Committee system to the utmost. So, I think that there's....really not that much of a hurry that this Resolution can't go to Committee, there may be some of the public, there may be some of the university systems that ought to rightfully have a say about this Resolution. It is a substantive Resolution and, as you know, I've spoken against Congratulatory Resolutions....I have nothing against substantive Resolutions, but the correct route ought to be...the correct procedure ought to be that this be referred to a Committee and leave them, recommend to this House ...and then we can act on it later."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Waddell."

Waddell: "Mr. Speaker, in rebuttal to that....last..."

Speaker Redmond: "...Ah....go ahead.."

Waddell: "...last term the Higher Education Committee tried to find this very same solution. What they had proposed as an alternative was to try and go outside and hire a firm



to do just exactly this and they couldn't do it for the simple reason that the firm quotation was somewhere in the area of one hundred and fifty thousand dollars. As a result of that they could have no agreement and they knew that they couldn't get the money for it. The Auditor General suggested this because it would cost nothing..... cost nothing.....as an alternative to that procedure."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Dunn."

Dunn: "Mr. Speaker, I don't seem to have a copy of this Resolution on my desk and don't know anyone who does....and really....I think we should have a copy of the Resolutions available before we proceed."

Speaker Redmond: "There isn't any requirement that it be printed. It has been read by the Clerk. As far as the Rules are concerned there's no deviation from the Rules..... Representative Satterthwaite."

Dunn: "Gap in the Rules..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Satterthwaite.."

Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I would like to just reemphasize the point that Representative Matijevich made a few minutes ago. It sounds to me as though this is a rather large substantive kind of change of procedure and it may be something that I would agree to but I think it ought to have the advantage of a Committee hearing and some additional input from those who will be involved in being audited....under this system and I would highly recommend that it go through the Committee process."

Speaker Redmond: "Well the first order of business here is the Gentleman's motion to suspend the Rule. Now....are there objections to the suspension of the Rule for the immediate co.....Objections have been heard. The question is on the motion and it would require 107 votes to suspend the Rule for the immediate consideration. Those in favor vote 'aye' opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Representative Giorgi, are you seeking



recognition?Have all voted who wish? Have all
voted who wish?the record. On this question
there are 76 'aye' and 28 'no' and the Gentleman's motion
failed for the Resolution on Committee on Assignments...."



Speaker Redmond: "House Bills, Third Reading. On House Bills, Third Reading appears House Bill 276. I understand that there has been a request for a fiscal note filed with respect to 276. We moved it to the order of Third Reading. It should be returned to the order of Second Reading and held there until the fiscal note is supplied. Representative Madison."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, is it the effect of your bringing this Bill back to Second Reading for a fiscal note, does that mean that it is your decision that the fiscal note statutes are applicable?"

Speaker Redmond: ".....I didn't make any such determination. There has been a request for a fiscal note and I believe, under our rules, a fiscal note is to be supplied in the absence of some action by this Body to determine that a fiscal note act does not apply with respect to this Legislation."

Madison: "I see. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Holewinski. Representative Holewinski."

Holewinski: "Mr. Speaker, I'm sorry I was distracted while we were working on this Bill. What have we done..."

Speaker Redmond: "The Clerk advised me that a request for a fiscal note had been filed by who.....and that it wasn't called to our attention and we inadvertently moved it to Third Reading. Now, we're not determining whether that request is correct, but it should not have been moved to Third Reading when that request was pending. Representative Huff.....Representative Huff."

Huff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. It was I who requested that fiscal note."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay."

Huff: "And....ah...."

Speaker Redmond: "It has to stay there until the note is either supplied or a determination is made that it doesn't apply."



Representative Holewinski."

Holewinski: "Mr. Speaker, I would like a Ruling. There are no fiscal implications.....strictly...."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, I can't tell you right at the moment, but there is a procedure to make a determination as to whether the fiscal note does apply. I think it is in the statute. Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I would move that with respect to this Bill, the Fiscal Note Act is not applicable. In support of that motion I would state that the purpose and object of this Bill is to criminalize messenger services. In other words, to prohibit them. Now, putting aside the merit of whether or not that should be, I simply point out, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, that this would not, the statutes of this Bill would not have an effect, affirmatively speaking, with respect to appropriations. On that basis,.....move that: the Fiscal Note Act does not apply and is inappropriate."

