

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

SEVENTY--SEVENTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY

ONE HUNDRED SIXTY-FIRST LEGISLATIVE DAY

JUNE 30, 1972

THE HONORABLE W. ROBERT BLAIR, SPEAKER

IN THE CHAIR



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

1.

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The House will be in order. The invocation this morning will be by Father James Casey, the Pastor of St. Agnes Church in Springfield."

Pastor Casey: "In the name of the Father, the Son and the Holy Spirit. The blessing of the Almighty God and the Father of the Son of the Holy Spirit, come down upon the members of this General Assembly. Give them the wisdom to know what is right and the grace to do what is good. Amen."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Thank you, Father. Roll Call for attendance. Introduction and First Reading. Eh.... House Bill 4692. Regner and Etal. Amends the State Finance Act. Prohibits duplicate introduction of appropriation bills in the General Assembly. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 4693. Chapman and Etal. Amends School Code. First Reading of the Bill."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Constitutional Amendments. Third Reading. SJR 62. No, neither of the ladies are on the floor so we will take that out of the record. Eh.... Senate Bills. Third Readings. 726."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Senate Bill 726. An Act to amend Sections 1, 2 and 7. An Act to provide for the manner of proposing amendments to the Constitution. Third Reading of the Bill."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Phil Collins."

P. W. Collins: "Eh..., yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 726 does just what the synopsis says. It influences the Constitution to change the requirement, the vote requirements in both Houses on Constitutional



Amendments from 2/3 to 3/5 votes and I would solicit your vote."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Discussion? Question is, shall Senate Bill 726 pass? All those in favor, vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 127 'ayes', no 'nays', and this Bill, having received a Constitutional majority, is hereby declared passed. 1511."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Senate Bill 1511. An Act to create the Illinois Commission on Labor Laws. Third Reading of the Bill."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Eh.... gentleman from eh.... Madison, Mr. Kennedy."

L. J. Kennedy: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would like to have leave to hear 1511 and 1606."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Now wait a minute, Mr. Moore, do you want to reconsider the vote by which that passed? Oh, alright. Good. Yeow, read the Bill."

Fredric B. Selcke: "1606. An Act to make an appropriation for Commission on Labor Laws. Third Reading of the Bill."

L. J. Kennedy: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, these bills have been read a third time and had quite a bit of discussion. I would appreciate your votes on these bills. Thank you kindly."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman."

Eugene Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, as I recall, this is the Bill that we voted on last night, and it failed to pass. It was



the Bill that would continue the existence of the Commission on Labor Law and in response to an inquiry last night what the unfinished business of this Commission was, the sponsor indicated that the unfinished business was to secure the passage of the Bill that had been caused to be introduced by this Commission. I recall that the money being appropriated for this Commission is \$10,000 for the next fiscal year, commencing tomorrow and I think it would be unprecedented, unorthodox and I think it would be improper for the General Assembly to be spending the sum of \$10,000 to advance a bill, to secure the passage of the bill, which I personally may support, for which other members of the General Assembly may not. It would seem to me that with the introduction of that bill, those who are in support of it, should be working voluntarily, either individually or collectively to secure the passage and refinement of it, but that it shouldn't be done at the State's expense. It should not be done by the continuation of this Commission, which apparently has no other purpose for its continuation, and therefore, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I would solicit your opposition, your 'no' vote to this Bill."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz."

T. S. Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 1606 and its companion bill were heard in the appropriations committee and came out with the vote of 18 to nothing. I ask for your favorable consideration."



Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Question is, shall Senate Bill 1604 pass? All those in favor vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. Aisup 'aye'. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are, oh.... On each of these questions, there are 118 'ayes', 4 'nays', and this Bill, Senate Bills 1511 and 1606 are hereby declared passed with a Constitutional majority. 1673."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Senate Bill 1673. Bill for an Act to make an appropriation for the Commission on Intergovernmental Cooperation. Third Reading of the Bill."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Kane, Mr. Hill."

J. J. Hill: "Eh.... Mr. Chairman, I'm going to yield to Mr. Walsh, who has the material on this."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman with the material, Mr. Walsh."

W. D. Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is the annual appropriation for the Commission on Intergovernmental Cooperation. It's the same as it was last year; namely one hundred and forty-nine thousand dollars. Approximately seventy thousand dollars of this is for dues to the National Council of State Governments and I solicit your support."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Discussion? Question is, shall this Bill pass? All those in favor, vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 121 'ayes', 2 'nays', and this Bill, having received a Constitutional majority,



is hereby declared passed. 1549."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Senate Bill 1549. Appropriation for portrait of former Senate President pro tempore. Third Reading of the Bill."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Telscer."

Arthur A. Telscer: "Mr. Speaker, Senate Bill 1549 is an appropriation of \$2,500 for the painting of a portrait of a former President pro tempore of the Senate. I would appreciate a favorable roll call."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there discussion? Question is, shall Senate Bill 1549 pass. All those in favor, vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. Giorgi 'aye'. On this question, there are 125 'ayes', 1 'nay' and this Bill, having received a Constitutional majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 868. Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Senate Bill 868. A Bill for an Act to Amend the Election Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

G. W. Shea: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to call this Bill, but Senator Collins was going to have some amendments. Have you got your amendments this morning, Phil? "

P. W. Collins: "They're on their way."

G. W. Shea: "Alright, could you take this out of the record."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "We'll take it out of the record. 1450."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Senate Bill 1450. An Act to amend the Illinois Highway Code. Third Reading of the Bill."



Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Henderson, Mr. Juckett, Mr. Neff."

C. E. Neff: "I thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Eh... House Bill 1450 is a Bill that eh... all this Bill does is change the physical planning reporting date from eh.... boost it up six months, puts it in on a physical reporting instead of on an annual. There was some question that came up by some of the members as to when these reports have been coming out. I have that last four reports that have come out for the last eh... under Governor Shapiro and three under Governor Ogilvie and these reports have been coming out. Here's one December 30. Here's one December 1. Here's another one December 1, and another one December 4. This will help the highway program and eh.... there is nothing political in this. The fact is, if we would change Governors on this fall, this would give an advantage because the present Governor, then, would only submit for six months this first time, planning program, and then whoever the Governor is sitting in the chair, would have the opportunity to eh... submit plans for the other six months, and I would be glad to answer any question and would appreciate a favorable vote."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Bureau, Mr. Barry."

Tobias Barry: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it appears to some of us at least on this side of the aisle, and I think maybe Mr. Pat North and some of the others on the other side of the aisle, this is rather an obvious attempt, now that we have read the bill carefully and con-



sidered it to obscure the eh.... figures and also the plans for the future and eh.... some of at least recommend a 'no' vote on this bill."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. North."

F. P. North: "Eh.... Mr. Speaker, ladies and gentlemen of the House. I think this is the same bill that eh.... went down the shoot a couple of days ago and I think this is the publication for the eh.... Department of Transportation on contemplated and approved road projects. I don't think the position of the House has changed whatsoever on this particular issue. I still think it is a bad bill and should be defeated. There's no reason in the world why we should not have the publication in the fall as we've always had prior to the election to see where these road projects are going to go. I think this is imperative that we still hold our position and vote this bill down."

Arthur A. Telscer: "The Chair recognizes Speaker Blair."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Well, Mr. Speaker. I think there has been a general misunderstanding about the purport of this bill. Now the fact of the matter is, is that over the years we've always had this eh.... construction program required by statute to be published by January 1 of every year. At the same time, we appropriate the money for the construction program on a fiscal year. There's no way you can relate the fiscal program for building construction to the calendar year publication of the projects that are going to be built during the 12 month period in the calendar year. To me, this is



8.

a valid piece of legislation because putting the eh... 12 month, eh... projection for construction programs on the same basis as the fiscal appropriation makes us for the first time be able to relate the construction program with the appropriation, and eh... it furthermore, in years where you are electing, for example, the Chief Executive, and it is his responsibility to publish the document, eh... he comes into office in January and he is locked in, in fact, to what they have published for January 1, whereas on a fiscal year basis, he would have the six months in which to ascertain what his construction program would be running from July 1 of that year in which he would take office. There is nothing political in this eh... proposal as I see it. It's streamlined and makes for the first time available to us to see what the construction program is on a 12 month basis and what the fiscal program is at the same time. So, I think there has been a misunderstanding and I would like to see this Bill pass."

Rep. Arthur A. Telsler: "The gentleman from Bureau, Mr. Barry."

Tobias Barry: "With leave of the House, just one more comment, in response to the Speaker. It seems to me then, Mr. Speaker, that this Bill comes at a bad time because it is an election year. I think some of us suggested some time ago, if it is as valuable as you suggest, then perhaps it ought to have an amendment making it effective about a year or so from now."

Rep. Arthur A. Telsler: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."



G. W. Shea: "Eh.... I wonder if the sponsor could take this Bill out of the record for a few minutes and we could sit down and discuss it. I think that maybe we misunderstand it or its import."

Rep. Arthur A. Telscer: "Is that agreeable, Mr. Neff?" Take it out of the record for amendment. Alright, on the Speaker's table appears SJR 79. This resolution was eh... came over from the Senate, did not... went on the Speaker's table, has not been through the Committee process. O'kay, so we are going to have to move to suspend. Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Juckett."

R. S. Juckett: "Mr. Speaker, I would ask leave to suspend the rule for the purpose of hearing this SJR 79."

Rep. Arthur A. Telscer: "Is there eh... objection? Hearing none, the resolution will be read."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Joint Resolution 79. Coridally. Juckett."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Carter, for what purpose do you rise?"

J. Y. Carter: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to make a point of inquiry from the Chair. Now, here we are supposedly on our last day of this abbreviated session and here we get SJR resolution. Now we don't know if it's going to be heard today, Monday or when. Just what is the intention of the House in terms of these late resolutions that we don't know what we are going to do with."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Well, this particular one, eh... there it has been on the calendar for a bit and he.... I have



recognized the gentleman. He has moved and the House has granted leave to suspend the rules so that eh... it may be immediately considered."

J. Y. Carter: "Well, he will consider it by himself."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Juckett."

R. S. Juckett: "Well, Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House and my good friend on the other side, my helper and advisor on the Executive Committee, eh... SJR 79 has to do with the report that has occurred frequently in the past about many of the projects concerned with the Capitol complex. And as a result of that, Senator Horsley put this resolution in so that the Legislative Investigation Commission could study the matter and could bring to light anything that is right and wrong with these contracts. The Leadership has asked me and I have agreed along with Senator Horsely. The Leadership on both sides to extend this commission back for twelve years rather than six. The twelve year date coincides with the original rennovation of the House chambers and other improvements in the Capitol Building and I think that's entirely plausible and good. So, Mr. Clerk, there is an amendment on your desk for extending this back to the twelve years and if you could consider that at this time."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright, the Clerk will read the amendment."

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment to Senate Joint Resolution Number 79. Juckett. Amendment No. 1."

R. S. Juckett: "The only thing that this amendment does is



it changes the period of time from the six past years to the twelve past years and I would move adoption of the amendment."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All those in favor of the adoption of the amendment, say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the amendment is adopted. Now back to the resolution."

R. S. Juckett: "Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House. I think it's vital that we do make an investigation because there has been much criticism of the legislature and I think we've got to bring the facts out and if there is valid criticism, good. If the criticism is invalid, I think that must be brought out. So I would move adoption of Senate Joint Resolution 79 as amended."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Simms."

W. T. Simms: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the privilege that we are supporting a Senate Joint Resolution today, dealing with the Illinois Legislative Investigating Commission, the Senate has not extended the same courtesy to the House of Joint Resolutions pertaining to the Illinois Legislative Investigating Commission to conduct hearings on a couple of matters that eh... have been sent over to them. They would not bypass committee, nor would they hold a committee hearing relative to it. And I'm just to the opinion that perhaps the House shouldn't always agree to everything that the Senate sends over."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there further discussion? The gentleman from Madison, Mr. Calvo."



H. L. Calvo: "Mr. Speaker, I wonder if the sponsor would hold this for about five minutes. There hasn't been a committee or anything and I couldn't get just what it's doing. And since it affects the commission, it's alright?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright, the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Juckett, to close. You're holding it. You've already closed?"

R. S. Juckett: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I thought there was a request to hold it for eh...."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "That was withdrawn. It was withdrawn, so now we are ready to close."

R. S. Juckett: "O'kay. Well, Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House. When there is criticism, I think we must meet that criticism with a positive action. This will be a positive action and I would urge the support of this resolution as amended."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright, the question is, shall the House adopt the resolution?" All those in favor, say 'aye' the opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, and the resolution is adopted. Mr. Juckett, what about 75? I want to make sure, is anybody going to pick 75 up? Alright, Representative Jones said they are trying to find a sponsor for that.

851. Senate Bill 851. It's back to Senate Bills Third."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Senate Bill 851. A Bill for an Act to provide for the distribution of township funds. Third Reading of the Bill."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from eh... Mr. Cook, Mr. Hoffman."



R. K. Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 851 amends an act providing for the distribution of township funds which the township electors at the annual or special meeting determine or not needed for township purposes. Certain organizations or bodies which operate activites, guidance centers for the older inhabitants of the township to allow funds to be distributed in support of locally based services for the aged other than the active and guidance centers. This requires no new funds and is permissive in nature and it is something that some of the municipalities have inquired and are distressed about. They wish to use these funds for the actual cost. We have bussing of the aged to the recreational centers. And I solicit your support."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Bureau, Mr. Barry."

Tobias Barry: "Ron, would you answer a question, please?

How much money are we talking about and the reason for my question is that often in our downstate counties, we have railroads particularly that protest taxes when they are spent for purposes that are other than for purposes for which the people have voted them or this legislature has voted tax rates for various purposes, so that my only question is I would like the purpose of your bill, but my question is how much money are we talking about generally in the typical township."

R. K. Hoffmann: "Representative, there is no set amount that I can quote you. I can only relate to some of the municipalities



that I am familiar with. And these funds are dispersed to Mental Health and eh... these particular services, and may amount in excess of thirty thousand or something like that on an average, but there is no set amount that we could allude to."

Tobias Barry: "Is the reason for this Bill because there has been some objections to its expenditure in this direction in the past."

R. K. Hoffman: "No, Representative, not objection so much as the fact that they wish to have full utilization of the funds, not have the funds go specifically for facilities, but try to use it for bussing to get the aged to the facilities."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Glass."

B. M. Glass: "Will the gentleman yield to a question."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Let me break in here. We'll be doing this off and on during the day on these Conference Committees, so eh... we'll appoint with respect to the Second Conference Committee on House Bill 2416. McMaster, R. Cunningham, Lehman, Krause and Calvo and eh... with respect to the Second Conference Committee on Senate Bill 1369, Bluthardt is replaced with Kipley. O'okay Mr. Glass, proceed."

B. M. Glass: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Hoffman, Ron, does this Bill eh... continue to authorize the excess township funds to be allocated to School Districts if that is the choice of the electors?"



R. K. Hoffman: "Yes it does. It does not change that aspect of the Statute."

B. M. Glass: "Thank you."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Christian, Mr. Tipsword."

R. F. Tipsword: "Representative Hoffman, would you yield to a question, please? It's a little noisy and I haven't heard all of your explanations on this. Is there any danger in this Bill that the township officials or the small group of electors that show up at a meeting might be in any way tempted to raise more tax money from property taxes than would be ordinarily needed for these other township expenses just for the purpose of their favoritism to these various charities and organizations?"

R. K. Hoffman: "No, Representative, just by the action and inclusion in this new act, all we include in here is which provides services or facilities to the township's older inhabitants. Eh... it would certainly not lend credence to eh... indicating for additional taxation, just a wider use of the existing tax."

R. F. Tipsword: "The thing I was worried about, I didn't want them to, any township to be accused of using these excess funds for political purposes and also thereby increasing the property taxes of the local taxpayers, for we know at least in many of our downstate townships, there may be only a few show up at these annual township meetings and I wanted to be sure that this wasn't encouraging that kind of use or that kind of allegation to be used against them."



R. K. Hoffman: "No, Representative, it could not be used that way."

R. F. Tipword: "Thank you very much."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Jaffe."

Aaron Jaffe: "Would the gentleman yield to a question?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He indicates he will."

Aaron Jaffe: "Eh.... Ron, I noticed in the summary it says 'electors'. Is that actually the case, or is this the town auditors that will actually determine this thing?"

R. K. Hoffman: "I'm sorry, Representative, I can't hear you."

Aaron Jaffe: "I notice in the digest, it says 'township electors'. Now I know we've give a lot of these duties over to the township auditors. Is the digest correct in saying 'township electors'."

R. K. Hoffman: "Yes it is."

Aaron Jaffe: "Now also in Cook County, up until eh.... Judge O'Brien's decision...."

R. K. Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, Mr. Speaker, I know the Representative has some serious questions and I can't hear all of his questions."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright, let's see if we can't hold the noise level down. We are going to be here for a while today."

Aaron Jaffe: "Ron, I know in Cook County, for the first time we now have to levy in order to sustain townships. Now isn't this a new way to come in and have the township auditors actually make a levy?"

R. K. Hoffman: "No, Sir, it is not."



Aaron Jaffe: "You don't feel it would result in that?"

R. K. Hoffman: "Not at all. All it is is a matter of clarification as to the extent that they can use the excess funds."

Aaron Jaffe: "O'kay, thank you."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Palmer."

R. J. Palmer: "Well, Mr. Speaker, let me say this so that we can get our bearings straight on this. Prior to the Flinn versus Kosinski decision in December, 1969, many townships did have excess commission which they did use to eliminate the eh... levies for eh.... poor relief for their road fund and for their general town purposes. Also, some of these monies, and we are talking about excess commissions that they had at that time, was given to the grade schools within the township. Since the Flinn versus Kosinski decision, for the members of this House, the monies, the excess commissions that the townships had at that time, were taken by order of that court and plowed into the general fund of the township. Even today, Mr. Speaker, they are asking for on some theory for monies that have been used, have been spent. They want a payback for those monies that were not clearly identified or even perhaps clearly identified as excess commissions. So what we are talking about here is non-existent money that they want to then give for this purpose. Now I think the purpose is a laudible purpose, but it is not realistic. Most of the townships in Cook County are issuing tax anticipation warrants and will be for some years. There's one second or third objection, and that's



a possible constitutional objection that you can't give money out or tax monies out for strictly private purposes. Apparently this Bill would do this, so I don't think the Bill will be anything once it is enacted because there just aren't any excess commissions and certainly the townships are strapped right now for even their essential services." I would think that it might be best that we turn this proposal down."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright, eh... one correction. When I replaced Bluthardt with Kipley on the Second Conference Committee on 1569, it was eh... that was in error. It's in regard to Senate Bill 1329. And I'll appoint Second Conference Committee on Senate Bill 1369. Shapiro, Rose, Hall, Brinkmeier and Hart. Now the gentleman from Macon, Mr. Alsup."

J. W. Alsup: "Well, I've just run into a problem. Over in western Illinois, they want to build a nursing home in a small community and there's no one local government that's large enough to do this, so they're taking up public subscriptions. The Village would like to contribute and about four or five of the townships in the immediate area would like to contribute for matching funds to get the funds from the Federal government, and I can't think there is too much wrong with this Bill, if this is what the people want to do. I think the problem that they have is eh... I understand it, a township cannot levy and expend money for any purpose other than what the legislature gives them the power to do. And



this in a sense would place in the hands of the people a method whereby they can go together and build a home for the aged or something to where these people can stay in their own community. Therefore, I think this might have some real good effects."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Fleck."

C. J. Fleck: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All those in favor, say 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Previous question has been moved. Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Hoffman, to close."

R. K. Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, The only thing we are inserting into this Act is here is to elaborate so that services, activities can be included in this. One of the previous speakers is allusive to the fact that we are not talking with a lot of excess funds in many of the townships, but those who do, who are lucky enough to have excess funds, we feel that they would be best fitted to eh... use this in this means and we would like this inserted into the statutes so that it could be best utilized to everyones benefit. And I would ask for your support."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Question is, shall Senate Bill 851 pass? All those in favor, vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Gentleman from Kane, Mr. Hill."

J. J. Hill: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the idea that this pretends to bring out is a very good idea. I'm not opposed to that, but the vehicle that's being used for it is wrong. I don't believe that we should pass a



piece of legislation like this. If there are funds that are left over, there's certainly should be refunded back to the taxpayers and if something like this is wanted to be done by the local assessors, then they should come in for a tax rate increase. I don't believe that we should give those town auditors the opportunity of shuffling money around and this is property tax monies, and I think it's wrong to do it this way. The idea that he is trying to get across is a good idea, but this is not the right way to do it."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 108 'ayes' and 4 'nays' and this Bill having received a Constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. 1494."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1494. A Bill for an Act to amend sections of the Illinois Public Aid Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Hoffman."

R. K. Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, ladies and gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 1494 amends the Illinois Public Aid Code to provide for exemptions of earned or other income for the aged, blind and disabled persons who qualify for public assistance. The legislation provides that the Department of Public Aid shall increase the amount to the Department, disregarding in determining need of such persons to the maximum amount permitted or required under Federal law or regulations. The Bill also provides that the Department may increase the exemptions of resources. Now the amount of



we are talking about is based on a fifty, fifty matching fund with Federal funds. I have checked with the Director of Public Aid and because of the situation of the Department even though this is not a line item in the budget, they will have sufficient funds to implement this program. I would like to allude to one point of the Governor's message where he indicated that he supported this type of a concept and therefore I would ask for your favorable vote."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Discussion. Question is, shall this Bill pass? All those in favor, vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 127 'ayes', no 'nays' and this Bill, having received a Constitutional majority, is hereby declared passed. Correction on Conference Committee membership on eh... House Bill 2416. Don Moore replaces Ed Lehman. What is this right here, I can't read it. 1364."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1364. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Aeronautics Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Hoffman."

R. K. Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 1364 has been on the order of Second Reading and it has been read a Third Time twice. However, at this time, I would have to yield to the leadership on the other side of the aisle, who I had indicated because of their concern in this matter, I would hold this until such time as Representative Shea



would indicate his pleasure."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

G. W. Shea: "I don't know how this Bill ever got off Second Reading cause that's where we were going to keep it, I thought."

R. K. Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, to answer that question, it was inadvertently read eh.... to be put on Third Reading, but in both instances eh.... with cooperation from the other side of the aisle, we had retained it there. Now, if there are further problems on it, I will condesend your wishes, but I would like to move it onto third."

G. W. Shea: "No, that wasn't our commitment, was it Ron?"

R. K. Hoffman: "That's why I'm saying I'm acquiescent at that time."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright."

R. K. Hoffman: "Would you take it out of the record?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: Alright, we'll take it out of the record. 1318."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1318. A Bill for an Act to combine the multiple forms of laws passed by the 77th General Assembly. Third Reading of the Bill."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

G. W. Shea: "Senate Bill 1318 is the Legislative Reference Bureau's cleanup Bill of the session, and if any of the Acts that are being amended by that Bill are amended by action of that body during this session of the 77th General Assembly, they have what is known as the self-destruct clause



where they will not become effective. I ask for the support of the House in passing this Bill."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Further discussion? Alright, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1318 pass? All those in favor, vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, 129 'ayes', no 'nays' and this Bill having received a Constitutional majority, is hereby declared passed. 1337."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1337. A Bill for an Act making an appropriation for the installation of electronic voting device in the Senate Chambers. Third Reading of the Bill."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright, all those in favor, vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. Juckett 'aye'. Mann 'aye'. On this question, 130 'ayes'. Simms 'aye'. Duff 'aye'. And this Bill, having received a constitutional majority, is hereby declared passed. 1501."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1501. A Bill for an Act to amend sections of the Rabbi Control Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Livingston, Mr. Hunsicker."

C. T. Hunsicker: "Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 1501 amends the Rabbi's Control Act. It authorizes the Department of Agriculture to reduce the ten day confinement period for the purpose of detecting the



rabbis of animals which have bitten a purpose. Eh.... as far as I know there is no opposition to this particular bill and I ask for your favorable vote."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All those in favor, vote 'aye', and the opposed 'no'. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Jaffe."

Aaron Jaffe: "Will the gentleman yield to a question?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He indicates he will."

Aaron Jaffe: "Now, the digest says that it authorizes the Department of Agriculture to reduce the ten day confinement period. Until.... what will the confinement period actually be?"

C. T. Hunsicker: "Mr. Speaker, let's have a little quiet in here. I couldn't hear the man's question."

Aaron Jaffe: "You're asking to reduce the ten day confinement period in the case of rabbis. What will it be reduced to?"

C. T. Hunsicker: "It will still be ten days under certain eh.... circumstances. The major change, of course, in Section 12 and it's a provision granting the Department the authority to reduce the ten day period of confinement for dogs or other animals which have bitten a person. In many instances over the past years, the ten day confinement period has created an inhuman situation and in some cases even disrupted important research."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Further discussion? All those in favor vote 'aye', the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 122 'ayes', no 'nays' and this Bill having received a



Constitutional majority, is hereby declared passed. 1467."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1467. A Bill for an Act to amend sections of an Act in relation to State Finance. Third Reading of the Bill."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "For what purpose.... take it out of the record. 1462."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1462. A Bill for an Act to amend the Insurance Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Lawrence, Mr. Cunningham."

R. D. Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. If Senate Bill 1462 saves one shakey insurance company from going broke, thereby impoverishing the policyholders, it will be worth staying over for an extra day. I would appreciate your 'aye' vote."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Discussion? Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

G. W. Shea: "I'd like the gentleman from Lawrence to explain what the Bill does."

R. D. Cunningham: "Representative Shea, the Bill directs the Director of Insurance to call upon the shareholders to eh... make good when there is a shakey financial position, impairment it's called, in the well-being of the insurance structure. One of the leaders from your side, behind you there, Representative Tipword, has looked into the matter and I hope that he is now with me in the matter and I would be most appreciative for his support in this endeavor. It is identical to the House Bill that was earlier passed, which



was pointed out by Representative Fleck. It has been a large struggle to get it passed and there should no longer be any problem about it: It does not in any way extend liability.."

G. W. Shea: "Could you go to the merits of the Bill?"

R. D. Cunningham: "I'm getting there if you will listen. It does not in any way extend liability to mutual holders for the reason that mutual holders, there are no share holders in mutual insurance companies. Under the Insurance Code, all insurance shareholders are always accessible on insurance policies. What's involved here, as to often in the past, shareholders constitute the Board of Directors, and the present statute calls on the Board of Directors to make good when there is an impairment in the Financial structure of the insurance company, but the Directors have been reluctant to call upon themselves to make good this deficiency. This is strictly a protection of the public policy holder position. Have I answered your question?"

G. W. Shea: "No."

R. D. Cunningham: "Well, would you please rephrase, because I did not intend to avoid it."

G. W. Shea: "Well, I think you ought to make it clear that this Bill gives to the Director of the Department of Insurance some additional powers and that he can now force the Board of Directors of a company to access the shareholders on a ratable basis for what any deficiencies, it's mandatory. I would just like to point out, I'm going to support the Bill, Roscoe, but I would just like to point out that this is,



since 1965, we have continuously given more power to the Director of Insurance and we have continuously watched insurance rates skyrocket in this State. Now I think that with all these additional powers, the Department ought to start doing something about rates and what people are paying for insurance."

R. D. Cunningham: "I agree with part of that thought, but the happy other side of the coin in relation to the extension of authority of the Director since 1965, is that we have had fewer insurance company failures and with this Bill, happily that number can be reduced to zero, and I believe if we would examine the transcript together, we would find that I have made exactly the same points as you have in my explanation of it, perhaps not as expertly, but anyhow I welcome your support."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Further discussion. Gentleman from Christian, Mr. Tipword."

R. F. Tipword: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, I want to thank Representative Cunningham and I'm not sure whether he elevated me or demoted me, but I appreciate his kind words. I would like to say, however, that eh... we have since eh... I objected to this Bill the other day, talked to a representative of the Department of Insurance, and I am absolutely certain now that this does not affect the mutual companies. It affects only the stock companies in the State. I've also become well aware that the law, as it presently stands, provides that the Board shall, when they eh... when there

is an impairment in their financial capital, shall assess the shareholders of a stock company. The regrettable thing is is that apparently the major shareholders have been the Board of Directors, and the Board of Directors have been reluctant to assess themselves. This will extend an additional power to the Director of Insurance, but it is a mandatory direction that he then has to these Directors who are the major shareholders, that they should assess themselves and all the other shareholders of the company to remove this impairment to the financial well-being of the company. I'm going to support this Bill. I also would like to re-echo the words of our leader Mr. Shea, when he indicated that everyone, including I'm sure all of the members of this House, is every disturbed by the ever-increasing rates of our insurance company, and we too would hope that while the Director gets this increased power under this Bill that he would also be doing something about hopefully reducing rates and increasing service from the insurance companies in this State."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Glass."

B. M. Bradley: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would like to ask the sponsor a question. Rosco, eh... Sections 4 and 5 of the Bill on Page 2, wonder if you could clarify eh... and explain the reason for these provisions. As I understand it, eh... Paragraph 4 eh... makes it an offense for an officer to knowingly renew eh... an insurance policy while impairment exists, eh... on the other hand, Paragraph 5 would seem



to permit that eh... to happen if the owner exercises his right to renew or exercises an option to renew the policy. Eh.... and it looks to me if that would be in conflict, but even if it isn't, I wonder about the wisdom of permitting renewals while there is impairment and eh.... is there any notice to the policyholder when he does renew if the company is impaired?"

R. D. Cunningham: "Brad, I find no Paragraph 5 in the printed version of the policy, eh.... the Bill. Are we talking about the same bill?"

B. M. Bradley: "Senate Bill 1462, at least the copy which I have on Page 2, there's a sub-paragraph 4 and 5, the last two, beginning on line 20. Do I have the wrong bill?"

R. D. Cunningham: "Line 20 is sub-paragraph 4, but where is sub-paragraph 5?"

B. M. Bradley: "Well, Representative Fleck tells me that that has been deleted and eh.... I guess I have an old copy. Well, can policies be renewed under this Bill, while the company is impaired?"

R. D. Cunningham: "If it is renewed, it subjects the officers to the company to the statutory penalty that is provided. The theory is that when they are behind, they need to be supervised, otherwise they get farther behind."

B. M. Bradley: "Well, thank you. I guess that clarifies it, and I think it is as it should be and will support the Bill."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Carter."

J. Y. Carter: "Eh.... Eh..... Roscoe, would the eh... sponsor



yield to a question, please."

R. D. Cunningham: "Delighted."

J. Y. Carter: "Roscoe, I'm raising probably what is a far-fetched question and maybe a foolish one."

R. D. Cunningham: "Never."

J. Y. Carther: "Oh, yes, very possibly, but what I'm wondering about, eh.... is there a possibility that this can be in some way interpreted by the courts as class legislation when you apply it to stock companies which are insurance companies, and do not apply it to stock companies which are not insurance companies, insofar as the impairment of capital is concerned?"

R. D. Cunningham: "James, I'm assured by the experts from the Insurance Company, including Mr. Tractman sitting here on my right, that this is not a possibility under the existing interpretation of the statute that it could be Class X."

J. Y. Carter: "Well, I have seen this come up before in obscure situations where we never thought it might occur, so for that reason, I ask you the question and ask you if it has been gone into."

R. D. Cunningham: "Yes, I had checked it with them and that was the answer that I was given and I requote it to you."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Further Discussion. Gentleman from Lawrence, Mr. Cunningham, to close."

R. D. Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker, Representative Collins had indicated some question. Did you wish to persist in that O. G.? Mr. Speaker, at the request of Mr. O. G. Collins,



we are quite agreeable to take it out of the record for five or ten minutes, at the convenience of the speaker."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. O. G. Collins."

O. G. Collins: "Mr. Speaker, and ladies and gentlemen of the House, I only request that the speaker take this out of the record for about 15 minutes or so to permit us to double check a few aspects of it."

R. D. Cunningham: "We have no objections, Mr. Speaker."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "With leave, take it out of the record. 970."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Senate Bill 970. An Act providing for grants to local governmental units for additional compensation for law enforcement officers. Third Reading of the Bill."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Regner."

D. J. Regner: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill provides for reimbursement by the State for counties, municipalities and townships for additional compensation for law enforcement officers training with certain educational requirements and provides the maximum reimbursement of 2% of the base pay or \$200 per individual per year, and it requires the completion of thirty hours of law enforcement related courses at a college level, and I urge your support of Senate Bill 970."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Discussion? Question is, shall this Bill pass? All those in favor, vote 'aye', the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. Have



all voted who wished? On this question, 135 'ayes', 1 'nay' and this Bill, having received a Constitutional majority, is hereby declared passed. 1608."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Senate Bill 1608. Bill for an Act to amend the Vehicle Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright, gentleman from Cook, Mr. D. J. O'Brien."

D. J. O'Brien: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is another Bill that will permit the setting back of the effective date of the implied consent legislation we passed last year. This will set it back to January 1. There was another three month bill that passed yesterday and this will give the Governor an opportunity to extend the eh... if necessary past October 1, the date of effectiveness of implied consent. This is in fact law enforcement agencies are not prepared at that time to put the legislation into effect. I would appreciate an affirmative vote."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Discussion. Question is, shall this Bill pass? All those in favor, vote 'aye', the opposed 'no'." Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question, 134 'ayes', 1 'nay', and this Bill, having received a Constitutional majority, is hereby declared passed. 1548."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Senate Bill 1548. An Act to provide for the development of systems for the improvement of the educational effectiveness and physical efficiency of school



districts henceforth. Third Reading of the Bill."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Berman."

A. I. Berman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 1548 is a Bill designed to provide development systems for physical efficiency of school districts. Participation in the program is strictly voluntary on the part of the school districts and the office of OSPI will be able to choose representative areas of different school districts for pilot programs in proper fiscal management. The Bill was heard in the primary and secondary education committee and was voted out with a vote of 15 to 0. I would appreciate your affirmative vote."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there discussion? Question is, shall this Bill pass? All those in favor, vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 127 'ayes' and no 'nays' and this Bill, having received a Constitutional majority, is hereby declared passed. Alright, let's go over the Conference Committee Reports. 1415. Gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Clabaugh."

C. W. Clabaugh: "Mr. Speaker, I'm going to move that we adopt the eh... Conference Committee Report on House Bill 4215. There was a change of about two million dollars in the personal services item as worked out with Mr. Hanahan who was the sponsor of the amendment in the House. The Governor's office and the University and all are in agreement, and I solicit your support."



Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Discussion? Question is on the adoption of Conference Committee Report with respect to House Bill 4215. All those in favor will vote 'aye', the opposed 'no'. This is final action. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 132 'ayes' and no 'nays' and the House adopts the Conference Committee Report with respect to House Bill 4215. 4260. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Phil Collins."

P. W. Collins: "Eh.... Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Eh.... I move that the House adopt Conference Committee Report on House Bill 4260. The Conference Committee recommends that the Senate recede from Senate Amendment No. 1, which added a hundred thousand dollars which is covered in another Bill and that the eh... Bill be further amended to restore eh... a hundred and fifty thousands rather than two hundred thousand as recommended by the House. I move for concurrence of the adoption of the Conference Committee Report."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright, is there further discussion? Question is on the adoption of Conference Committee Report with respect to House Bill 4260. All those in favor, vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. Final passage. Requires 89 votes. Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question, 127 'ayes', no 'nays' and this House adopts the Conference Committee Report with respect to 4260. Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1439. Gentleman from Wayne, Mr. Blades."



B. C. Blades: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Eh.... the differences between the House and the Senate have been settled as far as conference, with the conference committee on Senate Bill 1439, which is the Department of Mines and Minerals. I now move that we adopt the Conference Committee Report."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there discussion? Question is on the adoption of the Conference Committee Report. All those in favor, vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 130 'ayes' and no 'nays' and the House has adopted the Conference Committee Report. Now working on non-concurrences There appears Senate Bill 1550, on which the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Telcser, concerning House amendments 1, 2 and 4."

Arthur A. Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, ladies and gentlemen of the House. Eh... House Amendments 1, 2 and 4 are amendments which I discussed with Representative Lechowicz, eh... and Representative Regner. They deal with transferability, putting med-a-check back into the eh.... Department of Public Health and they deal also with a cut of four hundred thousand dollars. After discussion with the leaders in the Senate also, I would now move that the House recede from House Amendments 1, 2 and 4 to Senate Bill 1550."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright, the question is, shall the House recede from House Amendments 1, 2 and 4 to Senate Bill 1550. All.... Gentlemen from Cook, Mr. Juckett."



R. S. Juckett: "Mr. Speaker, I don't.... I did not catch the import of each of the amendments. Would it be possible for the sponsor to eh..."

Arthur A. Telcser: "I'm sorry you didn't hear me, Mr. Juckett, but eh... Amendment No. 1 deals with the transferability within the Department, which we obviously are not going to be able to obtain. House Amendment No. 2 deals with putting the med-a-check program out of this Department into Public Health, which we are not going to be able to achieve. Senate Bill No. 4 represents a four hundred thousand dollar cut which the Senate will not concur with."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright, the question is, shall the House recede from House Amendments 1, 2 and 4 to Senate Bill 1550. All those in favor, vote 'aye', and the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 111 'ayes', 6 'nays' and the House recedes from House Amendments 1, 2 and 4 to Senate Bill 1450." Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 1484, in which the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cook, Mr. D. J. O'Brien."

D. J. O'Brien: "No."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Take it out of the record. Alright, on concurrences. 1318, on which the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Rock Island, Mr. Pappas, with respect to Senate Amendment No. 3."

P. Pappas: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentleman of the House, Senate Amendment No. 3 to House Bill 1318 further restricts



the Bill as passed by this House. Under the Bill passed by the House, Interstate carriers would be able to prorate all of their vehicles including their intrastate fleet in their pro-rate application. This would place Illinois out of conformity with uniform interstate compact, which Illinois is a party with sixteen other States. Under this amendment Illinois will be in conformance. This also confirms the administrative act of the Secretary of State. Also, the Amendment deletes all reference to audits and penalties as we already adopted this under public law 77-1541. I move for concurrence."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Discussion? Gentleman from Bureau Mr. Barry."

Tobias Barry: "Will the sponsor yield to a question? Pete, would this now allow for truckers to eh... make deliveries at inbetween points? Is this the Bill I've heard about?"

P. Pappas: "Providing they have the authority. They cannot do it if they do not have authority."

Tobias Barry: "In other words, if they have authority, they eh... they may make drops along the way between the points of the authority."

P. Pappas: "That's correct, if they have the authority."

Tobias Barry: "Thank you."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there further discussion? The gentleman has moved that the House concur with Senate Amendment No. 3 to House Bill 1318. All in favor of concurrence, signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'.



Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question, 110 'ayes', 1 'nay' and the House concurs with Senate Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1318. Representative Hall, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

H. H. Hall: "Well, Mr. Speaker, eh... we eh... who have been serving here all year eh... have become quite accustomed to listening and working with probably one of the outstanding Clerks of any House in eh... the United States, but we are especially glad to see today his lovely wife, Mrs. Helen Selcke, of our Clerk sitting in the Speaker's gallery. Would she stand and be recognized."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "On the order of non-concurrences, appears Senate Bill 1371, for which purpose the gentleman from Franklin, Mr. Hart, is recognized."

R. O. Hart: "Eh... Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House, I would move that the House recede from House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1371."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there any discussion? Gentleman has moved that the House recede from House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1371. All in favor of receding, signify... Gentlemen from Cook, Mr. Juckett."

R. S. Juckett: "Would the, Mr. Speaker, would the Sponsor explain what House Amendment 1 does to this Bill."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He indicates he will."

R. S. Juckett: "It happens to be the Lt. Governor's appropriation."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He indicates he will."



- O. Hart: "The Amendment eh.... deleted some items eh... in the neighborhood of twenty thousand dollars from the budget. You will recall that when the Amendment was offered, I opposed it and eh.... feeling that it would put too much of a restriction on the Lt. Governor, eh... regardless of who he is, eh... so that the amendment, I think, the Bill as it originally came over gave the Lt. Governor a little more maneuverability. There's no additional appropriation from last year. I think it is bare bones budget the way it came over here. The Senate refused to concur in the amendment and I believe that we should recede."
- R. S. Juckett: "This was the amendment that was put on by the next Lt. Governor? By Mr. Nowlan? My understanding was that he felt the Lt. Governor's office did have sufficient monies and that the increase of one assitant to five assistants in 1969 and the increase from one secretary to six secretaries was sufficient and that he didn't need a parliamentarian. That was my understanding wasn't it?"
- R. O. Hart: "Well, we have a difference of opinion about it. I think we should recede."
- R. S. Juckett: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I would urge that we would go with the opinion of the next Lt. Governor and it is my understanding that it was Mr. Nowlan's amendment and I would urge that we vote not to recede."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Stark, Mr. Nowlan."
- D. Nowlan: "Mr. Speaker and members, eh.... I certainly appreciate the remarks of Representative Juckett, because I

concur wholeheartedly with him that the reduction amended into the Bill in this House is responsible. I have, however, talked to great length with the Senate sponsor and Senator Rock and he and members of his party over there are quite adamant about sustaining the proposal as introduced on behalf of Lt. Governor Paul Simon and I think a Conference Committee would be futile and I think the points have been well made that this budget has increased from thirty-four thousand dollars to a hundred and eighty-four thousand dollars in the past four years and that this office, this new offices does have, does not have the same functions as the present Lt. Governor's Office, but I believe because of the adamant position of the other House, that we should recede at this time and move on to matters that have more substance in this last day of the session."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz."

