46th Legislative Day

- Speaker Evans: "The House will be in order. Members will be in their chairs. We shall be led in prayer today by Wayne Padget, the Assistant Doorkeeper. Members and guests are asked to refrain from starting their laptops, turn off all cell phones, and rise for the invocation and the Pledge of Allegiance."
- Wayne Padget: "Let us pray. God of power and might, wisdom, and justice, through you authority is rightly administered, laws are enacted, and judgement is decreed. Assist with your spirit of counsel and fortitude the Speaker of this House, all of its elected officials. May they always seek the ways of righteousness, justice, and mercy. Grant that they may be enabled by your powerful protection to lead our state with honesty and integrity. And we all say, Amen."
- Speaker Evans: "We will be led in the Pledge of Allegiance today by Representative Gabel."
- Gabel et al: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America and to the republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all."
- Speaker Evans: "Roll Call for Attendance. Leader Greenwood is recognized to report any excused absences on the Democratic side of the aisle. Leader Greenwood."
- Greenwood: "Yes. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Let the record show that Representative Gong-Gershowitz is excused today."
- Speaker Evans: "Thank you, Leader. Leader Welter is recognized to report any excused absences on the Republican side of the aisle."
- Welter: "Mr. Speaker, let the record reflect that Representative Batinick is excused for the day."

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

Speaker Evans: "Have all recorded themselves who wish? Have all recorded themselves who wish? Clerk, please take the record.

There being 115 Members answering the roll call, a quorum is present. Mr. Clerk, Committee Reports."

Clerk Bolin: "Committee Reports. Representative Scherer, Chairperson from the Committee on Elementary & Secondary Administration, Licensing & Charter Schools Education: reports the following committee action taken on May 30, 2021: recommends be adopted, and Motion to Concur with Senate Amendment(s) 1 to House Bill 18, Motion to Concur with Senate Amendment(s) 1 to House Bill 41, and Motion to Concur with Senate Amendment(s) 1 to House Bill 576. Representative Halpin, Chairperson from the Committee on Personnel & Pensions reports the following committee action taken on May 30, 2021: recommends be adopted, Motion to Concur with Senate Amendment(s) 1 to House Bill 417. Representative Mah, Chairperson from the Committee on Health Care Licenses reports the following committee action taken on May 30, 2021: adopted, Motion to Concur with Senate recommends be Amendment(s) 1 to House Bill 135 and Senate Amendment(s) 2 to House Bill 135. Representative Willis, Chairperson from the Committee on Adoption & Child Welfare reports the following committee action taken on May 30, 2021: recommends be adopted, Motion to Concur with Senate Amendment(s) 1 to House Bill 3886. Representative Moylan, Chairperson from the Committee on Transportation: Regulation, Roads & Bridges reports the following committee action taken on May 30, 2021: recommends be adopted, Motion to Concur with Senate Amendment(s) 1 to House Bill 399, and House Joint Resolution 48. Representative

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

Lilly, Chairperson from the Committee on Appropriations-Human Services reports the following committee action taken on May 30, 2021: recommends be adopted, House Resolution 296. Representative Walsh, Chairperson from the Committee on Public Utilities reports the following committee action taken on May 30, 2021: recommends be adopted, Motion to Concur with Senate Amendment(s) 1 to House Bill 414, Motion to Concur with Senate Amendment(s) 1 to House Bill 3174, and Motion to Concur with Senate Amendment(s) 1 to House Bill 3404. Representative Stuart, Chairperson from the Committee on Higher Education reports the following committee action taken on May 30, 2021: recommends be adopted, Motion to Concur with Senate Amendment(s) 1 to House Bill 375, Motion to Concur with Senate Amendment(s) 1 to House Bill 2746, Motion to Concur with Senate Amendment(s) 1 to House Bill 2878, and Senate Amendment(s) 3 to House Bill 2878. Representative Evans, Chairperson from the Committee on Labor & Commerce reports the following committee action taken on May 30, 2021: adopted, Motion to Concur with Senate recommends be Amendment(s) 1 to House Bill 2776, Motion to Concur with Senate Amendment(s) 1 and 2 to House Bill 3739, and Motion to Concur with Senate Amendment(s) 1 to House Bill 3914."

Speaker Evans: "Members, an announcement. This is a reminder of House Rule 51.5. Please remember to wear a face mask that covers the mouth and nose, except for when necessary for eating or drinking. This includes when speaking on the microphone at your desk and prior to the start of Session. Again, please remember to wear a mask covering your entire face, including while speaking on the microphone, as pursuant

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

to House Rule 51.5. Thank you. Members, please be at your chairs. We're going to move to page 2 of the Calendar, Senate Bills on Third Reading. Senate Bills on Third Reading. We have Senate Bill 2158. Representative Buckner. Representative Buckner. Out of the record. Continuing on page 3, we have Senate Bill 2201, Representative LaPointe. Out of the record. Continuing on the Calendar, looking at page 4, on Senate Bills Second Reading, we have Senate Bill... on page 4, Senate Bills Second Reading, we have Senate Bill 336, Representative Stuart. Out of the record. Continuing on page 4, we have Senate Bill 558, Leader Welter. Out of the record. Continuing on page 4, we have Senate Bill 672, Chairman Burke. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 672, a Bill for an Act concerning business. The Bill was read for a second time previously.

Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments.

No Motions are filed."

Speaker Evans: "Chairman Burke is recognized. Third Reading."

Sorry. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill on Third Reading."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 672, a Bill for an Act concerning business. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Evans: "Chairman Burke."

Burke: "Mr. Clerk... if I can inquire of the Clerk. There is an Amendment, House Floor Amendment #1. I don't know if that's been adopted?"

Clerk Bolin: "Committee Amendment #1 has been adopted."

Burke: "Thank you. Senate Bill 672 imposes further restrictions on an employer's ability to require and enforce... to require and to enforce a covenant not to compete or a covenant not to

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

solicit. Senate Bill 672 is an agreed, negotiated Bill that balances the interest of employees and employers. And this final product had substantial input from employee and business advocates, the AFL-CIO, and the Attorney General's Office. The Bill does several main things. It makes void and illegal covenants not to compete for any employee with earnings less than \$75 thousand a year. This base amount rises over time. It... the covenant not to compete are also void and unenforceable for anyone of any income level due to a termination or furlough due to COVID or a similar pandemic. Finally, covenants not to compete are unenforceable and void for employees covered by the Public Labor Relations Act, the Illinois Educational Labor Relations Act, and also employees engaged in construction, unless they perform management, engineering, architectural design, or sales function. For employees whose earnings are above \$75 thousand, in order for the covenant not to compete to be enforceable, the employee must receive adequate consideration and the limitations are required... required for the enforcement. It must be for a legitimate business interest, and the covenant not to compete won't impose an undue hardship and is not injurious to the public. The dollar amounts that I discussed for the covenants not to compete are slightly lower for covenants not to solicit but also indexed for inflation. I know of no opposition. And I ask for an 'aye' vote. Happy to accept any questions."

Speaker Evans: "Is there any discussion? Representative Wheeler is recognized."

Wheeler: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?" Speaker Evans: "She will."

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

- Wheeler: "Representative Burke, I'm just looking through our notes here on this. This is an agreed Bill. This a labor and management and chamber and AG all coming together for something, right?"
- Burke: "Yes. They worked long and hard on this. And with the help of all those groups, I think we have a good product that is great for employees but also recognizes some needs of business."
- Wheeler: "So, a balanced Bill. An agreed Bill the way we're supposed to do it. That's great. What a great way to start the day. Thanks, Kelly. Vote 'yes'."

Speaker Evans: "Chairman Burke to close."

Burke: "I ask for an 'aye' vote."

- Speaker Evans: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 672 pass?'
 All those in favor vote 'aye'; all those opposed vote 'nay'.
 The voting is open. All voted who wish? All voted who wish?
 All voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 110 voting in 'favor', 0 voting 'opposed',
 0 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed.
 Continuing on the Calendar, on page 4, we have Senate Bill 826, Leader Hoffman. Leader Hoffman. Out of the record.
 Continuing on page 5 of the Calendar, we have Senate Bill 1770, Leader Greenwood. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1770, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. The Bill was read for a second time previously.

 No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1 is offered by Representative Greenwood."

Speaker Evans: "Representative Greenwood on the Amendment."

46th Legislative Day

- Greenwood: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Amendment is a technical change. It changes the miles from five miles to six miles in the language."
- Speaker Evans: "Thank you. Leader Greenwood moves adoption of Floor Amendment #1. All those in favor say 'aye'; all opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Evans: "Third Reading. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1770, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."
- Speaker Evans: "Leader Greenwood."
- Greenwood: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the Assembly. Senate Bill 1770 deals with construction activities and property within five miles of the confluence of the Ohio... six miles of the Ohio River and the Mississippi River. This is an initiative of the City of Cairo. And it passed out of the Senate unanimously. And I ask for a 'yes' vote."
- 'Senate Bill 1770 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye'; all those opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 113 voting in 'favor', 0 voting 'against', 0 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Continuing on page 5 is Senate Bills-Second Reading. We have Senate Bill 2066, Chairman Zalewski. Clerk, please read the Bill."

46th Legislative Day

- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2066, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. The Bill was read for a second time previously.

 No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Evans: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2066, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."
- Speaker Evans: "Chairman Zalewski is recognized."
- Zalewski: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 2066 is a technical fix to the Marketplace Fairness Act that we did as part of the 2019 budget agreement. It also includes the issue related to auctioneers that I know many of my colleagues on the other side of the aisle are very interested in. And I urge an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Evans: "Is there any discussion? And I see we have some.

 Representative Swanson for... is recognized."
- Swanson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I could start off here in an auctioneer chant, but I won't. But I certainly support this piece of legislation. I've met with many of the auctioneers up in my area, and it's very important to them that we get this through for them. The auctioneer business has been a tough business, although we've seen a lot of... a lot of ways we can change our business strategy. But still, the final is the opportunity to hold their auctions without additional requirements on them. So, I very much support this Bill. I would encourage an 'aye' vote. Thank you."
- Speaker Evans: "For further discussion, Representative Hammond is recognized."

46th Legislative Day

- Hammond: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. And I, too, would like to thank the Sponsor for your work on this, Representative. But also hearing the concerns of those on this side of the aisle that were... had grave concerns about how this would affect, certainly, a lot of our FFA groups and our 4-H members. So, thank you very much for your work. I appreciate it."
- Speaker Evans: "Chairman Zalewski to close."
- Zalewski: "Well, this is a nice way to start the day. I ask for an 'aye' vote, Mr. Speaker."
- Speaker Evans: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 2066 pass?'
 All those in favor vote 'aye'; all those opposed vote 'nay'.
 The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 113 voting in 'favor', 0 voting 'against', 0 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Continuing on page 6 of the Calendar, we have Senate Bill 2279, Chairman Zalewski. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2279, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. The Bill was read for a second time previously. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendments 1 and 2 have been approved for consideration. Floor Amendment #1 is offered by Representative Zalewski."
- Speaker Evans: "Representative Zalewski on the Amendment."
- Zalewski: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. 2279 is an omnibus revenue Bill that does a number of things. The first Floor Amendment includes the language. I'll explain each Amendment as we go."
- Speaker Evans: "Chairman Zalewski moves the adoption of Floor Amendment #1. All those in favor vote 'aye'; all those opposed

46th Legislative Day

- vote 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it.

 And the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments, Mr.

 Clerk?"
- Clerk Bolin: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Zalewski."
- Speaker Evans: "Chairman Zalewski on the Amendment."
- Zalewski: "Floor Amendment #2 adds some issues the department asked for related to this cannabis device issue. So, we change taxable year to period, and we change a year mentioned. So, I'd ask for its adoption."
- Speaker Evans: "Representative Zalewski moves the adoption of Floor Amendment #2. All those in favor vote 'aye'; all those opposed vote 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Evans: "Spokesperson Reick, can we debate the Bill on Third Reading? Thank you. Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2279, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."
- Speaker Evans: "Chairman Zalewski is recognized."
- Zalewski: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is an omnibus revenue Bill that does a number of things related to technical taxation concerns the department has asked for over the last year. It also relates... it has an EDGE clawback provision in it if we find that EDGE Tax Credits aren't being doled out correctly. It also has a piece in it related to a cannabis device that the department was classifying as a tobacco

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

device. We changed the classification in the Revenue Code to ensure clarity. And with that, I would ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Evans: "Any questions? Any discussions? Spokesperson Reick is recognized."

Reick: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?" Speaker Evans: "He will."

Reick: "Mike, we've had some conversations about this in committee. And this is generally an unobjectionable Bill, except for the issue of extension of statute. You're welcome."

Zalewski: "I saluted 'generally objectionable'."

Reick: "Generally... however, there is the issue of the Illinois Department of Revenue's request that, if a person files for a refund on their tax return close to the end of the time for which they can do that, the Department of Revenue has asked for additional time to open up that tax return for examination. I know we went from a one-year extension down to six months. But can you explain the rationale as to why the Department of Revenue should be... after having a three-year statute of limitations to examine returns after originally filing them, they need another six months to open up a return on an issue that doesn't relate to the... necessarily relate to the claim for refund?"

Zalewski: "Well, what... I think David Harris, respectively, or Steve would see it a little different. What he's explained to me and... or Richard Sgro or the Department of Revenue staff said in Revenue Committee is, a refund fund works now, such that taxpayers waited till the final day to apply for the refund. And by bumping up against that deadline, they prevent the department from conducting the audit. So, what David would

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

say, what the Department would say is, give us a year to audit whether the refund was issued correctly. To the concerns of the chamber and yourself, I mean, I felt like the David Harris objection... or the David Harris argument was strong. But I also understand a year strikes as a long time to deal with an audit of a refund. So, we shrunk that to six months. I know there is still opposition from the chamber, but I think that's an equitable disposition."

- Reick: "But my understanding is that this just doesn't open up an examination of the issue about the refund. It opens up other parts of the tax return for examination as well."
- Zalewski: "I don't know that you can calculate the refund without
 knowing what's on the whole return is the problem."
- Reick: "Oh, I've... having done hundreds, if not thousands, of Illinois tax returns in my youth and later years, that is a very easy thing to calculate without having to open up one issue on a tax return for that purpose. I respectfully disagree with the director on that one. And as a result of the extension of statute of limitations on examining an entire return, I would strongly urge a 'no' vote on this Bill."
- Speaker Evans: "Any further discussion? I see... Representative Wheeler is recognized."
- Wheeler: "Yes. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?" Speaker Evans: "He indicates he will."
- Wheeler: "Representative Zalewski, you and I worked together when we did the re-up, or the re-vamp, of the Edge Tax Credit program. You mentioned that in your introduction. Would you mind just bringing me up to speed on what parameters you are altering there?"

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

- Zalewski: "Yeah. So, what David... again, David Harris would say is, there are instances where an Edge Tax Credit runs off the rails and doesn't... and the taxpayer ends up not living up to the agreement. He just asked for this language to bolster the department's ability to go in and clawback the revenues that were... the credits, the withholding taxes. So, that's what the language does."
- Wheeler: "All right. Okay. So, like if an employer doesn't either maintain their level of employment that they had guaranteed in the contract, or if they'd leave the state, or close operations altogether, would that trigger this kind of situation, Mike, where the clawback would occur?"
- Zalewski: "I... as I recall, Keith, it's a very specific mechanism that has to occur for the department to take this kind of action."

Wheeler: "Okay."

- Zalewski: "It's not just, we thought you didn't do a good enough job. It's like, hey, we've thrown the flag. There's costs for us to go in and get these credits back. Please... and that's why David wants the language."
- Wheeler: "Yeah. No, I'm for that, David... for Director Harris. I just wanted to make sure I understood what was happening. Because I thought it was an important part of the program that you put in the new EDGE Bill, and I want to make sure it's working. So, thank you for answering my questions."

Zalewski: "Thank you, Representative."

Speaker Evans: "Any further discussion? We have Representative Mazzochi is recognized."

Mazzochi: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

Speaker Evans: "He indicates that he will."

- Mazzochi: "On pages 114 to 115, where you're redefining... or you're changing the definition of electronic cigarette, you're adding, 'except for any device designed solely for use with cannabis', et cetera, 'any device that contains a solution or substance, except for any cartridge or container that contains cannabis'. So, when it comes to contained cannabis, is the tax on the cannabis going to be higher or lower than the nicotine related devices here? Or whatever they're..."
- Zalewski: "Deanne, we're going to try to get you the answer on whether it's higher or lower. But the goal here is so that the container is not taxed via the cigarette tax and the cannabis tax. It's to avoid double taxation."
- Mazzochi: "Right. And I guess because it says 'contains cannabis', that's why I was just curious. Because I'm assuming that the Department of Revenue, if there's a... you know, if you start getting into parts per million, parts per billion type levels, does it contain cannabis or is it... which one should guide if... or what kind of threshold are you going to set for contained cannabis? Because if... I would assume if one is higher, one is lower, you might be able to spike the nicotine with a trace quantities of THC or cannabis. I just wanted... usually when it comes to these types of products, you want to set a threshold just so that you can have clarity as to whether you're above or below the threshold for the tax purposes. That was just the one thing that caught my eye."
- Zalewski: "Okay. Oh, I'm getting really good staff work today from Representatives Cassidy and Morgan. I'm told there's no products that have both. And that the answer to your question

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

is that would never occur, Representative. There would never be this blending that you seem to be..."