Speaker Redmond: "The ruling is that it is now on the order of Second Reading because of that requirement. Representative Schlickman has moved that the requirement of the fiscal note does not apply to this Bill and that motion is in order. It's a simple majority of those voting. Now, the question is onRepresentative Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, prior to Representative Schlickman's motion, I believe Representative Holewinski asked for a ruling from the Chair. I think it would be appropriate for the Chair to rule first because it mayRepresentative Schlickman's motion may not be in order."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, I would prefer that we leave this matter until tomorrow....until I consult the statutes. The provision with respect to the procedure to determine a fiscal note, I think is in the statute, and not in the rules. I would have to get the statutory provisions. Representative Huff."

Huff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I feel that the Chair is correct."



I don't know about it being in the statute but it certainly is in the rules. I just can't quote it at the present. I would appreciate it if the Chair would hold its ruling until we could determine....."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, it's now on the order of Second Reading because it was erroneously moved to the order of Third reading. "

Huff: "Well, I had that....request in the day before so....."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, it will stay on the order of Second Reading. Now, Representative Schlickman made a motion which I think is appropriate....but I have suggested that we take it out of the record today and give us a chance to read the statute and see just what our procedure is. Representative Madison."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, then are we in the posture now of dealing with the motion made by Representative Schlickman?"

Speaker Redmond: "No.....(unintelligible)....will be on the order of Second Reading tomorrow. At which time we will decide Representative Schlickman's motion."

Madison: "Then Representative Schlickman has withdrawn his motion?"

Speaker Redmond: "Well....I....no, I didn't think so...But it will be the posture of the Bill, as the order of Second Reading. Representative Schlickman's motion that the Fiscal Act do not apply and it will appear on the Calendar tomorrow on Second Reading and we will make a decision on Representative Schlickman's motion and go from there."

Madison: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Miller, did you ever get yourout of the record. Do you want it? It is in the.... in writing now, do you want to....pursue your motion, Representative Miller? Do you want it on the Calendar?is gone, I would assume. Agreed Resolutions."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 69, Peggy Smith Martin; 70, Geo-Karis; 71, Madigan; 72, Katz; 73, Bowman; 76, Laurino."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, House Resolution 69, by Representative Peggy Martin Smith honors Father George Clements upon his receiving the John XXIII Award for outstanding contributions to priestly ministry. House Resolution 70, by Geo-Karis honors Viola Madden, Clerk of the Village of Winthrop Harbor after nineteen years of service. House Resolution 71, by Madigan, honors Mrs. Fleckenstein and Mr. 'Mitzel' on their 50th Golden Wedding Anniversary. House Resolution 72, by Katz, honors Herman Kogan, of Chicago. House Resolution 73, by Bowman, honors the Rogers Park Community on their Silver anniversary. House Resolution 76, by Laurino, honors Arthur Imundo for 1976 Citizen of the Year award. House Resolution....did you read House Resolutiton 75, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No."

Giorgi: "I move for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? The question is on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the motion carries, the Agreed Resolutions are adopted. Further Resolutions."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution:74, Bowman and House Resolution 78, O'Brien."

Speaker Redmond: "Committee on Assignments. Death Resolutions."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 75, Stuffle and Edgar. In respect to the memory of Claude 'Bud' Adkins."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giorgi moves for the adoption of the Death Resolution. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it the Death Resolution is adopted. Representative Madigan. Recess until..... Adjourn until tomorrow...we need, Representative Lucco, Representative Lucco."

Lucco: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I



rise to make an announcement to those of you that might be interested inasmuch as today is Ash Wednesday. Ashes will be distributed this afternoon....or....this afternoon at 2:15 and 7 o'clock this evening at the St. Agnes Parish, which is just behind the State Office Building, if you are interested. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "I move to recess for five minutes and then to adjourn until 12 o'clock tomorrow afternoon."