T. S. Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. In response to the two previous speakers, maybe we can incorporate the budget of the next Lt. Governor into the Governor's budget the way it was handled before and in turn, if the good representative Nowlan is elected to that position, we can see a reduction back to thirty-four thousand dollars for this appropriation for this office, but at this time, I would have to agree with Representative Hart that this is a responsible budget it's been prepared by a man who, in my opinion, is... has a career in public office and in turn has always been one



of the economy block."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "gentleman from Cook, Mr. Caldwell."

L. A. Caldwell: "Mr. Speaker, in support of Representative Hart, I would like to make this remark. I think we have milked the hell out of this session, those of us who are running for office, coming in November, and I would suggest that we get on now and take care of the rest of the business and quit politicing. I want to go home."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman has moved that the House recede from Amendment No. 1 of Senate Bill 1371. All in favor of receding, signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. This question, 115 'ayes', 8 'nays' and this House recedes from amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1371. On the order of non-concurrences appears Senate Bill 1372, for which purpose Mr. Hoffman from Dupage is recognized."

G. L. Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House Senate Bill 1372 is the appropriation bill for the School Building Commission. House Amendment No. 1 put ten million dollars in Votechnical Centers back in, put the appropriation for the operating budget back and in and some IBA money. I discussed this with some members in the Senate and therefore I move that we not recede from our amendment and call for a Conference Committee."

Rep. Arthur A. Telscher: "Is there discussion? Gentleman has moved that the House do not recede from Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1372. All in favor of the gentleman's motion,



signify by voting 'aye', the opposed 'no' and the House does not recede from Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1372. And a Conference Committee will be appointed. On the order of concurrences, there appears House Bill 4220 for which purpose the gentleman from Cook, Representative McGah is recognized."

J. P. McGah: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Eh.... House Bill 4220 started out as a statutory revision bill, but when the Supreme Court found that Capital Punishment was unconstitutional yesterday, we amended it to take care of the bail problem. Eh.... the previous statute as far as non-bailable offenses read this way: 'All persons shall be bailable before conviction except when death is a possible punishment for the offense.' We've changed that now to eh... read 'All persons shall be bailable before conviction except when the offense charge is murder, aggravated kidnapping, or treason, and the proof is evident or the presumption great that the person is guilty of the offense.' Eh.... I move that we concur in Senate Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 4420."

Rep. Arthur A. Telscher: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mann."

R. E. Mann: "Well, Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House, eh.... having observed a great victory with regard to the decision of the Supreme Court yesterday on the abolition of Capital Punishment on the grounds that it constituted cruel and unusual punishment, eh... I don't think that eh... any of us want to over react to this particular



provision. Eh.... I think it might cause a backlash eh... in terms of attitudes of the public and eh... people in the field of criminal justice and I don't think that we want to cause an undue backlash in that area. I would like to point out a couple of things though as you think about this Bill. Eh.... first of all, we're making nonbailable the same offenses that were nonbailable before, but we don't have before us the copy of the Court's decision yesterday. We don't know whether or not the court's decision touched the question of bail or not. Eh.... we do have before us the Constitution of the State of Illinois, which was recently adopted and in Section 9 of Article I of the Bill of Rights, it reads that all persons shall be bailable by sufficient sureties except for Capital offenses, where the proof is evident or the presumption great. Now, the question that I would raise here is inasmuch as the supreme court has now said that penalties for Capital offenses are unconstitutional what affect does this have on the Illinois Constituion that was just adopted and are we today passing a law in haste which may in affect conflict with the Illinois Constitution and as affected by the Supreme Court decision. Eh... I am not anxious frankly to see persons charged with Capital offenses released willy-nilly on bail. I do not think it would be a good public policy. Therefore, I'm not going to vigorously oppose this particular bill, but I would think that perhaps inasmuch as we are coming back in January, we might want to analyze the opinion and then perhaps over the



summer come up with a measure which would protect eh... the security of people and at the same time pass a law that would be in conformance with the Illinois Constitution and the recently enacted Court Decision, so for those reasons, Mr. Speaker, I would like to be recorded as voting 'present'."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Palmer."

R. J. Palmer: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and members of the House, the Amendment here does as I see it not much more than what is already provided by law. Eh... it changes the language that eh... that eh... does not allow a eh... bail, eh... where a person is charged with murder, aggravated kidnapping, or treason. Now that would be the equivalent of the former language or the present language which the amendment seeks to change; that is, a possible punishment and eh... there is no more than a spelling out as I see it of those offenses and in that way it does eh..., I believe, somewhat conform to the Supreme Court's opinion of yesterday or at least it does it no violence, so I would ask that this side of the aisle support the amendment."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Harold Washington."

H. Washington: "Would the sponsor yield to a question?"

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "He indicates he will."

H. Washington: "I haven't read the opinion, Representative McGah, have you had occasion to read it?"

J. P. McGah: "No, I don't believe it's available as yet."

H. Washington: "From the morning papers, I gather that the Attorney General of the State is interpreting the decision so that we can intelligently address ourselves to this particular piece of legislation. Do you know if that opinion has come down from the Attorney General?"

J. P. McGah: "I don't know how soon it will be out."

H. Washington: "According to the papers, the Attorney General is going to forward his interpretation of that opinion to the General Assembly today. Do you know if we've gotten it as yet?"

J. P. McGah: "No, I don't, no."

H. Washington: "Then may I suggest that, Representative McGah, in light of the fact that the Attorney General does have the opinion. He's a very competent lawyer. He has promised by way of the press at least to forward us his interpretation of that opinion. Don't you think it would be wise to withhold concurrence on this amendment a reasonable time to give him a chance to give us that opinion?"

J. P. McGah: "I wonder if we can't take this out of the record?"

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Take it out of the record. On the order of concurrence.... On the order of Conference Committee Reports, appears Senate Bill 1484, for which purpose the gentleman from Cook, Representative Dan O'Brien is recognized."

D. J. O'Brien: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would at this time move that we adopt the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1484. The impact



of the report is to adopt the House amendment, reducing the appropriation for the First Appellate District by twenty thousand dollars. I move the adoption of the Conference Committee Report."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Gentleman has moved the House adopt the Conference Committee Report relative to Senate Bill 1484. All in favor of adoption, signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. Douglas 'aye'. This question 121 'ayes', 1 'nay' and the House adopts the Conference Committee Report relative to Senate Bill 1484. On the order of concurrences, appears House Bill 4210, for which purpose the gentleman from Rock Island, Representative Henss, is recognized."

D. A. Henss: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Eh.... Senate Amendment No. 3 reduced the grants under the Higher Education Cooperation Act by two hundred and forty thousand dollars. This was a figure which I had agreed to and it was a negotiated figure. Senate Amendment No. 4 reduced the budget of the Board of Higher Education by a total of ninety-seven thousand, five hundred dollars, which also was acceptable to me. I eh.... move for concurrence in Senate Amendments No. 3 and 4."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Gentleman has moved the House concur with Senate Amendments No. 3 and 4 to House Bill 4210. All in favor of concurrence, signify by voting 'aye', the opposed.... Gentleman from McHenry,

Representative Hanahan."

T. J. Hanahan: "Eh.... Just make the record very clear, I believe by accepting the sponsor's recommendation that this Bill will be in accordance with the agreement we made on the paying of the nonacademic employees?"

D. A. Henss: "This was not one of the Bills in which that issue was present. This was for the private institutions and the central office of the Board of Higher Education."

T. J. Hanahan: "Thank you very much."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Gentleman has moved that the House concur with Senate Amendments No. 3 and 4 to House Bill 4210. All in favor of concurrence, signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Pappas 'aye'. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. This question, 125 'ayes', 3 'nays' and the House concurs with Senate Amendments No. 3 and 4 to House Bill 4210. On the Order of Concurrences appears House Bill 4528, for which purpose the gentleman from Rock Island, Representative Henss is recognized."

D. A. Henss: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I will move for concurrence in Senate Amendment No. 1 which was a procedural amendment basically. Eh.... it took out the appropriation and put... and we put the appropriation in the Bill that was just passed. Number 4210. Otherwise, this is the same Bill that passed the House by a vote of 145 to nothing. I move for concurrence."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Gentleman has moved the House concur with Senate Amendment No. 1 to



House Bill 4528. All in favor of concurrence, signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. O'kay. Pappas 'aye'. Campbell 'aye'. Burditt 'aye'. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. This question, 130 'ayes', no 'nays' and the House concurs with Senate Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 4528. On the Order of Concurrences appears House Bill 4682. Record Representative Hudson as voting aye on that last roll call. On the Order of Concurrences appears House Bill 4682, for which purpose the gentleman from Morgan, Representative Rose, is recognized."

T. C. Rose: "Ladies and Gentlemen, I am going to move that the House concur with Senate Amendment No. 4 to this Bill. You will recall that this Bill is the one that requires that Units of Local Government to notify the Secretary of State when it opts in or out of Home Rule. It occurred to me after we passed it here, that it would also be valuable if the unit which is going to hold a referendum for such option, notify the Secretary of State prior to the election. That's all this amendment does. I move our concurrence."

Rep. Arthur A. Telscher: "Is there any discussion? Gentleman moves the House concur with Senate Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 4682. All in favor of concurrence, signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. This question, 130 'ayes', no 'nays'. The House concurs with Senate Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 4682. On the Order of Concurrences appears House Bill 4671, for which purpose the gentleman from Cook,



Representative R. Walsh, is recognized."

R. A. Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 4671 is the Bill which provided for the transfer of funds in excess of those necessary to retire the McCormick Place reconstruction bonds, be transferred from that fund into the General Revenue Fund. The Senate amended the Bill eh... to provide a little extra safeguard and the amendment provides that when the funds are in excess of one-hundred and ten percent of the amount necessary, that access can be transferred into the General Revenue Fund. Eh... I have no objection to that amendment and I would urge that the House concur in Senate Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 4671."

Rep. Arthur A. Telscer: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

G. W. Shea: "I think this amendment makes this a fine series of Bills and want to support him."

Rep. Arthur A. Telscer: "Is there further discussion? Gentleman has moved the House concur with Senate Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 4671. All in favor of concurrence, signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. This question, 130 'ayes', 1 'nay'. The House concurs with Senate Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 4671. On the Order of Concurrences appears House Bill 4449, for which purpose the gentleman from Lee, Representative Shapiro, is recognized."

D. C. Shapiro: "Eh... Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 4449 is the appropriation Bill for the



Junior Colleges throughout the State. Eh.... a House Amendment that put into this Bill the prevailing eh... wage policy was taken out by the Senate in Senate Amendment No. 1. I move that the House concur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 4449."

Rep. Arthur A. Telscer: "Is there any discussion? Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Hanahan."

T. J. Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker, members of the House. I oppose the motion to concur with the Senate in removing the prevailing wage recommendation and policy that was adopted by this House unanimously on the other universities systems including the Junior College System. And I oppose this for various reasons; one of which most of the Junior Colleges already comply with the prevailing rate payments. There are only a few who don't. Secondly, nobody has come to this sponsor of this amendment in the weeks the amendment has been on, from any Junior College, explaining just how adversely it will affect them. Nobody has said that it will cost them 'x' amount of dollars, ten dollars, a hundred dollars or a thousand dollars more to comply with the standards that have been set by this General Assembly for more than thirty years. I would like to report to the Members of the General Assembly and the House that this is the Labor Record vote. If you want to know sometimes how we choose the issues, this is the issue. This is an AFLCIO position endorsed by the State Federation of Labor and especially by the State Building Trades Conference. We want to know the feeling of



the members of the General Assembly and whether or not a carpenter or a bricklayer or a plumber or yes, even a barber, a machinest, working in the public sector should receive the same salary as those working in the private sector. I would like to point out to those members around the State that we mandate that the State of Illinois in its expenditure pay prevailing rates. We mandate in the universities that the State of Illinois will pay prevailing rates, and yet in the Junior College system and a few systems around the State, they determine on their own volition that they don't have to comply. What I say to you Members of the General Assembly, what is good for the goose, is good for the gander. In the City of Chicago, both Republican and Democrat homeowners are paying a real estate tax to comply with the prevailing rate adoption of the City Junior College System. In some other areas of the State, they don't comply. I think its about time that all the people in Illinois come under all the same laws and this should be, in effect, a start of it. This is a very important amendment. I ask for a no vote on this concurrence, so that it goes to Conference Committee. If the lobbyists for the Illinois Junior Colleges has an amendment that they want to adopt because it's going to cause some system a little bit of money we could discuss that in conference committee, but to take off an amendment that mandates the paying of the prevailing wage is an affront to labor. It's an affront to every working man and woman in Illinois and I think it should be soundly



and overwhelmingly defeated."

Rep. Arthur A. Telscer: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Fleck."

C. J. Fleck: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'm having a very difficult time understanding the logic of the Representative from McHenry. On one hand he talks in terms of the working men not making as much money when he's working for school districts and the public then he does when he works for private concerns with his labor union support. On the other hand, you and I know that the executives, us, the Governor and everyone else, who are supposed to be on the upper bracket of the pay for public, don't make nearly as much as the executives do in the big corporations in the private sector. So I just can't agree with him on this and besides that, I think it will be just too much money that the school districts will not be able to afford. I oppose his position."

Rep. Arthur A. Telscer: "The gentleman from Winnebago, Representative North."

F. D. North: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. In answer to the gentleman from McHenry, we have a Junior College up in Rockford. It will cost this Junior College around seventy thousand dollars per year if this amendment remains on the Bill. Now, I think this is grossly unfair for the Junior Colleges, because only about thirty-five percent of the State funds go into operating costs. Sixty-five percent is by local money, and there is no way



that the local tax base can afford to pick up the seventy thousand dollars in our area that it would acquire to have the prevailing wage put on. Now, I'll give you an example of what happens. The University of Illinois, by having this amendment put on Higher Educational institutions, got an extra six million dollars from the State to implement prevailing wage. However, Junior Colleges never got a dime, and I think this is quite an imposition upon the Junior Colleges unless the General Assembly can provide the money to replace in granting this particular amendment."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Wayne, Representative Blades."

B. G. Blades: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I've been getting telegrams from all my Junior Colleges to oppose this prevailing wage. I think Representative North well stated that they don't have the money and eh... the only way they could possibly pay it, is if the State of Illinois was willing to pick up the difference in the tab, as to what they would have to pay. I suggest that the amendment to knock out the prevailing wage eh... should be knocked out."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Rock Island, Representative Henss."

D. A. Henss: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. It's all well and good to establish wage rates if we pay the money over to these Junior Colleges so that they can pay the wage, as we did with the University of Illinois,



and the other senior institutions in the State. But the Junior Colleges do not have the money. The State supplies only about thirty-five percent of the operating budget of the Junior Colleges and should not impose these additional costs on the local property tax payer. They simply have no other way of getting it if they are not to increase eh... property taxes. Janitors at Junior Colleges are paid on a twelve month basis. The rate may be lower than the rate paid to a janitor who is working out of the union hall, but the fellow working out of the union hall eh... may be working maybe a hundred and sixty days out of a year. The janitors at a Junior College, since it is a full-time employment, have a pretty good deal, if not, it is not a bad situation for them. I hope that we will support Representative Shapiro on this Bill."

Rep. Arthur A. Telscher: "Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Simms."

W. T. Simms: "Well, Mr. Speaker, ladies and gentlemen of the House. I would just like to reiterate and support what Representative North has said. Our Junior College district would be grossly affected by this eh... rejection of this amendment. Eh... Number 1, it would cost our Junior College somewhere around seventy thousand dollars extra a year. Many Members of the Assembly the last couple of weeks have had the opportunity to be in Rockford and to see Rock Valley Junior College first class. Our College is at the maximum rate for tuition. They have a financial crisis. They have the



facilities, but they don't have the operating money. It will cost a hardship upon the Junior College and we are assuming a greater responsibility and burden to the educational opportunities of the people in Winnebago and Boone Counties. And for this reason, when people seek employment in the Junior Colleges, they are aware of the difference in the financial structure and the pay scale between that and private industry. I would recommend that the House concur in this motion."

Rep. Arthur A. Telscer: "Gentleman from Ogle, Representative Brinkmeier."

R. E. Brinkmeier: Mr. Speaker, would the sponsor yield to a question?"

Rep. Arthur A. Telscer: "He indicates he will."

R. E. Brinkmeier: "Representative Shapiro, I'm concerned about the part-time student workers. As this Bill is now presently drafted, would this exclude or include the part-time student workers?"

D. C. Shapiro: "Eh.... Representative Brinkmeier, eh.... as I understand it, it would include the part-time workers also"

R. E. Brinkmeier: "Thank you."

Rep. Arthur A. Telscer: "Representative Hanahan, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

T. J. Hanahan: "To answer the question correctly, no it does not affect the part-time students. Prevailing rate don't cover that type of employment."

Rep. Arthur A. Telscer: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative



Epton."

B. E. Epton: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'm quite impressed with some of the remarks by the representative of Labor on the other side of the aisle. There have been some people unkind enough to suggest that perhaps in view of his relationship to labor, he should not vote on this measure, since apparently there is some question as to whether lawyers should vote on matters affecting lawyers, and teachers on matters affecting school districts, and tavern owners on matters affecting dram shop, but I think they are wrong. I think that Representative Hanahan certainly should have a right to vote on this matter affecting labor. Thank you."

Representative Arthur A. Telscher: "Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Giorgi."

E. J. Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, inasmuch as the two representatives from Winnebago on the other side spoke on this issue, I have to say something. In Rockford, the Rock Valley College Board of Education has already signed agreements with the trade unions in the Rockford area to pay this prevailing wage, so it's not going to cost another seventy-thousand dollars. The problem in Rockford is at the present time the local school board is trying to break up the unions in the Rockford land area and refuse to pay the prevailing wage scale. This is getting to be a management versus labor fight and I feel that this isn't going to cost Rock Valley College an additional dime because they have already budgeted



this money, so the arguments are fallacious."

Rep. Arthur A. Telscer: "Further discussion? If not, the gentleman from Lee, Representative Shapiro, to close."

D. C. Shapiro: "Mr. Speaker, ladies and gentlemen of the House I think you've heard all of the arguments that can be made for or against this amendment. I renew my motion that the House concur with Senate Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 4449, and ask for a favorable roll call."

Rep. Arthur A. Telscer: "The gentleman has moved that the House concur with Senate Amendment No. to House Bill 4449. All in favor of concurrence, signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Hanahan."

T. J. Hanahan: "In explaining my vote, I might point out a few statements that were made that are erroneous. First of all, the University of Illinois, did not get six million dollars for prevailing wage. University of Illinois has been paying prevailing wage for twenty years. They didn't need this amendment on it. Second of all, the Junior College systems around the State in the main pay it already so this crying wolf about being short of funds is fallacious. It's an outright lie. Clinging on to fears around some districts that this is a labor issue on whether or not you believe working men should have the right to receive the same kind of salary from the public sector in the Junior College



as we make them pay in the public sectors of the university system. It's unfair that in Lee County, Illinois, where Representative Shapiro is representing now, and where I use to represent, that at Kishwaukee College they do not pay the prevailing wage, yet twelve miles to the east at Northern Illinois University, they do pay it. Representative Fleck represents a district wholly within the City of Chicago that consistently pays the prevailing wage and has never stopped paying it, never rejected paying of the prevailing wage. So this argument is strictly a labor versus union versus nonunion. The same green lights up there have voted for right to work laws and every other kind of anti-labor laws in the past in this General Assembly and I'm not surprised to see the green lights, but the red lights signify to me that men that would stand up for what they believe in in prevailing wage. This is a union position and I urge everyone to vote no."

Rep. Arthur A. Telsler: "Have all voted who wished. Take the record." On this question, there are 44 'ayes'... Representative Yourell, for what purpose do you rise?"

Harry Yourell: "Somebody changed my vote."

Rep. Arthur A. Telsler: "How did you wish to vote, Sir?"

Harry Yourell: "I want to vote 'aye'."

Rep. Arthur A. Telsler: "How was the gentleman recorded."

Jack O'Brien: "Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Rep. Arthur A. Telsler: "Record the gentleman as voting 'aye'.

And I think the gentleman's vote is well taken. On this



question, there are 45 'ayes', 78 'nays' and the House does not concur with Senate Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 4449. On the Order of Concurrences, appears House Bill 298, for which purpose the gentleman from Rock Island, Representative Pappas, is recognized."

Peter Pappas: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Eh.... Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 298 is another backup to a backup to a backup on implied consent. Eh... this amends it to December 31, 1972. I move to concur."

Rep. Arthur A. Telscer: "Is there any discussion? Gentleman has moved the House concur with Senate Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 298. All in favor of concurrence, signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no.' Have all voted who wished? Take the record. Douglas 'aye'. Mann 'aye' on the last roll call. Houlihan 'aye'. Caldwell 'aye'. On the Order of Concurrences appears House Bill 4374, for which purpose the gentleman fromThis question, there are 113 'aye's' 2 'nays' and the House concurs with Senate Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 298. Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 298. On the Order of Concurrences, there appears House Bill 4374, for which purpose the gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Campbell is recognized."

C. M. Campbell: "Eh... Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is the appropriation budget for the Board of Governor's and there were three amendments put on in the Senate. The first amendment was a nonsubstantive amendment eh.... stating the date of which it took effect. Amendment



No. 2 in the Senate reinstated two hundred thousand for planning for Chicago State and a hundred and seventy thousand was added for Governor's State and for Western Illinois University there was 5.4 million added for the Fine Arts Building in the Health Services. And Amendment No. 3 was the prevailing wage rate and eh.... these are agreed amendments and I would urge the members of the House to concur with Senate Amendments 1, 2 and 3."

Rep. Arthur A. Telscer: "Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Hanahan."

T. J. Hanahan: "Will the gentleman yield?"

Rep. Arthur A. Telscer: "He indicates he will."

T. J. Hanahan: "The Senate did not take off the prevailing wage amendment on this particular Bill, did it?"

C. M. Campbell: "They did not."

T. J. Hanahan: "I wonder why?"

Rep. Arthur A. Telscer: "Is there further discussion? Gentleman has moved the House concur with Senate Amendments No. 1, 2 and 3 to House Bill 4374. All in favor of concurrence, signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. B. B. Wolfe 'aye'. Hanahan 'aye'. I'm sorry, Houlihan 'aye'. This question, 133 'ayes', no 'nays' and the House concurs with Senate Amendments No. 1, 2, and 3 to House Bill 4374. On the Order of Concurrences, appears House Bill 4220, for which purpose the gentleman from Cook, Representative McGah is recognized."



J. P. McGah: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Eh.... we checked on the matter of the Attorney General's opinion on this question of bailable offenses and eh.... could I have a little order Mr. Speaker? This is a very important matter here. We checked on the eh.... with the Attorney General's office and they have.... they are not working on a opinion on this subject matter at the moment on the question of bailable offenses, but they said they would and of course they won't have it available before we adjourn, but it would be on the Governor's desk prior to his either signing or vetoing or amendatory vetoing this Bill. I move that we concur in Senate Amendment No. 1."

Rep. Arthur A. Telscer: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Harold Washington."

H. Washington: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. There is a Constitutional question here, but I don't think we can resolve it unless we can withhold the Supreme Court decision alongside our Constitution. But since we can't resolve it, there seems to be no necessity for holding up this amendment. I think it is the only reasonable alternative we have and I urge your support."

Rep. Arthur A. Telscer: "Gentleman has moved.... Gentleman from Lawrence, Representative Cunningham."

R. D. Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. The thought occurs to me that we could underscore the irresponsibility of the Supreme Court's decision by leaving the law as it is and then suspect to be home by the Fourth of July.



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

But if we did so, we would be as irresponsible as the Supreme Court, so I guess we all have no alternative but to vote 'aye'."

Rep. Arthur A. Telscher: "Gentleman has moved the House concur with Senate Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 4220. All in favor of concurrence, signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mann."

R. E. Mann: "Mr. Speaker, as I indicated before, eh.... we have won a great victory with yesterday's Supreme Court decision. I don't think that eh.... it would be good public policy to now urge that eh... everyone charged with a capital crime eh.... not have any burden with regard to eh.... eh.... the evidence presented against him in order to get bail. This Bill does not automatically preclude bail. It merely reinstates the existing law, which provides that the person seeking bail charged with a criminal offense has the burden of proof with regard to that bail. However, I raise the other question that I raised before and that is, that under Section 9 of the Bill of Rights of Article I of our new Constitution, it says 'all persons shall be bailable by sufficient sureties except for capital offenses'. Now the Supreme Court has said that capital offenses are unconstitutional, so I think that we are in a position of perhaps eh.... being in conflict with the Illinois Constitution. And for that reason, I'm going to ask to be recorded as voting present."



Rep. Arthur A. Telscer: "Record Representative Mann as voting present. Scariano 'present'. Jaffe 'present'. Kosinski 'present'. Douglas 'present'. McLendon 'present'. B. B. Wolfe 'present'. Have all voted who wished? Otis, Record Representative Otis Collins as voting 'present'. Gibbs 'present'. Rose 'present'. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. This question, there are 123 'ayes', no 'nays', 6 'present' and the House concurs with Senate Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 4220. On the Order of Concurrences appears House Bill 4294, for which purpose the gentleman from Johnson, Representative McCormick is recognized."

C. L. McCormick: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment No. 1 adds the effective date of July 1, 1972 to the Bill and Amendment No. 2 reduces the personal services line by three hundred and twenty thousand, six hundred and fifty-three dollars. Amendment No. 4 adds a hundred and fifty thousand dollars to SIU Edwardsville campus for the development and operation of the Illinois Planning Project. It's an agreed with the Senate and I move concurrence."

Rep. Arthur A. Telscer: "Is there any discussion? Gentleman has moved that the House concur with Senate Amendment No. 1, 2 and 4 to House Bill 4294. All in favor of concurrence, signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. This question, 128 'ayes', 3 'nays' and the House concurs with Senate Amendments



No. 1, 2 and 4 to House Bill 4294. On the Order of Concurrences, appears House Bill 4329, for which purpose the gentleman from Johnson, Representative McCormick is recognized."

C. L. McCormick: "Eh.... Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment No. 1, Senate Amendment No. 1 was an addition that was agreed on between eh.... Senator Partee, Senator Cherry, Horsely and the eh.... sponsor of the Bill. No governmental unit receiving property under this section may dispose of the property except; (1) to another local governmental unit or as a trade-in on like property or with the written approval of the administrator and I move that we concur."

Rep. Arthur A. Telscher: "Is there any discussion? The gentleman has moved the House concur with Senate Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 4329. All in favor of concurrence, signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. This question, 126 'ayes', 1 'nay' and the House concurs with Senate Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 4329. On the Order of Concurrences, appears House Bill 4551, for which purpose the gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea, is recognized."

G. W. Shea: "House Bill 4551 is a Bill to change the fiscal year eh.... for the Board of Education in Chicago. Senate Amendment No. 1 postpones the change in the fiscal year from a calendar year to a September 1-August 31 fiscal year until 1974. This would allow sufficient time for any eh.... test litigation to be finished. Senate Amendment No. 2 is an



administration amendment to provide for the transfer of the Chicago Parental School to Northeastern Illinois University which will take over that function. Both amendments were agreeable to the Board of Education and I would move for concurrence in Senate Amendments No. 1 and 2."

Rep. Arthur A. Telscer: "Is there any discussion? Gentleman has moved the House concur with Senate Amendments No. 1 and 2 to House Bill 4551. Gentleman from Cook, Representative Juckett."

R. S. Juckett: "Mr. Speaker, will the gentlemen yield to a question?"

Rep. Arthur A. Telscer: "He indicates he will."

R. S. Juckett: "After you get the new fiscal year, will you still continue to make your two budget sessions or will those two budget sessions change when you finally get into the fiscal year and then you have only one?"

G. W. Shea: "What do you mean by two budget sessions?"

R. S. Juckett: "Well, in the digest, it indicates that the... you will authorize the levy for taxes in January of each fiscal year to support the appropriations for the months of January through August. And then a further levy in September for the fiscal year beginning September 1."

G. W. Shea: "Bob, I think they told me that over a period of time it works itself out to where they only make one budget a year."

R. S. Juckett: "The one levy in September after you get to that fiscal year?"



G. W. Shea: "I think that's it. They worked the Bill out with Chapman and Cutler so that they could be on a different basis to coincide with the State's payments to them from what I understand."

R. S. Juckett: "In other words, so for the interim period you are going to have to have several levies until you can get on a current basis."

G. W. Shea: "Right."

Rep. Arthur A. Telscer: "Further discussion?" Gentleman moves the House concur with Senate Amendments No. 1 and 2 to House Bill 4551. All those in favor, signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. Campbell 'aye'. This question, 135 'ayes', no 'nays' and the House concurs in Senate Amendments No. 1 and 2 to House Bill 4551. Conference Committee Members relative to House Bill 4465 are Representatives Gene Hoffman, Clabaugh, Glass, Berman and Pierce. On the Order of Concurrences appears House Bill 4648, for which purpose the gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea, is recognized."

G. W. Shea: "Eh... take this out of the record."

Rep. Arthur A. Telscer: "Take it out of the record. On the Order of Conference Committee Reports, appears House Bill 3544, for which purpose the gentleman from Lawrence, Representative Cunningham, is recognized."

R. D. Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move that we adopt the Committee Report."

Rep. Arthur A. Telscer: "Is there any discussion? Gentleman



from Franklin, Representative Hart."

R. O. Hart: "Roscoe, you know we always like to have a good explanation of what you are doing and we would like to have it now."

R. D. Cunningham: "Friend, Richard, I didn't want to wear out my welcome with you, but the report merely correct the error that was made when the amendment was originally attached in the Senate where in the description of the land conveyed Oblong High School was inadvertently stricken and we have corrected that grammatical description error and now it's in perfect shape and Oblong High School and the representatives from that area will appreciate your support."

Rep. Arthur A. Telscer: "Is there any discussion? Gentleman has moved that the House adopt the Conference Committee Report relative to House Bill 3544. All in favor of adoption, signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. This question, there are 124 'ayes', no 'nays' and the House adopts the Conference Committee Report relative to House Bill 3544. Senate Bills. Third Reading. Senate Bill 1467."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1467. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to State Finance. Third Reading of the Bill."

Rep. Arthur A. Telscer: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative J. J. Wolf."

J. J. Wolf: "Mr. Speaker, I would like leave to consider 1467 and 1468 together. They are companion Bills."



Rep. Arthur A. Telscer: "Are there objections? Hearing none, will the Clerk please read Senate Bill 1468."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1468. A Bill for an Act to amend the Civil Administrative Code of Illinois. Third Reading of the Bill."

Rep. Arthur A. Telscer: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative J. J. Wolf."

J. J. Wolf: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I would like to come up with something funny to say at this time, to help the passage of these Bills. Eh... like the Senate sponsor has a serious affliction, but the only problem he has that might be considered a problem is he is in the Senate and not the House. These Bills, on the serious side, will provide for competitive bidding in securing leases for the State of Illinois. I would like to point out that these bills were amended yesterday, changing the effective date to February 1 of next year, eh... which was a question raised by the Assistant minority leader and I was very happy to accommodate him with that. Now, what these bills provide are that the State can, of course, it will provide for competitive bidding which there is none right now and would also provide for public notice. The State could then enter into leases of real estate for a term up to five years rather than the present system which limits it up to two. It would require, as I said, public notice and the solicitation of competitive proposals on leases that could run longer than two years. Now, the advantages of these, I think, are very obvious.



A five year lease is long enough to allow a landlord time to recover investment in fixing up property for use by the State. He will absorb the cost which would otherwise require the State to pay or make available property that would otherwise not be available to the State. And this should, of course, result in some considerable savings to the taxpayers of the State of Illinois. For example, in private industry, if you were going to lease some property for only a period of two years, it would seem that the landlord would not be too anxious to make certain improvements remodeling and so forth. If he can write a five year lease, of course, he can write this off over a period of five years, and we could probably get the owners of the property to make the improvements rather than have the State expend the money to do so. Now, the requirement for Public Notice and the solicitation of competitive proposals should assure the public's right to know and that the State is seeking these leases on its best interests. The present system, I might point out, and please bear this in mind. The present system of allowing total discretion in negotiation of leases is always open to charges whether true or untrue and I think these Bills will take care of that."

Rep. Arthur A. Telscer: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz."

T. S. Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the sponsor yield to a few questions?"

Rep. Arthur A. Telscer: "He indicates he will."



T. S. Lechowicz: "Could you indicate to me the number of leases the State is presently in on a two year basis?"

J. J. Wolf: "Ted, I don't have that information as to the number of leases. I know that most of the leases, of course, expire in June 30, 1973, but there are a number of them that could be done now. As a matter of fact, I reluctantly put the February 1 effective date on it because there are some that I think could have been entered into prior to that with considerable savings to the State."

T. S. Lechowicz: "Could you tell me the dollar amount that the State pays on leases?"

J. J. Wolf: "No, I can't."

T. S. Lechowicz: "Ladies and gentlemen of the General Assembly. I would ask your serious consideration on these two Bills. I thought with the passage of the various bond proposals that we initiated in last year's General Assembly and this one as well, the State was suppose to go into a position of eliminating a number of leases that they have presently and that they would build their own office buildings in Chicago and in Springfield and adjoining areas of the State where need be to eliminate the necessity of leases. If any area that needs the very close scrutinizing, I personally believe the leasing system should be scrutinized. These Bills call for an extension from two to five years on the leases themselves. Whether the effective date be in February or next year, I think we should have answers to the questions that were asked. And in turn, at this time we do not have answers



to make a proper decision, I would urge a no vote."

Rep. Arthur A. Telscer: "Gentleman from Sangamon, Representative Gibbs."

W. J. Gibbs: "Mr. Speaker, will the sponsor yield to a question?"

Rep. Arthur A. Telscer: "He indicates he will."

W. J. Gibbs: "Eh... we are considering both 1467 and 1468 together. Is that right? Now the present leases that we have in existence eh... how will they be handled?"

J. J. Wolf: "Well, they wouldn't affect the leases that are... that we now have right now. They wouldn't affect them at all."

W. J. Gibbs: "They're not automatically extended?"

J. J. Wolf: "No."

W. J. Wolf: "Then the last question I have, how is the competitive bidding to be handled for leases? Does the Department advertise and eh... list in the newspapers for three consecutive weeks?"

J. J. Wolf: "Yes, it does provide for eh... the Department of General Services shall advertise for bids to be published at least three times in a local newspaper of general circulation, the first and the last of such publications should be at least ten days apart. Such advertisements shall state the day, hour, place and where the bids will be opened and describe the character of the space needed."

W. J. Gibbs: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'm very familiar with the leases here in the City of Springfield, being from Sangamon, and this has always



been a area of great difficulty in leasing space. And one of the biggest difficulties has been in the past that eh... landlords don't want to lease for two years or less. And the reason they don't eh... is because they are not assured of renewing those leases based on changes in administration or otherwise. But the worst problem that we have is that the rents are so high because of the short term, I think that if they were extended to the five year, we would get a much better rate, and I certainly support this legislation."

Rep. Arthur A. Telscer: "Is there further discussion? Gentleman from Union, Representative Choate."

C. E. Choate: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'm going to be quite brief in my opposition to the Bills. The sponsor has said that in Committee they were amended to take effect not before February if, I believe, I heard his statement correctly. The House Calendar, incidently, does not show that they were amended, but if they were amended not to take effect until February, there is certainly no reason to pass them at this late date in this session. We can devote our time to them in the upcoming session, those of us who might be back. So consequently, I would still retain my opposition to the Bills."

Rep. Arthur A. Telscer: "Gentleman from Bureau, Representative Barry."

Tobias Barry: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I oppose these two Bills for a different reason and it is that as a matter of fact read carefully, you will find that



the purpose of the Bills as eloquently stated by the sponsor does not necessarily have to be followed and the fact is that given a good excuse, the reverse might happen. So I suggest the no vote."

Rep. Arthur A. Telscer: "Is there further discussion? If not, the gentleman from Cook, Representative J. J. Wolf to close."

J. J. Wolf: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I would have to answer that the arguments against it of course are specious arguments. The Bill was not... the effective date was not changed in Committee. It was amended yesterday to satisfy an objection by the distinguished assistant minority leader and I told him I would be very happy to put such an amendment on. Now let me just remind you again that there are no competitive bidding requirements today, and, of course, with the passage of these Bills, we would have the Public's right to know, we would have competitive bidding and in the event where the low bid is not accepted as you know, there are often reasons for it. For example, eh... the lowest bid is not always the best bid. If you would accept a low bid from one particular building and a slightly higher bid from another, it might be that that other building has an elevator, it may be an air conditioned building. It could be a number of reasons. And if, for some reason or other, it is not considered to be in the best interest of the State of Illinois, to accept the low bid that... then it incumbent in accordance of these Bills upon the Director to file a memorandum with the Auditor General. Now I can recall a personal experience



some years back when I was in business, negotiating a lease. And after I agreed to sign the lease for a longer period, he had agreed to make certain improvements to eh... put in, cut a stairway through into the basement and a number of other things which I would have had to paid for myself, had I not signed a longer lease. I think anybody who really takes a good look at just what these bills do and what the present system is today would have to agree that they are good Bills and I'm sorry to see that they got, for some reason or other, bogged down in partisanship and I suppose we will have a system of follow-the-leader and eh... we will probably lose the Bills on a party-line vote. I would point out that it did clear the Senate bipartisan with an equal number of practically Democrats and Republicans and it passed out 41 to nothing."

Rep. Arthur A. Telscer: "Question is, shall Senate Bills 1467 and 1468 pass? All those in favor, signify by voting 'aye' the opposed by voting 'no'. The Clerk will take two roll calls. Have all voted who wished? Gentleman from Cook, Representative B. B. Wolfe."

B. B. Wolfe: "Briefly to explain my vote. Because the whole area of leasing by the State requires further study and a policy must be determined as to whether we are going to continue leasing properties or whether we are going to build eh.... structures for State use is determined. Record me as voting 'present' on these two bills."



Rep. Arthur A. Telscer: "Record Representative B. B. Wolfe as voting 'present'. Gentleman from Cook, Representative Carroll."

H. W. Carroll: "Following my leader, B. B. Wolfe, record me as voting 'present'."

Rep. Arthur A. Telscer: "Record Representative Carroll as voting 'present'. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. Two records. This question, there are 67 'ayes' 41 'nays', two voting present, and three answering 'present'. These Bills, having failed to receive a Constitutional Majority, are hereby declared lost. Maragos voting 'present'. Pierce 'present'. If you wish to be voted as being 'present' would you come up and the Clerk will put you on, I'm sure. Senate Bill 1449. Is Representative Keller on the floor? Take it out of the record. Oh, here he is. Senate Bill 1449."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1449. A Bill for an Act to Amend an Act relating to Water Authorities. Third Reading of the Bill."

Rep. Arthur A. Telscer: "Gentleman from Effingham, Representative Keller."

C. F. Keller: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is eh... a little simpler than the Bill I had yesterday and it's a Water Authority Bill that eh... allows for a referendum to have election of the Water Authority District."

Rep. Arthur A. Telscer: "Is there any discussion? Question is, shall Senate Bill 1449 pass? All those in favor, signify



by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question, there are 117 'ayes', 1 'nay' and this Bill, having received a Constitutional majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1462."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1462. A Bill for an Act to Amend the Illinois Insurance Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Rep. Arthur A. Telscher: "Gentleman from Lawrence, Representative Cunningham."

R. D. Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House. I respectfully urge that a Bill that has had Representative Fleck, Shea, Tipsword, Collins and Glass each speak for it, cannot be all bad, so I recommend an 'aye' vote."

Rep. Arthur A. Telscher: "Is there any discussion? Question is, shall Senate Bill 1462, oh, gentleman from Cook, Representative Otis Collins."