Mazzochi: "No, it's more of an impurity issue."

Zalewski: "Okay."

Mazzochi: "And so, that's just my point. If... maybe the way in which we can get it this way. For purposes of legislative intent, if a product is predominately nicotine and only has trace quantities of cannabis, or is predominately cannabis and only has trace quantities of nicotine present, then go with the majority dominant product. Is that fair to say that's your intent?"

Zalewski: "Deanne, can you repeat the statement just one more time?"

Mazzochi: "Yeah. If you've got a nicotine... if you've got a product that's predominately nicotine, with perhaps only trace quantities of THC, then you should go with the nicotine related directions in this legislation. Whereas, if it's a cannabis product, and it just happens to be laced with an impurity of nicotine or cannabis, then it will go with the cannabis portion."

Zalewski: "That's the sentiment expressed in the Bill. Correct."

Mazzochi: "All right. Thank you very much."

Speaker Evans: "Representative Zalewski to close."

Zalewski: "Thank you for the questions. The chamber issue that the Gentleman from Woodstock raised, I truly think we've reached a very good disposition with this six-month window. I would ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Evans: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 2279 pass?'
All those in favor vote 'aye'; all those opposed vote 'nay'.

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 74 voting in 'favor', 40 voting 'against', 0 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Moving along on the Calendar, under the Order of Resolutions, page 13, we have House Joint Resolution 43, Representative Ammons. Representative Ammons is recognized."

- Ammons: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Joint Resolution 43 simply supports the establishment of a joint legislative caucus between the House and Senate Members entitled the University of Illinois Legislative Caucus. And I ask for its adoption."
- Speaker Evans: "Representative Ammons moves for the adoption of House Resolution 43... House Joint Resolution 43. All those in favor say 'aye; all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Resolution is adopted. Moving to page 7 of the Calendar, under the Order of Concurrences, we have House Bill 15. Representative Tarver is recognized."
- Tarver: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The... this Bill is a Bill about notifying parents as it relates to school discipline, if their child has either harmed someone or been harmed by someone. It went over... we passed out of committee, and there was bipartisan support to make it not only apply to the City of Chicago but throughout the entire state. When it went over to the Senate, the Senate decided they want it to only apply to the City of Chicago. So, we moved it out of committee yesterday. And I urge... am I moving the Amendment or moving the Bill? Sorry."

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

Speaker Evans: "We will be moving the Bill, Sir."

Tarver: "Okay. Well, I urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Evans: "Any questions? Representative Bourne is recognized."

Bourne: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Evans: "He will."

Bourne: "Thank you. It was a little bit noisy in here. Could you tell the Body again, just briefly, what this Bill does?"

Tarver: "Sure. This Bill is to ensure that parents are notified, upon their request, if their child is either harmed or harms another child. There was a loophole in the Chicago Public School system based on discretion by the principal if there was, I guess, a restorative justice model. I know that's kind of convoluted, but the bottom line is to notify parents if their child is harmed or if their child harms someone."

Bourne: "Thank you. Is there any way for the school to get around this?"

Tarver: "I don't believe so with this Bill. I think... and thanks to everyone on the committee, there was a lot of discussion about making sure that if a parent request this information, that they're provided this information. And I shouldn't just say a parent, I apologize. Parent, guardian, someone who's essentially in charge of the child."

Bourne: "Thank you. And I just want to clarify, there was discussion yesterday in committee by committee Members that they wanted every parent in this state to be notified at every school. This is just for Chicago Public Schools currently, correct?"

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

- Tarver: "That's correct. It is only for the City of Chicago right now. But I was very pleased, on a bipartisan basis, to hear individuals discuss coming back at maybe some point with a trailer Bill that would ensure that every parent in Illinois, no matter where they are, is notified if their child harmed somebody or if their child is harmed."
- Bourne: "Thank you. To the Bill, Mr. Speaker. I appreciate the work that this Sponsor has done to get to a bipartisan agreement on this. And I would hope that this Body stands by our commitment to notify parents when things are happening with their children that could have a huge impact on their students' lives. So, I would urge a 'yes' vote."
- Speaker Evans: "Representative Buckner for further...

 Representative Butler. Tarver to close."
- Butler: "You're not the first one to confuse that, Mr. Speaker.

 A question of the Sponsor?"

Speaker Evans: "Sponsor will yield."

Butler: "Representative Tarver, my friend, do you know what's in this Bill?"

Tarver: "Do I know what's in the Bill?"

Butler: "Yes. This Bill, do you know what's in this Bill?"

Tarver: "Words. No, I'm teasing. Yes, I'm familiar with the Bill."

Butler: "You're familiar with the Bill? All right. I just want to make sure that's on the record that you're familiar with this Bill."

Tarver: "I know what you're doing. I got it."

Butler: "All right. Very good. This is, I believe, our first concurrence, and I just want to make sure a lot of us haven't been through concurrences before, especially on this side, to

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

pay attention to concurrences. So, this Bill passed the Senate unanimously. Is that correct?"

Tarver: "Yes, that's my understanding."

Butler: "And it passed out of the House Committee on the concurrence unanimously?"

Tarver: "That's also correct."

Butler: "Okay. I would urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Evans: "Representative Tarver to close."

Tarver: "I urge an 'aye' vote. Thank you."

Amendment 1 to House Bill 15?' This is final action. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye'; all those opposed signify by voting 'nay'. The voting is open. All voted who wish? All voted who wish? All voted who wish? All voted who wish? On this question, there are 114 voting 'aye', 0 voting 'nay', and 0 voting 'present'. The House does concur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 15. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Continuing on page 7, under the Orders of Concurrence, we have House Bill 60, Representative Stoneback."

Stoneback: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Bill is on concurrence. A very small Amendment was made in the Senate to avoid unintended consequences and more closely align the... with the intent of the Bill. The Amendment #1 keeps the underlying language but changes the definition of trampoline court to not include a gymnastic training facility that uses only trampolines... that only uses trampolines during the supervised instruction of gymnastic skills. I'd also like to state for legislative intent that the Amendment is made... is meant to be

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

for page 4. I'd be happy to answer any questions and urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Evans: "Any questions? Representative Mazzochi is recognized."

Mazzochi: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. To the Bill. I just want to let our Members know that, in connection with the prior version of this piece of legislation, several of them were up, several on them were down. So, I just want to make sure they check their votes accordingly. I've got it right here if they need it. Thank you."

Speaker Evans: "Representative Stoneback to close."

Stoneback: "I urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Evans: "The question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 60?' This is final action. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye'; all those opposed signify by voting 'nay'. The voting is open. All voted who wish? All voted who wish? Representative Flowers. Representative Lewis. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 79 voting 'aye', 34 voting 'nay', and 0 voting 'present'. The House does concur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 60. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Continuing on page 7, under the Order of Concurrence, we have House Bill 119, Representative Guzzardi. Speak on the concurrence."

Guzzardi: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 119 creates the Illinois Prescription Drug Repository Act. It came out of this chamber unanimously. The Amendment in the Senate fixed a few technical changes. The largest of which was removing

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

drugs that are called REMS drugs that are drugs that are particularly risky. They are pulled out of the program, no longer applicable. That was at the request of Bristol Myers Squibb, which is one of the entities that manufactures those kinds of drugs. This Amendment passed the Senate unanimously. And I urge an 'aye' vote."

- Speaker Evans: "Seeing no questions, the question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 119?' This is final action. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye; all those opposed signify by voting 'nay'. The voting is open. All voted who wish? All voted who wish? All voted who wish? Harper, Lewis, Nichols. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 114 voting 'aye', 0 voting 'nay', 0 voting 'present'. The House does concur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 119. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared Continuing on the Order of Concurrences, we have page 8, Guzzardi. We have House coming back to Bill 121. Representative."
- Guzzardi: "Thank you, Mr. Chair. Thank you, Members. This is a measure that I presented here in this chamber. I told you all that we had a technical change to make with the Illinois Chamber of Commerce that would bring them to supportive of the Bill. We made that change in the Senate, as promised, and it's back before us today. I urge an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Evans: "Any questions? We have Representative Buckner for... Butler for questions."
- Butler: "You can do that all day, Mr. Speaker. Just a few questions of the Sponsor, real quick."

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

Speake Evans: "Sponsor will yield."

Butler: "So, Will, I noticed there was a couple of 'no' votes in the Senate. And I'm just looking at our analysis here that said the Chamber... the Chamber of Commerce expressed some concerns, but there was a Senate Amendment. Did they... is the Chamber, IRMA, IMA, any of those groups, are they on board with this, opposed?"

Guzzardi: "Yes. The Amendment that we're debating here on Concurrence is language that was proposed by those groups to get them supportive of the measure."

Butler: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Evans: "Seeing no further questions, the question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 121?' This is final action. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye'; all those opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. All voted who wish? All voted who wish? All voted who wish? Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 112 voting 'aye', 0 voting 'nay', 0 voting 'present'. The House does concur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 121. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Continuing on page 8, under Concurrences, we have House Bill 214, Representative Brady. Representative Brady. Out of the record. Continuing on page 8, we have House Bill 219, Representative Carroll. Speak on the Concurrence."

Carroll: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I present to you HB219, a Bill that we've been working on for a very long time in this chamber, over 18 months. This Bill ends the use of isolation time out and restraint in schools. The Concurrence

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

just sets the time from two years to one year. This is agreed upon language with a few Members. But I... the last time I presented this Bill, I didn't have a chance to thank some of the people who helped me. So, if you'll indulge me for a moment, I would like to do that. I'd, first of all, like to thank my Senate Sponsor, Ann Gillespie, for all of her hard work. I'd like to thank Leader Keith Wheeler for his help as well. I'd like to thank staffer Madeline McCune. I don't know if she's here or not, but if she is, I'd like to give her a round of applause for all her effort and all the other staff in here. I'd also like to thank Kyle Hillman from the social workers, Equip for Equality. And then I wanted to thank actually two reporters. One of them is Jennifer Richards, and Jodi Cohen from the Tribune and ProPublica for exposing these practices and continuing to hold us accountable on this. And as we continue to stop this practice, it's helpful to have that information. And last but not least, I'd actually like to, kind of, look back at my history to understand why this Bill is so important to me and why I keep working towards it. So, I ask for a concurrence on this. Last time, it left the House unanimously. And I'm happy to answer any questions. Thank you."

Speaker Evans: "Any questions? We see Representative Wheeler for questions."

Wheeler: "Yes. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?" Speaker Evans: "He will."

Wheeler: "Jonathan, first let me thank you for your hard work on this Bill. I know this is very important to you, something you're very passionate about. And I'm grateful for your

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

passion on this important issue. But more than, I'm grateful for the fact we got to work together on a Bill to make it so it's a workable Bill. Something that takes care of the students, and it takes care of staff. We have some work to do to make sure it gets across the actual execution now, once we get this passed and get the Governor to sign this. But I want to thank you for that. I want to just put a couple things on the record here so everyone understands what we did in the Senate and why. Ladies and Gentlemen, we had an agreement originally in the House here for a two-year transition period. Some concerns were raised to make that a one-year transition period and to verify that it will work for schools like Marklund and Giant Steps and others that I have in my district. You have your own all around the entire state. We've reached out to the people who are going to be helping us run at least one part of the program that's going to help us move away from restraint in Illinois. And we had a collaboration with them. We had a specific meeting with them. And they assured us that this can be done in the new one-year time frame. I'm confident we can do that. We have to have government working together to get that done in a way that I hope we can accomplish. I know it's already started. But I'm okay with going forward with this. So, you have my agreement. We've had it before. And I just want... again, thank you, thank you for the work on this Bill. And I urge an 'aye' vote. Thank you."

Carroll: "Thank you, Leader."

Speaker Evans: "Any further questions? Any further questions? We have Representative Davis for questions."

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

Davis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Evans: "He indicated he will."

Davis: "Representative, earlier when you acknowledged Madeline, I thought you were acknowledging the Senators, and I didn't want to do that. So, I just want to, obviously, commend Madeline on her hard work. She's an excellent staff person. Staffs me in my approp committee, and I know she's been a valued resource to you. Earlier, when you talked about this Bill, and I think when it left the House and went over to the Senate, you said that this was the most important issue for you as a Representative. Is that correct?"

Carroll: "Yes, Sir."

Davis: "And I commented that maybe this was like a mic drop moment for you. You know, that you were done, that when you drop the mic, that's it. You're ready to walk away. So, are you leaving the General Assembly now?"

Carroll: "Not as of right now, although..."

Davis: "I would hope not. I hope you'll have many more mic drop moments as a Member of the General Assembly. But you are certainly to be congratulated on managing this issue. It invoked a lot of different things in different people when you talk about seclusion and restraining, particularly as it relates to minority children because that's something that probably many minority children have been subject to, probably unnecessarily. So, you've been able to work on this issue in a way that it's gotten to where a lot of people support your effort. I am one of those. Certainly will be voting 'yes' for your Bill today. And congratulations."

Carroll: "Thank you very much, Leader. Thank you."

46th Legislative Day

- Speaker Evans: "Representative Carroll to close."
- Carroll: "Again, I don't want to take up too much time, but this has been my... again, 18 months of my life on this Bill. I ask for an 'aye' vote, and thank you very much."
- Speaker Evans: "Question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment 2 to House Bill 219?' This is final action. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye'; all those opposed signify by voting 'nay'. The voting is open. All voted who wish? All voted who wish? All voted who wish? Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 114 voting 'aye', 0 voting 'nay', and 0 voting 'present'. The House does concur in Senate Amendment 2 to House Bill 219. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Returning to page 6, under the Order of Senate Bills—Second Reading, we have Senate Bill 2325. Leader Hoffman is recognized. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2325, a Bill for an Act concerning public aid. The Bill was read for a second time previously.

 No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1 is offered by Representative Hoffman."
- Speaker Evans: "Representative Hoffman."
- Hoffman: "Yes. The Amendment is an agreement between the Illinois
 State Ambulance Association and the Department of Healthcare
 and Family Services. It removes HFS's opposition to the Bill.
 I ask it be adopted."
- Speaker Evans: "Leader Hoffman moves the adoption of Floor Amendment #1. All those in favor say 'aye'; all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it.

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

And the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Evans: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2325, a Bill for an Act concerning public aid. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Evans: "Leader Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is an initiative of the Illinois State Ambulance Association, and it provides for an appeals process of denial of reimbursement. And it defines and ensures that the... that can be appealed if it is medically necessary and that they can obtain reimbursement. There are guardrails that have been put around it to placate the concern of the Department of Healthcare and Family Services. I ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Evans: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 2325 pass?'
All those in favor vote 'aye'; all those opposed vote 'nay'.
The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 114 voting in 'favor', 0 voting 'against', 0 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Returning to the Order of Concurrences, we have page 9 on the Calendar, House Bill 641, Representative Stuart."

Stuart: "Thank you. The Amendment just simply changes the term 'feminine hygiene' to 'menstrual hygiene'."

Speaker Evans: "Seeing no question, the question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 641?' This is final action. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye';

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

all those opposed voting 'nay'. The voting is open. All voted who wish? All voted who wish? Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 74 voting 'aye', 37 voting 'nay', and 0 voting 'present'. The House does concur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 641. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Continuing on page 9, under Concurrences, we have Senate Bill 644, Representative Didech."

- Didech: "House Bill 644 is a... an agreed upon Bill between the solar industry and the Community Associations Institute to make several changes to how solar panels are regulated within community associations. Passed unanimously in the House and the Senate. This Amendment only changes the effective date. I ask for an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Evans: "Seeing no questions, the question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 644?' This is final action. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye'; all those opposed signify by voting 'nay'. The voting is open. All voted who wish? All voted who wish? All voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 114 voting 'aye', 0 voting 'nay', and 0 voting 'present'. The House does concur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 644. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Continuing on page 9, we have House Bill 713, Representative Hammond."
- Hammond: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move to concur with Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 713. The Senate Amendment simply clarifies that radon professionals cannot use devices that

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

are not approved by the agency. I (unintelligible) this language just for clarification. Appreciate an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Evans: "Seeing no questions, the question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 713?' This is final action. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye'; all those opposed signify by voting 'nay'. The voting is open. All voted who wish? All voted who wish? Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 114 voting 'aye', 0 voting 'nay', and 0 voting 'present'. The House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 713. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Leader Harris is recognized."

"Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Harris: House. You know, we have the honor, as a Body, to have had many celebrities come here and visit us. We've had world championship teams. We've even had the President of the United States come here to visit us in our chamber. But today we have a new and very special quest to come and visit us today. A gentleman who has been here many times before with his dad, Dave Dahl. And I'm talking about a friend of both sides of the aisle, Clifford Dahl. And Clifford is over here. So, those of us who have been around for a few years know that Clifford has more floor time than many of us do because of his many visits. He's a great fan of the State Fair. Sadly, I have to tell you he's a fan of Cardinals baseball. And we just want to be sure that we welcome Clifford and say we're glad he is back after a long time apart from us. So, Clifford, and to the whole Dahl family, welcome to the Illinois House of Representatives."

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

Speaker Evans: "Thank you, Leader Harris. And welcome.

Representative Ozinga, for what reason do you rise?"

Ozinga: "Point of personal privilege."

Speaker Evans: "Speak your point."

Ozinga: "I rise today to share this day, May 30, with my House family here. Twelve years ago, on this day, I was blessed to have the opportunity to say 'I do' to the love of my life Amanda. Although she is not able to be down here in person, she is watching this afternoon. And if you'll indulge me for one minute, I just wanted to say a few words. Amanda, throughout life's journeys and sorrows, you have led our family with strength and dignity, with the birth of our firstborn daughter, and the sudden passing of mom just one month later, raising our four beautiful and confident girls, Ava, Aria, Zoe, and Elle, while I went back to school, campaigned, and served our community down Springfield. Most recently with the passing, suddenly, of dad. Life is precious. Every day is a blessing. And you have tirelessly provided for our family. Reflecting on our time together, year after year, continues to get better and more exciting than the last. And year after year, you continue to grow more and more beautiful, inside and out. The girls and I are eternally blessed by the positive impact you continue to have on our lives. Love you, Amanda. Happy anniversary."

Speaker Evans: "Representative Windhorst, for what reason do you rise?"

Windhorst: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Point of personal privilege."

Speaker Evans: "Speak your point."

46th Legislative Day

- Windhorst: "Well, I wanted to thank Representative Ozinga for those words. Those were very moving and touching words. They also put me in a bad spot because today is also my 12th anniversary. I don't have anything nearly as eloquent as what he said, other than to say to my lovely wife, Holly, happy anniversary. If I could go back 12 years ago and do it all again, I would, except I would say let's wait a week. Thank you."
- Speaker Evans: "Thank you. And I wish you both the best.

 Continuing on page 9, under the Order of Concurrences, we have House Bill 816, Chairwoman Mussman."
- Mussman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I would like to concur with Senate Committee Amendment #1."
- Speaker Evans: "Speak on the Concurrence."
- Mussman: "Okay. So, as you recall, this is a Bill that simply clarifies the underlying statute to indicate how sick days can be used after the birth or adoption of a child. And this Amendment simply adds in accepting the care of a foster child also."
- Speaker Evans: "Seeing no questions, the question is, 'Shall the
 House concur in Senate Amendment #... question? Okay.
 Representative Reick for questions."
- Reick: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Sorry, I was a little slow on the switch there. Will the Speaker... will the Sponsor yield?"
- Speaker Evans: "She indicates she will."
- Reick: "Representative, would you, kind of, walk us through the background of this Bill? You know, it's been a while since we talked about it. And I would like to have you, once again, give us the nuts and bolts of what your Bill does."

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

- Mussman: "Sure. So, it is meant to address the problem where the original statute apparently wasn't clear enough in the language as to how you are allowed to use the 30 sick days that you can accrue, again, after the birth or adoption of a child. That they do not have to be used concurrently, but they do have to be used within 12 months."
- Reick: "So, what you're saying is this... this extension is merely for the use of those days rather than the accrual of additional days. Is that what you're saying?"
- Mussman: "That is true. We are not giving any additional time. We're just clarifying. There was some confusion, and schools were applying it inconsistently. And that was also a problem where sometimes, depending on when you started taking you're days, they were... they were limiting your ability to use them as it interacted with other already existing holidays, such as winter break, summer break, spring break. So, again, we are indicating the days do not have to be used concurrently. They can be used any time within the 12 months following their initiation."
- Reick: "Thanks for those answers. Thank you. I would remind Members on our side that we did have some 'no' votes on this Bill. So, please check your original... your original voting on this before you vote on this. Thank you."
- Speaker Evans: "Any further questions? Representative Mazzochi."
- Mazzochi: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I just wanted to remind Members that there were several of them who were 'no' votes. So, if the changing it to expand foster care doesn't do it for them, then they should continue to vote 'no'. Thank you."

Speaker Evans: "Chairman Mussman to close."

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

Mussman: "Again, I think this is a reasonable accommodation. And I encourage an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Evans: "The question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 816?' This is final action. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye'; all those opposed signify by voting 'nay'. The voting is open. All voted who wish? All voted who wish? All voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 103 voting 'aye', 11 voting 'nay', and 0 voting 'present'. The House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 816. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Mr. Clerk, Rules Report."

"Representative Harris, Chairperson from the Clerk Bolin: Committee on Rules reports the following committee action taken on May 30, 2021: recommends be adopted, referred to the floor is Floor Amendment(s) 2 to Senate Bill 2136; the following Motions to Concur are recommended for adoption, Motion to Concur with Senate Amendment(s) 1 to House Bill 266, Motion to Concur with Senate Amendment(s) 1 to House Bill 1879, Motion to Concur with Senate Amendment(s) 1 to House Bill 2109, Motion to Concur with Senate Amendment(s) 1 to House Bill 2394, Motion to Concur with Senate Amendment(s) 1 to House Bill 2553, Motion to Concur with Senate Amendment(s) 1 to House Bill 2806, Motion to Concur with Senate Amendment(s) 1 to House Bill 3277, Motion to Concur with Senate Amendment(s) 1 to House Bill 3355, Motion to Concur with Senate Amendment(s) 2 to House Bill 3484, Motion to Concur with Senate Amendment(s) 1 to House Bill 3598, and

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

Motion to Concur With Senate Amendment(s) 1 to House Bill 3911."

Speaker Evans: "Continuing on page 9, under the Order of Concurrences, we have House Bill 832, Chairman Davis. Speak on the Concurrence."

Davis: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen, I move to concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 832. Senate Amendment #1 removed a provision regarding a business portal in DCEO. Senate Amendment #2 is a gut and replace, and it becomes the Bill that retains the original language and then also puts back the language regarding the portal but just makes sure that it's clear that it is subject to appropriation. This particular Bill, in its entirety, is the DCEO cleanup Bill that comes from the Budgeting for Results Commission that you all have heard me speak about before that I am a member of. I'll be more than happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Evans: "Seeing no question, the question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 and 2 to House Bill 832?' This is final action. All those voting in favor signify by voting 'aye'; all those opposed signify by voting 'nay'. The voting is open. All voted who wish? All voted who wish? All voted who wish? Representative Williams. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 115 voting 'aye', 0 voting 'nay', and 0 voting 'present'. The House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 and 2 to House Bill 832. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Continuing on page 9, we have House Bill 1290, Representative Mason. Please speak on the Concurrence."

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

- Mason: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move to concur with Senate Bill Amendment 1 on my House Bill 1290. To refresh your memory, this is the Bill that restores veterans' benefits to... Illinois veterans' benefits to veterans who received other than honorable or general discharges due to their sexual orientation or gender identity. It received great support in the House with 104 votes. This Amendment changes two words. It adds the words 'if only' just to confirm that their reason for discharge has to be absolutely and only due to their sexual orientation or gender identity, and no other reason, in order to receive those benefits. This is a great Bill for our veterans, for people who have stood up proudly for our country. And I ask for an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Evans: "Any questions from the Members? Seeing Representative Mazzochi."
- Mazzochi: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. To the Bill. I just want to, again, let some of our Members know that this was a Bill where several of you voted 'no' on this before. So, please check your votes. And before we actually start to consider that again, we have the vote record tallies before us just in case this particular change is, again, not going to change your mind."
- Speaker Evans: "The question is, 'Shall the House concur in... Mason to close. Would you like to close, Representative Mason?"

Mason: "I ask for an 'aye' vote. Thank you."

Speaker Evans: "Thank you. The question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1290?' This is final action. All those voting in favor signify by voting 'aye'; all those opposed signify by voting 'nay'. The voting

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

is open. All voted who wish? All voted who wish? All voted who wish? Mason. On this question, there are 114... Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 114 voting 'aye', 0 voting 'nay', 0 voting 'present'. And the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1290. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Continuing on page 9, we have House Bill 1726, Chairman Davis."

Davis: "Thank you very much, Mr. Chair. House Bill 1726... I move to concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1726. This is yet another effort out of the Budgeting for Results Commission regarding the funds cleanup. The Amendment speaks to the fact that there was a House Bill 3959, I believe, that we unfortunately didn't get passed out of this chamber over to the Senate. So, Senate Amendment #1 reflects the language of House Bill 3959, which also is a Budgeting for Results cleanup Bill. And it just amends the language onto 1726 so that we address the funds cleanup through the Budgeting for Results Commission process. I will answer any questions."

Speaker Evans: "Seeing no question, the question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1726?' This is final action. All those voting in favor signify by voting 'aye'; all those opposed signify by voting 'nay'. The voting is open. All voted who wish? All voted who wish? All voted who wish? All voted who wish? Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 115 voting 'aye', 0 voting 'nay', 0 voting 'present'. The House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1726. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed.

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

- Continuing on the Calendar on page 9, under Concurrences, we have House Bill 1954, Representative Barbara Hernandez."
- Hernandez, B.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move to concur Senate Amendment #1 to HB1954. It simply changes the name from 'Autism Awareness' to 'Autism Acceptance' Week. I ask for an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Evans: "Seeing no questions, the question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1954?' This is final action. All those voting in favor signify by voting 'aye'; all those opposed signify by voting 'nay'. The voting is open. All voted who wish? All voted who wish? All voted who wish? Avelar. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 115 voting 'aye', 0 voting 'nay', 0 voting 'present'. The House does concur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 1954. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Continuing along to page 10, under the Order of Concurrences, we have House Bill 2438, Representative Stuart."
- Stuart: "Thank you. I move to concur with Amendments... sorry... 2 and 3 on House Bill 2438. The underlying Bill just asked for the number of Nationally Board Certified Teachers to be put on the school report card. And Amendment 2 will disaggregate that data by race and ethnicity. And then, Senate Amendment 3 will change the effective date to allow time to accommodate that change. And I would ask for an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Evans: "Seeing no questions, the question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1'... excuse me... '#2 and 3 to House Bill 2438?' This is final action. All those voting in favor signify by voting 'aye'; all those opposed signify by

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

voting 'nay'. The voting is open. All voted who wish? All voted who wish? All voted who wish? Yingling. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 115 voting 'aye', 0 voting 'nay', and 0 voting 'present'. The House does concur in Senate Amendments #2 and 3 to House Bill 2438. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Continuing on page 10, under the Order of Concurrences, we have House Bill 2521, Representative Gonzalez. Representative Gonzalez. Out of the record. Continuing on page 10, we have House Bill 2748, Representative Ness."

Ness: "Thank you, Speaker. I move to concur Senate Floor Amendment #2, which... the underlying Bill is... this is the Bill that extends a year of learning for students with disabilities who aged out during COVID. And the Amendment just... adjusted to more than three months of classroom instruction time, and it also removed all the opposition to the Bill. So, I urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Evans: "Any questions? We have Leader Bourne for questions. Any questions?"

Bourne: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. To the Bill. I just want to say thank you for working on this and getting to an agreed Bill.

I think this is an important piece of legislation, particularly when students were denied an in-person education over the last year. So, I would urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Evans: "Representative Ness to close."

Ness: "I just want to take a minute, first of all, to thank everybody that helped out with this Bill. First of all, my niece, Hannah Doyle, who is a new teacher. And her first

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

student teaching was in a transition classroom, and she decided to pursue that as a career. So, she brought this to my attention, and I appreciate that. As well as Phil Milsk, Barb Cohen, and Chris Yun for their help on this. And especially the Senate Sponsor, Senator Koehler, for helping us get this passed. So, thank you very much. This was my priority legislation for this Session, and I appreciate everybody who supported it. So, thank you."

Speaker Evans: "The question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 2748?' This is final action. All those voting in favor signify by voting 'aye'; all those opposed signify by voting 'nay'. The voting is open. All voted who wish? All voted who wish? Ford. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 115 voting 'aye', 0 voting 'nay', 0 voting 'present'. The House does concur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 2748. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Continuing on page 10 on the Calendar, under the Order of Concurrences, we have House Bill 2784, Representative Cassidy."

Cassidy: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move to concur in Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 2784. These Amendments are the result of ongoing conversations after we passed the Stephon Edward Watts Act, otherwise known as the Community Emergency Services and Support Act, over to the Senate unanimously. As promised, we continued to work with stakeholders. These Amendments represent changes requested by the 9-1-1 operators, the fire chiefs, and the sheriffs and really bring us to a time when we can really be proud of what

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

we can do here. And I'm standing with my colleague, who is also the mayor of Calumet City, because this Bill is the result of a horrific occurrence in his community. Stephon Edward Watts was a young man with autism whose parents often wondered and worried and feared that when they called... when they would need to call for help for him that he could be in danger. And their worst nightmares came true in 2012 when they sought help. They called 9-1-1, police came, and he was shot and killed. And I thank the Watts family for their ongoing advocacy, their dedication to ensuring that no other family has to experience what they did. implementation of CESSA, a mental health emergency will get a mental health response. And I thank everyone in this building for their help in getting us to this point. And I thank you, Mayor Jones, for standing with your constituents and with your family."

Speaker Evans: "Any questions from the Assembly? We have Representative Mazzochi for questions or comment."

Mazzochi: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Evans: "She indicates she will."

Mazzochi: "Thank you. So, one question that I had in connection with Senate Amendment #2 is there's a... there was a replacement to include the phrase 'lived experience'. Can you just confirm, for purposes of legislative intent, that that is not intended to overrule evidence-based practices in the context of responding to mental health crises?"

Cassidy: "That is correct."

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

- Mazzochi: "All right. And then relatedly, my notes also show that at one point the Insurance Association was opposed. Can you tell us what the status is of that, please?"
- Cassidy: "I never had any conversations with the insurance industry. They never came to us."
- Mazzochi: "Okay. And I also understand that at one point the Sheriffs' Association was also opposed?"
- Cassidy: "Yes. And the Amendments address their concerns. They're now neutral."
- Mazzochi: "All right. Thank you very much."
- Speaker Evans: "Seeing no further questions, the question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 and 2 to House Bill 2784?' This is final action. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye'; all those opposed signify by voting 'nay'. The voting is open. All voted who wish? All voted who wish? All voted who wish? All voted who wish? Slaughter, Harper, Hernandez. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 115 voting 'aye', 0 voting 'nay', and 0 voting 'present'. The House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 and 2 to House Bill 2784. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Returning to House Bill 2521, Representative Gonzalez."
- Gonzalez: "The Bill just adds some clarifying language on an effective date. I ask for an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Evans: "Seeing no question, the question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2521?' This is final action. All those in favor... we have questions? Representative Reick for questions."
- Reick: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

Speaker Evans: "He indicates that he will."

Reick: "We're showing some opposition to this Bill. Can you address that... those issues in opposition, please?"

Gonzalez: "Who's in opposition?"

Reick: "Let me bring... let me bring it up to me. School boards, CMS, and the Illinois Construction Industry Committee are all opposed. Can you please explain the issue of their opposition, please?"

Gonzalez: "I think their issue of opposition is more so related to the latter portion of the Bill, which is a..."

Reick: "And that those issues are what?"

Gonzalez: "The unfair... calling it an unfair labor practice to permanently replace the striking workers."

Reick: "Thank you. Thank you."

Speaker Evans: "Representative Mazzochi for questions."

Mazzochi: "Yes. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?" Speaker Evans: "He indicates that he will."

Mazzochi: "All right. So, I just want... so, first of all, when... in the Senate Amendment, on page 15, you've added a clause... so, just so I'm clear. It will now be, under your Bill, an unfair labor practice 'to promise, threaten, or take any action: (i) to permanently replace an employee who participates in a strike; (ii) to discriminate against an employee who is working or has unconditionally offered to return to work for the employer because the employee supported or participated in a lawful strike; or (iii) to lockout, suspend, or otherwise withhold employment from employees in order to influence the position of such employees or the representative of such

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

employees in collective bargaining prior to a lawful strike.'
That's one of the things you've added?"

Gonzalez: "Yep."

Mazzochi: "All right. Now, what is going to qualify under subsection (iii) as... in order to influence the position of such employees or the representatives of such employees in collective bargaining?"

Gonzalez: "Give me one second. Can you ask it one more time?"

Mazzochi: "Yeah. So, the predicate of... of the new subsection (10) that is coming back in the Senate Amendment, 'to promise, threaten'. You know that I get. Or 'take any action'. And then it can be to, 'lockout, suspend, or otherwise withhold employment from employees'... so... 'in order to influence the position of such employees or the representatives of such employees in collective bargaining'. So, for example, if... so, now, if an employee group has threatened a strike, management thinks that maybe it actually is going to go to a strike and it's going to be long and lengthy. Are you suggesting that management cannot actually then take any... cannot take any action to try to either find replacement employees or anything along those lines?"