Speaker Redmond: "Just a moment...Just a moment...Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I move for a Perfunctory Session for five minutes and then to adjourn until 12 o'clock tomorrow afternoon."

Speaker Redmond: "You heard the Gentleman's motion. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no', the 'ayes' have it the motion is adopted. Stand in Perfunctory Session for about five minutes at which time we will adjourn until tomorrow at 12 o'clock noon."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment #18, Beatty. Resolved, by the House of Representatives of the Eightieth General Assembly of the State of Illinois, the Senate concurring herein, that there shall be submitted to the electors of this State for adoption or rejection at the General Election next occurring at least six months after the adoption of this House Joint Resolution, a proposition to amend Section 6 of Article IV of the Constitution to read as follows: Article IV, Section 6. Organization. (a) A majority of the Members elected to each House constitutes a quorum. (b) On the first day of January Session of the General Assembly in odd-numbered years, the Secretary of State shall convene the House of Representatives to elect from its Membership a Speaker of the House of Representatives as presiding officer, and the Lieutenant Governor or, if there is no Lieutenant



Governor, the Attorney General shall convene the Senate to elect from its Membership a President of the Senate as presiding officer. (c) For purposes of powers of appointment conferred by this Constitution, the Minority Leader of either House is a Member of the numerically strongest political party other than the party to which the Speaker or the President belongs, as the case may be.

Speaker Redmond: "Is Representative Byers on the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "... (d) Each House shall determine the rules of its proceedings, judge the elections, returns and qualifications of its Members and choose its officers. No Member shall be expelled by either House, except by a vote of two-thirds of the Members elected to that House. A Member may be expelled only once for the same offense. Each House may punish by imprisonment any person, not a Member, guilty of disrespect to the House by disorderly or contemptuous behavior in its presence. Imprisonment shall not extend beyond twenty-four hours at one time unless the person persists in disorderly or contemptuous behavior. First Reading of the Constitutional Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Introduction and First Reading of Bills."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 421, Yourell, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act concerning Land Titles, First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 422, Yourell, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Pension Code, First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 423, McGrew, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Income Tax Act, First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 424, Porter, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to revise the law in relation to township organization, First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 425, Beatty, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Pension Code, First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 426, McAuliffe, a Bill for an Act to amend Section of the Illinois Pension Code, First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 427, Blüthardt,



a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Municipal Code, First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 428, Bluthardt, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to the effective date of laws, First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 429, Tom Miller, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Municipal Code, First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 430, Griesheimer, a Bill for an Act to amend the Vehicle Code, First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 431, Griesheimer, a Bill for an Act to amend the County Executive Act, First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 432, Griesheimer, a Bill for an Act to amend the Public Aid Code, First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 433, Griesheimer, a Bill for an Act to repeal Sections of the Unified Code of Corrections, First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 434, Macdonald, Totten and Chapman, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code, First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 435, Dyer, Willer, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Regional Transportation Authority Act, First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 436, Lynn Martin, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code, First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 437, Lynn Martin, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code, First Reading of the Bill. Anyone have any further introductions? No further introductions. The House stands adjourned."



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
1.	12:00	Speaker Redmond	House to order
		Reverend Krueger	Prayer
		Speaker Redmond	Roll Call
		Clerk O'Brien	Committee Reports
		Speaker Redmond	
		Clerk O'Brien	Introduction and 1st Reading
		Speaker Redmond	
2.		Clerk O'Brien	Constitutional Amendments #16 and #17, 1st Reading
	12:05	Speaker Redmond	
3.		Clerk O'Brien	Further Com. Reports
		Speaker Redmond	
		Madigan	Excused absence
		Speaker Redmond	
		Ryan	Excused absence
		Speaker Redmond	
		Clerk O'Brien	Introduction and 1st Reading
		Speaker Redmond	
	12:07	Clerk O'Brien	HB-86, 2nd Reading, no CA
		Speaker Redmond	Third Reading
4.		Clerk O'Brien)	HB-101, 2nd Rd. 1 CA
)	
		Speaker Redmond)	
		Kosinski	Amendment #1
		Speaker Redmond	Amendment adopted, Third R.
		Clerk O'Brien	HB-122, 2nd Reading, 1 CA
		Speaker Redmond	
		Pierce	Amendment #1