O. G. Collins: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Eh.... I rise in support of this Bill. However, I did ask the Speaker to take it out of the record because of the fact that I should like very much to see, inasmuch as we have since 1965 addressed ourselves to upgrading the conduct of insurance companies, I think that we should keep a close watch on insurance companies and Bills coming through. Eh.... however, I think this is a good Bill. I have fondest admiration for the sponsor, as well as the merits of the Bill, and I would certainly support the passage of the Bill."



Rep. Arthur A. Telscer: "Question is, shall Senate Bill 1462 pass? All those in favor, signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. Bill Walsh 'aye'. Glass 'aye'. This question 122 'ayes', no 'nays' and this Bill, having received a Constitutional majority, is hereby declared passed. On the Order of Conference Committee Reports appears House.... Senate Bill 1535, for which purpose the gentleman from Cook, Representative Regner is recognized."

D. J. Regner: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The Conference Committee eh... on 1535 met and eh... recommends that the House recede from a House Amendment No. 1 and the Senate concur in House Amendment No. 2 and I would move for the adoption of Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1535."

Rep. Arthur A. Telscer: "Is there any discussion? Gentleman has moved that the House adopt Conference Committee report relative to Senate Bill 1535. All in favor of adoption, signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. This question, 124 'ayes', no 'nays' and the House adopts the Conference Committee Report relative to Senate Bill 1535. Conference Committee Report - Senate Bill 1369. Gentleman from Lee, Representative Shapiro."

D. C. Shapiro: "Eh... Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1369 recommends the following as the action to be taken by the Senate



and the House of Representatives, which is the report of the Second Conference Committee. Number 1, that the House recede from House Amendment No. 2. Number 2, that Senate Bill 1369 be further amended as follows: On Page 1 by striking line 22 and inserting in lieu thereof the following: organizations \$190,000. This Conference Committee report has the unanimous vote of the Conference Committee and it will be adopted in the Senate and I now move that the Second Committee of Conference on Senate Bill 1369 do adopt the Conference Committee Report."

Rep. Arthur A. Telscer: "Is there further discussion? Gentleman has moved that the House adopt the Conference Committee Report relative to Senate Bill 1369. All in favor of adoption, signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Gentleman from Cook, Representative Gene Barnes."

E. M. Barnes: "Would the sponsor yield to a question, please?"

Representative Arthur A. Telscer: "He indicates he will."

E. M. Barnes: "Was that a ten thousand dollar cut, Representative Shapiro?"

D. C. Shapiro: "Ten thousand."

E. M. Barnes: "From the two hundred thousand?"

D. C. Shapiro: "Yes, it's a hundred and ninety now."

E. M. Barnes: "One ninety?"

D. C. Shapiro: "Yeow."

Rep. ARthur A. Telscer: "Is there further discussion? Gentleman has moved that the House adopt the Conference Committee Report relative to Senate Bill 1369. All in favor of



adoption, signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question, 124 'ayes', no 'nays' and the House adopts the Conference Committee Report relative to Senate Bill 1369. Messages from the Senate."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Messages from the Senate by Mr. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker - I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate concurs with the House in the adoption of House Amendment No. 1 to a Bill with the following title. Senate Bill 1370. Action taken by the Senate June 30, 1972. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker - I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to recede from their amendment to the Bill with the following title. House Bill 4465. I am further directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate requests a Committee Conference, consisting of five members of each House. Action taken by the Senate, June 30, 1972. Mr. Speaker - I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has acceded in the request of the House of Representatives for a Conference Committee. Senate Bill 1130. Action taken by the Senate June 30, 1972. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker - I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to concur with the House in the adoption of the Amendments of the House of Representatives Bill with the following title. Senate Bill 1504. Action taken by the Senate June 30, 1972. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr.



Speaker - I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate concurs with the House in the adoption of the House of Representatives Amendment No. 1 to the Bill with the following title. Senate Bill 1534. Concurred in by the Senate, June 30, 1972. Kenneth Wright, Secretary.

Mr. Speaker - I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House in the adoption of House Amendment No. 1 to the Bill with the following title. Senate Bill 1389. Concurred in by the Senate June 29, 1972. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr.

Speaker - I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has adopted the following Conference Committee Report. Senate Bill 1535. Adopted by the Senate June 30, 1972. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker - I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has adopted the following Conference Committee Report. House Bill 3648.

Adopted by the Senate June 30, 1972. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker - I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has adopted the following Second Conference Committee Report. House Bill 1954. Adopted by the Senate June 30, 1972. Kenneth Wright, Secretary.

Mr. Speaker - I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to concur with the House in the adoption of the amendments of the House to a Bill with the following title. Senate Bill 1393. Action taken by the Senate June 30, 1972. Kenneth Wright, Secretary.

Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives



the Senate has adopted the following Conference Committee Report. House Bill 3544. Adopted by the Senate June 30, 1972. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker - I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has adopted the following Conference Committee Report. House Bill 4215. Adopted by the Senate June 30, 1972. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker - I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has adopted the following Conference Committee Report. Senate Bill 1439. Adopted by the Senate June 30, 1972. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker - I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate concurs with the House in the adoption of House Amendment No. 1, 2 and 3 to a Bill with the following title. Senate Bill 1283. Concurred in by the Senate June 30, 1972. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. No further messages."

Rep. Arthur A. Telscer: "Senate Bill 1478. I guess we've passed it. On the Order of Nonconcurrences appears House Bill 1504, for which purpose the gentleman from Cook, Representative Palmer is recognized."

R. J. Palmer: "Mr. Speaker, I move that the House refuse to recede eh... in House Amendment to 1504 and that a Conference Committee be appointed."

Rep. Arthur A. Telscer: "Gentleman moves that the House refuse to recede from Amendments to Senate Bill 1504. All in favor of the gentleman's motion to refuse to recede indicate by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no' and the House does not recede



from House Amendments to Senate Bill 1504. Representative Palmer, for what purpose do you rise?"

R. J. Palmer: "Mr. Speaker, I wondered if you declared the vote?"

Rep. Arthur A. Telscer: "Well, when I declared an oral vote, I declared that the House refuse to recede and a Conference Conference Committee will be appointed. Messages from the Senate."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Messages from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker - I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of the Bill with the following title. House Bill 4558. Passed the Senate as amended June 30, 1972. Kenneth Wright, Secretary."

Rep. Arthur A. Telscer: "O'kay, we are going to be at ease for a few minutes while we pick up some Conference Committee Reports, Messages from the Senate, eh.... all kinds of eh nits and likes. Representative Glass, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

B. M. Glass: "Point of order, Mr. Speaker."

Rep. Arthur A. Telscer: "State your point, Sir."

B. M. Glass: "I noticed that Representative Walters is on the floor lobbying for the Illinois Jockey Association."

Rep. Arthur A. Telscer: "I don't see him registered. Alright, on the Order of Consideration Postponed appears Senate Bill 1361 on which the Gentleman from Henderson, Mr. Neff, asked leave to have it brought back to the order of Second Reading."



Hearing no objection, the Bill will be brought back to the order of Second Reading."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Amendment No. 7. Bradley. Amends Senate Bill 1361. Page 1, Line 28 by striking six million six hundred forty-seven thousand four hundred and so forth."

Rep. Arthur A. Telscher: "For what purpose does the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Simmons rise?"

A. F. Simmons: "Well, Mr. Speaker. A couple of days ago we had Amendment No. 6 that was held not germane and then later on another day we had another Amendment No. 6 and that, too, was held not germane. Now we come along with this one and if the ruling was proper in the first place, then I believe that this should be Number 6 and not Number 7."

Rep. Arthur A. Telscher: "Alright, this will be Number 6. You're right. Alright, this is number 6. Eh... the gentleman from McLean, Mr. Bradley."

G. A. Bradley: "Alright, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would first like to thank Representative Neff again for taking this Bill eh... and putting it on postponed consideration and bringing it back to Second Reading. Amendment No. 6 eh.... Mr. Speaker as presently drafted provides that an additional twenty thousand dollars will be appropriated for personal services or so much thereof as may be necessary to put the people presently on the highway eh.... that are working on the highway into the eh.... Department, or into the under the Code, under the Personnel Code System. It eh... was the decision of the



Governor of this State and I certainly have no quarrel with him about this that the people who are not covered by the Personnel Code should be covered. The only eh... reason for the, or my concern and the concern of many of us on this side of the aisle is that if we are going to place them under the Personnel Code, we should eh... at least provide that no more than 50 percent of the employees should be from one party. If there are any questions on the amendment, I would be glad to answer. If not, I would move for the adoption of Amendment No. 6 to Senate Bill 1361."

Rep. Arthur A. Telscher: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Hyde."

H. J. Hyde: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. With eh... still renewed respect for the distinguished sponsor of this amendment, I cannot eh... transfer that to this Amendment. This Amendment is as bad if not worse than the one that was previously offered. What it does substantively is hold up the expenditure of one billion six hundred million dollars, which is the budget for the Department of Transportation unless and until twenty thousand dollars which is added to the Department's appropriation is spent to hire one administrator and one secretary and unless and until one of those two new people supervise the transfer of personnel or positions of the Department to any jurisdiction under the personnel code. Now that's number 1. So the whole Department stands still while this happens. I might point out that almost everyone in the Department is under the Code and the roadworkers are under the Code. The



technical workers are under the Code. The technical engineers are not, so basically we're talking about technical engineers. Now in addition, we have invidious provision that no more than half of these people that are eh... eh... transferred may be members of any one political party. Again the unconstitutionality of this is painted the eh.... eh.... absurdity of requiring a, I suppose, when you punch the time clock in, if you do, you also ought to show your voter's identification card or have credentials from one of the two major parties. It is patently unconstitutional, it is unworkable and eh.... I submit that to hold up a Department to eh.... the Department of Transportation, it's an entire budget, so you can't pay anybody any salary until all of this is accomplished, is totally wrong. I don't think there is a world of sincerity behind this amendment because certainly the City of Chicago would wait for a blizzard in July before they would adopt such a procedure. So I earnestly urge the defeat of this unconstitutional, unworkable amendment."

Rep. Arthur A. Telsler: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Scariano."

Anthony Scariano: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. As I pointed out the other day, this kind of an Amendment to a Bill or any substantive provision would be clearly unconstitutional. The Shackman Case is the latest in a long series of decisions which have practically nullified patronage as a political system in the State of



Illinois, at least, because you are not, the Courts say, you are not, you cannot be required to show that you either belong or don't belong to any political party or that you espouse or you do not espouse to any cause. Now the case that was decided about a half a dozen of years ago said that Public employees have a right to freedom of association. That involved a couple of teachers in Dolton and South Cook County who were members of the American Federation of Teachers and they were fired because they belonged to the Union and they were participating in Union activity and it was not a strike. And the Court held that they could not be fired merely because they belong to a Union. Neither can you as a logical deduction from that case, be fired or hired because you are or you are not a Republican or a Democrat or anything else. Now, it seems to me, that the only people who can work for their government are people who belong to the party in power. This is the system of Italy, it's the system of Nasis, Germany and it's the system of Communist Russia, that you do not get to work for your government unless you show that you are a member of the party in power or that the parties have to be evenly divided with respect to the number of jobs they have. This is totally inconsistent with the Democratic form of government. It is obviously unconstitutional as declared by the Courts of the United States and more recently by Judge Merrivicks in his holding in the Shackman Case confirmed all the way up to the Supreme Court of the United States. I think that it is criminal



it's absolutely criminal to require that you show your political pedigree before you can work for your own government. And if you happen to be on the losing side, in this case, half of them on the winning side and half of them on the losing side, this is the qualification, and not merit. It's not how well you do the job, how qualified you are, but the only requirement is that 50 percent of the people who work for the Department of Transportation has to be Republicans and 50% have to be Democratic. If that isn't Communist, then I don't think we've learned anything from our late systems of government, including the one currently in existence in Russia. And I would urge your defeat of this Amendment which is an insult to the people of the State of Illinois."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. As stated before, the only thing we are asking here is fair play to all sides concerned. Now the Governor consistently attacks the patronage system in Chicago. He consistently attacks the patronage system of the State of Illinois and yet what is he doing here? He put the Republicans in these positions and now they are attempting at this late last minute to lock in 100% almost Republican employees. Now what this will lead to in any change of administration we will be right back into the patronage system as we were before. What we are attempting to do here is give open competitive exams. Or give half and half. Any pledge from this administration that they will throw these jobs open to



anyone, any pledge that they will make them fifty-fifty, make the exams open. Let's get a true personnel code. That is not what we are having today. It's a farce. These exams are a farce. The whole system under which this is being accomplished is a hipocrisy. Now these are not just a few jobs as was indicated here. There are many jobs being transferred patronage jobs from the Secretary of State, to the Department of Transportation. In the Drivers' License Section. These are hundreds of jobs. Now if we are going to do it right, and we are all consistently saying we are for the partonage for the Personnel System, we are going to do it right, let's do it right. We're only asking the administration to be fair to everyone. This they have refused to do. They are going to go forward with the attempt to lock in almost 100 percent Republicans and these jobs, at the last minute, we maintain this is not fair and it's against the morale of the State of Illinois employees. And we ask you to support this amendment."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Christian, Mr. Tipsword."

R. F. Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. I rise to support this amendment. And I would first like to point out that the gentleman who recently spoke in opposition of the amendment apparently hasn't read it. Because the amendment does not say that each party shall have fifty percent. The amendment provides only that no party shall have more than fifty percent of those jobs. It doesn't, In fact they do not have to hire any person from either political party



can put one hundred independents in if they want to. If you can find that animal. I would urge that this be adopted because this is not a slap just at the Department of Transportation as people seem to indicate in some of things that have been said about it. This is really more directed to the Department of Personnel than anyone else, because no longer can anyone trust the Department of Personnel as operating a "Merit Service." The Department of Personnel is being operated solely and only as a gigantic patronage system at the present time. I would suggest that that Department take heed and that we pass this amendment, which merely and solely and only provides that not just for this administration, but for every future administration, that no party may have more than fifty percent of the employees that are paid out of this appropriation, or any appropriation in the future for this Department. I think it is at the best means of getting away from the political patronage system that the gentleman who spoke in opposition so vehemently deplored. This does not say that the employees must be from one party or the other party in a certain percentage. And it leaves it wide open for people who are of neither party. And I urge the adoption of this amendment."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Henderson, Mr. Neff."

C. E. Neff: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

Eh.... This is rather a ridiculous amendment. I've never seen anything tacked onto an appropriation that would compare with this. And it eh... spoils the whole concept of



what we are trying to do of putting these people, taking them out of the politics and putting them under eh.... the Code System. This would definitely put the man right back into politics. If we are going to divide them up, 50 Republicans and 50 Democrats or 50 independents or what not. What we are doing is putting it back into politics and that's what the Governor of the State of Illinois is trying to do is to take this Department out of politics and I certainly can't understand why we would even think about trying to tack on amendment here that isn't workable. I don't think anybody could take this and make it work out. Who's going to say go out, we're... are we going to fire 50 people, eh... 50 percent of the people who have already taken the exam and say 'no, you can't work' and as Representative Scariano so clearly brought out there is not question about the Court's ruling on this and therefore, eh.... I would recommend wholeheartedly that we definitely defeat this amendment and defeat it strongly."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright, eh.... gentleman from McLean, Mr. Bradley, to close."

G. A. Bradley: "Well, Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House. I have absolutely no quarrel with the Governor of this State when he decides to put anybody beneath the Personnel Code System. But in the manner in which he is doing it, that I think the Democratic party should have some consideration for those jobs. And as it stands right now, a Democrat cannot take the examination and an independent



can't take an examination. The only person who can take an examination is the members of the Republican Party. It has been said, too, 50% of the Republicans and 50% of the Democrats in this amendment. . . . It says that only 50% can be from one party. We could have a situation where we didn't have anybody from either party. If you wanted to have a pure and simple Personnel Code System working; this does not eliminate that possibility. It says if you have one Republican, you have to have one democrat. The rest could be independents. We have a precedent underneath the Democratic governorship of Adali Stevenson, who I might say went on to be one of the great Statesmen from this State and has national fame. In his judgement, if we were going to put a Department under the Personnel Code, it should be 50-50. I think that this Governor that we have today will be judged if this amendment passes, will be judged on what he does with the amendment. It is a sincere effort on our part, on my part, and the part of the members of this side of the aisle to attempt to do something that in 1968, when we lost the governorship, and when the Governor came in, he took all of the jobs, and I didn't hear anybody standing up saying then, protect the Democratic Party and protect the man who has a job. And just last, or two weeks ago or three weeks ago in McLean County, when they are going to be asked to be given tests to go under a probationary period and incidently, the Majority Leader said we were only talking about one certain specific case. We are talking about all the people



who are working for the Highway Department on the roads, because only now are they serving a probationary period, but when it was announced that the test would be given, by the Republican Party, a Democrat who had been held on, was immediately told he would not be allowed to take that examination. I didn't hear anybody crying at that time, saying let's have justice for that individual. So we are attempting today, with this amendment, to justify a situation that I think is vital to both parties and after all, this amendment, this appropriation is for a one year period. At that time, at the end of the year period, the amendment would not apply. But let's at least get them started off right on an even foot. I'm for putting people under the Personnel Code just the same as most people here. But let's be fair about it. Let's give everybody a chance to take the examination regardless of their party and I asked that we adopt Amendment No. 6 to Senate Bill 1361."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All those in favor, say 'aye', the opposed 'no'. Oh, Roll call? Alright, those in favor, vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. Gentleman from Johnson, Mr. McCormick."

C. L. McCormick: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. You know, I realize the gentleman sponsoring this amendment is a very young man and he may not be able to remember back in 1960 and 1961 and 62, but I can remember that and those people in this House that have hospitals in their districts can remember very well. All of a sudden, you know,



after we had time to change all the dietary and the laundry workers in Illinois, the Governor immediately certified them. Of course they were all good democrats and there is nothing wrong with that. I didn't see anything wrong with it. They were riding the horse at that time. We cried a little bit about it, if you remember. Then we said we don't have to worry because we've got our psyche aides already froze in and everybody has to take an examination if he becomes a psychiatric aide in a hospital. I sat here one day and I said "how are we getting along over at Anna?" He said "We're doing fine; we are starting the new classes of 75." I said "who picked them?" He said, "They're cleared by the Democratic County Chairman in the counties all around you, C.L." I said "Well, my God, I thought that was a Civil Service Job." He said "no, we decided now to go into a training program, so we take them in as trainees and then at the end of their training, when we pass them, they automatically are covered by the Code." So this is nothing. I don't see what you are hollering about. We didn't get anything for eight long years. I didn't hear a man over there present any amendment to any bill saying that we ought to give half of the jobs to the Republican and the gentleman from Sangamon County knows that he's got Democrats running out of his ears right here in Sangamon County, and if he didn't have, he wouldn't even have enough to even get started to go to the polls. Ladies and Gentlemen, this amendment is stupid, because it isn't right and it isn't fair. This man down on the second



floor, in putting these people under the Code, saw to it that they had to go in and take an examination and they didn't one hundred percent of them pass, because my best Committeeman in my County, the highway worker from the best precinct I've got in the County, where they just don't have any Democrats, he flunked the darn thing and I can't do a thing about it. I think this is a movement in the right direction. I think the Governor should be commended on doing it, even though personally I oppose it. I think you ought to be ashamed of yourself to bring it up, and I think we ought to vote no on the amendment."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Scariano."

Anthony Scariano: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The arguments profounded by the gentleman from McLean and the gentleman from Christian are pure sophistry. They say we don't want over fifty percent. Well, when you reach that fifty percent, then you can't employ any more Republicans or Democrats as the case may be and it's even illegal to find out who these people are politically under the recent pronouncements of the Federal Courts. Now I would say this - if they have knowledge that either it's occurring now or they have knowledge after it occurs, that the Governor is unfairly discriminating against people because of their political belief, then it is incumbent upon the Democrats to bring suit in Federal court to stop this vicious practice, and I'll be one of the first people to donate my services as an attorney to see that this practice is stopped. You



simply cannot ask for nor require a political affiliation for a job at any level of government today and that is the way it is under the Constitution and that is the way it ought to be and that's the way it should be forever more. So if there's any practice going on that is violative of the law, then it's incumbent upon the Democrats or the Republicans as the case may be to take these cases to court and enforce the law and I'll be right there with you helping you."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman, eh.... from eh.... Cook, Mr. Yourell."

Harry Yourell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. If anybody on this side of the aisle is voting red on this amendment, ought to be ashamed of themselves. You know, in just a few short weeks, these same red lights on the Democratic side will be scurrying from township to township from ward to ward, telling the patronage workers what great guys and gals they are. And then going across the street and down the street into a coffee hour and saying we ought to get rid of patronage. And they'll come down here and introduce legislation to do just that. You are either... you either are or you aren't and you ought to make up your mind one way or the other."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Londrigan."

J. T. Londrigan: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This administration has roundly criticized the patronage system, saying they are for the Personnel Code. They are here, putting employees under the Personnel Code.



They are doing it, locking in one hundred percent Republicans and saying that this is the right way of doing it. Who can believe this? I was amazed at Lawyer Scariano saying that they should appeal to the Court. I know of two cases who have been three years in the Court. They are in debt forty thousand dollars apiece, appealing for their rights and their principles because of their illegal firing. They are going to win these cases someday. Luckily, they had executive jobs and which they could afford to appeal. As Representative Scariano should know, the ordinary Clerk Typist, the ordinary Clerk that does not have the expenses or the money to appeal and they are by the hundreds encouraging these two or three who do have the resources to appeal. This we are trying to strengthen the code for the ordinary worker - the Clerk, the Clerk Typist, who need somebody to go to bat for them and that's what we are trying to do here. And it is really amazing, Representative Scariano, how you can take this position and being a member of the Democratic party."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Hyde."

H. J. Hyde: "Well, Mr. Speaker. Just to clarify, in explanation of my vote, some of the statements made. The distinguished gentleman from McLean said that some people have been even denied permission to take the examination. I would like to point out that these are qualifying examinations which the law requires first be offered already employed within the Department. They have not been open to the Public. They will be shortly opened to the Public. It is not a political



requirement to take this examination, but one that says you must already be within the Department already employed. That is the law and the administration is following the Law. Very shortly, it will be open to the Public. Now when it comes to patronage, there is no question that the book has been written by the Democratic organization in Cook County. As a matter of fact, the office it used to be called is the Cook County Superintendent of Schools, but now has the poetic title of Superintendent of the Educational Services Region of Cook County, Not only must you be a democrat, but you must have some blood tie with Mr. Mardwick to get them employed. Certainly, the patronage system has been raised to classic proportions by the Democratic organization of Cook County. And for them to come down here and to plead for equality of employment in Government is a fascinating spectacle. It's unworkable, it's unconstitutional and I urge its defeat."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the Record. Gentleman from McLean, Mr. Bradley."

G. A. Bradley: "Mr. Speaker, at the proper time, I would like to ask for verification."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright. Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Fleck."

C. J. Fleck: "Mr. Speaker, I would ask for a verification of the affirmative roll call."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Well, we'll proceed to verify the affirmative, then the negative under the rules. You want



to poll the absentees, was that the request that was made? Yes. Alright, we'll poll the absentees first."

Jack O'Brien: "Capuzi. Chapman. Conolly. Granata. Hood. Janczak. Karmazyn. Katz. Klosak. E. R. Madigan. Matijevich. McDermott. Murphy. G. M. O'Brien. Randolph. Rayson. Schneider. Schoeberlein. Stedelin. Stone. J. W. Thompson. Washburn. Williams. Zlatnik."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright, is there questions of the affirmative? The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Hyde."

H. J. Hyde: "Will you poll the affirmatives?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "I'm sorry, we've just polled the absentees. We are getting ahead of ourselves. We are going to proceed to verify the affirmatives. Gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate."

C. L. Choate: "Just one, eh... question, Mr. Speaker. On the call of the absentees, I heard some weak 'ayes' from certain portions of the room over there. Were there any of the absentees recorded as voting?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "No, I didn't record any. We are starting with the roll call at 76 'nays' and 74 'ayes'. Proceed now to verify the affirmatives, eh... read the names of the affirmatives slowly."

Jack O'Brien: "Alsup. Arrigo. Barnes. Barry. Berman. Boyle. Bradley. Brandt. Brinkmeier. Brummet. Caldwell. Calvo. Capparelli. Carrigan. Carroll. J. Y. Carter. R. A. Carter. Choate. Colitz. O. G. Collins. Corbett. Craig. Davis. DiPrima. Downes. Ewell. Fary. Fennessey.



flinn. Garmisa. Giorgi."

Mon. W. Robert Blair: "One moment please. For what purpose purpose does the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Meyer, arise?"

J. T. Meyer: "We would appreciate it if the Democrats would sit down. We can't see through."

Mon. W. Robert Blair: "Just their soals. Alright, if the people.... Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

J. W. Shea: "Eh.... we'll be happy to sit down to accommodate the request, but I wonder if we might also have the use of the rostrum for marking purposes where some of the members were checking from?"

Mon. W. Robert Blair: "Go ahead."

Jack O'Brien: "Hamilton, Hanahan. Hart. Hill. Holloway. Houlihan. Jacobs. Jaffe. Keller. Kennedy. Kosinski. Krause. Laurino. Lechowicz. Lenard. Leon. Londrigan. M. J. Madigan. Maragos. Markert. McGah. McLendon. McPartlin. Merlo. D. J. O'Brien. O'Hallaren. Pierce. Redmond. Ropa. Schisler. Shea. I. R. Sims. Smith. Taylor. Terzich. R. L. Thompson. Tipword. VonBoeckman. H. Washington. R. J. Welsh. F. C. Wolf. B. B. Wolfe. Yourell."

Mon. W. Robert Blair: "Matijevich."

J. S. Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Mon. W. Robert Blair: "Not voting."

J. S. Matijevich: "Vote me 'aye', please."

Mon. W. Robert Blair: "Record the gentleman as 'aye'. Alright, not, questions of the affirmative. The gentleman from

Cook, Mr. Hyde."

H. J. Hyde: "Is Mr. Boyle here?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He's not in his seat. Take him off the record."

H. J. Hyde: "Mr. Brandt."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "It's only important if he's recorded yes. How's the gentleman recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'yea'."

H. J. Hyde: "Mr. Brandt, how is Mr. Brandt recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'yea'."

H. J. Hyde: "Is he here, Mr. Speaker?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "No, he's not. Take him off the record."

H. J. Hyde: "How is Mr. Carrigan reported?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How is he reported?"

Jack O'Brien: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'yea'."

H. J. Hyde: "Is he here, Mr. Speaker?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "No, take him off the record."

H. J. Hyde: "How is Mr. Corbett recorded as voting?"

Jack O'Brien: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'yea'."

H. J. Hyde: "Is he here?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "No, take him off the record."

H. J. Hyde: "How is Mr. Holloway recorded, Mr. Speaker?"

Jack O'Brien: Gentleman is recorded as voting 'yea'.

H. J. Hyde: "Eh.... is he here?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright, he's not back there. Take him off."

H. J. Hyde: "Mr. Brinkmeier, is he recorded, oh I see him."



Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He's back there now."

H. J. Hyde: "Is Mr. Jaffe here? If not, how is he recorded
Mr. Speaker?"

Jack O'Brien: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Take him off the record."

H. J. Hyde: "Is Mr. Ewell here? I see him. Is Mr. Garmisa
here, Mr. Speaker?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He's now back on the floor."

H. J. Hyde: "Is Mr. Krause here, Mr. Speaker? If not, how
is he recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'yea'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright, take him off the record."

H. J. Hyde: "Is Mr. Laurino here, Mr. Speaker?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from.... now wait a minute.
Gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate, for what purpose do you
arise?"

C. L. Choate: "Only one eh.... verification that you've men-
tioned so far that I would object to, and I can get him
pretty quick and that's Representative Krause, who is in
a Conference Committee."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Well, if that becomes a significant
situation."

C. L. Choate: "If that does become significant, I would ask
eh...."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright let's take him off the record
right now and eh...."



H. J. Hyde: "Mr. Laurino, Mr. Speaker? Is he here, and if so, how is he recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'yea'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright, he's not here. Take him off the record."

H. J. Hyde: "Is Representative Ray Welsh, and if not, how is he recorded?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He's back there."

H. J. Hyde: "Alright. Mr. Daniel O'Brien. Is he here, Mr. Speaker, and If not, how is he recorded?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He's back there, not back there I mean."

Jack O'Brien: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'yea'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Take him off the record."

H. J. Hyde: "Mr. O'Hallaren. Is he... I see him. Mr. Arrigo. I see him. Mr. Houlihan, Mr. Speaker. How is he recorded?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He's back there."

H. J. Hyde: "Mr. Hamilton. Is he here?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Yes, he's back there."

H. J. Hyde: "I see him. Is Mr. Schisler here, Mr. Speaker?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Yeow, he's back there."

H. J. Hyde: "That's all I have, Mr. Speaker."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "For what purpose does the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Yourell, arise?"

Harry Yourell: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, an inquiry of the Chair. I would like to know how Representative Bill McGrath."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Who?"



Harry Yourell: "Representative Bill McGrath."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Oh, how's he recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "He's not recorded."

Harry Yourell: "Is he a Representative of this House?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is he a Representative, Jack?"

Jack O'Brien: "He's not on the roll call."

Harry Yourell: "He's not on the roll call. Well, I think he should be entitled to vote, Mr. Speaker, because if we are to believe the media, we certainly always do believe the media, In today's Illinois State Register, there appears a picture on Page 1 entitled 'A Long Night at the State House' and it says.... it shows a picture of Bill McGrath sleeping in a chair, a member's chair and the caption says 'An unidentified legislator took advantage of a break in the action Thursday and so forth and so on'. So if the media is right, and I'm sure that they always are, then I would request that Bill McGrath get on the floor and cast a vote on this issue."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "O'kay, the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman, sought recognition. Alright, where are we now with the roll call? We are at, o'kay, Jaffe wants to be recorded as 'aye'? Jaffe says 'aye'. Now what we are doing is establishing where we are on the tally right now. Yeow, eh.... You are 'aye' on the record and you want taken off? For what purpose does the gentleman from Cook, Mr. D. J. O'Brien, seek recognition?"



D. J. O'Brien: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "You are recorded as.... you are not on the roll call right now."

D. J. O'Brien: "Please record me 'aye'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright, put him back on as 'aye'. Alright, by agreement with the minority leader, McGrath 'no'. And we won't record it unless it becomes a necessity.

Wait a minute. Laurino's back. Put him, where was he, we took him off. Alright, put him back on as 'aye'. O'kay."

C. L. Choate: "Mr. Speaker, inasmuch as the news media has seen fit to write this very beautiful story about our newest member, I would like to introduce to the rest of his colleagues, Representative Bill McGrath."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Pierce."

D. M. Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, I might point out that on two different occasions the Democratic voters of Sangamon County nominated Bill McGrath for the Illinois House of Representatives."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "O'kay, the roll call right now, there were 6 taken off and 1 was added, so there are 69 'nays', 69 'yeas' and 76 'nays'. You want to continue with the verification? Alright, Clerk will verify the negative and let's see if we can't move this along now, because we have a lot of work to do."

Jack O'Brien: "Anderson. Bartulis. Blades. Bluthardt.

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright, go ahead with the 'nay'. What does the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz, desire?"



T. S. Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, would you kindly extend the courtesy that was extended to your side of the aisle during the verification. Ask the members to be in their seats during the verification."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright, would the members kindly be in their seat, so those who are desiring to verify the negative will have the clear opportunity to do so?"

Jack O'Brien: "Bluthardt. Borchers. Brenne. Burditt. Campbell. Clabaugh. P. W. Collins. Cox. L. Cunningham. R. D. Cunningham. W. Cunningham. Day. Douglas. Duff. Dyer. Epton. Fleck. Friedland. Gibbs. Glass. Graham. Hall. Harpstrite. Henss. Hirschfeld. G. L. Hoffman. R. K. Hoffman. Hudson. Hunsicker. Hyde. Jones. Juckett. Kahoun. Kipley. Kleine. Lautherbach. Lehman. Lindberg. Mann. McAvoy. McCormick. McDevitt. McMaster. Meyer. K. W. Miller. P. J. Miller. Moore. Neff. North. Nowlan. Palmer. Pappas. Philip. Regner. Rose. Scariano. Schlickman. Sevcik. Shapiro. Simmons. W. T. Simms. Soderstrom. Springer. Telcser. Tuerk. Waddell. Wall. R. A. Walsh. W. D. Walsh. Walters. G. Washington. J. J. Wolf. Mr. Speaker."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright, the gentleman from eh.... Boone, Mr. Cunningham."

L. Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "As voting 'no'. Eh.... Les, L."

L. Cunningham: "Is that what you want, Mr. Speaker?"



Hon. W. Robert Blair: "That's what we want. Right. Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Lauterbach."

W. H. Lauterbach: "How am I recorded, Mr. Speaker?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How's the gentleman recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "Gentleman's recorded as voting 'no'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "O'kay, the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kipley."

E. L. Kipley: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "Gentleman's recorded as voting 'no'."

E. L. Kipley: "Thank you."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Lady from DuPage, Mrs. Dyer."

Mrs. Dyer: "How am I recorded?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "No. Alright. Questions of the negative?"

G. A. Bradley: "Mr. Speaker, Representative Blades?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright, eh... how is he recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Take him off the record. He's not there."

G. A. Bradley: "Representative Bluthardt."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How's he recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "Gentleman's recorded as voting 'no'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Take him off the record."

G. A. Bradley: "Representative Friedland."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Friedland's there."

G. A. Bradley: "Representative Gibbs."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gibbs is there."



G. A. Bradley: "Representative Harpstrite."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How's the gentleman recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright, take him off the record."

G. A. Bradley: "I can't see, Mr. Speaker, if Representative Henss is in his seat or not."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Yeow, he's there."

G. A. Bradley: "G. L. Hoffman."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "G. L. Hoffman. Here he is, right here in the aisle."

G. A. Bradley: "Representative Hood."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He's not recorded as voting."

G. A. Bradley: "Representative Kleine, how's he recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "Gentleman's recorded as voting 'no'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "And he's not there in his seat. Take him off the record."

G. A. Bradley: "Is that Representative Pete Miller back there?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "I'm sorry, what was that? I'm sorry, what?"

G. A. Bradley: "I was wondering if Pete Miller was back there. I couldn't see him. He's there."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Yeow, he's back there."

G. A. Bradley: "Is Representative North back there?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "North is there."

G. A. Bradley: "Representative Rose."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Eh, how's the gentleman recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "Gentleman's recorded as voting 'no'."



Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright, then take him off the record."

G. A. Bradley: "Did you take him off the record, Sir?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Rose? Yes."

G. A. Bradley: "O'kay, thank you. G. Washington."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Oh, how's he recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright, take him off."

G. A. Bradley: "Representative Douglas in his seat, Mr. Speaker?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Here he is right here."

G. A. Bradley: "How is Gale Williams recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "Gentleman's recorded as not voting."

G. A. Bradley: "Thank you. Is Representative Graham here?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Elwood Graham? He's back there. Wait a minute. How's the gentleman recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright, take him off the record."

Alright, there's Mr. Graham. Put him back on."

G. A. Bradley: "Was Representative Edward Madigan on the roll call, Mr. Speaker."

Jack O'Brien: "Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

G. A. Bradley: "J. J. Wolf, Mr. Speaker."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "J. J. Wolf. He's back there."

G. A. Bradley: "Is, eh.... Representative Randolph recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

G. A. Bradley: "Representative Brenne, Mr. Speaker."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He's there."



G. A. Bradley: "Mr. Juckett."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He's there."

G. A. Bradley: "That's all."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright, on this question, there are 69 'ayes' and 70 'nays' and the gentleman's amendment fails. Third Reading. We are still clearing up one matter on that verification if you will just wait. For what purpose does the gentleman from Cook, Mr. B. B. Wolfe, arise?"

B. B. Wolfe: "Eh.... Mr. Speaker, I know you have been very patient on this particular Bill, and we have taken up a tremendous amount of time of this House, but I have an amendment that is presently being drafted which I'm confident the majority of this House on both sides of the aisle will accept and eh.... it's now being drafted in the Reference Bureau and I would appreciate holding the Bill on Second for a few minutes until we get the amendment."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright, objection's been heard. Eh.... this Bill, as you did indicate, eh.... has been back and forth in Third Reading to Second Reading about three or four times and eh.... it was brought back off of postponed this time from Second, at the request of the Minority Leader and eh.... with the understanding that we would consider this particular amendment which took time to get shaped up, so I.. in the meantime, I have put it back on third reading and that's where it is."

B. B. Wolfe: "Beg your pardon. Has the vote.... has the vote on that last amendment been announced, Mr. Speaker?"



Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Not only that, yes, it was 70 ayes... it was 70 'nays' and 69 'ayes' and I advanced the Bill to Third Reading."

B. B. Wolfe: "Well, I was looking at the Board, and I'm sorry if eh.... I'm in error, but I hope that you would extend to me that courtesy so that we could save the time of this House and dispose of this matter quickly so that we could pass this Bill in proper shape and get us back to the Senate."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright, on the Order of Senate Bills, Third Reading, appears Senate Bill 1590."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1590. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Legislative Investigating Commission Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Simms."

W. T. Simms: "Mr. Speaker, ladies and gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 1590 amends the Illinois Legislative Investigating Commission Act. Permits the authorization of investigations by either House of the General Assembly or the Commission in the method adopted. This Bill was handled as sponsored in the Senate by Senator Rock. It passed there on unanimous vote. I would ask the support of the House."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Berman."

A. L. Berman: "Would the sponsor yield? Tim, eh.... as I understand, at the present time, we need a Joint Resolution and this Bill would change it so that a resolution of either House?"



- W. T. Simms: "The method contained would be, and I will read it, Representative Berman. 'Adoption by the House or the Senate as herein provided in this Section.' By either House of the Legislature could approve the investigation or by the Commission itself with two-thirds, with three-fourths of the membership and the signature of both co-chairmen. On the subject matter, of the Commission, Resolution shall be limited to matters which have not been dealt with by either House of the Legislature'."
- A. L. Berman: "Could you explain what that last sentence means?"
- W. T. Simms: "Well, in other words, if a matter was under consideration by either the House or the Senate, Representative Berman, that matter cannot be dealt with by the Commission in proposing its own investigation."
- A. L. Berman: "Well, when you say subject matter, I mean, eh... we've considered or there has been practically eh.... six, seven thousand bills introduced this session. They probably cover every possible phase of subject matter. Is that what this"
- W. T. Simms: "No, maybe I didn't make myself clear. If there is a specific resolution, that has been considered by either House in the General Assembly, then the Legislative Commission could not institute its own investigation on that particular resolution that was being dealt with."
- A. L. Berman: "I'm sorry, Tim, could you outline that once more?"



W. T. Simms: "You want me to explain it again? In other words, Art, if a specific resolution was introduced, neither the House or the Senate, while the Legislature is in session, if that failed to pass, the Commission could not institute its own investigation on that topic."

A. L. Berman: "Oh, I see. Alright. If I may address myself briefly to the Bill. I believe that we are embarking on a rather dangerous expedition with Senate Bill 1590. The Investigating Committee has brought powers...brought investigative powers and what we are doing here is giving either House by a simple majority vote, not a majority of those elected, but a majority of those voting on an issue, the right to authorize the full powers of this Commission to be undertaken for investigatory purposes. I think if the situation arises which is important enough to justify requiring the Commission to look into it, then it certainly deserves the support of a majority of both Houses of the Legislature. I think the Commission has tried to do an effective job. I think the members have been conscientious of the Commission, have been conscientious in carrying out their duties. I think it can be most effective and subject to the least abuse by keeping it within the present authorization that is provided and I would personally urge a 'no' vote on Senate Bill 1590."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. James Carter."

J. Y. Carter: "Eh..... Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House. I hate to disagree with Representative



Berman, but having been a member of the Legislative Investigating Commission, almost from its inception, I've found it to be fair, I've found its reports to be true, I think in only one instance has there had to be added an addendum to remove something that was added by mistake. I believe the Commission does a good job, has always done a good job and will do a good job and is assured a good job by having an even number of members of each party on both sides. I therefore support Representative Simms in his efforts to pass this Bill. Thank you very much."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Borchers."

Webber Borchers: "Mr. Speaker, fellow members of the House.

I, too, want to support Representative Simms, not that I have any bones to pick with the Commission, but I feel that in one case that I know of, that there was too long of time between the, when the facts became to the attention of the Commission and when action was taken. I think this body can a faster action under certain circumstances. For example, if we so wish, and we're not so impractical not to be careful what we do, if we so wish, we could do something in relation to the resolution of Representative Bradley that he put in the other day about some fair to the North. I forget exactly just what it was. But nevertheless, we can act much more speedily if necessary and I think this would be of distinct advantage. It might be our duty to do so."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman."

