24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

Clerk Hollman: "House Perfunctory Session will come to order. Introduction and First Reading of House Bills. House Bill 4068, offered by Representative Buckner, a Bill for an Act concerning elections. House Bill 4069, offered Representative Meier, a Bill for an Act concerning liquor. House Bill 4070, offered by Representative Lewis, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. House Bill 4071, offered by Representative Weber, a Bill for an Act concerning children. House Bill 4072, offered by Representative McCombie, a Bill for an Act concerning education. First Reading of these House Bills. Introduction and First Reading of Senate Bills. Senate Bill 60, offered by Representative West, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. Senate Bill 63, offered by Representative Tarver, a Bill for an Act concerning children. Senate Bill 64, offered Representative Ammons, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. Senate Bill 71, offered by Representative Didech, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. Senate Bill 85, offered by Representative Elik, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Senate Bill 100, offered by Representative Morgan, a Bill for an Act concerning public aid. Senate Bill 101, offered by Representative Andrade, a Bill for an Act 102, offered concerning education. Senate Bill Representative Luft, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Senate Bill 105, offered by Representative Moeller, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Senate Bill 106, offered by Representative Ramirez, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. Senate Bill 109, offered by Representative Gabel, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law.

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

Senate Bill 117, offered by Representative Halpin, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. Senate Bill 119, offered by Representative DeLuca, a Bill for an Act concerning health. Senate Bill 121, offered by Representative Swanson, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Senate Bill 134, offered by Representative Vella, a Bill for an Act concerning journalism. Senate Bill 135, offered by Representative Murphy, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Senate Bill 136, offered by Representative LaPointe, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Senate Bill 139, offered by Representative Williams, Ann, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. Senate Bill 140, offered by Representative Moylan, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. Senate Bill 148, offered by Representative Delgado, a Bill for an concerning education. Senate Bill 153, offered Representative Didech, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Senate Bill 154, offered by Representative Kifowit, a Bill for an Act concerning housing. Senate Bill 157, offered by Representative Gordon-Booth, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. Senate Bill 166, offered by Representative Harper, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. Senate Bill 189, offered by Representative Slaughter, a Bill for an Act 190, concerning finance. Senate Bill offered Representative West, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Senate Bill 194, offered by Representative Morgan, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Senate Bill 214, offered by Representative Mah, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Senate Bill 225, offered by Representative Gonzalez, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. Senate Bill 252,

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

offered by Representative Greenwood, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. Senate Bill 255, offered by Representative Zalewski, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. Senate Bill 265, offered by Representative Hernandez, Barbara, a Bill for an Act concerning public aid. Senate Bill 267, offered by Representative Guzzardi, a Bill for an Act Senate Bill 277, offered concerning education. Representative Halpin, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. Senate Bill 294, offered by Representative Didech, a Bill for an Act concerning safety. Senate Bill 307, offered by Representative Hurley, a Bill for an Act concerning public employee benefits. Senate Bill 321, offered by Representative Crespo, a Bill for an Act concerning conservation. Senate Bill 335, offered by Representative Stuart, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Senate Bill 336, offered Representative Lilly, a Bill for an Act concerning health. Senate Bill 337, offered by Representative Stuart, a Bill for an Act concerning courts. Senate Bill 338, offered by Representative Zalewski, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. Senate Bill 346, offered by Representative Kifowit, a Bill for an Act concerning public aid. Senate Bill 460, offered by Representative Robinson, a Bill for an Act concerning public employee benefits. Senate Bill 499, offered by Representative Yednock, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Senate Bill 500, offered by Representative Bennett, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. Senate Bill 501, offered by Representative Wheeler, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Senate Bill 505, offered by Representative Vella, a Bill for an Act concerning State

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

government. Senate Bill 506, offered by Representative Hernandez, Elizabeth, a Bill for an Act concerning public aid. Senate Bill 508, offered by Representative Zalewski, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. Senate Bill 512, offered by Representative Morgan, a Bill for an Act concerning health. Senate Bill 515, offered by Representative Williams, Ann, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Senate Bill 521, offered by Representative Rita, a Bill for an Act concerning gaming. Senate Bill 539, offered by Representative Burke, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. Senate Bill 544, offered by Representative Carroll, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. Senate Bill 548, offered by Representative Evans, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Senate Bill 555, offered by Representative Andrade, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. Senate Bill 564, offered by Representative Gonzalez, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Senate Bill 567, offered by Representative Moeller, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Senate Bill 579, offered by Representative Gong-Gershowitz, a Bill for an Act concerning health. Senate Bill 581, offered by Representative Halpin, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. Senate Bill 583, offered by Representative Burke, a Bill for an Act concerning courts. Senate Bill 590, offered by Representative Costa Howard, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Senate Bill 593, offered by Representative Costa Howard, a Bill for an Act law. Senate Bill 603, offered concerning civil Representative Guerrero-Cuellar, a Bill for an Act concerning public employee benefits. Senate Bill 605, offered by

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

Representative Hernandez, Barbara, a Bill for Act concerning education. Senate Bill 632, offered Representative Burke, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. Senate Bill 636, offered by Representative Gong-Gershowitz, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. Senate Bill 640, offered by Representative Moylan, a Bill for an Act 641, finance. Senate Bill offered concerning Representative Burke, a Bill for an Act concerning higher education. Senate Bill 642, offered by Representative Tarver, a Bill for an Act concerning courts. Senate Bill 645, offered by Representative Hoffman, a Bill for an Act concerning employment. Senate Bill 651, offered by Representative Williams, Ann, a Bill for an Act concerning courts. Senate Bill 654, offered by Representative Ortiz, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Senate Bill 658, offered Representative Hernandez, Elizabeth, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Senate Bill 676, offered by Representative Gong-Gershowitz, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Senate Bill 677, offered by Representative Willis, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Senate Bill 687, offered by Representative Vella, a Bill for an Act concerning finance. Senate Bill 693, offered by Representative Conroy, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Senate Bill 700, offered by Representative Stuart, a Bill for an Act concerning aging. Senate Bill 730, offered by Representative Didech, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. Senate Bill 755, offered by Representative Scherer, a Bill for an Act concerning courts. Senate Bill 765, offered by Representative Ammons, a Bill for an Act

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

concerning criminal law. Senate Bill 805, offered Representative Greenwood, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Senate Bill 808, offered by Representative Guzzardi, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Senate Bill 812, offered by Representative Mussman, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Senate Bill 813, offered Representative Mayfield, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Senate Bill 1533, offered by Representative Yednock, a Bill for an Act concerning wildlife. Senate Bill 1561, offered by Representative Gong-Gershowitz, a Bill for an Act concerning human rights. Senate Bill 1566, offered by Representative Manley, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Senate Bill 1575, offered by Representative LaPointe, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. Senate Bill 1611, offered by Representative Zalewski, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. Senate Bill 1632, offered by Representative LaPointe, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Senate Bill 1638, offered by Representative Hammond, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Senate Bill 1640, offered by Representative Stuart, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Senate Bill 1646, offered Representative Marron, a Bill for an Act concerning public benefits. Senate Bill 1650. offered employee Representative Hurley, a Bill for an Act concerning employment. Senate Bill 1657, offered by Representative Harper, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Senate Bill 1658, offered by Representative Harper, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Senate Bill 1675, offered Representative Halpin, a Bill for an Act concerning public

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

benefits. Senate Bill 1677, offered emplovee by Representative Mason, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. Senate Bill 1693, offered by Representative Yednock, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. Senate Bill 1697, offered by Representative Hoffman, a Bill for an Act concerning finance. Senate Bill 1698, offered Representative Morgan, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. Senate Bill 1706, offered by Representative Cassidy, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. Senate Bill 1720, offered by Representative Vella, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. Senate Bill 1723, offered by Representative Manley, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Senate Bill 1730, offered by Representative Didech, a Bill for an Act concerning business. Senate Bill 1733, offered by Representative Slaughter, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. Senate Bill 1734, offered Representative Gonzalez, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. Senate Bill 1765, offered by Representative Greenwood, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. Senate Bill 1771, offered by Representative Greenwood, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Senate Bill 1779, offered Representative Moylan, a Bill for an Act concerning business. Senate Bill 1781, offered by Representative Crespo, a Bill for an Act concerning safety. Senate Bill 1786, offered by Representative Conroy, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. Senate Bill 1790, offered by Representative Hurley, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Senate Bill 1791, offered by Representative Moylan, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Senate Bill 1795, offered by

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

Representative Didech, a Bill for an Act concerning business. Senate Bill 1800, offered by Representative Carroll, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. Senate Bill 1814, offered by Representative Slaughter, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Senate Bill 1830, offered by Representative Hirschauer, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Senate Bill 1840, offered by Representative Lilly, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Senate Bill 1845, offered by Representative Harper, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. Senate Bill 1846, offered by Representative Hernandez, Elizabeth, a Bill for an Act concerning health. Senate Bill 1872, offered by Representative Mazzochi, a Bill for an Act concerning business. Senate Bill 1879, offered by Representative Chesney, a Bill for an Act concerning fish. Senate Bill 1904, offered by Representative Costa Howard, a Bill for an Act concerning children. Senate Bill 1917, offered by Representative Carroll, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Senate Bill 1921, offered by Representative Caulkins, a Bill for an Act concerning government. Senate Bill 1928, offered by Representative Stuart, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Senate Bill 1966, offered by Representative Mayfield, a Bill for an Act concerning mental health. Senate Bill 1975, offered by Representative Kifowit, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. Senate Bill 1976, offered by Representative Gabel, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Senate Bill 1993, offered by Representative Morgan, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Senate Bill 2014, offered by Representative Conroy, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Senate Bill 2017, offered by

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

Representative Harris, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. Senate Bill 2065, offered by Representative Hoffman, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. Senate Bill 2066, offered by Representative Zalewski, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Senate Bill 2079, offered by Representative Gong-Gershowitz, a Bill for an Act concerning government. Senate Bill 2116, offered by Representative Tarver, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Senate Bill 2129, offered by Representative Cassidy, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Senate Bill 2141, offered by Representative West, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Senate Bill 2172, offered by Representative Elik, a Bill for an Act concerning government. Senate Bill 2175, offered by Representative Andrade, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. Senate Bill 2192, offered by Representative McCombie, a Bill for an Act concerning finance. Senate Bill 2225, offered by Representative Conroy, a Bill for an Act concerning business. Senate Bill 2244, offered Representative Meyers-Martin, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. Senate Bill 2265, offered by Representative Hammond, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. First Reading of these Senate Bills."

Speaker Manley: "The House will be in order. Members will be in their chairs. We shall be led in prayer today by Wayne Padget, the Assistant Doorkeeper. Members and guests are asked to refrain from starting their laptops, turn off all cell phones, and rise for the invocation and Pledge of Allegiance. Mr. Padget."

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

- Wayne Padget: "Lord, as we prepare for Session today, I ask freshness of your spirit to quicken our thinking, that out of confused issues may come simplicity of plan, that out of fear may come confidence, that out of hurry may come deliberation, and that out of frustration may come guidance. Let us work together not head first, but heart first. May we be able to disagree without being disagreeable, to differ without being difficult, to be honest without tension, to be frank without offense in an atmosphere of team spirit. These things we ask in your son's name, Amen."
- Speaker Manley: "We will be led in the Pledge of Allegiance today by Representative Severin."
- Severin et al: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America and to the republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all."
- Speaker Manley: "Roll Call for Attendance. Leader Harris is recognized to report any excused absences on the Democratic side of the aisle."
- Harris: "Let the record reflect that Representatives Ammons and Gordon-Booth are excused today."
- Speaker Manley: "Leader Welter is recognized to report any excused absences on the Republican side of the aisle."
- Welter: "Speaker, let the record reflect, on the Republican side of the aisle, that Representative Sosnowski, Stephens, and Miller are excused for the day."
- Speaker Manley: "Have all recorded themselves who wish? Mr. Clerk, please the record. There being 113 Members answering the roll call, a quorum is present. Members, an announcement. This is

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

a reminder about House Rule 51.5. Please remember to wear a face covering that covers your nose and mouth, except when necessary for eating or drinking. This includes when speaking on the microphone at your desk. Again, remember to wear a face covering, including while speaking on the microphone. And this is pursuant to House Rule 51.5. Thank you. Mr. Clerk, Committee Reports."

Clerk Hollman: "Committee Reports. Representative Harris, Chairperson from the Committee on Rules reports the following committee action taken on April 23, 2021: recommends be adopted, referred to the floor is Floor Amendment(s) 2 to House Bill 88, Floor Amendment(s) 1 to House Bill 396. Representative Moylan, Chairperson from the Committee on Transportation: Regulation, Roads & Bridges reports the following committee action taken on April 23, recommends be adopted is Floor Amendment(s) 1 to House Bill 2863; Floor Amendment(s) 1 to House Bill 3881. Representative Guzzardi, Chairperson from the Committee on Housing reports the following committee action taken on April 23, 2021: recommends be adopted is Floor Amendment(s) 1 to House Bill 3878. Representative Jones, Chairperson from the Committee on Insurance reports the following committee action taken on April 23, 2021: recommends be adopted is Floor Amendment(s) 1 to House Bill 2109. Representative Evans, Chairperson from the Committee on Labor & Commerce reports the following committee action taken on April 23, 2021: recommends be adopted is Floor Amendment(s) 1 to House Bill 14. Representative Mayfield, Chairperson from the Committee on Appropriations-Public Safety reports the following committee

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

action taken on April 23, 2021: recommends be adopted is Floor Amendment(s) 1 to House Bill 109. Representative Mah, Chairperson from the Committee on Health Care Licenses reports the following committee action taken on April 23, 2021: recommends be adopted is Floor Amendment(s) 2 to House Bill 690. Introduction of Resolutions. House Resolution 236, offered by Representative Stuart, is referred to the Rules Committee."

- Speaker Manley: "Representative Andrade, for what reason do you seek recognition?"
- Andrade: "Madam Speaker, just for a point of information for the parliamentarian. Am I within the time limit to call immediate Motion to reconsider if someone goes home after they pass their Bill?"
- Speaker Manley: "We'll get back to you. Members, we're on Short Debate. We got a lot to do. I appreciate your cooperation. Short Debate means the Bill Sponsor presents their Bill and one Member in opposition, not opposition—ish. Opposition. Setting the tone here, Leader Batinick. Okay. On page 4, on Second Reading, House Bill 317, Representative Jones. Representative Jones. Out of the record. Page 11, Second Reading, House Bill 2628, Representative Kifowit. Representative Kifowit. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2628, a Bill for an Act concerning veterans. The Bill was read for a second time previously. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1 is offered by Representative Kifowit."

Speaker Manley: "Representative Kifowit on the Amendment."

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

- Kifowit: "Thank you, Madam Chair. The Amendment just keeps the underlying Bill in negotiations. It amends the composition of the veterans... Veterans Prevention Suicide Commission. I ask for its adoption."
- Speaker Manley: "Representative Kifowit moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment 1 to House Bill 2628. All those in favor say 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill. Mr. Clerk, any further Amendments?"

Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Manley: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2628, a Bill for an Act concerning veterans. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Manley: "Representative Kifowit."

- Kifowit: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I was appointed to the Governor's Challenge, which is a nationwide initiative of states to work to prevent veterans' suicide. Twenty-two other states have a Veterans Prevention Suicide Commission. This models what other states do in order to have a holistic allstate on-hands initiative to prevent veterans' suicide. This forms the commission. Again, over 22 other states have this type of form of commission. And I ask for your support in preventing veterans' suicide."
- Speaker Manley: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 2628 pass?'
  All those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 111 voting in 'favor', 0 voting 'opposed', 0 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received a

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On Third Reading, page 20, House Bill 3850, Representative LaPointe. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill. Representative, you have an Amendment. Would you like to roll it back to Second, adopt the Amendment?"

LaPointe: "Speaker..."

Speaker Manley: "Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3850, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #3 is offered by Representative LaPointe."

Speaker Manley: "Representative LaPointe on the Amendment."

LaPointe: "House Amendment 3 was unanimously adopted in committee, and it integrates feedback from DHS and the Special Supreme Court Advisory Committee for Justice and Mental Health Planning, which is a committee of judges that implement treatment courts all around the state. I ask for its adoption."

Speaker Manley: "Representative LaPointe moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment 3 to House Bill 3850. All those in favor say 'aye'; opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk, any further Amendments?"

Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Manley: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3850, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Manley: "Representative LaPointe."

LaPointe: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Today, I present HB3850, which is a Bill that better standardizes our three treatment

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

court statutes and better aligns them with evidence-based practices. These are our drug courts, our mental health courts, and our veterans' courts. Sometimes called problem solving courts, these courts are a critical tool in our menu of options of alternatives to incarceration, and they hold people accountable while also getting at the root causes of crime. We have a lot of research about what the evidence based around treatment courts are because they've been around for a while and they've proliferated nationally and around the State of Illinois. So, in short, this Bill updates the three statutes, brings them in alignment with evidence-based practices. And I'd be happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Manley: "On this question, 'Shall House Bill 3850 pass?'
All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 110 voting in 'favor', 0 voting 'opposed', 0 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby passed. House Bill 317, Representative Jones. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 317, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. The Bill was read for a second time previously.

No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1 is offered by Representative Jones."

Speaker Manley: "Representative Jones on the Amendment."

Jones: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. House Bill 317, House Floor Amendment #1 to the Bill changes the provisions added in the underlying Bill to specify the air ambulance services or other entities that directly or indirectly engaging in business of

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

insurance or as insurance... insurancer, sorry, for the purposes of covering patient costs solicits, accepts applications, or charges fees for air ambulance services. We want to make sure that this regulation is regulated by the Department of Insurance. I ask for its adoption."

Speaker Manley: "Representative Jones moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 317. All those in favor say 'aye'; opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk, any further Amendments?"

Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Manley: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 317, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Manley: "Representative Jones."

Jones: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I'd just like to state quickly that this Bill does not outlaw the issue of memberships. This Bill seeks to allow the Department of Insurance to regulate companies that sell insurance products but are not regulated by the Department of Insurance. We had a healthy debate in the Insurance Committee regarding this Bill. There has been some legal action that has been taken. We tried to incorporate that into the Amendment. This Bill, as I said, does not outright... outlaw membership, it just allows the Department of Insurance to regulate any insurance product. There's one opponent to the Bill, and of course, one proponent. I would be available to answer any questions regarding the Bill."

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

Speaker Manley: "We're on Short Debate. I have two people with their lights on. Pick one. Leader Batinick, do you rise in opposition?"

Batinick: "I was against it in committee. So, I'm definitely in opposition."

Speaker Manley: "Okay. Please continue."

Batinick: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I'm going to go directly to the Bill and be brief as much as I can."

Speaker Manley: "To the Bill."

Batinick: "It would be great if we could have some briefness on the intro on a lot of these Bills. I think we've been more than cooperative over here."

Speaker Manley: "That's a great idea."

Batinick: "But it'll be Christmas over here, I believe, Representative. You'll get some red. You're going to get some green. I don't like adding... taking something that's a membership program and regulating it and adding it... making it insurance. I think the membership program is working fine. But like I said, it's Christmas over here. Some red, some green. I'm voting 'no'."

Speaker Manley: "Representative Jones to close."

Jones: "I ask for an 'aye' vote. Thank you."

Speaker Manley: "On this question, 'Shall House Bill 317 pass?' All those in favor vote 'yes'; opposed vote 'no'. And the voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 72 voting in 'favor', 34 voting 'opposed', 3 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

passed. I really liked Representative Batinick's suggestion to keep our intros short, our closings short. I hope you agree with me. Page 17, on Second Reading, House Bill 3895, Representative Lilly. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3895, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1 is offered by Representative Lilly."

Speaker Manley: "Representative Lilly on Amendment 1."

Lilly: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. The Amendment is in discussion and has been in discussion with DOC. We are looking to continue our discussion. Time did get away with from us. So, with that, I'm looking to finish the work in the Senate."

Speaker Manley: "Representative, can we debate this on Third? Good. That's what I'm going to do, just so you all know. If you want to do it differently, let me know. I'm so crabby, aren't I? What has happened to Natalie? Representative Lilly has moved for the adoption of Floor Amendment 1. All those in favor say 'aye'; opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk, any further Amendments?"

Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Manley: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3895, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Manley: "Representative Lilly."

Lilly: "Thank you. And respect for you and your request to keep it short. And thank you to the Floor Leader over there. It is important that we continue the conversation on how we can

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

help our correctional offers... officers deal with the issues that they are dealing with every single day when they come to work. This Bill was supported in our conversations and the thought behind it from DOC, CMS, DJJ. We would like to continue the conversations so we can support the needs of our correctional officers in their place of employment. I ask for an 'ave' vote."

Speaker Manley: "The Chair recognizes Representative Windhorst.

Thank you for your patience."

Windhorst: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. To the Bill. There were concerns with House Bill 3895 in its current form with regard to mental health testing for correctional officers. What that test will include, the time frame for when the test will be given. There were also ADA concerns with potential mental health testing and the disqualification for employment. In addition to that, there were concerns expressed by the department over the cost of the program. The Bill is currently opposed by the Department of Corrections, AFSCME, and CMS. In its current form, I'm also opposed and would ask for a 'no' vote."

Speaker Manley: "Representative Lilly to close."

Lilly: "Thank you. In talking with CMS, DOC, and AFSCME, each and every one of those personnel agree that this was a concern within the department. We did run out of time. They do have some ideas that they are wanting to put on paper. It is important that we support our correctional officers in the setting because there's increased number of suicides that are going on within that industry. We must begin to not only address those issues within the DOC but also just creating a

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

- healthy environment. The cost… the cost is not determined, but it would be part of the health plan that we talked about. I ask for an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Manley: "The question is, 'Should House Bill 3895 pass?'
  All those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 56 voting in 'favor', 49 voting 'opposed', 1 voting 'present'. This Bill having not... Representative Lilly."
- Lilly: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I'd like to put this on Postponed Consideration."
- Speaker Manley: "Mr. Clerk, please place this Bill on Postponed Consideration. Moving on to page 2, House Bill 33, Representative Mason. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 33, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1 is offered by Representative Mason."
- Speaker Manley: "Representative Mason on the Amendment."
- Mason: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. The Amendment makes technical changes in language and adds a five-year waiting period before the body of the new regulation is implemented. I ask for an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Manley: "Representative Mason moves for the adoption of the Amendment. All those in favor say 'aye'; opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk, any further Amendments?" Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Manley: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 33, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Manley: "Representative Mason."

Mason: "Thank you again, Madam Speaker. House Bill 33 prohibits insurance companies in Illinois from canceling, terminating, or refusing to renew a person's life insurance policy solely on the basis of his or her participation in a substance abuse disorder treatment or recovery support program no less than five years from the date of the program. It also prevents them from denying insurance coverage because of a prescription for an opioid antagonist drug such as naloxone. So, for example, I may have a family member who has an opioid addiction and I may get a prescription for naloxone. And currently, I would be denied life insurance. Similar with first responders who carry that drug. I welcome questions. Ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Manley: "Chair recognizes Leader Batinick for three minutes."