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Redmond	
5.		Pierce	TOOR
		Speaker Redmond	
	12:10	Clerk O'Brien	HB-161, 2nd R. No CA
		Speaker Redmond	Third Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	HB-182, 2nd Reading, no CA
		Speaker Redmond	Third Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	HB-200, 2nd Reading, no CA
		Speaker Redmond	Third Reading
	12:11	Clerk O'Brien	HB-201, 2nd Reading, no CA
		Speaker Redmond	Third Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	
		Lechowicz	
		Speaker Redmond	
6.	12:15	Telcser)	Question
)	
		Lechowicz)	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Clerk O'Brien	HB-206, 2nd Reading, no CA
7.		Speaker Redmond	Third Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	HB-244, 2nd Reading, no CA
		Speaker Redmond	Third Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	HB-247, 2nd Reading, no CA
		Speaker Redmond	Third Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	Floor Amendment #1
		Speaker Redmond	
8.	12:18	Pierce	Amendment #1
		Speaker Redmond	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Houlihan, D.)	Question
)	
		Pierce)	
)	
		Speaker Redmond)	TOOR
		Clerk O'Brien	
9.		Holewinski)	
)	
		Speaker Redmond)	
		Lechowicz)	
)	
		Speaker Redmond)	
	12:22	Clerk O'Brien	HB-276, 2nd Reading, 2 CA
		Speaker Redmond	
		Holewinski	Amendment #1
		Speaker Redmond	
10.		Schlickman)	Point of order
)	
		Speaker Redmond)	
)	
		Holewinski)	
		Speaker Redmond	Amendment adopted
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #2
		Speaker Redmond	
		Holewinski	
	12:25	Speaker Redmond	Amendment adopted, 3rd Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	HB-247, 2nd Reading, no CA
		Speaker Redmond	
		Clerk O'Brien	#1 Floor Amendment
		Speaker Redmond	
11.		Pierce)	Discussion
)	
		Madison)	
12.		Speaker Redmond	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Ewing	Oppose
		Speaker Redmond	
13.		Schlickman	Supports
		Speaker Redmond	
	12:30	Daniels	Oppose
		Speaker Redmond	
14.		Geo-Karis)	Question
)	
		Pierce)	
		Speaker Redmond	
	12:31	Geo-Karis	Speaks on Am., Oppose...
		Speaker Redmond	
		Epton	
		Speaker Redmond	
15.		Leinenweber	Supports
		Speaker Redmond	
		Pierce	To close
16.		Speaker Redmond	Am. #1, lost, Third Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	HB-27, Third-Reading
		Speaker Redmond	TOOR
		Clerk O'Brien	HB-54, Third-Reading
		Speaker Redmond	TOOR
		Clerk O'Brien	HB-76, Third-Reading
		Speaker Redmond	
	12:37	Deuster	
		Speaker Redmond	
17.		Lechowicz	
		Speaker Redmond	TOOR



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Lechowicz	Move back to Second?
		Speaker Redmond	
		Clerk O'Brien	HB-120, Third Reading
		Speaker Redmond	
18.	12:38	Griesheimer	
		Speaker Redmond	
		McGrew)	Yield?
		Griesheimer)	
		Speaker Redmond	HB-120...passed
		Griesheimer	Move to table HB-370,371,372.
		Speaker Redmond	Tabled
19.		Clerk O'Brien	HB-171, 2nd Reading, no CA
		Geo-Karis	HB-171, not her Bill
		Speaker Redmond	Third Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	House Res. 48
		Speaker Redmond	
20.		Garmisa	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Wolf	Leave to Table 73
		Speaker Redmond	Not recognized
21.		Totten	Oppose HR-48
		Speaker Redmond	
22.		Neff	Support
		Speaker Redmond	
23.		Houlihan)	Yield
)	
	12:50	Garmisa)	
		Speaker Redmond	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Lechowicz	Point of Order
		Speaker Redmond	
		Madison	Question
		Speaker Redmond	
		Houlihan	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Garmisa	
		Speaker Redmond	
24.		Houlihan	
	12:55	Speaker Redmond	
		Madison	Yield?
		Garmisa	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Peters	
		Speaker Redmond	
25.		Skinner)	Question.. "Fiscal Note"
)	
		Garmisa)	
26.		Speaker Redmond	
		Stanley	Yield to Totten
		Speaker Redmond	
	12:59	Totten	
		Speaker Redmond	
27.		Schlickman	Oppose
		Speaker Redmond	
		Ebbesen	Move Previous Question
		Speaker Redmond	
28.		Garmisa	to close