Eugene Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, will the sponsor yield for a



couple of questions?

W. T. Simms: "Yes, Gene."

Eugene Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, will the sponsor yield to a couple of questions?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He indicates he will."

Eugene Schlickman: "Representative, referring to Lines 9 through 11 on Page 2 of the Bill, there is a sentence that reads as follows: 'The subject matter of the Commission Resolution shall be limited to matters which have not been considered by either House of the General Assembly.' Now in response to inquiries from the gentleman from Cook, you stated that the word 'matters' was limited to Resolutions or Bills rather previously introduced by the General Assembly directed toward investigations. Is that correct?"

W. T. Simms: "Yes."

Eugene Schlickman: "Why didn't you say that rather than using the word 'matters' which I would construe to include Bills and Resolutions of all nature."

W. T. Simms: "Because that isn't what it says."

Eugene Schlickman: "Secondly with regard to that sentence, what is the time limitation retrospectively speaking? You say 'which have not been considered by either House of the General Assembly.' Is it your intention to speak with reference to the current session of the General Assembly? How far back do you intend on going?"

W. T. Simms: "Well, it would be with the 77th Session of the General Assembly because membership to the Commission reappointed



during each new session of the assembly."

Eugene Schlickman: "One more question. The law as it now reads is as follows: 'The Commission shall only act with respect to any investigation under the powers conferred upon it by this Section pursuant to Resolutions enacted by the General Assembly.' In other words, the Commission cannot act until both Houses have adopted a joint resolution. Is that correct?"

W. T. Simms: "That's correct."

Eugene Schlickman: "Then can you answer me, Representative, why this Commission following the adoption of a Resolution by this House, but prior to the adoption of the same Joint Resolution by the Senate, the Commission recently did act and by the time the Senate had adopted the Resolution, you had your report already for filing."

W. T. Simms: "Mr. Speaker, if I may, I would like to yield to Representative Sevcik, the Co-chairman of the Commission."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Sevcik."

J. G. Sevcik: "Eh.... Mr. Speaker, ladies and gentlemen of the House and Representative Schlickman. On May 15, eh.... Representative Tipword introduced the House Joint Resolution 134 to investigate Oscar Wilde. There was a date set of June 5. A reporting date of June 5. Immediately, our investigators pursued this investigation. Now this House Joint Resolution was not passed in the Senate until June 12. So they amended the Resolution to put a reporting date of June 16. We were only given approximately two and a half



weeks from the date of the investigation, the date of the Resolution that was introduced here. And that is why that happened. And that is one reason we want Resolutions introduced by either House, so if the House introduces a Resolution, we will start on it immediately and get our report back to the General Assembly."

Eugene Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House. Earlier this body considered House Bill 3752. That Bill would have given to the Commission absolute authority to initiate investigations without direction by the General Assembly as the law presently stands. On two occasions this House defeated that Bill. We now have Senate Bill 1590, which while containing the same principal, has modified eh... the inititory powers of the Commission. It would provide that the Commission, instead of by simple majority, now by a three-fourths majority and also by signature by both Co-chairmen. I will admit, Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House, that while Senate Bill 1590 is a bit better than House Bill 3752, what I am concerned about is that when this Commission was established, it was represented in justification of the Commission that its investigations would be subject only to joint resolution adopted by both Houses. I think by the passage of Senate Bill 1590, we open the door to undefined mischief. We will be allowing a Commission of this Legislature to engage in areas unchartered and which may do serious harm to individuals within the State and do serious harm to the General Assembly.



For that reason, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I oppose Senate Bill 1590 and would hope that it fails its passage."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Cook; Mr. Lechowicz."

T. S. Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I stand in support of Senate Bill 1590. And in support of the members of the Commission as well. I think what we should remember is that the members of the Commission are bipartisan from both the House and the Senate and in turn if you would have read the reports that they have... the investigations that they have concluded, I think they have done a very thorough and excellent job. In turn this Bill calls for a three-fourths ratification of any investigation while the House or the Senate is not in session. I think this is more than adequate insofar as safeguards. I again would like to reiterate the excellent work that the commission has done and I for one would like to strongly recommend a 'aye' vote on this bill."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright, the question is, shall this Bill pass? All those in favor, vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. Campbell 'aye'. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 118 'ayes' and 5 'nays' and this Bill, having received a Constitutional majority, is hereby declared passed. Alright, we have some Conference Committee Reports now that we can address ourselves to. First one is, alright, Conference Report on 247. Chair recognizes the gentleman from Franklin,



Mr. Hart."

R. O. Hart: "Eh.... Mr. Speaker, the Senate has decided to hold these Bills over until November and I would suggest that we do the same thing."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright. Do you want to adopt them?"

R. O. Hart: "Eh.... I say we are going to hold the Bills over until November with leave of the House. The Senate has already made this decision and so for us to do something here at this time would be fruitless."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright, take that out. Eh.... on Concurrence, House Bill 2379, with respect to Senate Amendment No. 1. Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Telcser."

Arthur A. Telcser: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 2379 is a Bill which allows the Attorney General to accept assurances of voluntary compliance from persons who have violated the Consumer Fraud Act. The Bill went over to the Senate and the Senate removed what they consider to be excessive language. The thrust of the legislation still remains in the Bill and I now move that the House concur with Senate Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2379."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright, the question is, shall the House concur? All those in favor will, gentleman from Cook, Mr. Richard Walsh."

R. A. Walsh: "Will the gentleman yield for a question? Eh... Art, looking at the digest and I'm sure that doesn't accurately describe the Bill. Can you give us a description of the Bill?"



Arthur A. Telcser: "Rich, the Attorney General asked that the Bill be introduced because he apparently had eh... a number of repeaters of the Consumer Fraud Act and what he wanted to do was to be allowed to have the person who violated the Act come into his office and eh.... accept a signed voluntary compliance that the individual would comply with the Act in the future. So that if that individual comes back again, he would have in Court that evidence, if that is the proper word, to use in prosecuting that individual the next time around. Representative Shea is also interested in this Bill and perhaps he would have more to add."

R. A. Walsh: "Well, eh.... I'm afraid I don't understand. The eh.... we have eh.... this type of procedure eh.... in anti-trust cases. The accused is saying in a statement that he'll comply with the Act and is that document going to be of evidencury value in Court?"

Arthur A. Telcser: "Apparently the Attorney General thinks so, eh.... Rich, and that's why he asked for the Bill."

R. A. Walsh: "It doesn't seem like a very good idea to me. Eh...."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Carroll."

H. W. Carroll: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the sponsor yield to some questions?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Proceed."

H. W. Carroll: "Art, you said something about eh.... the Senate took out this Section. The Section seems to be the operative part of the Bill. It says what will be in the



eh.... assurance of voluntary compliance. That's what has been deleted by the Senate. That would be the part that would be of some evidensury value. Do you know the reason the Senate pulled this out."

Arthur A. Telcser: "The reasons given to me by the Senate sponsor was that the Senate felt that the first twenty-two lines of the Bill, which was left in tack, presered a thrust of the legislation, and the Section, or the lines that were deleted was extraneous language and they therefore just eliminated it."

H. W. Carroll: "Our version doesn't show the amendment leaving in the first twenty-two. It starts at Line 16 after the period. That's the problem, and comes down through Line 28."

Arthur A. Telcser: "Oh, I thought it was 22. Whatever it is, if its 16 on down...."

H. W. Carroll: "They didn't strike the second paragraph. They struck half of the first paragraph."

Arthur A. Telcser: "The first and last sentences are still left in the Bill which keep them in tack."

H. W. Carroll: "Pardon me?"

Arthur A. Telcser: "The first and last sentences were left in the Bill which keeps the thrust of it in tack."

H. W. Carroll: "But the content of it was taken out?"

Arthur A. Telcser: "I wouldn't say that."

Rep. K. W. Miller: "Is there further discussion? Alright, do you want to close?"



Arthur A. Telcser: "I move that the House concur in Senate Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2379."

Rep. K. W. Miller: "The question is, shall the House concur in Senate Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2379? All those in favor, vote 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. Campbell 'aye'. Fleck 'present'. Carroll 'present'. Richard Walsh 'present'. Toby Barry 'present'. Berman 'present'. Hill.. Jack Hill 'present'. Yourell 'present'. Regner 'aye'. On this question, there are 96 'ayes', no 'nays', 7 'present', and the House, having concurred in Senate Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2379. Conference Committee Report with respect to House Bill 3639. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Perry, Mr. Cunningham."

W. J. Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker, ladies and gentlemen of the House. This Bill should have been passed about six or seven months ago and we've had a little bit of fuzz in the Senate but they finally came around to our way of thinking. It pertains to the appointments of the Board of Trustees of various special interest districts, special tax districts in the State of Illinois. The appointment is by the General Assembly members and all it had to do was to determine where we should leave the records after we make appointments to these various districts throughout the State. And we're agreeing here to leave the records with the Secretary of State and I ask your concurrence."



Rep. K. W. Miller: "Is there any discussion? The question is, shall the House adopt the Conference Committee Report with respect to House Bill 3639? All those in favor, vote 'aye' and those opposed, vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question, Lauterbach 'aye'. On this question, there are 111 'ayes', no 'nays' and the House does accept the Conference Committee Report with respect to House Bill 3639. With respect to Conference Committee Report with respect to House Bill 3648, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Perry, Mr. Cunningham."

W. J. Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker, ladies and gentlemen of the House. This is a Conference Committee Report in effect whereby the Senate had refused to recede from an Amendment but after we had a Conference Committee report and it was explained to them, they did recede and this Conference Committee Report on House Bill 3648 merely indicates a recession from Senate Amendment No. 1. It has to do with water authorities that are coordinated between two or more municipalities. When the Bill went out of the House, it indicated that the City Council shall appoint one member each to this authority. When it got over to the Senate, they erroneously read the Bill and put the County Board into a position of appointing the members to the Inter-city authority. Now they have receded from that and I ask your concurrence in this Conference Report."

Rep. K. W. Miller: "Is there discussion? The question is, shall the House adopt the Conference Committee Report with



respect to House Bill 3648. All those in favor, vote 'aye' the opposed 'nay'. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question, there are 113 'ayes' and no 'nays' and the House does adopt the Conference Committee Report with respect to House Bill 3648. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Frank Wolf."

F. C. Wolf: "Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House. I would like to have leave of the House to table four Bills, Senate Bills on Third Reading. Senate 857, 858, 859 and 1281.

Rep. K. W. Miller: "Does the gentleman have leave to table these Bills? Leave is granted. The Bills will be tabled. For what purpose does the gentleman from Cook Mr. Yourell arise?"

Harry Yourell: "Mr. Speaker, due to popular request, I would like leave of the body to table House Bill, I mean Senate Bill 1302."

Rep. K. W. Miller: "Does the gentleman have leave? Hearing no objection, the Bill will be tabled. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Jones, with respect to Senate Bill 1370."

J. D. Jones: "Mr. Speaker, ladies and gentlemen of the House. I move that we refuse to recede from the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1370 and a Conference Committee be appointed."

Rep. K. W. Miller: "The gentleman moves that the House not concur. All those in favor, say 'aye', those opposed 'no', and the House does not concur with respect to Senate Bill



1370. With respect to eh.... Senate Bill 1370, the question is shall the House refuse to recede from the eh.... House or Senate Amendment. Alright, the House does refuse to recede from the House Amendment No. 2. For what purpose does the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mann, arise?"

R. E. Mann: "Mr. Speaker, will you let the record show that Representative Harold Katz is absent due to the illness of his father?"

Rep. K. W. Miller: "The record will so indicate. Under motions, appears Senate Bill 1319. In connection, therewith, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cook, Mr. McDevitt."

Bernard McDevitt: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. Pursuant to notice filed by me on June 29. I do now move that the vote by which Senate Bill 1319 failed of passage be now reconsidered."

Rep. K. W. Miller: "Is there discussion? The question is, shall the House reconsider the vote by which Senate Bill 1319 was defeated? All those in favor will vote 'aye' and those opposed 'nay'. The gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Giorgi."

E. J. Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I just want to acknowledge all the calls I got from my District, from the Democratic farmers that were inspired by the Farm Bureau. I want to vote for it."

Rep. K. W. Miller: "Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question, there 130 'ayes' and no 'nays' and the motion to reconsider prevails. Senate Bills,



Third Reading. Senate Bill 1319."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1319. A Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Agriculture. Third Reading of the Bill."

Rep. K. W. Miller: "The gentleman from Livingston, Mr. Hunsicker."

C. T. Hunsicker: "Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House. As everyone on this Floor knows, this is the annual appropriation for the Department of Agriculture for the ensuing year, starting tomorrow. The agreement has been worked out that I am sure that most of the members, at least the leadership is acquainted with, and we ask that the Bill now be passed the way it was amended and the way it was voted down last night, an agreement has been reached as far as Grain Inspection in Chicago is concerned. I ask for your considerable consideration."

Rep. K. W. Miller: "Is there discussion? The gentleman from Vermilion, Mr. Craig."

Robert Craig: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. An agreement has been reached with the Governor's Office and the Department of Agriculture that Grain Inspection will be restored in the City of Chicago as it has been in the past. The Kewanee lab, the Meat and Poultry Inspection and everything as we amended it will be accepted in this Bill and I would like to urge the membership on this side of the aisle to give their support to this major issue and I believe that the people of Illinois and the farm people of Illinois and



everyone in general is benefited and I thank you for your wonderful support."

Rep. K. W. Miller: "Alright, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1319 pass? Those in favor, vote 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. Show Cox 'aye' on this roll call. On this question, there are 137 'ayes' and 1 'nay', and this Bill having received a constitutional majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill, Third Reading, 1509."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1509. A Bill for an Act relating to Grain Dealers. Third Reading of the Bill."

Rep. K. W. Miller: "The gentleman from Livingston, Mr. Hunsicker."

C. T. Hunsicker: "Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House. Eh.... this particular Bill is in relation to the eh.... Grain dealers of the State of Illinois. They are in favor of it and it's an act to license and regulate the grain dealers. Sets the time limit for expiration of initial grain dealers license and requires mandatory bonding for grain dealers. Changes the factor for figuring such bond and reduces the maximum amount thereof. Plus a few other things. The grain dealers are asking for this because of the eh.... number of failures that are taking place over the State of Illinois by waiver of bond and this will make it more secure for the farmer who sells grain to the elevators in order for them to receive their money and I would ask for your favorable consideration."



Rep. K. W. Miller: "Is there discussion? The gentleman from Effingham, Mr. Keller."

C. F. Keller: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the sponsor yield for a couple of question?"

Rep. K. W. Miller: "He indicates he will."

C. F. Keller: "Eh.... Representative, on this, eh... what is the limit of the bond we are talking about here?"

C. T. Hunsicker: "Minimum of \$25,000, maximum of \$100,000."

C. F. Keller: "Well, eh.... minimum and maximum, do they have percentage in here? What I'm getting at, is that I feel that the Grain operators that have been eh.... operating successfully throughout the State of Illinois are eh... being harmed by having this additional expense of having to put up a bond where they can show a eh.... CPA, Certified Public Accountant statement that they are worth this amount."

C. T. Hunsicker: "Anything over a I'm informed anything over twenty-five thousand dollars can be waived if they have good financing."

C. F. Keller: "Anything over twenty-five thousand, now, I didn't catch that. Repeat that, please."

C. T. Hunsicker: "Anything over twenty-five thousand dollars bond can be waived if they have adequate financing."

C. F. Keller: "If they have adequate financing. Now, what do you mean by adequate financing?"

C. T. Hunsicker: "I presume according to the financial statement that they've entered by an auditor."

C. F. Keller: "But they have to still put up this first



twenty-five thousand dollar bond. Is that correct?"

C. T. Hunsicker: "This would be twice the amount of the bond that is being waived. First twenty-five thousand dollar bond, they would have to buy."

C. F. Keller: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I would like to speak to the eh... Senate Bill here."

Rep. K. W. Miller: "Proceed, Mr. Keller."

C. F. Keller: "I feel that eh... under this here, in doing this, in passing this bill that we are putting, harming the successful eh... grain dealers in Illinois, in that they are going to have to come up now with a Bond. It's not going to help them or help the people that are selling grain through them because they do have the financial backing. They are successful and they can show that they are. And all we're doing here is we are making people that cannot and are not successful come up with the Bond and in doing so, these people eh..., I think there are other ways that we could probably do this better. This eh... twenty-five thousand dollars or even a hundred thousand dollars is not going to cover a small grain dealer's loss. The farmer is still going to get hurt, because when they do go under, some of them are going under now at four hundred and five hundred and even up to a million dollars. So twenty-five thousand dollars is not going to give them much protection to the farmer. Therefore, I would have to oppose this Bill."

Rep. K. W. Miller: "Is there further discussion? The gentleman from Livingston, Mr. Hunsicker, to close."



C. T. Hunsicker: "We have had a number of red hot hearings on this mandatory bonding in the past year and this is the agreement that the Grain Dealers Association came up with that would be the most beneficial for all concerned. This is the sort of stop-gap legislation between now and the first of the year because in January when the Legislature comes back, we're going to try to have comprehensive legislation to rectify the whole problem and this is the sort of stop-gap legislation until that time. So I would ask for your favorable consideration."

Rep. K. W. Miller: "Alright, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1509 pass? Those in favor, vote 'aye' and those opposed vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wished? The gentleman from Fayette, Mr. Brummet."

D. E. Brummett: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would like to explain a little bit about what's happened in this grain business. According to the laws of this State, if you're in the grain business and are worth two-hundred thousand dollars, you do not have to buy a bond or previously you haven't had to buy a bond. We're working on the theory that the big people are all honest and good business people and the little ones are not too good of business people or that they are a little bit crooked. Yet in the State of Illinois, not over two or three years ago, we had a string of elevators that had twenty-eight elevators in the State of Illinois that went broke. They took bankruptcy. Now what's happened on the bonding part of it, we



have many honest little elevators scattered throughout the State of Illinois that it is practically impossible for them to get a bond because the 70% of the big elevators do not have to take a bond and the bonding companies are refusing to take the little ones. This isn't the answer to the grain business. We are going to have to come up with some answers. But this is going to keep a lot of our little elevators which are vitally needed in the business this year and the bonding companies will take them when they get the 70% of the big ones for just a mere twenty-five thousand dollars. I vote yes on this Bill."

Rep. K. W. Miller: "The gentleman from Christian, Mr. Tipsword."

R. F. Tipsword: "Much for the same reasons that have been voiced by my friend from Fayette County. I would like to eh... be voted as 'present' on this Bill, for I feel this is penalizing the good small grain dealers and doesn't really answer the problem. Please vote me present."

Rep. K. W. Miller: "Record Mr. Tipsword as present. Bradley 'present'. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question, there are 101 'ayes', 4 'nays', and 2 'present'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional majority, is hereby declared passed. On the Order of consideration postponed appears House Bill 4478. This Bill has already been read a Third Time. The Chair recognizes eh... the gentleman from Lawrence, Mr. Cunningham."

R. D. Lawrence: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I have spoken to you many times concerning my hopes



fears in regard to this Bill. I would repeat to you that it is an inspired effort by Representative Hart and me to help the industry starved areas throughout Illinois lift themselves by their own boot straps with a little bit of help by the government at reasonable cost to the taxpayers. Is there a question, Mr. Speaker?"

Rep. K. W. Miller: "For what purpose does the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Meyer, rise?"

J. T. Meyer: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I would like a ruling from the chair concerning House Bills on Third Reading where they are entertaining these Bills on the last day of the session when it is totally impossible for consideration in the Senate is not a dilitary tactic. And I would like a ruling."

R. D. Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker, I will explain our theory about that, if I may be permitted to continue."

Rep. K. W. Miller: "It's not a dilitary tactic, I don't believe, Mr. Meyer. Let the gentleman proceed for a moment, please."

R. D. Cunningham: "Hopefully after we have your approval, and hasten across the hallway and get the approval of the Senate and get it up to the Governor, this will have a happy effect on industry throughout Illinois and then I confidently predict that after the shouting and the turbulence dies and all the legislators go home, we, you will be proud to know that the people will look and say that this was there finest hour, so I want to ask each of you to join with me in that dream and, Mr. Speaker, if it's not too gross of violation



of the rules, I would like to take this opportunity in closing, I wish each of you a great vacation and I wish each of you who are running in November good luck, including those running from my District. Thank you for an 'aye' vote."

Rep. K. W. Miller: "Is there discussion? The question is, shall House Bill 4478 pass? Those in favor, shall vote 'aye', those opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Gentleman from Cook, Mr. B. B. Wolfe."

B. B. Wolfe: "Eh.... I wish to thank the gentleman from the other side of the aisle, Mr. Cunningham, for his very good wishes, but as I see the digest, eh.... this Bill needs three readings in the Senate on three separate days and there's no way we're going to get it to the Governor's desk, Roscoe."

Rep. K. W. Miller: "Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question, there are 38 'ayes' and 4 'nays' and this Bill fails to obtain the Constitutional majority, and is hereby declared lost. Conference Committee Reports, with respect to Senate Bill 1329. The gentleman from Christian, Mr. Tipsword is recognized."

R. F. Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. We received a Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1329 which recommends the Senate recede from their amendment which struck that which was amended into the Bill in the House. And I would urge that the House concur with the Report of the Conference Committee or accept the report



of the Conference Committee which places the Bill in the same manner in which the Bill left the House of Representatives."

Rep. K. W. Miller: "Is there discussion? "

R. F. Tipword: "This is, by the way, this is a bill that is the appropriation for the Department of Business and Economic Development."

Rep. K. W. Miller: "Is there discussion? Alright, the question is, shall the House adopt the Conference Committee Report with regard to Senate Bill 1329? All those in favor vote 'aye', the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question, there are 109 'ayes' and one 'nay' and the House does adopt the conference committee report with respect to Senate Bill 1329. With respect to a Conference Committee Report in respect to Senate Bill 1555. The Chair recognizes Mr. Frank Wolf, the gentleman from Cook."

F. C. Wolf: "Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House. I move that the House adopt Conference Report eh.... on Senate Bill 1555. The Senate has concurred in the House Amendment 2 which was a slight little larger than amendment 1 regarding the Chicago and Downstate Teachers. So by accepting or concurring in the amendment, we did, the Chicago Teachers and the Downstate Teachers did get a little bigger proportion of retirement money and therefore I ask for your adoption: "



Rep. K. W. Miller: "Is there discussion? Alright, the question is, shall the House adopt the Conference Committee Report with respect to Senate Bill 1555? All those in favor, vote 'aye', the opposed 'nay'. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. Keller 'aye' on this roll call. William Walsh 'aye'. Representative Hunsicker 'aye'. Fleck 'aye'. On this question, there are 113 'ayes' and no 'nays' and the House does adopt, the Conference Committee Report with respect to Senate Bill 1555. With respect to Conference Committee Report in regard to House Bill 4297. The Chair recognizes 4293. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Randolph, Mr. Springer."

N. G. Springer: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 4293 is the appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expenses for the Department of Conservation. Originally, the Bill was introduced with a total appropriation of eighty-five million, two hundred and thirty-five thousand dollars. Within the Conference Committee, agreement was reached for a reduction down to seventy million three hundred and fifty-seven thousand, one-hundred and sixty-five dollars. I move the adoption of the Conference Committee Report to House Bill 4293."

Rep. K. W. Miller: "Question is, shall the House adopt the Conference Committee Report with respect to House Bill 4293. All those in favor, vote 'aye', the opposed 'no'. Final action. Requires 89 votes. Gentleman from Will, Mr. Houlihan."



J. J. Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker. I know eh.... I'm out of order asking a question, but you went pretty fast there. I was wondering whether that money for the Thorn Creek Woods was put back in this bill before I vote on it. I wonder if Mr. Springer could answer that in explaining his vote?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Randolph, Mr. Springer."

N. G. Springer: "Yes, it's in the, Mr. Speaker, ladies and gentlemen of the House, Representative Houlihan. It's in with the eh.... Capital Development Bond."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright, have all voted who wished?"
Clerk will take the record. This question, there are 114 'ayes', no 'nays' and the House adopts the Conference Committee Report with respect to House Bill 4293. Now a Conference Committee Report with regard to House Bill 4465, in which the Chair recognizes the gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Hoffman. "

G. L. Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, ladies and gentlemen of the House. House Bill 4465 is the eh.... State School Aid formula. In the Conference Committee we agreed to eliminate the one thousand daily average attendance factor for elementary schools and the five hundred weighted average daily attendance factor for high schools, which puts the attendance factor for the eighty-four cent qualifying rate back to the one-hundred which is in the present legislation. Everything else remains the same and I would appreciate your support."



Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Discussion. Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Glass."

B. M. Glass: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. As a member of the Conference Committee, I have filed a dissenting report, minority report, not agreeing with the majority. It is true that the Conference Committee Report grants some relief to the dual districts with less than one-thousand enrollment, which is very fair. It now includes all dual districts of over one-hundred and eh... giving them the additional three cents in qualifying rates. It is my opinion, however, that the disparity between the unit and duals is still so marked and has gone on for so long without any eh... rational, that anybody can justify eh... that I do not feel that I can support this eh... Conference Committee Report and therefore will vote 'no'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. William Walsh."

W. D. Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. We discussed this bill at some length yesterday and anyone who voted against concurrence yesterday, certainly should vote against it today. All that we've done is for a few districts reduce the qualifying minimum number from one-thousand to one-hundred and this only in the event that those districts are relatively poor and would qualify because their rate is so much higher than the rate of a unit district. Now Mr. Speaker, we have for many years, as the previous speaker pointed out, tolerated this in a effort to bring about unity among school districts and bring about a certain



minimum number. It has ceased to serve its purpose. There are many units districts that are as small as dual districts. There's no purpose in continuing it any longer. Mr. Speaker, the Supreme Courts of several States, every State that is dealing with this question, has ruled the formula similar to our's unconstitutional and have thrown it out on the basis of the 14th amendment. Now, we in this fiscal year, are going in the wrong direction. We're providing more benefits to the unit districts instead of less. We're providing more benefits based on a factor other than the educational needs of the children of our State. It's bad, this should be defeated. We should have another Conference Committee and bear in mind that we have the appropriation and we're not going to deprive schools of money by voting 'no' on this concurrence."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright, the question is, shall the for what purpose does the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Juckett, rise?"

R. S. Juckett: "Mr. Speaker, ladies and gentlemen of the House. I would just like to add that if we go along at the rate that this Commission, or that this Committee has recommended, it will only take twenty years to get equal, and I've heard on the other side that the time has come and the time is now and I say to you, our time is now, and I would urge you to defeat this Committee Report."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Have all, alright, the question is, shall the House adopt the Conference Committee Report with



regard to House Bill 4465. All those in favor, vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Gentleman from Franklin, Mr. Hart."

R. O. Hart: "Eh.... in explaining my vote, eh.... Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House, I feel a little bit ambivalent about this in a sense that I would like to do better, but I believe that we must come to some decision about this, but I am hopeful in the future we could pay a little bit more attention to average daily assessments and a little less to average daily attendance."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Pierce."

D. M. Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, in explaining my vote, I was one of those who strongly oppose the concurrence in the Senate Amendment yesterday that resulted in us failing to concur in having a Conference Committee. And I must agree with the speakers on the other side, the Conference Committee Report, which I now support, still leaves us a long way from obtaining parity for dual districts and this is something that the Roth Child Case at Highland Park-Deerfield High School District has filed before Judge Parsons, the U.S. District Court, will solve and the other litigation involving dual districts. Also, next year when we return with Revenue sharing, I think we will be in a position to further reduce the discrimination against dual districts. I must say in favor of the Conference Committee Report, that we did add, by lowering the average daily attendance figure, from one-thousand to one-hundred, for elementary



schools, we made three hundred and sixty-eight additional elementary school districts eligible for the reduction in foundation level from eighty-seven to eighty-four cents, and we made sixty-four additional high schools districts, dual districts around the State, eligible for the increased State aid coming from the reduction of the foundation level. I think we flexed our muscles yesterday, the dual district people, we showed that we are here. We woke up a few State Senators who didn't think we were well organized or didn't think that we were a factor. We are going to do more next year. We can go back to our people and tell them that this biennium, we obtained a reduction in six cents for grade school districts, six cents for high school districts, 12 cents for the dual districts. The first time since 1946, that we have reduced the foundation level for dual districts. I want to thank Representative Hoffman, Senator Hines and Senator Saperstein for their cooperation. I can't fault or criticize Representative Glass for sticking to his guns to the end, but because it is the afternoon of June 30, and because this is a school aid formula, I have decided to go along with this compromise, which I'm not happy with, and vote to adopt the Conference Committee Report."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. William Walsh."

W. D. Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, by way of answering the last speaker, may I point out that in my six terms this has been a brinkmanship question. We've gone down very close



to June 30, every term and every term the dual districts come out the same way. Left out. Now, I might point out to Representative Pierce that his mathematics are not correct, that actually the unit districts get a greater advantage this time instead of going in the other direction. The three cent decrease in the qualifying rates for the dual districts results in a lower discrimination this year of six million dollars than there was last year, but by increasing the add-on from twelve to nineteen percent, we have a seven million dollar advantage to the unit district so the net result is at least a one-million dollar advantage to the unit districts. So we are going in the wrong direction, Mr. Speaker. This is wrong and we should oppose it."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. This question, there are 110 'ayes' and 17 'nays', and the House adopts the Conference Committee Report with regard to House Bill 4465. Now the Conference Committee Report with regard to Senate Bill 1372. Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Hoffman."

G. L. Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, ladies and gentlemen of the House. Conference Committee Report in reference to Senate Bill 1372 is the School Building Commission ordinary and contingent expenses. Eh.... the Conference Committee eh... agreed to eh.... recede eh.... from the House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1372 and in its place, put the ten million additional dollars in the Votec centers and make



it a twenty-million dollar appropriation to include the IBA rental and to eh.... split the difference on the personal services, the contractual services and leave the equipment which was at forty-five hundred dollars at the same level that it came from the Senate. And I would urge the adoption of the Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 1372."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Discussion? Question is shall the House adopt the Conference Committee Report with respect to Senate Bill 1372? All those in favor, will vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. Final action requires 89 votes. Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 89 'ayes' and 2 'nays' and this Bill, having received a Constitutional...., and this Conference Committee Report, having received a Constitutional majority, is hereby declared passed. William Walsh 'no'. Barry 'aye'.. No, William Walsh 'aye', and Richard Walsh 'aye' and Palmer 'aye'. And eh.... Barry 'no'. I'm sorry, Barry 'aye'. Everybody 'aye' No, there were two 'nays'. Hart 'aye'. Hanahan 'aye'. B. B. Wolfe 'aye'. Mann 'aye'. 97 'ayes' and 2 'nays' and the House adopts the Conference Committee Report. 4452. Chair recognizes the gentleman from Henderson, Mr. Neff."

C. E. Neff: "Eh.... Mr. Speaker, I concur with the Conference Committee Report of House Bill 4452."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright. Any discussion? Question's been raised as to what it is. Mr. Houlihan raised the



question."

C. E. Neff: "Eh.... yes, Mr. Speaker, eh.... originally, there was approximately four million dollars taken out of this in the Senate and now eh.... due to the Conference Committee, they have restored back in eh.... approximately five-hundred thousand dollars to the eh... appropriation and so as it stands now, we are eh.... putting back in about five-hundred thousand dollars of the little over four million dollars that was taken out in the Senate."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Carroll."

H. W. Carroll: "Maybe by way of further explanation, this is the Governor's Highway Traffic Safety Program, and we put back the two-hundred thousand dollars for the Trauma Centers, most of which are in the Northern part of the State and they put back five-hundred thousand of Federal matching funds for local governmental unit projects that were already started."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Further discussion? Alright, the question is shall the House adopt the Conference Committee Report with respect to House Bill 4452. All those in favor, vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wished? The clerk will take the record. On this question, there are ... McMaster 'aye'. Borchers 'aye'. There are 101 'ayes', 1 'nay' and the House adopts the Conference Committee Report. 1581. Chair recognizes the gentleman from Vermillion, Mr. Campbell."



C. M. Campbell: "Eh.... Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House, the eh.... Conference Committee on eh.... Senate Bill 1581 eh.... suggested that the House recede from Amendment No. 2. However, all of the projects in Amendment No. 2 were restored in the Conference Committee and one was added and another non-substantive change was made in renumbering paragraphs and eh.... I move for the adoption of the Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 1581."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Discussion? Question is, shall the House adopt the Conference Committee Report with respect to Senate Bill 1581. All those in favor, will vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. Alright, have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. This question, there are 119 'ayes', 1 'nay' and the House adopts the Conference Committee Report. The Conference Committee Report with respect to House Bill 1954. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Taylor."

J. C. Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House House Bill 1954 was originally our Revenue Collection Bill, but under the Home Rule provision of the new Constitution, I found that my problem could be solved in the Home Rule Unit. What we did, we allowed Senator Mohr to use this particular Bill as a vehicle to solve a problem in down-state dealing with fire chiefs and police chiefs, which they did not have the right to deal with at this particular time. The Conference Committee was formed. They agreed



and then a second conference committee was formed because my assistant majority leader had a problem and we straightened that one out, and I do move that we adopt the Second Conference Committee Report."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Palmer."

R. J. Palmer: "Will the sponsor yield to a question?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He indicates he will."

R. J. Palmer: "If eh... if there is an ordinance on the books providing that the Mayor or the Village President, with the advice of the Village Trustees or the City County, may appoint a chief of police or the fire chief, and there is a Board of Fire and Police Commissioners, eh.. what happens then? Is that still good?"

J. C. Taylor: "Well, this is what this particular amendment does. Gives the Mayor or the President of the Village and trustees the right to appoint the Chief of Police or Fire department chief."

R. J. Palmer: "Well, I think he already has that... those units of government already have that right, but I eh... believe if this case came up as a result of a decision in a trial court someplace, or Supreme Court decision. Am I correct in this?"

J. C. Taylor: "It was the Fifth District Appellate Court."

R. J. Palmer: "Alright, is the same as it was before?"

J. C. Taylor: "It's more flexible at this time."

R. J. Palmer: Alright, thank you."



Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Any further discussion? Question is on the adoption of the conference committee report: All those in favor will vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. Question, 116 'ayes', 1 'nay' and the House concurs with Conference Committee Report relative to House Bill 1954. Adopt the Conference Committee Report. On the Order of Concurrences, appears House Bill 4663, for which purpose the gentleman from Champaign, Representative Clabaugh, is recognized. Oh, I'm sorry, Representative Hoffman."

Mr. L. Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 4663 is the appropriation bill for the eh... State Aid formula for the year which includes the Bill that we just passed a few minutes ago and 4330, which we passed yesterday in the amount as amended in the Senate to eight-hundred and two million six-hundred thousand dollars and I would appreciate your support."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Gentleman has moved that the House concur... gentleman from Macon, Representative Borchers."

Member Borchers: "Mr. Speaker, fellow members. If I'm out of order, I'll... I want you to tell me, but this is my only opportunity to sow two books. I'm going to vote 'yes' but I should eh... I want to point out that under this eh... fund, there is the purchase and use of certain books. Here's one for first graders learning to read. It changes a story from the aunt and the grandfather to a

squirrel who does not work. When the first winter comes, he goes to a house and finds out he can get without working nuts and fruit for nothing. And I think we should realize that we have a philosophy being taught in some of our schools and all of us should look into it. Here is a book, the hero is a twelve year old boy, pretty smart one, with a younger brother. This boy is an expert in chemistry. He's interested in it. He makes LSD, sells it to high school.... university students, is arrested and he and his brother plan and get out of the arrest by putting the blame on another boy who is mentally retarded. Yet that boy is the hero of the story. Now, I'm not suggesting that the Superintendent of Public Instruction is guilty. I want that understood, but I think we should all go home to our various communities and take a look at a couple of the books that are being bought by our funds and put in our school libraries and used in our classes. This is perhaps the basis of part of our trouble with the younger generation right today is the philosophy being taught in these books and here they are right here."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "The gentleman has moved the House concur with Senate Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 4663. All in favor, signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. This question, 115 'ayes', Representative Schlickman, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Eugene Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, ladies and gentlemen of the



House, Mrs. Chapman is recorded as voting 'nay' and she is not in her seat. I would asked that she at least be taken off the roll call."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Let's take Mrs. Chapman off the roll call." On this question, there are 114 'ayes', 1 'nay' and the House concurs with Senate Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 4663. On the order of nonconcurrences, appears Senate Bill 1393, for which purpose the gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea, is recognized." Do you wish to non-concur eh... in amendment to Senate Bill 1393."

G. W. Shea: "I wish to nonconcur and have a Conference Committee."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Gentleman has moved that the House nonconcur with Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 1393. All in favor of the gentleman's motion, signify by saying 'aye' the opposed 'no', and the House does not concur. O'kay, the proper motion which Representative Shea wished to put was that the House do not recede from House Amendments to Senate Bill 1393. All.... did you wish to speak, Representative Shea?"

G. W. Shea: "I like the way you put the motion better, and then if we get that action, I've got another motion."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "All in favor of the gentleman's motion not to recede, signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no' and the House does not recede from amendments to Senate Bill 1393. Representative Shea, do you have another motion to put?"



G. W. Shea: "I would ask the House to eh... have a Conference Committee with regard to this Bill."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Conference Committee will be appointed, Sir. Conference Committee appointment relative to House Bill 4449. Representatives Shapiro, Henss, Phil Collins, Hart and Bradley. On the Order of Third Reading of Bills appears Senate Bill 654. Will the Clerk please read it." Gentleman from Cook, Representative Collins."

P. W. Collins: "Mr. Speaker, ladies and gentlemen of the House, I ask leave to return Senate Bill 654 to Second Reading for the purpose of amendment."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Are there any objections? Lady from Cook, Representative Chapman, voice objections? O'okay hearing no objections, Senate Bill 654 will be put on the Order of Second Reading. Will the Clerk please read the Amendment."

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 654."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Collins."

P. W. Collins: "Mr. Speaker, ladies and gentlemen of the House. Eh... the amendment for 654 strikes everything after the enacting clause and provides for a State Election Contest Panel for State offices. These provisions are due eh... are necessary due to a Constitutional mandate to provide for contest of such elections in the court throughout rather than in the legislature. The Bill provides for a special circuit court panel of three judges,



appointed by the Supreme Court, to hear contests of the enumerated executive offices. It provides also for filing fees and various procedural matters incident to the contest. This Bill has been worked out by the staff with agreement on both sides of the aisle and I would move for adoption of amendment no. 1 to Senate Bill 564."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Are there any discussion? The gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amend.... gentleman from Cook, Representative J. J. Wolf."

J. J. Wolf: "Yield for question?"

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "He indicates he will."

J. J. Wolf: "Representative Collins, is this also applied to legislative contests?"

P. W. Collins: "No, these are only executive offices."

J. J. Wolf: "Thank you."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Is there further discussion? Gentleman has offered the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 564. All those in favor, signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no' and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third Reading. Gentleman from Union, Representative Choate, for what purpose do you rise?"

C. L. Choate: "Mr. Speaker, I wish the Democratic members would pay attention for just a second. I would like to ask leave of you, Mr. Speaker, for... to give the Democratic members a recess of something like fifteen minutes for the purpose of a conference and by the look of the membership, it won't take too large of a room."



Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Well let's see, what kind of room would we need then? Representative Hyde, what telephone booth are they going to meet in?"

H. J. Hyde: "Mr. Speaker, I would also move that the House stand in recess for fifteen minutes for purpose of Republican Conference to go to Room 212 immediately."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "And the Democrats in Room M-5. Be back on the floor at 4:00 P.M."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Messages from the Senate."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker - I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has acceded the request of the House of Representatives for a Conference Committee to consider the difference between the two Houses in regard to House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1372. Action taken by the Senate, June 30, 1972. Kenneth Wright, Secretary."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Dan O'Brien."

D. J. O'Brien: "Mr. Speaker, I rise on a point of personal privilege and parliamentary inquiry both actually. It seems, Mr. Speaker, that on some of these Conference Committees, and I am appointed to three Conference Committees as of now, and I've had three reports brought up to me already signed by the other members of the Conference Committee. There hasn't been any meeting and eh.... all of a sudden a report is given to me and it's the third



one I've received where all they are asking for is my signature. There is no discussion of the merits of the amendments or anything else. And I would just ask if when we have Conference Committees, we meet and we talk about the amendments that are in dispute and that we don't have one fellow signing the Conference Committee at a time when there is no discussion or dialog as to the merits of what we are talking about."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Union, Representative Choate."