Batinick: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?" Speaker Manley: "She indicates she will."

Batinick: "Did the Amendment remove all the opponents, Representative?"

Mason: "It did remove the opponent from Illinois Life Health and Insurance Council. I haven't heard from the other opponents. They had the same issues. So, I'm assuming 'yes' since I haven't heard from them. But nobody reached out to me at any point."

Batinick: "Why did your Amendment remove the opposition from the one group?"

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

Mason: "Because we added a five-year waiting period. So, somebody has to be outside of their drug or their rehab program for five years..."

Batinick: "Okay."

Mason: "...before this goes into effect."

Batinick: "I believe I wasn't there for the Amendment vote. I think I voted 'no' on the underlying Bill. Probably Christmas over here. Thank you for the answers."

Mason: "Thank you."

Speaker Manley: "Representative Mason to close."

Mason: "I ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Manley: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 33 pass?' All those in favor vote 'yes'; opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there is 77 voting in 'favor', 34 voting 'opposed', 0 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby passed. On page 11, on Second Reading, House Bill 2574, Representative Murphy. Out of the record. On page 20, House Bill 3286, Leader Ortiz. Out of the record. Page 13, House Bill 3100, Leader Ramirez. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3100, a Bill for an Act concerning children. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1 is offered by Representative Ramirez."

Speaker Manley: "Leader Ramirez on the Amendment."

Ramirez: "Good morning, Speaker. House Floor Amendment 1 to House Bill 3100 is a page and line Amendment. It only clarifies that the initial mandated reporter training shall include a

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

section on implicit bias training. Currently, House Floor Amendment to House Bill 3100 has language such that the initial... that the implicit bias training is separate from the training that they already provide. This Floor Amendment makes it possible to just incorporate it to the current mandated reported training."

Speaker Manley: "Leader Ramirez moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment 1 to House Bill 3100. All those in favor say 'aye'; opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk, any further Amendments?"

Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Manley: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3100, a Bill for an Act concerning children. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Manley: "Leader Ramirez."

Ramirez: "Thank you, Speaker. House Bill 3100 is an initiative of the Illinois Collaboration on Youth. The Bill, what it does, it updates the required training curriculum for mandated reporters to include training on implicit bias. DCFS currently already provides this training. And what this Bill will do is it will just incorporate it in the current mandated reporter training so that every time a mandated reporter takes their training, either at the beginning of employment or after the renewal, they'll be able to also participate in implicit bias training. The current law requires that all mandated reporters go through training every three years, and this will make sure that this implicit bias training is built into the curriculum. This is a good Bill. I appreciate the work of

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

the advocates in bringing this Bill. And I urge an 'aye' vote."

- Speaker Manley: "The question is, 'Should House Bill 3100 pass?'
  All those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'nay'. The voting
  is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?
  Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record.
  On this question, there are 79 voting in 'favor', 31 voting
  'opposed', 0 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received a
  Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Page 16,
  House Bill 3592, Representative Robinson. Representative
  Robinson. Out of the record. Page 16, House Bill 3616,
  Representative Slaughter. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3616, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1 is offered by Representative Slaughter."
- Speaker Manley: "Representative Slaughter on the Amendment."
- Slaughter: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I'd like to adopt Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 3616. The Amendment provides a minor clarifying provision that moved AFSCME into support of the Bill. I'd like to present the substantial provisions of the Bill on Third Reading."
- Speaker Manley: "Representative Slaughter moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment 1 to House Bill 3616. All those in favor say 'aye'; opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk, any further Amendments?"

Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed." Speaker Manley: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3616, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Manley: "Representative Slaughter."

Slaughter: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 3616 will assist individuals that are found unfit to stand trial to be restored to fitness in the community rather than in state-operated inpatient mental health facilities. The Bill establishes a clear standard and procedure to guide courts in ordering outpatient restoration for defendants. We worked with AFSCME on this Bill. They're now in support of this initiative. As the Bill moved through the process, there was a concern regarding access and availability throughout our state to provide these outpatient services. As the Sponsor, I shared that concern. Recently in committee, we had valuable testimony from the Department of Human Services that assured us that we have indeed expanded these treatment services statewide and that we do have the capacity to adequately provide quality outpatient restoration programs in every region of the state. I urge a 'yes' vote."

Speaker Manley: "The Chair recognizes Leader Durkin."

Durkin: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Manley: "He indicates that he will."

Durkin: "Representative, could you give me an understanding of what... are there any limitations in this Bill of who would be able to participate in this outpatient treatment? Or is it anyone under the Criminal Code who's been charged? They would no longer be confined, they would have to become fit during outpatient services?"

Slaughter: "That... that's accurate, Leader Durkin."

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

- Durkin: "Is that the right thing to do? I'm being perfectly honest with you."
- Slaughter: "These are... yeah, these are defendants that have been found unfit."
- Durkin: "I know. I've dealt with them. And the thing is, under fitness..."
- Slaughter: "Instead of going to inpatient, what we're doing now is allowing them to receive quality treatment in an outpatient..."
- Durkin: "So, a multiple... a person who's been charged with multiple murders or maybe has had multiple sex offenses against children or any other... or any other person, if they are deemed to be unfit to stand trial, instead of being treated inpatient, they are going to be allowed back into society to apparently try to become fit. Is that a right thing for us to do at this stage and time in this state?"
- Slaughter: "Absolutely. What our law says is that, when we can, we should always provide the least restrictive treatment, if the quality of the treatment of services is available. And again, what you heard from me was that the Department of Human Services did provide critical testimony in regards to our state's ability to do that."
- Durkin: "So, would the… let's talk about a person who has been charged with first-degree murder. That person perhaps has had a bond set and there's no bond. But it is also determined that they, at the moment, are not fit to stand trial. So, would the bond still be in place or would they be able to just… would the outpatient service override the bond that had been set on that person for that underlying crime?"

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

Slaughter: "One moment, Leader Durkin. The bond would still be in place. This is if they're released on bond."

Durkin: "I don't read it that way. You're saying that they will be placed in outpatient service to... when there's been a designation of unfitness. A person who can't... who will not... for the moment, can't stand trial because... do you understand what the..."

Slaughter: "I'm reading... this is to the Bill. If the defendant is eligible to be or has been released on bail. That's how I'm reading it. Or even on furlough, Leader."

Durkin: "Furlough means that they've already been..."

Slaughter: "We're on page two. Sorry, page one."

Durkin: "So, do you understand when a person has been determined that they are not fit to stand trial, it means one of two things? That they cannot effectively participate in helping his defense but also doesn't have an understanding of the nature of case and proceedings against them. That is how we traditionally have looked at a person who is unfit. That means that they have significant, significant mental health challenges. But these are also combining them with person who've committed a violent crime. I don't believe moving the current system that we have in place from inpatient to outpatient back into the communities where they committed those crimes is the right thing to do. I said this yesterday, we are moving way too fast on some of these public safety issues, and I don't think people really quite understand the gravity or the nature of the things that we are doing. This is a complicated area in law that people have to deal with and they have to litigate on a regular basis of whether or

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

not a person is fit or unfit. But also, it is mainly in a lot of situations where people have committed some very serious crimes. And I don't believe that putting individuals who have been charged, they have either been indicted by a grand jury or there has been a preliminary hearing which was a finding of probable cause on a felony, many of them that are extremely dangerous, that they should have the option of getting outpatient treatment to get... to become fit to stand trial. So, I've said my peace on this. We need to act slower on this, folks, because this is... we are getting into a dangerous area of public safety that is going to be... continue to be compromised if we make decisions on issues like this without fully understanding what exactly the nature of the proceedings and the gravity and the depth of the legislation. I strongly urge a 'no' vote."

- Speaker Hoffman: "We are on Short Debate. So, we have had one in opposition. We've had the Sponsor speak. So, Representative Slaughter to close."
- Slaughter: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The Bill establishes a clear standard procedure to guide courts in ordering outpatient restoration for defendants. What we're doing is, not just sending these people back into the community or at home, they are going to be receiving quality treatment. It's just going to be in an outpatient setting. I urge a 'yes' vote."
- Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Hoffman is in the Chair. The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Slaughter."

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

- Slaughter: "Mr. Speaker, I request Postponed Consideration on this Bill."
- Speaker Hoffman: "The Bill shall be placed on Postponed Consideration. On page 5 of the Calendar appears House Bill 1092, Representative Stoneback. Out of the record. Representative Stuart on House Bill 3918. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3918, a Bill for an Act concerning aging.

  Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. Floor Amendment #2 is offered by Representative Stuart."
- Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Stuart on Floor Amendment #2."
- Stuart: "Floor Amendment 2 just was a... from work with the insurance people to clarify some language about who this applies to."
- Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Stuart moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment 2 to House Bill 3918. All in favor signify by saying 'aye'; all opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Hoffman: "Third Reading. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3918, a Bill for an Act concerning aging.

  Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Stuart."
- Stuart: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Bill is just another piece of what came out of the Elder Abuse Task Force that met for months. And this is to put in protections for financial exploitation of elders to make sure that folks in the

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

financial industry are mandated reporters if they see suspicious activity."

Speaker Hoffman: "Leader Batinick on House Bill 3918."

Batinick: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Hoffman: "She indicates she'll yield."

Batinick: "Representative, we've got some opposition, Community
Bankers, National Association of Mutual Insurance Companies
were opposed. What was the nature of the opposition?"

Stuart: "The... we've been working with all those groups, and we've been talking with the bankers. Like we did with the insurance folks, they just want to make sure that we are clarifying exactly who is responsible. So, some people in the financial industry may not actually have a public facing job like... and what we're concerned with is like a bank teller or someone like that who might see something suspicious."

Batinick: "Okay. And the vote... it looks like the vote was 13-1 in committee on the underlying Amendment. So..."

Stuart: "Yes."

Batinick: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Hoffman: "Seeing no one seeking further recognition, the question is, 'Shall House Bill 3918 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 110 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On page 5 of the Calendar appears House Bill 1092, on the Order of Second Reading, Representative Stoneback. Please read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1092, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1 is offered by Representative Stoneback."
- Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Stoneback on Floor Amendment #1."
- Stoneback: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment 1 to HB1092 is a gut and replace and becomes the Bill. Floor Amendment 1 will strengthen the Firearms Restraining Order Act by closing loopholes in the original law and educating both law enforcement and the general public on its use. It would ensure that ammunition or any other firearms parts that can be used to assemble an operable firearm are also removed when an order is granted and clarifies who can be a petitioner. It would create a public education campaign to provide information on the FRO to the public. I move for the adoption of the Amendment and happy to answer any questions."
- Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Stoneback moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 1092. All in favor say 'aye'; all opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Hoffman: "Third Reading. Please read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1092, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Stoneback on House Bill 1092."
- Stoneback: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and fellow Members. According to the Gun Violence Archive, there have been 154 mass shootings so far this year, 55 of those in the past month

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

alone. As Legislators, we are tasked with not only being the voice of our constituents but also making sure we're passing laws to protect them to the best of our ability. The Firearms Restraining Order, known as FRO, is an Illinois law that went into effect in 2019 in an effort to prevent mass shootings, gun-related domestic violence, and firearm suicides. The law allows family members and law enforcement to petition the courts to obtain a civil order to temporarily remove firearms from people who pose a significant risk to the... of harm to themselves or others. The FRO is another tool in the toolbox to reduce violence by removing lethal means from someone who is exhibiting dangerous behavior. A tool, however, is only useful if it's utilized. Unfortunately, the implementation of the FRO in Illinois has been inconsistent due to a lack of awareness that the law exists. Since becoming law in 2019, only 59 petitions have been granted statewide with only 11 active. By contrast, Maryland passed and implemented a similar law a few months before Illinois, averaging about 75 petitions a month. It's been estimated that for every 10 to 20 orders issued, one life is saved. So, implementation is critical in saving lives. HB1092 would strengthen our Firearms Restraining Order Act by closing loopholes in the original law and educating both law enforcement and the general public on its use. It would also make sure that firearms are removed from a person exhibiting dangerous behavior in a timely manner. To address the lack of awareness, the Bill would create a public education campaign to provide information on the FRO to the general public, subject to appropriations, from the Department of Public Health. Since

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

law enforcement officers are the ones often to file petitions, it would also require yearly training on how to use the law. We've met with many stakeholders to seek advice and input, including state's attorneys, the Office of the Attorney General, Chiefs of Police, the Illinois State Police, advocates in the gun violence prevention and domestic violence spaces, as well as experts from across the country. Their input has helped shape this important Bill to prevent gun tragedies. Through this Bill, we can strengthen our existing FRO law and help prevent mass shootings, hate crimes, and firearm suicides in Illinois. Let's not miss this opportunity to prevent a tragedy like the many we've seen across the nation. Thank you for your time. And I urge an 'aye' vote. I'm happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Hoffman: "Thank you, Representative. On House Bill 1092, Representative Reick."

Reick: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Hoffman: "She indicates she'll yield."

Reick: "We'd like also to go to Standard Debate, Mr. Speaker, please."

Speaker Hoffman: "The Bill's on Standard Debate."

Reick: "Thank you. Representative, you weren't here in the Body when we negotiated the original Firearms Restraining Order Bill, were you?"

Stoneback: "I was not in the General Assembly. I was an advocate supporting this Bill."

Reick: "You supported the original Firearms Restraining Order Bill, is that what you're saying?"

Stoneback: "Yes, I did."

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

Reick: "Thank you. Then you must have some at least tangential knowledge of the amount of negotiation that went into crafting this Bill. The fact that Representative Willis, who originally brought this Bill forward, came to me, or I went to her, and we hammered out what we thought, at the time, was pretty much decided was a national model that balanced the equities between public safety, both to the person who was at risk of using a firearm on themselves or others and the general public, as well as balancing civil liberties. This Bill was supported not only by the gun control groups like yours, but also by the Illinois State Rifle Association and the National Rifle Association. This was a Bill that was finely crafted with delicate balanced equities to make sure that all people's civil liberties and rights were protected along with the public as best we could. The discussion that you're bringing forth in this Bill was made at that time. And it was decided that to expand the eligibility of potential petitioners in this type of an activity would go very much against the civil liberties of the person whose rights were being denied to them under the Second Amendment. I believe that what your Bill is doing will do the same thing. Now, you're saying that there have only been, I believe, 59 or so cases or instances. I want to ask you a question. Have you talked to the Illinois State Rifle Association or any of the Second Amendment supporters?"

Stoneback: "I have spoken with the Illinois State Rifle Association. They contacted me for the first time yesterday morning. And I am continuing the conversation with them in the Senate."

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

Reick: "I beg to differs, Representative. My time is short, but I just spoke with the Representative of ISRA. And they apparently said that you talk to them in March as well as in April, and they have recordings of the conversation that says you weren't interested in negotiating this particular aspect of the Bill."

Stoneback: "I don't recall that."

Reick: "They didn't just get a hold of you yesterday. Now, I'm not against the idea of having... broadening the knowledge that this exists, but broadening the class of petitioners upsets the delicate balance that we negotiated back in 2019. I cannot too strongly urge a 'no' vote on this Bill. Thank you."

Speaker Hoffman: "Thank you, Representative. Representative McCombie."

McCombie: "Thank you. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Hoffman: "She indicates she'll yield."

McCombie: "Just a couple of questions. There's talk about a commission that will be... can you... part of this. Who's going to be on the commission?"

Stoneback: "So, it would be composed of at least 12 members.

They're listed in the Bill itself."

McCombie: "Can you tell me who that is for the record, please?"

Stoneback: "Sure. Including members of law enforcement and nonprofit organizations, the director must... the commission will be chaired by the Director of the Illinois State Police.

The... it would also include... give me a minute, please."

McCombie: "Well, while you're looking that up, also when you started soliciting Members to get on this Bill, you sent out a letter to all of us stating that, since it became law

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

through Representative Willis, that only 59 petitions had been filed. And I thought that was really good because that showed me that it wasn't necessarily... an expansion wasn't necessarily needed. Do you disagree with that?"

Stoneback: "Well... so, we've been working closely with the Illinois State police and they looked up in LEADS and saw that only 59 petitions statewide in over 2 years had been issued and only 11 were active. So, that was shortly before the time I sent that letter out. And we compare that with other states, and Maryland implemented their version of firearms restraining order a few months before ours and they're now averaging about 75 petitions per month. And so, when we compare that, Maryland has a smaller population than Illinois, it is comparatively low. And we've spoken with many stakeholders and state's attorney's offices who are asking for this. They're saying that they're..."

McCombie: "Right. And I'm not going to... sorry, and I don't mean to interrupt you, but..."

Stoneback: "Sure."

McCombie: "...I'm always interested when we compare things to other states. Maybe the people of Illinois are better than the people in other states when it comes to these issues. Maybe..."

Stoneback: "I certainly love the people of Illinois."

McCombie: "Right. Right. So, I just don't think that that's a fair thing to compare that more people are filing..."

Stoneback: "Sure."

McCombie: "...these petitions against others. So, I think, to me, the Bill that was put forth by Representative Willis, sorry to use your name is debate, but it had Republican bipartisan

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

support. Illinois State Rifle Association was proponents of it. And now you have zero Republicans. You have the… ISRA is not going to support this. It just goes too far. Did you get that answer for the commission?"

Stoneback: "I do believe that we do have Republican support. And I also want to just state for the record that I am very grateful to everyone who worked on the original law. I thought it was great that it was passed and signed into law. And really the reason here that I'm bringing this Bill is because we've spoken..."

McCombie: "Just real quick. I only have ... real quick."

Stoneback: "Sure."

McCombie: "The ISRA... G-PAC is on the commission. ISRA does not have a voice. That's unfair.

Stoneback: "G-PAC is not mentioned on the commission."

McCombie: "Well, that came up in debate yesterday in the committee. I think the system that we have in place now is working. I think to go forward is tearing down the Republicans and the advocates for gun rights by pushing this forward, expanding it without actually letting the first Bill work. I strongly urge my Republicans to vote 'no' on this Bill. It goes too far. It goes against previous agreements. And I urge a 'no' vote."

Stoneback: "Would you like me to address the members of the commission or... okay."

Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Willis."

Willis: "Thank you, Mr. Chair. I'm going to go just to the Bill." Speaker Hoffman: "To the Bill."

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

Willis: "And my names been called out a number of times during this debate. I appreciate very much the bipartisan support we had on the Bill when we passed it two years ago. I felt strongly it was a good piece of legislation then, I think it's still a good piece of legislation. I will readily admit I have major concerns about changing the definition. That definition was done with input from all parties on both sides of the issue. I felt that it worked at that time. I do have concerns that, while we pass this piece of legislation, many people, law enforcement included, do not know that we have it in place. And that is a part of this Bill that I think is very good. I think making sure that we can have a public education part to it works well. Making sure that people know that this is a resource and something that they can use is a good thing. But I'm going to go back to that agreed upon definition. And we worked really hard for that because we had concerns. We did not want this to become a vindictive thing that someone could use in a divorce situation or just because you don't like your neighbor or something like that. And that's why we worked really hard to get that definition. I have reached out to the Bill Sponsor and requested her to use the original definition. She feels that this is the best way to go. I will tell you, at this point, I am probably going to only vote 'present' on the Bill because I am concerned that we are dialing back things that we put in the original Bill that was bipartisanly supported. Thank you."

Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Stoneback to close."

Stoneback: "This is a Bill that will prevent mass shootings, firearm suicides, and firearm-related domestic violence. It

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

will improve education and awareness around an existing law that we have in place that has been underutilized. I will say that I have been in contact and working on these kinds of laws for a very long time with experts. Very rarely, only anecdotally is any petitioner ever accused of bringing a false claim around one of these orders. This is a critical Bill to prevent qun violence and mass shootings. And we've been seeing one mass shooting after another every week. We have to remember Atlanta, Indianapolis last week. In Indianapolis, the mother of a 19-year-old shooter reached out to police and asked... you know, with concerns about his mental state. They did not fully implement their version of our firearms restraining order and that is why the tragedy happened. Here we have an opportunity to prevent a tragedy like that here in Illinois. So, I strongly urge and would appreciate an 'aye' vote. Thank you."

Speaker Hoffman: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. Representative Stoneback."

Stoneback: "I'd like to Postpone Consideration."

Speaker Hoffman: "The Bill shall be placed on Postponed Consideration. On page 8 of the Calendar appears House Bill 3878, Representative Tarver. This is on Second Reading. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3878, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1 is offered by Representative Tarver."

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Tarver on Floor Amendment #1."

Tarver: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I believe this Amendment is a gut and replace of the original Bill. It adds a task force at the request of Leader Welter. And... yeah, I think that's actually the primary focus of the Amendment."

Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Tarver moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 3878. All in favor say 'aye'; all opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Hoffman: "Please read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill..."

Speaker Hoffman: "Third Reading. I apologize. Please read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3878, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Tarver on House Bill 3878."

Tarver: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is a Bill that... we already have a fund for rental housing support... the Rental Housing Support Program through IHDA. This Bill would increase the recordation fee from \$9 to \$18 and \$1 to \$10. So, it's a fee that, when you are fortunate enough to purchase a house, you can help individuals who may not have housing or be housing insecure. I think this will probably affect people two to three times, for the most part, over the course of their life. So, I would think that 27... \$36 or so is sufficient to pay into a kitty to help individuals who are housing insecure. I urge an 'aye' vote. Oh actually, I'm sorry, I'll know they'll

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

be questions, but let me make sure to note that initially the recorders were in opposition. They worked very well with us and provided us some great suggestions for the Bill, which we took under advisement. I think we have a good Bill because of that. I want to thank the realtors as well, who've come out in support who and really, really helped to work the roll call with us. Also want to thank Representative Stoneback who had a similar Bill but agreed to work with us and the advocates to get what you have before you today. Happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Hoffman: "Thank you, Representative. On House Bill 3878, Representative Welter."

Welter: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Does the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Hoffman: "He indicates he'll yield."

Welter: "Representative Tarver, I'd like to first commend you, build you up here because you have worked very hard on this legislation. I appreciate you taking into consideration a Bill that I had filed that had to do with a task force to look at how these funds are currently spent and to see where the funds are going in a more transparent manner. I just would like to clarify with you, the funds that support this program, where do they come from?"

Tarver: "You mean through IHDA or..."

Welter: "At the local level."

Tarver: "Through the... the local granting agencies that are selected."

Welter: "Well, I think the revenue that's collected, if I'm correct, when you record something your recorder's office there's a fee charge, correct?"

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

Tarver: "Oh, yes. I'm sorry. Yes."

Welter: "And that fee today is what?"

Tarver: "I believe nine dollars. Well, it's a little tricky in the Bill, but the upshot of it is, where you pay \$9 you would pay 18, where you pay \$21 you will now pay 31. So, it's a \$10 increase. And I want to say, I know your nexus, but part of the reason for the \$10 is because we wanted to make sure to include... to double the administrative fee that we provide for the recorders right now. They were already getting a one dollars, now it will be two dollars to help them defray the cost of the administration."