Gonzalez: "They can find replacement employees during the duration of a strike. After the strike is over, that's where the problem arises. If a temporary replacement worker stays on, they become a permanent replacement worker. And at that point, that's what we'll calling an unfair labor practice."

Mazzochi: "Right. How is this consistent with... how is this not going to be preempted by Federal Law? We heard a lot in the

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

- Constitutional Amendment discussion that a lot of these types of things can be preempted by Federal Laws."
- Gonzalez: "Well, the law will be actually embody some of the language that's already existent in the PRO Act that's being considered in Congress, that's already been passed in the House, but hasn't been passed in the Senate. Once it would pass in the Senate, if and when it passes in the Senate, at that point, that would preempt this. This just makes sure that this is being protected at the state level."
- Mazzochi: "Right. But then how... but I guess the question is, is if, in fact, what we are trying to do at the state level in connection with some of these types of terms, particularly to the extent it applies under the National Labor Relations Act, if, in fact, it's already going to be... I thought the National Labor Relations Act was already preempting these types of activities."
- Gonzalez: "I believe the National Labor Relations Act... I believe it covers strikes related to unfair labor practices. This... with this Amendment, it would also protect economic strikes. So, that would be the further... the extra step that it will go in protecting workers."
- Mazzochi: "But wait, explain to me... explain to our Members what an economic strike is."
- Gonzalez: "Economic strike and unfair labor practice strike. An economic strike is a strike that's related to the wages, salaries, benefits, things like that. And an unfair labor practice strike is related to working conditions, such as during the pandemic. You know, unsafe working conditions, no PPE, things like that."

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

- Mazzochi: "All right. I mean, again, this... I guess the language that perhaps would be of concern is just when it comes to this question of 'taking any action'. So, what's going to qualify as the threshold for taking any action? Is it consulting with legal counsel? Is it going to be actually hiring someone? Is it going to be... who might be a replacement worker? Is it going to be engaging in the process of planning what to do in connection with the strike? Or does it not kick in until after the point in time where a strike has occurred and you're now hiring replacement individuals?"
- Gonzalez: "The 'take any action' part of it is when there's an execution of whatever is laid on there. So, in that sense, if the employer... in the end permanently replaces a worker, that's them taking action. So, it's just them following through... following through with whatever is in those three subsections."
- Mazzochi: "Okay. And then, to the extent we're talking about to discriminate against an employee who is working or who has unconditionally offered to return to work, what do you envision that process to look like? Again, I'm thinking of this...

Gonzalez: "Well, can you repeat that? One second."

Mazzochi: "...wait. Hang on. I'm thinking of, specifically, the scenario where you have an employer, say you had a long-term strike, substitute employees needed to be hired to replace them. Now, you're at the point where you've got a bunch of existing employees. You had employees on strike. What does an employer need to do to ensure they don't run afoul of this discriminate against an employee who is working concept?"

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

- Gonzalez: "Just... well, one... just one clarification. This will only apply to public employees because this would affect the Public Labor Relations Act and the Educational Labor Relations Act. So, that's one thing. The second, to your point... again, during the duration of a strike, an employer can hire a temporary replacement worker. So, they can hire a scab during that period. After the strike ends, and if that employee that was... if that scab is kept on, that's where the issue arises, that's where they run afoul of this language."
- Mazzochi: "Yeah. Well... so, since you brought up that this could apply in the educational context, let's assume you are in a situation. You're in higher ed, you have your adjunct faculty go on strike for a semester. Your school board says... says, okay, we're going to find replacement adjuncts for that semester. The strike ends mid-semester. Are you saying it would now be an unfair labor practice to keep on the individuals, the teachers who are... the adjunct faculty who are already teaching the classes for the students? Or it would only become problematic if they were retaliated against in the next semester's hiring cycle?"
- Gonzalez: "I'm having a hard time... I had a hard time following that. Can you repeat that one more time? My apologies."
- Mazzochi: "Yeah. So, because you said that one... I'll get closer to the microphone. You indicated that this could involve a scenario involving public education. So, I'm trying to use higher ed because..."

Gonzalez: "Yes."

Mazzochi: "...that's an easy example. So, if you're in higher ed, your adjunct faculty threatened to strike. And adjunct

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

faculty usually only have contracts for a semester anyways. They go on strike, say, for half of this semester. The labor resolution ends maybe three-quarters of the way in. Are you now saying that the school has to replace all of the other adjuncts who are teaching those classes with the striking adjuncts? Or at the very least can they wait till the next cycle, semester-wise, to... and that's where the nondiscrimination on employment has to occur?"

Gonzalez: "If the ones who were on strike are... the ones who are on strike are replaced, that's okay during the duration of the strike. After the strike ends, those adjunct professors that were on strike, they should be able to go back and hold the positions that are, at that point, being taken by the replacement workers/scabs."

Mazzochi: "Right. But do you understand why that's going to be really problematic for students in that particular situation? Because now you'd be in a situation where, here's a student, maybe for three quarters of the semester they've had one teacher teaching them who's gotten to know them, who's graded them, who's done assessments. You know, that's what I'm trying to understand when you're talking about the nondiscrimination in hiring. Does it then have to be immediate? You know, you lose your existing teacher and now someone has to all of a sudden jump in midway through the semester? Or is it the type of thing where as long as... as long as those individuals are allowed to finish out that semester, then you can start up at the new semester? You can't discriminate going into the next semester."

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

Gonzalez: "Well, I mean, in the end, the impetus is on the university to actually follow through with the bargaining and all that as soon as possible in order so the students can get back to being taught by the same teachers. So, in the end, I think the onus is more so on the employer, and that's what we were trying to do. We want to make sure that the workers are... have a lot more leverage in this case."

Mazzochi: "Right. But actually wouldn't that... if this is the rule that we set up, then won't the won't the employer negotiator actually have an incentive to postpone the strike and not reach agreement? Because it's going to be a nightmare to try to administer if all of the sudden you say, here's 400 classes where now you're going to... or more where you're going to have to start swapping people out and in, and deal with grades, and all the rest of it. So, that's why I'm just trying to get a sense of ... I completely would understand if you said, okay, now by the time we get to the next semester, you can't retaliate against an employee who is on strike. I get that. That's fair. But if you're in the middle of a semester, it's going... that's my concern, is that I would think... and I say this having been on the school board, you would be creating a perverse incentive to extend the strike period potentially. You know what I mean? And I don't think that's the goal of what this Amendment does... of the Amendment. That's why I'm just trying to get clarity on, can we just say, to the extent we're talking about taking action to permanently replace or to lockout, that that's going to apply to the next period?"

Gonzalez: "The incentive, I think, would be reversed in that instance. I think it will be more so the employer, given the

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

fact that they cannot keep the replacement workers permanently afterwards. They want to make sure that the strike is as small as possible so, I think, they can keep those that were already in those positions in the first place."

Mazzochi: "Right. But if you're in the middle of it. That's my point. I get it. I think that in an ideal world you'd be able to get all of the issues resolved before the semester starts. Ideally before the 14 or 30 day period that we're also enshrining into law in terms of when the adjuncts have to be notified of what their classes are. But if you're in the middle of a semester, that can't be helped, right? You're there. So, that's why I'm trying to get clarity as to what are you going to do from that point forward. And I just don't want to see us create a scenario where you're incentivizing someone to prolong a strike. Because that actually makes it easier to get to the end of whoever you've hired as a replacement than it is to try to switch somebody midstreams. That's not good for the striking employees."

Speaker Evans: "Representative Mazzochi, you've had a considerate amount of time. Can you please bring your remarks to a close?"

Mazzochi: "Yeah. No... so, you know... again, this is unfortunately a particular clause where I think could have benefitted from some actual vetting in committee on our side of the aisle. So, I'm not sure that this particular Senate Amendment is one we want to concur in. Thank you."

Speaker Evans: "Any further question or comments? We have Representative Halpin for questions."

Halpin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Evans: "He indicated he will."

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

- Halpin: "Representative, our initial analysis showed that CMS was opposed. Does this Amendment bring them to neutral or in support?"
- Gonzalez: "I believe that... I had spoken with the Governor's Office and they... I mean, they were speaking on behalf of CMS. So, once we spoke with the Governor's Office and we can..."
- Halpin: "I'm having a little trouble hearing you. Sorry."
- Gonzalez: "My bad. I had spoken with the Governor's Office as the stakeholders in this situation. We had come to an agreement.

 And with the agreement with the Governor's Office, they basically spoke on behalf of CMS."
- Halpin: "Okay. Thank you much. To the Bill... or the Motion. All the language that the previous speaker had brought up was in the underlying Bill before it came back to the Senate. With that language in there, there were 43 votes against workers on this Bill. The Amendment just clarifies that this only applies to where there's a lawful strike. It actually makes the Bill, I would argue, better for the folks that voted 'no'. But none of that is germane. Let's vote on this Bill and vote for workers. Thanks."
- Amendment #1 to House Bill 2521?' This is final action. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye'; all those opposed signify by voting 'nay'. The voting is open. All voted who wish? All voted who wish? All voted who wish? All voted who wish? All voted who received are 71 voting 'aye', 43 voting 'nay', and 0 voting 'present'. The House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2521. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

Majority, is hereby declared passed. Moving along to page 11, under the Order of Concurrences, we have House Bill 2878, Representative Stuart. Representative Stuart. Out of the record. Continuing on page 11, we have House Bill 3100, Representative Ramirez."

Ramirez: "Good afternoon, Speaker. I'd like to make a Motion to concur on Senate Committee Amendment 1."

Speaker Evans: "Please explain the Concurrence."

Ramirez: "This is actually just a technical Amendment to the Bill just to further clarify that any implicit bias training that, in fact, does happen will be already part of an initial mandated reporter training that every mandated reporter goes through. The Senate just wanted to make sure that we further clarified that the implicit bias training is part of a curriculum already in mandated reporter training."

Speaker Evans: "Thank you. The question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3100?' This is final action. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye'; all those opposed... we have questions? Representative Mazzochi for a question."

Mazzochi: "Actually... thank you, Mr. Speaker. To the Bill. This is another Bill that I did want to remind several of our Members, when it first came before us, there were 79 'yays', 39 'nays'. So, I certainly would like to ensure that our Members have an opportunity to look at this. Because I'm not necessarily sure that the particular Amendments that were made to House Bill 3100, which delete training, but it also still says 'the mandated reporter training shall include a section on implicit bias'. You know, this is still my understanding is

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

it's an unfunded mandate. And I understand that there is still some opposition to this Bill. I'm actually... maybe, Mr. Speaker, if I can ask the Sponsor a question?"

Speaker Evans: "Sponsor will yield."

Mazzochi: "Has all opposition to this Bill been removed?"

Ramirez: "It was my understanding that, when we provided this Amendment, that the Senator included that all opposition had been removed. No one has contacted me with any further opposition."

Mazzochi: "My understanding is that, for example, the Pro-Family Alliance is still opposed. And there are other organizations still opposed. So, who actually was moved to neutral or in support in connection with this Amendment?"

Ramirez: "It's my understanding, the Medical Society.

Mazzochi: "That they're... they're..."

Ramirez: "Because... that they were neutral on it because people were concerned that we would have to do an additional training. What we did with the Amendment was just to clarify there's not an additional training. Every single mandated reporter has to go through mandated reporter training. And within that mandated reporter training, there would just be a section on it. So, it might be just a few more minutes that will just remind us of what implicit bias is and how to make sure that that doesn't get in the way in the way that we engage and care for our children."

Mazzochi: "Well... so, I guess... that maybe raises an additional issue. So, how many hours... or how much time are you contemplating these implicit bias trainings are going to take out of the existing training requirements that someone goes

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

- through? And what's going to be taken away from, as part of the hours of training?"
- Ramirez: "The mandated reporter training will still be the same mandated reporter training. The agency, the Department of Children and Family Services, will work to build in a section on implicit bias. And I do not have an hour or a specific amount of time that they're going to be incorporating to what we already have."
- Mazzochi: "Sure. I'm just curious. Obviously, there's only so many hours in the day when it comes to training. What... I mean, do we have any understanding from the agency what types of training might actually get eliminated in order to add some additional time in connection with this?"
- Ramirez: "So, your question is what other training in the current mandated reporter training, that we have every mandated reporter training go through, will be removed in order to incorporate this implicit bias training, correct? That's your question?"
- Mazzochi: "No, yeah. No, I'm asking in order to have... I mean, I'm assuming they're not going... if we're not adding hours or time of training, then that means something is going to get shortchanged if you're going to add in some additional implicit bias testing. So, what's going to go out of the program... or of the mandatory training currently? Or is it just that every other issue is going to get less time?"
- Ramirez: "That is up to the people that have been contracted to do the curriculum for the Department of Children and Family Services to determine. My understanding is that, from what our conversations were, we were incorporating that in this,

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

versus what the initial Bill had, which was a specific training just on implicit bias. We now, with the Amendments we've made in this Bill, have made it part of what they already provide."

- Mazzochi: "Right. No, I understand. It's just, if we're not instructing them to add time, then something else is going to get less. And because it's DCFS, and that's a very sensitive issue, I think people would like to have more clarity on what's going to get shortchanged as part of the existing mandatory training programs. Thank you."
- Speaker Evans: "Seeing no further questions, the question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3100?' This is final action. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye'; all those opposed signify by voting 'nay'. The voting is open. All voted who wish? All voted who wish? All voted who wish? Carroll, Harper, West. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 77 voting 'aye', 36 voting 'nay', and 0 voting 'present'. The House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3100. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Continuing on page 11, we have House Bill 3317, Representative Welter."
- Welter: "Mr. Speaker, I would move to concur on Senate Amendment 1 on House Bill 3317. This Amendment comes from the Senate for this Domestic Violence Task Force. We removed one of the items regarding the purpose of the task force, which made it an agreeable Bill over in the Senate. And that is why it is back here on Concurrence."

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

- Speaker Evans: "Seeing no comments, the question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3317?' This is final action. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye'; all those opposed signify by voting 'nay'. The voting is open. All voted who wish? All voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 113 voting 'aye', 0 voting 'nay', 0 voting 'present'. The House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3317. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Continuing on page 11, under the Order of Concurrence, we have House Bill 3461, Representative Crespo."
- Crespo: "Thank you, Speaker. I move to concur with Senate Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 3461, which is basically cleanup language which defines grooming and updates the definition of evidence-informed. And as a reminder, House Bill 3461 is Erin's Law, which is now... after this Bill is passed, it will be required in all schools from K through 12. Happy to answer any questions."
- Speaker Evans: "Seeing no comments... any comments from the Assembly? Representative Mazzochi for questions, comments."
- Mazzochi: "Yes. These are good changes for our Members. I urge an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Evans: "Thank you. The question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 3461?' This is final action. All those voting in favor signify by voting 'aye'; all those opposed signify by voting 'nay'. The voting is open. All voted who wish? All voted who wish? All voted who wish? Guzzardi. Clerk, please take the record. On this

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

question, there are 115 voting 'aye', 0 voting 'nay', 0 voting 'present'. The House does concur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 3461. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Continuing on the Order of Concurrences, we have page 12. We have House Bill 3582, Leader Gabel."

Gabel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move to concur with Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 3582. This Amendment was made for the Chamber of Commerce to take away their opposition. It removes employees' ability to file a civil action suit when they believe their rights have been violated. It continues the current law, which allows an employee to file a complaint with the Department of Labor requesting a review of the alleged violence. So, this Bill made some changes to VESSA, the law that provides for employees to unpaid leave from work and protection from discrimination acts if that employee is a victim of any crimes of violence or if their family members were victims of crimes of violence."

Speaker Evans: "Any questions from the... I see Representative Mazzochi for questions."

Mazzochi: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Evans: "She indicates she will."

Mazzochi: "All right. So... so, this Bill actually did come out, not unanimously out of the Senate, but with many votes down. And I suspect one of the reasons why is on page 4. So, on page 4, you're expanding the scope of individuals who can... in terms of family or household member. So, you're... so, the Senate Amendment includes not only a victim of domestic violence, sexual violence, gender violence, and then you've

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

got 'or any other crime of violence', to start. So, tell me what's contemplated by 'any other crime of violence'?"

Gabel: "Well, this Bill was brought to me by a constituent whose son was murdered. And she… so, the crime wasn't done to her, but it was done to her son. So, she therefore had to spend time in court and in other places to deal with that issue. So, I believe that in the Senate the issue was the definition of family that disturbed some people."

Mazzochi: "I'll get to that next."

Gabel: "So, it was not that it was... it was not the... that it was expanded, it was the... they didn't like the definition of family. And actually I asked the Senate Sponsor about it, and he said they just don't like him over there."