C. L. Choate: "Well, Mr. Speaker, we've been around here a good while today and I thought that things were going along pretty smoothly, and then I find out that with respect to the Conference Committee, that yes, this is being done. The Committee Report is being prepared, being signed by Senate members, being brought over and then individually the solicitation is being made by someone to have the House members sign. In this particular instance, all members have signed the report and then it's brought over to the two Democratic minority members for them to sign, with five names already on it, reporting a meeting which to the best of my knowledge, was not even held. The two minority members on this side of the aisle were not even notified of a meeting. Consequently, I would not ask them to sign this report, and I would not ask the House to concur in any report that all members were not notified of the meeting and advised of the meeting to the extent that they could



have dialog as far as the subject matter at hand is concerned."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Hyde."

H. J. Hyde: "Well, Mr. Speaker, ladies and gentlemen of the House. I just want to eh... indicate my total agreement with the minority leader. Eh.... I think despite the fact that these are the weaning hours of this session, eh.... every member who is appointed to a Conference Committee ought to be accorded the courtesy of conferring. And I think if we do adopt that posture, eh.... the Senate and whoever is responsible for trying to confront us with accomplished facts, will find out that they are not quite so accomplished. So, I agree totally with the eh.... distinguished minority leader and I'm sure that this side of the aisle, too, will follow that practice."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "O'kay, now if the members will kind of be at ease as the Conference Committee Reports come in or Concurrence motions, we will address ourselves to them. We will be in session, so there will obviously be periods of no activity and as items of business come in, we will call your attention to them and deal with them. Messages from the Senate."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright Secretary. Mr. Speaker - I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the House has refused to adopt the Conference Committee Report on Senate Amendment No. 1 to House



Bill 248. The said First Conference Committee on the part of the Senate has been discharged and the Senate requests Second Conference Committee of five members. Action taken by the Senate, June 30, 1972. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker - I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to adopt Conference Committee Report on Senate Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 247. The first said Conference Committee on the part of the Senate has been discharged and the Senate requests a Second Conference Committee consisting of five members of each House. Action taken by the Senate, June 30, 1972. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to recede from their amendments to a Bill with the following title. House Bill 4449. I am further directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate requests a Committee of Conference to consist of five members of each House. Action taken by the Senate June 30, 1972. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker - I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has acceded to the request of the House of Representatives for a Conference Committee, to consider the difference between the two Houses in regard to House Amendment to Senate Bill 1504. Action taken by the Senate June 30, 1972. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker - I am directed to inform the House of Representatives



the Senate has concurred with the House in the adoption of House Amendment No. 1 to a Bill with the following title. Senate Bill 1468. Concurred in by the Senate June 30, 1972. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker - I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House in the adoption of House Amendment No. 1 to a Bill with the following title: Senate Bill 1467. Concurred in by the Senate, June 30, 1972. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker - I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House in the adoption of House Amendment No. 1 to a Bill with the following title: Senate Bill 1494. Concurred in by the Senate, June 30, 1972. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker - I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate concurs with the House in the adoption of House Amendments No. 1 and 2 to a Bill with the following title: Senate Bill 889. Concurred in by the Senate, June 30, 1972. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker - I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has adopted the following Second Conference Committee Report. Senate Bill 1369. Adopted by the Senate, June 30, 1972. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker - I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has adopted the following Conference Committee Report. Senate Bill 1372. Adopted by the Senate, June 30, 1972. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House



of Representatives the Senate concurs with the House in the adoption of House Amendment No. 1 to a Bill with the following title. Senate Bill 1449. Concurred in by the Senate, June 30, 1972. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has adopted the following Conference Committee Report. House Bill 4465. Adopted by the Senate, June 30, 1972. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has acceded to the request of the House of Representatives for a Conference committee to consider the difference of the two Houses with regard to House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1370. Action taken by the Senate, June 30, 1972. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to concur with the House in the adoption of Amendments to the House of Representative's Bill with the following title: Senate Bill 1604. Action taken by the Senate, June 30, 1972. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker - I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to recede from their amendments to a Bill with the following title: House Bill 4102. Further directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate requests a Committee Conference. Action taken by the Senate June 30, 1972. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate concurs with the House in the



adoption of House Amendment No. 1 to a bill with the following title: Senate Bill 970. Concurred in by the Senate, June 30, 1972. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has adopted the following Conference Committee Report. House Bill 4293. Adopted by the Senate, June 30, 1972. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has adopted the following Conference Committee Report to House Bill 4452. Adopted by the Senate, June 30, 1972. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker - I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has adopted the following Conference Committee Report: Senate Bill 1581. Adopted by the Senate June 30, 1972. Kenneth Wright Secretary. Mr. Speaker - I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has adopted the following Conference Committee Report. Senate Bill 1555. Adopted by the Senate, June 30, 1972. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. No further messages."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: Order of Nonconcurrences appears Senate Bill 1290, for which purpose the gentleman from Knox, Representative McMaster is recognized."

A. T. McMaster: "Mr. Speaker, ladies and gentlemen of the House. Being the sponsor of this Bill, and the sponsor of the House Amendment, I would move that the House recede from the House Amendment to SB 1290."



Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Gentleman has moved that the House recede from Amendments No. 2 and 3 to Senate Bill 1290. All those in favor of receding, signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted..... gentleman from Cook, Representative Berman."

A. I. Berman: "I might be a little late, but eh.... isn't this the Bill that allows the counties to impose the collections upon each of the school districts and the local taxing bodies within the county?"

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Representative McMaster, would you wish to...."

A. T. McMaster: "It is, Representative Berman. It came back to us from the Senate and we are receding from the House Amendment."

A. I. Berman: "Then, what is the net effect of the Bill as we are voting on it now?"

A. T. McMaster: "I didn't hear you."

A. I. Berman: "What is the effect, what would be the effect of this Bill if we approve it now?"

A. T. McMaster: "It would be in the version it came back from the Senate and would have technically, practically the same effect."

A. I. Berman: "Well, could you go into just a little more detail, so that we know what the amendments are that we are receding from and what the Bill was originally?"

A. T. McMaster: "These were amendments we had put on in Committee and that we had felt would make the Bill more



powerful."

A. I. Berman: "Well, Tom, a little more detail, please."

A. T. McMaster: "I think, Art, that we went into this pretty much in Committee and on the floor a half a dozen times and eh...."

A. I. Berman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, we went into debate on this Bill yesterday and I think a number of us were opposed to the concurrence. That's why it went to a Conference Committee. I think that eh... I'm advised eh.... that the constitutionality and the practicality of this Bill is very much in doubt. Eh.... if we recede and I would like to eh... a lot of members are not on the floor. I would eh.... ask at this moment so that we can take a look at what we are really doing, Tom, if you'd just hold the Bill for a few minutes."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Is that your wish, Representative Masters? You want to.... the gentleman wishes to persist in his motion that the House recede from amendments."

A. T. McMaster: "Art, we might as well see where we stand."

A. I. Berman: "Then I would have to urge you to either vote no or not voting, so that we can take a look at this thing."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Franklin, Representative Hart."

R. O. Hart: "I'm sorry, Mr. Speaker, I did not understand what the amendment was that we are asked to recede from and eh.... I would concur in the remarks of Representative Berman that eh... we would like to take a look at it."



Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question, there are 65 'ayes', gentleman from Knox, Representative McMaster."

A. T. McMaster: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to hold this for discussion with Mr. Berman."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Does the gentleman have leave? Let's take it out of the record. Alright, Conference Committee with regard to House Bill 4102. Shapiro. Glass. Phil Collins. Hart. Kosinski. Alright, we have a message back now that the Senate has refused to concur in House Amendment to Senate Bill 1604. With regard to that the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

G. W. Shea: "Mr. Speaker, I would.... with regards to House Bill or Senate Bill 1604, eh..... I think the House ought to refuse to recede from its amendment and ask for the appointment of a Conference Commission."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Alright, is there discussion?"

G. W. Shea: "I would like a roll call."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Alright, on this.... the question is on the gentleman's motion that the House refuse to recede from its amendments to Senate Bill 1604. All those in favor, say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the House refuses to recede and we will request that a Conference Committee be appointed. Alright, the..... Alright, with regard to the Conference Committee, we appoint Shea, Barry, Regner, Blair and Telcser on 1604. Alright, while we are waiting for these Conference Committee Reports, we



thought we would get through with agreed resolutions and some other resolutions. Clerk will proceed to read those." We have four agreed resolutions at this time. The Clerk will proceed to read those."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Joint Resolution 150. Shea, et al. House Joint Route 151. Hyde. House Resolution 813. Hyde. Resolution 814. Hyde."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Hyde."

H. J. Hyde: "Mr. Speaker, ladies and gentlemen of the House. House Joint Resolution 150 eh..... I will read. It is just one sentence and I do believe we might get a roll call vote on this. 'Resolved by the House of Representatives of the 77th General Assembly, State of Illinois, the Senate concurring herein that the Public Funds estimated to be available for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 1972, will not be exceeded by the total appropriation for all expenditure of Public Fund for such fiscal year.' This is the constitutional..... this is the fulfillment of the constitutional mandate and eh.... I think maybe we should have a roll call on it if the Speaker agrees. I move adoption of House Joint Resolution 150."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Yea, I agree. Eh.... the question is, shall House Joint Resolution 150 be adopted? All those in favor will vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 129 'ayes', no 'nays' and the House adopts the amendment, or the agreed resolution. Now, further



agreed resolutions. Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Hyde."

H. J. Hyde: "Eh.... House Joint Resolution 151 is the adjournment resolution and for those of you who are here to pay attention, might be interested.... It says 'Resolves by the House of Representatives, 77th General Assembly, the Senate concurring herein that when the House adjourns on Friday, June 30, 1972, it stands adjourned until Sunday, November 26, 1972 at 6:00 P.M. And when the Senate adjourns Friday, June 30, 1972, it stands adjourned until Sunday, November 26, 1972.' Eh.... so this means that when we do adjourn today, this evening, we will return at 6:00 on Sunday, November 26, 1972. I move adoption of House Joint Resolution 151."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Discussion. All those in favor of the adoption of the resolution, say 'aye', opposed 'no', the 'ayes' have it. The resolution is adopted. Further agreed resolutions."

H. J. Hyde: "House Resolution 813. Eh.... the substance of this appoints five members of... by the Speaker to approve the journals of the House from Journal Number 114 through Journal Number 161. The eh.... speaker has appointed eh... the following Representatives. Jones, Gibbs, Rose, Londrigan and Tipsword."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All those in favor of the adoption of the Resolution, say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it and the Resolution is adopted. Further agreed resolutions."



H. J. Hyde: "Eh.... House Resolution 814, appoints six members eh..... a Committee to wait upon his excellency, Governor Richard B. Ogilvie, and inform him that the House is ready to receive any communication he may desire to make before adjournment. And the Speaker has appointed C. L. McCormick, Mr. Hunsicker, Mr. Brenne, Mr. Fennessey, Mr. Londrigan and Mr. Pierce. I move adoption of House Resolution 814."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All those in favor, say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. That agreed Resolution is adopted. Alright, we will be at ease for a few minutes now while we are waiting for some more reports. What purpose does the gentleman from Macon, Mr. Borchers, seek recognition?"

Webber Borchers: "Very simple, Mr. Speaker, fellow members of the House. I think you should know something. We, most of the members of this House, who signed the resolution that is 792, that in case, if these Chambers are to be remodeled, we would have the right to buy our Chairs and desks. I have been reliably informed that we might have to deal if we want our own chairs and desks with some individual who will have the right to buy them all. And I personally think this is wrong. I would like to pass my chair down to my grandchildren. And I'm willing to pay a fair price for it. Right to the State of Illinois. I don't think it is very good that you and I will have to to somebody else to buy our own chairs back for those



who want them. I know from talking to you all and getting your names on these resolutions that most of you are in full agreement with me and I think we should stand together. This is our chamber, our property in a sense. We represent the people and I don't see why a middle man should be able to handle such a deal. I.... under the resolution, if you remember, the eh.... the leadership of both sides to make a fair price on the chair, and I for one am willing to pay and I resent having to go to somebody else. And I think you should know about it."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The House will be at ease. Messages from the Senate."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker - I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has adopted the following First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1566. And I'm further directed to inform the House that the Chairman of the Committee on Committees eh... has appointed a such Second Conference Committee on the part of the Senate, Senators Cherry, Donnewald, Neistein, Kneufer and Horsley. Action taken by the Senate, June 30, 1972. Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker - I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has adopted the following First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1660. I'm further directed to inform the House that the Chairman of the Committee on Committees appointed such Second Conference



Committee. Correction. Correction on these messages. Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker - I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has not adopted the following First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1566. I am further directed to inform the House that the Chairman of the Committee on Committees appointed such Second Conference Committee on the part of the Senate, Senators Cherry, Donnewald, Neistein, Kneufer and Horsløy. Action taken by the Senate, June 30, 1972. Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker - I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate does not adopt the following Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1560. I'm further directed to inform the House that the Chairman of the Committee on Committees appointed such Second Conference Committee on the part of the Senate, Senators Cherry, Connewald, Neistein, Kneufer and Horsley. Action taken by the Senate, June 30, 1972. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker - I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House in the adoption of the House Amendment No. 1 to a Bill with the following title: Senate Bill 1590. Concurred in by the Senate, June 30, 1972. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker - I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has adopted the following Conference Committee Report. Senate Bill 1320. Adopted by the Senate June 30, 1972. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker - I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the



Senate has concurred with the House in the adoption of House Amendment No. 1 to a Senate Joint Resolution to the following title: Senate Joint Resolution No. 79. Concurred in by the Senate, June 30, 1972. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. No further messages."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Committee Reports."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Report on a temporary joint committee on State Finance."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Regner, with respect to this report."

D. J. Regner: "Mr. Speaker, ladies and gentlemen of the House. This is a report that was put together by the Joint Committee on State Finance, which included ten members of the House and ten members of the Senate. It eh... recommends the adoption of House Resolution 150, which we did about ten minutes ago and I would move for the adoption of the Committee Report on the temporary joint committee on State Finance."

K. W. Miller: "Alright the question is and we'll have a roll call, the question is, should this Committee Report be adopted? All those in favor, vote 'aye' and those opposed 'nay'. The Clerk informs me that this is the way it must be."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Report of the Temporary Joint Committee on State Finance."

K. W. Miller: "Have all voted who wished? Take the record. McMaster 'aye'. Kenny Miller 'aye'. On this question, there



are 109 'ayes' and no 'nays' and the motion to adopt the Committee Report is approved. Alright, eh.... we've got a message from the Senate which has been read concerning the fact that the First Conference Committee Report with regard to Senate Bill 1566 was that the Conference Committee did not agree. Alright, we are going to get the record straight now on 15.... Senate Bill 1560. We have a message from the Senate. Oh, o'kay. Correct. Read our Conference Committee Report with regard to...."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1560. 'The Honorable President of the Senate and the Speaker of the House of Representatives. We the undersigned Committee Conference appointed to consider the differences between the two Houses in relation to House Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1560, report that the Committee is unable to reach an agreement and recommends that a Second Conference Committee be appointed, all of which is respectfully submitted on this 30th day of June, 1972. Various members of the Committee."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Hanahan, moves the adoption of the eh.... First Conference Committee Report with respect to Senate Bill 1560."

T. J. Hanahan: "I so move, Mr. Speaker."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright, this first Conference Committee Report, just recommends that we have a Second Conference Committee. All those in favor, say 'aye', the opposed 'nay', the 'ayes' have it."



Fredric B. Selcke: "Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1566. 'To the Honorable Speaker of the House, President of the Senate and Speaker of the House. We the undersigned Committee of Conference appointed to consider the difference between the two Houses in relation to House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1566 report that the Committee is unable to reach an agreement and recommends that a Second Conference Committee be appointed, all of which is in'"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Hanahan."

T. J. Hanahan: "I so move that we concur with this report."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All those in favor, say 'aye', opposed 'no', the 'ayes' have it and the Second Conference Committee will now be appointed. Alright, with regard to each of these, the Second Conference Committee will be McCormick, Phil Collins, Wall, Hanahan and Hill. On the Order of Conference Committee Reports, appears Senate Bill 1504, for which purpose the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Palmer is recognized."

R. J. Palmer: "Mr. Speaker, ladies and gentlemen of the House, the Conference Committee appointed relative to the Senate Bill 1504 which has to do with suspensions of students recommends that a amendment No. 2 which we placed on it sometime before, which included the words 'or Dean of Students and others having the power of suspension' recommends that only the eh.... persons who are authorized to



suspend by the order of the School Board would be the Superintendent of the District which is presently the law, the principal, but it adds 'or Dean of Students of any school' and that's all that it does. I eh.... solicit your support in the adoption of this Conference Committee Report."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Gentleman has moved the House concur with Con.... the House adopt the Conference Committee Report relative to Senate Bill 1504. All in favor of adoption, signify by voting 'aye' the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question, there are 99 'ayes', 1 'nay'. Representative Palmer, for what purpose do you rise?"

R. J. Palmer: "The one 'nay' Mr. Speaker was the button was inadvertently switched, so put it on neutral."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "99 'ayes', no 'nays' and the House adopts Conference Committee Report relative to Senate Bill 1504. On the Order of Conference Committee Reports appears Senate Bill 1320, for which purpose the gentleman from Sangamon, Representative Jones, is recognized."

J. D. Jones: "The Conference Report on Senate Bill 1320 has been received and I move its adoption at this time."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Gentleman from Kane, Representative Hill."

J. J. Hill: "What's the report? What does it do?"

J. D. Jones: "This is the add-on Bill, Sir."



Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Is there further discussion? Gentleman has moved the House adopt the Conference Committee Report relative to Senate Bill 1320. All in favor of adoption, signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question, there are 109 'ayes', no 'nays' and the House adopts the Conference Committee Report relative to Senate Bill 1320. Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

G. W. Shea: "Mr. Speaker, ladies and gentlemen of the House, on Senate Bills, Third Reading or postponed consideration, I believe is Senate Bill 1409 and with leave of the House would like to take that back to Second Reading for the purposes of an Amendment."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "O'kay, Representative Shea, is that alright? O'kay, are there any objections? Hearing none, Senate Bill 1409 will be returned to Order of Second Reading. Will the Clerk please read the Amendment."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1409. Amendment 1 amends Senate Bill 1409 on Page 1 by deleting....."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative R. Walsh."

R. A. Walsh: "Now, the gentleman has requested leave, I think, isn't he, to take it back to Second Reading without reference."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "For purpose of amendment, right. And leave was granted."

R. A. Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker, we're not paid by the hour."



It seems to me that if these things are going to keep going back to second reading, now apparently we are going to debate a controversial amendment on Second Reading. It seems to me that these things should be discussed somewhat before the leave is granted. I certainly would not have granted leave on the Amendment to the Election Code. I think the gentleman should explain the nature of his Amendment. What he proposes to do before he even requests leave."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

G. W. Shea: "Well, Representative Walsh, it's back on second reading. I'm sorry it got there without you knowing exactly what I was going to do. Eh.... I'm taking a Bill that was an Election Bill that was provided for the filling of judicial vacancies by special primary, striking everything after the enacting clause and putting in the State of Illinois Election Code the compliances with the Federal Voting Rights Act of 1970."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Hyde."

H. J. Hyde: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Eh.... I think we should wait for Mr. Collins to return to the floor if he has not left the City, is he here? I understand that this is some sort of agreement that has been reached by the Speaker and by Mr. Shea and Mr. Collins, but eh.... without casting the slightest dispursion on my distinguished friend, I would



like the Chairman of the Election Committee here, to have him comment on this Amendment and any agreement that may have been reached. So would the gentleman take it out of the record until Mr. Collins get here?"

G. W. Shea: "Can I leave it on Second Reading with the Amendment being offered and ask that no vote be taken until Representative Collins can come down and explain it?"

H. J. Hyde: "I have no objections."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "O'kay, the Bill is left on the Order of Second Reading. Messages from the Senate."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker - I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate concurs with the House in the adoption of House Amendment No. 1 to a Bill with the following title: Senate Bill 1399, concurred in by the Senate, June 30, 1972. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker - I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has adopted the following Conference Committee Report. Senate Bill 1504. Adopted by the Senate June 30, 1972. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker - I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has adopted the following Conference Committee Report. Senate Bill 1370. Adopted by the Senate, June 30, 1972. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. No further messages."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Will the House please be at ease."



Fredric B. Selcke: "Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate acceded the request of the House of Representatives for a Conference Committee consider the difference to the House in regard to House Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 1604. Action taken by the Senate June 30, 1972, Kenneth Wright, Secretary.

Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has adopted the following Conference Committee Report, House Bill 4449, adopted by the Senate June 30, 1972, Kenneth Wright, Secretary."

W. Robert Blair: "Allright, we have a Conference Committee Report now with regard to Senate Bill 1370 on which the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Jones."

Jones: "Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House, we have the Conference Committee Report on Senat Bill 1370, and I move that we adopt this report."

W. Robert Blair: "Allright, is there discussion on the adoption of the Conference Committee Report? All those in favor of the adoption of the report will vote 'aye', and the opposed 'no'. This requires 89 votes for passage. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 125 'ayes' and no 'nays', and the House adopts the Conference Committee Report. For what purpose does the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea rise?"

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, just prior to the time we went at ease, I had Senate Bill 1409 on the order of Second Reading and it



ah.... I had offered an amendment and there was some objection to it. I was wondering if Representative Collins was available or is he still in a Conference Committee?"

W. Robert Blair: "Allright, as I understand it we are on the order of Second Reading, Senate Bill 1409, which is on the order of consideration postponed. It is on the order of Second Reading. Now is there an amendment?"

Shea: "I ah.... the amendment is in the Clerk's possession. I would now offer the amendment, I think they have been printed and put on the desks. I would ask Representative Collins if he has any objection to this."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Amendment #1, Shea. Senate Bill 1409 and so forth....."

W. Robert Blair: "Allright, the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Phil Collins."

Collins: "Ah.. yes, Mr. Speaker, this amendment is ah... drawn in order to comply with the Federal Voting Rights Act Amendments of 1970. Our staff Members on both sides of the aisle have put this amendment together. It deals with voting in a Presidential Election ah... it meets with the approval of Members on both sides. If there are questions I think that Representative Shea or I could answer them ah... but I would concur on his motion to adopt Amendment #1."

W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Simmons."

Simmons: "I do have a couple of questions that I would like to ask someone."



W. Robert Blair: "Okay, go ahead."

Simmons: "Did we pass a Bill the other day changing 30 day requirements to 28?"

Shea: "That was in School Elections only."

Simmons: "Alright, thank you. Now another question, ah.... on page two of the amendment on line 34, it deals with Section 5-29.02 and ah... there seems to be a superfluous word in there.... the word is 'but', I wonder why that's in there. The Registration requirements of the Article 5 shall not apply to a person but who is eligible to vote only, for presidential and vice-presidential electors."

Shea: "I ah... believe your right, and if I might ask in the face of the amendment, on the Clerk's desk, to strike the word 'but'."

Simmons: "Well, while you are at it, there is on the next page, another 'but' that should come out."

W. Robert Blair: "Further discussion?"

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, if we might ah... Mr. Simmons is offering some very good comments and if I might have the Clerk make the corrections.... on page two line 34 the word 'but', he would innerline it."

Simmons: "And then on page 3, ah.. line 7, the same situation. And then I have a couple of questions I would like to ask."

Shea: "Please go ahead."

Simmons: "On page 6 ah... oh starting on line 14 ah... says ah.. County Clerk or Board of Elections Commissioner, as the case may be, shall notify the applicant in writing that



satisfactory prove of eligibility has been received and he is entitled to vote in person for the Office of President and Vice-President, not sooner than 15 days prior to the Presidential Election, not later than 2:00 P.M. on the day of such election. Now that used to read; 'nor later than 3 days', but that's been taken out and changed to 2:00 on election day. Now I would like to ask the Sponsors how you can notify a person in writing as late as 2:00 in the afternoon on election day that he can vote and reasonably expect him to know about it so that he in fact does vote?"

W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Collins."

Collins: "Ah.. Mr. Speaker, I think as I read it, it's not that the notification is in that time, but it's that the individual would be entitled to vote at that time. Ah... absolutely entitled to vote not sooner than 15 days prior to such election and no later than 2. I don't believe that line makes reference to the notification, but actually the act of voting."

Simmons: "Ah... Mr. Speaker, starting on line 10, it says; 'if satisfied that the certificate, from the former state of residence is in good order, or if satisfied on the basis of inquiry of the applicants eligibility, the County Clerk or Board of Election Commisioners, as the case may be, shall notify the applicant in writing that satisfactory proof of eligibility has been received and that he is eligible



as a person for the Office of President and Vice-President, not sooner than 15 days prior to such Presidential Election, nor later than 2:00 P.M., on the day of such election. Now the explanation was that that only reverts to that person as an absentee voter?"

Shea: "Would you repeat that last part?"

Simmons: "Well, what I'm trying to do is to find out just how applicable this 2:00 P.M. business is on page 6."

Collins: "Well, he is actually voting in person at the office of the election authority, so it's felt that if it was any later than 2:00 it would be ah... "

Simmons: "Well, there's no period in that sentence starting with line 10 down to line 20. It's all one sentence. I think that there should be a period in there to show that there is two sentences."

Collins: I agree with you, the two sentences would make it clearer, I think that your right, you do have to read it twice to get the true meaning of the ah... sentence. I think it would be difficult to make two sentences of it right now, without amending these amendments. Perhaps that can be changed in Conference Committee, and we'll attempt to work that out. It does have to go to Conference Committee, so perhaps we can work that out."

Simmons: "You think it will be in the Conference Committee again?"

Collins: "Yes, I do."



Simmons: "This is a product of the Conference Committee."

Collins: "No, this is a floor amendment to a Senate Bill."

Simmons: "I ah... don't want to drive it into a Conference Committee, I had enough of Conference Committees already today. Let's see if we can't understand it...."

Collins: "Well, it would have to go back to the Senate for concurrence, so I'm sure that we can work this out. In Conference Committee if possible, if necessary. I really don't think the clarity is lacking to that degree that we should have to worry about a Conference Committee."

Simmons: "Well, I'll tell you Mr. Speaker, I'm pretty tired and I don't want to trust my brains, but I wish a few of my friend would read this between 10 and 20 and see rather or not I'm tired or rather I'm reading properly. And I'm not going to pursue it any farther."

W. Robert Blair: "Okay, allright the question is on the adoption of the amendment. All those in favor will say 'aye', the opposed, 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Are there further amendments? Third Reading. On the order of consideration postponed appears Senate Bill 1361, on which the gentleman from Henderson, Mr. Neff asks leave to have it brought back to the order of Third Reading."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Senate Bill 1361. An Act that provides ordinary contigence expence for the Department of Transportation. Third Reading of the Bill."

W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Henderson, Mr. Neff."



Neff: "Mr. Speaker, was a request made to bring this back to Second? I would hate to bring it back unless there is some good reason."

W. Robert Blair: "Nope, it's on Third Reading."

Neff: "Third Reading?"

W. Robert Blair: "I brought it back to Third. That is what leave was given for. Alright, the question is shall Senate Bill 1361 pass. All those in favor will vote 'aye', and the opposed, 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 119 'ayes', and no 'nays' and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared..... for what purpose does the gentle from Bureau rise?"

Barry: "To be recorded 'present' for right now."

W. Robert Blair: "Alright, passed. The gentleman from McLean, Mr. Bradley, 'present'?"

Bradley: "Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House, I would like to be recorded as 'present on this Bill."

W. Robert Blair: "Record the gentleman as 'present'."

Bradley: "And to explain my vote briefly, if I might."

W. Robert Blair: "You certainly may."

Bradley: "We've been here a long time and the ah... reason that I'm voting 'present' on the ah... Department of Transportations Bill is because I think it is very unfair that we are going to lock in a certain number of employees into the Department of Personnel and ah... I objected to that and I tired to prevent it with the amendments that I intro-



duced and ah... had a fair hearing and I couldn't pass the amendment and ah... but I do want to show my objection to the Governor doing ah... taking this action by voting 'present' on the Bill. Thank you."

W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. North."

North: "Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House, unfortunately I was in the back booth when this was called, talking to some of the people from the D.O.T., and I thought we're going to arrive at some concrete decision in relationship to my problem up in northern Illinois. And it's unfortunate, Mr. Speaker, that you called the Bill at this time, I think another 15 or 20 minutes and the package would have been made. Ah... I would hate to think that a varification would be in order, that would be, I'm sure, embarrass several of the Members plus the Administration. I think that ah.. basis on the discussions that we've had with the D.O.T. and the anticipated program comming up on 51, that I will try and not call for a varification tonight, because we have had some extensive talks and I think that they are men of honor and men of their word, and ah... at this time, so be it, but the timing was absolutely herreneous

W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Simms."

Simms: "I just wanted to echo what ah.. Representative North had said. Ah... at the present time we had an opportunity to talk to those with the Department of Transportation concerning the schedule of Route 51 problem in northern Illinois. And taking this into consideration of some of the



frustrations which those in the northern part of the state have had that.... ah... its with a great reluctance that we see that this Bill is called, and for this reason at this time, I'm going to be recorded as voting 'present'.

W. Robert Blair: "Allright, record the gentlmen as 'present'." Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Borchers."

Borchers: "Mr. Speaker, I merely want to echo as a resident along Route 51 and farther downstate from ah.... Representative Simms his sentiment and I am already marked 'present', that I'd like to see some action on 51."

W. Robert Blair: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Anderson." Anderson: "Mr. Speaker, ladies and gentlemen of the House,

I would like to explain my vote on this Bill. I think I am just as interested in 51 as anyone. I've been coming down here for a good many years driving over an old road and it's been promised to us for a long time. Ah... this administration, they told us they were gonna build a highway 51 and everybody said they're not doing anything so I thought it is doing to help build 51. I have here a letter from the Department of Public Works and Buildings, which was written and given to me this afternoon. And it says: Springfield, Illinois, June 30th. Illinois Transportation Secretary, William S. Cellini, said today Corrdor approval for the Route 51 expressway, from Rockford to the Toll Road has been submitted to the Federal Highway Administration and concurrance is expected before July 15, and that's this year, so it would only be about two weeks. Cellini said that when this approval is obtained, designed studies



will be made and hearings probably will be held the first week of February. So that will start the hearings then and there's is possibility then taht they can go on the road. The Route 51 Freeway runs from Rockford south to the Salem area linking up to Interstate 57. So we are at last getting a start on the highway program and we waited for years and years to get it. And I feel very happy about it so I vote 'aye'."

W. Robert Blair: "All right, ah... the gentleman from ah.... for what purpose does the gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Simms rise?"

Simms: "Ah... Mr. Speaker, I would like to change my 'present' vote to 'yes'."

W. Robert Blair: "Allright, record the gentleman as 'aye'. And record the gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. North as 'aye'. And Giorgi 'aye'."

Unknown: "Mr. Speaker, my district is also besected by Route 51 and I'd like to be recorded as 'present'please."

W. Robert Blair: "Record the gentleman as 'present'. Alsup..... Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Alsup."

Alsup: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'm just as interested as anyone else in 51 and I think that all of Illinois and Central Illinois deserves this Route, but I am going to recorded 'aye' and leave it 'aye' because we have to operate this depart..... as a matter of fact they can't if they can't operate, they can't buile 51, so I'm going to vote 'aye'."



W. Robert Blair: "Brinkmeier."

Brinkmeier: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded, please?"

W. Robert Blair: "Huh, well, I've already announced that the Bill has passed. Barry."

Barry: "Could I be recorded as voting 'present'?"

W. Robert Blair: "Ah... alright, now we're going to be at ease now for a minute, so everybody relax. We are at ease now. For what purpose does the gentleman from Kane, Mr. Waddell rise?"

Waddell: "Ah... point of inquiry, ah.. how was I recorded finally on that?"

W. Robert Blair: "Well, what the.... ah... Hanahan is 'aye'... and ah... B. B. Wolf is 'aye'. The gentleman from Kane, Mr. Waddell, how is he recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Waddell: "That's what I was afraid of. I wanted to be recorded as voting 'aye'."

W. Robert Blair: "Record the gentleman as 'aye'. Oh... he's on? William Walsh, 'aye'. VonBoeckman? How is the gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

VonBoeckman: "I wish to change that to 'present', Mr. Speaker."

W. Robert Blair: "All right, record the gentleman as well now.... I think we will just stand as ease now for a little bit because... no, I've already announced the Roll Call and the passage of this Bill and ah... if we ah.... if people are starting to ask now to change their



votes, well....from a position which would detract from the Bill's passage ah... then we would have ah...new situation. Now the gentleman from Bureau, Mr. Barry."

Barry: "Mr. Speaker, this is a matter of explanation. Mr Choate and I have an agreement that he's not going to send that Bill over to the Senate until things are sort of happy around here and ah... we'll just stand at ease, like the Speaker suggests for five minutes and I think it will all be ironed out."

W. Robert Blair: "Yes, I'm quite sure we'll do alot of ironing tonight. Gentleman from Vermillion, Mr. Campbell."

Campbell: "Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House, Representative Walsh and I were just sitting here discussing and we decided that if ah... Representative or Dr. Bruce Douglas would let us read his diary, that we might supply two votes for most anything that he might have here in the later part of this Session."

W. Robert Blair: "Well, we're at ease now, as long as..... yes, a piéce of business ah.. the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Sevcik."

Sevcik: "Mr. Speaker, if we are going to have a lull in the Session I have a merely noteless Bill that I would like to have called. I'm sure that it will take at least an hour and a half of debate because the Illinois Medical Association is against this Bill. So if you want to take up some time call my Bill."



W. Robert Blair: "We certainly will get to that, ah... this evening. We're trying to ah.... in the situation where we have Senate Bill 1361, having passed and then there was a request that we stand at ease for a few moments here....while some matters were attended to. I really don't want to do any intervening business that ah.. involves ah... creating any situation. The Clerk will read ah... the messages from the Senate."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Message from the Senate from Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has adopted the following Conference Committee Report, House Bill 3682, adopted by the Senate June 30, 1972. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred in adopting the following Joint Resolution, House Joint Resolution 145, adopted by the Senat, June 30, 1972. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has adopted the following Committee Reports, House Bill 4102, adopted by the Senate, June 30, 1972."

W. Robert Blair: All right now, when we ah... went at ease, ah... I had already announced that Senate Bill 1361 ah... had passed, ah... there were.... and I announced the Roll Call and ah... are there any additional changes to that? 135 'ayes', no 'nays' and 8 'present'. And I had already announced that that Bill had passed, but that is the correct Roll Call. What? More messages? All right, read



those messages."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Messages from the Senate from Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has adopted the following, Second Conference Committee Report. Senat Bill 1560, Adopted by the Senate June 30, 1972, Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has adopted the Second Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1329, adopted by the Senate June 30, 1972, Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate concur with the House in adopting the House Amendment #3 to the Bill of the following title: Senate Bill 1319, adopted by the Senate June 30, 1972, Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the Hosue of Representatives that the Senate has adopted the following Second Conference Committee Report, Senate Bill 1566, adopted by the Senate June 30, 1972, Kenneth Wright, Secretary. No further messages."

W. Robert Blair: "For what purpose does the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Hyde rise?"

Hyde: "Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House, if I may interrupt the proceedings, I would like to introduce the family of the Bureau Manager for United Press International, Bob Teecuper his ah.... wife and mother, I understand, are in the gallery back here."



W. Robert Blair: "All right there is a Conference Committee Report on House Bill 4102 and on which the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lee, Mr. Shapiro."

Shapiro: "Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House, Conference Committee Report on House Bill 4102 recommends that the Senate recede from Senate Amendments #1 and #2, and amends House Bill 4102 to further ah... and several line items. Essentiality what it does is lead the Medi-Check Program in Public Aid by deleting it from the Public Health Appropriation and restores \$64,000 in some other line items. And I recommend that the House adopt the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 4102."

W. Robert Blair: Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Douglas."

Douglas: "Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House, I regret at this 11th hour to have to ask a number of questions that may take a few moments, but I hope that each of us in voting on this...on this motion, will recognize the seriousness of the move that we are taking, if we support the Senate position. First, if I may, Mr. Speaker, gentleman from Lee, Dr. Shipiro, ah.. will agree, I would like to ask a question or two so I understand the... yes, I agree with you Mr. Speaker, I'm sorry, but it's not my fault that we ended up at this late hour with this serious Bill on our hands. But I would like to ask a question or two of Dr. Shipiro."

W. Robert Blair: "All right, he indicates that he'll answer."



Douglas: "Dave, this Bill you have said would have the Medi-Check Program, which involves preventive medical and dental care under Public Aid, rather than Public Health."

Shapiro: "That is correct."

Douglas: "What mechanism does the Department of Public Aid have for getting preventive to the children who would be involved in this program?"

Shapiro: "Well, as it was explained to me, the reason for putting it in the Public Aid Appropriation and keeping it there, is that their function would be ah... strictly a check writing function. In other words, much the same as they now operate with their Medic-Aid Program."

Douglas: "Mr. Speaker, if I may address myself to the Bill. I took the liberty not to many minutes ago of going over to the Senate and asking the Senate supporters of this change ah... why there was such strong feelings about keeping this program in Public Aid. First, I think it's very important, since I was accused by one of the Members of the Senate of having a personal monetary reason for wanting to see this stay in the Department or moved to the Department of Public Health, that I openly declare that I personally have absolutely nothing to benefit, as a matter of fact, since in the practice of which I am a part, the Doctors there who do treat Public Aid patients, treat them under Public Aid, my encouragement and insistence that this program be under Public Health, would if anything detract from any monetary acure that might come to my own practice. I've even said many times, that in the entire



practice we have at our hospital, that 13% of the total income comes from the care of children in Public Aid. Now I would like to submit to the Senators, and the Senators have done it, and the administration and those who have been part of this unfortunate deal have exceeded to the pressure from those who have insisted on this. And let us fellow Members of the House, recognize that we sent this out as part of the Public Health Budget, it was not our wishes, it's theirs, to put it under Public Aid, that the children receiving medical care now under this change, will not receive preventive medical services. The Department of Public Aid is only a funding mechanism. The Department of Public Aid, according to it's present arrangement, only pays checks. It depends entirely on the present medical, dental, and hospital care system. This is a very bad idea. Now I recognize this may be one persons opinion, but I've had enough experience to know, that for reasons that are completely beyond me, the children under the Public Aid Program are going to suffer from this. There are reasons beyond it, which I don't understand that has made this deal go through. The insistance by some, that the Department of Public Aid, which doesn't want this program, which insisted that it would be better under Public Health, should handle the program, is an error, and I think even at this late stage, at this 11th hour, that if this House would be in it's..... it would be doing the right thing if it did not accede to this demand of the Senate. I



would like to state openly that this a bad idea. That putting this under Public Aid is a deterrence to good preventive medical care and it's against everything the Governor said he wanted when he introduced the program, and it's against what the Department of Public Health said that it wanted when it encouraged the program. We have, I've been told, excepted half a loaf rather than nothing. I insist that we are excepting a negative program. We are accepting a program which will not work, it does not work under Public Aid. People all over this state, children, black children, puerto rican children, white children who need medical care and dental care, are not going to get it as they now are not getting it because the private practice system is not able to give it to them. And I would ask you even at this late stage to think twice before acceding to this request from the Senate and to vote 'no'."

W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kosinski."

Kosinski: "Mr. Speaker, may I also have the privilege of addressing the Assembly?"

W. Robert Blair: "All right."

Kosinski: "Ah... ladies and gentlemen of the House, while some of my misguided sympathies were very similar to Bruce Douglas's, after complete exploration of the problems on hand, we decided that none of his fears were grounded. And the effect, ultimately to the recipient to this aid, will be very, very similar, with much fewer complications



in terms of the bookkeeping, thank you."

W. Robert Blair: "All right, the question is on the adoption of the Conference Committee Report. All those in favor will vote 'aye' and the opposed, 'no'. This takes 89 votes for final passage. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 102 'ayes', 10 'nays' and ah...Jaffe is 'aye' and Douglas is ah..no, you explained your vote ah... that's 103 'ayes', 10 'nays' and ah... I'm sorry, I thought you were on explanation. Go ahead."

Douglas: "Mr. Speaker, I waited to see what the vote was. I'm not going to do something that would incur the rath of this entire House and ask for a verification of the Roll Call, I'll probably never be able to come back here again as long as I'm in this House. However, I wish it to be known publicly, and this is just one persons opinion, but one person who has been close enough to this program proof in response to the allocation made by someone across the rotunda that I had some personal monetary interest in this, it's not true at all, who will say that this is the end to the so called Medi-Check Program. I am voting 'no' and I hope that others will recognize this, and it will go down in the journal of this Session that we have not, and I address this to the Senators who have forced this on us through this so called deal. We have not started a preventive medical program and the Governor in his reelection



campaign had better not tell the people of this state that he has done something noble and good, because he hasn't done anything more than we have had in this state for I don't know how many years. Preventive medicine will not exist, it doesn't exist right now. It's a nonsense amount of money to give to the Department of Public Aid, it's just going to be buried and the kids are not going to get their emunizations and their education and their health matters taken care of through this program. It's a deal which is bad for the black kids of the state and it's bad for the poor kids and I submit that we have to a deal that's not in the best interest of the children of this state."