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Redmond	HR-48
29.		Walsh)	Point of order
	1:03	Speaker Redmond)	Requires Majority of votes
	1:05	Ewing	
		Speaker Redmond	Motion Prevails, HR-48 passed
		Clerk O'Brien	HB-122, 2nd Reading, 1 CA
		Speaker Redmond	
30.		Pierce	Amendment #1
		Speaker Redmond	
		Cunningham	
	1:07	Speaker Redmond	Amendment adopted, 3rd Reading
		Wolf	Leave to table HB?
		Speaker Redmond	Tabled
	1:08	Miller)	HB-226...Motion
		Speaker Redmond)	
31.		McBroom	HR-8, leave to table
		Speaker Redmond	Tabled
		Ewell)	Suspend rules, HR-42
		Speaker Redmond)	
		Katz	Objects
		Speaker Redmond	
		Ewell	Renews motion
		Speaker Redmond	Requires unanimous consent
32.	1:11	Mudd	
		Speaker Redmond	
	1:12	Lechowicz	Point of information
		Speaker Redmond	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Miller	Motion...HB-226
		Speaker Redmond	
		Madigan	Request
		Speaker Redmond	
		Deuster	Request HB-76 returned to 2nd.
		Speaker Redmond	
33.		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #2
		Speaker Redmond	
		Steczo	
		Speaker Redmond	
34.		Houlihan, D.)	Question
	1:14	Steczo)	
		Speaker Redmond	Amendment adopted, 3rd Reading.
35.	1:15	Waddell	Motion, HR-77
		Speaker Redmond	
		Clerk O'Brien	HR-77, reads it...
36.		Speaker Redmond	
37.		Skinner)	Yield?
	1:17	Waddell)	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Matijevich	
		Speaker Redmond	
	1:20	Waddell	
		Speaker Redmond	
38.		Dunn	No copy
		Speaker Redmond	
	1:22	Satterthwaite	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
39.		Speaker Redmond	Motion fails
40.		Clerk O'Brien	HB-276
		Speaker Redmond	
		Madison	Question
		Speaker Redmond	
		Holewinski	
		Speaker Redmond	
	1:25	Huff	
		Speaker Redmond	
41.		Holewinski	Asks ruling of Chair
		Speaker Redmond	
		Schlickman	Move fiscal note not apply
		Speaker Redmond	
		Houlihan, J.)	
)	
		Speaker Redmond)	
42.		Huff	Agree with Chair
		Speaker Redmond	
		Madison)	Question
)	
		Speaker Redmond)	
		Clerk O'Brien	Agreed Resolutions
43.		Speaker Redmond	
	1:29	Giorgi	
		Speaker Redmond	Resolution adopted
		Clerk O'Brien	Furtehr Resolutions
		Speaker Redmond	Committee on Assignment
	1:30	Clerk O'Brien	Death Resolutions
		Speaker Redmond	Resolution adopted



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Lucco	Announcement
		Speaker Redmond	
44.		Madigan	Recess for five minutes then adjourn 'til 12 noon Thursday
	1:35	Speaker Redmond	Motion adopted
		Clerk O'Brien	HJR..CA #18, 1st Reading
45.			Introduction and 1st Reading
46.	1:43		House adjourned