Mazzochi: "All right. So, just to be clear then. So, the phrase 'any other crime of violence' is referring to a physical crime such as battery, murder, physical violence, if I understand you? Then... when you then say spouse. So, now it's being expanded to 'or party to a civil union'. You're adding grandparent, child, grandchild, sibling, right?"

Gabel: "Well, it all... yes. It depends on what family member will be affected by this."

Mazzochi: "Okay."

Gabel: "So, there are families now where grandparents raised children. The term family has just expanded."

Mazzochi: "Okay. And then... and who's actually going to be getting sued in this context?"

Gabel: "I don't think anybody is getting sued. Nobody is getting sued. They're just... this is just to allow people to have time off."

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

Mazzochi: "Okay. So, this is a leave issue. All right. Then you've also got any other person who shares a relationship through a child, or any other individual whose close association with the employee, is the equivalent of a family relationship as determined by the employee. So, that... I understand you're saying that was the particular language the Senate objected to?"

Gabel: "Yes. Yes."

Mazzochi: "All right. So..."

Gabel: "The Chamber of Commerce was fine with it."

Mazzochi: "Well, okay. But how was it... for benefits analysis purposes, how was someone supposed to assess whether an employee is the equivalent of a family relationship?"

Gabel: "That will be up to the employer."

Mazzochi: "Up to the employer. So, the employee… so, the employer can essentially… still has the right to assess whether this employee, who's making the assertion, is actually making a valid, good faith…"

Gabel: "Yes."

Mazzochi: "...assertion that they're in a family relationship? All right. Thank you very much."

Speaker Evans: "Leader Gabel to close."

Gabel: "So, this Bill will help many, many victims of violence.

It's a hard issue to deal with, and this Bill will make their lives a little bit easier. And I'd appreciate an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Evans: "The question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3582?' This is final action. All those in favor vote... signify by voting 'yes'; all those opposed signify by voting 'nay'. The voting is open. All voted

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

who wish? All voted who wish? All voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 105 voting 'aye', 9 voting 'nay', and 1 voting 'present'. The House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3582. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Continuing on page 12, under the Order of Concurrences, we have House Bill 3587, Representative Slaughter. Representative Slaughter. Out of the record. Chairwoman Lilly is recognized."

Lilly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The Women's Caucus will meet immediately after adjournment in Room 114. Thank you."

Speaker Evans: "Representative Lilly, would you like to clarify your announcement?"

Lilly: "The Illinois Women's Dem Caucus will meet in Room 114." Speaker Evans: "Representative Lilly."

Speaker Evans: "Thank you. Mr. Clerk, committee announcements."

Lilly: "When we recess."

Clerk Hollman: "The following committees will be meeting this afternoon. At 2:00 the Executive Committee is meeting in Virtual Room 1, Revenue & Finance is meeting in Virtual Room 2, Higher Education is meeting in Virtual Room 3. At 2:30 Ethics & Elections is meeting in Virtual Room 1, Health Care Availability & Accessibility will be in Virtual Room 2,

Speaker Evans: "Representative Morrison, for what reason do you seek recognition?"

Personnel & Pensions will meet in Virtual Room 3."

Morrison: "Thank you, Mr. Chair. On House Bill 3582, it was my intention to vote 'yes'."

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

Speaker Evans: "The record will reflect. Chairman Davis, for what reason do you seek recognition?"

Davis: "Thank you very much, Mr. Chair. I just want to ask anyone who knows who the owner of this coat is on my chair. It's been there since yesterday and nobody has come to claim it. It's a medium from Jos. A. Bank. So, too bad I'm not a medium, but maybe it belongs to somebody. And I just wanted to do that. And then secondly, Mr. Chair, on May 19, myself and Leader Butler announced the establishment of the Illinois Statehouse Taiwan Friendship Caucus. I indicated that I would introduce a Resolution just to acknowledge the establishment of that. I have a draft of the Resolution as well as cosponsor slips. So, if anybody would like to, I'd like to try to get it filed. Or at least get it filed appropriately today. So, if you want to come over and read the language, sign a cosponsor slip, please come to my desk this evening. Thank you very much."

Speaker Evans: "Attention, Members. The House will stand in recess to the call of the Chair, which is approximately expected to be about 3 p.m. The House will stand in recess till... the House shall stand in recess to 3 p.m. Thank you. The House shall be in order. The House shall be in order. We're going to continue on the Calendar, under the Order of House Bills... excuse me, Senate Bills-Third Reading. On page 2, we have Senate Bill 2158, Representative Buckner. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2158, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Evans: "Representative Buckner."

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

Buckner: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. SB2158 requires group or individual accident and health insurance policies and managed care plans to provide coverage for treatment to eliminate or provide maximum feasible treatment of nevus flammeus, which is also known as port-wine stains. It's a capillary malformation that usually presents a pink or red patch on folk's skin. This would not be just for cosmetic reasons, but the provision applies to state employee plans, plans administered under the Counties Code, Municipal Code, and the School Code as well. This is something I've been working on with Ann & Robert Lurie... Lurie Children's Hospital, which is in my district. I would be happy to answer any questions. And I would urge a 'yes' vote."

Speaker Evans: "Any Representative seeking recognition? We have Representative Frese for questions."

Frese: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Just to the Bill. As is obvious by the board, I am a supporter of your Bill, Representative. I think it's a great Bill. And as you pointed out, it's not for cosmetic reasons. It is for specific reasons that could interfere with vision. It could interfere with their ability to eat. A whole bunch of different things. And so, the medical profession has come a long way. They can now treat this. They can do a good job with it. I thank you for bringing the Bill. Look forward to supporting you. Thank you very much."

Speaker Evans: "Buckner to close."

Buckner: "I urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Evans: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill'... excuse me...
'Senate Bill 2158 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye'; all
those opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

who wish? All voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 116 voting in 'favor', 0 voting 'against', 0 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Moving along on Senate Bills-Second Reading. Page #5, we have Senate Bill 1822, sponsored by Leader Gordon-Booth, presented by Chairman Zalewski. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1822, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. The Bill was read for a second time previously.

No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendments 1 and 2 have been approved for consideration. Floor Amendment #1 is offered by Representative Gordon-Booth."

Speaker Evans: "Chairman Zalewski to present."

Zalewski: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Floor Amendments #1, and then we'll discuss Floor Amendments #2, are the TIF extensions. We had to add some TIFs from the Senate. So, we have our TIFs in the House and the Senate TIFs in Floor Amendment #2. I ask for their adoption."

Speaker Evans: "Representative Zalewski moves the adoption of Floor Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1822. All those in favor say 'aye'; all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments, Clerk?"

Clerk Bolin: "Floor Amendment #2 is offered by Representative Gordon-Booth."

Speaker Evans: "Representative Zalewski to present."

Zalewski: "Same concept. This is more of TIF extensions we would like to adopt into the main Bill."

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

Speaker Evans: "Representative Zalewski moves the adoption of Floor Amendment #2. All those in favor say 'aye'; all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Evans: "Third Reading. Please read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1822, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Evans: "Chairman Zalewski."

Zalewski: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is our annual TIF omnibus.

We've included TIFs from both your colleagues on the other side of the aisle and ourselves. I'd ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Evans: "Any questions? I see Representative Butler for questions."

Butler: "Just real quick, a question of the Sponsor? Mike, I noticed there was some references, I think, into the double TIF extensions. I know Springfield and things like that. We're just kind of cleaning up that provision where we got some of the double TIF extensions. Is that correct?"

Zalewski: "That sounds correct."

Butler: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Evans: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 1822 pass?'
All those in favor vote 'aye'; all those opposed vote 'nay'.
The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. With 112 voting in 'favor', 4 voting 'nay', 0 voting 'present', this Bill, having received... this Bill, having

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Committee Reports, Mr. Clerk."

"Committee Clerk Bolin: Reports. Representative Harris, Chairperson for the Committee on Rules reports the following committee action taken on May 30, 2021: recommends be adopted, referred to the floor is Floor Amendment(s) 3 to Senate Bill 2294; and recommends be adopted is the Motion to Concur for Senate Amendment(s) 2 for House Bill 1765. Representative Rita, Chairperson from the Committee on Executive reports the following committee action taken on May 30, 2021: recommends be adopted is Floor Amendment(s) 3 to Senate Bill 1697, and Floor Amendment(s) 2 to Senate Bill 2294. Representative Zalewski, Chairperson from the Committee on Revenue & Finance reports the following committee action taken on May 30, 2021: recommends be adopted is Floor Amendment(s) 1 to Senate Bill 58. Representative Stuart, Chairperson from the Committee on Higher Education reports the following committee action taken on May 30, 2021: recommends be adopted is Floor Amendment(s) 3 to Senate Bill 815. Representative Burke, Chairperson from the Committee on Ethics & Elections reports the following committee action taken on May 30, 2021: do pass as amended Standard Debate for Senate Bill 828; and recommends be adopted is Floor Amendment(s) 2 to Senate Bill 2406. Representative Greenwood, Chairperson from the Committee on Health Care Availability & Accessibility reports the following committee action taken on May 30, 2021: recommends be adopted, Motion to Concur with Senate Amendment(s) 1 to House Bill 68. Representative Halpin, Chairperson from the Committee on Personnel & Pensions reports the following committee action

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

- taken on May 30, 2021: recommends be adopted, Motion to Concur with Senate Amendment(s) 2 to House Bill 3004."
- Speaker Evans: "Moving along to page 6 on the Calendar, under the Order of Considerations... Postponed Consideration, we have Senate Bill 2136, offered by Leader Flowers. Leader Flowers. Out of the record. Beginning at the top of the Order of Concurrences, on page 7, we have House Bill 15. Disregard. And we're moving on to House Bill 18. Representative Scherer, House Bill 18. Clerk, please read the Bill. Just to present the concurrence, Representative Scherer."
- Scherer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I concur on House Bill 18, Senate Amendment 1. It just changes the word 'evaluate' to the word 'observe'."
- Speaker Evans: "Any questions? Seeing none, the question is,
 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill
 18?' This is final action. All those in favor signify by
 voting 'aye'; all those opposed by voting 'nay'. The voting
 is open. All voted who wish? All voted who wish? All voted
 who wish? Clerk, please take the record. On this question,
 there are 116 voting 'aye', 0 voting 'nay', 0 voting
 'present'. And the House does concur in Senate Amendment 1 to
 House Bill 18. And this Bill, having received the
 Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Moving
 along on page 7, we have House Bill 41, Representative
 Stuart."
- Stuart: "Thank you. I move to concur with Senate Amendment 1 on House Bill 41. It just simply adds the phrase 'if any' to make it clear that the offer of an in-state residential placement is just when available."

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

Speaker Evans: "Seeing no questions, the question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 41?' This is final action. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye'; all those opposed signify by voting 'nay'. The voting is open. All voted who wish? All voted who wish? All voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 116 voting 'aye', 0 voting 'nay', and 0 voting 'present'. The House does concur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 41. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Continuing on page 7... continuing over to page 8, we have House Bill 135, Chairwoman Mussman."

Mussman: "Thank you. Sorry. I would like to concur with Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 135. Should I do them both in tandem?"

Speaker Evans: "Yes."

Mussman: "Okay. Senate Floor Amendment 1 is a gut and replace Amendment that retains the underlying Bill but greater clarifies the language surrounding how it will be used within the insurance field. It indicates that pharmacists must meet the scope of a practice requirements indicated in the Bill. The health plan of the insurance company will cover the same service, specifically just provided by a licensed physician, advanced practice registered nurse, or a physician's assistant. The pharmacist is included in the health plans existing network of providers. And the reimbursement rate is negotiated in good faith between the pharmacists and the health plan. Senate Floor Amendment #2 provides the effective dates, indicating that the… sorry, the pharmacists' ability to dispense under the standing order will go into effect in

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

January 1 of 2022. But the insurance companies will not be required to provide coverage until one year after, in January 1 of 2023, giving them the ability to actually provide that service. Otherwise, nothing else in the Bill has changed. And I'm happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Evans: "Any questions or comments? I see Representative Mazzochi for questions."

Mazzochi: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Evans: "She indicates that she will."

Mazzochi: "All right. Representative, this is one of the Bills that is allowing for the over-the-counter purchase of birth control, right?"

Mussman: "It is not. Over-the-counter is not allowed, according to the FDA. Although, it is allowed over-the-counter in 40 other countries, but not in the United States."

Mazzochi: "Right. Well, let me put it to you this way. You're allowed... under the current version, as amended, a pharmacist, and pharmacist alone, can be the person who is deciding whether or not to permit someone to get a prescription for... oh, I'm sorry... to get birth control related items under this, right?"

Mussman: "So, yes. So, a person who would like to utilize this service, right, will go... just like they do with their own doctor right now. They would have a one-on-one personal consultation with the pharmacist. The pharmacist will discuss all forms of birth control to them and help them make a decision that is right for them. If they would like to have an IUD or anything else, the pharmacist will have to direct them back to their doctor. If they would like to access

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

hormonal contraception, the pharmacist will then help them fill out the same health rubric that they fill out in the doctor's office and use that information to determine whether or not they're an appropriate candidate for hormonal contraception. If any of those boxes are checked no, they will be sent back to their doctor for further consultation, just as is already the case. If they are an appropriate candidate for hormonal contraception, the pharmacist would be able to dispense to them a one-year supply, just as they're already allowed to do under State Law."

- Mazzochi: "All right. And hormonal contraception will not exclude the possibility of hormonal contraception that can be used as an abortifacient, correct?"
- Mussman: "That is something completely separate from this law.

 This law allows them to access basically birth control pills, rings, and patches."
- Mazzochi: "Right. But there's... but if someone wanted to go in saying, 'I want birth control pills', they... there's nothing stopping that person from then using those birth control pills as an abortifacient, correct?"
- Mussman: "Well, there's nothing that would stop them from using the birth control pills given to them by their doctor from doing the exact same thing. And I believe the morning after pill is already available over-the-counter."
- Mazzochi: "Right. Well, one of the things that we had discussed earlier was the fact that there... when you first brought this Bill forward, is that there was no minimum age in terms of who would be able to access the hormonal contraception. Looking at the Senate Amendments, is it true that there now...

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

there still is no minimum age for an individual to speak with a pharmacist? You haven't even set it at the age of 12. So, in theory, a 10 year old, 11 year old, could actually ask a pharmacist for contraception?"

- Mussman: "They could ask the pharmacist for contraception, but the pharmacist would, just as the doctor would, have the ability to use their professional judgement in determining whether or not it was appropriate for a young person to be on that medication. There is no medical reason why a minor cannot safely take birth control pills. In fact, it's actually safer for minors to take these products, as compared to many people who are older and have other complications. And it's actually safer for them to take birth control than to risk an unplanned pregnancy. Pregnancies are inherently dangerous, especially if you're a minority in our state."
- Mazzochi: "That's completely, scientifically untrue. And I don't know who's telling you that. If you were to give a 10 year old, particularly a prepubescent 10 year old, a hormonal medication, that is absolutely something that can be damaging. And, likewise, this is not limited to a particular gender being able to access hormonal birth control, correct?"
- Mussman: "Again, the pharmacist is a medical professional with appropriate training who would make a professional determination as to whether or not it was appropriate for the patient in question to have birth control."
- Mazzochi: "And what are you defining as the appropriate training that the pharmacist will have obtained?"
- Mussman: "So, the pharmacist actually will have to undergo… and I don't have that in front of me at the moment. We could look

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

that up very quickly. The pharmacist actually has to go through enhanced training. The fact that this law passes..."

Mazzochi: "How many hours?"

Mussman: "...does not mean that any pharmacist can simply do this upon its effectiveness date. They have to go through a training program that will be set up in conjunction with IDFPR and the pharmacists."

Mazzochi: "Right. So, is there any minimum training requirement?

Is it going to be an hour, two hours, a day, a week? Maybe a semester class?"

Mussman: "So, DPH shall adopt rules requiring a pharmacist to complete an accredited training program that is approved by DPH, related to the patient's self-screening risk assessment, patient assessment contraceptive counseling and education, and the dispensal of hormonal contraceptions.

Mazzochi: "Right."

Mussman: "It does not indicate the number of hours that the training must be, simply that DPH must adopt the rules."

Mazzochi: "Right. Okay. Yeah. I've got a lot of faith in DPH adopting those rules, and I say that sarcastically. Yeah, given the way in which this was drafted, given that this is essentially allowing children to get access to birth control without any parental notice, oversight, consent, et cetera, I urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Evans: "Representative Bourne for questions."

Bourne: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Evans: "She indicates she will."

Bourne: "Thank you. I just wanted to clarify actually a comment that Leader Mazzochi just made and ask it in question form.

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

I'm looking at a different statute, but at what... I know there isn't an age listed in the Bill. So, is there an age at which parents or a caregiver would not have to be... would not have to consent to this?"

Mussman: "There is no... there is no age. This is not the parental notification Bill."

Bourne: "No, I understand. I'm talking about parental consent for a minor to receive medication."

Mussman: "So, right now, there is no consent required if a minor were to go and seek out the same service from a doctor. A doctor would be able..."