W. Robert Blair: "All right, the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Telcser."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House, ah... I concur with 99% of what my colleague, Representative Douglas just discussed relative to the Medi-Check Program. However, I disagree with him strongly when he said that the Governor didn't want to do something for Health Care in the State of Illinois. I suggest he talk to his colleagues in his side of the aisle over in the Senate and see just why this happened."

W. Robert Blair: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Barnes."

Barnes: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, it is getting late and I won't take up a great deal of your time, but I would like to express one sentiment at this point. Being a Member of the Board of Directors at one of the



comprehensive health planning organizations on the south side, one thing I have discovered; if more doctors would confine themselves to doing what they were trained to do, be doctors, and not try to be medical authorities on all sorts of medical problems that face our communities, we would be much much far and better off. The black communities need alot of things, but I can't see, I will not be able to understand how anyone that has not lived the black experience can tell me what the black people need. It's just that simple. Thank you."

W. Robert Blair: The question is on the adoption of the Conference Committee Report. All those in favor will.....
Conference Committee Report on House Bill 4449. Gentleman from Lee, Mr. Shaprio."

Shaprio: "Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House, the Conference Committee on House Bill 4449 recommends the following: That the Senate recede from the Senate Amendment #1, which places in the prevailing wage agreement for the Junior Colleges, and further more that it appropriates \$50,000 to be paid on a pro rata basis if necessary to those junior college districts which might incur additional expenses and in addition it adds a severability paragraph in case that this part of the appropriation should be declared unconstitutional. I move that the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 4449 be adopted."



W. Robert Blair: "Is there further discussion. Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this.... I concur with the Committee action on this Bill. I think it really vindicates the position that the House took on the matter of whether prevailing wages should be extended to the Junior College Act. I think that in adopting the Committee's Report, we have said to the people of Illinois that, yes, our commitment in Illinois extends to the Junior College Act, the right that prevailing wages should be paid to those employees working on the Junior College Campuses. And I heartedly concur with the Committee action of appropriating \$50,000 because I think that this amount will be needed to make up the difference between The Prevailing Wage Act and Non-Union workmanship on the community college. And I urge a 'yes' vote on the Conference Committee Report."

W. Robert Blair: "All right, Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Richard Walsh."

R. Walsh: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

W. Robert Blair: "Ah... he indicates that he will."

R. Walsh: "Do I understand that it has become necessary to appropriate an additional sum of money because of the amendment to this Bill, the so called Prevailing Rate Amendment?"

Shapiro: "Ah... yes, Representative Walsh, we did it merely as a safe-guard, in case there would be..... it would be necessary to ah... help the Junior Colleges to meet the



Prevailing Wage. It is my understanding that there are very few Junior Colleges throughout the state who do not now meet the prevailing wage, and as the amendment is drafted, ah.... there may be some question as to whether they would have to pay the prevailing wage with the money appropriated in this Bill."

R. Walsh: "So what your saying is the.... the insistence of some people to insist this so called prevailing rate amendment to this Bill, is actually gonna cost the taxpayers of the State of Illinois an additional \$50,000?"

Shapiro: "Ah.... if necessary, that is correct."

R. Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker, just briefly, if I may, the issue of paying a prevailing rate to union people in the State of Illinois, has come up in the State of Illinois from time to time. It is only at a time like this that it is demonstrated that for the same amount of work it is costing the taxpayers an additional sum of money just to pay that prevailing rate. Now it seems to me Mr. Speaker that we should at some time take issue with this very important problem. It's just crazy to pay this prevailing union rate ah... when these employees are being employed on a yearly basis. Now unfortunately this particular appropriation is in the Junior College Appropriation Bill, so I'm going to vote 'no' and would hope that someone will take note that it does actually cost the taxpayers of the State of Illinois additional money just to have this so called union benefit in their Bills."



W. Robert Blair: "Is there further discussion? Gentleman from Lee, Representative Shaprio to close."

Shaprio: "Favorable Roll Call, Mr. Speaker."

Arthur Telcser: "The gentleman has moved that the House adopt the Conference Committee Report relative to House Bill 4449. All those in favor of the adoption signify by voting 'aye' and the opposed by voting 'no'. Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, the previous speaker said that this proves that it cost more. Well, let me inform him it doesn't prove a damn thing. It doesn't say one thing that union..... first of all, I'd like to explain union members are more proficient on the job. Union members do a days work for a days pay. Union members do a better job, they're more qualified, they serve apprenticeships, and they have the ability to do the job. They don't cost more money. And the amendment that we're adopting here says that in case that it cost more. I say to you, Members of this General Assembly, I doubt that it would cost anymore. In fact, the people of Illinois would be better protected with good union trad unionests working on their work project in the Junior College system, and I vote 'aye'."

Arthur Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 116 'ayes', 6 'nays'. Duff, 'no'. Campbell 'aye'. And the House adopts the Conference Committee Report relative to House Bill 4449."



Arthur Telcser: "Conference Committee Report, House Bill 3682. Gentleman from Cook, Representative Collins."

Collins: "Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House, House Bill 3682 ah... makes the composition of Representative Committees downstate identical to Senatorial Committees. Chairman of the County Central Committees rather than the Elected Committeemen ah... will compose these committees. Ah... the original purpose of the non-concurrence was to clear up a couple a gramatical errors. The terms of the Representative Committeemen elected in March, 1972 will be allowed to expire in 1974. At that time the Chairman of the County Central Committees downstate will compose the Legislative Committees. The report also extends the time for Legislative Committees to reorganize this year to take care of a problem faced by a number of committees in both parties. Ah... I would move for the adoption of the Conference Committee Report."

Arthur Telcser: "The gentleman from Lake, Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "Will the gentleman yield for a question?"

Arthur Telcser: "He indicates that he will."

Pierce: "In counties in legislative districts why would then one county who will comprise the legislative committee ah... after '74?"

Collins: "One downstate county?"

Pierce: "Yes, like Lake County, 31st District in Lake County lies entirely in Lake County. Who will be the Representative Committee for that county?"

Collins: "The County Chairman plus two individuals elected by the County Central Committee."

Pierce: "Now in counties that lie and districts that lie just in two counties, who comprises the ah... Legislative Committee after '74?"

Collins: "That's two downstate counties?"

Pierce: "Yes."

Collins: "Ya, that's the County Chairman, with a way to vote."

Pierce: "Well, one more question and then I'll leave you alone. In ah... before the next primary will the existing Representative Committees decide whether two or three candidates run, or will these new ones?"

Collins: "The... the existing committees."

Pierce: "All right, thank you very much. I intend to support the Conference Committee Report."

Arthur Telcser: "Is there further discussion? Gentleman has moved that the House adopt the Conference Committee Report, which goes to the House Bill 3682. All in favor of the adoption signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 108 'ayes', 2 'nays', and the House adopts the Conference Committee Report relative to House Bill 3682. On the order of concurrence appears House Bill 4558. For what purpose the gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea is recognized."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House, House Bill 4558 is the no-match provision ah... for



portation districts. This Bill was amended over in the House... or the Senate ah... adding a new provision 11, and leaving the Bill exactly as the Act was. In the provision 11, it states that all transportation districts with the exception of C.T.A., in the urban mass transportation district, shall be eligible fro no-match grant up to 10% of the amount of funds available in any fiscal year.

"I would appreciate the support of the House."

Arthur Telcser: "Gentleman from Christian, Representative Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Representative Shea, does this ah... then provide anything for ah... can this be for equipment cost or ah.. may it also extend to operating cost or just what can it be awarded for?"

Shea: "I understand that it is for.... it just says that they're eligible for grants other then those of 6,7, and 8 in the Act."

Tipsword: "Then it's just limited to equipment, is that correct?"

Shea: "Basiscally equipment cost."

Tipsword: "Thank you."

Arthur Telcser: "Gentleman from Champaign, Representative Hirschfeld."

Hirschfeld: "May I ask the Sponsor a question?"

Arthur Telcser: "He indicates that he will."

Hirschfeld: "Representative Shea, is there an effective date on this Bill now?"



Shea: "Is there an effective date? I don't know whether there is or not. I'm sending for a copy of the amendment. Are you concerned whether it becomes effective July 1, or October 1?"

Hirschfeld: "Well, what I'm concerned about, Representative Shea, is that before the Bill went through here there was no effective date on the Bill, which made it, as for all practical purposes meant, that all the money would be grabbed by Cook County before any of the downstaters could share in it, because there was an effective date on the other part for the mass for Cook County and nothing for downstate."

Shea: "It has no effective date in it."

Arthur Telcser: "Proceed, Representative Hirschfeld."

Hirschfeld: "Well, Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House, I apologize for taking the time of the House at this late hour also, but this was part of the so called deal that was made ah... in which both sides of the aisle was supposed to benefit and up and down the state was supposed to benefit, and it seems to me that the downstate is getting the short end of the stick again. Now last year in the winding hours of the Session we fought extremely hard to prevent this \$200,000,000 from going to the C.T.A. What we have effectively done now is sell out the downstaters and the entire \$200,000,000 will go to the C.T.A.. Now I encouraged the downstate republicans and democrates to vote against this particular Bill. What we've got in this



deal as we look at it now is \$35,000,000 to the Chicago schools. \$15,000,000 for the Chicago schools. The Governor got his Bonding Program. Now the C.T.A. gets the entire \$200,000,000 and downstate once again gets nothing. And I think it's deplorable when we have this upstate and downstate relationship, but it seems to me that that's what this Bill has done now and I very frankly cannot support the Bill and urge the other downstaters to join with me in voting 'no'."

Arthur Telcser: "Do you wish to respond to a question, Representative Shea?"

Shea: "I would just like to set the record straight with regards to one thing in this Bill. This is a permissive Bill the same as the Bill..... the soft-match Bill that passed this House earlier this week. It is within the discretion of the Secretary of the Department of Transportation, one William Cellini. Whether any or all of these grants are approved. That's number one. Number two, how much money is available to the Department of Transportation in any one fiscal year is determined by this Legislative Body. There were \$200,000,000 approved but not one penny of that money can be spent until it's appropriated by this Legislative Body to the Department of Transportation, for it's use. Now there is no intent at all in this Bill or at any time to try and hood-wink anybody. When Representative Choate offered the amendment to a House Bill that I had available and a vehicle, it was an attempt to make easier



available grant for downstate transportation districts, and that's exactly the intent of this Bill. Now....."

Hirschfeld: "Well, with all do respect to the Minority..... Assistant Minority Leader, I do believe he has spoken once on the Bill and ah...I don't believe he answering a question at this time."

Shea: "I'll wait and close"

Arthur Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative J.J.Wolf."

Wolf: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I don't like to take issue with my colleague and good friend from Champaign. Unfortunately he wasn't down here last Session when we passed the Comprehensive Transportation Bond Act, and as you know, John, ah... I've been very skeptical and critical of this ah.. Bills, I'd have to say that I've looked at this amendment that the Senate put on, and I ah... looked it over very carefully and I would have to say that it is a good improvement to that particular Bill, and I'm going to support it."

Arthur Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Duff."

Duff: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to say that I agree with the gentleman from Champaign, with one exception. He said that this Bill ah.. is detrimental to downstate, I feel that it is detrimental to all of northeastern Illinois. And I feel that it's particularity detrimental and does nothing at all for the suburbs of Cook County or the outlying counties. I further feel that if we are ever going to



have adequate mass transportation in the State of Illinois, and a really totally comprehensive program, we cannot continue to feed the law of the dragon C.T.A., which is not going to serve the people of Illinois in any overall comprehensive transportation plan."

Arthur Telcser: "The gentleman from Madison, Representative Kennedy."

Kennedy: "Mr. Speaker, I wonder if Representative Shea would yield to one more question?"

Arthur Telcser: "He indicates that he will."

Kennedy: Jerry, did I understand you to say that the Senate removed the Choate amendment?"

Shea: "What it did was, Representative Choates's amendment amended Section 9. After going over the Bill much more thoroughly, it was decided a new Section 11 would be added, which would in very clear and precise terms make up the 10% of the amount of money in any fiscal year available to all transportation districts in this state, other than the C.T.A. and the downtown district, to all the other districts on a no-match basis up to 10% of what was available in that fiscal year. It left Section 9 alone, which provided that up to 5% of the grants in any one year be given without any match to ah... transportation districts if they had critical financial troubles, or if they had ah... a shortage of available revenue. Now this was the section that was so aptly pointed out by Representative Tipword, that by putting in that section it might make



it somewhat confusing and hard ah.. to take care of. So at that time a new Section 11 was added in the Bill, and 9 was put back into it's original form. This Bill in it's present form changes the Transportation Act as it was passed last year, only in one area. It is identical to the Act passed last year except that it adds a Section 11, that is a no-match provision."

Kennedy: "Well, one more question or maybe two then if the Bi-State Transit in Alton particularly, now I'm referring to Alton where I live, and the bus service is becoming poor because they have no rider. Now I imagine they'll have to subsidize it. If they wanted to get some money they could get the money under 4558, providing the requirements where there under the Choate amendment. Now that's the way I understand this Bill as it stands right now."

Shea: "It ah... the way this Bill is drafted now, the Bi-State could get money under Section 9, the original Section 9, or under the new Section 11. It now has two places to get it's money."

Kennedy: "Alright,"

Arthur Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Hyde."

Hyde: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentleman of the House. I want to support the motion of the distinguished Minority Leader ah... a point out while not at all disagreeing with the remarks of the distinguished gentleman from Champaign, ah... his remarks were directed to ah...



other aspects of this package, which do grant a very favorable consideration to the Chicago Transit Authority. However, this amendment in this matter that is before us now is an effort to include downstate into the \$200,000,000 mass transportation funds that are available in the program that we passed last Session. This means about \$20,000,000, which will go to downstate transportation systems, without requiring them to make any match, soft-match or hard-match, simply because the facts are they have no availability of soft-match or hard-match. And if this amendment passes, and this amendment, I might add has been cleared with our side and ah... the Governor's Office and it does make the Bill a better Bill, it will include the Lake County Regional Mass Transit District; Decatur, Rock Island County, Champaign-Urbana, Rockford, the Bi-State Development that the gentleman asked about, Pekin Municipal, Peoria, Springfield, Aurora, Elgin, Joliet, DesPlaines, Skokie, and many of the systems in suburban Cook County. So while this may not be everything that it ought to be, it is an improvement over what has been the situation until the Bill. The amendment makes it a better Bill, it does help downstate and so in that sense, it certainly helps we republicans, and I would urge the support for this motion."

Arthur Tlecser: "Gentleman from Macon, Representative Borchers."

Borchers: "Mr. Speaker and fellow Members of the House, I just heard for the second time in two days my community of



Decatur mentioned. Now the day that it was mentioned by the Minority Leader ah... that Decatur would receive certain monies because of an amendment. We checked it out, I took that amendment home and talked to the City Manager and brought to his attention the situation and we agreed that that amendment that was put on the other day, wouldn't help Decatur one bit. We wouldn't get a dime out of it. So here we have again Decatur mentioned. Now as far as I can see with relation to the funds that are allocated and guaranteed theoretically to downstate, we're in the same position. It sounds good, but we're not gonna get one dime as far as I can see. And I bet you if you check into Danville and Springfield and the others under the same basis, you gonna find you they won't get any money either. Perhaps, some will. But I doubt very seriously that many of the cities, like Decatur, that have been named, will get any money whatsoever out of this Bill."

Arthur Telcsér: "Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Redmond."

Redmond: "Would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Arthur Telcsér: "He indicates that he will."

Redmond: "Senate Bill 1589 passed out of here the other day and I'm curious as to the difference between 1589 and 1458 and 1459?"

Shea: "1589... Senate Bill 1589 that passed the other day permitted soft-match and donation it did..... it allowed ah... the downtown transportation district to participate in mass transportation funds. Second of all, it allowed mun-



cipal corporations and counties to make donations to carriers and districts for soft-match purposes. This Bill provides a new Section 11, which would ah.. provide for free no-match grants up to 10% of the amount of money available in any fiscal year to ah... every district.... transportation district in the state, except C.T.A. and the downtown transportation district in the City of Chicago."

Redmond: "Senat Bill 1589 would authorize distribution of pretty nearly the entire \$200,000,000 to the mass transit districts that were mentioned therein, is that correct?"

Shea: "That is not true. 1589 made provisions for soft-match purposes. Bill, again I say that whatever we appropriate in any one fiscal year, like say this year I think we're appropriating \$50,000,000 to the Department of Transportation for matching grants purposes in this Section of the law. Now the ah... number one, most of the money is ah... these applications for grant are all conditioned upon meeting the rules and the regulations that the Secretary has primarigated. So that.... and then there's a major amount of discreation whether he approves or does not approve those grants. There are two other provisions in the Bill where in one.... in any fiscal year, 5% of it can be given in hardship cases and now up to 10% of the grant will be given without any local match whatsoever, just outright no-match grant."



Redmond: "But isn't it true that that says that he may?

There's no mandatory requirement. Isn't that correct?"

Shea: "I'm sorry, I didn't hear you."

Redmond: "I say, as I recall the amendment, it says that there may be a certain amount. It doesn't say, shall be. Am I right there?"

Shea: "The amendment on this Bill? It's discretionary. It's There's no mandate of 10%. It says grants up to 10% may be made."

Redmond: "And on those circumstances, can't it exceed 10%? Am I correct there?"

Shea: "That's right. There's.... There's a 10% limitation on no-match grants."

Redmond: "And there is no requirements that show any hardships for the grants to anything other than the downstate transportation district?"

Shea: "I'm sorry, Bill, I didn't hear you again."

Redmond: "I say the requirement about.... about the showing of the hardship, that only applies to the downstate districts. Isn't that correct."

Shea: "That's correct."

Redmond: "And there's no requirement to show any hardship for CTA or the downtown or any of the rest of them."

Shea: "No, the CTA or the downtown area can only use provision 6, 7 and 8, which every other transportation district in the State can use. 9 and 11 are special ah.... areas for downstate districts that have less stringent requirement



for the grants, but 6, 7 and 8, under which the CTA would make grant applications, are also available to every other transportation district in the State."

Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Bill Walsh."

W. D. Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, let's look at this for exactly what it is. It's something thrown to those of us outside the CTA District, so that we can vote for the CTA Bill and we can continue to feed the monstrous CTA. Now in suburban Cook County, in the five counties surrounding Cook and in the suburbs of Cook County, we indeed have a mass transportation crisis. One that will not even be benefited in the slightest by this Bill. We have transportation districts, but in each case, the transportation district is a railroad, a commuter railroad running outside of Chicago. Now each one of these railroads have tracks that they are going to have to amintain and continue to maintain for freight purposes. We have no benefits here in this Bill for feeder bus lines. We have no benefits for a comprehensive transportation service. I said before and I'll say again, Mr. Speaker, until we can have a single metropolitan transportation service district in Cook County and the five surrounding counties, any of this is nonsense and should not be supported. I urge you to vote 'no' on this Bill."

Telcser: "Is there further discussion? Gentleman from Cook, Representative Juckett."



Juckett: "Ah.... Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, would the sponsor yield to a couple of questions?"

Telcser: "He indicates he will."

Juckett: "You mention that ah.... some of the suburban areas would receive benefits. You mentioned specifically, I believe, DesPlaines and Skokie."

Shea: "I didn't."

Juckett: "Well, some.... someone did anyway."

Shea: "But I will tell you that certain of the suburban areas will receive help."

Juckett: "Well, what kind.... what kind of help will they receive."

Shea: "Well, as I read the no-match provision, and I'll just give you the area I represent, it's called the Burlington or the 'Q' Commuter town, and certainly towns such as Berwyn, Cicero, Riverside, LaGrange, Brookfield - those towns which are within the 'Q' transportation district, that district is eligible to make an application under this no-match grant provision. The same would be I.C. area that goes out into suburban counties. I understand at the present time, now that Northwestern is a privately owned company and not part of Northwest Industries, they are ah.... forming some districts, one out west and one out north that would hit some of the areas you're in. Now if any of the suburban areas wanted to form a mass transportation area such as the western suburbs, where they have the ah.... suburban bus company, they could do that and



take ah.... take advantage of this no-match provision."

Juckett: "O'kay, now, for instance, in the City of DesPlaines, they have the United Motor Coach Company and I understand that the City of DesPlaines has formed a special transportation district. Now Park Ridge also has the United Motor Coach Company, but my understanding is that they are not in in any special transportation district. Would that fact affect the viability or the ability of the United Motor Coach Company to participate when they overlap communities that are not in transportation districts?"

Shea: "Bob, to the best of my knowledge, that's a little technical and I don't.... but I'll answer this way. DesPlaines has a transportation district. DesPlaines is eligible under 6, 7 and 8 of the Bill. It's eligible under 9 for special consideration and hardship cases, and it would be eligible under the no-match grants of 11. It would also be available under the soft-match provision where the Village of DesPlaines, or I guess it's the City of DesPlaines, isn't it? The City of DesPlaines could donate a parking lot or a parking facility to the mass transportation district, and then turn around and use that for ah.... soft-match to get funds from the State and then turn around and use both the funds and parking lot to get more Federal dough, two times as much Federal money."

Juckett: "O'kay, it is my understanding then, it would be the City which makes up the district..."

Shea: "It would be the transportation district."



Juckett: "O'kay, the transportation district and not the transportation company."

Shea: "I would imagine in DesPlaines, you've got a carrier, which is United, entering into a contract with the District, which is coterminous with the DesPlaines, to supply services, so that the ah.... district could take advantage of certain subsidies which are available in this Bill and then make them available to the carrier, which they have the contract with."

Juckett: "And this is just an enabling legislation, no monies, just the formulas."

Shea: "This just changes the formula for grant applications. There is no monies and it does not change one dollar the appropriation to the Department of Transportation."

Juckett: "And it would require any of these communities to form districts in order to be eligible for any kinds of grants or help."

Shea: "Yes, under the present, existing law."

Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Ewell."

Ewell: "Roll call for attendance." I ask for leave for roll call for attendance. I want to know who's here and who's not."

Telcser: "That's not a properly put motion, Representative Ewell. Gentleman from ah.... Cook, Representative Shea to close the debate."

Shea: "Ah.... did Tim Simms...."



Telcser: "No, Representative Simms, does not seek recognition, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think the Bill has been thoroughly discussed. Everybody knows what's in it, and I would appreciate the support of this House."

Telcser: "Gentleman has moved the House concur with Senate Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 4558. All in favor of concurrence, signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Gentleman from Christian, Representative Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. I would like to explain my vote. I think you will recall that when this Bill was first before us, I was certainly no supporter of it. I'm not the happiest person in the world with it now. But I would tell you that after this has been amended in the Senate, I feel that I am going to have to vote for this Bill, because it is the only possibility that any of the downstate districts are going to get anything out of these bond funds. This is only a permissive bill. It doesn't mean that anyone of our districts will get a single thing. It is probably, most probable, that most of them will not get anything, but without this Bill, I would suggest that there is not a single hope of anyone but the CTA getting anything out of the Bond fund, so I'm going to vote for this in the hope that at least this portion of it, some portion of it may go to some of these transportation districts that are in downstate Illinois. Because it is at



least the one hope we have of having some kind of partial distribution of this huge fund in a very small way to all of the downstate districts."

"Gentleman from ah.... Cook, ah.... Mr. Yourell."

Yourell: "There's some green lights up there, Mr. Speaker, and I would ask that those green lights that are not here should not be voting. Now some of us are going to stick around here until the closing minutes of this thing, and those fellows have taken off back for Cook County, are not going to vote and get credit for this vote. You know who I'm talking about."

Honorable W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Peoria.... or Champaign, Mr. Hirschfeld."

Hirschfeld: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Representative Shea, despite what the credentials did today, I still believe that Mayor Daly is an intimately wise and practical politician, and in light of that fact, despite what you said about Mr. Cellini having control of these funds, I somehow think, back deep in my mind, that the intimate Mayor of Chicago knows how much of this money is going to go to Cook County and to the CTA before this is all over. Now on the soft-match provisions, the reason the downstaters are not going to get anything on the soft-match, where that would apply, is because we don't have anything to apply to that. There isn't anything. On the other part, I went and checked the Federal regulations after



this Bill was passed, and the Federal regulations, and the only City which would really come under this, was Decatur, I believe, require a showing of extreme need, in order to get any of this money. Decatur cannot meet this requirement. The reason I think the Mayor is such a intimate and wise and sound politician is because this Bill has really put us downstaters between a rock and a hardplate. If we don't pass the Bill, the CTA gets it all. If we do pass the Bill, I still believe the CTA gets it all. It leave me in a rather a quandry in how I'm going to vote. I think I'll wait until the Speaker calls the roll, and then I'll cast my vote at that time, and I congratulate you on a job well done."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from ah.... DuPage, Mr. Redmond."

Redmond: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it is with great reluctance that I stand to explain my vote and oppose this measure. I've had a long history of supporting mass transportation in this General Assembly. There has never been a time since 1959, when I was elected, that I haven't supported subsidies for the CTA, in every form in which they've presented them. I was the sponsor of the Bill in 1959, which authorized the creation of mass transportation districts, throughout the State of Illinois, and it's on the basis of that legislation that mass transit districts were organized in South Cook County, the Burlington Railroad, the Wilwaukee Railroad, the City of Springfield,



and various other mass transit districts throughout the State. I happen to believe strongly in mass transportation. But I can't feel that this arrangement that we're asked to support is really very fair. The money is going to come from the proceeds of a bond issue that is a general obligation to this State, and every citizen of the State is going to be expected to pay into retiring the bonds that have been issued, and I just can't think that there's any equity or any equality in having one mass transit organization, that granted is very important, that services a community that has roughly half of the People of this State and expect the other half of the People of this State to pay to retire the bonds, and you know and I know that they will get absolutely no subsidies or help. The establishment and the operation of mass transit districts in my community are very important, and I just don't think this is any equal distribution of proceeds, and therefore, I am unable to support this measure and vote 'no'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from ah..... Macon, Mr. Borchers."

Borchers: "Mr. Speaker.... Mr. Speaker, fellow Members of the House, I want to mention about Decatur. I won't touch upon it anymore. But I would like to point out that most of you in this Chamber this minute are representing countless number of people in small towns and small villages and farming people. Under the bond issue, everyone of them



will be paid by taxation, of one sort of another, for that bond issue, and we are not going to derive any benefits from them. They will not derive any benefits from them. All they're doing, and all the State is doing, is asking men to pay for something they will never use. Now I think all of you should think of the responsibility you have to all these small towns like Blue Mound, Taylorville, Shelbyville, Litchfield, and consider their obligations that they will have to carry under this particular Bill, and I don't think we should vote for this particular thing and we should vote 'no'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Alsup."

Alsup: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. This is a difficult vote, but the People did pass the two hundred million dollar bond issue, and if we're to get any of it downstate, I presume this is the only way we're going to get it. And There's another thing to be considered. We have to have something to match the Federal government in order to get the two dollars for everyone, and therefore to get this money into the State of Illinois, we have to do something. And so this may be better than nothing. And therefore, I'm going to vote 'aye'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The Gentleman from McLean, Mr. Hall."

Hall: "Simply to state, Mr. Speaker, this particular proposition, provides too many dollars for too few people to be paid by too many people downstate that will benefit nothing from it. I'm voting 'no'."



Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 100 'ayes' and 29 'nays'." Gentleman from Cook, Mr. William Walsh."

William Walsh: "I respectfully request a verification of the roll call."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All right, we'll have a poll of the absentees."

F. B. Selcke: "Blades. Bluthardt. Brandt. Brenne. Capuzi. Phil Collins. Conolly. Roscoe Cunningham. W. Cunningham. Day. Epton. Fleck. Gibbs. Granata. Gene Hoffman. Houde. Jacobs. Janczak. Karmazyn. Katz. Kleine. Klosak. Lenard. Lindberg. Ed Madigan. McDermott. Murphy. George O'Brien. Palmer. Randolph. Rayson. Rose. Scariano. Schisler. Schlickman. Schneider. Schoeberlein. Smith. Stedelin. Taylor. Jack Thompason. Washburn. Genoa Washington.. Williams. Zlatnik."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Phil Collins."

Phil Collins: "How am I recorded, Mr. Speaker?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How's the gentleman recorded?"

F. B. Selcke: "Gentleman's recorded as 'not voting'."

Phil Collins: "Vote me 'aye'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Record the gentleman as 'aye'. All right, ah.... proceed to verify the affirmative."

F. B. Selcke: "Alsup."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Bureau, Mr. Barry."

Barry: "Mr. Speaker, I know it's out of order, but this is the last night, and I think it's a fair question. If this Bill



does not pass, and we know we're talking about 10% downstate districts is sort of a give away if they can qualify properly for a grant, if this Bill does not pass, I would like to ask the sponsor what happens to that 10% of two hundred million dollars or twenty million dollars?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Are you the sponsor? Oh.... O'Kay.

Who is the sponsor? Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Well, as I understand this legislation, a hundred percent of the money available, is available under 6, 7 and 8, but there's a special part that makes 5% available under 9 and up to 10% under this new provision, so that if that 10% isn't used, it would go back and be redistributed with the rest of the money, so that ah... in affect, I think what you're getting at, is if this Bill doesn't pass, there won't be any provision for no-match grants downstate."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. William Walsh."

William Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I was going to save Mayor Daly's spokesman the trouble of answering that question, by saying that the question was out of order, but I won't do that now."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "O'kay. Now we're going to verify the affirmatives." Gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate."

Choate: "Well, I think it's about time I set the record straight after the gentleman just spoke. I don't know who he is referring to as Mayor Daly's spokesman, because it just happened that this Amendment is my amendment, and I'm not a spokesman for anyone."



Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Simms."

Simms: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How is the gentleman recorded?"

F. B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Simms: "Would you change that to 'aye'?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Change the gentleman to 'aye'. All right, ah.... proceed to verify the affirmative."

F. B. Selcke: "Alsup. Anderson. Arrigo. Barnes. Barry. Berman. Boyle. Bradley. Brinkmeier. Brimmet. Burditt. Caldwell. Calvo. Campbell. Capparelli. Carrigan. Carroll. Jimmy Carter. Richard Carter. Chapman. Choate. Clabaugh. Colitz. Otis Collins. Phil Collins. Corbett. Cox. Craig. L. Cunningham. Davis. DiPrima. Douglas. Downes. Dyer. Ewell. Fary. Fennessey. Flinn. Garmisa. Giorgi. Graham. Hamilton. Hanahan. Hense. Hill. Holloway. Houlihan. Hyde. Jaffe. Jones. Kahoun. Kennedy. Kosinski. Krause. Laurino. Lechowicz. Lehman. Leon. Londrigan. M. Madigan. Mann. Maragos. Markert. Matijevich. McAvoy. McCormick. McDevitt. McGah. McLendon. McMaster. McParlin. Merlo. Meyer. Kenny Miller. Peter Miller. Moore. Nowlan. D. O'Brien. O'Hallaren. Pappas. Pierce. Ropa. Sevcik. Shea. Timothy Simms. Ike Sims. Soderstrom. Stone. Telcser. Terzich. R. Thompson. Tipsword. VonBoeckman. Wall. Walters. Harold Washington. Welsch. Frank Wolf. J. J. Wolf. B. B. Wolfe. Yourell. And Mr. Speaker."



Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Cook.... or from Johnson, Mr. C. L. McCormick, ah.... for what purpose do you rise?"

McCormick: "Ah.... Mr. Speaker, I don't know when I can explain my vote, but I think that I should have an opportunity, because I live farther south than anybody in this House, and I think that also that I have a right to explain my vote, because I'm voting 'aye' on this Bill and I don't there's a transit district, I know there's not in my district, and I don't think we have anything but a gitne down in mine, and that only operates once in a while. But let me remind the rest of you downstaters this, we passed a nine hundred million dollar bond issue in this State a couple of years ago, and those people that live downstate know good and well that we get the bulk of the money that's spent out of that nine million in highways. We talk about the interstate system, we talk about the rest of the roads we want and that we get, and you know as well as I know that we're going to get the biggest end of the share. Now I don't know what CTA does or the urban districts. I don't have any idea what they do, but I know one thing for sure, what they need in the City is more transit authority. They need better transit systems, and we need better highways downstate, and it just isn't fair for us to claim 600 hundred million dollars for highways downstate in the biggest end of it, and then deny the People in Chicago of decent transportation systems. And I think that we, downstaters, ought to be ashamed of our-



selves for trying to be a hog. We accuse Cook County.... we accuse those people of taking everything away from us - sometimes I think they do take too much, but I'll tell you one thing sure, it is fair, and it is only fair if we get the six hundred million roads, that they ought to have part of the two hundred million for the transportation system. Now I can't help it if they don't run it like somebody likes it over there in the suburbs or around the corner. I can't help that, but I'll tell you one thing, if you start giving them more money for roads up there, all you'll do is just compound more traffic into the City and it just isn't right to destroy the City of Chicago that way, and I for one think that we're wrong downstate in talking like we're talking here on the floor of this house. You ought to vote 'aye' for this, and thank God we've got 600 instead of nothing."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. William Walsh."

Walsh: "Ah..... Representative Arrigo."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How's the gentleman recorded?"

F. B. Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Take him off the record."

Walsh: "Boyle."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How's the gentleman recorded?"

F. B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Walsh: "Burditt."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Wait a minute. Take him off the record."



Walsh: "Burditt."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How's the gentleman recorded?"

F. B. Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Take him off the record."

Walsh: "Brummett."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How's the gentleman recorded?"

F. B. Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Take him off the record."

Walsh: "Carrigan."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How's the gentleman recorded?"

F. B. Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Take him off the record."

Walsh: "James Carter."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How's the gentleman recorded?"

Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Take him off the record."

Walsh: "Richard Carter."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How's the gentleman recorded?"

F. B. Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Take him off the record."

Walsh: "Colitz."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How's the gentleman recorded?"

F. B. Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Take him off the record."

Walsh: "Corbett."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How's the gentleman recorded?"



F. B. Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Take him off the record."

Walsh: "DiPrima."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How's the gentleman recorded?"

F. B. Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Take him off the record."

Walsh: "Downes."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How's the gentleman recorded?"

F. B. Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Take him off the record."

Walsh: "Flinn."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How's the gentleman recorded?"

F. B. Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Take him off the record."

Walsh: "Hill."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Hill's there."

Walsh: "Lechowicz."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How's the gentleman recorded?"

F. B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Take him off the record."

Walsh: "Michael Madigan."

Honorable W. Robert Blair: "How's the gentleman recorded?"

F. B. Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Honorable W. Robert Blair: "Take him off the record."

Walsh: "Mann."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How's the gentleman recorded?"



F. B. Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."
Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Take him off the record."
Walsh: "Matijevich."
Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How's the gentleman recorded?"
F. B. Selcke: "Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."
Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Take him off the record."
Walsh: "McPartlin."
Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How's the gentleman recorded?"
F. B. Selcke: "Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."
Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Take him off the record."
Walsh: "Moore."
Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How's the gentleman recorded?"
F. B. Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."
Honorable W. Robert Blair: "Take him off the record."
Walsh: "Ropa."
Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How's the gentleman recorded?"
F. B. Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."
Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Take him off the record."
Walsh: "Terzich."
Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Yeow, he's there."
Walsh: "Walters."
Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How's the gentleman recorded?"
F. B. Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."
Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Take him off the record."
Walsh: "Pappas."
Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How's the gentleman recorded?"



F. B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Take him off the record."

Walsh: "O'Hallaren."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He's down here."

Walsh: "Dan O'Brien."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All right, Don Moore's back on the floor, so put him back on the roll call. Dan O'Brien. How's he recorded?"

F. B. Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Take him off the record."

Walsh: "Brandt."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Brandt."

F. B. Selcke: "Not voting."

Walsh: "Brummet."

F. B. Selcke: "Took him off already."

Walsh: "Sorry. Carroll."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He's there."

Walsh: "Laurino."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How's the gentleman recorded?"

F. B. Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Take him off the record."

Walsh: "Holloway."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How's the gentleman recorded?"

F. B. Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Take him off the record."

Walsh: "Garmisa."



Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He's there."

Walsh: "Markert."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How's the gentleman recorded?"

F. B. Selcke: "The gentleman....."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He's back there."

Walsh: "Hanahan."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He's there."

Walsh: "Ike Sims."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How's the gentleman recorded?"

F. B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Take him off the record."

Walsh: "Frank Wolf."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How's the gentleman recorded?"

F. B. Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Take him off the record."

Walsh: "Gibbs."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How's the gentleman recorded?"

F. B. Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as 'not voting'."

Walsh: "Rayson."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How's the gentleman recorded?"

F. B. Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as 'not voting'."

Walsh: "Kosinski."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He's back there."

Walsh: "Taylor."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How's the gentleman recorded?"

F. B. Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Walsh: "Leon."



Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How's the gentleman recorded?"

F. B. Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Take.... Take him off the record."

Walsh: "Craig."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "O'kay, I see him, he's here."

Walsh: "And finally Fennessey."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How's the gentleman recorded?"

F. B. Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Oh, he's there."

Walsh: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Lee, Mr. Shapiro."

Shapiro: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How's the gentleman recorded?"

F. B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Shapiro: "Please change that to 'aye'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Change the gentleman's vote to 'aye'."

Gentleman from ah.... Peoria, Mr. Lauterbach."

Lauterbach: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How is the gentleman recorded?"

F. B. Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Lauterbach: "Change that to 'aye'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Change that to 'aye'. Gentleman from

Winnebago, Mr. North, how's he recorded?"

F. B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "change it to 'aye'. Gentleman from

Cook, Mr. Kipley."



Kipley: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How's the gentleman recorded?"

F. B. Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kipley, says change it to 'aye'. Gentleman.... oh.... how's the gentleman from Effingham, Mr. Keller, recorded?"

F. B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Change that to 'aye'." Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Day."

Day: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How's the gentleman recorded?"

F. B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as 'not voting'."

Day: "I vote 'aye'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Record the gentleman as 'aye'. Oh, Gentleman from Brown, Mr. Markert, how's he recorded?"

Is that the question? How is Markert reported?"

F. B. Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Oh, all right, he's on there. He was on and he still is. Gentleman from McLean, Mr. Bradley, for what purpose....."

Bradley: "How am I recorded?"

F. B. Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Bradley: "Mr. Speaker, could I explain that vote?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Yes."

Bradley: "Well, Mr. Speaker, thank you for allowing me to explain my vote. I ah.... did not support the two million dollar bond issue, but I believe that we have the



opportunity here for the downstaters to salvage a possibility of receiving some of the funds that the people throughout the State are going to be paying and paying off the bond issues, so I would urge the members of downstate, if you think that you're doing something here to deprive Chicago and deprive the CTA of funds, you're doing just exactly the opposite. You're taking away the opportunity for us to have any possible hope at all of receiving some of the twenty million dollars. If we let this motion die, we will be cutting off our own ah.... necks ah.... and giving the whole two hundred million dollars to the Chicago Transit Authority and we have no.... we'll still be paying for it, with no possibility at all of receiving some funds, and I would rather take a chance on ah.... Director Cellini seeing fit to contribute some of the much needed funds to the downstate transit authority, and I would urge the Members to reconsider your vote and vote 'aye' on this motion. Thank you, Mr. Speaker, for allowing the time for me to explain my vote."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Mr. Speaker, am I recorded as 'aye' or 'nay'?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How's the gentleman recorded?"

F. B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'nay'."

Tuerk: "Change that to 'aye'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Record the gentleman as voting 'aye'."

Gentleman from Henderson, Mr. Neff."



Neff: "Ah.... Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How is the gentleman recorded?"

F. B. Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'nay'."

Neff: "Will you change it to 'aye', please?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Change the gentleman to 'aye'." Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Ewell."

Ewell: "Well, as soon as the vote is concluded...."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Philip."

Philip: "How am I recorded, Mr. Speaker?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How's the gentleman recorded?"

Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'nay'."