Welter: "And the program that this goes to help fund, what has been your experience with it? Would... in your area, would you say it's underfunded? Why are we here today looking at making this increase?"

Tarver: "I think in general there's just a lack of funding for individuals who are housing insecure. I think we still need more funds. And at a time when we have a tight budget, I think this is an opportunity where individuals who are fortunate enough to purchase a house have the ability to help those who may be a little bit less fortunate."

Welter: "Okay. And I... again, back to the point of what this program does. It's all really great things. But I would like to remind everybody in this room, we are going to be increasing, doubling the fee for this. And depending on where you're at in this state... and I would encourage you... because I reached out to my local clerks and recorders to understand at a local level how are these programs being used. And, folks, I was actually shocked, shocked to find that the

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

counties that I represent have been spending... sending hundreds of thousands of dollars to this program and benefitting zero dollars. So, our taxpayers are sending money to this program, and as many of you on both sides of the aisle, I can tell you that because I've looked at the map, you're going to be doubling this fee on your residents when they record fees in your courthouse. And they are going to benefit from it zero. That's important to note, folks. So, although I respect that the Representative has put into the Bill a task force, because your clerks and recorders, many of them are frustrated by the lack of transparency and where these funds are going and how they are spent. They, I'm sure, would tell you similar things to what I am saying if you live in one of these communities. My task force that I wanted to see happen should be done before we increase any fee. I would be willing to maybe even join you if I saw that there was a more transparent process and my community was actually benefitting a dollar, anything, some benefit to it. So, although this is a great program and is implemented in certain areas of this state, these funds... if a local is sending it to the state, the locals need to get something back. Stand up for your locals, folks."

Tarver: "Thank you, Representative."

Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Guzzardi."

Guzzardi: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. To the Bill. I want to thank Representative Tarver for his work on this issue. Just briefly to say that, to the Gentleman from Grundy County, there are 16 units of rental housing support program housing in Grundy county. That's more than almost any rural county in the state.

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

This program is... in statute it describes that the program needs to fund units in large metropolitan areas, in small metropolitan areas, and in rural communities. And the program has done a phenomenal job to this point of getting resources to rural communities for people who are housing insecure. However, we want to continue to expand this program into more communities that aren't currently served by it but the only way to expand the program is to put more resources into it. Right now, the dollars that go into the program fund the units that the program supports. If we want to get more units in more areas, we need more dollars. I urge an 'aye' vote. Thank you."

Speaker Hoffman: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 3878 pass?'
All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. I apologize.

Mr. Tarver... Representative Tarver, please close. I apologize."

Tarver: "No. No problem, Leader Hoffman. Let me just say, I was doing some very quick numbers, and I want to be clear when we're talking about what people are paying. If you paid \$9 on the recordation fee for a \$25 thousand house, which I don't know where those exist, but the percentage of that would be .00036. We're asking people, wherever they live in the state, to pay an additional nine dollars or so to make sure individuals who are housing insecure can find housing. And if we start with the debate of what people are generating the funds and where they go, the last time I checked, those motor fuel taxes, those... large part comes from Chicagoland area. And we don't complain when roads get paved in Southern Illinois. This is about housing, the ability for individuals

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

to have a place to lay their heads at night. Nine dollars. Nine dollars is what we're talking about. And I want to be clear, there's a task force, to Representative... Leader Welter's point, that will look at the issue of equitable distribution. It will issue a report by March 31, 2022, and there is an individual that will be appointed specifically to look at rural areas. So, we took all that into account. I think we have a great Bill. I urge an 'aye' vote. And I wanted to thank you also, Chairman Guzzardi, in closing for all of your help in getting us to a point that where we had a good Bill and twisting our arm to work with the recorders and some other folks as well. I urge an 'aye' vote. Nine dollars to keep people in their houses. Thank you very much."

'Shall House Bill 3878 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 67 voting 'yes', 42 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Moving to page 14 of the Calendar, appears House Bill 3161, on the Order of Second Reading, Representative West. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3161, a Bill for an Act concerning domestic violence. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1 is offered by Representative West."

Speaker Hoffman: "Representative West on Floor Amendment #1."

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

West: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Floor Amendment #1 is a simple technical change because we miscalculated the number of people on the commission. I ask for its adoption."

Speaker Hoffman: "Representative West moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 3161. All in favor signify by saying 'aye'; all opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Hoffman: "Third Reading. Please read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3161, a Bill for an Act concerning domestic violence. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hoffman: "Representative West on House Bill 3161."

West: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the General Assembly. I rise to present House Bill 3161, the Domestic Violence Fatality Review Team. And I'm really excited for this legislation. I want to thank the plethora of bipartisan support on this legislation. Domestic violence related homicides account for 15 percent of homicides in Illinois. And at least 130 domestic violence related homicides occurred in Illinois in 2019 with an economic impact of \$1.2 billion. And I'm... we haven't seen the numbers for 2020, but we've heard many of stories of how there was domestic violence increases due to the stay-at-home orders. One in three female murder victims are killed by their intimate partners. And domestic violence transcends race, religion, ethnicity, sexual orientation, disability, geography, party lines. Women of color additional barriers... face additional barriers in gaps in assessing systemic and community responses aimed at

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

reducing domestic violence and domestic violence related fatalities and near fatalities. And so, what this team will do, the Domestic Violence Fatality Review Team, it will make sure that we can make it preventable... domestic violence deaths preventable and have a proactive approach to saving lives. Right now, I ask for you to help me support this legislation. The Governor's Office, ICJIA is on board with this legislation. And we are still in discussions with the Governor's Office to determine specific operations at the commission. And we have our last meeting on Monday, while this Bill is in the Senate. I ask for an 'aye' vote and will entertain any questions you may have."

- Speaker Hoffman: "Seeing no one seeking recognition, the question is, 'Shall House Bill 3161 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 112 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Moving to page 10 of the Calendar, appears House Bill 2379, on Second Reading, Representative Walsh. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2379, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. No committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1 is offered by Representative Walsh."
- Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Walsh. Representative Lawrence Walsh, Jr. on Floor Amendment #1."

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

- Walsh: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Floor Amendment 1 is limiting the sunset date to December 31 of 2023. And I'd ask for its adoption."
- Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Mazzochi, would you be willing to debate this on Third Reading? Representative Walsh moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 2379.

  All in favor signify by saying 'aye'; all opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. Any further Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Lawrence Walsh, Jr. on House Bill 2379. Third Reading. Please read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2379, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is a small cell wireless... or Small Wireless Facilities Employment Act. And it's basically... all we're doing here is extending the sunset from the end of June of 2021 to December 31 of 2023. The industry is in talks currently with local governments, with the IML, and the other COGS on some... their concerns. But I'd ask for an 'aye' vote. Happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Hoffman: "On House Bill 2379, Representative Mazzochi."

Mazzochi: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd ask for Standard Debate."

Speaker Hoffman: "The Bill's placed on Standard Debate."

Mazzochi: "All right. To the Bill. HB2379 is a reauthorization of SB1451, the Small Cell Wireless Act. Now, when SB1451 was enacted, one of the biggest objections was the evisceration

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

of local control. You heard about that issue back then because our local municipalities, at least in theory, had a seat at the table, even though our local property owners did not. But even then, that Bill only passed 62-41-5. The 'nays' included our current Speaker of the House, Chris Welch. Not voting and 'present' votes included Leader Ammons, DeLuca, Durkin, Lilly, Rita, Sosnowski, Walsh, and Zalewski. The 'no' votes were bipartisan. Batinick, Brady, Butler, Carroll, Cassidy, Conroy, Ford, Frese, Greenwood, Hernandez, Hoffman, Kifowit, Manley, Moylan, Mussman, Scherer, (unintelligible), Stuart, Welter, Willis, and Yingling. The Small Cell Wireless Bill is set to sunset this year, and the sunset provisions are there so that we can learn and correct mistakes. What we should learn and correct is that this Bill is not one that should be reauthorized at all. The proponents say we need to reauthorize this Bill because we need 5G. You don't need to reauthorize this Bill to get 5G technology. Before SB1451 passed, the Members of this Body were told that we couldn't have local ordinances, that it would be a disaster, and that's why we needed the small cell statute. But then we didn't know there was going to be a comprehensive federal regulatory scheme and what it would look like. Now we do. And the states that avoided passing small cell wireless Bills, Massachusetts, California, local control has managed to work just fine under those federal regulations and they are getting 5G technology investments. One of the key pieces of local control we took away from our municipalities was to tell our units of local government that they could not stop new poles going up all over the place. So, if we didn't have the Small Cell Wireless

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

Act, a municipality could keep control over their parkways. They could ask telecom to truly colocate or provide proof that there was a gap in coverage if you wanted to put up a new pole. Wisconsin has a Small Cell Wireless Act. They didn't take that power away from their municipalities. Iowa has a Small Cell Wireless Act. They... in their statute, they specifically ensured that local authorities could require propagation maps to identify the location of the coverage and capacity gaps. Michigan not only allows more local control this issue but let's municipalities require certificate of compliance with FCC issues on radio frequency emissions. Another thing this Body didn't know when it passed the Small Cell Wireless Act was the real world experience of municipalities with new pole demands. One thing I have heard from other Members is that they haven't seen this issue in their district. That's because my district has been the pilot location for the entire state. My municipalities..."

Speaker Hoffman: "Representative... Representative Mazzochi, Representative Reick yields you his time."

Mazzochi: "Thank you. My municipalities have been the canary in the coal mine. And you don't want this to be coming to your districts without serious change to the Small Cell Wireless Act. You don't want to have a new 65 foot pole installed in your parkway a hundred feet apart. You don't want canisters hung from an electric cable right outside your bedroom window. You don't want your residents to have no advance notice that you're going to get these things showing up on your private property just because maybe you have a water or sewer utility easement. It doesn't have to be that way and other states

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

have made sure it won't be that way. There's no reason why we can't too. Critically, this Bill should not move without amending the colocation, right-of-way provisions and giving our municipalities more meaningful control over the placement of small wireless facilities. Our Small Cell Wireless Act is full of thickets of confusion that seemed reasonable on their face but are unreasonable in practice. And here's some examples of why. The Act says colocation is encouraged. But also, in later sections, take away municipalities authority over that very issue. We tell our municipalities they may not require the placement of small wireless facilities on any pole or utility pole. We tell our municipalities they may not require minimum separation distances of any kind between these installations. So, if Crown Castle, and Verizon, and AT&T, and others want to put in a mess of poles a 100 feet apart, 25 feet apart, even 5 apart on your block, you have no rights. Your municipality is not allowed to say no. That doesn't happen in other states. Our Midwestern neighbors are willing to give their municipalities that authority regulate. Some of them even say you have to keep from at least 500 feet apart. Why can't we? And as for the definition of colocation, it's incredibly broad. You can install, mount, maintain, modify, operate, or replace wireless facilities on an existing pole or anywhere else adjacent to that pole. Adjacent is unlimited. That means, in Illinois, you can put up a new pole anywhere you want. Again, doesn't happen in other states. Other states regulate where you can put things on colocation and requires colocation to occur on existing infrastructure and poles. I

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

can give you a lot more examples of ways in which our statute is deficient relative to other states. To date, telecom has not come to the table to address these new issues that this Body didn't know about back then, that no one could've predicted when the Small Cell Wireless Bill was enacted. They've agreed to better statutory language in other states. They've agreed to forgo this type of Bill in other states. There's no reason Illinois residents should miss out."

Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Mazzochi... Representative Mazzochi, please..."

Mazzochi: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Hoffman: "Thank you. Representative Moylan."

Moylan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Hoffman: "Indicates he'll yield."

Moylan: "Inquiry of the Chair, Mr. Speaker. My name, as well as numerous other names, were mentioned in debate. Is it true that we all get five minutes to speak?"

Walsh: "No."

Speaker Hoffman: "Well, that wasn't an inquiry... it was an inquiry of the Chair, Representative Lawrence Walsh, Jr. And the Chair would recommend that, if we want to see our families, that not everybody take the five minutes."

Moylan: "I agree. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Hoffman: "The last, I assume in opposition, Representative Grant."

Grant: "Thank you. I am... yes, I am in opposition. And to the Bill."

Speaker Hoffman: "To the Bill."

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

Grant: "It basically just needs a simple Amendment to protect local property owners. The Amendment needs to talk about the easement colocation. It needs to be better defined to property... to protect local homeowners. Deanne listed out many things, and I have been with this organization that opposes this. And it's just very much against the will of the municipality, the will of the community. I urge a 'no' vote." Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Walsh to close."

Walsh: "Thank you, Ladies and Gentlemen. This Bill is still being negotiated. This is being moved over to Senate. It's going to continue negotiations with the Illinois Municipal League and the COGS and the different areas throughout the state. I had the commitment from the industry that they're going to continue these COGS before this Bill moves to final passage. And that is what I've committed to the Municipal League and to the industry, and we'll see what we come up with throughout the negotiations. Those concerns are being addressed. With that being said, I would ask for an 'aye' vote. Thank you."

Speaker Hoffman: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 2379 pass?'
All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 91 voting 'yes', 17 voting 'no', 3 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Moving to page 19 of the Calendar, House Bill 1778, on the Order of Third Reading, Representative Yang Rohr. Mr. Clerk, I understand that there is a Floor Amendment. Please move this Bill to the Order of Second Reading. Please read the Bill."

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1778, a Bill for an Act concerning education. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1 has been adopted. Floor Amendment #2 is offered by Representative Yang Rohr."
- Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Yang Rohr on Floor Amendment #2."
- Yang Rohr: "Thank you. Thank you, Speaker and Members of the House. The Amendment basically adds the name of the group that brought the Bill to us. And I ask for it to be adopted."
- Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Yang Rohr moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 1778. All in favor signify by saying 'aye'; all opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Hoffman: "Third Reading. Please read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1778, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Yang Rohr on House Bill 1778."
- Yang Rohr: "Thank you. HB1778 is an initiative of the student-led nonprofit Beyond Charity, and it seeks to address the crisis of increasing youth suicides that we're seeing. This Bill would basically require, for public schools or public universities and colleges, public schools from 6th grade through 12th grade to, if they issue a student ID, that they would also have to print the number for national and local suicide prevention hotlines on that student ID. And we just want to make sure that's it easy for students to reach out

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

for help if the need ever arises. And I would ask for your 'aye' vote and happy to take any questions."

- Speaker Hoffman: "Seeing no one seeking recognition, the question is, 'Shall House Bill 1778 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Walsh. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 112 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Wheeler, on page 15 of the Calendar appears House Bill 3523, on the Order of Second Reading. Please read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3523, a Bill for Act concerning State government. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1 is offered by Representative Wheeler."
- Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Wheeler on Floor Amendment #1."
  Wheeler: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move the adoption of House
  Floor Amendment 1, which simply replaces the words
  'cyberattack' with 'cyber incident' and then adds a more
  complete definition of cyber incident."
- Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Wheeler moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 3523. All in favor signify by saying 'aye'; all opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."

  Speaker Hoffman: "Third Reading. Please read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

  Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3523, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. Third Reading of this House Bill."

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

- Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Wheeler on House Bill 3523."
- Wheeler: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 3523 is a Bill that adds cyber incidents to the Illinois Emergency Management Agency Act in the case that a cyberattack were to occur and adversely affect our state's construction communications network. Appreciate your 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Hoffman: "Seeing no one seeking recognition, the question is, 'Shall House Bill 3523 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 112 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On page 5 of the Calendar, House Bill 1161, Representative Zalewski. Representative Zalewski. Out of the record. Moving to page 14 of the Calendar, on the Order of Second Reading, Representative Andrade on House Bill 3205. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3205, a Bill for an Act concerning business. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendments 1 and 2 have been approved for consideration. Floor Amendment #1 is offered by Representative Andrade."
- Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Welter, for what reason do you rise?"
- Welter: "Mr. Speaker, let the record reflect that Representative Brady is excused for the rest of the day."
- Speaker Hoffman: "Thank you. On Floor Amendment #1, Representative Andrade."

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

Andrade: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Floor Amendment 1 removes Secretary of State from the definition of government agency." Speaker Hoffman: "Seeing no one seeking recognition, the question is, 'Shall Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 3205 be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye'; all opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Bolin: "Floor Amendment #2 is offered by Representative Andrade."

Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Andrade."

Andrade: "House Bill (sic-Amendment) #2 is a page and (sic-line)
Amendment that entirely deletes a Section and amends the
definition of digital signature in the State Comptroller's
Act and repeals Section 14.1 of the State Comptroller's Act,
allows any party to fix digital signature complying with the
requirements and such communication between a state agency
and the comptroller that requires a signature. Repealing
section 14.1 entirely because, if enacted, the Uniform
Electronic Transaction Act would allow for this. So, the
following Bill will address this issue."

Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Andrade moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 3205. All in favor signify by saying 'aye'; all opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Hoffman: "Third Reading. Please read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3205, a Bill for an Act concerning business. Third Reading of this House Bill."

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

Speaker Hoffman: "Representative... Leader Andrade."

Andrade: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members. House Bill 3205 is an initiative of the Illinois Department of Innovation and Technology to have Illinois adopt UETA, which has already been adopted by 48 states. This is the Uniform Electronic Transaction Signature, and this will bring us in compliance with the rest of the country. If there's no objection... there's no opponents to this Bill."

Speaker Hoffman: "Thank you, Leader Andrade. Seeing no one seeking... seeing no one seeking recognition, the question is, 'Shall House Bill 3205 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 111 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Zalewski, on page 5 of the Calendar appears House Bill 1161. Out of the record. On page 20 of the Calendar appears House Bill 3596, Representative Avelar. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill. Please move this Bill back to Second Reading for the purposes of an Amendment, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3596, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1 is offered by Representative Avelar."

Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Avelar on Floor Amendment #1."

Avelar: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Floor Amendment #1 is a gut and replace Amendment that retains the language in the underlying Bill with the following additions. It requires

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

dispensers to accept prescriptions for a substance in Schedule II, III, IV, or V sent electronically as required by the Bill in such electronic format. It clarifies that prescribers are not required to issue prescriptions electronically if they certify to the Department of Financial and Professional Regulations that they will not issue more than 25 prescriptions in either oral or written form during a 12-month period. It also requires IDFPR to promulgate rules to implement the Bill that must provide for the implementation of any such exemption the department may deem appropriate. Finally, it changes the effective date to January 1, 2023."

Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Avelar moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 3596. All in favor signify by saying 'aye'; all opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Hoffman: "Third Reading. Please read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3596, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Avelar."

Avelar: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 3596 gives a prescriber the ability to electronically send an accurate, error free, and understandable prescription for a controlled substance in Scheduled II, III, IV, or V directly to a pharmacy from the point of care. This is an important element in improving the quality of patient care. There is no opposition to the Bill as amended. And I ask for an 'aye' vote."

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

- Speaker Hoffman: "Seeing no one seeking recognition, the question is, 'Shall House Bill 3596 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 111 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Representative Kam Buckner on House Bill 1765, on Second Reading, page 7 of the Calendar. Please read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1765, a Bill for an Act concerning government. No committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #2 is offered by Representative Buckner."
- Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Buckner on Floor Amendment #2."
  Buckner: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. So, Floor Amendment 2 has some defining language. And it also has some language that comes from some negotiations with law enforcement on this. So, I would ask that we could adopt Floor Amendment 2 and then move forward."
- Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Buckner moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 1765. All in favor signify by saying 'aye'; all opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Hoffman: "Third Reading. Please read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1765, a Bill for an Act concerning government. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Buckner on House Bill 1765."

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

Buckner: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. So, House Bill 1765 creates the Empowering Public Participation Act to encourage individuals to speak and engage in the public process as far as open meetings. Specifically, it prohibits law enforcement agencies from conducting background checks of speakers at meetings of public bodies, including police disciplinary board meetings, except to provide security for the premises of the meeting and for the protection of public officials and other person who attend the meeting. This Bill was negotiated over a period of time both with the Attorney General's Office and law enforcement, who have now come to be neutral on this. There is still one more piece of the Amendment that did not make into this actual iteration, and it's going to be worked on by the Senate Sponsor. I just wanted to make sure I go on record to say that. And that piece of it will make sure that this does not create a private right of action so that cities and municipalities are not civilly liable if this Act does occur. I would urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Hoffman: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 1765 pass?'
All in favor signify by voting 'aye'; all opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 111 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Wheeler on House Bill 3027. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3027, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Third Reading of this House Bill."

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Wheeler."

Wheeler: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. In my office we affectionately refer to this as Henry's Law. This Bill was brought to my attention when my district office director got the news that he was going to be a father. The baby's mom has a full-time job, which is a lengthy walk from the employee parking lot up to the hill and many steps up a very steep incline to get to her door. As her pregnancy progressed, she found herself having more and more difficulty carrying a heavy work bag such a great distance. So, my district office director tried to get her a parking placard and learned, upon doing research, that she wasn't definitively eligible for getting one under current law. So, we brought this Bill forward. It only applies to moms in their third trimester for a 90-day temporary pass. We worked with the Secretary of State's Office to get the language right. We believe that this Bill would be incredibly helpful for working moms who find themselves facing a similar situation, especially during our harsh winter months. Imagine all the times you've been at a place of business with an icy parking lot. This Bill is aimed at protecting moms and babies when they need to go to work, when they need to go shopping for their families, and when they need to visit their doctor. Unfortunately, the inspiration for this Bill went into preterm labor at 21 weeks. Baby Henry Marcum was born in November of 2019 and was only with us for about 30 minutes before he passed. Our vote today is a great way to honor his memory and demonstrate that a life that only lasted for half an hour can make a huge difference in the lives of thousands of moms and babies across our state. What a wonderful legacy

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

for baby Henry to leave. I'd like to thank Leader Durkin and Speaker Welch for their support. And I'd appreciate an 'aye' vote."

- Speaker Hoffman: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 3027 pass?'
  All in favor signify by voting 'yes'; all opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 111 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Congratulations, Representative. On page 20 of the Calendar appears House Bill 3443, Representative Cassidy. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill. Please move the Bill back to Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3443, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1 is offered by Representative Cassidy."
- Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Cassidy on Floor Amendment #1."

  Cassidy: "I'd like to adopt the Amendment and then present on Third."
- Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Cassidy moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 3443. All in favor signify by saying 'aye'; all opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Hoffman: "Third Reading. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3443, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Third Reading of this House Bill."

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Cassidy."

Cassidy: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. House Bill 3443, as amended, represents the work of criminal justice reform advocates and several state's attorneys. It creates an opportunity where by a state's attorney can petition or can motion for a resentencing hearing for someone who is serving a sentence that is longer than it would have been currently. It addresses the question of the ways that we are rethinking sentencing and we're attempting to create an opportunity for fairness. And I ask for your support. It also... sorry, before I go to the questions. It is a redraft of House Bill 1804 from the 100th General Assembly that passed out of this chamber with 72 'yes' votes with a bipartisan roll call. Thank you."

Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Windhorst."

Windhorst: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. To the Bill."

Speaker Hoffman: "To the Bill."

Windhorst: "This Bill would allow for the reopening of cases that have been decided with the conviction at a jury trial, a bench trial, or by guilty plea that had been heard on appeal that where the defendant has a post-conviction petition. Basically where the process has been finalized. I'm fundamentally opposed to reopening cases, especially cases involving victims of violent crime where they will have to go back to court, testify at a sentencing hearing, provide a victim impact statement, essentially be brought back into the process that should've been finalized. So, for that reason I am voting 'no' and would ask others to vote the same."

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

- Speaker Hoffman: "Seeing no one seeking further recognition, the question is, 'Shall House Bill 3443 pass?' All in favor signify by voting 'aye'; all opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 60 voting 'yes', 42 voting 'no', 1 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Moving to page 20 of the Calendar, appears Representative Conroy's House Bill 3498. Mr. Clerk, please move this Bill to Second Reading for the purposes of an Amendment."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3498, a Bill for an Act concerning health care. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendments 1 and 2 have been approved for consideration. Floor Amendment #1 is offered by Representative Conroy."
- Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Conroy on Floor Amendment #1."
- Conroy: "Thank you, Speaker. Floor Amendment 1 is a gut and replace and becomes the Bill. Of importance to many of my colleagues is that this is where you will find the language where nutritionists and dieticians, as well as early intervention, has been added to the telehealth legislation."
- Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Reick, would you be willing to debate this on Third Reading or would you like to discuss the Amendment?"
- Reick: "I have another thing to talk about, Mr. Speaker. So, I'm not on this Bill. Sorry about that."
- Speaker Hoffman: "Okay. Representative Conroy moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 3498. All in favor signify by saying 'aye'; all opposed 'nay'. In the

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Bolin: "Floor Amendment #2 is offered by Representative Conroy."

Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Conroy."

Conroy: "Thank you, Speaker. The second Amendment, most important, it's technical. But of importance, is it clarifies the language around emergency rulemaking. And this is something that was brought to my attention by Leader Demmer."

Speaker Hoffman: "Seeing no one seeking recognition, Representative Conroy moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 3498. All in favor signify by saying 'aye'; all opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Hoffman: "Third Reading. Please read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3498, a Bill for an Act concerning health care. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Conroy."

Conroy: "Thank you, Speaker. I want to start by thanking everyone who has put so much time and energy with me into this Bill. Many hours were spent by Legislators, staff, insurers, health care providers, and patient advocates on working out the details of this legislation. House Bill 3498 empowers Illinoisans to receive the right care, at the right place, at the right time. In response to the COVID-19 pandemic, both the State and Federal Government enacted policy changes to make telehealth services easier to access and provide. These

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

coverage and payment flexibilities have allowed the health care community to make significant, rapid investment in telehealth technology. Over the last year, we've seen firsthand evidence that telehealth preserves quality and safety, meets individual patient needs, decreases health care disparities, and protects public health. Allowing patients to receive health care services through telehealth, whether it be in there home or another safe and convenient location, reduces and eliminates barriers that worsen health care disparities. Easier access to care leads to healthier patients and saves lives. Telehealth also reduces overall health care costs by making it easier to manage chronic conditions, keeping patients out of the emergency room and other expensive care settings. Mental health and physical health are two sides of the same coin. Limiting access to telehealth services for either mental health or physical health will have a negative impact on the patients overall health outcome. Marvin Lindsey, CEO of the Community Behavioral Healthcare Association of Illinois, stated that people with serious mental illness and/or substance abuse disorder die on an average of 25 years earlier than the general population. The vast majority of these deaths are due to chronic physical medical conditions that could be more effectively treated via telehealth. The COVID-19 pandemic has been devastating to Illinois. We must not let the lessons we have learned during this difficult time go to waste, including the lesson that telehealth is an important tool for improving the health of Illinois residents. Now is the time for the General Assembly to shape the future health care delivery in

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

Illinois by passing a truly comprehensive telehealth legislation. I would appreciate your support. And I would also like you to know that we are... we have worked very diligently for a very long time with a large coalition. And we... I also want to thank Representative Jones. I know that this Body is very committed to making telehealth permanent, and I want you to know that we have a commitment. I have a commitment with Senator Hunter to continue these conversations as we have come to a impasse currently with the insurance industry on physical health parity payment. And those conversations will continue in the Senate. And I'm happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Hoffman: "Seeing no one seeking recognition, the question is, 'Shall House Bill 3498 pass?' All in favor signify by voting 'aye'; all opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 110 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', 1 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Costa Howard on House Bill 3283. Out of the record. Representative Davis, on House Bill 802. Please read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 802, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. The Bill was read for a second time previously.

Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments.

No Motions are filed."

Speaker Hoffman: "Third Reading. Please read the Bill, Mr. Clerk." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 802, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. Third Reading of this House Bill."

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Davis."

Davis: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. 802 requires municipalities receiving or using state funds, either partially or fully, for the purposes of municipal projects to adopt an ordinance or resolution creating diversity and inclusion goals for projects municipality. Provides that diversity inclusion requirements and goals, to the extent possible, being no less restrictive than diversity requirements and goals provided under the BEP Act of the State of Illinois. I really want to take this opportunity to thank Representative Chesney who has shared with me the need to do this kind of effort at the municipal level. He has expressed concerns about, kind of, using a onesize-fits-all scenario. So, what we'd like to do is hopefully pass this out and then continue to work in the Senate where we can maybe tier the inclusion of municipalities so that larger municipalities that have more capacity can do this more immediately, but then smaller municipalities, they would be phased in over time. That is something that I have agreed to and would like to continue to work on with... just for the sake of putting it out there already, someone may ask, we know that the IML is opposed. I had an opportunity to talk to their representative this morning who says that he is not opposed to the intent of what we are trying to do. But very similar to that which Representative Chesney is speaking of, is concerned about the one-size-fits-all immediately asking smaller municipalities to do this may cause them to stumble. So, again, we'd like to work on how we structure it to allow for smaller municipalities to

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

eventually get there, but certainly have larger municipalities that have capacity to be able to do this a lot quicker. I'd be more than happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Hoffman: "Seeing no one seeking recognition, the question is, 'Shall House Bill 802 pass?' All in favor signify by voting 'aye'; all opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 68 voting 'yes', 41 voting 'no', 1 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Moving to page 9 of the Calendar, appears House Bill 2061, Representative Chesney. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2061, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1 is offered by Representative Chesney."

Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Chesney on Floor Amendment #1." Chesney: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The Amendment becomes the Bill. It's two agreed to TIF extensions. And I'd ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Hoffman: "Seeing no one... Representative Batinick."

Batinick: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I know we're trying to go through Bills really, really, really quick, and I appreciate that because I want to get home as well. I didn't have much chance to review this. Was there any opposition, Representative?"

Speaker Hoffman: "Chesney."

Chesney: "There was not."

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

Batinick: "Oh. It's my own guy. I thought it was Yingling. Vote 'aye'."

Speaker Hoffman: "That was a anti-bipartisan comment. Representative Chesney moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 2061. All in favor signify by saying 'aye'; all opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Hoffman: "Third Reading. Please read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2061, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Chesnev."

Chesney: "I ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Hoffman: "Seeing no one seeking recognition, the question is, 'Shall House Bill 2061 pass?' All in favor signify by voting 'aye'; all opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take... Wheeler. Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 108 voting 'yes', 2 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Hammond on House Bill 357. 357, page 4 of the Calendar. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 357, a Bill for an Act concerning public aid. The Bill was read for a second time previously. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Hoffman: "Third Reading. Please read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 357, a Bill for an Act concerning public aid. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Hammond on House Bill 357."
- Hammond: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 357 extends the timeframe to submit long-term care Medicaid application documents to the state from 45 days to 120 days in order to give everyone working on the application time to acquire the documents and submit the correct information. I would like to acknowledge and thank Chairwoman Lilly for her patience and her assistance in getting this Bill to this point. So, thank you very much. Appreciate an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Hoffman: "Seeing no seeking recognition, the question is,
   'Shall House Bill 357 pass?' All in favor signify by voting
   'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who
   wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr.
   Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are
   110 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', 1 voting 'present'. And this
   Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby
   declared passed. Representative Evans on House Bill 562, on
   page 4 of the Calendar. Out of the record. Leader Flowers on
   House Bill 88. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 88, a Bill for an Act concerning public aid. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendments 1 and 2 have been approved for consideration. Floor Amendment #1 is offered by Representative Flowers."
- Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Flowers on Floor Amendment #1."
  Flowers: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Floor Amendment #1, I believe
  this Bill... Floor Amendment #1 makes the effective date
  immediately."

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

- Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Flowers moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 88. All in favor say 'aye'; all opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Bolin: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Flowers."
- Flowers: "Oh. Please forgive me, Mr. Speaker. I need to withdraw Floor Amendment #1, please. I forgot I made a commitment to extend the time. So..."
- Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Flowers moves to table Floor Amendment #1. All in favor signify by saying 'aye'; all opposed 'nay'. Floor Amendment #1 is tabled. And we are now on Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 88. Representative Flowers."
- Flowers: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Floor Amendment #2 would extend the effective date from immediately to three months of this law... of this Bill becoming the law. That's the commitment I made, and I move for the adoption."
- Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Flowers moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 88. All in favor signify by voting 'aye'... or signify by saying 'aye'; all opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Hoffman: "Third Reading. Please read the Bill, Mr. Clerk." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 88, a Bill for an Act concerning public aid. Third Reading of this House Bill."

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

Speaker Hoffman: "Leader Flowers."

Flowers: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This legislation will end the continued punishment of people who have served their time and deserve an opportunity to take care of themselves as well as their families. And I would appreciate an 'aye' vote. And I would be more than happy to answer any questions you may have in regards to House Bill 88. Thank you."

Speaker Hoffman: "Leader Batinick on House Bill 88."

Batinick: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Flowers: "Yes."

Speaker Hoffman: "Indicates she'll yield."

Batinick: "Representative, our notes show about a \$3.2 million cost to this. Is that correct?"

Flowers: "You know what, Representative? Let me just say this in regards to the cost. I think whatever the cost may be, it would be a lot cheaper than what it would've been to keep these people locked up. And when you think about the recidivism rate, that would be a cost. But according to my information, TANF is funded through a block grant. Illinois only uses about four percent of that on actual cash TANF payments. Only four percent."

Batinick: "Okay. Excellent. Thank you for answering that. And it amends the Public Aid Code to provide that previous receiving cash assistance from the TANF program shall be deemed not ineligible based on a conviction for any drug-related felony or under State or Federal Law. That's what our analysis says the Bill does."

Flowers: "Well..."

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

Batinick: "Is that correct?"

Flowers: "That is correct."

Batinick: "Okay. That's all I needed. Thank you very much."

Flowers: "Thank you."

Batinick: "I think I'll be voting 'no'. Thank you." Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Flowers to close."

Flowers: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Illinois would be joining with 22 other states. Alabama, Arkansas, California, Delaware, Kansas, New Hampshire, Maine, New Jersey, Louisiana, Mississippi, New Mexico, New York, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Rhode Island, South Dakota, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, Wisconsin and Wyoming. The Federal Government said that the states have the opportunity to opt out of this. Illinois should want to opt out. Drugs is legal in this state. I would appreciate an 'aye' vote. Thank you."

Speaker Hoffman: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 88 pass?'
All in favor signify by voting 'aye'; all opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there is 67 voting 'yes', 41 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Ford on House Bill 2989. Out of the record. On House Bill 3767, on the Order of Second Reading, page 17 of the Calendar, Representative Gabel. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3767, a Bill for an Act concerning courts. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1 is offered by Representative Gabel."
- Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Gabel on Floor Amendment #1."
- Gabel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Floor Amendment 1 delays the implementation of the… of the Bill."
- Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Gabel moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 3767. All in favor signify by saying 'aye'; all opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Hoffman: "Third Reading. Please read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3767, a Bill for an Act concerning courts. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Gabel on House Bill 3767."
- Gabel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Bill brings in alignment the ability to detain children in the juvenile detention center. It allows that the children can be detained pretrial at the same time... at the same way they can be detained if they've been convicted. So, it's for children under the age of 13 cannot be held in detention, except the Bill says this year it's up to age 10, next year 11, and the following year 12. We are working with the Illinois Probate Association, and they have agreed with Amendment 1, would like some other changes. So, we'll continue to work on this Bill in the Senate."

Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Windhorst."

Windhorst: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

24th Legislative Day

- Speaker Hoffman: "She indicates she'll yield."
- Windhorst: "Representative, our analysis shows that the Sheriffs'
  Association and the Probation and Court Services are opposed.
  Could you speak to their opposition on the Bill?"
- Gabel: "Sure. So, the probate... I just spoke with them yesterday.

  They said they were okay with Amendment 1 and that, as I said,

  we committed to continue to work with this on the Senate.

  They said it was okay to move it, and we'll continue to work

  on it."
- Windhorst: "And was there anything from the Sheriffs' Association on that?"
- Gabel: "The Sheriffs' Association was just on the Zoom, and I told him that we would continue to work with them as well."
- Windhorst: "Thank you for that clarification. Based on the court language of the law I'll be opposed, but I appreciate the explanation. Thank you."
- Speaker Hoffman: "Thank you, Representative. Representative Gabel to close."
- Gabel: "So, just one day in detention for a child changes the trajectory of their life. It's so important to keep these young children out of the detention system, get them treatment, help them, keep them in the community. And I would appreciate an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Hoffman: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 3767 pass?'
  All in favor signify by voting 'yes'; all opposed voting 'no'.
  The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 65 voting 'yes', 43 voting 'no', 1 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received a

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Greenwood on House Bill 2776. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2776, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Greenwood."

Greenwood: "Thank you, Mr..."

Speaker Hoffman: "I apologize, Representative Greenwood. Third Reading. Please read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2776, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Greenwood."

Greenwood: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the General Assembly. As many of you know, I proudly represent Scott Air Force Base in the 114th District. And this legislation is about a more efficient way of processing licensing. House Bill 2776 provides that service members and their spouses may receive their professional licenses in Illinois if they hold a valid license in another state while stationed in Illinois. Also, I would like to thank Chairman Evans and Spokesperson Reick for their assistance and patience. And it is my intention to continue discussions and to work on amended language in the Senate with IDFPR. And I would like to note that IDFPR is not currently in opposition. I ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Hoffman: "Leader Batinick."

Batinick: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'll go quickly to the Bill.

Our notes, since you touched upon on the end, says IDFPR

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

stated in committee that the department has concerns, however, they are in negotiations with the Sponsor and the lead proponents and expect an Amendment. So, we're changing from expecting an Amendment in the House to expecting the Senate to fix whatever it is? It'd be great to get out of the habit of that. Thank you."

Greenwood: "Thank you."

Speaker Hoffman: "Habits are hard to break. Representative Greenwood to close."

Greenwood: "I ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Hoffman: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 2776 pass?'
All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 110 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Halpin, for what reason do you seek recognition?"

Halpin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would just like the record to reflect that on House Bill 2061 I intended to vote 'no'."

Speaker Hoffman: "The record will so reflect. Representative Reick, for what reason do you seek recognition?"

Reick: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Point of personal privilege."

Speaker Hoffman: "Please state your point."

Reick: "On the discussion on HB1092, I made a comment that could be construed as being that someone had recorded a conversation, and that was not what I meant to say, and it is not in fact the case. What I meant to say was that the party

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

had received several voicemails and the time stamp on those voicemails had shown that there was a reach out from the Illinois State Rifle Association to the Sponsor of the Bill, to which the Sponsor responded, and those time stamps were on a voicemail. There was no recording of any conversation whatsoever and this would not be done. I apologize for the error."

- Speaker Hoffman: "On page 5 of the Calendar appears House Bill 1160, Representative Guerrero-Cuellar. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 1160, a Bill for an Act concerning education. This Bill was read a second time on a previous day. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1, offered by Guerrero-Cuellar, has been approved for consideration."

Speaker Hoffman: "On Floor Amendment #1."

- Guerrero-Cuellar: "Thank you, Speaker. I am asking for Amendment that will be filed... that will be taken care of in the Senate to be approved. Oh, sorry. Senate is in conversation with the Chicago Public Schools in regards to their opposition and that will take care of that."
- Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Guerrero-Cuellar moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 1160. All in favor signify by saying 'yes'; all opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Hollman: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Hoffman: "Third Reading. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 1160, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Third Reading of this House Bill."

24th Legislative Day

- Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Guerrero-Cuellar on House Bill 1160."
- Guerrero-Cuellar: "Thank you, Speaker. This Bill will allow CTU to retain the same collective bargaining rights as an employee of other school districts that they have for decades."
- Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Reick on House Bill 1160."
- Reick: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"
- Speaker Hoffman: "She indicates she'll yield."
- Reick: "Representative, what was the motivation behind removing the 75 percent threshold for the establishment of a strike in the Bill?"
- Guerrero-Cuellar: "So, after walking my precinct on my second day, I spoke to teachers who live in my district and this was brought to my attention."
- Reick: "Representative, we also made an arrangement in lame duck about the roll back of certain provisions in Public Act 101-693, I believe. And can you explain why it is that we're getting rid of that agreement as well?"
- Guerrero-Cuellar: "Actually that's the Amendment that we're going to address with CPA to ensure that we amend parts of the original HB1160. So, Section 45 will no longer be included in that House Bill."
- Reick: "So, it's not there now though, right?"
- Guerrero-Cuellar: "We will take care of that in the Senate."
- Reick: "God, I wish I could remember the Latin phrase we were using last year when we... every Bill that didn't get out of here right was fixed in the Senate. As a result, I can't support this Bill. I'm voting 'no'. Thank you. And I recommend everybody else does as well."

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

- Speaker Hoffman: "Seeing no one seeking further recognition, the question is, 'Shall House Bill 1160 pass?' All in favor signify by voting 'yes'; opposed voting 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 67 voting 'yes', 42 voting 'no', 1 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Moving to page 12 of the Calendar, appears House Bill 2878, Representative Guzzardi. Please read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 2878, a Bill for an Act concerning education. This Bill was read a second time previously. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Guzzardi, has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Guzzardi on Floor Amendment #1."
  Guzzardi: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Floor Amendment #1 narrows the scope of the Bill and removes all opposition."
- Speaker Hoffman: "Seeing no one seeking recognition, Representative Guzzardi moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 2878. All in favor signify by saying 'aye'; all opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Hollman: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Hoffman: "Third Reading. Please read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 2878, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Third Reading of this House Bill."

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

Speaker Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Clerk. Representative Guzzardi on House Bill 2878."

Guzzardi: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. And thank you, Mr. Clerk. House Bill 2878 is a measure around student parents and higher education. So, these are students that are higher education universities and community colleges who are also parents. These are students who are particularly susceptible to dropping out, and we want to make sure we provide the services these students need. But first we need to know who they are and where they are. So, this Bill is simply a measure for data collection and would require the universities to collect data about the student parents at their campuses and the community colleges. As I mentioned, House Floor Amendment #1 narrowed the scope of the Bill considerably after negotiations with the Board of Higher Education and the various universities. Right as of now, there's no opposition to the Bill. And I urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Batinick."

Batinick: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'm going to go straight to the Bill. Representative Brady had to leave for the day. He is our spokesperson for this committee. And he gave me long notes, but the synopsis of is, is this is a bad Bill. Vote 'no'."

Speaker Hoffman: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 28'...

Representative Guzzardi to close."

Guzzardi: "I strenuously disagree with that. This is a good Bill.

Vote 'yes'."

Speaker Hoffman: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 2878 pass?'
All in favor signify by voting 'aye'; all opposed 'nay'. The

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 68 voting 'yes', 42 voting 'no', 1 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Manley in the Chair."

- Speaker Manley: "Page 15 of the Calendar, House Bill 3416, Representative Harper. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 3416, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. This Bill was read a second time previously. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Manley: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 3416, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Manley: "Representative Harper."

Harper: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I am pleased to present House Bill 3416, which is an initiative of the Illinois Department of Agriculture. And it simply allows the Department of Agriculture to declare a mass animal mortality event. It says the department must give notice within 24 hours of the declaration and provide a detailed plan to the Illinois Emergency Management Agency. I encourage an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Manley: "On this question, 'Should House Bill 3416 pass?'
All in favor vote 'yes'; opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open.
Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all
voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this
question, there are 110 voting in 'favor', 0 voting 'opposed',
and 0 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received a

24th Legislative Day

- Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 3438, Representative Hirschauer. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 3438, a Bill for an Act concerning education. This Bill was read a second time previously. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Hirschauer, has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Manley: "Representative Hirschauer to explain the Amendment."
- Hirschauer: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Floor Amendment 2 reflects changes in the language of the Bill requested by advocates and adds a provision at the request of advocates to ensure compliance with the Illinois DREAM Act and the Illinois DREAM Funds. I ask for its adoption."
- Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Hirschauer moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment 2 to House Bill 3438. All those in favor say 'aye'; opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk, any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Hollman: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Manley: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 3438, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Manley: "Representative Hirschauer."
- Hirschauer: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, fellow Members. Today I rise to speak on House Bill 3438, a Bill to designate undocumented student liaisons on public university and community college campuses. This Bill will create equitable

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

access towards degree completion for immigrant and mixed status students across Illinois. First, second, and third generation immigrant-origin students are responsible for 60 percent of college enrollment across the U.S. House Bill 3438 recognizes the diverse and unique needs of these students in Illinois and ensures they have the support they need to be This positive change will successful. support undocumented and mixed status students regardless ethnicity. It is especially important for immigrant students to have support from liaisons who are familiar with how the immigration system interacts with higher education. This Bill does not require implementation of a new office or hiring of new staff. Over 80 institutions in Illinois are already doing this type of work, and we are asking to give a title to the critical role these folks play in the lives of students and families and to uplift the position to make it more accessible. The success of all students in Illinois is a direct benefit to the future economic success of a rich and vibrant state. In short, not only is House Bill 3438 good for students, families, and institutions of higher education, it is also good for the economy of Illinois. I ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Manley: "Representative Hammond, do you rise in opposition?"

Hammond: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Yes, to the Bill."

Speaker Manley: "To the Bill."

Hammond: "Members, I'm not going to argue because I believe that, in fact, there is a need for someone to help all of our students as they negotiate through their higher education

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

career. What this Bill is doing is putting a title to a person that, in our universities, already exist. So, we're putting another mandate, another burden, making all kinds of changes to our higher education that are not necessary because these resource officers currently exist. Thank you."

Speaker Manley: "Representative Hirschauer to close."