Bourne: "Okay. Thank you."

Mussman: "...to use their own medical judgement and private consultation with the minor, without consulting their parent, and determine whether or not to write a prescription for that minor."

Bourne: "That's fine. I just wanted to clarify what the current law was."

Mussman: "Right. So, right now, a minor can already access birth control without their parents' knowledge."

Bourne: "Okay. And this is different than other medical procedures, right? So..."

Mussman: "I'm not sure..."

Bourne: "...these are... these are separate. So, in Illinois, you have to have parental consent for a medical procedure. This is... I'm just trying to clarify statute. I'm not trying to be combative with my questioning. I just want to understand what the current law is."

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

Mussman: "So, the current law allows a doctor to make a determination with their professional judgement, based on the individual case, as to whether or not that minor should have access. The standing order would extend the same professional judgement to a pharmacist."

Bourne: "Thank you. I appreciate that. And I don't... I don't have an issue with this Bill beyond potentially including an age limit. I think that if we were doing this for 18 and up or if we... you want to use the age of consent, I have... I would be much more likely to support this. But because of that, I have some concerns. So, I appreciate you answering my questions and being able to clarify that for me."

Speaker Evans: "Representative Frese for questions."

Frese: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Evans: "She indicates that she will."

Frese: "I will agree, Representative, with the previous Representative's response, at that it's very concerning about the younger age. So, I just want to be specific about... a person would go into a pharmacy and basically do a self-diagnostic. Fill out a form for the pharmacist to see. So... and then the pharmacist would make the assessment and then could fill a prescription or not, correct? So, perhaps a 13 year old is filling out a self-assessed form. Okay. I understand pharmacists are very, very professional. But at what point do they say... or how do they diagnose within themselves, okay, this person may be too young to make these decisions or really know fully about their body to do this? So, can you help me out with that?"

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

Mussman: "So, it's the same as any doctor, right? So, the girl will go in. She'll have a consultation with the doctor. She'll fill out the same health rubric. The pharmacist is going to do the same thing. He's going to have a discussion with her about why she wants to use the birth control and what kinds of birth control may be effective for that purpose. And again, make a determination based on his professional education, just as the doctor would, about whether or not that is appropriate."

Frese: "Okay."

Mussman: "He does not have to dispense it..."

Frese: "Right."

Mussman: "...simply because it's been requested."

Frese: "Wouldn't the pharmacist make a better assessment if he knew the person's medical history? And is that anywhere on the form?"

Mussman: "That is the purpose of the form. The form is... you filled out the forms yourself at the doctor's office. It asks you about your medical history. He is receiving the same information that a doctor would receive. A doctor does not need to perform a pelvic exam or do anything else in order to make the same determination. And I will also remind you that, in this day and age, girls can buy this off the Internet using the same procedure. They will fill out a health rubric. It will be evaluated by a doctor somewhere else in the universe. And then they will make a determination about sending her birth control pills. They will never meet or interact with her and very likely never speak to her in person. So, if you

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

think you are protecting people from getting access, that horse has already left the barn."

Frese: "Are the pharmacists concerned that they are going to have to make a judgement based on what a 13 or 14 year old person is putting on a piece of paper?"

Mussman: "It is a risk for the doctor as well.

Frese: "Yeah."

Mussman: "If someone is going to lie...

Frese: "They're willing to take that risk."

Mussman: "...they are going to lie to the doctor, just as well as they can lie to the pharmacist. And again, if the pharmacist has reason to believe that that juvenile is not providing authentic information, they can say no. And I appreciate that we're talking about these extremes, and we should. But I will also remind you, the vast majority of people who are accessing this tool, as it already exists in numerous other states that have passed it, are 18 to 24 year olds."

Frese: "Yeah. And I understand both sides of this. And I think I will come right back to the previous Representative who said, if it just wasn't for these minors, we would probably be... might rid a hundred or more."

Mussman: "And I appreciate that. I will also remind you that the gynecologists, the people who are the ones actually doing this now, are in support of this Bill, along with the State Medical Society. They actually would like it to just be available over-the-counter so a woman could make determination about going to buy it for themselves just as they could buy Nyquil.

Frese: "And you said..."

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

Mussman: "Right? But that is not allowed under the FDA at this point. So, here is another opportunity to safely access this medication under the direction of a medical professional."

Frese: "And you said the Insurance Council, where are they at currently? Are they still on..."

Mussman: "The insurance companies are neutral."

Frese: "They're neutral now?"

Mussman: "Yes."

Frese: "And I'm going to go way out on a very thick and wide limb that the Pro-Family Alliance is still in opposition to it, correct?"

Mussman: "I believe that is the case. Yes."

Frese: "Okay. Okay. Well, again, because of the reasons stated, because of the minors and the issues, I think what we're going to have... it's just going to happen that minors are going to fill out something that they don't know, whether or not... maybe they have... maybe they're iron level is wrong for the type of prescription that they're going to get, the iron in their blood, and it's going to cause an issue, and we're going to hear about it. You know, life isn't 100 percent, we know that. They have to make the best decisions. I just think this is opening it up for other just poor decisions to be made that could injure our youth. And for that purpose, I think I'll just be voting 'no'. Thank you very much."

Speaker Evans: "Representative Cassidy for comments or questions."

Cassidy: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Evans: "Indicates she will."

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

Cassidy: "Representative, are you a physician or a pharmacist or a medical professional?"

Mussman: "I am not."

Cassidy: "To your knowledge, are there any in our chamber?"

Mussman: "Representative Brady, I... Paul Jacobs. Representative Jacobs, perhaps?"

Cassidy: "But he's an optometrist or an ophthalmologist, right?"
So, there are no gynecologists or pharmacists in our chamber?"

Mussman: "There are not."

Cassidy: "Were there gynecologists and pharmacists engaged in the crafting of this legislation?"

Mussman: "Absolutely. And they are in support."

Cassidy: "And so, what you're saying is that you're presenting a piece of legislation, crafted by medical professionals who work in this space, making their best recommendations for what our state policy should be?"

Mussman: "Yes."

Cassidy: "To the Bill. It's amazing how the simple word contraception gets our friends on the other side of the aisle all up in arms again. Let medical professionals set the policy. It's really that simple. Vote 'yes'."

Speaker Evans: "Our final comment on this matter, Representative Grant."

Grant: "Thank you. To the Bill. I do have an objection, of course, with the minor part of it, but apparently we're not going to get anywhere on that. But my second objection is letting women use a pharmacist instead of her regular OB/GYN. Using a pharmacist to bypass an OB/GYN exam decreases the ability of

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

early detection of a life-threatening sickness. So, this is just a bad Bill."

Speaker Evans: "Mussman to close."

"So, to that point. I would like to indicate that there are already more than 13 states that have put this into action. There is no recorded reason to believe that... there's no data that indicates that women who have the ability to access medical care do not continue to seek out routine health consultations, like their usual pap smears and whatnot. That is not going to happen. So, again, the OB/GYNs are in support of this Bill. They do not believe that it puts their patients at an enhanced risk of anything else. And, in fact, they believe that it is safer for them to more easily and more consistently be able to take this medication in order to prevent an unplanned pregnancy, which is inherently dangerous. I would like to remind everyone that this left the Senate committee unanimously and did receive bipartisan support on the other side of the chamber. And I'm very glad to hear that. And this work is very vital to our women. Please support them."

Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 135?' This is final action. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye'; all those opposed signify by voting 'nay'. The voting is open. All voted who wish? All voted who wish? All voted who wish? All voted who wish? Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 70 voting 'aye', 44 voting 'nay', and 0 voting 'present'. The House does concur in Senate Amendment 1 and 2 to House Bill 135. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

declared passed. Continuing on page 8, under the Order of Concurrences, we have House Bill 214, Leader Brady."

Brady: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. To House Bill 214, I'd like to concur the Bill. It simply brings together a Senate Amendment as agreed language between the Illinois State Medical Society and the Illinois Society of Advanced Practicing Nurses. I'd be happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Evans: "Any comment or questions? We have Representative Frese."

Frese: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Question of the Sponsor?"

Speaker Evans: "He's yield."

Frese: "Representative, we've talked about this Bill and you didn't include PAs in this Bill, but there's really no... probably no reason why they couldn't be because of their expertise, their certification, and their practice. And so, it's okay with you if we follow up in the future with a trailer Bill, would include PAs on this?"

Brady: "Yes. As we've discussed, I'm certainly open to that. But I think it's important to note that starting in this area with the advanced practical nurses and not overloading the system is important as we retool the electronic system in this case."

Frese: "I agree."

Brady: "So, I certainly look forward to working with that."

Frese: "I agree with you. I support your Bill. 'Aye' vote."

Brady: "Thank you."

Speaker Evans: "The question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 214?' This is final action. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye'; all those opposed

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

signify by voting 'nay'. The voting is open. All voted who wish? All voted who wish? Tarver. Davis. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 115 voting 'aye', 0 voting 'nay', and 0 voting 'present'. The House does concur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 214. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Continuing on page 8, we have House Bill 375, Representative Smith."

Smith: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 375 is on Concurrence from the Senate with an Amendment that removes the provisions allowing the adjunct professor to have access to the electronic class roster displaying class enrollment. That Bill has removed all opposition. All the universities, institutions are on board now. So, let's get it done. I urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Evans: "Any questions? Representative Mazzochi."

Mazzochi: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Evans: "He indicates that he will."

Mazzochi: "All right. So, one of the Amendments that was made on the House side was to say that this... that whatever this access to the 30... I'm sorry... the 30 days' notice and the 14 days' notice before the beginning of the term, those are going to be trumped if there's a collective bargaining agreement in place, correct?"

Smith: "Collective bargaining has no place in this Bill. It doesn't affect the collective bargaining at all."

Mazzochi: "Well, wait a minute. Hang on. Because on page 2, subpart (d), going forward, there were a whole host of provisions in there that said, 'Collective bargaining

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

- agreements that are in effect on the effective date of this amendatory Act are exempt from the requirements of this Section.' Are you saying that that's now been taken out?"
- Smith: "I'm not sure where... what you're looking at. I really don't know."
- Mazzochi: "I'm looking at the... so, the Senate Amendment 1..."
- Smith: "Senate Amendment 1 only removes the part of the Bill that allowed access to the class roster. That's the only thing Senate Amendment 1 does."
- Mazzochi: "Right. But if I look at the engrossed version of HB375, subpart... page 1, lines 21 through 23. Says, 'Collective bargaining agreements that are in effect on the effective date of this amendatory Act of the 102nd General Assembly are exempt from the requirements of this Section.' So, has that been removed or is that still in place?"
- Smith: "Could you... could you give me that page number and Section again, please?"
- Mazzochi: "Yeah. I'm looking at the engrossed Bill, which is... so, page 1, lines 21 to 23, part (d). So, I agree that... I know that your original Bill did not have that. I believe this was added in as part of the House Amendment. And then, I just wanted to know, is that staying in the Senate version or is that being deleted?"
- Smith: "It only... the only changes we've made in the House Amendment was the changing of... from 60 days of notification to 30 days and 14 days. We did not touch collective bargaining in the House Amendment. Maybe your information is outdated."
- Mazzochi: "No. I'm looking at 102nd General Assembly, HB375. If we look at the engrossed version of the Bill... do you have on

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

your page 1, lines 21 to 23, 'Collective bargaining agreements that are in effect on the effective date of this Amendatory Act of the 102nd General Assembly are exempt from the requirements of this section'?"

Smith: "Well, I think I've said that already. I said collective bargaining has nothing to do with it. You just said it was an exempt... it was exempt. So, what are you... what's your question?"

Mazzochi: "Right. So, going forward, if this notice requirement, the 30 days before the beginning of the term and 14 days before the beginning of the term, is that something that, likewise, can be the subject of a collective bargaining or is the statute going to control?"

Smith: "Collective bargaining is not affected by this Bill."

Mazzochi: "Well, but that's what I'm trying to understand. Because you're only saying, as I read the language that you have here, 'collective bargaining agreements that are in effect on the effective date of this Amendatory Act are exempt', but not necessarily going forward."

Smith: "Exactly. You're correct."

Mazzochi: "Right. So... and I just wanted to make sure that even with the Senate Amendment you are still...

Smith: "That hasn't changed."

Mazzochi: "All right. So, I wanted... to the Bill. I just want to let our Members know that many of you were opposed to this last time for a variety of reasons. I think there's still some concern that the statute may exempt the collective bargaining agreement. So, I'll let the Members decide for themselves. Thank you."

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

Speaker Evans: "Seeing no further questions, the question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 375?' This is final action. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye'; all those opposed signify by voting 'nay'. The voting is open. All voted who wish? All voted who wish? All voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 82 voting 'aye', 34 voting 'nay', 0 voting 'present'. The House does concur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 375. And this Bill, having received the Majority, is Constitutional hereby declared Representative Cassidy, for what reason do you recognition?"

Cassidy: "Point of personal privilege."

Speaker Evans: "Please speak your point."

Cassidy: "Mr. Speaker, and Members of the House, I want to thank everyone from the bottom of my heart for the way we, as a Capitol family, stepped up for our Page, Aaron Bailey, when his mom died. To give you guys an update, we've raised just short of \$14 thousand to help him and his family recover. And Aaron wrote some words that he asked me to share with you. As written by Aaron Bailey: 'First, I want to say thank you very much to everyone from the bottom of my heart, to both sides of the aisle. I also want to say thank you to the Senate staff, lobbyists, and others who donated to the GoFundMe account. Your prayers and kind gestures during this difficult time have shown me an outpouring of support and let me know that in the 15 years I have worked here nothing has gone in vain. I know I've forged many friendships with current and former Legislators, staff, and lobbyists. It's a great

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

feeling knowing that my work family is here for me when I need them the most. And it truly makes me realize that I need everyone more than I thought. Losing a family member is never easy, especially someone who was as close to me as my mother was. Her wisdom, strength, and everlasting love will always be with me. I would also like to thank Representative Cassidy from the bottom of my heart for her kindness and support during this difficult time. When I found out what she and the others have done for me, it was completely unbelievable. Words cannot express the thanks for what everyone has done for me. I am forever grateful. Aaron Bailey.'

Speaker Evans: "Thank you, Aaron Bailey. We appreciate you. And all the best to your family. Continuing on the Order of Concurrence, on page 8, House Bill 399, Representative Moylan. Representative Moylan, please present your Concurrence."

Moylan: "Thank you, Ladies and Gentlemen, Mr. Speaker. I fully concur with the provision that's provided."

Speake Evans: "Can we get a quick synopsis?"

Moylan: "Yes, Sir. Sir, SCA #1 to HB399 is a page and line Amendment that replaces a representative from the metropolitan organization, as a sitting member of the commission, with a representative from the Chicago metropolitan agency. Thank you."

Speaker Evans: "Thank you so much, Chairman. Any questions? Representative Morrison for questions."

Morrison: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?" Speaker Evans: "He indicates that he will."

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

Morrison: "Representative, thank you for that passionate endorsement of this Bill. So, anyway, I would like to ask you a few questions."

Moylan: "Sure."

Morrison: "Have you talked to members of your... or constituents in your district?"

Moylan: "Yes, I have."

Morrison: "Okay. And when... I know that you talk to a lot of constituents, as I do. And I'm sure the number one topic that they bring up is, doggone it, we got to get to St. Louis 15 minutes faster, right?"

Moylan: "Yes. Besides raising property taxes, that's the number... second issue."

Morrison: "The second issue? Okay. All right. Well, I'm sure that that is true for all the Members of this Body, that we just got to pour hundreds of millions of dollars more into this. There is a long line of people just demanding to crisscross the state faster. We've got to just pour money into this. We've got plenty of money to throw around. So, anyway, to the Bill. Again, Members, this is just a commission, but we've got to just nip this in the bud. If you look at what's going on across the country, the publically financed projects. California is probably the most famous example. It has been a boondoggle from the beginning. They estimated that that would cost around \$37 billion. Now the estimates are \$100 billion. In Illinois, they projected it would cost 25 billion. So, let's go ahead and triple that. I'll close with the words of a writer from The Sacramento Bee. Again, this was an issue in California. He said, 'The need for high speed train exists

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

only in the minds of its ardent backers.' And, Ladies and Gentlemen, that's really what we're talking about. The planners are trying to plan for people like themselves. This is not a big demand for this. We don't have the money for it. There's increasing technologies from electric cars, self-driving cars, air taxies. Let's not spend any more money on pouring billions, maybe a \$100 billion, into something that has a very, very limited public use. There's ways to spend money on public transit. That's where we have density, not across the prairies of the Midwest. Thank you. Vote 'no'."

Speaker Evans: "Any further comments? Representative Mazzochi."

Mazzochi: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Evans: "He indicates that he will."

Mazzochi: "So, the Senate has required a representative of the Region 1 Planning Council. What's the Region 1 Planning Council?"

Moylan: "That's a council of Region 1."

Mazzochi: "Which is located where and comprised of whom?"