Philip: "Good, I would like to vote 'nay', Mr. Speaker. I would like to explain my vote. Everybody else has broken the rules this evening, and I thought maybe I would. Now everybody says this is such a good deal for the downstaters you know. It's always a good deal for the downstaters. About 50% of our population is downstate. I hope you all remember that, and out of the two hundred million dollars, we are getting the grand slam total possibility of twenty million. Now if that's a good deal, when the CTA and the City of Chicago get a hundred and eighty million, I don't know what a deal is, and I suggest that some of you reconsider your vote and vote 'no'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Ewell."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to rise on a personal privilege. First of all, I have before me something which has been distributed, which ah.... purports to be an IBI



ranking of legislators. Now, Mr. Speaker, I want to take personal objection to this rating by the IBI or any other organization of the legislators. I would like to say first of all, they award a top 10, 12, 5, 4 or 6, but whatever number they are, I would like to point out to the Members of this Body and to the Members of the press that four out of the top six are absent. I suggest that this Body came in, decided to rate the legislators, decided to produce a public relations document before the session is even over, and if you know anything about this, we had to do it before yesterday, the day before, or some other time before. I charge that the IBI perhaps made these ratings before the Body even came into session, and they represent a complete fraud on the People of the State. I suggest that it's improper, immoral and unethical for any organization to stand up and tell the citizens of this State that they are going to give awards to four out of six legislators, who are not even present and the Body's still in session. I think it is a fraud, it's a shame, and we ought to pull the covers off of them, expose them for exactly what they are, and Mr. Speaker, they produce these lists, and these lists are nothing less than slander upon the People who are still in this Body working, performing a function for the People of the State of Illinois. Now I ask you who made the ratings and when did they make them? How can they determine who ought to be rated before the ball game is over? And there's one principal in this society that



that stands above everything else, and that is the fact that the ball game is not over until the last man is out. There might..... There might be Members in this Body who want to repent, who might want to see the way of the IBI, or who might want to take the plane out of town before the Body's even closed. I, for one, don't have time, and will not take the time of this Body to introduce a resolution at this Session, but the very next time we have a Session, I'm going to introduce a resolution condemning the IBI, their system of rating and banning them from forevermore making ratings of this legislature."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright, Garmisa..... Wait a minute, there seems to be some question concerning this matter. The gentleman from Macoupin, Mr. Bartulis, for what purpose do you rise?"

Bartulis: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How is the gentleman recorded?"

F. B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Bartulis: "Well, I am just about ready to change my vote after that last blast, but I think I'll vote 'yes' anyhow, but not because of that really."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "O'okay, thank you, Junie. Bartulis 'aye'. Gentleman from Clinton, Mr. Harpstrite."

Harpstrite: "How am I recorded?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How is the gentleman recorded?"

F. B. Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Harpstrite: "Change that to 'aye'."



Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Change that to 'aye'." All right, the ah.... gentleman from Kane, Mr. Friedland, how is the gentleman recorded?"

F. B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Friedland: "Vote me 'aye'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Change that to 'aye'. Gentleman from McLean, Mr. Hall."

Hall: "May I take a moment to explain my vote, or am I too late?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "No, We'll give you a little time."

Hall: "Mr. Speaker, today when we were discussing the Department of Transportation budget, several members of this House commented that U.S. 51, the longest straight-through highway we have in the State of Illinois, originally proposed to be brought to interstate standards in 1956, still has not been considered for brining it up to four lanes or interstate standards today and they wondered. The people in Rockford wondered. The people in Bloomington wondered. The people in Decatur wondered, why we are being bypassed, and yet tonight we are asked for two hundred million dollars to bail out the CTA. Now, if some suitable explanation were given as to why U.S. 51 had not been touched in 16 years, when it had originally been planned then I think we would be a little bit more magnanimous in these grabs by Chicago ah.... to solve their financial problems. In the meantime, since I have heard nothing, I remain ah.... ah.... in my position of adamantly voting 'no'."



Hon. W. Robert Blair: "For what purpose does the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Garmisa, arise?"

Garmisa: "Well, ah.... Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "We'll have to look."

F. B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Garmisa: "Well, then, Mr. Speaker, I, too, would like to explain my 'aye' vote. Mr. Speaker, may I explain my 'aye' vote?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Yes, go ahead."

Garmisa: "Well, now, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of this General Assembly, let's go into this whole concept of this nine hundred million dollar issue. This General Assembly in their wisdom voted this nine hundred million dollars for the moving of people, and we're talking about moving people on the highways, in the air and by mass transit. Now the concept of moving people has finally got to the point, where we have to realize that mass transit is here to stay and is a vital part of our transportation package. And the General Assembly in their wisdom, allowed six hundred million dollars for moving of people on the highways. There's a hundred million dollars involved for the airports and there was two hundred million dollars put aside for the movement of mass transit riders. Now, Mr. Speaker, there is a gentleman.... the gentleman from Decatur, was talking about half of the people being down-state and only half of the population being in the County of Cook. Well, that may ver well be true. I think we've



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

got to bear in mind that for every one transit rider downstate, there are 9 that are being rode by the Chicago Transit authority, and the Chicago Transit Authority is a vital part of the economy of the City of Chicago. We realize that all downstate areas, that all downstate transit districts are in trouble financially, and this Bill was put on, and this Amendment was passed so that the various transit authorities downstate that are in financial trouble should have the where-is-all to pull out of their financial state. And Ladies and Gentlemen of this General Assembly, I encourage you to vote 'yes' for this very good Bill."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Regner."

Regner: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

F. B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Regner: "Vote me 'aye'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Change the gentleman from 'nay' to 'aye'. Gentleman from McLean, Mr. Hall."

Hall: "Mr. Speaker, from time to time, people approach the podium to talk to the Speaker on matters like this. I wonder if I could just beg your ear silently for a moment."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "We'll have a little side conference, Harber, come on up. Gentleman from McLean, Mr. Hall."

Hall: "Mr. Speaker, it is my understanding that Director Cellini, ah... has indicated that U.S. 51, will be under construction ah... in this fiscal year, 1973. I guess it's almost 73 fiscal year and accordingly, the People of my District are pleased to hear that news, and ah... if



the Clerk would refer me as to how I voted previously,
I would like to have that."

F. B. Selcke: "The gentleman is repeatedly voted 'no'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "No, that wasn't the right to say, Fred,
no."

Hall: "Yes, Mr. Clerk, I have repeatedly voted 'no' on the CTA,
but I have a feeling that there are many others absent
at this moment, who would probably be voting for it, and
I would like to change my vote and be recorded as voting
'aye'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright, record him as 'aye'. Gentle-
man from ah.... Cook, Mr. Glass, for what purpose do you
rise?"

Glass: "How am I recorded, Mr. Speaker?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How is the gentleman is recorded?"

F. B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Glass: "Mr. Speaker, it's my understanding on this Bill that
ah.... in order to receive funds, it merely takes the
existence of a transit district. There are no strings
attached to ah.... the grant of those funds by the
Secretary of the Department of Transportation. If that
understanding is correct,, and I believe it is, I'm going
to change my vote to 'aye'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All right, change the gentleman's vote
from 'no' to 'aye'." What's the roll, Mr. Clerk? There
are 90 'ayes', 15 'nays', and the..... Oh, the gentleman
from Macon, Mr. Borchers, for what purpose do you rise?"



Borchers: "Mr. Speaker, I've heard what Representative Hall has said. I don't believe we're going to get a dime in Decatur for our bus lines, without a great deal of effort, but the 51 Route is of interest to my community, and I take what I've heard as granted that in 1973, this route will be started. Upon that basis, I change my vote to 'aye'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All right, 91, can we make it 92? On this question, on the adoption of the Conference Committee Report, with regard to House Bill 4558, there are 91 'ayes' and 14 'nays' and the House adopts the Conference Committee Report. Oh, I'm sorry, that the House concurs in Senate Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 4558. I hope that there is not another amendment. Gentleman from Cook, ah.... Mr. Berman."

Berman: "Mr. Speaker, having voted on the prevailing side by which Amendment No. 1 was concurred in on House Bill 4558, I now move that that Vote be reconsidered."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Cook, ah.... Will, Mr. Houlihan." All those in favor of the gentleman's motion to table, say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the motion to table prevails. Well, I guess we got 89 votes fellows. Senate Bills, Third Reading. Senate Bill 654."

F. B. Selcke: "Senate Bill 654. An Act to amend Section 1-3 and 1-4 of the Election Code. Third Reading of the Bill."



Hon. W. Robert- Blair: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Phil Collins."

Collins: "Ah.... yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 654 ah... for those of you who are looking at the Digest, was originally a Bill for the State Board of Elections, but that... everything after the enacting clause has been stricken. Ah.... referring to any State Board of Elections. The ah... Amendment would provide the machinery for hearing election contests in State executive offices. It would ah.... be comprised of a panel of circuit court judges convened by the Illinois Supreme Court and will sit in Sangamon County. This Bill is necessary to implement the constitution and I would move for its favorable consideration."

Arthur A. Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Question is, shall Senate Bill 654 pass? All those in favor, signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'." Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question, there are 100 'ayes', no 'nays', and this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1409."

F. B. Selcke: "Senate Bill 1409. A Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 1409 was amended just a while back on Second Reading."



It's like Representative Collins' Bill, everything after the enacting clause was a new Bill. This will implement the Federal Voting Act of 1970 into the Illinois State Election Law. This will allow people who move into the State, and ah.... are here up.... less than six months up to 30 days before a General Election, to vote for the President and Vice-President of the United States. I would move for the ah.... passage of Senate Bill 1409."

Arthur A. Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Question is, shall Senate Bill 1409 pass? All those in favor, signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. This question, there are 94 'ayes', no 'nays', and this Bill, having received the constitutional majority, is hereby declared passed. On the Order of Conference Committee Reports, appears House Bill 29, for which purpose the gentleman from Christian, Representative Tipsword, is recognized."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. A little earlier this afternoon, we concurred with the committee report of the second conference committee, upon this Bill, 1329. However, before the Conference Report of the Second Committee reached the Senate, the Conference Report was withdrawn, so at this time, Mr. Speaker, in order to bring this properly before the House, I would, having voted on the prevailing side on the last Report, upon the Conference Committee on this Senate Bill 1329, move that our vote in adopting that report, be reconsidered."



Arthur A. Telcser: "Is there discussion? Question is, shall the House reconsider the vote by which Conference Committee Report, relative to Senate Bill 1329, was adopted? All in favor of the gentleman's motion, signify by voting 'aye'....."

Tipsword: "I'm requesting that the vote that we took a little earlier this afternoon, on the Second Conference Committee Report, be reconsidered, Mr. Speaker, having voted on the prevailing side."

Arthur A. Telcser: "The Clerk informs me that it's the first conference committee report. Is that right, Mr. Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Well, it's the Second Conference Committee."

Arthur A. Telcser: "Representative Shea, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Shea: "I'm trying to reconstruct what happened. There was a Conference Committee. The Conference Committee returned a Report. It was adopted by this House, rejected in the Senate. It was not adopted in this House?"

Arthur A. Telcser: "Well, I'll tell you what. Let's take this out of the record for a couple of minutes, then... while Dale, you and Ralleigh kind of rethread this whole thing. Senate Bills, Third Reading. Senate Bill 1450."

F. B. Selcke: "Senate Bill 1450. A Bill for an Act to amend Illinois Highway Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Arthur A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Henderson, Representative Neff."



Neff: "Ah.... Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 1450 is the Bill that would change the Highway Planning System reporting date from the present calendar year to a fiscal year basis. This Bill has been discussed fairly thoroughly. There was some misunderstandings on it, and I believe we have these cleared up, and I would appreciate a favorable vote."

Arthur A. Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Question is, shall Senate Bill 1450 pass? All those in favor, signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question, there are 101 'ayes', 1 'nay'. McMaster 'aye'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On the Order of Nonconcurrences appears Senate Bill 1290, for which purpose the gentleman from Knox, Representative McMaster, is recognized."

McMaster: "Ah.... Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. Earlier ah.... today, we had Senate Bill 1290 back on Nonconcurrance. We had a problem with ah.... Representative Berman. Ah... I talked to Mr. Berman about this. I feel ah.... now it is time now to go ahead and ah... follow the motion that I made earlier that the House recede from the House Amendment on Senate Bill 1290."

Arthur A. Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Gentleman has moved that the House recede from House Amendment to Senate 1290. All in favor of the gentleman's motion to recede, signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting



'no'. Have all voted who wish? Gentleman from Kane, Representative Hill to explain his vote."

Hill: "Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House, this is a Bill that has had now about seven or eight lines. It is a Bill that has been defeated many times in the past. It did pass the other day. I'd appreciate it very much if you would vote 'no' on this piece of legislation. This is a Bill that will allow the collectors to ...the treasurer of the county to collect money from the various school systems, the municipalities, all of the taxing bodies in the county. And I feel weel asured that if we pass this piece of legislation in here, in a year or so your going to find yourself in the predicament in increasing the levies for the shcool districts and all taxing bodies in order to take up the slack that this Bill will cause the various taxing bodies. I'd appreciate it if you would vote 'no'."

Arthur Telcser: "Gentleman from Rock Island, Representative Henss."

Henss: "Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen, this is a bad Bill. It's been bad from the beginning, it's unconstitutional. And I don't think it should be passed here in the last hours of the Session by people who are not sitting in their seats."

Arthur Telcser: "Gentleman from St.Clair, Representative Krause."

Krause: "Well, Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House, Representative Hill said the taxes were going to



go up for the school districts to pay for this Bill. Well, I think he's wrong. First of all, their already leveeing the tax for to pay this cost. This is a tax that every municipality in the state is leveeing. Next of all, if the counties don't get this money, your gonna find that the tax cycle is going to get longer and longer and your gonna find that all the districts are going to start issuing more and more anticipation warrents. So we're going to end up being penny wise and dollar foolish. We're going to end up spending the money with the banks, giving them the interest on the anticipation warrents because the counties can't collect the taxes. This is a Bill that is needed so that the school districts and the rest of the municipalities can get their money in. And let me remind you again that they are already leveeing to pay this."

Arthur Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Moore."

Moore: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House. Mr. Speaker, I rise in explaining my 'yes' vote. Principally for the small counties downstate. Many, many of these counties are leveeing the maximum amount that they are permitted to levee at this point in order to run their general corporate funds and functions of their counties. Now, when the 3% commission was abolished on October 1st of last year under our new constitution, this created a great financial lost to some 40 or 50 of our small counties downstate. Now we talk about the City of Chicago, and we talk about Cook County and the large counties in



the Metropolitan area, but there are other counties that need consideration, Mr. Speaker. I would appreciate Members on both sides of the aisle from the larger counties, to give a little break to those as far as the smaller counties downstate. You take in Cook County, there's something like.... it's costing us something like \$12,000,000, as I recall, to collect taxes up there. In Lake County, they lost something like \$3,000,000 which is half of the amount of their total budget. Now Mr. Speaker, this is a good Bill, and if we have any consideration for the smaller counties in addition to trying to help out the larger ones too, but I'm working..... I'm more concerned with the smaller ones than I am with the larger ones at this point, I would like to see 89 votes on that board. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Arthur Telcser: "Gentleman from Franklin, Representative Hart."

Hart: "Very briefly to explain my vote, I've got twelve County Boards in my district. Every County Board in the district has asked me, and Representative Choate, and Representative McCormick, 'What are you going to during this Session for us?' And I've asked the same question in our caucuses, and I suppose that other downstate Republicans are asked the same thing in their caucuses, and we've never gotten any answer. And we've never gotten any legislation. And as far as I've concerned, up until this point, we've not gotten any help. This is the opportunity for you to help the small counties in downstate Illinois if your ever going to



do it in 1972. I think this Bill is constitutional because it merely lets the county be reimbursed by the taxing districts for its expenses for collecting their taxes. I agreed with the Constitutional Convention, that the practice of one taxing district making money off of another taxing district, is abominable. But if we don't pass this Bill, we will continue the process because all of the taxing districts will be making money off of the county by using their facilities to collect their taxes. So I would urge an 'aye' vote on this. Many of the County Boards have in fact, enacted ordinances, which give them this authority. But those County Boards would like to be backed up by this State Legislature so that the taxing districts with whom they come in contact and from whom they receive complaints, they don't have to take it all on themselves at the local level. And if the legislature would give them this emphasis by inacting this statute, I think that they would all be appreciative of it and we could go home to our home counties and say that we did something for them, and least we tried."

Arthur Telcser: "Gentleman from Henderson, Representative Neff."

Neff: "Ah... Mr. Speaker, in explaining my vote, my 'yes' vote, I ah... just don't understand why we don't have more 'green' light up there. This is a Bill that is badly needed downstate and practically all of the counties downstate, are in serious finance of trouble, and this is one way we can give them a chance to get out of a little bit of this financial



trouble their faced And I'm real sorry, I can't understand any downstate legislator not voting for this, and I think the people in Chicago should remember that we've been helping them on many Bills here today and the last few days, and I certainly hope that they would give us some 'green' lights on this."

Arthur Telcser: "Gentleman from Johnson, Representative McCormick."

McCormick: "Ah... Mr. Speaker, ah... I know that this is a little bit out of line, but could I ask Representative Krause a question because he is a Sponsor of a Bill that has a great deal to do with this Bill?"

Arthur Telcser: "He indicates that he would answer."

McCormick: "Representative Krause, you know on House Bill 4285, that's the County Officers Salary."

Krause: "Right."

McCormick: "Is it true that we passed it out of the House without bothering the minimums? And then in the Senate they passed the minimums up, didn't they?"

Krause: "Right, \$3,000."

McCormick: "In other words, in a county like Johnson or Pope, instead of a minimum of \$8500 or 6500, it goes to a minimum of what \$85 or"

Krause: "I haven't got the Bill ah....."

McCormick: "What I'm getting at is ah.... the point is that it's just some \$2,000 or 3,000 a minimum."



Krause: "Yes, \$3,000."

Mc Cormick: "\$3,000, ladies and gentlemen, I realize that there is alot of people that can't see voting for this Bill for various reasons, but let me tell you one thing for sure, in Saline County Illinois, a county of some 30,000 some odd people, the States Attorney just two weeks ago or three, recommended that they close the Court House three days a week in order to save money. In all of the other counties in downstate, in the downtown downstate area, they can't even pay their County Officers after July 1st this year. And I know that you people in Cook County don't need it. I know that some of the people in the bigger ocunties don't need it. But if we have any kind of County Government, if we any kind of County Government operating in the very small counties downstate, some how we're gonna have to help them and this is the only way possible. I have voted for every Bill that you people have wanted over there nearly in the bigger counties and the rest of these people have to. And I think that you oughta give us this Bill. And if it's unconstitutional, so be it, it's not the first Bill that we've ever passed that's unconstitutional. And every county in my district, Union County losed \$80,000, Polk County losed \$130,000, \$180,000 in Williamson County and they can't maintain the services, and you say raise the taxes, they can't raise the taxes because they're already at the absolute maximum tax rate that they can extend by law unless they go to a referendum, and if they had



to go to a referendum, they'd have the devil attached and you know that you can't go from a \$.20 tax rate on a County General Fund to a \$.40 rate. Ladies and gentlemen, if you ever had any compassion on the people of downstate Illinois, for God's sake have it now and give me 89 votes."

Arthur Telcser: "Gentleman from McLean, Representative Hall."

Hall: "Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House, I have to rise just to say one thing to the people who are so interested in education. I think they are mis-advised in respect to what this Bill will do. For years, I sat in the County Treasurers Office and talked to school people who objected to the fact that McLean County sent excess money into the County Treasure from the imposition of a 3% tax. They didn't mind paying their fair share, they didn't want the excess. Now with the new constitution we have abolished all the fee entirely, ah... so they pay none of the fair share. I don't think this is right. Put the shoe on the other foot. Would the county expect to pay the school district for anything they wanted, surely they would and they do. They pay the school district for things that they get from the school district. I think that this is a fair and it certainly is needed. The people in Chicago that are afraid that this might cost a little bit of money, that they otherwise would not get, are being shortsided. You would gain goodwill for the school district, if you would permit just the apportionate part of the extention of taxes to be leveed to those taxing bodies that ah... have



that work done by the county. That's the only fair and right thing to do. I would hope that Representative Henss who so interested in the school district and the financing of the schools, would understand this. This is only a fair measure, it doesn't take anything from the school district, it takes only what it cost for the county to run that operation of extending and collecting the taxes. Now let's give a reasonable look to this and give it 89 votes, it's a good Bill."

Arthur Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Glass."

Glass: "Well, Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen, in explaining my vote, I would like to call the attention of all the Members to Section 9 ahh... paragraph A, of the constitution. Wherein it states that the expenses of units of local government shall not be paid from fees collected. It seems to me that that says that it oughta be paid from taxes, and not from fees. I ah... I don't know if there's another interpretation possible, apparently there is. That bothers me, but I think that there's another thing that bothers me even more. It is the Cook County, ladies and gentlemen, that is included in this Bill, therefore Cook County would be entitled under this Bill, to charge the school districts and units of local government fees for the collection of taxes. And I think most of us are familiar with the series run in the Chicago Tribune last January, disclosing how \$14,000,000 has been wasted in Cook County. And I think that most of us know that Cook County is a



home rule unit. And therefore has power to levee taxes ah... sufficient to take care of this particular charge. I say to the downstate Members, if home rule units were excluded from this Bill, I'd be more than happy to support you, but with home rule units in it, I think that it's a bad Bill and should not pass."

Arthur Telcser: "Gentleman from Macon, Representative Alsup."

Alsup: "Well, I've been a County Treasurer and having handled these funds, I know something about them. And what happens if there's 5% added to the levee of all the taxing districts, for loss in cost? Now when we eliminate the 3%, and we eliminate the personal property tax, there will be no loss in cost, and therefore in future Sessions, probably the very next Session, we're going to have to eliminate this 5%. And then we're going to have to raise the County Tax Rate. And that's probably the correct way of doing it. But in that process, the schools are going to have less money than if you charge them the actual cost of collecting their taxes, Because this will be much less than 5%. So if you want to help your schools vote for this Bill."

Arthur Telcser: "Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Mr. Speaker, and Members of the House, I've been here four years now, and during that four years I've had alot of crocodile tears for the taxpayers of the State of Illinois. What I'd like to do at this point is to add just a few of those tears, particularly for the taxpyers of the County of Peoria. Let me tell you about the County of Peoria."



Since the advent of the Income Tax, they have reduce their levee twice. They have alot of room to go on increasing taxes and if this Bill doesn't pass, that's precisely what's gonna happen. So for the taxpayers of Peoria County, this would be the ah... remedy that it needs, since it lost the fees, let's give them the Bill so that they don't have to raise and give the taxpayers a break, I vote..... I plea for an 'aye' vote."

Arthur Telcser: "Gentleman from Union, Representative Choate.

Allright, is there further discussion, if now then the gentleman from ah.. Knox, Representative McMasters to close."

McMaster: "Mr. Speaker, ah... I would ask for a Roll Call."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman has ask that the House recede from it's amendments to Senate Bill 1290. Have all voted who wish? Take the record: Representative Hill, for what purpose do your rise?"

Hill: "Mr. Speker, I request a varification of the Roll Call."

Arthur Telcser: "Ok, we stand at 92 'ayes', 15 'nays', and a varification has been requested. Representative Hart has requested that the absentees be pulled first. Representative Moore, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Moore: "Excuse me, your going to pull the absentees first, Mr. Speaker?"

Arthur Telcser: "Right. Will the Clerk please read the names of the absentees."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Arrigo, Bluthardt, Brandt, Brinkmeier, Capparelli, Capuzi, Carroll, Colitz, Conolly, Corbet,



Roscoe Cunningham, DiPrima, Douglas, Downes.....

Arthur Telcser: "Douglas, 'no'."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Epton, Ewell, Berry, Gibbs, Granata, Gene Hoffman, Houde, Jacobs, Janczak, Karmazyn, Katz, Klosak, Kosinski, Laurino....."

Arthur Telcser: "Kosinski, 'no'."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Lechowicz, Lenard, Leon, Lindberg, Londrigan, M. Madigan, Mann, Maragos, Matijevich, McDermott, McGah, McPhatlin, Merlo,....."

Arthur Telcser: "Merlo, 'no'."

Fredric B. Selcke: "G. O'Brien, O'Hallaren, Palmer, Pappas, Rayson, Ropa, Scariano, Schlickman, Schneider, Schoeberlein, Shea, Ike Sims, Smith, Stedelin, Stone, Taylor, Terzich, Jack Thompson, R. Thompson, Tipsword, Washburn, Welsh, Williams, Frank Wolf, Yourell, Zlatnik."

Arthur Telcser: "O'kay, the gentleman has requested a varification of the Affirmative Roll Call, will the Members please be in their seats. The Clerk will read the Affirmative Roll Call."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Alsup, Anderson, Barnes, Bartulis, Blades, Borchers, Boyle, Bradley, Brenne, Brummet, Burdit, Caldwell, Calvo, Campbell, Carrigan, Jimmie Carter, Richard Carter, Choate, Chabaugh, Otis Collins, Phil Collins, Cox, Craig, L. Cunningham, W. Cunningham, Davis, Day, Duff, Dyer, Fennessey, Fleck, Flinn, Friedland, Garmisa, Graham, Hall, Hamilton, Harpstrite, Hart, Hirschfeld, Ron Hoffman, Holloway, Hudson, Hunsicher, Hyde, Jones, Kahoun, Keller,



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Kennedy, Kipley, Kleine, Krause, Lauterbach, Lehman, Ed Madigan, Market, McAvoy, McCormick, McDevitt, McLendon, McMaster, Meyer, Kenny Miller, Peter Miller, Moore, Murphy, Neff, North, Nowlan, D. O'Brien, Philip, Randolph, Rose, Schlisler, Sevcik, Shapiro, Simmons, Timothy Simms, Soderstrom, Springer, Telcser, Tuerk, VonBoeckman, Waddell, Wall, R. Walsh, W. Walsh, Walters, G. Washington, H. Washington, J. J. Wolf, Mr. Speaker...."

Arthur Telcser: "Well, since there are no questions of the Affirmative Roll Call.....questions of the Affirmative Roll Call, Gentleman from Kane, Representative Hill."

Hill: "Boyle."

Arthur Telcser: "How is the gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Arthur Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Hill: "May I ask what the Roll Call was before I....."

Arthur Telcser: "92. is that correct, Mr. Clerk?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The Roll Call before the varification and after the poll of the absentees was 92 'ayes' and 18 'nays'."

Arthur Telcser: "O'kay, take Representative Boyle off the Roll Call."

Hill: "Burditt."

Arthur Telcser: "s the gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Arthur Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Hill: "J. Carter."

Arthur Telcser: "Is the gentleman recorded?"



Fredric B. Selcke: "Recorded as voting 'aye'."

Arthur Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Hill: "R. Carter."

Arthur Telcser: "How is he recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "Recorded as voting 'aye'."

Arthur Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Hill: "Bill Cunningham."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Recorded as voting 'aye'."

Arthur Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Hill: "Fleck."

Arthur Telcser: "How is the gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "Recorded as voting 'aye'."

Arthur Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Hill: "Flinn."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Recorded as voting 'aye'."

Arthur Telcser: "Is Representative Flinn on the floor? Take
him off the Roll Call."

Hill: "Holloway."

Arthur Telcser: "Is he recorded as voting 'aye'?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Arthur Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Hill: "John Henry Kléine."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Recorded as voting 'aye'."

Arthur Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Hill: "Ed Madigan."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Recorded as voting 'aye'."

Arthur Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."



Hill: "Murphy."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Recorded as voting 'aye'."

Arthur Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Hill: "D. O'Brien."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Recorded as voting 'aye'."

Arthur Telcser: "Is Representative O'Brien on the floor? Take
him off the Roll Call."

Hill: "Rose."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Recorded as voting 'aye'."

Arthur Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Hill: "Rosco Cunningham."

Arthur Telcser: "Is Representative Cunningham on the floor?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Hill: "G. Washington."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Recorded as voting 'aye'."

Arthur Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Hill: "McLendon."

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Arthur Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Hill: "Blades."

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Arthur Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Hill: "Brenne."

Arthur Telcser: "Is Representative Brenne on the floor?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Arthur Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Hill: "Randolph."



Fredric B. Selcke: "Randolph is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Arthur Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Hill: "Schisler."

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman if recorded as voting 'aye'."

Arthur Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Hill: "Walters."

Arthur Telcser: "Take Walters off if he's recorded."

Fredric B. Selcke: "He is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Arthur Telcser: "Ok, then take him off." Representative Brinkmeier, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Brinkmeier: "I'd like to be recorded as voting 'aye', please."

Arthur Telcser: "Record the gentleman as voting 'aye'."

Hill: "Lehman."

Arthur Telcser: "Is Representative Lehman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Arthur Telcser: "He's on the floor."

Hill: "Brummet."

Arthur Telcser: "Is Representative Brummet recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Arthur Telcser: "Take him the Roll Call."

Hill: "One moment, please." Waddell."

Arthur Telcser: "He's in his seat."

Hill: "Carrigan."

Arthur Telcser: "How is the gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Arthur Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Hill: "Bradley."



Arthur Telcser: "Is Representative Bradley on the floor. Yes, he is, right here in the aisle."

Hill: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Arthur Telcser: "Representative O'Hallaren for what purpose do you rise?"

O'Hallaren: "How am I recorded, Mr. Speaker?"

Arthur Telcser: "How is the gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as not voting."

O'Hallaren: "Record me 'aye'."

Arthur Telcser: "Record the gentleman as 'aye'." Representative Pierce, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Arthur Telcser: "How is the gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded 'no'."

Pierce: "Vote me 'aye', please."

Arthur Telcser: "Record the gentleman as voting 'aye'. Representative Terzich, for what purpose do you rise?"

Terzich: "Record me 'aye'."

Arthur Telcser: "Record Representative Terzich as voting 'aye'.

Are there further inquiries reletive to the Roll Call?

Representative Yourell, for what purpose do you rise?"

Yourell: "How am I recorded?"

Arthur Telcser: "How is the gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Yourell: "Record me as 'aye'."

Arthur Telcser: "Record the gentleman as voting 'aye'." Representative Merlo, for what purpose do you rise?"

Merlo: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS
 HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Arthur Telcser: "How is the gentleman reocorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Merlo: "Woul you change that to 'aye', please?"

Arthur Telcser: "Record the gentleman as voting 'aye'. On this question there are 76 'ayes', 16 'nays', Representative McMaster for what purpose do you rise?"

McMaster: "Mr. Speaker, I assume that if we have not voted to recede from the House Amendment, that we will go to a Conference Committee, is this correct?"

Arthur Telcser: "Yes, if the House refuses to recede, as it appears it is likely to do, ah.. a Conference Committee would then be appointed."

McMaster: "Then I would ask, Mr. Speaker, that a Conference Committee be appointed."

Arthur Telcser: "Well, that will come."

McMaster: "O'kay."

Arthur Telcser: "The House refuses to recede from the Amendment #2 and #3 to Senate Bill 1290. Conference Committee will be appointed. Representative Tipsword for what purpose do you rise?"

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker, I wonder if I might be heard on a protest in pursuant to Rule 77?"

Arthur Telcser: "Did you have someone to descent with you, sir?"

Tipsword: "I don't know whether I do or not, I doubt it."

Arthur Telcser: "Rule 77 reads any two Members shall have the liberty to descent from etc. etc. etc....."

Tipsword: "Thank you. What I would like to protest, Mr. Speaker,



if I may do so as respectfully as possible. There's been something accured twice on the floor of this House this evening in the passage of legislation, that I think is inimitable to the well being of the people of this state and especially to the Membership of this House. One of those things has to do with Senate Bill 1450, which was just passed before the last order of business. It was called without the Sponsor being called to explain the Bill, the vote being taken very rapidly and moved to completion of the vote, before you could even leaf through your digest to find out what it was. Senate Bill 1450 was a Bill that had twice been defeated on the floor of this House. Changing the time for filing the highway plan from a calendar year to a fiscal year. I recall earlier this evening that the call was made for Senate Bill 1361 in exactly the same fashion and that I protest and object to and think that we could have the oportunity to know what we're voting on when that goes up on the board. I know we're in a hurry, and I know that it's the last night of this House. And I think it is a very improper thing to do and I think that everyone should know what they're voting on."

Arthur Telcser: "Gentleman from Bureau, Representative Berry."

Berry: "On that Bill, may I change my vote from 'aye' to 'nay', I did make a honest mistake as I think will be obvious to several people who know I oppose the Bill. It will not change the results."



Arthur Telcser: "The gentleman wishes to be recorded as voting 'no' on Senat Bill 1450. This will not effect the outcome. Does the gentleman have leave. The Clerk will change your vote then, sir. Representative Hanahan, for what purpose do you rise?"

Hanahan: "A point of order, Mr. Speaker. Would it be too much to ask, in order to save alot of time on varifications, that the Speaker instruct, we know who isn't here, we're not kinding each other, just to instruct the Members to keep their hands off of anyone elses switch, or so help me we're just gonna ask for a varification Bill after Bill. I don't care if we're here until tomorrow, but please keep your hands off of anyone elses switch and we will save alot of time."

Arthur Telcser: "I think your point is well taken, sir. Represnetative McCormick, for what purpose do your rise, sir?"

McCormick: "Now Mr. Speaker, I may be a little bit out of order and that's all right too, we're late and everybody is tired. I just want to tell you this, that in some of the small counties down in my country, like Oak County for instance, where the total tax rate brings in \$20,000, where the Sheriff, a County Clerk, a Circuit Clerk, a Treasurer to pay at a minumum of \$8500, and with no other income except about \$700 a month from the State Income Tax and about \$300 or \$400 a month from Sales Tax comming in. There not gonna be able to provide services for the rest of the people and even if you double their tax rate you can't. I think



that, Mr. Speaker, that we've voting for other things that everybody else in the state wanted and I think from now on out through the rest of the evening that there should be a standing practice that we varify each and every Roll Call that is taken in this House, and I shall so ask that that be done."

Arthur Telcser: "Representative Simmons, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Simmons: "Parlimentary inquiry."

Arthur Telcser: "State your point, sir."

Simmons: "Could we get a ruling from the Chair as to the effect in this of Rule 6, which requires all order of business to be on the calendar? Including ah... Conference Committee Reports, and other measures that come before the House."

Arthur Telcser: "Representative Simmons, you wish to have a ruling right sir?" Rule 6 ah... quite obviously states that the Clerk under the direction of the Speaker shall publish a daily calendar etc. It's quite obvious that business which is now ah.. being transacted during a course a day, such as today, ah.... bounces up and back from the House and the Senate therefore a daily calendar, as stated in Rule 6 could not, in all practicality be printed and therefore the Speaker has not directed the Clerk to print this type of calendar to which you elude and would therefore move....."

Simmons: "Well, I believe yesterday or the day before we did have a sublemental calendar, with concurrences and etc..."



on it, and we haven't had it today. We have no idea how much more work is involved and what we have to cope with and I think in fairness to the membership we should have such a list."

Arthur Telcser: "On the order of conference slate of reports appears Senate Bill 1329 for what purpose the Gentlemen from Christian, Representative Tipword is recognized."

Representative Tipword: "Mr. Speaker, I have spoken with Mr. Parliamentarian who has spoken with your Clerk, I would therefore, now move that we adopt Conference Committee Report of the Second Conference Committee on...Senate Bill 1329. This Conference Committee Report ...a...is one in which the Senate it is recommended that the Senate receive from it an amendment, that the House receive from its amendment; that it arrives at a compromise figure of \$350,000 for the new Illinois program of the Business and Economic Department."

Arthur Telcser: "Is there any discussion?" Gentlemen from Winnebago, Representative Giorgi."

Representative Giorgi: "Just one short comment, Rolly, this Bill also uses the...a... revenues derived from gambling advertised to the world that the products in Illinois that are ready for export and import or whatever you might have."

Arthur Telcser: "Is there further discussion." The Gentlemen has moved the House adopt Conference Committee Report number 2, relative to Senate Bill 1329. All favoring



adoption, signify by voting Aye, if you oppose, by voting No. The Gentlemen from McHenry, Representative Hanahan."

Representative Hanahan: "I respectfully request that people keep their hands off other switches, I suggest that it be done on this Bill as other Bills."

Arthur Telcser: "Record Representative Bartulis as voting Aye. Have all voted who wish? Voted Collins Aye. Did you record Bartulis as voting Aye? Representative Hanahan, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Representative Hanahan: "I have no objection to the Bill, I'm gonna vote Aye, I'd like to be recorded Aye, but I'd like to inform the members that I'm tired of sittin here earning my salary while a bunch of double-dippers aren't here tonight and I only want those that are here votin on the Bills."

Arthur Telcser: Okay, have all voted who wish? Take the record. Representative Hanahan, can I ask the Clerk to record you as voting Aye please?"

Representative Hanahan: "Please."

Arthur Telcser: "Record Representative Hanahan as voting Aye. Hanahan. Bartulis. and Otis Collins. On this question there are 93 Ayes, one Nay, and the House adopts Conference Committee Report No. 2, relative to Senate Bill 1329.

Representative Krause, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Representative Krause: "Well, Mr. Speaker, that Bill just received more than 89 votes, I saw several lights on that board that didn't belong on that board. Now I'm not going to ask for a verification of the roll call, but I'm going



to make the same request that Representative Hanahan made. Keep your hands off everybody else's switches! Vote your own and nobody else's!"

Arthur Telcser: "Representative Hanahan, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Representative Hanahan: "Would I be out of order requesting that the door keeper lock the switches of those that are not here?"

Arthur Telcser: "I don't know if they all have keys."

Representative Hanahan: "I suggest we have keys on our switches and lock them and if nobody wants to vote that is there prerogative as an elected member to this General Assembly. I'm tired of earning my dough why other people are home sleepin or doin whatever they want to do. I'm here, I'm willing to vote on my conscience, and I think people should keep their hands off these switches all over the front here and on that side of the aisle and voting on issues and goin back home sayin how great it was for them to vote on issues while we're here workin."

Arthur Telcser: "Messages from the Senate."

Fred Selcke: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directly informed the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House on the House Amendments Nos. 3, 4, and 5 to Bill of the following title, Senate Bill 1361 concurred in by the Senate June 30, 1972. Kenneth Wright, Secretary." No further messages."



Arthur Telcser: "Conference Committee members relative to Senate Bill 1290. Representatives Moore, McMaster, McCormick, Krause, and Calvo."

Fred Selcke: "Got that entered down, Jack, on your book."

Arthur Telcser: "Senate Bill 1290. On the order of Conference Committee Reports, Senate Bill 1566. For what purpose the Gentlemen from Cook, Representative Regner, is recognized." I'm sorry, on Conference Committee Reports, appears Senate Bill 1560 for which purpose, the Gentlemen from Cook, Representative Regner is recognized."

Representative Regner: Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Conference Committee Report suggests that we recommend that the House receipt from House amendment No. 2, this is Bill that involves the retirement, social security, and so forth, for the state officers' salaries and I would move that the House do concur in Conference Committee Report No. 1 on Senate Bill 1560.

Arthur Telcser: "No. 2."

Representative Regner: "No. 2?"

Arthur Telcser: "Yeah." Is there any discussion? Gentlemen, it is moved that the House adopt Conference Committee Report No. 2 relative to Senate Bill 1560. All in favor signify by voting Aye, all oppose, by voting No. Representative Hanahan, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Representative Hanahan: "Very reluctantly, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. Sometimes I get very made about things. I'm going to vote Aye on the state officers' salary act,



but I'm going to tell you. There's going to be a bill here yet tonight coming in that an agreement hasn't been lived up on. And I hope all the Leadership hears it. But in that bill is striking certain things. And until that bill is brought back to a conference committee I don't care if we stay here until Wednesday. But there's something wrong here tonight. I'm going to give its vote. But I hope only those Members who are present right now vote for this bill. And if it don't get 89 I don't care if we come back tomorrow or next week. This is State Officer's salary act. We're rescendant from my amendment. Under certain agreements. And the agreements aren't being lived up to yet."

A. Telcser: "Have all voted who wished? Gentleman from Cook, Representative Simmons."

Simmons: "Can you tell me who the House sponsor of this bill is? And if he will yield to a question?"

A. Telcser: "He indicates he will."

Regner: "The House sponsor is fishing in Wisconsin, but I'll attempt to answer your question."

Simmons: "Would you try to tell me what this bill does now in its present state?"

Regner: "Ah.. this.. this bill is the one which handles the retirement, the social security and all the other fringe benefits for the state officers, Art."

A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Meyer."

Meyer: "Mr. Speaker, again, I'm going to apologize for taking



the time of the House. But if the House sponsor is going to take some time off, I think I'm going to take some time off and please cast me as present."

A. Telcser: "Have all voted who wished? Take the record. Peter Miller wishes to be recorded as voting 'aye'. Gentleman from Cook, Representative Regner."

Regner: "Mr. Speaker, I want to request a poll of the absentees."

A. Telcser: "Gentleman has requested a poll of the absentees. Would the Clerk please read the names of the absentees."

F. B. Selcke: "Arrigo. Blades. Bluthardt. Borchers. Boyle. Brandt. Brenne. Brummet. Burditt. Capuzi. Carrigan. Jimmy Carter. Richard Carter. Colitz. Conoly. Corbett. R. Cunningham. W. Cunningham. DiPrima. Downs. Epton. Ewell. Fleck. Flynn. Friedland. Gibbs."