Hirschauer: "Thank you. I respectfully disagree with my colleague. There is a lack of consistency in our public universities and community colleges. And not all universities have a go-to person and you need to wait often for a long time to find a person in the upper level of administration to find the support you need. We are not asking for the creation of a new position. We are uplifting the work that's already been doing and presenting a model for other institutions to follow. Quickly, I would like to say that the idea for this Bill was brought to me by my district director, Miguel Molina-Ventura, who came to this country from Mexico as a young child. He is a DACA recipient who followed his path from community college to attend Valparaiso University. He knows firsthand the struggles that undocumented and mixed students face in accessing financial aid, housing, and pathwavs citizenship. Now Miquel is doing amazing work on behalf of the State of Illinois, helping folks in our community navigate the unemployment system, helping to plan mass vaccination clinics, and doing his very best for the people of the 49th District. He is just one of many shining examples of how lifting up and supporting students in Illinois can lead to powerful change. Education is a right for all people regardless of their status. I urge an 'aye' vote."

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

Speaker Manley: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 3438 pass?'
All those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'nay'. The voting's open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 70 voting in 'favor', 39 voting 'opposed', 0 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Page 11 of the Calendar, House Bill 2529, Leader Hoffman. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 2529, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. This Bill was read a second time previously.

No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Manley: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 2529, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Manley: "Leader Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you, Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

This is a simple Bill with no opposition. It simply allows automotive parts recyclers to be an eligible individual that would allow them to submit a junk vehicle notification to the Secretary of State's Office. The Secretary of State is fine with the Bill. I know of no opposition."

Speaker Manley: "Chair recognizes Leader Butler."

Butler: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Question of the Sponsor."

Speaker Manley: "Please proceed."

Butler: "Representative... Leader Hoffman, does this bring hope from the heartland?"

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

Hoffman: "You know, I... I spent my life bringing hope from the heartland, and I hope you guys will join me."

Butler: "So, I'm wondering, I do a lot of driving around the 87th District, can I get this on audio book? Can you record this for me for audio book, please?"

Hollman: "If you want to waste your life, yes."

Butler: "I'm in the General Assembly, so..."

Hollman: "There you go."

Butler: "Thank you, Madam Chair. I would urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Manley: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 2529 pass?'
All those in favor vote 'yes'; all those opposed vote 'no'.
The voting's open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Moylan. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. With 111 voting in 'favor', 0 voting 'opposed', 0 voting 'present', the Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby passed. On page 16, House Bill 3656, Representative Hurley. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 3656, a Bill for an Act concerning government. This Bill was read a second time previously. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Hoffman, has been approved for consideration." Speaker Manley: "Representative Hurley to explain the Amendment." Hurley: "The Amendment... thank you, Madam Speaker. The Amendment is a gut and replace, and it does three things. It retains the language about operation of vehicles on approach of authorized emergency vehicles. It removes the provisions relating to the Procurement Code. And the best part, it

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

creates the Move Over Early Warning Task Force. Move for its adoption."

Speaker Manley: "Representative Hurley moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment 2 to House Bill 3656. All those in favor say 'aye'; opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. Mr. Clerk... and the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk, please... any further Amendments?"

Clerk Hollman: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Manley: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 3656, a Bill for an Act concerning government. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Manley: "Representative Frances Ann Hurley."

Hurley: "Thank you, Natalie Ann Manley. I'm here for any questions. The Amendment is the Bill. And I'd appreciate an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Manley: "On this question, 'Will House Bill 3656 pass?'
All those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'nay'. The voting's open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. With 111 voting in the 'affirmative', 0 voting 'opposed', 0 voting 'present', this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On page 13, House Bill 3084, Representative Collins. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 3084, a Bill for an Act concerning health. This Bill was read previously a second time.

Amendments 1 and 2 were adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments have been approved for consideration. And a fiscal

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

note has been requested by the Sponsor and not been filed at this time."

Speaker Manley: "Representative, do you wish to withdraw your notes?"

Collins: "Yes."

Clerk Hollman: "No further notes are requested."

Speaker Manley: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 3084, a Bill for an Act concerning

health. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Manley: "Representative Collins."

Collins: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Members of the House. Today I'm presenting House Bill 3084, which would create the Consumer Choice in Maternal Care of African-American Mothers Pilot Program. This program requires the Department of Healthcare and Family Services to cover midwife services under the Medicaid program which could expand coverage of home birth services, in-home perinatal services, and postpartum care services provided by nationally accredited professional midwives. The program is a start... is to start no later than January 2023 and last for five years. The purpose of this Bill is to address major health care disparities experienced amongst the African American mothers in African American communities. In 2018, the Department of Public Health reported that black women were six times more likely to die from a pregnancy-related condition when compared to white women. In addition, the report noted that 72 percent of pregnancy-related deaths and 93 percent of violent pregnancyassociated deaths were deemed preventable. House Bill 3084 moves the ball forward in improving maternal health for the

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

publicly insured. And I'm open to any questions, but I do encourage an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Manley: "Chair recognizes Leader Batinick for three minutes."

Batinick: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Manley: "Indicates that she will."

Batinick: "Representative, I'm just wondering why the Floor Amendment was not adopted?"

Collins: "Which Amendment?"

Batinick: "Floor Amendment 3?"

Collins: "So, yes. Floor Amendment 3, I am tabling Floor Amendment

3. That is going to be adopted in the Senate. It's a time restriction. I apologize for that."

Batinick: "Thank you."

Speaker Manley: "Representative Collins to close."

Collins: "I would greatly appreciate an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Manley: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 3084 pass?'
All those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'nay'. The voting's open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. With 75 voting in 'favor', 33 voting 'opposed', and 2 voting 'present', this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Chair recognizes Leader Harris for an announcement."

Harris: "Madam Speaker, let the record reflect that Representative DeLuca is excused for the rest of the day."

Speaker Manley: "The record will reflect. Page 4, House Bill 562, Representative Evans, offered by Representative Collins. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 562, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. The Bill was read for a second time previously.

  Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. Floor Amendment #2 is offered by Representative Evans."
- Speaker Manley: "Representative Collins to explain Amendment 2 to House Bill 562."
- Collins: "Yes. It's an agreed Bill on the license with the industry and the department extending the sunset to five years. I would ask that we adopt this Amendment."
- Speaker Manley: "Representative Collins moves for the adoption of Amendment 2. All those in favor say 'aye'; opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk, any further Amendments?"

Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Manley: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 562, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Manley: "Representative Collins."

Collins: "Again, this is an agreed language... agreed Bill between the industry and IDFPR. I move... it's a sunset Bill up to five years. I ask that we get a favorable 'aye' vote."

Speaker Manley: "Leader Batinick."

Batinick: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"
Speaker Manley: "She indicates she will."

Batinick: "Representative, I've noticed this on several Bills now. The Amendment addresses the new Speaker's Office policy regarding sunset renewals. Renewals will now be for 5 years instead of 10. That seems curious. Do you know the reason for that?"

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

Collins: "I'm not the Speaker. So, I wouldn't know the reason."

Batinick: "Right, but it's your Bill."

Collins: "Oh, it's not my Bill. Yes, IDFPR is neutral to all the changes, but it is..."

Batinick: "Okay. I'm just going to let that rest right there.

We're just going to make a note. We're all noticing we're

doing 10 years to 5 years. Thank you."

Collins: "That's fine. Thank you."

Speaker Manley: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 562 pass?'
All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'nay'. The voting's open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 77 voting in 'favor', 30 voting 'opposed', 1 voting 'present'. The Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby passed. Page 19, House Bill 2989, Representative Ford. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2989, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Manley: "Representative Ford."

Ford: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, and Clerk for that. I move for the passage of House Bill 2989, as amended. House Bill 2989 simply includes 18-year-olds, 19-year-olds, and 20-year-olds in the successful Youth Firearm Sentencing Law, which was negotiated in 2015 in a bipartisan Bill. Judges would like to have the authorization to decide whether enhancements make sense on a case by case using the existing nine-point guidelines to make the decision about sentencing enhancements. I move for the passage of House Bill 2989."

24th Legislative Day

- Speaker Manley: "On this question, House Bill 2989, the question is, 'Shall House Bill 2989 pass?' Those voting in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. Representative Ford."
- Ford: "The number is 47 for Postponed Consideration, is that right? May I please have Postponed Consideration to continue the fight?"
- Speaker Manley: "Mr. Clerk, please place this Bill on Postponed Consideration. Under the Order of Postponed Consideration, we have House Bill 3895, Representative Lilly. Don't run. Take your time. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3895, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. The Bill was read for third time previously."

  Speaker Manley: "Representative Lilly."
- Lilly: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. And thank you all for the conversations that we've had since the first time we've called the Bill. What I'd like to say is, this conversation will continue. We have more Amendments and would like to finish in the Senate. We have more conversations to make sure that this is a Bill that's going to help the staff within DOC. And I have the commitment... have given the commitment to not move a Bill that is not going to meet the needs of the department and its personnel. With that, I ask for an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Manley: "Chair recognizes Leader Batinick for three minutes."
- Batinick: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I won't take that long. I believe on our side we're still all going to vote 'no'. I do want to do Standard Debate to allow for somebody on your side

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

that I believe went to the well that wanted to speak in support, but we're voting... nope? Okay. I vote 'no'. So..."

Speaker Manley: "No, I couldn't hear what you were saying. For the last two sentences, you were doing that."

Batinick: "I'm tired. We're voting 'no'."

Speaker Manley: "Okay."

Batinick: "Can we go to Standard Debate to allow some other people that approached the well to explain something. But we're voting 'no' on this bad Bill."

Speaker Manley: "We'll go to Standard Debate then. Chair recognizes Representative Halpin."

Halpin: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. And just briefly, would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Manley: "She indicates that she will."

Halpin: "Representative, you did have a conversation with me and in turn we asked you to speak with representatives from AFSCME in particular as far as what you do over on the Senate side, correct?"

Lilly: "That is correct."

Halpin: "And I think you mentioned in your opening, but you are committed to ensuring that the Bill doesn't move in the Senate until you have agreement with AFSCME in order to protect its members, correct?"

Lilly: "That is correct."

Halpin: "All right. Thank you. I'll be supporting the Bill now with that understanding and look forward to seeing a good Bill come back on Concurrence."

Lilly: "Thank you, Representative."

Speaker Manley: "Representative Lilly to close."

24th Legislative Day

- Lilly: "Thank you all for this opportunity to continue the work for the people here in the State of Illinois. On behalf of the Department of Corrections, AFSCME, CMS, we're looking to work collectively to make sure we'll have a Bill that's appropriate for the people of Illinois. Thank you."
- Speaker Manley: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 3895 pass?'
  All those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 62 voting in 'favor', 42 voting 'opposed', 0 voting 'present'. And the Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On page 16 of the Calendar, House Bill 3592, Representative Robinson. Representative Robinson. Out of the record. On page 4, House Bill 396, Representative Moylan. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 396, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1 is offered by Representative Moylan."
- Speaker Manley: "Representative Moylan to explain the Amendment."

  Moylan: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. House... the Amendment just eliminates the fee."
- Speaker Manley: "Representative Moylan moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment 1 to House Bill 396. All those in favor say 'aye'; opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk, any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."

  Speaker Manley: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

24th Legislative Day

- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 396, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Manley: "Representative Moylan."
- Moylan: "Thank you, Ladies and Gentlemen. House Bill 396 is an initiative of IDOT, and there is no opposition. We worked our tails off and we got a great Bill. I really appreciate an 'ave' vote."
- Speaker Manley: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 396 pass?'
  All those in favor vote 'yes'; opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, 110 voting in 'favor', 0 voting 'opposed', 0 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Page 16, House Bill 3587, Representative Slaughter. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3587, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1 is offered by Representative Slaughter."
- Speaker Manley: "Representative Slaughter on the Amendment."
- Slaughter: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. The Amendment is a gut and replace Amendment that replaced it with a task force. I will debate the Bill on Third Reading."
- Speaker Manley: "Representative Slaughter moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment 1. All those in favor say 'aye'; opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk, any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Manley: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3587, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Manley: "Representative Slaughter."

Slaughter: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 3587 creates a task force to examine and recommend innovative methods to implement discretionary and retroactive resentencing of offenders. As we look to address our mass incarceration crisis, it's important that our state provides second-look opportunities for offenders to ultimately petition the courts to get their cases rereviewed and resentenced. This is a very important matter regarding our criminal justice system. A few Members in the General Assembly in both chambers have resentencing Bills. As states across the country start to look at resentencing, we need to be at the forefront of this as a national model. Whether it's judges in our courts, correctional agencies, or offenders that initiate these efforts, we'd like this task force to put forth comprehensive recommendations to establish and implement this intervention. We just passed a Bill earlier that empowers state's attorneys to initiate today resentencing. This task force would look at or examine the other ways that we can bring about resentencing and how that can happen. I'm asking graciously to vote this Bill out of this chamber. Of course, I remain open to any suggestions or Amendments in the Senate that could make the task force more impactful and more comprehensive. The Bill came out of committee on bipartisan leave. Ladies and Gentlemen, this is a task force. Okay? I ask for a 'yes' vote."

Speaker Manley: "Chair recognizes Representative McCombie."

24th Legislative Day

- McCombie: "Thank you. Will the Sponsor yield just for a couple of questions?"
- Speaker Manley: "He indicates that he will."
- McCombie: "Okay. While we were in committee, you had stated that you would be willing to add to the commission... or to the task force."
- Slaughter: "Most definitely, Representative."
- McCombie: "Okay. We want to make sure that law enforcement, probation have a seat at the table and clarify that it's a law enforcement labor, correct?"
- Slaughter: "That's correct. And, Representative, those are great suggestions and definitely will be strongly considered as this Bill continues to move through the legislative process."
- McCombie: "We appreciate that. I just want to... just for the... to the Bill. We are having another task force. This is actually a task force that is happening after the fact in some cases. We have somehow got into a pattern of passing legislation prior to looking at data and reviewing what is going on in our state. We've passed several decriminalizing, defelonizing, lowering sentences prior to knowing this information. So, I hope that we can put all of that on hold until we can get the results of this task force. I'd be very interested to see those results. Thank you."
- Speaker Manley: "Representative Slaughter to close."
- Slaughter: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. As we do look towards rolling back mass incarceration, I think that this task force will prove to be very, very timely and important as we continue to push forward with that mission. I ask for a 'yes' vote."

24th Legislative Day

- Speaker Manley: "On this question, 'Should House Bill 3587 pass?'
  All those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'nay'. The voting's open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 105 voting in 'favor', 4 voting 'opposed', 0 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Page 2, House Bill 14, Representative Tarver. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 14, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1 is offered by Representative Tarver."
- Speaker Manley: "Representative Tarver to explain the Amendment."
- Tarver: "The Amendment is just removing one word, which is 'federal'. IDFPR didn't feel comfortable having them put federal licensing issues on a state Web site. So, that's the only change."
- Speaker Manley: "Representative Tarver moves for the adoption of Amendment 1. All those in favor say 'aye'; opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk, any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Manley: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 14, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Manley: "Representative Tarver."
- Tarver: "Thank you, Madam Chair. This Bill is a Bill that would essentially allow individuals who may have a criminal background to better understand what convictions will prevent

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

them from holding certain types of licenses. It also would have would have IDFPR provide a rationale or reason for not allowing an individual to have a certain license based on the type of crime. My understanding from the department is they're moving in this direction anyway. So, I would urge an 'aye' vote. I don't think there's really any opposition to this Bill."

- Speaker Manley: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 14 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Hurley. Mr. Clerk, please take the record. There are 110 voting in the 'affirmative', 0 voting 'opposed', 0 voting 'present'. The Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby passed. Page 18, House Bill 3990, Representative Andrade. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3990, a Bill for an Act concerning human rights. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1 is offered by Representative Andrade."
- Speaker Manley: "Leader Andrade to explain the Amendment."
- Andrade: "House Floor... excuse me. House Floor Amendment 1 removes language that was asked by ACLU, instead of family only if the person knows and that originally should know."
- Speaker Manley: "Representative Andrade moves for the passage of... for the adoption of Floor Amendment 1 to House Bill 3990. All those in favor say 'aye'; opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk, any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

Speaker Manley: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3990, a Bill for an Act concerning human rights. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Manley: "Leader Andrade."

Andrade: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. House Bill 3990 allows health care professionals to provide abortion-related care to submit a written request to any government agency, person, business, or association to refrain from disclosing any personal information about the health care professional. The Bill also makes it a class... for a person to knowingly publicly post on the Internet the personal information of a health care professional or his immediate family, if the person knows or reasonably knows that publicly posting information poses imminent or serious threat to their health and safety. Request an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Manley: "Chair recognizes Representative McCombie."

McCombie: "Just a question for the Sponsor, please?"

Speaker Manley: "Please proceed."

McCombie: "Is this a penalty enhancement?"

Andrade: "Not quite sure, but it says it does create a... person knows or reasonably should know, Class 3."

McCombie: "Yeah. Our analysis shows that it does provide a Class 3 felony. Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Manley: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 30'... oh, I'm sorry. Leader Andrade to close."

Andrade: "I request an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Manley: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 3990 pass?'
All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 63 voting in the 'affirmative', 42 voting 'against', and 0 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On page 20, House Bill 3716, Representative Davidsmeyer. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3716, a Bill for an Act concerning veterans. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Manley: "Representative Davidsmeyer."

Davidsmeyer: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. This is a very pleasant surprise to see this up on the board. Very excited. So, what this Bill does... there was a concern in the new contract for IDOT employees that gave snowbirds and part-time employees a leg up on veterans. And so, the original intent when we passed the veterans hiring preference was to make sure that we are giving veterans a leg up for their service. And so, what this does is it says is, when we're looking to hire snowbirds and part time employees at IDOT, we will use the veterans' preference for that part-time hiring and that way that gives them a leg up on their way in. There was a concern by CMS that it may conflict with the memorandum of understanding. But I went through that, I do not believe there's any conflict. And if there is, I promise to work on it in the Senate. This is truly just trying to uphold the original agreement in dealing with the veterans hiring preference."

Speaker Manley: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 3716 pass?'
All those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'nay'. The voting's open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Grant. Tarver. Mr. Clerk,

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

please take the record. On this question, there are 107 voting in 'favor', 3 voting 'opposed', 0 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby passed. On page 4, House Bill 272, Representative Buckner. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 272, a Bill for an Act concerning business. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1 is offered by Representative Buckner."
- Speaker Manley: "Representative Buckner to explain the Amendment."
- Buckner: "Yes. Thank you, Madam Speaker. House Floor Amendment 1 is a page and line Amendment that adds, in addition to unsolicited checks, a person may not deliver to a consumer a negotiable instruments, credit card, debit card, or other form of payment of transfer. It also clarifies that the consumer is not liable for any debt or any other obligation arising out of negotiation of form payment that is in violation of this section. I ask to adopt the Amendment."
- Speaker Manley: "Representative... okay. Representative Buckner moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment 1 to House Bill 272. All those in favor say 'aye'; opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk, any further Amendments?"

Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Manley: "Third Reading. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 272, a Bill for an Act concerning business. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Manley: "Representative Buckner."

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

Buckner: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. House Bill 272 amends the Consumer Fraud and Deceptive Business Practices Act. It provides it is unlawful... it is a unlawful practice to send to a consumer an unsolicited check that when cash obligates the recipient to repay the amount of the check plus interest and fees. It clarifies that the consumer is not, once again, liable for any fees or any charge that was made in violation of this section. And it also does not apply to people when they request an extension of credit or when a consumer has an existing relationship with a financial institution. We've worked with the other side on this, Representative... Leader Davidsmeyer and Representative Keicher, in particular. And we have said that we'll continue to work through it, if it does leave the floor of the House today, and with the Senate Sponsor to make sure that we get a perfect Bill that speaks to the spirit of what we're trying to do but does not put people in the situation where they're not able to access funds. I urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Manley: "Chair recognizes Leader Batinick."

Batinick: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Manley: "He indicates that he will."

Batinick: "Representative, stop me when I'm wrong. So, basically, you get those unsolicited checks in the mail, and it's got some interest or something tied to it, then you have to... this is to stop banks from doing that. And as of right now, the opponents are still opponents, the Illinois Bankers and the Independent Finance Association? And the proponents are the National Association of Social Workers and Woodstock Institute. Is that..."

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

Buckner: "That's correct."

Batinick: "Okay. Perfect. Might be some Christmas trees over here, but thank you."

Buckner: "Thank you."

Speaker Manley: "Representative Buckner to close."

Buckner: "I urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Manley: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 272 pass?'
All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 75 voting in 'favor', 31 voting 'opposed', 0 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Page 12, House Bill 2784, Representative Cassidy. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2784, a Bill for an Act concerning health. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1 is offered by Representative Cassidy."

Speaker Manley: "Representative Cassidy to explain the Amendment."

Cassidy: "Sorry. The Amendment becomes the Bill. So, I'd like to adopt the Amendment and present on Third."

Speaker Manley: "Representative Cassidy moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment 1 to House Bill 2784. All those in favor say 'aye'; opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk, any further Amendments?"

Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Manley: "Third Reading. Please read the Bill."

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2784, a Bill for an Act concerning health. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Manley: "Representative Cassidy."

"Thank you, Madam Speaker. House Bill 2784 is an Cassidv: initiative of Access Living, working with the parents of Stephon Edward Watts for whom this Act would be named. Stephon was a 15-year-old autistic child who... whose father had always been afraid that he'd have to call the police if he lost control of his son. And he resisted and didn't call and didn't call. His mother had called a couple times. So, the officers were familiar with Stephon. Stephon's father called 9-1-1 and the officers responding in a very high-profile case in 2012 out of Calumet City. And I know that my colleague Mayor Jones is very familiar with the case, we've talked about how heartbreaking it was. He was shot by the police upon arrival and killed. When you call 9-1-1 for a medical emergency, you appropriately get a medical response. When you call 9-1-1 and someone's breaking into your home, you appropriately get police or criminal response. But when you call 9-1-1 in a mental health crisis, you don't get a mental health response. This has led to unnecessary and dangerous situations and this Bill aims to create alternatives that allow well-trained mental health professionals to respond to these crisis situations. Some of you may have heard of the CAHOOTS program in Eugene, Oregon. This would create a similar system here. But we also know that this cannot be a one-size-fits-all response, which is why we built in the flexibility for each emergency response region to design their systems in a way that makes sense for their communities. The language was

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

changed to recognize that DHS has a new federal mandate to create a 9-8-8 number in service to respond to suicide prevention and mental health crises. We amended the Bill to recognize DHS's 9-8-8 work and... recognizing that they are setting up the 9-8-8 system, but it will require that 9-1-1 and 9-8-8 coordinate and ensure that we do the right kind of public education to steer people towards the 9-8-8 number. This will allow us to make that bridge happen. DHS is now supportive, and we remain in conversation with the 9-1-1 operators who feel very confident that in the Senate we can find some comfortable language and get to agreement there. And I ask for..."

Speaker Manley: "Chair recognizes leader Batinick."

Batinick: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Manley: "She indicates she will."

Batinick: "Representative, thank you for the work on this important piece of legislation. I think you touched upon it right in the close. Some of the concerns on my side was that there still might be some work to do, some opposition, some costs, some things to work out to smooth this out. Is that what we're kind of figuring is going to happen in the other chamber?"