Moylan: "In the State of Illinois."

Mazzochi: "Right. Within the State of Illinois. Where is the Region 1 that this is referring to?"

Moylan: "Yes. If you read the ... please read and you'll find out."

Mazzochi: "Right. Region 1, where is it?"

Moylan: "Yes. It's in the State of Illinois."

Mazzochi: "Do you know any cities that are... that followed..."

Moylan: "Yes. There's numerous cities in the State of Illinois."

Mazzochi: "Which are?"

Moylan: "I... I'll follow your lead."

Mazzochi: "Do you know... do you know what any of them are?"

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

Moylan: "Yes."

Mazzochi: "Okay. List them for me, if you would?"

Moylan: "I'll talk to you later, afterwards."

Mazzochi: "The East-West Gateway Council of Governments. Who's that?"

Moylan: "That's another organization within the State of Illinois that support it."

Mazzochi: "And do you know anything about who they are or what they do?"

Moylan: "Oh, yes. They're a vast organization that is very concerned with high-speed rail."

Mazzochi: "So, it looks like we're, once again, looking at a Bill where there's a superficial appeal but no depth to the analysis. So, a lot of us voted for a Capital Bill. And one of the reasons why we voted for it is because we wanted to see an improvement in our railway stations and in our public transportation access. And in that time, very little has actually been done to improve upon the existing transit systems that we have. These are the transit systems that people actually need to get to work, need in the Chicagoland area. And while... oh, and while I appreciate that this is just a commission, it still requires all sorts of administrative support. It is going to spend money. And I would really, before we start getting focused on this, would like to actually see the real railway line improvements that actually need to get made in our districts made before we start going off on another tangent about, apparently, which the Sponsor doesn't know too much. Thank you."

Moylan: "Yes."

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

Speaker Evans: "Any further comments? Representative Ugaste for questions."

Ugaste: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will... I appreciate the comments of my colleagues on this side of the aisle that we do need to improve the transit we have. I believe that's why we did pass the Capital Bill. Sorry. I appreciate the comments from my colleagues on this side of the aisle about the need to improve the existing infrastructure and the money that we raised in the Capital Bill. But to say high-speed rail is something that we shouldn't even consider, I completely disagree on. In fact, I'm a bit depressed by the fact that our rail system that we are looking to design as high speed only moves at a 110 miles an hour when the rest of the world's doing 220 miles an hour. And we are supposed to be a leader in the world as far as technology, transportation, and all those things. So, I would like to say, I'm full support of this Bill. I thank the Sponsor for bringing it. And I hope it actually leads to true high-speed rail in Illinois one day because we are the hub and spoke of the Midwest, as well as the rest of the nation, for rail. And would ask for your vote to support this Bill. Thank you."

Speaker Evans: "The question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 399?' This is final action. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye'; all those opposed signify by voting 'nay'. The voting is open. All voted who wish? All voted who wish? All voted who wish? All voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. There being 101 voting 'aye', 14 voting 'nay', and 0 voting 'present', the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 399. And this Bill,

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed."

Speaker Manley: "Manley in the Chair. Chair recognizes Representative McCombie."

McCombie: "Speaker, can you please let the record reflect... and my dearest apologies to Representative Nick Smith for voting 'no' on a Bill I Sponsor, HB375."

Speaker Manley: "We'll make a note of that."

McCombie: "Thank you."

Speaker Manley: "Proceeding to Senate Bills on Second Reading, page 3. Senate Bill 58, Leader Evans. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 58, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. The Bill was read for a second time previously. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1 is offered by Representative Evans."

Speaker Manley: "Leader Evans on the Amendment."

Evans: "Thank you. I had a good Bill, but my Southern Illinois friends wanted to make it better. So, this Bill reduces a fee for trailers. It was a Bill for Marty Moylan. Please, please, please. Thank... thank our friend Marty Moylan. Marty Moylan loves Southern Illinois. So, thank you, Marty Moylan, for making my good Bill better. And I ask for your adoption."

Speaker Manley: "Representative Reick, we are going to adopt the Amendment and move it to Third and discuss it on Third. Leader Evans moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 58. Those in favor say 'aye'; opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk, any further Amendments?"

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Manley: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 58, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Manley: "Leader Evans."

Evans: "Take it out of the record. We have to discuss a technical Amendment. So, just hold it on Third. I might be back."

Speaker Manley: "Out of the record. Moving to Bills-Consideration Postponed. Page 6, Senate Bill 2136, Representative Flowers."

Flowers: "Thank you, Madam Chairman and Members of the committee.

House..."

Speaker Manley: "Leader Flowers, pardon me one second. Leader Flowers, we're going to roll the Bill back to Second so that you can adopt your Amendment."

Flowers: "Thank you."

Speaker Manley: "Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2136, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. The Bill was read for a second time previously.

No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1 has been adopted.

Floor Amendment #2 is offered by Representative Flowers."

Speaker Manley: "Leader Flowers on the Amendment."

Flowers: "Thank you, Madam Chairman, and Members of the committee. I move for the adoption of Amendment #2. Amendment #2 is a page and line Amendment that removes the portion of the underlying Bill that reflects the drug test requirement for expungement and sealing of records associated with drug felonies. And I just would like to say, Madam Chairman... Madam Speaker, that this a very important issue because people have served their time and they're still serving their time because

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

they haven't passed a drug test or they can't afford to pass a drug test. This is a battle that needs to be discussed. It needs to be fought for. But it's a battle for another time and place. But in the meantime, Amendment #2 does remove that portion of the underlying Bill that deals with the drug testing requirement for expungement and sealing of records associated with drug felonies. And I would appreciate an 'aye' vote for the adoption of Amendment #2."

Speaker Evans: "Evans in the Chair. Leader Flowers moves the adoption of Floor Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 2136. All those in favor vote 'aye'... excuse me. All those in favor say 'aye'; all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Evans: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2136, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. The Bill was read for a third time previously."

Speaker Evans: "Leader Flowers is recognized."

Flowers: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 2136 provides a person may file a 2-1401 petition at any time if his or her final order or judgement, which was entered based on a plea of guilty or nolo contendere, has potential federal immigration consequences. And also, it provides for the creation... it creates a process for vacating and expunging a conviction for a Class 4 felony violation of prostitution. And I would appreciate an 'aye vote. Thank you."

Speaker Evans: "Any discussion? Representative McCombie is recognized."

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

McCombie: "Thank you. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Flowers: "Yes. Thank you."

Speaker Evans: "Sponsor indicates that she will."

McCombie: "Thank you, Leader Flowers. I have to say thank you very much for working with many of the Members on the concerns that we had initially on this Bill. Just want to clarify a few things for all of the Members in the Body. First, being concerned of the 21-1401 (sic-2-1401) petition that you first mentioned. Any individual may at any time institute proceedings, meaning that it will go to a court. Is that correct?"

Flowers: "That is correct."

McCombie: "Okay. Secondly, the expungement portion... so, don't let the title scare some people. The portion... the expungement is... it's not automatic. Is that correct?"

Flowers: "That is correct."

McCombie: "All right. And the biggest concern that we had on our side of the aisle was the drug component. And that has been put back into the original... from the original statute. Is that correct?"

Flowers: "That is correct."

McCombie: "Thank you very much. You have my support."

Flowers: "And thank you very much, Representative."

Speaker Evans: "Any further questions or comments? We have Representative Mazzochi."

Mazzochi: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. To the Bill. Again, this is an area where there was a split vote in the Jud - Crim Committee when this came out. So, again, I think there's going to be difference of opinion on our side of the aisle. Thank you."

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

Speaker Evans: "Seeing no further discussion, Leader Flowers to close."

Flowers: "I would appreciate an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Evans: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 2136 pass?'
All those in favor vote 'aye'; all those opposed vote 'nay'.
The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 67 voting in 'favor', 38 voting 'against', 0 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Moving along to page 12, under the Order of Agreed Resolutions, we have House Resolution 355, Representative... excuse me, 365, Representative Davidsmeyer. 361, excuse me."

Davidsmeyer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. HR361... didn't think this would be tough. COVID was tough on everybody, but it was specifically tough on my legislative director and her family. My dad's best friend was diagnosed with cancer and passed right before Christmas of 2020. It came on quickly, and my motto in my office really paid off. Family first. And by that I mean, if you're family needs you, you go. My legislative director, Megan McGuire, great person. We've been friends since we were in high school. She helps train our LAs on this side of the aisle 'cause she is incredibly good. She's great with people, has great relationships with all of the departments. Her mom, Pat, and my mom have been friends for a long time. John was a hell of a guy. He was one of those guys that you met for the first time and he was your friend right away. He always had a joke. He was just funny as heck. Heck of a golfer. It just... no effort. The guy walked out there

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

and his swing... and I'm not a golfer. I'm not very good at golf. But his swing didn't look like much, but he was a heck of a golfer. Played very often. He was a great dad to Megan and Kelli. Kelli lives up in Chicago. Megan lives in my district. He was a grandfather to Claire and Alex, twins who are about to turn 16 here fairly soon. And he was really good friends with his son-in-law Ryan, who is a good friend of mine. This guy, like I said, he was the life of the party. He wasn't a hugger. So, every time I saw him I tried to give him a hug. But he was one of those guys who didn't want to get close. But I'm doing this because he was an amazing guy and his life... I want to read just a little piece of the Resolution that I think really explains him. It says, 'John Langdon enjoyed life above all else and believed almost any day could be made better with good music, good company, or a good story.' I hope that we can take a quick moment of silence and raise a glass for a great guy. This is in honor of my friend John Langdon."

Speaker Evans: "Thank you. The Body will take a moment of silence. Thank you. Representative Davidsmeyer moves for the adoption on a voice vote. Representative Davidsmeyer moves for the adoption of House Resolution 361. All those in favor say 'aye'; all opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Resolution is adopted. Continuing on the Order of Agreed Resolutions, we're on page 13, with House Resolution 254, Leader Gabel. Leader Gabel is recognized."

Gabel: "Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Bill is a.m. this Resolution calls to.m. for us to.m. let me look. Sorry,

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

one second. It resolves for us to ask the House of Representatives of the Federal Government, the U.S. Congress, to end the embargo with Cuba. And the reason that I think this is an important Resolution is because, here in Illinois, we export some of great things that Cuba can use. Like corn and soybeans and pork and wheat and cattle and dairy products. That's something that that country could definitely use. So, to increase our exports and to allow the people of Cuba to be able to live peacefully, I am putting forward this Resolution."

Speaker Evans: "Thank you, Leader Gabel. Any discussion? Representative Mazzochi."

Mazzochi: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. We would like a roll call, please."

Speaker Evans: "Thank you. Representative Lewis is recognized."

Lewis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Evans: "She indicates that she will."

Lewis: "Representative Gabel, we talked in our international trade meeting. Is Cuba currently on the national... the U.S. sponsored terrorism list for the country?"

Gabel: "I did see that right before Trump left office, on January 12 of '21, he did put Cuba on that list."

Lewis: "Has President Biden removed them?"

Gabel: "Not at this point, but we certainly expect him to."

Lewis: "I would just suggest taking that into account that we would be doing a Resolution supporting a country that is currently a state sponsor of terrorism. Very happy to entertain this Bill after President Biden does what you wish."

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

Speaker Evans: "Thank you. Representative Caulkins for questions or comment. Representative Caulkins."

Caulkins: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Evans: "She indicates that she will."

Caulkins: "Representative Gabel, have you been to Cuba?"

Gabel: "I have. I was... I went to Cuba on a medical... a health care
 mission."

Caulkins: "I went on an educational journey in about 1999. And with this lifting of the embargo, will the people benefit from this or will the government benefit from this?"

Gabel: "I think the people will benefit greatly. As you know... you were there about the same time I was, and as you know, there... there was really a lack of food when I was there. There was a lack of medical supplies. And those are the kinds of products that we can send to Cuba... trade with Cuba."

Caulkins: "Well, I think those supplies... the people that were suffering were the poor people, the peasants, the folks that were working the land. The people in government didn't seem to be suffering very much. I think that what I saw... and I saw hospitals and schools, we took educational supplies, medical supplies, Band-Aids, aspirins, things of this nature. I'm going to oppose this, not because I don't like the people, not because I don't think that that's a nice country. But until the people of that country are able to take control of their government, whatever happens in that country, in my opinion, never reaches the people that it's intended to. It's skimmed off. It benefits the few. And I don't believe that... until we get that government to turn itself around, until it becomes a democracy, as such, or at least something resembling

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

- that, we should not open up our relationships with Cuba. And for that reason, I'm going to vote 'no'."
- Gabel: "Well, that wasn't my experience at all. My experience there was that the everyday person was suffering. We visited community clinics in the neighborhoods. We were in a doctor's office. There were a few pills in a jar and we said, 'What are those?' And he said, 'Oh, that's all the antibiotics I have.' So, to me, I feel like this will really help the individual, everyday person in Cuba who deserves to have a better life."
- Speaker Evans: "Representative Caulkins, are you completed with your questions? Thank you. Representative Gabel to close."
- Gabel: "I urge an 'aye' vote and to stress the importance of ending the embargo on the very small country of Cuba."
- Speaker Evans: "Seeing no further discussion, Leader Gabel moves for the adoption of House Resolution 254. All those in favor vote 'aye'; all those opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 63 'ayes', 45 'nays', and 0 voting 'present'. And this Resolution, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared adopted. Continuing on the Order of Resolutions, on page 13, at the bottom of the page, we have House Resolution 286, Chairwoman Kifowit."
- Kifowit: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Resolution 286 declares the week of May 10 to May 16 as Falun Dafa Week in Illinois. It's a spirit... peaceful, spiritual practice rooted in the Buddhist tradition, and it consists of moral teachings,

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

meditation, and four gentle exercises that are truly unique and highly effective for improving one's health and energy levels."

Speaker Evans: "Okay. Seeing no further discussion, Representative Kifowit moves for the adoption of House Resolution 286. All those in favor say 'aye'; all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Resolution is adopted. Continuing on the Order of Resolutions, we're going on page 14. We have House Resolution 298, Representative Meier."

Meier: "House Resolution 298 is brought to us after the year of dealing with COVID. Over the last year and a half, we've had 10,330... 10,300 long-term care facility deaths, 78,400 residents have been confirmed with COVID. The total separation and lack of physical contact on nursing residents has led to the feeling of loneliness, abandonment, despair, and fear. And these feelings are only pushing the pandemic's death toll higher. Isolation and loneliness are associated with a 50 percent increase risk of developing dementia, a 32 percent increase risk of stroke, a 29 percent increase risk of coronary heart disease, and the nearly fourfold increase risk of death among heart failure patients. The updated visitation guidance by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, dated on March 10, 2021 and April 27, 2021, emphasized that these facilities must allow end-of-life and compassionate care visits regardless of the community positivity rates and outbreak or vaccination status. And any nursing home must facilitate in-person visitations consistent with the applicable CMS regulations. CMS guidelines states

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

facilities should not restrict visitations for all residents as long as there is evidence that the transmission of COVID-19 is contained to a single area of the facility. CMS guidance states compassionate care visits and visits required under federal disability rights should be allowed at all times regardless of a resident's vaccination status. They state end-of-life visitations. We had to bring this Resolution here today because of ... we still have families not able to be with their loved ones in nursing homes as their loved ones are dying. Somebody gets in and they're told they now have to leave, and a half an hour later their loved one is dead and he died by himself. This has happened in the last week. We're urging all of our nursing homes to go ahead and honor these federal regulations and let our residents and their loved ones be together for the end-of-life. Let that resident have a family member there to feed them, a compassionate caregiver. They don't have to let the whole family in, compassionate caregiver. We've worked very hard on this. We couldn't get a Bill to do this, but we have House Resolution 298 so people no longer have to die by themselves when you can't be with your loved one when they're dying. So, I urge a 'yes' vote. Thank you."

Speaker Evans: "Seeing no discussion, Representative Meier moves for the adoption of House Resolution 298. All those in favor say 'aye'; all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Resolution is adopted. Continuing on page 14, we have House Resolution 299, Representative LaPointe."

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

LaPointe: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Resolution, HR299, recognizes the 50th anniversary of the Copernicus Foundation, which is in the 19th House District on the far northwest side of Chicago. The Copernicus Foundation is a long-standing cultural and civic pillar whose contribution to educating and engaging the community will be recognized every year on July 19 as the Copernicus Foundation Day in Illinois. It is a foundation that supports the Polish community. And I am very lucky to represent much of the far northwest side of Chicago, which is home to a very large Polish constituency. And every year on Labor Day weekend, with the exception of last year, the foundation hosts the Taste of Polonia Festival, which I encourage everyone in this chamber to come visit on a forthcoming Labor Day weekend."

Speaker Evans: "Thank you, Representative. Seeing no further discussion, Representative LaPointe moves for the adoption of House Resolution 299. All those in favor say 'aye'; all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Resolution is adopted. Continuing on page 14, we have House Resolution 318, Representative Mah."