A. Telcser: "Wait. Who wants to vote 'aye'? I'm sorry. Friedland, 'aye'. Borchers, 'aye'. Hudson, 'aye'. Ewell, 'aye'."

F. B. Selcke: "Wait a minute."

A. Telcser: "Harber Hall, 'aye'. Waddell, 'aye'. Lauterbach, 'aye'."

F. B. Selcke: "He's already.. Gentleman's already voted."

A. Telcser: "Okay. Neff, 'aye'. On this question there are 91 'ayes', three 'nays', one answering 'present'. And the House adopts Conference Committee Report #2 to Senate Bill 1560. On the Order of Conference Committee Reports appears Senate Bill 1566 for which purpose the Gentleman from



Cook, Representative Regner is recognized."

Regner: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, ah.. this Conference Committee Report asks that the House rescede from House Amendment #1 which is the amendment that we put on the State Salary Officer's ah.. bill which reduces the State Officer's Salaries to six months appropriation. And also it provided that the Chairman of the Parole Board be increased by \$2500. Ah.. the members of the ah.. ah.. State Parole Board, that's all the members be increased by \$32,500. The Court of Claims, judges be increased from \$27,000 to \$48,000. And for the party leaders and the ah.. House and Senate be increased from \$79,000 to \$84,000. And I'm asking for a favorable vote on this Conference ah.. Committee Report on Senate Bill 1566."

A. Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has moved that House adopt House.. Gentleman from Kane, Representative Waddell."

Waddell: "Question, please."

A. Telcser: "Gentleman indicates he'll yield."

Waddell: "What's the item here on the Court of Claims?"

Regner: "Ah.. we passed the salary increase from 9 to \$16,000 for the ah.. judges of the Court of Claims, Bruce. And this is merely the appropriation part of it."

A. Telcser: "Is there further discussion? Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Philip."

Philip: "Will the sponsor yield for a question?"



A. Telcser: "He indicates he will."

Philip: "Did I hear that the salary of the Parole and Pardon Board was what, \$32,500 a year?"

Regner: "Well, you're pretty close, Pate but you're off by \$5,000. It went from \$25,000 to \$27,500 for the Chairman of the Parole Board."

Philip: "What are the Members?"

Regner: "It's a total for the Board Members, it went from \$160,000 to \$192,500 for all the Members."

A. Telcser: "Is there further discussion? Gentleman has moved the House adopt Conference Committee Report Number 2, relative to Senate Bill 1566. All in favor of adoption, signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Record Representative Bartulis as voting 'aye'. Representative Hanahan, for what purpose to you rise, Sir?"

Hanahan: "To explain my vote, Sir, and then make a parliamentary inquiry on the Rule 77. I'm going to vote 'aye' because I have the responsibility right now of getting a State Officers Salary Act passed in this House. I don't want anybody to vote 'no' on this particularly so that we have a Special Session, but I do respectfully request the Members that are not here not to be voted. Now under Rule 77, I believe, any two members can respectfully dissent in language proper fitting, to ask the Speaker to journalize those members of this House that are not here because I think in not voting on this Bill, we do a disservice to the People of the State of Illinois in voting on State Officers



salaries and having those Members who are absent drawing their pay, drawing the \$17,500 that they all voted for in order to do a day's work for a day's pay, and skip out of here and go to Europe or Wisconsin or where have you and I think that their names should be journalized very shortly Sir, and I'm requesting that respectfully that the names of the absent members be journalized and I hope I have another member of this General Assembly to join with me on that."

A. Telcser: "Representative Meyer, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Meyer: "Please record me as 'present', Mr. Speaker."

A. Telcser: "Record the gentleman as voting 'present'. Representative..... Gentleman from Cook, Representative Regner."

Regner: "Mr. Speaker, just in response ah.... to the gentleman from McHenry, Representative Hanahan, I do have a Resolution that does it quite another way than what he just suggested; that is, commending the people that are still here for the last roll call. It is a Resolution. I'm introducing it right now."

A. Telcser: "Representative Hanahan, for what purpose do you rise?"

Regner: "You're a co-sponsor, Tom."

Hanahan: "The point of personal privilege that I would like to be a co-sponsor, but I want to point out to the Members of the press, you're sitting up in the front today. There was a resolution that you were going to sit in the back."



I hope that while you've got your pens in your hands, you take note of who isn't here, and I would like to see on the front page of the Chicago Tribune and the front page of the Chicago Daily News and Times, Today, Copley and all the other newspapers, the names of the Members of this House that aren't here voting and earning their pay that they voted for last year."

A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Tommy, are you kidding?"

A. Telcser: "Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Philip."

Philip: "I want to be recorded as 'present'."

A. Telcser: "Record Representative Philip as 'present'. Gentleman from Christian, Representative Tipsword."

Tipsword: "I just wondered if I could be recorded as here?"

A. Telcser: "You sure can Sir. Gentleman from Cook, Representative Douglas."

Douglas: "Mr. Speaker, please record me as 'present', and then may I go to the bathroom?"

A. Telcser: "No, you may not. No you may not. Record the gentleman as voting 'present'. Representative Peter Miller, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

P. Miller: "To explain my vote, Mr. Speaker."

A. Telcser: "Proceed, Sir."

P. Miller: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I don't think this is anyway to get the job done and I think you're taking it out on the wrong people when you abstain from voting for elected officers, officials of the of our



administration and our government. I believe we have a duty here as responsible legislators. We should not be talking about who isn't here. I'm as provoked about somebody being in Europe as you are, but there may be.... there may be some good reasons why some of the fellows had to leave, but I think you're crying over spilt milk. I think the ones who are here are not exercising their privilege by casting a vote. I think we should get on the Bill. There's some other Bills we could take it out on. Let's not do it on the elected state officers, so I hope that when we leave here, we'll all eradicate the feelings that we have. I know you're not suppose to get provoked. You're suppose to get even, but I don't think you should get even on this Bill."

A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "I'm wondering if the Sponsor would yield for a question?"

A. Telcser: "He indicates he will."

Shea: "Dave, as I understand this Bill, we are appropriating the money for the State officers salary that we've already fixed by law. Is that correct?"

Regner: "Correct."

Shea: "In other words, all the salaries in here, we in this General Assembly have fixed by law, and this is just the money to pay the people the salaries we fixed?"

Regner: "That's correct."

Shea: "Thank you."



A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Simmons."

Simmons: "Mr. Speaker, I may be wrong but I believe this is for the fiscal year starting July 1 and ending up next ah... June 30th. And those of you who are here and not voting or those voting 'no', it looks to me like we'll be back here in Special Session very shortly, so if you ever want to get out of this rat trap, I think you better get on the stick and vote for it."

A. Telcser: "Have all voted who wished? Take the record." On this question, there are 92 'ayes'. Record Representative Hart as voting 'present'. Representative Hanahan, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Hanahan: "You announce the roll call yet, Sir?"

A. Telcser: "Not yet."

Hanahan: "Go ahead, I want to make a motion after the roll call, ruling on my point of order."

A. Telcser: "On this question, there are 92 'ayes', 2 'nays' and the House adopts conference committee report. How many present? Four answering 'present', and the House adopts Conference Committee Report No. 2, relative to Senate Bill 1566."

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker."

A. Telcser: "Proceed, Sir."

Hanahan: "I raise the point of order, maybe not in the proper procedure, earlier in that roll call; I didn't want to upset anything, but at this time now, I respectfully request and I believe there are other hands that respectfully



request the dissent in good language, however you want to put it to journalize those who are present and I request an oral roll call of the Members of this House who are present to go on and either ask for a quorum call or however you want to call it, but I would like a journalized statement of those Members who are present and voting here tonight." I would like a Ruling on Rule 77, concerning it."

A. Telcser: "Representative Hanahan, I would assume you are joined by another Member? Representative Yourell, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Yourell: "If I recall correctly, Mr. Speaker, I made this same request about three hours ago, and you said I was out of order."

A. Telcser: "I recall, Representative Yourell, ah.... the Chair misinterpreted your request. Ah.... the Rule number was not cited, however Representative Hanahan has made his request, I think, quite specific."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All right, ah.... what the gentleman's asking for is ah... that we have a quorum roll call. Now he's not asking for that under Rule 77, because that's where he respectfully dissent from actions, and ah.... we'll have a quorum roll call now, and ah.... is that what the gentleman's asking for? Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker, what I'm really requesting is an oral roll call. Not a switch. I've been having trouble verifying switches all over the place. I would like each member to stand up and signify that he's here so that the Journal



will show that he is present at this hour, quarter of twelve, on this date."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Well, there's not a provision for an oral roll call, and ah.... I think the simplest thing to do would be to take a quorum roll call, and then if you wanted to ask for a verification on the quorum roll call, we would do that. That way, you would be able to check it. All right, Mr. Wolf.... Ah.... gentleman from Cook, Mr. B. B. Wolfe."

B. B. Wolfe: "Thank you. Under Rule 72, the ah.... one of the conditions of the quorum call is that on a Bill, a Resolution or a Motion shall disclose that there is not a quorum present and so far every roll call has indicated a quorum present. Now when the first bit of business appears on the floor of this House, in which the roll calls indicate that there is not a quorum present, then you can go to a motion to produce a quorum by compelling the attendance of absent members, fix the time to which to adjourn or recess, or for a call of the House. At that time, I think the gentleman's motion would be order."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Well, he's asking for a call, Sir."

B. B. Wolfe: "Yes, but the last roll call was 91 to....."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "No...No...No....A call of the House is a quorum call. That has no relation to ah.... a Bill or a Resolution. A call of the House is a request for a quorum roll call."



B. B. Wolfe: "The Rules state....."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "I know what the Rules state, and I'm saying that the Rule is that he can ask for a call of the House, and he can have that and we'll have it now, and he then can verify that so that he gets a record as to who's here and who's not here. That's all the gentleman's asking, so we'll now have..... Yep, Mr. Hanahan, what do you want?"

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker, the presiding officer, I request respectfully the Members be in their seat and the aisles be cleared of all the shortstops that are running around this place, so that we could see who is here and who isn't here, and when I verify, I don't have to go through the whole list. I would just respectfully request, Mr. Speaker, to add to the decorum of this House, by getting rid of all of the other personnel that are in the aisles and in the seats, so that we get an actual roll call, and won't take the time verifying."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All right, the first thing we will do will be to take the quorum roll call. All those people that all those Members that are present will vote 'aye'. Now, have all those who are present, voted? All those persons who are.... all those members who are present, are they on the call? All right, take Conolly and Kleine off back there. We know they are not here. Take Kleine off. All right, ah.... the Clerk will take the Record. All right, now the call shows that there are 97, 99 actually, two that are in red are recorded. Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Lauterbach."



Lauterbach: "Mr. Speaker, there are some Members in Conference Committee, such as Mr. McMaster, for one."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Well, let's get those reds straightened out. Ah.... Gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Clabaugh."

Clabaugh: "I know that Genoa Washington is ill. He was in for a few minutes this morning, and he left, and I think note ought to be made of this."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Well, ah.... this is not a present roll call for today's session. It's simply a quorum roll call of the House at this time. And ah.... now the gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Hanahan, is going to ask, I presume, for a verification."

Hanahan: "Right, Mr. Speaker, ah.... respectfully I would like that roll call journalized at this hour, 10 or 11:50 on this date into the Journal."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All right, you mean you're not going to ah.... ah.... ask for a verification. Right? O'kay. Ah... now there are some errors, I'm sure, on this. For example, Choate is shown as 'no' and that should be 'yes'. McMasters is shown as 'no'. Is he in a conference committee? Is that verified? I know we just appointed one, in connection with 1290. All right. O'kay. Yes, gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Hanahan."

Hanahan: "I have no objection if another Member shows up because he's on a Conference Committee, they add his name to the Journal. I'm I'm not trying to embarrass anybody that's here. I'm trying to prove the point that those



aren't here. There are less than a hundred members on the Floor here trying to pass Bills that take 89 votes."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Right, and I think ah.... that we're accomplishing that through this action. Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Meyer."

Meyer: "Ah.... Mr. Speaker, I only caution the Clerk who is sometimes known to have a fast pencil, to ah.... make sure that.... that ah.... that those who aren't here didn't show up on that roll call, and who in fact are not on the premises are not recorded here."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Well, if there is some question about that, then I would suggest that you request right now a verification of the roll, and that will be entered in the Journal. All right?"

Meyer: "Well, I have one question as to Mr. Conolly. Is he off the roll call now? Yeow, but he was recorded on the roll call."

F. B. Selcke: "No.... No.... Not voting."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All right, does the gentleman ah... have leave to have this roll placed in the Journal? O'okay. Gentleman from ah..... Cook, Mr. Graham."

Graham: "Mr. Speaker, I think consideration could be made.... should be given to Members who are ill. Now Mr. Washington was here all week against the advice of his physician, but he came here instead of the hotel and told me he was doing so in case he was needed for a vote, all we had to do was phone him and he would take a cab and come down here. He



only left this evening. The man is ill and very ill and unable to be here. He's as loyal as anybody on this Floor including the gentleman over there."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from ah.... Cook, ah.... Mr. Sevcik."

Sevcik: "Ah.... Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, will the Journal show that Genoa Washington was excused because of illness, and also that Representative Klosak is being excused because of illness. And Representative Gale Williams."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All those gentlemen will be shown that they are ill, and for that reason, ah.... unable to be here on this quorum call. Gentleman from ah.... Cook, Mr. ah.... Yourell."

Yourell: "Likewise, Mr. Speaker, will the Journal show that Representative McDermott is not here at this hour because of an excuse at the attendance this morning and also Harold Katz, "because of his father's illness."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Douglas."

Douglas: "Mr. Speaker, can the roll call show that Representative Arrigo departed not feeling well earlier in the evening, and it was quite clear that it was for matters of health that he had to leave."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The Journal will indicate that the gentleman's remarks.... The Gentleman from Effingham, Mr. Keller."

Keller: "Mr. Speaker, I wonder if the newest member of this House is on the roll call, Representative Bill McGrath?"



Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All right, ah.... the Conference Committee Report with regard to ah.... Senate Bill 1604.

Representative Campbell, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Campbell: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I just wonder if I just might not be excused because of illness, because I'm getting pretty damn sick of all this myself?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Representative Kennedy, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Kennedy: "Well, Mr. Speaker, parliamentary inquiry?"

A. Telcser: "State your point, Sir."

Kennedy: "I'm in full agreement with Representative Hanahan's call of a quorum, but I can remember some time back, and I suppose that Charlie Clabaugh, Reverend Davis and Clyde Choate and Pete Miller can remember along with me, when Representative Ken Shapiro use question a quorum. Now if we stop the clock, I'm only laymen, but you are going to present yourself with a serious constitutional question on Bills we pass after midnight unless they pass with 3/5ths vote, as I understand the Constitution, 1970. So I think some of you gentleman who possess more academic knowledge than I even claim to possess, better give that a serious thought."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Representative Miller, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Miller: "Well, ah... Mr. Speaker, for 22 years, I can remember so many sessions where we stopped the clock and the Courts



never ruled against the will of the Legislature, and I think that we should finish our business rather than coming back next week. Let's get at it and get it done."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Representative Kennedy, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Kennedy: "If you Journalize, Mr. Speaker, a quorum call at 11:50 tonight, and any constitution lawyer if he's testing the constitutionality of a Bill, if he doesn't know that, he's not fit to be called a lawyer."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Messages from the Senate."

F. B. Selcke: "Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary.

Mr. Speaker - I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has reconsidered its previous action and concurred with the House of Representatives in the adoption of House Amendment No. 3 to a Bill with the following title: Senate Bill No. 1604. Action taken by the Senate, June 30, 1972. Kenneth Wright, Secretary."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "For what purpose does the gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Hanahan, rise?"

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker, point of order. Mr. Speaker, if the Senate reconsiders a vote by which they send a Bill to a Conference Committee, what action does the House have to take? Do we have to accept it or reject it?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Ah... prior ah... decisions that the Chair's received in the Reference Bureau with regard to this type question, is, that if at any time, regardless of conference committees that have come along, ah.... that



if the Senate ah.... as in this case, ah.... by a vote over there ah.... agreed to ah... concur in House Amendment 2 a Senate Bill, that ah.... that is sufficient for the Bill to pass and for the Bill to be sent down to the Governor for consideration. Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Hanahan."

Hanahan: "So then in effect, Mr. Speaker, the Senate now has adopted the House version of Senate Bill and has ratified it. Is that what I take? I mean of 1604. Excuse me. Senate Bill 1604."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "That is the purport of the message. The ah.... Senate has concurred in the House Amendments."

Hanahan: "And no action is necessary in the House, Sir?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "No, that is.... I've had that ruling given to ah... me, yes."

Hanahan: "Well, because no action is taken, Mr. Speaker, I would like to respectfully dissent, under Rule 77, that proper proceedings were not followed in a Conference Committee Report to the House of Representatives, that the Report is placed here on the desk of these Members of a purported way of handling a Bill, and to further emphasize this Bill never went through an appropriations committee on either the House or the Senate. And I would like to mention, Mr. Speaker, that you, Minority Leader, the Chairman of the House Appropriations Committee and I and Ted Lechowicz as co-spokesman, Minority spokesman on the Appropriations Committee, had some agreements, and one of which was that appropriation bills would go through the House of



Representatives Appropriations Committee. This was in violation of that agreement, and I respectfully request, if another Member would join with me, that the validity of this Bill be constitutionally clifted in the manner as prescribed in the Constitution and the Rules of this House were not followed in some sort of deal that was made to pass this Bill out with less than any time remaining on the House, because my watch says, and I just got a cheap little old Timex, that says ten after nine. I believe the Members of the press know what time it is. I suggest also the Members of the Senate did not have a quorum, and I suggest that the Rules have not been followed in the handling of a Conference Committee Report and I suggest that this be respectfully dissented on the records."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Well, you're certainly entitled if you are joined by one other Member to file ah.... a written dissent, joined by one other Member. Gentleman from Cook, Mr. J. J. Wolf, will join with you ah.... in your dissent. I would just like for it to be indicated that ah... no House.... no further House action was required with regard to this Bill, ah.... prior to the hour of ah... 12 midnight on June 30; that final action was taken, the Senate action, which I am advised ah... occurred prior to ah... 12:00 midnight on June 30, 1972, by their agreeing to concur in the House Amendment to this Senate Bill. All right, the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Peter Miller, for what purpose do you rise?"



Miller: "Mr. Speaker, I believe it's the add-on provision. Is that correct? The other part of the ominous bill was o'kay'ed by the Senate. I believe it says add-on, that we're discussing, is that right?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "No. No, we're not discussing that at all. Gentleman ah.... from ah..... Cook, Mr. Davis, for what purpose do you rise?"

Davis: "Did I understand the gentleman to say that he questioned the quorum of the Senate?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "That's right."

Davis: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I most respectfully inform him that he's out of order. He has no right to question the quorum of the House of Representatives in the Washington, D.C."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "I think your point is well taken: All right, the Agreed Resolutions."

F. B. Selcke: "House Resolution 791. Keller. What happened to the clips? House Resolution..... O'kay. House Resolution 792. Brochers et al. House Resolution 793. Epton. House Resolution 794. Rose. House Resolution 795. Houlihan et al. House Resolution 796. Lechowicz et al. House Resolution. 797. Hyde et al. House Resolution 798 Blair et al. House Resolution 799. Hyde et al. House Resolution 800. Phil Collins et al. House Resolution 801. Choate et al. DiPrima and Choate. House Resolution 802. Harpstrite. House Resolution 803. Choate et al. House Resolution 804. Choate et al. House Resolution 805. Shea et al. House Resolution 807. Douglas et al.



House Resolution 808. Gibbs et al. House Resolution 810. Hyde et al. House Resolution 811. Hyde et al. House Resolution 812. Hyde et al. House Resolution 815. Burditt et al. House Resolution 816. Blair et al. House Resolution 817. Lindberg et al. House Resolution 818. Regner et al."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "For what purpose does the gentleman from Dupage, Mr. Philip, rise?"

Philip: "Mr. Speaker, I just have a question. I have a Conference Committee Report on my desk here for Senate Bill 1604, and it has an appropriation for the Kennedy King College, which is a total of two million, two hundred thousand. It's got something like security equipment, seventy-five thousand, maybe they have to buy guns and bullets, I don't know....."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Well that matter..... What's your question?"

Philip: "My question is, is this two million four-hundred thousand dollars included in that appropriation that the Senate just passed?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The Senate didn't do anything but concur in the House of Representatives's Amendment to this Bill."

Philip: "In other words, you are telling me that the two million four-hundred thousand dollars is included?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "No, I'm not telling you that at all. I said the Senate concurred in the House Amendment to this Bill when it went over to the Senate."



Philip: "I'm asking that same question again. Is the two million, four-hundred thousand dollar...."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "That's a substance question, not a procedural question. I'm just saying that the Senate sent us a message that they concurred in the House Amendment to this Senate Bill, and that was final action. Now what the substance is, ah.... I would suggest that you get a copy of the ah.... House Bill as it went to the ah... Senate, with the Senate Bill with the House Amendments, as it went over to the Senate."

Philip: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I have heard.... the rumor is that two million four-hundred thousand dollars has been included."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Bob, we're not dealing in rumors now. If you've got a procedural question, I'll be happy to answer it. Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Simmons."

Simmons: "Mr. Speaker, on this same Bill, ah.... I understood the message from the Senate that they concurred in Amendments 1, 2 and 3. Now I can only find records of Amendments No. 1 and 2 and I wonder, if indeed, there is a number 3, and if so, why can't we get a copy of it. We don't have it down in the Bill room."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Regner."

Regner: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, ah.... This was our amendment. Sometime this afternoon, I don't remember exactly what time, the way I understand it is that all the message says is that the Senate does concur in our Amendment."



Hon. W. Robert Blair: "That's right, and the Message from the Senate on a matter of this kind is strictly informative and has nothing to do with regard to the ah... Senate action that was actually taken as far as the validity of that action. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Simmons."

Simmons: "Well, now, evidently there is an Amendment No. 3, because in this ah... committee report, that we didn't act upon, it says here the House recommends that the House recede from House Amendment No. 3."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "O'okay, what I'm saying, is whatever the Senate action was, the Senate Journal will show, and whatever their message is they sent back over here, has no bearing on the validity of what that Senate Action was."

Simmons: "Mr. Speaker, can I find out whether or not there is in the House, an Amendment No. 3, and if so, can I have a copy of that?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "We'd be glad to do both of those things for you. Gentleman from ah.... Cook, Mr. Richard Walsh."

R. A. Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker, ah.... I have in my hand a Conference Committee Report on House Bill 16, or Senate Bill 1604, and it purports to bear the signature of the President Pro Tempore, yourself and other members, apparently appointed...."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "What's your point?"

R. A. Walsh: "My point is, if we have a Conference Committee Report...."



Hon. W. Robert Blair: "It's never been called for adoption in this House."

R. A. Walsh: "Well, it was on the Board just a minute ago."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "I just said it's never been called for adoption. It was taken out of the record at the request of the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea. There has been no House action with regard to that report."

R. A. Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker, once the Conference Committee has been appointed, and the report issued, action has to be taken on it."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "That's not true at all. If it's not called by the Chair, no action is taken, the matter ah... does not get acted upon."

R. A. Walsh: "Well..... Well, Well, Mr. Speaker, it would seem to me that we have to act...."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "No, we don't."

R. A. Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I would move that the next Order of business, that we take up consideration of the Conference Committee....."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "That request is out of order. Gentleman from ah.... McLean, Mr. Hall."

Hall: "Mr. Speaker, I now move that all Resolutions, House Bills and Senate Bills on the calendar be tabled."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Well, now, if you would withhold that until Mr. Hyde gets through adopting these Agreed Resolutions and so forth. What's your point of order, Mr. Yourell?"



Yourell: "Would the Chair advise myself and the rest of the Members of this House the exact time, because I think we are out of order conducting any business, because if I read that clock right...."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "There's nothing, it says that this Body has to stop conducting business. The only thing that that twelve o'clock ah.... on June 30th means is that ah.... that there is a larger vote required if the Bills are to become effective at this time. Otherwise, ah.... if they receive a simple constitutional majority, they would not be effective until July 1 of 1973. Ah.... so, if you want this thing to be passed now and become effective, it would require a 3/5ths vote. There's nothing that says we have to shut down the operation of the House now. For what purpose does the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Juckett rise?"

Juckett: "Mr. Speaker, several hours ago, I requested the House Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1604. This...."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "From whom?"

Juckett: "The Chief Page on the Republican side and from the Clerk's Office."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "O'kay."

Juckett: "It was reported to me that the House Report was not available. I then sent the Page to the Senate, where the Senate report had been long available, and under the circumstances, I charge the Speaker of this House with obstruction of the business of this House and a deliberate



attempt to evade and avoid....."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "You're out of order, and cut off his mike. Now the agreed resolutions, Mr. ah..... Agreed Resolutions. Go ahead, Mr. Hyde."

Hyde: "Well, Mr. Speaker, the Agreed Resolutions....."

Juckett: "Mr. Speaker, why am I being ruled out of order?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Because you're entitled to that when you're speaking on points of order, and your mike's off now, and you're not recognized. We're on the order of Agreed Resolutions. Proceed."

Juckett: "Mr. Speaker, a point of personal privilege."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Proceed on Agreed Resolutions."

Juckett: "Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker."

Hyde: "I'm in a very awkward situation, ah.... Mr. Juckett, would you wait until I finish with these, and and.... then, fine. These are kind of happy resolutions, some of them, and I O'kay. House Resolution 791 urges the City of Springfield to insure the safety of the pedestrians crossing between the State House and the State Office Building. House Resolution 793 extends the time for filing the report of the Committee on Minority groups and employment in State government until January 1, 1973. House Resolution 794 congratulates Mr. and Mrs. William Vinson of Virden, Illinois for their excellent work for the Republican party. House Resolution 795 commends the boys and coaches who represent Illinois and all the United States in the First Pan American Infantile Wrestling tournament. House Resolution 796 con-



gratulates Captain Sam Kusta of the Chicago Fire Department for extraordinary bravery. House Resolution 797 congratulates Reverend John F. Johnson, for leading us in prayer as the Chaplain of the Illinois House of Representatives, and I wish he was here tonight. House Resolution 798 a..... I will take the time to read if I may. A few of these do deserve to be read, Mr. Speaker.... Ah.... 'Whereas the Honorable Clyde Choate has served as the Minority Leader, the Honorable Gerald W. Shea and the Honorable Corneal Davis have served as the Assistant Minority Leaders, the Honorable Robert Craig and the Honorable Dan Pierce have served as Minority Whip of the House of Representatives during the 77th General Assembly, and these men as leaders of the Democratic Party and Members of this Body have admirably served their Party and its principles. And Whereas this Leadership has helped reconcile diversified points of view during a time when cooperation and compromise have been necessary to the progress of this General Assembly; Therefore, be it resolved by the House of Representatives that we congratulate these men on the high standard of leadership exhibited by them. We thank them on behalf of the People of Illinois for the contributions made by them to the welfare of the State'. House Resolution 799, ah..... I didn't wait for the applause on that, but that's all right. House Resolution 799, is a congratulatory resolution for every member of this House that is leaving that this is their last term for one reason or another.



And there are a great number of names here, but everyone is named who will not be returning and it says that they have served their people of their respective districts with particular distinction, and each of them have brought fellowship, enlightenment, good cheer and high principle into this body, and they are wished the very best of luck in their future endeavors. House Resolution 800 commends Ramonna Henry upon her excellent record as President of the American Legion Auxiliary Department of Illinois. House Resolution 801 congratulates Ed Shaw on his record as Commander of the Illinois Department of the American Legion. House Resolution 802 extends the time for filing the report of the rural telephone service committee until January 1, 1972. Ah.... 73. I would like to ah... yield to ah.... the distinguished Minority Leader, ah.... Mr. Choate, in connection with House Resolution 803."

Choate: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I am most happy to read House Resolution 803. 'Whereas the Honorable W. Robert Blair, Speaker of the House of Representatives, Majority Leader Henry Hyde, Assistant Majority Leaders Kenneth W. Miller and George Burditt, Majority Whips Arthur Telcser and William D. Cox, have fostered a productive atmosphere of tolerance and good will in which the often needed deliberations of this Body have been able to mature into harmonious consummation; and whereas these distinguished men have demonstrated the capacity for leadership, so I propose a hallowed traditions of this Body; and whereas



there record of effort and achievement brings to mind the names of Abraham Lincoln, Theodore Roosevelt, William Howard Taft and Richard Milhaus Nixon; Now therefore, be it resolved by the House of Representatives of the 77th General Assembly of the State of Illinois, that we heartedly commend, congratulate and applaud the Honorable Robert Blair, Speaker of the House of Representatives, Majority Leader Henry Hyde, Assistant Majority Leader Kenneth Miller and George Burditt, and Majority Whips, Arthur Telcser and William Cox for their splendid leadership; and their quick and congenial receptivity to the ideas of confederates and proponents alike; and be it further resolved, that the words of this preamble and resolution be conspicuously deployed upon the pages of the Journal of this House'."

Hyde: "Thank you, Sir. House Resolution 804 ah.... congratulates the Legislative Reference Bureau, the Clerk of the House, his staff, the pages, doorkeepers, secretaries and all persons connected with the procedural literary responsibilities of this House, ah.... they get congratlattions. Now when in connection with House Resolution 805, I would like to yield to the distinguished Assistant Minority Leader, Jerry Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to read. 'Whereas the distinguished Minority Leader of this Body, the Honorable Clyde L. Choate, of Anna, has unfailingly displayed a constant sensitivty to the needs of the People of the State and the disposition of the important issues of the day; and



whereas Representative Choate is known among his legislative colleagues and his countless other friends as a man of rigorous integrity, general sympathies and ready humor; And whereas, Representative Choate, as Captain of the Loyal Armies of Opposition, has tiredly stide to express the formulation of abstinancy out of which spring the compromises which so often prove beneficial to the citizens of this State; whereas Representative Choate has displayed in his twenty-six years' as continuous service in this Body, a broad spectrum of talents and skills which have amply equipped him to co-poliet the forces which affect the lives, the fortuncs and the honors of all the citizens of this State; Whereas Representative Choate has in some extended the proud tradition of leadership in the General Assembly and in the Democratic Parties, the new levels of excellence; now Therefore, be it resolved by the House of Representatives of the 77th General Assembly of the State of Illinois that we hereby commend the Honorable Clyde L. Choate for his energy and resoucefulness and simply that we thank him for this help, without his examples, the art of legislativeness would be less rewarding without his inspiration, the prospects of the State less cheerful; and be it further resolved that a suitable copy of this preamble and resolution be presented to Representative Choate."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Hyde."

Hyde: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Resolution 807, ah... I would like to read. Today marks the 58th birthday of our



friend and most outspoken colleague, until tonight, Bernard B. Wolfe. 'And Whereas many Members of this House, which aspire to the title of 'Mr. Law and Order', but there is only one Member of this Body who aspire to the title of 'Mr. Point of Order'; and Whereas B. B. has served eight years in this House, while holding us closely to the House Rules, Robert's Rules, Bob's Rules, and occasionally B. B.'s Rules; and Whereas, B. B., like most politicians, aspire to higher office, but find that office of House Parliamentarian is already most capably filled; and Whereas we recognize today, as the last day of the session, first day of B.B.'s 58th year; Therefore, be it resolved by this House, that we congratulate B. B. Wolfe on his attainment of this new plateau; and that as a token of our esteem, we hereby give and bequeath the honorary lasting title of Mr. Point of Order. Happy Birthday, Bernie Wolfe!'

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "For what purpose does the gentleman, Mr. B. B. Wolfe, rise?"

B. B. Wolfe: "Point of personal privilege. I think I heard my name heard on the Floor of the House. It's happened again, Ladies and Gentlemen. This is the 7th year that we've stopped the clock, and stopped by birthday, and I didn't think it would happen this year. I have no points of order. I have no parliamentary inquiry. I have my deepest thanks to this House for seven hectic happy years, and to each and everyone of you a happy, healthy summer and good luck in November. Thank you."



Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Hyde."

Hyde: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, House Resolution 808 congratulates our very lovely Capitol Tour Guides for the excellence service they have rendered to this Assembly and to the People of Illinois and also guard Bob Murphy. House Resolution 810, I would like to read if I may. 'Whereas William F. Hanley, Assistant to the Governor, has served as the Legislative Liaison from the Office of the Governor to the House of Representatives; and Whereas Mr. Hanley has served the Governor and the People of Illinois with great distinction and complete loyalty; and Whereas Mr. Hanley has performed outstanding service in providing necessary information and assistance to members in connection with the programs of this administration; Whereas the members of this House have found Mr. Hanley's cheerfully rendered consul to be honest, articulate and most valuable in helping them determine substantive, procedural questions concerning much legislation; and Whereas Mr. Hanley has become a valued friend to many of us; therefore, be it resolved by the House of Representatives that we congratulate William F. Hanley for his excellent work he has accomplished for and with this House of Representatives, and we thank him for the assistance he has rendered to many members of this Body and further, that a suitable copy of this Preamble be presented to Mr. Hanley'. Ah....I would like ah...., if the distinguished Minority Leader would ah.... indicate, and he did, I will yield to the distinguished Assistant



Minority Leader, Mr. Jerry Shea, in connection with House Resolution 811."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, can I read this Resolution?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Yes, proceed."

Shea: "Whereas the Honorable W. Robert Blair of Park Forest has served as the Speaker of this House during the 77th General Assembly of the State of Illinois; and Whereas Speaker Blair has brought the highest standard of legislative accomplishment to that office; and Whereas this House, during the tenure of Speaker Blair, met with unprecedented frequency, and handled an unparalleled volume of legislative business; and Whereas the Leadership of Speaker Blair has helped to equip the Members of this Body with a staff, secretarial help and office space necessary to do the proper conduct of this Body; and Whereas the Speaker had advocated a strong independent, an aggressive role for the legislative branch of government; Be it therefore resolved by the House of Representatives of the 77th General Assembly of the State of Illinois, that we congratulate the Honorable W. Robert Blair for the Leadership he has given this House, for the progressive reforms he has advocated and for the tremendous energy he has expended in the discharge of his duties as Speaker of this House; And be it further resolved, that a suitable copy of this Preamble and Resolution be forwarded to the Honorable W. Robert Blair."



Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Thank you very much for the remarks contained in that Resolution. Ah.... the job's not all easy as you know, but ah... we've enjoyed it and we've tried to do it ah.... the way that we see it to have been the right way to have done it. Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, no man has fought you harder for a legislative policy from this Floor than I, and I've enjoyed it and it's been enjoyable working with you."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Hyde."

Hyde: "Mr. Speaker, May I continue to yield, because he's on a real winning streak now, to the distinguished Assistant Minority Leader, Jerry Shea, for House Resolution 812."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of this House. House Resolution 812 - 'Whereas this House of Representatives has been fortunate in having legislative staff of high quality loyalty, a significant portion of which has been developed and trained in the services of this House, through the legislative staff intern program; and Whereas an outstanding graduate of the intern program is Wayne R. Anderson, Class of 70 - 71, who has preserved and stayed to serve as Assistant to Majority Leader, Henry J. Hyde, with distinction, with patience, with stamina, and with a dependable good sense of humor; Whereas Wayne has fought good battles with his opposing staff colleagues on the other side of the aisle, honoring his party and his profession, and nit-



picking with the best of them in the finest tradition of legislative staff; and Whereas Wayne has contributed greatly to the quality of the laws of the State of Illinois and to the integrity of the legislative process; and has on several occasions, successfully defended the Majority Party from the Minority Party in Committee, on the Floor, and even in the bathroom, although admittedly, no other staffers can recall whether or not he defended the honor of this House against the Senate in the most recent House-Senate Staff football game; and Whereas Wayne has overcome the gallows, humor of Boss Hyde to become one of the best liked staff assistants, despite his education as an attorney; therefore, on the eve of his retirement from the service of this House, be it resolved by the House of Representatives of the 77th General Assembly of the State of Illinois, that we bid Wayne Anderson a fond farewell, with deep gratitude for his contribution and for the dedication he has maintained throughout his legislative staff career, that we wish him well in the private practice of law, knowing all the while that we have trained him well under fire, that we hope to see him back from time to time in his new role, even though he will not be allowed on the House Floor, and that we wish Wayne and his lovely wife, Pam, and their family, the very best of all in future endeavors; and be it further resolved that a suitable copy of this Resolution be presented to Mr. Wayne Anderson, ace legislative lawyer, retired."



Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Hyde."
Hyde: "If I may just add to that, the very beautiful language of that Resolution, and may we say Wayne, we had about four drafts of it floating around today, and the contest was won by Dave Epstein. That's his draftsmanship, and it's very, very nice. You will be irreplaceable. House Resolution 815 wishes Roger Rutherford and Kay Shafferneck a fine and happy marriage and wishes them the fullest success. House Resolution 816, if I may read, 'Whereas the Democratic Minority Leadership has conducted itself with great distinction and honor in the House of Representatives of the 77th General Assembly and the Minority Leadership has maintained the ah... highest standards of knowledge and competence in the conduct of the legislative affairs; And Whereas, the wondrous quality of this leadership has contributed significantly to the greatness of this Legislative Body; Be it therefore resolved by this House of Representatives that the Honorable Clyde Choate, Harold Shea, Corneal Davis, Robert Craig and Daniel Pierce be wholeheartedly thanked for their invaluable contributions toward the achievements of the 77th General Assembly; and Be it further resolved that having required great knowledge and experience in matters pertaining to leadership of the Minority Party, these distinguished gentlemen provide even a greater minority leadership in the 78th General Assembly.' House Resolution 817. 'Whereas our distinguished colleague, the Honorable David J. Regner, after three, what can only



be described as only mediocre terms in the House of Representatives, has expressed a desire to campaign for election to the Senate; and whereas his colleagues in the House are perplexed and bewildered by the phenonemum of any Representative aspiring to the Senate, since the House offers so much more by the way of interest, variety, and challenge; and Whereas Mr. Regner's meager talents, which made negligibile impact on the quality of the work product of the House, will add nothing to our dear sister house, where talent, which is rarely found there anyway, is often a stumbling block to advancement; and whereas, it is our sincere belief that it is a far better thing to be a little wheel in the House of Representatives than a posturing carciture in the House of Lords, but our soon to be earth while, and even sooner to be forgotten colleague, the inscrutable David Regner, thinks as Chairman of the House Committee on Appropriations, he has acquired sufficient expertise in the art of government, to form his own; but it is widely purported that his long division brings tears to the eys of his colleagues on the appropriations committee; Therefore, be it resolved by the House of Representatives that we commend the Honorable Dave Regner for his contribution to this House, that we sincerely wish him all the best and every success in the future, and be it further resolved that a suitable copy of this Preamble and Resolution be presented to our esteemed colleague in the House, the Honorable David Regner. "



Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Regner."

Regner: "Just a very short statement. The Members of the House who I have served with for six years, my friends, you will have a friend in the House of the Lords, next year."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "One further Resolution. Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Hyde."

Hyde: "We just have one more. House Resolution 818. 'Whereas the major portion of the work of the House of Representatives of this 77th General Assembly has been concluded; and Whereas neither rain, nor snow, nor gloom of night, has deterred certain distinguished Members of this Body for making their appointed rounds; that is to say roll call, in order that they may cast their votes for representatives of their respective constituencies of this great State; Whereas these men consider before and above all us their duty to the People of this State; and Whereas answering the call to duty of necessity, causes disruptions in the private affairs of men; nevertheless these men, placed in the needs of the People above their own desires and attend to their affairs as best they are able in the time that is remaining; Therefore, be it resolved by the House of Representatives of the 77th General Assembly that we highly commend for their continuing service to the call of duty those Members faithfully answering the final roll call, who are unadvoidedly and officially excused as absent from that roll call; and Be it further resolved that a list of these gentlemen be entered in the official record of



this House,' and Mr. Speaker, I move the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All those in favor of the adoption of the Agreed Resolution, say 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Agreed Resolutions are adopted." I've announced the roll call. Gentleman from McLean, Mr. Hall."

Hall: "Mr. Speaker, I renew my motion to Table all Resolutions, Bills, House and Senate, that are in Committee or on the Calendar."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All those in favor of the gentleman's motion to Table, say 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion is carried. The gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate."

Choate: "Mr. Speaker, I now move that this House stand adjourned in accordance with the Resolution, in which we adopted today."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All those in favor of the gentleman's motion, say 'aye', the opposed 'no'. This House stands adjourned."