Cassidy: "Yes. I spoke to the representative of the 9-1-1 operators yesterday, and he's very comfortable with where we are."

Batinick: "Okay. So, this is... to the Bill... very, very sensitive topic, important topic that I think we all realize the importance of. And if there is opposition to it, I think it's technical and cost in nature. Thank you for your answers."

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

Speaker Manley: "We're on Short Debate. Representative Jones, would you like to take a very quick comment? Thank you."

Jones: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I just rise in... just to highlight how important this Bill is. In 2012, I was an alderman in Calumet City. And this was one of the darkest days in Calumet City where an autistic young boy was shot by a police officer. And this is not the last incidence that happened. There was an incident that happened in Chicago with an autistic young man. I have a family, my nephew is autistic. And I think it's important to highlight that many departments have not gone the way of training their officers properly when they get a 9-1-1 call with someone with a mental health issue or who's autistic. And I want to commend the Representative for not only bringing this Bill, but also highlighting the importance of Stephon Watts and his family who continue to live in Calumet City, who continue to be a part of the community. I just want to thank the Representative and encourage everyone to vote 'yes' on this Bill."

Speaker Manley: "Thank you. Representative Cassidy to close."

Cassidy: "I couldn't say it better than Representative Jones did.

Thank you. Please vote 'yes'."

Speaker Manley: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 2784 pass?'
All those in favor vote 'yes'; opposed vote 'no'. Voting's open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Moylan. Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 110 voting in 'favor', 0 voting 'opposed', 0 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Page 5 of the Calendar, Second Reading, House Bill 690, Representative

24th Legislative Day

- Collins. Representative Collins. Out of the record. On page 17 of the Calendar, House Bill 3739, Representative Robinson. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3739, a Bill for an Act concerning safety. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1 is offered by Representative Robinson."
- Speaker Manley: "Representative Robinson to explain the Amendment."
- Robinson: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. The Amendment that was filed removes any of the opposition to this Bill. And I would like to speak to the Bill if I may, Madam Speaker?"
- Speaker Manley: "Representative Robinson moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment 1 to House Bill 3739. All those in favor say 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk, any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Manley: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3739, a Bill for an Act concerning safety. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Manley: "Representative Robinson."
- Robinson: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I rise to present HB3739.

  This Bill creates the Lead Service Replacement Act. Members, there is no safe level of lead in our state. This great state tackled this issue in 1978. And let me remind all of you that we had the opportunity in 2019 to tackle this issue when we all voted for the capital Bill. We did not put anything in place to tackle this issue, so no dollars went to it. Members, let us not let this happen again. The time is now. President

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

Joe Biden, Senator Dick Durbin, Senator Tammy Duckworth all have supported funding for these efforts. This Bill will make sure we have a mechanism in place to capture and take advantage of funding, finally, to make sure that our state has clean drinking water. Everyone should be able to drink clean water without any issue, no matter what financial capabilities you have, where you live in this state. We have many environmental public health and labor groups in support of this Bill. I have also worked with Water Works Association, private utilities, and IML, and I have removed all of their opposition. I ask you today for an 'aye' vote to make sure, again, that everyone in this state has clean drinking water. Thank you."

Speaker Manley: "Chair recognizes Representative Reick."

Reick: "Thank you, Madam Chairman. Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Manley: "He indicates that he will."

Reick: "Representative, we've had... we had conversations of this in committee and you and I have had several private conversations. And I would like to know, if this isn't a classical case of infrastructure... I agree with you on that one... I don't know what is. Do you know why this was not put in the capital Bill that we passed in 2019?"

Robinson: "No, Sir. I will lean on your wisdom."

Reick: "Oh, I don't have any of that. Peanut gallery. No... that's a serious question, Mr... Representative Robinson. You know, we did... there was a lot of discussion in 2019 about the capital Bill, and I don't recall lead pipe replacement being even brought up as part of that conversation. So, I would ask that... and trust me, I'm in full support of what you're trying to do

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

here, and we have discussed that. I would like to see this Bill be allowed to go forward with an idea of how it's going to be paid for, because it is going to have to be paid for. Absent moving this into a capitol project, how do you expect this to be paid for? What are the mechanisms, please?"

Robinson: "Again, Representative, our President and our two U.S. Senators have talked about this issue. They've talked about putting a huge funding mechanism across the United States to tackle this issue. But, Representative, we have to have a mechanism to be able to do so. And this Bill put forth, we'll be able to do that. So, when the funding does happen, we can be able to acquire it. If we don't, then we're back at square one and not being able to provide folks in this great state with clean drinking water."

Reick: "Representative, what would be plan B?"

Robinson: "Plan B is that our municipalities across this state will have until 2027 to be able to figure out the funding mechanism to be able to do this. Representative, the time is now. We cannot continue to stall this."

Reick: "I think we'd like to go to Standard Debate, Ma'am."

Speaker Manley: "Okay. I tried."

Reick: "You did well."

Speaker Manley: "Thank you."

Reick: "Representative..."

Speaker Manley: "We're still on a timer though. Okay?"

Reick: "Okay."

Speaker Manley: "Standard Debate, on a timer. Would you like somebody... you've pretty much exceeded your time. Would

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

somebody like to give their time? Representative Grant. Thank you. Continue, please."

Reick: "Representative, we need... we do need to work this out. And I don't necessarily feel comfortable with Plan A, the Federal Government coming in and doing this, because it seems like what we're trying to do with this money is put 10 pounds into a 5 pound bag. If we could carve out the cost of doing this out of that federal money and not have it be goring someone else's oxen, I would be in full support of it."

Robinson: "Thank you, Representative. Look..."

Reick: "Yes, Sir."

Robinson: "...I hear you loud and clear. And I look forward to working with you to make sure that we put the funding mechanism in place. Absolutely."

Reick: "As far as plan B is concerned, I don't know how it would go over in my community, and I'm 20 times smaller than the one you come from. So, at this point, I am skeptical about the ability of us to be able to pay for this. And that's why I voted for it against... against it in committee. You and I discussed it personally, and I gave you those same concerns. I'm afraid I can't support the Bill because I need to know how it's going to be paid for. The mechanism is one thing. But if you provide a mechanism without any kind of ability to pay for it, you're making a promise to people that you cannot fulfill. And I can't support that. Thank you very much."

Speaker Manley: "Chair recognizes Leader Spain. Three minutes." Spain: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Manley: "He indicates he will."

24th Legislative Day

- Spain: "Thank you. Representative, I understand your passion about this topic and fully support your efforts and concept to reduce and eliminate lead service lines. That plan B that the previous speaker brought up is one that I'd like to understand better. So, what do you anticipate being the funding plan for plan B, if federal dollars do not materialize as we hope, and then what are the consequences to individual communities for failing to achieve your goals within the time frame of 2027?"
- Robinson: "Leader Spain, thank you for your question. The consequences is that our folks cannot continue to be in a situation where they cannot have clean drinking water, where folks that are already saddled with financial obligation have to go out and buy bottles of water. We know the issues in our community where folks are hurting."
- Spain: "Representative, I... and I apologize for being rude and interrupting. I understand that. But to the communities, to the municipalities themselves, what are their financial consequences is what I'm trying to get to."
- Robinson: "Leader Spain, again, the consequences is that folks, particularly youth, we know that lead is an issue. We know that lead has mental elements. We know this, Leader Spain. And so, I'm asking this Body to be able to circumvent that and finally put this in bed. In 1972... 1978, excuse me, we tackled this issue in paint. Here we are in 2021 tackling the same issue, where we have the opportunity to do so right now, Leader Spain."
- Spain: "So, Madam Speaker, to the Bill. And I appreciate, absolutely, the earnest interest of this Sponsor and this topic. There are some serious issues that we still need to

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

resolve in order to move this forward. I would recommend that as this... if this Bill moves forward in the Senate, we consider answering the questions, perhaps making this subject to... not appropriation but subject to availability of federal funds would be a great suggestion. We have to answer the question of what do municipalities do, both Home Rule and non-Home Rule. Where will the resources be made available if federal funds do not materialize? The other thing that's really important, if you happen to come from a community that is served by a private water utility. That's the case in my community. We've created an arrangement in the State of Illinois that those existing ratepayers finance the cost of acquisition of additional water utilities. So, if you're a small community that finds yourself unable to satisfy the terms of this provision... and, Madam Speaker, I'll bring my remarks to a close... you may be a community that is forced now to sell your municipal waterworks to a private operator. The cost of that acquisition will be paid for by other ratepayers. And the result will be, we'll have fewer municipal water systems all with existing ratepayers paying a higher cost. Trying to understand how we can satisfy this very laudable goal, which is very important, but we need to think about the entire balance."

Speaker Manley: "Representative... would somebody like to give time to Representative Spain?"

Spain: "I urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Manley: "Representative Luft."

Luft: "Thank you, Madam Chair. Just to go off what Representative Spain... there are some other points to this that have to be

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

interjected. There are ... the private industry has already been working on this problem for years. Since 2018, there's a private industry here in Illinois that's injected over \$36 million of their own dollars into this already to be proactive. Part of the timeline with this is before any funds would be dished out, there has to be an analysis done. Each community is different when it comes to lead. Some have full lead lines, the entire line from the house to the street is lead. Some others that have galvanized lines. They have about a two foot, what's called a goose neck, a lead goose neck. That's all that needs removed, and then there are lead inserts in the tap to the main. So, each municipality that owns their own water system, the cost is going to be different. But that cost won't be known until there's an analysis done, which takes an extremely long time. This is also creating... this lead situation is also creating acquisitions of smaller communities that cannot afford to remove this lead. They're being absorbed by larger communities who do have the funds to do this. At this point, the way the Bill is structured, knowing what I know about this situation, I think it needs some work before I would vote for it. But I just wanted to interject a few items into this entire picture because there is... there is a need to get this lead out. I'm 100 percent for that. But there's a process that's going to be timely, not because municipalities or companies don't want the lead removed, but there's going to be a process to figure out where the funding would come from and how much funding would be needed per municipality. Thank you."

Speaker Manley: "Representative Mayfield, do you rise in support?"

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

Mayfield: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Manley: "He indicates that he will."

Mayfield: "Representative, can you repeat again what level of lead is safe in our water?"

Robinson: "Representative Mayfield, zero."

Mayfield: "Zero percent of lead in the water is safe for us to consume. Is that correct?"

Robinson: "That is correct, Representative."

Mayfield: "And can you speak to the effects of lead in the water that it has on our children?"

Robinson: "Representative, I pause because this effects poor brown and black communities across our state. Major health concerns with our children, again, in poor black and brown communities that cannot go out and buy this every day. It's a mental health issue that I'm asking this Body to alleviate today."

Mayfield: "So, you're also addressing an equity issue in these poor communities who do not have access to high-quality, clean, lead-free, drinking water. Is that correct?"

Robinson: "That is correct."

Mayfield: "Thank you so much, Representative, for this Bill. I urge an 'aye' vote for our children, if not for any other reason than to make sure we are providing safe, clean drinking water for our children, particularly in the lowest income areas, including the rural areas that are represented by the other side of the aisle. Thank you so much."

Speaker Manley: "Representative Robinson to close."

Robinson: "Thank you. Madam Speaker, I want to address Leader Spain, Representative Reick, and also I want to do a favor for the Mayor who spoke on the Bill. I'm going to do a favor

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

for him and let him know that we do have a plan B, and my apologies. That plan B is the EPA has low-interest loans for municipalities. We can utilize these low-interest loans, particularly the mayors that are in this chamber, to make sure that we can eradicate lead in our homes. This is a safety issue across our state. And the time is now to vote 'yes' so that way that we can put this to bed today. Again, I ask for an 'aye' vote. Thank you."

- Speaker Manley: "The question is, 'Should House Bill 3739 pass?'
  All those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'nay'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there is 76 voting in 'favor', 31 voting 'opposed', 1 voting 'present'. The Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Page 11, House Bill 2411, Representative Davis. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2411, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #3 is offered by Representative Davis."
- Speaker Manley: "Representative Davis to explain Floor Amendment 3."
- Davis: "Thank you very much, Madam Speaker. Floor Amendment #3 removes all references to the new markets tax credits. That's gone from this Bill. That will be addressed and talked about at another time. What it does do is, it extends the Brownfields Redevelopment and Intermodal Promotion Act, or what we call BRIPA, for another five years. And then it also updates the green building standards in the Data Center

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

Investment Act to reflect realistic timeframes of achieving a designation like LEEDs for energy efficiency."

Speaker Manley: "Representative Davis moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment #3 to House Bill 2411. All those in favor say 'aye'; opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk, any further Amendments?"

Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Manley: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2411, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Manley: "Representative Davis."

Davis: "Thank you very much, Madam Chair. Again, I explained what the Amendment did, that now becomes the Bill. This is working with our Department of Commerce and Economic Opportunity. I certainly want to thank the Chair of the Revenue Committee, Representative Zalewski, for also helping to shepherd this through. I'll be more than happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Manley: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 2411 pass?'
All those in favor vote 'yes'; opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 110 voting in 'favor', 0 voting 'opposed', 0 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Page 2, House Bill 93, Representative Flowers. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 93, a Bill for an Act concerning real estate appraisal. The Bill was read for a second time

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

previously. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Manley: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 93, a Bill for an Act concerning real estate appraisal. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Manley: "Leader Flowers."

"Thank you, Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of Flowers: the House. House Bill 93 amends the Real Estate License Act and the Real Estate Appraisers License Act. It prohibits discrimination by brokers and appraisers when preparing a brokers price opinion or comparative market analysis for residential real estate. The Bill provides that a broker, manager broker, or appraiser... or an appraiser discriminates when he or she considers the actual or perceived race, color, religion, or national origin of the owner of the real estate or the resident of the geographical area in which the real estate is located in when determining the market value of real estate. Ladies and Gentlemen, this is a very important Bill. In my community, when you think about the wealth gap that African Americans and Hispanics have lost because our property is valued lower but our taxes is higher. And when you think about the unscrupulous things that's been happening, and there has not been anyone that has been brought up for charges for the wrong that has been done for generations, and again, the wealth that has been lost in my community for years, and years, and years. It was written some place that it would take 700 years for us to catch up because of the discrimination in regards to the appraiser or the real estate value of the property. So, for the sake of

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

time, I would appreciate an 'aye' vote. And I would be more than happy to answer any questions you may have in regards to this issue."

Speaker Manley: "Chair recognizes Leader Batinick for three minutes."

Batinick: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Manley: "She indicates she will."

Batinick: "So, a couple things. Our notes said you were going to bring an Amendment, you're holding on Second for an Amendment?"

Flowers: "Representative, when I spoke to the Gentleman in regards to the Amendment, I haven't heard anything from him. And so..."

Batinick: "Well, real quick, who's him? 'Cause it says..."

Flowers: "Oh, I'm sorry. I'm sorry. I'm sorry. Please forgive me. What his Amendment would've done was to put the Bill back in its original... in the original form... in the law that... the current law that it is right now. It would've left it within the Department of Human Rights. When we were in committee, I asked the Department of Human Rights, don't name me five, just give me one, give me one issue that has been brought forward in regards to the discrimination or the theft of a person's property because of the way that the appraiser had valued the property. Just bring me one. I asked him... I asked him also to tell me whether the department..."

Batinick: "I'm going to... can I go to the Bill because..."

Flowers: "...I just want to..."

Batinick: "...I know I have a minute, forty-five... I have a clock. So, thank you. I'm going to go ahead and go to the Bill. We had something here held on Second. I'm getting word that the

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

realtors are saying this is essentially duplicative, that it's against the law to purposely or accidentally do what you're saying... whatever you're doing. So, it's... we were waiting on the hold on Second. The Bill seems to already be law. Thank you."

Speaker Manley: "Leader Flowers to close."

Flowers: "The difference is it's within the Department of Human Rights now. This Bill would also make it a civil... it would also put this in the licensing statute with the Illinois Department of Professional Regulations. So, there lies the difference, Sir. And I just wanted to clarify that I did not make a commitment to hold it. I made a commitment to work with them. And, of course, I never heard back. And I would appreciate an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Manley: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 93 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 77 voting in 'favor', 25 voting 'opposed', 4 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Page 3, House Bill 110, Representative Ford. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 110, a Bill for an Act concerning health.

No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1 is offered by
Representative Ford."

Speaker Manley: "Representative Ford to explain the Amendment."

Ford: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Members of the committee. The

Amendment is an Amendment from the Department of Illinois...

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

the Department of Public Health and the Illinois Department of Human Services. It does a few things. One, it sets the oversight of the safe consumption overdose prevention services, shifts it from the Illinois Department of Public Health to the Illinois Department of Human Services Division of Substance Use Prevention and Recovery. That's what the Amendment does, and I move for the adoption."

Speaker Manley: "Representative Ford moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 110. All those in favor say 'aye'; opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk, any further Amendments?"

Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Manley: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 110, a Bill for an Act concerning health.

Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Manley: "Representative Ford."

Ford: "Thank you so very much for adopting Amendment. House Bill 110 is an initiative of the Department of Human Services and initiative of the Chicago Recovery Alliance. And it creates the Safer Consumption Services Act that creates a safe consumption service program in which participants are able to consume drugs in a designated place while having access to drug addiction treatment at the same time. I'm open for any questions and look forward to the discussion. And before any questions, let me make it clear that, according to the Chicago Recovery Alliance, the purpose of House Bill 110 is to address the ongoing opioid overdose epidemic. In 2019, the Department of Public Health, DPH, reported that 14,592 nonfatal and 2,219

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

fatal opioid overdose. This is a three percent fatal increase from 2018. The Chicago Recovery Alliance argues that research has supported the implementation of a safe consumption program in over 10 countries. In 2017, the *International Journal of Drug Policy* reported that safe consumption programs reduced overdose deaths, and increased drug treatment, and reduced social nuisance in public places. I'm open for any questions at this time."

Speaker Manley: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 110 pass?'
All those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'nay'. Voting's open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record.

Representative Ford."

Ford: "Thank the Lord for Postponed Consideration."

Speaker Manley: "Mr. Clerk, please put this Bill on Postponed Consideration. Page 19, House Bill 1855, Leader Hoffman. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1855, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Manley: "Leader Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Before I do the Bill, I would like for the purposes of an announcement. Representative Ramirez would like to be excused for the rest of the day."

Speaker Manley: "The record will reflect that excused absence."

Hoffman: "And is there an Amendment, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Bolin: "Floor Amendment #1 has previously been adopted."

Hoffman: "Thank you. House Bill 1855, as amended, is an initiative of the Illinois Science and Technology Coalition, which is made up of the University of Illinois, Western Illinois

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

University, Illinois State University, Eastern, SIU, Governors State, and Northern University. The Bill is a way to increase growth and business R&D activities and university industry innovative partnerships. It provides for a voucher that could equal up to 75 percent of the costs of research projects but would not exceed \$75 thousand. I know of no opposition."

Speaker Manley: "Chair recognizes Representative Welter."

Welter: "Madam Speaker, let the record reflect that Representative Frese is excused for the rest of the day. Randy Frese."

Speaker Manley: "The record will reflect that. Do you rise in opposition, Representative Andrade?"

Andrade: "Point of personal privilege."

Speaker Manley: "We'll take care of that in a minute. The question is, 'Will House Bill 1855 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'nay'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Slaughter. Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 107 voting in 'favor', 0 voting 'opposed', and 1 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Chair recognizes Representative Andrade."

Andrade: "Please let the record reflect on House Bill 3716 my voted should be reflected as a 'yes'."

Speaker Manley: "So noted. Leader Jay C. Hoffman in the Chair."

Speaker Hoffman: "Thank you, Natalie A. Manley. On page 4 of the

Calendar appears House Bill 347, Representative Gabel. Mr.

Clerk, please the Bill."

24th Legislative Day

- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 347, a Bill for an Act concerning health.

  No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #2 is offered by

  Representative Gabel."
- Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Gabel on Floor Amendment #2."
- Gabel: "Only Floor Amendment 2 was adopted... I'd like to adopt.

  Floor Amendment 2 will... Floor Amendment 2 just changes the amount of the fee for the physicians."
- Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Manley (sic-Gabel) asks for the adoption of Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 347. All in favor signify by saying 'aye'; all opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Third Reading. Please read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 347, a Bill for an Act concerning health.

  Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Welter, for what reason do you seek recognition?"
- Welter: "Mr. Speaker, let the record reflect that Representative McLaughlin is excused for the rest of the day."
- Speaker Hoffman: "The record shall so reflect. Representative Gabel on House Bill 347."
- Gabel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. So, this Bill does two things. One thing it does is it requires that all immunizations are entered into an Illinois immunization data registry called I-CARE. Right now, only children's immunizations are. This will help provide and be able to track immunizations across the state. The intent is to allow people to opt out. If they do not want their information in the registry, they don't have to have it. And then the second part of the Bill increases the vaccine for children's reimbursement to pediatricians to

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

70 percent of the Medicare rate. Right now, they are only paid \$6.40. And the average amount that physicians are paid for these vaccines is 30 to 60 dollars. This will increase it to \$16."

Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Hammond."

Hammond: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Hoffman: "Indicates she'll yield."

Hammond: "Thank you. Representative Gabel, I know that you've put a lot of work into this, and I appreciate that. We had over 15 thousand witnesses slip in opposition and surely I have received many of those in my own emails as well. People are very concerned about having this information based in a data registry. Can you explain for the Body who is going to hold those records and how they will be disseminated?"

Gabel: "The records are only for the Department of Health. They are not disseminated anywhere with actual identifying data. They're used in the aggregate to be able to check immunization rates across the state to identify areas that are low immunizations and to target services for those areas. As I said, initially it was going to be mandated, but we are going to make sure that it's an opt out, that people do not have to put their information in if they don't want to. I think that was the concern from all of those people who wrote and called me as well. And so, I told the pediatricians that we had to make sure that there was an opt out. People have the right to decide if they want their data in the data base or not."

Hammond: "And certainly we're very appreciative of that. And explain to me exactly how that opt out process is going to work, Representative?"

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

- Gabel: "Oh, you know what? That's in current law, and I don't have that with me. It's already in current law."
- Hammond: "So, in other words, if someone let... if someone is... a parent takes their child to a doctor to be immunized for one reason or another, is it possible for them to tell the doctor at that time, please do not put this in a data registry?"
- Gabel: "I believe there is. I believe there's actually a form they can fill out right there."
- Hammond: "Okay. And if I'm understanding you correctly, we're not going to be using this to look at an individual but rather as an aggregate of the citizens of the state and areas as a whole?"

Gabel: "Correct."

Hammond: "Okay. And I appreciate that, Representative. And I certainly appreciate what it is you're trying to do. I just don't think that I can support it at this time, but thank you."

Gabel: "Thank you."

Speaker Hoffman: "Seeing no one seeking further... Representative Chesney."

Chesney: "To the Bill."

Speaker Hoffman: "To the Bill."

Chesney: "I recommend my colleagues vote 'no'. My body, my choice."