Mah: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. HR318 recognizes that immigrants make up the backbone of our local communities, our state, and our nation. During the past year, for example, when our country went into lockdown, many of our frontline and essential workers were members of the immigrant community. Despite their hard work and sacrifice in pursuit of a better life, our immigrant communities have been denied the recognition of their human rights and targeted with unjust and inhumane immigrant enforcement actions resulting in the

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

separation of many families. Our immigrant communities need to be recognized with the human rights they deserve. It is time that our nation moves forward to implement policies that recognize our immigrant communities' rights and hears the voices of those who have fought long and hard for immigration reform. This Resolution is a statement of our state's commitment to continue to advocate on behalf of our immigrant communities and to encourage Congress to take the necessary action to recognize the human rights indignity owed to our immigrant communities. I urge the Resolution's adoption. Thank you."

Speaker Evans: "Seeing no discussion, Representative Mah moves for the adoption of House Resolution 318. All those in favor say 'aye'; all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Resolution is adopted. Continuing on page 14, we have House Resolution 321, Representative Murphy."

Murphy: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Resolution 321 declares the month of April '21 as AMBUCS Appreciation Month in the State of Illinois. There are 14 chapters of AMBUCS in the State of Illinois, and there's 18 of our Members who have a chapter within their district. I'm very proud that all 18 of those are cosponsors of this. The largest AMBUCS Club happens to be in Springfield, Illinois, with over 250 members. AMBUCS chapters and members throughout the State of Illinois annually contribute thousands of hours of community service and hundreds of thousands of dollars towards providing Amtrykes to disabled children, endowing scholarships for therapy students, building ramps for disabled persons, and a

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

number of other deserving local and national projects. I've had the privilege of being at a meeting where a child receives their first Amtryke. And these children with challenges, to see the joy in their face as they are able to pedal across, sometimes with their arms, to have their first taste of freedom without somebody pushing them is just amazing. So, I truly appreciate the opportunity presenting this Resolution, and I hope everyone will support it. Thank you very much."

- Speaker Evans: "Seeing no discussion, Representative Murphy moves for the adoption of House Resolution 321. All those in favor say 'aye'; all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Resolution is adopted. Mr. Clerk, Rules Report."
- Clerk Bolin: Representative Harris, Chairperson for the Committee on Rules reports the following committee action taken on May 30, 2021: recommends be adopted is Floor Amendment(s) 2 for Senate Bill 58; and recommends be adopted, Motion to Concur with Senate Amendment(s) 1 to House Bill 1207, and Motion to Concur with Senate Amendment(s) 1 for House Bill 3295."
- Speaker Harris: "Ladies and Gentlemen, on page 3 of the Calendar, under the Order of Senate Bills-Second Reading is Senate Bill 58, Representative Evans. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk. I'm sorry. Could we please take the Bill back to the Order of Second Reading for purposes of an Amendment?"
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 58, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. The Bill was read for a second time previously. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1 has been adopted. Floor Amendment #2 is offered by Representative Evans."

Speaker Harris: "Representative Evans on Floor Amendment 2."

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

Evans: "Thank you. We turned a good Bill into a great Bill, and now it's a phenomenal Bill. This Amendment, again, makes my Southern Illinois friends happy. It makes Marty Moylan a hero. Give it up for Marty Moylan. I'd like to request adoption."

Speaker Harris: "Representative Evans has moved for the adoption of Floor Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 58. All those in favor say 'aye'; opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Motion is adopted. Anything further, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Harris: "Third Reading. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 58, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Harris: "Representative Evans on Senate Bill 58."

Evans: "Thank you. The Bill, again, as mentioned with the adoption, it's a trailer fee reduction prioritizing in our citizens who utilizes trailers. They are going to be very happy they pay less. The substance of the Bill prior to the Amendment was an initiative of our auto dealers. So, we must give them what they need to be a good business in the State of Illinois. The sales tax credit for a trade-in for a motor vehicle has been capped at \$10 thousand regardless of the value of the trade-in. Anyone trading in a vehicle valued above 10 thousand gets double taxed. And our dealers are at a disadvantage, particularly for districts like mine that are close to Indiana. Senate Bill 58 restores the full trade-in credit and replaces the lost revenue with a small increase in the Private Party Vehicle Use Tax, which has not changed in 30 years. I request your support."

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

- Speaker Harris: "So, Representative, you seemed to have attracted a number of commenters. So, we're going to be using the timer, three minutes, and no substitutions. Representative Reick is recognized."
- Reick: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"
- Speaker Harris: "He indicates he'll yield."
- Reick: "Representative, we had a long conversation, many long conversations about this in committee. And can you explain the issue of Floor Amendment 2, please, just briefly? What it did?"
- Evans: "Floor Amendment 2 reduced the fee. Let me get the exact amount. I believe it reduced the fee all the way down to \$36."
- Reick: "Can... thank you. Can you... can you explain just..."
- Evans: "I'm sorry. Floor Amendment 2 is what you requested. It just... it was clarifying language in Floor Amendment 2."
- Reick: "Thank you. Can you explain... we've added a new class of trailer in this Bill. Can you explain what a UT trailer is, please?"
- Evans: "The SOS is neutral on this language. I know you had some specific questions. I'm still waiting to, kind of, get that clarity from Secretary of State. I hadn't received that to this point."
- Reick: "All right. Well, from the reaction that was given the first time this Bill was called, I believe that if I were to speak in opposition to this Bill my life wouldn't be worth a plug nickel. And there are probably many people on this side of the aisle… on your side of the aisle who probably wouldn't care about that. But the Bill came out of committee on partisan leave strictly because of the issue of the technical

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

Amendment that we sought that became Amendment 2. So, I just do want to say that any objection that we had in committee has now been taken away by that Amendment. And I fully, fully intend to vote for this Bill. Thank you for doing this, Representative Evans. And may you have a long and healthy life."

Evans: "Well, thank you so much. Wow."

Speaker Harris: "Representative Stuart."

Stuart: "Thank you. I just want to speak to the Bill, I guess. I want to say thank you to the Sponsor. I just want to... you know, on January 13 of 2021, and actually prior to that in the previous GA, I had filed a Bill to drop the \$118 back down to the \$18. So, I'm glad that we're finally looking at this. It really did negatively impact in my district, and I think it's a great change. So, thank you very much."

Speaker Harris: "Representative Mazzochi is recognized."

Mazzochi: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. To the Bill. I just wanted to be able to clarify for our Members that the Amendment is, in fact, going to make the Bill better and make it come to an agreement. And again, I am very grateful and the residents of my district are going to be grateful that we are actually lowering taxes on the trailer fees. We are reducing an opportunity for double taxation. I urge a 'yes' vote."

Speaker Harris: "Representative Moylan."

Moylan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?

Evans: "He's yielding."

Moylan: "Yes. Well, I just wanted to say thank you, Representative Evans. Thank you, Katie Stuart. Thank you, Avery Bourne. Thank you to the Secretary of State. Thank you for the Illinois

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

Tollway. Today, Ladies and Gentlemen, the citizens of the great State of Illinois won. Thank you, again, for the great job. And now I can take my little... my little snowmobile and my little trailer and troll down the highway for a reasonable price. Thank you, again."

Speaker Harris: "Representative Davis."

Davis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Harris: "Yes, he will."

Davis: "Well, I hate to be a killjoy. I can't exactly say I'm as happy about this as everyone else in the chamber. Representative Evans, the fee placed on the trailers was a part of our Capital Bill, correct?"

Evans: "It's my understanding."

Davis: "Okay. And so, just out of curiosity, will lowering the fee, which is going to reduce the amount of money that we could bond for capital projects... what kind of impact is this going to have on our Capital Bill?"

Evans: "Very little, nonsubstantial. I mean, there's a \$5 increase in the title fee which will offset some of that."

Davis: "Well... but we're reducing a \$118 fee to... how much? Thirty-six or eighteen dollars?"

Evans: "Yeah, but it's my understanding there's more title transactions. So, it's a smaller number but the value is... the volume is greater. So, it will still even out."

Davis: "So, the Capital Bill is not impacted by this?"

Evans: "No."

Davis: "In any way? Cost neutral? I just want you to say it's cost neutral."

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

Evans: "Yeah. I've said that a few times. I don't know what way you want me to say it."

Davis: "Oh, no, I'm just making sure. That's all. I'm just making it clear that..."

Evans: "Yes."

Davis: "...this is cost neutral. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Harris: "Representative Scherer."

Scherer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. And thank you, Leader Evans. Wow. Are the people back home going to be happy today to hear this. This I probably got more calls and concerns about than about any other Bill I can think of. I know people who quit using their trailers. I know people who would just take a risk and drive it without a plate. I know people who would switch it from one to another. And all of those things being considered, we were probably losing money instead of making money. So I, again, want to say thank you. You know, I've always said when problems are big enough, people speak loud enough the General Assembly listens and problems get corrected. And this is one of them. Thank you so much."

Speaker Harris: "Representative Bourne."

Bourne: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Harris: "He indicates he will."

Bourne: "Thank you. Representative, I know this got pushed on you and wasn't your original intention for this Bill. But I have a couple technical questions, if you don't mind."

Evans: "Yes."

Bourne: "My first one is regarding the second Amendment that you just brought forward. It's the intention of this second Amendment... not in the Constitution, you're second Floor

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

Amendment... that if you currently have a TA plate for your motorcycle trailer, your trailer for your lawn mower, you would not have to go and get a UT plate under this Bill. Is that correct?"

Evans: "You wouldn't have to, and that is the intention."

Bourne: "So, you could keep your same license plate and just renew it? We wouldn't be adding any other burdens to them?"

Evans: "Yes."

Bourne: "Okay. I appreciate that. I wanted to make sure that we weren't passing another Bill that would unintentionally add more cost to these owners of trailers. I have a second question. Was the \$100 increase on the TA plate, under the Capital Bill, ever contemplated in the spending of the capital plan?"

Evans: "Yes."

Bourne: "I think... let me clarify. In the Capital Bill spending, did we ever contemplate the revenue in the spending? So, it's my understanding that, when we passed the Capital Bill, this increased revenue from these TA plates was not contemplated in the amount of revenue we anticipated bringing in. Is that your understanding?"

Evans: "Yeah. There were many factors involved in that. I think some of these questions, we can clarify after the debate. But it's my understanding that it was."

Bourne: "I appreciate that. To the Bill. I think this is long, long overdue as a correction. I wish it was down to 18, but we can't get everything we want in this building. Hopefully this helps everyone who has gotten calls about the trailer fee. It's become the third most important issue to my

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

constituents, behind IDES and FOID. Maybe we can fix those as well this week. But I just wanted to say thank you for adding this to your Bill. This shows that we can sometimes find an agreement, even if it's not perfect. And I would urge a 'yes' vote."

Speaker Harris: "Representative Mason."

Mason: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Harris: "Indicates he'll yield."

Mason: "Thank you. I just wanted to echo my thanks for this Bill.

I, too, had a Bill to reduce these trailer fees. It was in the top three issues also that my constituents have had. And so grateful that we were able to correct this for all of them. And for my favorite constituent of Representative Chesney's, my brother, who lets me know on a daily basis that he spends more on his registration fee than he paid for his trailer. So, thank you. I'm glad that this is an issue that both sides of the aisle can work on together. And I appreciate that and fully support this Bill."

Speaker Harris: "Representative Carroll."

Carroll: "Thank you, Mr. Chairman... Mr. Speaker. To the Bill. Actually I think there is someone in this chamber we owe a thank you to, which is Chairman Zalewski, who heard our concerns on this Bill and worked on getting the fee down. So, as much as I love you, Leader Evans, I think owe Chairman Zalewski a round of applause as well. So, thank you very much, Chairman."

Speaker Harris: "And to acknowledge his throngs of supporters, the Chairman of the Revenue Committee, Mike Zalewski."

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

Zalewski: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I haven't bathed myself in enough glory. So, I'm going to take the time to congratulate the Sponsor, Representative Evans, Representative Stuart, Representative Bourne, Representative Butler. This is actually a very nice thing because we listened to the concerns that everybody had, we came together and got a Bill done that, hopefully, is going to get a lot of votes. So, I urge an 'aye' vote. And congratulations, genuinely, to the people that very much needed this done for their communities. It's a very cool thing. So, congratulations. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Harris: "And Representative Evans to close."

Evans: "Thank you, Representative Stuart..."

Speaker Harris: "Sorry. Could we get Representative Hammond in? She just popped in."

Evans: "Oh, I'm sorry."

Hammond: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. And just one quick question of the Sponsor. Representative... Leader Evans, would this be considered a trailer Bill?"

Evans: "The greatest of trailer Bills of all time."

Speaker Harris: "Now, Sir, for your close."

Evans: "Thank you, Representative Stuart, Representative Moylan, true champions of Southern Illinois and of the outdoors. We have champions in this building. And also, thank you to all of you all. Again, the substance of this legislation is we are giving a tool to the automobile dealers across the country and across the state, giving them a tool to sell move vehicles and to help society. This Bill is all about helping in greatness. I have tears right now. I'm warm inside. Vote 'yes'."

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

Speaker Harris: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 58 pass?'
All those in favor vote 'yes'; all opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Tarver. Mr. Clerk, please take the record. With 116 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present', Senate Bill 58, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Welter, for what reason do you seek recognition?"

Welter: "Mr. Speaker, point of personal privilege."

Speaker Harris: "Please state your point."

Welter: "Mr. Speaker, I would request a Committee of Escort for our Floor Leader Mark Batinick. The moment you've all been waiting for, coming to you directly from Plainfield, Illinois, Floor Leader Mark Batinick."

Speaker Harris: "Thank you, Representative Welter. There are some things that are really worth waiting for. Representative Batinick, for what reason do you seek recognition?"

Batinick: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I just wanted to note for the record that that felt like a going away party."

Speaker Harris: "Mr. Clerk, committee announcements."

Clerk Hollman: "The following committees will be meeting immediately. Mental Health & Addiction will meet in Virtual Room 1. Human Services will meet in Virtual Room 2. Judiciary - Civil will meet in Virtual Room 3. In a half hour will be State Government in Virtual Room 3, Elementary & Secondary Education: School Curriculum & Policies in Virtual Room 2, and Judiciary - Criminal in Virtual Room 1."

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

- Speaker Harris: "Leader Greenwood moves for the adoption of the...
 oh, that was announcements. Mr. Clerk, please read the
 Resolutions... Agreed Resolutions."
- Clerk Hollman: "Agreed Resolutions. House Resolution 368, offered by Representative LaPointe. House Resolution 369, offered by Representative LaPointe. And House Resolution 370, offered by Representative Wilhour."
- Speaker Harris: "Representative Greenwood moves for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. All those in favor say 'aye'; opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Resolutions are adopted. And now, leaving perfunctory time for the Clerk, Leader Greenwood moves that the House stand adjourned until Monday, May 31, at the hour of 10 a.m. All those in favor say 'aye'; opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the House stands adjourned."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Perfunctory Session will come to order.

 Committee Reports. Representative Conroy, Chairperson from the Committee on Mental Health & Addiction reports the following committee action taken on May 30, 2021: recommends be adopted is the Motion to Concur with Senate Amendment(s) 1 to House Bill 2589, Motion to Concur with Senate Amendment(s) 1 to House Bill 2595, Motion to Concur with Senate Amendment(s) 2 to House Bill 3445. Representative Moeller, Chairperson from the Committee on Human Services reports the following committee action taken on May 30, 2021: recommends be adopted is the Motion to Concur with Senate Amendment(s) 1 to House Bill 32 and Senate Amendment(s) 2 to House Bill 32, Motion to Concur with Senate Amendment(s) 1 to

46th Legislative Day

5/30/2021

House Bill 452, Motion to Concur with Senate Amendment(s) 1 Bill 1926. House Representative Gong-Gershowitz, Chairperson from the Committee on Judiciary - Civil reports the following committee action taken on May 30, 2021: recommends be adopted is the Motion to Concur with Senate Amendment(s) 1 to House Bill 3577, Motion to Concur with Senate Amendment(s) 1 to House Bill 3849, Floor Amendment(s) 2 to Senate Bill 215. Representative Kifowit, Chairperson from the Committee on State Government Administration reports the following committee action taken on May 30, 2021: recommends be adopted is the Motion to Concur with Senate Amendment(s) 1 to House Bill 3928. Representative Mussman, Chairperson from the Committee on Elementary & Secondary Education: School Curriculum & Policies reports the following committee action taken on May 30, 2021: recommends be adopted is the Motion to Concur with Senate Amendment(s) 1 and 2 to House Bill 3223. Representative Slaughter, Chairperson from the Committee on Judiciary - Criminal reports the following committee action taken on May 30, 2021: recommends be adopted is the Motion to Concur with Senate Amendment(s) 1 to House Bill 3895. Second Reading of Senate Bills. Senate Bill 828, a Bill for an Act concerning elections. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. This will be held on the Order of Second Reading. There being no further business, the House Perfunctory Session will stand adjourned."