Speaker Hoffman: "Leader Gabel to close."

Gabel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Bill does not mandate anybody get a vaccine for anything. All it does is wants to help us be able to, in a public health mode, identify areas that may need some help. So, I really hope you can help the

24th Legislative Day

- pediatricians. They deserve to get paid for their work. And I'd appreciate an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Hoffman: "And the question is, 'Shall House Bill 347 pass?' All in favor signify by voting 'aye'; all opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 62 voting 'yes', 41 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On page 10 of the Calendar appears House Bill 2109, Representative Lewis. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2109, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1 is offered by Representative Lewis."
- Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Lewis on Floor Amendment #1."
- Lewis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Since the Amendment is the Bill to be known as Cal's Law affecting pediatric cancer. I ask it to move to Third."
- Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Lewis moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 2109. All in favor signify by saying 'aye'; all opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Hoffman: "Third Reading. Please read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2109, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Lewis on House Bill 2109."

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

Lewis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Bill, 2109, is to be known as Cal's Law. It allows those families and children who are affected with pediatric cancer and makes a step at working towards testing and helping those afflicted with this terrible disease. Once these tests are understood by the doctors, there can be targeted treatment to help these families, help these children, and to eliminate their suffering. As a side note for this Body, I'd like to thank my chief cosponsors, which were supposed to be on the board. So, those names on the board chief cosponsor Speaker Welch, chief cosponsor Leader Durkin, chief cosponsor Chairman Jones, and chief cosponsor Leader Brady. This Bill was developed in bipartisan support. And as a side note, I'd like to thank my mentor, Keith Wheeler and Representative Cassidy, initiating those and creating freshman shenanigans as she may call it. Our freshman class got together, many of you may or may not know, in February. We worked together. We were on Zoom calls together discussing what legislation we could support as a freshman class. I am proud to say that our freshman class got together and this is one of our projects in supporting those with pediatric cancer. I am honored the freshman class of 102 was able to get this together. Many of them... all of them are my cosponsors. And I ask for an 'aye' vote. Thank you."

Speaker Hoffman: "On House Bill 2109, Representative Carroll."

Carroll: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Hoffman: "He indicates he'll yield."

Carroll: "Representative Lewis, this is your first Bill, correct?"

Lewis: "Yes, Sir."

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

Carroll: "This is one hell of a Bill that you brought to this House. And I thank you so much for your work on this and working with such a great organization to do this. You should be super proud of yourself, and I'm super proud to call you a colleague for working on this issue. So, thank you so much. And I have to give you a little bit of a hard time. Do you know how many words are in your Bill?"

Lewis: "Do I know how many words are in my Bill?"

Carroll: "Yes. Yes."

Lewis: "I would estimate to be maybe 732."

Carroll: "Okay. So, I would like... I would expect that answer from you later, Sir. So... but, again, congratulations on a great Bill. And I encourage this Body to give him an overwhelming 'yes' on this. Great work. Thank you."

Lewis: "Thank you, Representative Carroll."

Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Jones and then we will go to Mr...

Representative Lewis to close. Representative Jones."

Jones: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. As Representative Lewis mentioned, this Bill was done and it usually takes a Representative two years to do this kind of Bill of this magnitude. But I just want to bring into focus, this Bill is about saving the lives of children. And this Bill would not be possible if it wasn't for Speaker Welch who green lighted this as long... as well as Leader Durkin. But also, since he's not here today, I want to point out Representative Evans. Many of you know Representative Evans' story. And Representative Evans brought this to not only my attention, but also brought it to the attention of Seth Lewis because his battle with cancer. And Representative... Representative Evans not only championed and

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

worked with Representative Lewis, he's not here today, but I think he deserves a mention. His name is spaced out on the board. It's not on the board, but he is listed on our brief analysis. But I just wanted to mention him because he not only championed this, but he knows that this Bill is going to help save the lives of children. And that's what we should be about, working in a bipartisan manner to save children all around the State of Illinois. That's what this Bill does. I want to commend Representative Lewis and ask for an 'aye' vote on this Bill."

Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Lewis to close."

Lewis: "It is an honor to be standing here today looking at all of you in this Body, that when I began campaigning, I said my goal is to help people and to work together. And that if we cannot agree on how to help children with cancer, then we are in trouble. We worked together bipartisanly and my faith has been restored in everyone here. And I request an 'aye' vote for Cal's Law. Thank you."

Speaker Hoffman: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 2109 pass?'
All in favor signify by voting 'aye'; all opposed voting
'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all
voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please
take the record. On this question, there are 106 voting 'yes',
0 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having
received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared
passed. Congratulations. Leader Harris for the purpose of an
announcement."

Harris: "Mr. Speaker, let the record reflect that Representative Ann Williams is excused for the rest of today."

24th Legislative Day

- Speaker Hoffman: "The record will so reflect. Representative Caulkins, for what reason do you seek recognition?"
- Caulkins: "Yes, my button malfunctioned and I... I pressed the 'yes' button on the last vote. Please record an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Hoffman: "Shall be recorded as a 'yes'. Representative Robinson, on page 16 of the Calendar, House Bill 3592. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3592, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #2 is offered by Representative Robinson."
- Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Robinson on Floor Amendment #2.

  Representative Robinson."
- Robinson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. HB3592 amends the Department of Public Health Powers and Duties Law of the Civil Administrative Code of Illinois to include coroners as a part of medical examiner offices. It's specifically to comply with Sections 212(e) and 214(i) of the Federal Immigration and Nationality Act so that coroners here as part of the Federal Exchange Visitor Program are considered in compliance with Federal Law. I ask for an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Robinson moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 3592. All in favor signify by saying 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Is there any further Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."

  Speaker Hoffman: "Third Reading. Please read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

  Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3592, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. Third Reading of this House Bill."

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Robinson."

Robinson: "This Bill was brought to me by the County of Cook with a issue of being able to make sure that they are fully staffed in the coroner's office. I ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Hoffman: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 3592 pass?'
All in favor signify by voting 'yes'; opposed voting 'no'.
The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? On this... Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 105 voting 'yes', 1 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On House Bill... on Third Reading, House Bill 275, page 18 of the Calendar, Representative Guzzardi. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 275, a Bill for an Act concerning public employee benefits. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Guzzardi."

Guzzardi: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Thank you, Members. House Bill 275 is an initiative of the Chicago Teacher Pension Fund. It simply clarifies how the fund is to handle overpayments and underpayments made in error. There's no codified mechanism in statute for how to handle such payments. So, the Teacher Pension Fund would like to borrow some of the statutory language from other funds that already exist and apply it to their fund as well. I urge an 'aye' vote. Thank you."

Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Batinick."

Batinick: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'm going to go straight to the Bill."

Speaker Hoffman: "To the Bill."

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

Batinick: "There's no reason to borrow bad language. What this does if you make... if you're overpaid for 37 months, you get to keep all of the money. And I understand there's an IRS tax thing if you are overpaid going back and correcting your taxes. However, if you're overpaid for 35 months, you have to pay back all of it. The way this Bill should be written, the pension funds are already underfunded. If you're overpaid for up to 36 months, you should have to pay that back. I understand anything after 36 months is too far to try... for the pension funds to try to recoup, but this Bill could be written a lot better. I recommend a 'no' vote. Thank you. I..."

Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Batinick, you got cut off."

Batinick: "A verify."

Speaker Hoffman: "A verification?"

Batinick: "Yes."

Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Batinick has requested a verification. Representative Guzzardi to close."

Guzzardi: "Dear Members, it's such a pleasure to be here with each of you today. Representative Andrade, Representative Avelar, Batinick, Bennett, Bos... no, I won't drag this out any further than it needs to. Representative Batinick, I appreciate your point. I would simply respond that the provisions, as I say, in this statute are already in place and a number of other pension funds. We simply want the Chicago Teacher Pension Fund to have uniformity in how it handles these overpayments and underpayments. Right now, this is handled on a case-by-case basis, member to member. The fund doesn't believe that that's an adequate way to handle such a... such issues. And because of the inconsistencies that's

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

been applied on those cases up to this point, they want to have clarification in law, they want to harmonize this with existing state statute. I think it's a commonsense measure. And I ask for an 'aye' vote. Thank you, colleagues."

- Speaker Hoffman: "Members, Representative Batinick has requested a verification. All Members will be in their chairs, vote their own switches. The question is, 'Shall House Bill 275 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 62 voting 'yes', 40 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present'. Mr. Clerk, please read the names of those voting in the affirmative."
- Clerk Hollman: "A poll of those voting in the affirmative.

  Representative Andrade; Representative Avelar;

  Representative Buckner; Representative Burke; Representative

  Carroll; Representative Cassidy; Representative Collins..."

  Speaker Hoffman: "Mr. Clerk. Mr. Clerk. Representative Batinick."

  Batinick: "I withdraw my verification. Thank you."
- Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Batinick withdraws his request for verification. On this question, there are 62 voting 'yes', 40 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Harris, for what reason do you seek recognition?"
- Harris: "Mr. Speaker, let the record reflect that Representative Moylan is excused for the rest of the day."
- Speaker Hoffman: "The record shall so reflect. Representative Welter."

24th Legislative Day

- Welter: "Mr. Speaker, let the record reflect that Representative Ozinga is excused for the rest of the day."
- Speaker Hoffman: "The record will so reflect. Representative Tarver, for what reason do you seek recognition?"
- Tarver: "Sorry. I didn't get to my seat fast enough, but I intended to vote 'yes' on House Bill 275."
- Speaker Hoffman: "The record shall reflect. Representative Willis."
- Willis: "I request a point of personal privilege, please."
- Speaker Hoffman: "Please state your point."
- Willis: "Members of the House, we have a job. That means that we have to be here to vote. I understand that this has been a long week and many of us are tired, but this is our job to be here. Unless there is a personal family emergency, I urge the rest of the Body that is here to please stay until the end of the day. Many Members have votes that they still need to have taken on their Bill. And as more and more Members leave from both sides of the aisle, it is going to make it difficult for us to pass legislation. So, please. I urge you, be patient. I know you all want to get home. I know it's been a long week. I want to go home too. But I intend to stay until the last Bill gets voted on at the end of today, and I hope you will join me. Thank you."
- Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Jones on House Bill 240, page 3 of the Calendar. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 240, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. This Bill was read a second time previously. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Jones, has been approved for consideration."

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Jones on Floor Amendment #1."

Jones: "Sorry for the delay. I was just taking in the last speaker's comment. House Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill

speaker's comment. House Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 240. The Amendment is a technical Amendment that makes changes to the Insurance Code. This code is talking about the compensation. It also deals with the public adjusters. I would like to adopt the Amendment and speak on the details on Third Reading, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Jones moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 240. All in favor signify by saying 'aye'; those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Hollman: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Hoffman: "Third Reading. Please read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 240, a Bill for an Act concerning

regulation. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Jones on House Bill 240."

Jones: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. So, the Amendment clarifies the definition of the public adjusters law by removing the definition of adjusting a claim on lost damages covered by the insurance contract. It also clarifies that the public adjuster includes a person who acts, aids, and represents a person in an insurance claim. And it clarifies that the public adjuster must provide the insurer or its authorized representative a notice of the loss or claimed damages. And lastly, it allows the public adjusters to provide emergency services before executing a written contract with the department and providing an exact copy to the insurer. This

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

is to clarify language. This is also an initiative of Department of Insurance and the Chicago Public Adjusters. We went through extensive debate on this Bill, worked out an adjustment and an agreement on this Bill. I believe that the American Adjusters are the only ones that are still in opposition to this Bill. And I ask for its approval."

- Speaker Hoffman: "Seeing no one seeking opposition, Representative Jones moves the passage of House Bill 240. All in favor vote 'aye'; all oppose 'nay'. The voting's open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 102 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Moving to page 12 of the Calendar, House Bill 2863, Representative Swanson. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 2863, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. This Bill was read a second time previously.

  No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Swanson, has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Harris, for what reason do you seek recognition?"
- Harris: "Mr. Speaker, let the record reflect that Representative Zalewski is excused for the rest of the day."
- Speaker Hoffman: "The record shall so reflect. Representative Swanson on Floor Amendment #1."
- Swanson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Floor Amendment #1 becomes the Bill. And it changes... it provides a process for road... township

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

road supervisors uphill process. I recommend we adopt Amendment #1."

Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Swanson moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 2863. All in favor signify by saying 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Hollman: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Hoffman: "Third Reading. Please read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 2863, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Swanson on House Bill 2863."

Swanson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is an agreed Bill between the township officials and the county government. And what it does, it puts into place an appeal process. If you have an appeal or a decision that you feel your road commissioner is wrong in, then you can appeal to that county engineer within your county. As I said, it was an agreed Bill, and it's actually sponsored along with Farm Bureau, is a sponsor of this Bill too. I'd ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Hoffman: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 2863 pass?'
All in favor signify by voting 'yes'; opposed voting 'no'.
The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Walsh. Halpin. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 103 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On page 4 of the Calendar appears House Bill

24th Legislative Day

- 359, Representative Kifowit. Representative Kifowit. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 359, a Bill for an Act concerning military service. This Bill was read a second time previously.

  Amendment 2 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Hoffman: "Third Reading. Please read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

  Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 359, a Bill for an Act concerning

  military service. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Kifowit on House Bill 359."
- Kifowit: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. First, can we roll this back to Third because I need to withdraw Amendment 1 and adopt Amendment 2. Roll this back to Second, please."
- Speaker Hoffman: "Representative, it's my understanding that Amendment 1 has been tabled and Amendment 2 was adopted in committee. And the Bill is now on Third Reading."
- Kifowit: "Okay. Nope, that works for me. Thank you, Sir. This Bill creates the Veterans' Accountability Unit. Many people in this Body understand that the Illinois Department of Veterans' Affairs has, for lack of a better word, been struggling over the years with accountability, oversight, and taking care of our veterans. What this does is, this creates the Veterans' Accountability Unit that's separate from IDVA that will take complaints, that will make sure that our veterans are getting the optimum care that they get in our veterans' homes and that our veterans are getting the optimum care when processing their claims. And I ask for your support."

24th Legislative Day

- Speaker Hoffman: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 359 pass?'
  All in favor signify by voting 'yes'; opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Carroll. West. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 103 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative LaPointe on House Bill 1204, page 6 of the Calendar. Please read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 1204, a Bill for an Act concerning employment. This Bill was read a second time previously. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative LaPointe, has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Hoffman: "Out of the record. Representative Slaughter on House Bill 3958. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 3958, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. This Bill was read a second time previously. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Slaughter, has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Slaughter on Floor Amendment #1."
- Slaughter: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move for the adoption of Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 3958. The Amendment clarifies what crimes the main provisions in this Bill pertain to. I'd like to present and debate the Bill on Third Reading."
- Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Slaughter moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 3958. All in favor signify

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

by saying 'aye'; all opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Hollman: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Hoffman: "Third Reading. Please proceed, Representative Slaughter. Read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 3958, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Slaughter."

"Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of Slaughter: the House. House Bill 3958 requires the electronic recording of custodial interrogations that pertain to felony offenses, those crimes that carry longer sentences. As many of you know, our state continues to develop an embarrassing reputation for wrongful convictions, enforce plea deals. Every year, in our state, many people are jailed because of false confessions during custodial interrogations. It's important that we do as much as we can to promote fair interrogations for both suspects as well as law enforcement. As well as law enforcement. This is also a matter of being fiscally responsible. Many of us know that wrongful convictions have cost our taxpayers a great deal, whether that's settling wrongful conviction cases or incarcerating innocent people. is What the Bill does eliminate disputes about interrogations, thereby significantly improving prosecution of the guilty, while affording protection to the innocent. The Bill puts us in line with 20 other states around the country. And law enforcement agencies that use electronic recording have proven its value. Of course, I'm open to any

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

recommendations that may arise in the Senate on this critical matter. Listen, it's going to be a razor-thin vote here. We're going to put this on the board. The message here is that we're protecting suspects, protecting law enforcement, protecting taxpayers. I urge a 'yes' vote."

Speaker Hoffman: "On House Bill 3958, Leader Durkin."

Durkin: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Hoffman: "He indicates he'll yield."

Durkin: "Representative, what is the current state of the law with respect to the use of this type of recording of interrogations in Illinois?"

Slaughter: "Currently, Leader, we do require electronic recordings for a set of felonies. Honestly, what this Bill is doing is expanding it to all felonies. Of course, we stop short of comprehensively including misdemeanors. That's not in the Bill. But this is just for felonies."

Durkin: "Even property crimes which there's no... someone who has not been injured? Let's say it's a theft or a forgery and that the person is Mirandized and say... and they will make an admission. In that case, we have to have an electronic recording of the interrogation?"

Slaughter: "Okay. Leader, what's the... what's the question? I'm sorry."

Durkin: "The question is... you said all felonies."

Slaughter: "Yes."

Durkin: "We have felony theft, we have cases of forgery, but there's no personal or injury that has occurred to those victims. And so, in those situations where a person is brought in and they are Mirandized and they state I am going to wave

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

my Fifth Amendment rights, even in those situations they will have to have that admission recorded... video recorded?"

Slaughter: "Yes."

- Durkin: "You're... okay. Do you... I've dealt with this issue before, and there is a cost that is associated with this type of work. Have you been able to convince the Sheriffs' Association or the Chiefs of Police that they do have the means to be able to have this equipment accessible for all felonies?"
- Slaughter: "No. The law enforcement did not testify in committee about anything in regards to what you're saying. They already, obviously, have these devices and resources to conduct recordings."
- Durkin: "But... well, let's look at Chicago. On any given day, there's three to four hundred felonies that may be charged. Some of them, a small portion of whom will be violent crimes, a murder, or a rape. But a great deal of them also are... let's say a Class 4 possession, as long as we still have possession as a felony. And I guess, after the last couple of days, we may not have to worry about that again. But a burglarized automobile, and as I said before, thefts, forgeries, property crimes that will be in the hundreds. How is the Chicago Police Department to be able to man that type of situation when a person is prepared to waive their Miranda rights? Because if they don't, if they don't have that captured, it means that their admission, you're telling me, is not admissible in a court of law."

Slaughter: "Okay, Leader. What's the question?"

Durkin: "What? How does Chicago manage this when they're going to have anywhere from three to four hundred felony cases that

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

- are going to be charged on any given day by the Chicago Police Department? How can they..."
- Slaughter: "I would think that they would want to manage this. A wrongful conviction is going to cost them a lot more to not manage it, to not address it."
- Durkin: "Are you saying that every admission that... that every admission that is made by a defendant is inherently coerced and has been given under duress? Is that what you're telling me?"
- Slaughter: "I'm sorry, Leader. Could you repeat the question?"
- Durkin: "I said, I guess you're saying if we don't have this, then they'll be the cost of wrongful conviction. So, what I'm asking you, is you're saying if we don't have every admission by an individual in a court room, then what we're stating is that every admission is done under duress or coercion?"
- Slaughter: "Leader, this would also protect law enforcement. In regards to everything that you're saying and those concerns, we're protecting law enforcement in regards to..."
- Durkin: "There's a theory... okay. Let me ask a couple more questions."

Slaughter: "Sure."

Durkin: "One of them is, it has to be in a police station or a..."
Slaughter: "Custodial. Yeah, custodial... yeah, Leader."

Durkin: "...place of detention. A police station. And a place of detention could be more than just the traditional police department. Would you agree?"

Slaughter: "Yes."

Durkin: "So, a person under the law has determined that they are in custody when they are no longer free to leave. And that

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

doesn't necessarily mean they're at a detective bureau or they're at one of the districts. So, the situation is this, and this often happens. An arrest is made, the person is handcuffed, he is Mirandized. And he says, you know what, yeah, I did this. That doesn't get in... that is not admissible into evidence, correct? When it is in a place of detention, which could be the squad the car or outside the squad car on the scene."

Slaughter: "That's correct, Leader. And I'm open to, again, if that Amendment needs to arise, if we are graciously able to get this Bill out of the Senate, I'm open to that, that Amendment."

"We have been debating this for decades, and it's just ... Durkin: I... and, Representative, again, look, I'm coming to you and I know you have well intentions on this. But some of us have been involved with this type of practice where we've been involved with working with police and Mirandizing defendants, and we are often caught with situations where we are dealing with motions to suppress on a number of levels. So... and, here's one thing that I think we've got to be very careful about. And I'm going to get ... over-lawyer it, but this is a reality. So, you do have a situation where an admission is made, and there is evidence that comes from that admission in which allows police officers to either make the case stronger or it gives them a codefendant, which they are able to begin to investigate. The problem is... there's a theory in law that goes back for decades called the fruit of the poisonous tree. That means that if a statement is made in inadmissible... under inadmissible situation or proceedings, any evidence that is

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

drawn from that is inadmissible per se. So, we're getting into a very... I would say a complicated a more complicated area of law that I'm not sure if you understand the complications that... it's a little more trickier than it appears on the paper. Because when we say that something is per se inadmissible, that means that any evidence that would be drawn from that statement cannot be used against that codefendant or can be used against that defendant. What if he says I got the gun over here, it's two blocks away. And he makes that full admission, but it's not recorded. The gun is found. The gun is not allowed into evidence. That is going to be suppressed."

Slaughter: "Leader, it sounds like you like the spirit of this, the objectives of this, and you're maybe articulating or highlighting some carve outs. That's what it sounds like to me."

Durkin: "No. I'm giving you my explanation of the current state of the law and how this is going to be applied on a real basis in a court of law when there's going to be a judge, a defense attorney, and a prosecutor who are going to have to try to figure out whether or not this person was in custody or not. And secondly, was there admission? Was the admission captured on a recording? And if there was any evidence that was gleaned from that interrogation, is it going to be admissible in the case in chief or against a codefendant? But I'm telling you right now that our case log, all the way up to our Supreme Court going back decades, says that that information is, as I said earlier, it's inadmissible under the concept of the fruit of the poisonous tree doctrine, and that hasn't changed.

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

So, Representative Slaughter, I think that we're going down a path that I think that we could be making a very big mistake on a concept that you are trying to... and I respect what you're trying to do. But this is a complicated area of law, criminal procedure that we don't really have a great grasp on. I understand it, but I think that there's more to this, and it's not as simple as the way that the Bill is being explained or drafted."

Slaughter: "Leader, I respect your perspective and definitely willing to keep working with you when the Bill gets over to the Senate."

Durkin: "All right. Well, again, folks, we're... I'm just going to finish up and just say that, while we have a lot of wellintended issues that we try to address here, oftentimes we have the theory of unintended consequences and that we often make decisions that we should've thought through thoroughly and given some more contemplation to. I've just given it a couple of examples that are coming out of crim pro 101 that are very dangerous if the... if this law was to... if this Bill was to become a law. So, when we deal with these complicated areas within the area of criminal law and procedure, my recommendation is to move slowly. And first of all, let's see where the problem lies. But secondly, the way a Bill is drafted, is it really going to solve and make the streets safer? Is it going to make sure that someone is getting their fair day in court? In this Bill, in its current form, doesn't do it."

Speaker Hoffman: "Thank you, Leader. The Bill has been moved to Standard Debate. The Sponsor has spoken in favor. We will

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

have two individuals who will also speak in favor and then we will go to a roll call if there is no one else speaking in opposition. Representative Tarver."

Tarver: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Hoffman: "Indicates he'll yield."

Tarver: "Chairman Slaughter, I just want to make sure I understand. So, this is requiring video when there's a felon... essentially interrogation about a felony. Is that right?"

Slaughter: "Yes. Electronic recordings. So, that's correct, Representative."

Tarver: "Electronic? Okay. And so, I just want to make sure I understand this. There was a lot of talk about a property crime. To the best of your knowledge, can you have your freedom taken for a property crime?"

Slaughter: "Yes."

Tarver: "Okay. Okay. What's the cost of freedom?"

Slaughter: "There is no cost, Representative. You know that."

Tarver: "Yeah, I know. We been trying to figure out for 400-plus years. But, okay. So... but we want to talk about actual costs. Let's do that. We bring up Chicago. Would it surprise you to know that Chicago has spent approximately a half billion dollars over the last 20 or so years with excessive force cases and things like that?"

Slaughter: "A half a billion, Representative."

Tarver: "Do you think that for less than, I don't know, a half a billion dollars we can get some people recorded and find out if these are actual valid statements that are being provided?" Slaughter: "I think we can, Representative."

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

Tarver: "Okay. I'm glad. Do you think for less than \$132 million we can do that? That's Jon Burge. That's Jon Burge, \$132 million."

Slaughter: "I think that we can, Representative."

Tarver: "Do you think we can do it for less than that?"

Slaughter: "I know that we can. Thank you, Representative. And this is, Ladies and Gentlemen, a fiscally responsible measure that we're bringing forward as well, underscoring Representative Tarver as well..."

Tarver: "I don't want to talk about fiscal responsibility. I don't even want to talk about fiscal responsibility at this point. Because we have the money when people... oh actually, let's take a step back. And then we have the fact that we have to pay these families, right? Okay. But beyond all that, because we want to talk about Chicago and Cook County. Would it surprise you to know that in 2017 there was a study, a national study, about exonerations? Twenty-one hundred cases. Did you know that 193 came from Chicago? Did you know that, Chairman Slaughter? Would that surprise you?"

Slaughter: "Not at all."

Tarver: "Would it surprise you that nationally 28 percent of the cases that were studied came from Chicago? One in four in Cook County? Would that shock you?"

Slaughter: "No."

Tarver: "Okay. So, when we talk about property crimes, when we talk about costs and things along those lines, I just want to bring it to a close and understand. Again, if you can help remind me, what is the cost associated with wrongfully taking someone's freedom?"

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

Slaughter: "There is no cost."

Tarver: "I urge an 'aye' vote. This is silly."

Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Windhorst, and then we will go

to Representative Slaughter to close. Representative

Windhorst."

Windhorst: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. To the Bill."

Speaker Hoffman: "To the Bill."

Windhorst: "There was another issue with including all felonies and requiring those to be recorded. There are times when the officer does not know necessarily that the offense is a felony, and I want to give an example of that. Domestic battery is a Class A misdemeanor. If a person is convicted and they commit domestic battery again, that is a felony offense. I think most people in this Body would agree it should remain a felony offense. However, at the time of interrogation the officer may not know that it qualifies as a felony offense and any admission regarding that domestic battery would then be thrown out of court. And any evidence that may be gathered from that admission, as was pointed out, may be thrown out of court. We have in the past, as was noted, we started with homicide in 2003. We expanded to other very serious and violent felonies in 2013. But to expand beyond to all felonies will create problems that we are not foreseeing today. We have to be cautious as we go forward with this. I appreciate what's been said. And I agree that a videotaped confession is powerful evidence in a courtroom, but we don't want to create a situation where that evidence, if it's... just because of some technicality that may not be thought of today,

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

- gets tossed out and a rightfully guilty person is not convicted. So, I would urge a 'no' vote. Thank you."
- Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Slaughter to close."
- Slaughter: "All right. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. And just to the previous speaker. Thank you, Representative, for those concerns and certainly committed to working on those good faith exemptions that perhaps we need to get in the Bill. As we continue to look at our criminal justice system, Ladies and Gentlemen, it's important that we address wrongful convictions, forced plea deals, and false confessions. With this Bill, our state protects suspects and law enforcement when we promote fairness with custodial interrogations. I urge a 'yes' vote."
- Speaker Hoffman: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 3958 pass?'
  All in favor signify by voting 'aye'; all opposed 'nay'. The
  voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who
  wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the
  record. Representative Slaughter. Representative Slaughter."
- Slaughter: "Okay. Thank you. Mr. Speaker, I request Postponed Consideration."
- Speaker Hoffman: "The Bill shall remain on Postponed Consideration. Leader Welter, for what reason do you seek recognition?"
- Welter: "Mr. Speaker, let the record reflect that Representative Sosnowski is excused for the rest of the day."
- Speaker Hoffman: "The record will so reflect. Moving to page 2 of the Calendar, House Bill 109, on the Order of Second Reading, Representative Tarver. Please read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 109, a Bill for an Act concerning finance. This Bill was read a second time previously. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Tarver, has been approved for consideration." Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Tarver on Floor Amendment #1." Tarver: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The Amendment becomes the Bill.

So, I'd like to discuss it more on Third. If you need to know what it is, it just guides where the eight percent in the Cannabis Revenue Tax Act that goes to local law enforcement. It guides where it goes and it breaks those funds down."

Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Tarver moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 109. All in favor signify by saying 'aye'; all opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Hollman: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Hoffman: "Third Reading. Please read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 109, a Bill for an Act concerning finance. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Tarver on House Bill 109."

Tarver: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Bill is an initiative of the Illinois Black Caucus to look at what cannabis revenue goes as it flows into local law enforcement and to correct the error of the Body by not really providing any guidance on where that money goes. My understanding is that the Bill essentially says 'law enforcement purposes', and there really hasn't been much guidance as to what that means. And so, what we did was, as a Black Caucus, is look at how to guide those funds. So, the eight percent would be divided up, with two

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

percent discretionary, two percent for high-risk traffic stops and de-escalation training, two percent would be for... I just had this in front of me. One percent would be for expunging juvenile records. One percent for the... it's been there an hour and can't remember. One percent for juvenile expungements. One percent for pretrial services. And I'm sure I'm missing something that my good friend Welter will point out to me. Oh, I'm sorry. The most obvious thing, body cameras. Two percent towards body cameras. So, again, this is an initiative of the caucus. We've heard a lot of rumors about the fact that we have these unfunded mandates. Here's a pot of money that can go and support some of these efforts that we passed in House Bill 3653, which is now a Public Act. I look forward to a spirited debate."

Speaker Hoffman: "This Bill being on Short Debate, Representative Welter, are you in support or opposition?"

Welter: "Opposition."

Speaker Hoffman: "Please proceed."

Welter: "Does the speaker yield?"

Speaker Hoffman: "He indicates he'll yield."

Welter: "Representative Tarver, I know you and I have spoke about this Bill a couple times now as it's come through committee and you've worked on this Amendment that you brought forth. The eight percent that we specifically negotiated in the cannabis Bill when we initially passed the recreational use, can you tell me any history that you may have gotten from anybody about why we landed at eight percent and how those funds were to be used?"

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

Tarver: "I can tell you the history, but that doesn't make the argument of coming to eight percent very valid. So, I do believe that law enforcement wanted a 24 percent premium for opposing the Cannabis Revenue Tax Act, and then settled upon the 8 percent premium for, again, opposing a Bill but extracting funds from it once it passed. That's my estimation.

Welter: "It's a little bit of a different explanation then what I remember being involved in the negotiations. But to the Bill here, and I don't believe I'll have any other specific questions for the Representative."

Speaker Hoffman: "To the Bill."

Welter: "As many of you in this Body were here back when we passed the legalization of cannabis, I was one of the few Republicans to actually support the Bill and a chief cosponsor of the Bill. I spent over a year and half of my life, probably the most involved I've ever been in any legislation in this Body, because I felt it was too important of a topic for the Republican Party to just say no and not have a seat at the table. So, I went up to Chicago and Springfield for dozens and dozens of meetings to try to craft the best possible legislation we could. And one of the big topics that we had was, how should this revenue be used? And we went back and forth many times, had great lively debate. There were a lot of important things that needed to be funded in Illinois. And I think we came to a fairly decent carve up of how that should be. And we, at the time, made an agreement in good faith that we would revisit this revenue in 2024 as the Bill states. So, it's very disheartening to me, as somebody in the Minority Party who has on multiple occasions worked with many of you

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

on the other side on things that have not been popular on my side. And by doing this, although I get the intention of the Sponsor, it makes it very difficult for folks like myself who have really good intensions to want to extend the olive branch, put our votes on difficult Bills, to only see that that is not going to be followed through on the agreement that we originally made. And my concern to that eight percent, and I've offered to the Representative, I think there's a compromise that might be able to be made here where we still prioritize body cameras, the training that he so desires, but we're also not taking away from our local governments and the ability in which they use these funds today. I would remind you... I know the Representative said that it wasn't very specific in terms of what these funds were to be used for. Folks, we're striking this specific language about prevention training, including detection enforcement programs, prevention efforts. And here's a very important one for a lot of us because we wanted to make sure this was in the Bill. For driving under the influence of cannabis. So, this is supposed to be to go toward to help roadside testing, enforcement in that end. So, if you vote on this Bill the way it currently stands, and this is one of my grave concerns, is you're basically saying that's not an important topic to be funded at a local level. That, I think, is not a very good sign to send. I think there is a way that we could look at these overall funds, if that's our purpose, if we want to reopen and look how these funds are used and what percentages. I'm happy to have that conversation again because I think there's a way we can still meet what you're trying to do, but

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

also not take away how the local funds are using those... that revenue today. Because I've talked to my local governments, I used to run local governments as a county board chairman. And in my community..."

Speaker Hoffman: "Representative, if you could bring your remarks to a close. I know..."

Welter: "I'll bring them to a close here. In my community, we use those funds for multiple things that are related to the cannabis dispensary in our community, traffic control, all these things that have been an added cost. Again, agree that we need to find revenue for body cameras and these other items, but this is not new revenue that we're going to be giving towards these programs. It's just shifting these funds around and not necessarily for the intended purposes in which we all put our vote on when we passed the cannabis Bill."

Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Tarver to close."

Tarver: "So, I appreciate that. And I just want to be clear. When we look at how the funds are divided up, it's two percent that's discretionary. So, certainly if a county determine that... to the Representative's point, that something specific was more important than what it prioritized it, they could do that. I want to talk about, just very briefly, we're talking about DUIs and things like that. I mean, there's nothing more dangerous for a black man in society right now than a traffic stop. And so, I think it's very, very important that, when we talk about these funds and providing training, it's for those exact situations. For those exact situations. You know, I'm happy to work with the Representative. And I'm glad... I don't know if he's put it on record, but it sounds like we're going

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

to work together to find a funding for some of these things that are very, very important that we passed in the criminal justice pillar. But, again, I just want to make it abundantly clear that this is not taking any money away from local government. It's not taking any money away from law enforcement. What it is doing is helping to provide parameters and guidelines on how those funds will be spent. And I think that's particularly important right now. And so, I would urge an 'aye' vote, and we'll see where we go from there."

- Speaker Hoffman: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 109 pass?'
  All in favor signify by voting 'aye'; opposed voting 'nay'.
  The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. Representative Tarver."
- Tarver: "I move for Postponed Consideration when we have some more Democrats back in the chamber."
- Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Tarver asked for Postponed Consideration. The Bill shall be placed on Postponed Consideration. On page 17 of the Calendar, we go to House Bill 3881, Representative Windhorst. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 3881, a Bill for an Act concerning safety. This Bill was read a second time previously. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Windhorst, has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Windhorst on Floor Amendment #1."

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

- Windhorst: "Floor Amendment 1 clarifies the definition of local road, that it is state or local highway."
- Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Windhorst moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment 1 to House Bill 3881. All in favor signify by saying 'aye'; all opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Hollman: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Hoffman: "Third Reading. Please read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 3881, a Bill for an Act concerning safety. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Windhorst on House Bill 3881."
- Windhorst: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 3881 creates a definition of Local Road and Hazardous Material Transportation Act. With the Amendment, local road means any state or local highway, except for a highway with four or more lanes or an interstate highway. The purpose of this Bill is for clarification in the law about transportation of materials on two-lane state highways. This definition would allow transportation on those two-lane state highways. I'll be happy to answer any questions and respectfully ask for an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Hoffman: "Representative Harris for the purposes of an announcement."
- Harris: "Mr. Speaker, let the record reflect that Representative Mayfield is excused for the rest of the day."
- Speaker Hoffman: "The record shall reflect. Representative Davis, are you in opposition? This is on Short Debate."

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

Davis: "I am not necessarily in opposition, but I need a clarification."

Speaker Hoffman: "Okay. Please proceed."

Davis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Representative Windhorst, so the changing the definition of... the changing of the definition to a local road, is that for any and everything across the state that this definition now applies? So, in my community that... what I would consider a local road in that community, is that now considered a state road?"

Windhorst: "No. What this... the term local road is a term that is used in regulation in both the State and Federal Government for the transportation of materials. So, it actually applies and relates to those regulations to clarify that, when we say local road, we mean those materials can be transported on a two-lane state highway."

Davis: "Fair enough. Thank you."

Windhorst: "Thank you."

Speaker Hoffman: "Seeing no one else seeking recognition, the question is, 'Shall House Bill 3881 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 94 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Members, we'll be going to the Consent Calendar. On page 26 of the Calendar appears Bills on the Consent Calendar - Third Reading - Second Day. As a reminder, we're preparing to vote on Bills appearing on the Consent Calendar. After the Clerk reads the Bills, we will take one

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

vote. One vote on these Bills. If Members wish to record as anything other than 'yes' on any of the Bills, Members can have those votes recorded separately by filing a signed letter with the Clerk today. Again, if you want to be recorded on anything other than 'yes', you can have those votes recorded separately by filing a signed letter with the Clerk today. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bills."

Clerk Hollman: "The Bills on the Consent Calendar - Third Reading - Second Day. At this point, no Bills have been removed. Third Reading of these Bills. House Bill 3563, a Bill for an Act concerning education. House Bill 3571, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 3573, a Bill for an Act concerning education. House Bill 3575, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. House Bill 3577, a Bill for an Act concerning business. House Bill 3593, a Bill for an Act concerning public aid. House Bill 3595, a Bill for an Act concerning courts. House Bill 3598, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 3650, a Bill for an Act concerning agriculture. House Bill 3655, a Bill for an Act concerning the Illinois State Police. House Bill 3658, a Bill for an Act concerning fish. House Bill 3678, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. House Bill 3723, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. House Bill 3752, a Bill for an Act concerning fish. House Bill 3757, a Bill for an Act concerning fish. House Bill 3762, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. House Bill 3763, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 3764, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. House Bill 3786, a Bill for an Act concerning health. House Bill 3798, a Bill for an Act

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

concerning regulation. House Bill 3811, a Bill for an Act concerning finance. House Bill 3849, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. House Bill 3853, a Bill for an Act concerning education. House Bill 3854, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. House Bill 3863, a Bill for an Act concerning finance. House Bill 3864, a Bill for an Act concerning urban problems. House Bill 3906, a Bill for an Act concerning education. House Bill 3911, a Bill for an Act concerning government. House Bill 3922, a Bill for an Act concerning government. House Bill 3929, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. House Bill 3934, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. House Bill 3955, a Bill for an Act concerning business. House Bill 3956, a Bill for an Act concerning courts. Third Reading of these House Bills."

Speaker Hoffman: "Again, attention, Members. We're going to be voting on all Bills on the Consent Calendar - Third Reading - Second Day beginning on page 26 of the Calendar. There will be one vote on these Bills. It's anticipated that Members will vote 'yes'. If Members wish to be recorded as anything other than a 'yes' on any of the particular Bills, Members may file a signed letter with the Clerk indicating those separate votes. The letter must be filed today. Again, the letter must be filed today. Leader Butler."

Butler: "Oh, sorry, Mr. Speaker. I had a point of personal privilege. I hit my button a little too early there."

Speaker Hoffman: "Okay. Would you... after the vote? The question is, 'Shall the House Bills on Consent Calendar - Third Reading - Second Day pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

all voted who wish? Tarver. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 99 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present'. And these Bills, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Leader Butler."

"Thank you, Speaker Jay C. Hoffman. Mr. Speaker, an... as spokesman for the House Redistricting Committee, I have made repeated requests about what data will be used to draw the maps this year. In response... in a story in Crain's this week, the Speaker... a statement from the Speaker's Office, while discussing ACS data, said it is one of the aggregated data sets the committee is using. As a member of the committee, I haven't seen that data nor have received any answers on what data will be used to draw the legislative maps this year. We have two hearings upcoming on Saturday. And so, Mr. Speaker, as one of the people who has chaired these committee hearings, I would request by Saturday that we have a response from the Majority as to what data will be used to draw the maps, not only draw the maps but what data is being used on the Web site to draw the maps as well. So, I respectfully ask that, Speaker Hoffman, that we have an answer by the hearings on this Saturday."

Speaker Hoffman: "Your request is recognized. On page 28 of the Calendar appears Bills on the Consent Calendar - Resolutions - Fourth Day. As a reminder, we are preparing to vote on Resolutions appearing on the Consent Calendar. After the Clerk reads the Bills... reads the Resolutions, we will take one vote on these Bills... on these Resolutions. If Members wish to record on anything other than 'yes' on these

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

Resolutions, Members can have those votes recorded separately by filing a signed letter with the Clerk today. Mr. Clerk, please read the Resolutions."

Clerk Hollman: "The following Resolutions are on the Consent Calendar - Resolutions - Fourth Day. House Joint Resolution 1. House Joint Resolution 5. House Joint Resolution 6. House Joint Resolution 8. House Joint Resolution 10. House Resolution 5. House Resolution 6. House Resolution 8. House Resolution 11. House Resolution 13. House Resolution 14. House Resolution 22. House Resolution 26. House Resolution 28. House Resolution 46. House Resolution 50. House Resolution 53. House Resolution 57. House Resolution 71. House Resolution 77. House Resolution 79. And House Resolution 81."

Speaker Hoffman: "Once again, attention, Members. We're going to be voting on all Resolutions on the Consent Calendar - Fourth Day beginning on page 28 of the Calendar. There will be one vote on these Resolutions, and it's anticipated that Members will vote 'yes'. If Members wish to be recorded as anything other than 'yes' on any of these particular Resolutions, Members may sign a letter with the Clerk indicating those separate votes. The letter must be filed today. The question is, 'Shall these House Resolutions on the Consent Calendar - Fourth Day pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Caulkins. Welter. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 99 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present'. And the Resolutions on the Consent Calendar - Fourth Day are

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

adopted. Members, I have spectacular news. Mr. Clerk, Agreed Resolutions."

- Clerk Hollman: "Agreed Resolutions. House Resolution 237, offered by Representative Severin. House Resolution 238, offered by Representative Reick. House Resolution 239, offered by Representative Walsh. House Resolution 240, offered by Representative Morrison. House Resolution 241, offered by Representative Morrison. House Resolution 242, offered by Representative Lewis. And House Resolution 243, offered by Representative Lewis."
- Speaker Hoffman: "Leader Manley moves for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. All those in favor vote 'aye'... or signify by saying 'aye'; all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Agreed Resolutions are adopted. And now, allowing perfunctory time for the Clerk, Leader Manley moves that the House stand adjourned until Tuesday, April 27, at the hour of noon. All those in favor say 'aye'; all opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the House stands adjourned. Members, have a great weekend. Travel safely."
- Clerk Hollman: "Perfunctory Session will come to order. Introduction and First Reading of Senate Bills. Senate Bill 297, offered by Representative Meier, a Bill for an Act concerning liquor. Senate Bill 536, offered by Representative Stuart, a Bill for an Act concerning elections. Senate Bill 626, offered by Representative Cassidy, a Bill for an Act concerning courts. Senate Bill 820, offered by Representative Ammons, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Senate Bill 825, offered by Representative West, a Bill for an Act

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

concerning elections. Senate Bill 826, offered by Representative Hoffman, a Bill for an Act concerning elections. Senate Bill 828, offered by Representative Ford, a Bill for an Act concerning elections. Senate Bill 919, offered by Representative Mah, a Bill for an Act concerning government. Senate Bill 920, offered by Representative Collins, a Bill for an Act concerning government. Senate Bill 922, offered by Representative Scherer, a Bill for an Act concerning government. Senate Bill 927, offered Representative Hernandez, Elizabeth, a Bill for an Act concerning government. Senate Bill 965, Representative Delgado, a Bill for an Act concerning health. Senate Bill 1041, offered by Representative Flowers, a Bill for an Act concerning public aid. Senate Bill 1056, offered by Representative Halpin, a Bill for an Act concerning public benefits. Senate Bill 1079, offered employee Representative Cassidy, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Senate Bill 1085, offered by Representative Buckner, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Senate Bill 1169, offered by Representative Gabel, a Bill for an Act concerning safety. Senate Bill 1360, offered Representative Hoffman, a Bill for an Act concerning gaming. Senate Bill 1577, offered by Representative LaPointe, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Senate Bill 1582, offered by Representative Davis, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. Senate Bill 1600, offered by Representative Mayfield, a Bill for an Act concerning employment. Senate Bill 1839, offered by Representative Harper, a Bill for an Act concerning safety. Senate Bill 1842, offered by Representative Ford, a Bill for

24th Legislative Day

4/23/2021

an Act concerning criminal law. Senate Bill 1965, offered by Representative Ford, a Bill for an Act concerning government. Senate Bill 1970, offered by Representative Gong-Gershowitz, a Bill for an Act concerning mental health. Senate Bill 2007, offered by Representative Guzzardi, a Bill for an Act concerning health. Senate Bill 2153, offered by Representative Zalewski, a Bill for an Act concerning nursing. Senate Bill 2168, offered by Representative McCombie, a Bill for an Act concerning government. Senate Bill 2176, offered by Representative Andrade, a Bill for an Act concerning business. Senate Bill 2196, offered by Representative Harris, a Bill for an Act concerning finance. Senate Bill 2204, offered by Representative Cassidy, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Senate Bill 2279, offered by Representative Zalewski, a Bill for an Act concerning 2360, offered Senate Bill by Representative revenue. Davidsmeyer, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Senate Bill 2459, offered by Representative Buckner, a Bill for an Act concerning government. Senate Bill 2553, offered by Representative Costa Howard, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Senate Bill 2565, offered by Representative LaPointe, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Senate Bill 2662, offered by Representative Guzzardi, a Bill for an Act concerning government. Senate Bill 2664, offered by Representative Kifowit, a Bill for an Act concerning government. First Reading of these Senate Bills. There being no further business, the House Perfunctory Session will stand adjourned."